

The real Mega Conspiracy

On 20 January 2012, about 70 New Zealand police, including the armed Special Tactics Group, raided my Coatesville home at the request of the United States. I was arrested, property and accounts were seized and Megaupload was shut down.

US prosecutors allege racketeering, copyright infringement and money laundering, claiming US\$500 million in losses to rights holders. After nearly 15 years the case remains allegations, not a conviction.

I say Megaupload was a storage service and that users, not the company nor I, were responsible for uploads. The US Supreme Court agreed in the Cox case unanimously in April of 2026. The GCSB intercepted my communications despite my protected residency. The government admitted the surveillance was unlawful and former Prime Minister John Key, who to this day makes the unbelievable claim that he knew nothing, apologized.

A High Court judge first held the search warrants invalid and the copying of seized data for the FBI unlawful. Later courts split: A Supreme Court majority upheld the warrants, while the export of cloned data and the GCSB interception remained unlawful. A 2024 Inspector-General report found the GCSB hosted a foreign signals system from 2013 to 2020 without telling its minister.

There is an alignment of interests: Hollywood lobbying (including a July 2011 White House meeting with Joe Biden and studio executives) and New Zealand's courtship of film investment.

On extradition, I have been denied the material needed to test the US case and New Zealand's numerous illegal actions. Possible US sentences of 30 to 150 years are contrasted with an estimated 12 years in New Zealand. Co-defendants Ortmann and van der Kolk, who were prosecuted in New Zealand, received under three years and served 10 month, a whopping 99.55% discount from the original charges.

My health, including a stroke and a nearly 15-year delay are fairness problems. Accountability and extradition are not the same thing: Any case should be tried in New Zealand. Five Eyes data sharing, weak Bill of Rights supremacy, political appointment of judges and a Privileges Committee drawn from the governing side leave New Zealanders without effective privacy and government accountability. A direct-democracy constitution would put the rights of New Zealanders above foreign requests.

After all this time, many legal fees and private investigators let me tell you what we found on AI.

You may be shocked.

White House / Hollywood connection: Neil MacBride (prosecutor) visited the White House one week before Joe Biden met Hollywood executives, to brief Biden's chief of staff about the Megaupload case. Lawyer later sat with Biden at a fundraiser and Biden said, "This is my case."

- Judges:
 - NZ Judge David Harvey recognized the indictment as nonsense.
 - NZ extradition Judge Nevin Dawson was conflicted and failed to require disclosure on alleged Stingray surveillance.
 - US Judge O'Grady owned Disney shares and was selected by the US government via duty judge; current judge also has national security background. Jury pool in an area with many US intelligence employees.
- US Supreme Court (Cox case, April 2026): Unanimous ruling that there is no civil liability for actions of users. How could there be criminal liability? A letter to the US government to inform New Zealand under the duty of Candor rule remained unanswered. Megaupload had clear terms, required user confirmation on every upload, a designated takedown agent, and gave over 150 rights holders (including all major Hollywood studios) direct delete access.
- Co-defendants: Ortmann and van der Kolk later claimed Dotcom was the "ringleader," They received under 3 years in NZ (served ~10 months). A 99.5% discount on charges.
- Hidden evidence / John Key: Michael Ellis (MPA) emailed Warner Bros that Prime Minister John Key would extradite Dotcom. NZ government unlawfully blocked information requests (despite wins in High Court, Privacy Commissioner, Human Rights Tribunal, and Court of Appeal). Only heavily redacted police files were eventually released.
- Health: Doctors warned the NZ government of high stroke risk; lawfare continued and Dotcom suffered a stroke. He is now in a wheelchair, needs nursing, has speech impairment, and recovery has plateaued.
- US is the largest copyright infringer; killed ~58 million people since WWII (~19,300 times 9/11). References Russiagate, Hunter Biden, COVID origins, and alignment of Hollywood, intelligence, and political interests.
- Proposed actions for New Zealand:
 - Divest from the US and bring assets home.
 - Leave Five Eyes, declare neutrality, adopt a constitution and direct democracy.
 - Investigate John Key and accomplices.
 - Try the case in New Zealand rather than extradite.
- Closing: Document generated by asking AI simple questions. Offers \$100 million for evidence of corruption and invites interviews.

The White House meets Hollywood

Hollywood representatives that Joe Biden met in the White House in 2011

The most prominent and documented instance of Vice President Joe Biden (as he was in 2011) meeting Hollywood representatives at the White House in 2011 occurred on July 27, 2011. This was a lengthy meeting focused on intellectual property issues, copyright enforcement (including anti-piracy efforts), and trade matters related to expanding U.S. film access in China (e.g., increasing movie quotas there).

The Hollywood representatives and executives who attended included:

- Chris Dodd (Chairman and CEO of the Motion Picture Association of America, or MPAA)
- Barry Meyer (CEO of Warner Bros. Entertainment)
- Brad Grey (CEO of Paramount Pictures)
- Ronald Meyer (President and COO of Universal Studios)
- Jeff Blake (Vice Chairman of Sony Pictures Entertainment)
- Rich Ross (Chairman of Walt Disney Studios at the time)
- Michael O'Leary (MPAA Senior Executive VP for Global Policy and External Affairs)
- Michael Ellis (MPAA Asia Pacific, the international arm of MPAA)

The Prosecutor

Give me a career history of Neil MacBride

Neil Harvey MacBride (born October 14, 1965, in Schenectady, New York) is an American attorney specializing in white-collar defense, government investigations, national security, and complex litigation. He has held senior roles in all three branches of the U.S. government and in private practice.

Education

- B.A. in history and the humanities, Houghton College (magna cum laude), 1987.
- J.D., University of Virginia School of Law, 1992.

Career History

- 1992-1993: Law clerk to U.S. District Judge Henry Coke Morgan Jr., Eastern District of Virginia.
- Mid-1990s: Practiced criminal and civil litigation as an associate at the Washington, D.C., firm Verner, Liipfert, Bernhard, McPherson & Hand (later part of DLA Piper).
- 1997-2001: Assistant United States Attorney, U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia. He prosecuted homicides, narcotics conspiracies, violent crimes, firearms offenses, fraud, and property crimes, and conducted multiple jury trials.
- 2001-2005: Chief Counsel and Staff Director to then-Senator Joseph R. Biden Jr. on the Senate Judiciary Committee (including the Subcommittee on Crime and Drugs). His work focused on crime and drug policy, counter-terrorism, intelligence matters, and corporate fraud.
- 2005-2008/early 2009: Vice President, Anti-Piracy, and General Counsel of the Business Software Alliance (BSA), an international trade association for the software and hardware industry. He oversaw global copyright enforcement and anti-piracy efforts.
- 2008-2009: Member of the Obama-Biden presidential transition team (Justice Department).
- 2009: Associate Deputy Attorney General, U.S. Department of Justice, focusing on criminal enforcement and law enforcement issues.
- 2009-2013: United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Virginia (Senate-confirmed by President Barack Obama). He oversaw federal criminal prosecutions and civil litigation in a high-profile district that includes national security institutions. Notable work involved cases on financial fraud, international corruption, copyright and trade secret theft, defense procurement fraud, money laundering, terrorism, and cybersecurity (his office handled the criminal case against Edward Snowden). He conducted more than 25 jury trials over his prosecutorial career.
- 2014-2022: Partner at Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP. He served as head of the firm's Washington, D.C., office and co-head of its Government Investigations / White Collar Defense & Investigations practice. Clients included major corporations in enforcement, regulatory, and congressional matters.
- 2020-2021: Member of the Biden-Harris presidential transition team (Justice Department).
- 2022-2024: General Counsel of the U.S. Department of the Treasury (Senate-confirmed by President Joe Biden; the screenshot cuts off here).

Was Neil MacBride the prosecutor against Julian Assange and Kim Dotcom before 2013?

Yes.

Before he left office in September 2013:

- Kim Dotcom: Neil MacBride was the lead prosecutor. He signed the 2012 Megaupload indictment as U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of Virginia.
- Julian Assange / WikiLeaks: He oversaw the early investigation. He signed official letters related to the WikiLeaks grand jury probe in 2011, and contemporary reporting (including when he resigned) described him as leading the investigation into WikiLeaks. No formal charges against Assange were filed during his tenure, but he was the responsible U.S. Attorney for the case.

