

# Energy-sucking Radio Antennas,

## N. Tesla's Power Receiver

MORE: [Clearer diagrams, clear description](#)

MORE: [Further thoughts on this...](#)

MORE: [some email discussions](#)

Here's something that has always bugged me: light waves are about 5000 Angstroms in wavelength, while atoms are more like 1 Angstrom across. How can such tiny "antennas" absorb and emit such long waves? Usually it takes a half-wave antenna to do this.

Classical EM theory implies that atoms cannot emit or absorb light... yet atoms obviously interact strongly with light. How can they do this? Perhaps they employ Quantum Mechanics in order to get around this problem. After all, when a metal dipole antenna is only one foot across, it certainly cannot absorb very much 5000-foot radiation. Do atoms employ photon-impact rather than the physics of antennas?

- [1-dimensional model](#)
- [1-dimensional model w/resonance](#)
- [Some Implications](#)
- [An Update](#)
- [References](#)
- [comments from email](#)
- [Bill b article: Light without photons](#) (NEW 9/99)

I stumbled across the answer to my questions in a [paper](#) about VLF/ELF loop antennas. Apparently Quantum Mechanics *does not* supply the answer. Instead the question is resolved by a little-known section of classical electromagnetism. It involves resonance, but more importantly, it involves the magnetic and electric fields which surround any very small antennas.

A "electrically small" antenna is one where the EM wavelength is much smaller than the physical antenna size. To force a tiny antenna to transmit significant energy, we can simply give it a huge signal (a large voltage and/or current.) If the EM fields 1-wavelength away from the antenna are significant, then the EM radiation will be significant. It's as if the FIELDS are acting as the antenna. Weak fields act small, while intense fields behave as a large antenna. This explains how a tiny antenna can emit radiation. But what about reception?

It turns out that we can do something similar for "input" as opposed to "output." By manipulating the fields, we can force a small receiving antenna to behave as if it was very, *VERY* large. The secret is to intentionally impress an artificial AC field upon the receiving antenna. Transmit in order to receive, as it were. Rather than relying upon the antenna to generate fields because of current or the net-charge in the wires, use a power supply. Take a loop antenna as an example. If you want your little loop-antenna to receive far more radio energy, then put a big AC current through the antenna so that the phase of this current is locked in synch with the waves you wish to receive. The voltage across the antenna terminals stays about the same. However, since the current is much higher, the received power is much higher as well. Power equals volts times amps. To increase the power, change the amps intentionally.

This sounds really silly. How can we improve the reception of a small antenna by using it to *\*transmit\**? The secret involves near-field electromagnetism. The physics of the nearfield region of antennas is nonlinear. In the electromagnetic nearfield region, it is possible to change the "E" without changing the "M" (change the antenna's voltage without changing the current), and vice versa. Wave-superposition does not quite apply here, because the ruling equation for energy propagation depends upon  $V^2$  or  $I^2$ . In addition, in the near-field region,  $V$  is almost independent of  $I$ . If a loop antenna happens to receive a radio wave as a very small voltage/current signal, we can increase the received *\*energy\** by artificially increasing the current. Or if we're using a tiny dipole antenna (a capacitor) rather than a loop antenna (a coil), we can increase the short dipole's received energy by applying a large AC voltage across the antenna terminals.

Note that this does not violate any rules of conventional physics. The added fields cause radio waves to bend towards the tiny antenna, which increases the antenna's effective area. We can alter the coupling between the antenna and the surrounding space, but the total energy still follows the conservation law. The fields only change the "virtual size" of the antenna. More importantly, the phenomena only arises in electrically "small" antennas. If you already have a large 1/2-wave dipole, then adding an AC voltage to it cannot make it seem any bigger. However, if you have a 10KHz loop antenna the size of a pie plate, you can make that antenna seem very, very large indeed. Think like this: how large is the diameter of the nearfield region at 10KHz? Around 10 kilometers? What if we could extract all the incoming energy from that entire volume?! In theory we can.

Here's a way to look at the process. If I can create a field which CANCELS OUT some of the energy in an extended region around a tiny antenna, does this

violate the law of Conservation of Energy? Field energy cannot just vanish! Correct: if we cancel out the energy in the nearfield of an antenna, this is actually an absorption process, and the energy winds up inside the antenna. If we ACTIVELY DRIVE an antenna with an "anti-wave", this will force the antenna to absorb more energy from the EM fields in the surrounding region of space than it ordinarily would.

