

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2003](#) > Dec

UFO UpDates Mailing List Dec 2003

Dec 1:

[UFO Images West Central Minnesota](#) - Brian Vike - HBCC UFO [42]
[Re: Seti@Home Effective? - Williams](#) - Walt Williams [11]
[Re: Trindade Photos A Fake? - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [22]
[Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses](#) - Vince White [45]
[Ufology - Ten Questions](#) - Roy Hale [25]
[Sound Of Screaming Firmly Linked To UFO Sighting](#) - Kenny Young [209]
[New Westminster British Columbia 11-30-03](#) - Brian Vike - HBCC UFO [36]

Dec 2:

[Socorro](#) - James Easton [7]

Dec 1:

[Trindade Material - Part II](#) - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [125]
['Scooby-Doo And The Alien Invaders'](#) - James Easton [11]

Dec 2:

[Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs](#) - Frank Warren [26]
[Airdrie, Alberta](#) - Brian Vike - HBCC UFO [57]
[Re: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [20]
[Re: Seti@Home Effective? - Friedman](#) - Stan Friedman [25]
[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Jones](#) - Sean Jones [36]
[Vernon British Columbia - 11-30-03](#) - Brian Vike - HBCC UFO [32]
[Dusty Disc May Mean Other Earths](#) - Charles Chapman [55]
[Scabrous Shadows And The Blue Hare](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [163]
[Re: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses - Velez](#) - John Velez [118]
[Soliciting Articles](#) - Roy Hale - The Lost Haven [18]
[Didsbury Alberta 11-28-03](#) - Brian Vike - HBCC UFO [27]
[Re: Norwood Photos On-line - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [10]
[Physicist Explains String Theory](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [127]
[Re: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [24]
[Re: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses - LeFevre](#) - Charlette LeFevre [28]
[Re: Trindade Photos A Fake? - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [47]
[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Hale](#) - Roy Hale - The Lost Haven [20]

[Re: Socorro - Gates](#) - Robert Gates [15]
[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Aldrich](#) - Jan Aldrich [8]
[Re: Seti@Home Effective? - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [9]
[Re: Socorro - Stanford](#) - Ray Stanford [19]
[Re: Norwood Photos On-line - Stanford](#) - Ray Stanford [15]
[Re: Seti@Home Effective? - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [32]
[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [67]
[Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [6]
[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Aldrich](#) - Roy Hale - The Lost Haven [3]
[Re: 'About' Project Grudge - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [12]
[UFO ROUNDUP, Volume 8 Number 46](#) - John Hayes [552]
[Re: Seti@Home Effective? - Friedman](#) - Stan Friedman [17]
[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Jones](#) - Sean Jones [10]
[Re: Socorro - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [5]
['About' Project Grudge](#) - Eustaquio Andrea Patounas [86]
[Re: Scabrous Shadows And The Blue Hare - Roberts](#) - Andy Roberts [6]
[Visitations In Pabos Gaspé Coast Canada](#) - Brian Vike - HBCC UFO [64]
[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Roberts](#) - Andy Roberts [4]
[Re: Trindade - Gonzalez](#) - Luis R. Gonzalez [10]
[Re: sTARBABY by Dennis Rawlins - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [113]
[Secrecy News -- 12/02/03](#) - Steven Aftergood [185]
[Alleged Video Of Mexico City UFO?](#) - Scott Corrales [25]
[Re: Censoring Greer & Witnesses - White](#) - Vince White [59]

Dec 3:

[sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?](#) - David Rudiak [106]
[Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs -](#) - Frank Warren [10]
[Rumsfeld On The Known And Unknown](#) - Grant Cameron [11]
[Anomaly Archives Lending Library Opens](#) - Stephen Miles Lewis [121]
[Re: Socorro - Harney](#) - John Harney [27]
[Re: Sequel To BBC's The New X-Files - Roberts](#) - Andy Roberts [18]
[Re: Socorro - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [11]
[Re: Scabrous Shadows And The Blue Hare - Lehmberg](#) - Alfred Lehmberg [13]
[What Happened To Those That Took A Stand](#) - Greg Boone [14]
[New York Times On Mysterious Hums](#) - Kenny Young [120]
[Re: Norwood Photos On-line - Young](#) - Kenny Young [61]
[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Roberts](#) - Roy Hale - The Lost Haven [4]
[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Aldrich](#) - Jan Aldrich [2]
[Re: 'About' Project Grudge - Aldrich](#) - Jan Aldrich [20]
[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Jones](#) - Roy Hale - The Lost Haven [5]
[UFO*BC Updates - 12-02-03](#) - David Pengilly [44]
[Re: Elk Mutilation Investigator Needed - Belzil](#) - Fern Belzil [6]
[Re: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses - Gates](#) - Robert Gates [52]
[UFO/Screaming Woman Incident Continues](#) - Kenny Young [143]
[Creston British Columbia Missing Time](#) - Brian Vike - HBCC UFO [64]
[Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Scherk](#) - William Scott Scherk [43]
[Re: Trindade - Gevaerd](#) - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [27]
[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Hale](#) - Roy Hale - The Lost Haven [4]

Dec 4:

[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [59]

[Best Cases Of The 90's or 2000's?](#) - Minna Hyvonen [11]
[Re: Congress and Disclosure - White](#) - Vince White [43]
[Re: Rumsfeld On The Known And Unknown - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [4]
[Re: Anomaly Archives Lending Library Opens](#) - Mac Tonnie [5]
[Last Call For UFO Debate](#) - Nick Pope [18]
[Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Morton](#) - Dave Morton [17]
[Re: Rumsfeld On The Known And Unknown - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [8]
[Re: 'About' Project Grudge - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [7]
[Re: Norwood Photos On-line - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [18]
['Bizarre Screams' Update - 12-04-03](#) - Kenny Young [83]
[Filer's Files #49 - 2003](#) - George A. Filer [535]
[Re: What Happened To Those That Took A Stand](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [22]
[Unusual Marks On Israeli Investigator](#) - Brian Vike - HBCC UFO [49]
[St-Polycarp Valleyfield Quebec](#) - Brian Vike - HBCC UFO [33]
[Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03](#) - Scott Corrales [42]
[Study Finds Crop Circles Were Not a Hoax](#) - Frank Warren [40]
[Re: Trindade Photos A Fake? - Gevaerd](#) - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [15]
[Re: sTARBABY by Dennis Rawlins - Decker](#) - Ron Decker [15]
[Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [76]
[What Thinks About Thought Itself?](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [31]
[SciFi Supports UFO Investigative Effort](#) - Steven Kaeser [56]
[Trindade Material - Part III](#) - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [294]
[Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs](#) - Frank Warren [51]
[SciFi Channel presents Rendlesham Special](#) - Steven Kaeser [60]
[Bush To Announce US Return To Moon](#) - William Wise [156]

Dec 5:

[Re: Congress and Disclosure - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [18]
[Secrecy News -- 12/04/03](#) - Steven Aftergood [128]
[Vernon British Columbia - 12-03-03](#) - Brian Vike - HBCC UFO [33]
[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Jones](#) - Sean Jones [26]
[Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs](#) - Stanton Friedman [13]
[Re: SciFi Channel presents Rendlesham Special](#) - Terry Groff [3]
[Re: Congress and Disclosure - Gates](#) - Robert Gates [69]
[New Norwood Photos!](#) - Kenny Young [30]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [4]
[Re: Trindade Material - Part III - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [9]
[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [20]
[Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [36]
['Strange Secrets'](#) - Stuart Miller [34]
[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [6]
[Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs](#) - Don Ledger [5]
[Re: Trindade Material - Part III - Gevaerd](#) - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [11]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [14]
[Re: Congress and Disclosure - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [17]
[Budd Hopkins' Annual Holiday Art Party Postponed](#) - Ifinfo1@aol.com [58]
[Re: SciFi Channel presents Rendlesham Special](#) - Steven Kaeser [18]
[Re: Congress and Disclosure - White](#) - Vince White [46]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Connors](#) - Wendy Connors [8]
[Re: Best Cases Of The 90's or 2000's?](#) - Wilson - Katharina Wilson [7]
[Re: Study Finds Crop Circles Were Not a Hoax](#) - Amy Hebert [28]
[Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Redfern](#) - Nick Redfern [20]

Dec 6:

[Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Roberts](#) - Andy Roberts [17]
[Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Roberts](#) - Andy Roberts [24]
[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Roberts](#) - Andy Roberts [9]
[Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [30]
[Re: SciFi Channel presents Rendlesham Special](#) - Terry Groff [10]
[Re: Congress and Disclosure - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [10]
[Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [17]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [22]
[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [6]
[Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs](#) - Frank Warren [4]
[Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs](#) - Frank Warren [4]
[Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [108]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03](#) - Ray Dickenson [11]
[Des Rivieres and Duberger Park Quebec](#) - Brian Vike - HBCC UFO [25]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - McCoy](#) - GT McCoy [17]
[There's A Hole In My Philosophy](#) - Frank Warren [126]
[PRG 12/1/03 Update Addendum - 12-07-03](#) - Stephen Bassett [451]
[Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [48]
[Re: 'Strange Secrets' - White](#) - Eleanor White [7]
[Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [66]
[Re: PRG/X-Conference Update - December 1, 2003](#) - John Velez [65]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [21]
[Re: Congress and Disclosure - Fleming](#) - Lan Fleming [19]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03](#) - Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo [46]
[Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Lewis](#) - Stephen MILES Lewis [102]

Dec 7:

[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03](#) - Eustaquio Andrea Patounas [2]
[Re: PRG/X-Conference Update - December 1, 2003](#) - Steven Bassett [3]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [55]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [22]
[Drake Equation Naeye Sayer](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [65]
[Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Roberts](#) - Andy Roberts [9]
[Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Roberts](#) - Andy Roberts [33]
[Re: Trindade Material - Part III - Harney](#) - John Harney [37]
[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [35]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [24]
["Professional Skeptics Group Proves UFOs Real!"](#) - Grant Cameron [227]
[Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Redfern](#) - Nick Redfern [47]
[Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [51]
[Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy - Warren](#) - Frank Warren [18]
[Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Jones](#) - Sean Jones [18]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Burns](#) - Chris Burns [8]
[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Chapman](#) - Charles Chapman [19]
[Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Belzil](#) - Fern Belzil [6]
[Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Scherk](#) - William Scott Scherk [22]
[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Jones](#) - Sean Jones [13]
[Re: Maussan Ufologist Makes Prediction For](#) - Robert Gates [60]
[Re: PRG 12/1/03 Update Addendum - 12-07-03 - McCoy](#) - GT McCoy [30]
[Maussan Makes Prediction - Not!](#) - John Velez [80]

[Diario de Yucatan Retracts Maussan Story](#) - Scott Corrales [65]
[Presidential UFO's Top Story Of 2003](#) - Grant Cameron [13]
[Re: Congress and Disclosure - Goldstein](#) - Josh Goldstein [13]
[Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Roberts](#) - Andy Roberts [14]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03](#) - Josh Goldstein [50]
[Russian Crash Retrieval Report](#) - Albert Rosales [92]
[Re: Soliciting Articles - Mackay](#) - Glennys Mackay [25]

Dec 8:

[Re: PRG/X-Conference Update - December 1, 2003](#) - Richard Hall [7]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [38]
[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Roberts](#) - Andy Roberts [18]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction - Not! - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [17]
[Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Roberts](#) - Andy Roberts [44]
[Re: Diario de Yucatan Retracts Maussan Story](#) - Wendy Connors [10]
[In Light Of The Morehead Incident](#) - Darrell Holtz [44]
[Re: Drake Equation Naeye Sayer - Ward](#) - Steven Ward [16]
[Re: Presidential UFO's Top Story Of 2003 - White](#) - Eleanor White [18]
[Re: Drake Equation Naeye Sayer - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [24]
[Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [134]
[Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [41]
[SDI #269 Sunday Morning After!](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [80]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03](#) - Ray Stanford [11]

Dec 9:

[Secret KGB UFO Files](#) - Thierry Jonnaert [5]
[Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Roberts](#) - Tim Shell [22]
[Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs](#) - Don Ledger [14]
[Stan Romanek And December 12th](#) - Robert Gates [41]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Myers](#) - Royce J. Myers III [75]
[Morehead Kentucky Field Investigation](#) - Kenny Young [118]
[Re: Vernon British Columbia - 11-30-03 - Frison](#) - Eugene Frison [41]
[Sci Fi Channel-Backed Researcher Sues NASA](#) - Stan Friedman [81]
[Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [34]
[1733 - The First Known Sighting?](#) - Eustaquio Andrea Patounas [8]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [47]
[Re: Congress and Disclosure - Hamilton](#) - Bill Hamilton [35]
[Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy - Fleming](#) - Lan Fleming [11]
[Re: In Light Of The Morehead Incident - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [6]
[Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Roberts](#) - Andy Roberts [21]
[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [24]
[Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [36]
[Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions](#) - Eleanor White [84]
[Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [15]
[Re: Trindade Material - Part III - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [42]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03](#) - Bruce Maccabee [1]
[Re: Carlos Diaz?](#) - Eustaquio Andrea Patounas [6]
[Re: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Scherk](#) - William Scott Scherk [40]
[CFI FOIA Suit Filed Against NASA](#) - Steven Kaeser [77]
[Re: PRG 12/1/03 Update Addendum - 12-07-03](#) - Bruce Maccabee [40]
[Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy - Fleming](#) - Lan Fleming [11]

[Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Fleming](#) - Lan Fleming [10]
[Re: Drake Equation Naeye Sayer - Fleming](#) - Lan Fleming [8]
[Re: Congress and Disclosure - Knize](#) - Francis Knize [58]
[Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy - Warren](#) - Frank Warren [12]
[Re: PRG/X-Conference Update - December 1, 2003](#) - John Velez [61]
[Re: Secrecy News -- 12/09/03 - Aftergood](#) - Steven Aftergood [134]
[Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [13]
[Re: sSTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [51]
[Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting? - Stanford](#) - Ray Stanford [9]
[Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops](#) - Greg Boone [19]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Velez](#) - John Velez [38]
[Lobbyists, Importance And The Great Divide](#) - Greg Boone [37]

Dec 10:

[Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [73]
[Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Conway](#) - Richard Conway [14]
[Re: Drake Equation Naeye Sayer - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [14]
[Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops](#) - Tim Shell [13]
[Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [8]
[UFOs Inter-Dimensional](#) - John Pursel [26]
[Re: PRG 12/1/03 Update Addendum - 12-07-03](#) - Wendy Connors [5]
[Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting? - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [5]
[Re: sSTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [240]
[Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [5]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Myers](#) - Royce J. Myers III [99]
[Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting? - Rimmer](#) - John Rimmer [6]
[Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!](#) - Marcos Malvezzi Leal [20]
[Re: Secret KGB UFO Files - Dubrovin](#) - Sergej Dubrovin [8]
[Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting? - Patounas](#) - Eustaquio Andrea Patounas [5]
[Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions](#) - John Velez [40]
[Re: sSTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [41]

Dec 11:

[Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting? - Haith](#) - Dave Haith [29]
[NASA Faces Lawsuit In Kecksburg UFO Incident](#) - Eustaquio Andrea Patounas [13]
[Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [80]
[Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Roberts](#) - Andy Roberts [20]
[Re: UFOs Inter-Dimensional - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [23]
[Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions](#) - Tim Shell [32]
[Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Roberts](#) - Andy Roberts [58]
[Filer's Files #50 - 2003](#) - George A. Filer [524]
[Pravda - 09-00 To 06-01 US Fighters Scrambled 67](#) - Frank Warren [120]
[Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions](#) - Greg Boone [5]
[Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy - Stanford](#) - Ray Stanford [80]
[NASA Spacecraft To Explore Jupiter Moons](#) - Frank Warren [56]
[Colorful Geminid Meteor Shower Comes This Weekend](#) - Frank Warren [57]
[Re: Secret KGB UFO Files - Martinho](#) - Jeferson Martinho [26]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Velez](#) - John Velez [40]
[Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs](#) - Frank Warren [63]
[Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs](#) - Frank Warren [62]
[Re: UFOs Inter-Dimensional - Wilson](#) - Katharina Wilson [36]

[Re: Trindade Material - Part III - Harney](#) - John Harney [50]
[Researcher Reports More Sightings - 12-10-03](#) - Brian Vike - HBCC UFO [95]
[Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [39]
[Re: Drake Equation Naeye Sayer - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [9]
[Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions](#) - Jim Speiser [51]
[Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!](#) - Ken Kelly [5]
[Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions](#) - Bill Weber [9]
[Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Lewis](#) - SMiles Lewis [22]
[Reports From HBCC UFO - 12-10-03](#) - Brian Vike - HBCC UFO [89]
[Re: Congress and Disclosure - Fleming](#) - Lan Fleming [53]
[Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [46]
[Re: Asking Jesus For Internvention Stops](#) - Katharina Wilson [18]
[Re: NASA Faces Lawsuit In Kecksburg UFO Incident](#) - John Velez [25]
[BUFOSC 7th Conference Video](#) - Eric Morris [31]
[Alien Abductee Stress](#) - William Scott Scherk [71]
[Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Roberts](#) - Wendy Connors [19]
[Re: NASA Spacecraft To Explore Jupiter Moons](#) - GT McCoy [5]
[Who's Keeping Tabs On 'Mars Jam 2004'?](#) - Greg Boone [12]
[Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [126]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [34]
[Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!](#) - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [5]

Dec 12:

[Secrecy News -- 12/11/03](#) - Steven Aftergood [158]
[Re: UFOs Inter-Dimensional - Pursel](#) - John Pursel [27]
[Re: Who's Keeping Tabs On 'Mars Jam 2004'?](#) - Mac Tonnies [14]
[Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions](#) - John Velez [22]
[Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [15]
[Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Roberts](#) - Andy Roberts [15]
[Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Roberts](#) - Andy Roberts [22]
[Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!](#) - Richard Hall [9]
[Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions](#) - John Rimmer [15]
[Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Roberts](#) - Andy Roberts [32]
[BBC Timewatch](#) - Andy Roberts [28]
[Re: Who's Keeping Tabs On 'Mars Jam 2004'? - Hand](#) - William Hand [76]
[Re: Trindade Material - Part III - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [12]
[Re: Alien Abductee Stress - Velez](#) - John Velez [11]
[Re: Alien Abductee Stress - Morton](#) - Dave Morton [12]
[Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [32]
[Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!](#) - Ken Kelly [4]
[Re: Pravda - 09-00 To 06-01 US Fighters Scrambled](#) - Stephen MILES Lewis [50]
[Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Gates](#) - Robert Gates [7]
[UFO Buffs Sue To Obtain Data On Pa. Fireball](#) - Eustaquio Andrea Patounas [46]
[Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting? - Manson](#) - Rosa Manson [19]
[Re: Vernon British Columbia - 11-30-03 - Frison](#) - Gene Frison [240]
[VSD UFO Magazine Special #6](#) - Jan Aldrich [86]
[Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions](#) - Brenda Denzler [8]
[Ufology In Mysticism](#) - Eustaquio Andrea Patounas [176]
[Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions](#) - Greg Boone [20]
[The UFO Evidence II](#) - Richard Hall [12]
[Re: UFOs Inter-Dimensional - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [18]
[Re: Who's Keeping Tabs On 'Mars Jam 2004'? - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [12]

Dec 13:

[Denzler Abductee Survey? \[was: Re: Asking Jesus](#) - John Velez [6]
[Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Connors](#) - Wendy Connors [52]
[Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions](#) - Richard Hall [24]
[Re: Congress and Disclosure - Knize](#) - Francis Knize [40]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Franz](#) - Captain Alejandro Franz [52]
[Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions](#) - John Velez [128]
[Andy Roberts Controlling E-mails](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [82]
[Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!](#) - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [7]
[NORAD Drill To Run Saturday Through Tuesday](#) - Frank Warren [13]
[Re: Denzler Abductee Survey? - Denzler](#) - Brenda Denzler [58]
[Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [42]
[Radiation Mars Mission's Invisible Enemy](#) - Terry W. Colvin [174]
[Re: Denzler Abductee Survey? - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [15]

Dec 14:

[Alfred's Odd Ode #383 \[Ode to Andy Roberts\]](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [205]
[Re: Drake Equation Naeye Sayer - Cohen](#) - Jerry Cohen [52]
[Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [27]
[Re: Abduction Statistics - Velez](#) - John Velez [50]
[Re: Trindade Material - Part III - Harney](#) - John Harney [21]
[Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!](#) - Larry Hatch [14]
[1929 UFO Photo?](#) - Lan Fleming [17]
[Re: Alien Abductee Stress - Scherk](#) - William Scott Scherk [81]
[UFO Experience/Omega Communications](#) - Chris Burns [6]
[Why Psychiatry Won't Help](#) - Greg Boone [18]
[Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!](#) - Ray Stanford [5]
[Re: Denzler Abductee Survey? - Velez](#) - John Velez [55]
[Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions](#) - Greg Boone [5]
[Bill Moore Sells Off?](#) - Grant Cameron [8]

Dec 15:

[Kecksburg FOIA Request To US Army](#) - Larry W. Bryant [39]
[Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions](#) - Tim Shell [60]
[Re: 1929 UFO Photo? - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [5]
[Re: Denzler Abductee Survey? - Speiser](#) - Jim Speiser [7]
[Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions](#) - Reed Hall [25]
[Re: 1929 UFO Photo? - Larry Hatch](#) [18]
[Inter-World Life Transport Argued](#) - William Scott Scherk [49]
[Re: Trindade Material - Part III - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [21]
[Re: Bill Moore Sells Off? - Aldrich](#) - Jan Aldrich [9]

Dec 16:

[Re: Alien Abductee Stress - Morton](#) - Dave Morton [88]
[Re: Abduction Statistics - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [37]
['Disclosure' Witness?](#) - Stuart Miller [15]
[Re: World UFO Link & Ad Page - Hale](#) - Roy Hale - The Lost Haven [23]
[Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [24]

[Secrecy News -- 12/15/03](#) - Steven Aftergood [174]
[Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Velez](#) - John Velez [40]
[Re: Denzler Abductee Survey? - Velez](#) - John Velez [19]
[Re: 1929 UFO Photo? - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [12]
[MUFON Canada Symposium Announcement](#) - Eugene Frison [36]
[Re: 1929 UFO Photo? - Stanford](#) - Ray Stanford [59]
[CCCRN News: Midale: A Study in Crop Circle](#) - Paul Anderson [28]
[Re: Malaysian Villagers Claim UFO Sighting -](#) - Eustaquio Andrea Patounas [26]
[Re: BBC Timewatch - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [10]
[Fireballs In The Sky](#) - Frank Warren [39]
[Re: Bill Moore Sells Off? - Bott](#) - Murray Bott [25]
[A Result Of Throwing Rocks In The Dragons Cave](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [60]
[Re: Abduction Statistics - Hall](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [15]

Dec 17:

[UFO Roundup Volume 8, Number 47](#) - John Hayes [545]

The number enclosed in brackets is the number of lines of new text in the message, excluding the header, blank lines and quotes from previous messages.

[Previous Month](#)

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

UFO Images West Central Minnesota

From: **Brian Vike - HBCC UFO** <hbccufo@telus.net>
Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 10:45:49 -0800
Fwd Date: Mon, 01 Dec 2003 16:18:10 -0500
Subject: UFO Images West Central Minnesota

Howdy List

Just in tonight, a very interesting report and photo, also the witness is going to have the other witnesses write up reports on what they saw. So this is great to have different people giving their thoughts on what was witnessed tonight.

Report below gang, but since my site is being re-done the pictures will not be posted for a bit. So you can view the pictures at Jeff renses website at:

<http://www.rense.com/general45/pht.htm>

Hi Brian:

They are back BIG time!

6pm Saturday November 29, 2003.

West Central Minnesota

Five witnesses.

I only got one really good picture. I have a bunch of other shots but they are not as good.

I saw bright flash in western sky. HUGE bright light. Then it ZIPS to a southeast position. Sits there.

I yelled for (hubby) and then for the boys who were having band practice. The object had by then moved to a southwest position and just sat there. Multiple lights flashing and appeared to be changing in shape. I will have each of them write up a separate statement.

The object then SINKS on the horizon VERY SLOWLY. As if to land? This lasted a good total of 20 minutes as of us able to view it. AND it was HUGE. Biggest I have ever seen for the distance.

Hubby had to leave and the boys were a little shell shot. They just couldn't believe what they saw. I continued to watch and a JET came in or at least it sounded like one and I grabbed my camera and keys and went to my car to pursue it.

By the time I got down to the lake I no longer could see it or the jet. But it was HUGE!!!!

I will send their statements later. They went off to a friends' house.

Brian Vike

Director HBCC UFO Research

Canadian Toll Free UFO Hotline 1 866 262 1989 - Free call.
Home - Phone/Fax 1 250 845 2189
HBCC UFO Forum Board
<http://216.147.50.102/HBCC-UFO/index.php>
email: hbccufo@telus.net
Website: <http://www.hbccufo.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

Re: Seti@Home Effective? - Williams

From: **Walt Williams** <walt_williams@setv.org>
Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 17:10:42 -0200
Fwd Date: Mon, 01 Dec 2003 16:20:33 -0500
Subject: Re: Seti@Home Effective? - Williams

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
>Date: Fri, 28 Nov 2003 19:59:17 EST
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Subject: Re: Seti@Home Effective?

>I've always wondered about this SETI project.
>Searching for radio signals?
>What if aliens don't have ears? :)

Hi Greg and All,

SETI or rather the people who are implementing the SETI search model are not searching for radio signals with modulation, such as "sounds". Additionally, you have the direction of the "radio" signals confused, SETI is not transmitting, just "listening".

Finally, the hoped for SETI signal's "message" is the frequency of the ETI transmitted signal, which is known loosely within the SETI community as the "water-hole" frequencies. Regarding the effectiveness of seti@home, who knows?

Walt Williams
SETV

Search for other documents from or mentioning: [seti](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

Re: Trindade Photos A Fake? - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 15:14:50 -0600
Fwd Date: Mon, 01 Dec 2003 16:24:04 -0500
Subject: Re: Trindade Photos A Fake? - Lehmborg

>From: John Rimmer <jrimmer@magonia.demon.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 19:07:54 +0000
>Subject: Re: Trindade Photos A Fake?

>>From: Kentaro Mori <airdown@ig.com.br>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 10:52:18 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Trindade Photos A Fake?

>>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark@frontiernet.net>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>>Date: Thu, 27 Nov 2003 09:41:22 -0600
>>>Subject: Re: Trindade Photos A Fake?

>>>What "previous hoaxing"? Are you again conflating "trick
>>>photography" with "hoaxing"? Let us be sure we have a
>>>nonpropagandistic definition of hoax, so let's go to the
>>>dictionary:

>>>"Hoax. An act intended to trick or dupe: IMPOSTURE. 2. Something
>>>accepted or established by fraud or fabrication."
>>>Have you any evidence that in his previous history Barauna was
>>>known to try to "trick or dupe" people via "fraud"? That he
>>>tried to get people to accept what he'd created using trick
>>>photography as somehow authentic? That is certainly not my
>>>understanding of what he was doing. Quite the contrary.
>>>Again: trick photography and hoaxing are not synonymous.
>>>Overwhelmingly, trick photographers are not hoaxers.

>>My use of the word was indeed inappropriated. I could ask for
>>your mercy here of shooting me with an English dictionary
>>because of my acknowledged bad English, but it would be an
>>unfair alibi that I would always refer to if I made any mistake.
>>So I just recognize and apologize for my error.

>I don't thing Kentaro has anything to apologise about here.
>_Jerry's_ dictionary seems rather contentious in its definition
>of "hoax", suggesting almost criminality. Mine (The Concise
>Oxford Dictionary) gives as part of its definition "Humorous or
>mischiveous deception", which I think may well fit Barauna's
>situation.

What duplicitous pap is this? My Webster's defines it plainly
as: an act to trick or dupe or something accepted or established
by fraud... you're to be chastised for putting a good face on
it, and Andy Roberts should be prosecuted (or at least censured)
for perpetrating them. "Experiment," indeed! It's a lie by any
other name!

They are a clear disturbance of the peace and urinate in the
water we're all trying to swim in. They're not funny,
productive, marginally useful, or appreciated. I revile the
hoaxer (at any station) as a sociopath beneath my concern,
consideration, and contempt.

Lehmborg@snowhill.com

EXPLORE "AlienViewGroup" at its HostPros URL.
<http://www.alienview.net>

JOHN FORD RESTORATION FUND -- John will be released eventually.
He'll need a tax free cash stake to get on his feet. Let's put
one together for him; the bigger it is -- the more attention
he gets. It could have been you. E-mail for detail.
\$350.00 pledged -- \$200.00 collected!

"I cleave the heavens, and soar to the infinite.
What others see from afar, I leave far behind me."
- Giordano Bruno, scourged by the scabrously specious scurrilous.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses

From: Vince White <Vinceomni@aol.com>
Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 17:19:45 EST
Fwd Date: Mon, 01 Dec 2003 16:27:48 -0500
Subject: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses

Listers;

There has been a long and vehement criticism of the DISCLOSURE PROJECT press conference for daring to mention such topics as; operational gravity control, zero point energy, space-based SDI, and deep conspiracies relating to a massive coverup.

Dr. Greer is excoriated for this "political baggage" being affixed to pure UFO disclosure. There is no such purity possible.

Mentioning these subjects is supposed to have frightened Congress out of open hearings. If true a sad commentary on the level of legislative moral courage present - and prospects for vigorous inquiry.

Those objecting to these additional subjects are basically asking for censorship of witness testimony. When a witness couples UFO crash retrievals to back engineering of alien reproduction vehicles, should the witness be stopped at that point?

If government possession of alien derived zero point energy devices is an integral part of coverup motives, shall we censor witnesses, or intel sources carefully developed, who say these things?

If a witness speaks of UFOs being targeted by our space based SDI assets, censor this risky reality?

Congressional hearings will have to tackle a full tough range of issues related to UFO activity. Witnesses will speak of; planes lost, crash/retrievals, liaison possibilities, and yes abductions. What kind of example do we set by asking for censoring of Dr. Greers efforts?

I have deep doubts concerning Dr. Greer's sources accuracy regarding abductions & cattle mutilations. He appears to be even the victim of disinformation on these issues.

But let it all be publicly tabled; the good, the bad and the ugly, so we can examine in the full sunshine, how all of this hidden morass is interconnected. Hearings MUST be open ended, to even begin address this massive coverup - -largest in history.

We can't begin by censoring possible secondary issues which became primary in maintaining the wall of secrecy.

Dr. Greer has made many mistakes - but he, with flaws, made history with his uncensored press conference. Let his detractors do a better one. Let them develop and screen better witnesses. But hopefully the next new disclosure group will not begin by censoring it's witnesses. Let the table be wide indeed, as the UFO subject in awesome reality is. Let the sun shine on open press conferences and open ended hearings.

Vince White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

Ufology - Ten Questions

From: Roy Hale <roy@thelosthaven.co.uk>
Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 23:23:15 -0000
Fwd Date: Mon, 01 Dec 2003 16:31:02 -0500
Subject: Ufology - Ten Questions

All,

- 1: If after many years in Ufology, you arrive at the fact, that ET has arrived here on this planet, should you be considered someone who has a 'Closed Mind'?
- 2: If yes to the above, does this mean that the choice of being a sceptic is the only one available to UFO research?
- 3: If you believe ET has arrived, does this make you less of a person to debate with?
- 4: How closely should UFO researchers align themselves with Government agencies?
- 5: Should censorship play an important role in closing debates, if answers are not forthcoming?
- 6: Is UFO research a subject for _free_ thinkers, or is there a line to toe?
- 7: Who patented UFO thought as non-ET related?
- 8: How far should one use, web stats tracking as evidence of inquiry?
- 9: How far should personal prejudice play a role in your UFO research? 1
- 10: Should any researcher, be treated as an unreliable candidate for UFO research DATA if they have used illegal drugs - past or present?

Roy

Visit The Lost Haven
<http://www.thelosthaven.co.uk>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

Sound Of Screaming Firmly Linked To UFO Sighting

From: **Kenny Young** <ufo@FUSE.NET>
Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 21:39:33 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 01 Dec 2003 16:43:24 -0500
Subject: Sound Of Screaming Firmly Linked To UFO Sighting

SUBJECT: Screaming sounds firmly linked to UFO sighting
LOCATION: Morehead, Kentucky (Rowan County)
DATE: Friday, November 21, 2003
TIME: Between 9 and 10:00 p.m.

SUMMARY

In effort to further explore the report of 'unidentified lights' and screaming sounds alleged in Morehead, Kentucky, an internet search was conducted to retrieve the address and phone numbers of residents of Adams Lane (law officer earlier contacted had advised of the search taking place near this location).

After a "reverse lookup" was conducted at the internet resource:

<http://www.theultimates.com>

one residency was identified on Adams Lane in Morehead, Kentucky.

That number was called around 6:30 p.m. this evening (Sunday, November 30, 2003) and I inquired if anyone could help me with information about the report of screaming sounds heard around one week earlier.

The call was received by Dr. Virgil Davis, a U.K. college professor.

"I was here when the screams were heard," he said. "There were some loud, blood-curdling screams but nobody could find out anything more."

I then asked if Dr. Davis knew anything about any UFO sighting and he said: "Yes, I saw it."

Dr. Davis said that he was driving toward his home on Friday, November 21 between 9 and 10:00 p.m. with his 2 sons, 15 and 18-years old (He recalls the specific date, knowing that one son is in college and would not have been home any day except Friday).

While driving, they all spotted a soundless aerial object over a nearby open field that was described as an oval-shaped, white-colored light source as big as a pea held at arms length which moved 'like a hummingbird would move.'

The object, seen in the dark and clear night skies, was relatively high when first seen and was "coming down, moving in increments as if an elevator would drop and stay there awhile, then drop and stay there awhile," Dr. Davis said.

After the first 3 or 4 minutes of visual observation, the object began moving around to different parts of the sky. They got out of car to see if they could identify this object and verified to their satisfaction that the object was not a plane, helicopter or aurora borealis.

"My first inclination was to rationalize what this object could have been," Dr. Davis said. "rather than jumping to conclusions. After we ruled out the various possible explanations we assumed

that this was a UFO."

"I know for a fact that there was no explanation for this object and I'm just glad I had my two sons with me to see it," he said.

After observing this object for a few minutes, Dr. Davis and his 2 sons got back in the car and drove on to their home under a certain sense of apprehension.

"I would say that our feeling was more a sense of apprehension than fear," he said, "I did not know what it was we were looking at and had some concern that it might or could have spotted us."

After driving the short distance to their house, they went to a second floor bedroom and looked out the window to the northwest where they continued to watch the object. It descended lower toward the ground and seemed to be settling in a nearby field where its color had shifted from a white to an orange. As the object got lower and lower to the ground, it became bigger and its color changed to a reddish light.

He said that the size of the object increased from a pea at arms length to a dime at arms length, stressing that its size, from his perspective, was "not quite as drastic as a nickel at arms length."

"There was like a vacuum of silence while we watched this," Dr. Davis said, "it was like everything was real quiet, at a standstill and then when it became a red color, everything went crazy."

Dr. Davis said that animals in the area suddenly erupted in a frenzy. His neighbors dog was barking crazily and his German Shepherd dog tried to break its chain.

From his perspective, the object descended to the ground but was not certain that it touched or made contact with the ground as trees prevented him from seeing this with certainty.

"At that point, after this object got red, it just "shot off" like a dart, some kind of acceleration and it just took off to the West. We just stood there dumbfounded."

He said the sighting lasted for an estimated 10 to 15 minute duration.

"I was very reluctant to call the police about a UFO sighting," he said, "at that point, we were not going to report this."

"Right after that my 2-sons went back outside and heard the screaming. It was a female voice. They got in the car and within minutes had called from their cell phone to say that they had been hearing screaming sounds in the field where this thing came down," he said.

"Approximately 20-minutes after the UFO sighting the police were at my door, there were about 20 officers and a rescue squad over in the field where I spotted the UFO. They were combing the area and had asked me what I knew about someone screaming."

"Apparently, others had heard the screaming sounds."

I asked Dr. Davis if he could explain what was heard and he emphasized that he did not hear the screaming sounds himself, that his 2 sons heard the disturbing commotion, along with other residents in the area that notified law enforcement.

Dr. Davis paused from our conversation to check with one of his sons, asking if he could recount the nature of the screaming sounds. He said it was a desperate, blood curdling scream of a female voice that kept saying: "Help me, oh my God, help me!"

"Apparently several people had heard the screaming, I don't know how long it lasted," he said.

When the police arrived at his doorstep knocking on his door (an estimated 15 minutes after the UFO departed), Dr. Davis volunteered information about the UFO sighting.

"I took the officer to the side and explained to him that this is going to sound crazy, but I need to tell you what I saw

tonight."

"I told the police about the UFO after they came to the door, I don't know if anyone else saw the UFO but the officer taking my report took me seriously. He didn't write anything down while I talked with him," Dr. Davis said.

When asked if the police officer indicated that anyone else had also witnessed the UFO, Dr. Davis said that the police officer said he had seen a UFO also, but was uncertain if he was referring to the same object or a separate, unrelated sighting.

Dr. Davis said that aside from reporting this to the police officer who knocked on his door, he had not reported this sighting to any other person and that he was not the source for the report which was submitted to The National UFO Reporting Center. He was puzzled how the report made it to NUFORC and said that neither he, nor his sons, reported the sighting to anyone.

"I'm still trying to figure out who reported this," Dr. Davis said after our conversation. He went on to say how he got "freaked out" when the cops came to the door asking about the screaming sounds.

He described the area of his residence as isolated and surrounded by fields and woodland. His road is paved. He emphasized that the screaming sounds came from the immediate area where the unidentified object had come to the ground. "The screaming sounds came from the field, and I have no explanation for it."

Dr. Davis, who teaches psychology for the Ashland, Kentucky Community College branch of the University of Kentucky, also said that he had previously seen a UFO when he was in his Freshman year in college at Morehead State University in 1976. He said the object was similar to what he saw on Friday, November 21, 2003.

COMMENT

I am deeply impressed by Dr. Davis and his efforts to remain objective and rationalize various explanations about the sighting. Based on his observation, the object in question is nothing to do with Aurora Borealis or 'northern lights.' Dr. Davis allowed permission to use his name and employment in this report, having no objection to it attached with the UFO sighting and situation involving a search party near his home.

Information furnished by Dr. Davis sheds new light on this case. Comments from him directly contradict the dismissive approach taken by law officers in remarks made during earlier inquiries (who also incorrectly advised that the event was on Sunday, November 23) regarding a UFO situation that corresponds with the screams.

When informed that a Morehead policeman and a fire rescue officer told me that "someone had seen the northern lights," Dr. Davis scoffed at the suggestion and was in disbelief.

"I can't believe they said that... this was definitely not the northern lights."

He said that tomorrow he will inspect the 50-acre field where the object descended.

The report to N.U.F.O.R.C. suggests that the UFO was also sighted by witnesses with the rescue squad responding to the scene. This might suggest that the screaming sound was heard simultaneous to the visual sighting of the UFO. Peter Davenport of N.U.F.O.R.C. states: "The person who submitted the sighting report for Morehead, KY, provided no personal or contact information, to include no e-address. It "reads" as if it was submitted by a member of the team that was dispatched, i.e. it reads as if someone just copied a written record of the dispatch. I would have responded to the report, save for the absence of any means of contacting the source."

That report states:

"Morehead-Rowan Co. Rescue Sq. had a call from MPD of a female

crying for help x3. From 2 witness then there was a bright light in the sky for about 1 min. RESCUE SQ. arrived on scene and started searching the area 1st & 2nd witness reported seeing this. The fire dept. was ask to begin searching with the thermal imaging camera with no results found. Res. of Morehead was one of the witnesses. Unless there is a confirmed report of a missing person, the search will not be continued."

The report of desperate, blood curdling screams from a woman crying "Help me, Oh God, Help me" mar this case with a disturbing sense of unusual dread. Clearly, there was a problem taking place and this report raises the specter of reported UFO/human abduction or interaction.

Morehead, Kentucky is an estimated 45-miles south of Flemingsburg, Kentucky, where a crop formation appeared in a Rye Field in the spring of this year. A flap of crop formations and UFO sightings also bewildered Southern Ohio in the summer of 2003, and during this flap one strange account of a woman found in a Southern Ohio soybean field is under investigative consideration. There is no certainty that this situation can be linked to the UFO or crop circle flap aside from the chronological and regional closeness, but the woman - who was hospitalized for a week after being found by a farmer - reportedly experienced amnesia and did not know how she got in the field. This matter is also held under close consideration by researcher Donnie Blessing and will be pursued further.

This present case of 'screaming sounds' from a female voice is linked firmly to the UFO sighting as per the same location in one specific field near Adams Lane in Morehead, Kentucky and the '20 minute time frame' of the conclusion of the UFO sighting to the arrival of officers involved in the search and rescue operation.

Special thanks to Donnie Blessing, Peter Davenport

Filed,
November 30, 2003

Kenny Young

--
UFO Research
<http://home.fuse.net/ufo>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

New Westminster British Columbia 11-30-03

From: **Brian Vike - HBCC UFO** <hbccufo@telus.net>
Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 18:40:41 -0800
Fwd Date: Mon, 01 Dec 2003 16:46:26 -0500
Subject: New Westminster British Columbia 11-30-03

New Westminster, British Columbia

Date: November 30, 2003
Time: approx: 4:30 p.m.

I live in New Westminster (deleted by HBCC UFO) Street. Just about 20 mins ago at approx 4.30 P.M. I saw what I thought was a streak across the lower part of the sky probably close to Richmond. I thought at first it was airplane, you know when they streak across the sky with the sun somewhere in the background lower on the horizon. It had a fairly long trail, that's what caught my attention. It was orange and white across the full length of it.

It moved across the sky from left to right and was very bright against the soon to be setting sun. But there was still enough light out to make out all details, as the sun was full in the sky. When I headed out onto my balcony to get a closer look rather than through my bedroom window, the thing reversed and started going from right to left. Still not too concerned about what I saw although a little bizarre, I continued to watch it move to the left. Suddenly the streak of what I thought was vapors, it just suddenly disappeared within about 1.5 seconds, and it all came together as one. That was unusually. The vapors don't just dissipate within 1.5 seconds. Then I saw an object in the sky again and it was rather small. Then I thought for a moment there were two, close together. At this moment I grabbed my cell phone and made a call to a friend to tell him what I was seeing. The reversal was wired (from going left to right then right to left and the disappearing vapors, that was weird.

Thank you to the witness for their report.

Brian Vike

Director HBCC UFO Research
Canadian Toll Free UFO Hotline 1 866 262 1989 - Free call.
Home - Phone/Fax 1 250 845 2189
HBCC UFO Forum Board
<http://216.147.50.102/HBCC-UFO/index.php>
email: hbccufo@telus.net
Website: <http://www.hbccufo.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Socorro

From: James Easton <voyager@jeaston.com>
Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 04:41:10 -0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 00:21:24 -0500
Subject: Socorro

The following might be of interest:

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UFORL/message/2217>

Please note inherent copyright and also the latest update
at:

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UFORL/message/2224>

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@jeaston.com

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

Trindade Material - Part II

From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <gevaerd@ufo.com.br>
Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 07:53:18 -0200
Fwd Date: Mon, 01 Dec 2003 17:01:43 -0500
Subject: Trindade Material - Part II

Dear Folks:

Again, as promised earlier, I am posting some more material published by Brazilian UFO researchers about the Trindade Island case - below. It was kindly, professionally, translated by the Translation Co-ordinator of the Brazilian UFO Magazine, Marcos Malvezzi Leal, and his sister, Martha Malvezzi Leal.

This time it is Alexandre de Carvalho Borges' article published at the magazine. He is a system analyser from Salvador, Bahia, who is presently preparing a dossie about the case. He has gone deep into the info and will have his material concluded at any time both in Portuguese and in English.

A. J. Gevaerd

The Controversial Trindade Island Incident

Alexandre de Carvalho Borges

The photographs of a UFO over Trindade Island, on January 16, 1958, are considered a landmark in ufology worldwide and a very strong evidence of extraterrestrial life existence. However, they still arouse controversy and new criticism. Actually, the criticism is old and was raised at the time of the incident, but it was renewed based on presumed new studies. In national and international debates two particular critiques were raised against the major pieces of evidence of the incident: the matter concerning the number of witnesses aboard the ship Almitante Saldanha who claimed to have seen the UFO and the photographs taken by Almiro Bara=FAna. In the first case, skeptics allege that the UFO researchers do not have the names or the testimonies of all the 48 witnesses who supposedly were aboard at that time.

In the UFO literature the number of the mentioned witnesses varies between 10 and 48, a fact that has been pointed out by the critics as a failure from the UFO researchers. The witnesses that we know so far are Jos=E9 dos Santos Saldanha da Gama, Carlos Alberto Bacellar, Paulo Moreira da Silva, Jos=E9 Teobaldo Viegas, Mauro Andrade, Amilar Vieira Filho, Homero Ribeiro, Farias de Azevedo; a sailor whose first name is all we know, Alo=EDsio; and the photographer himself, Almiro Bara=FAna. Among these people, some would be indirect witnesses - they were aboard the shipe when the UFO was seen, but did not actually see it. Such fact is also criticized by the incident debunkers, but a current effort in order to obtain documents of that time which contain the names of all the witnesses - whether it was 48 or less - and an attempt to obtain their testimony would be sufficient to neutralize the skepticism.

The second question recently raised on the Trindade Island incident is against the photographic evidence obtained by Bara=FAna, which is the key factor that led the incident to acquire worldwide fame. In the last months a controversial article against the legitimacy of the photographs was published. The author, Martin Powell, rekindles the discussion that the

photographs taken by Bara=FAAna were a hoax in which the technique of double exposure had been used to fabricate the UFO over the island. Powell argues that Bara=FAAna had taken pictures of an airplane in the sky somewhere and afterwards photographed Trindade Island, thus causing the double exposure. He goes on to say that the UFO seen on the second photograph of the sequence is the same UFO seen on the first photograph, but in an inverted position. He also states that the remaining photos would be degraded through a process of successive copies taken from the first picture by Bara=FAAna.

Notwithstanding the great debates aroused on the Web, the article has many flaws; firstly because Powell presents his analysis based only in low quality copies of the photographs that were not directly obtained from the original ones; and mainly because he never analyzed the negatives - something that would have been essential. An assessment of such material is vital if one is to raise suspicion over the entire incident, since nothing else can be said about it. And without such analysis, no study, such as Powell's, can be taken seriously. As far as we know, at the time of the incident the negatives were analyzed by independent laboratories and no degradation of photos was found, let alone the evidence that they were obtained by a double exposure hoax. The copies used by Powell when he tried to cast doubt on the veracity of the incident were degraded because they were taken from a low quality printed book.

Other researchers also raised suspicions as to the correct sequence in which the photos were supposedly taken. The main one - the claim about the UFO's inverted position from photograph one to photograph two - doesn't hold water either. If the object exhibited in one photograph is the same exhibited in the other, on condition that one of them was inverted, the objects should have been of the same size, since they were the same object. However, the photographs show the UFO with apparent different sizes, as expected. In the path followed by the object while flying over Trindade Island, it came from the sea, flew over the place, and went back to the sea [See the sequence of the four photos]. And when the UFO was exactly over the sea, its apparent size seemed to be smaller than when the object was flying over the island, near Desejado peak [Photo 3]. In fact, it was at that point that the UFO was closer to the ship; thus its size was apparently bigger. Due to this feature, and some others, that shot turned out to be the best among the four snapshots Bara=FAAna had taken.

Photograph annalists gave their opinion about the article and did not agree with its results either. The engineer and co-editor of UFO magazine in Brazil, Claudeir Covo, and the photograph annalist of the North American Mutual UFO Network (Mufon), Jeffrey Sainio, are radically against Powell's "findings". Claudeir, for example, points out that a double exposure would certainly have been detected on the negatives, despite the fact that the photos were soon developed aboard the ship, which denies the allegation of fraud. The discussions continue and, in spite of the flaws, the article attracted publicity, which favored the all-time skeptics and finally aroused a conflict between them and the present researches. But both groups agree that new analyses conducted on the negatives would completely eliminate any fragments of doubt concerning the photographs of the Trindade Island incident, although no pixel of hoax has been found so far, nor any fact that might discredit Bara=FAAna's reputation.

The sighting of this UFO by the crewmembers of navy's training ship Almirante Saldanha was not the only incident that took place on Trindade Island. At least seven previous observations had occurred, and had been documented since November 1957. On two occasions the appearance of a UFO over the site was followed by interference on the island radio station that used to contact weather balloons launched for scientific research. Such a fact is parallel to the sighting of the UFO over Almirante Saldanha because on that occasion the machinery of the ship also suffered interference. We also have the information of a UFO seen by a sergeant who was stationed on the Island; but little is known about that incident. Anyway, the irreproachable reputation of Bara=FAAna, the previous occurrences and the excellent quality of the photos taken give us a strong basis to support the famous Trindade Island incident, in spite of all the contradictory work done by the critics.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

'Scooby-Doo And The Alien Invaders'

From: James Easton <voyager@jeaston.com>
Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 04:38:58 -0000
Fwd Date: Mon, 01 Dec 2003 16:57:39 -0500
Subject: 'Scooby-Doo And The Alien Invaders'

The following might be of interest to UFO UpDates subscribers:

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UFORL/message/2157>

Therein, I wrote:

"At the previous invitation of Chris, an imminent issue of 'The Skeptic' magazine will be entirely dedicated to the story of Britain's 'Roswell' - those infamous Rendlesham forest 'UFO' encounters - featuring contributions from myself and others".

Prof. Chris French now confirms this will in fact be a special 'double-issue'.

James Easton.
E-mail: voyager@jeaston.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs -

From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
Date: Mon, 01 Dec 2003 11:15:50 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 00:40:36 -0500
Subject: Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs -

Good Day Fellow Listorians,

In 1948 First Secretary of Defense, James Forrestal invited Maj. General J. (Wild Bill) Donovan, former first Director of the "Office of Coordinator of Information" (COI), then later the Director of "The Office of Strategic Services" (OSS), to serve on a secret committee including Dr. Vannevar Bush, Admiral Sidney Souers, and General Alfred M. Gruenther to study "defensive problems" against "unconventional attack" against the United States. [With emphasis on the Russians]

The study was split into 7 categories:

- 1). Clandestine attack employing "biological weapons."
- 2). Clandestine delivery of atomic explosives.
- 3). Attacks on key individuals, groups or installations.
- 4). Certain special applications of psychological warfare (i.e., thought control or special mode as it was called).
- 5). Unconventional methods of economic warfare.
- 6). Clandestine attack employing chemical weapons.
- 7). Clandestine attack employing radiological weapons.

Given the afore mentioned names - was this committee borne from all the UFO activity the previous year?

Does the known existence of this committee support "Majestic Documents?"

Was this a "sub-committee" of MJ-12?

Thoughts anyone?

Regards,

Frank Warren

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Airdrie, Alberta

From: **Brian Vike - HBCC UFO** <hbccufo@telus.net>
Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 11:38:41 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 00:44:13 -0500
Subject: Airdrie, Alberta

Airdrie, Alberta

Date: Early July 2000
Time: Late Evening

A gentleman called on to file a report. He first told me he lives in the next town north of Airdrie, Alberta. Back in the year 2000 he was living in Calgary, Alberta and they had just purchased the home north of Airdrie. The fellow works evenings, so one night when he finished off his shift at work, he asked a good friend if he wanted to take a drive and have a look at his new home he had purchased. He told me it was a beautiful evening and they decided to take the trip to look at the new home. They headed out, both men discussing the distance they would be traveling from Calgary to the new home. The driver thought they would be traveling some 40 kilometers, but his friend thought it would be a little further than that. The driver hit the button on the odometer which set it at zero kilometers. This way they would know just how far they were about to travel one way. The two men arrived safely at their destination and had a look around and took some time talking etc... It was starting to get later into the evening so they thought it best to get back on the road heading towards home. The passenger in the vehicle mentioned to the driver that he had in-laws living in Airdrie and would it be ok if they swung by to show the driver their home.

As they drove along the highway outside of Airdrie the driver noticed some very bright lights coming up from behind them. The lights were very bright, has the passenger had turned around to look he commented on the brightness and how fast the lights were approaching them. Both men thinking this was a vehicle never gave it a lot of thought, other than these lights which were almost blinding to the driver.

Now here is where the story takes a strange twist. All of a sudden the fellows came upon a large sign, it read "Country Hill Boulevard" which is the first exit to Calgary. What is puzzling is that from when the strange lights came up from behind them and to the sign which read "Country Hill Boulevard", there is a possibility that some time has gone missing. Both men do not recall driving through Airdrie at all. The driver took a look at the odometer and he noticed they may be missing 20 kilometers. As he mentioned to me, he never gave any of this much thought, but did find it rather strange. The driver also said he has driven this stretch of highway many times and nothing like this has ever taken place.

I asked the man if he or his friend had ever had any vivid dreams and he said no. As far as he knows nothing out of the ordinary has happened to him other than this one strange event. The driver did mention that his friend did lose a little sleep over the experience.

Thank you to the witnesses for their report.

Brian Vike
Director HBCC UFO Research
Canadian Toll Free UFO Hotline 1 866 262 1989 - Free call.

Home - Phone/Fax 1 250 845 2189
HBCC UFO Forum Board
<http://216.147.50.102/HBCC-UFO/index.php>
email: hbccufo@telus.net
Website: <http://www.hbccufo.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses - Boone

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 17:07:53 EST
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 00:49:48 -0500
Subject: Re: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses - Boone

>From: Vince White <Vinceomni@aol.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 17:19:45 EST
>Subject: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses

>Dr. Greer has made many mistakes - but he, with flaws, made
>history with his uncensored press conference. Let his
>detractors do a better one. Let them develop and screen
>better witnesses. But hopefully the next new disclosure group
>will not begin by censoring it's witnesses. Let the table be
>wide indeed, as the UFO subject in awesome reality is. Let the
>sun shine on open press conferences and open ended hearings.

>Vince White

I'm all for what Greer has been doing BUT he's tackling way too many issues at once. The public isn't use to having so much data thrown at them. Especially a subject as volatile as UFOs.

What Greer should do first is just prove that there is an ET agenda. Solid evidence/proof that can be publicly examined. Once he does that, then he can branch off into new medicines, zero point, and whatever else he's got going.

That's procedure. So far he's done an awesome job of getting the issue out to the public in a huge way, but he's still at the " I'll show you the proof if you can get us immunity from prosecution " stage.

Everyone seems stuck at this. Lotta witnesses I can agree who won't jaw publicly unless they can get immunity. That's a big indicator in the right direction but again, we have to prove, beyond any doubt that there is an ET connection.

Folks in the Carolinas say he's one of the best surgeons ever!

(I say that cause I heard from folks he's part Cherokee and that means we's cousins somewhere down the line)

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Seti@Home Effective? - Friedman

From: Stan Friedman <fsphys@rogers.com>
Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 18:07:13 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 00:52:48 -0500
Subject: Re: Seti@Home Effective? - Friedman

>From: Walt Williams <walt_williams@setv.org>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 17:10:42 -0200
>Subject: Re: Seti@Home Effective?

>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
>>Date: Fri, 28 Nov 2003 19:59:17 EST
>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>Subject: Re: Seti@Home Effective?

>>I've always wondered about this SETI project.

>>Searching for radio signals?

>>What if aliens don't have ears? :)

>Hi Greg and All,

>SETI or rather the people who are implementing the SETI search
>model are not searching for radio signals with modulation, such
>as "sounds". Additionally, you have the direction of the "radio"
>signals confused, SETI is not transmitting, just "listening".
> Finally, the hoped for SETI signal's "message" is the frequency
>of the ETI transmitted signal, which is known loosely within the
>SETI community as the "water-hole" frequencies. Regarding the
>effectiveness of seti@home, who knows?

For many obvious reasons I am far more in favor of the Search
for Extraterrestrial Visitors than for the radio or radio
optical search for signals. The SETI people essentially always
attacked the notion of ET visitors because "one can't get here
from there" and there is supposedly no evidence for UFOs.....
They never refer to the large scale scientific studies of UFOs
or to the engineering studies of interstellar travel and act as
though they were experts on the behavior of aliens!! I have a
challenge to SETI specialists at my

website <http://www.stantonfriedman.com>

It may be of some interest that both Dr. Seth Shostak, a major
SETI specialist, and I, a major ufologist, will each be giving
three lectures during the final transatlantic crossing of the
Queen Elizabeth 2 from the UK to New York Dec.15-21. Don't know
yet whether we will ever be on the stage at the same time. Could
be interesting. He has refused Art Bell's challenge to debate me
on Art's show.

Has anybody seen his brand new book "Cosmic Company: The Search
for Life in the Universe" co-authored with Alexandra Barnett,.
Cambridge Press?. I can't find it here in Fredericton, but will
try to order it.

In a recent paper he said it would take 100,000 years to get to
the stars... presumably using a chemical rocket. I am interested
in what he says about UFOs.

Stan Friedman

Search for other documents from or mentioning: [seti](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Jones

From: Sean Jones <tedric@tedric.demon.co.uk>
Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 22:18:02 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 00:56:33 -0500
Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Jones

>From: Roy Hale <roy@thelosthaven.co.uk>
>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 23:23:15 -0000
>Subject: Ufology - Ten Questions

>All,

Evening All

>1: If after many years in Ufology, you arrive at the fact, that
>ET has arrived here on this planet, should you be considered
>someone who has a 'Closed Mind'?

By some yes. By myself, no.

>2: If yes to the above, does this mean that the choice of being
>a sceptic is the only one available to UFO research?

Ditto above

>3: If you believe ET has arrived, does this make you less of a
>person to debate with?

Nah, I enjoy a good debate/argument any day <g>

>4: How closely should UFO researchers align themselves with
>Government agencies?

Is there any reason why they should not?

>5: Should censorship play an important role in closing debates,
>if answers are not forthcoming?

In what way? It has never stopped the speculation.

>6: Is UFO research a subject for free thinkers, or is there a
>line to toe?

Depends what "clique" you are in <g>

>7: Who patented UFO thought as non-ET related?

Me! Or was that Andy Roberts?

>8: How far should one use, web stats tracking as evidence of
>inquiry?

Depends on your term "use". I would not use them in any other
way than as evidence of interest.

>9: How far should personal prejudice play a role in your UFO
>research? 1

None

>10: Should any researcher, be treated as an unreliable
>candidate for UFO research DATA if they have used illegal drugs
>- past or present?

Oh give Andy a rest. We all have skeletons in our closet. I know some Police officers who took (or still take) "drugs" does that stop them being a decent Police officer? Does an airline pilot who takes pain killers become a drug user?

>Roy

Roy you obviously have an _issue_ with Andy Roberts and his recreational pharmaceutical habit, I don't. I consider it about the same as cigarette smokers, drinkers of alcoholic beverages and et al.

It is the quality of their work which should be treated with or without respect. Not some other issue.

Hold on, I'm now going to have John Velez point out about a certain un-mentionable and that his practices outside Ufology etc. So you could ask where do I draw the line, well in that case, I would say that each case has it's individual merits/demerits and should be judged individually, pretty much like each UFO research case.

Well better get off my soap box now as I have shed loads of work to be getting on with.

Warmest to all

Sean

AKA no one in particular, and not a drinker, smoker or drug user, hey I *must* be a boring old fart eh?

--

In an infinite universe, infinitely anything is possible.

Sean Jones

<http://www.tedric.demon.co.uk/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Vernon British Columbia - 11-30-03

From: **Brian Vike - HBCC UFO** <hbccufo@telus.net>
Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 14:44:31 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 09:24:03 -0500
Subject: Vernon British Columbia - 11-30-03

Vernon, British Columbia

Date: November 30, 2003
Time: 4:45 p.m.

Hello Brian

On November 30. Sunday at 4:45 p.m. I was watching a bright white light hovering low in the south west horizon. It was in the wrong place for Venus. A few minutes of observation and I knew it wasn't a plane or a helicopter. At about 4:50 it was slowly moving toward the west. It remained a bright white light. A few minutes later and I watched it descend into the trees on the hill directly south of Vernon airport. Through the binos I watched it disappear from sight, and the bottom of the light "seemed" to turn orange red as if it was shutting down.

Then just over the lake my eye caught strobing lights moving too fast for a small plane about to land. I saw an "orb ascend quickly" towards (sort of) the north from the lake and in the binoculars it seemed to go right by a plane heading southwest.

The plane was low (approx. 15,000 ft) with its wing lights on. The orb had pink strobing lights but was barely visible to the naked eye. The orb reminded me of the globes that appear when Ghosts are filmed. Nebulous but there. The sky was still in its final colors of sunset, though the sun was long gone.

Wow. was I excited. take care

Thank you to the witness for the report.

Brian Vike
Director HBCC UFO Research
Canadian Toll Free UFO Hotline 1 866 262 1989 - Free call.
Home - Phone/Fax 1 250 845 2189
HBCC UFO Forum Board
<http://216.147.50.102/HBCC-UFO/index.php>
email: hbccufo@telus.net
Website: <http://www.hbccufo.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Dusty Disc May Mean Other Earths

From: Charles Chapman <charlesrc90405@yahoo.com>
Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 15:07:53 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 09:25:56 -0500
Subject: Dusty Disc May Mean Other Earths

Source: BBC-On-Line

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/science/nature/3251382.stm>

Dusty disc may mean other Earths
By Dr David Whitehouse
BBC News Online science editor

Astronomers say they have evidence for Earth-like planets orbiting a nearby star, making it more like our own Solar System than any yet discovered.

The star, Vega, is one of the brightest in the sky, only 25 light-years away. It is three times larger than our Sun and, at 350 million years old, much younger as well.

Vega has a disc of dust circling it, and at least one large planet which could sweep debris aside allowing smaller worlds like Earth to exist.

The analysis, by astronomers from the Royal Observatory, Edinburgh, is published in The Astrophysical Journal, and is based on observations taken with one of the world's most sensitive cameras.

The device, the Submillimetre Common-User Bolometer Array (Scuba), is attached to the James Clerk Maxwell radio telescope in Hawaii.

Computer model

Its detailed images of Vega and its environment confirm the presence of a disc of very cold dust (-180C) in orbit around the star.

New computer modelling techniques show that structure seen in the disc can be best explained by a Neptune-like planet orbiting at a similar distance to Neptune in our own Solar System and having similar mass.

The wide orbit of the Neptune-like planet means that there is plenty of room inside it for small rocky planets similar to the Earth. "The shape of the disc is the clue that it is likely to contain planets," says Mark Wyatt of the Royal Observatory, Edinburgh.

"Although we can't directly observe the planets, they have created clumps in the disc of dust around the star."

If this is the case then it may mean that Vega has a planetary system like our own.

Subject to test

The Vega system may have evolved a similar way to our Solar System with gas giants such as Neptune forming close to the Sun, and then being pushed out to their current orbits by gravitational interactions with their neighbours.

During this process such giant planets suck in all the debris that would otherwise pound young planets, allowing life to develop more easily upon them.

The idea can be tested in two ways as Wayne Holland, who made the original observations, explains: "The model predicts that the clumps in the disc will rotate around the star once every 300 years.

"If we take more observations after a gap of a few years we should see the movement of the clumps.

"Also the model predicts the finer detail of the disc's clumpiness which can be confirmed using the next generation of telescopes and cameras."

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Scabrous Shadows And The Blue Hare

From: Alfred Lehmborg <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
Date: Mon, 01 Dec 2003 17:27:51 -0600
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 09:33:54 -0500
Subject: Scabrous Shadows And The Blue Hare

Scabrous Shadows And The Blue Hare... Scurrilous Psycho_social Shenanigans!

By Alfred Lehmborg

I've just finished the highly controversial Max Burns article "The Usual Suspects" (in the latest "Notes From The Borderland" edited by Dr. Larry O'Hara), and, based very inconclusively on personal interactions with some of the principals, I can make a confident prediction on where I'd come down at some quickly approaching future denouement. Additionally, and as hyperbolic as the reader may believe this to be, I am energized to new levels of ufological rage with regard to the way things appear and as they have been verified by the principals in this affair! Verily, I'm fit to be tied, reader, and more to the point, I hope to engage you in this rage, encourage your indignation, precipitate your resentment, and fan the very flames of your well provoked wrath!

It appears we have been done blithe disservice, fellow ufological travelers! We're led down smug and defeatist paths leading to confusion, indifference, ignoble bias, programmed ignorance, and likely... personal loss. Wheels were wastefully spun in this disservice; personal liberties may have been lost in this disservice; civil rights may have been suspended in this disservice; ufology may have been set back 20 years with this disservice! Egregious crimes against the rising and advancing of a human spirit may have been perpetrated, and it may be that what has been exposed is a likely tip of the proverbial iceberg!

...Any reader considering the preceding mere hyperbole can just go straight to hell; there was no arguing with you anyway!

For the rest... there has evolved, disastrously, a way of doing ufological business, for some, that must be nipped in the seed pod! The Neo_psychosocials (exposed by Jerry Clark) have at last demonstrated that they have gone too far and may have recently admitted to a decades long program (a pathology, really) of deliberate obfuscation, evidence corruption, witness manipulation (if not, intimidation!), and criminal confabulation... extending god_knows where and concealing god_knows_what!

What have they done?

Significant and well known persons (names we know!) are implicated in a seemingly well supported charge of conspiracy to deceive and defraud... as a result of hoaxes fabricated, by them, in an effort to confound legitimate researchers and invalidate real research! The admitted fact of it is defended, too late, by the principals... as a practical exercise in the "interests of science", and as an "honorable" devise to keep our ufology (already rife with debilitating hoaxes) honest! That is too little, too late, and too conveniently contrived, good reader. Moreover, it doesn't wash!

It's like a person caught peeing in your swimming pool protesting it needed to be done to lower the water's pH! It's like a thief selling a phony insurance policy and laughing at

your inability to get a pay_out! It's like an obvious sinner protesting his ultimate saintliness and then dashing off with his best friend's wife! I would hope that all these persons implicated in this scurrilous deception (psycho_socials and assorted CSICOPians) step out of the shadows (Burns has intimated in his article) and disclose what they know about this programmed hoaxing in an effort to filter the befouled ufological waters! I won't hold my breath!

These misguided, erroneous, and unethical neo_psychosocials have needlessly, arrogantly, and as a result of self_involved conceit and clear disrespect for_you_, good reader, completely overstepped their ethical bounds... and in the name of science, no less! Pause to guffaw!

I cannot _conceiv_ of the sneering arrogance from Mr. Andy Roberts or his false bravado with regard to his PRIDE in the perpetration of a series of energy wasting hoaxes (...for _how_ many years now?)! How blatantly ignorant! How tragically unenlightened! How unconscionably destructive! Moreover, who died and elected him our ufological god of manipulated realities? More still, from what fetid depths of bald_faced self_involvement could this monstrous conceit even originate? Just who does he think he is, and what personal credibility does he imagine is _not_ destroyed, and why does he think we need believe a single thing a psycho_social ufologist has to say... ever again?

Don't these people realize that it is _their_ behavior that is the most lamentable, and that much more is said about _them_ in cases such as this... than is said with regard to anything or anyone they'd criticize! Can they _not_ understand how their performance is no different than going to the police with a well constructed report for a fictional crime being committed, and then chastise and ridicule the _police_ for believing this fabrication? Where is the difference? What service is performed but the marginalization of the _righteous_ and the minimalization of the _truth_? Why would we not be completely opposed to _any_ confabulation of the truth, for _any_ reason? Why would we remotely tolerate this heedless and _enraging_ transgression? Will you tolerate it?

Was a *ringer* (the "Blue Hare" in the title of this piece) sent into a Max Burns lecture to record false testimony planted as a result of Mr. Roberts ongoing and proudly _admitted_ neo-psychosocial program of shameful duplicity and insane hoaxes? How is this _not_ evidence of the psycho_socials unethically trying to torpedo Max Burns' investigation into Sheffield? Verily, were these individuals to play that dishonorable game with me they could compensate me in civil court for infringing on my civil rights!

Does the reader remember when Dr. John Mack had a seminar of abductees some years ago and used much of the testimony of the individuals at the seminar in his research later on? When he published his findings, subsequently, the robed and hooded CSICOPians gleefully trotted out the female *ringer* that they had intelligently prepared and then scurrilously planted in Dr. Mack's innocent bosom... to demonstrate the invalidity of his _entire_ research! Does anyone else see a parallel here? Really, good reader! How does an artful and prepared _liar_ invalidate a research, at all, but by its innocent and guileless inclusion in that research? A color test for sugar _presumes_ that there won't be any _salt_ used as a control in the test, reader! Truly, this duplicity _shall_ not be taken as a comment on Dr. Mack's competence as a scientist, more than be taken as an _indictment_ on the acts of what can only be called his less than honorable, uptight, and reactionary _persecutors_!

Is Max Burns being persecuted in a similar fashion? This writer remembers the plight of John Ford and wonders! Are the busy imps of *Psycho-socia* passionately engaged in poisoning his ufological wells, refusing his challenges to debate on the record, denying him his opportunity to be heard, assassinating his character, or sabotaging his research and investigation? Burns makes a good, non-paranoid, case that they are! He has citation, he names names, and significant persons have come out in his defense (not the least of which are his detractors... by their own admissions!). His artless detractors, on the other hand, use character smears, duplicitous spins (one involving this writer!), and strength of numbers to invalidate, harass,

minimalize, and marginalize him!

Seriously, reader! When Max Burns says he wrote his article to make a report demonstrating how some of our number are prosecuting their ufological business, he wasn't just whistling Dixie! Something is indeed rotten in psycho-social Denmark, it's stinking to high heaven, and it is to the detriment of all of us if it be allowed to continue. This admitted neo-psychosocial program of hidden duplicity, false witness, and convenient ethics is counterproductive, cross-purposed, and basely unintelligent. Verily, they are a ufological al-Qaida in our midst and must be rooted out by flower, seed and stem! Where is your rage, good reader? You've been played for a ufological fool! Get angry! You're entitled!

I highly recommend that you read this article, which is a ponderous and detailed read, but an indicator that ufology is one hell of a lot more muddled than it needs to be, suffers needless and deliberately contrived hurdles in its hamstrung execution, and is manipulated or intimidated by self-styled agents of malicious misdirection! These practitioners of easy duplicity should be run out of town on a ufological rail! They've betrayed the trust and must be censured! Verily, they perform no service but a clear disturbance of the peace. Moreover, they are the antithesis of science. They are dirt on our ufological shoes. They must be... stopped!

Look around reader! Psycho-social Ufology is not as it appears! Buyer... beware!

Lehmberg@snowhill.com

EXPLORE "AlienViewGroup" at its HostPros URL.
<http://www.alienview.net>

JOHN FORD RESTORATION FUND __ John will be released eventually. He'll need a tax free cash stake to get on his feet. Let's put one together for him; the bigger it is __ the more attention he gets. It could have been you. E_mail for detail.
\$350.00 pledged __ \$200.00 collected!

"I cleave the heavens, and soar to the infinite. What others see from afar, I leave far behind me." -- Giordano Bruno, scourged by the scabrously specious psycho-socials.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses - Velez

From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 19:12:22 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 09:39:51 -0500
Subject: Re: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses - Velez

>From: Vince White <Vinceomni@aol.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 17:19:45 EST
>Subject: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses

Hello Vince,

You write:

>There has been a long and vehement criticism of the DISCLOSURE
>PROJECT press conference for daring to mention such topics as;
>operational gravity control, zero point energy, space-based SDI,
>and deep conspiracies relating to a massive coverup.

Not a single one of the "disclosure witnesses" has testified about 'space-based weaponry' or 'zero point energy.'

The fact is; each and every witness has testified about something _UFO_ related, but not a single word was ever spoken (_prior_ to the press conference) about either one of the other two separate and completely _unrelated_ (to UFO info disclosure) issues.

Ya know, Vince... a good 'offense' is not always the best 'defence'.' That, in spite of accepted Monday morning quarterback wisdom!

Prior to the press conference, Greer _never_ once mentioned that he was going to 'tack-on' the issues of 'space-based weapons' or 'zero-point energy' to the original UFO agenda. There were several posts to this List where it was intimated how Greer's own people were shocked at his inclusion of those (unrelated to UFOs) issues at the eleventh hour.

Attaching a hot-button political issue like space-based weaponry onto the original UFO agenda, on his own, without the testimonial support of the witnesses, or even his own people, (who were reported to have vehemently protested his inclusion of it) was something that outraged a lot of people. Not just me.

I have not read (or written myself) any posts which attempts to "censor" the witnesses or Greer. What are you talking about here? Could you please refer the rest of us to the posts where either the witnesses or Dr. Greer is being "censored?" - by myself or anybody else.

>Dr. Greer is excoriated for this "political baggage" being
>affixed to pure UFO disclosure. There is no such purity
>possible.

What do you mean by "purity", Vince? No one, Greer included, ever mentioned 'space-based weaponry' or 'zero point energy' _prior_ to the press conference. As far as any of us ever knew, the hearings were supposed to help secure disclosure of information relating to _UFOs_ (UAPs whatever you prefer) but I repeat, never, not once, were either of the other two issues mentioned by Greer, the witnesses, or anybody else who was associated with his so called "Disclosure Project."

I challenge you to show us any evidence, or even mention of either of the added-on issues prior to the press conference. Greer caught everybody with their pants down around their ankles with those two little 'bombs'.

And now, here you are attempting to portray any public protest about Greer's actions as; an attempt to censor Greer and the witnesses. What totally made-up Balderdash!

Levelling a charge of censorship is a load of hooey! If I didn't already suspect the probable answer, I'd ask you where you "pulled that one out of!" ie; censorship of Greer and the witnesses)

>Mentioning these subjects is supposed to have frightened
>Congress out of open hearings. If true a sad commentary on the
>level of legislative moral courage present - and prospects for
>vigorous inquiry.

That is a patently false and misleading remark. First, it was John Alexander, former head of NIDS that accused Greer of having screwed up efforts that were being made toward securing congressional hearings by taking his dog and pony show before the national press. According to Alexander, negotiations were already underway when Greer went ahead and 'did his own thing' without consulting (or concern apparently) for any one or anything else.

John Alexander has publicly accused Greer of derailing an actual effort to secure congressional disclosure hearing. Something that Greer has not had to answer for. Nay... instead of being held accountable for his act, or having to respond to Alexander's damning indictment, he is 'rewarded' (by his cronies) by being invited to speak at UFO conferences. As if he represented the interests of anyone other than himself.

>Those objecting to these additional subjects are basically
>asking for censorship of witness testimony.

Nonsense! You have no evidence. Show me where anyone has attempted to "censor" the witnesses or Greer.

>If government possession of alien derived zero point energy
>devices is an integral part of coverup motives, shall we censor
>witnesses, or intel sources carefully developed, who say these
>things?

Geez, that's one hell of an assumption you make, Vince!

"Zero point energy is an integral part of the cover-up?" Says who? Greer? You? I'm still waiting for somebody to show me one tiny shred of evidence for such a thing.

And, may I ask....

What "intel sources?" Oh, do you mean those "highly placed and always unnamed sources" that Greer is always referring to! The same ones that only he is apparently privy to. Are these the same unnamed "intel sources" that have given Greer exclusive, insider information about the plot to stage a phoney alien invasion so that the military industrial complex can fully take over the running of this country and the world?

Are these "intel sources" you refer to the same ones that gave Greer exclusive, insider information about how 98% of all UFO abductions are being committed by clandestine military factions of the US government?

Gimme a break.

These "intel sources" you mention seem to suffer from a terminal case of diarrhea of the mouth every time Greer walks into a room! Or so he would have us all believe. Greer would have us all believe that highly placed "intel sources" have spilled their guts and confess -to him and to him only- everything there is to know about all of these global 'take-over' conspiracies.

I don't know who's nuttier, Greer or anyone who buys into his paranoid delusions.

>If a witness speaks of UFOs being targeted by our space based

>SDI assets, censor this risky reality?

Again, show me where anybody has done that. It's really a rhetorical question because, bottom-line, you can't. No one has attempted to "censor" anybody. Greer or the witnesses. Not on this List anyway.

>I have deep doubts concerning Dr. Greer's sources accuracy
>regarding abductions & cattle mutilations. He appears to be even
>the victim of disinformation on these issues.

And many others. Funny, you admit to feeling this way about Greer, yet you try to cover for him by painting his critics as people who are trying to "censor" him. (and the witnesses!)

Your passion is there and intact. The only thing missing is evidence for your empty "censorship" accusation.

>Hearings MUST be open ended, to even begin address this
>massive coverup - -largest in history.

There are strong indicators that such is the case but we have no proof as yet of any such thing. There 'may be' (for all we know) good and valid reasons for keeping certain information from the public. Frankly, I don't know what those reasons could be, but because I (and everyone else) do not know, we need to wait until more facts and information is in before we can call our government's handling of information pertaining to UFOs "the largest mass cover-up in history." It's still a wee bit early in the game to leap to that conclusion. Although it may very well be true.

Consider this: If it turns out that aliens from outer space are here to eat our babies and rape the triple holes in our vintage Buicks with their trident members, the government may be showing good sense and have good reason to keep that knowledge from the 'general' public. Just a metaphor but you get my drift I'm sure.

We don't have all the answers yet. Too early to arrive ay any conclusions.

>Let the
>sun shine on open press conferences and open ended hearings.

Finally, something we can agree on! Eureka!!!

Regards,

John Velez, speaking strictly for myself

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Soliciting Articles

From: Roy Hale - The Lost Haven <roy@thelosthaven.co.uk>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 00:54:30 -0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 09:43:17 -0500
Subject: Soliciting Articles

Dear Colleagues,

The new year is approaching fast, and I am looking for new UFO articles for my UFO 'Ezine - Down To Earth.

If you have a serious article for publishing, then please contact me on the below address.

ufos@thelosthaven.co.uk

If you are writing a book, or have a video out then please furnish me with the details, all applications are considered for web site placement (No fee required).

For an example on book adverts click here:
<http://www.thelosthaven.co.uk/AwakeMaryUFO.htm>

Exposure guaranteed to a hungry world of UFO info seekers!

Word format, or text files accepted, please e-mail me prior to sending - anti-virus precautions.

Regards,

Roy.

Visit The Lost Haven
<http://www.thelosthaven.co.uk>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Didsbury Alberta 11-28-03

From: **Brian Vike - HBCC UFO** <hbccufo@telus.net>
Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 17:42:27 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 09:49:15 -0500
Subject: Didsbury Alberta 11-28-03

Didsbury, Alberta

Date: November 28, 2003
Time: approx: 12:00 (midnight)

I had a call from a fellow who resides in Didsbury, he read an article in the Airdrie Echo about sightings that have taken place around the community recently. He was outside his home and reports seeing three very bright lights sitting over the Rocky Mountain Range. He reports watching them for approximately three minutes before they all blinked out at the same time. The witness reports that the lights were white/yellow in color. The lights did move around from side to side, up and down which the witness found very strange. He also reports that the lights moved individually, not together as one unit. Due to the distance the fellow was to the lights, which as a long ways away, he said they were fairly close together when looking from his location. But thought if one would have been up close then the lights would have been spread out a good distance from one another.

Thank you to the witness for the report.

Brian Vike
Director HBCC UFO Research
Canadian Toll Free UFO Hotline 1 866 262 1989 - Free call.
Home - Phone/Fax 1 250 845 2189
HBCC UFO Forum Board
<http://216.147.50.102/HBCC-UFO/index.php>
email: hbccufo@telus.net
Website: <http://www.hbccufo.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Norwood Photos On-line - Maccabee

From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac@compuserve.com>
Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 22:25:08 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 09:51:00 -0500
Subject: Re: Norwood Photos On-line - Maccabee

>From: Kenny Young <ufo@fuse.net>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Thu, 27 Nov 2003 08:45:05 -0500
>Subject: Norwood Photos On-line>

>To see images of the little-known Norwood UFO of 1949, a
l>egendary incident involving Army Sgt. Donald R. Berger, see:

><http://home.fuse.net/ufo/norwoodphotos.html>

I studied this case when I first came upon it back in the '70's.
The lack of multiple photos is disappointing. It would be nice
if there were a photo taken from a distance showing the beam
going up at a slant angle, similar to the photo from the BOLA
(Battle of Los Angeles) case where several searchlight beams
converge on.... something.

Also, the Norwood case was particularly frustrating to read
because the detection of the object was a repeatable event for
several days, yet there was no really scientific study of it.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Physicist Explains String Theory

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 09:56:33 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 09:56:33 -0500
Subject: Physicist Explains String Theory

Source: Daily Nexus - UC Santa Barbara's Student Newspaper

<http://www.ucsbdailynews.com/news/2003/6192.html>

Physicist Explains String Theory to SB
By Ben Krasnow - Staff Writer

Monday, November 24, 2003

Physicist Brian Greene taught audience members how to see in 10 dimensions at the Lobero Theatre Sunday night.

A leading string theorist and a member of UCSB's Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics, Greene recounted the highlights of his book, *The Elegant Universe*. After going over the physics background necessary to understand string theory, Greene drew audible "wows" from the audience when he explained the core underpinnings of string theory, and how our understanding of the universe could subsequently change.

Greene was introduced by Chris Carter, producer and writer of the TV show, 'The X-Files'. Carter recalled reading Greene's book and thinking about the implications of these new ideas in physics. Sometimes the reality of what theoretical physics predicts is hard to grasp, even for a science fiction writer.

"As strange as 'The X-Files' could be, we never got as far out as this guy," Carter said.

Theoretical physics describes a branch of science that tries to explain physical phenomena by using mathematics and careful thought instead of empirical measurements. Greene hailed Albert Einstein as the most influential theoretical physicist in history.

"He changed the ideas of space and time," Greene said.

Einstein's first major contribution to the modern understanding of physics was the introduction of the ultimate speed limit - the speed of light, Greene said. Before this maximum speed limit was introduced, scientists thought some things could happen instantaneously, like gravity. Newton's law of gravitation states that the attraction felt between two objects is instantaneous. Einstein stated that this is not possible. Nothing - no signal, no information, no influence - can travel faster than the speed of light.

Light has been measured to travel at 186,000 miles per second. The sun is so far away that even at that tremendous speed, it takes nearly eight minutes for the its light to reach the Earth. If the sun disappeared right now, we could not possibly know until eight minutes had passed. Earth's gravitational attraction to the sun holds the planet in orbit. If gravity acted instantaneously and light did not, the earth would leave its orbit before the darkness accompanying the sun's disappearance reached it.

"The fact that there is a speed limit is a problem for Newton's Law," Greene said.

Greene said that Einstein's second major contribution was his theory of general relativity. This theory provides a way to understand how gravity works while still obeying the maximum speed limit. In fact, Einstein's calculations proved that the speed of gravity should be exactly the same as the speed of light. Greene said the reason Einstein has been praised so much is because his ideas unified two things - the speed of light and the speed of gravity. Physical theories that unify separate phenomena are always desirable because they approach the idea that there is one singular theory that could explain everything in the universe, Greene said.

To explain general relativity, Greene used the analogy of a thin horizontal rubber sheet. If the sheet was perfectly flat, a marble placed on the sheet would have no tendency to roll in any direction. If a bowling ball was placed on the sheet, it would form a large depression. The marble would roll down into the depression, toward the bowling ball. The heavier the bowling ball, the bigger the depression, and the faster the marble will roll toward it. If the bowling ball were removed very quickly, the rubber sheet would take a short time to return to its flat shape. That amount of time is analogous to the speed of gravity.

"Gravity is the fabric of space and time," Greene said.
"Einstein's theory works just a little better than Newton's."

In the case of the sun disappearing, general relativity states that the earth would remain in orbit for eight minutes after the sun is gone. This is the time it would take for the gravity wave to reach earth and release it from the depression in space that is currently holding it the sun's orbit.

Besides relativity, there is another area of physics that has changed markedly in the last century. This field is called quantum theory, and it describes how physics works on a very small scale. Greene said that on a macro scale, the warping of space is very smooth, like a smooth depression in a rubber sheet. On the micro scale, space is not smooth. It is constantly churning and moving, like the surface of boiling water. Quantum theory describes this rapid and chaotic motion, and has some startling physical conclusions.

"If you fire a particle like an electron at a barrier that classically it could not penetrate, quantum theory says there is a small chance it can," Greene said.

Greene went on to say that there is even a chance that he could walk into a solid stone column and come out on the other side. The probability is extremely low, but it is not zero according to quantum theory.

String theory provides a way to unify the smoothness of macro space and the roughness and chaos of micro space, Greene said. The basic concept of string theory is that every piece of matter in the universe is composed of very small vibrating loops of string. The pattern of vibrations, much like the pattern of vibrations on the string of a musical instrument, determines the properties of the particle it composes, Greene said.

The dilemma is that the mathematics behind string theory require the tiny loops of string to vibrate in 10 dimensions. This is a problem because no person has ever seen more than three dimensions. This conclusion does not daunt string theorists like Greene. He explained one way in which the other seven dimensions could be hidden to observers by rolling up a sheet of paper. If the flat plane of paper represented space, it has two dimensions: top to bottom, and left to right. If the paper is rolled up into a cylinder, the left to right dimension is unchanged, but the top to bottom dimension is changed into clockwise or counterclockwise. If the paper is rolled tighter, the cylinder will be come very thin, and from afar it may look like a simple line with no rolled-up clockwise dimension. This may be how the seven other dimensions of string theory are hidden.

Greene said that string theory could be strengthened by

observational data from space. When the universe was young, the strings that made up all matter were very energetic. As the universe cooled down and expanded, the imprint left from the energetic strings may have remained. Thus, there could be large, string-shaped artifacts in space waiting to be found that would provide evidence for string theory.

"Nothing to me would be more poetic; no outcome would be more graceful ... than for us to confirm our theories of the ultramicroscopic makeup of spacetime and matter by turning our giant telescopes skyward and gazing at the stars," Greene said.

All content, photographs, graphics and design Copyright © 2000-2003 Daily Nexus.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO Updates Main Index](#)

UFO Updates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses - Maccabee

From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac@compuserve.com>
Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 22:38:22 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 09:59:07 -0500
Subject: Re: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses - Maccabee

>From: Vince White <Vinceomni@aol.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 17:19:45 EST
>Subject: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses

>There has been a long and vehement criticism of the DISCLOSURE
>PROJECT press conference for daring to mention such topics as;
>operational gravity control, zero point energy, space-based SDI,
>and deep conspiracies relating to a massive coverup.

>Dr. Greer is excoriated for this "political baggage" being
>affixed to pure UFO disclosure. There is no such purity
>possible.

<snip>

>Dr. Greer has made many mistakes - but he, with flaws, made
>history with his uncensored press conference. Let his
>detractors do a better one. Let them develop and screen
>better witnesses. But hopefully the next new disclosure group
>will not begin by censoring it's witnesses. Let the table be
>wide indeed, as the UFO subject in awesome reality is. Let the
>sun shine on open press conferences and open ended hearings.

Greer is to be commended for collecting many witnesses and
organizing their public testimony. Where he is faulted is:

(a) some witness testimony would not stand up under diligent
congressional investigation (better vetting needed)

(b) claiming that the government is covering up anti-gravity and
space based weapons when there is, in fact, no confirmable
evidence that either exist (I am, in particular, aware of the
SDI plans for space based weapons... which were never produced
and are still on the "drawing boards"; the needed technology is
still being developed, albeit at a slower pace than 5 years ago,
and the new technologies still have to be "space qualified"
before they are used; I guess maybe 10 years to the first space
based laser, assuming the decision is made to go forward with it
in the next couple of years)

(c) making (b) part of a political agenda not directly related
to the UFO problem.

Greer can not be faulted for the lack of press interest in the
witness testimony from his last public disclosure forum. The
fault lies here with the press and the 'self-cover up' - this
subject has so many ramifications that "no one" wants to
consider it seriously. Members of the press, in particular,
would ignore it rather than get tied up in a big dispute over
UFO reality without absolute hard proof-- hardware and bodies.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses - LeFevre

From: Charlette LeFevre <clefevre@oz.net>
Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 02:20:55 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 10:03:00 -0500
Subject: Re: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses - LeFevre

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 17:07:53 EST
>Subject: Re: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses

>Folks in the Carolinas say he's one of the best surgeons ever!

>(I say that cause I heard from folks he's part Cherokee and
>that means we's cousins somewhere down the line)

Greg, I'm part Cherokee but I don't use that as an excuse to state Greer's level of ability as a surgeon from hearsay. In recent years there have been serious public inquiries and questions on Greer's supposed practice as a surgeon and nothing has been found other than a renewed license (which of course is not proof of active medical service or employment as an emergency room doctor or proof as a hospital board Chairman)

Not that I'm stating these findings are definitive but in fairness if there is any background in the medical practice it would serve Greer to forthright post on his website - he certainly knows of this issue. You have to admit he is very egotistical and disclosure should honestly start with the speaker don't you think?

When the Seattle UFO group had Greer as a speaker in 1998 (when the lecture group was starting out and perhaps still too naive) Greer informed us he was on a "sabbatical" regarding his lengthy absence from the emergency room. Well, surgeon's don't take sabbaticals and even so I have to comment he has certainly been absent from active practice for years now - so much that it seems he should responsibly stop calling himself "Dr."

Realizing the evidence and documentation should stand on its own and doesn't need name dropping. You will notice in all of Greer's presentations he extensively name drops and "his" interview films are roughly chopped up from other interviews taken from other speakers and film makers.

Alot of people share Greer's goals, just not his methods to the point that I can confidently say organizers in Seattle see no need to schedule him for future talks.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Trindade Photos A Fake? - Maccabee

From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac@compuserve.com>
Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 22:25:03 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 09:52:54 -0500
Subject: Re: Trindade Photos A Fake? - Maccabee

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark@frontiernet.net>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 10:50:41 -0600
>Subject: Re: Trindade Photos A Fake?

>>From: Kentaro Mori <airdown@ig.com.br>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 10:52:18 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Trindade Photos A Fake?

>>I don't know. I do have great respect for Klass.

>Though I honestly appreciate your forthrightness here, I am very
>sorry to hear it, and sad to say, it immediately makes me view
>what you're up to with doubt and suspicion. As we say out here
>in Midwestern America, we've already been to that rodeo.

>Having had long experience with Klass, both observing him and
>interacting with him (we exchanged hundreds of letters over the
>years), I came to same conclusion that virtually every American
>ufologist -- starting with James McDonald, the victim of one of
>Klass's most notorious vilification campaigns -- is forced to:
>that he was an obsessed crank who contributed little to the UFO
>debate except noise, strange rhetoric, pseudoscientific
>speculation, and character assassination.

>Long ago, in its February 1981 issue, Fate ran an extended
>article I wrote documenting Klass's deceptive strategies. I
>believe it's out there on the Internet somewhere; it's titled
>"Phil Klass vs. the 'UFO Promoters.'" I wouldn't change a word
>of it. Everything Klass did in the years afterwards, up till
>his recent retirement, simply underscored all of my observations
>of his behavior.

>This view of Klass is also held by many skeptics I have known,
>including my late friends Gordon Stein (who worked at CSICOP
>headquarters as its librarian and archivist, as well as writing
>his own fine skeptical books) and Marcello Truzzi (who cofounded
>CSICOP but left it when he saw that it would be dominated by
>Klass types).

>One does not have to be pro-UFO to hold Klass and his methods in
>disdain. A particularly devastating portrayal of Klass appears
>in Dennis Rawlins's "Starbaby" (which I believe is also out
>there on the Internet), and it's hard to find a harder-core
>skeptic (or a more honest one) than Rawlins. Other prominent
>skeptics, including Jim Lippard, Tom McIver, and Daniel Cohen,
>have also told of their unhappy encounters with Klass. Lippard
>and McIver were so stunned by their experiences, in fact, that
>each independently went to me afterwards for information and
>enlightenment as a longtime Klass watcher.

>If you admire Klass, you and I are living in very different
>worlds, with very different expectations of what intellectual
>integrity is and of how inquiry ought to be conducted, what is
>reasonable argument and what is not, and what is fair game and
>what is not.

I feel I must lend support to Jerry's discussion about Klass and

the "Klassical technique" of "solving" the UFO problem.

I, too, have exchanged many letters with PJK, mostly between 1974 and 1985. the great bulk of these related to McMinnville (photos, Trent, 1950) and New Zealand (Dec. 31, 1978). IN the New Zealand discussion we exchanged, not a few hundred, but perhaps as many as several_thousand_ single spaced pages over about a 5 year period. I dare say it was the largest letter exchange over any one case in history.

And the bottom line was that, in his 1983 book, "UFOs, the Public Deceived," PJK deceived the public by claiming to have explained the NZ sightings. His explanation was, however, completely erroneous, as I had pointed out to him in many letters previous to the writing of his book.

My 'professional opinion' of the way PJK treated the UFO subject is expressed at the following location:

<http://brumac.8k.com/prosaic1.html>

which is a paper I wrote several years ago (at the behest of Eugene Mallove, Editor of Infinite Energy Magazine). In that paper you will see several cases for which PJK provided "prosaic explanations", including the Val Johnson case mentioned previously by Jerry.

One good thing I could say about PJK was that he seemed to be the only skeptic who really took the subject seriously enough to spend a lot of time studying the cases. Also, he "trained" me in how to approach a sighting as might a lawyer, looking for weak points in the case. Unfortunately, he was not competent to argue all the different types of physics one encounters in UFO cases (few people are) but this didn't stop him. He invented and then published explanations even when they were unphysical, explanations that would not have gone unchallenged by conventional physicists had they been published in conventional refereed journals. But explanations published in papers and books can establish an impression that "the case(s) has (have) been explained" and then any refutation that might come later doesn't get the same level of recognition or publicity. The case(s) remain "explained" in the minds of the scientists and general public. Examples of this are in the paper at the above cited web site.

Many years ago I was told (by William Hartmann of the Condon study) with regard to the McMinnville photo case, "You don't need a better, you need a better case." I will paraphrase that remark and apply it to the present situation: "We don't need better cases; we need better skeptics."

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Hale

From: Roy Hale - The Lost Haven <roy@thelosthaven.co.uk>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 12:45:52 -0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 10:12:20 -0500
Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Hale

>From: Sean Jones <tedric@tedric.demon.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 22:18:02 +0000
>Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Jones

Sean,

>Evening All

>>1: If after many years in Ufology, you arrive at the fact, that
>>ET has arrived here on this planet, should you be considered
>>someone who has a 'Closed Mind'?

>By some yes. By myself, no.

Agreed!

>>2: If yes to the above, does this mean that the choice of being
>>a sceptic is the only one available to UFO research?

>Ditto above

I agree, although there does seem to be a national divide.

>>3: If you believe ET has arrived, does this make you less of a
>>person to debate with?

>Nah, I enjoy a good debate/argument any day <g>

Steady Sean, the last argument I had at a UFO conference, ended up
by me settling it with a few drinks and out of pocket!

>>4: How closely should UFO researchers align themselves with
>>Government agencies?

>Is there any reason why they should not?

Whistle-blowers come to mind.

>>5: Should censorship play an important role in closing debates,
>>if answers are not forthcoming?

>In what way? It has never stopped the speculation.

I would hope most people would not prefer censorship, once
started it can extend to many areas of debate. Speculation is
always around never stops.

>>6: Is UFO research a subject for free thinkers, or is there a
>>line to toe?

>Depends what "clique" you are in <g>

I understand.

>>7: Who patented UFO thought as non-ET related?

>Me! Or was that Andy Roberts?

Come on, give Andy Roberts a break!

>>8: How far should one use, web stats tracking as evidence of
>>inquiry?

>Depends on your term "use". I would not use them in any other
>way than as evidence of interest.

Agreed. Although they can be quite helpful in other areas.

>>9: How far should personal prejudice play a role in your UFO
>>research? 1

>None

You passed!

>>10: Should any researcher, be treated as an unreliable
>>candidate for UFO research DATA if they have used illegal drugs
>>- past or present?

>Oh give Andy a rest. We all have skeletons in our closet. I know
>some Police officers who took (or still take) "drugs" does that
>stop them being a decent Police officer? Does an airline pilot
>who takes pain killers become a drug user?

I did not mention Andy once in my main questions. Andy is quite
obviously not the only human on the planet to have engaged in
such activity. But dealing with specific UFO debates, personally
makes the answers for me harder to accept.

>Roy you obviously have an issue with Andy Roberts and his
>recreational pharmaceutical habit, I don't. I consider it about
>the same as cigarette smokers, drinkers of alcoholic beverages
>and et al.

>It is the quality of their work which should be treated with or
>without respect. Not some other issue.

Sean, which case is the one that you would consider, the nearest
to anything ET related, specifically within that case?

Roy

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Socorro - Gates

From: Robert Gates <RGates8254@aol.com>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 02:03:34 EST
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 10:01:11 -0500
Subject: Re: Socorro - Gates

>From: James Easton <voyager@jeaston.com>
>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 04:41:10 -0000
>Subject: Socorro

>The following might be of interest:

><http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UFORL/message/2217>

>Please note inherent copyright and also the latest update
>at:

><http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UFORL/message/2224>

The essence of this story is that somebody is still trying to float the hot air balloon theory as an explanation of Socorro and perhaps other sightings.

A balloon guy (Yost) apparently recalls that some balloons were launched North of Albuquerque in late 63 early 64. However he doesn't recall anything landing at Socorro, south of Albuquerque.

The thoughts apparently was that there was some CIA involvement in the balloon flights, so James FOIAed CIA and they could neither confirm nor deny any records of any balloon flights, thus ended that request.

I suspect the balloon theory will be drifting around... for awhile, but will never quite reach the destination.

Cheers,

Robert

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Aldrich

From: Jan Aldrich <project1947@earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 7:16:12 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 10:06:07 -0500
Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Aldrich

>From: Roy Hale <roy@thelosthaven.co.uk>
>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 23:23:15 -0000
>Subject: Ufology - Ten Questions

<snip>

Have you stopped beating your wife, yes or no?

Jan Aldrich
Project 1947
<http://www.project1947.com/>
P. O. Box 391
Canterbury, CT 06331
(860) 546-9135

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Seti@Home Effective? - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <Stuart.Miller4@btinternet.com>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 13:29:13 -0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 10:53:41 -0500
Subject: Re: Seti@Home Effective? - Miller

>>From: Stan Friedman <fsphys@rogers.com>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 18:07:13 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Seti@Home Effective?

<snip>

>>Has anybody seen his brand new book "Cosmic Company: The Search
>>for Life in the Universe" co-authored with Alexandra Barnett,.
>>Cambridge Press?. I can't find it here in Fredericton, but will
>>try to order it.

>>In a recent paper he said it would take 100,000 years to get to
>>the stars... presumably using a chemical rocket. I am interested
>>in what he says about UFOs.

>Yes, I have a review copy of Shostak's and Barnett's book. I have not read
>it yet but have looked through it. But from the "hardware" point of view it
>is, to look at, quite a beautiful book. Very good quality paper and the
>photos are excellent.with some amusing caricature/puppet type effigies of
>what aliens might look like. The primordial soup theory in relation to the
>origins of Life on Earth is illustrated by a photo of a Campbell's soup tin
>next to a bowl of soup. The label on the Campbells tin says, "Primordial
>Soup". This afternoon I shall be going in to my local Safeways and shall
>attempt to buy a tin. When they tell me they don't stock it, I shall
>produce the photo in the book and ask them to order it for me. Yes, I have
>nothing better to do with my time.

>Contents wise, difficult to judge as I've not read it but it appears to be a
>fairly standard overview. Seven chapters with the following headers:

- >1 Habitats for Life
- >2. What might aliens be like
- >3. Intelligent Life
- >4. Visitors from afar.
- >5. How might we get in touch
- >6. The drake equation
- >7. The future

>My suspicion is that whatever inference you might make from the chapter
>headings are probably correct. In other words, a great Christmas present
>for your niece/nephew particularly if you want to get them going on the
>subject but as for being of interest to more experienced aficionados,
>probably not.

Stan,

In relation to your last point in your post I have now read the
relevant chapter - number four - and he is a sceptic in the
Rimmer/Harney/Clarke/Roberts mould. No evidence,
psychological/human behaviour/we want to believe etc. etc.

I would think in a one to one on the box you wouldn't have too many problems.

Stuart Miller

Search for other documents from or mentioning: [seti](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Socorro - Stanford

From: Ray Stanford <dinotracker@earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 10:22:40 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 10:59:02 -0500
Subject: Re: Socorro - Stanford

>From: James Easton <voyager@jeaston.com>
>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 04:41:10 -0000
>Subject: Socorro

>The following might be of interest:

><http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UFORL/message/2217>

>Please note inherent copyright and also the latest update
>at:

><http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UFORL/message/2224>

If anyone is stupid enough to believe that after learning the facts about the Socorro case and knowing the kind of highly observant and competent observer Zamora has been demonstrated to be (See, e.g., the Clyde Tombaugh's comments about how accurate an observer Zamora proved to be during his short-duration observation of a meteor and its coordinates.), then I have a nice island for sale just across the river from New York State! :-o

Furthermore, a hot-air balloon could not have come down from Albuquerque at that time because the prevailing winds were quite contrary to any such possibility.

Then too, the Socorro object flew from the landing site travelling directly into the winds in the Socorro area at that time, and James Easton knows it and ignores it! I have told Easton this fact (and how I learned it), but he chooses to suck his fantasy thumb and promote his ludicrous tale of bullshit!

Ray Stanford

"You know my method. It is founded upon the observance of trifles." -- Sherlock Holmes in The Boscombe Valley Mystery

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Norwood Photos On-line - Stanford

From: Ray Stanford <dinotracker@earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 10:50:34 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 11:00:17 -0500
Subject: Re: Norwood Photos On-line - Stanford

>From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac@compuserve.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 22:25:08 -0500
>Subject: Re: Norwood Photos On-line

>>From: Kenny Young <ufo@fuse.net>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Thu, 27 Nov 2003 08:45:05 -0500
>>Subject: Norwood Photos On-line>

>>To see images of the little-known Norwood UFO of 1949, a
>>legendary incident involving Army Sgt. Donald R. Berger, see:

>><http://home.fuse.net/ufo/norwoodphotos.html>

>I studied this case when I first came upon it back in the '70's.
>The lack of multiple photos is disappointing.

Honesty, Bruce, you must not have done much of a study!

The photos are frame prints from a 16 mm movie.

Got that? A movie! So there were many photos!

>It would be nice
>if there were a photo taken from a distance showing the beam
>going up at a slant angle, similar to the photo from the BOLA
>(Battle of Los Angeles) case where several searchlight beams
>converge on... something.

You must need glasses, too, Bruce. The movie clearly shows the
spotlight beam going up to the object.

Furthermore, in one short frame sequence, the movie shows that
although the spotlight beam (at that time) is pointing several
degrees away from the object of interest, when the beam gets
within a certain radius of the object, the beam appears to
become anomalously bent several degrees back directly onto the
object! I have an frame print enlargement of one such frame from
the movie.

Ray Stanford

"You know my method. It is founded upon the observance of
trifles." -- Sherlock Holmes in The Boscombe Valley Mystery

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Seti@Home Effective? - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <Stuart.Miller4@btinternet.com>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 12:48:37 -0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 10:09:19 -0500
Subject: Re: Seti@Home Effective? - Miller

>From: Stan Friedman <fsphys@rogers.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 18:07:13 -0400
>Subject: Re: Seti@Home Effective?

<snip>

>It may be of some interest that both Dr. Seth Shostak, a major
>SETI specialist, and I, a major ufologist, will each be giving
>three lectures during the final transatlantic crossing of the
>Queen Elizabeth 2 from the UK to New York Dec.15-21. Don't know
>yet whether we will ever be on the stage at the same time. Could
>be interesting. He has refused Art Bell's challenge to debate me
>on Art's show.

>Has anybody seen his brand new book "Cosmic Company: The Search
>for Life in the Universe" co-authored with Alexandra Barnett,.
>Cambridge Press?. I can't find it here in Fredericton, but will
>try to order it.

>In a recent paper he said it would take 100,000 years to get to
>the stars... presumably using a chemical rocket. I am interested
>in what he says about UFOs.

Stan,

Yes, I have a review copy of Shostak's and Barnett's book. I have not read it yet but have looked through it. But from the "hardware" point of view it is, to look at, quite a beautiful book. Very good quality paper and the photos are excellent, with some amusing caricature/puppet type effigies of what aliens might look like.

The primordial soup theory in relation to the origins of Life on Earth is illustrated by a photo of a Campbell's soup tin next to a bowl of soup. The label on the Campbells tin says, "Primordial Soup".