Neil MacBride visited the White House one week before Biden met with the Hollywood executives to brief Biden's chief of staff about the Megaupload case.

The Hollywood Senator

How much did Hollywood pay Chris Dodd in total. Very short answer.

Roughly \$25 million (including ~\$2.4M severance).

Public IRS filings show ~\$2.4M (2011 partial year), ~\$3.3M (2012), similar annual packages through 2016, \$3.9M (2017), plus 2018 severance.

Were Chris Dodd and Joe Biden close personal and political friends?

Yes.

Joe Biden has repeatedly described Chris Dodd as one of his closest friends (including "one of my best friends in life" and his "single best friend" in the Senate). They served together for nearly 30 years, collaborated closely on legislation, supported each other's campaigns, and maintained a personal friendship well beyond the Senate—Biden later tasked Dodd with leading his 2020 VP selection process and other roles.

When did John Key meet Chris Dodd?

4 October 2012 (evening), at a private dinner in Los Angeles hosted by James Cameron and Jon Landau during John Key's Hollywood visit promoting New Zealand as a film location.

Chris Dodd (then MPAA CEO) was present and briefly raised the Kim Dotcom/Megaupload case with Key.

When did Chris Dodd meet Obama at the White House just before the Megaupload raid?

9 December 2011.

Chris Dodd (then MPAA Chairman/CEO) had a private lunch meeting with President Obama in the Oval Office on that date — about six weeks before the Megaupload raid (19–20 January 2012). This is based on White House visitor logs referenced in contemporary reports.

Who was originally the Judge on the Megaupload extradition case in New Zealand, what was his expertise and why was he asked to step down?

Auckland District Court Judge David Harvey was the original judge on the Megaupload extradition case.

His expertise was internet and information-technology law. He had worked on court technology since about 1990, wrote academically on law and communications technology, and spoke in New Zealand and overseas on how technology affects courts and access to justice. He also sat on criminal jury trials, judge-alone criminal cases, civil cases, and in the Youth Court.

He stepped down in July 2012. At the NetHui conference he said, about U.S. copyright rules and the Trans-Pacific Partnership, "We have met the enemy and he is US." Chief District Court Judge Jan-Marie Doogue said he recognized the remark could reflect on his impartiality, and that the proper response was to leave the case. Judge Nevin Dawson replaced him.

Judge David Harvey was right about the enemy.

I think he instantly knew the US charges were nonsense.

The Rescued Judge (New Zealand)

Has New Zealand Judge Nevin Dawson ever been evacuated / rescued? Why and by whom? Was Murray McCully from the National party involved? Very short answer.

Yes.

In April 2010, while serving as a judge/coroner in Vanuatu, he and his family were evacuated to New Zealand for safety due to threats after his critical coroner's report on police actions. Arranged by New Zealand Foreign Affairs officials.

Yes, Murray McCully (then National Party Foreign Minister) was the responsible minister; his spokesperson confirmed the decision.

Judge Nevin Dawson was conflicted in my opinion and should never have been the extradition Judge in the Megaupload case. I knew that he wasn't serious when an expert suggested that the New Zealand government used a surveillance device (Stingray) to simulate a mobile tower, and the Judge did not require any disclosure from the government. By using Stingray, the government had a wide and illegal dragnet against New Zealanders who were living in the area or came to my home.

The Disney Judge (United States)

What relationship did Liam O'Grady have with Disney and why did he recuse himself from the Megaupload case? Very short answer.

Liam O'Grady owned Disney stock (listed on his financial disclosures, belonging to his wife's account).

He was reassigned from the Megaupload case because Disney was an alleged victim/plaintiff, creating a potential financial conflict of interest under federal judicial rules.

Did the son of Judge Liam O'Grady set fire to the Judge's house and was he ever charged? Short answer.

A fire at Judge Liam O'Grady's McLean house on December 5, 2011 was ruled intentionally set. His teenage son was the only one home and escaped unhurt. He was not charged, and the fire department closed the case with no charges against anyone.

Judge O'Grady was a National Security Judge at the FISA Court that had a 99.96% success rate for the US government. He is basically rubberstamping surveillance warrants for the United States.

He was selected as a Judge for my case by the US government because he was duty Judge for new cases when the US government filed my case. In my humble opinion this looks like a setup from the beginning.

My current Judge, who took over from O'Grady, was also a National Security Judge and I have no expectation of getting a fair trial. Just Google how poorly he treated Chelsea Manning after her sentence was commuted by Obama.

The jury pool is in an area where many United States spies are employed and are encouraged to join juries in cases that are important to the US government. They lie about the work they do for the government in the jury selection process and use social engineering techniques to convince other jury members to agree with them.

The US government cannot win if they play fair. There is no justice for me in the United States.

Chapman Tripp

Did Justice Miller, O'Regan and Arnold rule against Kim Dotcom in the Supreme Court and what do they have in common?

Yes, all three ruled against Kim Dotcom in relevant appellate decisions (including the Supreme Court for O'Regan and Arnold; Court of Appeal and later Supreme Court for Miller).

- Sir Terence Arnold: Part of the Supreme Court majority (with McGrath, Young and Glazebrook JJ) that upheld the validity of the 2012 search warrants in *Dotcom v Attorney-General* [2014] NZSC 199. Chief Justice Elias dissented.
- Sir Mark O'Regan: Sat on multiple Supreme Court panels that ruled against Dotcom, including denying leave to appeal on judicial review challenges (e.g. with Young and Ellen France JJ in 2019) and other case-management decisions in the extradition litigation.
- Justice Forrest Miller: Ruled against Dotcom on Court of Appeal panels in the extradition appeals (including the key 2018 decision upholding eligibility for extradition). After his elevation to the Supreme Court in December 2023, he sat on a 2024 Supreme Court panel (with Glazebrook and Kós JJ) that dismissed Dotcom's application for leave to appeal in related proceedings.

What they have in common: All three were partners at Chapman Tripp before their judicial appointments (Arnold at the predecessor firm Chapman Tripp Sheffield Young; O'Regan and Miller at Chapman Tripp).

Did Chapman Tripp represent Hollywood against Kim Dotcom? Very short answer.

Yes.

Supreme Court Justices (New Zealand)

What Court of Appeal cases in the orbit of Kim Dotcom and John Banks were Justice Miller and Justice France involved in, did Kim Dotcom ever win in the Court of Appeal with Justice Miller or Justice France and were they later promoted to the Supreme Court? Who promoted them? Short answer.

Short answer:

Court of Appeal cases (Dotcom/Banks orbit):

- Miller: 2014 search warrants (*Attorney-General v Dotcom* [2014] NZCA 19, with France); 2019 GCSB special-advocate ([2019] NZCA 412); extradition-related appeals ([2018] NZCA 442, [2021] NZCA 310); *Banks v R* [2015] NZCA 182 (with France P).
- France: 2014 warrants case; 2013 disclosure case (*United States of America v Dotcom* [2013] NZCA 38); *Banks v R* [2015] NZCA 182.

Did Dotcom win any Court of Appeal cases with Miller or France on the panel?

No clear full win. Those panels generally dismissed his appeals or largely reversed earlier High Court successes (warrants upheld; GCSB/extradition challenges failed). Only limited/partial points elsewhere in the litigation.

Promoted to the Supreme Court? Who promoted them?

Yes for both.

- Dame Ellen France: Appointed 22 July 2016 by Attorney-General Christopher Finlayson.
- Justice Forrest Miller: Appointed 19 December 2023 by Attorney-General Judith Collins (retired 2026).

Did the US Supreme Court unanimously rule in Cox on March 2026 that Cox is NOT contributorily liable for users copyright infringement and that mere knowledge of piracy, and failing to cut off accused accounts, is not enough. If Megaupload did not induce piracy and had clear terms that prohibited copyright infringement, and the DOJ lied about inducement should this Judgement help Megaupload? Very short answer.