OK, if this supposedly explains how tiny atoms can receive long light waves, how can we apply an increased voltage signal to a SINGLE ATOM?! Actually it's not that difficult. Stored AC fields, i.e. resonance, are the key. If an atom resonates electromagnetically at the same frequency as the incident light waves, then that atom will behave as an oscillator, and it will become surrounded by an increasingly strong AC electromagnetic field. If this alternating field is locked into the correct phase with the light wave, then the atom's fields can interact with the light waves and cancel out quite a bit of light energy present in the nearfield region around the atom. The energy doesn't vanish, instead it ends up INSIDE the atom. By resonantly creating an "anti-wave", the tiny atom has "sucked energy" out of the enormous light waves as they go by.

Impossible? Please track down the C. Bohren paper in the references below. He analyzes the behavior of small metal particles and dielectric particles exposed to long-wave EM radiation, and rigorously shows how a resonance can cause the tiny particles to "act large."

How can this stuff be true?! After all, electric and magnetic fields cannot affect each other. They work by superposition. For the same reason, a light wave cannot deflect another light wave. AH, but as I said before, the mathematics of the fields around a coil or a capacitor are not the same as the mathematics of freely-propagating EM waves. If we add the field of a bar magnet to the field of a radio wave, and if the bar magnet is in the right place (at a spot where the b-field of the radio wave is reversing polarity,) then the radio wave becomes distorted in such a way that it bends towards the bar magnet. Now replace the bar magnet with a coil, and vary its current so the fields stay locked to the travelling radio wave, and the wave energy will ALWAYS bend towards the coil and be absorbed.


When viewed as a halfwave receiving antenna, a resonant atom acts as if it grows in size to fill its entire nearfield region. It does so by creating a large AC field around itself which normally would not be there. In a sense, this field BECOMES THE ANTENNA. A 1-angstrom atom with a large AC field can behave as a 1/4-wave antenna at optical frequencies. Though tiny, the atom can absorb "longwave" radiation such as light. Our 1-angstrom atom becomes a

2000 angstrom atom, and efficiently absorbs 6000A light waves. Very strange, no? I've certainly never encountered such a thing during my physics training. Apparently it is a "hole" in physics, and it has only been treated in a couple of [contemporary physics papers](#) in the 1980s.

This "energy suction" effect has real world applications. Below is a crude model to illustrate how tiny atoms can "suck energy" from long light waves. Suppose we transmit a VLF radio signal at 1KHZ frequency. Let's arbitrarily set the signal strength so it's about the same strength as the Earth's weak vertical e-field: 100 Volt/meter. If the transmitter's e-field is contained entirely below the ionosphere, and if the bottom of the ionosphere is about 100Km high, then the entire vertical field is about 10 megavolts top to bottom. These values aren't totally ridiculous. Small, well-designed Tesla coils commonly produce 10 megavolts. Such an AC field would give a feeble 100V/M field at the Earth's surface. This field would be detectable by instruments, but otherwise it would be too small for humans to notice, and we certainly would not expect to be able to get significant power out of it.

## CAPACITIVE-PLATE ANTENNA

OK, we've got a feeble AC e-field in the outdoor environment. How will a simple antenna-plate perform as an energy receiver? If it's a large horizontal metal plate about one meter off the ground, it will give out a 100 volt signal at 1KHz, but this one hundred volt "power source" has a very large series impedance. Let's say that the plate/ground capacitance is 10pF. To draw energy with the maximum possible voltage, the load resistor should be approximately equal to the series impedance. This impedance is dominated by the 10pF capacitor value, so this gives  $1/(2*\pi*f*C) = 16$  megohm load resistor, and it drags the antenna's voltage down from 100V to 70.7V. The received energy in the resistor is 300 microwatts, and the current in the resistor is in the microamp range. Just as we might expect, everything here is similar to a conventional radio antenna. The weak e-field behaves as a "signal", and it is not a source of significant power.