This afternoon I shall be going in to my local Safeway and shall attempt to buy a tin. When they tell me they don't stock it, I shall produce the photo in the book and ask them to order it for me. Yes, I have nothing better to do with my time.

Contents wise, difficult to judge as I've not read it but it appears to be a fairly standard overview. Seven chapters with the following headers:

- 1 Habitats for Life
2. What might aliens be like
3. Intelligent Life
4. Visitors from afar.
5. How might we get in touch
6. The drake equation

7. The future

My suspicion is that whatever inference you might make from the chapter headings are probably correct. In other words, a great Christmas present for your niece/nephew particularly if you want to get them going on the subject but as for being of interest to more experienced aficionados, probably not.

Stuart Miller

Search for other documents from or mentioning: [seti](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Maccabee

From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac@compuserve.com>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 10:07:59 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 10:57:13 -0500
Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Maccabee

>From: Roy Hale <roy@thelosthaven.co.uk>
>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 23:23:15 -0000
>Subject: Ufology - Ten Questions

Amusing set of questions.

>1: If after many years in Ufology, you arrive at the fact, that
>ET has arrived here on this planet, should you be considered
>someone who has a 'Closed Mind'?

No, as long as you are open to the possibility that all your previous analysis and hence conclusions could be wrong.

But, if you do have a "closed mind" then, you must have some defensible reasons for closing your mind after concluding that ET has arrived here. For example,

(a) there are sightings of (objects, lights, phenomena) which cannot be explained by conventional means (e.g., multiple witness, relatively long duration, visual+ radar, recorded on film,movie,video,landing traces, physical effects, effects on animals, etc.)

(b) some of these unexplainable sightings involve descriptions (photos, video) of what appear to be objects "xxxufactored" (I hesitate to say "MANufactured") by intelligences, not just previously unrecognized natural (read that as "unintelligent) objects or phenomena

(c) some of these unexplainable sightings involve descriptions of "creatures", humanoid or other type

(d) some people seem to have directly interacted one or more times with "creatures" associated with unexplainable objects

The question can be turned around and written as "If after many years of study you conclude that there are no ET cases among the Unexplained sightings, do you have a "closed mind"? Even more basic is the question, "If you conclude that there are no Unexplained/Unexplainable sightings, do you have a closed mind?"

Skeptics generally say that they could be convinced with the right sort of "hard evidence." Does this means skeptics have a closed mind?

Or does it simply mean that proof is in the "mind of the beholder?"

>2: If yes to the above, does this mean that the choice of being
>a sceptic is the only one available to UFO research?

I answered with a qualified no. However, everyone should be skeptical regarding any particular sightngs until heshe has seen evidence which is in some way convincing, preferably convincing to experienced analysts of such sightings.
(Experienced skeptical analysts will, of course, be harder to convince... if at all.)

>3: If you believe ET has arrived, does this make you less of a
>person to debate with?

One can always debate individual cases. Of course, if one has concluded that ET (or whatever) has arrived, then one approaches the debate with a point of view. But in a debate the other side presumably has the opposite point of view. Does that make the non-ET person less of a debater?

>4: How closely should UFO researchers align themselves with
>Government agencies?

not too closely... whatever that means!

>5: Should censorship play an important role in closing debates,
>if answers are not forthcoming?

With censorship the debate would not start in the first place. What answers are not forthcoming? Answers to the question, are ET's (or Other Intelligences - OI) here?

>6: Is UFO research a subject for free thinkers, or is there a
>line to toe?

How "free thinking" one can be depends upon what aspect of the subject one is researching and publishing. As a case investigator I would like to see people bound their free thinking... aka speculation... by what is reported in actual events (sightings, abductions, etc.) rather than making stuff up.

>7: Who patented UFO thought as non-ET related?

Huh? Dunno. Who?

>8: How far should one use, web stats tracking as evidence of
>inquiry?

Reading the web is like reading a novel. You know there are facts somewhere in the story... but unless you are an expert you can't find them. (Note: my book, Abduction In My Life, is different; a novel with a built in fact book so that you know what is factual and what is fictitious, unlike any other book on the market, UFO or other type.)

>9: How far should personal prejudice play a role in your UFO
>research?

Probably "personal prejudice" should play no role investigation and publication. But, of course, it is likely to play some role, especially if one has arrived at a conclusion regarding ET/OI reality or non-reality.

>10: Should any researcher, be treated as an unreliable
>candidate for UFO research DATA if they have used illegal drugs
>- past or present?

Depends upon whether or not they are affected by the drugs at the time of investigation, writing, publication. In any case, reliability of an investigation or publication (data) depends upon whether or not statements made by the investigator can be confirmed (say, by re-interviewing witnesses).

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99@hotmail.com>
Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 16:05:23 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 11:11:06 -0500
Subject: Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs - Hall

>From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 01 Dec 2003 11:15:50 -0800
>Subject: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs

>Good Day Fellow Listorians,

>In 1948 First Secretary of Defense, James Forrestal invited Maj.
>General J. (Wild Bill) Donovan, former first Director of the
>"Office of Coordinator of Information" (COI), then later the
>Director of "The Office of Strategic Services" (OSS), to serve
>on a secret committee including Dr. Vannevar Bush, Admiral
>Sidney Souers, and General Alfred M. Gruenther to study
>"defensive problems" against "unconventional attack" against the
>United States. [With emphasis on the Russians]

>The study was split into 7 categories:

- >1). Clandestine attack employing "biological weapons."
- >2). Clandestine delivery of atomic explosives.
- >3). Attacks on key individuals, groups or installations.
- >4). Certain special applications of psychological warfare (i.e.,
>thought control or special mode as it was called).
- >5). Unconventional methods of economic warfare.
- >6). Clandestine attack employing chemical weapons.
- >7). Clandestine attack employing radiological weapons.

>Given the afore mentioned names - was this committee borne from
>all the UFO activity the previous year?

>Does the known existence of this committee support "Majestic
>Documents?"

>Was this a "sub-committee" of MJ-12?

>Thoughts anyone?

Frank,

It would help if you documented your source or sources of
information. Sounds very interesting, and I look forward to
commentary by historians (hopefully) and well-informed
ufologists.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Aldrich

From: Roy Hale - The Lost Haven <roy@thelosthaven.co.uk>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 16:07:38 -0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 11:13:58 -0500
Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Aldrich

>From: Jan Aldrich <project1947@earthlink.net>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 7:16:12 -0500
>Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions

>Have you stopped beating your wife, yes or no?

Dear Jan,

I am afraid that I have never been married.

Roy

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: 'About' Project Grudge - Kaeser

From: Steven Kaeser <steve@konsulting.com>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 11:11:30 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 11:17:16 -0500
Subject: Re: 'About' Project Grudge - Kaeser

>From: Eustaquio Andrea Patounas <socex@terra.com.br>
>To: UFO UpDates -Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 10:48:30 -0200
>Subject: Project Grudge

>Source: About.com - UFOs/Aliens

><http://ufos.about.com/library/weekly/aa022498.htm>

>Wednesday, 16-Jul-2003

>In February, 1949, Project Sign came to an end, and with it the
>Air Force's objective attitude toward UFOs. The final report of
>Project Sign was decidedly skeptical towards the
>extraterrestrial origin hypothesis for the origin of UFOs,
>reflecting a shift towards explaining all sightings as
>misidentifications of natural phenomena.

>Secretly, however, the Air Force had merely changed the name of
>the project to Project Grudge. The new name reflected the change
>in policy towards UFOs. This change in policy by the Air Force
>brought with it a campaign of characterizing those who believed
>UFOs were extraterrestrial as "kooks". Sidney Shallet, a writer
>for the Saturday Evening Post asked the Air Force for help in
>writing an article about "flying saucers". The Air Force had a
>policy of refusing such requests, but when they found that
>Shallet's article was to be of a debunking nature, they agreed
>to help him. Shallet spent two months researching the subject,
>and then wrote a two-part article entitled What You Can Believe
>About Flying Saucers.

<snip>

There are others who have a greater depth of knowledge on this history than I, but it should be mentioned that Project Grudge was more than a simple name change from Project Sign. The original Project Sign group was asked to investigate UFOs, but their conclusions were too controversial and the investigation was re-organized and given the name of Project Grudge.

If one is interested in the history of the field, one should probably visit:

<http://www.project1947.com/>

and review some of the documents there.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

UFO ROUNDUP, Volume 8 Number 46

From: John Hayes <webmaster@ufoinfo.com>
Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 16:04:56 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 11:19:52 -0500
Subject: UFO ROUNDUP, Volume 8 Number 46

Posted on behalf of Joseph Trainor.

<Masinaigan@aol.com>
=====

UFO ROUNDUP
Volume 8, Number 46
December 3, 2003
Editor: Joseph Trainor

E-Mail: Masinaigan@aol.com
Website: <http://www.ufoinfo.com/roundup/>

SPHERICAL RED UFO SEEN IN SOUTH AFRICA

On Saturday, November 8, 2003, at 4 p.m., Petru Cameron and his family were preparing to go outdoors to view the evening's lunar eclipse. Within his hillside home in Cape Town, South Africa, Petru reported, "I happened to look out my window, and the object was travelling at a steady, slow speed from west to east. It was so striking. Actually, quite eerie. I have seen lots of UFOs but never a red one."

"I just had to let you know that I, too, have seen the red ball. It was at 4 p.m." (Email Form Report)

UFOs REPORTED IN PENNSYLVANIA

On Saturday, November 22, 2003, at 6:30 p.m., N.P. was driving home in Tunkhannock, Pennsylvania (population 1,911) when she spotted a UFO.

"When pulling into the driveway, I saw strange lights in the sky," N.P. reported, "I got out of the car to investigate. I walked towards my backyard and saw a black triangular craft overhead with a white light on each point (corner--J.T.) and a blue light in the middle. It turned left (to the east--J.T.) and was gone quickly. It didn't have a sound. It was lower than a small plane would fly."

Tunkhannock is on Route 6 in the Susquehanna River valley, located about 20 miles (32 kilometers) northeast of Scranton, Pa. (Email Form Report)

On Saturday, November 8, 2003, two hours before the lunar eclipse, two people, while traveling in their car" through Lancaster County, Pa. "noticed what appeared to be a luminous, rectangular-shaped object which appeared to be motionless. As the observers moved further down the road, the object remained visible but became dimmer in brightness. Yet it appeared to remain still. A check with the local airport indicated that they were unaware of any blimp activity in the area at the time of the observation."

Lancaster County is in southern Pennsylvania, approximately 40 miles (64 kilometers) southeast of Harrisburg, the state capital. (Many thanks to Stan Gordon of Pennsylvania UFO Hotline for this report.)

MYSTERY METEOR SEEN BY
HUNDREDS IN NEW MEXICO

"A lot of people saw it. They just didn't know what to make of it."

"A light streaked across the New Mexico sky about dusk Thursday evening," November 20, 2003. "There were a lot of theories as to the cause of the flash of light, but the experts at the Sandia National Labs" in Albuquerque, N.M. (population 448,607) "said it was a meteor."

"Terry Connors had just flown his plane into Double Eagle Airport from Los Alamos (population 11,909) when he spotted the meteor."

"It was quite amazing because the first thing we noticed was kind of a bright flash, and then you saw the long bright tail of a meteor that you would normally see, but it was still daylight so you could see the vapor trail that it left," Connors said, 'Rather than just dying out, there was a big green explosion at the end of the trail and this was the end of the event, except the vapor trail stayed around for another five to ten minutes.'"

"As an Air Force veteran, Connors said he's seen a lot of meteors, but nothing like what he saw Thursday. But Sandia senior engineer Dick Spalding said he's confident that's what it was on the (surveillance video) tape."

"It caught a meteor--a thing that moved through the sky with the speed and direction that we generally associate with a meteor--so we think that's what people saw," Spalding said." (See the New Mexico Channel broadcast of November 21, 2003. Many thanks to Steve Wilson Sr. for the transcript.)

WHO'S OUT THERE!?

"The U.S. and Russian crew of the International Space Station reported a brief, unexpected metallic crunching noise outside the outpost early Wednesday," November 26, 2003.

"Astronaut Mike Foale told NASA's Mission Control the noise sounded as if something had struck the aft end of the Russian module that houses the crew's sleeping quarters, kitchen and lavatory."

"Both U.S. and Russian ground-based experts could find no evidence of penetration of the station's airtight hull or the cooling system for the electronic equipment that is responsible for many of the operations."

"Later, Foale used video cameras on the station's 57-foot (17-meter) robot arm to scan the external areas of the outpost from which the noise seemed to come, in search of potential damage."

"Ground control joined the astronauts in checking external video camera views as well as internal air and coolant pressure levels. None of these readings explained the noise, though more analysis was under way." (See the Duluth, Minn. News-Tribune for November 27, 2003, "Unknown noise on space station," page 2A.)

RECENT SOLAR STORM
DAMAGED MARS PROBE

"Intense solar activity last month (October 2003) shut down a radiation-measuring instrument aboard NASA's Mars Odyssey orbiter, and controllers haven't been able to fix it, NASA said."

"The instrument was designed to collect data for evaluating the risks that future Mars-bound astronauts would face from space radiation. It worked from March 2002 until last month, the agency's Jet Propulsion Laboratory said in a statement."

"Efforts to restore the instrument will continue for several weeks or months, officials said. The equipment already has provided a wealth of information, NASA said."

"The October 28 (2003) solar storm caused a blackout in Sweden, damaged two Japanese satellites and upset radio and navigation systems for aircraft and ships" elsewhere on Earth. (See USA Today for November 28, 2003, "Solar

activity damaged Mars orbiter," page 3A.)

BIGFOOT ON THE MOVE IN PENNSYLVANIA

Residents were startled Sunday, November 16, 2003, when they saw Bigfoot swimming in Edinboro Lake, located in Pennsylvania's northwestern corner, just south of Lake Erie.

According to ufologist Stan Gordon, "The Pennsylvania Bigfoot Society received a report of what appeared to be 'a very large human shape swimming in a lake near Erie, Pa.' (population 103,717)."

Edinboro Lake is located at the intersection of Routes 99 and 6 North approximately 15 miles (25 kilometers) south of Erie.

"The figure appeared to have unusually large arm stretches while swimming," Gordon reported.

"During the 1960s," he added, "there were Bigfoot sightings reported around Erie and Edinboro."

"The Pennsylvania Bigfoot Society is also investigating two Bigfoot sightings by several young fellows in a rural area near New Castle (population 26,309)," located 54 miles (86 kilometers) northwest of Pittsburgh."

"The first sighting was (Monday) November 17 (2003) at reportedly around 6:30 a.m., while two friends were out taking a walk. They claim to have seen an eight-foot (2.4-meter) tall creature walking on the side of a road. They reported a wet-dog smell at the time of the observation."

"The next night (Tuesday, November 18, 2003) as they were walking in the same area, they saw a similar creature sitting Indian-style (i.e. with legs crossed--J.T.) with its arms hanging over its knees." (Many thanks to Stan Gordon of the Pennsylvania UFO Hotline for these reports.)

PHANTOM PANTHER STALKS A SCHOOL IN SCOTLAND

"Fears are growing that a black leopard spotted on the prowl near a school will risk getting close to houses in a bid to find food."

"Young mum Lesley Campbell, 26, from Paisley, was driving home from her pal's when she got the shock of her life. She had to swerve when a large black beast pounced out in front of her car."

"'I couldn't believe my eyes,' she said, 'I had just passed the new Hungry Monk restaurant between Lochwinnoch and Johnstone in the early hours of Monday morning (November 10, 2003) when this massive cat-like creature darted across the road.'"

"'If I'd been drinking, I would have thought I was seeing things, but I hadn't. It had something between its teeth, but I was too shocked to see what.'"

"Lesley, who lives in the Castlehead area, added: 'I was shaking like a leaf and phoned my husband. I thought it was a puma (cougar or mountain lion in the USA--J.T.) because it was jet black and huge.'"

"Builder George Bremner also had a close encounter with the big cat. George, 38, from Johnstone, said: 'I'd been out for a drink with my mates on Sunday night (November 9, 2003), and we had a wee party later. I was being driven home from Kilwinning towards the Johnstone by-pass when my pal slammed the brakes on and stopped the car. This huge monster of a beast was sitting in a field with what looked like a baby fox in its mouth. It stared straight at us before disappearing into the night.'"

"George added: 'When I told my workmates on Monday morning, they all laughed. So you can imagine how I felt when I saw the story in the Express. It was great, and I had the last laugh.'"

"Sarah Sweeney, 32, told how she spotted a big black cat in the woods close to her home on Glenfield Road in Paisley when she was out walking her dog."

"'It was darting around at Thornley Dam on Friday night (November 7, 2003). I went back the following night (Saturday, November 8, 2003) and I took my husband, too. It was about an hour later and we thought we had missed it. Just as we turned to go back to our house, it pounced

out from behind a tree.'"

"Yesterday's Express told how a big cat was seen near Lochwinnoch Primary (school) between 7:30 and 8 a.m. on Monday (November 10) when a nearby resident spotted it running with a dead animal in its mouth."

"The shock sighting was made by a resident in the Calderpark Street area of Lochwinnoch between 7:30 and 8 a.m. Monday. The area is close to Lochwinnoch Primary School which caters to more than 250 youngsters."

"One source said: 'The neighbour said the animal had run across the road towards Lochwinnoch Primary, then disappeared into the Calder Glen Woods. He got quite a fright and, once he calmed down, contacted the police. They naturally took him seriously and officers searched the woods.'"

"The (police) helicopter was out in the area on Monday and was flying again over the area yesterday (Tuesday, November 11, 2003), but the mystery animal wasn't spotted."

"A spokesman for the Renfrewshire Council said the village school had been advised of the sighting by the police. He added: 'At this stage, it's a matter of being vigilant. We don't tend to use the area where the sighting was for any school-related activities. But as a precautionary measure, we are keeping the pupils' activities within the school grounds, and staff are carrying out regular patrols of the grounds.'"

"A shocked dog-walker has become the latest person to spot one of the giant cats on the loose in Renfrewshire."

"Iain Riley was taking his pet dog out yesterday morning (Monday, November 17, 2003) when he spotted the huge black creature which big cat experts believe could be a black leopard."

"Iain, 52, was out walking his dog when he saw the giant black beast just yards (meters) away from his home in Kintyre Avenue, Linwood."

"The shocked man said: 'I was taking my dog out early in the morning. It was only about 7 o'clock and it was still quite dark. I was out at the side of my house when I sighted the cat. It was at a fence that's about 40 or 50 yards (36 to 45 meters) away from my house.'"

"I couldn't believe my eyes. At first I thought it was a big dog, but when I got a bit closer, I realised it was a big cat. It was all black and at least as big as my German Shepherd dog, possibly even bigger.'"

"He added: 'I was quite close to it when I realised what it was. I certainly didn't get any closer.'"

"The animal was standing beside the fence, and then it just went through a gap in the fence and disappeared out of my sight. I only got a brief glimpse of it, but it certainly gave me quite a surprise.'"

"He added: 'I found out from one of my neighbours that there had been a number of other sightings in the area.'" (See the Paisley Daily Express for November 12, 2003, "Leopards on the loose;" for November 13, 2003, "Further sighting of hungry leopard," and for November 18, 2003, "Shock for dog walker as he spots giant cat." Many thanks to Robert Fischer, UFO Roundup's phantom panther expert, for forwarding these newspaper articles.)
(Editor's Comment: The phantom panther phenomenon has shifted into high gear in Scotland. Check out the situation in Fife.)

PHANTOM PANTHER SEEN AT RAF BASE IN FIFE

"A retired police officer who collates sightings of Fife's legendary but elusive 'big cats' has received 14 new reports of sightings since an article, highlighting a recent incident at Ladybank, appeared in the Courier earlier this week."

"George Redpath, of Balmullo, revealed yesterday (Thursday, November 13, 2003) that he has been inundated with calls since it was reported that Glenrothes man Andrew Smith had become the latest in a long line of people who have become convinced that the northeast Fife countryside "is home to one or more big cats."

"George said all but one of the latest reports were recent sightings and included one at Muirhead, outside Dundee, and ones near Kirkcaldy, Tayport, Denhead,

Dunfermline, Letham, Kingskettle and Ladybank."

"But interestingly, he said, another report of a 'brown cat-like creature' had come in from the Balmullo-St. Michaels area, reported on the same day he was investigating an alleged attack on a deer within the perimeter fence at RAF Leuchars" air base.

"'In an ideal world, if we had exact details of every sighting, we could plot the appearance of the big cat. If it was spotted at 2 p.m. in Dunfermline and at 2 p.m. in Cupar, for example, we would know that there might be more than one creature.'"

"A big cat alert has gone out at an air base after the savaged carcass of a deer was found close by."

"Staff at RAF Leuchars in Fife have twice reported seeing a black cat-like animal patrolling the grounds of the base late at night and after first light in the morning."

On Thursday, November 13, 2003, "a source said: 'The deer carcass showed all the hallmarks of the animal being killed for prey.'" (See the Tayside and Fife Courier for November 18, 2003, "'Big cat' reports rise;" and the Daily Record for November 14, 2003, "Big Cat scare at air base." Many thanks to Robert Fischer for these newspaper articles. And for more on the Ladybank sighting, see UFO Roundup, volume 8, number 44 for November 19, 2003, "Phantom panther seen in Ladybank, Scotland," page 6.)

PHANTOM PANTHER ON THE PROWL IN BUCKINGHAMSHIRE

"A walker has told of his shock after encountering 'the Beast of Bucks,' a large predatory cat thought to be a panther."

"Ernie Carey, from Ickenham, near Uxbridge, had been driving for 30 minutes in Cookham," Buckinghamshire, UK "with his wife Barbara when he spotted the animal in Temple's Lane."

"Mr. Carey said: 'The animal was jet black and was at least two feet (0.7 meters) high and four feet (1.3 meters) long. It had a very long black tail and little ears. It was huge and its paws were like a tiger's.'"

"He said they were going past a house in Cookham when the animal went past the main gate. It was about 10 to 20 yards (9 to 18 meters) ahead."

"Mr. Carey said: 'It just strolled right in front and crossed the road. Barbara was driving the car. I was just looking out the window in front of me.'"

"'I was shocked for a split second. The (side) window in the car was down, I shut it quickly, I didn't want it to get in the car. I didn't expect anything like that. I wish I had my camera handy.'"

"'It was definitely not a cat. We weren't alarmed or anything like that, it wasn't doing anybody any harm. It wasn't threatening, it was like a cat walking across the road. It was huge. We both saw it, so it wasn't a case of mistaken identity. But we were still completely surprised to see such a big thing.'"

"Mr. Carey said he had heard of people who kept wild animals as pets and said he thought this was the case with the panther. He said: 'I know people do have pets. I've heard of someone who had a tiger in their flat in New York. But I didn't expect to see any.'"

"Danny Bamping, founder of the British Big Cats Society, said sightings are becoming more frequent."

"He said: 'These sightings are becoming more and more common in Britain, and last week we had 15 sightings throughout the country.'"

"'The area around High Wycombe has seen quite a few sightings, and I think it is partly because of the fact that people are more confident they will be taken seriously when they report one.'"

(Editor's Note: High Wycombe has a long paranormal history. In the Eighteenth Century, its caves were the headquarters of the notorious "Medmenham Monks," better known as the Hellfire Club.)

"'These black cats are panther-like creatures, but they have been around for a long time so they may be hybrids because of cross breeding. The cats can have huge territories and will regularly roam through different counties.'"

"At the beginning of the year (2003), a creature

thought to be a lynx killed more than 40 chickens at a poultry farm in Saunderton, and staff at a furniture manufacturer spotted a big cat resembling a puma prowling along the edge of a field in Princes Risborough." (See the Bucks Free Press for October 10, 2003, "Beast of Bucks spotted in Cookham." Many thanks to Robert Fischer for this newspaper article.)

MAUI PANTHER PULLS A DISAPPEARING ACT

"The mystery surrounding the big cat of Olinda has gotten even stranger."

"The creature that has been regularly seen or heard" on the island of Maui, in the USA's state of Hawaii "has suddenly vanished from Upcountry radar screens."

"With no reports of the elusive jungle cat for at least three weeks, (Hawaiian) wildlife officials on Thursday (November 20, 2003) began disengaging the 19 foot-hold snares they had set up in the (Olinda) area that the cat had been frequenting."

"Oddly enough, the sightings of the cat abruptly ended about the same time as news reports announcing that the snares had been put in place by a Hawaiian crew under the guidance of a trapping expert from Arizona who was brought to Maui by the state Department of Land and Natural Resources."

"DLNR chairman Peter Young said the decision to detach the snares was made because of the lack of sightings and the amount of manpower needed to check the traps daily."

"We are prepared to take further action if credible evidence becomes available," said Young."

"Stan Cunningham, the Arizona research biologist who spent a week on Maui last month (October 2003), showing Hawaiian workers the finer points of setting snares, wasn't surprised that the cat has seemingly disappeared into thin air."

"It makes you wonder if the owner does have a relationship with the cat, and he's damn sure not going to let the cat out when there's snares on the ground," said Cunningham."

"Rumors have been flying around the community that the cat has been either caged again by its owner or has been killed. Young also said there's the chance that the animal has moved on to another location, something that Cunningham thought was a real possibility."

"The first thought I had when I was up in the helicopter (surveying the rugged terrain) was that this thing could go anywhere it wants," said Cunningham, "It really is like looking for a needle in a haystack."

"Despite the sudden lack of sightings, Cunningham remains convinced that there was a jungle cat--perhaps a leopard or a jaguar--stalking a 20-square-mile swath above Seabury Hall from Piiholo Road to Kekaulike Highway. He said evidence of scratch marks, paw prints and the way a deer had been attacked was too much to ignore."

"If reports of the cat resume, the snares can easily be put up again, said Cunningham."

"Olinda residents who have seen or heard the cat over the past several months still believe their eyes and ears, even though others have laughed off the cat sightings as a hoax or figments of imagination."

"Peter Baldwin, retired president of Haleakala Ranch, heard what he thought sounded like a wild cat screaming in the middle of the night near his home above Hanamu Road about a month ago. The next morning, Baldwin learned that, at almost the same time, his neighbor had been awakened by a noise in the garage. When the neighbor opened the door, he saw the back of a huge cat with a long tail running away after it had knocked over a garbage can and tore open a bag of dog food."

"There have been many numerous sightings. I believe there was a cat out there," said Baldwin, "If it does have a home, maybe someone's residence, maybe that's where it's been lately. And maybe it will come back again." (See the Maui Weekly News for November 22, 2003, "Sightings stop, so big-cat snares removed." Many thanks to Robert Fischer for forwarding this newspaper article.)
(Editor's Comment: So far, this "Maui panther" case has

followed the same pattern as every Fortean or paranormal event I've ever covered. First there's the "impossible happening"... in this case, an out-of-place panther on a Pacific island. Then there are more sightings of the weird creature. Then the sightings reach their peak. Suddenly, the sightings stop, as if the creature fell off a cliff. And the authorities cook up a "normal" rationale to explain it all. That's the way it was with the Hanuman sightings in India in 2001 and the wave of cattle mutilations in Argentina and Uruguay in 2002. That's how it's been with the "Maui panther." And that's how it will be with next year's paranormal spectacular--whatever it is.)

PAKISTAN FOSSIL SITE MAY
BE 30 MILLION YEARS OLD

Madame Karren, a German archaeologist, visited the fossil site at Ban Amir Khatoon, near Chakwal, Pakistan on Wednesday, November 19, 2003, calling it "the heaven of archaeology."

"The people of the area," located in Pakistan's Salt Range, "are the guardians of a very old human civilization, and the area has a very strong cultural and historical background, she remarked, while visiting the Ban Amir Khatoon fossil site."

"Mrs. Vera Sozluwki, the wife of the ambassador of Hungary, based at Islamabad (Pakistan's capital--J.T.), also accompanied her."

"Local geologist Malik Muhammad Riaz briefed them about the fossils, which are over 30 million years old. He explained that he had discovered the site in 1994."

"The famous Pakistani archaeologist Dr. Ahmad Hassan Dani has visited the area many times and has claimed that this is the largest concentration of fossils in the world."

"Khwaja Babar Salim, Secretary-General of the Chakwal Press Club, claimed that evidence of 'the first human civilization' had been found in the Salt Range area. If more research work should be done, he added, 'We are confident that the first truly human being appeared here in Pakistan.'"

Krishnari Bai Dharapurnanda, UFO Roundup correspondent in India, added that the Ban Amir Khatoon site "proves that (human) civilization existed during the Tetra Yuga, well before the (Pleistocene) Ice Age." (See the Indian newspaper Thanthi for November 23, 2003. Many thanks to Krishnari Bai Dharapurnanda for this news story.)

From the UFO Files...

1909: PHANTOM PANTHERS
OF TEMPLETON, MASS.

Ninety-four years ago, in December 1909, there was a flurry of "phantom panther" sightings in Templeton, Massachusetts, located on Routes 2 and 68 approximately 18 miles (30 kilometers) west of Fitchburg and 70 miles (116 kilometers) northwest of Boston.

What is most curious about this "panther" flap is that it ran concurrently with a major UFO flap centered in nearby Fitchburg and Leominster, which is sometimes called L'affaire Tillinghast or "the great airship invasion of 1909."

(Editor's Note: Fitchburg, of course, has long been the "UFO capital" of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.)

"The main 'phantom panther' action took place in and around Templeton, Mass. This is the notorious 'Montachusett' region of the Bay State, the home of many inexplicable events, such as the Andreasson affair of 1967, the UFO flaps of 1973 and 1975, and the Philipston 'fireball' of 1976."

"On 26 November 1909, Joseph Chandler of Fitzwilliam, New Hampshire, took his dog rabbit-hunting in the Greenwood section of Winchendon, Mass.," 10 miles (16 kilometers) north of Templeton.

"He was making his way over some undergrowth, when he was distracted by the howling of the hound. Hastening

in the direction of the noise, he saw his dog being pounced upon by an animal resembling a panther or wild cat, but before he could get a shot at the animal, it disappeared in the woods. The dog was badly bitten on the front legs, besides having several deep gashes down his back, caused evidently by his opponent's claws."

"The panther turned up again in Templeton on 14 December (1909). 'Mrs. George Wheeler reports the latest known haunt of the animal. She was driving on Otter River Road' in a horse-drawn carriage 'when near the junction of Baptist Common Road her attention was attracted to an animal standing between two houses there. It evidently saw Mrs. Wheeler's carriage about the same time that she observed the stranger, and it bounded across an open patch of field and disappeared from sight in the woods. Mrs. Wheeler described the animal as being about the size of a (German) shepherd dog and (it) had a long tail.'"

Phantom panther sightings are nothing new, it seems. But, for Templeton, the terror was only beginning. (See the book Fortean Studies - Volume 1, John Brown Publishing Ltd., London, 1994, "The New England Airship Invasion of 1909" by Joseph Trainor, page 60. See also the Gardner, Mass. News for November 27, 1909 and December 15, 1909.)

Well, that's it for this week. Join us in seven days for more UFO, Fortean and paranormal news from around the planet Earth, brought to you by "the paper that goes home-UFO Roundup." See you next time.

UFO ROUNDUP: Copyright 2003 by Masinaigan Productions, all rights reserved. Readers may post news items from UFO Roundup on their Web sites or in news groups provided that they credit the newsletter and its editor by name and list the date of issue in which the item first appeared.

Next Week: Panther Terror in Templeton

E-Mail Reports to: Joseph Trainor <Masinaigan@aol.com> or use the Sighting Report Form at:

http://www.ufoinfo.com/forms/form_sighting.htm

Website comments: John Hayes <webmaster@ufoinfo.com>

UFOINFO: <http://www.ufoinfo.com>

Official Archives for UFO Roundup, AUFORN Australian UFO Reports and Experiences, UFO + PSI Magazine plus archives of Humanoid Sighting Reports (Albert Rosales), Filer's Files, Oz Files, UFO News UK.

UFO Roundup is only sent to subscribers. If you wish to unsubscribe or feel you have received the bulletin in error, please write to:

<webmaster@ufoinfo.com>

With the subject: Unsubscribe UFO Roundup.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Seti@Home Effective? - Friedman

From: Stan Friedman <fsphys@rogers.com>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 12:09:35 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 11:54:38 -0500
Subject: Re: Seti@Home Effective? - Friedman

>From: Stuart Miller <Stuart.Miller4@btinternet.com>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 13:29:13 -0000
>Subject: Re: Seti@Home Effective?

>>>From: Stan Friedman <fsphys@rogers.com>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>>Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 18:07:13 -0400
>>>Subject: Re: Seti@Home Effective?

<snip>

>>>Has anybody seen his brand new book "Cosmic Company: The Search
>>>for Life in the Universe" co-authored with Alexandra Barnett, .
>>>Cambridge Press?. I can't find it here in Fredericton, but will
>>>try to order it.

>>>In a recent paper he said it would take 100,000 years to get to
>>>the stars... presumably using a chemical rocket. I am interested
>>>in what he says about UFOs.

>>Yes, I have a review copy of Shostak's and Barnett's book.

>In relation to your last point in your post I have now read the
>relevant chapter - number four - and he is a sceptic in the
>Rimmer/Harney/Clarke/Roberts mould. No evidence,
>psychological/human behaviour/we want to believe etc. etc.

>I would think in a one to one on the box you wouldn't have too
>many problems.

Thanks much. A local bookstore says they can have it here before
Dec. 12, so I have ordered it. I hope I will be able to read it
before the cruise. I am as interested in what he doesn't deal
with as in what he does talk about. Of special interest is a
lack of referencing to serious UFO literature and to serious
literature on interstellar travel.

The SETI Cultists are accustomed to dealing with people who lap
up what they say. The Drake equation is an excellent example of
a phony "scientific" attempt to determine the number of
civilizations in the galaxy... while leaving out all travel,
migration, colonization, etc.

I doubt if Shostak deals with the difference in perspective for
a planet 34 times closer to another sunlike star than the sun is
to our nearest stellar neighbor... and a billion years older
(than the sun)star at that.

Stan Friedman

Search for other documents from or mentioning: [seti](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Jones

From: Sean Jones <tedric@tedric.demon.co.uk>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 16:52:14 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 11:56:19 -0500
Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Jones

>From: Roy Hale - The Lost Haven <roy@thelosthaven.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 12:45:52 -0000
>Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions

<snip>

>>Roy you obviously have an issue with Andy Roberts and his
>>recreational pharmaceutical habit, I don't. I consider it about
>>the same as cigarette smokers, drinkers of alcoholic beverages
>>and et al.

>>It is the quality of their work which should be treated with or
>>without respect. Not some other issue.

>Sean, which case is the one that you would consider, the nearest
>to anything ET related, specifically within that case?

Sorry for being a bit thick. But could you expand/explain the
question a bit more, as I would like to be sure I understand
what you are asking before I answer the question that I perceive
it to be.

Regards

Sean
--

In an infinite universe, infinitely anything is possible.
Sean Jones
<http://www.tedric.demon.co.uk/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Socorro - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99@hotmail.com>
Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 15:58:51 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 11:06:30 -0500
Subject: Re: Socorro - Hall

>From: James Easton <voyager@jeaston.com>
>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 04:41:10 -0000
>Subject: Socorro

>The following might be of interest:

><http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UFORL/message/2217>

>Please note inherent copyright and also the latest update
>at:

><http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UFORL/message/2224>

>James Easton.
>E-mail: voyager@jeaston.com

Hey, Jim,

We're still waiting for that ballooning article that you indicated was going to convert Socorro into a known hot-air ballooning incident. All we're left with is hot air; no balloon.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

'About' Project Grudge

From: **Eustaquio Andrea Patounas** <socex@terra.com.br>
Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 10:48:30 -0200
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 10:36:09 -0500
Subject: 'About' Project Grudge

Source: About.com - UFOs/Aliens

<http://ufos.about.com/library/weekly/aa022498.htm>

Wednesday, 16-Jul-2003

In February, 1949, Project Sign came to an end, and with it the Air Force's objective attitude toward UFOs. The final report of Project Sign was decidedly skeptical towards the extraterrestrial origin hypothesis for the origin of UFOs, reflecting a shift towards explaining all sightings as misidentifications of natural phenomena.

Secretly, however, the Air Force had merely changed the name of the project to Project Grudge. The new name reflected the change in policy towards UFOs. This change in policy by the Air Force brought with it a campaign of characterizing those who believed UFOs were extraterrestrial as "kooks". Sidney Shallet, a writer for the Saturday Evening Post asked the Air Force for help in writing an article about "flying saucers". The Air Force had a policy of refusing such requests, but when they found that Shallet's article was to be of a debunking nature, they agreed to help him. Shallet spent two months researching the subject, and then wrote a two-part article entitled What You Can Believe About Flying Saucers.

This article and a press release by the Air Force reflected the new public view of the Air Force that "flying saucers" didn't exist, that they could all be explained, and that the "believers" were "kooks". However, insiders knew that the Air Force was still actively engaged in researching UFOs, and this contradictory behavior led many to believe that the Air Force was hiding what it really knew about UFOs.

During this same year, two of the best sightings on record were made, one by C. B. Moore Jr., who was a balloon expert working with the Navy on Skyhook balloon launches. (Ironically, he had been in charge of a balloon project called Project Mogul, and he would later be used by the Air Force to "prove" that the "Roswell Incident" had been a Project Mogul balloon train!). The other important sighting of 1949 was made by the noted astronomer Professor Clyde Tombaugh, discoverer of the planet Pluto.

Arrey, New Mexico
24 April 1949

Charles B. Moore, Jr. and four enlisted Navy personnel were making a pilot balloon observation preparatory to release of a Skyhook balloon at the White Sands Proving Ground in the middle of the morning. The pilot balloon was in the air and was under observation by one of the men when Moore became aware that a white object which he had thought to be the balloon was in a different part of the sky from where the theodolite operator had his instrument pointed. As Moore said later, he thought the operator had lost the balloon. Moore took over the 25-power scope and focused it on the other "balloon" he had spotted, and found that it was in fact an ellipsoidal white object moving at a rapid angular velocity towards the NE. With a stopwatch and

recording forms at hand, the team of five observers was able to secure some real data on the object. Moore disengaged the vernier drives so that he could track the object manually, and followed the object as it sped from the southwest into the northeast skies. At its closest approach, it was moving at about 5 degrees/sec. Significantly, just before Moore lost it in the distance to the northeast, its angular elevation began to increase, as if it were climbing. The object had a length of about two to three times its vertical thickness. Moore never got a sufficiently clear view to identify any finer details if any were present. Another balloon was immediately released to check the possibility that a high-speed jet flying from SW to NE might have carried some airborne object across the sky in its wake, but the winds were blowing weakly and more or less at right angles to the object's path as high as the 93,000 ft level. The angular diameter of the object was estimated at about a minute of arc (which in the 25-power theodolite would appear to Moore as about three-fourths the apparent size of a full moon).

Las Cruces, New Mexico
20 August 1949

At about 10:00 P.M., the astronomer Dr. Clyde Tombaugh, his wife, and his mother-in-law were in the yard of his Las Cruces home, admiring what Tombaugh described as a sky of rare transparency, when Tombaugh, looking almost directly towards zenith, spotted an array of pale yellow lights moving rapidly across the sky towards the southeast. He called them to the attention of the two others, who saw them just before they disappeared halfway to the horizon. The entire array subtended an angle which Tombaugh put at about one degree, and it took only a few seconds to cross 50 or 60 degrees of sky. The array comprised six "windowlike" rectangles of light, formed into a symmetric pattern; they moved too fast for aircraft, too slowly for a meteor, and made no sound. Tombaugh said:

I have never seen anything like it before or since, and I have spent a lot of time where the night sky could be seen well.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Scabrous Shadows And The Blue Hare - Roberts

From: **Andy Roberts** <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 17:16:34 -0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 17:53:15 -0500
Subject: Re: Scabrous Shadows And The Blue Hare - Roberts

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
>To: UFO UpDates _ Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 01 Dec 2003 17:27:51 -0600
>Subject: Scabrous Shadows And The Blue Hare

Pilgrims,

Alfred wrote:

>Scabrous Shadows And The Blue Hare... Scurrilous Psycho_social
>Shenanigans!

<snip>

>Look around reader! Psycho-social Ufology is not as it appears!
>Buyer... beware!

Don't throw me in the briar patch!

Happy Trails

Andy

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Visitations In Pabos Gaspe Coast Canada

From: **Brian Vike - HBCC UFO** <hbccufo@telus.net>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 09:19:29 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 17:55:28 -0500
Subject: Visitations In Pabos Gaspe Coast Canada

Thank you to this kind person for allowing me to post her story.

Visitations In Pabos Gaspe Coast Canada

Hi,

I was wondering if you have had any reports of people being zapped with electricity. I have had a lot of strange visits and attacks in the past, One in particular happened about 6 years ago, I woke in the middle of a dream with sleep paralysis, I could only open my eyes a bit. I could make out 2 little guys next to the bed possibly 5 ft tall. I had a type of helmet on my head and I was being electrocuted. There was also a piece of metal or something holding down my tongue. The waterbed splashed and my body vibrated violently as they telepathically told me not to eat after I wake up, and when I did I was starving, of course I told myself this cant be real and had pizza which made me vomit clear liquid. For about 2 hours after I felt waves of vibration surging down through my body. The next evening I had an episode of missing time. The kids were asleep, I sat on my bed with a can of coke, opened it/next moment, lying down, coke spilling all over. I have fainted once or twice, this was nothing like that! I went to check the kids and my youngest was asleep, sitting up with a bleeding nose.

Now I haven't thought of it in a while but there have been a lot of sightings this week in my area (Pabos Gaspe Coast Canada) I have had some "dreams" (one that a being arrived on a ship and came to see me and this baby I had who he claimed was his son.) my biological mother (I met when I was 25) (lives in Montreal) also has had some dreams this week including the electrocution episode which she thought might have been a seizure if it wasn't for the helmet and the fact that she's never had a seizure before. I woke up 2 mornings ago with a needle mark on the top of my foot and a bruise around it. My mother had a "dream" that a bug from space with a long needle stung her in the forehead and woke up with a mark there too.

I was adopted and grew up in a family that did not believe in ghosts, aliens or such, but I did! I was a very strange child, and new a lot about spiritual things like reincarnation very young. Nobody understood me at all. I suffered with sleep paralysis, astral traveled, saw balls of light over the crib as a baby (I thought were bees), many nights of black cloaked figure sitting on my chest and I saw my grandmother once after she died ect...When my adoptive mother was dyeing, her last words to me were find your biological mother, I did 3 months later, and found that my problem is hereditary, my great grandfather was a healer. my mother and father saw something strange one night in the woods while camping, the night I was conceived. And my mother sister cousin and 2 aunts have all seen or experienced strange events, it seems to be the women's problem. Have you heard of any events like these?

One thing that helped me 6 years ago was hypnotism, which I wasn't sure worked at first but I guess it did. I haven't had any really scary events, mostly dreams, lately. She told me

about free will, and prayer works when that creepy feeling comes along, too whichever positive force you believe in. I'm happy to say that my children don't seem to have any problems other than that one time. My children do occasionally wake up afraid in the night. Nobody talks about it much.

Brian Vike
Director HBCC UFO Research
Canadian Toll Free UFO Hotline 1 866 262 1989 - Free call.
Home - Phone/Fax 1 250 845 2189
HBCC UFO Forum Board
<http://216.147.50.102/HBCC-UFO/index.php>
email: hbccufo@telus.net
Website: <http://www.hbccufo.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Roberts

From: **Andy Roberts** <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 18:04:55 -0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 18:08:24 -0500
Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Roberts

>From: Roy Hale - The Lost Haven <roy@thelosthaven.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 16:07:38 -0000
>Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions

Roy wrote, in response to Jan Aldrich:

>>Have you stopped beating your wife, yes or no?

>Dear Jan,

>I am afraid that I have never been married.

>Roy

I rest my case.

Happy Trails

Andy

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Trindade - Gonzalez

From: Luis R. Gonzalez <lrgm@arrakis.es>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 19:09:22 +0100
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 18:11:42 -0500
Subject: Re: Trindade - Gonzalez

>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <gevaerd@ufo.com.br>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Thu, 20 Nov 2003 08:13:47 -0200
>Subject: Re: Trindade

Mr. Gevaerd wrote

>The very same researchers, in Brazil, who analyzed the Trindade
>photos ruled out the Barra da Tijuca ones. To the same extent
>that Barauna's photos are absolutely legitimate, Ed Keffel's
>photos are a gross hoax.

Well, presently I am reading Irene Granchi's "UFOS and
Abductions in Brazil" (1995) and there she wrote quite strongly
about Ed Keffel's photos not being a hoax.

Considering that she and her family had seen UFOs on several
ocasions (and I seem to recall reading that there was even an
abduction), my question is: How reliable are her investigations,
specially the one of Onilson Patero's abductions and
teleportations?

Luis R. Gonzalez Manso

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: sTARBABY by Dennis Rawlins - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <editor@perceptions.couk.com>
Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 17:29:59 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 18:02:52 -0500
Subject: Re: sTARBABY by Dennis Rawlins - Dickenson

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>To: <- UFO - UpDates Subscribers -:>
>Subject: UFO UpDate: sTARBABY by Dennis Rawlins
>Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 15:42:17 -0500

>Critics such as Fate, professional parapsychologists and
>moderate skeptics like former CSICOP cochairman Prof. Marcello
>Truzzi, sociologist at Eastern Michigan University, have
>questioned the Committee's commitment to objective, scientific
>investigation of paranormal claims and have accused some CSICOP
>spokesmen of misrepresenting issues and evidence. But such
>dissenting views were little noticed by media writers eager to
>headline sensational -- although frequently unsupported --
>debunking claims.

Hello List,

Congratulations for step into area where 'scientists' tend to be irrational and where insults often thrown at any impartial inquirers.

"Science" is untrustworthy today - Why? Same reason all once-dominant paradigms become untrustworthy: it's been hi-jacked by those who would circumscribe thought and limit speculation.

Ancient paradigms - we now call them 'superstitions', 'cults', 'religions', etc. - were always taken over by privileged elites and then used to preserve the influence and power of the elites, even to the point of contradicting the original paradigm.

So "religion" becomes the property of the greedy and the murderous;

<http://www.perceptions.couk.com/pauline.html>

Now that's happening to "science"
Science should mean impartial (and unrestricted) questioning of all phenomena, to be answered by reproducible facts.

But scientific, impartial, non-abusive investigation is effectively forbidden by the establishment.

Why?

Look around. Some would say that power elites are today implementing anti-openness & anti-human policies in the name of "science" just as the "Spanish Inquisition" did in the name of religion.

With about the same justification - and eventually the same exposure and ignominy to follow exposure and ignominy.

A Warning

<http://books.guardian.co.uk/reviews/history/0,6121,1075260,00.html>

Part of P.D. Smith's Review of "Hitler's Scientists: Science, War and the Devil's Pact" by John Cornwell

[Begin Quote]

The behavior of Heisenberg and other fellow travellers in the Hitler period is central to Cornwell's argument. He concludes that Heisenberg was "morally and politically obtuse". Although not a Nazi, he was part of the corrupting culture of "irresponsible purity" that allowed scientists to further their careers while claiming to be politically and ethically insulated from the regime.

Whether it was Max Planck giving the Nazi salute, or Von Braun using slave labour at Peenemünde, many scientists were tempted "to do a deal with the Devil in order to continue doing science". Such Faustian bargains can happen today; in America, \$75bn a year is spent by government on research. Relying on one powerful paymaster is "dangerous", says Cornwell.

To avoid the mistakes of Hitler's Germany, Cornwell argues we need scientists "who possess a highly developed grasp of politics and ethics, who are prepared to question, probe, expose and criticise the trends of military-dominated science". A role model for such a "good scientist" might be Joseph Rotblat, the only scientist at Los Alamos who resigned when he found out that Germany didn't have the bomb. Like Brecht's Galileo, Rotblat has called for scientists to swear a Hippocratic oath to underline their responsibility to humanity. For, as Rotblat rightly says, "a scientist is a human being first, and a scientist second".

[End Quote]

Present situation of 'science in society

Today large areas of phenomena are declared "off-limits" by science elite.

How? - Simple ploy of labeling an area as "ridiculous", "non-respectable" then declaring any dangerous inquiry (not wanted by science-elite) to be in that area.

Two examples:-

1) early "Astrology"

"Perceptions" speculates that early 'gross-effect' forecasting was not astrology but merely basic physics, not concerned with occult influences ("the Stars").

That is, that Chinese and Sumerian non-personal "astrology" was probably based on real science - see:

<http://www.perceptions.couk.com/uef/footnotes3.html#visitor>

which, as we now realize, can predict large effects such as solar weather and seismic triggers from alignments of solar system masses. See:

<http://www.perceptions.couk.com/uef/checkalign.html>

<http://www.perceptions.couk.com/astrology.html>

Further speculates that these large effects might then be extrapolated to affect people. The number of such gross alignments (and therefore number of people-groups): the "Zodiac", was traditionally probably determined purely by the evidence and by limitations of early data-collection. I.e. evidence permitted at least twelve groups (see 12 year cycle & Chinese 'stations of Jupiter') while any greater accuracy (using say twenty-four groups) was simply not available at that period.

2) wider "Paranormal"

In the well researched field of medicine we now have remarkable "mind-over-matter" results which defy established prognoses. Simply put - "belief" changes "perception" and maybe even "circumstance" - see:

<http://www.perceptions.couk.com/magic2.html#2>

Wider inquiries reveal that other mind-over-matter or impossible

phenomena seem to occur on a daily basis - flatly defying the so-called "laws" of physics (actually those "laws" are mostly guesses - "blind science" in Google).

Some other "impossible" phenomena at:

<http://www.perceptions.couk.com/ghosty.html#polt>

Assessment of List correspondence:-

Most effort and time is spent arguing - from positions of "belief" - two perennial disputes:-

- 1) that physical objects (or beings) were or were not seen somewhere - neither side accepting 'proofs' of other side;
- 2) that non-physical objects (or beings) can or cannot exist - neither side accepting (or even understanding) 'evidence' of other side.

Reality

Contrary to received opinion there are no laws of physics, they're only guesses that are being proved wrong even as you read this (see 'blind science' Google).

So we should be devising candid, open-minded tests of phenomena, not dismissing, concealing or bad-mouthing testimony - as happens now.

Thinking hurts.

best regards
Ray Dickenson

~~~~~  
"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com>  
~~~~~

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Secrecy News -- 12/02/03

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood@fas.org>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 13:23:18 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 18:13:38 -0500
Subject: Secrecy News -- 12/02/03

SECREC Y NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2003, Issue No. 104
December 2, 2003

** FUTURE OF NAVAL RESEARCH LAB IN QUESTION
** EVERYTHING SECRET DEGENERATES
** RESTRUCTURING DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE
** SECURITY CLEARANCES AND EX CONS
** CONGRESSIONAL ACTION ON INTELLIGENCE
** ACCESS TO CRS REPORTS AT ISSUE
** SECREC Y NEWS IN THE WASHINGTON POST

FUTURE OF NAVAL RESEARCH LAB IN QUESTION

The Naval Research Laboratory (NRL) has achieved a long list of milestones in defense technology over the past eighty years, having developed the first U.S. radar, the world's first intelligence satellite, prototypes of the Global Positioning System, and a lot more.

But now the viability of NRL is threatened, scientists say, by a quiet Navy move to transfer authority over the Lab from civilian to military control, which they say is likely to stifle innovation and scientific freedom.

"NRL belongs to the Navy Secretariat, and as such, it is the only installation not controlled by the service's uniformed officers," according to a review of the situation by an anonymous analyst who opposes the military takeover.

Such civilian control "was [inventor] Thomas Edison's intention from the day he urged the Navy [in 1920] to create NRL."

But now the Lab faces imminent consolidation under the newly established Commander, Navy Installations (CNI), prompting fears that its days as a world class research facility are numbered.

"More than facility management is being centralized at CNI. Power is being amassed there, at the expense of Navy civilian control," the analyst warned in a recent paper that is circulating among concerned scientists.

"Thomas Edison would be spinning in his grave if he knew the present course of Navy RDT&E," the analyst wrote.

The fate of NRL is of interest to scientists and technologists far outside the U.S. Navy.

"NRL is important to all of us -- to defense industry and to science," said Charles Townes, Nobel laureate and inventor of the laser (and an FAS sponsor) in 1998.

The issue is explored by the anonymous critic, in detail and at length, in "Labs Miserables: The Impending Assimilation of the Naval Research Laboratory and the Threat to Navy Transformation," November 17, 2003 (1.2 MB PDF file):

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/dod/nrl.pdf>

Coincidentally, Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld recently observed that research and development is one of the things "you don't want to centralize excessively."

"The worst thing you could do is if you're in the research and development business is to get everyone in the same town in the same building going to lunch together and they all begin to think alike. That's the last thing you want," Rumsfeld said, speaking at Osan Air Base in Korea on November 18.

EVERYTHING SECRET DEGENERATES

The FBI's use of murderers as informants in Boston beginning in the 1960s was explored in a blistering report from the House Committee on Government Reform last month, which also criticized the Bush Administration for impeding its investigation.

Because of the FBI's indiscriminate reliance on known killers, "men died in prison -- and spent their lives in prison -- for crimes they did not commit," the House Committee report found.

"A number of men were murdered because they came to the government with information incriminating informants. Government officials also became corrupted."

Yet "throughout the Committee's investigation, it encountered an institutional reluctance to accept oversight."

"The Committee's investigation was delayed for months by President Bush's assertion of executive privilege over a number of key documents. While the Committee was ultimately able to obtain access to the documents it needed, the President's privilege claim was regrettable and unnecessary," the report said.

See "Everything Secret Degenerates: The FBI's Use of Murderers as Informants," published by the House Committee on Government Reform, November 21:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2003_rpt/index.html#fbi

RESTRUCTURING DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE

The responsibilities and functions of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence, a new position established this year and currently filled by Stephen Cambone, are outlined in a May 8, 2003 memorandum from Deputy Secretary of Defense Paul Wolfowitz, which is now available here:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/dod/usdi.pdf>

SECURITY CLEARANCES AND EX CONS

It might seem reasonable to presume that a person who has been convicted of a crime and sentenced to more than a year in jail would be ineligible to be granted a security clearance.

Yet when such a presumption is turned into a statutory prohibition, unintended consequences follow.

The so-called Smith Amendment, which was enacted in the FY2001 defense authorization act, bars certain convicted criminals from ever holding a security clearance, even decades after incarceration.

And it is now wreaking havoc in the national security workforce, according to attorney Sheldon I. Cohen, a specialist in security clearance practice and procedures.

"The Smith Amendment has caused individuals who have served their country faithfully and meritoriously to lose their clearances and their jobs twenty to thirty years after having paid their debt to society for committing minor crimes," he wrote.

"The effect on the national defense has been far more serious," he added. "People in critical positions whose skills and knowledge are virtually irreplaceable are being forced out even though they have had a clearance for many years. It is jeopardizing our submarine and aircraft industries where every craftsman, welder and electrician must have a clearance."

"Instead of strengthening our national defense, the Smith Amendment has put it at risk."

In a recent publication, Mr. Cohen urged concerned parties to press for repeal of the Smith Amendment. See his "Smith Amendment Alert!":

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/eprint/smithamend.pdf>

CONGRESSIONAL ACTION ON INTELLIGENCE

The Senate approved the conference report on the FY 2004 intelligence authorization act on November 21. Senator Richard Shelby inserted into the record an exchange of letters outlining the functions of the new Office of Intelligence and Analysis within the Department of the Treasury. See:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2003_cr/s112103.html

Several members of the House of Representatives expressed their disapproval of the 2004 intelligence authorization (which passed the House on November 20) in statements for the record on November 22-23.

"What most concerns me about this conference report [is] the stealth addition of language drastically expanding FBI powers to secretly and without court order snoop into the business and financial transactions of American citizens," said Rep. Ron Paul (R-TX). See:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2003_cr/h112203.html

Senator Patrick Leahy (D-VT) likewise addressed "this Administration's secret efforts to further expand secret powers of the FBI" in a November 20 floor speech:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2003_cr/s112003.html

A bill to establish an independent, bipartisan commission on intelligence and the Iraq war was reintroduced by Sen. Jon Corzine (D-NJ).

He said such a commission "is necessary because Administration officials misused intelligence--that is, they made public statements and submitted reports to Congress that the Administration knew at the time to be unsupported by the available intelligence. And it is necessary because inaccurate and misused intelligence played a role in leading us to war."

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2003_cr/s1946.html

ACCESS TO CRS REPORTS AT ISSUE

Several members of Congress, led by Rep. Christopher Shays (R-CT), introduced a bill to enhance public access to reports of the Congressional Research Service. See the "Congressional Research Accessibility Act" (HR 3630), introduced November 21, here:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/congress/2003/hr3630.html>

See also "Lawmakers revive fight to get research reports online" by Ted Leventhal, National Journal's Technology Daily, November 24:

<http://www.govexec.com/dailyfed/1103/112403t1.htm>

A new selection of CRS reports on "continuity of government" -- which refers to the assured functioning of constitutional government following catastrophe or natural disaster -- is now available here:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/index.html#cog>

Additional CRS resources are being gathered and posted by TheMemoryHole.org here:

<http://www.thememoryhole.org/crs/more-reports/>

SECRECY NEWS IN THE WASHINGTON POST

Rapidly approaching my sixteenth minute, I was profiled last week in the Washington Post. See "One Man Against Secrecy" by Dana Priest, November 26:

<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A14488-2003Nov25.html>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request@lists.fas.org with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood@fas.org

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sgp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sgp/index.html
email: saftergood@fas.org
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

Re: Censoring Greer & Witnesses - White

From: Vince White <Vinceomni@aol.com>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 13:41:49 EST
Fwd Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 18:18:15 -0500
Subject: Re: Censoring Greer & Witnesses - White

>From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac@compuserve.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 22:38:22 -0500
>Subject: Re: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses

>(b) claiming that the government is covering up anti-gravity and
>space based weapons when there is, in fact, no confirmable
>evidence that either exist (I am, in particular, aware of the

Listers;

By this statement, we can't bring up anything not "confirmable", that just about excludes UFOs. The purpose of open hearings is to confirm, through sworn testimony, and introduction of evidence, what is not yet confirmed. I must add, there is at least one UpDates Lister, who observed at a Northrop test facility on at least two occasions what can only be described as gravity controlled craft. Several disclosure witnesses refer to gravity control as achieved. At least one refers to zero point energy devices in black labs.

Mark McCandlish DP witness describes gravity controlled craft, quietly hovering in a hangar - powered by what? Greer's developed sources claim operational gravity craft. Are we not to mention these yet to be confirmed, powerful possible motives for coverup? One DP witness claims enormous sums spent on this.

Multiple crash retrievals appear to have occurred, possibly even exchange program "gifts" according to the late Ben Rich of Lockheed-Martin. Greer's sources claim a scientific black harvest from this acquired hardware. In open ended congressional hearings, we can bring Greer's sources out of the shadows - as well as Area 51 test flights of "things" flying non- aerodynamically into sworn daylight testimony.

In this observers opinion, there is an empirical trail that leads to covertly achieved field propulsion... Greer adds to this picture of covert achievement. These astounding claims are integral to the UFO coverup itself. Admit gravity control - it leads almost instantly to the originating UFO sources.

We can't narrow the scope of discussion without aborting the hearing process itself. From comments of some listers some of the most surprised will be listers, who somehow don't think that, we too, can build shining craft that can travel to the stars. So we had a little help. This is what Ben Rich allegedly said to a roomful of witnesses. We will possibly need extreme congressional efforts, threats of contempt of congress, demands to tour certain sites, a real hearing room battle before the nation.

Proof or disproof of these things will come when this subject is addressed by what should be the longest, loudest, largest and publicized hearings in history. Somewhere in the house or senate is a man or woman who will summon the courage to make the historic ice breaker speech. Impelled, possibly by the burgeoning black technology that corporate leaders would like to harvest openly - or a triggering UFO event.

When that ice-breaking speech heard around the world is made, it will ask big questions, demand comprehensive answers, have historic scope. Contrary to some listers key folk in congress are deeply aware of the historic issues involved, having been privately briefed by outside sources. Bill Clinton isn't the first peson to back channel on this. What is whispered in cloaked rooms will be shouted in a publicly incandescent open ended question barrage.

Taboo topics will storm across the media, the very definition of national security will be questioned. Those who would limit scope will probably fail, but they are to be faulted for misguided attempts to do so.

It may happen in 2004, catalyzed by the X-Conference, or a bold UFO appearance or just the inner courage of a single member of congress to openly ask open ended questions.

Vince White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

From: David Rudiak <DRudiak@earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 12:05:12 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 02:56:12 -0500
Subject: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 15:42:17 -0500
>Fwd Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 15:42:17 -0500
>Subject: sTARBABY by Dennis Rawlins

>Critics ...have
>questioned the Committee's commitment to objective, scientific
>investigation of paranormal claims and have accused some CSICOP
>spokesmen of misrepresenting issues and evidence.

>The story that follows, written by a man who is himself
>skeptical of the paranormal, confirms what critics of CSICOP
>have long suspected: that the organization is committed to
>perpetuating a position, not to determining the truth.

>(The Editors of FATE Magazine).

>...I am still skeptical of the occult beliefs CSICOP was created to
>debunk. But I have changed my mind about the integrity of some
>of those who make a career of opposing occultism. I now believe
>that if a flying saucer landed in the backyard of a leading
>anti-UFO spokesman, he might hide the incident from the
public...

>...How these things grow! In 1975 and 1976 it was just a dumb,
>arrogant mistake by only three CSICOP Fellows. In 1977 it was
>their BS report, deliberate deception-cover-up. The next year,
>1978, brought Kurtz's attempts first to bribe me and then
>(secretly) to eject me...

Dennis Rawlins' lengthy FATE Magazine account (excerpts above and below) of CSICOP's cover-up and censorship of material plus their vindictive tactics against people is exactly what I am experiencing right now concerning my expose of Charles Moore's Mogul balloon trajectory calculation hoax. Dave Thomas, another of those CSICOP "Fellows", tried to defend Moore in the March/April 2003 issue of the Skeptical Inquirer. Did he do it by factually refuting my arguments? No, of course not! That would have been too scientific of the Skeptical Inquirer. What Thomas did was dodge my arguments and personally attack me.

Moore claimed to have used historical wind data and calculated a trajectory taking his lost Mogul #4 directly to the Foster Ranch crash site and, therefore, supposedly demonstrated that his Mogul balloon accounted for the Roswell incident.

But when Brad Sparks and I went over his model and calculations in his book "UFO Crash at Roswell: Genesis of a Modern Myth" we discovered Moore had engaged in a little myth-making of his own. Moore had flagrantly cheated with his math to get his balloon there. We found many instances where he claimed to be doing one thing, but did something else entirely, including secretly changing his assumptions, making up false numbers (like $100/12 = 350$ or $852/2.8 = 100$ for rise rates), and finally miscalculating his table to get his final trajectory (also contrary to the way he said he was doing it).

A year ago, I first detailed all this on my website at:

www.roswellproof.com/flight4_trajectory

I was soon attacked by debunker Tim Printy on his website, and again by Printy and Bruce Hutchinson on UFO UpDates. They badly lost that debate, and Printy finally slunk away while disingenuously proclaiming victory. On his website, Printy claimed he stopped debating because of the insulting tone of my posts.

Well, there is the given reason and then the real reason. The real reason was that Printy had no defense and was getting pounded. There was no way he could defend Moore's math (100/12 does not equal 350 no matter how you try to spin it), and he also got exposed at the end doing some lying and false data manipulation of his own. (For a list of posts in that debate, see "Math vs. Moore" and "Mogul Mangled Math" starting Oct. 9, 2002):

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/cgi-bin/search-email.cgi?index=/ufo/updates/&key=drudiak>

Now we come to Dave Thomas' Skeptical Inquirer article. Like Printy, Thomas simply reproduced Moore's mathematically incorrect calculation of his table to reproduce his phony trajectory, proclaimed victory, and then attacked me as "incompetent."

Regarding all my math arguments on my website pointing out Moore's many math "mistakes," Thomas, like a good propagandist, simply dismissed them as "quibbles" and "shrill accusations." In other words, he didn't present them at all, not even the super-simple and obvious ones like 100/12 does not equal 350. No wonder Moore "didn't want to get into the math." (Why not, unless there was no way to defend it?) Thomas, like Printy, also tried to spin my charges against Moore as being merely personal and nothing more than character assassination.

I found out about this article in the summer and started drafting a rebuttal to it. (I might add that Brad Sparks added a lot of helpful suggestions here.) I made note of drafting a rebuttal on UpDates in July

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2003/jul/m11-012.shtml>
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2003/jul/m12-021.shtml>

A week later, Dave Thomas suddenly made an appearance on UpDates, again claiming I was engaged in "character assassination," and the whole debate represented nothing more than "disagreements" over how to model:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2003/jul/m18-003.shtml>

My detailed response to Thomas (which he never responded to, because like Printy, he had no defense)

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2003/jul/m30-003.shtml>
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2003/jul/m30-004.shtml>

My final version of my Skeptical Inquirer rebuttal I sent off to editor Kendrick Frazier along with a cover letter in mid-October. The rebuttal is now up at my website, along with my comments about how the S.I. article was in serious violation of their own stated editorial policy. See:

http://www.roswellproof.com/Skeptical_Inquirer_response.html

I also went into this in my cover letter to Frazier, further noting that the S.I. had also put themselves in the position of aiding and abetting a hoax. I insisted that my rebuttal be reprinted in full, stating that this was both the fair, not to mention scientific thing to do. Real science journals have the integrity to always print rebuttals by persons whose work has been attacked within their pages. There can be no meaningful debate or science if one side is getting grossly misrepresented and then censored when they try to respond.

>The next day [Skeptical Inquirer editor Kendrick] Frazier offered
>this alibi for nonpublication of my September 18 report: ...Frazier
>confessed to a "gut feeling" that I might be right in some of
>my criticisms.

>...When he read this Frazier blew his stack again

>and on November 9 wrote a memo declaring he had deleted only
>"one sentence from a late-added footnote." ...False -- there were
>in fact a dozen deletions.

>Frazier's letter conveniently confused his right to edit (which
>I never had questioned) with his right to alter the meaning of a
>brief note telling the reader where to obtain the unedited
>version...

Now we get to the current status of my rebuttal sent off to the
same Kendrick Frazier, who over 20 years ago censored Dennis
Rawlins because what Rawlins had to say was contrary to CSICOP
dogma and embarrassing to their ruling inner circle, like Paul
Kurtz, James Randi, Phil Klass, and the like.

My rebuttal and cover letter was sent over 6 weeks ago. I wrote
Frazier I was busy for a month (overseas visiting my son), but
then we could deal with it when I was available. I have heard
absolutely nothing from Frazier, not even a simple one-line
acknowledgement by email that my manuscript had been received.

Now maybe Frazier himself is busy or out-of-town. I'll give it
a few more weeks. But it is beginning to smell exactly like the
old, familiar Skeptical Inquirer M.O.: Circle the wagons to
protect your own people and CSICOPs religious skeptical dogma
and don't let the Skeptical Inquirer readers know what's really
going on. What they don't know won't hurt them. Or, in other
words, just another CSICOP cover-up.

They can easily disprove this by simply printing my rebuttal in
its entirety. We'll see.

David Rudiak

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs -

From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 12:50:20 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 02:58:41 -0500
Subject: Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs -

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99@hotmail.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 16:05:23 +0000
>Subject: Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs

>>From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
>>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Mon, 01 Dec 2003 11:15:50 -0800
>>Subject: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs

>>Good Day Fellow Listorians,

>>In 1948 First Secretary of Defense, James Forrestal invited Maj.
>>General J. (Wild Bill) Donovan, former first Director of the
>>"Office of Coordinator of Information" (COI), then later the
>>Director of "The Office of Strategic Services" (OSS), to serve
>>on a secret committee including Dr. Vannevar Bush, Admiral
>>Sidney Souers, and General Alfred M. Gruenther to study
>>"defensive problems" against "unconventional attack" against the
>>United States. [With emphasis on the Russians]

>>The study was split into 7 categories:

- >>1). Clandestine attack employing "biological weapons."
- >>2). Clandestine delivery of atomic explosives.
- >>3). Attacks on key individuals, groups or installations.
- >>4). Certain special applications of psychological warfare (i.e.,
>>thought control or special mode as it was called).
- >>5). Unconventional methods of economic warfare.
- >>6). Clandestine attack employing chemical weapons.
- >>7). Clandestine attack employing radiological weapons.

>>Given the afore mentioned names - was this committee borne from
>>all the UFO activity the previous year?

>>Does the known existence of this committee support "Majestic
>>Documents?"

>>Was this a "sub-committee" of MJ-12?

>>Thoughts anyone?

>Frank,

>It would help if you documented your source or sources of
>information. Sounds very interesting, and I look forward to
>commentary by historians (hopefully) and well-informed
>ufologists.

Hi Dick & All,

The source is the biography of Major General William J. Donovan,

"The Last Hero," by Tony Brown. The information presented is on page 802.

It further states: After Donovan accepted Forrestal's invitation to join this "secret committee" he inturn created a smaller group to study the probelms which included "Otto C. Doering, T.J. McFadden, Richard P. Heppner, and William Colby."

Regards,

Frank

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

Rumsfeld On The Known And Unknown

From: Grant Cameron <presidentialufo@presidency.com>
Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 15:57:58 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 03:01:06 -0500
Subject: Rumsfeld On The Known And Unknown

"Reports that say something hasn't happened are interesting to me, because as we know, there are known unknowns; there are things we know we know. We also know there are known unknowns; that is to say we know there are some things we do not know. But there are also unknown unknowns - the ones we don't know we don't know."

For this brilliant remark to a press briefing Donald Rumsfeld was awarded the "Foot in Mouth" Prize by the Plain English Campaign today.

Grant Cameron
www.presidentialufo.com/ufo_update.htm

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO Updates Main Index](#)

UFO Updates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

Anomaly Archives Lending Library Opens

From: **Stephen Miles Lewis** <ufoupdates@elfis.net>
Date: Sun, 9 Nov 2003 16:17:30 -0600
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 03:11:28 -0500
Subject: Anomaly Archives Lending Library Opens

Anomaly Archives Lending Library Opens In Austin Texas

Greetings UFO UpDates eListers,

It's been a while since I posted to this List - tho' it remains one of my favorite e-lists of all time. My posts tend to be sporadic but you can read past posts as far back as September 1998 under these email prefixes "elfis", "smiles", "stephen.lewis", and "miles" if you go to the UFO UpDates Archive:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/>

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/1998/oct/m12-028.shtml>

A brief reintroduction for those who don't know me. My name is Stephen Miles Lewis but you can call me Miles or SMiles. I've had a life-long interest (oh, who am I kidding, it's an obsession) in all things anomalous, be they paranormal, ufological, parapsychological, whatever. Here in Austin, Texas I served as facilitator for a local UFO Experiencer Forum and Study Group, State Section Director, editor of ELFIS Journal of Possible Paradigms, editor of the Austin Para Times and organizer of the ill-fated 38th annual National UFO Conference of September 2001. Currently I host about 20 anomaly related web sites via the Elfis Network.

<http://www.nufoc.net/nufoc38/>

<http://www.ELFIS.net>

<http://www.AustinParaTimes.com>

For over ten years I've dreamed of founding a research lending library to house my ever-growing book, magazine and clipping collections. Well, that dream is finally a reality. Thanks to serendipity and a friendship with Bob McGarey (see Ray Stanford's Socorro Saucer in a Pentagon Pantry) of the Human Potential Center, my archives have a home in which to birth this project.

Two of the role-models for the Anomaly Archives are the Archives for UFO Research in Sweden and Rhea White's Exceptional Human Experience Network and its Psiline database.

<http://www.afu.info>

<http://www.ehe.org/display/ehe-page.cfm?ID=76>

There are so many fine researchers on this List who each have their own monumental research collections and projects; Jan Aldrich's Project 1947, Wendy Connors' Faded Discs archive, Jerome Clark and the CUFOS collection and others come to mind. The Anomaly Archives hopes to work with these and the many other fine researchers and research collections who network via this and other e-lists.

Some of the organizations we have partnered with locally include: Austin MUFON (Mutual UFO Network), Austin IONS

(Institute Of Noetic Science), INACS (Institute for Neuroscience And Consciousness Studies), the Human Potential Center and others.

To those in the Central Texas region I invite you to come by Friday, November 14th to see the Anomaly Archives. To those farther afield, you are also invited to explore and join the growing non-local Anomaly Community.

Sincerely,
SMiles Lewis
-AnomaloManiac

ANOMALY ARCHIVES - Lending Library - Opens Friday November 14th
Austin, Texas - 2007 Bert Ave. 78704

Ever wondered about the reality of UFOs? Ever had a strange experience and wondered if oacthers have had similar experiences? Ever wanted to learn about scientific investigations into the reality of Cryptozoological Critters, Parapsychological Paradigms and Parapolitical Cover-Ups?

Then Come See the Anomaly Archives and explore the Human Potential Campus at the upcoming...

Human Potential Center - Art Show And Open House
Friday, November 14th !!
[See bottom for more info on the art show]

The Anomaly Archives has been a vision of founder SMiles Lewis for over ten years. Finally, the Anomaly Archives have manifested in physical reality as the non-profit corporation the Scientific Anomaly Institute, headquartered at the ...

Human Potential Campus, 2007 Bert Avenue, Austin, Texas 78704

The Mission of the Anomaly Archives includes:

- *Preservation and dissemination of scientific research into anomalous phenomena,
- *Research and analysis of accumulated collections, and
- *Education of the public regarding scientific investigations into these phenomena.

Purposes of the Institute include:

- *Managing and developing an archive and library for documents and literature with regards to a multi-disciplinary approach to anomalous phenomena,
- *Supporting, promoting and pursuing research to obtain increased knowledge about anomalous phenomena, and
- *Pursuing and stimulating a critical, scientific discussion of anomalous phenomena, and providing a forum for information, support, and sharing among researchers while,
- *Functioning as the archives and library for like-minded organizations, and other groups in the community that have similar interests.

Services at the Anomaly Archives will include:

- *Free In-House Access to Materials for the Public,
- *Free Searches of Digitized Index,
- *Bibliography and Virtual Collections,
- *Lending Library for Membership Discounted Membership with Partnered Organizations,
- *Research Services for the Public (Discounts for Membership),
- *Discounted Services for Archives Membership & Partnered Organizations,

*Interlibrary Loan with Participating Libraries for Archives Membership,

*Discounted Membership & Services for Donated Collections, and

*On-line access to digitized collections for Membership.

Future Services the Archives will provide include Reprinting of Rare Publications & Anomaly Org Newsletters, Archiving Publications of Anomaly Oriented Organizations, Web Development & Archiving for Anomaly Oriented Orgs, and the creation of an On-line Distance Learning Campus.

For more information, visit <<http://www.AnomalyArchives.org>>

MORE INFO: HPC - Art Show and Open House

The Human Potential Center has much to offer in the areas of personal growth and development. To showcase both, the Center hosts a quarterly Art Show and Open House. Featured are the remarkable paintings of Kristi Hayner and Jo Lagattuta, and the handmade jewelry of Pam Chambers and Lia Nelson. Friday, November 14 from 6 - 10 pm. FREE !!

The Human Potential Center, 2007 Bert Avenue.

For more information, visit

<http://www.HumanPotentialCenter.org>

or call 441-8988

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

Re: Socorro - Harney

From: John Harney <magonia@harneyj.freemove.co.uk>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 21:42:36 -0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 03:21:01 -0500
Subject: Re: Socorro - Harney

>From: James Easton <voyager@jeaston.com>
>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 04:41:10 -0000
>Subject: Socorro

>The following might be of interest:

><http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UFORL/message/2217>

>Please note inherent copyright and also the latest update
>at:

><http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UFORL/message/2224>

There are some problems with the hot-air balloon explanation (which has previously been discussed at great length on this List). The ones which occur to me are as follows:

1. There were very few such balloons in the USA at the time, so it is thus odd that those did some research on them failed to identify one which could have been drifting over Socorro on 24 April 1964. (It is also odd that the CIA should want to keep secret any records they might have of experiments with hot-air balloons at that time.)
2. Reports of the incident state that the wind was very strong. In such conditions hot-air balloons can obviously become unmanageable if they touch down
3. Even with a strong wind, it is difficult to see how a large, low-flying balloon could have apparently escaped the attention of everyone in Socorro apart from Zamora. I have seen hot-air balloons and they are very conspicuous, even at a great distance. It is puzzling that it managed to disappear from view before anyone else got a chance to look at it, after Zamora had drawn attention to it, it being impossible for such a device to travel faster than the wind.

It is also of interest that no evidence has been discovered (to my knowledge) which would suggest the possibility that Zamora was telling anything but the truth about what he saw.

I think it would thus be unreasonable to say that the object _must have_ been a balloon simply to remove it from the "unexplained" list.

John Harney

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

Re: Sequel To BBC's The New X-Files - Roberts

From: **Andy Roberts** <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
Date: Sat, 8 Nov 2003 17:37:17 -0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 03:03:37 -0500
Subject: Re: Sequel To BBC's The New X-Files - Roberts

>From: Judy Jaafar <judy@gaia66.freemove.co.uk>
>To: <ufoupdates@sympatico.ca>
>Date: Wed, 5 Nov 2003 20:05:12 -0000
>Subject: Re: Sequel To BBC's The New X-Files

Pilgrims,

Forgot to make this point in response to Judith's:

>Please contact Margaret Fry (the original investigator) for
>Berwyn Mountain, although she is now elderly and infirm, and
>that makes her an easy target for debunkers:

This ludicrous statement begs many questions, not least of which is:

* Are we only to believe original investigators? I can't believe that anyone on this List takes that view seriously. Does anyone?

* And anyway Judith, just how 'original' an investigator was Margaret? And what constitutes an investigation? I think you'll find that the evil Doktor Klarke was one of the first UK ufologists to ever mention this case. He wrote an article about it in the (I think) May/June 1988 issue of the absolutely fabulous UFO Brigantia magazine. I'll bet cash money that Margaret hadn't even heard of the case at that time. If you've got the documentary evidence to prove different, let's see it eh?

Happy Trails

Andy

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

Re: Socorro - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger@ns.sympatico.ca>
Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 03:25:40 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 03:25:40 -0500
Subject: Re: Socorro - Ledger

>From: Robert Gates <RGates8254@aol.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 02:03:34 EST
>Subject: Re: Socorro

>>From: James Easton <voyager@jeaston.com>
>>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 04:41:10 -0000
>>Subject: Socorro

>>The following might be of interest:

>><http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UFORL/message/2217>

>The essence of this story is that somebody is still trying to
>float the hot air balloon theory as an explanation of Socorro
>and perhaps other sightings.

Robert,

The balloon theory is rank stupidity toted by one who's idea of debunking something is to repeat the same lie over and over with nothing to back it up and who refuses to answer the questions posed to him. He ducks and covers each time someone poses obvious holes in his unsupportable theories.

I note he still considers himself a UFO researcher rather than a self-serving debunker.

Just more noise. Thought we were shed of him and the archeologists had to put up with him.

Don Ledger

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

Re: Scabrous Shadows And The Blue Hare - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 17:40:37 -0600
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 03:28:11 -0500
Subject: Re: Scabrous Shadows And The Blue Hare - Lehmborg

>From: Andy Roberts <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 17:16:34 -0000
>Subject: Re: Scabrous Shadows And The Blue Hare

>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
>>To: UFO UpDates _ Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Mon, 01 Dec 2003 17:27:51 -0600
>>Subject: Scabrous Shadows And The Blue Hare

>Alfred wrote:

>>Scabrous Shadows And The Blue Hare... Scurrilous Psycho_social
>>Shenanigans!

><snip>

>>Look around reader! Psycho-social Ufology is not as it appears!

>Don't throw me in the briar patch!

It seems to me you've hopped in there pretty handily on your own, Mr. Roberts, I'm not required to throw you anywhere.

Lehmborg@snowhill.com

EXPLORE "AlienViewGroup" at its HostPros URL.
<http://www.alienview.net>

JOHN FORD RESTORATION FUND -- John will be released eventually. He'll need a tax free cash stake to get on his feet. Let's put one together for him; the bigger it is -- the more attention he gets. It could have been you. E-mail for detail. \$350.00 pledged -- \$200.00 collected!

"I cleave the heavens, and soar to the infinite. What others see from afar, I leave far behind me." - Giordano Bruno, scourged by the scabrously specious scurrilous.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

What Happened To Those That Took A Stand

From: **Greg Boone** <Evolbaby@aol.com>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 19:18:28 EST
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 03:30:28 -0500
Subject: What Happened To Those That Took A Stand

Folks,

There's so much data on this List it boggles the mind.

Yet, looking at the discussions about the 'Disclosure Project' and other sources that have witnesses, documents, etc. it brings to mind a review of what happens to people who do come forward and either reported UFOs or alien abductions or whistleblowers.

Yes, we know they get mocked, harrassed, given the run around - yet what's the solid history of this? Any books on the subject?

Beatings, financial ruin, career crushing, even rumors of murder?

Knowing the history of the world I wouldn't put it past us.

Yet what's the documented story?

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

New York Times On Mysterious Hums

From: **Kenny Young** <ufo@fuse.net>
Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 19:37:44 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 03:32:36 -0500
Subject: New York Times On Mysterious Hums

People Hearing Persistent, Mysterious Hum Aren't Alone
The New York Times
By Mindy Sink

Published: December 2, 2003

No one else in Phil Ciofalo's neighborhood in northeast Albuquerque by the foothills of the Sandia Mountains is bothered by the humming sound that irritates him constantly. They can't even hear it.

In other neighborhoods around the globe, however, Mr. Ciofalo has company, other people who complain of hearing a persistent humming sound, usually when they are in their homes seeking peace and quiet from a busy world.

"These people are definitely not crazy," said Jim Cowan, senior consultant for Acentech Inc. in Cambridge, Mass. Acentech was hired by the City of Kokomo, Ind., to study a mysterious hum that residents first complained about in 1999. "They are just picking something up that others can't," Mr. Cowan said.

The preliminary investigation in Kokomo has determined one possible source for the hum, but like other studies it concluded that there could be several causes and that more research was needed.

The people who hear a hum do not appear to be suffering from tinnitus, a persistent ringing in the ear that is not produced by an external source.

Dr. James Kelly, an ear specialist and director of surgical sciences at the University of New Mexico who examined complaints of a hum in Taos, said that "tinnitus hearers report hearing higher frequency sound" than the people he studied.

"The Taos hum is a low-frequency phenomenon," he said.

Dr. Kelly added that most hearing disorders affect perception at higher frequencies.

The most common description of the hum is that it sounds like the low rumble of a distant diesel truck idling. Some people also feel a vibration, or don't hear any sound but just sense the vibration. Others report various maladies they associate with the hum, including headaches, diarrhea, nosebleeds, dizziness, fatigue and memory loss.

There have been reports of hums in England, Scotland, Australia and other places in the United States for decades.

The "hummers," as they are sometimes called, vary widely in age and in the times and locations that the sound is most pronounced.

Billy Kellems, a 37-year old truck driver in Kokomo, has been hearing a hum since 1999.

"It's like a train yard or a jet on the tarmac in the distance,"

he said, to describe the humming sound that he thinks causes his headaches and diarrhea.

Mr. Ciofalo, 81, says the hum he hears in his New Mexico home has made him irritable and gives him frequent headaches.

"It started about four years ago," he said. "It was a low hum sound that would come and go, but now I hear it all the time."

His housemate, Martin Schweighardt, who has numerous health problems, including difficulty hearing, does not hear the sound. They have lived in the house since 1984.

Mr. Ciofalo has contacted the county health and environment office and written his senators and members of Congress. Representative Heather A. Wilson, a Republican, forwarded his request to specialists at the University of New Mexico, and Mr. Ciofalo has received technicians from Sandia National Laboratories in his home to do tests.

He has temporarily had his power, security alarms, water and phone turned off and now sleeps with headphones on. He also had his hearing tested and found it to be "as good as a newborn baby."

In the 1990's, complaints about a humming sound in Taos reached Congress and an investigation was done.

But with the study inconclusive as to a source of the hum, news of it nearly vanished.

The hum, however, continues for some people in that area who share their problem by writing about it in local newspapers or in online discussion forums.

Dr. Kelly said that a lack of financing was the reason no further study had been done, but both he and Mr. Cowan recommended more research on low-frequency hearing to learn how it might affect human health.

Not everyone is convinced that the hum is real. In most cases there is simply no evidence that the hum people are hearing is coming from an external source.

Gregory Speis, a senior electronic technician at the University of New Mexico, was sent to Mr. Ciofalo's house to conduct tests this year after the chairman of his department received the letter forwarded from Representative Wilson's office.

Mr. Speis said he was unable to hear the hum or detect it with his equipment.

"I'm the kind of guy that believes in U.F.O.'s even though I've never seen one, and I would say this is not as probable as a U.F.O.," Mr. Speis said by phone from his office at the university.

Mr. Speis said he had heard rumors about the Taos hum. "I think some people want to hear things," he said. "I wouldn't call it mass hypnosis, but maybe it's the power of suggestion."

Kokomo has invested \$80,000 to find the source of the hum 126 residents (in a town of about 47,000) there say they are enduring. That preliminary investigation determined that large ventilator fans at two industrial facilities could be causing the noise. The next step will be to measure the sound at those sites as the companies decrease or muffle the fans, then re-interview the affected residents.

"Although it's going to make a difference for most people, it's not going to make a difference for everybody," Mr. Cowan said.

The problem with some studies, including the less formal one going on at Mr. Ciofalo's home, is that even the most sensitive sound-monitoring equipment is often unable to detect the sound people say they hear.

Typically, people hear sound between 20 to 20,000 hertz. Sounds above 20,000 hertz are called ultrasound and below 20 hertz are called infrasound.

Internal organs can resonate, or sense a vibration, at certain infrasonic frequencies. The industrial fans in Kokomo were found to be emitting sound at 10 and 36 Hertz.

"Higher frequency sounds tend to be absorbed in the atmosphere; lower frequencies don't fade out as quickly and in fact can travel hundreds of miles with little attenuation," authors of the Taos study noted in 1993.

Perhaps the obvious solution is simply to move, and many people have after being unable to bear the chronic humming. But Mr. Ciofalo won't consider it.

"I'm not a quitter," he said. "I would like to see who or what is doing it. Why should I have to pick up and go?"

End of article

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

Re: Norwood Photos On-line - Young

From: **Kenny Young** <ufo@fuse.net>
Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 20:40:45 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 03:36:29 -0500
Subject: Re: Norwood Photos On-line - Young

>From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac@compuserve.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 22:25:08 -0500
>Subject: Re: Norwood Photos On-line

>I studied this case when I first came upon it back in the '70's.
>The lack of multiple photos is disappointing. It would be nice
>if there were a photo taken from a distance showing the beam
>going up at a slant angle, similar to the photo from the BOLA
>(Battle of Los Angeles) case where several searchlight beams
>converge on.... something.

>Also, the Norwood case was particularly frustrating to read
>because the detection of the object was a repeatable event for
>several days, yet there was no really scientific study of it.

Not so, according to the available information. Dr. Dare A. Wells, UC physicist, and Professor Paul Herget, U.S. astronomer (and later "vanguard" of the nation's space program) were present in Norwood for several 'viewings.'

Herget was present on October 23 and December 20. On the evening of December 20, Norwood mayor Ed Tepe was there and told Leonard Stringfield, in an interview several years later, that Herget and Wells worked closely with two AFOSI agents strangely named "Eichleberger" and Eichlbarger."

Using the searchlight, a telescope, camera and protractor, Herget and Wells calculated the object as holding a position 10-miles up and comprised a mass of 10,000 feet in diameter.

New information on this case suggests that The Cincinnati Astronomical Society (who we know to be Herget) alerted Wright Field in nearby Dayton, Ohio and the 123rd Aircraft Control & Warning Squadron, headquartered at Lunken Field in Cincinnati, was instructed to check the radar for the object. This information, according to recent testimony from a squadron member, informs that the object was confirmed on radar and closely watched 'round the clock' as it held its position over Norwood for 30 days prior to its rapid departure out into space (more info on this to be coming soon, KY)

In a newspaper account from April of 1950, Wells said: "In my opinion, its an optical illusion." Herget, on the other hand, said: "It's not a fake, I believe it may be due to the illumination of gas in the atmosphere."

Herget was later interviewed by Cincinnati UFO investigator Leonard Stringfield. The first thing Herget said was "Do you have a security clearance?" at which point Stringfield said his research was 'strictly civilian.' Stringfield, in his book "Saucer Post 3-0 Blue," said: "Herget, turning red, replied harshly "I take a dim view on UFOs, there is absolutely nothing to it."

I reviewed Herget's files at the U.C. archives several years ago and there was nothing to be found concerning his experiences at Norwood.

So the available information suggests, quite strongly, that there was, indeed, a 'study' of the Norwood UFO. The results of that study remain concealed, it seems, in the realm of the classified.

If there has ever been such a thing as 'insiders' involved with UFOs, I think that Herget was one of them.

In addition to the 2 25-foot rolls of motion picture film retained by Rev. Gregory Miller and last seen at WCPO Ch. 9 studios in Cincinnati in 1952 - from which the 2 photos at

<http://home.fuse.net/ufo/norwoodphotos.html>

were obtained - there were several good-quality photographs of the Norwood UFO taken by Norwood police sgt. Leo Davidson and held at the Norwood police department for several years, at least until the mid 80s, when they were last seen in the possession of several officers who presented the photos at a Norwood Kiwanis Club meeting, where they were 'passed around' from attendees (according to president of Norwood Historical Society I interviewed in the late 1990s). At this point, the photos were likely kept in the possession of a private individual, possibly a retired police officer now living in Florida perhaps, and are no longer at the department. I would sure love to find those photos.....

Kenny Young

--

UFO Research

<http://home.fuse.net/ufo>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Roberts

From: Roy Hale - The Lost Haven <roy@thelosthaven.co.uk>
Date: Wed, 3 Dec 2003 02:13:42 -0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 07:45:12 -0500
Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Roberts

>From: Andy Roberts <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 18:04:55 -0000
>Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions

>>From: Roy Hale - The Lost Haven <roy@thelosthaven.co.uk>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 16:07:38 -0000
>>Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions

>I rest my case.

>Happy Trails

>Andy

Andy,

Such fun!

Roy

Sad Pathways

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Aldrich

From: Jan Aldrich <project1947@earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 21:48:14 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 07:48:25 -0500
Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Aldrich

>From: Roy Hale - The Lost Haven <roy@thelosthaven.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 16:07:38 -0000
>Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Aldrich

>>From: Jan Aldrich <project1947@earthlink.net>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 7:16:12 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions

>>Have you stopped beating your wife, yes or no?

>Dear Jan,

>I am afraid that I have never been married.

That is not one of the acceptable answers.

Jan

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

Re: 'About' Project Grudge - Aldrich

From: Jan Aldrich <project1947@earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 21:48:17 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 07:51:24 -0500
Subject: Re: 'About' Project Grudge - Aldrich

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve@konsulting.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 11:11:30 -0500
>Subject: Re: 'About' Project Grudge - Kaeser

>>From: Eustaquio Andrea Patounas <socex@terra.com.br>
>>To: UFO UpDates -Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 10:48:30 -0200
>>Subject: Project Grudge

>>Source: About.com - UFOs/Aliens

>><http://ufos.about.com/library/weekly/aa022498.htm>

<snip>

Thanks, Steve, for the recommendation. However, the more we learn about this period, the more questions we have.

Shallet seems to have been a creature of Mr. Leo, the Public Information Officer while General Cabell wanted nothing to do with him. Apparently Shallet was given access to the UFO incident records, but not the conclusions. Col. Clingerman said in a memo that Project SIGN personnel did not discuss the project's conclusion with him.

Project Grudge while mostly dismissive of UFOs, did some submit certain cases for scientific review.

There are still significant items to be found from this period. Interestingly enough pointers to documents and other discussions come from other agencies' records and not those of the USAF.

Jan Aldrich
Project 1947
<http://www.project1947.com/>
P. O. Box 391
Canterbury, CT 06331
(860) 546-9135

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Jones

From: Roy Hale - The Lost Haven <roy@thelosthaven.co.uk>
Date: Wed, 3 Dec 2003 02:40:07 -0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 07:57:40 -0500
Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Jones

>From: Sean Jones <tedric@tedric.demon.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 16:52:14 +0000
>Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions

>Sorry for being a bit thick. But could you expand/explain the
>question a bit more, as I would like to be sure I understand
>what you are asking before I answer the question that I perceive
>it to be.

Sean,

If there is one case in the history of UFO sightings, that you
would consider to be the most convincing - to you - of ET
involvement, which case is it?

Roy

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

UFO*BC Updates - 12-02-03

From: David Pengilly <davidpengilly@shaw.ca>
Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 21:47:56 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 08:01:02 -0500
Subject: UFO*BC Updates - 12-02-03

Hello UFO*BC Updates People,

It has been a long time since I have sent out an UFO*BC Updates E-mail. Sorry about the long delay.

Dave Pengilly
UFO*BC

- 1) <http://www.ufobc.ca/Supernatural/Forteana/ravens.htm>
 - "A Strange Encounter With Ravens"
 - A very interesting story from Digby Island, 1969
- 2) <http://www.ufobc.ca/Sightings/recent.htm>
 - "Recently Reported BC Sightings"
 - With the help of NUFORC and HBCC UFO
- 3) <http://www.ufobc.ca/Reports/Collection/index.htm>
 - "The Collison Collection"
 - More UFO-related newspaper clippings
 - Many more to come
 - Thanks to Ken and Gwen Collison
- 4) <http://www.ufobc.ca/Supernatural/Bigfoot/spindlecanyon.htm>
 - "Deroche and Spindle Canyon Sasquatch Sightings"
 - Recent sightings of that elusive creature - Bigfoot!
 - Thanks to Ken Kristian
- 5) <http://www.ufobc.ca/Supernatural/Cropcircles/cccrnnews.htm>
 - Crop Circle Updates from CCCRN - <http://www.cccrn.ca/>
 - Visit their website for all the latest on Canadian Crop Circles
 - Thanks to Paul Anderson
- 6) <http://www.ufobc.ca/yukon/l-triangle/l-triangle.htm>
 - "Hovering Triangle Over Whitehorse"
 - A dramatic and recent sighting for the Yukon.
 - Many drawings by the witness.
- 7) http://www.ufobc.ca/yukon/under_guy_wire.htm
 - "It Flew Under The Guy Wire"
 - Another dramatic sighting from the Whitehorse area.
 - Excellent drawing by investigator Hans Grasholm
- 8) <http://www.ufobc.ca/Store/historical-cd.htm>
 - Archived UFO Audio Recordings on CD!
 - Originally on Vancouver Flying Saucer Club's reel-to-reel.
 - Betty & Barney Hill, Truman Berthurum, Daniel Fry and more.
 - Maybe a Christmas present for the UFO enthusiast?
- 9) <http://www.ufobc.ca/History/2000/newwest11aug03.htm>

- "New Westminster Sighting and Video"
- Multiple witnesses to a strange disc.
- Visit the HBCC website to view the video.

10) <http://www.ufobc.ca/Store/fall2003.htm>

- "Fall 2003 UFO*BC Magazine"
- Our latest publication - superb as usual!

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

Re: Elk Mutilation Investigor Needed - Belzil

From: Fern Belzil <fbelzil@telusplanet.net>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 19:59:43 -0700
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 08:04:11 -0500
Subject: Re: Elk Mutilation Investigor Needed - Belzil

>From: Brian Vike - HBCC UFO <hbccufo@telus.net>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 12:32:33 -0800
>Subject: Elk Mutilation Investigor Needed

>More breaking news. Now if anyone on this list is a investigator
>in this field, and who can get to this location quick, then I
>will forward the contact information to you. But please
>remember, I am only going to send one investigator to this case
>as I am sure the person who found this doesn't need a large
>amount of calls coming in, or emails. In other words not going
>to create a zoo with tons of folks running all over the place. I
>did contact NIDS, but their office is not open so I am trying to
>contact others in that area. I also have pictures and again,
>can't post them until my site is working fully. Jeff Rense may
>post the picture I have here and sent to him. So please drop by
>his site to keep an eye on this story. The witness to this tells
>me he has more pictures which I will ask for.

>Hello Brian. I have enjoyed your articles on Rense, and thought
>perhaps you would be interested in my story, or could point me
>to someone who would care to investigate.

>I live about 10 miles east of Cloudcroft, New Mexico, in a rural
>subdivision bordered by the Lincoln National Forest.

Hi:

I have been called to over 80 mutilations the last 6 years, one
was an Elk. Let me know what the location is and if I can help.
I will be posting pics of this Elk hopefully this week.

Fern Belzil
Investigator

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

Re: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses - Gates

From: Robert Gates <RGates8254@aol.com>
Date: Wed, 3 Dec 2003 00:44:47 EST
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 08:18:29 -0500
Subject: Re: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses - Gates

>From: Vince White <Vinceomni@aol.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 17:19:45 EST
>Subject: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses

>Listers;

>There has been a long and vehement criticism of the DISCLOSURE
>PROJECT press conference for daring to mention such topics as;
>operational gravity control, zero point energy, space-based SDI,
>and deep conspiracies relating to a massive coverup.

Vince,

Lets look through the process from the Disclosure/Greer point of view.

Concept 1. First any and all abduction/ET stories that involve something bad are really counterfit abduction sprung by the evil government to discredit ET. After all ET is the giant benovelent space brothers whose sole goal in the universe is to help mankind with all of its problems blah blah blah. I disagree with this theory. For sake of argument lets assume (low) that 10 percent of all abduction related stories are true. These are no benovelent space brothers trying to help man kind.

Concept 2 Any person who comes forward and tells a story is to be believed without checking the person out, without investigating the story, without doing any further research....as long as the story fits around concept 1.

The theory of believing anybody that is wagging a story is not limited to UFO, but also happened in the militia movement. In the 90s callers would call various radio talk shows and vomit storys about how nation wide government raids on gun owners/christians/whomever was going to shortly happen. Same thing on Y2K when people were so convinced by various story tellers that the end was at hand that they moved out to remote housing retreats in the country side to ride out Armageddon... only it never happened. Interestingly even though thousands of people did this you can't find anybody today who will actual admit that they believed all the crap and moved out to the remote homes.

Concept 3 We don't need to check any witnesses out..we don't have time because we are too busy gathering stories so we will let the congressional committee do that. A few years ago on this list somebody (Kevin Randle?) listed a number of Disclosure witnesses who had problems. What needs to have happened is _after_ the witnesses stories were checked out, verified, military records checked friends interviewed, then you present the story, and give the pile of documentation to the Congressional committee and or press.

Concept 4 While to most of us, including Disclosure UFO/ET represent an important issue, it is largely meaningless to the folks in Congress who are concerned/preoccupied with very earthly type issues such as medicare perscriptions, spending bills, foreign policy and various other down to earth matters.

I read a story recently that mentioned out of 12 or 14 spending bills which finance the govt for the current fiscal year we started October 1 2003, only two are passed. Point being is that Congress is so preoccupied with all that they do, they can't even get government appropriations bills passed prior to October 1.

Bottom line is Disclosure needs to clean up their witness list and be able to make the case to the powerful men and women in Congress as to why the remaining witnesses are credible and worth further examination by the committee.

Cheers,

Robert

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

UFO/Screaming Woman Incident Continues

From: **Kenny Young** <ufo@fuse.net>
Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 00:47:20 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 08:25:05 -0500
Subject: UFO/Screaming Woman Incident Continues

From: Donnie Blessing <RDonolin@CS.COM>
To: CURRENT-ENCOUNTERS@LISTSERV.AOL.COM
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 22:21:22 EST
Subject: Morehead, Ky. UFO/Screaming woman incident continues

Dear List:

I submit the following report for your consideration. The mystery is deepening.

Donnie

At 11 A.M. today, December 2nd, 2003 I spoke with a Mrs. Cheryl Woods (name changed, on file). I located Mrs. Woods thru Infospace.com. When she answered the phone I explained the reason I was calling. When I asked if she had been witness to an unidentified flying object and screams of a woman, she replied in a somewhat alarming tone saying "Oh yes! I saw it! So did my daughter!"

Mrs. Woods explained that there is a field behind her house and five properties are adjacent to this field. Behind her home there is a small knoll and on the other side of the knoll is where the field is, and up the hill from there is the house of Mr. Davis (first witness to UFO). Mrs. Woods informed that there are some trees in the field between her and the Davis house.

The importance for the property description is because the alleged UFO "came down" in this particular field.

Around 5:30 P.M. on the day in question Mrs. Woods and her daughter Sally (name changed, on file) were decorating the outside of their home, putting up Christmas lights. Mrs. Woods says she looked up and saw this "white light."

It was jumping and bouncing all over the sky. It began to descend behind the trees. At one point Mrs. Woods said that it reminded her of popcorn "popping up and down" from behind the trees.

Mrs. Woods told her daughter to go inside the house and stay, that she would finish up with the Christmas light. She said she did because her daughter was apparently alarmed (Both mother and daughter had a UFO sighting about this same time last year.)

Mrs. Woods says that she bent over for just a second taking her eyes off the light and when she looked back up the light was gone. She does not know if it flew away or landed behind the trees.

Mrs. Woods then says that suddenly all the animals in the neighborhood went into a frenzy. Every dog was barking and howling and sounding like they were in a panic. She said that her own little Chihuahua hid under the bed and cried in a

pitiful tone of voice. Mrs. Woods told me that she was afraid that he had become ill but now he was feeling better.

At no time did Mrs. Woods or her daughter hear a screaming woman.

Upon entering the house Mrs. Woods said that both her phone and her daughters cell phone began to ring. On her daughter's phone was her girlfriend asking Sally if she was OK. On Mrs. Wood's phone was her first cousin asking the same question. Both mother and daughter were perplexed and asked both parties on the phones what was going on.

Both parties said there was activity on the police scanner, that someone had reported a woman screaming on Skaggs Rd. and the police were on their way. Both mother and daughter assured the cousin and girlfriend that they were OK.

About that same time she saw flashing lights thru the window and went to the door to see the Fire and Rescue squad. Without getting out of the vehicle, the officer rolled his window down and Mrs. Woods yelled thru the open door that they were OK and that nobody had been screaming at their house. (NOTE: She did not report the UFO sighting)

While Sally took another call from a concerned friend, Mrs. Woods says she watched thru the window as more police arrived. Both Life and police squads drove slowly down the street shining their search lights into yards and between houses. Mrs. Woods states that a state trooper also showed up and went down the street.

After combing the whole area with searchlights the police and Rescue squad departed.

I thanked the witness for her time and if I have more questions I would call. She took my number and said she was going to see if she can get some people to look in that field to see if anything interesting could be found. I told her to call me ASAP if anything is turned up

COMMENTS:

1. After talking to Mrs. Woods I called the Kentucky State patrol. I gave the dates of both Dec. 23rd and Dec. 21st to the dispatcher. She could find no record of a report of UFO sightings or anyone being called out about a woman screaming on Skaggs Road.
2. There is a wide discrepancy between the time Mrs. Woods and Mr. Davis saw the UFO and heard the panicked animals. Mrs. Woods states between 5:30 P.M. and 6 P.M. Mr. Davis says 10:00 P.M. This is a mystery since I believe they described seeing the same object and equally heard the commotion from the animals. I asked Mrs. Woods several times if she was very sure of the time. She stated that she was sure of the time because she wanted to wait till dusk so she could try out the Christmas lights to see how they looked.
3. Because of the time difference I became suspicious that something else may be going on here. I asked Mrs. Woods if anything unusual had gone on after the UFO sighting. She said that she has a clock that chimes out of sync with the time. When it was 8 o'clock the clock would chime 7 times. Her husband noticed it around Thanksgiving when he got home from a business trip, and she became aware of the problem at that time. He was not home when the UFO incident occurred. He said it would have to go to a clock shop to be repaired because he did not know how to fix the problem. Also Mrs. Woods told me that the next morning after the UFO sighting she awoke to a terrible nose bleed. She said they have been frequent since the sighting and has never had nose bleeds before. She attributes the nose bleeds to the house being too dry. She says her daughter has had nose bleeds for years off and on but she has never had one. After hearing these comments, I became suspicious that she was familiar with UFO material. I must stress that she was not prompted on these questions and offered them out of the blue. I then asked her if she read UFO material before and she said: "Oh no, I don't read anything, I've only watched X-Files a few times on TV."