Yes. On 25 March 2026, in Cox Communications v. Sony Music Entertainment, the Court held 9-0 that Cox is not contributorily liable for users' infringement. Mere knowledge of piracy, and failing to cut off accused accounts, is not enough. Liability requires intent: inducement, or a service tailored to infringement.

Dec 2006 — notify illegal files Use this form to notify illegal files on Megaupload. Infringing files will be deleted within hours of notification and placed in our infringing file filter. Megaupload is against illegal copying of software and any form of piracy. The service is for large files that cannot be sent by email.	July 2007 — notify illegal files Same notice: infringing files deleted within hours and placed in the infringing file filter. Megaupload is against illegal copying of software and any form of piracy. Committed to finding and removing infringing files.	July 2007 — Report abuse Abusive files will be deleted within hours after notice. Megaupload is against software piracy or any kind of copyright infringement. Purpose: sending large files that cannot be attached to e-mail. Promise to find and terminate abusive files.
2010 — Report abuse A proper copyright notice is required, or it may be ignored: identify the owner or agent, true name and contact details, the work, the URL, a good-faith statement, and accuracy under penalty of perjury.	Rewards 1 reward point per download. Rules: uploads must honor the terms of service and respect copyrights. Files larger than 100 MB do not qualify. Banned for manipulating results.	Dec 2006 — Terms, s. 8.4 Services may be used only for lawful purposes. Transmission, distribution or storage of material protected by copyright without authorization is prohibited. Megaupload may suspend or terminate. Complaints to abuse@Megaupload.com.

Analyze the attached screenshots of Megaupload. Did Megaupload induce copyright infringement? Assume the guilty pleas from co-defendants are false because they got a 99.55% discount on the charges. Very short answer.

No. These pages do not show inducement.

They bar copyright infringement in the terms, offer a public form to report illegal files and promise deletion within hours, and the rewards rules say uploads must respect copyrights. Nothing on them tells users to upload infringing files. Under Grokster and Cox, that is not inducement. The pleas are a separate dispute; these screenshots do not prove them.

Megaupload did not induce copyright infringement. Megaupload had clear terms and reward rules that did not permit copyright infringement. Megaupload users were asked to confirm the terms with every upload. Megaupload had a designated agent to deal with takedown requests. We even gave over 150 copyright holders, including every major Hollywood Studio, direct delete access to our servers. They could remove links they did not like, instantly, and without questions.

New Zealand Supreme Court

Did the New Zealand Supreme Court use the Grokster decision to justify its decision to remove Megaupload's safe-harbour protections? Should this be looked at again based on the US Supreme Court decision in Cox? Did the US Supreme Court ruling in Cox help the Megaupload case? Short answer.

Short answer:

- **Did the NZ Supreme Court use Grokster?**

Yes, in part. It referred to Grokster-style reasoning (a business model that encourages infringement) when finding that Megaupload did "something more" than mere hosting and therefore fell outside New Zealand's safe-harbour protections.

- **Should it be looked at again after Cox?**

Yes, it is reasonable to revisit the issue. Cox (2026) raised the bar for secondary liability, requiring clear intent via inducement or a service tailored to infringement.

- **Did Cox help Megaupload?**

Yes. It strengthens the defence argument that, without proven inducement or a tailored infringing service, safe-harbour protection should apply.

Does section 92B of the New Zealand copyright act provide a safe-harbour from criminal liability and if applied to Megaupload extradition to the US for copyright infringement would no longer apply?

Yes, Section 92B provides a safe-harbour from criminal liability.

Section 92B(2)(c) of the New Zealand Copyright Act expressly states that an Internet service provider, merely because a user uses its services to infringe copyright ("without more"), "must not be subject to any civil remedy or criminal sanction."

Application to Megaupload / extradition

The New Zealand Supreme Court accepted that Megaupload fell within the definition of an Internet service provider, but held that the safe-harbour did not apply because of the alleged additional conduct (encouragement of infringement and the business model).

If a court later determined that the safe-harbour did apply (i.e., that there was no disqualifying "something more"), then Megaupload and its operators would be protected from criminal sanctions under New Zealand law for the user-infringement conduct. That would significantly weaken or remove the dual-criminality basis for extradition on the pure copyright-infringement counts, because the conduct would not be criminal in New Zealand.

If Kim Dotcom assumed that section 92B of the New Zealand copyright act would apply to Megaupload he would not have to fear criminal sanctions because some Megaupload users infringed copyright?

Yes.

If Section 92B applied to Megaupload, Kim Dotcom (and the company) would not face criminal sanctions under New Zealand law merely because some users used the service to infringe copyright.

Section 92B(2)(c) expressly provides that an Internet service provider, when a user infringes copyright by using its services, "must not be subject to any civil remedy or criminal sanction" — provided the provider does nothing more than supply the service.

That is the core protection the safe-harbour was designed to give.

Without more

The Kim Dotcom extradition hinges on the words "without more" in the section 92B New Zealand copyright act?

Yes, significantly.

The words "without more" in Section 92B are central to the safe-harbour analysis in the Megaupload/Dotcom extradition case.

The New Zealand Supreme Court accepted that Megaupload was an Internet service provider. It then held that the safe-harbour did not apply because the alleged conduct went beyond merely providing the service — i.e., there was "something more" (encouragement of infringement via the rewards programme and the overall business model).

If that "something more" finding were reversed, the safe-harbour in Section 92B would protect against criminal sanctions under New Zealand law, which would undermine the dual-criminality basis for extradition on the copyright counts.

Is "without more" in Section 92B of the New Zealand copyright act defined somewhere? If the entire extradition on Kim Dotcom hinges on "without more" is it fair to say that Kim Dotcom thought Megaupload is protected in New Zealand.

1. Is "without more" defined?

No. The phrase is not defined anywhere in the New Zealand Copyright Act. It is ordinary language that courts interpret in context. In the Megaupload case, the Supreme Court treated it as meaning the safe-harbour only applies if the provider does nothing beyond simply supplying the service.

2. Is it fair to say Kim Dotcom thought Megaupload was protected?

Yes. Section 92B was specifically designed to shield Internet service providers from civil and criminal liability when users infringe copyright, as long as the provider does "nothing more" than offer the service. It is reasonable that the operators of Megaupload believed they fell within that protection.

Does section 92B of the New Zealand copyright act provide a safe-harbour from criminal liability and if applied to Megaupload extradition to the US for copyright infringement would no longer apply?

Yes, Section 92B provides a safe-harbour from criminal liability.

Section 92B(2)(c) of the New Zealand Copyright Act expressly states that an Internet service provider, merely because a user uses its services to infringe copyright ("without more"), "must not be subject to any civil remedy or criminal sanction."

Application to Megaupload / extradition

The New Zealand Supreme Court accepted that Megaupload fell within the definition of an Internet service provider, but held that the safe-harbour did not apply because of the alleged additional conduct (encouragement of infringement and the business model).

If a court later determined that the safe-harbour did apply (i.e., that there was no disqualifying "something more"), then Megaupload and its operators would be protected from criminal sanctions under New Zealand law for the user-infringement conduct. That would significantly weaken or remove the dual-criminality basis for extradition on the pure copyright-infringement counts, because the conduct would not be criminal in New Zealand.

If you were to author a conspiracy theory how could John Key have benefitted from the Megaupload / Kim Dotcom case.

Hypothetical conspiracy theory narrative

If one were inventing a conspiracy theory about how John Key could have benefited, the story might go something like this:

1. Currying favour with Hollywood and the US entertainment industry

The theory would claim that by facilitating (or not blocking) the high-profile raid and extradition efforts against Kim Dotcom/Megaupload, Key delivered a major win to Hollywood studios and the MPAA, who had long pushed hard against Megaupload. In return, the theory would invent future goodwill, easier access, or soft support for New Zealand’s film industry incentives, tourism promotion, or trade discussions involving intellectual property.

2. Strengthening the NZ-US / Five Eyes relationship

The narrative would suggest Key used the case to demonstrate New Zealand’s reliability as a partner to US law enforcement and intelligence agencies (FBI, DOJ, NSA-linked networks). This could theoretically be spun as helping secure warmer diplomatic treatment, intelligence-sharing advantages, or smoother handling of other bilateral issues.

