

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2006](#) > Mar

UFO UpDates Mailing List Mar 2006

Mar 1:

[Re: 'Tell Us The Truth On Moorland UFO' - Allan](#) - Christopher Allan [12]
[Re: 'Tell Us The Truth On Moorland UFO' - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [26]
[Re: Suggested Code Of Ethics For The Investigator](#) - Diana Cammack [8]
[Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [79]
[Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'](#) - Nick Redfern [130]
[BBC's Off The Page](#) - Nick Pope [9]
[Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Salla](#) - Michael Salla [40]
[Re: New Fossil Find Overturns Mammal Theories -](#) - Nick Balaskas [32]
[Photos Of What Arnold Saw?](#) - William Sawers [23]
[Hologram In A Shape Of Gray](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [95]
[Theories Way Out There](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [45]
[Battle Of L.A. Anniversary](#) - Terry Groff [28]
[George Knapp?](#) - Diane Harrison [15]
[Grant Cameron Interviews Stephen Lovekin](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [134]
[Re: Suggested Code Of Ethics For The Investigator](#) - Steven Kaeser [15]
[Re: New Fossil Find Overturns Mammal Theories -](#) - Jason Gammon [8]
[Secrecy News -- 03/01/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [116]
[US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program](#) - Stanton Friedman [10]
[Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'](#) - Ed Gehrman [69]
[Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [33]
[Re: George Knapp? - Kelly](#) - Jim Kelly [4]
[Re: George Knapp? - Santa](#) - Scott Santa [1]
[Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw? - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [5]
[Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'](#) - Nick Redfern [40]
[Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [7]

Mar 2:

[Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [37]
[Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw? - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [58]
[Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [11]
[Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [203]
[Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'](#) - Gildas Bourdais [23]
[Lovekin & Kevin Randle](#) - Steve Owens [36]
[Roswell Legend Makes Comic Book/Animation Success](#) - Greg Boone [37]
[Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw? - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [7]

[Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Salla](#) - Michael Salla [40]
[Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [7]
[Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw? - Waskiewicz](#) - Jean Waskiewicz [6]
[Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'](#) - Nick Redfern [37]
[Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'](#) - Bob Shell [28]

Mar 3:

[Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program](#) - Lan Fleming [8]
[Forget The Academy Awards](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [34]
[Is The Truth Out There?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [98]
[Meeting Reveals Tales Of Time Travel, Aliens](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [119]
[Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'](#) - Gildas Bourdais [9]
[Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [6]
[Re: 'Tell Us The Truth On Moorland UFO'](#) - Geoff Richardson [8]

Mar 4:

[NARA Declassification Announcement](#) - Larry W. Bryant [55]
[Secrecy News -- 03/03/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [144]
[Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [223]
[Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [6]
[Aussie Sightings](#) - Robert Frola [228]
[Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'](#) - Nick Redfern [12]
[Re: 'Tell Us The Truth On Moorland UFO' - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [41]
[Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [195]
[An American Demonology](#) - Nick Pope [8]
[A Whistleblower's Uphill Battle](#) - Greg Boone [19]
[General Debose's Video Testimony?](#) - Greg Boone [9]
[Keep The Ahriman Gate Closed](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [53]
[UK UFO Reports 2002 - 2005](#) - Nick Pope [10]

Mar 5:

[Re: UK UFO Reports 2002 - 2005 - Anthony](#) - Gary Anthony [46]
[Re: UK UFO Reports 2002 - 2005 - Olson](#) - Jeff Olson [5]
[Re: A Whistleblower's Uphill Battle - Freeman](#) - Kelly Freeman [18]
[he 1974 Santurce Puerto Rico Film](#) - Scott Corrales [22]
[Something's Going On In Argentina](#) - Scott Corrales [45]
[Re: Suggested Code Of Ethics For The Investigator](#) - Ray Dickenson [17]

Mar 6:

[Re: UK UFO Reports 2002 - 2005 - Pope](#) - Nick Pope [29]
[Re: UK UFO Reports 2002 - 2005 - Pope](#) - Nick Pope [12]
[Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Salla](#) - Michael Salla [161]
[Re: The 1974 Santurce Puerto Rico Film - Olmos](#) - Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos [9]
[CNUFOS?](#) - Larry Hatch [51]
[Re: A Whistleblower's Uphill Battle - White](#) - Eleanor White [17]
[UFO Chronology 1877-2006](#) - Isaac Koi [256]
[Bleak Outlook For Defendants In DOD Censorship Case](#) - Larry W. Bryant [42]
[Re: General Debose's Video Testimony? - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [8]
[Military Enthusiast Magazine Vol-5/No. 25 1983](#) - Diane Harrison [44]

[Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [59]
[Land Of Song Poetry Rugby And Evil Aliens](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [169]
[The Sky Split Apart](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [52]

Mar 7:

[Argentine Policeman Confirms Having Seen Aliens](#) - Scott Corrales [47]
[NASA Gives Moon Rock To Cronkite](#) - Greg Boone [9]
[Blackstar System Shelved At Groom Lake?](#) - Dan Syses [287]
[Secrecy News -- 03/06/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [142]
[Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Rudaik](#) - David Rudiak [61]
[Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [33]
[Re: Ultimate UFO FAQ? - Shpakovsky](#) - Sergey Shpakovsky [13]
[Re: CNUFOS? - Groff](#) - Terry Groff [10]
[Re: CNUFOS? - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [23]
[Re: CNUFOS? - Hutchinson](#) - Bruce Hutchinson [11]
[Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -](#) - Bob Shell [24]
[Re: Military Enthusiast Magazine Vol-5/No. 25 1983](#) - Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos [57]
[Re: UFO Chronology 1877-2006 - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [2]
[Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'](#) - Ed Gehrman [43]
[Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Lehmberg](#) - Alfred Lehmberg [15]
[Re: CNUFOS? - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [13]
[Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -](#) - Larry Hatch [13]
[Re: CNUFOS? - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [36]
[Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'](#) - Bob Shell [35]
[Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -](#) - Bob Shell [15]
[Santilli & The Camerman \[was:... On Vallee's Novel](#) - Nick Redfern [59]
[Secrecy News -- 03/07/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [123]
[Robert Dean \[was: Lovekin Is A General But...\]](#) - Jeff Olson [6]
[Robert Dean's Claims](#) - Jeff Olson [13]
[Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -](#) - Paul Anderson [24]
[What To Do With UFO Whistleblowers?](#) - Mike Jamieson [22]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman \[was:... On Vallee's](#) - Nick Redfern [18]
[Re: Robert Dean's Claims - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [24]
[Re: Robert Dean's Claims - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [18]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [28]
[Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -](#) - Bob Shell [2]
[Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -](#) - Larry Hatch [8]
[UFO Review - Issue 15](#) - Stuart Miller [36]
[Re: Robert Dean's Claims - Salla](#) - Michael Salla [38]
[Re: Robert Dean's Claims - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [5]

Mar 8:

[Re: UFO Review - Issue 15 - Redfern](#) - Nick Redfern [24]
['Burnt Cylinder' Recovered in Shag Harbor?](#) - Jason Gammon [10]
[Whitey Strieber's Claims](#) - Jerry Black [22]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [19]
[Blinded By Power Of Illusion](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [99]
[Web Site Collecting Sounds Of Space](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [63]
[Re: Blinded By Power Of Illusion - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [5]
[Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Sparks](#) - Brad Sparks [33]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [25]

[Re: UFO Chronology 1877-2006 - Koi](#) - Isaac Koi [13]
[Re: Robert Dean's Claims - Allan](#) - Christopher Allan [7]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [53]
[Bob Pratt Website Now At MUFON.Com](#) - Jerry Cohen [18]
[Volunteer For UFO Symposium](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [35]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Cameron](#) - Cory Cameron [6]
[Argentinean Police Officer Tells His Story](#) - Scott Corrales [125]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [14]
[Re: Blinded By Power Of Illusion - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [12]
[A Good Vehicle To Handle Whistleblowers?](#) - Mike Jamieson [7]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [6]
[Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [23]
[Re: \(was Re: Digest Mode\)](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [2]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [46]

Mar 9:

[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [4]
[Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Olson](#) - Jeff Olson [8]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [31]
[Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -](#) - Paul Anderson [103]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Bourdais](#) - Gildas Bourdais [13]
[Re: Bob Pratt Website Now At MUFON.Com - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [21]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [11]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Koch](#) - Joachim Koch [22]

Mar 10:

[Re: Whitley Strieber's Claims - Bueche](#) - Will Bueche [39]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Koi](#) - Isaac Koi [72]
[Cassini Discovers Potential Liquid Water on](#) - NASA News [82]
[UFOs Over Parera Argentina](#) - Scott Corrales [20]
[Boyd Bushman Gulf Breeze & The Hovering Coil](#) - Geoff Richardson [15]
[Fawcett's Farewell To Flying Saucers](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [92]
[Is There Life Out There?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [40]
[Thinkers Lay Out the Beliefs They Can't Prove](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [137]
[UFO Sighting Really Took My Breath Away](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [63]
[Re: Bob Pratt Website Now At MUFON.Com - Groff](#) - Terry Groff [5]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern](#) - Nick Redfern [65]
[Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [85]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [30]

Mar 11:

[Secrecy News -- 03/10/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [143]
[Eyes On The Sky](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [121]
[British MOD Magazine UFO Article](#) - Nick Pope [119]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [20]
[Re: Bob Pratt Website Now At MUFON.Com - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [8]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [31]
[Re: UFO Sighting Really Took My Breath Away - Velez](#) - John Velez [34]
[Re: Whitley Strieber's Claims - Frison](#) - Eugene Frison [34]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [13]

[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [53]
[UFO Photo Contest Wants You To Fake It](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [49]
[Search For Extraterrestrial Life Gains Ground](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [85]
[Discovery Of The 10th Planet](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [20]

Mar 12:

[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Freeman](#) - Kelly Freeman [10]
[Re: A Good Vehicle To Handle Whistleblowers?](#) - Kelly Freeman [29]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [24]
[Explaining The Jack 'LeMonde' Photograph](#) - Jerome Beau [19]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [49]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [40]
[Re: Discovery Of The 10th Planet - Balaskas](#) - Nick Balaskas [33]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [46]
[List Off-Line](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [8]

Mar 18:

[List Partially Back](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [10]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern](#) - Nick Redfern [20]
[UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [24]
[Re: UFO Sighting Really Took My Breath Away](#) - Martin Shough [10]
[3rd Irish International UFO Conference](#) - Betty Meyler [9]
[GOT MILK? Goes Extraterrestrial](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [86]
[Re: Boyd Bushman Gulf Breeze & The Hovering Coil](#) - Bruce Maccabee [9]
[Secrecy News -- 03/13/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [144]
[Secrecy News -- 03/15/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [115]
[Iranian Jet Case](#) - Bruce Maccabee [5]
[Forums-Within-A-Forum Analysis - Bryant v.](#) - Larry W. Bryant [43]
[UFO ROUNDUP - A Brief Update](#) - John Hayes [29]
[Acute & Contagious FOIA-Philia](#) - Larry W. Bryant [39]
[Secrecy News -- 03/17/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [155]
[Budd Hopkins' NYC UFO Seminar - 04-08-06](#) - The Intruders Foundation [81]
[Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [11]

Mar 19:

[Re: British MOD Magazine UFO Article - McGonagle](#) - Joe McGonagle [25]
[Re: \(was Re: UFO UpDate: List Partially Back\)](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [7]
[Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses - McGonagle](#) - Joe McGonagle [13]
[UFO Watch Tower](#) - Carolyn Lawrence [28]
[Bush's Odd Energy Comment?](#) - Jeff Olson [12]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [38]
[Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed](#) - Paul Anderson [109]
[Re: Iranian Jet Case - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [1]
[Rendlesham Forest Cartoon](#) - Nick Pope [10]
[Abductions Mainly In North America?](#) - Eleanor White [4]
[Online Survey On Extraterrestrial Visitation](#) - Michael Salla [20]
[UK Paraquest Conference Sunday 14th May 2006](#) - Philip Mantle [51]
[Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [14]
[Re: Rendlesham Forest Cartoon - Pope](#) - Nick Pope [12]
[Two New Alien Autopsy TV Documentaries](#) - Philip Mantle [20]

[Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [5]
[Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Pope](#) - Nick Pope [11]
[Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses - McGonagle](#) - Joe McGonagle [14]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern](#) - Nick Redfern [12]
[Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses - Redfern](#) - Nick Redfern [11]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [32]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [21]
[Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [6]

Mar 20:

[Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - White](#) - Eleanor White [40]
[Dexter 'UFO' Mystery Still Haunts Some](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [118]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern](#) - Nick Redfern [9]
[Re: Bush's Odd Energy Comment? - Fleming](#) - Lan Fleming [3]
[Clinton FOIA Update](#) - Grant Cameron [13]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [33]
[Re: Two New Alien Autopsy TV Documentaries - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [6]
[Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [17]
[Pondering The Sex That's Out Of This World](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [88]
[Mushroom Clouds Coming To A Town Near You?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [128]
[Hobbled Spirit Heading For Sun](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [52]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [35]
[Do UFOs Walk?](#) - Wendy Connors [31]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [19]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern](#) - Nick Redfern [67]

Mar 21:

[Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Rimmer](#) - John Rimmer [11]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern](#) - Nick Redfern [28]
[The First Amendment's David vs. Goliath Redux](#) - Larry W. Bryant [64]
[Big Boys' Toys End Riddle Of The UFOs](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [39]
[Re: Pondering The Sex That's Out Of This World -](#) - Ray Dickenson [26]
[Extraterrestrials Land In Academia](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [25]
[Project Isis?](#) - Rosa Manson [8]
[A Scientist Looks At 'Intelligent Design'](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [175]
[New UFO Video Real Or Fake?](#) - Greg Boone [1]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Morris](#) - Neil Morris [17]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [34]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [47]
[Secrecy News -- 03/21/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [111]
[Kevin Randle Unsubscribes](#) - Kevin Randle [8]
[Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [21]
[Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [18]
[Just Flights of Fancy?](#) - Nick Pope [6]
[National Festival Of Extraterrestrial Abductions](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [87]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Pope](#) - Nick Pope [8]
[Re: New UFO Video Real Or Fake? - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [2]
[Re: Kevin Randle Unsubscribes - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [12]

Mar 22:

[Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [28]
[Re: Project Isis? - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [10]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern](#) - Nick Redfern [97]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [42]
[Aliens Gave Me Psychic Powers](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [135]
[Sisters Are Stewards Of Landers Landmark](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [82]
[The Lies & Beuracracy We're Up Against](#) - Greg Boone [65]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Rimmer](#) - John Rimmer [3]
[Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Allan](#) - Christopher Allan [25]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [21]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [16]
[Re: Project Isis? - Olson](#) - Jeff Olson [7]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [72]
[Re: Project Isis? - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [29]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern](#) - Nick Redfern [52]

Mar 23:

[Hank Meierdierk Area 51 Aviation Icon Dies](#) - Loren Coleman <lcoleman@maine.rr.com [53]
[Something Visible On Google Mars](#) - Ray Dickenson [27]
[Re: Something visible on Google Mars - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [9]
[USAF New Civilian Careers Recruitment Site](#) - Rick Nielsen <nilthchi@yahoo.com [13]
[Harnessing PCs For Searches](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [100]
[Russian Researchers Open UFO School](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [35]
[UFO Over Mexico, 2005](#) - Scott Corrales [25]
[Narlikar's ET Discourse In Siliguri Today](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [38]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [4]

Mar 24:

[Re: The Lies & Bureaucracy We're Up Against](#) - James Smith [51]
[Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [16]
[Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Sandow](#) - Greg Sandow [16]
[Re: Project ISIS? - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [5]
[Secrecy News -- 03/23/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [126]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [17]
[Re: USAF New Civilian Careers Recruitment Site](#) - Steven Kaeser [3]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern](#) - Nick Redfern [12]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern](#) - Nick Redfern [9]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [18]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern](#) - Nick Redfern [7]
[Re: The Lies & Beuracracy We're Up Against](#) - Rob Kritkauskay [19]
[Re: Something visible on Google Mars - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [6]
[Flying Saucers In Popular Culture Site](#) - Brad Sparks [23]
[Re: Something Visible On Google Mars - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [40]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [48]
[Re: Visible On Mars - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [24]

Mar 25:

[What Does The Bible Say About ET Life?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [235]
[Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of Light?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [71]
[Tiny Tunnels In Mars Rock Hint At Possibility Of](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [95]

[Re: The Lies & Bureaucracy We're Up Against](#) - James Smith [59]
[Secrecy News -- 03/24/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [110]
[Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [5]
[Mars Orbiter's 8 Foot Resolution At 1,547 Miles](#) - Don Ledger [77]
[Re: Something Visible On Google Mars - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [15]
[Re: Something Visible On Google Mars - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [11]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern](#) - Nick Redfern [60]
[Re: Visible On Mars - White](#) - Eleanor White [4]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern](#) - Nick Redfern [43]
[Aztec UFO Symposium Draws Believers](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [59]
[Jackson Blasts Alien Encounter Reports](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [18]
[Well Into My Majority](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [304]
[How The Search For ET Turned Into Hard Science](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [168]
[Re: Project ISIS? - Olson](#) - Jeff Olson [14]

Mar 26:

[Re: Well Into My Majority - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [28]
[Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of](#) - Ray Dickenson [22]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [21]
[Re: USAF New Civilian Careers Recruitment Site](#) - Rick Nielsen [13]
[Re: Visible On Mars - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [13]
[Santilli & The AA Film](#) - Dave Vetterick [39]
[AUFORN In 2006](#) - Diane Harrison [29]
[Roswell's UFO Museum](#) - Wendy Connors [28]

Mar 27:

[James Bond Johnson Passes](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [7]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Bourdais](#) - Gildas Bourdais [14]
[UFO Public Meetings?](#) - Diane Harrison [20]
[Military Claiming Things That Didn't Happen](#) - Robert Gates [34]
[Lecture's Lesson Look To The Stars](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [49]
[A New Sighting in Parera Argentina](#) - Scott Corrales [33]
[Argentine Fisherman Takes Cellphone Photos Of UFO](#) - Scott Corrales [51]
[Re: Seeking 2 Canadian UFO Articles - Balaskas](#) - Nick Balaskas [38]
[Winner 15th Annual EBE Film Festival Award](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [93]
[Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Horn](#) - Tom Horn [7]
[Re: USAF New Civilian Careers Recruitment Site](#) - Stuart Miller [80]
[New Book 'Mysterious Sky'](#) - Philip Mantle [25]
[Secrecy News -- 03/27/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [119]
[Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [8]
[Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Allan](#) - Christopher Allan [24]
[Re: Visible On Mars - White](#) - Eleanor White [48]

Mar 28:

[Re: James Bond Johnson Passes - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [8]
[There Are Aliens Amongst Us](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [36]
[Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of](#) - Larry Hatch [33]
[Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern](#) - Nick Redfern [6]
[Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of](#) - Bruce Maccabee [3]
[Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [8]

[Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [50]
[Re: Visible On Mars - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [19]
[Secrecy News -- 03/28/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [147]
[Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [43]
[Martian Atmosphere](#) - Ed Gehrman [27]
[Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of](#) - Jason Gammon [14]
[Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of](#) - Ray Dickenson [48]
[Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Connors](#) - Wendy Connors [21]
[Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Sandow](#) - Greg Sandow [44]
[Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [6]

Mar 29:

[Re: USAF New Civilian Careers Recruitment Site](#) - Rick Nielsen [24]
[Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Kaese](#) - Steven Kaeser [3]
[Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [7]
[Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [13]
[Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of](#) - Larry Hatch [33]
[Funds For Hunting ET Life Restored By NASA](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [62]
[China-Made 'UFO' To Debut](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [19]
[Who Believes In Who](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [170]
[Alien Autopsy Movie's Irish Premiere](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [14]
[Re: Who Believes In Who - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [11]
[Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [25]
[Re: Who Believes In Who - Groff](#) - Terry Groff [3]
[UFOs And The National Security State Vol. II](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [62]
[Paper By Dr. Alvin H. Lawson?](#) - Eugene Frison [5]

Mar 30:

[15th Annual UFO Congress Convention Videos](#) - Nicole Irvine [22]
[Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [25]
[Poll On Veracity Of Roswell 'Witness' Glenn Dennis](#) - Brian Sunday [8]
[Re: Who Believes In Who - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [9]
[Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Allan](#) - Christopher Allan [10]
[Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Allan](#) - Christopher Allan [37]
[Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [23]
[Roswell Solved?!](#) - Don Ecker [25]
[Re: Roswell's UFO Museum Research Projects - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [20]
[Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - White](#) - Eleanor White [5]
[Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Kubish](#) - John Kubish [14]
[Re: Funds For Hunting ET Life Restored By NASA](#) - Eleanor White [3]
[Re: Paper By Dr. Alvin H. Lawson? - Sandow](#) - Greg Sandow [3]
[Posthuman Blues Essays On 'Ultraterrestrials'](#) - Mac Tonnies [115]
[Women In UFO And Pararnormal Studies](#) - Regan Lee [11]
[BBC Roswell Incident Documentary On-Line](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [4]
[Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Harrison](#) - Diane Harrison [27]
[Mysterious Unknown Flying Cloud Above Northern](#) - Joachim Koch [49]
[Secrecy News -- 03/30/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [133]
[Re: Poll On Veracity Of Roswell 'Witness' Glenn](#) - Cory Cameron [2]
[Re: Paper By Dr. Alvin H. Lawson? - Scheldroup](#) - John Scheldroup [16]
[Re: Poll On Veracity Of Roswell 'Witness' Glenn](#) - Jason Gammon [5]
[Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [26]

[Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [15]
[Re: Roswell Solved?! - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [27]
[Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [7]
[Re: Roswell's UFO Museum Research Projects](#) - Wendy Connors [30]
[Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [14]
[Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of Space Cadets And Martian Faces](#) - Jay Nelson [48]
[Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [5]
[Re: Women In UFO And Pararnormal Studies - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [8]
[Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [12]
[Re: Poll On Veracity Of Roswell 'Witness' Glenn](#) - Richard Hall [7]

Mar 31:

[Speed Of Light](#) - Jason Gammon [8]
[Re: BBC Roswell Incident Documentary On-Line](#) - Gary Matteson [14]
[Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [6]
[Mars Once Had Life Harvard Prof Says](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [49]
[MUFON Discusses Time Travel Aliens Government](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [117]
[ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [112]

The number enclosed in brackets is the number of lines of new text in the message, excluding the header, blank lines and quotes from previous messages.

[Previous Month](#)

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

Re: 'Tell Us The Truth On Moorland UFO' - Allan

From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 09:59:26 -0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 11:43:51 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Tell Us The Truth On Moorland UFO' - Allan

>From: John Harney <magonia.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 26 Feb 2006 21:37:16 -0000
>Subject: Re: 'Tell Us The Truth On Moorland UFO'

>>From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 26 Feb 2006 14:27:25 -0000
>>Subject: Re: 'Tell Us The Truth On Moorland UFO'

>>>From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 25 Feb 2006 19:41:14 -0000
>>>Subject: Re: 'Tell Us The Truth On Moorland UFO'

>>>Is Mr Kellett out to prove that this case, and not Rendlesham,
>>>is the true "British Roswell"? Or has the UK now got a
>Kecksburg
>>>as well as a Roswell?

>>Hi Christopher

>>We had a (very bad) "De-bunking Berwyns" program from the BBC,
>>asking us to accept impossible co-incidences - like different
>>'meteors' arriving from different directions (impossible) over
>>a mountain in N Wales, at same time as a local earthquake (so
>>highly improbable it's not believable).

>I have just listened to my recording of the radio programme and
>there is no mention in it of meteors "arriving from different
>directions".

>That bright meteors were seen on the same evening as a local
>earth tremor may be quite a coincidence but Andy Roberts carried
>out a thorough investigation, interviewing witnesses, and
>examining local police records, records of observations of the
>meteors by astronomers, and the files on the investigation of the
>earth tremor carried out by the British Geological Survey.

><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2003/nov/m04-017.shtml>

>>That should have raised the alarm.

>>As Stuart was quoted in that mail - "The only comment I would
>>care to make about the Berwyn Mountain incident was that it was
>>indeed a bizarre combination of geological coincidences that
>>seemed to have been produced that night."

>Yes, it was an unusual combination of circumstances.

>>But that's without all those eye-witness accounts - a quick a
>>look by Tom Slemen is at
>><http://www.geocities.com/Hollywood/Park/4065/berwyn.html>

>>The almost immediate 'curfew/clamp-down' - by military, police
>>and 'security' - should've set further alarm bells ringing, as
>>should have the subsequent suppression of national news
>stories.

>There was no "curfew/clamp-down". The BBC reporters and Andy
>Roberts found no evidence to support these wild stories.

>>Personally believe suppression of truth and insertion of dis-
>>info has always been easier in authoritarian UK - this is just
>>an example.

>It's no use trying to revive this case; it has been thoroughly
>investigated and explained, much to the annoyance of the liars
>and fantasists who like to tell silly stories about crashed
>UFOs, etc.

Not only that, but Dr Ron Maddison of Keele Univ. investigated
the area soon afterwards and interviewed a number of witnesses
who had seen or heard the event. He reports that far from any
military clampdown the RAF were very cooperative and even helped
with a photographic survey.

There were numerous reports of meteors/fireballs, in other
areas, that night. So it looks as if the earth tremor happening
on that same night was indeed a coincidence.

The laughable story about bodies being transported to Porton
Down originated, I believe, from an unidentified soldier who
told it to investigator Tony Dodd many years afterwards.

CDA

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

Re: 'Tell Us The Truth On Moorland UFO' - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 11:50:46 -0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 11:45:27 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Tell Us The Truth On Moorland UFO' - Dickenson

List,

This is getting a bit confusing. The List published a news item
- at:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2006/feb/m24-012.shtml>

and personally I'd like to see Russell Kellet's evidence published, mainly because the BBC team said their debunking program was the "rational" explanation - an a priori exclusion guaranteed to infuriate impartial listeners - which it did. See:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2003/nov/m07-013.shtml>

But right now we're getting - on and off-List - strong disapproval of any further investigation. Why?

Fairly passionate pleas for it are understandable, but why are some people so hard-line against it? That doesn't seem logical. What can those people gain from suppression of impartial inquiry?

BTW - can only claim random UK reading, however, just stick the point of a pair of compasses into Liverpool on a map, and draw a circle to include Sheffield to the East. Inside that circle are many, perhaps most, of the interesting sightings, including "flying triangles" (Shawbury) and even 'meetings - abductions', in last fifty to hundred years in England and Wales. Co-incidentally, think it also includes the heartland of Jenny Randles and Lynn Picknett.

Cheers
Ray D

"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

Re: Suggested Code Of Ethics For The Investigator

From: Diana Cammack <cammack.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 14:14:18 -0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 11:46:26 -0500
Subject: Re: Suggested Code Of Ethics For The Investigator

>From: Robert A. Goerman <robertgoerman.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 27 Feb 2006 20:01:52 -0500
>Subject: Suggested Code Of Ethics For The Investigator

>Code Of Ethics

>The following Code Of Ethics contains standards of conduct which
>define the basic essentials of honorable behavior for the
>investigator:

>An investigator will always regard responsibility to the truth
>as paramount.

>An investigator will conduct all investigations and allied
>matters with integrity; be honest in all professional
>interactions; and strive to report those deficient in character
>or competence, or engaging in fraud or deception, to appropriate
>entities.

>An investigator will respect the wishes of witnesses,
>colleagues, and professionals; and shall safeguard confidences
>and privacy.

>An investigator will continue to study, apply and advance
>scientific knowledge; to observe the precepts of truth, accuracy
>and prudence; and to make relevant information available to
>colleagues and the public.

>An investigator will always respect the law and legal authority.

>(from WEIRD HAPPENS - Investigator Handbook)

As a researcher by profession (human rights, etc) I am not
convinced these two principles are always compatible:

>"...and to make relevant information available to colleagues and the public.
>An investigator will always respect the law and legal authority."

There have been times when I have done research in countries
where the (abusive) authorities would gladly have closed me
down/kicked me out had they know what I was doing.... Is it not
the same in ufo research? Is there not sometimes a tension
between these two principles?

Diana

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 11:33:10 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 11:50:43 -0500
Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Rudiak

>From: Robert Gates <RGates8254.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 27 Feb 2006 00:15:13 EST
>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

>>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 25 Feb 2006 16:58:38 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

>>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Fri, 24 Feb 2006 09:34:07 EST
>>>Subject: Lovekin Is A General But...

>I have a slightly different take on the Lovekin mess. I see it
>as an instance of Disclosure not properly documenting the
>witnesses background and qualifications before vomiting the
>story all over.

>Previously Disclosure have refered to him as "Brigadier General
>Steven Lovekin: Army National Guard Reserve" which would suggest
>that he was a Army officer, or National Guard Officer when he
>served in the White House.

>Likewise in a Disclosure campaign letter we read:
>"Brigadier General Stephen Lovekin, served under President
>Eisenhower in 1959 and then later under President Kennedy. He
>held an above top-secret clearance, and states he was once shown
>a piece of metallic extraterrestrial debris taken from the 1947
>Roswell crash. Lovekin also states that Eisenhower expressed
>that he had lost oversight of the UFO situation to the military
>industrial complex." see:
><http://www.disclosureproject.org/campaign.htm>

>The way Disclosure "touts" Lovekin, you would reasonably get the
>impression (false as it turns out) that Lovekin was a Brigadier
>General serving under Presidents Eisenhower and Kennedy.

>If they would have said that Lovekin was an enlisted man in the
>Eisenhower and Kennedy White House Signal Corp, the story would
>have been more accurate. Instead they chose to refer to him as
>part of his NC State rank of Brigadier General. Personally I
>have never seen where Lovekin himself claimed to be a Brigadier
>General in the White House, so I suspect that this is screw up
>on the part of Disclosure.

Yes, but why should Lovekin himself be attacked for how he was
presented by the Disclosure Project? That makes no sense and is
just plain wrong. Further, the Disclosure Project is not
responsible for how Kevin mishandled his investigation into
Lovekin and for the many erroneous and damaging things he ended
up saying about him.

>I would hope that Disclosure would be willing to spend time and
>money documenting all of their witnesses background, similar to
>what they recently did with Lovekin and all the documents
>supporting his story rather then waiting until the bomb goes off
>so to speak..

>I would also hope that just as fast as the Disclosure attack
>Kevin Randle page went up, they would also take the time to
>clarify that Lovekin was in fact an enlisted man, not a
>Brigadier General when he had his White House experiences.

The problem as I see it is Kevin Randle went well beyond questioning how the Disclosure Project presented Lovekin, which would have left him on more solid ground. Instead he badly blurred the distinction between what was said about Lovekin and then used it to smear Lovekin himself.

Read his original January 5 blog, and it is pretty clear he was calling the man a liar. For example, his concluding statement was, "There is no corroboration of his many claims of military service as a high-ranking officer, no verification of his positions in the White House and little reason to believe he was witness to the things he claims." That's saying the man was a fraud.

While Kevin made some valid points, what I find particularly troubling is how he distorted and misstated the available evidence to help make his case. E.g., his sloppy wording made it sound that Lovekin himself said he had a position of high importance in the White House, serving "directly under" Eisenhower and later Kennedy and even "once held the ear of the President." Lovekin, of course, never said any such thing. Not even the Disclosure Project worded it that way. E.g., they said Lovekin served under Eisenhower and Kennedy, not "directly under." Kevin's altered wording implied Lovekin had said had a close working relationship with them. And, to my knowledge, the Disclosure Project never said or implied Lovekin literally had the ear of the President. That seems to be strictly Kevin's invention.

(What Lovekin actually said is that Eisenhower would sometimes talk and confide to the Army Signal Corps guys at Camp David because he felt comfortable with them as being fellow Army. That's a far cry from Lovekin or anybody else saying he had the ear of the President.)

He also strongly insinuated that Lovekin was never in the Army or the White House, making one flimsy argument that Lovekin made no such mention of military service or rank in his website lawyer resume (which is all of 8 lines long, summarizing his education, legal credentials, and type of practice for prospective clients). Well, why should he?

Much, much worse, he took that same 8-line lawyer resume, which he claimed he carefully read, and then used the wrong date for Lovekin's law degree (given clearly as 1967), substituting the date of Lovekin's undergraduate degree (1964), thus falsely compressing the time scale 3 years. This then formed the basis for one of his impossible "timing" arguments. Lovekin couldn't have been born in 1940, then gotten his undergraduate degree and law degree by 1964 (instead of 1967), and also served in the Army and White House from 1959-1961. Yes, that would have been a bit much, wouldn't it? It sounds like a reasonable argument unless you doublecheck the actual date of Lovekin's law degree and realize Kevin used the wrong date. There has been no explanation for how Kevin could have screwed this up so badly.

Since then, Lovekin has presented documentation indeed placing him in the White House from 1959-1961, all of Kevin's flimsy and misleading arguments notwithstanding. Trying to duck this, Kevin is now trying to argue that he was merely trying to establish it was unlikely that Lovekin was an officer at the time, another Disclosure Project claim. But again, it went well beyond this to insinuating that Lovekin was never at the White House and was a liar for saying so plus a liar for saying he was a witness to certain things while serving there. (Kevin is now denying it, but go reread his concluding statement where he says exactly this.)

I'm sorry, but I don't buy this blame-game argument that this is all the Disclosure Project's fault. There was nothing wrong with criticizing the Disclosure Project for how they presented Lovekin. There was nothing wrong with Kevin trying to vet Lovekin, and some good has come from it in finally documenting some of Lovekin's credentials. But Kevin did an incomplete investigation, went well beyond what little evidence he had at the time, distorted some of the available evidence, jumped to

conclusions, and then clearly insinuated the man fabricated his entire story. It was irresponsible and unprofessional. He needs to apologize and quick.

David Rudiak

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 14:23:46 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 11:52:03 -0500
Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>From: Gildas Bourdais <gbourdais.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 10:47:09 +0100
>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>Nick,

>If you want to cling to your impossible tale of handicapped
>Chinese prisoners being abducted in Manchuria by American
>troops, when everyone knows that they had been all killed, that
>there were no handicapped prisoners used for the bio warfare
>tests, in order to get valid results, and that the Russians took
>over the country in less than two weeks, then the discussion
>stops here and I am not going to go into another round of
>labyrinthic arguments.

>I am even going to give you a hand. What about a US paratrooper
>raid on Unit 731 to abduct those prisoners? And, by the way, I
>have also an explanation for the strange protective suits of the
>doctors who performed the 'alien autopsy'. The handicapped
>Chinese prisoner, killed in the White Sands crash, was
>radioactive!

Gildas

Here is the complete picture about what I was told about the
Alien Autopsy film (from hereon referred to as the AA), so as
there can be no misinterpretation:

Barker and Salter did not claim that the body in the AA film had
anything to do with the White Sands crash/crashes. The only
one who claimed that was Levine, and that was based on what he
was _told_, and he was initially told it was alien.

At the _initial_ meeting (see pages 80-81), Levine was told that
the AA film was of an _alien_ found in a UFO crash in New
Mexico. But he was _later_ told by British Intelligence that
this was strongly believed to be a lie and that the AA had more
to do with _human_ experimentation - or was hoaxed footage to
reinforce the UFO angle for the New Mexican events.

But, the important thing is that if Levine was lied to re. the
fact that the film showed an alien from New Mexico, then he
could also have been lied to about _where_ the footage
originated from, too.

Remember, we only have the cameraman's word that the body came
from New Mexico. There is _no_ footage available _showing_ the
body being recovered in New Mexico. Who can say it was _not_
taken at Randolph Field as Barker and Salter opined was a
possible scenario?

I believe, as I state in the book, this was an attempt to try
and deceive Levine about the true nature of the AA that he saw
by telling him it was from a UFO crash, at New Mexico, when it
may have had more disturbing origins.

And talking of Randolph Field: if you look at page 202 of Body

Snatchers, you will see that both Salter and Barker claimed "no personal or direct knowledge" of the AA film, but they suspected that it might have been linked with atomic flash-blindness experiments that were undertaken at Randolph Field Air Force Base in 1947. They did not believe the film had anything to do (directly, at least) with White Sands.

Flash-blindness tests were undertaken at Randolph. I have a mountain of these flash-blindness files now and a number refer to Hubertus Strughold as being allied to flash-blindness tests in later years.

In earlier years, files I also now have link people Strughold had been associated with as having worked on these experiments - as both Barker and Salter specifically claimed was going on.

What is extremely interesting (and is little known) is that Whitley Strieber talked about having memories about being taken to Randolph Field as a child. He even talks about Hubertus Strughold and controversial human experimentation. For details, see this link: <http://www.unknowncountry.com/journal/?id=121>

Perhaps we should look deeper into Randolph to determine if any other abductees might have been taken to Randolph as children.

And if some of these other early "alien" memories of people taken there might, in fact, be examples of deeply buried memories of people seeing grisly human experimentation of a type that Barker and Salter thought the AA film might be tied to, at exactly the same place.

And when you talk about the specific Santilli AA film being linked with the White Sands crashes, I believe that you are misinterpreting what Levine said on pages 80-81 of Body Snatchers.

Re-read that section and you will see that Levine talks about seeing an AA film. But I am confident that it is not the specific AA film itself.

I say that because of the reference to the film that Levine viewed that showed "graphic dissections of the creature's genitals..." This is almost certainly a reference to a second piece of footage that has never surfaced, but that Phil Mantle got to see in Santilli's office.

This is not to be confused with the famous AA footage, nor is it to be confused with the notorious "Tent Footage."

The other footage that Phil saw does show the graphic dissection of the genitalia of the creature and it has never surfaced (although I do have some stills from it in my possession).

Santilli has said that one day this other footage might surface. But that it was the graphic dissection of the genitals that led Santilli not to release it publicly - presumably as US TV networks in particular might deem it too extreme for broadcast.

But Phil can confirm that he got to see that extra footage. That footage also shows the body being moved around to significant degrees - which might be harder for an FX company to do.

And my personal belief (and I admit that a belief is all it can be without proof) is that the film Levine watched was a copy of this same second footage that Phil saw, and not the specific AA footage that the rest of us have seen.

This would suggest that copies of this specific "extra" film may have been supplied to British Intelligence long before Santilli received them. And this, of course, opens up a whole new can of worms about where UK Intel might have got it from.

Note, too, that Levine made no comment to the effect that the body that he saw had any physical damage to it. This accords very well with Phil Mantle's memories of the extra film he saw. Namely, Phil states that the body in the other film was in very good condition indeed, and did not have the huge leg wound or the severed wrist, etc.

Now, of course, the cameraman claims that the footage all had the same point of origin, and that it all supposedly come from him and from an event in New Mexico.

But, if Barker and Salter were correct, then there may have been several things going on - flash-blindness experiments at Randolph_and_ accidents out in the desert, and both may have been filmed.

Or, perhaps the _entire_ story about filmed _alien_ bodies being found in the NM desert, as Levine was initially told, was a cover for the Randolph experiments. But I admit this last point is speculation and nothing more.

So, regardless of what the film shows (or, more correctly, what the 2 films show), and to summarize what I was told so that there can be no room for doubt re. my perspective: Barker and Salter were certain that the AA footage had _nothing_ to do with a UFO crash in New Mexico (or even a Unit 731 event in New Mexico), but came from flash-blindness experiments linked with atomic issues at Randolph.

However, I do concede that, in that scenaro, the body in the AA probably came from the same "batch" utilized in the NM events.

Now, as I also pointed out, there was no doubt that Levine was not the innocent that he claimed to be and therefore who knows what his final agenda was?

Of course, Levine may have been told the "UFO crash" angle of the AA film to reinforce that scenario and bolster that belief and keep things away from the down to earth, flash-blindness angle.

I admit it's a real hall of mirrors, but you need to be aware that the primary sources of Barker and Salter made _no_ claim linking the AA film with the White Sands events.

Plus, with the Strieber story about him having been personally taken to Randolph and his Strughold and his human experimentation comments, I recommend that people do what I have been doing - take a deeper look at Strughold, at Randolph, and what was going on at Randolph in the post-war era.

Nick R.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

BBC's Off The Page

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 20:22:42 -0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 11:58:59 -0500
Subject: BBC's Off The Page

BBC Radio 4's discussion series Off The Page will feature UFOs this Friday night at 11pm. The show will include mention of the Rendlesham Forest incident, alien abductions and SETI. Details and a facility to listen live are available through the following link:

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/radio4/offthepage/>

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Salla

From: Michael Salla <exopolitics.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 12:24:52 -1000
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 12:01:52 -0500
Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Salla

>From: Robert Gates <RGates8254.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 27 Feb 2006 00:15:13 EST
>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

>>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 25 Feb 2006 16:58:38 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

>>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Fri, 24 Feb 2006 09:34:07 EST
>>>Subject: Lovekin Is A General But...

>David, Listers,

>I have a slightly different take on the Lovekin mess. I see it
>as an instance of Disclosure not properly documenting the
>witnesses background and qualifications before vomiting the
>story all over.

<snip>

>I would also hope that just as fast as the Disclosure attack
>Kevin Randle page went up, they would also take the time to
>clarify that Lovekin was in fact an enlisted man, not a
>Brigadier General when he had his White House experiences.

I think that rather than this being a case of the Disclosure Project "vomiting the story all over" without clarifying that Lovekin was in fact an enlisted man, critics such as Kevin Randle plainly didn't bother to read Stephen Lovekin's testimony which is found in Steven Greer's book, Disclosure that clarifies that particular issue. Here's what Lovekin says about his rank during his service with the White House Army Signals Agency: "I was enlisted then and was the lowest thing down on the totem pole. (p. 231)" So how did Kevin Randle form his opinion that Lovekin was a young general officer, a Lieutenant, during his service under Eisenhower/Kennedy? Kevin Randle says that he had "read repeatedly" that Lovekin was an officer during his WHASA service. Why didn't he just take the time to read Lovekin's testimony and get the answer right there rather than speculate from second hand stories?

I think this issue of his alleged rank while in WHASA is really just a case of mistaken inferences and speculations by Kevin Randle who didn't bother to read the actual testimony of whistleblowers such as Lovekin and attribute to him things that others might 'mistakenly' have attributed. I think creating such 'red herrings' such as Lovekin being a young officer under Eisenhower serves to distract us all as we try to get the facts and details right. Let's make the documented testimonies of whistleblowers the focus of analysis rather than second hand versions of what the whistleblower said.

I think Frank Warren has rightly pointed out that all Lovekin has claimed is consistent with the available documentary evidence regarding WHASA. Lovekin did have the opportunity and

the required clearances to have some confidential discussion with President Eisenhower. That is the crux of Lovekin's testimony and why he deserve to be taken seriously since he is an eye witness to what Eisenhower truly thought about UFOs/ETs and how the whole issue was being officially handled. Lovekin's testimony that Eisenhower was not happy with the way the UFO/ET issue was being handled is vitally important and gives us an idea of the system that had evolved wherein Presidential Executive Authority had been usurped.

Aloha,

Michael Salla

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

Re: New Fossil Find Overturns Mammal Theories -

From: Nick Balaskas <Nikolaos.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 21:06:03 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 12:02:23 -0500
Subject: Re: New Fossil Find Overturns Mammal Theories -

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 25 Feb 2006 10:36:10 -0800
>Subject: Re: New Fossil Find Overturns Mammal Theories

>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 23 Feb 2006 22:27:22 EST
>>Subject: New Fossil Find Overturns Mammal Theories

>><http://www.cnn.com/2006/TECH/science/02/23/jurassic.beaver.ap/index.html>

>>It's getting so nowadays that I expect a groundbreaking scientific
>>discovery every other day.

<snip>

>>Often people theorize of ancient civilizations and life forms of
>>intelligence that may have existed in the distant past. Then
>>some boulderheaded opinionist would spout how science has proven
>>that this or that is impossible. Then a few months or years
>>later they're proven wrong and end up looking for work making
>>cookies in a hollow tree.

>It's a bit of a jump from a primitive mammal the size of a large
>rat to ancient civilizations and intelligent beings on Earth,
>don't you think?

<snip>

>Against that, I certainly wouldn't rule out previous visitations
>by aliens and temporary colonization of Earth in the very
>distant past. But there is currently no evidence of a naturally
>occurring intelligent species that arose here on Earth that
>created civilizations prior to mankind.

Hi Greg and David!

The fossil evidence to-date is so little and incomplete that nearly every new discovery forces us to revise our views of what the Earth's distant past may have been like. Science is not yet anywhere near the stage where it can rule out anything with absolute certainty, including past civilizations on Earth in prehistoric times or that man and dinosaurs co-existed in the distant past (and possibly even in historic times too!).

Last week at work a biologist colleague of mine brought to my attention this advertisement that was published on page A8 of the 'Toronto Star' (Friday, February 24, 2006):

THE QUR'AN (KORAN) GLORIFIED...

QUR'AN REVEALES (sic) GOD wiped-off Earlier Generations of Stunted MANKIND and thereafter He Created ADAM. The skeletons found from caves on Flores Island in Indonesia and bones of Magna faunas from Clovis Era do corroborate the existence and distruction of the race of stunted man around 13,000 yrs ago. Do

souls of the Dead have links to souls of the Living? Visit www.mostmerciful.com to see the Picture Gallary from National Geographic News and to read Qur'anic Texts, etc. Ad sponsored by: alwaysdiscountboots.com

Although our level of knowledge and understanding continues to grow and the quantity and variety of the fossil discoveries increase, we may never truly know about our distant past with a high degree of certainty unless a higher intelligence reveals it to us. Who knows, maybe the above account from the Koran (or God who inspired the Bible or the ETs that dictated the Red and Yellow Books of UFO lore; etc.) is indeed closer to the truth than what today's science believes it to be.

Nick Balaskas

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

Photos Of What Arnold Saw?

From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 14:40:49 +1000
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 12:02:49 -0500
Subject: Photos Of What Arnold Saw?

List

Has anyone on the List seen the photos mentioned in the below article. It seems Arnold said the pics were exactly the same as the objects he saw.

The article is from this website

<http://www.qtm.net/~geibdan/arnold.html>

"... then on July 5th Arnold and the Colonel were at Boeing Field, Seattle, when they heard about Captain E.J.Smith and his co-pilot who had made a spectacular sighting the day before. In the papers that day there was also a photograph taken by a Coast Guard Yeoman of a flying object virtually identical to the ones Arnold had reported. It had been taken in the Seattle area. Arnold went to the offices of the newspaper to see the original of the photo and there met Captain Smith and his co-pilot. They hit it off well.

The photos must have been pure dynamite because on the 10th of July a nationwide ban would be said to have been placed on them. These photos are reproduced in Arnold's book but the country at large never got to see the Coast Guardsman's flying saucer, which was identical to eight of those Arnold had seen."

Does anyone know anything about this or have seen the pics?

Regards

William

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

Hologram In A Shape Of Gray

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 12:09:44 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 12:09:44 -0500
Subject: Hologram In A Shape Of Gray

Source: John Hayes' UFO Info.Com

<http://www.ufoinfo.com/news/polandgray.shtml>

Poland: "It Transformed Into An Image Of Gray Alien!"

A Woman From Poland Saw A Hologram In A Shape Of Gray In 2005

Introduction
by P.Cielebia=9C

Mr Monika's story seems to be an unique case. We probably deal here with postabductional mode of contact in a form of visits and other phenomena involving also various sounds and visions. The woman probably [according to my opinion] got in contact with ET beings a long time ago, in her childhood, but as it turned out the case is still going on and sighting of a strange hologram of Gray - type alien may be a proof of it. Moreover, she could recall many unbelievable sightings, messages, sounds and visions. What is also curious - her family members also might witnesses the events and her little son may be also involved in a some kind of contact. Anyway the woman is lost and not able to explain all the phenomena. We may notice in her stories some typical signs of contact wit ET: fears, various habits, sounds and strange memories. It also turned out that the beings are still guarding Mrs. Monika but more information and conclusions will be provided when the case will be precisely investigated.

Events From Childhood

We present a relation of Monika B. from Szczecinek who during his life experienced many strange things. Her story prompted us to publish some of her the most extraordinary experiences. "I was 4 or 5-year-old. At that night I was afraid of sleeping alone, so my mum was sleeping with me. I was lying on my bed having mum on my back and the wall just before my face. Suddenly I felt that someone pulled my ear and I woke up. I thought that mum did it by accident so I looked at her. It turned out that she couldn't do it because she turned her back to me. I lay again and suddenly I felt that someone is pulling my ear one more time. I looked around but I saw nobody. The door to my room was slightly opened. I thought about my dad but anyway he couldn't be responsible for it because he was asleep and I could hear his snoring. I wanted to wake up my mom but she was in sleeping very hard. I was terrified by that situation and I lay again covering my ear with a quilt in order to prevent the further attacks. And I still today sleep with one ear under the quilt. Nobody believes in my story. All of them say that it was only my childish imagination and that I dreamed about something. But it is a true experience? My parents never tried to frighten me with some phantoms, ghosts and devils. For me the situation was real. I remember every detail of it. Since the occurrence I'm afraid of nights. Sometimes I have a feeling that something is waiting at my side when I fall asleep, as if waiting for my

dream. Moreover I often heard some squeaks in my ear. It doesn't resemble a sounds experienced for example during a high rising up in an elevator. It is a squeak with some delicate vibrations causing pain of the entire left hemisphere of my head. Every squeak resembles me that night and I wondered if it is connected with that occurrence."

Vision

"The incident took place about 2 years ago. I was in my job working on computer and entering in data. I was very engaged in my work and suddenly I saw a UFO craft hovered over some clearing surrounded with trees. It was a classical saucer, gray and metallic. Sunrays were reflecting in its hull. It was just a moment, a second. I didn't know what to think about it. How did the vision appear? I didn't think about UFO. I was never interested in it, maybe not to much interested to think about it and moreover, being in work."

Skull And Gray Alien

Another interesting thing occurred almost a year ago, in August 2005.

"My 7.5 - year - old son came to me and asked if he could lay with me in bed. Of course I agreed. We were about to get into bed when he suddenly remarked: "Mommy! Look at the wall behind the window. What a green color. It looks like a skull". I looked at that wall and indeed a skull was visible in the center of greenish background. We went do bed. Turning my head he asked me not to look in that direction but my curiosity prevailed. I peered at that wall and I noticed that the skull began transforming into an image of "Gray" [alien] known from many descriptions. I was afraid - it almost paralyzed me. I want to approach the window and look at it from closer distance but either fear or something other prevented me from getting up. I was completely "turned off". I wasn't able to move. The occurrence was indeed strange and horrifying."

"But after a week I reminded that two weeks before the sightings my son Krzys won [in a lottery] a pendant in a form of skull and a week after it he found in a packet of snacks a tattoo depicting [personified] death with scythe that he off course [as children usually do] paste to his left collarbone. I realized that it wasn't an ordinary chance. I was afraid of one thing: my son's death. But fortunately nothing happened. Anyway, I know that it might be a harbinger of some future events."

Further investigation will be soon performed by NPN ORG.

Source: www.npn.ehost.pl, 24/02/06
by: NOL - Polish Ufological Journal, 25/02/06
[translation: Piotr Cielebia=9C, JTU/NPN]

[UFOINFO thanks Piotr, NOL, JTU & NPN for providing the reports.]

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

Theories Way Out There

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 12:13:48 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 12:13:48 -0500
Subject: Theories Way Out There

Source: The Budapest Times - Hungary

<http://www.budapesttimes.hu/index.php?art=3D1525>

February 27, 2006

Theories Way Out There

Author who believes aliens built pyramids always draws a crowd

Erich von D=E4niken has been causing controversy with his theses, books and television programmes about =93prehistoric astronauts=94 for almost 40 years. Although mocked by serious scholars, his lectures are well attended and his books are sold around the world. Last Wednesday von D=E4niken familiarised an audience at the Budapest Congress Center with the =93mysteries of the past.=94

No, he still has not seen a UFO and not met any extraterrestrials, the 70-year old said, and he is still struggling to have his theories accepted. =93I still have the feeling that when I turn up, people always scram,=94 he said.

However, von D=E4niken is undeterred and still spreads his theory that extraterrestrials were present on the earth thousands of years ago and were behind the construction of prominent buildings such as the pyramids of Giza, the stone statues on Easter Island and Stonehenge.

Von D=E4niken first began to develop his theories at a Jesuit school, when he realised =93the God of the Bible=94 didn=92t tie up with =93god of my imagination.=94 He began to doubt Catholic belief and started to get interested in other religions.

=93Many stories were repeated and so I gradually came to the explanation that the gods mentioned were in reality extraterrestrials.=94

In order to prove his theses about prehistoric astronaut landings and lost civilisation, he undertook expensive world travel, which got him into financial troubles. He has yet to find objective proof but claims to have come across =93chains of evidence for it,=94 and compared the situation to a murder case without a body.

He achieved world fame with his first book Chariots of the Gods, which was published in 1968 after being rejected twenty times. It became a film the following year. Von D=E4niken has written 29 books, which have been translated into 32 languages.

His theory is always questioned in scientific circles but that does not bother him. =93My theory is not on a scientific level, but all sciences started that way. After all, before Darwin there was no anthropology,=94 he said.

Despite the criticism, he is convinced his theories will be taught in schools in =93ten years at the latest.=94

To find out more about his theories, visit www.evdaniken.com

Lysann Heller

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

Battle Of L.A. Anniversary

From: Terry Groff <terrygroff.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 04:44:57 -0600
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 15:14:07 -0500
Subject: Battle Of L.A. Anniversary

Listers,

I just realized that 4 days ago, Feb. 25th, 2006 was the 64th anniversary of The Battle Of L.A.

I know most of you are familiar with this event, but on the outside chance you aren't, in 1942 an unknown object traversed the Los Angeles area very slowly and since it was war time (Japan had attacked Pearl Harbor nearly 3 months earlier), it was engaged by the U.S. Military with seemingly no effect.

Frank Warren was able to obtain the original negative of the only known photograph of the event and had a print made which Bruce Maccabee subjected to a photographic analysis.

Print from the original negative obtained by Frank Warren:

http://terrygroff.com/dfwmufon/news/bola_lg.jpg

Full story by Jeff Rense:

<http://www.rense.com/ufo/battleofla.htm>

Photo Comparison by Frank Warren:

<http://www.rense.com/general27/battle.htm>

Bruce's Maccabee's photo analysis:

<http://brumac.8k.com/BATTLEOFLA/BOLA1.html>

CBS newscast - courtesy of Wendy Connors, fadeddiscs.com

<http://terrygroff.com/dfwmufon/news/bola.m3u>

If the newscast link above doesn't work for you then you can download the newscast by right-clicking the link below and selecting "Save target as" (MP3, 3:28, 1.4MB):

<http://terrygroff.com/dfwmufon/news/bola.mp3>

This is a very significant event that will more than likely never be resolved.

Terry Groff

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

George Knapp?

From: Diane Harrison <aufor.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 22:59:49 +1000
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 15:15:41 -0500
Subject: George Knapp?

Hi everyone

Would anyone have the email address of George Knapp?? Please.

Thank you in advance.

Regards

Diane Harrison

The Australian UFO Research Network
<http://www.aufor.com>

Isunsubscribe to:
http://www.isubscribe.com.au/title_info.cfm?prodID=15021

P.O Box 738
Jimboomba, 4280
Australia.

Tel/Fax 07 55487205

Report a UFO Free Call
1800 77 22 88

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

Grant Cameron Interviews Stephen Lovekin

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 15:26:54 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 15:26:54 -0500
Subject: Grant Cameron Interviews Stephen Lovekin

Source: Frank Warren's Blog

<http://frankwarren.blogspot.com/>

Wednesday, March 01, 2006

Interview: Former White House ASA Specialist Under Eisenhower
Stephen Lovekin by Grant Cameron

Grant Cameron - What do all the presidents from Roosevelt to
Dubya have in common? That would be Grant Cameron and his
highly regarded most informative web site, The Presidents UFO
Web Site.

Stephen Lovekin - This is why it comes to no surprise that
with all the recent hoopla over former Eisenhower White House
Army Signals Agency (WHASA) specialist Stephen Lovekin, that
Cameron had previously interviewed him, albeit brief, but an
informative exchange nonetheless --FW.

July 21, 2003 Interview of Stephen (Steven) Lovekin and Grant
Cameron conducted by phone.

GC: Hello. I am a researcher who runs the Presidential UFO
website, and I had a couple of short questions. I was wondering
if you could answer them? I am doing a story in connection with
Mount Weather and UFOs, and I believe you described UFOs and
Mount Weather. Can you tell me what the connection was?

Lovekin: There was no connection. The only thing is that I had
been there. I spent some time there.

GC: On one of the tapes you had something to the effect that
they were tracking them from there.

Lovekin: Well that seemed to be the case. I certainly didn't see
any evidence to that effect. There was talk about it. Yes.

GC: OK, I guess I just don't know where you got that. Someone
had told you that?

Lovekin: Someone told me that '96 yes.

GC: You were there one time?

Lovekin: I was there probably a dozen times.

GC: With Signals Intelligence you are dealing with the
President's '92s communications and stuff like that?

Lovekin: That is right.

GC: The person that I was looking at was the guy who ran Mount Weather. This would be 1965. Did you have any contact with this person named Bourassa?

Lovekin: No, I was there in 1960 and 1961.

GC: And who was the military advisor that you were under Eisenhower?

Lovekin: Ah=85gees=85Colonel=85I=92m trying to recall his name. I can=92t think of his name sorry. He was a Colonel.

GC: He was a general military advisor, or he was with one of the services?

Lovekin: He was the military advisor to the President. Colonel Schulz.

GC: Some of them had an Air Force advisor, and an Army advisor.

Lovekin: That=92s right.

GC: Do you remember the guy that was under Kennedy? Did Kennedy know what was going on?

Lovekin: He knew what was going on.

GC: So Kennedy knew.

Lovekin: Yes.

GC: Even though he was a Democrat?

Lovekin: Yes.

GC: And Eisenhower. You never heard Eisenhower. You just heard people talking about Eisenhower knowing about this? You were never there when Eisenhower was talking about it?

Lovekin: Yes I was.

GC: Oh you were. I didn=92t know that part of the story.

Lovekin: Yes.

GC: When was this? At Mount Weather or=85

Lovekin: No, this was at Camp David.

GC: And that was one occasion?

Lovekin: Probably a couple occasions.

GC: Can you tell me what the situation was? Was someone talking to him about sightings, or how did this subject come up?

Lovekin: Well he was waiting for a phone call on one occasion, and he started doodling. That was one of his favorites.

GC: I have seen some of his doodlings.

Lovekin: (Laughs) Anyway, he was doodling UFOs. Anyway, somehow or other he got to talking about UFOs in 1952 shortly before he took office.

GC: What was that?

Lovekin: Those were the sightings in Washington DC.

GC: I see, yes, over the capitol.

Lovekin: Yes. But he was talking about some other things as well. He just kind of rambled on. There wasn=92t too much that was specific, but he did discuss it. It was a very very important concern of his. I think one of the things that he was concerned about was, we were going through a serious recession back then, and the government had to let go of a lot of its control to the military industrial complex. He was much concerned about that. It just wasn=92t something that the government could tackle at that moment. Didn=92t want to tackle I guess would be a better way of putting it. But yes, he was very much into it. He believed in

them. He realized the concern. He realized the concern for the American people, and I think that he honestly wanted to do something that was positive, but somehow or other his hands were tied. I am not sure why, but I have an idea.

We would sit around with him when we were at Camp David, and he knew who each and every one of us by name. That was the great thing about being under him. I was just a sergeant at the time. I was still privy to some stuff that some people wouldn't be privy to.

