

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > Jan

## UFO UpDates Mailing List Jan 2006

### Jan 2:

[The Agency That Could Be Big Brother](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [184]  
['Right To Know' Fails To Open UK Government's](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [107]  
[Re: CNN Item On 'Alien Abduction?' - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [6]  
[Re: CNN Item On 'Alien Abduction?' - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [3]  
[Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -](#) - Don Ledger [8]  
[Re: The Faith-Based Science Of Susan Clancy -](#) - Don Ledger [20]  
[Re: There Is No Fermi Paradox - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [21]  
[Re: There Is No Fermi Paradox - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [46]  
[E.T. Drive Home](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [101]  
[Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary](#) - Don Ledger [27]  
[Graham Hancock & Aliens](#) - Dave Haith [168]  
[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox -](#) - Ray Dickenson [17]  
[Re: Happy New Year - Mackay](#) - Glennys Mackay [11]  
[AA Film Redux \[was: There Is No Fermi Paradox\]](#) - Ed Gehrman [43]  
[New UFO Case Reported In Argentina](#) - Scott Corrales [46]  
[The U.K. Government UFOs & Julian J.A. Hennessey](#) - Jan Aldrich [105]  
[China Overtakes Canada In Search For Aliens](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [85]

### Jan 3:

[Rise And Fall Of Skywatch International](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [88]  
[Re: U.K. Government UFOs & Julian J.A. Hennessey -](#) - Nick Pope [18]  
[Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -](#) - Martin Shough [10]  
[Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell'](#) - Terry W. Colvin [79]  
[58 Indicators Of UFO Encounters Or Abduction](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [143]  
[Secrecy News -- 01/03/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [188]  
[Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -](#) - Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo [9]  
[Re: Comments On US History's 'Brazil's Roswell'? -](#) - James Smith [5]  
[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Smith](#) - James Smith [42]  
[Careful There's A UFO On The Road](#) - Scott Corrales [34]  
[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Reason](#) - Cathy Reason [12]  
[More On The UFO In The Road](#) - Scott Corrales [26]  
[Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -](#) - Eleanor White [65]

### Jan 4:

[Re: U.K. Government UFOs & Julian J.A. Hennessey](#) - - John Rimmer [3]  
[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [22]  
[Spitsbergen And Project Disclosure](#) - Kevin Randle [37]  
[Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary](#) - - Richard Hall [7]  
[Re: Comments On US History's 'Brazil's Roswell'?](#) - - Santiago Yturria Garza [55]  
[Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary](#) - - Don Ledger [7]  
[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Morton](#) - Dave Morton [32]  
[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox](#) - - Ray Dickenson [47]  
[Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process](#) - - Martin Shough [12]  
[Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process](#) - - James Smith [4]  
[Further Information On UFO In The Road](#) - Scott Corrales [54]  
[Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary](#) - - Carl Feindt [9]  
[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [42]

## Jan 5:

[Mexican Airforce Case Redux \[was: Comments On US](#) - James Smith [109]  
[Re: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell'](#) - - Christopher Allan [14]  
[Re: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell'](#) - - Christopher Allan [14]  
[Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [12]  
[Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [12]  
[Re: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell'](#) - - Christopher Allan [14]  
[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [27]  
[Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process](#) - - Joaquim Fernandes [29]  
[Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary](#) - - John Rimmer [10]  
[UCLA Psychiatrist Lambastes Psychiatry](#) - Greeg Boone [17]  
[Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process](#) - - Eleanor White [25]  
[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Chace](#) - David Chace [163]  
[Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary](#) - - Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo [7]  
[UFO Review Issue 14](#) - Stuart Miller [73]  
[Argentine Bus Drivers Unavailable For Comment](#) - Scott Corrales [25]  
[Four More State UFO Studies Up](#) - Larry Hatch [16]  
[Re: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell'](#) - - Ray Dickenson [7]  
[Re: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell'](#) - - Don Ledger [22]  
[Re: Secrecy News -- 01/05/06 - Aftergood](#) - Steven Aftergood [143]  
[Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [10]  
[Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [15]  
[Faded Discs UFO Reporting Center Audio](#) - Wendy Connors [63]

## Jan 6:

[Re: Argentine Bus Drivers Unavailable For Comment](#) - Don Ledger [7]  
[Nick Pope And The FSWP](#) - Joe McGonagle [112]  
[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox](#) - - Joe McGonagle [37]  
[Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process](#) - - Martin Shough [15]  
[Re: Faded Discs UFO Reporting Center Audio](#) - - Ed Gehrman [11]  
[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - White](#) - Eleanor White [71]  
[Re: UCLA Psychiatrist Lambastes Psychiatry - Sparks](#) - Brad Sparks [27]  
[Ramona Bell Passes](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [15]  
[Re: Spitsbergen And Project Disclosure - Henningsen](#) - Ole Henningsen [13]  
[Law & Order: Pelicanist Squad](#) - Jerome Clark [4]  
[Secrecy News -- 01/06/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [89]  
[Re: Faded Discs UFO Reporting Center Audio](#) - - Alfred Lehmborg [6]

[Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality](#) - Steven Kaeser [330]  
[Are Aliens Watching Us?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [78]

## Jan 7:

[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [190]  
[Take A Leap Into Hyperspace](#) - Eleanor White [257]  
[Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process](#) - Eleanor White [18]  
[Warp Drive Science Fact Not Fiction?](#) - Terry W. Colvin [68]  
[Three New UFO Studies For 6 States](#) - Larry Hatch [19]  
[Red Rain Phenomenon Of Kerala ET?](#) - William Wise [37]  
[Skywatch International & Jim Hickman](#) - Eustaquio Andrea Patounas [6]  
[Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Balaskas](#) - Nick Balaskas [23]  
[Rendlesham Forest UFO On UK Channel 4 TV](#) - Nick Pope [11]  
[Aliens Drop In To Lend Us A Hand](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [37]  
[My Roswell Alien Experience](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [108]  
[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [29]  
[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [29]  
[Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [13]  
[Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process](#) - Ray Dickenson [36]  
[Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [6]  
[Re: My Roswell Alien Experience - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [21]  
[Re: My Roswell Alien Experience - Allan](#) - Christopher Allan [9]  
[Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process](#) - Joaquim Fernandes [9]  
[Re: My Roswell Alien Experience - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [12]  
[Re: My Roswell Alien Experience - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [19]  
[1912 Canadian Native Crop Circle Account](#) - Paul Anderson [29]

## Jan 8:

[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [153]  
[Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [4]  
[Re: Warp Drive Science Fact Not Fiction? - Fleming](#) - Lan Fleming [10]  
[Re: Red Rain Phenomenon Of Kerala ET? - White](#) - Eleanor White [4]  
[Re: My Roswell Alien Experience - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [12]  
[Re: 1912 Canadian Native Crop Circle Account](#) - Don Ledger [22]  
[Re: UFO Review Issue 14 - Koi](#) - Isaac Koi [15]  
[Re: Skywatch International & Jim Hickman - Hebert](#) - Amy Hebert [61]  
[Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Jones](#) - Sean Jones [2]  
[Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary](#) - Martin Shough [33]

## Jan 9:

[Re: My Roswell Alien Experience](#) - Ray Dickenson [11]  
[Re: 1912 Canadian Native Crop Circle Account](#) - Paul Anderson [48]  
[Re: Red Rain Phenomenon Of Kerala ET? - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [46]  
[Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [5]  
[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [4]  
[Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary](#) - John Rimmer [30]  
[3 \\*U\\* Base UFO Studies & 8 More States Added](#) - Larry Hatch [16]  
[Re: Skywatch International & Jim Hickman - Gates](#) - Robert Gates [52]  
[Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [10]  
[Re: kywatch International & Jim Hickman - Hamilton](#) - Bill Hamilton [19]

[Re: Red Rain Phenomenon Of Kerala ET? - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [7]  
[There's More To North Star Than Meets The Eye](#) - NASA News [72]  
[Apollo 11 Sighting Footage](#) - Geoff Richardson [13]  
[Edison's 'Conquest Of Mars'](#) - Nick Balaskas [62]  
[Secrecy News -- 01/09/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [119]  
[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [2]  
[Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Balaskas](#) - Nick Balaskas [43]  
[Is Lovekin A General?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [140]

## Jan 10:

[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Balaskas](#) - Nick Balaskas [50]  
[Re: Is Lovekin A General? - Gates](#) - Robert Gates [16]  
[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - - Jim Deardorff](#) [2]  
[Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [16]  
[Re: Edison's 'Conquest Of Mars' - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [15]  
[Re: Faded Discs UFO Reporting Center Audio - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [11]  
[Some Fell On Stony Ground](#) - Ray Dickenso [35]  
[CUFOS .PDFs](#) - Stuart Miller [12]  
[Astronauts And Area 51 The Skylab Incident](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [250]  
[Echelon The NSA Spy Engine](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [117]  
[Re: 1912 Canadian Native Crop Circle Account - - James Smith](#) [28]  
[Re: Red Rain Phenomenon Of Kerala ET? - Smith](#) - James Smith [23]  
[Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary - - Martin Shough](#) [97]  
[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [6]  
[Re: Edison's 'Conquest Of Mars' - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [6]  
[Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [32]

## Jan 11:

[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [3]  
[Re: Astronauts And Area 51 The Skylab Incident - - David Rudiak](#) [34]  
[Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [26]  
[Re: Canadian Native Crop Circle Account - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [5]  
[Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - White](#) - Eleanor White [19]  
[Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary - - Bruce Maccabee](#) [14]  
[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [3]  
[NTSB 'Solves' Riddle Of Cessna Crash In 2002](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [60]  
[Southern Ohio Alien Abduction Research](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [3]  
[George Walsh Broke Roswell Story](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [31]  
[Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary - - Ray Dickenson](#) [10]  
[Re: Apollo 11 Sighting Footage - Richardson](#) - Geoff Richardson [13]  
[Re: Canadian Native Crop Circle Account - Smith](#) - James Smith [7]  
[Re: George Walsh Broke Roswell Story - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [15]  
[Re: Apollo 11 Sighting Footage - Balaskas](#) - Nick Balaskas [35]

## Jan 12:

[Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [20]  
[Fireball Alert](#) - Martin Shough [14]  
[Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary - - John Rimmer](#) [18]  
[Re: Astronauts And Area 51 The Skylab Incident - - James Smith](#) [57]  
[Re: Mike Hughes <profpan@charm.net> - Hughes](#) - Mike Hughes [42]

[Secret Vatican Archives Accessible On-Line](#) - Scott Corrales [37]  
[Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox](#) - Joe McGonagle [66]  
[Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [26]  
[Orgone Energy {was: Warp Drive Is Closer To](#) - Steven Kaeser [44]  
[Re: Warp Drive Science Fact Not Fiction? - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [23]

### Jan 13:

[Paul Hellyer To Speak At Hawaii Conference](#) - Michael Salla [73]  
[Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens = Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [27]  
[Need Info On Global UFO History](#) - Sheryl Gottschall [10]  
[Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - White](#) - Eleanor White [8]  
[Secrecy News -- 01/12/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [142]  
[Canadian Liberals Want Ban On Space-Based Weapons](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [61]  
[More Jill Tarter](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [87]  
[Life On Other Planets And In Your Tummy](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [66]  
[Re: Orgone Energy - White](#) - Eleanor White [24]

### Jan 14:

[Another 3 UFO Studies 6 More States](#) - Larry Hatch [14]  
[20,000 Litres Of Water Vanish In Argentina](#) - Scott Corrales [46]  
[Re: Need Info On Global UFO History - Hilberg](#) - Rick Hilberg [19]  
[Military Explanation For FT 'Myth'](#) - Don Ledger [112]  
[Re: NTSB 'Solves' Riddle Of Cessna Crash In 2002](#) - Eleanor White [6]  
[Re: More Jill Tarter - Morton](#) - Dave Morton [9]  
[Re: More Jill Tarter - Gates](#) - Robert Gates [22]  
[Re: Anyone Coming To The Winter Olympics? - Russo](#) - Edoardo Russo [19]  
[Skepticism Greets Claim Of Possible Alien Microbes](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [207]  
[Re: More Jill Tarter - Beasley](#) - Craig Beasley [12]  
[UFO Seen Over San Jose Costa Rica](#) - Scott Corrales [76]  
[Re: Apollo 11 Sighting Footage - Cohen](#) - Jerry Cohen [23]  
[Re: More Jill Tarter - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [4]  
[Re: More Jill Tarter - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [12]  
[Re: More Jill Tarter - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [15]

### Jan 15:

[Re: More Jill Tarter - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [49]  
[Response To Washington Post Re. NTSB Conclusion](#) - Don Ledger [123]  
[Re: More Jill Tarter - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [29]  
[Re: Need Info On Global UFO History - Bott](#) - Murray Bott [21]  
[Re: George Walsh Broke Roswell Story - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [6]  
[Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary](#) - Bruce Maccabee [5]  
[Re: Military Explanation For FT 'Myth' - Hebert](#) - Amy Hebert [16]  
[Mexican Newspaper Discusses 'Merida Martian'](#) - Scott Corrales [77]

### Jan 16:

[Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary](#) - Martin Shough [84]  
[Re: George Walsh Broke Roswell Story - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [13]  
[Re: Military Explanation For FT 'Myth' - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [22]  
[Re: Mexican Newspaper Discusses 'Merida Martian'](#) - Amy Hebert [7]

[USOs On US History Channel](#) - Bruce Maccabee [2]  
[Re: Orgone Energy - Stevenson](#) - Colin Stevenson [8]  
[Re: More Jill Tarter - Beasley](#) - Craig Beasley [12]  
[Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly](#) - John Scheldroup [54]  
[Re: USOs On US History Channel - Deardorff](#) - Jim Deardorff [2]  
[Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary](#) - Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo [16]  
[Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [5]  
[Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary](#) - John Rimmer [17]

## Jan 17:

[Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Fleming](#) - Lan Fleming [10]  
[Re: More Jill Tarter - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [2]  
[Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary](#) - Brad Sparks [21]  
[UFO Studies Completed Final 8 States](#) - Larry Hatch [19]  
[The 1966 Westall UFO Incident](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [54]  
[Re: More Jill Tarter - Gates](#) - Robert Gates [44]  
[Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary](#) - Martin Shough [70]  
[Re: USOs On US History Channel - Feindt](#) - Carl Feindt [14]  
[Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [7]  
[Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [6]  
[Secrecy News -- 01/17/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [132]  
[Raelians Offer Disgraced Scientist Job](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [27]  
[Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - White](#) - Eleanor White [10]  
[January Edition Of Exopolitics Journal](#) - Michael Salla [41]

## Jan 18:

[A Peck Of Nanotech](#) - Bob Soetebier <xxxx.xxx> [73]  
[The 1966 Westall UFO Incident](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [56]  
[Re: USOs On US History Channel - Russo](#) - Edoardo Russo [13]  
[Re: UFO Studies Completed Final 8 States](#) - Josh Goldstein [9]  
[Shiamak Davar's Encounter With The UFO](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [31]  
[Video Records Puzzling Object In Warrnambool Sky](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [28]  
[E.T. Contact Would Transform Society](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [184]  
[Covers Come Off UK Spy Plane](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [49]  
[Randi Runs Away](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [186]  
[Re: UFO Studies Completed Final 8 States - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [11]  
[Re: USOs On US History Channel - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [7]  
[Re: USOs On US History Channel - Freeman](#) - Kelly Freeman [11]  
[Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [12]  
[Re: USOs On US History Channel - Feindt](#) - Carl Feindt [9]  
[Mexico's Yucatan Alien A Hoax](#) - Scott Corrales [56]  
[Was It 'UFO' Above Our Town?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [41]  
[Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [12]  
[Re: USOs On US History Channel - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [26]  
[Re: USOs On US History Channel - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [4]  
[New Discovery Channel Roswell Program](#) - Stanton Friedman [7]

## Jan 19:

[Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [19]  
[Controversy Rages Over Mexico's Yucatan Alien](#) - Scott Corrales [105]

[Re: USOs On US History Channel - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [14]  
[Re: E.T. Contact Would Transform Society - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [6]  
[Re: New Discovery Channel Roswell Program - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [7]  
[Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Fleming](#) - Lan Fleming [9]  
[Newspapers Correct Article About Merida Alien](#) - Santiago Yturria Garza [55]  
[Re: The 1966 Westall UFO Incident - Goldstein](#) - Josh Goldstein [5]  
[Re: Shiamak Davar's Encounter With The UFO](#) - Josh Goldstein [5]  
[Re: UFO Studies Completed Final 8 States](#) - Josh Goldstein [6]  
[More Argentine Water Vanishes](#) - Scott Corrales [27]  
[Re: New Discovery Channel Roswell Program](#) - Stanton Friedman [4]  
[Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction](#) - Greg Boone [16]  
[Flying Saucer Is Out Of This World](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [72]  
[Seen a UFO? You Can Share Your Story](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [67]  
[Physicist Hypothesises Creator Left Messages](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [100]  
[Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [57]  
[Secrecy News -- 01/19/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [153]  
[Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction](#) - Jason Gammon [18]  
[Re: New Discovery Channel Roswell Program - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [27]  
[Warrnambool UFO Sighting Is Just 'Hot Air'](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [28]

## Jan 20:

[Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Scheldroup](#) - John Scheldroup [34]  
[Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction](#) - Greg Boone [21]  
[Re: Chronology Deadline Needed - Koi](#) - Isaac Koi [13]  
[Salla Crosses The Rubicon](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [119]  
[Recap Of Mexico's UFO VidCaps 2005](#) - Scott Corrales [2]  
[Newfoundland UFO Reports](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [26]  
[Re: Salla Crosses The Rubicon - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [24]  
[Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [30]

## Jan 21:

[New Pine Bush NY Group Site & Book](#) - Vinny Polise [25]  
[Secrecy News -- 01/20/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [108]  
[Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Groff](#) - Terry Groff [15]  
[Re: USOs On US History Channel - Freeman](#) - Kelly Freeman [14]  
[Re: USOs On US History Channel - Freeman](#) - Kelly Freeman [4]  
[Colonel Corso & His Critics](#) - Victor Stead [45]  
[Re: Salla Crosses The Rubicon - Goldstein](#) - Josh Goldstein [13]  
[UFO Flotilla Photographed Over Spain?](#) - Scott Corrales [32]  
[Re: Shiamak Davar's Encounter With The UFO](#) - John Scheldroup [15]  
[Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [10]  
[Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Scheldroup](#) - John Scheldroup [7]  
[Pamela Stonebrooke Resurfaces](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [80]  
[Re: Salla Crosses The Rubicon - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [6]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [10]  
[Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Groff](#) - Terry Groff [11]  
[Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [12]  
[Sandia Tram & Hawk Tales](#) - Jay Nelson [37]  
[Re: USOs On US History Channel - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [4]  
[Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction](#) - Jason Gammon [9]  
[A New Chapter In Bryant's 'Bleak House'](#) - Larry W. Bryant [61]

[Re: Sandia Tram & Hawk Tales - Rimmer](#) - John Rimmer [4]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Salla](#) - Michael Salla [47]  
[Re: USOs On US History Channel - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [3]  
[Jan 20th Coast To Coast Show UFO Suspense](#) - Greg Boone [33]

## Jan 22:

[Carbondale UFO Crash Chronicles Entry #5](#) - Matt Graeber [696]  
[Re: Sandia Tram & Hawk Tales - Nelson](#) - Jay Nelson [9]  
[Re: Sandia Tram & Hawk Tales - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [11]  
[Re: USOs On US History Channel - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [5]  
[Richard C. Hoagland?](#) - Terry W. Colvin [24]  
[Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction](#) - Bruce Maccabee [5]  
[Re: Sandia Tram & Hawk Tales - Rimmer](#) - John Rimmer [11]  
[Britain's Roswell On UK TV](#) - Nick Pope [9]  
[Re: Richard C. Hoagland? - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [10]  
[Re: Richard C. Hoagland? - Pope](#) - Nick Pope [9]  
[Re: Pamela Stonebrooke Resurfaces - Pope](#) - Nick Pope [6]

## Jan 23:

[Montel Williams \[was: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS... \]](#) - Jason Gammon [16]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [37]  
[Re: Richard C. Hoagland? - Aldrich](#) - Jan Aldrich [15]  
[How Strange Can Life Be?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [93]  
[Paranoid? Who's Paranoid?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [86]  
[Swiss Museum & Park Out Of This World](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [86]  
[Ever Wonder What Lives On Other Planets?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [83]

## Jan 24:

[Secrecy News - 01/23/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [118]  
[Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction](#) - Nick Balaskas [38]  
[Re: Montel Williams - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [4]  
[Re: Montel Williams - Myers](#) - Royce J. Myers III [6]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [28]  
[Re: USO Show On History Channel - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [14]  
[Re: A New Chapter In Bryant's 'Bleak House'](#) - Bruce Maccabee [1]  
[Re: Richard C. Hoagland? - Gates](#) - Robert Gates [30]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [12]  
[Re: Richard C. Hoagland? - Goldstein](#) - Josh Goldstein [9]  
[NASA Official Takes SETI Institute Job](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [33]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [17]  
[Re: USO Show On History Channel - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [9]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [57]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Allan](#) - Christopher Allan [6]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [24]  
[Re: USO Show On History Channel - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [9]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [8]

## Jan 25:

[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [40]



[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [11]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [14]  
[Re: USO Show On History Channel - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [2]  
[Re: USO Show On History Channel - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [25]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Goldstein](#) - Josh Goldstein [9]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [2]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [17]

## Jan 26:

[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Boone](#) - Greg Boone Evolbaby@aol.com> [15]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Salla](#) - Michael Salla [72]  
**[Secrecy News -- 01/25/06](#)** - Steven Aftergood [147]  
[Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Scheldroup](#) - John Scheldroup [16]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [16]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [24]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [27]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [22]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [5]  
**[Earth Twin Boosts Hopes Of Life](#)** - UFO UpDates - Toronto [54]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [12]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [9]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [4]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [17]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [23]  
**[Deceit Detection Devices \[was: Colonel Corso & His](#)** - Jerome Clark [8]  
**[Researcher's Addresses?](#)** - A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO [13]  
**[Voice Stress Analysis \[was: Colonel Corso & His](#)** - Steven Kaeser [106]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [36]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [16]

## Jan 27:

[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [3]  
[Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [14]  
**[Oz Flying Car Mystery](#)** - Terry W. Colvin [10]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [14]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [15]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Olson](#) - Jeff Olson [8]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Balaskas](#) - Nick Balaskas [25]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Goldstein](#) - Josh Goldstein [13]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [7]  
[Re: Oz Flying Car Mystery - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [9]  
[Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [14]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [19]  
[Re: Oz Flying Car Mystery - Smith](#) - James Smith [15]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [13]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [10]  
**[El Salvadorian Police Witness Strange Light](#)** - Scott Corrales [46]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [3]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [25]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [103]  
**[Is There Anybody Out There?](#)** - UFO UpDates - Toronto [132]

[Polar Detector May Suggest Extra Dimensions](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [115]

## Jan 28:

[What Lurks In Museum Basements?](#) - Greg Boone [15]

['UFO' Over Restricted Air Space In 2004/05?](#) - Jason Gammon [19]

[MoD Has UFO Log](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [37]

[Caves Connect With Life On Other Planets?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [84]

[Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Lehmberg](#) - Alfred Lehmberg [10]

[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [28]

[Re: Polar Detector May Suggest Extra Dimensions](#) - Larry Hatch [58]

[Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [20]

## Jan 29:

[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [28]

[Re: Oz Flying Car Mystery - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [12]

[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [10]

[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [21]

[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Deardorff](#) - Jim Deardorff [10]

[Re: El Salvadorian Police Witness Strange Light](#) - Don Ledger [4]

[Re: Is There Anybody Out There? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [5]

[Re: Polar Detector May Suggest Extra Dimensions](#) - Ray Dickenson [22]

[Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [8]

[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [22]

[Re: Dulles & UFOs - Nelson](#) - Jay Nelson [42]

[Re: What Lurks In Museum Basements? - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [6]

[Re: 'UFO' Over Restricted Air Space In 2004/05?](#) - Kyle King [8]

[Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [61]

[Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [8]

[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [21]

[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [9]

[Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [74]

[Re: Polar Detector May Suggest Extra Dimensions](#) - Eugene Frison [11]

[Re: Magonia Supplement - Harney](#) - John Harney [4]

[Hot UFO Radio!](#) - Greg Boone [28]

[Re: What Lurks In Museum Basements? - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [43]

[Re: Dulles & UFOs - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [29]

[Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [15]

[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [17]

[Re: Dulles & UFOs - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [23]

[Re: Oz Flying Car Mystery - White](#) - Eleanor White [4]

[Re: Polar Detector May Suggest Extra Dimensions](#) - Eleanor White [5]

[Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [21]

[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [7]

## Jan 30:

[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [19]

[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [11]

[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [7]

[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gates](#) - Robert Gates [71]

[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [25]

[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Deardorff](#) - Jim Deardorff [9]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [19]  
[Why Convoy When You Can Fly? \[was: Colonel Corso & \]](#) - William Sawers [5]  
[Re: El Salvadorian Police Witness Strange Light](#) - Scott Corrales [6]  
[AUFORN Public Meeting This Friday](#) - Diane Harrison [20]  
[What Has Discredited Study Of UFO Phenomenon?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [12]  
[Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [23]  
[Re: Why Convoy When You Can Fly? - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [18]

## **Jan 12:**

[Re: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [20]  
[Fireball Alert](#) - Martin Shough [14]  
[Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary](#) - John Rimmer [18]  
[Re: Astronauts And Area 51 The Skylab Incident](#) - James Smith [57]  
[Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens - Hughes](#) - Mike Hughes [42]

*The number enclosed in brackets is the number of lines of new text in the message, excluding the header, blank lines and quotes from previous messages.*

[Previous Month](#)

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 2](#)

## The Agency That Could Be Big Brother

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 09:17:12 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 09:17:12 -0500  
Subject: The Agency That Could Be Big Brother

Source: The New York Times - New York, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/9ftjr>

December 25, 2005

The Agency That Could Be Big Brother

By James Bamford

Deep in a remote, fog-layered hollow near Sugar Grove, W.Va., hidden by fortress-like mountains, sits the country's largest eavesdropping bug. Located in a "radio quiet" zone, the station's large parabolic dishes secretly and silently sweep in millions of private telephone calls and e-mail messages an hour.

Run by the ultrasecret National Security Agency, the listening post intercepts all international communications entering the eastern United States. Another N.S.A. listening post, in Yakima, Wash., eavesdrops on the western half of the country.

A hundred miles or so north of Sugar Grove, in Washington, the N.S.A. has suddenly taken center stage in a political firestorm. The controversy over whether the president broke the law when he secretly ordered the N.S.A. to bypass a special court and conduct warrantless eavesdropping on American citizens has even provoked some Democrats to call for his impeachment.

According to John E. McLaughlin, who as the deputy director of the Central Intelligence Agency in the fall of 2001 was among the first briefed on the program, this eavesdropping was the most secret operation in the entire intelligence network, complete with its own code word - which itself is secret.

Jokingly referred to as "No Such Agency," the N.S.A. was created in absolute secrecy in 1952 by President Harry S. Truman. Today, it is the largest intelligence agency. It is also the most important, providing far more insight on foreign countries than the C.I.A. and other spy organizations.

But the agency is still struggling to adjust to the war on terror, in which its job is not to monitor states, but individuals or small cells hidden all over the world. To accomplish this, the N.S.A. has developed ever more sophisticated technology that mines vast amounts of data. But this technology may be of limited use abroad. And at home, it increases pressure on the agency to bypass civil liberties and skirt formal legal channels of criminal investigation. Originally created to spy on foreign adversaries, the N.S.A. was never supposed to be turned inward. Thirty years ago, Senator Frank Church, the Idaho Democrat who was then chairman of the select committee on intelligence, investigated the agency and came away stunned.

"That capability at any time could be turned around on the American people," he said in 1975, "and no American would have

any privacy left, such is the capability to monitor everything: telephone conversations, telegrams, it doesn't matter. There would be no place to hide."

He added that if a dictator ever took over, the N.S.A. "could enable it to impose total tyranny, and there would be no way to fight back."

At the time, the agency had the ability to listen to only what people said over the telephone or wrote in an occasional telegram; they had no access to private letters. But today, with people expressing their innermost thoughts in e-mail messages, exposing their medical and financial records to the Internet, and chatting constantly on cellphones, the agency virtually has the ability to get inside a person's mind.

The N.S.A.'s original target had been the Communist bloc. The agency wrapped the Soviet Union and its satellite nations in an electronic cocoon. Anytime an aircraft, ship or military unit moved, the N.S.A. would know. And from 22,300 miles in orbit, satellites with super-thin, football-field-sized antennas eavesdropped on Soviet communications and weapons signals.

Today, instead of eavesdropping on an enormous country that was always chattering and never moved, the N.S.A. is trying to find small numbers of individuals who operate in closed cells, seldom communicate electronically (and when they do, use untraceable calling cards or disposable cellphones) and are constantly traveling from country to country.

During the cold war, the agency could depend on a constant flow of American-born Russian linguists from the many universities around the country with Soviet studies programs. Now the government is forced to search ethnic communities to find people who can speak Dari, Urdu or Lingala - and also pass a security clearance that frowns on people with relatives in their, or their parents', former countries.

According to an interview last year with Gen. Michael V. Hayden, then the N.S.A.'s director, intercepting calls during the war on terrorism has become a much more complex endeavor. On Sept. 10, 2001, for example, the N.S.A. intercepted two messages. The first warned, "The match begins tomorrow," and the second said, "Tomorrow is zero hour." But even though they came from suspected Al Qaeda locations in Afghanistan, the messages were never translated until after the attack on Sept. 11, and not distributed until Sept. 12.

What made the intercepts particularly difficult, General Hayden said, was that they were not "targeted" but intercepted randomly from Afghan pay phones.

This makes identification of the caller extremely difficult and slow. "Know how many international calls are made out of Afghanistan on a given day? Thousands," General Hayden said.

Still, the N.S.A. doesn't have to go to the courts to use its electronic monitoring to snare Al Qaeda members in Afghanistan. For the agency to snoop domestically on American citizens suspected of having terrorist ties, it first must go to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court, or FISA, make a showing of probable cause that the target is linked to a terrorist group, and obtain a warrant.

The court rarely turns the government down. Since it was established in 1978, the court has granted about 19,000 warrants; it has only rejected five. And even in those cases the government has the right to appeal to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court of Review, which in 27 years has only heard one case. And should the appeals court also reject the warrant request, the government could then appeal immediately to a closed session of the Supreme Court.

Before the Sept. 11 attacks, the N.S.A. normally eavesdropped on a small number of American citizens or resident aliens, often a dozen or less, while the F.B.I., whose low-tech wiretapping was far less intrusive, requested most of the warrants from FISA.

Despite the low odds of having a request turned down, President Bush established a secret program in which the N.S.A. would bypass the FISA court and begin eavesdropping without warrant on

Americans. This decision seems to have been based on a new concept of monitoring by the agency, a way, according to the administration, to effectively handle all the data and new information.

At the time, the buzzword in national security circles was data mining: digging deep into piles of information to come up with some pattern or clue to what might happen next. Rather than monitoring a dozen or so people for months at a time, as had been the practice, the decision was made to begin secretly eavesdropping on hundreds, perhaps thousands, of people for just a few days or a week at a time in order to determine who posed potential threats.

Those deemed innocent would quickly be eliminated from the watch list, while those thought suspicious would be submitted to the FISA court for a warrant.

In essence, N.S.A. seemed to be on a classic fishing expedition, precisely the type of abuse the FISA court was put in place to stop. At a news conference, President Bush himself seemed to acknowledge this new tactic. "FISA is for long-term monitoring," he said. "There's a difference between detecting so we can prevent, and monitoring."

This eavesdropping is not the Bush administration's only attempt to expand the boundaries of what is legally permissible.

In 2002, it was revealed that the Pentagon had launched Total Information Awareness, a data mining program led by John Poindexter, a retired rear admiral who had served as national security adviser under Ronald Reagan and helped devise the plan to sell arms to Iran and illegally divert the proceeds to rebels in Nicaragua.

Total Information Awareness, known as T.I.A., was intended to search through vast data bases, promising to "increase the information coverage by an order-of-magnitude." According to a 2002 article in The New York Times, the program "would permit intelligence analysts and law enforcement officials to mount a vast dragnet through electronic transaction data ranging from credit card information to veterinary records, in the United States and internationally, to hunt for terrorists." After press reports, the Pentagon shut it down, and Mr. Poindexter eventually left the government.

But according to a 2004 General Accounting Office report, the Bush administration and the Pentagon continued to rely heavily on data-mining techniques. "Our survey of 128 federal departments and agencies on their use of data mining," the report said, "shows that 52 agencies are using or are planning to use data mining. These departments and agencies reported 199 data-mining efforts, of which 68 are planned and 131 are operational." Of these uses, the report continued, "the Department of Defense reported the largest number of efforts."

The administration says it needs this technology to effectively combat terrorism. But the effect on privacy has worried a number of politicians.

After he was briefed on President Bush's secret operation in 2003, Senator Jay Rockefeller, the Democratic vice chairman of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, sent a letter to Vice President Dick Cheney.

"As I reflected on the meeting today and the future we face," he wrote, "John Poindexter's T.I.A. project sprung to mind, exacerbating my concern regarding the direction the administration is moving with regard to security, technology, and surveillance."

Senator Rockefeller sounds a lot like Senator Frank Church.

"I don't want to see this country ever go across the bridge," Senator Church said. "I know the capacity that is there to make tyranny total in America, and we must see to it that this agency and all agencies that possess this technology operate within the law and under proper supervision, so that we never cross over that abyss. That is the abyss from which there is no return."

James Bamford is the author of "Puzzle Palace" and "Body of

Secrets: Anatomy of the Ultra-Secret National Security Agency."

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 2](#)

## 'Right To Know' Fails To Open UK Government's

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 09:22:19 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 09:22:19 -0500  
Subject: 'Right To Know' Fails To Open UK Government's

Source: The Independent - London, UK

<http://news.independent.co.uk/uk/legal/article335777.ece>

31 December 2005

'Right To Know' Fails To Open The Government's Vaults Of Secrets

By Robert Verkaik  
Legal Affairs Correspondent

Labour's much-trumpeted freedom of information laws have failed to open up Whitehall to public scrutiny, judging by the evidence of the first 12 months of the new order.

A year after we were first granted the "right to know", new figures show nine out of 17 government departments have failed to provide adequate answers to half of the requests they received.

Gordon Brown's Treasury was the worst offender by refusing to release information in three-quarters of all "resolvable" requests.

Further findings reveal that all but one government department has breached the FOI legislation by failing to answer requests within the 20-day time limit.

The report, published by the Department for Constitutional Affairs, confirms what many suspected - that the Government has blocked access by failing to observe the spirit of the new law in a year in which central government bodies received 36,000 requests.

While Labour has been happy to release documents embarrassing the previous Tory administration over its handling of "Black Wednesday" - Britain's forced withdrawal from the ERM - ministers have been less willing to let the public use the Act to shed light on Labour's own political controversies.

For example, ministers are still refusing to release earlier drafts of the Attorney General's advice on the legality of the war with Iraq.

At the heart of its strategy is the Orwellian-sounding Central Clearing House where all sensitive or difficult requests are sent. Set up by ministers in the run-up to the introduction of the legislation, the unit employs 12 staff to monitor the public's use of the legislation. A FOI request from The Independent reveals, in its first year, the clearing house cost the taxpayer =A3700,000.

The most popular target for information is the Ministry of Defence, which handled more than 2,700 requests for the disclosure of ministerial letters, memos and military reports.



Maurice Frankel, a man who for many years campaigned for Britain's own freedom of information laws, said in the first year of the Act's operation the Government had responded "cautiously" to most of the important requests and there was still no voluntary process of disclosure.

He said: "They are not taking gigantic leaps by making proactive releases. There is much more information to come out but the Government is not going to release it until it has to."

Michael Smyth, an expert on FOI and head of public policy at Clifford Chance, a law firm, said: "The clearing house is a development that will need monitoring. People making requests of bodies subject to the clearing house can be confident they will be dealt with by FOI experts but over-zealous application of the exemption regime could mean less information is released."

Richard Thomas, the Information Commissioner, the FOI's independent watchdog, is considering a backlog of more than 1,200 appeal cases, including government refusals to release information about policies on defence and the environment.

The public also made requests for information from a further 1,000 public bodies. The new figures show those bodies have found a similar willingness to rely on the 34 statutory exemptions to refuse disclosure.

The Crown Prosecution Service has only complied with a fifth of the 229 requests for information. The Health and Safety Executive received more than 5,300 applications for disclosure of which it has answered around 60 per cent. But generally non-government bodies are granting 10 per cent more requests than Whitehall departments.

The figures do not include hundreds more requests which have become "lapsed" because the applicant has not paid a fee for any additional work the department says the request would generate.

A spokesperson for the Department for Constitutional Affairs said yesterday: "Central government performance has improved steadily throughout the year. The response has been very positive. Latest figures show 86 per cent of requests are answered within statutory deadlines and the majority of those requests (60 per cent) result in full disclosure. About 16,000 pieces of information have been given out by central government bodies."

The spokesperson added: "The Freedom of Information Act recognises the presumption of openness, but it also expressly recognises that there must be responsible limits."

The successful requests

\* UFOs

Requests from The Independent led to the Ministry of Defence revealing details about UFO sightings across the country. Branded "Britain's X files", reports from senior military personnel, police officers and civil servants gave some credibility to sightings which had been previously dismissed as cases of mistaken identity. Although there was never any official recognition of visits from other planets, the documents proved that the Government still had a special unit set up to monitor the reports of UFOs.

\* FARMING SUBSIDIES

Some of Britain's wealthiest landowners have received hundreds of thousands of pounds in farming subsidies, including the Queen, who was given more than =A3750,000 over the past two years. The figures became public after a list of Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) subsidies in England was published by the Rural Payment Agency, under the terms of the Freedom of Information legislation. The Queen's subsidy, paid through CAP, went to Sandringham Farms. Charles's cash went to the Duchy of Cornwall, which made a profit of almost =A310m in 2003.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 2](#)

## Re: CNN Item On 'Alien Abduction?' - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 1 Jan 2006 06:32:03 -0600  
Fwd Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 09:25:25 -0500  
Subject: Re: CNN Item On 'Alien Abduction?' - Lehmborg

>From: Peter Rogerson <[progerson.nul](mailto:progerson.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 31 Dec 2005 16:41:17 +0000  
>Subject: Re: CNN Item On 'Alien Abduction?'

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 30 Dec 2005 09:58:59 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: CNN Item On 'Alien Abduction?'

<snip>

>>Yours in curiosity, open-mindedness, modesty intellectual and  
>>existential, and the humble agnosticism that surely follows.  
>>Beyond that, sincere wishes for a Happy New Year to you and  
>>yours,

>In other words you have no answer to my point. Trying to  
>navigate between the Magonian shoreline and Keelian whirlpool  
>you have hit the rocks.

He's hit no rocks. Though, he's aware they're there. And not a  
flightless avian? He braves the 'boat', mon frere.

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 2](#)

## Re: CNN Item On 'Alien Abduction?' - Clark

From: **Jerome Clark** <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 1 Jan 2006 09:57:35 -0600  
Fwd Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 09:27:00 -0500  
Subject: Re: CNN Item On 'Alien Abduction?' - Clark

>From: Peter Rogerson <[progerson.nul](mailto:progerson.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 31 Dec 2005 16:41:17 +0000  
>Subject: Re: CNN Item On 'Alien Abduction?'

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 30 Dec 2005 09:58:59 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: CNN Item On 'Alien Abduction?'

>>>From: Peter Rogerson <[progerson.nul](mailto:progerson.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 29 Dec 2005 17:56:18 +0000  
>>>Subject: Re: CNN Item On 'Alien Abduction?'

>In other words you have no answer to my point. Trying to  
>navigate between the Magonian shoreline and Keelian whirlpool  
>you have hit the rocks.

'Fraid not, guy. The actual difference between you and me  
is that I don't pretend to have reached the destination.

Jerry Clark

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 2](#)

## Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 01 Jan 2006 12:02:04 -0400  
Fwd Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 09:30:52 -0500  
Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -

>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 31 Dec 2005 10:04:31 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process

>>From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 30 Dec 2005 17:46:43 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process

>>>From: Joaquim Fernandes <[j.fernan.nul](mailto:j.fernan.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 29 Dec 2005 16:19:03 -0000  
>>>Subject: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process

>><snip>

>>>So, it is not absurd conclude that the communication  
>>>signal

>>>from the Luminous Lady to Lucia during the Fatima  
>>>Apparitions

>>>1917 episodes seems to be coherent with a range around 200  
>>>Hz, which is compatible with the experimental data  
>>>obtained by the Microwave Auditive Phenomenon as we can  
>>>find in the literature produced by Canadian, French and  
>>>Americans researchers since decades. Or, as it has been  
>>>established since decades that those laboratorial  
>>>experiments confirmed the possibility of reception of  
>>>signals inside of the human brain.

>>200 - 400 Hz is \_way-y-y\_ below the microwave region. Dr.  
>> Allen Frey's experiments explored microwave auditory  
>>effects from around 125 MHz up into the 8,000 MHz range.

>>Also, in 1917, where would the microwave come from if it  
>>were microwave voice to skull transmission?

>Hello Eleanor, Joaquim:

>One might also ask if Holy Water attenuates the signal?

200Hz to 400Hz are auditory tones in the middle octave. Concert  
A above middle C [on a piano] is pitched - by international  
standard conventions of 1939 and 1955 - at 440Hz while A below  
middle C is at 220Hz

These are not broadcast frequencies [signals]. Anyone who is not  
deaf can hear them if they are within range of a sounded note of  
a given decibel pressure.

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 2](#)

## Re: The Faith-Based Science Of Susan Clancy -

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 01 Jan 2006 12:26:17 -0400  
Fwd Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 09:34:15 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Faith-Based Science Of Susan Clancy -

>From: Will Bueche <[willbueche.nul](mailto:willbueche.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 31 Dec 2005 13:31:25 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Re: The Faith-Based Science Of Susan Clancy

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers -  
>>Date: Wed, 21 Dec 2005 10:43:06 -0500  
>>Subject: The Faith-Based Science Of Susan Clancy

>>Source: The Intruders Foundation - New York, NY, USA

>>[http://www.intrudersfoundation.org/faith\\_based.html](http://www.intrudersfoundation.org/faith_based.html)

>>October, 2005

>>The Faith-Based Science Of Susan Clancy  
>>By Budd Hopkins

<snip>

>>...one occasionally comes across an abductee who is  
>>fully aware of the emotional trauma he has suffered, but who is  
>>nevertheless willing to regard these experiences as being, in  
>>some way, spiritually uplifting. For such people, this positive  
>>view of traumatic events is probably a coping strategy, similar  
>>to that of certain battered wives who will not complain to the  
>>police, but instead insist that their abusive husbands really do  
>>love them.

>On reading this, I showed the essay to a friend and asked her  
>opinion about why Budd tends to misread the spiritual component  
>of alien encounters.

>She noted that Budd used the term "spiritually uplifting". But  
>"spiritually informative" would be a more accurate term, she  
>suggested.

>People who describe themselves as having grown spiritually from  
>their experiences (and I include myself among them), or who have  
>developed insights into reality that might be thought of today  
>as spiritual models, are responding to the presence of new  
>information - their experiences. Unlike being beaten by one's  
>husband, alien encounters present people with new information  
>that is then incorporated into every aspect of the self-mental,  
>spiritual, physical.

>This inner process may indeed be "uplifting" even if the alien  
>encounter experiences themselves are simply "informative" (and  
>scary). Perhaps this distinction will allow for Hopkins to  
>listen to these elements of the experiences without having a  
>judgement of aliens dictate which of the experiencers'  
>perceptions he will trust and which he will dismiss.

Bringing the spiritual into the abduction scenario is more  
likely an attempt to cope with said abduction experiences by  
converting the experience from one of unbearable reality and  
transferring it to terms more acceptable such as some spiritual  
occurrence for which most have had training and experience. In

other words, a crutch with no real basis for the claim. In fact, given the literature of the past, perhaps the reverse is true. Perhaps abduction experiences are the root of many religious or spiritual claims. There is also evidence to suggest that some see being abducted as making them unique and in effect "chosen" and therefore special.

Personally given the side effects and trauma, I would not want to be special, but different strokes for different folks. It's a short step from being chosen to the spiritual concept, is it not?

On the other hand I have close friends that frankly hate the bastards and what they have done to them. To me that's the reality of it, not trying to put a good face on it.

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 2](#)

## Re: There Is No Fermi Paradox - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 1 Jan 2006 11:31:09 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 09:35:50 -0500  
Subject: Re: There Is No Fermi Paradox - Shell

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 31 Dec 2005 16:59:41 EST  
>Subject: Re: There Is No Fermi Paradox

>I've got to throw in with Stan on this. The Santilli footage,  
>some of which is an admitted hoax, the rest of which doesn't  
>draw a very persuasive picture (and no, I don't want to hear how  
>we haven't taken a close enough look or reviewed the evidence  
>carefully enough for you) really tells us nothing about  
>interstellar flight or the possibility of interstellar flight.

>And even if it were real, that does not exclude the possibility  
>of interstellar travel.

You are absolutely right, Kevin. The Santilli AA footage is completely irrelevant to the question of interstellar travel and the Fermi Paradox.

Personally, I believe that the dissection footage (which is not an autopsy) depicts a non-human humanoid creature of undetermined origin. That's all. What that creature is/was and where it came from is not addressed by the footage. Nor is it really addressed by the statements attributed to the cameraman. He never said that they were aliens. In fact he specifically said that he did not know what they were or where they came from, and this implies that the people doing the dissections didn't know either.

I've often thought that the real reason for the secrecy surrounding this and other incidents is that the people in charge don't have the answers. After all, how would it sound if the govt released a report tomorrow and said these things had been turning up for more than 60 years and the top scientists who had been investigating them didn't know any more about them today than when the first one was found? Talk about scaring the populace!!

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 2](#)

## Re: There Is No Fermi Paradox - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul.com](mailto:fsphys.nul.com)>  
Date: Sun, 1 Jan 2006 12:32:57 -0400  
Fwd Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 09:46:47 -0500  
Subject: Re: There Is No Fermi Paradox - Friedman

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul.com](mailto:KRandle993.nul.com)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul.net](mailto:ufoupdates.nul.net)  
>Date: Sat, 31 Dec 2005 16:59:41 EST  
>Subject: Re: There Is No Fermi Paradox

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul.com](mailto:fsphys.nul.com)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul.net](mailto:ufoupdates.nul.net)>  
>>Date: Fri, 30 Dec 2005 12:00:16 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: There Is No Fermi Paradox

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul.com](mailto:egehrman.nul.com)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul.net](mailto:ufoupdates.nul.net)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 30 Dec 2005 12:37:40 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: There Is No Fermi Paradox

>>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul.com](mailto:fsphys.nul.com)>  
>>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul.net](mailto:ufoupdates.nul.net)>  
>>>>Date: Wed, 28 Dec 2005 22:53:40 -0400  
>>>>Subject: Re: There Is No Fermi Paradox

><snip>

>>>>With the right combination of isotopes such as Deuterium and  
>>>>Helium-3, charged particles are born with 10 million times as  
>>>>much energy per particle as they can get in a chemical rocket.  
>>>>They are not accelerated to these huge energies, they are born  
>>>>with them.

>>>>My research indicates that an energy source is not the problem.  
>>>>It's having enough energy of any kind to make star travel  
>>>>feasible. Theoretically, anything with mass, even a grain of  
>>>>sand, would require all the energy in the universe to reach  
>>>>light speed. Photons can travel at light speeds because they  
>>>>have no mass.

>>>>In addition using the gravitational fields of various  
>>>>heavenly bodies extracts very little energy from them.

>>>>The energy needed is more than can be delivered by any means. At  
>>>>least that's what conventional physics seems to say.

>>>>After all 99.9999% of the speed of light is still less, but is  
>>>>awfully fast

>>>>Less than the speed of light is another matter. That does depend  
>>>>on energy etc; but then time becomes a factor as do other  
>>>>scenarios and speculations. It's difficult to predict just how  
>>>>fast we will ultimately be able to travel. Shielding will  
>>>>probably be the main concern. Nanotechnology and molecular  
>>>>machines will play a big part. But will folks have the desire to  
>>>>travel to other stars when the vast wealth created by  
>>>>nanotechnology makes "every man a king"?

>>>>And the time slowing down is very appreciable.

>>>>How appreciable?

>>>>There is loads of info. Standard physics stuff. But to give a  
>>>>specific example, it takes only one year at 1G to get close to

>>the speed of light. At 99. 9%c, it takes 20 months pilot time to  
>>go 37 light years. At 99. 99%c it only takes 6 months pilot time  
>>to go 37 light years. Go out, come back, marry your grandchild's  
>>best friend etc.

>Let's not forget that it'll take as long to decelerate as it  
>does to accelerate and let's not forget we have to do both on  
>each end of the trip. So that's about four years more of pilot  
>time!

>And what happens if the ship hits something the size of a golf  
>ball while traveling at relativistic speeds? In fact, how would  
>you spot something like that before you hit it?

>And not a thing has been said about shielding=E2? =A6 no, not from  
>the engines but from everything in space. My understanding is  
>that if we launch a ship into space and then approach the speed  
>of light, it is similar to bombarding it with radiation, just as  
>if we have put it into an accelerator. So, without proper  
>shielding, we'd fry the crew. Remember, space isn't  
>empty. There's lots of stuff out there to bombard the ship.

>And we haven't even talked about the civilization that the crew  
>would come back to. How would they fit in? I mean, the world  
>today is a hell of a lot different than the world of my  
>grandparents who would probably be lost with cameras in their  
>cell phones, after they figured out what a cell phone was, an  
>Internet and computers that have wiped out the need for  
>encyclopedias (you can buy a Britannica on CD for 17 bucks),  
>buying stuff on the Internet, direct deposit and so on. In fact,  
>would the society that launched them exist when they came back?  
>(And who would pay their salary and would it accrue at only ship  
>time rates or total time passed?)

>>>Theoretically time ends once the speed of light is reached since  
>>>photons are timeless as well as massless, but it isn't clear to  
>>>me how this works. If you were able to reach one percent the  
>>>speed of light which is about 6,500,000 mph, how much would time  
>>>slow down?

>>>>We already  
>>>>create such particles in accelerators and nature does in the  
>>>>case of certain cosmic rays.

>>>Don't we create the particles through collisions and the  
>>>conversion of mass to energy? How do we know that time is a  
>>>factor?

>>Accelerator designers and people studying cosmic rays have long  
>>since taken time slowing down at high velocity into account. Two  
>>important point that apparently need repeating. The rocket can  
>>take advantage of cosmic freeloading. We do it for all our  
>>flights to the other solar system planets, to the moon etc. We  
>>don't provide all the energy to the planet or moon's  
>>gravitational field or to the electric and magnetic fields out  
>>there is deep space. Mother nature does. . . Secondly, fusion  
>>reactions which indeed convert a wee bit of mass to loads of  
>>energy can produce charged particles having enormous energy and  
>>able to be accelerated with electric and magnetic fields. The  
>>created particles are born with high energy.

>So it's really not about the energy to drive the ship, but lots  
>of other problems that I'm sure can be solved. The point is that  
>interstellar flight is more complicated than we seem to think  
>and we haven't talked about some of those problems.

>>>>I don't have any good reason to even suggest that there are  
>>>>other advanced technological civilizations based within the  
>>>>solar system. . which is not to say there may not be alien bases  
>>>>the equivalent of coaling stations in the Atlantic 100 years  
>>>>ago. But the big steam vessels weren't built at those bases. It  
>>>>is also not to say there haven't been past advanced  
>>>>civilizations on Earth or Mars. I am talking in terms of places  
>>>>able to build interstellar vehicles. . . now or even  
>>>>interplanetary ones. If you have any evidence, put it on the  
>>>>table.

>>>The only evidence to put "on the table" is the Santilli Autopsy  
>>>footage. As I have written many times, I used to be a member of  
>>>your choir, and a staunch defender of ETH. That was before I  
>>>started studying the Alien Autopsy footage. The realization that

>>>I was examining a flesh-and-blood creature, and the debris of  
>>>the craft she was piloting, caused a drastic reversal to my  
>>>thinking. It came to me in a flash: "they don't have to be from  
>>>the stars!" As I researched the subject, I became more  
>>>convinced.

>>>To understand this, you'd have to spend some time viewing the  
>>>footage with the understanding that it was not a fake or fraud  
>>>and depicts a real event. Then we might discuss the problems it  
>>>presents for the ETH.

>>I can't see any way in which studying the Santilli footage (even  
>>neglecting all Ray's lies) tells us anything about interstellar  
>>travel.

>I've got to throw in with Stan on this. The Santilli footage,  
>some of which is an admitted hoax, the rest of which doesn't  
>draw a very persuasive picture (and no, I don't want to hear how  
>we haven't taken a close enough look or reviewed the evidence  
>carefully enough for you) really tells us nothing about  
>interstellar flight or the possibility of interstellar flight.  
>  
>And even if it were real, that does not exclude the possibility  
>of interstellar travel.

It is good to start the New Year with Kevin and I agreeing on a  
number of items. Certainly shielding is a problem which, along  
with a host of others, must be taken into account. I remind  
readers that there were a whole host of "reasonable " arguments  
raised against manned space flight such as people couldn't live  
in the weightless environment, that meteors would be the end of  
any space craft, that it would take a huge heavy, power  
consuming, radio transmitter on board for contact to be  
maintained, etc etc etc. I should point out that, unlike many  
terrestrial radio transmitters which broadcast at 50,000 watts,  
the Pioneer spacecraft ,communicating from literally a few  
billion miles away, broadcasts at 8 watts. . power of a Xmas  
tree lightbulb. We were worried about shielding against space  
dirt and higher energy space radiation more than 40 years ago.  
My work in industry was mainly on shielding.

The point is these are engineering problems which are likely to  
be solved by engineers not by academic theoretical physics  
types.

My "vision" is not that the Earth Excursion Modules which land  
and are seen all over the planet have just come here from  
another star system. I envision huge mother ships having bases  
within the solar system, which meander over to earth carrying a  
bunch of earth Excursion modules which do their thing and then  
go back to the space carrier which then goes to its local base  
in the asteroid belt or on the backside of the moon, etc. Think  
nuclear powered huge aircraft carriers off Vietnam each carrying  
about 75 small jet aircraft which go out on missions, return and  
,when refueled,. go out again etc etc. The jets never fly back  
to the USA. The carrier gets maintenance at say Pearl Harbor and  
goes back again. I remember a lecture trip when I did 25  
lectures in 35 days in 15 states without ever going back home  
and then returning to another university. A calculation as to  
the cost of going to/from my home to each college could be made,  
but would have nothing to do with actual costs. I use the term  
"Piggy Back" lectures.

The point is that any calculations are entirely dependent on  
underlying assumptions which may be totally wrong such as a  
limit of one G acceleration or one stage rockets or use only of  
retro rockets to slow down, and no cosmic freeloading. Dr.  
Campbell was off in his calculations about the weight of a  
manned rocket to the moon by a factor of 300,000,000. Can't we  
learn from past mistakes?Remember, too, that the Apollo program  
cost literally billions of dollars. . . It was a bit more  
complex than 4 Professors and 8 graduate students.

Best wishes to all for a splendid New Year.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 2](#)

## E.T. Drive Home

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 09:54:06 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 09:54:06 -0500  
Subject: E.T. Drive Home

Source: The Boston Herald - Boston, Massachusetts, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/bpbz2>

January 1, 2006

E.T. drive home: UFO buffs can cruise Nevada's Extraterrestrial Highway to Area 51

By Robert Eng  
Special to the Herald

LAS VEGAS - For someone brought up on a steady diet of the "X-Files" and the occasional "government using UFO technology" conspiracy theory, the chance to drive a highway famous for spotting the strange and often unexplainable was a chance too good to pass up.

Dedicated as the Extraterrestrial Highway on April 18, 1996, Nevada's State Route 375 is a 100-mile stretch of two-lane asphalt that runs through Lincoln and Nye counties. Marked at either end with signage that uses images of F-117 stealth fighters as well as flying saucers, the road is actually one of the least traveled roads in America.

Strange things have been reported in the Nevada skies north of Las Vegas since the 1950s, when Lockheed test pilot Tony Levier was sent out by his bosses to find someplace out of the way to test the company's next generation spy plane, the U-2. Levier happened upon the perfect dry lake bed that later became known as Area 51.

In the 1980s, alleged Area 51 engineer Bob Lazar shocked Las Vegas-area TV viewers with statements that he not only had seen a captured UFO at Area 51, but that he and others were reverse-engineering the craft's propulsion system for military use. There were subsequent questions of whether he was who he said he was - he remains a poster child for what others claim was governmental character assassination. In any event, Lazar's allegations whipped up both UFO and conspiracy buffs, bringing many to spend a night in the Nevada desert looking for that encounter with alien visitors.

I started at the northwestern end of the ET and, with the sinking sun at my back, I cruised at the 70 mph speed limit enjoying the view of the desert's hills and mountains interspersed with plains covered in Joshua trees, cholla and other low-flow plant life, and wondered what awaited me between here and my only scheduled stop, 59 miles away in the town of Rachel.

I didn't have long to find out.

Not far after the ET highway markers, I passed a yellow sign with a black cow silhouette and though it looked pretty silly (DANGER - COWS!) it should be taken life-or-death seriously. The sign marked the beginning of open range - a true sign you're

driving in the West, where cattle are free to roam and graze on either side of the road and even on the highway itself.

During daylight hours it can lead to lots of fun - count the cows - but at night it can be darn deadly as the cows can appear out of nowhere.

As if cows aren't bad enough, the desert food chain (antelopes, jackrabbits, snakes, roadrunners, coyotes, etc.) congregates nightly on or alongside the road because it holds heat in the cool desert night.

I was glad I packed extra water, food and clothing just in case I needed rescue, although the longest I went without seeing another car on the highway was about an hour.

In Rachel, I pulled into the Little A'Le'Inn. Aside from a convenience store, the combination restaurant, bar and motel is the only other tourist destination in this town with a population of 75. It is the No. 1 place to go for all things extraterrestrial and Area 51.

The gift shop was filled with shirts, jackets, keychains, patches, videos and books. Assorted souvenirs spilled over onto the pool table and some of the restaurant's seven tables. Instructions on how to get to Area 51 hang on the bookrack. Photos, drawings and paintings of green- and gray-skinned visitors and their ships adorn the walls of the two mobile homes that comprise the inn. Hanging from the ceiling in a place of honor is the inn's collection of military patches from U.S. Air Force and international units that have visited or flown overhead during Operation Red Flag, an ongoing USAF training program that takes place on the Nellis AFB range nearby.

I sat down at the bar and ordered up the special of the day. Later, my belly full of roast beef, homemade peach pie and iced tea, and hands full of giftshop goodies, I walked out with the bartender's warning to watch out for the cows ringing in my ears.

On the dark, open road, with stars above, my drive started to feel more like an amusement-park ride with a sensation of motion but very vague visual cues about where I was going. I had to trust the yellow line and highway reflectors.

It was easy to spot Area 51. A bright light flooded the sky, blanking out the stars, illuminating the right side of my car and spooking a jackrabbit to run in front of it. I pulled over, climbed out of the car and watched as a few seconds later a bright orange glow emanated from ground silhouetting the mountain ridge, and a very intense whitish-yellow light hung in the sky and then slowly descended behind the ridge.

The event took me a little by surprise. I figured I was witnessing a parachute flare, but what caused the initial flash and orange glow remains a mystery to me.

As I drove on, the desert came alive with the sounds of unseen cows mooing and snorting as they came closer to check out my rental Ford Taurus. I caught a glimpse of a big reddish-brown cow in my headlights standing right on the edge of the road. And then came upon her partner in bovine crime - a black cow standing in the oncoming lane. I broke hard and swerved.

In another hour, I gladly exited the Extraterrestrial Highway and set course for the welcoming bright lights of Vegas.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 2](#)

## Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 01 Jan 2006 15:13:03 -0400  
Fwd Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 10:36:07 -0500  
Subject: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

Probably the best database for USOs in this field is run by Carl Feindt in Delaware. He was interviewed for the US History Channel documentary but his name isn't even mentioned. Bill Birnes and Preston Dennet and Kathryn Morgan get a mention however. Never heard of the last two. The others are a given.

-----

Deep Sea UFOs

Airs on Monday, January 23 at 8:00pm ET

Join us for a detailed examination of the little-known phenomenon of USOs, or "Unidentified Submerged Objects", an advanced type of UFO that can operate just as efficiently in water as in the atmosphere.

These supposed otherworldly vessels have been reported, some believe, as far back as ancient Egypt.

Others believe that USOs were reported by Alexander the Great and Christopher Columbus, and might even involve the lost city of Atlantis.

Highlights include the 1967 "Shag Harbour Incident", a government-documented USO crash off the coast of Nova Scotia, Canada, and a trip to the area around Laguna Cartegena in Puerto Rico, a reported hotbed of USO activity.

Interviewees include the US Navy's Bruce Maccabee, UCLA's Kathryn Morgan, as well as USO and UFO experts Stanton Friedman, Bill Birnes, and Preston Dennett.

TVPG

-----

See:

<http://tinyurl.com/7tc8s>

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 2](#)

## Graham Hancock & Aliens

From: Dave Haith <[visions.nul](mailto:visions.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 1 Jan 2006 20:35:51 -0000  
Fwd Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 10:46:18 -0500  
Subject: Graham Hancock & Aliens

Because of the alien connection and the fact that this book has been serialised in the UK's Daily Mail, I think Hancock's theories deserve an airing on the List.

Cheers

Dave Haith

-----

Source: The Scotsman - Edinburgh, Scotland

<http://news.scotsman.com/features.cfm?id68802005>

Tue 11 Oct 2005

Drugs Art And The Aliens Who Lit Our Way To Civilisation

Anna Smyth

Graham Hancock is breathless. He's telling me about his first hallucinogenic trip in the Amazon jungle, and he just can't get the words out fast enough. The former journalist and now bestselling science writer spent five weeks living with indigenous Indian shamans in Peru, where he ingested a sacred plant drug known as ayahuasca.

We pick up the story just after the shaman began the ritual ceremony by singing the icaros, ancient chants which draw the spirits around the circle. Hancock then took a sip of the drug, which he describes as a "vile-tasting liquid, so strong and bitter-sweet and salty, so dark and concentrated as to be repellent". His muscles involuntarily relax, he closes his eyes and then the visions begin.

"I had a very scary beginning to that trip," he says. "I saw incredible transformations of different animals and beings glowing with light that appeared directly in front of my field of vision. It was a typical scene which many describe as an alien abduction. They were very anthropic, and definitely wanted to communicate with me. It was rather like going to a strange new country, where I had to start learning the rules of communication."

Getting deeper into the experience, Hancock took another dose of the drug, but his body couldn't take it. The nausea came on strong and soon he was out in the dark, puking. Before long he was drenched in sweat with only dry heaves left. He sank to the ground and called an end to the trip because he was so afraid. He opened his eyes, and the visions left him. You could conclude from this account - detailed in Hancock's latest book, *Supernatural* - that Hancock is just another traveller keen to acquaint himself with the customs of new cultures. But there is a little more to this trip than meets the eye.

A reporter by trade, Hancock was born in Edinburgh before moving

to India in his childhood. He returned to attend school and university in Durham, from where he graduated in 1973 with a degree in sociology. He went on to pursue a career in journalism, writing for The Times, The Sunday Times, The Independent and The Guardian.

But in the 1980s he gave up newspaper reporting to pursue his own passion - the lost civilisation of man. In the past 20 years, he's written several books including the best-selling Sign and Seal on the Ark of the Covenant - as well as filming documentaries about his research.

"Three years ago I decided to go back to the subject which fascinated me at university," he says. "I was interested in human origins, in what makes us different from the apes. I found that it wasn't the use of tools, as many people believe, but abstract thought and the ability to manipulate symbols." The answer was art. Cave paintings and writings which depicted thoughts and visions, none of which have ever been achieved by other species. In fact, even our human ancestors had no artistic capability. Or not until 40,000 years ago, at least.

"Previously, we were very uncreative and boring. We used the same tools continually without modifying them. Then, suddenly, a light switched on in our brain. Fossils from 40,000 years ago show that we began to explore spirituality, looked for signs of life after death and innovated specialised tools. And we began to paint. In France, Italy and South Africa and all over the world, they've discovered incredibly accomplished paintings, but no explanation for this burst of development."

This has been termed the "greatest riddle in archaeology", and many academics have devoted their career to its study. The reason behind the sudden transformation, the majority have concluded, is hallucinogenic plants. Magic mushrooms would be a relevant example, but all over the world, man stumbled across drugs which opened the possibility for spiritual, creative thought.

Professor David Lewis-Williams, of South Africa's Witwatersrand University, believes that is the end of the story. These visions - and therefore the art they produced - were universal because all of mankind has the same neurology. Our brains are wired in the same way, so when we take these drugs, our bodies have the same response. Indeed, at the University of New Mexico, researchers have found that volunteers given hallucinogenic drugs drew the same kinds of paintings as those found in the ancient caves. This, coupled with a wealth of other evidence, supports Lewis-Williams' theory that drugs are the answer.

For most people that explanation would suffice, but not for Hancock. He could not accept that the beginnings of human spirituality came down to brain chemistry. For him, there had to be more to it, and he decided to investigate, hence the first-hand research trip.

What he has found - and what forms the basis of his new hefty tome - is a theory that to many will sound absurd. He believes that when shamans and drug users experience these hallucinations, they are actually tapping into a parallel universe. The visions - be they of fairies, elves or aliens - are real, they exist all the time, and they want to communicate with us.

"Think of it as though the brain is like a TV receiver. In order to cope with everyday life, we have to tune into "Channel Normal" for the majority of the time. But if we retune our brains with these drugs, or alter our state of consciousness through rhythmic dancing and drums, we can see images of the parallel dimensions."

Hancock does not prescribe for a second to the idea that when people experience "alien abductions", they are seeing foreign creatures that may whisk them to another planet. What he does believe is that the spirits dwell in this other dimension, and if we let them, they will continue the teaching that they gave to our ancestors.

"I believe these hallucinogenic experiences are the basis for all modern-day religions. If you think about it, why would we ever have cause to imagine a spirit world? Our uncreative

ancestors didn't, but then they found these drugs and saw for themselves the spirit world, and realised there was more to life. I think religion resulted from the need to explain these supernatural encounters."

A sceptic would maintain that, outwith the experience of those on drugs or in a trance, there is no evidence to support Hancock's theory. And many could take offence to his assertion that when Mohammed, Jesus Christ and St Paul thought they were experiencing God, they were, in fact, just accessing the parallel world. Part of the problem with accepting this higher plane comes in locating its origin. If these spirits are the "ancient teachers of mankind", as he says, where did they come from? In this instance, as with every other, Hancock points to science. Prepare for the most astonishing claim yet. "The secret could be in our DNA," he says. "When Francis Crick, the discoverer of DNA, died, it was revealed that his first vision of the helix module occurred while he was on LSD. Although he was an atheist, he then published a book which subscribed to the theory of intelligent design, that our universe was not simply the result of a series of chemical accidents.

"In brief, what he said was that after the Big Bang, life did not evolve first on Earth. At the far side of the universe, another civilisation developed, a highly advanced civilisation who surpassed the stage we have currently reached. He asserted that in some way their world became threatened - global warming, or some such catastrophic event - and so they devised a way to pass on their existence. They genetically-modified their DNA and sent it out from their planet on bacteria, with the hope that it would collide with another planet. It did, and that's why we're here." What Hancock goes on to explain is that the DNA was encoded with messages from that other civilisation.

They programmed the molecules so that when we reached a certain level of intelligence, we would be able to access their information, and they could therefore "teach" us about ourselves, and how to progress.

Of course, this talk of aliens sending off bacteria sounds like the ramblings of a deranged guest on a Jerry Springer show. But the astonishing thing is that Hancock is intelligent and articulate, and his writing is as expert as you would expect from an esteemed international correspondent.

Precisely because he is so credible, his idea will no doubt entice those looking for more conspiracy theories, and you need only look as far as Dan Brown to see the commercial success available.

But to give him credit, Hancock at no point claims these discoveries for himself, he always points to archaeologists and scientists who have been fascinated by similar concepts. Indeed, all that he asks for is that people more qualified than himself, investigate the questions he raises.

"I know [this] sounds preposterous and pointless to anyone committed to objective science. The more closely I pursued these questions, however, the more convinced I became that they point towards matters of extraordinary substance, and that science has done us an immense disfavouir by its policy of ridiculing and discouraging all rational inquiry in this area."

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 2](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox -

From: Ray Dickenson <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 00:47:03 +0000  
Fwd Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 10:47:43 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox -

>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 31 Dec 2005 22:26:23 +0000  
>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>From: Cathy Reason <[Cathym.nul](mailto:Cathym.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 30 Dec 2005 20:44:02 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>There are only two stable solutions to the Fermi paradox - one  
>>in which intelligent life in our galaxy is unique or vanishingly  
>>rare, and one in which the galaxy is already fully colonized.  
>>These can be regarded as attractors to which all intermediate  
>>states will inevitably evolve.

>Well, even though I majored in philosophy (with strong  
>scientific emphasis) and minored in mathematics, I don't claim  
>to understand what Cathy is saying here.

>\_But\_ like Joe, I think Fermi's Paradox - which really is  
>nothing but an off-the-wall speculation by a scientist with no  
>known interest in, or awareness of UFOs - is over-rated.

Dick,

Think Cathy's quoting "chaos theory" - like the attractor for  
the Haggis (its left legs are longer than the right - remember?)  
is the medium mountain-side where the slope matches its leg-  
lengths - any steeper or flatter slopes make the Haggis fall  
over. [Well - it's Burns Night coming up]

But think Cathy has a problem using chaos theory for things  
other than blindly re-acting agents (like the predator-prey  
cycles).

As Frank Herbert put it "Life - (organics) defies 2nd Law (&  
chaos) by increasing its organization and order; evolution seems  
compelled to push for complexity / co-operation / sociable  
beings and eventual altruism (the strongest social motivation)"  
[paraphrased from Frank Herbert's "The Godmakers"]

Cheers

Ray D

~~~~~  
"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com>  
~~~~~

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 2](#)

## Re: Happy New Year - Mackay

From: **Glennys Mackay** <[gem60.nul](mailto:gem60.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 2 Jan 2006 18:00:03 +1000  
Fwd Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 10:49:51 -0500  
Subject: Re: Happy New Year - Mackay

Greetings to all the UFO UpDates contributors and readers, not forgetting the most important person, Errol Bruce-Knapp.

A very happy prosperous, successful new year and may 2006 also bring good health and happiness also to our Alien friends where ever they may be. Show yourselves Mates, we need to know what you have for breakfast.

Regards and Blessings,

Rev. Glennys Mackay J.P.  
Queensland UFO Network,  
Australian National Dir. MUFON  
BRISBANE, AUSTRALIA.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 2](#)

## AA Film Redux [was: There Is No Fermi Paradox]

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 2 Jan 2006 15:57:13 -0800  
Fwd Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 11:04:50 -0500  
Subject: AA Film Redux [was: There Is No Fermi Paradox]

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 31 Dec 2005 16:59:41 EST  
>Subject: Re: There Is No Fermi Paradox

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 30 Dec 2005 12:00:16 -0400  
>Subject: Re: There Is No Fermi Paradox

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 30 Dec 2005 12:37:40 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: There Is No Fermi Paradox

>>To understand this, you'd have to spend some time viewing the  
>>footage with the understanding that it was not a fake or fraud  
>>and depicts a real event. Then we might discuss the problems it  
>>presents for the ETH.

>>I can't see any way in which studying the Santilli footage (even  
>>neglecting all Ray's lies) tells us anything about interstellar  
>>travel.

Hi Kevin,

I snipped most of your post since I agree with your observations. Star travel has complicated ramifications and limitations beyond the energy supply. While I doubt that flesh and blood creatures are making such a journey, I can still admit that this is a slim possibility since I know these visitors exist. The creature in the AA footage proves this fact. Also I contend that the creature in the AA is the same type as the creature from Roswell!

My examination of the creature and the debris forces me to consider that she is not from the stars but probably our own earth. I would like others to explore this possibility. The community is far too hung up on ETH. I think that's a mistake.

>I've got to throw in with Stan on this. The Santilli footage,  
>some of which is an admitted hoax,

Yes Ray's friends, who were hired in all good faith to examine and transfer to video some of the fragments of old film, have admitted that they hoaxed some video footage, gave it to Ray as honest effort, and insisted that it was from the fragments. When you mention "admitted hoax" it makes it sound as though Ray were guilty, which is not the case. Ray was able to show the video to the cameraman, who said it was BS and Ray removed it from the distribution video. I have a copy of this hoax and it is absolutely nothing like the real footage. The AA CD's do not contain this footage so I doubt you've even seen it or have the slightest idea of what you're talking about.

>the rest of which doesn't  
>draw a very persuasive picture (and no, I don't want to hear how  
>we haven't taken a close enough look or reviewed the evidence  
>carefully enough for you)

Sorry but you'll have to hear it none the less. You have not spent enough time looking at the footage, or you'd show it more respect. I have no idea what you mean by not drawing a "very persuasive picture".

The AA footage is what it is, a fascinating seventeen minutes of the best evidence ever that we are being visited. The nature and genesis of the creature is what we should be discussing. That will never happen until we agree on the authenticity of the footage. That won't happen until you and others take a serious look. When you insist that the symbols on the I-beams spell VIDEO, then I know you're blowing smoke.

Those willing to give it a New Year's try can purchase the AA CD set by contacting Dave:

<http://www.v-j-enterprises.com/aafilm/aaindex.html>

Ray's portion is donated to a Houston charity.

>really tells us nothing about  
>interstellar flight or the possibility of interstellar flight.

What it clearly tells us is that the Socorro craft wasn't from the stars.

>And even if it were real, that does not exclude the possibility  
>of interstellar travel.

Yes you're correct, but not in that craft.

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 2](#)

## New UFO Case Reported In Argentina

From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 2 Jan 2006 09:15:19 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 11:07:46 -0500  
Subject: New UFO Case Reported In Argentina

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
January 2, 2006

-----

Source: Planeta UFO and El Once Digital  
Date: January , 2006

Argentina: New UFO Case Reported In Victoria

\*\* The print found resembles that of a web-footed creature. A local resident and a researcher claim that strange beings left prints in a swimming pool \*\*

A renowned UFO researcher from the city of Victoria and a local resident claimed that strange beings left their footprints and traces at a swimming pool.

By means of a public complaint, the owner of a weekend home located on the outskirts of Victoria claimed to have found that the swimming pool had been drained almost by half in a matter of hours, and that several strange footprints could be seen inside it, resembling those of a palmiped creature.

Silvia Perez Simondini, a renowned UFO researcher, reported to the scene, expressing no surprise whatsoever and asserting that it is further proof of what has been occurring in this city for over 15 years, and which few people are ever willing to report.

According to the Victoria Noticias website, the researcher claimed that certain footprints could be seen within the pool, aside from prints similar to those of a palmiped, which were intact in spite of the water's movement, leading to the belief that an artifact unknown to experts had landed there.

The estate, located in a ranching area, would have "received the visit of web-footed creature", to judge by the fact that the footprints resemble extremities with an inter-digital membrane.

Moreover, Perez Simondini's daughter entered the pool to ascertain if her own feet would leave similar marks to the ones found -- and which did not vary in spite of the water's movement -- and verified that her own vanished almost immediately. In this regard, Perez Simondini argued that this was due to "the energy of the beings that entered the pool."

Eyewitness accounts from those who saw the pool attest to the fact that the prints are nearly perfect and impossible make deliberately under water.

Strange events involving UFOs have repeated periodically in this city since the early '90s. "Some of them are in the public domain; others have been concealed for fear of ridicule or to the remarks of those who remain skeptical when presented with the evidence gathered by those engaged in studying the UFO phenomenon in our city," said the researcher.

-----

Translation (c) 2006 Scott Corrales  
Institute of Hispanic Ufology (IHU)  
Special thanks to Guillermo D. Gimenez, Planeta UFO

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 2](#)

### The U.K. Government UFOs & Julian J.A. Hennessey

From: Jan Aldrich <[project1947.nul](mailto:project1947.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 2 Jan 2006 23:48:04 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 12:22:45 -0500  
Subject: The U.K. Government UFOs & Julian J.A. Hennessey

Julian Hennessey, an important figure in both British ufology and the National Investigations on Aerial Phenomena (NICAP), for many years investigated UFO cases in Great Britain and all over Europe. He formed an European UFO investigative unit, NICAP European Investigative Subcommittee #1 composed of scientists, a pilot, and UFO investigators and Euronet, a UFO reporting network involving European airlines. He also through direct contacts with officials and through various Members of Parliament attempted to obtain official UFO information long before the current Freedom of Information Act. His investigations revealed that significant official information in the hands of the British government had been destroyed through the years prior to his inquiries.

Efforts on both sides of the Atlantic to obtain official UFO information cross-fertilized each other. The Staff at NICAP kept Hennessey undated on their actions, and he reported on his efforts in the UK and around the world. (Hennessey finally got the USAF to concede that the 1948 Top Secret Project SIGN Estimate of the Situation had, in fact, existed.) At NICAP headquarters, they heard about the Project Grudge/Blue Book status reports 1951-1953. Don Berliner took action to secure the release of a copy the documents from the Pentagon. In one of the early freedom of information actions, the Moss Subcommittee in the US House of Representative became involved and Congressional interested help obtained the release of these reports which had reached the mandatory declassification dates.

See:

<http://www.bluebookarchive.org/download.aspx>

After the release, Berliner informed Hennessey of his success. Similarly, Hennessey attempted to get the UK government to release government documents on UFOs. Many times direct attempts were met with icy cold turn downs and denials, however the resourceful Hennessey many times found various ways around official spokesmen and went to various agencies involved.

Various documents, UFO reports, and letters in the files of CUFOS, the Condon Committee, Dr. David Jacobs, Richard Hall and others demonstrate Hennessey's extensive and exhaustive investigations and research on UFOs. Joe McGonagle recently interviewed Hennessey which shed new light on his activities and clarified certain things in the written record. Based on these record I have written a short introduction to Hennessey's article on The UK Government and UFOs. See:

<http://project1947.com/shg/hennessey/index.html>

Include in my introduction are articles from NICAP's UFO Investigator on Hennessey's activities.

Hennessey had hoped to publish his essey in a British magazine. He also gave a copy to Dr. Hynek to publish in the US. With his kind permission it is published here:

<http://project1947.com/shg/hennessey/hennessey.htm>

Some of the official views of Hennessey were detailed in "Out of the Shadows: UFOs, the Establishment and Official Cover Up" by British authors, Dr. David Clarke and Andy Roberts. I purposely did not use this material in my introduction so the reader could consult the author's independent views of Hennessey and the official reactions to his efforts.

---

After the original announcement of Hennessey's essay, Dave Clarke kindly provide his take on Hennessey and other references concerning Hennessey's activities:

"For the record, here is a brief news item on Julian, under the heading 'The Forgotten UFOlogist', which I wrote for Fortean Times 191 (2004), p26:

"'....one of these 'forgotten UFOlogists', Julian Hennessey, has become the subject of a fascinating mini-biography by UFO historian Joe McGonagle who runs the respected UFOlogyinuk list. Hennessey first became interested in UFOs as a child in his native Scotland when he sighted a pair of bright moving objects in the sky. As a teenager in the 1960s, he moved to London and, as his interest grew, he joined what was then the prestigious US-based National Investigations Committee on Aerial Phenomena (NICAP). While others followed the hippy trail to Warminster, Hennessey decided to tackle the authorities head-on in a personal campaign for scientific study of UFO reports. He then embarked on a letter-writing programme which lasted 15 years to enlist the support of everyone from the British Prime Minister to the Secretary-General of the United Nations. During this period, Hennessey founded a UK branch of NICAP and became friends with some of the big names in the 'serious UFOlogy' of his day. His greatest achievement was to enlist a group of sympathetic MPs to pile pressure on the Ministry of Defence who, in 1967-68, were struggling to cope with an unprecedented wave of UFO sightings. Hennessey discovered to his horror that the MoD was at that time destroying its UFO files at five yearly intervals, which meant papers dealing with some of the classic incidents from the 1950s, such as the radar-visual from RAF Lakenheath (see FT 171:14) had been lost to any future scientific study. His campaign embarrassed the MoD and forced them to end the destruction of any further UFO papers. They were also obliged to set up, for the first time, a direct process whereby Civil Aviation pilots and police officers could report their sightings to the MoD. Were it not for the work of Julian Hennessey, much of what we now know about the UK Government's attitude to UFOs - and indeed the history of our subject - would have been lost for good.'

"Further information about Julian's role in tackling the MoD over the destruction of papers relating to the RAF Lakenheath incident and other cases from the '50s can be found in my online papers at Martin Shough's URL:

<http://lakenheath.mysite.wanadoo-members.co.uk/>

under the heading 'The Fate of British MoD Records.'

Jan Aldrich  
Project 1947  
<http://www.project1947.com/>  
P. O. Box 391  
Canterbury, CT 06331, USA  
(860) 546-9135

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 2](#)

## China Overtakes Canada In Search For Aliens

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 12:29:52 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 12:29:52 -0500  
Subject: China Overtakes Canada In Search For Aliens

Source: Canada Free Press - Toronto, Ontario, Canada

<http://www.canadafreepress.com/2006/cover010206.htm>

Monday, January 2, 2006

China Overtakes Canada In Outer Space Search For Aliens  
By Judi McLeod

The Peoples Republic of China will give "life-on-other-planet" Canadians a run for their money on pushing the UFO agenda in 2006.

Canada already has a welcoming committee at the ready to establish diplomacy with "ethical Off-Planet cultures now visiting earth".

Since 1964, Canada has been home to the world=92s first UFO landing pad in St. Paul, Alberta, a 130-ton concrete structure built as that city=92s Centennial project.

Boasted to be among the famed visitors who dropped by to see the UFO landing pad by its promoters were Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth and Mother Teresa.

The Canadian Exopolitics Initiative, presented by NGOs to a Senate Committee panel hearing in Winnipeg on March 10, 2005, proposes that the Government of Canada undertake a `Decade of Contact=92 with extraterrestrials.

The proposed document is "a 10-year process of formal, funded public education, scientific research, educational curricula development and implementation, strategic planning, community activity and public research concerning our terrestrial society=92s full culture, political, social, legal and governmental communication and public interest diplomacy, with advanced, ethical Off-Planet cultures now visiting earth."

If the idea of ETs already visiting earth is a mind stretch for some, the Decade of Contact initiative is supported by former Canadian Minister of Defense Paul Hellyer, among others.

In 1938, an Orson Wells radio program claimed that a real time alien invasion was in progress.

Now that they=92ve got the money, China may get to ETs before Canada ever does.

"Government officials in Guiyang, capital of Guizhou Province, announced on Tuesday that they had received \$20 million from a Taiwan-based company to build a UFO research facility in China." (TCV News, Dec. 11, 2005).

According to TCV News=92 Jim Kouri, the project is a result of several people in the city=92s Baiyun District claiming they were visited by extraterrestrials in 1994. With the new research



facility, scientists hope to reproduce the mysterious moment through photos and historical documentation.

"In 1994, more than 27 pine trees in a forest farm in the district mysteriously fell down. However, nearby plastic shelters stood intact.

"A nearby motor vehicle factory reported similar unexplained events: steel pipes were strangely broken; a huge truck was found more than 20 metres away from its original place; and a factory employee claims he was mysteriously pulled up in the air by an "unknown" force."

While some chalk up the mysterious events to ET, scientists stated after a field trip to the locations that thunder, lightning and tornadoes were the probable cause.

Wang Fangchen, a biologist who visited the site right after the event, said the city's plan to build a UFO research base is "ridiculous".

"Where do they recruit scientists for the research?" he asked, before adding: "I won't oppose it if they just want to promote local tourism through the programme."

Zhou Xiaoqiang, a secretary-general with the Beijing UFO Research Association, said, "People often mistake planes, clouds and insects, as well as strange shadows on photographs, as being UFOs.

"If aliens came, they would more likely appear before our eyes politely than hide themselves, said Xiaoqing.

On Sept. 22, 2005, Prime Minister Paul Martin declared to the UN General Assembly, "Space is our final frontier. It has always captured our imagination. What a tragedy it would be if space became one big weapons arsenal and the scene of a new arms race."

Martin stated, "In 1967, the UN agreed that weapons of mass destruction must not be based in space. The time has come to extend this ban to all weapons."

Meanwhile, the same US detractors, who argue that there never were Weapons of Mass Destruction in Iraq, worry about the possibility of stockpiling WMD in outer space.

Canada Free Press founding editor Judi McLeod is an award-winning journalist with 30 years experience in the media. A former Toronto Sun and Kingston Whig Standard columnist, she has also appeared on Newsmax.com, the Drudge Report, Foxnews.com, and World Net Daily. Judi can be reached at: [letters.nul](mailto:letters.nul) You can read your Letters to the Editor here.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 3](#)

## Rise And Fall Of Skywatch International

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 07:33:06 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 07:33:06 -0500  
Subject: Rise And Fall Of Skywatch International

Source: Eyepod =B7 Eyepod... Searching for Intelligent Life

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Eyepod/message/17>

From: Frank Riccardi <[eyepod.nul](mailto:eyepod.nul)>  
Date: Mon Jan 2, 2006 8:06 pm  
Subject: Under Attack... Bill Hamilton

I received this today from Bill [Hamilton]...

-----

Frank,

I posted this yesterday...

The Rise and Fall of Skywatch International

I had become a member and president of Skywatch International in 1997 while living in Phoenix upon the request of (ret) Col. Steve Wilson.

I was in agreement with Wilson's aims for Skywatch International and his attempts to establish an alternative to MUFON. I actually started a skywatch group in Phoenix at the time.

I recorded this in my ufogate file years ago:

Colonel (USAF Ret.) Steve Wilson entry 11/9/1996

The Colonel, like Dan W., has a web site called "Skywatch International".

The Colonel was reached by e-mail. He is retired after forty years in the Air Force. Claims he was head of Project Pounce for MAJI (MJ-12) that conducted alien disc crash-retrieval operations. Knows General Miller. Wilson is also dying of cancer. Has seen the subterrene in operation. Is familiar with the entire underground complex. Had a Magic "Q" Clearance. Published a report on aliens on his Web site that came from another Colonel with a Majic "Q" Starraker clearance. His own was 27 levels above top secret. (Probable hoax)

The Colonel should have actually had a "Majic" Clearance and not a "Magic" clearance (for civilians).

As time went by and his claims became more preposterous, I labeled his background as dubious. Though he sent me a copy of his DD 214 service record, his serial #, last name, place of birth, and all significant data had been blacked out and it could have been anyone's record.

I have a list of whistleblowers I have interviewed going back to the 1980s and some of them, like Wilson, were questionable.

He was to appear at our first Skywatch conference in Phoenix in 1997, but he was dying of cancer and we received word of his demise before the conference in November 1997. He was going to present us with "proof".

I re-established Skywatch with two other officers who had become VPs. A little later, Jim Hickman became one of the V.P.s

My goal for Skywatch was to make it a pro-active organization, to actually form skywatch groups who would actually, you guessed it, "skywatch". However, that was easier planned than done and even though I had a number of state directors, it did not work out.

I reorganized it so that we would have functional directors rather than geographical. We had a Director of Communications and a Director of Memberships, and other directors.

That worked for a while and the functions grew and we actually composed a newsletter, a database, and other rudimentary instruments to aid growth, but it stalled.

The Skyopen mailing list had, at one time, exceeded a thousand members.

Then, in 2004, I was presented an opportunity which required me to resign my position from Skywatch. I was sent a letter indicating future problems that Skywatch would have, but it was written as if they were already happening. In fact, the mysterious letter had information in it that later convinced me that it could have come from the future!

I asked Jim Hickman to take the reigns of Skywatch as he volunteered and had already had experience as a VP though he had left once before.

He re-incorporated it and established the new Skywatch with a growing Board of Advisors, newsletter, and ran an active mailing list until yesterday! Then he disbanded Skywatch for reasons best known to him.

I am not going to attempt to revive Skywatch at this time as times have changed and we need something much more than Skywatch and that is why I conceived of the Astrosciences Institute (as seen on my website) which is my grander vision for the future. Whether that is actually established or not, it is an idea that I have planted in hopes of a brighter future for humanity despite the many challenges to our continued survival on this beautiful but overconsumed planet.

This is far from a complete account of the efforts to establish a new organization in the world. It will not be the last such effort.

Thanks to all who contributed to the life of Skywatch over the years.

R.I.P. (Skywatch International, 1996 - 2006)

Bill Hamilton  
AstroScience Research  
<http://www.astrosciences.info>

"I don't see the logic of rejecting data just because they seem incredible." --Fred Hoyle

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 3](#)

## Re: U.K. Government UFOs & Julian J.A. Hennessey -

From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 2 Jan 2006 19:37:23 -0000  
Fwd Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 07:47:17 -0500  
Subject: Re: U.K. Government UFOs & Julian J.A. Hennessey -

>From: Jan Aldrich <[project1947.nul](mailto:project1947.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 2 Jan 2006 23:48:04 -0500  
>Subject: The U.K. Government UFOs & Julian J.A. Hennessey

>Julian Hennessey, an important figure in both British ufology  
>and the National Investigations on Aerial Phenomena (NICAP), for  
>many years investigated UFO cases in Great Britain and all over  
>Europe. He formed an European UFO investigative unit, NICAP  
>European Investigative Subcommittee #1 composed of scientists, a  
>pilot, and UFO investigators and Euronet, a UFO reporting  
>network involving European airlines. He also through direct  
>contacts with officials and through various Members of  
>Parliament attempted to obtain official UFO information long  
>before the current Freedom of Information Act. His  
>investigations revealed that significant official information in  
>the hands of the British government had been destroyed through  
>the years prior to his inquiries.

<snip>

The background note to a Parliamentary Question in December 1967 states, "It is possible that Sir John Langford-Holt has been prompted to ask this question by Mr J J A Hennessey, who has been in correspondence with the Prime Minister, the Ministry of Defence, the Board of Trade, Sir John Langford-Holt MP, Sir Eric Bullus MP, the United Nations Organisation and the Canadian Government, in an attempt to institute a national or international study of unidentified flying objects."

Later in the same document Mr Hennessey is described as "a persistent correspondent with the Ministry of Defence, the Prime Minister and others."

Hennessey certainly gave some of my predecessors at the Ministry of Defence a hard time, but as Jan rightly points out, we are the richer for his efforts.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 3](#)

## Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -

From: **Martin Shough** <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 2 Jan 2006 19:48:30 -0000  
Fwd Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 07:48:29 -0500  
Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -

>From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 30 Dec 2005 17:46:43 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process

>>From: Joaquim Fernandes <[j.fernan.nul](mailto:j.fernan.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 29 Dec 2005 16:19:03 -0000  
>>Subject: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process

><snip>

>>So, it is not absurd conclude that the communication signal from  
>>the Luminous Lady to Lucia during the Fatima Apparitions 1917  
>>episodes seems to be coherent with a range around 200 Hz, which  
>>is compatible with the experimental data obtained by the  
>>Microwave Auditive Phenomenon as we can find in the literature  
>>produced by Canadian, French and Americans researchers since  
>>decades. Or, as it has been established since decades that those  
>>laboratorial experiments confirmed the possibility of reception  
>>of signals inside of the human brain.

>200 - 400 Hz is way-y-y-y below the microwave region. Dr.  
>Allen Frey's experiments explored microwave auditory effects  
>from around 125 MHz up into the 8,000 MHz range.

Hi Eleanor

125 MHz to 8 GHz is way above the auditory region. Audio  
frequencies are KHz. These are VHF-SHF radio frequencies.  
Presumably the idea is that oscillations are stimulated in the  
ear or brain, or wherever, by audio frequency AM/FM modulation  
of a microwave carrier frequency?

>Also, in 1917, where would the microwave come from if it were  
>microwave voice to skull transmission?

True, no magnetron technology in those days for high power mw  
radiation. But maybe from the same place the BVM and solar "UFO"  
came from?

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 3](#)

## Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell'

From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 18:36:39 -0700  
Fwd Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 07:56:44 -0500  
Subject: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell'

>From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)

>>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <[gevaerd.nul](mailto:gevaerd.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)> Date:  
>>Thu, 22 Dec 2005 11:57:55 -0200  
>>Subject: Comments On US History's 'Brazil's Roswell'?

>>Has anybody here seen and could comment on the US History  
>>channel's show 'Brazil's Roswell', about the secret  
>>investigation of UFOs in the Amazon by our military. The show  
>>started airing last Sunday.

>Yes, this program followed an updated rehash on the Rendlesham  
>events of 1980. I'm a casual observer of the UFO scene who  
>subscribes to Flying Saucer Review and reads the occasional  
>book.

Nick,

My review is neither as a ufologist nor as an experienced  
reviewer. I'm a UFO hobbyist and a mediocre writer.

I watched SciFi's rerun last night ending at midnight. I haven't  
read any book on the events at Rendlesham, just a few articles.

Themes: Coverup of events and brainwashing of eyewitnesses to  
include persuading the chain of command to go along.

Bottom line: IMO, the 'Hollywood effect' scores another win,  
blurring the lines between actual events and dramatizations.  
These two hours bounced between witnesses and flashbacks to  
events at Roswell, Kecksburg, and Nellis (range camera video).

OK, on to the researchers, senior government representatives,  
and most importantly the eyewitnesses. Snippets of interviews  
with Nick Pope and Georgina Bruni were uniformly questioning of  
the skeptical naysayers and encouraging comments for the  
eyewitnesses.

Nick mentions 180-200 pages of information held by MOD UK and  
speculates on the volume of material held by the Pentagon. I'm  
sure we have seen only the tip of the iceberg.

Lord Peter Hill-Norton makes several cogent comments. I found  
especially convincing his interpretation of Rendlesham as either  
true events or hallucinations, therefore the negative impact of  
either on national and Allied defense.

General Gabriel, Commander USAFE (U.S. Air Force - Europe): He  
flew in via C-130. His team removed almost all evidentiary  
material. One or more C-130 or C-141 flew in a team to inspect  
the ASSumed special weapons storage bunkers, internal perimeter  
fences, protocols/SOPs (standard operating procedures), and  
personnel guarding same.

Brigadier General Gordon Williams, Commander of Bentwaters and/or Woodbridge Air Bases and 81st TFW (Tactical Fighter Wing): He can neither confirm or deny the alleged events.

Lieutenant Colonel Charles Halt, Deputy Base Commander of Bentwaters Air Base: His personal tape record (14 or 16 minutes ?) survives. He wrote the well-known memorandum addressed to MOD UK, a diluted account speaking of lights and few other details. His consensus view reached with TSGT (Technical Sergeant) Penniston there were at least two landing sites. LTC Halt confirms one or more beams of light from the objects probed areas of the base.

TSGT Jim Penniston, SP (Security Police): His notebook with entries describing the events survives. The events altered his writing style and he felt electricity in the air. He heard that radar returns were noted. He touched the craft. Off duty he made plaster casts of the three identical ground impressions each 12 inches wide and 1.5 inches deep. He has nightmares. In September 1994, he underwent regression hypnosis and spoke of sodium pentothal and questioning by DS8. DS8 is a civilian office of the RAF (Royal Air Force).

A1C (Airman First Class) Larry Warren, SP: He wrote his personal account of these events in Left At East Gate. He recalls sodium pentothal and hypnosis sessions. LTC Halt seems to accept the use of these methods by OSI (USAF Office of Special Investigation) and other unknown entities. He signed a "brief debrief", a document acknowledging classified events which the signer is not to divulge under penalty of imprisonment and fine. He remembers hearing "bullets are cheap". He suffers from PTSD (post traumatic stress disorder).

MSGT (Master Sergeant) Ray Gulyas, Flight Commander, Safety or SP [?]: He mentions 12 feet between ground impressions. He cites photos returned as whited out (fogged).

Airman [?] Edward Cabansag, SP: He signed a brief debrief and heard "bullets are cheap".

Mrs. Mariana Webb and husband, UK civilians: Spotted lights in the sky on 27 Dec 1980, near Bentwaters Air Base.

Forester Vince Thurkettle: He stated the second landing site cited by LTC Halt and TSGT Penniston was a revelation. The lighthouse isn't visible from there. He mentioned the rabbit scratching theory for those ground impressions.

---

Postscript: Anyone "know" if special weapons (euphemism for tactical nukes) were bunkered at Bentwaters and/or Woodbridge Air Bases?

Post Postscript: Anyone 'know' if RAF Canberra bombers were based at Mildenhall Air Base? I spoke on the telephone in Naples, Italy with a guy who said his Canberra bomber had crashed in the bay of Naples. This was in 1978 or 1979. This incident was one in a series of suspected Warsaw Pact attempts at deception and disruption of communications.

Terry

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 3](#)

## 58 Indicators Of UFO Encounters Or Abduction

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 11:40:19 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 11:40:19 -0500  
Subject: 58 Indicators Of UFO Encounters Or Abduction

Source: InChelsea.com

<http://tinyurl.com/9e77u>

Monday, January 02, 2006

Are You An Alien Abductee?

58 Common Indicators Of UFO Encounters Or Abductions By Alien Beings

Compiled by Melinda Leslie  
Edited by Mark Williams

Many millions of people, it seems, have had encounters with alien beings. Many of those people do not realise that they are having these experiences because of suppressed memories. Their awareness is limited to an occasional paranormal incident that seems to confuse them more than anything else. Some people are not ready to know for sure, others feel a deep need to know if they are involved with the beings. If you are in the later group, read on.

This is a list of 58 common indicators shared by most UFO abductees. It is based on known research on the subject and on personal findings. It has been compiled to help you determine if you are an abductee. Of course there may be other explanations for these occurrences and this is in no way an absolute means of knowing if you are an abductee. If you or someone you know does fit many of these traits listed here, please seek the help of a qualified researcher of therapist. This list is not in any particular order.

Ask yourself if you...

1. Have had unexplainable missing or lost time of one hour or more.
2. Have been paralyzed in bed with a being in your room.
3. Have unusual scars or marks with no possible explanation on how you received them (small scoop indentation, straight line scar, triangular marks, scars in roof of mouth, in nose, behind or in ears, etc.)
4. Have seen balls of light or flashes of light in your home or other locations
5. Have a memory of flying through the air which could not be a dream, or many dreams involving flying.
6. Have a strong "marker memory" that will not go away (i.e.: an alien face, an examination, a needle, a table, a strange skinny baby, etc.)

7. Have seen beams of light outside your home, or come into your room through a window.
8. Have had many dreams of UFOs, beams of light, or alien beings.
9. Have had a shocking UFO sighting or multiple sightings in your life.
10. Have a cosmic awareness, an interest in ecology, environment, vegetarianism, or are very socially conscious.
11. Have a strong sense of having a mission or important task to perform, sometime, without knowing where this compulsion is coming from.
12. Have a secret feeling that you are "special" or "chosen," somehow.
13. Have had unexplainable events occur in your life, and felt strangely anxious afterwards.
14. Have had several strange psychic experiences - such as knowing that something is going to happen before it happens.
15. For women only: Have had false pregnancy or missing fetus. (pregnant, and then not)
16. Have awoken in another place than where you went to sleep, or don't remember ever going to sleep. (i.e. waking up with your head at the foot of your bed, or in your car)
17. Have had a dream of eyes such as animal eyes (like an owl or deer), or remember seeing an animal looking in at you. Also if you have a fear of eyes.
18. Have awoken in the middle of the night startled.
19. Have strong reaction to cover of Communion or pictures of aliens. Either an aversion to or being drawn to.
20. Have inexplicably strong fears or phobias. (i.e. heights, snakes, spiders, large insects, certain sounds, bright lights, your personal security or being alone).
21. Have experienced self-esteem problem much of your life.
22. Have seen someone with you become paralyzed, motionless, or frozen in time, especially someone you sleep with.
23. Have a memory of having a special place with spiritual significance, when you were a youngster.
24. Have had someone in your life who claims to have witnessed a ship or alien near you or has witnessed you having been missing.
25. Have had, at any time, blood or strangel stain on sheet or pillow, with no explanation of how it got there.
26. Have an interest in the subject of UFO sightings or aliens, perhaps compelled to read about it a lot.
27. Have an extreme aversion towards the subject of UFO's or aliens - don't want to talk about it.
28. Have been suddenly compelled to drive or walk to an out of the way or unknown area.
29. Have the feeling of being watched much of the time, especially at night.
30. Have had dreams of passing through a closed window or solid wall.
31. Have seen a strange fog or haze that should not be there.
32. Have heard strange humming or pulsing sounds, and you could not identify the source.
33. Have had unusual nose bleeds at any time in your life. Or

- have awoken with a nose bleed.
34. Have awoken with soreness in your genitals which can not be explained.
  35. Have had back or neck problems, T-3 vertebrae out often, or awoken with an unusual stiffness in any part of the body.
  36. Have had chronic sinusitis or nasal problems.
  37. Have had electronics around you go haywire or oddly malfunction with no explanation (such as street lights going out as you walk under them, TV's and radios affected as you move close, etc.).
  38. Have seen a hooded figure in or near your home, especially next to your bed.
  39. Have had frequent or sporadic ringing in your ears, especially in one ear.
  40. Have an unusual fear of doctors or tend to avoid medical treatment.
  41. Have insomnia or sleep disorders which are puzzling to you.
  42. Have had dreams of doctors or medical procedures.
  43. Have frequent or sporadic headaches, especially in the sinus, behind one eye, or in one ear.
  44. Have the feeling that you are going crazy for even thinking about these sorts of things.
  45. Have had paranormal or psychic experiences, including intuition.
  46. Have been prone to compulsive or addictive behavior.
  47. Have channeled telepathic messages from extraterrestrials.
  48. Have simply heard an external voice in your head, speaking to you, perhaps instructing or guiding you.
  49. Have been afraid of your closet, now or as a child.
  50. Have had sexual or relationship problems (such as an odd "feeling" that you must not become involved in a relationship because it would interfere with "something.")
  51. Have to sleep against the wall or must sleep with your bed against a wall.
  52. Have a fear that you must be very vigilant or you will be taken away by "someone."
  53. Have a difficult time trusting other people, especially authority figures.
  54. Have had dreams of destruction or catastrophe.
  55. Have the feeling that you are not supposed to talk about these things, or that you should not talk about them.
  56. Have experienced many things in this list, and recall your children or parents speaking of similar experiences on occasion.
  57. Have tried to resolve these types of problems with little or no success.
  58. Have many of these traits but can't remember anything about an abduction or alien encounter.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 3](#)

Secrecy News -- 01/03/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 06:36:29 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 12:16:15 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 01/03/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 1  
January 3, 2006

\*\* CONFRONTING DOMESTIC NSA SURVEILLANCE  
\*\* DEFERRAL OF INTEL AUTHORIZATION ACT CRITICIZED  
\*\* HPSCI TO CONDUCT CUNNINGHAM INQUIRY  
\*\* WORLD LAW BULLETIN - NOVEMBER 2005  
\*\* CRS ON CONCENTRATED CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE  
\*\* LANDSAT DATA CONTINUITY STRATEGY (OSTP)  
\*\* PAUL ROAZEN, HISTORIAN OF PSYCHOANALYSIS  
\*\* INTRODUCTION TO OUTER SPACE (1958)

CONFRONTING DOMESTIC NSA SURVEILLANCE

The chances that the average American would be victimized by the National Security Agency's domestic counterterrorism surveillance operation appear to be minuscule.

And yet the controversy over such domestic surveillance demands the attention of every alert citizen since it raises fateful questions about the American form of government.

What are the boundaries of Presidential authority? Can the President ignore the law or unilaterally reinterpret it into irrelevance? Can constitutional protections endure pervasive official secrecy? Would Americans rather have a "strong leader" or a strong system of laws?

These questions retain their urgency even though the facts of the controversy, and the underlying law, are matters of dispute.

In a December 22 letter, Assistant Attorney General William E. Moschella elaborated on the Administration's claim that the NSA surveillance operation is consistent with the President's constitutional authority as commander in chief, and with the 2001 congressional authorization for use of force to combat terrorism. See:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/doj/fisa/doj122205.pdf>

That is simply wrong, argued Kate Martin of the Center for National Security Studies.

"When Congress enacted the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act in 1978, it expressly rejected the President's claim of inherent authority to conduct warrantless wiretaps," she wrote. "It then went further and made it a crime to conduct such wiretaps." See (an MS Word file):

<http://www.cnss.org/NSA%20Spying%20Memo.doc>

In a particularly illuminating exchange, two members of the conservative Federalist Society who hold opposing views of the legitimacy of the President's warrantless surveillance operation questioned each other on the scope of Presidential authority,



and related matters (flagged by beSpacific.com):

<http://www.fed-soc.org/pdf/domesticintelligence.pdf>

#### DEFERRAL OF INTEL AUTHORIZATION ACT CRITICIZED

Three months into Fiscal Year 2006, the FY 2006 intelligence authorization act has still not been passed by Congress.

According to Sen. Edward Kennedy (D-MA), the delay is due to Republican opposition to a proposed amendment that would require the Bush Administration to provide the Intelligence Committees with copies of the President's Daily Brief pertaining to Iraq from 2001-2003.

"An unidentified Republican has a hold on the bill to prevent Senate action unless the amendment is withdrawn along with two other amendments on secret detention facilities," Sen. Kennedy said.

"If we do not act on this legislation, it will be an unprecedented failure," said Sen. Jay Rockefeller (D-WV), who observed that an intelligence authorization bill has been enacted each year for nearly three decades.

Senate Democrats discussed the impasse on the Senate floor on December 20. See:

[http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2005\\_cr/s122005.html](http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2005_cr/s122005.html)

#### HPSCI TO CONDUCT CUNNINGHAM INQUIRY

The House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence will conduct an inquiry into the activities of former Committee member Rep. Randy Cunningham (R-CA), who resigned from Congress after admitting that he had accepted millions of dollars in bribes.

Committee chair Rep. Pete Hoekstra and ranking member Rep. Jane Harman said the inquiry was necessary "to ensur[e] the integrity and security of the House Intelligence Committee is maintained."

"This inquiry will be thorough to be certain his taint did not spread to this committee," they said in a December 21 news release. See:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/news/2005/12/hpsci122105.pdf>

#### WORLD LAW BULLETIN - NOVEMBER 2005

What is the punishment for espionage in Islamic law? That is not a simple question. But a reasonably concise answer is provided in an essay in the latest issue of World Law Bulletin. It is one of several interesting items in the monthly journal produced by the Law Library of Congress.

Unfortunately, the current Congressional leadership does not permit the Law Library to provide direct public access to the World Law Bulletin.

But a copy of the latest issue, dated November 2005, was obtained by Secrecy News and is posted here:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/othergov/wlb/200511.pdf>

Other recent issues dating back to May 2005 are available here:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/othergov/wlb/index.html>

The Washington Office of the American Library Association is coordinating an effort to persuade Congress to change its policy so as to permit public access to the Bulletin. Until such a change occurs, we aim to provide that service.

#### CRS ON CONCENTRATED CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE

A new report from the Congressional Research Service considers

the problem of critical infrastructure assets that are located in a concentrated area.

"Critical infrastructure is often geographically concentrated, so it may be distinctly vulnerable to events like natural disasters, epidemics, and certain kinds of terrorist attacks. Disruption of concentrated infrastructure could have greatly disproportionate effects, with costs potentially running into billions of dollars and spreading far beyond the immediate area of disturbance."

See "Vulnerability of Concentrated Critical Infrastructure: Background and Policy Options," December 21, 2005:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/homesecc/RL33206.pdf>

#### LANDSAT DATA CONTINUITY STRATEGY (OSTP)

The U.S. Government will have to modify its strategy in to preserve the collection of Landsat data, according to a recent memorandum from the director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy.

"After careful consideration in interagency discussions, all parties agreed that adjustments to the current near-term strategy and development of a new long-term strategy are required in order to ensure the continuity of Landsat-type data," wrote Dr. John H. Marburger III.

See his December 23 memo on Landsat Data Continuity Strategy Adjustment here:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/news/2005/12/ostp122305.pdf>

#### PAUL ROAZEN, HISTORIAN OF PSYCHOANALYSIS

"All I can say is that Roazen is a menace whatever he writes," wrote an exasperated Anna Freud, referring to Paul Roazen, the historian of the psychoanalytic movement who died November 3.

In fact, Roazen was an exemplary scholar who opened up new avenues of inquiry regarding the founding and development of psychoanalysis. He posed questions that had never been asked before and, by dint of scholarly fact-checking, he corrected errors in the historical record, and in his own early works.

Based in Cambridge, Roazen could periodically be found at the Library of Congress in Washington, DC, examining newly opened records in the Library's Freud collection, portions of which remain sealed for years to come. And his traces are all over the occasionally fierce historical debates over psychoanalysis in the last three decades.

"Real work entails, in my view, a willingness to engage in controversy," he once wrote. "Although acrimony in itself should seem undesirable, it is often necessary to combat what one genuinely regards as mischievous." (On the Freud Watch, 2003, p. 123).

Links to several obituaries for Paul Roazen may be found here:

<http://roazen.net/Paul.html>

#### INTRODUCTION TO OUTER SPACE (1958)

Shortly after the 1957 launch of the Soviet Sputnik satellite, the President's Science Advisory Committee prepared a brief "Introduction to Outer Space" to set forth the possibilities and the objectives of a U.S. space program.

"I have found this statement so informative and so interesting that I wish to share it with all the people of America and indeed with all the people of the earth," wrote President Eisenhower in an introduction.

The pamphlet was originally for sale to the public for fifteen cents. But the Federation of American Scientists is making it available for free.

See "Introduction to Outer Space," The White House, March 26, 1958 (thanks to Allen Thomson for a scanned copy of the pamphlet):

<http://www.fas.org/spp/guide/usa/intro1958.html>

The Star Trek injunction to "boldly go where no man has gone before" must have derived from similar language in this very document, Dwayne A. Day neatly conjectured.

See his "Boldly going: Star Trek and spaceflight," The Space Review, November 28, 2005:

<http://www.thespacereview.com/article/506/1>

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sgp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News has an RSS feed at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sgp/news/secrecy/index.rss>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sgp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sgp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 3](#)

## Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

From: **Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo** <[ufomiami.nul](mailto:ufomiami.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 10:30:18 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 13:45:05 -0500  
Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 01 Jan 2006 15:13:03 -0400  
>Subject: Underwater USOs Documentary

>Probably the best database for USOs in this field is run by Carl  
>Feindt in Delaware. He was interviewed for the US History  
>Channel documentary but his name isn't even mentioned. Bill  
>Birnes and Preston Dennet and Kathryn Morgan get a mention  
>however. Never heard of the last two. The others are a given.

I bet they do not mention the famous Trindade Case, on Jan 16,  
1958. When a Brazilian Navy crew witnessed a USO fly-by.

A civilian photographer Almiro Barauna on board took a sequence  
of photos. In a taped interview with Dr. Hynek in Brasilia in  
April 1983, Barauna assured us that the UFO came from undersea.  
Making this an historical USO Case.

Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo  
Miami UFO Center

[See: <http://tinyurl.com/9hmxj> --ebk]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 3](#)

## Re: Comments On US History's 'Brazil's Roswell'? -

From: James Smith <[zeus001002.nul](mailto:zeus001002.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 11:21:05 -0500 (GMT-05:00)  
Fwd Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 13:47:13 -0500  
Subject: Re: Comments On US History's 'Brazil's Roswell'? -

>From: Santiago Yturria Garza <[syturria.nul](mailto:syturria.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 22 Dec 2005 16:56:57 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Comments On US History's 'Brazil's Roswell'?

>>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <[gevaerd.nul](mailto:gevaerd.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 22 Dec 2005 11:57:55 -0200  
>>Subject: Comments On US History's 'Brazil's Roswell'?

>>Has anybody here seen and could comment on the US History  
>>channel's show 'Brazil's Roswell', about the secret  
>>investigation of UFOs in the Amazon by our military. The  
>>show started airing last Sunday.

>snip<

>The History Channel gave a lesson to the long time UFO  
>detractor National Geographic who unable to debunk the  
>Mexican Air Force UFO case committed a fraud to the people  
>presenting a hoaxed recreation of the flight positioning their  
>airplane 150 kms. away of the actual site and over an oil  
>platform just to pretend this was the thing the FLIR camera  
>filmed that day, an ominous lie that should live in infamy.

I did not see this 'fraud' but I think any attempt to re-enact  
the flight should be encouraged, while taking into account the  
limitations of any such re-creation, such as weather (humidity,  
cloud cover, wind pattern). Just because they came up with a  
result you disagreed is irrelevant.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 3](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Smith

From: James Smith <[zeus001002.nul](mailto:zeus001002.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 11:26:23 -0500 (GMT-05:00)  
Fwd Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 13:49:32 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Smith

>From: David Chace <[davidwchace.nul](mailto:davidwchace.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 28 Dec 2005 22:47:11 -0800  
>Subject: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>Applying Occam's razor to the available evidence, I  
>accept the likelihood that some UFOs are someone  
>else's spacecraft. So that answers the question "Where  
>are they?" However, it does not address the question  
>"Where were they?" Specifically, why hasn't an  
>extraterrestrial civilization long ago colonized the Earth,  
>stripped it of all its natural resources, and/or turned the  
>Earth into part of its galactic franchise operation?

One possible answer is that they did inhabit/colonize the Earth during its distant past, did not terraform it because they did not need to (based on their particular biology or technology), and left after a time after it became fashionable to leave (either the waning of their galactic civilization or changing technology or biology). So maybe they did strip resources (perhaps we had alot more of certain elements), or perhaps they took only what they needed, which may be a small level of items due to highly efficient technologies.

The other possible answer is that they did colonize Earth and are still here in their own way. They do not need to be here in their billions to 'colonize'. They could be underground/underwater/invisible.

Or perhaps after millenia of spacefaring, "living" on the surface of a planet is either out dated or biologically incompatible.

<snip>

>Why in all that time did no interloper  
>decide to terraform the Earth and make it part of its  
>multistellar empire?

You assume the cost of terraforming is "worth it" for their biology/uses. Maybe they want to hang out in space and use a robotically operated mine which doesn't need 'terraforming'.

>Why, since the Cambrian, has no latecomer  
>built cities and farms and mining facilities here?

Farms? How primitive! Any advanced species will grow their own food in labs. Even we are doing that now with meat. Give us 100 years and all food will be grown in labs in Petri dishes. Cities? Well, again you assume these folk like being on a planet. Planets have highly risky phenomena that are very hard to control. Spaceships can be much safer. So they might not want to exist in cities on Earth. As to mining facilities, is would be hard to deduce areas of mining if they took their equipment with them and if we don't know what they mined.

>It seems clear that we still have some kind of  
>Fermi Paradox to deal with, as one can argue  
>that Fermi's original question implied not only

>the mystery of their absence in modern times  
>but also in times past. Indeed, our galaxy is about  
>twelve billion years old, and there are sun-like  
>stars billions of years older than our sun. It is  
>quite plausible that there were starfaring civilizations  
>in the galaxy as early as five billion years ago.

True, but this may entail a subtle shift in their biology that encourages 'life in space' vs 'surface dwellers'.

>Some would argue, of course, that perhaps those  
>civilizations did come and just didn't stay. Perhaps a  
>few of their artifacts have been found by archaeologists  
>or paleontologists but not recognized for what they are.  
>If so, then why didn't they stay, and shouldn't we expect  
>more evidence of their presence than a few out of  
>place artifacts?

Maybe but then we don't know what to look for. If their machines were some sort of nanomachines with a programmed life span, then they could go to carbon dust before we get a chance to examine them. On the other hand, if the nanomachines still exist as artifacts, they would be easy to miss. Other signs such as missing elements/minerals, odd EM/gravity fields may be evidence but how can we know for sure?

>Some would argue that they did colonize the Earth, and we are  
>the remnant of their colony.

Its hard to discount this entirely since I have read about how some interstellar gunk can work its way into our DNA. As to other kinds of humans/aliens colonizing/breeding on Earth, it seems unlikely.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 3](#)

## Careful There's A UFO On The Road

From: **Scott Corrales** <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 12:55:43 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 13:54:09 -0500  
Subject: Careful There's A UFO On The Road

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
January 3, 2006

-----

Source: Infobae.com and Planeta UFO  
Date: 01-03-06

<http://www.infobae.com/notas/nota.php?Idx=231255&IdxSeccion=100>

Argentina: Careful, There's A UFO On The Road

A bus traveling from Cordoba to San Luis was forced to stop due to the presence of a flying saucer on the road. A passenger says everyone got out to take a look at the phenomenon

A woman claimed having seen a UFO last Friday on the route linking the province of Cordoba with San Luis, while she traveled as a passenger in a microbus belonging to the Andesmar Company.

The passenger said that during the night, the bus stopped on the road facing an enormous light and that other passengers and drivers got out of the vehicle to witness the event.

"The time was 21:45 and the bus suddenly stopped. Upon looking down the aisle, I noticed a huge light in the middle of the road. I immediately jumped out of my seat and saw something that I will never forget: a cone-shaped light in the middle of the road," the passenger told the El Liberal newspaper.

Later on, the woman said that she felt herself overcome by a sensation of peace and curiosity, to the extent that she began taking photos of the object with her digital camera.

"Suddenly, the object was enveloped in a bluish-white light and started to spin like a top and rise straight up, lighting the landscape like daytime. In the measure that it ascended, it issued colored lights in intense phosphorescent shades. It suddenly disappeared to become a glowing dot. I immediately began perceiving an odor of heated metal that blended with a very particular smell resembling sulfur," remarked the traveler.

-----

Translation (c) 2006 Scott Corrales  
Institute of Hispanic Ufology (IHU)  
Special thanks to Martha U.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |



[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 3](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Reason

From: **Cathy Reason** <[CathyM.nul](mailto:CathyM.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 18:01:46 -0000  
Fwd Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 13:56:23 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Reason

>From: Ray Dickenson <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 00:47:03 +0000  
>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>Think Cathy's quoting "chaos theory" - like the attractor for  
>the Haggis (its left legs are longer than the right - remember?)  
>is the medium mountain-side where the slope matches its leg-  
>lengths - any steeper or flatter slopes make the Haggis fall  
>over. [Well - it's Burns Night coming up]

The terminology comes from dynamical systems theory, of which  
chaos theory is only a part (admittedly an increasingly large  
part). But the attractors in the ETH case I mentioned are not  
"strange" or chaotic attractors, they are points or bounded  
regions.

>But think Cathy has a problem using chaos theory for things  
>other than blindly re-acting agents (like the predator-prey  
>cycles).

Maybe so - but I wasn't intending to do any sort of  
sophisticated analysis, but to illustrate a rather general point  
about equilibrium states.

If it doesn't help to think of the Fermi paradox in terms of  
dynamical systems formalism, however, then there's a simple  
remedy - just don't think of it in that way.

Cathy

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 3](#)

## More On The UFO In The Road

From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 13:58:48 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 15:02:49 -0500  
Subject: More On The UFO In The Road

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
January 3, 2006

-----

The following is just in from Luis Eduardo Pacheco of Proyecto Stratocat:

"The news item in question was published today by infobae.com reprinting what was published by the El Liberal newspaper from Santiago del Estero.

It should be made clear that the Andesmar bus-line has not issued at this time any communique, nor was one issued by the Cordoba or San Luis police authorities. It is not possible to conduct any further investigations because the information does not specify the exact place that the sighting occurred. In spite of this, I sent a message to the Andesmar bus-line, headquartered in the city of Mendoza, asking if the crew of the bus in question had filed a report. As soon as this information is obtained I will pass it along.

The only information available at the time is the account furnished by Miryan Coronel, a passenger aboard the vehicle, who was heading toward the town of Loreto in Santiago del Estero, planning to spend New Years Eve with her relatives. If anyone has any additional information, it would be interesting to have it made known in order to have more specific data on this sighting."

Inexplicata will continue to report any follow-up to this alleged UFO landing in Argentina.

Scott Corrales

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 3](#)

## Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 14:57:23 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 15:04:22 -0500  
Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -

>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 2 Jan 2006 19:48:30 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process

<snip>

>125 MHz to 8 GHz is way above the auditory region. Audio  
>frequencies are KHz. These are VHF-SHF radio frequencies.  
>Presumably the idea is that oscillations are stimulated in the  
>ear or brain, or wherever, by audio frequency AM/FM modulation  
>of a microwave carrier frequency?

As a ham radio operator for the past 50 years, I know about audio vs. microwave frequency ranges. The original article seemed to be referencing the microwave audio effect, which, when it carries voice is called "voice to skull" or "V2K" in American military jargon. (Ref: The U.S. Army's online thesaurus.)

I was interested in what the originator was trying to say, that's all. I'm still not clear on that.

As a matter of possible interest, members of our electronic harassment/vigilante stalking group do receive voice to skull transmissions. Many get words, many get words only during sleep which occasionally we hear by coming awake without the transmitter operator shutting down first (we then hear repeated phrases over and over until the operator catches on that we are awake.)

In my case, my "fan club" uses all sorts of faked normal sounds like fake alarm clock going off, fake pager, fake telephone ringing, fake knocking on the door. Sometimes they delight in causing audio to appear from a point in mid air.

One series of Dr. Allen Frey experiments dealing with the microwave auditory effect found that somewhere between 300 MHz and 3,000 MHz was about the range where microwave V2K was easiest to generate.

In one experiment I did, I had a frequency counter which covered that range, set with a one inch antenna to avoid being triggered by broadcast signals, next to my bed.

V2K requires something like 0.3 watts per square centimeter of skull surface to be audible, which is roughly the power density you get under one of those red heat lamps. This power level would definitely be detected by the one inch antenna.

The frequency counter was set to trap and hold the reading.

At no time, even when the fake sounds occurred several times a night, did the counter trap anything.

The normal roughly 8 inch antenna did trap various commercial signals so the unit was working.

Following that, I built a fully enclosing 4-layer shielded enclosure around my bed. The layers, from the outside to inside

were:

- solid sheet steel, about the same thickness as an automobile body
- several layers of aluminum foil
- a layer of galvanized steel mesh called "hardware cloth"
- a layer of 3M Velostat semiconductive black foam, normally used in pieces to protect chips from static electricity but also acts as a radio frequency absorption medium much like stealth aircraft coating

The forced air intake and outlet were filled with real copper scrubbing pads (not the copper-coloured plastic ones which are common).

This did a terrific job of stopping all commercial sources, cell phone signals, shortwave, and so forth.

Yet, it did absolutely nothing to reduce, never mind stop, the V2K attacks, and in fact, the perpetrators really enjoyed demonstrating that their UFO-level technology wasn't even slowed down. They would remotely bang on the enclosure, strike it with considerable force, shake it, pick up objects inside and whack me in the head with them, bend one toe back 90 degrees, one at a time, even cause "electric" sparks (no source of electricity) to appear.

Other members report such events too, though because I had spent so much time and money building this enclosure, apparently they gave me the "full show."

Back to Fatima, I don't doubt that today's advanced technology holders could duplicate some of what happened there in 1917.

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 4](#)

## Re: U.K. Government UFOs & Julian J.A. Hennessey -

From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 20:12:07 +0000  
Fwd Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 07:27:13 -0500  
Subject: Re: U.K. Government UFOs & Julian J.A. Hennessey -

>From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 2 Jan 2006 19:37:23 -0000  
>Subject: Re: U.K. Government UFOs & Julian J.A. Hennessey

>Hennessey certainly gave some of my predecessors at the Ministry  
>of Defence a hard time, but as Jan rightly points out, we are  
>the richer for his efforts.

Don't you mean "previous heads of the British Government's UFO  
Project"?

--  
John Rimmer

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 4](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Hall

From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 20:28:51 +0000  
Fwd Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 07:31:27 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Hall

>From: Cathy Reason <[CathyM.nul](mailto:CathyM.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 18:01:46 -0000  
>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>From: Ray Dickenson <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 00:47:03 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>Think Cathy's quoting "chaos theory" - like the attractor for  
>>the Haggis (its left legs are longer than the right - remember?)  
>>is the medium mountain-side where the slope matches its leg-  
>>lengths - any steeper or flatter slopes make the Haggis fall  
>>over. [Well - it's Burns Night coming up]

>The terminology comes from dynamical systems theory, of which  
>chaos theory is only a part (admittedly an increasingly large  
>part). But the attractors in the ETH case I mentioned are not  
>"strange" or chaotic attractors, they are points or bounded  
>regions.

>>But think Cathy has a problem using chaos theory for things  
>>other than blindly re-acting agents (like the predator-prey  
>>cycles).

>Maybe so - but I wasn't intending to do any sort of  
>sophisticated analysis, but to illustrate a rather general point  
>about equilibrium states.

>If it doesn't help to think of the Fermi paradox in terms of  
>dynamical systems formalism, however, then there's a simple  
>remedy - just don't think of it in that way.

Cathy and List,

Maybe I'm just a stubborn, linear-thinking Occamite, but thinking about a totally off-the-wall, ill-informed, Scientific Wild-Ass Guess (SWAG) in terms of "dynamical systems formalism" or any other elaborate theoretical scheme seems to me overkill and absolutely unnecessary.

According to the historical record, Fermi simply threw out the question "Where is everyone?" informally, and the utterly unscientific expression has received far more attention and almost reverent respect than it deserves. It's premise clearly is that no one has visited us, but where is any evidence that Fermi actually studied, or was even aware of, substantial UFO reports as possible evidence of ET visits?

So, very simply and quite logically, I discard the Fermi Paradox and consider it to be, until proven otherwise, as characterized in my first sentence.

The Colorado Project - Condon study - to which I was a consultant, the Robertson Panel, and all other allegedly "scientific" studies have carried on the same tradition of non-science or pseudoscience, and the whole passle of them

deserve no more respect than Rodney Dangerfield.

- Dick

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 4](#)

## Spitsbergen And Project Disclosure

From: **Kevin Randle** <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 17:46:52 EST  
Fwd Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 07:36:48 -0500  
Subject: Spitsbergen And Project Disclosure

So, I'm finishing up the work on the so-called BG Lovekin and I'm reading one of the Disclosure Project's documents. There I learn that Air Force Lieutenant Colonel Dwyne Arneson told Greer or one of his minions, "I was a top-secret control officer. I happened to see a classified message go through my com center which said, 'A UFO has crashed on the Island of Spitsbergen, Norway, and a team of scientists are coming to investigate it.'"

I have no real doubt that Dwyne Arneson was an Air Force Lieutenant Colonel or that he was a top-secret control officer. In fact, I have no doubt he saw the document he claimed to have seen because I have seen similar documents. That is, I have seen the once classified documents that related to the Spitsbergen crash.

But, as most of us know, the Spitsbergen crash, which can be found in the Project Blue Book files, is a hoax. It did appear in the German newspaper, the Berliner Volksblatt on July 9, 1952 and suggested that the crashed craft was of Soviet manufacture. In later tellings of the story, the fact it was suspected of being Russian because of the symbols on the craft and instruments has been lost.

Other evidence suggests the story first appeared in another German publication Saarbrucker Zeitung on June 28. This article, too, suggested the craft was of Russian origin.

Later the information made it into UFO books without the references to Russian markings but a suggestion that this was an extraterrestrial craft. Researchers who have carefully reviewed the information believe the story to be a hoax, which ironically, agrees with the Blue Book assessment.

The point here, however, is that we have a witness who might be telling the truth about what he had seen, in fact probably is, but it's about a story that was a hoax. Too bad those involved with Project Disclosure couldn't take the time to research the case before releasing their information.

BTW, for those interested, I'll post the information about Lovekin on my blog at [KevinRandle.blogspot.com](http://KevinRandle.blogspot.com) for all to see.

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 4](#)

## Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 22:50:28 +0000  
Fwd Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 07:39:24 -0500  
Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

>From: Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo <[ufomiami.nul](mailto:ufomiami.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 10:30:18 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 01 Jan 2006 15:13:03 -0400  
>>Subject: Underwater USOs Documentary

>>Probably the best database for USOs in this field is run by Carl  
>>Feindt in Delaware. He was interviewed for the US History  
>>Channel documentary but his name isn't even mentioned. Bill  
>>Birnes and Preston Dennet and Kathryn Morgan get a mention  
>>however. Never heard of the last two. The others are a given.

>I bet they do not mention the famous Trindade Case, on Jan 16,  
>1958. When a Brazilian Navy crew witnessed a USO fly-by.

>A civilian photographer Almiro Barauna on board took a sequence  
>of photos. In a taped interview with Dr. Hynek in Brasilia in  
>April 1983, Barauna assured us that the UFO came from undersea.  
>Making this an historical USO Case.

>Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo  
>Miami UFO Center

Virgilio,

On what possible basis could Barauna "assure" you that the UFO  
came from undersea other than outright speculation? What  
evidence did he adduce, other than an opinion? Please; this is a  
strong case well known to most of us. Let us not muddy it up by  
confusing facts and guesswork.

- Dick Hall

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 4](#)

## Re: Comments On US History's 'Brazil's Roswell'? -

From: Santiago Yturria Garza <[syturria.nul](mailto:syturria.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 00:13:11 +0000  
Fwd Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 07:52:08 -0500  
Subject: Re: Comments On US History's 'Brazil's Roswell'? -

From: James Smith <[zeus001002.nul](mailto:zeus001002.nul)>  
To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 11:21:05 -0500 (GMT-05:00)  
Subject: Re: Comments On US History's 'Brazil's Roswell'?