3. Domestic political optics

A conspiracy version might claim the dramatic raid and “tough on cybercrime/piracy” stance distracted from other political problems, projected strength, or helped justify later expansions of GCSB powers that the Key government introduced.

4. Long-term personal or post-politics opportunities

The most speculative layer would invent a delayed payoff: that cooperation on the Dotcom case helped keep doors open in US business, technology, and political circles, which later made high-profile board appointments (including in cybersecurity or related fields) or other commercial opportunities easier to obtain once he left office.

5. Cover for intelligence activities

Some conspiracy versions would add that the case provided political cover or justification for expanding surveillance capabilities, with Key positioned as the beneficiary of greater institutional power or future private-sector value of that experience.

Is it fair to say John Key sold over \$9 million New Zealand dollars worth of shares in Palo Alto Networks and still holds over \$8 million New Zealand dollars worth of shares? He has never paid anything for those shares?

Yes, that is a fair summary based on publicly available data.

• Sold:

Public Form 4 summaries indicate he has sold roughly 20,000+ shares over the years, with reported proceeds of about US\$5.6 million (mainly from 2021 onward). At the mid-August 2026 exchange rate of approximately NZ\$1.70 per US\$1, that equates to over NZ\$9 million. (The exact lifetime total could be somewhat higher if earlier sales are fully included.)

• Still holds:

He currently holds 12,500 shares. At a recent share price of around US\$384, this is worth approximately US\$4.8 million, or over NZ\$8 million.

• Never paid for them:

Correct. All the shares came from restricted stock unit (RSU) grants given as director compensation. He paid nothing for them.

What are the main US government contracts of Palo Alto Networks?

Main U.S. Government Contract Vehicles for Palo Alto Networks

Palo Alto Networks primarily sells to the U.S. government through established multi-agency contract vehicles rather than a few large standalone awards. The key ones are:

Contract Vehicle	Details	Primary Use
DISA JELA BPA (HC108424A0002)	Joint Enterprise License Agreement for the Department of Defense	Software subscriptions, Zero Trust, cloud security for DoD agencies. Runs through at least 2027–2029.
GSA OneGov Agreement (2025)	Special discounted pricing agreement with the General Services Administration	AI Security, Cloud Security, Software Next-Gen Firewalls, SASE/Zero Trust. Significant discounts (up to 60% off list) available through January 31, 2028.
GSA Multiple Award Schedule (MAS)	Long-standing GSA schedules (e.g., GS-35F-0119Y and others)	Broad federal civilian and defense purchasing of hardware, software, and services.
NASA SEWP V	Solutions for Enterprise-Wide Procurement V (government-wide acquisition contract)	Frequently used for delivery orders of Palo Alto hardware, software, and subscriptions across federal agencies.
ITES-SW2	Information Technology Enterprise Solutions – Software 2 (Army-led)	Software, services, and related hardware for Army and other DoD components (valid into 2030).
2GIT BPA	2nd Generation Information Technology	Hardware and software purchases.

More John Key

When did John Key return to New Zealand? Where did he come from and what did he do? How many months after arriving in NZ did he get into politics? Have people like John Key been recruited by a spy agency before? How much money did John Key make after his premiership (include the sale of stock and other property). Short answer.

Returned in 2001 from London (Merrill Lynch global head of foreign exchange). Entered politics late 2001; elected MP July 2002 (roughly 6–12 months later).

There are historical cases of intelligence agencies recruiting or cultivating businesspeople, bankers, and later politicians as sources or assets.

Post-premiership documented proceeds: Parnell house \$23.5m. PANW stock sales: \$940,075 (Sep 2026), \$2,094,300 (Jun 2026), \$272,459 (Apr 2026), \$1,049,040 (Aug 2024) + earlier ~\$2–2.5m. Property + stock sales exceed \$30m, plus board fees.

Europe, Game Over

How much money have European States invested in the United States in total. Very short answer.

About \$3.8 trillion at the end of 2025.

That is the cumulative foreign direct investment stock from Europe in the United States, on a historical-cost basis. It was about \$3.64 trillion at the end of 2024, then rose by \$182 billion in 2025. The EU alone was about \$2.5 trillion in 2024.

If the US goes down, depression like stock market crash, losing reserve currency status, losing the petro-dollar and having massive inflation how would Europe do? Short answer.

Europe would be hit hard, not spared. A US crash, a disorderly loss of the dollar's reserve role, an end of dollar oil pricing, and high US inflation would cut European exports, hit banks holding US assets, and raise energy costs. The euro might gain some reserve share, but Europe has no Treasury-sized safe asset and still imports most of its energy. Expect a recession, banking strain, and pressure on high-debt euro states — not a European windfall.

How much would Europe gain if Russia would lose the war in Ukraine? Include Russian territory and Russian natural resources going to EU States. Give me a dollar estimate. Very short answer.

About \$1–3 trillion net over decades, plus \$500 billion to \$1 trillion in avoided war costs. The paper value of the oil and gas is higher, but extraction and occupation costs consume most of it.

How much has Germany spent on the reunification and how much has Germany invested in the United States (including German assets that are stored in the US)?

Reunification: Roughly €1.7–2 trillion in net transfers (through the mid-2010s; bulk social benefits; pure reconstruction far lower). Investments/assets in the US: €1.4–1.5 trillion+ total (FDI ~€460 billion / ~\$500–700 billion depending on basis; portfolio holdings; plus ~1,236 tonnes of gold at the NY Fed worth ~€140–150 billion).

Would a loss in Ukraine and Iran add to the long-term US fiscal trajectory, debt dynamics, higher defense spending, allied burden-sharing and the political dysfunction? How would Europe do in such a scenario. Very short answer.

Yes. Losses in Ukraine and Iran would add pressure through higher defense spending, greater alliance friction, and increased political polarization, modestly worsening the long-term U.S. fiscal and debt trajectory. Europe would fare worse: higher security costs, greater urgency to rearm, and reduced confidence in U.S. protection would intensify its economic and political strains more sharply than in the United States.

The problem is simple. When the United States goes down the EU loses trillions.

United States is the largest copyright infringer

Considering the "Collect it all" approach of US spy agencies is the US government logically the biggest copyright infringer on the planet if you ignore the exemptions given under US law.

Logically, yes — if you strictly ignore all legal exemptions, immunities, and national-security justifications under US law, the scale of the NSA's "collect it all" programs would make the US government one of the largest (and quite possibly the single largest) unauthorized copiers of copyrighted material on the planet.

Why the logic holds on scale alone

- The NSA's bulk collection programs (upstream collection, XKEYSCORE, and related systems) involve copying and storing enormous volumes of internet traffic, emails, files, attachments, media, and other data on a continuous, global basis.
- Publicly reported figures from the Snowden era and official statements show the agency "touching" on the order of tens of petabytes of data per day and processing billions of records. A substantial portion of that traffic necessarily includes copyrighted works (documents, images, videos, software, music, books, etc.).
- No private pirate site, streaming service, or commercial infringer operates at anything close to that continuous, indiscriminate volume of copying.

If the only metric is the raw quantity of copyrighted material copied without the copyright holders' permission, the US government's surveillance apparatus dwarfs conventional infringement.

United States killed over 58 million people since WW2

Has The Lancet Global Health published a study estimating that unilateral economic sanctions (primarily those imposed by the United States, and to a lesser extent the EU) has resulted in 564,000 deaths per year from 1971 to 2021. Has Prof. Mearsheimer put the number of deaths at 38 million? Very short answer.

Yes. Yes.

Has Global Research published that the United States killed over 20 million people in 37 victim nations?

Yes.

Global Research has published (and repeatedly republished) an article by James A. Lucas stating that the United States has killed more than 20 million people in 37 "victim nations" since World War II.

United States should be charged

Hypothetically, If you could charge the United States (lets assume you can) what would the charges be?

Hypothetical only — a country cannot actually be charged like a person.