GC: I'm not sure about what Signal Intelligence deals with. I know communication, but Mount Weather had direct communication links to the White House. What would you be doing for Signal Intelligence?

Lovekin: Well there were a number of places that the President would go in case of attack. Camp David was one, Fort Ritchie was another, there was a special area set aside for him and congressional leaders at Fort Ritchie, and then there was another one right by Fort Ritchie, which was known as concrete. That was the code name. Then there was another one in West Virginia at Martinsburg. Let's see. Then we know about the one in West Virginia at the Grand Hotel.

GC: What was your particular job as a Sergeant.

Lovekin: Well our job was to maintain the integrity of all the lines, to make sure they weren't tapped. We had to make sure that whatever he said was either recorded or not recorded correctly.

GC: And how many people would be in a group working around him to perform this job.

Lovekin: Probably was many as 4 or 5. Sometimes there would be more, but that was generally the case. He felt comfortable with small groups and he was a great guy. He was terrific. I would have done anything for that man. He treated everyone with the utmost respect, and I think he was basically a man of integrity. He was a man who was very honest, which is a rare commodity. He would discuss his beliefs yes.

GC: Why do you think that there is a cover-up? Why the President doesn't speak out about it? Like a lot of them say that their hands are tied. What's your gut feeling?

Lovekin: Well because so much of the authority was given away to private firms.

GC: Do you think that this was going on already in the Eisenhower administration?

Lovekin: Yes I do. When he said the main thing we have to fear is the military industrial complex, he wasn't kidding, and he had the subject matter we are talking about in mind. He was quite explicit about that.

Visit Grant's Site:

<http://www.presidentialufo.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO Updates Main Index](#)

UFO Updates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

Re: Suggested Code Of Ethics For The Investigator

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 11:02:22 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 15:30:07 -0500
Subject: Re: Suggested Code Of Ethics For The Investigator

>From: Diana Cammack <cammack.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 14:14:18 -0000
>Subject: Re: Suggested Code Of Ethics For The Investigator

>>From: Robert A. Goerman <robertgoerman.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 27 Feb 2006 20:01:52 -0500
>>Subject: Suggested Code Of Ethics For The Investigator

>>Code Of Ethics

>>The following Code Of Ethics contains standards of conduct which
>>define the basic essentials of honorable behavior for the
>>investigator:

>>An investigator will always regard responsibility to the truth
>>as paramount.

>>An investigator will conduct all investigations and allied
>>matters with integrity; be honest in all professional
>>interactions; and strive to report those deficient in character
>>or competence, or engaging in fraud or deception, to appropriate
>>entities.

>>An investigator will respect the wishes of witnesses,
>>colleagues, and professionals; and shall safeguard confidences
>>and privacy.

>>An investigator will continue to study, apply and advance
>>scientific knowledge; to observe the precepts of truth, accuracy
>>and prudence; and to make relevant information available to
>>colleagues and the public.

>>An investigator will always respect the law and legal authority.

>>(from WEIRD HAPPENS - Investigator Handbook)

>>As a researcher by profession (human rights, etc) I am not
convinced these two principles are always compatible:

>>"...and to make relevant information available to colleagues
>>and the public. An investigator will always respect the law
>>and legal authority."

>>There have been times when I have done research in countries
where the (abusive) authorities would gladly have closed me
down/kicked me out had they know what I was doing.... Is it not
the same in ufo research? Is there not sometimes a tension
between these two principles?

I have a problem with the ill-defined "truth" that investigators
are to give high regard to. Whose version of "truth" is being
referenced here? That's where the conflict with "legal
authority" can come into play, when the "truth" they hold to is
different than that of the investigator.

I would mention that NICAP had a number of processes in place to

protect witnesses and provide needed information to researchers.
The issues raised here are not new, and we seem to bring them
up on an intermittant basis.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

Re: New Fossil Find Overturns Mammal Theories -

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 11:47:15 EST
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 15:32:04 -0500
Subject: Re: New Fossil Find Overturns Mammal Theories -

>From: Nick Balaskas <Nikolaos.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 21:06:03 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
>Subject: Re: New Fossil Find Overturns Mammal Theories

>Hi Greg and David!

>The fossil evidence to-date is so little and incomplete that
>nearly every new discovery forces us to revise our views of what
>the Earth's distant past may have been like. Science is not yet
>anywhere near the stage where it can rule out anything with
>absolute certainty, including past civilizations on Earth in
>prehistoric times or that man and dinosaurs co-existed in the
>distant past (and possibly even in historic times too!).

Of course man has existed and continues to exist with dinosaurs.
We simply refer to them as "birds" now.

With regard to the original post, I fail to see how this
discovery has "Overturned Mammal Theories" as per the author's
claim. If it was a 6ft long 'beaver' like the animal that
inhabited North America at the time of human settlement, then I
would definatley agree.

-Jason

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

Secrecy News -- 03/01/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 12:10:53 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 15:34:19 -0500
Subject: Secrecy News -- 03/01/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 28
March 1, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** CHINA AND NUCLEAR SECRETS
** CRS ON EXON-FLORIO, DEFENSE TRANSFORMATION
** AIPAC COURT DENIES AMICUS STANDING TO REPORTERS COMMITTEE
** PARADE MAGAZINE ON SECRECY

CHINA AND NUCLEAR SECRETS

The intense and occasionally hyperbolic controversy that erupted in the late 1990s over alleged theft of U.S. nuclear weapons secrets by the People's Republic of China is revisited in a newly updated report from the Congressional Research Service.

See "China: Suspected Acquisition of U.S. Nuclear Weapon Secrets," updated February 1, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/nuke/RL30143.pdf>

Coincidentally, a Chinese newspaper yesterday accused the United States of relentlessly seeking to acquire Chinese nuclear secrets.

"In fact, as early as 1955, from the moment China decided to develop atomic bombs, US intelligence has been doing everything it could, with whatever means necessary, to gather relevant secret information," the newspaper article said, presumably correctly.

See "The United States Has Been Probing for China's Nuclear Intelligence by Various Methods and Whatever Means Necessary" by Yu Sung, Zhongguo Tongxun She, February 28, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/news/2006/02/zts022806.html>

CRS ON EXON-FLORIO, DEFENSE TRANSFORMATION

The Exon-Florio Act of 1988, which permits the President to block foreign takeover of certain types of U.S. companies on national security grounds, has been in the news lately in connection with the proposed acquisition of six U.S. ports by Dubai Ports World.

Some useful background on that statute is provided by the Congressional Research Service in "The Exon-Florio National Security Test for Foreign Investment," updated February 23, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/natsec/RS22197.pdf>

The substance of the Pentagon's notion of "defense transformation" and the spin surrounding it are considered in another newly-updated CRS report.

"Some observers are concerned that the Administration's regular use of the term transformation has turned the concept of transformation into an empty slogan or buzz-phrase."

"Other observers are concerned that the Administration has invoked the term transformation as an all-purpose rhetorical tool for justifying its various proposals for DOD, whether they relate to transformation or not, and for encouraging minimal debate on those proposals by tying the concept of transformation to the urgent need to fight the war on terrorism."

See "Defense Transformation: Background and Oversight Issues for Congress," updated February 17, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/natsec/RL32238.pdf>

AIPAC COURT DENIES AMICUS STANDING TO REPORTERS COMMITTEE

The judge who presides over the prosecution of two former officials of the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) for allegedly mishandling classified information has rejected a request from the Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press to present an amicus curiae ("friend of the court") brief on the profound constitutional concerns raised by the case.

"Defendants are ably and energetically represented by counsel experienced in all facets of the case, including the constitutional challenge," wrote Judge T.S. Ellis, III.

"This prosecution is not the appropriate procedural context in which various elements of society should debate the constitutional validity or wisdom of [the Espionage Act]," the Judge wrote.

See his February 27, 2006 order here:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/jud/aipac022706.pdf>

More information on the Reporters Committee view of the case may be found here:

<http://www.rcfp.org/news/releases/20051013-reportersc.html>

PARADE MAGAZINE ON SECRECY

Parade Magazine, the Sunday supplement that is inserted into newspapers all over the country, turned its attention last week from celebrity romance and dieting tips to the problem of government secrecy.

"Concerns about overclassification cut across ideological and party lines," according to Parade.

"Besides alienating Americans from their government, the result is that many debates today are little more than rhetoric and smears, because information explaining how a policy was decided isn't available."

The Parade article serves as a kind of overture to Sunshine Week, March 13-17, which is an initiative by media organizations and others to focus public attention on the defects of unchecked government secrecy (www.sunshineweek.org).

See "Are They Taking Away Our Freedoms?" by Lyric Wallwork Winik, Parade, February 26:

<http://tinyurl.com/qyp2a>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program

From: **Stanton Friedman** <fsphys.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 14:28:23 -0400
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 15:36:49 -0500
Subject: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program

Just back from a vacation and family reunion and I am told by NBC that the U.S. Discovery Channel Program about Roswell will be broadcast tomorrow night March 2 at 10PM Eastern and Pacific.

My local newspaper tells me that it is not on the schedule for Canada, between 7pm and 1am, anyway.

I know that David Rudiak and I were both interviewed, but have no other details and no idea how much time, if any, we will get... I hope it is not another Peter Jennings-type program.

Title has been changed twice and broadcast date once.

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Thu, 2 Mar 2006 11:08:16 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 15:40:09 -0500
Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 14:23:46 -0800
>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <gbourdais.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 10:47:09 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>>I am even going to give you a hand. What about a US paratrooper
>>raid on Unit 731 to abduct those prisoners? And, by the way, I
>>have also an explanation for the strange protective suits of the
>>doctors who performed the 'alien autopsy'. The handicapped
>>Chinese prisoner, killed in the White Sands crash, was
>>radioactive!

>Gildas

>Here is the complete picture about what I was told about the
>Alien Autopsy film (from hereon referred to as the AA), so as
>there can be no misinterpretation:

>Barker and Salter did not claim that the body in the AA film had
>_anything_ to do with the White Sands crash/crashes. The only
>one who claimed that was Levine, and that was based on what he
>was _told_, and he was initially told it was alien.

>At the _initial_ meeting (see pages 80-81), Levine was told that
>the AA film was of an _alien_ found in a UFO crash in New
>Mexico. But he was _later_ told by British Intelligence that
>this was strongly believed to be a lie and that the AA had more
>to do with _human_ experimentation - or was hoaxed footage to
>reinforce the UFO angle for the New Mexican events.

>But, the important thing is that if Levine was lied to re. the
>fact that the film showed an alien from New Mexico, then he
>could also have been lied to about _where_ the footage
>originated from, too.

Nick,

But he could have been told the truth: the creature was an alien
of some kind that had been recovered from a crash in New Mexico.

>Remember, we only have the cameraman's word that the body came
>from New Mexico.

There isn't a single reason to disbelieve the cameraman's
testimony or Ray's. Volker put up \$100,000 so he must have been
convinced the cameraman was being truthful about the
circumstances of the crash and autopsies. The cameraman gave
specific directions to a crash site that also matches in all
detail the two drawings he directed. The strange blue material
he described and also includes in his drawings has been analyzed
by X-Ray Diffraction and found to be Cristobalite, a mineral
usually associated with obsidian. It is never found in the large
quantities that are seen at the site and doesn't fit the
geological history of the area and it is unique to that site.

>There is no footage available showing the
>body being recovered in New Mexico. Who can say it was not
>taken at Randolph Field as Barker and Salter opined was a
>possible scenario?

There isn't a movie but there are two drawing which show the
crash site and the crashed craft and two creatures who look
exactly like the AA creatures. And do I need to say this again:
The drawings match in every detail: the terrain, the stream bed,
the little island, the mountains and assorted rock formations.
How could he invent that?

>I believe, as I state in the book, this was an attempt to try
>and deceive Levine about the true nature of the AA that he saw
>by telling him it was from a UFO crash, at New Mexico, when it
>may have had more disturbing origins.

Sometimes a crash is just a crash.

>And talking of Randolph Field: if you look at page 202 of Body
>Snatchers, you will see that both Salter and Barker claimed "no
>personal or direct knowledge" of the AA film, but they suspected
>that it might have been linked with atomic flash-blindness
>experiments that were undertaken at Randolph Field Air Force
>Base in 1947. They did not believe the film had anything to do
>(directly, at least) with White Sands.

Exactly how do the AA creatures resemble "flash blindness"
victims? And how were they able to arrange for twin "Flash
blindness" victims? And all with six fingers and six toes? Isn't
that a little beyond belief?

>Flash-blindness tests were undertaken at Randolph. I have a
>mountain of these flash-blindness files now and a number refer
>to Hubertus Strughold as being allied to flash-blindness tests
>in later years.

Do you have any photos that resemble the AA creature
or notes saying that they ran experiments on six fingered
mutant twins.

>In earlier years, files I also now have link people Strughold
>had been associated with as having worked on these experiments
>- as both Barker and Salter specifically claimed was going on.

>What is extremely interesting (and is little known) is that
>Whitley Strieber talked about having memories about being taken
>to Randolph Field as a child. He even talks about Hubertus
>Strughold and controversial human experimentation. For details,
>see this link: <http://www.unknowncountry.com/journal/?id=121>

>Perhaps we should look deeper into Randolph to determine if any
>other abductees might have been taken to Randolph as
>children.

>And if some of these other early "alien" memories of people
>taken there might, in fact, be examples of deeply buried
>memories of people seeing grisly human experimentation of a type
>that Barker and Salter thought the AA film might be tied to, at
>exactly the same place.

"Thought it might be tied to" is a little weak in my estimation.

>And when you talk about the specific Santilli AA film being
>linked with the White Sands crashes, I believe that you are
>misinterpreting what Levine said on pages 80-81 of Body
>Snatchers.

>Re-read that section and you will see that Levine talks about
>seeing an AA film. But I am confident that it is not the
>specific AA film itselfWhat

>I say that because of the reference to the film that Levine
>viewed that showed "graphic dissections of the creature's
>genitals..." This is almost certainly a reference to a second
>piece of footage that has never surfaced, but that Phil Mantle
>got to see in Santilli's office.

>This is not to be confused with the famous AA footage, nor
>is it to be confused with the notorious "Tent Footage."

I'm glad you cleared that up.

>The other footage that Phil saw does show the graphic
>dissection of the genitalia of the creature and it has never
>surfaced (although I do have some stills from it in my
>possession).

Can we see these stills so we can judge for ourselves if they
are connected to the AA.

>Santilli has said that one day this other footage might
>surface. But that it was the graphic dissection of the genitals
>that led Santilli not to release it publicly - presumably as US
>TV networks in particular might deem it too extreme for
>broadcast.

>But Phil can confirm that he got to see that extra footage. That
>footage also shows the body being moved around to significant
>degrees - which might be harder for an FX company to do.

>And my personal belief (and I admit that a belief is all it can
>be without proof) is that the film Levine watched was a copy of
>this same second footage that Phil saw, and not the specific
>AA footage that the rest of us have seen.

I don't see any proof for this belief but it isn't important.

>This would suggest that copies of this specific "extra" film may
>have been supplied to British Intelligence long before Santilli
>received them. And this, of course, opens up a whole new can of
>worms about where UK Intel might have got it from.

But how is this connected to Ray Santilli in any way. He didn't
buy the footage from British intelligence. He purchased it in
the USA from a cameraman. This cameraman showed Ray photo albums
and other records to prove that he was who he said he was. I
don't think British intelligence could put together a scam like
that and what would be the point. If Ray is working for British
intelligence then anything could be possible; but he's not and
you have no evidence that he is. So why not accept the
possibility that he's being truthful. With this one nugget of
truth you'll eventually begin see the bigger picture instead of
trying to explain away the autopsy footage, with hearsay and
convoluted arguments.

>Note, too, that Levine made no comment to the effect that the
>body that he saw had any physical damage to it. This accords
>very well with Phil Mantle's memories of the extra film he
>saw. Namely, Phil states that the body in the other film was in
>very good condition indeed, and did not have the huge leg
>wound or the severed wrist, etc.

>Now, of course, the cameraman claims that the footage all had
>the same point of origin, and that it all supposedly come from
>him and from an event in New Mexico.

Yes and you have absolutely no reason to believe otherwise.
The cameraman has given details that have been 100% correct
when investigated after the fact. He drew an accurate map.
This couldn't happen by chance. His testimony all makes sense and
there are no loose ends. It is internally consistent.

>But, if Barker and Salter were correct, then there may have been
>several things going on - flash-blindness experiments at
>Randolph and accidents out in the desert, and both may have
>been filmed.

>Or, perhaps the entire story about filmed alien bodies being
>found in the NM desert, as Levine was initially told, was a
>cover for the Randolph experiments. But I admit this last point
>is speculation and nothing more.

And perhaps it's true.

>So, regardless of what the film shows (or, more correctly, what
>the 2 films show), and to summarize what I was told so that
>there can be no room for doubt re. my perspective: Barker and
>Salter were certain that the AA footage had nothing to do with
>a UFO crash in New Mexico (or even a Unit 731 event in New
>Mexico), but came from flash-blindness experiments linked with
>atomic issues at Randolph.

But of course they offer not a scrap of proof to back their story. And it doesn't make one bit of sense. The creature in the AA isn't human, deformed or otherwise. And there are two of them and they look almost identical except for the wounds and they both have six fingers and toes. How can that be?

>However, I do concede that, in that scenario, the body in the AA >probably came from the same "batch" utilized in the NM events.

This is really beginning to sound bizarre. Batch?

>Now, as I also pointed out, there was no doubt that Levine was >not the innocent that he claimed to be and therefore who knows >what his final agenda was?

I don't think it's too hard to understand.

>Of course, Levine may have been told the "UFO crash" angle of >the AA film to reinforce that scenario and bolster that belief >and keep things away from the down to earth, flash-blindness >angle.

Sure. And he may have been told the truth. You certainly have no way of knowing.

>I admit it's a real hall of mirrors, but you need to be aware >that the primary sources of Barker and Salter made _no_ claim >linking the AA film with the White Sands events. >of mirrors", and those halls are filled with cans of worms.

It's a "hall of mirrors", and those halls are filled with cans of worms.

>Plus, with the Strieber story about him having been personally >taken to Randolph and his Strughold and his human >experimentation comments, I recommend that people do what I have >been doing - take a deeper look at Strughold, at Randolph, and >what was going on at Randolph in the post-war era.

Whatever was "going on" there has nothing to do with the AA, or Ray Santilli, or the cameraman or the crash site. If you want to understand our alien predicament, you must start by believing that the AA is footage of a real event. It's the Achilles heel of the cover-up establishment.

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 14:27:06 EST
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 15:42:04 -0500
Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Randle

>From: Michael Salla <exopolitics.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 12:24:52 -1000
>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

>>From: Robert Gates <RGates8254.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 27 Feb 2006 00:15:13 EST
>>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

>>>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 25 Feb 2006 16:58:38 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

>>>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Date: Fri, 24 Feb 2006 09:34:07 EST
>>>>Subject: Lovekin Is A General But...

>>David, Listers,

>>I have a slightly different take on the Lovekin mess. I see it
>>as an instance of Disclosure not properly documenting the
>>witnesses background and qualifications before vomiting the
>>story all over.

<snip>

>>I would also hope that just as fast as the Disclosure attack
>>Kevin Randle page went up, they would also take the time to
>>clarify that Lovekin was in fact an enlisted man, not a
>>Brigadier General when he had his White House experiences.

>I think that rather than this being a case of the Disclosure
>Project "vomiting the story all over" without clarifying that
>Lovekin was in fact an enlisted man, critics such as Kevin
>Randle plainly didn't bother to read Stephen Lovekin's testimony
>which is found in Steven Greer's book, Disclosure that clarifies
>that particular issue. Here's what Lovekin says about his rank
>during his service with the White House Army Signals Agency: "I
>was enlisted then and was the lowest thing down on the totem
>pole. (p. 231)" So how did Kevin Randle form his opinion that
>Lovekin was a young general officer, a Lieutenant, during his
>service under Eisenhower/Kennedy? Kevin Randle says that he had
>"read repeatedly" that Lovekin was an officer during his WHASA
>service. Why didn't he just take the time to read Lovekin's
>testimony and get the answer right there rather than speculate
>from second hand stories?

Here is one source that moved him from lieutenant to major:

Steven Greer's , Extraterrestrial Contact, Granite Publishing
LLC 1999 pg. 357 as "Witness K." Greer describes "Witness K" as:

"Major SL, a respected lawyer who was an Army cryptographer in
the Pentagon from 1959-61. He he was shown samples of debris
from the crash of an ET craft in New Mexico in the late 1940's,
and it was demonstrated to him the extraordinary properties of

the material. He saw the ET writing on the debris and was told it was being studied by Army cryptographers, but they had not (in 1959) broken the code. He also had confirmed to him then President Eisenhower's interest in the subject, that he knew of the subject, but that he was being left out of substantial information dealing with ET technology programs. Major SL had a top-secret clearance and continues to possess a top secret clearance as an Army reserve today."

You also might want to ask Dave Rudiak about Lovekin being a lieutenant. He has now updated his web site making it clear that Lovekin was a low ranking enlisted man.

>I think this issue of his alleged rank while in WHASA is really
>just a case of mistaken inferences and speculations by Kevin
>Randle who didn't bother to read the actual testimony of
>whistleblowers such as Lovekin and attribute to him things that
>others might 'mistakenly' have attributed. I think creating such
>'red herrings' such as Lovekin being a young officer under
>Eisenhower serves to distract us all as we try to get the facts
>and details right. Let's make the documented testimonies of
>whistleblowers the focus of analysis rather than second hand
>versions of what the whistleblower said.

Yes, let's do just that. Please tell me which documented testimonies you believe to be accurate so that we can all work from the same music. I mean, I apparently had the wrong Greer book...

But I want to say one thing here, right now. It looks as if all this confusion can be laid at the feet of the Disclosure Project. The changing ranks of Steven Lovekin seem to come out of that arena and I would be surprised if the mistake about the National Guard Reserve didn't come from the same place. So, to Steven Lovekin, I sincerely apologize because it is beginning to look as if you were quite careful in what you said. It was all of the rest of us, Greer and me, who took it and spun it out of control.

KRandle

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

Re: George Knapp? - Kelly

From: **Jim Kelly** <Azredant.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 15:26:00 EST
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 15:44:01 -0500
Subject: Re: George Knapp? - Kelly

>From: Diane Harrison <aufor.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 22:59:49 +1000
>Subject: George Knapp?

>Hi everyone

>Would anyone have the email address of George Knapp?? Please.

You can reach George at:

george.nul

I just spoke to him a couple of weeks ago

Jim Kelly

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

Re: George Knapp? - Santa

From: **Scott Santa** <ssanta.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 15:26:43 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 15:45:52 -0500
Subject: Re: George Knapp? - Santa

>From: Diane Harrison <aufor.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 22:59:49 +1000
>Subject: George Knapp?

>Hi everyone

>Would anyone have the email address of George Knapp?? Please.

Gknapp.nul

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw? - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 20:39:49 +0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 15:48:01 -0500
Subject: Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw? - Hall

>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>To: <ufupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 14:40:49 +1000
>Subject: Photos Of What Arnold Saw?

>List

>Has anyone on the List seen the photos mentioned in the below
>article. It seems Arnold said the pics were exactly the same as
>the objects he saw.

>The article is from this website

><http://www.gtm.net/~geibdan/arnold.html>

>"... then on July 5th Arnold and the Colonel were at Boeing
>Field, Seattle, when they heard about Captain E.J.Smith and his
>co-pilot who had made a spectacular sighting the day before. In
>the papers that day there was also a photograph taken by a Coast
>Guard Yeoman of a flying object virtually identical to the ones
>Arnold had reported. It had been taken in the Seattle area.
>Arnold went to the offices of the newspaper to see the original
>of the photo and there met Captain Smith and his co-pilot. They
>hit it off well.

>The photos must have been pure dynamite because on the 10th of
>July a nationwide ban would be said to have been placed on them.
>These photos are reproduced in Arnold's book but the country at
>large never got to see the Coast Guardsman's flying saucer,
>which was identical to eight of those Arnold had seen."

>Does anyone know anything about this or have seen the pics?

>Regards

>William

I don't see the photos on the link you provided. However,
looking at this guy's web site doesn't exactly inspire
confidence in him as a source. Wild speculation, unscholarly
writing, you name it.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 15:12:40 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 16:45:31 -0500
Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>From: Ed Gehrman <egerman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 2 Mar 2006 11:08:16 -0800
>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 14:23:46 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

<snip>

>Whatever was "going on" there has nothing to do with the AA, or
>Ray Santilli, or the cameraman or the crash site. If you want to
>understand our alien predicament, you must start by believing
>that the AA is footage of a real event. It's the Achilles heel
>of the cover-up establishment.

Ed

As with Gildas Bourdais, we are going to have to differ on opinions re. what the AA film shows or doesn't show. But I do want to clarify something, as it seems you have misinterpreted what I said and meant.

I do not think that Santilli was involved in this at all beyond getting the film from the elderly guy. Even if it was a hoax, I do not think Santilli was a part of that.

I think Santilli had no role in the footage beyond securing it and putting it out there for people to see. So I think he's a shrewd businessman but an innocent party in the filming of the footage, and totally unconnected to that.

Here's the important thing: if the claims of the various other people who said they saw the footage years ago are correct, then quite clearly the cameraman's story about him having the original film cannot be true. Have you ever thought of that?

If he held on to the film and no one saw it before Santilli got it, then that means all the people who made claims of seeing it pre-Santilli are wrong. How could they have seen it if this elderly guy held on to it until the 1990s?

If they are right, however, then the cameraman's story is wrong and he isn't the only one with the footage. This suggests there were copies made, contrary to what the cameraman claimed.

And that's what I think happened with Levine. That Santilli had absolutely nothing at all to do with this, but that British Intelligence had acquired a copy of AA film footage that the cameraman and Santilli also had a copy of.

But that while Santilli's source was his cameraman, British Intelligence secured their copy from someone else - perhaps even the same people who had the copies that other people claimed to have seen years before Santilli surfaced.

So, no, Santilli was not involved with British Intelligence, nor do I think he had any role in the Levine saga. But I do think this is indicative of the fact that if other copies of the film were in circulation, then the cameraman is not telling the complete story of the footage.

I am sending the images from the other autopsy film to your personal email account.

Nick R.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 1](#)

Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 16:24:19 EST
Fwd Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 16:47:13 -0500
Subject: Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program - Gammon

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 14:28:23 -0400
>Subject: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program

>Just back from a vacation and family reunion and I am told by
>NBC that the U.S. Discovery Channel Program about Roswell will
>be broadcast tomorrow night March 2 at 10PM Eastern and Pacific.

>My local newspaper tells me that it is not on the schedule for
>Canada, between 7pm and 1am, anyway.

>I know that David Rudiak and I were both interviewed, but have
>no other details and no idea how much time, if any, we will
>get... I hope it is not another Peter Jennings-type program.

>Title has been changed twice and broadcast date once.

6pm CST Crop Circles: Mystery in the Fields

7pm CST UFO's Over Illinois

8pm CST World's Strangest UFO Stories: The Great Alien Conspiracy

9pm CST Conspiracy Theories: Roswell

10pm CST Sasquatch: Legend Meets Science

Looks like quite a night of interesting material!

- Jason

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 2](#)

Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 13:40:32 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 08:03:47 -0500
Subject: Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program - Rudiak

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 14:28:23 -0400
>Subject: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program

>Just back from a vacation and family reunion and I am told by
>NBC that the U.S. Discovery Channel Program about Roswell will
>be broadcast tomorrow night March 2 at 10PM Eastern and Pacific.

>My local newspaper tells me that it is not on the schedule for
>Canada, between 7pm and 1am, anyway.

>I know that David Rudiak and I were both interviewed, but have
>no other details and no idea how much time, if any, we will
>get... I hope it is not another Peter Jennings-type program.

>Title has been changed twice and broadcast date once.

If Stan hadn't told us the show was on tomorrow night I wouldn't have known. I was interviewed in early January, primarily on the Ramey memo, but haven't heard a peep from the producers since (except to ask me once the origins and authenticity of the 1947 ABC news radio broadcast I also have on my website.)

I'm as in the dark as anyone what form the program might take. They did deliberately photograph me in harsh, shadowy lighting, which they claimed was merely the look and feel of the series in general (on "conspiracies") and which they also claimed was how everybody else was filmed. I hope that's all it was, but my fear, of course, is that maybe it's a way to literally shade how the audience might view us, as conspiratorial nutcases. On the other hand, the interviewer privately told me that she agreed that words like "victims" and "disc" could be seen in the Ramey memo and that made her rethink her original negative opinion about the Roswell case.

I've had one previous bad experience with the Discovery Channel and I hope this isn't a repeat. That was an "Unsolved Histories" episode on UFOs and Roswell which turned into pure, one-sided debunkery. I was again interviewed on the Ramey memo. This was cut out of the final program because I refused to sign a release saying they could depict me or edit the interview in any way they chose. As it turned out, my reservations were well-founded, because there was zero attempt at any balance.

Side point: By coincidence Kevin Randle just reviewed this Unsolved Histories show on his blog:

<http://kevinrandle.blogspot.com/>

Although I currently have a bitter disagreement with him over his previous blog on Stephen Lovekin, I agree 100% with his comments on this show. As an example of how inaccurate they were with their facts, they claimed Kenneth Arnold probably saw a reflection off his plane's windshield. If they knew anything they would have known Arnold reported checking this out himself by opening his pilot-side window - the objects were still there. Hmmmmm. Must have been bright reflections off pelican wings instead.

David Rudiak

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 2](#)

Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw? - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 13:44:26 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 08:11:38 -0500
Subject: Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw? - Rudiak

>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>To: <ufupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 14:40:49 +1000
>Subject: Photos Of What Arnold Saw?

>List

>Has anyone on the List seen the photos mentioned in the below
>article. It seems Arnold said the pics were exactly the same as
>the objects he saw.

>The article is from this website

><http://www.gtm.net/~geibdan/arnold.html>

>"... then on July 5th Arnold and the Colonel were at Boeing
>Field, Seattle, when they heard about Captain E.J.Smith and his
>co-pilot who had made a spectacular sighting the day before. In
>the papers that day there was also a photograph taken by a Coast
>Guard Yeoman of a flying object virtually identical to the ones
>Arnold had reported. It had been taken in the Seattle area.
>Arnold went to the offices of the newspaper to see the original
>of the photo and there met Captain Smith and his co-pilot. They
>hit it off well.

>The photos must have been pure dynamite because on the 10th of
>July a nationwide ban would be said to have been placed on them.
>These photos are reproduced in Arnold's book but the country at
>large never got to see the Coast Guardsman's flying saucer,
>which was identical to eight of those Arnold had seen."

>Does anyone know anything about this or have seen the pics?

All I've seen are the low quality newspaper versions on
microfilm from that time period. The enlargements show
something like an oval with maybe a "bite" out of one end. But
it's hard to make out anything definitive. I don't know what
happened to the original negative.

The William Rhodes' photos of an object over Phoenix on July 7
are clearer:

http://www.roswellproof.com/Rhodes_Phoenix.html

There's a whole story of how all the prints and Rhode's
negatives were confiscated by military intelligence later that
month.

A photo of 8 fast-moving objects was snapped over Tulsa on July
12 and printed the next day in the Tulsa Daily World:

http://www.roswellproof.com/Tulsa_photo.html

The photographer, Enlo Gilmore, was variously quoted saying the
objects were not round but somewhat oval in shape, similar to
the shape of a catcher's baseball mitt, or else saying they
looked more like flying wings. Gilmore then went on to say that
he had made enlargements that confirmed the flying wing shape.
Furthermore, as in the Rhode's photos, Gilmore said the blow-ups

showed that one of the objects had what appeared to be a hole in the center. For more on how the newspapers reported this story see July 12 at:

http://www.roswellproof.com/Texas_UFO_Reports.html

I tried to find Gilmore about 2 years ago to see if maybe he still had the negative or some of the prints, but according to a Net search he had died a few years before. I also couldn't find any leads on any relatives. Maybe somebody else will be more successful.

On June 27, 1950, a photographer for the Louisville Times, Al Hixenbaugh, made a 100 second movie of a UFO hanging over Louisville. A few frames from the movie made it into the Louisville Times the next day. What was striking was the shape was exactly the way Kenneth Arnold had drawn the shape when he wrote a letter to AAF intelligence back on July 12, 1947. For a side-by-side comparison of the Arnold drawing and the Louisville and Phoenix objects (plus artists' conceptions of what the Roswell craft supposedly looked like, see:

<http://www.roswellproof.com/roswellsummary.html>

The newspaper reported that AF intelligence was interested in examining the film. You'll also find the FBI UFO files carrying the story, plus mentioning the AF was interested. More interestingly, if you go over to the new Project Blue Book website, there are several documents there from the heads of AF intelligence and counterintelligence expressing a keen interest in the film, and discussing how they might approach Hixenbaugh so as to disguise their interest.

I actually phoned Hixenbaugh some years back and he was a super reluctant witness. He didn't want to talk about it or anything else, claiming he didn't remember anything, including what happened to the film. After about half an hour of this, I got him to admit he still had the film in his possession when he finally did meet with military intelligence, and that's all he would say. I strongly suspect that this film too got confiscated and they put the fear of God into the guy, since he was so reluctant to talk about the episode even 50 years later. But that's pure speculation on my part.

David Rudiak

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 2](#)

Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Wed, 01 Mar 2006 23:31:08 +0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 08:15:02 -0500
Subject: Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program - Hall

>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 16:24:19 EST
>Subject: Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program

>>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 14:28:23 -0400
>>Subject: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program

>>Just back from a vacation and family reunion and I am told by
>>NBC that the U.S. Discovery Channel Program about Roswell will
>>be broadcast tomorrow night March 2 at 10PM Eastern and Pacific.

>>My local newspaper tells me that it is not on the schedule for
>>Canada, between 7pm and 1am, anyway.

>>I know that David Rudiak and I were both interviewed, but have
>>no other details and no idea how much time, if any, we will
>>get... I hope it is not another Peter Jennings-type program.

>>Title has been changed twice and broadcast date once.

>6pm CST Crop Circles: Mystery in the Fields

>7pm CST UFO's Over Illinois

>8pm CST World's Strangest UFO Stories: The Great Alien Conspiracy

>9pm CST Conspiracy Theories: Roswell

>10pm CST Sasquatch: Legend Meets Science

>Looks like quite a night of interesting material!

>- Jason

Or more likely worthless crap, which is the 95% record of the
Discovery Channel. Don't hold your breath waiting for
responsible, accurate reporting and open-minded interviews. I
think a well-done program on Roswell would be a good thing, but
I am not naive enough to believe that it will come out that way.

If it doesn't buy the Mogul, crash-test dummy line it most
likely will go to the other extreme of gullibility about badly
flawed witnesses and capitalizing on sensationalism. And that
does no one any good; it only reinforces the skeptics' "believer
syndrome."

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 2](#)

Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 21:51:56 EST
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 08:25:50 -0500
Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Randle

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 11:33:10 -0800
>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

>>From: Robert Gates <RGates8254.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 27 Feb 2006 00:15:13 EST
>>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

>>>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 25 Feb 2006 16:58:38 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

>>>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Date: Fri, 24 Feb 2006 09:34:07 EST
>>>>Subject: Lovekin Is A General But...

First, I read the information that Frank Warren posted to his blog and that linked to a Grant Cameron blog. Cameron posted his 2002 interview with Lovekin and I have to say, Lovekin sounded reasonable in it. None of the wild ass claims that have surfaced in other locations. Lovekin made it clear that he had been an enlisted man at the time he had overheard some of what Eisenhower said - or allegedly said. I'm very sorry that Lovekin was dragged into this because it seems that the mistakes about who he is and what he said are those that came from the Disclosure Project and many others, and mine for assuming that they would get that much right.

However, there are some quotes out there attributed to Lovekin that are wild ass"

>>I have a slightly different take on the Lovekin mess. I see it >>as an instance of Disclosure not properly documenting the >>witnesses background and qualifications before vomiting the >>story all over.

>>Previously Disclosure have refered to him as "Brigadier General >>Steven Lovekin: Army National Guard Reserve" which would suggest >>that he was a Army officer, or National Guard Officer when he >>served in the White House.

>>Likewise in a Disclosure campaign letter we read:
>>"Brigadier General Stephen Lovekin, served under President >>Eisenhower in 1959 and then later under President Kennedy. He >>held an above top-secret clearance, and states he was once shown >>a piece of metallic extraterrestrial debris taken from the 1947 >>Roswell crash. Lovekin also states that Eisenhower expressed >>that he had lost oversight of the UFO situation to the military >>industrial complex." see:
>><http://www.disclosureproject.org/campaign.htm>

>>The way Disclosure "touts" Lovekin, you would reasonably get the >>impression (false as it turns out) that Lovekin was a Brigadier >>General serving under Presidents Eisenhower and Kennedy.

>>If they would have said that Lovekin was an enlisted man in the
>>Eisenhower and Kennedy White House Signal Corp, the story would
>>have been more accurate. Instead they chose to refer to him as
>>part of his NC State rank of Brigadier General. Personally I
>>have never seen where Lovekin himself claimed to be a Brigadier
>>General in the White House, so I suspect that this is screw up
>>on the part of Disclosure.

>Yes, but why should Lovekin himself be attacked for how he was
>presented by the Disclosure Project? That makes no sense and is
>just plain wrong. Further, the Disclosure Project is not
>responsible for how Kevin mishandled his investigation into
>Lovekin and for the many erroneous and damaging things he ended
>up saying about him.

Let's see if we can get to the bottom of this. We're told that a
brigadier general has given information. He is listed as a
general in the National Guard Reserve. Here is where the State
Guard Association of the United States is to blame because they
describe themselves as a reserve for the National Guard. Of
course, it is a role they have taken for themselves and in many
cases they have no official standing. The national web site says
they are a membership organization and, at least one state site
says they are not a military organization. They wrap themselves
in a military status, but it is one they have taken for
themselves. As far as I can tell, neither Lovekin nor Disclosure
is responsible for the confusion.

And while there are those State Guards that do bill themselves
as official, very few are. They are seen as a volunteer manpower
pool that can be tapped in time of emergency, and while they
claim to be a reserve for the National Guard, few states view
them as such.

>>I would hope that Disclosure would be willing to spend time and
>>money documenting all of their witnesses background, similar to
>>what they recently did with Lovekin and all the documents
>>supporting his story rather than waiting until the bomb goes off
>>so to speak..

>>I would also hope that just as fast as the Disclosure attack
>>Kevin Randle page went up, they would also take the time to
>>clarify that Lovekin was in fact an enlisted man, not a
>>Brigadier General when he had his White House experiences.

>The problem as I see it is Kevin Randle went well beyond
>questioning how the Disclosure Project presented Lovekin, which
>would have left him on more solid ground. Instead he badly
>blurred the distinction between what was said about Lovekin and
>then used it to smear Lovekin himself.

Many of the sites were 'quoting' what Lovekin had said about
what he had done or heard. I suspect now that many of those
quotes were inaccurate, which again, is not the fault of
Lovekin. But it is also true that some of the quotes are
unbelievable.

>Read his original January 5 blog, and it is pretty clear he was
>calling the man a liar. For example, his concluding statement
>was, "There is no corroboration of his many claims of military
>service as a high-ranking officer, no verification of his
>positions in the White House and little reason to believe he was
>witness to the things he claims." That's saying the man was a
>fraud.

At that time I had little reason to believe his story, as it had
been reported in so many other places. Reading what Cameron
wrote, all in quote marks, the tale told is not quite as
spectacular and there is little reason to not believe those
remarks. Of course, we can ask where all that other stuff came
from and if Lovekin is responsible for any of it (see below).

Of course, going beyond Cameron, we move right back into the
unbelievable, and many of the statements are in quotation marks.
So, whose fault is it?

>While Kevin made some valid points, what I find particularly
>troubling is how he distorted and misstated the available
>evidence to help make his case. E.g., his sloppy wording made
>it sound that Lovekin himself said he had a position of high
>importance in the White House, serving "directly under"
>Eisenhower and later Kennedy and even "once held the ear of the

>President." Lovekin, of course, never said any such thing. Not
>even the Disclosure Project worded it that way. E.g., they said
>Lovekin served under Eisenhower and Kennedy, not "directly
>under." Kevin's altered wording implied Lovekin had said had a
>close working relationship with them. And, to my knowledge, the
>Disclosure Project never said or implied Lovekin literally had
>the ear of the President. That seems to be strictly Kevin's
>invention.

No, it is not what Lovekin said, but it was something that had
been implied. I'm not so sure that the Disclosure Projects are
so clean at this point.

>(What Lovekin actually said is that Eisenhower would sometimes
>talk and confide to the Army Signal Corps guys at Camp David
>because he felt comfortable with them as being fellow Army.
>That's a far cry from Lovekin or anybody else saying he had the
>ear of the President.)

>He also strongly insinuated that Lovekin was never in the Army
>or the White House, making one flimsy argument that Lovekin made
>no such mention of military service or rank in his website
>lawyer resume (which is all of 8 lines long, summarizing his
>education, legal credentials, and type of practice for
>prospective clients). Well, why should he?

No, I strongly insinuated that he had not been an officer in the
Army at the time these events took place. I tried to make it
clear that there were cracks to slip through, but as more and
more sources failed to find Lovekin as an officer, let alone a
general, it was more and more likely that he had been neither.

>Much, much worse, he took that same 8-line lawyer resume, which
>he claimed he carefully read, and then used the wrong date for
>Lovekin's law degree (given clearly as 1967), substituting the
>date of Lovekin's undergraduate degree (1964), thus falsely
>compressing the time scale 3 years. This then formed the basis
>for one of his impossible "timing" arguments. Lovekin couldn't
>have been born in 1940, then gotten his undergraduate degree and
>law degree by 1964 (instead of 1967), and also served in the
>Army and White House from 1959-1961. Yes, that would have been a
>bit much, wouldn't it? It sounds like a reasonable argument
>unless you doublecheck the actual date of Lovekin's law degree
>and realize Kevin used the wrong date. There has been no
>explanation for how Kevin could have screwed this up so badly.

I don't know how I missed that either" but on the other side of
the coin, I was also working from the assumption that Lovekin
had been an officer, which he was not. That changed the time
line. And where would I get the idea that Lovekin had been an
officer at that time?

From Steven Greer. In his book Extraterrestrial Contact, Granite
Publishing LLC 1999 pg. 357 Greer describes "Witness K" as:

"Major SL, a respected lawyer who was an Army cryptographer in
the Pentagon from 1959-61. He was shown samples of debris from
the crash of an ET craft in New Mexico in the late 1940's, and
it was demonstrated to him the extraordinary properties of the
material. He saw the ET writing on the debris and was told it
was being studied by Army cryptographers, but they had not (in
1959) broken the code. He also had confirmed to him then
President Eisenhower's interest in the subject, that he knew of
the subject, but that he was being left out of substantial
information dealing with ET technology programs. Major SL had a
top-secret clearance and continues to possess a top secret
clearance as an Army reserve today."

And this from:

<http://www.angelfire.com/nc/HUMMINGBIRD1/star/star.html>

That Eisenhower felt this way is evidenced in reports by one of
the military officers who directly served under Eisenhower,
Brigadier-General Stephen Lovekin who wrote: But what happened
was that Eisenhower got sold out. It would have been impossible
for Lovekin to get to major in the time.

Which also suggests that Lovekin was a brigadier general when he was
working at the White House.

And this:

http://www.planttrees.org/events.php?event=1.8_Secret%20And%20Covert%20Ops

Consider what some of these top secret "whistle-blower" witnesses have said about this secrecy and the fear it engenders: Brigadier General Stephen Lovekin: Army National Guard Reserves. As a young army officer he worked with President Eisenhower in the last years of his presidency. But what happened was that Eisenhower got sold out. Without him knowing it, he lost control of what was going on with the entire UFO situation. In his last address to the nation, I think he was telling us that the military industrial complex would stick (sic) you in the back if you were not totally vigilant. And, I think that he felt like he had not been vigilant. I think he felt like he trusted too many people. And Eisenhower was a trusting man. And I think that he realised that all of a sudden this matter is going into the control of corporations that could very well act to the detriment of this country. This frustration, from what I can remember, went on for months. He realised that he was losing control of the UFO subject. As far as I can remember, that was the expression that was used, "It is not going to be in the best hands." That was a real concern. And so it has turned out to be ... "It had been discussed with me on numerous occasions what could happen to me militarily if I discussed this. I would say that the government has done as good a job enforcing secrecy through the installation of abject fear as they have done with anything within the memory of modern man." One older officer discussed with me what possibly could happen if there was a revelation. He was talking about being erased and I said, "Man, what do you mean erased?" And, he said, "Yes, you will be erased - disappear." And I said, "How do you know all this?" And he said, "I know." Those threats have been made and carried out. Those threats started way back in 1947. The Army [and the] Air Force was given absolute control over how to handle this. This being the biggest security situation that this country has ever dealt with and there have been some erasures " I don't care what kind of a person you are. I don't care how strong or courageous you are. It would be a very fearful situation because from what Matt [this older officer] said, "They will go after not only you. They will go after your family." Those were his words. And, so I can only say that the reason that they have managed to keep it under wraps for so long is through fear. They are very selective about how they pull someone out to make an example of. And I know that that has been done.

Or another example" David Rudiak wrote, "_Perhaps_ the following happened. _Maybe_ Lovekin left the service as an NCO but attended OCS in college and became a 1st lieutenant in the Army Reserve. Thus maybe his final Army grade was lieutenant, but not when he was on active duty or when serving at the White House. It could be something like that that got lost in translation when the Disclosure Project got a hold of it."

So, from all of that, it sounds like Lovekin had the ear of the President, that he traveled around with him and engaged in long conversations with him. And it seems that some of this has come from Lovekin...

>Since then, Lovekin has presented documentation indeed placing
>him in the White House from 1959-1961, all of Kevin's flimsy and
>misleading arguments notwithstanding. Trying to duck this,
>Kevin is now trying to argue that he was merely trying to
>establish it was unlikely that Lovekin was an officer at the
>time, another Disclosure Project claim. But again, it went well
>beyond this to insinuating that Lovekin was never at the White
>House and was a liar for saying so plus a liar for saying he was
>a witness to certain things while serving there. (Kevin is now
>denying it, but go reread his concluding statement where he says
>exactly this.)

He certainly was there at the time but not in the capacity that has been alleged (See above). And I have serious doubts that this discussion about people disappearing is accurate... and although it is wrapped in quotation marks and is alleged to have come from Lovekin, it is possible that Lovekin was explaining what he had heard from other sources.

>I'm sorry, but I don't buy this blame-game argument that this is
>all the Disclosure Project's fault. There was nothing wrong with

>criticizing the Disclosure Project for how they presented
>Lovekin. There was nothing wrong with Kevin trying to vet
>Lovekin, and some good has come from it in finally documenting
>some of Lovekin's credentials. But Kevin did an incomplete
>investigation, went well beyond what little evidence he had at
>the time, distorted some of the available evidence, jumped to
>conclusions, and then clearly insinuated the man fabricated his
>entire story. It was irresponsible and unprofessional. He needs
>to apologize and quick.

You know, I feel bad for Lovekin especially since he has been so ill recently, but there is so much information on the Internet about him, and his rank and conversations with the president that it is difficult to believe it all.

Not to mention that Lovekin claims to have seen a piece of the Roswell debris, as noted at www.wanttoknow.info/ufocover-up10pg
There it says:

US Army, Brigadier General Stephen Lovekin

(Please note that it says nothing about National Guard Reserve or any other qualifying statement but puts Lovekin into the active duty Army, and no, I don't blame Lovekin for the mistake - clearly it is the responsibility of the web site host)

One afternoon when we were just about ready to finish up training, Colonel Holomon brought out a piece of what appeared to be metallic debris. He went on to explain that this was material that had come from a New Mexico crash in 1947 of an extraterrestrial craft, and that was discussed at length. I got an opportunity to travel with the President [Eisenhower]. He was very, very interested in the shapes and sizes of the UFOs and what made them go".But what happened was that Eisenhower got sold out. He realized that he was losing control of the UFO subject. He realized that the phenomenon was not going to be in the best hands. That was a real concern. It had been discussed with me on numerous occasions what could happen to me militarily if I discussed this. Threats have been made and carried out. This [UFOs] being the biggest security situation that this country has ever dealt with, there have been some erasures.He said, "You will be erased - disappear." Those threats have been made and carried out. Those threats started way back in 1947. They will go after not only you, they will go after your family.

This looks to me like a Lovekin statement that is implying a relationship with the president and threats to those talking about UFOs. This is the part of the testimony I simply don't believe (and interpret that how you will) and it appears to be a quote from Lovekin himself.

I also notice in the Cameron interview that Lovekin does not actually say Ike said anything on captured aliens or recovered spacecraft, just doodled saucers on a notepad and commented on the 1952 Wash National incidents. It is Lovekin who injects all sorts of speculation and mind-reading about what Ike was thinking about on UFOs.

Lovekin apparently doesn't know the difference between Signals "Intelligence" (NSA type intercepts and codebreaking) and Signal Corps communications because Cameron repeatedly pushed that erroneous term on him and Lovekin kept confirming it.

So, where are we now? Well, he is a general, but in some volunteer group without official standing. He wasn't an officer in the White House, but a low ranking enlisted man (which he pointed out in the Cameron interview) who might have overheard something from the president (or seen it doodled on a pad) about UFOs, but this is where we part company. While I believe he might have heard or seen something, (or read something into the doodles) these later stories of seeing some of the Roswell debris and talks of threats don't make sense.

KRandle

PS: This has become slightly schizophrenic, but only because there is now so much stuff filtered through so many others that it's a little difficult to tell where Lovekin leaves off and the speculation begins...

And for those who wish to respond... please hurry because I'm about to return to the Middle East and will again have to bow out of these discussions.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 2](#)

Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

From: Gildas Bourdais <gbourdais.nul>
Date: Thu, 2 Mar 2006 10:48:43 +0100
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 09:59:33 -0500
Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 14:23:46 -0800
>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <gbourdais.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 10:47:09 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>>If you want to cling to your impossible tale of handicapped
>>Chinese prisoners being abducted in Manchuria by American
>>troops, when everyone knows that they had been all killed, that
>>there were no handicapped prisoners used for the bio warfare
>>tests, in order to get valid results, and that the Russians took
>>over the country in less than two weeks, then the discussion
>>stops here and I am not going to go into another round of
>>labyrinthic arguments.

>>I am even going to give you a hand. What about a US paratrooper
>>raid on Unit 731 to abduct those prisoners? And, by the way, I
>>have also an explanation for the strange protective suits of the
>>doctors who performed the 'alien autopsy'. The handicapped
>>Chinese prisoner, killed in the White Sands crash, was radioactive!

>Here is the complete picture about what I was told about the
>Alien Autopsy film (from hereon referred to as the AA), so as
>there can be no misinterpretation:

<snip>

>And talking of Randolph Field: if you look at page 202 of Body
>Snatchers, you will see that both Salter and Barker claimed "no
>personal or direct knowledge" of the AA film, but they
>suspected
>that it might have been linked with atomic flash-blindness
>experiments that were undertaken at Randolph Field Air Force
>Base in 1947. They did not believe the film had anything to do
>(directly, at least) with White Sands.

>Flash-blindness tests were undertaken at Randolph. I have a
>mountain of these flash-blindness files now and a number refer
>to Hubertus Strughold as being allied to flash-blindness tests
>in later years.

Nick,

So, you seem now to distance yourself somewhat from the White
Sands tests story, and to prefer another one, of atomic flash-
blindness experiments at Randolph Field Air Force Base in Texas,
in 1947, which appears at the end of your book. But then the AA
film would still show a handicapped human, according to you and
your informers Levine, Barker and Salter.

Again, it does not make sense.

The body could not be human, even if heavily deformed
genetically (especially for two bodies in two separate films:

how could they have exactly the same spectacular defects?): big head and anomalous brain, giant eyes, six fingers, strange organs in the belly and, last but not least, no belly button! Were they cloned in a mad laboratory?

And you add to the weird story of protection against atomic flash with _black_eye-lenses_ (p 202). Again, why an autopsy with full protective suit? And why reveal to you a story supposedly highly secret, etc.

Your second version is just as impossible as the first one.

I don't know if the AA film showed an alien body, but I know it was not a human body.

Gildas

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 2](#)

Lovekin & Kevin Randle

From: Steve Owens <p944dc.nul>
Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 09:24:36 -0600
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 11:20:04 -0500
Subject: Lovekin & Kevin Randle

We have witnessed perhaps the best evidence of why Ufology will never make the mainstream in the latest Lovekin drama.

Someone, perhaps Disclosure, over-stated Lovekin's credentials and used terms readily recognized as fictitious. Kevin Randle saw the red flags and went investigating. What he found was at odds with what Disclosure had stated.

Why are so many here castigating Randle? He did the proper thing, which is making sure the claims were correct.

Here is a comparison to contemplate. Say some 'investigator' decides I have interesting information since;

A) I once held the rank of Major

and

B) I once worked around White Sands and other sensitive installations around southern New Mexico and West Texas. Perhaps they further state that I later became a Constable.

Then they give you my opinions regarding UFOs, what the military does at certain locations, and other juicy things.

They have left out the fact that I held the rank of Major in a private security company and my related experience was limited to that and living in the New Mexico/West Texas area and that I was a Constable in Mobile, Alabama. None of that gives me any particular insight or ability to possess special knowledge about UFO and military involvement, but the former description makes it sound as if I did, just as the Disclosure blurb did with Lovekin.

Randle should be credited for making Disclosure's mistake public knowledge so that we can move forward and evaluate what Lovekin has to say in the proper context, not vilified for pointing out the mistake. Perhaps if Disclosure would stop releasing misleading 'information' and start vetting their 'witnesses' properly this would not be an issue.

Another thing; if the above investigator had published misleading statements about me as Disclosure did Lovekin, I would have been doing everything possible to contact Disclosure and others to make sure the mistake was corrected. Did Lovekin?

--

Steve in Tennessee

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 2](#)

Roswell Legend Makes Comic Book/Animation Success

From: **Greg Boone** <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Thu, 2 Mar 2006 09:59:35 EST
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 11:23:32 -0500
Subject: Roswell Legend Makes Comic Book/Animation Success

I can't believe the success a friend of mine is having with a comic book project he started.

He wrote the story like 20 years ago and now it's in both comic book format and animation and soon to be a film.

It's relevance is that the story starts during the Roswell incident when an alien battlesuit is found in the wreckage and years later ends up in the hands of a teenager who dons the suit and becomes a weapon for justice.

John Taddeo is the creative force behind this project and he is one enterprising young man. I worked with John when he was marketing guru at Marvel Comics and Tekno Comics.

At Tekno Comics we had such legendary luminaries as Mickey Spillane, Neil Gaiman - who illustrated a 3-issue series of his there - John Jakes, Gene Rodenberry, and even Leonard Nimoy. We had fun and John Taddeo made sure the books got marketed and promoted.

John's site, www.superverse.com details this new twist on the Roswell story and you can read about it there. We're just so bowled over with his success and very proud. I'm egging him on to ask you Roswell experts to make appearances in the books. John's a wealthy self-made man and donates much money to charities. It's good to see a good guy win nowadays.

He's also producing his own films and finances other film projects. Busy man with a mission I'd say!

It's fun to see Ufology in some semblance or another in the minds of today's creative youths.

I'm making sure John visits the Ufology websites that showcase most of you on this list.

I'm also happy with John because he bought the entire rights to the former Acclaim Interactive/Valiant comic book line that I worked for. Here in Hollywood they're all over comic book stuff and I wrote and illustrated several books there that now I know are in good hands.

Maybe one of these days we can get Stanton Friedman to write a prestige format Roswell graphic novel ;)

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 2](#)

Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw? - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 16:32:05 +0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 11:39:46 -0500
Subject: Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw? - Hall

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 13:44:26 -0800
>Subject: Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw?

>>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 14:40:49 +1000
>>Subject: Photos Of What Arnold Saw?

>>List

>>Has anyone on the List seen the photos mentioned in the below
>>article. It seems Arnold said the pics were exactly the same as
>>the objects he saw.

>>The article is from this website

>><http://www.qtm.net/~geibdan/arnold.html>

>>"... then on July 5th Arnold and the Colonel were at Boeing
>>Field, Seattle, when they heard about Captain E.J.Smith and his
>>co-pilot who had made a spectacular sighting the day before. In
>>the papers that day there was also a photograph taken by a Coast
>>Guard Yeoman of a flying object virtually identical to the ones
>>Arnold had reported. It had been taken in the Seattle area.
>>Arnold went to the offices of the newspaper to see the original
>>of the photo and there met Captain Smith and his co-pilot. They
>>hit it off well.

>>The photos must have been pure dynamite because on the 10th of
>>July a nationwide ban would be said to have been placed on them.
>>These photos are reproduced in Arnold's book but the country at
>>large never got to see the Coast Guardsman's flying saucer,
>>which was identical to eight of those Arnold had seen."

>>Does anyone know anything about this or have seen the pics?

Davud Rudiak said:

>All I've seen are the low quality newspaper versions on
>microfilm from that time period. The enlargements show
>something like an oval with maybe a "bite" out of one end. But
>it's hard to make out anything definitive. I don't know what
>happened to the original negative.

<snip>

My colleague Rob Swiatek, who sort of specializes in UFO photos,
reminds me that the photo in question was that taken by a Navy
or Coast Guard yeoman named Ryman on or about July 4, 1947 at
about 5:30 p.m., near Seattle. However, it shows an elliptical
object, not a semi-coircular one like Arnold described. - Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 2](#)

Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Salla

From: Michael Salla <exopolitics.nul>
Date: Thu, 2 Mar 2006 06:45:57 -1000
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 11:51:35 -0500
Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Salla

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 14:27:06 EST
>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

<snip>

>But I want to say one thing here, right now. It looks as if all
>this confusion can be laid at the feet of the Disclosure
>Project. The changing ranks of Steven Lovekin seem to come out
>of that arena and I would be surprised if the mistake about the
>National Guard Reserve didn't come from the same place. So, to
>Steven Lovekin, I sincerely apologize because it is beginning to
>look as if you were quite careful in what you said. It was all
>of the rest of us, Greer and me, who took it and spun it out of
>control.

I realized after reading your response to David Rudiak where you list secondary sources that led to your mistaken inference regarding Stephen Lovekin's officer status during his WHASA service that one of the sources was an article I had written in July 2003 (chapter 2 in my Exopolitics book).

I had forgotten all about that article in my initial responses to you where I also mistakenly referred to Lovekin as an officer in a section dealing with President Eisenhower's views regarding control of the UFO issue.

I was mistaken in 2003 and attribute my error to not reading the Disclosure testimony of Stephen Lovekin closely enough to discern that he had been enlisted when serving under Eisenhower and not an officer.

I have to apologize that this contributed to your and others erroneous perception about Lovekin's rank during the WHASA years.

While there may be some ambiguity in various online and printed descriptions that can lead to some confusion of Stephen Lovekin's actual rank during his service at the White House, it is ultimately the responsibility of the researcher to get it right by going to first hand sources and not secondary sources. This is even more important when writing a detailed critique where a whistleblower's integrity and military service is called into question as you did in your blog site article that was so critical of Stephen Lovekin and his rank as Brigadier General, and officer status in WHASA.