>From: Santiago Yturria Garza <[syturria.nul](mailto:syturria.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 22 Dec 2005 16:56:57 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Comments On US History's 'Brazil's Roswell'?

>>From: A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO <[gevaerd.nul](mailto:gevaerd.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 22 Dec 2005 11:57:55 -0200  
>>Subject: Comments On US History's 'Brazil's Roswell'?

>>Has anybody here seen and could comment on the US History  
>>channel's show 'Brazil's Roswell', about the secret  
>>investigation of UFOs in the Amazon by our military. The  
>>show started airing last Sunday.

>snip<

>The History Channel gave a lesson to the long time UFO  
>detractor National Geographic who unable to debunk the  
>Mexican Air Force UFO case committed a fraud to the people  
>presenting a hoaxed recreation of the flight positioning their  
>airplane 150 kms. away of the actual site and over an oil  
>platform just to pretend this was the thing the FLIR camera  
>filmed that day, an ominous lie that should live in infamy.

>I did not see this 'fraud' but I think any attempt  
>to re-enact the flight should be encouraged, while  
>taking into account the limitations of any such re-  
>creation, such as weather (humidity, cloud cover,  
>>wind pattern). Just because they came up with a  
>result you disagreed is irrelevant.

It really embarrassed yourself to see the false and ridiculous  
exposure of the Campeche case made by hoaxer Alejandro Franz  
Navarrete in complicity with the National Geographic Television  
who never pretended to fly over the actual sighting location  
following the March 5, 2004 Merlin C26A flight path, in order to  
recreate the incident according to the necessary requirements we  
all know would have to be accomplished to establish the myth of  
the oil wells theory.

Instead they positioned the rented airplane - with no FLIR  
camera 150 KMs. away from the location, over an oil plataform  
in the sea and Franz took some film with a conventional  
videocamera just to say "This is an oil well", this is what the  
FLIR camera filmed. What a cheap trick to justify his ludicrous  
ilussion.

We may wonder why Franz did not follow the original C26A path?  
Why Franz deceived National Geographic by placing the recreation  
flight so far away, in the Cantarel zone? Very simple, because  
Franz would never be able to prove his theory, not by means of  
a legitimate and professional investigation.

As I see Alejandro Franz Navarrete lost the opportunity of his life to prove once for all more than a year of allegations regarding the Campeche case.

We were anxious to see Franz Navarrete for the first time on national TV in the US proving his debated theory of the alleged oilwell fires supposedly mistaken by the C26A FLIR and Radar on March 5, 2004.

However Franz's exposition in the documentary resulted as expected, in complete dissapointment - a total fiasco. In the end Franz proved nothing and exposed himself as a fraud. These are facts.

The evidence was presented on national television. There's no way to deny the failed attempt to disqualify the SEDENA case trying to deceive the people by a false recreation flight.

You are now mentioning "limitations" for a recreation flight such as humidity, cloud cover, wind pattern? Give us a break! Now it's clear you are trying to be smart here sir.

Can you explain why Franz didn't follow the C26A flight path as required? Why Franz has always ignored the RADAR issue in his investigation? Why Franz decided to position the airplane exactly above an oil well in the sea 150 KMS away from the March 5, 2004 incident location?

Of course you will never explain these issues because they are the tip of the iceberg. So finally after all those screams, fallacies, allegations, accusations and pretentious statements by Franz Navarrete and devoted followers trying to disqualify the legitimate Campeche case, look at the disapointing ending to his long discredit campaign, a public exposure of himself as a common hoaxer.

You still want to support him Fine. Continue living along with those ilussions but don't insult our intelligence.

Santiago

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 4](#)

## Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 23:39:26 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 08:17:09 -0500  
Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

>From: Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo <[ufomiami.nul](mailto:ufomiami.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 10:30:18 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 01 Jan 2006 15:13:03 -0400  
>>Subject: Underwater USOs Documentary

>>Probably the best database for USOs in this field is run by Carl  
>>Feindt in Delaware. He was interviewed for the US History  
>>Channel documentary but his name isn't even mentioned. Bill  
>>Birnes and Preston Dennet and Kathryn Morgan get a mention  
>>however. Never heard of the last two. The others are a given.

>I bet they do not mention the famous Trindade Case, on Jan 16,  
1958. When a Brazilian Navy crew witnessed a USO fly-by.

Hi Virgilio,

If you go to Carl Feindt's site:

<http://www.waterufo.net/menu.htm>

You will see that case listed but under construction [U.C.]. So  
I guess Carl didn't miss it.

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 4](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Morton

From: Dave Morton <[Marspyrs.nul](mailto:Marspyrs.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 03:15:23 EST  
Fwd Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 08:19:04 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Morton

>From: Cathy Reason <[Cathym.nul](mailto:Cathym.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 30 Dec 2005 20:44:02 -0000  
>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>From: Joe McGonagle <[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 29 Dec 2005 16:15:54 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>Unless I am suffering from a radical misperception, I think  
>>people are overly impressed by the so-called Fermi paradox. Even  
>>setting aside the issue as to whether or not UFOs represent ET  
>>visitation, here are just a few suggestions which overcome the  
>>perceived paradox;

<snip>

>I think this rather misses the point of the Fermi paradox (not  
>to mention its subtlety and power) which is that it puts  
>boundary constraints on the product of the Drake equation. This  
>effectively rules out most of the scenarios you mention, not  
>because they are impossible, but because they are \*unstable\* -  
>that is, along with the classical sci-fi, "Star Trek" and SETI  
>scenarios, they represent unstable equilibrium states in the  
>evolution of the galaxy. It only takes a single perturbation, in  
>the form of one aggressive, successful colonizing civilization  
>to emerge in the galaxy, at any time from the beginning of the  
>universe up to about a billion or so years ago, and the  
>equilibrium is destroyed.

>There are only two stable solutions to the Fermi paradox - one  
>in which intelligent life in our galaxy is unique or vanishingly  
>rare, and one in which the galaxy is already fully colonized.  
>These can be regarded as attractors to which all intermediate  
>states will inevitably evolve.

>Cathy

I see nothing subtle or powerful in the Fermi paradox; it is a  
simple case of making a bad assumption. When assumptions are bad  
(wrong), paradoxes and bad science can result.

The notion that most of Joe McGonagle's scenarios are unstable  
and untenable sounds to me like another set of assumptions.

The fact is, "they" visit here (I've seen them). Whether it's  
our own galaxy or other galaxies they hail from, they're here.  
We don't know why, when, how often, and from where (except for  
the information provided by the Marjorie Fish starmap).  
Everything else is theory, conjecture and assumption.

Conjecture is fine if done using known facts as a base. I love  
it. It expands the mind and it's fun. But when doing it, let's  
remember that "they're already here", and Fermi's Paradox is an  
obsolete cliché which should never have been taken too seriously  
in the first place.

The man was wrong and uninformed on this subject, and made a

flippant, offhanded comment just as anyone else might. Let's open the windows and expand our minds past the boundaries of dear old Drs. Fermi and Drake, and the assumptions involving stable and unstable equilibrium states.

Since we have precious little information about our visitors, past and present, conjecturing about ancient, buried, advanced outposts - from hundreds of thousands of years ago - is legitimate and heady stuff, as one example of many. It's logical because we know they might have been here in the deep past, and doesn't require any Drakish or Star Trekish or perturbational assumptions, and can yield interesting ideas and questions more useful and meaty than putting bounds on theoretical equations and cranking out possibilities of ET colonization, it seems to me.

Dave Morton

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 4](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox -

From: Ray Dickenson <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 10:01:09 +0000  
Fwd Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 08:22:17 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox -

>From: Cathy Reason <[CathyM.nul](mailto:CathyM.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 18:01:46 -0000  
>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>Maybe so - but I wasn't intending to do any sort of  
>sophisticated analysis, but to illustrate a rather general point  
>about equilibrium states.

>If it doesn't help to think of the Fermi paradox in terms of  
>dynamical systems formalism, however, then there's a simple  
>remedy - just don't think of it in that way.

Hi Cathy,

Obviously you're right to look for a mechanism indicating what to expect - how about the rules of morphogenesis (shape-deciding) that Turing was studying? Not only do they lead to realistic outcomes for species (inc. extinctions) but are also extremely sensitive to early conditions - as surviving galactic cultures would have been.

Earth example - maybe 100 million years ago all mammals were "shrews" of the undergrowth & trees, eating berries, grubs and the occasional dead lizard. Some of these "shrews" then made one of these choices:-

a) to monopolize an easy (insect) resource, thus an 'attractor' inevitably leads to long-nosed, shovel-handed 'ant-eater' types;

b) to kill live-meat, this attractor leads to fangs & claws, a subsequent choice for agility or strength leading to pussy cats or tigers;

c) not to specialize, but co-operate, which leads to intelligence, since it's not an 'easy option'. Depending on time of choice this has led to us primates or to hive / nest cultures (a big nest or colony 'thinks' - see "superants" in Google).

Being locked-in to monopoly or murder doesn't seem to benefit Earth animals in the long term: ant-eaters and tigers don't seem to have much of a future just now.

But co-operating humans (and ants / termites) are on an 'altruist survivor' route - one lane for intelligent individuals, another lane for "hive" cultures.

[Think A R Wallace wrote similar rule or expected outcome into his "real evolution" theory.]

I believe we can extend those results to galactic conditions - that long term survival of inter-planetary species has followed this same dynamic and that we could expect (except at very early times) to find intelligent co-operators watching over or researching late evolvers like us.

[Their body-shapes should be a strong indicator, provided the beings are still organic (and provided that "hive" cultures haven't won out).]

Whichever, a few billion years lead in technology completely invalidates the `Fermi paradox' - "We simply would not know they were here unless they wanted us to" - Profs. Jack Cohen & Ian Stewart in "Evolving the Alien".

Cheers Ray D

BTW - it seems monopolist corporates & usurers, and murderous mil/politicos also don't have a long-term future within a species, since these are both `easy options' leading away from intelligence and adaptability.

RD

~~~~~  
"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com>  
~~~~~

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 4](#)

## Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -

From: **Martin Shough** <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 10:26:11 -0000  
Fwd Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 08:23:33 -0500  
Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -

>From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 14:57:23 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process

>>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 2 Jan 2006 19:48:30 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process

><snip>

>>125 MHz to 8 GHz is way above the auditory region. Audio  
>>frequencies are KHz. These are VHF-SHF radio frequencies.  
>>Presumably the idea is that oscillations are stimulated in the  
>>ear or brain, or wherever, by audio frequency AM/FM modulation  
>>of a microwave carrier frequency?

>As a ham radio operator for the past 50 years, I know about  
>audio vs. microwave frequency ranges. The original article  
>seemed to be referencing the microwave audio effect, which, when  
>it carries voice is called "voice to skull" or "V2K" in American  
>military jargon. (Ref: The U.S. Army's online thesaurus.)

Yes, this is apparently what was being referred to by Dr.  
Fernandes.

>I was interested in what the originator was trying to say,  
>that's all. I'm still not clear on that.

Dr. Fernandes mentioned that auditory effects similar to a bee-  
buzz of a few hundred Hertz are similar to frequencies reported  
in cases of microwave auditory stimulation. You responded: "200  
- 400 Hz is way-y-y-y below the microwave region. Dr. Allen  
Frey's experiments explored microwave auditory effects from  
around 125 MHz up into the 8,000 MHz range", indicating that you  
saw some inconsistency here. I'm reminding you that, as with any  
em signal, the carrier frequency and the audio frequency are two  
different things.

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 4](#)

## Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -

From: James Smith <[zeus001002.nul](mailto:zeus001002.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 15:30:51 -0500 (GMT-05:00)  
Fwd Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 08:24:28 -0500  
Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -

>From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 14:57:23 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process

>>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 2 Jan 2006 19:48:30 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process

><snip>

>>125 MHz to 8 GHz is way above the auditory region. Audio  
>>frequencies are KHz. These are VHF-SHF radio frequencies.  
>>Presumably the idea is that oscillations are stimulated in the  
>>ear or brain, or wherever, by audio frequency AM/FM modulation  
>>of a microwave carrier frequency?

>As a ham radio operator for the past 50 years, I know about  
>audio vs. microwave frequency ranges. The original article  
>seemed to be referencing the microwave audio effect, which, when  
>it carries voice is called "voice to skull" or "V2K" in American  
>military jargon. (Ref: The U.S. Army's online thesaurus.)

>I was interested in what the originator was trying to say,  
>that's all. I'm still not clear on that.

I suspect that he means that alien technology could have  
sent the sounds in to the heads of the folk there.

>One series of Dr. Allen Frey experiments dealing with the  
>microwave auditory effect found that somewhere between .3MHz  
>and 3,000 MHz was about the range where microwave V2K was  
>easiest to generate.

I was once an avid fan of his research. There were patents  
on this tech for "hearing aids"(bypassing the ear).

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 4](#)

## Further Information On UFO In The Road

From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 19:49:34 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 09:22:21 -0500  
Subject: Further Information On UFO In The Road

-----

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
January 3, 2006

Source: El Liberal Digital  
Date: Tuesday, January 3, 2006

Loreto Woman Photographed What She Thought Was A UFO

LORETO - Miryan Coronel is one of the many residents of Loreto who return home to celebrate the holidays with family. She has been living in Chubut for over 20 years and on December 29 undertook her yearly journey, not knowing that 19 hours after leaving, she and her fellow travelers would be witnesses to the takeoff of what she described as an unidentified flying object.

According to the protagonist, the event took place on the road linking the provinces of Cordoba and San Luis in the vicinity of Mount Uritorco in the middle of the Cordoba mountains. This site is well-known as the location for many events related to the manifestation of strange lights associated to extraterrestrial craft.

"At two o'clock in the morning we boarded the bus belonging to the Andesmar bus line with my sons Santiago, 8, and Angel, 4. We occupied seats 40, 41 and 42. After nearly 20 hours of travel, the first stars began to appear through the bus's windows. The time was around 21:45 hours," Miryan notes, later adding: "Suddenly the bus stopped, and when I looked down the aisle, I saw an immense light in the middle of the road."

"I immediately jumped out of the seat and saw that my sons were asleep, along with many passengers. I moved to the front of the bus and saw the cone-shaped light in the middle of the road, and got off with the bus drivers and a passenger from Salta who had a camcorder. At no time did I feel fear -- on the contrary, I was engulfed by a sensation of peace and curiosity, to the extent that I began taking photos with my cellphone camera. When I tried to get closer, one of the bus drivers held me back," she explained.

Proceeding with her account, Miryan says: "Suddenly the object, that was enveloped in a bluish white light, began spinning like a top and rising vertically, lighting up the landscape as though it was daytime. In the measure that the giant spinning top rose, it gave off multicolored lights in intense phosphorescent tones before vanishing into a brilliant spot. I immediately began perceiving a smell of heated metal, combining with a very particular odor similar to sulfur."

According to Miryan, the event lasted only a few minutes and no sound was heard. Upon returning to the bus, they exchanged remarks with the people who shared the experience and with the drivers, who said that they had always seen strange lights in the area, but nothing to compare with the experience on the night of December 29, 2005.

"After the chat I returned to my seat and fell into a deep

sleep. I slept until we reached the Loreto bus terminal. They had to wake me up to get me off the bus," said the passenger of this highly unusual journey."

-----

Translation (c) 2005 Scott Corrales  
Institute of Hispanic Ufology (IHU)  
Special thanks to Christian Quintero, Planeta UFO

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 4](#)

## Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

From: Carl Feindt <[waterufo.nul](mailto:waterufo.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 05:34:39 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 09:28:19 -0500  
Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 08:17:09 -0500  
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 23:39:26 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>From: Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo <[ufomiami.nul](mailto:ufomiami.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 10:30:18 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Sun, 01 Jan 2006 15:13:03 -0400  
>>>Subject: Underwater USOs Documentary

>>>Probably the best database for USOs in this field is run by Carl  
>>>Feindt in Delaware. He was interviewed for the US History  
>>>Channel documentary but his name isn't even mentioned. Bill  
>>>Birnes and Preston Dennet and Kathryn Morgan get a mention  
>>>however. Never heard of the last two. The others are a given.

>>I bet they do not mention the famous Trindade Case, on Jan 16,  
>1958. When a Brazilian Navy crew witnessed a USO fly-by.

>Hi Virgilio,

>If you go to Carl Feindt's site:

><http://www.waterufo.net/menu.htm>

>You will see that case listed but under construction [U.C.]. So  
>I guess Carl didn't miss it.

Thanks Don for your kind comments on the omission of my name in  
the History Channels credits. Perhaps they decided not to use  
me.

Re: Trindade Case - Francis (NICAP) gave me permission to use  
his accumulated text and photos to enter onto my site. I've just  
been too busy to do so, as I claw my way to 1,000 cases (965  
right now), but I will get to it.

Best

Carl - H2(UF)O

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]



[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 4](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 13:43:32 -0000  
Fwd Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 09:30:18 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Shough

>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 20:28:51 +0000  
>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>From: Cathy Reason <[CathyM.nul](mailto:CathyM.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 18:01:46 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>>From: Ray Dickenson <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 00:47:03 +0000  
>>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>>But think Cathy has a problem using chaos theory for things  
>>>other than blindly re-acting agents (like the predator-prey  
>>>cycles).

>>Maybe so - but I wasn't intending to do any sort of  
>>sophisticated analysis, but to illustrate a rather general point  
>>about equilibrium states.

>>If it doesn't help to think of the Fermi paradox in terms of  
>>dynamical systems formalism, however, then there's a simple  
>>remedy - just don't think of it in that way.

>Cathy and List,

>Maybe I'm just a stubborn, linear-thinking Occamite, but  
>thinking about a totally off-the-wall, ill-informed, Scientific  
>Wild-Ass Guess (SWAG) in terms of "dynamical syetems formalism"  
>or any other elaborate theoretical scheme seems to me overkill  
>and absolutely unnecessary.

Dick and List

For what it's worth I think Cathy's formalisation of the issue  
was very interesting and well expressed, and the language of  
dynamical systems seems a useful tool for thinking about it.

>According to the historical record, Fermi simply threw out the  
>question "Where is everyone?" informally, and the utterly  
>unscientific expression has received far more attention and  
>almost reverent respect than it deserves.

Well this was why Cathy's expression of the idea was  
interesting. It wasn't just "thrown out" but was an attempt to  
generalise the problem in terms of dynamical principles that are  
widely applied to thinking about problems of analogous kinds. If  
our criticism of Fermi is that he didn't bother to formalise his  
notion carefully, how can we criticise Cathy because she does?

>It's premise clearly  
>is that no one has visited us, but where is any evidence that  
>Fermi actually studied, or was even aware of, substantial UFO  
>reports as possible evidence of ET visits?

Fermi's question remains interesting even though we take UFO

reports seriously. Even if we strongly suspect something analogous to ET visitation as the nearest notion within our scientific purview, this doesn't mean that we know enough about the UFOs or the cosmos to grasp what this really means. Fermi's "paradox" isn't just about "Why aren't they here?" but about "Why aren't they \_everywhere\_".

"They" (whoever or whatever "they" are in our particular case) could well be here, and Fermi still has a point. Why don't we appear to see astrophysical evidence of Dyson spheres, or other massive engineering on planetary, stellar, or even galactic scales? Why isn't observable space saturated with material and informational artefacts of all kinds?

Not that we should see such things; but we might, given the potential for billions of years of scientific and technological evolution. That we don't see them is an interesting fact, not an "off-the-wall wild-assed guess".

As somebody said, "In science, the silly question is often the first intimation of some totally new development." We can't be certain that such questions are irrelevant either to us or to our "visitors". You may feel certain, but that is just a wild-assed guess in my opinion.

When we look around we find that the universe is ordered in certain interesting respects that we don't understand. In general, where does technology end and natural order begin? Can we even define a distinction that has universal meaning? What are the ultimate "limits to growth"? Ultimately, what is the universe, and what is the true equilibrium state of a universe with intelligent agents in it?

I think attempts to think clearly about difficult and subtle problems are always welcome. They're rare enough.

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 5](#)

## Mexican Airforce Case Redux [was: Comments On US

From: James Smith <[zeus001002.nul](mailto:zeus001002.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 10:31:48 -0500 (EST)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 10:58:35 -0500  
Subject: Mexican Airforce Case Redux [was: Comments On US

>From: Santiago Yturria Garza <[syturria.nul](mailto:syturria.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 00:13:11 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Comments On US History's 'Brazil's Roswell'?

>>From: James Smith <[zeus001002.nul](mailto:zeus001002.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 11:21:05 -0500 (GMT-05:00)  
>>Subject: Re: Comments On US History's 'Brazil's Roswell'?

>>I did not see this 'fraud' but I think any attempt  
>>to re-enact the flight should be encouraged, while  
>>taking into account the limitations of any such re-  
>>creation, such as weather (humidity, cloud cover,  
>>>wind pattern). Just because they came up with a  
>>result you disagreed is irrelevant.

>It really embarrassed yourself to see the false and ridiculous  
>exposure of the Campeche case made by hoaxer Alejandro  
>Franz Navarrete in complicity with the National Geographic  
>Television who never pretended to fly over the actual  
>sighting location following the March 5, 2004 Merlin C26A  
>flight path, in order to recreate the incident according to the  
>necessary requirements we all know would have to be  
>accomplished to establish the myth of the oil wells theory.

Hoaxer? Very silly of you to say that. All Captain Franz has done is gather the data to illustrate the likely cause of part of the FLIR video. A number of us (skeptics who really would like to have absolute proof) came to the FLIR video with hopefulness that this finally was the ultimate proof of "alien" UFO reality. Sadly, it turns out that the oil flare theory explained the FLIR video almost completely. No radar contacts with the UFO groups/fleet, only with a single FLIR light prior to the "fleet" which may never be explained. (My guess is that it is an airplane but if you or anyone wants to place the mantle of UFO or alien ship on it, I really don't care.)

As I said I never saw the show, but will try to get a copy and review.

The oil well/flare theory is no myth. As we have completely shown, and as you refuse to understand or review, the azimuth angle/FOV of the FLIR camera provides an excellent reference to point at the collection of oil flares at platforms off the coast.

Yes, I know people bring up the elevation question time and again. It would have been nice to have the calibration of the elevation setting and the aircraft angle of attack data to resolve this. However, when I encoded the flare positions into a 3D model and oriented the aircraft camera with the right azimuth and field of view, I get the same light pattern as shown on the FLIR video. It can't get much simpler than this. Do it yourself if you don't believe me!

>Instead they positioned the rented airplane - with no  
>FLIR camera 150 KMs. away from the location,  
>over an oil plataform in the sea and Franz took

>some film with a conventional videocamera just to  
>say "This is an oil well", this is what the FLIR camera  
>filmed. What a cheap trick to justify his ludicrous  
>illusion.

Uh, well what is wrong with flying up to one of these oil platforms to illustrate to the public what was supposed to generate the FLIR image from a long distance away. You are somewhat hard on Captain Franz (assuming your description of the videography is true). In illustrating something, it is nice to get a close up shot to explain things.

>We may wonder why Franz did not follow the original  
>C26A path? Why Franz deceived National Geographic  
>by placing the recreation flight so far away, in the  
>Cantarel zone? Very simple, because Franz would  
>never be able to prove his theory, not by means of  
>a legitimate and professional investigation.

I will have to see the show you mention to judge. Maybe Captain Franz can explain. But your deduction that it was because he could not prove the oil flare theory is baseless.

>As I see Alejandro Franz Navarrete lost the opportunity  
>of his life to prove once for all more than a year of  
>allegations regarding the Campeche case.  
>We were anxious to see Franz Navarrete for the first time  
>on national TV in the US proving his debated theory of  
>the alleged oilwell fires supposedly mistaken by the C26A  
>FLIR and Radar on March 5, 2004.

Again, bull. The oil flares were never claimed to cause the radar contacts. This is more proof of your weak understanding of the evidence. Maccabee has a detailed time phasing of this data.

>However Franz's exposition in the documentary resulted  
>as expected, in complete dissapointment - a total fiasco.  
>In the end Franz proved nothing and exposed himself as  
>a fraud. These are facts.

A fraud??? Ridiculous!

Seems like you have an axe to grind. Some bad blood between you and him. In every way, Captain Franz has done all he could as a private individual to investigate this case.

>The evidence was presented on national television.  
>There's no way to deny the failed attempt to disqualify  
>the SEDENA case trying to deceive the people by a  
>>false recreation flight.

If all he did was fly directly over an oil platform, then that is not a false recreation, it is just no recreation. If they flew away from the oil platform and tried to see whether the oil platform showed up on the camera at various distances, then that is an experiment, not a recreation.

Clearly, a nice expensive FLIR video camera would be nice, but maybe they could not afford it. Who knows?

Personally, it seems hard to duplicate the video unless you have a motion compensation video camera system/mount which allows the very narrow field of view that the FLIR had.

>You are now mentioning "limitations" for a  
>recreation flight such as humidity, cloud cover,  
>wind pattern? Give us a break! Now it's clear you  
>are trying to be smart here sir.

I sent a request to the Mexican Air Force (per Maccabee's request) to duplicate the event (flight path, time of day, and weather conditions).

If the wind pattern is such that the plumes of the oil flares block the infrared signature, then that isn't "fair". Obviously dense cloud cover would not be a "fair". Humidity would seem to affect long range visibility too. All I asked was that these factors be considered in planning the test flight. They did not do this.

As to me being smart, I don't have to try, I am smart. But the

considerations I bring up don't require smartness.

>Can you explain why Franz didn't follow the C26A  
>flight path as required?

I have not corresponded with him in many months but I assume the explanation I gave above may be the case.

>Why Franz has always ignored  
>the RADAR issue in his investigation?

Because it is irrelevant. If you had some nice radar data recordings, radar might be worth something in this case. But the "radar" was based only on the audio statements. Not too impressive. Anyway, as I said before, if you read carefully the transcription and match it to the FLIR video (as Maccabee has done and kindly provided to the community), you see the radar contacts don't occur during the famous UFO "fleet" video. They occurs immediately before this segment and after this segment. If you want to claim there are alien UFOs before or after the famous FLIR video segment, I don't care. I cannot prove it and these parts of the video are inconclusive to me. (Most importantly, I cannot show it to anyone and get any respect if I try to say it is a bonafide ET UFO video.)

If you want to use the RADAR, then get us some nice radar tapes, not highly suspect error prone audio readoffs (which are highly subject to radar reader interpretation).

>Why Franz decided to position the airplane  
>exactly above an oil well in the sea 150 KMs away  
>from the March 5, 2004 incident location?

As I said, if he wanted to show the likely cause then it makes sense to fly over/by the cause. If he flies away from the site and videos it to see if it shows up at various distances, then this experiment is also just for illustration. Even if the exact location of the aircraft does not match the MAF aircraft, it does not matter because the goal is to perform an experiment. Select a representative oil platform and do the best video comparison you can. Its not a duplication/recreation of the flight but an experiment.

>Of course you will never explain these issues because  
>they are the tip of the iceberg.

I did.

>So finally after all  
>those screams, fallacies, allegations, accusations and  
>pretentious statements by Franz Navarrete and devoted  
>followers trying to disqualify the legitimate Campeche  
>case, look at the disapointing ending to his long  
>discredit campaign, a public exposure of himself as a  
>common hoaxer.

Too bad you "pro-alien-UFO-at-all-cost" folk must freak out when sound-minded, conscientious, sober, serious and rational people try to help with the fascinating field of inquiry of UFOs. The famous Campeche "fleets" video segment is clearly and completely oil flares. Some purists wish to fly their own FLIR aircraft around and prove/disprove it. Fine, if you got the money. But the data I gathered and examined prove the oil flare theory.

As to ignoring data, there are many FLIR lights in the early part of the FLIR video that no one mentions or cares to talk about. I have shown that some are oil flares that were on land, some were buildings in towns and a few unknown stationary FLIR lights that no one evey bothered to go to even though I have given the exact latitude and longitude of these locations. These caused no interest. Why not? Not sexy enough? You folk only care about the "fleet" video segment. You have to look at the entire video to understand it, not just the "wow" part that impressed us all originally.

>You still want to support him Fine. Continue living along  
>with those ilussions but don't insult our intelligence.

Your intelligence insults itself. No help needed by me.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 5](#)

## Re: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell' -

From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 15:45:30 -0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 11:00:04 -0500  
Subject: Re: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell' -

>From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 18:36:39 -0700  
>Subject: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell'

>>From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)

>Brigadier General Gordon Williams, Commander of Bentwaters  
>and/or Woodbridge Air Bases and 81st TFW (Tactical Fighter  
>Wing): He can neither confirm or deny the alleged events.

>Lieutenant Colonel Charles Halt, Deputy Base Commander of  
>Bentwaters Air Base: His personal tape record (14 or 16 minutes  
>?) survives. He wrote the well-known memorandum addressed to MOD  
>UK, a diluted account speaking of lights and few other details.  
>His consensus view reached with TSGT (Technical Sergeant)  
>Penniston there were at least two landing sites. LTC Halt  
>confirms one or more beams of light from the objects probed  
>areas of the base.

>TSGT Jim Penniston, SP (Security Police): His notebook with  
>entries describing the events survives. The events altered his  
>writing style and he felt electricity in the air. He heard that  
>radar returns were noted. He touched the craft. Off duty he made  
>plaster casts of the three identical ground impressions each 12  
>inches wide and 1.5 inches deep. He has nightmares. In September  
>1994, he underwent regression hypnosis and spoke of sodium  
>pentothal and questioning by DS8. DS8 is a civilian office of  
>the RAF (Royal Air Force).

>A1C (Airman First Class) Larry Warren, SP: He wrote his personal  
>account of these events in Left At East Gate. He recalls  
>sodium pentothal and hypnosis sessions. LTC Halt seems to accept  
>the use of these methods by OSI (USAF Office of Special  
>Investigation) and other unknown entities. He signed a "brief  
>debrief", a document acknowledging classified events which the  
>signer is not to divulge under penalty of imprisonment and fine.  
>He remembers hearing "bullets are cheap". He suffers from PTSD  
>(post traumatic stress disorder).

>Airman [?] Edward Cabansag, SP: He signed a brief debrief and  
>heard "bullets are cheap".

I wonder who is supposed to have uttered this over-used phrase  
"bullets are cheap", in relation to Rendlesham, and what is its  
implied meaning?

Are these two telling us that their lives were under threat  
(from some military organisation) if they talked too much about  
the affair?

Larry Warren, for example, has been talking about Rendlesham for  
a good many years, has written a book (a copy of which went to  
Tony Blair plus some cabinet ministers and MPs) and has more  
than done his bit over publicising Rendlesham, yet he is  
certainly still with us and has, I believe, settled in the UK.



I suspect that, contrary to the quotes, ammunition is quite expensive. Try asking a gun owner.

CDA

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 5](#)

## Re: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell' -

From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 15:45:30 -0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 11:12:29 -0500  
Subject: Re: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell' -

>From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 18:36:39 -0700  
>Subject: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell'

>>From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)

>Brigadier General Gordon Williams, Commander of Bentwaters  
>and/or Woodbridge Air Bases and 81st TFW (Tactical Fighter  
>Wing): He can neither confirm or deny the alleged events.

>Lieutenant Colonel Charles Halt, Deputy Base Commander of  
>Bentwaters Air Base: His personal tape record (14 or 16 minutes  
>?) survives. He wrote the well-known memorandum addressed to MOD  
>UK, a diluted account speaking of lights and few other details.  
>His consensus view reached with TSGT (Technical Sergeant)  
>Penniston there were at least two landing sites. LTC Halt  
>confirms one or more beams of light from the objects probed  
>areas of the base.

>TSGT Jim Penniston, SP (Security Police): His notebook with  
>entries describing the events survives. The events altered his  
>writing style and he felt electricity in the air. He heard that  
>radar returns were noted. He touched the craft. Off duty he made  
>plaster casts of the three identical ground impressions each 12  
>inches wide and 1.5 inches deep. He has nightmares. In September  
>1994, he underwent regression hypnosis and spoke of sodium  
>pentothal and questioning by DS8. DS8 is a civilian office of  
>the RAF (Royal Air Force).

>A1C (Airman First Class) Larry Warren, SP: He wrote his personal  
>account of these events in Left At East Gate. He recalls  
>sodium pentothal and hypnosis sessions. LTC Halt seems to accept  
>the use of these methods by OSI (USAF Office of Special  
>Investigation) and other unknown entities. He signed a "brief  
>debrief", a document acknowledging classified events which the  
>signer is not to divulge under penalty of imprisonment and fine.  
>He remembers hearing "bullets are cheap". He suffers from PTSD  
>(post traumatic stress disorder).

>Airman [?] Edward Cabansag, SP: He signed a brief debrief and  
>heard "bullets are cheap".

I wonder who is supposed to have uttered this over-used phrase  
"bullets are cheap", in relation to Rendlesham, and what is its  
implied meaning?

Are these two telling us that their lives were under threat  
(from some military organisation) if they talked too much about  
the affair?

Larry Warren, for example, has been talking about Rendlesham for  
a good many years, has written a book (a copy of which went to  
Tony Blair plus some cabinet ministers and MPs) and has more  
than done his bit over publicising Rendlesham, yet he is  
certainly still with us and has, I believe, settled in the UK.

I suspect that, contrary to the quotes, ammunition is quite expensive. Try asking a gun owner.

CDA

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 5](#)

## Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens - Boone

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 2 Jan 2006 10:55:11 EST  
Fwd Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 12:14:08 -0500  
Subject: Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens - Boone

>From: Dave Haith <[visions.nul](mailto:visions.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 1 Jan 2006 20:35:51 -0000  
>Subject: Graham Hancock & Aliens

>Because of the alien connection and the fact that this book has  
>been serialised in the UK's Daily Mail, I think Hancock's  
>theories deserve an airing on the List.

<snip>

>-----

>Source: The Scotsman - Edinburgh, Scotland

><http://news.scotsman.com/features.cfm?id68802005>

>Tue 11 Oct 2005

>Drugs Art And The Aliens Who Lit Our Way To Civilisation

>Anna Smyth

>Graham Hancock is breathless. He's telling me about his first  
>hallucinogenic trip in the Amazon jungle, and he just can't get  
>the words out fast enough. The former journalist and now  
>bestselling science writer spent five weeks living with  
>indigenous Indian shamans in Peru, where he ingested a sacred  
>plant drug known as ayahuasca.

<snip>

>What he has found - and what forms the basis of his new hefty  
>tome - is a theory that to many will sound absurd. He believes  
>that when shamans and drug users experience these  
>hallucinations, they are actually tapping into a parallel  
>universe. The visions - be they of fairies, elves or aliens -  
>are real, they exist all the time, and they want to communicate  
>with us.

Okay, here's where I draw the line. Promoting narcotics?

No, this is highly irresponsible and dangerous no end.

What's he going to do next, hold sponsored tours into the wilds  
of S. America for people looking for a new high?

Next thing you know they'll be selling this stuff on the streets  
of urban regions and combined with the other poisons we'll have  
a new excuse by the skeptibunkers that all UFO witnesses and  
abductees are suffering from dope.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 5](#)

## Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens - Boone

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 2 Jan 2006 10:55:11 EST  
Fwd Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 12:14:42 -0500  
Subject: Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens - Boone

>From: Dave Haith <[visions.nul](mailto:visions.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 1 Jan 2006 20:35:51 -0000  
>Subject: Graham Hancock & Aliens

>Because of the alien connection and the fact that this book has  
>been serialised in the UK's Daily Mail, I think Hancock's  
>theories deserve an airing on the List.

<snip>

>-----

>Source: The Scotsman - Edinburgh, Scotland

><http://news.scotsman.com/features.cfm?id68802005>

>Tue 11 Oct 2005

>Drugs Art And The Aliens Who Lit Our Way To Civilisation

>Anna Smyth

>Graham Hancock is breathless. He's telling me about his first  
>hallucinogenic trip in the Amazon jungle, and he just can't get  
>the words out fast enough. The former journalist and now  
>bestselling science writer spent five weeks living with  
>indigenous Indian shamans in Peru, where he ingested a sacred  
>plant drug known as ayahuasca.

<snip>

>What he has found - and what forms the basis of his new hefty  
>tome - is a theory that to many will sound absurd. He believes  
>that when shamans and drug users experience these  
>hallucinations, they are actually tapping into a parallel  
>universe. The visions - be they of fairies, elves or aliens -  
>are real, they exist all the time, and they want to communicate  
>with us.

Okay, here's where I draw the line. Promoting narcotics?

No, this is highly irresponsible and dangerous no end.

What's he going to do next, hold sponsored tours into the wilds  
of S. America for people looking for a new high?

Next thing you know they'll be selling this stuff on the streets  
of urban regions and combined with the other poisons we'll have  
a new excuse by the skeptibunkers that all UFO witnesses and  
abductees are suffering from dope.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 5](#)

## Re: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell' -

From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 15:45:30 -0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 12:56:05 -0500  
Subject: Re: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell' -

>From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 18:36:39 -0700  
>Subject: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell'

>>From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)

>Brigadier General Gordon Williams, Commander of Bentwaters  
>and/or Woodbridge Air Bases and 81st TFW (Tactical Fighter  
>Wing): He can neither confirm or deny the alleged events.

>Lieutenant Colonel Charles Halt, Deputy Base Commander of  
>Bentwaters Air Base: His personal tape record (14 or 16 minutes  
>?) survives. He wrote the well-known memorandum addressed to MOD  
>UK, a diluted account speaking of lights and few other details.  
>His consensus view reached with TSGT (Technical Sergeant)  
>Penniston there were at least two landing sites. LTC Halt  
>confirms one or more beams of light from the objects probed  
>areas of the base.

>TSGT Jim Penniston, SP (Security Police): His notebook with  
>entries describing the events survives. The events altered his  
>writing style and he felt electricity in the air. He heard that  
>radar returns were noted. He touched the craft. Off duty he made  
>plaster casts of the three identical ground impressions each 12  
>inches wide and 1.5 inches deep. He has nightmares. In September  
>1994, he underwent regression hypnosis and spoke of sodium  
>pentothal and questioning by DS8. DS8 is a civilian office of  
>the RAF (Royal Air Force).

>A1C (Airman First Class) Larry Warren, SP: He wrote his personal  
>account of these events in Left At East Gate. He recalls  
>sodium pentothal and hypnosis sessions. LTC Halt seems to accept  
>the use of these methods by OSI (USAF Office of Special  
>Investigation) and other unknown entities. He signed a "brief  
>debrief", a document acknowledging classified events which the  
>signer is not to divulge under penalty of imprisonment and fine.  
>He remembers hearing "bullets are cheap". He suffers from PTSD  
>(post traumatic stress disorder).

>Airman [?] Edward Cabansag, SP: He signed a brief debrief and  
>heard "bullets are cheap".

I wonder who is supposed to have uttered this over-used phrase  
"bullets are cheap", in relation to Rendlesham, and what is its  
implied meaning?

Are these two telling us that their lives were under threat  
(from some military organisation) if they talked too much about  
the affair?

Larry Warren, for example, has been talking about Rendlesham for  
a good many years, has written a book (a copy of which went to  
Tony Blair plus some cabinet ministers and MPs) and has more  
than done his bit over publicising Rendlesham, yet he is  
certainly still with us and has, I believe, settled in the UK.



I suspect that, contrary to the quotes, ammunition is quite expensive. Try asking a gun owner.

CDA

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 5](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Hall

From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 18:46:30 +0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 12:57:26 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Hall

>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 13:43:32 -0000  
>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 20:28:51 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>>From: Cathy Reason <[CathyM.nul](mailto:CathyM.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 18:01:46 -0000  
>>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox  
<snip>

>>Cathy and List,

>>Maybe I'm just a stubborn, linear-thinking Occamite, but  
>>thinking about a totally off-the-wall, ill-informed, Scientific  
>>Wild-Ass Guess (SWAG) in terms of "dynamical systems formalism"  
>>or any other elaborate theoretical scheme seems to me overkill  
>>and absolutely unnecessary.

>Dick and List

>For what it's worth I think Cathy's formalisation of the issue  
>was very interesting and well expressed, and the language of  
>dynamical systems seems a useful tool for thinking about it.

>>According to the historical record, Fermi simply threw out the  
>>question "Where is everyone?" informally, and the utterly  
>>unscientific expression has received far more attention and  
>>almost reverent respect than it deserves.

>Well this was why Cathy's expression of the idea was  
>interesting. It wasn't just "thrown out" but was an attempt to  
>generalise the problem in terms of dynamical principles that are  
>widely applied to thinking about problems of analogous kinds. If  
>our criticism of Fermi is that he didn't bother to formalise his  
>notion carefully, how can we criticise Cathy because she does?

>>It's premise clearly  
>>is that no one has visited us, but where is any evidence that  
>>Fermi actually studied, or was even aware of, substantial UFO  
>>reports as possible evidence of ET visits?

>Fermi's question remains interesting even though we take UFO  
>reports seriously. Even if we strongly suspect something  
>analogous to ET visitation as the nearest notion within our  
>scientific purview, this doesn't mean that we know enough about  
>the UFOs or the cosmos to grasp what this really means. Fermi's  
>"paradox" isn't just about "Why aren't they here?" but about  
>"Why aren't they everywhere".

Pardon, me Martin, but this is just baloney. How could Fermi or  
anyone else verify or falsify "Why aren't they everywhere?" And  
what does colonization have to do with anything in the first  
place? It is just another blatant assumption in the Fermi

utterance that evidence of ETH visitors would come only via colonization. How about random explorers? ETs off on a joy ride and chancing by? ETs detecting our atomic weapon explosions and coming to investigate?

>"They" (whoever or whatever "they" are in our particular case)  
>could well be here, and Fermi still has a point. Why don't we  
>appear to see astrophysical evidence of Dyson spheres, or other  
>massive engineering on planetary, stellar, or even galactic  
>scales? Why isn't observable space saturated with material and  
>informational artefacts of all kinds?

What point? These are red herrings. They are far from the only methods or approaches to space travel and merely reflect human arrogance. The same is present in the initial allegedly scientific reactions to UFOs in 1947 and 1948 when consulted scientists essentially asked: "Why don't UFOs behave like we think space visitors should?" They remained aloof and didn't immediately contact us upon arrival. To me, that is a sign of intelligent ETs!

>Not that we should see such things; but we might, given the  
>potential for billions of years of scientific and technological  
>evolution. That we don't see them is an interesting fact, not an  
>"off-the-wall wild-assed guess".

Then if we don't see them, it is utterly irrelevant to the central question. And we don't even know that it is a fact as opposed to an assumption or presumption.

>As somebody said, "In science, the silly question is often the  
>first intimation of some totally new development." We can't be  
>certain that such questions are irrelevant either to us or to  
>our "visitors". You may feel certain, but that is just a wild-  
>assed guess in my opinion.

>When we look around we find that the universe is ordered in  
>certain interesting respects that we don't understand. In  
>general, where does technology end and natural order begin? Can  
>we even define a distinction that has universal meaning? What  
>are the ultimate "limits to growth"? Ultimately, what is the  
>universe, and what is the true equilibrium state of a universe  
>with intelligent agents in it?

RH: ????

>I think attempts to think clearly about difficult and subtle  
>problems are always welcome. They're rare enough.

If Cathy was attempting to frame some conceptual approach to the issues suggested by Fermi, it went right over my head and I apologize to her. However, I think Fermi presented us only with an off-the-wall, falsely and illogically stated position in the first place.

- Dick

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO Updates Main Index](#)

**UFO Updates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 5](#)

## Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -

From: Joaquim Fernandes <[j.fernand.nul](mailto:j.fernand.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 18:46:57 -0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 13:01:46 -0500  
Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -

>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 10:26:11 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process

>>From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 14:57:23 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process

>>>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Mon, 2 Jan 2006 19:48:30 -0000  
>>>Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process

>><snip>

>>>125 MHz to 8 GHz is way above the auditory region. Audio  
>>>frequencies are KHz. These are VHF-SHF radio frequencies.  
>>>Presumably the idea is that oscillations are stimulated in the  
>>>ear or brain, or wherever, by audio frequency AM/FM modulation  
>>>of a microwave carrier frequency?

>>As a ham radio operator for the past 50 years, I know about  
>>audio vs. microwave frequency ranges. The original article  
>>seemed to be referencing the microwave audio effect, which, when  
>>it carries voice is called "voice to skull" or "V2K" in American  
>>military jargon. (Ref: The U.S. Army's online thesaurus.)

>Yes, this is apparently what was being referred to by Dr.  
>Fernandes.

>>I was interested in what the originator was trying to say,  
>>that's all. I'm still not clear on that.

>Dr. Fernandes mentioned that auditory effects similar to a bee-  
>buzz of a few hundred Hertz are similar to frequencies reported  
>in cases of microwave auditory stimulation. You responded: "200  
>- 400 Hz is way-y-y-y below the microwave region. Dr. Allen  
>Frey's experiments explored microwave auditory effects from  
>around 125 MHz up into the 8,000 MHz range", indicating that you  
>saw some inconsistency here. I'm reminding you that, as with any  
>em signal, the carrier frequency and the audio frequency are two  
>different things.

The distinction made by Martin Shough between carrier  
frequencies and audio frequency seems relevant to this problem.  
In fact, if we read Dr. Allen Frey results and experiences we  
learned about such kind of humming and buzzing sounds apparently  
inside the subject's head.

Cf. Dr. Frey paper, "Human Auditory System Response To  
Modulated Electromagnetic Energy" available in the Net.

Through the literature we have a lot of alleged data referring  
those kind of "internal" buzzing hearings also produced by other  
EM frequencies. Of course, is obvious that in Fatima 1917 did  
not exist such kind of deliberated experimental apparatus.

But in fact what is important is the comparative approach with the physiological reactions of several Fatima witnesses reported ONLY when the luminous Lady allegedly "talked" with Lucia, the seer, or if we want, Lucia said that she experienced the Lady words inside her (in the head, we presume).

This buzzing detail was a forgotten minor curiosity along the religious literature around Fatima until we recovered it in our research. With comparative goals, not definitive model.

What seems important is take in account the similitude of audio frequencies with some bees buzzing sounds... giving reason to those audience in Fatima that did not invented such oddity.

Let me say that probably this year 2006 will be published the English version, by EcceNova Editions, of our anthology, Fatima And Science, with some 20 papers, from Europeans and Americans authors, including one from our colleague Raul Berenguel that deals with all the "mental induction" experiments history and some of their technical grounds and processes.

Joaquim Fernandes

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 5](#)

## Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 19:12:59 +0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 13:03:13 -0500  
Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 22:50:28 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>From: Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo <[ufomiami.nul](mailto:ufomiami.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 10:30:18 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>I bet they do not mention the famous Trindade Case, on Jan 16,  
>>1958. When a Brazilian Navy crew witnessed a USO fly-by.

>>A civilian photographer Almiro Barauna on board took a sequence  
>>of photos. In a taped interview with Dr. Hynek in Brasilia in  
>>April 1983, Barauna assured us that the UFO came from undersea.  
>>Making this an historical USO Case.

I don't want to start another dreary exchange about this fast-dissolving case, but this latest claim is just another nail in its coffin.

Barauna's story seems to change by the minute. First he's on deck when the UFO flies over, then he's in his cabin, seasick, now he's presumably on deck again watching the UFO emerge, dripping wet, from the Atlantic!

>Virgilio,

>On what possible basis could Barauna "assure" you that the UFO  
>came from undersea other than outright speculation? What  
>evidence did he adduce, other than an opinion? Please; this is a  
>strong case well known to most of us.

No it's not, it's a shambles! The primary witness and photographer seems to be making it up as he goes along.

--  
John Rimmer

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 5](#)

## UCLA Psychiatrist Lambastes Psychiatry

From: Greeg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 2 Jan 2006 10:47:22 EST  
Fwd Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 13:15:44 -0500  
Subject: UCLA Psychiatrist Lambastes Psychiatry

<http://tinyurl.com/7ec7r>

What's interesting in this story is not only does Dr. Irwin Savodnik lambastes psychiatry, he gives an example that doesn't exactly point to declarations of abductees/witnesses/experiencers but it does point to what Dr. Mack said about pathology and the subject.

This is an editorial article and Dr. Savodnik is no small fry for sure.

I'm glad he dove in and gave his professional look into this because the abductee/experiencer/contactee issue is growing fast.

I was worried that at some point there would be some mandatory drug treatment for any of us associated with the subject matter.

Perhaps a look back at what happened to abductees in the past would shed some light.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 5](#)

## Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -

From: Eleanor White <[eleonor.nul](mailto:eleonor.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 17:21:22 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 13:18:30 -0500  
Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -

>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 10:26:11 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process

<snip>

>Dr. Fernandes mentioned that auditory effects similar to a bee-  
>buzz of a few hundred Hertz are similar to frequencies reported  
>in cases of microwave auditory stimulation. You responded: "200  
>- 400 Hz is \_way-y-y-y\_ below the microwave region. Dr. Allen  
>Frey's experiments explored microwave auditory effects from  
>around 125 MHz up into the 8,000 MHz range", indicating that you  
>saw some inconsistency here. I'm reminding you that, as with any  
>em signal, the carrier frequency and the audio frequency are two  
>different things.

I clearly understand a carrier versus modulation, having dealt  
with these concepts for many years.

What I didn't understand is how a report of audio in the 200 to  
400 Hz range had anything to do with microwave audio. Or what  
source of a sufficiently powerful microwave signal might have  
been at the Fatima site in 1917.

There is a little confusion from microwave audio effects, in  
that the beginning of the phenomenon was the hearing of a  
buzzing sound in the skulls of World War II radar technicians.  
The buzz is the result of individual pulses, one single pulse  
causing a single click.

Voice is transmitted as a series of individual clicks, one click  
per zero axis crossing in a chosen direction. I.e. all crossings  
in a "downward" direction, or, all crossings in an "upward"  
direction, but not both. This is a primitive form of digital  
audio.

My reply explaining that microwave hearing is not what is in use  
today was to show that far more sophisticated equipment exists  
now. In turn, my personal opinion only, such very advanced  
equipment supports the idea that UFO-related phenomena are now  
something more than just the vivid imaginations of "true  
believers." They are happening often to people far removed from  
UFO encounters.

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)



## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 5](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Chace

From: David Chace <[davidwchace.nul](mailto:davidwchace.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 20:39:36 -0800  
Fwd Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 13:20:02 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Chace

>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 13:43:32 -0000  
>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 20:28:51 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>>From: Cathy Reason <[CathyM.nul](mailto:CathyM.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 18:01:46 -0000  
>>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>>>From: Ray Dickenson <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
>>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>>Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 00:47:03 +0000  
>>>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 13:43:32 --0000  
>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 20:28:51 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>>From: Cathy Reason <[CathyM.nul](mailto:CathyM.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 18:01:46 -0000  
>>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>>>From: Ray Dickenson <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
>>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>>Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 00:47:03 +0000  
>>>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

<snip>

>Fermi's question remains interesting even though we take  
>UFO reports seriously. Even if we strongly suspect  
>something analogous to ET visitation as the nearest notion  
>within our scientific purview, this doesn't mean that we know  
>enough about the UFOs or the cosmos to grasp what this  
>really means. Fermi's "paradox" isn't just about "Why aren't  
>they here?" but about "Why aren't they everywhere".

>"They" (whoever or whatever "they" are in our particular  
>case) could well be here, and Fermi still has a point. Why  
>don't we appear to see astrophysical evidence of Dyson  
>spheres, or other massive engineering on planetary, stellar, or  
>even galactic scales? Why isn't observable space saturated  
>with material and informational artefacts of all kinds?

>Not that we should see such things; but we might, given  
>the potential for billions of years of scientific and

>technological evolution. That we don't see them is an  
>interesting fact, not an "off-the-wall wild-assed guess".

<snip>

>When we look around we find that the universe is ordered in  
>certain interesting respects that we don't understand. In  
>general, where does technology end and natural order begin?  
>Can we even define a distinction that has universal meaning?  
>What are the ultimate "limits to growth"? Ultimately, what  
>\_is\_ the universe, and what is the true equilibrium state of a  
>universe with intelligent agents in it?

>I think attempts to think clearly about difficult and subtle  
>problems are always welcome. They're rare enough.

>Martin Shough

This is what I was getting at when I said that the ETH does not solve the Fermi Paradox. The way I've always heard the story told, Fermi asked the question because he had been thinking about models of interstellar migration. We know the average distances between stars, the approximate number of stars in the galaxy and so forth, so we can do some basic calculations if we make some assumptions about the speed of starships, the amount of time a new colony must develop before it can launch ships of its own, etc. Even if we use very conservative assumptions, such as limiting starship speeds to a small fraction of the speed of light and assuming many centuries must pass before a planet can start sending out its own colonists, these models predict that it should be possible for a single starfaring civilization to colonize the galaxy within a period of time of a few tens of millions of years. That's the blink of an eye compared to the age of the galaxy.

Basically the only assumptions we are making with this model is that a hypothetical extraterrestrial civilization behaves like Europeans or Pacific Islanders (or any number of nonhuman species that have a pattern of expanding into new territory), and has access to technology on par with advanced nuclear rocketry (plus self-contained biospheres, good shielding and other technicalities). It is a conservative model, and it says that they should be here already. So where are they? Stanton Friedman would argue (and I agree) that we see their atmospheric excursion modules on a regular basis. But that doesn't entirely resolve the issue because we still don't know "the true equilibrium state of a universe with intelligent agents in it."

Frank Drake believes that interstellar travel is so difficult and impractical that our galaxy's equilibrium state has pretty much all of the extraterrestrial civilizations staying at home, within the immediate environs of their own sun. If we're lucky and persistent, and fund the SETI program adequately, perhaps we'll be able to eavesdrop on the radio transmissions from some of those civilizations. Others have concluded that Drake and his SETI colleagues are being far too conservative, and interstellar migration should be feasible for a civilization only a little bit more advanced than our own. If you believe that, as I do, and if you also ignore the UFO phenomenon, then the colonization models pretty much force you to conclude that either they do not exist, or they are invisible to us, for some reason or other.

As Cathy Reason stated, there are basically two stable equilibrium states: one in which they have colonized the whole galaxy, and the other in which they do not exist. To get another equilibrium state one has to make additional assumptions, such as the existence some terrible destructive force in the galaxy that sterilizes great swaths of galactic space every few million years. Afterwards those areas lie fallow until they can be re-colonized again. With the introduction of such a fudge factor one can have a galaxy that never gets completely colonized. The hypothetical destructive force could be an astronomical phenomenon such as supernova explosions, or maybe some factor inherent to galactic civilization such as interstellar war or a tendency to collapse and die out every so often (bad memes). But if we are following Occam's razor, we try to avoid making additional assumptions like that (although, honestly, we cannot rule them out either).

There have been some thoughtful responses on the List about why the ETs might be effectively invisible to us. They may prefer to

live in artificial space habitats, hollowed out asteroids, underground, under the sea, etc. My main problem with these ideas is that some of them should still like to live on the surfaces of planets when those habitats are available. Life generally evolves to fill every (or almost every) available niche. If a civilization overlooks perfectly good habitats it is not maximizing its chances of survival. Even if they are not adapted for life on some particular planet, they could presumably remedy that situation with genetic engineering or some other adaptive technology. Also, the preponderance of humanoids among UFO occupant reports suggests to me that, ancestrally at least, these beings come from environments not too dissimilar from Earth's surface.

Our ignorance of what technologies are possible, and the advantages and disadvantages associated with those technologies, is one of the main reasons why we are still stuck with the Fermi Paradox. Why doesn't civilization in our galaxy resemble the Galactic Republic from George Lucas's Star Wars films? Why aren't we fully conscious participants in some ancient galactic civilization, where technologies such as hyperspace travel and repulsor fields are taken for granted no matter what planet you live on? One reason is that the actual technologies that are possible or practical in the real world may be quite different from what is possible in the movies. But I don't think anyone really has the answers about that, in spite of claims by (to borrow a Friedmanism) fossilized physicists that they know faster than light travel or gravity manipulation is impossible, for example.

What's going on in the galaxy? We are terribly ignorant of the history of technological civilization in the Milky Way galaxy. All we can say is that we see UFOs buzzing the countryside, occasionally showing off (or so it seems) over Arizona or Mexico, and sometimes landing so that the little humanoids on board can pick up some rocks, or do capture-release studies (or transgenics programs) on Earth's native wildlife (humans). Is this normal? I mean, galactically speaking? How long have ET civilizations been conducting surveillance of the Earth without actually colonizing it? Of course, Dr. David Jacobs might argue that the UFO abduction phenomenon is a process of colonization. But if so, why did they wait so long? Our planet has been habitable for about a billion years.

This gets us back to the question of how much we know about Earth's deep past. I have a B.A. in biology, with a concentration in ecology, evolution and behavior, so that's where I'm coming from, education-wise. In college I took courses on paleontology, evolution, biogeography, etc. (I was always wondering what this perspective had to say about the distribution of extraterrestrial civilizations in the galaxy, the description of the ecological niches they occupy, etc.) Well, in spite of Young-Earth Creationism and so forth, we actually do know a great deal about our planet's deep past. We can use the geological and fossil records to put constraints on what may have happened long ago as far as past colonizations of our planet by extraterrestrial civilizations is concerned.

If modern civilization is any example, we might expect a colonization event in the deep past to be associated with an otherwise unexplained mass extinction. Also, our modern global civilization has a terrible problem with alien species, by which I mean displaced terrestrial species such as tree snakes in Guam or rabbits in Australia. (Maybe the chupacabras is some ET's pet that got too big to take care of.) If we find evidence in the fossil record of animals from different parts of the world suddenly appearing in other parts of the world, in the absence of any independently verified land bridges or other natural means of making the journey, we might interpret that as evidence for the existence of a civilization at that time. However, I would argue based on what was known when I was in college (back in the early nineties) that there is not much evidence of this kind of thing. My understanding is that mysterious mass extinctions and "teleportations" of wildlife are even better understood today than they were then, with the independent evidence that has since been gathered of past asteroid impacts, continental drift, etc. So as far as the fossil record is concerned, I don't see much evidence that ET ever colonized our planet, at least not on the global scale we might expect if they behave anything like we do. Basically, I don't think Earth ever looked like the city world of Coruscant from Star Wars. That

still doesn't rule out small, eco-friendly alien colonies, however. And that doesn't rule out past alien cities in caverns underground or on the sea floor. But the constraints imposed by Earth's geologic record tell us that extraterrestrial civilizations, for whatever reason, have (apparently) never had a global civilization on this planet like the one we have today. What does that mean? What does the ongoing UFO presence mean? What is the Earth to them, that they sample its environment and its life forms, but never appear to exploit it as fully as we might in their situation? Do they have some kind of symbiotic relationship with Earth's biosphere? If so, is symbiosis with earthlike planets a general characteristic of starfaring cultures in our galaxy? Are planets like Earth laboratories or pharmaceutical factories for ET civilizations? And just how many times has the deed to planet Earth exchanged hands over the past 4.6 billion years?

Whatever the solution to the aspects of the Fermi Paradox that are unresolved by the Extraterrestrial Hypothesis, it needs to be consistent with what we observe of UFOs and the appearance, behavior and technology of their occupants. It also needs to be consistent with the findings of paleontologists, geneticists, anthropologists, archeologists and all those who study the Earth's past. The Fermi Paradox still stands. The ETH only weakens it a bit.

David W. Chace

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 5](#)

## Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

From: **Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo** <[ufomiami.nul](mailto:ufomiami.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 23:39:49 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 13:22:19 -0500  
Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 22:50:28 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>Virgilio,

>On what possible basis could Barauna "assure" you that the UFO  
>came from undersea other than outright speculation? What  
>evidence did he adduce, other than an opinion? Please; this is a  
>strong case well known to most of us. Let us not muddy it up by  
>confusing facts and guesswork.

You were right. I double checked my information and Barauna  
assured us that the UFO came at "horizontal sea level", to the  
Trindade Island. Sorry about the "undersea" word.

Amilar Vieira Filho, chief of a group of submarine explorers  
alerted Barauna about the UFO and I associate submarine with  
undersea.

Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 5](#)

## UFO Review Issue 14

From: **Stuart Miller** <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 5 Jan 2006 11:38:43 +0000 (GMT)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 13:26:00 -0500  
Subject: UFO Review Issue 14

Issue 14 of UFO Review is now available at:

<http://www.uforeview.net>

and as usual, select either of the two top left hand buttons on the site, depending on the format you want.

Two UFO message Lists dominate and straddle the Web. The market leader, so to speak, is UFO UpDates, owned and moderated by Errol Bruce-Knapp. Based in Toronto, it has achieved many things over the years but above all, it is universally respected, not only for its longevity but also for the standard and quality of its debate and argument.

The second prominent List is ufologyinuk owned and moderated by Joe McGonagle. Whereas UpDates tackles any topic within Ufology, this List is very UK centric and while American Ufology isn't totally excluded, it certainly doesn't figure much. As such, it is the place to be if you're interested in things British and is easily the largest and most consistent List in Great Britain and possibly Europe.

So, who are these often silent individuals who control these Lists and whom, if you are of a Machiavellian bent, are the ones who decide what we read about Ufology and as a consequence, what we might think? What do we know of them? Not a lot.

Until now. Both men consented to long and extensive interviews, and what fascinating and intriguing individuals they both turned out to be! Errol is a bit older than Joe and it would be fair to say that he has enjoyed life and taken the opportunities that have come his way, although Joe too has had more than his fair share of "moments". How did they get on to the paths that they now tread from the bowels of debauchery from whence they both emerged? How sincere are they about Ufology? What are their likes and dislikes, hopes and ambitions, their private thoughts, their weaknesses, their foibles, their indiscretions? And why, eerily, are there strong similarities in their early backgrounds that involve not only the military but also signals intelligence? Are they part of some dark conspiracy to lead Ufology astray? And if they are, why haven't they done a better job of it? All these questions, and many more, are all answered, except for one; While muttering something about being a "gentleman", Errol refused to cough up on the names of some of the famous female performers he's had carnal knowledge of.

Sex and alien abductions often kind of go together but not in quite the manner presented here. Kittie Klaw is a young Scottish gal who now lives in London and is a leading pioneer in the re-introduction of burlesque to the British stage. In short, the lady takes most of her clothes off but she makes you laugh as she does it. She also has a great brain and in 2003, she graduated with a Psychology BSc. (Hons) degree at The University of Glasgow and then went on to earn a post graduate diploma in Parapsychology by researching Extra Sensory Perception at the University of Edinburgh.

She is also an experienced Paranormal Investigator with a wide

knowledge of anomalous experience and belief systems, and for her work at Edinburgh, she wrote a long and very detailed dissertation on alien abductions. She talks to us about that and also about her views on UFOs and the ETH. Just to help digest what she has to say, I have thoughtfully included some interesting pictures of Kittie for you saddos to look at.

That though is not all for this issue. We have articles by Kithra on Christmas and New Year UFO Sightings in the UK, and a piece by Andrew Pike called Number 10 and Spin which has absolutely nothing to do with politics and everything to do with Xena, the tenth planet in our solar system recently discovered by Michael Brown, David Rabinowitz and Chadwick Trujillo.

And err, just for a change, I've indulged in some outright good old plain nastiness and have compiled The UFO Review Biggest Pile Of Ufological Crap In 2005 Awards with the usual tactfulness and delicate touch that only I can bring to such matters. And scattered throughout this edition like star dust on a panspermian mission to impregnate Life into absurdity are all the usual extras involving silliness, cartoons, and general bad taste.

What great value, what sheer chutzpah. All can be found, for free, at:

<http://www.uforeview.net>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 5](#)

## Argentine Bus Drivers Unavailable For Comment

From: **Scott Corrales** <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 5 Jan 2006 06:55:01 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 13:28:24 -0500  
Subject: Argentine Bus Drivers Unavailable For Comment

-----

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
January 5, 2006

Source: Planeta UFO  
Date: 01.04.06

Argentina: Bus Drivers "Unavailable for Comment"

[The following is the text of the reply provided by the Andesmar Bus Line with regard to the "landed UFO" reported by Miryan Coronel a few days ago]

"In reply to your letter, we inform you that we have made the corresponding inquiries due to the publication of Infobae and El Liberal news items on January 3 regarding a sighting of lights in the middle of the road, and in which our company is mentioned as the transportation service employed by Mrs. Miryam (sic) Coronel.

"Endeavoring to corroborate this information, we contacted the drivers of the unit, who are on the road and will return to base (Mendoza) in a few days. They claimed not to be aware of the story given by the passenger to the media.

"We are expecting the drivers' arrival in Mendoza to interview them in a personal manner. We regret not being able to furnish more detailed information in this regard, but this was the information given to us over the phone. Should any new data come to light, we will contact you as soon as possible."

-----

Translation (c) 2006 S. Corrales, IHU  
Special thanks to Luis Eduardo Carreno

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 5](#)

## Four More State UFO Studies Up

From: **Larry Hatch** <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 04:18:45 -0800  
Fwd Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 13:30:41 -0500  
Subject: Four More State UFO Studies Up

Hello all:

Continuing with my special State Studies series, I have four more of those up now, ready for review and criticism. These are:

Missouri:  
<http://www.larryhatch.net/MSO.html>

Oregon:  
<http://www.larryhatch.net/OREGON.html>

North and South Carolina (combined study)  
<http://www.larryhatch.net/CAROLINA.html>

Arizona:  
<http://www.larryhatch.net/ARIZ.html>

Any feedback and corrections are very welcome. Errors are bound to creep in, you can help me stamp them out.

Best wishes

- Larry Hatch

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 5](#)

## Re: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell' -

From: Ray Dickenson <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 16:18:01 +0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 13:41:09 -0500  
Subject: Re: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell' -

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 15:45:30 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell'

>>From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 18:36:39 -0700  
>>Subject: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell'

>>AIC (Airman First Class) Larry Warren, SP: He wrote his personal  
>>account of these events in Left At East Gate. He recalls  
>>sodium pentothal and hypnosis sessions. LTC Halt seems to accept  
>>the use of these methods by OSI (USAF Office of Special  
>>Investigation) and other unknown entities. He signed a "brief  
>>debrief", a document acknowledging classified events which the  
>>signer is not to divulge under penalty of imprisonment and fine.  
>>He remembers hearing "bullets are cheap". He suffers from PTSD  
>>(post traumatic stress disorder).

>>Airman [?] Edward Cabansag, SP: He signed a brief debrief and  
>>heard "bullets are cheap".

>Are these two telling us that their lives were under threat  
>(from some military organisation) if they talked too much about  
>the affair?

<snip>

>I suspect that, contrary to the quotes, ammunition is quite  
>expensive. Try asking a gun owner.

Think you've forgotten two things Christopher -

- i) tax-payers would've bought the bullets
- ii) words are even cheaper than (tax-bought) bullets

Cheers

Ray D

~~~~~  
"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com>  
~~~~~

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 5](#)

## Re: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell' -

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 12:51:00 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 13:55:52 -0500  
Subject: Re: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell' -

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 15:45:30 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell'

>>From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 02 Jan 2006 18:36:39 -0700  
>>Subject: Comments On Sci Fi's 'Britain's Roswell'

>>>From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)

>>Brigadier General Gordon Williams, Commander of Bentwaters  
>>and/or Woodbridge Air Bases and 81st TFW (Tactical Fighter  
>>Wing): He can neither confirm or deny the alleged events.

>>Lieutenant Colonel Charles Halt, Deputy Base Commander of  
>>Bentwaters Air Base: His personal tape record (14 or 16 minutes  
>>?) survives. He wrote the well-known memorandum addressed to MOD  
>>UK, a diluted account speaking of lights and few other details.  
>>His consensus view reached with TSGT (Technical Sergeant)  
>>Penniston there were at least two landing sites. LTC Halt  
>>confirms one or more beams of light from the objects probed  
>>areas of the base.

>>TSGT Jim Penniston, SP (Security Police): His notebook with  
>>entries describing the events survives. The events altered his  
>>writing style and he felt electricity in the air. He heard that  
>>radar returns were noted. He touched the craft. Off duty he made  
>>plaster casts of the three identical ground impressions each 12  
>>inches wide and 1.5 inches deep. He has nightmares. In September  
>>1994, he underwent regression hypnosis and spoke of sodium  
>>pentothal and questioning by DS8. DS8 is a civilian office of  
>>the RAF (Royal Air Force).

>>A1C (Airman First Class) Larry Warren, SP: He wrote his  
>>personal account of these events in Left At East Gate. He  
>>recalls sodium pentothal and hypnosis sessions. LTC Halt seems  
>>to accept the use of these methods by OSI (USAF Office of  
>>Special Investigation) and other unknown entities. He signed a  
>>"brief debrief", a document acknowledging classified events  
>>hich the signer is not to divulge under penalty of imprisonment  
>>and fine. He remembers hearing "bullets are cheap". He suffers  
>>from PTSD (post traumatic stress disorder).

>>Airman [?] Edward Cabansag, SP: He signed a brief debrief and  
>>heard "bullets are cheap".

>I wonder who is supposed to have uttered this over-used phrase  
>"bullets are cheap", in relation to Rendlesham, and what is its  
>implied meaning?

>Are these two telling us that their lives were under threat  
>(from some military organisation) if they talked too much about  
>the affair?

>Larry Warren, for example, has been talking about Rendlesham  
>for a good many years, has written a book (a copy of which went  
>to Tony Blair plus some cabinet ministers and MPs) and has more  
>than done his bit over publicising Rendlesham, yet he is  
>certainly still with us and has, I believe, settled in the UK.

>I suspect that, contrary to the quotes, ammunition is quite  
>expensive. Try asking a gun owner.

You've asked one, and it is cheap. 50 pistol bullets for 10 or  
12 dollars, even cheaper back in the day. Rifle bullets are not  
much more expensive.

I've had witnesses threatened in this manner, using different  
phrases such as imprisonment or lengthy court trials, loss of  
pension, or anything can happen during training exercises  
[bullets are cheap after-all], or vague references to being held  
responsible for their oath under that National Security Act.  
I've told these people that the UFO question has been  
trivialized by the air force and parliament and it has been  
stated publicly that they are "not a threat to national  
security" it's in Hansard, and of no interest to the air force.  
This is the same as occurred in the United States. Given this  
then it can't be a matter which can be enforced under the  
National Security Act.

I think you are being naive if you think that your armed forces  
are squeaky clean and above murdering one of their own to shut  
him or her up. But then one who adheres to the simple-minded  
Furness lighthouse explanation to such a complex sighting and  
event would have to be.

Do you?

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 5](#)

## Re: Secrecy News -- 01/05/06 - Aftergood

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 5 Jan 2006 11:54:54 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 14:12:46 -0500  
Subject: Re: Secrecy News -- 01/05/06 - Aftergood

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 2  
January 5, 2006

\*\* STATE SECRETS: REVISITING U.S. V. REYNOLDS  
\*\* AN OPERATIONAL FILES EXEMPTION FOR DIA  
\*\* A MILLION FOR THE PUBLIC INTEREST DECLASS BOARD  
\*\* CRS ON NATIONAL SECURITY WHISTLEBLOWERS  
\*\* PUBLIC ACCESS TO WORLD LAW BULLETIN URGED

STATE SECRETS: REVISITING U.S. V. REYNOLDS

The 1953 Supreme Court ruling in *United States v. Reynolds* enshrined the "state secrets privilege" in U.S. jurisprudence, entitling the executive branch to withhold information about "military matters which, in the interest of national security, should not be divulged."

"Yet *United States v. Reynolds*... rests on a lie," according to a petition filed with the Supreme Court last month.

Fifty years ago, Air Force officials asserted under oath that documents at issue in the case contained state secrets pertaining to an Air Force plane that crashed, and refused to disclose them to the plaintiffs, widows of the fallen Air Force crew members.

But a half century later, when the documents were eventually released, no such secrets concerning the plane's mission or onboard equipment were to be found.

The petitioners, heirs to the original plaintiffs in *Reynolds*, are now asking the Supreme Court to review the case and to permit them to argue that they were defrauded by the government.

The petitioners were rebuffed by the Court in 2003 when they first sought reconsideration of the 1953 ruling, and their arguments have subsequently been rejected by the lower courts as well.

Still, the case raises interesting questions not only about the integrity of the original *Reynolds* decision, which is a cornerstone of national security law, but also about the judicial system's capacity to acknowledge and correct its errors.

A copy of the Petition for a Writ of Certiorari in the case now captioned *Herring v. U.S.*, filed at the Supreme Court on December 21, 2005 is posted here (252 pages, 500 kb):

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/jud/herring1205.pdf>

AN OPERATIONAL FILES EXEMPTION FOR DIA

Congress granted the Defense Intelligence Agency an exemption

from the Freedom of Information Act for its "operational files," but only for the next two years.

DIA is the fifth intelligence agency -- after CIA, NSA, NRO and NGA -- to receive such an exemption, which permits it to exclude from searching or reviewing for release under FOIA files "that document the conduct of foreign intelligence or counterintelligence operations."

Opponents of the measure were concerned that the exemption could be used indiscriminately to withhold intelligence records that would otherwise be eligible for disclosure under FOIA.

So it was a partial victory for critics when Congress imposed an unprecedented "sunset" on the exemption, such that it will be terminated at the end of December 2007.

The final language of the provision, contained in the FY 2006 Defense Authorization Act, and the accompanying report language, may be found here:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/congress/2005/dia-opfiles.html>

#### A MILLION FOR THE PUBLIC INTEREST DECLASS BOARD

Congress finally appropriated \$1 million for the Public Interest Declassification Board (PIDB), a White House advisory body that has been dormant for the past five years. The money was allocated without comment in the final version of the FY 2006 Defense Appropriations Act (H.R. 2863).

For PIDB membership and related information, see:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/congress/2005/pida.html>

#### CRS ON NATIONAL SECURITY WHISTLEBLOWERS

The statutory framework that governs national security whistleblowers -- government employees who disclose malfeasance involving classified information or activities -- is examined in a new report from the Congressional Research Service.

"Whistleblowers have helped uncover agency wrongdoing, illegalities, waste, and corruption," the 43 page CRS report finds.

"The interest of Congress in maintaining an open channel with agency employees is demonstrated through such statutes as Lloyd-LaFollette, the appropriations riders on the nondisclosure policy, the Military Whistleblower Protection Act, and the Intelligence Community Whistleblower Act."

See "National Security Whistleblowers," December 30, 2005:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/natsec/RL33215.pdf>

Political pressure is growing to provide improved protection for national security whistleblowers, who are often vulnerable to official retaliation.

"Under today's failed protection regimen, whistleblowers are forced to go to the national news media which often does a better job of protecting their identities than the Congress does," said Danielle Brian, Executive Director of the Project On Government Oversight (POGO), which circulated the CRS report today.

"National Security employees' highest duty is to the Constitution, and they should not have to sacrifice their careers or financial security in doing what is right," said Sibel Edmonds, president of the National Security Whistleblowers Coalition, which advocates stronger whistleblower protections.

"A former National Security Agency official wants to tell Congress about electronic intelligence programs that he asserts were carried out illegally by the NSA and the Defense Intelligence Agency," writes Bill Gertz in the Washington Times today.



See "NSA Whistleblower Asks to Testify," January 5:

<http://www.washtimes.com/national/20060104-114052-6606r.htm>

PUBLIC ACCESS TO WORLD LAW BULLETIN URGED

Dozens of public interest organizations, librarians, attorneys and others have written a letter to Congress asking for "unrestricted public access" to the congressional publication World Law Bulletin.

"The World Law Bulletin, produced monthly by the Law Library of Congress, is a unique and uniquely valuable publication. It provides an unparalleled survey of legal developments abroad, along with focused analysis on topics of special interest. It is based entirely on open, published sources."

"We respectfully urge you to help the interested public to gain access to this exceptional congressional resource."

See the January 3, 2006 letter to the congressional Joint Committee on the Library here:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/2006/01/wlblet-010306.pdf>

Recent back issues of World Law Bulletin, published without congressional authorization or approval, are available from the Federation of American Scientists here:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/othergov/wlb/index.html>

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News has an RSS feed at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.rss>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 5](#)

## Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 17:38:50 +0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 14:14:11 -0500  
Subject: Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens - Dickenson

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 2 Jan 2006 10:55:11 EST  
>Subject: Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens

<snip>  
>What's he going to do next, hold sponsored tours into the wilds  
>of S. America for people looking for a new high?

<snip>

>Next thing you know they'll be selling this stuff on the streets  
>of urban regions and combined with the other poisons we'll have  
>a new excuse by the skeptibunkers that all UFO witnesses and  
>abductees are suffering from dope.

Relax Greg,

Some folk think - the way things are going it'll soon be illegal  
to do anything or think anything other than what the morning's  
tabloid tells us to.

So there won't be any Ufologists.

Cheers  
Ray D

~~~~~  
"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com>  
~~~~~

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 5](#)

## Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 12:50:28 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 14:16:16 -0500  
Subject: Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens - Kaeser

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 2 Jan 2006 10:55:11 EST  
>Subject: Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens

<snip>

>>What he has found - and what forms the basis of his new hefty  
>>tome - is a theory that to many will sound absurd. He believes  
>>that when shamans and drug users experience these  
>>hallucinations, they are actually tapping into a parallel  
>>universe. The visions - be they of fairies, elves or aliens -  
>>are real, they exist all the time, and they want to communicate  
>>with us.

>Okay, here's where I draw the line. Promoting narcotics? No,  
this is highly irresponsible and dangerous no end.

>What's he going to do next, hold sponsored tours into the wilds  
>of S. America for people looking for a new high?

>Next thing you know they'll be selling this stuff on the  
>streets of urban regions and combined with the other poisons  
>we'll have a new excuse by the skeptibunkers that all UFO  
>witnesses and abductees are suffering from dope.

Greg,

I'm surprised by this twist as well, but I wouldn't be too hard  
on him.

I agree that this tangent is one that ufology should avoid, but  
it may be relevant to the field of study that Hancock has  
defined for himself. The drug in question is a very powerful  
one and is already available in many parts of the world in raw  
and refined form (although still illegal in many countries, like  
the U.S.). It's used by some clinics to reduce addictive  
cravings with a certain degree of success, but that is also  
controversial.

This is so far off topic that it's ridiculous and I apologize.

Steve

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 5](#)

## Faded Discs UFO Reporting Center Audio

From: Wendy Connors <[fadeddiscs.nul](mailto:fadeddiscs.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 13:32:49 -0700  
Fwd Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 15:12:16 -0500  
Subject: Faded Discs UFO Reporting Center Audio

Greetings to Listarians, Lurkers and Warrantless Governmental Spooks:

'Tis a New Year and time for a new series of audio compilations.

Night Journeys In Ufology

If you can't wait to read all about the Hub-Bub, go to:

[www.fadeddiscs.com](http://www.fadeddiscs.com)

For those on the List wanting to know the details of the Hub-Bub, read on.

I'm talking about underwater UFOs, cattle mutilations, vehicle interference, cops chasing UFOs and UFOs chasing cops, UFOs who play with airplanes and airplanes who play with UFOs, strange entities, UFOs expelling UFOs, alien abduction, FAA radar reports, Sea-Tac radar reports, NORAD radar cases, law enforcement reports... you name it, it's all found in this new continuing series.

Night Journeys In Ufology.

In 1955 Robert Gribble began the Phenomena Research Reporting Center. Almost 20 years later he began recording literally thousands of witnesses to UFO sightings and did scores of on-site investigations.

This project was initially named the UFO Reporting Center, as it covered the northeastern part of the U.S. When reports began coming in from around the nation, Bob's project became known as the National UFO Reporting Center (NUFORC). When Bob retired from the project in 1994 NUFORC continued, without interruption, under the capable hands of Peter Davenport.

This project is unique both in scope and the amount of research data accumulated regarding UFO phenomena and covering an astounding 20 years... and still going strong. A magnificent cultural and historical achievement of scientific data.

Don't you wish scientists would stop being in default? If they listened to this series, they might learn something most people find a scientific puzzle. You know, when the unwashed masses discover and attempt to understand something the mainstream science types can't see in front of them? The old, "Einstein with a Bottle Theory."

EBT?

The son of the originator of Project SIGN almost mowed down the scientist when Einstein walked in front of his car. The good professor was carrying home a bottle of booze he had just purchased and was deep in thought, when he ambled between two parked cars and into traffic.

Obviously the great scientist was aware of the scientific

phenomena of booze... but couldn't see what was directly in front of him. In other words, having an 800 pound gorilla in the room and remaining oblivious.

(I haven't posted this much since the cows came home)

Compilations in the series will be available chronologically, beginning with 1975. First compilation of selected case recordings contains 30 tracks and a printable guide. Last names, address and phone numbers have been digitally redacted and that information remains available via the original audio case logs Bob assembled.

This is real Meat and Taters UFO Research. Go ahead, send in your donation to keep the project going and stuff yourself with an astounding amount of cases you never heard about.

BTW-1 Best way to listen to the recordings is with noise-cancelling earphones and a mug of your favorite grog, while tucked into bed on a dark winter night. i-Pods won't know what hit 'em.

BTW-2 Keep a flashlight handy while listening in the dark. :-)

Wendy Connors  
[www.fadeddiscs.com](http://www.fadeddiscs.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 6](#)

## Re: Argentine Bus Drivers Unavailable For Comment

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 15:16:44 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 10:37:44 -0500  
Subject: Re: Argentine Bus Drivers Unavailable For Comment

>From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 5 Jan 2006 06:55:01 -0500  
>Subject: Argentine Bus Drivers Unavailable For Comment

>-----

>The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
>January 5, 2006

>Source: Planeta UFO  
>Date: 01.04.06

>Argentina: Bus Drivers "Unavailable for Comment"

>[The following is the text of the reply provided by the  
>Andesmar Bus Line with regard to the "landed UFO" reported by  
>Miryan Coronel a few days ago]

>"In reply to your letter, we inform you that we have made the  
>corresponding inquiries due to the publication of Infobae and El  
>Liberal news items on January 3 regarding a sighting of lights  
>in the middle of the road, and in which our company is mentioned  
>as the transportation service employed by Mrs. Miryam (sic)  
>Coronel.

>"Endeavoring to corroborate this information, we contacted the  
>drivers of the unit, who are on the road and will return to base  
>(Mendoza) in a few days. They claimed not to be aware of the  
>story given by the passenger to the media.

>"We are expecting the drivers' arrival in Mendoza to interview  
>them in a personal manner. We regret not being able to furnish  
>more detailed information in this regard, but this was the  
>information given to us over the phone. Should any new data come  
>to light, we will contact you as soon as possible."

Hi Scott,

One would expect the driver at the front end of the bus to be the best witness to this event. Looks like it might be a hoax. Where's the digital shot that the woman took, not that will likely prove much. Pictures of bright lights are just that. No detail.

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 6](#)

## Nick Pope And The FSWP

From: Joe McGonagle <[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 22:45:46 +0000  
Fwd Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 11:16:52 -0500  
Subject: Nick Pope And The FSWP

Hello Listers,

Back in May last year, I wrote (during an on-List dialogue with Nick Pope):

"I have tonight submitted a FoIA request which should definitively resolve the question. If it turns out that Georgina submitted her request before Clarke and Roberts circulated details of the discovery, I shall publicly apologise to her and you on-List. If it appears that the request was subsequent to C&R's announcement, I would hope that you would have the grace to do the same."

The entire post can be viewed at:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/may/m25-023.shtml>

Well, the enquiry took two separate requests to fulfil, and the results are now in.

The only correspondents with either/both the UFO desk and the Departmental Records Officer (DRO) at the MoD in relation to the FSWP were David Clarke and Andy Roberts during the period April 2000 to April 2002. There is no question as to anyone having seen the report prior to April 2000, since there is only one copy (now) known to exist which was held by the MoD DRO. This was not discovered until David Clarke specifically asked the records officer to conduct a search for it. During May 2001, the DRO's staff found the report, and wrote the following to Clarke:

"...during your exchanges of correspondence with DAS (Sec), formerly Sec(AS), in September/October last year [2000 - JM] a number of closed files, identified by you, were examined for DS/JTIC Report Number 7. Although unsuccessful my staff remained alert to your interest in this report. As a consequence of a routine re-review of another file (DEFE19/9 Scientific Intelligence 1948-1960) I am pleased to be able to advise that a surviving copy (Copy No 17) of Report No 7 has been found."

Included with the letter was a copy of the report.

Now there was only one copy of this document, which was under the control of the MoD DRO. Until it was released at the PRO in January 2002, any request for a copy would have had to pass via the DRO, and there were no other requests up to and including April 2002. In the meantime, Clarke released information about the report to the press and a story was published in "The Observer" on 21st October 2001 see:

[http://observer.guardian.co.uk/uk\\_news/story/0,,577850,00.html](http://observer.guardian.co.uk/uk_news/story/0,,577850,00.html)

On the same date, Clarke and Roberts placed scans of the documents on their website ([www.flyingsaucery.com](http://www.flyingsaucery.com)) and notified this List of their discovery and the URL, see:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2001/oct/m21-004.shtml>

Up until 21st October 2001, no-one other than Clarke and Roberts knew that the report had been found, or what it contained.

In January 2002, Nick Pope wrote in his "Weird World" column for Bruni's "Hot Gossip" magazine:

"Hard on the heels of Georgina Bruni's scoop concerning the release of MOD documents on the Rendlesham Forest incident, two enterprising members of the public have stumbled upon 'DSI/JTIC Report No. 7'."

See:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2002/jan/m03-019.shtml>

Note that there is no mention by name of Clarke or Roberts, and they are described as having "stumbled upon" the report. There is also no mention of Bruni or Pope having discovered the document. Having read the extensive correspondence between the MOD and Clarke/Roberts, the report was not simply "stumbled upon", but was uncovered through dilligent, persistent and dedicated research.

The following April (2002) an article focussed on the FSWP co-authored by Georgina Bruni and Nick Pope appeared in the UK UFO Magazine, claiming a "World Exclusive", and not mentioning either Clarke or Roberts.

Since then, Pope and Bruni have been given every possible opportunity to set the record straight, but they have dogmatically refused to acknowledge the true provenance of the FSWP. In May last year, I asked Pope:

"Please can you elaborate on exactly how and when you became aware of the FSWP document and the date that you requested a copy of it?"

to which he replied:

"Georgina Bruni obtained them in 2001, but I don't know precisely when. She learned of their existence through unrelated research into the Cold War. I didn't find out that she had the papers until later..."

...Clarke and Roberts were sent the documents by the MOD, as were numerous other ufologists and journalists."

see: <http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/may/m24-007.shtml>

Insinuating that Bruni had either discovered the report prior to C&R, or that she was oblivious to their efforts and "discovered" it after they did independently - both scenarios are clearly untenable. Additionally, no-one other than C&R was sent copies of the report by the MoD before April 2002.

A few days later in a response to Martin Shough on-list at:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/may/m26-009.shtml>

Pope wrote:

"My understanding is that several people acquired them in 2001. Georgina Bruni, Dave Clarke and Andy Roberts definitely did. I believe that several others did too, but I'm certainly not able to give a definitive list of who got what document on what date. I'll have to leave that to those concerned."

Now, is Pope innocent and misinformed, or is he more devious than that? Clearly all of the evidence shows that Bruni could not have seen a copy of the report before it's publication at [www.flyingsaucery.com](http://www.flyingsaucery.com) in October 2001. Furthermore, she did not obtain a copy from the MoD before April 2002, and must have obtained her copy from the PRO in January 2002 at the earliest.

Pope then goes on to write:

"I don't dispute that Clarke and Roberts were the first ufologists to claim that they obtained the documents. They've claimed to be the first to acquire all sorts of material; an article in The Observer on May 5 2002 implied they uncovered a CIA memo on UFOs written by Walter B. Smith, when in fact it was published by Timothy Good in 1987, in his book Above Top Secret.

Frankly, I've given up believing a word that Clarke and Roberts say."

This saga reveals a lot about their integrity and character, and raises questions about the reliability of their other claims....

Regards,

Joe

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 6](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox -

From: Joe McGonagle <[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 20:44:29 +0000  
Fwd Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 11:08:48 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox -

Hello List,

I shan't attempt to answer all of the various posts point by point - it is ironic that my comments have provoked even more of what I described as a waste of bandwidth!

In response to Cathy and Martin, I think you are mistaken about the two options for a stable state. Illogical though it might sound, I think that chaos is a third stable state!

Although there may appear to be stability when viewed over an extremely long time period (Millions of Millions of years), when examined in smaller but at least for humanity, very significant time periods (in the order of say a hundred thousand years), parts of the universe are in a state of flux.

There are so many variable factors when history and the development of the universe is observed in relatively short timescale that it is highly unlikely in my view that similar (in terms of development) species evolve in the same general area of space within, say, 100,000 years of each other. If that is so, any species that have evolved into intelligent life-forms have most probably had at least double the evolutionary life that we humans have had so far (if they are not at the Chimpanzee or equivalent stage still). They (and their technology, culture, morals, etc) would be as unrecognisable to us as our values and technology are to chimpanzees.

Any ET intelligence does not only have the advantage of longer evolution, but will almost certainly have a completely different evolutionary path to our own. Putting that into a terrestrial context, trying to predict the behaviour and motivation of any ET intelligence is akin to a Coelacanth attempting to predict human behaviour and values. All that we can do is speculate, but we have little (if any) information on which to base such speculation of ET technology, culture, morals, etc. IMO it was extremely premature of Fermi to speculate based on so little data, and his so-called paradox is not worth the databytes on the WWW (just as my own speculative comments above are of little value!).

Cheers,

Joe

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 6](#)

## Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -

From: **Martin Shough** <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 5 Jan 2006 20:38:34 -0000  
Fwd Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 11:03:31 -0500  
Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -

>From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 17:21:22 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process

>>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 10:26:11 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process

><snip>

>>Dr. Fernandes mentioned that auditory effects similar to a bee-  
>>buzz of a few hundred Hertz are similar to frequencies reported  
>>in cases of microwave auditory stimulation. You responded: "200  
>>- 400 Hz is way-y-y-y below the microwave region. Dr. Allen  
>>Frey's experiments explored microwave auditory effects from  
>>around 125 MHz up into the 8,000 MHz range", indicating that you  
>>saw some inconsistency here. I'm reminding you that, as with any  
>>em signal, the carrier frequency and the audio frequency are two  
>>different things.

>I clearly understand a carrier versus modulation, having dealt  
>with these concepts for many years.

>What I didn't understand is how a report of audio in the 200 to  
>400 Hz range had anything to do with microwave audio. Or what  
>source of a sufficiently powerful microwave signal might have  
>been at the Fatima site in 1917.

Hi Eleanor

What the 2-400 Hz audio buzz has to do with it is that this buzz  
is the frequency reported in certain microwave audio stimulation  
work cited by Dr. Fernandes, that this frequency is also the  
rate of wingbeat of a bee, and that those present at Fatima  
described a sound like a bee buzz.

>There is a little confusion from microwave audio effects, in  
>that the beginning of the phenomenon was the hearing of a  
>buzzing sound in the skulls of World War II radar technicians.  
>The buzz is the result of individual pulses, one single pulse  
>causing a single click.

I'm afraid you are again confusing carrier and modulation. A  
radar pulse is a modulation of the radar carrier wave, and is  
typically in the order of several hundred Hz - the bee-buzz. The  
radar transmitter is silent for almost all of the time,  
occasionally emitting the very short sharp pulses you mention,  
each a burst of the carrier frequency (say MHz or GHz), at a  
pulse-modulated frequency of a few hundred Hz. This is what a  
bee-buzz has to do with microwave audio. OK?

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 6](#)

## Re: Faded Discs UFO Reporting Center Audio -

From: **Ed Gehrman** <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 6 Jan 2006 13:16:50 -0800  
Fwd Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 11:07:29 -0500  
Subject: Re: Faded Discs UFO Reporting Center Audio -

>From: Wendy Connors <[fadeddiscs.nul](mailto:fadeddiscs.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 13:32:49 -0700  
>Subject: Faded Discs UFO Reporting Center Audio

>Greetings to Listarians, Lurkers and Warrantless Governmental  
>Spooks:

>'Tis a New Year and time for a new series of audio compilations.

>Night Journeys In Ufology

>If you can't wait to read all about the Hub-Bub, go to:

>[www.fadeddiscs.com](http://www.fadeddiscs.com)

<snip>

Hi Wendy, EBK, List,

I haven't heard this Disc, yet, but I have listened to almost all of Wendy's previous compilations.

My favorite is "The Humanoids".

The information content of the entire collection is amazing, but most of all, the various stories and lectures and oral reports are downright fun.

There's really nothing like it! I urge List members to support Wendy and her audio history research archives.

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 6](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - White

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 17:58:17 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 11:25:14 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - White

>From: David Chace <[davidwchace.nul](mailto:davidwchace.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 20:39:36 -0800  
>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

<snip>

>If you believe that, as I do, and if you also ignore the UFO  
>phenomenon, then the colonization models pretty much force you  
>to conclude that either they do not exist, or they are invisible  
>to us, for some reason or other.

Invisibility has a good chance of being at least one major  
explanation.

First, UFOs themselves are reported to "zap out" - not fly away  
at high speed, but simply disappear.

The existence of interdimensional technology is often suggested  
by the experiences of those of us in the electronic harassment  
camp. We have very real objects suddenly disappear from a table,  
say. Slamming our hand down hard on where the object was  
produces no marks or wounds on the hand, which at the end of the  
slam lies perfectly flat on the table top. We do this test in  
case we have somehow been hypnotized into not seeing the object.

Other times, these disappeared objects are observed to reappear,  
in my experience, in a moving vehicle. A visiting friend and I  
both had objects disappear right under our noses one day. One  
was my glasses, the other was a large quarter pound ring of keys  
belonging to my friend. Hand slamming and searching with the  
help of bright lighting produced nothing.

A couple of days later, as we drove to the optician to pick up  
my replacement glasses, I reached down to my wallet to be sure I  
had the receipt, and there were the missing glasses. They were  
definitely not there when we left home. They were,  
interestingly, extremely cold, as if they had been in contact  
with dry ice.

The day was cool, and the truck cab was warm, as were the other  
contents of my bag.

We were still moving a few seconds later, when we heard a loud  
clunk on the floor under my friend's legs. There was the missing  
key ring.

Beyond this, our members report literally being groped,  
sexually, by invisible hands, or even invisibly raped. We have  
all sorts of invisible manipulation, shaking, banging of  
objects. We get audio issuing from a point in mid air.

One of our members has observed 'transparent figures' moving  
about her house, sometimes jarring or moving things. I suspect  
that the reason our homes can be entered and messed with  
literally daily, without anyone noticing, is that the entry and  
exit are made via interdimensional means.

A long time recurring effect I get are long duration blasts of

static in my bedside radio, on the AM and shortwave bands. The radio has a ferrite (iron powder) "loopstick" AM antenna, which is quite directional. If you point it at a source of static, such as an arcing motor, or car with noisy ignition, or arcing electric power insulator, the static stops abruptly when one end of the loopstick is pointing directly at the source.

Over a roughly 15 year period, and three different apartments, I have taken the radio and carefully tried different orientations of the loopstick antenna in my bedrooms when this happens. The source consistently turns out to be a point about 10 inches above my pillow. Any number of different attempts to null the noise always point right there.

No signal from outside would behave this way, nor is it "faulty wiring", as there is no wiring in the vicinity of my pillow. The radio operates on batteries. Different loopstick radios confirm this is not an oddity of just one set.

My best guess is that this signal, as with the anomalous audio reported by others, is being injected from a parallel dimension into the one I live in.

The signal appears most often when I try to tape record some of the audio effects, or when I'm especially interested in what is being said on the radio. It makes tape recording virtually impossible as it strongly appears on the finished recording.

It also shows up when I'm being electronically prevented from sleeping and trying to use the radio as a white noise source (empty part of the band) to assist with at least resting. It completely stops once I get up but leave the radio on for a short while.

Reports of UFO instant disappearance, plus our experiences point strongly to aliens being able to co-exist on Earth but in a parallel dimension, invisibly when they want to, in my view.

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 6](#)

## Re: UCLA Psychiatrist Lambastes Psychiatry - Sparks

From: Brad Sparks <[RB47x.nul](mailto:RB47x.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 6 Jan 2006 05:39:45 EST  
Fwd Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 11:28:38 -0500  
Subject: Re: UCLA Psychiatrist Lambastes Psychiatry - Sparks

>From: Greeg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 2 Jan 2006 10:47:22 EST  
>Subject: UCLA Psychiatrist Lambastes Psychiatry

><http://tinyurl.com/7ec7r>

>What's interesting in this story is not only does Dr. Irwin  
>Savodnik lambastes psychiatry, he gives an example that doesn't  
>exactly point to declarations of  
>abductees/witnesses/experiencers but it does point to what Dr.  
>Mack said about pathology and the subject.

I found the article/editorial rather lame and baseless.  
Especially the dumbell hogwash about how psychiatry invents  
nonexistent "illnesses" whereas medicine deals with "real"  
illnesses, and that this is supposedly proven by the rapid  
growth of the American Psychiatric Association's DSM manual over  
the years. Savodnik doesn't even seem to grasp the simple  
convention that the major revisions of DSM give it the Roman  
numerals, e.g., DSM-III and the latest DSM-IV. He thinks the  
manual has always been called "DSM-IV."

He claims the expanded size of the DSM represents "a 340%  
increase in the number of diseases over 42 years. Nowhere in the  
rest of medicine has such a proliferation of categories  
occurred."

Bullpuckey! Just check the Merck Manual, the standard reference  
of professional medicine comparable to psychiatry's DSM  
(actually the Merck Manual is all-inclusive and includes a full  
section on the supposedly fictitious or invented psychiatric  
conditions).

Just like the supposedly "unreal" illnesses chronicled in the  
DSM, the "real" illnesses of the Merck Manual has experienced a  
very similar growth in number. The Merck manual has grown from  
192 pages in its 1st Edition in 1899 to nearly 3,000 pages in  
its centennial 17th Edition of 1999. The number of medical  
conditions identified has expanded far beyond the page count  
since numerous conditions are now often described on a single  
page.

<http://www.merck.com/mrkshared/mmanual/front/centenn.jsp>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 6](#)

## Ramona Bell Passes

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 11:39:54 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 11:39:54 -0500  
Subject: Ramona Bell Passes

Source: Coast To Coast AM

<http://www.coasttocoastam.com/>

01-06-2006

In Memoriam:

Ramona Bell

Art Bell's beloved wife of fifteen years, Ramona, died unexpectedly last night after an asthma attack. At present, the exact cause of Mrs. Bell's death has not been determined. It apparently took place during her sleep.

Until her death, Art and Ramona Bell had not been apart a day since they were married. Mrs. Bell had suffered from asthma for years, and took her normal steps to control the attack, which occurred sometime last night in Laughlin, Nevada where the Bells were taking a brief vacation. Ramona Bell was 47 years old.

Our deepest condolences to Art and his family.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 6](#)

## Re: Spitsbergen And Project Disclosure - Henningsen

From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 6 Jan 2006 13:11:04 +0100  
Fwd Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 11:43:29 -0500  
Subject: Re: Spitsbergen And Project Disclosure - Henningsen

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 17:46:52 EST  
>Subject: Spitsbergen And Project Disclosure

<snip>

>But, as most of us know, the Spitsbergen crash, which can be  
>found in the Project Blue Book files, is a hoax. It did appear  
>in the German newspaper, the Berliner Volksblatt on July 9, 1952  
>and suggested that the crashed craft was of Soviet manufacture.  
>In later tellings of the story, the fact it was suspected of  
>being Russian because of the symbols on the craft and  
>instruments has been lost.

<snip>

Hello Kevin,

Thanks for your extra information concerning the old  
Spitsbergen-hoax.

I have studied this case for many years now, and one of our  
UFO-friends in Norway, Ole Jonny Braenne, published a booklet  
about this case - in Norwegian - some years ago.

Best regards,

Ole

Ole Henningsen  
Scandinavian UFO Information  
Denmark

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 6](#)

## Law & Order: Pelicanist Squad

From: **Jerome Clark** <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 6 Jan 2006 08:11:26 -0600  
Fwd Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 12:33:33 -0500  
Subject: Law & Order: Pelicanist Squad

>From The New Yorker (January 9, p. 40):

CONSTABULARY NOTES FROM ALL OVER

>From the Lewisboro (N.Y.) Ledger.

A Flintlock Ridge Road man said he saw a bear in his backyard.  
Police could not find a bear when the officer arrived. Police  
said it was possible the man saw two turtles.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 6](#)

Secrecy News -- 01/06/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 6 Jan 2006 11:46:54 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 13:38:30 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 01/06/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 3  
January 6, 2006

\*\* CRS ON WARRANTLESS SURVEILLANCE  
\*\* INFORMATION SHARING POLICY FAULTED  
\*\* KEEPING CURRENT ON GOVERNMENT SECRECY

## CRS ON WARRANTLESS SURVEILLANCE

The Congressional Research Service has prepared a detailed evaluation of Bush Administration legal claims regarding Presidential authority to conduct warrantless electronic surveillance within the United States.

The CRS authors sift through each of the statutory, constitutional and other arguments that have been presented in defense of the reported NSA surveillance activity, and ultimately find them wanting.

A final determination on the matter is impossible, they note, "without an understanding of the specific facts involved and the nature of the President's authorization, which are for the most part classified."

In the end, however, "the Administration's legal justification, as presented in the [December 22, 2005] summary analysis from the Office of Legislative Affairs, does not seem to be as well-grounded as the tenor of that letter suggests," they cautiously conclude.

See "Presidential Authority to Conduct Warrantless Electronic Surveillance to Gather Foreign Intelligence Information," Congressional Research Service, January 5, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/intel/m010506.pdf>

## INFORMATION SHARING POLICY FAULTED

Government initiatives to promote information sharing among and between federal and state agencies have failed to achieve their objectives, a new congressional staff report finds.

"Despite numerous directives, exhortations, and invitations to do so, federal policymakers have failed to develop uniform standards for converting classified intelligence into an unclassified or 'less classified' format that can be disseminated rapidly to appropriate state, local, and tribal authorities to thwart terrorist attacks," the report says.

The reports recounts in unblinking detail each new announcement of a bold innovation in information sharing policy, and its subsequent failure.

"This distressing lack of leadership has persisted for more than



four years."

The authors propose a law enforcement-driven approach to information sharing based on a model adopted in the United Kingdom.

The report was prepared by Democratic staff for Rep. Bennie G. Thompson, ranking member of the House Committee on Homeland Security.

See "Beyond Connecting the Dots: A VITAL Framework for Sharing Law Enforcement Intelligence Information," House Committee on Homeland Security Democratic Staff Report, December 28, 2005:

[http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2005\\_rpt/vital.pdf](http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2005_rpt/vital.pdf)

#### KEEPING CURRENT ON GOVERNMENT SECRECY

A sizable inventory of organizations, web sites, and publications concerned in some way with government secrecy was presented in a recent survey.

"In the interest of sharing information, here is a list of Web sites, blogs, listservs, and newsletters that could help clients needing access to government documents but who might experience difficulty locating that information. The list is arranged by government watchdog sites, sites that provide access to government documents, sites that document government secrecy, and advocacy groups that report on FOIA news."

See "Shhh!:: Keeping Current on Government Secrecy" by Laura Gordon-Murnane, Searcher: The Magazine for Database Professionals, January 2006:

<http://www.infotoday.com/searcher/jan06/Gordon-Murnane.shtml>

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News has an RSS feed at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.rss>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 6](#)

## Re: Faded Discs UFO Reporting Center Audio -

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 6 Jan 2006 12:10:49 -0600  
Fwd Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 13:41:01 -0500  
Subject: Re: Faded Discs UFO Reporting Center Audio -

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 6 Jan 2006 13:16:50 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Faded Discs UFO Reporting Center Audio

>>From: Wendy Connors <[fadeddiscs.nul](mailto:fadeddiscs.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 13:32:49 -0700  
>>Subject: Faded Discs UFO Reporting Center Audio

>>Greetings to Listarians, Lurkers and Warrantless Governmental  
>>Spooks:

>>'Tis a New Year and time for a new series of audio compilations.

>>Night Journeys In Ufology

>>If you can't wait to read all about the Hub-Bub, go to:

>>[www.fadeddiscs.com](http://www.fadeddiscs.com)

<snip>

>I haven't heard this Disc, yet, but I have listened to almost  
>all of Wendy's previous compilations.

>My favorite is "The Humanoids".

>The information content of the entire collection is amazing, but  
>most of all, the various stories and lectures and oral reports  
>are downright fun.

>There's really nothing like it! I urge List members to  
>support Wendy and her audio history research archives.

Yeah - with "Faded Discs," there's always something on.  
She's killer on a long trip with my I-pod, absolutely killer!

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 6](#)

## Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 09:41:56 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 13:43:24 -0500  
Subject: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

MSS VX News: [Scotsman] Warp Drive Being Built -- Welcome to Mars express: only a three hour trip [Jan 5 2006]

Welcome to Mars express: only a three hour trip

IAN JOHNSTON SCIENCE CORRESPONDENT

AN EXTRAORDINARY "hyperspace" engine that could make interstellar space travel a reality by flying into other dimensions is being investigated by the United States government.

The hypothetical device, which has been outlined in principle but is based on a controversial theory about the fabric of the universe, could potentially allow a spacecraft to travel to Mars in three hours and journey to a star 11 light years away in just 80 days, according to a report in today's New Scientist magazine.

The theoretical engine works by creating an intense magnetic field that, according to ideas first developed by the late scientist Burkhard Heim in the 1950s, would produce a gravitational field and result in thrust for a spacecraft.

Also, if a large enough magnetic field was created, the craft would slip into a different dimension, where the speed of light is faster, allowing incredible speeds to be reached. Switching off the magnetic field would result in the engine reappearing in our current dimension.

The US air force has expressed an interest in the idea and scientists working for the American Department of Energy - which has a device known as the Z Machine that could generate the kind of magnetic fields required to drive the engine - say they may carry out a test if the theory withstands further scrutiny.

Professor Jochem Hauser, one of the scientists who put forward the idea, told The Scotsman that if everything went well a working engine could be tested in about five years.

However, Prof Hauser, a physicist at the Applied Sciences University in Salzgitter, Germany, and a former chief of aerodynamics at the European Space Agency, cautioned it was based on a highly controversial theory that would require a significant change in the current understanding of the laws of physics.

"It would be amazing. I have been working on propulsion systems for quite a while and it would be the most amazing thing. The benefits would be almost unlimited," he said.

"But this thing is not around the corner; we first have to prove the basic science is correct and there are quite a few physicists who have a different opinion.

"It's our job to prove we are right and we are working on that."

He said the engine would enable spaceships to travel to different solar systems. "If the theory is correct then this is

not science fiction, it is science fact," Prof Hauser said.

"NASA have contacted me and next week I'm going to see someone from the [US] air force to talk about it further, but it is at a very early stage. I think the best-case scenario would be within the next five years [to build a test device] if the technology works."

The US authorities' attention was attracted after Prof Hauser and an Austrian colleague, Walter Droscher, wrote a paper called "Guidelines for a space propulsion device based on Heim's quantum theory".

-----

Take a leap into hyperspace

- \* 05 January 2006
- \* NewScientist.com news service
- \* Haiko Lietz

<http://www.newscientist.com/channel/fundamentals/mg18925331.200.html>

EVERY year, the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics awards prizes for the best papers presented at its annual conference. Last year's winner in the nuclear and future flight category went to a paper calling for experimental tests of an astonishing new type of engine. According to the paper, this hyperdrive motor would propel a craft through another dimension at enormous speeds. It could leave Earth at lunchtime and get to the moon in time for dinner. There's just one catch: the idea relies on an obscure and largely unrecognised kind of physics. Can they possibly be serious?

The AIAA is certainly not embarrassed. What's more, the US military has begun to cast its eyes over the hyperdrive concept, and a space propulsion researcher at the US Department of Energy's Sandia National Laboratories has said he would be interested in putting the idea to the test. And despite the bafflement of most physicists at the theory that supposedly underpins it, Pavlos Mikellides, an aerospace engineer at the Arizona State University in Tempe who reviewed the winning paper, stands by the committee's choice. "Even though such features have been explored before, this particular approach is quite unique," he says.

Unique it certainly is. If the experiment gets the go-ahead and works, it could reveal new interactions between the fundamental forces of nature that would change the future of space travel. Forget spending six months or more holed up in a rocket on the way to Mars, a round trip on the hyperdrive could take as little as 5 hours. All our worries about astronauts' muscles wasting away or their DNA being irreparably damaged by cosmic radiation would disappear overnight. What's more the device would put travel to the stars within reach for the first time.

But can the hyperdrive really get off the ground?

The answer to that question hinges on the work of a little-known German physicist. Burkhard Heim began to explore the hyperdrive propulsion concept in the 1950s as a spin-off from his attempts to heal the biggest divide in physics: the rift between quantum mechanics and Einstein's general theory of relativity.

Quantum theory describes the realm of the very small - atoms, electrons and elementary particles - while general relativity deals with gravity. The two theories are immensely successful in their separate spheres. The clash arises when it comes to describing the basic structure of space. In general relativity, space-time is an active, malleable fabric. It has four dimensions - three of space and one of time - that deform when masses are placed in them. In Einstein's formulation, the force of gravity is a result of the deformation of these dimensions. Quantum theory, on the other hand, demands that space is a fixed and passive stage, something simply there for particles to exist on. It also suggests that space itself must somehow be made up of discrete, quantum elements.

In the early 1950s, Heim began to rewrite the equations of general relativity in a quantum framework. He drew on Einstein's idea that the gravitational force emerges from the dimensions of

space and time, but suggested that all fundamental forces, including electromagnetism, might emerge from a new, different set of dimensions. Originally he had four extra dimensions, but he discarded two of them believing that they did not produce any forces, and settled for adding a new two-dimensional "sub-space" onto Einstein's four-dimensional space-time.

In Heim's six-dimensional world, the forces of gravity and electromagnetism are coupled together. Even in our familiar four-dimensional world, we can see a link between the two forces through the behaviour of fundamental particles such as the electron. An electron has both mass and charge. When an electron falls under the pull of gravity its moving electric charge creates a magnetic field. And if you use an electromagnetic field to accelerate an electron you move the gravitational field associated with its mass. But in the four dimensions we know, you cannot change the strength of gravity simply by cranking up the electromagnetic field.

In Heim's view of space and time, this limitation disappears. He claimed it is possible to convert electromagnetic energy into gravitational and back again, and speculated that a rotating magnetic field could reduce the influence of gravity on a spacecraft enough for it to take off.

When he presented his idea in public in 1957, he became an instant celebrity. Wernher von Braun, the German engineer who at the time was leading the Saturn rocket programme that later launched astronauts to the moon, approached Heim about his work and asked whether the expensive Saturn rockets were worthwhile. And in a letter in 1964, the German relativity theorist Pascual Jordan, who had worked with the distinguished physicists Max Born and Werner Heisenberg and was a member of the Nobel committee, told Heim that his plan was so important "that its successful experimental treatment would without doubt make the researcher a candidate for the Nobel prize".

But all this attention only led Heim to retreat from the public eye. This was partly because of his severe multiple disabilities, caused by a lab accident when he was still in his teens. But Heim was also reluctant to disclose his theory without an experiment to prove it. He never learned English because he did not want his work to leave the country. As a result, very few people knew about his work and no one came up with the necessary research funding. In 1958 the aerospace company B=F6lkow did offer some money, but not enough to do the proposed experiment.

While Heim waited for more money to come in, the company's director, Ludwig B=F6lkow, encouraged him to develop his theory further. Heim took his advice, and one of the results was a theorem that led to a series of formulae for calculating the masses of the fundamental particles - something conventional theories have conspicuously failed to achieve. He outlined this work in 1977 in the Max Planck Institute's journal Zeitschrift f=FCr Naturforschung, his only peer-reviewed paper. In an abstruse way that few physicists even claim to understand, the formulae work out a particle's mass starting from physical characteristics, such as its charge and angular momentum.

Yet the theorem has proved surprisingly powerful. The standard model of physics, which is generally accepted as the best available theory of elementary particles, is incapable of predicting a particle's mass. Even the accepted means of estimating mass theoretically, known as lattice quantum chromodynamics, only gets to between 1 and 10 per cent of the experimental values.

#### Gravity reduction

But in 1982, when researchers at the German Electron Synchrotron (DESY) in Hamburg implemented Heim's mass theorem in a computer program, it predicted masses of fundamental particles that matched the measured values to within the accuracy of experimental error. If they are let down by anything, it is the precision to which we know the values of the fundamental constants. Two years after Heim's death in 2001, his long-term collaborator Illobrand von Ludwiger calculated the mass formula using a more accurate gravitational constant. "The masses came out even more precise," he says.

After publishing the mass formulae, Heim never really looked at hyperspace propulsion again. Instead, in response to requests for more information about the theory behind the mass predictions, he spent all his time detailing his ideas in three books published in German. It was only in 1980, when the first of his books came to the attention of a retired Austrian patent officer called Walter Dr=F6scher, that the hyperspace propulsion idea came back to life. Dr=F6scher looked again at Heim's ideas and produced an "extended" version, resurrecting the dimensions that Heim originally discarded. The result is "Heim-Dr=F6scher space", a mathematical description of an eight-dimensional universe.

From this, Dr=F6scher claims, you can derive the four forces known in physics: the gravitational and electromagnetic forces, and the strong and weak nuclear forces. But there's more to it than that. "If Heim's picture is to make sense," Dr=F6scher says, "we are forced to postulate two more fundamental forces." These are, Dr=F6scher claims, related to the familiar gravitational force: one is a repulsive anti-gravity similar to the dark energy that appears to be causing the universe's expansion to accelerate. And the other might be used to accelerate a spacecraft without any rocket fuel.

This force is a result of the interaction of Heim's fifth and sixth dimensions and the extra dimensions that Dr=F6scher introduced. It produces pairs of "gravitophotons", particles that mediate the interconversion of electromagnetic and gravitational energy. Dr=F6scher teamed up with Jochem H=E4user, a physicist and professor of computer science at the University of Applied Sciences in Salzgitter, Germany, to turn the theoretical framework into a proposal for an experimental test. The paper they produced, "Guidelines for a space propulsion device based on Heim's quantum theory", is what won the AIAA's award last year.

Claims of the possibility of "gravity reduction" or "anti-gravity" induced by magnetic fields have been investigated by NASA before (New Scientist, 12 January 2002, p 24). But this one, Dr=F6scher insists, is different. "Our theory is not about anti-gravity. It's about completely new fields with new properties," he says. And he and H=E4user have suggested an experiment to prove it.

This will require a huge rotating ring placed above a superconducting coil to create an intense magnetic field. With a large enough current in the coil, and a large enough magnetic field, Dr=F6scher claims the electromagnetic force can reduce the gravitational pull on the ring to the point where it floats free. Dr=F6scher and H=E4user say that to completely counter Earth's pull on a 150-tonne spacecraft a magnetic field of around 25 tesla would be needed. While that's 500,000 times the strength of Earth's magnetic field, pulsed magnets briefly reach field strengths up to 80 tesla. And Dr=F6scher and H=E4user go further. With a faster-spinning ring and an even stronger magnetic field, gravitophotons would interact with conventional gravity to produce a repulsive anti-gravity force, they suggest.

Dr=F6scher is hazy about the details, but he suggests that a spacecraft fitted with a coil and ring could be propelled into a multidimensional hyperspace. Here the constants of nature could be different, and even the speed of light could be several times faster than we experience. If this happens, it would be possible to reach Mars in less than 3 hours and a star 11 light years away in only 80 days, Dr=F6scher and H=E4user say.

So is this all fanciful nonsense, or a revolution in the making? The majority of physicists have never heard of Heim theory, and most of those contacted by New Scientist said they couldn't make sense of Dr=F6scher and H=E4user's description of the theory behind their proposed experiment. Following Heim theory is hard work even without Dr=F6scher's extension, says Markus P=F6ssel, a theoretical physicist at the Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics in Potsdam, Germany. Several years ago, while an undergraduate at the University of Hamburg, he took a careful look at Heim theory. He says he finds it "largely incomprehensible", and difficult to tie in with today's physics. "What is needed is a step-by-step introduction, beginning at modern physical concepts," he says.





[prmullen.nul](#) [Office]  
[prmullen.nul](#) [Backup]

"Whatever nature has in store for mankind,  
unpleasant as it may be, men must accept,  
for ignorance is never better than knowledge."

--Enrico Fermi

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 6](#)

## Are Aliens Watching Us?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 13:47:10 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 13:47:10 -0500  
Subject: Are Aliens Watching Us?

Source: The Lincolnshire Echo

<http://tinyurl.com/7zj5z>

05 January 2006

Are Aliens Watching Us?

Spooked residents claim to have seen alien spaceships hovering over Lincolnshire.

Two groups of people, who have all denied being under the influence of alcohol or drugs, said they saw the UFOs on December 30 and 31.

They both claim that glowing objects hovered over them for a few seconds and then silently disappeared.

No official radar records exist for the dates in question as RAF Waddington's air traffic control centre was closed.

And the police say that they have received no reports of other sightings.

But Beth Clarkson (58), of Dene Close, Skellingthorpe, is convinced that she saw a UFO. She was at a caravan convention at the Memorial Hall in Wellingore when it happened.

"It was about 5pm on Saturday. I was fetching some water for the van with another man when he just gasped and pointed to the sky. There was this orange cone shaped object burning in the sky. It hovered for a while and then headed off towards Waddington. There was no sound, it was really eerie."

Mum Sandy Gregory of St Peter's Avenue, Boultham, Lincoln, had a similar experience the next day.

"My family and neighbours were stood out front at about midday and the strangest thing flew past at about tree height. It was like a plate with a flame on it and it was going at about 20mph," she said. "Then it flew over Boultham Park and went towards the city. We don't know what it was - and no we weren't drunk."

In May last year the Echo used the Freedom of Information Act to access information on UFO sightings across the county.

Documents revealed that six unidentified flying objects had been reported in Lincolnshire's skies over the past five years.

Flight Lieutenant Roger Steel, who works in air traffic control at RAF Waddington, said: "We only started operating the radar again yesterday and it was out of action from December 21 for Christmas so we have no records to check whether we picked anything up or not. "There are a number of possible conventional explanations.

"Obviously it could be aircraft. Since the opening of the new Robin Hood airport there's been a lot more activity. And there is also the possibility of the Humberside Police helicopter. This uses a powerful search light that creates a column of light. And the sound of the helicopter can often be blown away if there is a stiff breeze."

Lincolnshire Police spokesman Tony Diggins said the force had received no UFO sighting reports over the festive season. "And to our knowledge the Humberside chopper was not out."

In the know

Under the Freedom of Information Act the Echo received details of all official UFO sightings reported to the military over the past five years.

The records show six sightings involving seven objects reported to personnel in the county.

All of the sightings were made by residents or motorists who claimed to have seen unexplained objects.

But no reports explaining the sightings or showing the results of further investigations into their authenticity have been released.

The sightings include reports of objects shaped like triangles, torpedoes or even cylinders. Others were recorded as "glowing" and "lights" in the night sky.

The objects were reported to hover in the air, move silently, race across the sky and even spin. One "speeding light" was said to have "turned 180 degrees back on itself instantaneously".

Another was reported to have hovered in the air before it "shot off creating a blue and white light some 30ft long".

In November 2001 a resident of Gonerby, Grantham, reported that his house had started to shake and had experienced a power cut when a "glowing object" appeared over it.

MoD records report the caller seeing what "looked like a missile" moving very fast.

"Caller believes it could not have been an aircraft as it did not have wings," read the report.

At the time the RAF reported no flights in the area.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 7](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 6 Jan 2006 20:32:51 -0000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 08:02:57 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Shough

>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 18:46:30 +0000  
>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 13:43:32 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 20:28:51 +0000  
>>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>>>From: Cathy Reason <[CathyM.nul](mailto:CathyM.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 18:01:46 -0000  
>>>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

><snip>

>>>Cathy and List,

>>>Maybe I'm just a stubborn, linear-thinking Occamite, but  
>>>thinking about a totally off-the-wall, ill-informed,  
>>>ScientificWild-Ass Guess (SWAG) in terms of  
>>>"dynamical syetems formalism"or any other elaborate  
>>>theoretical scheme seems to me overkill and absolutely  
unnecessary.

<snip>

>>Fermi's question remains interesting even though we take UFO  
>>reports seriously. Even if we strongly suspect something  
>>analogous to ET visitation as the nearest notion within our  
>>scientific purview, this doesn't mean that we know enough  
>>about the UFOs or the cosmos to grasp what this really means.  
>>Fermi's "paradox" isn't just about "Why aren't they here?" but  
>>about "Why aren't they everywhere\_".

>Pardon, me Martin, but this is just baloney. How could Fermi or  
>anyone else verify or falsify "Why aren't they everywhere?"

Hello Dick

I feel that you are over-reacting against discussion of the so-called Fermi Paradox because of the way that it has been thoughtlessly invoked by idiots as a "proof" that there can't be any UFOs. Whatever Fermi may personally have thought, such circular thinking is indeed "baloney" and very irritating. But conversely, to say "Look, here are these UFOs, so therefore Fermi's Paradox must be baloney" is, well, baloney. Fermi's Paradox survives all the baloney because, properly formulated, it appeals over the heads of these arguments to something more general.

I understand why people may want to scream "We can't know anything about alien life! Anything is possible! We [present

company excepted] are mere primitive slime!" But I don't think we can be happy with this, even though the usual hide-bound debunker alternative is less attractive still. We have to try and find ways to make connections with the rest of science and find contexts where UFO observations might eventually have some evidential power. The recent Maccabee et al. inflation paper is an illustration. The cosmos is already looking like a very different place from the one in which the old battle between the Markowicz and Keyholes was fought with rockets and interplanetary travel times - and the night is yet young!

We look out at the cosmos and we observe that it is not (to all appearances) transformed by technological activity. Other than our own activity, this appears to be true on all scales of nature: The surface of the earth, the solar system, the stellar neighbourhood, the em background, the galaxy, the local cluster, supercluster complexes, right up to the smooth CMB. That this is what astrophysicists and cosmologists see, when they look up, is a simple fact, not at all hard to verify by anyone, and perfectly falsifiable - by observing something different.

Now of course people - some of them astrophysicists even - do experience things which lead us to question the meaning of this observation. One of these things is the UFO phenomenon here in our local cultural environment. UFOs might be held to falsify certain inferences that people make from Fermi's Paradox, but they do not falsify the observation that underpins it: The cosmos does not, as far as we can see, appear to be transformed on any scale by technological activity other than our own.

Fermi's so-called Paradox is similar to Olbers' so-called Paradox, repopularised by Bondi in the 1950s (about the same time) in the context of the big bang v. steady state debate: The night sky is dark and cold. Why, when it could be bright and hot?

Whilst the angular area of any given stellar surface decreases as the square of the distance, the number of stellar surfaces potentially increases as the square of the distance, so there needs to be a good reason why the night sky is not uniformly as bright as the surface of the sun. General relativity supplies one solution: the universe is young and growing, and not infinite and eternal. Thermal inequilibrium implies cosmic time.

Or you can look at it the other way around: Why are there any stars at all instead of just a uniform heat bath smoothing out the temperature gradient of thousands of K between the stars and the space between? However you look at it this is a question of thermodynamic equilibrium: We observe that this universe is far from the statistically-most-likely state of a universe selected at random from a notional ensemble of universes, and far from its own most stable state.

These are profound reflections because they seem to associate the existence of conscious observers with a complex dynamical system in what we conceive to be a highly improbable state.

Fermi's Paradox is possibly equally profound. As it is in the nature of stars to use gravitational negentropy to process light elements into radiation, so it is in the nature of life to metabolise entropy into local order and expand to colonise available niches. Fungi, bacteria and humans colonise. This is a general property not a specific one: living systems process energy into multiplying complexity as long as the energy is available and there is an ecospace for them to move into. Our accelerating technological culture seems to be an expression of a fundamental property of far-from-equilibrium systems. So, as we need an explanation of why the universe does not appear to be in thermal equilibrium, we may also need an explanation of why it is not in technological equilibrium.

This is an "obvious" non-problem to the baloney-sellers: "Well they are the same issue aren't they? The universe is young and physics proves that rockets can't reach the speed of light etc.etc." (you know the script) so no technological culture has had time to metabolise and transform more than its own invisible tiny corner of the cosmos. But it's far from simple as soon as we start to think about what a universe in technological equilibrium is, and how we would recognise it, because obviously all properties of a universe completely transformed by technology would have been selected for, in the process of

transformation. And that may includes us, as well as an unknowable proportion (up to 100%) of the physics in which we find that our existence is implicit.

So maybe this is one extreme answer to our "paradox": We deny that we can truly tell the difference between the two equilibrium solutions of the Fermi Paradox because a universe devoid of technology is indistinguishable, a priori, from a universe totally saturated and transformed by technology - except that technological transformation is a way of understanding the gravitational negentropy that powers cosmic dynamics. Thus, maybe the universe has been driven to a technological equilibrium, and one consequence of this is the thermodynamically far-from-equilibrium state giving rise to complex structure from supercluster complexes down to particle interactions, and us.

Another way of looking at it would be to see this as an alternative to an anthropic point of view. The anthropic view says that only universes which are not driven to thermodynamic equilibrium are interesting enough to contain complex technological cultures, so it is inevitable that we find ourselves in such a far-from-equilibrium universe. There's an inescapable circular logic to this. But physicists don't traditionally like anthropic arguments, and the technological equilibrium hypothesis looks like a possible way of avoiding this one.

(In terms of the various current elaborations of the Standard Cosmological Model, both approaches would imply a multiverse, in order to provide a meaningful state-space for "selection". I don't necessarily subscribe to this myself, but that's another story.)

I could go on, but you may say, To hell with all this, give me the simple fact that there are UFOs flying around which directly proves ETH and short-circuits all this "swagging". But the UFO phenomenon isn't a simple fact is it? It is a complex, bewildering set of internally inconsistent facts almost none of which is unambiguously understood. True, if we cut and trim until we have removed from this mess everything but the reports of "craft" we can make a case that we are being visited by your "random explorers" and "atom-bomb explosion investigators".

OK then, let's say we try and live with this "fact". The ET culture(s) responsible are then not so advanced technically that they have been able to saturate the cosmos at large or manipulate galactic mass-energy on a detectable scale or anything like this. They are organic creatures exploring and investigating the earth by means of aerospace technology that we can almost begin to speculate about. Their impact on the universe appears not much different from ours. We can relate to them. They are not gods. They are cosmic primitives, like we are.

But this is where the difference between stable and unstable solutions comes in. We are proposing a state of almost-zero technological transformation, which almost, but not quite, satisfies one extremum solution of the Fermi Paradox. The cosmos is very, very nearly empty of intelligence after 13.7 billion years. But not quite.

Now it is possible that we are unique and special and that no other intelligent technological culture would ever have expanded and proliferated into energy-rich cosmic niches as we are prone to do. But there is no reason to believe this (wouldn't it be arrogant?) based on what we know of the general behaviour of far-from-equilibrium organic systems. And if "they" are here because of the exploratory curiosity that you suggest then they do indeed behave like us. Too much like us perhaps, and too little like the cosmos-spanning superspecies that, if life were commonplace, could by now have transformed the galaxies. On the other hand if life is so rarely seeded that we ought not to expect its spores to have colonised very widely, what is so much of it apparently doing flying around Earth here and now?

I don't say that any of these premises or inferences are cast iron. But they deserve thinking about and making clearer. It is not just a lot of old off-the-wall wild-assed baloney that we should wave off with contempt. Fermi had a point.

I think it is analogous to the problem of the near-zero "cosmological constant", a factor which every calculation and every instinct suggests ought to be either exactly zero or so enormous that the universe is ripped apart. Yet it appears to have this highly unstable and vastly improbable value which is almost, but\_not\_quite\_, zero. Perhaps this is not just an analogy. From some of the above we can see the possibility that the UFO problem could be indirectly connected to the question of why the structure of the universe is far from equilibrium.

>And what does colonization have to do with anything in the >first place? It is just another blatant assumption in the Fermi >utterance that evidence of ETH visitors would come only via >colonization. How about random explorers? ETs off on a joy ride >and chancing by? ETs detecting our atomic weapon explosions >and coming to investigate?

See above I think.

>>"They" (whoever or whatever "they" are in our particular case) >>could well be here, and Fermi still has a point. Why don't we >>appear to see astrophysical evidence of Dyson spheres, or >>other massive engineering on planetary, stellar, or even >>galactic scales? Why isn't observable space saturated with >>material and informational artefacts of all kinds?

>What point?

The point you are missing.

>These are red herrings. They are far from the only >methods or approaches to space travel and merely reflect human >arrogance. The same is present in the initial allegedly >scientific reactions to UFOs in 1947 and 1948 when consulted >scientists essentially asked: "Why don't UFOs behave like we >think space visitors should?" They remained aloof and didn't >immediately contact us upon arrival. To me, that is a sign of >intelligent ETs!

I'm afraid these are the red herrings. You are still missing the point.

>>Not that we should see such things; but we might, given >>the potential for billions of years of scientific and >>technological evolution. That we don't see them is an >>interesting fact, not an "off-the-wall wild-assed guess".

>Then if we don't see them, it is utterly irrelevant to the >central question. And we don't even know that it is a fact as >opposed to an assumption or presumption.

So a negative observational result is utterly irrelevant? Well, that's a great prescription for good science! OK let's pretend instead that we do see indications of galactic-scale harvesting of energy and materials, or that we do see ancient planetary re-engineering all over the asteroid belt, or that we do detect structured information encoded in the 23 cm radio or gamma ray bursts or the inflationary power spectrum, or wherever (you want a longer list? be my guest). Would that still be utterly irrelevant? No, I guess not.

A negative result is still a result.