FIGURE 1

The fundamental problem with the above system is that empty space acts like a voltage divider. If the sky has 10 Megavolts compared to ground, and if the metal plate is a few feet above the surface of the ground, then the plate only has a relatively tiny voltage. Current is tiny, so wattage is also tiny. Maybe we could power a visible LED flasher with this antenna, if we set it to flash every few minutes. Maybe if we erected an enormous antenna tower we could do better by lifting the plate up high (but with such a huge antenna we could probably steal power from distant AM radio stations, Voice of America, etc.)

## RESONANT ANTENNA

Now lets add a tuned circuit to the above schematic and see what happens:




FIGURE 2

At resonance, the 10pF capacitance effectively vanishes. At resonance, a parallel-resonant circuit behaves like an infinite resistor. If the LC circuit is exactly at resonance, how high will the voltage on the metal plate rise? To ten megavolts!!!! The resonant circuit will continuously absorb energy until the voltage at the antenna-plate rises to the same voltage as the transmitter. Weird! Keep in mind that this device is a relatively small affair sitting in your backyard. If we weren't aware of the mechanism behind this, all we'd see is a passive LC resonator which bursts into oscillation of its own accord, and the voltage grows until the darned thing suffers a corona outbreak or something. The EM fields near the metal plate grow FAR STRONGER than the weak fields in the environment. It resembles an impossible "perpetual motion" situation, which might make a physicist recoil in horror. However, the real explanation is completely conventional. Note: the above effect can only occur for an ideal LC circuit, where the resistance of the coil is zero and where the Q of the circuit is infinite. If our antenna actually comprises the "secondary" of a superconductive Tesla coil system, we might in fact see the output voltage grow to the megavolt range. In most real-world tuned circuits it wouldn't reach such heights.

However, voltage is not energy, and maybe it takes months to build up so much voltage across a resonator, even if it's superconductive. Just what is the actual received energy flow? Let's put a resistor across the tuned circuit so we create a flow of real energy and drag the voltage down to, say, .707 of the unloaded voltage. The resistance should equal the impedance of the series capacitor:  $10^{-16}$  Farads, giving 1600 giga-ohms. (A huge resistor. Clearly it makes sense to try extracting energy with a low-value resistor in series with the inductor coil, rather than with a parallel resistor across the tuned circuit. A 1.6 tera-ohm power resistor might be hard to find in the surplus parts catalogs! That's if you don't have the parts catalog featured in that old SF movie where the two engineers build an "Interociter." Obviously the Interociter is Alien/Tesla technology?!)

Ahem. :)

Power intercepted by the receiver is now 30 watts. That's ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND TIMES HIGHER than the power from the simple non-resonant antenna plate. Our tiny antenna has essentially reached out and made a kind of "direct contact" with the distant transmitter. By changing its own impedance, it has converted the "sky capacitor" into an efficient coupling device. It has sent out a cancelling wave and pulled in energy from an enormous volume within the surrounding fields. It has become a "matching transformer" which steps down the 10MV sky voltage and steps up the "sky current." If we either

increase the receiver plate's size, or lift it high up on an antenna tower, then the received power rises proportionally.

So, put a resonator on a small antenna, and drag in far more wave energy. Simple?

In our earlier (resistor-only) antenna circuit, a small amount of "real power" did flow through the capacitance of the sky while on its way to the metal plate and the load resistor. If the voltage across that resistor could be made to oscillate hugely, and if it had the right phase compared to the tiny displacement current coming from the transmitter, then we'd obtain a major increase in energy flow. The tiny current would remain about the same, but with the much larger voltage,  $V \cdot I$  is increased and wattage is increased. Remember the unwanted capacitive-voltage-divider effect in the nonresonant resistive receiver? With a resonant system, that would no longer apply, and the output voltage would no longer be low. Things would behave differently. The series displacement-current going through the "sky capacitor" might still be microamps, but if the tuned circuit can play around with the high voltage at our end of the transmission system, then it can drastically change the energy throughput.