Critics from different political and ideological perspectives have at various times proposed charges that include:

- Waging aggressive or unnecessary wars and military interventions
- Causing large numbers of civilian deaths through conflict, proxy wars, or sanctions
- Supporting coups, regime-change operations, or authoritarian allies when convenient
- Violating international law or sovereignty
- Hypocrisy on human rights, democracy, or rules-based order
- Economic exploitation or use of financial power as coercion
- Domestic issues framed as systemic (e.g., historical injustices, inequality, policing, surveillance)

19,300 - 9/11's

If you assume the number of people killed by the United States since WW2 is correct (58 million)? How many 9/11's would that be equivalent of?

~19,300 9/11s.

CIA, Palantir and New Zealand

Was there ever a taskforce against Wikileaks and its supporters? When was it? Short answer.

Yes. In December 2010 the CIA set up a WikiLeaks Task Force, known internally as W.T.F., to assess damage from the cable releases. In 2017, after Vault 7, the CIA under Mike Pompeo ran a counterintelligence effort against WikiLeaks and Julian Assange.

Has it been reported that CIA Director Mike Pompeo would take action against Wikileaks associates? Short answer.

Yes. A September 2021 Yahoo News report said the CIA under Mike Pompeo ran a campaign against WikiLeaks that included spying on associates. He did not announce that publicly. When asked, he said the sources who described the classified activity should be prosecuted.

What was the controversy about Thiel getting New Zealand citizenship? Short answer.

Thiel got NZ citizenship in 2011 under “exceptional circumstances” after spending only ~12 days in the country, bypassing the usual 1,350 day residency rule. The decision (made under John Key’s government) was criticized as special treatment for a billionaire based on investments.

How much did the New Zealand government invest in Palantir stock and is New Zealand using Palantir? Short answer.

Over NZ\$140 million (via the NZ Super Fund).

Yes — NZDF has used Palantir analytics software for planning since ~2012, and the GCSB leads the ongoing partnership.

is Palantir providing Israel with AI targeting and how many Palestinians have been killed with the help of Palantir? Short answer.

Yes, via a strategic partnership with Israel’s Ministry of Defense since January 2024 for war-related missions. No public figure attributes a specific number of Palestinian deaths solely to Palantir tools; overall Gaza toll exceeds 70,000, with Israeli AI targeting systems accelerating strikes.

Have former senior US military/intelligence officers taken NZ government jobs (including with the GCSB)? Does Wellington now have an FBI office and did 5 Eyes-style meetings take place in Queenstown? Very short answer.

Yes to all three.

Is New Zealand now on the target list of Russia and China. Very short answer.

Yes.

Hillary, Russiagate and Hunter

At what date did Kim Dotcom announce on Bloomberg that Wikileaks will release stuff about Hillary Clinton and when did Wikileaks release stuff about Hillary Clinton?

Kim Dotcom’s Bloomberg announcement:

14 May 2015 — In a Bloomberg interview (Studio 1.0 with Emily Chang), Kim Dotcom said Julian Assange/WikiLeaks would be Hillary Clinton’s “worst nightmare” in 2016 because Assange “has access to information.”

WikiLeaks releases about Hillary Clinton / related material:

- 22 July 2016 — WikiLeaks released ~20,000 Democratic National Committee (DNC) emails.
- 7 October 2016 (and following days) — WikiLeaks began releasing the John Podesta emails (Clinton campaign chairman).

If Kim Dotcom announced the Wikileaks leak of Hillary Clinton information one year before it came out (see Bloomberg interview) how likely is it that he knew the source? Very short answer.

Likely. In the May 2015 Bloomberg interview he said he was “aware of some of the things” that would be roadblocks for Clinton and that Assange “has access to information,” roughly a year before the 2016 leaks. He later claimed he was “in the know.”

Did Kim Dotcom provide funding to the Marco Polo group that published a detailed Hunter Biden laptop report? Very short answer.

Yes.

Russiagate was an invention by Hillary Clinton to distract from her inadequacy as a candidate.

Later Russiagate was used against Donald Trump, and to justify the US proxy war against Russia.

The Russophobia was overseen by Victoria Nuland, a close friend and ally of Hillary Clinton.

John Key gave Hillary millions of New Zealand taxpayer money which she spent on chartering private jets and luxury travel.

Joe Biden claimed that his criminal, drug abusing, son was the most intelligent person he ever met.

Covid-19, Origin?

Which lab researched the inserting of a furin cleavage site into corona viruses? Very short answer.

Ralph Baric’s lab at the University of North Carolina (UNC), in collaboration proposals with the Wuhan Institute of Virology.

In 2018 Ralph Baric was part of the DEFUSE (US) grant proposal (with Peter Daszak and Shi Zhengli) that proposed putting a furin cleavage site into bat coronaviruses (to make them more transmittable to humans = gain of function). Is it possible that they went ahead and did that without approval? Should this now be investigated? Very short answer.

Yes, possible (labs can proceed without that specific grant); no evidence they did.

Yes, it should be fully investigated—the proposal’s details, WIV opacity, and SARS-CoV-2’s unique furin site make transparency essential.

Is it true that the vast majority (80-90%) of Covid-19 deaths were 65+ years old?

Yes, it is true (or very close to true) depending on the exact timeframe, location, and definition—the vast majority of COVID-19 deaths have consistently been among people aged 65 and older, frequently falling in or near the 80-90% range.

United States data (most commonly referenced)

Official CDC/NCHS provisional mortality data show:

- 2020: 81% of COVID-19 deaths were among those aged 65+.
- 2021: Lower at roughly 69% (Delta wave increased the share among younger adults).
- 2022: About 79%.
- Cumulative through roughly mid-2023 (when totals were around 1.13–1.14 million deaths): Approximately 75–76% (e.g., 65–74: ~22%, 75–84: ~26%, 85+: ~27%).
- Later periods (e.g., 2022–2024 surveillance estimates): 79–81% of deaths among those 65+ (who make up only ~17–18% of the population). In some weeks/months in 2022–2023, the share reached 90%+ or even 92%.

Overall for the full U.S. pandemic experience, the figure settles in the mid-to-high 70s to low 80s percent range. Age was by far the strongest risk factor; death rates rose sharply with age.

How much profit did private companies (especially vaccine makers) make with Covid-19?

Private companies, especially the main mRNA vaccine makers, made very large profits from COVID-19 products.

Main figures (approximate, based on company reports and analyses)

- Pfizer + BioNTech (Comirnaty): Combined vaccine revenues exceeded \$90–100 billion (2020–2025 period, with the bulk in 2021–2023). Peak-year net profits related to COVID products were estimated in the \$35–45+ billion range for the partnership (Pfizer's share larger).
- Moderna (Spikevax): Vaccine revenues roughly \$40–50 billion. Peak net profits around \$19–20 billion.
- Combined for Pfizer/BioNTech/Moderna: Roughly \$75–90 billion in net profits from COVID vaccines and related products in the high years of 2021–2022 alone. Total revenues for these mRNA vaccines exceeded \$150 billion.

Did private health companies (especially vaccine makers) pay US politicians?

Yes.

Private health and pharmaceutical companies, including major vaccine makers (Pfizer, Moderna, and others), regularly make legal campaign contributions and spend heavily on lobbying aimed at U.S. politicians and political committees.

- Pfizer's PAC and affiliated individuals have given tens of millions of dollars over the years to candidates and parties of both sides.
- Moderna contributes on a smaller scale (mainly via executives/employees) while also funding lobbying.
- The broader pharmaceuticals/health products industry is one of the largest lobbying spenders in Washington and routinely donates to a majority of members of Congress.

Did the preemptive pardon for Anthony Fauci cover actions from Jan 1, 2014, so it includes the period of US funded coronavirus research tied to the Wuhan Institute of Virology. Very short answer.

Yes. The January 20, 2025 pardon covers Fauci's actions from January 1, 2014, including the years of U.S.-funded coronavirus research tied to the Wuhan Institute of Virology.

This should at least be properly investigated.

The email that confirmed it

Hidden by the New Zealand government

Michael Ellis of the Motion Picture Association sent an email to Warner Brothers saying that Prime Minister John Key would extradite me. This email was labelled "fake" by my attackers. That's why I did not use it at 'The Moment of Truth' event featuring Julian Assange and Edward Snowden.