>>As somebody said, "In science, the silly question is often the >>first intimation of some totally new development." We can't be >>certain that such questions are irrelevant either to us or to >>our "visitors". You may feel certain, but that is just a wild- >>assed guess in my opinion.

>>When we look around we find that the universe is ordered in >>certain interesting respects that we don't understand. In >>general, where does technology end and natural order begin? >>Can we even define a distinction that has universal meaning? >>What are the ultimate "limits to growth"? Ultimately, what >>is the universe, and what is the true equilibrium state of a >>universe with intelligent agents in it?

>RH: ????

Maybe I've now explained better where I was coming from.



>>I think attempts to think clearly about difficult and subtle  
>>problems are always welcome. They're rare enough.

>If Cathy was attempting to frame some conceptual approach to  
>the issues suggested by Fermi, it went right over my head and I  
>apologize to her. However, I think Fermi presented us only with  
>an off-the-wall, falsely and illogically stated position in the  
>first place.

I disagree. I think it was very insightful, but in its original  
form it has unfortunately become a cliché. It doesn't say we  
should stop thinking about UFOs and the ETH. It says we should  
think more, and more carefully, about UFOs and the ETH. Which is  
what we were all going to do anyway, right? ;o)

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 7](#)

## Take A Leap Into Hyperspace

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 16:36:45 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 08:16:40 -0500  
Subject: Take A Leap Into Hyperspace

Source: NewScientist.com News Service

<http://www.newscientist.com/article/mg18925331.200>

05 January 2006

Take A Leap Into Hyperspace  
Haiko Lietz

Every year, the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics awards prizes for the best papers presented at its annual conference. Last year's winner in the nuclear and future flight category went to a paper calling for experimental tests of an astonishing new type of engine. According to the paper, this hyperdrive motor would propel a craft through another dimension at enormous speeds. It could leave Earth at lunchtime and get to the moon in time for dinner. There's just one catch: the idea relies on an obscure and largely unrecognised kind of physics. Can they possibly be serious?

The AIAA is certainly not embarrassed. What's more, the US military has begun to cast its eyes over the hyperdrive concept, and a space propulsion researcher at the US Department of Energy's Sandia National Laboratories has said he would be interested in putting the idea to the test. And despite the bafflement of most physicists at the theory that supposedly underpins it, Pavlos Mikellides, an aerospace engineer at the Arizona State University in Tempe who reviewed the winning paper, stands by the committee's choice. "Even though such features have been explored before, this particular approach is quite unique," he says.

Unique it certainly is. If the experiment gets the go-ahead and works, it could reveal new interactions between the fundamental forces of nature that would change the future of space travel. Forget spending six months or more holed up in a rocket on the way to Mars, a round trip on the hyperdrive could take as little as 5 hours. All our worries about astronauts' muscles wasting away or their DNA being irreparably damaged by cosmic radiation would disappear overnight. What's more the device would put travel to the stars within reach for the first time. But can the hyperdrive really get off the ground?

The answer to that question hinges on the work of a little-known German physicist. Burkhard Heim began to explore the hyperdrive propulsion concept in the 1950s as a spin-off from his attempts to heal the biggest divide in physics: the rift between quantum mechanics and Einstein's general theory of relativity.

Quantum theory describes the realm of the very small - atoms, electrons and elementary particles - while general relativity deals with gravity. The two theories are immensely successful in their separate spheres. The clash arises when it comes to describing the basic structure of space. In general relativity, space-time is an active, malleable fabric. It has four dimensions - three of space and one of time - that deform when masses are placed in them. In Einstein's formulation, the force

of gravity is a result of the deformation of these dimensions. Quantum theory, on the other hand, demands that space is a fixed and passive stage, something simply there for particles to exist on. It also suggests that space itself must somehow be made up of discrete, quantum elements.

In the early 1950s, Heim began to rewrite the equations of general relativity in a quantum framework. He drew on Einstein's idea that the gravitational force emerges from the dimensions of space and time, but suggested that all fundamental forces, including electromagnetism, might emerge from a new, different set of dimensions. Originally he had four extra dimensions, but he discarded two of them believing that they did not produce any forces, and settled for adding a new two-dimensional "sub-space" onto Einstein's four-dimensional space-time.

In Heim's six-dimensional world, the forces of gravity and electromagnetism are coupled together. Even in our familiar four-dimensional world, we can see a link between the two forces through the behaviour of fundamental particles such as the electron. An electron has both mass and charge. When an electron falls under the pull of gravity its moving electric charge creates a magnetic field. And if you use an electromagnetic field to accelerate an electron you move the gravitational field associated with its mass. But in the four dimensions we know, you cannot change the strength of gravity simply by cranking up the electromagnetic field.

In Heim's view of space and time, this limitation disappears. He claimed it is possible to convert electromagnetic energy into gravitational and back again, and speculated that a rotating magnetic field could reduce the influence of gravity on a spacecraft enough for it to take off.

When he presented his idea in public in 1957, he became an instant celebrity. Wernher von Braun, the German engineer who at the time was leading the Saturn rocket programme that later launched astronauts to the moon, approached Heim about his work and asked whether the expensive Saturn rockets were worthwhile. And in a letter in 1964, the German relativity theorist Pascual Jordan, who had worked with the distinguished physicists Max Born and Werner Heisenberg and was a member of the Nobel committee, told Heim that his plan was so important "that its successful experimental treatment would without doubt make the researcher a candidate for the Nobel prize".

But all this attention only led Heim to retreat from the public eye. This was partly because of his severe multiple disabilities, caused by a lab accident when he was still in his teens. But Heim was also reluctant to disclose his theory without an experiment to prove it. He never learned English because he did not want his work to leave the country. As a result, very few people knew about his work and no one came up with the necessary research funding. In 1958 the aerospace company Bolkow did offer some money, but not enough to do the proposed experiment.

While Heim waited for more money to come in, the company's director, Ludwig Bolkow, encouraged him to develop his theory further. Heim took his advice, and one of the results was a theorem that led to a series of formulae for calculating the masses of the fundamental particles - something conventional theories have conspicuously failed to achieve. He outlined this work in 1977 in the Max Planck Institute's journal *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung*, his only peer-reviewed paper. In an abstruse way that few physicists even claim to understand, the formulae work out a particle's mass starting from physical characteristics, such as its charge and angular momentum.

Yet the theorem has proved surprisingly powerful. The standard model of physics, which is generally accepted as the best available theory of elementary particles, is incapable of predicting a particle's mass. Even the accepted means of estimating mass theoretically, known as lattice quantum chromodynamics, only gets to between 1 and 10 per cent of the experimental values. Gravity reduction

But in 1982, when researchers at the German Electron Synchrotron (DESY) in Hamburg implemented Heim's mass theorem in a computer program, it predicted masses of fundamental particles that matched the measured values to within the accuracy of

experimental error. If they are let down by anything, it is the precision to which we know the values of the fundamental constants. Two years after Heim's death in 2001, his long-term collaborator Illobrand von Ludwiger calculated the mass formula using a more accurate gravitational constant. "The masses came out even more precise," he says.

After publishing the mass formulae, Heim never really looked at hyperspace propulsion again. Instead, in response to requests for more information about the theory behind the mass predictions, he spent all his time detailing his ideas in three books published in German. It was only in 1980, when the first of his books came to the attention of a retired Austrian patent officer called Walter Droscher, that the hyperspace propulsion idea came back to life. Droscher looked again at Heim's ideas and produced an "extended" version, resurrecting the dimensions that Heim originally discarded. The result is "Heim-Droscher space", a mathematical description of an eight-dimensional universe.

From this, Droscher claims, you can derive the four forces known in physics: the gravitational and electromagnetic forces, and the strong and weak nuclear forces. But there's more to it than that. "If Heim's picture is to make sense," Droscher says, "we are forced to postulate two more fundamental forces." These are, Droscher claims, related to the familiar gravitational force: one is a repulsive anti-gravity similar to the dark energy that appears to be causing the universe's expansion to accelerate. And the other might be used to accelerate a spacecraft without any rocket fuel.

This force is a result of the interaction of Heim's fifth and sixth dimensions and the extra dimensions that Droscher introduced. It produces pairs of "gravitophotons", particles that mediate the interconversion of electromagnetic and gravitational energy. Droscher teamed up with Jochem H=E4user, a physicist and professor of computer science at the University of Applied Sciences in Salzgitter, Germany, to turn the theoretical framework into a proposal for an experimental test. The paper they produced, "Guidelines for a space propulsion device based on Heim's quantum theory", is what won the AIAA's award last year.

Claims of the possibility of "gravity reduction" or "anti-gravity" induced by magnetic fields have been investigated by NASA before (New Scientist, 12 January 2002, p 24). But this one, Droscher insists, is different. "Our theory is not about anti-gravity. It's about completely new fields with new properties," he says. And he and H=E4user have suggested an experiment to prove it.

This will require a huge rotating ring placed above a superconducting coil to create an intense magnetic field. With a large enough current in the coil, and a large enough magnetic field, Droscher claims the electromagnetic force can reduce the gravitational pull on the ring to the point where it floats free. Droscher and H=E4user say that to completely counter Earth's pull on a 150-tonne spacecraft a magnetic field of around 25 tesla would be needed. While that's 500,000 times the strength of Earth's magnetic field, pulsed magnets briefly reach field strengths up to 80 tesla. And Droscher and H=E4user go further. With a faster-spinning ring and an even stronger magnetic field, gravitophotons would interact with conventional gravity to produce a repulsive anti-gravity force, they suggest.

Droscher is hazy about the details, but he suggests that a spacecraft fitted with a coil and ring could be propelled into a multidimensional hyperspace. Here the constants of nature could be different, and even the speed of light could be several times faster than we experience. If this happens, it would be possible to reach Mars in less than 3 hours and a star 11 light years away in only 80 days, Droscher and H=E4user say.

So is this all fanciful nonsense, or a revolution in the making? The majority of physicists have never heard of Heim theory, and most of those contacted by New Scientist said they couldn't make sense of Droscher and H=E4user's description of the theory behind their proposed experiment. Following Heim theory is hard work even without Droscher's extension, says Markus Possel, a theoretical physicist at the Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics in Potsdam, Germany. Several years ago,

while an undergraduate at the University of Hamburg, he took a careful look at Heim theory. He says he finds it "largely incomprehensible", and difficult to tie in with today's physics. "What is needed is a step-by-step introduction, beginning at modern physical concepts," he says.

The general consensus seems to be that Droscher and H=E4user's theory is incomplete at best, and certainly extremely difficult to follow. And it has not passed any normal form of peer review, a fact that surprised the AIAA prize reviewers when they made their decision. "It seemed to be quite developed and ready for such publication," Mikellides told New Scientist.

At the moment, the main reason for taking the proposal seriously must be Heim theory's uncannily successful prediction of particle masses. Maybe, just maybe, Heim theory really does have something to contribute to modern physics. "As far as I understand it, Heim theory is ingenious," says Hans Theodor Auerbach, a theoretical physicist at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Zurich who worked with Heim. "I think that physics will take this direction in the future."

It may be a long while before we find out if he's right. In its present design, Droscher and H=E4user's experiment requires a magnetic coil several metres in diameter capable of sustaining an enormous current density. Most engineers say that this is not feasible with existing materials and technology, but Roger Lenard, a space propulsion researcher at Sandia National Laboratories in New Mexico thinks it might just be possible. Sandia runs an X-ray generator known as the Z machine which "could probably generate the necessary field intensities and gradients".

For now, though, Lenard considers the theory too shaky to justify the use of the Z machine. "I would be very interested in getting Sandia interested if we could get a more perspicacious introduction to the mathematics behind the proposed experiment," he says. "Even if the results are negative, that, in my mind, is a successful experiment."

=46rom issue 2533 of New Scientist magazine, 05 January 2006, page 24

Who was Burkhard Heim?

Burkhard Heim had a remarkable life. Born in 1925 in Potsdam, Germany, he decided at the age of 6 that he wanted to become a rocket scientist. He disguised his designs in code so that no one could discover his secret. And in the cellar of his parents' house, he experimented with high explosives. But this was to lead to disaster.

Towards the end of the second world war, he worked as an explosives developer, and an accident in 1944 in which a device exploded in his hands left him permanently disabled. He lost both his forearms, along with 90 per cent of his hearing and eyesight.

After the war, he attended university in Gottingen to study physics. The idea of propelling a spacecraft using quantum mechanics rather than rocket fuel led him to study general relativity and quantum mechanics. It took an enormous effort. =46rom 1948, his father and wife replaced his senses, spending hours reading papers and transcribing his calculations onto paper. And he developed a photographic memory.

Supporters of Heim theory claim that it is a panacea for the troubles in modern physics. They say it unites quantum mechanics and general relativity, can predict the masses of the building blocks of matter from first principles, and can even explain the state of the universe 13.7 billion years ago.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 7](#)

## Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 17:28:15 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 08:18:46 -0500  
Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -

>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 5 Jan 2006 20:38:34 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process

<snip>

>>There is a little confusion from microwave audio effects, in  
>>that the beginning of the phenomenon was the hearing of a  
>>buzzing sound in the skulls of World War II radar technicians.  
>>The buzz is the result of individual pulses, one single pulse  
>>causing a single click.

>I'm afraid you are again confusing carrier and modulation. A  
>radar pulse is a modulation of the radar carrier wave, and is  
>typically in the order of several hundred Hz - the bee-buzz. The  
>radar transmitter is silent for almost all of the time,

I know that. I actually own a low power microwave voice to skull transmitter, and what I lack is someone with the ability to interface it to a high power stage preserving pulse width and sharpness. A senior radar engineer and ham radio operator offered to, but he started getting harassed too and withdrew his offer, quite understandably.

We had hoped to use this equipment to demonstrate the reality of voice to skull.

>occasionally emitting the very short sharp pulses you mention,  
>each a burst of the carrier frequency (say MHz or GHz), at a  
>pulse-modulated frequency of a few hundred Hz. This is what a  
>bee-buzz has to do with microwave audio. OK?

Right, a repetition rate of a few hundred short microwave pulses per second. I understand that too.

What I did not understand was how microwave hearing could apply to a rural 1917 event. From the emails I've seen, the email apparently was a hint that UFOs might have been using microwave voice to skull to cause hearing of the BVM apparition. I didn't see that in the original; that's all there was to my original inquiry.

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 7](#)

## Warp Drive Science Fact Not Fiction?

From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 21:28:33 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 08:23:57 -0500  
Subject: Warp Drive Science Fact Not Fiction?

FWD (SK) Re: "Warp" drive: Science fact, not fiction?

-----

On Fri, 6 Jan 2006, Leonard R. Cleavelin wrote:

>Welcome to Mars express: only a three hour trip

><http://news.scotsman.com/scitech.cfm?id=16902006>

>AN EXTRAORDINARY "hyperspace" engine that could make  
>interstellar space travel a reality by flying into other  
>dimensions is being investigated by the United States  
>government.

I'm afraid that's science reporting pretty much at its worst, and I'm very much disappointed with New Scientist for the way this was presented. (Or did I have too high an opinion of that magazine to start with?)

Fact is that the man they're talking about, Burkhard Heim, who worked out the theory in question as his personal take on the problem of quantum gravity, never managed to write it down in a way comprehensible to the relevant experts - the people working in elementary particle physics, general relativity and so on. (And, as such, he never managed to publish his theory in the regular way in a research journal.)

A long time ago, I tried to read the books he eventually published on his theory, but I gave up pretty soon. In the opening chapters, it seemed that he got even ordinary Newtonian physics and basic special relativity wrong, and after that, the exposition proceeded in logical jumps that I couldn't follow at all.

[The reason I came across Heim's books in the first place is, by the way, very much on topic for this list: Pretty much the only people who tried to come to terms with what Heim was doing were some gentlemen from MUFON-CES, the Central European Section of the Mutual UFO Network, and they were interested in how, using Heim's theory, one might be able to explain certain UFO manifestations (energy coming from higher dimensions?). Those also appear to be the prime movers behind what little PR there is for the theory, for instance the website [www.heim-theory.com](http://www.heim-theory.com), and they're quoted in the New Scientist article as well.]

So if the kind people from New Scientist had behaved in a responsible manner, they a) either wouldn't have given this theory any publicity at all, or b) they would have written their article in a way that makes the following crucial fact clear to any reader: This theory hasn't even passed the first hurdle for scientific theories. It has never been set out in a form that is comprehensible to the relevant subsection of the scientific community, and thus it hasn't been subjected to any of the critical scrutiny and discussion that are part of the scientific quality assurance process. Consequently, it fails even the most basic requirements that any scientific theory must meet before it can be taken seriously.

The Scotsman, by the way, manages to present matters even less accurately than New Scientist. Take this paragraph:

"The US air force has expressed an interest in the idea and scientists working for the American Department of Energy - which has a device known as the Z Machine that could generate the kind of magnetic fields required to drive the engine - say they may carry out a test if the theory withstands further scrutiny."

Nope, sorry, wrong. "[F]urther scrutiny"? Why, what scrutiny has the theory withstood so far? And if you go back to the "scientists" in question, namely to Roger Lenard from Sandia National Laboratories who is quoted in the New Scientist article, you'll find that he doesn't talk about further scrutiny either:

"For now, though, Lenard considers the theory too shaky to justify the use of the Z machine. "I would be very interested in getting Sandia interested if we could get a more perspicacious introduction to the mathematics behind the proposed experiment," he says."

...in other words, and put very politely, could someone please write this theory down in a comprehensible manner before we think about bringing a rather expensive piece of research equipment into play?

Ah, well. So much for New Scientist's, and The Scotsman's, standards of science reporting.

Best regards from Berlin,

Markus Poessel  
[a physicist]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 7](#)

## Three New UFO Studies For 6 States

From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 7 Jan 2006 02:58:28 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 08:25:54 -0500  
Subject: Three New UFO Studies For 6 States

Hello all:

Another installment on my special State Studies series. Here are three new studies, two states each:

Wisconsin and Minnesota:

<http://www.larryhatch.net/MNWI.html>

Georgia and Alabama:

<http://www.larryhatch.net/GAAL.html>

Colorado and Wyoming:

<http://www.larryhatch.net/COWY.html>

I'm doing this in order of sightings counts. As I work my way down the list, smaller statistics make poorer graphs & charts so I combine states.

Please forward this message to anyone who may be interested in these states.

Criticism and corrections are always welcome.

I have already corrected some embarrassing errors because of reader feedback.

Best wishes

- Larry Hatch

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 7](#)

## Red Rain Phenomenon Of Kerala ET?

From: William Wise <[will.nul](mailto:will.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 07:54:34 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 08:30:43 -0500  
Subject: Red Rain Phenomenon Of Kerala ET?

Source: Astrophysics and Space Science

<http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0601022>

-----

Astrophysics, abstract  
astro-ph/0601022

From: Godfrey Louis [[view email](#)]  
Date: Mon, 2 Jan 2006 07:54:10 GMT (461kb)

The red rain phenomenon of Kerala and its possible  
extraterrestrial origin

Authors: Godfrey Louis, A. Santhosh Kumar (Mahatma Gandhi  
University, Kottayam, India)

Comments: 18 pages, 15 figures, accepted for publication in  
Astrophysics and Space Science

A red rain phenomenon occurred in Kerala, India starting from  
25th July 2001, in which the rainwater appeared coloured in  
various localized places that are spread over a few hundred  
kilometers in Kerala.

Maximum cases were reported during the first 10 days and  
isolated cases were found to occur for about 2 months.

The striking red colouration of the rainwater was found to be  
due to the suspension of microscopic red particles having the  
appearance of biological cells. These particles have no  
similarity with usual desert dust.

An estimated minimum quantity of 50,000 kg of red particles has  
fallen from the sky through red rain.

An analysis of this strange phenomenon further shows that the  
conventional atmospheric transport processes like dust storms  
etc. cannot explain this phenomenon.

The electron microscopic study of the red particles shows fine  
cell structure indicating their biological cell like nature.  
EDAX analysis shows that the major elements present in these  
cell like particles are carbon and oxygen.

Strangely, a test for DNA using Ethidium Bromide dye  
fluorescence technique indicates absence of DNA in these cells.

In the context of a suspected link between a meteor airburst  
event and the red rain, the possibility for the extraterrestrial  
origin of these particles from cometary fragments is discussed.

-----

Will

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 7](#)

## Skywatch International & Jim Hickman

From: **Eustaquio Andrea Patounas** <[socex.nul](mailto:socex.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 11:18:15 -0200  
Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 08:32:31 -0500  
Subject: Skywatch International & Jim Hickman

Jim Hickman has been a UFO investigator for nearly 30 years and has trained over 100 field investigators.

Last week, Jim Hickman disbanded Skywatch and deleted the SKYOPEN discussion List with near 1000 subscribers.

Does anyone know what happened?

Regards

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 7](#)

## Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Balaskas

From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 6 Jan 2006 19:09:04 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)  
Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 09:03:53 -0500  
Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Balaskas

>From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 09:41:56 -0500  
>Subject: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

<snip>

><http://www.newscientist.com/channel/fundamentals/mq18925331.200.html>

<snip>

>According to the paper,  
>this hyperdrive motor would propel a craft through another  
>dimension at enormous speeds. It could leave Earth at lunchtime  
>and get to the moon in time for dinner. There's just one catch:  
>the idea relies on an obscure and largely unrecognised kind of  
>physics. Can they possibly be serious?

>The AIAA is certainly not embarrassed. What's more, the US  
>military has begun to cast its eyes over the hyperdrive concept,  
>and a space propulsion researcher at the US Department of  
>Energy's Sandia National Laboratories has said he would be  
>interested in putting the idea to the test. And despite the  
>bafflement of most physicists at the theory that supposedly  
>underpins it, Pavlos Mikellides, an aerospace engineer at the  
>Arizona State University in Tempe who reviewed the winning  
>paper, stands by the committee's choice.

<snip>

Hi Everyone!

All this reminds me of the successful antigravity and slowing  
down of time experiments done at NRC in Ottawa in the 1950s  
based on the New Science that researcher Wilbert B. Smith wrote  
about with help from the "Boys Topside".

Why does this article say that it would take 80 days to reach a  
star 11 light years away and not simply a month to reach Alpha  
Centuri, the nearest star? Could it be because Tau Ceti is the  
next closest Sun-like star at 11 light years away and is one of  
the stars (in addition to our Sun) that the alleged ETs from  
Zeta Reticuli also frequently visited?

Assuming the speed of a spacecraft powered by such a hyperdrive  
motor to be constant, if we extrapolate the distance to Zeta  
Reticuli 39.5 light years away, it would take  $39.5 \times 80 / 11 = 287$   
days for the team of 12 U.S. military personnel selected for  
this historic mission to reach the home planet of the ETs. This  
is very close to the estimated time of arrival to Zeta Reticuli  
of 270 days stated by "Anonymous" (see <http://serpo.org/>).  
Coincidence?

Nick Balaskas

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 7](#)

## Rendlesham Forest UFO On UK Channel 4 TV

From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 7 Jan 2006 10:59:56 -0000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 09:35:41 -0500  
Subject: Rendlesham Forest UFO On UK Channel 4 TV

I did a live outside broadcast from Rendlesham Forest yesterday morning, for the very first program of Channel 4's new breakfast show, Morning Glory.

Even though the 25th anniversary of the Rendlesham Forest incident has passed, this high-profile coverage may lead to further media interest in the subject.

I'm sorry that I wasn't able to post advance warning of this, but I hope some UK-based ufologists saw the feature.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 7](#)

## Aliens Drop In To Lend Us A Hand

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 09:39:39 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 09:39:39 -0500  
Subject: Aliens Drop In To Lend Us A Hand

Source: The Sydney Morning Herald - Australia

<http://tinyurl.com/76edb>

January 7, 2006

Aliens Drop In To Lend Us A Hand  
By Sunanda Creagh

His hair is pasted down with rain, his umbrella is wonky and his shoes are wet. But Tony Oursler soldiers on through the drizzle. Despite his expression, the New York video artist may even be having fun. After two years of planning, Oursler's alien-themed video projection Blue Invasion opens in Hyde Park tonight.

"When you have something that you plan for a place 5000 miles from home, some of it's done on paper, some of it's in your imagination. Now it's in real life," Oursler said at a Blue Invasion rehearsal on Thursday, when technicians worked through the rain to project talking faces, sound and colour onto the Archibald Fountain.

Tonight is the first public display of Blue Invasion, and it marks the real beginning of the month-long Sydney Festival. The festival's director, Fergus Linehan, is relieved it has finally started - not without last minute mishaps, of course. Like the near-death experience of one Sydney Festival drawcard, the creator of The Andersen Project, Robert Lepage.

"Poor Robert Lepage. His plane's engine blew up when they were taking off from Honolulu [on Wednesday]. They looked out the window and there were flames coming out of the engine," Linehan said. After an emergency landing and a second, more successful, take-off, Lepage was Sydney-bound.

Then there was the Russian theatre company temporarily stranded in Kuala Lumpur airport after a cancelled flight. "Oh yeah, and the meteor fell off a truck," said Linehan, gesturing at a huge part of Oursler's work.

For Sydneysiders, the fun has just begun. For Linehan, it's almost over. "Once it's over, it's so over - that's the bizarre thing about festivals. The day before it finishes, I can pick up the phone and get anyone on the phone - anybody. The day after, no one wants to know about you."

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 7](#)

## My Roswell Alien Experience

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 09:45:46 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 09:45:46 -0500  
Subject: My Roswell Alien Experience

Source: The Gridley Herald - Gridley, California, USA

<http://www.gridleyherald.com/articles/2006/01/06/community/news02.txt>

Jan 06, 2006

Scotty Sez.....  
By Scotty Williams

My Roswell Alien Experience

Since moving to New Mexico my wife and I have made a constructive effort to expose our children to some of the many cultural experiences available here.

This summer we visited the White Sands Missile Range, where more has been done to perfect America's art of blowing things up than the Terminator movies.

We have also visited the mighty Rio Ruidoso (translation: noisy river) which, if it were located in California, would be the state's smallest river (translation: quarter the size of most drainage ditches). Rio Ruidoso is one of New Mexico's finer trout streams, not only because it's easy to cross (just step over), but because it trickles year round.

And of course we live within 100 miles of the famous Trinity Site, where the first atomic bomb was tested.

In addition to the sense of cultural this brings to our front door, we also don't have to pay for electricity since the ground now produces it's own.

Just stuff the end of an extension cord into the sand and you're good to go!

But for some reason my family and I had failed to take in what is easily New Mexico's biggest cultural center, the International UFO Museum and Research Center located in Roswell. We remedied this oversight on Monday.

For those of you who do not own "The Roswell Alien Autopsy Collector's Edition," Roswell was the site of the most famous UFO crash in history.

In July of 1947 a couple of outer space visitors, who had apparently partied too hard the night before, crashed their craft.

Several witnesses, who may or may not have attended the same party, claimed not only to have seen the craft, but the bodies of two space aliens.

An alleged government cover-up ensued, as did the plots for several science fiction movies.

The official government explanation is that the only thing that crashed in the desert that day was a weather balloon.

I don't know what to believe either way, but having viewed the sparse landscape around Roswell it would be pretty difficult to mistake a weather balloon for a flying saucer and rocks for a couple of aliens in flight suits.

The land is so barren around Roswell that it would be impossible to mistake the figure of a person from two miles away.

My take on the Roswell crash is either the folks who witnessed this event had the foresight to know such a story would allow the city to sell thousands of dollars worth of inflatable aliens a year or there is some type of governmental cover-up.

I'm a bit of an expert on space aliens since I've been abducted numerous times, usually while shopping at Kmart.

One minute I'm in line and the next I see a blue light and I lose consciousness. I awake feeling as though I've been trampled.

At the International UFO Museum and Research Center the lady at the front desk was also savvy to both alien abduction techniques and government cover-ups.

Right off she solicited a \$2 "donation" from each of us. This whole mandatory donation concept bothered me. Is it really a donation if it's required to gain admission?

I didn't argue the point because I make it a policy to never offend any group that may have access to extraterrestrial technology. Besides the lady at the front desk informed me that the money is going to good cause, the construction of a new building on the curator's home planet.

In addition to selling inflatable aliens and "I was prodded in Roswell" T-shirts, the museum provides a lot of evidence supporting the existence of UFOs and the little green fellas that pilot them.

In the general area of the museum are also several gift shops specializing in alien souvenirs. It's clear a poorly piloted alien spacecraft has meant quite an economic boom for Roswell. The city even has an Annual Roswell UFO Festival complete with "live-action alien puppet shows" and a ?K/10K Alien Chase Walk or Fun Run."

As for ourselves we came, we saw, we took pictures and bought a few alien trinkets for the road. While there we wanted to eat somewhere that showed the unique character of Roswell.

We were tempted by Arby's sign, which read "Aliens Welcome," but instead settled for McDonald's, which featured a saucer shaped playground.

Before heading home, we visited the Bottomless Lakes State Park, located 12 miles east of Roswell.

The park features several lakes that are far deeper than they are wide. For a mere \$5 "donation" you can tour each of the lakes. The "donation" registration form prominently states, "no refunds." This is probably due to the fact that each of the lakes does in fact have a bottom.

"Obviously there is a bottom or all the water would run out," the park ranger informed me.

The deepest of the lakes is 90 feet to the bottom. It's a popular scuba diving spot in the spring and summer months.

According to the literature, the bottomless lakes have been a source of interest for many years. In the old west days cowboys have claimed to have spotted mermaids in the lakes-which testifies to how lonely a fella can get on the open range.

Others claim things lost in the lakes (such as Dennis Rodman's career) have been recovered in the famous Carlsbad Caverns some 80 miles away.

Overall Roswell was worth the time to visit. If ever this way I'd be happy to show you around, for a minimum "donation" of course.

(Scotty Sez... appears in The Gridley Herald each Friday and can also be read online at: [www.gridleyherald.com](http://www.gridleyherald.com)).

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 7](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Hall

From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 13:38:22 +0000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 09:48:03 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Hall

>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 6 Jan 2006 20:32:51 -0000  
>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 18:46:30 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 13:43:32 -0000  
>>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

<snip>

>>>Fermi's question remains interesting even though we take UFO  
>>>reports seriously. Even if we strongly suspect something  
>>>analogous to ET visitation as the nearest notion within our  
>>>scientific purview, this doesn't mean that we know enough  
>>>about the UFOs or the cosmos to grasp what this really means.  
>>>Fermi's "paradox" isn't just about "Why aren't they here?" but  
>>>about "Why aren't they everywhere\_".

>>Pardon, me Martin, but this is just baloney. How could Fermi or  
>>anyone else verify or falsify "Why aren't they everywhere?"

>Hello Dick

>I feel that you are over-reacting against discussion of the so-  
>called Fermi Paradox because of the way that it has been  
>thoughtlessly invoked by idiots as a "proof" that there can't be  
>any UFOs. Whatever Fermi may personally have thought, such  
>circular thinking is indeed "baloney" and very irritating. But  
>conversely, to say "Look, here are these UFOs, so therefore  
>Fermi's Paradox must be baloney" is, well, baloney. Fermi's  
>Paradox survives all the baloney because, properly formulated,  
>it appeals over the heads of these arguments to something more  
>general.

>I understand why people may want to scream "We can't know  
>anything about alien life! Anything is possible! We [present  
>company excepted] are mere primitive slime!" But I don't think  
>we can be happy with this, even though the usual hide-bound  
>debunker alternative is less attractive still. We have to try  
>and find ways to make connections with the rest of science and  
>find contexts where UFO observations might eventually have some  
>evidential power. The recent Maccabee et al. inflation paper is  
>an illustration. The cosmos is already looking like a very  
>different place from the one in which the old battle between the  
>Markowiczs and Keyhoes was fought with rockets and  
>interplanetary travel times - and the night is yet young!

>We look out at the cosmos and we observe that it is not (to all  
>appearances) transformed by technological activity. Other than  
>our own activity, this appears to be true on all scales of  
>nature: The surface of the earth, the solar system, the stellar  
>neighbourhood, the em background, the galaxy, the local cluster,

>supercluster complexes, right up to the smooth CMB. That this is  
>what astrophysicists and cosmologists see, when they look up, is  
>a simple fact, not at all hard to verify by anyone, and  
>perfectly falsifiable - by observing something different.

>Now of course people - some of them astrophysicists even - do  
>experience things which lead us to question the meaning of this  
>observation. One of these things is the UFO phenomenon here in  
>our local cultural environment. UFOs might be held to falsify  
>certain inferences that people make from Fermi's Paradox, but  
>they do not falsify the observation that underpins it: The  
>cosmos does not, as far as we can see, appear to be transformed  
>on any scale by technological activity other than our own.

<snip>

Martin,

I appreciate your thoughtful response, and must conclude that you are right - I don't get the point. There is no need to repeat your entire posting here (it's in the archives), but the logic of the last quoted paragraph above totally escapes me.

You appear to me to be saying that since we have no observational evidence of a transformed cosmos (predicted by a human-created model of space colonization, not some kind of dogma), then the observational evidence we do have of craft not of this earth (reductio ad absurdum) flying around in our atmosphere does not refute the presumption, premise, supposition. That sounds suspiciously to me like assuming your own conclusion while rejecting relatively hard evidence.

And once again, just how would Fermi or anyone else be able to recognize "intelligent transformation." ( Could be " intelligent design" in the first place!) What is the baseline against which the transformation could be measured?

What evidence do we have in the first place that the model has any merit? And the pseudoscientific argument against UFO data as ET mounted by SETI people and, frankly, ignorant skeptics amounts to Non potest, ergo non est (It can't be, therefore it isn't) pure and simple. No, I still think Fermi's SWAG has no merit other than perhaps as an intellectual exercise.

- Dick

BTW - I would like to change the heading of this discussion to:

ET does solve the Fermi Paradox; in fact, it falsifies its premise.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 7](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 7 Jan 2006 09:52:14 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 10:11:45 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Friedman

>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 6 Jan 2006 20:32:51 -0000  
>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 18:46:30 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 13:43:32 -0000  
>>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>>>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>>Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 20:28:51 +0000  
>>>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>>>>From: Cathy Reason <[CathyM.nul](mailto:CathyM.nul)>  
>>>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>>Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 18:01:46 -0000  
>>>>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>><snip>

>>>>Cathy and List,

>>>>>Maybe I'm just a stubborn, linear-thinking Occamite, but  
>>>>>thinking about a totally off-the-wall, ill-informed,  
>>>>>ScientificWild-Ass Guess (SWAG) in terms of  
>>>>>"dynamical syetems formalism"or any other elaborate  
>>>>>theoretical scheme seems to me overkill and absolutely  
>>>>>unnecessary.

>><snip>

>>>>Fermi's question remains interesting even though we take UFO  
>>>>reports seriously. Even if we strongly suspect something  
>>>>analogous to ET visitation as the nearest notion within our  
>>>>scientific purview, this doesn't mean that we know enough  
>>>>about the UFOs or the cosmos to grasp what this really means.  
>>>>Fermi's "paradox" isn't just about "Why aren't they here?" but  
>>>>about "Why aren't they everywhere".

>>Pardon, me Martin, but this is just baloney. How could Fermi or  
>>anyone else verify or falsify "Why aren't they everywhere?"

>Hello Dick

>I feel that you are over-reacting against discussion of the so-  
>called Fermi Paradox because of the way that it has been  
>thoughtlessly invoked by idiots as a "proof" that there can't be  
>any UFOs. Whatever Fermi may personally have thought, such  
>circular thinking is indeed "baloney" and very irritating. But  
>conversely, to say "Look, here are these UFOs, so therefore  
>Fermi's Paradox must be baloney" is, well, baloney. Fermi's  
>Paradox survives all the baloney because, properly formulated,

>it appeals over the heads of these arguments to something more  
>general.

<snip>

>Maybe I've now explained better where I was coming from.

>>>I think attempts to think clearly about difficult and subtle  
>>>problems are always welcome. They're rare enough.

>>If Cathy was attempting to frame some conceptual approach to  
>>the issues suggested by Fermi, it went right over my head and I  
>>apologize to her. However, I think Fermi presented us only with  
>>an off-the-wall, falsely and illogically stated position in the  
>>first place.

>I disagree. I think it was very insightful, but in its original  
>form it has unfortunately become a cliché. It doesn't say we  
>should stop thinking about UFOs and the ETH. It says we should  
>think more, and more carefully, about UFOs and the ETH. Which is  
>what we were all going to do anyway, right? ;o)

Martin,

I think the above is an outstanding commentary. I would add that  
Fermi was a scientist's scientist. This became clear to me in  
listening to comments by other U. of Chicago profs when Fermi  
died in late 1954... I went to Chicago in Sept. of 1953.

He was not simple minded. He was well known for using questions  
as a teaching tool in an attempt to unlock the secrets of the  
universe and the thinking of students. He was one of very few who  
were adept at both experimentation and theory.

We have no idea what he did or didn't know about UFOs. He did  
have a very high level security clearance and had close  
connections with Los Alamos where I am convinced some of the  
Roswell wreckage was sent.

Certainly we do not yet have any indication of how much aliens  
have transformed other solar systems in the local neighborhood  
or in the universe.

Just look around the solar system and see how much change there  
has been in our understanding of the surfaces of the other  
planets as our observing tools have been sharpened by space  
exploration. Our knowledge of the local galactic neighborhood...  
say out 55 light years... is miniscule.

The need for Dyson spheres has no theoretical or practical basis  
especially when local travel is feasible.

There are fat reports including one from a congressional service  
listing totally nonsensical statements (by well educated and  
very ignorant scientists)made about what is possible and how  
the universe operates. Fermi was not one of those.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 7](#)

## Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 06:17:54 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 10:14:45 -0500  
Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Hatch

>From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 6 Jan 2006 19:09:04 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)  
>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>>From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 09:41:56 -0500  
>>Subject: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

><snip>

>><http://www.newscientist.com/channel/fundamentals/mq18925331.200.html>

><snip>

>>According to the paper,  
>>this hyperdrive motor would propel a craft through another  
>>dimension at enormous speeds. It could leave Earth at lunchtime  
>>and get to the moon in time for dinner. There's just one catch:  
>>the idea relies on an obscure and largely unrecognised kind of  
>>physics. Can they possibly be serious?

>>The AIAA is certainly not embarrassed. What's more, the US  
>>military has begun to cast its eyes over the hyperdrive concept,  
>>and a space propulsion researcher at the US Department of  
>>Energy's Sandia National Laboratories has said he would be  
>>interested in putting the idea to the test. And despite the  
>>bafflement of most physicists at the theory that supposedly  
>>underpins it, Pavlos Mikellides, an aerospace engineer at the  
>>Arizona State University in Tempe who reviewed the winning  
>>paper, stands by the committee's choice.

><snip>

>>All this reminds me of the successful antigravity and slowing  
>>down of time experiments done at NRC in Ottawa in the 1950s  
>>based on the New Science that researcher Wilbert B. Smith wrote  
>>about with help from the "Boys Topside".

<snip>

>>Assuming the speed of a spacecraft powered by such a hyperdrive  
>>motor to be constant, if we extrapolate the distance to Zeta  
>>Reticuli 39.5 light years away, it would take  $39.5 \times 80 / 11 = 287$   
>>days for the team of 12 U.S. military personnel selected for  
>>this historic mission to reach the home planet of the ETs. This  
>>is very close to the estimated time of arrival to Zeta Reticuli  
>>of 270 days stated by "Anonymous" - see:

><http://serpo.org/>

>Coincidence?

Uh, Nick?

I refer you of course to Terry Colvin's copy of an article by  
physicist Markus Poessel. Errol sent that out just before your  
message, and I consider it a must read. (Thanks Terry).

I'd love to believe we are on the verge of something very much like this, but nature is a stubborn lady. (Don't get me started on the serpo stuff.)

Meanwhile, I have this really great bridge for sale, lotsa traffic, 3-dollar tolls. Its right next to the Orgone Energy generator.

Best

- Larry

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 7](#)

## Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -

From: Ray Dickenson <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 14:20:04 +0000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 10:18:07 -0500  
Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -

>From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 17:28:15 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process

<snip>

>What I did not understand was how microwave hearing could apply  
>to a rural 1917 event. From the emails I've seen, the email  
>apparently was a hint that UFOs might have been using microwave  
>voice to skull to cause hearing of the BVM apparition. I didn't  
>see that in the original; that's all there was to my original  
>inquiry.

Right Eleanor, good point - and if you're implying the Fatima  
"voice" was a sort of modulated microwave to-skull transmission  
and that it wasn't any humans (we know of) that did it, I'm  
inclined to both agree and disagree.

Actually, I don't think Fatima event needed a real voice - the  
brain is capable of manufacturing a complex and complete story -  
with sound effects - under the right conditions (most  
familiarily the two hypno- states just before/after sleep).

From descriptions (see fatima.txt) it seems there was a large  
descending, glowing disc or orb, with color changes and a lot of  
heat apparently from directed radiation.

['Directed' because it also seems nobody got burned or killed by  
excess heat or radiation, although it was a wide area, and even  
though a huge crowd - all soaking wet - got dried out "within  
ten minutes", along with previously sodden vegetation and  
ground.]

It's quite believable that anyone at a focus of such high-  
powered and maybe exotically modulated radiation could have been  
made to "see" and "hear" virtually anything their brain was  
capable of imagining.

Understand the RC Church conducted a 13 year investigation into  
Fatima - it would be interesting to look at their interview  
records for hints of subsequent burns, sicknesses etc (like many  
Brazilian cases).

If interested the Vatican's version of events is at:

<http://tinyurl.com/7r4b>

- if you just want that "3rd Secret" of Fatima then go Edit/Find  
for "flaming"

And if Fatima was a warning to the Vatican then it seems they  
had to be warned again in 1954 - see Italian reports at  
uforama.txt and full set of such reports at ghosty.html (both in  
Google) - look under "Vatican".

Cheers

Ray D

~~~~~  
"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com>  
~~~~~

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 7](#)

## Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens - Shell

From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 7 Jan 2006 09:24:22 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 10:20:37 -0500  
Subject: Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens - Shell

>From: Dave Haith <[visions.nul](mailto:visions.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 1 Jan 2006 20:35:51 -0000  
>Subject: Graham Hancock & Aliens

>Because of the alien connection and the fact that this book has  
>been serialised in the UK's Daily Mail, I think Hancock's  
>theories deserve an airing on the List.

I agree that this List can profit from an awareness of Hancock's  
ideas. Here is a very objective review of his new book:

<http://tinyurl.com/9lzsnn>

Hancock's own web site is fascinating:

<http://www.grahamhancock.com/news/>

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 7](#)

## Re: My Roswell Alien Experience - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 07:05:48 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 10:22:38 -0500  
Subject: Re: My Roswell Alien Experience - Hatch

>Source: The Gridley Herald - Gridley, California, USA

><http://www.gridleyherald.com/articles/2006/01/06/community/news02.txt>

>Jan 06, 2006

>Scotty Sez.....

>By Scotty Williams

>My Roswell Alien Experience

>Since moving to New Mexico my wife and I have made a  
>constructive effort to expose our children to some of the many  
>cultural experiences available here.

<snip>

The usual Roswell references make my eyes glaze over, but I find this statement interesting:

>In addition to the sense of cultural this brings to our front  
>door, we also don't have to pay for >electricity since the  
>ground now produces it's own.

>Just stuff the end of an extension cord into the sand and  
>you're good to go!

"Sense of cultural?" Never mind that.

I'm more interested in the electricity from the sand. These guys never give technical details.

Is it 60 Hz AC as usual, or 50 Hz as in Mexico nearby? How about voltage? Whats the power output per square meter of sand? Does it work after a rainstorm? Which end of the extension cord do you stick in the sand?

I'm reminded of new-age types, endlessly referring to frequencies and vibrations, but never giving specific frequencies, a simple number.

Now Scotty Williams, please tell us all how many watts of power you get from the extension cord in the sand. Can you get 500 watts? Enough to toast a pair of waffles say?

Great. Is that a three wire (grounded) extension cord? Since you are essentially plugging into the dirt, which lead or prong is the 'grounded' lead?

Just curious [burp!].

- Larry Hatch

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]



[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 7](#)

## Re: My Roswell Alien Experience - Allan

From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 7 Jan 2006 15:11:59 -0000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 10:24:31 -0500  
Subject: Re: My Roswell Alien Experience - Allan

From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
Date: Saturday, January 07, 2006 2:45 PM  
Subject: My Roswell Alien Experience

>Source: The Gridley Herald - Gridley, California, USA

><http://www.gridleyherald.com/articles/2006/01/06/community/news02.txt>

>Jan 06, 2006

>Scotty Sez.....  
>By Scotty Williams

>My Roswell Alien Experience

<snip>

>In July of 1947 a couple of outer space visitors, who had  
>apparently partied too hard the night before, crashed their  
>craft.

>Several witnesses, who may or may not have attended the same  
>party, claimed not only to have seen the craft, but the bodies  
>of two space aliens.

So now we know - at last. The Zeta Reticulans over-booze a bit  
now and again.

At least this guy is original. Give him credit for that!

CDA

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 7](#)

## Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -

From: Joaquim Fernandes <[j.fernand.nul](mailto:j.fernand.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 7 Jan 2006 16:22:57 -0000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 14:51:25 -0500  
Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process -

>From: Ray Dickenson <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 14:20:04 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process

>>From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 17:28:15 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Fatima Apparitions Communication Process

<snip>

To precise better what Dickenson said about the Fatima "Sun dance" and its probable path and physical and paranormal effects, see our cartographic map published in Heavenly Lights, EcceNova Editions, 2005 - where we put the relative place of the individuals that reported the different kinds of reactions which we could select from primary sources in our research.

Joaquim Fernandes

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 7](#)

## Re: My Roswell Alien Experience - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 08:31:09 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 14:55:06 -0500  
Subject: Re: My Roswell Alien Experience - Hatch

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 7 Jan 2006 15:11:59 -0000  
>Subject: Re: My Roswell Alien Experience

>>Source: The Gridley Herald - Gridley, California, USA

>><http://www.gridleyherald.com/articles/2006/01/06/community/news02.txt>

>>Jan 06, 2006

>>Scotty Sez.....  
>>By Scotty Williams

>>My Roswell Alien Experience

><snip>

>>In July of 1947 a couple of outer space visitors, who had  
>>apparently partied too hard the night before, crashed their  
>>craft.

>>Several witnesses, who may or may not have attended the same  
>>party, claimed not only to have seen the craft, but the bodies  
>>of two space aliens.

>>So now we know - at last. The Zeta Reticulans over-booze a bit  
>>now and again.

>>At least this guy is original. Give him credit for that!

Hello Chris,

Gridley California is one of those marvelous places where bright  
junior college kids can get published in the local weekly  
(monthly?) newspapers.

<http://www.gridley.ca.us/>

Had I considered that earlier [burp!] I might not have asked all  
those electrical questions.

Hypersensitive as we all are to unfair criticism, I may have  
over-reacted to some kid reading comic books under the sheets  
with a flashlight.

Apologies if so, and best wishes,

-Larry Hatch

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 7](#)

## Re: My Roswell Alien Experience - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 17:07:02 +0000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 14:56:24 -0500  
Subject: Re: My Roswell Alien Experience - Dickenson

>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 07:05:48 -0800  
>Subject: Re: My Roswell Alien Experience

>><http://www.gridleyherald.com/articles/2006/01/06/community/news02.txt>

>>By Scotty Williams

>>Just stuff the end of an extension cord into the sand and  
>>you're good to go!

>Great. Is that a three wire (grounded) extension cord? Since  
>you are essentially plugging into the dirt, which lead or prong  
>is the 'grounded' lead?

>Just curious [burp!].

Just a guess Larry - if it's a dry desert area with lots of  
transmissions (mil and other) floating around, then pick-up by  
metallics (even wire fences etc) could be noticeable. But not at  
your domestic supply frequencies.

Reasons for guess - was once operating a mobile military station  
in the Gulf when we were getting pick-up from our own  
transmissions of just a few kilowatts (the earthing was crap due  
to dry sandy ground). But when a nearby international  
broadcaster switched on (a BBC World Service Relay Station) it  
was quite dangerous to touch even the razor-wire, never mind a  
tall mast.

There were stories (can't confirm) of camels being electrocuted  
on wire fencing just due to that area's pick-up.

But can confirm, at another location in Malaya, we were getting  
200+ volts off the lower part of a 48 foot mast, from a nearby  
(mile or so) radar station's output.

Cheers

Ray D

~~~~~  
"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com>  
~~~~~

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 7](#)

## 1912 Canadian Native Crop Circle Account

From: Paul Anderson <[paulanderson.nul](mailto:paulanderson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 7 Jan 2006 09:45:47 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 14:59:08 -0500  
Subject: 1912 Canadian Native Crop Circle Account

A recently found native Indian account, The Daughters Of The Star, in the book Thirty Indian Legends Of Canada - first published in 1912 - includes the mention of a hunter finding a flattened ring in prairie grass; similar to other old accounts in legends and folklore from the US and Europe, a further piece of evidence that crop circles, at least simple ones, may have been around for a long time.....

<http://www.cccrn.ca/daughtersofthestar.html>

Also:

Happy New Year! The CCCRN web site has a modified look for 2006, and is also now non-frames, more suitable for some older web browsers and easier for linking to specific pages while maintaining the graphic menu at the top of each page.

Note: Initial pages have been re-uploaded, with additional ones to be added over the next few weeks (a rather time-consuming process overall, with 252 formation reports currently in the archives!).

<http://www.cccrn.ca>

There is also a new weblog for CCCRN, The Prairie Circular, for general updates and commentary relating to the crop circle phenomenon in Canada and elsewhere.

<http://www.theprairiecircular.blogspot.com>

Both web sites are best viewed with the latest versions of Internet Explorer, Netscape or Safari.

Paul Anderson

--

Canadian Crop Circle Research Network

<http://www.cccrn.ca>

The Prairie Circular

<http://www.theprairiecircular.blogspot.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**





# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 8](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 7 Jan 2006 21:03:06 -0000  
Fwd Date: Sun, 08 Jan 2006 11:48:24 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Shough

>From: Joe McGonagle <[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 20:44:29 +0000  
>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>I shan't attempt to answer all of the various posts point by  
>point - it is ironic that my comments have provoked even more  
>of what I described as a waste of bandwidth!

Well thanks Joe! A "waste of bandwidth" eh? And what was it that Richard Hall was saying about human arrogance? :)

>In response to Cathy and Martin, I think you are mistaken about  
>the two options for a stable state. Illogical though it might  
>sound, I think that chaos is a third stable state!

Wonderful. Another spectacular example of missing the point. Do you know what chaos is? All of celestial dynamics is about chaotic systems. The whole point about chaotic systems is not that they just do any old thing, but that they tend inevitably over time towards the system attractor state. Catastrophic chaotic systems are exquisitely sensitive to initial conditions, and because of this are driven to extremal states which are dynamically stable.

And this is the exact point of the Fermi argument, as expressed by Cathy Reason: Non-zero initial conditions trigger chaotic oscillations towards an extremal state which is the system "attractor". The so-called strange attractors of chaotic systems are those which describe just that type of self-similar fractal proliferation which is so characteristic of the way organic systems on Earth grow and spread.

>Although there may appear to be stability when viewed over an  
>extremely long time period (Millions of Millions of years),

... since this is in the order of 1000 times the age of the universe I think you might be speculating...

>when  
>examined in smaller but at least for humanity, very significant  
>time periods (in the order of say a hundred thousand years),  
>parts of the universe are in a state of flux.

All of the universe is always in a state of flux. It is precisely dynamical flux that generates and maintains the structural stability that we see. This appears to be true as much of human societal and informational complex systems as it is true of other biological, chemical and physical ones. Where do you get 100,000 years from as some sort of constant churn rate for arbitrary "parts of the universe"? Significant physical changes in stars and galaxies occur on all timescales as far as we can see from milliseconds to billions of years.

Your figure is apparently suggested as an important timespan in human history, but it is not scale or magnitude that you should be trying to transfer from the particular to the universal, rather it is the underlying dynamical structure and its drivers. When you do this you find that your most robust, because most

general, expectations about other evolved technical cultures will be those that most generally apply across the living systems that we know from humanity to slime moulds.

The reason generality is important is exactly because of those small and short-timescale variables (which you mention below) that we don't want to allow to dominate our projections. You might think these variations therefore get smoothed out on the grand scale, so that the technology-dominated universe would get very uniform; after all, I said that planetary dynamics was about chaotic systems, and we have regular eclipses, and Saturn has those nice neat rings. But organic systems do not behave like these mechanical systems. As with the analogous "B-Z reaction" in chemistry, it is the uniform distribution that is unstable.

There is some evidence that the cosmic structure on galaxy cluster scales is a fractal, but we don't tend to see this because the gravitational system attractors are so very close to those of classical dynamical systems on local scales. These attractors are closed period cycles or loops in phase space, echoed in the actual orbital dynamics that we see. To try to think of ET technological expansion in these terms would be to adopt the simplistic conventional notion of "colonisation" (properly criticised by Dick Hall) where a local behaviour gets disseminated and imposed everywhere. There is real doubt IMO (another story!) that this even the proper approach to understanding "universal constants" in physics; in a cultural-biological context I'm sure it's inappropriate. But this doesn't mean that more chaotic behaviour has no system descriptors.

The characteristic of chaotic attractor states is that they are really complex fractals, with lots of self-similar convergences and divergences going on at all scales. The attractor state is turbulent and has a lot of unpredictability in it. It is not a smooth average. Fractal systems do not actually have valid representations as isotropic and homogeneous states. This suggests that the most likely result of proliferating technological activity across the cosmos, based on biological and general dynamical systems theory models that we know about, is that it will have lots of visible variation in degree and in kind, in both time and space. Even if we can't necessarily know how to distinguish between the effects of nature and technology when we study phenomena on cosmic scales, I suggest that anisotropy and inhomogeneity would be one indicator of large scale technological expansion.

So this is one other way of looking at the Fermi Paradox: Instead of asking, "Why don't we see signs of technology transforming the universe everywhere we look?" a better question might be, "Why does the degree and character of technological transformation of the universe look so similar everywhere?" Two possible answers are that the degree of transformation is 0% or 100%.

The 0% option seems hard to defend. Statistically we have good reason to suspect that we are primitives. After all we know of only one technological species, which in the space of just a few thousand years has progressed from throwing rocks to beginning to physically modify the near-Earth environment, has shown the amazing chutzpah of mapping the power spectrum of the act of creation, and has already seriously considered planetary re-engineering in its own star system. Imagine a million other species, with a million times the evolutionary timescale available to them.

So maybe, counterintuitively, 100% transformation is actually more likely than 0%. If it is 100% then the degree of isotropy and homogeneity suggests that the observable universe is possibly a locally uniform fluctuation in a phase space that contains a chaotic spectrum of other universes in other states of transformation. I say "phase space" but this could arguably be consistent with some modern cosmological theories that propose types of anthropic "evolutionary selection" among a multiverse of superspace domains with different dimensional characteristics, possibly different fundamental constants and different physics.

>There are so many variable factors when history and the  
>development of the universe is observed in relatively short  
>timescale that it is highly unlikely in my view that similar

>(in terms of development) species evolve in the same general  
>area of space within, say, 100,000 years of each other.

Again you are privy to more specific information about ET distribution than I am. I wouldn't dream of questioning whatever esoteric process you used to derive this rate, but if you were correct then it would beg some interesting questions about our "visitors" would it not? Of course I shan't mention them, not wishing to waste bandwidth.

>If that is so,  
>any species that have evolved into intelligent life-forms have  
>most probably had at least double the evolutionary life that we  
>humans have had so far (if they are not at the Chimpanzee or  
>equivalent stage still). They (and their technology, culture,  
>morals, etc) would be as unrecognisable to us as our values and  
>technology are to chimpanzees.

They are indeed likely to have much more evolutionary history under their belt, as you say, and to have done even more of what organisms tend to do: expand, adapt and survive. If they are as similar to us as technological chimpanzees (we are of course assuming creatures with advanced technology for this discussion, else they could not be here and would have no List and no debate) then it's game, set and match for Fermi!

Your criticism relies on them being much more different from us than any other type of life on earth. We don't necessarily need details of culture, technology, morals - the fact that your aliens have anything approximating these categories of description is sufficient for us to justify a working hypothesis that they are analogous organisms to us, in the sense that say bacteria are analogous organisms to us. Like us, bacteria will "colonise" any available appropriate ecological niche given the energy.

>Any ET intelligence does not only have the advantage of longer  
>evolution, but will almost certainly have a completely different  
>evolutionary path to our own. Putting that into a terrestrial  
>context, trying to predict the behaviour and motivation of any  
>ET intelligence is akin to a Coelacanth attempting to predict  
>human behaviour and values.

No, we don't need to predict behaviour and values that are significantly different from our Coelocanth behaviour and values. Knowing that we are organic, energy-consuming, far-from-equilibrium systems would be enough for the Coelocanth to know all it needs to know. We are very closely related to Coelocanths in terms of those old-brain instincts and motivations that the Coelocanth is going to be thinking about. In fishy terms, he would see us as territorial predatory competitors for ocean space and whitebait or angler fish or whatever, and that's exactly what we are.

Here we are talking about what we know of the common properties of all life from humans to bacteria, generalised to dynamical systems theory within which living systems are themselves a special case of complex systems. As we know nothing a priori about ET this is proper conservative reasoning.

>All that we can do is speculate, but  
>we have little (if any) information on which to base such  
>speculation of ET technology, culture, morals, etc. IMO it was  
>extremely premature of Fermi to speculate based on so little  
>data, and his so-called paradox is not worth the databytes on  
>the WWW (just as my own speculative comments above are of  
>little value!).

Premature of Fermi to speculate? Well, slap his wrist! How inconsiderate of him to have opened his idiot mouth on the topic over lunch and caused generations of brilliant ufologists to waste their valuable time thinking about such worthless crap. But really, I must have wandered into a parallel world there for a minute because I could have sworn that many ufologists not a million miles from this list were not averse to the odd speculation or two. I wonder how much of your own reasoning, and - yes - speculation, owes a debt to the scientific and sci-fi and ufological debate about these issues that has gone on since the '50s, stimulated powerfully by ideas like Fermi's?

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 8](#)

## Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 7 Jan 2006 13:27:40 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sun, 08 Jan 2006 11:49:29 -0500  
Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Rudiak

>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 06:17:54 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>>From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 6 Jan 2006 19:09:04 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)  
>>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>>>From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 09:41:56 -0500  
>>>Subject: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

<snip>

>I'd love to believe we are on the verge of something very much  
>like this, but nature is a stubborn lady. (Don't get me started  
>on the serpo stuff.)

>Meanwhile, I have this really great bridge for sale, lotsa  
>traffic, 3-dollar tolls. Its right next to the Orgone Energy  
>generator.

Larry, if I buy the bridge from you, will you throw in the  
Orgone generator for free? Just wondering.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 8](#)

## Re: Warp Drive Science Fact Not Fiction? - Fleming

From: **Lan Fleming** <[lfleming6.nul](mailto:lfleming6.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 15:35:31 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sun, 08 Jan 2006 11:51:11 -0500  
Subject: Re: Warp Drive Science Fact Not Fiction? - Fleming

>From: Terry Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 21:28:33 -0700  
>Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 08:23:57 -0500  
>Subject: Warp Drive Science Fact Not Fiction?

>FWD (SK) Re: "Warp" drive: Science fact, not fiction?

>-----

>On Fri, 6 Jan 2006, Leonard R. Cleavelin wrote:

>>Welcome to Mars express: only a three hour trip

>><http://news.scotsman.com/scitech.cfm?id=16902006>

>>AN EXTRAORDINARY "hyperspace" engine that could make  
>>interstellar space travel a reality by flying into other  
>>dimensions is being investigated by the United States  
>>government.

<snip>

>So if the kind people from New Scientist had behaved in a  
>responsible manner, they a) either wouldn't have given this  
>theory any publicity at all, or b) they would have written their  
>article in a way that makes the following crucial fact clear to  
>any reader: This theory hasn't even passed the first hurdle for  
>scientific theories.

I thought the New Scientist article made it clear that there were serious reservations about this theory and that scientists found some of Heim's writing incomprehensible. But they also explained the main reason why it's being taken seriously: the equations derived from the theory that can be used to accurately predict the masses of subatomic particles. If that's true, it seems very likely there something to Heim's work.

Whether or not it ever leads to faster than light travel is, of course, another question entirely.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO Updates Main Index](#)

UFO Updates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 8](#)

## Re: Red Rain Phenomenon Of Kerala ET? - White

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 17:09:19 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 08 Jan 2006 11:52:21 -0500  
Subject: Re: Red Rain Phenomenon Of Kerala ET? - White

>From: William Wise <[will.nul](mailto:will.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 07:54:34 -0500  
>Subject: Red Rain Phenomenon Of Kerala ET?

>Source: Astrophysics and Space Science

><http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0601022>

<snip>

>Strangely, a test for DNA using Ethidium Bromide dye  
>fluorescence technique indicates absence of DNA in these cells.

Anyone know if red blood cells contain DNA? Some chemtrail  
researchers have reported samples containing red blood cells.

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 8](#)

## Re: My Roswell Alien Experience - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 18:26:28 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 08 Jan 2006 11:54:09 -0500  
Subject: Re: My Roswell Alien Experience - Ledger

>From: Ray Dickenson <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 17:07:02 +0000  
>Subject: Re: My Roswell Alien Experience

>>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 07:05:48 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: My Roswell Alien Experience

>>><http://www.gridleyherald.com/articles/2006/01/06/community/news02.txt>

>>>By Scotty Williams

>>>Just stuff the end of an extension cord into the sand and  
>>>you're good to go!

>>Great. Is that a three wire (grounded) extension cord? Since  
>>you are essentially plugging into the dirt, which lead or prong  
>>is the 'grounded' lead?

>>Just curious [burp!].

>Just a guess Larry - if it's a dry desert area with lots of  
>transmissions (mil and other) floating around, then pick-up by  
>metallics (even wire fences etc) could be noticeable. But not at  
>your domestic supply frequencies.

>Reasons for guess - was once operating a mobile military  
>station in the Gulf when we were getting pick-up from our own  
>transmissions of just a few kilowatts (the earthing was crap due  
>to dry sandy ground). But when a nearby international  
>broadcaster switched on (a BBC World Service Relay Station) it  
>was quite dangerous to touch even the razor-wire, never mind a  
>tall mast.

>There were stories (can't confirm) of camels being electrocuted  
>on wire fencing just due to that area's pick-up.

>But can confirm, at another location in Malaya, we were getting  
>200+ volts off the lower part of a 48 foot mast, from a nearby  
>(mile or so) radar station's output.

Hi Ray,

The wind can build up enough of a static charge to fry you. In my 2nd adult job I was a lineman for the local utility. We had to be very careful and prepared, with heavy rubber gloves when stringing new line, for static buildup particularly on overland lines running miles. I suspect that that razor wire fence might have built up charges too, from the dry desert wind, which could not dissipate down the dry wood pole into the even dryer sand. How often have you gotten a belt off your car when you touch it during cold dry periods?

Best,

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 8](#)

## Re: 1912 Canadian Native Crop Circle Account -

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 18:43:36 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 08 Jan 2006 11:57:01 -0500  
Subject: Re: 1912 Canadian Native Crop Circle Account -

>From: Paul Anderson <[paulanderson.nul](mailto:paulanderson.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 7 Jan 2006 09:45:47 -0800  
>Subject: 1912 Canadian Native Crop Circle Account

>A recently found native Indian account, The Daughters Of The  
>Star, in the book Thirty Indian Legends Of Canada - first  
>published in 1912 - includes the mention of a hunter finding a  
>flattened ring in prairie grass; similar to other old  
>accounts in legends and folklore from the US and Europe, a  
>further piece of evidence that crop circles, at least simple  
>ones, may have been around for a long time.....  
><http://www.cccrn.ca/daughtersofthestar.html>

<snip>

Hi Paul,

A crushed down area in a field or marsh or even in bushes and trees associated with the landing of some unknown object has been in UFO literature for 60 odd years and, I have no doubt, long before that. See you own posting below for example. These early circles were previously known as saucer nests, landing sites, depressions, trace evidence and landing sign and so on. The Indian account alludes to grass and not crops per se. I think that associating one phenomenon associated with the landing of some craft and the present day artistic designs in some farmers crop field should be strictly deliniated. One is a trace element, perhaps accompanied with depressions that can be measured for weight approximations, while the other is some form of art created by, as yet, unknown subjects or individuals. To date I've yet to see any evidence, convincing me, that the modern day crop circles and the UFO phenopmenon are related to one another.

Thanks for the link however. I note that there is no mention of the size of this circle.

Best,

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 8](#)

## Re: UFO Review Issue 14 - Koi

From: Isaac Koi <[isaackoi2.nul](mailto:isaackoi2.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 7 Jan 2006 23:24:43 -0000  
Fwd Date: Sun, 08 Jan 2006 11:58:32 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFO Review Issue 14 - Koi

>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>To: UFO Updates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 5 Jan 2006 11:38:43 +0000 (GMT)  
>Subject: UFO Review Issue 14

>Issue 14 of UFO Review is now available at:

><http://www.uforeview.net>

>and as usual, select either of the two top left hand buttons on  
>the site, depending on the format you want.

Greetings,

Just a quick email to congratulate Stuart on an issue of UFO Review that is even more entertaining than usual.

Given the low profile EBK keeps on his own List, I've often wondered about his views on a number of subjects. Stuart's interview makes it clear that EBK's relative silence on this List is not due to lack of opinions!

Of course, being a happily married man, I completely ignored the article on Ms Kittie Klaw...

A direct link for the current issue, which is well worth a look (particularly if you haven't glanced at any previous issues) is given below:

<http://www.uforeview.net/documents/issue14.pdf>

Kind Regards,

Isaac

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 8](#)

## Re: Skywatch International & Jim Hickman - Hebert

From: Amy Hebert <[ahebert4.nul](mailto:ahebert4.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 7 Jan 2006 21:41:46 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sun, 08 Jan 2006 12:05:20 -0500  
Subject: Re: Skywatch International & Jim Hickman - Hebert

>From: Eustaquio Andrea Patounas <[socex.nul](mailto:socex.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 11:18:15 -0200  
>Subject: Skywatch International & Jim Hickman

>Jim Hickman has been a UFO investigator for nearly 30  
>years and has trained over 100 field investigators.

>Last week, Jim Hickman disbanded Skywatch and deleted the  
>SKYOPEN discussion List with near 1000 subscribers.

>Does anyone know what happened?

As a co-founder of Skywatch International (the 'Colonel' founded Skywatch, he and I began Skywatch International, I was the first Executive Director), the organization was originally set up according to the regular organizational by-laws and no one can ever 'own' Skywatch International, therefore, no one can disband the organization unless an official decision to disband has been reached by the Board of Directors, membership and subscribers.

If the proposal to disband or dissolve Skywatch International was never officially presented, discussed and voted upon by all involved, Skywatch International continues to exist and is now under the management of whomever was next in line in the chain of command. Furthermore, since SKYOPEN was originally established as an additional alternative E-List to the regular Skywatch-Skywatch International List (founded, maintained and contributed to Skywatch International by Colonel Wilson), the List still officially and legally belongs to Skywatch International and cannot be 'disbanded' or deleted unless a similar decision to disband has been reached by the Board of Directors, members and subscribers.

I commend Mr. Hickman for his work and contributions to Skywatch International and all the years of service he has volunteered. However, neither he, nor any director, Board member, member or subscriber (past or present) is authorized to disband, dissolve or dilute the organization or Lists without a majority rule from the Board, membership and/or subscribers (whomever is left).

It appears that Jim Hickman may now be considered as resigned and a former Executive Director of Skywatch International. If there are any directors left from the Board of Directors of Skywatch International or anyone else that may now be considered a director or in a leadership role within the organization or the Skywatch/SkyOpen community, it is their responsibility to either:

1. Submit an official proposal to dissolve Skywatch International to the membership and/or subscribers of the SkyOpen List for their feedback and vote

or

2. Nominate/appoint a new Executive Director of Skywatch International so the organization can regroup, reorganize and re-commit to the original goals and aspirations of the

organization as outlined in the Mission Statement

Surely someone among the near "1000" SKYOPEN subscribers is interested in keeping the organization and/or List going.? If not, may Skywatch International rest in peace with the Colonel.

(This reminds me of the time the Colonel 'disappeared, again, leaving a 'friend' in charge of distributing the list as well as dramatic rumors about his disappearance and so-called 'Colonel-sightings'. Anyone remember Operation Hound Dog? Only two people besides myself knew that Operation Hound Dog was really a double-sided message. One side appeared as a plan to help find the Colonel while the other side was a psychological trigger only the Colonel would perceive and react to, i.e. his fears - if he was still alive and able to read his E-mail. The Colonel suddenly re-appeared on the List claiming he'd been stuck in El Paso due to a blizzard, phone lines down and had been helping the Fire Department rescue dozens of hapless victims. I called several El Paso Fire Departments and there had been no blizzards or rescues. That's when I resigned. "You Ain't Nothin' But A Hound Dog" - listen to the words. <grin>)

A. Hebert

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 8](#)

## Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Jones

From: Sean Jones <[tedric.nul](mailto:tedric.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 8 Jan 2006 10:19:28 +0000  
Fwd Date: Sun, 08 Jan 2006 12:09:06 -0500  
Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Jones

>From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 09:41:56 -0500  
>Subject: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>MSS VX News: [Scotsman] Warp Drive Being Built -- Welcome to  
>Mars express: only a three hour trip [Jan 5 2006]

>Welcome to Mars express: only a three hour trip

>IAN JOHNSTON SCIENCE CORRESPONDENT

>AN EXTRAORDINARY "hyperspace" engine that could make  
>interstellar space travel a reality by flying into other  
>dimensions is being investigated by the United States  
>government.

>The hypothetical device, which has been outlined in principle  
>but is based on a controversial theory about the fabric of the  
>universe, could potentially allow a spacecraft to travel to Mars  
>in three hours and journey to a star 11 light years away in just  
>80 days, according to a report in today's New Scientist  
>magazine.

>The theoretical engine works by creating an intense magnetic  
>field that, according to ideas first developed by the late  
>scientist Burkhard Heim in the 1950s, would produce a  
>gravitational field and result in thrust for a spacecraft.

<snip>

Philadelphia Experiment anyone?

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 8](#)

## Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

From: **Martin Shough** <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 8 Jan 2006 12:31:53 -0000  
Fwd Date: Sun, 08 Jan 2006 12:10:37 -0500  
Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

>From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 19:12:59 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 22:50:28 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>>From: Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo <[ufomiami.nul](mailto:ufomiami.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 3 Jan 2006 10:30:18 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>>I bet they do not mention the famous Trindade Case, on Jan  
>>>16,1958. When a Brazilian Navy crew witnessed a USO.  
>>>fly-by. A civilian photographer Almiro Barauna on board took  
>>>a sequence of photos. In a taped interview with Dr. Hynek in  
>>>Brasilia in April 1983, Barauna assured us that the UFO came  
>>>from undersea. Making this an historical USO Case.

>I don't want to start another dreary exchange about this fast-  
>dissolving case, but this latest claim is just another nail in  
>its coffin.

List

I formed the impression a long time ago that John never did have any wish to reconsider any of his old opinions on this case, so I don't expect any response to this from him. I might remark that I was disappointed (truly) to conclude that he was after all completely closed-minded.

>Barauna's story seems to change by the minute. First he's on  
>deck when the UFO flies over, then he's in his cabin, seasick,  
>now he's presumably on deck again watching the UFO emerge,  
>dripping wet, from the Atlantic!

However for the benefit of others, they I can find no evidence that Barauna ever said that he was in his cabin when the object appeared. John Rimmer betrays a poor grasp of the basic case materials. I'm afraid this is typical.

>>On what possible basis could Barauna "assure" you that the UFO  
>>came from undersea other than outright speculation? What  
>>evidence did he adduce, other than an opinion? Please; this is  
>>a strong case well known to most of us.

>No it's not, it's a shambles! The primary witness and  
>photographer seems to be making it up as he goes along.

Any "making up" here does not appear to have had anything to do with the witness (long dead now of course). John should have checked the interview cited before going off on his tirade.

I have listened closely a number of times to the tape of this interview kindly provided to me by Virgilio a year or two ago. I can find nothing anywhere on it to indicate that Barauna claimed

the object came out of the sea.

I wonder if Virgilio may be referring to Barauna's statement that the object (in Irene Granchi's extemporary translation) "came over the sea... came from the horizon and returned to the horizon"?

Many people have said many inaccurate and unreasonable things about this case over the years, John Rimmer included. It would be understandable if Barauna, asked to speculate and re-speculate about his story over the course of decades, did so himself on occasion. But in almost every case where he has been accused of doing so I've found that it was somebody else imputing to Barauna things that he did not say at all, or else it was other people's expectations of what he ought to have said instead which were unreasonable. This is another example.

I'm afraid all that's "fast-dissolving" in this case is John Rimmer's credibility.

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 9](#)

## Re: My Roswell Alien Experience -

From: Ray Dickenson <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 08 Jan 2006 17:30:39 +0000  
Fwd Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 12:17:58 -0500  
Subject: Re: My Roswell Alien Experience -

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 18:26:28 -0400  
>Subject: Re: My Roswell Alien Experience

>The wind can build up enough of a static charge to fry you. In  
>my 2nd adult job I was a lineman for the local utility. We had  
>to be very careful and prepared, with heavy rubber gloves when  
>stringing new line, for static buildup particularly on overland  
>lines running miles. I suspect that that razor wire fence might  
>have built up charges too, from the dry desert wind, which could  
>not dissipate down the dry wood pole into the even dryer sand.

>How often have you gotten a belt off your car when you touch it  
>during cold dry periods?

Hi Don,

Right, and that's interesting - a few years ago heard a story  
from a Brit Telecom bloke and took it with a pinch of salt. He  
said erstwhile pal of his had failed to do initial "short and  
earth" routine on a new multi-pair cable which had just been run  
some miles up to an isolated Welsh hill village. Apparently he  
got fatally zapped.

In future will bear that in mind.

Cheers

Ray D

~~~~~  
"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com>  
~~~~~

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 9](#)

### Re: 1912 Canadian Native Crop Circle Account -

From: Paul Anderson <[paulanderson.nul](mailto:paulanderson.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 8 Jan 2006 10:14:01 -0800  
Fwd Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 12:20:33 -0500  
Subject: Re: 1912 Canadian Native Crop Circle Account -

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 18:43:36 -0400  
>Subject: Re: 1912 Canadian Native Crop Circle Account

>Hi Paul,

>A crushed down area in a field or marsh or even in bushes and  
>trees associated with the landing of some unknown object has been  
>in UFO literature for 60 odd years and, I have no doubt, long  
>before that. See you own posting below for example. These early  
>circles were previously known as saucer nests, landing sites,  
>depressions, trace evidence and landing sign and so on. The  
>Indian account alludes to grass and not crops per se. I think  
>that associating one phenomenon associated with the landing of  
>some craft and the present day artistic designs in some farmers  
>crop field should be strictly deliniated. One is a trace element,  
>perhaps accompanied with depressions that can be measured for  
>weight approximations, while the other is some form of art  
>created by, as yet, unknown subjects or individuals.  
>To date I've yet to see any evidence, convincing me, that the  
>modern day crop circles and the UFO phenopmenon are related to  
>one another.

>Thanks for the link however. I note that there is no mention of  
>the size of this circle.

Hi Don,

Thanks for the input. Yes, I've long been aware of the early saucer nests, etc. ... I think there may be some overlap when it comes to the simplest circles and rings (a common phenomenon, often UFO related?), but the more complex ones are a different matter, I agree (mostly man-made now I think, not all perhaps, but the majority; see my notes below).

I wish there were more details also. I included it as a report as it is of circular flattened vegetation in a field, not (apparently) missing or "burned" plants, soil or ice, etc. (all of which we've had in Canada also, as you probably know). I don't claim either that there is any connection between crop circles and UFOs, as some will insist, but other researchers have been finding more and more old cases like this, sometimes in more detail, of flattened circles (sometimes swirled), sometimes associated with UFOs (like Langenburg, Saskatchewan in 1974). These ones are very similar to the "first" early crop circles in England in the 70s and 80s, and all very simple. That's one of the reasons I've found myself in later years tending to agree more with people like Colin Andrews, Freddy Silva, Paul Vigay, etc. that the majority of genuine formations probably have always been the simpler ones, which, as it happens, are still common in some places outside of the UK, like the prairies here, for example. We still get simpler circles, in the same geographic regions, that are virtually identical to ones from many years before, in various physical details. And with the many I've looked at now in western Canada, the ones that have often been the most impressive (quality, complexity of

lay, lack of damage, physical deformities) have again been the simpler ones. Mind you, it has been some of the formations in 9'-10' tall cattle corn, mostly geometric and up to 300 feet long, which had the very pronounced nodal deformities (by the hundreds, per formation)... see also The Scientific Evidence page on the CCCRN web site.

Re the formation archives on the web site, I include any circular or geometric formations that are simply flattened in vegetation. Others that are burned or missing vegetation (even if circular), or in soil, ice, etc. I keep separate (but do have filed reports going back to at least 1880). The latest (and impressive) ice circle in Ontario from December 9, 2005 is a good example. See also Related Phenomena on the web site for more on these kinds of cases (as I note there, "related" just in the sense of being usually circular, at least).

Paul

--

Canadian Crop Circle Research Network  
<http://www.cccrn.ca>

The Prairie Circular  
<http://www.theprairiecircular.blogspot.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 9](#)

## Re: Red Rain Phenomenon Of Kerala ET? - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 08 Jan 2006 21:45:22 +0000  
Fwd Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 12:23:46 -0500  
Subject: Re: Red Rain Phenomenon Of Kerala ET? - Dickenson

>From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 17:09:19 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Red Rain Phenomenon Of Kerala ET?

>Anyone know if red blood cells contain DNA? Some chemtrail  
>researchers have reported samples containing red blood cells.

Hi Eleanor,

Wikipedia says "no" for human red cells and DNA - here's the quote:

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Red\\_blood\\_cell](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Red_blood_cell)

Red blood cells are also known as RBCs or erythrocytes (from Greek erythros for "red" and kytos for "hollow," nowadays translated as "cell"). A schistocyte is a red blood cell undergoing fragmentation, or a fragmented part of a red blood cell.

Mammalian erythrocytes

Erythrocytes in mammals are anucleate when mature, meaning that they don't have a cell nucleus and thus no DNA. (The erythrocytes of nearly all other vertebrates have nuclei; the only known exception is salamanders of the *Batrachoseps* genus.) Erythrocytes also lose their other organelles including their mitochondria and produce energy by fermentation, via glycolysis of glucose followed by lactic acid production. Like most cell types, red cells do not have an insulin receptor and thus glucose uptake is not regulated by insulin."

And, just because I got a similar question on that red rain today and so did the search, here's part of my own reply -  
"If carbon and oxygen are the most significant cell materials, that's in line with the most common elements found in deep space: CHON - carbon, hydrogen (in the 'rain') oxygen + nitrogen (maybe also in the 'rain')

PLUS deep space has clouds of molecular materials - significantly the 20 or so amino acids necessary for life on Earth! - which could explain the "organic" nature of the cells.

Got that last from interesting book maybe called "Stardust" by John Gribbin which closely examines the nuclear processes and supernova events that make up the elements (which we need) seen around the universe. Seems that 'organic' stuff is amazingly abundant in regions of deep space.

I'm slightly inclined to agree with your authors linking of the rain with the meteorite explosion - since the "solids" (not hydrogen or oxygen in gaseous form) would need a casing to protect them entering the atmosphere, and the explosion would explain the elliptical dispersal zone.

[You might be interested in the written record of Charles Fort - compiled at:

<http://www.dragonrest.net/fortfiles/falls.html#red>

although his actual books are also available at a number of sites  
(Book of the Damned? etc), they're extensive but a bit  
disorganized maybe for modern tastes.]

Cheers  
Ray D

~~~~~  
"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com>  
~~~~~

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 9](#)

## Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 08 Jan 2006 17:49:38 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 12:26:27 -0500  
Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Kaeser

>From: Sean Jones <[tedric.nul](mailto:tedric.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 8 Jan 2006 10:19:28 +0000  
>Subject: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>>From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 09:41:56 -0500  
>>Subject: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>>MSS VX News: [Scotsman] Warp Drive Being Built -- Welcome to  
>>Mars express: only a three hour trip [Jan 5 2006]

>>Welcome to Mars express: only a three hour trip

>>IAN JOHNSTON SCIENCE CORRESPONDENT

>>AN EXTRAORDINARY "hyperspace" engine that could make  
>>interstellar space travel a reality by flying into other  
>>dimensions is being investigated by the United States  
>>government.

<snip>

>Philadelphia Experiment anyone?

Well, at least that would be different... <g>

Actually, I'm quite interested to see what the follow up  
reaction is among those who read New Scientist magazine.

Steve

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 9](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Maccabee

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 8 Jan 2006 18:21:05 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 15:20:34 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Maccabee

>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 6 Jan 2006 20:32:51 -0000  
>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 18:46:30 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

<snip>

>>>Fermi's question remains interesting even though we take UFO  
>>>reports seriously. Even if we strongly suspect something  
>>>analogous to ET visitation as the nearest notion within our  
>>>scientific purview, this doesn't mean that we know enough  
>>>about the UFOs or the cosmos to grasp what this really means.  
>>>Fermi's "paradox" isn't just about "Why aren't they here?" but  
>>>about "Why aren't they everywhere\_".

>>Pardon, me Martin, but this is just baloney. How could Fermi or  
>>anyone else verify or falsify "Why aren't they everywhere?"

>I feel that you are over-reacting against discussion of the so-  
>called Fermi Paradox because of the way that it has been  
>thoughtlessly invoked by idiots as a "proof" that there can't be  
>any UFOs. Whatever Fermi may personally have thought, such  
>circular thinking is indeed "baloney" and very irritating. But  
>conversely, to say "Look, here are\_ these UFOs, so therefore  
>Fermi's Paradox must be baloney" is, well, baloney. Fermi's  
>Paradox survives all the baloney because, properly formulated,  
>it appeals over the heads of these arguments to something more  
>general.

>I understand why people may want to scream "We can't know  
>anything about alien life! Anything is possible! We [present  
>company excepted] are mere primitive slime!" But I don't think  
>we can be happy with this, even though the usual hide-bound  
>debunker alternative is less attractive still. We have to try  
>and find ways to make connections with the rest of science and  
>find contexts where UFO observations might eventually have some  
>evidential power. The recent Maccabee et al. inflation paper is  
>an illustration.

"Inflation-Theory Implications for Extraterrestrial Visitation"

See:

<http://brumac.8.k.com/JBISpaper/JBISpaper.pdf>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 9](#)

## Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2006 00:36:17 +0000  
Fwd Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 18:46:32 -0500  
Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 8 Jan 2006 12:31:53 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 19:12:59 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Tue, 03 Jan 2006 22:50:28 +0000  
>>>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>I don't want to start another dreary exchange about this fast-  
>>dissolving case, but this latest claim is just another nail in  
>>its coffin.

>I formed the impression a long time ago that John never did have  
>any wish to reconsider any of his old opinions on this case, so  
>I don't expect any response to this from him. I might remark  
>that I was disappointed (truly) to conclude that he was after  
>all completely closed-minded.

I have changed my mind quite a lot over many different topics in  
the last thirty or so years. The problem for most ET-believing  
ufologists is that I have tended to change then in a sceptical  
direction. You'd be amazed what I used to believe. I used to  
think that Barauna didn't fake the photographs, and the only  
issue in contention was the number of witnesses. Now I'm not so  
sure.

>>Barauna's story seems to change by the minute. First he's on  
>>deck when the UFO flies over, then he's in his cabin, seasick,  
>>now he's presumably on deck again watching the UFO emerge,  
>>dripping wet, from the Atlantic!

>However for the benefit of others, they I can find no evidence  
>that Barauna ever said that he was in his cabin when the object  
>appeared. John Rimmer betrays a poor grasp of the basic case  
>materials. I'm afraid this is typical.

I quote this from a communication to the List from A J Gaeverd  
in October 2003. I commented:

>What I don't understand is why Barauna seems to have told Fontes  
>that he was on deck when the events took place (even being so  
>specific as to mention the camera he had left behind in his  
>cabin), and apparently told you that he was sick in his cabin  
>when the alarm was raised.

He replied:

"He didn't told that only to me, he told to everyone. That was  
his report until he died: he was sea-sick somewhere in the ship,  
apart from the group in the deck. Could he had been laying down  
in the deck, maybe in the rear part of it, but not with  
everybody else? Maybe. I always understood it easily that he

wasn't with the crew, not with the group of people. As the ship isn't much big, doesn't matter where he was laying down, actually, because he could have heard the crew alarm about the UFO from just about wherever he was."

>Any "making up" here does not appear to have had anything to do  
>with the witness (long dead now of course). John should have  
>checked the interview cited before going off on his tirade.

Tirade? Just a few questions. If you have any problems with Barauna claiming to have been sea-sick and in his cabin at the time of the UFO, I suggest you take the matter up elsewhere.  
>I have listened closely a number of times to the tape of this  
>interview kindly provided to me by Virgilio a year or two ago.  
>I can find nothing anywhere on it to indicate that Barauna  
>claimed the object came out of the sea.

I accept that the 'undersea' quote may have been a mistranslation, but this simply means that we now only have two versions of Barauna's story rather than three.

>I wonder if Virgilio may be referring to Barauna's statement  
>that the object (in Irene Granchi's extemporaneous translation)  
>"came over the sea... came from the horizon and returned to the  
>horizon"?

Quite possibly. My Portuguese is a little rusty

>Many people have said many inaccurate and unreasonable things  
>about this case over the years, John Rimmer included. It would  
>be understandable if Barauna, asked to speculate and re-  
>speculate about his story over the course of decades, did so  
>himself on occasion. But in almost every case where he has been  
>accused of doing so I've found that it was somebody else  
>imputing to Barauna things that he did not say at all, or else  
>it was other people's expectations of what he ought to have said  
>instead which were unreasonable. This is another example.

>I'm afraid all that's "fast-dissolving" in this case is John  
>Rimmer's credibility.

It's not my credibility that's at issue. It's that of Barauna and the people who have reported - or mis-reported - his various claims.

--  
John Rimmer

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 9](#)

## 3 \*U\* Base UFO Studies & 8 More States Added

From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 8 Jan 2006 23:25:11 -0800  
Fwd Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 18:51:32 -0500  
Subject: 3 \*U\* Base UFO Studies & 8 More States Added

To anyone interested:

Here is still another installment of my special State Studies series. Now I add three web pages, statistical studies with maps for a total of eight states:

Virginia, West Virginia, Maryland and DC:

<http://www.larryhatch.net/VAWV.html>

Utah and Nevada:

<http://www.larryhatch.net/NVUT.html>

Oklahoma and Kansas:

<http://www.larryhatch.net/KSOK.html>

Please bear with me. There are only two more batches coming.

Feel free to forward this message. I have already corrected errors from the feedback.

Best wishes

- Larry Hatch

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 9](#)

## Re: Skywatch International & Jim Hickman - Gates

From: Robert Gates <[RGates8254.nul](mailto:RGates8254.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2006 03:11:47 EST  
Fwd Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 18:57:26 -0500  
Subject: Re: Skywatch International & Jim Hickman - Gates

>From: Amy Hebert <[ahebert4.nul](mailto:ahebert4.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 7 Jan 2006 21:41:46 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Skywatch International & Jim Hickman

Hi Amy and Listers;

The Skywatch web site is apparently no more. On Jim Hickman's web site, he is now listing himself as a former director of Skywatch. I have a couple of queries out, but no response as of yet. It seems that Skywatch may be fading out.

Skywatch appeared to be a good organization.

<snip>

>(This reminds me of the time the Colonel 'disappeared, again, >leaving a 'friend' in charge of distributing the list as well as >dramatic rumors about his disappearance and so-called >'Colonel-sightings'. Anyone remember Operation Hound Dog? >Only two people besides myself knew that Operation Hound Dog >was really a double-sided message. One side appeared as a plan >to help find the Colonel while the other side was a >psychological trigger only the Colonel would perceive and react >to, i.e. his fears - if he was still alive and able to read his >E-mail. The Colonel suddenly re-appeared on the List claiming >he'd been stuck in El Paso due to a blizzard, phone lines down >and had been helping the Fire Department rescue dozens of >hapless victims. I called several El Paso Fire Departments and >there had been no blizzards or rescues. That's when I resigned. >"You Ain't Nothin' But A Hound Dog" - listen to the >words. <grin>)

Ah, the mention of Colonel Wilson, brings back fond memories from the UFOlogical Crypt.

For those who weren't around, or don't recall the Colonel told incredible stories about underground bases, some kind of underground sub shuttle system all over the country, and many other incredible stories. As Amy mentioned just before the first Skywatch conference he allegedly died, conveniently before he could prove to everyone that all of his stories and claims were true. One person close to him indicated that they never believed the stories, but he liked to tell them.

Personally I was always amused by an alleged copy of his military record (as I recall Boylan was the one he sent it to) which indicated things like he was an Ace in the Korean war, POW in Korea, blah, blah, part of some Space Wing at Vandenburg AFB in the 70s etc etc. Somebody checked out the details and discovered (gee shock and surprise) that the Colonel's name never appeared as a POW or ACE, or commander of Vandenburg. Then the rationalization touted, and touted by the various defenders was that he was using some kind of "cut out" to hide from Majestic or some such blather. He was supposedly the Project Officer of a Project called Pounce which involved going to UFO crash sites amongst many other stories told.

Others even questioned whether he died or not... there was

apparently no definitive evidence of that either. Another individual reported that the Colonel faked his death because he was discovered by the super-secret government security guys who were going to kill him etc., etc. etc. Supposedly they had talked to him after his purported death. He told them that he thought Skywatch was in good hands and would proceed forward with the then new President.

Point blank, at the very end I was told that he was in fact a Colonel, but Wilson was not his real name, but merely a name he was going under at that time. Supposedly he was still alive etc., etc.

As the old saying goes, "The more things change, the more they stay the same." Ufology still has people come forward and tell incredible tales and stories, which can't be verified; the supporters of these tales and stories all rationalize the problems and inconsistencies away (because the story absolutely tickles their ear) as part of the super secret government wiping records, pay stubs and whatever else. Yet again another generation gets sucked down the garden path of these story tellers.

Cheers,

Robert

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 9](#)

## Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2006 09:26:44 -0800  
Fwd Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 19:01:09 -0500  
Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Gehrman

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 7 Jan 2006 13:27:40 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 06:17:54 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>>Meanwhile, I have this really great bridge for sale, lotsa  
>>traffic, 3-dollar tolls. Its right next to the Orgone Energy  
>>generator.

>Larry, if I buy the bridge from you, will you throw in the  
>Orgone generator for free? Just wondering.

David, Larry,

I believe the correct term is Orgone Accumulator and you can  
make one yourself. All it takes is alternating layers of wool  
blanked material and steel wool.

Three layers is usually enough.

Then cover this with a soft flannel and you have a soothing,  
pain reliever at almost no cost. I use one for my lower back  
pain and wouldn't think of being without it.

Ed

[My old-mother swears by hers --ebk]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 9](#)

## Re: kywatch International & Jim Hickman - Hamilton

From: Bill Hamilton <[skyman22.nul](mailto:skyman22.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 05:35:24 -0800  
Fwd Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 19:05:34 -0500  
Subject: Re: kywatch International & Jim Hickman - Hamilton

>From: Amy Hebert <[ahebert4.nul](mailto:ahebert4.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 7 Jan 2006 21:41:46 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Skywatch International & Jim Hickman

>>From: Eustaquio Andrea Patounas <[socex.nul](mailto:socex.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 11:18:15 -0200  
>>Subject: Skywatch International & Jim Hickman

>>Jim Hickman has been a UFO investigator for nearly 30  
>>years and has trained over 100 field investigators.

>>Last week, Jim Hickman disbanded Skywatch and deleted the  
>>SKYOPEN discussion List with near 1000 subscribers.

>>Does anyone know what happened?

>As a co-founder of Skywatch International (the 'Colonel' founded  
>Skywatch, he and I began Skywatch International, I was the first  
>Executive Director), the organization was originally set up  
>according to the regular organizational by-laws and no one can  
>ever 'own' Skywatch International, therefore, no one can disband  
>the organization unless an \_official\_ decision to disband has  
>been reached by the Board of Directors, membership and  
>subscribers.

<snip>

>It appears that Jim Hickman may now be considered as resigned  
>and a former Executive Director of Skywatch International. If  
>there are any directors left from the Board of Directors of  
>Skywatch International or anyone else that may now be considered  
>a director or in a leadership role within the organization or  
>the Skywatch/SkyOpen community, it is their responsibility to  
>either:

>1. Submit an official proposal to dissolve Skywatch International  
>to the membership and/or subscribers of the SkyOpen List for  
>their feedback and vote

>or

>2. Nominate/appoint a new Executive Director of Skywatch  
>International so the organization can regroup, reorganize and  
>re-commit to the original goals and aspirations of the  
>organization as outlined in the Mission Statement

>Surely someone among the near "1000" SKYOPEN subscribers is  
>interested in keeping the organization and/or List going.? If  
>not, may Skywatch International rest in peace with the Colonel.

>(This reminds me of the time the Colonel 'disappeared', again,  
>leaving a 'friend' in charge of distributing the list as well as  
>dramatic rumors about his disappearance and so-called  
>'Colonel-sightings'. Anyone remember Operation Hound Dog?  
>Only two people besides myself knew that Operation Hound Dog  
>was really a double-sided message. One side appeared as a plan  
>to help find the Colonel while the other side was a  
>psychological trigger only the Colonel would perceive and react

>to, i.e. his fears - if he was still alive and able to read his  
>E-mail. The Colonel suddenly re-appeared on the List claiming  
>he'd been stuck in El Paso due to a blizzard, phone lines down  
>and had been helping the Fire Department rescue dozens of  
>hapless victims. I called several El Paso Fire Departments and  
>there had been no blizzards or rescues. That's when I resigned.  
>"You Ain't Nothin' But A Hound Dog" - listen to the  
>words. <grin>)

Amy,

Good suggestion, but...

Jim deleted the E-List from Yahoo.com along with all 800+ addresses and if you know of a way to recover them, then please let us know.

As far as the incorporation of Skywatch. The original Corp. expired and after I was Executive Director (1997-2004) and resigned, Jim Hickman volunteered to take it over. He reincorporated Skywatch in Oklahoma. I was not on the new Board of Directors. Jim's wife, Deborah Hickman was on the Board and George Filer of Filer's files.

The most likely candidate to pick up Skywatch is George. I was on the Board of Advisors along with several others, but the copy of the Oklahoma Corp I have only has those three names as Directors.

The ownership of the domain name for the Skywatch website has also expired and may be up for grabs in March.

Bill Hamilton

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 9](#)

## Re: Red Rain Phenomenon Of Kerala ET? - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2006 08:43:29 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 19:07:19 -0500  
Subject: Re: Red Rain Phenomenon Of Kerala ET? - Shell

>From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 17:09:19 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Red Rain Phenomenon Of Kerala ET?

>Anyone know if red blood cells contain DNA? Some chemtrail  
>researchers have reported samples containing red blood cells.

Depends on what the red blood cells come from. Human and most mammalian red blood cells lack nucleii, and therefore contain no DNA. Birds and most reptiles produce red blood cells with nucleii, and thus DNA. I don't know about amphibians, but worms also have red blood, and I am sure there must be other critters with red blood.

Bob Shell - wearing zoologist hat

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 9](#)

## There's More To North Star Than Meets The Eye

From: NASA News <[hqnews.nsl](mailto:hqnews.nsl)>  
Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2006 09:43:37 -0500 (EST)  
Fwd Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 19:09:41 -0500  
Subject: There's More To North Star Than Meets The Eye

Jan. 9, 2006

Erica Hupp  
Headquarters, Washington  
(202) 358-1237

Donna Weaver  
Space Telescope Science Institute, Baltimore  
(410) 338-4493

David Aguilar  
Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, Mass.  
(617) 495-7462

RELEASE: 06-004

There's More To The North Star Than Meets The Eye

By stretching the capabilities of NASA's Hubble Space Telescope to the limit, astronomers photographed the close companion to Polaris, known also as the North Star, for the first time.

"Hubble's exceptional pointing capabilities combined with the wonderful performance of its instruments allow scientists to see the universe in finer detail than ever before," said Michael Moore, NASA's Hubble program executive. "It is that clear vision that makes these types of images possible," he added.

The North Star is thought to be a steady, solitary point of light that guided sailors for ages, but there is more to this star than meets the eye. The North Star is actually a triple star system. While one companion is easily viewed with small telescopes, the other hugs Polaris so tightly that it has never been seen until now.

"The star we observed is so close to Polaris that we needed every available bit of Hubble's resolution to see it," said astronomer Nancy Evans of the Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, Mass. The companion proved to be less than two-tenths of an arcsecond from Polaris. That is an incredibly tiny angle equivalent to the apparent diameter of a quarter located 19 miles away. At the system's distance of 430 light-years from Earth, that translates into a separation of about 2 billion miles.

"The brightness difference between the two stars made it even more difficult to resolve them," said astronomer Howard Bond of the Space Telescope Science Institute, Baltimore. Polaris is a super-giant more than two thousand times brighter than the sun, while its companion is a dwarf star. "With Hubble, we've pulled the North Star's companion out of the shadows and into the spotlight," he said.

"Our ultimate goal is to get the accurate mass for Polaris," Evans said. "To do that, the next milestone is to measure the motion of the companion in its orbit," she added. Astronomers want to determine the mass of Polaris, because it is the nearest Cepheid variable star. Cepheids' brightness variations are used to measure the distances of galaxies and the expansion rate of

the universe. It is essential to understand their intrinsic physics makeup and evolution. Knowing their mass is the most important ingredient in this understanding.

The researchers plan to continue observing the Polaris system for several years. The movement of the small companion during its 30-year orbit around the primary should be detectable. The researchers presented their data today during the 207th meeting of the American Astronomical Society in Washington.

The Hubble Space Telescope is a project of international cooperation between NASA and the European Space Agency. The Space Telescope Science Institute in Baltimore conducts Hubble science operations. The Institute is operated for NASA by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., Washington.

For images and additional information about this research on the Web, visit:

<http://hubblesite.org/news/2006/02>

For information about NASA and agency programs on the Web, visit:

<http://www.nasa.gov/home>

-end-

To subscribe to the list, send a message to:

[hqnews-subscribe.nul](mailto:hqnews-subscribe.nul)

To remove your address from the list, send a message to:

[hqnews-unsubscribe.nul](mailto:hqnews-unsubscribe.nul)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 9](#)

## Apollo 11 Sighting Footage

From: **Geoff Richardson** <[geoff.nul](mailto:geoff.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2006 14:52:47 -0000  
Fwd Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 19:12:11 -0500  
Subject: Apollo 11 Sighting Footage

In September/October of 2005 there were a number of posts relating to a show broadcast by The Science Channel entitled "First on the Moon: The Untold Story". In particular the segment relating to the apparent sighting of a UFO by the crew of Apollo 11 was discussed.

I came across a short video of an object captured on camera by the Apollo 11 crew and it is now available for viewing at:

<http://www.thewhyfiles.net/moonfiles2.htm>

If this is the "UFO" mentioned in the TV show, I am unsure. I believe it was explained by NASA to be the reflection of instrumentation panel lights.

Geoff Richardson  
[www.thewhyfiles.net](http://www.thewhyfiles.net)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 9](#)

## Edison's 'Conquest Of Mars'

From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2006 11:45:33 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)  
Fwd Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 19:14:48 -0500  
Subject: Edison's 'Conquest Of Mars'

Hi Everyone!

I got to hear and meet with Robert Godwin, publisher of Apogee Books, when he gave a talk last Wednesday to the members of the Toronto Centre of the Royal Astronomical Society of Canada at the Ontario Science Centre last Wednesday.

Godwin gave many examples of long forgotten works of science fiction and scientific speculations by astronomers from the late 19th and early 20th centuries that not only directly influenced many of the space scientists and engineers of this generation that got us to the Moon and shaped our ideas about Mars but who also played a part in creating our present beliefs about aliens and UFOs.

Many of us are familiar with H.G. Wells 1897 'War of the World' that created panic when it was first broadcast on radio in 1938 and later popularized in several films, including Steven Spielberg's version that was in the cinemas last summer, but few know that the Hearst publishing empire commissioned a sequel in 1898 called 'Edison's Conquest of Mars' that was serialized in their many newspapers. In this sequel, an armada of manmade cigar shaped UFOs were built and first test flown to the Moon before this 19th century international financed human invasion of Mars was launched from Earth (sounds familiar?). Now for the first time a book version of this sequel is now available by Apogee Books, the Canadian based space publishing company. Can Spielberg's sequel 'War of the Worlds 2' where it is our turn to kick some Martian butt be in the works too (a few years ago I had fun playing a soldier who gets killed chasing the Martians through the woods in the movie pilot of the short lived TV series 'War of the Worlds').

<http://www.cgpublishing.com/Books/Edison.html>

Although Godwin's talk seemed to convince his audience that our science fiction and human creative ingenuity of the past can account for the rash of flying saucer sightings of the present and he also dismissed the Roswell UFO crash as nonsense, when I approached him after his talk to get him to sign my copy off 'Mars, The NASA Mission Reports' he was startled when I stated that I believed that some UFOs are real and not from Earth. I also reminded Godwin about the astronaut post flight debriefings reproduced in his three volume set on Apollo 11 that describe an unexplained UFO sighting in space made by these astronauts which he himself discussed on Errol Bruce-Knapp's 'Strange Days... Indeed' show 171 four years earlier!

I encourage all of you to check out Godwin's other excellent and unique Apogee books on manned space flight and view the rare footage in the CDs/DVDs that accompany them which will allow you to relive many amazing and incredible moments in human space flight or to discover them for the first time.

[http://www.cgpublishing.com/Books/SPACE\\_SPLASH.html](http://www.cgpublishing.com/Books/SPACE_SPLASH.html)

Godwin has visited many of NASA's facilities where he has seen valuable documents thought to have been lost or destroyed (including a room the size of the auditorium he spoke at which

contained all the technical records of the Saturn V, the world's largest and most successful rocket which, if production was not cancelled, could have been used to place all the parts for the International Space Station into orbit in a couple of launches) and talked with many of the its past and present astronauts and employees, including Werner von Braun's right-hand man Ernst Stuhlinger and many other still living Project Paperclip space scientists from Nazi Germany who I know have a lot of important but still untold stories about space - and UFOs - that Godwin is unaware of and would be very surprised to learn about.

Nick Balaskas

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 9](#)

Secrecy News -- 01/09/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2006 11:35:54 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 19:18:53 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 01/09/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 4  
January 9, 2006

\*\* CRS ON AUTHORIZATION FOR USE OF MILITARY FORCE  
\*\* CRS ON DECLARATIONS OF WAR AND AUMFS  
\*\* MORTON HALPERIN ON WARRANTLESS NSA SURVEILLANCE  
\*\* STEPHEN CAMBONE ON DOD FACILITY SECURITY

CRS ON AUTHORIZATION FOR USE OF MILITARY FORCE

The legislative history of the September 14, 2001 Congressional resolution authorizing the use of force in response to the September 11 attacks is concisely examined in a new report of the Congressional Research Service.

The 2001 Authorization for Use of Military Force, or AUMF, has been in the news lately because the Bush Administration has claimed that it provided statutory authority for the National Security Agency to conduct warrantless surveillance within the United States, a claim that has been disputed by some in Congress and elsewhere.

The new CRS legislative history notes that the White House had initially sought legislative authority "to deter and pre-empt any future acts of terrorism or aggression against the United States."

But "This language would have seemingly authorized the President, without durational limitation, and at his sole discretion, to take military action against any nation, terrorist group or individuals in the world without having to seek further authority from the Congress."

"It would have granted the President open-ended authority to act against all terrorism and terrorists or potential aggressors against the United States anywhere, not just the authority to act against the terrorists involved in the September 11, 2001 attacks, and those nations, organizations and persons who had aided or harbored the terrorists.

"As a consequence, this portion of the language in the proposed White House draft resolution was strongly opposed by key legislators in Congress and was not included in the final version of the legislation that was passed," the CRS explained.

A copy of the new CRS publication was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "Authorization For Use Of Military Force in Response to the 9/11 Attacks (P.L. 107-40): Legislative History," January 4, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/natsec/RS22357.pdf>

#### CRS ON DECLARATIONS OF WAR AND AUMFS

The distinction between a formal declaration of war and an authorization for use of military force was addressed in an exceptionally informative report of the Congressional Research Service in 2003.

"With respect to domestic law, a declaration of war automatically brings into effect numerous standby statutory authorities conferring special powers on the President with respect to the military, foreign trade, transportation, communications, manufacturing, alien enemies, etc."

"In contrast, no standby authorities appear to be triggered automatically by an authorization for the use of force."

The history of both categories is delineated, including the texts of the eleven formal declarations of war and the most important authorizations for use of military force, along with an itemization of the various statutes that are triggered directly or indirectly in each case.

The 112 page CRS report is not generally available in the public domain. A copy was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "Declarations of War and Authorizations for the Use of Military Force: Historical Background and Legal Implications," updated January 14, 2003:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/natsec/RL31133.pdf>

#### MORTON HALPERIN ON WARRANTLESS NSA SURVEILLANCE

"The warrantless National Security Agency (NSA) surveillance program is an illegal and unnecessary intrusion into the privacy of all Americans," writes Morton H. Halperin in a new assessment of the controversial program.

Halperin, a leading civil libertarian and former Pentagon and State Department official, played an influential role in the enactment of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) of 1978, which subjected intelligence surveillance within the United States to a measure of judicial oversight. He also has the distinction of having his phone tapped at the direction of Henry Kissinger, who eventually apologized for the action.

"Congress must conduct hearings to determine exactly what is being done in the new NSA program and why the administration concluded that it could not use FISA," he urged.

"Then it should determine what needs to be done to insure that, in the future, Presidents obey the law."

See "A Legal Analysis of the NSA Warrantless Surveillance Program" by Morton H. Halperin, January 6, 2006:

<http://www.americanprogress.org/site/pp.asp?c=biJRJ8OVF&b=133446>

9

#### STEPHEN CAMBONE ON DOD FACILITY SECURITY

Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Stephen A. Cambone has issued a new Department of Defense Instruction on the subject of DoD facility security.

"The authority of a DoD commander to take reasonably necessary and lawful measures to maintain law and order and to protect installation personnel and property ... shall not be exercised in an arbitrary, unpredictable or discriminatory manner."

See DoD Instruction 5200.08, "Security of DoD Installations and Resources," December 10, 2005:

[http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/dod/i5200\\_08.pdf](http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/dod/i5200_08.pdf)

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News has an RSS feed at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.rss>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 9](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 17:06:42 -0400  
Fwd Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 21:22:16 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Ledger

>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 8 Jan 2006 18:21:05 -0500  
>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 6 Jan 2006 20:32:51 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>I understand why people may want to scream "We can't know  
>>anything about alien life! Anything is possible! We [present  
>>company excepted] are mere primitive slime!" But I don't think  
>>we can be happy with this, even though the usual hide-bound  
>>debunker alternative is less attractive still. We have to try  
>>and find ways to make connections with the rest of science and  
>>find contexts where UFO observations might eventually have some  
>>evidential power. The recent Maccabee et al. inflation paper is  
>>an illustration.

>"Inflation-Theory Implications for Extraterrestrial Visitation"

>See:

><http://brumac.8.k.com/JBISpaper/JBISpaper.pdf>

Doesn't work.

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 9](#)

## Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Balaskas

From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2006 17:19:59 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)  
Fwd Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 21:35:21 -0500  
Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Balaskas

>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 06:17:54 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>>From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 6 Jan 2006 19:09:04 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)  
>>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>>>From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 09:41:56 -0500  
>>>Subject: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

<snip>

>>><http://www.newscientist.com/channel/fundamentals/mg18925331.200.html>

<snip>

>>All this reminds me of the successful antigravity and slowing  
>>down of time experiments done at NRC in Ottawa in the 1950s  
>>based on the New Science that researcher Wilbert B. Smith wrote  
>>about with help from the "Boys Topside".

<snip>

>>Assuming the speed of a spacecraft powered by such a hyperdrive  
>>motor to be constant, if we extrapolate the distance to Zeta  
>>Reticuli 39.5 light years away, it would take  $39.5 \times 80 / 11 = 287$   
>>days for the team of 12 U.S. military personnel selected for  
>>this historic mission to reach the home planet of the ETs. This  
>>is very close to the estimated time of arrival to Zeta Reticuli  
>>of 270 days stated by "Anonymous" - see:

>><http://serpo.org/>

>>Coincidence?

>Uh, Nick?

>I refer you of course to Terry Colvin's copy of an article by  
>physicist Markus Poessel. Errol sent that out just before your  
>message, and I consider it a must read. (Thanks Terry).

>I'd love to believe we are on the verge of something very much  
>like this, but nature is a stubborn lady. (Don't get me started  
>on the serpo stuff.)

>Meanwhile, I have this really great bridge for sale, lotsa  
>traffic, 3-dollar tolls. Its right next to the Orgone Energy  
>generator.

Hi Larry!

On last Saturday's 'Strange Days... Indeed' radio show, Errol  
Bruce-Knapp made the comment that maybe everyone is right about

UFOs. This could be true if reality is beyond the three spatial dimensions and time that people, except possibly mathematicians and babies, use in trying to understand and explain the micro, macro (and multidimensional/spiritual?) worlds that we are a part of.

Thanks to independent thinkers such as Burkhard Heim, science will continue move forward in the same unpredictable fashion as it has in the past. Although I respect the opinions of Poessel and other orthodox thinking physicists regarding Heim's multi-dimensional physics, since science (or current human knowledge and understanding) is constantly evolving and thus not an absolute truth or standard to judge by, nothing can or should be dismissed too quickly. I am reminded on what Arthur Schopenhauer once said about truth which may or may not be appropriate to what was written about in the 'New Scientist' article. "All truth passes through three stages. First, it is ridiculed. Second, it is violently opposed. Third, it is accepted as being self-evident.

In a previous post to UFO UpDates regarding Nick Cook's UFO documentary on British TV, I made mention of certain documents on secret antigravity research by McDonnell Douglas scientists that included Dr. Robert M. Wood(!). Thanks to the person who discovered these mildewed and stinking M-D documents and for realising their possible historical value by auctioning them off on eBay last year, the successful bidder quickly made them available to the public before someone came around to retrieve them! Now that these important documents are in the public domain, we can see that around the time the alleged 12 U.S. military volunteers left for planet Serpo and NASA, the civilian space agency, was working on Project Apollo that landed 12 other U.S. citizens on a world much closer to home, many scientists took UFOs seriously enough and worthy of study to do independent studies of their own studies and even resorted the exotic physics of Heim (and Wilbert Smith and company) to try to understand and replicate them.

<http://www.checktheevidence.com/DouglasDocs/>

Nick Balaskas

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 9](#)

## Is Lovekin A General?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 21:43:47 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 21:43:47 -0500  
Subject: Is Lovekin A General?

Source: Kevin Randle's Blog

<http://kevinrandle.blogspot.com/>

Posted: Thursday, January 05, 2006

Thursday, January 05, 2006

Is Lovekin A General

When I came across the name of Brigadier General Stephen L. Lovekin in both the Dr. Stephen Greer Disclosure Project and later used by Dr. Michael Salla for his Exopolitics studies, I was interested. Thanks to a book entitled Stolen Valor I=92m now suspicious of most claims of military association, especially those who claim to have achieved high rank. It surprised me then and continues to surprise me that so many people will claim military service who have never worn a uniform and that so many of those who were actually in the military have embellished their careers.

The information available from both the Disclosure Project and Exopolitics suggested that as a young officer, Lovekin had served in the Eisenhower White House. According to what Lovekin said, and which was related by others, Lovekin worked directly under President Eisenhower in May of 1959 in the White House Army Signaling Agency and later under Kennedy with an above top-secret clearance.

Lovekin himself explained how he had learned of the Roswell UFO crash and seen a piece of the debris. He said, "One afternoon when we were just about ready to finish up training, Colonel Holomon brought out a piece of what appeared to be metallic debris. He went on to explain that this was material that had come from a New Mexico crash in 1947 of an extraterrestrial craft, and that was discussed at length=85."

Finally, he said, "But what happened was that Eisenhower got sold out. He realized that he was losing control of the UFO subject. He realized that the phenomenon was not going to be in the best hands."

This is important stuff, if it could be confirmed. A general officer, one who had once held the ear of the president and who could report on the president=92s interest in UFOs, would make an impressive witness, especially if he could talk of a UFO crash and recovered debris. It should be relatively simple to verify Lovekin=92s credentials as a general.

With general officers, unlike those in the lower officer grades, the military keeps good records, their biographies are often posted at various web sites, and many people know and remember them. But, those on-line sites, while having the biographies of many generals, including some obscure ones such as Martin F. Scanlon, who died many years ago, Arthur Exon who died last summer, and many prominent ones such as former Air Force Chief

of Staff Nathan Twining and Lieutenant General Roger Ramey, contained nothing about Stephen Lovekin. I checked on how comprehensive these sites were by typing in the names of some of the National Guard generals I know and they appeared as well. But not Lovekin.

I tried the Army Register, which contained the names of all officers serving in the Army and found nothing for Lovekin. Then again, I tried Corso who we all know was an Army officer and didn't find him either. I don't know why Corso wasn't included in the years that covered his military service. What that told me was that somehow officers were left out.

I tried the National Guard Bureau. It would seem they would know the names of all officers who reached flag rank in the National Guard. They had no listing for Lovekin, but also said that prior to 1995, their records were sometimes incomplete. It meant that Lovekin could have again slipped through the crack. I don't believe this likely, but they made it clear that there are a few gaps in their information.

Lovekin, in his biography, claimed that he had been a JAG officer, and most everyone believed him to have served with the North Carolina National Guard. Joe Stefula attempted to learn about this through the Office of the Adjutant General, but apparently found nothing or received no reply. I tried a number of different angles, and learned that JAG officers are not promoted to brigadier general in North Carolina and there has never been a JAG general in the state. North Carolina does not brevet their officers one grade upon retirement, so a colonel, serving as JAG would not be promoted to brigadier general when he retired. There is no record of a brigadier general named Lovekin, in any Army branch (the JAG being just one branch, like Infantry or Military Police) in North Carolina.

Then there was the claim by Lovekin that he worked in the Eisenhower White House. Well, if Stephen L. Lovekin and the attorney in North Carolina are the same man, this makes little sense. According to the information Stephen L. Lovekin, the attorney, was born in August 1940. His biography at the law firm web site does not mention any military service, nor does it suggest that he was ever a brigadier general. That in and of itself seems strange.

To further complicate the matter, if these are the same men, then Lovekin was 18 when he worked in the Eisenhower White House. While it is not unheard of for a teenager to be an Army officer (I was a warrant officer at 19), it is extremely unlikely that someone of that age to be assigned to the White House. Those postings are normally reserved for more experienced soldiers.

Once again, if we read Lovekin's law biography carefully, we find no time for him to have been trained and then serve as an Army officer, complete his under graduate training and then attend law school. He received his law degree in 1964. He would have had to graduate from high school in 1957 or 1958, complete OCS which, in that time frame lasted six months, serve his military term, attend college and then go on to law school, all in six or seven years.

So, it seems unlikely that Stephen Lovekin is, or was, a brigadier general with the active duty force, with the National Guard, or in the Army Reserve. There simply is no record of it anywhere that I can find. But, there is one solution that might work.

During World War II, all the state National Guards were activated and called to active duty for extended periods. To compensate for the loss, the states created another state militia. In Iowa it was called the Iowa State Guard. This organization, sanctioned by the state was a semi-military group that took on the roles of the National Guard.

At the end of the war, many of these organizations ceased to exist. Some had their charters expire, others simply had their membership siphoned off into the regular Guard, and some were officially disbanded.

For the last fifteen or twenty years there has been an effort to recreate these state guards. In Iowa, they have no official



status, though they do wear the standard Battle Dress Uniform (BDU) of the Army, wear the same rank insignia, and claim to require the same type of training. They are not authorized to wear the Iowa National Guard patch, and they are required to wear another patch that suggests they are the Iowa State Guard, as opposed to the Iowa National Guard. Their uniforms say "Iowa State Guard," while those of the National Guard say, "US Army."

These state guards have been pulled together in an unofficial national organization of state militia. Lovekin might hold his brigadier general commission in just such an organization. It might suggest his claim to be a brigadier general, while unofficial and not recognized by either the Army or the North Carolina National Guard would allow him to claim the rank. This is all speculation on my part.

This all suggests to me that the "whistle blower" testimony offered by Stephen L. Lovekin is of little use in developing any policies related to UFOs or extraterrestrial visitation. There is no corroboration of his many claims of military service as a high-ranking officer, no verification of his positions in the White House and little reason to believe he was witness to the things he claims. Like so many of the other whistle blowers, he should be removed from our lists.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 10](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Balaskas

From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2006 22:49:22 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)  
Fwd Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 09:36:10 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Balaskas

>From: David Chace <[davidwchace.nul](mailto:davidwchace.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 20:39:36 -0800  
>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

<snip>

>This is what I was getting at when I said that the ETH does not  
>solve the Fermi Paradox. The way I've always heard the story  
>told, Fermi asked the question because he had been thinking  
>about models of interstellar migration. We know the average  
>distances between stars, the approximate number of stars in the  
>galaxy and so forth, so we can do some basic calculations if we  
>make some assumptions about the speed of starships, the amount  
>of time a new colony must develop before it can launch ships of  
>its own, etc. Even if we use very conservative assumptions, such  
>as limiting starship speeds to a small fraction of the speed of  
>light and assuming many centuries must pass before a planet can  
>start sending out its own colonists, these models predict that  
>it should be possible for a single starfaring civilization to  
>colonize the galaxy within a period of time of a few tens of  
>millions of years. That's the blink of an eye compared to the  
>age of the galaxy.

>Basically the only assumptions we are making with this model is  
>that a hypothetical extraterrestrial civilization behaves like  
>Europeans or Pacific Islanders (or any number of nonhuman  
>species that have a pattern of expanding into new territory),  
>and has access to technology on par with advanced nuclear  
>rocketry (plus self-contained biospheres, good shielding and  
>other technicalities). It is a conservative model, and it says  
>that they should be here already. So where are they? Stanton  
>Friedman would argue (and I agree) that we see their atmospheric  
>excursion modules on a regular basis. But that doesn't entirely  
>resolve the issue because we still don't know "the true  
>equilibrium state of a universe with intelligent agents in it."

<snip>

Hi David!

According to physicist Claudius Gros, the author of another very important UFO/Fermi Paradox paper that was published by the same journal (JBIS) at about the same time the paper by Maccabee, Haish, Deardorff and Puthoff came out, but which surprisingly has been overlooked by nearly all, follows very similar thinking to your own. Gros' JBIS paper (see URL below) shows how just a single advanced spacefaring civilization in our galaxy could easily colonize nearly the entire Milky Way, including possibly having a presence in our solar system too, in as little as one million years! The fact that we have not detected them (Gros ignores oral traditions and the written histories of various peoples and cultures from all over the world which describe visitations of beings from the heavens that the late Carl Sagan and others would accept as evidence of these ETs) is very compelling evidence that there are no ETs and we are alone in the galaxy, if not the entire universe. That's a very sobering thought!

<http://arxiv.org/ftp/astro-ph/papers/0501/0501119.pdf>

For Don Ledger and others that also want to read the paper 'Inflation-Theory Implications for Extraterrestrial Visitation' co-authored by Bruce Maccabee, the correct URL is:

<http://brumac.8k.com/JBISpaper/JBISpaper.pdf>

You may be interested to note that Terence Dickinson, author of 'Astronomy' magazine's 'The Zeta Reticuli Incident' that made a very strong but controversial case for an ET civilization with possible ET outposts around several Sun-like stars close to our own solar system from evidence directly connected to a UFO/alien abduction encounter in 1961 also recently wrote about Fermi's Paradox in the January/February 2006 issue of 'SkyNews' magazine. Dickinson ends his speculating by saying:

"Other possibilities exist, of course. The most obvious one is that they ARE here, that the reports of flying saucers, of little grey men with bulbous head and enormous eyes and of human abductions by aliens are real and represent extraterrestrial visitations. As fruitful as this suggestion has proven to be for Hollywood and late-night radio talk-show hosts, there's no evidence to support it. ... For me, the strongest testimony we have that we are not alone in the universe is pictures similar to my Andromeda Galaxy portrait, which display other galaxies - each an immense continent of billions of suns - floating in a seemingly endless cosmic abyss. It brings us full circle to Fermi's paradox: Where are they?"

Will this be the year that absolute proof that some UFOs are indeed extraterrestrial craft is disclosed to the public and confirms what Maccabee and other ufologists know to be the truth that finally puts Fermi's Paradox to rest?

Nick Balaskas

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 10](#)

## Re: Is Lovekin A General? - Gates

From: Robert Gates <[RGates8254.nul](mailto:RGates8254.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2006 23:22:31 EST  
Fwd Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 09:38:20 -0500  
Subject: Re: Is Lovekin A General? - Gates

>Source: Kevin Randle's Blog

><http://kevinrandle.blogspot.com/>

>Posted: Thursday, January 05, 2006

>Thursday, January 05, 2006

>Is Lovekin A General

>When I came across the name of Brigadier General Stephen L.  
>Lovekin in both the Dr. Stephen Greer Disclosure Project and  
>later used by Dr. Michael Salla for his Exopolitics studies, I  
>was interested. Thanks to a book entitled Stolen Valor I=E2=80=99m now  
>suspicious of most claims of military association, especially  
>those who claim to have achieved high rank. It surprised me then  
>and continues to surprise me that so many people will claim  
>military service who have never worn a uniform and that so many  
>of those who were actually in the military have embellished  
>their careers.

<snip>

Listers,

If this is true (and I have no reason to doubt Kevins research)  
I can't wait to hear all the stories and rationalizations from  
the supporters of the Lovekin story. I predict something along  
the lines of the following:

- 1) The eeeevvvviiiiiiii government scrubbed all the records that showed that he was in the military once 'they' found out he talked to Greer.
- 2) The verification problems and inconsistencies don't matter, only the story he tells.
- 3) He sounded so sincere
- 4) I liked the story he tells, so it must be true.....

The list goes on and is endless.

Cheers,

Robert

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 10](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox -

From: Jim Deardorff <[deardorj.nul](mailto:deardorj.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 20:59:13 -0800  
Fwd Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 09:39:38 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox -

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 17:06:42 -0400  
>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 8 Jan 2006 18:21:05 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 6 Jan 2006 20:32:51 -0000  
>>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>>I understand why people may want to scream "We can't know  
>>>anything about alien life! Anything is possible! We [present  
>>>company excepted] are mere primitive slime!" But I don't think  
>>>we can be happy with this, even though the usual hide-bound  
>>>debunker alternative is less attractive still. We have to try  
>>>and find ways to make connections with the rest of science and  
>>>find contexts where UFO observations might eventually have some  
>>>evidential power. The recent Maccabee et al. inflation paper is  
>>>an illustration.

>>"Inflation-Theory Implications for Extraterrestrial Visitation"

>>See:

>><http://brumac.8.k.com/JBISpaper/JBISpaper.pdf>

>Doesn't work.

We could use an explanation of what you mean, Don.

Jim

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 10](#)

## Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 00:47:48 -0800  
Fwd Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 09:42:26 -0500  
Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Hatch

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2006 09:26:44 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 7 Jan 2006 13:27:40 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>>>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 06:17:54 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>>>Meanwhile, I have this really great bridge for sale, lotsa  
>>>traffic, 3-dollar tolls. Its right next to the Orgone Energy  
>>>generator.

>>Larry, if I buy the bridge from you, will you throw in the  
>>Orgone generator for free? Just wondering.

>David, Larry,

>I believe the correct term is Orgone Accumulator and you can  
>make one yourself. All it takes is alternating layers of wool  
>blanked material and steel wool.

>Three layers is usually enough.

>Then cover this with a soft flannel and you have a soothing,  
>pain reliever at almost no cost. I use one for my lower back  
>pain and wouldn't think of being without it.

>Ed

>[My old-mother swears by hers --ebk]

Hello EBK and Ed:

Yes, I stand corrected. Per one source, "Wilhelm Reich developed a metal-lined device named the Orgone Accumulator, believing that the box trapped orgone energy that he could harness in groundbreaking approaches towards psychiatry, medicine, the social sciences, biology and weather research."

Quite a tall order for an assembly of ordinary materials.

Per another site, the original invention alternated glass wool and steel wool. It is nowhere clear if the substitution of flannel and regular wool would affect the rate of accumulation of Orgone Energy.

I'd like to know the method and units of measurement for Orgone Energy, BTW. Perhaps with an Organometer? That sounds almost pornographic.

Best ,

- Larry

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 10](#)

## Re: Edison's 'Conquest Of Mars' - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 06:27:48 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 09:44:07 -0500  
Subject: Re: Edison's 'Conquest Of Mars' - Shell

From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2006 11:45:33 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)  
Subject: Edison's 'Conquest Of Mars'

>Many of us are familiar with H.G. Wells 1897 'War of the World'  
>that created panic when it was first broadcast on radio in 1938  
>and later popularized in several films, including Steven  
>Spielberg's version that was in the cinemas last summer, but few  
>know that the Hearst publishing empire commissioned a sequel in  
>1898 called 'Edison's Conquest of Mars' that was serialized in  
>their many newspapers.

It should be noted that this sequel was not written, authorized,  
or approved of by H. G. Wells. Garrett P. Serviss is credited as  
the author, but that name sounds like a concocted pseudonym to  
me.

There have been many other unauthorized sequels over the years,  
and this one is perhaps the poorest of the bunch, and might best  
have been left in obscurity.

The one that was the most fun was Manly Wade Wellman's 1975  
Sherlock Holmes' War of the Worlds, in which the consulting  
detective and Dr. Watson come up against the martians.

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 10](#)

## Re: Faded Discs UFO Reporting Center Audio - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 06:32:14 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 09:47:22 -0500  
Subject: Re: Faded Discs UFO Reporting Center Audio - Kaeser

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>From: Wendy Connors <[fadeddiscs.nul](mailto:fadeddiscs.nul)>  
>>>Greetings to Listarians, Lurkers and Warrantless Governmental  
>>>Spooks:

>>>'Tis a New Year and time for a new series of audio compilations.

>>>Night Journeys In Ufology

>>>If you can't wait to read all about the Hub-Bub, go to:

>>>[www.fadeddiscs.com](http://www.fadeddiscs.com)

><snip>

>>I haven't heard this Disc, yet, but I have listened to almost  
>>all of Wendy's previous compilations.

>>My favorite is "The Humanoids".

>>The information content of the entire collection is amazing, but  
>>most of all, the various stories and lectures and oral reports  
>>are downright fun.

>>There's really nothing like it! I urge List members to  
>>support Wendy and her audio history research archives.

>Yeah - with "Faded Discs," there's always something on.  
>She's killer on a long trip with my I-pod, absolutely killer!

I contacted Wendy after the Holidays, when I had seen the amount of material she's organized and prepared for distribution. I ordered a set and it arrived yesterday. From the snippets I've reviewed so far, this is an invaluable audio clip collection.

Reading about a case is one thing, but hearing the voice of a witness, with all the emotion, is something else. Hearing Wilbert Smith explain his views is quite different than simply reading about them, and then there's the Dr. James McDonald material.

Wendy is to be commended for bringing all of these together.

Steve

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## **UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 10](#)

## Some Fell On Stony Ground

From: Ray Dickenso <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 13:47:50 +0000  
Fwd Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 09:49:54 -0500  
Subject: Some Fell On Stony Ground

Just now we're becoming aware that most building blocks of life are being made in deep space - but not on rocky planets:

<http://web99.arc.nasa.gov/~astrochm/compare.html>

[Earth is a "rocky" planet and they're smallish - but maybe half of planets seem to be gas giants, with extremes of temperature and sometimes complicated chemical atmospheres]

Molecular clouds in deep space are building compounds at least all the way to amino acids - one step from proteins, and they're just one step from "life":

<http://loke.as.arizona.edu/~ckulesa/research/overview.html>

quote - "it appears that the most fundamental physical processes that serve as necessary conditions for the formation of life on Earth appear to happen elsewhere, and maybe everywhere."

It also seems that Fred Hoyle was right: life on Earth was hastened, maybe directly engendered, by deposition - from space - of the necessary building blocks, perhaps proteins and even more (we haven't looked into most of deep space yet).

So there's a strong possibility that organic life - in our likeness - is on all suitable rocky planets.

But what about the "seeds of life" that fell elsewhere?

Seems to me there's at least two (maybe more) possibilities - a) organic life arising in or below the complex atmospheres of gas giants;

b) organic life arising directly in those molecular clouds - remember Fred Hoyle's sci-fi novel "The Black Cloud"?

[And of course there's an even faster route to life - direct energy patterns (check friend-foe.txt). They could've been around from the start; that's a fourteen billion years lead on us.]

Cheers

Ray D

BTW - good review of the processes involved, in "Stardust: Supernovae and Life - The Cosmic Connection" by John Gribbin, Mary Gribbin.

~~~~~  
"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com>  
~~~~~

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 10](#)

## CUFOS .PDFs

From: **Stuart Miller** <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 11:45:03 +0000 (GMT)  
Fwd Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 09:52:43 -0500  
Subject: CUFOS .PDFs

The Existance Of Aliens?