To sum up: we see that by putting a big AC voltage on the tuned circuit and by adjusting its phase in relation to the tiny incoming current, we can "suck" the  $E \times M$  wattage from the distant transmitter. It also works this way inside a simple circuit using conventional voltage dividers: add a resonant circuit, and the series impedance of the power source behaves smaller. It should still work this way even when a part of the circuit contains a series capacitor made up of many feet (or even tens of km) of empty space. It's very much like building a high-voltage power line: to transmit high wattage, we use high voltage at low current, and we put a step-down transformer at the far end of the power line. However, in the power line we then put a capacitor in series with the high-voltage line. Then we increase the thickness of the capacitor's air-dielectric until the current in the system is mostly composed of displacement current in the empty space between the pair of widely-separated capacitor plates. To transmit significant power, step the voltage up to astronomical levels at one end, then step it back down at the other end. Rather than using a step-down transformer in the receiver, instead use a hi-Q resonator, and let the resonant voltage rise to a huge value. Energy will be sucked into the receiver.

Note that all of this stuff comes directly from Nikola Tesla's "Wireless power" transmission scheme. If we can flood the atmosphere with VLF standing waves, and if the ionosphere keeps this energy from escaping, then a small, high-Q resonator can grab significant wattage right out of the air. A small

resonator can produce an extensive and intense AC field of its own, and can act as an "EM funnel" which grabs significant wattage right out of the ambient radiation field. It can do so even when the ambient field is quite feeble. This is not radio, where wavelength is the same size as the components. This is "circuitry", where wavelength is huge and circuits are small, and it more resembles "AC wiring" rather than "EM radiation." This is probably the concept that put the "Mona Lisa grin" on photographs of old Nikola. And that twinkle in his eye...

If we use a metal loop-antenna instead of a metal capacitor plate, then the current in the loop can perform a similar task as the voltage on the plate: the oscillating current should grow huge and cause an intense, volume-filling AC magnetic field to appear. If the phase is correct, this b-field should "suck energy" from the transmitter (or from the local b-fields of the incoming electromagnetic waves.) Keep in mind that all this applies to SMALL ANTENNAS. If your wavelength is 150MHz and your antenna is 1 meter across, then "energy sucking antennas" cannot improve reception.

These sorts of antennas obey circuit-physics, not the physics of EM waves in space. The volume of space adjacent to ANY antenna obeys a combination of circuit-physics and wave-physics, (the near-field and far-field EM equations,) and I've never quite visualized exactly how this works. Now it looks like there are several interesting things hidden between the near-field and the far-field mathematics. Crystal radios which have "suckers" instead of "tuners." Invisible antennas a thousand meters across... stuffed inside an AM radio! Cool.

The "energy grabbing" effect appears to be limited. It's a nearfield effect. It could only operate within about a 1/6- or 1/4-wavelength radius around a coil or capacitor antenna, or in the region between the peaks of a propagating EM wave. In other words, when we add a tuned circuit, we can increase the "effective size" of a tiny antenna until it resembles a half-wave dipole antenna. It usually would be easier to simply build a half-wave dipole in the first place. Normally we would. At VHF or UHF frequencies, a hi-Q "energy sucking" resonator antenna would not gather any more energy than a normal antenna, since the hi-Q antenna would be electrically large. But whenever the conventional dipole antenna might end up being too large to contemplate (like at 1KHz frequency or even 550KHz), then a high-voltage capacitor plate antenna, or perhaps a tuned-coil antenna, both with very high Q-factor (with inductors wound from thick copper pipe?) ...would behave like a far larger antenna than anyone could possibly imagine.

In hindsight the above stuff seems somewhat obvious, but why have I never heard of it before? **RESONATING ANTENNAS BECOME ABNORMALLY EFFICIENT RECEIVERS?!** The reverse must also be true: high-field resonant antennas will leak radio waves, even if their size is very small compared to the wavelength. If resistive losses don't halt them, their AC fields will grow in intensity until the signal finally does get out. Do radio designers realize that all small resonant antennas with huge EM fields act like long-wire antennas having fields of the usual strength? Do Ham radio operators currently use 80-meter transmission antennas having high-Q resonators and enormous magnetic or electrostatic fields? Do AM radio companies know that their antenna towers are really not necessary? Do science teachers realize that even the simplest "crystal radio" can only operate a pair of headphones if a tuned circuit present? Do physicists really grasp, at a gut level, just how those tiny atoms can absorb and radiate the huge wavelengths associated with light waves?

Portable AM radios use resonant-loop antennas, and they've always been this way. We've been carrying around Nikola Tesla's power-receiver in our back pockets since the 1960s. In bygone decades those old "regenerative" receivers were harnessing this "energy sucking" process. Do the designers of 90 years ago know something that modern scientists do not?