4. While I was talking to Mrs. Woods on the phone her daughter (13-years old) came in from school. Her daughter states that she had been talking to a friend on the bus. This friend is the son of Dr. Davis (first witness). He had told Sally that the screaming sounds seemed to be a woman that was "being torn apart." The scream was so blood curling and horrible, he told her on the bus.

5. Mrs. Woods said that her house had been broken into not long ago. She called the police and despite the department being less than 2-minutes from her home, it took law officers 20-minutes to arrive. The intruder-who was walking around the house-remained in the home while she awaited the arrival of the police, but the police did not catch the intruder.

6. During the UFO sighting, the 13-year old daughter looked frightened and said in a groan: "Oh no, not again, I'm beginning to think we are not safe around here anymore." Since the UFO sighting, Mrs. Woods says that her daughter does not want to stay in her room and leaves the door open when going in the bedroom (she used to lock the door) and now sleeps in the same room with her mother.

7. I am most perplexed by the time difference. Her UFO sighting was nearly 4-hours before Dr. Davis reportedly saw the same object with same animal reaction. I will not venture a guess in this regards, but let others interpret data.

8. Mrs. Woods is formerly in the Air Force, a paratrooper who also held a security clearance. She is now disabled from a back injury caused while jumping out of an airplane. She is intelligent and articulate. I think she is a credible witness.

Filed 12/02/03
Donnie Blessing
Southern Ohio Section Director MUFON

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

Creston British Columbia Missing Time

From: **Brian Vike - HBCC UFO** <hbccufo@telus.net>
Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 23:37:26 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 08:31:46 -0500
Subject: Creston British Columbia Missing Time

Creston, British Columbia

Date: November 25, 2003
Time: approx: 9:20 p.m.

Two men driving from Creston, British Columbia along highway 3 heading west towards Fruitvale, B.C. saw briefly a number of bluish lights off into the distance, heading east towards their direction at a very low altitude. As they drew closer, the lights seemed to spread out and all of a sudden and all of the blue lights blinked out approx: one mile in front of them. The two men thought was rather strange as they had never witnessed anything like this before. The witnesses could not make out any type of shape, or structure as everything took place rather quickly. Mind you they both mentioned that there may not have been any body to the lights. It wasn't until the fellows were at junction (highway #3 and #6) did they wonder where approx 25 kilometers.

Both men sat stunned, the driver pulled the car to the side of the road and the two guys just sat and looked at one another for a couple of seconds, then starred out the front windshield not saying a word to one another. Finally after a few minutes of silence the passenger turned to the driver and asked what the heck just happened and how did we get to the junction when they knew they still had a large number of kilometers to travel. The passenger then noticed a trickle of blood running down from the drivers right nostril which alarmed him to know end. The driver used his hand to wipe around his nose area and then found blood on his hand, he started to panic due to all this strange things that just took place. Immediately the driver put the vehicle in gear and started traveling north on Highway #6 towards Samo picking up speed as they drove along. The evening was very cool outside, but inside the vehicle it was rather warm. With both men shivering with coldness (from fright and a possible experience) the passenger turned the heaters temperature up to high and both of the fellows were still not able to get warm and comfortable. Very frightened, cold and an over whelming thrust coming on they traveled along almost in shock.

After traveling a good distance to their destination which was Fruitvale, B.C., they arrived at a family members home, got out of the vehicle and as they walked up the sidewalk to the door, the driver broke down. His friend told me his nerves were shot at this point.

A family member had seen the vehicle and the fellows pull into the driveway I was going out to greet them both when she saw her loved one bent over crying hysterically. She yelled out loudly and two other people in the home ran out to lend a hand with the man. The family was very upset as you can imagine and questioned the men to find out what was wrong, not imagining what they were about to hear. After some time a hot bath everyone settled in for the night.

Both men trying their darnedest to get some sleep, which wasn't easy after what just happened, finally they dropped off only to start having vivid dreams. One of the fellows remembers being in an object. He did not know exactly what it was. But in his dream

he did believe it was a room of some type. So I am trying to work with him to see if he can remember any thing else.

Case ongoing.

Brian Vike
Director HBCC UFO Research
Canadian Toll Free UFO Hotline 1 866 262 1989 - Free call.
Home - Phone/Fax 1 250 845 2189
HBCC UFO Forum Board
<http://216.147.50.102/HBCC-UFO/index.php>
email: hbccufo@telus.net
Website: <http://www.hbccufo.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Scherk

From: William Scott Scherk <wss@uniserve.com>
Date: Wed, 3 Dec 2003 02:00:11 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 08:58:16 -0500
Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Scherk

>From: David Rudiak <DRudiak@earthlink.net>
>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 12:05:12 -0800
>Subject: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

Rudiak writes:

>Dennis Rawlins' lengthy FATE Magazine account (excerpts above
>and below) of CSICOP's cover-up and censorship of material
>plus their vindictive tactics against people is exactly what
>I am experiencing right now concerning my expose of Charles
>Moore's Mogul balloon trajectory calculation hoax.

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2003/dec/m03-001.shtml>

Skeptical Inquirer and its publishers work against what they see as pseudoscience, irrational beliefs, and dangerous quackery.

Some may believe that a Mogul flight provides a reasonable explanation for the initial Roswell flap.

Dave Thomas is one such person:

'What is the bottom line on the Roswell Incident, NYU, and Project Mogul? In Moore's words, "When the wind information is coupled with the similarities in the debris described by the eyewitnesses - the balsa sticks, the 'tinfoil,' the tape with pastel, pinkish-purple flowers, the smoky gray balloon rubber with a burnt odor, the eyelets, the tough paper, the four-inch-diameter aluminum pieces and the black box - to the materials used in our balloon flight trains, it appears to me that it would be difficult to exclude NYU Flight 4 as a likely source of the debris that W. W. Brazel found on the Foster ranch in 1947." From "The Roswell Incident and Project Mogul"

<http://www.csicop.org/si/9507/roswell.html>

On the one hand we have a limited circulation magazine (approximately 40,000 subscribers) publishing comments such as those from Thomas; on the other hand we have evidence that these have little impact, such results of a 2002 Roper poll:

"More than two-thirds of Americans say that the government is not telling the public everything it knows about UFO activity (72 percent) or extraterrestrial life (68 percent)."

See:

<http://www.scifi.com/ufo/roper/04.html>

Put this alongside findings from a 1997 Time/CNN poll.

"As for the Roswell incident, nearly two-thirds of the respondents to the poll said they believed that a UFO crash-landed in a field outside the New Mexico town 50 years ago next month."

See;

<http://edition.cnn.com/US/9706/15/ufo.poll/>]

Why give time and effort to debunking the debunkers? It doesn't seem to me that CSICOP has made much of a dent in majority beliefs.

If the publishers, editors and writers associated with SI came on over to Rudiak's side tomorrow, would it make much of a difference?

William Scott Scherk
<http://www.wsse.ca>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

Re: Trindade - Gevaerd

From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <gevaerd@ufo.com.br>
Date: Wed, 3 Dec 2003 07:07:40 -0200
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 09:03:02 -0500
Subject: Re: Trindade - Gevaerd

>From: Luis R. Gonzalez <lrgm@arrakis.es>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 19:09:22 +0100
>Subject: Re: Trindade

>>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <gevaerd@ufo.com.br>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Thu, 20 Nov 2003 08:13:47 -0200
>>Subject: Re: Trindade

>Mr. Gevaerd wrote

>>The very same researchers, in Brazil, who analyzed the Trindade
>>photos ruled out the Barra da Tijuca ones. To the same extent
>>that Barauna's photos are absolutely legitimate, Ed Keffel's
>>photos are a gross hoax.

>Well, presently I am reading Irene Granchi's "UFOS and
>Abductions in Brazil" (1995) and there she wrote quite strongly
>about Ed Keffel's photos not being a hoax.

>Considering that she and her family had seen UFOs on several
>ocasions (and I seem to recall reading that there was even an
>abduction), my question is: How reliable are her investigations,
>specially the one of Onilson Patero's abductions and
>teleportations?

Irene Granchi's investigations are fine and very reliable.
Specially that of Patero, whose case has also been investigated
and confirmed by other researchers as well. Ms. Granchi is a
living icon of Brazilian Ufology and her example as an accurate
and objective researcher is followed by many - me included.

However, I believe that due to a long-time friendship with Joao
Martins and Ed Keffel, the reporter and the photographer of O
Cruzeiro's Barra da Tijuca UFO photos, she keeps refusing to
accept some other researchers' conclusion that the images are
hoaxes. Specially that of Claudeir Covo, who has done most of
the analysis. Other friendship relations that Ms. Granchi had
with hundreds of witnesses and abductees did not affect her
judgement in any other case.

Plus, I am afraid that she actually believes in Ed Keffel's
photos and simply don't swallow Covo's analysis (or others).
Maybe, and I question it myself, as I am like a son to her, she
simply didn't have enough elements to change her mind. On the
other hand, she has been very ill for the last years and for at
least a decade she hasn't been following UFOs any more.....

Ed Keffel's photos are indeed fakes and very obvious ones to
many UFO researchers in Brazil - me included. He and Joao
Martins simply planned the scam in advance and did it all most
carefully. Unfortunately, as all lies leave traces, they didn't
do their hoax entirely well. And they got caught. I am afraid
that one of most popular Brazilian UFO cases, upon which most of
Brazilian and World Ufology were build, is a hoax.

A. J. Gevaerd

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Hale

From: Roy Hale - The Lost Haven <roy@thelosthaven.co.uk>
Date: Wed, 3 Dec 2003 14:11:09 -0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 11:03:48 -0500
Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Hale

>From: Jan Aldrich <project1947@earthlink.net>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 21:48:14 -0500
>Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions

>>>Have you stopped beating your wife, yes or no?

>>Dear Jan,

>>I am afraid that I have never been married.

>That is not one of the acceptable answers.

Dear Jan,

I just looked up in the index of UK answers, and it sits very well.

Roy

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell@vcmails.com>
Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 10:12:07 -0600
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 16:28:30 -0500
Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Shell

>From: Roy Hale <roy@thelosthaven.co.uk>
>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 23:23:15 -0000
>Subject: Ufology - Ten Questions

>1: If after many years in Ufology, you arrive at the fact, that
>ET has arrived here on this planet, should you be considered
>someone who has a 'Closed Mind'?

Do I have a "closed mind" because I think I'm typing at a computer keyboard? It seems to be there under my fingers. I could argue persuasively for its existence. But I do realize that my perceptions can be faulty. And I have been led to understand that with quantum fluctuations and all that, the keyboard only partially exists in the dimensions I can perceive. And maybe the Buddha was onto something, and this is all just an illusion. So I like to think that because I understand these things, I could be wrong about the keyboard. That should qualify me as someone with an "open mind." I do seem to be typing on something, though.

>2: If yes to the above, does this mean that the choice of being
>a sceptic is the only one available to UFO research?

The "choice" of being a skeptic is the only one available for anyone with an inquisitive, rational mind. But there's a difference between approaching an unknown with the attitude, "I must find a reason for this that fits comfortably with my personal beliefs," and "I'll see if it can fit something I understand, but if it doesn't, I'm willing to hold off on any explanation of it. I can simply admit I don't know."

>3: If you believe ET has arrived, does this make you less of a
>person to debate with?

A good debater should be able to skillfully argue either side to the point where you can't tell what their personal beliefs are.

>4: How closely should UFO researchers align themselves with
>Government agencies?

How closely should a UFO researcher align himself with any organization that has an agenda, stated or hidden?

>5: Should censorship play an important role in closing debates,
>if answers are not forthcoming?

Debates should be closed (or at least paused) when each side has had an equal opportunity to present their arguments. Some debates never produce answers, as such, but hopefully they stimulate other lines of inquiry.

However, in the absence of new information, or a new interpretation of old information, there is such a thing as beating a dead horse.

>6: Is UFO research a subject for free thinkers, or is there a
>line to toe?

There's a certain degree of free thinking necessary in any endeavor, whether it's researching UFOs or designing toilets. And you're free to think of the subject any way you please. If you don't care what other people think, that's just fine. You can sit in your room and bask in the warmth of your special knowledge.

However, once you feel compelled to leave that room and convince someone else of your reasoning or beliefs, then you must take into account the reasoning and beliefs of the person you're trying to convince. What might be good enough for you, might not be good enough for them.

If that's a problem for you, then it might be best to stay in your room.

>7: Who patented UFO thought as non-ET related?

Jacques Vallee is, I suppose, generally known for proposing a non-ET possibility for UFOs. Charles Fort or someone else might have done so before him. Who first thought the odd lights in the sky were gods?

>8: How far should one use, web stats tracking as evidence of >inquiry?

Quality is more important than the source. How quality is judged is debatable.

>9: How far should personal prejudice play a role in your UFO >research?

By simply choosing to do UFO research (as opposed to studying bumblebees), you've already made a personal, subjective judgment. You're certainly free to study UFOs any way you want. But, again, the minute you want to convince someone else of your conclusions, you need to accommodate their requirements for proof.

>10: Should any researcher, be treated as an unreliable >candidate for UFO research DATA if they have used illegal drugs >- past or present?

All data is qualified. The drug usage just adds another qualification. If you can smoke dried grasshopper legs and channel plans for an alien warp drive engine, hey, that's great. But you can see how it would help your argument if the engine actually worked.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

Best Cases Of The 90's or 2000's?

From: **Minna Hyvonen** <minna.hyvonen@kolumbus.fi>
Date: Wed, 3 Dec 2003 21:11:06 +0200
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 17:52:24 -0500
Subject: Best Cases Of The 90's or 2000's?

Many times, when the best cases are asked for, the list only contains old-ones.

But do you folks consider any of the new cases, less than 10 years-old, worthy of listing in the best cases ranking-list? If so, which cases should they be?

Especially good-ones should be those in the respondents own country. A little brief of the cases would be a nice way to tell why the case is worthy of listing. Maybe 5 to 10 best-ones would be a good number?

Asking,

Minna H

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

Re: Congress and Disclosure - White

From: Vince White <Vinceomni@aol.com>
Date: Wed, 3 Dec 2003 15:19:22 EST
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 17:56:05 -0500
Subject: Re: Congress and Disclosure - White

>From: Robert Gates <RGates8254@aol.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Wed, 3 Dec 2003 00:44:47 EST
>Subject: Re: Censoring Dr. Greer & Witnesses

>Concept 4 While to most of us, including Disclosure UFO/ET
>represent an important issue, it is largely meaningless to the
>folks in Congress who are concerned/preoccupied with very
>earthly type issues such as medicare perscriptions, spending
>bills, foreign policy and various other down to earth matters

Listers:

If what Robert says about Congress were true, then we are indeed led by foolish and short term political partisans. The gulf between the public and private is as night and day.

There is nearly constant UFO traffic & related incidents dealt with by the USSC & other agencies. Key members of oversight committees know and understand this reality. There is leakage from military involved in ET matters to back channeling members of congress. George Knapp wrote, over ten years ago, of behind closed door inquiries with threats of contempt of congress. Intense inquiries have been made at the highest levels - concluding with a stonewall of denial and that the oversight process has broken down.

Just as key aerospace journalists have very private libraries on UFOs (personal source) some members of congress must have their own stealthy UFO libraries kept carefully out of public view. To not think this is happening is to deny the intellectual curiosity shown publicly on other issues.

The uniform UFO silence is continued by key members because of how explosive this taboo issue is. To say anything is to take on hidden powers institutionalized since the Truman administration. It means an act of political courage unique in history. The hearings of the past were not even hinting at the far larger issues in public view now.

I believe there are men & women of vision who fully understand the leap of going public and are waiting for the political cover of a UFO breakout event.

There is no perfect time. Once the press has grasped the 50 plus year hoax perpetrated on them, they will fuel the firestorm of questions. The words; "massive coverup" which are whispered today will be on front pages everywhere.

Behind closed doors some wise members of congress must have carefully considered these issues and even drafted speeches on this. Key members of Congress have quietly stealthily back channelled because it was the only way to try to find out what is going on.

Some members of congress do take UFO/ET reality seriously & know its relevance, but no one wants to be open first - yet. Perhaps several have already formed a clandestine special group to do this. Some may rise to this historic challenge yet. Leadership

arises mysteriously at times of historic demand.

The alternative is a gloomy ignorance hard to even fathom.

Vince White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO Updates Main Index](#)

UFO Updates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

Re: Rumsfeld On The Known And Unknown - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <mshough@parcellular.fsnet.co.uk>
Date: Wed, 3 Dec 2003 21:03:01 -0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 17:57:00 -0500
Subject: Re: Rumsfeld On The Known And Unknown - Shough

>From: Grant Cameron <presidentialufo@presidency.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 15:57:58 -0500
>Subject: Rumsfeld On The Known And Unknown

>"Reports that say something hasn't happened are interesting to
>me, because as we know, there are known unknowns. There are
>things we know we know. We also know there are known unknowns;
>that is to say we know there are some things we do not know. But
>there are also unknown unknowns - the ones we don't know we
>don't know."

>For this brilliant remark to a press briefing Donald Rumsfeld
>was awarded the "Foot in Mouth" Prize by the Plain English
>Campaign today.

Ironically, considering that this is an interesting example of
very plain English appearing to confuse a subtle point that
actually makes sense!

Martin Shough

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

Re: Anomaly Archives Lending Library Opens -

From: **Mac Tonnies** <macbot@yahoo.com>
Date: Wed, 3 Dec 2003 14:07:26 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 18:05:18 -0500
Subject: Re: Anomaly Archives Lending Library Opens -

>From: Stephen Miles Lewis <ufoupdates@elfis.net>
>To: <ufoupdates@sympatico.ca> <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sun, 9 Nov 2003 16:17:30 -0600
>Subject: Anomaly Archives Lending Library Opens

<snip>

>For over ten years I've dreamed of founding a research lending
>library to house my ever-growing book, magazine and clipping
>collections. Well, that dream is finally a reality. Thanks to
>serendipity and a friendship with Bob McGarey (see Ray
>Stanford's Socorro Saucer in a Pentagon Pantry) of the Human
>Potential Center, my archives have a home in which to birth this
>project.

Congratulations! Things are bigger in Texas!

====

Mac Tonnies (macbot@yahoo.com)

Explore MTVI @ <http://www.mactonnies.com>

Posthuman Blues: <http://posthumanblues.blogspot.com> (daily blog)

Search for other documents from or mentioning: [ufoupdates](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

Last Call For UFO Debate

From: Nick Pope <nick@popemod.freemove.co.uk>
Date: Thu, 4 Dec 2003 00:12:46 -0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 18:13:47 -0500
Subject: Last Call For UFO Debate

List,

A few tickets are still available for next week's UFO debate at the Science Museum. Chris French, Paul Devereux and I will be debating the UFO phenomenon on Tuesday December 9, at the Science Museum's new Dana Centre.

The Dana Centre is a new, purpose-built, multi-million pound venue adjacent to the Science Museum's existing site in South Kensington, London. The centre is a modern venue where people can discuss controversial and challenging issues in science. It's all about contemporary science and how it impacts on people and society. It's audience-led, with attendees encouraged to take an active role and air their opinions.

People wishing to attend and take part should telephone 020 7942 4040 for details, or check out the Dana Centre website at the link below:

<http://www.danacentre.org.uk/>

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Morton

From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs@aol.com>
Date: Wed, 3 Dec 2003 19:27:38 EST
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 18:15:33 -0500
Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Morton

>From: William Scott Scherk <wss@uniserve.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Wed, 3 Dec 2003 02:00:11 -0800
>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

>>From: David Rudiak <DRudiak@earthlink.net>
>>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 12:05:12 -0800
>>Subject: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

>Rudiak writes:

>>Dennis Rawlins' lengthy FATE Magazine account (excerpts above
>>and below) of CSICOP's cover-up and censorship of material
>>plus their vindictive tactics against people is exactly what
>>I am experiencing right now concerning my expose of Charles
>>Moore's Mogul balloon trajectory calculation hoax.

>><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2003/dec/m03-001.shtml>

>Skeptical Inquirer and its publishers work against what they see
>as pseudoscience, irrational beliefs, and dangerous quackery.

>Some may believe that a Mogul flight provides a reasonable
>explanation for the initial Roswell flap.

>Dave Thomas is one such person:

<snip>

Mr. Scherk,

Please contact me immediately regarding a property in New York
which I'm authorized to part with for pennies on the dollar. Our
family has been the owner/custodian of this property for years,
but needs to raise some money to restructure recent debt
incurred by our Fund due to the lengthy Market erosion and
declining liquidity of various family assets.

It offers a beautiful view of Brooklyn, and can be modified
to have toll booths - I mean, "toll booths", installed at
each end, providing a continuous cash flow for the owner,
well into the next Century and beyond.

We hope to place our treasured property in the hands of a
caretaker/trustee with a single-minded vision who will
understand and appreciate the value of what he's acquired.

Dave Morton

Fund Administrator

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

Re: Rumsfeld On The Known And Unknown - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <DRudiak@earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 3 Dec 2003 21:05:29 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 18:27:51 -0500
Subject: Re: Rumsfeld On The Known And Unknown - Rudiak

>From: Grant Cameron <presidentialufo@presidency.com>
>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 15:57:58 -0500
>Subject: Rumsfeld On The Known And Unknown

>"Reports that say something hasn't happened are interesting to
>me, because as we know, there are known unknowns; there are
>things we know we know. We also know there are known unknowns;
>that is to say we know there are some things we do not know.
>But there are also unknown unknowns: the ones we don't know
>we don't know."

>For this brilliant remark to a press briefing Donald Rumsfeld
>was awarded the "Foot in Mouth" Prize by the Plain English
>Campaign today.

I don't know, Grant. It makes perfect sense to me. It is not
unknown to know such things, whether they are known knowns,
unknown knowns, or known unknowns, you know. What is not a known
known, however, is how to transform an unknown known into a
known unknown, and vice versa. We don't even know if we don't
know how to do this.

Hope this clears things up.

David Rudiak

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

Re: 'About' Project Grudge - Maccabee

From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac@compuserve.com>
Date: Wed, 3 Dec 2003 16:38:49 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 18:01:05 -0500
Subject: Re: 'About' Project Grudge - Maccabee

>From: Eustaquio Andrea Patounas <socex@terra.com.br>
>To: UFO UpDates -Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 10:48:30 -0200
>Subject: Project Grudge

>Source: About.com - UFOs/Aliens>

><http://ufos.about.com/library/weekly/aa022498.htm>

>Wednesday, 16-Jul-2003

<snip>

I would like to add another much less well known, but at least as impressive sighting: May 24, 1949, Rogue River , Oregon. This sighting is Case 10 of Special report #14 (although you wouldn't know that from reading only the short summary in Case 10). I invite you to read all about it at:

<http://brumac.8k.com/Rogue/RogueRiver.html>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

Re: Norwood Photos On-line - Maccabee

From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac@compuserve.com>
Date: Wed, 3 Dec 2003 16:38:44 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 18:03:36 -0500
Subject: Re: Norwood Photos On-line - Maccabee

>From: Ray Stanford <dinotracker@earthlink.net>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 10:50:34 -0500
>Subject: Re: Norwood Photos On-line

>>From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac@compuserve.com>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 22:25:08 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Norwood Photos On-line

>>>From: Kenny Young <ufo@fuse.net>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>>Date: Thu, 27 Nov 2003 08:45:05 -0500
>>>Subject: Norwood Photos On-line

>>>To see images of the little-known Norwood UFO of 1949, a
>>>legendary incident involving Army Sgt. Donald R. Berger, see:

>>><http://home.fuse.net/ufo/norwoodphotos.html>

>I studied this case when I first came upon it back in the '70's.
>The lack of multiple photos is disappointing.

>Honesty, Bruce, you must not have done much of a study!

>The photos are frame prints from a 16 mm movie.

>Got that? A movie! So there were many photos!

Yeah, got that. How many are available from the 25 feet of film?
One (yours)? I studied this case many years ago and don't recall
the details. Will have to look in my records. My recollection is
that the case is in the Blue Book file at the National Archives.
I don't recall any mention in the file of a movie, just
documents and a photo.

But that was nearly 30 years ago (middle 70's). Anyway I haven't
seen the movie.

>>It would be nice
>>if there were a photo taken from a distance showing the beam
>>going up at a slant angle, similar to the photo from the BOLA
>>(Battle of Los Angeles) case where several searchlight beams
>>converge on.... something.

>You must need glasses, too, Bruce. The movie clearly shows the
>spotlight beam going up to the object.

That's nice. If it were possible to determine the azimuth and
elevation of the beam, the relative locations of the projector
and camera and either the azimuth or elevation of the movie
camera it might be possible to estimate the height of the
object. If the focal length of the camera is known, one could
then estimate the size. (Might also estimate the size from the
beam divergence if the altitude can be determined.)

>Furthermore, in one short frame sequence, the movie shows that
>although the spotlight beam (at that time) is pointing several
>.degrees away from the object of interest, when the beam gets >

>within a certain radius of the object, the beam appears to
>>become anomalously bent several degrees back directly onto the
>object! I have an frame print enlargement of one such frame from
>the movie.

Who made the movie? In this case there weren't "bombs bursting
in air" to clutter up the atmosphere with smoke, as in the BOLA
case.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

'Bizarre Screams' Update - 12-04-03

From: **Kenny Young** <ufo@fuse.net>
Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 01:16:00 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 18:48:43 -0500
Subject: 'Bizarre Screams' Update - 12-04-03

At 8:10 P.M. tonight, December 3rd, 2003 I spoke to a Mrs. Huff (real name on file)). I asked her if I could speak with her daughter, Nancy (real name on file)). I had obtained her number from Nancy's friend, Chris Woods (name also withheld on file). I asked Nancy if she could tell me more about what was said on the police scanner the night of the screaming woman incident. Mrs. Huff said that Nancy was at a basketball game and I then asked if she knew anything about the incident.

Mrs. Huff said that her husband was a volunteer with the Fire and Rescue squad and that he told her a call had come into the station from someone saying that they saw 'a woman with a baby' that was walking around on a hill, and that 'a spaceship' came down and took the baby and the woman began to scream.

Mrs. Huff then asked her husband if it was a crazy woman who might have needed mental help and he said that he did not know who it was.

At this point I was shocked. I asked Mrs. Huff to repeat what she said. There was a loudly crying child on her lap that made it hard to hear. She said that story is what was being passed around at the station.

I stumbled over my words while trying to calmly ask if I could speak to her husband. Mrs. Huff said that he was not home (he would not be home until about 9:30 P.M.). I asked if she thought he would talk to me about this and she said yes, that he would talk to me. She also said that her husband would know the time the call came in. I told her that I appreciated her talking to me and that I would call back at 9:30.

At 9:35 P.M. on December 3rd, 2003 I spoke to a Mr. C. Huff (real name on file)). Mr. Huff is a volunteer for the Fire and Rescue squad in Morehead, Ky.

Mr. Huff explained that he had been lying on the bed listening to the scanner and had not been on duty that day. He thought that the time was somewhere between 4-6 A.M.

He went on to say that it was a man who called and said that there was a woman in a field screaming. He did not know who the person was that called. I asked Mr. Huff if he was totally certain that the time was between 4-6 A.M. and he said that he would check and for me to call him tomorrow.

Mr. Huff went on say that he had heard that one person in the team searching for the screaming woman was approached by a man while they were in the vicinity of the Kentucky State Patrol outpost and Skaggs Rd. This man walked over to one of the officers and stated that he had seen the whole thing. He told the officer that he saw "a woman in a field and that a spaceship had came down and had taken the woman."

I asked Mr. Huff if he could tell me who this officer was and he stated he did not know. He did not know if it was a police officer or a member of the rescue team.

This was second hand information to him. I asked if he could

find out for me and he stated that he would not be allowed to give the persons name or the officer's name.

Mr. Huff went on to recount another incident that occurred one or two days after the report of the screaming woman. He says that a woman had called the station to complain that a child about two years old had been seen walking down route 60 in Morehead "wearing only a diaper."

The woman had been returning home from her niece's house, she had turned off Route 32 onto Rt. 60 headed west to Salt Lick when she spotted the child. She immediately called the police. When they arrived the child was gone. No missing child was ever reported according to Mr. Huff. Mr. Huff did not state if the woman had left the scene where the child was to call the police. Further investigation into this, I feel, is warranted.

I then asked Mr. Huff if there was anything else he could offer on the incident of the screaming woman and he said no. I thanked Mr. Huff for his time and told him I would call back to see if he had found the correct time of the call.

COMMENTS

Mr. And Mrs. Huff had different stories. There seemed to be some confusion as to where the baby fit into the story. I left all comments intact as reported to me since we do not have the full true account yet.

This case has escalated in intensity, being made that much more stranger by the alleged eyewitness sighting of a woman being 'taken' by a UFO.

Filed 12/03/03
Donnie Blessing
Southern Ohio Section Director MUFON

(NOTE: A written request for written reports and 9-1-1 police tapes to the Morehead Police Department on this incident is pending. See website:

<http://home.fuse.net/ufo>

for a special index page created solely for this event - KY)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

Filer's Files #49 - 2003

From: George A. Filer <Majorstar@aol.com>
Date: Wed, 3 Dec 2003 16:59:44 EST
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 18:11:26 -0500
Subject: Filer's Files #49 - 2003

Filer's Files #49 - 2003 Skywatch Investigations.
George A. Filer, Director Mutual UFO Network Eastern
December 3, 2003, Webmaster: Chuck Warren -
My website is at: www.georgefiler.com/

Sightings High on East Coast of US

The purpose of these files is to report the UFO eyewitness and photo/video evidence that occurs on a daily basis around the world and in space. This week's files investigate New Jersey - Possible Abductions A Catlike "Puma" Formation on Mars? Yellowstone Park 2,100 Foot Bulge Could Possibly Explode, Maine - Triangle Shape Flying Mountain, New York - Cigar Shaped Object Flying Fast Near JFK Airport, Pennsylvania - Flying Circle Of Lights and Big Foot spotted, Maryland - Sighting Of A Huge Diamond Shape UFO, Virginia - Pretty Weird Lights Moving In The Woods, Georgia - Pulsing Ball With Low Burning Lights, Florida - Bluish-White Glowing Objects In The Shape of a Flying Triangle, Wisconsin - Hunter Spots Flying Triangle, Illinois - Possible Flying Triangle Caught on Tape, Minnesota - They are back BIG time!, Missouri - Cigar Shaped Slow Moving Haze Or Shadow, Oklahoma - Crescent Shaped Lights Changing Into "Rockets" With Red Flames, Texas - Gray Cylinder and Large Triangular Craft, New Mexico - Mother and Son See UFO, California - Cloud-like Saucer Shape, Washington - A Triangular Craft Spotted, Canada - Three Maneuvering Lights, UK/England - Rectangle UFO, Virgin Islands - A Bright Orange Object Buzzes Cruise Ship, and Australia - Three UFOs

ASTRONAUT NEIL ARMSTRONG SAYS "THERE IS LIFE IN SPACE"

CAPE CANAVERAL - Astronaut Neil Armstrong says, "Life is out there somewhere." The first human to set foot on a place beyond Earth found an airless, waterless, lifeless moon. Nevertheless, Neil Armstrong is convinced life thrives elsewhere in the cosmos. "We have no proof," said Armstrong, who stepped onto the moon 30 years ago. "But if we extrapolate, based on the best information we have available to us, we have to come to the conclusion that ... other life probably exists out there and perhaps in many places."

New Jersey - Possible Abductions Need Investigation

MULLICA HILL - Dear Mr. Filer, My name is Shelley and I have been an abductee all of my life. This evening I had decided to look into finding an abduction support group in my area and instead came across a Sightings article you had written on Mullica Hill. You see, I live in Mullica Hill about fifteen miles from the Philadelphia Airport and have for the past sixteen years The date that you give of May 9, 1998, for another abduction seems to coincides with an incident of my own.

I'm not even sure what is compelling me to write you tonight. My hands are shaking as I type this. You see, I have a four year old son who has already had some incidents. And I have a 17-month-old daughter. They were the main reason that I was looking for a group tonight. I didn't find a group but I did find you and I have learned to follow the compulsion. Cryptic

isn't it, but I don't know what else to say. Thanks, Shelley

A Catlike "Puma" Formation on Mars?

Mac Tonies writes, On page 24 of the Cydonian Imperative, I published a brief paper called "The Out-of-Frame Hypothesis as Applied to MGS Image #M0202619" by J.P. Levasseur, a member of the Society for Planetary SETI Research (SPSR). Levasseur, who maintains that some of the apparent profile images seen on the Martian surface deserve serious scientific consideration, arrived at his "out-of-frame" technique by noting the partial likeness of a puma (or similar feline). Since the Mars Global Surveyor image showing the candidate "puma" happened to terminate where the "tail" should be - if the formation was deliberately constructed to resemble a large cat - Levasseur surmised that future images showing the region of the hypothetical "tail" would help prove or disprove his hunch that the "puma" was an intentional work of landscape art. Thanks to Mac Tonies Cydonian Imperative.

Editor's Note: Researchers have found a large number of artistic objects on Mars resembling various animals and human like faces. Although the Face on Mars is the most famous, there seems to be almost too many to be accidental, indicating the work of intelligence. Lets assume intelligent life forms once lived on Mars and they were aware the planet was losing much of its atmosphere. They may have chosen to make likenesses of the animals on their planet.

YELLOWSTONE 2,100 Foot Bulge Could Possibly Explode

YELLOWSTONE NATIONAL PARK, Wyoming - Far below the blue waters of Yellowstone Lake, a mysterious dome 2,100 feet across and 100 feet high is causing concern among scientists and people who don't know whether it's a harmless curiosity or a hazard on the verge of exploding. The dome, also called a bulge or an elevated plain, is less than a mile from shore and was recently explored by researchers with the US Geological Survey, using unmanned submarines and sonar. "It could be the precursor to a hydrothermal explosion," said Lisa Morgan, a geologist leading the team. "It's a pretty significant feature." Thanks to David Kelly - Los Angeles Times

Maine - Triangle Shape Flying Mountain

CAMDEN - I saw a bright odd-shaped star through my bedroom window on November 16, 2003, facing southeast in a valley toward the coast of Camden, Maine (about 22 miles away). It caught my attention because it was brighter than any other star around at 11 PM, on a very clear night. It was also twinkling with dots of colored light pulsing slightly down to the right and left sides, that indicated a flat triangle shape. The top of the triangle was the center in a mountain shape vs. a V-shape. The colors I saw in order of most occurrences were green, red, blue. I got a pair of binoculars and stared for a while, but couldn't see detail. I looked at the other stars around the bright spot, and there were many, and they were all fuzzy and seemed much farther away than this pulsing star. It was the color moving it "dots" away from the center that fascinated me the most. After about 30 minutes I went to bed. The bright spot had shifted out of the windowpane to the south slowly. Thanks to Peter Davenport

BOOTHBAY HARBOR - The witness who describes himself as working in the U. S. military writes, "This is the second report in the last two days concerning UFOs that hover in the sky that first appear to be bright stars twinkling/flashing." On November 13, 2003, I once again saw these UFO's in a clear sky for about thirty minutes before the clouds rolled in. As my eyes adjusted to the dark, I noticed many of these UFO's that are all around the night sky. With binoculars, it is clear they are disc/oval shaped with green, blue, and red lights flashing around, and are perfectly still in the sky. One closer object had a flashing red light in the center, with blue and green lights circling around it. It was about mile high, and had smaller objects of the same description all around it. Perhaps it was a Mothership? These craft are appearing more often in the last few weeks and are disguised to the naked eye as very bright stars, but there are colored lights. What I ask people to do is, if they see a star that seems to be twinkling very brightly, LOOK CLOSER. Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

New York - Cigar Shaped Object Fling Fast Near JFK Airport

NEW YORK CITY (QUEENS) - The witness was heading home from JFK airport on November 18, 2003, at 1:55 PM, when he noticed a cigar shaped object heading north going very fast. Planes landing at JFK usually are heading south. This was going much faster and had no wings, tails, or lights. Thanks to Peter Davenport

STATEN ISLAND - The witness was sleeping and awoke at 2:30 AM, and without turning on the lights went to the bathroom on November 18, 2003. The witness reports, "As you walk in my bathroom there is the sink to the left the toilet to the front and the bathtub is to the right." She said, "I was sitting there quietly, when the bottles of shampoo fell over and I looked in the bathtub, and saw something moving, but it was too dark to see." I ran out of the bathroom and jumped into my brother's room and awakened him. He did not believe me at first, but then he heard a bottle fall and we looked at the bathroom opening and we saw something run out and down the stairs. We heard the dog's door open even though the dog is always in my parent's room at night. "We looked outside and saw a bright green light and then it was gone." Thanks to Peter Davenport

Pennsylvania - Flying Circle Of Lights and Reports of Big Foot

SALEM TOWNSHIP - Stan Gordon writes, on October 21, 2003, at about 6:45 AM, a teenage girl was walking to school when she observed a circle of lights at tree top level across the road from where she was walking. The girl reports that this object began to travel in her direction, and moved low above her head. The object was emitting a steady loud sound that could be heard while it was close by. The object soon shot up into the sky at a fast pace.

ERIE - On November 16, 2003-The PA Bigfoot Society (PBS) received a report of what appeared to be a very large human shape swimming in a lake near Erie, PA. The figure appeared to have unusually large arm stretches while swimming. The PBS is investigating.

NEW CASTLE - PBS is also investigating two Bigfoot sightings reported by several young fellows in a rural area. The first sighting on November 17, 2003, occurred at about 6:30 PM, while two friends were taking a walk. They claim to have seen an eight foot tall dark creature standing on the side of a road. They reported a wet dog smell at the time of the observation. The next night as they were walking in the same area, they saw a similar creature sitting Indian style on the side of the road. Check out the PBS web site for updates.
<http://www.pabigfootsociety.com> Thanks to Stan Gordon, PA
Hotline at 724-838-7768 paufu@westol.com:
<http://www.westol.com/~paufu>

Maryland - Sighting Of A Huge, Diamond Shape UFO

SALISBURY - The observer was sitting in his living room watching TV on November 15, 2003, at 9 PM, when he saw something out of the corner of his eye that had a huge diamond shape with lights on each corner. It was huge and fast and there may have been another light in the middle. He states, "It flew over my house extremely quickly, way quicker than any plane. There are no planes that regularly fly over our house. I saw a UFO on a previous occasion but it was not as close or as big as the one I just saw. After I lost sight of the craft I ran back into my dad's study who is a retired Air Force veteran and told him what I saw. He did not believe me, but he said he had heard something like a sonic boom." Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

Virginia - Pretty Weird Lights Moving In The Woods

MIDLOTHIAN - The eyewitness on November 18, 2003, got up at midnight to open the door for his mother-in-law when he saw something weird moving in the woods. He states, "My house is high on a hill and from my deck you can see the woods far away and I noticed that something with blue, red, and white lights was surveying the woods. These are the only words I can use to describe how it was moving. The distance was about 5 to maybe 10 miles from my house, it was hovering over the wooded area just either circling or going back and forth. When it would move in

the west direction, the red and blue lights would appear. Then, when it returned movement back toward the east, a bright white light would shine down, like it was surveying something. I grabbed my mother in law, and we both went on the deck to watch. We watched it for ten minutes, and noticed a solid red color ball flying west across the woods. Another object was white and cigar shaped. Both secondary objects disappeared from my view. It was weird." Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

Georgia - Pulsing Ball With Low Burning Lights

HORTENSE - On November 14, 2003, at 10:30 PM, an object appeared just below the Big Dipper with red, yellow, green and blue colors and descended as three different neighbors watched. Twenty minutes later, I watched through my telescope as they began to ascend at thirty minutes after midnight. As it ascended it left a flume or wake behind. It eventually stopped moving and was in the shape of a ball with low burning lights pulsing from it. Last night, my friends and I counted six objects, all with the same red, green, and blue colors. There was one object located on each side of the Big Dipper, both seemed to be on the same parallel. There were, also, four objects in the western sky. One of the objects near the Big Dipper was in the shape of a triangle. As these objects ascended, they took the shape of a ball, with low burning lights pulsing from them. It almost seems that each comes to a rest as if awaiting orders to move. The witnesses tried to photograph the objects but they were too far away. I know this is not something from this world and they are very distinct in the heavens due to their brilliant colors and pulsing lights. Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

Florida - Bluish-White Glowing Objects In The Shape of a Flying Triangle.

PALM COAST - The observer saw a very large and long lasting meteor or shooting star that his caught attention on November 15, 2003, at 10:45 PM. He was still looking wondering if there was a meteor shower happening. Then he saw a reflection of what might be a flying flock of birds. The observer states, "I soon realized it was not birds, it was many bluish-white glowing objects in the shape of a V. Each one was as bright as a "dim" star. The V was made up of about 5 of these glowing objects down each side of the V with some kind of blur or mist around each light. I could not determine if they were all fixed to one large object or if they were all separate objects but they were all staying perfectly aligned as if they were connected. They were moving southeast very quickly without any sound. It moved much faster than any aircraft I've ever seen. I guess the sighting lasted about seven seconds. Thanks to Peter Davenport

BRADENTON - On November 15, 2003, at 5:30 PM, while the witness was driving on SR64, his fifteen year old daughter asked, "What was that in the sky?" He looked up and saw an off-white slim object that was pretty far up. The object was moving when I saw it and then it stopped in mid air. My daughter then pointed out another object and my other daughter, also, saw one for a total of three. I have no idea what they were, but I've never seen an object move that fast and then stop and come to a hover. A moment later, there was a bright flash and the object that I originally saw was gone followed by two more flashes in sequence and the other two objects were gone. My daughters immediately felt as if the flash was some type of communication between the objects as they happened in sequence. Thanks to Peter Davenport

JACKSONVILLE BEACH - The observers report, "We were on the balcony at a Jacksonville Beach hotel on November 15, 2003, when something flew 20 to 30 yards from where we sat on the 8th floor at 10 PM." We could easily have thrown a rock and hit the oddly shaped rectangle that was wobbly and it made the same noise a helicopter makes. It had a bright red glow coming from it which made the whole side of the hotel red. We didn't know what it was but an hour later we noticed lots of activity up the beach by Naval Station Mayport, spotlights and the whole base was lit up like a Christmas tree. We then drove as close as possible and sat watching for hours all the activity out in the water. There were several helicopters with search lights skimming over the water, there were at least twelve helicopters, and seven were skimming over the water several miles out. A UFO streaks past our hotel and an unreported aerial search of beach and ocean is conducted by the Navy and Coast Guard. As we left the electric

boxes on the poles were all buzzing very loudly, which was not normal? We went back to the hotel and in the morning there were still helicopters skimming the water. We checked the news but nothing was reported. Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

Ohio - The Boomerang Object Distorted The Visible Sky

NILES - A family of four adults and two children saw soft blue objects flying in formation that looked like fuzzy clouds that maneuvered and then hovered and frequently crisscrossed each other as well as taking separate flight patterns of their own on November 14, 2003, at 5:30 PM. The witness writes, "They began to follow me and my dog as we walked up the trail to my house." They hovered over my house until I came out with my other dog and my father and they continued following us down to the yard. They appeared to be looking or searching in a particular area, not straying far from the field. Currently, I am viewing airplanes going towards and near the area of this phenomenon, and the objects do not go away. They are still hovering as I am typing this which has been now over an hour. Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

Wisconsin - Hunter Spots Flying Triangle.

DOUSMAN - Jeff S. reports, "It was the fourth day of deer hunting for me on November 25, 2003, and I just got out to my stand 6:00 AM, when I heard this low tone noise, like the last low key of a piano. The sun was just starting to show some light on the horizon. On the opposite side, there was a dark blue sky with some stars. So I looked up to see what it was. There were three dim yellow lights in a perfect triangle formation. It was flying too slow to be an airplane. It came from the south and headed north. I watched this object for about one minute. Next, the noise got quieter and quieter until you couldn't hear anything. Then it froze. All three of the lights got close together until it almost looked like one light. Then the front light flashed twice, it flew up for a couple seconds and just vanished. Five or ten minutes later an extremely low, jade green airplane came flying from the west and headed north, the same direction the object/objects. The airplane was flying lower than the object. All the edges of this plane were rounded. I heard the turbulence of this airplane for about seven minutes. Thanks to Jeff S. and UFO Wisconsin.com/

Illinois - Possible Flying Triangle Caught On Tape

HANOVER PARK - It was 5:10 AM on November 13, 2003, and I was letting my dog outside and I noticed a bright light to the south. At first I thought it was a plane but it was not on a normal flight path and it was moving too slow to be a plane. After thirty seconds of watching this light move to the north I decided to get my camcorder. I began to record and as I zoomed in I was shocked. There were three bright lights in the shape of a flying triangle. I taped it for about thirty seconds before my battery went dead. I have watched this tape a dozen times and can see the faint outline of the triangle. Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

Minnesota - They are back BIG time!

WEST CENTRAL MINNESOTA - Brian Vike reports he received information from five witnesses who saw a UFO on Saturday, November 29, 2003, who saw huge bright light flash in western sky. The witness states, "I only got one really good picture at 6 PM. I have a bunch of other shots, but they are not as good. Then it ZIPS to a southeast position. Sits there. I yelled for (hubby) and then for the boys who were having band practice. The object had by then moved to a southwest position and just sat there. Multiple lights flashing and appeared to be changing in shape. I will have each of them write up a separate statement. The object then SINKS on the horizon VERY SLOWLY. As if to land? This lasted a good twenty minutes so we got a good look at this HUGE object, the biggest I have ever seen. Hubby had to leave and the boys were a little shell shocked. They just couldn't believe what they saw. Thanks to Brian Vike, Director UFO Hotline 1 866 262 1989 - Free call.

Louisiana - It Was A Scary Sight.

ABBEVILLE - The witness was out side getting some water for his grandmother on November 19, 2003, when he looked up to see the

stars and six triangle-shaped craft. He states, "They lowered themselves to about 200 feet above the ground, when I saw a door slide open, and that's when I ran for the house: I sat at inside by the window to watch, but the door closed and it took off. Thanks to Peter Davenport

Missouri - Cigar Shaped Slow Moving Haze Or Shadow

ST. LOUIS - A cigar shaped gray slow moving object was seen at 6:50 AM, in a clear sky moving south on November 13, 2003, by two coworkers in a lighting company. The witness states, "I saw no lights and heard no sound and there were no lines defining anything. It was like a shadow about 50 + miles away, at ten o'clock high. My self and coworker watched it about 45 seconds. It slowly faded from site, never changing speed or direction. My gut feeling at the time was {for lack of better words Ill-use star trek lingo} the shadow was cloaking something inside. Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

Texas - Gray Cylinder And Large Triangular Craft

DALLAS - The observer reports seeing a gray cylinder that resembled a fuselage without any wings going upwards with a faint trail following it on the November 19, 2003, at about 2:05 PM. It was a bright sunny day and there were several planes leaving contrails in the sky but this object was just going straight upwards and it disappeared from sight in about a minute. There were no markings on the object, it was not shiny, but just a dull gray white color, and its speed were difficult to tell since it was going upwards. The past two nights there has been a light that is stationary close to my school in Richardson, which is where I go to school and work. Both times the light appeared, there has been several witness faculty members, staff, and students that have witnessed this light. The object itself just seems to be a white or yellowish star that is out of place but has been seen in plain view at 5:45 PM and is extremely too bright to be a star. It is unlikely to be a weather balloon since it was stationary for over an hour. Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

ARLINGTON - The eye witness claims, "I just went out to get some air when a very large triangular craft flew over my house on November 19, 2003, at 2:30 PM, that blocked out the sky. It was several hundreds of yards in length and width." I felt a sense of mass above me, it was scary. It went south down Highway 287 and did a slow east turn about ten miles from my location. At this time I saw a small (flame or illumination from the back). It went about ten miles to the east towards Cedar Hill and then turned south, it gained altitude and very slowly disappeared. This craft was not fast, it was massive and deliberate in its moves. No lights, no sound, no smell, but a feeling when it was over me of mass, if that makes any kind of sense. Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

Oklahoma - Crescent Shaped Lights Changing Into "Rockets" With Red Flames

LAWTON - At about 5:30 PM, on November 16, 2003, the observer was heading straight west on Highway 7, driving into Duncan, when he saw north of the setting sun, two crescent shaped lights. They were fairly large with the convex pointing down. As I watched, the two lights got closer together. I made a turn and lost sight of the lights. When I turned west again, at 5:37 PM, one light had turned around, changed shape into what looked like a rocket heading straight down towards the earth. A couple minutes later the second craft which kept its crescent shape was stationary until now. It changed into a rocket heading higher with red flames coming out the back of the craft that looked like red-magnesium burning. Then as I watched, the burning flame headed straight up into the sky away from the other craft. These two flight paths continued until about 5:50 PM, when the second craft disappeared from view and the first craft changed its course to run parallel with the horizon. By 5:55 PM, the first craft had moved back up into the sky, and changed into a stationary bright light, that looked like a star but it was not dark enough to see any other stars. By 6:20 PM, when I reached Lawton, the light was still stationary, the sun had set completely, and I stopped watching. Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

New Mexico - Mother and Son See UFO

NOGAL - J T reports, "On November 6th my son and I were driving down Highway 37 around three miles north of Nogal. It was just around sunset but there were no stars yet in the sky." Strangely enough, I noticed something that looked like a star just above us and thought it may have been a plane. To me it looked round and brilliant like a star. I just kept looking at it wondering what on earth I was seeing. Then my son said to me, "Mom why is that star moving?" I was relieved I was not the only one who saw it and said, "Maybe it's a plane?" he said, "Why is it going so slow?" It was a sphere shaped object that was there for around a minute moving slowly, but then it disappeared. My son got a better look at it before this happened. He said, "It turned from a disc into a triangle and then looked like a boomerang before it disappeared. We stopped further down the road and searched the sky with no luck. It was probably something from White Sands. Thanks to jtpage@tularosa.net writes:

SKY CITY - An almost perfectly round object was seen off Interstate 40, about 150 miles north of Roswell, on November 14, 2003. The object was yellowish-white in color and pulsated as though energy was coming from it. It had a black area in the middle of the UFO. The witness tried to videotape. When he zoomed in with a video camera the object flew further out and when zoomed out on the object it came closer Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

California - Cloud-like Saucer Shape

WEST COVINA - A craft approached from the northwest and then proceeded east along Highway 10 on November 10, 2003, at 6:30 PM. It appeared cloud-like in a saucer shape outline with domes top and bottom that were approximately 50 to 100 feet across. There were flashing airc raft type lights on top and bottom of the opposing domes with a dark narrow slit near the top of the dome. The craft moved very slowly at low altitude no more than one hundred fifty feet from my hilltop viewpoint. During the event, I felt very calm and was not aware of any detectable noise from the craft. Thanks to Peter Davenport

PASADENA - Driving east on 210 Freeway, the witness and his daughter looked to the right and saw a saucer with fluorescent lights on November 10, 2003, at 6:20 PM. The saucer just kept hovering and had a red light. They kept on driving on freeway until they could get off the Colorado Street off-ramp and did not see it anymore. Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

Washington - A Triangular Craft Spotted

LA CENTER - The witnesses were driving north on November 13, 2003, as darkness approached when they noticed a very slow moving plane or a very large star. As we drove closer we realized it couldn't be either. The object was triangular and there were blue lights on the far sides and in the center a large white light, accompanied by a small red light right below it. It stayed completely still until we drove past. We looked behind us and saw it moving in our direction. We continued driving north in the direction of our homes. As we turned the last curve we saw it coming over the hillside. Later my friend reported that it disappeared shortly after she dropped me off. Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

Canada - Three Maneuvering Lights

DIDSBURY, ALBERTA - Brian Vike had a call from a resident who was outside his home on November 28, 2003, and reports seeing three very bright white/yellow lights sitting over the Rocky Mountain Range at midnight. He reports watching them for three minutes before they all blinked out at the same time. The lights flew around from side to side, up and down individually, not together as one unit. Thanks to Brian Vike, Director CANADA HBCC

UK/England - Rectangle UFO

HASLINGDEN - Four lights square were seen by witnesses with one red dot traveling anti-clockwise at 6 PM. There were four lights in a square formation with a red dot anti-clockwise light around it on November 14, 2003,

Virgin Islands - A Bright Orange Object Buzzes Cruise Ship

SOUTHERN ATLANTIC OCEAN - We were on a Carnival Cruise ship on November 13, 2003, at 9:30 PM, when on the port side when my husband saw a shooting star and turned around to make a wish; when this object stopped dead in the sky and then flew towards us at only fifty feet above the water. It flew to a position about a 100 yards from us. Then it proceeded toward us and stopped again, then it flew to the right and then darted out on an angle to the rear of the ship. It came back again to the rear of the ship. The whole time we were watching this lighted object I was telling it to come back, and then it disappeared right out of sight as quick as it came. Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

Australia - Three UFOs

MELBOURNE - The witnesses observed bright glowing yellow lights on November 15, 2003, flying right above our tent. There was at least three of them, glowing yellow color. Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

I NEED YOUR HELP

Dear Readers - Filer's Files has been brought to you free for seven years on a weekly basis. As of January 1, 2003, I'm requesting a donation of \$2 a month or \$24 per year to enable me to continue with Filer's Files. This will hopefully cover my present operating expenses. Without donations I will be forced to discontinue these files and the website.

Donations can be sent to: www.GeorgeFiler.com
Paypal, Visa, American Express, and Master charge are accepted.

WHAT YOU SHOULD KNOW WHEN BUY OR SELL REAL ESTATE!

Get your free report and learn how you can obtain the best real estate agent to help your buy or sell a home. To get a free copy of this report e-mail me at: Majorstar @ aol.com

Cognigen - is a leading global provider of discount telecom services that has the best rates in the industry. Long distance rates from 3.4 cents per minute, T-1s, calling cards, computers, and zero cents per minute for unlimited local and long distance calls for only \$29.99 per month. Check it out at <http://ld.net/?georgefiler>

MUFON UFO JOURNAL - For more detailed monthly investigative reports subscribe to the MUFON JOURNAL. A MUFON membership includes the Journal and costs only \$45.00 per year. To join MUFON or to report a UFO go to <http://www.mufon.com/>. To ask questions contact MUFONHQ@aol.com or HQ@mufon.com. Mention that I recommended you for membership. "The MUFON Journal is now accepting qualified advertising, please call 1 (303) 932-7709 for more information."

Filer's Files is copyrighted 2003 by George A. Filer, all rights reserved. Readers may post the COMPLETE files on their Web Sites if they credit the Newsletter and its editor by name and list the date of issue. These reports and comments are not necessarily the OFFICIAL MUFON viewpoint. Send your letters to Majorstar@aol.com. Sending mail automatically grants permission for us to publish and use your name. Please state if you wish to keep your name or e-mail confidential. CAUTION, MOST OF THESE ARE INITIAL REPORTS AND REQUIRE FURTHER INVESTIGATION.

Happy Holidays

George A. Filer www.GeorgeFiler.com/

Search for other documents from or mentioning: [hq](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

Re: What Happened To Those That Took A Stand -

From: Alfred Lehmborg <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
Date: Wed, 03 Dec 2003 16:19:34 -0600
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 18:16:53 -0500
Subject: Re: What Happened To Those That Took A Stand -

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 19:18:28 EST
>Subject: What Happened To Those That Took A Stand

>There's so much data on this List it boggles the mind.

>Yet, looking at the discussions about the 'Disclosure Project'
>and other sources that have witnesses, documents, etc. it brings
>to mind a review of what happens to people who do come forward
>and either reported UFOs or alien abductions or whistleblowers.

>Yes, we know they get mocked, harrassed, given the run around -
>yet what's the solid history of this? Any books on the subject?

>Beatings, financial ruin, career crushing, even rumors of
>murder?

>Knowing the history of the world I wouldn't put it past us.

>Yet what's the documented story?

I've noted this myself. I call it the "Mothman futility syndrome," or the affliction imposed by the deliberate steps of a cowardly and officious society to implode or destroy (discredit and marginalize) the individual human being who would have the effrontery to honestly report their paranormal experiences to the rest of us. The individual is punished for their courage... positive behavior discouraged, and rewarded for their fearful and intimidated silence. Ardent skeptibunkies and insentient CSICOPians won't cop to this at all... there are - only- the mislead, misleading, and mentally ill. Everything else is "impossible", so it's "not happening"!

Lehmborg@snowhill.com

EXPLORE "AlienViewGroup" at its HostPros URL.
<http://www.alienview.net>

JOHN FORD RESTORATION FUND -- John will be released eventually. He'll need a tax free cash stake to get on his feet. Let's put one together for him; the bigger it is -- the more attention he gets. It could have been you. E-mail for detail. \$350.00 pledged -- \$200.00 collected!

"I cleave the heavens, and soar to the infinite.
What others see from afar, I leave far behind me."
- Giordano Bruno, scourged by the scabrously specious scurrilous.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

Unusual Marks On Israeli Investigator

From: **Brian Vike - HBCC UFO** <hbccufo@telus.net>
Date: Wed, 3 Dec 2003 18:53:36 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 18:22:19 -0500
Subject: Unusual Marks On Israeli Investigator

Unusual Markings Show Up On Israeli UFO Investigator

Pictures can be viewed at <http://www.geocities.com/hbccufo/Israeli.htm>

Dear Sir,

Since July 2003, I've been following with interest the articles on Rense.com, dealing with extraordinary signs or wounds appearing on certain people's bodies.

In the beginning of October 2002, after a confusing dream with metallic noises, I also had a strange sign that appeared on my left arm.

3-4 Oct 2002 - The triangle mark on my left arm

10-11 Oct 2002 - I find a small lump (small and round swelling like a very small pearl) in a very intimate place of my body.

24-25 Dec.2003 - The lump disappeared after waking up in sudden haste.....

5-6 Apr.2003 - My dogs strange behavior

6+7 June 2003 - High above flying ball of light in the sky (in the same hour day after day .

15 June 2003 - I woke up in the morning with a very similar triangle wound on my foot instep (of which I haven't told you yet.) (* I really didn't remember anything strange that night)

29 June 2003 - Very bright light high in the sky moving from SW to NE which shrank and disappeared.

30 June 2003 - White light high up in the sky (through my field telescope) at usual observation white light with red flashes (without the telescope).

13 July 2003 - I photographed some blinking in triangular shape and moving lights (red - orange -- green, then white - orange - green).

12 Aug. 2003 - Orange light very high in the sky.

All the observations except June 7 were made together with several eye other witnesses. (my neighbors, my wife and my youngest son).

Permission was granted to post the material above. This material is copyrighted to ICFUFOR

If any other people around the world have encountered these types of markings on their bodies I would certainly like to hear from you. Also I know this gentleman would also be interested in hearing other peoples stories that are similar to his. I will

forward any information along to ICFUFOR.

Thank you very much to this kind fellow for sending this information along and allowing us all to read his story.

Brian Vike
Director HBCC UFO Research
Canadian Toll Free UFO Hotline 1 866 262 1989 - Free call.
Home - Phone/Fax 1 250 845 2189
HBCC UFO Forum Board
<http://216.147.50.102/HBCC-UFO/index.php>
email: hbccufo@telus.net
Website: <http://www.hbccufo.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

St-Polycarp Valleyfield Quebec

From: **Brian Vike - HBCC UFO** <hbccufo@telus.net>
Date: Wed, 3 Dec 2003 19:23:23 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 18:25:55 -0500
Subject: St-Polycarp Valleyfield Quebec

Hi List

I also just received a new report from Quebec a few minutes ago, it took place on December 1, 2003. The kind lady will be translating it soon and I will make a report on this sighting.

St-Polycarp, near Valleyfield, Quebec

Date: August 16, 2001
Time: 10:30 p.m.

On the night of August 16th 2001, at 10:30, a spherical bright luminous object appeared in the sky over the village of St-Polycarp, near Valleyfield. The object was moving very slowly. The sky was overcast. 3 of us observed it: my girlfriend, her daughter and me. The disk seemed very big and very high in the sky. It came from the north-west part of the province of Quebec and was going south-east. There was no sound. I ran to the neighbor's house. His wife answered the door and as she was coming out of her house a commercial airliner flying at a high altitude was about to cross path with the object. The airplane was about the size of a 707 but the disk was much bigger than the plane. The object started to zigzag quickly to get up through the clouds and disappear before the airliner crossed path with it. I went back inside and watched channel 740 on cable TV. They said the sky ceiling was 11000 feet high.

Thank you to the witness for the report.

Also I want to give my many thanks to a wonderful lady who is translating all French reports into English for me to work on.

Brian Vike
Director HBCC UFO Research
Canadian Toll Free UFO Hotline 1 866 262 1989 - Free call.
Home - Phone/Fax 1 250 845 2189
HBCC UFO Forum Board
<http://216.147.50.102/HBCC-UFO/index.php>
email: hbccufo@telus.net
Website: <http://www.hbccufo.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

Study Finds Crop Circles Were Not a Hoax

From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 05:21:45 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 19:28:59 -0500
Subject: Study Finds Crop Circles Were Not a Hoax

Source: KRON Channel 4

<http://www.kron4.com/Global/story.asp?S=1549229&nav=5D71JSP3>

Posted: December 3, 2003 at 8:58 a.m.

Study Finds Crop Circles Were Not a Hoax

FAIRFIELD (BCN) -- A five-month study has concluded that the mysterious crop circles that appeared in a Solano County wheat field in June were not the work of four teenage boys who claimed they made them as a hoax.

Steve Moreno of Psi Applications, a group that describes itself as researchers of paranormal, UFO and metaphysical phenomena, will discuss the results of the group's investigation at a news conference Wednesday afternoon in Fairfield.

The investigation concludes the "hoax was a hoax."

"We've concluded that it's highly unlikely that four teenage boys could have made the large, complex crop circle that appeared on June 28 in Larry Balestra's field. The scientific data, and our ongoing investigation, tend to point towards some other, perhaps anomalous, unknown source," founder and director Moreno said on Psi Applications' Web site.

"The physical changes in the wheat stalks can't be duplicated. It's a phenomenon," Moreno said Tuesday evening. He suggested a form of energy, possibly plasma energy, caused the crop circle formations.

The four teenagers allegedly claimed they made the circles but could not take responsibility for them because three of them are on probation and didn't want to get in trouble with police.

They also reportedly said they made the circles using boards and rope on a moonlit night, but there was a new moon on June 29, meaning there would have been virtually no moonlight on June 27.

Psi Applications investigators also claim local law enforcement officers did not give much credence to the boys' story.

The investigation found statistically significant differences in the elongation of growth nodes of wheat samples taken within and without the crop circle formations.

"Only microwave energies have duplicated the effects shown in the growth nodes of formation samples," Moreno said.

A ball of light also was reported above the main formation on June 27. Balls of light have previously been reported, photographed and videotaped hovering over crop circles elsewhere, investigators say.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

Re: Trindade Photos A Fake? - Gevaerd

From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <gevaerd@ufo.com.br>
Date: Thu, 4 Dec 2003 07:25:18 -0200
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 19:00:40 -0500
Subject: Re: Trindade Photos A Fake? - Gevaerd

>From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac@compuserve.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 22:25:03 -0500
>Subject: Re: Trindade Photos A Fake?

>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark@frontiernet.net>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 10:50:41 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Trindade Photos A Fake?

>>>From: Kentaro Mori <airdown@ig.com.br>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>>Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 10:52:18 -0300
>>>Subject: Re: Trindade Photos A Fake?

<snip>

>I feel I must lend support to Jerry's discussion about Klass and
>the "Klassical technique" of "solving" the UFO problem.

>I, too, have exchanged many letters with PJK, mostly between
>1974 and 1985. the great bulk of these related to McMinnville
>(photos, Trent, 1950) and New Zealand (Dec. 31, 1978). IN the
>New Zealand discussion we exchanged, not a few hundred, but
>perhaps as many as several_thousand_ single spaced pages over
>about a 5 year period. I dare say it was the largest letter
>exchange over any one case in history.

>And the bottom line was that, in his 1983 book, "UFOs, the
>Public Deceived," PJK deceived the public by claiming to have
>explained the NZ sightings.H is explanation was, however,
>completely erroneous, as I had pointed out to him in many
>letters previous to the writing of his book.

>My 'professional opinion' of the way PJK treated the UFO subject
>is expressed at the following location:

><http://brumac.8k.com/prosaic1.html>

>which is a paper I wrote several years ago (at the behest of
>Eugene Mallove, Editor of Infinite Energy Magazine). In that
>paper you will see several cases for which PJK provided "prosaic
>explanations", including the Val Johnson case mentioned
>previously by Jerry.

>One good thing I could say about PJK was that he seemed to be
>the only skeptic who really took the subject seriously enough to
>spend a lot of time studying the cases. Also, he "trained" me in
>how to approach a sighting as might a lawyer, looking for weak
>points in the case. Unfortunately, he was not competent to argue
>all the different types of physics one encounters in UFO cases
>(few people are) but this didn't stop him. He invented and then
>published explanations even when they were unphysical,
>explanations that would not have gone unchallenged by
>conventional physicists had they been published in conventional
>refereed journals. But explanations published in papers an books
>can establish an impression that "the case(s) has (have) been
>explained" and then any refutation that might come later doesn't
>get the same level of recognition or publicity. The case(s)

>remain ":explained" in the minds of the scientists and general
>public. Examples of this are in the paper at the above cited web
>site.

>Many years ago I was told (by William Hartmann of the Condon
>study) with regard to the McMinnville photo case, "You don't
>need a better, you need a better case." I will paraphrase that
>remark and apply it to the present situation: "We don't need
>better cases; we need better skeptics."

Just a note:

This is the difference between tendencies in North America and South America. In USA and Canada UFO researchers have the patience to deal with debunkers and skeptics for decades. Here in Brazil and most parts of South America, we definitely don't waste any time with them.

As a matter of fact, the biggest UFO debunker we ever had, foremost astronomer Ronaldo Rogerio de Freitas Mourao, is nowadays kind of a supporter of the subject. He was a strong critic of the UFO Phenomena in the past and eventually gave up and decided to have a more flexible approach towards the subject.

Good for him,

A. J.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

Re: sTARBABY by Dennis Rawlins - Decker

From: Ron Decker <decker@wtez.net>
Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 07:59:38 -0600
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 19:37:48 -0500
Subject: Re: sTARBABY by Dennis Rawlins - Decker

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>To: <- UFO UpDates Subscribers ->
>Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 15:42:17 -0500
>Subject: sTARBABY by Dennis Rawlins

>Source: C.U.R.A. - The International Astrology Research Center

><http://cura.free.fr/xv/14starbb.html>

>sTARBABY by Dennis Rawlins

>Note P.G.: This important article, published 20 years ago in
>FATE Magazine (No. 34, October 1981), is reproduced by kind
>permission of the editor.

>Ever since it came into being the Committee for the Scientific
>Investigation of Claims of the Paranormal (CSICOP) has proudly

<snip>

Many thanks for posting this important article.

I'm aware of a couple of instances wherein CSICOP was involved in trying to squash freedom of expression/academic freedom. One was in the San Francisco Bay area and the other involved the University of Hawaii. If memory serves me, in both cases CSICOP tried to squelch courses offered by universities in these areas.

Is anyone on the List aware of these two cases and can you point us in the direction of the information? I would be most appreciative.

If memory serves, those involved in the attempted shut-down of courses wound up quickly backtracking with CSICOP disavowing connection with those who tried to abort academic freedom.

Best regards,

Ron Decker

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 08:08:16 -0600
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 19:40:49 -0500
Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Lehmborg

>From: William Scott Scherk <wss@uniserve.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Wed, 3 Dec 2003 02:00:11 -0800
>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

>>From: David Rudiak <DRudiak@earthlink.net>
>>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2003 12:05:12 -0800
>>Subject: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

>Rudiak writes:

>>Dennis Rawlins' lengthy FATE Magazine account (excerpts above
>>and below) of CSICOP's cover-up and censorship of material
>>plus their vindictive tactics against people is exactly what
>>I am experiencing right now concerning my expose of Charles
>>Moore's Mogul balloon trajectory calculation hoax.

><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2003/dec/m03-001.shtml>

>Skeptical Inquirer and its publishers work against what they see
>as pseudoscience, irrational beliefs, and dangerous quackery.

Oh please! Better men and women than me provide well cited arguments indicating that dangerous quackery, irrational belief, and bald pseudoscience has become the province of the Skeptical Inquirer whatever its alleged provenance earlier, belying your proclamation on the matter and invalidating same. I suggest you need to look beyond their professional looking, but very canted four-color brochure for their real agenda and remove the crown and holy robe you would seemingly have them wear.

>Some may believe that a Mogul flight provides a reaonable
>explanation for the initial Roswell flap.

But then some would wouldn't they? Given the reluctance of "some" to remove themselves from the crown of creation, abdicate the never really held position of God's chosen and favorite, having to do-over work already thought done, or chancing the discovery that everything they thought they knew... is wrong?

>Dave Thomas is one such person:

Dave Thomas, with all respect to the gentleman, is also, apparently, a person reluctant to get knocked out of his intellectual cat-bird seat and cop to Rudiak's very competent destruction of a shabby, illogical, and finally, fanciful explanation for the events in question.

>'What is the bottom line on the Roswell Incident, NYU, and
>Project Mogul?

Well - given that the average person has had his sensibilities jerked back and forth the line of credulity by official agencies, closed institutions and shadow governments so often and so hard we can be assured of only one thing, really, and that is that the "bottom line" remains unperceived by Mr. Thomas (et al) and more than he is willing to accept, in any case!

>In Moore's words, "When the wind information is
>coupled with the similarities in the debris described by the
>eyewitnesses - the balsa sticks, the 'tinfoil,' the tape with
>pastel, pinkish-purple flowers, the smoky gray balloon rubber
>with a burnt odor, the eyelets, the tough paper, the
>four-inch-diameter aluminum pieces and the black box - to the
>materials used in our balloon flight trains, it appears to me
>that it would be difficult to exclude NYU Flight 4 as a likely
>source of the debris that W. W. Brazel found on the Foster ranch
>in 1947." From "The Roswell Incident and Project Mogul"

In other words, UFOs are *impossible*, so Balloons (...clearly demonstrated by Rudiak to be as "impossible" as UFOs...) are the next likely explanation for a _huge_ debris field and gouged Earth, and _they_ are elected to fill Mr. Moore's intellectual void? "Eliminate the impossible and whatever remains, however improbable, _must_ be the truth"? That's 2% thinking, sir. Less and less seems to _be_ impossible with every hour that passes in this early 21 Century...

><http://www.csicop.org/si/9507/roswell.html>

Committee for the Seriously Insentient Commitment of Obdurate Persons... this is hardly a citation, Mr. Scherk. I'd sooner make reference to a Nazi/Skinhead site for information on Jewry...

>On the one hand we have a limited circulation magazine
>(approximately 40,000 subscribers) publishing comments such as
>those from Thomas; on the other hand we have evidence that these
>have little impact, such results of a 2002 Roper poll:

40,000 subscribers! What's the subscription rate for their rag? From where else comes the operating capital for the dark nation of CSICOPia... and fear not, sir, you still have a doting parent in the hijacked mainstream... Moreover, your impact has been HUGE, as you well know! Sixty years of Ufological struggle and we still debate whether or not UFOs even _exist! I'd say your effect has been monumental! Additionally, we can compare the office environs and operating budgets of CUFOS and CSICOP headquarters to see who is supported, how well, and by how much.

>"More than two-thirds of Americans say that the government is
>not telling the public everything it knows about UFO activity
>(72 percent) or extraterrestrial life (68 percent)."

>See:

Ha... Ask any _one_ of these in the 72% or 68% the damning question in front of their bosses or co-workers and find that they disavow said beliefs, sir, for fear of ridicule for being interested! Like I said, your effect has been monstrous!

><http://www.scifi.com/ufo/roper/04.html>

>Put this alongside findings from a 1997 Time/CNN poll.

>"As for the Roswell incident, nearly two-thirds of the
>respondents to the poll said they believed that a UFO crash-
>landed in a field outside the New Mexico town 50 years ago
>next month."

See above!

>See;

><http://edition.cnn.com/US/9706/15/ufo.poll/>]

>Why give time and effort to debunking the debunkers? It doesn't
>seem to me that CSICOP has made much of a dent in majority
>beliefs.

You assess apples and oranges and conclude there are no such things as pomegranates. The "dent" of CSICOPia (more a crater, really) is that the giggle factor is kept high, evidence is dismissed in the bogus rubric of extraordinary claims requiring extraordinary evidence, and social punishments are enforced for thinking out of the box in the matter.

>If the publishers, editors and writers associated with SI came
>on over to Rudiak's side tomorrow, would it make much of a

>difference?

I think I've been very clear that it would make a huge difference, but none of us will be hold our breath! And, don't fool yourself, sir, in comparison to other sides, Rudiak has the only game in town. Unlike his shrill detractors, he goes where the data leads, is willing to re-do work the CSICOPians proclaim done, and he refuses to distort the data to support convenient conclusions. For your arguments to have any validity, at all, two and two have to add up to **five**, sir, and that's a CSICOPian legacy... not one indorsed by Rudiak.

Lehmborg@snowhill.com

EXPLORE "AlienViewGroup" at its HostPros URL.
<http://www.alienview.net>

JOHN FORD RESTORATION FUND -- John will be released eventually. He'll need a tax free cash stake to get on his feet. Let's put one together for him; the bigger it is -- the more attention he gets. It could have been you. E-mail for detail.
\$350.00 pledged -- \$200.00 collected!

"I cleave the heavens, and soar to the infinite. What others see from afar, I leave far behind me." - Giordano Bruno, scourged by the scabrously specious scurrilous.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

What Thinks About Thought Itself?

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 10:39:35 -0600
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 19:42:24 -0500
Subject: What Thinks About Thought Itself?

The point of the following article is the reduction of our conceit regarding our own cognition... too often are we held up by the homocentric concept of our "near perfect" and "exclusively rare" intelligence...

When one can believe that humankind stands alone because of the "impossibility" of off an planet intelligence (as a result of smarts being so *rare*) he should be reminded that there have been different species of hominids on this planet, alone, evolving a "reach that exceeds grasp" (Neanderthal's brain was physically bigger than HomoSaps and apes have learned to sign) ... keen intelligence of a type, forgetting the unguessed intelligences of whales and other cetaceans, Octopi, and birds (crows may be as intelligent as a five year old child)... It all boils down to us learning to pay respect to what gets little if none now, on this planet, and a vastly increased potential for what may exist - out there... because there is so much evidence of it happening down here!

We are not alone - even on (and of) this planet... the sky's the limit for anything else!

<http://rense.com/general45/animalscantthink.htm>

Lehmborg@snowhill.com

EXPLORE "AlienViewGroup" at its HostPros URL.

<http://www.alienview.net>

JOHN FORD RESTORATION FUND - John will be released eventually. He'll need a tax free cash stake to get on his feet. Let's put one together for him; the bigger it is - the more attention he gets. It could have been you. E-mail for detail. \$350.00 pledged - \$200.00 collected!

"I cleave the heavens, and soar to the infinite. What others see from afar, I leave far behind me." - Giordano Bruno, scourged by the scabrously specious scurrilous.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

SciFi Supports UFO Investigative Effort

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve@konsulting.com>
Date: Thu, 4 Dec 2003 14:33:04 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 19:47:32 -0500
Subject: SciFi Supports UFO Investigative Effort

SCI FI SUPPORTS NEW SCIENTIFIC INITIATIVE TO INVESTIGATE
UNIDENTIFIED AERIAL PHENOMENA

Unprecedented Cooperative Joins Together Major Organizations To
Engage Scientific Community

Washington, D.C. - Recognizing the need to establish the scientific legitimacy of investigating unidentified aerial phenomena (UAP), SCI FI Channel today announced a new initiative that brings together the major organizations investigating this still mysterious phenomenon and establishes a multi-group effort to study the physical evidence associated with UAP reports.

This unprecedented initiative, supported by the SCI FI Channel, joins together all of the major organizations that study the phenomenon—the Center for UFO Studies (CUFOS), the Fund for UFO Research (FUFOR), the Mutual UFO Network (MUFON), the National Aviation Center for Anomalous Phenomena (NARCAP), the National Institute for Discovery Science (NIDS), and the National UFO Reporting Center (NUFORC).

The goal of the effort is to engage the interest of the broader scientific community with cases featuring trace physical evidence. The cooperative will spearhead thorough scientific investigations of these cases with the intent to publish results in mainstream scientific journals. The groups have created a joint website where members of the public, as well as scientists, can report current UFO sightings (www.ufoscience.org).

"For nearly 50 years, numerous organizations and individuals have reported on and studied the UFO phenomenon," said Dr. Mark Rodeghier, Scientific Director of CUFOS. "Until now, these organizations have not combined their accumulated knowledge, expertise, and resources to establish and oversee a systematic, rigorous effort to investigate scientifically promising UAP cases."

At an organizing meeting held this past June in Washington, D.C., leaders of these organizations agreed to develop a framework and protocols to conduct rapid investigation and analysis of cases with physical evidence. "Obtaining and analyzing physical evidence is the best way to determine the validity, and potential causes, of the UAP phenomenon," said John Schuessler, International Director of MUFON.

"It is our hope that by working with scientists who can subject this phenomenon to rigorous investigation and laboratory study, we can understand more about the likely causes of UAP, whether it occurs with discernible patterns or trends, whether existing knowledge is adequate to provide explanations, or whether the phenomenon represents something truly unexplained," said Don Berliner, Chairman of FUFOR.

In addition to landmark documentary programming, last year SCI FI launched a Washington, D.C. based public advocacy initiative to draw greater attention to the UFO phenomenon by the media, scientists and opinion leaders. Those interested in more

information on SCI FI's supported public advocacy initiative can log on to www.freedomofinfo.org. On December 12 the channel will premiere UFO INVASION AT RENDLESHAM, a new two-hour special hosted by Bryant Gumbel, exposing what has come to be regarded as the most significant military-UFO incident in history (www.scifi.com/rendlesham).

#

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

Trindade Material - Part III

From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <gevaerd@ufo.com.br>
Date: Thu, 4 Dec 2003 17:39:15 -0200
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 20:03:30 -0500
Subject: Trindade Material - Part III

Dear colleagues:

Again, as promised in previous e-mails, I am posting Marco Antonio Petit's revealing article about the Trindade Island case - below. It was once again kindly, professionally, translated by the Translation Co-ordinator of the Brazilian UFO Magazine, Marcos Malvezzi Leal

Petit's material contains very interesting information, as he was the last Brazilian UFO researcher to interview Barauna before he died. Petit is a leading Brazilian UFO researcher who has spent 3 decades investigating the subject. He is one of the co-editor of the Brazilian UFO Magazine and has published 4 books.

Enjoy.

A. J. Gevaerd, editor
Brazilian UFO Magazine

New facts on the Trindade incident

Unpublished statements from the author of the famous photographs taken aboard Almirante Saldanha

Marco Antonio Petit, co-editor, Brazilian UFO Magazine

In the history of ufology throughout the world, we find some cases that can be a definite proof of the physical existence of UFOs, due to the number of witnesses and the photographic or cinematographic documentation. Some of them occurred during the first years of UFO research and served as a foundation for the notion that we were actually dealing with something serious, worthy of investigation. One of those classic cases is the Trindade Incident, occurred on January 16, 1958, and whose major protagonist was a photographer, crew member of a Brazilian Navy's vessel that was docked on Trindade Island (RJ).

Back in those days, the episode had great repercussion and the witness was interviewed in nearly every media vehicle, whether specialized or not. But some details about the incident remained obscure, even after his astounding photographic shots had been exposed in newspapers and magazines all over Brazil. A little while later, the Trindade Incident was left aside and its place in the media was taken over by other, more recent incidents, and perhaps even more relevant.

After nearly 40 years, we are back to discussing it, bringing to light a few new revelations about details of the utmost importance that were not mentioned in 1958 and deserve to be reevaluated, including the involvement of military witnesses and the thorough investigations conducted by the Brazilian Navy. Recently on an exclusive interview, photographer Almiro Barauna, key-witness to the case, told us about previously unpublished facts, which we will now transcribe.

We can say the story began on January 14, when the Brazilian

Navy's vessel Almirante Saldanha was nearing Trindade Island, bringing along with its regular crew a group of skin-divers, as it had been doing on its latest trips. One of the members of that team was Barauna, who would eventually become the major protagonist in the case. 1958 was the International Geophysical Year, and the Brazilian Navy was actively participating in the researches being conducted, which included Trindade.

Thus, the presence of the skin-divers was associated to such activities. The team was supposed to collect rare fish specimens that were not usually found on the coast. Barauna was invited to photograph the bottom of the sea, and a watertight box had been built to allow for such images to be taken. The photographer produced several stories for magazines such as Mundo Ilustrado and Manchete Esportiva. On the morning of January 16, having fulfilled all of his missions, the crew and skin-divers returned to the ship, which had been anchored close to the island.

First Photograph - The photographer was not feeling very well and lay down on the deck. Soon after 12 noon, amidst shouting and yelling, a lieutenant called Barauna's attention to the presence of a metallic and disk-shaped flying object, that was flying in the sky towards the ship. Barauna got up, set his tripod and camera, a Rolleiflex, and took the first shot. Immediately afterwards, he took the second shot. But the object disappeared behind Desejado mount. Shortly after that, it came up again, and was nearly stationary in the sky. According to the photographer, the UFO looked like a lightless balloon, moving about.

At that moment, Barauna took a third snapshot - and admittedly, the best of the series. The saucer began to move again, flying at a high speed towards the horizon; but before it disappeared, Barauna took one more photo. Actually, he took six shots, but in two of them the flying saucer is not seen, for the camera's shutter was activated just when Barauna was being knocked over by the militaries that were frantically running about the ship's deck. One of them shows merely the sea and the other one shows part of the island, with a tilted horizon.

After the UFO had disappeared over the high sea, Barauna was trembling all over for several minutes. The sighting of that craft left several military members of the crew emotionally shaken up. Some had even pointed their guns at the UFO. When the object disappeared, the ship's commander asked Barauna if he had been able to photograph its maneuvers. He replied that he had taken several shots, but could not at that moment guarantee that the UFO had been caught in film. The same military inquired of him if it was possible to have the film developed on board, as the ship had a photographic laboratory, although it was no longer used. Barauna found that several of the chemical products available in the lab were deteriorated, but with methanol and sulfite he decided to develop the film, replacing acetic acid with vinegar. Before doing that, however, he had to repair the development tank. Barauna was helped by captain Jose Viegas, from the Air Force, a member of the divers' team, who was holding up a flashlight with some green cellophane in front, used in the developing process.

The risk of burning up the negatives was great, for Barauna had had no time to regulate the camera's aperture before taking the photographs, and the film had been exposed to too much light. Outside the laboratory the militaries were waiting anxiously. Some were carrying magnifying glasses in the hopes of examining the film right after it was developed - which eventually happened. There was no doubt about it: the UFO had been photographed. During dinner, still aboard the Almirante Saldanha, the commander informed Barauna that three other sightings had been reported before. At least one of them was witnessed by the island's military commander, corvette's captain Alberto Ferreira Bacellar.

Overexposure - When they reached Vitoria (ES), he requested and was granted permission to return with his group by bus, for the ship was to remain two more days in town. At dawn, they were in Niteroi (RJ). Barauna promptly took the negatives to his laboratory, and indeed the film had been overly charged with overexposure. He decided to wear it down - a very dangerous technique, for if he were not extremely careful, he could burn it. Taking this into account, Barauna worked first with the least important negative, the one that shows the UFO at a longer

distance, just above the horizon.

The result was positive and the image became much clearer. So he used the same technique on the other photos, and made his first enlargements. But he did not expose them publicly immediately, because the militaries had told him to keep the incident secret till the investigations were concluded. A few days later,

Barauna was invited to bring the negatives and give testimony to the Navy's secret service, in the city of Rio de Janeiro. There, he was questioned for several hours. From the third photo's negative - the best one - two slides were made in the Navy lab. They used a technical process that can generate a three-dimensional image, which enabled them to determine how far the saucer was at the time it was photographed.

This study was conducted in the presence of Barauna. The militaries told him the UFO was about 14 km away from the ship at the moment the picture was taken. Later on, Almiro was summoned again. This time the Navy confiscated the negatives and, when it returned them, the photographer noticed that the edges had been scratched. The Navy had sent them to Cruzeiro do Sul's photo cartographic air service for analysis. Their labs analyzed the film's texture and granulation. No sign of trickery was detected. Even then, the militaries again confiscated the photographs.

The Navy's secret service interviewed 48 witnesses, including sailors, corporals, sergeants, three officers and admiral Paulo Moreira da Silva - the highest-rank officer among the militaries to have seen the UFO. In one of the meetings attended by Barauna, several photographs of UFOs were laid on a table, brought from other countries and belonging to the Navy's files. Among them, Barauna's shots had been included.

The witnesses, including admiral Moreira da Silva, were asked to identify the craft that had been sighted over Trindade. Only one sailor misidentified the object, selecting a flying saucer that had been photographed by the Argentine Navy in Baia Blanca. Within the premises, Barauna was able to verify the existence of a UFO photograph that had been taken by a sergeant. It had been registered by a slow-speed "box camera", and it was the first unidentified object seen over Trindade Island, a few months before the Almirante Saldanha's experience. After requesting the negatives for a second time, the authorities allowed Barauna to publicize his photographs. Barauna had considered going to journalist Joao Martins, for O Cruzeiro magazine, to inform him of the details. However, he was stunned at the news that the Correio da Manhã (a newspaper) was preparing a scoop: the publication of a flying saucer taken by Navy personal over Trindade Island.

The Correio had obtained copies from Barauna's photos from the president of Brazil himself, Juscelino Kubitschek, who was spending a few in the city of Petropolis (RJ). Barauna sought out Joao Martins and told him the whole story. After several enlargements had been made at the magazine's laboratory, both men summoned other newspapers and provided them with the copies.

The following day, the so-called scoop was hazed by more important stories that included interviews with Barauna and Joao Martins, who had become a UFO researcher soon after the Barra da Tijuca incident. Brazil and the world were beginning to be aware of the events that had taken place on Trindade. A few days later, the Diario de Noticias, another daily newspaper that had not received the material, published a counter-story trying to convince the public that Barauna's shots were a fake and showed, in fact, a model-object.

Although nothing could be proven to that effect, the controversy began. One of the factors that backed up the accusation of hoax was that Barauna had previously produced holograms of flying saucers through trickery, just to show the public how easy it was to create that kind of faked images. However, in April that same year, the controversy would be drawing to a close, when the Navy's General Staff, after concluding a very strict and secret inquiry decided to give its official position on the matter, confirming the authenticity of the case and the photographs.

A proven case - The confidential report on the incident, however, was not published in the Press. Nevertheless, and most surprisingly, several secret documents relating to the case were

published years later by a magazine in Argentina. One of them, a memo from the U.S. Embassy, signed by their military attache, addressed to the rear admiral Luiz Felipe Pinto of the Light, at that time vice-chief of the Navy's Intelligence Department. In that document further information is requested on the case as well as copies of the photographs, apparently pointing out the existence of intelligent and trustworthy witnesses who could, on their turn, help to prove the legitimacy of the whole incident.

According to the documents published in Argentina, it is clear that the Brazilian Navy did not publish any further information on the Trindade-related facts, supplying nothing more than copies of the pictures. Another well-kept document, dated February 13, 1958, and coming from the Command of Naval Operations, was sent to the Navy's director of Hydrography and Navigation. In this document, the high rank officers suggested that the Navy's station on Trindade be maintained in a permanent state of alert, because of other possible manifestations of flying saucers that could occur at any given moment around the area.

The militaries stationed on the island were to make every possible effort to photograph UFOs, using all kinds of cameras. Observations should also be made through radar and with the use of magnetic needles. The memo pointed out to possible interferences in internal combustion motors and in the electric lights. Should these efforts be successful, the memo recommended communicating the news promptly to the superior officers.

Through this document it is evident that the Navy not only knew about the existence of UFOs, but also was convinced that there could be other UFO sightings over Trinidad. The most important document published in Argentina, however, is the secret report in which they detail all the facts observed on the island and the conclusions of the investigations, sent to the Minister for the Armada. Such declaration is dated March 03, 1958. Previously a report had been made about the sightings prior to January 16 of that year.

Unusual maneuvers - The first sighting was on December 5, 1957, the second on December 31, 1958 and the third one was on January 1. The secret report describes a disturbance in the emotional state of the witnesses when they saw the flying saucer, in January. It also describes the saucer's unusual maneuvers in the presence of the militaries, demonstrating a technological skill that was highly superior to our Earth's science and causing panic among all the people aboard the ship. The text closes with an important statement: "Taking into account the existence of personal reports and photographic evidence of some value, and considering the circumstances that it was obtained, the existence of UFOs must be acknowledged." The report proposes to the minister for the Navy that the Armada's High Command follow up close every bit of information relating to UFO incidents, to ensure a better understanding of the matter. The document is signed by corvette's captain Jose Graldao, from the Armada's Intelligence Service.

Marco Antonio Petit is co-editor of Revista UFO and the president of Associacao Fluminense de Estudos Ufologicos (AFEU) [The State of Rio Association for UFO Studies].

Bonus material: UFOs observed on Paraguai river

Evidently, the involvement of the Brazilian Navy with UFOs was not restricted to the Trindade incident. Several other incidents reveal the Armada's military dealing face to face with flying saucers, but the secret and the rigid military rules have not allowed such cases to be made public in our country. Recently, however, we heard the story of an extraordinary incident that occurred in the very dawn of modern ufology, more specifically in 1962. The episode involved a Navy vessel that was responsible for the signalization on Paraguai River. We interviewed the commander of the ship, sea and war captain Joao Maria Romariz.

According to the officer, in one of the journeys up river towards Porto Murtinho (MS), several crew mates alerted him to the presence of several mysterious lights in the sky towards the ship's prow. Night was falling, and one of the objects flew over the ship and the commander could see what seemed to be windows,

which was confirmed by another member of the crew, through binoculars.

The vessel continued on its way up the river, always preceded by several luminous objects. When it was already totally dark, about 7 pm, one of UFOs moved about quickly, getting much closer and allowing a detailed observation in its way. The UFO looked like two upturned plates, it emitted a gold yellow brightness and presented a kind of "antenna" on the top. At that moment, the commander ordered that the ship move closer to the Brazilian side of the river, and all the lights were turned out.

Morse code - The next step was an attempt to communicate through the International Code of Signs (Morse): flashing a light from the ship's stern. There was no reply, but soon after that several of the objects that were close to the horizon, right in front of the ship, began to grow in size and dim their brightness successively. All crewmen then watched as one of the objects began to draw closer, hovering above the vessel and slowly losing altitude. The UFO was mysteriously disappearing over Paraguayan territory.

Then another object approached the ship and projected a silver light over the crew, evading itself a few seconds later at high speed. When they finally got to Porto Murтинho, commander Romariz heard from the city's mayor that flying saucers had been seen over the city by a great number of people. When pressed by journalists, the commander denied having had any kind of encounter with the UFOs, but he talked to me openly about the incident a few months ago. At the time of the episode, he was summoned to Rio de Janeiro to give a detailed report about the incident at the Armada's General Staff.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs -

From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 10:17:12 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 19:45:57 -0500
Subject: Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs -

>From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 12:50:20 -0800
>Subject: Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs

>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99@hotmail.com>
>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>Date: Tue, 02 Dec 2003 16:05:23 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs

>>>From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
>>>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>>Date: Mon, 01 Dec 2003 11:15:50 -0800
>>>Subject: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs

>>>Good Day Fellow Listorians,

>>>In 1948 First Secretary of Defense, James Forrestal invited Maj.
>>>General J. (Wild Bill) Donovan, former first Director of the
>>>"Office of Coordinator of Information" (COI), then later the
>>>Director of "The Office of Strategic Services" (OSS), to serve
>>>on a secret committee including Dr. Vannevar Bush, Admiral
>>>Sidney Souers, and General Alfred M. Gruenther to study
>>>"defensive problems" against "unconventional attack" against the
>>>United States. [With emphasis on the Russians]

<snip>

>>Frank,

>>It would help if you documented your source or sources of
>>information. Sounds very interesting, and I look forward to
>>commentary by historians (hopefully) and well-informed
>>ufologists.

>Hi Dick & All,

>The source is the biography of Major General William J. Donovan,
>"The Last Hero," by Tony Brown. The information presented is on
>page 802.

>It further states: After Donovan accepted Forrestal's invitation
>to join this "secret committee" he inturn created a smaller
>group to study the probelms which included "Otto C. Doering,
>T.J. McFadden, Richard P. Heppner, and William Colby."

Dick, Listers,

While searching through Donovan's declassified FBI files, I
found reference to the "Committee to Study 'Defense Against
Unconventional Attack.'" Add to the list of members the esteemed
"Dr. Karl Compton, President of MIT (at the time.*)" Also a
member of OSRD with Vannevar Bush.

(Separately I might point out that Donovan was mentioned in a
"IPU doc" (if authentic) pertaining to the "Battle of LA.")
(BOLA)

Compton, later on, was apparently in the Killian Review Group of

the RDB, consisting of James Killian, Detlev Bronk, Dr. Mervyn Kelly, Lee DuBridge, and Merle Tuve, Fred Hovde, R. E. Wilson , Dr. H.P. Robertson, Dr. V. Bush, Dr. Irvin Stewart, Dr. Jerome Hunsaker, Robert Oppenheimer, and Dr. Lloyde V. Berkner.

The "Committee to Study Defense Against Unconventional Attack" was apparently established under the auspices of one of the "National Security Council Directives" that were borne in '47 and '48.

SO, in review:

- 1). we have a group established to "study defenses against - unconventional attack-" against the United States.
- 2). Said group is put together shortly after and during heavy UFO activity. (1947-1948).
- 3). The members of the group include the Father of American Intelligence, "Major General Bill Donovan"; The first Director of the Central Intelligence Group (CIG), "Admiral Sidney Souers," and at the time, "Executive Secretary of the National Security Council; General Alfred M. Gruenther, the youngest 4 star General in U.S. history, future Commander of NATO, at the time Director, Joint Chiefs of Staff, member of the National Security Council; Dr. Vannevar Bush, Director of the Office of Scientific Research and Development, one of the top Scientists in the country with numerous accolades to his credit; Dr. Karl Compton, head of the Office of Field Service Office of Scientific Research and Development (OSRD) and president of MIT.
- 4). Souers and Bush are alleged members of "The Majestic-12 Group; first Secretary of Defense James Forrestal, another alleged member, was the one to invite Donovan to join the SDAUA committee.
- 5). There is ample documentation in regards to a "Russian theory" for early UFO reports.
- 6). Of the 7 categories the study was split into--all of them have been mentioned in alleged MJ-12 docs.

Again, given the timing, the caliber of people involved--one has to wonder if the creation of this group/committee was merely a coincidence, or directly related to the "covert intelligence gathering" of UFO related phenomenon.

The plot thickens.....

Regards,

Frank Warren

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

SciFi Channel presents Rendlesham Special

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve@konsulting.com>
Date: Thu, 4 Dec 2003 14:47:53 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 19:53:25 -0500
Subject: SciFi Channel presents Rendlesham Special

Host Bryant Gumbel Unlocks The Mystery Behind The 'Uk's Roswell'
In A SciFi Channel Original Expose

SCI FI Channel crosses the Atlantic to expose one of the most notorious UFO incidents of the twentieth century in UFO Invasion at Rendlesham. Hosted by Bryant Gumbel, this original two-hour documentary special premieres Friday, December 12 @ 9 PM (ET/PT) exclusively on SCI FI Channel. UFO Invasion at Rendlesham is part of the SCI FI Declassified franchise, a series of specials that demystify the place where science fiction meets science fact. The most recent Declassified special, The New Roswell: Kecksburg Exposed, was the highest-rated non-fiction program to air on SCI FI in 2003, and was the highest-rated documentary program in primetime on basic cable for the month of October 2003.*

SCI FI's expose of the controversial Rendlesham UFO incident sheds new light on a compelling mystery. In 1980, a series of bizarre events occurred near two American military bases in Rendlesham Forest, England. Three American servicemen investigated strange lights that maneuvered and appeared to be intelligently controlled. The incident was then covered up and eyewitnesses were forced to change their stories. In 2002, the British government acknowledged that it had classified documents regarding the Rendlesham incident, making this story an exciting subject for a Declassified investigation. SCI FI brings together key eyewitnesses and high-ranking military officials who go on the record for the FIRST TIME to provide new and corroborating evidence.

The special is directed and executive produced by Jim Milio of MPH Entertainment. Melissa Peltier and Mark Hufnail of MPH also serve as executive producers. Jim Milio and Kelly McPherson serve as co-writers. Through landmark documentary programming and advocacy-based public affairs efforts, SCI FI works to expand the contemporary definitions of science fiction to include ideas, concepts, myths and legends that simply cannot be explained. Last year, SCI FI launched a Washington, D.C. based public advocacy initiative to draw greater attention to the UFO phenomenon and is currently lobbying Congress to gain support for more scientific inquiry into these issues.

UFO INVASION AT RENDLESHAM is produced by MPH Entertainment Productions, exclusively for SCI FI. Launched in 1996, MPH has produced over 130 hours of primetime television programming and two independent feature films, including co-executive producing the 2002 smash hit My Big Fat Greek Wedding. Notable among MPH's many television projects are SCI FI Channel's The New Roswell: Kecksburg Exposed, The Roswell Crash: Startling New Evidence and Martian Mania: The True Story of the War of the Worlds, cable's The Lost Dinosaurs of Egypt, Founding Fathers, Discovery Channel's Eco-Challenge Australia, Las Vegas: Gamble in the Desert and Sea Tales.

SCI FI Channel is a television network where "what if" is what's on. SCI FI fuels the imagination of viewers with original series and events, blockbuster movies and classic science fiction and fantasy programming, as well as a dynamic website (www.scifi.com) and magazine. Launched in 1992, and currently in

82 million homes, SCI FI Channel is a program service of Universal Television Networks, part of the Universal Television Group (www.universalstudios.com), a division of Vivendi Universal Entertainment (VUE), the U.S.-based film, television and recreation entity of Vivendi Universal, a global media and communications company.

#

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

Bush To Announce US Return To Moon

From: William Wise <w.wise@mac.com>
Date: Thu, 4 Dec 2003 08:53:37 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 19:32:55 -0500
Subject: Bush To Announce US Return To Moon

Source: The National Review

<http://www.nationalreview.com/comment/powell1200312030858.asp>

December 03, 2003

Milky Way Days
Returning to the new frontier

By Dennis E. Powell

When President Bush delivers a speech recognizing the centenary of heavier-than-air-powered flight December 17, it is expected that he will proffer a bold vision of renewed space flight, with at its center a return to the moon, perhaps even establishment of a permanent presence there. If he does, it will mean that he has decided the United States should once again become a space-faring nation. For more than 30 years America's manned space program has limited itself to low Earth orbit; indeed, everyone under the age of 31 =97 more than 125 million Americans =97 was born since an American last set foot on the moon.

The speech will come at a time when events are converging to force some important decisions about the future of American efforts in space. China has put a man in orbit, plans a launch of three Sinonauts together, and has announced its own lunar program. The space shuttle is grounded, and its smaller sibling, the "orbital space plane," may not be built. The International Space Station, behind schedule, over budget, and of limited utility, has been scaled back post-Columbia.

The content of the speech does not appear to be in doubt; the only question is timing. While those who have formulated it have argued that it be delivered on the anniversary of the Wright Brothers' first powered flight, there exists a slight possibility that it will instead be incorporated in the State of the Union address at the end of January. This has its own, less triumphant, significance, which is in the form of a chilling coincidence. Every American who has died in a spacecraft has done so within one calendar week: The Apollo 204 fire on January 27, 1967; the Challenger disaster on January 28, 1986; and the loss of Columbia on February 1, 2003.

If the president goes ahead with the plan to announce an ambitious new program to carry Americans beyond Earth's immediate gravitational pull, he will argue that the new lunar explorations are justified not only for what they themselves might produce but also as a means of developing the technology and skills necessary for a mission to Mars, which is expected to be mentioned, though in less-specific terms, in the address.

Observers might note a familiar ring to the proposal. On July 20, 1989, President George H. W. Bush marked the 20th anniversary of the first Apollo moon landing with a speech at the National Air and Space Museum in Washington in which he called for a permanent American presence on the moon and, ultimately, a mission to Mars.

That address led to the formation of a group called the "Space Exploration Initiative," headed by Vice President Quayle and NASA Administrator Richard Truly, which in the spring of 1991 released a report, "America at the Threshold." It set a long-term goal of landing Americans on Mars, with space activities in the interim leading up to that goal. First, it recommended, would be "Space Station Freedom" =97 now the ISS =97 followed by a return to the moon, in large measure to develop and test systems for keeping people alive on a Mars journey. The development of rocket boosters more powerful than the mighty Saturn V that lifted Apollo astronauts to the moon would be necessary, the report said, as would development of nuclear systems for providing power aboard in-transit spacecraft, and nuclear-powered rockets, to be employed outside Earth's atmosphere, where they could be used on long missions without the need to carry enormous supplies of conventional rocket propellant. None of the recommendations was carried out as envisioned at the time; the only one that got off the ground at all is the space station.

The president's speech could breathe new life into a moribund space program whose recent history has been beset by disappointment and failure. The space shuttle proved neither as reliable or as inexpensive as its proponents had promised. In 18 years of flight (the shuttle was grounded for 30 months following the Challenger disaster, and has been grounded since the loss of Columbia February 1), half of the original shuttle fleet has been lost to catastrophic failure, along with 14 astronauts. The cost of a shuttle mission has hovered around \$500 million despite early claims that it would be much less and would allow payloads to be carried aloft for as little as \$50 per pound. The launch schedule has been unreliable, with many space customers wondering if their satellites would ever get to orbit; in some cases satellites have remained on the ground so long that their power supplies ran down and had to be replaced before launch. The shuttle program has been so frustrating to scientists that it was characterized by Bruce Murray, former head of the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, as "a giant WPA in the sky."

Some critics say the space station offers little or nothing more, with a far-higher price tag. It is "international" as to the origin of some of its parts and some of its crew and, while the shuttle is grounded, the craft used to ferry the maintenance crews and supplies, but most of it is paid for by the United States. Some critics have argued that it is less a space station than an extension of the State Department.

Charles Krauthammer has noted that an orbiting United Nations is unlikely to be any less foolish than one fixed on planet Earth. "The moon and Mars are beckoning," he wrote in January, 2000. "So why are we spending so much of our resources building a tinker-toy space station? In part because, a quarter-century late, we still need something to justify the shuttle. Yet the space station's purpose has shrunk to almost nothing. No one takes seriously its claims to be a platform for real science." Establishment of a permanent moon base and research and engineering work toward a flight to Mars would certainly replenish the idea of a space program engaged in real exploration.

Whether a return to the moon would spark the public's imagination as it did in the 1960s is unknown. The world was transfixed July 20, 1969, as Apollo 11 landed and Neil Armstrong became the first man to stand on a celestial body other than Earth. But public and political enthusiasm for the moon soon waned. There were five more landings; the final three lunar shots were canceled. The last moon flight was in December 1972. No human has achieved escape velocity since.

A new space initiative would face numerous hurdles, including congressional Democrats who in the present political climate would be likely to challenge a presidential declaration that the sky is blue. Additionally, congressional distrust of NASA is vigorous on both sides of the aisle following the Columbia accident. Rep. Sherwood Boehlert (R., N.Y.), and Rep. Ralph Hall, (D., Tex.), recently asked that NASA stop work on the \$13 billion "orbital space plane," a smaller, cheaper space shuttle, until Congress and the president agree on NASA's goals. Others in Congress have argued that the space shuttle should remain on the ground permanently. The fact that a revamped space program

would employ many people =97 especially in places such as Silicon Valley, where unemployment among engineers is high =97 might blunt much criticism, however.

There are ideas and proposals that could offset concerns as to the value of returning to the moon and, perhaps, traveling beyond. Geologists are eager to take lunar-core samples, which could tell much about the solar system's past and how the moon itself was formed. It has recently been suggested that sunlight collected on the moon and beamed to Earth could provide a no-pollution source of power. Bill McInnis, a leading NASA engineer before he resigned in despair over shuttle-safety issues and ultimately took his own life, long lobbied for a return to the moon and talked of the search for extraterrestrial intelligence and the folly of putting our antennae on Earth. "The signals we're looking for are so weak that the effects of somebody turning on a light a hundred miles away are stronger," he said. "The place to do it, the place to be free of Earthbound interference =97 that's the other side of the moon. The moon is the ultimate space station, it is where we can really learn things." Certainly, long-term lunar experience would facilitate a trip to Mars.