<http://journals.aol.com/nokturnalrithm/AChristianPerspective/entries/172>

Unusual Experiences From The Timmerman Files

<http://www.cufos.org/timmerman.pdf>

Too Close For Condon: Close Encounters Of The 4th Kind

<http://www.cufos.org/swords.pdf>

Satellite Objects And Cloud Cigars

<http://www.cufos.org/taylor.pdf>

Collision Course

<http://www.cufos.org/randles.pdf>

An Abductee'S Life

<http://www.cufos.org/rymer.pdf>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 10](#)

## Astronauts And Area 51 The Skylab Incident

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 10:04:49 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 10:04:49 -0500  
Subject: Astronauts And Area 51 The Skylab Incident

Source: The Space Review

<http://www.thespacereview.com/article/531/1>

January 9, 2006

Astronauts And Area 51: The Skylab Incident  
by Dwayne A. Day

Far out in the Nevada desert, miles from prying eyes, is a secret Air Force facility that has been known by numerous names over the years. It has been called Paradise Ranch, Watertown Strip, Area 51, Dreamland, and Groom Lake. Groom is probably the most mythologized real location that few people have ever seen. According to people with overactive imaginations, it is where the United States government keeps dead aliens, clones them, and reverse - engineers their spacecraft. It is also where NASA filmed the faked Moon landings.

However, for humans whose feet rest on solid ground, Groom is the site of highly secret aircraft development. It is where the U - 2 spyplane, the Mach 3 Blackbird, and the F - 117 stealth fighter were all developed. It has also probably hosted its own fleet of captured, stolen, or clandestinely acquired Soviet and Russian aircraft. Because of this, the United States government has gone to extraordinary lengths to preserve the area's secrecy and to prevent people from seeing it.

This secrecy was threatened in early 1974 when the astronauts on Skylab pointed their camera out the window and took pictures of a facility that did not officially exist. They returned to Earth and their photographs quickly became a headache for NASA, the CIA, and the Department of Defense. That story has never been told before.

Shutterbugs

On April 19, 1974 someone in the CIA sent the Director of Central Intelligence, William Colby, a memorandum regarding a little problem.

"The issue arises from the fact that the recent Skylab mission inadvertently photographed" the airfield at Groom Lake. "There were specific instructions not to do this," the memo stated, and Groom "was the only location which had such an instruction." In other words, the CIA considered no other spot on Earth to be as sensitive as Groom Lake, and the astronauts had just taken a picture of it.

In other words, the CIA considered no other spot on Earth to be as sensitive as Groom Lake, and the astronauts had just taken a picture of it.

The third and last Skylab crew had launched into space on November 16, 1973. Onboard were three rookie astronauts: Gerald Carr, Edward Gibson, and William Pogue. Carr was a Navy

commander, Pogue was in the Air Force and had flown for their elite Thunderbirds team, and Gibson was a scientist - astronaut with a doctorate of engineering physics.

The crew quickly fell behind schedule early in their mission for a number of reasons, but soon regained time. The crew repaired an antenna, fixed problems with the Apollo Telescope Mount and an errant gyroscope, and replenished supplies. They soon accumulated significant EVA time and studied the sun for over 338 hours.

What they also did was take photographs of the Earth, including photos of the secretive Groom Lake facility in Nevada. On February 4, 1974, after a record 84 days in space, they splashed down 280 kilometers southwest of San Diego. They were recovered aboard the USS New Orleans and found to be in excellent shape.

NASA had an agreement with the US intelligence community that dated from the beginning of the Gemini program. All astronaut photographs of the Earth would first be reviewed by the National Photographic Interpretation Center in Building 303 in the Washington, DC Navy Yard. NPIC (pronounced "en - pick") was an organization managed by the CIA that interpreted satellite and aerial photography. The details of the agreement remain classified, but the photo - interpreters had wanted to see what astronauts could contribute to reconnaissance photography. During the Gemini program they discovered the answer=97not much. The photographs returned during the Gemini missions had many problems, including the lack of data on what the camera was pointed at. There was no good way for an astronaut to record the precise time and pointing angle of a camera when he took a picture, and so the interpreters often had a very difficult time determining what they were looking at. This had been one of the factors that contributed to the demise of the US Air Force's Manned Orbiting Laboratory program.

But there was another reason to evaluate the astronaut photographs: to see if they showed anything interesting, or anything that they should not, like Groom Lake.

Spooky actions at a distance

There was a certain irony in NPIC photo - interpreters discovering photographs of Groom Lake, because even within NPIC's Building 303 Groom Lake was classified. Images of Groom were removed from rolls of spy satellite film and stored in a restrictive vault. As a former senior NPIC official explained, "there were a lot more things going on at Groom than the U - 2." Not all the photo - interpreters at NPIC were cleared to know about these things, but probably included aircraft testing, including advanced reconnaissance drones and captured Soviet fighter planes. The average photo - interpreter would know that the U - 2 and the Blackbird were based at Groom, but would be surprised to see a B - 52 with drones, or a MiG - 21 sitting on its runway.

In fact, in April 1962 a CIA official suggested to his superior that they consider taking pictures of Area 51 using their own reconnaissance platforms. John McMahon, the executive officer of the abstractly named Development Plans Division, wrote the acting chief of DPD: "John Parangosky and I have previously discussed the advisability of having a U - 2 take photographs of Area 51 and, without advising the photographic interpreters of what the target is, ask them to determine what type of activity is being conducted at the site photographed." He continued: "In connection with the upcoming CORONA shots, it might be advisable to cut in a pass crossing the Nevada Test Site to see what we ourselves could learn from satellite reconnaissance of the Area. This coupled with coverage from the Deuce [U - 2] and subsequent photographic interpretation would give us a fair idea of what deductions and conclusions could be made by the Soviets should Sputnik 13 have a reconnaissance capability."

Whether or not CIA ever undertook such an exercise remains unknown, but CORONA spacecraft did photograph Area 51 at least a handful of times. Thousands of rolls of film are stored at the National Archives, with the relevant negatives cut out.

The controversy

Why the Skylab astronauts disobeyed their orders and took the photo is unknown, as are what it depicted. Because they had only



handheld cameras for earth observation, the resolution of the image would have been limited. The existence of the base was not a secret, particularly to an Air Force pilot like Bill Pogue=97the pilots who flew in the huge Nellis testing range in Nevada referred to Area 51 as "the box" because they were under explicit instructions to not fly into that airspace. But for whatever reason, they had taken the photo and now it had created a stir within the intelligence community.

"This photo has been going through an interagency reviewing process aimed at a decision on how it should be handled," the unnamed CIA official wrote. "There is no agreement. DoD elements (USAF, NRO, JCS, ISA) all believe it should be withheld from public release. NASA, and to a large degree State, has taken the position that it should be released=97that is, allowed to go into the Sioux National Repository and to let nature take its course."

What the memo indicates is that there was a difference between the way the civilian agencies of the US government and the military agencies looked at their roles. NASA had ties to the military, but it was clearly a civilian agency. And although the reasons why NASA officials felt that the photo should be released are unknown, the most likely explanation is that NASA officials did not feel that the civilian agency should conceal any of its activities. Many of NASA's relations with other organizations and foreign governments were based on the assumption that NASA did not engage in spying and did not conceal its activities

The CIA memo writer added that "There are some complicated precedents which, in fairness, should be reviewed before a final decision." These included "A question of whether anything photographed in the United States can be classified if the platform is unclassified; Such complex issues in the UN concerning United States policies toward imagery from space" and "the question of whether the photograph can be withheld without leaking."

The answer to the last question is obvious =97 the photo was withheld, and this fact never leaked. It has only come to light now, after the CIA declassified this document (but not the photograph itself). Obviously the answer to the first question was also positive, for the agencies involved did classify a photograph taken on an unclassified spacecraft. As for the "complex issues in the UN," obviously they vanished if the United Nations never learned of the existence of the photograph. Secret as an onion

A cover note to the memorandum, apparently written by the Director of Central Intelligence William Colby himself, stated that "I confessed some question over need to protect since:

- 1 - USSR has it from own sats.
- 2 - What really does it reveal?
- 3 - If exposed don't we just say classified USAF work is done there?"

Colby's questions almost seem na=EFve given the debates that have raged within the U.S. intelligence community over decades over the need for secrecy. Those within the intelligence community who have asked "what is the harm in acknowledging the obvious?" have almost always lost the argument.

Government officials have frequently argued over the need to refuse to confirm even the most basic knowledge about things that have been widely reported in the press for decades. For instance, the existence of the National Reconnaissance Office (NRO), which manages America's spy satellite program, first became known in a 1971 New York Times article, but arguments flared up within intelligence circles for the next twenty years over whether or not to confirm its existence. Finally, in September 1992 the "fact of" the existence of the NRO was revealed in a terse press release that never even used the word "satellite." Even after that decision, for several years the NRO refused to confirm that it actually conducted rocket launches, another glaringly obvious fact.

This refusal to admit the obvious was only surpassed by Groom

Lake itself. The existence of an airstrip at Groom was first revealed when it was actually constructed. But it was not until 1999 that the U.S. Air Force issued a terse statement acknowledging that the facility did indeed exist, even though photos taken on the ground and overhead had been available for decades. In fact, at least two U.S. Geological Survey aerial photographs of Groom taken in 1959 and 1968 had been available in public archives, but not discovered until many years later.

To be fair, there is a logic to this secrecy policy of refusing to confirm the "fact of" an organization, or even an airbase in the Nevada desert. Intelligence officials often refer to secrecy being like an onion, and each layer that is peeled off reveals a little more of what is contained within. Even if the next layer is visible in vague form, the advocates of strong secrecy want to keep all the layers in place.

This policy is usually given solid form in legal discussions within government agencies. There the concern is not so much with foreign intelligence agencies, but with American citizens and the press, and their ability to request the declassification of government documents through the Freedom of Information Act. By refusing to even acknowledge the existence of something, government agencies have erected an outer legal barrier against requests for information from their own citizens.

But critics of excessive government secrecy argue that such policies are often pursued unnecessarily, since no law requires the government to reveal anything further about the facilities. The onion analogy holds validity, but can also be taken too far; after all, the Soviet Union was an extremely secret society and classified things such as road maps. This secrecy was effective at limiting the ability of the West to determine what the Soviets were doing, but it came at a cost, in freedom. Clearly the United States government establishes lines where public knowledge is deemed more important than national security, but the question is occasionally asked where those lines should be drawn.

Secrecy critics also argue that there is something wrong when America's adversaries have better information about the federal government's actions than its own citizens. Groom Lake had obviously been photographed numerous times by Soviet spy satellites at high resolution. Refusing to release a single low - resolution photograph from a Skylab mission was taking an abstract ideal=97maintaining all the layers of the onion=97to an absurd extreme. They also argue that when the government fails to confirm the obvious, it both undermines governmental authority and legitimacy, and contributes to wild speculation, such as aliens and soundstages in underground hangars at Area 51. And despite the best efforts, information will still seep out. After all, while various agencies of the federal government were arguing over whether or not to put this low - resolution photograph in an unclassified government archive, nobody realized that several other higher - resolution images were already sitting in that archive.

Nothing more is known of this Skylab photography incident than the fact that the photograph was not released. NASA and the State Department clearly lost the argument. But the opponents of releasing it preserved national security, as they defined it.

Acknowledgement: The author wishes to thank Chris Pocock and Jeffrey Richelson for their assistance. The first article in this series, on John F. Kennedy and the Missile Gap, was published last week.

---

Dwayne A. Day is the associate editor of Raumfahrt Concret, a German spaceflight magazine, and frequently writes about space history and policy.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 10](#)

## Echelon The NSA Spy Engine

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 10:09:29 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 10:09:29 -0500  
Subject: Echelon The NSA Spy Engine

Source: Raiders News Service

<http://www.raidersnewsupdate.com/lead-story299.htm>

January 08, 2006

The NSA Spy Engine: Echelon

By Jason Leopold  
t r u t h o u t - Investigative Report

A clandestine National Security Agency spy program code-named Echelon was likely responsible for tapping into the emails, telephone calls and facsimiles of thousands of average American citizens over the past four years in its effort to identify people suspected of communicating with al-Qaeda terrorists, according to half-a-dozen current and former intelligence officials from the NSA and FBI.

The existence of the program has been known for some time. Echelon was developed in the 1970s primarily as an American-British intelligence sharing system to monitor foreigners - specifically, during the Cold War, to catch Soviet spies. But sources said the spyware, operated by satellite, is the means by which the NSA eavesdropped on Americans when President Bush secretly authorized the agency to do so in 2002.

Another top-secret program code-named Tempest, also operated by satellite, is capable of reading computer monitors, cash registers and automatic teller machines from as far away as a half-mile and is being used to keep a close eye on an untold number of American citizens, the sources said, pointing to a little known declassified document that sheds light on the program.

Echelon has been shrouded in secrecy for years. A special report prepared by the European Parliament in the late 1990s disclosed explosive details about the covert program when it alleged that Echelon was being used to spy on two foreign defense contractors - the European companies Airbus Industrie and Thomson-CSF - as well as sifting through private emails, industrial files and cell phones of foreigners.

The program is part of a multinational spy effort that includes intelligence agencies in Canada, Britain, New Zealand and Australia, also known as the Echelon Alliance, which is responsible for monitoring different parts of the world.

The NSA has never publicly admitted that Echelon exists, but the program has been identified in declassified government documents. Republican and Democratic lawmakers have long criticized the program and have, in the past, engaged in fierce debate with the intelligence community over Echelon because of the ease with which it can spy on Americans without any oversight from the federal government.

Mike Frost, who spent 20 years as a spy for the CSE, the Canadian equivalent of the National Security Agency, told the news program 60 Minutes in February 2000 how Echelon routinely eavesdrops on many average people at any given moment and how, depending on what you say either in an email or over the telephone, you could end up on an NSA watch list.

"While I was at CSE, a classic example: A lady had been to a school play the night before, and her son was in the school play and she thought he did a -- a lousy job. Next morning, she was talking on the telephone to her friend, and she said to her friend something like this, 'Oh, Danny really bombed last night,' just like that," Frost said. "The computer spit that conversation out. The analyst that was looking at it was not too sure about what the conversation was referring to, so erring on the side of caution, he listed that lady and her phone number in the database as a possible terrorist."

Ironically, during the first Bush administration, a woman named Margaret Newsham, who worked for Lockheed Martin and was stationed at the NSA's Menwith Hill listening post in Yorkshire, England, told Congressional investigators that she had firsthand knowledge that the NSA was illegally spying on American citizens.

While a Congressional committee did look into Newsham's allegations, it never published a report. However, a British investigative reporter named Duncan Campbell got hold of some committee documents and discovered that Newsham was telling the truth. One of the documents described a program called "Echelon" that would monitor and analyze "civilian communications into the 21st century."

As of 2000, sources said, the NSA had Echelon listening posts located in: Menwith Hill, Britain; Morwenstow, Britain; Bad Aibling, Germany; Geraldton Station, Australia; Shoal Bay, Australia; Waihopai, New Zealand; Leitrim, Canada; Misawa, Japan; Yakima Firing Center, Seattle; Sugar Grove, Virginia.

A January 1, 2001, story in the magazine Popular Mechanics disclosed details of how Echelon works.

"The electronic signals that Echelon satellites and listening posts capture are separated into two streams, depending upon whether the communications are sent with or without encryption," the magazine reported. "Scrambled signals are converted into their original language, and then, along with selected "clear" messages, are checked by a piece of software called Dictionary. There are actually several localized "dictionaries." The UK version, for example, is packed with names and slang used by the Irish Republican Army. Messages with trigger words are dispatched to their respective agencies."

Electronic signals are captured and analyzed through a series of supercomputers known as dictionaries, which are programmed to search through each communication for targeted addresses, words, phrases, and sometimes individual voices. The communication is then sent to the National Security Agency for review. Some of the more common sample key words that the NSA flags are: terrorism, plutonium, bomb, militia, gun, explosives, Iran, Iraq, sources said.

Because Echelon can easily spy on Americans without any oversight or detection, and because Echelon covers such a wide spectrum of communication, many current and former NSA officials said that it's likely the agency used its satellites to target Americans, Mark Levin, a former chief of staff to Edwin Meese during the Reagan administration, wrote last month in a blog post on the National Review Online.

"Under the ECHELON program, the NSA and certain foreign intelligence agencies throw an extremely wide net over virtually all electronic communications world-wide. There are no warrants. No probable cause requirements. No FISA court. And information is intercepted that is communicated solely between US citizens within the US, which may not be the purpose of the program but, nonetheless, is a consequence of the program."

---

Jason Leopold spent two years covering California's electricity

crisis as Los Angeles bureau chief of Dow Jones Newswires. Jason has spent the last year cultivating sources close to the CIA leak investigation, and is a regular contributor to truthout

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 10](#)

## Re: 1912 Canadian Native Crop Circle Account -

From: James Smith <[zeus001002.nul](mailto:zeus001002.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 10:01:47 -0500 (GMT-05:00)  
Fwd Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 13:09:41 -0500  
Subject: Re: 1912 Canadian Native Crop Circle Account -

>From: Paul Anderson <[paulanderson.nul](mailto:paulanderson.nul)>  
>To: [paulanderson.nul](mailto:paulanderson.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 7 Jan 2006 09:45:47 -0800  
>Subject: 1912 Canadian Native Crop Circle Account

>A recently found native Indian account, The Daughters  
>Of The Star, in the book Thirty Indian Legends Of  
>Canada first published in 1912-includes the mention  
>of a hunter finding a flattened ring in prairie grass;  
>similar to other old accounts in legends and folklore  
>from the US/ Europe, a further piece of evidence that  
>crop circles, at least simple ones, may have  
>been around for a long time.....

Regarding rings in the "Ole West", I have this newspaper account  
from "The New Era", November 07, 1894 Humeston, Iowa

Fairy Rings Of Helena:  
Theories Advanced as to Their Origin - Common In Eastern  
Montana.

The well-known circle on the pasturelands about six miles east  
of Helena, near the old overland stage road, and which has been  
a curiosity and a source of speculation for years, is identical  
with the fairy rings so common in some parts of England. There  
were formerly two of these rings, says the Helena (Mont.)  
Independent, but one has entirely disappeared within the last  
few years. The remaining one is about two hundred feet in  
diameter and forms a perfect circle. The ground forming the  
circle is about two yards wide and quite destitute of  
vegetation.

Many theories have been advanced as to the cause of these rings.  
Some say that it is the result of lightning, others that a herd  
of buffalo, pursued by wolves, stopped and formed them- selves  
into a circle as a means of defending their young, and thus  
tramped out the grass. These ring's have attracted the attention  
of scientific men and recent investigations have shown they are  
the result of centrifugal development of certain kinds of fungi,  
among which is the common mushroom, which shows a tendency to  
grow in this manner. The spot where it has grown is unfitted for  
its continued nourishment, and the spawn extends outward to new  
soil, forming the circle. These rings are common in eastern  
Montana, along the Musselshell river, but the one near Helena  
is the most perfect in the state.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 10](#)

## Re: Red Rain Phenomenon Of Kerala ET? - Smith

From: James Smith <[zeus001002.nul](mailto:zeus001002.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 10:20:13 -0500 (GMT-05:00)  
Fwd Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 13:11:29 -0500  
Subject: Re: Red Rain Phenomenon Of Kerala ET? - Smith

>From: William Wise <[will.nul](mailto:will.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 07:54:34 -0500  
>Subject: Red Rain Phenomenon Of Kerala ET?

I found this in a newspaper called New Era, August 15, 1894  
Humeston, Iowa:

"On December 24, 156, at Lillebonne, France, a meteor fell,  
followed by a red rain. Something similar occurred in Syria in  
the year 1618."

In the Progress Review, June 25, 1931 La Porte City, Iowa:

"Tons of Red Sediment in Downpour of Rain

"On the night of December 31, 1927 after a strong northerly wind  
had carried thick clouds of dust over Victoria and blown the  
finer particles southward over Bass strait, there were heavy but  
irregularly distributed falls of red rain. Mr. Chapman estimated  
the amount deposited in Balwyo at 51 3/4 tons to the square  
mile.

The red dust on this occasion was exceptionally sticky, as the  
innumerable diatoms -nitzschia and cocconeis-still contained  
their endochrome.

On November 3, 1920, after a northerly gale, showers of red rain  
fell. The amount of the red sediment collected ... a fall of 61  
tons to the square mile, or, if it had been equally distributed  
over Victoria, a fall of nearly 6 million tons. Both the minute  
reddish flakes of sediment and the diatoms and sponge spicules  
show that the material had been derived from the arid regions in  
the west of Victoria and in central Australia."

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 10](#)

## Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

From: **Martin Shough** <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 16:23:26 -0000  
Fwd Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 13:19:59 -0500  
Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

>From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2006 00:36:17 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 8 Jan 2006 12:31:53 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>>From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Wed, 4 Jan 2006 19:12:59 +0000  
>>>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

<snip>

>>>Barauna's story seems to change by the minute. First he's on  
>>>deck when the UFO flies over, then he's in his cabin, seasick,  
>>>now he's presumably on deck again watching the UFO emerge,  
>>>dripping wet, from the Atlantic!

>>However for the benefit of others, they I can find no evidence  
>>that Barauna ever said that he was in his cabin when the object  
>>appeared. John Rimmer betrays a poor grasp of the basic case  
>>materials. I'm afraid this is typical.

>I quote this from a communication to the List from A J Gevaerd  
>in October 2003. I commented:

>>What I don't understand is why Barauna seems to have told Fontes  
>>that he was on deck when the events took place (even being so  
>>specific as to mention the camera he had left behind in his  
>>cabin), and apparently told you that he was sick in his cabin  
>>when the alarm was raised.

Having first leapt with unseemly haste onto one sinking ship  
(Virgilio's misinterpreted "undersea" UFO), you now make your  
escape by leaping directly to another! Again you are keen to  
perpetuate a myth based on an interpretation of what you think  
Barauna "apparently told" a third party. In this case, that he  
told Gevaerd he was "sick in his cabin when the alarm was  
raised". You imply that Gevaerd is reporting an unguarded  
mistake by Barauna, inconsistent with the story he told everyone  
else, and you then quote Gevaerd's response as though it were a  
endorsement:

>"He [Barauna] didn't told that only to me, he told to everyone.  
>That was his report until he died: he was sea-sick somewhere  
>in the ship, apart from the group in the deck.

But you see, when you read it, it isn't an endorsement at all,  
is it? What Gevaerd says is that what Barauna told to him was  
what Barauna "told to everyone... until he died".

And what did Barauna tell to everyone, from the time of his very  
first quoted account in 1958? That at the time of the sighting  
he was on deck with his Rollieflex (having left his Leica back  
in the cabin, earlier) ready to photograph the boat raising

prior to the ship's departure, that the sea was choppy and that he had been feeling little sea-sick. Nothing about being in his cabin at the time of the sighting.

Gevaerd confirms that Barauna told him that he'd been feeling sea-sick, and that at the time of the sighting he wasn't with "the group" - obviously meaning Viegas and the other Icarai Club divers who, as reported by them and as photos taken on deck during the trip show, congregated in the stern. He was "somewhere else".

>Could he had been laying down  
>in the deck, maybe in the rear part of it, but not with  
>everybody else? Maybe. I always understood it easily that he  
>wasn't with the crew, not with the group of people.

This is the case according to every account, including several of Barauna's own in different places and Capt. Brandao's Navy report. He was not with the group, but he was not in his cabin either. At the time of the sighting he was waiting with his camera by the winch for the motor pinnace, one of six boats (two open motor pinnaces, two part-covered motor boats and two small "pulling cutters") stored on skids running athwart the deckhouse roof in the rear of the central maindeck well - roughly amidships.

>As the ship  
>isn't much big, doesn't matter where he was laying down,  
>actually, because he could have heard the crew alarm about the  
>UFO from just about wherever he was."

Inasmuch as everything that isn't tied down with steel ropes gets blown away in a whirlwind of speculation it is as well to try and pin down every detail if possible. See:

<http://tinyurl.com/9t2lm>

Barauna did say that he had been feeling seasick and that the sea was choppy. But he did not say, in any first hand or unambiguously quoted source I can find, that he was in the cabin at the time of the sighting. He said very explicitly, many times in many places, that he was on deck.

The only "evidence" that ever said anything different is John Rimmer's interpretation of what A.J.Gevaerd gave him the impression of having been told. When questioned about this, Gevaerd clarifies that what he was actually told by Barauna was the same thing everyone else had been told.

A salutary illustration that John should follow his own advice in MAGONIA SUPPLEMENT 44 3 December 2002 regarding Trindade, and not give undue weight to second- and third-hand stories. Check interpretations and do not be over-eager to hold the source responsible for the failures of the messenger.

We had the same thing back in Dec 2003 when John Harney picked up on a misunderstanding by Petit:

"So here is another odd detail, " said John. "Barauna was lying down on the deck because he wasn't feeling well. In some accounts he was feeling seasick because the sea was choppy. In Petit's version his attention was called to the UFO, so he set up his tripod and camera. Really! But on a ship? Surely, he was having one of his little jokes at Petit's expense."

I doubt if Barauna was responsible for a hundredth part of the nonsense put into his mouth since 1958.

>>Any "making up" here does not appear to have had anything to do  
>>with the witness (long dead now of course). John should have  
>>checked the interview cited before going off on his tirade.

>Tirade? Just a few questions.

I beg your pardon? You did not ask one single question! Here it is again since your memory is short:

"I don't want to start another dreary exchange about this fast-dissolving case, but this latest claim is just another nail in its coffin.

"Barauna's story seems to change by the minute. First he's on deck when the UFO flies over, then he's in his cabin, seasick, now he's presumably on deck again watching the UFO emerge, dripping wet, from the Atlantic!

"... it's a shambles! The primary witness and photographer seems to be making it up as he goes along."

>If you have any problems with  
>Barauna claiming to have been sea-sick and in his cabin at the  
>time of the UFO, I suggest you take the matter up elsewhere.

I choose to take it up here, where you threw it down. Barauna did not claim to be in his cabin at the time of the sighting, as is perfectly obvious to anyone with a grain of sense.

>>I have listened closely a number of times to the tape of this  
>>interview kindly provided to me by Virgilio a year or two ago.  
>>I can find nothing anywhere on it to indicate that Barauna  
>>claimed the object came out of the sea.

>I accept that the 'undersea' quote may have been a  
>mistranslation, but this simply means that we now only have two  
>versions of Barauna's story rather than three.

Eh? Well this is snatching victory from the jaws of defeat by a sophisticated contortion too subtle for the likes of me I'm afraid.

>>I wonder if Virgilio may be referring to Barauna's statement  
>>that the object (in Irene Granchi's extemporaneous translation)  
>>"came over the sea... came from the horizon and returned to the  
>>horizon"?

>Quite possibly. My Portuguese is a little rusty

>>Many people have said many inaccurate and unreasonable things  
>>about this case over the years, John Rimmer included. It would  
>>be understandable if Barauna, asked to speculate and re-  
>>speculate about his story over the course of decades, did so  
>>himself on occasion. But in almost every case where he has been  
>>accused of doing so I've found that it was somebody else  
>>imputing to Barauna things that he did not say at all, or else  
>>it was other people's expectations of what he ought to have said  
>>instead which were unreasonable. This is another example.

>>I'm afraid all that's "fast-dissolving" in this case is John  
>>Rimmer's credibility.

>It's not my credibility that's at issue. It's that of Barauna

You have made very sure that your credibility is vested in establishing the incredibility of Barauna, not simply by digging up every sniff of a possible inconsistency like a pig after truffles (which is defensible and desirable), but by the tone and manner of your doing so, and by your imperviousness to your own failures. The fate of your credibility and of Barauna's are in opposite pans of the balance. So far in my judgment Barauna is in the ascendancy.

>and the people who have reported - or mis-reported - his various  
>claims.

I seem to recall it was Donald Menzel who tore out his hair over the illogic of saucer believers. "Each time I shoot down one of their precious exhibits," he complained, "they come back waving another one, crying 'What about this?'" Or words to that effect. After this glimpse of what a "dreary exchange" with John Rimmer would be like, I have some idea how he felt.

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## **UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 10](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 13:09:25 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 13:21:48 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Ledger

>From: Jim Deardorff <[deardorj.nul](mailto:deardorj.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 20:59:13 -0800  
>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 17:06:42 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sun, 8 Jan 2006 18:21:05 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>>>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Fri, 6 Jan 2006 20:32:51 -0000  
>>>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>>>I understand why people may want to scream "We can't know  
>>>>anything about alien life! Anything is possible! We [present  
>>>>company excepted] are mere primitive slime!" But I don't think  
>>>>we can be happy with this, even though the usual hide-bound  
>>>>debunker alternative is less attractive still. We have to try  
>>>>and find ways to make connections with the rest of science and  
>>>>find contexts where UFO observations might eventually have some  
>>>>evidential power. The recent Maccabee et al. inflation paper is  
>>>>an illustration.

>>>"Inflation-Theory Implications for Extraterrestrial Visitation"

>>>See:

>>><http://brumac.8.k.com/JBISpaper/JBISpaper.pdf>

>>Doesn't work.

>We could use an explanation of what you mean, Don.

I tried to open that page twice and it came up 404 Not Found,  
not a valid ISP, so it didn't work.

Dave Morton sent me the same URL [off-list] which then worked [go  
figure] and I discovered that I already had that paper of yours  
et all copied to my files.

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 10](#)

## Re: Edison's 'Conquest Of Mars' - Clark

From: **Jerome Clark** <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 12:19:49 -0600  
Fwd Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 13:25:01 -0500  
Subject: Re: Edison's 'Conquest Of Mars' - Clark

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 06:27:48 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Edison's 'Conquest Of Mars'

>From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2006 11:45:33 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)  
>Subject: Edison's 'Conquest Of Mars'

>It should be noted that this sequel was not written,  
>authorized, or approved of by H. G. Wells. Garrett P. Serviss is  
>credited as the author, but that name sounds like a concocted  
>pseudonym to me.

Wrong, wrong. Garrett P. Serviss was a well-known popular-  
science journalist of the period. Anybody who does work in old  
newspapers (as I have specifically on beliefs concerning life on  
nearby planets, the subject of an ongoing series I'm writing for  
IUR) will have come upon Serviss's name repeatedly.

Jerry Clark

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 10](#)

## Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 10:08:12 -0800  
Fwd Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 13:23:23 -0500  
Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Gehrman

>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 00:47:48 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2006 09:26:44 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>>David, Larry,

>>I believe the correct term is Orgone Accumulator and you can  
>>make one yourself. All it takes is alternating layers of wool  
>>blanked material and steel wool.

>>Three layers is usually enough.

>>Then cover this with a soft flannel and you have a soothing,  
>>pain reliever at almost no cost. I use one for my lower back  
>>pain and wouldn't think of being without it.

>>Ed

>>[My old-mother swears by hers --ebk]

>Hello EBK and Ed:

>Yes, I stand corrected. Per one source, "Wilhelm Reich developed  
>a metal-lined device named the Orgone Accumulator, believing  
>that the box trapped orgone energy that he could harness in  
>groundbreaking approaches towards psychiatry, medicine, the  
>social sciences, biology and weather research."

>Quite a tall order for an assembly of ordinary materials.

Larry,

Yes but the secret was the alternating layers of organic and inorganic materials. The organic attracted (accumulated) the energy and the inorganic deflected the energy back into the surrounding environment. The "Orgone Box" with its the metal lining, captured the energy and deflected it back and forth much like the old "Pong" games. I have built several different models and hundreds of orgone blankets. There is definitely something going on. Reich tried to explain the dynamics of his "life energy" as best he could, but was ridiculed and persecuted, and misunderstood. In the future Reich will be considered one of the most under estimated scientists of the 20th century. He was also an insightful historical analyst. His "Mass Psychology of Fascism" is a brilliant analysis of the neurotic personality and of the political expression of revolutionary feelings carried along by irrational ideology.

>Per another site, the original invention alternated glass wool  
>and steel wool. It is nowhere clear if the substitution of  
>flannel and regular wool would affect the rate of accumulation

>of Orgone Energy.

There isn't a sure way to measure orgone energy but there doesn't seem to be any great difference in effectiveness between wool or glass wool. I use old army blankets, 100% wool, that have been laundered to remove any pesticides or molds. From this material I cut four rectangles about three feet by two feet. I lay out one and then unroll a medium grade steel wool pad which usually is about two feet long stretched out, and lay it across the wool and repeat until the entire 2x3 is covered. Then I lay another blanket section over that and repeat for two more layers. When completed there are three steel wool layers and four wool blanket sections with wool material on the top and bottom. I then put this into a flannel pillow case, sew the open end and tack in four corners and the middle. With this little effort you too can own a genuine orgone blanket that will provide unlimited hours of soothing pain relief.

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 11](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Maccabee

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 17:06:12 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 07:13:57 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Maccabee

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 09 Jan 2006 17:06:42 -0400  
>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 8 Jan 2006 18:21:05 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

<snip>

>>>evidential power. The recent Maccabee et al. inflation paper is  
>>>an illustration.

>>"Inflation-Theory Implications for Extraterrestrial Visitation"

>>See:

>><http://brumac.8.k.com/JBISpaper/JBISpaper.pdf>

>Doesn't work.

Sorry. too many 'dots'.

<http://brumac.8k.com/JBISpaper/JBISpaper.pdf>

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 11](#)

## Re: Astronauts And Area 51 The Skylab Incident -

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 11:06:08 -0800  
Fwd Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 07:10:29 -0500  
Subject: Re: Astronauts And Area 51 The Skylab Incident -

>Source: The Space Review

><http://www.thespacereview.com/article/531/1>

>January 9, 2006

>Astronauts And Area 51: The Skylab Incident  
>by Dwayne A. Day

>However, for humans whose feet rest on solid ground, Groom is  
>the site of highly secret aircraft development.  
>... Because of this, the United States government  
>has gone to extraordinary lengths to preserve the area's secrecy  
>and to prevent people from seeing it.

>This secrecy was threatened in early 1974 when the astronauts on  
>Skylab pointed their camera out the window and took pictures of  
>a facility that did not officially exist. They returned to Earth  
>and their photographs quickly became a headache for NASA, the  
>CIA, and the Department of Defense. That story has never been  
>told before.

<snip>

>"The issue arises from the fact that the recent Skylab mission  
>inadvertently photographed" the airfield at Groom Lake. "There  
>were specific instructions not to do this," the memo stated, and  
>Groom "was the only location which had such an instruction." In  
>other words, the CIA considered no other spot on Earth to be as  
>sensitive as Groom Lake, and the astronauts had just taken a  
>picture of it.

>What they also did was take photographs of the Earth, including  
>photos of the secretive Groom Lake facility in Nevada.

<snip>

>NASA had an agreement with the US intelligence community that  
>dated from the beginning of the Gemini program. All astronaut  
>photographs of the Earth would first be reviewed by the National  
>Photographic Interpretation Center in Building 303 in the  
>Washington, DC Navy Yard. NPIC (pronounced "en - pick") was an  
>organization managed by the CIA that interpreted satellite and  
>aerial photography.

>During the Gemini program they discovered the answer-not much.  
>The photographs returned during the Gemini missions had many  
>problems, including the lack of data on what the camera was  
>pointed at. There was no good way for an astronaut to record the  
>precise time and pointing angle of a camera when he took a  
>picture, and so the interpreters often had a very difficult time  
>determining what they were looking at. This had been one of the  
>factors that contributed to the demise of the US Air Force's  
>Manned Orbiting Laboratory program.

>There was a certain irony in NPIC photo - interpreters discovering  
>photographs of Groom Lake, because even within NPIC's Building  
>303 Groom Lake was classified. Images of Groom were removed from  
>rolls of spy satellite film and stored in a restrictive vault.

<snip>

>Whether or not CIA ever undertook such an exercise remains  
>unknown, but CORONA spacecraft did photograph Area 51 at least a  
>handful of times. Thousands of rolls of film are stored at the  
>National Archives, with the relevant negatives cut out.

>The controversy

>Why the Skylab astronauts disobeyed their orders and took the  
>photo is unknown, as are what it depicted. Because they had only  
>handheld cameras for earth observation, the resolution of the  
>image would have been limited.

<snip>

>"This photo has been going through an interagency reviewing  
>process aimed at a decision on how it should be handled," the  
>unnamed CIA official wrote. "There is no agreement. DoD elements  
>(USAF, NRO, JCS, ISA) all believe it should be withheld from  
>public release. NASA, and to a large degree State, has taken the  
>position that it should be released--that is, allowed to go into  
>the Sioux National Repository and to let nature take its  
>course."

>What the memo indicates is that there was a difference between  
>the way the civilian agencies of the US government and the  
>military agencies looked at their roles. NASA had ties to the  
>military, but it was clearly a civilian agency. And although the  
>reasons why NASA officials felt that the photo should be  
>released are unknown, the most likely explanation is that NASA  
>officials did not feel that the civilian agency should conceal  
>any of its activities.

This reminds me very much of Gordon Cooper's story of how he  
accidentally filmed Area 51 during his Gemini 5 mission. When  
they returned to Earth, he said the film was confiscated on  
board the aircraft carrier. He was surprised and angry, he said,  
because it had been decided before the mission that the photo  
exercise with special telephoto lens was to be unclassified.  
When he met with President Johnson a few weeks later, he said  
Johnson told him he had personally ordered the film's  
confiscation. Finally, it wasn't until 1997 that he bumped into  
the man who took the film and was told only then the reason for  
the confiscation, namely that he had photographed Area 51.

Now notice in this story it says that the Skylab crew also  
accidentally photographed Area 51. NASA had an agreement with  
the U.S. intelligence community to have ALL their space photos  
reviewed first. The CIA considered Area 51 THE most secret spot  
on the planet and had the film confiscated, over NASA's  
objections (like in Cooper's story, they felt the film should  
not be classified and be publicly available). However, anytime  
the Groom Lake facility was photographed, even with our own  
satellite spy cameras, the negatives were always snipped out and  
stored in a special vault. (Cooper said that to the best of his  
knowledge, his film vanished, at least with the shots of Area  
51.)

Thus the Skylab Area 51 story corroborates much of Cooper's  
story of how and why his photos were confiscated.

James Oberg basically called Cooper a liar for telling the  
story, while telling a bunch of fabrications of his own to try  
to discredit Cooper--see my expose of Oberg's smear job:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2004/oct/m28-014.shtml>

David Rudiak

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 11](#)

## Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 14:17:05 -0800  
Fwd Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 07:18:35 -0500  
Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Hatch

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 10:08:12 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 00:47:48 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2006 09:26:44 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>>>David, Larry,

>>>I believe the correct term is Orgone Accumulator and you can  
>>>make one yourself. All it takes is alternating layers of wool  
>>>blanked material and steel wool.

<snip>

>>Yes, I stand corrected. Per one source, "Wilhelm Reich  
>>developed a metal-lined device named the Orgone Accumulator,  
>>believing that the box trapped orgone energy that he could  
>>harness in groundbreaking approaches towards psychiatry,  
>>medicine, the social sciences, biology and weather research."

>>Quite a tall order for an assembly of ordinary materials.

>Larry,

>Yes but the secret was the alternating layers of organic and  
>inorganic materials. The organic attracted (accumulated) the  
>energy and the inorganic deflected the energy back into the  
>surrounding environment. The "Orgone Box" with its the metal  
>lining, captured the energy and deflected it back and forth much  
>like the old "Pong" games. I have built several different models  
>and hundreds of orgone blankets. There is definitely something  
>going on. Reich tried to explain the dynamics of his "life  
>energy" as best he could, but was ridiculed and persecuted, and  
>misunderstood.

>In the future Reich will be considered one of the  
>most under estimated scientists of the 20th century.

<snip>

>>Per another site, the original invention alternated glass wool  
>>and steel wool. It is nowhere clear if the substitution of  
>>flannel and regular wool would affect the rate of accumulation  
>>of Orgone Energy.

>There isn't a sure way to measure orgone energy but there  
>doesn't seem to be any great difference in effectiveness between  
>wool or glass wool.

<snip>

So, do we have a form of energy that is not measurable? At least in theory? That would be truly new to science.

All known forms of energy are measurable in Joules or so convertible. (watt-seconds, BTUs, foot pounds, you name it, even electron volts.)

The energy-equivalent of infinitesimal particles is known to very high degrees of accuracy. Why should orgone energy be any different?

Maybe a better question is whether Orgone Energy is detectable at all, using any sort of instruments or apparatus.

Best

- Larry

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 11](#)

## Re: Canadian Native Crop Circle Account - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 16:40:25 -0600  
Fwd Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 07:20:30 -0500  
Subject: Re: Canadian Native Crop Circle Account - Lehmborg

>From: James Smith <[zeus001002.nul](mailto:zeus001002.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 10:01:47 -0500 (GMT-05:00)  
>Subject: Re: 1912 Canadian Native Crop Circle Account

>>From: Paul Anderson <[paulanderson.nul](mailto:paulanderson.nul)>  
>>To: [paulanderson.nul](mailto:paulanderson.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 7 Jan 2006 09:45:47 -0800  
>>Subject: 1912 Canadian Native Crop Circle Account

>>A recently found native Indian account, The Daughters  
>>Of The Star, in the book Thirty Indian Legends Of  
>>Canada first published in 1912-includes the mention  
>>of a hunter finding a flattened ring in prairie grass;  
>>similar to other old accounts in legends and folklore  
>>from the US/ Europe, a further piece of evidence that  
>>crop circles, at least simple ones, may have  
>>been around for a long time.....

>Regarding rings in the "Ole West", I have this newspaper account  
>from "The New Era", November 07, 1894 Humeston, Iowa

>Fairy Rings Of Helena:  
>Theories Advanced as to Their Origin - Common In Eastern  
>Montana.

>The well-known circle on the pasturelands about six miles east  
>of Helena, near the old overland stage road, and which has been  
>a curiosity and a source of speculation for years, is identical  
>with the fairy rings so common in some parts of England. There  
>were formerly two of these rings, says the Helena (Mont.)  
>Independent, but one has entirely disappeared within the last  
>few years. The remaining one is about two hundred feet in  
>diameter and forms a perfect circle. The ground forming the  
>circle is about two yards wide and quite destitute of  
>vegetation.

>Many theories have been advanced as to the cause of these rings.  
>Some say that it is the result of lightning, others that a herd  
>of buffalo, pursued by wolves, stopped and formed them- selves  
>into a circle as a means of defending their young, and thus  
>tramped out the grass. These ring's have attracted the attention  
>of scientific men and recent investigations have shown they are  
>the result of centrifugal development of certain kinds of fungi,  
>among which is the common mushroom, which shows a tendency to  
>grow in this manner. The spot where it has grown is unfitted for  
>its continued nourishment, and the spawn extends outward to new  
>soil, forming the circle. These rings are common in eastern  
>Montana, along the Musselshell river, but the one near Helena  
>is the most perfect in the state.

I suspect that you compare apples and soup stones, Mr. Smith.  
Nes't ce pas?

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 11](#)

## Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - White

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 18:32:07 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 07:22:18 -0500  
Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - White

>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 00:47:48 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

<snip>

>Quite a tall order for an assembly of ordinary materials.

About the same tallness as dowsing. A few years ago, the physicist, brother in law of then CFRB show host Richard Syrett, along with a film crew and Richard's wife, (a Toronto TV producer) went to a haunted site here in Hamilton, Ontario. The physicist was skeptical of dowsing and hauntings.

He was handed dowsing rods to just hold, and was very excited to report to the group that the rods did suddenly get yanked strongly in a particular direction. That night left him convinced something did really happen there.

Lots of people swear by dowsing, too.

On the one hand, I understand being skeptical about seemingly illogical and especially not-repeatable-by-everyone-every-type type manifestations. But when such manifestations repeat endlessly, much like UFOs, I don't automatically discount them.

If mainstream 'science' would stop discounting these things, we'd be at least a century ahead of where unclassified science is right now, I would guess.

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 11](#)

## Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 19:54:20 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 07:25:40 -0500  
Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 16:23:26 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

<snip>

>I seem to recall it was Donald Menzel who tore out his hair over  
>the illogic of saucer believers. "Each time I shoot down one of  
>their precious exhibits," he complained, "they come back waving  
>another one, crying 'What about this?'" Or words to that effect.  
>After this glimpse of what a "dreary exchange" with John Rimmer  
>would be like, I have some idea how he felt.

I have felt the same way regarding explanations by saucer  
debunkers. "Each time I shoot down one of their stupid  
explanations they cme back waving - in fact, publishing -  
another one, saying 'What about this?' or words to that  
effect."

(e.g., Arnold case with seven... or more.... published  
explanations). That brings up the following theorem:

the more explanations there are proposed for a sighting the less  
likely it is that it has been explained by any one of them.

I tangled with Menzel once. It was the Gemini 11 astronaut  
sighting and photos. Menzel coldn't dispute the astronauts'  
photos so he quibbled about the analysis. My recollection is  
that he never did propose an explanation.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 11](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Maccabee

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 19:54:32 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 07:26:50 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox - Maccabee

>From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2006 22:49:22 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)  
>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>From: David Chace <[davidwchace.nul](mailto:davidwchace.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 04 Jan 2006 20:39:36 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

<snip>

>Will this be the year that absolute proof that some UFOs are  
>indeed extraterrestrial craft is disclosed to the public and  
>confirms what Maccabee and other ufologists know to be the truth  
>that finally puts Fermi's Paradox to rest?

I hate to be a wet blanket and cynic, etc., but I'm not holding  
my breath while waiting for this disclosure.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 11](#)

## NTSB 'Solves' Riddle Of Cessna Crash In 2002

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 07:35:43 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 07:35:43 -0500  
Subject: NTSB 'Solves' Riddle Of Cessna Crash In 2002

Source: The Washington Post - Washington, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/9sajh>

Wednesday, January 11, 2006; Page A22

NTSB Solves Riddle Of Small Plane Crash In '02

By Sara Kehaulani Goo  
Washington Post Staff Writer

The federal government said yesterday that it has solved the three-year-old mystery of a small plane crash in Alabama that, at least initially, appeared to have been the result of an in-flight collision with an unexplained object.

The night time crash in October 2002 of the single-engine Cessna cargo plane killed the pilot, the plane's only occupant, and launched UFO and government-conspiracy theories on Web sites, pondering what it might have collided with. Red scuff marks were found on pieces of the wreckage after it was pulled from a swamp

Some pilots theorized that the Cessna was struck by a stray unmanned drone, many of which are painted red or orange, that are flown by the military at a nearby Air Force base. The investigation so frustrated the dead pilot's sister that she spent weeks combing Big Bateau Bay for clues.

After taking the unusual step of moving the aircraft to Washington and sending the red paint marks to four laboratories for testing, the National Transportation Safety Board concluded that the pilot became disoriented while flying through cloud layers and crashed the plane probably after seeing a large plane coming near him.

Tests from the labs, one at the FBI, found that most of the red-marked pieces were similar to materials inside the cargo plane, including baseball caps, audiotape packaging, a fire extinguisher, a tow bar and a Priority Mail envelope. The Wright Patterson Air Force Laboratory said that red color on the wreckage did not match the polyurethane coating on the exterior of the drone it used from Eglin Air Force Base.

"Wreckage examinations... revealed no evidence of an in-flight collision or breakup, or of external contact by a foreign object," the NTSB's report said. The red scuff marks on the wreckage did not appear in a pattern that suggested a collision, the report said.

The safety board's simulation of the accident revealed that the pilot, Thomas J. Preziose, was flying between cloud layers when he was notified of a FedEx DC-10 flying in the area. Even though the DC-10 was 2,400 feet higher and more than a mile away, it probably appeared much closer to the pilot, the report indicated. The pilot's "view of the DC-10 moved diagonally across the windscreen from his left to straight in front of the

Cessna while [appearing to triple] in size" in just seconds, the report said.

If a pilot is distracted and does not have a view of the horizon, as in this case, it is easy to become disoriented, said John Clark, head of NTSB's Office of Aviation Safety. "It's quite insidious if you're between layers of clouds; you can get screwed up," he said. "If you start moving your head or moving up and down, you can screw up your inner balance."

Still, a lawyer who said he represents two sons of the pilot, said he does not believe the safety board's conclusion and that he plans to press ahead in federal court with a lawsuit that blames the weather, air traffic control and other factors.

"I don't think the board's got it straight," Tony B. Jobe said. "The plot is thickening."

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 11](#)

## Southern Ohio Alien Abduction Research

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 07:39:48 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 07:39:48 -0500  
Subject: Southern Ohio Alien Abduction Research

Southern Ohio Alien Abduction Research Site:

<http://www.soaar.net/>

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 11](#)

## George Walsh Broke Roswell Story

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 07:46:21 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 07:46:21 -0500  
Subject: George Walsh Broke Roswell Story

Source: The Mercury News - San Jose, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/byhf7>

Jan. 11, 2006

George Walsh Newsman And "Gunsmoke" Announcer, Dies At 88  
Associated Press

MONTEREY PARK, Calif. - George Walsh, a longtime newsman and announcer for the "Gunsmoke" radio and television programs, has died. He was 88.

Walsh died of congestive heart failure Dec. 5 at Garfield Medical Center in Monterey Park, said his daughter, Fran.

He became the "Gunsmoke" announcer in 1952, introducing the live, weekly radio series with the following words: "Around Dodge City and in the territory out West, there's just one way to handle the killers and the spoilers, and that's with a U.S. marshal and the smell of 'Gunsmoke.'" He remained the announcer when the show moved to television in 1955.

In the Los Angeles area, Walsh was a longtime fixture at KNX-AM radio, serving as an interviewer, sports reporter, newscaster and announcer from 1952 to 1986. His voice also was used in the Smokey Bear forest fire prevention campaign and on the now-closed Disneyland rides Flight to the Moon and Mission to Mars.

In June 1947, he scored what appeared to be a huge scoop while working at a radio station in Roswell, N.M., breaking a story about a UFO landing based on an Air Force press officer's claim that a flying saucer had been captured near the city. The military eventually determined that the UFO was in fact a radar target, but the story by then had spread worldwide.

In addition to his daughter, Fran, Walsh is survived by his wife of 49 years, Charlotte; two other daughters, Janice and Carolyn; two brothers; two sisters; five grandchildren; and one great-grandchild.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 11](#)

## Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

From: Ray Dickenson <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 12:56:58 +0000  
Fwd Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 09:42:26 -0500  
Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 19:54:20 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

<snip>

>I tangled with Menzel once. It was the Gemini 11 astronaut  
>sighting and photos. Menzel coldn't dispute the astronauts'  
>photos so he quibbled about the analysis. My recollection is  
>that he never did propose an explanation.

Bruce, that reminded me to have another look at:

<http://www.anomalous-images.com/astroufo.html>

which has a dozen or so groups of sightings by astronauts or  
NASA pilots.

Have there been any successful FOI requests on any of these? Or  
subsequent releases of the photos taken?

Cheers  
Ray D

~~~~~  
"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com>  
~~~~~

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 11](#)

## Re: Apollo 11 Sighting Footage - Richardson

From: **Geoff Richardson** <[geoff.nul](mailto:geoff.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 13:30:42 -0000  
Fwd Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 09:47:46 -0500  
Subject: Re: Apollo 11 Sighting Footage - Richardson

>From: Geoff Richardson <[geoff.nul](mailto:geoff.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2006 14:52:47 -0000  
>Subject: Apollo 11 Sighting Footage

<snip>

>I came across a short video of an object captured on camera by  
>the Apollo 11 crew and it is now available for viewing at:

><http://www.thewhyfiles.net/moonfiles2.htm>

>I believe it was explained by NASA to be the reflection of  
>instrumentation panel lights.

Since uploading the above video I have received e-mail  
communications from James Oberg (quite rightly) which I suspect  
may be too lengthy for posting satisfactorily on this list.

His comments have, therefore, been added to:

<http://www.thewhyfiles.net/moonfiles2.htm>

to accompany the video file.

Might I add that I also came across another short video which I  
assume relates to a shuttle mission - which one at this time I am  
unsure.

See: <http://www.thewhyfiles.net/shuttle.htm>

Geoff Richardson  
[www.thewhyfiles.net](http://www.thewhyfiles.net)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 11](#)

## Re: Canadian Native Crop Circle Account - Smith

From: James Smith <[zeus001002.nul](mailto:zeus001002.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 09:35:31 -0500 (GMT-05:00)  
Fwd Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 09:49:49 -0500  
Subject: Re: Canadian Native Crop Circle Account - Smith

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 16:40:25 -0600  
>Subject: Re: 1912 Canadian Native Crop Circle Account

>>From: James Smith <[zeus001002.nul](mailto:zeus001002.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 10:01:47 -0500 (GMT-05:00)  
>>Subject: Re: 1912 Canadian Native Crop Circle Account

>>>From: Paul Anderson <[paulanderson.nul](mailto:paulanderson.nul)>  
>>>To: [paulanderson.nul](mailto:paulanderson.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 7 Jan 2006 09:45:47 -0800  
>>>Subject: 1912 Canadian Native Crop Circle Account

>>>A recently found native Indian account, The Daughters  
>>>Of The Star, in the book Thirty Indian Legends Of  
>>>Canada first published in 1912-includes the mention  
>>>of a hunter finding a flattened ring in prairie grass;  
>>>similar to other old accounts in legends and folklore  
>>>from the US/ Europe, a further piece of evidence that  
>>>crop circles, at least simple ones, may have  
>>>been around for a long time.....

>>Regarding rings in the "Ole West", I have this newspaper  
>>account from "The New Era", November 07, 1894  
>>Humeston, Iowa

>>Fairy Rings Of Helena:  
>>Theories Advanced as to Their Origin - Common In  
>>Eastern Montana.

>>The well-known circle on the pasturelands about six miles  
>>east of Helena, near the old overland stage road, and which  
>> has been a curiosity and a source of speculation for years,  
>>is identical with the fairy rings so common in some parts  
>> of England. There were formerly two of these rings,  
>>says the Helena (Mont.) Independent, but one has entirely  
>>disappeared within the last  
>>few years. The remaining one is about two hundred feet in  
>>diameter and forms a perfect circle. The ground forming  
>> the circle is about two yards wide and quite destitute of  
>>vegetation.

>>These ring's have attracted the attention of scientific  
>>men and recent investigations have shown they are  
>>the result of centrifugal development of certain kinds of fungi  
>>.....

>>These rings are common in eastern  
>>Montana, along the Musselshell river, but the one near  
>>Helena is the most perfect in the state.

>I suspect that you compare apples and soup stones, Mr. Smith.

Both are "rings". I assume the Indian's ring was large, but it  
is unclear, but surely it had to be pretty big for him to  
notice.

I will grant you that his description of the grass as "tramped down" does not match the "destitute of vegetation" description.

Interesting how way back then they had "crop" circle researchers.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 11](#)

## Re: George Walsh Broke Roswell Story - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 11:32:15 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 10:49:01 -0500  
Subject: Re: George Walsh Broke Roswell Story - Friedman

>Source: The Mercury News - San Jose, California, USA

><http://tinyurl.com/byhf7>

>Jan. 11, 2006

>George Walsh Newsman And "Gunsmoke" Announcer, Dies At 88  
>Associated Press

>MONTEREY PARK, Calif. - George Walsh, a longtime newsman and  
>announcer for the "Gunsmoke" radio and television programs, has  
>died. He was 88.

>Walsh died of congestive heart failure Dec. 5 at Garfield  
>Medical Center in Monterey Park, said his daughter, Fran.

<snip>

>In June 1947, he scored what appeared to be a huge scoop while  
>working at a radio station in Roswell, N.M., breaking a story  
>about a UFO landing based on an Air Force press officer's claim  
>that a flying saucer had been captured near the city. The  
>military eventually determined that the UFO was in fact a radar  
>target, but the story by then had spread worldwide.

<snip>

I spoke with George back in the 1970s, I think because Lydia  
Sleppy had mentioned his name as working at the Roswell radio  
station. He recalled almost nothing.

He may indeed have been the first to run with the late Walter  
Haut's press release as he was local.

Clearly this happened on July 8 not in June.

Evening newspapers all over the US from Chicago West also  
carried the story that day.

What was captured was clearly not a weather balloon. If that is  
all that there had been Brazel, Marcel and Blanchard all would  
have recognized it and Marcel and Cavitt would not have gone out  
to the ranch following Brazel.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 11](#)

## Re: Apollo 11 Sighting Footage - Balaskas

From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 11:37:31 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)  
Fwd Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 14:29:25 -0500  
Subject: Re: Apollo 11 Sighting Footage - Balaskas

>From: Geoff Richardson <[geoff.nul](mailto:geoff.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2006 14:52:47 -0000  
>Subject: Apollo 11 Sighting Footage

>In September/October of 2005 there were a number of posts  
>relating to a show broadcast by The Science Channel entitled  
>"First on the Moon: The Untold Story". In particular the segment  
>relating to the apparent sighting of a UFO by the crew of Apollo  
>11 was discussed.

>I came across a short video of an object captured on camera by  
>the Apollo 11 crew and it is now available for viewing at:

><http://www.thewhyfiles.net/moonfiles2.htm>

>If this is the "UFO" mentioned in the TV show, I am unsure. I  
>believe it was explained by NASA to be the reflection of  
>instrumentation panel lights.

Hi Geoff, Hi Everyone!

Below is a link to the transcript, by Dave Stone and posted to UFO UpDates, of the what Apollo 11 astronaut Buzz Adrin had to say on 'The Science Channel' about their unexplained UFO sighting while on the way to the Moon. Although all three Apollo 11 astronauts (Buzz Adrin, Neil Armstrong and Michael Collins) saw the UFO, apparently they have not spoken about it in public before.

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/sep/m28-027.shtml>

This UFO sighting (and the mysterious light flashes within the Command Module) by the astronauts was important enough to be mentioned in detail during their post flight debriefing, the transcripts of which have been published in Robert Godwin's 'Apollo 11, The NASA Mission Reports, Volume Two' (pages 38 to 40).

Since your film clip with what appears to be light reflections off a window was taken in the immediate vicinity of the Moon, it is not related to the earlier UFO sighting mentioned above which occurred in space between Earth and the Moon. Also, since the astronauts would have seen and also commented on these prominent "UFOs" captured on film, but did not, suggests to me that they were likely internal reflections of sunlight within the camera and/or reflections created by light sources within the darkened Command Module. There is nothing mysterious or unexplained about this Apollo 11 film clip.

As for Apollo images and film footage that NASA may have not released to the public, it is interesting that when Robert Godwin, publisher of the Apogee Books, showed Buzz Aldrin his latest books on Apollo, Buzz grabbed them and put them in his briefcase since they contained images of himself on the Moon which he had never seen! The many new or perviously unknown things this award winning publisher of books on manned space flight who has earned the respect of all those in NASA has

uncovered is amazing!

Nick Balaskas

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 12](#)

## Re: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 11:02:06 -0800  
Fwd Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 08:18:22 -0500  
Subject: Re: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Gehrman

>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 14:17:05 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 10:08:12 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>>>>Per another site, the original invention alternated glass wool  
>>>>and steel wool. It is nowhere clear if the substitution of  
>>>>flannel and regular wool would affect the rate of accumulation  
>>>>of Orgone Energy.

>>There isn't a sure way to measure orgone energy but there  
>>doesn't seem to be any great difference in effectiveness between  
>>wool or glass wool.

><snip>

>So, do we have a form of energy that is not measurable? At  
>least in theory? That would be truly new to science.

Hi Larry,

Orgone can be measured; at least Reich felt that this was possible. He saw Orgone energy as "Primordial cosmic energy, universally present and demonstrable visually, thermentically, electroscopically and by means of Geiger-Mueller counters. In the living organism, orgone is the life energy".

I know it's hard for the cynical types to give Reich and his work a second glance, or even a first one, but before you write him off, try making an Orgone blanket, and if you don't have any pain yourself, give the blanket to a friend who does and see if they notice any difference.

>All known forms of energy are measurable in Joules or so  
>convertible. (watt-seconds, BTUs, foot pounds, you name it,  
>even electron volts.)

PFM - pain free minutes?

>The energy-equivalent of infinitesimal particles is known to  
>very high degrees of accuracy. Why should orgone energy be any  
>different?

Reich says that orgone is like the aether. He calls it the cosmic orgone ocean. All matter and energy and life is formed from orgone. It's the space that photons illuminate.

>Maybe a better question is whether Orgone Energy is detectable  
>at all, using any sort of instruments or apparatus.

If you construct and use the orgone blanket, you should be able to feel it. But I offer no guarantees. Sometimes you have to wait until the orgone is able to dissolve previously formed

arming.

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 12](#)

## Fireball Alert

From: **Martin Shough** <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 20:07:19 -0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 08:22:09 -0500  
Subject: Fireball Alert

Source: Space Weather News

<http://spaceweather.com>

Jan. 11, 2006

FIREBALL ALERT: On Sunday morning, Jan. 15th, between approximately 1:56 and 1:59 a.m. PST (0956 - 0959 UT), a brilliant fireball will streak over northern California and Nevada. It's NASA's Stardust capsule, returning to Earth with samples of dust from Comet Wild 2. Observers along the flight path should have a marvelous view of this rare man-made meteor. Radio signals reflected from the capsule's ionized tail may be heard from a much wider area--hundreds to thousands of miles away.

Visit: <http://spaceweather.com>

for maps and observing tips.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 12](#)

## Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 21:49:03 +0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 08:22:58 -0500  
Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 16:23:26 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2006 00:36:17 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>>>Barauna's story seems to change by the minute. First he's on  
>>>>deck when the UFO flies over, then he's in his cabin, seasick,  
>>>>now he's presumably on deck again watching the UFO emerge,  
>>>>dripping wet, from the Atlantic!

>>>However for the benefit of others, they I can find no evidence  
>>>that Barauna ever said that he was in his cabin when the object  
>>>appeared. John Rimmer betrays a poor grasp of the basic case  
>>>materials. I'm afraid this is typical.

>>I quote this from a communication to the List from A J Gaeverd  
>>in October 2003. I commented:

>>>What I don't understand is why Barauna seems to have told Fontes  
>>>that he was on deck when the events took place (even being so  
>>>specific as to mention the camera he had left behind in his  
>>>cabin), and apparently told you that he was sick in his cabin  
>>>when the alarm was raised.

>Having first leapt with unseemly haste onto one sinking ship  
>(Virgilio's misinterpreted "undersea" UFO), you now make your  
>escape by leaping directly to another! Again you are keen to  
>perpetuate a myth based on an interpretation of what you think  
>Barauna "apparently told" a third party. In this case, that he  
>told Gevaerd he was "sick in his cabin when the alarm was  
>raised". You imply that Gevaerd is reporting an unguarded  
>mistake by Barauna, inconsistent with the story he told everyone  
>else, and you then quote Gevaerd's response as though it were a  
>endorsement:

>>"He [Barauna] didn't told that only to me, he told to everyone.  
>>That was his report until he died: he was sea-sick somewhere  
>>in the ship, apart from the group in the deck.

<snip>

Statement by A J Gavaerd:

"Barauna, who was a very reputable man and professional  
photographer (enough to be officially invited by the Navy on  
several expeditions), wasn't even on the deck of the Almirante  
Saldanha when the UFO appeared in the horizon. He was sea-sick  
at that moment and it was just after some of the crew members  
saw the object that he was called upon to register the thing  
with his camera. He shot the pictures in front of everyone on  
board."

Statement by Barauna to Olave Fontes:

"It was on January 16, at 12:15 P.M. The ship was preparing to leave the island, to come back to Rio. I was on the deck observing the operations to take aboard the boat used in the trips between the ship and the island (the island has no harbor). "

Which statement is correct?

--  
John Rimmer

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 12](#)

## Re: Astronauts And Area 51 The Skylab Incident -

From: James Smith <[zeus001002.nul](mailto:zeus001002.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 15:39:23 -0500 (GMT-05:00)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 14:02:02 -0500  
Subject: Re: Astronauts And Area 51 The Skylab Incident -

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Sent: Jan 10, 2006 10:04 AM  
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>Subject: Astronauts And Area 51 The Skylab Incident

>This secrecy was threatened in early 1974 when the astronauts on  
>Skylab pointed their camera out the window and took pictures of  
>a facility that did not officially exist.

The implication is that the photo(s) was from a hand held camera. Two other camera sets were window mounted, with fixed pointing toward nadir.

>Because they had only >handheld cameras for earth observation, the resolution of the >image would have been limited.

Wrong. They had the window mounted Earth Terrain Camera with 450mm lens (18inch) and film width of 5 inch which was supposed to get 35 ft resolution and had a 68 statute mile film coverage. Although there were handheld 70mm film/ 100 mm lens handheld and 35mm film/various lens film cameras, I doubt these would be of interest to the spooks. There was another window mounted camera (150mm lens, 70 mm film), the multispectral one, with a resolution of 260 ft. I doubt this would be of interest either.

Searching the Skylab4 image database, you can find the following hi-res Earth Terrain Camera images for Nevada:

SL4-94-11  
Owens R., Inyo Mts, Saline Valley  
Lat= 37.4 , Long=-117.9

SL4-94-12  
Death Valley, Panamint Range, Inyo Mts  
Lat =37.1, Long=-117.5

SL4-94-13  
Death Valley, Furnace Creek, Stove Pipe Wells  
Lat= 36.9, Long= -117.1

SL4-94-14  
Death Valley, Badwater, Panamint Range, Armagosa Range  
Lat=36.6 Long= -116.8

SL4-94-15  
Death Valley, Armagosa R., Badwater, Shoshone  
Lat 36.3 Long -116.4

SL4-94-16  
Pahrump, Sprint Mts, Armoagosa R., Tecopa  
Lat 36.0 Long -116.1

SL4-94-17  
Baker, Mesquite Lake, Goodsprings, Shadow Mt.  
Lat 35.7 Long -115.7

SL4-90-295  
Sodaville, Coaldale, Excelsior Mt  
Lat 38.3 Long -117.8



SL4-90-296  
Tonopah, Mud Lake, Silverpeak  
Lat 38.1 Long -117.5

SL4-90-297  
Tonopah, Gold Field, Silverpeak Range  
Lat 37.9 Long -117.1

SL4-90-298  
Mud Lake, Cactus Range  
Lat 37.6 Long -116.7

SL4-90-299  
Pahute Mesa, Gold Flat  
Lat 37.4 Long -116.3

SL4-90-300  
Lake Mead, Colorado River  
Lat 36.0 Long -114.1

A request of these images would be interesting.

Also, it is interesting that the database and Skylab photo index has no missing images (omitted/ break in the image numbering sequence) or an image being listed as "restricted".

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 12](#)

## Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens - Hughes

From: Mike Hughes <[profpan.nul](mailto:profpan.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 17:34:03 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 14:03:33 -0500  
Subject: Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens - Hughes

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
Date: Mon, 2 Jan 2006 10:55:11 EST  
Subject: Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens

>Okay, here's where I draw the line. Promoting narcotics?

>No, this is highly irresponsible and dangerous no end.

>What's he going to do next, hold sponsored tours into the wilds  
>of S. America for people looking for a new high?

>Next thing you know they'll be selling this stuff on the streets  
>of urban regions and combined with the other poisons we'll have  
>a new excuse by the skeptibunkers that all UFO witnesses and  
>abductees are suffering from dope.

Greg,

First, equating responsible use of entheogens (psychedelic substances) with "narcotics" is absurd. Entheogens have been used by humans for millennia as part of shamanic practices and exploration of altered states. There is a wealth of information on these substances, so I won't go into it here -- just do a little research.

In particular, Rick Strassman's studies on DMT:

<http://www.rickstrassman.com/>

And a good overview of the current state of psychedelic research, including the use of MDMA (Ecstasy) for the treatment of PTSD can be found here:

<http://www.maps.org/>

So, please, don't confuse drug abuse with intelligent, informed usage and research.

And though it may drive the nuts-and-bolts Ufologists mad, there has been a steady current of research on UFOs and altered states of consciousness, much of which is compelling and worthy of consideration. DMT (dimethyltryptamine) "entity" encounters and the abduction phenomenon are \*very\* similar.

Hancock is not the first to pursue this line of inquiry. The possibility that UFOs and their occupants interdimensional has been discussed for decades, and the vast amount of so-called "paranormal" phenomena that accompanies many sightings and contact experiences lend credence to that viewpoint (as detailed by Vallee, Keel, Keith Thompson, Patrick Harpur, and others).

If there is any validity to this line of speculation -- that UFOs operate in an intra- or multidimensional manner (for lack of better terminology) -- then to suggest they can interface directly with the human mind becomes quite possible.

My own experience has made me very open to the idea that there is more to UFOs than aliens in spaceships:

<http://www.charm.net/~profpan/2005/10/attempted-manipulation-of-ufo.html>

Just one caveat -- although I believe there is a non-physical element to UFO sightings and experiences, that does not rule out actual physical sightings and contacts. There may be an element of both.

PP

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 12](#)

## Secret Vatican Archives Accessible On-Line

From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 07:52:43 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 14:16:31 -0500  
Subject: Secret Vatican Archives Accessible On-Line

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
January 12, 2006

Source: Noticiasdot.com  
Date: 01.11.06

Italy: Secret Vatican Archives Accessible Through Internet

The Vatican Archives, one of the world's most important historical research centers, with over 630 different archival sources extending over more than 85 kilometers of shelves, covering over 800 yeras of history, can now be visited on the Internet at:

[www.vatican.va](http://www.vatican.va)

After selecting the language, the page offers a virtual tour among frescoes and documents in its "Focus" section, although the language selection is currently limited to English and Italian only.

The oldest document dates back to the 7th century, while uninterrupted documentation is maintained from the year 1198 onward. Thanks to new technology, it is possible to leaf through the parchment in which Pope Clement grants absolution to the leaders of the Knights Templar (17-20 August, 1308), Michelangelo Buonarotti's letter to the Bishop of Cesena (January 1550) or the minutes of the trial of Galileo Galilei (1616-1633).

The holdings of the Vatican Archives are crucial to the study of history, whether due to the documents that affect Christian civilization, or those having to do with the history of various nations," explains the web site for the Archbishopric of Madrid.

"As far as some countries are concerned, the Vatican documents are the oldest, the first ones, which witness the start of their national history," explains the Vatican web site.

The Vatican Archive is employed, first and foremost, byt the Pope and his collaborators in the Holy See, as set forth in Pope Leon XIII "motu proprio" of May 10, 1884. That same Pope allowed access to researchers in 1881, turning it into "the world's most important historic research facility"

(Translation (c) 2006 Scott Corrales - IHU  
Special thanks to Inma Roca)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 12](#)

## Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox -

From: Joe McGonagle <[joe.nul-ufo.org](mailto:joe.nul-ufo.org)>  
Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 08:15:29 +0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 14:20:28 -0500  
Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox -

>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 7 Jan 2006 21:03:06 -0000  
>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>>From: Joe McGonagle <[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Thu, 05 Jan 2006 20:44:29 +0000  
>>>Subject: Re: ETH Does Not Solve The Fermi Paradox

>>>I shan't attempt to answer all of the various posts point by  
>>>point

<snip>

>And this is the exact point of the Fermi argument, as  
>expressed by Cathy Reason: Non-zero initial conditions trigger  
>chaotic oscillations towards an extremal state which is the  
>system "attractor". The so-called strange attractors of chaotic  
>systems are those which describe just that type of self-similar  
>fractal proliferation which is so characteristic of the way  
>organic systems on Earth grow and spread.

Maybe I am missing a point here - how are we to know how  
advanced towards either "stable state" we are currently?

>>>Although there may appear to be stability when viewed over an  
>>>extremely long time period (Millions of Millions of years),

>.... since this is in the order of 1000 times the age of the  
>universe I think you might be speculating...

Yes, I am speculating, but the universe is continuing to age -  
how long before it ceases to exist?

>Where do you get 100,000 years from as some sort of constant churn  
>rate for arbitrary "parts of the universe"? Significant physical  
>changes in stars and galaxies occur on all timescales as far as  
>we can see from milliseconds to billions of years.

It's just an order of scale based on the emergence of Homo  
Sapiens from more primitive hominids. In effect, an  
approximation of how long our species has had to achieve our  
current level of technology, starting from virtually zero.

<snip>

>The reason generality is important is exactly because of those  
>small and short-timescale variables (which you mention below)  
>that we don't want to allow to dominate our projections. You  
>might think these variations therefore get smoothed out on the  
>grand scale, so that the technology-dominated universe would get  
>very uniform; after all, I said that planetary dynamics was  
>about chaotic systems, and we have regular eclipses, and Saturn  
>has those nice neat rings. But organic systems do not behave  
>like these mechanical systems. As with the analogous "B-Z  
>reaction" in chemistry, it is the uniform distribution that is  
>unstable.

IMO the short timescale variables are critically important to the so-called paradox, and there is a plethora of them. An alteration in the axis of the earth by a small amount might have advanced or retarded our own evolution by a few hundred thousand years, produced a different dominant species, or prevented the development of life altogether. If the mean distance of the Earth from the Sun was a million miles more or less, the same is true. If the energy output from the Sun was different by a few percent either way, the evolutionary rate and path would be significantly different to the one we have taken. Even the relative mass of the Moon has influenced our own evolutionary path and rate.

All of these factors and more will effect the development of life. Even allowing for panspermia as a possible common mechanism for the spread of life, the time interval between the raw material arriving on colonisable (here I mean by primitive life, or building blocks for such life) planets are relatively large (relative to my 100,000 year yardstick). Once colonised, the local parameters such as those I just mentioned will further accelerate or retard the development of intelligent life. The likelihood of advanced civilisations arising within the same 100,000 year window in relatively close proximity is IMO very small, and consequently, the opportunity for us to encounter such life is also small (until and unless the saturation point is approached).

This doesn't exclude the possibility that intelligent life has developed in our neighbourhood at this time, but it would make that situation the exception rather than the rule - there is no reason to suppose that we are close to the saturation point because of reported ET encounters.

<snip>which

I have to confess to having difficulty with some of the technical language you are using, but I think I am getting the gist of what you are saying.

>So this is one other way of looking at the Fermi Paradox:  
>Instead of asking, "Why don't we see signs of technology  
>transforming the universe everywhere we look?" a better question  
>might be, "Why does the degree and character of technological  
>transformation of the universe look so similar everywhere?" Two  
>possible answers are that the degree of transformation is 0% or  
>100%.

This is what I don't understand - why not 5% - In the scale of things, this could be virtually undetectable, surely?

<snip>

>So maybe, counterintuitively, 100% transformation is actually  
>more likely than 0%. If it is 100% then the degree of isotropy  
>and homogeneity suggests that the observable universe is  
>possibly a locally uniform fluctuation in a \_phase\_space\_ that  
>contains a chaotic spectrum of other universes in other states  
>of transformation. I say "phase space" but this could arguably  
>be consistent with some modern cosmological theories that  
>propose types of anthropic "evolutionary selection" among a  
>multiverse of superspace domains with different dimensional  
>characteristics, possibly different fundamental constants and  
>different physics.

Now I'm really in the dark. I have no knowledge at all of "phase space", "multiverses", or "Superspace domains" - I had thought that these were just abstract hypothetical concepts, not known phenomena?

<snip>

>Your criticism relies on them being much more different from us  
>than any other type of life on earth. We don't necessarily need  
>details of culture, technology, morals - the fact that your  
>aliens have anything approximating these categories of  
>description is sufficient for us to justify a working hypothesis  
>that they are analogous organisms to us, in the sense that say  
>bacteria are analogous organisms to us. Like us, bacteria will  
>"colonise" any available appropriate ecological niche given the  
>energy.

This is based on the survival instinct, essentially - what if a society evolved to a point where there was no challenge to (racial) survival? Surely this would cause a significant shift in motivating factors for expansion?

<snip>

>Here we are talking about what we know of the common properties  
>of all life from humans to bacteria, generalised to dynamical  
>systems theory within which living systems are themselves a  
>special case of complex systems. As we know nothing a priori  
>about ET this is proper conservative reasoning.

Again, you appear to cite survival driven expansion - what if survival was no longer a concern due to advanced technology?

<snip>

>But really, I must have wandered into a parallel world there for  
>a minute because I could have sworn that many ufologists not a  
>million miles from this list were not averse to the odd  
>speculation or two. I wonder how much of your own reasoning, and  
>- yes - speculation, owes a debt to the scientific and sci-fi  
>and ufological debate about these issues that has gone on since  
>the '50s, stimulated powerfully by ideas like Fermi's?

We don't need a non-existent paradox to stimulate speculation. All of the above could be speculated upon without any perceived paradox. It was the presentation of the paradox as a fact rather than speculation which irritates me so much.

Cheers,

Joe

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 12](#)

## Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 08:48:34 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 14:22:07 -0500  
Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - Shell

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egerman.nul](mailto:egerman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 11:02:06 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>I know it's hard for the cynical types to give Reich and his  
>work a second glance, or even a first one, but before you write  
>him off, try making an Orgone blanket, and if you don't have any  
>pain yourself, give the blanket to a friend who does and see if  
>they notice any difference.

I'm an agnostic about Orgone. I do think that Reich got a really raw deal from the US Government, which alone might be enough to justify looking more closely at his work.

I'm not a skeptic about nonstandard therapies for pain. Back in 1999 I slipped on some ice in a parking lot and broke my back. I was in terrible pain for over a year. The doctors pumped me full of Oxycontin, Hydromorphone, and just about every known pain killer, with little effect. I was then prescribed an electrical stiumulus device that required me to stick electrode pads to my skin and send a tingling shock through them. This combined with opiates did control the pain to some extent, but I was still barely able to move around and had a very hard time driving. Then a good friend sent me a lumbar belt with magnets in it. The magnets are located at points similar to those used in acupuncture. I was skeptical, but agreed to give it a try. After a few weeks of wearing it daily, I was able to stop taking the drugs and put the electrical gadget in a drawer where it still sits. Six months with the belt, and my pain was completely gone. I've had occasional flare-ups since then, but it has been completely manageable.

I have no earthly (or unearthly) idea how this could work, but I know that in my case it did work. Placebo effect? Unlikely, since I didn't think it would work and only wore it because my friend was so insistent. So I'll give the Orgone blanket the benefit of the doubt.

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 12](#)

## Orgone Energy {was: Warp Drive Is Closer To

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 09:26:44 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 14:29:33 -0500  
Subject: Orgone Energy {was: Warp Drive Is Closer To

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egerman.nul](mailto:egerman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 11:02:06 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 14:17:05 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

<snip>

>>So, do we have a form of energy that is not measurable? At  
>>least in theory? That would be truly new to science.

>Hi Larry,

>Orgone can be measured; at least Reich felt that this was  
>possible. He saw Orgone energy as "Primordial cosmic energy,  
>universally present and demonstrable visually, thermentically,  
>electroscopically and by means of Geiger-Mueller counters. In  
>the living organism, orgone is the life energy".

>I know it's hard for the cynical types to give Reich and his  
>work a second glance, or even a first one, but before you write  
>him off, try making an Orgone blanket, and if you don't have any  
>pain yourself, give the blanket to a friend who does and see if  
>they notice any difference.

>>All known forms of energy are measurable in Joules or so  
>>convertible. (watt-seconds, BTUs, foot pounds, you name it,  
>>even electron volts.)

>PFM - pain free minutes?

>>The energy-equivalent of infinitesimal particles is known to  
>>very high degrees of accuracy. Why should orgone energy be any  
>>different?

>Reich says that orgone is like the aether. He calls it the cosmic  
>orgone ocean. All matter and energy and life is formed from orgone.  
>It's the space that photons illuminate.

>>Maybe a better question is whether Orgone Energy is detectable  
>>at all, using any sort of instruments or apparatus.

>If you construct and use the orgone blanket, you should be able  
>to feel it. But I offer no guarantees. Sometimes you have to  
>wait until the orgone is able to dissolve previously formed  
>armoring.

>Ed

FYI, There is a lot of information on "Orgone Energy" available  
via Google, and site provided this definition:

<http://www.orgone.org/articles/ax9kelley1a.htm>

[Quote]

Franz Anton Mesmer called it animal magnetism; Charles von Reichenbach called it odyle. To Henri Bergson it was the elan vital, the "vital force;" while to Hans Driesch it was the entelechy . Sigmund Freud observed its functioning in human emotions and termed it libido. William MacDougall, the great British - American psychologist of a generation ago, labeled it hormic energy. Dozens, if not hundreds, of lesser - known scientists have recognized its presence and have given it a name to characterize its special properties. Among the 20th-century proponents of the concept are, for example, Doctors Charles Littlefield and his vital magnetism and George Starr White and his cosmo-electric energy . Mechanistic science in the 17th through 19th centuries embraced many of its essential qualities in the concept of the ether, while mystical human beings have embraced other essential qualities of it in the concept of god.

Orgone energy is Wilhelm Reich's name for the substratum from which all nature is created. The best definition this author can provide for it is this: Orgone energy is the creative force in nature. This article will discuss briefly the history of the discovery of orgone energy by Reich and will describe its properties. It will then summarize the evidence for and against the concept and, finally, will undertake to explain why it is that the concept meets such great resistance.

[End Quote]

The full article is on the web site and may be of further interest. This also apparently relates to "Ether Energy":

<http://www.spiritunfoldment.org/books/life.html>

--quote--

Chapter 2 WHAT IS ETHER ENERGY?

Why was the term "Ether energy" forgotten about? Who defined it in the past and what they are calling it today. Who has described it in the recent western sciences as well as our ancient ancestors. Many have worked with it and used it to do astounding things that are not in the history books.

--end quote--

Steve

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 12](#)

## Re: Warp Drive Science Fact Not Fiction? - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 10:22:57 -0800  
Fwd Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 14:31:19 -0500  
Subject: Re: Warp Drive Science Fact Not Fiction? - Rudiak

>From: Lan Fleming <[lfleming6.nul](mailto:lfleming6.nul)>  
>To: UFOUpdates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 15:35:31 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Science Fact Not Fiction?

>>From: Terry Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 21:28:33 -0700  
>>Fwd Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 08:23:57 -0500  
>>Subject: Warp Drive Science Fact Not Fiction?

>>FWD (SK) Re: "Warp" drive: Science fact, not fiction?

>>><http://news.scotsman.com/scitech.cfm?id=16902006>

>>>AN EXTRAORDINARY "hyperspace" engine that could make  
>>>interstellar space travel a reality by flying into other  
>>>dimensions is being investigated by the United States  
>>>government.

>><snip>

>>So if the kind people from New Scientist had behaved in a  
>>responsible manner, they a) either wouldn't have given this  
>>theory any publicity at all, or b) they would have written their  
>>article in a way that makes the following crucial fact clear to  
>>any reader: This theory hasn't even passed the first hurdle for  
>>scientific theories.

>I thought the New Scientist article made it clear that there were  
>serious reservations about this theory and that scientists found  
>some of Heim's writing incomprehensible. But they also explained  
>the main reason why it's being taken seriously: the equations  
>derived from the theory that can be used to accurately predict  
>the masses of subatomic particles. If that's true, it seems very  
>likely there something to Heim's work.

>Whether or not it ever leads to faster than light travel is, of  
>course, another question entirely.

A good introduction to Heim theory can be found at Wikipedia:

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Heim\\_Theory](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Heim_Theory)

Also read the discussions page, which has interesting stuff.

The crux of whether Heim theory might be a reasonable model of reality is its ability to predict subatomic particle masses and lifetimes to fairly high accuracy, something that no other physical theory can do. It can apparently also predict the fine structure constant, a measure of the strength of the electrical force that shows up in quantum mechanics. These are all Holy Grails of theoretical physics.

On the other hand, there are also some obvious problems, such as the theory predicting an uncharged electron, which doesn't seem to exist. (Similarly, the mainstream Standard Model predicts such things like the magnetic monopole, which nobody can find either. However, a lightweight electron should be much easier to find in particle accelerators than the heavy monopole.)

If some planned experiments bear out some of the predictions of Heim theory, then there may very well be a Gold Rush of interest by the physics community. If the experiments fail, then Heim theory will end up just more physics road kill, along with many other ingenious and promising theories that didn't quite match up with experimental reality.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 13](#)

## Paul Hellyer To Speak At Hawaii Conference

From: Michael Salla <[exopolitics.nul](mailto:exopolitics.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 06:40:50 -1000  
Fwd Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 00:57:55 -0500  
Subject: Paul Hellyer To Speak At Hawaii Conference

Paul Hellyer To Speak At Hawaii Conference On ET Civilizations  
And World Peace

Aloha all, below is a newswire release concerning Paul Hellyer's confirmation that he will be attending the forthcoming June conference in Hawaii that explores the relationship between extraterrestrial civilizations and world peace. As many are aware from his September 2005 speech, Paul Hellyer raised a number of policy questions concerning the possible targetting of extraterrestrial civilizations by space weapons. This is not an abstract futuristic debate as a recent book published by Douglas Beason, the E-Bomb, demonstrates. Beason advocates a more vigorous effort to develop energy directed weapon systems that have already been proven to be feasible and effective. See: [http://www.space.com/business/technology/060111\\_e-weapons.html](http://www.space.com/business/technology/060111_e-weapons.html)

If, as many argue, white projects such as e-weapons R&D lag a decade or two behind 'black projects' (waived Special Access Programs), then it's very likely that such energy directed weapons have already been developed and deployed for military purposes. This makes it possible for such weapons to be covertly used against extraterrestrial visitors. This is one of the policy issues that Paul Hellyer brings attention to due to his past experience as Canada's Minister for National Defense, and will be a major focus of the forthcoming conference.

Michael Salla

-----

Former Canadian Defense Minister to Visit U.S. to Speak at Hawaii  
Conference on Extraterrestrial Civilizations and World Peace

KEALAKEKUA, Hawaii, Jan. 9 /U.S. Newswire/ -- the Hon. Paul Hellyer, former Canadian Minister for National Defense, recently declared that evidence confirming the reality of UFOs and visiting extraterrestrial civilizations is subject to "what has probably been the greatest and most successful cover up in the history of the world." He confirmed that senior political officials even at the rank of Minister of Defence, a position he himself occupied, are out of the loop when it comes to information concerning UFOs and extraterrestrial civilizations visiting the Earth. Hellyer will be speaking at a forthcoming conference in Hawaii on "Extraterrestrial Civilizations & World Peace." In this, the first scheduled public presentation of his UFO related views on U.S. soil, the Hon. Paul Hellyer will deliver a key note address on many of the key policy issues over the UFO "cover up," and efforts to covertly target visiting extraterrestrial civilizations using space weapons.

Hellyer has raised a profound policy question concerning the designation by the U.S. military of visiting extraterrestrials as an "enemy". According to Hellyer, this had led to the development of "laser and particle guns to the point that they can be used against the visitors from space." It is this targetting of visiting extraterrestrials that deeply concerns Hellyer, and he asks "is it wise to spend so much time and money to build weapon systems to rid the skies of alien visitors?" Hellyer poignantly raises the key policy question: "Are they

really enemies or merely legitimate explorers from afar?" Hellyer's question has profound importance in understanding the relationship between visiting extraterrestrial civilizations and world peace.

Paul Hellyer is the first senior politician to unambiguously declare the existence of a government cover up of evidence concerning visiting extraterrestrial civilizations. He is blazing a trail that many other senior politicians are destined to take.

Further information on the Hon. Paul Hellyer and the "Extraterrestrial Civilizations and World Peace" conference is available at:

<http://www.etworldpeace.com>

The Conference Sponsor is the Exopolitics Institute, a non-profit organization based in Kealahou, Hawaii, dedicated to studying the key political actors, institutions and processes associated with credible evidence that extraterrestrial civilizations are visiting, monitoring or residing on Earth. For more information please visit:

<http://www.ExopoliticsInstitute.org>.

<http://www.usnewswire.com/>

-0-

2006 U.S. Newswire 202-347-2770/

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 13](#)

## Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens = Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 17:39:51 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 01:08:18 -0500  
Subject: Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens = Friedman

>From: Mike Hughes <[profpan.nul](mailto:profpan.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 17:34:03 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 2 Jan 2006 10:55:11 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Graham Hancock & Aliens

>>Okay, here's where I draw the line. Promoting narcotics?

>>No, this is highly irresponsible and dangerous no end.

>>What's he going to do next, hold sponsored tours into the wilds  
>>of S. America for people looking for a new high?

>>Next thing you know they'll be selling this stuff on the streets  
>>of urban regions and combined with the other poisons we'll have  
>>a new excuse by the skeptibunkers that all UFO witnesses and  
>>abductees are suffering from dope.

>Greg,

>First, equating responsible use of entheogens (psychedelic  
>substances) with "narcotics" is absurd. Entheogens have been  
>used by humans for millennia as part of shamanic practices and  
>exploration of altered states. There is a wealth of information  
>on these substances, so I won't go into it here - just do a  
>little research.

<snip>

>Hancock is not the first to pursue this line of inquiry. The  
>possibility that UFOs and their occupants interdimensional has  
>been discussed for decades, and the vast amount of so-called  
>"paranormal" phenomena that accompanies many sightings and  
>contact experiences lend credence to that viewpoint (as  
>detailed by Vallee, Keel, Keith Thompson, Patrick Harpur, and  
>others).

>If there is any validity to this line of speculation -- that  
>UFOs operate in a intra- or multidimensional manner (for lack  
>of better terminology) -- then to suggest they can interface  
>directly with the human mind becomes quite possible.

<snip>

>Just one caveat -- although I believe there is a non-physical  
>element to UFO sightings and experiences, that does not rule  
>out actual physical sightings and contacts. There may be an  
>element of both.

Without passing judgement on the drug issues, I, for one, would  
be absolutely astonished if all there was to UFOs were nuts and  
bolts spacecraft and their pilots.

I would expect all advanced societies to study biology and learn about aging and control both it and reproduction.

I would expect knowledge of the world of the mind and the soul, reincarnation, and other areas which we still consider paranormal.

Many of the technological activities we consider normal today would certainly have been labelled paranormal and impossible a mere 150 years ago.

Think of DNA and microcircuits, and wireless, the internet and satellites observing the weather and spying on other societies, and cloning etc etc etc.

None of this means necessarily other dimensions or parallel universes.

Primarily what is required is recognition that, despite the advances, we have made we are ignorant.

The biggest obstacle to acceptance of flying saucer reality and the Cosmic Watergate, is not the lack of data - there is plenty - but arrogance and ignorance on the part of the ancient academics and fossilized physicists of the world unwilling to admit they don't know it all. They assume that if flying saucers were real, they would know about them. They don't, so saucers aren't real.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 13](#)

## Need Info On Global UFO History

From: Sheryl Gottschall <[gottscha.nul](mailto:gottscha.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 09:48:30 +1000  
Fwd Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 01:10:22 -0500  
Subject: Need Info On Global UFO History

2006 is the 50th anniversary of UFO Research Queensland Inc (formerly the Queensland Flying Saucer Research Bureau) in Brisbane, Australia. The association has been consistently active since 1956.

I'm doing some background research and would appreciate some help with information regarding the first known UFO groups in the world and when they were established. Jjust the year is fine.

Any information would be very much appreciated.

Sheryl

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 13](#)

## Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - White

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 19:03:59 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 01:13:05 -0500  
Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality - White

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egerman.nul](mailto:egerman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 11:02:06 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 14:17:05 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

<snip>

>>So, do we have a form of energy that is not measurable? At  
>>least in theory? That would be truly new to science.

A lot of things are "new to science."

I won't list them as I've recently mentioned most of them in  
other emails.

When "science" arbitrarily decides what is and what isn't  
"worthy" of their exalted study, then "new to science" things  
pile up pretty fast.

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 13](#)

Secrecy News -- 01/12/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 09:49:35 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 01:17:28 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 01/12/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 5  
January 12, 2006

\*\* REMOTE SENSING TUTORIAL  
\*\* NRO USE OF OPERATIONAL FILES EXEMPTION CHALLENGED  
\*\* THE COST OF THE IRAQ WAR  
\*\* DOE INSPECTOR GENERAL REPORT ON THE WEN HO LEE CASE

REMOTE SENSING TUTORIAL

The principles and practice of remote sensing, including the various applications of satellite imagery, are introduced in a newly updated Remote Sensing Tutorial that was originally prepared for NASA.

An unusual educational product that is designed for the interested non-specialist, the Tutorial features an abundance of sample satellite images and self-test exercises.

It was principally authored by Dr. Nicholas M. Short, Sr., a geologist and former NASA employee.

The most recent edition of the Tutorial is dated November 2005. It is published on the website of the Federation of American Scientists with Dr. Short's kind permission. See:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/imint/docs/rst/index.html>

Remote sensing generally refers to instrument-based data collection directed at a target area, and is often used in connection with orbital surveillance of the Earth's surface.

"The term 'remote sensing' was coined by Ms. Evelyn Pruitt in the mid-1950's when she, a geographer/oceanographer, was with the U.S. Office of Naval Research," the Tutorial notes.

NRO USE OF OPERATIONAL FILES EXEMPTION CHALLENGED

In the past, the National Reconnaissance Office, which builds and operates intelligence satellites, has released unclassified portions of its budget justification materials under the Freedom of Information Act.

But lately, the NRO has refused to process FOIA requests for such unclassified budget information, claiming that it is contained in "operational files" that are exempt from the FOIA.

That NRO claim is the subject of a pending lawsuit filed by the Federation of American Scientists. The parties exchanged a volley of legal motions this week.

The NRO "has misconstrued the operational files exemption and invoked it in a manner that is contrary to the statute and the legislative history," FAS contended in its January 9 filing.

For its part, the NRO insisted that FAS's "interpretation of the statute contradicts the plain language of the statute and nothing in the case law ... supports such a strained interpretation."

The National Security Archive contributed a carefully argued amicus curiae ("friend of the court") brief recounting the history of the operational files exemption and its treatment in prior cases. The Archive brief, written by Meredith Fuchs, concluded with FAS that "the Court should order the NRO to search for, review and otherwise process the requested record under the terms of the FOIA."

All three briefs, filed in D.C. District Court January 9, may be found here:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/foia/nro-cbjb/index.html>

#### THE COST OF THE IRAQ WAR

Among the mistakes and misrepresentations that led to the U.S. war in Iraq, one of the most shocking is the failure to correctly assess the financial costs of the war.

Never mind the low comedy of AID Administrator Andrew Natsios, who told Americans in 2003 that Iraqi reconstruction would cost taxpayers no more than \$1.7 billion (Secrecy News, 12/08/05).

Now it appears that even estimates in the hundreds of billions of dollars may "underestimate the War's true costs to America by a wide margin," according to a new study by economists Linda Bilmes and Joseph Stiglitz, the Nobel laureate.

The authors survey the direct and indirect costs of the Iraq war and its aftermath, acknowledging the methodological difficulties involved.

"Even taking a conservative approach, we have been surprised at how large [the costs] are. We can state, with some degree of confidence, that they exceed a trillion dollars," Bilmes and Stiglitz write.

"Would the American people have had a different attitude towards going to war had they known the total cost? Would they have thought that there might be better ways of advancing the cause of democracy or even protecting themselves against an attack, that would cost but a fraction of these amounts?"

"In the end, we may have decided that a trillion dollars spent on the War in Iraq was better than all of these alternatives. But at least it would have been a more informed decision than the one that was made. And recognizing the risks, we might have conducted the War in a manner different from the way we did," the authors conclude.

Their paper was reported in the Boston Globe on January 8.

See "The Economic Costs of the Iraq War: An Appraisal Three Years After the Beginning of the Conflict" by Linda Bilmes and Joseph E. Stiglitz, January 2006:

[http://www2.gsb.columbia.edu/faculty/jstiglitz/Cost\\_of\\_War\\_in\\_Iraq.htm](http://www2.gsb.columbia.edu/faculty/jstiglitz/Cost_of_War_in_Iraq.htm)

#### DOE INSPECTOR GENERAL REPORT ON THE WEN HO LEE CASE

The Department of Energy has released a declassified version of a 1999 report by its Inspector General on the case of Wen Ho Lee, the former Los Alamos scientist who was suspected of espionage and who eventually pled guilty to one count of mishandling classified information.

The declassified document is so severely redacted that it is often unintelligible. Even the name "Wen Ho Lee" has been censored, perhaps due to his ongoing pursuit of Privacy Act litigation against the government and various members of the press who reported on his case.

A copy of the document was released in response to a Freedom of Information Act request from David Armstrong of the National Security News Service.

One apparently new assertion, Mr. Armstrong noted, is that the Unnamed Person who is the subject of the Inspector General report may have improperly assisted private companies by "employ[ing] classified techniques" in order to solve "certain technical problems that various companies were having in the commercial world."

This was problematic because "the companies involved could possibly work backwards on the unclassified solution to determine the classified process used...." (at page 100).

A copy of the redacted DOE Inspector General Report, dated July 27, 1999, and released in November 2005 is available here (161 pages in a very large 6.9 MB PDF file):

[http://www.fas.org/irp/ops/ci/whl\\_doeig.pdf](http://www.fas.org/irp/ops/ci/whl_doeig.pdf)

The pressure on journalists to disclose their sources in the Wen Ho Lee litigation and other cases was discussed in "Name That Source" by Jeffrey Toobin, The New Yorker, January 16, 2006:

[http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/articles/060116fa\\_fact](http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/articles/060116fa_fact)

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News has an RSS feed at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.rss>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 13](#)

## Canadian Liberals Want Ban On Space-Based Weapons

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 01:49:06 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 01:49:06 -0500  
Subject: Canadian Liberals Want Ban On Space-Based Weapons

Source: The Globe & Mail - Toronto, Canada

<http://tinyurl.com/b8vp8>

Wednesday, January 11

Liberal Platform Calls For Ban On Space-Based Weapons  
By Campbell Clark

A Liberal government would push for an international treaty banning weapons in space =97 one that would upset U.S. President George W. Bush's plans for a missile-defence shield =97according to the Liberal platform that was released Wednesday.

The pledge to lead a campaign for a space-weapons treaty is a revival of an effort that Canada has initiated before, with little success.

In February of 2004, then-Foreign Affairs Minister Bill Graham wrote to foreign ministers for the G8 group of countries asking them to consider how nations can keep space free of weapons, and asking if they would push the issue at the United Nations disarmament conference in Geneva. But those efforts did not develop into a widespread movement for such a treaty ban.

Now the Liberals are proposing to have Canada lead a campaign a the UN to ban all weapons in space. Existing treaties already ban weapons of mass destruction in space.

Copies of the Liberal platform were widely leaked to journalists in the wee hours this morning, once again scooping Liberal Leader Paul Martin's announcement and fuelling speculation a "mole" is leaking information to sabotage his campaign.

The platform was posted on the Liberal website shortly after noon on Wednesday.

Most of the policies outlined in the documents have already been announced in the course of the campaign, but the plan to push for a treaty banning weapons in space is a new pledge.

"A Liberal government will build on the international reputation earned through Canada's prominent initiatives on such issues as disarmament and the landmines convention and will lead an international campaign at the United Nations to establish a treaty banning all weapons in space," the Liberal platform states.

The U.S. government is pushing forward with its plans to deploy an anti-ballistic-missile shield, and senior Bush Administration officials have said that could include deploying weapons in space. They would be expected to forcefully oppose such a treaty.

Mr. Martin rejected Canadian participation in the shield last spring =97 after initially indicating he was warm to the idea. But



refusing to join the U.S. plan was a political popular wedge issue, especially in Quebec.

Mr. Martin's bombshell proposal to eliminate Ottawa's use of the notwithstanding clause, dropped during Monday's debate, is not in the platform documents.

The platform document also shows that the Liberals blew almost all of their campaign ammunition before it even began.

Although the Liberals' recent pledges will cost \$65 billion over the next five years, plans costing only \$4.1 billion have been announced in the campaign. That's because pledges in the Liberals' November mini-budget cost \$39 billion, and they announced \$11.2 billion in measures just before the campaign.

In all the Liberals predict \$96.8 billion in surpluses over the next five years. After they set aside \$17.5 million for a budgetary safety cushion, and account for the \$65 billion in promises made since the spring 2005 budget, it would leave only \$14 billion new measures over the course of the next five years.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 13](#)

## More Jill Tarter

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 01:52:54 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 01:52:54 -0500  
Subject: More Jill Tarter

Source: Psychology Today Magazine

<http://www.psychologytoday.com/rss/pto-20051019-000002.html>

Publication Date: Nov/Dec 2005

The Search For ET  
By: Willow Lawson

Summary: Jill Tarter scans the skies for radio signals, hoping to detect signs of intelligent life.

As a little girl, Jill Tarter took nighttime walks on the beach with her father, who taught her the map of the sky. She remembers looking up at the stars, thinking that somewhere out in the universe, the tiny points of starlight she saw were burning bright as someone else's sun.

More than five decades later, Tarter is the director of research at SETI, or the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence in Mountain View, California. SETI scientists use a complex network of telescopes and computers to scan the skies for radio signals elsewhere in the universe, hoping to detect signs of intelligent life-forms.

When you're on a plane, what do you say when your seatmate asks what you do?

I try not to tell people because usually it's so unexpected and fundamentally interesting that they will want to talk the whole time. Everyone has an opinion. Either they are persuaded from personal or religious beliefs that alien life is impossible, or they figure, "Oh, yeah, this makes total sense; we should do this. And by the way, aren't aliens already here?"

Do you think stories of alien visitation could be true?

I don't have any proof. There's no evidence that would convince me as a scientist.

An article in Nature suggested that radio waves may not be the best medium for communication. Why not send physical objects or packages of information into space?

The premise behind sending objects = that it isn't important to exchange information quickly = might not be valid. Also, there is an enormous amount of redundancy that you would have to build into that system. You couldn't just send one rock into space, you'd have to send millions.

Have you ever thought you'd detected the signal?

We have had a couple of close calls. It is hard to describe what an adrenaline rush that is. In 1998, we detected a signal that was clearly artificial. We looked at it and the signal always

went away when we pointed away and it came back when we pointed the telescope back. I thought we should write a computer program to compare the signal to all the other signals, to see if we'd seen this signal before. I was so excited that I misread the results. It turned out to be the SOHO spacecraft, which is in orbit around the sun.

Have you planned how you'll tell the world if the moment comes?

Yeah, we have. We are prepared for a press conference. We have the standard forms that astronomers fill out when something that might be short-lived happens so other observers can confirm it. So if it is a hoax, maybe somebody can help us. We want to have as much data available as possible.

The headlines on newspapers would be enormous.

But unless the information is very easy to understand, it could quickly fade to the back page. They may send us music or morse code = something we can understand instantly. But it is much more likely that we will receive a signal with qualifications and caveats. We are pretty convinced that people won't panic. Where are you going to run to?

In your mind's eye, what do these beings look like?

We only have one example of biology and that is the way it looks here. There is a bias toward bilateral symmetry. Also, most creatures do not walk on their brains. If it is a radio or optical signal that is transmitted, we are probably talking about a creature on the scale of a meter in size, rather than a micron. That is simply because to transmit enough energy you need big devices.

Outside the office, have you ever thought you saw evidence of aliens?

I have seen a UFO. My husband and I are both pilots. Once, we were flying at night. It was cloudier than we realized. Suddenly, at the 2 o'clock position, there was a bright light. Our first thought was, "That's the headlight of an airplane coming straight at us." So we called up air traffic control. They said, "We have nothing on our radar."

It was very bright, and for a few minutes, my husband and I were saying, "You do see that, right? We are seeing a UFO = isn't this amazing?" And then the clouds parted a little bit more and we came to realize that we were seeing a full moon, obscured by the clouds, poking through a hole.

People see all kinds of things all the time. What people don't understand is that the brain doesn't just see something. It interprets what it sees. The planet Venus is the most often reported UFO. Even though I know that is a fact, it still didn't prepare me for this event.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 13](#)

## Life On Other Planets And In Your Tummy

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 01:58:58 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 01:58:58 -0500  
Subject: Life On Other Planets And In Your Tummy

Source: The Christian Science Monitor - Boston, Massachusetts, USA

<http://www.csmonitor.com/2006/0112/p16s01-stss.html>

January 12, 200

Life On Other Planets - And In Your Tummy  
By Robert C. Cowen

What does your stomach have in common with a nuclear waste dump? They both harbor related bacterial species that love tough environments. It's the latest discovery to show that organic life can thrive under conditions once thought inhospitable.

Emphasize "thrive." It's no news that bacteria live in our stomachs. However, scientists have thought microbes would have a limited existence in that acidic environment. That's not necessarily so, judging from research reported last week in the Proceedings of the National Academy of Science online early edition.

Stanford University microbiologist Elisabeth Bik and colleagues say their "somewhat surprising" findings indicate "that the human stomach may be home to a distinct microbial ecosystem." Its denizens include a bacterium related to one found in radioactive waste dumps. The researchers add that this largely unknown microbial world "may play important, as-yet-undiscovered roles in human health and disease."

This underscores scientists' new awareness that they have to rethink what they consider the environmental limits on biological systems.

Many lines of research show that, whether it's volcanic hot springs, salt-saturated sea water, frigid glaciers, or some other seemingly hostile environment, life is not deterred. This also has important implications in the search for extraterrestrial life.

Jeffrey Walker, John Spear, and Norman Pace at the University of Colorado at Boulder have been looking into microbes that live in the pore spaces of rocks in Yellowstone's inhospitable geothermal environment.

They explained last April in Nature that, since these spaces provide sheltered habitats on Earth, they should be "an important target in the search for life elsewhere in the Solar System." They showed how readily microbes living in such spaces in Yellowstone turn into fossils.

Meanwhile, Mark Williams, a fellow at the university's Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research, and his colleagues had found signs of life in another supposedly barren world - high-altitude rock glaciers. These are masses of rock debris laced with ice. They creep along high above the timber line on mountains.

The research team found microbial "signatures" - not microbes themselves. These "signatures" are dissolved organic matter and nitrates. They are similar to signs of bacterial life found in Antarctica. Once again, scientists had found life where they did not expect it.

Deep salt-saturated basins in the Mediterranean Sea that are devoid of oxygen also would seem an unlikely habitat. Yet Paul van der Wielen at the University of Groningen in The Netherlands and his colleagues have found microbes thriving there.

Reporting their work a year ago in the journal Science they concluded: "Our results indicate that microbial metabolism can proceed at significant levels in some of the most extreme terrestrial hypersaline environments and further support the possibility of extraterrestrial life."

Scientists think some of Earth's tough environments are analogues to environments on other worlds. Dry valleys in Antarctica mimic environments on Mars. So, too, do parts of the bone-dry Atacama Desert in Chile, where microbes live.

It would be too much of a stretch to look for an extraterrestrial analogue in the human stomach. However, the work of Dr. Bik and her colleagues shows that it, too, is a world of bacterial life we have scarcely begun to explore.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 13](#)

## Re: Orgone Energy - White

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 19:30:25 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 02:03:02 -0500  
Subject: Re: Orgone Energy - White

>From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 09:26:44 -0500  
>Subject: Orgone Energy {was: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality}

<snip>

>--quote--

>Chapter 2 WHAT IS ETHER ENERGY?

>Why was the term "Ether energy" forgotten about? Who defined it  
>in the past and what they are calling it today. Who has  
>described it in the recent western sciences as well as our  
>ancient ancestors. Many have worked with it and used it to do  
>astounding things that are not in the history books.

>--end quote--

The question is why, if this "Ether energy" has done so many  
good things is it not in the history books? And why do  
scientists consistently say it's not worthy of study if it's so  
beneficial?

Some people who like to research history and secret societies  
say that the really powerful science is being deliberately  
witheld by secret societies. Given what my group has been up  
against for decades, I would not be surprised if that is so. It  
would explain a lot.

These researchers claim that the secret societies have their  
members in almost all positions of power, hence, they control  
what money is spent on. Since mainstream scientists are totally  
dependent on the wealthy corporations and government for their  
ability to work in their fields of interest, it would not be  
difficult to convince them that their careers "would benefit by  
avoiding certain areas of investigation."

This is very feasible, and it also seems that the same machinery  
could well be responsible for the UFO cover-up operations.

You can hang the "conspiracy theorist" label on me if you want -  
I don't care, because there is only one truth about this, and  
sooner or later, (maybe in the next life?) we will find out that  
truth. I am confident what I've said here is not far from it.

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 14](#)

## Another 3 UFO Studies 6 More States

From: **Larry Hatch** <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 03:45:39 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 07:53:25 -0500  
Subject: Another 3 UFO Studies 6 More States

To all interested:

Here is the second to last installment of my special State Studies series. Three more web pages, two states each with maps and statistical studies:

Kentucky and Tennessee:

<http://www.larryhatch.net/KYTN.html>

Montana and Idaho:

<http://www.larryhatch.net/MTID.html>

Iowa and Nebraska:

<http://www.larryhatch.net/IANE.html>

Please forward this message to interested parties in these states. Feedback and criticism is welcomed as always.

Best wishes

- Larry Hatch

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 14](#)

### 20,000 Litres Of Water Vanish In Argentina

From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 07:16:47 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 08:06:17 -0500  
Subject: 20,000 Litres Of Water Vanish In Argentina

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
January 12, 2008

Source: Circulo Ovnologico Riocuartense (COR)  
Date: 01.11.06

20,000 litres of Water Vanish Mysteriously from 'Australian'  
Water Tank in the Province of Cordoba, Argentina.

On Tuesday, January 10 at 17:52 hours Mr. Eduardo Virgilio Sangoni, phoned from the town of Canada de Luque near Totoral, northern Cordoba Province, reporting that on Thursday, January 5, 2005, nearly 20,000 litres of water had vanished overnight from an 'Australian' water tank in a field belonging to Mr. Domingo Ordonez, the caller's neighbor.

According to Sangoni's statements, Mr. Ordonez had checked the water level the previous day and it was absolutely normal, and was unable to explain how so many litres of water could vanish from one day to the next.

Interested in UFO phenomenona, Mr. Sangoni went to his neighbor's field to ascertain that there was no water seepage through any crack in the tank's walls or bottom, but nothing abnormal was seen, thus discarding the possibility of a structural failure in the reservoir.

When asked if it could be natural evaporation due to the very high prevailing temperatures in the area, Sangoni said that while temperatures in excess of 40 centigrade (105 F) had been reported in the region, it still would not account for the evaporation of such a massive amount of water, considering that when a minimum limit is reached, the pump replenishes whatever may have been used or what might evaporate.

Sangoni informed C.O.R (Circulo Ovnologico Riocuartense) that barely 10 centimeters of water were left in the bottom of the tank, that there was no turbidity in the water, and that no traces of moisture had been seen outside the tank.

The caller also noted that he had made a series of investigations among the few neighbors of the area (separated by 500 metres from each other) in order to corroborate that anyone might have seen the presence of luminous objects over the area. His research was fruitless, as no one was able to answer his questions.

Sangoni expressed his astonishment at this development, the first in the area, and when he learned that similar cases to those in La Pampa had occurred in the province of Cordoba in less than 35 days, he considered the possibility that a similar phenomenon may have taken place in Canada de Luque.

-----

Translation c. 2006 Scott Corrales - IHU  
Special thanks to Mario Luis Bracamonte  
C=EDrculo Ovnil=F3gico Riocuartense-COR

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 14](#)

## Re: Need Info On Global UFO History - Hilberg

From: Rick Hilberg <[fsdhilberg7.nul](mailto:fsdhilberg7.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 09:31:02 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 08:11:09 -0500  
Subject: Re: Need Info On Global UFO History - Hilberg

>From: Sheryl Gottschall <[gottscha.nul](mailto:gottscha.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 09:48:30 +1000  
>Subject: Need Info On Global UFO History

>2006 is the 50th anniversary of UFO Research Queensland Inc  
>(formerly the Queensland Flying Saucer Research Bureau) in  
>Brisbane, Australia. The association has been consistently  
>active since 1956.

>I'm doing some background research and would appreciate some  
>help with information regarding the first known UFO groups in  
>the world and when they were established. Just the year is  
>fine.

Here's a listing of some of the early UFO groups in no  
particular order from my memory and also consulting the list  
compiled back in 1965 by Jacques Vallee for inclusion in his  
book, Challenge To Science:

Aerial Phenomena Research Organization - U.S.A. - 1952

National Investigations Committee on Aerial Phenomena - U.S.A. -  
1956

Grand Rapids Flying Saucer Club - U.S.A. - 1951

North Jersey UFO Group - U.S.A. - 1954

Cleveland Ufology Project - U.S.A. - 1956

International Flying Saucer Bureau - U.S.A. - 1953

Civilian Saucer Intelligence - New York - U.S.A. - 1953 (I  
believe)

There were also several early newsletters on UFOs, to of the  
earliest being Gray Barker's "The Saucerian" - 1953- and Jim  
Moseley's "Saucer News" - 1954 ).

There are no doubt many more out there that have escaped my  
memory.

Rick Hilberg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 14](#)

### Military Explanation For FT 'Myth'

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 13:42:28 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 08:28:36 -0500  
Subject: Military Explanation For FT 'Myth'

Over the last year I've had occasion to read articles similar to the one below, though others have had more far reaching implications where the promotion of the idea that many of the FTs and huge objects reported in our skies might be of military origin.

I was unconvinced of this premise before and I am even less inclined to accept this theory now. Aviation Week and Space Technology, for instance, publishes articles frequently about some new military contract being let to either further research exotic ideas for development or the outright construction of high altitude surveillance platforms such as the Lockheed Martin High Altitude Airship [HAA] contracted by the U.S. Missile Defence Agency with prototype seed money of \$149.2 million and due for launching in 2009. It is to be 400 feet long and 145 feet in diameter sporting 25 foot diameter propellers driven by electric motors. All of this to support a 500-pound payload and keep it quasi-geostationary at 60,000 feet. Over and over again I see articles about self-propelled, large, lightweight aircraft for spying in the field that are being developed for specialized use that are costing billions of dollars in the United States alone.

Why this huge outlay of dollars if the United States has such vehicles in the nature of 300 foot to one-half mile long, exotically driven FTs in its inventory already? It is simple, because they don't.

Here's another example below. This one was cancelled because Lockheed Martin could not satisfy the army's need, evident in the first paragraph. LM lost \$879 million in development contracts and a projected \$8 billion in construction contracts later on. This for a vehicle that only has to operate at 37,000 feet. The army is re-opening bidding in a few years. Could be this is also due to a cash-strapped military budget being steadily depleted by the Iraq action?

Don Ledger

-----

Army Ends Lockheed Contract For New Spy Plane

By Renae Merle  
Washington Post Staff Writer  
Friday, January 13, 2006  
Page A08

The Army canceled its contract for a new spy plane yesterday after the Lockheed Martin Corp. program developed technical problems that military officials determined were too expensive to fix.

Bethesda-based Lockheed, the nation's largest defense contractor, spent months trying to save the plane, known as the Aerial Common Sensor, which was supposed to detect enemy signals and track enemy troop movements from 37,000 feet up in the air. But Lockheed struggled to fit all of the required technology on

the aircraft it picked for the job, a regional jet from Embraer SA of Brazil.

"After carefully evaluating Lockheed's proposals [to save the program], we decided that the prudent course of action at this time was to terminate the contract," Claude M. Bolton, the Army's acquisition chief, said in a written statement. The Army is headed back to the "drawing board," he said. In a letter to Congress, the Army said it would open a new competition for the plane in 2009.

For Lockheed, the loss of the \$879 million development contract was embarrassing, though not an immediate financial blow. Building the more than 50 aircraft the Pentagon planned to order was potentially worth \$8 billion. The Army canceled the contract for "convenience," which means Lockheed will receive a termination fee.

"We regret but respect the government's decision to terminate" the contract, Lockheed spokeswoman Judith B. Gan said in a written statement. The company has "made significant progress in the development of the multi-intelligence sensor system but encountered technical challenges with the integration of this state-of-the-art system into the aircraft platform."

The Pentagon will begin a six-month study of its intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance aircraft, the Army letter to Congress said. The planes that the Aerial Common Sensor was to replace, the Army's Guardrail Common Sensor and Airborne Reconnaissance Low and the Navy's EP-3E, will continue to operate.

It is important that the Army invest in these aging systems, the letter said, "to ensure they remain safe to operate and relevant to counter current and future threats."

Industry analysts said the decision to cancel the Lockheed contract reflects both the difficulty of developing the increasingly technical weapons the military demands and the Army's budget pressures. "The Army has plans that are too expensive and can't afford all of them," said Steve Kosiak, research analyst at the Center for Strategic and Budgetary Assessments. "They have to make cuts somewhere. The days of the very large increases in defense spending are over."

Part of the problem, analysts said, was that while the services have different needs, the military wanted to put both Navy and Army technology on one plane, and Lockheed underestimated how much it would weigh. For example, while the Army wanted the plane to monitor the battlefield, the Navy wanted its version to intercept overseas communications in the Western Pacific and the Middle East, said Loren B. Thompson, a defense industry consultant at the Lexington Institute.

The Army was expected to order 38 of the planes, with the Navy buying 19.

The military faced two choices, according to the Army's letter to Congress. It could use the aircraft Lockheed originally proposed and have less capability or switch to a larger plane and more than double development costs. Moving to a larger plane would probably have triggered complaints from Northrop Grumman Corp., which lost the competition for the program in 2004 and had planned to use a larger aircraft.

After considering the alternatives "we found that we could not provide the value that tax payers and our war fighters would expect under the existing contract," Lt. Col. Steven Drake, the product manager, said in a written statement. "Although our initial costs for the research and development were substantial, the long term costs of continuing this contract would not have fallen within acceptable parameters. As a diligent manager of its resources, the Army chose this sensible time to terminate this contract."

-----

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 14](#)

## Re: NTSB 'Solves' Riddle Of Cessna Crash In 2002 -

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 17:53:39 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 08:31:16 -0500  
Subject: Re: NTSB 'Solves' Riddle Of Cessna Crash In 2002 -

>Source: The Washington Post - Washington, USA

><http://tinyurl.com/9sajh>

>Wednesday, January 11, 2006; Page A22

>NTSB Solves Riddle Of Small Plane Crash In '02

<snip>

>"Wreckage examinations... revealed no evidence of an in-flight  
>collision or breakup, or of external contact by a foreign  
>object," the NTSB's report said. The red scuff marks on the  
>wreckage did not appear in a pattern that suggested a collision,  
>the report said.

I thought I remembered reports, at the time, that the engine  
itself was split in half. And unless the swamp has large  
boulders on the surface, how could no "external contact by a  
foreign object" be ruled out?

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 14](#)

## Re: More Jill Tarter - Morton

From: Dave Morton <[Marspyrs.nul](mailto:Marspyrs.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 18:18:33 EST  
Fwd Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 08:32:24 -0500  
Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter - Morton

>Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 01:52:54 -0500  
>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: More Jill Tarter  
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>

>Source: Psychology Today Magazine

><http://www.psychologytoday.com/rss/pto-20051019-000002.html>

>Publication Date: Nov/Dec 2005

>The Search For ET  
>By: Willow Lawson

>Summary: Jill Tarter scans the skies for radio signals, hoping  
>to detect signs of intelligent life.

<snip>

>And then the clouds parted a little bit more and  
>we came to realize that we were seeing a full moon, obscured  
>by the clouds, poking through a hole.

>People see all kinds of things all the time. What people don't  
>understand is that the brain doesn't just see something. It  
>interprets what it sees. The planet Venus is the most often  
>reported UFO.

She's so smart.

I can understand why alien races would want to contact her and  
ask her a few questions.

Maybe they'd even be interested in obtaining a copy of her DNA  
printout for analysis...

"Just leave it in an envelope next to your SETI dish. We'll pick  
it up and get back to you."

Dave Morton

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 14](#)

## Re: More Jill Tarter - Gates

From: Robert Gates <[RGates8254.nul](mailto:RGates8254.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 02:31:57 EST  
Fwd Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 10:44:15 -0500  
Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter - Gates

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 01:52:54 -0500  
>Subject: More Jill Tarter

>Source: Psychology Today Magazine

><http://www.psychologytoday.com/rss/pto-20051019-000002.html>

>Publication Date: Nov/Dec 2005

>The Search For ET  
>By: Willow Lawson

<snip>

>Do you think stories of alien visitation could be true?

>I don't have any proof. There's no evidence that would convince  
>me as a scientist.

Listers,

Stan Friedman describes this concept much better, when he illustrates this by saying, "It can't be so there for it isn't..."

Some worship at the alter of so-called main stream science, but the truth is that 'main stream science' is filled with closed-minded folks who are unwilling to look beyond their own pre-conceived notions of reality and life.

Then you have 'main stream science' who can spout almost anything and it is generally accepted to be fact. I am still waiting for the 'guardians of science', the skeptibunkers and cronies at CSICOP to be all over the Hubble discoveries.

The truth is they won't. The reason is because the 'content' even though its questionable, and not proveable, comes from 'accepted sources'.

I suspect Jill Tarter would never say, when shown the issues with Hubble pronouncements, "There is no evidence..." Instead we would hear some rationalization like, "well Hubble is different", etc., etc. ....

Cheers,

Robert

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 14](#)

## Re: Anyone Coming To The Winter Olympics? - Russo

From: **Edoardo Russo** <[e.russo.nul](mailto:e.russo.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 09:28:57 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 10:50:03 -0500  
Subject: Re: Anyone Coming To The Winter Olympics? - Russo

Hello Ufolks everywhere!

If any UFO researcher is coming to Turin, Italy for the forthcoming Winter Olympic Games next month, they may be interested in taking some time for to meet and visit one of the largest repositories of UFO documentation on the old continent, at CISU headquarters.

Centro Italiano Studi Ufologici has an international library comprising more than 1,000 books and several thousand issues of UFO periodicals from all around the world since the '50s, plus national files, 35,000 newsclippings since 1946, 15,000 Italian UFO reports, all the books and specialized periodicals published in Italy and hundreds of audio and video recordings.

Anyone interested is invited to drop me a line in advance.

Best regards

Edoardo Russo  
Centro Italiano Studi Ufologici  
CISU, Casella postale 82, 10100 Torino  
tel 011.30.78.63 - fax 011.54.50.33  
<http://www.cisu.org>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 14](#)

## Skepticism Greets Claim Of Possible Alien Microbes

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 11:22:59 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 11:22:59 -0500  
Subject: Skepticism Greets Claim Of Possible Alien Microbes

Source: World Scienc.Net - New York, New York, USA

[http://www.world-science.net/exclusives/060104\\_specksfrml.htm](http://www.world-science.net/exclusives/060104_specksfrml.htm)

Jan. 5, 2006

Skepticism Greets Claim Of Possible Alien Microbes

Special to World Science

A paper to appear in a scientific journal claims a strange red rain might have dumped microbes from space onto Earth four years ago.

But the report is meeting with a shower of skepticism from scientists who say extraordinary claims require extraordinary proof=97and this one hasn't got it.

The scientists agree on two points, though. The things look like cells, at least superficially. And no one is sure what they are.

"These particles have much similarity with biological cells though they are devoid of DNA," wrote Godfrey Louis and A. Santhosh Kumar of Mahatma Gandhi University in Kottayam, India, in the controversial paper.

"Are these cell-like particles a kind of alternate life from space?"

The mystery began when the scarlet showers containing the red specks hit parts of India in 2001. Researchers said the particles might be dust or a fungus, but it remained unclear.

The new paper includes a chemical analysis of the particles, a description of their appearance under microscopes and a survey of where they fell. It assesses various explanations for them and concludes that the specks, which vaguely resemble red blood cells, might have come from a meteor.

A peer-reviewed research journal, *Astrophysics and Space Science*, has agreed to publish the paper. The journal sometimes publishes unconventional findings, but rarely if ever ventures into generally acknowledged fringe science such as claims of extraterrestrial visitors.

If the particles do represent alien life forms, said Louis and Kumar, this would fit with a longstanding theory called panspermia, which holds that life forms could travel around the universe inside comets and meteors.

These rocky objects would thus "act as vehicles for spreading life in the universe," they added. They posted the paper online this week on a database where astronomers often post research papers.

Louis and Kumar have previously posted other, unpublished papers saying the particles can grow if placed in extreme heat, and reproduce. But the Astrophysics and Space Science paper doesn't include these claims. It mostly limits itself to arguing for the particles' meteoric origin, citing newspaper reports that a meteor broke up in the atmosphere hours before the red rain.

John Dyson, managing editor of Astrophysics and Space Science, confirmed it has accepted the paper. But he said he hasn't read it because his co-managing editor, the European Space Agency's Willem Wamsteker, handled it. Wamsteker died several weeks ago at age 63.

A paper's publication in a peer-reviewed journal is generally thought to give it some stamp of scientific seriousness, because scientists vet the findings in the process. Nonetheless, the red rain paper provoked disbelief.

"I really, really don't think they are from a meteor!" wrote Harvard University biologist Jack Szostak of the particles, in an email. And this isn't the first report of red rain of biological origin, Szostak wrote, though it seems to be the most detailed.

Szostak said the chemical tests the researchers employed aren't very sensitive. The so-called cells are admittedly "weird," he added, saying he would ask his microbiologist friends what they think they are.

"I don't have an obvious explanation," agreed prominent origins-of-life researcher David Deamer of the University of California Santa Cruz, in an email. They "look like real cells, but with a very thick cell wall. But the leap to an extraterrestrial form of life delivered to Earth must surely be the least likely hypothesis."

A range of additional tests is needed, he added. Louis agreed: "There remains much to be studied," he wrote in an email.

The researchers didn't dispute the panspermia theory itself, which has a substantial scientific following. "Panspermia may well be possible," wrote Lynn J. Rothschild of the NASA Ames Research Center in Moffett Field, Calif., in an email. "I'm just not so sure that this is a case of it."

Others viewed the study more favorably.

"I think more careful examination of the red rain material is needed, but so far there seems to be a strong prima facie [first-glance] case to suggest that this may be correct," said Chandra Wickramasinghe, director of the Cardiff Centre for Astrobiology at Cardiff University, U.K., and a leading advocate of panspermia.

The story of the specks began on July 25, 2001, when residents of Kerala, a state in southwestern India, started seeing scarlet rain in some areas.

"Almost the entire state, except for two northern districts, have reported these unusual rains over the past week," the BBC online reported on July 30. "Experts said the most likely reason was the presence of dust in the atmosphere which colours the water."

The explanation didn't satisfy everyone.

The rain "is eluding explanations as the days go by," the newspaper Indian Express reported online a week later. The article said the Centre for Earth Science Studies, based in Thiruvananthapuram, India, had discarded an initial hypothesis that a streaking meteor triggered the rain, in favor of the view that the particles were spores from a fungus.

But "the exact species is yet to be identified. [And] how such a large quantity of spores could appear over a small region is as yet unknown," the paper quoted center director M. Baba as saying. Baba didn't return an email from World Science this week.

The red rain continued to appear sporadically for about two months, though most of it fell in the first 10 days, Louis and

Kumar wrote. The "striking red colouration" turned out to come from microscopic, mixed-in red particles, they added, which had "no similarity with usual desert dust."

At least 50,000 kg (55 tons) of the particles have fallen in all, they estimated. "An analysis of this strange phenomenon further shows that the conventional atmospheric transport processes like dust storms etc. cannot explain" it.

"The red particles were uniformly dispersed in the rainwater," they wrote. "When the red rainwater was collected and kept for several hours in a vessel, the suspended particles have a tendency to settle to the bottom."

"The red rain occurred in many places during a continuing normal rain," the paper continued. "It was reported from a few places that people on the streets found their cloths stained by red raindrops. In a few places the concentration of particles were so great that the rainwater appeared almost like blood."

The precipitation, the researchers added, had a "highly localized appearance. It usually occur[ed] over an area of less than a square kilometer to a few square kilometers. Many times it had a sharp boundary, which means while it was raining strongly red at a place a few meters away there were no red rain." A typical red rain lasted from a few minutes to less than about 20 minutes, they added.

The scientists compiled charts of where and when the showers occurred based on local newspaper reports.

The particles look like one-celled organisms and are about 4 to 10 thousandths of a millimeter wide, the researchers wrote, somewhat larger than typical bacteria.

"Under low magnification the particles look like smooth, red coloured glass beads. Under high magnifications (1000x) their differences in size and shape can be seen," they wrote.

"Shapes vary from spherical to ellipsoid and slightly elongated=85 These cell-like particles have a thick and coloured cell envelope, which can be well identified under the microscope." A few had broken cell envelopes, they added.

The particles seem to lack a nucleus, the core DNA-containing compartment that animal and plant cells have, the researchers wrote. Chemical tests indicated they also lacked DNA, the gene-carrying molecule that most types of cells contain.

Nonetheless, Louis and Kumar wrote that the particles show "fine-structured membranes" under magnification, like normal cells.

The outer envelope seems to contain an "inner capsule," they added, which in some places "appears to be detached from the outer wall to form an empty region inside the cell. Further, there appears to be a faintly visible mucus layer present on the outer side of the cell."

"One characteristic feature is the inward depression of the spherical surface to form cup like structures giving a squeezed appearance," which varies among particles, they added.

"The major constituents of the red particles are carbon and oxygen," they wrote. Carbon is the key component of life on Earth. "Silicon is most prominent among the minor constituents" of the particles, Louis and Kumar added; other elements found were iron, sodium, aluminum and chlorine.

"The red rain started in the State during a period of normal rain, which indicate that the red particles are not something which accumulated in the atmosphere during a dry period and washed down on a first rain," the pair wrote.

"Vessels kept in open space also collected red rain. Thus it is not something that is washed out from rooftops or tree leaves. Considering the huge quantity of red particles fallen over a wide geographic area, it is impossible to imagine that these are some pollen or fungal spores which have originated from trees," they added.



"The nature of the red particles rules out the possibility that these are dust particles from a distant desert source," they wrote, and such particles "are not found in Kerala or nearby place."

One easy assumption is that they "got airlifted from a distant source on Earth by some wind system," they added, but this leaves several puzzles.

"One characteristic of each red rain case is its highly localized appearance. If particles originate from distant desert source then why [was] there were no mixing and thinning out of the particle collection during transport"? they wrote.

"It is possible to explain this by assuming the meteoric origin of the red particles. The red rain phenomenon first started in Kerala after a meteor airburst event, which occurred on 25th July 2001 near Changanacherry in [the] Kottayam district. This meteor airburst is evidenced by the sonic boom experienced by several people during early morning of that day.