## **UPDATE 9/6/99**

In thinking more on this (and while talking to people on the email lists) a couple of new thoughts have occurred to me. One: try to give your receiver's tank circuit as high a Q as possible, and then connect it to a load through a zener diode or other nonlinear device. This will allow the voltage/current of the tuned circuit to rise to a huge level and produce an intense AC field, but without the load interfering. Only after the AC field has reached the appropriate level will we extract any energy and deliver it to the load. [NO, NOT A ZENER! A zener would just act as a series RESISTANCE, dissipate heat, and throw away energy uselessly. Instead, just use a detector diode, and charge up a DC capacitor. 11/1/99]

Two: try using an FM detector circuit to force the receiver to "lock on" to the transmit frequency. If we do this, we could still use immensely high q-factors, but without making our frequency-match adjustments be so sensitive.

Three: once the receiver is oscillating and energy is being transferred, try suddenly changing the voltage of the transmitter. Since the entire system acts like a well-coupled transformer, I suspect that fast changes in transmitter

voltage will appear as fast changes at the receiver. Maybe it only takes a single AC cycle for the change to appear. Weird thought: if the transmitter is modulated \*faster\* than the transmission frequency, would the fast signal appear at the receiver?!!! That would be impossible, since it would violate the rules of AM transmission theory. However, the coupled-resonator system more resembles a pair of atoms transferring photons, rather than resembling an RF transmit/receive system. If the device behaves like a quantum-mechanical coherent system, then perhaps we can modulate the transmitter at a faster rate than the carrier frequency! If it worked, that would REALLY be weird, no? Imagine transmitting at the 76Hz earth resonant overtone frequency, then amplitude-modulating the 76Hz carrier at 1 KHz, and having the signal appear at the receiver's resonator! We wouldn't really be transmitting radio energy. The signal would more resemble QM "wavefunction collapses" which propagate throughout the Earth's ionospheric resonant cavity.

Four: 11/1/99 This circuit mimics atomic absorption, and it also should mimic stimulated emission. Once the circuit is oscillating, it's absorbing the incoming waves because of its phase. The phase relationship causes it to couple to the transmitter. If the transmitter was suddenly turned off, then the circuit would not be able to radiate, since without the waves from the transmitter it could not perform the "Poynting-flux emission" process. The phenomenon is definitely not linear! So... what happens when the waves from a transmitter should suddenly encounter the fields of a short antenna? If the phase is right, the short antenna should change from an oscillator to an emitter, and begin emitting energy! This is the reverse of the "energy sucking effect," because while "energy suction" can only occur when the short antenna is surrounded by a powerful field, "energy emission" can only occur when the powerful fields around a short antenna are given a travelling-wave field to provide the "stimulation" for stimulated emission to occur. Absorption/emission requires both the trapped fields at the antenna, as well as the travelling fields from a distant transmitter. If my reasoning isn't faulty, this means that it should be possible to build a sort of radio-freq laser, where a distant transmitter causes a small loop-antenna resonator to add its energy to the transmitted wave.

---

Also, my crackpot side is starting to yammer at me. It's saying that this particular "hole in physics" might seriously damage contemporary Quantum Electrodynamics, and might even show that Einstein's original photoelectric experiment might be interpreted incorrectly. Hey, if Einstein was wrong, does that mean that the Nobel is withdrawn retroactively and awarded to whoever

can show rigorously that "energy sucking antennas" are a better explanation for QM phenomena of all kinds? Or does it just mean that my "crackpot half" is just trying to make certain that no conventional scientist will dare to experiment with this stuff! :)

## **BEWARE: ODDBALL IMPLICATIONS**

If EM resonance is extremely important, and if mainstream science doesn't recognize the effects, then god only knows how many unusual phenomena are awaiting exploration by amateurs. The professional explorers with their well-funded troops haven't yet arrived on this particular "new continent." There are still mysteries to be experienced, and it could be many years before the whole thing is paved over with well-travelled highways built through NSF funding.