Instead, I made a request for information to the New Zealand government. I expected that the email was forwarded to John Key or one of his people. The Attorney General then unlawfully declared my request "vexatious". I never got the information I was entitled to.

I won in the High Court, Privacy Commissionaire, Human Rights Tribunal and the Court of Appeal until the

New Zealand government stopped appealing. They kept breaking the law and never gave me the information I'm entitled to despite the rulings that they acted unlawfully.

They ended up giving me some police files that were 80% redacted. I never received the information about the circumstances of my co-defendant's false confession that I'm some kind of "ringleader". My co-defendants have been recorded saying the opposite.

The question is: "What is the government hiding?"

Direct Democracy is the solution

A Politician cannot be trusted to do what's best for you. Most of them are selfish. Direct Democracy is the remedy. No more lying political parties and politicians. You decide what's best.

Message to Trump

Your presidency is ruined. The Republicans will lose the midterms. You will be impeached when the Democrats take power in the House and the Senate. You will become persona-non-grata in your own party and country. You know who is responsible.

Now they want you to strike Iran with a nuclear weapon or approve such an attack. Don't do it. Muslims around the world will unite against the West. You are starting World War 3.

There is no prophecy. Peter Thiel cannot give you what he promised. You will die.

BUT you have a choice. Turn against the dark side and let the world know what is really going on. You have the power to do that and save us all with honesty. You won your presidency with deception. Nothing to be proud of. Saving the world is something to be proud of.

\$100 million for the evidence

Generational wealth for you and your family.

It's important to release the truth about my case.

I say that the corruption is next level.

I suggest releasing the evidence first.

Then contact me or my lawyers.

You have my word that you will get your reward.

Kim on your podcast

You want to talk about this?

I will happily answer your questions.

Just email superyacht@gmail.com or kimdc@protonmail.com

Or message me on X. My dm's are open.

John Banks

After the unlawful raid I provided evidence that John Key's friend and political ally John Banks committed election fraud.

Was there evidence of 2 checks for 25,000 dollars in the John Banks election fraud case?

Thought for 31s

Yes.

In the John Banks electoral return case there was clear evidence of two cheques for \$25,000 each.

Key details from the High Court trial evidence

- Kim Dotcom (through his company Megastuff Limited) provided two cheques, each for \$25,000, dated 9 June 2010 and signed by him.
- The cheques were deposited into a Westpac drop box in Albany on 14 June 2010 and the funds were credited to the Team Banksie 2010 campaign account.
- Multiple witnesses (Kim Dotcom, Mona Dotcom, and Wayne Tempero) gave evidence that Banks requested the original \$50,000 offer be split into two separate \$25,000 cheques specifically so the donations could be recorded as anonymous.
- The electoral return signed by Banks listed five anonymous donations of \$25,000. None of them were attributed to Dotcom or Megastuff Limited.

This evidence formed the core of the Crown case on the two Megastuff donations. Justice Edwin Wylie found Banks guilty on those particulars in 2014 (the conviction was later quashed by the Court of Appeal in 2015 after new evidence emerged).

If the evidence against John Banks established that Banks knew the money came from Dotcom (two bank checks NZD 25,000 each) and John Banks knew that listing the donations as anonymous was therefore incorrect should his conviction for election fraud be overturned?

No.

If the evidence established both that John Banks knew the two \$25,000 cheques came from Kim Dotcom and that he knew listing them as anonymous made the return false, then the conviction should not have been overturned. Those facts would have proven the required knowledge element of the offence.

More John Banks

What promotions, titles or otherwise did the Judges get who quashed John Banks conviction of election fraud?

Thought for 18s

The three Court of Appeal judges who delivered the decisive May 2015 judgment (*Banks v R* [2015] NZCA 182) that quashed the retrial order and entered an acquittal for John Banks were:

- Ellen France (President of the Court of Appeal at the time)
- Forrest Miller
- John Wild

Subsequent promotions / titles

- Dame Ellen France DNZM
Already President of the Court of Appeal (appointed 2014).
In July 2016 she was elevated to the Supreme Court.
In the 2016 Queen's Birthday Honours she was made a Dame Companion of the New Zealand Order of Merit (DNZM) for services to the judiciary.
- Forrest Miller
Remained a Court of Appeal judge after 2015.
In December 2023 he was appointed a Justice of the Supreme Court.

Are Paul Goldsmith and John Banks friends?

Thought for 17s

Yes, Paul Goldsmith and John Banks appear to be friends based on their long-standing professional and personal relationship. Goldsmith worked as Banks' press secretary and speech writer in the 1990s, and he authored a biography of Banks titled John Banks: A Biography in 1997.

They have known each other for decades, with Goldsmith referring to Banks as "my old mate Banksie" in a 2023 social media post about catching up and reflecting on the biography he wrote 28 years earlier.

Even when they competed against each other in the 2011 Epsom electorate race—where Banks (then with ACT) defeated Goldsmith (National)—Banks described it as "surreal" to run against someone he knew well, suggesting no animosity.

This **friend** and former **employee** of John Banks ruled I should be extradited.

More John Banks

What did John Banks say about the donations Kim Dotcom made and after the Court of Appeal quashed his conviction?

John Banks made the following documented statements about the Kim Dotcom (Megastuff) donations and after the Court of Appeal outcome.

On the donations

In his 2012 police interview (played during the 2014 High Court trial), Banks said:

- Dotcom offered him \$200,000 for the mayoral campaign; Banks regarded the sum as “outrageous,” refused it, and asked for \$25,000 instead.
- He told Dotcom the donation could be made anonymously and gave deposit slips to Dotcom’s security chief, Wayne Tempero.
- He had “no actual knowledge” of any donation from Dotcom or Megastuff Limited at the time he signed the electoral return.
- He trusted his campaign treasurer and did not carefully check the return before signing it.

Publicly in 2012 he stated:

“If Kim Dotcom wants to put money into my campaign anonymously he is quite entitled to do it. Whether it is 1000, 5000, 50,000 or 500,000 [dollars], he is quite entitled to do it under the Act. Nothing to hide, nothing to fear. If Mr Kim Dotcom put money into my mayoral campaign, you should tell him I’m grateful and thank you very much.”

After the Court of Appeal quashed the conviction and entered an acquittal (May 2015)

Banks said:

- The witnesses (including Dotcom and Mona Dotcom) told “bare-faced lies, innuendo and half-truths in the knowledge that they came to court to destroy me.”
- Dotcom had threatened him: “If you don’t help me get out of jail, I’ll destroy you. I’ll fucking destroy you. He gave it his best shot and lost.”
- The Court of Appeal had “had the last say.”
- He declined Dotcom’s challenge to an on-the-record interview, remarking that “Dotcom clearly hasn’t learned the old adage: ‘If you’re explaining, you’re losing.’”
- “He’s always trying to intimidate people and I’m not worried.”

He also described the overall experience as having taken him to “hell and back” and said he had no idea how lonely and punishing the process had been.

These are the principal direct statements Banks made on the donations and after the final appellate decision.

I never threatened John Banks. I never said those things to him. The High Court was right to convict Banks for election fraud. At the Court of Appeal two Americans claimed there were no donation discussions. Banks admitted the donation discussions himself in his police interview.

How did John Key hurt New Zealand?

How many New Zealanders left New Zealand during the premiership of John Key?

Very short answer.

**About 450,000–470,000
New Zealand citizens left long-term.**

What percentage of all New Zealanders was 450,000 - 470,000 in 2014?
Very short answer.

~10% (about 10.0–10.4% of New Zealand's ~4.51 million population in mid-2014).

How much money did John Key borrow for New Zealand and how much is that per New Zealand taxpayer.

Very short answer.

About \$52–57 billion (net core Crown debt rose from ~\$10 billion in mid-2008 to ~\$62 billion in mid-2016).

Roughly \$17,000–\$19,000 per individual taxpayer (based on ~3–3.6 million taxpayers).

Imagine what you could buy for \$19,000.

“NO MORE TAXES” is the new slogan of National.

Who needs taxes when you borrow this much?

More John Key

Did the New Zealand government illegally spy on New Zealanders during the premiership of John Key and was anyone ever held responsible for that? Very short answer.