I think your apology to Steven Lovekin is very appropriate and resolves the issue as far as his military service and how that is backed up by documentation is concerned. I think your effort to shift responsibility to the Disclosure Project or the secondary sources that you read in reaching your erroneous inferences regarding his military service is not valid.

Ultimately, we each bear responsibility for what we write. If we make mistakes, we acknowledge that, make our apologies, and move on. In the end, scholarship is furthered by getting the details

right and not indulging in the blame game when we don't get it right.

Aloha,

Michael Salla

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 2](#)

Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 16:44:25 +0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 11:53:03 -0500
Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Hall

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 21:51:56 EST
>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

<snip>

>So, where are we now? Well, he is a general, but in some
>volunteer group without official standing. He wasn't an officer
>in the White House, but a low ranking enlisted man (which he
>pointed out in the Cameron interview) who might have overheard
>something from the president (or seen it doodled on a pad) about
>UFOs, but this is where we part company. While I believe he
>might have heard or seen something, (or read something into the
>doodles) these later stories of seeing some of the Roswell
>debris and talks of threats don't make sense.

>KRandle

>PS: This has become slightly schizophrenic, but only because
>there is now so much stuff filtered through so many others that
>it's a little difficult to tell where Lovekin leaves off and the
>speculation begins...

>And for those who wish to respond... please hurry because I'm
>about to return to the Middle East and will again have to bow
>out of these discussions.

I think we owe Kevin a major (no pun intended) debt of gratitude
both for bringing us much closer to the truth about Lovekin and
his claims, and for his extraordinary (to say the least)
military service. May this crazy pseudo-war soon be over with
and some sanity in international affairs be resumed.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 2](#)

Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw? - Waskiewicz

From: Jean Waskiewicz <etjean.nul>
Date: Thu, 2 Mar 2006 11:57:12 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 12:17:34 -0500
Subject: Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw? - Waskiewicz

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 16:32:05 +0000
>Subject: Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw?

>>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 13:44:26 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw?

>>>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 14:40:49 +1000
>>>Subject: Photos Of What Arnold Saw?

>>>Has anyone on the List seen the photos mentioned in the below
>>>article. It seems Arnold said the pics were exactly the same as
>>>the objects he saw.

>>>The article is from this website

>>><http://www.gtm.net/~geibdan/arnold.html>

>>>"... then on July 5th Arnold and the Colonel were at Boeing
>>>Field, Seattle, when they heard about Captain E.J.Smith and his
>>>co-pilot who had made a spectacular sighting the day before. In
>>>the papers that day there was also a photograph taken by a Coast
>>>Guard Yeoman of a flying object virtually identical to the ones
>>>Arnold had reported. It had been taken in the Seattle area.
>>>Arnold went to the offices of the newspaper to see the original
>>>of the photo and there met Captain Smith and his co-pilot. They
>>>hit it off well.

>>>The photos must have been pure dynamite because on the 10th of
>>>July a nationwide ban would be said to have been placed on them.
>>>These photos are reproduced in Arnold's book but the country at
>>>large never got to see the Coast Guardsman's flying saucer,
>>>which was identical to eight of those Arnold had seen."

>>>Does anyone know anything about this or have seen the pics?

>>All I've seen are the low quality newspaper versions on
>>microfilm from that time period. The enlargements show
>>something like an oval with maybe a "bite" out of one end. But
>>it's hard to make out anything definitive. I don't know what
>>happened to the original negative.

<snip>

>My colleague Rob Swiatek, who sort of specializes in UFO photos,
>reminds me that the photo in question was that taken by a Navy
>or Coast Guard yeoman named Ryman on or about July 4, 1947 at
>about 5:30 p.m., near Seattle. However, it shows an elliptical
>object, not a semi-coircular one like Arnold described.

There is a copy of the photo in Section IV of Ted Bloecher's
Report on the UFO Wave of 1947, Section IV at Michigan MUFON via
this link:

<http://tinyurl.com/o6549>

Jean Waskiewicz

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 2](#)

Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Thu, 2 Mar 2006 11:01:00 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 12:21:12 -0500
Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>From: Gildas Bourdais <gbourdais.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 2 Mar 2006 10:48:43 +0100
>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 14:23:46 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <gbourdais.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 10:47:09 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

<snip>

>>And talking of Randolph Field: if you look at page 202 of Body
>>Snatchers, you will see that both Salter and Barker claimed "no
>>personal or direct knowledge" of the AA film, but they suspected
>>that it might have been linked with atomic flash-blindness
>>experiments that were undertaken at Randolph Field Air Force
>>Base in 1947. They did not believe the film had anything to do
>>(directly, at least) with White Sands.

>>Flash-blindness tests were undertaken at Randolph. I have a
>>mountain of these flash-blindness files now and a number refer
>>to Hubertus Strughold as being allied to flash-blindness tests
>>in later years.

>So, you seem now to distance yourself somewhat from the White
>Sands tests story, and to prefer another one, of atomic flash-
>blindness experiments at Randolph Field Air Force Base in Texas,
>in 1947, which appears at the end of your book. But then the AA
>film would still show a handicapped human, according to you and
>your informers Levine, Barker and Salter.

>Again, it does not make sense.

>The body could not be human, even if heavily deformed
>genetically (especially for two bodies in two separate films:
>how could they have exactly the same spectacular defects?): big
>head and anomalous brain, giant eyes, six fingers, strange
>organs in the belly and, last but not least, no belly button!
>Were they cloned in a mad laboratory?

>And you add to the weird story of protection against atomic
>flash with black_eye-lenses (p 202). Again, why an autopsy
>with full protective suit? And why reveal to you a story
>supposedly highly secret, etc.

>Your second version is just as impossible as the first one.

>I don't know if the AA film showed an alien body, but I know it
>was not a human body.

Gildas

I am not distancing myself from anything!!

My book states very clearly that both Barker and Salter said that the Santilli AA film has nothing, zero, to do with the White Sands crash (or any crash in NM), and was instead connected with experiments undertaken at Randolph Field.

That is stated unequivocally and very clearly in the book on pages 202 - 203, and was published 9 months ago.

So how can I "now" be changing my views, when Barker and Salter never endorsed the White Sands story re. the AA in the first place, and I publisher their statements to that effect way back last summer?!

Now, Levine did say that he had seen an AA film very much like the Santilli film and which he said was linked with White Sands (which, however, I suggested yesterday on-List, was almost certainly not the specific and famous AA footage we have all seen, but was the extra film that Santilli has in his possession, and has never released, because of the graphic dissection of the genitalia - copies of which I sent to Ed G. by email yesterday).

But as I point out in the book, the Levine story was almost certainly deception designed to push the alien angle for the New Mexico events - that is, until he was quietly advised in a second meeting with officials that everything he had been previously told in his first briefing about the AA film might not have been correct.

So consider the facts: Barker and Salter never supported the idea that the AA was connected with a UFO crash. And Levine admitted that he was told he had been deceived about the nature of the film as well as the background story provided to him.

And I published all of this testimony suggesting the Randolph (as opposed to White Sands) angle, for the specific AA film that Santilli did release, in the book nearly a year ago!

So how can I be changing opinions today, when I endorsed the Randolph scenario in the book almost a full year ago?!

Nick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 2](#)

Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
Date: Thu, 2 Mar 2006 12:27:15 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 13:32:17 -0500
Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

From: Gildas Bourdais <gbourdais.nul>
To: <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 2 Mar 2006 10:48:43 +0100
Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>The body could not be human, even if heavily deformed
>genetically (especially for two bodies in two separate films:
>how could they have exactly the same spectacular defects?): big
>head and anomalous brain, giant eyes, six fingers, strange
>organs in the belly and, last but not least, no belly button!
>Were they cloned in a mad laboratory?

<snip>

>I don't know if the AA film showed an alien body, but I know it
>was not a human body.

Gildas,

As you know this aspect of the AA film has been the most puzzling to me from the beginning. The problem is that the body is so humanoid, but distinctly not human. The fact that it is so close to human externally and internally argues against it being the product of parallel or convergent evolution on some far distant planet. It is just too much like us. Anatomically, it is definitely a vertebrate animal, and could be mammal or reptile. We don't see the internal organs that would allow us to make that distinction.

This bothers me a lot, because it argues for an earthly origin. If they came from here, how? Are they the product of a much earlier evolution? Are they time-travelers from the future? I don't have answers to those questions, and no of no course of research to get to the answers.

But I do have the answer to the proposition that the two beings in the two AA films are deformed humans from some insane experiment, and the answer is NO. There are many things that they are not, and this, as you say, is one of those.

I think Nick's historical diversion was interesting and creative, but a diversion is all it ultimately has been. Time to move on to something more productive.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 3](#)

Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program -

From: **Lan Fleming** <lfleming6.nul>
Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 22:24:55 -0600
Fwd Date: Fri, 03 Mar 2006 09:09:33 -0500
Subject: Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program -

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 13:40:32 -0800
>Subject: Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program

>>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 14:28:23 -0400
>>Subject: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program

>>Just back from a vacation and family reunion and I am told by
>>NBC that the U.S. Discovery Channel Program about Roswell will
>>be broadcast tomorrow night March 2 at 10PM Eastern and Pacific.

>>My local newspaper tells me that it is not on the schedule for
>>Canada, between 7pm and 1am, anyway.

>>I know that David Rudiak and I were both interviewed, but have
>>no other details and no idea how much time, if any, we will
>>get... I hope it is not another Peter Jennings-type program.

>>Title has been changed twice and broadcast date once.

>If Stan hadn't told us the show was on tomorrow night I wouldn't
>have known. I was interviewed in early January, primarily on
>the Ramey memo, but haven't heard a peep from the producers
>since (except to ask me once the origins and authenticity of the
>1947 ABC news radio broadcast I also have on my website.)

>I'm as in the dark as anyone what form the program might take.
>They did deliberately photograph me in harsh, shadowy lighting,
>which they claimed was merely the look and feel of the series in
>general (on "conspiracies") and which they also claimed was how
>everybody else was filmed. I hope that's all it was, but my
>fear, of course, is that maybe it's a way to literally shade how
>the audience might view us, as conspiratorial nutcases.

<snip>

Your fears may be well founded. Although I didn't watch it, the
title for the Discovery Channel show listed in my TV guide for
9pm Central (10 Eastern) was "Conspiracy Theories."

I seem to recall that DSC cut some sort of deal with CSICOP
several years ago, so it may be a good channel to stay away
from. The History Channel tends to be much better balanced these
days about subjects on the CSICOP taboo list.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 3](#)

Forget The Academy Awards

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 03 Mar 2006 09:16:17 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 03 Mar 2006 09:16:17 -0500
Subject: Forget The Academy Awards

Source: PRNewswire.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/g4gvd>

March 2, 2006

Forget the Academy Awards.
What's glitzier with more stars and out-of-this-world premiers?

HOLLYWOOD, Calif., March 2 /PRNewswire/ -- Star-gazing A-Listers say the intergalactic action is actually in the middle of the desert at the world's largest UFO Congress and film festival. AND, the big red carpet news is the cosmic debut of go-go boot wearing aliens, cow abductions and a magic elixir straight out of the Milky Way. Faster than a speeding spaceship, GOT MILK?'s new TV commercials boldly go where no dairy farmer has dared to go before. Here's your chance to be a part of the intergalactic action for this hilarious new advertising campaign.

FEED DATE: THURSDAY, March 2nd 2006
FEED TIME: 19:00-19:15 PM EST (16:00-16:15 PST)
COORDINATES: SBS 6, Transponder 2 ku analog
DOWNLINK FREQ: 11749.5 MHz (V)

RE-FEED DATE: FRIDAY, March 3rd 2006
RE-FEED TIME: 11:00-11:15 AM EST (08:00-08:15 AM PST)
COORDINATES: SBS 6, Transponder 2 ku analog
DOWNLINK FREQ: 11749.5 MHz (V)

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES:

<http://www.prnewswire.com/broadcast/23861/consumer.shtml>

THIS SATELLITE FEED PACKAGE CONTAINS:

- * 15th Annual UFO Congress & Film Festival in progress
- * Recent UFO sighting footage* Red carpet activity
- * Alien Oscars statuette awards* GOT MILK? premier at Congress
- * Scientist interviews* UFO scientist glamour shots
- * Desert scenes

SOT: Script writers talking about winning an oscar; UFO Scientist
VIDEO PROVIDED BY: The California Milk Processor Board

SOURCE The California Milk Processor Board
Video: <http://www.prnewswire.com/broadcast/23861/press.shtml>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 3](#)

Is The Truth Out There?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 03 Mar 2006 09:21:36 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 03 Mar 2006 09:21:36 -0500
Subject: Is The Truth Out There?

Source: ABC - Gold & Tweed Coasts, Queensland, Australia

<http://www.abc.net.au/goldcoast/stories/s1583576.htm>

Friday, 3 March 2006

UFOs; Is The Truth Out There?

In the X Files TV series there was a famous poster with a picture of a UFO and the words "I Want to Believe".

Bill Chalker is an industrial chemist and scientist, and like any good scientist, wants proof. He was the first civilian allowed in to the Australian version of the "X Files", the RAAF UFO files, and says his interest in what's up there started in the 60s, "I was raised in the 60s on the north coast of NSW, and it just happened around 1966 there were a lot of sightings occurring the Grafton and Lismore area, witnesses involved were people like police officers, credible people. It seemed for a while that practically everyone in the whole town were seeing UFOs. I wasn't one of the lucky ones but it sparked my interest. Because I had a natural bent in terms of scientific enquiry, I was naturally curious about something that seemed to be a real phenomenon and yet unexplained and marginalised and rejected by the mainstream."

Mention UFOs and you'll soon be surrounded by skeptics. Bill has spoken to a few in his time, and says there are familiar patterns in their stories, "Very few of them are well acquainted with the subject. Usually it's an armchair or a knee jerk reaction to the subject. Once people start to seriously confront the extent of what's happening out there, if you've got any kind of critical faculty, you have to come to the conclusion that something is going on and it definitely bares serious scientific attention".

Of course, the world of UFO fans certainly has its share of characters. Bill admits the 'wacky' element can set back the 'science' of this type of investigation, "I prefer to try and focus on what represents the 'hard core'. Verifiable patterns, verifiable objects, physical evidence, landing cases, there are literally thousands of those cases worldwide=85There are really quite good websites that are devoted to particular aspects of the UFO phenomenon where serious researchers are documenting that body of evidence, unfortunately there are a lot of bad sites devoted to the subject as well. I think some commentator said it was the second most popular subject on the internet, the first one being sex! It suggested, irrespective of what government and mainstream science thinks of the subject, the general public are clearly interested in the subject."

"What is surprising is that there are a large number of compelling cases that yield physical proof. Things like 'radar visual' cases, because of the presence of radar facilities you're able to confirm the physical reality of that object. One of the best cases that I looked into was one that occurred in

NSW back in 1954. It was important because it involved a Naval pilot who was flying at that time what was the fastest plane in Australian skies, a Sea Fury, he's flying back to Nowra doing a night flying exercise. Suddenly two objects appear either side of his aircraft, they close in really close either side of the aircraft. He's thinking he could see his flying career disappearing before him if he mentioned it over the radio to Nowra air station. Fortunately he was expecting them to contact him as a matter of routine, they did and their first question of him was 'who's that up there with you, we're tracking two objects with your aircraft, what's going on'. That gave him a tremendous sense of relief because there they were confirming it to him, not the other way around. These things tracked with the aircraft for some time and then suddenly took off, and made his aircraft look as though it was standing still, yet it was confirmed by ground radar and a couple of ground witnesses as well".

So what about those famous RAAF files? "Some people possibly have gotten access to those files on a limited basis prior to me, but I got access back in 1982. What was different, essentially, was that I got very comprehensive access, once I got my foot in the door, which wasn't easy, on my first visit I stayed there for five days going through thousands of files=85A lot of unexplained cases that even the airforce or the department of defence couldn't explain."

Bill believes there are many people who have witnessed these kind of phenomenons, yet choose to stay silent, "If you're in a situation where your career path or your job may be affected simply because you've been labelled a UFO witness, despite the fact the case may be supported by credible evidence, you're still running a potential risk, a lot of pilots won't take that risk...Yet, the UFO phenomenon, in terms of being witnessed by pilots, is very comprehensive there are literally thousands of sighting worldwide by pilots".

Don't think it's little green men flying these space ships, Bill says there is another group often noticed in UFO sightings, "there are all these tall, blond, often blue-eyed Nordic looking types, that look for all purposes just like a human being. That element was really interesting."

So if aliens really are out there, why haven't they ever come and made themselves known in a more 'formal' way? "If I was putting myself in their own shoes I'd be very reluctant to make overtures towards a civilisation that seems to spend more time engaging themselves in wars and conflicts. We don't exactly appear to be a very friendly bunch from an outside audience", Bill says.

So the next time you take a walk outside at night, it might be a good idea to look up and smile, you might just find yourself helping interplanetary relations.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 3](#)

Meeting Reveals Tales Of Time Travel, Aliens

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 03 Mar 2006 09:25:55 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 03 Mar 2006 09:25:55 -0500
Subject: Meeting Reveals Tales Of Time Travel, Aliens

Source: The Acorn - Agoura Hills, California, USA

<http://www.theacorn.com/news/2006/0302/Community/033.html>

March 2, 2006

MUFON Meeting Reveals Tales Of Time Travel, Aliens And More
By Stephanie Bertholdo
bertholdo.nul

Are unidentified flying objects real or just the product of overactive imaginations sparked by pop culture?

Millions of Americans believe that extraterrestrials regularly visit Earth. The UFO industry has evolved over 50-plus years, starting in 1947 when pilot Kenneth Arnold flew near Mt. Rainier in Washington state and spied nine crescent-shaped metallic objects in the distance traveling at speeds he estimated to be 1,000 miles per hour.

During the same year of Arnold's flight, another seminal UFO event occurred. An alien craft allegedly crashed on a ranch in Roswell, N.M. Although the military initially released information to the press that a flying saucer was recovered, within hours the official government explanation stated the debris found in the desert was simply a fallen weather balloon. The seeds of a government cover-up were planted with the explanation.

Area 51, a top secret military base in New Mexico, has since become a focus for "ufologists," or those who study the UFOs. Groups dedicated to researching the UFO phenomena have proliferated, along with sightings of lights and objects darting through the skies. It's been 60 years since the remnants of either a weather balloon or a spaceship were recovered in the desert, but the UFO industry that emerged has grown astronomically.

Claims that the U.S. government is either in cahoots with aliens to cull their technology, or responsible for squelching data by disseminating misinformation, was the basis of a recent meeting sponsored by the Ventura/Santa Barbara chapter of MUFON, the Mutual UFO Network.

About a dozen UFO enthusiasts met at Marie Callender's in Westlake Village in mid-February to hear author and UFO expert Bill Hamilton discuss the fantastic case of Dan Burisch and the government's quest to silence him, and how time travel plays into some UFO theories.

Dr. Dan Burisch (aka Dan Crain) was allegedly an Area 51 microbiologist who worked on the Lotus Project, a government-sponsored study of the Ganesh particle, which purportedly has the capability of repairing damaged cells. Burisch claims to have worked with an alien being named J-Rod on a variety of "black budget," (top secret, not officially

recognized by the government) projects.

"There's no doubt in my mind, after knowing Dan for a number of years, the he is indeed a genius," Hamilton said.

According to Hamilton, the alien J-Rod is actually a descendant of humans=97a race that has evolved millions of years into the future, a "post-catastrophical future."

J-Rod's race apparently left Earth long ago and now resides on a distant planet where another form of humanity is languishing due to genetic deficiencies. JRod's purpose, Hamilton said, is to repair their genetic problems through Earth's scientific advances using the Genome Study.

In return, according to Hamilton, J-Rod is providing information on how to create designer viruses, possibly for military use, or perhaps for cures for human diseases. According to the reams of information on Burisch available on the Internet, the U.S. has forged numerous treaties with alien nations

For skeptics, there's plenty of fodder in these wild extraterrestrial tales.

Burisch changed his name from Crain for some complicated personal matters that involve his marriage and adopted children. Supposedly the high school that Burisch attended in England was not recognized in the U.S., so official records just show that Burisch earned a GED (General Educational Development, an equivalent to a high school diploma).

And, in keeping with the ageold ufologist's claim that people involved in black budget research and experiments are often targeted by the government, Burisch's personal records were erased, so verifying information about the man is virtually impossible.

"It's an easy matter to control records, much easier than I ever believed," Hamilton said. He added that it's not only records that disappear, but people sometimes vanish. Currently, Burisch is said to be in "lock-down," working on the Lotus project. If given immunity, he will allegedly testify before a Congressional hearing about his involvement on secret human experiments.

According to one website dedicated to the Burisch case, one treaty "allows for abduction (of humans), removal of blood and reproductive samples and tissue, and does not control whether humans are maimed, tortured or that they might expire."

"There are gaps in Dan's information, but not big red flags," Hamilton said. But there's always missing data about such wild claims, he said.

Ufologists often believe the missing information in such top secret work is due to records being erased by the government.

Later in his presentation, Hamilton touched on quantum physics and wormholes, or "tunnels in space" that may be the key to time travel and J-Rod's visitation.

Extraterrestrials travel back and forth through time via a "hyperspace vortex," Hamilton said.

"Time travel is happening, not only now, but has happened in the past," he said.

"Time slips" was another theory Hamilton proffered. Now and then, he said, people "slip" into another time of history. He said one family was driving on their vacation and stopped at a restaurant that appeared to be a 1950s-style establishment. When they paid their bill, it was as cheap as in 1950.

The family wanted to revisit the restaurant on their return trip but when they arrived in the town they couldn't find it. An old timer told them there used to be such a restaurant but it had burned down in the 1960s.

Hamilton said there are thousands of stories of time slips, and what they all have in common is that there is a flash of blue light, the atmosphere seems to thicken and compasses appear to spin out of control.

Jeannie, a MUFON member from North Hollywood who would not reveal her last name, said she enjoyed the presentation.

"It was interesting," she said. "I like the scientific side of things a lot of times."

Science or not, true believers in UFOs will continue to search the skies for proof that we are not alone in the universe.

For information on future MUFON meetings, visit www.mufon.com and look for the link to local chapters.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 3](#)

Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

From: Gildas Bourdais <gbourdais.nul>
Date: Fri, 3 Mar 2006 10:25:16 +0100
Fwd Date: Fri, 03 Mar 2006 15:31:15 -0500
Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 2 Mar 2006 11:01:00 -0800
>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <gbourdais.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 2 Mar 2006 10:48:43 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 14:23:46 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>>>>From: Gildas Bourdais <gbourdais.nul>
>>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>>Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 10:47:09 +0100
>>>>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

><snip>

>>>And talking of Randolph Field: if you look at page 202 of Body
>>>Snatchers, you will see that both Salter and Barker claimed "no
>>>personal or direct knowledge" of the AA film, but they suspected
>>>that it might have been linked with atomic flash-blindness
>>>experiments that were undertaken at Randolph Field Air Force
>>>Base in 1947. They did not believe the film had anything to do
>>>(directly, at least) with White Sands.

>>>Flash-blindness tests were undertaken at Randolph. I have a
>>>mountain of these flash-blindness files now and a number refer
>>>to Hubertus Strughold as being allied to flash-blindness tests
>>>in later years.

>>So, you seem now to distance yourself somewhat from the White
>>Sands tests story, and to prefer another one, of atomic flash-
>>blindness experiments at Randolph Field Air Force Base in Texas,
>>in 1947, which appears at the end of your book. But then the AA
>>film would still show a handicapped human, according to you and
>>your informers Levine, Barker and Salter.

>>Again, it does not make sense.

>>The body could not be human, even if heavily deformed
>>genetically (especially for two bodies in two separate films:
>>how could they have exactly the same spectacular defects?): big
>>head and anomalous brain, giant eyes, six fingers, strange
>>organs in the belly and, last but not least, no belly button!
>>Were they cloned in a mad laboratory?

>>And you add to the weird story of protection against atomic
>>flash with black_eye-lenses (p 202). Again, why an autopsy
>>with full protective suit? And why reveal to you a story
>>supposedly highly secret, etc.

>>Your second version is just as impossible as the first one.

>>I don't know if the AA film showed an alien body, but I know it

>>was not a human body.

>Gildas

>I am not distancing myself from anything!!

>My book states very clearly that both Barker and Salter said
>that the Santilli AA film has nothing, zero, to do with the
>White Sands crash (or any crash in NM), and was instead
>connected with experiments undertaken at Randolph Field.

Nick,

Let me summarize my viewpoint, for the last time, and stop here.

The story of deformed Chinese prisoners, taken in Manchuria and used for irradiation experiments in White Sands, is nonsense.

The scenarios, whatever they are, trying to explain the AA body as a deformed human being, are nonsense.

As Bob Shell says in another post, let's close the subject and move to other matters.

Gildas

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 3](#)

Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Fri, 3 Mar 2006 11:22:47 EST
Fwd Date: Fri, 03 Mar 2006 15:32:59 -0500
Subject: Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program - Gammon

>From: Lan Fleming <lfleming6.nul>
>To: UFOUpdates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 22:24:55 -0600
>Subject: Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program

>>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 13:40:32 -0800
>>Subject: Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program

>>If Stan hadn't told us the show was on tomorrow night I wouldn't
>>have known. I was interviewed in early January, primarily on
>>the Ramey memo, but haven't heard a peep from the producers
>>since (except to ask me once the origins and authenticity of the
>>1947 ABC news radio broadcast I also have on my website.)

>>I'm as in the dark as anyone what form the program might take.
>>They did deliberately photograph me in harsh, shadowy lighting,
>>which they claimed was merely the look and feel of the series in
>>general (on "conspiracies") and which they also claimed was how
>>everybody else was filmed. I hope that's all it was, but my
>>fear, of course, is that maybe it's a way to literally shade how
>>the audience might view us, as conspiratorial nutcases.

<snip>

>Your fears may be well founded. Although I didn't watch it, the
>title for the Discovery Channel show listed in my TV guide for
>9pm Central (10 Eastern) was "Conspiracy Theories."

>I seem to recall that DSC cut some sort of deal with CSICOP
>several years ago, so it may be a good channel to stay away
>from. The History Channel tends to be much better balanced these
>days about subjects on the CSICOP taboo list.

Actually the show was good for the most part. No particular
slant, pro or con, was enforced.

Also, remember that both the Discovery Channel and the History
Channel has shown both pro-UFO and anti-UFO shows in the past.

-Jason Gammon

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 3](#)

Re: 'Tell Us The Truth On Moorland UFO' -

From: **Geoff Richardson** <geoff.nul>
Date: Fri, 3 Mar 2006 16:49:23 -0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 03 Mar 2006 15:35:21 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Tell Us The Truth On Moorland UFO' -

>From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 11:50:46 -0000
>Subject: Re: 'Tell Us The Truth On Moorland UFO'

>But right now we're getting - on and off-List - strong
>disapproval of any further investigation. Why?

Perhaps there is an alternative explanation for the events on that desolate Welsh hillside in 1974. Perhaps it wasn't little green men from space who were running about but the green combat fatigues of the military. See: "The Berwyn Moutains Incident "An Alternative Solution"

http://www.thewhyfiles.net/berwyn_mountain_Incident.html

Geoff Richardson
www.thewhyfiles.net

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 4](#)

NARA Declassification Announcement

From: Larry W. Bryant <overtci.nul>
Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 21:38:31 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Mar 2006 08:29:35 -0500
Subject: NARA Declassification Announcement

----- Forwarded Message -----
From: Nick Schwellenbach <nick.nul>
To: FOI-L.nul
Subject: NARA Declassification FW: National Archives
Announces New Steps
Date: Thu, 2 Mar 2006 14:10:38 -0500

From: Public Affairs [mailto:Public.Affairs.nul]
Sent: Thursday, March 02, 2006 1:54 PM
To: Public Affairs
Subject: National Archives Announces New Steps. . .

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
March 2, 2006

Archivist of the United States Announces New Steps in Response
to Withdrawal of Declassified Records from Open Shelves at the
National Archives

Washington, DC--Archivist of the United States Allen Weinstein
announced today several initiatives that he has implemented as
part of the ongoing investigation into the withdrawal of
previously declassified records at the National Archives. These
steps include:

- * The imposition of a moratorium on other agency personnel
identifying for withdrawal for classification purposes any
declassified records currently on the public shelves at the
National Archives until the audit, conducted by the National
Archives Information Security Oversight Office, is complete.
- * A summit with national security agencies involved with these
withdrawal efforts within the next week. The purpose of this
meeting is to ensure the proper balance of agency authority to
restore classification controls where appropriate and the
Archivists obligation to ensure maximum access to archival
records consistent with law, regulation and common sense.
- * A call upon affected agencies to join the Archivist in
committing the necessary resources to restore to the public
shelves as quickly as possible the maximum amount of information
consistent with the obligation to protect truly sensitive
national security information from unauthorized disclosure.
- * The initiation of a review of National Archives internal
processes for implementing agency
classification/declassification decisions and the implementation
of improvements to ensure that the National Archives is a
catalyst for timely public access.
- * Directing the Information Security Oversight Office to
develop, in consultation with affected agencies, clear and
concise standardized guidance, with an appropriately high
threshold, that will govern the withdrawal of records from the
open shelves for classification purposes. This guidance will be
promulgated prior to allowing future removal of any records from

the open shelves for classification purposes and will be publicly available.

* Requesting the recently constituted Public Interest Declassification Board, consistent with their charter, to independently advise the Archivist on this issue.

* * * For press information, contact the National Archives Public Affairs Staff at 202-357-5300.

06-67

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 4](#)

Secrecy News -- 03/03/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Fri, 3 Mar 2006 12:16:49 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Mar 2006 08:31:48 -0500
Subject: Secrecy News -- 03/03/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 29
March 3, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** STATE DEPT INTELLIGENCE TRACKED RISING POPULARITY OF HAMAS
** ARCHIVES DECLARES MORATORIUM ON RECLASSIFICATION
** FILE UNDER: SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

STATE DEPT INTELLIGENCE TRACKED RISING POPULARITY OF HAMAS

"I don't know anyone who wasn't caught off guard by Hamas' strong showing," said Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice, referring to the landslide victory of the Islamic Hamas party in the January 25 Palestinian elections. Hamas won 76 out of 132 seats in the Palestinian legislature, compared to 43 seats for the ruling Fatah party.

"I've asked why nobody saw it coming and I hope that we will take a hard look, because it does say something about perhaps not having had a good enough pulse on the Palestinian population," she told reporters on January 29.

It sounded like a confession of another failure of U.S. intelligence, having been stymied once again by the intricacies of Middle Eastern politics.

But despite Secretary Rice's odd protestations, no one did a better job of tracking the growing popularity of Hamas than the State Department's own intelligence analysts.

"When the parties [Hamas and Fatah] are directly compared, likely voters tend to see Hamas as more qualified to clean up corruption, resist occupation, and uphold societal values," the analysts reported in a January 19, 2006, pre-election assessment obtained by Secrecy News.

"A lack of hope in the peace process may also contribute to support for Hamas. Likely voters who have little or no hope that there will be a peaceful resolution to the conflict clearly prefer Hamas (30%) to Fateh (12%)."

Though they did not explicitly predict a Hamas victory, the State Department intelligence bureau reported on the steady rise in popular support for the Islamic party, which they said made it newly competitive with Fatah.

"A just-completed Office of Research survey in the Palestinian Territories shows a much closer race at the polls than some have predicted," the assessment stated.

(The Office of Research is a component of the Bureau of the

Intelligence and Research [INR], which is the State Department intelligence unit.)

"The likely success of Hamas at the polls reflects the long-term rise of public trust in the party. The proportion in the January survey who say they trust Hamas matches the historic high of 27%, first seen in spring 2005, and represents a 6 point increase since November."

The State Department intelligence assessment is marked "For Official Use Only."

See "Hamas and Fateh Neck and Neck As Palestinian Elections Near," January 19, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/inr/hamas.pdf>

("Fateh" is the preferred INR spelling for "Fatah." Due to a typographical error, the first page of the January 2006 analysis is dated 2005.)

The State Department's intelligence bureau is widely considered to be among the most independent-minded and competent members of the U.S. intelligence community. In 2002, it famously dissented from the erroneous view that Iraq had reconstituted its nuclear weapons program. Not coincidentally, it is also the least secretive of U.S. intelligence agencies.

ARCHIVES DECLARES MORATORIUM ON RECLASSIFICATION

In a rather vigorous response to the controversy over the withdrawal of thousands of declassified historical records from the National Archives on purported national security grounds, the Archivist of the United States announced that such withdrawals would be halted, at least temporarily, while an audit and investigation of the matter is conducted.

Archivist Allen Weinstein declared a "moratorium" on the removal or reclassification of "any declassified records currently on the public shelves at the National Archives until the audit, conducted by the National Archives Information Security Oversight Office, is complete."

See "Archivist of the United States Announces New Steps in Response to Withdrawal of Declassified Records from Open Shelves at the National Archives," news release, March 2:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/2006/03/nara030206.html>

See also "Archivist Urges U.S. to Reopen Classified Files" by Scott Shane, New York Times, March 3:

<http://www.nytimes.com/2006/03/03/politics/03archives.html>

FILE UNDER: SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

Lists of radio frequencies assigned to the U.S. Air Force's Civil Air Patrol (CAP) will henceforth be withheld from public disclosure, according to a January 2006 memorandum from the CAP National Commander.

"It has come to our attention that the radio frequency assignments provided us by the USAF are considered sensitive information and require protection from unauthorized release," wrote Maj. General Antonio J. Pineda.

"Such [information] must be removed from public access, such as on the Web, and may not be released to outside agencies without coordination," he wrote.

"As we prepare for an increased role in Homeland Security, it is very likely we will encounter additional information requiring our protection."

"A rigid stance on information security shows that we continue to be a professional partner in the defense of our nation," he wrote.

"A rigid stance on information security," of course, is the

source and the driver of a whole set of other problems. But that is beyond the scope of this memo.

See "Protection of Radio Frequency Information," US Air Force Civil Air Patrol, January 20, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/2006/01/cap012006.pdf>

Also in the sensitive but unclassified (SBU) category is the Department of Energy's venerable "Unclassified Controlled Nuclear Information" (UCNI) marking.

Unlike most other SBU designations, UCNI has been defined with some specificity. Official guidelines spell out exactly what is and what is not within its proper boundaries.

UCNI is also authorized by statute, not invented out of whole cloth, and it carries enormous financial penalties for those who disclose it without authorization. For these reasons, it will be a particular challenge to integrate UCNI policy into a uniform, government-wide policy on sensitive but unclassified information.

For official guidance on UCNI, see "Unclassified Controlled Nuclear Information, General Guideline GG-5," Department of Energy, February 2004:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/othergov/doe/ucni.pdf>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 4](#)

Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Fri, 3 Mar 2006 09:19:38 -0800
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Mar 2006 08:36:03 -0500
Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Rudiak

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 21:51:56 EST
>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

>>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 11:33:10 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

>>>From: Robert Gates <RGates8254.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Mon, 27 Feb 2006 00:15:13 EST
>>>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

>>>>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>>Date: Sat, 25 Feb 2006 16:58:38 -0800
>>>>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

>>>>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>>Date: Fri, 24 Feb 2006 09:34:07 EST
>>>>>Subject: Lovekin Is A General But...

>First, I read the information that Frank Warren posted to his
>blog and that linked to a Grant Cameron blog. Cameron posted his
>2002 interview with Lovekin and I have to say, Lovekin sounded
>reasonable in it. None of the wild ass claims that have surfaced
>in other locations. Lovekin made it clear that he had been an
>enlisted man at the time he had overheard some of what
>Eisenhower said - or allegedly said. I'm very sorry that Lovekin
>was dragged into this because it seems that the mistakes about
>who he is and what he said are those that came from the
>Disclosure Project and many others, and mine for assuming that
>they would get that much right.

>However, there are some quotes out there attributed to Lovekin
>that are wild ass"

I think this has been Kevin's problem all along. He felt
everything about Lovekin was "wild ass" and set about to
demolish not only Lovekin's story but Lovekin himself.

Below, you will see Kevin again expressing these views, again
not based on listening directly to what Lovekin had to say, but
because he personally can't believe the quotes or that people
would quote Lovekin correctly. Thus Kevin is again being belief
driven, not fact driven.

>>>I have a slightly different take on the Lovekin mess. I see it
>>>as an instance of Disclosure not properly documenting the
>>>witnesses background and qualifications before vomiting the
>>>story all over.

>>>>Previously Disclosure have refered to him as "Brigadier General
>>>>Steven Lovekin: Army National Guard Reserve" which would suggest
>>>>that he was a Army officer, or National Guard Officer when he
>>>>served in the White House.

>>>Likewise in a Disclosure campaign letter we read:
>>>"Brigadier General Stephen Lovekin, served under President
>>>Eisenhower in 1959 and then later under President Kennedy. He
>>>held an above top-secret clearance, and states he was once shown
>>>a piece of metallic extraterrestrial debris taken from the 1947
>>>Roswell crash. Lovekin also states that Eisenhower expressed
>>>that he had lost oversight of the UFO situation to the military
>>>industrial complex." see:
>>><http://www.disclosureproject.org/campaign.htm>

>>>The way Disclosure "touts" Lovekin, you would reasonably get the
>>>impression (false as it turns out) that Lovekin was a Brigadier
>>>General serving under Presidents Eisenhower and Kennedy.

>>>If they would have said that Lovekin was an enlisted man in the
>>>Eisenhower and Kennedy White House Signal Corp, the story would
>>>have been more accurate. Instead they chose to refer to him as
>>>part of his NC State rank of Brigadier General. Personally I
>>>have never seen where Lovekin himself claimed to be a Brigadier
>>>General in the White House, so I suspect that this is screw up
>>>on the part of Disclosure.

>>Yes, but why should Lovekin himself be attacked for how he was
>>presented by the Disclosure Project? That makes no sense and is
>>just plain wrong. Further, the Disclosure Project is not
>>responsible for how Kevin mishandled his investigation into
>>Lovekin and for the many erroneous and damaging things he ended
>>up saying about him.

>Let's see if we can get to the bottom of this. We're told that a
>brigadier general has given information. He is listed as a
>general in the National Guard Reserve. Here is where the State
>Guard Association of the United States is to blame because they
>describe themselves as a reserve for the National Guard. Of
>course, it is a role they have taken for themselves and in many
>cases they have no official standing. The national web site says
>they are a membership organization and, at least one state site
>says they are not a military organization. They wrap themselves
>in a military status, but it is one they have taken for
>themselves. As far as I can tell, neither Lovekin nor Disclosure
>is responsible for the confusion.

>And while there are those State Guards that do bill themselves
>as official, very few are. They are seen as a volunteer manpower
>pool that can be tapped in time of emergency, and while they
>claim to be a reserve for the National Guard, few states view
>them as such.

<snip>

Kevin is still playing the blame game here. It was everybody
else's fault but his own that he totally screwed up. Frankly I
don't care whether some states consider the State Guard official
or not or whether they view them as a reserve unit of the
National Guard. Lovekin didn't misrepresent his rank there, no
matter how inconsequential Kevin may feel the State Guards to
be. It's just more hair-splitting and self-justification on his
part.

Yes, I think everybody understands why Kevin may have been
suspicious because of what second parties had to say, but this
is still no excuse for not going to the primary source, Lovekin
himself or his taped testimony. Kevin never seemed to seek that
out, even now, claiming, again without evidence, that other
quotes attributed to Lovekin are not true or misrepresented.
Does he know this because he is now listening to Lovekin's taped
testimony? Nope. He merely "suspects" it because he finds the
quotes "unbelievable" or "wild ass."

>>The problem as I see it is Kevin Randle went well beyond
>>questioning how the Disclosure Project presented Lovekin, which
>>would have left him on more solid ground. Instead he badly
>>blurred the distinction between what was said about Lovekin and
>>then used it to smear Lovekin himself.

>Many of the sites were 'quoting' what Lovekin had said about
>what he had done or heard. I suspect now that many of those
>quotes were inaccurate, which again, is not the fault of
>Lovekin. But it is also true that some of the quotes are
>unbelievable.

Note again that Kevin only "suspects." He doesn't "know" because he never bothered to spend a few hours listening to Lovekin's recorded testimony. Purely from his suspicions, not knowledge, he is again jumping to conclusions that the quotes are inaccurate and asserting that some of the quotes are in fact literally "unbelievable."

>>Read his original January 5 blog, and it is pretty clear he was >>calling the man a liar. For example, his concluding statement >>was, "There is no corroboration of his many claims of military >>service as a high-ranking officer, no verification of his >>positions in the White House and little reason to believe he was >>witness to the things he claims." That's saying the man was a >>fraud.

>At that time I had little reason to believe his story, as it had >been reported in so many other places. Reading what Cameron >wrote, all in quote marks, the tale told is not quite as >spectacular and there is little reason to not believe those >remarks. Of course, we can ask where all that other stuff came >from and if Lovekin is responsible for any of it (see below).

In the case of my website it's easy. I listened to taped material made available on the Disclosure and another websites and made my own transcripts of what Lovekin himself had to say. Probably more than 90% of the quoted material on my website were my direct transcripts of Lovekin speaking, or else doublechecking some other transcripts against recorded interviews.

>Of course, going beyond Cameron, we move right back into the >unbelievable, and many of the statements are in quotation marks. >So, whose fault is it?

Kevin's fault, for not listening to the tapes.

>>While Kevin made some valid points, what I find particularly >>troubling is how he distorted and misstated the available >>evidence to help make his case. E.g., his sloppy wording made >>it sound that Lovekin himself said he had a position of high >>importance in the White House, serving "directly under" >>Eisenhower and later Kennedy and even "once held the ear of the >>President." Lovekin, of course, never said any such thing. Not >>even the Disclosure Project worded it that way. E.g., they said >>Lovekin served under Eisenhower and Kennedy, not "directly >>under." Kevin's altered wording implied Lovekin had said had a >>close working relationship with them. And, to my knowledge, the >>Disclosure Project never said or implied Lovekin literally had >>the ear of the President. That seems to be strictly Kevin's >>invention.

>No, it is not what Lovekin said, but it was something that had >been implied. I'm not so sure that the Disclosure Projects are >so clean at this point.

Again more blame game, not accepting personal responsibility for his own failures in getting to primary material or misrepresenting the material on hand.

>>(What Lovekin actually said is that Eisenhower would sometimes >>talk and confide to the Army Signal Corps guys at Camp David >>because he felt comfortable with them as being fellow Army. >>That's a far cry from Lovekin or anybody else saying he had the >>ear of the President.)

>>He also strongly insinuated that Lovekin was never in the Army >>or the White House, making one flimsy argument that Lovekin made >>no such mention of military service or rank in his website >>lawyer resume (which is all of 8 lines long, summarizing his >>education, legal credentials, and type of practice for >>prospective clients). Well, why should he?

>No, I strongly insinuated that he had not been an officer in the >Army at the time these events took place. I tried to make it >clear that there were cracks to slip through, but as more and >more sources failed to find Lovekin as an officer, let alone a >general, it was more and more likely that he had been neither.

And again Kevin isn't coming clean. It was much much more than Lovekin not being an officer at the time. Kevin clearly stated

that there was no reason to believe that Lovekin had even served in the military, much less the White House. And to "bolster" his case, he dragged out feeble and outright fallacious arguments. The feeble part: Lovekin didn't mention being in the military on his 8 line lawyer biography. The fallacious part: Giving the wrong date for Lovekin's law degree by 3 years, then arguing this didn't give Lovekin time to be in the service and then get a law degree. If there is any doubt, here is Kevin's concluding remark once again when he is calling all of Lovekin's story a fraud:

"There is no corroboration of his many claims of military service as a high-ranking officer, no verification of his positions in the White House and little reason to believe he was witness to the things he claims. Like so many of the other whistle blowers, he should be removed from our lists."

Kevin as judge, jury, and executioner, based on nothing but Kevin's personal biases, faulty reasoning, and horrifically incomplete research.

>>Much, much worse, he took that same 8-line lawyer resume, which >>he claimed he carefully read, and then used the wrong date for >>Lovekin's law degree (given clearly as 1967), substituting the >>date of Lovekin's undergraduate degree (1964), thus falsely >>compressing the time scale 3 years. This then formed the basis >>for one of his impossible "timing" arguments. Lovekin couldn't >>have been born in 1940, then gotten his undergraduate degree and >>law degree by 1964 (instead of 1967), and also served in the >>Army and White House from 1959-1961. Yes, that would have been a >>bit much, wouldn't it? It sounds like a reasonable argument >>unless you doublecheck the actual date of Lovekin's law degree >>and realize Kevin used the wrong date. There has been no >>explanation for how Kevin could have screwed this up so badly.

>I don't know how I missed that either" but on the other side of >the coin, I was also working from the assumption that Lovekin >had been an officer, which he was not. That changed the time >line.

This is like saying, "The devil made me do it." The time line got changed because Kevin changed it without any help from anyone. Lovekin's website said he got his law degree in 1967. Kevin changed the date to 1964 and then used that as an argument for Lovekin being in neither the military or White House from 1959-1961 because there was insufficient time.

>And where would I get the idea that Lovekin had been an >officer at that time?

>>From Steven Greer. In his book Extraterrestrial Contact, Granite Publishing LLC 1999 pg. 357 Greer describes "Witness K" as:

>"Major SL, a respected lawyer who was an Army cryptographer in >the Pentagon from 1959-61. He was shown samples of debris from >the crash of an ET craft in New Mexico in the late 1940's, and >it was demonstrated to him the extraordinary properties of the >material. He saw the ET writing on the debris and was told it >was being studied by Army cryptographers, but they had not (in >1959) broken the code. He also had confirmed to him then >President Eisenhower's interest in the subject, that he knew of >the subject, but that he was being left out of substantial >information dealing with ET technology programs. Major SL had a >top-secret clearance and continues to possess a top secret >clearance as an Army reserve today."

And as already noted out by Michael Salla, another Greer book, "Dislosure" has transcripts of Lovekin speaking for himself, where he says he was a mere enlisted man at the time, the lowest thing on the Army totem pole.

Yes Greer misrepresented the rank, but again Kevin never conducted a thorough investigation and tried to get at primary material that was readily available. It's just more blame game on Kevin's part.

>And this from:

><http://www.angelfire.com/nc/HUMMINGBIRD1/star/star.html>

>That Eisenhower felt this way is evidenced in reports by one of

>the military officers who directly served under Eisenhower,
>Brigadier-General Stephen Lovekin who wrote: But what happened
>was that Eisenhower got sold out. It would have been impossible
>for Lovekin to get to major in the time.

>Which also suggests that Lovekin was a brigadier general when he
>was working at the White House.

Which perhaps accounts for Kevin's impression that maybe Lovekin said he served "directly under" Eisenhower. But again, this is just an attempt to shift blame onto others for his own personal failings in his investigation. Except for his attempts to dig into Lovekin's brigadier general status, Kevin's investigation seems to have involved nothing more than Google searches to various websites with information on Lovekin. It was all second-hand sources with no attempt to find out directly what Lovekin had to say. That's a helluva basis on which to conclude that the man was a total fraud.

>And this:

><http://tinyurl.com/jgclc>

>Consider what some of these top secret "whistle-blower"
>witnesses have said about this secrecy and the fear it
>engenders: Brigadier General Stephen Lovekin: Army National
>Guard Reserves. As a young army officer he worked with President
>Eisenhower in the last years of his presidency.

>"But what

>happened was that Eisenhower got sold out. Without him knowing
>it, he lost control of what was going on with the entire UFO
>situation. In his last address to the nation, I think he was
>telling us that the military industrial complex would stick
>(sic) you in the back if you were not totally vigilant. And, I
>think that he felt like he had not been vigilant. I think he
>felt like he trusted too many people. And Eisenhower was a
>trusting man. And I think that he realised that all of a sudden
>this matter is going into the control of corporations that could
>very well act to the detriment of this country. This
>frustration, from what I can remember, went on for months. He
>realised that he was losing control of the UFO subject. As far
>as I can remember, that was the expression that was used, "It is
>not going to be in the best hands." That was a real concern. And
>so it has turned out to be ... "It had been discussed with me on
>numerous occasions what could happen to me militarily if I
>discussed this. I would say that the government has done as good
>a job enforcing secrecy through the installation of abject fear
>as they have done with anything within the memory of modern
>man." One older officer discussed with me what possibly could
>happen if there was a revelation. He was talking about being
>erased and I said, "Man, what do you mean erased?" And, he said,
>"Yes, you will be erased - disappear." And I said, "How do you
>know all this?" And he said, "I know." Those threats have been
>made and carried out. Those threats started way back in 1947.
>The Army [and the] Air Force was given absolute control over how
>to handle this. This being the biggest security situation that
>this country has ever dealt with and there have been some
>erasures " I don't care what kind of a person you are. I don't
>care how strong or courageous you are. It would be a very
>fearful situation because from what Matt [this older officer]
>said, "They will go after not only you. They will go after your
>family." Those were his words. And, so I can only say that the
>reason that they have managed to keep it under wraps for so long
>is through fear. They are very selective about how they pull
>someone out to make an example of. And I know that that has been
>done.

Those quotes from that website were probably copied directly off of mine (without attribution), with minor changes here and that (such as change to UK spelling) and some minor items edited out. Where did I get those quotes. Can the quotes be trusted? Was it from some other website and not necessarily from Lovekin?

The answer here is unequivocally NO! Those are my personal transcripts of taped Lovekin material.

>Or another example" David Rudiak wrote, "_Perhaps_ the following
>happened. _Maybe_ Lovekin left the service as an NCO but
>attended OCS in college and became a 1st lieutenant in the Army
>Reserve. Thus maybe his final Army grade was lieutenant, but not

>when he was on active duty or when serving at the White House.
>It could be something like that that got lost in translation
>when the Disclosure Project got a hold of it."

Just my speculation as to why the Disclosure Project may have gotten Lovekin's active duty rank wrong. The main point remains not how others presented him, but that Lovekin never misrepresented himself if you read what he had to say.

>So, from all of that, it sounds like Lovekin had the ear of the
>President, that he traveled around with him and engaged in long
>conversations with him. And it seems that some of this has come
>from Lovekin...

Thus more of the blame game. The secondary sources misrepresented Lovekin, misled Kevin, and therefore he is blameless in all this. Of course, this leaves out Kevin's responsibility for digging a little deeper than just Google. He should have gotten primary material, which he could have done but didn't. E.g., had he asked me, I would have told him the quoted material on my website was almost entirely transcripts of Lovekin talking, i.e., primary material.

>>Since then, Lovekin has presented documentation indeed placing
>>him in the White House from 1959-1961, all of Kevin's flimsy and
>>misleading arguments notwithstanding. Trying to duck this,
>>Kevin is now trying to argue that he was merely trying to
>>establish it was unlikely that Lovekin was an officer at the
>>time, another Disclosure Project claim. But again, it went well
>>beyond this to insinuating that Lovekin was never at the White
>>House and was a liar for saying so plus a liar for saying he was
>>a witness to certain things while serving there. (Kevin is now
>>denying it, but go reread his concluding statement where he says
>>exactly this.)

>He certainly was there at the time but not in the capacity that
>has been alleged (See above). And I have serious doubts that
>this discussion about people disappearing is accurate... and
>although it is wrapped in quotation marks and is alleged to have
>come from Lovekin, it is possible that Lovekin was explaining
>what he had heard from other sources.

In the case of the quotes I have of Lovekin saying these things, there's nothing "alleged" about it. They are verbatim transcripts of Lovekin saying these things. He didn't say he knew personally of people being assassinated to keep the UFO subject secret, but he was told by a senior officer with the White House Signal Agency with a CIA background that these things had happened, and they went after families as well to make an example for others. The allegation itself is at best second-hand, but the quote of Lovekin saying he was told these things is first-hand. It is also what he said.

After all this, Kevin has learned nothing. He still can't be bothered to get primary source material and check it out for himself. I think this also reveals the mindset he went in with this originally and still has. He simply does not believe something could be true, therefore it isn't. He didn't believe Lovekin's story and set about to destroy it. At first he tried to shoot down the story by trying to discredit Lovekin himself, a truly classic debunkery tactic. What he did to Lovekin was totally irresponsible.

When that failed and he had to retract all the erroneous things he said about Lovekin, he still can't let it go. OK, so Lovekin was exactly who he said he was, but Kevin still doesn't believe the story. Either Lovekin never said these things at all, the evil others misquoting him (but he doesn't know), or (not wishing to directly call Lovekin a liar again), Lovekin was just getting things second hand and repeating what he was told.

Now Kevin is finally on safe ground, because no way can Lovekin pull out a document to prove he was told or shown certain things. Kevin can go on asserting that the story is unbelievable, which is really just an indirect way of calling Lovekin a liar again.

>>I'm sorry, but I don't buy this blame-game argument that this is
>>all the Disclosure Project's fault. There was nothing wrong with
>>criticizing the Disclosure Project for how they presented
>>Lovekin. There was nothing wrong with Kevin trying to vet

>>Lovekin, and some good has come from it in finally documenting
>>some of Lovekin's credentials. But Kevin did an incomplete
>>investigation, went well beyond what little evidence he had at
>>the time, distorted some of the available evidence, jumped to
>>conclusions, and then clearly insinuated the man fabricated his
>>entire story. It was irresponsible and unprofessional. He needs
>>to apologize and quick.

>You know, I feel bad for Lovekin especially since he has been so
>ill recently, but there is so much information on the Internet
>about him, and his rank and conversations with the president
>that it is difficult to believe it all.

Again Kevin making excuses and trying to dodge responsibility,
blaming everything on others. The bottom line is he screwed up
and made little or no attempt to find out directly what Lovekin
himself had to say.

>Not to mention that Lovekin claims to have seen a piece of the
>Roswell debris, as noted at www.wanttoknow.info/ufocover-up10pg
>There it says:

So again, Kevin's mindset that he simply doesn't believe the
testimony, and it doesn't matter if Lovekin's credentials are
valid or not. He went after the credentials hoping to discredit
Lovekin that way. That failed, so now all he's got left is
questioning the testimony itself as "unbelievable" or "wild
ass." In other words, he's back to calling Lovekin not credible
or a liar.

The odd thing about this is Kevin has cited similar testimony as
supporting the reality of the Roswell crash, from people like
Gen. Exon, or even enlisted guys who were at Roswell base back
in 1947, or second-hand testimony from family members (also
claiming death threats against entire families). That's OK for
some reason, but Lovekin is somehow not credible, even now that
his credentials check out. Go figure.

>US Army, Brigadier General Stephen Lovekin

>(Please note that it says nothing about National Guard Reserve
>or any other qualifying statement but puts Lovekin into the
>active duty Army, and no, I don't blame Lovekin for the mistake
>- clearly it is the responsibility of the web site host)

>One afternoon when we were just about ready to finish up
>training, Colonel Holomon brought out a piece of what appeared
>to be metallic debris. He went on to explain that this was
>material that had come from a New Mexico crash in 1947 of an
>extraterrestrial craft, and that was discussed at length. I got
>an opportunity to travel with the President [Eisenhower]. He was
>very, very interested in the shapes and sizes of the UFOs and
>what made them go". But what happened was that Eisenhower got
>sold out. He realized that he was losing control of the UFO
>subject. He realized that the phenomenon was not going to be in
>the best hands. That was a real concern. It had been discussed
>with me on numerous occasions what could happen to me militarily
>if I discussed this. Threats have been made and carried out.
>This [UFOs] being the biggest security situation that this
>country has ever dealt with, there have been some erasures.He
>said, "You will be erased - disappear." Those threats have been
>made and carried out. Those threats started way back in 1947.
>They will go after not only you, they will go after your family.

>This looks to me like a Lovekin statement that is implying a
>relationship with the president and threats to those talking
>about UFOs. This is the part of the testimony I simply don't
>believe (and interpret that how you will) and it appears to be a
>quote from Lovekin himself.

The Signal Corps travels with the President and provides
classified, encrypted communications for him. Thus Lovekin got
the opportunity to travel with President Eisenhower to places
like Camp David, just like the other Signal Corps guys. Lovekin
isn't indicating being a personal confidant of the President
here or having any unusual special relationship.

>I also notice in the Cameron interview that Lovekin does not
>actually say Ike said anything on captured aliens or recovered
>spacecraft, just doodled saucers on a notepad and commented on
>the 1952 Wash National incidents. It is Lovekin who injects all

>sorts of speculation and mind-reading about what Ike was
>thinking about on UFOs.

This is garbage. In taped interviews (which again Kevin obviously hasn't bothered to listen to) Lovekin claimed the Eisenhower spoke about this to the Signal Corps guys and told them about how "It's not going to be in the best hands," expressing concern how corporations were gaining control of the information. In other words, Lovekin says he wasn't speculating or "mind-reading" but heard words to this effect from Eisenhower himself.

>Lovekin apparently doesn't know the difference between Signals
>"Intelligence" (NSA type intercepts and codebreaking) and Signal
>Corps communications because Cameron repeatedly pushed that
>erroneous term on him and Lovekin kept confirming it.

And? That's it? So now he wasn't in the White House Signal Agency?

>So, where are we now? Well, he is a general, but in some
>volunteer group without official standing.

Kevin just keeps on spinning. He asserts the group has no official standing, but so far has provided nothing but his own say-so and contrary to the State Guards webs site, which says they are so officially recognized and under the command in emergencies of the state Governors and Adjutant Generals. And again so what? Lovekin didn't lie about his rank, as Kevin was originally insinuating he had. So he's reduced to trying to make it seem like a totally nothing organization and position in order to again denigrate Lovekin's testimony.

Even if one accepts this argument, again so what? Lovekin's testimony isn't about what he heard and was shown when he was a Brig. General in the State Guard, but when he was on active duty in the Army in the White House Signal Agency, 1959-1961. And he can prove he was assigned there. Kevin falsely claimed he wasn't.

>He wasn't an officer

Which Lovekin never said he was, but which Kevin tried to use as a basis for calling him a liar based on what he read from secondary sources.

>in the White House, but a low ranking enlisted man (which he
>pointed out in the Cameron interview)

And because he was a low ranking enlisted man, Randle is now asserting his testimony is what--not credible again? Worthless? He's no different than enlisted men at Roswell who said they were involved or knew something? Kevin cites their testimony as credible and supporting a Roswell crash. But because he is now trying to save face for how he has dealt with Lovekin, he again has to make flimsy rationales for flippantly dismissing what Lovekin had to say.

>who might have overheard
>something from the president (or seen it doodled on a pad) about
>UFOs, but this is where we part company. While I believe he
>might have heard or seen something, (or read something into the
>doodles)

More bunk. Kevin is relying solely on Cameron's interview, which he just read, where Lovekin mentions only the doodles. But in other interviews, Lovekin discusses Eisenhower talking directly to the Signal Corp guys because they were Army like him and he felt comfortable talking to them. And no, Lovekin was NOT saying he was a personal confidant of the President.

>these later stories of seeing some of the Roswell
>debris and talks of threats don't make sense.

Simply because Kevin asserts they don't, even though he's used similar testimony before. Frankly I'm tired of these arguments by assertion by Kevin. They have little or no evidentiary value. Kevin, e.g., also asserted it highly unlikely that Lovekin would have been assigned to the White House because he would have been only a teenager at the time. That argument turned out to be wrong.

>KRandle

>PS: This has become slightly schizophrenic, but only because
>there is now so much stuff filtered through so many others that
>it's a little difficult to tell where Lovekin leaves off and the
>speculation begins...

Which is why Kevin should have tried to listen to the available Lovekin interviews instead of doing nothing but a Google investigation. He is still claiming Lovekin didn't say things he clearly did, and even if he admits he probably did say these things, he is still attacking the man's truthfulness by claiming he never would have been shown or heard the things he claims.