### **Ears as antisound-emitters**

Whenever a "small" receiver seems to be generating an AC field around itself spontaneously, perhaps we should suspect that the receiver is actively generating an "anti-signal" and receiving extra wave-energy as a result. **THIS MIGHT APPLY TO ACOUSTIC SYSTEMS!** If we illuminate a resonant chamber with long-wave sound, that chamber will become an emitter, and it should "bend" the sound waves so they impact on the resonator and increase the area of its "virtual intake orifice".

Might evolution have "discovered" this energy-sucking resonator effect? A collection of resonators should work far better than a passive broadband receiver. Human ears are known to generate their own signals. At frequencies below a few KHz, the wavelength of sound is physically larger than the external ear. Perhaps our human hearing system increases its gain by transmitting signals which are phase-locked with the incoming sound?

I've heard that human ears have an unexplained property: they can detect signals which are far below any sensible noise level. Their detection capability supposedly even exceeds the QUANTUM MECHANICAL noise level. Perhaps they increase their net received acoustic energy via an "anti-sound" feedback process resembling resonance? Might there be other situations where small acoustic resonators can receive abnormally large amounts of energy? Shades of [Ernst Worrel Keely!](#) Hey, maybe I finally have a clear explanation for that "[Acoustic Black Hole](#)" phenomenon with the soda straws. And... and... once again the infamous [Dr. Thomas Gold](#) is vindicated, and his detractors are shown to be a bit, shall we say, "deaf" to his words.

How might the inner ear generate sound? Maybe it does not. Maybe it rapidly modulates the stiffness of its resonant membrane and therefore uses nonlinear physics to take energy from other frequency bands and use it to power a resonant oscillation at the frequency it wishes to emit. Sort of like using one crystal radio as a "battery" to power the audio amplifier of another crystal radio tuned to a different station...

Idea! If ears generate sound only when sound is being received, then perhaps we can detect this. Perhaps it's even under conscious control. When we listen intently to a particular frequency, does our conscious action actually change our ear physically so that it "sucks energy" at that frequency? If so, then flood the room with white noise, stick a tiny microphone near your ear, display a realtime spectrogram of the detected noise, then concentrate on listening to the "high" tones and then the "low" tones in the white noise. Will the spectrogram of the microphone's signal change? Will a small absorption band appear in the spectrum of energy near your ear? Easier test: subtract (null out) the noise-generator's signal from the microphone's signal and record this difference signal. (an audio delay line would be needed.) Now concentrate on listening to the highs or the lows. Will the difference-signal change? If so, build a circuit which detects this change and turns on a light bulb. Stick a microphone in your ear, decode the alterations in the sound spectrum, and run your appliances by "thinking" about a tone-sequence?!! Then try this next one.

Set up the above system. Listen to the white noise and imagine that you hear the word "yes". Do it many times. Now play back the recording of the difference signal (or even the raw signal from the microphone.) Can you hear the word "yes" being transmitted by your \*EARS\*? If so, then you now know how to speak through your ears. This only works when you are listening to white-noise. Imagine that you hear music, see if it appears in the recording from the tiny microphone. Perhaps composers can "think music" right onto the tape recorder. "Think aloud" to yourself, and see if your "thoughts" can be heard issuing from your ears as they... leak out of your head? Perhaps one form of telepathy is... acoustic? Can a blind person navigate via a sort of whitenoise-correlation "acoustic radar?" OK, now hire a schizophrenic who hears voices, and see if you can record the voices via whitenoise environment and ear-canal microphones. Ask them questions, see if they answer. Interview the Voices on the Tonight Show.

Who'll be the first to explore this silly idea and find out if I'm full of balony?

[Ball lightning](#) is not yet explained. One of the orthodox explanations is the Storm Maser theory: if thunderstorms emit microwave energy, and if

something can somehow focus this energy, then a nitrogen electrical-plasma could feed off the intense microwave flux. The "Energy sucking" theory gives us a second option. Suppose thunderstorms emit weak ELF/VLF e-fields instead of supposedly emitting microwaves? If a plasma happened to be resonant with the coherent AC e-field being created by the storm, and if the Q of the resonant plasma system was high, then that plasma would develop an enormous high-frequency e-field around itself. It would suck energy from the fields of the storm and remain "alight." Do nitrogen/oxygen (or carbon?) plasmas have any high-Q resonances in the ELF/VLF spectrum? What about carbon-fiber networks composed of condensing soot? [CORUM & CORUM] Or rather than pure resonances, do they have self-organization which can communicate with the self-organized lightning plasmas within the thunderstorm and "agree" between themselves to create a "Tesla Power System"? The storm becomes the transmitter and the ball-lightning plasma-glob acts as the hi-Q receiver.