NASA's budget has been far short of lavish since the last time the agency was aiming for the moon. The president has remarked to members of the White House space group that he does not favor a huge increase in spending for NASA projects. Whether he has changed his mind, and the extent to which he is willing to sell an ambitious new program of space exploration remains to be seen. If Bush does deliver the speech as planned, it would be another opportunity for him to finish business left pending when his father left office a decade ago.

=97 Dennis E. Powell is a freelance writer, currently at work on a history of the space-shuttle program.

[[Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Deprogramming comes later!

From: 'anonymous@anon.com'
Date: Mon, 14 Oct 1996 02:03:16 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 06:27:49 -0500
Subject: Deprogramming comes later!

Anonymous submission please....

CSETI Presents
the Autumn 1996
Ambassadors to the Universe
Training and Retreat

We invite you to join us November 15 - 20, 1996 in Desert Hot Springs, California, for an in-depth consciousness and training retreat. We will be situated about twelve miles north of Palm Springs at Desert Hot Springs. Surrounded by the San Jacinto and San Gorgonio mountain ranges and near Joshua Tree National Monument, the area affords very clear skies perfect for field work. Pleasant temperatures of 70s-80s during the day and 50s-60s at night are the norm during November. Airports serving the area are Palm Springs and Ontario; LAX and San Diego are within 2-4 hours. All of California, Nevada, Arizona and New Mexico are within reasonable driving ranges.

In an intimate workshop setting, we will explore techniques for enhanced awareness during our five-day retreat. We will participate in remote viewing exercises and Coherent Thought Sequencing, a CSETI protocol developed by CSETI's founder and director, Steven M. Greer, M.D., who will guide the training retreat. Each night we will do field research around the area, using CSETI's proven protocols and the tools we learn during the workshop sessions.

The cost for the training is \$300. This price includes the CSETI Working Group Training Kit (normally \$125), which will be shipped to you after we receive your paid registration. Each participant is asked to study (or review) the materials contained in the kit prior to attending. For those who already own the CSETI training kit, the price for the training retreat is \$225 per person.

Please register now -- space is limited!

YES, please register me for the CSETI Ambassadors to the Universe Training Retreat!
(Please complete one registration form for EACH person attending.)

Name _____

Address _____

Telephone _____ Fax _____

Email _____

I need the CSETI training kit - enclosed is \$300 _____

I already have the CSETI training kit - enclosed is \$225 _____

Mail to: CSETI - PO Box 15401 - Asheville, NC 28813

For more information:

CSETI Asheville: Phone: 704/274-5671 Fax: 704/274-6766

CSETI Denver: Phone & Fax: 303/798-2694

Email: Shari Adamiak - 103275.1472@compuserve.com

Check or money order in U.S. Dollars only. Sorry, no credit cards.

Logistical Information
CSETI's Ambassadors to the Universe Retreat
Desert Hot Springs, California
November 15 - 20, 1996

WHAT TO BRING:

Clothing, Etc.:

Warm Days: Comfortable clothing for indoor and outdoor workshop sessions. We will be in the desert at the base of the mountains. Temperatures during the days will be in the 70s and 80s, with nighttime temperatures 50-60. Bring swim gear if you wish to take advantage of the facility's pool, mineral pools and sauna.

Mild to Cool Nights: We suggest you bring a range of clothing for nighttime field work, including a warm jacket, sweatshirt/sweater, warm socks, sturdy shoes, hat and gloves. You may want to bring a sleeping bag and a folding chair, rain poncho, small backpack, water bottle, tarp and blanket.

Equipment: We suggest that each person bring the following: flashlight, microcassette recorder with tapes, extra batteries, compass, binoculars. We also strongly suggest a large cordless halogen light. You can obtain a 500,000 candle power LSI (best brand available) from the 8/96 Northern Hydraulics catalog, Burnsville, MN. Item number for 500,000 CP is 16071-F501, \$47.99. You can also order a 1,000,000 cordless light, the Max Million, from Northern, item number 160713-F501, \$69.99. Call Northern at 1-800-533-5545 to order. Take care ordering as these item numbers are almost identical. Night vision binoculars (invaluable for field work) are available in the 8/96 U.S. Cavalry catalog. These are the best ones for the price, with 30,000X light amplification, made by Moonlight. Item number M16037, \$429.95. Call toll-free at 1-888-888-7228. If you have a GPS (Global Positioning System) or Tri-Field Meter and the like, please bring it. Anyone attending who has and can operate any good quality automatic cameras and/or a camcorder, should bring them and use them during our field work.

Prerequisite: Each person should have obtained and studied the CSETI Working Group Training Kit, and listened to the two instructional tapes, prior to coming to the training retreat. Additional reading/study materials may be sent prior to the start of the retreat. The training kit will be shipped to you after your payment is received.

Other Information: Each registrant is expected to attend the entire retreat; partial attendance is not permitted. Hotel rooms are reserved for those attending the retreat only. Those not registered and paid may not "drop in". Such people may sign up if space permits, but must pay for and attend the entire training retreat. Lodging, transportation, meals and incidentals are at your expense.

We will plan to begin the retreat at 6:00 pm on Friday, November 15th with dinner. Dr. Greer will address the group at the dinner. We will then break to prepare for field work, and we will have our first field work session that night from approximately 8:00 pm to midnight. Daytime sessions will begin at 10:00. We will break for lunch about 12:30, and rejoin at 2:00 pm. We will meet until about 5:30 and then break for some free time prior to dinner and the night's field work. Times are subject to revision on site.

We will try to provide phone numbers among those who would like to share a rental car, rooms, etc. but CSETI cannot make your travel arrangements for you.

Make your own room reservations: Call the Royal Fox Inn in Desert Hot Springs, 1-800-423-8109 (local number: 619/329-4481), 14500 Palm Drive, Desert Hot Springs, CA.. Room rates are \$42.75 to \$57.00, depending on number of persons and beds. Non-smoking rooms available; RV spaces available. Check out and

Deprogramming comes later!

departure on November 20, 1996.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Roberts

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell@vcmail.com>
Date: Sun, 07 Dec 2003 18:19:33 -0600
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 07:19:42 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Roberts

>From: Andy Roberts <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sat, 6 Dec 2003 18:19:30 -0000
>Subject: Re: 'Strange Secrets'

>Surely this wouldn't be the same military who are so good at
>keeping the existence of extraterrestrials, and their
>malfunctioning craft, secret?

>Or perhaps there's two governments? One who pretends to be
>incompetent and the other, the one that really knows everything.

You have hit the nail on the head, sir. I'm no expert on government or military intelligence, but I know they're better at keeping some secrets more than others. And the effort they put into secrecy is usually directly proportional to how much they're responsible for screwing something up. I imagine there's some formula regarding secrecy that says the more important the secret, the more people have to know about it, and that makes it harder to contain. I suppose that's the rationale for disinformation. If you can't totally contain a secret, muddy the waters so much that if a little chunk does escape, it won't be noticed among all the other nonsense. Then you don't have to keep the whole thing secret, only the stray piece here and there. But then we're back where we started.

I don't consider myself a conspiracy nut, because the nuts always seem to think a conspiracy is something so surprising and extraordinary that we should all be shocked. Conspiracy? Secrecy?

Of course. Since the beginning of humanity. But I can only conjecture about the scope and nature of the UFO secrets and conspiracy. Maybe they have all the answers. Maybe they work really hard to make sure nobody finds out they don't know anything.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs -

From: Don Ledger <dledger@ns.sympatico.ca>
Date: Sun, 07 Dec 2003 22:29:48 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 09:18:43 -0500
Subject: Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs -

>From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Fri, 05 Dec 2003 15:34:59 -0800
>Subject: Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger@ns.sympatico.ca>
>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>Date: Fri, 05 Dec 2003 12:56:04 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs

>>>From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>>Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 10:17:12 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs

>><snip>

>>Interesting stuff Frank. Please Keep your eyes open for any
>>reference to one William Stephenson.

>There is of course mention of the infamous "Intrepid" in
>Brown's book, but I personally haven't seen anything past that.
>(Yet).

Thank you Frank,

If indeed there was some connection with Donovan to some
classified project concerning UFOs both during or following the
war, I can't see Stephenson not being in that loop, first with
the BSI at the time and of course Canadian intelligence. It's
interesting to note as well that Stephenson was the only non-
American to receive an award from the CIA back in [I believe-my
records/references are in storage] 1970. I've always been
fascinated by what intelligence connections there had to be
between the US and Canada both during the war and after due to
the "Intrepid"/Donovan connection. And how little is known about
them.

Best,

Don Ledger

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Stan Romanek And December 12th

From: Robert Gates <RGates8254@aol.com>
Date: Mon, 8 Dec 2003 02:22:10 EST
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 09:20:28 -0500
Subject: Stan Romanek And December 12th

Apparently the bottom line on Maussan is/was that he was repeating previous research done by others into the Stan Romanek case.

For details about Stans case you can go to:

<http://www.neilslade.com/ufos.html> where information is posted.

A small brief summary is in order of only this one small piece of information in a larger sea of data.

Apparently (during a regression session with Deborah Lindemann) Stan drew a planetary diagram that was impressed upon him during the session. Now accounts vary from this point forward.

Supposedly by non-Stan accounts the ET's told him there is going to be this big global catastrophe...perhaps a giant tidal wave that would hit the west coast at sunset on the 12th. When the information was impressed upon him his mind apparently asked the question all of us may ask of When? Supposedly his brain was impressed with this planetary diagram.

Supposedly the original drawing vanished in the mail, however the session was video taped so researchers were able to see what he drew and start doing research into what particular date would the diagram Stan drew match to. This is where the December 12th 2003 date came up. Supposedly Stan is mildly dyslexia and believes that he drew the diagram backwards which makes the December 12th date incorrect.

Apparently some researcher is insisting that the December 12th date is correct; that Stan had talked about giant tidal waves at some point (unknown as to if it was in this regression) and how callers are calling some national radio talk shows about dreams involving giant tidal waves blah blah blah, so at least in this persons minds all this information correlates.

Stan on the other hand insists that people should get a grip, that no global catastrophe is going to happen; that the diagram in circulation is not correct and that he has been trying to correct this rumor/mistake for some time. Apparently his feeling is that some kind of change will take place is going to happen on whatever date that the real/actual diagram matches up to although he apparently doesn't think its death/destruction catastrophe type change.

Bottom line is this appears to be misinterpretation of data by researchers other than Stan.

Cheers,

Robert

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Myers

From: Royce J. Myers III <ufowatchdog@msn.com>
Date: Sun, 07 Dec 2003 23:54:23 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 09:22:14 -0500
Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Myers

>From: Josh Goldstein <lovolution@sbcglobal.net>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 28 Aug 1956 03:09:16 -0800
>Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03

Greetings Listers,

It has been some time since I have posted to UpDates, but I just couldn't pass this one up. I don't intend to make a habit of posting, but here are a few things that you might want to see:

<http://www.rense.com/general45/stan.htm>

<http://www.rense.com/general45/warn.htm>

The Stan Romanek case is another topic all together...

As for Maussan having credibility as a jouranlist/investigator, it is my opinion he is just one more in a lump of UFO clowns that rehash other people's material and make sensationalist and unverifiable claims. I hope that no one forgets his involvement with the Hale Bopp fiasco and the Reed UFO Fraud, or his notorious promotion of bogus alien photos and bogus WTC UFO video. Now, I understand that this other piece of alleged WTC video _is not_ what was shown on the Sci-Fi Channel some time ago and I have not seen it, though Maussan did promote the Sci-Fi piece as the real deal and we all now know it was faked by Sci Fi.

During my investigation into the Reed UFO Fraud, a case I blew the lid off of in spite of the claims of others, Maussan was still promoting the case as real, failed to follow up on information I had provided him with, never responded to comment about the case, never presented the facts of the case to the public, and after the case had been exposed he continued to promote it as the real deal. Read on:

<http://www.ufowatchdog.com/reedufofraud.html>

One aspect of the Reed UFO Fraud that was never publicly reported by Maussan or those associated with him, was while "Dr.Reed" was in Mexico, Maussan had hired several bodyguards to tail the good "doctor". At one point "Dr.Reed" had gone into a bathroom while being watched, struck himself in the face and ran to where Maussan and a few others were at and claimed that some men had just attacked him. Maussan was informed about "Dr.Reed" striking himself with a clsoed fist. Of course, we never heard about this and it was at the high point of the case where Maussan had "Dr.Reed" and others on a speaking tour and media blitz of Mexico. There is _SO_ much more about this case and Maussan's involvement that has not been presented, it would simply amaze you as to what took place.

Whenever people hear that Maussan is making claims of one variety or another, I don't at all blame them for shaking their heads and rolling their eyes, or even becoming cynical. You reap what you sow in this field, and no matter how much water Maussan puts on his seeds people instantly smell bullshit whether it is there or not. Remember the tale of the boy who cried wolf one

too many times.

Whether he made the recent claims or not, who knows. But in the end, Maussan has absolutely no credibilty in this field with a number of people.

I met Maussan when I first entered this field and it was in Los Angeles at a UFO Expo West - same place I first had the displeasure of meeting Sean David Morton, which is all together another story. Anyhow, Maussan stopped by a table I was sitting at and just started chatting with me about UFOs. The conversation seemed to be going fine until Maussan told me that giant UFOs were orbiting the planet disguised as stars. I asked Maussan what evidence he had and he told me, I kid you not, that the stars movement in the night time sky was his proof! Don't think I didn't ask for clarification several times, I did and the response was the same - Maussan's english speaking capability is just fine, there was no misunderstanding. Planetary rotation = giant UFO motherships? I think not.

While Maussan may have some legitimate UFO footage, it has been drowned out by his past antics, claims, and sensationalistic promotion of bunk UFO cases. I don't think this is a Maussan bash-fest here, I think people are simply frustrated with the sheer number of claims and UFO rubbish Maussan promotes - of which either prove to be hoaxes or never come true.

Just my two-cents here on this. I hope everyone on the list is doing very well and that you all have a great time with family and friends during the holidays. And remember to count yourself among the very lucky to have what you have.

Keep watching the skies, but always keep one foot on the ground...

--Royce

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Morehead Kentucky Field Investigation

From: **Kenny Young** <ufo@fuse.net>
Date: Mon, 08 Dec 2003 03:02:36 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 09:24:10 -0500
Subject: Morehead Kentucky Field Investigation

Around 3:30 P.M. on Dec. 5th, 2003 we arrived in Morehead, Kentucky and after photographing the area we stopped to speak with the resident at 472 Skaggs Rd. (his name is on file). We had some concern that the NUFORC report originated from a resident of "472 Skaggs Road" (this location is listed on the original NUFORC report), but this was not the case as the resident at 472 did not report anything to NUFORC, reporting the 11/21 situation only to the police department. This gentleman, who had heard the screams and called the police, recalled that the situation began -he thought- around 7:00 p.m. on Friday, Nov. 21 when he heard blood curdling screams coming from the field behind his house. He told us that the screams consisted of 3 verbal sounds of "Help me, Oh God, somebody help me!" and 1 long nonverbal scream. According to this witness, the duration of the blood curdling screams lasted about 2-minutes. He said that within 20 minutes he had called the police. He recalled that the police, fire and rescue squad searched the field up until about 10 p.m.. The witness said that he also conducted a short search. When asked if the screams sounded like someone in trouble, the witness replied with a worried expression that the person sounded to be in certain distress. He said that he did not see a UFO, but did add that the animals in the neighborhood were behaving wildly. The witness agreed to an over-the-shoulder photo of him pointing into the field where he heard the screams.

Next we stopped at the home of the first UFO witness, a UK psychologist. This house is almost one quarter of a mile up a winding road from our first subject. We arrived at his home at around 3:55 p.m. and spoke at length to the witness. He recounted the event for us. He added that during the UFO sighting, his son tried to use his cell phone but it would not work. He again said that the search and rescue personnel arrived in the field 20-minutes after the UFO had departed. The UK psychologist informed that after the report of this incident appeared on the internet, he received calls and E-mails from folks with the University of New Mexico and others (he copied us on two E-mails). He said that he did read the article online and said it was largely accurate, except that only 1 of his two sons had heard the scream. He said that the screams were heard by his son who was accompanied by a friend. The witness agreed to an over-the-shoulder photo of him pointing toward the field where the UFO was sighted.

Our next stop was at Shoney's restaurant near the Interstate 64 exit at Morehead. While there we spoke to a waitress and asked if anyone there had seen a UFO recently. The waitress was shocked and told us that about two weeks earlier, she was riding with her sister when they noticed an unusual yellow light in the sky. She said they watched and discussed the light for about 30 seconds. When asked to point to the area where she saw the object, she gestured toward the Skaggs Road vicinity. She also said that on a Sunday evening, also around 2 weeks ago, she was kept awake by a helicopter flying repeatedly over her house from 11:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m.

At around 5:30 p.m. we went to the home of a volunteer paramedic with the Fire and Rescue Squad of Morehead. After a chat with this person, we then drove directly to the Rowan County Fire and Rescue Squad and at approx. 5:45 p.m. sat down at a folding

table for a brief chat with several Rowan County Fire and Rescue Squad personnel in the center of the fire house. A few of the officers present had participated in the search and rescue operation. They advised that the call about the screaming woman had been first reported by a resident on 472 Skaggs Rd. on Nov. 21 at 21:45 military time, or 9:45 p.m. E.D.T. They, along with the Morehead police, responded and found no one. There was no helicopter involved in the search operation, and they focused on a pond in the area. They used a thermal imaging device. They were also asked about the report of a 'baby' seen in the area and, referring to their logs, said that there was an unusual report from a female motorist from Indiana on Thanksgiving morning. The motorist, traveling near Morehead, had called around 4:00 a.m. to report a 3-year old baby wearing only a diaper walking near the roadside near Route 60. The motorist did not stop to help but only continued on, calling from a location miles away. The police responded but found no baby, and no baby was reported missing. When asked for any other reports of unusual interest, we were told that months earlier, persons had complained of a helicopter or airplane crash in the county when no wreckage could be found, and a separate report from Flemingsburg, KY almost 6-months earlier of a woman found in a field with amnesia. Altogether, we spoke to 5 members of the Rowan County Rescue Squad and three of these people were involved in the search. They told us that they had only heard rumors of the UFO sighting involving a female abduction, and they suggested the police may know more. One officer referenced the UK psychologist as the witness to the UFO abducting a woman, but we explained that this was not his testimony. It appears the report of a 'baby' does not directly relate to the Nov. 21 incident (Note: The Police Dept. earlier said the search for the 'screaming woman' was on Sunday the 23rd, but when checking the fire department run sheets, nothing could be found under that date.)

Our final stop at the Silver Road residence of Mrs. Woods, who also claims to have also seen a UFO on Friday, Nov. 21st. We arrived at Approx. 6:15 p.m. and Mrs. Woods (name changed, on file), told us that she and her daughter had been putting up Christmas lights outside. It was dusk when they were finishing up. We pressed her on the time and she held to her belief that it was around 6:30 p.m. when they were finishing up and had spotted a white light in the vicinity of the field. She described the light as "zig zagging" around and coming down. Once behind the trees it looked to be jumping up and down and reminded her corn popping up. She said that she bent over for a moment, looked back up and the light was gone. She said that the animals were going crazy in the neighborhood and that her own dog had hid under the bed whimpering. She also said that she did not hear the screams, but when she came back into the house the phones were ringing and people were calling to see if she and her daughter were all right. Apparently they had heard about the screaming woman over police scanners, and thought it might have been Mrs. Woods and her daughter. She said that after the UFO sighting, her clocks were not working properly and several had quit working altogether. She also spoke of nose bleeds since the UFO sighting, but believes they are a result of her home being too dry. She was not prompted on these questions and volunteered them without being lead. We thanked Mrs. Woods for her time and we left approx. 7:00 p.m.

Filed,
December 8, 2003

Donnie Blessing, Kenny Young

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: Vernon British Columbia - 11-30-03 - Frison

From: Eugene Frison <GeneFrison@aol.com>
Date: Mon, 8 Dec 2003 08:34:01 EST
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 09:27:53 -0500
Subject: Re: Vernon British Columbia - 11-30-03 - Frison

>From: Brian Vike - HBCC UFO <hbccufo@telus.net>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 14:44:31 -0800
>Subject: Vernon British Columbia - 11-30-03

>Vernon, British Columbia

>Date: December 3, 2003
>Time: 4:45 p.m.

>Hi Brian

>December 3 at 4:45 p.m. the bright white light appeared over the
>hill south of the airport. The sky was clear after sunset. It
>hovered and sparkled. A red sphere flashed by going south. My
>neighbor came out on his balcony for a cigarette. The white
>light hovered. When he went in it started it's vertical decent.
>It went down very slowly a bit at a time until it disappeared in
>the trees, at 500 p.m.

2003.12.08

Hi Brian, list:

Although in an earlier e-mail regarding this sighting your witness said the white light was in the wrong place for Venus, I strongly suspect the witness is in error and the light being watched is, in fact, Venus.

The description and behavior of the light - plus the fact that it is showing up with such precise timing on multiple nights - are strong indicators that it probably is a star or planet.

I don't think you have to look any farther than Venus as an explanation for this 'sighting'. Venus is visible low in the SW at dusk and your witness is providing a classic description of the setting of the evening star.

Regards,

Eugene Frison

MUTUAL UFO NETWORK, INC. (MUFON)
.....

INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS
mufonhq@aol.com
John Schuessler - International Director

CANADIAN BOARD OF DIRECTORS

CANADIAN HEAD OFFICE
genefrison@aol.com
Eugene Frison - National Director

CANADIAN CASES INVESTIGATION COMMITTEE

cjas55@yahoo.ca

Chris Styles - Canadian Director of Investigations

CANADIAN RECORDS AND RESEARCH

mjs@intergate.ca

ebarker2@mb.sympatico.ca

Michael Strainic ... Ed Barker

Co-Directors of Canadian Cold Case Archives and Research

EASTERN REGION

linda.chernabrow@mcgill.ca

Linda Chernabrow - Eastern Regional Director (Canada)

CENTRAL REGION

mikebird@interlog.com

Mike Bird - Central Regional Director (Canada)

WESTERN REGION

gavin_mcleod@telus.net

Gavin McLeod - Western Regional Director

WEBSITE: <http://www.mufon.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Sci Fi Channel-Backed Researcher Sues NASA

From: Stan Friedman <fsphys@rogers.com>
Date: Mon, 8 Dec 2003 09:49:06 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 09:30:09 -0500
Subject: Sci Fi Channel-Backed Researcher Sues NASA

Source: www.freedomofinfo.org

Posted on Fri, Dec. 05, 2003

Sci Fi Channel-backed researcher sues NASA over UFO files

JOE MANDAK
Associated Press

PITTSBURGH - A researcher backed by cable television's Sci Fi Channel plans to sue NASA for records she contends the agency has of a UFO that reportedly crash landed and was recovered by government workers in southwestern Pennsylvania in 1965.

The Associated Press obtained an advance copy of the lawsuit to be filed Tuesday in federal court in Washington, D.C., on behalf of Leslie Kean, a San Rafael, Calif., investigative reporter backed by the cable channel and a group called the Coalition for Freedom of Information.

"Our lawsuit is aimed at getting NASA to tell the public what it knew and when it knew it," said Ed Rothschild, a lobbyist the Sci Fi Channel hired from the Washington firm PodestaMattoon, who is also identified as CFI's executive director. Former President Bill Clinton's one-time chief of staff John Podesta is a principle in the lobbying firm and has supported the cable channel's effort to declassify the documents.

Bob Jacobs, a spokesman for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, said he was unaware of the lawsuit and could not comment.

Tuesday's filing will mark the 38th anniversary of the Kecksburg UFO incident, which occurred Dec. 9, 1965, in the unincorporated hamlet about 30 miles southeast of Pittsburgh.

Witnesses described a "fireball" in the evening sky, and a metallic, acorn-shaped object about 12 to 15 feet high and 8 to 12 feet in diameter that landed in the woods, according to media accounts in the Tribune-Review of Greensburg and other outlets at the time.

Military personnel quickly surrounded the site, removed the object, threatened residents who tried to inquire about it, and left - later calling the object a "meteor," according to media accounts.

James Romansky, 57, of Derry Township, was then a 19-year-old volunteer firefighter. He told The Associated Press on Friday that he was among those who drove to the landing site.

"Now, I'm prepared for a smashed-up airplane ... and I'm thinking, 'What in the hell is this?' I'm looking for wings, propellers, motors, a fuselage - but there's none of that," Romansky said. "There's no rivet marks on it, no weld marks on it, no windows, no doors - no possible way of getting in and out of this thing that I seen.

"There was writing on it, but not writing that you or I could understand. I always referred to it as something like the ancient Egyptian hieroglyphics. There was dots and dashes and circles," Romansky said.

The cable network announced in June that it was backing the effort to research the Kecksburg incident in promoting a documentary, "Out of the Blue," which examined various UFO reports.

"This should have been done a long time ago," Romansky said. "The United States government has given us a snow job for the last God knows when. I can't understand it for the life of me. They can't come out and say it's nothing because I was 10, 20 feet away from it."

Sci Fi Channel officials said they're looking for an explanation of what occurred. They're also looking for viewers.

A November 2002 documentary on the suspected 1947 UFO crash in Roswell, New Mexico, was the highest-rated special in the network's 11-year history. It was seen by nearly 2.4 million people, or about 2 1/2 times Sci Fi's usual prime-time audience.

The lawsuit contends NASA has thwarted Kean's efforts to retrieve official files on the incident by sending her irrelevant information or nothing in response to Freedom of Information Act requests.

"Despite our serious effort to uncover the facts, NASA still refuses to provide the public with any information," said Sci Fi Channel president Bonnie Hammer. "We are hopeful that our legal system will help us find out what really happened in the woods outside Kecksburg."

ON THE NET

Sci Fi Channel: <http://www.scifi.com>

Coalition for Freedom of Information:
<http://www.freedomofinfo.org>

National Aeronautics and Space Administration:
<http://www.nasa.gov>

=A9 2003 AP Wire and wire service sources. All Rights Reserved.
<http://www.philly.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
Date: Sun, 07 Dec 2003 17:36:53 -0600
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 07:18:29 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Lehmborg

>From: Andy Roberts <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sunday, December 07, 2003 10:45 AM
>Subject: Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Lehmborg

>>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>>Date: Sat, 06 Dec 2003 06:42:29 -0600
>>>Subject: Re: 'Strange Secrets'

>>Alfred wrote, among other things.
>>>>It must be that you inhabit some other dimensional continuum or
>>>adjacent universe, Mr. Roberts, sincerely! This statement is one
>>>hundred and eighty degrees from true as your activities have
>>>been chronicled by yourself among others and your behavior
>>>witnessed by -this- writer! Verily!

>>Aye, verily indeed. I'm afraid that not only is your use of the
>>English language redundant Alfred,

...with regard to you, doesn't it have to be, Mr. Roberts? You
don't get it unless I do. Sorry. Besides, my mom loves my
writing. [g].

>>your arguments, such
>>as they are, appear to be similarly dead in the water.

...and we read on to discover that this was another one of your
empty proclamations issued, no doubt, from your fetid armchair.
Sound and fury signifying the proverbial naught... [heavy
sigh...]

>>I realise
>>you, Max and co take turns in having a pop at me and I'm
>>happy to provide you that service. But, please, come up with
>>something a bit more challenging.

I don't have to, sir, re-establishing the focus... you've come
up with a challenge you seem incapable of dealing with, all on
your own. It's enough to watch you struggle with it all by
yourself. I'm not required to provide anything at all.

>>>How perfectly personable! How reasonably extended! How
>>>constructively applied! But in retrospect, given the
>>>uncharacteristic and too convenient appearance of it now... not
>>>a little patronizing.

>>Yes, it was patronizing. Obviously.

Oh c'mon... you just wanting to publish something that made you
sound reasonable. The person you were writing to is going to be
nonplussed, I think, with regard to the way you really feel...
LOL!

>>There's nothing more sad that
>>seeing people who have added nothing to the subject of
>>ufology spouting about people who get out and do the real
>>work.

Sadder are the people who would provide less than nothing, Mr. Roberts. Tragic are the ones who pursue progress in the opposite direction, like you and your conjectured CSICOPian handlers.

There's some real cultural misery and degradation for you Mr. Roberts... and "t'was ever thus."

>>Twas ever thus. As Alfred might say.
>>

I suppose you're right about only that... I found a use for it just above...

>>Happy Trails
>>Andy

Look upon your ruined trail, Mr. Roberts, and despair...

Lehmborg@snowhill.com

EXPLORE "AlienViewGroup" at its HostPros URL.
<http://www.alienview.net>

JOHN FORD RESTORATION FUND -- John will be released eventually. He'll need a tax free cash stake to get on his feet. Let's put one together for him; the bigger it is -- the more attention he gets. It could have been you. E-mail for detail. \$350.00 pledged -- \$200.00 collected!

"I cleave the heavens, and soar to the infinite.
What others see from afar, I leave far behind me."
- Giordano Bruno, scourged by the scabrously specious scurrilous.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

1733 - The First Known Sighting?

From: **Eustaquio Andrea Patounas** <socex@terra.com.br>
Date: Mon, 08 Dec 2003 12:52:22 -0200
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 09:47:57 -0500
Subject: 1733 - The First Known Sighting?

Source: The Scotsman, UK

<http://www.news.scotsman.com/latest.cfm?id=2271652>

On This Day - December 8

<snip>

1733: A Dorset man reported seeing a polished silver disc in the sky the first known sighting of an Unidentified Flying Object, or UFO.

<snip>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Kaeser

From: Steven Kaeser <steve@konsulting.com>
Date: Mon, 8 Dec 2003 10:10:26 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 09:50:14 -0500
Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Kaeser

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Sun, 7 Dec 2003 11:22:40 EST
>Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03

<snip>

>I, for one, would love to see an UFOlogy board of ethics,
>standards, and reviews. Including only credentialed experts
>within and without the UFO community. It would help the
>processing of data to make it more palatable to experts, press,
>and public.

Great idea, and one that's been discussed among a number of UFO researchers who've met recently. Unfortunately, some of the "credentialed" experts in the UFO community are on the extreme fringe and promoting their own beliefs and theories, so I'm not sure how you would create a panel of "experts" that would be acceptable. The Internet has been both a blessing and curse to UFOlogy. It has created a level playing field where everyone can be an expert and publish as much as they want for all the world to read. Even if you have a place for vetted information that is "approved" for publication, there are thousands of other sites for the general public to go to.

<snip>

>Far as I'm concerned it's up to those scientists and researchers
>who value the standards and ethics of their fields to step away
>from these areas and perhaps then we'll get the raw data to draw
>our own conclusions instead of having them dished out by
>sensationalists.

Earlier this year the Sci-Fi Channel brought the three major UFO research organizations together for a meeting with representatives from NUFORC, NARCAP, NIDS, and CFI (along with a few independent researchers) to discuss how to bring greater scientific acceptance to the genre. One of the concerns expressed was the lack of vetted information that we could point both scientists and press to for well written and vetted articles and information. In working toward that goal, the website:

<http://www.ufoscience.org>

was set up and is now under construction.

Aside from the web site, the UFO leadership group (not an official organization, but a consortium of sorts) has also established a rapid case investigation process, that could quickly get researchers and equipment to the scene of an incident. As part of this, an analysis process has been developed with scientists and laboratories that are now currently involved in the field, so that proper independent analysis of any material can take place. The SciFi Channel will help to promote a UFO Report Form that we have on the web site and that will be monitored constantly for any case that deserves immediate attention. Let me add that we hope to work with local investigators and assist them in their investigation. This is

all still in the implimentation phase, and will be modified as needed.

I mention this to advise that there are some in the UFO research community that understand the problem of un-vetted information on the Internet and want to restore some measure of credibility in the eyes of scientists that are not already a part of the genre. How we educate the media is another matter, and as noted, there's a problem in competing for limited space when there are many other hard news stories available that are far more acceptable.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: Congress and Disclosure - Hamilton

From: Bill Hamilton <skyman22@fastmail.fm>
Date: Mon, 08 Dec 2003 05:56:45 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 09:32:39 -0500
Subject: Re: Congress and Disclosure - Hamilton

>From: Josh Goldstein <lovolution@sbcglobal.net>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 27 Aug 1956 23:23:41 -0800
>Subject: Re: Congress and Disclosure

>>From: Vince White <Vinceomni@aol.com>
>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>Date: Fri, 5 Dec 2003 12:52:52 EST
>>Subject: Re: Congress and Disclosure

>>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99@hotmail.com>
>>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>>Date: Thu, 04 Dec 2003 23:26:40 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: Congress and Disclosure

>>>Your paranoia and your totally unfounded assumptions are only
>>>exceeded by your ignorance of the way the real world works in
>>>Washington, D.C.

>>>Who am I to say?

><snip>

>>When serious hearings happen, probably in the wake of a breakout
>>UFO event, such as a live broadcast of a close up UFO, the long
>>hidden interest of some of Congress will be visible. The now
>>very cloistered curiosity will be loud and angry, There are very
>>bright members of congress who will demand answers to the very
>>issues Greer introduced at his press conference. The late Ben
>>Rich's successor may be asked to explain that slide of a black
>>disc heading into space, that Rich showed to an engineering
>>conference in 93, stating we have the means to the stars.

><snip>

>In the statement above, you made a claim that in an engineering
>conference of 1993 Ben Rich presented a slide of a black-disc
>heading into space and told his audience that we have the means
>to go to the stars.

>I would like to know how you obtained this alleged information.

>I also would like you to state the exact date of that
>conference, its location, the name of the organization that
>presented the conference, and if you have the names of any
>people you claim saw the presentation by Ben Rich.

Josh,

I will answer for him as I have that data. Jan Harzan is the
Director of MUFON O.C. and it is he who heard the statements.

Well, it just so happens that Jan was the source of the rumor
that Ben Rich made statements in a presentation he gave to the
group of engineers which happened to be members of a UCLA Alumni
association who were invited to hear this presentation by Ben
Rich on March 23, 1993.

The late Ben R. Rich, a UCLA School of Engineering alumnus (MS

'50) who is known as the "Father of Stealth," is recognized as one of the best aircraft engineers in the world and led development of the heralded F-117 stealth fighter.

Knowing Jan, I called him to clarify what Ben said at this presentation to UCLA engineering alumni. I work at UCLA and am only steps away from the engineering building.

Here is what Jan, a Senior Project Executive with Boeing told me about Ben's presentation:

- Ben showed slides and went through the history of the Skunk Works. Showed pics of U2 and SR-71 and drones that no one had seen before.

- The kicker was the last slide. It showed a black disk headed for space. Ben showed this and made the statement, "We now have the technology to take ET home". He made this statement in 1993, but did not say how long we have had this tech.

There was a Q&A after the lecture. In this Q&A, he told the engineers that it was now possible to travel to the stars. There was an error in the equations which was corrected. The time of travel is fast, but he didn't say how fast.

Ben said he believed that security was too oppressive and that the time had come to develop this technology in the commercial world now that the cold war has ended. Months later he died of cancer.

Jan is going to consult with his friend Tom who was also present and do an article on this in a future MUFON Journal.

- Bill Hamilton

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy - Fleming

From: **Lan Fleming** <lfleming5@houston.rr.com>
Date: Mon, 08 Dec 2003 08:26:07 -0600
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 09:34:34 -0500
Subject: Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy - Fleming

>From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sat, 06 Dec 2003 13:10:27 -0800
>Subject: Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy - Warren

>>From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Fri, 05 Dec 2003 21:25:12 -0800
>>Subject: There's A Hole In My Philosophy

>>Below is a letter I sent to author Dr. David Grinspoon in
>>reponse to his article: "There's a Whole In My Philosophy,"
>>which was posted at the Astrobiology web-site:

>In fairness to Mr. Grinspoon, here is his prompt reply to my
>letter to him:

While the repeated reference to "believers" in Grinspoon's
article are annoying, as they always are, the general theme of
the article seems to be constructive.

However, Grinspoon may have been wrong when he assumed that no
evidence has been found of footprints associated with UFO cases.
There's at least one. According to Ray Stanford's book, there
were two sets of footprints found at the Socorro landing site
near the much deeper indentations that appeared to have been
made by a heavy object. Maybe the "visitors" were so startled by
Lonnie Zamora's appearance that they forgot to erase their
tracks before leaving as required by the Prime Directive.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: In Light Of The Morehead Incident - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell@vcmails.com>
Date: Mon, 08 Dec 2003 09:28:10 -0600
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 12:31:28 -0500
Subject: Re: In Light Of The Morehead Incident - Shell

>From: Darrell Holtz <akashicrecordings@occultmail.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Sun, 7 Dec 2003 11:22:12 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: In Light Of The Morehead Incident

>Let us for a minute push aside our anthropomorphism and
>contemplate the human, being no more than an ant in the cosmic
>scheme of things.

How does one even begin to imagine what might go on in a truly
"alien" mind? Even imagining them considering us to be ants is
an idea derived from a human point of view. I don't know if I
can even imagine something that has an entirely different kind
of "intelligence" than is defined by us, let alone motivation or
morality.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Roberts

From: **Andy Roberts** <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
Date: Mon, 8 Dec 2003 16:23:37 -0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 13:40:14 -0500
Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Roberts

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sun, 07 Dec 2003 10:23:34 -0600
>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

Pilgrims,

Alfred wrote a very long post again. As he has nothing new to say I'm not going to waste listspace in going over the same ground yet again. However, there are two parts of his nonsense I will respond to:

>Right... We can stop right here, actually. The first to get mad,
>get cute, or tender an insult has lost the argument. You're
>breathing, heavily, on all three.

I didn't realise we were arguing Alfred - I'm just amusing myself whilst listening to the Grateful Dead. Your response, however, is most illuminating and tells us much about you. As I said at the end of a previous post, easy Alfred, easy.

>Set me aright, sir. I'd
>like to 'understand', and as I'm representative of persons
>expected to buy your books (or extend you idiosyncratic credit),
>it seems it's in your best interest to help me do just that.

I'm afraid that's where your entirely wrong Alfred. I have no wish for you to read my books at all. You or any ufologist who clearly can't think clearly. My books etc. are written more for the general public, and for serious ufologists, who haven't been warped by listening to people such as yourself, who, as I've said before, are keen to offer comment but much less keen to produce work of lasting relevance to the subject.

Now, as I said to Stuart M, go away and don't appear in my mail box again until you have something useful - and concise - to say!

Happy Trails

Andy

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
Date: Mon, 08 Dec 2003 11:01:35 -0600
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 13:42:56 -0500
Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Lehmborg

>From: Andy Roberts <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sun, 7 Dec 2003 16:36:44 -0000
>Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions

>>From: Stuart Miller <Stuart.Miller4@btinternet.com>
>>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Sat, 6 Dec 2003 19:55:21 -0000
>>Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions

>Pilgrims,

<snip>

>>As it happens, I believe that people who insist "Andy Roberts"
>>exists are mad as well. I don't believe them and I have no
>>acceptable proof that he exists,

>They probably are, but quite a few of them seem to spend and
>inordinate amount of their time stalking and harassing me!

<snip>

"Stalking and harassing"? As I live and breath I don't believe there has ever been such a perfect irony as this! I think many would agree that this is the guilty pot calling the hapless kettle black, and I think myself that you suspiciously confuse (and rather conveniently I add) "stalking and harassing" persons with newly alerted persons fed up with your easy duplicity and irritated with your destructive professional behavior. Don't whine, Mr. Roberts... you've made this sour and short-sheeted bed! Catch your 40 winks! The last refuge of the scoundrel, I anticipate your threats of legal action regarding the self-styled "stalking and harassing" you so **unjustly** suffer.

Lehmborg@snowhill.com

EXPLORE "AlienViewGroup" at its HostPros URL.
<http://www.alienview.net>

JOHN FORD RESTORATION FUND -- John will be released eventually. He'll need a tax free cash stake to get on his feet. Let's put one together for him; the bigger it is -- the more attention he gets. It could have been you. E-mail for detail. \$350.00 pledged -- \$200.00 collected!

"I cleave the heavens, and soar to the infinite. What others see from afar, I leave far behind me." - Giordano Bruno, scourged by the scabrously specious scurrilous.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <Stuart.Miller4@btinternet.com>

Date: Mon, 8 Dec 2003 18:03:31 -0000

Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 14:24:28 -0500

Subject: Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Miller

>From: Stephen MILES Lewis <ufoupdates@elfis.net>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sat, 6 Dec 2003 09:14:19 -0600
>Subject: Re: 'Strange Secrets'

>>From: Stuart Miller <Stuart.Miller4@btinternet.com>
>>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Fri, 5 Dec 2003 16:34:44 -0000
>>Subject: 'Strange Secrets'

><snip>

>>Naturally Andrew, it does beg one rather obvious question. Why
>>would the US government go to all that trouble involving
>>expense, bad press, financial loss to their beloved farmers,
>>intense media interest, the risk of getting caught and so on,
>>just to carry out what would be a perfectly acceptable health
>>check on domestic cattle? Gee, they could even set up their own
>>faux wholesale company and buy the cattle in, without raising an
>>eyebrow. It is so stupid a conclusion that it beggars belief.

><snip>

>Remember the NIDS report ...

>Unexplained Cattle Deaths and the Emergence of a Transmissible
>Spongiform Encephalopathy (TSE) Epidemic in North America, (1.6
>MB)

>http://216.128.67.116/pdf/cattleddeaths_tse_epidemic.pdf

>On why the bodies are left ...

<snip>

Stephen,

I have now read the NIDS report and you do a fine job of
precising the main points. A few observations.

I don't believe it's equitable in this issue to just address the
"What's happened" and to blatantly leave out the "who dunnit".
I'm not for one minute rejecting their paper or hypothesis and
would in fact suggest that it is probably the most authoritative
opinion ever put forward on this subject. And given NIDS
standards, they may have felt they had no reliable evidence to
complete the whole picture. Nevertheless, it is disappointing
that they could not have gone further.

They do "teeter" a bit, don't they? I mean by that they do get
very close to the edge. There's a few things thrown in there to
give hope to those who believe in a extraterrestrial dimension
to this. The March 10th.1997 incident in NE Utah (the one I
believe Eleanor White was referring to in her post) was truly
weird and has an extremely High Strangeness aspect. Also,
pinpointing Soccorro (at the northern end of White Sands) and
Dulce of all places as high intensity mutilation spots, well
away from the core centre of these incidents, only adds fuel to
the fire (I'm just popping upstairs for a bite deer!).

I notice that they comment that taking samples from the tonsils of live elk for these diseases will lead to an increase in false negatives. They don't explain how or why but imply that in order for these tests to be conclusive, the animal must be killed. Are you in a position to clarify this?

They remove the American government from complicity, which I'm fine with. So the carcasses are left as a warning? By the Alzheimers Support Group? By disaffected cattlemen? But the moment the Argentineans get involved, the "troop" moves down there and the pattern repeats. So it sounds like a freelance lot, hiring out their expertise. Can I put you on the spot and ask if you have any opinion yourself on whom might be carrying out these acts?

Stuart Miller

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Asking Jesus For Internvention Stops Abductions

From: Eleanor White <eleanor@raven1.net>
Date: Mon, 08 Dec 2003 12:06:52 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 14:22:09 -0500
Subject: Asking Jesus For Internvention Stops Abductions

Hi -

The item below outlines the experiences of some UFO abductees who successfully aborted their abductions by praying to Jesus Christ for intervention. This is consistent with the book "How to Defend Yourself Against Alien Abduction", by Ann Druffel, which I'm currently reading.

What I find very interesting are the few UFO contactees who report that the aliens encourage prayer. This could mean that the aliens are well aware of God and see themselves as accountable to God as well. Perhaps that is why the "Appeal to spiritual personages" method described in Ms. Druffel's book works. Here below is the forwarded email item.

Eleanor White

----- Forwarded message follows -----
Date sent: Sun, 7 Dec 2003 22:07:12 -0500
Subject: [ThePowerHour] Spiritual warfare? Some look to Bible for answers to alien abductions.

Courtesy of Florida Today
(from the archives)

August 17, 1997

Spiritual warfare? Some look to Bible for answers to alien abductions.

Excerpts:

Joe Jordan is addressing a "New Millenium Symposium" in Titusville. Jordan, who works in product development and engineering for Sea Ray Boats, speaks calmly, his voice firm, with good grammar and diction. Kooks don't get to be state directors with science-oriented MUFON, for whom he has chased lights for seven years. Last year he focused on CE-4 research, and encountered a Central Florida abductee whose otherwise-typical experience had one unique aspect. "They had stopped the experience while it was happening. In all the time I've been researching, I'd never heard that before."

Relentless anonymity is a given in abduction research. Nobody in their right mind wants family, friends and co-workers to know they've had their personal space violated against their will by strange-looking creatures whose existence isn't even proven.

So they can't give names. But Jordan and Clark swear they have three verifiable cases in which apparent abduction experiences were halted by believers who called on the name of Jesus. And Jordan says as many as 400 cases may be documentable nationwide.

"It makes you wonder: If these beings are extra-terrestrial at all, why would they respond to that name?" Jordan asks. "We

think we found the answer in the Bible, in Mark 16:17 where Jesus said, 'In my name, they shall cast out demons.' That seems to be exactly what we came across."

An estimated 40 percent of Americans say they believe aliens have visited Earth. Even Jewish believers are connecting UFO experiences with the Torah, or Jewish Bible. "Many serious people who have been studying UFOs around the world have reached the consensus that the Bible is a convincing UFO story," said journalist Barry Charnish, quoted in a chapter titled "UFOs in the Holy Land" from "Sightings: UFOs", by television writer Susan Michaels.

McGuire cites the evolution of popular New Age author Whitley Streiber's interests - from his first alien contacts in "Communion", "Transformation" and "Breakthrough" to his latest titles, "The Secret School: Preparations for Contact" and "Evenings with Demons" - as an example of a progressive deception. Indeed, Streiber fans often comment - albeit positively - on their favorite author's change. From experiencing his first alien encounters as terrifying and torturous, he began to seek them out and welcome them, finally advocating them as a religious experience.

That, say religious leaders, indicates a deceptive entity is at work.

"Both the seemingly benign and the hostile entities ... will play an increasing role in preparing a segment of humanity for the reception of the Antichrist," writes bestselling author David Allen Lewis and Robert Shreckhise in "UFO End-Time Delusion."

"The Agenda, The Real Reason They're Here" gives this premise: "In the near future, God will evacuate millions of people from the horrors to follow. Aliens will take the credit" for the Rapture (when Christians will be supernaturally airlifted to heaven), writes B. Fox, a MUFON researcher who resides in-of all places-Roswell, New Mexico.

"The one thing we can offer people in this field, that nobody else elsewhere is offering, is hope. Hope that they can stop this experience," Jordan says.

"We're still researchers. It's not conclusive. But this is what we have so far."

Complete article:

<http://www.floridatoday.com/space/explore/stories/1997b/081797b.htm>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
Date: Mon, 08 Dec 2003 12:30:25 -0600
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 14:25:45 -0500
Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Lehmborg

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark@frontiernet.net>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sun, 7 Dec 2003 12:20:15 -0600
>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

>>From: William Scott Scherk <wss@uniserve.com>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Sat, 6 Dec 2003 18:28:54 -0800
>>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

>>>From: David Rudiak <DRudiak@earthlink.net>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>>Date: Fri, 5 Dec 2003 16:31:24 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Rudiak

>Patient and gentle listfolk:

<snip>

>CSICOP is easy.

>Jerry Clark

I would have thought it obvious of that establishment whore, given her orange hair, scarlet lip-rouge, and cheesy fishnet stockings! LOL! Additionally, aren't her pimps obnoxious?

Lehmborg@snowhill.com

EXPLORE "AlienViewGroup" at its HostPros URL.
<http://www.alienview.net>

JOHN FORD RESTORATION FUND -- John will be released eventually. He'll need a tax free cash stake to get on his feet. Let's put one together for him; the bigger it is -- the more attention he gets. It could have been you. E-mail for detail. \$350.00 pledged -- \$200.00 collected!

"I cleave the heavens, and soar to the infinite. What others see from afar, I leave far behind me." - Giordano Bruno, scourged by the scabrously specious scurrilous.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: Trindade Material - Part III - Maccabee

From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac@compuserve.com>
Date: Mon, 8 Dec 2003 15:45:08 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 14:32:09 -0500
Subject: Re: Trindade Material - Part III - Maccabee

>From: John Harney >maagonia@harneyj.freemove.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sat, 6 Dec 2003 19:42:18 -0000
>Subject: Re: Trindade Material - Part III

>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99@hotmail.com>
>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>Date: Fri, 05 Dec 2003 16:10:19 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Trindade Material - Part III

>snip>

>>>The Navy's secret service interviewed 48 witnesses, including
>>>sailors, corporals, sergeants, three officers and admiral Paulo
>>>Moreira da Silva - the highest-rank officer among the militaries
>>>to have seen the UFO. In one of the meetings attended by
>>>Barauna, several photographs of UFOs were laid on a table,
>>>brought from other countries and belonging to the Navy's files.
>>>Among them, Barauna's shots had been included.

>>>The witnesses, including admiral Moreira da Silva, were asked to
>>>identify the craft that had been sighted over Trindade. Only one
>>>sailor misidentified the object, selecting a flying saucer that
>>>had been photographed by the Argentine Navy in Baia Blanca.>

>>>Within the premises, Barauna was able to verify the existence of
>>>a UFO photograph that had been taken by a sergeant. It had been
>>>registered by a slow-speed "box camera", and it was the first
>>>unidentified object seen over Trindade Island, a few months
>>>before the Almirante Saldanha's experience.

<snip>

I once had a physics professor who said something like, no
question is a stupid question., Well, strictly true: a question
is a question. But what about the mental ability of the person
who poses the question?

>However, I am informed that work on the photographs and the
>questions about witnesses (positive or negative) is continuing,
>so I'll be brief. Petit's account of what Barauna told him does
>not add anything except confusion.

It seems to me that the only real complaint Harney might have is
that, once again, only Baruna is the first-hand source of
information. Everything Baruna says is "hearsay". Harney's other
complaints about the testimony actually may increase the
likelihood that the story Baruna told is true.

>It seems incredible that when
>Barauna was trying to take his photos he was impeded by the
>sailors "frantically running about the ship's deck". Really! Was
>that the state of discipline in the Brazilian Navy in the 1950s?
>What a rabble, eh? Or is it possible that Barauna was grossly
>exaggerating for dramatic (or comic?) effect?

I suppose Harney has no understanding of the possible excitement
that could occur upon the realization that a really strange
object was flying by. Certainly sailors could have been running

about the deck and jostling for viewing position without regard for someone trying to take pictures. And Baruna, one may imagine, was trying to site through the lens apparatus and not simply trying to avoid being bumped by sailors.

>Not only that, but when he has got his pictures, the captain >orders him to develop the film, after he has finished "trembling >all over", despite the fact that, in the disused laboratory the >developing tank is broken and the developer and fixer are >apparently well past their sell-by dates. So why was the captain >apparently prepared to risk having the film ruined instead of >having it developed ashore, as was presumably done with all >other films taken on the voyage? Was he a bit simple, or something?

This part of the story, although seemingly "illogical" (and therefore made up?), could indicate that in the heat of the moment the Captain wanted to know immediately what had happened. I suppose the Baruna could have told that captain that there were problems but that he thought he could develop the film with what was available. We don't know, but I could imagine Baruna trying first to develop some other picture to find out if the chemicals were good enough.

>Meanwhile - to add to the element of farce - men were "waiting >anxiously" and some were "carrying magnifying glasses" in the >hope of examining the negatives. So it seems that, (if we >believe the accounts) although Brazilian sailors of the period >tended to panic when anything unusual happened, at least they >didn't forget to carry their magnifying glasses with them at all >times.

This appears as an exaggeration... but the implication that there were lots of men carrying magnifying glasses could be in the mind of the reader and not what Baruna meant to imply. As little as two men with magnifying glasses would be "men with magnifying glasses"... and, of course, they could have passed the glasses around to those who wanted to look. The main point here seems consistent with the event: there were "men" who wanted to see if the cameraman got pictures that agreed with what they saw.

>And that's not all. When Barauna got the negatives to his >private laboratory, he decided to experiment with an enhancement >technique, so he "worked first with the least important >negative, the one that shows the UFO at a longer distance". Why >didn't he use one that didn't show the UFO at all, which would >be even less important?

Who knows why he didn't? Does the fact that he claimed to have tested the photo with the most distant image "prove" that he faked the photos... (They were fakes so it wouldn't matter what he did to them; but if they were fakes, why weren't they perfect? And if they were fakes why did the Captain want to have them developed on board? Was BARuna lucky enough to have faked what supposedly many men saw fly past the ship?)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 -

From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac@compuserve.com>
Date: Mon, 8 Dec 2003 15:48:09 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 14:33:27 -0500
Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 -

>From: Chris Burns <Thurstonoreggae@aol.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Sat, 6 Dec 2003 17:10:07 EST
>Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03

>Hey folks,

>In a previous post by:

>>From: Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo <v.s.o@prodigy.net>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Sat, 6 Dec 2003 09:51:40 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03

>This was brought up:

>>4) Maussan, also, showed a video of a circular spacecraft flying
>>around the Twin Towers of the World Trade Center in New York
>>shortly after, the September 11 terrorist strike occurred, and
>>suggest that another big attack could happened in US on December
>>12.

>This is probably old news, but...

><http://www.rense.com/general41/scihoax.htm>

>As I am in post production as a profession, the film blatantly looked
>computer-generated. But many people still think its the real thing.

Jeff Sainio showed it was a hoax over a year ago.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: Carlos Diaz?

From: **Eustaquio Andrea Patounas** <socex@terra.com.br>
Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 11:02:21 -0200
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 14:39:24 -0500
Subject: Re: Carlos Diaz?

Dear Friends

I need a little article (note) published in the magazine Mas Alla of Spain (I think two years ago) talking about the fake of Carlos Diaz photos and v=EDdeos. He confessed the hoax.

Can anyone help me?

Regards

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Scherk

From: William Scott Scherk <wss@uniserve.com>
Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 07:18:42 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 14:56:48 -0500
Subject: Re: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Scherk

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark@frontiernet.net>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sun, 7 Dec 2003 12:20:15 -0600
>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

>>From: William Scott Scherk <wss@uniserve.com>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Sat, 6 Dec 2003 18:28:54 -0800
>>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

>>The camp that asserts an alien UFO crash at Roswell is the
>>majority, dominates TV programming, radio broadcasts, web
>>sites and newsletter publications. This camp produces and
>>sells the most books, tapes and videos, attracts the most
>>tourists to its 'crash sites,' and the most attenders to its
>>conferences.

>What a load of crap.

Well, so you say, but my statement stands. I cited two public opinion polls that show the belief in an alien/UFO crash at Roswell is held by a majority of Americans. Moreover, a majority believes that the US government is covering up information on this issue.

You haven't really offered any comment on those facts, so I wonder how you would counter my idea that a great deal of alien/UFO crash/coverup material is peddled. For example, there are at least five videos/DVDs on sale that give the alien/UFO crash/coverup point of view on Roswell.

Is there a skeptical DVD on the subject?

On the same topic, there are some 350 books on Roswell (as listed on Amazon.com). How many are skeptical? I counted seven.

Which is the bestseller of these 350? Well, it seems to be Philip Corso's "The day after Roswell (rank 18,872).

Way down the List is the highest-selling Philip J Klass book, with a rank of 261,376. Lower down is the book by Saler, Zeigler and Charles M Moore. Its rank? 244,200.

What is the highest rank of any of the skeptical books? It seems to be the Korff volume, "The Roswell UFO Crash: What They Don't Want You to Know," with a rank of 85,049.

>I can attest, as an author of UFO books and friend or acquaintance of other writers in the field, that there's no money in it, the self-serving debunker mythologies aside.

Dr Clark, self-serving mythologies to one side, one of your encyclopedias (on cryptozoology) currently sits at 18,872 in the Amazon rankings.

>In my next life, in fact, I am going to be a professional skeptic and ride the gravy train engineered by CSICOP, whose budget surely surpasses that of all pro-anomaly groups in America combined, with plenty of green stuff to spare.

>>There is Science Frontiers, X-Project, Fortean Times,
>>Strange Magazine, Parascope News, Journal of Scientific
>>Exploration, FATE magazine, The Anomalist, Narratives of the
>>Weird . . . And umpteen thousands of websites and mailing
>>lists.

>Not a single organization interested in anomalies and the
>paranormal from a favorable or open-minded perspective can
>claim a fraction of the money CSICOP possesses.

I don't know this. How do you know? How do you support his
assertion?

Fate magazine, for example, has a sales ranking of 1,170.
Skeptical Inquirer has a ranking of 1,071.

If SI has much more money than that of FATE (as you seem to
imply), how do they get it? Does FATE accept advertising?

>Nor do proponents or the open-minded have a fraction of the
>influence CSICOP and the elite media (which virtually never
>cover issues concerning anomalies from a favorable or even
>neutral perspective) have on the thinking of all those in a
>position to encourage, sponsor, or fund research into >ufology,
cryptozoology, or parapsychology.

How do you know this? In any case, what is the 'elite media'?

Additionally, you broaden the remit of my comments to
encouraging, sponsoring or funding research. Does CSICOP fund
research? Can you name some CSICOP-funded research?

>As an officer of CUFOS, I can speak personally to the all-
>but-nonexistent resources going to serious UFO research - as
>opposed to the abundant cash and support that flow, in an
>unending stream, toward professional debunking groups which
>tell elites what they want to hear.

I would like to know more about this unending stream of cash to
professional debunking groups. Which are the professional
debunking groups, in your estimation?

William Scott Scherk
www.wsse.ca

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

CFI FOIA Suit Filed Against NASA

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve@konsulting.com>
Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 10:25:01 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 14:59:12 -0500
Subject: CFI FOIA Suit Filed Against NASA

Group Files Lawsuit Against NASA

Coalition for Freedom of Information Seeks NASA Records of
Mysterious 1965 Pennsylvania UFO Incident

Litigation Supported by Clinton Former Chief of Staff John
Podesta

Washington, D.C. - On the eve of President Bush's expected announcement about a new initiative with NASA, the Coalition for Freedom of Information (CFI) with support from SCI FI Channel today announced the filing of a lawsuit against the space agency in U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. The filing comes as a result of the government agency's refusal to release its records concerning the 1965 UFO incident near Kecksburg, PA. Today is the 38th anniversary of the incident.

"Our lawsuit is aimed at getting NASA to tell the public what it knew and when it knew it," said Ed Rothschild, Executive Director of CFI.

The lawsuit against NASA is the first of several against government agencies, including the U.S. Army, U.S. Air Force and the Department of Defense, which have been stonewalling efforts to obtain records on the Kecksburg incident under the Freedom of Information Act. John Podesta, former Chief of Staff for President Clinton and member of the 1997 Moynihan Commission on Protecting and Reducing Government Secrecy, supports the effort stating that the public has a right to know and that the government should disclose what happened in Kecksburg.

"Although NASA has released 36 pages of documents, none of those documents pertain to any investigation of the incident by NASA or to related projects within the agency that could shed light on the Kecksburg incident," said Lee Helfrich of Lobel, Novins and Lamont, the Washington attorney filing the suit.

"Furthermore," said Helfrich, "Leslie Kean, Director of Investigations for CFI, clearly demonstrated that NASA has documents responsive to the specific requests she made in CFI's Freedom of Information Act request, but NASA has failed for nearly a year to produce any relevant documents."

On December 9, 1965, witnesses in and near Kecksburg described seeing a fireball in the evening sky, a controlled landing and the systematic military recovery of an object. As reported by local radio and newspapers, U.S. military personnel cordoned off the area, investigated the site, and left without ever providing a full report of the incident other than to dismiss it as a meteor.

A year ago, SCI FI Channel and the CFI initiated an effort to obtain classified and other government documents on the Kecksburg crash.

"Since last year, we have supported archivists, researchers and scientists, as well as partnered with investigative reporter Leslie Kean and attorney Lee Helfrich in order to obtain NASA's records in this nearly 40-year old case," said Bonnie Hammer,

SCI FI Channel President.

"Now, one year later, despite our serious effort to uncover the facts, NASA still refuses to provide the public with any information," said Hammer. "That is why, today, we are supporting CFI's lawsuit. We are hopeful that our legal system will help us find out what really happened in the woods outside Kecksburg."

As Podesta stated in SCI FI Channel's Kecksburg documentary, "Certainly having the military descend on a United States town, holding people at gunpoint, is not the ordinary way we do business in this country. People should have an explanation about what triggered that sort of military response...so that people can judge for themselves whether it was appropriate."

"The public has a right to know what happened in Kecksburg," concluded Kean. "Government agencies have an obligation to make public what they know. Instead of a willingness to open up files that rightfully belong to the American people, government bureaucrats are making it as difficult and time-consuming as they can to uncover the facts. We will persevere until we get answers."

In addition to broadcasting landmark documentary programming, the SCI FI Channel is currently lobbying Congress to gain support for more scientific inquiry into these issues. It will premiere UFO INVASION AT RENDLESHAM, hosted by Bryant Gumbel, on December 12, a new two-hour special that exposes one of the most notorious UFO incidents of the 20th century.

#

Additional information on the Kecksburg incident and the latest on the lawsuit, can be found at: www.freedomofinfo.org.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: PRG 12/1/03 Update Addendum - 12-07-03 -

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <brumac@compuserve.com>
Date: Mon, 8 Dec 2003 14:42:35 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 14:29:15 -0500
Subject: Re: PRG 12/1/03 Update Addendum - 12-07-03 -

>From: Stephen Bassett <Disclosure2003@aol.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sat, 06 Dec 2003 05:34:59 -0500
>Subject: PRG 12/1/03 Update Addendum - 12-07-03

>PRG
>Paradigm Research Group
>PRG 12/1/03 Update Addendum - December 7, 2003

>John Lear Briefing Scenario Redux

>Aviator and researcher, John Lear's, intriguing November 2
>appearance on Coast to Coast AM with Art Bell was rebroadcast on
>November 30. [Reminder: Stephen Bassett's response to John
>Lear's hypothetical scenario ("yes" or "no" to disclosure) is
>posted at: www.paradigmclock.com/paradigmarticlesindex.html]

>Because the PRG server is down, this response is printed below:

>The John Lear Briefing Scenario: Why the Answer is "Yes."
>Stephen Bassett

>Washington, DC - On November 12, 2003, researcher and
>accomplished aviator, John Lear, appeared on Coast to Coast AM
>with Art Bell. John Lear is a most interesting man, and it was a
>most interesting evening.

>The son of the famous creator of the Lear Jet, William Lear,
>John is a former Lockheed L-1011 Captain. He has flown over 150
>test aircraft and has received every certification granted by
>the Federal Aviation Administration. He also holds 18 world
>speed records and has worked for 28 different Aircraft
>Corporations. During the late 1960's, 1970's and early 1980's he
>was a contract pilot for the CIA.

It is my recollection that Bill Lear was a UFO witness back in
the 50's. I could be wrong on this... anyone out there recall
specifically yea or nea?

>From 1985 to 1992 John plunged into a personal investigation of
>extraterrestrial related phenomena. He was a friend of Robert
>Lazar and was on hand when Lazar broke with his Area 51 employer
>and began speaking out about extraordinary craft of possible
>extraterrestrial origin being worked on at that facility.

>After seven years of research Lear stepped back from the fray
>admitting he was frustrated and burned out. He had paid a heavy
>price for his efforts, losing two jobs and receiving various
>intimidation. His research was conducted during the last years
>of the Cold War, when the United States government was fully
>intent on maintaining the "cover-up," and few insiders were
>breaking ranks. Lear went public with his overall findings and
>then withdrew from the field and the limelight. The legacy of
>Lear's work lived on in mail lists and websites. Eleven years
>passed. Then he turned up on Art Bell with a message.

>The message was in the form of a question and has come to be
>referred to as the John Lear Briefing Scenario. It has been
>circulated extensively on the Internet.

<snip>

>There are many scenarios. Just about every researcher has one -
>a well intentioned effort to describe the "big picture." It's
>irresistible. You draw on everything you have heard, read and
>seen, and much of it is secondhand.

>To be fair, in John Lear's posited scenario you are asked to
>assume the information to be absolutely true, then make your
>decision. This is fine for the purpose of a thought experiment.
>In the non-experimental, real world it is not practical. In
>making a decision of such magnitude the truth or falsity of the
>information is of no small importance.

Your comments are based on the assumption that Lear's claims are true. Problem is... who is going to judge as to whether or not they are true?

So far as I know he has no proof and only references to "sources."

<snip>

(too many things to comment on)_ Assume the following is true(?)

>[Lear] Abductions occur on a daily basis throughout the United States to at least 10% of the population.

>[Bassett] The 10% figure would raise some eyebrows,
more than eyebrows!

We heard the following long before Lear. Any proof? (Oh, year, Eisenhower was "sprited away" from everyone to go to a dental appointment, was it?)

>[Lear] In 1954, President Eisenhower met with a representative of another alien species at Muroc Test Center, which is now called Edwards Air Force Base. This alien suggested that they could help us get rid of the Grays but Eisenhower turned down their offer because they offered no technology.

<snip>

>[Lear] This so unnerved Eisenhower that he had "In God We Trust" put on paper money and coins and put in the Pledge of Allegiance to reaffirm the public belief in God.

Anyone track the known history of "In God We Trust?", etc.?

>Shortly after this it was determined in meetings between the US and the Russians that the situation was serious enough that a cold war should be manufactured as a ruse to divert attention of the public away from UFOs towards some other scary threat like the H-bomb. It was also decided to keep the ruse secret from any elected or appointed official to vet these officials and the ruse was easier to manage if the top people didn't know about it.

Seems to me the "cold war" was already underway, having really heated up about the time of the Berlin Airlift and the explosion of the first USSR A bomb.

>[Lear] In the late 1950's NASA was formed to compartmentalize, containerize, and sanitize information from all space platforms and vehicles. We sold NASA to the public claiming that all information would belong to them but they got very little and even that was highly sanitized.

>[Bassett] The dilemma and corruption of NASA is being dissected on several hundred websites. Again, only disclosure will open the door to reforming this agency.

>[Lear] Our first efforts were to keep the public from learning about Venus. A very similar planet to Earth and it's population is very similar to us and just as technologically advanced. We have learned a lot from them. Starting with the Russian Venera 1 and US Mariner 2, we made Venus look like a lead melting, volcanic surface, spewing sulfuric acid into a pressurized atmosphere 90 times that of Earth. And as often the case we

>overdid it, and we wondered why nobody asked how a parachute
>survived a descent into 800-degree air.

What??? No we're out of bounds. Venus as a "hot place" was accepted scientifically long before NASA and probably before UFOs. Anyway, the idea that Venus has a "population ...similar to us"... has absolutely no scientific support. And I really doubt that the Russians launched Venera 1 and 2 with to cover up Venus' "similar population". (I presume Lear is implying, here, that at least some AFC (Alien Flying Craft) come from Venus. Wonder where he might have gotten that idea. Adamski and others perhaps?)

>[Bassett] Certainly, present life on another planet would be
>profoundly exciting. But given the 25-year public interest in
>Mars artifacts, a populated Venus might be anticlimactic.

This suggestion makes no sense. If actual creatures like us were discovered on Venus (how would we discover them... their TV signals, perhaps) it would cause the greatest upheaval in civilization that we could imagine, especially considering all the other things Lear has attributed to the aliens, such as abductions and mutilations..)

I could offer comments after comments on this... but ... so many emails... so little time... I won't lose any sleep over what Lear has had to say.....

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy - Fleming

From: **Lan Fleming** <lfleming5@houston.rr.com>
Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 09:42:02 -0600
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 15:09:22 -0500
Subject: Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy - Fleming

>From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sat, 06 Dec 2003 13:10:27 -0800
>Subject: Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy

>>From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Fri, 05 Dec 2003 21:25:12 -0800
>>Subject: There's A Hole In My Philosophy

>>Hello Fellow Listers,

>>Below is a letter I sent to author Dr. David Grinspoon in
>>reponse to his article: "There's a Whole In My Philosophy,"
>>which was posted at the Astrobiology web-site:

>Hello Fellow Listers,

>In fairness to Mr. Grinspoon, here is his prompt reply to my
>letter to him:

While the repeated reference to "believers" in Grinspoon's article are annoying, as they always are, the general theme of the article seems to be constructive.

However, Grinspoon may have been wrong when he assumed that no evidence has been found of footprints associated with UFO cases. There's at least one. According to Ray Stanford's book, there were two sets of footprints found at the Socorro landing site near the much deeper indentations that appeared to have been made by a heavy object. Maybe the "visitors" were so startled by Lonnie Zamora's appearance that they forgot to erase their tracks before leaving as required by the Prime Directive.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Fleming

From: **Lan Fleming** <lfleming5@houston.rr.com>
Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 09:43:17 -0600
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 15:13:00 -0500
Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Fleming

>From: Sean Jones <tedric@tedric.demon.co.uk>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sat, 6 Dec 2003 22:16:06 +0000
>Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions - Jones

>>From: Stuart Miller <Stuart.Miller4@btinternet.com>
>>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Fri, 5 Dec 2003 21:48:19 -0000
>>Subject: Re: Ufology - Ten Questions

>>On the contrary sir, you do have enough evidence.

>Any physical evidence, verified by accredited & un-biased
>scientists?

There is a large amount of ground trace, radar, and of course photographic evidence (also physical) that you could say has been verified by accredited scientists. The problem is that as soon as a scientist verifies any of it, he is no longer considered "unbiased" by so-called skeptics.

>>Criminals are often convicted just on the basis of witness
>>testimony alone.

>Really? Not in this country that I am aware of. Perhaps you
>could cite me a case or two? Witness testimony as far as I am
>aware, (and I am really ready to be proved wrong for the sake of
>ufology) has never been enough on it's own to make a conviction
>without supporting evidence.

You mean supporting evidence like radar, ground-trace, and photographic evidence? See above.

>For I am damn certain if it was then Ufology would be far
>more credible in the eyes of Joe Q Public.

I thought the complaint of "skeptics" was that John Q. Public found the evidence too credible for their liking. Opinion polls consistently have shown that's the case.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: Drake Equation Naeye Sayer - Fleming

From: **Lan Fleming** <lfleming5@houston.rr.com>
Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 09:43:36 -0600
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 15:21:03 -0500
Subject: Re: Drake Equation Naeye Sayer - Fleming

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sun, 07 Dec 2003 10:51:04 -0500
>Subject: Drake Equation Naeye Sayer

>Source: The Sunday Toronto Star

<snip>

>Naeye counters: "At least some technically advanced
>civilizations are not going to sit around on their home planet
>waiting to pick up radio signals from other civilizations. They
>will figure out how to engage in interstellar travel."

That's probably not a statement would motivate Stan Friedman to challenge Naeye to a debate.

>For this reason alone, the Drake Equation should be retired as
>irrelevant.

>The reality today is that more than 40 years of radio searching
>for extraterrestrial signals have turned up nothing and there is
>not a shred of evidence that aliens are travelling around the
>galaxy in spaceships.

The first assertion about the 40-year record of near-total failure of orthodox SETI is an indisputable reality. The assertion about the evidence of ET craft is something that some people would say is highly debatable. It gets debated all the time on this List.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: Congress and Disclosure - Knize

From: Francis Knize <Frankknee@aol.com>
Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 10:33:10 EST
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 15:02:15 -0500
Subject: Re: Congress and Disclosure - Knize

>From: Lan Fleming <lfleming5@houston.rr.com>
>Date: Sat, 06 Dec 2003 09:31:21 -0600
>Fwd Date: Sat, 06 Dec 2003 11:04:49 -0500
>Subject: Re: Congress and Disclosure - Fleming

EXCERPT:

>In any case, I think the only faint hope for a disclosure in our
>lifetime is through the Fourth Estate -- the news media. That's
>why I'm somewhat encouraged by the Sci-Fi channel's Freedom
>of Information project. But I wonder how far it will get before the
>corporation that owns the channel decides that it's not in their
>best interests to sponsor disclosure efforts and force the
>channel to concentrate strictly on science fiction and fantasy
>programming. END

Lan,

As said by Larry Warren at the Disclosure Project, National Press Club, Washington, "The UFO issue of is really a civil rights issue." That is why the marriage of legal actions and television productions is the perfect exercise in maintaining precious American civil liberties for freedom of speech, and a liberty and right to know (of the great mysteries before the human race).

The Sci-fi Channel will protect its interest once they establish their new trend and their viewers make their show of support, for which then advertisers will get on the bandwagon increasing the profits for the network. If the network is making money, it would be very difficult to deny programming. Since the majority of Americans have at least some interest in this topic, we can bet that as the Sci-fi Channel's coverage of their lawsuit expands the public will indeed follow the proceedings very intently.

The momentum will not be lost if concrete evidence or documentation can arise out of the proceedings. As well, the public will learn about how most of the documentation, two full boxes, were lost. The public will conclude a pattern of stonewalling by NASA and other agencies. This helps to build the foundation of evidence. Evidence is often found in the trail of a coverup, and this becomes dramatic material for a television audience. Networks like CourtTV have become very profitable, the message being people like watching legal programming. UFO politics brings legal programming to yet another level. We all will be watching.

Reality Television is coming-of-age. this is a fantastic trend in media where the citizen or citizens group can participate. Reality Television will evolve to cover more significant issues of our time, that is my prediction.

I have been asking you, Lan, for quite some time to be one of these good American citizens and to present a case for which the public may conduct a Data Quality Act inquiry based on plausible foundation, and which only a researcher like you could provide. You kick and scream in countless e-mails on many lists how you would like to see fairer process involved in scientific

discovery. I am providing you with suggestions how you can be truly important in this process. I feel you should become more involved with the media and real-time events (more than just publishing on the Internet) You speak of worries that the media will overshadow investigations and disclosure. well then, I challenge you to put it to the test and give it your best shot.

Choose one good Data Quality Act inquiry to pursue based on your best research concerning Mars photographic anomalies. There are many now in NASA who would support an honest effort for SETI Research. Attitudes are now changing within the agency. I do not believe you ever be harassed at the Johnson Space Center for a Data Quality Act inquiry. This is not a bona fide lawsuit, it is merely a platform for which to question data and give data integrity. This will not harm NASA but will in fact enhance the scientific stature of the agency.

I do hope you respond to these ideas.

Very Truly Yours,

Francis C. P. Knize
Producer

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy - Warren

From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 08:36:29 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 15:29:16 -0500
Subject: Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy - Warren

>From: Lan Fleming <lfleming5@houston.rr.com>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 08 Dec 2003 08:26:07 -0600
>Subject: Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy

>>From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
>>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Sat, 06 Dec 2003 13:10:27 -0800
>>Subject: Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy - Warren

>>>From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>>Date: Fri, 05 Dec 2003 21:25:12 -0800
>>>Subject: There's A Hole In My Philosophy

>>>Below is a letter I sent to author Dr. David Grinspoon in
>>>response to his article: "There's a Whole In My Philosophy,"
>>>which was posted at the Astrobiology web-site:

>>In fairness to Mr. Grinspoon, here is his prompt reply to my
>>letter to him:

>While the repeated reference to "believers" in Grinspoon's
>article are annoying, as they always are, the general theme of
>the article seems to be constructive.

>However, Grinspoon may have been wrong when he assumed that no
>evidence has been found of footprints associated with UFO ases.
>There's at least one. According to Ray Stanford's book, there
>were two sets of footprints found at the Socorro landing site
>near the much deeper indentations that appeared to have been
>made by a heavy object. Maybe the "visitors" were so startled
>by Lonnie Zamora's appearance that they forgot to erase their
>tracks before leaving as required by the Prime Directive.

Good Day Lan,

As I mentioned to Grinspoon, in the event I was taking him out
of context, I apologized in advance, as I was critiquing a
snippet of his work; he in fact pointed out that I was indeed
taking him out of context and explained, "Of course UFOs are
real. I am using shorthand for a cultural mindset, which might
bother you less when you read the many chapters of surrounding
context." In the end, he's sending me a copy of his book for
review--to which I will give my honest opinion, in general, as
well as in regards to Ufology.

Cheers,

Frank Warren

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: PRG/X-Conference Update - December 1, 2003 -

From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 11:55:37 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 15:27:22 -0500
Subject: Re: PRG/X-Conference Update - December 1, 2003 -

>From: Steven Bassett <SGBList2@aol.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Sat, 6 Dec 2003 11:37:30 EST
>Subject: Re: PRG/X-Conference Update - December 1, 2003

>>From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>Date: Sat, 6 Dec 2003 08:41:47 -0500
>>Subject: Re: PRG/X-Conference Update - December 1, 2003

>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>Date: Sat, 6 Dec 2003 08:41:47 -0500
>>Subject: Re: PRG/X-Conference Update - December 1, 2003

>>>From: Stephen G. Bassett <Disclosure2003@aol.com>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>>Date: Sun, 30 Nov 2003 02:51:06 -0500
>>>Subject: PRG/X-Conference Update - December 1, 2003

>>>PRG
>>>Paradigm Research Group
>>>Update - December 1, 2003

>>>The X-Conference
>>>www.x-conference.com

>>>PRG is pleased to announce the addition of Dr. Robert Wood, Ryan
>>>Wood and Peter Robbins to the speaker platform. There is now a
>>>full contingent of 22 presenters with the Key Note speaker and
>>>additional panel discussion participants to be selected early in
>>>2004.

>>Hello Stephen,

>>You continue to fail to address the legitimate questions I am
>>posing to you regarding the hiring of Greer to speak at your
>>upcoming UFO conference. I am re-posting my inquiry to you in
>>hopes that you will take the time to address what are legitimate
>>points, questions and concerns that I have raised in regard to
>>Steven Greer.

Hello Stephen, hi All,

Stephen Bassett responds to my legitimate questions about why he
has hired Greer to be a speaker at his upcoming UFO conference
in Washington DC thusly:

>John,

>Address your criticisms of Steven Greer to Steven Greer.

You're kidding right?

Ya know, for somebody who only uses this List to plug your own
self-serving agendas, you've got a lot of nerve blowing me off
this way. In fact, how dare you?

First off...

You are the one who is actively promoting and publicly supporting a ufological pariah like Steven Greer by setting him up as a speaker at a public UFO conference, not me. All I did was ask you why, knowing what we all know about Greer, do you support him and legitimize him by hiring him to be a speaker at this conference you are mounting.

Secondly...

You imply with your dismissive one sentence reply that I am talking to the wrong person here. I've got news for you, years before you joined this List, I told Steven Greer (to his face) everything I have mentioned about him in my recent posts to this List. There is a history here with a long grey beard that you are obviously not aware of. I've said everything that I have to say, directly to that condescending, egomaniac Greer man to man, face to face.

I have tracked down some of the old posts and I will publish them on this List so that you and anyone else who may be interested can read them and make up their own minds about it all.

But I digress...

It is you who have apparently missed the point here. I'm not talking to Greer. I'm not 'asking him' anything. Been dere, done dat. I am talking to you Stephen.

I am asking these questions about Greer's track record of you. And why you? Because I have to know how you can in good conscience justify hiring this guy to speak at any UFO-related conference after all we know about him. It's a legit and valid set of questions. All you can do in response apparently is to 'blow me off' rather than deal with the substance of the points I have raised.

BTW, just so you know it isn't strictly about Greer, I'd be asking you these same questions if you had hired William Cooper or Sean David Morton to speak at your conference. Actually, I'm being a 'good boy' and I'm not getting on your case about hiring that Nostradamus wannabee Richard Hoagland, who predicted the landing of a mothership in Arizona that never happened, nor have I mentioned anything at all about all this Lear/Bell/Lazar manure that you've been 'spreading around' lately. No, I restricted my questions to why you hired Greer. And you can't even handle that! Is it that you already know you can't make a convincing case for hiring Greer as a speaker at this conference?

I'm not presuming to speak as the List moderator here but, if you cannot respond to the legitimate questions of a UFO UpDates List member when they are asked, next time you need to "advertise" something for free, (ie'this upcoming conference, your 'Paradigm Clock' -whatever the hell that is- or X-PAC) why don't you use whatever List it is you actually participate in - instead of this one.

Blow me off eh? All I can say to that is; Later for you.

Most sincerely,

John Velez

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: Secrecy News -- 12/09/03 - Aftergood

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood@fas.org>
Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 12:24:19 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 16:00:04 -0500
Subject: Re: Secrecy News -- 12/09/03 - Aftergood

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2003, Issue No. 106
December 9, 2003

**MYTHS AND FACTS ABOUT IRAQ'S ALUMINUM TUBES
**ACADEMIC FREEDOM IN A TIME OF CRISIS
**NAVY MEMO ON FISA
**CRS ON TERRORIST MOTIVATIONS
**SUPPORT SECRECY NEWS

MYTHS AND FACTS ABOUT IRAQ'S ALUMINUM TUBES

The discovery three years ago that Iraq was seeking to procure thousands of aluminum tubes was promptly interpreted by the Central Intelligence Agency as a sign that Saddam Hussein was pursuing uranium enrichment centrifuge technology for a reconstituted Iraqi nuclear weapons program.

That assessment, leaked to the press and uncritically reported, helped bolster the Bush Administration case for war against Iraq.

But now all indications are that the CIA assessment was wrong, according to David Albright, president of the Institute for Science and International Security (ISIS), who has authored a detailed review of the aluminum tube controversy.

"Since the fall of Baghdad last spring, no evidence has emerged that Iraq planned to use the aluminum tubes in centrifuges. Despite months of searching, the Iraqi Survey Group (ISG) has not found any link between the tubes and a gas centrifuge program," Albright wrote.

Albright traces the development of the aluminum tube story from its earliest beginnings to the latest equivocations on the matter by David Kay of the CIA's Iraq Survey Group.

Among other lessons learned, Albright notes that the National Intelligence Estimate process proved to be a poor instrument for adjudicating the significance of the aluminum tubes. Crucially, of the ten or so intelligence agencies that each had one vote on the Estimate, those with technical expertise in centrifuge technology were outnumbered by those without such expertise.

At a time when intelligence oversight has moved entirely behind closed doors and is effectively dormant, Albright's review significantly enriches the public record on this controversial matter.

See "Iraq's Aluminum Tubes: Separating Fact from Fiction" by David Albright, Institute for Science and International Security, December 5:

<http://tinyurl.com/yfr8>

The most damning thing one could say about an intelligence

agency is not that it sometimes makes mistakes in analysis, which is inevitable, but that it refuses to admit its mistakes. When an agency cannot admit error, it cannot learn from its own missteps and is doomed to mediocrity.

In a recent publication, Stuart Cohen, Vice Chairman of the National Intelligence Council, finds no reason to acknowledge a single flaw in U.S. intelligence on Iraqi weapons of mass destruction. It is the critics, he says, who have it wrong.

See "Iraq's WMD Programs: Culling Hard Facts from Soft Myths" by Stuart Cohen, November 28:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/news/2003/11/cia112803.html>

But whether CIA admits it or not, the Agency is already paying a price in credibility for having acquiesced in overstating the threat of Iraqi weapons of mass destruction.

So when the CIA issues an assessment on North Korea's nuclear weapons program, for example, it is now roundly met with skepticism by national security experts, as the Los Angeles Times reported today.

See "N. Korea's Nuclear Success Is Doubted" by Douglas Frantz, Los Angeles Times, December 9:

<http://tinyurl.com/yfqm>

ACADEMIC FREEDOM IN A TIME OF CRISIS

The challenges posed to academic freedom and free inquiry by the post-September 11 security environment are discussed in a new report from the American Association of University Professors.

"A major section of the report is devoted to restrictions on information. It reviews the evolution of federal regulation of classified research and the persistent uncertainty about the extent and location of such research within the academic world. The report recognizes the limited circumstances under which such restrictions may be warranted but points out that secret research is fundamentally at odds with the free circulation of research results. The report expresses reservations about the expansion of such constraints in response to national security concerns."

See "Academic Freedom and National Security in a Time of Crisis," report of an AAUP Special Committee:

<http://www.aaup.org/statements/REPORTS/911report.htm>

NAVY MEMO ON FISA

The impact of the USA Patriot Act on the search and surveillance procedures of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) is discussed in a September 2003 memo from the Navy's Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General. See:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/doj/fisa/navy0903.pdf>

CRS ON TERRORIST MOTIVATIONS

A report of the Congressional Research Service (CRS) dispassionately considers whether terrorists might use chemical and biological weapons, and why (or why not).

See "Terrorist Motivations for Chemical and Biological Weapons Use: Placing the Threat in Context" by Audrey Kurth Cronin, March 28, 2003:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/crs/RL31831.pdf>

Congressional leaders refuse to provide comprehensive online public access to CRS products like this one. Rep. Bob Ney (R-OH), chair of the House Committee on House Administration, told the Associated Press on Monday that he would oppose a bill to require routine publication of CRS reports. Members of the public will have to turn elsewhere.

SUPPORT SECRECY NEWS

If you have learned something useful, valuable or interesting from Secrecy News over the past year, then please consider supporting this publication and the work of the FAS Project on Government Secrecy.

Tax-deductible donations may be made online here (click "donate now" and make sure to designate your contribution for "project on government secrecy"):

<http://www.guidestar.org/helping/donate.adp?ein=23-7185827>

Or mail a check payable to the Federation of American Scientists to:

Attn: Secrecy News
Federation of American Scientists
1717 K Street NW, Suite 209
Washington, DC 20036

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request@lists.fas.org with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood@fas.org

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood@fas.org
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell@vcmails.com>
Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 14:22:08 -0600
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 16:08:07 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Shell

>From: Stuart Miller <Stuart.Miller4@btinternet.com>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 8 Dec 2003 18:03:31 -0000
>Subject: Re: 'Strange Secrets'

>They remove the American government from complicity, which I'm
>fine with. So the carcasses are left as a warning? By the
>Alzheimers Support Group? By disaffected cattlemen?

If I was with the military, I would leave the cattle because if a cow goes missing it could be interpreted as a theft, and draw more unwanted interest from state and local law enforcement. And nine times out of ten it will simply be chalked up to predation. Besides, cows are big and quite a chore to move, so why bother with it when you have all the parts you're interested in?

On the other hand, if I was an alien, on a practical level, maybe my ship isn't big enough to take the whole thing.

Here's another question, though. Whoever is taking them, why do they need to take so much tissue? Why strip the whole skull, for instance? Any lab worth its salt (alien or not) should be able to do an adequate study with a fraction of the tissue taken.

Hey, maybe it is demons, after all.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Clark

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark@frontiernet.net>
Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 14:47:29 -0600
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 16:10:06 -0500
Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Clark

>From: William Scott Scherk <wss@uniserve.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 07:18:42 -0800
>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark@frontiernet.net>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Sun, 7 Dec 2003 12:20:15 -0600
>>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

>>>From: William Scott Scherk <wss@uniserve.com>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>>Date: Sat, 6 Dec 2003 18:28:54 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

Patient and gentle Listfolk:

It is not my intention to waste time in a debate with somebody who regards those who disagree with him as "nutbags" - the odd phrase makes me wonder if this guy is 80 years old - and who seems unable to tell the difference between Fate magazine and Science Frontiers (and who, one infers, thinks the editors of these very different periodicals are living the lifestyle of Scrooge McDuck).

I might add, by the way, that during its heyday, which was long ago under the regime of Curtis and Mary Fuller, Fate was such a money-loser that it survived only because it was financed by the Fullers's other publishing operation, which catered to the recreational- vehicle industry. The Fullers kept Fate alive not because it made them any money - it certainly didn't do that - but because, as they often told me, it was "fun." The RV publications, by way of contrast, were work.

George P. Hansen once wrote a revealing piece on CSICOP, its ideology, and its funding. Those who are interested should look it up. I believe it's at Hansen's website, the URL for which should be easily findable via Google. I have also had insights into the organization from my late friends Gordon Stein, who worked for CSICOP at a good salary (and who was regularly flown around the country examining libraries and archives for purchase through CSICOP's deep pockets), and CSICOP cofounder Marcello Truzzi. Among other employees, CSICOP actually has a paid, fulltime investigator (Joe Nickell, whom I've known for a long time and always liked and respected even when we disagreed). Can you imagine a UFO organization's being able to fund a fulltime investigator? To my knowledge, it's happened twice, and briefly (the money ran out quickly), in the decades-long history of American ufology.

Those who have spent time writing UFO books and conducting other serious research into anomalies will know how little money there is in that enterprise (most publishers don't even accept UFO manuscripts anymore, since they're demonstrably unprofitable), and how organizations engaged in serious UFO study barely survive, usually without even a single parttime paid employee.

Those who want to know where the money is are urged to find

their way to CSICOP headquarters and see with their own eyes what you can do with well-heeled donors, access to elite media, and a relentless professional fund-raising operation.

CSICOP has every right to fund itself to the best of its ability, of course, but it really ought not to be so shameless and hypocritical as to pretend it's poorer than its rivals on the open-minded side of the controversy over anomalies and the paranormal.

On the other hand, pretending to be poor is always a good way to separate the sympathetic true believer from his or her money. And the CSICOP faithful, as we have seen, believe as truly as one can believe.

Jerry Clark

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting? - Stanford

From: Ray Stanford <dinotracker@earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 15:51:45 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 16:11:33 -0500
Subject: Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting? - Stanford

>From: Eustaquio Andrea Patounas <socex@terra.com.br>
>To: UFO UpDates -Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 08 Dec 2003 12:52:22 -0200
>Subject: 1733 - The First Known Sighting?

>Source: The Scotsman, UK

><http://www.news.scotsman.com/latest.cfm?id=2271652>

>On This Day - December 8

><snip>

>1733: A Dorset man reported seeing a polished silver disc in the
>sky the first known sighting of an Unidentified Flying Object,
>or UFO.

As any pelican whose ancestor was flying the Dorset sky on
December 8, 1733, can tell you by often-repeated oral tradition,
there was nothing extraordinary in the sky on that day. The
dorset man had simply been watching too many TV shows featuring
UFO reports and reading too many books by those wealthy pro-UFO
writers.

:)
Ray Stanford

"You know my method. It is founded upon the observance of
trifles." -- Sherlock Holmes in The Boscombe Valley Mystery

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: Asking Jesus For Internvention Stops

From: **Greg Boone** <Evolbaby@aol.com>
Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 15:02:31 EST
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 16:03:40 -0500
Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Internvention Stops

>From: Eleanor White <eleanor@raven1.net>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 08 Dec 2003 12:06:52 -0500
>Subject: Asking Jesus For Internvention Stops Abductions

>The item below outlines the experiences of some UFO abductees
>who successfully aborted their abductions by praying to Jesus
>Christ for intervention. This is consistent with the book "How
>to Defend Yourself Against Alien Abduction", by Ann Druffel,
>which I'm currently reading.

>What I find very interesting are the few UFO contactees who
>report that the aliens encourage prayer. This could mean that
>the aliens are well aware of God and see themselves as
>accountable to God as well. Perhaps that is why the "Appeal to
>spiritual personages" method described in Ms. Druffel's book
>works. Here below is the forwarded email item.

Thank you Eleanor White for the post!

Believe you me, if anything looking like the pictures of aliens
I've seen reported ever got close to me much less tried to
abduct me, Jesus and I would be best friends quick!

Heck, I'd be praying to Jesus, Mohammed, Buddah and Yoda all in
the same breath!

But aside from the humor, that's a great story! It's something
I've noticed but can't post yet because there's too much data to
go through before I can present it. All I can say is if this
data I'm looking at proves out it'll explain so much that it
would end the 'religion vs ufo' controversy once and for all.

Back to the humor and speculation, could you imagine if some
alien race did visit us, saw a picture or statue of the
crucifixion and asked 'What did that guy do to deserve that?'
and we said, 'Oh, he was God, came to help us out but we killed
him instead, but he came back from the dead just to show us up.'

What would they think of us?

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Velez

From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 16:05:18 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 16:13:50 -0500
Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Velez

>From: Royce J. Myers III <ufowatchdog@msn.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Sun, 07 Dec 2003 23:54:23 -0800
>Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03

>>From: Josh Goldstein <lovolution@sbcglobal.net>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Tue, 28 Aug 1956 03:09:16 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03

>It has been some time since I have posted to UpDates, but I just
>couldn't pass this one up. I don't intend to make a habit of
>posting, but here are a few things that you might want to see:

><http://www.rense.com/general45/stan.htm>

><http://www.rense.com/general45/warn.htm>

>The Stan Romanek case is another topic all together...

Hi Royce, All,

You wrote:

>As for Maussan having credibility as a jouranlist/investigator,
>it is my opinion he is just one more in a lump of UFO clowns
>that rehash other people's material and make sensationalist and
>unverifiable claims.

Nobody, and I mean nobody, is 100% spot-on all the time Royce.
You have to be very careful about completely dismissing Maussan
because more than most of those categorized as 'bad-guys,' it is
a genuine instance of throwing out the baby with the bath-water
in his case.

You said so yourself, Maussan has some _legitimate_ footage and
has gathered information on some _legitimate_ UFO cases. An
example of which is the one I am currently working with him on,
an commercial aircraft and a 'UFO' collision case that happened
back in '94 at Mexico City International. The reporting
witnesses in that case are the commercial pilot of the plane and
the ATC (air traffic controller) who had to quickly arrange for
an emergency landing of that passenger laden jet.

Maussan is not by any stretch of the imagination a scientist or
a trained/skilled researcher. It's unfair to hold him to those
strict standards. What needs to be done with someone like Jaime
Maussan is to evaluate the merits of each case he presents one
at a time. Maussan is a channel for a ton of raw data. Some of
it solid gold. That's why it's dangerous to label him a 'clown'
and dismiss him completely. Again, he's not a scientist. I don't
expect the same level of 'competence' from him as I would a
bona-fide scientific researcher,

Jaime needs to be taken on a case by case basis. Otherwise we
run the risk of blowing right past some of the most amazing UFO
cases ever to hit the blotter. Try not to be so down on the man.
You know me Royce. Believe me, I have spent some time speaking

with this guy. He's not the evil phony or clown that you paint him to be. I consider myself a decent judge of character and Jaime is -honest- if not 'right' all the time.

>While Maussan may have some legitimate UFO footage, it has been
>drowned out by his past antics, claims, and sensationalistic
>promotion of bunk UFO cases.

Get past the noise. In Maussan's case it is worth it. It is in fact one of the few times that I have found this to be the case. Dismiss Maussan and you risk blowing off some of the most - credible- material to come down the pike in years!

My very best to you for the holiday season.

Your friend as always,

John Velez

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

Lobbyists, Importance And The Great Divide

From: **Greg Boone** <Evolbaby@aol.com>
Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 14:37:27 EST
Fwd Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 16:01:46 -0500
Subject: Lobbyists, Importance And The Great Divide

I recently attended a meeting regarding an issue unrelated to Ufology but nevertheless important because of the relationship of the 'David vs Goliath' task.

Areas of interest both dangerous and potentially dangerous stonewalled and bs'd because of two things:

Money and Importance.

In this case one to three groups against hundreds of well paid lobbyists yet the few groups have gained the higher ground! How did they do this? By emphasizing the importance of the dangers and documenting to the 'T' the evidence and proof already at hand. Showing how 'economical' it would be to take up the torch.

Legislators don't care unless it's important and profitable.

If the UFO data collected over the decades isn't on the high list of 'important dangerous stuff' of the average Joe or Jane then it won't be on the high list of their legislators.

You've got to make it so. Only way to do that is with solid, irrefutable data not mixed in with esoteric philosophies and propped up proclamations, sniping and backbiting, and finally childish competitiveness.

Looking at the comparisons of the present dangers besetting mankind, the 'abductee/alien' agenda is mild to what we do to ourselves already. If the UFO data is correct we're subjects of experimentation by non-human entities or the subject of the biggest false memory scam since the 'Tooth Fairy'.

That's nowhere near as deadly as the dangers of nature and human made disasters. Now on the other hand, should a UFO interrupt Monday Night Football, inhibit the deliveries of beer and cigarettes, you'd see NORAD go on it's highest alerts. (For those of us old enough to recall the infamous 'Heidi Game' you'll know what I'm referring to.)

So what if supposed 'alien' intelligences are kidnapping people from their beds, performing ghoulsh experiments on them. At least they're putting them back into their beds! No way near as lethal as drunk drivers or irresponsible gun ownership.

First things first.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 10](#)

Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 15:12:55 -0600
Fwd Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:14:26 -0500
Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Lehmborg

>From: Andy Roberts <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 8 Dec 2003 16:23:37 -0000
>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Sun, 07 Dec 2003 10:23:34 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

>Alfred wrote a very long post again. As he has nothing new to
>say I'm not going to waste listspace in going over the same
>ground yet again. However, there are two parts of his nonsense I
>will respond to:

Nothing here... Mr. Robert's would have done well to make no
response, it seems.

>>Right... We can stop right here, actually. The first to get mad,
>>get cute, or tender an insult has lost the argument. You're
>>breathing, heavily, on all three.

>I didn't realise we were arguing Alfred - I'm just amusing
>myself whilst listening to the Grateful Dead. Your response,
>however, is most illuminating and tells us much about you. As I
>said at the end of a previous post, easy Alfred, easy.

Nothing here, either... Mr. Roberts oozes the usual pedantic and
patronising (read empty!) smarmyness.

>>Set me aright, sir. I'd
>>like to 'understand', and as I'm representative of persons
>>expected to buy your books (or extend you idiosyncratic credit),
>>it seems it's in your best interest to help me do just that.

>I'm afraid that's where your entirely wrong Alfred. I have no
>wish for you to read my books at all. You or any ufologist who
>clearly can't think clearly. My books etc. are written more for
>the general public, and for serious ufologists, who haven't been
>warped by listening to people such as yourself, who, as I've
>said before, are keen to offer comment but much less keen to
>produce work of lasting relevance to the subject.

Arrogant, elitist, and huffy, but nothing, still. Mr. Roberts
busys himself with the bland insults and the worried
protestations of the schoolyard scold. Nothing but cheap usenet
flatware on his table, it would appear.

>Now, as I said to Stuart M, go away and don't appear in my mail
>box again until you have something useful - and concise - to
>say!

You could abandon ufology and cyberspace... sir, that will
handily solve your mailbox difficulties, and I trust this was
concise enough for you?

>Happy Trails

I'd call it a trail of tears...

But re-establishing focus (something I'm sure you're loath to do, Mr Roberts?), Mr. Burns sent me the latest copy of "Notes from the Borderland" and the very readable and cogent piece is a whale of a tale in about eleven thousand words and 57 citations. I'd written a previous piece from a draft of Mr. Burns article, and the final copy had a lot more focus. Very interesting reading, again, dismissed (very predictably by the lovely Mr. Roberts) as a paranoid rant... It seemed a bit more than that to this reader...

In "The Usual Suspects," Mr. Burns iterates how Mr. Andy Roberts (et. al.) works to suppress information at its source and how he gets a false story accepted. Burns underscores methods used to undermine witnesses. Burns goes on to show how UFO research is attacked directly, how the researcher is attacked in a similar fashion, and how communication is suppressed to facilitate these attacks...

Mr. Burns is elequent with regard to witness intimidation and how that process (of isolating individuals open to different ideas) works. He chronicles the process of "hoaxing to order" to facilitate hidden agendas and how hypocrisy is used to destroy the independence (and efficacious interdependence) of others. All in all a pretty damning account, Mr. Roberts, given that he's published in a periodical of some respect... (at least you haven't yet thought to to try to destroy the reputation of that periodical too), and has provided almost 60 citations to support his contention.

All we have from you are dime store insults, sweeping proclamations of portentous paucity, and wan pontifications (like your last paragraph above... jaw dropping example!). Alternately we're treated with empty posts of pompous impotence via UFO UpDates (pardon me, not). Its no wonder that you won't answer Mr. Burns challenge to a public debate... it's pretty obvious you're all about nothing and more comfortable standing in your duplicitous and destructive shadows doing your 'work' such that it is.....

In closing (and until you stick your head up over the berm again) let me remind you publically that your pissy little personal notes to me in E-mail are unwelcome, forgetting that they don't have the effect on me you'd like to think they have. I'm neither amused nor entertained. Indeed they only increase my resolve to examine your professional malfeasance for the record. Anything I have to say to you will be said in a public forum, as I've told you.

I've been warned by others that this is a tactic that you (et al) use to needle and intimidate and control... Forgetting for a moment how pathetic and ineffective they are, at present they only increase my desire to rip off your literary head and use your bubbling throat for a field toilet. I hope I've been abundantly clear. Thanks.

...Easy, indeed.

Lehmborg@snowhill.com

EXPLORE "AlienViewGroup" at its HostPros URL.
<http://www.alienview.net>

JOHN FORD RESTORATION FUND -- John will be released eventually. He'll need a tax free cash stake to get on his feet. Let's put one together for him; the bigger it is -- the more attention he gets. It could have been you. E-mail for detail. \$450.00 pledged -- \$200.00 collected!

"I cleave the heavens, and soar to the infinite. What others see from afar, I leave far behind me." - Giordano Bruno, scourged by the scabrously specious scurrilous.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 10](#)

Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Conway

From: Richard Conway <ranger_conway@yahoo.com>
Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 13:24:31 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:19:05 -0500
Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Conway

>From: Andy Roberts <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 8 Dec 2003 16:23:37 -0000
>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Sun, 07 Dec 2003 10:23:34 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

>Pilgrims,

>Alfred wrote a very long post again. As he has nothing new to
>say I'm not going to waste listspace in going over the same
>ground yet again. However, there are two parts of his nonsense I
>will respond to:

>>Right... We can stop right here, actually. The first to get mad,
>>get cute, or tender an insult has lost the argument. You're
>>breathing, heavily, on all three.

<snip>

Tut tut Andy, at it again bad mouthing anybody and everybody who
doesn't buy into your lop-sided view of ufology. This is not
healthy behaviour. I'm still waiting for a formal answer to my
questions.

Unfortunately all I got in response was unadulterated rubbish -
if you don't understand scientific methodology then you should
just say so Andy. There is no shame. After all there are plenty
of charlatan "experimentalists" such as yourself who justify
their existence through the ignorance of their audience.

Therefore I'm not surprised that you consider your book's
primary audience as the general public.

Happy trails Andy,

Richard

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 10](#)

Re: Drake Equation Naeye Sayer - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell@vcmails.com>
Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 15:56:44 -0600
Fwd Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:25:37 -0500
Subject: Re: Drake Equation Naeye Sayer - Shell

>From: Lan Fleming <lfleming5@houston.rr.com>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 09:43:36 -0600
>Subject: Drake Equation Naeye Sayer

>The first assertion about the 40-year record of near-total
>failure of orthodox SETI is an indisputable reality. The
>assertion about the evidence of ET craft is something that some
>people would say is highly debatable. It gets debated all the
>time on this List.

With all the talk in the astronomy field about "dark energy" and how it supposedly comprises such a large percentage of stuff in the universe, I wonder if it might have field properties of some kind. Anybody know if the people studying dark energy have figured out whether or not it could be modulated or in some other way used for communication? Anybody? Anybody?

Then there's the anomalous information transmission stuff. Whatever non-EM field that uses.

Still, just because we haven't found anything definitive yet is no reason to stop looking. It's not like there's a time limit on this research. We've been trying to communicate with the heavens since day one. We're probably never going to stop, because you can't prove a negative. You can't prove there's not something or someone out there.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 10](#)

Re: Asking Jesus For Internvention Stops

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell@vcmails.com>
Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 16:04:55 -0600
Fwd Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:28:06 -0500
Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Internvention Stops

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 15:02:31 EST
>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Internvention Stops Abductions

>What would they think of us?

They might say, "Back on our planet if we kill somebody and they come back to life, we kill them again and do a better job of it."

Back a little more on the topic, I suspect that a talisman of some kind might be effective in warding off abductions. Wouldn't matter what it was as long as the abductee truly believed the talisman worked. There's some altered state of consciousness that goes on in an abduction scenario that apparently fades or is broken if you can get your mind to concentrate clearly on something. A prayer would accomplish the same thing, I guess.

Other than that, those Hopkinsville folks seemed to have pretty good luck with shotguns. Lock 'n load!