I hope when this dies down and Kevin isn't being so defensive, he'll reflect back on what happened here and learn to be more careful before he attacks a witness as a liar. People's reputations are on the line. I hesitate to call people liars unless I think the evidence is overwhelming and have them nailed dead to rights. Mere suspicions and feeble arguments aren't enough.

David Rudiak

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 4](#)

Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Fri, 03 Mar 2006 13:30:52 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Mar 2006 08:39:07 -0500
Subject: Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program - Kaeser

>From: Lan Fleming <lfleming6.nul>
>To: UFOUpdates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 22:24:55 -0600
>Subject: Re: US Discovery Channel's Roswell Program

<snip>

>Your fears may be well founded. Although I didn't watch it,
>the title for the Discovery Channel show listed in my TV guide
>for 9pm Central (10 Eastern) was "Conspiracy Theories."

>I seem to recall that DSC cut some sort of deal with CSICOP
>several years ago, so it may be a good channel to stay away
>from. The History Channel tends to be much better balanced these
>days about subjects on the CSICOP taboo list.

I thought it was reasonably well done, with no new information,
per se. Kent Jeffries was among those recently interviewed for
the production, and he was IMO the most skeptical voice on the
program.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 4](#)

Aussie Sightings

From: Robert Frola <ufologist.nul>
Date: Sat, 4 Mar 2006 08:21:20 +1000
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Mar 2006 08:45:01 -0500
Subject: Aussie Sightings

Hi, below is a sample of Australian UFO sightings, both reported to local groups and other overseas investigators like Peter Davenport.

More to come...

Robert Frola
AUFORN
Australian UFO Research Network

THE SIGHTINGS:

LATE 1950s MARONG, VIC NIGHT (CE1)
(Source: 1800 Freecall National Hotline Callin Code Reported 21.08.05
Ron, a retired school principal, recalls a sighting in the late 1950s. Ron and his wife were driving their Morris Minor in an area known as Wilson's Reef, near Marong, north of Bendigo. They saw a large glowing cigar-shaped light above the reef. Looking more closely they could see a more intense cigar-shaped glow within it. The overall glow was the size of a football field. They stopped the car when they were only about thirty metres away from it. This thing was huge, and they were very close to it, but Ron was careful to point out that they were fascinated but not at all frightened by it. It made no sound as it went to the left and headed slowly off towards Newbridge. They watched it for about twenty minutes. Ron started up his car and drove towards the object and kept pace with it for a while, but then it accelerated away, still heading toward Newbridge. It suddenly shot off completely out of sight in a second or so, and again made no sound.

Ron has never officially reported this sighting in the entire fifty years since it happened, although he once told the story to a few interested school children who believed him because he was the school principal. George Simpson AUFORN VIC

1960 SOMERS, VIC (NL) (Source: 1800 Freecall National Hotline Callin Code 3692 Reported 19.11.04) David has been living in the Somers area since 1998. On a few occasions he has seen something with a regular bright flash, like a strobe or a camera flash, moving and flashing about every twelve seconds. It doesn't seem to be anything in orbit. It is sometimes seen in and around various constellations. He has always seen this with the naked eye. It usually moves off and disappears.

He also recounted how he saw something in 1960, over a sperm whale's head on the Ninety Mile Beach. It was a very bright light which was skimming above the sand dunes, then disappeared. There was no vehicular access in the area in those days. He still wonders what it might have been. George Simpson, AUFORN VIC

1967 EAST WYNYARD, TAS (CE1)
(Source: 1800 Freecall National Hotline unassigned number)
Occurring on different nights during the warmer weather, Colleen observed from her kiosk on the beach at East Wynyard strangely

shaped objects flying out over the bay. The witness and her children watched saucer, cone and cigar shaped objects. The cone shaped object would spin and display lights of varying colours of the spectrum. Sometimes these objects would fly in a V-formation of five. Most sightings lasted for five to ten minutes with the objects flying away from the witnesses. They occurred mostly at night and the sightings persisted for approximately one month. Dominic McNamara, AUFORN SA

1973 BERRIGAN, NSW (NL)
(Source: 1800 Freecall National Hotline Callin Code 4972
Reported 21.08.05)

Gordon reports that in 1973 three people witnessed a light show over a farm in Berrigan. It was night and a line of five or six lights stacked above each other, taking turns at being the top or uppermost light, and then they would swap; one would go out and be replaced by one from the other end, and the cycle would repeat. Suddenly all of the lights sped off in different directions, very quickly like a skyrocket, again, making no sound. George Simpson AUFORN VIC

2004 BRISBANE (BETHANIA), QLD SUNRISE (NL)
(Source: Robert Frola: <http://www.hypermax.net.au/~ufologist>)
The object was first noticed as a bright white light low in the northern sky in the direction of Brisbane. It was around dawn and the light appeared bigger and brighter than the planet that was in the northwest. It was still dark but the sun was beginning to rise above the horizon and the shapes of trees and buildings could be seen in the east. The witness spent several minutes opening up the garage, shifting garden hoses and walking from the front to the back of his house then looked at the object again. It was more or less in the same position but there seemed to be more white lights. It was not clear whether it was one object or several objects close together. The witness is familiar with the domestic flights heading south overhead but the object did not display the general pattern of a plane. Clouds were beginning to affect the view. The witness remained puzzled, having a 'funny feeling' about the situation. It was possible that it was a balloon or helicopter.

After two or three minutes, the witness became aware that the object, now solitary, was on the move and heading in his direction. It appeared to be travelling at about the same height and speed as a commercial jet leaving Brisbane Airport. It disappeared into the clouds briefly but could be seen continuing towards the witness, although slightly to the west. It was now daylight and the object appeared to be shaped like a ball, reflecting the sun's rays although he could not see the sun yet. The object cut through more clouds then continued with the same velocity across the open sky, going almost overhead (about 80-degrees elevation) from north to south just to the west of the witness. For about ten seconds he had an excellent view of a shiny circular object, dark greyish/slate blue in colour with a pattern of parallel lines on it like the door panels of a car or aircraft. It appeared to be a perfect circle about the size of the full moon and the lines underneath were parallel with the north-south axis of motion. No sound was heard. The view was then obscured by his house and the object was not seen again. The sighting lasted about ten seconds but he had an awareness of the object for about fifteen minutes. E-mail Report, 27.09.05

24.04.04 BRISBANE (CLEVELAND), QLD 2015hrs (CE1)
(Source: Robert Frola: <http://www.hypermax.net.au/~ufologist>)
Chris' wife Olivia and her sister Corinne go for regular walks around the same time each night. While walking home on the 24 April 2004, around 8.15 pm, Olivia complained to Corinne that she felt very uneasy that there was something watching her. A minute later they both spotted the object hovering overhead. The Brisbane suburb of Cleveland is located near the bay and the object appeared to come from the direction of the water. There was nobody else in their immediate area. They both stood still then the slowly moving object changed direction and started heading towards them. Olivia panicked and ran to the nearest house for shelter, noting that her mobile phone's battery had become flat. As they both sheltered the object changed course again, seemingly back to the same direction it was originally travelling. They ran home and told Chris who, not taking it all too seriously, decided to pop out for some ice cream. He went to the exact location of the sighting but saw nothing unusual. Driving down the main street in the area he saw the object 'sitting in the sky'. He pulled over as soon as he had a clear

view through the trees. The object was clearly a diamond-shape with two red lights on either side and a white light on the top and on the bottom. It looked like it was moving at a snail's pace over the local sports oval. Chris noted that the floodlights on the oval were on. He decided to grab his camera from home but in the three to four minutes that took, the object had vanished.

Driving on he spotted it again and it appeared to have travelled a great distance in a short amount of time. The UFO lights were not blinking or flashing. When he reached a hill where he had a clear 360-degree view, the object had disappeared. Olivia and Corinne confirmed that Chris had seen the same object. The sighting lasted about ten minutes, the object moving east to west at about five to ten kilometres per hour. E-mail Report, 24.04.04

26.04.04 ADELAIDE (SALISBURY DOWNS), SA 2030hrs (NL) (Source: Robert Frola: <http://www.hypermax.net.au/~ufologist>) Around 8.30 pm on 25 April 2004, in the Adelaide suburb of Salisbury Downs, Denise was talking on the phone when her husband came into the kitchen and said, 'Do you want to come and look at a UFO?' Thinking he meant on the TV as Rick is sceptical of all things UFO, she asked what are you watching, but he meant outside. From the backyard Denise could see lots of low clouds but no stars or moon. Rick said keep looking at the cloud. Denise describes the five minute sighting.

"Above our backyard, just above the clouds, was a series of rotating lights in a circle then another row in a bigger circle and then a final circle of lights, moving in a spinning motion. It was huge; really, really large. It looked like we could see the base of a very, very large object. It was one object but extremely large and definitely nothing that I can describe =85 in writing. If you had seen it you would just know that there is no plane, satellite or anything man-made that could be that big hovering just above the clouds. I was so scared because I knew that it was a real UFO =85 I looked at my husband hoping he would come up with a logical explanation but he just shook his head and said it is the strangest thing he has ever seen."

Denise ran inside and told her friend who had been waiting on the phone, about the UFO overhead. Rick, from Alaska, is used to seeing the auroras over the Alaskan sky but he had never seen anything like this sighting before.

Denise made Rick come inside and about ten minutes later the extremely large object 'about the size of four backyards' had moved away but was still in sight. E-mail Report, 26.04.04

28.04.04 BUNBURY, WA 0035hrs (NL)
(Source: Robert Frola: <http://www.hypermax.net.au/~ufologist>)
On 28 April 2004, at 12.35 am, in Bunbury, Steve and a friend were standing on the balcony and noticed a bright light about 10-degrees up from the horizon in a south-southwesterly direction. The light was the brightest feature in the sky and twice as bright as the planet visible to the west at the time of the sighting. They watched the light change colour with the naked eye so they used binoculars to view the object. The object appeared stationary and at a great distance away. They could see alternating colours of white, blue, red, green and violet. It stayed like that for about five minutes. The object then become a constant white colour and faded away until nothing could be seen. The sky had some high, thin drifting clouds. E-mail Report, 24.04.04

12.05.04 MELBOURNE (YARRAVILLE), VIC 2130hrs (CE1)
(Source: Robert Frola: <http://www.hypermax.net.au/~ufologist>)
On 12 May 2004 around 9.30 pm, in suburban Yarraville, Melbourne, Simon was outside in the back garden having a smoke and gazing up at the stars. He noticed a movement in the sky and as he fixed onto that spot he could see a sort of black outline moving across the black sky. It seemed triangular in shape and his first thought was that it was like a stealth bomber. Being under the Melbourne flight path, he felt it was at the same altitude if not lower than the regular planes he sees. It had no lights at all and made no sound. Aircraft flying overhead normally make a lot of noise. It wasn't shooting across the sky but it did seem to be travelling at twice the speed of a jumbo jet at the same altitude. It maintained a constant speed and trajectory towards the ocean. He lost the sighting as buildings

got in the way. Simon is not convinced that it was an alien ship of any kind but it wasn't normal. Although it was black against a black background, it did appear more triangular than boomerang-shaped. The sighting lasted about ten seconds. E-mail Report, 14.05.04

01.08.04 SYDNEY, NSW 1230hrs (DO)
(Source: Peter Davenport, Director: <http://www.nuforc.org>)
On 1 August 2004, at 12.30 pm, the witness saw three black oval-shaped objects moving at about 300 km/h, in the southeastern sky. There were two small objects and one big one. The sighting lasted one minute. The witness had seen air force planes in the same area. E-mail Report, 18.08.04

24.08.04 MELBOURNE, VIC 2210hrs (CE1)
(Source: Peter Davenport, Director: <http://www.nuforc.org>)
On 24 August 2004 at 10.10 pm, the witness was having dinner at work when a workmate called him to look in a southerly direction at a 30-degree elevation and try to make out the objects in the sky. He saw two triangular-shaped patterns of groups of three lights.

The orange light seemed stationary for a short while then it started to move slowly to the south. The intensity of the lights prevented the witness making out the shape of the objects. As there was a cloud cover at about 3000 feet (information supplied to witness by BOM on the Internet), the object must have been below 3000 feet.

The objects vanished as if someone was dimming the lights. The sighting lasted five minutes. The exact location of the sighting is: S 35deg 16min 20.5 sec E 149deg 07min 51.6sec. E-mail Report, 24.08.04

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 4](#)

Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Fri, 3 Mar 2006 14:41:48 -0800
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Mar 2006 08:47:25 -0500
Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>From: Gildas Bourdais <gbourdais.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 3 Mar 2006 10:25:16 +0100
>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 2 Mar 2006 11:01:00 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

<snip>

>Let me summarize my viewpoint, for the last time, and stop here.

>The story of deformed Chinese prisoners, taken in Manchuria and
>used for irradiation experiments in White Sands, is nonsense.

>The scenarios, whatever they are, trying to explain the AA body
>as a deformed human being, are nonsense.

>As Bob Shell says in another post, let's close the subject and
>move to other matters.

Gildas

That suits me fine. You and I will never agree on Roswell unless
the official files surface one day - if they still exist even -
and repeating our own statements endlessly achieves nothing at
all, especially when they have been stated at least two or three
times by both of us.

I recommend that we both continue to dig into our own areas of
investigation re. Roswell, and as new material comes along, that
would be an area ripe for discussion, and start a new thread
then.

Nick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 4](#)

Re: 'Tell Us The Truth On Moorland UFO' - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
Date: Fri, 3 Mar 2006 22:44:57 -0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Mar 2006 08:51:01 -0500
Subject: Re: 'Tell Us The Truth On Moorland UFO' - Dickenson

>From: Geoff Richardson <geoff.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 3 Mar 2006 16:49:23 -0000
>Subject: Re: 'Tell Us The Truth On Moorland UFO'

>>From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 11:50:46 -0000
>>Subject: Re: 'Tell Us The Truth On Moorland UFO'

>>But right now we're getting - on and off-List - strong
>>disapproval of any further investigation. Why?
>Perhaps there is an alternative explanation for the events on
>that desolate Welsh hillside in 1974. Perhaps it wasn't little
>green men from space who were running about but the green
>combat fatigues of the military. See: "The Berwyn Moutains
>Incident "An Alternative Solution"

>http://www.thewhyfiles.net/berwyn_mountain_incident.html

Hi Geoff,

There's interesting stuff in that report, and Andy Roberts has been kind enough to send me a copy of his documented report - also very interesting.

First, for the record, the UK military has several large areas of rough terrain in N & S of England, and in S Wales, where they can play all they want without civilians knowing. (I've had the pleasure, in all three areas, of running my guts out carrying heavy weapons, shooting all day and half the night, and sleeping in snow without bed, or shelter.)

Second, have to admit here and now, whenever I read pro or con 'reports' I have in back of my mind two things from personal experience:

a) Some years ago was myself in the UK military and doing annual inspections of NATO stations from Cyprus to Shetland. By that time I was on-the-road a lot, often working alone and most often working in civvies. I arrived at one station's town (they're always on big hills well outside the nearest towns) got a room and went down to the bar for a drink. There got talking to a UFO investigator who told me lurid stories about the mysterious dishes and antennae up on The Hill. I enjoyed his talk but didn't tell him how mundane (and fallible) that equipment, which I would probably be working on the next day, actually was. It was just high-powered comms stuff, and pretty old at that.

I.e - the unknown is always impressive.

b) Though I've mostly avoided regimental soldiering (see [world1.html](#)), have had enough experience of security duties to know that paper records are not to be trusted or believed. Security and its agents regularly either i) write the record so as to conform, in advance, with authority's known wishes, or ii) keep a loose-leaf record of facts (done that myself a few times), and just let authority re-write the 'book' version.

I.e - hierarchies keep only the record they want.

So, all in all, I tend to turn a cold, fishy eye on 'reports' and 'records'.

Even so, would be very interested in one inch metal cubes that can give out 2 kilowatts. Especially if you can just pick them up on a country walk.

Cheers

Ray D

"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 4](#)

Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Fri, 3 Mar 2006 20:47:12 EST
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Mar 2006 09:01:55 -0500
Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Randle

>From: Michael Salla <exopolitics.nul>
>To: <Ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 2 Mar 2006 06:45:57 -1000
>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 14:27:06 EST
>>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

<snip>

>>But I want to say one thing here, right now. It looks as if all
>>this confusion can be laid at the feet of the Disclosure
>>Project. The changing ranks of Steven Lovekin seem to come out
>>of that arena and I would be surprised if the mistake about the
>>National Guard Reserve didn't come from the same place. So, to
>>Steven Lovekin, I sincerely apologize because it is beginning to
>>look as if you were quite careful in what you said. It was all
>>of the rest of us, Greer and me, who took it and spun it out of
>>control.

>I realized after reading your response to David Rudiak where you
>list secondary sources that led to your mistaken inference
>regarding Stephen Lovekin's officer status during his WHASA
>service that one of the sources was an article I had written in
>July 2003 (chapter 2 in my Exopolitics book).

>I had forgotten all about that article in my initial responses
>to you where I also mistakenly referred to Lovekin as an
>officer in a section dealing with President Eisenhower's views
>regarding control of the UFO issue.

>I was mistaken in 2003 and attribute my error to not reading the
>Disclosure testimony of Stephen Lovekin closely enough to
>discern that he had been enlisted when serving under Eisenhower
>and not an officer.

>I have to apologize that this contributed to your and others
>erroneous perception about Lovekin's rank during the WHASA
>years.

>While there may be some ambiguity in various online and printed
>descriptions that can lead to some confusion of Stephen
>Lovekin's actual rank during his service at the White House, it
>is ultimately the responsibility of the researcher to get it
>right by going to first hand sources and not secondary sources.
>This is even more important when writing a detailed critique
>where a whistleblower's integrity and military service is called
>into question as you did in your blog site article that was so
>critical of Stephen Lovekin and his rank as Brigadier General,
>and officer status in WHASA.

You really don't get it do you? I'm an officer in the military,
about to return to active duty and I stick my neck way out
suggesting that a man claiming to be a general has no real
standing at that rank... If I'm wrong, and he is a member of the
active force, the National Guard or the Army Reserve, I'm about

to get into a lot of trouble. All he has to do is call my adjutant general and tell him that there is a major running around spreading false stories. Where does that leave me? I was quite sure that I was right about Lovekin's status as a general before I published a word.

And while I believe that this misrepresentation of Lovekin's true status as a general is not his fault, just whose do you think it is?

>I think your apology to Steven Lovekin is very appropriate and
>resolves the issue as far as his military service and how that
>is backed up by documentation is concerned. I think your effort
>to shift responsibility to the Disclosure Project or the
>secondary sources that you read in reaching your erroneous
>inferences regarding his military service is not valid.

But part of the responsibility is that of the Disclosure Project. Look where we are today because they can't take the time to vet their witnesses properly. Just what do you think the reaction would be if a journalist came to this story of Disclosure with an open mind, looked at the long and rather impressive list of witnesses and decided to verify the credentials?

Well here is a brigadier general from the Eisenhower White House. But not really, the commission is in some "membership" organization that one of it's members says is not part of the military. He wasn't an officer at the Eisenhower White House but an enlisted man who might have seen or overheard something. When we look at the interview Grant Cameron posted, we see the story is not quite as impressive as we have lead to believe... but there is a core there that remains mildly interesting.

So we look at Colonel Philip J. Corso, another high ranking officer and the first thing we find is that he wasn't a colonel (or rather there is no documentation to support that claim) but we do learn that he was a retired lieutenant colonel. The mistake could be the publishers of his book because civilians often don't understand these distinctions but the Disclosure Project insists on listing him as a colonel.

Then we look at what he has claimed and we find some troubling aspects to it. If we read his book we find things that do not track with history, and we learn that in the mid-1960s, the FBI had compiled a file on this guy with some unflattering things in it. Things about his credibility. Well, being a journalist and not a rabid UFO believer, I take the FBI notes to heart rather than reject them out of hand. But if I'm that rabid UFO believer, I'm disturbed by the FBI note because it suggests Corso has a history of trouble with the truth.

So I come to another lieutenant colonel, this one in the Air Force. I check and learn that he won the Bronze Star in Vietnam, that he retired as a lieutenant colonel, and the things he said about his background check out as the truth. This is a breath of fresh air. No controversy in his credentials.

But then I look at his story, of seeing classified documents about an alien spaceship crash on Spitzbergen Island. I check a little further and I learn that such documents do exist and were part of the Project Blue Book files. And then I learn that the documents were declassified thirty years ago and have been available to the public since then.

So the story is true, the lieutenant colonel did see them, they were once classified, and they do relate to a UFO crash on Spitzbergen Island. Now we're getting somewhere...

Of course I check other sources and learn that most of those in the UFO community, that is, the rabid believers that we can so casually dismiss as being true believers, tell me that the Spitzbergen crash is a hoax that came from a German magazine in the early 1950s. In fact, the first reports of the craft suggest Soviet origin rather than alien. Markings inside the craft are in Russian.

So here is another story that is almost interesting, but falls apart upon investigation. Good, solid witness, documentation, and a plausible explanation that even some of the UFO researchers accept. Disclosure on this has already happened.

I come to Command Sergeant Major (CSM) Robert Dean. I know enough about the military to realize that very few men reach that rank. I know battalions (500 or 600 men and women) have but one command sergeant major in them. It is an impressive feat to reach that grade.

And I look at his story - and learn that when he saw The Assessment, he wasn't a CSM but a master sergeant. Then I learn that Dean has told a couple of versions of how he saw The Assessment, that he had submitted a copy of the cover to prove that it existed, but an impartial investigation, conducted by rabid UFO believers found the cover to probably be a fake. Dean said that he got it from somewhere else and it looked right to him. No reason to reject this statement, but the reality is, the cover submitted to validate The Assessment is a phony.

So, there are some discrepancies with Dean's story and that makes me wonder about it. If true, it is substantial, but looking at it through the lenses of my journalist glasses, there are just too many red flags (or red herrings as some would say) to accept it at face value without some additional corroboration.

I go on to Sergeant First Class Clifford Stone who has apparently been at nearly every important UFO event in the last fifty or so years. I learn that he has seen a book that talks about 57 different alien races having some sort of contact with Earth and I smile at the 57 thinking of 57 varieties. But I also realize that I can't reject the number simply because it has some advertising value.

I look at Stone's tale of the Kecksburg crash and his involvement and learn that he has, over the years, provided three versions of how he saw the craft, the last being remote viewing. Being a journalist, I'm a little skeptical about this remote viewing stuff, but hey, I know that there are some who take it seriously, so I won't reject the story just because of that. It's those three versions that bother me.

Then I learn that SFC Stone got into trouble with his superiors while he was serving in New Mexico. He fought them, and surprise, he ended up being vindicated... score one for Stone.

But then I learn that he said he was on some kind of special crash retrieval team and even that he had not been trained as a clerk-typist. I find the denial of the training as a little odd, and when I review his record, I learn that about the only special training he has is as a clerk. I learn that he claims his record has been altered but I have heard that excuse before and find it wanting.

But when I sort through everything, I find that he has told a number of different versions of the same story, what he says about his career is at odds with what his records say, his tales are becoming wilder each year. He's done good research, but that doesn't make up for the problems with the record.

Then I come to Larry Warren, described as an Air Force Security Officer... well, technically true, he did work security for the Air Force but as an enlisted man. I learn there is some discussion about when he was at Bendwaters and what he had seen while there. I learn that during the two important days of the event, he was in Germany. He has said as much on video tape. He was only there for the third day, and I learn that there is some problem with that. There might not have been a third day. Dates are a little bit twisted, but this could be Warren inserting himself into the story. His part of the tale is wrapped in controversy, and he is at odds with what others who were there say. The very same problem with so many other witnesses and one that could be resolved if the descriptions paid a little more attention to accuracy crops up once again. The witness and the story have not been properly vetted.

So, I give up on the military witnesses and look at Bob Lazar. I find that Lazar did work at the Los Alamos National Lab for about a week, according to the documentation supplied, that his name was in a telephone directory there, but that he might have been working with a subcontractor and probably wasn't a scientist on the staff at the lab. Of course the important part of the story is his claim to be out at Area 51 but there seems

to be no records to support that.

I learn that he claims to have attended various prestigious colleges, but can find no records to support that. I do find a bit of a criminal history (pandering, though I'm not sure I care about it, or that it is really relevant, but it is there on the record, unlike his college claims). Just another witness who claims the records were altered or erased.

Other witnesses, such as Captain Robert Sala, said that he had seen a bright glowing UFO and that the weapons at the facility suddenly went into a "no-go" status... Or Lieutenant Colonel Charles Brown who once analyzed UFO sightings and saw two UFOs... Or Dr. Robert Woods who firmly believes in the authenticity of the MJ-12 documents, or Walter Haut who wrote the press release about the UFO crash at Roswell, but who denied anything else, right up to the time that he made a "secret" tape. All interesting stories but none that get us to aliens and hidden documentation. Even the MJ-12 documents, thousands of them are floating around are wrapped in controversy. I learn that some who support and defend some of the MJ-12 documents reject many thousands of those pages.

So, as a journalist who is not all that familiar with the UFO field, I find these problems easily. It does not inspire me with confidence in the other information from the Disclosure Project, nor does it inspire me with confidence in the other witnesses at the project, and it certainly makes me wonder about the UFO field in general.

How do I fix that, if I'm a member of Disclosure? First, I make sure that each of the witnesses is exactly who I represent him to be. I note that Lovekin is a brigadier general in the North Carolina State Guard Association for example... or I list Philip Corso as lieutenant colonel rather than a colonel. I employ a UFO historian so that I don't get caught with suggesting that documents are hidden when they have been available for more than thirty years. In other words, I scrub my lists to be sure that the people on them are listed as precisely as I can. I make sure that I understand the status of each of the witnesses, and that I don't make assumptions about them (listing Warren as an Air Force Security Officer without explaining exactly what that means, and that Warren's total military experience is something like eight months).

So, given the trouble that has arisen, I fix it rather than blame. I make sure that everything is laid out and explained. I reevaluate before I go much further because I know that someone is going to check on it. If they find problems, they'll dig deeper until we're buried. We have to be careful with what we have.

>Ultimately, we each bear responsibility for what we write. If we >make mistakes, we acknowledge that, make our apologies, and move >on. In the end, scholarship is furthered by getting the details >right and not indulging in the blame game when we don't get it >right.

Yes, we do bear the responsibility, but the question addressed to me was where did I get the idea that Lovekin had been an officer in the Eisenhower White House and I told you. But in the end, we did get it right, we just had to hammer some people to get the information sorted out and in doing so, we learned something about the Disclosure Project, about UFOs, and about witness testimony.

KRandle

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 4](#)

An American Demonology

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Sat, 4 Mar 2006 13:59:10 -0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Mar 2006 11:56:14 -0500
Subject: An American Demonology

There's a major article on Colin Bennett's new book on Edward Ruppelt, 'An American Demonology', in today's Daily Express, on pages 50 and 51.

With Channel Five showing 2 UFO documentaries tonight, the UK is seeing some high-profile UFO coverage in the media this weekend.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.co.uk>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 4](#)

A Whistleblower's Uphill Battle

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Sat, 4 Mar 2006 09:10:49 EST
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Mar 2006 12:01:20 -0500
Subject: A Whistleblower's Uphill Battle

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sibel_Edmonds

<http://www.justacitizen.com/>

The above links go to an amazing ongoing story of the plight of a lady named Sibel Edmonds.

She was a translator for the government and discovered some unprofessional conduct regarding colleagues and others regarding the 9/11 event.

Of note here is the uphill battle whistleblowers have to face when doing the public the service we deserve.

It's an amazing story and her website has links to support for whistleblowers.

Since the UFO issue has had some whistleblowers one can imagine why others won't come forward. They have no support nor safety to do so. The public won't rally because the public is either ignorant or afraid.

Study carefully her website and plight. If she's telling the truth, we have much to be concerned with. If not, likewise.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 4](#)

General Debose's Video Testimony?

From: **Greg Boone** <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Sat, 4 Mar 2006 09:31:33 EST
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Mar 2006 12:04:11 -0500
Subject: General Debose's Video Testimony?

I was watching The Roswell Incident special yesterday and again was amazed at a video segment where the late Gen. Debose was interviewed.

I've always wondered why, if a man of his accomplishments made such statements, why weren't they headline news?

What ever became of his testimony and the circumstances surrounding it?

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://ufoupdateslist.com) > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 4](#)

Keep The Ahriman Gate Closed

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 04 Mar 2006 13:11:23 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Mar 2006 13:11:23 -0500
Subject: Keep The Ahriman Gate Closed

Source: American Chronicle.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/qusaz>

March 3, 2006

The Ahriman Gate... Keep It Closed, Please...

By Bruce Collins

I have been reading one of the scariest and most exciting books I've read in a long time.

The author, Tom Horn, also founded www.raidersnewsupdate.com, which is a website that is hard to typecast except to say that it is one-third Matt Drudge, Art Bell and Billy Graham. If you have not seen it, it would be worth your while to check it out. Personally, I now make it a daily stop.

Returning to the book, 'The Ahriman Gate' is the perfect action book, harmoniously blending a tale of intrigue, violence, mayhem and purpose.

Learning about Tom Horn and studying raidersnewsupdate.com, I realize that Tom Horn is the Tom Clancy of modern day phenomena and government cover up.

He writes from experience.

Everyone should benefit from reading this book. Entertained may not be the word to describe your feelings after reading 'The Ahriman Gate' but you will find it extremely thought provoking and well worth your time.

Science fiction buffs will love the cool gadgets (which I would love to own but haven't found yet at Sharper Image). They also will like the UFO storyline that includes references to the face on Mars and those terrifying "Grays" (alien beings....or not?).

Action buffs will love the terrifying scenes that are reminiscent of many of Governor Arnold's movies. Actually, this is Independence Day meets Predator meets James Bond meets the Exorcist. Spies, grays, demons, mythological creatures, spaceships, UFOs, Men In Black, government officials- it's all here and Horn creates an ambiance that made me feel like I was actually spying on the characters. In fact, this would make a great movie.

There is a Christian theme or underpinning to the book but I think this book would appeal to everyone due to an incredible storyline. The action takes you in and it keeps you till the very end.

I would recommend reading this book while visiting [Raidersnewsupdate.com](http://raidersnewsupdate.com). Why? For one thing, the subjects that are dealt with in this book are going on today behind closed doors and this website often usurps 'overlooked' headlines that you

may not find anywhere else.

How's this for a thrilling twist? It seems that the government is paying attention to Mr. Horn. Recently, during an internet interview with Tom, 13,000 listeners were logged on from government computers. Who says this book is fiction?

Kind of makes you want to rush out and buy it?

I highly recommend that you do.

Tom Horn

www.raidersnewsupdate.com

www.ahrimangate.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 4](#)

UK UFO Reports 2002 - 2005

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Sat, 4 Mar 2006 18:36:25 -0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 04 Mar 2006 15:49:52 -0500
Subject: UK UFO Reports 2002 - 2005

Following some recent newspaper articles quoting me discussing Ministry of Defence UFO files, a ufologist posted a link to this List, giving details of UFO sightings in the UK in recent years.

For those who prefer to see the original source, the information is on the MOD's website, accessible from the front page of their FOI page:

<http://www.mod.uk/DefenceInternet/FreedomOfInformation/>

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 5](#)

Re: UK UFO Reports 2002 - 2005 - Anthony

From: Gary Anthony <garyant.nul>
Date: Sun, 5 Mar 2006 00:52:58 -0000
Fwd Date: Sun, 05 Mar 2006 12:04:07 -0500
Subject: Re: UK UFO Reports 2002 - 2005 - Anthony

>From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 4 Mar 2006 18:36:25 -0000
>Subject: UK UFO Reports 2002 - 2005

[Non-Subscriber Post]

The links and news which Errol kindly posted on UFO UpDates on my behalf as .PDF files possess the same information as the original source, the United Kingdom Ministry of Defence.

[See:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/ufoupdates/listers/mod>

--ebk]

Of course, far be it from me to dispute the MoD are the original source because that's surely where they did originate.

It's encouraging the MoD have subsequently published them on their website, as they said they would last year. What's even funnier is that this occurred less than 24 hours after I had posted them to Errol to disseminate, with a website update revision date for just the following day. Talk about coincidences. So, even though some of us had spotted their addition on the MoD site, thanks for alerting the list to the page Nick.

Please kindly read the following relevant paragraph written to me by the current MoD incumbent on 11 November 2005, which indicates what further plans are to be made in this regard:-

"As we hold records from 1985 to date, I am sure you will appreciate this is a large project which is still on going. We have, however, completed the database for the years 2002 up to 2005 and I enclose details from those years. Extracts of the database have also been released in response to specific Freedom of Information requests and these can be viewed in the Reading Room of the MOD FOI Publication Scheme at www.foi.mod.uk. Once completed it is our intention to put the whole database into the MOD FOI Publication Scheme."

A copy of cover letter from the MoD, as a confirmatory with irrelevant parts not included sent to Errol with this mail also.

[See:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/ufoupdates/listers/mod/modtoga.doc>

--ebk]

Of course, we all prefer to read originals and I am sure Errol can vouch for the fact that's what I sent to him, so if this is Nick Pope's sole observation or beef, then fair dinkum.

In part the MoD's and my own humble intention regarding release to the public of these brief annual UFO report descriptions is

now fulfilled. They are there for everyone to see and use, as they deem fit. Whether people access the MoD web page or those which Errol kindly posted, is irrelevant. The information is the same except a few additional reports at the end of December 2005, which is readily noticed by comparison.

Best Regards

Gary Anthony

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 5](#)

Re: UK UFO Reports 2002 - 2005 - Olson

From: **Jeff Olson** <jlolson.nul>
Date: Sat, 4 Mar 2006 17:37:29 -0800
Fwd Date: Sun, 05 Mar 2006 12:10:13 -0500
Subject: Re: UK UFO Reports 2002 - 2005 - Olson

>From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 4 Mar 2006 18:36:25 -0000
>Subject: UK UFO Reports 2002 - 2005

<snip>

After reading through numerous British FOI "releases," I must say that I'm impressed by the civility in which the British Gov tells people inquiring about UFOs to (in effect) "bugger off." :-)

Jeff

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 5](#)

Re: A Whistleblower's Uphill Battle - Freeman

From: Kelly Freeman <Khfflsciufu.nul>
Date: Sun, 5 Mar 2006 09:13:37 EST
Fwd Date: Sun, 05 Mar 2006 12:13:43 -0500
Subject: Re: A Whistleblower's Uphill Battle - Freeman

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 4 Mar 2006 09:10:49 EST
>Subject: A Whistleblower's Uphill Battle

<snip>

>Since the UFO issue has had some whistleblowers one can imagine
>why others won't come forward. They have no support nor safety
>to do so. The public won't rally because the public is either
>ignorant or afraid.

Hello Greg, EBK and List,

Greg, the public won't rally because they probably aren't privy to the facts, and/or are wary of anything that challenges the status quo that is not based on truth/facts. I believe that if an informed public knows the truth, they will do the right thing.

But, your post brings up an interesting question. I noticed that Sibel Edmonds revealed her plight at the ACLU web site. If the UFO whistleblowers are telling the truth and are motivated by the knowledge of that truth, why haven't they also put their stories at the ACLU website, or for that matter, why hasn't the Disclosure Project/exopolitics movement approached that kind of strategy?

I am not aware of such a strategy if one exists. But, if there is, please correct me.

In peace and light,

Kelly

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 5](#)

he 1974 Santurce Puerto Rico Film

From: **Scott Corrales** <lornis1.nul>
Date: Sun, 5 Mar 2006 07:15:29 -0500
Fwd Date: Sun, 05 Mar 2006 12:17:02 -0500
Subject: he 1974 Santurce Puerto Rico Film

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology
March 4, 2006

UFO history: The 1974 Santurce, P.R. Film

Every so often a little bit of UFO history comes our way. This time it takes the shape of a short videoclip of a landmark documentary produced and narrated by publicist Jorge Marquina in 1975 and bearing the title "Platillos Voladores Sobre Puerto Rico: Documento Confidencial" (Flying Saucers Over Puerto Rico: A Secret File).

Appearing in the sequence of images is the 1974 UFO that appeared over the former Eastern Airlines building in Santurce - a large district of San Juan, P.R. - during a midnight-to-morning commercial shoot for a popular rum company.

Following Mr. Marquina's exposition of the facts, the viewer sees an airliner fly over the building, then the sudden appearance of an unexplained source of light that navigates is off camera, toward the enigmatic mountain rainforest known as El Yunque.

Marquina ends by asking: "Where did it come from? What did it come for? Where did it go?" - questions that remain unclear 30 years later.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dfG0B5l-AIQ>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 5](#)

Something's Going On In Argentina

From: **Scott Corrales** <lornis1.nul>
Date: Sun, 5 Mar 2006 08:32:36 -0500
Fwd Date: Sun, 05 Mar 2006 12:19:40 -0500
Subject: Something's Going On In Argentina

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology
March 5, 2006

Source: El Diario de la Pampa
Date: 03.04.06

Argentina: "Something's Going On"

GENERAL PICO - The disappearance of policeman Sergio Pucheta summoned the presence of a number of researchers, among them renowned Santa Rosa researcher Quique Mario, who has been studying the UFO phenomenon in the province of La Pampa for some 30 years. "Evidently something is going on that merits the attention of the public and the authorities, in order to understand the phenomenon better," he said yesterday during a press interview.

Mario, who followed police combing operations closely in the attempt to find Pucheta, contacted sources at the Centeno Hospital, highlighting that from the onset "there was something strange going on with the officer's disappearance"

Meanwhile, he added that after the officer was found, he managed to speak with Pucheta himself, who was "in a state of very deep emotional shock"

"We visited him with Sheriff Roberto Ayala, the Chief of UR II, and asked him to relax by means of breathing exercises. He began to loosen up and then began to tell his story," Mario explained.

Likewise, the ufologist indicated that Pucheta could not explain "what happened to his gun, his cell phone and his handy" adding that "he was chased by two people with striking characteristics, shorter than he and with red eyes."

Mario remarked that Pucheta's trail "reached some 2700 meters away from the site where the episode occurred" and that the young man "has no idea how he arrived" at the location where he was rescued. Meanwhile, physicians did not find "strange marks on his body", he was expecting Pucheta's improvement in order to go deeper into his experiences.

Finally, Quique Mario stated that the vicinity of La Barrancosa "was one of the few areas of the province in which strange lights appear that I am not familiar with," remarking that "there are many places in which [people] are seeing strange lights of unknown origin."

Thus, he pointed out that "something is going on that merits the attention of the public and the authorities in an effort to understand this phenomenon. There are recent recordings and many photos taken in broad daylight over General Acha, Santa Rosa, Macachin, Riglos and Parra. Evidently something's going on."

Translation (c) 2006, Scott Corrales, IHU.

Special thanks to Christian Quintero, Planeta UFO

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 5](#)

Re: Suggested Code Of Ethics For The Investigator

From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sun, 5 Mar 2006 16:40:40 -0000
Fwd Date: Sun, 05 Mar 2006 12:21:38 -0500
Subject: Re: Suggested Code Of Ethics For The Investigator

>From: Diana Cammack <cammack.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 14:14:18 -0000
>Subject: Re: Suggested Code Of Ethics For The Investigator

>>From: Robert A. Goerman <robertgoerman.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 27 Feb 2006 20:01:52 -0500
>>Subject: Suggested Code Of Ethics For The Investigator

>>The following Code Of Ethics contains standards of conduct which
>>define the basic essentials of honorable behavior for the
>>investigator:

>>An investigator will always regard responsibility to the truth
>>as paramount.

<snip>

>As a researcher by profession (human rights, etc) I am not
>convinced these two principles are always compatible:

>>"...and to make relevant information available to colleagues and the public.
>>An investigator will always respect the law and legal authority."

>There have been times when I have done research in countries
>where the (abusive) authorities would gladly have closed me
>down/kicked me out had they know what I was doing.... Is it not
>the same in ufo research? Is there not sometimes a tension
>between these two principles?

Too right Diana,

Broadly speaking, impartial investigation is not welcomed by
authority - which has a lot to do with the mind-sets of those
who need / get authority.

[Maybe try psychos2.txt for references - but don't be surprised
if you recognize the symptoms described.]

So the more impartial the investigator is, and the wider their
fields of attention or research - the more opposition (and
oppression) they can expect. Further - as that psychos2 shows -
the most powerful and 'respectable' agencies tend to have most
to hide. To quote one reference - "The higher you look up the
ladders of influence the more sociopaths you'll find".

As I've noticed.

Cheers

Ray D

"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 6](#)

Re: UK UFO Reports 2002 - 2005 - Pope

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Sun, 5 Mar 2006 17:59:02 -0000
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Mar 2006 08:43:43 -0500
Subject: Re: UK UFO Reports 2002 - 2005 - Pope

>From: Gary Anthony <garyant.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 5 Mar 2006 00:52:58 -0000
>Subject: UK UFO Reports 2002 - 2005

>>From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 4 Mar 2006 18:36:25 -0000
>>Subject: UK UFO Reports 2002 - 2005

<snip>

>It's encouraging the MoD have subsequently published them on their website, as they said they would last year. What's even funnier is that this occurred less than 24 hours after I had posted them to Errol to disseminate, with a website update revision date for just the following day. Talk about coincidences. So, even though some of us had spotted their addition on the MoD site, thanks for alerting the list to the page Nick.

<snip>

>Of course, we all prefer to read originals and I am sure Errol can vouch for the fact that's what I sent to him, so if this is Nick Pope's sole observation or beef, then fair dinkum.

>In part the MoD's and my own humble intention regarding release to the public of these brief annual UFO report descriptions is now fulfilled. They are there for everyone to see and use, as they deem fit. Whether people access the MoD web page or those which Errol kindly posted, is irrelevant. The information is the same except a few additional reports at the end of December 2005, which is readily noticed by comparison.

The MOD has long said that information passed to members of the public in response to FOI requests will be posted in the Disclosure Logs or Publication Scheme where appropriate, so there's nothing odd about this information having been posted on the MOD's site so soon after its release.

As I explained to Gary off-list, the sole purpose of my post was to direct people to the MOD website, because in my experience most researchers dealing with government information want to be able to source it from official databases.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 6](#)

Re: UK UFO Reports 2002 - 2005 - Pope

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Sun, 5 Mar 2006 18:16:05 -0000
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Mar 2006 08:44:48 -0500
Subject: Re: UK UFO Reports 2002 - 2005 - Pope

>From: Jeff Olson <jlolson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 4 Mar 2006 17:37:29 -0800
>Subject: Re: UK UFO Reports 2002 - 2005

>>From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 4 Mar 2006 18:36:25 -0000
>>Subject: UK UFO Reports 2002 - 2005

>After reading through numerous British FOI "releases," I must say that I'm impressed by the civility in which the British Gov tells people inquiring about UFOs to (in effect) "bugger off." :-)

Jeff, how could you be so cynical?

At least people get some information now. In the bad old days people would generally get little more than a standard reply about misperception, aircraft lights, satellites, etc. Then, when somebody became particularly tiresome, they would receive a note stating "Thank you for your letter, the contents of which have been noted". That was the real "bugger off" :-)

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 6](#)

Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Salla

From: Michael Salla <exopolitics.nul>
Date: Sun, 5 Mar 2006 08:24:15 -1000
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Mar 2006 08:47:58 -0500
Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Salla

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 3 Mar 2006 20:47:12 EST
>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

>>From: Michael Salla <exopolitics.nul>
>>To: <Ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 2 Mar 2006 06:45:57 -1000
>>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

>>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 14:27:06 EST
>>>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

<snip>

>>While there may be some ambiguity in various online and printed
>>descriptions that can lead to some confusion of Stephen
>>Lovekin's actual rank during his service at the White House, it
>>is ultimately the responsibility of the researcher to get it
>>right by going to first hand sources and not secondary sources.
>>This is even more important when writing a detailed critique
>>where a whistleblower's integrity and military service is called
>>into question as you did in your blog site article that was so
>>critical of Stephen Lovekin and his rank as Brigadier General,
>>and officer status in WHASA.

>You really don't get it do you? I'm an officer in the military,
>about to return to active duty and I stick my neck way out
>suggesting that a man claiming to be a general has no real
>standing at that rank...

It has already been established that the rank of Brig General
attained by Stephen Lovekin is recognized by the North Carolina
State Guard which is part of the State Guard Association of the
US (SGAUS) that acts as a reserve force to be commanded by the
State Governor and by the National Guard when required. In a
speech before the annual meeting of the SGAUS, here is what the
Secretary of the Army, Louis Caldera, had to say in 1998:

"I'm very pleased to talk with this group of patriotic
Americans. You are true citizen soldiers, embodying the militia
heritage of our nation. You are a militia in the finest sense of
the word. The constitution calls for "a wellregulated militia"
and that's what you are, supporting civil government, assisting
your neighbors in times of distress, and - should it ever be
necessary defending your state from its enemies. Your
volunteerism, selfless service to community and state, and love
of country represents all that is good about America. Your
service is important, and it will become even more so in the
future. Representing 12,000 volunteers in 25 states and
territories, you are an invaluable resource, augmenting the
National Guard in its state role and assisting state and local
authorities in a variety of missions."

<http://web.archive.org/web/20000815074018/www.sgaus.org/caldera.htm>

So to describe someone who attains a rank in the NCSG or SGAUS as having "no standing at that rank" is not correct. Furthermore, Army regulations permit the wearing of military uniforms with State Guard name tags. So you are ignoring the official status or recognition given to these voluntary "well regulated militia" associations recognized by a variety of state and federal authorities, and authorized by the Constitution.

>If I'm wrong, and he is a member of the
>active force, the National Guard or the Army Reserve, I'm about
>to get into a lot of trouble. All he has to do is call my
>adjutant general and tell him that there is a major running
>around spreading false stories. Where does that leave me? I was
>quite sure that I was right about Lovekin's status as a general
>before I published a word.

You were right that the rank was not in the services you just identified, but in another state based service that has varying degrees of support by the US military as Secretary Caldera suggested. You knew that by taking a 'cheap shot' against a state based organization that has only limited support by the regular military, you would not be reprimanded. There was little risk on your part here.

>And while I believe that this misrepresentation of Lovekin's
>>true status as a general is not his fault, just whose do you
>think it is?

There is no misrepresentation in the fact that Stephen Lovekin attained the rank of Brigadier General in a State recognized military organization under the command of the State Governor that is part of a national military organization SGAUS that acts as a support force for the Army National Guard, and in 1998 had over 12,000 volunteers in 25 states. The fact that the Secretary of the Army recognized the important support role the SGAUS plays suggests that there has not been any misrepresentation at all. What there has been is a determined effort on your part to demean the importance and official status of the SGAUS and the NCSG in an effort to diminish the credibility of Steven Lovekin, or the way he has been represented by the Disclosure Project and others.

>>I think your apology to Steven Lovekin is very appropriate and
>>resolves the issue as far as his military service and how that
>>is backed up by documentation is concerned. I think your effort
>>to shift responsibility to the Disclosure Project or the
>>secondary sources that you read in reaching your erroneous
>>inferences regarding his military service is not valid.

>But part of the responsibility is that of the Disclosure
>Project. Look where we are today because they can't take the
>time to vet their witnesses properly. Just what do you think the
>reaction would be if a journalist came to this story of
>Disclosure with an open mind, looked at the long and rather
>impressive list of witnesses and decided to verify the
>credentials?

I don't see your objection here. In what way was Stephen Lovekin not vetted properly? He has supplied documents that validates his testimony. You are wanting to focus on the way he was represented by the Disclosure Project and others, but as has been pointed out, the Brigadier General rank in the "National Guard Reserve" is not an inaccurate description as Secretary Caldera's comments make clear.

>Well here is a brigadier general from the Eisenhower White
>House. But not really, the commission is in some "membership"
>organization that one of it's members says is not part of the
>military. He wasn't an officer at the Eisenhower White House but
>an enlisted man who might have seen or overheard something. When
>we look at the interview Grant Cameron posted, we see the story
>is not quite as impressive as we have lead to believe... but there
>is a core there that remains mildly interesting.

It has already been pointed out that Lovekin himself said in his testimonies that he was enlisted. That is the primary source here and what we should put your attention on, rather than quibble over how he was represented by secondary sources. Since his public testimony is available, that should be sufficient to clear up any possible confusion over his rank when serving in

the White House Army Signal Agency. That is the core of the story here and I disagree that it is "mildly interesting". Here we have someone who directly served in a capacity where he had the opportunity to hear President Eisenhower first hand reflections on how he felt about the UFO/ET management system. That is vitally important and Lovekin ought to be recognized as playing an important service in coming forward to share his testimony.

>So we look at Colonel Philip J. Corso, another high ranking officer and the first thing we find is that he wasn't a colonel (or rather there is no documentation to support that claim) but we do learn that he was a retired lieutenant colonel. The mistake could be the publishers of his book because civilians often don't understand these distinctions but the Disclosure Project insists on listing him as a colonel.

Again, why don't you look at what Corso himself had to say (primary material) and you will find that he consistently described himself as a Lt Col., in interviews and writing. I have asked you before to cite examples where he described himself as a full Colonel but you have yet to supply this. The term 'Colonel' as well as 'General' also has a generic meaning that covers more than one rank which is often used and can lead to confusion.

>Then we look at what he has claimed and we find some troubling aspects to it. If we read his book we find things that do not track with history, and we learn that in the mid-1960s, the FBI had compiled a file on this guy with some unflattering things in it. Things about his credibility. Well, being a journalist and not a rabid UFO believer, I take the FBI notes to heart rather than reject them out of hand. But if I'm that rabid UFO believer, I'm disturbed by the FBI note because it suggests Corso has a history of trouble with the truth.

You should also add that the FBI report lists Corso as having served in the NSC Operations Coordinating Board. I thought you agreed with Brad Sparks that the FBI got that wrong. So it looks to me like you are cherry picking what serves your arguments in terms of the FBI report. In your view, yes, it is correct in discrediting Corso, but wrong in describing his official position when serving in the NSC system created by the Eisenhower administration.

>So I come to another lieutenant colonel, this one in the Air Force. I check and learn that he won the Bronze Star in Vietnam, that he retired as a lieutenant colonel, and the things he said about his background check out as the truth. This is a breath of fresh air. No controversy in his credentials.

>But then I look at his story, of seeing classified documents about an alien spaceship crash on Spitzbergen Island. I check a little further and I learn that such documents do exist and were part of the Project Blue Book files. And then I learn that the documents were declassified thirty years ago and have been available to the public since then.

>So the story is true, the lieutenant colonel did see them, they were once classified, and they do relate to a UFO crash on Spitzbergen Island. Now we're getting somewhere...

>Of course I check other sources and learn that most of those in the UFO community, that is, the rabid believers that we can so casually dismiss as being true believers, tell me that the Spitzbergen crash is a hoax that came from a German magazine in the early 1950s. In fact, the first reports of the craft suggest Soviet origin rather than alien. Markings inside the craft are in Russian.

>So here is another story that is almost interesting, but falls apart upon investigation. Good, solid witness, documentation, and a plausible explanation that even some of the UFO researchers accept. Disclosure on this has already happened.

>I come to Command Sergeant Major (CSM) Robert Dean. I know enough about the military to realize that very few men reach that rank. I know battalions (500 or 600 men and women) have but one command sergeant major in them. It is an impressive feat to reach that grade.

>And I look at his story - and learn that when he saw The
>Assessment, he wasn't a CSM but a master sergeant.

I just completed an interview with Robert Dean which will be published in the next edition of the Exopolitics Journal where he responds to your earlier critique of him. He points out that he was an E-7 (Master Sergeant) when he arrived at SHAPE and was promoted to E-8 while serving at Operations Division.

I was a Master Sergeant E7, at the time I arrived at SHAPE. And then I got promoted to Master Sergeant E8. Now that was about, back at the time when the United States Army created what they called "Super Grades". They created the 'Grade E8 and E9'. And prior to that time the senior enlisted level was E7. Well, when I got there I was an E7. While I was there in [the] Operations Division I got promoted to E8, one of the Super Grades, and I moved from the Operations Division over to the Language Services Branch."

Dean was promoted to Command Sergeant Major later in his career. This tactic of yours of quibbling over the ranks attained by military servicemen when they witnessed UFO related information is a distraction. In my view, you constantly raise red herrings to distract researchers from focusing on the testimony of individuals like Lovekin, Corso and Dean, and instead seek to get us bogged down over minor details such as when Dean became a CSM as opposed to being a Master Sergeant, whether Corso was promoted to Full Colonel upon retirement, etc. All distractions.

>Then I learn
>that Dean has told a couple of versions of how he saw The
>Assessment, that he had submitted a copy of the cover to prove
>that it existed, but an impartial investigation, conducted by
>rabid UFO believers found the cover to probably be a fake. Dean
>said that he got it from somewhere else and it looked right to
>him. No reason to reject this statement, but the reality is, the
>cover submitted to validate The Assessment is a phony.

Dean was very upset that you never contacted him to ask about what happened. This repeats what you did with Lovekin where you didn't bother to verify with the primary source and just focused on secondary sources that suited your argument. Dean fully explained the circumstances surrounding the phony Assessment cover and I that will come out shortly in the interview.

>So, there are some discrepancies with Dean's story and that
>makes me wonder about it. If true, it is substantial, but
>looking at it through the lenses of my journalist glasses, there
>are just too many red flags (or red herrings as some would say)
>to accept it at face value without some additional
>corroboration.

I understand your tactic. Focus on minor details, blow these up as major discrepancies, stories being changed etc., all in an effort to discredit the witness and not consider his/her testimony. As I have said repeatedly for the benefit of the List, Robert Dean did serve in the War Room of NATO and did have access to very sensitive documents. We need to take his testimony seriously and not just dismiss it as you suggest.

I won't respond to your comments regarding Clifford Stone since I am still getting documentary material to respond to the earlier correspondence I had with you and Brad Sparks over his testimony. I still conclude based on the material I have seen and interviews I've had, that Stone is sincere and has sufficient integrity as a witness to seriously consider his testimony.

>I go on to Sergeant First Class Clifford Stone who has
>apparently been at nearly every important UFO event in the last
>fifty or so years. I learn that he has seen a book that talks
>about 57 different alien races having some sort of contact with
>Earth and I smile at the 57 thinking of 57 varieties. But I also
>realize that I can't reject the number simply because it has
>some advertising value.

<snip>

>>Ultimately, we each bear responsibility for what we write. If we
>>make mistakes, we acknowledge that, make our apologies, and move
>>on. In the end, scholarship is furthered by getting the details

>>right and not indulging in the blame game when we don't get it
>>right.

>Yes, we do bear the responsibility, but the question addressed
>to me was where did I get the idea that Lovekin had been an
>officer in the Eisenhower White House and I told you. But in the
>end, we did get it right, we just had to hammer some people to
>get the information sorted out and in doing so, we learned
>something about the Disclosure Project, about UFOs, and about
>witness testimony.

When you say you did get it right, you are referring to your speculation that the Brig General status was not recognized by the Active Army, Army Reserve or National Guard. However, this is only part of the military landscape in the US and overlooks the 'official' status of Stephen Lovekin's State Guard military service, the recognition by the Secretary of the Army himself that the SGAUS is an auxillary or support service to the National Guard, thereby indicating that it is not a misrepresentation to refer to this over 12,000 strong volunteer force (1998 figures) as a "National Guard Reserve" which was the Disclosure Project for him. Furthermore, you were wrong in a number of other speculations over his White House service, the timing of his degrees, and finally the utility of his testimony.

In all these mistaken speculations you showed a reluctance to consult primary source material and relied instead on internet research to debunk a key witness and recommend his testimony be entirely removed from consideration by this List. I agree with David Rudiak that you really needed to consult with the primary source material which was not that difficult to access. As for hammering people to get the information out, I think it far better to have simply waited for Stephen Lovekin to fully recover from his recent illness and ask him for documents rather than launch a public campaign to impugn his integrity at a time when he was very sick and unable to put attention into the matter. Personally, I'm very glad that the documentation has been publicly released but think it could have been handled in a much more appropriate manner.

Aloha,

Michael Salla

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 6](#)

Re: The 1974 Santurce Puerto Rico Film - Olmos

From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <ballesterolmos.nul>
Date: Sun, 5 Mar 2006 19:31:09 +0100 (CET)
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Mar 2006 08:50:42 -0500
Subject: Re: The 1974 Santurce Puerto Rico Film - Olmos

>From: Scott Corrales <lornis1.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 5 Mar 2006 07:15:29 -0500
>Subject: The 1974 Santurce Puerto Rico Film

Friends:

Can any Puerto Rico colleague inform me about the exact date when this filming took place, as well as the name of the production company which was in charge of the filming?

Also: is it known who is custodying the film as of today?

Thank you,

Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos
FOTOCAT Project
<<http://fotocat.blogspot.com/>>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 6](#)

CNUFOS?

From: **Larry Hatch** <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Sun, 05 Mar 2006 14:28:17 -0800
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Mar 2006 08:56:32 -0500
Subject: CNUFOS?

Hello all and FYI:

Here's the latest web ripoff of all our web works.

Does this page look familiar? (It certainly does to me)
<http://www.cnufos.com/pages/newsandstories/u%20database.htm>

Its a 2-week old copy of my main page here:
<http://www.larryhatch.net/>

Cnufos.com (not to be confused with CUFOS except by design)
certainly didn't start or stop with my website.

Here's another ripoff of Filers Files for example:
<http://www.cnufos.com/pages/newsandstories/filer's%20files%2001-26-05.htm>

Brian Vike of HBCC Canada gets ripped off here:
<http://www.cnufos.com/pages/newsandstories/hbcc%20recent%2005-17-05.htm>

UFO Updates and Santiago Yturria are scraped here:
<http://www.cnufos.com/pages/newsandstories/kaufman%20fleet.htm>

Frank Warren, Stan Friedman and others get unexpected copy here"
<http://www.cnufos.com/pages/newsandstories/ufo%20debate.htm>

Mark Pilkington gets ripped off here:
<http://www.cnufos.com/pages/newsandstories/film%20vs%20ufo.htm>

A.J. Gevaerd, the rensse.com site and Varginha are hit here:
<http://www.cnufos.com/pages/newsandstories/varginha%20brazil.htm>

.. and I need not go on. This is wholesale "scraping" as its
called in the trade. For a full list, to see if your website
is included, try this Google search:

<http://tinyurl.com/j8c6r>

...but don't feel too too bad!

Google sniffed out this perp immediately. Look closely. Each and
every result on the search above is a "supplemental result",
which is Google-speak for an obvious copycat or "scraper".

"Supplemental" in this context means that the perpetrator has
been 'found out', at least by Google, and that there is
virtually no chance of CNUFOS pages rating higher than the
original rightful sources. If not, I would have written in
sooner.

So WHO IS the perp here? That calls for a WHOIS search. Here is
what I learned, not an awful lot:

Registrant: 2551 E Taro Ln (I expected a name rather than a
street address!)

Registered through: GoDaddy.com (A throw-away domain?)
Domain Name: CNUFOS.COM
Domain servers in listed order: NS1.ABAC.COM NS2.ABAC.COM

ABAC.com is your garden variety cheap website host, \$5.99 per month for starters. The perp doesn't even bother to change the links on the copied pages! So, if you click on some maps menu from my copied main page say, you wind up on one of my real pages! That's how I found this.