"The first case of red rain occurred in this area few hours after the airburst... This points to a possible link between the meteor and red rain. If particle clouds are created in the atmosphere by the fragmentation and disintegration of a special kind of fragile cometary meteor that presumably contain[s] a dense collection of red particles, then clouds of such particles can mix with the rain clouds to cause red rain," they wrote.

The pair proposed that while approaching Earth at low angle, the meteor traveled southeast above Kerala with a final airburst above the Kottayam district. "During its travel in the atmosphere it must have released several small fragments, which caused the deposition of cell clusters in the atmosphere."

Alive or dead, the particles have some staying power, if the paper is correct. "Even after storage in the original rainwater at room temperature without any preservative for about four years, no decay or discolouration of the particles could be found."

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 14](#)

## Re: More Jill Tarter - Beasley

From: **Craig Beasley** <[fallingleaf.nul](mailto:fallingleaf.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 08:46:30 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 11:34:16 -0500  
Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter - Beasley

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO->[UpDates.nul](mailto:UpDates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 01:52:54 -0500  
>Subject: More Jill Tarter

>Source: Psychology Today Magazine

><http://www.psychologytoday.com/rss/pto-20051019-000002.html>

>Publication Date: Nov/Dec 2005

>The Search For ET  
>By: Willow Lawson

I have one summary idea that I can offer from this article,  
based on the following quote:

>Do you think stories of alien visitation could be true?

>I don't have any proof. There's no evidence that would convince  
>me as a scientist.

Ufology may not have enough proof to "convince" anyone. SETI is  
even more barren. In either case, it doesn't invalidate the need  
to look at either field of study. The SETI hard-cores refuse to  
see that simple truth. They are so eaten whole by the  
competitive nature of establishment science that Ufology is seen  
as a threat, when it is nothing of the sort.

I have a great respect for Dr. Tartar, as she has never rested  
on her laurels. It's just so damned frustrating to see such a  
smart person willingly nurse such a massive blind spot.

J. Craig Beasley

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 14](#)

## UFO Seen Over San Jose Costa Rica

From: **Scott Corrales** <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 10:14:11 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 11:40:03 -0500  
Subject: UFO Seen Over San Jose Costa Rica

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
January 14, 2006

Source: Diaro Extra - San Jose, Costa Rica  
Date: Friday, January 13, 2006

UFO Causes Commotion In San Jose Costa Rica

A UFO sighting galvanized the attention of hundreds of onlookers at noon yesterday, causing commotion in the city's downtown area.

It was a point of light that stood out in the blue skies and moved very slowly. Word spread very quickly that there was a strange object in the sky and corners became filled, in a matter of minutes, with people straining to see the mysterious point of light that no one could readily identify.

The UFO was seen for one hour and experts consulted about it could not offer an answer to explain what was seen.

The fact is that it unleashed the curiosity of the passers-by and there was even a measure of fear, as there were those said this portent represented the end of the world.

The clock marked 12:40 in the afternoon, the time at which employees take their lunch breaks. Office workers wearing ties and eating ice-cream cones on their way back to work stopped to look. Peddlers, shopworkers and pedestrians turned their eyes skyward as others pointed at the zenith.

At the busy El Parque soda fountain, Ariel Chavez, a reporter with DIARIO EXTRA, received the phone call of a girlfriend who informed him about the sighting. Ariel's journalistic instinct was unleashed and he abandoned the tasty meat dish he had just ordered, and accompanied by sports writer "Chico" Sanabria from DIARIO EXTRA-who also forsook his lunch - left the eatery to look at the sky. It's not that they wanted to leave without paying - they just wanted to see the famous UFO.

Even the eatery's owner, Francsico 'Pancho' Flores, went after the journalists to try to get a glimpse of the 'flying saucer'.

As it turned out, the [sighting] involved three white lights moving slowly across the sky, one near San Jose's Parque Central... the other by the Jimenez Institute and the last one alongside the old facilities of Radio Monumental.

More than one took advantage of the tumult to steal the billfolds of the unwary who stared heavenward. This is what happened to reporter Siliva Nunez of Prensa Libre, who was relieved of her wallet. But the pickpocket was not expecting that cameraman Omar Rivera from Extra TV42 would be on hand. The thief was caught and seized by the neck, constrained to return the billfold. This is not the first time Silvia's been mugged.

While all of this took place, Mario Castillo was able to take the photo of the object from Avenida Segunda in downtown San Jose. "It was hard to pin it down. Sometimes one could see [what

appeared to be] reflections," Castillo remarked.

Many remarks were voiced aloud in the street. People pointed to the sky and said that it was a "flying saucer" that had come because the end of the world was at hand. Others said that UFOs come to Earth in search of something, while others quoted Biblical revelations. There were also those who were sufficiently 'eagle-eyed' so say that it was only a piece of space junk, a star or a satellite.

In the midst of so many strange comments, many crossed themselves and thought it best to keep walking.

The object was discovered because many people have taken to skywatching after the news programs broadcast images of UFOs filmed this very same week.

"I was indeed able to see something in the sky, but I think it was a satellite. If it was, it would have to fall at some point. One thing is sure, it was moving all over," said Jerry Bermudez.

"We were all witnesses to something, but it couldn't be a UFO. Must be some space particle," said Rebecca Zeled=F3n.

"I saw it too - it was a moving dot. I think it's a satellite, well, I think so. But it would be fun if it was a UFO," observed Mauricio Astorga, the renowned actor who plays "Benito Losetodo" on Channel 7.

"Look, we were out shopping and we could see the white dot in the sky that was a flying saucer... who knows if it's space junk," said Jose Luis Cerdas.

"It wasn't a UFO, well, I think it was space junk," decided Abigail Zeled=F3n.

-----

Translation c. 2006 Scott Corrales Institute of Hispanic Ufology  
Special thanks to Christian Quintero, Planeta UFO)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 14](#)

## Re: Apollo 11 Sighting Footage - Cohen

From: Jerry Cohen <[rjcohen.nul](mailto:rjcohen.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 11:11:40 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 11:44:20 -0500  
Subject: Re: Apollo 11 Sighting Footage - Cohen

>From: Geoff Richardson <[geoff.nul](mailto:geoff.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2006 14:52:47 -0000  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Subject: Apollo 11 Sighting Footage

>In September/October of 2005 there were a number of posts  
>relating to a show broadcast by The Science Channel entitled  
>"First on the Moon: The Untold Story". In particular the segment  
>relating to the apparent sighting of a UFO by the crew of Apollo  
>11 was discussed.

>I came across a short video of an object captured on camera by  
>the Apollo 11 crew and it is now available for viewing at:

><http://www.thewhyfiles.net/moonfiles2.htm>

>If this is the "UFO" mentioned in the TV show, I am unsure. I  
>believe it was explained by NASA to be the reflection of  
>instrumentation panel lights.

Hello Geoff,

Since someone has asked, I am posting the clips so you can see  
what all the fuss was about in those previous posts.

No, that wasn't it. Some discussion about the documentary you  
mentioned is at this URL. Three (3) short film clips of the UFO  
can be found on "Page 2" You need windows media viewer or  
something like it to view them.

<http://www.cohenufo.org/unsolved.html#aldrinapollo11>

For the record and my own ease of locating them again, these were  
some of the discussions concerning same on UFO UpDates.

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/sep/m28-005.shtml>

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/sep/m29-004.shtml>

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/sep/m29-038.shtml>

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/sep/m29-040.shtml>

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/sep/m30-010.shtml>

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/sep/m30-019.shtml>

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/sep/m30-020.shtml>

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/oct/m01-022.shtml>

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/oct/m03-006.shtml>

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/oct/m06-024.shtml>

Respectfully,

Jerry Cohen  
<http://www.CohenUFO.org/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 14](#)

## Re: More Jill Tarter - Hatch

From: **Larry Hatch** <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 08:30:05 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 11:46:10 -0500  
Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter - Hatch

>From: Robert Gates <[RGates8254.nul](mailto:RGates8254.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 02:31:57 EST  
>Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 01:52:54 -0500  
>>Subject: More Jill Tarter

>>Source: Psychology Today Magazine

>><http://www.psychologytoday.com/rss/pto-20051019-000002.html>

>>Publication Date: Nov/Dec 2005

<snip>

>Some worship at the alter of so-called main stream science

Anyone who can say this either doesn't know how science works,  
or is confusing it with religion.

- Larry Hatch

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 14](#)

## Re: More Jill Tarter - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 10:56:49 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 12:24:53 -0500  
Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter - Lehmborg

>From: Craig Beasley <[fallingleaf.nul](mailto:fallingleaf.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 08:46:30 -0600  
>Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO->[UpDates.nul](mailto:UpDates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 01:52:54 -0500  
>>Subject: More Jill Tarter

>>Source: Psychology Today Magazine

>><http://www.psychologytoday.com/rss/pto-20051019-000002.html>

>>Publication Date: Nov/Dec 2005

>>The Search For ET  
>>By: Willow Lawson

>I have one summary idea that I can offer from this article,  
>based on the following quote:

>>Do you think stories of alien visitation could be true?

>>I don't have any proof. There's no evidence that would convince  
>>me as a scientist.

>Ufology may not have enough proof to "convince" anyone. SETI is  
>even more barren. In either case, it doesn't invalidate the need  
>to look at either field of study. The SETI hard-cores refuse to  
>see that simple truth. They are so eaten whole by the  
>competitive nature of establishment science that Ufology is seen  
>as a threat, when it is nothing of the sort.

>I have a great respect for Dr. Tartar, as she has never rested  
>on her laurels. It's just so damned frustrating to see such a  
>smart person willingly nurse such a massive blind spot.

Wow! That's it, isn't it... but for a massive 'blindspot' the  
efficaciousness of the perspicacious soul leavened with  
providential intellect, a progressive sentience, and a keen  
sapienc is crippled.

And this after all that time allegedly spent actually looking  
into the night sky... astonishing! Had she no idea that the  
bigger she built the bonfire of her 'knowledge' the greater her  
ignorance must be lit?

"Venus" my ample can.

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>



[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 14](#)

## Re: More Jill Tarter - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 11:12:28 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 12:26:57 -0500  
Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter - Lehmborg

>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 08:30:05 -0800  
>Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter

>>From: Robert Gates <[RGates8254.nul](mailto:RGates8254.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 02:31:57 EST  
>>Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter

>>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 01:52:54 -0500  
>>>Subject: More Jill Tarter

>>>Source: Psychology Today Magazine

>>><http://www.psychologytoday.com/rss/pto-20051019-000002.html>

>>>Publication Date: Nov/Dec 2005

><snip>

>>Some worship at the alter of so-called main stream science

>Anyone who can say this either doesn't know how science works,  
>or is confusing it with religion.

I know how science works. It won't remotely consider that which can't be measured, repeated, or predicted, and it eliminates, altogether, the observation that falls outside the narrow limits of its perceivable probability.

It might be that anyone who gives their science such a carte-blanche is confusing it with religion.

That which falls outside science's 2% of purview might be considered, still, forgetting some handy presto-digitations with regard to gadgetry that has brought the planet to the brink of mass extinction and encouraged some of the most egregious behaviors man can remotely visit on his fellow man.

Science be not proud.

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 15](#)

## Re: More Jill Tarter - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 14:04:36 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 09:50:02 -0500  
Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter - Ledger

>From: Craig Beasley <[fallingleaf.nul](mailto:fallingleaf.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 08:46:30 -0600  
>Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter

>>Source: Psychology Today Magazine

>><http://www.psychologytoday.com/rss/pto-20051019-000002.html>

>>Publication Date: Nov/Dec 2005

>>The Search For ET  
>>By: Willow Lawson

>I have one summary idea that I can offer from this article,  
>based on the following quote:

>>Do you think stories of alien visitation could be true?

>>I don't have any proof. There's no evidence that would convince  
>>me as a scientist.

>Ufology may not have enough proof to "convince" anyone. SETI is  
>even more barren. In either case, it doesn't invalidate the need  
>to look at either field of study. The SETI hard-cores refuse to  
>see that simple truth. They are so eaten whole by the  
>competitive nature of establishment science that Ufology is seen  
>as a threat, when it is nothing of the sort.

>I have a great respect for Dr. Tartar, as she has never rested  
>on her laurels. It's just so damned frustrating to see such a  
>smart person willingly nurse such a massive blind spot.

Well put, Craig.

My beef is with these two pilots who appear to be rattling  
around in the sky with no apparent knowledge of compass  
direction [disastrous in itself], the Moon's position and of the  
cloud cover or meteorological information. This is exacerbated  
by Jill Tarter's being an astronomer with no knowledge of the  
Moon's mechanics.

When you go Day-time VFR flying-even around the 'patch' - you  
first obtain weather information. Weather can kill pilots and  
their passengers and does so on a regular basis. Even if you  
take a look at the sky and the windsock you can form an opinion  
of what the days flying might bring. Cloud conditions are very  
important. Knowing what they are and where they are can affect  
your decision making process. It's important to know where they  
are, what they are and at what altitude.

Once you get into the air you set out for your destination and  
fix a track over the ground using your compasses, one magnetic  
and the other a gyro-controlled compass preset to your magnetic  
compass and updated occasionally. Many of us use a GPS these  
days which provides a great deal of information, but pilots  
still fall back on their compasses. GPS batteries - those that  
aren't hardwired into the A/C power have a habit of going dead

when you need them the most. Knowing your track also enlightens you as to what the various compass points are around the horizon and of course what direction your are heading to - and from.

When you go Night-time VFR flying you add a few more questions to your quest for information. Will I still have reference to the horizon? Is there a Moon that evening and what phase is it in (determines brightness)? Where will it be in relation to my track-line over the ground and through the sky? Will I be able to see the stars? If you can't then you know this because you have discovered that there is solid cloud cover. Or perhaps there is broken conditions and you can see stars occasionally and sometimes it covers the Moon as well. You know where the Moon is because you've checked for that - as a directional tool. The Moon has this annoying habit of behaving in the exact same way day after day, month after month, year after year, century... well you get the idea. Coincidentally astronomers have figured out these Lunar mechanics over the last 20 centuries or so.

Apparently one astronomer could not.

My brows furrowed when I first heard Jill Tarter tell this story on the Peter Jennings special. She seems to want to spread it around as her explanation for why people see UFOs while ignoring her own observational shortcomings.

My question then... Shouldn't Jill - as a pilot and an astronomer - be embarrassed by her elemental lack of knowledge as to where the Moon would be?

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 15](#)

### Response To Washington Post Re. NTSB Conclusion

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 14:20:59 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 10:04:31 -0500  
Subject: Response To Washington Post Re. NTSB Conclusion

Errol,

I sent this to the Washington Post a few days ago. Now that Eleanor White has brought it up on this List I thought I would send it to you. Apparently the NTSB report has caused quite a stir in some non-ufo related circles.

Don

-----

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
To: [letters.nul](mailto:letters.nul)  
Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 14:05:47 -0400  
Subject: Laughable And Insulting

Note to the Editor.

My Letter to the Editor below re "Re: NTSB Solves Riddle Of Small Plane Crash In '02" is quite lengthy, I admit, but in fact is quite sketchy when it comes to the actual amount of information available about this case.

See: <http://www.caravancrash.com/index.html>

My suspicion is that this aircraft impacted a military UAV [they are compact and very strong-Kevlar and carbon fiber construction - since they don't require a pilot] that is yet to be announced and that had no business being in commercial airspace. It, quite possibly, might have strayed there though there is no evidence of any other aircraft having been involved other than the radar returns reported by Mobile's ATC that are not those of the DC- 10. Something is being covered up here.

As a world renowned newspaper you should be looking at this, or at least raising questions. I'm not even an American citizen but it bothers me that so many federal offices can be suborned for the sake of political expediency.

I am a pilot however and so much about this latest "concluding report" just plain stinks.

Don Ledger  
Author: Swissair Down

---

Re: NTSB Solves Riddle Of Small Plane Crash In '02  
By Sara Kehaulani Goo  
Washington Post Staff Writer

My natural response to this article is "really?" Any pilots familiar with this case are going to shake their heads in wonder at the explanation proffered by John Clark. And it totally ignores the investigation done by the original NTSB team in 2002 lead by Butch Wilson which found that the evidence suggested a mid-air collision between the Cessna 208 and some other airborne

vehicle.

Is Clark's report more official than Wilson's?

This most recent report is meant to satisfy those who have no real understanding of the physics and mechanics of flight. Where are the instrument readings from the impact, witness marks on the dials, the instrument light bulb filament trauma. What does this suggest as to the aircraft's attitude when it hit the ground? The thrust of the "new" NTSB findings behooves Clark's team to trash the pilot and offer up the panicky response theory put forward herein.

A hat and a fire extinguisher inside the cockpit, neither of which match the red marks on the "outside" of the aircraft are explanations offered up as squirrel tracks to lead one away from how these marks got out there in the first place.

Here's another. "The pilot's "view of the DC-10 moved diagonally across the windshield from his left to straight in front of the Cessna while [appearing to triple] in size" in just seconds, the report said.

Using this theory it is easier to blame Thomas J. Preziose, Jr. the pilot who died in the episode and who cannot defend himself. Thomas Preziose had an impressive amount of flying hours, NTSB settled on about 4,500 hours, as well as several hundred hours flying the 208 on Instrument Flight Rules, many flown on that same run.

The following is directly from the family's website.

"Tommy was shot at and shot down in a helicopter in Viet Nam. He was a medic in Viet Nam. He has been in numerous car and motorcycle accidents. He was also stabbed and shot as a NYPD police officer. He practiced martial arts from the age of 16 to a week before he was killed and his reflexes were superb. He was not a stranger to life and death situations."

Now, because a DC-10 crosses his path nearly two miles away and well above him we are expected to believe that he panicked, threw his aircraft into an unrecoverable attitude that put him into the Big Bateau Bay wetlands below.

To me this explanation is just plain silly. One only has to go to the family site and explore the evidence therein to discover that much of the damage that occurred to that aircraft happened while it was in the air as was noted by the original NTSB investigation. The fact that the engine was a fair distance away from the aircraft suggests that it separated from the firewall while it was in the air and the damage to the engine itself, which was torn in half, precludes its having come off when the aircraft impacted the water and puff-mudd in the wetlands or the engine having been torn apart when it hit the water and mud. We are not talking terminal velocities here. Much of the damage that occurred to the aircraft suggest that it impacted something on it's right side and is not consistent with damage received upon impact with the terrain and that the remainder of the aircraft contacted the terrain in a tail first attitude which is in consistent with a fully weighted aircraft with it's engine still attached and the center of gravity weight forward.

The slight of hand which has evolved around the red trace marks on the outside of the aircraft which were supposed to have come from material inside the Cessna leaves out any explanation as to why only red marks were found and not other colors from the plastic DVD sleeves or other objects from inside the aircraft. How did all of this material get outside of the aircraft in the first place to then re-impact the aircraft if the aircraft was intact until it crashed in Big Bateau Bay?

There is so much physical evidence-too much to address in this limited forum-to refute a between cloud, spatial disorientation scenario for this "accident" that it makes me sad to see the pilot's reputation trashed for the sake of expediency. What's unclear is the reason for this expediency and such an unprovable explanation for the crash of Nightship 282. Further more it lessens respect by it's peers for the NTSB's investigative ability to offer up such rot. Why is the NTSB risking this ridicule? Why did they disregard, and where is their explanation for the original findings by the NTSB that Preziose's Cessna

208B Caravan "collided in-flight with an unknown object." The original NTSB report still survives at:

<http://www.caravancrash.com/index.html>

Frankly, this latest report has a peculiar odour to it, but I don't think that odour will travel very far.

I don't think the latest NTSB investigation solved anything. Look for an out-of-court settlement when/if it goes to civil trial.

Don Ledger-pilot  
Molega lake  
Nova Scotia, Canada  
902-685-3026

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 15](#)

## Re: More Jill Tarter - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 19:53:00 +0000  
Fwd Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 10:06:32 -0500  
Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter - Dickenson

>>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 08:30:05 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter

>>>From: Robert Gates <[RGates8254.nul](mailto:RGates8254.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 02:31:57 EST  
>>>Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter

>>>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 01:52:54 -0500  
>>>>Subject: More Jill Tarter

>>>>Source: Psychology Today Magazine  
>>>><http://www.psychologytoday.com/rss/pto-20051019-000002.html>  
>>>>Publication Date: Nov/Dec 2005

>><snip>

>>>Some worship at the alter of so-called main stream science

>>Anyone who can say this either doesn't know how science works,  
>>or is confusing it with religion.

Trouble with most of "mainstream science" is same as elsewhere -  
untalented obsessives being dogmatic soon as they get any  
"authority" - as A. C. Clarke once mentioned.

Worst thing I heard was a debate on education when a so-called  
"scientist" got the complaint that school science was boring. He  
responded that "science is supposed to be boring".

Not so! Boring people like him aren't doing science - they're  
doing book-keeping.

A while ago I got roped into instructing fellow rough-tough  
squaddies, bigger and badder than me, how to do fairly complex  
stuff. So I'd start off say, by drawing a distant mountain with  
puff of smoke at top, and a foreground guy in loin-cloth waving  
a blanket over a fire. "That's you, and your pal is on that far  
mountain - How're you gonna communicate?"

Next minute we're into binary coding theory and Boolean logic -  
driven by their questions, not by me. End of lesson we're onto  
satellite stuff. And no-one got bored.

Real science isn't dogmatic or boring. However it does seem to  
get dominated by anal book-keepers making dogmatic statements  
about everything. That's because the real scientists are busy  
thinking and don't give dogmatic opinions.

Fred Hoyle wasn't boring or dogmatic. Real scientists know he  
actually earned the Nobel three or four times over. But he knew  
he'd never get it. He'd upset the boring mainstream by having  
too many exciting ideas.

Dogmatism is boring.

Cheers

Ray D

~~~~~  
"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com>  
~~~~~

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 15](#)

## Re: Need Info On Global UFO History - Bott

From: Murray Bott <[murrayb.nul](mailto:murrayb.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 09:30:07 +1300  
Fwd Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 10:10:53 -0500  
Subject: Re: Need Info On Global UFO History - Bott

>From: Rick Hilberg <[fsdhilberg7.nul](mailto:fsdhilberg7.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>,  
>Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 09:31:02 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Need Info On Global UFO History

>>From: Sheryl Gottschall <[gottscha.nul](mailto:gottscha.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 09:48:30 +1000  
>>Subject: Need Info On Global UFO History

>>2006 is the 50th anniversary of UFO Research Queensland Inc  
>>(formerly the Queensland Flying Saucer Research Bureau) in  
>>Brisbane, Australia. The association has been consistently  
>>active since 1956.

>>I'm doing some background research and would appreciate some  
>>help with information regarding the first known UFO groups in  
>>the world and when they were established. Just the year is  
>>fine.

>Here's a listing of some of the early UFO groups in no  
>particular order from my memory and also consulting the list  
>compiled back in 1965 by Jacques Vallee for inclusion in his  
>book, Challenge To Science:

<snip>

Greetings List People

The first New Zealand UFO Group was Civilian Saucer  
Investigations - New Zealand (CSI-NZ) which started 12th October  
1952.

There was also the British Flying Saucer Club commenced in 1952,  
and the British Flying Saucer Bureau commence in 1953 - These  
two amalgamated in 1954

Sheryl - I will Email my list of "holdings" of UFO-related  
material to you privately. Please check the listing of UFO  
Magazines, along with the details I have been able extract, of  
the groups history and/or publishing dates. This file will be  
quite large = about 70kb

Regards

Murray Bott

--

Email: [murrayb.nul](mailto:murrayb.nul)  
Voice: 64-9-6345285  
Snail: PO Box 27117  
Mt Roskill,  
Auckland 1030,  
New Zealand

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 15](#)

## Re: George Walsh Broke Roswell Story - Maccabee

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 16:10:48 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 10:13:43 -0500  
Subject: Re: George Walsh Broke Roswell Story - Maccabee

>Source: The Mercury News - San Jose, California, USA

><http://tinyurl.com/byhf7>

>Jan. 11, 2006

>George Walsh Newsman And "Gunsmoke" Announcer, Dies At 88  
>Associated Press

>MONTEREY PARK, Calif. - George Walsh, a longtime newsman and  
>announcer for the "Gunsmoke" radio and television programs, has  
>died. He was 88.

<snip>

>In June 1947, he scored what appeared to be a huge scoop while  
>working at a radio station in Roswell, N.M., breaking a story  
>about a UFO landing based on an Air Force press officer's claim  
>that a flying saucer had been captured near the city. The  
>military eventually determined that the UFO was in fact a radar  
>target, but the story by then had spread worldwide.

Yeah, yeah, yeah... radar target!

Barf!

But I wonder if he ever paid attention to the Roswell  
controversy and to what Walter Haut said.

I assume he knew Haut.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 15](#)

## Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 16:11:05 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 10:27:35 -0500  
Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

>From: Ray Dickenson <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 12:56:58 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 19:54:20 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

<snip>

>>I tangled with Menzel once. It was the Gemini 11 astronaut  
>>sighting and photos. Menzel coldn't dispute the astronauts'  
>>photos so he quibbled about the analysis. My recollection is  
>>that he never did propose an explanation.

>Bruce, that reminded me to have another look at:

><http://www.anomalous-images.com/astroufo.html>

>which has a dozen or so groups of sightings by astronauts or  
>NASA pilots.

>Have there been any successful FOI requests on any of these? Or  
>subsequent releases of the photos taken?

There is a lot of astronaut stuff but I haven't pursued it. NASA  
holds all the high cards so why blunt my pick on a stone.

I think the Owen K. Garriott did photograph something unusual  
that passed by Skylab 3.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 15](#)

## Re: Military Explanation For FT 'Myth' - Hebert

From: Amy Hebert <[ahebert4.nul](mailto:ahebert4.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 20:31:11 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 10:29:58 -0500  
Subject: Re: Military Explanation For FT 'Myth' - Hebert

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 13:42:28 -0400  
>Subject: Military Explanation For FT 'Myth'

>It is to be 400 feet long and 145 feet  
>in diameter sporting 25 foot diameter propellers driven by  
>electric motors. All of this to support a 500-pound payload and  
>keep it quasi-geostationary at 60,000 feet. Over and over again  
>I see articles about self-propelled, large, lightweight aircraft  
>for spying in the field that are being developed for specialized  
>use that are costing billions of dollars in the United States  
>alone.

>Why this huge outlay of dollars if the United States has such  
>vehicles in the nature of 300 foot to one-half mile long,  
>exotically driven FTs in its inventory already? It is simple,  
>because they don't.

Hi, Don:

Who says they have to be big or only fly at high altitudes and  
who says they have to be "exotically driven"?

What if they could be as big as... oh, say... a building (maybe  
even look like one?) or as small as... a basketball (or much  
smaller) and incorporate various hybrid technologies with a  
touch of CC&D? What if low altitude surveillance was just as  
important as high altitude surveillance? What would you use to  
fly low over enemy (or civilian?) territory that barely made a  
sound (low hum?), could turn on a dime, hover, 'shape-shift',  
remain undetected, seem to travel distances in a flash or shoot  
straight up in an instant... with an abundant source of fuel  
(swimming pools, lakes, ponds, rivers)?

You're right, Don... No Way, Jose!

I bow to ye Knights of the Royal Loom, the emperor looks great.

A. Hebert

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)





# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 15](#)

## Mexican Newspaper Discusses 'Merida Martian'

From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 08:05:24 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 10:36:59 -0500  
Subject: Mexican Newspaper Discusses 'Merida Martian'

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
January 15, 2006

Source: Diario de Merida and Planeta UFO  
Date: December 9, 2005

MEXICO: Newspaper Discusses the "Merida Martian"

Strange 'Creature' Reported

Residents of Oriente fear going out at night and are avoiding a prowler

by William Casanova Vazquez

The casual [video] recording of an alleged close encounter of the third kind in a street of Fraccionamiento del Parque has transformed the lives of families in Merida's broad Oriente sector, who on the one hand seek financial gain from the arrival of national and international groups linked with extraterrestrial research and on the other, have modified their lives to avoid becoming the victims of alien beings.

"Now I shut my doors at nine at night and do not let my children go out after hours for any reason whatsoever," said a fearful Marycarmen Avila Ordonez, who lives in Pacabtun.

Cristo Rey parish, the axis of this district's spiritual life, says that it has no reports on this event and that if there are any, they have not affected the religious life of that community. "We always receive reports of strange events immediately, such as the apparition of the Virgin some 8 years ago, but we have no reports of encounters between residents and aliens," said Carmen Zel Chuc, parish secretary.

The event became widely known when the recording reached the hands of Jaime Maussan, who broadcast it on his television show. The recording spread immediately from cell phone to cell phone, over the Internet, and as no other, went on to become the most widely transmitted throughout the Yucatan, relegating to second place the recording made by a young sales assistant at a downtown department store, who stripped naked for her boyfriend in front of the camera phone... a recording he chose to disseminate over the internet.

The video's main protagonist is David Espadas Dzib, 18, a computer student at Conalep and having residence at <snip> in that district.

The young man says that on the eve of the spring equinox at 2:30 a.m. on March 20th, he was playing with his friends only a few steps away from his house when the ball fell near a street lamp.

One of the boys, Jose Herrera, was trying out his new camcorder-equipped cell phone that day.

At the moment when the ball falls near the CFE street lamp and David runs to pick it up, he shouts that something touched him.

His friends rush to his aid and at that time an unknown silhouette peers out from behind the street lamp. The boys run away screaming.

"It wasn't until the next day that we checked out Jose's recording and saw that I was touched by a strange creature. We agreed to say nothing because we would be accused of being insane or pranksters. The fear didn't let me sleep for two days and my crew didn't return to that alley for two months," says David.

His neighbor Wendy Lopez Manzanero says that when she learned of the recording, she decided to never walk along that alley again.

"I didn't believe in those things, but the boys that suffered that strange experience are very sincere," says Carmen Dehesa Uribe, a local who claims to be the niece of author German Dehesa. Her niece Naine Peraza Solis says she's afraid to play with her friends at night.

Frightened neighbors aside, the young men involved in this event first suffered the mockery of other boys and some neighbors, and later, the disenchantment of being famous without any profit.

"Will we get something in exchange for the interview? Jaime Maussan asked me not to grant interviews without his permission. Everyone's getting rich at my expense - sensationalist magazines, radio and TV shows, and I haven't gotten a single peso," complains David.

He points out that when the video displaying the alleged encounter passed through the first inspections by specialists in ufology, Maussan promised him part of the earnings from the sale of the recording to a Japanese TV network. He took him to hold lectures and conferences on the subject. "All I've gotten is laughed at."

-----

Translation (c) 2006 Scott Corrales IHU  
Special thanks to Carlos Martinez

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 16](#)

## Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

From: **Martin Shough** <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 17:23:57 -0000  
Fwd Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 07:05:44 -0500  
Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

>From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 21:49:03 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 16:23:26 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

><snip>

>Statement by A J Gavaerd:

>"Barauna, who was a very reputable man and professional  
>photographer (enough to be officially invited by the Navy on  
>several expeditions), wasn't even on the deck of the Almirante  
>Saldanha when the UFO appeared in the horizon. He was sea-sick  
>at that moment and it was just after some of the crew members  
>saw the object that he was called upon to register the thing  
>with his camera. He shot the pictures in front of everyone on  
>board."

>Statement by Barauna to Olave Fontes:

>"It was on January 16, at 12:15 P.M. The ship was preparing to  
>leave the island, to come back to Rio. I was on the deck  
>observing the operations to take aboard the boat used in the  
>trips between the ship and the island (the island has no  
>harbor). "

>Which statement is correct?

John

(I apologise for delay in responding - I've been out of the touch in the NW Highlands for a couple of days. Anyway...)

Individuals named above: "A. J. Gavaerd and Olave Fontes"

Individuals named in another source: "A. J. Gevaerd and Olavo Fontes"

Which source is correct?

Another example, it seems to me, of the inconsistent "shambles" which you complain about. Both of these sources cannot be right, so plainly there is no reliable source for these names, and there must be reasonable doubt that the individuals "A. J. Gevaerd and Olavo Fontes", howsoever spelt, ever existed. This is your method, ad absurdum.

But matters are worse than that, because the statement which you attribute to an interview between Barauna and the (mythical?) Olavo Fontes is in fact the text of an interview with Barauna by Joao Martins, published in the magazine O Cruzeiro (March 8, 1958). Shambles, indeed. I suppose this is Barauna's fault as well.

To address your question: Even if we were to agree that Barauna was incapable of telling anything other than the the whole truth and nothing but the truth, both of the statements you quote could in principle still be incorrect, couldn't they? Why? Because both sources are reports made to us by third parties.

"Ah, but," I hear you object instantly, "you can't put all the responsibility on the third parties, since they claim to be retelling what they believed Barauna told them. We've never met Barauna and never will. If we can't rely on quotes of Barauna's words, what can we rely on?"

To which I would reply, "This is an excellent point, John, and we evidently agree. The confusion introduced by third parties is such that the nearer we can get to Barauna's own original words the better.

So, whereas your first quotation is Gevaerd's impression of what he "understood" Barauna to have "told to everyone . . . until he died" (and to himself at some time many years after the event), your second quote does purport to be a direct record of what Barauna said back in Feb 1958. As such the second source has greater prima facie evidential weight (always with due caution considering possible defects of transcription and/or translation) than the first.

We can test this presumption by testing internal consistency and contextual consistency.

Gevaerd's initial statement to you had two parts: a) that Barauna told him he was in his cabin and b) that what Barauna told him was the same thing he "told to everyone . . . until he died". As I pointed out to you, these are inconsistent. Barauna does not appear to have told anyone else that he was in his cabin. Nobody else appears to have formed the impression that he was in his cabin. What he "told to everyone" was that he was on deck, camera in hand to film the boat-winchng, having been in his cabin shortly before. This is strong evidence that (in respect of this small detail) Gevaerd's "understanding" of what Barauna had meant to convey to him and/or to others was not entirely lucid, being both internally and contextually inconsistent.

Notice that when asked by you to address the point Gevaerd's own response was immediately to acknowledge the ambiguity of his recall, telling you that what he was certain of was only that Barauna had been "somewhere else" on the ship apart from the other diving club members, possibly elsewhere on deck. This is consistent with every other source. (If we may speculate as to where the mistaken impression that Barauna was in his cabin could have originated, we could note that two other accounts of the event contain the statement "I was inside the ship, not on the deck" or "I was in my cabin at the time" - those of Mauro Andrade and Capt. Bacellar - and, like John, others may have been confused about who said what, conflating "sea-sickness" and "cabin" into an assumption that Barauna was below decks.)

Now we come the second statement, published as a verbatim account of an interview with the witness within a few weeks of the event. "I was on the deck observing the operations to take aboard the boat used in the trips between the ship and the island (the island has no harbor). The sea was agitated." This is not inconsistent internally. It is also not inconsistent with the many other statements attributed to the witness by various interviewers in 1958 and since, including Capt. Brandao, Naval Intelligence. Barauna never dissented from this early account in any other place, so far as I am aware, save in A. J. Gevaerd's admittedly ambiguous memory.

You ask "Which source is correct?", a simple-minded question in search of a simple-minded answer. I hope the reasonable answer will suffice instead, but I rather doubt it.

Martin Shough

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 16](#)

## Re: George Walsh Broke Roswell Story - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 14:05:37 EST  
Fwd Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 07:07:05 -0500  
Subject: Re: George Walsh Broke Roswell Story - Randle

>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 16:10:48 -0500  
>Subject: Re: George Walsh Broke Roswell Story

>>Source: The Mercury News - San Jose, California, USA

>><http://tinyurl.com/byhf7>

>>Jan. 11, 2006

>>George Walsh Newsman And "Gunsmoke" Announcer, Dies At 88  
>>Associated Press

>>MONTEREY PARK, Calif. - George Walsh, a longtime newsman and  
>>announcer for the "Gunsmoke" radio and television programs, has  
>>died. He was 88.

<snip>

>>In June 1947, he scored what appeared to be a huge scoop while  
>>working at a radio station in Roswell, N.M., breaking a story  
>>about a UFO landing based on an Air Force press officer's claim  
>>that a flying saucer had been captured near the city. The  
>>military eventually determined that the UFO was in fact a radar  
>>target, but the story by then had spread worldwide.

>Yeah, yeah, yeah... radar target!

>Barf!

>But I wonder if he ever paid attention to the Roswell  
>controversy and to what Walter Haut said.

>I assume he knew Haut.

Bruce, List -

Of course he knew Walter. You should read the affidavit from Walsh that was sent to FUFOR. Walsh explains how he asked Walter lots of questions and even how Walter later complained to him because of all the telephone calls Walter received after Walsh put the story on the wire (Of course that doesn't address the question of what Walter expected when he gave the press release to Walsh... I mean Walter would have known that Walsh would put it on the wire).

So, many of us have interviewed Walsh and got his story about believing it to be a balloon.

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 16](#)

## Re: Military Explanation For FT 'Myth' - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 15:39:12 -0400  
Fwd Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 07:42:39 -0500  
Subject: Re: Military Explanation For FT 'Myth' - Ledger

>From: Amy Hebert <[ahebert4.nul](mailto:ahebert4.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 20:31:11 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Military Explanation For FT 'Myth'

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 13:42:28 -0400  
>>Subject: Military Explanation For FT 'Myth'

>>It is to be 400 feet long and 145 feet  
>>in diameter sporting 25 foot diameter propellers driven by  
>>electric motors. All of this to support a 500-pound payload and  
>>keep it quasi-geostationary at 60,000 feet. Over and over again  
>>I see articles about self-propelled, large, lightweight aircraft  
>>for spying in the field that are being developed for specialized  
>>use that are costing billions of dollars in the United States  
>>alone.

>>Why this huge outlay of dollars if the United States has such  
>>vehicles in the nature of 300 foot to one-half mile long,  
>>exotically driven FTs in its inventory already? It is simple,  
>>because they don't.

>Who says they have to be big or only fly at high altitudes and  
>who says they have to be "exotically driven"?

>What if they could be as big as... oh, say... a building (maybe  
>even look like one?) or as small as... a basketball (or much  
>smaller) and incorporate various hybrid technologies with a  
>touch of CC&D? What if low altitude surveillance was just as  
>important as high altitude surveillance? What would you use to  
>fly low over enemy (or civilian?) territory that barely made a  
>sound (low hum?), could turn on a dime, hover, 'shape-shift',  
>remain undetected, seem to travel distances in a flash or shoot  
>straight up in an instant... with an abundant source of fuel  
>(swimming pools, lakes, ponds, rivers)?

>You're right, Don... No Way, Jose!

>I bow to ye Knights of the Royal Loom, the emperor looks great.

Hi Amy,

As for some smaller experimental aircraft such as UAVs, UCAVs, F-177As and the like, I have no problem with. I'm certain there is a replacement for the SR-71 (the oft mentioned Aurora perhaps?) flying around our skies though I doubt low and slow in Commercial and Domestic airspace, over cities and suburbs. It doesn't make sense and is asking for trouble with the exception of the F-117As. There would be the inevitable crash of one of these things possibly right in the downtown area. There is a push to really miniturize some of these craft so that they look like birds and bugs.

As for floatable Lighter-Than-Aircraft craft [LTAs], there's a problem with the smaller size, mainly because of payload and propulsion and fuel. Note that these LTAs for the most part are



using electrically driven props to move them around. Airbreathers are just too heavy. There's a move a foot to use some of these on the US/Mex. border patrols. But they are not much more than balloons carrying very lightweight cameras and sensors and they cannot work in less than ideal weather conditions. A balloon is a balloon regardless of shape or who makes it.

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 16](#)

## Re: Mexican Newspaper Discusses 'Merida Martian' -

From: Amy Hebert <[ahebert4.nul](mailto:ahebert4.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 15:35:12 -0600  
Fwd Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 07:44:12 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mexican Newspaper Discusses 'Merida Martian' -

>From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 08:05:24 -0500  
>Subject: Mexican Newspaper Discusses 'Merida Martian'

>The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
>January 15, 2006

>Source: Diario de Merida and Planeta UFO  
>Date: December 9, 2005

>MEXICO: Newspaper Discusses the "Merida Martian"

>Strange 'Creature' Reported

<snip>

>He points out that when the video displaying the alleged  
>encounter passed through the first inspections by specialists in  
>ufology, Maussan promised him part of the earnings from the sale  
>of the recording to a Japanese TV network.

I wonder who these 'specialists' in ufology are? How detailed  
were the "first inspections"? Maussan was kinda jumping the gun  
to offer a video for sale that only passed through initial  
inspections. No wonder it's so hard to find the truth.

Nope, no conflict of interest here.

A. Hebert

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 16](#)

## USOs On US History Channel

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 23:57:15 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 07:46:49 -0500  
Subject: USOs On US History Channel

Unidentified Submerged Objects on the U.S. History Channel  
Monday Jan 23, 2006 at 8:00 pm and midnight.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 16](#)

## Re: Orgone Energy - Stevenson

From: Colin Stevenson <[colswweb.nul](mailto:colswweb.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 10:11:38 +0000 (GMT)  
Fwd Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 07:50:00 -0500  
Subject: Re: Orgone Energy - Stevenson

>From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 09:26:44 -0500  
>Subject: Orgone Energy {was: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality]

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 12 Jan 2006 11:02:06 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

>>>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 14:17:05 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Warp Drive Is Closer To Reality

><snip>

>>>So, do we have a form of energy that is not measurable? At  
>>>least in theory? That would be truly new to science.

>>Hi Larry,

>>Orgone can be measured; at least Reich felt that this was  
>>possible. He saw Orgone energy as "Primordial cosmic energy,  
>>universally present and demonstrable visually,  
>thermentically,  
>>electroscopically and by means of Geiger-Mueller counters. In  
the living organism, orgone is the life energy".

>>I know it's hard for the cynical types to give Reich and his  
>>work a second glance, or even a first one, but before you write  
>>him off, try making an Orgone blanket, and if you don't have any  
>>pain yourself, give the blanket to a friend who does and see if  
>>they notice any difference.

Hi all

Please note that because of the nature of this energy - that it  
can reduce, negate or destroy the effects of most medications  
and as most folk are slightly polluted - the blanket referred to  
may be detrimental rather than complimentary.

col

<http://www.colswweb.com/texjoe.htm>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 16](#)

## Re: More Jill Tarter - Beasley

From: **Craig Beasley** <[fallingleaf.nul](mailto:fallingleaf.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 14:44:12 -0600  
Fwd Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 07:52:51 -0500  
Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter - Beasley

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 14:04:36 -0400  
>Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter

>Well put, Craig.

Thanks, Mr. Ledger.

<snip>

>Coincidentally astronomers have figured out these Lunar  
>mechanics over the last 20 centuries or so.

>Apparently one astronomer could not.

>My brows furrowed when I first heard Jill Tarter tell this story  
>on the Peter Jennings special. She seems to want to spread it  
>around as her explanation for why people see UFOs while ignoring  
>her own observational shortcomings.

I remember the account seeming specious, myself.

>My question then... Shouldn't Jill - as a pilot and an  
>astronomer - be embarrassed by her elemental lack of knowledge  
>as to where the Moon would be?

Ah, but you see, not every person is attuned to the SETI spin-  
machine as we are, so Dr. Tartar took a gamble.

1. She knows what you know, but expects others to miss the inconsistencies. (and they call us "inconsistent"?)
2. She convinces herself that she means what she says, as an unconscious aid to her mission to discredit Ufology.

Either way, she, and SETI by extension, continues to howl at the moon and bark up the wrong tree.

J. Craig Beasley

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 16](#)

## Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

From: **John Scheldroup** <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 21:04:31 -0600  
Fwd Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 08:30:37 -0500  
Subject: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

Mars Rover Opportunity Sol 323

Source: <http://iamblogging.com:8088/mars/MarsRoverUFO.htm>

The UFO (Sky object image anomaly) - Left Navigation Camera Non-linearized full-frame EDR acquired on Sol 323 of Opportunity's mission to Meridiani Planum at approximately 13:48:23 Mars local solar time. NASA/JPL

<http://tinyurl.com/ctaj5>

One Minute Before

Left Navigation Camera Non-linearized Full frame EDR acquired on Sol 323 of Opportunity's mission to Meridiani Planum at approximately 13:47:33 Mars local solar time. NASA/JPL

<http://tinyurl.com/7bvj7>

One Minute After

Left Navigation Camera Non-linearized Full frame EDR acquired on Sol 323 of Opportunity's mission to Meridiani Planum at approximately 13:49:13 Mars local solar time. NASA/JPL

<http://tinyurl.com/abe9v>

So... I cut away an area of the original Sol 323 photo that can be found at NASA:

<http://tinyurl.com/ctaj5>

...in order to insert the Hi-Resolution picture, in order to be sure the background is clean.

Exploded it 10 times, to which ended up to to be an 400 megabyte document image, this gave me an sort of workbench to master. One piece of the image in question is (178 k bytes).

<http://tinyurl.com/e3ehr>

-----

Other items I found that should also be included for future reference:

Information on the Pancam not to be confused with the above Front Navigation Camera:

<http://www.dalsa.com/news/news.asp?itemID=127>

<http://tinyurl.com/b3yo4>

[27] When powered, the CCD is constantly running in a "frame flush" mode where charge is drained from the array every 5.1 ms. An exposure is initiated at the start of a new frame flush



cycle. Photons are then collected in the imaging area during the specified integration time (from 0 to 65535 exposure counts, where each exposure count equals 5.12 ms) and then once the exposure is complete the accumulated charge is rapidly shifted (shift time = 5.12 ms) into the storage area. This rapid charge transfer obviates the need for a mechanical shutter on the camera, but also limits the minimum exposure time (0 counts) to 5.12 ms and leads to the generation of frame transfer smear signal that must be corrected in calibration (see section 4.2.4 below). Once the collected photons are in the storage area, they are clocked out, row by row, into a horizontal serial register for to rapidly remove charge from the array during fast transfer or windowing. While these methods are not as effective as having true antiblooming structures in each 12 mm square pixel, they do not impact fill factor or collection efficiency, subsequent amplification and digitization. The readout rate is 200 kHz (200,000 pixels/s), or 5 ms per row, leading to a total readout time of approximately 5 s per full frame image.

John

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 16](#)

## Re: USOs On US History Channel - Deardorff

From: Jim Deardorff <[deardorj.nul](mailto:deardorj.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 08:04:01 -0800  
Fwd Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 16:14:45 -0500  
Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel - Deardorff

>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 23:57:15 -0500  
>Subject: USOs On US History Channel

>Unidentified Submerged Objects on the U.S. History Channel  
>Monday Jan 23, 2006 at 8:00 pm and midnight.

Bruce will be among those interviewed.

Jim

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 16](#)

## Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

From: **Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo** <[ufomiami.nul](mailto:ufomiami.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 11:51:39 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 16:16:16 -0500  
Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 17:23:57 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 21:49:03 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 16:23:26 -0000  
>>>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>Which source is correct?

According of our taped interview with Almiro Barauna, on April 1983 in Brasilia, Dr Hynek asked Barauna the duration of the sighting in minutes. Barauna answer: "14 seconds", The whole thing happened in 14 seconds, since the UFO appeared in the horizon, flew over the Trindale Island and back to the horizon.

During the interview he never mention he was inside in his cabin.

Our reasonable question is: If Barauna was sea-sick in his cabin, How he could go out to desk and took 6 shot of the UFO in 14 seconds?

Did you have a reasonable answer here?

NOTE:

Photos #1, #2, and #3 show the UFO. Photos #4 and #5 do not show the UFO and photo #6 show the UFO.

Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo  
Miami UFO Center

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 16](#)

## Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 13:59:55 -0400  
Fwd Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 16:18:14 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Ledger

>From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 21:04:31 -0600  
>Subject: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>Mars Rover Opportunity Sol 323  
>Source: <http://iamblogging.com:8088/mars/MarsRoverUFO.htm>  
>The UFO (Sky object image anomaly) - Left Navigation Camera  
>Non-linearized full-frame EDR acquired on Sol 323 of  
>Opportunity's mission to Meridiani Planum at approximately  
>13:48:23 Mars local solar time. NASA/JPL

><http://tinyurl.com/ctaj5>

<snip>

Curiously, none of the URLs came up when I tried them. They were all 404, not found. What is the stock explanation for this anomaly in the Rover photo?

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 16](#)

## Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 19:23:19 +0000  
Fwd Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 16:20:26 -0500  
Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 17:23:57 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 21:49:03 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 16:23:26 -0000  
>>>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>><snip>

>>Statement by A J Gavaerd:

>>"Barauna, who was a very reputable man and professional  
>>photographer (enough to be officially invited by the Navy on  
>>several expeditions), wasn't even on the deck of the Almirante  
>>Saldanha when the UFO appeared in the horizon. He was sea-sick  
>>at that moment and it was just after some of the crew members  
>>saw the object that he was called upon to register the thing  
>>with his camera. He shot the pictures in front of everyone on  
>>board."

>>Statement by Barauna to Olave Fontes:

>>"It was on January 16, at 12:15 P.M. The ship was preparing to  
>>leave the island, to come back to Rio. I was on the deck  
>>observing the operations to take aboard the boat used in the  
>>trips between the ship and the island (the island has no  
>>harbor). "

>>Which statement is correct?

>John

>(I apologise for delay in responding - I've been out of the  
>touch in the NW Highlands for a couple of days. Anyway...)

>Individuals named above: "A. J. Gavaerd and Olave Fontes"

>Individuals named in another source: "A. J. Gevaerd and Olavo  
>Fontes"

>Which source is correct?

>Another example, it seems to me, of the inconsistent "shambles"  
>which you complain about. Both of these sources cannot be right,  
>so plainly there is no reliable source for these names, and  
>there must be reasonable doubt that the individuals "A. J.  
>Gevaerd and Olavo Fontes", howsoever spelt, ever existed. This  
>is your method, ad absurdum.

>But matters are worse than that, because the statement which you  
>attribute to an interview between Barauna and the (mythical?)

>Olavo Fontes is in fact the text of an interview with Barauna by  
>Joao Martins, published in the magazine O Cruzeiro (March 8,  
>1958). Shambles, indeed. I suppose this is Barauna's fault as  
>well.

You are, of course, quite right, the words quoted are spoken by  
Barauna to Martins.

>To address your question: Even if we were to agree that Barauna  
>was incapable of telling anything other than the the whole truth  
>and nothing but the truth, both of the statements you quote  
>could in principle still be incorrect, couldn't they? Why?  
>Because both sources are reports made to us by third parties.

Indeed, and as far as I can see from the rest of your  
interesting response, you are in agreement with me that  
Gevaerd's impression of what Barauna told him is at fault. The  
other possibility is that Barauna, for whatever reason, did  
change his story. Even allowing for mishearing or  
mistranslation, I would have thought that "wasn't even on the  
deck of the Almirante Saldhana" a pretty unambiguous phrase.

So now we have another simple-minded question to ponder:

- a) Did Barauna change his story?
- b) Did Martins misunderstand Barauna?
- c) Did Gevaerd misunderstand Barauna?
- d) Does it make the slightest bit of difference to a story which  
already has so many holes in it that Barauna's Underwater  
Photography Club would be needed to find it?

--  
John Rimmer

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 17](#)

## Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Fleming

From: **Lan Fleming** <[lflaming6.nul](mailto:lflaming6.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 16:04:18 -0600  
Fwd Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 07:54:41 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Fleming

>From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 21:04:31 -0600  
>Subject: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>Mars Rover Opportunity Sol 323

>Source: <http://iamblogging.com:8088/mars/MarsRoverUFO.htm>

>The UFO (Sky object image anomaly) - Left Navigation Camera  
>Non-linearized full-frame EDR acquired on Sol 323 of  
>Opportunity's mission to Meridiani Planum at approximately  
>13:48:23 Mars local solar time. NASA/JPL

The web page says that the object showed up in only one of the two stereoscopic cameras and concludes from this that it was traveling at a high rate of speed, disappearing in the brief time between the left camera's exposure and the right camera's. But the more likely explanation for it not showing up in both images is that it was a grain of dust on the lens of left camera.

There have been several other cases like this where only one camera showed an object "flying" over the martian landscape.

I'd be much more impressed if one of them had shown up in both cameras.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 17](#)

## Re: More Jill Tarter - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 18:07:44 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 07:56:15 -0500  
Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter - Ledger

>From: Craig Beasley <[fallingleaf.nul](mailto:fallingleaf.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 14:44:12 -0600  
>Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 14:04:36 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter

>>Well put, Craig.

>Thanks, Mr. Ledger.

><snip>

>>Coincidentally astronomers have figured out these Lunar  
>>mechanics over the last 20 centuries or so.

>>Apparently one astronomer could not.

>>My brows furrowed when I first heard Jill Tarter tell this story  
>>on the Peter Jennings special. She seems to want to spread it  
>>around as her explanation for why people see UFOs while ignoring  
>>her own observational shortcomings.

>I remember the account seeming specious, myself.

>>My question then... Shouldn't Jill - as a pilot and an  
>>astronomer - be embarrassed by her elemental lack of knowledge  
>>as to where the Moon would be?

>Ah, but you see, not every person is attuned to the SETI spin-  
>machine as we are, so Dr. Tartar took a gamble.

>She knows what you know, but expects others to miss the  
>inconsistencies. (and they call us "inconsistent"?)

That might be close to the truth. Play dumb for the peasants.

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)





# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 17](#)

## Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

From: Brad Sparks <[RB47x.nul](mailto:RB47x.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 21:15:03 EST  
Fwd Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 07:57:54 -0500  
Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

>From: Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo <[ufomiami.nul](mailto:ufomiami.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 11:51:39 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 17:23:57 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>>From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 21:49:03 +0000  
>>>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>>>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 16:23:26 -0000  
>>>>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>Which source is correct?

>According of our taped interview with Almiro Barauna, on April  
>1983 in Brasilia, Dr Hynek asked Barauna the duration of the  
>sighting in minutes. Barauna answer: "14 seconds", The whole  
>thing happened in 14 seconds, since the UFO appeared in the  
>horizon, flew over the Trindale Island and back to the horizon.

Why are you needlessly dragging in still another issue, now the total time duration? You need to go back and re-read the case. This is a misunderstanding once again that is based on a garbled and confused "interview" done at a noisy breakfast meeting with Hynek in 1983.

The 14 seconds was not the total duration, it was simply the timing the Brazilian Navy determined was the fastest reasonably possible time for the 6 photos if done rapid-fire, not the whole sighting such as time before Barauna got his camera and time before Barauna's attention was called to the UFO.

Total duration was actually more like 2-3 minutes. I hope I don't also have to explain that there were 6 photos but 2 shots in the middle of the series missed catching the UFO and showed mainly the island terrain. Even so the Brazilian Navy timing was not evidently done to find the actual timing but to get a fastest time. Analysis of the ocean waves and cloud patterns shown in the photos that I and Martin Shough carried out show that on the order of 1-2 minutes elapsed between Photos 1 and 6 and certainly not 14 seconds and certainly not hours or days as debunkers like to speculate.

Brad Sparks

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 17](#)

## UFO Studies Completed Final 8 States

From: **Larry Hatch** <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 18:32:59 -0800  
Fwd Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 07:59:37 -0500  
Subject: UFO Studies Completed Final 8 States

To those interested:

This is the final installment of my special USA State Studies series. Three more web pages, with a total of 8 states. As always, these include a close-up sightings map, statistical displays and other info:

Louisiana, Mississippi and Arkansas:

<http://www.larryhatch.net/LAMSAR.html>

North Dakota and South Dakota:

<http://www.larryhatch.net/DAKOTA.html>

Maine, New Hampshire and Vermont:

<http://www.larryhatch.net/MENHVT.html>

To tie this together, I put up a menu for all states in the series:

<http://www.larryhatch.net/STATESMENU.html>

I couldn't reach anyone in some states, so please feel free to copy or forward this message.

Comment and criticism are welcomed as always.

Best wishes

- Larry Hatch

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 17](#)

## The 1966 Westall UFO Incident

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 08:01:53 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 08:01:53 -0500  
Subject: The 1966 Westall UFO Incident

Source: ABC Radio - Melbourne, Australia

<http://www.abc.net.au/melbourne/stories/s1549038.htm>

Tuesday, 17 January 2006

The 1966 Westall UFO Incident  
Reporter: Florenz Ronn

Say the name Roswell and 774's Breakfast presenter Red Symons immediately thinks of metal objects shooting through the sky landing in the desert, and little green men coming out of them. But when you say the name Clayton South, you probably think pork buns, unless you were around in 1966 and were one of 200 people who witnessed the Westall UFO incident.

Shane Ryan is a researcher looking for eyewitnesses. "It happened on the Wednesday before Easter, April 6, 1966, about 11 0'clock in the morning. Around about 200 people, most of them students at what was then called Westall High School, were out for morning recess", says Shane. "Many saw the strange object descend behind pine trees in The Grange Reserve, and then later ascend and fly away at great speed. The people who ran over to the reserve found a huge ring in the paddock where the object had been seen. Some people reported five light aircraft following or chasing the object.

"It's an interesting case because there was this trace left behind which not only those kids saw, but a whole number of people who came afterwards, after school and adults and so forth came down to have a look at it as well."

A crop circle perhaps? "Some people call it that," continues Shane, "I'm not sure that it was, but it was certainly a ring left behind in the grass. And the other fascinating aspect of the story is that some people talk about the area being cordoned off by people in uniform."

Several witnesses reported being spoken to by the RAAF or military, including the school's science teacher, who was apparently threatened by two RAAF officers under the Official Secrets Act, if he spoke in public about what he had seen. The high school authorities dealt very harshly with both students and staff in the wake of this event, and forbade any discussion about it.

Shane has now established an email discussion group to encourage people to come forward and tell their stories about that day. About 45 people have made contact thus far. A lot of former Westall school students and two or three of the teachers. This group is dedicated to those people who were involved in, or have an interest in the incident at Westall High School, in the Melbourne suburb of Clayton South. He is especially keen to hear from people from "the other side", that is from the side of the authorities who may have been involved that day, such as RAAF, police or army.

April 6, 2006, is the 40th anniversary of this fascinating story and, to mark the occasion, Shane is hoping to gather together interested persons and witnesses to the event. "Many of the people involved in this incident are, of course, still alive and some still live in the area. They haven't been able to talk about it because no-one is interested." Interested people can contact Shane through the website link below.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 17](#)

## Re: More Jill Tarter - Gates

From: Robert Gates <[RGates8254.nul](mailto:RGates8254.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 01:25:39 EST  
Fwd Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 08:04:35 -0500  
Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter - Gates

>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 08:30:05 -0800  
>Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter

>>From: Robert Gates <[RGates8254.nul](mailto:RGates8254.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 14 Jan 2006 02:31:57 EST  
>>Subject: Re: More Jill Tarter

>>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 13 Jan 2006 01:52:54 -0500  
>>>Subject: More Jill Tarter

>>>Source: Psychology Today Magazine

>>><http://www.psychologytoday.com/rss/pto-20051019-000002.html>

>>>Publication Date: Nov/Dec 2005

<snip>

>>Some worship at the alter of so-called main stream science

>Anyone who can say this either doesn't know how science works,  
>or is confusing it with religion.

Larry and Listers,

I well know how science is supposed to work, but point out that that doesn't always happen that way. Case in point Jill Tarter whose comment when asked about alien visitation possibly being true said 'I don't have any proof. There's no evidence that would convince me as a scientist.'

Recently there was a poll of so called main stream scientists, of which about 45 percent admitted to faking data. Recall the recent Korean cloning scandal. One so called main stream scientific Phd privately indicated that so called main stream science lives and dies by money and prestige. The money either from private sources, or government. Much university research is funded by grant money. He indicated that it wasn't about the results, but it was about funding.

In my original post to the List, (which I am sure Errol edited down for space and other considerations) I spoke of the much touted discoveries of Hubble, which so called main stream science accepts and touts as fact, even though they have no physical evidence or specimens to send off to multiple, independent scientific labs so that they could all independently verify what the material was and verify the pronouncements being made by the scientists.

Yet by the same token, if you mention the word UFO, or alien visitation, it is very likely that some of these same people would start babbling about how we actually have to have a physical specimen, and all the physical evidence, and then they would likely qualify it with something like even if all that is

verified by 20 independent labs, there is still too little data to work with...etc etc...

When people travel down the road of so called main stream science, they should realize that in many instances they can be led down the trail of personal scientific bias, false information, bogus research data, studies which can't be verified with other studies, theories that are accepted as fact, yet they are still only theories, information which is skewed etc etc.

I would venture to say that for the most part, the so called scientific fact and material touted as scientific fact by this or that study will be on the scientific garbage heap 10 to 15 years from now, replaced by other information, new pronouncements and new theories and studies.

Cheers,

Robert

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 17](#)

## Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

From: **Martin Shough** <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 13:11:40 -0000  
Fwd Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 16:39:19 -0500  
Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary -

>From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 19:23:19 +0000  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 17:23:57 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>>From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Wed, 11 Jan 2006 21:49:03 +0000  
>>>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>>>From: Martin Shough <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Tue, 10 Jan 2006 16:23:26 -0000  
>>>>Subject: Re: Unidentified Submerged Objects Documentary

>>>><snip>

>>Another example, it seems to me, of the inconsistent  
>>"shambles" which you complain about. Both of these  
>>sources cannot be right, so plainly there is no reliable  
>>source for these names, and there must be reasonable  
>>doubt that the individuals "A. J. Gevaerd and Olavo  
>>Fontes", howsoever spelt, ever existed. This  
>>is your method, ad absurdum.

>>But matters are worse than that, because the statement which  
>>you attribute to an interview between Barauna and the  
>>(mythical?) Olavo Fontes is in fact the text of an interview  
>>with Barauna by Joao Martins, published in the magazine  
>>O Cruzeiro (March 8, 1958). Shambles, indeed. I suppose  
>>this is Barauna's fault as well.

>You are, of course, quite right, the words quoted are spoken by  
>Barauna to Martins.

>>To address your question: Even if we were to agree that  
>>Barauna was incapable of telling anything other than the the  
>>whole truth and nothing but the truth, both of the statements  
>>you quote could in principle still be incorrect, couldn't they?  
>>Why? Because both sources are reports made to us by third  
>>parties.

>Indeed, and as far as I can see from the rest of your  
>interesting response, you are in agreement with me that  
>Gevaerd's impression of what Barauna told him is at fault.

Agreeing with you? Whoa, this is neat sidestep into the winning  
lane at the last moment, John, but you were previously running  
on the outside track, inferring that what we have here is  
evidence of Barauna "changing his story minute by minute",  
another "nail in the coffin" of "this fast-dissolving case".

If you now accept, instead, that what we have is evidence of an  
isolated misunderstanding by a third party, then you need to

acknowledge this basic shift of position, not just switch lanes tactically in hopes that you can then slip back into instinctive "debunk" mode (hate the term but can't think of another now) without anybody noticing, as in:

>The other possibility is that Barauna, for whatever reason, >did change his story.

You see, you now re-present this speculation as though it has equity with the conclusion you pretend to have reasonably arrived at above, as though it were fresh, and as though the evidence you offered in support of it had not just evaporated.

>Even allowing for mishearing or mistranslation, I would have >thought that "wasn't even on the deck of the Almirante >Saldhana" a pretty unambiguous phrase.

So we've wasted our time by appealing to reason have we? You like the sound of that unambiguous phrase and you want to stick to it come what may. You now cite unambiguity of expression as though it were some sort of test of reliability overriding the reasoned argument in favour of unreliability, to which you have just subscribed. This is just senseless circularity, getting nowhere.

The fact is that unambiguous conclusions are all too often the result of ambiguous information processing. The literature on this case is full of unambiguous convictions. Many of them unambiguously wacky. Take Steuart Campbell's memorable (and inexplicable) certainty that Barauna photographed Jupiter:

"Other bright planets at low altitude have also been the source of UFO reports. The most sensational was the mirage of Jupiter reported and photographed by Almiro Barauna from a Brazilian research ship at Ilha da Trindade in the south Atlantic Ocean in January 1958. These are unique photographs, clearly showing the double image which results from the merging of two mirage images."

<http://www.astronomycafe.net/weird/lights/mirUFO.htm>

Wild! Or maybe you like that one. Certainly Martin Powell was one "sceptic" impressed by Campbell's unambiguousness:

"Campbell claimed that what Barauna had photographed was a daylight mirage of Jupiter, which was thrown about the sky by a weather phenomenon called a thermocline. Campbell's excellent research into the case unearthed many interesting details, much of which I have drawn upon for this study."

Really? Most reassuring. And here are some more phrases:

"Barauna's story seems to change by the minute. First he's on deck when the UFO flies over, then he's in his cabin, seasick, now he's presumably on deck again watching the UFO emerge, dripping wet, from the Atlantic! . . . it's a shambles!"

You were untroubled by any sense of ambiguity here, it seems to me, yet to pick the bones out of this farrago of misinterpretation and illogic has taken some doing.

>So now we have another simple-minded question to ponder:

>a) Did Barauna change his story?

No this is not "another" simple-minded question, it is the same simple-minded question which you apparently intend to throw at the problem over and over again, no matter how many times it bounces off. There is no evidence that Barauna changed his story about being on deck, instead there is evidence that Gevaerd's account contained a misunderstanding, as you have acknowledged above.

>b) Did Martins misunderstand Barauna?

What evidence is there that Barauna really told Martins that he was in his cabin when the UFO appeared, whilst Martins quoted him as saying explicitly that he was on deck? Absolutely none. The only departure from consistency in accounts of this was Gevaerd's misunderstanding, which we have cleared up. What conceivable motivation can you have for now wanting to speculate

about a misquotation by Martins? (Sorry, silly question.)

>c) Did Gevaerd misunderstand Barauna?

Helloooo....?

>d) Does it make the slightest bit of difference to a story  
>which already has so many holes in it that Barauna's  
>Underwater Photography Club would be needed to find it?

My own sceptical position, as I said off-List to Chris Allan recently, is that "out of all the many claims thrown at the case there should be a reasonable proportion that find a target, if they have a solid basis. I remain to be convinced."

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 17](#)

## Re: USOs On US History Channel - Feindt

From: Carl Feindt <[waterufo.nul](mailto:waterufo.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 10:00:03 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 21:02:08 -0500  
Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel - Feindt

>From: Jim Deardorff <[deardorj.nul](mailto:deardorj.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 08:04:01 -0800  
>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 23:57:15 -0500  
>>Subject: USOs On US History Channel

>>Unidentified Submerged Objects on the U.S. History Channel  
>>Monday Jan 23, 2006 at 8:00 pm and midnight.

>Bruce will be among those interviewed.

Jim

Cat's out of the bag department.

UFO Magazine Dec/Jan 2006 has a 4 page article on the show (text in bubbles) with all the particulars.

Bruce as above, Stan Friedman, Don Ledger, Chris Styles, Preston Dennett, William Birnes (Of UFO MAG.), Paul Stonehill and some others I haven't heard of, and the insignificant Carl Feindt (maybe) of waterufo.net who was asked to recommend people, which was ignored and whose advice on things to stay away from was also ignored.

I was shocked to find out that 'Atlantis' was included in this show. Does anyone know where Plato mentions "UFOs" in the Atlantis tale?

Carl

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 17](#)

## Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 12:45:33 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 21:04:25 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Ledger

>From: Lan Fleming <[lfleming6.nul](mailto:lfleming6.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 16:04:18 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>>From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 21:04:31 -0600  
>>Subject: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>>Mars Rover Opportunity Sol 323

>>Source: <http://iamblogging.com:8088/mars/MarsRoverUFO.htm>

>>The UFO (Sky object image anomaly) - Left Navigation Camera  
>>Non-linearized full-frame EDR acquired on Sol 323 of  
>>Opportunity's mission to Meridiani Planum at approximately  
>>13:48:23 Mars local solar time. NASA/JPL

>The web page says that the object showed up in only one of the

>There have been several other cases like this where only one  
>camera showed an object "flying" over the martian landscape.

>I'd be much more impressed if one of them had shown up in both  
>cameras.

As would I, John. But the grain of dust doesn't work for me.  
There one minute, gone the next. The distance of the 'dust' from  
the top of the frame is small allowing for a high speed object  
to pass through the FOV in less than a fraction of a second and  
trapped by the camera's shutter speed. Could have been a meteor  
zipping through the FOV? There's no way of knowing.

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 17](#)

## Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 14:27:48 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 21:05:57 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Ledger

>From: Lan Fleming <[lfleming6.nul](mailto:lfleming6.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 16:04:18 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>>From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 21:04:31 -0600  
>>Subject: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>>Mars Rover Opportunity Sol 323

>>Source: <http://iamblogging.com:8088/mars/MarsRoverUFO.htm>

>>The UFO (Sky object image anomaly) - Left Navigation Camera  
>>Non-linearized full-frame EDR acquired on Sol 323 of  
>>Opportunity's mission to Meridiani Planum at approximately  
>>13:48:23 Mars local solar time. NASA/JPL

>The web page says that the object showed up in only one of the  
>two stereoscopic cameras and concludes from this that it was  
>traveling at a high rate of speed, disappearing in the brief time  
>between the left camera's exposure and the right camera's. But  
>the more likely explanation for it not showing up in both images  
>is that it was a grain of dust on the lens of left camera.

Lan, I think if you take your digital camera-if you have one-  
and point it up at the ceiling then drop a grain of sand [or  
salt] on the lens and shoot a picture you will find a lovely  
shot of your ceiling and no grain of sand or salt.

The grain of sand on lens theory doesn't work for me.

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 17](#)

Secrecy News -- 01/17/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 13:10:29 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 21:07:35 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 01/17/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 6  
January 17, 2006

\*\* DOD ISSUES DIRECTIVE ON SPECIAL ACCESS PROGRAMS  
\*\* WAXMAN CHALLENGES MINE INSPECTION SECURITY  
\*\* DEFENDING AGAINST CLANDESTINE NUCLEAR ATTACK  
\*\* THE 9/11 COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS: A POST-MORTEM

DOD ISSUES DIRECTIVE ON SPECIAL ACCESS PROGRAMS

The Department of Defense has issued an updated directive governing the conduct of Special Access Programs, or SAPs.

SAPs are highly classified programs involving heightened security measures -- such as access control lists, special non-disclosure agreements, polygraph tests, etc. -- that go beyond those of ordinary ("collateral") programs that involve classified information.

Within the Department of Defense, there are Acquisition SAPs for classified military procurement, Intelligence SAPs dealing with particularly sensitive intelligence collection activities, and Operations and Support SAPs that cover sensitive military operations.

SAPs range in sensitivity from "acknowledged SAPs," the existence of which may be publicly admitted, to "unacknowledged SAPs," whose very existence is classified, to "waived SAPs," a subset of unacknowledged SAPs for which normal congressional reporting requirements are limited to eight senior members of Congress.

Almost by definition, assigning SAP status to a program impedes independent and congressional oversight. In the wake of the collapse of several large DoD acquisition SAPs in the early 1990s, internal DoD controls on SAPs were increased.

It is possible that the recently disclosed NSA domestic surveillance operation was a "waived SAP," but this has not been confirmed.

See DoD Directive 5205.07, "Special Access Program (SAP) Policy," January 5, 2006:

[http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/dod/d5205\\_07.pdf](http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/dod/d5205_07.pdf)

In 2001, Congress prohibited the creation of new SAPs except where 30 day prior notice was given to the congressional defense committees.

But in an early display of its controversial use of presidential signing statements to undercut legislative action, the Bush White House issued a statement reserving the right to defy this notification requirement (Secrecy News, 01/11/02):

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/news/2002/01/gwb011002b.html>

Meanwhile, Pentagon program managers have devised a way to impose "SAP-like" security measures without even the limited oversight involved in an actual SAP, observed Washington Post blogger William Arkin last week.

ACCMs, or "Alternative or Compensatory Control Measures," are quasi-SAPs that are "easier to establish [than SAPs] and the program doesn't have to be reported to Congress!" wrote Arkin, who notes that hundreds of ACCMs have been initiated since 9/11.

See "More Compartmented Programs," Early Warning, January 13, 2006:

<http://blogs.washingtonpost.com/earlywarning/>

#### WAXMAN CHALLENGES MINE INSPECTION SECRECY

Rep. Henry Waxman (D-CA) asked the Department of Labor last week to reverse the policy of withholding reports of mine safety inspections from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act.

"This unwarranted secrecy may protect the mining industry from embarrassing disclosures, but it undermines accountability and mine safety," Rep. Waxman wrote.

"Prior to 2004, [the Labor Department's Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA)] publicly disclosed both the results of mine safety inspections and the reports and notes filed by inspectors that provided the documentation of any violations found," he recalled.

"The inspectors' reports and notes were particularly important. Not only were they used by mine safety organizations, mine workers, and the public to identify dangerous mines and practices, they were also useful to mine operators implementing needed improvements in mine safety."

"In 2004, MSHA reversed its interpretation of the Freedom of Information Act, deciding that the inspectors' reports and notes did not need to be disclosed under FOIA."

"It would be impossible to draw a direct connection between the new FOIA policy and the recent fatal disaster at the Sago mine. But the agency's secrecy policy certainly limited public disclosure about the mine's violations."

See "Labor Department Policy Suppressing Mine Inspection Reports Impedes Reform," January 11:

<http://www.democrats.reform.house.gov/story.asp?ID=989>

#### DEFENDING AGAINST CLANDESTINE NUCLEAR ATTACK

"Since the 1950s, there has been sporadic concern about the threat of clandestine nuclear attack" -- involving nuclear weapons delivered by means other than missile or aircraft -- "but little has been done," the Defense Science Board observed in a June 2004 study on the topic.

A series of National Intelligence Estimates dating back to 1951 on the subject of clandestine WMD attacks against the U.S. has been compiled from declassified CIA records along with the 2004 DSB report and may be found here (thanks to Allen Thomson):

<http://www.fas.org/irp/threat/wmd.htm#clan>

#### THE 9/11 COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS: A POST-MORTEM

The 9/11 Commission could hardly have delivered a more forceful presentation to a more receptive public audience than it did in its best-selling 2004 report.

Yet 17 of its 41 recommendations have been largely or completely ignored by the government. Why?



The reasons "fall into six categories," according to an assessment in the latest National Journal: "a Congress resistant to institutional change; a bureaucracy that bucks new ideas; lack of money; lack of leadership; special interests that have the ear of Congress or the White House; and, finally, an inability to accurately see how the United States is perceived abroad."

See "Miles to Go," by Shane Harris and Greta Wodele, National Journal, January 14, reprinted here as "Bureaucracy hinders 9/11 commission recommendations":

<http://www.govexec.com/dailyfed/0106/011306nj1.htm>

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News has an RSS feed at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.rss>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 17](#)

## Raelians Offer Disgraced Scientist Job

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 21:11:05 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 21:11:05 -0500  
Subject: Raelians Offer Disgraced Scientist Job

Source: Reuters - UK

<http://tinyurl.com/cntbx>

Tue Jan 17, 2006

UFO Cult Offers Job To Disgraced Korean Scientist

PARIS (Reuters) - South Korean cloning expert Hwang Woo-suk, a science superstar disgraced when his pioneering stem cell research was unmasked as a hoax, has a new job offer from a UFO cult that says it has produced six human clones.

Clonaid, a company linked to a group that believes humans were cloned from prehistoric alien visitors to Earth, said it had offered him a post in one of its laboratories.

The firm has never provided proof of the six clones it says it has produced and does not reveal where the laboratories it says its has are located.

Hwang quit his post at Seoul National University in December after his claim to have cloned human embryonic stem cells, which could be used to treat diseases such as Parkinson's, was shown to have been faked.

"We at Clonaid believe that Dr Hwang has cloned human embryos and has the knowledge to develop stem cell lines," the company said in a message posted on its website on Sunday.

Clonaid is linked to the Raelian Movement, whose leader Rael -- a former French sports journalist named Claude Vorhilon -- says cloning is the first step towards eternal life.

When it announced that its sixth cloned baby had been born in Sydney in 2004, Australian Health Minister Tony Abbott called the announcement "the medical equivalent of a UFO story."

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 17](#)

## Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - White

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 17:28:27 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 21:12:55 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - White

>From: Lan Fleming <[lfleming6.nul](mailto:lfleming6.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 16:04:18 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

<snip>

>The web page says that the object showed up in only one of the  
>two stereoscopic cameras and concludes from this that it was  
>traveling at a high rate of speed, disappearing in the brief time  
>between the left camera's exposure and the right camera's. But  
>the more likely explanation for it not showing up in both images  
>is that it was a grain of dust on the lens of left camera.

If 'dust' can do that, why don't we see more 'dust' in the other photos? There's no shortage of dust there.

Also, I have taken an SLR (image viewable on an etched glass plate) and put grains of sand on the lens. I see no image on the etched glass viewer, probably because a speck that close can't be imaged with anything approaching an image with definite edges.

That image looks fairly well defined to me.

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 17](#)

## January Edition Of Exopolitics Journal

From: Michael Salla <[exopolitics.nul](mailto:exopolitics.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 04:55:13 -1000  
Fwd Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 21:17:05 -0500  
Subject: January Edition Of Exopolitics Journal

Aloha all, I'm pleased to announce that the January edition of the Exopolitics Journal is now available online at:

[www.exopoliticsjournal.com](http://www.exopoliticsjournal.com) .

The journal begins with two research articles. The first is by Dr Rebecca Hardcastle who introduces the concept of exoconsciousness and explores the interface between human consciousness and advanced technology. Given the testimonies of a number of witnesses/whistleblowers of how advanced extraterrestrial technologies are controlled by consciousness, this article offers a conceptual framework for understanding the parameters of such an interface and the implications for human society.

The second research article is by myself and analyses Col Corso and his critics. The article identifies the key criticisms made against Col Corso and systematically goes through each to determine their validity, and also assess the motivations of Corso's critics by distinguishing between objective criticism and debunking.

The Journal also contains two interviews. The first is an interview of Dr Steven Greer at a recent CSETI retreat by Paola Harris, M.Ed., where Dr Greer discusses his current activities and efforts to promote citizen diplomacy skills among the general public ready for extraterrestrial contact. The next is the final part of an interview with Clifford Stone concerning UFO crash retrievals and the covert procedures created to enlist military personnel in such operations without their immediate superiors being in the loop.

There are also two book reviews, one by Dr Robert Woods of Robert Collins book, Exempt from Disclosure. Given Dr Wood's extensive aeronautical background and work in classified projects (43 years), his assessment of Exempt from Disclosure is well worth considering. The next review is on Ryan Wood's recent book, Majic Eyes Only, where an extensive number of UFO crash/retrievals are described and a theoretical framework is developed for assessing the available evidence for these startling events.

Finally, if you haven't yet had a chance to peruse the Exopolitics Journal, the inaugural edition is still available in the archives section of the website.

In peace,

Michael Salla

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 18](#)

## A Peck Of Nanotech

From: **Bob Soetebier** <xxxx.xxx>  
Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 20:45:19 -0600  
Fwd Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 06:31:55 -0500  
Subject: A Peck Of Nanotech

Because of the obviously advanced technology involved - exhibited via "impossible" high-speed maneuvers and other high-tech attributes - some have speculated that Alien intelligence certainly would have long ago mastered advances in atomic-level miniaturization. In other words: Nanotechnology.

My interest in nanotechnology began around 1990. That is when I first read about fullerene and 'Bucky Balls' (named to honor former St. Louisan Buckminster Fuller, the inventor of the geodesic dome), along with the development of carbon nanotubules.

Many consider nanotechnology "science fiction" - if they have heard or read about it all. Nanotech holds great promise and is already being implemented in various fields, including electronics and medicine, among others.

(For some examples of current nanotech in use in everyday products, you can read a Tues., Jan. 3, 2006, St. Louis Post-Dispatch 'Op-Ed' piece, by Julia A. Moore and Daniel Ray - entitled, SCIENCE: Super-tiny 'machines' are already at work - at the following URL.):

<http://tinyurl.com/8oyx2>

At the same time, the potential threat posed by unrestrained nanotech 'in the wild' is a very real possibility! For those who might discount some of the hazard warnings, please consider the following from a September 24, 2002 PC Magazine article entitled Nanotech Hazards?, by Sebastian Rupley:

... The National Science Foundation predicts that within ten years the entire semiconductor industry will rely on nanotechnology and nanomaterials. But according to some new reports, there may be hazards in toying with Mother Nature's building blocks.

At a meeting held by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) this past spring, researchers reported that nanoparticles (bits of material engineered at nanoscale) have already appeared in the livers of research animals and may eventually piggyback on bacteria and enter the food chain. That report was followed by a warning from the ETC Group, an organization focused on technology's risk to the environment, criticizing the fact that there is no regulatory body tracking nanomaterials.

While keeping that above reference to "bacteria" in mind, consider the following predictive info that was contained in an April 2000 "Wired" magazine article entitled "Why The Future Doesn't Need Us" by Bill Joy. Regarding nanotech developments, Bill Joy quotes Eric Drexler (author of the book, Engines of Creation) thusly:

As Drexler explained:

"Plants" with 'leaves' no more efficient than today's solar cells could out-compete real plants, crowding the biosphere with an inedible foliage. Tough omnivorous 'bacteria' could out-

compete real bacteria: They could spread like blowing pollen, replicate swiftly, and reduce the biosphere to dust in a matter of days. Dangerous replicators could easily be too tough, small, and rapidly spreading to stop - at least if we make no preparation. We have trouble enough controlling viruses and fruit flies.

Among the cognoscenti of nanotechnology, this threat has become known as the 'gray goo problem'. Though masses of uncontrolled replicators need not be gray or gooey, the term 'gray goo' emphasizes that replicators able to obliterate life might be less inspiring than a single species of crabgrass. They might be superior in an evolutionary sense, but this need not make them valuable.

The gray goo threat makes one thing perfectly clear: We cannot afford certain kinds of accidents with replicating assemblers.

Gray goo would surely be a depressing ending to our human adventure on Earth, far worse than mere fire or ice, and one that could stem from a simple laboratory accident.

Considering recent incidents of the escaping into the wild of unintentionally - but, not necessarily unpredictable - 'bio-engineered' herbicide-resistant weeds, no one can any longer doubt the threat of such man-made negligence. Everyone should be concerned of the gravely serious hazard posed by 'in-the-wild nanoparticles'!

Bob Soetebier

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 18](#)

## The 1966 Westall UFO Incident

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 06:37:09 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 06:37:09 -0500  
Subject: The 1966 Westall UFO Incident

Source: 774 ABC Melbourne - Australia

<http://www.abc.net.au/melbourne/stories/s1549038.htm>

Tuesday, 17 January 2006

The 1966 Westall UFO Incident  
Reporter: Florenz Ronn

Say the name Roswell and 774's Breakfast presenter Red Symons immediately thinks of metal objects shooting through the sky landing in the desert, and little green men coming out of them. But when you say the name Clayton South, you probably think pork buns, unless you were around in 1966 and were one of 200 people who witnessed the Westall UFO incident.

Shane Ryan is a researcher looking for eyewitnesses. "It happened on the Wednesday before Easter, April 6, 1966, about 11 0'clock in the morning. Around about 200 people, most of them students at what was then called Westall High School, were out for morning recess", says Shane. "Many saw the strange object descend behind pine trees in The Grange Reserve, and then later ascend and fly away at great speed. The people who ran over to the reserve found a huge ring in the paddock where the object had been seen. Some people reported five light aircraft following or chasing the object.

"It's an interesting case because there was this trace left behind which not only those kids saw, but a whole number of people who came afterwards, after school and adults and so forth came down to have a look at it as well."

A crop circle perhaps? "Some people call it that," continues Shane, "I'm not sure that it was, but it was certainly a ring left behind in the grass. And the other fascinating aspect of the story is that some people talk about the area being cordoned off by people in uniform."

Several witnesses reported being spoken to by the RAAF or military, including the school's science teacher, who was apparently threatened by two RAAF officers under the Official Secrets Act, if he spoke in public about what he had seen. The high school authorities dealt very harshly with both students and staff in the wake of this event, and forbade any discussion about it.

Shane has now established an email discussion group to encourage people to come forward and tell their stories about that day. About 45 people have made contact thus far. A lot of former Westall school students and two or three of the teachers. This group is dedicated to those people who were involved in, or have an interest in the incident at Westall High School, in the Melbourne suburb of Clayton South. He is especially keen to hear from people from "the other side", that is from the side of the authorities who may have been involved that day, such as RAAF, police or army.



April 6, 2006, is the 40th anniversary of this fascinating story and, to mark the occasion, Shane is hoping to gather together interested persons and witnesses to the event. "Many of the people involved in this incident are, of course, still alive and some still live in the area. They haven't been able to talk about it because no-one is interested." Interested people can contact Shane through the website link below.

Shane Ryan's website:

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Westallhighschoolufo/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 18](#)

## Re: USOs On US History Channel - Russo

From: **Edoardo Russo** <[e.russo.nul](mailto:e.russo.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 09:13:42 +0100  
Fwd Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 06:40:17 -0500  
Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel - Russo

>From: Carl Feindt <[waterufo.nul](mailto:waterufo.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 10:00:03 -0500  
>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>I was shocked to find out that 'Atlantis' was included in this  
>show. Does anyone know where Plato mentions "UFOs" in the  
>Atlantis tale?

Ciao Carl

A long time ago, I read those Plato's dialogues (mentioning Atlantis) in the original Greek version.

You won't be surprised to learn that nothing like UFOs is ever remotely hinted at, in those texts.

Clear evidence of the global, eternal cover-up, as you may guess! ; -)

Best regards

Edoardo Russo  
Centro Italiano Studi Ufologici  
CISU, Casella postale 82, 10100 Torino  
tel 011.30.78.63 - fax 011.54.50.33  
<http://www.cisu.org>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 18](#)

## Re: UFO Studies Completed Final 8 States -

From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 23:53:50 -0800  
Fwd Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 06:42:27 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFO Studies Completed Final 8 States -

>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>,  
>Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 18:32:59 -0800  
>Subject: UFO Studies Completed Final 8 States

>To those interested:

>This is the final installment of my special USA State Studies  
>series. Three more web pages, with a total of 8 states. As  
>always, these include a close-up sightings map, statistical  
>displays and other info:

>Louisiana, Mississippi and Arkansas:

><http://www.larryhatch.net/LAMSAR.html>

>North Dakota and South Dakota:

><http://www.larryhatch.net/DAKOTA.html>

>Maine, New Hampshire and Vermont:

><http://www.larryhatch.net/MENHVT.html>

>To tie this together, I put up a menu for all states in the  
>series:

><http://www.larryhatch.net/STATESMENU.html>

>I couldn't reach anyone in some states, so please feel free to  
>copy or forward this message.

>Comment and criticism are welcomed as always.

Aloha Larry,

Hawaii is not on your list of States. Since I moved here from California have you forgotten me? I know the history of sightings here. Do you? Most of it is even on the MUFON website.

Larry, just today I visited the rock where they used to behead captured warrior chiefs. When you come and visit me we can hike there. If you keep overlooking Hawaii you may not come back from that rock. Do not let the curse of Lono remain on your head.

Josh Goldstein

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## **UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 18](#)

## Shiamak Davar's Encounter With The UFO

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 06:47:34 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 06:47:34 -0500  
Subject: Shiamak Davar's Encounter With The UFO

Source: Sify.Com - Chennai, India

<http://sify.com/movies/bollywood/fullstory.php?id=14122334>

Wednesday, 18 January 2006

Shiamak Davar's Encounter With The UFO  
By IndiaFM

One of the biggest mysteries unknown to human beings is the existence of aliens. Some say that the extra terrestrial life forms exist and also are keeping close watch on us. They regularly visit our planet and hence some people report spotting UFOs.

The famous choreographer-singer Shiamak Davar is one such person. Shiamak spotted a UFO back in his school days. He says that he saw an object with different colors in sky. Shiamak says to have seen that particular body in the sky for nearly a week between 9 pm to 10 pm. The tragic part of such experience is that when you try to share it with others, your excitement is shattered completely when people disbelieve it. Shiamak went through a similar experience. When he went to tell his friends about this, they thought he was just exaggerating. Shiamak then went to the observatory along with his father and spoke to the chief there. But to his horror, even the chief dismissed the incident calling it to be a part of his imagination.

Shiamak was finally relieved when his experience appeared on the front page of The Times of India the very next day. Apparently, many other people in Mumbai had spotted that UFO then.

Shiamak says that this one experience helped strengthen his belief in God and the spirit world. He says that it is vain for humans to believe that we are the only life forms because there are definitely some others out there who are keeping an eye on us.

If no one else, Rakesh Roshan will surely agree with you.....

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 18](#)

## Video Records Puzzling Object In Warrnambool Sky

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 07:04:19 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 07:04:19 -0500  
Subject: Video Records Puzzling Object In Warrnambool Sky

Source: The Warrnambool Standard - Victoria, Australia

<http://the.standard.net.au/articles/2006/01/17/1137260031087.html>

January 17, 2006

Video Records Puzzling Object In Warrnambool Sky

A Warrnambool man is searching for answers after filming an unidentified flying object on Saturday.

Robert Johnson said he was at his Botanic Road home when one of the family pets started acting strangely.

"The cockatoo was going off its head," he said.

"Then my daughter spotted it (and) she said 'there's something freaky in the air'." Mr Johnson grabbed his video camera and shot about 20 minutes of footage as the object moved in a steady northerly direction.

While the footage is unfocused at times, it shows a strange shape in the sky over north Warrnambool.

"I can't make sense of it," he said.

"I've never seen anything like it in my life.

"I rang a few friends and they thought I was going loony. "I just wanna know what it is whether the air force can identify it."

Mr Johnson said he believed he and his family were the only ones to see the UFO. He said the object was moving slowly and very large, prompting him to think at first it might be a hot-air balloon.

Mr Johnson said it was not his first UFO encounter a fast-moving light stopped over his car before flying off one night on a drive home from Portland.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 18](#)

## E.T. Contact Would Transform Society

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 07:23:52 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 07:23:52 -0500  
Subject: E.T. Contact Would Transform Society

Source: Oh My News International - Korea

<http://tinyurl.com/7a2j5>

18 January 2006

'E.T. Contact Would Transform Society'

[Interview] Professor Paul Davies from the  
Australian Center for Astrobiology, Sydney

For centuries scientists, novelists and ordinary people have  
imagined what would happen if the human race had contact with an  
extra-terrestrial civilization.

In an article written for Wired Magazine in December 2004,  
SETI's (Search for Extra-Terrestrial Intelligence) Dr. Frank  
Drake estimated, using his famous equation, that there are more  
than 10,000 civilizations able to communicate just in our galaxy  
alone - the Milky Way.

But are we prepared for such an encounter? What kind of response  
would we give the aliens? A group of scientists and science  
communicators has been brainstorming these issues.

OhmyNews interviewed the English scientist Paul Davies, who  
chairs the SETI Post-Detection Science and Technology task  
group, by email last week.

---

Professor Davies, please introduce yourself to OMNI readers.  
What are you working on at the moment?

I am a theoretical physicist and cosmologist by profession, but  
these days I also work in astrobiology, and I hold the position  
of Professor of Natural Philosophy in the Australian Center for  
Astrobiology at Macquarie University in Sydney. My research  
interests range from the origin of the universe to the origin of  
life. I have just finished writing my 26th book. It is about the  
"multiverse".

What is astrobiology?

Astrobiology is the attempt to understand life in an  
astronomical setting. It concerns the origin of life, its early  
evolution on Earth, and the search for life beyond Earth. At the  
moment astrobiologists are excited about the possibility of  
microbial life on Mars.

How is it connected to radio astronomy?

Radio astronomers are crucial to astrobiology, because they can  
detect organic molecules - the building blocks of life - in  
space.

But a small group of radio astronomers has also played a role in SETI by scanning the skies with radio telescopes in the hope of detecting a radio message from an alien civilization.

That is much more of a "long shot" but potentially far more significant than finding a microbe on Mars.

Philosophers and former politicians have joined a group of scientists whose job it is to work out how to respond to signals coming from an extra-terrestrial intelligence, the "SETI Post-Detection Science and Technology Task group." How are you involved with this team?

I recently assumed the chair of the SETI Post-Detection Committee. It is an international group of scientists and science communicators whose job is to think about what we would do next if a signal was received from an alien source. The committee reports to the International Academy of Astronautics.

Who funds the task group? Is it intended as a permanent group or has it been formed only for this particular purpose?

The committee has no permanent funding base at this time. Members communicate electronically, and meet at various astrobiology conferences that are funded from conventional sources (e.g. NASA, ESA, research councils).

What are the types of responses that are being considered by the group?

Our main lines of inquiry are the following:

1. Verification. In event of a claim from a radio astronomer that a signal has been detected, what is the fastest and most secure way to verify the claim? False alarms and hoaxes are very common.

2. To distinguish various categories of signals. How do we determine that a signal is artificial? What are the signatures that would give us confidence that we were not dealing with a natural phenomenon? Is the signal a beamed message intended for humanity, or did we simply stumble on something by accident?

3. Signal discrimination. If the signal is established as genuine, how should it be interpreted? Is it in code? What principles should be employed to decode it?

4. Announcement and response. What is the best way to make the discovery known to the public? Should humanity reply? If so, what sort of reply might it be, and who would decide on the content?

5. Dangers and opportunities. To assess the impact on humanity of various sorts of signals, and examine the possible dangers and opportunities that knowing we are not alone in the universe might bring.

6. Guidelines. The committee will establish general guidelines for radio astronomers and others to keep in mind in case they detect something unusual.

Professor Davies, what do you think the first contact would be like? When might it come about? What would it alter in our worldviews, our values and lifestyles in your opinion?

Most people expect contact to be in the form of a radio signal, although it could be optical. But the committee is aware of the possibility of exotic forms of contact, for example, ancient "set-and-forget" messages in DNA.

If we are merely made aware that we not alone, but cannot decipher an actual message, the impact on human society would be similar to that of Copernicus or Darwin.

If we had genuine two-way contact with an alien civilization, nothing would be the same again. Our society would be transformed in almost every way.

What do you think the aliens would be like?

Speaking for myself and not for the committee, I doubt that evolved biological intelligence is more than a transitory phase.

Therefore, I expect that we would be dealing with artificially intelligent systems (organic, inorganic or hybrid).

Simple statistics shows that "they" would be far advanced technologically: the probability that two planets in one galaxy would evolve intelligence at the same time after billions of years of evolution is extremely small.

I would not expect them to be hostile, or they would already have taken over Earth.

Why has a decision to form such a group been made only recently, whereas radio astronomy and the search for E.T. Intelligence date back to the late 1950's ?

This committee has been in existence for some years, but so far it has not been very active. I intend to change that.

So there were no rules in place in advance of a possible contact?

I am not aware of any general guidelines or rules on this subject.

Has this group perhaps been created because the human race is perceived as being close to the discovery of other intelligences in the universe?

It is true that the technology of detection has improved enormously, but we still have no idea whether there is ANY life beyond Earth, let alone intelligent life.

So SETI remains a highly speculative exercise. But it is worth doing because the consequences of success would be so momentous.

To alien radio astronomers Earth would appear brighter than the Sun, due to the large quantity of electromagnetic waves generated by our civilization. Why haven't they already sent us a signal? Or why haven't we been able to receive it?

They could be signaling us right now, and we would know about it only if we happen to point a radio telescope exactly in their direction.

In the movie "Contact" with Jodie Foster, the problem of how to respond to an E.T. contact was faced by also including the representatives of various religions, due to obvious moral implications. Do you think that this is a matter in which "reason and faith" could be finally work together? Is the response to an alien intelligence a "scientific business" only?

In the initial stages, "the signal" would be a purely scientific matter. If it was established to be a genuine message then we would need to broaden the discussion.

I expect to include elder statesmen, philosophers and religious thinkers (not leaders) in a broader discussion.

Professor Davies, some Hollywood movies predict a springing up of religious movements, apocalyptic scenarios and a permanent global hysteria as a result of the discovery of another civilization -- or a response to our signals. They also use this worst-case scenario to justify keeping a contact secret from the general public. Do you think the general public could handle such news?

It depends on the nature of the contact. If we can merely say that we have evidence that another civilization exists, but we do not have an actual message or signal intended for us, I think we could announce it openly in the same way as any other major astronomical discovery.

If there is a signal with a decipherable content, we would need to be much more cautious until we understood the content. At this stage the committee does not have an agreed position about secrecy apart from the need to encourage proper scientific evaluation before making any formal announcement.

SETI's astronomer Seth Shostak once said that since we've been sending TV signals into space for decades now, a sort of response to alien intelligence listening to us has already been sent. What is the usefulness of a specific task group about managing a post-detection response then?

Even SETI optimists do not believe there is an alien civilization within 100 light years, which is more than the distance that our first TV signals have penetrated. I think it is inconceivable that aliens would send radio messages our way until they had detected our first signals. So they would detect us before we detect them. Hence, our early signals would not be interpreted as a response to their message.

The task group is responsible not merely for the reply, but for assessing the impact on society. So it still has a useful function even if it cannot be sure of managing the response.

Do you have any concluding comments?

The chances of SETI succeeding I personally regard as tiny. But the usefulness of the exercise is that it forces us to address deep questions such as, What is Life? What is Intelligence? What is the destiny of mankind? Are the laws of the universe intrinsically bio-friendly? Are we alone, and if so, how will that change our attitude to humanity and the Earth?

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 18](#)

## Covers Come Off UK Spy Plane

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 07:29:00 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 07:29:00 -0500  
Subject: Covers Come Off UK Spy Plane

Source: BBC News - London, UK

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/sci/tech/4617588.stm>

Monday, 16 January 2006

[Images]

Covers Come Off UK Spy Plane  
By Paul Rincon  
BBC News science reporter

Images of the UK's first prototype stealth surveillance aircraft have been unveiled.

The unmanned vehicle, which has been built by BAE Systems, is known as the Corax, or as the Raven.

The Corax bears some resemblance to a cancelled US military spy plane called DarkStar, analysts have said.

Jane's International Defence Review said the unmanned aircraft "indicated a new direction in combat vehicles for the UK's armed forces".

Bill Sweetman, the magazine's aerospace and technology editor, said Corax could represent one member of a family of stealthy aircraft based around a similar central body but using different outer wings optimised for different missions.

"If you look at that Corax shape, it's very reminiscent of something that's designed to fly fairly high, fairly slow and have quite a long endurance. It looks rather typical for a surveillance aircraft," he told the BBC News website.

"But if you take those long outer wings off and put on shorter swept wings, you have a somewhat faster aircraft that would be more of a penetrating strike platform."

Future plans

The US and British armed forces believe these pilotless planes will play an increasingly vital role on 21st Century battlefields.

The UK has reportedly scrapped plans for a future manned combat aircraft and is working closely with the US on "Project Churchill".

This is an effort focused on combining ideas about the command and control of unmanned combat air vehicles (UCAVs) from 2015 onwards.

The Corax prototype has been built to investigate the stability, control and performance of the design, which is said to maximise all-round stealth.

Mr Sweetman said the aircraft appears to be a small-scale demonstrator powered by a commercially available model aircraft jet engine and with a 5-6m (16-19ft) wingspan.

Stealth technology refers to a variety of techniques used to render aircraft, ships and missiles less visible - and ideally invisible - to radar.

The prototype Corax was first flown in 2004 after a 10-month development programme.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 18](#)

## Randi Runs Away

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 07:37:07 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 07:37:07 -0500  
Subject: Randi Runs Away

Source: The Remote Viewer

<http://tinyurl.com/anx28>

Monday, January 16, 2006

Randi Runs Away

Posted by: Skepsis\_Grrr...

### EXPLORATION

"Randi is a non-scientist who has announced that - by some undisclosed but non-scientific means - he knows that such anomalous claims are farcical and 'absurd', and should be 'tossed on the trash heap.'

The real facts are that Randi is doing exactly what he has accused some scientists of: he has conducted no properly designed experiments, has published no empirical results (reproducible or otherwise) and has not submitted himself to any peer-review process. Yet he expects us to accept his conclusions as having some scientific significance and meriting attention.

Randi says, "There seems to be a certain quality of the human mind that requires the owner to get silly from time to time. Sometimes the condition becomes permanent, a part of the victim's personality."

Here, at least, are words that no-one can disagree with.

James Randi's "\$1 million challenge"

Most people have heard of the challenge by James Randi offering \$1 million to anyone who can demonstrate psychic powers.

On the face of it, Randi's challenge must be a good thing mustn't it? There's a million dollars just sitting there waiting to be picked up, and all anyone has to do to win it is perform under controlled conditions the kind of claim we read about every day in the newspapers - spoon bending, mind-reading, remote viewing.

So doesn't the mere fact that no-one has won Randi's challenge prove that such things are impossible? As usual in the murky world of "skepticism", things are not exactly what they appear to be.

Randi's \$1M challenge was unveiled on 1st April 1996. You can read its terms in full at the website of the James Randi Educational Foundation (JREF) the organisation administering the challenge.\*

A quick glance through the provisions seems to show an eminently reasonable and fair challenge. But now go back and look again a

little more carefully, this time with the kind of critical eye that Randi brings to exposing cheats and frauds. What you find are some ambiguities that are likely to make any serious claimant uneasy to say the least.

The first such ambiguity is contained in the preamble where it says, "Since claims vary greatly in character and scope, specific rules must be formulated for each applicant."

This means, quite reasonably, that the rules for any particular attempt cannot be finalised until a claimant steps forward and announces what he or she is going to do - bend spoons, read minds or walk on fire. But it also means that Randi will formulate the rules for each individual attempt at his challenge on an ad hoc basis. And, of course, the claimant has to agree to these ad hoc rules. If he or she does not agree, the contest will not take place at all.

The second ambiguity is in Clause 4, which says that "Tests will be designed in such a way that no "judging" procedure is required. Results will be self-evident to any observer, in accordance with the rules which will be agreed upon by all parties in advance of any formal testing procedure taking place."

This means, quite reasonably, that there will be no interminable arguments by 'experts' over statistical measurements. Either the spoon bends or it doesn't: either the claimant reads minds or he doesn't. The written rules, agreed up front, will decide.

But it also means that there will be no objective, independent judging or adjudication, by scientific criteria, carried out by qualified professional scientists. Randi alone will say whether the terms of the challenge have been met - whether the metal was bent psychically, or the electronic instrument deflected by mental power, or the remote image was correctly reproduced. In the event that the claimant insists the written terms have been met, but Randi disagrees, then it will be Randi's decision that prevails.

Not only will Randi be the sole judge of whether the claimant is successful, but even if a claimant appeals on scientific grounds that he has met the agreed terms of the challenge, Randi will be the sole arbiter of any appeal as well. Randi says there will be "no judging". In reality, he is both judge and jury - not only of the claimant's cause but of his own cause as well.

With these two major ambiguities in the rules it would not be surprising if Randi never found a serious claimant to accept his challenge. Any potential claimant who reads the rules carefully will be concerned about two things.

First that the terms enable Randi to draw up specific rules that are unwinnable - and hence that no claimant would agree to - and then enable him to claim that "no-one has won the prize".

Second there is Randi's own objectivity. His position can be understood from his own writings such as this.

"The scientific community, too, must bear the blame. When a Mississippi inventor obtained the signatures of some thirty Ph.D.'s (most of them physicists) on a document attesting that he had discovered a genuine "free-energy" machine (essentially a perpetual motion device), and when the U.S. Patent office issued a patent in 1979 to another inventor of a "permanent magnet motor" that required no power input, there was little reaction from the scientific community. The "cold fusion" farce should have been tossed onto the trash heap long ago, but justifiable fear of legal actions by offended supporters has stifled opponents." [Click here for the real scientific facts].

"These absurd claims, along with the claims of the dowisers, the homeopaths, the colored-light quacks and the psychic spoon-benders, can be directly, definitively, and economically tested and then disposed of if they fail the tests."

It doesn't seem to have occurred to Randi that the thirty Ph.D.'s who attested to the new machine might know a little more about physics than he does.

Given uninformed and prejudiced views such as these, the concern



will be that Randi, as sole judge of success, will never accept that paranormal phenomena have been demonstrated because his position is that he knows on a priori grounds that the paranormal is impossible and hence whatever the claimant has demonstrated must be merely an unexplained trick of some kind.

I put these ambiguities in the rules to James Randi. He dismissed them, saying only that I should "read the rules", and suggesting that I am a "nitpicker" and "pedant".

Randi is a non-scientist who has announced that - by some undisclosed but non-scientific means - he knows that such anomalous claims are farcical and 'absurd', and should be 'tossed on the trash heap.'

The real facts are that Randi is doing exactly what he has accused some scientists of: he has conducted no properly designed experiments, has published no empirical results (reproducible or otherwise) and has not submitted himself to any peer-review process. Yet he expects us to accept his conclusions as having some scientific significance and meriting attention.

Randi says, "There seems to be a certain quality of the human mind that requires the owner to get silly from time to time. Sometimes the condition becomes permanent, a part of the victim's personality."

Here, at least, are words that no-one can disagree with.

Find out what happened when a serious challenger applied to take Randi's "challenge":

Randi runs away

In June 1999, a Mr Rico Kolodzey of Germany wrote to James Randi and challenged for the reputed \$1 million prize. Mr Kolodzey is one of several thousand people who believe and claim that they can live on water alone, absorbing 'prana' or life energy from space around them.

Now this claim is, to say the least, extraordinary. It is perhaps even more extraordinary that an individual should offer to prove this claim by submitting himself to a controlled test.

The claim is one that most people would treat with great skepticism, and might well run a mile from. But James Randi is not most people - he is the person who has publicly claimed that he has \$1 million on offer to all comers who challenge him and are willing to submit to rigorous testing, as Mr Kolodzey has offered to do.

It should not be very difficult to arrange a test of Mr Kolodzey's claim. All that is needed is to lock him in a police cell, under CCTV observation, with only water to drink. If he experiences significant measurable weight loss, or asks for food, then his claim is false. If, on the other hand, he does somehow survive on water alone, then Randi is wrong, conventional science is wrong, and Mr Kolodzey has won \$1 million.

It ought therefore to have been a very simple matter for Randi to offer to lock Mr Kolodzey up for a week or two. But that is not what Randi did. Instead he ignored Mr Kolodzey entirely. When Mr Kolodzey wrote again to Randi asking about his challenge, he received the following email from Randi (later confirmed with a hard copy):-

Date: 6/18/99 12:03 PM

Mr. Kolodzey:

Don't treat us like children. We only respond to responsible claims.

Are you actually claiming that you have not consumed any food products except water, since the end of 1998? If this is what you are saying, did you think for one moment that we would believe it?

If this is actually your claim, you're a liar and a fraud. We are not interested in pursuing this further, nor will we

exchange correspondence with you on the matter.

Signed, James Randi.

(A hard-copy of this letter will be sent by post to you, today.)

James Randi Educational Foundation  
201 S.E. 12th Street (Davie Blvd.)  
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316-1815

So, now we know exactly how much confidence can be placed in James Randi's "challenge" and exactly how Randi behaves when confronted by a real challenger, willing to submit to rigorous scientific testing of his claims;

Randi runs away.

See: Randi's original letter:

<http://www.alternativescience.com/james-randi-letter.jpg>

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 18](#)

## Re: UFO Studies Completed Final 8 States - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 04:14:05 -0800  
Fwd Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 07:43:01 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFO Studies Completed Final 8 States - Hatch

>From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 23:53:50 -0800  
>Subject: Re: UFO Studies Completed Final 8 States

>>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>,  
>>Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 18:32:59 -0800  
>>Subject: UFO Studies Completed Final 8 States

>>Louisiana, Mississippi and Arkansas:  
>><http://www.larryhatch.net/LAMSAR.html>

>>North Dakota and South Dakota:  
>><http://www.larryhatch.net/DAKOTA.html>

>>Maine, New Hampshire and Vermont:  
>><http://www.larryhatch.net/MENHVT.html>

>>I put up a menu for all states in the series:  
>><http://www.larryhatch.net/STATESMENU.html>

<snip>

>Aloha Larry,

>Hawaii is not on your list of States. Since I moved here from  
>California have you forgotten me? I know the history of  
>sightings here. Do you? Most of it is even on the MUFON website.

>Larry, just today I visited the rock where they used to behead  
>captured warrior chiefs. When you come and visit me we can hike  
>there. If you keep overlooking Hawaii you may not come back from  
>that rock. Do not let the curse of Lono remain on your head.

>Josh Goldstein

Hi Josh:

Hawaii just wasn't on my list, mainly the contiguous 48 states.  
Even Alaska is paired off with Yukon Territory for a better map  
and statistics.

I can't get up good statistics displays with small numbers and  
even the map looks disappointing.

If anyone asks, I will recommend them to MUFON and/or Lono or  
whatever.

Best

- Larry

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 18](#)

## Re: USOs On US History Channel - Hatch

From: **Larry Hatch** <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 04:21:21 -0800  
Fwd Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 07:44:49 -0500  
Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel - Hatch

>From: Edoardo Russo <[e.russo.nul](mailto:e.russo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul),  
>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 09:13:42 +0100  
>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>>From: Carl Feindt <[waterufo.nul](mailto:waterufo.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 10:00:03 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>>I was shocked to find out that 'Atlantis' was included in this  
>>show. Does anyone know where Plato mentions "UFOs" in the  
>>Atlantis tale?

>Ciao Carl

>A long time ago, I read those Plato's dialogues (mentioning  
>Atlantis) in the original Greek version.

>You won't be surprised to learn that nothing like UFOs is ever  
>remotely hinted at, in those texts.

>Clear evidence of the global, eternal cover-up, as you may  
>guess! ;-)

Hello Carl, Edoardo:

Whenever I hear an odd pair like UFOS + Atlantis, I expect to  
hear about ESP, astrology, ghost stories, the Bermuda Triangle,  
numerology, ley lines etc. in short order.

I don't hold high hopes for a show that will throw UFOs in with  
Atlantis.

- Larry

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 18](#)

## Re: USOs On US History Channel - Freeman

From: Kelly Freeman <[Khfflsciifo.nul](mailto:Khfflsciifo.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 08:00:19 EST  
Fwd Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 09:43:33 -0500  
Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel - Freeman

>From: Edoardo Russo <[e.russo.nul](mailto:e.russo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul),  
>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 09:13:42 +0100  
>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>>From: Carl Feindt <[waterufo.nul](mailto:waterufo.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 10:00:03 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: USOs On History Channel

<snip>

>You won't be surprised to learn that nothing like UFOs is  
>ever remotely hinted at, in those texts.

>>I was shocked to find out that 'Atlantis' was included in this  
>>show. Does anyone know where Plato mentions "UFOs" in the  
>>Atlantis tale?

Hello Edoardo and Carl,

Perhaps it wasn't whether or not Plato ever mentioned anything  
about UFOs, but just a matter of whether or not whoever was  
researching the subject for the documentary read too many Brad  
Steiger books!

The theories proposed that UFOs originate from long, lost  
civilizations are, as you may know, prevalent among the fringe  
literature being circulated out there.

Haven't seen the show yet, but I await the inevitable re-run.

Kelly

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 18](#)

## Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 08:23:03 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 09:45:07 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Shell

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 14:27:48 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>Lan, I think if you take your digital camera-if you have one-  
>and point it up at the ceiling then drop a grain of sand [or  
>salt] on the lens and shoot a picture you will find a lovely  
>shot of your ceiling and no grain of sand or salt.

>The grain of sand on lens theory doesn't work for me.

You are absolutely right, Don. In normal circumstances an object like dust or sand on the front of the lens will not show up as a sharply defined object in the image. It is way outside the lens's depth of field. Put enough dust or sand on the lens and it will cause an overall softening of the image, that's all.

This appears to be a solid object at a distance. The best suggestion so far is that it is a meteor. But it is definitely something.

To calculate approximate size we would need to know all of the specifications of the camera: lens focal length, image sensor dimensions, effective shutter speed, etc.

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 18](#)

## Re: USOs On US History Channel - Feindt

From: Carl Feindt <[waterufo.nul](mailto:waterufo.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 09:44:34 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 09:53:24 -0500  
Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel - Feindt

>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 04:21:21 -0800  
>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>>From: Edoardo Russo <[e.russo.nul](mailto:e.russo.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul),  
>>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 09:13:42 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>>>From: Carl Feindt <[waterufo.nul](mailto:waterufo.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 10:00:03 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>>>I was shocked to find out that 'Atlantis' was included in this  
>>>show. Does anyone know where Plato mentions "UFOs" in the  
>>>Atlantis tale?

>>A long time ago, I read those Plato's dialogues (mentioning  
>>Atlantis) in the original Greek version.

>>You won't be surprised to learn that nothing like UFOs is ever  
>>remotely hinted at, in those texts.

>>Clear evidence of the global, eternal cover-up, as you may  
>>guess! ;-)

>Whenever I hear an odd pair like UFOS + Atlantis, I expect to  
>hear about ESP, astrology, ghost stories, the Bermuda Triangle,  
>numerology, ley lines etc. in short order.

>I don't hold high hopes for a show that will throw UFOs in with  
>Atlantis.

Ciao Edoardo & Larry,

I agree with both of you. The worst part I had asked him to  
contact Bill Chalker - for the water nest investigation - and  
Marco Bianchini because of the 265 water cases he had sent me,  
of which two were exceptional in the information on the physical  
influence on water. But... Oh well... I'll chalk it up to  
experience.

Best

Carl

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |



[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 18](#)

## Mexico's Yucatan Alien A Hoax

From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 10:33:33 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 14:55:28 -0500  
Subject: Mexico's Yucatan Alien A Hoax

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
January 18, 2006

SOURCE: Milenio.com  
DATE: January 17, 2006

Mexico: Yucatan Aliens Mock Researcher

Alleged evidence presented is a prank conected by minors  
by Daniel Barquet - M=E9rida

They mocked Jaime Maussan in M=E9rida, Yucatan, and alleged aliens  
disclosed it was all a prank.

Last week, the ufologist presented evidence of alien life in  
M=E9rida, which circulated over the Internet and show an alleged  
alien concealed behind a light post.

Authors of the 'jape' disclosed that they did it merely to "to  
mock" Maussan and try to "get some dough" out of him. The prank,  
which "even passed the analysis with special equipment", was  
made with a mask that one of the participants purchased during  
last year's carnival in Yucatan's capital city. The alien  
evidence was broadcast by Televisa during the last episode of  
the Otro Rollo program, hosted by Adal Ramones.

After the end of this program, the prank made with a supermarket  
mask became, for some ufologists 'further proof' that aliens are  
on Earth and that one of them appeared in Fraccionamiento del  
Parque in eastern Merida.

The recording, presented by Maussan as a world exclusive, is of  
poor quality and was made with cell phone. The pranksters claim  
that they made it "in order to make a good amount of money from  
the sale of that material", but are now afraid and undecided  
about disclosing the truth or maintaining the hoax.

"The events are fake. There is no such alien," said Sergio  
Valdez Diaz, member of the Centro de Investigacion de Fenomenos  
Paranormales, a group dedicated to studying such events.

Two re-enactments have already been made in Merida using  
camcorders and cell phones similar to the one employed in making  
the recording, yielding elements that dismiss [its credibility].

"We engaged in this event shortly after the events, before  
Maussan came to listen to their story. It's not true that alien  
vanished behind the lightpost, since it is large enough for a  
young man to conceal himself. The alleged entity's long arm,  
which touches the young man, is a visual effect. It was said  
that contact took place when the boy went to fetch the ball, but  
the ball was on the left and the post was on the right," stated  
Valdez Diaz, who met with the authors of the prank, all minors.

Accordimg to Valdez Diaz, "all of the youths involved were aware  
of the deception but decided to maintain it, partly because they  
hoped to obtain money through their hoax."

"Now this teenager is frightened by the scope of the events and

by the visits from people wanting to interview him. He no longer wants to speak with anyone. His companions did not tell the truth because, as they said, they hoped that Maussan would pay them a good amount of money for the recording and could "have a good laugh" at his expense," he concluded.

For more informaton: Milenio (Mexico DF):

<http://www.milenio.com/>

-----

Translation (c) 2006 Scott Corrales - Institute of Hispanic  
Ufology (IHU)  
Special thanks to Christian Quintero, Planeta UFO

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 18](#)

## Was It 'UFO' Above Our Town?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 15:02:25 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 15:02:25 -0500  
Subject: Was It 'UFO' Above Our Town?

Source: The Crewe Chronicle - Cheshire, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/9gg2c>

Jan 18 2006

Was It 'UFO' Above Our Town?

By Ben Jervis  
Crewe Chronicle

UFO experts are investigating the sighting of a strange object in the clouds above South Cheshire.

Concerned eye-witnesses reported seeing a weird flashing light in the skies above Crewe.

The incident comes as Ministry of Defence figures show that there as been at least three significant unexplained reports of UFOs in Cheshire in the past three years.

Mike Soper, of Oxford-based Contact International UFO Research, has been investigating the latest incident.

He said: 'There was a sighting of a yellow flashing light which seemed to be hovering over North Crewe.

'It was observed for a few minutes before it went out of view. The interesting thing is that it was a yellow light, which was flashing at a much faster frequency than the automated collision lights which are normally seen flashing on planes.

'I have checked with Manchester Airport and Scottish Aviation which are both in line with Crewe and there was nothing in the sky over the town at that time.

'The lights on planes are either white, red, or green. They are required to be those colours, they are never yellow.

The incident, which took place at 5am on the morning of Saturday, January 7, followed the sighting of another bright light in the Stafford-shire town of Stone.

Mr Soper added: 'The second sighting at Stone was much later in the day at around 6.20pm.

'A remarkable light was seen over the town until about 7.40pm. It seemed to be circling around overhead, and was like a hazy blur. We thought it could have been a laser show at Alton Towers.

Have you seen a UFO in the skies of South Cheshire? If so, please let us know.

You can phone Ben Jervis on the Chronicle newsdesk on 01270 50233, write to us at The Chronicle, 32-34 Victoria Street,

Was It 'UFO' Above Our Town?

Crewe CW1 2JE, fax us on 01270 502439, or e-mail us at:  
[crewe.news.nul](mailto:crewe.news.nul)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 18](#)

## Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 12:30:59 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 15:05:02 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Ledger

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 08:23:03 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 14:27:48 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>>Lan, I think if you take your digital camera-if you have one-  
>>and point it up at the ceiling then drop a grain of sand [or  
>>salt] on the lens and shoot a picture you will find a lovely  
>>shot of your ceiling and no grain of sand or salt.

>>The grain of sand on lens theory doesn't work for me.

>You are absolutely right, Don. In normal circumstances an  
>object like dust or sand on the front of the lens will not show  
>up as a sharply defined object in the image. It is way outside  
>the lens's depth of field. Put enough dust or sand on the lens  
>and it will cause an overall softening of the image, that's all.

>This appears to be a solid object at a distance. The best  
>suggestion so far is that it is a meteor. But it is definitely  
>suggestion so far is that it is a meteor. But it is definitely  
>something.

>To calculate approximate size we would need to know all of the  
>specifications of the camera: lens focal length, image sensor  
>dimensions, effective shutter speed, etc.

Hi Bob,

Before it pixelizes upon blow-up the object appears to be three  
spheres attached together with the front sphere being the  
closest to being in focus but still soft or blurry. It causes me  
to wonder if this wasn't just a single sphere with the camera's  
shutter speed trapping the same image in a sort of smear due to  
the object's speed.

Even in Mars's thin CO2 atmosphere there should be some  
indication of heat and a trail.

Where have we seen these blurred effects before. Usually though  
it's a "bug".?

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 18](#)

## Re: USOs On US History Channel - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 10:22:19 -0800  
Fwd Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 15:06:43 -0500  
Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel - Gehrman

>From: Kelly Freeman <[Khfflsciufu.nul](mailto:Khfflsciufu.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 08:00:19 EST  
>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>The theories proposed that UFOs originate from long, lost  
>civilizations are, as you may know, prevalent among the fringe  
>literature being circulated out there.

Hi Kelly,

Isn't the study of UFOs considered a "fringe" activity? So you're really suggesting that studying ancient civilizations and their connection to UFO is a "fringe" of a "fringe", but prevalent among circulated literature.

While there is a connection made by many researchers between ancient civilizations and UFO, it is seldom stated that UFO originate from places like Atlantis, etc. The common fringe idea is that star pilots influenced and helped these communities to thrive and become civilized. Pleiadians or Zetas gave stone age natives important information and taught them skills such as writing and math. That's why we are the way we are today.

It's only the fringe of the fringe of the fringe who are saying that the UFO are not connected in any way with star ships or star people but originate from very ancient civilizations evolved from animals here on earth

These creatures have been interacting with us in ways we've never understood, in an attempt to modify our behavior. This has been going on for a long time and has nothing to do with starships or light speed, time travel or extra dimensions but is simply an attempt to control the neighborhood.

Perhaps they've discovered by now just how lonely and empty the universe really is and the importance of making the best of what we have; too many nuclear explosions might lower the property values.

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**



Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 18](#)

## Re: USOs On US History Channel - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 14:44:16 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 15:08:29 -0500  
Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel - Friedman

>From: Kelly Freeman <[Khfflsciufu.nul](mailto:Khfflsciufu.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 08:00:19 EST  
>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>>From: Edoardo Russo <[e.russo.nul](mailto:e.russo.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul),  
>>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 09:13:42 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>>>From: Carl Feindt <[waterufo.nul](mailto:waterufo.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 10:00:03 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: USOs On History Channel

><snip>

>>You won't be surprised to learn that nothing like UFOs is  
>>ever remotely hinted at, in those texts.

>>>I was shocked to find out that 'Atlantis' was included in this  
>>>show. Does anyone know where Plato mentions "UFOs" in the  
>>>Atlantis tale?

>Perhaps it wasn't whether or not Plato ever mentioned anything  
>about UFOs, but just a matter of whether or not whoever was  
>researching the subject for the documentary read too many Brad  
>Steiger books!

>The theories proposed that UFOs originate from long, lost  
>civilizations are, as you may know, prevalent among the fringe  
>literature being circulated out there.

>Haven't seen the show yet, but I await the inevitable re-run.

Though I was interviewed for the show, I have no idea what will  
be in it. Maybe ET archeology grad students are exploring the  
remnants of Atlantis, underwater, with their USOs.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 18](#)

## New Discovery Channel Roswell Program

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 15:55:35 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 15:10:01 -0500  
Subject: New Discovery Channel Roswell Program

I am looking forward to a Discovery Channel TV Documentary,  
Proof: Roswell, to be broadcast Saturday, February 17. I was  
interviewed at some length at NBC in New York for it.

As usual I have no idea how it will turn out or who else will be  
in it. They did seem interested in documents. It is a one hour  
show. Broadcast Time not specified yet.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 19](#)

## Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 15:28:01 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 07:49:26 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Shell

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 12:30:59 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>Before it pixelizes upon blow-up the object appears to be three  
>spheres attached together with the front sphere being the  
>closest to being in focus but still soft or blurry. It causes me  
>to wonder if this wasn't just a single sphere with the camera's  
>shutter speed trapping the same image in a sort of smear due to  
>the object's speed.

That's my impression, but impossible to make an informed speculation without information about the camera, particularly what sort of shutter (if any) it uses. If it takes photos by turning the chip on and off, we'd need to know how long it is on, the effective shutter speed.

If anyone knows any specific info about this camera I would sure appreciate the details.

>Even in Mars's thin CO2 atmosphere there should be some  
>indication of heat and a trail.

Agreed, if it was a meteorite. Seems to be going up, not down, as well.

>Where have we seen these blurred effects before. Usually though  
>it's a "bug".?

Those ridiculous "rods" someone was touting a while back. They are nothing but digital sensor artifacts caused by flying insects. This may be an artifact as well, but something had to fly by to generate the artifact, and I don't expect flies, bees or wasps on Mars.

At present all we can say is that it appears to be a solid object at some distance from the lens. My unscientific impression is that it is fairly large. More like large bird size than insect size.

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 19](#)

### Controversy Rages Over Mexico's Yucatan Alien

From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 15:38:00 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 07:55:31 -0500  
Subject: Controversy Rages Over Mexico's Yucatan Alien

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
January 18, 2006

Source: Milenio.com  
Date: 01.18.06

Mexico: Controversy Rages Over Yucatan Alien

Maussan: "There's proof, I wasn't taken"

Jose Alonso Herrera denies that he and his friend asked for money and accuse Carlos Trejo of slandering them.

According to a written instrument sent to Milenio by Jaime Maussan, radioactivity was found at the site where three youths recorded a seemingly non-human being on March 20, 2005 using a cell phone.

The text says that astronomer and physicist Jorge Guerrero stated that the discovery was astounding and inexplicable, since there is no reason to justify the existence of radiation in Fraccionamiento del parque. Measurements taken with specialized equipment detected the presence of radiation not deemed hazardous, but merely as "dark matter".

"I wonder it was me who was hoodwinked or you, dear friends of Milenio."

The text goes on: present at the site where the youths who participated in the video's recording, Jose Alonso Herrera and David Espada.

Maussan points out that great expectations have emerged around the so-called Merida Alien. However, this has allowed some like Jorge Moreno or Sergio Valdez Diaz of Misterios de Merida magazine to slam the researchers. "According to Moreno and Valdez, the video is only a prank by a young man who donned a carnival mask, which they state was admitted by not one buy all of the youth's participating in the event."

Maussan says that Valdez Diaz told Daniel Barquet, a reporter for Milenio, that the youths had mocked Maussan because they wanted to "get some dough" out of him and also because they wanted to pull the wool over his eyes. In stating this fact, notes the journalist, neither Barquet nor Valdez exhibit a single shred of proof or authoritative evidence.

He goes on: Herrera and Espada state that Valdez Diaz's declarations are false, and accuse Moreno of having offered money to minors to admit their part in the prank.

"The video reached me accidentally, after having circulated for months among teenage cell phones. I was never asked for money, and I have never offered it."

Maussan says that the video has been analyzed by experts and that it has been established without a doubt that no animation is involved, although they admit that it could be something else, either a prank or a legitimate video.

In the early hours of March 20, two young men from Yucatan, Jose Alonso Herrera and David Espadas, filmed strange figures using a cell phone, a recording they later disseminated through e-mail. They were then contacted by ufologist Jaime Maussan, who asked them for the film, to which they agreed, as he promised to investigate it. However, a man named Carlos Trejo came to Merida a few days ago with the intention of discrediting Maussan and the video fans.

The story given by Alonso Herrera, 17, is that he and his friends make daily recordings in different places, as would any young men with a cell phone. "We shot several videos that day and nothing had happened," said Herrera, who said over the phone: "Then suddenly this happened." In other words, the controversial images of alleged aliens - as published by reporter Daniel Barquet in Milenio yesterday - hidden behind a street lamp.

Q: Then it's not true that the images are fake, or that you were playing a prank, or that you asked for money?

A: We lack the means, but Carlos Trejo is putting us in a bad light. All of this is due to a problem between Trejo and Maussan.

The story of the alleged aliens also came from a local paper, according to Herrera, the author of the recording, who is uncomfortable with the stories that are circulating. "They only publish accusations and that seems unfair to me," he insists. At no time are they referred to by name, but he and his friend feel attacked, "because they say that we had a mask and we hid behind a street lamp."

Herrera says that at no time did they ask for money in exchange for the images. "The papers said that our sister had a mask and who knows what else. But haven't made any statements. The problem is that the papers here made them up on their own."

Q: How did the video come [into Maussan's possession]?

A: We showed them to people here, and suddenly it was going from one cell phone to the next until a chain was formed. And they say that Maussan came to make his presentation here, I have no idea who told him about the video. He came to see us and we told him about it.

The Merida International Airport control tower received at least 75 UFO reports last year. Jorge Moreno Gonzalez, director of the Centro de Investigacion de Fenomenos Paranormales, said that tower personnel received at least 75 UFO reports over the last 12 months, but most of them were dismissed due to a lack of evidence or by being notoriously fake. Only 8 are still under investigation. Regarding the Fraccionamiento del Parque case, in which a group of teenagers admitted to creating a prank about an alleged alien in order to be able to mock Jaime Maussan and other researchers, Jorge Moreno Gonzalez, one of the best-known ufologists in the Yucatan, stated that he also received the alleged evidence and that his team of researchers looked into the case, but the result was the same as others: "The teenager who used the mask to play a prank on his friend no longer wants to discuss the subject. He's scared, as are his parents."

Newsroom  
Humberto Rios  
Mexico City

Daniel Barquet  
Merida

-----

Translation (c) 2006, Scott Corrales - IHU



[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 19](#)

## Re: USOs On US History Channel - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 16:43:16 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 09:49:50 -0500  
Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel - Ledger

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 14:44:16 -0400  
>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>>From: Kelly Freeman <[Khfflsciufu.nul](mailto:Khfflsciufu.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 08:00:19 EST  
>>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

<snip>

>>Haven't seen the show yet, but I await the inevitable re-run.

>Though I was interviewed for the show, I have no idea what will  
>be in it. Maybe ET archeology grad students are exploring the  
>remnants of Atlantis, underwater, with their USOs.

FWIW, I was interviewed for two hours as was Chris Styles about the Shag Harbour Incident and was informed that this would account for a slot of about ten minutes of the 47 minutes of the program. Whether this holds true will found out next Monday. Since I live in Canada it will likely be many months before the program is aired in the Canadian History Channel.

The History Channel also interviewed me and Chris-with a different production crew from Channel KPI out of New York-for a full documentary on Shag Harbour. There is no date set for this though I suspect sometime in April or May.

As Stan says, there is no control over what is done with the information.

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 19](#)

## Re: E.T. Contact Would Transform Society - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 13:16:27 -0800  
Fwd Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 09:51:42 -0500  
Subject: Re: E.T. Contact Would Transform Society - Rudiak

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>Sent: Wednesday, January 18, 2006 4:23 AM  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: E.T. Contact Would Transform Society

>'E.T. Contact Would Transform Society'

>[Interview] Professor Paul Davies from the  
>Australian Center for Astrobiology, Sydney

<snip>

>What do you think the aliens would be like?