Do storms create any coherent VLF e-fields? VLF radios certainly don't detect such things, so we normally would assume that such signals don't exist. But hold on! There could be a nearfield effect, where there is no RF radiation, and where e-fields and b-fields aren't directly connected together via the impedance of free space. A loop-antenna in a radio receiver is used with the assumption that incoming EM waves have an E and an M component, and we should just as easily receive the M component as receiving the E. (And so a loop antenna would work just as well as a dipole antenna.) Maybe this is not true of environmental VLF e-fields. Suppose that a storm (or even the entire Earth) has a very strong vertical AC electrostatic field. The loop antennas on VLF radios would not detect it. Horizontal dipoles would not detect it. However, a resonant circuit connected to a suspended wire (and to ground) certainly would. With a high-Q resonant circuit, the antenna might even receive significant power. Call it the "artificial ball-lightning" analogy.

#### Tight-coupled transformers wo/cores

Transformers are examples of tight magnetic coupling, and significant power can be transferred between the coils of an iron-core transformer. Capacitors are similar: they are examples of tight electrostatic coupling. Resonant circuits give us two new options for tightly-coupled power systems: pairs of high-amperage resonant loop-antennas, and pairs of high-voltage resonant dipole antennas. The spacing of each of these must be below 1/4 wavelength for the phenomenon to appear, and the e- or b-field strength must be very high. Now that I'm speaking of this, I know I've seen such things in common use. Air-core transformers in high-power VHF radio transmitters probably employ this effect. If both sides of

an air-core transformer are tuned to the same frequency, then the b-field surrounding the transformer will build up to a very high level, and the throughput of energy will be very high, even though there's no closed iron-ring magnetic circuit, and coupling between the coils is \*apparently\* very loose.

Rick M. points out that mechanical forces might become significant in resonant EM systems. Normal transformers and capacitors certainly do display significant mechanical forces. If a transformer can be made into an induction motor, and if a capacitor can be made into an electrostatic motor, what kind of motor can be built from a loose/tight coupled high-frequency resonant EM device? I have no idea. Perhaps something strange and interesting is lurking in these particular "undergrowths." Imagine a radio-frequency induction motor built without iron, whose (resonant) stator is at a distance from the (resonant) rotor, yet the torque between them is still immense. Imagine a high-Q capacitor-based high voltage motor with huge torque, and with all of its parts embedded within plastic (to eliminate the corona problems associated with DC electrostatic motors.)

An evil thought: if we built a resonant antenna within a 1/4-wave distance of an AM radio tower, we might be able to "suck energy" at such a high rate that we could run motors and light lightbulbs! The resonant antenna might be very small, but it would have an intense e-field (or magnetic field if it was a loop antenna), and would reach out and touch the AM tower electrically. I've heard of people using "inductive coupling" to steal 60Hz AC electrical energy. The addition of a resonant circuit would vastly increase the ability of a pickup coil to suck in energy from any distant conductors as long as the frequency was fairly low. In physicist-speak, "If the world is already full of Sodium light, build some artificial Sodium atoms as absorbers."

Now I guess I need to go make a high-Q tuned circuit and set it to the same frequency as an AM radio station. Maybe I can light up an LED! I know that longwire antennas can do this. I also know that an AM radio, if tuned to a weak station, can be affected when an adjacent unpowered AM radio is tuned to the same station. Untuned inductive pickup coils can receive "inductively coupled" energy if the b-field in the area is strong. Instead, with a small coil which resonates at 60Hz, maybe I can magnetically grab some AC power out of the wiring in my walls? It would be cool to have a wireless lightbulb connected to nothing but a high-value 60Hz inductor and capacitor. Maybe it would work a bit better if I wrap a couple of turns of "transmit loop" around my house and drive it with 10KHZ. With thick wire and hi-Q resonance, it wouldn't take much to put many amperes into such a coil. Rats, now I wish I still lived next to a big AM transmitting tower like I did when I was a kid.