My guess is that this is some teeny-bopper with time on his hands. Google scoped out his act in short order.

I keep a close watch on copycats, thus this message. Back to our regular contributors.

Best wishes

- Larry Hatch

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 6](#)

Re: A Whistleblower's Uphill Battle - White

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Sun, 05 Mar 2006 17:40:52 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Mar 2006 08:58:04 -0500
Subject: Re: A Whistleblower's Uphill Battle - White

>From: Kelly Freeman <Khfflsciufu.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 5 Mar 2006 09:13:37 EST
>Subject: Re: A Whistleblower's Uphill Battle

<snip>

>>Since the UFO issue has had some whistleblowers one can imagine
>>why others won't come forward. They have no support nor safety
>>to do so. The public won't rally because the public is either
>>ignorant or afraid.

>Hello Greg, EBK and List,

>Greg, the public won't rally because they probably aren't privy
>to the facts, and/or are wary of anything that challenges the
>status quo that is not based on truth/facts. I believe that if
>an informed public knows the truth, they will do the right
>thing.

I'll second that. That also applies to the citizen gang stalking
and electronic harassment.

>But, your post brings up an interesting question. I noticed
that >Sibel Edmonds revealed her plight at the ACLU web site. If
the >UFO whistleblowers are telling the truth and are motivated
by >the knowledge of that truth, why haven't they also put their
>stories at the ACLU website, or for that matter, why hasn't the
>Disclosure Project/exopolitics movement approached that kind of
>strategy?

If anyone submits to the ACLU, I hope they post the results to
this list. The ACLU refuses to touch the citizen stalking gang
and hi tech harassment issue.

And why the ACLU actually sided with Michael Schiavo when the
courts ordered the torture to death of Terri, is beyond
imagination. The courts had numerous medical reports showing
she was conscious and would benefit from the rehab Michael
denied her. (That information from medical personnel, clergy,
family and close friends of Terri, and some of that information
was posted on her web site.)

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 6](#)

UFO Chronology 1877-2006

From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi2.nul>
Date: Sun, 5 Mar 2006 23:03:59 -0000
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Mar 2006 09:05:15 -0500
Subject: UFO Chronology 1877-2006

About two and a half years after starting drafting it (and several more years after starting relevant reading), I am now ready to share a preliminary draft of a chronology of frequently discussed UFO and SETI related events from 1877-2006 ("Koi Chrono Core" for short).

This Chronology, like my heart, is dedicated to my wife.

I originally had in mind preparing a chronology about 20-30 pages long. The Chronology quickly became, um, considerably longer. The current draft is approximately 1,800 pages long (much of which is taken up with references).

Due to the technical wizardry of Joe McGonagle and Gary Anthony (for which I am very grateful) the download is available as a zipped Word document of about 1.6 Mb. Using a broadband service, this should download in about a second or two. Un-zipped, the file size is approximately 9.1 Mb.

Following various technical problems, the Moderator of UFO UpDates (Errol Bruce-Knapp) kindly stepped in and offered to host the relevant files on his webspace. The full draft Chronology should (fingers crossed!) be available at the links below.

The first link (below) is to a zipped Word copy of the full Chronology, which is about 1.6 Mb:

<http://tinyurl.com/re7ae>

The next link (below) is to an unzipped copy of the same Word document. This is quite a bit bigger, so I wouldn't recommend trying this one unless you have a rather fast internet connection and don't have unzipping software (or if you, like me until recently, don't know what zipped files are). It is about 9.1 Mb:

<http://tinyurl.com/oahe7>

The download is free. This document, or extracts from it, may be freely used (including being distributed, printed or cannibalised) for non-commercial purposes (subject to a couple of minor conditions, included in the first footnote to the document). If it isn't used, I've wasted quite a bit of time!

The Chronology gives the dates of various frequently discussed events, incidents, individuals and documents in the history of ufology and is cross-referenced to related material.

I have concentrated on references to discussions in UFO and SETI books. As part of the preparation of this document, I have read a little over 1,000 such books noting relevant discussions.

So that others can see which books I've intended to cover already and carry on from where I've already reached, I've tried to follow the self imposed Rules when drafting the Chronology:

(A) If the footnote to one entry refers to a book, that entire book has to be reviewed for material relevant to the footnotes

for any other entry or entries;

(B) Each book reviewed for relevant material must be listed in the Chronology with an entry in the following format "[Year of Copyright] - Book: [Author] [Title]"

(C) If a new entry is added, each of the books that has already been reviewed must be reviewed again to check if they contained material relevant to the new entry.

I have not stuck rigidly to the last of these "Rules". As a result of that Rule, after reading the first 50 or so books I re-read each of them to look for references relevant to entries that had been added. However, when I added entries after reading (as happened in several instances) about 900 books, I was (to put it mildly) rather reluctant to re-read all the earlier books. Thus, the references for various late additions are relatively incomplete. Fortunately, the number of such entries is rather limited.

I have also made a start on cross-referencing events to underlying primary material (including US Air Force, CIA and FBI documentation) and included a limited number of hyperlinks to material available on the Internet.

This Chronology is intended to act as an efficient way of organising references and hyperlinks to relevant material, in the interests of more fully informed debate and reducing the amount of reinvention of the wheel.

Under the present civil litigation procedure in England in large commercial cases, the parties to litigation are expected to co-operate in various respects so as to make a case fit for trial in as efficient and fair a manner as possible. This commonly involves, at the most basic level, producing various agreed (and therefore non-contentiously drafted) documents so that the trial judge will be able to understand the nature of the dispute and the issues in dispute as efficiently as possible. These non-contentious documents typically include:

(1) a chronology (giving the dates of key events, a few words to identify the event and cross references to further material relied upon by both sides in relation to that event;

(2) a List of Issues (listing the main issues agreed and not agreed between the parties);

(3) a case memorandum (summarising the case in just a few pages);

(4) a dramatis personae (listing the names that the Judge will come across at trial, with a few words to identify them);

(5) a glossary (listing technical terms or terms of art that will arise during the trial, with an agreed definition of those terms);

(6) a reading list (of material to be read in advance of the trial).

I believe that ufology would greatly benefit from adopting some elements of this approach. All too often, the debates regarding UFO reports do not focus on the real issues (or even identify the issues which should be addressed).

The English High Court's "Admiralty & Commercial Court Guide" (commonly referred to as "the Commercial Court Guide") contains the following in relation to chronologies:

"3. As far as possible chronologies and indices should not be prepared in a tendentious form. The ideal is that the court and the parties should have a single point of reference that all find useful and are happy to work with.

4. Where there is disagreement about a particular event or description, it is useful if that fact is indicated in neutral terms and the competing versions shortly stated.

5. If time and circumstances allow its preparation, a chronology or index to which all parties have contributed and agreed can be invaluable.

6. Chronologies and indices once prepared can be easily updated and are of continuing usefulness throughout the life of the case."

Many books are written in chronological order. Some of those books contain a recap of many of the facts and matters covered in this Working Document. However, those books also contain evaluations of the relevant events and are rarely (if ever) written in a non-contentious style.

If the chronology is to be a manageable document, the information about the relevant event contained within the chronology must be kept to an absolute minimum. Since the chronology will only cover well-known key dates and incidents, in most instances the information may only need to be a few words (e.g. "Sighting by Kenneth Arnold") rather than a full description of the relevant event. Therefore, the chronology is not designed to:

(a) act as an encyclopedia. There are already several encyclopedias relating to UFOs (e.g. those by Jerome Clark, Ronald Story and Margaret Sachs).

(b) act as a survey of the history of Ufology. Again, several books of this nature have already been written (e.g. by Jacobs, Dolan and Peebles).

(c) set out primary material. The Chronology is not designed to duplicate the work done by others, particularly by Loren Gross.

(d) act as a comprehensive bibliography. Book length bibliographies have been published already, and the AFU has recently released on the Internet a fairly comprehensive bibliography of UFO books.

(e) act as a list of all UFO sightings, or even all allegedly significant sightings. Various large databases already exist (including UFOCAT and Larry Hatch's *U* Database).

I'd like to stress that the current draft is just that - a draft. The Chronology is far from finished. Indeed, it never will be completely finished. There will always be more references that could be added. The amount of further work done on the present draft, and the form that it takes, will depend at least in part on the amount and nature of the feedback obtained on the present draft.

The draft chronology is intended to be easy to edit (whether by adding to the present draft, or to delete existing entries). In particular, it is important to note that it is not necessary to insert any entry at the relevant point in the main table or the endnote tables. An entry can be inserted at any point (including the beginning or the end) and then the table can simply be sorted. Many of the endnotes contain tables of references. Those tables can be cut and pasted into a new document, and then sorted alphabetically, chronologically or by length of discussion.

Assistance and suggestions from other individuals or groups would be more than welcome. In order of priority, I would particular welcome input in relation to the following:

(1) any concerns (however slight) about any ethical issues arising in relation to the draft Chronology;

(2) any concerns about any apparent bias in the content of the draft Chronology;

(3) suggestions as to the way forward. I am considering a number of ideas, including getting a small group together to discuss relevant issues and/or raising specific queries on the UFO Updates List (if the moderator, EBK, has no objection). Another possibility is simply abandoning further work on the Chronology so that I can concentrate on other projects;

(4) mistakes in the dates for an entry. This sort of mistake is easily corrected, and given the title of the document it would be embarrassing if errors in the dates are not corrected. Please note that the dates for an incident can vary from source to source, so suggestions of corrections to the date of the

incident should ideally refer to primary source material. (I'd estimate the error rate in UFO books in relation to dates to be approximately 5-10%. In particular dates for alleged sightings by astronauts vary to such an extent that I'm sorely tempted simply to delete the whole damn lot of them);

(5) mistakes in the short summary given for each entry;

(6) mistakes in the existing references;

(7) further individual references to primary source material available in published books in relation to existing entries (e.g. where an entry exists in the Chronology for a CIA document, I would like to include multiple references to images and/or the complete text of that document so that it can be located by other researchers as quickly as possible);

(8) material missed for existing entries from books already listed in the Chronology. I currently own all the books listed in the Chronology in the format "[Year of Copyright] - Book: [Author] [Title]" and thus can quickly access those books;

(9) suggestions as to the priority of further books to be reviewed (particularly those containing significant primary source material). I read a lot, so feel free to give recommendations. If the relevant book is not listed in the Chronology in the format "[Year of Copyright] - Book: [Author] [Title]" then I probably haven't bought that book yet;

(10) further individual references to discussions in published books of events in existing entries in the draft Chronology;

(11) suggestions in relation to referencing internet material. Please note that this item is deliberately placed at the end of this list, because of the distressingly short period of time most links remain valid. Many of the links I inserted in the earliest draft of the Chronology in 2003 became invalid before the preliminary draft of the Chronology was completed in February 2006.

Various chronologies are already available online, including the following:

(a) A chronology entitled "Chronology of UFO History" by FUFOR at:

http://www.fufor.com/ufo_chronology.htm

(b) A chronology entitled "UFO Chronology by Year", apparently prepared by a chapter of MUFON at:

<http://www.westmassmufon.org/ufochronology.htm>

(c) A chronology entitled "Government Involvement in the UFO Coverup" by "PEA Research" at:

http://www.think-aboutit.com/ufo/government_involvement_in_the uf.htm

(d) A short chronology entitled "A Century of UFOs" at:

<http://www.gtm.net/~geibdan/a2000/jan/a2.htm>

(e) Several pages, starting at:

<http://ufos.about.com/library/bldata/bltime.htm>

(f) "A Brief SETI Chronology" by Owen, Robert M on the SETI League website, at:

<http://www.setileague.org/general/history.htm>

(g) Last but certainly not least, an ambitious chronology is also in preparation by Fran Ridge on the "NICAP" website.

Various chronologies have also been included in several books, including by the following authors:

(1) Flammonde, Paris in his "UFO Exist!" (1976) at pages 426-445 (Appendix 1 generally) of the Ballantine Books paperback edition.

(2) Hall, Michael David in his "UFOs : A Century of Sightings" (1999) generally.

(3) Randles, Jenny in her "Little Giant UFO Encyclopedia"

(4) Hall, Richard "The UFO Evidence" (Volumes 1 and 2).

Also, an interesting "Parrallel Time Line" by Martin S Kottmeyer and Ronald Story provides an outline timeline showing developments in:

- (a) ufology and SETI,
- (b) science and technology,
- (c) science fiction, and
- (d) politics and religion.

That timeline is printed in "The Encyclopedia of Extraterrestrial Encounters" (2001) (edited by Ronald Story) at pages 401, 403-417 (in an entry entitled "Parallel Time Line") of the New American Library softcover edition, at pages 392, 393-407 of the pdf edition (with the same page numbering in the Microsoft Word edition) and in "The Mammoth Encyclopedia of Extraterrestrial Encounters" (2001) (edited by Ronald Story) at pages 486-487, 488-502 (in an entry entitled "Parallel Time Line") of the Robinson softcover edition.

I hope this Chronology is found to be useful, and used.

Kind Regards,

Isaac Koi

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 6](#)

Bleak Outlook For Defendants In DOD Censorship Case

From: **Larry W. Bryant** <overtci.nul>
Date: Sun, 5 Mar 2006 22:07:16 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Mar 2006 09:09:17 -0500
Subject: Bleak Outlook For Defendants In DOD Censorship Case

A Bleak Outlook For The Defendants In The DOD Censorship Of
Whistleblower-Solicitation Ads

By Larry W. Bryant

On Feb. 28, 2006, marching toward a milestone of First Amendment litigation, my attorney in the lawsuit of Bryant v. Rumsfeld, et al. filed his "Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss or for Summary Judgment." The document's first paragraph says it all:

"This litigation boils down to the military's decision to permit paid advertising in Civilian Enterprise Newspapers (CEN). Once paid advertising is accepted in CEN's, the paid advertising pages in the CEN's become public fora in periodicals that by definition are political (they advance messages of the world's mightiest military). Defendants cannot prevent the types of paid ads submitted by Plaintiff without first stopping their practice of promoting and accepting paid advertising. *ACLU v. Mineta*, 319 F. Supp. 2d 69, 86-87 (D.D.C. 2004)."

As the court proceeds to conduct what's known as a public-forum analysis of the expressive activity/venue at issue in this case, I expect that the judge duly will note the fact that such an analysis routinely must consider not just the forum owner's expressed goal in establishing and operating the forum but also the record of actual practice on the part of the forum's users. My portion of that record consists of a few dozen so-called "political ads" submitted to and published by one or more CEN's since the mid-eighties. And, your honor, that body of my published ads constitutes the heart of the government's creation, by default, of a "designated public forum" within the framework of First Amendment jurisprudence.

To continue denying me (or anyone else) full access to that forum makes a mockery of the First Amendment, insults the intelligence of those servicemembers sworn to defend that very amendment, and subjects all current and future "political"-message advertisers to irreparable harm at the whim of a military commander dissatisfied with, offended by, or otherwise opposed to a given advertiser's perspective, interpretation, or viewpoint. No such eventuality can pass constitutional muster - today, tomorrow, or at any future time so long as the protective embrace of First Amendment principles remains intact. Therefore, your honor, choose to tighten that embrace rather than to countenance its loosening.

Stay tuned for further developments in Bryant's 'Bleak House'.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 6](#)

Re: General Debose's Video Testimony? - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Sun, 05 Mar 2006 13:51:26 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Mar 2006 17:51:10 -0500
Subject: Re: General Debose's Video Testimony? - Kaeser

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>wouldn't have
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 4 Mar 2006 09:31:33 EST
>Subject: General Debose's Video Testimony?

>I was watching The Roswell Incident special yesterday and again was
>amazed at a video segment where the late Gen. Debose was interviewed.

>I've always wondered why, if a man of his accomplishments made such
>statements, why weren't they headline news?

>What ever became of his testimony and the circumstances surrounding
>it?

The clip that was used was obtained through the Fund for UFO Research, who had been asked for a copy of the "Recollections of Roswell" production that had been put together in the early 90's. The interview with General DeBose was a part of that, but the details predate my involvement with the Fund. I think it's safe to say that this interview didn't contain any smoking gun material that would allow anyone to unlock the mystery.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 6](#)

Military Enthusiast Magazine Vol-5/No. 25 1983

From: Diane Harrison <aufor.nul>
Date: Mon, 6 Mar 2006 20:10:26 +1000
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Mar 2006 17:56:19 -0500
Subject: Military Enthusiast Magazine Vol-5/No. 25 1983

Hi List,

While doing the run of our local secondhand book shops looking for UFO research material. Vivian one of our younger researcher came across a magazine called "Military Enthusiast" Vol-5/No. 25" - 1983 edition.

An article written by Salvador Mafe Huertas called "AJA 12 The Phantoms from Torrejon" on pages 21 to 25 is interesting if you're interested in the McDonnell Douglas F/RF -4C Phantom II.

The article is a military article which reads more about the F/RF -4Cs capabilities and the planes placement in MACOM (AIR COMBAT COMMNAD) of the Spanish Air force unit based at Torrejon.

What interested me about this article is a reference to attempted intercepts of UFOs.

Its just a small quotation but it is an acknowledgment that the Spanish Air force did engage/intercept UFOs. Now be these UFOs terrestrial or extraterrestrial objects who knows.?

Spanish UFO researchers might like to chase this article up and maybe speak to the 2 Lt Colonels who contributed information to the article, thats if they are still alive or willing. Names attached.

"Meanwhile the men of Ala 12 continue their rigorous training duties in order to be in top operational condition. They have participated in all national and international exercises, while in November 1975, the full Wing was on alert in case its presence was needed during the Sahara crisis, although no aircraft were detached to this theater and, while the majority of alert scramble is actually per- formed by the F - 1CEs of Ala 14, they have had their share of real and practice scrambles, including attempted intercepts of UFOs."

Article acknowledgments: Spanish AF Office of Information in Torrejon & Lt. Col. Carrasquilla and Lt. Frutos, Executive Officer and PAO of Ala 12.

Regards Diane Harrison

The Australian UFO Research Network
<http://www.aufor.nul>

Isunsubscribe to:
http://www.isunsubscribe.com.au/title_info.cfm?prodID=15021

P.O Box 738
Jimboomba, 4280
Australia.

Tel/Fax 07 55487205

Report a UFO Free Call
1800 77 22 88

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 6](#)

Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 06 Mar 2006 18:00:56 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Mar 2006 18:00:56 -0500
Subject: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed

Source: The Observer, London, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/q3o9a>

Sunday March 5, 2006

Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed

Amelia Gentleman and Robin McKie

There is a small bottle containing a red fluid on a shelf in Sheffield University's microbiology laboratory. The liquid looks cloudy and uninteresting. Yet, if one group of scientists is correct, the phial contains the first samples of extraterrestrial life isolated by researchers.

Inside the bottle are samples left over from one of the strangest incidents in recent meteorological history. On 25 July, 2001, blood-red rain fell over the Kerala district of western India. And these rain bursts continued for the next two months. All along the coast it rained crimson, turning local people's clothes pink, burning leaves on trees and falling as scarlet sheets at some points.

Investigations suggested the rain was red because winds had swept up dust from Arabia and dumped it on Kerala. But Godfrey Louis, a physicist at Mahatma Gandhi University in Kottayam, after gathering samples left over from the rains, concluded this was nonsense. 'If you look at these particles under a microscope, you can see they are not dust, they have a clear biological appearance.' Instead Louis decided that the rain was made up of bacteria-like material that had been swept to Earth from a passing comet. In short, it rained aliens over India during the summer of 2001.

Not everyone is convinced by the idea, of course. Indeed most researchers think it is highly dubious. One scientist who posted a message on Louis's website described it as 'bullshit'.

But a few researchers believe Louis may be on to something and are following up his work. Milton Wainwright, a microbiologist at Sheffield, is now testing samples of Kerala's red rain. 'It is too early to say what's in the phial,' he said. 'But it is certainly not dust. Nor is there any DNA there, but then alien bacteria would not necessarily contain DNA.'

Critical to Louis's theory is the length of time the red rain fell on Kerala. Two months is too long for it to have been wind-borne dust, he says. In addition, one analysis showed the particles were 50 per cent carbon, 45 per cent oxygen with traces of sodium and iron: consistent with biological material. Louis also discovered that, hours before the first red rain fell, there was a loud sonic boom that shook houses in Kerala. Only an incoming meteorite could have triggered such a blast, he claims. This had broken from a passing comet and shot towards the coast, shedding microbes as it travelled. These then mixed

with clouds and fell with the rain. Many scientists accept that comets may be rich in organic chemicals and a few, such as the late Fred Hoyle, the UK theorist, argued that life on Earth evolved from microbes that had been brought here on comets. But most researchers say that Louis is making too great a leap in connecting his rain with microbes from a comet.

For his part, Louis is unrepentant. 'If anybody hears a theory like this, that it is from a comet, they dismiss it as an unbelievable kind of conclusion. Unless people understand our arguments - people will just rule it out as an impossible thing, that extra-terrestrial biology is responsible for this red rain.'

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 6](#)

Land Of Song Poetry Rugby And Evil Aliens

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 06 Mar 2006 18:10:53 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Mar 2006 18:10:53 -0500
Subject: Land Of Song Poetry Rugby And Evil Aliens

Source: The Western Mail - Cardiff, Wales

<http://tinyurl.com/ntby8>

Mar 4 2006

Land Of Song, Poetry, Rugby... And Evil Aliens

A new horror film is set to splatter the Welsh stereotype once and for all - literally. Rob Driscoll gets abducted by its director to find out more

Rob Driscoll, Western Mail

It is Wales, but not as you know it.

In this alternative Land of Song, aliens abduct and impregnate a Welsh farm girl, twisted English-hating farmers live on a remote and foreboding island off the Llyn Peninsula and a lot of heads get chopped off.

These are the controversial ingredients of an outrageous new sci-fi horror film that could well get up the nose of many a Welsh Nationalist - or indeed anyone a bit sensitive to graphic, blood-and-guts gore.

But then again, Evil Aliens hasn't exactly got the Pride and Prejudice Appreciation Society in mind as its target audience.

This is a determinedly shocking, frequently bad-taste splatter-fest - yet also the first full-length British horror film to use the latest High Definition cameras, with over 140 digital effects shots, and which still came in on budget to the tune of just =A3400,000.

'It's a love letter to my misspent youth,' says the film's highly imaginative director, Jake West.

'There's a whole generation of people today that doesn't know films that many of us grew up with.

'I remember as a teenager going to see films like Braindead and Evil Dead 2 at the cinema, and I just couldn't believe what I was seeing on the screen.

'I don't think there are many films like that anymore, because everything's become quite sanitised. With Evil Aliens, I want the audience to go, 'Did I just see that? Did that really happen?'"

The film, which includes a sizeable Welsh cast who actually get to speak Welsh, is already something of a cult hit even before its official UK release, having won awards at the Idaho International Film Festival, the San Francisco Independent Film Festival, and the Raindance British Independent Film Awards.

Hardcore horror fans have been lapping up its jaw-dropping sci-fi lunacy, and its cheeky on-screen references to movies like Phantasm, Aliens, Mad Max and The Texas Chainsaw Massacre, taken to the monstrously shocking and 'splat-stick' extreme.

But what of the Welsh element? The credulity-stretching storyline takes place in Scalleum, a fictional, remote farming island off the north-west coast of Wales, only accessible at low tide via a causeway known as the Devil's Spine.

The island is also home to an ancient stone circle, known as the Devil's Teeth.

This provides the sinister setting for the terrifying alien abduction of local farm girl Cat Williams (played by newcomer actress, Cwmbran-born Jennifer Evans) and her hitch-hiker boyfriend Angelo Jones.

Meanwhile, cable TV programme Weird Worlde is having trouble with its ratings. Threatened with losing her job if things don't improve, presenter Michelle Fox (Emily Booth) needs to come up with something special.

She puts a team together to investigate the 'Scalleum Incident'.

It's an intriguing story with a twist, as Cat Williams claims to have not just been kidnapped by aliens - but also impregnated by them!

Hence the cynical TV crew heads off to the island. They arrive and experience the weirdness of this remote community, powered by manure and some very scary Welsh farmers.

Tensions rise and things are about to come to a head, when the real stars arrive - aliens, and, of course, they aren't at all friendly.

Despite the North Wales setting, the film was shot over a five-week period at a working farm in a small Cambridge village, doubling for the fictional Fydd Farm of the script. The nearest anyone got to Wales were the dramatic coastline shots filmed around Ringstead Bay in Dorset, while the second unit spent a further two weeks of location work in the spectacular underground caverns at Wookey Hole, the ancient Avebury Ring, and at Eype Beach, where actors had to take the plunge in cold and stormy seas.

'We did consider filming in Wales, but our budget wouldn't stretch to it,' says West. 'In any case, the film is set on a mythical island; it doesn't actually exist. There is an island above the Llyn Peninsula, I believe, but we're saying there's this really weird farming community with a stone circle on it - and only approachable via a causeway.'

But does West envisage any negative feedback from Welsh audiences, confronted by this frightening bunch of insular, possibly in-bred farming locals??

'I kind of figured that this is a film that will offend certain people's sensibilities whatever you do.'

'My feeling is, it takes the rise out of every character. The dodgy, London-ish TV crew are about as badly-behaved as you can get; they're coke-snorting, they're cynical, they make up their stories to save their jobs. Everyone's fairly reprehensible.'

'The subtext to the story was the fact that you can go somewhere in your own country and you, yourself, are alienated because you don't speak the same language. These city folk go to this farm, and they're immediately in an alien situation.'

'What's interesting about the Welsh farmers is that a lot of the audiences who've seen the film really like them. Despite being weird, they're true to themselves - they care about their flock, their cattle, and they end up being rather heroic. They put up a pretty good fight.'

West was determined to cast Welsh actors in these parts, for the very reason that there are crucial moments when they speak Welsh, amongst themselves, and Welsh is a difficult language to

speak.

'We put out a casting call for Welsh-speaking actors, and the one I was most thrilled about who turned up was Mark Thomas Hayes, who still lives in Wales and appears regularly on S4C,' he says. 'Jenny Evans and Mark Thomas are Welsh, but live in London. So Mark Hayes became the unofficial Welsh dialect coach on set.' West said,

'In many ways the film is juvenile, but in a really fun way. It's not trying to be cynical, and I think if you get a younger audience, it really works. It's definitely an 18 certificate.'

A new kind of possession for the Alien Cat

Who said acting was a glamorous occupation?

Jennifer Evans spent several days on the set of Evil Aliens covered in claustrophobic facial prosthetics, while off-screen technicians pumped fake blood through tubes attached to her face and hair.

And then there was the small matter of using cold vegetable soup for some of her less palatable scenes!

'It was a lot of fun,' insists 29-year-old Jennifer, who comes from Cwmbran. 'After my character is abducted by aliens, she becomes an alien herself and gives birth to a baby alien! My make-up looks terrible, but I'm cool about that.'

'And I got to have a really good scream. I frightened everyone on set, because my screaming was so convincing.'

This might be a somewhat extreme production in which to be making your major acting debut, but Jennifer reckons she's been luckier than most to land this eye-popping project.

In many respects a late developer when it comes to acting, she has made short films and done some television work, including the Channel 4 comedy series Nathan Barley. But Evil Aliens is her big showcase moment.

'I've always had a quiet dream in the back of my mind that I wanted to act, but I didn't live in an environment where it was encouraged or nurtured,' says Jennifer. 'It wasn't until I was 21 that I really got to thinking it was something I wanted to try, and I finally took the courage to take the plunge, and go to drama school.'

Evil Aliens was actually filmed two-and-a-half years ago but now that it's finally getting a national cinema release, cast and crew are understandably getting very excited.

'I feel I'm very fortunate, because so many low-budget independent films don't get finished, or they don't get released, but here I am in a project that's getting released in Odeons, and then will be on DVD,' says Jennifer.

When she first heard from her agent that she had an audition for the role of Cat Williams, all Jennifer knew was that they wanted a Welsh girl. Two weeks later, she landed the part.

On set, the biggest challenge for Jennifer was, not surprisingly, having to wear so much prosthetic make-up for when Cat Williams is abducted, and turned into an alien herself.

'I had to get a full body and face cast, which is a very weird experience,' recalls Jennifer. 'You have to strip down to your underwear, then you get covered in this stuff - it's like being entombed, it's quite disturbing. It starts closing in around you, and when you have it on your face, it's frightening. You feel you can't breathe.'

Perhaps Jennifer's proudest moment in Evil Aliens is speaking Welsh with the local farm boys - although she can't really speak it.

'I wouldn't know if it was real Welsh or not,' she confesses.

'On Evil Aliens, I just had to learn it, syllable by syllable. I have at least five lines of Welsh in the film. Mark Thomas

Hayes, an actor in the film who speaks Welsh, sat me down and taught me - and he said I did well.'

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 6](#)

The Sky Split Apart

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 06 Mar 2006 18:30:31 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 06 Mar 2006 18:30:31 -0500
Subject: The Sky Split Apart

Source: The Peoples Journal - Manilla, Philippines

<http://tinyurl.com/mq2r3>

March 05, 2006

The Sky Split Apart

IT was a drama that has occurred countless times in Earth's history, and that is sure to play again. The explosions were heard in the early morning hours of June 30, 1908.

Tungus tribesmen and Russian fur traders who happened to glance into the southeastern Siberian sky that fateful morning were startled to see a fireball streaking through the atmosphere toward their trading post of Vanavara and leaving a trail of light some 800 kilometers long.

Their gaze followed the bright fireball as it continued along a northwestward trajectory until it seemed about to disappear over the horizon. Then it shattered in a rapid series of cataclysmic explosions lasting about half a second over a distance of 15 to 20 kilometers.

The object shattered at an altitude of 7.6 kilometers and became the first such cosmic visitor to strike Earth in the life-time of civilized man.

"The sky split apart and a great fire appeared," an eyewitness said. "It became so hot that one couldn't stand it. There was a deafening explosion."

What was this cosmic visitor? Some have suggested it was a black hole. Others have wondered if it was a piece of anti-matter.

A Japanese UFO group (Sakura) is convinced that it was the explosion of the nuclear power plant of an errant vehicle belonging to extraterrestrials. Most scientists point to a comet or an asteroid being the cosmic culprit.

To this day, the vast Tunguska region remains a desolate area of mosquito-infested bogs and swamps amid the beautiful hilly taiga. The power of the blast felled trees outward in a radial pattern over an area of 2,1504 square kilometers.

In the hot central region of the epicenter, the forest flashed into an ascending column of flame visible several hundred kilometers away. The fires burned for weeks, destroying an area of 1,000 square kilometers.

Ash and powdered tundra fragments sucked skyward by the fiery vortex were caught up in the global air circulation and carried around the world. Meanwhile, bursts of thunder echoed across the land to a distance of some 800 kilometers.

The mass of the object has been estimated at about 100,000 tons

and the force of the explosion at 40 megatons of TNT -- 2,000 times the force of the atomic bomb exploded over Hiroshima in 1945.

Had such a cosmic body exploded over Europe instead of the desolate region of Siberia, the number of human victims would have been 500,000 or more, not to mention the ensuing ecological catastrophe.

Roy A. Gallant, Southworth Planetarium, University of Southern Maine

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Argentine Policeman Confirms Having Seen Aliens

From: Scott Corrales <lornis1.nul>
Date: Mon, 6 Mar 2006 07:48:13 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 04:07:18 -0500
Subject: Argentine Policeman Confirms Having Seen Aliens

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology
March 6, 2006

SOURCE: La Arena
[<http://www.laarena.com.ar>]
DATE: March 5, 2006

Argentina: Ufologist To Visit Policeman In Disappearance Case

Policeman recovers and confirms having seen aliens. "I don't want to be taken for a nut," the officer told a friend. Two days ago he claimed seeing two beings with large red eyes who gave him telepathic commands

GENERAL PICO (Agencies) - Corporal Sergio Puchetta, visibly recovered from the odyssey he underwent for over 18 hours in which he claimed to have come in contact with aliens, ratified all of his statements yesterday and through close friends asked that "he not be taken for a nut"

Puchetta remains hospitalized in a ward of the Medical Clinic of the city's Centeno Hospital, indicating that he may possibly agree to newspaper requests for an interview on Monday.

The provincial police corporal responded negatively to this newspaper's request to speak to him and obtain his version of the experience he underwent. Through a friend, Puchetta indicated that he preferred to be released from the hospital before getting in touch with the press, although he also expressed his concerns about having to publicize his experience.

I don't want to be taken for a nut, he told his friend, who in turn informed the press that he is "assured and convinced" of Puchetta's mental health.

Other sources indicated that on Saturday, the officer was visibly more tranquil and when asked about the subject, he repeated the story given on the previous day without changing any details whatsoever.

<snip>

Renowned ufologist Fabio Zerpa took an interest in the policeman's case and announced his intention to travel to [the city] early this week.

Another acknowledged researcher of the UFO subject, Oscar "Quique" Mario of La Pampa, reached the site where Puchetta vanished on Thursday morning and did not conceal his satisfaction at having secured the officer's testimony shortly after he was found.

Mario, who does not conceal his skepticism until having secured solid elements that allow him to validate the experimenter's account, highlighted the credibility of Puchetta's statements which are further qualified by the nature of his employment.

Translation (c) 2006, Scott Corrales
Institute of Hispanic Ufology
Special thanks to Christian Quintero, Planeta UFO

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

NASA Gives Moon Rock To Cronkite

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Mon, 6 Mar 2006 08:50:26 EST
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 04:10:19 -0500
Subject: NASA Gives Moon Rock To Cronkite

Rock On Walter Cronkite! NASA Gives Moon Rock To Him

<http://www.thespacereview.com/article/570/1>

I know it would have been wishful thinking but wouldn't it have been the event if NASA had sent Uncle Walt - there are two Uncle Walt's to us kids who grew up in the 60's, Walter Cronkite and Walt Disney whom we all thought were brothers - on a space mission just to get his opinion on the final frontier?

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://ufoupdateslist.com) > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Blackstar System Shelved At Groom Lake?

From: Dan Syses <dsyes.nul>
Date: Mon, 06 Mar 2006 10:01:00 -0700
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 04:19:12 -0500
Subject: Blackstar System Shelved At Groom Lake?

Source: Aviation Week & Space Technology

<http://www.aviationweek.com/publication/awst/index.jsp>

03/05/2006

Two-Stage-to-Orbit 'Blackstar' System Shelved at Groom Lake?
By William B. Scott

Spaceplane Shelved?

For 16 years, Aviation Week & Space Technology has investigated myriad sightings of a two-stage-to-orbit system that could place a small military spaceplane in orbit. Considerable evidence supports the existence of such a highly classified system, and top Pentagon officials have hinted that it's "out there," but iron-clad confirmation that meets AW&ST standards has remained elusive. Now facing the possibility that this innovative "Blackstar" system may have been shelved, we elected to share what we've learned about it with our readers, rather than let an intriguing technological breakthrough vanish into "black world" history, known to only a few insiders. U.S. intelligence agencies may have quietly mothballed a highly classified two-stage-to-orbit spaceplane system designed in the 1980s for reconnaissance, satellite-insertion and, possibly, weapons delivery. It could be a victim of shrinking federal budgets strained by war costs, or it may not have met performance or operational goals.

This two-vehicle "Blackstar" carrier/orbiter system may have been declared operational during the 1990s.

A large "mothership," closely resembling the U.S. Air Force's historic XB-70 supersonic bomber, carries the orbital component conformally under its fuselage, accelerating to supersonic speeds at high altitude before dropping the spaceplane. The orbiter's engines fire and boost the vehicle into space. If mission requirements dictate, the spaceplane can either reach low Earth orbit or remain suborbital.

The manned orbiter's primary military advantage would be surprise overflight. There would be no forewarning of its presence, prior to the first orbit, allowing ground targets to be imaged before they could be hidden. In contrast, satellite orbits are predictable enough that activities having intelligence value can be scheduled to avoid overflights.

Exactly what missions the Blackstar system may have been designed for and built to accomplish are as yet unconfirmed, but U.S. Air Force Space Command (AFSPC) officers and contractors have been toying with similar spaceplane-operational concepts for years. Besides reconnaissance, they call for inserting small satellites into orbit, and either retrieving or servicing other spacecraft. Conceivably, such a vehicle could serve as an anti-satellite or space-to-ground weapons-delivery platform, as well.

Once a Blackstar orbiter reenters the atmosphere, it can land horizontally at almost any location having a sufficiently long runway. So far, observed spaceplane landings have been reported

at Hurlburt AFB, Fla.; Kadena AB, Okinawa; and Holloman AFB, N.M.

The spaceplane is capable of carrying an advanced imaging suite that features 1-meter-aperture adaptive optics with an integral sodium-ion-sensing laser. By compensating in real-time for atmospheric turbulence-caused aberrations sensed by the laser, the system is capable of acquiring very detailed images of ground targets or in-space objects, according to industry officials familiar with the package.

The spaceplane's small cargo or "Q-bay" also could be configured to deliver specialized microsattelites to low Earth orbit or, perhaps, be fitted with no-warhead hypervelocity weapons - what military visionaries have called "rods from god." Launched from the fringes of space, these high-Mach weapons could destroy deeply buried bunkers and weapons facilities.

While frequently the subject of advanced studies, such as the Air Force's "Spacecast 2020," actual development and employment of a transatmospheric spaceplane have not been confirmed officially (AW&ST Sept. 5, 1994, p. 101). However, many sightings of both an XB-70-like carrier and a spaceplane have been reported, primarily in the western U.S. Only once have they been seen together, though.

On Oct. 4, 1998, the carrier aircraft was spotted flying over Salt Lake City at about 2:35 p.m. local time. James Petty, the president of JP Rocket Engine Co., saw a small, highly swept-winged vehicle nestled under the belly of the XB-70-like aircraft. The vehicle appeared to be climbing slowly on a west-southwest heading. The sky was clear enough to see both vehicles' leading edges, which Petty described as a dark gray or black color.

For whatever reason, top military space commanders apparently have never been "briefed-in" - never told of the Blackstar system's existence - even though these are the "warfighters" who might need to employ a spaceplane in combat. Consequently, the most likely user is an intelligence agency. The National Reconnaissance Office may have played a role in the program, but former senior NRO officials have denied any knowledge of it.

One Pentagon official suggests that the Blackstar system was "owned" and operated by a team of aerospace contractors, ensuring government leaders' plausible deniability. When asked about the system, they could honestly say, "we don't have anything like that."

Aerospace industry contractors suggest that a top secret Blackstar system could explain why Pentagon leaders readily offered the Air Force's nascent unclassified spaceplane project, the briefly resurrected SR-71 program and the Army's anti-satellite program for elimination from budgets in the late 1990s. At the time, an industry official said, "if we're flying a spaceplane, it makes sense to kill these cover programs and stop wasting money on things we can already do."

U.S. and European aerospace companies have pushed two-stage-to-orbit (TSTO) spaceplane concepts for decades. Most large U.S. airframe manufacturers designed spaceplane-type vehicles during the 1950s and '60s, and XB-70 program documents include a concept for carrying and launching a low-Earth orbiter. Two former test pilots and executives for North American Aviation (later, Rockwell) said the company had a technically viable plan for such a system in the 1950s (AW&ST Aug. 24, 1992, p. 25).

Boeing is believed to be one of several major aerospace companies involved in the Blackstar program. On Oct. 14, 1986, Boeing filed a U.S. patent application for an advanced two-stage space transportation system. Patent No. 4,802,639, awarded on Feb. 7, 1989, details how a small orbiter could be air-dropped from the belly of a large delta-winged carrier at Mach 3.3 and 103,800-ft. altitude. The spaceplane would be boosted into orbit by its own propulsion system, perform an intended mission, then glide back to a horizontal landing. Although drawings of aircraft planforms in the Boeing patent differ from those of the Blackstar vehicles spotted at several USAF bases, the concepts are strikingly similar.

One logical explanation given for why a Blackstar system is

developed says that, after the shuttle Challenger disaster in January 1986, and a subsequent string of expendable-booster failures, Pentagon leaders were stunned to learn they no longer had "assured access to space." Suddenly, the U.S. needed a means to orbit satellites necessary to keep tabs on its Cold War adversaries.

A team of contractors apparently stepped forward, offering to build a quick-reaction TSTO system in record time. The system could ensure on-demand overflight reconnaissance/surveillance from low Earth orbit, and would require minimal development time. Tons of material - including long-lead structural items - for a third XB-70 Valkyrie had been stored in California warehouses years before, and a wealth of data from the X-20 DynaSoar military spaceplane program was readily available for application to a modern orbiter (see following articles).

Dynasoar was terminated shortly after President John F. Kennedy was assassinated in 1963, after \$430 million had been spent on the spaceplane's development. Political opposition and the fatal crash of XB-70 No. 2 on June 8, 1966, contributed to the bomber program's being canceled before Air Vehicle No. 3 could be built. However, at one time, there had been plans to mate the two vehicles.

In XB-70 Valkyrie: The Ride to Valhalla, Jeannette Remak and Joe Ventolo, Jr., wrote: "One version of the B-70 could have been used as a recoverable booster system to launch things into low-Earth orbit. . . . The DynaSoar program, the first effort by the [U.S.] to use a manned boost-glider to fly in near-orbital space and return, was considered in this context in November 1959. The B-70 was to carry the 10,000-lb. DynaSoar glider and a 40,000-lb. liquid rocket booster to 70,000 ft. and release them while traveling at Mach 3. With this lofty start, the booster could then push the glider into its final 300-mi. orbit."

The two-stage U.S. spaceplane concept apparently has undergone several iterations since then, but the basic idea remained - launch a manned boost-glide vehicle from an XB-70-like platform (AW&ST Dec. 24, 1990, p. 48; Sept. 24, 1990, p. 28). An aerospace industry source said the Air Force once used the "Blackstar" moniker, but others suggested the intelligence community referred to this TSTO combination as the "SR-3/XOV" system. The SR-3 is the large, XB-70-like carrier aircraft, while the small orbital vehicles drop-launched at high speed are called XOV-1, XOV-2 and so forth. At one time, the XOV designator meant "experimental orbital vehicle."

Based on information gleaned from multiple industry sources, the SR-3 features:

- *A roughly 200-ft.-long, clipped-delta-winged planform resembling that of the North American Aviation XB-70 trisonic bomber. The forward fuselage is believed to be more oval-shaped than was depicted in a 1992 artist's rendering (AW&ST Aug. 24, 1992, p. 23).

- *Canards that extend from the forward fuselage. These lifting surfaces may sweep both fore and aft to compensate for large center-of-gravity changes after dropping the spaceplane, based on multiple sighting reports.

- *Large, outward-canted vertical tail surfaces at the clipped-delta's wingtips.

- *At least four engine exhaust ports, grouped as two well-separated banks on either side of the aircraft centerline.

- *Very loud engines. One other classified military aircraft may have used the same type of powerplant.

- *Operation at supersonic speeds and altitudes up to 90,000 ft.

During the system's development cycle, two types of spaceplane orbiters may have been flown. Both were a blended wing/fuselage lifting-body design, but differed in size. The smaller version was about 60-65 ft. long and may have been unmanned or carried a crew of two, some say. Industry engineers said this technology demonstrator was "a very successful program."

The larger orbiter is reportedly 97.5 ft. long, has a highly

swept, blended wing/body planform and a short vertical fin. This bulky fin apparently doubles as a buried pylon for conformal carriage of the spaceplane beneath the large SR-3. The "Q-bay" for transporting an optics-system pallet or other payloads may be located aft of the cockpit, with payload doors on top of the fuselage.

Outboard sections of the spaceplane's wing/body cant slightly downward, possibly for shock-wave control and compression lift at high speeds while in the atmosphere, whether on ascent or reentry. The only visible control surfaces are flap- or drag-type panels on the wing's trailing edge, one section on each side of the stubby vertical fin. A relatively large, spade-shaped section forward of the cockpit - which gives the orbiter a "shark-nose" appearance - may provide some pitch stability, as well.

The orbiter's belly appears to be contoured with channels, riblets or "strakelets" that direct airflow to engine inlets and help dissipate aerodynamic heating. These shallow channels may direct air to a complex system of internal, advanced composite-material ducts, according to an engineer who says he helped build one version of the orbiter in the early 1990s. Air is directed to what is believed to be aerospike engines similar to those once planned for use on the NASA/Lockheed Martin X-33.

A former Lockheed Skunk Works official once expressed confidence in the X-33 prototype orbiter's powerplants, noting that "they have history." Whether this implies the aerospikes had flown before, perhaps on an XOV, or simply referred to ground test-firings is unknown. The X-33 was a prototype of what was to be the single-stage-to-orbit Venture Star (AW&ST Nov. 10, 1997, p. 50).

Technicians who worked at a McDonnell Douglas plant in St. Louis in the late 1980s and early 1990s said much of the XOV's structure was made of advanced composite materials. Some wing skin panels measured 40 ft. long and 16 ft. wide, yet were only 3/8 in. to 1/2 in. thick.

"Two people could pick them up; they were very light," one said. These panels were stacked in a sandwich structure to obtain the required thickness, then machined to shape. Although much of the structure was honeycomb, it was "incredibly strong, and would handle very high temperatures," he noted. Inside skin surfaces "were ungodly complicated," though.

Work on the orbiter moved at a relatively slow pace until a "fuel breakthrough" was made, workers were told. Then, from 1990 through 1991, "we lived out there. It was a madhouse," a technician said. The new fuel was believed to be a boron-based gel having the consistency of toothpaste and high-energy characteristics, but occupying less volume than other fuels.

Regardless of where they land, spaceplane orbiters usually are retrieved by one or more "fat" C-5 Galaxy transports. Three of the oversized aircraft were modified with 8-ft.-wide "chipmunk cheek" extensions on each side of the cargo compartment aft of the nose hinge point; an extra six-wheel set of landing gear that partially retracts up against the aft fuselage, forward of the ramp; a shortened upper deck, and two internal harness/cradle supports. These alterations originally were made to enable carriage of dome-topped containers measuring 61.2 ft. long, 17.2 ft. wide (maximum) and 16.7 ft. tall at the highest point. The containers normally protected satellites during transit to launch sites.

In 1994, NASA sources confirmed that two of the C-5s (Tail Nos. 00503 and 00504) were listed on NASA's inventory - although the aircraft did not "officially" exist, according to the agency's public records. Both transports apparently were deployed only upon orders from the administrator's office. The third oversized C-5 once had a red "CL" on its tail, and supposedly was used by the Central Intelligence Agency. All three C-5s may have been retired in recent years, according to a NASA contractor.

Critics argue that there was never enough money hidden in intelligence and military budgets to fund a small fleet of spaceplanes and carrier aircraft. However, those who worked on the system's development at several contractor sites say they charged time-and-materials costs to a number of well-funded

programs. Lockheed was the lead contractor for Blackstar orbiters being fabricated at McDonnell Douglas in the early 1990s, and workers there typically logged their time against a specific Lockheed charge number associated with that project. But their time might also have been charged to the National Aero-Space Plane (NASP) and the Navy's A-12 fighter accounts, they say. Both multibillion-dollar programs were canceled with little but technology development gains to show for massive expenditures.

"At first, [supervisors] said we were working on NASP, but this thing never looked like anything the public was shown," a McDonnell Douglas technician who worked in the company's "black hole" facility said. "Later, we were just told, 'Clock it to NASP and don't ask questions.' We never did anything that was really NASP - and money was never a problem."

Whether the Blackstar system was ever declared operational or not is unknown, but several orbiters may have flown over the years. A former program manager at a major aerospace company once declared, "There's no question; Lockheed is flying a two-stage space vehicle."

Interestingly, after both Lockheed and Boeing pulled out of the NASP competition (or were "eliminated") in the 1980s, they may have collaborated to develop the two-stage-to-orbit Blackstar system under a highly classified "fast-track" program. However, many other contractors' "deep-black" teams probably also were involved in order to bring the nation's best expertise to bear on what must have been daunting technical challenges.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Secrecy News -- 03/06/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Mon, 6 Mar 2006 13:12:13 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 04:21:22 -0500
Subject: Secrecy News -- 03/06/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 30
March 6, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** THE AIPAC CASE: AIDING AND ABETTING LEAKS
** MORE ON NSA WARRANTLESS SURVEILLANCE
** SOME NEW DOD DIRECTIVES
** JOUSTING OVER THE SENATE INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEE

THE AIPAC CASE: AIDING AND ABETTING LEAKS

The most troubling aspect of the prosecution of two former employees of the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) for mishandling classified information is that prosecutors have adopted an expansive new interpretation of the Espionage Act which could make criminals of many reporters, lobbyists and advocates who traffic in government information that may be classified.

But another worrisome feature of the case is that one of the AIPAC defendants is charged under a separate statute -- 18 U.S.C. 2 -- with "aiding and abetting" an unauthorized disclosure of information.

(18 U.S.C. 2 states that "Whoever commits an offense against the United States or aids, abets, counsels, commands, induces or procures its commission, is punishable as a principal.")

The use of this "aiding and abetting" statute multiplies the impact of the government's new theory of the Espionage Act since it means that anyone who facilitates or encourages the disclosure of proscribed information -- as reporters and many others do in the course of their daily activities -- is as culpable as the one who discloses classified information without authorization.

"It's called being an 'accessory before the fact'," observed former CIA analyst Allen Thomson, who flagged the use of this provision in the AIPAC prosecution. He cited a law dictionary definition which explained that "an accessory before the fact is one whose counsel or instigation leads another to commit a crime."

Punishing the solicitation or acquisition of restricted information could obviously be an effective way to discourage press attention to matters that the government wishes to conceal.

On the other hand, Mr. Thomson conjectured, the government's "use of 18 USC 2 against [AIPAC defendant Steven J.] Rosen ... might provide reporters with a Fifth Amendment basis for

refusing to talk to grand juries" since they could run the risk of self-incrimination. Any such Fifth Amendment claim could be defeated by a grant of immunity, however.

See, relatedly, "Pro-Israel Lobbying Group Roiled by Prosecution of Two Ex-Officials" by Scott Shane and David Johnston, New York Times, March 5:

<http://www.nytimes.com/2006/03/05/politics/05aipac.html>

MORE ON NSA WARRANTLESS SURVEILLANCE

"In my professional opinion, the NSA domestic surveillance program is as blatantly illegal a program as I've seen," said Prof. Harold Hongju Koh, dean of the Yale Law School, at a Senate Judiciary Committee hearing on February 28.

Others disagreed. "I believe that the inherent authority of the president under Article II, under these circumstances, permits the types of intercepts that are being undertaken," said former DCI R. James Woolsey.

The opening statements from the February 28 hearing on "Wartime Executive Power and the NSA's Surveillance Authority" may be found here:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_hr/index.html#nsa2

The view that the NSA surveillance activity is illegal was elaborated in a legal memorandum that was presented to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court last week by the Center for National Security Studies and the Constitution Project. See:

<http://www.constitutionproject.org/article.cfm?messageID=145>

Also last week, Sen. Robert Byrd (D-WV) introduced legislation to establish "to investigate the instances of warrantless wiretapping and spying on U.S. citizens by the National Security Agency and other departments of Government."

See his March 2 introductory statement and the text of his bill here:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_cr/s2362.html

SOME NEW DOD DIRECTIVES

The constant administrative churning of the defense policy process has yielded several notable new Department of Defense directives and instructions, such as the following.

U.S. policy on handling classified NATO information is addressed in "United States Security Authority for North Atlantic Treaty Organization Affairs," DoD Directive 5100.55, February 27, 2006:

http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/dod/d5100_55.pdf

Continuity of military operations "under all circumstances across the spectrum of threats" is prescribed in "Defense Continuity Plan Development," DoD Instruction 3020.42, February 17, 2006:

http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/dod/i3020_42.pdf

An updated Instruction entitled "Technical Surveillance Countermeasures (TSCM) Program" was issued by Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Stephen A. Cambone on February 22, 2006:

http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/dod/i5240_05.pdf

JOUSTING OVER THE SENATE INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEE

"I am increasingly concerned that the Senate Intelligence Committee is unable to carry out its critically important oversight and threat assessment responsibilities due to stifling partisanship that is exhibited through repeated calls by Democrats on the committee to conduct politically-motivated

investigations," wrote Senate Majority Leader Bill Frist in a March 3 letter to Minority Leader Sen. Harry Reid.

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_cr/frist030306.pdf

"I agree with Senator Frist," Senator Reid replied, "the Republican-controlled Senate Intelligence Committee has been bogged down by partisanship."

"When faced with strong evidence that the Bush Administration has misused intelligence..., time and again the Senate Intelligence Committee has ducked its responsibilities and refused to hold the Administration accountable. The recent record of the Republican-controlled committee is most notable for its abdication of authority and responsibility," Sen. Reid said.

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_cr/reid030306.html

The Senate Intelligence Committee is scheduled to vote on March 7 on a proposal by Senator Rockefeller to conduct an investigation of the NSA warrantless surveillance activity. An investigation is favored by Democrats and some Republicans, but opposed by the Republican leadership.

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Rudaik

From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
Date: Mon, 6 Mar 2006 10:58:16 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 04:23:31 -0500
Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Rudaik

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 16:44:25 +0000
>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 21:51:56 EST
>>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

><snip>

>>So, where are we now? Well, he is a general, but in some
>>volunteer group without official standing. He wasn't an officer
>>in the White House, but a low ranking enlisted man (which he
>>pointed out in the Cameron interview) who might have overheard
>>something from the president (or seen it doodled on a pad) about
>>UFOs, but this is where we part company. While I believe he
>>might have heard or seen something, (or read something into the
>>doodles) these later stories of seeing some of the Roswell
>>debris and talks of threats don't make sense.

>>KRandle

>>PS: This has become slightly schizophrenic, but only because
>>there is now so much stuff filtered through so many others that
>>it's a little difficult to tell where Lovekin leaves off and the
>>speculation begins...

>>And for those who wish to respond... please hurry because I'm
>>about to return to the Middle East and will again have to bow
>>out of these discussions.

>I think we owe Kevin a major (no pun intended) debt of gratitude
>both for bringing us much closer to the truth about Lovekin and
>his claims, and for his extraordinary (to say the least)
>military service. May this crazy pseudo-war soon be over with
>and some sanity in international affairs be resumed.

This isn't directed specifically at Dick Hall, but also to
others on the List who have been thanking Kevin Randle for
clarifying the situation with Lovekin, and also making excuses
for how Randle went about it.

Let's be clear about what happened here. Things only got
clarified after Kevin Randle first smeared the man's
reputation with a number of false accusations. His investigation
into Lovekin's was incomplete and unprofessional at best. He
seems to have relied almost exclusively on secondary sources and
perhaps deliberately misrepresented some other evidence, such as
the date of Lovekin's law degree, in order to try to build a
case of fraud against him. If this had come from Phil Klass we
all would have recognized it for what it was--debunkery.

Even after all this, Kevin is still making statements that he
doesn't know the difference between what Lovekin may have said
and what others said about him. E.g., now he's claiming that
Lovekin probably never said that he had been told that people
had been "erased" in order to maintain secrecy. Oh really? The

quotes I have on my website of Lovekin saying exactly that were verbatim transcripts I made of him from taped interviews he made with the Disclosure Project. I even had links to some of those taped segments until the links got broken. So Kevin is still not dealing with primary material, but making declarations based solely on what he believes.

Even with those interviews no longer being for free on the Net, Kevin could have paid a small membership fee to the Disclosure Project and gotten the tapes. Or he could have ordered the book "Disclosure" with transcripts of what Lovekin had to say. Or he could have emailed people such as myself and asked them about the quoted material they have on Lovekin. I would have told him they were almost entirely my personal transcript, i.e., primary material. But he didn't even do that. There seems to have been no effort made in getting at the primary source material, just a lot of excuse-making for his misrepresentations and false accusations because of all those evil "others" who might have gotten some details about Lovekin wrong.

I don't think Dick Hall or others would be expressing gratitude for "bringing us closer to the truth" if they had been the ones to have their names first smeared with false accusations about their credentials based on lousy detective work. Would they accept excuses from the accuser that he had been misled by what various websites had to say about them. Wouldn't the first questions asked be, "Why didn't you come directly to me?" or "Why didn't you read what I had to say about my background in my book?" or something similar. In other words, why did you take the word of others instead of getting it straight from the horse's mouth?

Again the bottom line is Lovekin got smeared because Kevin didn't properly do his homework and let his biases run amok. Trying to excuse it by saying it helped bring us closer to the truth is just sugar-coating what happened. When dealing with witnesses, we all have the responsibility of getting it right and not making false accusations about them. We're dealing with people's lives and reputations here. We all have suspicions about the truth or falsity of things and the credibility of various people. However, we should draw clear distinctions between what we believe or suspect and what we know. And we certainly shouldn't make accusations based solely on sources we think to be questionable, especially when much better ones are readily available.

David Rudiak

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Randle

From: **Kevin Randle** <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Mon, 6 Mar 2006 13:59:38 EST
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 04:26:29 -0500
Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Randle

>From: Michael Salla <exopolitics.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 5 Mar 2006 08:24:15 -1000
>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 3 Mar 2006 20:47:12 EST
>>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

<snip>

I've snipped everything because the posts were getting too long and I didn't want to go back and make the same arguments again, only to have them misunderstood or ignored.

What I wanted to note is that I have taken off my blog, (cleverly concealed at KevinRandle.nul) the article about Lovekin and replaced it with a mea culpa. I've sure that it will not satisfy everyone, but it does attempt to clarify the situation as it stands today. If it doesn't satisfy, well, there is nothing I could say to satisfy anyway.

I will note two things. First, people asked where I got the idea the Lovekin had been an officer at the White House and that he had the "ear" of the president. I pointed them to several of the web sites that make those sorts of claims, including one that had an article written by Michael Salla. He had the grace to admit he hadn't read the interviews carefully enough and helped create the myth.

Others complained that I was engaging in a blame game. Actually, all I was saying was that this is where the idea originated. I wasn't blaming them for my mistake, just telling everyone where it originated.

Second, and on a really trivial note, an E-7 is a sergeant first class, not a master sergeant, which is an E-8. A command sergeant major is an E-9. And yes, I had a long conversation with Bob Dean in Roswell in 1997 about how he came to see The Assessment and it didn't make sense, whether he was an E-8 or an E-9... which doesn't matter because he has changed the details.

Finally in about a week or ten days I will bow out of this discussion group for several months. That is when I begin my latest assignment (on active duty) and I will be going, eventually, to a desert and mountainous area in the Middle East where I haven't been before.

KRandle

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: Ultimate UFO FAQ? - Shpakovsky

From: **Sergey Shpakovsky** <sergejsh.nul>
Date: Mon, 6 Mar 2006 14:54:42 -0500 (EST)
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 04:29:33 -0500
Subject: Re: Ultimate UFO FAQ? - Shpakovsky

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 20 Feb 2006 18:57:04 EST
>Subject: Ultimate UFO FAQ?

<snip>

>Is there a UFO/FAQ that you all would recommend for the rank and
>file?

Hi Greg,

I know this one, from CUFOS.org:

<http://www.cufos.org>

Check links on left side:

UFO FAQ
English
Espa=Flol
En Francais
Deutsch
Italiano

Sergey,
Toronto

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: CNUFOS? - Groff

From: **Terry Groff** <terrygroff.nul>
Date: Mon, 6 Mar 2006 13:59:57 -0600
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 04:31:27 -0500
Subject: Re: CNUFOS? - Groff

>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 05 Mar 2006 14:28:17 -0800
>Subject: CNUFOS?

>Hello all and FYI:

>Here's the latest web ripoff of all our web works.