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 10](#)

Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99@hotmail.com>
Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 22:22:14 +0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:30:05 -0500
Subject: Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy - Hall

>From: Lan Fleming <lfleming5@houston.rr.com>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 09:42:02 -0600
>Subject: Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy

>>From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
>>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Sat, 06 Dec 2003 13:10:27 -0800
>>Subject: Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy

>>>From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>>Date: Fri, 05 Dec 2003 21:25:12 -0800
>>>Subject: There's A Hole In My Philosophy

>>>Below is a letter I sent to author Dr. David Grinspoon in
>>>reponse to his article: "There's a Whole In My Philosophy,"
>>>which was posted at the Astrobiology web-site:

>>In fairness to Mr. Grinspoon, here is his prompt reply to my
>>letter to him:

>While the repeated reference to "believers" in Grinspoon's
>article are annoying, as they always are, the general theme of
>the article seems to be constructive.

>However, Grinspoon may have been wrong when he assumed that no
>evidence has been found of footprints associated with UFO cases.
>There's at least one. According to Ray Stanford's book, there
>were two sets of footprints found at the Socorro landing site
>near the much deeper indentations that appeared to have been
>made by a heavy object. Maybe the "visitors" were so startled by
>Lonnie Zamora's appearance that they forgot to erase their
>tracks before leaving as required by the Prime Directive.

Listers,

You do not need to reference Ray Stanfoird's severely flawed
book about Socorro (as I have repeatedly said, his depiction of
me in it borders on libelous and is totally unfounded) to
document the "footprints". That is what they are called in the
Air Force file on the case. See The UFO Evidence , Vol. II, for
details.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 10](#)

UFOs Inter-Dimensional

From: John Pursel <tiroch@sympatico.ca>
Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 18:43:58 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:34:11 -0500
Subject: UFOs Inter-Dimensional

[New-Subscriber --ebk]

Hello All,

I have been in and out of UFO since 35 years. Right now I am a bit in. Spending my time on NASA probable deceits and Planet-X as to if it exist and whether the VLT array ever did look at the Moon for Lander artifacts which NASA was to have funded for 4/'03 look.

After cruising through the posts I see a lot of conflict and dissension. Of course the UFO topic generates this kind of dialogue. There are believers, unbelievers and those not sure.

Well I have decided that UFOs are real. That these materialize from another dimension +5. That these vibrate (exist) at a ULF or VLF frequency just below visible light and adjust frequency when wishing to be visible. That these use a magnetic/anti-gravity drive sourcing fuel from our Earth's magnetic net or power grids. That non-humans operate the machines. That residence is in another universe in Dimension X. That these will play with us until the operators/controllers decide it is time to get serious.

Meanwhile we argue, agree, write, talk and suppose until an event happens that the Government cannot hide. Why ever is it that no event has ever been able to get around the Government and be believed by the masses as really, really real? Why is that? The media? So-called scientific experts trashing it? Politicians out of the loop? What?

John Pursel

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 10](#)

Re: PRG 12/1/03 Update Addendum - 12-07-03 -

From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs@comcast.net>
Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 14:55:02 -0700
Fwd Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:23:27 -0500
Subject: Re: PRG 12/1/03 Update Addendum - 12-07-03 -

>From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac@compuserve.com>
>UFO UpDates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 8 Dec 2003 14:42:35 -0500
>Subject: Re: PRG 12/1/03 Update Addendum - 12-07-03

<snip>

>It is my recollection that Bill Lear was a UFO witness back in
>the 50's. I could be wrong on this... anyone out there recall
>specifically yea or nea?

Bruce,

William Lear did have a sighting in the early 1950s. I have a recording of him talking about it in my archive.

Wendy Connors

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 10](#)

Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting? - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch@sysmatrix.net>
Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 13:59:56 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:26:38 -0500
Subject: Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting? - Hatch

>From: Eustaquio Andrea Patounas <socex@terra.com.br>
>To: UFO UpDates -Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 08 Dec 2003 12:52:22 -0200
>Subject: 1733 - The First Known Sighting?

>Source: The Scotsman, UK

><http://www.news.scotsman.com/latest.cfm?id=2271652>

>On This Day - December 8

><snip>

>1733: A Dorset man reported seeing a polished silver disc in the
>sky the first known sighting of an Unidentified Flying Object,
>or UFO.

><snip>

Hello Eustaquio:

I have maybe 45 listings prior to 1733, of highly variable
merits of course.

Best

- Larry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 10](#)

Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <DRudiak@earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 16:27:17 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 09:23:04 -0500
Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Rudiak

>From: William Scott Scherk <wss@uniserve.com>
>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sat, 6 Dec 2003 18:28:54 -0800
>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

>>From: David Rudiak <DRudiak@earthlink.net>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Fri, 5 Dec 2003 16:31:24 -0800
>>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Rudiak

>>Lots of doubletalk from this Mr. Scherk - no? It is also
>>very interesting that he suddenly appears here on UpDates
>>for the first time the moment I accuse the SI of perhaps
>>engaging in a new coverup. Is he just a common net Troll
>>looking for a little attention?

To answer my own question, probably. We've all encountered these types before on other chat groups. They are the hand grenade throwers, the smart asses, the hecklers, the insulters, the attackers, the harrassers, the character assassins, etc.

Mr. Scherk pretends to be all reasonable here. He also wrote me a superficially civil email, politely asking for permission to reprint my response to his first post to Updates. He even signed off "kind regards."

So what's wrong with this picture? The problem is he was simultaneously already savaging me over on his website. The following (according to time stamps) was posted to his website Dec. 6 only 3 hours after his phony polite email to me:

<http://www.wsse.ca/nucleus2.0/index.php?blogid=1>

Saturday, December 06 2003
Are UFOs real, really really REALLY real? Rudiak v Moore

<.....>

(Dr Rudiak responds to the "Smoking Gun article" with a letter purportedly mailed to SI: Math Vs. Moore On Roswell

Of course, UFO-as-alien-craft believers don't accept this. There is no particularly consistent story debunking the Mogul explanation, however. Some believe in a massive, complex cover-up, and others believe that the Mogul explanation is a perfidious hoax. One such person with a hoax hypothesis is Dave Rudiak. Apparently much of his waking life is given over to debunking the Mogul hypothesis . . . on the UFO updates mailing list, his most recent posting gives some idea of the depth and breadth of his theory, full of "hoax!" and "hoax!!!" and "HOAX HOAX HOAX!!!!"

See: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Rudiak

I can't do justice to Dr Rudiak's arguments. One corner of the internet is bulging with his output ("HOAX!!!"). The posting of his linked above is in response to a few questions I posted.

Another response to my questions was that of one Alfred Lehmborg.

Both make fascinating reading, if you ever wonder what the true-believers sound like in full rant.

A sample of Lehmborg:
<.....>

-- and from the demented Rudiak:
Since we are all nothing more than "UFO nutbags," in Mr. Scherk's mind, this apparently justifies the Skeptical Inquirer publishing anything they want that's "anti-UFO-nutbag," even if it involves omission, distortion, defamation, and promotion of an "anti-UFO-nutbag" hoax, all in the name of "science and reason" of course.

(Rudiak had visited this blog and quoted from my earlier 'nutbag' post. Now I guess he thinks I am some kind of CSICOP operative . . .)
17:46:25 - wss - No comments! - 0 TrackBacks

Notice, among other things, that Scherk insinuates that I never sent off a rebuttal to the Skeptical Inquirer (I "purportedly" mailed it), and does it again below in his latest UpDates post. I especially love his use of the word "demented" to describe me. That was a real nice touch, but exactly what one would expect from another of these phony-baloney defenders of "science and reason."

This is Mr. Scherk in full bloom displaying his real personality and agenda. There is nothing polite, sincere, or honest about the man. I wrote a pretty damn nasty email back to him after this, pointing out the obviously huge hypocritical disconnect between his "polite" request for reprint rights and what he already had written on his website. Scherk wrote back this morning, playing the fool, as if nothing had ever happened. Only seriously disturbed or coldly calculating people behave this way.

Scherk has a long Net history of these sort of malignant personal attacks, which frequently includes calling others "demented." E.g., check out the following links:

<http://www.napanet.net/~moiraj/wwwboard/messages/2373.html>

<http://www.wsse.ca/nucleus2.0/reason.php> (see Nov. 26 and Nov 18)

<http://groups.google.com/groups?q=demented+author:william+author:scott+author:scherk&hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&selm=3812B79A.4006AB75%40netbistro.com&rnum=1>

<http://groups.google.com/groups?q=demented+author:william+author:scott+author:scherk&hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&selm=7dspkj%24civ%241%40nnrpl.dejanews.com&rnum=2>

http://groups.google.com/groups?as_q=demented&safe=images&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&as_uauthors=william%20scott%20scherk&lr=&hl=en

Etc., etc. Do a Google Usenet search for more.

We have all seen people like Scherk before prowling the Internet, disrupting groups, and savaging people. They are commonly called trolls. They are low-lives who enjoy playing games with people, hoping to get a rise out of them, or maybe having darker, more sinister motivations. I leave it to the psychologists to explain their inner demons.

>Or is he a shill for the
>CSICOP/Skeptical Inquirer, trying desperately to avoid
>publishing my rebuttal article? "Forget about it. Nobody
>reads us anyway."

Is he fronting for CSICOP? Who knows? As we shall also see below, he obviously reads and frequently references the Skeptical Inquirer, as his following recent debate with Kathy Reason (who also sometimes posts here) demonstrates (concerns lawsuit against recovered memory critics, psychologists

Elizabeth Loftus of CSICOP & Melvin Guyer):

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/evolutionary-psychology/message/26868>
(Scherk no longer playing the fool)

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/evolutionary-psychology/message/26890>
(Kathy Reason responds)

Loftus/Guyer are defended and Scherk blames the victim, following exactly the tactic of the S.I. articles. (Loftus, incidentally, is another one of the CSICOP "Fellows", so it was a case of CSICOP defending their own again. For a list of their "Fellows," see: <http://www.csicop.org/about/fellows.html>)

Also check out the Google Usenet archives, where Scherk makes many references to S.I. articles. If not a CSICOP operative, he is very obviously a CSICOP groupie.

This of course proves nothing. But isn't it interesting that Scherk published his first trashing of UFO Updates (we're all "nutbags") on Nov. 30, the very same day, practically the same instant, as Updates reprinted Dennis Rawling's "sSTARBABY" expose of a cover-up by CSICOP in its early days.

Then on Dec. 3 was my "sSTARBABY" post where I compared what I was currently going through with the S.I. to what Rawlings experienced. The very next day, Scherk was here on Updates for the first time slumming with the "nutbags," defending the S.I. right to print what they believed to be true (never mind that it was really defending a hoax), and then irrationally arguing I shouldn't bother with the S.I. because they were losing the argument in the public arena and nobody read them anyway.

All "coincidence" and done by Scherk acting strictly on his own? Maybe.

>The camp that asserts an alien UFO crash at Roswell is the
>majority, dominates TV programming, radio broadcasts, web sites
>and newsletter publications. This camp produces and sells the
>most books, tapes and videos, attracts the most tourists to its
>'crash sites,' and the most attenders to its conferences.

>There is Science Frontiers, X-Project, Fortean Times, Strange
>Magazine, Parascopes News, Journal of Scientific Exploration,
>FATE magazine, The Anomalist, Narratives of the Weird . . . And
>umpteen thousands of websites and mailing lists.

>In my opinion, the alien/UFO hypothesis has won over the public
>mind.

Yada, yada. Here he goes again. I repeat, what does this have to do with anything? Here's what the real issues are about:

1. Mogul scientist Charles Moore advanced a clearly hoaxed Mogul balloon trajectory calculation as part of an obvious effort to debunk the Roswell case in the 1997 book he co-wrote with Benson Saler and Charles Ziegler, "UFO Crash at Roswell, The Genesis of a Modern Myth." The book was published in the prestigious Smithsonian Press, which claims to be scientifically peer-reviewed. The book was promoted as a "scientific" treatment of the Roswell case and his conclusion of calculating a trajectory "exactly" to the Foster Ranch crash site has been trumpeted as virtual proof in debunking circles that Mogul explained the Roswell case. Of course, since Moore's "exact calculation" turns out to be a fraud, what he did was actually pseudoscientific and a total abuse of scientific ethics.

2. Brad Sparks and I exposed the hoax a year ago. I did an extensive writeup detailing the hoax on my website:

www.roswellproof.com/flight4_trajectory.html

3. In the March/April 2003 issue of the Skeptical Inquirer, Dave Thomas wrote a defense of Moore, claiming I was engaging in nothing more than character assassination, attacked my website but deliberately avoided discussing the math facts detailed therein (characterizing them instead as "quibbles" and "shrill accusations"), reproduced Moore's bogus calculation, proclaimed victory ("We both got $2 + 2 = 3$, so what's the problem?"), and then personally attacked me as "incompetent." Thomas' SI article:

<http://www.nmsr.org/sf-gun.htm>

4. I found out about the article during the summer. I mentioned that I was drafting a response in an UpDates post:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2003/jul/m11-012.shtml>

5. A week later Thomas turned up for the very first time on UFO Updates (sound familiar?) with a feeble replay and defense of his SI article. I responded with a lengthy rebuttal. Thomas never answered back. (So much for Thomas and any pretense he is interested in true scientific debate.)

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2003/jul/m18-003.shtml>

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2003/jul/m30-003.shtml>

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2003/jul/m30-004.shtml>

6. In mid-October, I sent off my finished rebuttal to Kendrick Frazier, longtime editor of the Skeptical Inquirer. I have heard absolutely nothing back from Frazier, and it's going on 7 weeks now.

Frazier, as editor of the S.I., would have had to approve Thomas' attack-dog article. Frazier and Dave Thomas both live in Albuquerque. Both are "Fellows" of the Skeptical Inquirer. Thomas is the President of the CSICOP New Mexico outpost NMSR ("New Mexican's for Science and Reason"). Frazier is one of their "advisors." These guys are practically joined at the hip. I have to go through Frazier to get my rebuttal against Thomas published. Does anybody really think that either Frazier or Thomas wants this rebuttal to ever make it into the pages of the S.I.?

Frazier is also one of the founders of CSICOP, and one of the people mentioned by Dennis Rawlings in his article on CSICOP's coverup of their "Mars effect" scandal. (Rawlings, as you might remember, accused Frazier of censoring him.) In addition, Frazier was one of the editors of the 1997 CSICOP Roswell/UFO debunking book "The UFO Invasion: The Roswell Incident, Alien Abductions, and Government Coverups." Contributing writers were Dave Thomas (what a surprise!) and others like fellow founding CSICOPian Phil Klass, and Col. Richard Weaver ("retired"), head USAF counterintelligence debunker in their 1994/95 Roswell debunking report. CSICOPians tend to be very incestuous.

[BTW 1997, the 50th anniversary of Roswell, was a bull market in the publication of Roswell debunking books. Prometheus books (i.e. CSICOP) also published Phil Klass' and Kal Korff's anti-Roswell screeds. The USAF came out with their infamous "Case Closed" time-traveling crash dummy explanation for alien bodies, and Col. Philip Corso also came out with his equally infamous "Day After Roswell", supposedly "pro-Roswell," but possibly a case of stealth debunkery because it was so full of obviously inaccurate BS and exaggerated claims. Then there was Moore's book with his Mogul trajectory hoax. Coincidence?]

>I would welcome a rebuttal from Dr Rudiak in the pages of SI,

Yeah, no doubt just like Dave Thomas and Kendrick Frazier welcome my rebuttal. I'm sure Mr. Scherk will drop them and line and plead with them to print it.

Again, we have a great example of Mr. Scherk's disingenuousness. Go read above what he wrote on his website only two days ago and judge for yourself whether this man is interested in honest scientific debate. People who claim to "welcome rebuttal" from people do not misrepresent and ridicule their responses and call them "demented."

>whether in its letters section or as an article. From time to
>time, I note, such missives and articles have appeared (most
>recently exchanges between Rupert Sheldrake and his critics).

Note again his obvious familiarity with Skeptical Inquirer articles.

>I would ask Dr Rudiak this: what measures has he taken to have
>any rebuttal published in SI?

Now he goes on the offensive. My answer: I did exactly as their instructions to authors requested: submit two printed, double-spaced copies of the manuscript plus an electronic copy on floppy disk to the editor Kendrick Frazier in Albuquerque. I submitted this in mid-October. Mr. Frazier has not responded.

>Did he write a follow-up to his
>original letter responding to Dave Moore?

"Dave Moore?" Is that some sort of mutant hybrid of Dave Thomas and Charles Moore?

More likely it is a Freudian slip on the part of Mr. Scherk. "Dave Moore" is a person who has also been the subject of Mr. Scherk's posts over on Usenet, e.g.,

<http://groups.google.com/groups?q=dave+moore+author:william+author:scott+author:scherk&hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&selm=36A9C432.2FE83624%40netbistro.com&rnum=1>

The carelessness with Scherk's little slip about "Dave Moore" is revealing. It's typical Net troll behavior of typing without thinking.

(The whole "Dave Moore" thing concerned Moore and others being attacked, even threatened, by another UseNet troll. Moore apparently put up a whole website trying to expose the guy. Admittedly without knowing all the facts here, at first glance it appears Scherk was defending a fellow troll and playing "blame the victim" again by attacking the troll critics.)

>Did he send an email
>to the editors? Did he propose anything of note?

I did as requested in their instructions to authors. They have not responded. I plan to "remind" them about the rebuttal soon, but it their responsibility, not mine to follow up on this. Scherk is just playing the "blame the victim" card again. As mentioned before, on his website, he also insinuated I made this all up. I "purportedly" sent off the rebuttal.

Any claim that they are not aware of my rebuttal are not believable, as it is on my website:

http://roswellproof.homestead.com/Skeptical_Inquirer_response.html

as previously noted here on UpDates. (I also posted a slightly different version to UpDates back on Oct. 7 with my stated intent to send it off to the S.I. editor:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2003/oct/m07-004.shtml>

It is quite obvious that UpDates is followed by at least some CSICOP groupies and word quickly gets back to the principles even if they don't read this List. Evidence, e.g., Dave Thomas himself suddenly appearing here last July, after I announced on UpDates that I was drafting a rebuttal.

Scherk's equally sudden first appearance here, just this last week, when the rebuttal subject was brought up again I guess we'll just have accept for now as another coincidence.

David Rudiak

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 10](#)

Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger@ns.sympatico.ca>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 00:03:07 -0400
Fwd Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 09:24:59 -0500
Subject: Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting? - Ledger

>From: Ray Stanford <dinotracker@earthlink.net>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 15:51:45 -0500
>Subject: Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting?

>>From: Eustaquio Andrea Patounas <socex@terra.com.br>
>>To: UFO UpDates -Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Mon, 08 Dec 2003 12:52:22 -0200
>>Subject: 1733 - The First Known Sighting?

>>Source: The Scotsman, UK

>><http://www.news.scotsman.com/latest.cfm?id=2271652>

>>On This Day - December 8

>><snip>

>>1733: A Dorset man reported seeing a polished silver disc in the
>>sky the first known sighting of an Unidentified Flying Object,
>>or UFO.

>As any pelican whose ancestor was flying the Dorset sky on
>December 8, 1733, can tell you by often-repeated oral tradition,
>there was nothing extraordinary in the sky on that day. The
>dorset man had simply been watching too many TV shows featuring
>UFO reports and reading too many books by those wealthy pro-UFO
>writers.

It was obviously psychobabble... sorry, psychosocial
conditioning, Ray. He was caught up in the hysteria of the
latest UFO wave. It would have been all over the latest
fishmonger tabloids.

Don

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 10](#)

Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Myers

From: Royce J. Myers III <ufowatchdog@msn.com>
Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 22:05:52 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 09:37:59 -0500
Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Myers

>From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
>Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 16:05:18 -0500
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03

>>From: Royce J. Myers III <ufowatchdog@msn.com>
>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>Date: Sun, 07 Dec 2003 23:54:23 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03

>>>It has been some time since I have posted to UpDates, but I just
>>couldn't pass this one up. I don't intend to make a habit of
>>posting, but here are a few things that you might want to see:

>><http://www.rense.com/general45/stan.htm>

>><http://www.rense.com/general45/warn.htm>

>>The Stan Romanek case is another topic all together...

>Hi Royce, All,

>You wrote:

>>As for Maussan having credibility as a jouranlist/investigator,
>>it is my opinion he is just one more in a lump of UFO clowns
>>that rehash other people's material and make sensationalist and
>>unverifiable claims.

Hi John,

Hope all is well and, as always, appreciate your two-cents.

>Nobody, and I mean nobody, is 100% spot-on all the time Royce.
>You have to be very careful about completely dismissing Maussan
>because more than most of those categorized as 'bad-guys,' it is
>a genuine instance of throwing out the baby with the bath-water
>in his case.

Absolutely - no one is ever 100% all of the time, no argument to
make there. Everyone makes mistakes. But what about when someone
is consistently not 'spot-on'? Let's see...

- 1) Bunk daylight UFO footage promoted.
- 2) Promoted Hale-Bopp and was making public claims that giant
UFO motherships were going to evacuate the planet.
- 3) Promoted bunk Sci-Fi Channel footage as real.
- 4) Championed the Reed UFO Fraud and continued to promote it
after the roof caved in on it and failed to investigate the
most basic aspects and so did everyone else directly involved.
Maussan went as far as to claim there had been a scientific
examination of the bogus alien artifact 'Dr. Reed' claimed he
found - there was _no_ laboratory analysis done, even though
Maussan went on saying that it utilized nano-technology. Someone
that was with Maussan in Mexico described the so-called
scientific analysis that took place with Maussan in the room -
it was nothing more than one of Maussan's associates picking up

the piece and looking at it while it sat in its case! Not much of a scientific examination and quite a leap to proclaiming something is of extraterrestrial technological origin, eh?

Really, I think it is a very basic thing to check on these sort of claims and their veracity before making them public. Can you say 'lab report' or 'accredited scientist'? Two people who were inside the "Dr.Reed" circle have told me what really happened during that "investigation" and to hear that these so-called seasoned investigators made these many mistakes, errors, omissions, and were engaged in some wild antics is unbelievable.

5) Promoted bunk 'alien' pictures with former 'Dr. Reed' supporter without a thorough investigation and made claims the footage was authentic and could not be replicated - well, it was replicated.

6) Promoted massive UFO sightings in Mexico...oh, wait, some of those were actually interesting...

>You said so yourself, Maussan has some legitimate footage and
>has gathered information on some legitimate UFO cases. An
>example of which is the one I am currently working with him on,
>an commercial aircraft and a 'UFO' collision case that happened
>back in '94 at Mexico City International. The reporting
>witnesses in that case are the commercial pilot of the plane and
>the ATC (air traffic controller) who had to quickly arrange for
>an emergency landing of that passenger laden jet.

Is this the one the Elders' 'docu-drama' videos? Is it the same case he claimed to have radar tapes for? And yes, Maussan appears to possess some legitimate UFO footage, but that really isn't a card to Pass Go And Collect \$200.

We all don't want to throw out the baby with the bath water, but sometimes you actually have to have a baby in the tub first. Again, it comes down to a factor of credibility and how many times someone has cried wolf.

>Maussan is not by any stretch of the imagination a scientist or
>a trained/skilled researcher

Huh?!

Based on what I've seen of Maussan's UFO cases, I completely agree with you. But, isn't he an 'investigative' journalist with a degree? Hasn't he been doing journalism for 33 years? Being a researcher and an investigator are essential and basic core elements to being a journalist. I'm not trying to be insulting, but this just seems very rudimentary to me.

If he isn't a trained or skilled researcher, then what is he doing investigating any of these cases and making presentations to the public based on his 'investigation'? I'm not buying that argument for a second, and am frankly surprised that you would attempt to justify Maussan's past deeds by trying to tell me he's not any sort of researcher and/or investigator. This is comparable to having a person claim they're an auto mechanic yet they have not clue one as to what a radiator, spark-plug, or oil filter is and you're recommending I take my car to his shop for a tune-up.

>It's unfair to hold him to those strict standards.

No it isn't, and in Maussan's position he should absolutely be held to a higher standard, just as should any UFO 'investigator' examining cases and making public claims. Of the topics most covered on this List are credibility, accountability, and ethics. If someone is going to be presenting their 'investigation', then it damn well better be a real investigation. This is a subject that has the potential to have an impact on the world like no other, and you don't think it fair to hold someone to a set of high standards? I think not. Especially when a field such as this one is lumped together and, it seems to me, when one person speaks publicly on this subject that person is speaking for all of us - whether we like it or not.

This field is so scrutinized by nay-sayers, skeptics, and debunkers that the last thing I want is someone trampling a case that may have the answers to all of our questions - earthly or

otherwise. Is that what you would have? I didn't think so.

<snip>

>Jaime needs to be taken on a case by case basis. Otherwise we
>run the risk of blowing right past some of the most amazing UFO
>cases ever to hit the blotter.

I have taken him on a case by case basis, his batting average is terrible and it seems he's mostly involved in sensationalistic and bunk UFO cases.

>Try not to be so down on the man.
>You know me Royce. Believe me, I have spent some time speaking
>with this guy. He's not the evil phony or clown that you paint
>him to be. I consider myself a decent judge of character and
>Jaime is -honest- if not 'right' all the time.

I'm not being "down on the man", far from it. He seems to be a nice guy, fairly charming and likeable. He just doesn't have my vote of confidence when it comes down to credible and skilled investigation.

Right all the time...? Again, I think not.

>>While Maussan may have some legitimate UFO footage, it has been
>>drowned out by his past antics, claims, and sensationalistic
>>promotion of bunk UFO cases.

>Get past the noise. In Maussan's case it is worth it. It is in
>fact one of the few times that I have found this to be the case.
>Dismiss Maussan and you risk blowing off some of the most
>_credible- material to come down the pike in years!

This isn't "noise", just the facts that Maussan has a track record for promoting and sensationalizing bunk UFO cases. As for "some of the most _credible_ material to come down the pike in years" coming from Maussan, I'll believe when I see it and there's some proof to back it. And if this is the case, again this isn't an attempt to be insulting. Maussan should give it to someone else for the sake of credibility and investigation.

John, there's simply no way we're going to agree on Maussan. My past experience with him and his track record speaks for itself. Thanks for your view.

Regards,

Royce J. Myers III
UFOWATCHDOG.COM

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 10](#)

Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting? - Rimmer

From: John Rimmer <jrimmer@magonia.demon.co.uk>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 07:28:39 +0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 09:40:34 -0500
Subject: Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting? - Rimmer

>From: Ray Stanford <dinotracker@earthlink.net>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 15:51:45 -0500
>Subject: Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting?

>>From: Eustaquio Andrea Patounas <socex@terra.com.br>
>>To: UFO UpDates -Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Mon, 08 Dec 2003 12:52:22 -0200
>>Subject: 1733 - The First Known Sighting?

>>Source: The Scotsman, UK

>><http://www.news.scotsman.com/latest.cfm?id=2271652>

>>On This Day - December 8

>><snip>

>>1733: A Dorset man reported seeing a polished silver disc in the
>>sky the first known sighting of an Unidentified Flying Object,
>>or UFO.

>As any pelican whose ancestor was flying the Dorset sky on
>December 8, 1733, can tell you by often-repeated oral tradition,
>there was nothing extraordinary in the sky on that day. The
>dorset man had simply been watching too many TV shows featuring
>UFO reports and reading too many books by those wealthy pro-UFO
>writers.

Is there any chance that we might be able to find the original
source of this story, rather than commenting on a one-line
newspaper summary?

--
John Rimmer
Magonia Magazine
www.magonia.demon.co.uk/arc/00/newmag.htm

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 10](#)

Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!

From: **Marcos Malvezzi Leal** <malvezzil@ig.com.br>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 07:52:59 -0200
Fwd Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 09:44:31 -0500
Subject: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!

Dear Listers,

Unlike the infamous so-called "Barra da Tijuca" incident, in which a supposed UFO photograph was proven as an undeniable hoax, the veracity about the Trindade Island incident, in Brazil, in the late 50's is unquestionable. To doubt it would be the same as the doubt the Brazilian Navy itself, which in an exceptional example of professionalism and ethics confirmed the authenticity of the photos taken by photographer Almiro Bara=FAna, leaving no room for controversy in that respect.

The shots taken by Bara=FAna were analyzed, checked and double-checked for flaws and none were found. And never will, because they do not exist. They are a definite proof that unidentified objects probably of extraterrestrial origin do visit our planet and CAN be captured on film.

Some of the best photographic evidences of UFO activities in our skies have been obtained in Brazil. The Trindade photos definitely rank among the top.

Marcos Malvezzi Leal
Translation Coordinator,
Brazilian UFO Magazine

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 10](#)

Re: Secret KGB UFO Files - Dubrovin

From: Sergej Dubrovin <dubrovinsergej@hotmail.com>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 14:13:20 +0100
Fwd Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 09:51:21 -0500
Subject: Re: Secret KGB UFO Files - Dubrovin

>From: Thierry Jonnaert <thierry.jonnaert@pandora.be>
>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 8 Dec 2003 01:40:16 +0100
>Subject: Secret KGB UFO Files

>More interesting information about the secret KGB UFO files:

><http://www.para-normal.com/nuke/html/modules.php?name=Content&pa=showpage&pid=48>

Hi, Thierry,

More about these 'secret' files:

<http://borshurinov.narod.ru/ufindex.htm>

<http://borshurinov.narod.ru/uftnt/tnt2.htm>

<http://borshurinov.narod.ru/uftnt3-4/tnt3-4.htm>

<http://borshurinov.narod.ru/uftnt3-4/tnt3-4.htm>

Hoaxes without any doubt.

SD

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 10](#)

Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting? - Patounas

From: **Eustaquio Andrea Patounas** <socex@terra.com.br>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 10:52:50 -0300
Fwd Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 10:14:57 -0500
Subject: Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting? - Patounas

>From: Larry Hatch
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto
>Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 13:59:56 -0800
>Subject: Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting?

>>From: Eustaquio Andrea Patounas
>>To: UFO UpDates -Toronto
>>Date: Mon, 08 Dec 2003 12:52:22 -0200
>>Subject: 1733 - The First Known Sighting?

>>Source: The Scotsman, UK

>><http://www.news.scotsman.com/latest.cfm?id=2271652>

>>On This Day - December 8

>>1733: A Dorset man reported seeing a polished silver disc in the
>>sky the first known sighting of an Unidentified Flying Object,
>>or UFO.

>I have maybe 45 listings prior to 1733, of highly variable
>merits of course.

Hello Larry

I know that. I only reply the wrong information of the site. We
know sightings AC.

Regards

[??? --ebk]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 10](#)

Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:57:02 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 10:39:47 -0500
Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>From: Tim Shell <tshell@vcmail.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 16:04:55 -0600
>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Internvention Stops Abductions

>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 15:02:31 EST
>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Internvention Stops Abductions

>>What would they think of us?

>They might say, "Back on our planet if we kill somebody and they
>come back to life, we kill them again and do a better job of
>it."

>Back a little more on the topic, I suspect that a talisman of
>some kind might be effective in warding off abductions.
>Wouldn't matter what it was as long as the abductee truly
>believed the talisman worked. There's some altered state of
>consciousness that goes on in an abduction scenario that
>apparently fades or is broken if you can get your mind to
>concentrate clearly on something. A prayer would accomplish the
>same thing, I guess.

>Other than that, those Hopkinsville folks seemed to have pretty
>good luck with shotguns. Lock 'n load!

Hello Tim, All,

I think an important distinction needs to be acknowledged. There are two types of abductees... people who 'think/imagine' they are being abducted and people who are being abducted. The inherent problem in this thread so far has been that the latter has not been given due consideration.

Hey, if people who 'think' they are being abducted can stop it by calling on Jesus, Daffy Duck or any other Guardian Deity they might believe in, more power to em. The fact remains that many ARE being physically abducted/taken and I don't think that calling on anybody can stop it once it starts. If there is anything that can be said about the 'abductors' it is that they are coldly efficient, single-minded in their purpose and that once the 'abduction operation' begins, it is executed with military precision and efficiency.

They do what they do whether you like it or not. You can kick, shout, knock yourself out, nothing matters, nothing will make it stop. I worked with Budd Hopkins for many years. There is case after case (my own included) where people are in the middle of the business of their day when... the car is approached by a UFO, or they are somehow deviated from the direction they were travelling in and they find themselves in some isolated area, or as in the Debbie Jordan case, while Debbie and her mom were puttering around the house, an abduction event unfolds. I'm talking about people who are wide awake and busy doing something else when all of a sudden, bang! They are smack in the middle of an absolutely horrible situation that they are helpless to prevent or to curtail no matter how much they protest. In

Debbie's case she went out to the yard with her father's loaded shotgun in her hand.

She never got off a shot.

I don't think mentioning anybody's_name, Jesus or whoever, would have stopped what happened to her and her mom that night. Ditto for me and for many other cases I can quote from.

Let's make a distinction between those who are 'imagining' they are being abducted and those who are ACTUALLY being abducted and this thread will suddenly begin to make some sense. I resent the lack of distinction between the two here in this thread.

Regards,

John Velez, Abductee

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 10](#)

Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:14:23 -0600
Fwd Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 10:43:17 -0500
Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Lehmborg

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark@frontiernet.net>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 14:47:29 -0600
>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

>>From: William Scott Scherk <wss@uniserve.com>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 07:18:42 -0800
>>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

>>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark@frontiernet.net>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>>Date: Sun, 7 Dec 2003 12:20:15 -0600
>>>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

>>>>From: William Scott Scherk <wss@uniserve.com>
>>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>>>Date: Sat, 6 Dec 2003 18:28:54 -0800
>>>>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

>Patient and gentle Listfolk:

>It is not my intention to waste time in a debate with somebody
>who regards those who disagree with him as "nutbags" - the odd
>phrase makes me wonder if this guy is 80 years old - and who
>seems unable to tell the difference between Fate magazine and
>Science Frontiers (and who, one infers, thinks the editors of
>these very different periodicals are living the lifestyle of
>Scrooge McDuck).

<snip>

>On the other hand, pretending to be poor is always a good way to
>separate the sympathetic true believer from his or her money.
>And the CSICOP faithful, as we have seen, believe as truly as
>one can believe.

Verily! Pity the much maligned and roundly mistreated CSICOPians
of a beleaguered CSICOPia! Have some sympathy for their hand to
mouth pecuniary squalor, tattered environ, and shabby raiment!
Show some compassion with regard to an underdog relationship
proffered by the mainstream that detests them, discounts them at
every turn, humiliates them in the media, sabotages their book
deals, and otherwise celebrates CSICOPian enemies at expense of
the persecuted CSICOPian! Generate some empathy for the brave
struggle they valiantly endure in opposition to the _massive_
public paranormal forces arrayed against them!

Discounted, derided and dismissed by these too powerful
paranormal behemoths of the fabulously well-funded fringe, they
fight a never ending battle for truth, right, and reactionary
scientism! From positions of fabulous wealth and crushing power
the paranormalist dragons pummel the tidy nests of rational,
ethical, and moral pelicanists, who stand bravely in the fray as
true two-color heroes!

...Pity the poor, underprivileged, and deprived, but stalwartly
pelikanistic and scientific CSICOPians! Verily! I say unto

ye...

...not!

Lehmborg@snowhill.com

PS -- A small taste of Good News for John Ford! The current (albeit one-sided) discussions with ardent pelikanists and Apathetic Andy Roberts (et. al. insisting on bringing hat-pins to the ufological gun-fight) has inspired one more UpDates subscriber to pledge a hundred dollars to the John Ford Fund! Pledged monies are ear-marked for John Ford against his release from jail where he languishes for a crime that he did not commit... they have to let him out someday! Thank you, kind sir!

EXPLORE "AlienViewGroup" at its HostPros URL.
<http://www.alienview.net>

JOHN FORD RESTORATION FUND -- John will be released eventually. He'll need a tax free cash stake to get on his feet. Let's put one together for him; the bigger it is -- the more attention he gets. It could have been you. E-mail for detail.

\$450.00 pledged -- \$200.00 collected!

"I cleave the heavens, and soar to the infinite.
What others see from afar, I leave far behind me."
- Giordano Bruno, scourged by the scabrously specious scurrilous.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting? - Haith

From: Dave Haith <visions@ntlworld.com>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 15:04:41 -0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 08:40:16 -0500
Subject: Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting? - Haith

>From: John Rimmer <jrimmer@magonia.demon.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 07:28:39 +0000
>Subject: Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting?

>>From: Ray Stanford <dinotracker@earthlink.net>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 15:51:45 -0500
>>Subject: Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting?

>>>From: Eustaquio Andrea Patounas <socex@terra.com.br>
>>>To: UFO UpDates -Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>>Date: Mon, 08 Dec 2003 12:52:22 -0200
>>>Subject: 1733 - The First Known Sighting?

>>>Source: The Scotsman, UK

>>><http://www.news.scotsman.com/latest.cfm?id=2271652>

>>>On This Day - December 8

<snip>

>>>1733: A Dorset man reported seeing a polished silver disc in the
>>>sky the first known sighting of an Unidentified Flying Object,
>>>or UFO.

>>As any pelican whose ancestor was flying the Dorset sky on
>>December 8, 1733, can tell you by often-repeated oral tradition,
>>there was nothing extraordinary in the sky on that day. The
>>dorset man had simply been watching too many TV shows featuring
>>UFO reports and reading too many books by those wealthy pro-UFO
>>writers.

>Is there any chance that we might be able to find the original
>source of this story, rather than commenting on a one-line
>newspaper summary?

I found this in this List's Archive:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/1997/nov/m30-007.shtml>

Fleet is actually in Hampshire but for all I know it could have
been in Dorset in those days.

I note the quotes cited below don't mention a shape - I wonder
who first decided it was a disc?

Dave Haith

From: Masinaigan <Masinaigan@aol.com>
Date: Sun, 30 Nov 1997 11:23:01 EST
Fwd Date: Sun, 30 Nov 1997 13:57:52 -0500
Subject: UFO ROUNDUP, Volume 2, Number 46

1733: DAYLIGHT DISC FLIES OVER DORSET

On December 8, 1733, James Cracker of Fleet, a small town in Dorset, England, saw a silvery disc fly overhead in broad daylight. Here is his eyewitness account:

"Something in the sky which appeared in the north but vanished from my sight, as it was intercepted by trees, from my vision. I was standing in a valley. The weather was warm, the sun shone brightly. On a sudden it re-appeared, darting in and out of my sight with an amazing coruscation. The colour of this phenomenon was like burnished, or new-washed silver. It shot with speed like a star falling in the night. But it had a body much larger and a train longer than any shooting star I have seen."

"Next day Mr. Edgecombe informed me that he and another gentleman had seen this strange phenomenon at the same time as I had. It was about 15 miles from where I saw it, and steering a course from east to north." (See MYSTERIES OF THE UNEXPLAINED, Reader's Digest Association, February 1985, page 210.)

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

NASA Faces Lawsuit In Kecksburg UFO Incident

From: **Eustaquio Andrea Patounas** <socex@terra.com.br>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 13:11:10 -0200
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 08:43:47 -0500
Subject: NASA Faces Lawsuit In Kecksburg UFO Incident

Source: WPXI-TV Pittsburgh Pennsylvania

<http://www.wpxi.com/news/2695297/detail.html>

Many Residents Believe UFO Landed In Kecksburg

December 10, 2003

Kecksburg, Pa. -- NASA is facing a lawsuit to get it to release what it knows about claims of a UFO landing in Westmoreland County. Many residents in Kecksburg believe an acorn-shaped UFO landed there 38 years ago, including expert Stan Gordon. "I'm convinced that an object of unknown origin definitely fell from the sky and was recovered by the military. What the object was still is open for speculation," he said.

Gordon thinks it was possibly part of a Russian space probe. A representative for NASA said he can't comment on the lawsuit.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <Stuart.Miller4@btinternet.com>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 15:31:58 -0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 08:50:06 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Miller

>From: Andy Roberts <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Sun, 7 Dec 2003 18:33:30 -0000
>Subject: Re: 'Strange Secrets'

>>From: Stuart Miller <Stuart.Miller4@btinternet.com>
>>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Sat, 6 Dec 2003 20:57:31 -0000
>>Subject: Re: 'Strange Secrets'

<snip>

>That's why I'd be extremely happy if I did discover that ET
>was visiting earth - because I'd be able to write a best seller
>and get on with the other things I want to do.

>I write books for the fun of it and to educate the befuddled.
>It's tedious but someone has to do it and it might as well be
>me.

>Have a think Stuart, and try to come up with something a
>little bit more relevant next time.

Andy,

I would imagine the Grateful Dead were exactly that in your company.

Yes, I do have a very good idea what authors get paid. Notice, I have actually written a book. I would have thought that the request for ten pounds would have been an indication that I was winding you up, so better make a note, "No sense of humour either." This is obviously a very sore point as Nick had a go about it in private to me and one or two other comments have appeared as well. For what it's worth, I genuinely wish any researcher, whatever his hue, who is able to get his material into print, the very best of financial success. Truly. Of course, I would prefer it was done with honest material, without contrived and pre-determined conclusions but that is a preference, not a condition. May your bank balance only grow Andy. I would like that ten pounds by the way.

It is however a little disingenuous to claim a lowly income from writing. You cannot look at a published book in isolation, at least not in your case. "Shadows" was the most successful book you wrote. It may not have provided a substantial income but the increase in your profile as a result of the book's success drew in other projects, for which you presumably have been paid as well. And good luck to you. I see nothing wrong with deriving an income out of Ufology, however large or small and anyone who implies some imorality in it is not being realistic. In your case though you don't seem to have earned enough so I would ask all sympathetic listeners to send donations to Andy via his e-mail address. Remember, charitable donations are tax deductible.

I wasn't trying to be ironic. I was successfully being sarcastic and I would have expected you in particular to recognise it as it was slapping you about the face at the time like a wet fish,

and what with all your past mumblings about Brigantia 'n all. I do hope you're not claiming sarcasm as the sole domain of the sneering sceptic?

I do admire your naivete or arrogance but I can't determine which it is. It is truly puerile to suggest that someone's opinion on a subject is not worthwhile because they haven't written a book, but you seem happy to confirm that. I guess it's got to be arrogance then. You really do yourself no favours on this List, at times, Andy. It is also patently obvious that you regard virtually every researcher and advocate of extraterrestrial reality as stupid, to be laughed at. It seems your primary logic for this is that we believe in this reality as opposed to "knowing" about it. Belief systems are for the intellectually ignorant then. Oh well. That's about three quarters of the world that you've managed to dismiss with a wave of the hand. Yep, it definitely is arrogance.

I would suggest however that on that basis, your views on the ETH are also a belief system. I know you'll say that you will be convinced if shown indisputable proof but I also know you never expect to see any. Any denial of that would be unconvincing. You believe there is no such thing as extraterrestrials visiting this planet. You don't know that. Whatever you say, you can only believe it.

And as if to yet further confirm my view that you regard us all as stupid, you happily admit to hoaxing and then insult us further by claiming these were controlled experiments with a sociological purpose. The only purposes of these hoaxes Andy was to laugh at us. And you wonder why people hit back at you with venomous aggression?

I was highly amused by your tone throughout and in particular, your comments about a worrying lack of control and hatred. Calming the madman eh? We've all done that when confronted by extreme anger. Indeed, I believe Nick Redfern enjoyed a similar experience, a while back, when a lunatic went for his neck.

In conclusion, on behalf of all on this List, I would like to thank you for the heavy mantle of responsibility you have graciously accepted on our behalf to "educate us". We are grateful, but what we've learned is not that your views have any worth or weight. Instead, we have learned that the world is not a fair place and that even a dysfunctional misfit can get his voice heard if he shouts loudly enough.

Do you wear Hi Tech walking boots?

BTW, a few of us have a new game called Roberts' Russian Roulette. I'll explain the rules in more detail next time. But it involves seeing how often you shoot yourself in the head in an individual post. It's quite tricky but there seem to be more playing this than that bingo game about Jerry.

Stuart Miller

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Roberts

From: **Andy Roberts** <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 15:39:41 -0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 09:27:17 -0500
Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Roberts

>From: Richard Conway <ranger_conway@yahoo.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 13:24:31 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

Pilgrims,

Richard writes:

>Tut tut Andy, at it again bad mouthing anybody and everybody who
>doesn't buy into your lop-sided view of ufology. This is not
>healthy behaviour. I'm still waiting for a formal answer to my
>questions.

>Unfortunately all I got in response was unadulterated rubbish -
>if you don't understand scientific methodology then you should
>just say so Andy. There is no shame. After all there are plenty
>of charlatan "experimentalists" such as yourself who justify
>their existence through the ignorance of their audience.

Not so Richard. You asked (and I'm not sure who you are in
ufology, as you've failed to produce anything of lasting
interest to the subject) if I had constructed my hoaxing
experiments in a 'scientific' way.

I replied that they were all well documented and had sufficient
structure to be able to see if they worked, i.e., if dumb
ufologists fell for them. They did, they are doing and they will
do.

You are now in a boo because I refused to give you further
information. Live with it.

>Therefore I'm not surprised that you consider your book's
>primary audience as the general public.

Who else is there to write for? The majority of ufologists are
bitter, twisted and jealous and only believe what they wish to,
without doing the R&I to prove otherwise.

Happy Trails

Andy

'...Andy Roberts seems less like a government agent than anybody
I have ever met, but of course that might show what a very good
agent he is!' -- John Rimmer, Magonia 83, December 2003

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: UFOs Inter-Dimensional - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell@vcmails.com>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 09:56:24 -0600
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 09:54:20 -0500
Subject: Re: UFOs Inter-Dimensional - Shell

>From: John Pursel <tiroch@sympatico.ca>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 18:43:58 -0500
>Subject: UFOs Inter-Dimensional

>Well I have decided that UFOs are real. That these materialize
>from another dimension +5. That these vibrate (exist) at a ULF
>or VLF frequency just below visible light and adjust frequency
>when wishing to be visible. That these use a magnetic/anti-
>gravity drive sourcing fuel from our Earth's magnetic net or
>power grids. That non-humans operate the machines. That
>residence is in another universe in Dimension X. That these will
>play with us until the operators/controllers decide it is time
>to get serious.

What's the big hurry to sit down and decide on anything? Why do you feel like you have to settle on an explanation of these things? If you do that, there will be a tendency for you to start filtering information. If it doesn't fit your beliefs, you'll throw it out. If it does, you'll say, "Great, more evidence." I would suggest that's not a good thing. Frankie Says Relax.

Also, I think you may not be thinking "alien" enough. Once you start talking about multiple dimensions and EM frequencies, and attributing human motivations to aliens, I see you're trying to put UFOs in some kind of quasi-scientific context using a very human point-of-view. UFOs and aliens may turn out to be a lot more complicated and subtle than that.

>Why ever is it that no event has ever been able to get around
>the Government and be believed by the masses as really, really
>real?

If a huge saucer crashed in downtown Chicago, the government would have a pretty difficult time covering that up. But something like that just hasn't happened (obviously, or you would have heard about it). And in the final analysis, photos, videos, witness testimony, etc., are suggestive but not as good as a nice shiny saucer we can all look at and touch and study and say, "Yes, this is real."

And the fact that nothing like that has happened might be another hint of the subtle complexity I was talking about above. Just my opinion.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell@vcmails.com>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 10:30:09 -0600
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 09:58:34 -0500
Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:57:02 -0500
>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>Let's make a distinction between those who are 'imagining' they
>are being abducted and those who are ACTUALLY being abducted and
>this thread will suddenly begin to make some sense. I resent the
>lack of distinction between the two here in this thread.

I don't want to even to try to dispute what you believe. Because I'm sure there are plenty of people here who either think you're completely delusional and will ask you for your alien Polaroids, or on the other end of the spectrum those who will accept every word without question and what they don't hear from you they'll make up on their own. I don't want to get into that church meeting.

But from what I understand, even with a physical abduction, there is usually a strong cognitive component involved. How does one drive along and "suddenly" find themselves isolated? Or simply not be able to pull a trigger on a shotgun? That's indication of a strong cognitive/perceptual component. The old "high strangeness" aspect. There's going to be some ambiguity about the "reality" of your experience because of your perception of it. What you perceive with your senses (very unreliable, think of a magic act), and your memory (also extremely unreliable). You say it doesn't matter if you kick and scream, etc., but how can an abductee really be sure they're doing all of that? The common picture of aliens make them look pretty spindly. A good, solid boot to the head ought to at least slow them down. And what if a prayer or talisman has been effective in warding off a physical abduction? Since the abduction never happened, then there's no report. You get a paradox, and you're trying to prove a negative again.

All I'm suggesting is that in any abduction scenario, it might be advantageous to have some kind of clear mental focal point, if for no other reason than to look for vulnerabilities to exploit in self-defense. But, like I said in another post, there appear to be subtleties and complexities involved that might be beyond our admittedly limited capacity to comprehend. I don't have an answer. I'm just trying to come up with reasonable questions.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Roberts

From: **Andy Roberts** <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 15:56:20 -0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 09:50:26 -0500
Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Roberts

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 15:12:55 -0600
>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

Pilgrims,

Al wrote...

>Arrogant, elitist, and huffy,

Yes thanks Alfred.

>You could abandon ufology and cyberspace... sir, that will
>handily solve your mailbox difficulties, and I trust this was
>concise enough for you?

The current (and there's been many) attempt to hound me out of ufology because I annoy believers and get results continues to amuse those in the know. Why would you want to do this Alfred?

>But re-establishing focus (something I'm sure you're loath to
>do, Mr Roberts?),

I think you'll find Alfred I have never shunned 'the focus', everyone else seems to have. Thanks for bringing it back.

>In "The Usual Suspects," Mr. Burns iterates how Mr. Andy Roberts
>(et. al.) works to suppress information at its source and how he
>gets a false story accepted. Burns underscores methods used to
>undermine witnesses. Burns goes on to show how UFO research is
>attacked directly, how the researcher is attacked in a similar
>fashion, and how communication is suppressed to facilitate these
>attacks...

I know exactly what Max wrote. As I've said before, much of the information in there is factual. Equally, much isn't. What is completely wrong is the join the dots way Max has formed conspiracy where none exists.

Now Alfred, let me ask you a question:

Where, in Max's article, does it state I 'intimidated' a witness? I don't think it does. And do you know why not? Because I never interviewed any witnesses in this case. Please read things properly and learn how to comprehend them.

>Mr. Burns is elequent with regard to witness intimidation and
>how that process (of isolating individuals open to different
>ideas) works.

Again Alfred, a question:

Who exactly am I supposed to have 'intimidated' - please answer 'cos I'm dying to know Again, get your facts right..

>He chronicles the process of "hoaxing to order"
>to facillitate hidden agendas and how hypocracy is used to
>destroy the independance (and efficacious interdependance) of

>others.

And once more with feeling Alfred - who exactly am I supposed to be 'hoaxing to order for'? Please answer. In English. I'd love to know. I hoax for my own amusement.

>All in all a pretty damning account, Mr. Roberts, given
>that he's published in a periodical of some respect... (at
>least you haven't yet thought to to try to destroy the
>reputation of that periodical too), and has provided almost 60
>citations to support his contention.

I'm afraid that Nuts From the Borderland is written by a man so crazed that he used to search through people's dustbins looking for information. Larry runs a very small circulation para-political journal read only by those who see conspiracy round every corner. As I've said before listers - buy it, read it and make

>Its no wonder that you won't
>answer Mr. Burns challenge to a public debate... it's pretty
>obvious you're all about nothing and more comfortable standing
>in your duplicitous and destructive shadows doing your 'work'
>such that it is.....

Alfred, please, calm down. Why would I wish to debate in public with Max? I didn't investigate this particular case. I'm happy to debate with Max in public the accusations he raises against me in the article, but that's all I can do. I spoke at length with Max when I was lecturing at the BUFORA conference. We got along quite amiably. He still doesn't agree with my version of events, and I certainly don't agree with his. Please read the article again and answer my questions above, with quotes and page numbers.

Fact is Alfred, you can't.

>In closing (and until you stick your head up over the berm
>again) let me remind you publically that your pissy little
>personal notes to me in E-mail are unwelcome, forgetting that
>they don't have the effect on me you'd like to think they have.

I email you privately in an attempt to get you to understand just how stupid you are. Clealy it doesn't work. You'll be rooting through my dustbins next!

>I'm neither amused nor entertained.

Well, boo-hoo.

>I've been warned by others that this is a tactic that you (et
>al) use to needle and intimidate and control... Forgetting for a
>moment how pathetic and ineffective they are, at present they
>only increase my desire to rip off your literary head and use
>your bubbling throat for a field toilet. I hope I've been
>abundantly clear. Thanks.

Sadly Alfred, you're rarely clear. Go through this email again and answer my questions. Go on, off you go.

And to the others involved in Max's little game. Instead of wasting Errol's List with your nonsense, why not get together by email, come up with a list of clear and concise questions and post them here. I promise to answer each one as fully as I can. Then you can all crawl back under your stones until the next conspiracy comes along.

Are you organised enough to do this? I very much doubt it.

Ufologists eh?

Happy Trails

Andy

'...Andy Roberts seems less like a government agent than anybody I have ever met, but of course that might show what a very good agent he is!' -- John Rimmer, Magonia 83, December 2003

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Filer's Files #50 - 2003

From: George A. Filer <Majorstar@aol.com>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 16:22:12 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 10:05:14 -0500
Subject: Filer's Files #50 - 2003

Filer's Files #50 - 2003 Skywatch Investigations.
George A. Filer, Director Mutual UFO Network Eastern
December 10, 2003, Webmaster: Chuck Warren --
My website is at: www.georgefiler.com/

Sightings High in Netherlands and Florida

The purpose of these files is to report the UFO eyewitness and photo/video evidence that occurs on a daily basis around the world and in space. This week's files investigate =96 Project Silver Bug Was A Plan For the US to Build UFOs, Cracks in Earth's Magnetic Shield, US Might Build Base on the Moon, Mars Climate Is Changing, Maine - Cross Shaped Lights 100 Feet Long, Connecticut =96 Circular Light With Five Rapidly Blinking Lights, New York =96 UFO Blocks the Road, Maryland =96 Chevron, "Virginia - Weird Lights Moving In The Woods, Georgia =96 Flying Round Object, Florida - Three Sightings,

Ohio - Cylinder Behind Jet Contrails and UFO Dives into Caverns?
Kentucky =96 Screaming Women and UFO Reported, Texas - Gray Cylinder and Large Triangular Craft Investigation, Nebraska - Flying Triangle, New Mexico =96 Possible Cattle Mutilation, California =96 Golden Sphere, Canada =96 Red Ball Lights Up Area, UK/England - Five Intensely Bright Flashing Egg Lights, India - UFO Seen In Last Full Moon, Australia - Formation of Discs Overhead. The Netherlands =96 Twenty UFO Reports in 24 Hour Flap.

Project Silver Bug Was a Plan for the US to Build UFOs.

Jack Picket the editor of military news magazines helped me obtain a 30 page FOIA release on Project Silver Bug that showed the Air Force was developing a disc shaped aircraft in 1955. He had personally seen four of the craft at Mac Dill Air Force Base in 1966, and was asked to release a story concerning these classified aircraft. Later, just prior to publication the article was cut, because a disc was seen flying low over Miami. Jack had personally seen four of the disc shaped aircraft in the disposal area that ranged in size from 25 feet to 125 feet in diameter. The four aircraft were saucers shaped with vertical stabilizers (tails) with AF Experimental written on the craft. He was told there were numerous similar craft flying, but they were unstable and frequently crashed.

This "saucer" designed aircraft was believed to use two to four J58 engines that were also the propulsion system for the SR-71. The SR-71 could fly faster than Mach 3 and was designed in secrecy by a team headed by Clarence L. "Kelly" Johnson, director of Lockheed's Advanced Development Projects office better known as the 'Skunk Works'. The existence of the aircraft was not officially revealed until February 29, 1964. Skunk Work's engineer Ben Rich is quoted as saying, "Some UFOs are ours and some are theirs."

Project Silver Bug was the research and development project to field jet propelled flying saucers beginning in 1955, that would be dispersed to underground bases to avoid Soviet attack. The disks were capable of vertical takeoffs and landings, and would be capable of Mach 3.48 - faster than the SR-71 Blackbird. In the Project Silver Bug Report, dated Feb. 15, 1955, and

declassified on March 29, 1995, he claimed the disk interceptor aircraft would be capable of vertical takeoff and landing; a maximum level speed of 2,300 mph with afterburners; a ceiling of 80,600 feet; and a climb rate of 1.76 minutes to 36,090 feet. The Pratt and Whitney Aircraft Division of United Aircraft Corporation developed the J58 engines that in July 1976, powered an SR-71 to a world altitude record of 85,069 ft. and another to a world speed record of 2,193 mph.

The Report on Project Silver Bug was issued by the Air Technical Intelligence Center along with the Wright Air Development Center at Wright-Patterson AFB in Dayton, Ohio. The Silver Bug report noted that a pair of ongoing US projects involving the building of flying saucers had already occurred. The book Page 5 of Silver Bug Technical Report below: The Silver Bug disc would be capable of vertical takeoff and landing; and performance greater than the SR-71. This performance was far in advance of any aircraft then and is roughly equivalent to the current F-15 fighter. "The Super Spies", by Andrew Tully, 1969, claimed these discs were being used as "Saucers with Ears," that is, for communications intercept missions.

The 1955 issue of Look Magazine state that, "New air defense problems were setting up requirements for aircraft performance which would apparently be best met by a saucer aircraft. Runways would not be needed and bases could go underground with tunnels and takeoff shafts set in the ground, complete with maintenance bays, fuel, and crew quarters. Those underground bases, the article said, would be bombproof shelters for a saucer squadron. The shafts would be sealed after takeoff for camouflage and protection."

It is rather obvious that our technology has greatly improved since the late 1950s, and that faster and higher flying aircraft are available to the Air Force. Modern aircraft should exceed 5000 mph and 100,000 feet altitudes.

Jeff Rense mentioned on his Radio show on December 3, 2003, that the US has built UFOs and that more data will be forth coming.

Cracks in Earth's Magnetic Shield

NASA reports that immense cracks in our planet's magnetic field can remain open for hours, allowing the solar wind to gush through and power stormy space weather. Our Earth is surrounded by a magnetic force field - a bubble in space called "the magnetosphere" that is tens of thousands of miles wide. The magnetosphere is part of the same planetary magnetic field that deflects compass needles. The magnetosphere acts as a shield that protects us from solar storms. According to new observations, from NASA's IMAGE spacecraft and the joint NASA/European Space Agency Cluster satellites, immense cracks sometimes develop in Earth's magnetosphere and remain open for hours. This allows the solar wind to gush through and power stormy space weather. We've discovered that our magnetic shield is drafty, like a house with a window stuck open during a storm," says Harold Frey of the University of California, Berkeley, lead author of a paper on this research published Dec. 4 in Nature. "The house deflects most of the storm, but the brunt is ruined. Similarly, our magnetic shield takes the brunt of space storms, but some energy slips through its cracks, sometimes enough to cause problems with satellites, radio communication, and power systems."

Editors Note: These cracks in the magnetic shield seem to effect not only space weather but weather systems on the Earth

Return to The Moon? Bush Wants New Lunar Program

President George W. Bush may be preparing to put the US back on the path to the moon and establish a permanent base. It would be the first time in more than 30 years that astronauts have been to the moon. News.com.au reported that Bush will make the announcement either on December 17, the 100th anniversary of the Wright brothers first flight, or in his State of the Union address in January. The United States landed on the moon in July of 1969, and recently China announced plans to establish a base on the moon. Thanks to Dr. Bob Trundle Ph.D.

Mars Climate Changing - Life on Mars?

The amount of frozen water near the surface in some relatively warm low-latitude regions on both sides of Mars' equator appears too great to be in equilibrium with the atmosphere under current climatic conditions, said Dr. William Feldman of Los Alamos National Laboratory, NM. He is the lead scientist for an Odyssey instrument that assesses water content. "One explanation could be that Mars is just coming out of an ice age," Feldman said. "In some low-latitude areas, the ice has already dissipated. In others, that process is slower and hasn't reached an equilibrium yet. Those areas are like the patches of snow you sometimes see persisting in protected spots long after the last snowfall of the winter."

Frozen water makes up as much as 10 percent of the top three feet of surface material in some regions close to the equator. Dust deposits may be covering and insulating the lingering ice, Feldman said. He and other Odyssey scientists described their recent findings today at the fall meeting of the American Geophysical Union in San Francisco. "Odyssey is giving us indications of recent global climate change in Mars," said Dr. Jeffrey Plaut, project scientist for the mission at NASA's Jet Propulsion Laboratory.

High latitude regions of Mars have layers with differing ice content within the top half meter (20 inches) or so of the surface, researchers conclude from mapping of hydrogen abundance based on gamma-ray emissions. "A model that fits the data has three layers near the surface," said Dr. William Boynton of the University of Arizona, "The very top layer would be dry, with no ice. The next layer would contain ice in the pore spaces between grains of soil. Beneath that would be a very ice-rich layer, 60 to nearly 100 percent water ice."

Editor=92s Note: We have been telling NASA for many years there was evidence for water on Mars. It is nice to see they are starting to agree with us. Don't be surprised if the UK finds life when its mission lands on Christmas Day.

Maine - Cross Shaped Lights 100 feet Long

WATERVILLE =96 The witness was on his porch waiting for his girlfriend to get out of the shower on November 21, 2003. Watching the sky for ten minutes, he saw five lighted cross-shaped machines flying low in the distance at 1 PM. He states, "I ran inside and yelled to my girlfriend to take a look, she did and got scared stiff." They hovered in one spot for twenty minutes. There were five of them. After a period of time they slowly turned to the west and glided away. There were six lights on each craft. It scared my girl so much she shivered all night. Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

Connecticut =96 Circular Light With Blinking Lights

SEYMOUR - At 10:15 PM, the witness went out on the porch on a very cold clear night and saw a bright blinking object with numerous colors that was brighter than the other stars. It was blinking at quick intervals, unlike the lights of a plane or jet. His wife retrieved his binoculars and he saw a bright circular light with what looked like four or five rapidly blinking lights. The object was stationary and not emitting any noise. He went next door and awakened my neighbors and let them look through the binoculars. Their reaction was the same as mine. I called the Oxford Airport personnel. They told me there were no weather balloons in the area. I have some of it on video. I am not a pro, so the picture did not come out too good, but good enough. The object had moved further away at this point. After about a half hour it vanished. I hope to take the pictures somewhere to see if they can clear up the image. It was at night so you cannot make out any clear shape. I hope to see it again. Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

New York =96 UFO Blocks Road

WATERTOWN - Rick Doty writes, "I recently was sent a document that pertains to an incident in Watertown, New York. There is no date on this incident that occurred in the early evening, when a UFO landed on State Route 12, about two miles southeast of Watertown. Two occupants exited the craft and walked to a residence east of Route 12. Several motorists stopped because the craft was blocking the road. Jefferson County Sheriff's Department received several calls reporting the blocked traffic

on Route 12 and two State Troopers were dispatched to the area. In the meantime, the two occupants of the craft walked onto the porch of a residence. Sometime later, the two occupants of the craft returned to their flight vehicle. At this point about ten citizens and two state troopers witnessed the two occupants enter the craft and fly away. Several photographs were taken of this incident. One witness used an 8 mm movie camera to record the event. Later the film was developed and the two occupants of this craft showed up in the background of three photographs. The only hint I see that this incident occurred several years ago is the 8 mm movie camera. They went out about 25 years ago. I don't know if this is a hoax or a real incident. I never heard of it. Thanks to =96 Sgt. Rick Doty

Maryland =96 Chevron Sighting

BALTIMORE =96 The observer was walking back to his car at 9:40 PM, on December 1, 2003, on the uppermost roof level of a parking deck, adjacent to Baltimore's City Hall. The observer works in a model shop in downtown Baltimore, and saw a series of very dim lights in a chevron formation moving quickly to the south. The arrow shaped arrangement of lights meant there were possibly 5 or 6 lights on each "wing." These lights were very dim, and I could barely see them. However, they did continue to move in an erratic pattern to the south until I could not see them anymore. The object made no sound, and the lights stayed relative to their original position on the craft. If it was not some type of spacecraft, I think it could have possibly been a kite, or possibly a flock of birds. Barring an optical illusion on my part, it could not have been much else. Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

Virginia - Pretty Weird Lights Moving in the Woods

Jason writes that, "Filer's Files #49 article on Virginia sounds so close to what my family and I saw that I had to write and tell you. While I do not personally believe anymore that these are actual alien beings from another world (I believe they are something darker), I do know that some of these things are real and I have watched for years to see if anyone else had witnessed the same thing as me, but unfortunately I only know of a farmer in Scotland that reported something similar. I thought the term the witness used "surveying the woods" is almost exactly how I felt. Although, it seems silly to assume that this thing was surveying, that is exactly what it looked like. At first, we thought the lights were coming from hunters in tree stands. Thanks to Jason

<http://www.iwasabducted.com/ufoboard/reports/59.htm>

Georgia =96 Flying Round Object

Tom Sheets State Director reports that the witness contacted Chris Brennam of the Brunswick News; and he is reporting continued observations by multiple witnesses of distant aerial objects with blue, yellow, red, and green lights. Some observations including shape-shifting into objects with a fuselage and wing shape. This has been capped with the recent appearance of a large and closer low flying roundish object coming from the south at 3000-4000 feet altitude, the object having an array of lights on the bottom resembling a "honeycomb/grid" like pattern. The lights change colors and are very bright. I spoke to this witness on Tuesday afternoon and he agreed to meet with our investigators John Bodin, MUFONGA's DD for south Georgia, and Charles Bowen, SSD for the Savannah area, have been assigned. Thanks to Tom Sheets.

www.mufon.com/georgia.html

Florida - Circular Objects Flying Overhead and Invisible Jet

PENSACOLA =96 The witness reports, "I went out for a smoke and saw an object come from the north moving south very fast, much faster than a plane or satellite." If you put your hands side by side, it was four hand spaces west of the moon on November 16, 2003, and this was no shooting star and was in the earth's atmosphere. It was six fingers wider than the moon, bright, yellow-orange with a halo or ring farther out. It just streaked across the sky and was gone. Thanks to Peter Davenport

SOUTHWEST MIAMI - On November 17, 2003, about 4:45 PM, the sun was getting low in the west in a bright clear sky, twenty miles from Homestead Reserve Air Base. I'm accustomed to seeing and

hearing military jets from there. Working in the front yard I heard that military jet sound relatively close overhead as usual. Upon looking to see one of the beautiful F-16's or F-18's, I was surprised to find I couldn't see anything. The loud engine sound flew northwest. I searched the sky for the entire time of the relatively slow, directly overhead passage but never saw the craft. Thanks to Peter Davenport

ST. PETERSBURG =96 The witness was walking his dog that was searching for scents on the ground on November 16, 2003, at 7:30 PM. The dog looked up twice, and I also looked up and saw a huge round object flying above the treetops. It had lights on the outer edges that were going around. It looked like a slide projection on the clouds but this projection traveled south over our roof and disappeared. I got my wife and we both saw it again! The phenomenon was also witnessed by another apartment resident hanging her laundry. Thanks to Peter Davenport
UFOcenter

Ohio - Cylinder Behind Jet and UFO Dives into Caverns?

REYNOLDSBURG =96 On December 1, 2003, a cylinder shaped object moving east appeared in broad daylight around noon and was flying very high in the sky and was the size of a ball point pen tip held at arm's length. The witness had a passing plane to compare with the cylinder. The object passed behind the contrail of a jet airplane moving in the opposite direction, but left no contrail of its own. Also, the object seemed to reflect the sun's rays inconsistently, and was not constantly illuminated by the sun as was the airplane moving in the opposite direction. Thanks to Peter Davenport

FOSTORIA CAVERN ENVIRONMENT =96 George Ritter continues to videotape UFOs that appear to fly into and out of a farmer's field. A retired Ohio State Policeman has contacted us who investigated a similar situation. He physically walked through a field to pick up any wreckage of the craft. Nothing could be found. Obviously, what the video infers seems impossible, however, we request help from a geologist to help make an examination of the area. Many caverns are known to exist in the general area. Regardless of the weather conditions the temperature of the Ohio Caverns remains a constant 54=B0 F. (12.2=B0 C.) throughout the year. The air inside the Caverns is always fresh and invigorating. Many of the suspected caverns in the area have not been exactly located but some are known to exist within ten miles. I call on an experienced geologist to examine the site. Thanks to George Ritter

KENTUCKY =96 Screaming Women and UFO Reported

MOREHEAD =96 Investigators Donnie Blessing and Kenny Young are investigating a strange case. Donnie Blessing Southern Ohio Section Director MUFON, POSTING TO CURRENT-ENCOUNTERS LIST BY KENNY YOUNG).

"A short time ago I located and interviewed a very credible witness in Morehead, Kentucky that has provided me with a sober link between a UFO sighting and bizarre report of desperate and blood-curdling screaming sounds heard at the same location immediately after the unidentified flying object had departed." After the conversation I had to call a 'time out' and take some time to contemplate over this development as the report is terribly interesting. It is one of the best reports I have ever taken as I am impressed with the witness and extreme strangeness of the case. I will prepare the details of this case shortly. The witness did not report this except to the police and has no idea how it ended up at NUFORC. As stated earlier, the Morehead police department was dismissive of this incident. They acknowledged a search party and report of screaming sounds but said that 'northern lights' were seen in the area. From my conversation with this very credible witness, northern lights can be positively ruled out. Thanks to Donnie Blessing, Kenny Young and Peter Davenport UFOcenter

Illinois =96 Moving Light at Sunset

CHICAGO (West Suburbs) =96 The witness was driving on I-88 west, heading towards Aurora on November 19, 2003, at about 4:30 PM, when he saw two soft white lights a mile ahead. The sun was just setting, when he saw the first star on the horizon, and to the right of a star he saw another soft white light half an inch

to the right, and about an inch below. The second light was moving slowly towards the first and about half way it disappeared. In a few seconds it reappeared next to the first light. I couldn't see any ship, but it was unusual since this happened during sunset and there was still a lot of sunlight. It was the absence of color that made me take notice. When the second light disappeared, it was very strange. Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

Nebraska - Triangle Shape Craft With Multiple Lights

OMAHA =96 A very bright light was observed hovering north of I-80 on November 20, 2003, at 6 PM. Closer observation revealed a triangle shaped object with multiple lights underneath that did not make any noise. Thanks to Peter Davenport

Texas - Gray Cylinder and Lights Investigation

DALLAS =96 Last week on November 19, 2003, at about 2:05 PM an observer reported seeing a gray cylinder that resembled a fuselage without any wings going upwards with a faint trail following it. The following two nights there has been a white or yellowish star that is out of place but has been seen in plain view at 5:45 PM and it is extremely too bright to be a star.

DALLAS - George Venner a MUFON investigator writes, "I live in a suburb of Dallas called Mesquite, and also saw the white or yellowish star. I filmed for about 10-15 minutes and questioned its identity because it was so bright and low in the sky, and no other stars were visible. I waited for 30 minutes and it still just sat there moving slightly like a star would over time. After reviewing my film and using Starry Night Pro software I believe it to be Venus that was visible at -3.95 which is very bright at that location at that time. Thanks to: George Venner MUFON, phone 1 214-543-1964

New Mexico =96 Possible Cattle Mutilation

CLOUDCROFT - On November 28, 2003, at about 1 PM, the witness was walking in the Lincoln National Forest and came upon a dead elk laying in a shallow ravine. The elk was no more than 200 yards from our subdivision, and perhaps half a mile from my house. There is a substantial elk population in the area, and sightings are not unusual. The dead elk was a small one, the length perhaps 4 feet, and weighing maybe 400-600 pounds. Rigor mortis was full and complete. There was no evidence the elk had been gutted by a hunter, as there was no apparent longitudinal incision on the lower abdomen/chest area. The head had been removed, as if cut from the body right where the neck joins the body, and the vertebrae stuck out from the cut area about 6 inches or so, ending in a smoothly sliced vertebrae.

The witness took photos that show the rectum of this elk has been smoothly cored out. Further, the genitals have been removed, and there is no obvious way to tell the sex of this animal. The removal of the genitals could be attributable to animals perhaps (we have mountain lions, coyotes, and bears) as the removal does not appear to have been in such a clean, surgical fashion upon my limited observation. The elk carcass is still on site for investigation. Brian Vike, Director CANADA HBCC Research California =96 Golden Sphere

BURBANK - At 8:30 PM, on November 17, 2003, the witness reports, "My daughter and I saw a gold sphere traveling slowly in the southern sky above Los Angeles, similar to sightings by my wife and I several months ago. It traveled to the southwestern sky in a circular motion for about ten minutes. I tried to get my telescope out but by the time I got set up, it vanished. Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

Canada =96 Red Ball Lights Up Area

BURNS LAKE, BC - Two witnesses were traveling back home to Houston, British Columbia on November 16, 2003, after taking a two week holiday. They were climbing a small hill on Highway #16 just before Babine Forest Products when they both noticed a red ball of light ahead of them, dropping on a slight angle from low in the sky at 7:30 p.m. The witnesses said, the object, what ever it was seem to come down just on the other side of the hill they were climbing. Also, what they found to be strange was just after they lost sight of the red ball of light behind the hill,

all of a sudden at the crest of the grade, on the highway, the whole area was glowing a bright reddish color. They reported that the tops of the trees were also bathed in a reddish glow. From their vantage point they could not see anything as they had not arrived at the top of the hill. They were only a few hundred yards away from reaching the top and from this location they should have been able to see what was causing this reddish glow. Just before they reached the top the light went out. The couple slowed down and kept their eyes open to maybe catch sight of it again somewhere just off the highway. But nothing could be observed. No vehicles were seen driving along the highway ahead of them. Thanks to Brian Vike, CANADA HBCC Research 1 866 262 1989 hotline

UK/England - Five Intensely Bright Flashing Egg Lights.

NOTTINGHAM - Whilst traveling north bound along the M1 motorway, five witnesses noticed a bright flashing light high in the sky at 12:25 PM. They saw a large bright white light flashing on and off at a slow pace on November 20, 2003. This continued every few seconds and when the light disappeared nothing could be seen even though it was a bright sunny day. We were all amazed and confused to what it could be. The light was intense. After about one minute and in the same direction other bright flashing lights appeared as if they were communicating with each other. We all agreed there were at least four lights maybe five. We continued to travel along the motorway and opened the car windows for a better look. We noted other motorists looking at the same thing. The lights continued for about four or five minutes. Before the lights disappeared, I noted one of them move to the 2 o'clock direction stretching as it did before disappearing. Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

India -UFO Seen In Last Full Moon

MUMBAI =96 On November 30, 2003?, the observer saw a sort of UFO during the last full moon. At nearly 2:00 AM, the witness states, "I went to my balcony to see the stars (as its my hobby to watch stars). A bright star attracted me that was the brightest star in the sky. I never saw such bright star. Its direction was- towards Bandraside and it was just a bright light white and slight yellow without any blink or flash. I kept staring at it and gradually there was an increase in its size, and within 5-10 seconds, I realized that as it increased in its size there was a very fast circular motion of the light. It was like a ball burning in flames and is revolving very fast in circular motion. It remained for a few more seconds and then minimized and vanished. Thanks to Peter Davenport UFOcenter

Australia - Formation Of Discs Overhead

MELBOURNE =96 The witnesses were at a party and decided to go for a walk down the foreshore nature trail on December 1, 2003. At 4:24 PM, we were about ten minutes into the trip and we were thinking about heading back and turned around when one of my friends yelled out, "Hey, what the hell is that?" There were a few screams from the females, but then we settled down and stood there in amazement as these nimble crafts floated 800 to 900 meters above our heads. They were disc shaped objects that seemed to be able to move in any direction and flew about in a pattern. They had no reliance on the winds like the planes of today. We were all frightened and we admitted afterwards that it was a terrifying experience.

The Netherlands =96 Twenty UFO Reports in 24 Hour Flap

Hello George, Just to let you know that we received over 20 UFO sighting reports in the last 24 hours (Dec. 10-11) throughout the whole country and they just keep coming! I don't have time at the moment to translate them all, but I'll keep you informed... Met Vriendelijke Groet / Kind Regards, Toine Trust
Editor UFOPlaza Nieuwsbrief site: www.UFOPlaza.nl

mail: ganzEgal@UFOPlaza.nl

I Need Your Help

Dear Readers - Filer's Files has been brought to you free for seven years on a weekly basis. As of January 1, 2003, I'm

requesting a donation of \$2 a month or \$24 per year to enable me to continue with Filer's Files. This will hopefully cover my present operating expenses. Without donations, I will be forced to discontinue these files and the website.

Many thanks for the ten donations of those who have already helped. They are much appreciated. Your donations can be sent to: www.GeorgeFiler.com Paypal, Visa, American Express, and Master charge are accepted.

New Book - Global Implications of the UFO Reality

David E. Twichell writes: "How will humankind react when full disclosure of the alien presence in our world is finally released? Why has official secrecy been perpetuated for so many years? Is the UFO phenomenon a modern myth or have they always been with us? What are the credentials of those who insist "we are not alone in the universe." These and many other provocative questions are addressed. Chilling scientific evidence demonstrates the urgency for full disclosure =96 NOW! On that historic day, there is no element of the human experience that will remain unaffected. Go to: www.ufoimplications.com to read an excerpt from the book and ordering instructions.

WHAT YOU SHOULD KNOW WHEN BUYING/SELLING REAL ESTATE! Get your free report and learn how you can obtain the best real estate agent to help your buy or sell a home. To get a free copy of this report e-mail me at: Majorstar @ aol.com

Cognigen - is a leading global provider of discount telecom services that has the best rates in the industry. Long distance rates from 3.4 cents per minute, T-1s, calling cards, computers, and zero cents per minute for unlimited local and long distance calls for only \$29.99 per month. Check it out at:

<http://ld.net/?georgefiler>

MUFON UFO JOURNAL - For more detailed monthly investigative reports subscribe to the MUFON JOURNAL. A MUFON membership includes the Journal and costs only \$35.00 per year. To join MUFON or to report a UFO go to <http://www.mufon.com/>. To ask questions contact MUFONHQ@aol.com or HQ@mufon.com. Mention that I recommended you for membership. "The MUFON Journal is now accepting qualified advertising, please call 1 (303) 932-7709 for more information."

Filer's Files is copyrighted 2003 by George A. Filer, all rights reserved. Readers may post the COMPLETE files on their Web Sites if they credit the Newsletter and its editor by name and list the date of issue. These reports and comments are not necessarily the OFFICIAL MUFON viewpoint. Send your letters to Majorstar@aol.com. Sending mail automatically grants permission for us to publish and use your name. Please state if you wish to keep your name or e-mail confidential. CAUTION, MOST OF THESE ARE INITIAL REPORTS AND REQUIRE FURTHER INVESTIGATION.

George A. Filer www.GeorgeFiler.com/

Search for other documents from or mentioning: [hq](#)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Pravda - 09-00 To 06-01 US Fighters Scrambled 67

From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:52:24 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 10:08:22 -0500
Subject: Pravda - 09-00 To 06-01 US Fighters Scrambled 67

Source: Pravda

<http://engforum.pravda.ru/showthread.php3?s=&threadid=47676>

12-10-03

Between September 2000 and June 2001, US fighters launched 67 times to escort aircraft.

It happens all the time. When a small private plane recently entered the 23-mile restricted ring around the U.S. Capitol, two F-16 interceptors were immediately launched from Andrews Air Force Base, just 10 miles away. In a similar episode, a pair of F-16 "Fighting Falcons" on 15-minute strip alert was airborne from Andrews just 11 minutes after being notified by the North American Aerospace Defense Command (NORAD) of a Cessna straying towards the White House. [AP Nov11/03; CNN June20/02]

These were well-practiced routines. With more than 4,500 aircraft continuously sharing U.S. airspace, between September 2000 and June 2001 the Pentagon launched fighters on 67 occasions to escort wayward aircraft. [FAA news release Aug/9/02; AP Aug13/02]

But on Sept 11, 2001, NORAD and the FAA ignored routine procedures and strict regulations. In response to a national emergency involving hijacked airliners as dangerous as cruise missiles, interceptors launched late from distant bases flew to defend their nation at a fraction of their top speeds. [NORAD news release Sept. 18/01]

WHAT NORAD KNEW A recently resurfaced NORAD news bulletin released seven days after Sept. 11 explains that America's aerial defenders were slow to counter rapidly developing air attacks because they didn't hear from the FAA that American Airlines Flight 11 had been hijacked until 8:40 that fateful morning. [NORAD news release Sept. 18/01]

But at the National Military Command Center (NMCC) in the basement of the Pentagon, Air Force staff officers monitoring every inch of airspace over the northeastern seaboard would have caught that first hijacking when Flight 11's identification transponder stopped transmitting at 8:20 - automatically triggering a radar alarm.

With their capability to monitor developing "situations" by tapping into military and civilian radars, U.S. military commanders would have also seen Flight 175 turn abruptly south 25 minutes later - just as they had watched on radar in October 1999 when pro golfer Payne Stewart's Learjet abruptly departed its flight path while enroute o Dallas. [CNN Oct26/1999]

In that legendary intercept, a fighter jet out of Tyndall, Florida was diverted from a training flight to escort the Lear, whose pilot had become incapacitated, trapping Stewart in the stratosphere. An F-16 was reportedly sitting off the left wingtip of Payne's pilotless business jet within 19 minutes of the FAA alert. [ABC News Oct25/99]

If NORAD had been as quick to scramble or divert airborne fighters on Sept. 11, two "anti-terrorist" F-15's on armed alert could have been sent south from Otis Air Force Base on Cape Cod. Flying at full afterburners without edging over the Atlantic to disperse their sonic footprint, two of the fastest fighters on the planet would have broken a few windows. But all the glass in the Twin Towers might have stayed intact had the "fast-movers" intercepted Flight 11 over the Hudson Rive at least six minutes from Manhattan.

NO HURRY SAYS NORAD Instead, in a stunning admission that received little press scrutiny at the time, NORAD noted that for all interceptions flown against the hijackers on Sept. 11, "Flight times are calculated at 9 miles per minute or .9 Mach." In other words, every interception flown by the world's hottest air-combat aircraft was flown at less than a third of the planes' top speed.

A Defense Department manual insists, "In the event of a hijacking, the NMCC will be notified by the most expeditious means by the FAA." To make this happen, the Federal Aviation Administration permanently posts a liaison officer in the Pentagon air defense room. [CJCSI 3610.01A, June1/01]

Yet, according to NORAD, after air traffic controllers realized that Flight 11 had been hijacked, 38 vital minutes passed before a pair of F-15's were scrambled from Otis. As they lifted off, American Airlines Flight 11 struck the North Tower of the World Trade Center, 153 air miles away as a Falcon flies. [NORAD Sept. 18/01]

United Airlines Flight 175 was still 20 minutes out.

"The F-15 pilots flew 'like a scalded ape, topping 500 mph but were unable to catch up to the airliner," Maj. Gen. Paul Weaver later told reporters. [St. Augustine Times Sept16/01]

Scalded apes? Airliners fly at 500 mph. An F-15 can fly almost four-times faster.

STEP ON IT One of the Otis intercept pilots dubbed "Duff", later lamented: "We've been over the flight a thousand times in our minds and I don't know what we could have done to get there any quicker."

For starters, he and his wingman could have tried pushing their twin throttles fully forward. Instead of flying two-and-a-half times faster than a bullet, "Nasty" and "Duff" drove their expensive air superiority fighters at a leisurely 447-mph - supposedly to intercept a Boeing 767 flying 43 mph faster! Utilizing only 27% power, the F-15's were "eight minutes/71 miles" away, according to NORAD, when Flight 175 struck the South Tower with 56 souls and more than ten tons of fuel onboard. [Christian Science Monitor Mar8/02]

HONOR THE THREAT With both Trade Towers burning, and hijacked United Flight 93 shadowed by a circling F-16 over Pennsylvania, American Airlines Flight 77 was the only threat left in the sky. When that Boeing 757 silenced its transponder signal, made a U-turn over Kentucky and headed directly for the White House and the Pentagon, one billion viewers riveted to the big networks knew this was a kamikaze run. [Telegraph Sept13/01]

With no other bogeys on eastern seaboard scopes, air combat doctrine dictates that the two unemployed Otis F-15s already in the area be redirected to "honor the threat" of an incoming flying bomb, 330 miles out. Even loafing along, the fighters would have more than 20 minutes to confront Flight 77 before it neared the Pentagon.

Instead, Pentagon professionals defending their country's nerve centers waited more than an hour after watching Flight 11 go rogue - including 30 critical minutes after Flight 77 turned abruptly toward them and the nearby White House - before scrambling two F-16's out of Langley Air Force Base to protect the capitol.

Nearly half-an-hour after receiving the belated order to scramble, two Falcons coasted in over the burning Pentagon. Slowed down to just 410 mph, it had taken the 1,500 mph-capable

fighters 19 minutes to cover the 130 miles from Virginia. It should have taken just over seven minutes to reach the Pentagon - at about the time Flight 77 was making a predatory circle overhead. [NORAD Sept18/01; USAF]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 11:23:49 EST
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 09:56:44 -0500
Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:57:02 -0500
>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

<snip>

>I don't think mentioning anybody's name, Jesus or whoever,
>would have stopped what happened to her and her mom that night.
>Ditto for me and for many other cases I can quote from.

>Let's make a distinction between those who are 'imagining' they
>are being abducted and those who are ACTUALLY being abducted and
>this thread will suddenly begin to make some sense. I resent the
>lack of distinction between the two here in this thread.

John, it would be of interest to know religious backgrounds of
abductees. Has anyone got stats on this?

Best,
Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy - Stanford

From: Ray Stanford <dinotracker@earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 11:51:35 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 10:46:44 -0500
Subject: Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy - Stanford

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99@hotmail.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 22:22:14 +0000
>Subject: Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy

>>From: Lan Fleming <lfleming5@houston.rr.com>
>>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 09:42:02 -0600
>>Subject: Re: There's A Hole In My Philosophy

<snip>

>>However, Grinspoon may have been wrong when he assumed that no
>>evidence has been found of footprints associated with UFO cases.
>>There's at least one. According to Ray Stanford's book, there
>>were two sets of footprints found at the Socorro landing site
>>near the much deeper indentations that appeared to have been
>>made by a heavy object. Maybe the "visitors" were so startled by
>>Lonnie Zamora's appearance that they forgot to erase their
>>tracks before leaving as required by the Prime Directive.

>Listers,

>You do not need to reference Ray Stanfoird's severely flawed
>book about Socorro (as I have repeatedly said, his depiction of
>me in it borders on libelous and is totally unfounded) to
>document the "footprints". That is what they are called in the
>Air Force file on the case. See The UFO Evidence , Vol. II, for
>details.

List,

Please notice that Lan Fleming didn't even so much as utter a
whispered mention of the Goddard episode in his reference to my
book. Sorry, List members who are tired of hearing Dick's
unsolicited comments on my book, or my response to them.

So, I shall be brief, but because of Dick's disparaging comment
about my Socorro book, I trust it is acceptable to mention to
this List that the book was highly praised in letters to me by
Hynek, John Schuessler, and other major scientists and engineers
in UFO studies and outside.

Would they have done that if it is seriously flawed as Hall
alleges?

I think a chemist like P.E. Smith (who, early-on, told me the
analytical "mistake" claimed by the Goddard people was
impossible, as reported in my book), a physicist-astronomer like
Hynek, or an aerospace engineer like John Schuessler are in a
better position to comment on whether the claimed analysis
mistake could really have occurred than is a philosophy major-
UFO historian like Hall. I don't mean that as any negative
reflection on Hall, but simply something to be considered when
we examine the professional qualifications that might reinforce
the reliability a person's comments on the question of an
analytical cover-up or mistake.

"Totally unfounded..." Dick Hall said! It's nice to know he has a sense of humor! :)

Hynek, in fact, donated a copy of the book Hall so disparages to the technical library of NASA's Johnson Space Center, as reported to me in a note from John Schuessler, soon after the book was published. Hynek and Schuessler had read it, of course, and the library donation occurred immediately after Hynek had visited my project's headquarters in Austin and our 400-acre two-laboratory facility northwest of Austin in the hill country, with Hynek praising the book profusely as we drove out to the lab site one night, aside from what he wrote, intended for use in promoting the book.

But, it is the season of good cheer, so here's my holiday gift to Dick: For the record, let me make it perfectly clear that I certainly don't think he was behind the Goddard cover-up, but only commented (in the book) in disappointment that Dick (or NICAP) did not follow-up on the cover-up at Goddard by consulting at least one expert in analysis as I had immediately done, and subsequently did several times over the years.

Subsequent to publication of the Socorro book, when word got out that the CIA had sought a security clearance-check on Hall when he worked for NICAP, I at times frankly wondered whether Hall might have been knowingly involved in a cover-up of the metallic evidence in the Socorro case, but let me make this clear: I now think that Dick has been exonerated of any such suspicion by me or anyone else who wants to be realistic. Part of my reason for saying so is due to things revealed about Hall by Ann Druffel's recent book on Jim McDonald, entitled FIRESTORM.

Not long ago, I offered List members a brand new, hard-cover, first edition, free copy of my book to anyone who would send me the cost of the book-mailing envelope and postage. The time limit for that offer is past, and because of the busy line at the post office now, I shall not repeat it, but will only mention that I probably heard back from most or all of those of you who read it, and those recipients who reported their reaction to it had nothing but praise, including a friend of Dick. Not one of you declared it to be "severely flawed" as Dick claimed the book to be in his post today.

Also, let me add that nothing I said in the book about Dick Hall bordered on liable, as his post of today claims. The fact is that before publication of the book, at the publisher's suggestion, I had two different lawyer friends read it to make sure I was not setting myself up for a lawsuit by anyone involved in the Goddard affair. I was assured by both lawyers that the book is in no way libelous or slanderous of anyone. In fact, both assured me the book is quite clear of being anywhere nearly libelous or slanderous.

But, coincidentally, both lawyers made the exact same comment after reading the book, "Ray, you missed your 'calling'. You should have been a lawyer!"

Judging from Dick Hall's past comments, he might choose to think that comment was based on my character. :)

I prefer to think it was based on thoroughness, factuality, and presentation.

That said, I rest my case.

Ray Stanford

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

NASA Spacecraft To Explore Jupiter Moons

From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:57:21 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 10:41:43 -0500
Subject: NASA Spacecraft To Explore Jupiter Moons

Source: Yahoo News

http://story.news.yahoo.com/news?tmpl=story&cid=624&ncid=753&e=10&u=/ap/20031209/ap_on_sc/icy_moons

Tue Dec 9, 8:37 AM ET

NASA Spacecraft to Explore Jupiter Moons

By Andrew Bridges, AP Science Writer

SAN FRANCISCO - NASA (news - web sites) plans to dispatch a hulking nuclear-powered spacecraft to determine whether three of Jupiter's icy, planet-sized moons have the potential to harbor life.

The Jupiter Icy Moons Orbiter, or Jimo, would spend monthlong stints circling the moons Callisto, Europa and Ganymede, which are believed to have vast oceans tucked beneath thick covers of ice.

The unmanned craft, far larger and more powerful than any other sent to explore the outer solar system, would spend years studying the moons' makeup, geologic history and potential for sustaining life, as well as Jupiter itself.

Besides water, the moons appear to contain two other ingredients necessary for life: energy and the right chemicals. Along with Mars, they are considered the most likely places to have extraterrestrial life within our solar system.

"We don't know if life is there. But this mission will allow to ask that question with some pretty sound tools," said Christopher McKay of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration's Ames Research Center.

Jimo won't launch until at least 2011. On Monday, scientists at the fall meeting of the American Geophysical Union briefed reporters on the mission's progress.

The spacecraft would be the first in a series of robotic NASA probes that rely on uranium-fueled fission reactors to generate large amounts of electricity. While probes such as Galileo and Cassini have made do with hundreds of watts of electricity, Jimo might have thousands of watts to power its thrusters and instruments, said Torrence Johnson of NASA's Jet Propulsion Laboratory.

The reactor conceivably could produce enough electricity to power several U.S. homes. That could provide Jimo a hundredfold boost over previous missions in the amount of data it would be able to beam back to Earth.

Jimo would carry high-resolution cameras and other instruments, including radar and lasers to map the thickness and elevation of the ice that envelops each moon.

Scientists are keen to study the Jovian system because of its complexity. The planet and its stable of moons represent, in

many ways, a miniature solar system.

"These are worlds in their own right," said Ron Greeley, of Arizona State University, Tempe.

The spacecraft is envisioned as being 60 to 100 feet in length. Early conceptions place its nuclear reactor at the end of a boom to shield the scientific instruments from radiation.

Jimo also would bristle with fins to dissipate the intense heat from its reactor.

NASA is expected to begin stepping up use of nuclear power in its exploration of the solar system, including Mars. There, it could power rovers capable of roaming the planet for years at a time.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Colorful Geminid Meteor Shower Comes This Weekend

From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 09:05:26 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 11:15:44 -0500
Subject: Colorful Geminid Meteor Shower Comes This Weekend

Source: Atlantic City Press

<http://www.pressofatlanticcity.com/news/columns/120903SCHAAF.html>

December 9, 2003

Colorful Geminid meteor shower comes this weekend

By Fred Schaaf For The Press

Now is the season for giving gifts. Weather permitting, the heavens themselves will be giving all of us a wonderful present this weekend - the Geminid meteor shower.

Meteors - also called "shooting stars" and "falling stars" - are the streaks of light caused when a piece of space rock or dust hurtles into our atmosphere at speeds as fast as 140,000 mph and burns up from friction. Usually the particle burns up completely when it is still at least 50 miles above the Earth's surface - only one meteor in many millions reaches the ground to become a "meteorite."

On certain nights each year, Earth passes near the dust-strewn orbits of old comets. On those nights, we see a meteor shower. A meteor shower is an enhanced number of meteors all seeming to shoot out from a particular spot among the constellations, a spot called a "radiant."

This weekend, the big shower has its radiant in the constellation Gemini the Twins, so its meteors are called the Geminids, or offspring of Gemini.

Why do the meteors in a shower all appear to emanate from one part of the sky, even though the particles enter our atmosphere on more or less parallel paths? It is really just an effect of perspective that we get whenever we view parallel things coming to us from a distance.

Other examples include railroad rails seeming to diverge from a point in the distance and snowflakes seeming to diverge from a point ahead us when we drive through a snowstorm.

Let's hope there are no snowstorms Saturday or Sunday night. The greatest number of Geminids would usually be seen in the middle of the night, but a very bright moon rises around 9 p.m. Saturday and 10 p.m. Sunday to greatly reduce the number of visible Geminids.

However, quite a few Geminids will be visible earlier each evening. If the sky is very clear and you are many miles from city lights, you might see about 20 Geminids in the final hour before moonrise. Better yet, many Geminids are bright and colorful. Some give us bursts and explosions (these are called bolides) and you might see a Geminid "fireball," a meteor brighter than Venus.

By the way, between 6 and 7 p.m., we might also be treated to a few Geminid "earthgrazers." Earthgrazers are usually bright and long-pathed meteors that occur when the radiant of a meteor

shower is rising.

Later on Saturday and Sunday evening, you can try counting how many Geminids you see in an hour or half-hour (it's fun to do this with a friend, but make certain each person keeps a separate tally).

Geminids may appear anywhere in the sky. But their paths will all point back toward the radiant in Gemini, located increasingly high in the east during the evening. Count separately any meteors you see come from other directions.

Remember to dress as warm as you possibly can. City dwellers will see far fewer total meteors but could still catch the bright ones. Write to me if you observe the Geminids.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: Secret KGB UFO Files - Martinho

From: Jeferson Martinho <jeff@vigilia.com.br>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 15:19:28 -0200
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 12:10:05 -0500
Subject: Re: Secret KGB UFO Files - Martinho

>From: Sergej Dubrovin <dubrovinsergej@hotmail.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 14:13:20 +0100
>Subject: Re: Secret KGB UFO Files

>>From: Thierry Jonnaert <thierry.jonnaert@pandora.be>
>>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Mon, 8 Dec 2003 01:40:16 +0100
>>Subject: Secret KGB UFO Files

>>More interesting information about the secret KGB UFO files:

>><http://www.para-normal.com/nuke/html/modules.php?name=Content&pa=showpage&pid=48>

>More about these 'secret' files:

><http://borshurinov.narod.ru/ufindex.htm>

><http://borshurinov.narod.ru/uftnt/tnt2.htm>

><http://borshurinov.narod.ru/uftnt3-4/tnt3-4.htm>

><http://borshurinov.narod.ru/uftnt3-4/tnt3-4.htm>

>Hoaxes without any doubt.

Hi Folks!

I have an Internet Portal about Ufology here in Brazil, a pioneer and one of the most respected, because of our journalistic approach:

<http://www.vigilia.com.br>

When the 'Secret KGB UFO Files' was aired, we conducted real, independent, analysis. We had those images, examined, in the same room, at the same time, by an expert video producer (who gave us support with his hi-tech equipment and great experience in production of commercial and institutional films), a specialist from the Brazilian National Institute for the Research of the Space (INPE), and a journalist the late Eduardo Castor, author of columns in some of most important periodicals in Brazil and an expert in the Russian language.

This gathering fully deconstructed the footage, showing camera inconsistencies (like a shadow of a modern camera!) and other problems.....

The report is here:

<http://www.vigilia.com.br/sessao.php?categ=0&id=215>

Unfortunately, we only have this text in Portuguese. However, if some of our colleagues care to use an on-line translator they can get a good idea of the content.

Best regards,

Jeferson Martinho
Portal/Revista Vigilia - Editor
<http://www.vigilia.com.br>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Velez

From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 13:05:08 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 12:21:20 -0500
Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Velez

>From: Royce J. Myers III <ufowatchdog@msn.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 22:05:52 -0800
>Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03

>>From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
>>Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 16:05:18 -0500
>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03

>>>From: Royce J. Myers III <ufowatchdog@msn.com>
>>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>>Date: Sun, 07 Dec 2003 23:54:23 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03

>>>>It has been some time since I have posted to UpDates, but I just
>>>>couldn't pass this one up. I don't intend to make a habit of
>>>>posting, but here are a few things that you might want to see:

>>>><http://www.rense.com/general45/stan.htm>

>>>><http://www.rense.com/general45/warn.htm>

>>>>The Stan Romanek case is another topic all together...

>>>>As for Maussan having credibility as a jouranlist/investigator,
>>>>it is my opinion he is just one more in a lump of UFO clowns
>>>>that rehash other people's material and make sensationalist and
>>>>unverifiable claims.

>Hope all is well and, as always, appreciate your two-cents.

>>Nobody, and I mean nobody, is 100% spot-on all the time Royce.
>>You have to be very careful about completely dismissing Maussan
>>because more than most of those categorized as 'bad-guys,' it is
>>a genuine instance of throwing out the baby with the bath-water
>>in his case.

Hiya Royce,

You responded.

>Absolutely - no one is ever 100% all of the time, no argument to
>make there. Everyone makes mistakes. But what about when someone
>is consistently not 'spot-on'? Let's see...

It's a difficult situation I find myself in. I have asked for
advice from one of my mentors about how best to handle this.
There is solid material here that should not go by-the-boards.
By completely dismissing Maussan the good material gets tossed
into the trash heap along with the rest mislabelled as
'unreliable' solely because of the source. Not based on its own
merits. There's the rub.

>>You said so yourself, Maussan has some legitimate footage and
>>has gathered information on some legitimate UFO cases. An
>>example of which is the one I am currently working with him on,
>>an commercial aircraft and a 'UFO' collision case that happened
>>back in '94 at Mexico City International. The reporting
>>witnesses in that case are the commercial pilot of the plane and

>>the ATC (air traffic controller) who had to quickly arrange for
>>an emergency landing of that passenger laden jet.

>Is this the one the Elders' 'docu-drama' videos? Is it the same
>case he claimed to have radar tapes for? And yes, Maussan
>appears to possess some legitimate UFO footage, but that really
>isn't a card to Pass Go And Collect \$200.

No, of course not. But as I mentioned above, dismissing Maussan
dooms the good material to dismissal as well. It is, in fact,
what has happened with all of it. I'm not going to nominate
Maussan for "most reliable" ufologist of the decade, but it
pains me to think how much is being lost because people
automatically write off everything that comes from him.

It's not an easy nut to crack.

>We all don't want to throw out the baby with the bath water, but
>sometimes you actually have to have a baby in the tub first.
>Again, it comes down to a factor of credibility and how many
>times someone has cried wolf.

See! That's the kind of thinking I'm talking about. Let's say
that 'cry' number four (as in the original story) is
legitimate. As responsible people, are we to allow the wolf to
simply eat the child? That's what I meant by... "we need to
consider the material he presents on a case by case basis."
Otherwise we risk losing valuable material along the way. As it
is, because of his reputation in North-America, much has been
lost because anything that comes from Maussan is generally
dismissed out of hand.

>John, there's simply no way we're going to agree on Maussan. My
>past experience with him and his track record speaks for itself.
>Thanks for your view.

You're welcome. I hope you can at least appreciate where I'm
coming from though. This airline collision case is one I plan to
follow-up regardless of Maussan's reputation. We have a pilot
who is willing to testify, an air traffic controller, and a
damaged commercial jet. I don't care how flakey Maussan may be,
if you put him aside and just look at the witnesses reporting
this incident, you have something solid and meaty to sink your
ufological teeth into. There has to be a paper-trail ten miles
long stemming from this incident. I want to make sure that
somebody looks into this who can actually do something with the
material. So far, it's all been ignored. Probably because of
Maussan's connection to it. That's a shame man. Shouldn't be
that way.

Warm regards,

John

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs -

From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 10:18:33 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 12:24:17 -0500
Subject: Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs -

>From: Don Ledger <dledger@ns.sympatico.ca>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Sun, 07 Dec 2003 22:29:48 -0400
>Subject: Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs

<snip>

>If indeed there was some connection with Donovan to some
>classified project concerning UFOs both during or following the
>war, I can't see Stephenson not being in that loop, first with
>the BSI at the time and of course Canadian intelligence. It's
>interesting to note as well that Stephenson was the only non-
>American to receive an award from the CIA back in [I believe-
>my records/references are in storage] 1970. I've always been
>fascinated by what intelligence connections there had to be
>between the US and Canada both during the war and after due to
>the "Intrepid"/Donovan connection. And how little is known about
>them.

Good Day Don & The Gang,

I have always been fascinated by the "Truman era" and the
"emergence of the intelligence community" alone, and doubly so
in relationship to the UFO phenomenon.

It's no secret that during the UFO flap of 1947, "Russians" was
one of the first words uttered out of Military Intelligence.
Some may say that given the validity of what crashed near
Roswell, and if earlier similar instances took place, e.g.,
Cape Girardeau, The Battle of LA etc., why then would we "look
at Russia" at all given the obvious "nonterrestrial origin" of
said craft?

My theory is that before 1947, before a civilian "Intelligence
Agency," before a "common analyzation point" the separate
"military intelligence units" e.g., G-2, ONI, CIC etc., were
like "puppies at the food dish!" Intelligence wasn't "easily
shared."

Nam et ipsa scientia potestas est - Knowledge is power! Some
would ask, "how can this be given the importance of various
intelligence matters?" The answer is "politics!" Presidents and
administrations come and go - they are only temporary renters of
the "White House." (Many in the military and or intelligence
agencies go through several administrations). The afore
mentioned separate MI units seemed to be very competitive.
Though the FBI isn't an "intelligence agency" by mandate, they
have, and did perform intelligence work, particularly doing the
war; in reading many of the "declassified FBI docs" one can
easily see the "competitive, protective and almost arrogant
attitude that Hoover and his cronies had.

All that said it doesn't surprise me, That the "powers-that-be"
at the time, weren't completely "in the know." So exploring "the
Russian connection" in regards to all the "unconventional craft"
flying all over the country seemed prevalent. Moreover, for
those that did "know the whole picture," the next "logical
question" in my humble opinion, is, "if these "flying discs"

that are ET spacecraft are falling out of the sky in this country, are they falling over the Soviet Union as well?" If so, and the odds are likely (from an intelligence point of view) since the "flying discs" were being reported all over the world, then do the Russians have one in their possession, and how long have they? What will the repercussions be in terms of Soviet Technological advancement? Are the Soviets talking to our visitors? Are the visitors making friends with our enemy? Etc., etc.

Now, from that point of view - it seems that "obtaining 'intelligence' on Russia" would have been imperative. Quite frankly, after the war, intelligence on Russia was slim pickens; therefore the most data would have been "from the war," and the best source of course was the OSS a la "Wild Bill Donovan!"

It seems only natural to me that he would be a member of a secret committee in regards to gathering "intelligence" on Russia; and the other scientific members, i.e., Bush and Compton validates, in my mind, the UFO involvement.