<snip>

>I would not expect them to be hostile, or they would already  
>have taken over Earth.

But only if they could get here.

Davies has expressed extreme skepticism in the past about UFOs, so  
why would he make such a comment? It's logically inconsistent.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 19](#)

## Re: New Discovery Channel Roswell Program - Boone

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 16:33:55 EST  
Fwd Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 09:53:14 -0500  
Subject: Re: New Discovery Channel Roswell Program - Boone

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 15:55:35 -0400  
>Subject: New Discovery Channel Roswell Program

>I am looking forward to a Discovery Channel TV Documentary,  
>Proof: Roswell, to be broadcast Saturday, February 17. I was  
>interviewed at some length at NBC in New York for it.

>As usual I have no idea how it will turn out or who else will be  
>in it. They did seem interested in documents. It is a one hour  
>show. Broadcast Time not specified yet.

>Stan Friedman

The suspense builds! We'll be on the edge of our seats until  
showtime and then some!

There is no way this new program can be any worse than that  
Peter Jennings' special so it's there's only one direction it  
can go and that's up.

Best,  
Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 19](#)

## Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Fleming

From: **Lan Fleming** <[lflaming6.nul](mailto:lflaming6.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 21:44:27 -0600  
Fwd Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 10:02:40 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Fleming

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 14:27:48 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>>From: Lan Fleming <[lflaming6.nul](mailto:lflaming6.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 16:04:18 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>>>From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
>>>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sun, 15 Jan 2006 21:04:31 -0600  
>>>Subject: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>>>Mars Rover Opportunity Sol 323

>>>Source: <http://iamblogging.com:8088/mars/MarsRoverUFO.htm>

>>>The UFO (Sky object image anomaly) - Left Navigation Camera  
>>>Non-linearized full-frame EDR acquired on Sol 323 of  
>>>Opportunity's mission to Meridiani Planum at approximately  
>>>13:48:23 Mars local solar time. NASA/JPL

>>The web page says that the object showed up in only one of the  
>>two stereoscopic cameras and concludes from this that it was  
>>traveling at a high rate of speed, disappearing in the brief time  
>>between the left camera's exposure and the right camera's. But  
>>the more likely explanation for it not showing up in both images  
>>is that it was a grain of dust on the lens of left camera.

>Lan, I think if you take your digital camera-if you have one-  
>and point it up at the ceiling then drop a grain of sand [or  
>salt] on the lens and shoot a picture you will find a lovely  
>shot of your ceiling and no grain of sand or salt.

>The grain of sand on lens theory doesn't work for me.

Well, I got slapped down pretty quick on that one, by three  
people no less!

Dust on the lens was an explanation I'd heard for previous rover  
images of objects allegedly flying over Mars. I accepted it  
without thinking it through.

Maybe these images could really be something, then, unless  
they're some sort of computer glitches. The feature in this  
particular image doesn't look very much like a computer glitch  
to me.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 19](#)

### Newspapers Correct Article About Merida Alien

From: **Santiago Yturria Garza** <[syturria.nul](mailto:syturria.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 07:29:58 +0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 10:11:42 -0500  
Subject: Newspapers Correct Article About Merida Alien

Today the Milenio newspaper corrected its article published Tuesday about the Merida alien case, wich according to Sergio Valdez and Jorge Moreno was a hoax. Initially Valdez and Moreno declared that researcher Jaime Maussan was deceived by David Espada and Jose Herrera, main witnesses of the March 20, 2005 incident quoting an alleged confesion that turned out to be false.

Both Milenio and Diario de Yucatan recognized they acted prematurely publishing Valdez and Moreno's story without checking the validity of their testimonies.

At the same time in Merida a press conference took place where the three boys involved in the March 20, 2005 incident denounced Sergio Valdez Diaz and Jorge Moreno for slander and attempt of bribe in damage of minors. Reporters from Milenio de Merida and El Diario de Yucatan along with several research groups were present at the press conference.

David Espada, Jose Herrera and Adrian Chala Torres declared that they never spoke to Sergio Valdez Diaz and all his statements made to the El Diario de Yucatan were absolutely false. Sergio Valdez Diaz was accused along with Jorge Moreno of attempting to bribe some children from the neighborhood offering 1,000 pesos to the one that agreed to say that he was disguised as an alien the night of the incident.

Some researchers from Merida located one of these children and got his statement on tape as evidence in a formal denunciation of Sergio Valdez and Jorge Moreno for corruption of minors. They are expected to be arrested sometime this weekend.

Jorge Moreno and Sergio Valdez Diaz publish a local magazine named Misterios, with pornographic content and have an obscure background among the ufologic community in Yucatan. Both Moreno and Valdez are under investigation charged of fraud and corruption of minors and were denounced for dishonest activities and deceiving several newspapers from Merida causing confusion.

This Alien encounter in Merida story has taken on big proportions in Mexico and is almost in everyone's mouth, awakening an unusual interest among the news and TV reporters in other states.

The incident took place in March 20, 2005 and was investigated by Merida's researchers Emilio Cetz and Gustavo Aleman along with the research groups Vision OVNI and Asociacion Ufologica de Merida for several months.

The story went national when TV journalist Jaime Maussan presented the case and the footage on Tuesday January 10, 2006 on national television from Mexico City causing expectation due to the bizarre nature of the incident.

Till this moment David Espada, Jose Herrera and Adrian Chala Torres, 19, 18 and 17 years old respectively continue sticking faithfully to their story and confirmed their testimonies before the press in Merida.

The incident remains unexplained.

Santiago Yturria

Sources:

<http://www.milenio.com/nota.asp?id=256848>

<http://personales.com/mexico/mexico/cafesirio/loultimo.htm>

[http://www.yucatan.com.mx/noticia.asp?cx=11\\$1310000000\\$3206875&f060118](http://www.yucatan.com.mx/noticia.asp?cx=11$1310000000$3206875&f060118)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 19](#)

## Re: The 1966 Westall UFO Incident - Goldstein

From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 00:15:29 -0800  
Fwd Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 10:28:20 -0500  
Subject: Re: The 1966 Westall UFO Incident - Goldstein

>Source: 774 ABC Melbourne - Australia

><http://www.abc.net.au/melbourne/stories/s1549038.htm>

>Tuesday, 17 January 2006

>The 1966 Westall UFO Incident  
>Reporter: Florenz Ronn

>Say the name Roswell and 774's Breakfast presenter Red Symons  
>immediately thinks of metal objects shooting through the sky  
>landing in the desert, and little green men coming out of them.  
>But when you say the name Clayton South, you probably think pork  
>buns, unless you were around in 1966 and were one of 200 people  
>who witnessed the Westall UFO incident.

>Shane Ryan is a researcher looking for eyewitnesses. "It  
>happened on the Wednesday before Easter, April 6, 1966, about 11  
>0'clock in the morning. Around about 200 people, most of them  
>students at what was then called Westall High School, were out  
>for morning recess", says Shane. "Many saw the strange object  
>descend behind pine trees in The Grange Reserve, and then later  
>ascend and fly away at great speed. The people who ran over to  
>the reserve found a huge ring in the paddock where the object  
>had been seen. Some people reported five light aircraft  
>following or chasing the object.

<snip>

>Shane Ryan's website:

><http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Westallhighschoolufo/>

I just emailed Shayne Ryan and asked him if Australia has an  
equivalent to the Freedom of Information Act to search for any  
government reports on the incident.

Josh Goldstein

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 19](#)

## Re: Shiamak Davar's Encounter With The UFO -

From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 00:24:39 -0800  
Fwd Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 10:51:33 -0500  
Subject: Re: Shiamak Davar's Encounter With The UFO -

>Source: Sify.Com - Chennai, India

><http://sify.com/movies/bollywood/fullstory.php?id=14122334>

>Wednesday, 18 January 2006

>Shiamak Davar's Encounter With The UFO  
>By IndiaFM

>One of the biggest mysteries unknown to human beings is the  
>existence of aliens. Some say that the extra terrestrial life  
>forms exist and also are keeping close watch on us. They  
>regularly visit our planet and hence some people report spotting  
>UFOs.

>The famous choreographer-singer Shiamak Davar is one such  
>person. Shiamak spotted a UFO back in his school days. He says  
>that he saw an object with different colors in sky. Shiamak says  
>to have seen that particular body in the sky for nearly a week  
>between 9 pm to 10 pm. The tragic part of such experience is  
>that when you try to share it with others, your excitement is  
>shattered completely when people disbelieve it. Shiamak went  
>through a similar experience. When he went to tell his friends  
>about this, they thought he was just exaggerating. Shiamak then  
>went to the observatory along with his father and spoke to the  
>chief there. But to his horror, even the chief dismissed the  
>incident calling it to be a part of his imagination.

>Shiamak was finally relieved when his experience appeared on the  
>front page of The Times of India the very next day. Apparently,  
>many other people in Mumbai had spotted that UFO then.

<snip>

Do any Listerions know if Bollywood in India has made any UFO  
and space alien movies? If so that would be interesting to  
watch.

Josh Goldstein

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 19](#)

## Re: UFO Studies Completed Final 8 States -

From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 01:16:39 -0800  
Fwd Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 10:53:53 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFO Studies Completed Final 8 States -

>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 04:14:05 -0800  
>Subject: Re: UFO Studies Completed Final 8 States

>>From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2006 23:53:50 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: UFO Studies Completed Final 8 States

>>>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>,  
>>>Date: Mon, 16 Jan 2006 18:32:59 -0800  
>>>Subject: UFO Studies Completed Final 8 States

<snip>

>>Hawaii is not on your list of States. Since I moved here from  
>>California have you forgotten me? I know the history of  
>>sightings here. Do you? Most of it is even on the MUFON website.

>>Larry, just today I visited the rock where they used to behead  
>>captured warrior chiefs. When you come and visit me we can hike  
>>there. If you keep overlooking Hawaii you may not come back from  
>>that rock. Do not let the curse of Lono remain on your head.

>Hawaii just wasn't on my list, mainly the contiguous 48 states.  
>Even Alaska is paired off with Yukon Territory for a better map  
>and statistics.

>I can't get up good statistics displays with small numbers and  
>even the map looks disappointing.

>If anyone asks, I will recommend them to MUFON and/or Lono or  
>whatever.

Larry, thank you.

You have been redeemed. The spirit of Lono has informed me that  
the curse has been lifted. You will be welcomed here in Hawaii  
with a warm Aloha.

Josh

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 19](#)

## More Argentine Water Vanishes

From: **Scott Corrales** <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 07:39:07 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 10:57:59 -0500  
Subject: More Argentine Water Vanishes

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
January 19, 2006

Source: CIUFOS-LaPampa  
Date: 01.18.2006

Toward late December 2005, some 28,000 litres of water vanished from a swimming pool in a country home in Santa Rosa, La Pampa.

According to Daniel -----, the property's owner, he and his wife Silvia were in the pool until 1 o'clock in the morning with their two daughters, trying to find relief from the intense nocturnal heat. He clearly remembers that the pool held the same volume of water, which is to say, it was only 15 cm from the very top of the pool.

The swimming pool in question, measuring 9 meters long by 4.5 meters wide, with depths ranging from 1.70 m at its deepest to 1.30 m at its shallowest, showed a missing amount of one meter of water, measured vertically.

The property owner realized this when he woke up the next day and endeavored to clean debris from the pool. He immediately checked for the possibility of a leak or water filtration, without finding any that would corroborate this assumption.

The witness, faced with a lack of evidence to justify the lack of water, was thoroughly surprised. He now wonders at the lack of answers to such an unusual event.

Mr. Daniel ----- lives on Calle Vaira in the Malvinas Argentinas district of Santa Rosa, La Pampa.

-----

Translation (c) 2006 Scott Corrales - IHU  
Special thanks to Raul Oscar Chaves, Ciufoslapampa

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 19](#)

## Re: New Discovery Channel Roswell Program -

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 11:04:13 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 10:59:48 -0500  
Subject: Re: New Discovery Channel Roswell Program -

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 16:33:55 EST  
>Subject: Re: New Discovery Channel Roswell Program

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 15:55:35 -0400  
>>Subject: New Discovery Channel Roswell Program

>>I am looking forward to a Discovery Channel TV Documentary,  
>>Proof: Roswell, to be broadcast Saturday, February 17. I was  
>>interviewed at some length at NBC in New York for it.

>>As usual I have no idea how it will turn out or who else will be  
>>in it. They did seem interested in documents. It is a one hour  
>>show. Broadcast Time not specified yet.

>The suspense builds! We'll be on the edge of our seats until  
>showtime and then some!

>There is no way this new program can be any worse than that  
>Peter Jennings' special so it's there's only one direction it  
>can go and that's up.

I goofed. It is Friday, February 17, not Saturday. Mea culpa I  
agree that the treatment of Roswell certainly can't be as bad  
as that on Peter Jennings.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 19](#)

## Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 10:16:35 EST  
Fwd Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 11:02:00 -0500  
Subject: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction

I've just seen an episode of Penn & Teller's 'BS' show.

For those unfamiliar, the magicians/comedy team picks subject matter ranging from the Bible to weight loss fads and tears into them without mercy.

I'll admit some of the episodes are refreshing and funny as all get out, but the one on alien abduction was a wee bit too mean spirited. They focused on abdcutees and the 'nut' factor and also attempted to invalidate Dr. Roger Leir's work.

They didn't focus on any documentation whatsoever yet constantly blurt out about the importance of doing science.

On another episode they interviewed the Amazing Randi so much I thought I was watching the Cartoon Network.

Has anyone seen this show and these episodes of UFOs, abduction and psychic claims in particular?

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 19](#)

## Flying Saucer Is Out Of This World

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 13:10:55 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 13:10:55 -0500  
Subject: Flying Saucer Is Out Of This World

Source: Peterborough Today - Lincolnshire, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/am353>

18 January 2006

Invention: Flying Saucer Is Out Of This World

There are some strange goings-on in a small Peterborough workshop.

Mysterious flying saucers seen around the city have pricked the curiosity of secretive US defence officials.

A group of dedicated space enthusiasts at GFS Projects Limited =96 which stands for Geoff's Flying Saucer =96 have been tinkering away with some alien designs in their own Area 51 in Saxon Road.

For six years, Geoff Hatton and his team have worked tirelessly to take a giant leap into the unknown and created six realistic flying saucers.

And now the uniqueness of the machines, which can take off vertically, fly, hover and land, has attracted the imagination of the American officials who went to see if the truth really was out there.

Like a scene from the X-Files, the men in black flew across the Atlantic to check out the UFOs and see if the truth really is out there.

But what the US officials want with the mysterious machines remains Top Secret.

Geoff, of Eastfield Road, Peterborough, who was celebrating his 67th birthday on Monday, said: "It is extremely pleasing to have anything you do recognised by other people.

"It was an exploratory meeting set up by a third party. After the meetings I had the impression they were pleased with what they had seen. We will just have to wait. I have no idea what they want them for."

The hub-cap like machines look like they've been lifted from a '50s B-movie.

They glide gracefully through the air using the Coanda principle, which involves jets of nozzled air being attracted to a nearby curved surfaces.

To date, all the prototypes have been battery-powered, but GFS believe larger versions could have proper internal combustion engines.

Geoff, who first came up with the plans for the saucers while sitting on his dining room table, added: "We are the only company in the world doing this sort of thing. The future is



shaping up to be very promising indeed."

Shane Miller (24), of Parnwell, Peterborough, is the youngest member of the team.

He said: "When I first saw the saucers when I started last August, I simply said 'wow'."

The team are not relying on the outcome of the visit from the American government =96 as the company is constantly dreaming up new ways of marketing the saucers.

They believe the flying saucers can be adapted as toys, to aid mountain rescues, land mine detection and even as a state-of-the-art scarecrows.

To set the ball rolling, the company secured a =A343,000 SMART grant from the Department of Trade and Industry and equity funding from a group of investors. The saucers range from 10ins to three feet in diameter.

=95 For more information, visit [www.gfsprojects.co.uk](http://www.gfsprojects.co.uk)

'Four dull lights' seen over city

LAST year, a UFO enthusiast planned to use the Freedom of Information Act to access previously secret Government documents to explain sightings of flying objects around Peterborough.

Michael Hoffman, of Brucers Close, Conington, near Peterborough, who runs the UFO research group Sky Lights, hopes that the release of so-called "X files" held by the Ministry of Defence (MoD) will finally shed light on why there have been so many alien sightings in the area.

He said: "I think the Act will give us quite a lot of information about UFOs, and it is something our group will definitely use."

The MoD recently released secret papers under the Act showing that 91 sightings were recorded last year in the UK, including a report of "four dull red lights" hovering above Peterborough.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 19](#)

## Seen a UFO? You Can Share Your Story

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 13:14:48 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 13:14:48 -0500  
Subject: Seen a UFO? You Can Share Your Story

Source: The Arizona Daily Star - Tucson, Arizona

<http://www.azstarnet.com/allheadlines/111805>

01.19.2006

Seen a UFO? You Can Share Your Story

Group will meet Saturday at Wilmot Library  
By Jenifer Javia

Individuals who have experienced something out of this world have a local organization with which they can share their stories on the East Side.

The Mutual UFO Network will meet Saturday at the Wilmot Library, 530 N. Wilmot Road.

The meeting is open to members of the public, whether or not they have had experiences with UFOs. The group meets once a month, every third Saturday, depending on room availability at the library. The meeting gives residents a chance to gather and share their experiences. They often have guest speakers sharing their stories and answering questions from the audience.

"Come to the meeting with an open mind. Listen to what is being said, even if you don't believe. Just listen," said George Parks, the network's Arizona director. Parks is also the Pima County section director and a field investigator.

During the meeting, folks can learn how to join the network and become a field investigator - a researcher who verifies a sighting of an unidentified flying object. A field investigator takes a story and rules out the possibility of the UFO being a satellite or a low-flying plane.

Betty Dentato and Jeanette Bowen met at a network meeting, and they have at least two things in common. Both are East Side residents, and both said they saw the same UFO about five years ago.

When Bowen spotted one of her first UFOs on the East Side, she wanted to share her story. She contacted Parks, and he invited her to share her story at their meeting.

"I was sleeping, and about 1 a.m., a bright light shined in my room and woke me up," Bowen said. "At first I thought it was the moon, but the moon doesn't shine in that area. ... I went to the back window and saw something flying. It looked like a submarine with a telescope on top. It was gliding with red, green, blue and white lights. It went 'whoosh' over the Catalinas and was traveling north with no sound whatsoever.

"The lights looked like Christmas lights," Bowen continued. "They were multicolored lights. I don't know why, but I wasn't scared of it. It was very beautiful."

Bowen has seen a couple dozen UFOs in her life and 15 on the East Side.

"I don't automatically say, 'Oh, a UFO,' meaning unidentified flying object. Most sightings I see are military. I study them before making a conclusion," she said.

After Dentato heard the story, she realized that she had spotted the same UFO from her house, one of 12 she has seen.

Dentato doesn't live near Bowen. But the way Bowen described her sighting, and the time and day she spotted it, matched Dentato's experience. Dentato and Bowen are sure it was the same UFO, and the meeting gave them a chance to connect and share their experiences.

"It looked like millions of stars were coming out =97 a huge, pulsating light," Dentato said. "It was such a beautiful sight. It looked like a star from a Christmas tree ...huge, beautiful lights in all colors =97 blue, red, yellow. So pretty."

Dentato and Bowen said the meetings help connect people.

"I guess most of the people who have had sightings are afraid to come forward because of the ridicule," Bowen said. "But being able to come to a place where everyone understands what you have seen is comforting."

Contact reporter Jenifer Javia at 618-1922 or [jjavia.nul](mailto:jjavia.nul)

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 19](#)

## Physicist Hypotheses Creator Left Messages

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 13:30:52 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 13:30:52 -0500  
Subject: Physicist Hypotheses Creator Left Messages

Source: The Daily Emerald - at the University of Oregon,  
Eugene, Oregon

<http://www.dailyemerald.com/vnews/display.v/SEC/About+Us>

January 18, 2006

Physicist Hypotheses Creator Left Messages

Professor Stephen Hsu says it may be 20 or 30 years before  
scientists have tools to test the hypothesis

By Eva Sylwester  
Seinor News Reporter

A University physicist has proposed that temperature  
fluctuations in microwave radiation may contain messages from  
the universe's creator.

"It's one of the most speculative possible hypotheses," said  
associate professor Stephen Hsu, a member of the University's  
Institute of Theoretical Science.

However, it may be 20 or 30 years before experimental physicists  
develop instruments refined enough to collect the data necessary  
to test this hypothesis, Hsu said.

Hsu said he thought of the idea many years ago, when theoretical  
physicists at Stanford and MIT addressed whether a universe  
could be created in a laboratory. They hypothesized that this  
could be done by creating a bubble of super-dense matter that  
would expand into extra dimensions.

"If you did create such a universe, how would you tell the  
occupants of that universe that their universe was made in a lab  
at MIT?" Hsu said. "One place to put the message would be in a  
microwave background."

According to the Big Bang theory, matter was distributed almost  
uniformly at the beginning of the known universe, shortly after  
the Big Bang, Hsu said. Through small matter density  
fluctuations, this evolved into galaxies, stars and planets.

Cosmologists know this by looking far out into the universe.  
Because the speed of light is finite, light from distant objects  
is therefore light that originated long ago, so this is actually  
the same thing as looking backward in time, Hsu said.

Cosmologists have also found that there is microwave radiation  
left over from the Big Bang in the background of the universe.  
Similar to the fluctuations of density in matter that created  
planets, the microwave radiation has very small temperature  
fluctuations, and Hsu hypothesized that a message could be  
hidden in those fluctuations.

Who would the message be from?

"Who knows?" Hsu said. "It could be some person at MIT Prime in some other universe."

Hsu said that while the existence of such a message is not known, and probably highly unlikely, microwave radiation would be an ideal medium for communicating with advanced civilizations.

Hsu decided to publish the idea after discussing it with Anthony Zee, professor of physics at the University of California, Santa Barbara.

Zee said the paper, which is currently under review at an unnamed scholarly journal, is written in two parts: one describing the medium for the message, and one describing the content of the message. He said he's confident that microwave radiation would be the medium, but less confident about the message.

Hsu and Zee calculated that the maximum amount of information that could be contained in such a message is about 10,000 characters of text.

"The longer the message is, the more likely you're going to be convinced that that's what the message really says," Hsu said.

Hsu said the minimum length for a message to be believable would be 1,000 characters.

"If you could get a 1,000-character message and encode it in some reliable way, I think people would agree, 'Yeah, that's a message,'" Hsu said.

Hsu said the equations describing the fundamental laws of physics are compact enough to fit into a chunk of text that size, and would be a likely subject for such an encoded message, given the universal utility of math and physics.

"That may just be bias on our part, but if it's going to be read by all civilizations, it's not going to be about the price of oil," Hsu said.

Zee elaborated that the gauge laws, as they are called, explain how the world is put together through four fundamental force fields: gravitational, electromagnetic, weak and strong.

Hsu said finding a message from the creator of the universe would not necessarily resolve disputes over intelligent design and evolution, because the existence of a message would not imply that the message's writer designed humans.

"Humans are probably still a random evolutionary occurrence on this planet," Hsu said.

Hsu and Zee are not the only scientists looking for messages in outer space. At the SETI.nul Web site ([setiathome.ssl.berkeley.edu](http://setiathome.ssl.berkeley.edu)), people can download screensavers that allow scientists working in the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence to use their computers' processing power to analyze radio wave signals SETI has collected for patterns.

According to the SETI.nul Web site, 78 computer users who identified themselves as affiliated with the University have contributed 549,768 credits to this effort.

Hsu said SETI's work differs from his because the radio waves SETI is looking for would be produced by a particular source, such as an alien civilization, and that encoding a message in the microwave background at the time of the Big Bang would probably be beyond the capabilities of any civilization within the known universe.

Contact the business, science and technology reporter at [esywester.nul](mailto:esywester@nul)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 19](#)

### Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction -

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 10:12:58 0600  
Fwd Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 13:36:22 -0500  
Subject: Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction -

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 10:16:35 EST  
>Subject: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' and Alien Abduction

>I've just seen an episode of Penn & Teller's 'BS' show.

>For those unfamiliar, the magicians/comedy team picks subject  
>matter ranging from the Bible to weight loss fads and tears into  
>them without mercy.

>I'll admit some of the episodes are refreshing and funny as all  
>get out, but the one on alien abduction was a wee bit too mean  
>spirited. They focused on abductees and the 'nut' factor and  
>also attempted to invalidate Dr. Roger Leir's work.

>They didn't focus on any documentation whatsoever yet constantly  
>blurt out about the importance of doing science.

>On another episode they interviewed the Amazing Randi so much I  
>thought I was watching the Cartoon Network.

>Has anyone seen this show and these episodes of UFOs, abduction  
>and psychic claims in particular?

I did, and my comments were thus...

22603

I'd like to go on record to say that I am personally appalled at  
"Penn and Teller"! Their obtuse ad hominum attack vis a vis  
their new Showtime series "Bull Shit" on persons associated with  
the abduction conundrum and ufology in general was passingly  
deplorable.

At first blush their hackneyed production is merely uninformed,  
unbalanced, and unprofessional. Closer examination seems to  
expose real duplicity, shameless confabulation, and a total lack  
of any ethics. More consideration strongly suggests libel,  
dirtytricks character assassination to facilitate hidden  
agendas, and the usual mainstream persecution of freethinking  
persons for daring to think out of the aggregate social box!

Participants in their program were obviously misled,  
manipulated, and misinformed with regard to the purpose of their  
interview and use in the program. Creative editing was used to  
cant the contrived results into inaccurate and degrading  
distortions of summative disparity for the persons involved.

Persons associated with ufology (and ufology itself) were turned  
into mouthbreathing dolts or roundly foolish comic foils for a  
sneering (and very disappointing!) Penn and Teller, who laughed  
all the way to the predicted bank for their slack jawed and axe  
grinding trouble, I'm sure... (only \*denialists\* make the money  
the selfsame skeptibunky airily attributes to the "true  
believer" read truthseeker).

Ironically, we take a step back to the fundamentalist dark-ages

with their aptly titled new Showtime series BS. Verily.

They blithely craft their crude assaults on rational sensibility in the name of "knowledge advancement" like any good CSICOPian (the show was, oh by the way, heavily touted by that 'skeptical pretender' as a "must see"), and this is the root of my appalled disappointment with them.

I'd thought Penn and Teller were hipper than that! I'd thought they were smarter. I'd presumed they had a degree of withit ness that, I come to find, is just not there. I used to enjoy their performances. Penn and Teller used to be minor gods in my personal pantheon. They used to matter to me. I used to respect them. No more.

Now they are merely a twoheaded James Randi for the X generation. They are 21st Century CSICOPians. They are ufological Luddites, ironic enemies of human growth and advancement, and twocolor debunkers in league with the corrupted mainstream. They no longer count (with me at any rate)! They are no longer relevant.

I'd suggest the reader put the portentous "Penn" and tedious "Teller" into rational perspective, gauge the scope and effect of their contribution to documentary television with their "Bullshit" series (their name, remember), and put them in the same class as other 21st Century \*reality\* shows... but write them off as time, even more, foolishly spent!

Truly, Penn and Teller have only demonstrated that they have no "there" there, any where near there So there! Ignore them for the buzzing of the prevaricating flies they ape, and move on.

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 19](#)

Secrecy News -- 01/19/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 11:18:49 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 13:38:14 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 01/19/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 7  
January 19, 2006

\*\* GUIDELINES FOR ACCESS TO CLASSIFIED INFO REVISED  
\*\* CRS ON CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION OF INTEL ACTIVITIES  
\*\* LIBYAN NUCLEAR BIBLIOGRAPHY  
\*\* A TALLY OF UNPUBLISHED CONGRESSIONAL HEARINGS  
\*\* ARMY UPDATES REGULATION ON MILITARY EXECUTIONS

GUIDELINES FOR ACCESS TO CLASSIFIED INFO REVISED

Last month President Bush approved a revision of U.S. Government standards for granting security clearances and permitting access to classified information.

The revised standards address all of the previous considerations for approving access to classified information -- allegiance to the United States, foreign influence, drug and alcohol abuse, criminal behavior, psychological instability, and so forth.

But in each case the criteria have been elaborated, both in terms of the actions that could raise security concerns and the factors that could mitigate such concerns.

The many acts that could disqualify a person from access to classified information include "training to commit, or advocacy of... terrorism," as well as "disclosure of classified or other protected information... to the media."

At some points, the guidelines seem to offer officials wide latitude for withholding or revoking a security clearance by citing, for example, "inappropriate behavior in the workplace." At other points, they show a surprising understanding of human weakness and they admit the possibility of personal change.

The December 29 transmittal memo from National Security Adviser Stephen J. Hadley to Information Security Oversight Office director William Leonard states the new guidelines are "for immediate implementation."

But "an internal dispute has arisen among the various national security agencies as to whether implementation requires a notice and comment period, which could lead to a delay as long as 18 months," said Mark S. Zaid, a Washington, D.C. attorney who regularly handles security clearance cases.

Mr. Zaid added that there is an unresolved question regarding whether the revised guidelines would apply to pending security clearance cases.

See "Adjudicative Guidelines for Determining Eligibility for Access to Classified Information," December 29, 2005:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/isoo/guidelines.html>

For purposes of comparison, the prior set of Adjudicative Guidelines adopted by the Clinton Administration in March 1997 may be found here:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/spb/class.htm>

The White House Office of Management and Budget last month issued a related memorandum to executive branch agencies on "Reciprocal Recognition of Existing Personnel Security Clearances," which addresses the often violated requirement that agencies recognize each other's security clearances. A copy of the memo, first published on the ISOO web site, is posted here:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/othergov/reciprocal.pdf>

#### CRS ON CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION OF INTEL ACTIVITIES

A new memorandum report from the Congressional Research Service examines the legal requirements that the congressional intelligence committees be "fully and currently informed" of U.S. intelligence activities.

The CRS memo notes the exceptional status of covert actions, which must be disclosed only to the Gang of Eight, i.e, the leaders of the two intelligence committees as well as the leaders of the House and Senate.

The limited congressional notification of the recently disclosed NSA domestic surveillance operation more closely resembled that of a covert action than the normal disclosure of an authorized intelligence activity, the CRS explained.

As such, it "would appear to be inconsistent with the law, which requires that the 'congressional intelligence committees be kept fully and currently informed of all intelligence activities,' other than those involving covert actions."

The CRS memorandum was requested by Rep. Jane Harman, ranking member of the House Intelligence Committee, and authored by CRS intelligence specialist Alfred Cumming. A copy was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "Statutory Procedures Under Which Congress Is To Be Informed of U.S. Intelligence Activities, Including Covert Actions," January 18, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/intel/m011806.pdf>

#### LIBYAN NUCLEAR BIBLIOGRAPHY

Scientific publications by Libyan scientists on nuclear physics, nuclear engineering and related topics were compiled in an open literature bibliography by independent researcher Mark Gurwitz.

The Libya bibliography is part of an ongoing, informal investigation by Mr. Gurwitz into the worldwide propagation of nuclear science and technology.

See "Libyan Nuclear Bibliography: Open Literature Citations" by Mark Gorwitz, January 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/nuke/guide/libya/gorwitz.pdf>

#### A TALLY OF UNPUBLISHED CONGRESSIONAL HEARINGS

Almost every day that Congress is in session, multiple committees hold hearings. But not every hearing, not even every important hearing, finds its way into print.

The U.S. Congressional Bibliographies project at North Carolina State University has tallied the numbers of hearings held by each Senate committee from 1993-2001, and reported the percentage of hearings that have been published by the Government Printing Office.

Thus, only 38% of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee hearings in 2001, many of which involved confirmation hearings of Bush appointees, have been published.

Unpublished hearings also addressed topics such as anthrax exposure (Appropriations), aviation competition (Commerce), "club" drugs (Narcotics), E-911 compliance (Commerce), internet privacy (Commerce), unsolicited commercial e-mail (Commerce), and veterans programs (VA), observed NCSU Social Science Reference Librarian John A. McGeachy.

See Statistical Reports of Printed Hearings on this page:

<http://www.lib.ncsu.edu/congbibs/senate/>

#### ARMY UPDATES REGULATION ON MILITARY EXECUTIONS

The U.S. Army this week issued a newly updated regulation on military executions. The move may portend a resumption of capital punishment in the military after a hiatus of more than 40 years.

"Only the President of the United States can approve and order the execution of a death sentence," the regulation states. Death is by lethal injection.

A copy of the new regulation was obtained by Secrecy News.

See U.S. Army Regulation 190-55, "U.S. Army Corrections System: Procedures for Military Executions," January 17, 2006:

[http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/army/r190\\_55.pdf](http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/army/r190_55.pdf)

The last time that the Army performed a military execution was in April 1961. It involved an Army Private who was convicted of rape and attempted murder.

135 people have been executed by the Army since 1916, according to the Death Penalty Information Center ([www.deathpenaltyinfo.org](http://www.deathpenaltyinfo.org)).

Half a dozen military inmates are on death row at Fort Leavenworth, Kansas, and by last May two of them had nearly exhausted their final appeals, according to the Houston Chronicle ("U.S. Military Executions Draw Closer" by Andrew Tilghman, May 1, 2005).

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News has an RSS feed at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.rss>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 19](#)

## Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction -

From: Jason Gammon <[LuckyHoodoo.nul](mailto:LuckyHoodoo.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 12:41:21 EST  
Fwd Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 13:40:50 -0500  
Subject: Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction -

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 10:16:35 EST  
>Subject: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' and Alien Abduction

>I've just seen an episode of Penn & Teller's 'BS' show.

>For those unfamiliar, the magicians/comedy team picks subject  
>matter ranging from the Bible to weight loss fads and tears into  
>them without mercy.

>I'll admit some of the episodes are refreshing and funny as all  
>get out, but the one on alien abduction was a wee bit too mean  
>spirited. They focused on abductees and the 'nut' factor and  
>also attempted to invalidate Dr. Roger Leir's work.

>They didn't focus on any documentation whatsoever yet constantly  
>blurt out about the importance of doing science.

>On another episode they interviewed the Amazing Randi so much I  
>thought I was watching the Cartoon Network.

>Has anyone seen this show and these episodes of UFOs, abduction  
>and psychic claims in particular?

I've seen many of the episodes, including the alien abduction  
one, and I mostly enjoyed them.

If you haven't seen the one on Chiropractors then you are truly  
missing out! After watching a Chiropractor do their work on a  
small infant they quickly scream out "Baby Crackers!" <LOL>

As far as Penn & Teller's treatment of the subject - well let's  
just say that they aren't the first celebrities to respond in  
this manner nor will they be the last.

Montell Williams is one of my favorite sceptics of the  
phenomena. Back in the 90's he reported that his military  
experience would have entitled him to any "secret knowledge"  
concerning UFOs and since he was never made aware of such, then  
there is no truth to the subject.

Montell forgot to inform the audience that on prior shows he had  
already mentioned what rank he held, and though I can't remember  
off-hand what it was, I can assure you that he was definately a  
"Pee-on".)

-Jason Gammon

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 19](#)

## Re: New Discovery Channel Roswell Program - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 10:22:25 -0800  
Fwd Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 13:43:14 -0500  
Subject: Re: New Discovery Channel Roswell Program - Rudiak

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 15:55:35 -0400  
>Subject: New Discovery Channel Roswell Program

>I am looking forward to a Discovery Channel TV Documentary,  
>Proof: Roswell, to be broadcast Saturday, February 17. I was  
>interviewed at some length at NBC in New York for it.

>As usual I have no idea how it will turn out or who else will be  
>in it. They did seem interested in documents. It is a one hour  
>show. Broadcast Time not specified yet.

I was also interviewed for the show, about the Ramey memo and the trajectory calculation for Mogul Flight #4 by Charles Moore. I didn't get a trip to New York out of it like Stan. I was filmed on the cheap at home. The crew had also interviewed Kent Jeffrey that day, so we'll probably get his skeptical slant on the show as well.

One thing that bothered me was the deliberate use of harsh lighting to put everything in shadows. They said it was the look of the show and everybody was being filmed that way. (The series was being named "Conspiracies" or some such thing. Even the producer didn't know the exact name.) Well, we'll see. You never know how these things will turn out.

On the plus side, the interviewer thought that some words in the Ramey memo like "victims" and "disc" were pretty clear and said that confused her. Now she wasn't so sure what had happened, which I took to mean that she had been mostly skeptical before.

I also suggested they try to interview Edgar Mitchell, who has been very outspoken about Roswell being real. They are aware of Gen. Exon's comments and maybe some of his statements will be aired as well.

Like I said, you never know how these shows will turn out. I was interviewed by the Discovery Channel once before - for Unsolved Histories - and that turned into a debunkery piece.

If NBC plays it straight and tries to present both sides, unlike Peter Jennings highly biased, one-sided Roswell segment last year, then it should be a decent enough program.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## **UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 19](#)

## Warrnambool UFO Sighting Is Just 'Hot Air'

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 13:48:41 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 13:48:41 -0500  
Subject: Warrnambool UFO Sighting Is Just 'Hot Air'

Source: The Standard - Warrnambool, Queensland, Australia

<http://the.standard.net.au/articles/2006/01/19/1137553682847.html>

January 19, 2006

UFO Sighting Is Just 'Hot Air'

By Matt Neal

An unidentified flying object over Warrnambool on Monday has become an identified one, with some residents claiming the answer to the airborne mystery was helium balloons.

Robert Johnson of Warrnambool contacted The Standard this week asking for help to identify a strange object seen over north Warrnambool on Monday.

Warrnambool resident Christine Harris said she was driving with her children near the Mortlake Road roundabout when they spotted the "UFO".

"They were definitely helium balloons," Ms Harris said.

"It was still quite low. The kids wanted to follow them in case we could catch the balloons."

She said the balloons appeared to have floated from the Warrnambool football club area.

"There were two different clumps - there were grey and white ones and grey ones."

Warrnambool resident Grace Kavanagh said the object she saw in the sky on Monday was like a bunch of balloons.

"I saw something and it looked like dark balloons tied together in the sky," Ms Kavanagh said.

"It was definitely not a spaceship.

"I actually forgot all about it but when I saw it in the paper I thought that's what I saw."

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 20](#)

## Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Scheldroup

From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
Date: Thursday, January 19, 2006 8:52 AM  
Fwd Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 08:04:10 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Scheldroup

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 15:28:01 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 12:30:59 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>>Before it pixelizes upon blow-up the object appears to be three  
>>spheres attached together with the front sphere being the  
>>closest to being in focus but still soft or blurry. It causes me  
>>to wonder if this wasn't just a single sphere with the camera's  
>>shutter speed trapping the same image in a sort of smear due to  
>>the object's speed.

>That's my impression, but impossible to make an informed  
>speculation without information about the camera, particularly  
>what sort of shutter (if any) it uses. If it takes photos by  
>turning the chip on and off, we'd need to know how long it is  
>on, the effective shutter speed.

>If anyone knows any specific info about this camera I would  
>sure appreciate the details.

Sources:

[http://www-robotics.jpl.nasa.gov/publications/Reg\\_Willson/2003JE002077.pdf](http://www-robotics.jpl.nasa.gov/publications/Reg_Willson/2003JE002077.pdf)

<http://home.centurytel.net/cty90143/jpeg/marsrover/pdf/>

J. Maki, J. Bell, K. Herkenhoff, S. Squyres, A. Kiely,  
M. Klimesh, M. Schwochert, T. Litwin, R. Willson,  
A. Johnson, M. Maimone, E. Baumgartner, A. Collins,  
M. Wadsworth, S. Elliot, A. Dingizian, D. Brown,  
E. Hagerott, L. Scherr, R. Deen, D. Alexander and J. Lorre,

Mars Exploration Rover Engineering Cameras, Journal of  
Geophysical Research, Vol. 108, No. E12, 8071, December 2003.

### 2.4.2. Navcam

[19] The Navigation Cameras (Navcams, Figures 4a and 4b) are optically identical to the Descent camera: f/12 cameras with a 14.67 mm focal length. Each Navcam camera has a 45deg x 45deg field of view (60.7deg diagonal), which is roughly equivalent to a 40 mm lens on a 35 mm camera. The angular resolution at the center of the field of view is 0.82 mrad/pixel. The depth of field of the Navcam camera ranges from 0.5 m to infinity, with best focus at 1.0 m. The Navcams use a combination of filters (Schott OG590, KG5, and an ND1.3) to create a red band-pass filter centered at ~650 nm. Figure 5 shows the Navcam spectral responsivity as a function of wavelength. The nominal Navcam exposure time for a noontime image on the surface of Mars ( $\tau = 0.5$ ) is ~0.25 s. This exposure time is 50 times the frame transfer time of 5.1 ms, which ensures that the image signal is

significantly larger than the image smear acquired during the frame transfer.

[20] The Navcams are attached to a titanium bracket with a left/right stereo baseline of 20 cm (see Figure 6). The Navcam camera boresights are mechanically coaligned on the bracket to better than 0.15deg (i.e., <4 Navcam pixels). The bracket, which also holds the Pancams, is mounted to the Pancam Mast Assembly (PMA) elevation assembly, which sits atop the PMA.

John

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 20](#)

## Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction -

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 13:57:41 EST  
Fwd Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 08:08:43 -0500  
Subject: Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction -

>From: Jason Gammon <[LuckyHoodoo.nul](mailto:LuckyHoodoo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 12:41:21 EST  
>Subject: Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 10:16:35 EST  
>>Subject: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' and Alien Abduction

>>I've just seen an episode of Penn & Teller's 'BS' show.

>>For those unfamiliar, the magicians/comedy team picks subject  
>>matter ranging from the Bible to weight loss fads and tears into  
>>them without mercy.

>>I'll admit some of the episodes are refreshing and funny as all  
>>get out, but the one on alien abduction was a wee bit too mean  
>>spirited. They focused on abductees and the 'nut' factor and  
>>also attempted to invalidate Dr. Roger Leir's work.

>>They didn't focus on any documentation whatsoever yet constantly  
>>blurt out about the importance of doing science.

>>On another episode they interviewed the Amazing Randi so much I  
>>thought I was watching the Cartoon Network.

>>Has anyone seen this show and these episodes of UFOs, abduction  
>>and psychic claims in particular?

>I've seen many of the episodes, including the alien abduction  
>one, and I mostly enjoyed them.

>If you haven't seen the one on Chiropractors then you are truly  
>missing out! After watching a Chiropractor do their work on a  
>small infant they quickly scream out "Baby Crackers!" <LOL>

I've seen that episode. Very informative. My favorite is the  
episode where they outted the mediums talking to the dead.

>As far as Penn & Teller's treatment of the subject - well let's  
>just say that they aren't the first celebrities to respond in  
>this manner nor will they be the last.

>Montell Williams is one of my favorite sceptics of the  
>phenomena. Back in the 90's he reported that his military  
>experience would have entitled him to any "secret knowledge"  
>concerning UFOs and since he was never made aware of >such, then  
>there is no truth to the subject.

>Montell forgot to inform the audience that on prior shows he >had  
>already mentioned what rank he held, and though I can't >remember  
>off-hand what it was, I can assure you that he was definately a  
>"Pee-on".)

Jason, Mr. Montel Williams is far from 'definitely a "Pee-on" '

His military career is outstanding and an example of sheer  
courage, tenacity, brilliance and courage. Not to mention

historic. His public military career is outlined at this website:

[http://www.military.com/Careers/Content1?file=trans\\_montel\\_williams.htm&area=Content](http://www.military.com/Careers/Content1?file=trans_montel_williams.htm&area=Content)

You should do your research about a person before making a public invalidation of them.

We need more Montel Williams round these parts for sure, whether we agree with him or not.

I saw the show you mentioned and even though he was a full lieutenant with intelligence experience, it doesn't mean he was privy to all data. Sometimes high rank doesn't see data regarding UFOs and low rank does. It's a matter of a command procedure and decision. Montel's expertise was in crypto and languages. It may have been that his expertise wasn't needed in areas of UFOs so he wasn't aware of them.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 20](#)

## Re: Chronology Deadline Needed - Koi

From: Isaac Koi <[isaackoi2.nul](mailto:isaackoi2.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 10:33:27 -0000  
Fwd Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 08:14:30 -0500  
Subject: Re: Chronology Deadline Needed - Koi

>From: Jan Aldrich <[project1947.nul](mailto:project1947.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 26 Dec 2005 23:54:43 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Chronology Deadline Needed

>>From: Isaac Koi <[isaackoi2.nul](mailto:isaackoi2.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 23 Dec 2005 10:56:54 -0000  
>>Subject: Chronology Deadline Needed

>>As some of you know, I've been working on a chronology for  
>>considerably over two years (working title - "A chronology of  
>>frequently discussed UFO and SETI related events (from 1877-  
>>2005)").

>>When I started the Chronology, I had in mind producing a  
>>working document about 20-30 pages long. It became  
>>much longer than that within a few weeks and has since  
>>grown like The Blob.

>>I had hoped to circulate it by Christmas 2004 as a little  
>>present to fellow members of this List. However, I felt that a  
>>lot of work remained to be done.

>>In the last few months, I've been optimistic about completing a  
>>draft for circulation this Christmas (2005). Unfortunately,  
>>I've kept acquiring more books and material which needed to  
>>be referred to in the Chronology and I've had a number of  
>>ideas requiring revisions and additional tasks. Plus, of  
>>course, there is the little matter of having to work up to 20  
>>hours a day in the office (and having a pregnant wife).

>>In short, I will not be circulating a draft by this Christmas  
>>either.

>>This cannot go on much longer. Preparing the Chronology became  
>>a tedious affair long ago and I have other much more  
>>interesting projects I want to concentrate on.

>>Having in mind "targets" for completion has been insufficient  
>>motivation to complete the document. So, I need a deadline. (I  
>>know from experience with work the effect of having a deadline  
>>known to others.)

>>I'm therefore publically committing myself to a deadline for  
>>posting a link to a draft of the complete document on UFO  
>>UpDates, arbitrarily set as midnight on Sunday 22 January 2006.

>>This delay does not mean that you'll be getting an alternative  
>>Christmas present from me!

>One of the original goals of the history workshop in Chicago,  
>establishing a time line of official history using documents  
>then available - in some cases items not well known - was  
>essentially kicked down the road at our meeting. Such a task  
>was far more ambitious than we envisioned. Barry Greenwood and a  
>number others including myself have produced a list of holdings.  
>However, in no sense are these combinations of holdings complete

>or comprehensive.

>Even with such a list or collection of documents, context is  
>missing. Loren Gross has done the best job to date of supplying  
>this context. His works are head and shoulders above everything  
>else, but even Loren told me that there are things he did not  
>cover in his latest revisions.

>Several of us suggested that Loren extend his history project,  
>The Fifth Horseman Of The Apocalypse, to 1963 which could be  
>said to be the cultural end of the 1950's.

>Loren's latest booklet June-December 1963 is the last booklet in  
>the series. Loren has announced that his UFO History Project is  
>now finished after thousands of hours of work and thousands of  
>dollars in expenses.

>I believe such chronologies, document collections, etc. are  
>vital to UFO history.

Thanks for the best wishes Jan (and to Stan for correcting the  
slightly embarrassing typo in the date in my email!).

Unfortunately, my wife was hospitalised earlier this week due to  
complications in the final stages of her pregnancy. Although  
both she and the baby seem to be fairly stable at the moment,  
obviously I have had to put the finalizing of my Chronology on  
hold for now.

On the positive side, setting myself a deadline succeeded in  
concentrating my mind prior to my wife's hospitalisation and I  
am (finally) very close to circulating a preliminary draft. I  
hope to get back to this within a few weeks.

Farewell for now,

Isaac Koi

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 20](#)

## Salla Crosses The Rubicon

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 08:20:38 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 08:20:38 -0500  
Subject: Salla Crosses The Rubicon

Source: Paul Kimball's Blog

<http://redstarfilms.blogspot.com/>

Thursday, January 19, 2006

Salla Crosses the "Rubicon"

The attack dogs of ufology are usually pretty good at going after guys like me whenever we say or write anything that is even remotely skeptical. Fair is fair - if one is going to step into the public arena and hold forth on any subject, one can expect some criticism, even of the mean-spirited, personal attack variety.

However, I wonder whether these ufological pit bulls are going to go after Michael Salla just as quickly, and vehemently, for his most recent Exopolitics Journal column, wherein he labels ufologists Stan Friedman, Kevin Randle and Brad Sparks as "debunkers".

I would hope so, but I doubt it - "even-handed" and "fair-minded" are not two terms that I would associate with these people, although maybe this time they'll prove me wrong.

For those who missed it, here is what Salla, who will apparently go to any lengths in order to promote exopolitics fraud Philip Corso, wrote about Stan, Kevin and Brad:

"Some of Corso's critics have gone so far as publicly dismissing Col. Corso as a fraud and 'literary hoaxer'. Corso's strongest critics include veteran UFO researchers such as Stanton Friedman, Dr. Kevin Randle and Brad Sparks who collectively have expressed their skepticism. Many of the criticisms made against Corso cross the Rubicon dividing objective criticism and outright debunking. This invites speculation of the motivations of Corso's critics who undertake such a concerted debunking effort against a highly decorated whistleblower whose revelations do much to clarify the UFO phenomenon."

In other words, if you disagree with Michael Salla, no matter how much good, hard work you've put in over the years (decades!) researching and writing about the UFO phenomenon, and no matter how reasoned and well researched your critiques might be, then you are a "debunker" - no better than the dreaded Phil Klass (somewhere Klass is no doubt spinning in his grave)!

In case you weren't sure where Salla stands, however, here is what he wrote about Brad:

"Sparks' criticisms of Corso fail to be consistent. Sparks has been the most dismissive of all Corso's critics when it comes to Corso's background. This suggests to this author that he is motivated to disparage Corso regardless of the documentary evidence supporting Corso's claims."

This is ludicrous, as anyone who has read Brad's detailed rebuttals of Salla's Corso hooey over the past year is aware. He's waded through more UFO-related documents, and talked to more genuine insiders, than most of the rest of us, including Salla, will ever see or talk to in a lifetime. Brad is motivated to get at the truth of the matter, even when it requires him to reconsider his own previously held positions in light of new evidence. To suggest otherwise is shameful.

What about Stan and Kevin?

Salla writes:

"In the case of Friedman and Dr. Randle, both try to disparage Corso by emphasizing his alleged claim in his affidavit of having served on the NSC itself. They ignore Corso's repeated statements, made earlier, to having been a staffer assigned to the NSC. They put great emphasis on what is obviously an oversight on Corso's part that can be attributed to his deteriorating health. They ignore previous interviews and writing which consistently claim that Corso had served on the NSC staff. This suggests both Friedman and Randle are intentionally posturing to disparage the significance of Corso's testimony by over emphasizing inconsistencies in his testimony."

Newsflash for Salla - Kevin, and especially Stan, have been two of the most vocal proponents of the ETH, crashed saucers, government conspiracies (they created the terms "Conspiracy of Silence" and "Cosmic Watergate", for Pete's sake) and covert groups (MJ-12 for Stan, the "Unholy 13" for Kevin) for decades now. If they don't buy Corso's story, that should tell you something!

Salla then concludes:

"The failure of Randle, Sparks and Friedman to consider alternative explanations for inconsistencies in Corso's testimony; their overblown emphasis on the significance of the inconsistencies; and their lack of effort to reach a balanced conclusion over the pros and cons of Corso's testimony, suggests they have crossed the Rubicon from objective criticism into debunking."

Wow.

Here's the truth, folks.

Stan, Kevin and Brad have all responded as politely and patiently as possible to Dr. Salla's "theories" over the past year - Kevin, at his blog "A Different Perspective", even printed, without comment, a rebuttal by Salla to something that Kevin had written.

It has been Salla that won't look at the evidence objectively. It has been Salla who has taken the low road, with his ad hominem attacks and his petty posturing. It is Salla that has crossed the "Rubicon" of reasoned discourse.

I'm sure Stan, Kevin and Brad will be a bit more diplomatic than I'm about to be, but I've had it. Salla has really gone too far this time. Besides, they're elder statesmen - I'm just the new guy, the "New Thug", the "klasskurtzian". People seem to expect me to "go after" people.

Fine - when people deserve to have someone "go after them", like Salla does, I aim to please.

So here it is...

Michael Salla's Phd isn't worth the paper it's printed on, not because it isn't from an accredited institution of higher learning, but because Salla has disgraced himself, and brought disrepute on his chosen field of study (ufology), through:

(a) his actions and statements;

(b) his lack of academic comity with his fellow researchers, and;

(c) his failure to apply even the most minimal standards of academic and intellectual rigour.

In other words, he (and his goofy fellow travellers) are a blight on the serious study of the UFO phenomenon.

It's time for ufology to give them the collective heave-ho.

Don't appear at their conferences.

Boycott any radio programs or conferences that have them on.

Ban them from Internet groups and Lists.

Give them the cold shoulder from this point forward.

They deserve nothing more, and the serious study of the UFO phenomenon demands nothing less.

Paul Kimball

posted by Paul Kimball @ 12:33 AM

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 20](#)

## Recap Of Mexico's UFO VidCaps 2005

From: **Scott Corrales** <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 12:08:16 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 08:22:53 -0500  
Subject: Recap Of Mexico's UFO VidCaps 2005

A recap of Mexico's UFO vidcaps for 2005:

[http://www.zippyvideos.com/5017276273281546/ufo\\_project/\\*melegorm](http://www.zippyvideos.com/5017276273281546/ufo_project/*melegorm)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 20](#)

## Newfoundland UFO Reports

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 09:08:58 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 09:08:58 -0500  
Subject: Newfoundland UFO Reports

Source: RJ Product - Newfoundland, Canada

<http://www.rjproduct.ca/2006/01/nufor-newfoundland-ufo-reports.php>

January 19, 2006

Newfoundland UFO Reports

<http://home.thezone.net/~nufor/>

We are not alone... or maybe we are, I don't know but some of my fellow Newfoundlanders are a little more invested in the whole debate than I am and they've set up NUFOR - the Newfoundland UFO Research website.

Basically it's a place where you can read about local close encounters and report any encounters, of an extra-terrestrial nature, that you might experience. Their library of alien stories is not as extensive as you might imagine as it turns out Newfoundlanders don't see as many alien space crafts as, well, we should... at least according HBBC UFO Research.

Maybe we need to launch a new tourism campaign to increase our take of the extra-terrestrial market, perhaps change the name of Jellybean Row to Reece's Pieces Road? It could work.

Then again, maybe we simply need to coax our space visitors up from underground. An excerpt from this book claims there is an underground alien base in an abandoned mine Labrador - we may already be the alien Club Med and not even know it. I can feel it, there's money to be made here... if only in a Rare Birds sort of way.

-----

Several links embedded in above text at site --ebk

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 20](#)

## Re: Salla Crosses The Rubicon - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 08:25:32 -0600  
Fwd Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 10:42:15 -0500  
Subject: Re: Salla Crosses The Rubicon - Lehmborg

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 08:20:38 -0500  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Salla Crosses The Rubicon

>Source: Paul Kimball's Blog

><http://redstarfilms.blogspot.com/>

>Thursday, January 19, 2006

>Salla Crosses the "Rubicon"

>The attack dogs of ufology are usually pretty good at going  
>after guys like me whenever we say or write anything that is  
>even remotely skeptical. Fair is fair - if one is going to step  
>into the public arena and hold forth on any subject, one can  
>expect some criticism, even of the mean-spirited, personal  
>attack variety.

"Crossing the Rubicon" is a phrase connoting the passage of  
some point of no return. The phrase refers to Julius Caesar's  
invasion of Ancient Rome, when, on January 10, 49 BC, he led his  
army across the river "Rubicon" in violation of \*law\*,  
consequently making a conflict inevitable. Parallels seem  
obvious, if not necessarily the ones contrived. Still, crossing  
a river takes courage.

In as much as the well known acceleration of all things is  
leading to the concrescence of just such a conflict as proposed,  
et sig al, why not join the battle now?

I suspect Victor Viggiani and Stephen Bassett have a wider  
horizon on this subject than one conjectured with a suspect  
political cant, a numbing personal bias, or such obvious  
ufological prejudice. That said... I think a cracker-jack  
program one SDI would be a debate of the issue between the  
three... Mr. Kimball and Mr. Bassett from their respective  
sides of the aisle and Mr. Viggiani moderating from a centrist's  
position. Errol and I could talk about who won and why at the  
end of the program.

Anybody up for that? I suspect it could be a watershed and of  
seminal importance... forgetting it is likely to be great radio.

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 20](#)

## Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 10:30:05 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 10:43:49 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Shell

From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thursday, January 19, 2006 8:52 AM  
Subject: Mars Exploration Rover Engineering Cameras

On Jan 20, 2006, at 8:04 AM, John Scheldroup  
<[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>wrote:

>2.4.2. Navcam

>[19] The Navigation Cameras (Navcams, Figures 4a and 4b) are  
>optically identical to the Descent camera: f/12 cameras with a  
>14.67 mm focal length. Each Navcam camera has a 45deg x 45deg  
>field of view (60.7deg diagonal), which is roughly equivalent to  
>a 40 mm lens on a 35 mm camera. The angular resolution at the  
>center of the field of view is 0.82 mrad/pixel. The depth of  
>field of the Navcam camera ranges from 0.5 m to infinity, with  
>best focus at 1.0 m. The Navcams use a combination of filters  
>(Schott OG590, KG5, and an ND1.3) to create a red band-pass  
>filter centered at ~650 nm. Figure 5 shows the Navcam spectral  
>responsivity as a function of wavelength. The nominal Navcam  
>exposure time for a noontime image on the surface of Mars ( $\tau =$   
>0.5) is ~0.25 s. This exposure time is 50 times the frame  
>transfer time of 5.1 ms, which ensures that the image signal is  
>significantly larger than the image smear acquired during the  
>frame transfer.

OK, this is what I wanted to know. Since the lens has a focal  
length of 14.67mm, but a diagonal field of view of 60.7  
degrees, the sensor is quite small, about 1/3 the size of a 35mm  
film frame.

The depth of field is stated to range from 0.5 m to infinity  
with the lens focused at 1 meter. That means anything closer  
than half a meter will be blurred, and the closer to the lens  
the more blurred. This completely rules out that the artifact  
was caused by something on the surface of the lens.

We're also told that the effective shutter speed is one quarter  
of a second at Martian noon. Do we know what time of day the  
image in question was taken? For the moment, let's assume it  
was around noon and exposure was 1/4 second. This pretty  
effectively rules out a meteorite, which would leave a long  
streak trail in an exposure that long. Whatever this was, it  
was moving relatively slowly, since it recorded as a very short  
streak in a rather long exposure.

So I don't see this info getting us that much closer to knowing  
what the object is, but it helps us by telling us some things it  
manifestly isn't. We're dealing with something at least one  
meter from the camera, in my opinion, flying through the air  
rather slowly.

I'd say that certainly makes it worth further investigation.

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [VirtuallyStrange.net](#) > [UFO](#) > [UpDates Mailing List](#) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## New Pine Bush NY Group Site & Book

From: Vinny Polise <[Pinebushufo.nul](mailto:Pinebushufo.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 10:48:09 EST  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 10:11:24 -0500  
Subject: New Pine Bush NY Group Site & Book

All,

I know this is an excellent List and Archive. I just wanted to say thank you for all the hard work that everyone does to keep us updated with research in the UFO and Paranormal community.

I also want to throw this out there and possibly get some support. Most readers know about the Hudson Valley in New York. For decades UFOs have been flying high across the skies of New York State. Hundreds of documented reports have been taken and few books have been written on the Hudson Valley UFO sightings.

There were and still are 'special' areas that loom in the Valley that contain high amounts of strange activity.

Pine Bush, NY 12566 is one of those areas. I have created a group for people that have visited or continue to visit this area.

I would love to have you all onboard to discuss activity in this extremely "active" area! Please feel free to post with your stories and experiences. We all have our experiences and stories to tell and I am sure there are hundreds that need to be heard!!!

You can either join up at [pinebushufo-subscribe.nul](mailto:pinebushufo-subscribe.nul) or from the original site at [www.pinebushufo.com](http://www.pinebushufo.com)

Thanks for everyone's time,

Vinny Polise  
[www.pinebushufo.com](http://www.pinebushufo.com)  
[www.thepinebushphenomenon.com](http://www.thepinebushphenomenon.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) ]

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)**  
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.  
To subscribe please send your **first and last names** to [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
Message submissions should be sent to the **same** address.

---

Archive programming by [Glenn Campbell](#) at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [VirtuallyStrange.net](http://VirtuallyStrange.net) > [UFO](#) > [UpDates Mailing List](#) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## Secrecy News -- 01/20/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 11:07:16 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 10:13:15 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 01/20/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 8  
January 20, 2006

\*\* JUSTICE DEPT ISSUES WHITE PAPER ON NSA SURVEILLANCE  
\*\* THE LEGAL SIGNIFICANCE OF PRESIDENTIAL SIGNING STATEMENTS  
\*\* NSA: REDACTING WITH CONFIDENCE

### JUSTICE DEPT ISSUES WHITE PAPER ON NSA SURVEILLANCE

The Department of Justice renewed its legal defense of warrantless domestic intelligence surveillance by the National Security Agency in a 42 page white paper transmitted to Congress yesterday.

The white paper essentially reiterates at greater length the previous defenses articulated by the Bush Administration: (1) the NSA surveillance action was authorized by Congress when it passed the 2001 resolution on use of military force against al Qaeda; and (2) the President has inherent authority to conduct such surveillance in any case. Both assertions are widely disputed.

"The President -- in light of the broad authority to use military force in response to the attacks of September 11th and to prevent further catastrophic attack expressly conferred on the President by the Constitution and confirmed and supplemented by Congress in the AUMF [authorization for use of military force] -- has legal authority to authorize the NSA to conduct the signals intelligence activities he has described. Those activities are authorized by the Constitution and by statute, and they violate neither FISA nor the Fourth Amendment," the document concludes.

See "Legal Authorities Supporting the Activities of the National Security Agency Described by the President," Department of Justice White Paper, January 19, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/nsa/doj011906.pdf>

### THE LEGAL SIGNIFICANCE OF PRESIDENTIAL SIGNING STATEMENTS

When he signed the 2006 Defense Appropriations Act, which included a prohibition against torture of detainees in U.S. custody, President Bush issued a signing statement implying that he could disregard the new prohibition in his capacity as commander in chief.

"The executive branch shall construe [the statute], relating to detainees, in a manner consistent with the constitutional authority of the President to supervise the unitary executive branch and as Commander in Chief," he wrote in the December 30, 2005 statement on H.R. 2863.

The use of Presidential signing statements to create a kind of

quasi-legislative history intended to influence future judicial rulings is a relatively new and increasingly controversial phenomenon.

"So far as we have been able to determine, Presidential signing statements that purported to create legislative history for the use of the courts was uncommon -- if indeed it existed at all -- before the Reagan and Bush Presidencies," according to a 1993 memorandum from the Department of Justice Office of Legal Counsel.

"The Reagan and Bush Administrations made frequent use of Presidential signing statements, not only to declare their understanding of the constitutional effect of the statutory language, but also to create evidence on which the courts could rely in construing such language."

Among other problems with this practice, "it is arguable that 'by reinterpreting those parts of congressionally enacted legislation of which he disapproves, the President exercises unconstitutional line-item veto power'."

See "The Legal Significance of Presidential Signing Statements," prepared by Assistant Attorney General Walter Dellinger, November 3, 1993:

<http://www.usdoj.gov/olc/signing.htm>

NSA: REDACTING WITH CONFIDENCE

The National Security Agency has issued new guidance to assist officials in redacting (censoring) documents in Microsoft Word format and producing unclassified Adobe Portable Document (PDF) files without inadvertently disclosing sensitive information.

"MS Word is used throughout the DoD and the Intelligence Community (IC) for preparing documents, reports, notes, and other formal and informal materials. PDF is often used as the format for downgraded or sanitized documents."

"There are a number of pitfalls for the person attempting to sanitize a Word document for release."

For example, "As numerous people have learned to their chagrin, merely converting an MS Word document to PDF does not remove all [sensitive] metadata automatically."

"This paper describes the issue, and gives a step-by-step description of how to do it with confidence that inappropriate material will not be released."

See "Redacting with Confidence: How to Safely Publish Sanitized Reports Converted From Word to PDF," National Security Agency, December 13, 2005:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/othergov/dod/nsa-redact.pdf>

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sgp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News has an RSS feed at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sgp/news/secrecy/index.rss>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood

Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) ]

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)**  
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.  
To subscribe please send your **first and last names** to [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
Message submissions should be sent to the **same** address.

---

Archive programming by [Glenn Campbell](#) at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [VirtuallyStrange.net](http://VirtuallyStrange.net) > [UFO](#) > [UpDates Mailing List](#) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Groff

From: Terry Groff <[terrygroff.nul](mailto:terrygroff.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 10:27:45 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 10:16:37 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Groff

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 10:30:05 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thursday, January 19, 2006 8:52 AM  
>Subject: Mars Exploration Rover Engineering Cameras

>On Jan 20, 2006, at 8:04 AM, John Scheldroup  
><[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>wrote:

>>2.4.2. Navcam

>>[19] The Navigation Cameras (Navcams, Figures 4a and 4b) are  
>>optically identical to the Descent camera: f/12 cameras with a  
>>14.67 mm focal length. Each Navcam camera has a 45deg x 45deg  
>>field of view (60.7deg diagonal), which is roughly equivalent to  
>>a 40 mm lens on a 35 mm camera. The angular resolution at the  
>>center of the field of view is 0.82 mrad/pixel. The depth of  
>>field of the Navcam camera ranges from 0.5 m to infinity, with  
>>best focus at 1.0 m. The Navcams use a combination of filters  
>>(Schott OG590, KG5, and an ND1.3) to create a red band-pass  
>>filter centered at ~650 nm. Figure 5 shows the Navcam spectral  
>>responsivity as a function of wavelength. The nominal Navcam  
>>exposure time for a noontime image on the surface of Mars ( $\tau$

>>0.5) is ~0.25 s. This exposure time is 50 times the frame  
>>transfer time of 5.1 ms, which ensures that the image signal is  
>>significantly larger than the image smear acquired during the  
>>frame transfer.

>OK, this is what I wanted to know. Since the lens has a focal  
>length of 14.67mm, but a diagonal field of view of 60.7  
>degrees, the sensor is quite small, about 1/3 the size of a 35mm  
>film frame.

>The depth of field is stated to range from 0.5 m to infinity  
>with the lens focused at 1 meter. That means anything closer  
>than half a meter will be blurred, and the closer to the lens  
>the more blurred. This completely rules out that the artifact  
>was caused by something on the surface of the lens.

List,

What do you think would cause the same exact anomaly on three  
different images?

Note the blemish on each along the top-left edge.

Taken on SOL 67.

[http://marsrovers.jpl.nasa.gov/gallery/all/spirit\\_n067.html](http://marsrovers.jpl.nasa.gov/gallery/all/spirit_n067.html)

1.

<http://tinyurl.com/8f956>

2.

<http://tinyurl.com/dzynk>

3.

<http://tinyurl.com/c79d2>

Obviously it isn't an airborne object but if it isn't a speck of dust then what could it be? Pixel dropout maybe?

Terry

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) ]

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)**

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your **first and last names** to [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)

Message submissions should be sent to the **same** address.

---

Archive programming by [Glenn Campbell](#) at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [VirtuallyStrange.net](#) > [UFO](#) > [UpDates Mailing List](#) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## Re: USOs On US History Channel - Freeman

From: Kelly Freeman <[Khfflsciufu.nul](mailto:Khfflsciufu.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 16:08:27 EST  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 10:19:03 -0500  
Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel - Freeman

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egerman.nul](mailto:egerman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 10:22:19 -0800  
>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>>From: Kelly Freeman <[Khfflsciufu.nul](mailto:Khfflsciufu.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 08:00:19 EST  
>>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>>The theories proposed that UFOs originate from long, lost  
>>civilizations are, as you may know, prevalent among the fringe  
>>literature being circulated out there.

<snip>

>Isn't the study of UFO's considered a "fringe" activity? So  
>you're really suggesting that studying ancient civilizations and  
>their connection to UFO is a "fringe" of a "fringe", but  
>prevalent among the circulated literature.

I certainly don't consider the study of UFOs a "fringe"  
activity. However, there are some who make it that way.

>While there is a connection made by many researchers between  
>ancient civilizations and UFO, it is seldom stated that UFO  
>originate from places like Atlantis, etc. The common fringe idea  
>is that star pilots influenced and helped these communities to  
>thrive and become civilized. Pleiadians or Zetas gave stone age  
>natives important information and taught them skills such as  
>writing and math. That's why we are the way we are today.

I probably shouldn't have used the word "originate" so loosely.  
I would have been more accurate by saying, "originate from the  
remnants of", or "connected with" long, lost civilizations.

I was specifically referring to those theories that allege,  
using Atlantis as an example, there were survivors of the  
cataclysmic event who retreated and reestablished themselves  
elsewhere.

I believe that's where some of the subterranean/Hollow Earth  
stories come from, although, there are variations on the theme.  
Welcome to the "fringe".

Kelly

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) ]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your **first and last names** to [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)

Message submissions should be sent to the **same** address.

---

Archive programming by [Glenn Campbell](#) at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [VirtuallyStrange.net](#) > [UFO](#) > [UpDates Mailing List](#) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## Re: USOs On US History Channel - Freeman

From: Kelly Freeman <[Khfflsciufu.nul](mailto:Khfflsciufu.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 16:44:32 EST  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 10:20:09 -0500  
Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel - Freeman

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 14:44:16 -0400  
>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>Though I was interviewed for the show, I have no idea what will  
>be in it. Maybe ET archeology grad students are exploring the  
>remnants of Atlantis, underwater, with their USOs.

Hello Stan,

Yeah, that would be a more probable scenario. But then, where do they go when they're done exploring? Hmmm.

Kelly

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) ]

UFO UpDates - Toronto - [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.  
To subscribe please send your **first and last names** to [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
Message submissions should be sent to the **same** address.

---

Archive programming by [Glenn Campbell](#) at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [VirtuallyStrange.net](http://VirtuallyStrange.net) > [UFO](#) > [UpDates Mailing List](#) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## Colonel Corso & His Critics

From: Victor Stead <[vicstead.nul](mailto:vicstead.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 12:44:14 +0800  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 10:26:17 -0500  
Subject: Colonel Corso & His Critics

Dear List Members,

I like many others am one of the 'Lurkers', people who subscribe to your excellent List and learn much from it but don't always feel that we should write unless we have something important to contribute.

I for one am always interested in the varied opinions of the people who post. Frequently much research has been put into their opinions and, whether we agree with them or not, they are of interest and broaden our approach to the subject of UFOs. Through this List I have been introduced to several member's web sites and learned much more.

I was particularly interested to find out about Jerry Cohen and through him to discover the works of the late Bob Pratt.

Like Mr. Cohen I have seen a UFO. I, in the company of many other people, saw a large UFO off the stern of an aircraft carrier in 1965. I was serving on this carrier at the time and we were not flying that that day. Hence my interest in the UFO subject.

It is always a pleasure to read posts from the likes of Stanton Friedman and many other greats and well-knowns from the field of UFO research. I also enjoy reading the opinions of Dr. Michael Salla. I don't always agree with everything that he has to say but he is surely entitled to his opinion without being savaged for it.

I read Lt. Col. Corso's book several times and personally believe it to be substantially true. Why would an old man near to death make that entire story up?

I'm sure that he didn't need the money and as a Lt. Colonel he had held down many responsible positions during and post his military career. The book was, after all, co-written and possibly minor inconsistencies slipped in during a time when Lt. Col. Corso was very old and in failing health.

There will always be three types of people on this and any other UFO list.

Those who beyond any doubt have seen a UFO.

Those who have been swayed by others and believe that UFOs exist.

The sceptics who wouldn't believe in UFOs if one landed on their front lawn in broad daylight.

Well Ladies and Gentlemen, I am going back to have a good lurk.

I would like to extend my personal thanks to Mr. Errol Bruce-Knapp who runs this List and makes all of the news and opinions available to the rest of us.

Best regards to you all,

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) ]

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)**  
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.  
To subscribe please send your **first and last names** to [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
Message submissions should be sent to the **same** address.

---

Archive programming by [Glenn Campbell](#) at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [VirtuallyStrange.net](http://VirtuallyStrange.net) > [UFO](#) > [UpDates Mailing List](#) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## Re: Salla Crosses The Rubicon - Goldstein

From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 23:02:40 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 10:28:34 -0500  
Subject: Re: Salla Crosses The Rubicon - Goldstein

>Source: Paul Kimball's Blog

><http://redstarfilms.blogspot.com/>

>Thursday, January 19, 2006

>Salla Crosses the "Rubicon"

>The attack dogs of ufology are usually pretty good at going  
>after guys like me whenever we say or write anything that is  
>even remotely skeptical. Fair is fair - if one is going to step  
>into the public arena and hold forth on any subject, one can  
>expect some criticism, even of the mean-spirited, personal  
>attack variety.

>However, I wonder whether these ufological pit bulls are going  
>to go after Michael Salla just as quickly, and vehemently, for  
>his most recent Exopolitics Journal column, wherein he labels  
>ufologists Stan Friedman, Kevin Randle and Brad Sparks as  
>"debunkers".

<snip>

>Michael Salla's Phd isn't worth the paper it's printed on, not  
>because it isn't from an accredited institution of higher  
>learning, but because Salla has disgraced himself, and brought  
>disrepute on his chosen field of study (ufology), through:

>(a) his actions and statements;

>(b) his lack of academic comity with his fellow researchers,  
>and;

>(c) his failure to apply even the most minimal standards of  
>academic and intellectual rigour.

>In other words, he (and his goofy fellow travellers) are a  
>blight on the serious study of the UFO phenomenon.

>It's time for ufology to give them the collective heave-ho.

>Don't appear at their conferences.

>Boycott any radio programs or conferences that have them on.

>Ban them from Internet groups and Lists.

>Give them the cold shoulder from this point forward.

>They deserve nothing more, and the serious study of the UFO  
>phenomenon demands nothing less.

Hello Paul,

I appreciate what you have been writing in regard to Michael Salla. I recently received a surprise, an email from Salla. He was asking for help. He had the names of some ex-military people

who claimed they witnessed Dwight Eisenhower's alleged visit to Edwards AFB to see aliens. He asked me if I would investigate those people.

After all my criticism of Salla last year that email was really a surprise. I told him I wasn't interested and I referred him to Kevin Randle. :- ) Salla shortly informed me he had found someone else.

Josh Goldstein

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) ]

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)**  
Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.  
To subscribe please send your **first and last names** to [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)  
Message submissions should be sent to the **same** address.

---

Archive programming by [Glenn Campbell](#) at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO UpDates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [VirtuallyStrange.net](#) > [UFO](#) > [UpDates Mailing List](#) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## UFO Flotilla Photographed Over Spain?

From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 08:20:34 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 10:33:37 -0500  
Subject: UFO Flotilla Photographed Over Spain?

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
January 21, 2006

Source: [www.analuisacid.com](http://www.analuisacid.com)  
Date: January 20, 2006

Spain: UFO FLOTILLA PHOTOGRAPHED OVER SPAIN?

On Thursday, January 5, 2006, a fleet of spheres was recorded over Madrid, Spain.

The witness, identifying herself only as "Fortuna", mentioned that she was taking a walk in Madrid's northwestern section, photographing the landscape and some of the contrails left by passing airliners.

"The sun was behind me and I was looking north. I saw an airliner go by and suddenly I saw a group of elongated things coming in from the East. At first I thought the airliner itself had ejected something, but then I concentrated my gaze on them and forgot about the aircraft.

"I had the sensation that they [the unknown objects] were elongated objects flying in formation. There was a large number of them and I thought they could be birds, only to realize that this was not the case -- they were small brilliant spheres that blinked and flew in two separate groups, heading west.

"They were not flying in a straight line, only making very small movements. I was stunned. It all took place very quickly, no more than a minute elapsed from the moment I saw them until I stopped seeing them.

"Both groups traveled swiftly and at a near distance; it could be clearly seen that they flew together."

---

Translation (c) 2005, Scott Corrales - IHU  
Special thanks to Ana Luisa Cid and Pablo Dessy

-----

Images at Frank Warren's Blog:

<http://frankwarren.blogspot.com>

ebk

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[ [This Month's Index](#) | [UFO UpDates Main Index](#) ]



**UFO UpDates - Toronto - [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)**

Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

A Hand-Operated E-Mail Subscription Service for the Study of UFO Related Phenomena.

To subscribe please send your **first and last names** to [ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net](mailto:ufoupdates@virtuallystrange.net)

Message submissions should be sent to the **same** address.

---

Archive programming by [Glenn Campbell](#) at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## Re: Shiamak Davar's Encounter With The UFO -

From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 08:53:38 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 10:35:49 -0500  
Subject: Re: Shiamak Davar's Encounter With The UFO -

>From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 00:24:39 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Shiamak Davar's Encounter With The UFO

><snip>

>Do any Listerions know if Bollywood in India has made any UFO  
>and space alien movies? If so that would be interesting to  
>watch.

<http://gorilla.coldfusionvideo.com/index.php?p=119>

The Indian film industry does not produce a lot of science fiction.

Fantasy films are actually fairly common, especially when tinged with Hindu theology; snake movies are practically a separate genre, and more than one film ends with "and then Kali shows up and kills all the bad guys."

But science fiction... the Aamir Khan production of The Time Machine never made it to theatres, and even Fun2sssh, Bollywood's answer to Bill and Ted, features an explicitly magical method of time travel.

So if, for whatever reason, you want Bollywood aliens, your only real choice is Koi... Mil Gaya (2003).

<http://www.filedonkey.com/search/Koi+Mil+Gaya+/::ad>

John

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 10:29:35 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 10:41:34 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Shell

>From: Terry Groff <[terrygroff.nul](mailto:terrygroff.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 10:27:45 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>What do you think would cause the same exact anomaly on three  
>different images?

>Note the blemish on each along the top-left edge.

>Taken on SOL 67.

>[http://marsrovers.jpl.nasa.gov/gallery/all/spirit\\_n067.html](http://marsrovers.jpl.nasa.gov/gallery/all/spirit_n067.html)

>1.

><http://tinyurl.com/8f956>

>2.

><http://tinyurl.com/dzynk>

>3.

><http://tinyurl.com/c79d2>

>Obviously it isn't an airborne object but if it isn't a speck of  
>dust then what could it be? Pixel dropout maybe?

It isn't a speck of dust on the lens, but it could be a speck of  
dust on the image sensor. I've seen similar on digital cameras.

It isn't pixel dropout, I don't think, because it is more than  
one pixel. Pixel dropout is usually single pixel.

It could also be part of the clamp that holds the sensor in  
place and was improperly trimmed during assembly, a stray bit of  
wire, or any number of things.

Since it is constant from image  
to image it can be discounted as anything significant.

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Scheldroup

From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 09:45:39 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 11:22:55 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Scheldroup

>From: Terry Groff <[terrygroff.nul](mailto:terrygroff.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 10:27:45 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 10:30:05 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>>From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thursday, January 19, 2006 8:52 AM  
>>Subject: Mars Exploration Rover Engineering Cameras

<snip>

>What do you think would cause the same exact anomaly on three  
>different images?

>Note the blemish on each along the top-left edge.

>Taken on SOL 67.

>[http://marsrovers.jpl.nasa.gov/gallery/all/spirit\\_n067.html](http://marsrovers.jpl.nasa.gov/gallery/all/spirit_n067.html)

>1.

><http://tinyurl.com/8f956>

>2.

><http://tinyurl.com/dzynk>

>3.

><http://tinyurl.com/c79d2>

>Obviously it isn't an airborne object but if it isn't a speck of  
>dust then what could it be? Pixel dropout maybe?

Terry, List,

It's in this image too..

Taken on Sol 094

<http://tinyurl.com/ar7s3>

[http://home.centurytel.net/cty90143/jpeg/marsrover/2N134\\_1E.JPG](http://home.centurytel.net/cty90143/jpeg/marsrover/2N134_1E.JPG)

John

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## Pamela Stonebrooke Resurfaces

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 11:30:57 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 11:30:57 -0500  
Subject: Pamela Stonebrooke Resurfaces

Source: The Sun - London, UK

<http://www.thesun.co.uk/article/0,,5-2006030221,00.html>

Saturday, January 21, 2006

I 'Romped' With 6' Alien

By Louise Compton  
Sun Online

Few people can claim to have experienced 'out of this world' sex - but Pamela Stonebrooke is a woman who can.

The 52-year-old jazz singer says she enjoyed mind-blowing alien romps with a six-foot reptilian for three years.

Her story is among a number featured in World's Strangest UFO Stories which premieres this Sunday on the Discovery Channel.

Speaking exclusively to The Sun Online, Pamela revealed: "My first sexual encounter with an alien was unlike any love-making I've experienced before.

"It was so intense and enjoyable and, without wanting to get too graphic, he was so much larger than most men.

"I remember exactly how I felt when I saw him for the first time. I awoke from my sleep to find myself making love to what appeared to be a Greek god.

"At first I assumed it was an exceptionally lucid dream. But the sex was very intense and as I closed my eyes I was overwhelmed by how comfortable I felt with this unknown being.

"The next time I opened my eyes he had transformed into a reptilian entity with scaly, snake-like skin. It was then I realised I was making love to a shape-shifting alien.

"Sensing I was scared, the reptile whispered, 'We've always been together, we love each other.'

"The orgasms were intense. When I tell men about my reptilian experience, they find it difficult."

Pamela said her first alien bonk took place in 1998, more than a year after her first extra-terrestrial encounter.

At the time, she was working as a high-powered celebrity agent in Houston, USA, and says she had no interest in the mysterious world of little green men.

She told us: "My first conscious contact was in 1994. At the time I was working long hours so it was just a normal, busy day at the office.

"That night I went to sleep early and instead of waking up at the normal time and heading off to work, I awoke aboard what seemed to be a space craft.

"I found myself huddled in the foetal position in a very dimly lit, metallic room, shaped like a truncated pyramid.

"I looked around nervously and a line of small, grey beings walked into the room looking at me.

"One of them, I think she was female, approached me and said: 'Don't be afraid, just come with me.'

"I followed her into another room and she shut the door behind us. As soon as it shut, it disappeared and there were three smaller female aliens looking at me and calling me 'Mummy'.

"All my fears hit me at once. I was absolutely terrified.

"Then the next thing I knew I was back in my bed again. It left me feeling incredibly frightened because the experience was so real.

"I got up to splash my face with water and as I did so, I rolled up the sleeves of my kimono and noticed a number of little bruise marks on my arms.

"When I saw them it brought everything back. And I remembered them grabbing my arms which must have left the marks.

"I didn't sleep well that night. In fact I didn't sleep well for nearly a year."

Fascinated by her experience, Pamela arranged to be regressed via hypnosis to see if she could find out more about what happened to her.

She told us: "It was fascinating and it brought back lots of memories which led me to believe I'd had more than one alien encounter.

"It was amazing because I had always found the extra-terrestrial world pretty ludicrous. But I began to see things differently.

"I even asked an artist to draw my impressions of the reptilian visitor and started a support group for people who have experienced similar things.

"It was initially difficult to tell family and friends about, but I overcame the embarrassment factor pretty quickly.

"I honestly don't care if people laugh at my story because I would have been the same prior to my mind-expanding encounters."

---

Pamela's story is among a number featured in World's Strangest UFO Stories which premieres this Sunday on Discovery Channel. Visit Pamela's website at:

[www.intergalacticdiva.com](http://www.intergalacticdiva.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## Re: Salla Crosses The Rubicon - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 08:36:33 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 11:46:55 -0500  
Subject: Re: Salla Crosses The Rubicon - Hatch

>From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 23:02:40 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Salla Crosses The Rubicon

>>Source: Paul Kimball's Blog  
>><http://redstarfilms.blogspot.com/>

>>Thursday, January 19, 2006  
>>Salla Crosses the "Rubicon"

>Hello Paul,

>I appreciate what you have been writing in regard to Michael  
>Salla. I recently received a surprise, an email from Salla. He  
>was asking for help. He had the names of some ex-military people  
>who claimed they witnessed Dwight Eisenhower's alleged visit to  
>Edwards AFB to see aliens. He asked me if I would investigate  
>those people.

>After all my criticism of Salla last year that email was really  
>a surprise. I told him I wasn't interested and I referred him to  
>Kevin Randle. :-) Salla shortly informed me he had found someone  
>else.

Hello Josh:

Is it true that Salla's dolphins are in psychic contact with ET  
as he advertised on his website?

Dolphins have always amazed me. Forever smiling though they  
can't light a cigarette.

- Larry

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Hatch

From: **Larry Hatch** <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 08:52:45 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 12:31:56 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Hatch

>From: Victor Stead <[vicstead.nul](mailto:vicstead.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 12:44:14 +0800  
>Subject: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>Dear List Members,

>I like many others am one of the 'Lurkers', people who  
>subscribe to your excellent List and learn much from it but  
>don't always feel that we should write unless we have something  
>important to contribute.

Welcome to the List Victor!

If you are like me, you will find yourself wavering between  
opposing opinions as each new bit of info comes in.

As for Corso/Salla, I personally scrapped both of them as  
preposterous long ago. Salla is completely over the edge, but  
your mileage may vary.

Personal opinions aside, its good to see a lurker come in from  
the dark.

Best wishes

- Larry Hatch

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Groff

From: Terry Groff <[terrygroff.nul](mailto:terrygroff.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 11:03:39 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 12:33:08 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Groff

>From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 09:45:39 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>>From: Terry Groff <[terrygroff.nul](mailto:terrygroff.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 10:27:45 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>>>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 10:30:05 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>>>From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thursday, January 19, 2006 8:52 AM  
>>>Subject: Mars Exploration Rover Engineering Cameras

><snip>

>>What do you think would cause the same exact anomaly on three  
>>different images?

>>Note the blemish on each along the top-left edge.

>>Taken on SOL 67.

>>[http://marsrovers.jpl.nasa.gov/gallery/all/spirit\\_n067.html](http://marsrovers.jpl.nasa.gov/gallery/all/spirit_n067.html)

>>1.

>><http://tinyurl.com/8f956>

>>2.

>><http://tinyurl.com/dzynk>

>>3.

>><http://tinyurl.com/c79d2>

>>Obviously it isn't an airborne object but if it isn't a speck of  
>>dust then what could it be? Pixel dropout maybe?

>Terry, List,

>It's in this image too..

>Taken on Sol 094

><http://tinyurl.com/ar7s3>

>[http://home.centurytel.net/cty90143/jpeg/marsrover/2N134\\_1E.JPG](http://home.centurytel.net/cty90143/jpeg/marsrover/2N134_1E.JPG)

>John

Yes, indeed. It seems the it's in all of the left Nav cam pics from the Spirit rover.

I just noticed in the SOL 69 set that there is an anomaly similar to the original one being discussed in this thread. It's visible in the left camera but not in the right

Left Nav Cam

<http://tinyurl.com/c238z>

Right Nav Cam

<http://tinyurl.com/72ohp>

Full set

<http://tinyurl.com/94enp>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 13:24:14 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 13:06:13 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Ledger

>From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 09:45:39 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>>From: Terry Groff <[terrygroff.nul](mailto:terrygroff.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 10:27:45 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

<snip>

>>Obviously it isn't an airborne object but if it isn't a speck of  
>>dust then what could it be? Pixel dropout maybe?

>Terry, List,

>It's in this image too..

>Taken on Sol 094

><http://tinyurl.com/ar7s3>

>[http://home.centurytel.net/cty90143/jpeg/marsrover/2N134\\_1E.JPG](http://home.centurytel.net/cty90143/jpeg/marsrover/2N134_1E.JPG)

Hi John,

This is very interesting as well. The blow-up you provided, however, is not of the ever-present black vertical spec at the upper left hand, and on the very edge of the image about 15% in from the top left corner. I've seen this little aberration many times in images of the sky where there is a light background. It disappears into any dark background and takes some searching to find it.

But now John has introduced another anomaly. Is this one of the Orbiters?

Don ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## Sandia Tram & Hawk Tales

From: Jay Nelson <[jnelson.nul](mailto:jnelson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 12:52:18 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 15:51:58 -0500  
Subject: Sandia Tram & Hawk Tales

Listarians --

Ace reporter Linda Mouton Howe has posted an interview with Dr. Bruce Maccabee regarding Hawk and his tales, which Dr. Maccabee kindly posted a link to here some time ago.

<http://www.earthfiles.com/news/news.cfm?ID=1040&category=Science>

One of the many interesting points is that they positively identify Sandia Tramway as the site for certain MJ-12 meetings back in the mid-60s. Having grown up in and being still resident in Albuquerque, after reading the original "Hawk Tales" document, I cruised around town checking it out. "Hawk Tales" did not identify the conference site by name. But I must confirm that the Sandia Tram Base Terminal building is indeed the only site in the area that fits Hawk's original description for the MJ-12 meeting spot, especially the way it would have been back in the day.

I also checked what I could of the other off-base sites mentioned. I believe the document mentions a disk being kept for some time at a small private airfield nearby named Coronado Airport. This seems rather unlikely. There is no hanger mentioned like that today, and it's hard to imagine they would stash something so important in such an open location right next to the interstate when not far away there was Kirtland Air Force Base with its multiple layers of security around Sandia Labs, the supposed atomic repository, and God knows what else under the Manzano Mountains.

However, the huge, round FAA complex adjacent to Coronado certainly seems like it could be large and secure enough to function as cover for an MJ-12 HQ as claimed. If so, maybe that's why they kept a saucer next door...

Anyway, you can check Google Maps and see for yourself.

I've always suspected spooks were all over this town. But I would never expect them to meet anywhere but behind layers and layers of barbed wire. Very tricky! Maybe Bugs Bunny on his trips through town wasn't so lost after all... ;->

Watch the skies!

Jay Nelson  
<http://www.weirdload.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## Re: USOs On US History Channel - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 16:24:37 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 15:53:22 -0500  
Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel - Friedman

>From: Kelly Freeman <[Khfflsciufu.nul](mailto:Khfflsciufu.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 16:44:32 EST  
>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 14:44:16 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>>Though I was interviewed for the show, I have no idea what will  
>>be in it. Maybe ET archeology grad students are exploring the  
>>remnants of Atlantis, underwater, with their USOs.

>Hello Stan,

>Yeah, that would be a more probable scenario. But then, where do  
>they go when they're done exploring? Hmmm.

Back to the great mother ship in the sky and then to a local ET  
base and then back home after their work study effort is  
finished.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction -

From: Jason Gammon <[LuckyHoodoo.nul](mailto:LuckyHoodoo.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 13:20:58 EST  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 15:55:46 -0500  
Subject: Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction -

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 13:57:41 EST  
>Subject: Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction

>>From: Jason Gammon <[LuckyHoodoo.nul](mailto:LuckyHoodoo.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 12:41:21 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction

>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 10:16:35 EST  
>>>Subject: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' and Alien Abduction

>>>I've just seen an episode of Penn & Teller's 'BS' show.

>>>For those unfamiliar, the magicians/comedy team picks subject  
>>>matter ranging from the Bible to weight loss fads and tears into  
>>>them without mercy.

>>>I'll admit some of the episodes are refreshing and funny as all  
>>>get out, but the one on alien abduction was a wee bit too mean  
>>>spirited. They focused on abdcutees and the 'nut' factor and  
>>>also attempted to invalidate Dr. Roger Leir's work.

>>>They didn't focus on any documentation whatsoever yet constantly  
>>>blurt out about the importance of doing science.

>>>On another episode they interviewed the Amazing Randi so much I  
>>>thought I was watching the Cartoon Network.

>>>Has anyone seen this show and these episodes of UFOs, abduction  
>>>and psychic claims in particular?

>>I've seen many of the episodes, including the alien abduction  
>>one, and I mostly enjoyed them.

>>If you haven't seen the one on Chiropracters then you are truly  
>>missing out! After watching a Chiropracter do their work on a  
>>small infant they quickly scream out "Baby Crackers!" <LOL>

>I've seen that episode. Very informative. My favorite is the  
>episode where they outted the mediums talking to the dead.

>>As far as Penn & Teller's treatment of the subject - well let's  
>>just say that they aren't the first celebrities to respond in  
>>this manner nor will they be the last.

>>Montell Williams is one of my favorite sceptics of the  
>>phenomena. Back in the 90's he reported that his military  
>>experience would have entitled him to any "secret knowledge"  
>>concerning UFOs and since he was never made aware of >such, then  
>>there is no truth to the subject.

>>Montell forgot to inform the audience that on prior shows he >had

>>already mentioned what rank he held, and though I can't >remember  
>>off-hand what it was, I can assure you that he was definately a  
>>"Pee-on".)

>Jason, Mr. Montel Williams is far from 'definitley a "Pee-on" '

>His military career is outstanding and an example of sheer  
>courage, tenacity, brilliance and courage. Not to mention  
>historic. His public military career is outlined at this  
>website:

>[http://www.military.com/Careers/Content1?file=trans\\_montel\\_williams.htm&area=Content](http://www.military.com/Careers/Content1?file=trans_montel_williams.htm&area=Content)

>You should do your research about a person before making a

<snip>

By all means, if the information provided in the website given  
is correct, I most definitely offer my sincerest apologies to  
Montel Williams.

However, at least I can say I wasn't the only person who came to  
a similar conclusion. I recieved two private emails from  
individuals who also believed Montel held a low rank. One even  
claimed Montel was a TSgt!

-Jason Gammon

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## A New Chapter In Bryant's 'Bleak House'

From: **Larry W. Bryant** <[overtci.nul](mailto:overtci.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 15:54:29 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 16:54:01 -0500  
Subject: A New Chapter In Bryant's 'Bleak House'

A New Chapter in "Bryant's 'Bleak House':  
Moving Toward Summary Judgment

By Larry W. Bryant

Though it wasn't Friday the 13th, it was a day of reckoning - seven days later, January 20, 2006. On that date, my attorney, Jonathan L. Katz, reached a milestone in U. S. District Court for the District of Columbia: by filing his motion for summary judgment in my First Amendment case of Bryant v. Rumsfeld, et al.

The motion seeks from the court declaratory and injunctive relief from the Defense Department's and the military service departments' censoring of some of my various whistleblower-solicitation advertisements submitted for prepublication review to selected military post/base weekly newspapers. Those rejected submissions date back to the fall of 2004, and my challenge to the Defense Department's labeling them "political ads" (and hence expressly barred from publication by DoD regulations) consists of two (now-consolidated) complaints: the first, filed in late June 2004; and the second, filed in early January 2005. For a copy of them, visit the web site of <http://www.markskatz.com/militarycases.htm>. Mr. Katz plans shortly to post the motion's contents upon his law firm's site.

There, scholars of constitutional law, the legal-affairs community, public-interest activists of every stripe, and champions of free speech/press and governmental openness will have direct access to a real-time case study dating back to the late 1950's (when my very first ad - about forming a local UFO-research group in Newport News, Va. - was rejected by officials at nearby Langley Air Force Base).

Focusing on some e-mail messages sent to me in the fall of 2004 by several Army/Navy/Air Force public affairs officers opposed to my ads' publication because of their supposed "political" content, Katz's motion points out that the officers' wide, even fuzzy range of verbal opposition exemplifies the impossibility of ever fairly determining what constitutes a "political ad". Hence, no court of law can countenance the ensuing unbridled discretion sought to be exercised by these officers in their judgment of a given ad's publishability. Even a first-year law student should have no difficulty grasping that concept of First Amendment jurisprudence, and I therefore expect the judge to declare that the military's vague and overbroad prohibition against the DoD newspapers' publication of "political ads" to be constitutionally invalid on its face and doubly impermissible as applied to my ad submissions.

Even if any of my submitted public-issue ads could be construed as "political," so what? Why shouldn't political speech (a category of "pure speech") enjoy at least the same (if not more) protection-from-governmental-interference as that afforded to commercial speech, entertainment speech, motivational speech, and religious speech when practiced in/on government property opened to expressive activity? And: since we know that all military personnel retain their First Amendment right to speak out on public issues, why should they arbitrarily be denied the right to RECEIVE others' speech on those same issues?

The joint defendants in this case have several weeks in which to reply to Katz's motion. Then, within a few weeks thereafter, he'll file a reply back to them. I understand that they, too, have just submitted a cross-motion for summary judgment. Here's hoping that the judge has no intention of competing with the generations-long lawsuit of Jarndyce v. Jarndyce (as so aptly depicted in Charles Dickens's masterpiece "Bleak House").

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## Re: Sandia Tram & Hawk Tales - Rimmer

From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 21:23:46 +0000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 16:55:04 -0500  
Subject: Re: Sandia Tram & Hawk Tales - Rimmer

>From: Jay Nelson <[jnelson.nul](mailto:jnelson.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 12:52:18 -0700  
>Subject: Sandia Tram & Hawk Tales

>Listarians --

>Ace reporter Linda Mouton Howe has posted an interview with Dr.  
>Bruce Maccabee regarding Hawk and his tales, which Dr. Maccabee  
>kindly posted a link to here some time ago.

><http://www.earthfiles.com/news/news.cfm?ID=1040&category=Science>

>One of the many interesting points is that they positively  
>identify Sandia Tramway as the site for certain MJ-12 meetings

A point of clarification for British readers, please. In Britain  
a "tram" is what Americans would call a streetcar. What is a  
"tram" in this context?

--  
John Rimmer

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Salla

From: Michael Salla <[exopolitics.nul](mailto:exopolitics.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 11:57:59 -1000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 17:03:12 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Salla

>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 08:52:45 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Victor Stead <[vicstead.nul](mailto:vicstead.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 12:44:14 +0800  
>>Subject: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>Dear List Members,

>>I like many others am one of the 'Lurkers', people who  
>>subscribe to your excellent List and learn much from it but  
>>don't always feel that we should write unless we have something  
>>important to contribute.

>Welcome to the List Victor!

>If you are like me, you will find yourself wavering between  
>opposing opinions as each new bit of info comes in.

>As for Corso/Salla, I personally scrapped both of them as  
>preposterous long ago. Salla is completely over the edge, but  
>your mileage may vary.

<snip>

I also welcome Victor's posting and glad someone is brave enough to step forward and support giving Col Corso a fair hearing as opposed to the 'debunking' that has occurred on this list that has mistakenly passed as 'objective criticism'.

Larry, I find your reasoning difficult to follow. You say you find yourself wavering as "each new bit of info comes in". Yet the information concerning Corso doesn't involve any wavering on your part and you consider his claims, along with my own defense of his claims, to be preposterous. I guess each "new bit of info" doesn't extend to whistleblower testimonies the veracity of which you seem to dismiss out of hand as preposterous. You don't give any reason for rejecting such testimonies but just state your position that these are preposterous. You, along with Josh Goldstein and Paul Kimball, seem to fall in line behind each new rehash of why such whistleblower testimonies should be dismissed on the basis that since Stan Friedman, Kevin Randle and Brad Sparks have been around for decades, that they couldn't be wrong when it comes to Corso's claims.

I think it important to point out to you and some others commenting on my "Corso and his Critics" article is that I distinguish between objective criticism and debunking. That is not a new distinction and researchers such as Bernard Haiash have done so in an effort to point out that there are boundaries in how to conduct a 'scholarly critique' of UFO evidence. For example, dismissing Corso's testimony as not persuasive based on a lack of corroborating evidence is a fair 'objective criticism' to make. As for calling Corso a 'liar', a 'fraud', a 'literary hoaxer' those are all claims that cross the boundary between 'objective criticism' and debunking. In terms of a university

term paper, many professors would mark down any student using such language due to it being unscholarly. In your own case, calling Corso's claims, and my support of his and other whistleblower testimonies, preposterous, that is not 'objective criticism', it's just rhetorical statement that crosses the boundary line into debunking.

I think it fair to point out that the UFO UpDates community comprises a mix of researchers, armchair theorists, genuine skeptics, and debunkers who liberally throw out one liners dismissing the hard work of others despite all the effort that goes into it. I think it worthwhile to introduce some scholarly standards in how we critique one another's views.

As for your apparent "wavering between different opinions," I think it worthwhile you spend more time wavering if that helps open your mind to diverse approaches to the UFO data/evidence.

Aloha,

Michael Salla

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## Re: USOs On US History Channel - Hall

From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 22:08:07 +0000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 17:28:15 -0500  
Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel - Hall

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 16:24:37 -0400  
>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>>From: Kelly Freeman <[Khfflsciufu.nul](mailto:Khfflsciufu.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 16:44:32 EST  
>>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 14:44:16 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>>>Though I was interviewed for the show, I have no idea what will  
>>>be in it. Maybe ET archeology grad students are exploring the  
>>>remnants of Atlantis, underwater, with their USOs.

>>Hello Stan,

>>Yeah, that would be a more probable scenario. But then, where do  
>>they go when they're done exploring? Hmmm.

>Back to the great mother ship in the sky and then to a local ET  
>base and then back home after their work study effort is  
>finished.

With perhaps an intermediate stop at the intergalactic bar for  
some liquid refreshment.

- Dick

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 21](#)

## Jan 20th Coast To Coast Show UFO Suspense

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 17:19:34 EST  
Fwd Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 17:47:38 -0500  
Subject: Jan 20th Coast To Coast Show UFO Suspense

Friday's show had George Noory and Linda Moulton-Howe.

It was a UFO show and Ms. Moulton-Howe had dug up some new witnesses and data that was amazing.

Not only that, but some callers chimed in with some amazing stories that opened doors to new data worthy of follow up.

It's episodes like that which invigorates this area of research.

Since C2C is the 500lb gorilla of the UFO field I've always hoped that it would focus more on UFOs and really dog pile the subject making witnesses more comfortable with coming forward and disclosing info.

We're only one disclosure or one witness away from really turning this subject inside out and upside down.

It's frustrating for sure, for like myself, I've got the witnesses and photos and documentation that would confirm without question many famous and not so famous UFO stories.

Problem is the witnesses are afraid to come forward due to fear of reprisals and psychiatric incarceration.

It's just a handshake away and it often gets me so irritated I could scream. Just last month a key witness let me know they were warming up to the idea of confirming several major events. It'll take some coaxing still on my part and the part of the UFO community to make the environment safe for these witnesses to finally step up to the plate.

There are key witnesses out there still. Going back even further than Roswell, but they're either too scared to talk or can't get through to the radio shows or don't know how to report what they know. We need to look at our reporting procedures and outreach techniques and us youngins need to listen to the veterans on the proper bedside manner.

I couldn't believe last night's C2C show. It was real edge of your seat excitement and suspense. We need more of it.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 22](#)

## Carbondale UFO Crash Chronicles Entry #5

From: **Matt Graeber** <[Matthewgraeber.nul](mailto:Matthewgraeber.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 15:48:15 EST  
Fwd Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 10:46:46 -0500  
Subject: Carbondale UFO Crash Chronicles Entry #5

Entry No. 5 The Carbondale UFO Crash Chronicles

The Transformaation Of Ufology And UFO Idols With Feet Of Clay

There has been a great deal written about the Transformational Affectsof the UFO experience upon the observers and the interfacers with alien creatures. Many times these incidents are alleged to have produced an enhanced form of spritual awakening, heightened awareness, or a relization of one's cosmic connection with the universe and it's many intelligent life forms. In extreme instances, the UFO experience is even said to have produced "Hybrid half-human and half-alien beings that are presently walking amongst us.

This folly is further expanded by a form of unbridled oneupsmanship, in which stories are routinely topped by more outlandish and embellished yarns, and we even find that not only have some fellows claimed to have discovered and identified more than 86 separate alien species presently visiting our planet but, there is an American abduction expert who proclaims that the "Greys (small statured bulbous-headed alien creatures), actually absorb life-sustaining nutrients in the air through their skin.

As far as I've been able to determine, the expert doesn't mention the rather delicate matter of how the Greys might un-absorb their body's waste materials. Perhaps, they don't, and that's why they smell so horrid on the numerous military base's autopsy tables!?

But, rather than rehashing the claims and the counter-claims which these many yarns have provoked from the saucer zealots, UFO enthusiasts, sceptics and debunkers - I will discuss the "Transformation of Factsthat the unobjective Ufologists quite often bring to fore concerning their misinterpretation and misrepresentation of the significance of their truely poor quality reports.

Example No. 1 (A blast from the past!)

I attended a UFO conference which was held in a high school auditorium at Pottstown, Pa. back in the early 70's, and the director of the UFO group speaking at the event presented a number of photographic slides of proported UFOs for the audience to view.

Many of the photos were rather old case images and were fregently written about by the popular UFO authors of the day. However, several were new to me and I found myself particularly interested in one slide that featured a pair of copper-colored disks flying in tight formation amidst the backdrop of a brilliant blue sky.

The disks were photographed from an approximate angle of about 40-45 degrees, and showed the pair of identical craft from the bottom with a pronounced leading and side edge. I was taken by the fact that this photo was very clear, well-centered in the frame, and did not have any distortion which might have been attributed to the craft's movement, camera movement, or the

blurred, fuzzy and slightly out-of-focus character of many other UFO photos also being displayed.

When the speaker's presentation ended, and the lights were rekindled in the school's auditorium there was a question and answer period in which inquiries were fielded by the speaker. At one point during this period, I raised my hand and asked the speaker if he might share a bit more information about the photo of the copper-colored UFOs with us. He readily admitted that he didn't know very much about the photo's origin except that it came from a small village in South America.

I asked if he could tell us something about credibility the person who took the photo, when it was taken, where it might have been taken and how it ended up in the assortment of photos he had presented? The speaker seemed to be a little stunned by my questions and replied that the photographer is unknown and presumably died in a mudslide that destroyed his entire village. The speaker didn't know the name of the village or, the date of the disaster. He also didn't know when the photo was taken. So, it would be virtually impossible to link the photo to a mudslide catastrophe that was published in newspapers somewhere in South America without at least knowing the approximate location or year of the incident. Even with knowing that, it would still be an investigative stretch to assume one positively knew anything about the reliability of the photos themselves.

When I mentioned the fact that these photos were probably not the best examples for audience presentation, an obviously annoyed lady seated in the front of the auditorium challenged my statement with a rather vehement remark. It was then that I first realized that pointed questions, and opposing points of view were not very welcome within the established UFO group community. (i. e. , It appeared that many of the conference attendees hadn't come to learn anything. They just wanted their preconceived beliefs on UFOs to be confirmed and/or bolstered by the presenters).

Interestingly, I had collected coins as a youngster, and suspected that these copper discs were actually coin planchets that hadn't been struck at the mint. (e. g. , American Revolution period large cents), for both appeared to have well-defined nicks along their outer edges, much like circulated coins viewed under magnification. I never got to mention this to the speaker, who shrugged off my questions by proclaiming that "he thought the photos were interesting and that's why he presented them at the conference. In other words, the UFO photos were not investigated for authenticity and photographer credibility before being presented to the audience.

I later reproduced the appearance of the UFO photo, by placing two large cents on a piece of transparent plexiglas and viewed them from a similar angle with the sky as the background. The result was astonishingly similar to the mysterious South American photo shown at the Pottstown conference. This was the first of many disappointing experiences with the fawning group enthusiasts and their leadership I would have during my eight year stint as the director of UFORIC the Philadelphia-based UFO Report & Information Center, 1972-80. (Although, I've been semi-active in the field for the last 33 years).

EXAMPLE No. 2 (Implants anyone?)

I attended a speaking engagement at a gathering of the Society of American Electrical and Mechanical Engineers in 1976 - in which I was to follow an elderly gentleman who had been researching UFO reports for decades. As I entered the dining room of the hall I encountered a young man assisting the primary speaker (we'll call him Mr. Compton), who was quite visually handicapped and poking about in a upright dining room cabinet which doubled as the speaker's podium and had a microphone affixed to it's top. Inside the cabinet both small oil and vinegar bottles were stored before being placed on the dining tables with the dinner salads.

Although the young man was repeatedly telling the speaker that only vinegar and oil bottles were stored in the cabinet- the legally blind speaker persisted in rummaging about in the cabinet as if looking for something else to be there. (It was quite strange and a oddly-amusing affair). I do not recall learning what Mr. Compton actually thought might have been

nestled within the cabinet.

As the speaker finally settled down behind the podium and the microphone was adjusted to his satisfaction, the lights in the dining room dimmed and the slide presentation and the experts lecture symotaneously began.

The first slide was a photo of an unfurled American flag. Mr. Compton said, I always show this slide first because I believe in truth! A voice from somewhere the darkness chimed in with something about "leaping tall buildings in a single boundbut, Mr. Compton didn't seem to be distracted by this comical comment as he continued. . "I've been investigating UFO reports for many years, and let me make it perfectly clear. . I'm no contactee! However, I do know a few, and if you listen to what I have to say you will be endowed by the friendly saucers and able to protect yourself from the hostiles"

Then a barrage of slides were shown in rapid succession with a quick explanation concerning the photographer/witnesses's credibility and the date and location of the alleged incident. Many of the photos were quite old and were obviously borrowed from UFO books and group journals. Most were poorly centered in the frame, blurry and of quite distant or small objects. (Were they insects on the wing, birds, frisbees or alien space ships, stars or planets, it was quite difficult for anyone to tell with any degree of certainty).

Then Mr. Compton warned the audience of the dangers of approaching the Globe, Football-shaped and Bee Hive-like UFOs and how to thwart their attacks with a common hand-held flashlight. Apparently, one could also use the flashlight to perform a "UFO Friendship Test", which was fully explained in Mr. Compton's 32 page pocket-sized booklet which was on sale in the rear of the hall.

Perhaps the most remarkable part of Mr. Compton's presentation concerned his revelations concerning a middle-aged woman (Mrs. Brotmann), who was out walking her beagle puppy at sunset on a summer's eve when she was struck down by fleeting a 2 1/2 to 3 inch in dianeter UFO.

According to Compton, Mrs. Brotmann had just been bending over while adjusting her puppy's collar and as she was starting to straighten up she was shocked to see the tiny UFO flying straight towards her face. She tried to take evasive action but, the glowing UFO was traveling so fast that it hit her squarely in the forehead knocking her to the ground, lodging itself in her brain!

A bit dazed and bewildered Mr. Brotmann finally regained her composure and was amazed to realize that there wasn't a mark on her face to show where the UFO had entered her cranium. Amazingly, after this incident Mr. Brotmann's IQ was greatly enhanced and according Mr. Compton she is now an engineer (Type not specified).

Would it be a stretch of sceptical speculation to point out that the "flagslide and the "engineer"connection in the Mrs. Brotmann story seems to be a bit "AmericamSociety of Mechanical and Electrical "Engineers"directed!?

An obviously concerned lady seated near the poidum asked Mr. Compton if he had taken Mrs. Brotmann to the hospital to have x-rays taken of her head injury. Compton quikly replied that he wanted to do so but, Mrs. Brotmann flatly refused treatment because of the voices in her head. Apparently, these were the voices of the UFO operators who did not want their presence publically revealed. Moreover, the x-rays would be lethal to the tiny Venusians who reportedly have been visiting Earth since the dawning of mankind.

This was the very first of the many so-called "Implant storiesI've heard of over the years. Compton dates the alleged incident to the early fifties. Naturally, I was quite shocked by the character of Mr. Compton's presentation and followed up with a rather capsulized talk on investigative methods employed at UFORIC. After this experience I decide to avoid public speaking engagements on UFOs, Press interviews and I rarely participated in radio talk show programming on the phenomenon. However, I did answer questions from the public over the phone at UFORIC

because we were in fact, a UFO "reportand "informationcenter.

While the above may sound too bizarre to be factual, I can assure you that it is quite factual, and that even stranger/wilder yarns are presented at many UFO conferences and websites. So, is there any wonder why mainstream scientists feel that something is not quite right about these wacky UFO experts and enthusiasts. Is there not a reason to suspect that they avoid and ignore the subject for fear of being associated with the kooks and crack pots who have always populated the largely unchecked and totally unregulated UFological landscape.

Moreover, why is it that if someone does question the validity of a reported incident, the UFO groups generally do not appreciate and applaud that individual's objectivity and tenaciousness - rather, they label him or her a sceptic and debunker while leaping to the defense of many less than credible eyewitnesses and fantasy-prone self-proclaimed UFO experts who bandy these yarns about.

All this while the so-called serious Ufologists have never proven that UFOs actually exist in the nuts and bolts sense of the word in 60 years of intensive inquiry, by thousands of group members and field investigators- not to mention the combined efforts of hundreds of professional consultants in the disciplines of metalurgy, psychology, optics, astronomy, biology, etc. etc.

Moreover these same groups invite Abduction Experts. Implant Researchers and Reversed Engineering promoters to their conferences to speak about aliens absorbing nutrients through their skin, telepathic communiques from benevolent alien races, and the mass production of hybrid babies aboard colossal motherships which are reportedly laden with human fetus' in liquid-filled jars. (What utter and nonsensical drivel!)

What are we to think of these deluded folks who inflict themselves and their half-baked theories upon the unsuspecting public, the all-to-eager UFO group members and press with "wildand completely "bogusUFO tales. What are we to think of so-called serious research UFO group leaders who stand by and permit these same individuals to thrust themselves upon their membership. I actually came across a fellow (we'll call him Fred), who had achieved some degree of acclaim in UFO circles with his outrageous crashed saucer investigations, alleged alien and MIB encounters, not to mention his own abduction report. Fred was actually an individual dealing with serious mental health issues.

Yet, Fred and the small group he is an important member of has a growing internet following consisting of many young people who are Yahoo members, and quite a number of senior citizens who are interested in the group's specialized senior services, such as prayer groups for those with spiritual,emotional and physical wants and needs.

Additionally, Fred had proudly posted information about his own improving mental health status and active MH volunteer contributions on the internet for all to read yet, other UFO researchers continually posted his UFO stories and reports at their sites,often thanking Fred for his contribution to serious Ufology. Fred was even the focus of an article in a leading European UFO magazine. Obviously, all had taken his reports at face value and never looked into the matter of his health and veracity before listing such hockum as credible UFO sightings and alien encounters reports.

I guess that a schizophrenic could have a reliable sighting experience but, how would one be able to establish such a report as factual vs. hallucinatory in nature?

So, the question immediately arises, Who is at fault here? The mental patient or the shoddy UFO researcher's who post such potentially delusional material for UFO enthusiasts to read and readily accept as reliable data? Even the very best computer VirusScans and Firewalls cannot protect a serious researcher's UFO database from that sort of contamination.

EXAMPLE No. 3 (The fossil remains of Mythical Creatures and Saucer Pilots).

In a 1996 book on the discovery of many mythical creature fossils, a Texas fellow, said to be a paleontologist, is suspected of actually sculpting and otherwise fabricating the so-called skeletal remains of mythical creatures, which included mermaids from both the Atlantic and Pacific oceans, leprechans and several other creative works. . Although the books titled clearly identified it as being about the discovery of "Mythicalcreatures, one of the major UFO group leaders of the day was so may captivated by a photograph of the alleged skeletal remains of a small creature that was imbedded in a concave plaster of paris cast. (Sort of like a little alien on the half-shell).

The Ufologist thought that the skeletal remains closely resembled those of a downed saucer pilot who reportedly crashed his spacecraft just prior to the turn of the 20th century at Aurora,Texas. Indeed, a UFO report involving the landing of two cigar-shaped objects at Ledonia,Texas was reported to have happened on April 16th 1897, and the Aurora crash (about a hundred miles away) was said to have occurred the following day. The fossil find story was cautiously but, favorably promoted in the UFO group's journal where it received wide attention by the membership. After all, if the group's leader thinks there's something to this story. . well, there must be something to it!

As time passed, and the story started to unravel, the group leader decided to retire albeit, without ever fully-acknowledging that he'd been mistaken about the significance of the bogus alien fossil finding at Ledonia. Jim Moseley of the zaney UFO newsletter "Saucer Smear", had been gently chiding the "Czaras he called the group leader about the bogus fossil; and I even drew a cartoon concerning the controversy which compared the fossil to that of Warner Brotheres cartoon character Marvin the Martian", whom as you may recall is actually Bugs Bunny's outer-space nemesis.

The entire alien creature fossil affair reminded me of a time as a youngster, when I first saw an authentic "Jackolopeat a hunting lodge. From what I later learned a taxidermist was producing the spoof-creature (A jack rabbit with small horns) for fun-loving hunters who wanted to bamboozle their sons and younger, less-experienced sportsmen in their group. It's the hunter's equivalent of "Snipe Huntingwith young boy scouts at camp for the first time.

So if we find such "UFOOLogyflourishing at the very top of the heap in the subcultural community of Saucerdomb or (Saucerdumb), take your pick. One wonders, how deeply might such a malady infect the group's internet list membership and the independent serious Ufologists who look to these groups and lists for database resuorces?

EXAMPLE NO. 4 (On the demise of 20th. Century-style Ufology)

While hearing from a researcher about the recent Mexican Roswell reoprt", and the sad state of contemporary Ufology in general, the subject of the Carbondale, Pa. 1974 UFO crash came up. He was somewhat amazed to learn that a small group from Wisconsin had managed to revive the long-ago hoax, and was currently claiming it to be a genuine saucer crash that was covered up by the military and the government.

In fact, they wanted people to "thinkCarbondale/Roswell, since they believed the case was actually much more significant than Roswell, and had many more reliable eyewitnesses. (Claims which are not only completely incorrect,they're absolutely ridiculous too!)

This group (BUFO), is headed by an aggressive internet imprsario (Mary Sutherland), who not only dabbles in saucers but, also operates an online match-making service and prayer sevice for those in need- while also featuring psychic readings for those daring enough to peek into their future at very reasonabe rate of just \$2. 95 per minute. But, that's just the tip of the iceberg on her UFO/Paranormal internet enterprises which include an Abductee Support Group and an array of items for sale at her online store and gift shoppe in scenic Burlington,Wisconsin.

The serious UFO researcher, who had long been studying a particular variety of UFO sighting seemed to be somewhat



dismayed that all this was going on while most of the fellows he had been contacting on The List probably felt that the Carbondale case was indeed a complete and clumsy hoax. Additionally, the Wisconsin group had established a dominant presence on the net at the "carbondale,pa. ufo crashsite, and was even skillfully promoting their crash /cover up yarns on internet radio (audio) and TV (video) links.

Of course, there is a so-called Mexican Roswell, the Kecksberg, Pa. incident which is often touted a Pennsylvania's Roswell. The Carbondale, Pa. hoax which the Wisconsin group is actively attempting to "turn into a Roswell tourist and entertainment industry - and of course, even the Rendlesham forest case is being foolishly called the UK's Roswell.

It seems that if you prefix or suffix the name of any downed or un-downed saucer story with the word "Roswell", the story automatically takes on an added dose of mystery, conspiracy and authenticity which far over-shadows any amount of obviously embarrassing evidence that might dismiss the entire incident as a fabrication or misidentification.

For many in the UFO community, Roswell is the line in the sand over which brutally vehement controversy rages. There is little middle ground on the topic, either you believe or you do not! If you do not, you are labeled a sceptic, a debunker and someone who has simply gone over to the other side.

Even though I never publically said I do not believe the Roswell incident is very accurately portrayed in the vast saucer literature. I have become something of a pirraha in the field simply because I questioned the veracity of two alleged star-eyewitnesses concerning the Roswell incident. (Both of whom were later discredited or believed to have been discredited by other proponent UFO researchers).

A Look Behind The Scenes  
(e-mails from the Ufological upper crust)

Let's see what the "List and the "Leaders have to say about this growing internet UFO group phenomenon in their midst. How do they feel about their own organizations dwindling membership, declining journal subscriptions and public appeal in the age of the internet saucer-hucksters? (I have changed the names of the e-mail writer's on this topic to avoid embarrassing the complacent and/or woefully inattentive).

Matt Graeber to Albet Benson, (a pseudonym) 12/12/2005

Most e-mail entries cited herein have been capsulized and edited by the author.

Albert, I'm wondering if the list members would be willing to post something on the growing internet presence of the Wisconsin group (BUFO), that is attempting to "Turn the Carbondale hoax of 1974 into another Roswell-like incident. There seems to be a rash of crash and non-crash saucer stories that are being promoted as Roswell-like events. If the list would log on to "carbondale,pa. ufo crash", they can see for themselves how outlandish the yarn has become.

Mr. Benson did contact his friends and colleagues on the list concerning the request. Here's several of the replies he received on the matter.

\*\*\*\*\*

From Rick Yost to Albert Benson & the list: 12/16/2005

Hey Al,

"Particularly the extoplasm and orbs they found at the portal"...

"The Carbondale "crash was first promoted by the late flying saucer evangelist Robert D. Barry. He was PR man for the late right wing preacher Dr. Carl McIntire's 20th Century Reformation Hour ministry. Barry operated it's one man press arm. He later had a weekly Saturday midnight TV show, "ET Monitor on McIntire's TV station. They are both passed, now, but looks like other nuts

are milking it."

Rick continues: By the way, Barry was the first one to report in 1989, about the same time same sort of claims were first made about Roswell, that the Kecksburg,Pa crash involved the recovery of alien bodies. He later withdrew that claim as an error, which was a surprise to me since I don't think Bob ever heard a UFO story he didn't like."

I wonder how many young saucer enthusiasts ever heard of the Reverend Carl McIntire or, knew that the Roswell story didn't include alien bodies until 42 years after the incident was first reported?

\*\*\*\*\*

Albert Benson to Rick Yost & the List: 12/16/2005

Rick,I'm not talking about Kecksburg, but the Carbondale hoax of 1974. If you are interested to find out more about this blatant nonsense, log on to "carbondale,pa. ufo crash", and check out the buffoonery at any BUFO site or link.

Those pushing this hoax as Pennsylvania's Roswellare without doubt in need of an urgent reality check".

\*\*\*\*\*

To Albert Benson, Rick Yost & the List from Scott Morris a major UFO group leader: 12/16/2005

My observation of Barry, who used to write regularly for Saga and its UFO magazine, was than nearly everything he said - excluding perhaps banal observations about the weather - could be automatically discarded. Too bad that one of his tall tales is still with us.

I think the people who log on to the Carbondale UFO crash site should be alerted to this observation by one of Ufology's major group leaders and long-time researchers.

\*\*\*\*\*

From Albert Benson to the List 12/17/2005

It's bad enough that the bizarre crowd at BUFO (Burlington UFO & Paranormal Radio) is pushing the Carbondale hoax of 1974 as a genuine occurrence, but they're not content to confine their idiocy to that alone. Now they're involved in an internet fantasy asserting that the little town of Olyphant,Pa. which is located about six miles from Scranton, is situated at the "center of the universeand modeled after ancient Egypt by alien race! This would almost be funny if it weren't for the fact that for the uninformed public and the media, this is what passes for the face of Ufology."

Albert Benson continues, "And this type of crap only makes it more difficult to convince the scientific community that the UFO phenomenon is a real mystery that merits the most serious investigation on their part."

\*\*\*\*\*

Scott Morris replies on 12/18/2005

Al, I agree that this is pretty dumb, but it doesn't amount to anything consequential, much less a problem with scientists. My experience is that scientists who are so willing are perfectly able to separate Ufology's sensible claims from the absurd ones. Scientists who are hostile simply use the latter as an excuse not to bother with the more substantive issues. Hard as it may be for some to believe, not all Ufologist's problems are Ufologist's fault."

Scott continues, "The Carbondale silliness is perhaps worth noting , but nothing to get worked up about. UFOs and Ufology were long ago relegated to the fringes, and something relegated, even if unjustly, is going to attract fringe types. Surely, we

have better things to do with our time than to waste it with ritual denunciations of the many nut jobs and liars who are out there, and have always been out there. They're certainly an irritation, but they're also no more than a sideshow."

Yet another valueable observation that is limited to the list membership. Scott is correct to point out that the list has far better things to do with it's time than denounce the internet kooks. . . However, one wonders What might they do that they haven't already done over the course of the last sixty years?

\*\*\*\*\*

From Tim Connoly (a list member) to Albet Benson & the list:  
12/18/2005

At least this kind of thing provides fodder for "Ufology-ology", which consists of remote-viewing history texts which will be written on distant planets in the future of a parallel universe."

Egads, more material for BUFO to promote!

\*\*\*\*\*

Joel Simpson (a list member) chimes in: 12/18/2005

Watch any established field on investigation (nutrition, astronomy, genetics, linguistics, etc. ) and you'll always find the same sort of nuts looking for attention, and a great deal of confusion in the media. . . I agree with Tom that the term "Ufology" understood by the world at large (not just by us) covers every conceivable aspect of modern culture, from BurmudaTriangles to flying lights, crystal skulls, dogu statuettes,Uri Geller, exobiology and Nostradamus. I'd rather avoid using it. When asked I certainly never say I research UFOs, and usually mumble something about "A strong interest in cataloging unidentified phenomena recorded throughout history."

I fully understand Joel's embarrassment, and it's too bad that those visiting BUFO/Carbondale sites and links are not privy to his insightful and candid remarks. I would also like to point out that Ufology is not actually an established field of investigation, rather, it is an investigative (and occasionally obsessional) hobby that has produced little if any evidence to verify the physical presence of UFOs in our skies. I certainly wouldn't put it up there with Astronomy or Genetics, etc.

So, the question arises, "why should the serious UFO researchers feel obligated to point out the absurdities, inconsistencies, contradictions and the fabrications of the many internet saucer zealots,charlatans and hucksters? The answer is quite simple. Not to do so is a failing of character,ethics and moral compass that would serve to protect the unsuspecting and the ill-informed from the distortion of repeatedly reading and hearing about, and finally accepting as true,the suspicions, fabrications and "delusionsthat have been bandied about and thrust upon them via the net regarding the true nature of the phenomenon.

For baseless rumors and distortions that are left unchecked foster beliefs, expectations, fears and suspicions that not only are completely unwarranted , they are dangerous too. I've read lies about the character and professional efforts of an acting police chief who diligently worked shoulder-to-shoulder with UFO field investigators during the Carbondale,Pa incident of '74, while also managing to [rofessionally serve and protect his community, the many volunteers and the policemen under his supervision at the site.

Only to have his name and efforts dragged through the BUFOrian muck and malicious fabrications about him by internet saucer-hucksters like Mary Sutherland, and her investigator Ronald T. Hannivig who not only never met or interviewed the acting police chief, they were not even present at the scene while the incident was being investigated in 1974.

Yet, these same self-appointed experts also alleged that the acting police chief (Francis X. Dottle), wantonly participated in a cover up of the incident by tossing bogus evidence into a

pond. They even went so far as to post the malicious remark that this fine public servant was not not then (At the time of the incident), nor is he now, a friend of the people in the community he served.

These silly fabrications appeared at the "carbondale,pa. ufo crashsite which you may log on to and read for yourself. I ask, is it really "inconsequentialthat a man's reputation be besmurched by individuals who may be totally deluded and lacking any scrupals? Should serious Ufologists continually turn a blind eye to this sort of behavior and self-serving promotional propaganda because it might be unpleasant, beneath their dignity and embarrassing to deal with?

Is it not shameful to remain silent and allow this sort of chicanery to infect the minds of young and elderly ill-informed people who search the net for reliable information on the phenomenon? I've even received two e-mail forwards from a researcher in which the communique sender claims that one internet huckster is involved in fraudulent online business practices and directly involved in the suicide death of a teenage group member.

Naturally, there are two sides (or more) to every story, so I'm currently attempting to learn and verify more about the matter. I'll report my findings in a furture Carbondale Chronicles entry for thos who are interested in this rather shocking and sad story.

Is there not a lesson to be learned in the fact, that few European politicians and intellectuals of the day took the national socialist movement in Germany very serious when it first came on the political scene. So, impressionable young people, far too young to remember who Neville Chamberlain and Winston Churchill were, sit at their computer's keyboard and unwittingly log on to saucer-huckster sites who are like sharks lurking in the internet's waters for careless surfers to happen their way.

Interestingly, my grandson's high school French teacher recently told me that 65-70% of his students thought that Germany had attacked Pearl Harbor in 1965 or 67. So, should the serious UFO researchers simply assume that this kind of historical ignorance is limited to today's high school students? How could serious Ufologists be so blind (and passive) as to believe that their not setting the recoed straight on the chicanery and many lies about the UFO enigma is matter of little or no consequence? If that's the case, why the hell do they even bother to research the phenomenon at all?

If one thinks it's silly to compare the absurd online UFO propaganda to that of the Nazi's, one might do well to recall that well over fifty percent of the adult voting population of this country believe in the "realityof UFOs and would probably support a candidate who shared in their saucer enthusiasm. Perhaps a candidate who would simply promise to release any and all government papers on UFOs could win a close election, especially if that candidate were also a popular entertainment or sports celebrity.

So, while the studious UFO researcher's utilize the same internet technology to e-mail pithy and complimentary notes for each others enjoyment, and an occassional pat on the back- many youthful UFO enthusiasts slip into the jaws of the saucer-hucksters deception, delusions, lies and distortions. In fact, in some cases they may even be gobbled up by a hucksters chronic, habitual and/or pathological lying.

But, the rub lies not in exposing the internet huckster(s) as a blemish on the face of Ufology. . it lies in the fact that many serious UFO researchers and organization leaders themselves have participated in their own brand of saucer-huckstering over the years (both directly and indirectly- unwittingly and consciously). Moreover, calling attention to the speck in the eye of an internet huckster might provoke a responce from the debunkers about the beam in the eye of the UFO organization and/or it's leadership.