>Does this page look familiar? (It certainly does to me)
><http://www.cnufos.com/pages/newsandstories/u%20database.htm>

>Its a 2-week old copy of my main page here:
><http://www.larryhatch.net/>

I'm sure they would try to claim "Fair use" under the guise of "News" but that won't wash. Fair use only allows partial reprint and then only for illustrative purposes.

If they were doing a parody of your pages (which they are not) then that would be OK under Fair use..

Here's a link to the Copyright Office's page on Fair Use.

<http://www.copyright.gov/fls/fl1102.html>

I have registered copyrights to several musical pieces so I'm a stickler for upholding Intellectual Property Rights.

Terry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: CNUFOS? - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Mon, 06 Mar 2006 14:13:41 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 04:33:53 -0500
Subject: Re: CNUFOS? - Hatch

>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 05 Mar 2006 14:28:17 -0800
>Subject: CNUFOS?

>Hello all and FYI:

>Here's the latest web ripoff of all our web works.

>Does this page look familiar? (It certainly does to me)
><http://www.cnufos.com/pages/newsandstories/u%20database.htm>

>Its a 2-week old copy of my main page here:
><http://www.larryhatch.net/>

>Cnufos.com (not to be confused with CUFOS except by design)
>did not start or stop with my website.

>.. Filers Files for example:
><http://www.cnufos.com/pages/newsandstories/filer's%20files%2001-26-05.htm>

>Brian Vike of HBCC Canada gets copied here:
><http://www.cnufos.com/pages/newsandstories/hbcc%20recent%2005-17-05.htm>

Special note: I checked with Brian Vike, and cnufos does indeed
have Vike's permission to copy his pages etc.

>UFO Updates and Santiago Yturria here:
><http://www.cnufos.com/pages/newsandstories/kaufman%20fleet.htm>

>Frank Warren, Stan Friedman and others get surprise copy here"
><http://www.cnufos.com/pages/newsandstories/ufo%20debate.htm>

>.. Mark Pilkington here:
><http://www.cnufos.com/pages/newsandstories/film%20vs%20ufo.htm>

>A.J. Gevaerd, the rense.com site and Varginha here:
><http://www.cnufos.com/pages/newsandstories/varginha%20brazil.htm>

> .. For a full list, to see if your website
>is included, try this Google search:

><http://tinyurl.com/j8c6r>

> .. but don't feel too too bad!

Correction: About 16 pages in the search above are
"supplemental results" per Google, meaning the duplicate content
has been detected and derated. Scores of others are not, i.e.
they could possibly out rate the original sources in Google
search results.

I have traded several emails with Rich Giordano, the cnufos
owner/webmaster. I could never get him to give me even his first
name. I had to browse that up.

Giordano indicated he has friends in Royce Myers' UFO Hall of
Shame. He thought that was my site too! Before that, he thought
I was Mary Castner (CUFOS Webmaster) who wrote in complaining

after I exposed cnufos in the Project-1947 forum.

Giordano has said he will remove my "link", hasn't happened as of 1400 hours PST Monday. The "link" was my entire front page, complete with image, saved on and served from his website.

The whole point is to expose practices like this, to deter them from happening in the future.

If nobody else was adversely affected, I will consider the matter closed.

Best wishes

- Larry Hatch

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: CNUFOS? - Hutchinson

From: **Bruce Hutchinson** <bhutch.nul>
Date: Mon, 06 Mar 2006 17:54:24 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 04:36:36 -0500
Subject: Re: CNUFOS? - Hutchinson

>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 05 Mar 2006 14:28:17 -0800
>Subject: CNUFOS?

>Hello all and FYI:

>

>Here's the latest web ripoff of all our web works.

<snip>

>My guess is that this is some teeny-bopper with time on his
>hands. Google scoped out his act in short order.

>I keep a close watch on copycats, thus this message. Back to our
>regular contributors.

I found this information by Googling "cnufos".

The gentleman's name is Richard Giordano, and the address given
on the cnufos.com website is:

315 W. Elliot Road
Suite 107 - 213
Tempe, Az. 85284

This is the same guy who has been putting out all those videos
of 'UFO Fleets' over the Phoenix area.

Regards,

Bruce Hutchinson

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: ufoupdateslist.com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Mon, 6 Mar 2006 18:24:37 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 04:39:50 -0500
Subject: Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 06 Mar 2006 18:00:56 -0500
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed

>Source: The Observer, London, UK

><http://tinyurl.com/q3o9a>

>Sunday March 5, 2006

>Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed

<snip>

>Inside the bottle are samples left over from one of the
>strangest incidents in recent meteorological history. On 25
>July, 2001, blood-red rain fell over the Kerala district of
>western India. And these rain bursts continued for the next two
>months. All along the coast it rained crimson, turning local
>people's clothes pink, burning leaves on trees and falling as
>scarlet sheets at some points.

If this had been a single incident over a relatively short period of time, the comet or meteorite explanation might make sense. But meteoritic or comet material raining down over just one district in India for two months?? That's absurd.

Red rains have been reported many times, as most List members already know. Charles Fort collected a number of accounts of such red rains. So far as I can recall, brightly colored rains have always been red. Not blue, not green, not purple.....

I don't think any known mechanism could isolate a specific area on the earth's surface and rain material from a comet or meteor down only there. If the account is accurate and this stuff fell for a couple of months and only in that one area, then I think we can discount such an explanation.

What's left? Nothing we know about. Fort collected many accounts of falls of odd things. Fish and frogs are relatively common. Nuts were in one account that I remember.

My own semi-serious theory is punctuated-creationism, that whatever force created life on earth is still "seeding" this planet periodically. Typically in falls of frogs and fish, they are said to be somewhat like or similar to known types, but often are not species known locally. I don't think any study has been done to see if they are species known anywhere.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: Military Enthusiast Magazine Vol-5/No. 25 1983

From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <ballesterolmos.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 00:31:17 +0100 (CET)
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 07:28:26 -0500
Subject: Re: Military Enthusiast Magazine Vol-5/No. 25 1983

>From: Diane Harrison <aufor.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 6 Mar 2006 20:10:26 +1000
>Subject: Military Enthusiast Magazine Vol-5/No. 25 1983

>While doing the run of our local secondhand book shops looking
>for UFO research material. Vivian one of our younger researcher
>came across a magazine called "Military Enthusiast" Vol-5/No.
>25" - 1983 edition.

>An article written by Salvador Mafe Huertas called "AJA 12 The
>Phantoms from Torrejon" on pages 21 to 25 is interesting if
>you're interested in the McDonnell Douglas F/RF -4C Phantom II.

>The article is a military article which reads more about the
>F/RF -4Cs capabilities and the planes placement in MACOM (AIR
>COMBAT COMMAD) of the Spanish Air force unit based at
>Torrejon.

>What interested me about this article is a reference to
>attempted intercepts of UFOs.

>Its just a small quotation but it is an acknowledgment that the
>Spanish Air force did engage/intercept UFOs. Now be these UFOs
>terrestrial or extraterrestrial objects who knows.?

>Spanish UFO researchers might like to chase this article up and
>maybe speak to the 2 Lt Colonels who contributed information to
>the article, thats if they are still alive or willing. Names
>attached.

Diane, and List:

Let me comment that during 1992-1999 all the Spanish Air Force
UFO archives were declassified, a process I personally helped to
start and monitored over the years, and documents are available
to the public for reading and copying in the Library, Air Force
Headquarters in Princesa Street, Madrid. The reports include the
scramble episodes mentioned above.

For a selection of references on papers I wrote related to the
declassification process or declassified documents, see the
following:

* Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos, Spanish Air Force UFO Files: The
Secret=B4s End, in MUFON 1993 International UFO Symposium
Proceedings (Ufology: The Emergence of a New Science), Walter H.
Andrus, Jr. and Irena Scott (editors), Mutual UFO Network, Inc.
(Seguin, Texas), July 1993, pp. 127-168. German Translation: Die
UFO-Akten der spanischen Luftwaffe. Das Ende des Geheimnisses,
UFO-Kurier, June 1995, pp. 11-31.

* Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos, book EXPEDIENTES INSOLITOS,
Temas de Hoy (Madrid), May 1995. Epilogue by Dr Jacques Vallee.

<http://www.anomalia.org/g009.htm>

* Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos, UFO Declassification in Spain (Military UFO Files Available to the Public: A Balance), in UFOs: Examining the Evidence, Mike Wooten, British UFO Research Association Ltd. (Bantley, West Yorkshire), August 1995, pp. 51-56.

* Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos, Declassification! (Military UFO Records Released: The Spanish Experience), in UFO 1947-1997. Fifty Years of Flying Saucers, Hilary Evans and Dennis Stacy (editors), John Brown Publishing Ltd. (London), May 1997, pp. 177-184.

<http://www.anomalia.org/declass.htm>

The full version of the original paper in Spanish, Desclasificacion! Archivos OVNI militares al descubierto, can be read at:

<http://www.anomalia.org/desclasificacion.pdf>

* Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos, Monitoring Air Force Intelligence (Spain=92s 1992-1997 UFO Declassification Process), in MUFON 1997 International UFO Symposium Proceedings, Walter H. Andrus, Jr. and Irena Scott (editors), Mutual UFO Network, Inc. (Seguin, Texas), July 1997, pp. 139-178.

* Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos and Ricardo Campo, Identificados! Los OVNIS de Canarias fueron mis=EDles Poseidon, Revista de Aeronautica y Astronautica, March 2001, pp. 200-207. Cuadernos de ufolog=EDa (III), 27, 2001, pp. 2-28.

<http://www.anomalia.org/misiles.htm>

French translation:
Les Essais de Missiles de la Marine U.S. et les Observations d=92OVNI aux Isles Canaries, La Gazette Forteenne, Vol. I, August 2002, pp. 229-246.

English translation:

Navy Missiles Tests and the Canary Islands UFOs, International UFO Reporter, Volume 29, Number 4, July 2005, cover, pp 3-9 and 26.

Best regards,
Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos
Manager, FOTOCAT Project,
<<http://fotocat.blogspot.com/>>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: UFO Chronology 1877-2006 - Maccabee

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <brumac.nul>
Date: Mon, 6 Mar 2006 19:28:22 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 07:30:03 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Chronology 1877-2006 - Maccabee

>From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi2.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 5 Mar 2006 23:03:59 -0000>
>Subject: UFO Chronology 1877-2006

>About two and a half years after starting drafting it (and
>several more years after starting relevant reading), I am now
>ready to share a preliminary draft of a chronology of frequently
>discussed UFO and SETI related events from 1877-2006 ("Koi
>Chrono Core" for short).

Nice work.

>This Chronology, like my heart, is dedicated to my wife.

Hmmm... so you have had time to speak to her in recent years.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 15:57:02 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 07:32:49 -0500
Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 15:12:40 -0800
>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 2 Mar 2006 11:08:16 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 28 Feb 2006 14:23:46 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

><snip>

>>Whatever was "going on" there has nothing to do with the AA, or
>>Ray Santilli, or the cameraman or the crash site. If you want to
>>understand our alien predicament, you must start by believing
>>that the AA is footage of a real event. It's the Achilles heel
>>of the cover-up establishment.

>As with Gildas Bourdais, we are going to have to differ on
>opinions re. what the AA film shows or doesn't show. But I do
>want to clarify something, as it seems you have misinterpreted
>what I said and meant.

>I do not think that Santilli was involved in this at all
>beyond getting the film from the elderly guy. Even if it was a
>hoax, I do not think Santilli was a part of that.

Hi Nick,

It's great to hear you say this. Ray didn't "get" the film from
the "elderly guy" AKA cameraman. He purchased it for \$100,000.
If it were a hoax, then Ray would have to be part of it. Since
he says it's not a hoax, and you believe him, then how could you
still consider that a possibility?

>I think Santilli had no role in the footage beyond securing it
>and putting it out there for people to see. So I think he's a
>shrewd businessman but an innocent party in the filming of the
>footage, and totally unconnected to that.

Not at all. Ray knows what he bought and he knows who he bought
it from. Ray was the person who had the film transferred to
video and sent the footage to Kodak to have it tested for age.
Both he and Volker insist that they are pleased with their
purchase.

>Here's the important thing: if the claims of the various other
>people who said they saw the footage years ago are correct, then
>quite clearly the cameraman's story about him having the
>original film cannot be true. Have you ever thought of that?

Yes I have. The footage that Ray has (twenty-two to twenty-four
reels of three minutes each) accounts for less than 15-25% of
what was actually shot which was about four hours of autopsy

footage, not including the debris/tent footage. So the folks who witnessed the other autopsy footage were viewing the remaining 75-90%. Bob Shell has also informed me that some of the footage Ray has is copied and not the original.

>If he held on to the film and no one saw it before Santilli got
>it, then that means all the people who made claims of seeing it
>pre-Santilli are wrong. How could they have seen it if this
>elderly guy held on to it until the 1990s?

See above. The Army had almost all of the footage in their possession. The cameraman probably kept only what he thought he could get away with. Or maybe it was an honest mistake.

>If they are right, however, then the cameraman's story is wrong
>and he isn't the only one with the footage. This suggests there
>were copies made, contrary to what the cameraman claimed.

The cameraman would have no way of knowing what happened to the film after it left his possession. There could be thousands of copies floating around! Probably not but how would the cameraman know any more than you? The cameraman never said that there were not any copies as far as I know. Can you supply that quote?

>And that's what I think happened with Levine. That Santilli had
>_absolutely nothing at all_ to do with this, but that British
>Intelligence had acquired a _copy_ of AA film footage that the
>cameraman and Santilli also had a copy of.

Well yes they probably did but what does that have to do with the AA. There have been many folks who have seen the AA footage.

>But that while Santilli's source was his cameraman, British
>Intelligence secured their copy from someone else - perhaps even
>the same people who had the copies that other people claimed to
>have seen years before Santill surfaced.

Yes that's correct. The folks who have copies of the footage were supplied by the same folks who are trying to cover their tracks. That's because this is footage of a real creature being dissected.

>So, no, Santilli was not involved with British Intelligence, nor
>do I think he had _any_ role in the Levine saga. But I _do_
>think this is indicative of the fact that if other copies of the
>film were in circulation, then the cameraman is not telling the
>complete story of the footage.

No he is not telling the complete story of the footage. That would open him up to discovery and prosecution for stealing government property and most importantly, tax evasion. He has told the story that matters, but most find it too unbelievable and haven't given it their attention.

>I am sending the images from the other autopsy film to your
>personal email account.

Interesting photos. I agree that they are not from the Fox AA footage but do seem to be of the other creature. What I also requested were the photos of the "flash burn" victims.

Do you have any of those you could send along?

Thanks,

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Lehmberg

From: **Alfred Lehmberg** <alienview.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 03:43:45 -0600
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 07:38:46 -0500
Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Lehmberg

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 6 Mar 2006 10:58:16 -0800
>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 02 Mar 2006 16:44:25 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

>>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 21:51:56 EST
>>>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

<snip>

I have to stand with you on this Doc. Your arguments demonstrate more evenhandedness than is otherwise seen and a sturdy rampart against an obligatory "locker rupture"...

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2004/aug/m22-003.shtml>

Such as practiced with increasing frequency from both sides of the aisle? Even as you perform it here yourself to a degree? Difference is, you manage to get the gym-shorts out without destroying either the quality of the shorts or the locker. I suspect that's an indication of the quality of your argument and a real separation of wheat from chaff.

alienview.nul
www.AlienView.net
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

Restore John Ford...

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: CNUFOS? - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 03:00:11 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 07:40:51 -0500
Subject: Re: CNUFOS? - Hatch

>From: Bruce Hutchinson <bhutch.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 06 Mar 2006 17:54:24 -0500
>Subject: Re: CNUFOS?

>>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 05 Mar 2006 14:28:17 -0800
>>Subject: CNUFOS?

>>Hello all and FYI:

> <snip>

= = = =

>I found this information by Googling "cnufos".

>The gentleman's name is Richard Giordano, and the address given
>on the cnufos.com website is:

>315 W. Elliot Road
>Suite 107 - 213
>Tempe, Az. 85284

>This is the same guy who has been putting out all those videos
>of 'UFO Fleets' over the Phoenix area.

Yup! Bruce, that's the guy as I found out. He would never give
his name in several emails until I pointed it out.

He also claimed he wasn't making any money at this. Here's a
short snippet from his main dialup page:

"CNUFOS THE MOVIE ON SALE FOR \$19.99 SHIPPING INCLUDED. CLICK ON
THE PAYPAL BOX BELOW TO PURCHASE. NOT A MEMBER? YOU CAN SEND A
CASHIER'S CHECK OR MONEY ORDER TO - CNUFOS - 315 W ELLIOT RD -
SUITE 107-213 - TEMPE, AZ 85284 ANY QUESTIONS PLEASE EMAIL RICH
AT - INFO.nul CLICK HERE FOR TRAILER .."

The Paypal link-image is directly below that.

I guess the Phoenix Video biz is slow lately.

Best

- Larry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 03:10:05 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 07:43:03 -0500
Subject: Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 6 Mar 2006 18:24:37 -0500
>Subject: Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 06 Mar 2006 18:00:56 -0500
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed

>>Source: The Observer, London, UK
>><http://tinyurl.com/q3o9a>

>>Sunday March 5, 2006

>>Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed

><snip>

>>Inside the bottle are samples left over from one of the
>>strangest incidents in recent meteorological history. On 25
>>July, 2001, blood-red rain fell over the Kerala district of
>>western India. And these rain bursts continued for the next two
>>months. All along the coast it rained crimson, turning local
>>people's clothes pink, burning leaves on trees and falling as
>>scarlet sheets at some points.

>If this had been a single incident over a relatively short
>period of time, the comet or meteorite explanation might make
>sense. But meteoritic or comet material raining down over just
>one district in India for two months?? That's absurd.

>Red rains have been reported many times, as most List members
>already know. Charles Fort collected a number of accounts of
>such red rains. So far as I can recall, brightly colored rains
>have always been red. Not blue, not green, not purple.....

>I don't think any known mechanism could isolate a specific area
>on the earth's surface and rain material from a comet or meteor
>down only there. If the account is accurate and this stuff fell
>for a couple of months and only in that one area, then I think
>we can discount such an explanation.

>What's left? Nothing we know about. Fort collected many
>accounts of falls of odd things. Fish and frogs are relatively
>common. Nuts were in one account that I remember.

>My own semi-serious theory is punctuated-creationism, that
>whatever force created life on earth is still "seeding" this
>planet periodically. Typically in falls of frogs and fish, they
>are said to be somewhat like or similar to known types, but
>often are not species known locally. I don't think any study has
>been done to see if they are species known anywhere.

Hi Bob:

I'm not into creationism at all.

A lot depends on the shade of red. IF its a rusty orangey red,

my first suspect would be fine dusts of iron oxides blown off some desert, carried away, then coming down as each particle acted as a nucleus for a raindrop where conditions were right.

This is totally arm-chair on my part. It shouldn't be hard for a scientist to test this out with apparatus long available now. Rusty iron-rich soils are very common in many parts of the world. I find that more likely than Divine Intervention or some purposeful seeding of Earth.

Best

-Larry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: CNUFOS? - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 03:28:37 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 07:45:43 -0500
Subject: Re: CNUFOS? - Hatch

>From: Terry Groff <terrygroff.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 6 Mar 2006 13:59:57 -0600
>Subject: Re: CNUFOS?

>>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 05 Mar 2006 14:28:17 -0800
>>Subject: CNUFOS?

>>Hello all and FYI:

<snip>

>>Does this page look familiar? (It certainly does to me)
>><http://www.cnufos.com/pages/newsandstories/u%20database.htm>

>>Its a 2-week old copy of my main page here:

>><http://www.larryhatch.net/>

>I'm sure they would try to claim "Fair use" under the guise of
>"News" but that won't wash. Fair use only allows partial reprint
>and then only for illustrative purposes.

>If they were doing a parody of your pages (which they are not)
>then that would be OK under Fair use..

>Here's a link to the Copyright Office's page on Fair Use.

><http://www.copyright.gov/fls/fl102.html>

>I have registered copyrights to several musical pieces so I'm a
>stickler for upholding Intellectual Property Rights.

Hi Terry:

It looks like we are on the same wavelength w/r to IPR. I'm
familiar with 'fair use' laws, and no they don't begin to apply
in a case like this.

Rich Giordano (owner of the cnufos site) keeps referring to a
"link" to my site, when in fact he copied my main page whole,
removed my header (in the html source code), replaced it with
his header, and published it on his site as one of his own
files. I have copy of the source code, open-shut case if push
comes to shove.

By the time you see this, the copied page may have been taken
down by Giordano, maybe not. Lets see.

Anyone wishing to see if their site was similarly affected can
do so this way:

1) Call up Google ADVANCED search: (that's important.)

http://www.google.com/advanced_search

- 2) About 8 boxes down, in the white section, locate Domain:
[Only] return results from the site or domain Leave the default
[Only]
- 3) To the right of that enter [cnufos.com] for the domain, where
[] means a box you can fill in.
- 4) Up top, use the first and/or second box to enter search words
in any order, or as an exact phrase. Enter words and or phrases
more or less unique to your web pages. Enter your own name, your
domain name, whatever. Try "UFO UpDates" as an exact phrase.
- 5) Click on the [Google Search] box and see what shows up.

If a page comes up in Google as a "Supplemental Result", its not
much to worry about, meaning Google already saw it was duplicate
material. IF NOT, you may have cause for concern.

The exact same method can be used for any domain (site) you
suspect is scraping your materials. Leave off the www. part. To
check my site, just enter larryhatch.net
for example.

Best wishes

- Larry Hatch

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 08:34:12 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 16:08:06 -0500
Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>From: Ed Gehrman <egerman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 15:57:02 -0800
>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>Yes I have. The footage that Ray has (twenty -two to twenty-four
>reels of three minutes each) accounts for less than 15-25% of
>what was actually shot which was about four hours of autopsy
>footage, not including the debris/tent footage. So the folks who
>witnessed the other autopsy footage were viewing the remaining
>75-90%. Bob Shell has also informed me that some of the footage
>Ray has is copied and not the original.

Actually, to clarify, it is my belief that ALL of the AA footage that Ray has is copy film. He also got a lot of other film from the cameraman, including high school football games and other unrelated material, and some of that may be camera original. When I first talked to Ray about looking at the film for him, he didn't know the difference between camera original and copy film, and was surprised when I informed him that what he had was copy film.

Here is what I believe happened. I believe that "Jack", the cameraman, filmed the whole dissection procedure on two bodies and after processing the film he got to thinking about just how important this film was. I think he made copies of twenty some rolls of the film in the lab he had, kept those copies, and turned in all of the original film. His story of keeping some of the film because no one ever came to collect it just does not wash. He wasn't the only one who realized the significance of the film, and I am sure that any missing rolls would have been noticed immediately, particularly since those rolls show important parts of the dissection.

I think he deceived Ray into believing he was selling him the original film.

So Ray has copies, but based on tests these are old copies, not modern copies. That makes perfect sense to me.

The people that "Jack" turned his film in to probably made their own copies for internal use, and this could account for people claiming to have seen identical footage in the past.

Equally, there would have been still photographs. Those would have been in color. I have hopes that some of them will turn up at some point. We could learn a lot simply from the skin color of the bodies and the color of internal organs. For example, the bodies appear to be completely hairless. If color photos showed them to be bright pink in color, this could be the result of a flash fire that would scald the skin and burn off any hair.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -

From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 08:43:44 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 16:10:16 -0500
Subject: Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -

>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 03:10:05 -0800
>Subject: Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed

>I'm not into creationism at all.

Nor am I in the usual sense.

But the idea that highly evolved beings from somewhere else could be helping evolution along on this planet is appealing in a number of ways.

That's why I called my theory "semi-serious".

>A lot depends on the shade of red. IF its a rusty orangey red,
>my first suspect would be fine dusts of iron oxides blown off
>some desert, carried away, then coming down as each particle
>acted as a nucleus for a raindrop where conditions were right.

>This is totally arm-chair on my part. It shouldn't be hard for a
>scientist to test this out with apparatus long available now.
>Rusty iron-rich soils are very common in many parts of the
>world. I find that more likely than Divine Intervention or some
>purposeful seeding of Earth.

According to the story, this testing had been done and the red rain did not contain such dust.

Seems to me that if it isn't iron-rich dust creating the red color, then the next obvious test would be the relatively simple and readily available test for hemoglobin. A red color of biological origin might be hemoglobin. Next test for anthocyanin coloring, as in the red coloring of plants.

Was there a "red tide" going on anywhere at the same time?

Lots of questions, not many answers.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Santilli & The Camerman [was:... On Vallee's Novel

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 11:52:46 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 16:15:05 -0500
Subject: Santilli & The Camerman [was:... On Vallee's Novel

>From: Ed Gehrman <egerman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 15:57:02 -0800
>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>It's great to hear you say this. Ray didn't "get" the film from
>the "elderly guy" AKA cameraman. He purchased it for \$100,000.
>If it were a hoax, then Ray would have to be part of it. Since
>he says it's not a hoax, and you believe him, then how could you
>still consider that a possibility?

Ed

Yes, of course I know Santilli paid a lot of money for the
footage. Everyone knows that. But, of course he still "got"
the film from the cameraman. You are arguing over a word here!
If you pay for a piece of film footage, you are still "getting"
the film!

And if it was a straightforward hoax (which I don't believe)
why would Santilli have to be a part of that? I don't see the
reasoning behind that at all.

>>I think Santilli had no role in the footage beyond securing it
>>and putting it out there for people to see. So I think he's a
>>shrewd businessman but an innocent party in the filming of the
>>footage, and totally unconnected to that.

>Not at all. Ray knows what he bought and he knows who he bought
>it from. Ray was the person who had the film transferred to
>video and sent the footage to Kodak to have it tested for age.
>Both he and Volker insist that they are pleased with their
>purchase.

Yes I am quite sure they were and indeed still are very pleased
with their purchase.

>>Here's the important thing: if the claims of the various other
>>people who said they saw the footage years ago are correct, then
>>quite clearly the cameraman's story about him having the
>>original film cannot be true. Have you ever thought of that?

>Yes I have. The footage that Ray has (twenty-two to twenty-four
>reels of three minutes each) accounts for less than 15-25% of
>what was actually shot which was about four hours of autopsy
>footage, not including the debris/tent footage. So the folks who
>witnessed the other autopsy footage were viewing the remaining
>75-90%. Bob Shell has also informed me that some of the footage
>Ray has is copied and not the original.

A viable explanation.

>

>>If he held on to the film and no one saw it before Santilli got
>>it, then that means all the people who made claims of seeing it
>>pre-Santilli are wrong. How could they have seen it if this
>>elderly guy held on to it until the 1990s?

>See above. The Army had almost all of the footage in their

>possession. The cameraman probably kept only what he thought he
>could get away with. Or maybe it was an honest mistake.

You really think the cameraman could "get away with" this
footage? That's the part of the story that falls apart big time
- all this stuff about not having anywhere to take the film or
send it to, because the Army and the Air Force split into two.

Has anyone done any actual in-depth research to show how many
times such similar things could have happened?

By that I mean are there other documented examples or testimony
where after the Army and Air Force split, there were loads of
military people who were unable to forward highly classified
materials to their superiors? Or does this only relate to the
old AA geezer?

Plus, the cameraman also said he filmed another autopsy in 1949,
did he not? If he did, evidently the military knew where he was
and they knew how to contact him.

So why didn't he give them the earlier footage in 1949 that he
had held on to in 1947? And did they not realize by 1949 that
they were missing some anyway, and they should have asked him
where it was when they requested his services again in 1949?

To me, the release of the film (whatever it shows and whenever
it was made) sounds like a controlled release at an official
level, with the cameraman a player somehow in that release.

>>If they are right, however, then the cameraman's story is wrong
>>and he isn't the only one with the footage. This suggests there
>>were copies made, contrary to what the cameraman claimed.

>The cameraman would have no way of knowing what happened to the
>film after it left his possession. There could be thousands of
>copies floating around! Probably not but how would the cameraman
>know any more than you? The cameraman never said that there were
>not any copies as far as I know. Can you supply that quote?

My point was that the cameraman claimed that he took the footage
with him, and that the specific footage was never given to the
military, so copies could not have been made and could not be
circulating. That to me is by default an admittance that copies
couldn't have been made.

What you seem to be saying though is that these other people who
have made comments, didn't see copies of the exact same
footage the cameraman sold to Santilli, but they saw extra
parts from the same autopsy that the military did have? Or
footage from some of the other autopsies of very similar bodies?
Is that correct or have I missed something?

>>And that's what I think happened with Levine. That Santilli had
>>absolutely nothing at all to do with this, but that British
>>Intelligence had acquired a copy of AA film footage that the
>>cameraman and Santilli also had a copy of.

>
>Well yes they probably did but what does that have to do with
>the AA. There have been many folks who have seen the AA footage.

Again, when you refer to the "AA footage" are you saying the
specific footage we have all seen has been seen by others
years before we saw it? Or are you saying that these other
people saw footage of the other bodies and not the marketed
film? Or did they see parts of our AA that we haven't seen and
that the military did have?

>>But that while Santilli's source was his cameraman, British
>>Intelligence secured their copy from someone else - perhaps even
>>the same people who had the copies that other people claimed to
>>have seen years before Santill surfaced.

>
>Yes that's correct. The folks who have copies of the footage
>were supplied by the same folks who are trying to cover their
>tracks. That's because this is footage of a real creature being
>dissected.

>
>>So, no, Santilli was not involved with British Intelligence, nor
>>do I think he had any role in the Levine saga. But I do
>>think this is indicative of the fact that if other copies of the

>>film were in circulation, then the cameraman is not telling the
>>complete story of the footage.

>No he is not telling the complete story of the footage. That
>would open him up to discovery and prosecution for stealing
>government property and most importantly, tax evasion. He has
>told the story that matters, but most find it too unbelievable
>and haven't given it their attention.

On the fact that many people have ignored the story I am in
agreement with you. As a matter of interest: if the cameraman
has admitted (or as you have confirmed he has conceded to) to
tax evasion, has anyone tried to seriously pursue this with the
IRS as a way of trying to locate the guy?

>>I am sending the images from the other autopsy film to your
>>personal email account.

>Interesting photos. I agree that they are not from the Fox AA
>footage but do seem to be of the other creature.

Yep, that is what they are.

>What I also
>requested were the photos of the "flash burn" victims.

>Do you have any of those you could send along?

What I have is what you have.

Cheers,

Nick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Secrecy News -- 03/07/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 12:51:30 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 16:16:57 -0500
Subject: Secrecy News -- 03/07/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 31
March 7, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** CIA SUED OVER PREPUBLICATION REVIEW
** CONGRESS ON AMENDING THE PATRIOT ACT, CAPTURED IRAQI DOCS
** ORIGINS OF "THE RIGHT TO KNOW"

CIA SUED OVER PREPUBLICATION REVIEW

A former Central Intelligence Agency employee, Thomas Waters Jr., filed a lawsuit against the Agency last week, arguing that publication of his book had been improperly blocked in the prepublication review process.

"The Central Intelligence Agency has unlawfully imposed a prior restraint upon Thomas Waters by obstructing and infringing on his right to publish his unclassified memoirs and threatening him with civil and criminal penalties," according to the March 3 complaint filed in DC District Court.

The case seems to reflect the tightening of controls on public disclosure of information at the CIA.

Almost all of Waters' manuscript had been cleared for publication by the CIA in September 2004, according to the complaint. But last month, the Agency notified him that substantial portions of the book, including some material that had previously been approved, could not be published after all.

"The CIA continues to deliberately create a hostile environment for its former employees who are seeking to do nothing other than publish nonsensitive, unclassified information," said Mark S. Zaid, Waters' attorney. "Its actions are completely unconstitutional and designed to disable the First Amendment."

A news release about the case may be found here:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/2006/03/waters.html>

The March 3 complaint in Waters v. CIA is posted here:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/jud/waters030306.pdf>

See also "CIA Sued Over Right to Publish" by Shaun Waterman, United Press International, March 6:

<http://tinyurl.com/z86kk>

CONGRESS ON AMENDING THE PATRIOT ACT, CAPTURED IRAQI DOCS

With final congressional reauthorization of the USA Patriot Act now imminent, new legislation was introduced in the Senate yesterday to amend the reauthorized Act.

"What this legislation does is reinstate provisions of the original Senate-passed [Patriot Act reauthorization] bill," said Senator Arlen Specter (R-PA). Those provisions were rejected by the House Republican leadership.

The new bipartisan legislation, jointly sponsored by Senators Specter and Leahy among others, would "require a more reasonable period for delayed-notice search warrants, provide enhanced judicial review of FISA orders and national security letters, require an enhanced factual basis for a FISA order, and create national security letter sunset provisions."

The legislation does not confront the awkward fact that the Bush Administration appears to believe it does not have to comply with the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act.

See the introduction of the new bill here:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_cr/s2369.html

Another bill, introduced by Rep. Pete Hoekstra, chair of the House Intelligence Committee, would require the Director of National Intelligence to release documents captured in Afghanistan or Iraq.

"The Director of National Intelligence shall make publicly available on an Internet website all captured documents."

"The term 'captured document' means a document captured or collected in Afghanistan or Iraq, including a document collected from the Government of Iraq or from a private person and including a document in electronic form, during Operation Desert Storm, Operation Enduring Freedom, and Operation Iraqi Freedom." See:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_cr/hr4869.html

ORIGINS OF "THE RIGHT TO KNOW"

"There is nothing in the Constitution about 'the public's right to know'," wrote former Assistant Director of Central Intelligence Mark M. Lowenthal in his book "Intelligence: From Secrets to Policy" (CQ Press, 2000, page 143).

"The Constitution safeguards freedom of speech and of the press, but these are not the same as a right to information," Mr. Lowenthal argued.

This is not quite correct. The Constitution may be readily understood to grant a public right to know certain types of information.

Specifically, the Constitution imposes an obligation on the government to publish two categories of information: a Journal of Congress (Article I, section 5) and a statement and account of all receipts and expenditures (Article I, section 9).

And the government's obligation to publish this information is semantically identical (or nearly so) to a public right to know it.

The public only gained a broader legal right to access government information with the Freedom of Information Act, which was first enacted in 1966. Prior to that time, one could ask for information, but the government had no duty to respond. Since then, thanks to the FOIA, the public has had a legally enforceable right to compel disclosure of non-exempted information.

As for the phrase "the right to know," it was apparently coined in the 1940s by Kent Cooper, who was the executive director of the Associated Press. The New York Times credited him with originating the phrase in an editorial on January 23, 1945. (As noted by James S. Pope in the Foreword to "The People's Right to Know" by Harold L. Cross, Columbia

University Press, 1953, p. xi.)

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Robert Dean [was: Lovekin Is A General But...]

From: **Jeff Olson** <jlolson.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 10:43:54 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 16:20:02 -0500
Subject: Robert Dean [was: Lovekin Is A General But...]

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 6 Mar 2006 13:59:38 EST
>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

<snip>

>Second, and on a really trivial note, an E-7 is a sergeant first
>class, not a master sergeant, which is an E-8. A command
>sergeant major is an E-9. And yes, I had a long conversation
>with Bob Dean in Roswell in 1997 about how he came to see The
>Assessment and it didn't make sense, whether he was an E-8 or an
>E-9... which doesn't matter because he has changed the details.

Kevin, I'm curious about what you regarded as the main logical
problems with Dean's account. Any chance you'd be willing to
share them with us?

Thanks,

Jeff

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Robert Dean's Claims

From: **Jeff Olson** <jlolson.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 10:56:22 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 16:21:52 -0500
Subject: Robert Dean's Claims

Dear List (especially Kevin Randle),

Has anyone looked into Dean's claims that he has (I believe) about 185 witnesses lined up to testify on UFO reality if they are granted congressional immunity? These witnesses' testimony are allegedly on file with some law firm - one claim that should be fairly easy to check out.

If the law firm does confirm that it has such testimony in its possession, that would seem to lend some credibility to Dean's other claims. It would require rather enormous chutzpah, I think, to publicly assert something like this and not have anything of substance to support it.

Best,

Jeff

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -

From: Paul Anderson <paulanderson.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 13:16:58 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 16:43:57 -0500
Subject: Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -

>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 03:10:05 -0800
>Subject: Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed

>A lot depends on the shade of red. IF its a rusty orangey red,
>my first suspect would be fine dusts of iron oxides blown off
>some desert, carried away, then coming down as each particle
>acted as a nucleus for a raindrop where conditions were right.

>This is totally arm-chair on my part. It shouldn't be hard for a
>scientist to test this out with apparatus long available now.
>Rusty iron-rich soils are very common in many parts of the
>world. I find that more likely than Divine Intervention or some
>purposeful seeding of Earth.

They (the Indian scientists) have been studying the samples since 2001, and now the UK scientists apparently. It's been periodically reported on before, very interesting.

The original posting (with additional links) is here:

<http://education.vsnl.com/godfrey>

And now, New Scientist has it as the cover story for the March 4, 2006 issue:

'Alien Rain'
<http://www.newscientist.com/contents/issue/2541.html>

They may or may not be extraterrestrial, but these things do not look like ordinary dust particles, as some had contended early on, before any detailed studies of the samples themselves were done. Good microscopic images here, including SEM (the PDF file in particular):

<http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0601022> (PDF)
<http://education.vsnl.com/godfrey>
<http://www.panspermia.org/whatsnew.htm#060106>
http://www.world-science.net/exclusives/060104_specksfrml.htm

They appear very similar to blood cells or other cells, including membranes and interior details, but apparently lack DNA...?

Paul

--
The Meridiani Journal
<http://www.themeridianijournal.blogspot.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

What To Do With UFO Whistleblowers?

From: **Mike Jamieson** <mike.jamieson.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 13:21:13 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 16:45:27 -0500
Subject: What To Do With UFO Whistleblowers?

The recent controversy over the investigation into whistleblower backgrounds and testimony suggests to me that ufologists may be the wrong folks to deal with so called whistleblowers.

Then who should deal with them? Reputable journalists and media outlets. They can protect the identities of people who may be revealing classified information. (Like the NY Times will do, if called upon to reveal who leaked the secret NSA wiretapping without a warrent program.)

Anyone revealing classified information can be tried secretly in a federal court. (As recent news stories discuss.) Anyone willing to expose any possible classified UFO related programs, or information, would be unwise to approach ufologists.

UFO investigators who solicit and find whistleblowers should pass them on to the journalists willing to look into these matters. Perhaps ufologists can do a preliminary vetting of whistleblowers coming forth, but I think the best course - given the messy history of ufology's handling of whistleblowers - is to find journalists and media outlets willing to examine and report on what is revealed from whistleblowers.

I support Larry Bryant's efforts to publish ads in DOD publications that solicit whistleblower testimony. But, what should he (or others) do if anyone comes forward?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman [was:... On Vallee's

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 15:35:29 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 16:47:38 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman [was:... On Vallee's

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 08:34:12 -0500
>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 15:57:02 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Gildas Bourdais On Vallee's Novel 'Stratagem'

>>Yes I have. The footage that Ray has (twenty -two to twenty-four
>>reels of three minutes each) accounts for less than 15-25% of
>>what was actually shot which was about four hours of autopsy
>>footage, not including the debris/tent footage. So the folks who
>>witnessed the other autopsy footage were viewing the remaining
>>75-90%. Bob Shell has also informed me that some of the footage
>>Ray has is copied and not the original.

>Actually, to clarify, it is my belief that ALL of the AA footage
>that Ray has is copy film. He also got a lot of other film from
>the cameraman, including high school football games and other
>unrelated material, and some of that may be camera original.
>When I first talked to Ray about looking at the film for him, he
>didn't know the difference between camera original and copy
>film, and was surprised when I informed him that what he had was
>copy film.

>Here is what I believe happened. I believe that "Jack", the
>cameraman, filmed the whole dissection procedure on two bodies
>and after processing the film he got to thinking about just how
>important this film was. I think he made copies of twenty some
>rolls of the film in the lab he had, kept those copies, and
>turned in all of the original film. His story of keeping some of
>the film because no one ever came to collect it just does not
>wash. He wasn't the only one who realized the significance of
>the film, and I am sure that any missing rolls would have been
>noticed immediately, particularly since those rolls show
>important parts of the dissection.

>I think he deceived Ray into believing he was selling him the
>original film.

>So Ray has copies, but based on tests these are old copies, not
>modern copies. That makes perfect sense to me.

>The people that "Jack" turned his film in to probably made their
>own copies for internal use, and this could account for people
>claiming to have seen identical footage in the past.

>Equally, there would have been still photographs. Those would
>have been in color. I have hopes that some of them will turn up
>at some point. We could learn a lot simply from the skin color
>of the bodies and the color of internal organs. For example, the
>bodies appear to be completely hairless. If color photos showed
>them to be bright pink in color, this could be the result of a
>flash fire that would
>scald the skin and burn off any hair.

Bob

Thanks for clarification on this, which seems to me to be an excellent scenario to explain the situation as to why the cameraman had the film.

And your reply also firmly answers (for me, at least) the questions I raised with Ed on this specific issue of copies of the film, etc.

I wonder, though, why no one has (or have they?) thought to bring up with the IRS what is obviously a pretty big breach of law by the cameraman not declaring income of no less than 100 GRAND paid to him, and have the IRS look into this? That might conceivably break the case open and lead to ultimate identification.

I'm kind of surprised that this specific aspect of the story hasn't been pursued vigorously, as it seems far more likely that this could result in the identification of the cameraman than any other route.

Nick.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: obert Dean's Claims - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 21:36:23 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 16:49:39 -0500
Subject: Re: obert Dean's Claims - Hall

>From: Jeff Olson <jlolson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 10:56:22 -0800
>Subject: Robert Dean's Claims

>Dear List (especially Kevin Randle),

>Hs anyone looked into Dean's claims that he has (I believe)
>about 185 witnesses lined up to testify on UFO reality if they
>are granted congressional immunity? These witnesses' testimony
>are allegedly on file with some law firm - one claim that should
>be fairly easy to check out.

>If the law firm does confirm that it has such testimony in its
>possession, that would seem to lend some credibility to Dean's
>other claims. It would require rather enormous chutzpah, I
>think, to publicly assert something like this and not have
>anything of substance to support it.

Jeff,

There is no shortage of chutzpah in the UFO field. People like Dean are always making extravagant claims for which they offer no meaningful evidence. If the law firm really has all those people lined up to testify (by all means ask them), it would be the easiest thing in the world to get a Congressional staff to listen to them and size them up. But if they come in led by a Dean or a Greer whose track records for accuracy and truth-telling are extremely dubious, which would be quickly grasped by staff investigators, it will do nothing but contaminate the potentially good witnesses (if any).

Just out of carefully earned cynicism I would guess that if lawyers are involved, they will be expecting to make a lot of money on the deal, and that throws a serious wrench (spanner) into the machinery.

If these witnesses really exist, each one of them will have to be carefully vetted - even more so because of Greer & Cos. carelessness in that regard. If their credentials (or any significant number of them) check out, then anyone interested in really establishing the truth (as opposed to making a bundle of money or enhancing their personal reputations for indirect gain) should consult knowledgable people about how to approach Congress so that they can be heard.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: Robert Dean's Claims - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 16:53:56 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 18:28:05 -0500
Subject: Re: Robert Dean's Claims - Shell

>From: Jeff Olson <jlolson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 10:56:22 -0800
>Subject: Robert Dean's Claims

>If the law firm does confirm that it has such testimony in its
>possession, that would seem to lend some credibility to Dean's
>other claims. It would require rather enormous chutzpah, I
>think, to publicly assert something like this and not have
>anything of substance to support it.

It certainly would not be the first time for Dean. I've had the
'pleasure' of sitting through a couple of his presentations, and
I can only conclude that he missed his calling as a snake oil
salesman.

During one l-o-n-g presentation in Laughlin one year he showed
many photographs that he said were secret government photos of
UFOs. Only one photo in the lot was even halfway curious. The
rest were obvious reflections, lens flare, and the like. Common
optical phenomena presented as something else to a seriously
gullible audience, some of whom practically assaulted me when I
could contain my disgust no longer and began to make my comments
out loud.

I've sat through quite a number of presentations at UFO
conferences, but Dean's were definitely the worst in terms of
misrepresentation of "evidence" that I have encountered. I do
not understand why anyone would take anything this man says
seriously. I certainly would not.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 17:11:58 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 18:31:33 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 15:35:29 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>I wonder, though, why no one has (or have they?) thought to
>bring up with the IRS what is obviously a pretty big breach of
>law by the cameraman not declaring income of no less than 100
>GRAND paid to him, and have the IRS look into this? That might
>conceivably break the case open and lead to ultimate
>identification.

And what would we tell the IRS? That we heard that a former military cameraman in Florida didn't pay taxes on 100 grand? They'd say, "what's his name and where does he live?" We'd say, "we don't know." They'd then ask, "What's his social security number?" We'd again say "we don't know." They'd say, "Go away and come back when you have that information."

We really don't have anything to tell them of any use in finding him. And we don't even know for certain that he didn't pay taxes on the money.

I thought about this early on, as well as the Social Security Administration, who'd be interested in his income if he's drawing Social Security payments. But we don't know enough to help them find him.

I tried very hard for over a year to find out what the man's real name is, and never really got anywhere. We thought he was Jack Snow, but when we ultimately ran down the Jack Snow who seemed to be the right man, he'd been dead for some time.

I'm pretty confident that his name actually is Jack, since Ray got confused when he had me on one line and Jack on another and started calling me Jack. But that's all I'm confident of, and just because he's called Jack doesn't mean his name is John. I have a friend and an uncle, both called Jack, and neither is named anything like John.

Personally, I think it is a waste of time to look for him now. I think it is highly likely that he is dead. He was supposed to be 85 years old and in poor health back in 1995, so I consider it unlikely that he is still living.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 17:14:15 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 18:34:12 -0500
Subject: Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -

>From: Paul Anderson <paulanderson.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 13:16:58 -0800
>Subject: Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed

>They appear very similar to blood cells or other cells,
>including membranes and interior details, but apparently lack
>DNA...?

Human and most other mammalian red blood cells lack DNA.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 14:22:26 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 18:36:01 -0500
Subject: Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -

>From: Paul Anderson <paulanderson.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 13:16:58 -0800
>Subject: Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed

>>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 03:10:05 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed

>>A lot depends on the shade of red. IF its a rusty orangey red,
>>my first suspect would be fine dusts of iron oxides blown off
>>some desert, carried away, then coming down as each particle
>>acted as a nucleus for a raindrop where conditions were right.

>>This is totally arm-chair on my part. It shouldn't be hard for a
>>scientist to test this out with apparatus long available now.
>>Rusty iron-rich soils are very common in many parts of the
>>world. I find that more likely than Divine Intervention or some
>>purposeful seeding of Earth.

>They (the Indian scientists) have been studying the samples
>since 2001, and now the UK scientists apparently. It's been
>periodically reported on before, very interesting.

>The original posting (with additional links) is here:
><http://education.vsnl.com/godfrey>

>And now, New Scientist has it as the cover story for the March
>4, 2006 issue:

>'Alien Rain'
><http://www.newscientist.com/contents/issue/2541.html>

>They may or may not be extraterrestrial, but these things do
>_not_ look like ordinary dust particles, as some had contended
>early on, before any detailed studies of the samples themselves
>were done. Good microscopic images here, including SEM (the PDF
>file in particular):

><http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0601022> (PDF)
><http://education.vsnl.com/godfrey>
><http://www.panspermia.org/whatsnew.htm#060106>
>http://www.world-science.net/exclusives/060104_specksfrm1.htm

>They appear very similar to blood cells or other cells,
>including membranes and interior details, but apparently lack
>DNA...?

Hi Paul and others:

My comments were off the cuff and strictly armchair as noted.
This does get more interesting, a genuine mystery maybe.
I'd like to know the actual cause. I suspect it will turn
out to be natural, even if rare or strange.

- Larry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

UFO Review - Issue 15

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 22:43:31 +0000 (GMT)
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 18:40:24 -0500
Subject: UFO Review - Issue 15

The latest edition of UFO Review is available at:

<http://www.uforeview.net>

As usual, either of the two top left hand buttons marked "Current issue" depending on which format you want to download it in.

As already pre-advertised, we have an exclusive interview with author Dr. Nick Redfern (doctorate courtesy Billy Booth About.com) on his latest book, Saucer Spies, in which Nick details the efforts of both the American and British intelligence services to keep a watch on nosey and intrusive Ufologists. And in particular, we have APEN finally exposed in all their gory glory. This is going to be an important book for Ufology and is bound to create more debate and discussion. Is this man a masochist? He's barely recovered from Body Snatchers.

We also have an interview with Steve Dewey on the Warminster Phenomenon. The what I hear you say? If you have forgotten about it or just never heard about it in the first place, then you can hardly be blamed, for UK Ufology seems to have done its best since the late 70s to sweep it out of sight and out of mind. Warminster though was one of the UKs most enduring UFO events that lasted for ten years or more. Time for a reminder.

Kithra as usual weighs in with another excellent article on strange stones, we carry the current Dennis Balthaser editorial, there is a wedding announcement, and an expose of science in Ufology and what attributes you need to be a Ufological scientist. I should mention that some of the proceeding articles in this paragraph are not entirely serious, and for that matter neither is the next one, an expose of the photographs taken by the Mars rovers that "they wouldn't let you see". Oh dear.

But what is this? Something of gravitas and relevance it would appear. A full and detailed listing of every UFO sighting in the UK as reported to the MOD from 2002 through to 2005 as supplied to Gary Anthony through a FOIA. Oh well, can't take the wotsits all the time.

Amazingly, all this won't cost you a penny.

<http://www.uforeview.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: Robert Dean's Claims - Salla

From: Michael Salla <exopolitics.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 13:27:08 -1000
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 19:18:30 -0500
Subject: Re: Robert Dean's Claims - Salla

>From: Jeff Olson <jlolson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 10:56:22 -0800
>Subject: Robert Dean's Claims

>Dear List (especially Kevin Randle),

>Has anyone looked into Dean's claims that he has (I believe)
>about 185 witnesses lined up to testify on UFO reality if they
>are granted congressional immunity? These witnesses' testimony
>are allegedly on file with some law firm - one claim that should
>be fairly easy to check out.

>If the law firm does confirm that it has such testimony in its
>possession, that would seem to lend some credibility to Dean's
>other claims. It would require rather enormous chutzpah, I
>think, to publicly assert something like this and not have
>anything of substance to support it.

Hello Jeff,

Bob Dean has commented a few times of belonging to a group of military whistleblowers that discuss among themselves UFO related information. The figure of 185 sounds right. In personal conversations with me he never implied that he had them lined up to testify. It's more of a network that exists that's ready to come forward if the circumstances permit. I think it important to realize that many in the military are out of the loop and genuinely desire UFO related information which is largely confined in compartmented programs with a need to know basis. These loose military networks have bits of the UFO/ET puzzle and wish to learn more about the big picture which is why many leaks occur. The Aviary group is a good example of such a network and I have learned that there are in fact a number of these in existence, some more secretive than others. I did not discern any grandstanding on the part of Bob Dean concerning the 185 whistleblowers, just a reference to a network that exists and is ready to emerge if necessary.

I recently completed an interview with him (to be published in April) where he responded to some of the criticisms made by Kevin Randle in his blog article on exopolitics:

<http://kevinrandle.blogspot.com/2005/11/exopolitics.html>

Maj Randle widely cited Mark Birdsall's article in his critique of Dean's testimony. He claims that Dean's testimony concerning the Assessment had fallen apart due to the emergence of a number of bogus documents that Dean allegedly distributed as evidence to support his claims. Unfortunately, Maj Randle didn't bother to check with Robert Dean about the origins of these documents and confirm that he did in fact originally circulate them as mistakenly claimed by Mark Birdsall. This is another case of relying on secondary sources to discredit an important witness. I don't know why otherwise competent researchers don't use primary sources when these are available and instead rely on secondary sources that get important details wrong. I am presently doing some research to corroborate Dean's claims and

will say more when his interview appears in April.

Aloha,

Michael Salla

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 7](#)

Re: Robert Dean's Claims - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 23:44:27 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 07 Mar 2006 19:19:58 -0500
Subject: Re: Robert Dean's Claims - Hall

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 16:53:56 -0500
>Subject: Re: Robert Dean's Claims

>>From: Jeff Olson <jlolson.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 10:56:22 -0800
>>Subject: Robert Dean's Claims

>>If the law firm does confirm that it has such testimony in its
>>possession, that would seem to lend some credibility to Dean's
>>other claims. It would require rather enormous chutzpah, I
>>think, to publicly assert something like this and not have
>>anything of substance to support it.

>It certainly would not be the first time for Dean. I've had the
>'pleasure' of sitting through a couple of his presentations, and
>I can only conclude that he missed his calling as a snake oil
>salesman.

>During one l-o-n-g presentation in Laughlin one year he showed
>many photographs that he said were secret government photos of
>UFOs. Only one photo in the lot was even halfway curious. The
>rest were obvious reflections, lens flare, and the like. Common
>optical phenomena presented as something else to a seriously
>gullible audience, some of whom practically assaulted me when I
>could contain my disgust no longer and began to make my comments
>out loud.

>I've sat through quite a number of presentations at UFO
>conferences, but Dean's were definitely the worst in terms of
>misrepresentation of "evidence" that I have encountered. I do
>not understand why anyone would take anything this man says
>seriously. I certainly would not.

>Bob Shell

Although Bob Shell and I disagree on a few particulars (no harm
in that), I agree with him 150% on this. The 'snake oil
salesmen' are out there in full force and Dean appears to be one
of them.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

Re: UFO Review - Issue 15 - Redfern

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 18:24:29 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 01:03:20 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Review - Issue 15 - Redfern

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 22:43:31 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: UFO Review - Issue 15

>The latest edition of UFO Review is available at:

><http://www.uforeview.net>

>As usual, either of the two top left hand buttons marked
>"Current issue" depending on which format you want to download
>it in.

>As already pre-advertised, we have an exclusive interview with
>author Dr. Nick Redfern (doctorate courtesy Billy Booth
>About.com) on his latest book, Saucer Spies, in which Nick
>details the efforts of both the American and British
>intelligence services to keep a watch on nosey and intrusive
>Ufologists. And in particular, we have APEN finally exposed in
>all their gory glory. This is going to be an important book for
>Ufology and is bound to create more debate and discussion. Is
>this man a masochist? He's barely recovered from Body Snatchers.

>Amazingly, all this won't cost you a penny.

><http://www.uforeview.net>

Stuart

Yeah, I was both amused and amazed to see that I am now
apparently a doctor! <LOL>

I must have had missing-time though, as I have no recollection
of ever having had that title officially (or even unofficially!)
bestowed upon me during the course of what I laughingly refer to
as my "education"!

I've been called a lot of things in my time as a ufologist, and
some quite descriptive things too since Body Snatchers was
published! Sadly, I'm sure Errol would not allow me to describe
such things on Updates in their full and unexpurgated glory.

But the doctorate is an honor, and a first, that I humbly accept
in all of its overwhelming glory.

But I wonder what I am supposed to be a doctor in? Hair
restoration? No, that definitely can't be right. Perhaps a
doctor of punk rock or the merits of British beer and good
whisky? Both would be highly applicable and most preferable.

Masochist? Nah. It just comes down to genuinely not caring if
people agree with me. If people agree with Saucer Spies and the
reasons why Government agencies spy on people, then that's fine.
If they don't, well that's ufology, and that's what we have
Updates for!

Cheers,

Nick

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

'Burnt Cylinder' Recovered in Shag Harbor?

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 19:35:58 EST
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 01:06:24 -0500
Subject: 'Burnt Cylinder' Recovered in Shag Harbor?

Last night the History Channel aired a program called Canada's Roswell, which concerned the Shag Harbor incident.

A man who claimed to be working at a nearby lighthouse claims to have recovered a burnt cylinder with contents proving it wasn't a UFO event. The man refused to say what the actual contents were.

Does anybody know who this man is and if he has spoken on this in the past, especially if he has hinted at the contents of the cylinder?

- Jason Gammon

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

Whitey Strieber's Claims

From: **Jerry Black** <gulfbreezeinfo.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 17:16:05 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 01:09:52 -0500
Subject: Whitey Strieber's Claims

Refer to Saucer Smear Current issue 2/25/06 - Whitey Strieber claims to have had sexual relations with an alien being in John Mack's book Passport To The Cosmos.

Mr. Whitey Strieber has got to be the biggest joke in the history of Ufology. First, he had several frightening encounters with "demons" at his cabin in New York. Then, he had the alleged implant that would not stop moving. Finally, Mr. Strieber has been chosen by the alien beings to be a sex object. Give me a break!

We have to thank Mr. Art Bell, Mutual UFO Network, NBC TV, and other UFO conventions for keeping this hoaxer around.

About six years ago, I did an investigation into the work and claims made by Whitey Strieber. I concluded that there was no evidence to support Mr. Strieber's claims of contact with "demons" or alien beings. There was some evidence to support the claimed idea that Mr. Strieber's claim of alien contact was a hoax.

--Jerry Black

UFO Researcher and Investigator
You can write to me at PO Box 125
Blanchester, OH 45107
or call 1-877-731-8400

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 22:39:02 -0400
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 01:14:41 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Friedman

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 17:11:58 -0500
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 15:35:29 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>I wonder, though, why no one has (or have they?) thought to
>>bring up with the IRS what is obviously a pretty big breach of
>>law by the cameraman not declaring income of no less than 100
>>GRAND paid to him, and have the IRS look into this? That might
>>conceivably break the case open and lead to ultimate
>>identification.

>And what would we tell the IRS? That we heard that a former
>military cameraman in Florida didn't pay taxes on 100 grand?
>They'd say, "what's his name and where does he live?" We'd say,
>"we don't know." They'd then ask, "What's his social security
>number?" We'd again say "we don't know." They'd say, "Go away
>and come back when you have that information."

>We really don't have anything to tell them of any use in finding
>him. And we don't even know for certain that he didn't pay taxes
>on the money.

>I thought about this early on, as well as the Social Security
>Administration, who'd be interested in his income if he's
>drawing Social Security payments. But we don't know enough to
>help them find him.

>I tried very hard for over a year to find out what the man's
>real name is, and never really got anywhere. We thought he was
>Jack Snow, but when we ultimately ran down the Jack Snow who
>seemed to be the right man, he'd been dead for some time.

>I'm pretty confident that his name actually is Jack, since Ray
>got confused when he had me on one line and Jack on another and
>started calling me Jack. But that's all I'm confident of, and
>just because he's called Jack doesn't mean his name is John. I
>have a friend and an uncle, both called Jack, and neither is
>named anything like John.

>Personally, I think it is a waste of time to look for him now. I
>think it is highly likely that he is dead. He was supposed to be
>85 years old and in poor health back in 1995, so I consider it
>unlikely that he is still living.

Based on my meetings with Santilli and discussions with Lawyer
and former disc-jockey Bill Randle in Cleveland and some
research, I have no good reason to think there is any such
cameraman. Jack Barnett is the name that has been bandied about.
He was a well known movietone News cameraman. He was indeed the
first to film Elvis - in Cleveland. Footage was sold to Santilli
by Randle

However, JB was never in the military and died in 1967. I have

his obit and death certificate. Has anybody seen any real evidence for the cameraman?

By the way I understand Ray will be the Executive Producer on a new British film coming out in April entitled "Alien Autopsy" and starring 2 comedians, Art McPartlin and Declan Donnelly.