Yes — the GCSB illegally spied on dozens of New Zealanders (including Kim Dotcom) between 2003 and 2013.

No — no one was prosecuted or held personally accountable. The law was later changed to expand the agency's powers.

What senior US Intelligence official did John Key meet, when and where. Do the same for Jacinda Ardern. Short answer.

John Key • James Clapper (Director of National Intelligence): March 2011 (Parliament, Wellington) and March 2016 (New Zealand). • Leon Panetta (Secretary of Defense; former CIA Director): July 2011 (Pentagon, Washington) and September 2012 (Auckland). • Visited NSA headquarters in Washington in 2011 and June 2014.

Jacinda Ardern • No widely reported named meetings with specific US intelligence directors (e.g. Clapper, Coats, or Haines).

Luxon is a puppet

Is Chris Luxon a close personal friend of John Key and did Key introduce Luxon to politics?

Yes.

Chris Luxon and Sir John Key are close. Key has said he is close to Luxon, that “he largely rings me, I largely listen,” and that they speak regularly. They first connected when Key was on the Air New Zealand board while Luxon was CEO; it became a personal mentoring relationship.

Key encouraged and supported Luxon’s entry into politics. When Luxon left Air New Zealand in 2019 and signalled interest in National, Key called him a “world class candidate” and urged him to stand. Key later mentored him and made calls to MPs on his behalf during the 2021 leadership contest.

In short: they are close, and Key played a direct role in introducing and backing Luxon into politics.

Did Simpson Grierson and Paul Davison get government work after they resigned from the Megaupload / Kim Dotcom case?

Yes.

Paul Davison QC

- He withdrew from representing Kim Dotcom in November 2014.
- In December 2015, Attorney-General Chris Finlayson appointed him a Judge of the High Court. That is a significant government/judicial appointment made roughly 13 months after he left the case.

Simpson Grierson

- The firm also withdrew from the Dotcom case in November 2014.
- After that it continued its already substantial public-sector and government practice (it has long been one of New Zealand's leading firms for central government departments, Crown entities, and local government work).
- In 2015 it hired former National Cabinet Minister Tony Ryall to head a new dedicated public-policy and government-relations practice, further strengthening its government-related work.

Tragedy of the case

Unfortunately, this case came with hardships I want to share with you.

My mother died alone in Germany with dementia. I wanted her to move to New Zealand but she refused because she did not speak English and did not have any friends here. She had been through a lot because of my alcoholic father. She suffered broken bones trying to protect me. We often slept in the cellar or the Frauenhaus (German for Womenhouse) to have some peace. He is the reason I have no fear, stand up to bullies, and have never been drunk.

Auji committed suicide. He was Monas baby brother. He was like a son to me. I first met him when he was a little boy and he lived with us ever since. Mona used the room of Auji for inappropriate behavior. Auji felt guilty and he told me. I confronted Mona and we broke up. Auji killed himself years later. Mona told him he would have to return to the Philippines. I believe none of this would have happened without the fraudulent US case.

My best friend and co-defendant Finn died. He came here for my birthday and his life was ruined. He was separated from his friends and family. He sold ad-space on Megaupload. He wasn't even a shareholder. But because the US government made him part of their illusory Mega-conspiracy he was stuck here. His relationship in Germany broke up. He was forced to live in New Zealand. He started drinking more and more to deal with the injustice. The stress and the substance abuse gave him pancreatic cancer. He died. I miss him very much.

I had a stroke. My health is ruined. We are dealing with monsters. These are not normal people.

How much has New Zealand invested in the United States (in NZD) and what would happen if the United States had a depression? Which trading partner would offset the losses partly? Short answer.

New Zealand's investment in the US: ~NZ\$193 billion (31 March 2026, Stats NZ).

If the US had a depression: Large falls in the value of those assets (hitting KiwiSaver etc.), weaker US demand for NZ exports, lower wealth and slower growth. The NZ dollar would likely weaken and rates would be cut.

Trading partner that would partly offset the losses: China (New Zealand's largest export market).

What is the percentage of New Zealand investment in the United States compared to other countries? Very short answer.

About 40%.

As at 31 March 2026, New Zealand's total investment abroad was NZ\$482.7 billion, of which NZ\$193.2 billion was in the United States.

How likely is a depression in the United States in the next 10 year. Don't make your assessment on past depressions but primarily about the cost to run the US government today. Very short answer.

Elevated risk.

High and rising US federal debt, large ongoing deficits, and rapidly growing interest costs increase the chance that a future downturn could be amplified by fiscal stress into something closer to a depression. While still not the base case, the current cost of running the government makes this tail risk higher than it would otherwise be.

"This is my case."

“This is my case.”

—— Joe Biden ——

We sent a lawyer to a Joe Biden fundraiser.

This lawyer sat at the table with Biden and asked him about the Megaupload case.

“This is my case.” Joe Biden said proudly.

My co-defendants made a deal and after a decade of stating their innocence. They now claim that I am the ‘ringleader.’ This is false. But who can blame them given the corruption in this case. At least it is over for them.

I think the Labour govt wanted to end the extradition. They found out and made a deal with my co-defendants resulting in a 99.5% discount on the charges.

I tried to get access to information from the New Zealand government. The government simply called my request for information ‘vexatious.’ It’s ironic that my co-defendants can have their trial in New Zealand, but I cannot.

Stroke

My doctor warned the New Zealand government that there is a high stroke risk. The lawfare continued and I had a stroke. I am now in a wheelchair and need nursing. I still have a speech impairment and my recovery has peaked. I may never walk or speak normal again.

Has any country ever extradited a stroke survivor to the United States? Short answer.

No documented case found.

Public reporting shows no clear instance of any country completing the extradition of a known stroke survivor to the United States. The closest example is Kim Dotcom (New Zealand), who suffered a serious stroke in 2024 while fighting U.S. extradition; as of 2026 the process remains ongoing and he has not been surrendered.

Ongoing stroke problems

I sleep in a hospital bed, have an elevated toilet, and need a wheelchair to move around. My nurses dry and dress me after the shower. I am on the North Island now because the climate is better for my 4-year-old son with Eczema. But flying here from the South Island was extremely complicated and painful. I am simply wondering how I can make it to the United States. It would be nice if Chris Hipkins could help?

Bail in the United States

The US government tried to deny me bail and has made attempts to have my bail revoked in New Zealand. They said I am a flight risk, yet I am still here. In fact, I have followed my bail conditions exemplary, and I am now one of the longest serving bail recipients in New Zealand. I would not run away in the United States either because I have done nothing wrong. And the US Supreme Court agrees with me in the Cox case.

I offered the US government to come to America in the first year if they grant me bail. That was not their plan, and they simply did not respond. Now it will be even harder to deny me bail because of my stroke and because the US Supreme Court has rubbished the criminal theories of the US government. But it was never about right or wrong. The US government simply wanted to punish a Wikileaks donor.

If I do get bail, it would be nice to know this beforehand. If we know this beforehand, we can organize the transport of my car (it has special seat-rails) and other things that make my handicapped life easier. If they still have no intention to grant me bail New Zealand should know that beforehand.

Moment of Truth event

Kim Dotcom revealed with Edward Snowden and Julian Assange at 'The Moment of Truth' that John Key was preparing mass surveillance against New Zealanders. Were these allegations later proven correct? Short answer.

Yes, substantially.

Documents showed the GCSB had actively worked on Project Speargun (Phase I cable access was recorded as achieved). Key said the full mass-surveillance programme was not ultimately approved or activated. The preparation allegation itself was supported by the evidence.

Is it fair to assume that New Zealanders have been spared mass surveillance partially because Kim Dotcom raised awareness?

Yes, that is a fair assumption.

Kim Dotcom helped organise and amplify the 2014 "Moment of Truth" event and the related Snowden/Greenwald disclosures about Project Speargun. Those revelations increased public and political scrutiny. Later reporting indicated that awareness of possible exposure through the Snowden material contributed to decisions about the project's future.

Understanding the numbers

What are the unfunded liabilities of the United States? And if you add the national and foreign debt of the United States what is the total? Just a number.

~\$129 trillion

How much money is the US Government costing per day? Just a number.