So, it seems that the boundries between the proponent UFO camps are not very well defined any longer. There once was a sharp line between the organized groups and the kooky contactee

movement. Now it just seems that some of the saucer group leaders and experts are more eloquent spokesman, (a. k. a. Classier salesman) than the internet throng. Yet all seem to be well-versed in the art of putting a particular "Spinon a UFO incident or the phenomenon in general.

Cosidering that the organized groups have been doing so for almost 60 years, does point to a habitual behaviour pattern, especially since that pattern of behavior has produced absolutely no incontrovertible evidence or data concerning the phenomenon's true nature or origin.

What we have is a great deal of speculative fantasy, which stems not from hard spikes discovered in an objective database but, all-too-human wants, needs and desires concernig the phenomenon's assumed importance and meaningfulness to mankind, and the equally-assumptive importance of the researcher's own investigative efforts.

This near-obsessional behaviour pattern was first established by the babyboomer Nuts and Boltsschool of Ufology which is presently on the verge of extinction. The bare bones of their contribution to Ufology will be that they successfully managed to dangle a promised carrot before the noses of the American public, the media and themselves for six decades.

It was they who pampered, endured and invited the hucksters of Ancient Astronaut tales and Burnuda Triangle yarns to their conventions and symposia. They even participated in the proliferation of Saucer-Crash Fantasies and the Abduction Mania. They did all this to promote membership numbers, draw larger crowds to their conventions, make book deals and seek increased journal subscriptions.

One asks, how much "objective researching is to be found in these business pursuits? (e. g. ,What percentage of the monies collected actually went for research, after operating costs and salaries for the group's top brass were siphoned away?) Moreover, if the internet hucksters are following in the path of the old guard with better and far more dynamic internet UFO presentations to entice the curious and the gullible, is that not but an extention of the sins of Ufologists past?

The sociologists and folklorists of the future will look back upon the late 20th and early 21st. century's transformation of Ufology into an "unbridled"entertainment industry (or "UFOology"as it is more accurately described) and realize that the two terms differ only in the addition of one vowel.

Ufology is no longer, nor has it ever truely been a "purelypseudoscientific pursuit- it has blossomed into a full-blown subcultural entertainment industry that has profound romantic appeal within our youthful society. It's roots lie in America, which Dr. Carl G. Jung once called the land of science fiction and fantasy-but the American UFO malaise is now becoming a pandemic that has spred throughout the entire planet through the world wide web.

The fossil remains of it all will point to a mid-20th century belief in the existance of and pursuit of pantoms of the skies. 21st century UFOology will probably seek out the pantoms through a paranormal or spiritually-based investigative avenues, assumptions and beliefs - some of which may be serious, while most will probably be pure humbug. However, the answer will always seem to lie just beyond their grasp, around the next corner, over the next hill. (Much like the nuts and bolts camp's carrot).

Such is the nature of true phantoms; they antagonise, mesmerize and befuddle the blind man who senses their presence but, can offer no definitive description of them. . except for hearing the curious beating of their wimgs and catching a faint whiff of their fleeting presence. Could it be that UFOs are modern man's harpies?

The pantheon of UFO experts will continue to come and go, along with the parade of witnesses and the few remainig organized saucer groups. The UFOs however, will percist and endure the many ups and downs of UFOological fantasy, theorizing, speculation and assumption - and in time, a new generation will take up the quest and start swinging their white canes at the

fleeting phntoms. Could it possibly be that the canes will always be far too short, and the answer to the riddle of the UFOs will simply remain beyond our physical and mental grasp?

Exampe No. 5(UFOs from inner-space?)

Perhaps in some strange way "the UFOs are but a reflection of ourselves",as James Moseley suggests - aimlessly flitting about like the modern man's hopes,fears and asperations on the phenomenon. Perhaps our ancestors were better equipped to assimilate these "signs in the skies", for in their lifetimes things like these aerial displays were not only anticipated and readily interpreted, they were actually prayed for.

Have we somehow lost touch with the facility of mind that once fostered beliefs in visions, portents, divime warnings and angels yet, search the skies to once again experience? Or is it all just a growing new age mysticism and religiousity appearing in the guise of technological marvwels that homotechnos curerently beholds in awe, wonder and masked reverence?

Has the emotiomal and spiritual nature of our inner being been schooled out of us by the customs,demands and the technological advancements of modern-day living? Indeed, does everyone really think that such powerful human emotions would simply dry up amd blow away because it was no longer chic or, politically correct to speak of them?

The organized group elites may scoff at such thoughts, in the same manner which they scoff at the internet huckster movement in their midst. They seem to have an overly confident Col. George Armstrong Custer attitude about what they perceive to be nothing more than a small hostile incampment that they "look down uponfrom their lofty UFO reaserch headquarters. However, their status in saucerdom, with the press, the entertainment media and the American public's focus of interest is most assuredly headed fo UFOology's happy hunting grounds.

Matt Graeber

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 22](#)

## Re: Sandia Tram & Hawk Tales - Nelson

From: Jay Nelson <[jnelson.nul](mailto:jnelson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 16:18:27 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 11:26:19 -0500  
Subject: Re: Sandia Tram & Hawk Tales - Nelson

>From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 21:23:46 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Sandia Tram & Hawk Tales

<snip>

>A point of clarification for British readers, please. In  
>Britain a "tram" is what Americans would call a streetcar. What  
>is a "tram" in this context?

In this case, a tram is a carriage suspended from cables that goes up the side of the mountain. They claim the Sandia Peak tramway is the longest one in the world.

More info/pics at: <http://www.sandiapeak.com/>

Hope this helps.

Cheers,

Jay Nelson  
<http://www.weirdload.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 22](#)

## Re: Sandia Tram & Hawk Tales - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 20:59:46 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 11:31:10 -0500  
Subject: Re: Sandia Tram & Hawk Tales - Friedman

>From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 21:23:46 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Sandia Tram & Hawk Tales

>>From: Jay Nelson <[jnelson.nul](mailto:jnelson.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 12:52:18 -0700  
>>Subject: Sandia Tram & Hawk Tales

>>Listarians --

>>Ace reporter Linda Mouton Howe has posted an interview with Dr.  
>>Bruce Maccabee regarding Hawk and his tales, which Dr. Maccabee  
>>kindly posted a link to here some time ago.

>><http://www.earthfiles.com/news/news.cfm?ID=1040&category=Science>

>>One of the many interesting points is that they positively  
>>identify Sandia Tramway as the site for certain MJ-12 meetings

>A point of clarification for British readers, please. In Britain  
>a "tram" is what Americans would call a streetcar. What is a  
>"tram" in this context?

John,

The world's longest tramway (car hung from a cable) runs from  
the bottom of Sandia Peak 2.7 miles away to the top. The  
altitude at the top is 10,378 feet above sea level.

Albuquerque a few miles west, and the capitol of New Mexico is  
at about 5000 feet altitude. It is a splendid view. Great skiing  
up that way. I have been there though I can't vouch for the  
claimed 11,000 square mile panorama in view.

Try:

<http://www.sandiapeak.com>

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp





# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 22](#)

## Re: USOs On US History Channel - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 20:44:11 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 11:41:16 -0500  
Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel - Friedman

>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 22:08:07 +0000  
>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 16:24:37 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>>>From: Kelly Freeman <[Khfflsciufu.nul](mailto:Khfflsciufu.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 16:44:32 EST  
>>>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Wed, 18 Jan 2006 14:44:16 -0400  
>>>>Subject: Re: USOs On US History Channel

>>>>Though I was interviewed for the show, I have no idea what will  
>>>>be in it. Maybe ET archeology grad students are exploring the  
>>>>remnants of Atlantis, underwater, with their USOs.

>>>Hello Stan,

>>>Yeah, that would be a more probable scenario. But then, where do  
>>>they go when they're done exploring? Hmmm.

>>Back to the great mother ship in the sky and then to a local ET  
>>base and then back home after their work study effort is  
>>finished.

>With perhaps an intermediate stop at the intergalactic bar for  
>some liquid refreshment.

The SETI guys are always talking about the "watering hole". But  
I would expect it to be an intragalactic bar. They might meet  
Webre and Hellyer at an intergalactic bar, but it would be a  
long way out of their way from our neighborhood.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 22](#)

**Richard C. Hoagland?**

From: **Terry W. Colvin** <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 21:44:37 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 11:47:24 -0500  
Subject: Richard C. Hoagland?

Anyone here able to help out with this?

-----

From: Lyle Wood <[lylew.nul](mailto:lylew.nul)>  
Date: January 21, 2006 11:59:59 AM CST  
To: <[bibliographer.nul](mailto:bibliographer.nul)>  
Subject: Richard C. Hoaglund

I'm dropping e-notes to journalists I believe might have the resources to do an in-depth background study of Richard C. Hoagland.

Nowhere can I find any reference to his academic credentials.

My personal belief is that he has at best only a high school diploma.

I think that the rest of his career is a sham probably backed up by fake degrees or certificates.

Despite Hoagland's claims to the contrary, Lars Angstrom apologized for having given Hoagland the Angstrom Medal, Carl Sagan said Hoagland had no part whatsoever in the creation of the Pioneer space probe plaque, and Walter Cronkite said he had no part in hiring him as an "advisor" for CBS news.

So where did Hoagland come from and what's he all about other than scam?

If you or someone you know could help answer these questions, I'm sure the entire academic world would be eternally grateful.

-Lyle Wood  
Tampa FL

-----

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 22](#)

## Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction -

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 23:59:23 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 11:50:24 -0500  
Subject: Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction -

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 13:57:41 EST  
>Subject: Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction

>>From: Jason Gammon <[LuckyHoodoo.nul](mailto:LuckyHoodoo.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 12:41:21 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction

<snip>

>>Montell Williams is one of my favorite sceptics of the  
>>phenomena. Back in the 90's he reported that his military  
>>experience would have entitled him to any "secret knowledge"  
>>concerning UFOs and since he was never made aware of >such, then  
>>there is no truth to the subject.

>>Montell forgot to inform the audience that on prior shows he had  
>>already mentioned what rank he held, and though I can't remember  
>>off-hand what it was, I can assure you that he was definately a  
>>"Pee-on".)

>Jason, Mr. Montel Williams is far from 'definitley a "Pee-on" '

>His military career is outstanding and an example of sheer  
>courrage, tenacity, brilliance and courage. Not to mention  
>historic. His public military career is outlined at this  
>website:

><http://tinyurl.com/bnlkx>

>You should do your research about a person before making a  
>public invalidation of them.

>We need more Montel Williams round these parts for sure, whether  
>we agree with him or not.

>I saw the show you mentioned and even though he was a full  
>lieutenant with intelligence experience, it doesn't mean he was  
>privvy to all data. Sometimes high rank doesn't see data  
>regarding UFOs and low rank does. It's a matter of a command  
>procedure and decision. Montel's expertise was in crypto and  
>languages. It may have been that his expertise wasn't needed in  
>areas of UFOs so he wasn't aware of them.

I was on the Montell Williams show in November, 1991. I don't  
recall him as being a severe skeptic or saying there was no  
truth to the subject. Of course, he may have changed his opinion  
over the last 15 years.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 22](#)

## Re: Sandia Tram & Hawk Tales - Rimmer

From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 16:59:13 +0000  
Fwd Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 12:02:54 -0500  
Subject: Re: Sandia Tram & Hawk Tales - Rimmer

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 20:59:46 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Sandia Tram & Hawk Tales

>>From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 21:23:46 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Sandia Tram & Hawk Tales

>>>From: Jay Nelson <[jnelson.nul](mailto:jnelson.nul)>  
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 12:52:18 -0700  
>>>Subject: Sandia Tram & Hawk Tales

>>>Ace reporter Linda Mouton Howe has posted an interview with Dr.  
>>>Bruce Maccabee regarding Hawk and his tales, which Dr. Maccabee  
>>>kindly posted a link to here some time ago.

>>><http://www.earthfiles.com/news/news.cfm?ID=1040&category=Science>

>>>One of the many interesting points is that they positively  
>>>identify Sandia Tramway as the site for certain MJ-12 meetings

>>A point of clarification for British readers, please. In Britain  
>>a "tram" is what Americans would call a streetcar. What is a  
>>"tram" in this context?

>The world's longest tramway (car hung from a cable) runs from  
>the bottom of Sandia Peak 2.7 miles away to the top. The  
>altitude at the top is 10,378 feet above sea level.

Thanks for this, and the reply from Jay Nelson. In England these  
are called cable-cars. As we don't have anything like the San  
Francisco cable-cars over here, there seems to be no cause of  
further confusion!

I guess an enclosed capsule hanging in mid-air is a better place  
for private conversation than a crowded streetcar.

(PS. Now I come to think of it we do have a San Francisco-type  
cable car, in Llandudno in North Wales, but it's called the  
Great Orme Railway. Two countries divided by a common language,  
eh!)

--  
John Rimmer

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 22](#)

## Britain's Roswell On UK TV

From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 17:05:18 -0000  
Fwd Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 12:17:30 -0500  
Subject: Britain's Roswell On UK TV

Britain's Roswell is being screened on The History Channel in the UK on Sunday January 22 at 8pm.

This program on the Rendlesham Forest UFO incident features Charles Halt, James Penniston, John Burroughs, Georgina Bruni, Peter Robbins, Larry Warren and myself, among others.

The program will be repeated several times this week.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 22](#)

## Re: Richard C. Hoagland? - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 13:32:28 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 12:41:57 -0500  
Subject: Re: Richard C. Hoagland? - Friedman

>From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 21:44:37 -0700  
>Subject: Richard C. Hoagland?

>Anyone here able to help out with this?

>-----

>From: Lyle Wood <[lylew.nul](mailto:lylew.nul)>  
>Date: January 21, 2006 11:59:59 AM CST  
>To: <[bibliographer.nul](mailto:bibliographer.nul)>  
>Subject: Richard C. Hoaglund

>I'm dropping e-notes to journalists I believe might have the  
>resources to do an in-depth background study of Richard C.  
>Hoagland.

>Nowhere can I find any reference to his academic credentials.

>My personal belief is that he has at best only a high school  
>diploma.

>I think that the rest of his career is a sham probably backed up  
>by fake degrees or certificates.

>Despite Hoagland's claims to the contrary, Lars Angstrom  
>apologized for having given Hoagland the Angstrom Medal, Carl  
>Sagan said Hoagland had no part whatsoever in the creation of  
>the Pioneer space probe plaque, and Walter Cronkite said he had  
>no part in hiring him as an "advisor" for CBS news.

>So where did Hoagland come from and what's he all about other  
>than scam?

>If you or someone you know could help answer these questions,  
>I'm sure the entire academic world would be eternally grateful.

I just read with interest an overview of Hoagland by skeptic  
Gary Posner at:

<http://members.aol.com/garypos2/Hoagland.html>

I am not a fan of Posner, but I think he gets it right most of  
the time in this piece. I have never heard Hoagland claim any  
degree from a specific institution. He certainly has tried to  
sound like he was a scientist because he worked here or there,  
but clearly doesn't think like one. There have been numerous  
other false claims by him over the years.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 22](#)

## Re: Richard C. Hoagland? - Pope

From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 18:00:16 -0000  
Fwd Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 13:16:19 -0500  
Subject: Re: Richard C. Hoagland? - Pope

>From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 21:44:37 -0700  
>Subject: Richard C. Hoagland?

>Anyone here able to help out with this?

>From: Lyle Wood <[lylew.nul](mailto:lylew.nul)>  
>Date: January 21, 2006 11:59:59 AM CST  
>To: <[bibliographer.nul](mailto:bibliographer.nul)>  
>Subject: Richard C. Hoaglund

>Despite Hoagland's claims to the contrary, Lars Angstrom  
>apologized for having given Hoagland the Angstrom Medal, Carl  
>Sagan said Hoagland had no part whatsoever in the creation of  
>the Pioneer space probe plaque, and Walter Cronkite said he had  
>no part in hiring him as an "advisor" for CBS news.

Frank Drake, in his book 'Is Anyone out There?', co-authored  
with Dava Sobel, credits Eric Burgess and Richard Hoagland as  
having proposed the Pioneer 10 message to Carl Sagan. Sagan is  
quoted as the source of the anecdote.

The story's on pages 175 and 176 in the paperback, Pocket Book  
edition that I have.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 22](#)

## Re: Pamela Stonebrooke Resurfaces - Pope

From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 18:11:43 -0000  
Fwd Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 13:45:08 -0500  
Subject: Re: Pamela Stonebrooke Resurfaces - Pope

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>Sent: Saturday, January 21, 2006 4:30 PM  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Pamela Stonebrooke Resurfaces

>Source: The Sun - London, UK

><http://www.thesun.co.uk/article/0,,5-2006030221,00.html>

>Saturday, January 21, 2006

>I 'Romped' With 6' Alien

>By Louise Compton  
>Sun Online

>Few people can claim to have experienced 'out of this world'  
>sex - but Pamela Stonebrooke is a woman who can.

>The 52-year-old jazz singer says she enjoyed mind-blowing alien  
>romps with a six-foot reptilian for three years.

>Her story is among a number featured in World's Strangest UFO  
>Stories which premieres this Sunday on the Discovery Channel.

>Speaking exclusively to The Sun Online, Pamela revealed: "My  
>first sexual encounter with an alien was unlike any love-making  
>I've experienced before.

<snip>

Not quite exclusively, as the story also featured in London's  
free morning newspaper, Metro, on Monday, January 9.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 23](#)

**Montel Williams [was: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS... ]**

From: Jason Gammon <[LuckyHoodoo.nul](mailto:LuckyHoodoo.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 16:20:47 EST  
Fwd Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 07:21:12 -0500  
Subject: Montel Williams [was: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS... ]

>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 23:59:23 -0500  
>Subject: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 13:57:41 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction

>>>From: Jason Gammon <[LuckyHoodoo.nul](mailto:LuckyHoodoo.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 12:41:21 EST  
>>>Subject: Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction

<snip>

>>We need more Montel Williams round these parts for sure, whether  
>>we agree with him or not.

>>I saw the show you mentioned and even though he was a full  
>>lieutenant with intelligence experience, it doesn't mean he was  
>>privvy to all data. Sometimes high rank doesn't see data  
>>regarding UFOs and low rank does. It's a matter of a command  
>>procedure and decision. Montel's expertise was in crypto and  
>>languages. It may have been that his expertise wasn't needed in  
>>areas of UFOs so he wasn't aware of them.

>I was on the Montell Williams show in November, 1991. I don't  
>recall him as being a severe skeptic or saying there was no  
>truth to the subject. Of course, he may have changed his opinion  
>over the last 15 years.

Bruce,

I watched a lot of Montel in the 90's. I don't recall seeing you  
on an episode. Was the subject UFOs in general or was it a bit  
more specific in nature?

I do remember that Montel had many an episode on UFOs/Alien  
Abductions in the early 90's and then in the later years of the  
decade they abruptly stopped.

I don't recall which episode it was that Montel spoke his opinion  
but it truly was something akin to "Well, I personally don't  
believe there's anything to it as I have never encountered  
anything regarding this subject in my military career, and I  
certainly would have been in a position to know." Of course  
that's a paraphrase of his comment, and it reflects just how  
short & to the point the original comment was.

-Jason Gammon

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 23](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 15:56:13 -0800  
Fwd Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 07:24:39 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Hatch

>From: Michael Salla <[exopolitics.nul](mailto:exopolitics.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 11:57:59 -1000  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 08:52:45 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>From: Victor Stead <[vicstead.nul](mailto:vicstead.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 12:44:14 +0800  
>>>Subject: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>Dear List Members,

>>>I like many others am one of the 'Lurkers', people who  
>>>subscribe to your excellent List and learn much from it but  
>>>don't always feel that we should write unless we have something  
>>>important to contribute.

>>Welcome to the List Victor!

>>If you are like me, you will find yourself wavering between  
>>opposing opinions as each new bit of info comes in.

>>As for Corso/Salla, I personally scrapped both of them as  
>>preposterous long ago. Salla is completely over the edge, but  
>>your mileage may vary.

>><snip>

>I also welcome Victor's post and glad someone is brave  
>enough to step forward and support giving Col Corso a fair  
>hearing as opposed to the 'debunking' that has occurred on this  
>list that has mistakenly passed as 'objective criticism'.

>Larry, I find your reasoning difficult to follow. You say you  
>find yourself wavering as "each new bit of info comes in". Yet  
>the information concerning Corso doesn't involve any wavering on  
>your part and you consider his claims, along with my own defense  
>of his claims, to be preposterous. I guess each "new bit of  
>info" doesn't extend to whistleblower testimonies the veracity  
>of which you seem to dismiss out of hand as preposterous. You  
>don't give any reason for rejecting such testimonies but just  
>state your position that these are preposterous. You, along with  
>Josh Goldstein and Paul Kimball, seem to fall in line behind  
>each new rehash of why such whistleblower testimonies should be  
>dismissed on the basis that since Stan Friedman, Kevin Randle  
>and Brad Sparks have been around for decades, that they couldn't  
>be wrong when it comes to Corso's claims.

>I think it important to point out to you and some others  
>commenting on my "Corso and his Critics" article is that I  
>distinguish between objective criticism and debunking. That is  
>not a new distinction and researchers such as Bernard Haiash  
>have done so in an effort to point out that there are boundaries  
>in how to conduct a 'scholarly critique' of UFO evidence. For



>example, dismissing Corso's testimony as not persuasive based on  
>a lack of corroborating evidence is a fair 'objective criticism'  
>to make. As for calling Corso a 'liar', a 'fraud', a 'literary  
>hoaxer' those are all claims that cross the boundary between  
>'objective criticism' and debunking. In terms of a university  
>term paper, many professors would mark down any student using  
>such language due to it being unscholarly. In your own case,  
>calling Corso's claims, and my support of his and other  
>whistleblower testimonies, preposterous, that is not 'objective  
>criticism', it's just rhetorical statement that crosses the  
>boundary line into debunking.

>I think it fair to point out that the UFO UpDates community  
>comprises a mix of researchers, armchair theorists, genuine  
>skeptics, and debunkers who liberally throw out one liners  
>dismissing the hard work of others despite all the effort that  
>goes into it. I think it worthwhile to introduce some scholarly  
>standards in how we critique one another's views.

>As for your apparent "wavering between different opinions," I  
>think it worthwhile you spend more time wavering if that helps  
>open your mind to diverse approaches to the UFO data/evidence.

Hello Michael:

'Wavering' might be a poor choice of words on my part, maybe  
undecided is better.

I'm not wavering on Corso matters though, I think its BS.

I only wanted to extend a welcome to a newcomer here, not to  
waste a day refuting the claims of Lt. Col. Corso

Karl Pflock, Stan Friedman, Kevin Randle, Brad Sparks,

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/aug/m05-012.shtml>

... and others have done a much better job than I can.

Among Corso's claims are:

\* Discovery of an alien in a crate (inside a glass container in  
blue liquid, 4 fingers, Streiberesque features) at Fort Riley,  
Kansas, late of Roswell and on its way to Walter Reed Hospital  
in Washington..

\* Invention of the Transistor, back engineered from Roswell  
debris in 5 or 6 months.

\* Other goodies like lasers, fiber optics, night vision, kevlar  
.. also reverse engineered or developed from alien technology

Lets just take the transistor for a moment. Are we to believe  
that Bell Labs back engineered the transistor in 5 or 6 months  
following the Roswell crash? Check this out:

<http://www.pbs.org/transistor/background1/events/patbat.html>

Very similar work was done from the 1930s. An old friend used to  
work at Shockley Labs near here. The first Shockley-Brattain-  
Bardeen transistor was a point contact device, very fragile,  
nothing useful on a crash prone Roswell saucer to say the very  
least.

The monolithic (single crystal) transistor device came later. I  
must ask why we didn't back engineer that now obvious device  
first!

I only have a few hours to attend to more fruitful matters, and  
would prefer to leave Corso to others.

While not necessarily relevant, some might want to know about  
other Corso activities:

<http://www2.prestel.co.uk/church/lumpen/saucers.htm>

Best wishes

- Larry Hatch

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 23](#)

## Re: Richard C. Hoagland? - Aldrich

From: Jan Aldrich <[project1947.nul](mailto:project1947.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 04:22:46 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 07:27:37 -0500  
Subject: Re: Richard C. Hoagland? - Aldrich

>From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 18:00:16 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Richard C. Hoagland?

>>From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 21:44:37 -0700  
>>Subject: Richard C. Hoagland?

>>Anyone here able to help out with this?

>>From: Lyle Wood <[lylew.nul](mailto:lylew.nul)>  
>>Date: January 21, 2006 11:59:59 AM CST  
>>To: <[bibliographer.nul](mailto:bibliographer.nul)>  
>>Subject: Richard C. Hoaglund

>>Despite Hoagland's claims to the contrary, Lars Angstrom  
>>apologized for having given Hoagland the Angstrom Medal, Carl  
>>Sagan said Hoagland had no part whatsoever in the creation of  
>>the Pioneer space probe plaque, and Walter Cronkite said he had  
>>no part in hiring him as an "advisor" for CBS news.

>Frank Drake, in his book 'Is Anyone out There?', co-authored  
>with Dava Sobel, credits Eric Burgess and Richard Hoagland as  
>having proposed the Pioneer 10 message to Carl Sagan. Sagan is  
>quoted as the source of the anecdote.

>The story's on pages 175 and 176 in the paperback, Pocket Book  
>edition that I have.

I knew Hoagland during the early 1960s. He was a rather  
skeptical person interested in UFOs. He was at the NICAP  
Connecticut meeting when Dr. James McDonald gave John Fuller a  
copy of the Low's Condon Committee 'Trick Memo'.

After my return to ufology one of the first things I saw at  
Connecticut MUFON was a video of Hoagland holding forth on the  
"spires" on the moon - something McDonald checked on and found  
wanting. Hoagland's presentation quickly transitioned to his  
"fairy castles". What I saw was a man who would have previously  
criticized such a presentation now using the very techniques and  
arguments he previously eschewed and decried. I thought to  
myself, "he doesn't believe this garbage himself."

I don't know when Hoagland started on his current voyage into  
Hokumlandia, but when I'd seen him before he was rational.

Jan Aldrich

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 23](#)

## How Strange Can Life Be?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 08:06:19 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 08:06:19 -0500  
Subject: How Strange Can Life Be?

Source: TCS Daily - Washington, DC, USA

<http://www.tcsdaily.com/article.aspx?id=012206B>

23 Jan 2006

How Strange Can Life Be?  
By Kenneth Silber

Peter D. Ward is a biologist with contrarian tendencies on various questions about extraterrestrial life. His 2000 book, *Rare Earth*, coauthored with astronomer Donald Brownlee, argued that complex life is probably uncommon in the universe, such that there is little prospect of encountering or even detecting any alien civilizations.

Naturally, this put Ward at odds with scientists involved in the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence, or SETI. In 2002, at a scientific gathering at the home of billionaire philanthropist and Microsoft co-founder Paul Allen, Ward tried to give a copy of *Rare Earth* to his host, who was standing with a woman who turned out to be SETI astronomer Jill Tarter (the inspiration for the lead character in the film and Carl Sagan novel *Contact*). In Ward's telling, Tarter shrank back from the book, as in "those movie moments when a cross is stuck in the face of a vampire," and promptly hustled Allen away. (The billionaire went on to fund a major SETI project, the Allen Telescope Array.)

Ward's new book *Life as We Do Not Know It: The NASA Search for (and Synthesis of) Alien Life* recounts that anecdote and maintains Ward's skepticism about finding intelligent aliens. However, the book builds on the less-noticed flip side of Ward and Brownlee's "Rare Earth Hypothesis" - that simple life may be fairly common. In this view, microbial life may evolve rather readily from non-life, and can sustain itself in a wide range of conditions, but only rarely does a planet or moon remain habitable long enough for complex life to develop (and such life is far more fragile than microbes).

Finding extraterrestrial life, for Ward, thus becomes a question of hunting alien microbes, and he regards it as quite plausible that these will be found within our solar system. *Life as We Do Not Know It* provides an intriguing discussion of possible varieties of alien organisms, and a world-by-world survey of the prospects for finding them in our own cosmic backyard. Ward also proposes some changes to standard scientific thinking about how to define and classify life, and he makes a case for sending humans, not just robotic probes, to search for life on other worlds - in particular Mars and Saturn's moon Titan.

How different from Earth life might alien life be? Even while remaining focused on the microscopic, Ward notes a considerable range of possibilities. Extraterrestrial microbes might have a thoroughly distinct genetic code, using novel configurations of

the DNA molecule or a different molecule altogether, such as RNA. They might store information among proteins rather than nucleic acids. Instead of needing water as a solvent, they may use liquids such as sulfuric acid or methane. Unlike all Earth life, which is composed mainly of "CHON" (carbon, hydrogen, oxygen and nitrogen), aliens might be silicon-based, or perhaps take the form of silicon/carbon clay crystals that grow and evolve.

Extraterrestrial life, in Ward's view, may be so simple that scientists will have difficulty recognizing it as life. In fact, he argues, the scientific community has defined life on Earth too narrowly. He suggests the tree of life be modified to include viruses (currently seen as nonliving because they cannot replicate without a host) and maybe the disease-causing proteins known as prions. Some viruses contain RNA rather than DNA, prompting Ward to speculate that our own planet may harbor yet-unknown RNA life.

Ward scans a number of possible locales for life in the solar system. Mercury seems an unlikely habitat for anything. Venus, too, is unpromising, but possibly could have life in its cloud layers. Earth's lifeless moon may have fossils from other worlds in its impact craters. As for Mars, Ward regards it as a plausible abode for past or present life; among the evidence he cites is the presence of methane and water vapor in its atmosphere. The red planet might hold life that originated there or that was transported from Earth via meteoroids; or perhaps, life on Earth had a long-ago origin on Mars.

Unlike some scientists, Ward sees little prospect for biology on Jupiter's ice-covered oceanic moon Europa; that body, in his view, is too cold and lacks sufficient energy sources. He finds greater promise, however, in Titan, Saturn's largest moon. The presence of methane in Titan's thick atmosphere could be a direct result of life or an indicator of volcanic activity that is conducive to life. Titan, in Ward's view, could be home to diverse living things, including ones that use ammonia or are made of silicon.

Life as We Do Not Know It laudably blends speculation and caution in assessing the prospects for life in our solar system. Ward makes an interesting case for human exploration of Mars and, eventually, Titan. His call for sending a paleontologist to the red planet aptly notes the difficulty of locating fossils with even sophisticated machines. More unusually, he suggests sending a microbiologist to Titan to look for subtle signs of life. Even a one-way mission, he believes, would not lack for scientific volunteers.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 23](#)

## Paranoid? Who's Paranoid?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 08:12:11 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 08:12:11 -0500  
Subject: Paranoid? Who's Paranoid?

Source: The Chilliwack Progress, British Columbia, Canada

<http://tinyurl.com/bffzq>

Jan 22 2006

Paranoid? Who's Paranoid?  
By Arthur Black

I get some weird Christmas presents from time to time, but this year I got the capper. It's a tiny swatch of leather with arcane symbols burned onto it, attached to a rawhide string that I'm supposed to wear around my neck. The woman who gave this to me swears it will protect me from 'electrical pollution' =96 invisible fallout from cell phones, death rays from my computer, toxic emanations from hydro wires, my microwave, my AM/FM radio, my toaster, my electric blanket and, I suppose, a battery-operated dildo, should someone give me one of those next Christmas.

I love the lady 'gifter' dearly, but she is batty as a loon. She is convinced there is a vast, Darth Vaderish conspiracy out there, the sole purpose of which is to poison us all. Nothing I say can sway her. No argument I mount can dent her force field of conviction. That's the perverse beauty of her paranoia =96 it's impermeable. She can twist and torque any data until it supports her belief system. No scientific proof? That's because all the scientists have been bought off. No media expose? Whaddya expect, dummy? Big Media is just a mouthpiece for the Bad Guys. And if I argue too long, she gets very quiet.

She's not listening to what I say. She's thinking I might be One of Them.

It's a bizarre mindset. Scientists, police detectives =96 even reporters - assemble facts and try to marshal them to a logical conclusion. Paranoiacs begin with their conclusion and then cherry-pick and hand sculpt 'factoids' to support it. It's the complete inversion of deductive reasoning, rationalism and =96 you know =96 sanity.

You'd like to believe that kind of ju-ju superstition disappeared along with the Spanish Inquisition, bear-baiting and the sacrifice of pigeons to the Sun God, but you'd be wrong. It is alive and well in the twenty-first century. Exhibit A: The Aluminum Foil Deflector Beanie.

There are grown human beings walking around, bearing children, driving cars and casting votes who actually believe wearing aluminum foil skull caps will deflect hostile radio signals. They contend that malevolent alien forces are beaming these signals at our brains in an attempt to achieve mind control over the human race. Recently, skeptical researchers at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology experimented with tin foil beanies (I'm guessing significant volumes of beer were involved) and concluded that wearing such gizmos would, if anything,

amplify any incoming radio signals, making the wearers twice as receptive to mind control messages.

At last report there had been no response from the beanie-ophiles, but no doubt they're coming up with a press release declaring the MIT report a blatant public relations cover-up attempt by Venusian Lizard Kings Who Would Make Slaves of Us All.

Or perhaps the paranoiacs of the world are losing heart. Colin Andrews certainly is. Andrews is Mister Crop Circle. Over the past 20 years he's amassed more than 35,000 photographs, 650 videotapes and hundreds of pamphlets, brochures and broadsheets, all purporting to prove that Alien Envoys are visiting earth regularly to stomp around in our wheat fields on moonless nights leaving indecipherable messages that are collectively referred to as 'crop circles'.

"Universal energy interactions may be at work and the interface between two dimensions register spectacular patterns of great meaning and such depth as man can yet imagine," muses Andrews.

Be that as it may, Andrews is now prepared to let his collection go =96 for a fee. It's for sale on eBay. Starting price: \$250,000. So far, no bidders.

Hey, who wants to be lumbered with a cumbersome collection like that when the planet's only going to be around for another half dozen years? That's the contention of people who follow the Mayan calendar. The Ancient Mayans decreed that the world began on August 13, 3114 B.C. and will come to an end on December 21, 2012.

Of course, the Ancient Mayans also believed it was a swell idea to carve the hearts out of living prisoners and to hurl screaming virgins into wells, so maybe we should take their prognostications with a grain of salt =96 but that's just me being negative.

I might also point out that the Mayans, for all their astronomical perspicacity, did not survive to see much of the 11th century, much less the 21st. Mayan culture pretty much imploded around 1,000 AD.

Well what did you expect? They never had a chance.

No tin foil.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 23](#)

## Swiss Museum & Park Out Of This World

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 08:18:27 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 08:18:27 -0500  
Subject: Swiss Museum & Park Out Of This World

Source: The Toronto Star - Ontario, Canada

<http://tinyurl.com/bkggyr>

Jan. 21, 2006. 01:00 AM

Switzerland's Countryside Museum, Park Out Of This World  
By Cleo Paskal

Gruyeres, Switzerland=97Switzerland is not as boring as you think. Like the apocryphal S&M chambers under the British Houses of Parliament, there are unexpected surprises lurking in the land of ski hills, banks and cow bells. And some of them are literally out of this world.

Take the charming, medieval walled city of Gruyeres. On the surface, it has pure Old World Charm. It is perched on a mountaintop looking out over fields speckled with cows just bursting to make the town's namesake cheese. The city itself is appropriately cobbled and its narrow streets are car-free. The buildings are stone and vaulted.

But one of them has aliens crawling all over the facade. Which is where it starts to get odd.

The creatures aren't in fact aliens, but rather Aliens, works of art created by every Goth's favourite artist, H. R. Giger.

He is best known for having won an Oscar for Best Achievement in Visual Effects for his designs of the outer-space monsters in the movie Alien.

But, apart from having inspired countless tattoos (and nightmares), he is also a well-respected Swiss artist. His meticulous art is a disturbing combination of the mechanical and the organic; part-machine, part-human, all menace.

The H. R. Giger Museum, housed in the Alien-clad 400-year-old building, showcases some of his most famous work, including disturbingly detailed sketches of the "face-hugger" beast and drawings from other projects he has worked on, including Poltergeist II, Alien3, Species and album covers for Debbie Harry and Emerson, Lake and Palmer.

There is even an adults-only, red-lit room of his erotomechanic lithographs. You don't want to know.

The beautiful old wood-beamed building is crammed with all the usual teen witch motifs: serpents, skulls, pentagrams, priestesses, trains with bones as pistons, rams heads, lizard skin.

There is even an adjacent bar, designed by Giger of course, in which the ceiling vaults are carved with vertebrae, and the chairs look like skeletons.

But this is no sloppy adolescent heavy metal fantasy; the artistry is astonishing, making the whole effect even more disturbing. It's enough to curdle your gruyeres.

If the Giger Museum leaves you with a hankering for yet more Swiss aliens, head over to the classically charming town of Interlaken. While the city itself is pure Swiss delight, on its outskirts is the Mystery Park, domain of yet another Swiss eccentric, Erich Von Daniken.

Von Daniken is the author of Chariots Of The Gods and more than two dozen other dodgy =97 but very well-selling =97 books which claim that aliens are behind everything from the pyramids to Stonehenge to Atlantis. Incredibly, he has sold more than 60 million books in 33 languages. So, the next logical step was to open an alien theme park.

The \$100-million, 100,000-square-metre Mystery Park is billed as a place with "many questions but no answers". The Park is circular, with a central building and spokes leading out to seven multi-media pavilions, each a multi-media exploration of a different mystery.

All the standards are here, including ley lines, the Aztec calendar and ancient Sanskrit texts that, according to Von Daniken, describe three-storey-high flying saucers in detail, including the name of the head pilot. Seems the aliens spent most of their time cruising the Indian countryside shooting fire beams at elephants.

According to Von Daniken: "If we were to believe old Indian myths, which date back several thousand years, we must assume that their airspace must have been frighteningly crowded. The sublime 'Gods' simply moved about in their vehicles and thought nothing of it. But a real God does not need any vehicles. So who, or what, was flying around thousands of years ago?"

Accurate history it ain't, but it is a good show. There are 3D glasses, black lights, smoke machines and even Flying-Carpet-Vision for the Arabian aliens.

And, in the gift shop, you can get your face laser-carved in plastic.

Switzerland, home to more aliens than Roswell. Who knew?

- For information on Mystery Park, visit:

<http://www.mysterypark.ch>

- For information on the HR Giger Museum, go to:

<http://www.hrgigermuseum.com>

- The Swiss Tourist Board's website is:

[myswitzerland.com](http://myswitzerland.com)

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 23](#)

## Ever Wonder What Lives On Other Planets?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 08:23:58 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 08:23:58 -0500  
Subject: Ever Wonder What Lives On Other Planets?

Source: The Tucson Citizen - Arizona, USA

[http://www.tucsoncitizen.com/news/local/012106a10\\_planets](http://www.tucsoncitizen.com/news/local/012106a10_planets)

Saturday, January 21, 2006

Ever Wonder What Lives On Other Planets?

A wide-ranging series of lectures at UA will try to provide answers, stimulate thinking.

Paul L. Allen

The possibility of life on other planets has fascinated humans since we discovered that there were other planets, and the University of Arizona has scheduled a series of more than a dozen lectures by scientists, writers, poets and philosophers to look at what we might expect.

The series of free presentations, "Astrobiology and the Sacred," begins Monday and continues through May 10.

All lectures will begin at 7 p.m. and, with the exception of a lecture Tuesday, will be at the Center for Creative Photography on campus, just south of East Speedway Boulevard and east of North Olive Road. Tuesday's lecture will be at the Steward Observatory auditorium, Room N210, 933 N. Cherry Ave.

"If life is found beyond Earth, it may be in our backyard - on Mars or (Saturn's moon) Titan or under the oceans on (Jupiter's moon) Europa," said UA astronomy professor Chris Impey, director of the lecture project.

"Our lectures are designed to get people thinking about the implications of biology elsewhere, as well as the immediate prospects for detecting that life."

The lecture series' first speaker is author Dava Sobel.

"It took humankind thousands of years to recognize the Earth as a planet in orbit around the sun, and now we are accustoming ourselves to the discovery of nearly 200 planets around other stars," Sobel said.

### Planetary Lecture Schedule

Monday: "Lives of the Planets," by Dava Sobel, author of "Longitude," "Galileo's Daughter" and "The Planets." Book signing follows lecture.

Tuesday: "Beyond Intelligent Design, Science Debates, and Culture Wars," by Bill Grassie, executive director of Philadelphia-based Metanexus Institute on Religion and Science

Jan. 30: "Lookin' for Life in All the Wrong Places," by Jonathan Lunine, UA professor of planetary science. Book signing follows

lecture.

Feb. 8: "Search for a Second Genesis of Life: How and Why," by Chris McKay, senior planetary scientist at NASA's Ames Research Center in Mountain View, Calif.

Feb. 22: "Looking for Planets, Looking for Life," by Nick Woolf, UA astronomy professor

March 8: "The Afterlives of Mars," by Oliver Morton, author of "Mapping Mars" and contributing editor at Wired. Book signing follows lecture.

March 22: "Dark Ecosystems on Earth and Beyond," by John Baross, oceanography professor at the University of Washington

April 3 to May 10

Simon Conway Morris, a Templeton Research Fellow, paleobiologist and earth sciences professor at the University of Cambridge, will have book signings and present four lectures that look at natural selection and resulting convergent traits in life on Earth, and implications of possible forms of extraterrestrial life:

April 3: "The Ubiquity of Evolutionary Convergence: From Molecules to Societies"

April 4: "Eyes to See, Brains to Think: The Inevitable Evolution of Intelligence"

April 5: "Meeting the Aliens: Galactic Hide and Seek?"

April 10: "Darwin's Compass: How Evolution Discovers the Song of Creation"

April 19: "In an Open Field on a Clear Night: Life in an Expanding Universe," by Patiann Rogers, author of 11 poetry collections, including "Song of the World Becoming" and "Generations." Book signing follows reading.

April 26: "Searching for Life, Searching for Minds: Astrobiology and the Problem of Design in Nature," by David Grinspoon, principal planetary scientist at the Southwest Research Institute at Boulder, Colo. Book signing follows lecture.

May 3: "The Living Universe," by Ben Bova, author of more than 100 science fiction, fiction and nonfiction books. Book signing follows lecture.

May 10: "How to Search for Extraterrestrial Life Without a Definition of Life," by Carol Cleland, philosophy professor at the University of Colorado. Book signing follows lecture.

For more information, call 621-2288 or 621-2752.

Astrobiology And The Sacred: Implications Of Life Beyond Earth :

<http://scienceandreligion.arizona.edu/calendar.html>

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 24](#)

Secrecy News - 01/23/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 10:47:26 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 07:16:51 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News - 01/23/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 9  
January 23, 2006

\*\* CONGRESSIONAL OVERSIGHT IN THEORY AND IN PRACTICE  
\*\* PATRIOT ACT REAUTHORIZATION: A LEGAL ANALYSIS (CRS)  
\*\* KINETIC ENERGY KILL FOR BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE (CRS)  
\*\* PROTECTION OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION BY CONGRESS (CRS)  
\*\* CIA LIMITS WEB PUBLICATION OF CRITICAL REPORTS

CONGRESSIONAL OVERSIGHT IN THEORY AND IN PRACTICE

The rudiments of Congressional oversight - its legal basis, its functions, and the diverse forms it takes - are concisely described in a newly updated report from the Congressional Research Service.

"Congressional oversight refers to the review, monitoring, and supervision of federal agencies, programs, activities, and policy implementation... Congress's oversight authority derives from its 'implied' powers in the Constitution, public laws, and House and Senate rules. It is an integral part of the American system of checks and balances."

See "Congressional Oversight," updated January 3, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/misc/97-936.pdf>

Integral though it may be, there is a widespread perception that congressional oversight has atrophied in recent years.

"Everyone recognizes that the failure of congressional oversight was one of the reasons why we have some of the problems in the intelligence community today," said Sen. John McCain on NBC Meet the Press on November 21, 2004.

"We really don't have, still don't have, meaningful congressional oversight," McCain said.

Last week, Rep. Henry Waxman released two reports that compare Congress' relentless probing of the Clinton Administration with the anemic oversight of the present Administration.

"On issue after issue, the Congress has failed to conduct meaningful investigations of significant allegations of wrongdoing by the Bush Administration," Rep Waxman wrote. "This approach stands in stark contrast to the breadth and intrusiveness of congressional investigations of the Clinton Administration."

See "Congress' Abdication of Oversight," January 17, 2006:

<http://www.democrats.reform.house.gov/story.asp?ID=990>

PATRIOT ACT REAUTHORIZATION: A LEGAL ANALYSIS (CRS)

The existing controversy over reauthorization of the USA Patriot Act - portions of which will "sunset" if they are not renewed - - acquired a new dimension with the disclosure last month of an NSA domestic surveillance operation.

Some now argue that the Patriot Act should not be reauthorized before the Bush Administration's claims of inherent presidential authority to conduct domestic intelligence surveillance outside of the framework of law (FISA) are confronted and clarified.

"The extensive new powers requested by the executive branch in its proposal to extend and enlarge the Patriot Act should under no circumstances be granted unless and until there are adequate and enforceable safeguards to protect the Constitution and the rights of the American people against the kinds of abuses that have so recently been revealed," said former Vice President Al Gore in a January 16, 2006 speech.

Much of the Patriot Act is unobjectionable to anyone, and some of it is positively sensible. But it also has controversial provisions on "national security letters" as well as several totally extraneous provisions inserted by House Republicans.

A detailed assessment of the entire piece of legislation was prepared by the Congressional Research Service. A copy was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "USA PATRIOT Improvement and Reauthorization Act of 2005 (H.R. 3199): A Legal Analysis of the Conference Bill," January 17, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/intel/RL33239.pdf>

#### KINETIC ENERGY KILL FOR BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE (CRS)

A new report from the Congressional Research Service presents a skeptical overview of the development of kinetic energy interceptors - anti-missile missiles - for defense against incoming ballistics.

"The data on the U.S. flight test effort to develop a national missile defense (NMD) system are mixed and ambiguous. There is no recognizable pattern to explain this record nor is there conclusive evidence of a learning curve over more than two decades of developmental testing."

A copy of the new CRS report was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "Kinetic Energy Kill for Ballistic Missile Defense: A Status Overview," January 18, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/weapons/RL33240.pdf>

#### PROTECTION OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION BY CONGRESS (CRS)

The rules and procedures for protecting classified information in Congress - which differ in the House and the Senate - are described in another new CRS report.

See "Protection of Classified Information by Congress: Practices and Proposals," updated January 11, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/secrecy/RS20748.pdf>

#### CIA LIMITS WEB PUBLICATION OF CRITICAL REPORTS

The Central Intelligence Agency has selectively declined to publish on its web site at least three unclassified reports produced by the Center for the Study of Intelligence that present an unflattering picture of the Agency, US News reported this week.

See "A Tangled Web Woven," by David E. Kaplan, US News and World Report, January 30, 2006:

<http://www.usnews.com/usnews/news/articles/060130/30cia.htm>

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News has an RSS feed at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.rss>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 24](#)

## Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction -

From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 11:22:35 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)  
Fwd Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 07:21:59 -0500  
Subject: Re: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction -

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2006 10:16:35 EST  
>Subject: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' and Alien Abduction

>I've just seen an episode of Penn & Teller's 'BS' show.

>For those unfamiliar, the magicians/comedy team picks subject  
>matter ranging from the Bible to weight loss fads and tears into  
>them without mercy.

>I'll admit some of the episodes are refreshing and funny as all  
>get out, but the one on alien abduction was a wee bit too mean  
>spirited. They focused on abductees and the 'nut' factor and  
>also attempted to invalidate Dr. Roger Leir's work.

>They didn't focus on any documentation whatsoever yet constantly  
>blurt out about the importance of doing science.

<snip>

Greetings Greg! Hi Everyone!

Although one may not agree with the reasonings or conclusions of many TV documentaries on ETs or UFOs, no one can be denied from presenting their case or expressing their opinions. Personal attacks of individuals or groups such as alien abductees and UFO researchers engaged in trying to understand this aspect of the UFO phenomenon is another matter.

If Penn and Teller believe that everyone who reports such very disturbing and often unwelcomed abductions are nuts and that the UFO researchers who think otherwise are quacks, they should provide us with their reasons for these beliefs, but if their goal are high TV ratings and to have fun by producing such entertaining programs that masquerade as critical thinking science shows at the expense these abductees and researchers, this policy of ridiculing their victims with immunity should not be tolerated.

Below is a reply I received from Dr. Roger Leir after forwarding Greg's UFO UpDates post to him asking for his comments.

Nick Balaskas

-----

Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 12:50:37 EST  
From: Leir <snip>  
To: [Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)  
Subject: Re: Penn & Teller's Show On You and Alien Implants

<snip>

Nick,



You might pass the following on to whomever, Re, Penn and Teller:

Following the airing of this horrible television program, I hired a media attorney based in New York City and filed a law suit against Penn and Teller as well as all other responsible parties. The bottom line is that the money and effort was wasted as the laws governing recovery from these scoundrels seem to protect them due to the fact that they are only on cable television and can literally do or say what they want.

At least we had the satisfaction of causing them some aggravation.

Roger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 24](#)

## Re: Montel Williams - Maccabee

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 12:19:43 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 07:29:50 -0500  
Subject: Re: Montel Williams - Maccabee

>From: Jason Gammon <[LuckyHoodoo.nul](mailto:LuckyHoodoo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 16:20:47 EST  
>Subject: Montel Williams [was: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS... ]

>>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 23:59:23 -0500  
>>Subject: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction

>>I was on the Montell Williams show in November, 1991. I don't  
>>recall him as being a severe skeptic or saying there was no  
>>truth to the subject. Of course, he may have changed his opinion  
>>over the last 15 years.

>Bruce,

>I watched a lot of Montel in the 90's. I don't recall seeing you  
>on an episode. Was the subject UFOs in general or was it a bit  
>more specific in nature?

The show was taped in LA on the Monday, Nov 11th. I was there  
with a couple of the Gulf Breeze witnesses (not Ed), an abductee  
and Linda Howe. I presume the show covered several aspects of  
ufology (sightings, mutes, abduction).

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 24](#)

## Re: Montel Williams - Myers

From: Royce J. Myers III <[ufowatchdog.nul](mailto:ufowatchdog.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 09:53:07 -0800  
Fwd Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 07:31:39 -0500  
Subject: Re: Montel Williams - Myers

>From: Jason Gammon <[LuckyHoodoo.nul](mailto:LuckyHoodoo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 16:20:47 EST  
>Subject: Montel Williams [was: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS... ]

>>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 23:59:23 -0500  
>>Subject: Penn & Teller's Show 'BS' & Alien Abduction

<snip>

>I don't recall which episode it was that Montel spoke his opinon  
>but it truly was something akin to "Well, I personally don't  
>believe there's anything to it as I have never encountered  
>anything regarding this subject in my military career, and I  
>certainly would have been in a position to know." Of course  
>that's a paraphrase of his comment, and it reflects just how  
>short & to the point the original comment was.

Has anyone seen the Montel with Russ Estes as a guest? Now  
that's some classic television.

Regards,

Royce J. Myers III  
UFOWATCHDOG.COM

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 24](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 13:20:36 -0800  
Fwd Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 07:34:07 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 15:56:13 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>Hello Michael:

>'Wavering' might be a poor choice of words on my part, maybe  
>undecided is better.

>I'm not wavering on Corso matters though, I think its BS.

>I only wanted to extend a welcome to a newcomer here, not to  
>waste a day refuting the claims of Lt. Col. Corso

>Karl Pflock, Stan Friedman, Kevin Randle, Brad Sparks,

><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/aug/m05-012.shtml>

>... and others have done a much better job than I can.

Larry,

I doubt that they've done better job that you could do if you really decided to spend the time and take the effort. Their criticisms are often superficial and in many cases dead wrong. An example is your transistor reference below, a red herring that Stan reels out whenever Corso is brought up. It indicates to me that he hasn't read the book very carefully. This criticism is neither relevant nor accurate.

>Among Corso's claims are:

>\* Discovery of an alien in a crate (inside a glass container in  
>blue liquid, 4 fingers, Streiberesque features) at Fort Riley,  
>Kansas, late of Roswell and on its way to Walter Reed Hospital  
>in Washington..

Yes he does say that, but his story is consistant and could be possible. There hasn't been evidence presented that this event could not have happened. Corso was stationed at Fort Riley during July of 1947, and Fort Riley is on the highway a convoy might take if heading east from Roswell.

Believe him or not, but it's a subjective judgement. The voice stress analysis of this story during the Art Bell interview indicated that Corso was telling the truth.

>\* Invention of the Transistor, back engineered from Roswell  
>debris in 5 or 6 months.

He doesn't claim that he was involved with the development of the transistor. That chapter is actually about the Roswell debris and how that original Roswell debris influenced the development of the transistor. Have you read "The Day After Roswell"? If not I think you'd enjoy it. Open your mind and realize that these are the memoirs of an eighty year old man trying to tell the truth as he saw it, told by a second person. Yes they may have made some mistakes, but the Art Bell VSA

indicates that Corso was telling the truth. His son told me that his dad had a notebook filled with corrections.

>\* Other goodies like lasers, fiber optics, night vision, kevlar  
>.. also reverse engineered or developed from alien technology

>Lets just take the transistor for a moment. Are we to believe  
>that Bell Labs back engineered the transistor in 5 or 6 months  
>following the Roswell crash?

Why not read the book before continuing?

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 24](#)

## Re: USO Show On History Channel - Maccabee

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 22:10:53 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 07:40:24 -0500  
Subject: Re: USO Show On History Channel - Maccabee

Not such a bad show, although their depictions of UFOs/USOs were certainly 'creative'.

One correction regarding the water spout photo of Ed Walters:

Ed did not say that he saw the object come out of the water. Instead, he said that when he first noticed it, it was descending from the western sky down to a level about equal to the treetop level on the north side of the Santa Rosa Sound (he was looking from a house on the south side of the Sound).

It hovered for a minute or so while a column of water rose up to the bottom of the object. Then it took off rapidly.

Where the USO show guys got the idea that it might have come out of the water I do not know. Their graphic illustration of a twisted column of water below the UFO does not match the image in the actual photo (date: April 26, 1994)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 24](#)

## Re: A New Chapter In Bryant's 'Bleak House' -

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 23:47:58 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 07:41:47 -0500  
Subject: Re: A New Chapter In Bryant's 'Bleak House' -

>From: Larry W. Bryant <[overtci.nul](mailto:overtci.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 15:54:29 -0500  
>Subject: A New Chapter In Bryant's 'Bleak House'

>A New Chapter in "Bryant's 'Bleak House':  
>Moving Toward Summary Judgment

>By Larry W. Bryant

>Though it wasn't Friday the 13th, it was a day of reckoning -  
>seven days later, January 20, 2006. On that date, my attorney,  
>Jonathan L. Katz, reached a milestone in U. S. District Court  
>for the District of Columbia: by filing his motion for summary  
>judgment in my First Amendment case of Bryant v. Rumsfeld, et  
>al.

Sock it to'em, Larry!

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 24](#)

**Re: Richard C. Hoagland? - Gates**

From: Robert Gates <[Gates8254.nul](mailto:Gates8254.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 00:28:32 EST  
Fwd Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 07:57:01 -0500  
Subject: Re: Richard C. Hoagland? - Gates

>From: Jan Aldrich <[project1947.nul](mailto:project1947.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 04:22:46 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Richard C. Hoagland?

<snip>

>After my return to ufology one of the first things I saw at  
>Connecticut MUFON was a video of Hoagland holding forth on the  
>"spires" on the moon - something McDonald checked on and found  
>wanting. Hoagland's presentation quickly transitioned to his  
>"fairy castles". What I saw was a man who would have previously  
>criticized such a presentation now using the very techniques and  
>arguments he previously eschewed and decried. I thought to  
>myself, "he doesn't believe this garbage himself."

>I don't know when Hoagland started on his current voyage into  
>Hokumlandia, but when I'd seen him before he was rational.

Jan and Listers,

One of my favorite Hoagland stories, came through Hoagland, from  
some alleged super secret intelligence community source who  
whispered in his ear that there would be an ET landing on a  
mountain top in Arizona on December 10th of 2000.

[See the threads at the UFO UpDates Archive:

<http://tinyurl.com/8xapw>

--ebk]

Apparently as soon as Hoagland heard the story, he put it up on  
his web site, all over talk radio and so forth.

The story had 'legs' for a month or two before the December date  
and gullible people (including Hoagland) were waiting around  
for the 'landing' to happen. The date came and went. Some  
enterprising soul actually drove to the top of the mountain in  
the snow at the prescribed time and found... nothing.

Whrn Hoagland's chops were being busted over this one, some of  
the folks who didn't want to write the story, off for what it  
was, started rationalizing how ET actually materialized inside  
the mountain and went via tunnel to some other location, etc.,  
etc..

Even the people who used that explanation soon came to the  
conclusion that it was a load of trash. Then the inevitable, the  
people who believed and defended the story either refused to  
talk about it anymore, or moved on to the next incredible story,  
told by the next nameless person, allegedly from the  
intelligence community.

And so the circle is complete...

Cheers,



Robert

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 24](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Sawers

From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 16:10:30 +1000  
Fwd Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 08:00:49 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Sawers

>From: Victor Stead <[vicstead.nul](mailto:vicstead.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 12:44:14 +0800  
>Subject: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>Dear List Members,

>I like many others am one of the 'Lurkers', people who subscribe  
>to your excellent List and learn much from it but don't always  
>feel that we should write unless we have something important to  
>contribute.

>I for one am always interested in the varied opinions of the  
>people who post. Frequently much research has been put into  
>their opinions and, whether we agree with them or not, they are  
>of interest and broaden our approach to the subject of UFOs.  
>Through this List I have been introduced to several member's web  
>sites and learned much more.

>I was particularly interested to find out about Jerry Cohen and  
>through him to discover the works of the late Bob Pratt.

>Like Mr. Cohen I have seen a UFO. I, in the company of many  
>other people, saw a large UFO off the stern of an aircraft  
>carrier in 1965. I was serving on this carrier at the time and  
>we were not flying thatthat day. Hence my interest in the UFO  
>subject.

>It is always a pleasure to read posts from the likes of Stanton  
>Friedman and many other greats and well-knowns from the field of  
>UFO research. I also enjoy reading the opinions of Dr. Michael  
>Salla. I don't always agree with everything that he has to say  
>but he is surely entitled to his opinion without being savaged  
>for it.

>I read Lt. Col. Corso's book several times and personally  
>believe it to be substantially true. Why would an old man near  
>to death make that entire story up?

>I'm sure that he didn't need the money and as a Lt. Colonel he  
>had held down many responsible positions during and post his  
>military career. The book was, after all, co-written and  
>possibly minor inconsistencies slipped in during a time when Lt.  
>Col. Corso was very old and in failing health.

>There will always be three types of people on this and any other  
>UFO List.

>Those who beyond any doubt have seen a UFO.

>Those who have been swayed by others and believe that UFOs  
>exist.

>The sceptics who wouldn't believe in UFOs if one landed on their  
>front lawn in broad daylight.

>Well Ladies and Gentlemen, I am going back to have a good lurk.

>I would like to extend my personal thanks to Mr. Errol Bruce-

>Knapp who runs this List and makes all of the news and opinions  
>available to the rest of us.

Yes... Welcome Victor,

Its refreshing to hear you innocently stating your view. Its a shame  
you had to pick Corso. A dangerous subject on UFO UpDates.

I too believe the majority of The Day After Roswell.

I stopped writing-in after voicing a few pro-comments as well.  
Anything to do with Corso or the AA will be shot down very  
quickly. Don't be disheartened, there are many of us great  
unwashed or, umm, unpublished(?) lurking in the background.

Until I become as eloquent as our leaders in the UFO world I'm  
going to stick to lurking too. (Stick with it)

Regards

William

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 24](#)

## Re: Richard C. Hoagland? - Goldstein

From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 00:29:02 -0800  
Fwd Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 08:03:34 -0500  
Subject: Re: Richard C. Hoagland? - Goldstein

>From: Jan Aldrich <[project1947.nul](mailto:project1947.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 04:22:46 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Richard C. Hoagland?

>>From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 18:00:16 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Richard C. Hoagland?

>>>From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 21:44:37 -0700  
>>>Subject: Richard C. Hoagland?

>>>Anyone here able to help out with this?

>>>From: Lyle Wood <[lylew.nul](mailto:lylew.nul)>  
>>>Date: January 21, 2006 11:59:59 AM CST  
>>>To: <[bibliographer.nul](mailto:bibliographer.nul)>  
>>>Subject: Richard C. Hoaglund

>>>Despite Hoagland's claims to the contrary, Lars Angstrom  
>>>apologized for having given Hoagland the Angstrom Medal, Carl  
>>>Sagan said Hoagland had no part whatsoever in the creation of  
>>>the Pioneer space probe plaque, and Walter Cronkite said he had  
>>>no part in hiring him as an "advisor" for CBS news.

>>Frank Drake, in his book 'Is Anyone out There?', co-authored  
>>with Dava Sobel, credits Eric Burgess and Richard Hoagland as  
>>having proposed the Pioneer 10 message to Carl Sagan. Sagan is  
>>quoted as the source of the anecdote.

>>The story's on pages 175 and 176 in the paperback, Pocket Book  
>>edition that I have.

>I knew Hoagland during the early 1960s. He was a rather  
>skeptical person interested in UFOs. He was at the NICAP  
>Connecticut meeting when Dr. James McDonald gave John Fuller a  
>copy of the Low's Condon Committee 'Trick Memo'.

>After my return to ufology one of the first things I saw at  
>Connecticut MUFON was a video of Hoagland holding forth on the  
>"spires" on the moon - something McDonald checked on and found  
>wanting. Hoagland's presentation quickly transitioned to his  
>"fairy castles". What I saw was a man who would have previously  
>criticized such a presentation now using the very techniques and  
>arguments he previously eschewed and decried. I thought to  
>myself, "he doesn't believe this garbage himself."

>I don't know when Hoagland started on his current voyage into  
>Hokumlandia, but when I'd seen him before he was rational.

Jan, thanks for filling us in on the earlier stage of Hoagland's  
life when he was rational.

I didn't personally experience him until the beginning of the  
nineties. I felt right away that he was out-there - out to lunch.  
Mars was one thing but those Moon spires of unbelievable height

Re: Richard C. Hoagland? - Goldstein

seemed even more absurd.

I have no idea what has made him tick. He seems to have developed a grandiose imagination.

Josh Goldstein

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 24](#)

## NASA Official Takes SETI Institute Job

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 08:07:53 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 08:07:53 -0500  
Subject: NASA Official Takes SETI Institute Job

Source: The Houston Chronicle - Texas, USA

<http://www.chron.com/disp/story.mpl/nation/3607185.html>

Jan. 23, 2006

NASA Official Takes Job Seeking Extraterrestrial Life  
Associated Press

SAN JOSE, Calif. --97 G. Scott Hubbard, who has led a NASA research center since 2002 and investigated the space shuttle Columbia tragedy, is stepping down to accept a position with an organization that studies the possibility of life beyond Earth, he said today.

Hubbard, 57, will occupy the Carl Sagan Chair for the Study of Life in the Universe at the SETI Institute, effective Feb. 15.

"My new position at the SETI Institute allows me to return to the research arena and pursue a lifelong interest in the search for life in the universe and its origins on Earth," he said.

SETI, which stands for the "search for extraterrestrial intelligence," houses research into the origin of life and how it might be found on other planets and moons. The institute, in Mountain View, Calif., is a nonprofit organization and home to dozens of researchers.

Hubbard joined the nearby NASA Ames Research Center in 1987 and as director worked to increase collaboration with neighboring universities and companies.

In 2003, he was named to the board that helped determine the cause of the Columbia's disintegration that January.

Earlier, he was the first Mars program director at NASA headquarters, where he worked to correct problems that doomed two spacecraft in 1999.

Hubbard's new position is named for Carl Sagan, a planetary researcher who popularized science and the search for life through his work on NASA missions, books and the PBS television series Cosmos. Sagan died in 1996.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 24](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 08:25:18 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 08:36:02 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Kaeser

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egerman.nul](mailto:egerman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 13:20:36 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 15:56:13 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>I'm not wavering on Corso matters though, I think its BS.

>>I only wanted to extend a welcome to a newcomer here, not to  
>>waste a day refuting the claims of Lt. Col. Corso

>>Karl Pflock, Stan Friedman, Kevin Randle, Brad Sparks,

>><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/aug/m05-012.shtml>

>>... and others have done a much better job than I can.

>Larry,

>I doubt that they've done better job that you could do if you  
>really decided to spend the time and take the effort. Their  
>criticisms are often superficial and in many cases dead wrong.  
>An example is your transistor reference below, a red herring  
>that Stan reels out whenever Corso is brought up. It indicates  
>to me that he hasn't read the book very carefully. This  
>criticism is neither relevant nor accurate.

>>Among Corso's claims are:

>>\* Discovery of an alien in a crate (inside a glass container  
>>in blue liquid, 4 fingers, Streiberesque features) at Fort  
>>Riley, Kansas, late of Roswell and on its way to Walter Reed  
>>Hospital in Washington..

>Yes he does say that, but his story is consistant and could be  
>possible. There hasn't been evidence presented that this event  
>could not have happened. Corso was stationed at Fort Riley  
>during July of 1947, and Fort Riley is on the highway a convoy  
>might take if heading east from Roswell.

>Believe him or not, but it's a subjective judgement. The voice  
>stress analysis of this story during the Art Bell interview  
>indicated that Corso was telling the truth.

Ed,

Are you saying that anything that might have happened should be  
accepted as possible fact unless proven otherwise? You've turned  
scientific proof on its head, requiring that somehow there's  
some way to verify what 'didn't' happen. Up until now, there  
generally accepted rule has been that proofs are comprised of  
facts that show what did occur, but that's a 'subjective'  
judgement.

Whether Corso's tale has any validity is another matter, and I



know a number of military retirees that like to brag, so I'm not a good person to ask. But in the end - IMO - Corso's book has had no impact in bringing the truth to the general public.

Interesting allegations are made, but all we can do is wait for collaboration from some other source that proves something of significance. Merely proving where he was stationed at any given time proves that he remembers where he was.

Steve

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 24](#)

## Re: USO Show On History Channel - Hall

From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 15:01:45 +0000  
Fwd Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 15:01:45 -0500  
Subject: Re: USO Show On History Channel - Hall

>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 22:10:53 -0500  
>Subject: Re: USO Show On History Channel

>Not such a bad show, although their depictions of UFOs/USOs were  
>certainly 'creative'.

>One correction regarding the water spout photo of Ed Walters:

>Ed did not say that he saw the object come out of the water.  
>Instead, he said that when he first noticed it, it was  
>descending from the western sky down to a level about equal to  
>the treetop level on the north side of the Santa Rosa Sound (he  
>was looking from a house on the south side of the Sound).

>It hovered for a minute or so while a column of water rose up to  
>the bottom of the object. Then it took off rapidly.

>Where the USO show guys got the idea that it might have come out  
>of the water I do not know. Their graphic illustration of a  
>twisted column of water below the UFO does not match the image  
>in the actual photo (date: April 26, 1994)

Bruce,

In case you haven't noticed, "documentary" film producers tend  
to have all the morals of an alley cat. Anything for the sake of  
sensationalism and the Big E (entertainment).

The first part of this program was so bad that I turned it off  
in disgust. Maybe some of the later part was better, but they  
lost me quickly with their fakery and total graphic  
misrepresentations. What garbage!

- Dick

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 24](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 11:54:27 EST  
Fwd Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 15:03:50 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Randle

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 13:20:36 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 22 Jan 2006 15:56:13 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>Hello Michael:

>>'Wavering' might be a poor choice of words on my part, maybe  
>>undecided is better.

>>I'm not wavering on Corso matters though, I think its BS.

>>I only wanted to extend a welcome to a newcomer here, not to  
>>waste a day refuting the claims of Lt. Col. Corso

>>Karl Pflock, Stan Friedman, Kevin Randle, Brad Sparks,

>><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/aug/m05-012.shtml>

>>... and others have done a much better job than I can.

>Larry,

>I doubt that they've done better job that you could do if you  
>really decided to spend the time and take the effort. Their  
>criticisms are often superficial and in many cases dead wrong.  
>An example is your transistor reference below, a red herring  
>that Stan reels out whenever Corso is brought up. It indicates  
>to me that he hasn't read the book very carefully. This  
>criticism is neither relevant nor accurate.

>Among Corso's claims are:

>>\* Discovery of an alien in a crate (inside a glass container in  
>>blue liquid, 4 fingers, Streiberesque features) at Fort Riley,  
>>Kansas, late of Roswell and on its way to Walter Reed Hospital  
>>in Washington..

>Yes he does say that, but his story is consistant and could be  
>possible. There hasn't been evidence presented that this event  
>could not have happened. Corso was stationed at Fort Riley  
>during July of 1947, and Fort Riley is on the highway a convoy  
>might take if heading east from Roswell.

Ed, List, All,

At the risk of underscoring my debunker status and the claims  
that I have crossed the Rubicon, let me make a few comments  
here. I have some experience in moving "sensitive items" long  
distances, which is what a convoy coming from New Mexico was  
doing when it allegedly stopped at Ft Riley. In the coincidence  
department, I moved those sensitive items to Ft. Riley (later we  
moved them an even longer distance, but that's another story).

Now, I am fully aware that I moved those items nearly sixty years after Corso claimed to have seen the body in a wooden crate, and I know that the sensitive items I moved, meaning all the weapons for a battalion is not the same as crates of wrecked flying saucer and the dead flight crew, but I also think that we can deduce certain facts. I mean, moving sensitive items is moving sensitive items.

Here's the problem. Although we moved our weapons to Ft. Riley, and we used their vaults to secure those weapons, we were the ones who placed the weapons into the vaults, we were given the keys, locks and combinations to the vaults, which we set, and only our soldiers had access to those vaults. In other words, we didn't surrender custody of our sensitive items when we arrived at Ft. Riley and the soldiers there did not take over responsibility for guarding them.

Now, I realize, once again, that I'm talking about an event that happened nearly sixty years after the alleged convoy arrived at Ft. Riley. However, these sorts of things haven't changed all that much. Those responsible for the sensitive items are responsible and don't surrender them to the host station. There would be no reason for guards from Riley to be watching the sensitive items and there would be even less reason for them to be searching the crates. Finally, there is a real problem with the sergeant (Brownie) calling his bowling buddy (Corso) to tell him that he (Brownie) had violated security. The local guards shouldn't have had access to the crates in the first place and Corso, as a field grade officer, should have reported the breach of security in the second place.

So, to my mind, this story is implausible. There is no evidence that a convoy carrying the bodies stopped at Ft. Riley, the guarding of the sensitive items would have remained with those on the convoy and not the locals, if there was a compromise of the material (which is what Corso alleges) that incident should have been reported, and finally, we don't really know who this sergeant is so that we can't attempt to locate him and verify the claim.

(As an aside, I spent time in an aviation unit and we used those assets just as we all use our cars. If we needed to go somewhere, we used a helicopter because it was the fastest and safest means of travel. Those at Roswell in 1947 would have transported the stuff in aircraft. They had lots of them and they even had cargo planes to carry lots of stuff. No real reason for an over the road convoy.)

That, to me, is some evidence that the event didn't happen. But, it is not my responsibility to prove this didn't happen, but the responsibility of those making the claims to present some evidence it did. That simply hasn't happened yet.

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 24](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Allan

From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 17:08:12 -0000  
Fwd Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 15:05:00 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Allan

>From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 16:10:30 +1000  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Victor Stead <[vicstead.nul](mailto:vicstead.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 12:44:14 +0800  
>>Subject: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>Yes... Welcome Victor,

>Its refreshing to hear you innocently stating your view. Its a shame  
>you had to pick Corso. A dangerous subject on UFO UpDates.

>I too believe the majority of The Day After Roswell.

>I stopped writing-in after voicing a few pro-comments as well.  
>Anything to do with Corso or the AA will be shot down very  
>quickly. Don't be disheartened, there are many of us great  
>unwashed or, umm, unpublished(?) lurking in the background.

The 'alien in a crate' seen by Corso had 4 fingers. The alien in  
the AA (alien autopsy) film had 6 fingers. Yet these two  
'aliens' both came to earth in New Mexico on about the same date  
in 1947.

What ever happened to the 5-fingered variety?

CDA

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 24](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 09:26:52 -0800  
Fwd Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 15:07:24 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

>From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 08:25:18 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 13:20:36 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>Yes he does say that, but his story is consistant and could be  
>>possible. There hasn't been evidence presented that this event  
>>could not have happened. Corso was stationed at Fort Riley  
>>during July of 1947, and Fort Riley is on the highway a convoy  
>>might take if heading east from Roswell.

>>Believe him or not, but it's a subjective judgement. The voice  
>>stress analysis of this story during the Art Bell interview  
>>indicated that Corso was telling the truth.

>Are you saying that anything that might have happened should be  
>accepted as possible fact unless proven otherwise? You've turned  
>scientific proof on its head, requiring that somehow there's  
>some way to verify what 'didn't' happen.

Hi Steven,

No I wasn't trying to turn science on its head. Corso wrote  
that he saw a dead, alien type creature in a crate while on  
guard duty at Fort Riley in July of 1947.

We have only his individual testimony and nothing to verify his  
statement except a voice stress analysis and our knowledge that  
he was stationed there during that time period.

We can either believe Corso or not, but that's an individual  
subjective response to his claims. Added to this should be a  
realization that there has not been any evidence presented to  
show that he is lying about this adventure.

>Up until now, there  
>generally accepted rule has been that proofs are comprised of  
>facts that show what did occur, but that's a 'subjective'  
>judgement.

I don't think I've violated accepted prectice but will remain  
vigilant.

>Whether Corso's tale has any validity is another matter, and I  
>know a number of military retirees that like to brag, so I'm not  
>a good person to ask. But in the end - IMO - Corso's book has had  
>no impact in bringing the truth to the general public.

I have to disagree with this. I'm sure "Day After Roswell" is  
the best selling UFO book of all time. It has ranked very high  
on Amazon for years and is still a popular book. There are over  
two-hundred reviews and the vast majority are extremely  
favorable. All List members should read it carefully and then

decide for themselves Corso's importance or relevance.

<http://tinyurl.com/bxfju>

>Interesting allegations are made, but all we can do is wait for  
>collaboration from some other source that proves something of  
>significance. Merely proving where he was stationed at any given  
>time proves that he remembers where he was.

I think a better approach would be to read the book carefully,  
and then try to corroborate the information through your own  
research.

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 24](#)

## Re: USO Show On History Channel - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 16:24:44 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 16:58:04 -0500  
Subject: Re: USO Show On History Channel - Ledger

>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 15:01:45 +0000  
>Subject: Re: USO Show On History Channel

>>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 22:10:53 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: USO Show On History Channel

>>Not such a bad show, although their depictions of UFOs/USOs  
>>were certainly 'creative'.

>>One correction regarding the water spout photo of Ed  
>>Walters:

>>Ed did not say that he saw the object come out of the water.  
>>Instead, he said that when he first noticed it, it was  
>>descending from the western sky down to a level about equal  
>>to the treetop level on the north side of the Santa Rosa  
>>Sound (he was looking from a house on the south side of the  
>>Sound).

>>It hovered for a minute or so while a column of water rose  
>>up to the bottom of the object. Then it took off rapidly.

>>Where the USO show guys got the idea that it might have come  
>>out of the water I do not know. Their graphic illustration  
>>of a twisted column of water below the UFO does not match  
>>the image in the actual photo (date: April 26, 1994)

>In case you haven't noticed, "documentary" film producers tend  
>to have all the morals of an alley cat. Anything for the sake  
>of sensationalism and the Big E (entertainment).  
>The first part of this program was so bad that I turned it off  
>in disgust. Maybe some of the later part was better, but they  
>lost me quickly with their fakery and total graphic  
>misrepresentations. What garbage!

Maybe you never got to my section before you switched off, Dick.  
If so, how did my hair look?

I sat on a concrete block for nearly two hours and I understand  
that they used about 30 seconds. Between me and Chris hopefully  
they made some sense out of the Shag Harbour Incident.

Don

BTW - KPI in New York is editing together another History  
Channel special entirely on Shag Harbour. Not sure when that  
comes out.



[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 24](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 15:54:18 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 16:59:57 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Kaeser

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egerman.nul](mailto:egerman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 09:26:52 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

>>Interesting allegations are made, but all we can do is wait for  
>>collaboration from some other source that proves something of  
>>significance. Merely proving where he was stationed at any given  
>>time proves that he remembers where he was.

>I think a better approach would be to read the book carefully,  
>and then try to corroborate the information through your own  
>research.

I would tend to agree and think that's what I said.

I purchased the book in Roswell in 1997 and met with Col. Corso for a short while. A number of well respected researchers have gone through their material carefully and hoped to find some collaboration with Corso's claims. But as of yet, there are more questions and concerns than answers.

Steve

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 25](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 18:15:47 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 07:44:42 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Friedman

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 09:26:52 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 08:25:18 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 13:20:36 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

>>>Believe him or not, but it's a subjective judgement. The voice  
>>>stress analysis of this story during the Art Bell interview  
>>>indicated that Corso was telling the truth.

>>Are you saying that anything that might have happened should be  
>>accepted as possible fact unless proven otherwise? You've turned  
>>scientific proof on its head, requiring that somehow there's  
>>some way to verify what 'didn't' happen.

>No I wasn't trying to turn science on its head. Corso wrote  
>that he saw a dead, alien type creature in a crate while on  
>guard duty at Fort Riley in July of 1947.

>We have only his individual testimony and nothing to verify his  
>statement except a voice stress analysis and our knowledge that  
>he was stationed there during that time period.

>We can either believe Corso or not, but that's an individual  
>subjective response to his claims. Added to this should be a  
>realization that there has not been any evidence presented to  
>show that he is lying about this adventure.

>>Up until now, there  
>>generally accepted rule has been that proofs are comprised of  
>>facts that show what did occur, but that's a 'subjective'  
>>judgement.

>I don't think I've violated accepted practice but will remain  
>vigilant.

>>Whether Corso's tale has any validity is another matter, and I  
>>know a number of military retirees that like to brag, so I'm not  
>>a good person to ask. But in the end - IMO - Corso's book has had  
>>no impact in bringing the truth to the general public.

>I have to disagree with this. I'm sure "Day After Roswell" is  
>the best selling UFO book of all time. It has ranked very high  
>on Amazon for years and is still a popular book. There are over  
>two-hundred reviews and the vast majority are extremely  
>favorable. All List members should read it carefully and then  
>decide for themselves Corso's importance or relevance.

><http://tinyurl.com/bxfju>

>>Interesting allegations are made, but all we can do is wait for  
>>collaboration from some other source that proves something of  
>>significance. Merely proving where he was stationed at any given  
>>time proves that he remembers where he was.

>I think a better approach would be to read the book carefully,  
>and then try to corroborate the information through your own  
>research.

Much as you don't want to admit it Ed, that is what I have done.

1. I asked Corso how he knew the date was July 6 - a Diary? Notes? His answer was he knew when he was transferred there which was March or April... which is no answer at all.
2. Looking at the Roswell scenario of when Brazel went in to Roswell on July 6, no way the body could get to Ft. Riley the same day since Marcel wasn't back until July 7 late at night.
3. Look at all the violations of security
  - a. Leaving something as important as an alien body being transported somewhere without a 24 hour guard with it makes no sense.
  - b. A local guard breaking into the crate makes no sense
  - c. A local guard opening it for Corso makes no sense
4. We are talking about an Army Air Force Installation. They had numerous B-29 aircraft at Roswell. Surely the body in its container would have been flown to WPAFB not sent by road. The roads weren't great back then either
5. My maps don't suggest that was the most direct route from Roswell or Ft. Bliss to Dayton Ohio.
6. Corso gave no indication of refrigeration. It gets awfully hot in NM, TX, OK, KS etc in the summer. Bodies deteriorate rapidly in the heat..... Another reason for flying the body, rather than taking the slow road with overnight stops.
7. I do not say Corso took credit for the transistor. I do say he took credit for the integrated circuit. But Dr. Jack Kilby got a Nobel Prize for his work done in 1958, well before Corso was at the Army FTD.

I further have maintained that the USAF FTD would have been way ahead of Corso considering they had wreckage in 1947 and a large staff of professional engineers and scientists. I made many trips there in the early 1960s. Corso was neither an engineer nor a scientist and apparently had none working for him according to the Roster. The scenario makes no sense.

Any validation, for your scenario, Ed?

Do you really believe that Corso's book outsold Communion or Frank Edwards' Flying Saucers: Serious Business or even Scully's book? Evidence?

Stan Friedman  
[www.stantonfriedman.com](http://www.stantonfriedman.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 25](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 14:29:33 -0800  
Fwd Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 07:47:47 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 17:08:12 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

>The 'alien in a crate' seen by Corso had 4 fingers.

Chris,

Corso's alien had six fingers (pg34), as does the MP's alien and the creature from the AA. I think we can conclude that at least some of our visitors have six fingers, but maybe only the pilots.

>The alien in  
>the AA (alien autopsy) film had 6 fingers. Yet these two  
>'aliens' both came to earth in New Mexico on about the same date  
>in 1947.

No they occurred over a month apart. The AA craft crashed on May 31, 1947, in Nogal Canyon, SW of Socorro while the Roswell craft blew apart in the air about ten PM on July 2nd., 1947, northwest of Roswell.

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 25](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Maccabee

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 19:51:36 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 07:53:46 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Maccabee

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egerman.nul](mailto:egerman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 13:20:36 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>\* Other goodies like lasers, fiber optics, night vision, kevlar  
>>.. also reverse engineered or developed from alien technology

>>Lets just take the transistor for a moment. Are we to believe  
>>that Bell Labs back engineered the transistor in 5 or 6 months  
>>following the Roswell crash?

>Why not read the book before continuing?

I read the book and I also checked up on the transistor history.  
I read some of the Physical Review papers as well as Bell Labs  
history of the Transistor.

As nearly as I could tell it was a step by step process  
supported by the developing theory of semiconductors, a theory  
that began in the 1930's with applications of quantum mechanics  
to the conduction of electrons (and holes) in semiconductor  
materials. No indication that there was a "eureka moment"  
followed by "gee,I wonder how that worked" followed by "let's  
take it apart and find out."

Same for the laser history... step by step.

Night vision devices were based on 1930's and early 40's  
discoveries about sensitive infrared detectors or sensitive  
visible light detectors (vacuum tubes and electron multipliers).

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 25](#)

## Re: USO Show On History Channel - Maccabee

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 19:56:51 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 07:55:44 -0500  
Subject: Re: USO Show On History Channel - Maccabee

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 16:24:44 -0400  
>Subject: Re: USO Show On History Channel

>>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 15:01:45 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: USO Show On History Channel

>>>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Mon, 23 Jan 2006 22:10:53 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: USO Show On History Channel

>>>Not such a bad show, although their depictions of UFOs/USOs  
>>>were certainly 'creative'.

<snip>

>Maybe you never got to my section before you switched off, Dick.  
>If so, how did my hair look?

>I sat on a concrete block for nearly two hours and I understand  
>that they used about 30 seconds. Between me and Chris hopefully  
>they made some sense out of the Shag Harbour Incident.

I thought the Shag Harbor section came off quite well.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 25](#)

## Re: USO Show On History Channel - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <[LuckyHoodoo.nul](mailto:LuckyHoodoo.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 00:36:20 EST  
Fwd Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 08:02:30 -0500  
Subject: Re: USO Show On History Channel - Gammon

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 16:24:44 -0400  
>Subject: Re: USO Show On History Channel

<snip>

>Maybe you never got to my section before you switched off, Dick.  
>If so, how did my hair look?

>I sat on a concrete block for nearly two hours and I understand  
>that they used about 30 seconds. Between me and Chris hopefully  
>they made some sense out of the Shag Harbour Incident.

I'm not Dick, but seeing you on that almost-submerged block worried me. I imagined a freak wave wiping you out at any minute!

In fact, the scenery was so beautiful it tended to take away from the topic at hand.

Even the bold text that magically appeared above the heads of the experts was just to 'razzle-dazzle'.

I even commented, out-loud to myself, that the only way to make it more entertaining would be for Stanton Friedman to be doing 'hand-jive' or for the announcer guy to go "dun... dun... dun" all dramatic like when 'startling' info was presented. No offense Stanton, your info, as always, was quite informative.

All in all the show was still good.

I do have my questions though.

1. Exactly where did Plato say the Atlanteans survived the catastrophe that destroyed their supposed civilization, yet alone created "pod" cities underwater and got around by maneuvering fish-tailed UFO's?

2. Is the guy near the levy, submerged dock, or whatever that was, all right? My second biggest fear was that he was going to take one false step backwards and disappear into the brimy blue.

Hey, how about next week they show UFO Documentary Bloopers!?

-Jason Gammon

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 25](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Goldstein

From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 23:32:41 -0800  
Fwd Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 08:05:55 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Goldstein

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 09:26:52 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 08:25:18 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 13:20:36 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

>>>Believe him or not, but it's a subjective judgement. The voice  
>>>stress analysis of this story during the Art Bell interview  
>>>indicated that Corso was telling the truth.

>>Are you saying that anything that might have happened should be  
>>accepted as possible fact unless proven otherwise? You've turned  
>>scientific proof on its head, requiring that somehow there's  
>>some way to verify what 'didn't' happen.

>No I wasn't trying to turn science on its head. Corso wrote  
>that he saw a dead, alien type creature in a crate while on  
>guard duty at Fort Riley in July of 1947.

>We have only his individual testimony and nothing to verify his  
>statement except a voice stress analysis and our knowledge that  
>he was stationed there during that time period.

<snip>

Ed,

For your information if a person is psychologically disturbed  
and has delusions, a voice stress analysis will show that the  
subject seems to be telling the truth. That is because the  
person believes in the delusion, even though there is no actual  
truth outside of the person's own mind.

Josh Goldstein

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 25](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Maccabee

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 08:35:29 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 09:05:57 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Maccabee

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 17:08:12 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

>The 'alien in a crate' seen by Corso had 4 fingers. The alien in  
>the AA (alien autopsy) film had 6 fingers. Yet these two  
>'aliens' both came to earth in New Mexico on about the same date  
>in 1947.

>What ever happened to the 5-fingered variety?

The answer is obvious: clearly the 'average-alien' has 5 fingers

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 25](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 08:36:51 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 09:09:31 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Shell

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 18:15:47 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

>2. Looking at the Roswell scenario of when Brazel went in to  
>Roswell on July 6, no way the body could get to Ft. Riley the  
>same day since Marcel wasn't back until July 7 late at night.

<snip>

Stan,

I agree with all of your points except this one. You assume that any bodies would have come from Marcel's site. This is unlikely.

I think the main parts of the craft came down a considerable distance away, and that site was found earlier and all materials/bodies retrieved well before Marcell entered the picture.

So Corso's claim that a body was at Ft. Riley by July 7 is in no way impossible.

That does not mean that I believe Corso, but I would not discount that part of his story for your reason.

Personally, I think the Corso book is worthless because of Bill Birnes screwing everything up. I hope that at some point Corso's family publishes a more accurate version.

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 26](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Boone

From: Greg Boone [Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 09:43:56 EST  
Fwd Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 06:41:49 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Boone

>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 08:35:29 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Christopher Allan >><[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 17:08:12 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

><snip>

>>The 'alien in a crate' seen by Corso had 4 fingers. The alien in  
>>the AA (alien autopsy) film had 6 fingers. Yet these two  
>>'aliens' both came to earth in New Mexico on about the same date  
>>in 1947.

>>What ever happened to the 5-fingered variety?

>The answer is obvious: clearly the 'average-alien' has 5 fingers

Actually, aliens have three fingers and a thumb.

As a cartoonist/ animator I've noticed this. Bugs Bunny, Mickey Mouse, Underdog, Fred Flintstone all have three fingers and a thumb.

Methinks that cartoons are actually aliens from the 2nd dimension who have taken over the minds of our artists and have for the past century been secretly controlling humanity with some bizarre form of subliminal coercion.

One day, maybe tomorrow, we'll all resort to dropping anvils, running in place, falling off cliffs and last but not least going into debt buying tons of faulty products from an alien controlled company named ACME.

Dip!!!

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 26](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Salla

From: Michael Salla <[exopolitics.nul](mailto:exopolitics.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 05:39:06 -1000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 06:45:02 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Salla

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 11:54:27 EST  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

>Ed, List, All,

>At the risk of underscoring my debunker status and the claims  
>that I have crossed the Rubicon, let me make a few comments  
>here. I have some experience in moving "sensitive items" long  
>distances, which is what a convoy coming from New Mexico was  
>doing when it allegedly stopped at Ft Riley. In the coincidence  
>department, I moved those sensitive items to Ft. Riley (later we  
>moved them an even longer distance, but that's another story).

>Now, I am fully aware that I moved those items nearly sixty  
>years after Corso claimed to have seen the body in a wooden  
>crate, and I know that the sensitive items I moved, meaning all  
>the weapons for a battalion is not the same as crates of wrecked  
>flying saucer and the dead flight crew, but I also think that we  
>can deduce certain facts. I mean, moving sensitive items is  
>moving sensitive items.

>Here's the problem. Although we moved our weapons to Ft. Riley,  
>and we used their vaults to secure those weapons, we were the  
>ones who placed the weapons into the vaults, we were given the  
>keys, locks and combinations to the vaults, which we set, and  
>only our soldiers had access to those vaults. In other words, we  
>didn't surrender custody of our sensitive items when we arrived  
>at Ft. Riley and the soldiers there did not take over  
>responsibility for guarding them.

>Now, I realize, once again, that I'm talking about an event  
>that happened nearly sixty years after the alleged convoy  
>arrived at Ft. Riley. However, these sorts of things haven't  
>changed all that much. Those responsible for the sensitive  
>items are responsible and don't surrender them to the host  
>station. There would be no reason for guards from Riley to  
>be watching the sensitive items and there would be even less  
>reason for them to be searching the crates.

I think your criticism does illustrate the point in my article  
that initiated this thread that you regularly overlook  
alternative explanations for resolving the problems you  
identify. The alternative explanation is that the security  
procedure you identify has evolved from Corso's time where local  
bases provided security for sensitive items, to the present  
moment as you describe. That is to be expected if we take the  
mid-early 1940s as the start of the UFO crash retrieval process.  
Ryan Wood in his crash retrieval book (Majic Eyes Only)  
identifies 1942 as the starting date for this process which is  
still only a five year period before Roswell. Let me reaffirm  
that according to the distinction I make between 'objective  
criticism' and 'debunking', the objective critic will identify  
problems and consider alternative solutions (ie., security  
procedures have evolved), the debunker does not consider these  
alternative solutions and makes assumptions such as security

procedures have not evolved, therefore the problem is insurmountable, and the conclusion is that the story is a hoax.

>Finally, there is  
>a real problem with the sergeant (Brownie) calling his bowling  
>buddy (Corso) to tell him that he (Brownie) had violated  
>security. The local guards shouldn't have had access to the  
>crates in the first place and Corso, as a field grade officer,  
>should have reported the breach of security in the second  
>place.

This is a misrepresentation of how Corso describes the incident in his book, Brownie was on guard duty that night and Corso was also on duty as officer in charge, he was a Major at the time so that is entirely possible. You raise the issue of the guard having access to the crates and violating security. That's a fair point but as Corso explains, he did have authority to go into the warehouse himself since he was in charge. He had the discretion to discipline the sergeant on duty but choose not to and didn't report the incident. Is Corso's story plausible? I think so since he did occupy the position he described as a senior officer and was stationed at the location he described. We mustn't also forget that Corso was a military intelligence officer that had been in charge of security of Rome after WWII so he would have been very familiar with security procedures. That's not proof for his story, but neither is the problem you raise proof that it did not occur.

>So, to my mind, this story is implausible. There is no evidence  
>that a convoy carrying the bodies stopped at Ft. Riley, the  
>guarding of the sensitive items would have remained with those  
>on the convoy and not the locals, if there was a compromise of  
>the material (which is what Corso alleges) that incident should  
>have been reported, and finally, we don't really know who this  
>sergeant is so that we can't attempt to locate him and verify  
>the claim.

This is where you cross the Rubicon. Why do you say his story is implausible? It is fair to say there is insufficient evidence to corroborate Corso's claims, but that is very different to his story being implausible. I see nothing inherently implausible in Corso's story of the Fort Riley incident, it just isn't corroborated.

>(As an aside, I spent time in an aviation unit and we used those  
>assets just as we all use our cars. If we needed to go  
>somewhere, we used a helicopter because it was the fastest and  
>safest means of travel. Those at Roswell in 1947 would have  
>transported the stuff in aircraft. They had lots of them and  
>they even had cargo planes to carry lots of stuff. No real  
>reason for an over the road convoy.)

Again, you fail to identify an alternative explanation. The convey may have been adopted simply because of the high security value of the cargo. At the time, military officials would have had little knowledge of the affect of extraterrestrial technologies and 'dead' EBEs on personnel and equipment. There could have been radiation leaks or other hazards that might have affected equipment involved in transportation. Air transport would have been a risk since if a crash occurred, then all would have been lost. In contrast if the military convey experienced unanticipated hazards, then the worst that would have happened are delays rather than loss of the sensitive cargo. Again, it's your lack of consideration for alternative explanations that leads to my main argument that you regularly cross the Rubicon when it comes to Corso's testimony.

>That, to me, is some evidence that the event didn't happen. But,  
>it is not my responsibility to prove this didn't happen, but the  
>responsibility of those making the claims to present some  
>evidence it did. That simply hasn't happened yet.

My final point is that there are three possibilities here and you only present two. One, there is evidence it didn't happen. You have not supplied this evidence, only anecdotal experiences based on current security procedures. Two, there is no evidence that it did happen and Corso's supporters have yet to conclusively show it did happen. Three, there is insufficient evidence to conclude one way or the other. That means that Corso's claim concerning the Ft Riley incident remain uncorroborated but remain plausible since Corso was stationed

where he claims, occupied the position, he claims, Ft Riley was the major staging post for West - East convoys, etc.

Finally, there is the question of intent, why would Corso make it up? That is the main reason why The Day After Roswell remains popular since many who buy it reach the conclusion that Corso has sufficient integrity to seriously consider his claims.

Aloha,

Michael Salla

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 26](#)

Secrecy News -- 01/25/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 10:47:56 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 06:46:51 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 01/25/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 10  
January 25, 2006

\*\* WHITE HOUSE REBUFFED 2002 EFFORT TO RELAX FISA STANDARD  
\*\* CLASSIFICATION LAWS APPLY TO EVERYONE, JUDGE SAYS  
\*\* HANDBOOK ON MAKING INTELLIGENCE ACCOUNTABLE  
\*\* NSA DECLASSIFICATION PLAN

WHITE HOUSE REBUFFED 2002 EFFORT TO RELAX FISA STANDARD

The Bush Administration rejected a Congressional initiative in 2002 that would have lowered the legal threshold for conducting surveillance of non-US persons under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act from "probable cause" that the target is a terrorist or agent of a foreign power to "reasonable suspicion."

Administration officials said at the time that the legislative proposal was unnecessary and possibly unconstitutional.

Yet in a speech this week on the NSA domestic surveillance program, Deputy Director of National Intelligence Gen. Michael V. Hayden indicated that the executive branch had unilaterally adopted a similar "reasonable suspicion" standard.

Instead of FISA's more stringent "probable cause" requirement, the presidentially-directed NSA surveillance operation applied to international calls that "we have a reasonable basis to believe involve al Qaeda or one of its affiliates," Gen. Hayden said on January 23.

The unexplained contradiction between the Administration's public rejection of the "reasonable suspicion" standard for FISA, and its secret adoption of that same standard was noted yesterday by attorney and blogger Glenn Greenwald.

See "The Administration's New FISA Defense is Factually False," January 24:

<http://glenngreenwald.blogspot.com/>

The 2002 legislative proposed, S. 2659 introduced by Rep. Michael DeWine (R-OH), "raises both significant legal and practical issues [and] the Administration at this time is not prepared to support it," said James A. Baker of the Justice Department.

Among other concerns, Mr. Baker said, "If we err in our analysis and courts were ultimately to find a 'reasonable suspicion' standard unconstitutional, we could potentially put at risk ongoing investigations and prosecutions."

See Mr. Baker's prepared statement from the July 31, 2002 hearing of the Senate Intelligence Committee here:

[http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2002\\_hr/073102baker.html](http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2002_hr/073102baker.html)

The transcript and other prepared statements from that Senate Intelligence Committee hearing on "Proposals to Amend the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act" are available here:

[http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2002\\_hr/index.html#fisa](http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2002_hr/index.html#fisa)

#### CLASSIFICATION LAWS APPLY TO EVERYONE, JUDGE SAYS

In a startling pronouncement that can only heighten tensions between the press and the government, a federal judge said last week that the laws governing classified information apply to anyone who is in receipt of such information, including reporters who are the recipients of "leaks."

"Persons who have unauthorized possession, who come into unauthorized possession of classified information, must abide by the law," said Judge T.S. Ellis III. "That applies to academics, lawyers, journalists, professors, whatever."

Judge Ellis's statement came at the conclusion of a sentencing hearing for Lawrence Franklin, the former Pentagon analyst who was charged along with two former officials of the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) with felony violations of the Espionage Act.

The extraordinary claim that mere possession of classified information triggers legal obligations leads to absurd conclusions, particularly since anyone who reads the daily newspaper comes into "unauthorized possession of classified information."

More importantly, it serves to discourage investigative reporting of illegal government activities that happen to be classified.

The provisions of the Espionage Act to which Judge Ellis was referring are "in many respects incomprehensible," wrote Harold Edgar and Benno C. Schmidt, Jr. in their definitive 1973 study "The Espionage Statutes and Publication of Defense Information," Columbia Law Review, May 1973, vol. 73, pp. 929-1087 (Secrecy News, 10/19/05).

Judge Ellis's statement was first reported in "Sentence in Franklin case sends chill through free-speech community" by Ron Kampeas, Jewish Telegraphic Agency, January 24:

[http://www.jta.org/page\\_view\\_story.asp?intarticleid=16239](http://www.jta.org/page_view_story.asp?intarticleid=16239)

Lawrence A. Franklin was sentenced January 20 on three felony counts: conspiracy to communicate national defense information to persons not entitled to receive it; conspiracy to communicate classified information to an agent of a foreign government; and the unlawful retention of national defense information. See this January 20 news release from the Department of Justice:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/2006/01/doj012006.pdf>

The prosecution of the two former AIPAC officials who were charged with Franklin, Steve Rosen and Keith Weissman, raises press freedom issues with even greater urgency since neither of them, unlike Franklin, held a security clearance.

Their attorneys last week filed motions to dismiss the case, but those motions are sealed pending a security review.

#### HANDBOOK ON MAKING INTELLIGENCE ACCOUNTABLE

To promote intelligence accountability in new democracies and elsewhere, a new publication addresses the principles of intelligence oversight and presents draft legal provisions to govern intelligence. The document is being published in seven languages from Albanian to Ukrainian.

See "Making Intelligence Accountable: Legal Standards and Best Practice for Oversight of Intelligence Agencies" by Hans Born and Ian Leigh, Geneva Centre for the Democratic Control of Armed Forces (DCAF):

[http://www.dcaf.ch/handbook\\_intelligence/index.cfm](http://www.dcaf.ch/handbook_intelligence/index.cfm)

#### NSA DECLASSIFICATION PLAN

The National Security Agency has 46 million pages of historically valuable classified records more than 25 years old that are subject to automatic declassification by the end of December 2006, according to a new NSA declassification plan.

Another 4.5 million pages of 25 year old records have been categorically exempted from automatic declassification because they "contain information relating to our core capabilities and vulnerabilities."

The millions of pages that are subject to "automatic declassification" this year "will require close and careful review," the NSA said.

But NSA "is committed to declassifying national security information as instructed in Executive Order 12958, as amended. The Agency will use all available resources to successfully accomplish the provisions of the E.O. within the required time."

A copy of the new NSA declassification plan was obtained under the Freedom of Information Act by researcher Mike Ravnitzky.

See "NSA/CSS Declassification Plan for Executive Order 12958," Memorandum for Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Counterintelligence & Security), January 5, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/nsa/declass.pdf>

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News has an RSS feed at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.rss>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 26](#)

## Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Scheldroup

From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 12:58:56 -0600  
Fwd Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 06:49:19 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly - Scheldroup

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 20 Jan 2006 10:30:05 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Mars Sky Object Image Anomaly

>From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thursday, January 19, 2006 8:52 AM  
>Subject: Mars Exploration Rover Engineering Cameras

>On Jan 20, 2006, at 8:04 AM, John Scheldroup  
><[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>wrote:

>>2.4.2. Navcam

Bob, List,

Sol-592

Sixth row down below 'Downsampled EDR'

Any idea what the black rectangles are to represent, because I have not seen these blacked-out areas before in the Rover imagery before... has anyone?

[http://marsrovers.jpl.nasa.gov/gallery/all/spirit\\_n592.html](http://marsrovers.jpl.nasa.gov/gallery/all/spirit_n592.html)

Also, I have located what appear to be Sol 592 Synthesized Images, located at Fifth row down, First column, this image seems to fit somewhere in the sequence of above NASA images close to one of two blacked-out rectangle images, but I'm not sure what the image shows if anything, any ideas? Also I can not find this image at above NASA gallery, it's not their or is it... very confused.

<http://www.lyle.org/mars/synth/2-592.html>

John

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 26](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 11:24:49 -0800  
Fwd Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 06:52:03 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 11:54:27 EST  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

Hi Kevin,

I've snipped most of your post because I agree that under most circumstances the Army functions as you describe and it does seem improbable that this incident happened. But we all know the about SNAFU and the role it seems to play in all good intentions. I believe Corso, so I see these furtive glimpses and cover-up as simply a colossal illustration of inappropriate protocol. It shouldn't have happened but it did.

>That, to me, is some evidence that the event didn't happen. But, >it is not my responsibility to prove this didn't happen, but the >responsibility of those making the claims to present some >evidence it did. That simply hasn't happened yet.

Unless there is evidence that a person is lying then I think the assumption is that they are telling the truth. Otherwise, why interview anyone? As a researcher I try to trust, but verify. My favorite tool is the VSA which so far has indicated that no matter how improbable Corso's story seems, he is trying to tell the truth.

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 26](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 10:30:59 -0800  
Fwd Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 06:53:49 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

>From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 23:32:41 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 09:26:52 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>We have only his individual testimony and nothing to verify his  
>>statement except a voice stress analysis and our knowledge that  
>>he was stationed there during that time period.

><snip>

>Ed,

>For your information if a person is psychologically disturbed  
>and has delusions, a voice stress analysis will show that the  
>subject seems to be telling the truth. That is because the  
>person believes in the delusion, even though there is no actual  
>truth outside of the person's own mind.

Hi Josh,

Yes I agree. A person who is "psychologically disturbed and has delusions" might test in a strange manner or show unusual stress patterns during VSA, especially regarding their particular delusion, but if you asked them factual questions about stealing or drug use, etc., something they cared about, they should register deception just like any so-called normal person. A version of VSA will soon be part of the screening at airline check-in & ticket counters.

Corso never had delusions and was never considered "psychologically disturbed". So why are you bringing this up? I trust the work of Mike Kemp and his analysis. He states that Corso never even shows a hint of deception.

I think the implication in your post is that you believe VSA is a legitimate screening method and investigative tool, but since we all know that Corso was lying then something else must be interfering with the results. Corso must be nuts

We could attempt to settle this objectively. Do you know a person who specializes in VSA? I'd supply the tapes if you think you'd like to try and verify Mike's analysis by using someone you trust. As I remember there are three tapes and the show is about three hours. They could be sent directly to you from Art Bell. What do you think?

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 26](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 12:29:42 -0800  
Fwd Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 06:57:03 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 18:15:47 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>Much as you don't want to admit it Ed, that is what I have done.

>1. I asked Corso how he knew the date was July 6 - a Diary?  
>Notes? His answer was he knew when he was transferred there  
>which was March or April... which is no answer at all.

Hi Stan,

William Birnes said that Corso used a huge journal that he would reference during their interviews.

>2. Looking at the Roswell scenario of when Brazel went in to  
>Roswell on July 6, no way the body could get to Ft. Riley the  
>same day since Marcel wasn't back until July 7 late at night.

I don't consider July 6th the starting date for Roswell. The witness evidence including the Wilmot's, their son, and the MP indicates that the craft exploded in mid air around 9:50 PM on July 2nd, and was discovered soon after. The crash site was all cleaned up by the morning of the 4th, the craft was loaded on a flatbed and the bodies must have been taken along. The MP said the six fingered creatures looked dead and were loaded on trucks. We don't know what happened after that but this timeline would allow for the Fort Riley layover on the 6th. Mac's debris was from the dome of the craft and scattered at a different location from the main craft. He found the strange material on the 3rd but didn't get it to town until later.

>3.Look at all the violations of security

Yes but SNAFUs happen.

<snip>

>4. We are talking about an Army Air Force Installation. They had  
>numerous B-29 aircraft at Roswell. Surely the body in its  
>container would have been flown to WPAFB not sent by road. The  
>roads weren't great back then either

The roads were fine. They weren't super highways but they were certainly adequate.

>5. My maps don't suggest that was the most direct route from  
>Roswell or Ft. Bliss to Dayton Ohio.

My maps say it is.

>7. I do not say Corso took credit for the transistor. I do say  
>he took credit for the integrated circuit. But Dr. Jack Kilby  
>got a Nobel Prize for his work done in 1958, well before Corso  
>was at the Army FTD.

Corso never said he took credit for the integrated circuit.  
Could you give me a page number where you find this reference?

>I further have maintained that the USAF FTD would have been way  
>ahead of Corso considering they had wreckage in 1947 and a large  
>staff of professional engineers and scientists. I made many  
>trips there in the early 1960s. Corso was neither an engineer nor  
>a scientist and apparently had none working for him according to  
>the Roster. The scenario makes no sense.

I suggest, as I have all along, that you read the book, carefully.  
Then you wouldn't be making these silly statements.

>Do you really believe that Corso's book outsold Communion or  
>Frank Edwards' Flying Saucers: Serious Business or even  
>Scully's book? Evidence?

Yes I do believe that it outsold all those but I'm not sure. I'm  
trying to find some hard evidence.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 26](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 11:56:38 -0800  
Fwd Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 07:02:36 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 19:51:36 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 13:20:36 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>Why not read the book before continuing?

>I read the book and I also checked up on the transistor history.  
>I read some of the Physical Review papers as well as Bell Labs  
>history of the Transistor.

Hi Bruce,

Do you still have the book because it deserves a re-read.

>As nearly as I could tell it was a step by step process  
>supported by the developing theory of semiconductors, a theory  
>that began in the 1930's with applications of quantum mechanics  
>to the conduction of electrons (and holes) in semiconductor  
>materials. No indication that there was a "eureka moment"  
>followed by "gee,I wonder how that worked" followed by "let's  
>take it apart and find out."

Yes but he wasn't involved with the transistor and never said he was. I wish we could get this clear. The chapter on the transistor is background and history and information from his conversations with various scientists about the transistor. It's fascinating.

>Same for the laser history... step by step.

>Night vision devices were based on 1930's and early 40's  
>discoveries about sensitive infrared detectors or sensitive  
>visible light detectors (vacuum tubes and electron multipliers).

The main idea that Corso tried to convey, and that you and others seem to have missed is that all his efforts were to appear step-by-step and seamless. His role was to first research who might best benefit from the "nutfile" and the small samples of alien technology.

He then would arrange to help these labs, which were already in operation, with government contracts at a cost-plus basis. The companies were more than willing to go along and keep everything quiet.

It was a very simple plan as Corso describes it:

"...if there is research already going on, no matter how basic then just showing someone at the company one of these pieces of technology could give them all they need to reverse-engineer it so it became our technology" on pgs. 229-233.

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 26](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 13:38:03 -0800  
Fwd Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 07:04:59 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 18:15:47 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 09:26:52 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>Do you really believe that Corso's book outsold Communion or  
>Frank Edwards' Flying Saucers: Serious Business or even  
>Scully's book? Evidence?

Stan,

Here are the figures from Wm. Birnes:

"My best figures, show that the hardcover edition from Pocket Books sold close to 750,000 while the paperback edition, now in its 6th or 7th printing, sold over 250,000. It's still selling."

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 26](#)

## Earth Twin Boosts Hopes Of Life

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 07:16:36 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 07:16:36 -0500  
Subject: Earth Twin Boosts Hopes Of Life

Source: The Australian - Sydney NSW, Australia

<http://tinyurl.com/dj7g4>

January 26, 2006

Earth Twin Boosts Hopes Of Life  
Leigh Dayton  
Science writer

The possibility of extraterrestrial life just went up with the discovery of the most Earth-like planet ever spotted outside our solar system.

The planet - sporting the unwieldy name OGLE-2005-BLG-390Lb - is roughly 23,000 light years from Earth, right near the middle of the Milky Way galaxy and visible in the constellation Sagittarius.

A light year is roughly 10million million kilometres.

"We're getting closer to discovering an Earth-twin," said Australian National University astronomer Penny Sackett, a co-author of today's report in the journal Nature.

Astronomers Andrew Williams from Perth Observatory and John Greenhill and his colleagues at the University of Tasmania in Hobart were also among the 73 discoverers. They observed the planet continuously for a month last year to confirm its existence.

The team is thrilled because the rocky planet - far smaller than Neptune - is only five times more massive than Earth, making it the most home-like of the 170 "exoplanets" detected so far. It also circles its sun in a ten-year orbit corresponding to one between Mars and Jupiter.

In contrast, most exoplanets are Jupiter-sized balls of gas with hot noxious air, said astronomer Seth Shostak with the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence (SETI) Institute in Mountain View, California.

"This discovery is only one planet, but it strongly suggests that small (Earth-like) worlds might be as common as flies in the outback," Dr Shostak said.

Dr Williams said he agreed. He said an unpublished analysis showed that as many as one in three or four stars may have planets like ours.

To spot the planet, Dr Williams said the team used a "microlensing" technique, based on an effect predicted by Einstein in 1912.

"We let the gravity of a dim, intervening star act as a giant natural telescope for us, magnifying a more distant star which



then temporarily looks brighter," he explained.

"A small 'defect' in the brightening reveals the existence of a planet around the lens star," he added. "We don't see the planet, or even the star that it's orbiting, we just see the effect of their gravity (on the starlight)."

But that was enough to determine the position and characteristics of the planet, down to an icy surface about 220C below zero.

Only two other, much larger, planets have been detected with the method. The rest were found because they're so big or close to their star, their gravitational field causes it to "wobble".

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 26](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Hall

From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 12:46:34 +0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 07:58:20 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Hall

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egerman.nul](mailto:egerman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 11:24:49 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 11:54:27 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>Hi Kevin,

>I've snipped most of your post because I agree that under most  
>circumstances the Army functions as you describe and it does  
>seem improbable that this incident happened. But we all know  
>the about SNAFU and the role it seems to play in all good  
>intentions. I believe Corso, so I see these furtive glimpses and  
>cover-up as simply a colossal illustration of inappropriate  
>protocol. It shouldn't have happened but it did.

>>That, to me, is some evidence that the event didn't happen. But,  
>>it is not my responsibility to prove this didn't happen, but the  
>>responsibility of those making the claims to present some  
>>evidence it did. That simply hasn't happened yet.

>Unless there is evidence that a person is lying then I think the  
>assumption is that they are telling the truth. Otherwise, why  
>interview anyone? As a researcher I try to trust, but verify.  
>My favorite tool is the VSA which so far has indicated that no  
>matter how improbable Corso's story seems, he is trying to tell  
>the truth.

Very revealing logic! I believe Corso, therefore.....

Nothing like assuming your own conclusions. It works every time.

I guess it is no surprise that con-men flourish in our culture  
since there are apparently many people, like you, so naive as to  
believe everyone is honest and truthful no matter what whoppers  
they tell.

Frankly, I think anyone who reads Corso's book carefully (as I  
have done) and fails to recognize all of the factual errors,  
exaggerations, lies, embellishments... you name it, has all the  
critical faculties of an eggplant.

Sorry if this seems harsh, but your naivete runneth over.

- Dick

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 26](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 12:55:43 -0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 12:52:38 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Shough

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 11:56:38 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 19:51:36 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 13:20:36 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>As nearly as I could tell it was a step by step process  
>>supported by the developing theory of semiconductors, a theory  
>>that began in the 1930's with applications of quantum mechanics  
>>to the conduction of electrons (and holes) in semiconductor  
>>materials. No indication that there was a "eureka moment"  
>>followed by "gee,I wonder how that worked" followed by "let's  
>>take it apart and find out."

>>Same for the laser history... step by step.

>The main idea that Corso tried to convey, and that you and  
>others seem to have missed is that all his efforts were to  
>appear step-by-step and seamless. His role was to first research  
>who might best benefit from the "nutfile" and the small samples  
>of alien technology.

>He then would arrange to help these labs, which were already  
>in operation, with government contracts at a cost-plus basis.  
>The companies were more than willing to go along and keep  
>everything quiet.

Bear in mind that the theory behind the laser goes directly back  
to Einstein's 1905 paper on radiation quanta. From the original  
work on the photoelectric effect, through Planck, Lenard,  
Einstein, Fermi, Dirac, Bose, Pauli and many others to the  
understanding of spin statistics and the invention of practical  
optical pumping by Townes and co in 1960 was a long hard road.  
That Nobel was won on the back of previous Nobels - it didn't  
come out of nowhere.

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 26](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[mshough.nul](mailto:mshough.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 12:23:55 -0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 12:54:29 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Shough

>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 19:51:36 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 13:20:36 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

>I read the book and I also checked up on the transistor history.  
>I read some of the Physical Review papers as well as Bell Labs  
>history of the Transistor.

>As nearly as I could tell it was a step by step process  
>supported by the developing theory of semiconductors, a theory  
>that began in the 1930's with applications of quantum mechanics  
>to the conduction of electrons (and holes) in semiconductor  
>materials. No indication that there was a "eureka moment"  
>followed by "gee,I wonder how that worked" followed by "let's  
>take it apart and find out."

>Same for the laser history... step by step.

And add that the theory behind the laser goes directly back to  
Einstein's 1905 paper on the quantisation of electromagnetic  
energy.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 26](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Clark

From: **Jerome Clark** <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 09:28:07 -0600  
Fwd Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 12:57:22 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Clark

>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 12:46:34 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 11:24:49 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 11:54:27 EST  
>>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

Hi, Dick,

>>I believe Corso, so I see these furtive glimpses and  
>>cover-up as simply a colossal illustration of inappropriate  
>>protocol. It shouldn't have happened but it did.

>>Unless there is evidence that a person is lying then I think the  
>>assumption is that they are telling the truth. Otherwise, why  
>>interview anyone? As a researcher I try to trust, but verify.  
>>My favorite tool is the VSA which so far has indicated that no  
>>matter how improbable Corso's story seems, he is trying to tell  
>>the truth.

>Very revealing logic! I believe Corso, therefore.....

>Nothing like assuming your own conclusions. It works every time.

>I guess it is no surprise that con-men flourish in our culture  
>since there are apparently many people, like you, so naive as to  
>believe everyone is honest and truthful no matter what whoppers  
>they tell.

I agree that Ed's words above tell us everything we need to know  
about the mentality that would lead one to swallow Corso's  
preposterous yarns.

For some time, watching the flailings of Corso's believers, I am  
reminded of the Adamski defenders with whom, long ago, I tried  
to reason. One could argue with them till blue in the face, but  
they were impervious to logic and evidence - which was precisely  
the reason, of course, that they believed Adamski. Likewise,  
Corso; there's no point in debating such people because they are  
devoid of anything remotely like a critical filter. Thus, the  
bizarre principle that somebody is telling the truth, however  
wild and unlikely his tale, unless one can demonstrate  
otherwise. I know that children think like that, but it is  
startling indeed to find an adult who admits to those  
sentiments.

Jerry Clark

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 26](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 09:37:26 -0800  
Fwd Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 13:03:50 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 12:46:34 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 11:24:49 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 11:54:27 EST  
>>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>I've snipped most of your post because I agree that under most  
>>circumstances the Army functions as you describe and it does  
>>seem improbable that this incident happened. But we all know  
>>the about SNAFU and the role it seems to play in all good  
>>intentions. I believe Corso, so I see these furtive glimpses and  
>>cover-up as simply a colossal illustration of inappropriate  
>>protocol. It shouldn't have happened but it did.

>>>That, to me, is some evidence that the event didn't happen. But,  
>>>it is not my responsibility to prove this didn't happen, but the  
>>>responsibility of those making the claims to present some  
>>>evidence it did. That simply hasn't happened yet.

>>Unless there is evidence that a person is lying then I think the  
>>assumption is that they are telling the truth. Otherwise, why  
>>interview anyone? As a researcher I try to trust, but verify.  
>>My favorite tool is the VSA which so far has indicated that no  
>>matter how improbable Corso's story seems, he is trying to tell  
>>the truth.

>Very revealing logic! I believe Corso, therefore.....

>Nothing like assuming your own conclusions. It works every time.

>I guess it is no surprise that con-men flourish in our culture  
>since there are apparently many people, like you, so naive as to  
>believe everyone is honest and truthful no matter what whoppers  
>they tell.

>Frankly, I think anyone who reads Corso's book carefully (as I  
>have done) and fails to recognize all of the factual errors,  
>exaggerations, lies, embellishments... you name it, has all the  
>critical faculties of an eggplant.

>Sorry if this seems harsh, but your naivete runneth over.

Hi Dick,

Sorry if this seems harsh, but I don't believe you! I don't  
believe you've read "The Day After Roswell" carefully or you  
might be able to list and explain some of these "factual errors,  
exaggerations, lies, embellishments" you mention and discuss the  
material in the book with some depth and understanding. I  
haven't seen any knowledgeable criticism from you or any of the

Corso debunkers, just superficial twaddle and nit-picking.

Besides I don't blindly trust what others say; but I usually believe folks on a day to day basis unless I have some reason for suspicion.

For my own research, I check out informants thoroughly before I interview them and then if there seems to be any question about their veracity, I either hire a VSA or I do it myself.

The very first thing I did after reading "The Day After Roswell" was to try and figure out how to do a VSA. The Art Bell interview gave me the opportunity I needed to check Corso out objectively.

I knew about him from my JFK assassination research and knew he was a VIP long before this book was ever published so I had reason to believe he was legitimate. The positive VSA sealed my fate as a Corso supporter.

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 26](#)

## Deceit Detection Devices [was: Colonel Corso & His

From: **Jerome Clark** <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 12:23:18 -0600  
Fwd Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 16:47:09 -0500  
Subject: Deceit Detection Devices [was: Colonel Corso & His

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 11:24:49 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 11:54:27 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>Unless there is evidence that a person is lying then I think the  
>assumption is that they are telling the truth. Otherwise, why  
>interview anyone? As a researcher I try to trust, but verify.  
>My favorite tool is the VSA which so far has indicated that no  
>matter how improbable Corso's story seems, he is trying to tell  
>the truth.

For those interested in the scientific literature documenting  
the uselessness of such devices in deceit detection, you can  
start here:

<http://polygraph.org/images/virginiavoicestressstudy.pdf>

Short version:

Detection levels are no better than chance. In other words, you  
might as well flip a coin.

Jerry Clark

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 26](#)

## Researcher's Addresses?

From: **A. J. Gevaerd - Revista UFO** <[gevaerd.nul](mailto:gevaerd.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 15:48:09 -0200  
Fwd Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 16:49:30 -0500  
Subject: Researcher's Addresses?

I need the postal or e-mail addresses of the following UFO researchers, please:

Ivan Mohoric (Slovenia)  
Ion Hobana (Romania)  
Darush Bagheri (Iran)  
Hans Petersen (Denmark)  
Sun Shi Li (China)  
Tunne Kellan (Estonia)

I will be very grateful for any information.

A. J. Gevaerd  
Brazilian UFO Magazine  
[www.ufo.com.br](http://www.ufo.com.br)  
[gevaerd.nul](mailto:gevaerd.nul)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 26](#)

## Voice Stress Analysis [was: Colonel Corso & His

From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 14:26:07 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 16:56:52 -0500  
Subject: Voice Stress Analysis [was: Colonel Corso & His

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egerman.nul](mailto:egerman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 09:37:26 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 12:46:34 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

>>Frankly, I think anyone who reads Corso's book carefully (as I  
>>have done) and fails to recognize all of the factual errors,  
>>exaggerations, lies, embellishments... you name it, has all the  
>>critical faculties of an eggplant.

>>Sorry if this seems harsh, but your naivete runneth over.

>Hi Dick,

>Sorry if this seems harsh, but I don't believe you! I don't  
believe you've read "The Day After Roswell" carefully or you  
might be able to list and explain some of these "factual errors,  
exaggerations, lies, embellishments" you mention and discuss the  
material in the book with some depth and understanding. I  
haven't seen any knowledgeable criticism from you or any of the  
Corso debunkers, just superficial twaddle and nit-picking.

<snip>

>The very first thing I did after reading "The Day After  
>Roswell" was to try and figure out how to do a VSA. The Art Bell  
>interview gave me the opportunity I needed to check Corso out  
>objectively.

<snip>

Hi Ed,

I know it's controversial, but Voice Stress Analysis isn't all  
it's cracked up to be:

Source: <http://www.polygraph.org/voicestress.htm>

[Begin Quote]

Below is a list of the university-grade research studies that  
have investigated voice stress as a deception detection  
approach. Some studies looked at the CVSA device in particular,  
while others investigated whether voice stress analysis in  
general could be used to detect stress or deception. Copies of  
these studies can be obtained at many university libraries.

Brenner, M., Branscomb, H., & Schwartz, G. E. (1979).  
Psychological stress evaluator: Two tests of a vocal measure.  
Psychophysiology, 16(4), 351-357.

Conclusion: "Validity of the analysis for practical lie detection is questionable"

Cestaro, V.L. (1995). A Comparison Between Decision Accuracy Rates Obtained Using the Polygraph Instrument and the Computer Voice Stress Analyzer (CVSA) in the Absence of Jeopardy. (DoDPI95-R-0002). Fort McClellan, AL: Department of Defense Polygraph Institute.

Conclusion: Accuracy was not significantly greater than chance for the CVSA.

DoDPI Research Division Staff, Meyerhoff, J.L., Saviolakis, G.A., Koenig M.L., & Yourick, D.L. (In press). Physiological and Biochemical Measures of Stress Compared to Voice Stress Analysis Using the Computer Voice Stress Analyzer (CVSA). (DoDPI01-R-0001). Department of Defense Polygraph Institute.

Conclusion: Direct test of the CVSA against medical markers for stress (blood pressure, plasma ACTH, salivary cortisol) found that CVSA examiners could not detect known stress. This project was a collaborative effort with Walter Reed Army Institute of Research.

Fuller, B.F. (1984). Reliability and validity of an interval measure of vocal stress. *Psychological Medicine*, 14(1), 159-166

Conclusion: Validity of voice stress measures was poor.

Janniro, M. J., & Cestaro, V. L. (1996). Effectiveness of Detection of Deception Examinations Using the Computer Voice Stress Analyzer. (DoDPI95-P-0016). Fort McClellan, AL : Department of Defense Polygraph Institute. DTIC AD Number A318986.

Conclusion: Chance-level detection of deception using the CVSA as a voice stress device.

Hollien, H., Geison, L., & Hicks, J. W., Jr. (1987). Voice stress analysis and lie detection. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 32(2), 405-418.

Conclusions: Chance-level detection of stress. Chance-level detection of lies.

Horvath, F. S. (1978). An experimental comparison of the psychological stress evaluator and the galvanic skin response in detection of deception. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 63(3), 338-344.

Conclusion: Chance-level detection of deception.

Horvath, F. S. (1979). Effect of different motivational instructions on detection of deception with the psychological stress evaluator and the galvanic skin response. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 64(3, June), 323-330.

Conclusion: Voice stress did not detect deception greater than chance.

Kubis, J. F. (1973). Comparison of Voice Analysis and Polygraph As Lie Detection Procedures. (Technical Report No. LWL-CR-03B70, Contract DAAD05-72-C-0217). Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD: U.S. Army Land Warfare Laboratory.

Conclusion: Chance-level detection of deception for voice analysis.

Lynch, B. E., & Henry, D. R. (1979). A validity study of the psychological stress evaluator. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science*, 11(1), 89-94.

Conclusion: Chance level detection of stress using the voice.

O'Hair, D., Cody, M. J., & Behnke, R. R. (1985). Communication apprehension and vocal stress as indices of deception. The Western Journal of Speech Communication, 49, 286-300.

Conclusions: Only one subgroup showed a detection rate significantly better than chance, and it did so by the thinnest of margins. Use of questionable statistical methods in this study suggests the modest positive findings would not be replicated in other research. See next citation.

O'Hair, D., Cody, M. J., Wang, S., & Chao, E. Y. (1990). Vocal stress and deception detection among Chinese. Communication Quarterly, 38(2, Spring), 158ff.

Conclusion: Partial replication of above study. Vocal scores were not related to deception.

Suzuki, A., Watanabe, S., Takeno, Y., Kosugi, T., & Kasuya, T. (1973). Possibility of detecting deception by voice analysis. Reports of the National Research Institute of Police Science, 26(1, February), 62-66.

Conclusion: Voice measures were not reliable or useful.

Timm, H. W. (1983). The efficacy of the psychological stress evaluator in detecting deception. Journal of Police Science and Administration, 11(1), 62-68.

Conclusion: Chance-level detection of deception.

Waln, R. F., & Downey, R. G. (1987). Voice stress analysis: Use of telephone recordings. Journal of Business and Psychology, 1(4), 379-389.

Conclusions: Voice stress methodology did not show sufficient reliability to warrant its use as a selection procedure for employment.

==end quote==

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 26](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 12:06:13 -0800  
Fwd Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 17:08:24 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 09:28:07 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 12:46:34 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 11:24:49 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 11:54:27 EST  
>>>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>>I believe Corso, so I see these furtive glimpses and  
>>>>cover-up as simply a colossal illustration of inappropriate  
>>>>protocol. It shouldn't have happened but it did.

>>>>Unless there is evidence that a person is lying then I think the  
>>>>assumption is that they are telling the truth. Otherwise, why  
>>>>interview anyone? As a researcher I try to trust, but verify.  
>>>>My favorite tool is the VSA which so far has indicated that no  
>>>>matter how improbable Corso's story seems, he is trying to tell  
>>>>the truth.

>>>>Very revealing logic! I believe Corso, therefore.....

>>>>Nothing like assuming your own conclusions. It works every time.

>>>>I guess it is no surprise that con-men flourish in our culture  
>>>>since there are apparently many people, like you, so naive as to  
>>>>believe everyone is honest and truthful no matter what whoppers  
>>>>they tell.

>>>>I agree that Ed's words above tell us everything we need to know  
>>>>about the mentality that would lead one to swallow Corso's  
>>>>preposterous yarns.

Hi Jerry,

Which preposterous yarns are you referring to? Have you  
carefully read The Day After Roswell and have you done any  
research on Corso? If so, why not share your knowledge with the  
rest of us. But I keep forgetting; we are all "impervious to  
logic and evidence".

>For some time, watching the flailings of Corso's believers, I am  
>reminded of the Adamski defenders with whom, long ago, I tried  
>to reason. One could argue with them till blue in the face, but  
>they were impervious to logic and evidence - which was precisely  
>the reason, of course, that they believed Adamski. Likewise,  
>Corso; there's no point in debating such people because they are  
>devoid of anything remotely like a critical filter. Thus, the



>bizarre principle that somebody is telling the truth, however  
>wild and unlikely his tale, unless one can demonstrate  
>otherwise.

I didn't say that we should believe anything or everything we hear but at least give folks the benefit of the doubt unless there is some reason to believe they are lying or we know them as previous liars. Corso doesn't fit those categories.

Why would you assume that he is lying? Is it just because his information is improbable?

Corso was a real person with known credentials and accomplishments. He was a trusted adviser to some of the most powerful men in the US government. There is no reason to believe he was lying. Can you cite examples of these "preposterous yarns". Please use page numbers.

Much UFO information is "wild and unlikely" and we have to try to separate the lies from the truth. But the very first requirement is to read or listen carefully to the witness testimony. This has not happened with Corso. You and other ufological 'authorities', without carefully reading what Corso had to say, used superficial criticism and distortion to abort any serious or deep discussion of his memories and adventures. I haven't found a single critic who really seems to understand what this witness is saying and why it's important. How you can write off a man with his record and friendships and known history is way beyond my understanding.

>I know that children think like that, but it is  
>startling indeed to find an adult who admits to those  
>sentiments.

I listen carefully to what witnesses have to say. I'm not judgmental and most folks trust me. You're correct; that is a child-like quality and I'm asked all the time "when are you going to grow up". But it has served me well for sixty-six years.

Do you have evidence for your belief that Adamski was lying about his first humanoid encounter in the desert, or is this also filed under "sounds preposterous"?

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 26](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Hall

From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 19:59:49 +0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 17:11:17 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Hall

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 09:37:26 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 12:46:34 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 11:24:49 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

>>Very revealing logic! I believe Corso, therefore.....

>>Nothing like assuming your own conclusions. It works every time.

>>I guess it is no surprise that con-men flourish in our culture  
>>since there are apparently many people, like you, so naive as to  
>>believe everyone is honest and truthful no matter what whoppers  
>>they tell.

>>Frankly, I think anyone who reads Corso's book carefully (as I  
>>have done) and fails to recognize all of the factual errors,  
>>exaggerations, lies, embellishments... you name it, has all the  
>>critical faculties of an eggplant.