The website is

<http://www.alien-autopsy.com>

While I realize that Ed G thinks Ray never told a lie, just about everything he told me in our first conversation turned out to be a lie.

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

Blinded By Power Of Illusion

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 01:27:14 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 01:27:14 -0500
Subject: Blinded By Power Of Illusion

Source: The Courier-Mail - Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

<http://tinyurl.com/prgzz>

08mar06

Blinded By Power Of Illusion
Stephen Hughes

THERE have been a number of UFO sightings reported in Queensland recently, two of which I was asked to look at by Channel 10.

One was Venus hanging in the early morning sky off the beach at Mackay and the other was aircraft contrails high over Brisbane, illuminated by the rays of the sun in the pre-dawn sky.

Other reports followed in regional newspapers.

Sceptics may say that in this case the UFOs could be easily identified =96 and so became "IFOs" or Identified Flying Objects =96 but what about the UFO sightings that cannot be so easily explained? Are these evidence of alien spacecraft visiting the Earth and are governments guilty of covering up the truth about UFOs?

UFO sightings are nothing new.

In ancient times people saw "fiery dragons" and "foo balls" and so on.

In the 1800s strange lights were reported off the coast to Japan that would follow a ship all night.

In 1897, there was the flying-saucer scare in the northwest of the US =96 although in this case the object was said to be a cigar-shaped airship.

The modern flying saucer or UFO era begun on June 24, 1947 when a pilot, Kenneth Arnold, reported seeing nine unidentified objects which he said flew erratically like a saucer skipping across the water.

Although Arnold actually described the objects as looking like boomerangs, the newspaper report said that flying saucers had been seen rather than flying boomerangs.

No doubt, if the newspaper article had been more accurate we would have entered the flying boomerang era (possibly with interesting consequences for the Australian tourist industry).

One of the most famous cases known to the general public is the Roswell incident, which hit the media only one month after the "official" start of the UFO era.

It is claimed by some that in July 1947 the US Air Force

recovered debris from a crashed alien space ship near a ranch at Roswell in New Mexico.

In August, 1985, the Fox Network in the US aired a film claiming to show autopsies of alien bodies recovered from the Roswell wreckage.

It subsequently has been proved =96 I would say beyond even unreasonable doubt =96 that the wreckage discovered at Roswell was the remains of a weather balloon and that the autopsy film is fake.

In spite of this, many claim that the US government has covered up the true details of the case for almost 50 years.

I find it impossible to believe that any government could cover up something that really happened for so long with no leaks ever taking place.

The vast majority of UFO sightings are either Venus, a bright star (for example, Sirius), meteors, aircraft, weather balloons, high-flying tumbleweed, reflective layers of ice crystals, not including UFO photos that clearly have been faked.

However, this still leaves a significant number of unexplained sightings.

I believe that many of these can be explained by invoking more exotic atmospheric phenomena such as a special type of "reverse" mirage known as a superior mirage.

We are all familiar with a standard mirage (inferior mirage) in which the light from a line of trees on the horizon appears to be reflected in water.

This effect is caused by a hot layer of air near the ground reflecting light from the trees and the surrounding sky upwards so that it appears to be coming from below the horizon.

If we have the situation where we have cold air close to the ground with a warm layer above, we have what is known as an inversion layer.

Light rays which pass from the cool layer of air into the warm layer are reflected downwards and so in this way can be projected over the horizon.

The effect is similar to the way in which light is propagated down a fibre optic cable and has been used to describe the origin of the Min Min lights in western Queensland.

Temperature inversions can occur high up in the atmosphere and are capable of projecting astronomical bodies such as Sirius (the brightest star in the sky) and Venus over the horizon.

When an aircraft arrives at the right place at the right time, the UFO can appear to travel from the horizon several hundred kilometres away to appear just a few hundred metres from the plane.

When the plane flies out of the part of the sky where the inversion layer lies between the plane and the horizon, the object appears to rush back to the horizon in almost no time at all.

This back-and-forth motion may be repeated a number of times, making it appear as if the UFO is engaged in a "dogfight" with the aircraft.

The result of these observations is that the UFO is assumed to be powered by some unknown energy source when in reality it is all an optical illusion.

Dr Stephen Hughes is a lecturer in physics and astrophysics with QUT's Faculty of Science and School of Physical and Chemical Sciences

<http://www.sci.qut.edu.au/profiles/hughes/sw.hughes.nul>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

Web Site Collecting Sounds Of Space

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 10:11:24 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 10:11:24 -0500
Subject: Web Site Collecting Sounds Of Space

Source: Florida Today - Melbourne, Florida, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/harc6>

March 8, 2006

Web Site Collecting Sounds Of Space

Advertiser's hobby becomes popular through word of mouth

By Chris Kridler
Florida Today

Spacesounds is a Web site created with a splash of science, a dash of art and a lot of cool.

It earned a Webby Award last year, and as many as a half-million visitors a day can hear why.

"We like to think that we're marrying pure forms of art and science to create this new medium, and we like to think of ourselves as the first sound museum ever," said Scott McNulty, site founder.

Follow the Spacesounds Navigator link at Spacesounds.com to hear otherworldly audio such as:

Dust particles hitting Voyager's radio antenna, chattering like a jet engine with gravel in it.

Radio waves that Cassini detected in the solar wind around Jupiter, tweeting like electronic birds.

The static-laden, urgent beeping of early Soviet satellite Sputnik orbiting Earth.

The site also incorporates "Mission Control," audio from NASA missions, from the triumph of the Apollo moon shots to the tragedy of the Challenger explosion.

"We actually discussed that in great detail. We actually had much more of that clip," said McNulty, who lives in Rowayton, Conn. Though they wanted the audio for its historical value, "we didn't want it to be there in all of its terror."

McNulty runs an online storage company. He came from the upper echelons of advertising, which gave him the skills and the design-savvy friends to create the attractive, engaging site. Though they've won many awards for their advertising work, he said, the Webby is the best.

The site has huge traffic, he said, generated almost entirely by word of mouth.

"It really took us by surprise," McNulty said. "We didn't do

this for fame or money. We did this because we loved it."

He came up with the idea when working with Dan Werthimer of the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence Institute -- also featured on the site -- who gave him a tape of sounds from the Voyager spacecraft.

"I thought, 'Wow, this is really the neatest thing I've ever heard,' and I just felt like it would be great if the world could hear stuff like this."

Now, the sound museum has expanded to include other sites devoted to storms, whales and dinosaurs. The latter's cries were created using the latest scientific research.

Musicians have gotten involved, too, mixing natural sounds with music: Jay Stanley, who played with members of Matchbox 20 when they were known as Tabitha's Secret and now runs a production studio in Orlando; and folk legend Richie Havens.

McNulty hopes scientists can take the sounds to another level by analyzing them mathematically, maybe even finding a universal language in them.

He'd like to expand to more than 300 sound museums, if he ever gets the resources.

"Like any hobby, our families don't always appreciate we're putting our time and money into this," he said. But his two kids love the sounds.

Contact Kridler at 242-3633 or ckridler.nul

[UFO UpDates thanks Greg Boone for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

Re: Blinded By Power Of Illusion - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 04:48:52 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 10:13:36 -0500
Subject: Re: Blinded By Power Of Illusion - Kaeser

>Source: The Courier-Mail - Brisbane, Queensland, Australia
>
><http://tinyurl.com/prgzz>
>
>08mar06
>
>
>Blinded By Power Of Illusion
>Stephen Hughes

[snip]

>In August, 1985, the Fox Network in the US aired a film
>claiming to show autopsies of alien bodies recovered from the
>Roswell wreckage.

>It subsequently has been proved - I would say beyond even
>unreasonable doubt, that the wreckage discovered at Roswell was
>the remains of a weather balloon and that the autopsy film is fake.

Among the illusions that Hughes appears to have is the time
distortion of moving the AA 'film' controversy back a decade to
1985. I guess that even scientists have a problem with fact
checking from time to time.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Sparks

From: Brad Sparks <RB47x.nul>
Date: Wed, 8 Mar 2006 05:20:29 EST
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 10:35:01 -0500
Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Sparks

[Posted on behalf of Jim Klotz]

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 3 Mar 2006 20:47:12 EST
>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

<snip>

Dr. Randle and I have met a couple of times and corresponded so I feel comfortable addressing him as Kevin.

On behalf of Captain Robert Salas, USAF retired, I'd like to point out a couple of things and object to a couple of other things in that message. Kevin, you left off the final "s" from Robert's name. It is Salas, not "Sala".

Robert Salas has never claimed to have seen the UFO which was reported by USAF Security Police to be hovering over the front gate of the Minuteman Missile Launch Control Center in which Captain Salas was on duty when the missiles in that flight began to go into a "no-go" condition. By the way, that term is used in the official documentation on the incident.

Kevin, I agree with you about the problems with the unevenness in Disclosure Project witnesses. Some Disclosure Project witnesses are clearly who they say they are, had the experiences they say they had, and have at least some supporting documentation and/or corroborating witnesses, some do not. You correctly identify some of the problem witnesses in my opinion, however, your message can be construed to lump Robert Salas together with the problem witnesses you mention. Additionally, MJ-12 is mentioned in the same paragraph with Mr. Salas' name; there is no connection between the two.

I want to say that Robert Salas is who he says he is and the 1967 Malmstrom missile shutdowns were a real event. Robert Salas and I spent ten years working to document that event and were able to obtain significant documentation and to locate corroborative witnesses. We put this information in our book Faded Giant [ISBN 1-4196-0341-8]. A short treatment of the 1967 Malmstrom missile shutdowns may be viewed at:

<http://www.cufon.org/cufon/malmstrom/malml.htm>.

- Jim Klotz

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 02:23:29 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 10:58:14 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Hatch

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 22:39:02 -0400
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 17:11:58 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

<snip>

>>Personally, I think it is a waste of time to look for him now. I
>>think it is highly likely that he is dead. He was supposed to be
>>85 years old and in poor health back in 1995, so I consider it
>>unlikely that he is still living.

>Based on my meetings with Santilli and discussions with Lawyer
and former disc-jockey Bill Randle in Cleveland and some
research, I have no good reason to think there is any such
cameraman. Jack Barnett is the name that has been bandied about.
He was a well known movietone News cameraman. He was indeed the
first to film Elvis - in Cleveland. Footage was sold to Santilli
by Randle

>However, JB was never in the military and died in 1967. I have
his obit and death certificate. Has anybody seen any real
evidence for the cameraman?

>By the way I understand Ray will be the Executive Producer on a
new British film coming out in April entitled "Alien Autopsy"
and starring 2 comedians, Art McPartlin and Declan Donnelly.

>The website is <http://www.alien-autopsy.com>

>While I realize that Ed G thinks Ray never told a lie, just
about everything he told me in our first conversation turned out
to be a lie.

Wow Stan! A new Alien Autopsy movie from Ray Santilli, and a
comedy at that. Sure enough, you can just barely make out RAY
SANTILLI on the movie marquis shown on the website. Too bad
they don't say more about the plot (assuming there is one).

Maybe now we can stop talking about the original film.

What's next? A Broadway musical? Military or medical types doing
choreography? A TV series? Maybe even a new sugar coated
breakfast cereal.

Whatever it is, I'm sure there will be at least a few on this
List watching.

Best wishes

- Larry Hatch

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

Re: UFO Chronology 1877-2006 - Koi

From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi2.nul>
Date: Wed, 8 Mar 2006 10:34:26 -0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 11:00:21 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Chronology 1877-2006 - Koi

>From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 6 Mar 2006 19:28:22 -0500
>Subject: Re: UFO Chronology 1877-2006

>>From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi2.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 5 Mar 2006 23:03:59 -0000>
>>Subject: UFO Chronology 1877-2006

>>About two and a half years after starting drafting it (and
>>several more years after starting relevant reading), I am now
>>ready to share a preliminary draft of a chronology of frequently
>>discussed UFO and SETI related events from 1877-2006 ("Koi Chrono
>>Core" for short).

>Nice work.

Thanks Bruce.

>>This Chronology, like my heart, is dedicated to my wife.

>Hmmm... so you have had time to speak to her in recent years.

Some time. Not enough.

At least I've now finished and circulated a draft of the full
Chronology. Now that I've done that piece of work, I may have a
bit of fun by expressing some opinions (something I was
reluctant to do until making some sort of contribution towards
ufology), but I don't feel any pressing need to develop the
Chronology further or circulate the various other reference
documents I have been developing during the same two and a half
years.

Quite a relief really.

All the best,

Isaac Koi

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

Re: Robert Dean's Claims - Allan

From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
Date: Wed, 8 Mar 2006 10:44:36 -0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 11:01:58 -0500
Subject: Re: Robert Dean's Claims - Allan

>From: Jeff Olson <jlolson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 10:56:22 -0800
>Subject: Robert Dean's Claims

>Dear List (especially Kevin Randle),

>Has anyone looked into Dean's claims that he has (I believe)
>about 185 witnesses lined up to testify on UFO reality if they
>are granted congressional immunity? These witnesses' testimony
>are allegedly on file with some law firm - one claim that should
>be fairly easy to check out.

>If the law firm does confirm that it has such testimony in its
>possession, that would seem to lend some credibility to Dean's
>other claims. It would require rather enormous chutzpah, I
>think, to publicly assert something like this and not have
>anything of substance to support it.

Which law firm?

Reminds me of another similar episode in US history. A certain
Senator Joe McCarthy once went around claiming he had a list of
185 (or was it 205?) 'subversives' in the CIA and FBI. They
never materialised.

Few believed McCarthy, and a lot fewer believe Robert Dean.

CDA

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
Date: Wed, 8 Mar 2006 06:48:49 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 11:05:15 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
To: <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 22:39:02 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>Based on my meetings with Santilli and discussions with Lawyer
>and former disc-jockey Bill Randle in Cleveland and some
>research, I have no good reason to think there is any such
>cameraman. Jack Barnett is the name that has been bandied about.
>He was a well known movietone News cameraman. He was indeed the
>first to film Elvis - in Cleveland. Footage was sold to Santilli
>by Randle

>However, JB was never in the military and died in 1967. I have
>his obit and death certificate. Has anybody seen any real
>evidence for the cameraman?

It's been more than ten years and my memory isn't what it used to be, but I think we (Philip Mantle, Mike Hesemann and me) may have first turned up the Jack Barnett name. We also got Jack Barrett from some of our sources. We discarded these names after doing research and finding the same you did. Then a source gave me the name Jack Snow, a man who was cousin to country music singer Hank Snow, and we worked hard on that name for some time. The reason we thought that Jack Snow was the right name was that when we sprang it on Ray at a meeting in London he seemed genuinely startled and concerned. The only Jack Snow we were able to track down turned out to have died a year or two before, and conversations with his son and daughter convinced us that he was not the right man. He'd been in the military at the right times, lived in the right place (Cleveland, as I recall), and so on, but his daughter insisted that he had never been a cameraman.

I do believe there was a cameraman and he was alive in 1995. I had gone to Socorro, New Mexico, to do some field work, try to find local witnesses, and look for the crash site. I telephoned Ray from my hotel there to see if I could get better directions to the site. Ray said he thought Jack would be home and would call him, so I was on hold for a while and then Ray came back and said he had Jack on another line and would pass my questions to him and his responses back to me. I do believe that he had someone on the other line, someone named Jack, and I got some very detailed directions out to where the crash site was supposed to be. Ray said that Jack was very emphatic that I not try to go there in a regular rental car, that I'd need a heavy duty four wheel drive to get back into the desert to the dry lake bed.

I did not have the budget or time to get a four wheeler on that trip, but I decided to try to find the landmarks to the "dirt track" anyway. The problem is that I had a starting point and directions that told me to drive for 45 minutes, but I didn't know the speed and Jack didn't really know. So I tried at a variety of speeds. Regardless, I ended up on the Plains of San Agustin not too far from Magdalena. I spoke with National Forest rangers in Magdalena, and they said the general area I was talking about was the only area they knew of with any dry lake

beds, but they could not recall any wooden bridge like the one in my directions. One pointed out that good wood was at a premium in the desert there so if the bridge had collapsed as Jack said, most likely the locals would have scavenged the wood.

Anyway, I never located the road with any certainty, and the roads I did find all went into private property and were posted with no trespassing signs, so I decided to leave this quest for another day. Another day and funds never came, so I haven't been back.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

Bob Pratt Website Now At MUFON.Com

From: **Jerry Cohen** <rjcohen.nul>
Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 07:50:49 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 11:07:45 -0500
Subject: Bob Pratt Website Now At MUFON.Com

Hello again to all List-members and lurkers,

In November 2005, after Bob Pratt's passing, a number of people had posted their thoughts concerning the preservation of his website. Below are a just a small sampling.

Larry Hatch:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/nov/m26-018.shtml>

Terry Groff:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/nov/m27-008.shtml>

Terry Groff had mentioned that Bob's domain registration expired at the end of February 2006.

Since it is now March, if there is anyone out there wondering what has become of Bob's website, it is presently being archived at MUFON at the following address.

http://www.mufon.com/bobpratt_frame.htm

Many thanks to the people of MUFON for their efforts in this important endeavor.

Sincerely,

Jerry Cohen

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

Volunteer For UFO Symposium

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 11:12:36 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 11:12:36 -0500
Subject: Volunteer For UFO Symposium

Source: The Farmington Daily Times - New Mexico, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/geelt>

Mar 8, 2006

Volunteer For UFO Symposium

Give your time to help with the four-day UFO Symposium event or become involved in a variety of library services. These are just a few of the many volunteer opportunities available through the Volunteer Center at San Juan College.

Friends of the Aztec Library are especially in need of help with the upcoming UFO Symposium, scheduled for Thursday, March 23 through Sunday, March 26. Volunteers are needed to help with a variety of Symposium events including a space art and writing contest, the welcome dinner, a scavenger hunt, costume contest, used book sale and tours of the "alleged" crash site. New to this year's symposium is the Frank Scully Film Competition. Volunteers also are needed as part of the film and audio crews.

Those interested in volunteering at the UFO Symposium should plan to attend a meeting on Monday, March 20, at 6:30 p.m. at the Aztec Library, 319 S. Ash Ave., next to San Juan College East, or call the Aztec Library at 334-7657.

The Aztec Library also needs help with other services throughout the year such as assisting with children and adult programs, helping with the gift and book shop, lending a hand with the Kids on the Block Puppet Troupe, tutoring and providing computer assistance.

Groups may choose to help with special events including the UFO Symposium and the Mountain Bike Race. Youngsters, 12 years and older, are needed during the summer months to volunteer their time as readers and tutors.

The Volunteer Center at San Juan College maintains a directory of more than 100 community organizations and agencies needing volunteer services. For further information regarding the volunteer opportunity listed above or other agencies in need of assistance, call the Volunteer Center at 566-3293, Monday through Friday, between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Cameron

From: Cory Cameron <corycameron_510.nul>
Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 11:05:22 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 13:35:47 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Cameron

>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 02:23:29 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 22:39:02 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 17:11:58 -0500
>>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

><snip>

>>>Personally, I think it is a waste of time to look for him now. I
>>>think it is highly likely that he is dead. He was supposed to be
>>>85 years old and in poor health back in 1995, so I consider it
>>>unlikely that he is still living.

>>Based on my meetings with Santilli and discussions with Lawyer
>>and former disc-jockey Bill Randle in Cleveland and some
>>research, I have no good reason to think there is any such
>>cameraman. Jack Barnett is the name that has been bandied about.
>>He was a well known movietone News cameraman. He was indeed the
>>first to film Elvis - in Cleveland. Footage was sold to Santilli
>>by Randle

>>However, JB was never in the military and died in 1967. I have
>>his obit and death certificate. Has anybody seen any real
>>evidence for the cameraman?

>>By the way I understand Ray will be the Executive Producer on a
>>new British film coming out in April entitled "Alien Autopsy"
>>and starring 2 comedians, Art McPartlin and Declan Donnelly.

>>The website is <http://www.alien-autopsy.com>

>>While I realize that Ed G thinks Ray never told a lie, just
>>about everything he told me in our first conversation turned out
>>to be a lie.

>>Wow Stan! A new Alien Autopsy movie from Ray Santilli, and a
>>comedy at that. Sure enough, you can just barely make out RAY
>>SANTILLI on the movie marquis shown on the website. Too bad
>>they don't say more about the plot (assuming there is one).

>>Maybe now we can stop talking about the original film.

>>What's next? A Broadway musical? Military or medical types doing
>>choreography? A TV series? Maybe even a new sugar coated
>>breakfast cereal.

>>Whatever it is, I'm sure there will be at least a few on this
>>List watching.

This is exactly the type of thing that is killing our research!
It drives me crazy to think that there is a comedy coming out
titled, "Alien Autopsy". When will UFO Research be taken
seriously by the public? I tell all on this List, I won't be in
line for the opening!

Cory

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

Argentinean Police Officer Tells His Story

From: **Scott Corrales** <lornis1.nul>
Date: Wed, 8 Mar 2006 11:51:49 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 13:38:48 -0500
Subject: Argentinean Police Officer Tells His Story

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology
March 8, 2006

Source: El Diario de la Pampa

<http://www.eldiariodelapampa.com.ar/>

Date: Wednesday, March 8, 2006

Sergio Pucheta Shares His UFO Experience: "It Could Happen To Anybody"

Treatment: The police officer is currently under psychiatric care within the framework of a "confusional episode". "I'm feeling somewhat nervous; my head aches and my hands itch," said the law enforcement agent who was interviewed Monday by a Barcelona (Spain) radio station. He asked that society "believe his story, since this had never happened to be before, and I didn't believe in any of this before."

General Pico (Agencia) - Sergio Pucheta, the provincial police corporal involved in an alleged UFO experience, stated yesterday that "this could happen to anybody". It should be noted that the strange episode which had the officer as its protagonist, took place between the evening of March 2nd and early morning on Friday, March 3rd in the rural region of Dorila.

Pucheta, who was hospitalized at the Gobernador Centeno Hospital until noon Monday, only recently got in touch with the local press at his home on 104th and 25th streets where he lives with with his wife (pregnant) and his in-laws.

First, Pucheta showed a video made during the first days of February at a site close to the one where last Thursday's experience occurred. The images taken by the police officer show a round light apparently rotating on its axis.

It should be noted that this video was requisitioned by Minister Tierno in the early hours of Friday the 3rd while the police combed the area searching for the missing officer, who finally "appeared" at around 16:30 hours -- benumbed and in a fetal position -- on a rural road near Quemu Quemu, some 20 kilometers away from the place where his disappearance occurred.

Moreover, the videotape was also requested by Pampan ufologist "Quique" Mario, who returned to General Pico yesterday to secure further details on Pucheta's ordeal.

Sergio Pucheta met with the media from General Pico at 09:30 hours yesterday, providing details of his experience for over an hour. "I was driving a motorcycle (a Honda 125 cc belonging to the Cattle Rustling Division) and when I reached the wilderness area we call "Las Ca=Flas", I saw a red light similar to that of a car," he explained, adding that upon reaching the site, the light vanished. "Then I got off my motorcycle to see if could hear any noises. When I got back on the motorcycle and was about to put on my helmet, the red light appeared in front of me,

against my face and very strong."

He followed by saying that the red light "stood in front of me and sort of hypnotized me," stressing that as a result of this contact he could "only move his hands, nothing else. I couldn't move the rest of my body...it was as if (the light) was going all over my body."

Later, he added that the light rose into the air and caused him "a considerable head and eye ache", a situation the officer tried to escape from, running away on foot into the fields, without recalling ever having dismantled his service pistol or the police walkie-talkie, which was subsequently found at the site together with other belongings, such as a cell phone which lost all of its stored phone numbers, except for the last call Pucheta made to his fellow officers, calling for backup.

The corporal explained that he has worked for the police force for some 8 years and that for the last two he has served in the Cattle Rustling Division (Division Abigeato) engaging in patrols between 19:30 and 22:00 hours along the rural region of Dorila, Speluzzi and Trebolares.

Pucheta also noted that as he ran into the rural field "they were always behind me", in reference to two pursuing entities. He likewise added "I can't recall if I was walking or running" and noted having remembered all of his life in a matter of seconds "from when I was little until now that I'm older, everything good or bad that ever happened to me."

Regarding the "entities" chasing him, he maintained that they "levitated" and described one of the figures as "being smaller than me, somewhat transparent, with a large head and clear red eyes ...the eyes were very red."

He explained that "they were utilizing my mind, as if they were performing tests," which he later described as "life tests" -- "For example, I reached a cornfield, and at a distance of some 10 meters saw a large figure that appeared to be chewing the corn cobs and was breaking something. I felt very scared and stood there staring at it. It was getting closer and closer and that's when I felt them tell me "either you go forward or backward". Then I stood thinking and walked right past the figure, and the fear sort of left me at that moment," he said.

When asked if the figure could be that of an animal, he said no, because "it was taller than me and much larger".

Meanwhile, Pucheta stated that throughout the experience he was "aware, because I was thinking about my family, in my wife and [our unborn child]... this was something real that was happening to me," adding that he felt great fear throughout the experience, except for when "I began remembering everything I'd ever been through since childhood. That was the loveliest thing that happened to me."

He later said that he currently "feels very afraid about going out at night" and that the entities told him telepathically that "the were going to seize another fellow officer who also travels alone."

With regard to the place from where the police rescued him, he said that he had been "waiting there since the morning. I was there from 8 in the morning until 4 in the afternoon, sitting and waiting" in a place where "no one ever came by".

When asked why he hadn't decided to move away from that spot, he replied: "My feet were paralyzed...I was numb," adding fearfully "they told me that if I spent the day there until the evening, they would come back for me again."

The police officer was unable to explain if the "entities" had taken him somewhere, but he explained that during parts of the distance he covered "it was as though I was in the air" and even remembered having gone past young bull calves "that didn't move", as if the animals were unaware of his presence.

Sergio Pucheta also made it clear during the press conference that his experience is just one of "many cases" recorded in the rural area.

"Previously, my partner and I have seen thousands of those red lights. We once chased one right to the blacktop highway. It was raining and then we never found any traces of it, only our pickup truck."

He added that some two weeks ago "a fellow officer was driving along the access road to Agustoni and saw a light in the middle of the road. He thought it was a car and flashed his headlights at it, but the light wouldn't budge. When he was about to overtake it, the light moved from one side to another and flew straight up."

Translation (c) 2006, Scott Corrales
Institute of Hispanic Ufology (IHU)
Special thanks to Christian Quintero, Planeta UFO)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 11:46:28 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 13:41:02 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Kaeser

>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 02:23:29 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

<snip>

>Wow Stan! A new Alien Autopsy movie from Ray Santilli, and a
>comedy at that. Sure enough, you can just barely make out RAY
>SANTILLI on the movie marquis shown on the website. Too bad they
>don't say more about the plot (assuming there is one).

>Maybe now we can stop talking about the original film.

>What's next? A Broadway musical? Military or medical types
>doing choreography? A TV series? Maybe even a new sugar coated
>breakfast cereal.

>Whatever it is, I'm sure there will be at least a few on this
>List watching.

Hi Larry,

I had heard about this film, but didn't know that Ray was
involved. I actually couldn't read the small print in the images
that showed his name until I looked for it.... <g>

Take a look at <http://www.warnerbros.co.uk/alienautopsy/>

This appears to be a fictional treatment and it seems to
parallel the actual Santilli released AA "film". So if one can't
make a fortune selling a controversial "film", there's always
the option of later producing a movie on the "film" and using 10
years of hype to help sell admission tickets.

Somehow I don't think the Oscar hopefuls next year will have to
worry.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO Updates Main Index](#)

UFO Updates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

Re: Blinded By Power Of Illusion - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Wed, 8 Mar 2006 14:01:34 -0400
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 13:42:56 -0500
Subject: Re: Blinded By Power Of Illusion - Friedman

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>,
>Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 04:48:52 -0500
>Subject: Re: Blinded By Power Of Illusion

>>Source: The Courier-Mail - Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

>><http://tinyurl.com/prgzz08mar06>

>>Blinded By Power Of Illusion
>>Stephen Hughes

><snip>

>>In August, 1985, the Fox Network in the US aired a film
>>claiming to show autopsies of alien bodies recovered from the
>>Roswell wreckage.

>>It subsequently has been proved - I would say beyond even
>>unreasonable doubt, that the wreckage discovered at Roswell was
>>the remains of a weather balloon and that the autopsy film is fake.

>Among the illusions that Hughes appears to have is the time
>distortion of moving the AA 'film' controversy back a decade to
>1985. I guess that even scientists have a problem with fact
>checking from time to time.

Remember that some of the rules are 1. Don't bother me with the
facts,my mind is made up; 2. What the public doesn't know, I am
not going to tell them; and 3. Do one's research by
proclamation, investigation is too much trouble.

Note he said nothing about Arnold timing the flight of his
saucers between two mountains and coming up with well over
1000mph.

He doesn't mention that the Mogul Balloon explanation doesn't
fit etc.

He appears to be a pleasant enough looking character, despite
his ignorance of the subject matter.

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

A Good Vehicle To Handle Whistleblowers?

From: **Mike Jamieson** <mike.jamieson.nul>
Date: Wed, 8 Mar 2006 10:25:33 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 13:53:21 -0500
Subject: A Good Vehicle To Handle Whistleblowers?

I suggested here an alternative to the usual way ufologists handle whistleblower testimony::

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2006/mar/m07-026.shtml>

I think I may have found a good candidate for the job:

<http://www.freedomofinfo.org/mission.html>

(This way we can lighten the work load of Steven Greer, Michael Salla and others!)

: -)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Wed, 8 Mar 2006 19:07:54 +0000 (GMT)
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 14:37:58 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Miller

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 11:46:28 -0500
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 02:23:29 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

><snip>

>Somehow I don't think the Ocar hopefuls next year will have to
>worry.

Hi Steve,

This film may be more successful than you might imagine. Ant and Dec are two of the biggest stars on UK TV and have been for some time. Certainly in the UK at least, I would imagine a sizeable number of people will see it.

Stuart Miller

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Wed, 8 Mar 2006 14:26:26 EST
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 14:51:39 -0500
Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Randle

>From: Brad Sparks <RB47x.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 8 Mar 2006 05:20:29 EST
>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

>[Posted on behalf of Jim Klotz]

>>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 3 Mar 2006 20:47:12 EST
>>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

><snip>

>Dr. Randle and I have met a couple of times and corresponded so
>I feel comfortable addressing him as Kevin.

>On behalf of Captain Robert Salas, USAF retired, I'd like to
>point out a couple of things and object to a couple of other
>things in that message. Kevin, you left off the final "s" from
>Robert's name. It is Salas, not "Sala".

Sorry. I took his name off a Disclosure Project list that I had.

>Robert Salas has never claimed to have seen the UFO which was
>reported by USAF Security Police to be hovering over the front
>gate of the Minuteman Missile Launch Control Center in which
>Captain Salas was on duty when the missiles in that flight began
>to go into a "no-go" condition. By the way, that term is used in
>the official documentation on the incident.

>Kevin, I agree with you about the problems with the unevenness
>in Disclosure Project witnesses. Some Disclosure Project
>witnesses are clearly who they say they are, had the experiences
>they say they had, and have at least some supporting
>documentation and/or corroborating witnesses, some do not. You
>correctly identify some of the problem witnesses in my opinion,
>however, your message can be construed to lump Robert Salas
>together with the problem witnesses you mention. Additionally,
>MJ-12 is mentioned in the same paragraph with Mr. Salas' name;
>there is no connection between the two.

I meant no disrespect and I thought it clear that his story was
one that was true but not as spectacular as those told by some
of the "stars" of the Disclosure Project and Exopolitics. I
thought of him as an example of an officer who had an experience
that was unusual, that he was who he said he was, and that his
wasn't talking of alien races and the like.

What I had said, "Robert Salas, retired Air Force captain, said
a "bright, glowing red object" hovered outside the gate of a
nuclear weapons site in Montana in 1967. The weapons suddenly
went into a 'no-go' condition."

And I implied no connection between them. I was making the case
that some very honorable people had many things to say about
UFOs, one of them Dr. Robert Wood who believed in the

authenticity of the MJ-12 documents. I believe Dr. Wood to be an honest man and a good researcher. My point was there are those in the Disclosure Project who are honest and sincere, but they are not those talking in terms of alien body recoveries, or 57 different types of aliens. I thought of Salas as one of the good guys.

>I want to say that Robert Salas is who he says he is and the
>1967 Malmstrom missile shutdowns were a real event. Robert Salas
>and I spent ten years working to document that event and were
>able to obtain significant documentation and to locate
>corroborative witnesses. We put this information in our book
>Faded Giant [ISBN 1-4196-0341-8]. A short treatment of the 1967
>Malmstrom missile shutdowns may be viewed at:

><http://www.cufon.org/cufon/malmstrom/malml.htm>.

I sorry that you misunderstood my point and hope you will tell Mr. Salas that I meant no disrespect to him.

KRandle

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

Re: (was Re: Digest Mode)

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 15:54:00 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 15:54:00 -0500
Subject: Re: (was Re: Digest Mode)

>May I receive the UFO Updates in a digest form rather than the individual messages?
>
>Thanks.

Done...

ebk

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 8](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Wed, 8 Mar 2006 14:52:14 EST
Fwd Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 15:58:47 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gammon

>From: Cory Cameron <corycameron_510.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 11:05:22 -0500
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>This is exactly the type of thing that is killing our research!
>It drives me crazy to think that there is a comedy coming out
>titled, "Alien Autopsy". When will UFO Research be taken
>seriously by the public? I tell all on this List, I won't be in
>line for the opening!

UFO research will not be taken seriously as long as researchers embrace such silly things as Alien Autopsy, The Aviary, Bob Lazaar, and even the infamous Majestic 12. The fact that people bought into Alien Autopsy to begin with shows a lack of common sense. Do you really think the government is going to allow an autopsy film of an extraterrestrial being to be shown on FOX, let alone sold to the masses? In my opinion, had the film been authentic, Santilli would have been a dead man, as well as the man who supposedly sold him the footage, long before the show aired or could be distributed.

Just when will researchers learn that those 'secret government informants', who give such juicy details, are simply part of the program to deceive the public. Just when will researchers learn that a person coming forward with 'startling evidence', yet refusing to definitively stand beside such and whom I might add stands to gain a considerable fortune from the commercial distribution thereof, is most likely a fraud?

Listen closely researchers, the 'powers that be' will not willingly allow the release of the information we seek. These same 'powers' will also hold almost complete control over their employees, meaning that if an informant comes forward it is with the full and complete sanction. It's part of the program. Therefore, watch your back so that you don't fall victim to the program. Demand physical evidence from any such 'informant' and always, and I can't stress this enough, always pick apart their comments for the slightest hint of deception or ulterior motivation.

"Extraterrestrial Beings are exchanging technology with the Military in exchange for the ability to abduct and experiment upon human beings. Oh, and by the way, these extraterrestrial beings have a sweet-tooth for strawberry ice-cream."

1. Any Extraterrestrial Being would not need government or military sanction to abduct humans. Their technology alone freely implies superiority.
2. Former CIA/FBI agents have admitted that if a researcher was getting too close to a secret government operation, then steps would be taken to throw that person off, even if what the researcher believed was far removed from the actual truth of the situation.
3. Aliens like strawberry ice-cream? Have we solved cattle-mutilation? Maybe our visitors don't understand that if they let

the cow live it will produce more milk. Maybe the aliens have bought into our 'Fast Food' and 'Disposable' lifestyles.

BTW: It would not surprise me in the least to discover certain agents, or agencies, are closely monitoring this List.

- Jason Gammon

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 9](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 16:02:37 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 09 Mar 2006 08:45:06 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Kaeser

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 8 Mar 2006 19:07:54 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 11:46:28 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

<snip>

>>Somehow I don't think the Ocar hopefuls next year will have to
>>worry.

>This film may be more successful than you might imagine. Ant
>and Dec are two of the biggest stars on UK TV and have been for
>some time. Certainly in the UK at least, I would imagine a
>sizeable number of people will see it.

I don't doubt it. It looks like a lot of work has gone into it
and the subject has certainly proven to be entertaining. IO
still doubt any Oscar contention at this point. But who knows...

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 9](#)

Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Olson

From: **Jeff Olson** <jlolson.nul>
Date: Wed, 8 Mar 2006 13:21:11 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 09 Mar 2006 08:50:09 -0500
Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Olson

>From: Brad Sparks <RB47x.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 8 Mar 2006 05:20:29 EST
>Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But...

<snip>

>I want to say that Robert Salas is who he says he is and the
>1967 Malmstrom missile shutdowns were a real event. Robert Salas
>and I spent ten years working to document that event and were
>able to obtain significant documentation and to locate
>corroborative witnesses. We put this information in our book
>Faded Giant [ISBN 1-4196-0341-8].

Hi, Brad,

Do any photographs of the UFOs at or around Malmstrom at the time of these events exist? I would think that the bases would have had some form of surveillance system in place, though perhaps that was too early for video cameras, etc.

Thanks,

Jeff

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 9](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Sawers

From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
Date: Thu, 9 Mar 2006 13:48:38 +1000
Fwd Date: Thu, 09 Mar 2006 10:41:42 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Sawers

List

Just for little clarification on why the cameraman had this film...

He had taken as Ed has stated much much more footage than we see in what's been released so far.

The cameraman has stated that most of the film was sent or was collected by the Army/AirForce. He only kept the reels that were in bad condition.. ie they needed "push developing" or "holding-back" as the "exposures" and "lighting" weren't up to standard. Dont forget in those days the cameramen did most of their own developing As he explained it, when the he got the best results, from the remainder he kept, which as we know still wasn't great quality , he tried to contact the authorities and had no success and I think he even said they were "returned to sender" After trying numerous times to pass the film on without success to theArmy, Air Force and Navy(?) which were all broken into separate entities in 1947, he gave up and put them in a box under his bed. There they were to stay untill Ray turned up looking for old Elvis Footage.

We are only seeing the "worst" of the filming, so any talk about "focus being sloppy" and "exposure", is superfluous untill we see the film that was considered OK and handed over to the authorities. The cameraman never had any intention of trying to keep parts of this event for himself. Im sure during that chaotic time in 1947 when all the services separated this was not the only event/file/film etc that was misplaced and forgotten about As they had so much footage, they could easily have just not even realised there was more coming from the cameraman.

Regards

William

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 9](#)

Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -

From: Paul Anderson <paulanderson.nul>
Date: Wed, 8 Mar 2006 20:37:30 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 09 Mar 2006 10:44:59 -0500
Subject: Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 17:14:15 -0500
>Subject: Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed

>If this had been a single incident over a relatively short
>period of time, the comet or meteorite explanation might make
>sense. But meteoritic or comet material raining down over just
>one district in India for two months?? That's absurd.

Perhaps, but going by Godfrey's team's analysis, their contention is that there was an initial meteoric event (with "sonic boom or airburst" which was witnessed), with the particles gradually settling out from the upper atmosphere. As their graphs show, the red rain occurred primarily in the first 5 days (70%) and 85% in the first 10 days, with smaller dispersals over the next few weeks, markedly diminishing over time, a notable distinction to be made. Not quite the same as raining down continually for two months. One of the published graphs (page 2 of PDF paper below) shows that by far the highest incidence of red rain reports in the area occurred in a one or two day period near the end of July, just after the initial event on July 25, diminishing rapidly thereafter.

This info is from the newly published paper (January 1, 2006) which I had linked to previously (PDF file, 18 pages):

'the Red Rain Phenomenon Of Kerala And Its Possible Extraterrestrial Origin'

<http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0601022>

Below are some pertinent portions of the text:

Regarding the gradual dispersal of the "cells" and possible meteoric origin:

"A plot (Fig.1 top panel) of the number of coloured rain incidences in Kerala on different dates shows that about 70% of the total 124 listed cases occurred during the first 5 days. About 15% of the total 124 listed cases occurred in the next 5 days and the remaining 15% of the listed cases occurred in next 50 days in a diminishing rate."

"An examination of the several characteristics of this red rain phenomenon shows that it is possible to explain this by assuming the meteoric origin of the red particles. The red rain phenomenon first started in Kerala after a meteor airburst event, which occurred on 25 July 2001 near Changanacherry in Kottayam district. This meteor airburst is evidenced by the sonic boom experienced by several people during early morning of that day. The first case of red rain occurred in this area few hours after the airburst event. This points to a possible link between the meteor and red rain. If particle clouds are created in the atmosphere by the fragmentation and disintegration of a special kind of fragile cometary meteor that presumably contain a dense collection of red particles, then clouds of such particles can mix with the rain clouds to cause red rain. The

atmospheric fragmentation of the fragile cometary meteor can be the reason for the geographical distribution of the red rain cases in an elliptical area of size 450 km by 150 km. Maximum cases of red rain occurred in Kottayam and nearby districts (fig. 3). From this, it can be inferred that while falling to the ground at low angle, the meteor has been travelling from north to south in a south-east direction above Kerala with a final airburst above Kottayam district. During its travel in the atmosphere it must have released several small fragments, which caused the deposition of cell clusters in the atmosphere from north to south above Kerala."

"An examination of the red rain data shows that more than 85% of the red rain cases occurred during the first 10 days after the airburst event. This delayed time distribution for the first few days can be accounted as due to the slow settling of the microscopic red rain particles in the atmosphere, with a settling rate of a few hundred meters per day. For this the meteor disintegration is expected to provide a vertical distribution of particles spanning over a few kilometres above the rain clouds. The remaining 15% of the isolated delayed red rain cases occurred with a delay of up to 60 days, which presumably also reflect gradual settling of the particles in the upper atmosphere."

Regarding possible atmospheric dust, pollen or fungus:

"When the red rain reports are viewed in the background of the normal rainfall data the pattern that emerges is that of a sudden starting of red rain phenomenon after 25th July 2001 and then a decay of red rain cases with time. The red rain started in the State during a period of normal rain, which indicate that the red particles are not something, which accumulated in the atmosphere during a dry period and washed down on a first rain. It was found that several cases of red rain phenomenon have occurred on rainy days after and during normal rains. Thus it cannot be again assumed that the red particles came from accumulation in the lower atmosphere. The vessels kept in open space also collected red rain. Thus it is not something that is washed out from rooftops or tree leaves. Considering the huge quantity of red particles fallen over a wide geographic area, it is impossible to imagine that these are some pollen or fungal spores which have originated from trees."

"The nature of the red particles rules out the possibility that these are dust particles from a distant desert source. These red particles do not have any similarity with the usual desert dust. This is clearly shown by microscopic study of the particles. Particles of this type are not found in Kerala or nearby place. The origin of these particles is unknown. It is convenient to assume that these particles are something, which got airlifted from a distant source on Earth by some wind system. Several questions remain unanswered even under such an assumption. One characteristic of each red rain case is its highly localized appearance. If particles originate from distant desert source then why there were no mixing and thinning out of the particle collection during transport. Why some isolated cases of red rain occurred over an extended period of two months despite the changes in climatic conditions and wind pattern spanning over two months. It is also unexplainable why there is a concentration of red rain incidences in Kottayam and nearby districts. Above arguments and facts indicate that it is difficult to explain the red rain phenomenon by using usual arguments like dust storms etc."

--
The Meridiani Journal
<http://www.themeridianijournal.blogspot.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 9](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Bourdais

From: **Gildas Bourdais** <gbourdais.nul>
Date: Thu, 9 Mar 2006 12:06:36 +0100
Fwd Date: Thu, 09 Mar 2006 10:47:33 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Bourdais

>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 8 Mar 2006 14:52:14 EST
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Cory Cameron <corycameron_510.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 11:05:22 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>This is exactly the type of thing that is killing our research!
>>It drives me crazy to think that there is a comedy coming out
>>titled, "Alien Autopsy". When will UFO Research be taken
>>seriously by the public? I tell all on this List, I won't be in
>>line for the opening!

>UFO research will not be taken seriously as long as researchers
>embrace such silly things as Alien Autopsy, The Aviary, Bob
>Lazaar, and even the infamous Majestic 12. The fact that
>people bought into Alien Autopsy to begin with shows a lack of
>common sense. Do you really think the government is going to
>allow an autopsy film of an extraterrestrial being to be shown
>on FOX, let alone sold to the masses? In my opinion, had the
>film been authentic, Santilli would have been a dead man, as
>well as the man who supposedly sold him the footage, long
>before the show aired or could be distributed.

Jason, and the List,

That's a solid, no nonsense argument!

But a discreet visit to Ray Santilli would have been enough to
stop the release of the film. They had six months to do that,
quietly, since it had been mentioned publicly, if I remember
well, by the British singer Reg Presley at the beginning of
1995. It means that the services in charge of ufos agreed to its
release.

If we judge a tree by its fruits, the AA operation has been a
beautiful disaster, not only for Roswell, but also for ufology
in general. Now, it does not tell us what is the exact nature of
the body.

Gildas Bourdais

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 9](#)

Re: Bob Pratt Website Now At MUFON.Com - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Thu, 09 Mar 2006 03:21:09 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 09 Mar 2006 10:50:37 -0500
Subject: Re: Bob Pratt Website Now At MUFON.Com - Hatch

>From: Jerry Cohen <rjcohen.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 07:50:49 -0500
>Subject: Bob Pratt Website Now At MUFON

>Hello again to all List-members and lurkers,

>In November 2005, after Bob Pratt's passing, a number of people
>had posted their thoughts concerning the preservation of his
>website. Below are a just a small sampling.

>Larry Hatch:

><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/nov/m26-018.shtml>

>Terry Groff:

><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/nov/m27-008.shtml>

>Terry Groff had mentioned that Bob's domain registration
>expired at the end of February 2006.

>Since it is now March, if there is anyone out there wondering
>what has become of Bob's website, it is presently being archived
>at MUFON at the following address.

>http://www.mufon.com/bobpratt_frame.htm

>Many thanks to the people of MUFON for their efforts in this
>important endeavor.

Hello Jerry:

The original bobpratt.org domain appears to have been re-
registered for a year by his wife and/or estate, so that is
saved at least. As I understand it, the intention is to point
that domain to the MUFON pages.

Thanks for the precise URL BTW, it would have been a mess
finding it otherwise. I have changed two links from here to the
Pratt site so they point to the same Mufon pages. Sadly, MUFON
insists on putting all their materials up in frames, so their
banner appears on top no matter which Pratt page you go to.

A simple frame-buster script on the main Pratt page could have
cured this, but its probably too late now.

If and when the Pratt domain gets pointed to the MUFON archive,
I will switch the links back and let the bobpratt.org do the
re-directing as they see fit.

I suggest others do likewise. Please check your outgoing links
to bobpratt.org. As of now, they go to a dummy "site under
construction" page at the registrar (Network Solutions/Oingo)
and that does nobody any good.

Best wishes

- Larry Hatch

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 9](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Thu, 9 Mar 2006 09:50:29 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 09 Mar 2006 10:59:41 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 16:02:37 -0500
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>This film may be more successful than you might imagine. Ant
>>and Dec are two of the biggest stars on UK TV and have been for
>>some time. Certainly in the UK at least, I would imagine a
>>sizeable number of people will see it.

>I don't doubt it. It looks like a lot of work has gone into it
>and the subject has certainly proven to be entertaining. I O
>still doubt any Oscar contention at this point. But who knows...

Personally, I doubt that the film will see theatrical release
here in the USA. I know that Ant and Dec are big in the UK, but
only because friends there have told me. In the USA nobody has
heard of them at all.

I expect that in the USA the film will go "direct to video" and
end up on the bargain shelf at your local movie rental shop.

FWIW, Ray had plans to try and do such a movie back in 1995. We
had some discussions about it at that time.

I lost interest in the project when Val Kilmer told me he had
declined to play me in the film.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 9](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Koch

From: **Joachim Koch** <lists.nul>
Date: Thu, 9 Mar 2006 16:01:52 +0100
Fwd Date: Thu, 09 Mar 2006 11:01:35 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Koch

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 22:39:02 -0400
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>While I realize that Ed G thinks Ray never told a lie, just
>about everything he told me in our first conversation turned out
>to be a lie.

Hi List,

You might remember that we of the International Roswell Initiative were convinced from the beginning that the whole thing was a hoax.

Kent Jeffrey attended the first showing in London and since that time, we have published a lot about that, parts of it is still to be found on my webpage.

We examined one copy of the video tapes that once were sold by Mr. Heesemann. He advertised these tapes with something like: ".uncut footage as it came down from the reels.". We asked a professional filmer and his technician to do this examination and they found a lot of cuts in what we saw there which do not represent the end of a film reel.

Well, remember the time when it all surfaced. It was right in time when the Air Force published their remarkable reports about their "research" on the Roswell Incident because of the worldwide public pressure.

So we all should be ridiculed - that was the main intent of the reports and the Santilli scam.

Joachim Koch

<http://www.kochkyborg.de>

16:01:52 09.03.2006

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 10](#)

Re: Whitley Strieber's Claims - Bueche

From: Will Bueche <willbueche.nul>
Date: Thu, 9 Mar 2006 08:51:28 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 11:08:56 -0500
Subject: Re: Whitley Strieber's Claims - Bueche

>From: Jerry Black <gulfbreezeinfo.nul>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 17:16:05 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Whitey Strieber's Claims

>Refer to Saucer Smear Current issue 2/25/06 - Whitey Strieber
>claims to have had sexual relations with an alien being in John
>Mack's book Passport To The Cosmos.

>Mr. Whitey Strieber has got to be the biggest joke in the
>history of Ufology. First, he had several frightening encounters
>with "demons" at his cabin in New York. Then, he had the alleged
>implant that would not stop moving. Finally, Mr. Strieber has
>been chosen by the alien beings to be a sex object. Give me a
>break!

>We have to thank Mr. Art Bell, Mutual UFO Network, NBC TV, and
>other UFO conventions for keeping this hoaxer around.

>About six years ago, I did an investigation into the work and
>claims made by Whitey Strieber. I concluded that there was no
>evidence to support Mr. Strieber's claims of contact with
>"demons" or alien beings. There was some evidence to support the
>claimed idea that Mr. Strieber's claim of alien contact was a
>hoax.

>--Jerry Black
>UFO Researcher and Investigator

The Saucer Smear website doesn't appear to have a current issue,
but in any case, let's translate the above rant, shall we?

"Six years ago..." Black read Whitley Strieber's books and
didn't like them much at all. Well boo hoo.

The reason Whitley Strieber is respected by many experiencers is
because when he took his story public he bypassed the
researchers who were putting their own spin on the encounters
they researched. Using his own words he put the emotional
intensity of his experiences to paper. The "high strangeness" of
these experiences was revealed all the more clearly due to
Strieber's writing talent.

In doing so he helped to break these kinds of encounters free
from the 1950s-esque stereotypes/expectations of metal flying
saucers from venus. (Others may well have been doing so at about
the same time, but Whitley Strieber did it and reached over two
million people).

I respect Whitley Strieber because he helped open up discussion
about whether these kinds of encounters are interplanetary or
interdimensional, or some kind of mental experience, or some
kind of physical experience.

One could argue that even if he was making the stories up -
which I personally do not believe to be the case, but for
arguments' sake - he invigorated the imaginations of those who
explore the possibilities of what these encounters may be.

Now Black reminds us that there's little "evidence to support Mr. Strieber's claims of contact". Which makes Strieber about the same as everyone who has had the experiences.

Similarly, though I haven't read the Saucer Smear issue that Black refers to (as I say, their website hasn't been updated with new issues since 2005), the sexual intimacy that occurs during some encounters (that Strieber spoke of with John Mack back in '96, and which was printed in Mack's Passport to the Cosmos in '99)(thanks Saucer Smear for that timely news flash!) is hardly unique to Strieber.

Singling him out for these rants is unfair, unless Black is conceding Strieber's cultural significance (as something quite different than a "joke") and using him as a stand-in for everyone, in which case Black is essentially condemning all experiencers.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 10](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Koi

From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi2.nul>
Date: Thu, 9 Mar 2006 17:39:32 -0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 11:27:21 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Koi

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 8 Mar 2006 19:07:54 +0000 (GMT)
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 11:46:28 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

<snip>

>>Somehow I don't think the Ocar hopefuls next year will have to
>>worry.

>This film may be more successful than you might imagine. Ant
>and Dec are two of the biggest stars on UK TV and have been for
>some time. Certainly in the UK at least, I would imagine a
>sizeable number of people will see it.

Hi Stuart, List,

Interestingly, Wikipedia's entry for this film states it is about
"the famous hoax by Ray Santilli".

Various websites list the UK release date as 7 April 2006.

Further details (including credits) for this movie are available
on the Internet Movie Database website at the link below:
<http://www.imdb.com/title/tt0466664/>

Ray Santilli is listed as one of three Executive Producers.

Dec Donnelly plays Ray Santilli. The IMBD message forum includes
a comment in relation to a test screening that Dec is
"especially funny as he played the lovable goofy guy". I've
heard Ray Santilli called a lot of things, but I think this is
the first time I've heard the phrase "lovable goofy guy" in
relation to him.

The IMDB website include the following about a preview
screening:

"i went to see this movie (previews), 7 December 2005"
"Author: rottenmeat1 from United Kingdom"

"it was OK but a bit boring :(I first heard of the possibility
of movie project about three years ago. At that time I worked
for a small publisher here in the UK and the lady who owned the
company was formerly in television. Her boyfriend at the time
was a television executive and by chance he knew Ray Santilli,
the owner of the alien autopsy film. Santilli had told him that
he was is discussions about making a movie and that was about
it. I heard no more until earlier this year when Santilli
himself informed me that he was working on something for later
this year but would not elaborate.

The first I heard about the movie itself came from the tabloid newspaper The Sun dated 11th May 2005 . The Sun outlined that British TV stars known as Ant & Dec were teaming up with man behind Channel 4's hit Shameless and were to make a sci-fi comedy. They would play goofy alien hunters in 'Alien Autopsy', a Shaun of the Dead style film directed by Jonny Campbell. Ant & Dec's characters produce footage of what they claim is an extraterrestrial (the alien autopsy film).

Ant & Dec are best known in the UK as the hosts of the UK version of Pop Idol. They started out as child actors for the BBC before moving on to singing and then into TV presenting. They had a fleeting appearance in the movie 'Love Actually' and were apparently overjoyed at landing this film role.

The movie is now in production at Ealing Studios and Ant & Dec play Ray (Santilli) and his friend Gary (Shoefield) who go on a trip to the USA and stumble across a piece of top secret military film that will change the way mankind sees the universe forever. They borrow the money to buy the film from a drug baron and crop circle fanatic and return to the UK with the footage. A media frenzy ensues which thrusts them into the limelight. According to Empire magazine, on 37 July 2005, the pair have a secret of their own and if the truth gets out their lives could be in danger.

The movie will also star Bill Pullman, Omid Djalilli, Morwena Banks and Jimmy Carr. The film was written by Will Davies who previously worked on 'Johnny English' and 'Twins', and is being directed by Jonny Campbell. According to The Herald on 9 th August 2005 , actor and comedian Omid Djalilli will play former Greek kebab-shop owner who helps fake the alien autopsy film. According to Djalilli on the surface the movie looks like a light-hearted comedy but it is actually quite deep, making the audience wonder about the existence of life elsewhere in the universe. Another comedian by the name of Jimmy Carr is also in the movie but nothing as yet has been released about his character. Similarly nothing is known of Bill Pullman's character and the production company responsible are keeping things close to their chest."

References to discussion of the original 'Alien Autopsy' are included in Koi Chrono Core at 1995.0828, the date the alleged 'alien autopsy' footage was broadcast on the Fox network in the USA in a documentary entitled Alien Autopsy: (Fact or Fiction?

All the best,

Isaac

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 10](#)

Cassini Discovers Potential Liquid Water on

From: NASA News <hqnews.nsl>
Date: Thu, 09 Mar 2006 14:15:12 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 11:31:06 -0500
Subject: Cassini Discovers Potential Liquid Water on

March 9, 2006

Erica Hupp/Dwayne Brown
Headquarters, Washington
(202) 358-1237/1726

Carolina Martinez
Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, Calif.
(818) 354-9382

RELEASE: 06-088

NASA'S Cassini Discovers Potential Liquid Water On Enceladus

NASA's Cassini spacecraft may have found evidence of liquid water reservoirs that erupt in Yellowstone-like geysers on Saturn's moon Enceladus. The rare occurrence of liquid water so near the surface raises many new questions about the mysterious moon.

"We realize that this is a radical conclusion - that we may have evidence for liquid water within a body so small and so cold," said Carolyn Porco, Cassini imaging team leader at the Space Science Institute, Boulder, Colo. "However, if we are right, we have significantly broadened the diversity of solar system environments where we might possibly have conditions suitable for living organisms."

High-resolution Cassini images show icy jets and towering plumes ejecting large quantities of particles at high speed. Scientists examined several models to explain the process. They ruled out the idea the particles are produced or blown off the moon's surface by vapor created when warm water ice converts to a gas. Instead, scientists have found evidence for a much more exciting possibility. The jets might be erupting from near-surface pockets of liquid water above 0 degrees Celsius (32 degrees Fahrenheit), like cold versions of the Old Faithful geyser in Yellowstone.

"We previously knew of at most three places where active volcanism exists: Jupiter's moon Io, Earth, and possibly Neptune's moon Triton. Cassini changed all that, making Enceladus the latest member of this very exclusive club, and one of the most exciting places in the solar system," said John Spencer, Cassini scientist, Southwest Research Institute, Boulder.

"Other moons in the solar system have liquid-water oceans covered by kilometers of icy crust," said Andrew Ingersoll, imaging team member and atmospheric scientist at the California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, Calif. "What's different here is that pockets of liquid water may be no more than tens of meters below the surface."

"As Cassini approached Saturn, we discovered the Saturnian system is filled with oxygen atoms. At the time we had no idea where the oxygen was coming from," said Candy Hansen, Cassini scientist at NASA's Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) in Pasadena. "Now we know Enceladus is spewing out water molecules, which break down into oxygen and hydrogen."

Scientists still have many questions. Why is Enceladus so active? Are other sites on Enceladus active? Might this activity have been continuous enough over the moon's history for life to have had a chance to take hold in the moon's interior?

In the spring of 2008, scientists will get another chance to look at Enceladus when Cassini flies within 350 kilometers (approximately 220 miles), but much work remains after the spacecraft's four-year prime mission is over.

"There's no question, along with the moon Titan, Enceladus should be a very high priority for us. Saturn has given us two exciting worlds to explore," said Jonathan Lunine, Cassini interdisciplinary scientist, University of Arizona, Tucson, Ariz.

Mission scientists report these and other Enceladus findings in this week's issue of Science. The Cassini-Huygens mission is a cooperative project of NASA, the European Space Agency and the Italian Space Agency.

JPL, a division of the California Institute of Technology, manages the Cassini-Huygens mission for NASA's Science Mission Directorate. The Cassini orbiter was designed, developed and assembled at JPL.

For Cassini images and information about the research on the Web, visit:

<http://www.nasa.gov/cassini>

<http://saturn.jpl.nasa.gov>

For information about NASA and agency programs on the Web, visit:

<http://www.nasa.gov/home>

<http://www.nasa.gov/home>

-end-

To subscribe to the list, send a message to:

hqnews-subscribe.nul

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 10](#)

UFOs Over Parera Argentina

From: **Scott Corrales** <lornis1.nul>
Date: Thu, 9 Mar 2006 15:39:45 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 11:35:56 -0500
Subject: UFOs Over Parera Argentina

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology
March 9, 2006

Source: CIUFOSLaPampa
Date: March 9, 2006

Argentina: UFOs Over Parera

Police personnel from the Parera Sheriff's Office, located in the northern region of La Pampa province, detected strange lights in the rural area of this province in early morning hours on March 6.