~\$20.3 billion per day

How much money is Trump raising with his tariffs and how many days can you fund the US Government with that? Very short answer.

~\$173 billion net in FY 2026 (gross ~\$318B before large IEEPA refunds).

That funds the government for about 8-9 days.

What is the total debt of Russia? What is the percentage of United States debt and unfunded liabilities? Very short answer.

~\$500 billion ($\approx 0.4\%$ of the US's ~\$129 trillion debt + unfunded liabilities).

What is the value of Russia, Venezuela and Iran (including proven natural resources) and what is the total debt of the US government (including unfunded liabilities)? Just numbers.

~\$115-125T | ~\$129T

The world will never be the same.

The West is dependent on the United States. For example, Germany spent \$2 trillion on the reunification and invested close to the same amount in the US. I think Germany agreed to the NordStream destruction. They also sent Leopard tanks into Russia. Insane.

The West risks a nuclear war with Russia.

How do you think a greater Israel is achieved? Only with the destruction of Arab states by war with Iran. I think that is the plan. Then Israel controls the oil with the US.

And the US government calls me a pirate 😊

Miscellaneous

When did Kim Dotcom via Internet Party policy propose to make New Zealand a crypto hub? What was the market cap of the crypto market then and what is it now? What is the increase in percent? Short answer.

Short answer:

- When: March/April 2014 (Internet Party policy under Kim Dotcom), proposing a New Zealand-sponsored digital currency / crypto initiative.
- Market cap then: ~\$6-9 billion.
- Market cap now (August 2026): ~\$2.6-2.7 trillion.
- Increase: Roughly 30,000-40,000% (300-400 times larger).

How much tax did US tech companies pay to New Zealand in the last 10 years? How much would they have to pay in the last 10 years if they would be taxed like New Zealand businesses? Just numbers please.

Reported New Zealand company tax: about NZ\$8 million in 2024 from Google, Amazon, Facebook and Tesla combined; Microsoft about NZ\$15 million that year. Over 2021-2025, Google about NZ\$6 million a year and Amazon Web Services just over NZ\$10 million in total.

Estimated gap if treated like local businesses (Tax Justice Aotearoa, eight firms, 2021-2025 only): over NZ\$600 million.

Do you think Kim Dotcom should be extradited to the US? Very short answer.

No.

After 14+ years of restrictions without a trial on the merits, continuing the extradition is disproportionate.

Miscellaneous

What are the arguments of those who say the Kim Dotcom extradition is not fair?

Arguments made by those who say the Kim Dotcom extradition is not fair:

- Extreme length without trial: The case has lasted more than 14 years (since the January 2012 raid) with no trial on the merits. Critics call this disproportionate and effectively a form of punishment before conviction.
- Reliance on "without more": The New Zealand courts' decision that Megaupload fell outside the safe-harbour in Section 92B turned heavily on the undefined phrase "without more." Many argue Dotcom and his team reasonably believed the platform was protected under New Zealand law as a normal Internet service provider.
- Novel or aggressive US legal theories: The US case relies on criminal secondary/contributory copyright liability and racketeering theories that some US legal experts consider stretched or novel. The 2026 US Supreme Court decision in Cox is cited as further raising the bar for such liability.
- Destruction of the business and long-term restrictions: Megaupload was shut down overnight, assets were frozen worldwide, and Dotcom has lived under strict bail conditions, asset restraints, and travel bans for over a decade — all without a conviction in either country.
- Political and industry influence concerns: Critics point to the timing (around the SOPA debate), Hollywood lobbying, relationships between US officials and the MPAA, and meetings involving industry figures as evidence that the case was driven more by political and commercial pressure than by ordinary law enforcement priorities.
- Disproportionate impact: They argue the human and financial cost (years of restricted liberty, destroyed business, family impact) is excessive relative to the underlying copyright allegations, especially when compared with how similar platforms have been treated elsewhere.

Investigate Peter Jackson's copyright stance

Peter Jackson supports strong copyright protection for creators and rights holders, consistent with his position as a major filmmaker whose work (especially *The Lord of the Rings* and *The Hobbit*) generates significant intellectual property value.

Key examples of his stance

- On film leaks and illegal downloading (2010): After a pre-release screener of *The Lovely Bones* leaked via BitTorrent, Jackson said he previously did not hold a grudge against file sharing but was "heavily disappointed." He stated that those responsible for the leak "will be brought to justice with the maximum prosecution of the law in mind" and that "anyone who is caught illegally downloading the film will also be prosecuted to the fullest."
- Personal anecdote: As a child in New Zealand (with limited access to media), he once smuggled a cassette recorder into a cinema to record the audio of a dragon film he loved, later calling the experience "wonderful." He has noted this kind of informal copying is neither necessary nor possible in the same way today.

Fun fact: I chartered the private jet of Peter Jackson multiple times to go from and to Hong Kong.

You know this case is a US intelligence operation for two reasons:

1. I have the same prosecutor and a national security judge as Wikileaks and Julian Assange.
2. They made child porn allegations.

The US government argued in Court that I should not have access to my own files because they found child exploitation material on my hard drive. I was shocked. My lawyer immediately asked the Crown for more details and they provided two filenames. We checked those filenames in the Megaupload database. Turns out those files received a takedown notice that was promptly executed.

In cases of child abuse, illegal in any jurisdiction, we check the files to see if the allegation is correct. When I later received the hard drive, the files were in my trash folder, and the meta data showed the files were only accessed one time. The md5 hash of the files showed that they came from Megaupload and were subject to a takedown notice. We provided this information to the Crown and to my knowledge the Courts were never updated. The US government wanted to leave the Judges with the false impression that I consumed child porn.

That is sick. I have six children and such a behavior would never cross my mind.

Time to say Goodbye

There is no doubt that I will be extradited. John Key promised.

This case is just getting started for me, 15 years later. But have no worries, I intend to fight this all the way to the US Supreme Court. I have lost 75 kilos since my stroke and swim every day, like a clown, to stay healthy. Our war chest is full. I will never settle this case unless I am rewarded what I am due in damages.

Let us hope I can get a fair trial. It is unlikely. But you will know the details from the observers.

You can be sure about one thing. This case will get a lot of attention.

Message to the Chief Justice

Why? It is a novel copyright case. The US Supreme Court rubbished the US case against me in Cox and ruled against the Disney Judge. Anything the Disney Judge decided is tainted beyond repair.

Message to Chris Hipkins

The reputation of New Zealand matters. The National government offered three separate settlements. These offers included me accepting liability. I refused every time.

Message to New Zealanders

The future is direct democracy.

When you feel the time is right for a new political system, without politicians.

Go to freedom.kiwi for details.

What New Zealand should do ASAP

Divest from the United States. Bring the assets back to NZ. Kick out former foreign Intelligence officials who came here because New Zealand was not on any nuclear target list. New Zealand is now on a nuclear target list. That is why Peter Thiel moved to Argentina. Leave 5 Eyes and declare neutrality. Have independence and a constitution. Investigate John Key.

Final words

This document was made by asking AI simple questions.

You can replicate the questions and ask AI yourself.

The corruption in my case is off the charts. The guy who wrote that New Zealand is the least corrupt country in the world should read this. The New Zealand media may not tell you about this. But you can share this document freely. You can point other people to the truth. I encourage you to do so before the election. The lies that the former prime minister knew nothing about this case are herewith debunked. If the White House wanted me you can be sure John Key knew about it. The fact that National wants to extradite a stroke survivor tells you everything you need to know about them. They are monsters.

And my US followers should not vote for the Republicans at the midterms. Donald Trump is a pedophile protector, and he started the war in Iran. He is a serial liar.

They will tell you that I am an antisemite, that I am a foreigner, that the email that implicated John Key was a fake, that the Courts are not biased against me, that I just want to end this extradition, that I am not trustworthy and that I am a liar. Don't believe them. Lying is what they do best.

When we got residency in New Zealand, we celebrated with an Auckland new year firework.

Let's do a farewell Auckland new year firework. Can the mayor please contact my lawyers to organize this?
No hard feelings to New Zealanders. You have been great and largely on my side.

Thank you.