Since Donovan made a habit about procuring "old friends and assets" it would be no surprise to me that "Stephenson" would have been tapped for assistance. Again, let's not forget that UFO's did not restrict their flight over this country. If they didn't have a downed craft in their possession, the Brits as well as Canadians would naturally assume these craft were either ours or belonging to the Russians - but their questions and the need for intelligence would be the same.

Regards,

Frank Warren

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs -

From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 10:20:07 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 12:30:09 -0500
Subject: Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs -

>From: Don Ledger <dledger@ns.sympatico.ca>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Sun, 07 Dec 2003 22:29:48 -0400
>Subject: Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs

>>From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Fri, 05 Dec 2003 15:34:59 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Wild Bill Donovan, Vannevar Bush & UFOs

<snip>

>>There is of course mention of the infamous "Intrepid" in
>>Brown's book, but I personally haven't seen anything past that.
>>(Yet).

>Thank you Frank,

>If indeed there was some connection with Donovan to some
>classified project concerning UFOs both during or following the
>war, I can't see Stephenson not being in that loop, first with
>the BSI at the time and of course Canadian intelligence. It's
>interesting to note as well that Stephenson was the only non-
>American to receive an award from the CIA back in [I believe-my
>records/references are in storage] 1970. I've always been
>fascinated by what intelligence connections there had to be
>between the US and Canada both during the war and after due to
>the "Intrepid"/Donovan connection. And how little is known bout
>them.

>Best,

>Don Ledger

Good Day Don & The Gang,

I have always been fascinated by the "Truman era" and the
"emergence of the intelligence community" alone, and doubly so
in relationship to the UFO phenomenon.

It's no secret that during the UFO flap of 1947, "Russians" was
one of the first words uttered out of Military Intelligence.
Some may say that given the validity of what crashed near
Roswell, and if earlier similar instances took place, e.g., Cape
Girardeau, The Battle of LA etc., why then would we "look at
Russia" at all given the obvious "nonterrestrial origin" of said
craft?

My theory is that before 1947, before a civilian "Intelligence
Agency," before a "common analyzation point" the separate
"military intelligence units" e.g., G-2, ONI, CIC etc., were
like "puppies at the food dish!" Intelligence wasn't "easily
shared." Nam et ipsa scientia potestas est--Knowledge is power!
Some would ask, "how can this be given the importance of various
intelligence matters?" The answer is "politics!" Presidents and
administrations come and go--they are only temporary renters of
the "White House." (Many in the military and or intelligence
agencies go through several administrations). The afore
mentioned separate MI units seemed to be very competitive.

Though the FBI isn't an "intelligence agency" by mandate, they have, and did perform intelligence work, particularly doing the war; in reading many of the "declassified FBI docs" one can easily see the "competitive, protective and almost arrogant attitude that Hoover and his cronies had.

All that said it doesn't surprise me, That the "powers-that-be" at the time, weren't completely "in the know." So exploring "the Russian connection" in regards to all the "unconventional craft" flying all over the country seemed prevalent. Moreover, for those that did "know the whole picture," the next "logical question" in my humble opinion, is, "if these "flying discs" that are ET spacecraft are falling out of the sky in this country, are they falling over the Soviet Union as well?" If so, and the odds are likely (from an intelligence point of view) since the "flying discs" were being reported all over the world, then do the Russians have one in their possession, and how long have they? What will the repercussions be in terms of Soviet Technological advancement? Are the Soviets talking to our visitors? Are the visitors making friends with our enemy? Etc., etc.

Now, from that point of view--it seems that "obtaining 'intelligence' on Russia" would have been imperative. Quite frankly, after the war, intelligence on Russia was slim pickens; therefore the most data would have been "from the war," and the best source of course was the OSS a la "Wild Bill Donovan!"

It seems only natural to me that he would be a member of a secret committee in regards to gathering "intelligence" on Russia; and the other scientific members, i.e., Bush and Compton validates, in my mind, the UFO involvement.

Since Donovan made a habit about procuring "old friends and assets" it would be no surprise to me that "Stephenson" would have been tapped for assistance. Again, let's not forget that UFO's did not restrict their flight over this country. If they didn't have a downed craft in their possession, the Brits as well as Canadians would naturally assume these craft were either ours or belonging to the Russians--but their questions and the need for intelligence would be the same.

Regards,

Frank Warren

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: UFOs Inter-Dimensional - Wilson

From: Katharina Wilson <K.Wilson@alienjigsaw.com>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 15:40:02 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 12:33:41 -0500
Subject: Re: UFOs Inter-Dimensional - Wilson

>From: John Pursel <tiroch@sympatico.ca>
>Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 18:43:58 -0500
>Fwd Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:34:11 -0500
>Subject: UFOs Inter-Dimensional

>[New-Subscriber --ebk]

>I have been in and out of UFO since 35 years. Right now I am a
>bit in. Spending my time on NASA probable deceits and Planet-X
>as to if it exist and whether the VLT array ever did look at the
>Moon for Lander artifacts which NASA was to have funded for
>4/'03 look.

>After cruising through the posts I see a lot of conflict and
>dissension. Of course the UFO topic generates this kind of
>dialogue. There are believers, unbelievers and those not sure.

>Well I have decided that UFOs are real. That these materialize
>From another dimension +5. That these vibrate (exist) at a ULF
>or VLF frequency just below visible light and adjust frequency
>when wishing to be visible. That these use a magnetic/anti-
>gravity drive sourcing fuel from our Earth's magnetic net or
>power grids. That non-humans operate the machines. That
>residence is in another universe in Dimension X. That these will
>play with us until the operators/controllers decide it is time
>to get serious.

Welcome John:

Speaking as someone who has had life-long interaction with these
Beings, I agree with your idea that they, or some of them, are
interdimensional. I think it explains why sometimes abductees
can "feel" or "sense" them right next to them, but not actually
see them. My limited knowledge of physics tells me that we exist
at one frequency and if someone else is existing at another,
perhaps higher frequency, you will not be able to see them.

>Meanwhile we argue, agree, write, talk and suppose until an
>event happens that the Government cannot hide. Why ever is it
>that no event has ever been able to get around the Government
>and be believed by the masses as really, really real? Why is
>that? The media? So-called scientific experts trashing it?
>Politicians out of the loop? What?

I think the masses have been, for lack of better terms,
manipulated, lied to and conditioned by our government and the
media, not to easily accept or believe that anything other than
what they can see, feel, touch and dissect is real. Our
Government has a long history of both ridiculing this subject
and covering it up.

One example comes to my mind:

When there is a "blatant" sighting and many people witness it,
suddenly the military decides to go to the same exact area and
put on a flare show or fly one of their billion dollar planes in
the area the next night or shortly thereafter:

"Oh - it was us all the time." That is one way to "hide" or manipulate a true sighting that a lot of people might witness.

It will take something much larger than a sighting in one city to convince people. Perhaps simultaneous sightings worldwide of the same type of craft being sighted for an extended period of time? That might get it through people's minds that this is real.

Of course, everyone in the World would then know that "they" are "real" except people in the United States. Most of our media is "owned" by businesses who control and censor content and / or work for / with the U.S. Government.

Do you really believe that (CNN) "Censorship News Network" or (FOX) "Fascist Oxymoron Xenophobes" would actually air video of a known alien craft?

Hummmm..... <smiles>

Katharina Wilson

<http://www.alienjigsaw.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: Trindade Material - Part III - Harney

From: John Harney <magonia@harneyj.freemove.co.uk>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 18:07:13 -0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 12:27:37 -0500
Subject: Re: Trindade Material - Part III - Harney

>From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac@compuserve.com>
>Date: Mon, 8 Dec 2003 15:45:08 -0500
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Subject: Re: Trindade Material - Part III

>>From: John Harney >magonia@harneyj.freemove.co.uk
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Sat, 6 Dec 2003 19:42:18 -0000
>>Subject: Re: Trindade Material - Part III

>I once had a physics professor who said something like, no
>question is a stupid question., Well, strictly true: a question
>is a question. But what about the mental ability of the person
>who poses the question?

>>However, I am informed that work on the photographs and the
>>questions about witnesses (positive or negative) is continuing,
>>so I'll be brief. Petit's account of what Barauna told him does
>>not add anything except confusion.

>It seems to me that the only real complaint Harney might have is
>that, once again, only Barauna is the first-hand source of
>information. Everything Barauna says is "hearsay". Harney's other
>complaints about the testimony actually may increase the
>likelihood that the story Barauna told is true.

So at least the fact that nearly all of the testimony about
Trindade comes indirectly from Barauna is acknowledged to be a
"real complaint". It's at least a start on the way to a sensible
evaluation of the case. It's rather puzzling, though, that
implausible details in Barauna's testimony should be taken to
indicate that his story is true.

So here is another odd detail. Barauna was lying down on the
deck because he wasn't feeling well. In some accounts he was
feeling seasick because the sea was choppy. In Petit's version
his attention was called to the UFO, so he set up his tripod
and camera. Really! Now, I've taken photographs at sea, and
watched others taking photographs at sea, and I've never
encountered anyone attempting to use a tripod. Certainly, on
firm, dry land, they are necessary for taking landscapes or
portraits where slow shutter speeds are used. But on a ship?
Surely, he was having one of his little jokes at Petit's
expense. It's a bit like saying that the crew played snooker in
their off-duty periods.

>>It seems incredible that when
>>Barauna was trying to take his photos he was impeded by the
>>sailors "frantically running about the ship's deck". Really! Was
>>that the state of discipline in the Brazilian Navy in the 1950s?
>>What a rabble, eh? Or is it possible that Barauna was grossly
>>exaggerating for dramatic (or comic?) effect?

>I suppose Harney has no understanding of the possible excitement
>that could occur upon the realization that a really strange
>object was flying by. Certainly sailors could have been running
>about the deck and jostling for viewing position without regard
>for someone trying to take pictures. And Barauna, one may
>imagine, was trying to site through the lens apparatus and not

>simply trying to avoid being bumped by sailors.

Look, this was not a cruise liner - it was a Brazilian Navy training ship. Sailing ships (with auxiliary engines) like the Almirante Saldanha are also used by other navies. They are used to train young recruits in seamanship and discipline, so that they can cope with any emergencies they are likely to encounter at sea. An obvious emergency, for a naval vessel, would be the appearance of an unidentified and possibly hostile aircraft. Yet, when this happens the men on the deck of the Almirante Saldanha just panic (if we believe Barauna). This is not a trivial matter; it must be clarified if we are to take the story seriously.

>Not only that, but when he has got his pictures, the captain
>>orders him to develop the film, after he has finished "trembling
>>all over", despite the fact that, in the disused laboratory the
>>developing tank is broken and the developer and fixer are
>>apparently well past their sell-by dates. So why was the captain
>>apparently prepared to risk having the film ruined instead of
>>having it developed ashore, as was presumably done with all
>>other films taken on the voyage? Was he a bit simple, or
>something?

>This part of the story, although seemingly "illogical" (and
>therefore made up?), could indicate that in the heat of the
>moment the Captain wanted to know immediately what had
>happened.

Captains are not paid to make irrational decisions "in the heat of the moment", they are supposed to make logical and sensible decisions, and the Captain had plenty of time to decide what to do about the photos.

>I suppose the Baruna could have told that captain that there
>were problems but that he thought he could develop the film with
>what was available. We don't know, but I could imagine Baruna
>trying first to develop some other picture to find out if the
>chemicals were good enough.

Why should we imagine anything? Anyway, developing important pictures in a faulty developing tank with outdated chemicals is a stupid thing to do (if it really happened as described).

>>Meanwhile - to add to the element of farce - men were "waiting
>>anxiously" and some were "carrying magnifying glasses" in the
>>hope of examining the negatives. So it seems that, (if we
>>believe the accounts) although Brazilian sailors of the period
>>tended to panic when anything unusual happened, at least they
>>didn't forget to carry their magnifying glasses with them at all
>>times.

>This appears as an exaggeration... but the implication that
>there were lots of men carrying magnifying glasses could be in
>the mind of the reader and not what Baruna meant to imply. As
>little as two men with magnifying glasses would be "men with
>magnifying glasses"... and, of course, they could have passed
>the glasses around to those who wanted to look. The main point
>here seems consistent with the event: there were "men" who
>>wanted to see if the cameraman got pictures that agreed with
>what they saw.

Why didn't the Captain, or one of the officers tell the men to make drawings of what they saw? These could have been filed away and later compared with the photographs when they were eventually developed. Or would that have been too simple?

>>And that's not all. When Barauna got the negatives to his
>>private laboratory, he decided to experiment with an enhancement
>>technique, so he "worked first with the least important
>>negative, the one that shows the UFO at a longer distance". Why
>>didn't he use one that didn't show the UFO at all, which would
>>be even less important?

>Who knows why he didn't? Does the fact that he claimed to have
>tested the photo with the most distant image "prove" that he
>faked the photos... (They were fakes so it wouldn't matter what
>he did to them; but if they were fakes, why weren't they
>perfect? And if they were fakes why did the Captain want to have
>them developed on board? Was BARuna lucky enough to have faked
>what supposedly many men saw fly past the ship?)

What was this "enhancement technique" for negatives anyway? I haven't been able to find any reference to it. And why was Barauna apparently allowed to take the negatives to his laboratory before they could be examined by Navy photographic experts? Barauna also refers to the Navy using "a technical process that can generate a three-dimensional image, which enabled them to determine how far the saucer was at the time it was photographed" (according to Petit). Wow! I'll bet Brad Sparks would like to obtain full details of this technique for his work on these photos.

John Harney

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Researcher Reports More Sightings - 12-10-03

From: **Brian Vike - HBCC UFO** <hbccufo@telus.net>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 16:11:50 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 12:48:36 -0500
Subject: Researcher Reports More Sightings - 12-10-03

Source: The Airdrie Echo - Alberta, Canada

<http://www.airdrieecho.com/story.php?id=3D83255>

December 10, 2003

Researcher Reports More Sightings

Paul Wells
Echo Editor

Airdrie Echo =97 Two recent Airdrie Echo articles on UFO sightings in and around Airdrie continue to spur on other residents to come forward with their stories of strange lights in the sky.

And although he=92s investigated thousands of sighting reports over the years, Canadian UFO researcher Brian Vike says the local response has been somewhat unusual.

"It is really interesting to see what has been coming in from your area," said Vike, director of British Columbia-based HBCC UFO Research. "What is really interesting is that other papers have run articles and I never heard a peep about any sightings coming in, so to me this shows that people are seeing strange things in certain areas, such as yours."

Here are the most recent reports from the Airdrie area that Vike has received.

Airdrie
Date: Sept. 15, 2003
Time: 11:20 p.m.

"The witness=92 e-mail is below: I also called the witness in Airdrie to gather more information on this sighting. My report after talking to her is below also," Vike said.

"A week before the first article came out in the Airdrie Echo newspaper about sightings, I came home from work about 11:20 p.m. and I let the dogs outside and I was also watching a few northern lights off my deck," said the witness in her e-mail. "I live on the east side of Airdrie ... so we have a hill behind my place with a walkway that goes towards the highway. I looked over to my left toward the west =96 and I know what I saw =96 it was a big circle of lights twirling around and hovering on the walkway and then it just went down, not up. I grabbed my dogs and headed into the house. The next week, I read the article in the paper."

Vike said that during his phone conversation with the witness, more information was gathered.

"The witness said it could not have been children twirling lights as, for one thing, the circle of lights was very large in size, plus she could not see anyone in the area," Vike said. She also compared the size to a 10- or 12-foot round C-Band satellite dish. She explained that it looked to her as if it was a ring-shaped object with a lot of white lights running all around the outside of it. She also said it appeared that there

was not just one set of lights, but layers of them.

Airdrie

Date: Early July 2000

Time: Late evening

According to Vike, "A gentleman called to file a report. He first told me he lives in the next town north of Airdrie (Crossfield). Back in the year 2000, he was living in Calgary and they had just purchased a home north of Airdrie. The fellow works evenings, so one night when he finished off his shift at work, he asked a good friend if he wanted to take a drive and have a look at his new home he had purchased. He told me it was a beautiful evening and they decided to take the trip to look at the new home. They headed out, both men discussing the distance they would be travelling from Calgary to the new home. The driver thought they would be travelling some 40 kilometers, but his friend thought it would be a little further than that. The driver hit the button on the odometer, which set it at zero kilometers. This way they would know just how far they were about to travel one way. The two men arrived safely at their destination and had a look around and took some time talking. It was starting to get later into the evening, so they thought it best to get back on the road heading towards home. The passenger in the vehicle mentioned to the driver that he had in-laws living in Airdrie and would it be OK if they swung by to show the driver their home. As they drove along the highway outside of Airdrie, the driver noticed some very bright lights coming up from behind them. The lights were very bright, and as the passenger turned around to look he commented on the brightness and how fast the lights were approaching them. Both men, thinking this was a vehicle, never gave it a lot of thought, other than these lights were almost blinding to the driver.

"Now here is where the story takes a strange twist. All of a sudden the fellows came upon a large sign. It read Country Hills Boulevard, which is the first exit to Calgary. What is puzzling is that from when the strange lights came up from behind them and to the sign which read Country Hills Boulevard, there is a possibility that some time had gone missing. Both men do not recall driving through Airdrie at all. The driver took a look at the odometer and he noticed they may be missing 20 kilometers. As he mentioned to me, he never gave any of this much thought, but did find it rather strange. The driver also said he has driven this stretch of highway many times and nothing like this has ever taken place. I asked the man if he or his friend had ever had any vivid dreams and he said no. As far as he knows, nothing out of the ordinary has happened to him other than this one strange event. The driver did mention that his friend did lose a little sleep over the experience."

For more information, contact Vike at HBCC UFO Research=92s toll free at 1-866-262-1989 or by e-mail at: hbccufo@telus.net

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman@psln.com>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 16:19:48 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 12:50:39 -0500
Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Gehrman

>From: David Rudiak <DRudiak@earthlink.net>
>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 16:27:17 -0800
>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

>>From: William Scott Scherk <wss@uniserve.com>
>>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Sat, 6 Dec 2003 18:28:54 -0800
>>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

<snip>

>The USAF came out with their infamous "Case
>Closed" time-traveling crash dummy explanation for alien bodies,
>and Col. Philip Corso also came out with his equally infamous
>"Day After Roswell", supposedly "pro-Roswell," but possibly a
>case of stealth debunkery because it was so full of obviously
>inaccurate BS and exaggerated claims.

David,

Source: <http://www.caus.org/membercomments/mc062900.shtml>

Blunders do not make a hoaxer or a liar. Col. Corso would have to be both if he didn't seed alien technology into US business, as he says he did. The *Day After Roswell* is about that fact and is not intended as a history of the cold war and shouldn't be read in that way. It comes down to whether you believe he was trying to tell the truth, not whether he produced a mistake free document. Col. Corso's testimony is primary source material and should be treated as such, just as we use diaries and old letters to understand the dynamics of the Civil War. There's absolutely no reason to believe that Col. Corso is a liar.

He may have make numerous mistakes, but his testimony concerning the seeding of alien technology is a lie or it's the truth. It is certainly not a "mistake". Was he telling the truth about that or wasn't he? If he wasn't, then he's a liar and a fake. It's that issue that we all need to wrestle with. It's not enough to insist that he made some mistakes, therefore he shouldn't be believed. The mistakes have become red herrings pulled across our path to distract us from the important information Col. Corso has bravely given us.

I have no proof that Col. Corso is telling the truth other than my knowledge that he was an honorable and truthful person, a super patriot and well respected by his peers.

(He also passed a three hour Voice Stress Analysis taken from a taped interview on Art bell)

He has no history of being deceitful or of perpetrating hoaxes. He held responsible positions in the service of our country and was a good friend and advisor to some of the most important and powerful people in the country from 1945 on to the present.

By all accounts he was fair, scrupulously honest and an all around good Joe.

When he states that our government has seeded alien technology into the US business community and that he should know because he was the person who accomplished the task, I believe him. He had no reason to lie and there is no evidence that he has done so in the past.

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: Drake Equation Naeye Sayer - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch@sysmatrix.net>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 13:55:36 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 12:34:45 -0500
Subject: Re: Drake Equation Naeye Sayer - Hatch

>From: Tim Shell <tshell@vcmails.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 15:56:44 -0600
>Subject: Re: Drake Equation Naeye Sayer

<snip>

>With all the talk in the astronomy field about "dark energy" and
>how it supposedly comprises such a large percentage of stuff in
>the universe, I wonder if it might have field properties of some
>kind. Anybody know if the people studying dark energy have
>figured out whether or not it could be modulated or in some
>other way used for communication? Anybody? Anybody?

>Then there's the anomalous information transmission stuff.
>Whatever non-EM field that uses.

>Still, just because we haven't found anything definitive yet is
>no reason to stop looking. It's not like there's a time limit on
>this research. We've been trying to communicate with the heavens
>since day one. We're probably never going to stop, because you
>can't prove a negative. You can't prove there's not something
>or someone out there.

Hi Tim:

Good question!

I would like to know all about the properties of dark matter and
dark energy, so much discussed recently. If all of this is
unknown, or highly speculative at best, then we should know that
at least.

Best

- Larry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

From: Jim Speiser <jimspeiser@yahoo.com>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 19:11:22 -0700
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 12:59:57 -0500
Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:57:02 -0500
>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>From: Tim Shell <tshell@vcmails.com>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 16:04:55 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Internvention Stops Abductions

>>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
>>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>>Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 15:02:31 EST
>>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Internvention Stops Abductions

<snip>

>I think an important distinction needs to be acknowledged. There
>are two types of abductees... people who 'think/imagine' they
>are being abducted and people who are being abducted. The
>inherent problem in this thread so far has been that the latter
>has not been given due consideration.

>Hey, if people who 'think' they are being abducted can stop it
>by calling on Jesus, Daffy Duck or any other Guardian Deity they
>might believe in, more power to em. The fact remains that many
>ARE being physically abducted/taken and I don't think that
>calling on anybody can stop it once it starts. If there is
>anything that can be said about the 'abductors' it is that they
>are coldly efficient, single-minded in their purpose and that
>once the 'abduction operation' begins, it is executed with
>military precision and efficiency.

>They do what they do whether you like it or not. You can kick,
>shout, knock yourself out, nothing matters, nothing will make it
>stop. I worked with Budd Hopkins for many years. There is case
>after case (my own included) where people are in the middle of
>the business of their day when... the car is approached by a
>UFO, or they are somehow deviated from the direction they were
>travelling in and they find themselves in some isolated area, or
>as in the Debbie Jordan case, while Debbie and her mom were
>puttering around the house, an abduction event unfolds. I'm
>talking about people who are wide awake and busy doing something
>else when all of a sudden, bang! They are smack in the middle of
>an absolutely horrible situation that they are helpless to
>prevent or to curtail no matter how much they protest. In
>Debbie's case she went out to the yard with her father's loaded
>shotgun in her hand.

>She never got off a shot.

>I don't think mentioning anybody's name, Jesus or whoever,
>would have stopped what happened to her and her mom that night.
>Ditto for me and for many other cases I can quote from.

>Let's make a distinction between those who are 'imagining' they
>are being abducted and those who are ACTUALLY being abducted and
>this thread will suddenly begin to make some sense. I resent the
>lack of distinction between the two here in this thread.

John:

I have to say I'm a bit surprised at you. You've always struck me as one of the most level-headed and objective Listers, in spite of your very personal involvement with abductions. Yet, here you go making an outright assumption that anyone able to ward off an abduction by invoking the name of a deity absolutely must be imagining it, despite the fact that we have precious little empirical evidence either way with which to make this distinction clinically. I am guessing you are making this distinction based on your firm belief that "real" aliens (like the ones you encountered) would not be scared away by such an invocation. I find this to be uncharacteristically supercilious of you.

You're usually the first one to call for open-minded study of this phenomenon, precisely because you, nor anyone else, has all, or perhaps any, of the answers. Yet here you go assuming things about an alleged alien race, one we know next to nothing about; worse, you are assuming things about other experiencers, and about the nature of the entire phenomenon.

Now before you go making another assumption, let me disabuse you of it in advance: I am a lifelong atheist and secular humanist. I no more believe that aliens would be truly impressed with the name of Jesus Christ than you do. However, it has occurred to me on more than one occasion that these alleged entities may themselves be galactic disinformation agents, who customarily plant false flags into the abduction milieu precisely in order to confound our attempts at seeing what is really happening. This would explain the contradictory stories we hear about their stated origin, purpose, etc. The lack of consistency from account to account is a prime cause of disbelief in the reality of the phenomenon...thus they have developed a technique to "hide in plain sight."

Perhaps this feigned terror at the mention of JC is another tactic in this disinfo game. They are aware that stirring a religious component into the brew will ward off further investigation by a large percentage of science and academia. It sure worked on you!

Needless to say, this is just one hypotheses floating around in my head. There are others - maybe "Jesus Christ" translates into their language as "I have an energy weapon." Maybe there is a Jesus Christ, but he is a greatly feared sub-commander for this sector of space...

My main point is, John, that there is a natural tendency in abductees to dismiss the stories of other abductees who do not report similar experiences. I thought you were largely immune to that sort of "provincialism." I just thought you should be aware that to some non-experiencers, your remarks seemed an awful lot like the pot calling the kettle black.

Best wishes for the holiday,

==JJS==

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case! -

From: Ken Kelly <elprospero@yahoo.com>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 18:48:58 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 13:04:10 -0500
Subject: Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case! -

>From: Marcos Malvezzi Leal <malvezzil@ig.com.br>
>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Subject: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 07:52:59 -0200

>Unlike the infamous so-called "Barra da Tijuca" incident, in
>which a supposed UFO photograph was proven as an undeniable
>hoax,

Sir:

Please cite the evidence you have in support of the several
"Barra da Tijuca" photographs being hoaxed. Otherwise your
opinion is unworthy of consideration.

Ken Kelly

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

From: Bill Weber <wweber1@sc.rr.com>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 23:57:04 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 13:11:06 -0500
Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:57:02 -0500
>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>If there is
>anything that can be said about the 'abductors' it is that they
>are coldly efficient, single-minded in their purpose and that
>once the 'abduction operation' begins, it is executed with
>military precision and efficiency.

>They do what they do whether you like it or not. You can kick,
>shout, knock yourself out, nothing matters, nothing will make it
>stop.

Hi, All,

Thank you for saying this, John. If there's a more concise and coherent characterization of the 'abductor' than the above, I haven't found it, and if there's a more damaging and depressing avenue for abduction research than formally and publicly infusing it with superstition or religious belief, I can't imagine it.

Best,

Bill

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Lewis

From: SMiles Lewis <miles@elfis.net>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 23:37:50 -0600
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 13:14:04 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Lewis

>From: Stuart Miller <Stuart.Miller4@btinternet.com>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 8 Dec 2003 18:03:31 -0000
>Subject: Re: 'Strange Secrets'

>>From: Stephen MILES Lewis <ufoupdates@elfis.net>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Sat, 6 Dec 2003 09:14:19 -0600
>>Subject: Re: 'Strange Secrets'

>>>From: Stuart Miller <Stuart.Miller4@btinternet.com>
>>>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>>Date: Fri, 5 Dec 2003 16:34:44 -0000
>>>Subject: 'Strange Secrets'

>><snip>

>>>Naturally Andrew, it does beg one rather obvious question. Why
>>>would the US government go to all that trouble involving
>>>expense, bad press, financial loss to their beloved farmers,
>>>intense media interest, the risk of getting caught and so on,
>>>just to carry out what would be a perfectly acceptable health
>>>check on domestic cattle? Gee, they could even set up their own
>>>faux wholesale company and buy the cattle in, without raising an
>>>eyebrow. It is so stupid a conclusion that it beggars belief.

>><snip>

>>Remember the NIDS report ...

>>Unexplained Cattle Deaths and the Emergence of a Transmissible
>>Spongiform Encephalopathy (TSE) Epidemic in North America, (1.6
>>MB)

>>http://216.128.67.116/pdf/cattledaths_tse_epidemic.pdf

>>On why the bodies are left ...

>><snip>

>>Stephen,

Please, call me Miles or SMiles - I've never gone by my first name.

>I have now read the NIDS report and you do a fine job of
>precising the main points. A few observations.

>I don't believe it's equitable in this issue to just address the
>"What's happened" and to blatantly leave out the "who dunnit".
>I'm not for one minute rejecting their paper or hypothesis and
>would in fact suggest that it is probably the most authoritative
>opinion ever put forward on this subject. And given NIDS
>standards, they may have felt they had no reliable evidence to
>complete the whole picture. Nevertheless, it is disappointing
>that they could not have gone further.

Maybe, but I'm amazed they went so far as to make predictions
about outbreaks and suggesting the food supply may be
contaminated.

>They do "teeter" a bit, don't they? I mean by that they do get
>very close to the edge. There's a few things thrown in there to
>give hope to those who believe in a extraterrestrial dimension
>to this. The March 10th.1997 incident in NE Utah (the one I
>believe Eleanor White was referring to in her post) was truly
>weird and has an extremely High Strangeness aspect. Also,
>pinpointing Socorro (at the northern end of White Sands) and
>Dulce of all places as high intensity mutilation spots, well
>away from the core centre of these incidents, only adds fuel to
>the fire (I'm just popping upstairs for a bite deer!).

Well, NIDS is allegedly trying to practice science and that
should include publishing all reports.

>I notice that they comment that taking samples from the tonsils
>of live elk for these diseases will lead to an increase in false
>negatives. They don't explain how or why but imply that in
>order for these tests to be conclusive, the animal must be
>killed. Are you in a position to clarify this?

I'm definitely not in a position to clarify.

>They remove the American government from complicity, which I'm
>fine with. So the carcasses are left as a warning? By the
>Alzheimers Support Group? By disaffected cattlemen? But the
>moment the Argentineans get involved, the "troop" moves down
>there and the pattern repeats. So it sounds like a freelance
>lot, hiring out their expertise. Can I put you on the spot and
>ask if you have any opinion yourself on whom might be carrying
>out these acts?

I've really no idea what human agency might be behind the
mutiliations.

Besides NIDS scientific approach I entertain more
parapsychological perspectives like those described by my friend
David Perkins and the work of Peter Jordan; see below ...

Perkins, David. Altered Steaks: a Colloquium on the Cattle
Mutilation Question, participants: David Perkins (aka "Izzy
Zane"), Lewis MacAdams, Tom Clark, (Santa Barbara, Calif.: Am
Here Books/Immediate Editions, 1982). This book is hard to find.

The UC Santa Barbara library has a copy in the Special
Collections (PS3553.L29 Z3, 1982 Special Col)

<http://www.NUFOC.net/nufoc38/perkins.htm>

Excluded Middle - No UFO Connection To Cattle Mutilation
<http://www.ExcludedMiddle.com/catlmut.htm>

SMiles

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.AliensOnEarth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Reports From HBCC UFO - 12-10-03

From: **Brian Vike - HBCC UFO** <hbccufo@telus.net>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 22:17:04 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 13:16:26 -0500
Subject: Reports From HBCC UFO - 12-10-03

Hi List

There are some other reports I have been made aware of in my area, but no one has come forward to report what was witnessed, but since I happen to know who these people are. I will give them a call tomorrow.

Houston, British Columbia

Date: December 8, 2003
Time: approx: 8:45 p.m.

I was stopped today in the mall and pulled off to the side. A lady who I know very well wanted to tell me about something she and her husband and two children witnessed on December 8, 2003 at approx: 8:45 p.m.

Her husband had just picked her up from a friends house and they arrived back home shortly after. They pulled into their long driveway only to see the two kids standing outside yelling and pointed towards the mountain behind their home. The husband stopped the car quickly and both parents jumped out in fear as they had no idea what was wrong, thinking the worst. They almost immediately noticed a white oval shaped object sitting stationary over the back of their property.

The oval shaped object had a solid ring of blue lights which seemed to be moving around the white colored object. A slight and annoying hum could be heard coming from it. The Mother went over and grabbed the two children and went into the house with them, Dad followed close behind. They thought it would be safer than standing outside as they had no idea what is was they were looking at. Once inside the home, they proceeded to a back bedroom, closed the door behind them as not to allow any light from inside the home to give away where they were. (feeling frightened at this time)

But still curiosity was getting the best of them. So they watched the object, still sitting in the exact spot from when they first noted it. The family told me the trees which were below it were all lit up in a white light, and for a good distance around the area. After a total of approx: 4 minutes of watching this unusual craft, it slowly started to move. As it did so the witnesses claimed they could see sparks dropping off the bottom side of it. The sparks were reported to be a white in color. They told me that there was no quick moment to the object, it just rose up rather slowly and as it reached the top of the mountain this is where they lost sight of it. The craft did not blink out, they thought it just crossed over the top and maybe moved down the other side. They reported that whatever it was, was huge.

The husband told me it was not a helicopter, no way !! He said we were very close to this thing, and what noise it gave off was minimal, except for this constant humming sound. The husband also said area residents would have been blind not to see this as it was so bright and large in size.

HBCC UFO Note: After I talked with the witness in the mall I made arrangements and did go to visit the whole family earlier today. I sat with all of them for a long period of time and I can say this for certain. I believe they saw something really strange as everyone was still very excited over what they saw that evening. I also will be going back tomorrow to look around the area where this object was reported to be hovering.

Airdie, Alberta

Date: September 6, 2003

Time: 4:00 p.m.

Hello Vike,

I have been reading in the paper about recent UFO??? sightings seen around Airdrie. I would like to tell you about what I saw back on September 6, 2003.

I had just arrived home after attending the Fall Classic Show & Shine at the Nose Creek Park. It was 4:00 p.m. in the afternoon. I was looking out my livingroom window, which faces east. My home is situated (deleted) of the tracks, in (deleted by HBCC UFO). I noticed a white, completely perfect round sphere going in a northerly direction. It was definatly NOT a balloon. There was no string attached and it was perfectly round, as balloons are not. It stayed at a constant speed and was completely unaffected by wind currents. It may have been going about 30-50km./hr. It stayed at the exact same height. I watched it until it disappeared out of sight. I felt like it may have been following along main street, however it may not have been. It was also difficult to tell the size at that distance, but it may have been larger than a beach ball. I have never seen anything like it in my life. Has anyone else mentioned this to you? I would be curious to know if I was the only one who saw it.

Thank you,

Thank you to the witness for the report.

Brian Vike

Director HBCC UFO Research

Canadian Toll Free UFO Hotline 1 866 262 1989 - Free call.

Home - Phone/Fax 1 250 845 2189

HBCC UFO Forum Board

<http://216.147.50.102/HBCC-UFO/index.php>

email: hbccufo@telus.net

Website: <http://www.hbccufo.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: Congress and Disclosure - Fleming

From: **Lan Fleming** <lfleming5@houston.rr.com>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 19:34:32 -0600
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 12:57:23 -0500
Subject: Re: Congress and Disclosure - Fleming

>From: Francis Knize <Frankknee@aol.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 10:33:10 EST
>Subject: Re: Congress and Disclosure -

>Choose one good Data Quality Act inquiry to pursue based on your
>best research concerning Mars photographic anomalies. There are
>many now in NASA who would support an honest effort for SETI
>Research.

The NASA "National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)
Guidelines for Ensuring the Quality of Information" can be
downloaded from:

http://www.thecre.com/pdf/20021026_nasa-final.pdf

As far as I can tell from reading this document, it would be
futile to pursue corrections of misleading information
disseminated by NASA using the Data Quality Act because of the
vast amount of information that NASA claims is exempt from the
act. The exemptions are stated in Section C.3 of the document.

One of the most debilitating exemptions is information "produced
in responses to requests for Agency records under the Freedom of
Information Act, the Privacy Act, the Federal Advisory Committee
Act, or similar laws".

This apparently means that NASA feels it would not be obligated
to correct or explain the phony time stamps on the STS-48 video,
which is made available to the public only through the Freedom
of Information Act and was never otherwise publicly
disseminated. (The FOIA apparently gives the public a right to
as much bogus information as the government wants to provide
them - a right for which we should all be grateful).

Another critical exemption is: "Communications such as press
releases or press conferences, interviews, speeches, fact
sheets, or similar communications in any medium that announce,
support the announcement, or give public notice of information
that NASA has disseminated elsewhere."

This exemption apparently means that NASA' enhancement of the
1998 Mars Face image or web article on the April 2001 image,
'Unmasking the Face On Mars,' with its false and misleading
claims about the resolution of elevation measurements of the
Mars Observer Laser Altimeter that was used to create the
thoroughly bogus "3D perspective" presented in the same article.
All of this stuff appeared as press releases.

These DQA "guidelines" in essence seem to imply that NASA
reserves the right to mislead the public when they see fit to do
so. It's hard to tell if there's any information at all that is
not exempt from the DQA.

The only possible route around all the obstructions that the
government has put in the way of obtaining correct information
under the seems to be in the Guidelines Section C.3 paragraph
that says: "The exemption for press releases and materials
delivered to Congress exists as long as facts backing up the

original press release or information have been previously disseminated."

So if a member of Congress were, on behalf of a constituent, to obtain the STS-48 video, or the 'Catbox', etc., then the could perhaps be invoked if NASA failed to provide "facts" backing up what they've sent to the Congress member. Other than that, the only recourse is probably to sue somebody, as the Sci- Fi Channel is doing to get documents from NASA concerning the Kecksburg UFO incident.

One thing that definitely will NOT work is haranguing people who work for NASA or its contractors with private emails and public "challenges," as Mr. Knize should have learned by now.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 09:45:49 -0600
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 13:25:50 -0500
Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Lehmborg

>From: Andy Roberts <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 15:39:41 -0000
>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

>>From: Richard Conway <ranger_conway@yahoo.com>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 13:24:31 -0800 (PST)
>>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

>Pilgrims,

>Richard writes:

>>Tut tut Andy, at it again bad mouthing anybody and everybody who
>>doesn't buy into your lop-sided view of ufology. This is not
>>healthy behaviour. I'm still waiting for a formal answer to my
>>questions.

>>Unfortunately all I got in response was unadulterated rubbish -
>>if you don't understand scientific methodology then you should
>>just say so Andy. There is no shame. After all there are plenty
>>of charlatan "experimentalists" such as yourself who justify
>>their existence through the ignorance of their audience.

>Not so Richard. You asked (and I'm not sure who you are in
>ufology, as you've failed to produce anything of lasting
>interest to the subject) if I had constructed my hoaxing
>experiments in a 'scientific' way.

It's pretty safe to say that you have produced so far, yourself,
only the personification of the adage regarding a man's *good*
being interred with his bones as the 'evil' he's done lives on
and on, Mr. Roberts. Moreover, your "lasting interest" is as
yet indeterminate, especially as your methods come to be seen
for what they are: programmed obfuscation, unrepentant
duplicity, and self-induced confusion. You perform no service,
sir, but the facilitation of your target's denial. Additionally,
only an idiot, a fool, or a sociopath would propose that
"Science" and "hoaxing" are anything but mutually exclusive.
What a strutting popinjay, a popinjay fooling himself that he is
anybody worthwhile in ufology, makes a valued contribution to
it, or has the respect of any real ufologists. You flatter
yourself without any justification, sir.

>I replied that they were all well documented and had sufficient
>structure to be able to see if they worked, i.e., if dumb
>ufologists fell for them. They did, they are doing and they will
>do.

That is so indolently and astonishingly feeble, Mr. Roberts.
If one was not there to provide the lie in the first place the
lie wouldn't get re-told. It doesn't matter who's lying... you
in the service of a science you obviously don't understand, or
the more legitimate hoaxer you weakly suggest you protect us
from. Now that's risible! <LOL>

>You are now in a boo because I refused to give you further
>information. Live with it.

We're not living with you, Mr. Roberts. You're living with us, the persons you disrespect and betray. Live with -that-.

>>Therefore I'm not surprised that you consider your book's >>primary audience as the general public.

>Who else is there to write for? The majority of ufologists are >bitter, twisted and jealous and only believe what they wish to, >without doing the R&I to prove otherwise.

Flap-doodle, Mr. Roberts. There are five of the best Ufology has to offer right here on this List who won't fit your ignorant description and who believe (when they think of you at all) that you are an unfortunate issue of a cross between intellectual cowardice and patent anti-science.

>Happy Trails

...Maybe it was trail, once...

>'...Andy Roberts seems less like a government agent than anybody >I have ever met, but of course that might show what a very good >agent he is!' -- John Rimmer, Magonia 83, December 2003

Not the -best- choice of personal recommendations, Mr. Roberts... forgetting that it can, easily, be taken in an unflattering way. We ought to contact Dr. Larry O'Hara for a quote:

"Andy Roberts [among others]... attack-dogs... Snarling, vicious, and unreasoning... switched on and off at will, but definitely concerted." -- N FB Issue 5, Winter 2003-4

Lehmborg@snowhill.com

EXPLORE "AlienViewGroup" at its HostPros URL.
<http://www.alienview.net>

JOHN FORD RESTORATION FUND -- John will be released eventually. He'll need a tax free cash stake to get on his feet. Let's put one together for him; the bigger it is -- the more attention he gets. It could have been you. E-mail for detail. \$450.00 pledged -- \$200.00 collected!

"I cleave the heavens, and soar to the infinite. What others see from afar, I leave far behind me." - Giordano Bruno, scourged by the scabrously specious scurrilous.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: Asking Jesus For Internvention Stops

From: Katharina Wilson <K.Wilson@alienjigsaw.com>
Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 15:02:55 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 12:31:46 -0500
Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Internvention Stops

>From: Eleanor White <eleanor@raven1.net>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Mon, 08 Dec 2003 12:06:52 -0500
>Subject: Asking Jesus For Internvention Stops Abductions

>The item below outlines the experiences of some UFO abductees
>who successfully aborted their abductions by praying to Jesus
>Christ for intervention. This is consistent with the book "How
>to Defend Yourself Against Alien Abduction", by Ann Druffel,
>which I'm currently reading.

>What I find very interesting are the few UFO contactees who
>report that the aliens encourage prayer. This could mean that
>the aliens are well aware of God and see themselves as
>accountable to God as well. Perhaps that is why the "Appeal to
>spiritual personages" method described in Ms. Druffel's book
>works. Here below is the forwarded email item.

Hi Eleanor:

I always find your posts interesting. About Jesus and
abductions:

I knew an abductee who was Catholic and during an abduction,
this person started praying to Jesus to protect them. The
alien's face (which I believe was hovering or bending over the
abductee while they were lying on their back in bed) said to her,

"He is one of us."

On the flip side - I have used some of Ann Druffel's suggestions
and although it takes [me at least] an enormous amount of
energy, it seemed to work, but not all of the time.

One last thing, in my book (Alien Jigsaw) I had a journal entry
where the ETs were showing me a being dying and they seemed to
be very aware that we should or that we do pray for one's soul
as they die or after the die.

Just some FYIs to share.

Katharina Wilson

<http://www.alienjigsaw.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: NASA Faces Lawsuit In Kecksburg UFO Incident -

From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 09:45:15 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 13:18:57 -0500
Subject: Re: NASA Faces Lawsuit In Kecksburg UFO Incident -

>From: Eustaquio Andrea Patounas <socex@terra.com.br>
>To: ufo upDates -Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 13:11:10 -0200
>Subject: NASA Faces Lawsuit In Kecksburg UFO Incident

>Source: WPXI-TV Pittsburgh Pennsylvania

><http://www.wpxi.com/news/2695297/detail.html>

>Many Residents Believe UFO Landed In Kecksburg

>December 10, 2003

>Kecksburg, Pa. -- NASA is facing a lawsuit to get it to release
>what it knows about claims of a UFO landing in Westmoreland
>County. Many residents in Kecksburg believe an acorn-shaped UFO
>landed there 38 years ago, including expert Stan Gordon. "I'm
>convinced that an object of unknown origin definitely fell from
>the sky and was recovered by the military. What the object was
>still is open for speculation," he said.

>Gordon thinks it was possibly part of a Russian space probe. A
>representative for NASA said he can't comment on the lawsuit.

Reality Check:

Did reading this post make anybody else do a Homer Simpson and
go, "D'oh!"

>Gordon thinks it was possibly part of a Russian space probe.

If Gordon thinks it was all a part of the recovery of a Russian
space probe... then what was the point of this whole exercise?

Am I misreading this statement from Gordon somehow? Is it a
misquote? I thought that he thought that it was a UFO.
(Homina, homina, homina.)

Hey man, I don't want to criticize CFI/Sci-Fi channel too much.
In spite of the piss-poor UFO-related programming, and now this
apparent waste of time and resources, they -have- put together a
'rapid response' team to conduct preliminary investigation into
UFO reports, and they are funding a wonderful website that is
being worked on as we speak by my trusted and respected buddy,
Steven Kaeser. (I'll let him say more about this new website
when he's ready to.) So, I really don't want to completely
dismiss the efforts being made by CFI and the Sci-Fi channel
people.

But for crying out loud, why all the fuss if the main
investigator suspected that it was a Russian probe all along!
Again if I'm missing something here. I await
correction/education on the matter.

Regards to All,

John Velez
Confused UpDates Listerion

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

BUFOSC 7th Conference Video

From: Eric Morris <bufosc@hotmail.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 14:47:23 +0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 13:21:34 -0500
Subject: BUFOSC 7th Conference Video

BUFOSCs 7th Conference video is now on sale!

Speakers Anandra Sirisena who gave an amazing presentation on the Disclosure Project with American Colleague Dr Steven Greer.

Eric Morris on the British UFO Studies Centre's year of amazing unpublished captured video evidence (arguably the best Ufology has ever seen), and Derek Wood on Crop Circles and an amazing co-incidence.

4+ hours long on VHS or DVD for 10 Pounds Sterling + 3 Pounds Sterling p&p.

From BUFOSC 192 Booth Lane Middlewich Cheshire CW10 0EF
Tel\01606 737687.

Cheques made payable to Eric Morris.

As usual\ALLprofits going to charity.

BUFOSC plan to go public on their video production company January 04 under 'Titan Productions'.

For sale will be all our past conferences and future ones plus video documentaries we plan to make. Our first is 'Rendlesham/BUFOSC v The Ombudsman---A BUFOSC Victory' which is being filmed early January 04 available March 2004. Order your copies now. Cheques made payable to Eric Morris.

BUFOSC are arguably the most potent force in UFOlogy at present and will be taking over British Ufology in 2004. Join the crusade now and enrol to BUFOSC.

Eric Morris
RGN
Dip HE (Nursing Studies)
Founder
British UFO Studies Centre.
Current board members
Eric & Linda Morris
Rick & Sue Swiderski.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Alien Abductee Stress

From: William Scott Scherk <wss@uniserve.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 08:11:06 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 13:51:10 -0500
Subject: Alien Abductee Stress

Intriguing article from ScienCentral.com

Source: ScienCentral.com

http://www.sciencentral.com/articles/view.php3?article_id=218392122

12-05-03

Alien Abductee Stress

Thousands of people claim that they have been abducted by aliens. Are they lying? Research suggests that, in many cases, those making the claim truly believe it happened.

"In case after case after case, I've been impressed with the consistency of the story, the sincerity with which people tell their stories, the power of the feelings connected with this, the self-doubt," John Mack, a psychiatrist at Harvard University who has worked with people who claim to have been abducted, told PBS' NOVA. "I worked with people over hundreds and hundreds of hours, and have done as careful a job as I could to listen, to sift out, to consider alternative explanations. And none have come forward. No one has found an alternative explanation in a single abduction case."

Research in the journal Psychological Science sheds light on the consistency of those powerful feelings by showing that those who claim to have been abducted share traits with people who suffer from post-traumatic stress disorder, or PTSD. Previous research has shown that when Vietnam veterans with PTSD heard 30-second audio "re-enactments" of their trauma, they exhibited psychophysiological activity. "For example, their heart rate will go up, their skin conductance activity, the sweating on the palm of the hand, will increase," says Richard McNally, a psychology professor at Harvard University. "Individuals who do not have PTSD but who have experienced traumatic events typically will not show that reactivity."

When McNally gave a similar test to people with memories of alien abductions, he found that their reactions were the same. "In fact, the actual magnitude of the reactions was at least as great as those reported in previous studies on people with post-traumatic stress disorder," says McNally. "It seems to underscore the power of emotional belief, that if you genuinely believe these things have happened, these terrifying events have happened, then you tend to show the emotional profile, the physiological profile consistent with that belief."

Budd Hopkins, executive director of a foundation for people who believe they've been abducted by aliens called the Intruders Foundation, thinks this validates their stories. "I thought this was quite a wonderful thing, because it's exactly the results we thought the scientific community would present if they actually

looked into the cases."

But McNally believes these are false memories formed during "sleep paralysis," a common condition where someone is half awake but can't move, and sometimes experiences dream-like hallucinations. "Merely because someone experiences intense emotions surrounding a particular memory does not itself confirm that the memory actually indicates something happened," says McNally.

Hopkins, who gets about five new reported abduction cases a week, would counter the sleep paralysis contention with the fact that not all abductions are reported to happen at night, and that there are also physical marks left after abductions, which are called "scoop marks."

While McNally thinks such insights into the power of false memories has important implications on court cases involving "recovered memories," others believe we might not get to the truth using conventional science. "We're dealing with a phenomenon which violates our sense of reality, and which operates in this gray area between the physical world and the subjective or mythic or other-realm world," Mack told NOVA. "We're being asked to prove this by the methods of the physical sciences alone. But those methods, in my view, will not yield its secrets."

William Scott Scherk
www.wsse.ca

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Roberts

From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs@comcast.net>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 09:17:03 -0700
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 13:54:22 -0500
Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Roberts

>From: Andy Roberts <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 15:56:20 -0000
>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 15:12:55 -0600
>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

>>Pilgrims,

<snip>

>>Ufologists eh?

>>Happy Trails

>>Andy

Quote from EBK's UFO UpDates headliner: "UFO Updates - A mailing List for the study of UFO-related phenomena..."

Rhetorical Question: Why are the debunking views of Andy Roberts and his feral distain for anyone who engages in the study of Ufology being espoused on UFO UpDates and, for that matter, all debunkers, pelicanists, etc., who haunt the List?

Personal Comment Number 1: If UFO UpDates exists for the "study of UFO-related phenomena," why is the subject allowed to be hijacked by CSICOPian cultists, debunkers, etc., whose sole aim is to prevent the "study of UFO-related phenomena" and interfere with the stated aim of UFO Updates?

Personal Comment Number 2: Is there not one ufological forum in existence that is free from CSICOPian cult terrorism? If not, why not?

Personal Comment Number 3: I'd really be thrilled if Andy Roberts and CSICOPian cultists were driven off the "Happy Trails" of UFO UpDates, but that's my personal opinion.

Wendy Connors

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: NASA Spacecraft To Explore Jupiter Moons -

From: GT McCoy <gtmccoy@charter.net>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 09:25:40 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 13:56:22 -0500
Subject: Re: NASA Spacecraft To Explore Jupiter Moons -

>From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:57:21 -0800
>Subject: NASA Spacecraft To Explore Jupiter Moons

>Source: Yahoo News

http://story.news.yahoo.com/news?tmpl=story&cid=624&ncid=753&e=10&u=/ap/20031209/ap_on_sc/icy_moons

>Tue Dec 9, 8:37 AM ET

>NASA Spacecraft to Explore Jupiter Moons

>By Andrew Bridges, AP Science Writer

<snip>

>Jimo would carry high-resolution cameras and other instruments,
>including radar and lasers to map the thickness and elevation of
>the ice that envelops each moon.

>Scientists are keen to study the Jovian system because of its
>complexity. The planet and its stable of moons represent, in
>many ways, a miniature solar system.

>"These are worlds in their own right," said Ron Greeley, of
>Arizona State University, Tempe.

>The spacecraft is envisioned as being 60 to 100 feet in length.
>Early conceptions place its nuclear reactor at the end of a boom
>to shield the scientific instruments from radiation.

>Jimo also would bristle with fins to dissipate the intense heat
>from its reactor.

>NASA is expected to begin stepping up use of nuclear power in
>its exploration of the solar system, including Mars. There, it
>could power rovers capable of roaming the planet for years at a
>time.

I love it! my only regret is the ship (that's what it is folks,) isn't Named the Clarke.

GT McCoy

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Who's Keeping Tabs On 'Mars Jam 2004'?

From: **Greg Boone** <Evolbaby@aol.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 13:31:57 EST
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 14:05:30 -0500
Subject: Who's Keeping Tabs On 'Mars Jam 2004'?

Several space craft are about to turn Mars into a jamfest.

What do you all expect to glean from the data collected?

So far the Japanese probe is on the blink and the Big Kahuna British salvo is highly anticipated to put an end all and be all to 'artificial objects' on the Big Red Marble.

If any of the other craft due foul up, what do you think the public reaction will be?

What's going to be worse, finding nadda or finding something and having to listen to Dick Hoagland do an " I Told You So " miniseries on national tv? :)

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

From: Alfred Lehmborg <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 12:56:22 -0600
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 14:14:34 -0500
Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

>From: Andy Roberts <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 15:56:20 -0000
>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 15:12:55 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

>Pilgrims,

>Al wrote...

>>Arrogant, elitist, and huffy,

>Yes thanks Alfred.

Those were indictments, Mr. Roberts, not complements. Your malfeasance has so corrupted your meager powers of reasoning I'm not surprised you fail to be -able- to tell the difference.

>>You could abandon ufology and cyberspace... sir, that will
>>handily solve your mailbox difficulties, and I trust this was
>>concise enough for you?

>The current (and there's been many) attempt to hound me out of
>ufology because I annoy believers and get results continues to
>amuse those in the know. Why would you want to do this Alfred?

You make too much of yourself once again, Mr. Roberts. I suggested you abandon cyberspace and ufology to cure your 'distressing' E-mail problem. Hounding you from it was the furthestest from my mind... you're much too good an example of a bad example to be allowed to disappear completely! Although I must admit that the suggestion is not entirely repulsive and probably inevitable at some point, especially as others get tired of your desultory ufological smirks. We'll see.

>>But re-establishing focus (something I'm sure you're loath to
>>do, Mr. Roberts?),

>I think you'll find Alfred I have never shunned 'the focus',
>everyone else seems to have. Thanks for bringing it back.

Oh - I live to serve, Mr. Roberts. You're most welcome...

>>In "The Usual Suspects," Mr. Burns iterates how Mr. Andy Roberts
>>(et. al.) works to suppress information at its source and how he
>>gets a false story accepted. Burns underscores methods used to
>>undermine witnesses. Burns goes on to show how UFO research is
>>attacked directly, how the researcher is attacked in a similar
>>fashion, and how communication is suppressed to facilitate these
>>attacks...

>I know exactly what Max wrote.

I'm not convinced, sir, that you know what you wrote. The truth is easy to remember. It's the confabulations that get

complicated.

>As I've said before, much of the
>information in there is factual. Equally, much isn't.

That's fine! Why don't you write a 'cited' article for 'publication' the way Mr. Burns did, instead of making these less than stirring (and even less convincing) proclamations of verity. I'm sure any lies Mr. Burns (and Dr. O'hara) have proffered are actionable and you can set the record straight for us at last... you will be taking civil action of course!

>What is
>completely wrong is the join the dots way Max has formed
>conspiracy where none exists.

Yeah well... you'll pardon me if I don't take you're word for that. I'm sorry, but as it regards you, "...such is so..." is a day late and a pound short! No, you don't have that kind of idiosyncratic credit with me.

>Now Alfred, let me ask you a question:

Well - you know, actually I'm not here to answer your questions as much as you are here to answer that ones you have provoked yourself with your admitted ufological malfeasance and chicanery.

>Where, in Max's article, does it state I 'intimidated' a
>witness? I don't think it does. And do you know why not? Because
>I never interviewed any witnesses in this case. Please read
>things properly and learn how to comprehend them.

Uh-huh...

Max Burn's writes:

"Matthew Williams made this observation: 'Another interesting fact is how all Max's witnesses change their stories after you and Andy [Roberts] got to them [Hello!]. You then made big claims about how you could show that Max Burns had lied about witness testimonies and that the witnesses were claimin g their words had been twisted. However, the truth of the situation, as you well know, is that Max Burns played a tape recording of one witness who states that he was happy to allow Max to use his name and details of his statement and claimed that the man that he had encountered stank of aviation fuel. After you got to the witness the story changes [HELLO!] to the witness having not given permission to Max to talk about his case, and that Max had twisted his words..."

Well, Mr. Roberts - forgetting that there is a name to chew on in there, the question is begged! What is it that you have to -do- to get a previously taped witness to change his story and pointedly damn Mr. Burns with the same breath. I can think of two things only... you can threaten or you can bribe... I don't think a polite request would do it. Hey! Maybe Burns intimidated the witness to begin with and you were just setting things aright... right...? Flatly, this is no stretch. What is the nature of your documented influence over Burns' witnesses?

...and case... shm-ase, as it can be demonstrated vis a vis this printed article in NFB (with citation) that you have -some- kind of effect on Burns' witnesses it remains only, given your already -admitted- distortions, how many witnesses you HAVE bribed, threatened, or otherwise intimidated, and -who- they are... over a period of -how- many years?

>>Mr. Burns is eloquent with regard to witness intimidation and
>>how that process (of isolating individuals open to different
>>ideas) works.

>Again Alfred, a question:

>Who exactly am I supposed to have 'intimidated' - please answer
>'cos I'm dying to know Again, get your facts right..

Asked and answered sir... drag your rheumy, furtive and beady little eyes downstream a few inches and read it again.

>>He chronicles the process of "hoaxing to order"

>>to facilitate hidden agendas and how hypocrisy is used to
>>destroy the independence (and efficacious interdependence) of
>>others.

>And once more with feeling Alfred - who exactly am I supposed to
>be 'hoaxing to order for'? Please answer. In English. I'd love
>to know. I hoax for my own amusement.

Oh - not in the interests of quality research, good science,
and saving ufology from itself as you have indicated before? I
find this revelation par and seriously underwhelming. Moreover,
it's suggested in Burns' piece that you don't take the orders
referenced in the phrase... as much as give them! Or - how -
else- did Tim Matthews/AKA Hepple show up at a Burns lecture,
tape recorder in hand, to document bogus evidence that you had,
I understand, planted yourself? You should amuse yourself in
more constructive ways, Mr. Roberts. Let me recommend a jar of
cocoa-butter and a warm hand towel...

>>All in all a pretty damning account, Mr. Roberts, given
>>that he's published in a periodical of some respect... (at
>>least you haven't yet thought to try to destroy the
>>reputation of that periodical too), and has provided almost 60
>>citations to support his contention.

>I'm afraid that Nuts From the Borderland is written by a man
>so crazed that he used to search through people's dustbins
>looking for information.

This is a day late and a dollar short, Mr. Roberts, you should
have ripped on Dr. O'Hara when the NFB thread started! Moreover,
this sounds like serious investigative field-work to me... it's
a long string of criminals, cheats, and scalawags who've been
arrested, tried, and convicted as a result of what has been
found in their dustbins, sir. That's why intelligence agencies
and military organization are very careful with regard to what
makes it to the trash! I'm astonished that this is news to you!

>Larry runs a very small circulation
>para-political journal read only by those who see conspiracy
>round every corner. As I've said before listers - buy it, read
>it and make

Type "Notes from the Borderline" into your search engine, Mr.
Roberts, to discover that the aggregate take on that humble
little rag is something more than you indicate here... but like
I said earlier... you're a day late, and a dollar... no, I think
two dollars, short. Next!

>>Its no wonder that you won't
>>answer Mr. Burns challenge to a public debate... it's pretty
>>obvious you're all about nothing and more comfortable standing
>>in your duplicitous and destructive shadows doing your 'work'
>>such that it is.....

>Alfred, please, calm down.

Yes sir! By this time I would imagine that you would want me
to calm down!

>Why would I wish to debate in public
>with Max?

LOL. I would imagine that this is the last wish on your list
of wishes.

>I didn't investigate this particular case. I'm happy
>to debate with Max in public the accusations he raises against
>me in the article, but that's all I can do.

Right! I won't hold my breath for -that- debate, either.

>I spoke at length
>with Max when I was lecturing at the BUFORA conference. We got
>along quite amiably.

Oh - two peas in a pod and snug as a bug exchanging thank-you
notes, scones, and tea-cozies, I'm sure.

>He still doesn't agree with my version of

>events, and I certainly don't agree with his. Please read the
>article again and answer my questions above, with quotes and
>page numbers.

Yawn

>Fact is Alfred, you can't.

Yeah? Perform that little downstream exercise for beady eyes
again.

>>In closing (and until you stick your head up over the berm
>>again) let me remind you publicly that your pissy little
>>personal notes to me in E-mail are unwelcome, forgetting that
>>they don't have the effect on me you'd like to think they have.

>I email you privately in an attempt to get you to understand
>just how stupid you are.

How pathetically unclever of you, sir.

>Clealy it doesn't work. You'll be
>rooting through my dustbins next!

Somebody should. I'd be real careful what you put in the trash
from now on. I don't care enough to invest in the airfare, but
somebody local might have been given an idea regarding something
less than risible. <LOL>

>>I'm neither amused nor entertained.

>Well, boo-hoo.

Fret not, Mr. Roberts. I've considered the source and just
gotten used to it.

>>I've been warned by others that this is a tactic that you (et
>>al) use to needle and intimidate and control... Forgetting for a
>>moment how pathetic and ineffective they are, at present they
>>only increase my desire to rip off your literary head and use
>>your bubbling throat for a field toilet. I hope I've been
>>abundantly clear. Thanks.

>Sadly Alfred, you're rarely clear. Go through this email again
>and answer my questions. Go on, off you go.

It was no problem at all, sir, glad to oblige!

>And to the others involved in Max's little game.

This is not about Burns or any others, sir. I know the spotlight
gets hot, but you've called for it yourself. Moreover, there are
no others... I'm not to blame... you're a victim of karma catch-
up, is all. Get used to it. Better, own up to it!

>Instead of
>wasting Errol's List with your nonsense, why not get together by
>email, come up with a list of clear and concise questions and
>post them here. I promise to answer each one as fully as I can.
>Then you can all crawl back under your stones until the next
>conspiracy comes along.

I think it unlikely that anyone will be taking directions and
suggestions from you, Mr. Roberts. You've lost any right to
dictate terms in this exercise, if you had them to begin
with.....

>Are you organised enough to do this? I very much doubt it.

>Ufologists eh?

A middle-schooler with a website of old Billy Meier photos is
more ufologist than you are sir, whatever the capabilities of
the rest of us. You're -not- the measure you pretend to be.

>Happy Trails

Happier and happier, eh Mr. Roberts? The trail fun-meter is -
pegged-!

Lehmberg@snowhill.com

EXPLORE "AlienViewGroup" at its HostPros URL.

<http://www.alienview.net>

JOHN FORD RESTORATION FUND - John will be released eventually. He'll need a tax free cash stake to get on his feet. Let's put one together for him; the bigger it is - the more attention he gets. It could have been you. E-mail for detail. \$450.00 pledged - \$200.00 collected!

"I cleave the heavens, and soar to the infinite. What others see from afar, I leave far behind me." - Giordano Bruno, scourged by the scabrously specious scurrilous.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Boone

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 13:08:29 EST
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 13:59:56 -0500
Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Boone

>From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
>Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 16:05:18 -0500
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03

>Maussan is not by any stretch of the imagination a scientist or
>a trained/skilled researcher. It's unfair to hold him to those
>strict standards. What needs to be done with someone like Jaime
>Maussan is to evaluate the merits of each case he presents one
>at a time. Maussan is a channel for a ton of raw data. Some of
>it solid gold. That's why it's dangerous to label him a 'clown'
>and dismiss him completely. Again, he's not a scientist. I don't
>expect the same level of 'competence' from him as I would a
>bona-fide scientific researcher,

Whoa...hold the bus here! "Maussan is not by any stretch of the
imagination a scientist or trained/skilled researcher."?

How the heck did he get a job as top newsman for CBS then?
Unless you mean he's not a trained/skilled 'UFO' researcher.

Maussan graduated college and is a CBS boss. You don't get there
not knowing how to research. It's SOP in professional journalism
and believe you me, there're enough lawyers that CBS would have
down his throat if he was half-stepping on the professional
research end.

That's why Maussan is to be held to a higher standard. If he's
made goof-ups in UFO research I wouldn't fault him a bit
considering the source and presentation of UFO data. Or maybe
he's just playing the game card which is what many journalists
do when facing an issue of questionable tactics. That way if
something goes wrong the journalist absolves the network from
liability. Old school tactic.

Maussan is known by more people than, probably Madonna, in the
spanish world. He's spent over 2 decades reporting on government
etc. and if he was that innacurate he'd have been canned a long
time ago.

Too often us Yankees poke fun at the journalists from Central
and S. America. Yet many of them venture into territory so
hostile it's almost unfathomable. Drug lords, rain forests,
gangs etc. I know cause I've been there and let me tell you, I
wouldn't go to Mexico to report on school lunches without a
platoon of Marines with me. Brazil? For-get-it! How many
journalists have been killed and tortured for trying to focus on
the drug lords? No way!

Maussan is a top journalist in a rough neighborhood. That's
gotta be tough enough.

So he may or may not have made a goof up researching UFOs.

Who hasn't???

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case! -

From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <gevaerd@ufo.com.br>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 15:19:43 -0200
Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 14:02:09 -0500
Subject: Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case! -

>From: Ken Kelly <elprospero@yahoo.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 18:48:58 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!

>>From: Marcos Malvezzi Leal <malvezzil@ig.com.br>
>>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Subject: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!
>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 07:52:59 -0200

>>Unlike the infamous so-called "Barra da Tijuca" incident, in
>>which a supposed UFO photograph was proven as an undeniable
>>hoax,

>Please cite the evidence you have in support of the several
>"Barra da Tijuca" photographs being hoaxed. Otherwise your
>opinion is unworthy of consideration.

Ken,

If you still believe that the Ed Keffel Barra da Tijuca's photos are for real? I am sorry but you are either misinformed or need an update in your info. Urgently!

A. J. Gevaerd

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Secrecy News -- 12/11/03

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood@fas.org>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 14:18:37 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 14:19:50 -0500
Subject: Secrecy News -- 12/11/03

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2003, Issue No. 107
December 11, 2003

** CIA VETOES RELEASE OF 1968 PRESIDENTIAL BRIEF
** CIA STRATEGY MEMO ON HOW TO HANDLE DOCUMENT REQUEST
** NATIONAL SECURITY LANGUAGE ACT
** LOST AND FOUND

CIA VETOES RELEASE OF 1968 PRESIDENTIAL BRIEF

Director of Central Intelligence George J. Tenet has intervened to prevent the partial declassification of a 1968 issue of the President's Daily Brief, overruling for the first time an interagency panel that had ordered release of the document.

DCI Tenet invoked the authority that was granted by a March 2003 Bush executive order which permits him to block the declassification decisions of the Interagency Security Classification Appeals Panel.

Independent historian Peter Pesavento had requested declassification of the President's Daily Brief (PDB) dated November 26, 1968 because it reportedly discusses the status and implications of the Soviet manned lunar program, a subject of his current research interest.

Remarkably, the Interagency Security Classification Appeals Panel (ISCAP), an executive branch body composed of representatives of five member agencies that considers declassification appeals, sided with Pesavento and voted in favor of partial declassification of the requested PDB. That is, a majority of the panel rejected the CIA's position and said the document could be safely disclosed in part.

But then DCI Tenet stepped in to block disclosure. Exercising the new secrecy powers granted him by President Bush for the first time, he vetoed the ISCAP decision.

Pesavento said that, pursuant to the provisions of the executive order, the National Archivist, an ISCAP member, has appealed the DCI's veto to the White House. But to date, no response to the appeal has been received from the White House. Under existing bylaws and orders, there is no deadline for response, ever.

J. William Leonard, director of the Information Security Oversight Office and ISCAP executive secretary, today confirmed Pesavento's general account but said he could not discuss it in detail because "it is a subject of pending deliberation."

Trying to imagine CIA's rationale for blocking release of the document, Pesavento speculated that "If this PDB gets okayed for declassification, then this will be the 'opening of the floodgates' it is feared to all PDBs now in the LBJ archives...and beyond...."

In fact, CIA has consistently treated PDBs as sacrosanct and beyond the purview of ordinary mortals. Regardless of their specific contents, the fact that the PDBs served as their intelligence conduit to the President should render them permanently beyond legal access and independent review, the CIA seems to believe.

The CIA approach is far from the ideal of a threat-based information security policy, in which classification is strictly limited to sensitive information that could damage national security. It represents instead a kind of fetishism on the part of CIA officials.

Most recently, the National Commission on Terrorist Attacks that is investigating September 11 clashed with the White House over access to PDBs, finally reaching an arrangement for limited access by a subset of Commissioners.

President Bush this year weakened the ISCAP by giving the DCI veto authority over the Panel's decisions to declassify CIA records. See executive order 13292, section 5.3(f):

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/bush/eoamend.html>

The CIA had challenged ISCAP in the past, but in a 1999 opinion the Justice Department Office of Legal Counsel (OLC) determined that ISCAP was authorized by the President to declassify CIA records over CIA objections. That authority has been drastically curtailed by President Bush, leaving CIA free to classify, and over-classify, at will. See the 1999 OLC opinion here:

http://www.fas.org/sgp/advisory/iscap/olc_opinion.html

Peter Pesavento and space expert Charles Vick of GlobalSecurity.org are authors of a groundbreaking new study of the Soviet lunar program. Their paper, entitled "The Moon Race 'End Game': A New Assessment of Soviet Crewed Lunar Aspirations," will be published in Quest: The History of Spaceflight Quarterly in three parts beginning in January 2004.

<http://www.spacebusiness.com/quest/>

CIA STRATEGY MEMO ON HOW TO HANDLE DOCUMENT REQUEST

The mindless, reflexive secrecy surrounding the President's Daily Brief (PDB) is also evident in an internal CIA memo discussing how to respond to a request for PDBs and other intelligence materials that were sought by requester Michael Ravnitzky.

"I don't believe we can get away with an NRL on the subject case," the May 2002 memo states with startling candor. NRL here stands for "no records located."

Following some impudent commentary on Ravnitzky's motives and intentions, the CIA memo concludes by proposing redaction and release of "specified NID/CIBs" [referring to the National Intelligence Daily and the Central Intelligence Bulletin] while recommending that the Agency "deny the PDBs in accordance with out current policy."

Another CIA official concurs. "We may be in a stronger posture to defend the PDBs if we have made a reasonable accommodation on the CIBs."

A copy of the CIA memo on the Ravnitzky Case, marked "Administrative - Internal Use Only," was obtained by Secrecy News and is posted here:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/advisory/iscap/cia050602.pdf>

Mr. Ravnitzky expressed surprise at the memo, pointing out that he had filed his request in good faith, seeking only a small number of specifically identified documents.

"Such intelligence briefings should be released unless their release would cause harm to national security, or would disclose sources and methods, or would cause some articulable harm," he told Secrecy News.

"The CIA is handling these ... requests in such an unusual manner because they cannot articulate any harm that would be caused by the release of portions of these ancient and historically invaluable daily briefings," Ravnitzky said.

NATIONAL SECURITY LANGUAGE ACT

"We can no longer keep our nation safe if we do not commit ourselves to learning the languages and cultures of critical areas around the world," said Rep. Rush Holt (D-NJ).

But "more college students currently study Ancient Greek (20,858) than Arabic (10,596), Korean (5,211), Persian (1,117), and Pashto (14) put together," he said.

Accordingly, Rep. Holt and several colleagues this week introduced a bill entitled the National Security Language Act that use federal grants and other incentives "to strengthen the national security through the expansion and improvement of foreign language study." See:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2003_cr/hr3676.html

LOST AND FOUND

Whole libraries of unclassified government documents continue to quietly vanish from the public domain, as more and more government web sites are moved behind a firewall to an access-controlled network.

Such is the case with the Army's Reimer Digital Library, one preeminent source of online doctrinal publications that is "in the process of transitioning to a new website" which will be password-protected.

Much of it is already gone, like the March 2000 Army Field Manual 100-12 on Theater Missile Defense Operations, which used to be here:

<http://www.adtdl.army.mil/cgi-bin/atdl.dll/fm/100-12/toc.htm>

But those members of the public who, um, forgot their password can still find the document here, courtesy of GlobalSecurity.org:

<http://tinyurl.com/ysul>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request@lists.fas.org with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood@fas.org

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood@fas.org
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: UFOs Inter-Dimensional - Pursel

From: John Pursel <tiroch@sympatico.ca>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 14:57:39 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 14:26:33 -0500
Subject: Re: UFOs Inter-Dimensional - Pursel

>From: Tim Shell <tshell@vcmail.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 09:56:24 -0600
>Subject: Re: UFOs Inter-Dimensional - Shell

>>From: John Pursel <tiroch@sympatico.ca>
>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 18:43:58 -0500
>>Subject: UFOs Inter-Dimensional

>>Well I have decided that UFOs are real. That these materialize
>>from another dimension +5. That these vibrate (exist) at a ULF
>>or VLF frequency just below visible light and adjust frequency
>>when wishing to be visible. That these use a magnetic/anti-
>>gravity drive sourcing fuel from our Earth's magnetic net or
>>power grids. That non-humans operate the machines. That
>>residence is in another universe in Dimension X. That these will
>>play with us until the operators/controllers decide it is time
>>to get serious.

>What's the big hurry to sit down and decide on anything? Why do
>you feel like you have to settle on an explanation of these
>things? If you do that, there will be a tendency for you to
>start filtering information. If it doesn't fit your beliefs,
>you'll throw it out. If it does, you'll say, "Great, more
>evidence." I would suggest that's not a good thing. Frankie Says
>Relax.

Well after 40 years of doing this on an in/out schedule I figure
it is time to sort the wheat from the chaff by far, or fish or
cut bait and etc. It is time to distill and focus. Multiple
dimensions form string theory. EM frequencies go from nil to
infinity. SETI decided to focus at +/- 2.4 gigahertz as the
quiet "blue zone" of the spectrum and quit all else. If UFOs
are anything other than scientific I would not be studying the
topic. I can't have an alien point of view as I am not an alien.

Also, I think you may not be thinking "alien" enough. Once you
start talking about multiple dimensions and EM frequencies, and
attributing human motivations to aliens, I see you're trying to
put UFOs in some kind of quasi-scientific context using a very
human point-of-view. UFOs and aliens may turn out to be a lot
more complicated and subtle than that.

>Why ever is it that no event has ever been able to get around
>the Government and be believed by the masses as really, really
>real?

If a huge saucer crashed in downtown Chicago, the government
would have a pretty difficult time covering that up. But
something like that just hasn't happened (obviously, or you
would have heard about it). And in the final analysis, photos,
videos, witness testimony, etc., are suggestive but not as good
as a nice shiny saucer we can all look at and touch and study
and say, "Yes, this is real."

And the fact that nothing like that has happened might be
another hint of the subtle complexity I was talking about above.
Just my opinion.

I was hardly naive or even close to serious. The comment was obvious when I said "really, really real".

John

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: Who's Keeping Tabs On 'Mars Jam 2004'? -

From: **Mac Tonnies** <macbot@yahoo.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 12:07:46 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 14:28:17 -0500
Subject: Re: Who's Keeping Tabs On 'Mars Jam 2004'? -

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 13:31:57 EST
>Subject: Who's Keeping Tabs On 'Mars Jam 2004'?

<snip>

>So far the Japanese probe is on the blink and the Big Kahuna
>British salvo is highly anticipated to put an end all and be all
>to 'artificial objects' on the Big Red Marble.

For the life of me, I don't know why anyone would expect an orbital photo to resolve the artificial vs. natural controversy. Hopefully Mars Express can provide some interesting data, but I certainly don't expect it to "prove" or "disprove" anything. To think otherwise is to completely ignore the legacy of the Mars Global Surveyor, which has taken numerous pictures of anomalous Mars surface features.

=====

Mac Tonnies (macbot@yahoo.com)

Explore MTVI @ <http://www.mactonnies.com>

Posthuman Blues: <http://posthumanblues.blogspot.com> (daily blog)

New book!

AFTER THE MARTIAN APOCALYPSE: in bookstores everywhere July, 2004
<http://www.mactonnies.com/atma.html>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 15:55:25 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 14:32:04 -0500
Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 11:23:49 EST
>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:57:02 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

><snip>

>>I don't think mentioning anybody's name, Jesus or whoever,
>>would have stopped what happened to her and her mom that night.
>>Ditto for me and for many other cases I can quote from.

>>Let's make a distinction between those who are 'imagining' they
>>are being abducted and those who are ACTUALLY being abducted and
>>this thread will suddenly begin to make some sense. I resent the
>>lack of distinction between the two here in this thread.

Hi Greg,

You inquired:

>John, it would be of interest to know religious backgrounds of
>abductees. Has anyone got stats on this?

As with many other aspects of the abduction phenomenon there is no hard data on such a thing. Just as there is no hard data on ethnic breakdown, male to female ratios, age group preferences (if any) or any medically based questions involving experiencers.

Un-answered questions like these and many, many others I did not list here, is the reason why I'm always belly-aching about the need for an independent scientific investigation into our reports.

Questions like the one you ask need to be asked under the auspices of a formal investigation because of their very personal nature. There are laws that prevent potential employers asking about the religious background of job applicants. We're dealing with sensitive issues here.

Good question... no answers are currently available. And after thirty + years of abduction reports isn't it a crying shame that we do not have even the most basic of 'data' collected on a phenom that affects thousands (if not millions) world-wide.

Regards,

John Velez

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell@vcmail.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 14:52:49 -0600
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 14:34:11 -0500
Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Shell

>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs@comcast.net>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 09:17:03 -0700
>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

>Rhetorical Question: Why are the debunking views of Andy
>Roberts and his feral distain for anyone who engages in the
>study of Ufology being espoused on UFO UpDates and, for that
>matter, all debunkers, pelicanists, etc., who haunt the List?

I guess I like to hear all sides of the story. I consider myself to be a reasonable, rational person. I give myself and other rational people credit for having a brain in their heads.

Besides, I require the same level of proof from a debunker or skeptic that I do from anyone else who wants to make a claim, because I'm a real skeptic.

I don't un-critically believe anybody! If I get all wound-up about a sighting or a story, and a debunker can provide me with acceptable proof that it's all a load of crap, sure it hurts, at first. But I'd rather know the real story than live in my own fantasy world. As for the hard-core debunkers who don't bother to offer quality proof of their claims, I lump them into the same category as the Space Brother channelers who do the same, and ignore them. I'm a good ignorer. I've dabbled in groups that only allow one perspective. They're a horror.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Roberts

From: **Andy Roberts** <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 21:19:25 -0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 14:37:17 -0500
Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Roberts

>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs@comcast.net>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 09:17:03 -0700
>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

>Rhetorical Question: Why are the debunking views of Andy Roberts
>and his feral distain for anyone who engages in the study of
>Ufology being espoused on UFO UpDates and, for that matter, all
>debunkers, pelicanists, etc., who haunt the List?

Why not? It's a List for discussion about UFOs, which I have
done extensively over the last six years or so.

>Personal Comment Number 1: If UFO UpDates exists for the "study
>of UFO-related phenomena," why is the subject allowed to be
>hijacked by CSICOPian cultists, debunkers, etc., whose sole aim
>is to prevent the "study of UFO-related phenomena" and interfere
>with the stated aim of UFO Updates?

Because sceptics have as much right to a say as anyone else. I
don't really understand why you and anyone else should want to
have a closed list which only discusses the things you believe
in but which aren't proven!

>Personal Comment Number 2: Is there not one ufological forum in
>existence that is free from CSICOPian cult terrorism? If not,
>_why_not_?

That's right Wendy, ghettoise ufology so that only those singing
from the same hymn sheet get a look in. Why are you all obsessed
with CSICOP? Do they frighten you? I know nothing about them
other than they appear to be a very bad bogeyman indeed!

>Personal Comment Number 3: I'd really be thrilled if Andy
>Roberts and CSICOPian cultists were driven off the "Happy
>Trails" of UFO UpDates, but that's my personal opinion.

How queer Wendy. Despite your odd views I'm quite happy to have
you on this List as I believe you have actually put something
into the subject.

Happy Trails

Andy

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Roberts

From: **Andy Roberts** <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 21:21:28 -0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 14:43:03 -0500
Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Roberts

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 12:56:22 -0600
>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

>Those were indictments, Mr. Roberts, not complements.

I'll take them as compliments Alfred.

I asked Alfred if he could quote where, exactly in Max's article, I 'intimidated' witnesses. As I noted, he was unable to come up with any such evidence. Because it didn't exist. Nor, for that matter, did Dave Clarke 'intimidate' any witnesses. This is how Max works. Because a witness said (or so he claims) something different to what they said to Dave he must have been 'intimidated'. What utter rubbish.

Alfred - answer the question. Use quotes which back up exactly what you say.

>That's fine! Why don't you write a 'cited' article for
>'publication' the way Mr. Burns did, instead of making these
>less than stirring (and even less convincing) proclamations of
>verity. I'm sure any lies Mr. Burns (and Dr. O'hara) have
>proffered are actionable and you can set the record straight for
>us at last... you will be taking civil action of course!

I think you'll find, if you bothered to check, that Dave's investigation of the Sheffield case is on our web site. You won't agree with it of course, because it doesn't fit your paranoid world view. But it's there.

I repeat again Alfred, answer the questions about the statements you claim is in Max's article, in plain English.

I'm pleased this little scenario has given you something to cling to within ufology. I answer questions - any question - with clarity.

Waiting for answers Alfred...

Happy Trails

Andy

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case! -

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99@hotmail.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 21:35:00 +0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 14:44:45 -0500
Subject: Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case! -

>From: Ken Kelly <elprospero@yahoo.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 18:48:58 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!

>>From: Marcos Malvezzi Leal <malvezzil@ig.com.br>
>>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Subject: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!
>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 07:52:59 -0200

>>Unlike the infamous so-called "Barra da Tijuca" incident, in
>>which a supposed UFO photograph was proven as an undeniable
>>hoax,

>Sir:

>Please cite the evidence you have in support of the several
>"Barra da Tijuca" photographs being hoaxed. Otherwise your
>opinion is unworthy of consideration.

>Ken Kelly

Good God! How many times must we revisit ancient history? How old are you, Ken? Were you even alive in 1952? Those of us who were have been through this routine over and over. Let's put it this way: Please cite your evidence that the Barra da Tijuca photos are not a hoax. All contemporary evidence and investigations clearly indicated that they were hoaxed. Go back and read the historical record. And if you dispute it, give us your counter-evidence.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

From: John Rimmer <jrimmer@magonia.demon.co.uk>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 20:12:46 +0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 14:30:10 -0500
Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 11:23:49 EST
>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:57:02 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>John, it would be of interest to know religious backgrounds of
>abductees. Has anyone got stats on this?

I would be amazed if anyone has.

From discussions on this List some time ago it would appear that abduction researchers do not even keep records of the ethnic origin of the abductees they are dealing with. Indeed, my suggestion that such information might help give an insight into the phenomenon, was treated as being very "politically incorrect".

It would appear that abductionists were far too polite to note such a thing, even if it was obvious from a person's appearance or name!

The idea of actually asking what someone's religion is would probably give them an attack of the vapors!

--
John Rimmer
Magonia Magazine
www.magonia.demon.co.uk/arc/00/newmag.htm

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Roberts

From: **Andy Roberts** <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 21:45:44 -0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 15:22:06 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Strange Secrets' - Roberts

>From: Stuart Miller <Stuart.Miller4@btinternet.com>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 15:31:58 -0000
>Subject: Re: 'Strange Secrets'

Pilgrims,

Stuart wrote:

>I would imagine the Grateful Dead were exactly that in your
>company.

Hopeless attempt at humour. Arf arf. As the man say: 'Your
either on the bus or you're off the bus' I guess you're still
waiting at the bus stop!

>Of
>course, I would prefer it was done with honest material, without
>contrived and pre-determined conclusions but that is a
>preference, not a condition. May your bank balance only grow
>Andy. I would like that ten pounds by the way.

All my books are 'honest'. That's why they're so well
referenced. So I can point the pedants to the source material.

>I do admire your naivete or arrogance but I can't determine
>which it is.

Arrogance, Stuart

>It is truly puerile to suggest that someone's
>opinion on a subject is not worthwhile because they haven't
>written a book, but you seem happy to confirm that. I guess it's
>got to be arrogance then. You really do yourself no favours on
>this List, at times, Andy. It is also patently obvious that you
>regard virtually every researcher and advocate of
>extraterrestrial

Many have become the basis of much of Ufology as it is today (ie
Adamski). Hoaxes are done to test the abilities and procedures
of researchers. If they can't solve hoaxes then they should take
up knitting. The fact that they wind the believers up is
evidence of the above. If no one was bothered I wouldn't be sat
here watching Eastenders and trying to eat my tea whilst
typing.

>And you wonder why people hit back at you with
>venemous aggression?

Stuart, I don't know what part of the multiverse you inhabit! I
manage a hostel for chaotic offenders, drug users etc. I see and
deal with real 'venemous aggression' each and every day and
have done for 14 years. The name calling I see on this List
doesn't even get the needle off the bottom of the dial when it
comes to 'venemous aggression'.

>Do you wear Hi Tech walking boots?

Possibly intended as humour/irony/sarcasm? You can never tell

with Stuart. But no. Brasher boots (goretex lined) for hill walking, Walsh's for running. Only the best MI5 issue for me Stuart!

>BTW, a few of us have a new game called Roberts' Russian
>Roulette. I'll explain the rules in more detail next time. But
>it involves seeing how often you shoot yourself in the head in
>an individual post. It's quite tricky but there seem to be more
>playing this than that bingo game about Jerry.

Good. Incidentally, the new issue of Magonia has an excellent article by Mendoza all about Jerry Clark, his inability to debate correctly and his inability to distinguish historical fact from ufological speculation. It also contains the rules for Jerry Clark bingo!

Happy Trails

Andy

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

BBC Timewatch

From: **Andy Roberts** <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 21:54:42 -0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 15:24:46 -0500
Subject: BBC Timewatch

Source: BBC Online

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/history/programmes/timewatch/index.shtml>

Friday 9 January 2004, 9-9.50 pm

BBC2

Consultants: Dr David Clarke, Andy Roberts

The prestigious BBC 2 historical documentary program presents the first ever TV history of flying saucers and UFOs in the United Kingdom.

Using unseen archive footage, interviews and dramatic re-constructions, Britain's X Files takes the viewer through Ufological history from the 1950s Cold War era to the heady days of late 1960s psychedelia and beyond to the Rendlesham forest incident.

Witness interviews, TV and film archives and popular culture are examined to see the effect the UFO had on society in the UK in these two significant decades. The entire spectrum of the UFO enigma during the period is covered: cults, contactees, flying saucer religions, space babies, Venusian speakers and more.

UFO cases include: the Little Rissington incident of 1952, the Flying Saucer Working Party, the police chase of the flying cross in 1967.

The programme features interviews with: witnesses, Cold War experts, historians of Britain's counter culture and more...

Don't miss this ground breaking show. Timewatch is Britain's most popular TV history programme and viewing figures from the current series averaged 3-3.5 million viewers.

Happy Trails

Andy & Dave

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: Who's Keeping Tabs On 'Mars Jam 2004'? - Hand

From: William Hand <ufotruth@comcast.net>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 16:54:54 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 15:28:37 -0500
Subject: Re: Who's Keeping Tabs On 'Mars Jam 2004'? - Hand

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 13:31:57 EST
>Subject: Who's Keeping Tabs On 'Mars Jam 2004'?

>Several space craft are about to turn Mars into a jamfest.

>What do you all expect to glean from the data collected?

>So far the Japanese probe is on the blink and the Big Kahuna
>British salvo is highly anticipated to put an end all and be all
>to 'artificial objects' on the Big Red Marble.

>If any of the other craft due foul up, what do you think the
>public reaction will be?

>What's going to be worse, finding nadda or finding something
>and having to listen to Dick Hoagland do an " I Told You So "
>miniseries on national tv? :)

Personally, I would find it wonderfully delighting if something significant was discovered on Mars. If the ESA lander due to arrive on Christmas day found an artifact sticking out of the ground, a tree or bush growing, or even something more amazing I will dance around in circles for joy.

NASA and their various cohorts have been playing games with us when it comes to Mars for far too long. They lied to us from the start when they claimed that they had a second image of the "Face on Mars" _proving_ it was just a trick of light and shadow. That additional image from Viking did not exist and now it has been admitted that it never existed.

They have adjusted the red filters from the start so that nearly every single image from Mars shows a red rusty ground and a red dusty sky. If you simply adjust the colors so they match the CALIBRATION charts on the landers you see that Mars is NOT SO RED AFTER ALL!

Then we had to FIGHT for an additional image of the "Face on Mars". What did we FINALLY get after a series of lies? The CATBOX IMAGE! It was so horrible that an amateur with a commonly available program could make a better image from the raw data in matter of minutes. It was deliberately distorted.

Then we were promised by Dan Golden that we would recieve notification of when images were to be released and then would recieve them promptly. Well, we did get some new images. But we were NOT told ahead of time, did NOT recieve them promptly, and most of the time did not even know they existed until after they were released.

Additionally, there are numerous features that are at least stunningly interesting that NASA chooses to ignore rather than re-image. There are features that look like tubes, trees, bushes, lakes, and even artificial structures on the surface. But instead of investigating the small chance that these might signs of life they would rather chase after dust devils, search

for deeper sand-dunes to bury their heads in, and run screaming in search for geological features they can smile about.

The current day NASA runs from anything interesting or facinating, and ignores every single interesting thing they spot.

Of course that does not take into account all the Mars probes that have had troubles or disapeared. If you do your online research you will realize that it is very possible NASA at the least did not tell us the truth and possibly took the craft "black".

Then we have to deal with the STS shuttle videos showing all kinds of objects zipping around and a plasma blast coming from the surface of the planet. Of course NASA calls them ice crystals, but that answer is absurd to anyone who gives the videos a cursory examination. What are these objects then? Whatever they are, they are something NASA does not want us to know about and are not willing to talk about.

I could go on and on and on about the problems NASA has given us. I could also continue about the images they refuse to take and about the many other times they have lied about other issues (such as how it was impossible to image the moon with the Hubble Space Telescope and then all of a sudden years later it happened). But I will not go into all of that.

I will simply state that I hope the ESA captures something facinating on Mars that will make NASA wet their pants and their controllers in the intelligence and black op community panic. I am a patriotic American citizen and would love for the United States to make such a discovery first. But I think the United States and NASA has had the chance, and have probably made fantastic discoveries. However, the powers that be will not let them be released to the public for many reasons, and also due to Brookings (not to mention that one domino falling could easily start the whole UFO coverup to unravel, it will just take something significant enough to push over the first domino).

So I say it is time for the ESA to make a discovery and use it to humiliate NASA and expose them for their dishonesty. I hope it does change the world as we know it and wakes up billions of people to the true glory and magnificance of the universe God created.

And I hope to see Richard C. Hoagland on TV over, and over, and over again! Because he is one of several who will DESERVE the recognition for fighting for the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: Trindade Material - Part III - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <mshough@parcellular.fsnet.co.uk>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 22:03:50 -0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 15:31:45 -0500
Subject: Re: Trindade Material - Part III - Shough

>From: John Harney <maagonia@harneyj.freemove.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 18:07:13 -0000
>Subject: Re: Trindade Material - Part III

>>From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac@compuserve.com>
>>Date: Mon, 8 Dec 2003 15:45:08 -0500
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Subject: Re: Trindade Material - Part III

>>>From: John Harney >maagonia@harneyj.freemove.co.uk>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>>Date: Sat, 6 Dec 2003 19:42:18 -0000
>>>Subject: Re: Trindade Material - Part III

<snip>

>>>It seems incredible that when
>>>Barauna was trying to take his photos he was impeded by the
>>>sailors "frantically running about the ship's deck". Really! Was
>>>that the state of discipline in the Brazilian Navy in the 1950s?
>>>What a rabble, eh? Or is it possible that Barauna was grossly
>>>exaggerating for dramatic (or comic?) effect?

>>I suppose Harney has no understanding of the possible excitement
>>that could occur upon the realization that a really strange
>>object was flying by. Certainly sailors could have been running
>>about the deck and jostling for viewing position without regard
>>for someone trying to take pictures. And Baruna, one may
>>imagine, was trying to site through the lens apparatus and not
>>simply trying to avoid being bumped by sailors.

>Look, this was not a cruise liner - it was a Brazilian Navy
>_training_ship_. Sailing ships (with auxiliary engines) like the
>Almirante Saldanha are also used by other navies. They are used
>to train young recruits in seamanship and _discipline_, so that
>they can cope with any emergencies they are likely to encounter
>at sea. An obvious emergency, for a naval vessel, would be the
>appearance of an unidentified and possibly hostile aircraft. Yet,
>when this happens the men on the deck of the Almirante Saldanha
>just panic (if we believe Barauna). This is not a trivial matter;
>it must be clarified if we are to take the story seriously.

Hi John

Since the detailed disposition of the men on deck does not seem
to be known, might the men have been 'frantically running'
towards their various stations as a result of what you
describe as an emergency? In the circumstances - a first real-
life defensive alert for young recruits - it wouldn't be
surprising if this deployment were just a bit chaotic, would it?
The more so if there were indeed a 'flying saucer' swooping
past!

Good point about the tripod, though.

Martin Shough

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: Alien Abductee Stress - Velez

From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 18:06:20 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 15:33:26 -0500
Subject: Re: Alien Abductee Stress - Velez

>From: William Scott Scherk <wss@uniserve.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto" <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 08:11:06 -0800
>Subject: Alien Abductee Stress

>Intriguing article from ScienCentral.com
>Source: ScienCentral.com

>12-05-03

Hi All,

Mr. Scherk writes:

>Alien Abductee Stress

>Thousands of people claim that they have been abducted by
>aliens. Are they lying? Research suggests that, in many cases,
>those making the claim truly believe it happened.

I'd like to know what the research says about people who are so convinced they are correct they are absolutely positive that something didn't happen!

What do the studies say about people who do not allow intellectual room for any opposing possibilities. In this instance, that abduction experiences may actually be happening.

Bunch of pre-judging Scherks! Stresses me out, man! :)

Regards to All,

John Velez

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: Alien Abductee Stress - Morton

From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs@aol.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 18:17:34 EST
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 15:34:56 -0500
Subject: Re: Alien Abductee Stress - Morton

>From: William Scott Scherk <wss@uniserve.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto" <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 08:11:06 -0800
>Subject: Alien Abductee Stress

>Intriguing article from ScienCentral.com

>Source: ScienCentral.com

>http://www.sciencentral.com/articles/view.php3?article_id=218392122

>12-05-03

>Alien Abductee Stress

>Thousands of people claim that they have been abducted by
>aliens. Are they lying? Research suggests that, in many cases,
>those making the claim truly believe it happened.

<snip>

Fascinating.

William - this might interest you:

For sale:
5 pounds of assorted nuts and bolts, some rust.
\$1.

Also, a 45-year-old lawnmower. Doesn't run. The blade housing
(the protective shield) has partially corroded along the top
surface, leaving dangerous holes. 4-cycle B&S engine, 1
sparkplug. \$5.

Dave Morton

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 15:26:19 -0600
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 15:18:03 -0500
Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Lehmborg

>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs@comcast.net>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 09:17:03 -0700
>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

>>From: Andy Roberts <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 15:56:20 -0000
>>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 15:12:55 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

>>>Pilgrims,

><snip>

>>>Ufologists eh?

>>>Happy Trails

>>>Andy

>Quote from EBK's UFO UpDates headliner: "UFO Updates - A mailing
>List for the study of UFO-related phenomena..."

>Rhetorical Question: Why are the debunking views of Andy Roberts
>and his feral distain for anyone who engages in the study of
>Ufology being espoused on UFO UpDates and, for that matter, all
>debunkers, pelicanists, etc., who haunt the List?

It may be that some of the more legitimately skeptical are necessary so you and I don't start huffing pixie-dust <LOL>, but I have been thinking in a similar vein for a few days now. I'm tired, too, of persons (like that lovely Mr. Scherk fellow who Dave Furlotte soundly drop-kicked on SDI last Saturday night) sailing in to spew tired debunkerisms (already soundly discredited many times over) like it's the first time they've ever been raised... It's like a game of "Whack-A-Mole" at the carnival... the faster you whack them the quicker they stick their little heads up through the hole. After a while, the arm gets tired.

>Personal Comment Number 1: If UFO UpDates exists for the "study
>of UFO-related phenomena," why is the subject allowed to be
>hijacked by CSICOPian cultists, debunkers, etc., whose sole aim
>is to prevent the "study of UFO-related phenomena" and interfere
>with the stated aim of UFO Updates?

UpDates is the undisputed Cadillac of Ufological Lists. I can see where the draw would be irresistible. If they can "make it" there, they can make it, anywhere?

>Personal Comment Number 2: Is there not one ufological forum in
>existence that is free from CSICOPian cult terrorism? If not,
>_why_not_?

I guess it comes with the territory?

>Personal Comment Number 3: I'd really be thrilled if Andy
>Roberts and CSICOPian cultists were driven off the "Happy
>Trails" of UFO UpDates, but that's my personal opinion.

Yeah! Then we could have more time to savage one another! <g>
Seriously though, perhaps that's a measure of verity for
UpDates. The CSICOPians are the type that would use the openness
of democracy to destroy same. Errol's operation is more
collegiate and open-bordered than that?

Be that as it may - "Whack-a-mole"! <LOL>

Lehmborg@snowhill.com

EXPLORE "AlienViewGroup" at its HostPros URL.
<http://www.alienview.net>

JOHN FORD RESTORATION FUND -- John will be released eventually.
He'll need a tax free cash stake to get on his feet. Let's put
one together for him; the bigger it is -- the more attention he
gets. It could have been you. E-mail for detail. \$450.00 pledged
-- \$200.00 collected!

"I cleave the heavens, and soar to the infinite. What others see
from afar, I leave far behind me." - Giordano Bruno, scourged by
the scabrously specious scurrilous.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case! -

From: Ken Kelly <elprospero@yahoo.com>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 15:41:40 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 15:40:08 -0500
Subject: Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case! -

>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <gevaerd@ufo.com.br>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto ><ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 15:19:43 -0200
>Subject: Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!

>>From: Ken Kelly <elprospero@yahoo.com>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 18:48:58 -0800 (PST)
>>Subject: Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!

>>>From: Marcos Malvezzi Leal <malvezzil@ig.com.br>
>>>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>>Subject: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!
>>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 07:52:59 -0200

>>>Unlike the infamous so-called "Barra da Tijuca" incident, in
>>>which a supposed UFO photograph was proven as an undeniable
>>>hoax,

>>Please cite the evidence you have in support of the several
>>"Barra da Tijuca" photographs being hoaxed. Otherwise your
>>opinion is unworthy of consideration.

>Ken,

>If you still believe that the Ed Keffel Barra da Tijuca's photos
>are for real? I am sorry but you are either misinformed or need
>an update in your info. Urgently!

List,

Would anyone be so kind as to offer some good references (in
English) on the 'Barra da Tijuca' case?

Ken Kelly

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: Pravda - 09-00 To 06-01 US Fighters Scrambled

From: Stephen MILES Lewis <ufoupdates@elfis.net>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 23:30:58 -0600
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 15:48:18 -0500
Subject: Re: Pravda - 09-00 To 06-01 US Fighters Scrambled

>From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:52:24 -0800
>Subject: Pravda - 09-00 To 06-01 US Fighters Scrambled 67 Times

>Source: Pravda

><http://engforum.pravda.ru/showthread.php3?s=&threadid=47676>

>12-10-03

>Between September 2000 and June 2001, US fighters launched 67
>times to escort aircraft.

To clarify ... the above link is to a repost in the Pravda
forums of the original article here:

Pentagon says 911 Interceptors flew: Too Far, too slow, too late
by William Thomas 19 November 2003
<http://globalresearch.ca/articles/THO311B.html>

I trust Global Research a lot further than I trust Pravda.

The article is not directly UFO-related, tho it is an important
part to understanding the true nature of the events of September
11th. It has been suggested by researchers I respect implicitly
that the exercises cited below could have been used to confuse
and effectively "Stand Down" NORAD and other quick response
military and / or to keep George Bush out of the communications
loop that fateful day because, allegedly, the control center of
the operations are the sub-contractors for AirForce
One/Presidential communications.

"On 9/11, CIA Was Running Simulation of a Plane Crashing into a
Building

Associated Press picks up story first reported by The Memory
Hole [see below]

Here's another admission which destroys the government's lie
that it couldn't possibly have foreseen the use of planes to ram
buildings.

The National Law Enforcement and Security Institute will be
holding a conference called "Homeland Security: America's
Leadership Challenge" in Chicago on 6 Sept 2002. The star
speaker is Rudolph Giuliani. One of the other speakers is CIA
man John Fulton. Here is the crucial sentence from the
promotional literature for the conference:

On the morning of September 11th 2001, Mr. Fulton and his team
at the CIA were running a pre-planned simulation to explore the
emergency response issues that would be created if a plane were
to strike a building.

One week after The Memory Hole first reported this story (14
August 2002), it was picked up by the Associated Press in the
following article:

Agency planned drill for plane crash last Sept. 11 - Associated Press

August 22, 2002"

<http://www.thememoryhole.org/911/cia-simulation.htm>

And

911 "War Games" by the US military before and during the attacks -- intentional confusion of air defenses that enabled the stand down?

<http://www.oilempire.us/wargames.html>

AND

John Judge on the 911 Strategy of Tension (37 minutes of John Judge's parapoltical perspective, living in DC and experiencing the 911 Pentagon attacks and the probable NORAD "stand down")

<http://www.parapolitics.info/copa/copa2002gallery/JohnJudge911.ram>

SMiles

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Gates

From: Robert Gates <RGates8254@aol.com>
Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 00:55:24 EST
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 15:49:57 -0500
Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Gates

>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman@psln.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 16:19:48 -0800
>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

>>From: David Rudiak <DRudiak@earthlink.net>
>>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 16:27:17 -0800
>>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

>>>From: William Scott Scherk <wss@uniserve.com>
>>>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>>Date: Sat, 6 Dec 2003 18:28:54 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

><snip>

>>The USAF came out with their infamous "Case
>>Closed" time-traveling crash dummy explanation for alien bodies,
>>and Col. Philip Corso also came out with his equally infamous
>>"Day After Roswell", supposedly "pro-Roswell," but possibly a
>>case of stealth debunkery because it was so full of obviously
>>inaccurate BS and exaggerated claims.

>David,

>Source: <http://www.caus.org/membercomments/mc062900.shtml>

>Blunders do not make a hoaxer or a liar. Col. Corso would have
>to be both if he didn't seed alien technology into US business,
>as he says he did. The *Day After Roswell* is about that fact
>and is not intended as a history of the cold war and shouldn't
>be read in that way. It comes down to whether you believe he was
>trying to tell the truth, not whether he produced a mistake free
>document. Col. Corso's testimony is primary source material and
>should be treated as such, just as we use diaries and old
>letters to understand the dynamics of the Civil War. There's
>absolutely no reason to believe that Col. Corso is a liar.

>He may have make numerous mistakes, but his testimony concerning
>the seeding of alien technology is a lie or it's the truth. It
>is certainly not a "mistake". Was he telling the truth about
>that or wasn't he? If he wasn't, then he's a liar and a fake.
>It's that issue that we all need to wrestle with. It's not
>enough to insist that he made some mistakes, therefore he
>shouldn't be believed. The mistakes have become red herrings
>pulled across our path to distract us from the important
>information Col. Corso has bravely given us.

The question allso boils down to allegedly what technology was
seeded into the private sector? Meaning what specific technology
did Corso and Army FTD give to companies which allowed them to
make some technological leap that they hadn't/couldn't have made
previously?

Cheers,

Robert

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

UFO Buffs Sue To Obtain Data On Pa. Fireball

From: **Eustaquio Andrea Patounas** <socex@terra.com.br>
Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 09:46:24 -0200
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 15:51:35 -0500
Subject: UFO Buffs Sue To Obtain Data On Pa. Fireball

Source: Cleveland Plain Dealer, Ohio

<http://www.cleveland.com/news/plaindealer/index.ssf?/base/lorain/1071225414279160.xml>

12/12/03

Michael Sangiacomo
Plain Dealer Reporter

Elyria - Were the fiery objects that crashed into Elyria 38 years ago Tuesday part of an unidentified flying object that crashed near the western Pennsylvania town of Kecksburg?

Inquiring minds want to know.