>>Sorry if this seems harsh, but your naivete runneth over.

>Sorry if this seems harsh, but I don't believe you! I don't  
>believe you've read "The Day After Roswell" carefully or you  
>might be able to list and explain some of these "factual errors,  
>exaggerations, lies, embellishments" you mention and discuss the  
>material in the book with some depth and understanding. I  
>haven't seen any knowledgeable criticism from you or any of the  
>Corso debunkers, just superficial twaddle and nit-picking.

>Besides I don't blindly trust what others say; but I usually  
>believe folks on a day to day basis unless I have some reason  
>for suspicion.

>For my own research, I check out informants thoroughly before I  
>interview them and then if there seems to be any question about  
>their veracity, I either hire a VSA or I do it myself.

>The very first thing I did after reading "The Day After Roswell"  
>was to try and figure out how to do a VSA. The Art Bell  
>interview gave me the opportunity I needed to check Corso out  
>objectively.

>I knew about him from my JFK assassination research and knew he  
>was a VIP long before this book was ever published so I had  
>reason to believe he was legitimate. The positive VSA sealed my  
>fate as a Corso supporter.

Absolutely amazing! Corso is telling the truth, and I am a liar (for saying that I read the book carefully). Well, I did, but I analyzed it thoroughly and rejected its validity based on a host of errors and exaggerations so, in your strange logic, I didn't read the book carefully. I think, therefore I'm wrong.

Jerry Clark said all that needs to be said about Corso and his believers in his post today, and I don't intend to waste any more time after this.

Budd Hopkins's statement about Corso sums up the negative evidence succinctly, and I think it is in the Archives.

Kevin Randle and others have pointed out serious flaws. But you don't accept them as valid because you believe Corso. Try that line in court some time, or in any scientific forum, and see how far you get. You would be laughed out of court.

- Dick

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 27](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Clark

From: **Jerome Clark** <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 16:23:10 -0600  
Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 07:20:32 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Clark

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 12:06:13 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 09:28:07 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

>Do you have evidence for your belief that Adamski was lying  
>about his first humanoid encounter in the desert, or is this  
>also filed under "sounds preposterous"?

I think you just made my point, Ed.

Jerry Clark

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 27](#)

## Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 15:33:38 -0800  
Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 07:22:57 -0500  
Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Gehrman

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 12:23:18 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 11:24:49 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 11:54:27 EST  
>>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>Unless there is evidence that a person is lying then I think the  
>>assumption is that they are telling the truth. Otherwise, why  
>>interview anyone? As a researcher I try to trust, but verify.  
>>My favorite tool is the VSA which so far has indicated that no  
>>matter how improbable Corso's story seems, he is trying to tell  
>>the truth.

>For those interested in the scientific literature documenting  
>the uselessness of such devices in deceit detection, you can  
>start here:

><http://polygraph.org/images/virginiavoicestressstudy.pdf>

>Short version:

>Detection levels are no better than chance. In other words, you  
>might as well flip a coin.

Jerry,

It doesn't say that at all. It says that the police swear by them and scientists can't find a way to test them because they can't devise a test where the subjects have a vested interest in hiding the truth. There must be jeopardy if the tests are to be accurate. Otherwise the results are only chance, which is the way it should be if you really think about it. Also you should remember that many of the negative conclusions were from labs hired by the polygraphist associations. You didn't catch that?

Trust the police. They're not going to use a defective tool. A sheriff, friend and fishing buddy has told me all about how they are used and he loves them. If it's good enough for him, I know I'm on the right track. I offered to send you one. Your loss.

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 27](#)

## Oz Flying Car Mystery

From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 16:29:35 -0700  
Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 07:37:33 -0500  
Subject: Oz Flying Car Mystery

Source: The Register.Com - UK

[http://www.theregister.co.uk/2006/01/25/flying\\_car\\_launch\\_site/](http://www.theregister.co.uk/2006/01/25/flying_car_launch_site/)

25th January 2006

-----

There's an ongoing flap over at the Register techie news site about a google satellite photograph of what appears to be a flying car hovering near a car park in Australia.

The story has taken an interesting twist with these photographs of the (alleged) actual site.....

Some analysis from the ground here with several photos...

- Terry

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 27](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 21:22:42 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 07:42:45 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Friedman

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egerman.nul](mailto:egerman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 11:56:38 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 19:51:36 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

>The main idea that Corso tried to convey, and that you and  
>others seem to have missed is that all his efforts were to  
>appear step-by-step and seamless. His role was to first research  
>who might best benefit from the "nutfile" and the small samples  
>of alien technology.

>He then would arrange to help these labs, which were already  
>in operation, with government contracts at a cost-plus basis.  
>The companies were more than willing to go along and keep  
>everything quiet.

>It was a very simple plan as Corso describes it:

>"...if there is research already going on, no matter how basic  
>then just showing someone at the company one of these pieces of  
>technology could give them all they need to reverse-engineer it  
>so it became our technology" on pgs. 229-233.

I can't imagine many scientists agreeing with the notion that  
looking at something would give a company all it needed to  
reverse engineer it... not if it was something with which they  
were not at all familiar and may need to wait 100 years to be  
able to analyze its characteristics.

We are not talking about Americans looking at Russian or German  
or Chinese technology.

Our analytic techniques have been changing rapidly.

Looking at a digital wrist watch in 1940, for example, one could  
tell it kept time and used a battery. There was certainly no way  
to analyse the chip inside. It is obvious that Corso wasn't an  
engineer or a scientist.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)



## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 27](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 21:32:45 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 07:45:44 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Friedman

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 12:29:42 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 18:15:47 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>Much as you don't want to admit it Ed, that is what I have done.

>>1. I asked Corso how he knew the date was July 6 - a Diary?  
>>Notes? His answer was he knew when he was transferred there  
>>which was March or April... which is no answer at all.

>Hi Stan,

>William Birnes said that Corso used a huge journal that he would  
>reference during their interviews.

>>2. Looking at the Roswell scenario of when Brazel went in to  
>>Roswell on July 6, no way the body could get to Ft. Riley the  
>>same day since Marcel wasn't back until July 7 late at night.

>I don't consider July 6th the starting date for Roswell. The  
>witness evidence including the Wilmot's, their son, and the MP  
>indicates that the craft exploded in mid air around 9:50 PM on  
>July 2nd, and was discovered soon after. The crash site was all  
>cleaned up by the morning of the 4th, the craft was loaded on a  
>flatbed and the bodies must have been taken along. The MP said  
>the six fingered creatures looked dead and were loaded on  
>trucks. We don't know what happened after that but this time-  
>line would allow for the Fort Riley layover on the 6th. Mac's  
>debris was from the dome of the craft and scattered at a  
>different location from the main craft. He found the strange  
>material on the 3rd but didn't get it to town until later.

I can't quarrel with the July 2 PM crash. How imaginative. Who  
is this MP out at night in the middle of nowhere miles from the  
nearest road? I gather he has passed a VSA test? I suppose he  
used his cell phone and a GPS so he could immediately notify the  
base? But Blanchard would not have know about it and would still  
have sent Marcel and Cavitt out on the 6th and ordered Haut to  
put out the press release? Wouldn't aerial reconnaissance have  
been done right away to find more wreckage? Flat bed truck out  
in the middle of nowhere a few hours after the event?. Ed you  
should be writing fiction... come to think of it I guess you have  
been

>Then you wouldn't be making these silly statements.

Who is being silly now?

<snip>

>>Do you really believe that Corso's book outsold Communion or  
>>Frank Edwards' Flying Saucers: Serious Business or even  
>>Scully's book? Evidence?

>Yes I do believe that it outsold all those but I'm not sure. I'm  
>trying to find some hard evidence.

I know you will believe what you want to believe. Enjoy.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 27](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Olson

From: **Jeff Olson** <[jlolson.nul](mailto:jlolson.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 17:48:45 -0800  
Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 07:47:15 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Olson

I'm curious about one point, re Corso: Is it the position of those who believe his account is non-credible that he was making it all up out of whole cloth?

I have no trouble believing that some degree of ego and inaccuracy pertain, but I do have trouble wrapping my head around that.

Best,

Jeff

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 27](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Balaskas

From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 01:53:15 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 07:52:14 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Balaskas

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 13:38:03 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 18:15:47 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>Do you really believe that Corso's book outsold Communion or  
>>Frank Edwards' Flying Saucers: Serious Business or even  
>>Scully's book? Evidence?

<snip>

>Here are the figures from Wm. Birnes:

>"My best figures, show that the hardcover edition from Pocket  
>Books sold close to 750,000 while the paperback edition, now in  
>its 6th or 7th printing, sold over 250,000. It's still selling."

<snip>

Hi Ed!

Also according to William Birnes, the Pentagon bookstore stocked  
'The Day After Roswell' which sold very well.

Regarding Philip Corso's book, I would not be surprised if the  
unidentified retired United States Air Force General who stated  
to Paul Hellyer (once Canada's Minister of National Defense) "It  
is all true and more." bought his copy at the Pentagon too.

I finally finished reading 'Exempt From Disclosure' (yawn) by  
Robert M. Collins and Richard C. Doty. Unlike this book, Corso's  
expose is packed with names of real people, institutions and  
events with direct connections to UFOs that can easily and  
independently be verified.

I have many other books on a variety of other topics (eg. the  
murder of JFK, the truth behind 911, etc.) written by insiders  
or those in unique positions to know that provide details which  
sometimes contradict accepted views or what other thorough  
investigators have concluded.

If some facts in Corso's book not critical to his overall expose  
about UFOs and alien technology later prove to be false;  
interpreted incorrectly or misunderstood by him, are we  
justified in trashing his entire book or cringing every time  
someone makes reference to it?

Nick Balaskas

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 27](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Goldstein

From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 00:07:36 -0800  
Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 07:54:39 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Goldstein

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 10:30:59 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 23:32:41 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 09:26:52 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>We have only his individual testimony and nothing to verify his  
>>>statement except a voice stress analysis and our knowledge that  
>>>he was stationed there during that time period.

>><snip>

>>Ed,

>>For your information if a person is psychologically disturbed  
>>and has delusions, a voice stress analysis will show that the  
>>subject seems to be telling the truth. That is because the  
>>person believes in the delusion, even though there is no actual  
>>truth outside of the person's own mind.

>Yes I agree. A person who is "psychologically disturbed and has  
>delusions" might test in a strange manner or show unusual stress  
>patterns during VSA, especially regarding their particular  
>delusion, but if you asked them factual questions about stealing  
>or drug use, etc., something they cared about, they should  
>register deception just like any so-called normal person. A  
>version of VSA will soon be part of the screening at airline  
>check-in & ticket counters.

>Corso never had delusions and was never considered  
>"psychologically disturbed". So why are you bringing this up? I  
>trust the work of Mike Kemp and his analysis. He states that  
>Corso never even shows a hint of deception.

>I think the implication in your post is that you believe VSA is  
>a legitimate screening method and investigative tool, but since  
>we all know that Corso was lying then something else must be  
>interfering with the results. Corso must be nuts

>We could attempt to settle this objectively. Do you know a  
>person who specializes in VSA? I'd supply the tapes if you think  
>you'd like to try and verify Mike's analysis by using someone  
>you trust. As I remember there are three tapes and the show is  
>about three hours. They could be sent directly to you from Art  
>Bell. What do you think?

Hello Ed,

I just pointed out the above because VSA is a tool to help with

further investigation but there are important reasons why VSA or polygraph results are not admissable in a court of law. I have not heard that radio show tape. I was not implying that Corso was lying.

To me VSA is a minor point compared to all the other problems with what Corso wrote.

I don't know anyone who will do it for free. Perhaps because you think Mike Kemp's VSA analysis is correct you should find someone else to do another analysis. I'm not trying to prove or disapprove Corso.

Josh Goldstein

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 27](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 07:02:56 -0600  
Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 18:13:43 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Lehmborg

>From: Jeff Olson <[jlolson.nul](mailto:jlolson.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 17:48:45 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>I'm curious about one point, re Corso: Is it the position of  
>those who believe his account is non-credible that he was making  
>it all up out of whole cloth?

>I have no trouble believing that some degree of ego and  
>inaccuracy pertain, but I do have trouble wrapping my head  
>around that.

Wow, Mr. Olsen, I think you've succinctly uncovered a boil needs  
lancing. Was it all a load of crap? Is there a grain of  
truth to it, given a flag officer reported to the honorable (if  
vilified) Mr. Hellyer that every word, "and more", is true?

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 27](#)

## Re: Oz Flying Car Mystery - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 13:27:18 +0000 (GMT)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 18:15:27 -0500  
Subject: Re: Oz Flying Car Mystery - Miller

>From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 16:29:35 -0700  
>Subject: Oz Flying Car Mystery

>Source: The Register.Com - UK

> [http://www.theregister.co.uk/2006/01/25/flying\\_car\\_launch\\_site/](http://www.theregister.co.uk/2006/01/25/flying_car_launch_site/)

>25th January 2006

>There's an ongoing flap over at the Register techie news site  
>about a google satellite photograph of what appears to be a  
>flying car hovering near a car park in Australia.

>The story has taken an interesting twist with these  
>photographs of the (alleged) actual site.....

>Some analysis from the ground here with several photos...

Terry,

Two weeks ago it was an Avro Lancaster WWII plane.

[http://www.theregister.co.uk/2006/01/09/google\\_lancaster/](http://www.theregister.co.uk/2006/01/09/google_lancaster/)

Next week no doubt it will be Mary Poppins.

I would suggest that global warming has affected the advent of  
the silly season and is causing it to start earlier and earlier.

Personally, I can't wait for the summer. If we're in January now  
and this is what we're getting.....

Stuart

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 27](#)

## Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Clark

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 07:40:12 -0600  
Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 18:18:02 -0500  
Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Clark

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 15:33:38 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 12:23:18 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

>>Detection levels are no better than chance. In other words,  
>>you might as well flip a coin.

>It doesn't say that at all. It says that the police swear by  
>them and scientists can't find a way to test them because they  
>can't devise a test where the subjects have a vested interest in  
>hiding the truth. There must be jeopardy if the tests are to be  
>accurate. Otherwise the results are only chance, which is the  
>way it should be if you really think about it. Also you should  
>remember that many of the negative conclusions were from labs  
>hired by the polygraphist associations. You didn't catch that?

The study, in fact, was conducted by a Virginia state agency,  
the Board for Professional and Occupational Regulation.

>Trust the police. They're not going to use a defective tool. A  
>sheriff, friend and fishing buddy has told me all about how they  
>are used and he loves them. If it's good enough for him, I know  
>I'm on the right track. I offered to send you one. Your loss.

I urge interested Listfolk to go back to the citation in my  
previous post and see if Ed's remarks above make any sense at  
all. You will find that there is no shortage of scientific  
studies, cited and summarized in the particular study whose URL  
I noted, which attest to VSA's high dubiousness. If Ed's fishing  
buddy "loves them," that may explain why so many innocent people  
sit behind bars.

If there are problems with the cited, it is up to Ed to find and  
cite critical studies published in the refereed professional  
literature. Fishing buddies don't count.

Jerry Clark

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 27](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 08:58:30 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 18:20:45 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Kaeser

>From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 01:53:15 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

>Also according to William Birnes, the Pentagon bookstore  
>stocked 'The Day After Roswell' which sold very well.

It would be interesting to see statistics on that from some a source other than the co-author. Even if true, they may believe that its entertaining, rather than informative. Contrary to public opinion, the Pentagon can at times have a sense of humor.

>Regarding Philip Corso's book, I would not be surprised if the unidentified retired United States Air Force General who stated >to Paul Hellyer (once Canada's Minister of National Defense) "It >is all true and more." bought his copy at the Pentagon too.

>I finally finished reading 'Exempt From Disclosure' (yawn) by >Robert M. Collins and Richard C. Doty. Unlike this book, Corso's >expose is packed with names of real people, institutions and >events with direct connections to UFOs that can easily and >independently be verified.

>I have many other books on a variety of other topics (eg. the >murder of JFK, the truth behind 911, etc.) written by insiders >or those in unique positions to know that provide details which >sometimes contradict accepted views or what other thorough >investigators have concluded.

>If some facts in Corso's book not critical to his overall >expose about UFOs and alien technology later prove to be false; >interpreted incorrectly or misunderstood by him, are we >justified in trashing his entire book or cringing every time >someone makes reference to it?

I think if any of the facts surrounding his claims could be verified, then minor exaggerations or mis-interpretations could be accepted very easily. But as the number of flaws mount, at least in the eyes of many researchers, less credibility is given to his entire presentation.

If memory serves, there are some on this List who met with Corso in Roswell several years before his book was released. There was discussion of this meeting on Updates shortly after the meeting and it wasn't a surprise to hear that his book was coming out just weeks before the 50th Anniversary of Roswell Event in 1997.

I'm certainly open to information that would support Corso's contentions and involvement, but thus far that hasn't been presented from an independant source.

Steve

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 27](#)

## Re: Oz Flying Car Mystery - Smith

From: James Smith <[zeus001002.nul](mailto:zeus001002.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 11:03:56 -0500 (GMT-05:00)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 18:22:41 -0500  
Subject: Re: Oz Flying Car Mystery - Smith

>From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 16:29:35 -0700  
>Subject: Oz Flying Car Mystery

>Source: The Register.Com - UK

>[http://www.theregister.co.uk/2006/01/25/flying\\_car\\_launch\\_site/](http://www.theregister.co.uk/2006/01/25/flying_car_launch_site/)

>25th January 2006

>There's an ongoing flap over at the Register techie news site  
>about a google satellite photograph of what appears to be a  
>flying car hovering near a car park in Australia.

>The story has taken an interesting twist with these photographs of  
>the (alleged) actual site.....

>Some analysis from the ground here with several photos...

People keep confusing these Googles images. They are not \_all\_ satellite images. The one in question was most likely a high altitude aircraft image with .6m resolution taken on Feb 3, 2004. Another such image was taken on Jan 11, 2004. It also shows objects in that same area that look like cars parked on the grass.

The part of the image they did not show in the hyped story (above and to the right) shows a similar looking car-like light colored object and its "shadow" which is in all likelihood a dark car parked next to it. These two sets of objects are likely illegally parked non-hovering vehicles.

For these images you can use freely:

<http://imageatlas.globexplorer.com/ImageAtlas/view.do>

and search for

Lat=-31.012 deg, long=115.786.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 27](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 08:55:34 -0800  
Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 18:26:47 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 16:23:10 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 12:06:13 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>Do you have evidence for your belief that Adamski was lying  
>>about his first humanoid encounter in the desert, or is this  
>>also filed under "sounds preposterous"?

>I think you just made my point, Ed.

Jerry,

I think you're being evasive. Do you have evidence that Adamshi was lying? Because of Wendy's audio collection I have many recordings of Adamski.

I've used a VSA on his telling of the original encounter and another five minute Radio interview. Both have great sound quality and in both the VSA indicates he is telling the truth.

I have zero interest in Adamski, but have spot-tested other contact interviews found in the Faded Discs collection. What may be surprising to you is how many folks actually seem to tell the truth.

So do you have any evidence that Adamski was lying?

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 27](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Maccabee

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 12:17:56 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 18:29:00 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Maccabee

>From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 01:53:15 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 13:38:03 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

>I finally finished reading 'Exempt From Disclosure' (yawn) by  
>Robert M. Collins and Richard C. Doty. Unlike this book, Corso's  
>expose is packed with names of real people, institutions and  
>events with direct connections to UFOs that can easily and  
>independently be verified.

Although I am no longer surprised by virtually any statement  
about Corso's book, I am surprised by this comparison that  
Corso's book is "packed with names of real people... with direct  
connections to UFOs".... "unlike" the book by Collins (and  
Doty).

Are you saying that Collins' book contains no names of real  
people connected with UFOs? This would be strange to me since I  
know several of them, including "Hawk", a major source of info  
for Collins.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 27](#)

### El Salvadorian Police Witness Strange Light

From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 12:14:59 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 18:32:06 -0500  
Subject: El Salvadorian Police Witness Strange Light

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
January 27, 2006

Source: Planeta UFO & APF Digital  
Date: January 27, 2006

El Salvador: Police Chief & 17 Officers Claim Having Faced  
Strange Light

A strange event is under investigation by the police. The deputy chief of the San Salvador police force and 17 of his men were witnesses to what still has not been given a rational explanation - they allegedly came across a powerful silent radiation that enveloped them for several minutes.

AFP DIGITAL - In the early hours of Tuesday morning, police cruisers came across a powerful silent light that lit them up and vanished after several minutes without a trace.

The deputy chief of the San Salvador police, Amir Methey Doret, told Uno that "we experienced the curious event when we were returning from a police operation in the rural area north of our Department."

"That's where all 18 of us witnessed a light in the sky that glowed with great intensity. It amazed us that the light seemed to be aimed toward one side," he added. "That powerful light wasn't a floodlight, with which I am well acquainted, nor was it any other device or aerial optics. I do not think it was a helicopter or airplane either."

After a few minutes of exposure, explained the senior officer, "the silent light began to rise to a considerable height and velocity, until it suddenly vanished, leaving a significant glow or white cloud that began to dissipate like smoke."

"The light, which we could see from a considerable distance, was moving sideways (sic) and was suddenly gone, leaving a cloud behind."

When asked if it could have originated from an aircraft, Methey Doret said pointblank: "I don't think so, because at first we thought it might be an aerostate, but we later realized this wasn't the case, as the light was so strong."

"As the hours go by, those of us who were there wonder what crossed our path," reflected the deputy police chief.

Later on, he reported that "the police cruisers continued running and at no point were communications between the units ever interrupted."

Finally, the deputy chief remarked that other residents of San Salvador saw the strange light headed toward the Villaguay region.

-----

Translation (c) 2006. S. Corrales, Institute of Hispanic  
Ufology  
Special thanks to Christian Quintero

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 27](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Maccabee

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 12:33:24 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 19:34:40 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Maccabee

>rom: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 11:56:38 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 19:51:36 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>As nearly as I could tell it was a step by step process  
>>supported by the developing theory of semiconductors, a theory  
>>that began in the 1930's with applications of quantum mechanics  
>>to the conduction of electrons (and holes) in semiconductor  
>>materials. No indication that there was a "eureka moment"  
>>followed by "gee,I wonder how that worked" followed by "let's  
>>take it apart and find out."

>Yes but he wasn't involved with the transistor and never said he  
>was. I wish we could get this clear. The chapter on the  
>transistor is background and history and information from his  
>conversations with various scientists about the transistor. It's  
>fascinating.

I was referring to the implication, quite independent of Corso's  
activities, that the transistor was backengineered from  
materials found in the Roswell crash.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 27](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 17:47:26 +0000 (GMT)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 19:36:23 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Miller

List,

Nick Redfern, who is not currently subscribed, has kindly forwarded to me the following links which may throw more light on Col. Corso and his intentions with regards to the publication of his book.

The first link below is a dreadful summary of Unit 731's activities and the Japanese attitudes to biological warfare and indeed, their attitude towards human life in general. Mentioned in this piece is the name of Major General Charles Willoughby who was involved in dealing with Unit 731

A Preliminary Review of Studies of Japanese Biological Warfare and Unit 731 in the United States:

<http://www.centurychina.com/wiihist/germwar/731rev.htm>

The second link, which reveals to those not already aware, just what a complete and dangerous lunatic Corso was, politically at least, also demonstrates a close link between Corso and the aforementioned Willoughby,

<http://www.redshift.com/~damason/lhreport/articles/roswell3.html>

It is a reasonable inference to draw that Corso therefore knew of the activities of Unit 731, through Wolloughby, and might also therefore have been aware of what happened at Roswell, according to Nick's theory at least.

That still however doesn't explain why Corso would then have written the book.

Stuart Miller

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 27](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 16:48:22 EST  
Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 19:42:48 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Randle

>From: Michael Salla <[exopolitics.nul](mailto:exopolitics.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 25 Jan 2006 05:39:06 -1000  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 11:54:27 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

Dr. Salla, Ed, List, All,

>>At the risk of underscoring my debunker status and the claims  
>>that I have crossed the Rubicon, let me make a few comments  
>>here. I have some experience in moving "sensitive items" long  
>>distances, which is what a convoy coming from New Mexico was  
>>doing when it allegedly stopped at Ft Riley. In the coincidence  
>>department, I moved those sensitive items to Ft. Riley (later we  
>>moved them an even longer distance, but that's another story).

>>Now, I am fully aware that I moved those items nearly sixty  
>>years after Corso claimed to have seen the body in a wooden  
>>crate, and I know that the sensitive items I moved, meaning all  
>>the weapons for a battalion is not the same as crates of wrecked  
>>flying saucer and the dead flight crew, but I also think that we  
>>can deduce certain facts. I mean, moving sensitive items is  
>>moving sensitive items.

>>Here's the problem. Although we moved our weapons to Ft. Riley,  
>>and we used their vaults to secure those weapons, we were the  
>>ones who placed the weapons into the vaults, we were given the  
>>keys, locks and combinations to the vaults, which we set, and  
>>only our soldiers had access to those vaults. In other words, we  
>>didn't surrender custody of our sensitive items when we arrived  
>>at Ft. Riley and the soldiers there did not take over  
>>responsibility for guarding them.

>>Now, I realize, once again, that I'm talking about an event  
>>that happened nearly sixty years after the alleged convoy  
>>arrived at Ft. Riley. However, these sorts of things haven't  
>>changed all that much. Those responsible for the sensitive  
>>items are responsible and don't surrender them to the host  
>>station. There would be no reason for guards from Riley to  
>>be watching the sensitive items and there would be even less  
>>reason for them to be searching the crates.

>I think your criticism does illustrate the point in my article  
>that initiated this thread that you regularly overlook  
>alternative explanations for resolving the problems you  
>identify. The alternative explanation is that the security  
>procedure you identify has evolved from Corso's time where local  
>bases provided security for sensitive items, to the present  
>moment as you describe. That is to be expected if we take the  
>mid-early 1940s as the start of the UFO crash retrieval process.  
>Ryan Wood in his crash retrieval book (Majic Eyes Only)  
>identifies 1942 as the starting date for this process which is  
>still only a five year period before Roswell. Let me reaffirm

>that according to the distinction I make between 'objective  
>criticism' and 'debunking', the objective critic will identify  
>problems and consider alternative solutions (ie., security  
>procedures have evolved), the debunker does not consider these  
>alternative solutions and makes assumptions such as security  
>procedures have not evolved, therefore the problem is  
>insurmountable, and the conclusion is that the story is a hoax.

I'm tempted to be very flip here and ask about your military experience. You kept telling me why the regulations have been violated but offer no evidence that they were. Just your opinion on how things should be or might be.

Have you ever considered the possibility that your knowledge of the military might be flawed and if you understood it a little better, then you would see the holes in Corso's tale?

>>Finally, there is  
>>a real problem with the sergeant (Brownie) calling his bowling  
>>buddy (Corso) to tell him that he (Brownie) had violated  
>>security. The local guards shouldn't have had access to the  
>>crates in the first place and Corso, as a field grade officer,  
>>should have reported the breach of security in the second  
>>place.

>This is a misrepresentation of how Corso describes the incident  
>in his book, Brownie was on guard duty that night and Corso was  
>also on duty as officer in charge, he was a Major at the time so  
>that is entirely possible. You raise the issue of the guard  
>having access to the crates and violating security. That's a  
>fair point but as Corso explains, he did have authority to go  
>into the warehouse himself since he was in charge. He had the  
>discretion to discipline the sergeant on duty but choose not to  
>and didn't report the incident. Is Corso's story plausible? I  
>think so since he did occupy the position he described as a  
>senior officer and was stationed at the location he described.  
>We mustn't also forget that Corso was a military intelligence  
>officer that had been in charge of security of Rome after WWII  
>so he would have been very familiar with security procedures.  
>That's not proof for his story, but neither is the problem you  
>raise proof that it did not occur.

Yes, but guard duty does not carry with it the right to rifle through the classified material. He would have no reason to do that and if caught would face charges for violating security. The punishment could be anything from loss of pay and confinement to a dishonorable discharge and time in prison. A breach of security at the level we're talking about would be quite serious. Brownie could expect to go to jail if caught.

To compound this breach of security, Corso, who also had no right to rifle the classified material, goes ahead and does it anyway. And he compounds his crime by allowing Brownie to get away with his breach of security. We're not talking about seeing a classified document left lying around, but an act that could be construed as espionage.

The overall responsibility for the security of the convoy did not rest with Corso but with the convoy commander and the officers assigned to it. If that integrity was breached, then Corso would have an obligation to report that breach to the convoy leaders, not compound the breach by pawing through the crates.

Yes, Corso should have been aware of these procedures and should have known what needed to be done, if there had been a compromise of the material. That he failed to follow through on his obligations as a military officer suggests something about his character. You want to have it both ways. Corso was a fine officer and how dare we challenge this man with a distinguished military career, but when it came time to perform his duty, he opted not to do it.

>>So, to my mind, this story is implausible. There is no evidence  
>>that a convoy carrying the bodies stopped at Ft. Riley, the  
>>guarding of the sensitive items would have remained with those  
>>on the convoy and not the locals, if there was a compromise of  
>>the material (which is what Corso alleges) that incident should  
>>have been reported, and finally, we don't really know who this  
>>sergeant is so that we can't attempt to locate him and verify  
>>the claim.

>This is where you cross the Rubicon. Why do you say his story is  
>implausible? It is fair to say there is insufficient evidence to  
>corroborate Corso's claims, but that is very different to his  
>story being implausible. I see nothing inherently implausible in  
>Corso's story of the Fort Riley incident, it just isn't  
>corroborated.

It is implausible because everyone who has talked about shipments out of Roswell talked in terms of aviation rather than land based convoys. It is implausible because there is no reason for the soldiers at Ft. Riley to have access to any of this material. It is implausible because Corso would have been violating his orders and the regulations, but is supposedly an officer of high integrity.

>>(As an aside, I spent time in an aviation unit and we used those  
>>assets just as we all use our cars. If we needed to go  
>>somewhere, we used a helicopter because it was the fastest and  
>>safest means of travel. Those at Roswell in 1947 would have  
>>transported the stuff in aircraft. They had lots of them and  
>>they even had cargo planes to carry lots of stuff. No real  
>>reason for an over the road convoy.)

>Again, you fail to identify an alternative explanation. The  
>convey may have been adopted simply because of the high security  
>value of the cargo. At the time, military officials would have  
>had little knowledge of the affect of extraterrestrial  
>technologies and 'dead' EBEs on personnel and equipment. There  
>could have been radiation leaks or other hazards that might have  
>affected equipment involved in transportation. Air transport  
>would have been a risk since if a crash occurred, then all would  
>have been lost. In contrast if the military convey experienced  
>unanticipated hazards, then the worst that would have happened  
>are delays rather than loss of the sensitive cargo. Again, it's  
>your lack of consideration for alternative explanations that  
>leads to my main argument that you regularly cross the Rubicon  
>when it comes to Corso's testimony.

Again, you fail to realize that there is no testimony from anyone to suggest that the material, once it had arrived in Roswell, was moved by convoy on to Wright Field. All the evidence points to airplanes and not trucks. The evidence that we have suggests that nothing went to Ft. Riley, and if it didn't, then Corso was inventing his tale.

So, I've looked at the alternatives, which have been ruled out for a variety of reasons. I mean, we could say that they floated the stuff down the Rio Grande from El Paso, to the Gulf of Mexico, used a ship to take it up the east coast and then trucked it on to Ohio, but it's not likely.

Corso's convoy to Ft. Riley overlooks the fact that everyone who has talked about moving the wreckage and bodies out of Roswell, with the exception of Corso, said that they were flown.

>>That, to me, is some evidence that the event didn't happen. But,  
>>it is not my responsibility to prove this didn't happen, but the  
>>responsibility of those making the claims to present some  
>>evidence it did. That simply hasn't happened yet.

>My final point is that there are three possibilities here and  
>you only present two. One, there is evidence it didn't happen.  
>You have not supplied this evidence, only anecdotal experiences  
>based on current security procedures. Two, there is no evidence  
>that it did happen and Corso's supporters have yet to  
>conclusively show it did happen. Three, there is insufficient  
>evidence to conclude one way or the other. That means that  
>Corso's claim concerning the Ft Riley incident remain  
>uncorroborated but remain plausible since Corso was stationed  
>where he claims, occupied the position, he claims, Ft Riley was  
>the major staging post for West - East convoys, etc.

Actually, there is evidence to suggest it didn't happen because there is no testimony from anyone else to support Corso. Just his tale of seeing the body.

You seem to forget that I spent time at Riley. I was the senior Captain in the battalion and served on the primary staff. I had access to the various museums and archives at Riley, and I had time to explore some of that.



Tom Carey wrote to me, asking for permission to use a copy of the picture I had taken of Sheridan Cavitt. I wrote back and told him I couldn't get at them because I was currently at Ft. Riley. He noted that Riley was Corso country. I hadn't thought about that, but then did ask my wife to send me my copy of Corso's book. I was thinking in terms of a magazine article because I was at Riley.

So, I had a chance to look into the history of the post (and walk in the buildings that were once stables when Riley was a cavalry post, and to see the house that Custer might have occupied), and see that the security procedures have been updated but there is nothing to suggest that they varied greatly from what we did and what Corso would have done back in 1947. In other words, my experiences were consistent with what would have happened in 1947. You have presented nothing to suggest otherwise, except to say Corso was at Ft. Riley, which, of course, no one has challenged.

>Finally, there is the question of intent, why would Corso make  
>it up? That is the main reason why The Day After Roswell remains  
>popular since many who buy it reach the conclusion that Corso  
>has sufficient integrity to seriously consider his claims.

Because he couldn't sell his book about his intelligence experiences but he could sell this book, if only it had a little more bite to it. Please, the desire for the spotlight, a desire that Corso demonstrated time and again (how else to explain the comments in his FBI file ((see I do look at other information)) and his obvious embellishments), is a very powerful motive. He got the recognition that he believed he deserved, something that you always fail to acknowledge.

But here's the real point. You have offered nothing to prove that Corso's tale is real. I have suggested reasons why we should not accept it at face value, from the obvious violations of security regulations to the lack of corroboration. You suggest there is nothing to prove that Corso lied, but I say, given everything, there is ample evidence he was less than candid. Rather than deal with these major problems, you say I can't prove Corso lied. Well, I can prove that he lied about other things (his rank which you got wrong throughout your entire article is one). You can't prove that he saw a body at Ft. Riley or offer any compelling reason to accept his tale except to say we can't disprove it. Once again, it is your duty to prove it happened, not mine to disprove it.

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 27](#)

## Is There Anybody Out There?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 19:49:15 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 19:49:15 -0500  
Subject: Is There Anybody Out There?

Source: The Yorkshire Post - UK

<http://tinyurl.com/8revb>

26 January 2006

Is There Anybody Out There?

With the discovery of an Earth-like planet near the centre of the Milky Way, Yorkshire scientists reveal why discovering the final frontier may not be light years away. Martin Hickers reports.

It was a case of two plus two equals five.

When scientists yesterday revealed they had discovered a planet similar to Earth, those who spend their lives convinced that ET was a factual documentary and who spend their spare time being abducted by aliens and then telling everyone else about it, nodded their heads, smugly convinced they had been right all along.

But as always, the devil is in the detail, and beneath the spin which screamed "breakthrough in the search for extra-terrestrial life", the actual truth was the planet, some 20,000 light years away from our own, was in fact too cold for any form of life to survive. But the conspiracy theorists may yet be proved right =96 they may not have found life this time, but they're definitely getting warmer.

It was some 25 years ago when American astronomer Carl Sagan =96 as well known in the US as Patrick Moore is in Britain =96 screened Cosmos, a ground-breaking series of programmes, which considered the likelihood of life existing on other worlds within our galaxy.

Sagan, who once famously said, "If you wished to bake an apple pie from scratch, then you must first invent the universe", ambiguously concluded that among the billions of unknown planets, the number which may contain life may be as small as 10 but as great as one million.

A generation down the line, and thanks to the recent discovery of at least 160 planets around stars other than ours, those figures from 25 years ago might well need to be revised.

Yorkshire scientists, in collaboration with many colleagues at seats of academic learning across the UK, are now at the forefront of the search for the tell-tale signs of new planets around distant stars.

Rene Oudmaijer, from Leeds University's School of Physics and Astronomy, has spent a lifetime studying the formation of stars and planets, and is optimistic that Earth-type planets may one day be discovered =96 and much sooner than many predict.

"We have made quantum leaps in the identification and study of the formation of planets since Carl's day," he says.

"Personally, I would think that our chances to discover life elsewhere within the universe by the end of the century have increased dramatically.

"While we currently cannot observe the planets directly, a host of new telescopes set to be launched within the next few years, operating from outside our atmosphere, will more readily be able to pinpoint the tell-tale wobble of the light from a star when the planetary candidate passes in front of it.

"In the last decade, astronomers have found that approximately 10 per cent of the star systems they examine have massive planets =96 worlds at least as large as Neptune, and often a few times the mass of Jupiter.

"It's not unreasonable to suggest that within the next generation, we will witness the discovery of Earth-type planets around other suns."

Astronomer Seth Shostak, from the world-famous SETI Institute in California confirms there is every reason to be optimistic about the number of planets =96 including those of Earth-size =96 which might exist in the galaxy. For him, Sagan's universe may not be just an apple pie, but a metaphorical three-course meal full of exotic delights, including dark matter

"Carl Sagan was not pessimistic per se about the number of civilisations which may exist through the galaxy," says Shostak. "Of course, we still don't know whether life exists elsewhere in the galaxy =96 that much hasn't changed in 25 years. What we do know is that many planets now exist around stars =96 some astronomers think perhaps 90 per cent of the stars we see have planets =96 and that we will soon be able to more readily identify Earth-sized planets =96 perhaps as soon as within 1,000 days from now, given the upcoming launch of NASA's Kepler mission.

"A project planned for 2008 will allow our generation to be the very first to create an inventory of planets orbiting other suns, which is tremendously exciting.

"Many of them could be Earth-sized. Personally, I think the number may be as common as summer mosquitoes. That's not to say they will have life, of course, as that depends on a host of other factors, but if we have the inventory, we are one step closer to learning if they do.

"If Carl were around today, he would be tremendously excited by the prospect of such an inventory, an accomplishment which will be something unique in human history".

Yervant Terzian from Cornell, Sagan's former university, adds: "Certainly, Carl would have been excited with the discovery of planets around other nearby stars.

"He believed that most stars should have planets, and many should have conditions where complexities could form.

"The big question now remaining is the longevity (of any intelligent civilisation capable of communicating with us). Many indications seem to say it is short, and that may be why we have not heard from anyone."

So, 25 years after Cosmos,

it may just be possible that the discoveries of the Kepler probe may just result in "billions and billions" of planets, to paraphrase Sagan's famous phrase.

#### The New Planet

Located near the centre of the Milky Way, about 20,000 light years from us, the latest new planet, discovered as a result of an international project involving 73 scientists from 12 different countries, is too cold for survival but scientists are now hopeful that other habitable ones may exist.

"Our next goal is to find more of them, with lower masses, in

order to measure the abundance of cool Earths and determine if habitable planets like Earth are abundant or rare," says collaborator Professor Keith Horne from the University of St Andrews.

"If the abundance is high, the next step is to search for life on those planets."

The new planet, which has been given the title OGLE-2005-BLG-390Lb, has a mass five times that of Earth and orbits a parent star some five times smaller than the Sun.

It is likely to have a thin atmosphere like Earth, and its rocky surface is thought to be deeply buried beneath frozen oceans.

"We have not yet found a true analogue of the Earth, but at least we know that small planets exist that are either hotter or cooler than the Earth," explained fellow collaborator Dr Martin Dominik, also from St Andrews University.

The planet was located using a technique known as gravitational micro-lensing, noted by Einstein as far back as 1912.

The method relies on light from a background star being bent by the gravitational field of a dimmer star in the foreground, acting as a lens.

Planets can then be viewed orbiting the lens star. This latest discovery is the third planet to be detected using the technique.

The nearest other Earth-like contender is GJ 876d, a planet 7.5 times the mass of Earth but which is too hot to support life.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 27](#)

## Polar Detector May Suggest Extra Dimensions

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 19:54:43 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 19:54:43 -0500  
Subject: Polar Detector May Suggest Extra Dimensions

Source: NewsWise.Com - Charlottesville, Virginia, USA

<http://www.newswise.com/articles/view/517544/?sc=3Drssn>

26-Jan-2006

### South Pole Detector Could Yield Signs Of Extra Dimensions

NewsWise =97 Researchers at Northeastern University and the University of California, Irvine say that scientists might soon have evidence for extra dimensions and other exotic predictions of string theory. Early results from a neutrino detector at the South Pole, called AMANDA, show that ghostlike particles from space could serve as probes to a world beyond our familiar three dimensions, the research team says.

No more than a dozen high-energy neutrinos have been detected so far. However, the current detection rate and energy range indicate that AMANDA's larger successor, called IceCube, now under construction, could provide the first evidence for string theory and other theories that attempt to build upon our current understanding of the universe.

An article describing this work appears in the current issue of Physical Review Letters. The authors are: Luis Anchordoqui, associate research scientist in the Physics Department at Northeastern University; Haim Goldberg, professor in the Physics Department at Northeastern University; and Jonathan Feng, associate professor in the Department of Physics and Astronomy at University of California, Irvine. The evidence, they say, would come from how neutrinos interact with other forms of matter on Earth.

"To find clues to support string theory and other bold, new theories, we need to study how matter interacts at extreme energies," said Anchordoqui. "Human-made particle accelerators on Earth cannot yet generate these energies, but nature can in the form of the highest-energy neutrinos."

In recent decades, new theories have developed =96 such as string theory, extra dimensions and supersymmetry =96 to bridge the gap between the two most successful theories of the 20th century, general relativity and quantum mechanics. Quantum mechanics describes three of the fundamental forces of nature: electromagnetism, strong forces (binding atomic nuclei) and weak forces (seen in radioactivity). It is, however, incompatible with Einstein's general relativity, the leading description of the fourth force, gravity. Scientists hope to find one unified theory to provide a quantum description of all four forces.

Clues to unification, scientists say, lie at extreme energies. On Earth, human-made particle accelerators have already produced energies at which electromagnetic forces and weak forces are indistinguishable. Scientists have ideas about how the next generation of accelerators will reveal that strong forces are indistinguishable from the weak and electromagnetic at yet

higher energies. Yet to probe deeper to see gravity's connection to the other three forces, still higher energies are needed.

Anchordoqui and his colleagues say that extragalactic sources can serve as the ultimate cosmic accelerator, and that neutrinos from these sources smacking into protons can release energies in the realm where the first clues to string theory could be revealed.

Neutrinos are elementary particles similar to electrons, but they are far less massive, have neutral charge, and hardly interact with matter. They are among the most abundant particles in the universe; untold billions pass through our bodies every second. Most of the neutrinos reaching Earth are lower-energy particles from the sun.

AMANDA, funded by the National Science Foundation, attempts to detect neutrinos raining down from above but also coming "up" through the Earth. Neutrinos are so weakly interacting that some can pass through the entire Earth unscathed. The total number of "down" and "up" neutrinos is uncertain; however, barring exotic effects, the relative detection rates are well known.

AMANDA detectors are positioned deep in the Antarctic ice. The NSF-funded IceCube has a similar design, only it has about six times more detectors covering a volume of one cubic kilometer. A neutrino smashing into atoms in the ice will emit a brief, telltale blue light; and using the detectors, scientists can determine the direction where the neutrino came from and its energy.

The key to the work presented here is that the scientists are comparing "down" to "up" detections and looking for discrepancies in the detection rate, evidence of an exotic effect predicted by new theories.

"String theory and other possibilities can distort the relative numbers of down and up neutrinos," said Jonathan Feng. "For example, extra dimensions may cause neutrinos to create microscopic black holes, which instantly evaporate and create spectacular showers of particles in the Earth's atmosphere and in the Antarctic ice cap. This increases the number of down neutrinos detected. At the same time, the creation of black holes causes up neutrinos to be caught in the Earth's crust, reducing the number of 'up' neutrinos. The relative up and down rates provide evidence for distortions in neutrino properties that are predicted by new theories."

"The neutrinos accelerated in the cosmos to energies unattainable on Earth can detect the footprint of new physics," said Goldberg. "The body responsible for the footprint can then emerge through complementary experiments at the new generation of human-made colliders. On all fronts, it is an exciting era in high-energy physics."

More information about AMANDA and IceCube is available at the IceCube website, <http://www.icecube.wisc.edu>.

#### About Northeastern:

Northeastern University, located in the heart of Boston, Massachusetts, is a world leader in practice-oriented education and recognized for its expert faculty and first-rate academic and research facilities. Northeastern integrates challenging liberal arts and professional studies with the nation's largest cooperative education program. Through co-op, Northeastern undergraduates alternate semesters of full-time study with semesters of paid work in fields relevant to their professional interests and major, giving them nearly two years of professional experience upon graduation. The majority of Northeastern graduates receive a job offer from a co-op employer. Cited for excellence four years running by U.S. News & World Report, Northeastern has quickly moved up into the top tier rankings—an impressive 35 spots in four years. In addition, Northeastern was named a top college in the 2006 edition of the Princeton Review's annual "Best Colleges" issue. For more information, please visit <http://www.northeastern.edu>.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 28](#)

## What Lurks In Museum Basements?

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 19:40:46 EST  
Fwd Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 08:11:58 -0500  
Subject: What Lurks In Museum Basements?

I assume most of you've seen the Indiana Jones movies and the twist ending of the first of the trilogy "Raiders of the Lost Ark".

This story from Reuters is about a specimen of dinosaur that lay hidden in the basement of the Museum of Natural History in NY. It lay there for 60 years until recently found.

<http://tinyurl.com/8j32r>

No telling what lies in storage that may be of a UFO value.

That's the tough part of this field of research. Going through files that have been neglected and files already rifled through to make sure no stone has been left unturned.

Can you imagine what other artifacts await discovery?

Lots of work to be done.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 28](#)

## 'UFO' Over Restricted Air Space In 2004/05?

From: Jason Gammon <[LuckyHoodoo.nul](mailto:LuckyHoodoo.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 21:06:00 EST  
Fwd Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 08:16:56 -0500  
Subject: 'UFO' Over Restricted Air Space In 2004/05?

Late 2004 or early 2005 I remember a Breaking News item interrupting scheduled programming concerning an unidentified aircraft flying into restricted airspace over the White House & Capital.

At the time I was quite suspicious about just exactly 'what' had violated the no-fly-zone.

Later the News reported that it was a small aircraft and the story simply died.

The problem is that everyone was freaking out, running out of buildings, etc. Some of the panic could be explained by post-911 worries but I'm not sure if all of it has such an easy explanation.

A while back I watched a UFO documentary in which the last few seconds was dedicated to this incident. The voice-over never once specifically stated that this was indeed a true UFO but instead hinted that it was.

Has anybody researched this incident? If so, was there any 'wow' evidence obtained?

- Jason Gammon

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 28](#)

## MoD Has UFO Log

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 08:27:06 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 08:27:06 -0500  
Subject: MoD Has UFO Log

Source: The Harborough Mail - Lincolnshire, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/c465w>

27 January 2006

X-Files Revealed: MoD Has UFO Log

Spooked residents have reported seeing mysterious UFOs in the skies above Harborough and Lutterworth, the Ministry of Defence has revealed.

A large black triangular aircraft with three bright lights was spotted above Harborough's skies at 2pm on Friday, January 9, 2004, according to MoD documents disclosed under the Freedom of Information Act (FoI).

The strange object was also said to have made a rumbling noise.

It is not the first time mysterious objects have been spotted in Harborough's heavens, but it is the first time the existence of such sightings being logged in Government files has come to light.

In 1996 and 1997 scores of people reported seeing strange objects in Harborough in nine separate incidents. About three years later, in 2000, there were three more reports of UFO sightings.

The MoD said a witness also spotted a UFO with flashing lights in Lutterworth on Monday, September 20, 2004.

UFO enthusiast Gary Anthony (40), of East Yorkshire, who submitted the initial FoI requests to the MoD, said: "In 95 per cent of cases UFO reports can be put down to a natural or explainable phenomenon. In other incidents, there seems to be no rational explanation. I am not saying it's alien spaceships or flying saucers but these people have obviously felt scared enough to report the incidents to the MoD."

Insp Mick Norman, of Harborough police, said: "People do occasionally report this type of incident to the police as a first port of call.

"Our response is very much dictated by what the individual thinks they have seen. "People can be confused sometimes when aircraft is heading in their direction because it can appear as if the lights are remaining static, when in fact they are moving very quickly."

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 28](#)

## Caves Connect With Life On Other Planets?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 08:31:49 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 08:31:49 -0500  
Subject: Caves Connect With Life On Other Planets?

Source: El Defensor Chieftain - Socorro, New Mexico, USA

<http://www.dchieftain.com/news/58427-01-28-06.html>

Saturday, January 28, 2006

Presentation Connects Caves With Life On Other Planets

Argen Duncan  
El Defensor Chieftain Reporter

Who would have thought New Mexico caves relate to the search for extraterrestrial life?

Director of the New Mexico Tech Cave and Karst Studies Program Penelope Boston is scheduled to present her work Sunday, 2 p.m., at Socorro Public Library. The presentation will cover topics such as technology for exploration and colonization beyond earth, as well as cave microorganisms and how they tie into the search for life on other planets, Sunday at 2 p.m. at the Socorro Public Library. The event is free and open to the public, including children.

"We love having kids," said adult services librarian Jeffrey Bridges.

He said the presentation would last 45 minutes to an hour.

Refreshments will be served and the annual Friends of the Socorro Public Library meeting will follow. Attendees don't have to come to the meeting, but they can.

"I have a lot of wonderful pictures," Boston said. "And if they want to know what's right under their feet here in New Mexico that they didn't even know existed, I'll try to give them a glimpse of that hidden world that they don't see in their day-to-day lives."

Bridges said he heard about Boston's work, thought it sounded interesting and so asked her to speak.

Boston, also an associate professor in earth and environmental sciences, said much of her work focuses on exotic cave-dwelling microorganisms that live by getting energy from inorganic material.

"So they're not eating food the way you and I eat food," she said, adding they also don't eat like other microorganisms.

The creatures live in caves or other underground formations and have little access to energy.

The microorganisms mine the subsurface area for materials like sulfur and manganese, which they chemically transform to produce a tiny "packet of energy" for survival. Because they get so little energy and have no predators, the creatures live at a

slow pace, Boston said.

If she cultured bacteria found on people, it would grow visibly in a few hours. The cave microorganisms Boston studies, however, take from weeks to years to grow.

"While it's difficult to (culture them), it's very instructive in terms of looking for life on other planets," she said.

Boston said the relevancy lies in the concept that people don't know how different or similar extraterrestrial life will be in terms of pace, scale and density compared to that on earth. Alien life might respond so slowly that discoverers have trouble recognizing it as alive.

The subsurface microorganisms offer a chance to practice identifying such life forms on earth in a different environment, she said.

She also plans to talk about her NASA-funded research to find technologies to allow humans to live in caves on the moon and Mars.

Mars has no magnetic fields to protect it from ionizing radiation as Earth does. Boston said rock provides some of the best insulation against the radiation.

Also, caves provide structure for living already on the planet.

Shipping material through space for building or protecting people from radiation costs a lot.

Lastly, Boston intends to talk about her work to develop "hopping microbots" in conjunction with scientists at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Developers are beginning to move past the concept stage.

The machines, which would all have different abilities, could get into subsurface areas and work together for collect data and explore.

"They move as a swarm, so they're all in communication with each other," Boston said.

Scientists would be able to send thousands of them, so they could lose a lot and still have a mission.

She said developers think the design provides enough flexibility to explore any subsurface formation on any solid planet.

"It's a different strategy," Boston said.

The robots don't operate from one centralized brain, but work more like an ant colony, she said. She believes the microbots' combined abilities will be greater than those of one robot with centralized functions.

[aduncan](#).nul

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 28](#)

## Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Lehmberg

From: Alfred Lehmberg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 05:34:54 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 08:34:24 -0500  
Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Lehmberg

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 07:40:12 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 15:33:38 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices

>>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 12:23:18 -0600  
>>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

><snip>

>>>Detection levels are no better than chance. In other words,  
>>>you might as well flip a coin.

>>It doesn't say that at all. It says that the police swear by  
>>them and scientists can't find a way to test them because they  
>>can't devise a test where the subjects have a vested interest in  
>>hiding the truth. There must be jeopardy if the tests are to be  
>>accurate. Otherwise the results are only chance, which is the  
>>way it should be if you really think about it. Also you should  
>>remember that many of the negative conclusions were from labs  
>>hired by the polygraphist associations. You didn't catch that?

>The study, in fact, was conducted by a Virginia state agency,  
>the Board for Professional and Occupational Regulation.

>>Trust the police. They're not going to use a defective tool. A  
>>sheriff, friend and fishing buddy has told me all about how they  
>>are used and he loves them. If it's good enough for him, I know  
>>I'm on the right track. I offered to send you one. Your loss.

>I urge interested Listfolk to go back to the citation in my  
>previous post and see if Ed's remarks above make any sense at  
>all. You will find that there is no shortage of scientific  
>studies, cited and summarized in the particular study whose URL  
>I noted, which attest to VSA's high dubiousness. If Ed's fishing  
>buddy "loves them," that may explain why so many innocent people  
>sit behind bars.

>If there are problems with the cited, it is up to Ed to find and  
>cite critical studies published in the refereed professional  
>literature. Fishing buddies don't count.

Moreover, the whole ethos of contrived "deceit detection", as it  
pertains to machines 'we've' made and identified to perform that  
function are invariably used to establish 'guilt' more than  
'innocence', 'falsehood', over 'truth'... and from the top  
'down' and never from the bottom 'up'. This is of course  
forgetting they don't work and are inherently flawed. I shudder  
a little bit when then are sworn by...

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)

[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)

AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 28](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 04:24:08 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 08:37:37 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Hatch

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 08:55:34 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 16:23:10 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 12:06:13 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>Do you have evidence for your belief that Adamski was lying  
>>>about his first humanoid encounter in the desert, or is this  
>>>also filed under "sounds preposterous"?

>>I think you just made my point, Ed.

>I think you're being evasive. Do you have evidence that  
Adamshi was lying? Because of Wendy's audio collection I have  
many recordings of Adamski.

<snip>

>I've used a VSA on his telling of the original encounter and  
>another five minute Radio interview. Both have great sound  
>quality and in both the VSA indicates he is telling the truth.

>I have zero interest in Adamski, but have spot-tested other  
>contact interviews found in the Faded Discs collection. What may  
>be surprising to you is how many folks actually seem to tell the  
>truth.

>So do you have any evidence that Adamski was lying?

Ed, I can't stay silent any longer.

Here, in part, is the Wikipedia section about Adamski's contact  
with Orthon in the Desert:

"His best publicised claim was that on November 20, 1952 he and  
friends were in the Mojave Desert near Desert Center, California  
when they saw a large submarine-shaped object hovering in the  
sky. Adamski said he believed that the ship was looking for him  
so he went away from the main road. He claimed that a scout ship  
made of a type of translucent metal, landed nearby. Adamski  
claimed he and a human-like figure from another planet  
communicated telepathically and through hand signals.

Adamski said the ET, named Orthon, was from Venus and expressed  
to Adamski his concern over the development of nuclear weapons  
and the inability of men on earth to have their spiritual growth  
keep pace with their technology."

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George\\_Adamski](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George_Adamski)



Got that? Orthon, a human looking figure from \_Venus\_... a planet hot enough to melt lead.

[http://science.nasa.gov/headlines/y2001/ast20feb\\_1.htm](http://science.nasa.gov/headlines/y2001/ast20feb_1.htm)

I suspect Jerry simply didn't want to waste time arguing with somebody who could possibly believe such a thing. Granted, people believe even crazier stuff, but I don't waste time on them either.

That said, I prefer to pursue other matters.

- Larry Hatch.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 28](#)

### Re: Polar Detector May Suggest Extra Dimensions -

From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 06:04:45 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 09:18:18 -0500  
Subject: Re: Polar Detector May Suggest Extra Dimensions -

>Source: NewsWise.Com - Charlottesville, Virginia, USA

><http://www.newswise.com/articles/view/517544/?sc=3Drssn>

>26-Jan-2006

>South Pole Detector Could Yield Signs Of Extra Dimensions

>Newswise =97 Researchers at Northeastern University and the  
>University of California, Irvine say that scientists might soon  
>have evidence for extra dimensions and other exotic predictions  
>of string theory. Early results from a neutrino detector at the  
>South Pole, called AMANDA, show that ghostlike particles from  
>space could serve as probes to a world beyond our familiar three  
>dimensions, the research team says.

>No more than a dozen high-energy neutrinos have been detected  
>so far. However, the current detection rate and energy range  
>indicate that AMANDA's larger successor, called IceCube, now  
>under construction, could provide the first evidence for string  
>theory and other theories that attempt to build upon our current  
>understanding of the universe.

<snip>

Extreme physics may seem daunting to many of us, but this is just the sort of work that might someday begin to explain the technical mysteries of UFO... how they work in other words, how they might get here and so on.

I'll let myself speculate a little bit. Suppose some advanced technology finds a way to stop neutrinos, instead of letting them pass thru thousands of miles of solid rock unimpeded. WE can't do that, but what if we could?

What a marvelous new means of communication! The Navy could send neutrino beams straight thru the Earth, carefully aimed to a submarine half a world away, undetected. No more huge low frequency antenna farms. On the civilian side, the same methods could partly eliminate satellite-lag (or whatever its called) the annoying half-second light-speed delay for telephone conversations between distant continents. I learned about that first-hand, 20 years ago while making hotel reservations between California and Hong Kong. (Einstein was right, but I still got the room.)

These same studies could eventually lead to a new understanding of Gravitation, maybe suggesting startling new applications. If there turns out to be some way to manipulate gravity, then even my speculative neutrino beams could become obsolete. If you can create artificial gravity, or anything like it, even weakly, you can modulate it and send signals that way!

Electricity, a sensation in its time, was used for sending messages (telegraph etc.) long before it was used for transportation (trolley cars say).

But the trolley cars came and are still with us in places. Just add zeros, and controlled gravity could obsolete all

conventional forms of locomotion, even gasoline engines (chuckle!) which would suit me fine. My 1979 Oldsmobile finally died. Blew a timing chain. It would be nuts to fix it now.

If all this sounds like science fiction, I suppose it is.

Some old science fiction comes true in the long run, but its never the wildest drivel, nature is an austere and demanding lady. She slips up once in a while.

Who would have believed in superconductivity 5 years before it was discovered, maybe a century ago? I would have laughed at the concept had I lived then. Bingo! superconductivity. I would have been dead wrong.

Who discovers these things? Its not the mystics with their magic chants. Its not the new-age airheads with their predictable mantras. It certainly isn't an admitted charlatan who meets human-like residents of Venus in the deserts of Southern California. No, its hard headed intelligent people who play by the rules, as they are known, to discover new rules of Nature .. its Physics really.

Somebody once said something like "There are two kinds of Science: Physics and stamp collecting". Rather unkind I think (maybe he was referring to biology?) but he had a point.

Physics is really the science of how thing work at the most basic and (believe it or not) simple levels.

IF there are any nuts and bolts solutions to UFOs and such, it will be Physics people who discover them, even if they have no interest in UFO matters whatsoever.

Best wishes

- Larry Hatch

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 28](#)

## Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 06:28:38 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 09:39:48 -0500  
Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Hatch

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 05:34:54 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 07:40:12 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 15:33:38 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices

>Moreover, the whole ethos of contrived "deceit detection", as  
>it pertains to machines 'we've' made and identified to perform  
>that function are invariably used to establish 'guilt' more than  
>'innocence', 'falsehood', over 'truth'... and from the top  
>'down' and never from the bottom 'up'. This is of course  
>forgetting they don't work and are inherently flawed. I shudder  
>a little bit when then are sworn by...

Something new!

Larry Hatch agrees with Alfred Lehmborg on something.

I find the idea of a \$99 black box that can detect human  
deception ludicrous of the face of it. Did I misspell ludicrous?  
Blame the beer. [Burp]

Heck! For \$399, not all that much more, I can design a circuit  
(box, buttons and display included) which will detect if a  
person belongs to the one True religion. The upgraded module  
(\$449) will detect false prophets on the fly.

Pending US patent proceedings, I must understandably be vague  
about the internal electronic workings. What I can divulge is  
that it makes use of a random number generator. There's some  
more random numbers on this page:

<http://www.larryhatch.net/RNDTABLE.HTM>

If Adamski can pass a VSA test, it tells me more about the  
machine than about the man. I love electronic ding-dongs as much  
as anyone, maybe more, but there's only so much you can expect  
from them.

Best wishes

- Larry Hatch

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Clark

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 09:13:48 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 13:45:33 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Clark

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 08:55:34 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 16:23:10 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 12:06:13 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>Do you have evidence for your belief that Adamski was lying  
>>>about his first humanoid encounter in the desert, or is this also  
>>>filed under "sounds preposterous"?

>>I think you just made my point, Ed.

>I think you're being evasive.

Nope, Ed. Just refusing to enter into a debate based upon the premise that Adamski's idiotic claims might be in some sense true. It's the same reason I don't argue about the flatness of the earth.

>Do you have evidence that Adamshi was lying?

I don't think you know much about the Adamski controversy. In fact, I'm sure of it, or you surely wouldn't be asking that question, answered in print as early as 1955. I have followed the Adamski story since the late 1950s and know more about its ins and outs than I'm sure is good for any human. If you wish to have an informed opinion, I suggest you read the long entry on Adamski in my UFO Encyclopedia, 2nd ed., pp. 26-37.

>Because of Wendy's audio collection I have many recordings of Adamski.  
>I've used a VSA on his telling of the original encounter and  
>another five minute Radio interview. Both have great sound quality  
>and in both the VSA indicates he is telling the truth.

You've made my point again, I'm afraid. In 1978, Forrest Erickson, a prominent figure advocating the same device that you say "indicates [Adamski] is telling the truth" (before the National Enquirer gave up on voice-stress analysis, he was on retainer there as well as elsewhere), subjected Adamski's account of his first meeting with Orthon to such an analysis. The result, in Erickson's words:

"Mr. Adamski does not appear to be telling the truth about Orthon."

For details, see Hayden Hewes's "The Man Who Did Not Talk with Venusians," Fate, July 1979.

As I've already noted, you might as well flip a coin.

>I have zero interest in Adamski, but have spot-tested other contact  
>interviews found in the Faded Discs collection. What may be  
>surprising to you is how many folks actually seem to tell the  
>truth.

Uh huh.

I share other Listfolk's amusement at the notion that the whole  
hugely complex notion of what comprises sincerity and truth-telling  
can be solved via a cheap mechanical device.

Jerry Clark

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Oz Flying Car Mystery - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 12:10:41 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 13:47:28 -0500  
Subject: Re: Oz Flying Car Mystery - Ledger

>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 13:27:18 +0000 (GMT)  
>Subject: Re: Oz Flying Car Mystery

>>From: Terry W. Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 16:29:35 -0700  
>>Subject: Oz Flying Car Mystery

>>Source: The Register.Com - UK

>>[http://www.theregister.co.uk/2006/01/25/flying\\_car\\_launch\\_site/](http://www.theregister.co.uk/2006/01/25/flying_car_launch_site/)

>>25th January 2006

>>There's an ongoing flap over at the Register techie news site about a google satellite photograph of what appears to be a flying car hovering near a car park in Australia.

>Two weeks ago it was an Avro Lancaster WW11 plane.  
>[http://www.theregister.co.uk/2006/01/09/google\\_lancaster/](http://www.theregister.co.uk/2006/01/09/google_lancaster/)

The big deal is Stuart, that since there are only two Lancs left in the world-the other one is in Hamilton, Ontario, Canada-that a satellite managed to catch one in the air. The odds are pretty high against that. Bodes well for some of the other anomalies that get picked up from time to time.

No one has explained the little shperes from last years except for some nonsense about registration marks which wasn't the case.

>Next week no doubt it will be Mary Poppins.

Let's not throw out a good resource by discouraging postings if they seem anomalous.

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 12:58:26 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 13:52:03 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Ledger

>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 04:24:08 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 08:55:34 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

>>So do you have any evidence that Adamski was lying?

>Ed, I can't stay silent any longer.

>Here, in part, is the Wikipedia section about Adamski's contact  
>with Orthon in the Desert:

>"His best publicised claim was that on November 20, 1952 he and  
>friends were in the Mojave Desert near Desert Center, California  
>when they saw a large submarine-shaped object hovering in the sky.  
>Adamski said he believed that the ship was looking for him so he  
>went away from the main road. He claimed that a scout ship made of  
>a type of translucent metal, landed nearby. Adamski claimed he and  
>a human-like figure from another planet communicated telepathically  
>and through hand signals.

>Adamski said the ET, named Orthon, was from Venus and expressed to  
>Adamski his concern over the development of nuclear weapons and the  
>inability of men on earth to have their spiritual growth keep pace  
>with their technology."

>[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George\\_Adamski](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George_Adamski)

>Got that? Orthon, a human looking figure from \_Venus\_... a planet  
>hot enough to melt lead.

>[http://science.nasa.gov/headlines/y2001/ast20feb\\_1.htm](http://science.nasa.gov/headlines/y2001/ast20feb_1.htm)

>I suspect Jerry simply didn't want to waste time arguing with  
>somebody who could possibly believe such a thing. Granted, people  
>believe even crazier stuff, but I don't waste time on them either.

>That said, I prefer to pursue other matters.

All we need to know about Adamski is that during the American  
prohibition he had a license to practice religion which was also  
allowed to use wine during its services. Adamski made wine by the  
truckload and sold it. At the end of prohibition he thrashed around  
looking for another scam. Apparently he settled on his UFO hoax.

Everything about the man is a waste of bandwidth.

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 13:28:39 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 13:54:52 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Ledger

>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>To: UFO Updates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 17:47:26 +0000 (GMT)  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>List,

>Nick Redfern, who is not currently subscribed, has kindly forwarded to me the following links which may throw more light on Col. Corso and his intentions with regards to the publication of his book.

>The first link below is a dreadful summary of Unit 731's activities and the Japanese attitudes to biological warfare and indeed, their attitude towards human life in general. Mentioned in this piece is the name of Major General Charles Willoughby who was involved in dealing with Unit 731

>A Preliminary Review of Studies of Japanese Biological Warfare and Unit 731 in the United States:

><http://www.centurychina.com/wiihist/germwar/731rev.htm>

>The second link, which reveals to those not already aware, just what a complete and dangerous lunatic Corso was, politically at least, also demonstrates a close link between Corso and the aforementioned Willoughby,

><http://www.redshift.com/~damason/lhreport/articles/roswell3.html>

>It is a reasonable inference to draw that Corso therefore knew of the activities of Unit 731, through Wolloughby, and might also therefore have been aware of what happened at Roswell, according to Nick's theory at least.

>That still however doesn't explain why Corso would then have written the book.

I'm a bit confused about Cannon's allegation about Corso lying about Oswald. Did he deny that Oswald had been to Russia - picked up a wife there - and then somehow magically returned to the US and a few weeks later was spreading pro-Castro pamphlets in New Orleans? This during the height of the cold war, and without questioning? Or was it the other way around?

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Deardorff

From: Jim Deardorff <[deardorj.nul](mailto:deardorj.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 09:37:17 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 13:58:57 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Deardorff

>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 04:24:08 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 08:55:34 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

>Adamski said the ET, named Orthon, was from Venus and expressed  
>to Adamski his concern over the development of nuclear weapons  
>and the inability of men on earth to have their spiritual growth  
>keep pace with their technology."

>[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George\\_Adamski](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George_Adamski)

>Got that? Orthon, a human looking figure from \_Venus\_... a planet  
>hot enough to melt lead.

Larry,

I'm no fan of Adamski, but if Orthon exists and told Adamski that he was from Venus, would that mean he really is from Venus? I say "No." One can't fault Adamski for not knowing, back in the 1950s, the temperature of the surface of Venus, and hence for not challenging his contactor, should Orthon turn out to have been real. Others might argue that Venusians live in their spaceships high in the Venusian atmosphere.

Jim

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: El Salvadorian Police Witness Strange Light -

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 14:15:39 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 14:01:16 -0500  
Subject: Re: El Salvadorian Police Witness Strange Light -

>From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 12:14:59 -0500  
>Subject: El Salvadorian Police Witness Strange Light

>The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
>January 27, 2006

>Source: Planeta UFO & APF Digital  
>Date: January 27, 2006

>El Salvador: Police Chief & 17 Officers Claim Having Faced Strange Light

<snip>

>"The light, which we could see from a considerable distance, was  
>moving sideways (sic) and was suddenly gone, leaving a cloud  
>behind."

>When asked if it could have originated from an aircraft, Methey  
>Doret said pointblank: "I don't think so, because at first we  
>thought it might be an aerostate, but we later realized this wasn't  
>the case, as the light was so strong."

What's an "aerostate", Scott? Is that a Spanish term for helicopter,  
perhaps?

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Is There Anybody Out There? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 14:15:57 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 14:04:15 -0500  
Subject: Re: Is There Anybody Out There? - Ledger

>Source: The Yorkshire Post - UK  
><http://tinyurl.com/8revb>  
>26 January 2006

>Is There Anybody Out There?

>With the discovery of an Earth-like planet near the centre of the  
>Milky Way, Yorkshire scientists reveal why discovering the final  
>frontier may not be light years away. Martin Hickes reports.

>It was a case of two plus two equals five.

>When scientists yesterday revealed they had discovered a planet  
>similar to Earth, those who spend their lives convinced that ET was  
>a factual documentary and who spend their spare time being abducted  
>by aliens and then telling everyone else about it, nodded their  
>heads, smugly convinced they had been right all along.

>But as always, the devil is in the detail, and beneath the spin  
>which screamed "breakthrough in the search for extra-terrestrial  
>life", the actual truth was the planet, some 20,000 light years  
>away from our own, was in fact too cold for any form of life to  
>survive. But the conspiracy theorists may yet be proved right --  
>they may not have found life this time, but they're definitely  
>getting warmer.

So, what, now it's politically incorrect and conspiracy thinking  
to believe that there's life elsewhere in the universe?

What a fathead. How come his name's not on the piece? Was it a  
Reuters or AP feed?

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Polar Detector May Suggest Extra Dimensions -

From: Ray Dickenson <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 19:43:27 +0000  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 14:09:36 -0500  
Subject: Re: Polar Detector May Suggest Extra Dimensions -

>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 06:04:45 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Polar Detector May Suggest Extra Dimensions

>>Source: NewsWise.Com - Charlottesville, Virginia, USA  
>><http://www.newswise.com/articles/view/517544/?sc=rssn>  
>>26-Jan-2006  
<snip>

>Extreme physics may seem daunting to many of us, but this is  
>just the sort of work that might someday begin to explain the  
>technical mysteries of UFO... how they work in other words, how  
>they might get here and so on.

>I'll let myself speculate a little bit. Suppose some advanced  
>technology finds a way to stop neutrinos, instead of letting  
>them pass thru thousands of miles of solid rock unimpeded. WE  
>can't do that, but what if we could?

>What a marvelous new means of communication! The Navy could  
>send neutrino beams straight thru the Earth, carefully aimed to  
>a submarine half a world away, undetected. No more huge low  
>frequency antenna farms. On the civilian side, the same methods  
>could partly eliminate satellite-lag (or whatever its called)  
>the annoying half-second light-speed delay for telephone  
>conversations between distant continents. I learned about that  
>first-hand, 20 years ago while making hotel reservations between  
>California and Hong Kong. (Einstein was right, but I still got  
>the room.)

>These same studies could eventually lead to a new understanding  
>of Gravitation, maybe suggesting startling new applications. If  
>there turns out to be some way to manipulate gravity, then even  
>my speculative neutrino beams could become obsolete. If you can  
>create artificial gravity, or anything like it, even weakly, you  
>can modulate it and send signals that way!  
<snip>

Right Larry,

And 'extreme physics' is well within the reach of all of us,  
provided we take trouble to research basics.

Fact is, "establishment physics" is covering-up knowledge that we  
\_can\_ communicate by f.t.l means. Why? Because that implies others  
are doing it already.

Equally those same facts imply that only slightly advanced others  
could be using same technology to engineer f.t.l. transport - if  
only of "patterns" i.e. models or replaceable folk,

Why should we think others are doing this already?

Check SETI's Dr Tarter's conclusion:

"If there is anyone else out there with technology, sheer odds  
suggest they've had it much, much longer than we have. To them, we  
might be viewed as the scum of the universe. We really are the



dumbest folks in the galaxy," Tarter told her esteemed colleagues.  
(at setigift2.txt).

The question of why this is being concealed I will leave up to you.

Cheers

Ray D

~~~~~  
"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com>  
~~~~~

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 20:06:24 +0000  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 14:10:42 -0500  
Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Dickenson

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 05:34:54 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices

<snip>

>Moreover, the whole ethos of contrived "deceit detection", as it  
>pertains to machines 'we've' made and identified to perform that  
>function are invariably used to establish 'guilt' more than  
>'innocence', 'falsehood', over 'truth'... and from the top  
>'down' and never from the bottom 'up'. This is of course  
>forgetting they don't work and are inherently flawed. I shudder  
>a little bit when then are sworn by...

Right Alfred,

And don't let's forget - if an infallible liar-detector was  
invented it would immediately be banned and suppressed by all  
world leaders, politicians and police-chiefs.

Cheers

Ray D

~~~~~  
"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com>  
~~~~~

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Boone

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 15:32:54 EST  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 14:12:37 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Boone

>From: Victor Stead <[vicstead.nul](mailto:vicstead.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 12:44:14 +0800  
>Subject: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

>I read Lt. Col. Corso's book several times and personally  
>believe it to be substantially true. Why would an old man near  
>to death make that entire story up?

<snip>

Hi Victor,

The late Col. Corso sure stirred up a mess with his book about Roswell.

The best way to gauge it's authenticity is with the paper trail of documentation of corroborative data. There either is one or isn't. More than enough time has come and gone to verify his disclosures.

Personally, the only thing I can say is that his data regarding a path of the dissemination of materials to companies mentioned I've found something quite solid. Too bad the witnesses won't come forward for fear of violating contracts. Same old UFO story, lots of solid proof but fear to come forward.

Yet think of the good colonel as one of the good ol' chaps we used to sit around at the VFW halls and listened to their yarns of the days of guts and glory. I've heard many a tall tale spun including how a B-17 was held together with chewing gum and how the first A bomb had chicken fat smeared on it to cut wind resistance.

Case-in-point one Mr. Somerset Frisby. You'll find his strange encounter on the web.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Dulles & UFOs - Nelson

From: Jay Nelson <[jnelson.nul](mailto:jnelson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 14:25:03 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 14:18:51 -0500  
Subject: Re: Dulles & UFOs - Nelson

Listarians -

Interesting article over at Philip Coppens' site about Los Alamos chemist Leon Davidson's attempt to debunk saucers. But not as swamp gas - rather, he blamed them largely on the good old CIA!

Coppens quotes him as saying:

"It became clear [to me], early in the 1950's, that the CIA, specifically Allen Dulles, had used legitimate 'flying saucers' events

<snip>

as a tool in the Cold War.

Dulles wanted Russia to waste effort on defences against objects having the extreme capabilities implied by the public saucer stories.

Dulles also adopted a concept from his old friend Carl Jung, and co-opted the myth that benign aliens have visited Earth for millennia. He used magician's illusions, tricks, and showmanship to blend in sightings, landings, and contacts, with the legitimate military test sightings. The public perception grew (from comic book to TV show) that space travel was a real possibility, easing Congressional appropriations for the 'moon race' with Russia. Later, Dulles found the saucer believers and their clubs an ideal propaganda vehicle."

Now, I've never heard of Davidson before, and though I have no doubt that Spookmaster Supreme Dulles would have been delighted to pull the wool over the Reds' eyes any way he could, this does not make much sense to me.

Even if the weapons would be useless against "real" UFOs (a dubious proposition also), why inspire our enemies to try to develop devices that might not be so ineffective against our own advanced aircraft? This seems about as silly as the idea that the real purpose of Star Wars program was to cause the Russians to give up in despair...

Davidson also fingered Adamski as a government disinfo agent. With that I quite agree, as the myth of the Space Brothers would be just the thing to calm everything down. But sadly, this whole effort seems to me to be just another layer of smoke over Roswell.

<http://www.philipcoppens.com/davidson.html>

(Coppens also has several articles of interest to the List on remote viewing and the Nine, but that's worthy of another time...)

Keep watching the skies!

Jay Nelson  
<http://www.weirdload.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: What Lurks In Museum Basements? - Friedman

From: **Stanton Friedman** <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 22:15:11 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 14:20:42 -0500  
Subject: Re: What Lurks In Museum Basements? - Friedman

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 19:40:46 EST  
>Subject: What Lurks In Museum Basements?

>I assume most of you've seen the Indiana Jones movies and the  
>twist ending of the first of the trilogy "Raiders of the Lost  
>Ark".

>This story from Reuters is about a specimen of dinosaur that lay  
>hidden in the basement of the Museum of Natural History in NY.  
>It lay there for 60 years until recently found.

><http://tinyurl.com/8j32r>

>No telling what lies in storage that may be of a UFO value.

>That's the tough part of this field of research. Going through  
>files that have been neglected and files already rifled through  
>to make sure no stone has been left unturned.

>Can you imagine what other artifacts await discovery?

>Lots of work to be done.

The real problem is the lack of access in the first place to TOP SECRET and TOP SECRET Code Word archival materials. The Eisenhower Library still has 300,000 pages of classified material. The Kennedy Library has much more. Even the Truman Library has 45,000 pages. No way to know how much might deal with flying saucers...

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: 'UFO' Over Restricted Air Space In 2004/05? -

From: Kyle King <[kyleking.nul](mailto:kyleking.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 03:33:06 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 14:27:32 -0500  
Subject: Re: 'UFO' Over Restricted Air Space In 2004/05? -

[Non-Subscriber Post]

>From: Jason Gammon <[LuckyHoodoo.nul](mailto:LuckyHoodoo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 21:06:00 EST  
>Subject: 'UFO' Over Restricted Air Space In 2004/05?

>Late 2004 or early 2005 I remember a Breaking News item  
>interrupting scheduled programming concerning an unidentified  
>aircraft flying into restricted airspace over the White House &  
>Capital.

<snip>

>A while back I watched a UFO documentary in which the last few  
>seconds was dedicated to this incident. The voice-over never  
>once specifically stated that this was indeed a true UFO but  
>instead hinted that it was.

>Has anybody researched this incident? If so, was there any 'wow'  
>evidence obtained?

Hello -

Regarding the referenced thread, please see this site...

<http://www.hometimemedia.com/tdm396.html>

I am sure that this is the story to which Jason is referring.

Best,

Kyle King

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 10:52:21 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 16:48:25 -0500  
Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Gehrman

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 07:40:12 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 15:33:38 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices

>>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2006 12:23:18 -0600  
>>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

><snip>

>>>Detection levels are no better than chance. In other words,  
>>>you might as well flip a coin.

>>It doesn't say that at all. It says that the police swear by  
>>them and scientists can't find a way to test them because they  
>>can't devise a test where the subjects have a vested interest in  
>>hiding the truth. There must be jeopardy if the tests are to be  
>>accurate. Otherwise the results are only chance, which is the  
>>way it should be if you really think about it. Also you should  
>>remember that many of the negative conclusions were from labs  
>>hired by the polygraphist associations. You didn't catch that?

>  
>The study, in fact, was conducted by a Virginia state agency,  
>the Board for Professional and Occupational Regulation.

Hi Jerry,

Yes they did conduct the study but at the request of the  
Polygraph examiners:

On May 15, 2003, after reviewing the new law and  
acknowledging their inexperience with this new technology, the  
Polygraph Examiners Advisory Board directed staff to approach  
the Board for Professional and Occupational Regulation (BPOR)  
and request a study be conducted of the Computer Voice Stress  
Analyzers

>>Trust the police. They're not going to use a defective tool. A  
>>sheriff, friend and fishing buddy has told me all about how they  
>>are used and he loves them. If it's good enough for him, I know  
>>I'm on the right track. I offered to send you one. Your loss.

>I urge interested Listfolk to go back to the citation in my  
>previous post and see if Ed's remarks above make any sense at  
>all.

The only study that was described in any detail was the following:

"Using a mock theft scenario, 109 subjects were randomly assigned  
to two groups and given detection of deception examinations  
using a CVSA instrument. Subjects on one group were programmed

deceptive and participated in taking \$100.00 from a metal box located in a scenario room. The non-deceptive group did not participate in the scenarios nor did they have knowledge of the mock theft."

The results of this particular study are criticized by those who support the use of the CVSA stating a premise that the CVSA is a stress analyzer that captures and displays degrees of stress based on "jeopardy" and a reasonable degree of accuracy cannot be expected where "jeopardy" does not exist."

It should be clear to readers that this scenario is destined to failure because there is no jeopardy. You can't fake being scared or worried that you will be caught or punished. As I've pointed out many times, the person being subjected to VSA must care about the consequences of the lie. If that fear factor isn't present then the results will be chance.

What isn't said in this research but I know from talking to police is that when the VSA errors it usually does so if the subject is under other severe stress and the VSA may indicate that they are lying when they are not. That's the main reason that they are not allowed in a court room and this also applies to a polygraph. But what is remarkable with the VSA is that guilty folks seldom, if ever, fool a VSA.

If you are guilty and worried about detection, the VSA will register any deception. That's why Aristotle Onassis said his VSA was the best investment he ever made and why "Detective/Sergeant Don Wiebe of the British Columbia Police Department reports that the CVSAT has shown a 100% accuracy rate after using it for a six month timeframe. He states that the CVSAT has been used 35 times and that 'all the tests conducted have either had the results confirmed by investigation or confession'."

>You will find that there is no shortage of scientific  
>studies, cited and summarized in the particular study whose URL  
>I noted, which attest to VSA's high dubiousness. If Ed's fishing  
>buddy "loves them," that may explain why so many innocent people  
>sit behind bars.

Talk about unscientific statement. Just how many "innocent" people do you know who are behind bars? I think List members need to consider the practical application of the VSA. It is an investigative tool just like the polygraph and at least as effective.

Police departments would not spend the money if they didn't think VSA had practical application. My friend would not lead me to believe that VSA was an excellent tool if he didn't think it worked and I wouldn't use one myself if I hadn't found it to be a predictor of truthfulness.

>If there are problems with the cited, it is up to Ed to find and  
>cite critical studies published in the refereed professional  
>literature. Fishing buddies don't count.

There aren't any studies that meet the necessary criteria: jeopardy. The proof is that police departments and other agencies use and approve of VSA. It's their actual application of the product in the field that proves its worth, not lab exercises in artificial settings.

But you could have had a VSA yourself to test on your own. Why didn't you take me up on my offer?

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## **UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Gehrman

From: **Ed Gehrman** <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 11:09:35 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 16:54:30 -0500  
Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Gehrman

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 05:34:54 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices

>Moreover, the whole ethos of contrived "deceit detection", as it  
>pertains to machines 'we've' made and identified to perform that  
>function are invariably used to establish 'guilt' more than  
>'innocence', 'falsehood', over 'truth'... and from the top  
>'down' and never from the bottom 'up'. This is of course  
>forgetting they don't work and are inherently flawed. I shudder  
>a little bit when then are sworn by.....

Hi Alfred,

The VSA and polygraph are used to establish innocence and screen witnesses and clear folks from suspicion of lying or wrong doing. If I were accused of a crime that I didn't comment, I'd demand a VSA or polygraph. A polygraph has more practical constraints than a VSA but both are very effective. Only the guilty complain or need worry.

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 11:52:09 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 16:57:07 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 04:24:08 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 08:55:34 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>I have zero interest in Adamski, but have spot-tested other  
>>contact interviews found in the Faded Discs collection. What may  
>>be surprising to you is how many folks actually seem to tell the  
>>truth.

>>So do you have any evidence that Adamski was lying?

>Ed, I can't stay silent any longer.

>Here, in part, is the Wikipedia section about Adamski's contact  
>with Orthon in the Desert:

>"His best publicised claim was that on November 20, 1952 he and  
>friends were in the Mojave Desert near Desert Center, California  
>when they saw a large submarine-shaped object hovering in the  
>sky. Adamski said he believed that the ship was looking for him  
>so he went away from the main road. He claimed that a scout ship  
>made of a type of translucent metal, landed nearby. Adamski  
>claimed he and a human-like figure from another planet  
>communicated telepathically and through hand signals.

Hi Larry,

Yes that's a correct description of the event and this is the  
version told by Adamski in Wendy's collection.

>Adamski said the ET, named Orthon, was from Venus and expressed  
>to Adamski his concern over the development of nuclear weapons  
>and the inability of men on earth to have their spiritual growth  
>keep pace with their technology."

Yes I agree that is what Adamski said the the alien communicated to  
him.

>[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George\\_Adamski](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George_Adamski)

>Got that? Orthon, a human looking figure from \_Venus\_... a planet  
>hot enough to melt lead.

>[http://science.nasa.gov/headlines/y2001/ast20feb\\_1.htm](http://science.nasa.gov/headlines/y2001/ast20feb_1.htm)

If this event did happen, and the VSA indicates that it did, then  
my only explanation is that the alien was being deceptive. and  
wasn't from Venus. That makes sense to me. I think these creatures  
have been lying to us for thousands, if not millions of years. And  
what are we to think about the "large submarine-shaped object  
hovering in the sky" that other witnesses testified to seeing? Were  
they mistaken or lying?

>I suspect Jerry simply didn't want to waste time arguing with  
>somebody who could possibly believe such a thing. Granted,  
>people believe even crazier stuff, but I don't waste time on  
>them either.

Nor should you. Contactee research and literature is an acquired taste and only for those with serious time on their hands. It's not my interest either, but Wendy's complications do make me curious and that's when I break out the VSA. One thing is for sure: liars and lies stand out like sore thumbs.

You might want to check out the new VSA technology - it very cheap and an amazing little unit:

<http://www.liebusters.com/>

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 12:31:37 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 16:58:43 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>To: UFO Updates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 17:47:26 +0000 (GMT)  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>List,

>Nick Redfern, who is not currently subscribed, has kindly  
>forwarded to me the following links which may throw more light  
>on Col. Corso and his intentions with regards to the publication  
>of his book.

>The first link below is a dreadful summary of Unit 731's  
>activities and the Japanese attitudes to biological warfare and  
>indeeed, their attitude towards human life in general. Mentioned  
>in this piece is the name of Major General Charles Willoughby  
>who was involved in dealing with Unit 731

>A Preliminary Review of Studies of Japanese Biological Warfare  
>and Unit 731 in the United States:

><http://www.centurychina.com/wiihist/germwar/731rev.htm>

>The second link, which reveals to those not already aware, just  
>what a complete and dangerous lunatic Corso was, politically at  
>least, also demonstrates a close link between Corso and the  
>aforementioned Willoughby,

><http://www.redshift.com/~damason/lhreport/articles/roswell3.html>

>It is a reasonable inference to draw that Corso therefore knew  
>of the activities of Unit 731, through Wolloughby, and might  
>also therefore have been aware of what happened at Roswell,  
>according to Nick's theory at least.

Stuart,

You are correct. Major General Charles A. Willoughby and Col. Corso were friends and worked together on right-wing agendas. Corso was a true believer and I've always disagreed with his politics; but Willoughby was a very powerful person and part of the proof that Corso had friends in high places.

The primary reason researchers doubt Corso is their inability to understand just how deep his connections really were.

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Gehrman

From: **Ed Gehrman** <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 15:49:06 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 17:01:38 -0500  
Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Gehrman

Some more information on the Voice Stress Analysis.

<http://www.cvsa1.com/nfs1.php>

Welcome to NITV Federal Services.

Since August, 2003, we have trained nearly 100 CVSA Examiners for DoD elements, and provided more than 60 CVSA systems which are being employed by various DoD elements in the Global War On Terrorism. After being deployed into areas of conflict, the CVSA proved itself to be a combat multiplier, enhanced force protection, and was able to validate time-sensitive intelligence on the battlefield.

Some Comments:

When someone claims that they can do something, it is up to them to show that it is so. It really is as simple as that. You say you can do something? Then, prove it, and show your work. Anecdotal evidence is not sufficient. So far, none of the methodologically sound studies have shown that voice stress analysis techniques (any of them) are any better than chance. Bottom line? If you're guilty, then you're better off taking the test, because you have about a 50% chance of "passing" and then the cops might go away and leave you alone. But if you're not guilty, then you should definitely not take the test, since you also have about a 50% chance of "failing" the test.

There is also a serious ethical issue: Part of the method, for both conventional polygraph and VSA involves attempts to convince the subject that if they lie they will get caught. Various statements are made about microtremors and the machines to analyze them. Yet, they can't prove these claims. While it might not be "illegal" to make such claims, it certainly looks unethical.

Posted by: R Packard, Ph.D. at July 16, 2005 01:00 PM

To R. Packard, Ph.D. - Perhaps anecdotal evidence is not sufficient for pin-heads in Ivory Towers, but it is good enough for those of us fighting the war. Continue your scientific analysis of and Doubting Thomas attitude if you must. But those of us fighting the war need tools that work and we need them now. I went through the CVSA military course and used this system in Iraq. It works - I know because it worked for me and other members of my Task Force after the polygraph failed. All the information we were able to obtain from this system was validated as actionable intelligence, and the results were then confirmed through the combat raids we conducted based on the CVSA generated information. Maybe you didn't realize it but there are well over 100 CVSA operators in the military now. They are getting great results with this system everywhere it is being used in the Global War On Terror. My USMC counterparts have had the same experiences and positive results with this system. Mr. PhD, you are entitled to your opinion just like the left-wingers who constantly attack the President and military, but your opinion doesn't hold water with those of us who know better. Posted by: CWO Bell at July 22, 2005 04:50 PM

Posted by: Randy at July 15, 2005 11:55 AM

I happen to be a CVSA Examiner. I have performed over 500 examinations in the past 6 years, most of them pre-employment examinations for law enforcement agencies.

Much of the bad info comes from the pro-polygraph folks who see their employment base eroding.

Let me give easy success examples. In pre employment exams, we ask about criminal behavior or past uncaught criminal behavior. One area we query is sexual crimes. The actual CVSA examination comes after an extensive interview. Now, most people have not committed a sexual crime (rape, forced oral copulation, etc). Occasionally you'll find those who have used a prostitute, which may be legal or illegal, depending on the location, but in any case, normal prostitution is, at most, a misdemeanor. Anyway, people will frequently hit or show deception on that question. Upon asking further, I'll find that they cheated in a relationship or were involved with someone married, had pre-marital sex or something similar. Not a crime, but enough that they think about this and it causes a reaction. Once we have covered this issue and the question is reasked stating we are not talking about this issue, they will clear the question with no deception indicated. Some may ask why we don't cover the 'cheating' type issues in advance? Our goal is to get them to pass and we are not going to broach into those areas unless we must. One more important item. The instrument doesn't operate itself or draw its own conclusions. You must be a trained examiner, just as you must be to perform the polygraph.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Polar Detector May Suggest Extra Dimensions -

From: Eugene Frison <[eugene.frison.nul](mailto:eugene.frison.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 12:44:45 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 17:03:06 -0500  
Subject: Re: Polar Detector May Suggest Extra Dimensions -

>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 06:04:45 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Polar Detector May Suggest Extra Dimensions

>Who discovers these things? Its not the mystics with their magic  
>chants. Its not the new-age airheads with their predictable  
>mantras. It certainly isn't an admitted charlatan who meets  
>human-like residents of Venus in the deserts of Southern  
>California. No, its hard headed intelligent people who play by  
>the rules, as they are known, to discover new rules of Nature ..  
>its Physics really.

You forgot one, Larry.....

It's also 'not the politicians, former politicians, and other  
whistleblowers quoting unnamed sources making outlandish claims.'

Thanks, Larry, this is right on! Though very many don't seem to  
understand it, Ufology is about science. (John Mack shouldn't be  
taken out of context.) Though we should never be bound by  
conventional beliefs, established 'truths,' orthodoxy, etc., proper  
methodologies are needed to get to the truth about UFOs - whether  
that be their propulsion methods or their interaction with us  
(assuming, of course, there is an intelligent 'other' comprising  
the core of all these reports).

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Magonia Supplement - Harney

From: **John Harney** <[magonia.nul](mailto:magonia.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 18:27:11 -0000  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 17:03:50 -0500  
Subject: Re: Magonia Supplement - Harney

The Magonia Supplement website, which contains HTML editions of all issues of the Supplement with index, has moved. The new url is:

<http://www.users.waitrose.com/~magonia>

John Harney

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Hot UFO Radio!

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 14:09:15 EST  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 17:05:51 -0500  
Subject: Hot UFO Radio!

Hats Off To Errol & Kevin Randle

I'm really happy because for the past two weeks the radio broadcast end of this field of research has been nothing short of thrilling and informative.

Triumphs and tragedies going hand in hand and the public really responding and getting the service they deserve.

On Saturday's Strange Days... Indeed, Errol had Kevin Randle on.

That program was very informative and highly recommended for people who get into that 'ball of confusion' when studying the paranormal areas.

(Was it the Four Tops or the Temptations who sang the hit song 'Ball of Confusion'?)

Anyway, Kevin Randle really hit homers by emphasizing what exactly is proof, solid evidence in the hands of researchers. He really cut through the bull and made it clear what the differences are in nebulous and solid data.

He tackled the popular UFO cases and laid out with references exactly what is sound and what isn't. He didn't invalidate all verbal testimony but he emphasized how one should take such testimony and how to verify it.

That sure cut that beanstalk down a might!

Now that Art Bell is back on weekends and in general I've seen a step back from hosts who spend 90% of the show theorizing instead of just looking at the facts and showing the references, I think we're all in for some treats ahead and a more comfortable public who come to these venues to learn.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: What Lurks In Museum Basements? - Boone

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 15:14:17 EST  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 17:09:54 -0500  
Subject: Re: What Lurks In Museum Basements? - Boone

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 22:15:11 -0400  
>Subject: Re: What Lurks In Museum Basements?

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 19:40:46 EST  
>>Subject: What Lurks In Museum Basements?

>>I assume most of you've seen the Indiana Jones movies and the  
>>twist ending of the first of the trilogy "Raiders of the Lost  
>>Ark".

>>This story from Reuters is about a specimen of dinosaur that lay  
>>hidden in the basement of the Museum of Natural History in NY.  
>>It lay there for 60 years until recently found.

>><http://tinyurl.com/8j32r>

>>No telling what lies in storage that may be of a UFO value.

>>That's the tough part of this field of research. Going through  
>>files that have been neglected and files already rifled through  
>>to make sure no stone has been left unturned.

>>Can you imagine what other artifacts await discovery?

>>Lots of work to be done.

>The real problem is the lack of access in the first place to TOP  
>SECRET and TOP SECRET Code Word archival materials. The >Eisenhower  
>Library still has 300,000 pages of classified material. The >Kennedy  
>Library has much more. Even the Truman Library has 45,000 >pages. No  
>way to know how much might deal with flying saucers...

Thank you Mr. Friedman!

Every time I would go to an archive or library whether public or  
private, I would recall what you would emphasize during your  
lectures about the number of archives you'd gone to.

Why the Eisenhower Library still has classified files is something  
to look into as well as the Truman Library.

Whether the classified docs are about saucers or who's wife was  
running around with whom is what has yet to be retrieved.

I have to admit, when I was digging through files, hours and hours  
and finding nothing but salutations to this big-wig or that big-wig  
I kept plugging away thinking about what you'd told us college kids  
back then. Needle in a haystack research for sure, yet there's  
always something that leads to something else. Add to that just  
interviewing people and the public should realize how tasking this  
field of research is.

I'm making room in my schedule and budget to do some more paper  
trail work. Interviews I don't need anymore because it's the same

old story and it's just too frustrating. You get someone with a bona fide first person story but they're afraid to come forward. I can't take it anymore.

Just one library I peruse while on the east coast still has volumes and volumes of paperwork that I'm privvy to. It would take me years just to get through some of them. Most files haven't seen the light of day in over 50 years. Luckily some of the folks who wrote the works are still around so I can get confirms but I have to rush! Folks are getting older but who knows some new stem cell thingie might extend their lives.

Just recently a veteran engineer pal of mine gave me the inside on what was in the basement of one of the world's top museums. He was asked to identify some items for one of the curators and it blew him away. I'm trying to get clearance to visit. He was told right then and there that it was a small example as the bulk of the museum's items were in numerous warehouses and storage bins, there aren't enough experts to go through them all. I know this story is true because I got to communicate with the curator. Mind you, that's just\_one major museum.

I'm sticking to the paper work and getting files declassified as everything else just gets so Syspheap if the word is applicable.

So it's buy some John Williams soundtracks for the iPod and hit the shelves, basements, attics and rafters.

Maybe something will turn up on Ebay.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Dulles & UFOs - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 16:09:32 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 17:08:34 -0500  
Subject: Re: Dulles & UFOs - Friedman

>From: Jay Nelson <[jnelson.nul](mailto:jnelson.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 14:25:03 -0700  
>Subject: Dulles & UFOs

>Interesting article over at Philip Coppens' site about Los Alamos  
>chemist Leon Davidson's attempt to debunk saucers. But not as swamp  
>gas - rather, he blamed them largely on the good old CIA!

>Coppens quotes him as saying:

>"It became clear [to me], early in the 1950's, that the CIA,  
>specifically Allen Dulles, had used legitimate 'flying saucers'  
>events

><snip>

>as a tool in the Cold War.

>Dulles wanted Russia to waste effort on defences against objects  
>having the extreme capabilities implied by the public saucer  
>stories.

>Dulles also adopted a concept from his old friend Carl Jung, and  
>co-opted the myth that benign aliens have visited Earth for  
>millennia. He used magician's illusions, tricks, and showmanship to  
>blend in sightings, landings, and contacts, with the legitimate  
>military test sightings. The public perception grew (from comic  
>book to TV show) that space travel was a real possibility, easing  
>Congressional appropriations for the 'moon race' with Russia.  
>Later, Dulles found the saucer believers and their clubs an ideal  
>propaganda vehicle."

>Now, I've never heard of Davidson before, and though I have no  
>doubt that Spookmaster Supreme Dulles would have been delighted to  
>pull the wool over the Reds' eyes any way he could, this does not  
>make much sense to me.

>

>Even if the weapons would be useless against "real" UFOs (a dubious  
>proposition also), why inspire our enemies to try to develop  
>devices that might not be so ineffective against our own advanced  
>aircraft? This seems about as silly as the idea that the real  
>purpose of Star Wars program was to cause the Russians to give up  
>in despair...

>Davidson also fingered Adamski as a government disinfo agent. With  
>that I quite agree, as the myth of the Space Brothers would be just  
>the thing to calm everything down. But sadly, this whole effort  
>seems to me to be just another layer of smoke over Roswell.

><http://www.philipcoppens.com/davidson.html>

>(Coppens also has several articles of interest to the List on  
>remote viewing and the Nine, but that's worthy of another time...)

Davidson was a scientist who worked at Los Alamos National  
Laboratory who managed to obtain a copy of Project Blue Book  
Special Report No. 14 in the 1950s. He published many copies of a



version but not including all of the 240 charts, tables, and maps.

This was before there was a FOIA It was finding a copy of his privately published version at the U. of California, Berkeley, library in about 1960 that really got me going on UFOs since it hadn't been mentioned in the 15 or so books I had read by that time.

I don't think he was right at all about the CIA, but he did perform a service. Los Alamos at one time had a UFO group and their library had lots of UFO materials. I spoke to the Los Alamos section of the American Nuclear Society (about 500 attendees) there in about 1968 while employed by Westinghouse Astronuclear Lab. Westinghouse allowed me to go on a company expense account. They were a corporate member of the ANS and I am still an individual member.

We all owe Leon a debt. He included a copy of the official press release from October, 1955, announcing the results in a very deceptive manner with lies from the Secretary of the USAF and without saying who did the work, giving the title of the report, or mentioning Battelle Memorial Institute. I include this in the full size version I distribute for \$25 including priority mail from me at:

POB 958  
Houlton  
ME 04730-0958

or via Pay Pal at my website:

at <http://www.stantonfriedman.com>

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Boone

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 15:25:54 EST  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 17:12:03 -0500  
Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Boone

>From: Ray Dickenson <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 20:06:24 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices

>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 05:34:54 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices

<snip>

>Right Alfred,

>And don't let's forget - if an infallible liar-detector was  
>invented it would immediately be banned and suppressed by >all  
>world leaders, politicians and police-chiefs.

Gadzooks!

I was just about to bring this up!

If there were a true lie detection or device that separated fact from fantasy, all heck would break loose.

If memory serves, I vaguely recall from the Roswell legend that Forrestal and others had some type of telepathic communication with an ET and some device was shown that showed human history.

Could you imagine a device that could tap into some cosmic memory source that shows everything we've done and thought?

If true, could be the main reason for the stonewalling of UFO data.

Wonder what inventions along this line ran into the patent process circus.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 12:51:57 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 17:14:03 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 09:13:48 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 08:55:34 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>"Mr. Adamski does not appear to be telling the truth about  
>Orthon."

Jerry,

I don't know what type of VSA he used but my machine says Adamski is telling the truth about this initial encounter. The recording was his first interview about the sighting.

>For details, see Hayden Hewes's "The Man Who Did Not Talk with  
>Venusians," Fate, July 1979.

>As I've already noted, you might as well flip a coin.

>>I have zero interest in Adamski, but have spot-tested other contact  
>>interviews found in the Faded Discs collection. What may be  
>>surprising to you is how many folks actually seem to tell the  
>>truth.

>Uh huh.

I tested Lonnie Zamora and he was telling the truth. How is it that this machine works for Lonnie and not for Adamski.

>I share other Listfolk's amusement at the notion that the whole  
>hugely complex notion of what comprises sincerity and truth-telling  
>can be solved via a cheap mechanical device.

You still have not answered my question. Do you have any evidence that Adamski was lying about this encounter. There were other witnesses. Did any of them recant testimony? What evidence beside the story sounding preposterous is there that Adamski or the other witnesses were lying. I don't own your UFO encyclopedia and you are correct that I only have a superficial knowledge of Adamski but if your research is a shallow as your Corso research, I don't know if I should trust your insights.

Another witness that I tested with the VSA was Charles Hickson - also lying.

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Dulles & UFOs - Clark

From: **Jerome Clark** <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 15:13:32 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 17:15:19 -0500  
Subject: Re: Dulles & UFOs - Clark

>From: Jay Nelson <[jnelson.nul](mailto:jnelson.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 14:25:03 -0700  
>Subject: Dulles & UFOs

>Interesting article over at Philip Coppens' site about Los Alamos chemist Leon Davidson's attempt to debunk saucers. But not as swamp gas - rather, he blamed them largely on the good old CIA!

>Coppens quotes him as saying:

>"It became clear [to me], early in the 1950's, that the CIA, specifically Allen Dulles, had used legitimate 'flying saucers' events as a tool in the Cold War.

Davidson was well known to anyone who read UFO literature in the 1950s and '60s. He wrote, for example, for Jim Moseley's Saucer News. Davidson had been a scientist at Los Alamos during the green-fireball episode and belonged to an informal group of colleagues interested in the reports. His most lasting contribution to ufology was his publication and circulation of Blue Book Special Report No. 14. He was the first to note the discrepancy between the report's content (effectively pro- UFO) and its summary (the usual Blue Book burbling).

Early on, he came to the belief that saucers were secret earthly aircraft whose existence their builders, U.S. agencies, were trying to keep secret by, among other things, encouraging a focus on alien visitors. He wrote a number of speculative pieces - he had nothing in the nature of actual evidence - on the subject, contending in one that CIA agents set up Adamski (a notion later picked up, at least in general outline, by Jacques Vallee in his 1979 book Messengers of Deception). Davidson also thought that the famous insignia on Lonnie Zamora's UFO could be rearranged to read "CIA."

Jerry Clark

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Oz Flying Car Mystery - White

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 16:15:47 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 17:16:36 -0500  
Subject: Re: Oz Flying Car Mystery - White

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 12:10:41 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Oz Flying Car Mystery

<snip>

>Let's not throw out a good resource by discouraging postings if  
>they seem anomalous.

Also, NASA (Langley VA) has been working on a conventional ducted fan flying car for years.

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Polar Detector May Suggest Extra Dimensions -

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 16:25:28 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 17:17:30 -0500  
Subject: Re: Polar Detector May Suggest Extra Dimensions -

>From: Ray Dickenson <[ray.dickenson.nul](mailto:ray.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 19:43:27 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Polar Detector May Suggest Extra Dimensions

<snip>

>And 'extreme physics' is well within the reach of all of us,  
>provided we take trouble to research basics.

... and stop ignoring manifestations of extreme physics, writing  
off those who report them as having 'overactive imaginations' or  
'mistaken', or even being 'mentally ill.'

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Clark

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 16:06:05 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 17:19:52 -0500  
Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices - Clark

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egerman.nul](mailto:egerman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 10:52:21 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 07:40:12 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Deceit Detection Devices

<snip>

Ed and Listfolk:

>The only study that was described in any detail was the following:  
>"Using a mock theft scenario, 109 subjects were randomly assigned  
>to two groups and given detection of deception examinations  
>using a CVSA instrument. Subjects on one group were programmed  
>deceptive and participated in taking \$100.00 from a metal box  
>located in a scenario room. The non-deceptive group did not  
>participate in the scenarios nor did they have knowledge of the  
>mock theft."

>The results of this particular study are criticized by those who  
>support the use of the CVSA stating a premise that the CVSA is a  
>stress analyzer that captures and displays degrees of stress based  
>on "jeopardy" and a reasonable degree of accuracy cannot be  
>expected where "jeopardy" does not exist."

I think the problem is in defining "stress" and "jeopardy," which can arise from a variety of causes, as can their absence. I don't see any scientific basis to any of this, though I can understand how cops could use it to coerce confessions from suspects by telling them that they have an ironclad deceit detector at hand. That, I would venture, may be how stress detectors are ordinarily used.

It may work as that kind of tool - as a bluff instrument, so to speak - but beyond that, it has no demonstrated scientific credibility that anybody, including you, has been able to demonstrate. Anecdotes about Aristotle Onassis (!) and your fishing buddy don't count, I'm afraid.

The simple truth is that scientists are looking for one thing, police investigators another. Ufologists already have enough problems with their own credibility without tying themselves to dubious "truth" devices. Waving voice-stress analysis to validate UFO-related claims is simply to invite fresh bouts of ridicule, in this instance surely deserved. Thanks, but no thanks.

Jerry Clark

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 29](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Hall

From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 22:19:37 +0000  
Fwd Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 17:22:09 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Hall

>From: Jim Deardorff <[deardorj.nul](mailto:deardorj.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 09:37:17 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 04:24:08 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 08:55:34 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>Adamski said the ET, named Orthon, was from Venus and expressed  
>>to Adamski his concern over the development of nuclear weapons  
>>and the inability of men on earth to have their spiritual growth  
>>keep pace with their technology."

>>[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George\\_Adamski](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George_Adamski)

>>Got that? Orthon, a human looking figure from \_Venus\_... a planet  
>>hot enough to melt lead.

>Larry,

>I'm no fan of Adamski, but if Orthon exists and told Adamski that  
>he was from Venus, would that mean he really is from Venus? I say  
>"No." One can't fault Adamski for not knowing, back in the 1950s,  
>the temperature of the surface of Venus, and hence for not  
>challenging his contactor, should Orthon turn out to have been  
>real. Others might argue that Venusians live in their spaceships  
>high in the Venusian atmosphere.

>Jim

Mighty big "if". Again, if you assume your own conclusion you will  
find your conclusions to be correct every time. You are supposed to  
be a scientist. If so, you should know better than to engage in  
this sort of spurious reasoning. You can justify almost any sort of  
crackpottery by this approach, and you seem to practice that  
constantly with Billy Meier.

- Dick

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 30](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Clark

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 16:39:35 -0600  
Fwd Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 07:22:49 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Clark

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 12:51:57 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 09:13:48 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 08:55:34 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>I share other Listfolk's amusement at the notion that the whole  
>>hugely complex notion of what comprises sincerity and truth-telling  
>>can be solved via a cheap mechanical device.

>You still have not answered my question. Do you have any evidence  
>that Adamski was lying about this encounter. There were other  
>witnesses. Did any of them recant testimony? What evidence beside  
>the story sounding preposterous is there that Adamski or the other  
>witnesses were lying. I don't own your UFO encyclopedia and you are  
>correct that I only have a superficial knowledge of Asdamski but if  
>your research is a shallow as your Corso research, I don't know if  
>I should trust your insights.

Educate yourself, Ed. It's not my job to do that. The answers are  
out there, if you want to find them, in my encyclopedia and  
elsewhere. I have shown you where the water is. If you choose not  
to drink it, that is not, in point of fact, my problem.

Positive or negative readings of voice-stress devices do not affect  
my judgments of witness sincerity one way or another. The issue of  
truthful vs. deceitful claimants requires data and a sophisticated  
reading of the available evidence. It is often difficult and  
sometimes ultimately unresolvable; my favorite instance in that  
regard is the 1952 Florida scoutmaster case, on which reasonable  
people continue to hold opposite opinions and to argue for the  
primacy of different sets of evidence. Naturally, I wish all were  
as simple as the switching on of a miracle toy.

Beyond that, Ed, this exchange serves no purpose. Believe whatever  
you want, even if by your own admission you have only "superficial  
knowledge" of matters on which nonetheless you feel free to lecture  
the rest of us. As for belief, I must say you seem to possess more  
of it than is good for you, but hey, that's not my problem..

Jerry Clark

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 30](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Boone

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 18:06:25 EST  
Fwd Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 07:24:42 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Boone

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 12:31:37 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>>To: UFO Updates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 17:47:26 +0000 (GMT)  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

>Stuart,

>You are correct. Major General Charles A. Willoughby and Col.

Corso were friends and worked together on right-wing agendas. Corso was a true believer and I've always disagreed with his politics; but  
>Willoughby was a very powerful person and part of the proof that  
>Corso had friends in high places.

>The primary reason researchers doubt Corso is their inability to  
>understand just how deep his connections really were.

You bet Corso was right-wing. I could go on and on.

Makes one feel very eerie that any\_if\_any ET beings and materiel ended up in the control of the right-wingers prior to the revelations brought about during the civil rights campaigns.

The thought of some Klansman guy running around with a ray gun is too much to handle.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 30](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 16:35:36 -0800  
Fwd Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 07:26:55 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gehrman

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 12:51:57 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 09:13:48 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 08:55:34 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>"Mr. Adamski does not appear to be telling the truth about  
>>Orthon."

>Jerry,

>I don't know what type of VSA he used but my machine says Adamski  
>is telling the truth about this initial encounter. The recording  
>was his first interview about the sighting.

>>For details, see Hayden Hewes's "The Man Who Did Not Talk with  
>>Venusians," Fate, July 1979.

>>As I've already noted, you might as well flip a coin.

>>>I have zero interest in Adamski, but have spot-tested other contact  
>>>interviews found in the Faded Discs collection. What may be  
>>>surprising to you is how many folks actually seem to tell the  
>>>truth.

>>Uh huh.

>I tested Lonnie Zamora and he was telling the truth. How is it that  
>this machine works for Lonnie and not for Adamski.

>>I share other Listfolk's amusement at the notion that the whole  
>>hugely complex notion of what comprises sincerity and truth-telling  
>>can be solved via a cheap mechanical device.

>You still have not answered my question. Do you have any evidence  
>that Adamski was lying about this encounter. There were other  
>witnesses. Did any of them recant testimony? What evidence beside  
>the story sounding preposterous is there that Adamski or the other  
>witnesses were lying. I don't own your UFO encyclopedia and you are  
>correct that I only have a superficial knowledge of Adamski but if  
>your research is a shallow as your Corso research, I don't know if  
>I should trust your insights.

>Another witness that I tested with the VSA was Charles Hickson -  
>also lying.

Hi Jerry,

There must have been a typo because I meant to say that Charles  
Hickson was not lying, not even a hint of deception.



I'll be traveling for the next few days so regretfully will have to give this a rest.

But don't think I haven't appreciated the conversation.

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 30](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gates

From: Robert Gates <[RGates8254.nul](mailto:RGates8254.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 19:26:34 EST  
Fwd Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 07:39:24 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Gates

>From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 16:10:30 +1000  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Victor Stead <[vicstead.nul](mailto:vicstead.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 12:44:14 +0800  
>>Subject: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

>>I read Lt. Col. Corso's book several times and personally  
>>believe it to be substantially true. Why would an old man near  
>>to death make that entire story up?

<snip>

Yes... Welcome Victor,

>Its refreshing to hear you innocently stating your view. Its a shame  
>you had to pick Corso. A dangerous subject on UFO UpDates.

>I too believe the majority of The Day After Roswell.

>I stopped writing-in after voicing a few pro-comments as well.  
>Anything to do with Corso or the AA will be shot down very  
>quickly. Don't be disheartened, there are many of us great  
>unwashed or, umm, unpublished(?) lurking in the background.

Bill, Victor and Listers,

Corso and the Day After Roswell is interesting to say the least. I do not attempt to shoot down Corso, he did an excellent job himself.

His co-author Bill Birnes indicated that he has 14 hours of Corso on tape telling his stories, not to mention various notes and documents. Of that there was 5 books created, of which the Roswell book was one of them. According to Birnes, he and Corso met, went over the manuscript in detail and made changes and corrections to it. In essence the book is supposedly based on Corso's words and he examined the manuscript and found it to be correct before publication.

That being said, after the book came out and people jumped Corso on more than a few inconsistencies, he indicated that parts of the book were inaccurate. In essence, according to Corso, we are to believe that Birnes took literary license or stroked the book. Supposedly Corso was going to correct all this. Corso indicated that he was gagged as part of his book contract, but was planning to correct the story when he put out his second book. Well needless to say nobody has actually seen a copy of the book contract with the gag-clause in it, nor did Corso produce a copy of it.

So you have Birnes indicating that he faithfully recorded everything correctly as Corso told the story and you have Corso himself indicating that the book was not correct - supposedly

because he never had a chance to review the manuscript before publication.

In the Fall of 2000 at a conference, Corso's son allegedly told a group that only 10 percent of the book was worth while, i.e. true and that he was going to write the actual true/correct story. Corsoites instantly rationalized this away.

Corso Jr was setting up a web site and going to tell the actual truth etc etc. The web site lasted until December of 2000 or 2001 then was shutdown after an alleged squabble with the financial backers. He did post some information from Corso's next book which was going to be called "A New World" which is what an ET supposedly told Corso when they met at a mine in the White Sands Missile Range.

The bottom line on Corso's story is that it will be around for many years... like AA, like MJ-12, like Billy Meir and others, and will be talked about for years. Thus far the stories Corso told (in essence seeding ET technology into private industry) , while interesting and exciting, in the final analysis, cannot be verified. He told storys about NAZI time machine, told storys about meeting ET in a mine at White Sands, and told storys about an ET time machine vehicle in 1959.. again, not verifiable. Supposedly Corso's son has and was going to release the correct and true information...but that was 6 years ago and we still have nothing.

Victor asked 'why would an old man near to death make up a story?'

A lot of people make up stories. If you check out a book called Stolen Valor, you find people claiming military decorations medals etc that they didn't actually receive. You have others claiming military service in areas of the world, when in fact it didn't happen.

Look at the infamous jewel encrusted helmet story out of Roswell, or the one Roswell witness who told tales and stories and later it was proven that those were not correct.

Let us take a look at the living. You have so-called intelligence community sources blathering stories to people. You had a President uttering the famous line "I did not have sexual relations with that woman..." and his wife defended him, his supporters defended him... until it finally came out that he, in fact, lied.

Currently we have two major book hoaxes, the one author who stroked Oprah... as I recall he wrote three books. You have another one that was recently exposed about an guy writing about various Indian stories and tales. He wrote three or four books.

As to Corso, and his story, it can't be verified.

Cheers,

Robert

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 30](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 18:33:07 -0800  
Fwd Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 07:45:45 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Hatch

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 11:52:09 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 04:24:08 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 08:55:34 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

<snip>

>>>So do you have any evidence that Adamski was lying?

>>Ed, I can't stay silent any longer.

>>Here, in part, is the Wikipedia section about Adamski's contact  
>>with Orthon in the Desert:

>>"His best publicised claim was that on November 20, 1952 he and  
>>friends were in the Mojave Desert near Desert Center, California  
>>when they saw a large submarine-shaped object hovering in the sky.  
>>Adamski said he believed that the ship was looking for him so he  
>>went away from the main road. He claimed that a scout ship made of  
>>a type of translucent metal, landed nearby. Adamski claimed he and  
>>a human-like figure from another planet communicated telepathically  
>>and through hand signals.

>Yes that's a correct description of the event and this is the  
version told by Adamski in Wendy's collection.

>>Adamski said the ET, named Orthon, was from Venus and expressed  
>>to Adamski his concern over the development of nuclear weapons and  
>>the inability of men on earth to have their spiritual growth keep  
>>pace with their technology."

>Yes I agree that is what Adamski said the the alien communicated to him.

>>[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George\\_Adamski](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George_Adamski)

>>Got that? Orthon, a human looking figure from \_Venus\_... a planet  
>>hot enough to melt lead.

>>[http://science.nasa.gov/headlines/y2001/ast20feb\\_1.htm](http://science.nasa.gov/headlines/y2001/ast20feb_1.htm)

>If this event did happen, and the VSA indicates that it did, then  
>my only explanation is that the alien was being deceptive. and  
>wasn't from Venus. That makes sense to me. I think these creatures  
>have been lying to us for thousands, if not millions of years. And  
>what are we to think about the "large submarine-shaped object  
>hovering in the sky" that other witnesses testified to seeing? Were  
>they mistaken or lying?

>>Granted, people believe even crazier stuff, but I don't waste time

>>on them either.

>Nor should you. Contactee research and literature is an acquired  
>taste and only for those with serious time on their hands.

>It's not my interest either, but Wendy's complications do make me  
>curious and that's when I break out the VSA. One thing is

>for sure: liars and lies stand out like sore thumbs.

>You might want to check out the new VSA technology - it very cheap  
>and an amazing little unit:

><http://www.liebusters.com/>

Ed:

\$29.95 is way cheap for such a device. Questions remain as to its  
accuracy of course!

Back to Adamski, here is an excerpt from an interview with Ray  
Stanford on Strange Days... Indeed:

"... And I was temporarily misled by Adamski. I had initially  
believed UFOs might be extra-terrestrial in origin, then I had  
switched over before 1953 and had decided they were secret weapons  
either of the US government or the Soviet Union. Then I came back  
to the ET hypothesis... finally, I went to Adamski in California,  
visited with him several times and he, believe it or not, he  
confessed his hoaxes to me. And he liked me and he saw that I was  
selling my life out for this and talked to me about what he had  
done and he had done it for money, and so on.

I quickly pulled away from Adamski."

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2003/apr/m30-004.shtml>

Other sites indicate that the "UFO stuff" was to replace income  
Adamski lost when prohibition ended.

Given that and various other problems, what is easier to believe?  
That "Orthon from Venus" was lying about Venus, or that Adamski  
invented Orthon?

Just curious

- Larry

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 30](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Deardorff

From: Jim Deardorff <[deardorj.nul](mailto:deardorj.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 19:21:14 -0800  
Fwd Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 07:49:37 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Deardorff

>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 22:19:37 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: Jim Deardorff <[deardorj.nul](mailto:deardorj.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 09:37:17 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>From: Larry Hatch <[larryhatch.nul](mailto:larryhatch.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 04:24:08 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 08:55:34 -0800  
>>>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>>Adamski said the ET, named Orthon, was from Venus and expressed  
>>>>to Adamski his concern over the development of nuclear weapons  
>>>>and the inability of men on earth to have their spiritual growth  
>>>>keep pace with their technology."

>>>>[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George\\_Adamski](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/George_Adamski)

>>>>Got that? Orthon, a human looking figure from \_Venus\_... a planet  
>>>>hot enough to melt lead.

>>Larry,

>>>I'm no fan of Adamski, but if Orthon exists and told Adamski that  
>>>he was from Venus, would that mean he really is from Venus? I say  
>>>"No." One can't fault Adamski for not knowing, back in the 1950s,  
>>>the temperature of the surface of Venus, and hence for not  
>>>challenging his contactor, should Orthon turn out to have been  
>>>real. Others might argue that Venusians live in their spaceships  
>>>high in the Venusian atmosphere.

>>Jim

>>Mighty big "if". Again, if you assume your own conclusion you will  
>>find your conclusions to be correct every time. You are supposed to  
>>be a scientist. If so, you should know better than to engage in  
>>this sort of spurious reasoning.

Dick,

Science says: if this, then that. In science, it's okay to ask a  
question, and examine the consequence. We want to keep "political  
correctness" out of it.

Your reasoning is built upon a big assumption: Certainly a genuine  
ET wouldn't lie to a human. Therefore Orthon didn't exist.  
Therefore Adamski was a hoaxer. You need to justify your big  
assumption if you wish to proceed with scientific reasoning.

Jim

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 30](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Sawers

From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 16:20:31 +1000  
Fwd Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 07:53:01 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Sawers

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 17:08:12 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 16:10:30 +1000  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>>From: Victor Stead <[vicstead.nul](mailto:vicstead.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 21 Jan 2006 12:44:14 +0800  
>>>Subject: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>Yes... Welcome Victor,

>>Its refreshing to hear you innocently stating your view. Its a shame  
>>you had to pick Corso. A dangerous subject on UFO UpDates.

>>I too believe the majority of The Day After Roswell.

>>I stopped writing-in after voicing a few pro-comments as well.  
>>Anything to do with Corso or the AA will be shot down very  
>>quickly. Don't be disheartened, there are many of us great  
>>unwashed or, umm, unpublished(?) lurking in the background.

>The 'alien in a crate' seen by Corso had 4 fingers. The alien in  
>the AA (alien autopsy) film had 6 fingers. Yet these two  
>'aliens' both came to earth in New Mexico on about the same date  
>in 1947.

>What ever happened to the 5-fingered variety?

Hi Christopher, List

The four vs. six fingered creature is an interesting point I have  
pondered over as well, and it is a tough one. All I can come up  
with is we only saw the one autopsy.

Perhaps with the talk of multiple crash sites, and perhaps other  
creatures could it be possible there are different genetically  
modified creatures for different jobs.

We hear much about the many different types of creatures, is it  
unreasonable in such extraordinary circumstances? A little far-  
fetched and bizarre?

So... I tend to think maybe Col. Corso in the heat of emotion/shock  
at seeing such a sight just made a mistake. Or he has just  
forgotten some of the minor details?

Remember when you first saw the A.A. How many times did you have to  
count or look to see it had six fingers. I know I had to count the  
toes and fingers at least twice before it sunk in that it genuinely  
had six of each.....



Regards

William

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 30](#)

## Why Convoy When You Can Fly? [was: Colonel Corso &

From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 16:40:19 +1000  
Fwd Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 07:58:41 -0500  
Subject: Why Convoy When You Can Fly? [was: Colonel Corso &

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 11:54:27 EST  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>(As an aside, I spent time in an aviation unit and we used those  
>assets just as we all use our cars. If we needed to go  
>somewhere, we used a helicopter because it was the fastest and  
>safest means of travel. Those at Roswell in 1947 would have  
>transported the stuff in aircraft. They had lots of them and  
>they even had cargo planes to carry lots of stuff. No real  
>reason for an over the road convoy.)

>That, to me, is some evidence that the event didn't happen. But,  
>it is not my responsibility to prove this didn't happen, but the  
>responsibility of those making the claims to present some  
>evidence it did. That simply hasn't happened yet.

As another aside can I ask why you carried sensitive munitions by  
convoy when, as you state, they had cargo planes to fly all that sort  
of stuff about? Maybe you can answer and it may give us a clue why  
convoys are sometimes used rather than planes.

William

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 30](#)

## Re: El Salvadorian Police Witness Strange Light -

From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 07:52:04 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 08:07:54 -0500  
Subject: Re: El Salvadorian Police Witness Strange Light -

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 28 Jan 2006 14:15:39 -0400  
>Subject: Re: El Salvadorian Police Witness Strange Light

>>From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2006 12:14:59 -0500  
>>Subject: El Salvadorian Police Witness Strange Light

<snip>

>>When asked if it could have originated from an aircraft, Methey  
>>Doret said pointblank: "I don't think so, because at first we  
>>thought it might be an aerostate, but we later realized this wasn't  
>>the case, as the light was so strong."

>What's an "aerostate", Scott? Is that a Spanish term for  
>helicopter, perhaps?

Hi Don-

More like a typo on my part. It should read aerostat - a  
dirigible-like structure used for low-level observation and drug  
interdiction.

Scott Corrales

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 30](#)

## AUFORN Public Meeting This Friday

From: Diane Harrison <[aufor.nul](mailto:aufor.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 23:02:09 +1000  
Fwd Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 08:11:43 -0500  
Subject: AUFORN Public Meeting This Friday

AUFORN Springwood Meeting

Friday 3rd February 2006

At Springwood Community Center, corner of Cinderella Drive &  
Vanessa Blvd, Springwood

Starts promptly 7.30 pm till 10.30 pm  
Admission \$7.00

Free tea and coffee

The first Springwood meeting for the year we hope to see you there.

Topics for the night:  
UFOs Around Australia  
UFOs around the World

Regards Diane Harrison/Frola

The Australian UFO Research Network  
<http://www.aufor.nul>

P.O Box 738  
Beaudesert, 4285  
Australia.

Tel/Fax 07 55487205

Report a UFO Free Call  
1800 77 22 88

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 30](#)

## What Has Discredited Study Of UFO Phenomenon?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 08:19:02 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 08:19:02 -0500  
Subject: What Has Discredited Study Of UFO Phenomenon?

Source: Poll Host

<http://m989.pollhost.com/>

Online Poll

What Has Discredited The Serious Study Of The UFO Phenomenon The Most?

The Contactee Movement

Majestic-12

Exopolitics

Frank Scully's "Behind the Flying Saucers"

The Condon Report

Vote at the URL above.....

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 30](#)

## Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 08:24:10 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 08:49:12 -0500  
Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics - Shell

>From: Robert Gates <[RGates8254.nul](mailto:RGates8254.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 29 Jan 2006 19:26:34 EST  
>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>So you have Birnes indicating that he faithfully recorded  
>everything correctly as Corso told the story and you have Corso  
>himself indicating that the book was not correct - supposedly  
>because he never had a chance to review the manuscript before  
>publication.

It is important not to be naive about publishers. I have written more than 25 books so far for a number of publishers, and in the course of that have encountered exactly one publisher who gives a damn whether a book is accurate or not. Most will claim they care, but their actions speak louder than their words when they don't fix mistakes brought to their attention in plenty of time. One publisher of "non-fiction" books told me flat out that he didn't care a bit if a book was accurate so long as it sold.

In the case of Beyond Roswell, which I worked on with Philip Mantle and Mike Hesemann, I was given proofs of the books and asked to fact check them. I created a lengthy list of errors and submitted it to the publisher as requested. I was promised that the errors would be fixed. I was outraged when I got my copies of the final book and found that not a single one of my corrections had been made! I was then told that the corrections would be made in subsequent printings and on the CD ROM version. Once again the corrections were not made. Many of the criticisms of that book are about things that I had pointed out and should have been corrected.

My point is that it is entirely possible that Corso did review the manuscript and make corrections. The publisher would most likely have simply ignored them. It costs money to fix things. Most publishers will not spend a penny unless forced to.

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Jan](#) > [Jan 30](#)

## Re: Why Convoy When You Can Fly? - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 08:40:57 EST  
Fwd Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 08:51:58 -0500  
Subject: Re: Why Convoy When You Can Fly? - Randle

>From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 30 Jan 2006 16:40:19 +1000  
>Subject: Why Convoy When You Can Fly? [was: Colonel Corso & His Critics]

>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 24 Jan 2006 11:54:27 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Colonel Corso & His Critics

>>(As an aside, I spent time in an aviation unit and we used those  
>>assets just as we all use our cars. If we needed to go  
>>somewhere, we used a helicopter because it was the fastest and  
>>safest means of travel. Those at Roswell in 1947 would have  
>>transported the stuff in aircraft. They had lots of them and  
>>they even had cargo planes to carry lots of stuff. No real  
>>reason for an over the road convoy.)

>>That, to me, is some evidence that the event didn't happen. But,  
>>it is not my responsibility to prove this didn't happen, but the  
>>responsibility of those making the claims to present some  
>>evidence it did. That simply hasn't happened yet.

>As another aside can I ask why you carried sensitive munitions by  
>convoy when, as you state, they had cargo planes to fly all that sort  
>of stuff about? Maybe you can answer and it may give us a clue why  
>convoys are sometimes used rather than planes.

>William

Good Morning, William, List, All -

Sure. When we moved our weapons we were not an aviation unit, but a ground-based Signal Battalion. We did not have easy access to the aviation assets. My point was that the aviation units tended to think in terms of aviation, especially when they 'owned' the assets. The best way available to the 509th Bomb Group with its cargo planes was to move the stuff by air. It limited the time needed to get the stuff to Wright Field, eliminated the need for stops at other locations, and reduced the possibility for contamination by Officers and NCOs who would violate regulations.

There are lots of things that move in convoys... and once we had arrived at Ft. Riley, we loaded all that ground equipment, something like 550 pieces of rolling stock on railway cars to be sent to port to be shipped into the Middle East. Then, we moved the weapons, and the soldiers, by air.

So, the answer is really a matter of what is available, what needs to be moved, and how many soldiers are available to move it.

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)