A group of UFO enthusiasts, backed by the Sci-Fi Channel, filed a lawsuit Tuesday seeking full disclosure of NASA records regarding the crash of a large, fiery object near Kecksburg.

According to a front-page story in The Plain Dealer on Dec. 10, 1965, smaller fireballs also crashed in the Elyria area, setting 10 small grass fires.

Mrs. Ralph Richards of West River Drive in Elyria told the newspaper she saw a "flaming object about the size of a basketball" crash into a field.

Government officials at the time said the main fireball and the smaller pieces came from a meteorite that broke up on entering the Earth's atmosphere.

But the Coalition for Freedom of Information, a group seeking more government information about UFOs, said witnesses reported watching the huge fireball maneuver through the sky before impact, suggesting it was "either a highly advanced space probe" or some other unknown object from outer space.

"Calling it a meteorite does not explain why the U.S. Army cordoned off the area and kept townspeople out of the site," said Larry Landsman of the SciFi Channel headquarters in New York. "The area was practically under martial law. People have reported seeing something hauled away from the scene, but this was always denied by the government."

The suit was filed in Washington, D.C., by Leslie Kean, of San Rafael, Calif., the investigative director of the Coalition for Freedom of Information. She asked that NASA be forced to release all information it has gathered on the Kecksburg crash.

The coalition was formed last year to concentrate on the "government operations relating to the investigation of unidentified flying objects."

According to the lawsuit, Kean filed a Freedom of Information Act request in January for information and was told that no such records exist.

A spokesman for NASA in Washington, D.C., said the agency had heard about the lawsuit but would have no comment.

To reach this Plain Dealer reporter:

msangiacomo@plaind.com, 216-999-4890

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting? - Manson

From: Rosa Manson <rosamanson@aol.com>
Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 07:15:40 EST
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 15:56:04 -0500
Subject: Re: 1733 - The First Known Sighting? - Manson

>From: Eustaquio Andrea Patounas <socex@terra.com.br>
>To: UFO UpDates -Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 08 Dec 2003 12:52:22 -0200
>Subject: 1733 - The First Known Sighting?

>Source: The Scotsman, UK

><http://www.news.scotsman.com/latest.cfm?id=2271652>

>On This Day - December 8

><snip>

>1733: A Dorset man reported seeing a polished silver disc in the
>sky the first known sighting of an Unidentified Flying Object,
>or UFO.

On the 8th December 1733, in a small town called Fleet, which is in Dorset (England), there was various eyewitnesses to what happened that day.

Mr James Cracker of Fleet, was down in the valley, in which it was a warm sunny day, when a burnished or new-washed silver flying object went flying passed in a northerly direction. This was intercepted by trees and was coruscating in and out in broad daylight.

The following day Mr. Edgecombe, he and another gentleman (whose name I cannot remember), saw the same flying object, flying from east to north 15 miles from the original site.

There is nothing else to be found other than in the County Records, which explains the same as the above, even the BBC who first put it out, have nothing else on this.

I hope this gives you some idea, of what happened, cannot go any further, may be one day. We will find something. Still looking in all the newspapers for that day, I will let you know if I find anything else.

Rosa Manson

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: Vernon British Columbia - 11-30-03 - Frison

From: Gene Frison <GeneFrison@aol.com>
Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 11:25:06 EST
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 16:02:44 -0500
Subject: Re: Vernon British Columbia - 11-30-03 - Frison

>From: Brian Vike - HBCC UFO <hbccufo@telus.net>
>To: "UFO Updates" <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Mon, 1 Dec 2003 14:44:31 -0800
>Subject: Vernon British Columbia - 11-30-03

>Vernon, British Columbia

>Date: November 30, 2003
>Time: 4:45 p.m.

>Hello Brian

>On November 30. Sunday at 4:45 p.m. I was watching a bright
>white light hovering low in the south west horizon. It was in
>the wrong place for Venus. A few minutes of observation and I
>knew it wasn't a plane or a helicopter. At about 4:50 it was
>slowly moving toward the west. It remained a bright white light.
>A few minutes later and I watched it descend into the trees on
>the hill directly south of Vernon airport. Through the binos I
>watched it disappear from sight, and the bottom of the light
>"seemed" to turn orange red as if it was shutting down.

>Then just over the lake my eye caught strobing lights moving too
>fast for a small plane about to land. I saw an "orb ascend
>quickly" towards (sort of) the north from the lake and in the
>binoculars it seemed to go right by a plane heading southwest.

>The plane was low (approx. 15,000 ft) with its wing lights
>on. The orb had pink strobing lights but was barely visible to
>the naked eye. The orb reminded me of the globes that appear when
>Ghosts are filmed. Nebulous but there. The sky was still in its
>final colors of sunset, though the sun was long gone.

>Wow. was I excited. take care

>Thank you to the witness for the report.

>Brian Vike
>Director HBCC UFO Research

>Vernon, British Columbia

>Date: December 3, 2003
>Time: 4:45 p.m.

>Hi Brian

>December 3 at 4:45 p.m. the bright white light appeared over the
>hill south of the airport. The sky was clear after sunset. It
>hovered and sparkled. A red sphere flashed by going south. My
>neighbor came out on his balcony for a cigarette. The white
>light hovered. When he went in it started it's vertical decent.
>It went down very slowly a bit at a time until it disappeared in
>the trees, at 500 p.m.

>It is difficult to describe the light. In the binoculars it has
>strobing red and other colours but white is the predominant one.
>It is white hot like a sparkler and hard to tell size or shape.
>I find it hard to believe that no one else has reported this as

>it is predominant in the sw sky and by the airport. It went down
>just before a jet landed. There is a population density around
>the airport and surrounding hills, and again I can't be the only
>one who sees this.

>The behavior of the light suggests that some people at a glance
>would view it as a star or a one spotlight plane on approach. It
>makes no sudden moves, as it moves in increments. This is a
>wonder-full event, lasting 20 minutes, from when I start viewing
>it.

>Thank you to the witness for the report.

>Brian Vike
>Director HBCC UFO Research

2003.12.11

Dear Brian and List:

Going back to your earlier e-mails regarding this sighting (apparently which extends into more than one sighting over a number of different nights), your witness states that the light being watched is situated low in the SW, which is exactly where Venus is visible at - low in the SW at dusk. Thus, it is hard to accept the claim made by the witness that the light was in the wrong place for Venus. Venus is, indeed, smack dab right in the portion of sky where the mystery light was observed precisely at the same time over several nights acting exactly like a setting celestial body. The light's punctuality, description, behavior, position, time of appearance and disappearance, and line of motion ALL make it consistent with one of the setting planets or stars known to be in that area of sky at that time.

The above description of the light when viewed through binoculars by the witness is a classic description of what happens when a star or planet is viewed through non-astronomical binoculars - particularly the red patch at the bottom. I know of sighting reports made by police officers who viewed stars through non-astronomical binoculars and provided the exact same description as your witness, and who were fooled into believing a star or planet was much more than it actually was. Because non-astronomical binoculars don't have appropriate color correction, the above distortion occurs when viewing stars and planets through them. Thus, the witness's belief that it 'shut down' really does nothing to elevate this above simple misinterpretation of a star or planet in the process of setting, although I suspect that this conviction of the witness that it did 'shut down' goes a long way towards fueling both the witness's and investigator's opinion that this is something mysterious. This demonstrates how an investigator's conclusions should be based more on careful ground work than on the witness's interpretations of what he or she believes they saw.

The other moving lights observed in the area sound suspiciously (no, exactly) like normal air traffic - the sighting did take place near an airport. Considering the distance and time of day, one would expect none other than the descriptions given to pertain to normal air traffic in the vicinity of the airport.

I communicated with Brian off-list who states that he spoke with the witness at length on the telephone and through e-mail. I asked what it was that the witness said which convinced him (Brian) that the observed light could not possibly be Venus, as Brian had said to me [quote from his e-mail to me] "But the Vernon sighting, it was not Venus. What it was is another question. But certainly not Venus. I spoke at length with the witness, both by email and telephone. "To date, I have received no reply from Brian to this question. It is significant to me that Brian, in his e-mail to me, mentions that he did a lot of talking to the witness via different channels about the sightings but never indicates that he did any checking into star charts, etc. - there is no mention of the investigation that eliminated the numerous possible answers that presented themselves. If this had been done, I think he would have told me [to the effect] 'I checked such-and-such chart and found this and this but they didn't match because...!' However, through several e-mails, there is no mention of this - only of the lengthy discussions with the witness. And I have asked him for information to convince me it could not be a planet or star!

I would strongly suggest that the Vernon, BC case of Nov. 30 - Dec. 3, 2003 can be explained as the misidentification of a setting planet (possibly Venus). There is nothing to justify raising this incident into the realm of the mysterious!

What sews this up for me and is proof positive as far as I'm concerned that this sighting report is solved as the misinterpretation of a celestial object is this: neither Brian nor his witness even remotely mention or consider Mercury as relevant to the events in question. Yet Mercury is clearly visible low in the SW at those times and sets at the time the witness says the light disappears from view. In fact, when I checked by entering Vernon's coordinates into my Starry Night program on hard disk, both Venus and Mercury jumped out from the screen at me - they were so prominent on the computer screen. And if one checks Heavens-Above.com, the presence of both these planets at that point in time/position is blatantly obvious. Venus and Mercury were only a couple of degrees apart! Both the witness and the investigator clearly have missed Mercury as being present at the sighting point and that it probably constitutes a significant contributing factor to the sightings.

The witness claims that the light was in the wrong place for Venus (which is not totally true) but, even if we do concede that the witness accurately recognized the light as not Venus) the witness does not mention Mercury, perhaps because Mercury is wholly absent from the witness's mind and considerations. In short, the witness does not seem to know about Mercury being visible there too. Brian, as well, fails to make the Mercury connection. If he had considered Mercury, one would think that when he was assuring me in his e-mail (quoted above) that it wasn't Venus, he would have mentioned and eliminated Mercury too. But he didn't! No mention of it, which I think, translates into no idea of it.

Take your pick ... either Venus or Mercury provides the likely solution to this case. Considering the 'sparkler' comparison made by the witness, I personally feel (in the absence of anything to discount Venus provided by Brian or his witness) that Venus is the more probable culprit of the two, due to its sheer prominence and the fact that Venus looks more like a sparkler in the evening sky than Mercury - Mercury appears to 'burn steadier'. It is possible though that the witness did recognize Venus or did see a light that he knew was not were Venus was supposed to be but got totally puzzled by Mercury.

Of course, now that I have presented Mercury as being present in the area of sky where the mystery light was located, nothing prevents the witness from now saying that it was in the wrong place for Mercury too. I'm not saying this will occur but I have seen cases where, when the witness was confronted with the true answer to something misidentified, the witness back-paddled and said [to the effect] "No, it wasn't that because I saw that too and it wasn't the same thing" - even though it was apparent they had missed it. They want to avoid looking foolish at not recognizing, and making big deal, out of a star. The important thing is that up until now neither witness nor investigator have mentioned Mercury despite the fact that it was right there in the sky where the sightings took place and very close to Venus to boot - I think this speaks volumes as to the failure of the witness to recognize Mercury and of the investigator to solve it through proper investigative methods. The window of opportunity has closed regarding this now because all of this information has been presented; the ground work should have been done right away before this information became available to contaminate witness and investigator.

This illustrates the danger of putting more emphasis on the collection of UFO sighting reports rather than on the thorough, accurate, and objective investigation of them. As Richard Hall said in the July 2000 issue of the MUFON UFO JOURNAL, "The work of [MUFON] investigators to investigate and document these cases is vitally important. To me, case validation is essential for establishing a significant data base. Preliminary reports are raw data only, whereas validated cases that have complete information and for which careful investigation has found no answers are the building blocks of all credible theory. Raw data, suspended judgement."

This is not meant as an attack on Brian. Personally, I admire

his tireless efforts and I wish we had a few more like him in our MUFON ranks. However, I question the value of the relentless posting of sighting reports without adequately checking into them. The above example illustrates how this can cloud and confuse things. In fact, I think in the long run it does more harm than good. Collection of UFO sighting reports is essential but competent, thorough, accurate, and objective investigation is the foundation of UFO research. There is no knowledge gained by us without this - what is collected is valueless until such investigation validates it as 'information.'

If this were an isolated incident, I would not have been behooved to write this post. However, I have been checking into a lot of cases (from behind the scenes) hitting the listservs from Brian's organization and have noticed a significant number of them are certainly explainable when one begins to dig. In fact, I would even go on record as saying that there is no major UFO flap going on in British Columbia, that it is all being created by those who want to believe that something extraordinary is going on there. Sure, BC, like the rest of Canada, is getting its fair share of unexplainable cases - maybe even a bit more than most other places in Canada. But from where I sit, if you start to dig into most of the BC cases and if you aren't setting out looking for something mysterious, then reasonable answers present themselves fairly quickly, and common sense will usually accept that these are more than likely the correct answers.

When one takes the time to compare satellite positions, astronomical charts, weather data, physical conditions in and round the areas, etc., etc. and dissect sighting reports as to description, behaviour, etc. - relevant to the BC region - one finds that about 95 percent of the cases involve lights or combinations of lights that do nothing out of the ordinary (no reversing direction or right angle turns, etc.) and which are mundane in appearance/configuration or which have probable explanations come easily forward. Is it a coincidence that this matches the belief held by a lot of reputable researchers that about 95 percent of UFO sighting reports can be explained as misinterpretations, misidentifications, hoaxes, etc.? Or is it pointing out that BC's sighting reports (like everywhere else) consist of about 95 percent misidentification/misinterpretation of mundane phenomena?

I'd like to see more hard investigation and objective research instead of relentless collection and posting of cases that have barely been checked into! This, at best, is sensationalizing of raw data! Post more RESULTS OF CAREFUL INVESTIGATIONS only! Maybe then we can find out what the answer to the question in the paragraph above is! Posting raw cases is one thing... attaching an aura of mystery to them without checking into them is another!

Again, this is not an attack on Brian. I view Brian as one of the best allies we have out there. But I do have the right, even obligation, to point out my concerns regarding his, or anybody else's, methods, conclusions, etc.! I think things have reached a point where the public is in danger of getting a distorted picture of things, particularly when it comes to BC, and that it is tainting the field of ufology even more than it already is. Brian has brought several of these cases into the MUFON UFO JOURNAL recently (October 2003) and our own evaluations of them through independent investigation lean in a much different direction. Had he not entered our journal, I would not have been stirred to respond regarding Brian's work. But enter he has, so, as the National Director of MUFON in Canada, I feel it is my duty to present to the public and to the membership our viewpoint on this work since it is contradictory to what Brian espoused in our journal - it does, afterall, involve Canadian cases and thus is part of my jurisdiction and concern. Chris Styles (our Canadian Director of Investigations) and I have checked into a number of these allegedly unexplainable events and we find there is no mystery attached to the majority of them. It all comes down to whether you prefer to accept strongly probable solutions or weave a lot of unrelated events into a mystery.

I'm not claiming we've solved them all... to the contrary, BC has many true anomalies occurring constantly. But the fact is, many cases are getting presented as mysteries that shouldn't even get mentioned because careful and objective investigation

(even cursory) would establish them as probably explainable in mundane terms. The noise level is being increased so much so here that any really good 'signals' may be getting lost.

Regards,
Eugene Frison

MUTUAL UFO NETWORK, INC. (MUFON)
.....

INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS
mufonhq@aol.com
John Schuessler - International Director

CANADIAN BOARD OF DIRECTORS

CANADIAN HEAD OFFICE
genefrison@aol.com
Eugene Frison - National Director

CANADIAN CASES INVESTIGATION COMMITTEE
cjas55@yahoo.ca
Chris Styles - Canadian Director of Investigations

CANADIAN RECORDS AND RESEARCH
mjs@intergate.ca
ebarker2@mb.sympatico.ca
Michael Strainic ... Ed Barker
Co-Directors of Canadian Cold Case Archives and Research

EASTERN REGION
linda.chernabrow@mcgill.ca
Linda Chernabrow - Eastern Regional Director (Canada)

CENTRAL REGION
mikebird@interlog.com
Mike Bird - Central Regional Director (Canada)

WESTERN REGION
gavin_mcleod@telus.net
Gavin McLeod - Western Regional Director

WEBSITE: <http://www.mufon.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

VSD UFO Magazine Special #6

From: Jan Aldrich <project1947@earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 13:21:00 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 16:06:42 -0500
Subject: VSD UFO Magazine Special #6

Special VSD UFO issue number 6, November 2003,
66 pages. 4.50 Euro.

Here are brief article descriptions with the author(s) credited
at the end.

I recently received this highly interesting issue for review.
The contents are certainly first rate. Starting off with a police
report of a sighting of a UFO near the French nuclear
facility at Gravelines which has operated since 1980. Pages from
the Gerdarmarie National report are reproduced with photographs
the witness, his aircraft and drawings of the object. (Bernard
Thouanel)

Next is an interview with Jean-Jacques Velasco, head of SEPRA
which investigates UFOs for the French space agency, CNES.
Velasco carefully conservative in his answers shows his office
and files while describing his work in official UFO
investigations of reports both from the military and the police.
(Franck Decoquement and Bernard Thouanel)

Claude Poher founder of GEPAN, the previous official French
investigative unit is also interviewed. Questions here centered
on research into gravitation, MHD and possible exotic propulsion
techniques.

Various declassified Spanish military files are presented and
illustrated with photographs, maps, diagrams and drawings. More
than a dozen reports from Spanish military files are
individually summarized showing pages from the official
investigation as illustrations. (Vincente-Juan Ballester)

Retired Air France pilot and flight engineer, Maurice Chollet,
recounts three UFO observations made during his long career with
the airline.

Analyses of the Petit-Rechain photograph made during the Belgium
is examined in the article. The photograph previously analyzed
by Dr. Richard Haines, Marc Acheroy, Belgium Royal Military
School, and Francois Louange, Societe Flemage, Paris, is
examined once again in this article.
(Andre Marion, CNRS)

Exotic propulsion research is examined in another article which
includes discussion of Magnetic Hydro Dynamics (MHD) and
Magnetic Aerial Dynamics (MAD) and what is being done by DARPA
and NASA in this area. Illustrations of the NASA/Boeing X-37 and
the Boeing X-40A and the Soviet AJAX concept are shown and
discussed. Is it possible to develop a Single Stage to
Orbit (SSTO) vehicle using various new propulsion techniques?
There are defense implications. How to survive high temperatures
encountered with such high speed flight in the atmosphere?
(Serge Brosselin, science writer)

Once again the Maury Island case of 21 June 1947 is brought out
and reviewed. The Maury Island incident as seen through the eyes
of Arnold and Palmer, Ruppelt and Keel is recounted. Fred L.
Crisman, one of the main perpetrators, or if you like one of

witnesses, of the incident, also was named by Garrison in his "probe" the Kennedy assassination has always been the subject of various conspiracy theories. What is missing here is a thorough examination of Ray Palmer's part in the incident. A number of supplemental documents are available in the 4th Air Force's UFO files including a completely clean copy of the FBI report on the incident with no items crossed out. For a few items from the 4th AF file see:

<http://www.project1947.com/fig/rayarnold.html>

(Richard D. Nolaane)

Another review of a classical case is "RAF Contre OVNIS: L'Affaire Lakenheath-Bentwaters," 13 August 1956. Since discoveries continue to be made in this case, the matter is still open. Project Blue Book was directed to evaluate this case and the Bornholm Island radar incidents. However, when Project Blue Book personnel requested additional information, their requests were met with only silence. Pictures of some of the pilots involved and interviewed together with a diagram of the Venom fighter cockpit and a map diagramming the incident contribute material to this print version of the incident. Much of the material in the article is drawn from Martin Shough's Lakenheath website

<http://www.parcellular.fsnet.co.uk/L-contents.htm>

give some of the latest discoveries and analysis.

(Richard D. Nolaane)

Two articles by Luc Mary on other planets and SETI round out the issue.

Regular departments include a round-up of world wide UFO news and "Bibliographie" showing the covers of many current and recommended UFO books and other media.

Highly recommended.

Jan Aldrich
Project 1947
<http://www.project1947.com/>
P. O. Box 391
Canterbury, CT 06331
(860) 546-9135

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

From: **Brenda Denzler** <denzlerb@bellsouth.net>
Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 19:40:57 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 15:42:16 -0500
Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 11:23:49 EST
>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:57:02 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

><snip>

>>I don't think mentioning anybody's name, Jesus or whoever,
>>would have stopped what happened to her and her mom that night.
>>Ditto for me and for many other cases I can quote from.

>>Let's make a distinction between those who are 'imagining' they
>>are being abducted and those who are ACTUALLY being abducted and
>>this thread will suddenly begin to make some sense. I resent the
>>lack of distinction between the two here in this thread.

>John, it would be of interest to know religious backgrounds of
>abductees. Has anyone got stats on this?

Greg,

In my survey of people who believe they have been abducted, 44%
said they were Protestant Christians, 15% were Roman Catholics,
3% are Jewish, 10% are agnostics or atheists, and 29% identified
themselves merely as "other". N = @765, gathered from paper
interviews handed out at conferences and from electronic
interviews completed over the Web.

Brenda Denzler

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Ufology In Mysticism

From: **Eustaquio Andrea Patounas** <socex@terra.com.br>
Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 02:39:58 -0200
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 15:45:04 -0500
Subject: Ufology In Mysticism

Source: China Daily, China

http://www1.chinadaily.com.cn/en/doc/2003-12/12/content_289788.htm

12-12-03

Focus: Ufology in mysticism

UFOs, flying saucers and ET conjure up images from Hollywood films and blurred photos in tabloid newspapers. But a number of true believers in China, many of them highly educated, see merit in exploring unidentified flying objects and alien encounters scientifically.

Meng Zhaoguo, a 35-year-old tree grower from Wuchang City in Heilongjiang Province, can still vividly describe the Steven Spielberg-type scenario he claims to have witnessed nearly 10 years ago: As he sat in a huge white, gleaming spaceship, a tall creature with a large head and eyes like light bulbs and clad in an inflated seamless rubber suit perched on a metal sheet that hovered in the air. In a metallic-tinged voice, this interplanetary visitor communicated with a man via a television-like screen, predicting a collision between a comet and Jupiter.

As sensational as it sounds, Meng insists he was taken aboard the ship a month after being shocked by some sort of waves emitted by a silver-coloured object on a mountain he and some other villagers attempted to approach in June 1994.

Such accounts have served to shroud ufology - the study of UFOs - in a kind of mysticism, a word often used when referring to the subject.

The Chinese public first learned about UFOs in 1978, when leading State newspaper the People's Daily ran an article about the phenomenon. Although many accounts of UFO sightings have appeared in the media in the ensuing two decades, the voices of doubt are as strong as people's curiosity.

But despite the cynicism, more than 40 ufology associations across the country have registered some 5,000 believers, not including academicians interested in UFOs. With no State funding and little private sponsorship, the community feels discriminated against and excluded from mainstream scientific circles.

The sceptics's main demand seems simple enough, but satisfying it is harder: Show me the evidence. A photo or video footage, which can be easily fabricated, is not sufficient. They want to see a real object, a flying saucer, something of a mission impossible for ufologists.

Describing ufologists as Rmantics, Sima Nan, a popular science writer and a leading figure in the country's fight against pseudo-science, says the most important thing in scientific research is to base a study on concrete evidence and avoid subjectivism. Those who alleged to have seen UFOs or had extraterrestrial (ET) encounters, be they an innocent child, sincere woman or down-to-earth farmer or a retired cadre, all

lack hard evidence to prove their claims via objective and scientific methods.

"Research work based mostly on imagination is not research at all," says the writer, adding that the standard telescopes and hand-held video cameras commonly used by ufologists cannot meet the stringent demands of scientific research.

Ufologists, however, believe their research to be as significant as the country's space exploration programme, even if it is not currently being taken seriously. If space exploration includes the search for alien civilizations, they argue, UFO research can serve to supplement it.

Tian Daojun, a professor at the Nanjing University of Aviation and Aeronautics, says that human fantasy is not totally meaningless in scientific research, as some UFO sceptics assume, pointing out that the fanciful notions of human beings did eventually put a man on the moon.

The numerous UFO sightings reported should never be ignored or denied, Tian says. Any information gleaned about the way alien spacecraft function might serve to upgrade scientific research, resulting in breakthroughs in aviation and aeronautics technologies on Earth.

But what upsets UFO researchers most is the suggestion that UFOs are nothing but mythology and ufology is just a new form of pseudo-science.

Ji Jianmin, a UFO enthusiast in Feixiang County in northern China's Hebei Province, dismisses such assertions as too opinionated and unfriendly to UFO researchers and criticizes detractors for their own unscientific approach to the subject.

Ji, a former high school art teacher who currently runs a nameplate design service, became interested in UFOs in the 1980s. He firmly believes in the existence of civilizations on other planets as well as the potential for a kind of psychic connection between residents of Earth and aliens.

A graduate from a local vocational teacher training college, Ji admits that his education falls short of arming him to study UFOs scientifically. But, he adds: "that does not necessarily mean I'm not qualified to do my part. When it comes to UFO research, everyone is a primary-school pupil, from fans with scant education to established experts in various scientific fields."

The controversy surrounding UFOs is very natural, so long as each side does not force its ideas on the other, according to Wu Jialu, a Shanghai aircraft expert.

Wu also finds it natural for people to become interested in the mysteries of the universe. It's quite nice that people care about things outside their immediate world, as it shows a willingness to expand their vision, and the exploration of the unknown is, after all, both interesting and important. Even within the UFO community, ufologists differ in their approaches to research, although they all consider alien spacecraft and intelligence to be at the very heart of their research.

One school tends to focus on the more practical aspects. Some, like Wu, expect to get inspiration by contemplating the mechanics of alien spacecraft as a means of improving Earth aircraft or even spaceships. Others, including Su Congbo, a seismologist in Taiyuan, capital of north China's Shanxi Province, are interested in finding out whether there is a connection between UFOs and natural phenomena such as earthquakes.

Beijing-based ufologist Zhang Jingping stands for yet another school of thought in his persistent attempts to prove the existence not only of UFOs but also of alien civilizations.

Zhang, the 30-something owner of an advertising firm who considers ufology his real career, has put a great deal of energy into investigating UFO encounters. A graduate of the Beijing University of Aviation and Aeronautics (BUAA), Zhang says he has no doubt that visitors from other planets have had a considerable amount of contact with people on Earth. Not one to

shrink from the courage of his convictions, he even named his advertising company Flying Saucer.

In early September, Zhang invited police technicians and psychologists to subject Meng Zhaoguo to a lie detector test and hypnosis experiments in Beijing. The test results, he says, prove that Meng was telling the truth. Zhang also believes the scars Meng bears from the incident, which doctors said could not possibly have been caused by common injuries or surgery, serve as further evidence of his ET encounter.

But Liu Daoye, a retired expert on national defence based in Nanjing, capital of eastern China's Jiangsu Province, contends that a belief cannot be based on something that cannot be explained, such as the scars Meng says were inflicted during his alien adventure. However exciting the reports of UFO witnesses and however sensational the claims of encounters with ETs may be, Liu says, ufologists must base their studies on serious research and concrete evidence to avoid misleading the public.

"I believe in the probability of intelligent life on other planets, but I doubt such beings have ever travelled to Earth," he says. "To date, no one who has claimed to have encountered an ET can produce concrete evidence, so advocating their existence can only lead UFO research towards mysticism." He says that while the reports of experiences similar to Meng's are not necessarily lies, they are more likely the result of some sort of optical illusion.

Zhang does argue, however, that UFO research should not be fettered by the limitations of modern science and technology. "We need new conceptions in UFO research, as current science and technology theory also need improving." Cao Lixing, a postgraduate student majoring in computer science at BUAA, says proving the existence of UFOs or flying saucers is important to advancing serious study. "As long as the existence of such phenomena remains unproven, UFO research will never escape the bounds of scepticism," he says.

The young man became interested in UFO research after listening to a lecture Zhang and Meng gave in late September. He also accompanied Zhang to Qinhuangdao, a northern coastal city in Hebei, in early October to look for the landing site of a flying saucer in another alleged ET encounter.

Cao says he appreciates Zhang's enthusiasm and devotion, but admits that it is hard for the average person, himself included, to believe any ET story unless they have such an experience themselves.

A farmer with only five years's schooling, Meng Zhaoguo says he had never heard the term "UFO" before researchers visited him after his story was reported.

After his experience, Meng was sought out by some locals hoping he could cure their diseases, as they reckoned his encounter might have given him special powers. Meng says he refused their entreaties. And more business-minded people wanted to advertise Meng as an attraction to encourage tourism to the region.

Acknowledging the overwhelming doubt he sees in people's eyes when he recalls the incident, the farmer, who has participated in more than 100 interviews with the media and researchers, says that UFOs and ufology, which were originally unknown to him, have disrupted his life and made him feel uneasy.

"But ufologists still take great interest in Meng's UFO encounter nine years on. they hope there will be a conclusion to the UFO phenomenon as soon as possible; only then will I feel released," sighs Meng.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 15:14:23 EST
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 16:10:37 -0500
Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>From: John Rimmer <jrimmer@magonia.demon.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 20:12:46 +0000
>Subject: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 11:23:49 EST
>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>>From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
>>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:57:02 -0500
>>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>John, it would be of interest to know religious backgrounds of
>>abductees. Has anyone got stats on this?

>I would be amazed if anyone has.

>From discussions on this List some time ago it would appear
>that abduction researchers do not even keep records of the
>ethnic origin of the abductees they are dealing with. Indeed, my
>suggestion that such information might help give an insight into
>the phenomenon, was treated as being very "politically
>incorrect".

>It would appear that abductionists were far too polite to note
>such a thing, even if it was obvious from a person's appearance
>or name!

>The idea of actually asking what someone's religion is would
>probably give them an attack of the vapors!

Uh, okay. Well I would hope the demographics and what not would
have been collected by abductee researchers. Every detail helps
such as ethnicity, region, diet, religion, medical history,
occupations, sex, IQ, personal interests etc.

Do abductees in Borneo experience the same as those in Boston?

Is it something they ate like too much alcohol or processed
sugars or even worse lack of optimum nutritional diet and
supplements?

Family structure, blood type on and on. These are mandatory
statistics and if aren't kept I would have to toss the data out
with the bath water.

What's even more intriguing is the stories of repeat abductees
or regular abductees. Has anyone put a tracking device on these
people? If so, what happened? They're easy to get at your local
veterinarian's office and catalogue. A little modification and
you'll know where 'Johnny Abdcutee' is 24/7.

There's gotta be a statistics sheet somewhere and if not, then
we had better put one together quick!

Best ,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

The UFO Evidence II

From: **Richard Hall** <hallrichard99@hotmail.com>
Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 15:45:27 +0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 15:59:13 -0500
Subject: The UFO Evidence II

For those of you who have not been able to afford my book The UFO Evidence, Volume II, here is some good news. I have four personal copies available that I will sell on a first come, first served basis, for \$38.00 including media mail postage in the U.S. and Canada. (The Amazon.com price today is \$47.96 plus shipping, and the Scarecrow Press price is \$59.95 plus shipping). Contact me privately, not via the list.

I also have a few copies of Uninvited Guests that I will sell for \$15.00 including media mail postage. Some additional UFO titles are available on the HallMart page of my web site (www.hallrichard.com) via check, money order, or PayPal.

- Richard Hall

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: UFOs Inter-Dimensional - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <tshell@vcmails.com>
Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 15:01:22 -0600
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 16:12:33 -0500
Subject: Re: UFOs Inter-Dimensional - Shell

>From: John Pursel <tiroch@sympatico.ca>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 14:57:39 -0500
>Subject: Re: UFOs Inter-Dimensional

>Well after 40 years of doing this on an in/out schedule I
>figure it is time to sort the wheat from the chaff by far, or
>fish or cut bait and etc. It is time to distill and focus.
>Multiple dimensions form string theory. EM frequencies go from
>nil to infinity. SETI decided to focus at +/- 2.4 gigahertz as
>the quiet "blue zone" of the spectrum and quit all else. If UFOs
>are anything other than scientific I would not be studying the
>topic. I can't have an alien point of view as I am not an alien.

Well, I've been at it off and on for 35 years, at least, and the more I know, the less I want to make any kind of definitive statement. Nobody's making me fish or cut bait.

I guess I just don't get it. I don't understand what you mean by "interdimensional." What do you mean by that? And explain to me what that confusing, dubious string theory has to do with aliens. SETI is listening for radio signals because at this point, that's about all we can do because we don't know how to listen to quantum radio or whatever else there might be out there we're not detecting. UFOs certainly need to be studied scientifically, but there's a lot more to science (or at least there will be in the future), than EM fields and miniature vibrating Slinkys. Just saying something like "interdimensional" isn't a scientific approach. It's just defining something using vague buzzwords.

>I was hardly naive or even close to serious. The comment was
>obvious when I said "really, really real".

Well, maybe obvious to you. And I guess that's where the disagreement lies.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Re: Who's Keeping Tabs On 'Mars Jam 2004'? - Boone

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 14:46:22 EST
Fwd Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 16:08:43 -0500
Subject: Re: Who's Keeping Tabs On 'Mars Jam 2004'? - Boone

>From: Mac Tonnies <macbot@yahoo.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 12:07:46 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Re: Who's Keeping Tabs On 'Mars Jam 2004'?

>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 13:31:57 EST
>>Subject: Who's Keeping Tabs On 'Mars Jam 2004'?

<snip>

>>So far the Japanese probe is on the blink and the Big Kahuna
>>British salvo is highly anticipated to put an end all and be all
>>to 'artificial objects' on the Big Red Marble.

>For the life of me, I don't know why anyone would expect an
>orbital photo to resolve the artificial vs. natural controversy.
>Hopefully Mars Express can provide some interesting data, but I
>certainly don't expect it to "prove" or "disprove" anything. To
>think otherwise is to completely ignore the legacy of the Mars
>Global Surveyor, which has taken numerous pictures of anomalous
>Mars surface features.

Surface features aren't what we're talking about here, just one part of the data stream. The Express and Beagle 2 will provide a multiple data gathering team most notably of the sub surface of the planet far more in depth than previous missions. So combining an array of imaging technologies (see <http://www.beagle2.com/index.htm>) it's a good bet it'll resolve any question of artificial structures. As for past or present microbial life, the Beagle 2 and re-examination of past and present data from other probes should shed some light there.

Best,
Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

Denzler Abductee Survey? [was: Re: Asking Jesus]

From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 16:16:25 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 10:59:49 -0500
Subject: Denzler Abductee Survey? [was: Re: Asking Jesus]

>From: Brenda Denzler <denzlerb@bellsouth.net>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 19:40:57 -0500
>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 11:23:49 EST
>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>>From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
>>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:57:02 -0500
>>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>><snip>

>>>I don't think mentioning anybody's name, Jesus or whoever,
>>>would have stopped what happened to her and her mom that night.
>>>Ditto for me and for many other cases I can quote from.

>>>Let's make a distinction between those who are 'imagining' they
>>>are being abducted and those who are ACTUALLY being abducted and
>>>this thread will suddenly begin to make some sense. I resent the
>>>lack of distinction between the two here in this thread.

>>John, it would be of interest to know religious backgrounds of
>>abductees. Has anyone got stats on this?

>In my survey of people who believe they have been abducted, 44%
>said they were Protestant Christians, 15% were Roman Catholics,
>3% are Jewish, 10% are agnostics or atheists, and 29% identified
>themselves merely as "other". N = @765, gathered from paper
>interviews handed out at conferences and from electronic
>interviews completed over the Web.

>Brenda Denzler

Hi Brenda,

Not to be a PITA or a stickler but... what diagnostic criteria
was employed to determine that the respondents were "abductees"?

Just curious.

Regards,

John Velez

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Connors

From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs@comcast.net>
Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 14:18:28 -0700
Fwd Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 11:07:24 -0500
Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Connors

>From: Andy Roberts <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 21:19:25 -0000
>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

>>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs@comcast.net>
>>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 09:17:03 -0700
>>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

>>Rhetorical Question: Why are the debunking views of Andy Roberts
>>and his feral distain for anyone who engages in the study of
>>Ufology being espoused on UFO UpDates and, for that matter, all
>>debunkers, pelicanists, etc., who haunt the List?

>Why not? It's a List for discussion about UFOs, which I have
>done extensively over the last six years or so.

The question was rhetorical. Research as to its definition can be found in any English dictionary. However, since you felt compelled to comment, I shall too. You claim six years of hoaxing, interfering with other researchers work, all the while popping psychedelics. Real solid contributions to the study of Ufology. Pathetic.

>>Personal Comment Number 1: If UFO UpDates exists for the "study
>>of UFO-related phenomena," why is the subject allowed to be
>>hijacked by CSICOPian cultists, debunkers, etc., whose sole aim
>>is to prevent the "study of UFO-related phenomena" and interfere
>>with the stated aim of UFO UpDates?

>Because sceptics have as much right to a say as anyone else. I
>don't really understand why you and anyone else should want to
>have a closed list which only discusses the things you believe
>in but which aren't proven!

I have no problem with skeptics. It is skeptics that hoax, belittle, corrupt, rewrite, falsify and muddy the waters. They are no longer skeptics. They are debunkers with an agenda to destroy millions of peoples legitimate interest in Ufology. Skeptics have their own Lists and websites.

It is an ideological mindset that borders on facism. These debunkers form cults (such as CSICOP's) of this same hidden agenda. Their fanaticism spreads to the point of trying to use science for their legitimacy, but in fact, uses science to delude and control the free will of others the right to look at, or seek, that which is beyond themselves. They also bastardize legitimate science, which widens the gulf between it and the people.

Your ilk become destroyers of knowledge and history tells of how evil that intent becomes. In my opinion, you contribute nothing to the knowledge of Ufology and you waste people's time who are trying to learn Ufology.

Don't even attempt to compare your own contributions to Ufology with mine. It would be academic suicide for you.

>>Personal Comment Number 2: Is there not one ufological forum in
>>existence that is free from CSICOPian cult terrorism? If not,
>>_why_not_?

>That's right Wendy, ghettoise ufology so that only those singing
>from the same hymn sheet get a look in. Why are you all obsessed
>with CSICOP? Do they frighten you? I know nothing about them
>other than they appear to be a _very_ bad bogeyman indeed!

No. You're as wrong as you can be and too addled to know it.
People who share an interest in Ufology have the right to learn
and research without debunking or having their time wasted by
the debunking cults. Most people in the field are skeptical, but
open-minded. Not so with debunkers, who come in guise of skeptic
to assassinate Ufology. Monumental difference.

As to "fear of CSICOP." I cannot speak for others, but if you
can really research you will find I'm not the least bit
intimidated by their cult or members. But, people on UFO UpDates
should be fearful. It is a cult with an agenda to ridicule,
character assassinate and destroy the right of others to think
and participate in learning and understanding Ufology.

So, why are you and other debunkers afraid of Ufology? You do
everything you can to destroy it at the same time claiming you
have a right to participate on a List supposed to be devoted to
Ufology... not Ufological debunking 101.

>>Personal Comment Number 3: I'd really be thrilled if Andy
>>Roberts and CSICOPian cultists were driven off the "Happy
>>Trails" of UFO UpDates, but that's my personal opinion.

>How queer Wendy. Despite your odd views I'm quite happy to have
>you on this List as I believe you have actually put something
>into the subject.

I could care less of your "happy to have you (me) on this List."
How arrogant you are! I'm on the list as long as EBK desires my
participation. He owns UFO UpDates, Andy. You do not.

As to your condescending, "I believe you have actually put
something into the subject," you are either totally clueless,
drugged out of your mind or jealous. There can be no other
options and cannot be disputed.

>Happy Trails

You're the proverbial road apple on the trail of Ufology, Andy.
I think it's time UFO UpDater's paved you and your, "Happy
Trails," over with concrete.

>Andy

Wendy Connors

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99@hotmail.com>
Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 22:38:54 +0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 11:13:19 -0500
Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 15:14:23 EST
>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>From: John Rimmer <jrimmer@magonia.demon.co.uk>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 20:12:46 +0000
>>Subject: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
>>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 11:23:49 EST
>>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>>>From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
>>>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:57:02 -0500
>>>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>>>John, it would be of interest to know religious backgrounds of
>>>>abductees. Has anyone got stats on this?

>>>>I would be amazed if anyone has.

>>>>From discussions on this List some time ago it would appear
>>>>that abduction researchers do not even keep records of the
>>>>ethnic origin of the abductees they are dealing with. Indeed, my
>>>>suggestion that such information might help give an insight into
>>>>the phenomenon, was treated as being very "politically
>>>>incorrect".

>>>>It would appear that abductionists were far too polite to note
>>>>such a thing, even if it was obvious from a person's appearance
>>>>or name!

>>>>The idea of actually asking what someone's religion is would
>>>>probably give them an attack of the vapors!

>>>>Uh, okay. Well I would hope the demographics and what not would
>>>>have been collected by abductee researchers. Every detail helps
>>>>such as ethnicity, region, diet, religion, medical history,
>>>>occupations, sex, IQ, personal interests etc.

>>>>Do abductees in Borneo experience the same as those in Boston?

>>>>Is it something they ate like too much alcohol or processed
>>>>sugars or even worse lack of optimum nutritional diet and
>>>>supplements?

>>>>Family structure, blood type on and on. These are mandatory
>>>>statistics and if aren't kept I would have to toss the data out
>>>>with the bath water.

>>>>What's even more intriguing is the stories of repeat abductees
>>>>or regular abductees. Has anyone put a tracking device on these
>>>>people? If so, what happened? They're easy to get at your local
>>>>veterinarian's office and catalogue. A little modification and
>>>>you'll know where 'Johnny Abductee' is 24/7.

>There's gotta be a statistics sheet somewhere and if not, then
>we had better put one together quick!

Greg,

Very good thinking, but the unfortunate truth is that the funding to do these things properly simply does not exist.

Why?

Multiple and complex reasons that are endlessly discussed on this List.

I have said many times that, as an "expert" abstractor/indexer with decades of experience, I could easily - with funding - create a database on all the demographic and other factors. But, no funding is available and I have already bankrupted myself doing "pro bono" work.

There is no lack of a talent pool.

Also, I want to express my unbounded admiration for my compadre John Velez. Although he occasionally gets emotional and perhaps over-reacts, who has a better right to do so? His articulateness and balanced commentary are invaluable in this disgustingly unscientific and egotistic field.

"Ufology" (the term) is a joke.

Very little real science is being done. Scientists for the most part are behaving like bigots, and some non-scientists are behaving 'more scientifically than the scientists'. I speak as someone with formal university training in math, logic, and scientific method.

- Dick Hall

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

Re: Congress and Disclosure - Knize

From: Francis Knize <Frankknee@aol.com>
Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 22:09:05 EST
Fwd Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 12:07:22 -0500
Subject: Re: Congress and Disclosure - Knize

>From: Lan Fleming <lfleming5@houston.rr.com>
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 19:34:32 -0600
>Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 12:57:23 -0500
>Subject: Re: Congress and Disclosure - Fleming

>The NASA "National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)
>Guidelines for Ensuring the Quality of Information" can be
>downloaded from:

>http://www.thecre.com/pdf/20021026_nasa-final.pdf

>As far as I can tell from reading this document, it would be
>futile to pursue corrections of misleading information
>disseminated by NASA using the Data Quality Act because of the
>vast amount of information that NASA claims is exempt from the
>act. The exemptions are stated in Section C.3 of the document.

Lan,

Thank you for informing me of the NASA update for their DQA guidelines. Upon the first glance of the document I see plenty of room to place an inquiry and making a request for accountability. Any claim of exemption from NASA would have to be proved by them and this would require their answer as such during the start of a hearing. I could deal with that just fine

Please allow me the weekend to review the document in order to give an educated response to you. Off the bat I can say that on one hand you seem to praise the relevance of a lawsuit, yet then you quickly discount that a DQA inquiry will ever hold any water.

I believe NASA was very worried when I instituted the first DQA down there. I had them between a rock and a hard place. It didn't matter to me which way the inquiry went, whether Keith Laney's discovery of blocking patterning showed structures on Mars or whether it turned out to be a hoax. If it was a hoax NASA would have to be held accountable. But if the blocking was determined anomalous then this would open doors to new discovery. A win/win situation.

Had I appealed their completely ridiculous first ruling, I believe I would have prevailed in getting the inquiry on the road. This is to not to say that anything about artificiality on Mars would have been proven, but that the integrity of the data would have had to be reviewed by a panel of some sort. However, losing the support of the primary affected parties, who were Richard Hoagland and Keith Laney, I admit that the inquiry did die. but remain unfettered and ready to try again.

>LAN: One thing that definitely will NOT work is haranguing people who work for NASA or its contractors with private emails and public "challenges," as Mr. Knize should have learned by now.

I have learned much in the process. Public challenges as you know are very necessary. I am perfecting my approaches so that I will not be wasting anybody's time. After review and

contemplation of your thoughts I will make a more appropriate response.

Thanks for the time you put into your explanation. It was very productive.

Very Truly Yours,

Francis C. P. Knize

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Franz

From: **Captain Alejandro Franz** <alfafox@Prodigy.net.mx>
Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 22:41:33 -0700
Fwd Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 12:25:14 -0500
Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Franz

[Non-Subscriber Post]

>From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 13:05:08 -0500
>Fwd Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 12:21:20 -0500
>Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Velez

>>From: Royce J. Myers III <ufowatchdog@msn.com>
>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>Date: Tue, 09 Dec 2003 22:05:52 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03

<snip>

>>>As for Maussan having credibility as a jouranlist/investigator,
>>>it is my opinion he is just one more in a lump of UFO clowns
>>>that rehash other people's material and make sensationalist and
>>>unverifiable claims.

>>Hope all is well and, as always, appreciate your two-cents.

>>Nobody, and I mean nobody, is 100% spot-on all the time Royce.
>>You have to be very careful about completely dismissing Maussan
>>because more than most of those categorized as 'bad-guys,' it is
>>a genuine instance of throwing out the baby with the bath-water
>>in his case.

>Hiya Royce,

>You responded.

<snip>

>>John, there's simply no way we're going to agree on Maussan. My
>>past experience with him and his track record speaks for itself.
>>Thanks for your view.

>You're welcome. I hope you can at least appreciate where I'm
>coming from though. This airline collision case is one I plan to
>follow-up regardless of Maussan's reputation. We have a pilot
>who is willing to testify, an air traffic controller, and a
>damaged commercial jet. I don't care how flakey Maussan may be,
>if you put him aside and just look at the witnesses reporting
>this incident, you have something solid and meaty to sink your
>ufological teeth into. There has to be a paper-trail ten miles
>long stemming from this incident. I want to make sure that
>somebody looks into this who can actually do something with the
>material. So far, it's all been ignored. Probably because of
>Maussan's connection to it. That's a shame man. Shouldn't be
>that way.

Hi John,

I can provide some information about this case, another one of many J. Maussan hoaxes. The Aeromexico DC9 flight 129 from Guadalajara to Mexico's City Intl. Airport that supposedly had a collision with a UFO when approaching runway 05 right at Mexico's Intl. Airport on July 1994.

I know personally the "eyewitness" Enrique Kolbeck, an Air Traffic Controller, very close to Jaime Maussan because he is the one who always has been invited to join the "team" of "eyewitnesses" at all UFO conventions that Maussan has organized since 1997.

Kolbeck was present at the Disclosure Project's May 9, 2001 National Press Club Conference. He testified as a "eyewitness" and exposed the DC9 collision - he was not the pilot - and said that there was a damage to the right main landing gear. That of course, is a big lie. Kolbeck is a Radar Route Controller and not a Radar Terminal Controller where the alleged "crash" happened.

I can tell you, later, the whole story of Kolbeck's false testimony.

I invite you to visit the 1994 UFO crash investigation at:

<http://www.alcione.org/OVNI94.html>

Its in Spanish.

You can see the video of Kolbeck's "testimony" at:

http://www.topsecrettestimony.com/npcc_full.wmv

The strange thing about this 'eyewitness' is that he is an Area Route Controller, he has never been a Terminal Controller who, in this case, would be in charge of controlling traffic on final approach course to the runways.

Kolbeck is the conection between the pilots and Maussan. He is always searching for pilots on the ATC frecuencies.

I was a supervisor, in 1975, at the Control Tower in Guadalajara Mexico were I worked from 1973 to 1978. I graduated from two Approach Radar courses there and worked in Mexico's Intl. Airport as Radar Route Controller in 1979. I supervised Enrique Kolbeck when he graduated from 'CIAAC' - Centro Internacional de Adiestramiento de Aviacion Civil - an ATC (Air Traffic Control) training center in Mexico.

I know Capt. Raymundo Cervantes Ruano who was the PIC flying the DC9 - registration number XA-AMC - the day of the "crash" (hoax).

I had many interviews with maintenance personnel about this case and from that date I had an interview with another eyewitness, Capt. Alejandro Luna Sotura, a retired pilot, who was sitting in the "jump seat" (observer seat inside the cockpit) that day and now is working in Aeromexico as Security Chief.

Best regards,

Capt. Alejandro Franz
director@alcione.org
www.alcione.org
(non-profit)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 01:07:04 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 12:27:05 -0500
Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>From: Tim Shell <tshell@vcmails.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 10:30:09 -0600
>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:57:02 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>Let's make a distinction between those who are 'imagining' they
>>are being abducted and those who are ACTUALLY being abducted and
>>this thread will suddenly begin to make some sense. I resent the
>>lack of distinction between the two here in this thread.

Hello Tim,

You write"

>I don't want to even to try to dispute what you believe. Because
>I'm sure there are plenty of people here who either think you're
>completely delusional and will ask you for your alien Polaroids,
>or on the other end of the spectrum those who will accept every
>word without question and what they don't hear from you they'll
>make up on their own. I don't want to get into that church
>meeting.

<lol>There is a 'third' group that you fail to mention that is
not supposed to be swayed by any form of 'belief'... researchers.

Budd Hopkins did a pretty thorough and comprehensive job of
investigating me and my reports over a period of years. I've had
the chance to speak with, and get to know, Dave Jacobs who also
happens to do a bang-up job of checking out these reports. It
doesn't always break down into "believers/non-believers."

That "believer/non-believer" stuff is a simplistic and kind of
biased way to view things. It puts the whole set of reported
phenomena on a level that makes it all easy to dismiss. It is in
fact a very condescending view to maintain. It does not
acknowledge the possibility of an 'objective' phenomenon. Or,
objective people.

>But from what I understand, even with a physical abduction,
>there is usually a strong cognitive component involved.

There is a "strong cognitive component" at work at all times in
daily life. The intensity of an experience or the level of
concentration may have an effect on long-term memory (as in the
case of war veterans who can recall minutia about traumatic
events decades past) or perception as in two witnesses seeing
the same thing but observing/registering different details
depending on filters, life-experience and predilection. But the
mechanisms/means of cognition is always a factor in any
experienced event.

More to the point are questions about the physical reality of
the reported event. Was it an "event" at all. But questioning
whether cognition is a factor is a given -as with any other
traumatic experience(s). You need to bone up on all the

thoughtsa and theories regarding memory of trauma or traumatic events. That study applies and has more validity to the reports of abductees than just about any other aspect of memory.

>How does one drive along and "suddenly" find themselves isolated?

Budd used to discuss (anonymously, without revealing names) the details of a great many of the cases he had investigated. I can't begin to tell you the encyclopedia of cases and details that he shared with me over a six year long period of close contact with him. As anyone who knows him will testify... Budd _loves_ to talk! As a result I was privy to a lot of details that many are never exposed to. One such set of details in the abduction reports has to do with this physical displacement business. 'Finding oneself in the wrong place' or 'not the place that one was headed to originally'.

This feature of many abduction reports implies many things, not the least of which is 'mind-control.' Examples: (The examples I'm about to give are from actual cases/reports.)

A lady is on her way home in her car. She spots a very bright light in the sky just in front of her automobile. As she is driving her eyes are constantly darting back and forth from the unusual aerial object to the road in front of her. Quite suddenly she finds herself in the parking lot of a local church (car parked - engine off) and two hours have passed/disappeared. She had no recollection of how she got there.

She was very badly shaken, disorientated and had no recall at all about driving her car into this parking lot. Much less memory of where she had been the preceding two hours. Note the sequence of events: out driving... sees UFO... finds herself someplace she did not intend to be and with two hours of missing time to explain.

Just a side note, under hypnosis the lady regurgitated an elaborate abduction event.

Next: Father and son driving cross country together. They spot a UFO and notice that it is pacing the vehicle they are in. It is night, they are alone on the road, and they both become frightened at this UFO that is following them. The very next recollection they have is of finding themselves on a road many miles away from the one they were on (and again) with hours of missing time.

Note the pattern: UFO - displacement - missing time. In this case the father recalled consciously portions of the abduction/contact event while the son did not. Both reports agree in substantive detail however.

I can go on for pages about people who are heading in one direction suddenly find themselves on isolated roads or areas and they cannot explain 'how' they got there. What sets this kind of thing apart from the mundane, (ie; somebody wasn't paying attention and simply got lost) is the common component of the presence of a UFO (usually in close proximity) the fact that the UFO seems 'focused' on the witnesses, geographic displacement and missing time. Over and over this same scenario has played itself out.

The most recent incident I can recall was reported right here on this List. A gentleman who was out fishing in his boat suddenly finds himself in waters that required his boat traveling over dry land in order to get there. GPS records showed exactly that! That the boat 'floated' I presume over a land mass and the boat ended up in another bay altogether. There was a UFO sighting and missing time involved. It is the combination of those three components, UFOs, physical displacement and missing time that make the incidents 'anomalous.' In the case of the 'flying boat' we even have hard GPS data!

That's 'how' it happens, Tim. What is causing it or what other phenomena may come into play... who knows? What I do know is, it usually gets reproted in conjunction with an UFO abduction.

>Or
>simply not be able to pull a trigger on a shotgun? That's
>indication of a strong cognitive/perceptual component.

It is also an indiction of powerful mind-control! Mind-control

is another 'component' of many abduction reports. You can't selectively single out the cognitive component as culpable, you need to consider all possibilities. If you study the reports 'mind-control' by the UFO occupants of the subjects comes up in the reports time and again. It cannot be ignored as a valid explanation for why people cannot 'pull the trigger' on a shotgun say, or kick one of those puny Grey things.

In fact, because of physical differences (a Grey wouldn't stand a chance in Hell against a human in a fist fight) mind control may very well be an absolute necessity for them in order to kidnap and control their victims/subjects/

The old
>"high strangeness" aspect. There's going to be some ambiguity
>about the "reality" of your experience because of your
>perception of it. What you perceive with your senses (very
>unreliable, think of a magic act), and your memory (also
>extremely unreliable).

Say who? Listen, I'm sorry. I've been over all of this several times in the past on this List with Peter Brookesmith and others. Please refer to the UpDates archive if you care to learn of my views on the absurd comments you just made. Honest, it's not laziness, it's just that I've already been over this ground several times before. Nothing personal. I just don't much feel like rehashing all that stuff.

Suffice it to say that I strongly disagree with your rather dim view of the value of the cognitive senses.

>You say it doesn't matter if you kick and
>scream, etc., but how can an abductee really be sure they're
>doing all of that?

You're talking to someone who has a lot of conscious recollection of these events. I can't answer for others but I can tell you how I reacted in certain instances and how effective (or not) it was.

>The common picture of aliens make them look
>pretty spindly. A good, solid boot to the head ought to at least
>slow them down.

Hey, if you ever get the chance, give it a try and then get back to me and tell me how 'successful' your attempt to assault one of beings was. <lol>

>And what if a prayer or talisman has been
>effective in warding off a physical abduction?

Show me where it has, and we'll go from there.

>All I'm suggesting is that in any abduction scenario, it might
>be advantageous to have some kind of clear mental focal point,
>if for no other reason than to look for vulnerabilities to
>exploit in self-defense.

Have you ever been in a life-threatening situation? How 'focused' were you at the time eh?

>I don't
>have an answer. I'm just trying to come up with reasonable
>questions.

That's all well and good as long as you keep an open mind to the very real possibility that some of us may be accurately recalling and reporting actual events.

Regards,

John Velez, Abductee

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

Andy Roberts Controlling E-mails

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 05:28:01 -0600
Fwd Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 13:29:36 -0500
Subject: Andy Roberts Controlling E-mails

Hello folks...

This is personally a little embarrassing for me but I thought the disclosure was useful to get more insight on this ufological thing that we do and some of the persons involved in it.

To begin... for several days now I've been getting unsolicited E-mail from the obnoxiously indefatigable Andy Roberts regarding my position on the Max Burns article "The Usual Suspects". Each has been more objectionable, insulting, and hurtful than the last.

His latest post to me at 04:00 this morning was such a masterwork of cold sociopathy I thought it prudent to share it, here, with you all (with his permission!), in case any of you ever run afoul with him... refuse his canted interpretations, are reluctant to accept his anti-ufological analysis... or reject his arrogance, his manner, or his blithe in-your-face (and gleefully admitted!) ufological malfeasance. Many have suffered the affliction of mind and spirit that is Andy Roberts.

I share this post with you in hopes that it can be used by the reader suffering the slings and arrows of Andy Roberts (and persons like him) like an inoculation or a flu shot against one of the most corrosive persons and attitudes I think I've ever run across.

In my own defense, if I need any, I have only ever been sincere regarding something I'm impassioned about. I'm not shy, and I'm not a credulous believer... forgetting that I can believe... Oh -- and I was a good teacher, reader, decidedly more interested in a student's critical thinking ability than that students potential as a malleable employee or a corporate drone... or a foil for the sullen manipulations of persons like one Andy Roberts...

I remind the reader that this devolved donnybrook is not about me, at all, forgetting Mr. Roberts scuttling attempt to move the hot kliegs off of himself... It is about Mr. Roberts, his attitude, his corrosive "armchair" performance, his back-stepping professional malfeasance, and his gloating celebration of same.

One of us needs to be brought down here... I offer that it's not me.

...And now for a little hair of the dog that bit me...

~~~~~  
Subject-- Game over!

Hi Alfred,

Juts to let you know that, a few mopping up operations notwithstanding, my entertainment with you on updates is at an end.

Initially I thought you had something to offer in terms of comment on the Max fiasco. I now realise, after seeing the level



you 'debate' at, that you are in fact a nobody. I then did some research among various American ufologists and was forwarded examples of your diatribes from your own list as well as other areas and people you've been in contact with. My suspicions were confirmed.

One correspondent referred to you as

>Alfred doesn't have enough to do or think about. He imagines  
>himself a great but misunderstood genius, at writing, art and  
>music. He doesn't know very much, but thinks he does. He has  
>ABSOLUTELY no BS-detection equipment. No filters at all. No  
>sense either. He's bitter about society's spurning his "genius"  
>and rejecting his services (he was canned as a schoolteacher  
>because he was lecturing fifth graders about ufos and  
>conspiracies and the like, and sending them to his very odd web  
>site... He feels VERY bitter and rejected about that).

Influencing young children - you are a nutter Alfred. He continued...

>But, most of all, he's a wannabe abductee. He REALLY wants to aliens to  
>choose HIM! He's SO jealous of Mortellaro and Velez because the aliens  
>like them better! He sits out on his deck early every morning and sees  
>tons of ufos (funny lights). From this he makes grand conclusions. He's  
>a conspiracy nut. He's not a "ufologist" in any sense of the word. He  
>knows little or nothing of the history of the field. He believes,  
>passionately, every and any wack-o story he hears.

And that was one of the tamer testimonies to your dullardness! I realise you'll now have another tirade on updates to try and make yourself feel better. As I said, there will be one or two mopping up operations to close my entertainment with you off, but then that's it. You'll be howling in the wilderness. I expect my opponents to have wit, knowledge of the UFO subject and a concise ability to stick to the point. Unfortunately you have none of these qualities and are thus not worth worrying about. I suggest you post this message to updates, complete with quotes from one of my US chums. Let the list see what you're really like behind the autistic excuse for the English language you use.

Happy Trails  
Andy

~~~~~  
Done -- And that's Mr. Lehmborg to you, sir...

Folks, be really careful with regard to any mail you send to our Mr. Roberts. He'll treat it as his to do with as he pleases. There's little honor there.

Lehmborg@snowhill.com

EXPLORE "AlienViewGroup" at its HostPros URL.
<http://www.alienview.net>

JOHN FORD RESTORATION FUND -- John will be released eventually. He'll need a tax free cash stake to get on his feet. Let's put one together for him; the bigger it is -- the more attention he gets. It could have been you. E-mail for detail.
\$450.00 pledged -- \$200.00 collected!

"I cleave the heavens, and soar to the infinite.
What others see from afar, I leave far behind me."
- Giordano Bruno, scourged by the scabrously specious scurrilous.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case! -

From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <gevaerd@ufo.com.br>
Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 09:32:17 -0200
Fwd Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 13:33:15 -0500
Subject: Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case! -

>From: Ken Kelly <elprospero@yahoo.com>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 15:41:40 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!

>>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <gevaerd@ufo.com.br>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 15:19:43 -0200
>>Subject: Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!

>>>From: Ken Kelly <elprospero@yahoo.com>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 18:48:58 -0800 (PST)
>>>Subject: Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!

>>>>From: Marcos Malvezzi Leal <malvezzil@ig.com.br>
>>>>To: <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>>>Subject: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!
>>>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 07:52:59 -0200

>>>>Unlike the infamous so-called "Barra da Tijuca" incident, in
>>>>which a supposed UFO photograph was proven as an undeniable
>>>>hoax,

>>>>Please cite the evidence you have in support of the several
>>>>"Barra da Tijuca" photographs being hoaxed. Otherwise your
>>>>opinion is unworthy of consideration.

>>If you still believe that the Ed Keffel Barra da Tijuca's photos
>>are for real? I am sorry but you are either misinformed or need
>>an update in your info. Urgently!

>Would anyone be so kind as to offer some good references (in
>English) on the 'Barra da Tijuca' case?

Ken,

After I posted the translated material here about the Trindade Island photos, the interest in Barra da Tijuca began among Listers. I then asked my friend and co-worker, Marcos Malvezzi, to translate another article by Claudeir Covo, this time about the BT case. It will soon be ready to post here.

A. J.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

NORAD Drill To Run Saturday Through Tuesday

From: Frank Warren <frank-warren@pacbell.net>
Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 05:01:27 -0800
Fwd Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 13:36:14 -0500
Subject: NORAD Drill To Run Saturday Through Tuesday

Source: NBC-TV 4 - Washington, D.C

<http://www.nbc4.com/news/2703219/detail.html>

NORAD Drill To Run Saturday Through Tuesday

POSTED: 8:25 PM EST December 12, 2003

WASHINGTON -- The North American Aerospace Defense Command will conduct a series of training exercises in the skies over the nation's capital from Saturday through Tuesday, officials said.

The drill will involve F-16 and other aircraft flying at low altitudes along the Potomac River and around the region.

Residents may hear aircraft noises in the early morning and late at night, NORAD officials said.

The exercise is one of several NORAD has held throughout the United States since the Sept. 11, 2001, terrorist attacks.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

Re: Denzler Abductee Survey? - Denzler

From: **Brenda Denzler** <denzlerb@bellsouth.net>
Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 12:14:01 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 13:46:02 -0500
Subject: Re: Denzler Abductee Survey? - Denzler

>From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 16:16:25 -0500
>Subject: Denzler Abductee Survey? [was: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention...]

>>From: Brenda Denzler <denzlerb@bellsouth.net>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 19:40:57 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
>>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 11:23:49 EST
>>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>>John, it would be of interest to know religious backgrounds of
>>>abductees. Has anyone got stats on this?

>>In my survey of people who believe they have been abducted, 44% said
>>they were Protestant Christians, 15% were Roman Catholics, 3% are
>>Jewish, 10% are agnostics or atheists, and 29% identified themselves
>>merely as "other". N = @765, gathered from paper interviews handed out
>>at conferences and from electronic interviews completed
over the Web.

>Not to be a PITA or a stickler but... what diagnostic criteria
>was employed to determine that the respondents were "abductees"?

You're not being a stickler at all, John. It's a perfectly
reasonable question. And the answer is, none.

My object was not to delineate a "true" abductee from a "false"
or "wannabe" abductee. For one thing, I have no psychological
training as a basis from which to make such a distinction. For
another thing, I find the whole question devilishly hard

and suspect that this would be the case even *if* I had
psychological training. For a third thing, this approach does
the skeptics the favor of taking seriously their claim that
everyone is a wannabe abductee, and THEN looking at the
characteristics of the people who comprise that group. The
skeptical community is wont to make all kinds of statements
about people who believe they have been abducted by aliens.

This data gave/gives me a way to comment on those statements in
a more informed way than just sticking out my tongue and saying,
"Nuh-uh."

My object was to look at the profile of anyone who felt
sufficiently identified with the term "abductee" or
"experiencer" that they would be willing to fill out my survey.
My interests are sociological rather than psychological. Given
that abductee-hood is a highly dubious status according to much
of mainstream U.S. culture, and given that even within the UFO
sub-culture the exact parameters of what abductee status might
be is highly contested -- it seemed to me the way to approach
the topic.

The implicit criticism in your query is that my survey may have

measured indiscriminately the wanna-be's and the real things (as defined from the UFO insider's point of view), lumped them all together, and thereby skewed the results. And you're right, that could be the case. But until there is some consensus on just what constitutes a genuine abductee, and even more so until there is a broader cultural acceptance of the idea that abductions happen, this lumping together is somewhat useful.

If nothing else, it allows us to see the social parameters of the idea of alien abduction -- what the people who identify with that label (rightly or wrongly) are like. Perhaps someone with more sophisticated statistical analysis skills than I could parse the data in such a way that two sub-sets of individuals would appear: one subset with one profile and another with a second very distinct profile, indicating that we might have two kinds of people responding to the survey -- the real deals and the wannabes that are posited to exist in the UFO/abduction sub-culture. That, however, is a question that I am not able to answer. I do plan to deposit my data in some secure, reputable location for public use (sans identifiers of the respondents, for privacy's sake) when I am finally done with it. So maybe someone in the future will be able to pick it all up and manipulate it all in new and exciting ways.

I am, by the way, continuing to collect survey data (albeit in a very slow manner nowadays), so if anyone knows of anyone who would be a likely candidate to fill out the forms, I would very much appreciate it if you'd pass along my name and email address, and/or my website.

Brenda Denzler

<http://www.abductionproject.com>

"Do not meddle in the affairs of dragons,
for you are crunchy and good with ketchup"

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Clark

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark@frontiernet.net>
Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 11:28:45 -0600
Fwd Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 13:49:22 -0500
Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown" - Clark

>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs@comcast.net>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 14:18:28 -0700
>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

>>From: Andy Roberts <aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk>
>>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 21:19:25 -0000
>>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

>>>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs@comcast.net>
>>>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>>>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 09:17:03 -0700
>>>Subject: Re: Mr. Roberts Of The "Unknown-Unknown"

>I have no problem with skeptics. It is skeptics that hoax,
>belittle, corrupt, rewrite, falsify and muddy the waters. They
>are no longer skeptics. They are debunkers with an agenda to
>destroy millions of peoples legitimate interest in Ufology.
>Skeptics have their own Lists and websites.

Hi, Wendy,

I think that the List should be open to all points of view. I agree with you, however, that hoaxers ought to have no part in any discussion. I'm all in favor of tolerance, even of the intellectually handicapped and/or the courtesy-challenged of any persuasion. But hoaxing, I am sure most would agree, is a line no decent investigator would cross. Exposing others' hoaxes is, obviously, quite another thing, generating one's own an entirely different matter.

>It is an ideological mindset that borders on fascism.

One of CSICOP's less endearing traits was its habit, since (as far as I know) abandoned, of linking open-mindedness about anomalies to the sort of irrationalism that leads to fascism. Where some self-described skeptics are concerned, I would argue that the problem is not fascism but narcissism. I certainly don't mean every skeptic, of course, since reasonable people may be reasonably skeptical about UFOs - just some who have repeatedly given vent to narcissistic symptoms.

One such symptom is that since only they - the self-identified skeptics - are manifestly rational, sane, and correct beyond any possibility of reasonable dissent from their views, everybody who happens to hold a different opinion - however he or she arrived at it - is simply a mindless, dim-witted "believer" who deserves whatever happens to him or her, including lies, hoaxes, and other abuse.

The best solution, I've found after long, tedious immersion in posts from these individuals, is simply to delete their self-congratulatory burblings unread. I have a mental category I call Life Is Too Short, and the narcissism of people who believe they know all the answers - whether it's about UFOs or anything else in this vexing earthly sojourn in which certainty so often eludes the rest of us -- falls under it.

Finally, anybody who tries to put up research credentials against yours is, as we used to say when I was a kid, cruisin' for a bruisin'. We're all in your debt, Wendy, and your splendid work is a constant source of wonder to me. As I return again and again to your and Mike Hall's books, I always experience the pleasure of learning just how much crucial new information you have provided your serious - that is to say, non-narcissistic - colleagues. If we're all lucky, you'll keep it up, and the rest of us will keep being amazed and (dare I say?) envious.

Jerry Clark

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

Radiation Mars Mission's Invisible Enemy

From: Terry W. Colvin <fortean1@mindspring.com>
Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 09:57:58 -0700
Fwd Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 13:42:17 -0500
Subject: Radiation Mars Mission's Invisible Enemy

Source: The New York Times

<http://www.nytimes.com/2003/12/09/science/space/09RADI.html?ex=1072025592&ei=1&en=26df6178e13d78be>

December 9, 2003

Mars Mission's Invisible Enemy: Radiation

By Matthew L. Wald

UPTON, N.Y. - As the United States considers new goals for NASA after the loss of the Columbia, some space enthusiasts have renewed calls for a mission to Mars.

But a team of physicists and biologists here at a laboratory on Long Island is demonstrating that even if the nation wanted to commit to such a goal, it would be far more complex than the Moon mission that gripped the country in the 60's.

One reason is radiation, in the form of heavy ions from distant stars, zipping through everything in their path. Others include price, estimated at \$30 billion to \$60 billion, and launching enough food, supplies and fuel for a round trip. Any one of these could make the project impractical.

In a new \$34 million NASA laboratory here, part of Brookhaven National Laboratory, scientists are using subatomic particles accelerated to nearly the speed of light to slam into materials that could be used in a spaceship, and tissue samples and small animals. Using tools like PET and M.R.I. scans and DNA sequencing, they hope to shed light on ways that radiation damages biological tissue, and what can be done about it.

On a trip to Mars and back, probably every cell in the body would be hit by an ionized particle or a proton, researchers say, and they have very little idea what that would do. "If every neuron in your brain gets hit, do you come back being a blithering idiot, or not?" asked Dr. Derek I. Lowenstein, the chairman of Brookhaven's collider accelerator department.

A trip to Mars means "trying to live in an environment that human beings were not built to live in," Dr. Lowenstein said. "Space is not 'Star Trek,' but the public certainly doesn't understand that."

On earth, radiation shielding is easy; just add concrete or lead. That is not so easy on a spaceship, where weight is of the essence. Nor is there much prospect of significantly reducing the amount of time the astronauts would be exposed, unless NASA develops a much more effective propulsion system.

The NASA administrator, Sean O'Keefe, has identified radiation as one of three problems that will have to be solved before a Mars mission. The others are better propulsion and on-board power generation.

Brookhaven is studying the radiation in a a sprinkling of undistinguished-looking corrugated metal buildings, connected by low earthen berms. "That's where the action is," said Mona Rowe,

a spokeswoman. The berms are shields for tracks underneath that carry the accelerated particles that slammed into targets or one another. Above the berms, wild turkeys amble through the woods.

The radiation environment that the accelerator is mimicking is vastly different from the terrestrial one.

The average American receives about 350 millirem of radiation a year: the fraction of solar and cosmic radiation that makes it through Earth's magnetic field and atmosphere; radiation from naturally radioactive rocks and minerals, some incorporated into building materials; higher doses from flying in airplanes; and sources like medical X-rays.

In contrast, the astronauts who went to the Moon on Apollo 14 accumulated about 1,140 millirem, equivalent of about three years on Earth in their nine-day mission. The astronauts on the Skylab 4, who spent 87 days in low Earth orbit, received a dose of about 17,800 millirem (equivalent to a 50-year background dose on Earth).

That dose was near the threshold of radiation exposure that produces clinically measurable symptoms. Longer-term effects like increases in cancer rates have not been observed in adults exposed to doses at that level, but experts presume the effects exist. By comparison, nuclear power plant workers are limited by law to exposures no greater than 5,000 millirem a year; in this country they are generally held below 2,000.

A round trip to Mars would be of a different order of magnitude. Brookhaven puts the exposure at 130,000 millirem over two and a half years. That is equivalent to almost 400 years of natural exposure.

But radiation in space is not like radiation on Earth.

On Earth the dose is mostly made up of gamma rays, which have far less energy than the heavy charged particles of space. But beyond Earth's protective atmosphere and magnetic field, the radiation is mostly ions of every element on the periodic table up to iron (No. 26), moving at a substantial fraction of the speed of light, and approaching from distant stars in all directions. Astronauts in low Earth orbit get some protection from the magnetic field.

Much less is known about the biological effects of this radiation, because very few places can simulate the interplanetary radiation. Brookhaven can do it, but its method, sequentially firing ions of different elements, resembles playing a symphony by mimicking one instrument at a time.

One recent afternoon, scientists were adjusting the flow of iron ions being delivered to the 400-square-foot "target room" of the laboratory here, using a control a bit like a shower head, which could vary the dimensions and density of the spray. The target would eventually be a flask filled with human tissue, but for now was a monitoring instrument that captured an image the way an X-ray film would.

Dr. Adam Rusek, a physicist, shuttled between a control panel and the main room of the Space Radiation Laboratory, where Dr. Betsy Sutherland, a staff biologist and some assistants, were watching instruments that analyzed the beam.

Intermittently, an assistant went into the heavily shielded target room to adjust the target, a procedure that requires a retina scan by a security device and the insertion of special keys to assure that no one unauthorized enters.

Inside the room, the lighting dimmed before each initiation of the beam, so that anyone trapped inside could hit a panic switch to stop it.

At last, the beam assumed the desired size, density and uniformity. "Is that better?" Dr. Rusek asked. "Yes, don't breathe on it," Dr. Sutherland replied.

One persistent question about radiation exposure is the importance of the delivery rate, but Dr. Sutherland is simply trying to hit each cell once. "If a cell is hit once, there is no rate," she said. "Once is once."

After irradiation, the cells are moved to a nutrient medium that is known to support cancer cells but not normal cells.

The experiment is repeated with ions of several elements. Dr. Sutherland also uses protons, which come from the Sun and stars and far outnumber the ions.

One theory holds that cells busy repairing damage from protons will not be able to cope with damage from heavy ions; another says that proton irradiation will prime the cell's repair system to be ready for particle damage.

"It's a reasonable thing to ask, what are these first protons going to do to the later response to iron," said Dr. Sutherland, noting that the theory had not been tested.

Another Brookhaven scientist, Dr. Marcelo Vazquez, a physician, plans to irradiate mice to look for brain damage. Damage from heavy ions, he said, will include a column of cells formed by the track of the ion, and a surrounding halo of cells damaged by electrons.

Dr. Vazquez, who also has a doctorate in neurobiology and radiobiology, said that neither the column nor the penumbra was visible on post-mortem examination. But changes in motor skills are tested by stimulating animals with cocaine and measuring movement with infrared beams, Dr. Vazquez said. Memory can be observed. Mice are put in water and trained to escape to a platform; then they are irradiated and the drill is run again.

NASA's chief scientist, John M. Grunsfeld, who as an astronaut made several spacewalks to maintain the Hubble telescope, said the research would take years. "The current plan is about five years but I suspect we'll extend that," he said in an interview in Washington. He hopes that the research reveals the biological mechanism of radiation damage to cells, he added.

Also, some targets are structural materials. The incoming protons and ions have so much energy that they make neutrons peel off the aluminum or other materials; those neutrons are a potent form of radiation. In addition, irradiating some materials can cause changes that make them radioactive. Such "activation products," commonly produced in nuclear reactors on Earth, give off yet more radiation. Researchers hope they can pick materials that will resist such activation or neutron peeling.

A third area of research is shielding. On Earth, radiation shielding is commonly provided by concrete or lead, but the costs of launching spacecraft are so high that this is not practical. One possible solution is a water tank, with the astronauts' living in a chamber in the middle. "It's just so expensive to put material into orbit that you'd like to use materials you have to bring anyway," Dr. Lowenstein said.

And beyond the spaceship itself, making space safe for extended trips beyond the magnetosphere will probably require a new system to monitor the Sun.

Physicists predict solar storms now by watching the Sun from Earth or from satellites in Earth orbit, but protecting a Mars mission will probably require watching the side of the Sun that faces away from Earth. The job could be done with a small number of satellites launched into orbit around the Sun, somewhere outside the orbit of Mercury, Dr. Lowenstein.

Copyright 2003 The New York Times Company

--

"Only a zit on the wart on the heinie of progress." Copyright 1992, Frank Rice

Terry W. Colvin
Sierra Vista, Arizona (USA)
<fortean1@mindspring.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

Re: Denzler Abductee Survey? - Boone

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 12:33:10 EST
Fwd Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 13:52:00 -0500
Subject: Re: Denzler Abductee Survey? - Boone

>From: Brenda Denzler <denzlerb@bellsouth.net>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net>
>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 19:40:57 -0500
>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby@aol.com>
>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 11:23:49 EST
>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>>From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic@verizon.net>
>>>To: ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net
>>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:57:02 -0500
>>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>><snip>

>>>I don't think mentioning anybody's name, Jesus or whoever,
>>>would have stopped what happened to her and her mom that night.
>>>Ditto for me and for many other cases I can quote from.

>>>Let's make a distinction between those who are 'imagining' they
>>>are being abducted and those who are ACTUALLY being abducted and
>>>this thread will suddenly begin to make some sense. I resent the
>>>lack of distinction between the two here in this thread.

>>John, it would be of interest to know religious backgrounds of
>>abductees. Has anyone got stats on this?

>Greg,

>In my survey of people who believe they have been abducted, 44%
>said they were Protestant Christians, 15% were Roman Catholics,
>3% are Jewish, 10% are agnostics or atheists, and 29% identified
>themselves merely as "other". N = @765, gathered from paper
>interviews handed out at conferences and from electronic
>interviews completed over the Web.

Thank you Brenda!

I'm glad to see at least someone is out there doing the job
that's supposed to be done.

The fact that these people were willing to give that data is a
hat's off to you and your crew.

It's difficult sometimes to get people to give you in depth
information and often one is left with using an 'eyeball's view'
of the subject to glean pertinent data.

Believe you me, every single little bit helps and anyone doing
abductee interviews in depth but doesn't list the details is
doing a disservice to say the least.

I for one will be on the edge of my seat awaiting more of your
info. Do you have a site? Pardon me if I missed a posted link.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 14](#)

Alfred's Odd Ode #383 [Ode to Andy Roberts]

From: Alfred Lehmborg <Lehmborg@snowhill.com>
Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 12:02:12 -0600
Fwd Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 13:28:28 -0500
Subject: Alfred's Odd Ode #383 [Ode to Andy Roberts]

Alfred's Odd Ode #383 (December 13, 2003)
[Ode to Andy Roberts]

I've been attacked in a manner egregious, off topic, insulting, and way out of bounds by persons encountered who practice malfeasance... are themselves what's accused in a way most profound!

And I am astonished beyond all belief that these are allowed in our midst... sullen thieves (oh, not on this List or the *subject* at all, but on the whole planet where they slink, slide, and stall)!

They're the *accusers*, and they work to disrupt (from the depths of their impotence where they can't get it up!) a search that is needed, expanding our minds, in a way efficacious and, in fact, unconfined!

...Yeah, these are, in fact, merely rats in our walls (with respect to real rats if offended at all!) who gnaw the supports of the truth we all need to transition ourselves and improve our whole breed!

These are confounders and bounders and cads, who corrode our resolve and degrade us at last -- who hate any thinking that's out of their box... the thinking, without which, they find they are lost!

These are a niggardly nay-saying lot with a two color worldview to show what they've got, which won't be so much at the old denouement... when discovered at last they're just men made of straw.

This is the bunch who contrives to waste time! This bunch will conspire in the theft of ones mind! This is a bunch that colludes, and it's stark, to "obscure", and "confuse" so we miss honest marks!

This is the lot who obstructs our research! This is the lot who's offending our search! This is the lot who conspires and schemes to inflict heavy damage on rational themes!

These look in a mirror of self-involved need, it's a staid status quo that they covet and feed! They do us disservice to keep us all down, our eyes from the sky, and our knees on the ground!

We're not alone! It's so patently obvious! Yet there are deniers -- pretending no cant, who hobble desire and cripple cognition... dismissing this warning as an off-topic rant!

Lehmborg@snowhill.com

This ode is dedicated to the errant pelicanist and ardent skepti-bunky Andy Roberts, otherwise know as the "Yorkshire Hatpin." Without his spurious attacks behind the scenes regarding my character, my sincerity, and my sanity... this particular piece would not have been produced. I look forward to Mr. Roberts provoking similar expressions from me in the

future...

~~~~~  
50 years, plus, down the ufological trail and we find that we've been standing indolently and fatuously at the starting gate, still... Why is that, do you figure?

With the contributions of such fine persons as MacDonald, Keyhoe, and Ruppelt humanity would take magnificent strides forward. Invariably, though, we'd slide, slip, or otherwise, slither back further than we were when we started... when the three gentlemen previously mentioned were countered by the like of Condon, Menzel, and Klass. How does that work, do you think?

When the arguments of each camp are weighed, which shows more depth? Which proves to be more conclusive? Which demonstrates more intellectual bravery? Which uses more science of best practice? Which is more consistent, reliable, and dependable?

Which one uses ad hominem, character assassination, intellectual fallacy, and obfuscation? Which is the dimensionless ideologue, and which is the inspired idealist? Which is deliberately abusive and sarcastically challenging? Which one follows the data, and which one cherry-picks it? Which won't address the points already made, and which will concede the point that's well made?

The individuals to choose from fall on either side of this divide, and the difference between them is like the difference between clouds and clear blue sky for the innocent observer working to be as dispassionate as one can reasonably manage. If the evaluator has an axe to grind, one way or the other, the view of that divide is skewed and distorted (a lot or a little) forgetting that it is the person who is not a believer but who can believe will have the clearer alien view. But a difference will be determinable, regardless.

Andy Roberts, in particular, is an example of the ufological flat-earther I decry here. He's the guy that burns a gagged Monk Bruno at the stake or makes Galileo the subject of the conflicted inquisition. He is an example of the noisome negativist laughing up his damp sleeve at that which he allows himself no capacity to understand, no aptitude to accept, no ability to incorporate, and no skill to recognize. With respect to Mr. Roberts as a fellow human, his contributions are a boil on the righteous ass of a real investigation, which he works very furiously to confound, obscure, conflict, and confabulate. Mr. Roberts' work is a fine example of the reason we seem to take two and a half steps back for every two steps forward and he (among significant others) should be recognized as such!

He is an enemy of progress in this area. He is an obstacle to our advancement within it. He nurtures our failure with his (admitted, people!) malfeasance, and he gloats in the dissolution and the obfuscation he would cause!

...Nor is he particularly organized, remotely objective, or in any way constructive. Ironically, he is not comprehensive, he is decidedly not flexible, and this is forgetting that he is completely unacceptable. He only apes the specific and is, in no way, thoughtful. He is bereft of creativity, he is astonishingly mean-spirited, and he is patently disrespectful...

The preceding are teacher's (leader's) attributes, and if one expects to function effectively as same, then the majority of the preceding attributes must be positively addressed. This paragraph could easily be an essay map. Mr. Roberts is deficient in every listed category.

He would "guide." He would be the go-to guy! He'd be the teacher, leader, and holder of the ufological guiding light! But, not unlike one who shall remain forever nameless, he doesn't appear to have a clue about the responsibility of real leadership and so is dangerous in the extreme to the sensibilities of individual persons afflicted by his application of it! That being said he's probably a great guy to sit down and have a beer with, forgetting that his attitudes suck pond water and that alone makes me want to drown him in the broccoli water... (long story...). Maybe I should take a rain-check on that beer...

His own words damn him to a shallowly fatuous mediocrity! His ironic celebrations of what is not actually wrong with Ufology (...but that it is controlled, manipulated, and otherwise programmed by a soulless corporate mentality worshipping efficiency to the exclusion of -everything- else... including human decency, quality of life, and informed consent with regard to these things...) become increasingly impossible to ignore! The face eating Reptoids are preferable to the person just described!

I recall Ellen Ripley (in "Aliens") commenting that "you didn't see them screwing each other over for a percentage," but I digress...

Sprung from the Condon, Menzel, and Klass mold of anti-ufology, he denigrates the unconscionable treatment of honest researchers, celebrates the malfeasance and intellectual cowardice of fatuous pelicanists (a nightmare we may never wake up from), and continues, then, to take the narrowest view - imaginable- with regard to the responsibility individuals should have for intellectual fidelity, ethical behavior, and aggregate consistency! In my opinion he's a knock-off of the slack-jawed who have preceded him, and will likely end up claiming imagined persecutions and for the same reasons, as many called to righteous account will do.

His ufological contribution is ill timed, badly themed, and a disservice to the respect every informed individual should have for the subject of ufology. It is "ill timed" because now is not the time to continue outrageous intellectual retreats (out of vicious spite, I add, not the only one to think so...) when we already have such an abundant wealth of obvious retreats around us, already! It is "badly themed" because the repressive and repellant nature of his manner discourages rational interest in ufological constructiveness. It is "disrespectful" because it does not provide the observer with an accurate assessment of either human capability (which is, I think, too highly rated) or possible alien capability (which, I think, is rated much too shallowly, simplistically, and one-dimensionally). Honestly, one only has to look at the psychological, technological, and cultural strides we have made in the last 100 years, to begin to get an idea what *\*others\** may have accomplished in a thousand, ten thousand, or a million of them... even a billion...

He is a, not so, clever instrument of ufology's dismissal, a facilitator for its derision, and a catalyst for its, hoped for, demise. He is the worst kind of researcher in that he drives a virtual herd of eclectic data bits to a conclusion that he has already arrived at (like a nineteenth century cowboy employing 19th Century cultural mores) instead of performing as the - remotely- cognizant would, letting the data lead him to the conclusions... as he follows, humbly. That *\*conclusion\** that he alludes to is to the lowest of low common denominators, panders to what is base in all of us, and sells humanity short in two venues. The first where we are more than he'd give us credit for, and the second where we are the kinds of beings who could get along a lot more efficiently if he and his desultory fellow imps were absent! Time to hit a system reset button, folks, on this scurrilous lot.

It is plain that a neo-psychosocial imperative has captured his ufological reporting. Truly, his psychosocial cant does not seem to advance the cause for vigorously serious investigation of the highly strange and in fact performs the exact opposite function... in a manner so obtuse and objectionable that it seems almost tailor made to perform that function. All of the preceding seems pretty clear to me.

I bring this up now because he is not so cleverly but misleadingly *\*informative\** with regard to the mix of his non-informational and sneering posts, which are unwelcome (to me), and his axe grinding ones, which are even more so. The UFO UpDates board is liberally moderated, a liberality in the classic sense (Classical Liberalism is the reason we're not at the beck and call of some Roberts-inian Manor Lord). Anyone wanting a more conservative board can look virtually anywhere else for same, or invent it for themselves. Consider, folks! This board exists in its own right without his manner of contribution, where he cannot exist without this board, at

all. It's the same with all his... ilk.

50 years, plus, down the, decidedly not so happy, ufological trail... and we find that we've been standing indolently and fatuously at the starting gate, still. Why is that, do you figure, good reader? I submit that it's not the buffoons and public whackos that keep us at the gate (they only evolve to fill the informational void created by Mr. Roberts et. al.), but the insidious and contrived mechanizations of persons typified by Mr. Roberts! There's where the blame comes to rest. Verily!

In closing... at 1800 words this piece is not as concise as Mr. Roberts would prefer, I'm sure. I suggest that he print it out, roll it up in a tube, and poke himself in the eye with it for a quicker but similar effect.

Thanks, reader, for going all the way to the bottom on this! <g>  
It had to be said.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 14](#)

## Re: Drake Equation Naeye Sayer - Cohen

From: Jerry Cohen <[rjcohen@optonline.net](mailto:rjcohen@optonline.net)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 14:46:17 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 15:26:41 -0500  
Subject: Re: Drake Equation Naeye Sayer - Cohen

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>To: <- UFO - UpDates Subscribers ->  
>Date: Sun, 07 Dec 2003 10:51:04 -0500  
>Subject: Drake Equation Naeye Sayer

>Source: The Sunday Toronto Star

>[http://www.torontostar.com/NASApp/cs/ContentServer?pagename=thestar/Layout/Article\\_Type1&call\\_pageid=971358637177&c=Article&cid=1070752807867](http://www.torontostar.com/NASApp/cs/ContentServer?pagename=thestar/Layout/Article_Type1&call_pageid=971358637177&c=Article&cid=1070752807867)

>Dec. 7, 2003. 01:00 AM

>Drake's factors irrelevant in our lonely galaxy

>Terence Dickinson  
>The Universe

>In 1961, American astronomer Frank Drake developed his famous  
>equation for estimating the number of technologically advanced  
>civilizations in the galaxy.

>Known ever since as the Drake Equation, it is simply a list of  
>six factors that Drake said multiply together to yield an  
>estimate of the number of other planets harbouring life forms  
>technically equal to or more advanced than humans.

>  
>The six factors are: (1) the fraction of stars that have  
>planets, (2) the fraction of those planets that are habitable,  
>(3) the fraction of habitable planets upon which life actually  
>develops, (4) the fraction of life-bearing planets upon which  
>intelligent life evolves, (5) the fraction of the intelligent  
>life that engages in interstellar communications and (6) the  
>length of time the communication continues.

>The Drake Equation has been featured in countless books and  
>articles on the possible existence of extraterrestrials.

>Because all factors but the first are very poorly known,  
>different authors plug in different numbers for each factor,  
>resulting in a vast range of results.

>In the 1960s, Drake and astronomer Carl Sagan concluded that one  
>in 1 million stars might harbour creatures capable of  
>communicating with each other. That means approximately 1  
>million civilizations in the Milky Way that could be using radio  
>telescopes or other means, in Sagan's words, to "reach across  
>immense interstellar distances to their neighbours."

>The Drake Equation went on to become the conceptual foundation  
>for the search for extraterrestrial life, which continues today  
>in the form of radio telescope searches for signals from other  
>civilizations.

>However, more and more scientists are having their doubts about  
>the optimistic numbers and a substantial number of researchers  
>consider the equation as obsolete as a black and white  
>television from the '60s.

>Writing in the current issue of Mercury, published by the

>Astronomical Society of the Pacific, editor Robert Naeye argues  
>that the factors in the Drake Equation are almost certainly much  
>less optimistic than Drake and Sagan ever imagined.

>Moreover, Naeye says the original factors used by Drake exclude  
>the possibility that extraterrestrials might travel across the  
>galaxy in search of life on other worlds.

Son of a gun.

<http://www.cohenufo.org/drakeodds.html>

>Drake, 74, maintains that spaceships will never routinely transport  
>intelligent creatures across the vast reaches of the galaxy.

Ah yes, that good old word "never." I can't believe he ever  
used it. Yep, man will "never" fly, stones from "out there" will  
"never" fall to the earth, Boston will "never" win the World  
Series (because of "the curse.")

It's almost as good as the word "always." The earth will  
"always" be the center of the universe, the sun will "always"  
rise, etc.

>"The fuel requirements of such ships do not make any sense in  
>terms of cost and efficiency," he said in a recent talk at  
>Stanford University.

Aha! That explains why we can't have any visitors from out  
there. They had no way to develop Drake's proposed propulsion  
systems and economic theories without him. Actually, they would  
have called him to get his input but they couldn't because...  
they didn't think he existed! Besides, even if he did exist,  
they couldn't call him anyway because of the great distance  
involved. Too much of a delay.

What? Reach who?... You got me, I don't know. But, now I  
understand why we'll never visit them either. None of us exist!

>Naeye counters: "At least some technically advanced  
>civilizations are not going to sit around on their home planet  
>waiting to pick up radio signals from other civilizations. They  
>will figure out how to engage in interstellar travel."

Nah!

>For this reason alone, the Drake Equation should be retired as  
>irrelevant.

>The reality today is that more than 40 years of radio searching  
>for extraterrestrial signals have turned up nothing and there is  
>not a shred of evidence that aliens are travelling around the  
>galaxy in spaceships.

Yep, and we have the best tools in the galaxy too. No one else  
could possibly have better ones. Besides they don't have the  
right chips. No one else could keep our "sophisticated (100?  
year old) tools" from seeing them if they found it advisable to  
do so. No planets out there are old enough to have civilizations  
that are older than ours and none stand a shred of a chance of  
being hundreds or thousands of years ahead of us. If they exist,  
most civilizations destroy themselves before they ever get a  
chance to really discover what's out there in the great beyond.

>It all leads to the conclusion that, if we aren't alone, we are  
>almost alone.

"Come on Myrtle, turn off that dern crystal radio, put your head  
back in here and close the coffin. Stop trying to find others  
out there... they don't exist. There ain't enough room in this  
here galaxy for anyone else to be alive anyways! Not enough  
stars and planets."

And Myrtle says: "But Harry, what the hell is this?"

<http://www.cohenufo.org/Belgium/unslvdmyst.html>

"This?"

<http://www.cohenufo.org/iranafjet.html#anchor66376>

"This?"

<http://www.cohenufo.org/authorsc.html#Illinois2000>

"and this here rebuttal to the guy that says those Exeter things, back in the '60's was only Fire Balloons"

<http://www.cohenufo.org/rob.rebut.html>

It's O.K. Myrtle, you know there's nobody else out there. Yur just havin' another one of them hallucinations. We's just a freak of nature, and thet thing with the lights all over it that the AF guys had on radar, flew over yur car four times, and hovered over our house the other night was just a bat. Nothin' tuh worry about. Ah read it in Mercury. Go to sleep!

Myrtle: O.K. Harry. Goodnight!... . . But, what did them guys just say about Rendelsham?

-----

And y'all have a good night too,

Jerry Cohen

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 14](#)

## Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[DRudiak@earthlink.net](mailto:DRudiak@earthlink.net)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 13:15:45 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 15:30:46 -0500  
Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Rudiak

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman@psln.com](mailto:egehrman@psln.com)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 16:19:48 -0800  
>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Gehrman

>>From: David Rudiak <[DRudiak@earthlink.net](mailto:DRudiak@earthlink.net)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>>Date: Tue, 9 Dec 2003 16:27:17 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

>>The USAF came out with their infamous "Case  
>>Closed" time-traveling crash dummy explanation for alien bodies,  
>>and Col. Philip Corso also came out with his equally infamous  
>>"Day After Roswell", supposedly "pro-Roswell," but possibly a  
>>case of stealth debunkery because it was so full of obviously  
>>inaccurate BS and exaggerated claims.

>Blunders do not make a hoaxer or a liar. Col. Corso would have  
>to be both if he didn't seed alien technology into US business,  
>as he says he did. The \*Day After Roswell\* is about that fact  
>and is not intended as a history of the cold war and shouldn't  
>be read in that way. It comes down to whether you believe he was  
>trying to tell the truth, not whether he produced a mistake free  
>document.

<snip>

>He may have make numerous mistakes, but his testimony concerning  
>the seeding of alien technology is a lie or it's the truth. It  
>is certainly not a "mistake". Was he telling the truth about  
>that or wasn't he? If he wasn't, then he's a liar and a fake.

<snip>

>I have no proof that Col. Corso is telling the truth other than  
>my knowledge that he was an honorable and truthful person, a  
>super patriot and well respected by his peers.

<snip>

>When he states that our government has seeded alien technology  
>into the US business community and that he should know because  
>he was the person who accomplished the task, I believe him. He  
>had no reason to lie and there is no evidence that he has done  
>so in the past.

Corso's books is so full of serious "mistakes" and exaggerations  
that they can't be brushed off as merely sloppy writing or story  
telling. Corso was up to something. Whether it was personal  
aggrandizement or the "superpatriot" carrying out one last  
mission on behalf of someone else we may never know. However, I  
think it is indisputable that such a horribly written,  
inaccurate book, if meant to advance the case for Roswell,  
actually did more of the opposite. That's what I meant by  
"stealth debunkery."

Does this mean that Corso was also necessarily lying about alien  
technology being seeded into corporate America? No, the book  
could have been a mix of truth and lies, the lies eventually

serving to discredit the factual parts of the book. The various phony "MJ-12 papers" may have a similar intent. The best place to hide the truth is out in the open surrounded by lies and half-truths. (Just try figuring out which is which.) Such disinformation can act to both obfuscate and educate, while maintaining full plausible deniability.

Anyhow, this is a tangent, not really a part of the main thread, which is about how the Skeptical Inquirer defended Charles Moore's Mogul balloon trajectory hoax and thus became an accomplice to the hoax. However, for all we know, maybe Moore and CSICOP are carrying out a mission as well.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 14](#)

## Re: Abduction Statistics - Velez

From: John Velez <[johnvelez.aic@verizon.net](mailto:johnvelez.aic@verizon.net)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 16:50:16 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 15:34:18 -0500  
Subject: Re: Abduction Statistics - Velez

>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99@hotmail.com](mailto:hallrichard99@hotmail.com)>  
>To: [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
>Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 22:38:54 +0000  
>Subject: Abduction Statistics [was: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions]

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby@aol.com](mailto:Evolbaby@aol.com)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
>>Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 15:14:23 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>>From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer@magonia.demon.co.uk](mailto:jrimmer@magonia.demon.co.uk)>  
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 20:12:46 +0000  
>>>Subject: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby@aol.com](mailto:Evolbaby@aol.com)>  
>>>>To: [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
>>>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 11:23:49 EST  
>>>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>>>>From: John Velez <[johnvelez.aic@verizon.net](mailto:johnvelez.aic@verizon.net)>  
>>>>>To: [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
>>>>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 08:57:02 -0500  
>>>>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>>>John, it would be of interest to know religious backgrounds of  
>>>>abductees. Has anyone got stats on this?

>>>>I would be amazed if anyone has.

>>>>From discussions on this List some time ago it would appear  
>>>>that abduction researchers do not even keep records of the  
>>>>ethnic origin of the abductees they are dealing with. Indeed, my  
>>>>suggestion that such information might help give an insight into  
>>>>the phenomenon, was treated as being very "politically  
>>>>incorrect".

>>>>It would appear that abductionists were far too polite to note  
>>>>such a thing, even if it was obvious from a person's appearance  
>>>>or name!

>>>>The idea of actually asking what someone's religion is would  
>>>>probably give them an attack of the vapors!

>>>>Uh, okay. Well I would hope the demographics and what not would  
>>>>have been collected by abductee researchers. Every detail helps  
>>>>such as ethnicity, region, diet, religion, medical history,  
>>>>occupations, sex, IQ, personal interests etc.

>>>>Do abductees in Borneo experience the same as those in Boston?

>>>>Is it something they ate like too much alcohol or processed  
>>>>sugars or even worse lack of optimum nutritional diet and  
>>>>supplements?

>>>>Family structure, blood type on and on. These are mandatory  
>>>>statistics and if aren't kept I would have to toss the data out  
>>>>with the bath water.

>>What's even more intriguing is the stories of repeat abductees  
>>or regular abductees. Has anyone put a tracking device on these  
>>people? If so, what happened? They're easy to get at your local  
>>veterinarian's office and catalogue. A little modification and  
>>you'll know where 'Johnny Abdcutee' is 24/7.

>>There's gotta be a statistics sheet somewhere and if not, then  
>>we had better put one together quick!

>Greg,

>Very good thinking, but the unfortunate truth is that the  
>funding to do these things properly simply does not exist.

>Why?

>Multiple and complex reasons that are endlessly discussed on  
>this List.

Hi Dick,

You wrote:

>I have said many times that, as an "expert" abstractor/indexer  
>with decades of experience, I could easily - with funding -  
>create a database on all the demographic and other factors. But,  
>no funding is available and I have already bankrupted myself  
>doing "pro bono" work.

We need to think about formally asking CFI/Sci-Fi channel  
about funding such a worthy/worthwhile and necessary project.  
About a year ago you, Jim Speiser, myself and a couple of others  
discussed the possibility of codifying some criteria for determining  
who and what qualifies as an "UFO abduction case." Something which  
has still not been done after 30+ years.

A most recent example of the lack of same is Brenda Denzler's  
informal survey. If the respondents were people who fit an  
established set of criteria (had been screened/qualified) for  
consideration, then see how much more relevant and truly  
representative the findings become. The more reliable the  
results/findings of such studies become, the more reliable the  
speculation that is based on those findings becomes. Why, in no  
time flat we may actually obtain some answers to questions that  
have been floating around since day one and that we can actually  
rely on.

A formal proposal needs to be drafted and submitted to CFI or  
the sci-fi channel people. To whoever it is that holds the purse  
strings. The creation of a set of diagnostic tools that research  
people in the field can use to help them determine which UFO  
abduction case is worthy of/justifies further investigation, and  
which cases/reports do not, would go a long way toward purifying  
the foundation, the source of information that can later be used  
to create a database of reliable information.

I for one would sign on to promote the creation of that set of  
diagnostic criteria. And who better to head up such a project  
than a guy with over 50 years experience!

>There is no lack of a talent pool.

Not at all. Mark Rodighier from CUFOS, yourself and any number  
of others I could name, (including brilliant, knowledgeable and  
genuinely open-minded people like Greg Sandow) would make a  
formidable team!

A monumental task for sure. But a most worthy one nonetheless.

>Also, I want to express my unbounded admiration for my compadre  
>John Velez. Although he occasionally gets emotional and perhaps  
>over-reacts, who has a better right to do so? His  
>articulateness and balanced commentary are invaluable in this  
>disgustingly unscientific and egotistic field.

OVER-REACT? EMOTIONAL? Whatchu talkin' 'bout Wilson? I'll knock  
you out for sayin' dat, man! ;)

Actually thank you. Coming from you those kind words are high  
praise indeed. I am humbled by it.

>"Ufology" (the term) is a joke.

It is, but I'm not laughing very hard.

>Very little real science is being done. Scientists for the most  
>part are behaving like bigots, and some non-scientists are  
>behaving 'more scientifically than the scientists'. I speak as  
>someone with formal university training in math, logic, and  
>scientific method.

Seriously, let's submit a proposal to CFI- Sci-Fi to get you funded to do what you do best! It's a natural. If those guys really want to help out, to make a useful and valuable contribution, then let em put their money where their mouth is - and where it is needed most.

What say you? What do the Listerions think of the idea? We can submit the proposal attached to a signed petition of support from all of us! Hire Dick Hall to do work that has needed doing for many years. Let's do it. I'm down.

Regards,

John Velez

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 14](#)

## Re: Trindade Material - Part III - Harney

From: John Harney <[magonia@harneyj.freeseve.co.uk](mailto:magonia@harneyj.freeseve.co.uk)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 22:05:51 -0000  
Fwd Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 15:35:53 -0500  
Subject: Re: Trindade Material - Part III - Harney

>From: Martin Shough <[mshough@parcellular.fsnet.co.uk](mailto:mshough@parcellular.fsnet.co.uk)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 22:03:50 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Trindade Material - Part III

>>From: John Harney <[magonia@harneyj.freeseve.co.uk](mailto:magonia@harneyj.freeseve.co.uk)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 18:07:13 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Trindade Material - Part III

>>Look, this was not a cruise liner - it was a Brazilian Navy  
>>\_training\_ship\_. Sailing ships (with auxiliary engines) like the  
>>Almirante Saldanha are also used by other navies. They are used  
>>to train young recruits in seamanship and \_discipline\_, so that  
>>they can cope with any emergencies they are likely to encounter  
>>at sea. An obvious emergency, for a naval vessel, would be the  
>>appearance of an unidentified and possibly hostile aircraft. Yet,  
>>when this happens the men on the deck of the Almirante Saldanha  
>>just panic (if we believe Barauna). This is not a trivial matter;  
>>it must be clarified if we are to take the story seriously.

>Since the detailed disposition of the men on deck does not seem  
>to be known, might the men have been 'frantically running'  
>\*towards\* their various stations as a result of what you  
>describe as an emergency? In the circumstances - a first real-  
>life defensive alert for young recruits - it wouldn't be  
>surprising if this deployment were just a bit chaotic, would it?  
>The more so if there were indeed a 'flying saucer' swooping  
>past!

>Good point about the tripod, though.

If this business about the apparent disorder on deck when the  
UFO was sighted were the only peculiar detail, then perhaps it  
wouldn't tend to make the sighting seem doubtful. But there are  
a number of other difficulties with the story, most of which  
have been steadfastly ignored, or brushed aside as unimportant,  
by the believers.

For instance, if the film which was said to have the UFO images  
on it was so important, why was it developed under conditions  
which could easily have ruined it, as I have already asked?  
Also, why were many crew members allegedly allowed to examine  
it, even before it had dried? Surely no serious photographer  
would allow this. More seriously, if the film was considered so  
important, why was Barauna allowed to take it to his private  
laboratory before it could be examined by Navy photographic  
experts?

I could go on, but it is probably futile, as this case has  
obviously been included in the canon of Sacred UFO Mysteries, so  
may not be questioned. Any doubt, disbelief or denial is likely to  
incur the penalty of anathematisation by the big beasts of the  
ufological jungle (or something like that).

John Harney

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 14](#)

## Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case! -

From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch@sysmatrix.net](mailto:larryhatch@sysmatrix.net)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 18:48:00 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 15:38:22 -0500  
Subject: Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case! -

>From: Ken Kelly <[elprospero@yahoo.com](mailto:elprospero@yahoo.com)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 15:41:40 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!

>>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <[gevaerd@ufo.com.br](mailto:gevaerd@ufo.com.br)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 15:19:43 -0200  
>>Subject: Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!

>>>From: Ken Kelly <[elprospero@yahoo.com](mailto:elprospero@yahoo.com)>  
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 18:48:58 -0800 (PST)  
>>>Subject: Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!

>>>>From: Marcos Malvezzi Leal <[malvezzil@ig.com.br](mailto:malvezzil@ig.com.br)>  
>>>>To: <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>>>>Subject: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!  
>>>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 07:52:59 -0200

>>>>Unlike the infamous so-called "Barra da Tijuca" incident, in  
>>>>which a supposed UFO photograph was proven as an undeniable  
>>>>hoax,

>>>>Please cite the evidence you have in support of the several  
>>>>"Barra da Tijuca" photographs being hoaxed. Otherwise your  
>>>>opinion is unworthy of consideration.

>>Ken,

>>If you still believe that the Ed Keffel Barra da Tijuca's photos  
>>are for real? I am sorry but you are either misinformed or need  
>>an update in your info. Urgently!

>List,

>Would anyone be so kind as to offer some good references (in  
>English) on the 'Barra da Tijuca' case?

Hello Ken:

Here's a page in English, with just one damning indictment of  
Barra di Tijuca 1952:

<http://www.project1947.com/shg/condon/case48.html>

It seems that the disk was obviously illuminated from the left  
as shown... but the hillside below it was illuminated from the  
right. If the photo was genuine, then the Sun was in two  
opposite places in the sky at the same time.

That alone is enough for me, I put the case right up on my list  
of Discredited Sightings:

<http://www.larryhatch.net/DISCRED.html>

You might find some other 'favorites' there.

Best

- Larry Hatch

- - - -

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 14](#)

## 1929 UFO Photo?

From: **Lan Fleming** <[lfleming5@houston.rr.com](mailto:lfleming5@houston.rr.com)>  
Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 09:59:24 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 15:43:24 -0500  
Subject: 1929 UFO Photo?

I recently came across an interesting photograph of a UFO that is said to have been taken in 1929. The photo is at this URL:

<http://www.ufoevidence.org/Photographs/Photo121.htm>

If genuine, it was taken 18 years before Arnold's sighting of craft shaped like "pie plates" in 1947. The potential significance of this is obvious.

Does anyone on the list know anything about this photograph or has anyone physically examined it personally or interviewed its owner?

It seems to me that it wouldn't be too hard to determine whether or not the photograph is as old as it's supposed to be. If it's 74 years old, the passage of time should have had a noticeable effect on the quality of the paper as well as the image, which certainly looks as faded as would be expected in the digital version. And if the photo is really that old, it would probably be very difficult for a contemporary hoaxer to add the object to it without leaving some noticeable traces of tampering.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 14](#)

## Re: Alien Abductee Stress - Scherk

From: William Scott Scherk <[wss@uniserve.com](mailto:wss@uniserve.com)>  
Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 08:40:26 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 15:47:37 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Abductee Stress - Scherk

>From: Dave Morton <[Marspyrs@aol.com](mailto:Marspyrs@aol.com)>  
>To: [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 18:17:34 EST  
>Subject: Re: Alien Abductee Stress

>>From: William Scott Scherk <[wss@uniserve.com](mailto:wss@uniserve.com)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 08:11:06 -0800  
>>Subject: Alien Abductee Stress

>Intriguing article from ScienCentral.com

---

>Source: ScienCentral.com

>[http://www.sciencentral.com/articles/view.php3?article\\_id=218392122](http://www.sciencentral.com/articles/view.php3?article_id=218392122)

<snip>

Fascinating.

>William - this might interest you:

>For sale: 5 pounds of assorted nuts and bolts, some  
>rust. \$1.

>Also, a 45-year-old lawnmower. Doesn't run. The blade  
>housing (the protective shield) has partially  
>corroded along the top surface, leaving dangerous  
>holes. 4-cycle B&S engine, 1 sparkplug. \$5.

What I found intriguing was the range of opinion in the  
ScienCentral article.

As you know, McNally and colleagues had earlier done some  
interesting research on three other groups: those who always  
remembered child sexual abuse; those who 'recovered' memories of  
child sexual abuse; and those who believed that they had once  
been sexually abused, but had no memories of such a thing.

As you can imagine, Greg, there were some objections to this  
line of research. The findings that there were differences in  
several psychological measure were challenged - not least that  
differences in measures of dissociation and absorption among the  
'repressed memory' cohort could be correlated with the  
(unremembered) trauma itself:

See:

McNally, R. J., Clancy, S. A., Schacter, D. L., & Pitman, R. K.  
(2000). Personality profiles, dissociation, and absorption in  
women reporting repressed, recovered, or continuous memories of  
childhood sexual abuse. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical  
Psychology*, 68, 1033-1037.

McNally, R. J., Clancy, S. A., & Schacter, D. L. (2001). Directed forgetting of trauma cues in adults reporting repressed or recovered memories of childhood sexual abuse. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 110, 151-156.

McNally, R. J., Clancy, S. A., Schacter, D. L., & Pitman, R. K. (2000). Cognitive processing of trauma cues in adults reporting repressed, recovered, or continuous memories of childhood sexual abuse. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 109, 355-359.

McNally, R. J., Metzger, L. J., Lasko, N. B., Clancy, S. A., & Pitman, R. K. (1998). Directed forgetting of trauma cues in adult survivors of childhood sexual abuse with and without posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 107, 596-601.

---

These series of investigations were followed by the work reported by ScienCentral:

Clancy, S. A., McNally, R. J., Schacter, D. L., Lenzenweger, M. F., & Pitman, R. K. (2002). Memory distortion in people reporting abduction by aliens. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 111, 455-461.

Although the early series of studies was suggestive, its data could not really prove that a particular personality profile - or differing performances - was related to the events at issue. In other words, it could be suggested that the tendencies of the 'repressed memories' group were related to false memory formation, but the findings were not conclusive - a horrible trauma could just as likely have led to the measured personality differences.

Clancy et al, however, hit upon a different angle. In this one, different cohorts were studied: those who had 'recovered' memories of alien abduction, and those who believed they had been abducted on while not having memories (as with the first series).

And in this new one, the same general results: "Hypnotic suggestibility, depressive symptoms, and schizotypic features were significant predictors of false recall and false recognition."

<http://tinyurl.com/z0ss>

(the false recall measures were derived from the Roediger-McDermott paradigm)

McNally and Clancy assembled a study group whose members believed they'd recovered memories (usually under hypnosis) of alien abduction, along with a repressed memory group whose members believed they'd been abducted but had no conscious memory of the event. (This group inferred their abduction from physical abrasions, waking in strange positions or sometimes just from their penchant for science fiction.) There was also a terrestrially bound control group who reported no abduction experiences.

The recovered and repressed memory groups exhibited high rates of false recall on the word-recognition test. Those with "intact" memories of abduction fared worse than those who believed their memories were repressed.

And on measures of Post-Traumatic Stress Syndrome, those who believed they had been abducted had scores that were more or less identical to those who had suffered a more widely-accepted trauma.

WSS  
[www.wsse.ca](http://www.wsse.ca)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 14](#)

## UFO Experience/Omega Communications

From: Chris Burns <[Thurstonoreggae@aol.com](mailto:Thurstonoreggae@aol.com)>  
Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 11:47:44 EST  
Fwd Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 15:49:02 -0500  
Subject: UFO Experience/Omega Communications

Hey List,

Does anyone know if tapes from the series of old Omega Communications (John White) UFO Experience conferences from Connecticut are still available anywhere?

Thanks,

Chris Burns

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 14](#)

## Why Psychiatry Won't Help

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby@aol.com](mailto:Evolbaby@aol.com)>  
Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 12:59:08 EST  
Fwd Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 15:53:52 -0500  
Subject: Why Psychiatry Won't Help

A Good Indicator As To Why Psychiatry Won't Help

<http://www.insightmag.com/main.cfm?include=detail&storyid=170037>

For years now I've been reading and watching and listening to stories regarding psychiatric treatment. It's a big issue in upstate NY as it was a situation where if you didn't keep your kid in line the cops and courts could literally throw you in jail if you didn't agree to force prozac, ritalin on your kids or yourself!

That's where I came up with the idea to look into psychiatric treatment of people who were UFO witnesses/abductees/whistleblowers.

The above URL shows you just what the psychiatric business has turned into and why I don't think they'll be of any help.

Just look at the psychiatrists who ventured into the UFO field and look at what their colleagues did to them.

Seems like the biggest enemy isn't extraterrestrials, but ourselves.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 14](#)

## Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case! -

From: Ray Stanford <[dinotracker@earthlink.net](mailto:dinotracker@earthlink.net)>  
Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 13:22:13 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 15:55:35 -0500  
Subject: Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case! -

>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <[gevaerd@ufo.com.br](mailto:gevaerd@ufo.com.br)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 09:32:17 -0200  
>Subject: Re: Trindade Not Another 'Barra Da Tijuca' Case!

<snip>

>After I posted the translated material here about the Trindade  
>Island photos, the interest in Barra da Tijuca began among  
>Listeners. I then asked my friend and co-worker, Marcos Malvezzi,  
>to translate another article by Claudeir Covo, this time about  
>the BT case. It will soon be ready to post here.

Thanks, A.J. I look forward to reading that translation.

Ray Stanford

"You know my method. It is founded upon the observance of trifles." -- Sherlock Holmes in The Boscombe Valley Mystery

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 14](#)

## Re: Denzler Abductee Survey? - Velez

From: John Velez <[johnvelez.aic@verizon.net](mailto:johnvelez.aic@verizon.net)>  
Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 13:58:49 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 15:58:04 -0500  
Subject: Re: Denzler Abductee Survey? - Velez

>From: Brenda Denzler <[denzlerb@bellsouth.net](mailto:denzlerb@bellsouth.net)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 12:14:01 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Denzler Abductee Survey?

>>From: John Velez <[johnvelez.aic@verizon.net](mailto:johnvelez.aic@verizon.net)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
>>Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 16:16:25 -0500  
>>Subject: Denzler Abductee Survey? [was: Re: Asking Jesus For  
>>Intervention...]

>>>From: Brenda Denzler <[denzlerb@bellsouth.net](mailto:denzlerb@bellsouth.net)>  
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 19:40:57 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby@aol.com](mailto:Evolbaby@aol.com)>  
>>>>To: [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
>>>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 11:23:49 EST  
>>>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>>>John, it would be of interest to know religious backgrounds of  
>>>>abductees. Has anyone got stats on this?

>>>>In my survey of people who believe they have been abducted, 44% said  
>>>>they were Protestant Christians, 15% were Roman Catholics, 3% are  
>>>>Jewish, 10% are agnostics or atheists, and 29% identified themselves  
>>>>merely as "other". N = @765, gathered from paper interviews handed out  
>>>>at conferences and from electronic interviews completed  
>>>>over the Web.

>>Not to be a PITA or a stickler but... what diagnostic criteria  
>>was employed to determine that the respondents were "abductees"?

>You're not being a stickler at all, John. It's a perfectly  
>reasonable question. And the answer is, none.

>My object was not to delineate a "true" abductee from a "false"  
>or "wannabe" abductee. For one thing, I have no psychological  
>training as a basis from which to make such a distinction. For  
>another thing, I find the whole question devilishly hard  
>and suspect that this would be the case even \*if\* I had  
>psychological training.

Precisely! And the reason you kinda got 'used' a little here. When  
I read your response I saw the opportunity to reiterate another  
of the things I am always going on about; the lack of a generally  
accepted set of diagnostic criteria that (theoretically) any  
researcher out in the field can use to determine which UFO  
abduction cases/reports call for further investigation.

That kind of -basic- information -based on existing research-  
has been lacking for 30+ years. Why, after so much time, is  
there no established set of diagnostic criteria for UFO  
abduction reports? Everyone is familiar with CE-I, CE-II, CE-  
III, and CE-IV designations and what they represent. But nothing  
similar exists to assist research people in the field to deal  
with and catalog UFO occupant contact or interaction cases.

Dick Hall, Jim Speiser, myself and a couple of others attempted to do this last year. It became immediately apparent that funding and other \*hard resources (\*computers, data entry people etc.) were needed in order to do the kind of initial cataloging and basic research needed to actualize it. None of us had the time, money or the hardware so it all kind of went by the boards.

It is not for lack of wanting to, or people being willing to do the work. It all boils down to cash, compensation and hardware. In another thread I have suggested that a formal proposal should be drafted and submitted to CFI and the Sci-Fi channel to see if funding cannot be found to put Dick Hall to work on this project. Dick can \_easily\_ round up many good people who will be ready, willing and able to assist in whatever capacity may be required/needed. All it takes is -grease- moola-boola, cash on the barrel-head. The end result would be a worthwhile and invaluable database, set of statistics, and diagnostic tools. Tools that would have been formulated/based on the data.

It would allow those who wish to take a scientific approach to studying the phenomenon to have a pre-existing foundation of information and basic stats from which to launch their own studies.

>For a third thing, this approach does  
>the skeptics the favor of taking seriously their claim that  
>\*everyone\* is a wannabe abductee, and THEN looking at the  
>characteristics of the people who comprise that group. The  
>skeptical community is wont to make all kinds of statements  
>about people who believe they have been abducted by aliens.

It's \_all\_ they do, Brenda.

Just about every time I chime in on an abduction related thread it is generally to remind whoever is participating that the possibility that some reports are accurate and of actual events also exists/needs to be taken into consideration. They often forget to even give a nod to the fact that abductions are real. In the psycho-social feeding frenzy, the testimony of thousands of people is dismissed as -wrong, mis-perception, or just plain delusion. Never or rarely is abduction considered as a set of reports of actual events.

>This data gave/gives me a way to comment on those statements in  
>a more informed way than just sticking out my tongue and saying,  
>"Nuh-uh."

I don't think it's physically possible to say "Nuh-uh" with your tongue sticking out! <G>

>My object was to look at the profile of anyone who felt  
>sufficiently identified with the term "abductee" or  
>"experiencer" that they would be willing to fill out my survey.

Again, I wasn't criticizing your survey, Brenda. I was using the opportunity to bring up the need for basic research on UFO abduction.

>The implicit criticism in your query is that my survey may have  
>measured indiscriminately the wanna-be's and the real things (as  
>defined from the UFO insider's point of view), lumped them all  
>together, and thereby skewed the results. And you're right, that  
>could be the case.

No criticism was implied or intended.

>I am, by the way, continuing to collect survey data (albeit in a  
>very slow manner nowadays), so if anyone knows of anyone who  
>would be a likely candidate to fill out the forms, I would very  
>much appreciate it if you'd pass along my name and email  
>address, and/or my website.

Good luck. At least somebody is trying to do something. It beats the hell out of the current state of affairs.

Warm regards, best...

John Velez



[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 14](#)

## Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby@aol.com](mailto:Evolbaby@aol.com)>  
Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 12:02:10 EST  
Fwd Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 15:51:41 -0500  
Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

Y'know, I woke up this morning to find that Saddam Hussein had been captured on Dec. 13.

Considering the 'Dec 12' date of debate I'd say that was close.

:)

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 14](#)

## Bill Moore Sells Off?

From: Grant Cameron <[presidentialufo@presidency.com](mailto:presidentialufo@presidency.com)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 23:46:54 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 17:11:55 -0500  
Subject: Bill Moore Sells Off?

After conducting his first interview in many years with researcher and radio host Greg Bishop, it now appears that Bill Moore may be selling off some of his UFO materials.

Get out your wallets and get ready to bid.

<http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3573001472&category=1128>

<http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3573185877>

Grant Cameron

[www.presidentialufo.com/kecksburg.htm](http://www.presidentialufo.com/kecksburg.htm)

--

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## Kecksburg FOIA Request To US Army

From: **Larry W. Bryant** <[overtci@cavtel.net](mailto:overtci@cavtel.net)>  
Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 15:11:05 -0500 (EST)  
Fwd Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 12:53:59 -0500  
Subject: Kecksburg FOIA Request To US Army

To: [rmda.belvoir.army.mil@cavtel.net](mailto:rmda.belvoir.army.mil@cavtel.net)

TO: Freedom of Information Manager  
Headquarters, U. S. Department of the Army  
7798 Cissna Road -- Suite 205  
Springfield, VA 22150-3166

FROM: Larry W. Bryant  
3518 Martha Custis Drive  
Alexandria, VA 22302

DATE: December 14, 2003

Several months ago, journalist Leslie Kean, with assistance of attorney Lee Helfrich, submitted to your office a freedom-of-information request for access to all U. S. Army-generated records pertaining to the crash-landing and U.S.-government retrieval of a mysterious aerial craft near Kecksburg, Pa., on Dec. 9, 1965.

Kean's quest for ALL official records about that pivotal incident in UFO-related operations/counterintelligence continues unabated today - as highlighted by a special TV documentary broadcast by the SciFi Channel several weeks ago.

With this identifying background, I now submit this letter as a formal, written FOIA request that you send me a photo-copy of the entire contents of the HQDA case file being maintained to record and track the Kean-Helfrich FOIA request to date - said contents to include all correspondence, e-mail, records-search tasking documents, administrative memoranda/notes, action log sheets, records-review decisions, and appeals documentation .

Because of the obvious public-interest nature of the sought-for case file, and because of my status as an independent writer specializing in determining (and exposing) what certain federal agencies know (and when they knew it) about UFO reality, I hereby request that you waive all records-search fees incident to your fulfilling this request.

By snail-mail, I'm sending to you a signed printout of this e-formatted letter.

Larry W. Bryant  
Columnist for the Web Site of <http://www.ufocity.com>

Copies furnished to:

Peter Robbins, Editor-in-Chief, [ufocity.com](http://ufocity.com)

Mark S. Zaid, Esq. (Washington, D.C.)

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell@vcmail.com](mailto:tshell@vcmail.com)>  
Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 15:01:01 -0600  
Fwd Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 12:58:34 -0500  
Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>From: John Velez <[johnvelez.aic@verizon.net](mailto:johnvelez.aic@verizon.net)>  
>To: [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
>Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 01:07:04 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>That "believer/non-believer" stuff is a simplistic and kind of  
>biased way to view things. It puts the whole set of reported  
>phenomena on a level that makes it all easy to dismiss. It is in  
>fact a very condescending view to maintain. It does not  
>acknowledge the possibility of an 'objective' phenomenon. Or,  
>objective people.

I can see where you might get defensive and maybe read a lot  
into what I'm saying, but you're barking up the wrong tree here.  
Like I said, I'm perfectly willing to accept for the moment that  
these things are happening in an objective physical reality. You  
say it's happening... Hey, that's good enough for me because I  
don't want to argue that particular point. I only mention the  
"believers/non-believers" as illustrative of what I am not. I am  
not in either camp, and would prefer not to be lumped into  
either category, particularly by someone whose word I'm  
accepting.

>More to the point are questions about the physical reality of  
>the reported event. Was it an "event" at all. But questioning  
>whether cognition is a factor is a given -as with any other  
>traumatic experience(s). You need to bone up on all the  
>thoughtsa and theories regarding memory of trauma or traumatic  
>events. That study applies and has more validity to the reports  
>of abductees than just about any other aspect of memory.

Like I said, I don't care about the physical reality of the  
event. And as for my knowledge of the effect of trauma on  
memory, I feel that I have a good working knowledge of it. I  
also have a relatively solid knowledge of hypnosis and other  
forms of memory manipulation, and there's a lot of

>One such set of details in the  
>abduction reports has to do with this physical displacement  
>business. 'Finding oneself in the wrong place' or 'not the place  
>that one was headed to originally'.

>This feature of many abduction reports implies many things, not  
>the least of which is 'mind-control.' Examples: (The examples  
>I'm about to give are from actual cases/reports.)

Of course. There are anecdotal accounts of temporal and spatial  
shifts. People suddenly finding themselves in towns or on roads  
that later can't be found. Hey, even sci-fi author Philip K.  
Dick talks about it in his Exegisis, finding himself in early  
Christian Rome as a result of seeing the necklace of a girl  
delivering a pizza. And we have ghost stories. Morphic  
constructs shifting through time.

But the best theory I've heard for these sorts of things involve  
some kind of extraordinary perceptual shift, again, mind-  
centered.

>It is also an indiction of powerful mind-control! Mind-control

>is another 'component' of many abduction reports. You can't  
>selectively single out the cognitive component as culpable, you  
>need to consider all possibilities. If you study the reports  
>'mind-control' by the UFO occupants of the subjects comes up in  
>the reports time and again. It cannot be ignored as a valid  
>explanation for why people cannot 'pull the trigger' on a  
>shotgun say, or kick one of those puny Grey things.

>Suffice it to say that I strongly disagree with your rather  
>dim view of the value of the cognitive senses.

On the contrary. I have a suspicion that given the proper very rare and as yet unmeasurable circumstances, the power of an observer in the classic quantum mechanical sense can help bring form to an entire physical universe. I would hardly call that a dim view. In fact, it's one of the reasons why prayers or talismans could be valuable in limiting the effects of mind control.

>Hey, if you ever get the chance, give it a try and then get back  
>to me and tell me how 'successful' your attempt to assault one  
>of beings was. <lol>

I would love to. But apparently aliens aren't interested in me. Any time I've ever had night terrors I recognized them as such. But the next time you cross paths with these damned things, you just send them my way. Because of the connection we have through our interaction here, they should be able to find me if they want. I'll be waiting. Then we'll see.

>Have you ever been in a life-threatening situation? How 'focued'  
>were you at the time eh?

I found myself to be extremely focused. If I wasn't, it's possible I wouldn't have survived.

>That's all well and good as long as you keep an open mind to the  
>very real possibility that some of us may be accurately  
>recalling and reporting actual events.

Look here. Look. Concentrate. Understand what I'm saying here. I don't care if you're reporting an actual event or not. I'm not interested in trying to prove or disprove any of that. You say you've been abducted by aliens (or whatever they are) when you've had complete control of your perceptions and mental processes? Fine! How's that? It doesn't matter to me whether it's true or not. It's immaterial, and I don't even want to hear your proof. All I'm asking is whether or not having a talisman might be helpful in the situation. You apparently think not. Okay. Just asking.

Hey, I'm willing to give anybody the benefit of the doubt because the world's a weird place and I know that if there's a controversy somewhere, it's because there is evidence to be found on both sides. But it's a two-way street. My mind is open as long as nobody tries to close it from the outside. I'm naturally very reactive and it appears that you are, too. I prefer to take the middle ground, but I'll be right there with all the points to show it's true, or I'll turn around and nail it dead as complete bullcrap. Because if ever the Devil had an advocate, it's me.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## Re: 1929 UFO Photo? - Hall

From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99@hotmail.com](mailto:hallrichard99@hotmail.com)>  
Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 21:12:53 +0000  
Fwd Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 13:00:10 -0500  
Subject: Re: 1929 UFO Photo? - Hall

>From: Lan Fleming <[lfleming5@houston.rr.com](mailto:lfleming5@houston.rr.com)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 09:59:24 -0600  
>Subject: 1929 UFO Photo?

>I recently came across an interesting photograph of a UFO that  
>is said to have been taken in 1929. The photo is at this URL:

><http://www.ufoevidence.org/Photographs/Photo121.htm>

>If genuine, it was taken 18 years before Arnold's sighting of  
>craft shaped like "pie plates" in 1947. The potential  
>significance of this is obvious.

>Does anyone on the list know anything about this photograph or  
>has anyone physically examined it personally or interviewed its  
>owner?

>It seems to me that it wouldn't be too hard to determine whether  
>or not the photograph is as old as it's supposed to be. If it's  
>74 years old, the passage of time should have had a noticeable  
>effect on the quality of the paper as well as the image, which  
>certainly looks as faded as would be expected in the digital  
>version. And if the photo is really that old, it would probably  
>be very difficult for a contemporary hoaxer to add the object to  
>it without leaving some noticeable traces of tampering.

Lan,

If Hetty is a credible person, that is indeed an intriguing  
photograph! Someone should do an in-depth interview with  
her(?)/him(?).

- Dick

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## Re: Denzler Abductee Survey? - Speiser

From: **Jim Speiser** <[jimspeiser@yahoo.com](mailto:jimspeiser@yahoo.com)>  
Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 15:04:43 -0700  
Fwd Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 14:15:18 -0500  
Subject: Re: Denzler Abductee Survey? - Speiser

>From: John Velez <[johnvelez.aic@verizon.net](mailto:johnvelez.aic@verizon.net)>  
>To: [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
>Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 13:58:49 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Denzler Abductee Survey?

>It is not for lack of wanting to, or people being willing to do  
>the work. It all boils down to cash, compensation and hardware.  
>In another thread I have suggested that a formal proposal should  
>be drafted and submitted to CFI and the Sci-Fi channel to see if  
>funding cannot be found to put Dick Hall to work on this  
>project. Dick can easily round up many good people who will be  
>ready, willing and able to assist in whatever capacity may be  
>required/needed. All it takes is -grease- moola-boola, cash on  
>the barrel-head. The end result would be a worthwhile and  
>invaluable database, set of statistics, and diagnostic tools.  
>Tools that would have been formulated/based on the data.

FWIW I'm still willing to do volunteer a little time to an  
abduction catalog project. I do suggest, however, that if you go  
to CFI/Sci-Fi for funding, that we find some way of suggesting  
how the project might end up as a TV program or special,  
otherwise I can almost guarantee they won't be interested. It  
won't be easy coming up with a way to make such "dry" research  
into an exciting two-hour special starring Bryant Gumball.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

From: Reed Hall <[tanaleaf@msn.com](mailto:tanaleaf@msn.com)>  
Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 16:49:47 -0700  
Fwd Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 15:47:54 -0500  
Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

Apropos of recent discussion on this List, David Jacobs has had abduction cases in which religiously-minded abductees have called upon God, Jesus, or other forms of deity for divine aid at the onset of an abduction event.

Such pleas for divine intervention have indeed often seemed to such abductees to have had the desired result: the apparent thwarting of an imminent abduction. These abductees have reported that, by calling upon the name of Jesus (for example), aliens were stopped in their tracks, and simply vanished.

Subsequent investigation of these cases, however, has uncovered different results. Jacobs reports that, in his cases, hypnotic regression has revealed that the abduction event was not thwarted at all. Rather, the abductee's memory of the actual abduction has been (as is so often the case anyway) suppressed, leaving them with conscious memories only of the beginning of the event (the aliens' arrival, and the abductee's prayerful petition for divine intervention) and of the end of the event (the aliens' departure following the completed abduction).

In such cases, the retaining only of conscious memories of beginnings and endings may understandably leave abductees with the impression that their prayer, or similar appeal for divine aid, has succeeded in stopping an abduction. However, it appears (at least in the particular cases of this sort which Jacobs has investigated) that such is not the case at all.

Reed Hall

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## Re: 1929 UFO Photo?

From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch@sysmatrix.net](mailto:larryhatch@sysmatrix.net)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 00:24:53 -0800  
Fwd Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 16:15:08 -0500  
Subject: Re: 1929 UFO Photo?

>From: Lan Fleming <[lfleming5@houston.rr.com](mailto:lfleming5@houston.rr.com)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 09:59:24 -0600  
>Subject: 1929 UFO Photo?

>I recently came across an interesting photograph of a UFO that  
>is said to have been taken in 1929. The photo is at this URL:

><http://www.ufoevidence.org/Photographs/Photo121.htm>

>If genuine, it was taken 18 years before Arnold's sighting of  
>craft shaped like "pie plates" in 1947. The potential  
>significance of this is obvious.

>Does anyone on the list know anything about this photograph or  
>has anyone physically examined it personally or interviewed its  
>owner?

>It seems to me that it wouldn't be too hard to determine whether  
>or not the photograph is as old as it's supposed to be. If it's  
>74 years old, the passage of time should have had a noticeable  
>effect on the quality of the paper as well as the image, which  
>certainly looks as faded as would be expected in the digital  
>version. And if the photo is really that old, it would probably  
>be very difficult for a contemporary hoaxer to add the object to  
>it without leaving some noticeable traces of tampering.

Hi Lan:

Oooh! That's a goodie (presuming its genuine).

I had no record of it, never heard of it. Maybe it never got  
into the literature aside from the web page.

There are lots of features called Ward in Colorado,  
(dams, mines ..) but only one town, in Boulder  
County: populated place  
Elevation (feet): 9160  
Estimated Population (2000): 169  
State: Colorado  
County: Boulder  
40:04:20N x 105:30:28W

Boulder county is North of Denver, not too far East of the  
continental divide, mountain country.

I wonder if the fellow who submitted the old photo can be  
reached.

Best

- Larry

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## Inter-World Life Transport Argued

From: William Scott Scherk <[wss@uniserve.com](mailto:wss@uniserve.com)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 00:37:31 -0800  
Fwd Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 16:18:37 -0500  
Subject: Inter-World Life Transport Argued

Source: BBC News Online

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/science/nature/3305503.stm>

Wednesday, 10 December, 2003

Inter-world life transport argued

By Dr David Whitehouse  
BBC News Online science editor

Life could quickly spread throughout the galaxy Astronomers may have shown how microbes from Earth could be spread throughout the galaxy taking life to other worlds.

Scientists at Armagh Observatory and Cardiff University say bacteria could get into space on rocks blasted off the planet by an asteroid or comet impact.

Their calculations then indicate the microbes would eventually leak out of our Solar System to seed other regions.

The work is reported in two independent papers published in the Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society.

The implication of the papers is that life could be widespread throughout the galaxy and may not have originated on our planet.

Plenty enough for life

The research advances the case for modern-day panspermia - the controversial idea that life started elsewhere in space and came to Earth when it was young.

Dr Max Wallis and Professor Chandra Wickramasinghe of Cardiff University calculate how debris from Earth, thrown into space as a result of a giant impact, would become incorporated in the frozen outer layers of comets.

Possible fossil microbes have been identified in Martian meteorites Eventually, after hundreds of millions of years, some of these comets would reach the Edgeworth-Kuiper Belt - a region inhabited by small worlds made of rock and ice.

Because comets gradually leak into interstellar space from this region, some would eventually reach clouds of gas and dust that are new planetary systems in formation.

In these systems, the trapped microbes would be liberated and, if the conditions were right, introduce life on to the surfaces of primitive planets.

Wallis and Wickramasinghe are encouraged in their belief that microbes can survive on such a journey for hundreds of millions, if not billions, of years, by recent discoveries of microbes

that have survived for similar periods encased in rock in the Earth.

Their detailed calculations suggest that between a few kilograms and perhaps a tonne of material containing microbes could be passed from our Solar System to others.

They say that one kg of "spore-bearing material is plenty for seeding a new planetary system with life". Full text:  
<http://tinyurl.com/z9zd>

WSS  
[www.wsse.ca](http://www.wsse.ca)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## Re: Trindade Material - Part III - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[mshough@parcellular.fsnet.co.uk](mailto:mshough@parcellular.fsnet.co.uk)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 08:57:04 -0000  
Fwd Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 16:20:39 -0500  
Subject: Re: Trindade Material - Part III - Shough

>From: John Harney <[magonia@harneyj.freemove.co.uk](mailto:magonia@harneyj.freemove.co.uk)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 22:05:51 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Trindade Material - Part III

>>From: Martin Shough <[mshough@parcellular.fsnet.co.uk](mailto:mshough@parcellular.fsnet.co.uk)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 22:03:50 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Trindade Material - Part III

>>>From: John Harney <[magonia@harneyj.freemove.co.uk](mailto:magonia@harneyj.freemove.co.uk)>  
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 10 Dec 2003 18:07:13 -0000  
>>>Subject: Re: Trindade Material - Part III

>>>Look, this was not a cruise liner - it was a Brazilian Navy  
>>>\_training\_ship\_. Sailing ships (with auxiliary engines) like the  
>>>Almirante Saldanha are also used by other navies. They are used  
>>>to train young recruits in seamanship and \_discipline\_, so that  
>>>they can cope with any emergencies they are likely to encounter  
>>>at sea. An obvious emergency, for a naval vessel, would be the  
>>>appearance of an unidentified and possibly hostile aircraft. Yet,  
>>>when this happens the men on the deck of the Almirante Saldanha  
>>>just panic (if we believe Barauna). This is not a trivial matter;  
>>>it must be clarified if we are to take the story seriously.

>>Since the detailed disposition of the men on deck does not seem  
>>to be known, might the men have been 'frantically running'  
>>\*towards\* their various stations as a result of what you  
>>describe as an emergency? In the circumstances - a first real-  
>>life defensive alert for young recruits - it wouldn't be  
>>surprising if this deployment were just a bit chaotic, would it?  
>>The more so if there were indeed a 'flying saucer' swooping  
>>past!

>>Good point about the tripod, though.

>If this business about the apparent disorder on deck when the  
>UFO was sighted were the only peculiar detail, then perhaps it  
>wouldn't tend to make the sighting seem doubtful. But there are  
>a number of other difficulties with the story, most of which  
>have been steadfastly ignored, or brushed aside as unimportant,  
>by the believers.

>  
>For instance, if the film which was said to have the UFO images  
>on it was so important, why was it developed under conditions  
>which could easily have ruined it, as I have already asked?  
>Also, why were many crew members allegedly allowed to examine  
>it, even before it had dried? Surely no serious photographer  
>would allow this. More seriously, if the film was considered so  
>important, why was Barauna allowed to take it to his private  
>laboratory before it could be examined by Navy photographic  
>experts?

Hi John

The issue of the disorder on deck may be insignificant now, but  
in your last post it was "not a trivial matter [and] must be  
clarified if we are to take the story seriously". If I knew

enough about the case it may be that I'd find your other questions answerable too; I don't know. But I think one of the healthy lessons that sceptical investigators have taught us is that events in real life are often a bit of a dog's breakfast and people don't always behave just as coolly and reasonably as one would wish - even when they're only looking at Venus. You might counter that extraordinary claims require extraordinarily consistent evidence. But would extraordinary consistency be a sign of verity, or a sign of deception?

It would be an interesting exercise to take a blind sampling of "true" stories from some section of the media and test them to destruction for perfect psychological plausibility. I imagine we'd find quite a lot of eyebrow-raising circumstances, unlikely coincidences and implausible behaviour. (It would be mischievous of me to suggest taking this sample from the pages of Fortean Times!)

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## Re: Bill Moore Sells Off? - Aldrich

From: Jan Aldrich <[project1947@earthlink.net](mailto:project1947@earthlink.net)>  
Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 21:30:52 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 16:08:38 -0500  
Subject: Re: Bill Moore Sells Off? - Aldrich

>From: Grant Cameron <[presidentialufo@presidency.com](mailto:presidentialufo@presidency.com)>  
>To: [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
>Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 23:46:54 -0500  
>Subject: Bill Moore Sells Off?

><http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3573001472&category=1128>

All those who have sent Bill Moore money for publications or reports and never got anything for it raise your hands.

My, my, a sea of hands! Or is it fists?

Jan Aldrich  
Project 1947  
<http://www.project1947.com/>  
P. O. Box 391  
Canterbury, CT 06331  
(860) 546-9135

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: Alien Abductee Stress - Morton

From: Dave Morton <[Marspyrs@aol.com](mailto:Marspyrs@aol.com)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 11:09:49 EST  
Fwd Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 09:46:16 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Abductee Stress - Morton

>From: William Scott Scherk <[wss@uniserve.com](mailto:wss@uniserve.com)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 08:40:26 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Alien Abductee Stress

>What I found intriguing was the range of opinion in the  
>ScienCentral article.

>As you know, McNally and colleagues had earlier done some  
>interesting research on three other groups: those who always  
>remembered child sexual abuse; those who 'recovered' memories of  
>child sexual abuse; and those who believed that they had once  
>been sexually abused, but had no memories of such a thing.

>As you can imagine, Greg, there were some objections to this  
>line of research. The findings that there were differences in  
>several psychological measure were challenged - not least that  
>differences in measures of dissociation and absorption among the  
>'repressed memory' cohort could be correlated with the  
>(unremembered) trauma itself:

>See:

>McNally, R. J., Clancy, S. A., Schacter, D. L., & Pitman, R. K.  
>(2000). Personality profiles, dissociation, and absorption in  
>women reporting repressed, recovered, or continuous memories of  
>childhood sexual abuse. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical  
>Psychology*, 68, 1033-1037.

>McNally, R. J., Clancy, S. A., & Schacter, D. L. (2001).  
>Directed forgetting of trauma cues in adults reporting repressed  
>or recovered memories of childhood sexual abuse. *Journal of  
>Abnormal Psychology*, 110, 151-156.

>McNally, R. J., Clancy, S. A., Schacter, D. L., & Pitman, R. K.  
>(2000). Cognitive processing of trauma cues in adults reporting  
>repressed, recovered, or continuous memories of childhood sexual  
>abuse. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 109, 355-359.

>McNally, R. J., Metzger, L. J., Lasko, N. B., Clancy, S. A., &  
>Pitman, R. K. (1998). Directed forgetting of trauma cues in  
>adult survivors of childhood sexual abuse with and without  
>posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*,  
>107, 596-601.

---

<snip>

=====

The research into PTSD and false memory syndrome is critical in this day and age, medically, psychologically, and legally. And I'm pleased that some research is being done on possible abductees, beyond that already done by John Mack, Budd Hopkins, and others.

The results quoted above would not be surprising for non-abductees: "Hypnotic suggestibility, depressive symptoms, and

schizotypic features were significant predictors of false recall and false recognition."

If I remember correctly (someone can correct me if I'm wrong), Budd Hopkins has for years requested that the alleged abductees he works with, submit to a psychological evaluation by a qualified professional, and that he has repeatedly been underwhelmed by the "normalcy" (non-schizotypic) personalities of his subjects, except for indications that they'd undergone some sort of trauma. In addition, he's commented on numerous occasions as to the non-suggestibility of his subjects while under hypnosis. Budd always tries to root out frauds, and attempts to lead them down false paths while hypnotized. The results are that a core of subjects, all with similar stories, never follow the leader down those paths, and never waver in their testimony.

The research you quote, regarding possible abductees, might be useful in separating the wheat from the chaff in initial interviews, but without knowing the backgrounds of those studied, it's impossible to say.

While it's certainly possible that some people who think they were abducted, were not abducted, and simply have other psychological problems, the validity of alien abductions has been proven time and time again.

And for McNally to believe that all abduction memories are simply "false memories caused by sleep paralysis", boggles the mind with its stupidity. His appoggiatura of interpretation is also alien to scientific thinking, in that he seems to be saying in effect, "These abductions can't be happening, but it's interesting that these subjects who think they were abducted show the same PTSD reactions as if the events had actually happened." Gosh, what a surprise.

This line of so-called reasoning reminds me of the proclamations of some fundamentalist Christian types who say, "We know the Universe was created 5,000 years ago, but it's amazing how completely God has 'aged' everything to fool us into believing it was actually created 15 billion years ago. From the red shift of distant galaxies to the residual heat of the faked Big Bang, he thought of everything."

Both are proceeding from the conclusion to the interpretation, rather than vice versa, and seem to exhibit an infantile understanding and surprising contempt for the purveyors of the very information they seek. Neither can lay claim to the title of "scientist" in that arena, but both could be termed "denialists". Or perhaps "believers"...

One believes in the prosaic nature of life, while the other believes in the literal interpretation of passages of a book written thousands of years ago by unknown authors, whose claims as to the age of the Universe (if such claims exist) have been scientifically disproven - not to mention the oxymoron of believing in a God of Truth who tells Lies about the stuff of his own creation. In both cases, they simply believe whatever they want to, and don't allow the evidence to get in their way. Their arrogance, ignorance, and contempt are astounding.

What McNally should do, if he wants to contribute something to the arena of abductee psychology is to ask Mack and Hopkins to suggest a group of abductees with scoop marks and other physical scars, request their participation in a study, and compare the stress scores of these vetted abductees with average people who claim no abductee contact. Or, as John Velez suggested, study themselves: "I'd like to know what the research says about people who are so convinced they are correct they are absolutely positive that something didn't happen!" The Apollonian injunction is particularly apt in McNally's case.

In the final analysis, scoop marks and implants, along with unshakable, consistent testimony, always trumps psychiatric evaluations. It takes only a handful of such cases to prove the abduction phenomenon exists. Research into different groups of alleged abductees, while interesting, cannot disprove the already proven. Throwing abductees into the mix of "false memory symptom studies" unnecessarily muddies the waters and places the real abductees into the semi-dementia of Kookville, as the spin of the first and last paragraphs seem to imply: "Research

suggests that, in many cases, those making the claim truly believe it happened", and "While McNally thinks such insights into the power of false memories has important implications on court cases involving 'recovered memories'...".

A mind is a terrible thing - but always fascinating. Even McNally's.

Dave Morton

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: Abduction Statistics - Hall

From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99@hotmail.com](mailto:hallrichard99@hotmail.com)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 17:26:17 +0000  
Fwd Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 09:50:58 -0500  
Subject: Re: Abduction Statistics - Hall

>From: John Velez <[johnvelez.aic@verizon.net](mailto:johnvelez.aic@verizon.net)>  
>To: [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
>Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 16:50:16 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Abduction Statistics

>>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99@hotmail.com](mailto:hallrichard99@hotmail.com)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
>>Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 22:38:54 +0000  
>>Subject: Abduction Statistics [was: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby@aol.com](mailto:Evolbaby@aol.com)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
>>>Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 15:14:23 EST  
>>>Subject: Re: Asking Jesus For Intervention Stops Abductions

<snip>

>Hi Dick,

>You wrote:

>>I have said many times that, as an "expert" abstractor/indexer  
>>with decades of experience, I could easily - with funding -  
>>create a database on all the demographic and other factors. But,  
>>no funding is available and I have already bankrupted myself  
>>doing "pro bono" work.

>We need to think about formally asking CFI/Sci-Fi channel  
>about \_funding\_ such a worthy/worthwhile and necessary project.  
>About a year ago you, Jim Speiser, myself and a couple of others  
>discussed the possibility of codifying some criteria for determining  
>who and what qualifies as an "UFO abduction case." Something which  
>has still not been done after 30+ years.

>A most recent example of the lack of same is Brenda Denzler's  
>informal survey. If the respondents were people who fit an  
>established set of criteria (had been screened/qualified) for  
>consideration, then see how much more relevant and truly  
>representative the findings become. The more reliable the  
>results/findings of such studies become, the more reliable the  
>speculation that is based on those findings becomes. Why, in no  
>time flat we may actually obtain some answers to questions that  
>have been floating around since day one and that we can actually  
>rely on.

>A formal proposal needs to be drafted and submitted to CFI or  
>the sci-fi channel people. To whoever it is that holds the purse  
>strings. The creation of a set of diagnostic tools that research  
>people in the field can use to help them determine which UFO  
>abduction case is worthy of/justifies further investigation, and  
>which cases/reports do not, would go a long way toward purifying  
>the foundation, the source of information that can later be used  
>to create a database of \_reliable\_ information.

>I for one would sign on to promote the creation of that set of  
>diagnostic criteria. And who better to head up such a project  
>than a guy with over 50 years experience!

>>There is no lack of a talent pool.

>Not at all. Mark Rodighier from CUFOS, yourself and any number  
>of others I could name, (including brilliant, knowledgeable and  
>genuinely open-minded people like Greg Sandow) would make a  
>formidable team!

>A monumental task for sure. But a most worthy one nonetheless.

<snip>

John,

I would be delighted to receive funding for developing an abduction case database. However, a database and a set of DSM-V diagnostic criteria are two very different things. Both are worthy projects, and the database could help with the diagnostic criteria.

But for starters the database would have to be a "common sense" one based on people who report abduction-like experiences (just as people report UFO-like objects in the sky and the definition of "UFO" is a bit loose). Just as with reported UFOs, some may not turn out to be legitimate. Each entry in the database could be evaluated by what "experts" we have as to completeness of information and credibility of reporter, and assigned some kind of weight (say, 1-5).

I don't know that the Sci-Fi Channel is into accepting grant proposals, but I'll ask my friends at the Fund for UFO Research. It is possible that they might be able to work out some funding with the help of the Sci-Fi Channel.

As I envision the database, it would contain for each entry an abstract of the case, key words/concepts, and indexing terms. The index requires a thesaurus of terms so that everyone is on the same page. What I could do is develop the format, the thesaurus, and a start on the database, and a handbook for others to use to enter additional cases in the future since it would be an open-ended database. Scientific databases are not fixed and static; they continue to grow and evolve. Once set up, the database can be searched by subscribers (if it is pay-as-you-go) or by the public (if it is free) using the index terms.

For example, all cases in which a UFO sighting preceded the abduction, hypnotic recall or not, location (indoors, outdoors, in vehicle...), procedures done on abductees, physiological aftereffects, etc., etc, all would be searchable.

I'm sure it would be a boon to everyone in the UFO field, pro, con, or undecided.

- Dick

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## 'Disclosure' Witness?

From: **Stuart Miller** <[Stuart.Miller4@btinternet.com](mailto:Stuart.Miller4@btinternet.com)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 18:12:06 -0000  
Fwd Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 09:52:58 -0500  
Subject: 'Disclosure' Witness?

Hello All,

With all the recent and past criticism of the Disclosure Project and in particular in relation to the allegedly poor filtering and assessment of some of the witnesses, I wonder if there is anybody on this list who can tell me anything about Daniel M. Salter?

He was one of the people called by Mr. Greer but it does not appear that he has ever been discussed on this list.

He has a book out, published this year, entitled "Life With A Cosmos Clearance" (as told to Nancy Red Star) and as you might imagine from the title, makes some pretty amazing claims in the book. In fact I think he outpaces Phillip Corso. It's a great read but I find I'm left with a few doubts.

Can anybody throw any further light on him?

Stuart Miller

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: World UFO Link & Ad Page - Hale

From: Roy Hale - The Lost Haven <[roy@thelosthaven.co.uk](mailto:roy@thelosthaven.co.uk)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 15:18:16 -0000  
Fwd Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 09:54:06 -0500  
Subject: Re: World UFO Link & Ad Page - Hale

Dear Colleagues,

I have just placed a new section on my UFO Site, which will enable groups or investigators, to Submit their DATA, for placement for viewing by the world surfers, looking for UFO contacts and DATA, and those who like to purchase Book, DVDs, Videos, Mags.

If you would like to submit your details on this section, then please make sure your details are very clear, and the links are working. I will not submit DATA that is unformatted, and needs a lot of rewriting, this is your job, neat, tidy, and readable.

I will also allow Authors, and such like to place their Ads for everyone to see, as I get quite a lot of people who have the intention to purchase items of UFO interest.

This is open to UFO Groups and researchers across the globe.

If placing DATA on my site, make sure it is accompanied by a contact e-mail address, as many of my readers like to contact people, for email questions and discussions, on areas of UFO research.

This is a totally FREE service provided by me.

You can find the page here:

<http://www.thelosthaven.co.uk/WLINKUFO.htm>

Best Regards,

Roy

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman@psln.com](mailto:egehrman@psln.com)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 11:23:55 -0800  
Fwd Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 09:56:21 -0500  
Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup? - Gehrman

>From: Robert Gates <[RGates8254@aol.com](mailto:RGates8254@aol.com)>  
>To: [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
>Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 00:55:24 EST  
>Subject: Re: sTARBABY & A New CSICOP Coverup?

<snip>

>The question also boils down to allegedly what technology was  
>seeded into the private sector? Meaning what specific technology  
>did Corso and Army FTD give to companies which allowed them to  
>make some technological leap that they hadn't/couldn't have made  
>previously?

Robert, EBK, List,

The pieces and parts of the crashed Roswell craft, found in what he referred to as his "nut file" were the transferred technology. He also passed on pertinent reports that were either in the file or obtained by other means.

Below is a quote from what I consider to be a balanced review of "The Day After Roswell". All the seeded technology that Corso managed went to programs already in place and to companies that had an established relationship with the Army as contractors. As I've pointed out before, seeding isn't all that the FTD offered, but also fertilizer in the form of cost-plus contracts to guarantee that development proceeded on schedule:

"Corso describes many of the technologies and products that he says are the direct result of reverse-engineering alien artifacts: integrated circuits, lasers, optical fibers, super-strong fabrics like Kevlar, stealth technology, EMP devices to disable electronics, and directed energy weapons. He intimates that much of the work done on mind control technologies was inspired by apparent alien use of such technologies to control their craft and abducted humans, and is largely intended to develop countermeasures and to control our own hardware."

<http://www.naturalhealthholistic.com/corsorev.html>

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

Secrecy News -- 12/15/03

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood@fas.org](mailto:saftergood@fas.org)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 14:14:40 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 09:59:26 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 12/15/03

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2003, Issue No. 108  
December 15, 2003

\*\* DOD WITHHOLDS STUDY ON ANTHRAX LESSONS LEARNED  
\*\* BUSH SIGNS 2004 INTEL BILL INTO LAW  
\*\* SECURITY CLEARANCE POLICY IN DISARRAY  
\*\* ACCURACY OF THE FISA APPLICATION PROCESS  
\*\* US NEWS ON BUSH ADMINISTRATION SECRECY  
\*\* CRS ON POST-SADDAM IRAQ

DOD WITHHOLDS STUDY ON ANTHRAX LESSONS LEARNED

In the latest sign of the expanding scope of official secrecy, the Department of Defense has formally refused to release a report on lessons learned from the 2001 anthrax attacks, in which anthrax spores were sent through the mail to members of Congress and the media, even though the report is unclassified.

What makes the move somewhat unusual is that the Pentagon did not invoke national security as the reason for withholding the document.

Instead, in denying a Freedom of Information Act request from the Federation of American Scientists for the anthrax study, the Department cited FOIA exemption (b)(2) (High) which protects information that, "if disclosed, might be used to circumvent an agency rule or regulation." No particular agency rule or regulation was identified.

Furthermore, "this document falls under the guidance of the US Attorney General memorandum, dated October 12, 2001, that restricts the public distribution of information related to homeland security and protection of critical infrastructure," according to the December 12 denial letter from the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA). See:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/2003/12/dtra121203.pdf>

But exemption 2 is completely inapplicable to this document, FAS argued in a letter of appeal. Nor is the Attorney General authorized to unilaterally impose new "restrictions on public distribution of information" that go beyond the nine exemptions from disclosure that were provided in the FOIA. See:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/2003/12/dtra-appeal.pdf>

The Department of Defense seems to have so clearly exceeded its authority in this case that if the appeal is denied, the stage may be set for corrective judicial action. A successful legal challenge in this case could help to limit the growing practice of using FOIA exemption 2 to withhold information on unclassified homeland security and critical infrastructure matters.

"I'm amazed that DoD is giving this case to you on a silver

platter," said one former DTRA official who said he found the agency's argument for withholding the document untenable.

"There is no 'rule or regulation' DoD can cite that has any relevance to this whatsoever -- let alone one that they can accuse this report of subverting."

"For their sake, I can only hope that [DTRA] realizes that denying your appeal is going to have the effect, when you win in court, of striking down DoD's entire information management policy," the former official told Secrecy News, anticipating one possible sequence of events. (See also SN, 8/19/03).

#### BUSH SIGNS 2004 INTEL BILL INTO LAW

President Bush signed into law the 2004 intelligence authorization bill on December 13, while signaling a continuing posture of secrecy towards congressional overseers.

In a signing statement, he warned that he might not comply with several legislative provisions on intelligence accountability and reporting because, he said, they intruded on executive authority.

"Many provisions of the Act, including section 106 and subtitle D of title III of the Act, seek to require the executive branch to furnish information to the Congress on various subjects. The executive branch shall construe the provisions in a manner consistent with the President's constitutional authority to withhold information the disclosure of which could impair foreign relations, national security, the deliberative processes of the Executive, or the performance of the Executive's constitutional duties."

See the December 13 signing statement here:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/news/2003/12/wh121303.html>

#### SECURITY CLEARANCE POLICY IN DISARRAY

After a decade of concerted effort to streamline and rationalize the procedures for granting security clearances, there are still major defects in security clearance policy, particularly when it comes to industrial contractors doing classified work for the government, according to a new report.

First and foremost, the system is plagued by delays in handling of clearance applications, which sometimes take several years to be processed, according to the 2002 report of the National Industrial Security Program (NISP).

"According to those interviewed, the delays cost industry countless millions of dollars per year," the NISP report stated. "Often individuals left the company before they actually worked in the position they were hired for, due to delays in the clearance process."

Another major problem concerns the erosion in "reciprocity," i.e. the growing unwillingness of one agency to accept the clearances issued by another agency without conducting a separate, independent investigation.

"Based upon the responses given, it is difficult to recognize that the entire executive branch is supposed to be operating under uniform investigative standards and adjudicative guidelines for security clearances," the report said.

"Overall, this report reveals that items identified as progress points in our January 1999 NISP report are no longer progressing," it said.

A copy of the 2002 NISP report, published last month by the Information Security Oversight Office, is available here (1.7 MB PDF file):

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/isoo/nisp2002.pdf>

#### ACCURACY OF THE FISA APPLICATION PROCESS

The integrity of the procedures for authorizing clandestine search and surveillance under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act was called into question in recent years after several instances in which inaccurate information was presented to a court in applications for such surveillance.

In response, the Federal Bureau of Investigation two years ago adopted new procedures to "ensure the accuracy" of its applications.

A copy of the so-called Woods Procedures, named after their author, Michael J. Woods of the FBI Office of General Counsel, and declassified last year, is available here:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/doj/fisa/woods.pdf>

In reply to questions for Senator Patrick J. Leahy (D-VT), FBI Director Robert Mueller explained the background and context of the procedures.

Director Mueller's responses, transmitted August 29, 2003, were sent to the Senate Judiciary Committee and are excerpted here:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/doj/fisa/fbi082903.pdf>

FBI reliance on the FISA process is increasing as previous, long-held distinctions between law enforcement and intelligence are swept away.

See "FBI Applies New Rules to Surveillance" by Dan Eggen, Washington Post, December 13:

<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A60964-2003Dec12.html>

#### US NEWS ON BUSH ADMINISTRATION SECRECY

The extraordinary reach of official secrecy in the Bush Administration is explored in a lengthy investigation published this week in U.S. News and World Report.

The story goes well beyond the familiar excesses to include many lesser known but no less troublesome restrictions on public access to information that have become a hallmark of the current Administration.

See "Keeping Secrets" by Christopher H. Schmitt and Edward T. Pound, U.S. News and World Report, December 22:

<http://www.usnews.com/usnews/issue/031222/usnews/22secrecy.htm>

#### CRS ON POST-SADDAM IRAQ

The daunting complexities of Iraq's internal political environment after the fall of Saddam Hussein are explored in a new report from the Congressional Research Service (completed before the capture of Hussein on December 13).

See "Iraq: U.S. Regime Change Efforts and Post-Saddam Governance" by Kenneth Katzman, Congressional Research Service, updated November 18:

<http://www.fas.org/man/crs/RL31339.pdf>

Rep. Bob Ney (R-OH) does not believe the American public should have routine access to CRS reports like this one.

Rep. Ney, chair of the House Committee on House Administration, attempted to explain his position in "Ney Draws Line at Public Access to Research," by Paul M. Krawzak, Copley News Service, December 11:

<http://www.timesreporter.com/left.php?ID=25035&r=4>

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request@lists.fas.org](mailto:secrecy_news-request@lists.fas.org) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood@fas.org](mailto:saftergood@fas.org)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood@fas.org](mailto:saftergood@fas.org)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Velez

From: John Velez <[johnvelez.aic@verizon.net](mailto:johnvelez.aic@verizon.net)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 15:21:46 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 10:03:39 -0500  
Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03 - Velez

>From: Captain Alejandro Franz <[alfafox@Prodigy.net.mx](mailto:alfafox@Prodigy.net.mx)>  
>To: [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
>Date: Fri, 12 Dec 2003 22:41:33 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Maussan Makes Prediction For 12-12-03

>I can provide some information about this case, another one of  
>many J. Maussan hoaxes. The Aeromexico DC9 flight 129 from  
>Guadalajara to Mexico's City Intl. Airport that supposedly had a  
>collision with a UFO when approaching runway 05 right at  
>Mexico's Intl. Airport on July 1994.

>I know personally the "eyewitness" Enrique Kolbeck, an Air  
>Traffic Controller, very close to Jaime Maussan because he is  
>the one who always has been invited to join the "team" of  
>"eyewitnesses" at all UFO conventions that Maussan has organized  
>since 1997.

<snip>

Hola Capt. Franz,

I am not an 'expert'. Therefore I will not argue or debate point  
by point the many issues you have raised in regard to this  
alleged collision case. What it all boils down to is this; you  
are saying (in essence) that Enrique Kolbeck, and Capt. Ruano,  
who are the principles reporting this incident in conjunction  
with Jaime Maussan, are lying. That there is no 'collision case'  
as such.

That's pretty strong stuff. I hope you can prove your  
contentions. I have a tendency to believe the testimony of the  
pilot and the ground support person who both say that it did  
happen. You expressed yourself eloquently in English in your  
initial post. I wonder if wouldn't be too much to ask if you can  
translate and publish here to the List, the contents of the  
webpage that you referred me to. I do not have the time to  
translate it myself.

We all need to hear both sides of this argument. I'd also like  
to ask you if you were there (working at the control tower) when  
the alleged collision took place?

One other point, you said that it would have been impossible to  
detect the OVNI as a separate object on radar if Ruano's plane  
had been directly over it at the time Kolbeck warned him about  
it.

Doesn't it make more sense that the DC9 and the OVNI would have  
appeared as separate and distinct blips because Kolbeck was  
fore-warning Capt. Ruano. Which means that the warning would -  
by definition- have been issued prior to the DC9 having  
reached the position of the UFO/OVNI. Ergo, Kolbeck would have  
seen two distinct blips. According to the facts of the case he  
would have had to see two separate blips in order to be able to  
warn Ruano of the impending collision.

If you can please translate your material and post it here, it  
would be most helpful to those considering the merits of the  
case. Thank you in advance for your time and co-operation.

At some point I would like to invite Enrique Kolbeck and Capt. Ruano to address the points you are raising. If not here on this List, on-air on Strange Days... Indeed.

Thank you,

John Velez

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: Denzler Abductee Survey? - Velez

From: John Velez <[johnvelez.aic@verizon.net](mailto:johnvelez.aic@verizon.net)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 15:39:03 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 10:05:36 -0500  
Subject: Re: Denzler Abductee Survey? - Velez

>From: Jim Speiser <[jimspeiser@yahoo.com](mailto:jimspeiser@yahoo.com)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 15:04:43 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Denzler Abductee Survey?

>>From: John Velez <[johnvelez.aic@verizon.net](mailto:johnvelez.aic@verizon.net)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
>>Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 13:58:49 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Denzler Abductee Survey?

>>It is not for lack of wanting to, or people being willing to do  
>>the work. It all boils down to cash, compensation and hardware.  
>>In another thread I have suggested that a formal proposal should  
>>be drafted and submitted to CFI and the Sci-Fi channel to see if  
>>funding cannot be found to put Dick Hall to work on this  
>>project. Dick can easily round up many good people who will be  
>>ready, willing and able to assist in whatever capacity may be  
>>required/needed. All it takes is -grease- moola-boola, cash on  
>>the barrel-head. The end result would be a worthwhile and  
>>invaluable database, set of statistics, and diagnostic tools.  
>>Tools that would have been formulated/based on the data.

Hi Jim,

I've missed your sage rumblings on the List. Good to know you're still out there. You wrote:

>FWIW I'm still willing to do volunteer a little time to an  
>abduction catalog project. I do suggest, however, that if you go  
>to CFI/Sci-Fi for funding, that we find some way of suggesting  
>how the project might end up as a TV program or special,  
>otherwise I can almost guarantee they won't be interested. It  
>won't be easy coming up with a way to make such "dry" research  
>into an exciting two-hour special starring Bryant Gumball.

ROFLMAO!

Well, first you grab Ms. Piggy by the chin hairs and then you apply the eye-shadow first, followed by rouge and lip gloss. Once Ms. Piggy has on pretty make-up, you strut her back and forth in front of her prospective beau and see if he actually tries to kiss her. :)

Let me think on that one a bit. If I can come up with a good angle we'll use it to pitch the idea to the people at CFI/SCI-FI. You are absolutely correct though, I don't think they'll give it the time of day unless it will translate into some kind of TV special.

Not an insurmountable obstacle though. I want to give it a go. Maybe we can get this little pink pig to fly!

Great to hear from you, Jim.

Warm regards from cold New York,

John Velez

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: 1929 UFO Photo? - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell@vcmails.com](mailto:tshell@vcmails.com)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 15:52:52 -0600  
Fwd Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 10:09:23 -0500  
Subject: Re: 1929 UFO Photo? - Shell

>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch@sysmatrix.net](mailto:larryhatch@sysmatrix.net)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 00:24:53 -0800  
>Subject: Re: 1929 UFO Photo?

>Oooh! That's a goodie (presuming its genuine).

>I had no record of it, never heard of it. Maybe it never got  
>into the literature aside from the web page.

>I wonder if the fellow who submitted the old photo can be reached.

Debunking mode ON. It looks to me like just the sort of mould that would grow on an old photo. Some kind of inky, irregular splotch. I also find it noteworthy that the ink notation on the photograph doesn't mention it at all, rather all it notes is the sawmill. I would at least write "funny thing flying over the mill" or something. If it was me.

Kook mode ON. Yeah, but what about the obvious chemtrails in the photo? It proves that the object was very big and very high, since it's shown behind those same trails. Hey wait a minute! Contrails in 1929? Again, pretty good proof of alien origin, because we didn't have anything at the time that could produce those trails! Ha!

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## MUFON Canada Symposium Announcement

From: Eugene Frison <[GeneFrison@aol.com](mailto:GeneFrison@aol.com)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 18:26:39 EST  
Fwd Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 11:09:46 -0500  
Subject: MUFON Canada Symposium Announcement

2003.12.15

Dear List:

MUFON in Canada is pleased to announce an upcoming event - The MUFON First Annual Canadian UFO Symposium. It will take place on September 18, 2004 at Alderney Landing in Dartmouth, Nova Scotia. The symposium will be a one day event under the theme UFO's... Thinking Outside The Box. Speakers have been chosen and more detail will be provided in the very near future.

Regards,

Eugene Frison

MUTUAL UFO NETWORK, INC. (MUFON)  
.....

INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS  
[mufonhq@aol.com](mailto:mufonhq@aol.com)  
John Schuessler - International Director

CANADIAN BOARD OF DIRECTORS

CANADIAN HEAD OFFICE  
[genefrison@aol.com](mailto:genefrison@aol.com)  
Eugene Frison - National Director

CANADIAN CASES INVESTIGATION COMMITTEE  
[cjas55@yahoo.ca](mailto:cjas55@yahoo.ca)  
Chris Styles - Canadian Director of Investigations

CANADIAN RECORDS AND RESEARCH  
[mjs@intergate.ca](mailto:mjs@intergate.ca)  
[ebarker2@mb.sympatico.ca](mailto:ebarker2@mb.sympatico.ca)  
Michael Strainic ... Ed Barker  
Co-Directors of Canadian Cold Case Archives and Research

EASTERN REGION  
[linda.chernabrow@mcgill.ca](mailto:linda.chernabrow@mcgill.ca)  
Linda Chernabrow - Eastern Regional Director (Canada)

CENTRAL REGION  
[mikebird@interlog.com](mailto:mikebird@interlog.com)  
Mike Bird - Central Regional Director (Canada)

WESTERN REGION  
[gavin\\_mcleod@telus.net](mailto:gavin_mcleod@telus.net)  
Gavin McLeod - Western Regional Director

WEBSITE: <http://www.mufon.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: 1929 UFO Photo? - Stanford

From: Ray Stanford <[dinotracker@earthlink.net](mailto:dinotracker@earthlink.net)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 19:45:02 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 11:51:13 -0500  
Subject: Re: 1929 UFO Photo? - Stanford

>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch@sysmatrix.net](mailto:larryhatch@sysmatrix.net)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 00:24:53 -0800  
>Subject: Re: 1929 UFO Photo?

>>From: Lan Fleming <[lfleming5@houston.rr.com](mailto:lfleming5@houston.rr.com)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>>Date: Sun, 14 Dec 2003 09:59:24 -0600  
>>Subject: 1929 UFO Photo?

>>I recently came across an interesting photograph of a UFO that  
>>is said to have been taken in 1929. The photo is at this URL:

>><http://www.ufoevidence.org/Photographs/Photo121.htm>

>>If genuine, it was taken 18 years before Arnold's sighting of  
>>craft shaped like "pie plates" in 1947. The potential  
>>significance of this is obvious.

>>Does anyone on the list know anything about this photograph or  
>>has anyone physically examined it personally or interviewed its  
>>owner?

>>It seems to me that it wouldn't be too hard to determine whether  
>>or not the photograph is as old as it's supposed to be. If it's  
>>74 years old, the passage of time should have had a noticeable  
>>effect on the quality of the paper as well as the image, which  
>>certainly looks as faded as would be expected in the digital  
>>version. And if the photo is really that old, it would probably  
>>be very difficult for a contemporary hoaxer to add the object to  
>>it without leaving some noticeable traces of tampering.

>Oooh! That's a goodie (presuming its genuine).

>I had no record of it, never heard of it. Maybe it never got  
>into the literature aside from the web page.

>There are lots of features called Ward in Colorado,  
>(dams, mines ..) but only one town, in Boulder  
>County: populated place  
>Elevation (feet): 9160  
>Estimated Population (2000): 169  
>State: Colorado  
>County: Boulder  
>40:04:20N x 105:30:28W

>Boulder county is North of Denver, not too far East of the  
>continental divide, mountain country.

>I wonder if the fellow who submitted the old photo can be  
>reached.

I recommend being very careful with this case. The alleged  
descendent of the photographer claims his father declared that a  
"terrible thunderous bellow" was heard by all those present. If  
so, then why do the two or three men in the photo seem to be  
looking elsewhere than to the sky, one of them evidently doing  
something with lumber, or whatever, and seemingly not trying to

locate the source of the sound, which the reporter claims the father said those present heard?

Also, one might wonder about all that light-colored stuff reaching almost to the tree tops (it does not really look like stacked lumber) in the middle of the photo and the less-well-focused white image to the right. With so many problematic images in a photo, can one take very seriously the fact that one or more of the strange images has a superficial resemblance to a modern day cartoonist's concept of a UFO?

Consider, also, that the ink writing on the photo seemingly looks too pristine and rich blue to date from 1929 (if that's what the claimant is alleging), in my opinion, and judging from old photos on which my mother had written with blue ink.

Furthermore, did any of you notice what might be interpreted or misinterpreted as a similar object (but more edge-on) to the right and slightly lower in the photo plane, that also has some bright points on or just beneath its seeming edge and has four seemingly linear extensions down from that edge - the longest or clearest two of them going down from the right side of the anomalous image and seemingly in front of the pine tree top.

If anyone really thinks that second image might be a UFO, please notice that there are similar images to the seeming downward streaks from the 'second object' that are extending roughly 45 degrees to those of that 'second object', but that 'third object' is 'in' or in front of the pine tree top to the right of the pine tree top 'containing' the second 'UFO'.

In short, even in the absence of a negative to examine for photographic content or for emulsion damage, I think it realistic to feel that there is a lot of damage to the negative from which this photo was made and/or to the surface of this photo, itself. In absence of a negative and considering the abundant probable 'noise' in this image, it is likely of no scientific value in determining the presence of an anomalous phenomenon or phenomena in the sky at the time the photo was taken, and the actions of the persons shown in the photo seem to contradict the alleged photographer's report.

I suspect we could be looking at damage to the photographic emulsion or photo surface damage, or even, conceivably, damage or debris on a window (of a vehicle?) through which the photo might have been taken, with the story added after the fact. I suppose the person who allegedly was about six years of age when the photo was taken might just have misremembered something told (perhaps in jest?) or imagined (as a young child) something of a story to explain the photo's content. Whatever accounts for the photo - UFO or photo fluke - I doubt this kind of 'trail-gone-cold' case (especially in absence of a first-generation photographic negative) will gain us any UFological 'mileage' or credibility with mainstream science, even if it is fun to examine such things and imagine what might or might not be recorded there.

Ray Stanford

"You know my method. It is founded upon the observance of trifles." -- Sherlock Holmes in The Boscombe Valley Mystery

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## CCCRN News: Midale: A Study in Crop Circle

From: Paul Anderson <[psa@cccrn.ca](mailto:psa@cccrn.ca)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 19:30:49 -0800  
Fwd Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 11:53:50 -0500  
Subject: CCCRN News: Midale: A Study in Crop Circle

CCCRN NEWS  
E-News from the Canadian Crop Circle Research Network

December 15, 2003

<http://www.cccrn.ca>

---

### MIDALE: A STUDY IN CROP CIRCLE CONSTRUCTION

A short report by Paul Anderson has been posted to the web site, providing an review of three of the seven formations from Midale, Saskatchewan in 2001 showing how while most Canadian formations may still be simpler than those in Europe in terms of shape or geometry, the ground construction and crop lay can sometimes be quite complex, more so than people often think...

<http://www.cccrn.ca>

---

CCCRN News is the e-news service of the Canadian Crop Circle Research Network, providing e-mail updates with the latest news and reports on the crop circle phenomenon in Canada, as well as other information on CCCRN-related projects and events, sent free to your e-mail

To subscribe or unsubscribe, send an e-mail with either  
Subscribe CCCRN News or Unsubscribe CCCRN News in the  
subject line to: [cccrnnews@cccrn.ca](mailto:cccrnnews@cccrn.ca)

The Canadian Crop Circle Research Network is a non-profit research organization which has been seriously investigating and documenting the crop circle phenomenon and other possibly related phenomena in Canada since 1995, creating a liason between researchers, farmers, the public, media and scientists

C. CCCRN, 2003

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---



Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: Malaysian Villagers Claim UFO Sighting -

From: **Eustaquio Andrea Patounas** <[socex@terra.com.br](mailto:socex@terra.com.br)>  
Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 09:25:05 -0200  
Fwd Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 13:32:38 -0500  
Subject: Re: Malaysian Villagers Claim UFO Sighting -

Source: ABC Online, Australia

<http://www.abc.net.au/news/newsitems/s1011350.htm>

Tuesday, December 16, 2003. 5:52pm (AEDT)

An unidentified flying object was sighted hovering over a quiet village in northern Kedah state near the Thai border, the second such sighting there in two years, a report said on Tuesday.

A nine-year-old boy saw a slow moving disc-like object about the size of a car emitting a greenish light spinning in the air over Banggol Cicar village near the town of Baling for about four minutes before it disappeared, the New Straits Times said.

Mohamad Amirul Syafiq said at first he thought it was a plane about to crash.

"I then called my friends to look at the object which was hovering just over the top of a coconut tree behind my house," he was quoted as saying.

Mohamad Faiz Alias, 12, said he thought Mohamad Amirul was joking.

"But we saw clearly the object in the air, emitting a bright light," Mohamad Faiz said.

Kamaruddin Othman, 12, said he realised the object was not a plane because it was silent.

His father, Othman Ahmad, 54, said he also caught sight of the UFO before it disappeared.

Two years ago, a villager said he saw a UFO hovering in the air while on his way to the mosque for pre-dawn prayers, the paper said.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: BBC Timewatch - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger@ns.sympatico.ca](mailto:dledger@ns.sympatico.ca)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 23:20:42 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 13:28:00 -0500  
Subject: Re: BBC Timewatch - Ledger

>From: Andy Roberts <[aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:aj.roberts@blueyonder.co.uk)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)>  
>Date: Thu, 11 Dec 2003 21:54:42 -0000  
>Subject: BBC Timewatch

Source: BBC Online

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/history/programmes/timewatch/index.shtml>

Friday 9 January 2004, 9-9.50 pm

BBC2

<snip>

>The entire spectrum of the UFO enigma during the period is covered:  
>cults, contactees, flying saucer religions, space babies, Venusian  
>speakers and more.

That was the entire spectrum? What a load! And you would put  
your names on something like that just to be stroked by BBC 2?  
It's amazing what some people will do to get their names on TV.

And bragging about it too.

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Fireballs In The Sky

From: Frank Warren <[frank-warren@pacbell.net](mailto:frank-warren@pacbell.net)>  
Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 06:46:08 -0800  
Fwd Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 13:36:46 -0500  
Subject: Fireballs In The Sky

Source: The San Jose Mercury News California

<http://www.bayarea.com/mld/mercurynews/news/7497259.htm>

Mon, Dec. 15, 2003

Fireballs in the sky  
Meteors prompt calls to Coast Guard  
By Chuck Carroll  
Mercury News

Concerned residents from Bodega Bay to San Francisco Bay called the U.S. Coast Guard Sunday night, wondering what the bright lights in the sky might have been.

"They were very determined that it was flares, and so we treated it like it was," said Joe Ford, civilian search and rescue coordinator for the Coast Guard station in San Francisco.

Ford dispatched units to investigate after the calls came in a bunch at about 9:45 p.m.

As it turned out, however, no ships at sea were in trouble. The streaks were nothing more than meteors burning up as they entered the earth's atmosphere from the area of the sky where the constellation Gemini appears.

"It's making its annual pass through the earth's path," Ford said of the shower, known as the Geminids.

Typically, the Geminids provide for a pretty spectacular show, with a good number of relatively large, medium-speed meteors streaking across the sky in a graceful, descending arc.

"If you have not seen a mighty Geminid fireball arcing gracefully across an expanse of sky, then you have not seen a meteor," meteor experts David Levy and Stephen Edberg have written.

Some astronomers believe the Geminids to have been spawned not by a comet, as many are, but by an asteroid that crosses the orbit of Earth, according to Space.com. The Geminids typically peak on Dec. 13 and Dec. 14.

But others believe the shooting stars may be comet debris after all because the asteroid, known as 3200 Phaeton, might be the dead nucleus of a burned-out comet that somehow got trapped into an unusually tight orbit, Space.com reported.

While the peak has passed, sky-watchers say it pays off to keep looking for the next week or so, as some of the brightest fireballs of Geminids tend to be stragglers.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: Bill Moore Sells Off? - Bott

From: Murray Bott <[murrayb@win.co.nz](mailto:murrayb@win.co.nz)>  
Date: Wed, 17 Dec 2003 00:51:29 +1300  
Fwd Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 13:34:01 -0500  
Subject: Re: Bill Moore Sells Off? - Bott

>From: Grant Cameron <[presidentialufo@presidency.com](mailto:presidentialufo@presidency.com)>  
>To: [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
>Date: Sat, 13 Dec 2003 23:46:54 -0500  
>Subject: Bill Moore Sells Off?

>After conducting his first interview in many years with  
>researcher and radio host Greg Bishop, it now appears that Bill  
>Moore may be selling off some of his UFO materials.

>Get out your wallets and get ready to bid.

><http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3573001472&category=1128>

><http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=3573185877>

Greetings List

I just wonder if these items which Bill Moore is selling contains the original MJ-12 Documents from which photographs were made and posted to Jaime Shandera anonymously in the reel of film.

It was these photographs which were subsequently developed and distributed around the UFO research community and being originally treated as "genuine" by some but "Fakes" by those more "discerning" researchers.

It was also Bill Moore that distributed one other document associated with the "MJ-12 affair" later discovered as "not and original" document. Bill Moore later acknowledged that this separate document was a "cut and paste and retype" edition he had himself done and he has so far not ever given out an "original" edition to anyone.

One has to still holds the suspicion that the whole of the "MJ-12" controversy was the creation of this same person.

I hope that the person responsible for all of this finally comes forward one day and owns up

Regards

Murray Bott

On Monday 15 December 2003 11:11, you wrote:

--

Email : [murrayb@win.co.nz](mailto:murrayb@win.co.nz)

Voice : 64-9-6345285

Snail : PO Box 27117, Mt Roskill, Auckland 1030, New Zealand

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## A Result Of Throwing Rocks In The Dragons Cave

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[Lehmborg@snowhill.com](mailto:Lehmborg@snowhill.com)>  
Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 08:57:14 -0600  
Fwd Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 14:04:40 -0500  
Subject: A Result Of Throwing Rocks In The Dragons Cave

Well - after years of chunking rocks in the mouth of the Dragon's cave I have been awarded for my effort and been singled out for ridicule, humiliation, and distortion by one of the Dragon's towel boys. Only problem is? It's not just me.

The distortions are as obvious as my SDI picture, pixels pushed into an especially unhandsome and brain-damaged Quasimodo, and a referral to me as a "ufologist", something I have never, no, not one time... (nor has anyone else) ever claimed... ..down to the ax grindingly specious insults leveled at EBK, SDI, and UFO UpDates. Even Wendy Connors and David Rudiak are dragged in and mud splashed on their sheets. Hey! She's a believer, in the first place, and so consorts with feebs, simpletons, and half-wits in the second; she deserves what she gets... (the NutZAR!) ...some prancing popinjays and portentous would be pundit/blogsters would report! Rudiak is "demented", reported by same, which is especially, "happy trailed," learned, and informational...

Further - the reader can make up their own minds about me. I'm an artist, aspire to the sensibility of same, and I won't apologize for it. My expressions, however overblown, overcomplicated or baroque, are superior to the Byzantine ones employed in opposition to me, and are more sincere and informed ones than the latter, too. This is forgetting that I'm reaching for the stars and an aggregate respect with my language and for the individuals who use it, where others, pointedly, are not. There won't be any apologies for that either. I soar and cleave! Thanks!

...But mudslinging at EBK, SDI, UFO UpDates, Wendy Connors, and Dr. David Rudiak is just way out of line (devoid of any substance but juvenile pique), patently uninformed (the accuser admits he can't get through the material!), obviously canted and biased (bogus CSICOPian precepts are blithely taken at face value), and intellectually (or very non-constructively) insulting (obvious and requiring no parenthetical comment)! Such is so.

What this churlish, sycophantic, and corrupted (... and corrupting!) blogger does must be taken in the spirit of Jerry Clark's observation, I suppose, that it is the action and performance of a damp-nosed narcissist, himself guilty of what he'd accuse, and pathetically (and un-bravely!) incapable of moving beyond the suggested cognitive boundaries proscribed for him. Pity. And beyond this small notice that he was -not- undetected by the radar? ...Beneath, concern, consideration and contempt, or any further notice from -this- person or any other person wanting up off their damned knees!

PS... Predictably, this stumbling moot-pooty of a meme-bashing neo-blogger has to climb pretty high to clear the sole of John Ford's prison-issue shoe! This crap-slinging towel boy is dismissed, out of hand. Send out a bigger gun, Dragon!

[Lehmborg@snowhill.com](mailto:Lehmborg@snowhill.com)

EXPLORE "AlienViewGroup" at its HostPros URL.



<http://www.alienview.net>

JOHN FORD RESTORATION FUND -- John will be released eventually. He'll need a tax free cash stake to get on his feet. Let's put one together for him; the bigger it is -- the more attention he gets. It could have been you. E-mail for detail. \$450.00 pledged -- \$200.00 collected!

"I cleave the heavens, and soar to the infinite. What others see from afar, I leave far behind me." - Giordano Bruno, scourged by the scabrously specious scurrilous.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: Abduction Statistics - Hall

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[Lehmborg@snowhill.com](mailto:Lehmborg@snowhill.com)>  
Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 09:06:14 -0600  
Fwd Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 14:40:33 -0500  
Subject: Re: Abduction Statistics - Hall

>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99@hotmail.com](mailto:hallrichard99@hotmail.com)>  
>To: [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
>Date: Mon, 15 Dec 2003 17:26:17 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Abduction Statistics

<snip>

>I'm sure it would be a boon to everyone in the UFO field, pro,  
>con, or undecided.

>- Dick

I don't believe the very powerful "cons" are remotely interested in the potential of that kind of "boon" and are the major hurdle to the generation of it, sir.

[Lehmborg@snowhill.com](mailto:Lehmborg@snowhill.com)

EXPLORE "AlienViewGroup" at its HostPros URL.  
<http://www.alienview.net>

JOHN FORD RESTORATION FUND -- John will be released eventually. He'll need a tax free cash stake to get on his feet. Let's put one together for him; the bigger it is -- the more attention he gets. It could have been you. E-mail for detail. \$450.00 pledged -- \$200.00 collected!

"I cleave the heavens, and soar to the infinite. What others see from afar, I leave far behind me." - Giordano Bruno, scourged by the scabrously specious scurrilous.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2003](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 17](#)

## UFO Roundup Volume 8, Number 47

From: John Hayes <[webmaster@ufoinfo.com](mailto:webmaster@ufoinfo.com)>  
Date: Tue, 16 Dec 2003 10:51:49 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 17 Dec 2003 07:36:19 -0500  
Subject: UFO Roundup Volume 8, Number 47

My apology for the delay in getting this issue of UFO Roundup to you. I have been without Internet access at home since December 9th due to a network problem, this also means that the UFOINFO site has not been updated. The fault has still not been fixed and I have an engineer booked for a home visit this Friday.

This issue is being sent via someone elses PC - UFO Roundup subscribers will receive their copies as soon as my Internet connection is working.

John Hayes (UFOINFO)  
=====

UFO ROUNDUP  
Volume 8, Number 47  
December 10, 2003  
Editor: Joseph Trainor

E-mail: [Masinaigan@aol.com](mailto:Masinaigan@aol.com)  
Website: <http://www.ufoinfo.com/roundup/>

KENTUCKY UFO SIGHTING  
MAY HAVE INCLUDED AN  
ABDUCTION

Mysterious screams heard at the site of a UFO landing in eastern Kentucky have researchers wondering if an abduction was involved.

According to Ohio ufologist Kenny Young, an eyewitness, Dr. Virgil Davis "was driving toward his home" in Morehead, Kentucky (population 5,914) on Friday, November 21 (2003) between 9 and 10 p.m., with his two sons, aged 15 and 18 respectively. He recalls the specific date, knowing that one son was in college and would not have been home any day but Friday."

"While driving, they all spotted a soundless aerial object over a nearby open field," Young reported. The UFO "was described as an oval-shaped, white-colored light source as big as a pea held at arm's length, which moved 'like a hummingbird would move.'"

"The object, seen in the dark and clear night skies, was relatively high when first seen and was 'coming down, moving in increments as if an elevator (that) would stop and stay there awhile, then drop and stay there awhile.' Dr. Davis said."

"After the first three or four minutes of visual observation, the object began moving around to different parts of the sky. They got out of the car to see if they could identify the object to their satisfaction that the object was not a plane, a helicopter or the Aurora borealis."

"My first inclination was to rationalize what the object could have been,' Dr. Davis said, 'rather than jumping to conclusions. After we ruled out the various possible explanations, we assumed that this was a UFO. I know for a fact that there was no explanation for this object, and I'm just glad I had my two sons with me to see

it.'" "

"After observing the object for a few minutes, Dr. Davis and his sons got back in the car and drove on to their home under a certain sense of apprehension." The doctor reportedly said, "I did not know what it was we were looking at and had some concern that it might or could have spotted us."

"After driving a short distance to their house, they went to a second-floor bedroom and looked out the window toward the northeast," continuing to observe the sky. "It descended lower towards the ground and seemed to be settling in a nearby field when its color had shifted from a white to an orange. As the object got lower and lower to the ground, it became bigger, and its color changed to a reddish light.'"

Dr. Davis "said the object's size increased from a pea at arm's length to a dime (USA 10-cent coin--J.T.) at arm's length."

"There was like a vacuum of silence while we watched this,' Dr. Davis said, 'It was like everything was real quiet, at a standstill, and then, when it became a red color, everything went crazy.'"

"Dr. Davis said the animals in the area erupted in a frenzy. His neighbor's dog was barking crazily, and his German Shepherd dog tried to break its chain."

"At this point, after the object got red, it just shot off like a dart, some kind of acceleration, and it took off to the west. We just stood there, dumbfounded.'"

"He said the sighting lasted for an estimated 10 to 15 minutes."

"Right after that, my two sons went back outside and heard the screaming. It was a female voice. They got in the car and within minutes had called from their cell phone to say they had been hearing screaming sounds in the field when the thing came down,' he said."

"Approximately 20 minutes after the sighting, the police were at my door. There were about 20 officers and a rescue squad over in the field where I spotted the UFO. They were combing the area and asked me what I knew about someone screaming. Apparently, others had heard the screaming sounds.'"

According to Dr. Davis's son, the female voice kept saying, "Help me, oh my God, help me!"

"Apparently, several people had heard the screaming. I don't know how long it lasted,' Dr. Davis said."

Kenny Young pointed out that Morehead, Ky. "is 45 miles (72 kilometers) south of Flemingsburg, where a crop formation appeared in a rye field" in May 2003.

Morehead is on Interstate Highway I-64, approximately 60 miles (100 kilometers) east of Lexington.

Young added that ufologist Don Blessing investigated a case in southern Ohio earlier this year in which an amnesiac woman was found in a farmer's field in the middle of the night. The woman had no idea how she had gotten into the field. Young wondered if the Morehead incident might be a similar case of an abductee being "returned."

However, the incident report by Rowan County police states only that an officer responded to the call and determined that the witnesses had seen the *Aurora borealis*. (Many thanks to Kenny Young for this news story.)

#### SPHERICAL RED UFO SEEN IN SWITZERLAND

"A 'flying rugby ball' was seen by three people Friday night, November 28, 2003 at Froideville" in western Switzerland.

Eyewitnesses described the object as "a spherical red UFO."

"Among the Cantonal police, despite the presence of specialist investigators, no one knows any more about the UFO seen that night in Froideville."

"The two policemen dispatched to the scene did not notice anything other than a power failure that affected the entire village at the time of the sighting. They saw residents moving about in confusion on the darkened streets."

"Several inhabitants told police that they heard a suspicious humming. Three of them asserted that they had

seen 'a flying rugby ball.' The witnesses telephoned the police at midday on Saturday, November 29, 2003, to report their sighting."

"'Something occurred in Froideville,' one witness asserted."

"The UFO reportedly moved vertically in front of the witnesses' windows."

"Ufologist Pierre Blanchoud of Vaudois said, 'The size of this object is rare. The observed machines are usually balls like small suns. As for the humming, this is completely normal in the UFO world. As well as the fact that domestic appliances went out or lit up spontaneously. This means the appliance lost a lot of energy.'"

"One witness, Philippe Michou, said he had seen a similar UFO twenty years ago on two occasions, once in January and again in August of 1983. He described these UFOs as 'egg-shaped' and 'red-orange coloured.'" (See the Swiss newspaper Vingt-quatre Heures for November 30, 2003. Merci beaucoup a Robert Fischer pour cette article de journal.)

#### YELLOW-ORANGE UFO SEEN IN JORDAN, MONTANA

On Wednesday, December 3, 2003, during a light rain, Laura M. was outdoors near her home in Jordan, Montana (population 364) when a UFO approached from the south.

"I saw a yellowish-orange glowing globe to the south just above the horizon," Laura reported, "Slowly flashing, glow that disappears like a (traffic) caution light but slower. It reappeared closer, then disappeared, then reappeared again and hovered above a mobile home. It was some 250 yards (225 meters) away from me. It looked as though it clearly had intentions."

"I left this area as fast as I could. It did not have any lights. It just pulsed light. I could estimate its size as a Volkswagen (car) or smaller compared to the 14 by 70 foot mobile home."

"It was a lot slower than a flashing light and it made me feel very uncomfortable, as if it had intentions. It was never as high as an airplane. I would say it was 100 feet (30 meters) off the ground, higher than (street) light poles."

Jordan, Montana is in Garfield County at the intersection of Highways 59 and 200, located approximately 84 miles (134 kilometers) northwest of Miles City. (Email Form Report)

#### ROUNDUP VAN SIGHTED REPEATEDLY IN ARIZONA

The mysterious gray UFO Roundup van was seen four times in the Phoenix, Arizona area last week.

The van, which is filled with satellite dishes, high-gain antennae and other scientific equipment, has been seen at various times and places around the USA since 1998. However, although it carries the Web site logos of UFO INFO and UFO Roundup, the vehicle does not belong to either webmaster John Hayes or newsletter editor Joseph Trainor.

Eyewitness Kristopher Robinson reported, "I was in Chandler, Arizona (population 176,581), near Phoenix," on Monday, December 1, 2003, "and I noticed a gray van with several instruments and your Web address posted all over it. I was at a work function when I saw the van, and most of my colleagues laughed, but I was intrigued. I noticed the van had Georgia (license) plates. The time I saw the van was about 4 p.m. Arizona time."

Interviewed by UFO Roundup editor Joseph Trainor, Kris provided more details about the sighting.

"The van was parked in the large parking lot of a shopping center, near the rear of the lot but not all the way to the back," he reported, "I saw no driver or operator. I saw nothing in the front seats, and the rear window was a dark tint. My guess is that it was a Chevy, but I'm not sure. Parking lot was at the corner of Ray Road and 48th Street," which Kris said was in Phoenix but only a half-mile from the Chandler city limits.

"Most of the responses of my co-workers was laughter. Mostly joking about how the van was chasing/tracking UFOs. However, despite their joking, they were all curious to see if I would find out anything about the van on the UFO INFO Web site."

"That is absolutely fascinating, that there is a mystery van driving around, advertising your site on it, and it has no affiliation. What an interesting world we live in."

Two days later, on Wednesday, December 3, 2003, Alex Ashley reported, "I saw a well-antennaed van at Borders Bookstore on Alma School Road in Mesa," (population 396,375) between Southern Avenue and U.S. Highway 60. "Do you think there may be a UFO flap around these parts? I think so."

In an interview with Joseph Trainor, Ashley added, "It seemed to be an early 90s van. It looked like flat silver. The rear windows and a window on the right side were darkly tinted. The driver, passenger (side windows) and windshield were not."

"There was a Nevada plate on the front labeled Area 51 and a Georgia plate on the rear. The Georgia plate number is 4730-AEY."

Ashley had the vehicle in view for 20 minutes, adding, "There was a lot of electrical equipment visible in the cabin. There was a pair of gimbaled 'travel' compasses with a heavy-gauged copper wiring wrapped around a bar mounted over them on the passenger dash (dashboard--J.T.). Both compasses were pointed at the wrong poles--north aiming south."

"Whoever drove must have eaten at McDonald's that morning, judging from the wrappers."  
(Editor's Comment: Okay, Arizona readers, here's your BOLO. Be on the lookout for a light gray or flat silver early 1990s Chevrolet van, with Georgia license plate 4730-AEY in the rear. You stand a good chance of spotting it mornings between 6 and 10 a.m. at a McDonald's drive-in restaurant.)

On Thursday, December 4, 2003, at 3 p.m., a male Vietnam veteran reported, "I saw your van at the Cliff Castle Casino in Arizona. Nice touch to the satellite antenna--Area 51. I may have info that will interest you."

Arizona reader Greg Fabrie reported, "I saw your van in Prescott Valley, Arizona on Friday, December 5, 2003 and was wondering where it was headed. This was the first time in my life that I saw your vehicle. You caught my interest."

Prior to last week, the most recent sighting of the mystery van was in Prescott Valley, Arizona. (For details, see UFO Roundup, volume 8, number 40 for October 22, 2003, "Strange happenings reported in Arizona," page 1. Email Form Reports and Interviews)  
(Editor's Comment: As to what the mystery van is doing in Arizona, maybe it has something to do with the next story.)

#### BLUE ALIEN TERRIFIES GIRL IN PHOENIX

A girl in Phoenix, Arizona says she was awakened in the middle of the night and frightened by a blue alien.

Jenna R. reported, "I was sleeping in my bed on (Friday) November 21 (2003). It was about 2:30 a.m. I always wake up in the middle of the night, but this night was different. I woke up very frightened."

"I opened my eyes and turned over and, at the edge of my bed, was a little man or woman three to four feet (0.9 to 1.3 meters) tall" and "a grayish-blue color. I remember his piercing black eyes the most."

"He slowly started moving towards me. I tried to scream but was unable to."

"He kept moving closer to me from the side of the bed."

"I immediately hid under my covers. I slowly came out from under my covers, and he was still there. So I quickly sat up. He flung open my bedroom door and ran out."

While approaching the bed, the entity "moved at about two miles per hour," Jenna added, "kinda like he was

creeping towards me." (Email Form Report)  
(Editor's Comment: I find it interesting that Jenna's encounter took place on Friday, November 21, 2003--the same night as the Davis family's sighting in Morehead, Kentucky. See the lead story in this issue.)

MOORS PANTHER GOES ON  
RAMPAGE NEAR OLDHAM, UK

A phantom panther has been sighted repeatedly between Huddersfield and Oldham, northeast of Manchester in UK, and sheep were slain in the nearby village of Thurstonland.

"A farmer is appealing for information about an animal which terrorised his sheep at Thurstonland."

"David Brown, a part-time farmer, keeps his sheep on hill tops near Oakes Avenue, between Thurstonland and Brockholes. At about 4:30 p.m. last Wednesday (November 26, 2003) some people walking their dogs contacted Mr. Brown at his home on Town Moor Lane after they sighted some injured sheep in a field."

"Mr. Brown and three friends went up to the field and discovered 12 sheep missing. The group scoured the countryside until dusk, rounding up injured sheep."

"They continued on Thursday and Friday (November 27 and 28, 2003), and on Saturday morning (November 29, 2003), they found the last sheep dead in woodland on Oakes Avenue. Three of the sheep recovered had to be put down and eight are still badly injured."

"Mr. Brown said, 'It looked like a dog attack. There are a lot of dogs on the footpath to Brockholes. Someone must have noticed if it was their dog. It would have been out a long time as the sheep were found over an area of a mile.'"

Meanwhile, "a mystery big cat spotted near Holmfirth last month (November 2003) could have made its way up into the Saddleworth moors."

"Three weeks ago, an Alsatian-sized black cat, thought to be a panther by experts, was spotted in remote fields near Hade Edge."

"Residents of Dunford Road saw the muscular feline, with a swishing tail, stalking through a field."

"Other sightings have occurred in the past six months on Dunford Road, Winscar, and on the South Yorkshire border. Now there have been several sightings near Dovestone, Greenfield and Saddleworth."

"A Greenfield farmer spotted the animal and tried to shoot it when it attacked his sheep."

"He said the creature was dark with large orange eyes, and he thought he might have hit it with gunshot."

"The RSPCA (Royal Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals --J.T.) alerted police in Oldham last week after receiving reports of sightings while filming for a BBC programme was taking place above Dovestone, near Intake Lane."

"Police in Oldham are concerned that the animal may be more dangerous if it is injured."

"Their fears were prompted after an anonymous phone call by a woman to a big-cat monitoring society, claiming her son had shot the animal while out poaching."

"A shooting magazine has now offered a 1,000 pounds sterling reward for evidence that the cat exists."

"Oldham police are warning people not to roam the moors looking for the cat."

"Oldham police wildlife officer Sgt. Julie Bailey said; 'The last thing we want is people roaming the moors above Dovestone taking shots at a big cat which, if it is there, will be even more dangerous because it is wounded. If we have got a big cat above Greenfield, we don't want people wandering around, hunting it.'" (See the Huddersfield Daily Examiner for December 2, 2003 and two articles in the Examiner for December 4, 2003, "Mystery cat spotted near Saddleworth" and "Moors panther 'may have been wounded.'" Many thanks to Robert Fischer, UFO Roundup's phantom panther expert, for these newspaper articles. For more on the Moors Panther, see UFO Roundup, volume 8, number 45 for November 26, 2003, "Phantom panther seen in Huddersfield, England," page 7.)

STATUE OF PADRE PIO  
 WEEPS BLOOD IN ITALY

"News that a statue of Padre Pio--proclaimed a saint by Pope John Paul II last year at the Vatican in a major ceremony--is 'weeping blood' has spread like wildfire through the Calabrese" region of southern Italy, "and hundreds of persons have flocked to it, praying and claiming that the event is a miracle."

"According to Mayor Domenico Malara, a Gypsy (Romani) girl living in the town square" of Brancaleone "noticed that a red liquid descended from the eyes of the life-sized bronze statue of Padre Pio (birth name: Francisco Forginone--J.T.), streaming down the statue and puddling at the base of the pedestal."

"Numerous locals approached the site, among them the mayor, who ordered that the statue be surrounded with fencing."

"According to deputy mayor Gentile Scaramozzino, initial tests performed have shown that (the liquid--S.C.) is indeed blood, although whether human or animal has yet to be ascertained."

"Father Leone Stilliteno, the (Roman Catholic) parish priest of Brancaleone, advised locals to be prudent and to wait for the analyses."

"A similar phenomenon occurred last year to another statue of Padre Pio, which was later decided to be prank, at Castel di Judica, in the Sicilian (port) city of Catania."

"Aside from weeping statues, there are many who claim having seen Padre Pio's face on houses in San Giovanni Rotondo, where he is buried, as well as on (house) facades in the towns of Monte San Biagio and Cagnano Varano."

"Capuchin friar Padre Pio de Pietralcina was proclaimed a saint on June 16, 2002, eighteen years after the start of the canonization process and three (years) following his beatification." (See the Spanish newspaper Hoy for December 4, 2003. Muchas gracias a Scott Corrales y Gloria Coluchi para esto articulo de diario.) (Editor's Comment: And here's a lexilink for paranormal researcher Loren Coleman, which may or may not have something to do with our current panther flap. Brancaleone is Italian for White Lion.)

BACK TO THE MOON,  
 SAYS DUBYA

"President Bush's aides are considering a new lunar exploration program and other unifying national goals, such as a campaign to promote longevity or to fight childhood illness or hunger, as they sift ideas for a fresh agenda for the final year of his term, administration officials said Thursday," December 4, 2003.

"Agencies and task forces in several parts of the (USA) government have been assigned to determine the cost and feasibility of a variety of such major ideas, which could cost billions of dollars at a time when the nation is running a substantial budget deficit."

"An interagency group led by the White House has been working since August (2003) on a blueprint for interplanetary human flight over the next 20 to 30 years to give NASA a new mission after the Feb. 1 disintegration of the space shuttle Columbia."

"Plans call for Bush to issue an ambitious new national vision for space travel by early next year, and officials said the initiative is likely to involve cooperation between NASA and the military."

"One person who has been consulted by the White House said some aides appear to relish the idea of a 'Kennedy moment' for Bush, referring to the 1962 call by President Kennedy for the nation to land a man on the moon, and return him safely to Earth, by the end of the decade."

"Bush aides are wary, however, of repeating what they consider the mistakes of Bush's father. Then-President (George H.W.) Bush issued a call for a sustained commitment to human exploration of the solar system, with a return to the moon as a stepping-stone to the main destination--Mars."

"NASA responded with a budget-shattering \$400 billion plan to fulfill" the proposed 1992 Bush space mission



"that swiftly sank under its own weight." (See the Duluth, Minn. News-Tribune for December 5, 2003, "White House reaches for the stars," page 8A.)

#### NEPTUNE-SIZED PLANET FOUND ORBITING VEGA

"A dust disk surrounding the nearby star Vega hides a Neptune-sized planet, astronomers say."

"Vega is one of the brightest stars in the sky and is relatively close at 25 light-years away (one light-year equals about 5.9 trillion miles). Astronomers from the United Kingdom's Royal Observatory in Edinburgh suggest that clumps of frozen dust circling the star can best be explained by a Neptune-sized planet orbiting it."

"This is significant because scientists are hoping to find another Earth-like planet are always search for solar systems around nearby stars that resemble our own."

"Neptune is 17 times as heavy as Earth and almost four times wider. Astronomers have detected about 120 planets" outside of our solar system, "Mostly Jupiter-sized (gas) giants, in the past decade. The analysis" of the Vegan planet "appears in The Astrophysical Journal." (See USA Today for December 2, 2003, "Neptune-sized planet orbits nearby star," page 5D.)

#### PREHISTORIC SCULPTURE CAUSES A STIR IN ITALY

"An Italian archaeologist has found a sculpture which could be 200,000 years old."

"Pietro Galetto has identified a rock in the Borzonasca district of Italy which appears to be carved with two faces looking in opposite directions, one of which is wearing a beard."

(Editor's Note: Similar to the Roman god Janus for whom the month of January is named.)

"The discovery is no surprise to the locals who say such sculptures are nothing new. In fact, they point to a nearby rock cliff which they believe has been carved with an image which they call the Face of Borzone."

"If the find proves genuine, it would have to be the work of an extinct species of human called Homo erectus. That, at least, is what the experts say." (See Atlantis Rising for January-February 2004, "Ancient faces make mystery," page 14)

(Editor's Comment: The problem here is that the "Face of Borzone," although badly eroded, clearly shows a middle-aged Homo sapiens, a species that supposedly wasn't around in 200,000 B.C. In fact, the sculpture reminded your editor of the eroded stone heads he saw at the Temple of Kalasasaya at Tiahuanaco in Bolivia back in 1978. Prehistory is still pretty much a mystery, I guess.)

From the UFO Files...

#### 1909: PANTHER TERROR IN TEMPLETON

(Our Story So Far: On November 26, 1909, Joseph Chandler of Fitzwilliam, New Hampshire took his dog rabbit-hunting in the woods of Winchendon, Massachusetts. He was a helpless witness as his dog was mauled by a creature resembling a black panther. The second sighting took place on December 14, 1909 when Mrs. George Wheeler spotted the animal crouching in the grass at the intersection of Otter River Road and Baptist Common Road in Templeton, Mass., 10 miles (16 kilometers) south of Winchendon. What's curious about this particular "panther flap" is that it ran concurrently with a major UFO flap that covered three New England states--Massachusetts, Rhode Island and Connecticut, a flap known to UFO history as "the great airship invasion of 1909.")

Following Mrs. Wheeler's sighting, the panther flap swung into high gear.

"Hunters in the Templeton area cleaned their rifles and shotguns and turned loose their dogs, but it was all in vain. The panther struck with impunity on December 17

(1909) in downtown Templeton itself."

"'It was about 6 o'clock Friday night (December 17, 1909) when one of the employees of the Brown-Hadley Company was driving home from his work in that factory when he heard some indescribable bloodcurdling scream issuing from a swamp beside the road. He hastily held up his horse for a moment, but soon plied the whip as a large, dark-bodied animal crept stealthily toward his wagon.'"

"'Later in the evening, the animal was chased by an unterrified employee of Eugene Griffith, just south of the village.'"

"'Saturday forenoon (December 18, 1909) tracks which were not made by any known human habitants of the vicinity were found back of the houses on the South Road'" in Templeton.

"'All day yesterday (Sunday, December 19, 1909) various veterans of the chase were in pursuit of the animal but to date his pelt does not adorn the side of any barn in the vicinity.'"

With no more sightings, the Templeton panther was soon forgotten, overshadowed by the bizarre headlines coming out of Fitchburg and Leominster, Mass., 18 miles (30 kilometers) to the east--stories of strange lighted objects in the night skies. (See Fortean Studies - Volume 1, John Brown Publishing Ltd., London, 1994, "The New England Airship Invasion of 1909" by Joseph Trainor, pages 60 and 61. See also the Gardner, Mass. News for December 20, 1909.)

(Editor's Note: Fitchburg has long been the "UFO capital" of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.)

Well, that's it for this week. Join us in seven days for more UFO, Fortean and paranormal news from around the planet Earth, brought to you by "the paper that goes home- -UFO Roundup." See you next time!

UFO ROUNDUP: Copyright 2003 by Masinaigan Productions, all rights reserved. Readers may post news items from UFO Roundup on their Web sites or in news groups provided that they credit the newsletter and its editor by name and list the date of issue in which the item first appeared.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)