One of the members of the police detachment traveling aboard a pickup truck told researchers that a powerful, shining light, traveling at the same speed as their unit, accompanied them on the outbound and return legs of their trip to the locality of Quetrequ=E9n, a few kilometers away from Parera.

Jorge Lastre noted that "what was most noticeable during the time in which the light stalked the police vehicle is that we lost all manner of communications. The police radio died and came back to life once we returned to Parera."

Translation (c) 2006. S. Corrales
Special thanks to Raul Oscar Chaves, Ciufos-LaPampa

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 10](#)

Boyd Bushman Gulf Breeze & The Hovering Coil

From: **Geoff Richardson** <geoff.nul>
Date: Thu, 9 Mar 2006 21:09:03 -0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 11:38:21 -0500
Subject: Boyd Bushman Gulf Breeze & The Hovering Coil

On Saturday 4th March, 2006, Channel 5 Television (UK)
broadcast "The Real Flying Saucers" - Off the Wall
Productions:

<http://www.offthefence.com/>

a documentary covering the development of top secret craft since
World War 2. In this documentary the Gulf Breeze series of
incidents was discussed by Boyd Bushman (Former weapons designer
with Lockheed and inventor of the Stinger Missile).

According to Boyd Bushman he recognised certain aspects of the
craft photographed over Gulf Breeze.

A very brief summary of Boyd Bushman's explanation of the Gulf
Breeze photographs is available at:

<http://www.thewhyfiles.net/gulfbreeze.htm>

Geoff Richardson
www.thewhyfiles.net

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://ufoupdateslist.com) > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 10](#)

Fawcett's Farewell To Flying Saucers

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 11:42:31 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 11:42:31 -0500
Subject: Fawcett's Farewell To Flying Saucers

Source: The Charlotte Observer - North Carolin, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/lr38d>

Mar. 10, 2006

Farewell To Flying Saucers
UFO expert Fawcett is retiring, but he hasn't lost his enthusiasm

Joe Depriest

LINCOLNTON - That NASCAR Hall of Fame announced in Charlotte this week sounds great, says UFO expert George Fawcett.

He's got an idea, too.

Why not a UFO museum in the Queen City?

After all, North Carolina ranks fifth in the U.S. for flying saucer sightings. Sightings are up worldwide. So is interest.

As we sat in his Lincolnton ranch house this week, Fawcett, 76, reminded me he'd pitched the idea of a state UFO museum to anybody who'd listen way back in the 1970s. Back when people called him a nut for believing in stuff like that.

"Now skeptics are in the minority," Fawcett said.

The soft-spoken dean of North Carolina "UFOnauts" has had a rough year following two knee surgeries and lengthy therapy. At times, he didn't think he'd make it.

I've known Fawcett, a Mount Airy native and retired YMCA director, for more than 20 years. Last month, I spotted a notice about his upcoming "farewell address" at the 58th meeting of the Mutual UFO Network of North Carolina at Pfeiffer University.

Fawcett is founder of the N.C. Chapter of MUFON, a group that tracks and researches reports of UFOs.

According to the notice, Fawcett was retiring from active membership because of his health.

It was time to check on my old friend. I hadn't seen him since 1998 when he'd just donated most of his Sauceriana Collection to the International UFO Museum in Roswell, N.M.

That's it, I figured. Fawcett had been collecting UFO materials since the subject hooked him in 1944. Maybe he'd finally let it go. Maybe he'd take up golf or stamp collecting.

I should have known better.

Funny thing about those empty UFO files, Fawcett said as he showed me into the living room. They'd filled up again. People from all over the world keep sending him books and videos,

research papers and photos. He gets journals from England and Australia and subscribes to a national UFO clipping service.

Fawcett is pretty much the same as ever. He still likes to talk about his wife, two children and grandchildren. He's big on Duke basketball and pancakes. He remains active in St. Luke's Episcopal Church, singing in the choir and serving on the vestry. And his passion for UFOs is still hotter than a down-to-the-finish race at Lowe's Motor Speedway.

When I stopped by, he was boxing up another load of UFO materials for the Roswell museum, where he's an honorary board member. He ships at least two boxes a year.

"George is a major benefactor to our library," museum director Julie Shuster told me. "We rely on the generosity of people like him."

I've never seen a UFO. Not that I know of. Those strange lights I spotted in the night sky once were probably shooting stars or aircraft of some kind.

Fawcett has seen only one UFO. That was in 1951, on the campus of Lynchburg (Va.) College, where he was a student. It was 30 feet in diameter and orange.

His interest in UFOs went back even earlier, to 1944, when he spotted an Associated Press article about "mysterious balls of fire" American pilots saw over Germany in World War II.

Fawcett has taught a UFO class at Gaston College, founded and advised five UFO study groups from New England to Florida, served as a movie consultant and written two books, one of which he recently revised and enlarged.

You can hear him speak in April at the Lincolnton Rotary Club. I hope he tells the story of how he met a Man-in-Black in 1974. Sometimes called MIBs, these mysterious figures try to intimidate witnesses to UFOs or other strange doings the government is trying to cover up, according to UFO circles.

Fawcett may hit the highlights of the conclusions he's reached after years of study.

Fawcett believes UFOs are real, controlled by a clear intelligence, and that extraterrestrials could be responsible. The government knows all this, but keeps the information hidden from the public while considering UFOs a threat to national security.

That's it in a nutshell. His research continues.

Wading deeper and deeper into UFOs isn't my thing, but Fawcett keeps probing. I admire the depth of his curiosity. And he's got a neat philosophy: "Keep an open mind and not an empty head."

So rest easy. Don't worry about the night sky. The UFO man is still on the job.

Want to Know More Lore?

=95 For information about George Fawcett's revised "Human Reactions To UFOs and UFOnauts Worldwide 1940-1983" and "What We Have Learned From UFO Repetitions 1947-1984," call (704) 735-5725. Or write to Fawcett at 602 Battleground Road, Lincolnton 28092.

=95 For information about the International UFO Museum and Research Center in Roswell, N.M., call (505) 625-9495 or go to www.iufomrc.com.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 10](#)

Is There Life Out There?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 11:45:57 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 11:45:57 -0500
Subject: Is There Life Out There?

Source: The Irish Examiner - Cork, Ireland

<http://tinyurl.com/peah6>

10/03/06

Is There Life Out There?
By Alicia Chang

The Cassini spacecraft has found evidence of liquid water spewing from geysers on one of Saturn's icy moons, raising the tantalising possibility the celestial object harbours life.

The surprising discovery excited scientists, who say Saturn moon, Enceladus, should be added to the shortlist of places within the solar system most likely to have extraterrestrial life.

Recent high-resolution images snapped by the orbiting Cassini confirmed the eruption of icy jets and giant water vapour plumes from geysers resembling frozen Old Faithfuls at Enceladus' south pole.

"We have the smoking gun" that proves the existence of water, said Carolyn Porco, a scientist from the Space Science Institute in Colorado.

If Enceladus does harbour life, it probably consists of microbes or other primitive organisms capable of living in extreme conditions, scientists say. The findings were published in the journal Science.

David Morrison, a senior NASA scientist, cautioned against rushing to judgment about whether the tiny moon could support life. Scientists generally agree habitats need several ingredients for life, including water, a heat source and the right chemical recipe.

"It's certainly interesting, but I don't see how much more you can say beyond that," Mr Morrison said.

Scientists believe Mars and Jupiter's icy moons might have - or once had - conditions hospitable to life.

Saturn is around 800 million miles from Earth. Enceladus, 314 miles across, is the shiniest object in the solar system.

The water is believed to vent from fissures in the south pole. Ms Porco said the venting has probably been going on for thousands of years, potentially providing a lasting heat source.

The Cassini-Huygens mission is a joint NASA-European Space Agency project launched in 1997 and went into orbit around Saturn in 2004.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 10](#)

Thinkers Lay Out the Beliefs They Can't Prove

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 11:57:57 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 11:57:57 -0500
Subject: Thinkers Lay Out the Beliefs They Can't Prove

Source: National Public Radio - Washington, D.C., USA

<http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=5254203>

Talk of the Nation, March 9, 2006

[Audio recording available at site]

Thinkers Lay Out the Beliefs They Can't Prove

Our day-to-day beliefs often come from established theories, but what about beliefs based on theories in progress? A new book asks literary and scientific thinkers about what they believe but cannot prove.

Guests:

John Brockman, editor, *What We Believe But Cannot Prove: Today's Leading Thinkers in Science in the Age of Certainty*; author and literary agent; publisher and editor of Edge.org

Richard Dawkins, evolutionary biologist; professor of the public understanding of science at Oxford University; author of many books about science and evolution, including *The Selfish Gene* and most recently, *The Ancestor's Tale: A Pilgrimage to the Dawn of Evolution*.

Alison Gopnik, professor of psychology at the University of California, Berkeley; her books include *The Scientist in the Crib*

Paul Steinhardt, theoretical physicist; Albert Einstein professor of science at Princeton University

--

Excerpt: *What We Believe but Cannot Prove*

by John Brockman

Read from the first chapter of *What We Believe but Cannot Prove: Today's Leading Thinkers on Science in the Age of Certainty*

Martin Rees

Sir Martin Rees is a professor of cosmology and astrophysics and the master of Trinity College at the University of Cambridge. He holds the honorary title of Astronomer Royal and is also a visiting professor at Imperial College London and Leicester University. He is the author of several books, including *Just Six Numbers*, *Our Cosmic Habitat*, and *Our Final Hour*.

I believe that intelligent life may presently be unique to our Earth but has the potential to spread throughout the galaxy and beyond it - indeed, the emergence of complexity could be near its beginning. If the searches conducted by SETI (the Search for

Extra-Terrestrial Intelligence) continue to come up with nothing, that would not render life a cosmic sideshow; indeed, it would be a boost to our self-esteem. Terrestrial life and its fate would be seen as a matter of cosmic significance. Even if intelligence is now unique to Earth, there's enough time ahead for it to permeate at least this galaxy and evolve into a teeming complexity far beyond what we can conceive.

There's an unthinking tendency to imagine that humans will be around in 6 billion years to watch the sun flare up and die. But the forms of life and intelligence that have by then emerged will surely be as different from us as we are from a bacterium. That conclusion would follow even if future evolution proceeded at the rate at which new species have emerged over the past 3.5 or 4 billion years. But posthuman evolution (whether of organic species or artifacts) will proceed far faster than the changes that led to human emergence, because it will be intelligently directed rather than the gradual outcome of Darwinian natural selection. Changes will drastically accelerate in the present century - through intentional genetic modifications, targeted drugs, perhaps even silicon implants in the brain. Humanity may not persist as a single species for longer than a few more centuries, especially if communities have by then become established away from Earth.

But a few centuries is still just a millionth of the sun's future lifetime - and the universe probably has a much longer future. The remote future is squarely in the realm of science fiction. Advanced intelligences billions of years hence might even create new universes. Perhaps they'll be able to choose what physical laws prevail in their creations. Perhaps these beings could achieve the computational ability to simulate a universe as complex as the one we perceive ourselves to be in.

My belief may remain unprovable for billions of years. It could be falsified sooner - for instance, we or our immediate posthuman descendants may develop theories that reveal inherent limits to complexity. But it's a substitute for religious belief, and I hope it's true.

Ray Kurzweil

Ray Kurzweil is an inventor, entrepreneur, and principal developer of (among a host of other inventions) the first print-to-speech reading machine for the blind, the first text-to-speech synthesizer, the first CCD flat-bed scanner, and the first commercially marketed large-vocabulary speech recognition system. Recipient of the National Medal of Technology among many other honors, he is the author of several books, including *The Singularity Is Near: When Humans Transcend Biology*.

We will find ways to circumvent the speed of light as a limit on the communication of information.

We are expanding our computers and communication systems both inwardly and outwardly. Our chips' features are ever smaller, while we deploy increasing amounts of matter and energy for computation and communication. (For example, we're making a larger number of chips each year.) In one or two decades, we will progress from two-dimensional chips to three-dimensional self-organizing circuits built out of molecules. Ultimately we will approach the limits of matter and energy to support computation and communication.

As we approach an asymptote in our ability to expand inwardly (that is, using finer features), computation will continue to expand outwardly, using materials readily available on Earth, such as carbon. But we will eventually reach the limits of our planet's resources and will expand outwardly to the rest of the solar system and beyond.

How quickly will we be able to do this? We could send tiny self-replicating robots at close to the speed of light, along with electromagnetic transmissions containing the needed software. These nanobots could then colonize faraway planets.

At this point, we run up against a seemingly intractable limit: the speed of light. Although a billion feet per second may seem fast, the universe extends over such vast distances that this appears to represent a fundamental limit on how quickly an

advanced civilization (such as we hope to become) can spread its influence.

There are suggestions, however, that this limit is not as immutable as it may appear. Physicists Steve Lamoreaux and Justin Torgerson of the Los Alamos National Laboratory have analyzed data from an old natural nuclear reactor that 2 billion years ago produced a fission reaction lasting several hundred thousand years in what is now West Africa. Analyzing radioactive isotopes left over from the reactor and comparing them with isotopes from similar nuclear reactions today, they determined that the physics constant α (alpha, also called the fine structure constant), which determines the strength of the electromagnetic force, apparently has changed since 2 billion years ago. The speed of light is inversely proportional to α , and both have been considered unchangeable constants. Alpha appears to have decreased by 4.5 parts out of 108. If confirmed, this would imply that the speed of light has increased. There are other studies with similar suggestions, and there is a tabletop experiment now under way at Cambridge University to test our ability to engineer a small change in the speed of light.

Of course, these results will need to be carefully verified. If they are true, it may hold great importance for the future of our civilization. If the speed of light has increased, it has presumably done so not just because of the passage of time but because certain conditions have changed. . . .

The foregoing is excerpted from What We Believe but Cannot Prove by John Brockman. All rights reserved.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 10](#)

UFO Sighting Really Took My Breath Away

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 12:05:27 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 12:05:27 -0500
Subject: UFO Sighting Really Took My Breath Away

Source: The Wandsworth Borough News, London, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/zrn7t>

9th March 2006

UFO Sighting Really Took My Breath Away
By Carron Taylor

Was it a bird? Was it a plane? No, it was a shining silver pyramid, according to two colleagues who spotted a UFO in the skies of Putney last week.

Michelle Medhat was sitting at her office desk last Wednesday morning when she glanced out of the window and spotted a glimmering silver object in the sky.

"I thought what the hell is that?' There were no clouds in the sky at all and 100 per cent visibility.

"The sun was hitting the object and you could see it was turning very slowly.

"I did get a feeling there was something strange about the thing," she said.

Entranced, Michelle signalled to her colleague Peter Gardiner, 53, to take a look.

He said: "At first I thought it was a big piece of rubbish or a clear tarpaulin sheet.

"But then it glistened and it was shiny. It had a strange pattern of movement. It was a significant size, possibly the size of a roof or even a house."

The pair watched it for a couple of minutes, rotating in the distance and heading towards Wandsworth Town. Then, as soon as it had appeared it vanished.

Michelle said if it was a piece of rubbish it would have caused severe damage when it came down, because of its size and density.

"I can't explain it and therefore I'm calling it a UFO. It took my breath away. It did feel weird.

"The more I looked at it I realised there was something not quite right. It wasn't moving like anything I've ever seen before," she said.

A spokesman for the Ministry of Defence (MoD) said it had not been notified of any sighting of the pyramid.

"The MoD does not have any expertise or role in respect of UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence

or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which it remains totally open-minded."

He said it examined the reports of UFO sightings it received solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance, namely whether there was any evidence the UK's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

Michelle and Peter said there has been a lot of activity in the skies over Putney recently.

Michelle said they had seen several Chinook helicopters over the past week and believes something must be happening.

Chinooks are used to transport troops, artillery, supplies and equipment, but also used for operations such as medical evacuation, disaster relief and search and rescue.

When travelling to Putney, our reporter saw what looked to be three Apache helicopters travelling east.

A spokesman for the MoD said the helicopters were probably part of general aviation traffic over London and there was no specific activity or event they were involved in. He added such movements were "not unusual".

Did you see the flying silver pyramid? Call the newsdesk on 020 8254 5409.

ctaylor.nul

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 10](#)

Re: Bob Pratt Website Now At MUFON.Com - Groff

From: Terry Groff <terrygroff.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 10:26:42 -0600
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 12:07:39 -0500
Subject: Re: Bob Pratt Website Now At MUFON.Com - Groff

>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 09 Mar 2006 03:21:09 -0800
>Subject: Re: Bob Pratt Website Now At MUFON.Com

>>From: Jerry Cohen <rjcohen.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 07:50:49 -0500
>>Subject: Bob Pratt Website Now At MUFON

>>Hello again to all List-members and lurkers,

>>In November 2005, after Bob Pratt's passing, a number of people
>>had posted their thoughts concerning the preservation of his
>>website. Below are a just a small sampling.

>>Larry Hatch:

>><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/nov/m26-018.shtml>

>>Terry Groff:

>><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/nov/m27-008.shtml>

>>Terry Groff had mentioned that Bob's domain registration
>>expired at the end of February 2006.

>>Since it is now March, if there is anyone out there wondering
>>what has become of Bob's website, it is presently being archived
>>at MUFON at the following address.

>>http://www.mufon.com/bobpratt_frame.htm

>>Many thanks to the people of MUFON for their efforts in this
>>important endeavor.

>Hello Jerry:

>The original bobpratt.org domain appears to have been re-
>registered for a year by his wife and/or estate, so that is
>saved at least. As I understand it, the intention is to point
>that domain to the MUFON pages.

>Thanks for the precise URL BTW, it would have been a mess
>finding it otherwise. I have changed two links from here to the
>Pratt site so they point to the same Mufon pages. Sadly, MUFON
>insists on putting all their materials up in frames, so their
>banner appears on top no matter which Pratt page you go to.

Larry, List,

If you want to bypass the MUFON frames go to

http://www.mufon.com/bob_pratt/index.html

Terry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 10](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 10:44:40 -0800
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 12:09:24 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>To: <ufupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 9 Mar 2006 13:48:38 +1000
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>List

>Just for little clarification on why the cameraman had this
>film...

>He had taken as Ed has stated much much more footage than we see
>in what's been released so far.

>The cameraman has stated that most of the film was sent or was
>collected by the Army/AirForce. He only kept the reels that were
>in bad condition.. ie they needed "push developing" or "holding-
>back" as the "exposures" and "lighting" weren't up to standard.
>Dont forget in those days the cameramen did most of their own
>developing As he explained it, when the he got the best results,
>from the remainder he kept, which as we know still wasn't great
>quality , he tried to contact the authorities and had no success
>and I think he even said they were "returned to sender" After
>trying numerous times to pass the film on without success to
>theArmy, Air Force and Navy(?) which were all broken into
>separate entities in 1947, he gave up and put them in a box
>under his bed. There they were to stay untill Ray turned up
>looking for old Elvis Footage.

>We are only seeing the "worst" of the filming, so any talk about
>"focus being sloppy" and "exposure", is superfluous untill we
>see the film that was considered OK and handed over to the
>authorities. The cameraman never had any intention of trying to
>keep parts of this event for himself. Im sure during that
>chaotic time in 1947 when all the services separated this was
>not the only event/file/film etc that was misplaced and
>forgotten about As they had so much footage, they could easily
>have just not even realised there was more coming from the
>cameraman.

William

You will note from earlier posts that Bob Shell, who was one of
the key players in the AA film investigation, and who was
heavily involved with it all from practically the beginning, has
stated that in his opinion the story that the cameraman provided
to Santilli (re. how he had retained the film and why he didn't
hand it over to the military) is not true.

Bob thinks that the cameraman recognized the significance of the
film and secretly made his own copy and handed over the
originals to the military, as ordered.

The cameraman's statement about not being able to contact the
military to hand over the footage is nonsense.

How do we know this? Because as the cameraman said, they got him
back to do another autopsy in 1949.

I suppose that someone might argue that he was frightened - in 1949 - to admit to his bosses that he held on to the footage in 1947.

But wouldn't the military have carefully catalogued what they had in 1947? And wouldn't they have noticed what was missing? It's not that difficult! And wouldn't they have asked him in 1949 about the missing footage from two years earlier?

The cameraman's statement about not being able to contact anyone in 1947 to hand the film over makes it sound like his relationship ended with them then on AA issues.

But if his story is true about another autopsy in 1949, then obviously he continued with them, or was brought back into the fold.

Plus I would like to know what credible evidence (or other examples) there is of very highly classified materials being unable to be delivered to the Army and Air Force after the 1947 split.

Does anyone know of such evidence?

Personally, like Bob Shell, I believe that the cameraman told Santilli this story about not being able to deliver the film to the military as a way to hide the fact that he had made a copy of it and then kept the copy.

>From a legal perspective, if there was any comeback, the cameraman would be in a far better position if he said: "The military split into two and I couldn't deliver the film and they couldn't collect it," rather than: "I handed all the footage over, but I illegally made and kept a copy."

That's not an impossibility that he would do that. I say that because the cameraman (as Ed kindly informed, potentially, the entire Internet, which no doubt has the family of the cameraman in a state of utter consternation) might not have declared to the IRS the payment of \$100,000 made to him in exchange for handing over the film, and might have committed tax evasion.

So if the cameraman was prepared to defraud the IRS on a six-figure sum no less, I don't think he would be exactly beyond obfuscating the origins of how he got the film, do you?

So as to avoid any confusion, here is what Ed said when he decided to open up the cameraman's personal tax situation:

"No he is not telling the complete story of the footage. That would open him up to discovery and prosecution for stealing government property and most importantly, tax evasion."

And here is the link to Ed's statement:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2006/mar/m07-014.shtml>

Take a look at the link below too, where Bob Shell makes it clear that he had informed Santilli years ago that he, Santilli, had copy film and not original film, and Santilli didn't realize this. So, again, a good indicator that the cameraman has not told the complete story of the footage and provided Santilli with copy.

Here is the link to Bob's comment:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2006/mar/m07-019.shtml>

Nick R.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 10](#)

Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 11:45:18 EST
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 12:12:39 -0500
Subject: Re: Lovekin Is A General But... - Randle

>From: Michael Salla <exopolitics.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 13:27:08 -1000
>Subject: Re: Robert Dean's Claims

>>From: Jeff Olson <jlolson.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 10:56:22 -0800
>>Subject: Robert Dean's Claims

>>Dear List (especially Kevin Randle),

>>Has anyone looked into Dean's claims that he has (I believe)
>>about 185 witnesses lined up to testify on UFO reality if they
>>are granted congressional immunity? These witnesses' testimony
>>are allegedly on file with some law firm - one claim that should
>>be fairly easy to check out.

>>If the law firm does confirm that it has such testimony in its
>>possession, that would seem to lend some credibility to Dean's
>>other claims. It would require rather enormous chutzpah, I
>>think, to publicly assert something like this and not have
>>anything of substance to support it.

>Hello Jeff,

>Bob Dean has commented a few times of belonging to a group of
>military whistleblowers that discuss among themselves UFO
>related information. The figure of 185 sounds right. In personal
>conversations with me he never implied that he had them lined up
>to testify. It's more of a network that exists that's ready to
>come forward if the circumstances permit. I think it important
>to realize that many in the military are out of the loop and
>genuinely desire UFO related information which is largely
>confined in compartmented programs with a need to know basis.
>These loose military networks have bits of the UFO/ET puzzle and
>wish to learn more about the big picture which is why many leaks
>occur. The Aviary group is a good example of such a network and
>I have learned that there are in fact a number of these in
>existence, some more secretive than others. I did not discern
>any grandstanding on the part of Bob Dean concerning the 185
>whistleblowers, just a reference to a network that exists and is
>ready to emerge if necessary.

>I recently completed an interview with him (to be published in
>April) where he responded to some of the criticisms made by
>Kevin Randle in his blog article on exopolitics:

><http://kevinrandle.blogspot.com/2005/11/exopolitics.html>

>Maj Randle widely cited Mark Birdsall's article in his critique
>of Dean's testimony. He claims that Dean's testimony concerning
>the Assessment had fallen apart due to the emergence of a number
>of bogus documents that Dean allegedly distributed as evidence
>to support his claims. Unfortunately, Maj Randle didn't bother
>to check with Robert Dean about the origins of these documents
>and confirm that he did in fact originally circulate them as
>mistakenly claimed by Mark Birdsall.

This last makes no sense to me. It seems to indicate that Dean did circulate some documents but that Birdsall made a mistake. I suppose it could mean that I didn't check with Dean to make sure that Birdsall didn't make a mistake. It was my understanding that Dean did provide the photograph of the front page of The Assessment but when it was suggested to be inaccurate and probably a hoax, Dean said that it had come from someone else and he just passed it along.

>This is another case of
>relying on secondary sources to discredit an important witness.
>I don't know why otherwise competent researchers don't use
>primary sources when these are available and instead rely on
>secondary sources that get important details wrong. I am
>presently doing some research to corroborate Dean's claims and
>will say more when his interview appears in April.

The problem here is that we all use those sources and the fact they are secondary does not mean they are of no use. I have hours of tape of Frank Kaufmann and J. Bond Johnson telling me, first hand, about their experiences. With Kaufmann it is now clear that he was lying, that he forged documents to prove his case and is of little use to us. He was a first-hand source who would certainly supply answers to questions with spun, invention and falsehood. Checking with him would have provided answers to criticisms, but would not have supplied answers.

J. Bond Johnson was quite clear about his involvement in the Roswell crash, having taken pictures in BG Ramey's office. Then his story changed to the point where he was denying what he said in the first place, saying that I had misquoted him, even with the quotes on tape. It got so bad that a newspaper article he told me several times he had written he was now claiming he had not because it contradicted what he had said on tape.

And then we have Gerald Anderson who told me many things on tape, said that I had spoken to him only for 26 minutes, but my tape lasted about twice as long. He produced a telephone bill that showed the 26 minute telephone conversation, but he had forged that. His first-hand statements were contradictory and of little use in learning the truth. He did talk of alien bodies, a huge military recovery operation, and being sworn to secrecy. Of course his tale was invention, contradicted by the facts as they were later established.

So, I was willing to drop this Lovekin thing because it seemed to me that we now knew enough to evaluate the importance of his story. We could confirm his position in the White House, which did not confirm the validity of the story. We had seen that he has worn the uniform of a brigadier general and holds the commission in a state guard that wraps itself in the notion that it is a reserve force for the National Guard.

To understand more, let me ask this. Does Lovekin hold any commission in the Army, Army Reserve or National Guard? Has he completed the Officer Basic Course, the Officer Advanced Course and the Command and General Staff School? All of these are important points in an officer's career and are, in fact, necessary for promotion, especially to flag rank. Has he held any commissioned rank in the Army, Army Reserve or the National Guard at all? If so, what? Does he have any specialized military training? What was his progression in the North Carolina State Guard Association? Did he come in as a general, or did he serve in some other capacity and rise to that rank? In other words, what is the justification for such a high rank? Or, as it seems now, is his only connection to active duty, the National Guard or Reserve his status as an enlisted man in the late 1950s and early 1960s?

Finally, you seem to have missed the point that I have talked to Dean - I find him to have a pleasing personality, he seems warm and enthusiastic. As I have said, all the documents show that he was a Command Sergeant Major (CSM for those who like military abbreviations), that he served well and was rewarded for that service... But, the story he tells of seeing The Assessment, whether it is the version in which he has trouble staying awake and some Air Force colonel gives it to him to read, or the version where he just takes it out of the vault to read, doesn't inspire confidence in the story.

In fact, wouldn't you serve exopolitics better by trying to find answers to questions rather than simply dismissing those you don't like? I mean, with the majority of people, when they say they were in the military, when they worked at some corporation, when they claim certain education, they can supply documents to prove it, if necessary. If you try to find their military records, if you call the corporation or the universities, you get confirmation, not some strange tale of altered and vanished records. Instead of finding this troublesome, you simply say it is verification of their stories because they don't check out. Please.

Of course, I'm sure that you'll mention that both Good and Lord Hill-Norton believe that Dean saw something... which, of course, does not validate Dean's tale. Good continues to probe

So publish your piece in April... but are you going to give me a chance to respond, as I did you, or will you just throw it out there with your usual comments that are often irrelevant? Of course, my response might be a year in the making, given my current situation.

KRandle

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 10](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 11:52:12 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 12:14:27 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>To: <ufupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 9 Mar 2006 13:48:38 +1000
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>The cameraman has stated that most of the film was sent or was
>collected by the Army/AirForce. He only kept the reels that were
>in bad condition.. ie they needed "push developing" or "holding-
>back" as the "exposures" and "lighting" weren't up to standard.
>Dont forget in those days the cameramen did most of their own
>developing As he explained it, when the he got the best results,
>from the remainder he kept, which as we know still wasn't great
>quality , he tried to contact the authorities and had no success
>and I think he even said they were "returned to sender" After
>trying numerous times to pass the film on without success to
>theArmy, Air Force and Navy(?) which were all broken into
>separate entities in 1947, he gave up and put them in a box
>under his bed. There they were to stay untill Ray turned up
>looking for old Elvis Footage.

>
>We are only seeing the "worst" of the filming, so any talk about
>"focus being sloppy" and "exposure", is superfluous untill we
>see the film that was considered OK and handed over to the
>authorities. The cameraman never had any intention of trying to
>keep parts of this event for himself. Im sure during that
>chaotic time in 1947 when all the services separated this was
>not the only event/file/film etc that was misplaced and
>forgotten about As they had so much footage, they could easily
>have just not even realised there was more coming from the
>cameraman.

This just does not wash, William.

If you look at the film we have and pay attention to the clock
on the wall, you will see that we are not looking at a few
scattered rolls from a much larger lot, but at the bulk of the
film that was shot. Also, the other film which only a few have
seen is said to be even more complete.

If the exposure was off for part of the film it would be off for
all of it. Indications are that the film was push processed a
little to help with a less than ideal light level. This has
absolutely nothing to do with the focus issue, which is a side
effect of the type of camera used. The camera used does not have
through the lens viewing and focusing. Focus had to be done by
measuring the distance to the subject and setting it on the
scale on the lens. Because the filming was secondary to what was
going on, the cameraman had to "guess focus", and did not have
the opportunity to measure and reset focus during the procedure.
Also, there appears to have been a time limit set for the
procedure, perhaps due to the danger from exposure to the
bodies.

I've used the exact same camera. My father was a TV news
reporter in the 50s, 60s, 70s and 80s. They used Bell & Howell
Filmo cameras in the 50s and until replaced by video in the 70s.
I shot a lot with my dad's Filmo. Forgetting to refocus was my
biggest problem because everything always looks in focus through
the viewfinder.

I find the AA film perfectly consistent with the camera that the cameraman said he used to shoot it.

But as I have said many times his story about not being able to turn in the film is just so much codswallop.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 11](#)

Secrecy News -- 03/10/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 12:20:36 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 09:06:22 -0500
Subject: Secrecy News -- 03/10/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 32
March 10, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** MORE ON THE "INCOMPREHENSIBLE" ESPIONAGE ACT OF 1917
** CIA ON THE PRESIDENT'S DAILY BRIEF
** ARMY INTELLIGENCE ON ARAB CULTURE
** THE JAMES MADISON AWARD AND SUNSHINE WEEK

MORE ON THE "INCOMPREHENSIBLE" ESPIONAGE ACT OF 1917

The Espionage Act is "in many respects incomprehensible," wrote Harold Edgar and Benno C. Schmidt, Jr. in a definitive law review article three decades ago which explored the potential use of the Act to prosecute leaks to the media.

The espionage statutes are "so sweeping as to be absurd," they argued (previously noted in Secrecy News, 10/19/05).

"If these statutes mean what they seem to say and are constitutional, public speech in this country since World War II has been rife with criminality."

Now a scan of that 1973 paper is available online.

See "The Espionage Statutes and Publication of Defense Information," Columbia Law Review, May 1973, vol. 73, pp. 929-1087 (a large 6.3 MB PDF file):

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/library/edgar.pdf>

Though it remains the best account of the legislative history of the Espionage Act, the Edgar/Schmidt article is not the last or the latest word on the meaning of the Act. In particular, the prosecution of Samuel L. Morison in 1985 for providing classified satellite photos to Jane's Defence Weekly established that the Espionage Act could be used to successfully prosecute leakers.

An article in the current issue of Commentary Magazine now calls for the prosecution of the New York Times for disclosing the NSA warrantless surveillance activity.

Though many experts consider the NSA program to be illegal because it violates the clear language of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, Commentary author Gabriel Schoenfeld argues that disclosure of the program is the crime that should be investigated and prosecuted.

That perspective is examined in "Bill Keller in Chains: Commentary's case for prosecuting the Times under the Espionage

Act" by Jack Shafer, Slate, March 9:

<http://www.slate.com/id/2137792/>

CIA ON THE PRESIDENT'S DAILY BRIEF

Some new details on the preparation of the President's Daily Brief (PDB) and its presentation to the President and a small number of other officials are discussed in a Central Intelligence Agency declaration filed last week in the prosecution of former Vice Presidential aide I. Lewis "Scooter" Libby.

"Six mornings a week, intelligence briefers meet with the President and selected senior Executive officials to provide a daily intelligence briefing. Each breifer meets with one or more designated officials to present an oral briefing and a binder containing written materials for each official's review," wrote CIA official Marilyn A. Dorn.

She argued in her declaration that responding to Mr. Libby's request for production of various PDBs and related material would be extremely burdensome and might also infringe on executive privilege.

See her March 2, 2006 declaration (filed March 3) here:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/jud/libby030306.pdf>

A March 7 response from Mr. Libby is available here:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/jud/libby030706.pdf>

The CIA has agreed to process a Freedom of Information Act request from the Federation of American Scientists for redacted PDB materials that it had declassified and provided to the Office of Special Counsel.

But the Agency denied a request for fee waiver because, CIA official Scott Koch wrote on March 3, "disclosing the information you seek is not likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations and activities of the United States Government."

ARMY INTELLIGENCE ON ARAB CULTURE

U.S. Army intelligence has produced a handbook that is intended "to provide soldiers with a basic overview of Arab culture."

It begins with "Where is the Arab World?" and "What is an Arab?" and proceeds onward to brief and elementary discussions of Arabic language, culture, and politics.

Viewing the Arab world in this way, Army intelligence also puts itself on display in the questions it poses and the answers it offers, but it does so with some self-awareness and with nothing more offensive than an occasional cliché.

"It is impossible to talk about groups of people without generalizing," the document explains. "It then follows that it is hard to talk about the culture of a group without generalizing. This handbook attempts to be as accurate and specific as possible, but inevitably contains such generalizations."

A copy of the new Handbook was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "Arab Cultural Awareness: 58 Factsheets," DCSINT Handbook No. 2, Deputy Chief of Staff for Intelligence, US Army Training and Doctrine Command, January 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/army/arabculture.pdf>

THE JAMES MADISON AWARD AND SUNSHINE WEEK

Secrecy News is grateful to be recognized by the American Library Association (ALA) with its James Madison Award, which is "presented annually on the anniversary of his birth (March 16)

to honor those who have championed, protected, and promoted public access to government information and the public's right to know."

"This award is, we believe, a fitting recognition of your effective voice for transparency and against unnecessary -- and often pointless -- government secrecy," wrote ALA President Michael Gorman.

"Your publication, Secrecy News, contains invaluable information and often serves as the first notice to the public of proposals to limit access to information."

"The Project on Government Secrecy web site is a critical resource for all those concerned with access and secrecy issues. It contains a remarkable range of information on government secrecy policy and often is the only place that much of the information can be located," Mr. Gorman generously wrote.

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/2006/02/ala020706.pdf>

Presentation of the award is one of several ALA activities scheduled for Sunshine Week, which is next week, March 12-18. See this ALA news release:

<http://tinyurl.com/jyx5f>

Details of other Sunshine Week programs and resources can be found on the Sunshine Week web site here:

<http://www.sunshineweek.org/>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 11](#)

Eyes On The Sky

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 09:20:27 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 09:20:27 -0500
Subject: Eyes On The Sky

Source: The Prescott Daily Courier - Prescott, Arizona, USA

<http://prescottdailycourier.com>

3/9/2006

Eyes On The Sky

As ninth anniversary nears, Prescott Valley man still looking for answers on Phoenix Lights

By Cindy Barks - cbarks.nul
The Daily Courier

PRESCOTT VALLEY -- Night after night, Mike and Nannette Fortson lounge in lawn chairs in their backyard, their eyes glued to the sky.

After years of sky watching, they say, the locations of the stars and planets are second nature to them. However, for the Fortsons, the constellations are incidental -- mere points of reference for something bigger.

What they really hope to see is a repeat of the show they glimpsed from their Chandler home nearly nine years ago: a mysterious, slow-moving craft that became known worldwide as the Phoenix Lights.

The Fortsons were among the perhaps thousands of people in Arizona who reported witnessing a series of lights advancing silently from near Paulden, through Prescott Valley and over much of metropolitan Phoenix. Because the Hale-Bopp comet was making an appearance at the same time, many people were outside that night, with their eyes on the sky.

For Mike Fortson, it was a chance encounter, one he attributes to "dumb luck." Even so, that warm night, March 13, 1997, changed his life forever.

"Yes, it is a passion," Fortson said recently from the Viewpoint home into which he and Nanette recently moved. "I think about it all the time."

Since moving to the tri-city area and becoming a licensed real estate agent, Fortson said he has met many local people who remember seeing the lights. However, for the most part, the media and investigative attention at the time focused on the Phoenix area.

Ultimately, officials dismissed the lights as flares from military aircraft. Fortson maintains that two events occurred that night, and while the second one (at about 10 p.m.) may have been flares, he contends that the 8:30 p.m. event was something else altogether.

With the ninth anniversary of the lights sighting approaching on

Monday, Fortson said he would like to focus local attention on that 1997 night. "My purpose is that nine years ago, this happened in this area. I'd like to hear what people saw," he said. (He asks people with information on the lights to e-mail him at satmike.nul)

In fact, he said, the huge network of people interested in the Phoenix Lights has long circulated an "urban legend" that someone in the tri-city area videotaped the event.

The Fortsons say that getting the event on film or tape was the last thing on their minds that night in 1997. Mike Fortson recalls awakening from a brief nap at about 8:30 p.m. As he went to close a window, he noticed "three huge, bright white lights angled down and very low to the ground."

He shouted to his wife to come outside, and the two of them watched what they describe as a giant boomerang, a V-shaped craft that may have been a mile long.

"When you see something like what we saw -- something that is not supposed to exist, it's just too big -- we didn't think to move our feet," Fortson said.

These days, the Fortsons are more prepared. They keep a variety of cameras and tripods on hand to record any unusual sightings from the backyard of their Prescott Valley home. Although they do notice plenty of unexplained things, they have yet to see anything of the magnitude of the Phoenix Lights.

"Anyone who has spent any time sky watching out here in the daytime or nighttime has probably seen something that will cause them to do a double take," Fortson said.

The Fortsons have noticed plenty of balloons and satellites. After their years of practice, they can identify most things in the sky.

Nanette Fortson, who admits that while she enjoys the sky watching, her level of interest does not match her husband's, is getting a bit impatient. "I'm tired of satellites; I want to see the big one again," she said.

Mike Fortson's passion for the Phoenix Lights has made him a bit of a celebrity in UFO circles. Since the 1997 sighting, he has served as a source for more than 50 news stories and telecasts.

That includes appearances in well-known documentaries such as "Out of the Blue," "The Phoenix Lights" and the ABC special "Seeing is Believing," featuring the late news anchor Peter Jennings.

Fortson's amiable persona lends an instant credibility to his story, as he faces the camera to relate what he saw. He also speculates that the fact that he has never received payment to tell his story made him more believable to news outlets. In addition, he said, "I was available."

Just the fact that Fortson was so willing to talk openly about what he saw made him somewhat unusual at the time.

Former Phoenix City Councilwoman Frances Barwood, who now lives in Dewey-Humboldt, recalls that many people were unwilling to talk publicly about the sighting, for fear of being the subject of ridicule or scorn.

In fact, Barwood was caught up in a media maelstrom when she asked during a televised City Council meeting why the City of Phoenix was not looking into the matter. After her question made it into a story in the Arizona Republic, Barwood said she was received a flood of telephone calls from people who saw the lights.

"It was overwhelming," Barwood says now. "We were nowhere prepared for something like that."

During the days and weeks after the story ran, Barwood said she received more than 700 calls -- many from people in the Prescott/Prescott Valley area. She remembers one call from a Prescott Valley man who was having a Hale-Bopp party at the time. He and his more than 20 guests all saw the unidentified

object.

A number of Barwood's calls also came from people who admitted to seeing the lights but who did not want to go public.

"To the media, it was a big joke," Barwood said, adding that she was the brunt of many of the jokes, becoming known as the UFO council member.

Although Barwood did not witness the March 13 event herself, she said the impassioned accounts she heard from people made her want answers. "I've always been curious my whole life, just by nature," she said. "I would love to know what it was."

Barwood, who knows Fortson, said she admires the way he has continued to dig for information. "Mike has taken it seriously," she said. "He's trying to get other people talking about it, and maybe get some answers."

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 11](#)

British MOD Magazine UFO Article

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 20:38:19 -0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 09:27:47 -0500
Subject: British MOD Magazine UFO Article

I recently sought and obtained a commission from the Ministry of Defence's magazine Focus, to write a feature on UFOs. In particular, I wanted to get across to the military and civil service readership some information about the Rendlesham Forest UFO incident of 1980. Dubbed 'Britain's Roswell', this is the UK's most significant UFO event but has sometimes been misrepresented as the sighting of lights. In fact, as the United States Air Force witness statements make clear, the security police personnel saw a metallic craft with strange symbols on the hull. The article ran in the March issue of Focus with only very minor editorial changes:

Source: Focus - Ministry of Defence Magazine

March 2006 Issue

The Rendlesham Forest UFO Incident
By Nick Pope

The Ministry of Defence's UFO Project has its roots in a study commissioned in 1950 by the MOD's then Chief Scientific Adviser, the great radar scientist Sir Henry Tizard. As a result of his insistence that UFO sightings should not be dismissed without some form of proper scientific study, the Department set up arguably the most marvellously-named committee in the history of the civil service, the Flying Saucer Working Party. The committee's conclusions were sceptical; UFO sightings were misidentifications of ordinary objects, or hoaxes. They recommended no further action. But in 1952 there was a series of high-profile events where UFOs were tracked on radar and seen by RAF pilots, and this forced the MOD to think again. UFO sightings were to be collated and sent to the Department for investigation, so that a determination could be made as to whether anything of any defence significance might have occurred. Since then, over 10,000 UFO reports have been received. From 1991 to 1994 I worked in the department responsible for this bizarre subject. It was among the most fascinating of my postings in 20 years in the Department.

Most UFO sightings received by the MOD had prosaic explanations: aircraft lights, weather balloons, meteors, airships, etc. But in all of this, a small percentage looked more interesting and one case in particular stood out. This was the so-called Rendlesham Forest incident. Last December saw the 25th anniversary of what is universally accepted as Britain's most famous UFO sighting. There was extensive media coverage of this bizarre anniversary, a commemorative Boxing Day event organised by the Forestry Commission at the site of their 'UFO Trail', and several unofficial 'skywatches' where UFO enthusiasts came together to mark the event, swap stories, and generally stand around getting extremely cold. So why the interest? What happened in the forest all those years ago and why is it still generating so much interest?

Rendlesham Forest lies between the twin bases of RAF Bentwaters and RAF Woodbridge in Suffolk. In 1980 both facilities were operated by the United States Air Force (USAF). The Cold War was still decidedly frosty. The Solidarity Movement was taking hold

in Poland and Soviet forces were building up on the border. It was against this background that a strange series of incidents occurred.

In the early hours of 26 December 1980 military personnel at the twin bases saw strange lights in the forest. At first they thought an aircraft might have crashed, so they went out to investigate. What they found was not a crashed aircraft, but what they could only categorise as a UFO. Nearby farm animals were going into a frenzy. One of the security police officers got close enough to touch the side of the object. He and another of the airmen present attached a sketch of the craft to their official USAF witness statements. One of these sketches even details the strange symbols seen on the craft's hull, which the witness likened to Egyptian hieroglyphs. "I wish I'd had my weapon, because I felt totally defenceless," one of the young airmen, John Burroughs, subsequently remarked.

Two nights later the UFO returned. The Deputy Base Commander, Lieutenant Colonel Charles Halt, was informed and went out into the forest to investigate. He too saw the UFO, which at one point fired beams of light down at his party and at the Woodbridge facility. "Here I am, a senior official who routinely denies this sort of thing and diligently works to debunk them, and I'm involved in the middle of something I can't explain", he subsequently commented.

The MOD's investigation included an inconclusive search for radar evidence that might have corroborated what was seen. Of far more interest, however, was an assessment of radiation readings that had been taken from the landing site with a Geiger counter. The readings had peaked in three holes in the ground which formed the shape of an equilateral triangle, as if the UFO had landed on a tripod of some sort. The Defence Intelligence Staff stated that the readings seemed "significantly higher than the average background". Their report suggested that the radiation level was around seven times what would have been expected for the area concerned.

There are various sceptical theories for what was seen, the most prevalent one being that the various witnesses were somehow misled by the beam from Orfordness lighthouse, shining through the trees. "If the USAF really are capable of hallucinations induced by a lighthouse which must surely be familiar to them, then I shudder for that powerful finger which lies upon so many triggers," remarked Ralph Noyes, a former MOD Under Secretary who took a close interest in the case after his retirement. Charles Halt's reaction to the theory was blunter. "Lighthouses don't fly," he said. Ralph Noyes was not the only senior figure to take an interest in the case. Former Chief of the Defence Staff Lord Hill-Norton corresponded with the Department extensively about the incident, and tabled a number of Parliamentary Questions in the House of Lords.

Many UFO researchers believe that information about UFOs is being covered up. They see a vast conspiracy to keep the truth from the public. Nothing could be further from the truth. Requests concerning UFOs are among the most frequently submitted under the Freedom of Information Act and the MOD has made great efforts to be as helpful as possible. Information has been made available under the Publication Scheme, in the FOI 'Reading Room' and at the National Archives in Kew. The entire file of the Rendlesham Forest incident has been scanned in and is available on the MOD's website.

The official position is that these events were of no defence significance, but the Rendlesham Forest UFO incident remains unexplained to this date. I hope that we have some answers before the 50th anniversary of one of the most extraordinary incidents ever investigated by the MOD.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope
<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 11](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 16:39:06 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 09:33:41 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Friedman

>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 9 Mar 2006 13:48:38 +1000
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>Just for little clarification on why the cameraman had this
>film...

>He had taken as Ed has stated much much more footage than we see
>in what's been released so far.

>The cameraman has stated that most of the film was sent or was
>collected by the Army/AirForce. He only kept the reels that were
>in bad condition.. ie they needed "push developing" or "holding-
>back" as the "exposures" and "lighting" weren't up to standard.
>Dont forget in those days the cameramen did most of their own
>developing As he explained it, when the he got the best results,
>from the remainder he kept, which as we know still wasn't great
>quality , he tried to contact the authorities and had no success
>and I think he even said they were "returned to sender" After
>trying numerous times to pass the film on without success to
>theArmy, Air Force and Navy(?) which were all broken into
>separate entities in 1947, he gave up and put them in a box
>under his bed. There they were to stay untill Ray turned up
>looking for old Elvis Footage.

>We are only seeing the "worst" of the filming, so any talk about
>"focus being sloppy" and "exposure", is superfluous untill we
>see the film that was considered OK and handed over to the
>authorities. The cameraman never had any intention of trying to
>keep parts of this event for himself. Im sure during that
>chaotic time in 1947 when all the services separated this was
>not the only event/file/film etc that was misplaced and
>forgotten about As they had so much footage, they could easily
>have just not even realised there was more coming from the
>cameraman.

Who is the cameraman? What and where are his credentials? What
record is there (if there is such a person) that he said any
such thing? Totally left out is the fact that the guy had to
have had a high level security clearance.Treat classified
footage this way in 1947? Nonsense!. Totally left out is how
film from that era could be useable when stored under a bed for
umpteen years.

How about some facts rather than echo Ray's false claims?.
Recall that he also falsely claimed that Truman is in the film,
that originally the autopsy was done in Dallas, that he had
checked with the Truman Library and verified that; then after
the switch from Roswell in July to Socorro in June he claimed
falsely that Truman went there on the way to Ottawa which is 400
miles N. of DC while Dallas is 1100 miles southwest. The trip
was by train to Ottawa and Truman was very visible.He also
falsely claimed that Kodak had dated the footage..they had only
received leader without any images.

Why believe anything Ray has said?

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Friedman

Stan Friedman
Who met with Ray - twice

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 11](#)

Re: Bob Pratt Website Now At MUFON.Com - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 13:46:52 -0800
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 09:37:13 -0500
Subject: Re: Bob Pratt Website Now At MUFON.Com - Hatch

>From: Terry Groff <terrygroff.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 10:26:42 -0600
>Subject: Re: Bob Pratt Website Now At MUFON.Com

>>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 09 Mar 2006 03:21:09 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Bob Pratt Website Now At MUFON.Com

>>>From: Jerry Cohen <rjcohen.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2006 07:50:49 -0500
>>>Subject: Bob Pratt Website Now At MUFON

<snip>

>>>Since it is now March, if there is anyone out there wondering
>>>what has become of Bob's website, it is presently being archived
>>>at MUFON at the following address.

>>>http://www.mufon.com/bobpratt_frame.htm

>>>Many thanks to the people of MUFON for their efforts in this
>>>important endeavor.

<snip>

>If you want to bypass the MUFON frames go to

>http://www.mufon.com/bob_pratt/index.html

Hi Terry: That's great.

Pages now show as they used to, clean and uncluttered as
originally intended. I'm re-pointing my links now and recommend
that others do likewise.

Thanks

- Larry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 11](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 17:48:45 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 10:08:06 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Friedman

>From: Joachim Koch <lists.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 9 Mar 2006 16:01:52 +0100
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 22:39:02 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>While I realize that Ed G thinks Ray never told a lie, just
>>about everything he told me in our first conversation turned out
>>to be a lie.

>You might remember that we of the International Roswell
>Initiative were convinced from the beginning that the whole
>thing was a hoax.

>Kent Jeffrey attended the first showing in London and since that
>time, we have published a lot about that, parts of it is still to
>be found on my webpage.

>We examined one copy of the video tapes that once were sold by
>Mr. Heesemann. He advertised these tapes with something
>like: ".uncut footage as it came down from the reels..". We
>asked a professional filmer and his technician to do this
>examination and they found a lot of cuts in what we saw there
>which do not represent the end of a film reel.

>Well, remember the time when it all surfaced. It was right in
>time when the Air Force published their remarkable reports about
>their "research" on the Roswell Incident because of the
>worldwide public pressure.

>So we all should be ridiculed - that was the main intent of the
>reports and the Santilli scam.

Let us not forget that Kent Jeffrey's primary objection was that
the curled phone cord didn't exist in 1947. I asked if he had
contacted the phone company. He said he didn't need to. He knew
it wasn't.

I did contact Western Electric the equipment manufacturer and
found that the cord was invented in like 1937 and began to be
manufactured in 1938. That obviously doesn't make the film
genuine.

Kent has a habit of leaping to conclusions as anybody who
watched the Discovery Channel Roswell program on March 2 found
out.

I should preface this by saying that Kent had answered "No." years
ago when I asked him on a radio show if he had ever had a
security clearance.

He spoke to 16 B-29 pilots from Roswell and they all discounted
the Roswell incident. There is no reason at all to expect that
any would have had a need-to-know for info re recovery of an

alien spacecraft.

Furthermore, if they had been given such highly-classified information, why would they have said anything to Kent - no clearance and no need-to-know?.

Same goes for his conversation with people who had been at WPAFB... long after 1947. Why assume they would have known anything, or even if they had, that they would have passed it on to Kent?

He noted Officer McCoy's comment about wishing we had remains of a saucer.. but didn't note it was in a SECRET document, not TOP SECRET, and was made to a large group of people, most of whom would certainly not have had a need-to-know.

In other words he leaped to conclusions on false grounds.

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 11](#)

Re: UFO Sighting Really Took My Breath Away - Velez

From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 17:00:38 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 10:15:17 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Sighting Really Took My Breath Away - Velez

On Mar 10, 2006, at 12:05 PM, UFO UpDates - Toronto posted:

>Source: The Wandsworth Borough News, London, UK

><http://tinyurl.com/zrn7t>

>9th March 2006

>UFO Sighting Really Took My Breath Away
>By Carron Taylor

>Was it a bird? Was it a plane? No, it was a shining silver
>pyramid, according to two colleagues who spotted a UFO in the
>skies of Putney last week.

<snip>

>Did you see the flying silver pyramid?

Hello All,

Corroboration has always come in the form of a hammer-blow to the head for me. Always catches me completely off-guard. The above is a perfect example.

In 1994 I engaged in series of six hypnosis-sessions that were conducted by Budd Hopkins during his investigation of my family's case. The sessions were spaced out over the period of a year-and-a-half.

I have made it a point to keep all the material that came out of those sessions on a 'back-burner' rather than simply dismissing them completely out of hand. I haven't completely discarded them because every once in awhile, something gets corroborated. As in this case.....

Without making this a long drawn out missive, I want to go 'on record' in response to the question above; "Did you see the silver flying pyramid?" in the affirmative. Yes, I did see a silver flying pyramid.

The one I saw was in 1987.

A lot more than just the consciously recalled 'sighting' came out of one of the aforementioned hypnosis sessions.

Suffice it to say that until I read this post, I had never heard of any other 'flying silver pyramid' UFO reports other than my own. I've heard of flying triangles and all manner of other shape UFO but never a silver pyramid.

It really blew my mind to read this report. I hate having these kind of weird details corroborated. Makes me uncomfortable.

For what its worth, my testimony can be added to this sighting report as corroboration from another UFO witness of an identical object.

What a world we live in.....

Warmest regards to all,

John Velez

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 11](#)

Re: Whitley Strieber's Claims - Frison

From: **Eugene Frison** <eugene.frison.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 18:37:32 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 10:30:08 -0500
Subject: Re: Whitley Strieber's Claims - Frison

>From: Will Bueche <willbueche.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 9 Mar 2006 08:51:28 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Re: Whitley Strieber's Claims

>One could argue that even if he was making the stories up -
>which I personally do not believe to be the case, but for
>arguments' sake - he invigorated the imaginations of those who
>explore the possibilities of what these encounters may be.

No, if he is making the stories up then he is a hoaxer plain and simple, no matter what the spin-offs are! Lies and stories aren't helping us get to the bottom of the UFO mystery. Lies equal false (erroneous) data and that is of no use in scientific research and investigation. Our work is hard enough; we don't need liars making determining the truth more difficult by misleading us with stories.

If he's a hoaxer then there's nothing to defend! If he's a hoaxer and was to recant his lies so that we could weed them out of our data then perhaps any good work he has done or good effect he has produced would be left to stand judgement on its merits. But there's no defending a hoaxer who cons the UFO community, whether it's Strieber or anyone else.

Personally, I think Streiber is full of it! I've read all of his books on the subject but don't own any of them. I wouldn't add any of them to my library even if someone gave them to me for free.

I'm bothered by the basic fact that his works on the UFO subject have the same theme as found in his novels. Could be a coincidence but it starts alarm bells ringing for me. To boot, I know stuff about Streiber that I've heard straight from people who have dealt closely with him (that I just won't go into) that marks him as a con man as far as I'm concerned. Since I don't have permission to reveal and discuss the personal affairs of people I know who have dealt intimately with Streiber and been out-and-out conned, I won't. So keep whatever opinion of Streiber you want, just don't accuse someone who is questioning what he sees as dubious claims as making a rant.

Bottom line: I don't think Black was guilty of a rant - it's more like he's done a bit of helping to clean up the mess in this field, not that it's likely to have any real effect any time soon since so many want to believe wild unproven stories and defend (usually against fact and common sense) the loons, liars, hoaxers, and cons rampant in the field.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 11](#)

Re: Santilli & The Cameraman - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 20:30:48 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 10:37:40 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Cameraman - Kaeser

>From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi2.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 9 Mar 2006 17:39:32 -0000
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Cameraman

<snip>

>Hi Stuart, List,

>Interestingly, Wikipedia's entry for this film states it is
>about "the famous hoax by Ray Santilli".

There was a time just a few years back when that definition
could have changed hourly as the "film" was hotly contested on
numerous Lists and web sites.

<snip>

>Dec Donnelly plays Ray Santilli. The IMBD message forum includes a
>comment in relation to a test screening that Dec is "especially
>funny as he played the lovable goofy guy". I've heard Ray Santilli
>called a lot of things, but I think this is the first time I've
>heard the phrase "lovable goofy guy" in relation to him.

During the height of the heated discussion, I believe the best
description that I heard for Ray was that of a carnival barker,
who somehow lost control of the audience.

But I have to wonder what Ray, or Volker Spielberg, are going to
do with the other Autopsy "film" they've not released. Even with
the negative publicity, this movie will raise the value of that
footage, IMO.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 11](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 18:17:13 -0800
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 13:24:09 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gehrman

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 11:52:12 -0500
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 9 Mar 2006 13:48:38 +1000
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>The cameraman has stated that most of the film was sent or was
>>collected by the Army/AirForce. He only kept the reels that were
>>in bad condition.. ie they needed "push developing" or "holding-
>>back" as the "exposures" and "lighting" weren't up to standard.
>>Dont forget in those days the cameramen did most of their own
>>developing As he explained it, when the he got the best results,
>>from the remainder he kept, which as we know still wasn't great
>>quality , he tried to contact the authorities and had no success
>>and I think he even said they were "returned to sender" After
>>trying numerous times to pass the film on without success to
>>theArmy, Air Force and Navy(?) which were all broken into
>>separate entities in 1947, he gave up and put them in a box
>>under his bed. There they were to stay untill Ray turned up
>>looking for old Elvis Footage.

>>We are only seeing the "worst" of the filming, so any talk about
>>"focus being sloppy" and "exposure", is superfluous untill we
>>see the film that was considered OK and handed over to the
>>authorities. The cameraman never had any intention of trying to
>>keep parts of this event for himself. Im sure during that
>>chaotic time in 1947 when all the services separated this was
>>not the only event/file/film etc that was misplaced and
>>forgotten about As they had so much footage, they could easily
>>have just not even realised there was more coming from the
>>cameraman.

>This just does not wash, William.

>If you look at the film we have and pay attention to the clock
>on the wall, you will see that we are not looking at a few
>scattered rolls from a much larger lot, but at the bulk of the
>film that was shot. Also, the other film which only a few have
>seen is said to be even more complete.

Bob,

I don't understand this information. The clock on the wall shows
a dissection lasting about one hour and forty -five minutes.
There are not more than sixteen minutes of footage in the AA.
This indicates that there is an hour and twenty-five to thirty
minutes of dissection footage that was presumably returned to
safe arms of the cover-up establishment.

Also you have examined only a small sampling of the original
footage. Do you know for sure that all the footage Ray bought
was copied?

>If the exposure was off for part of the film it would be off for
>all of it. Indications are that the film was push processed a

>little to help with a less than ideal light level. This has
>absolutely nothing to do with the focus issue, which is a side
>effect of the type of camera used. The camera used does not have
>through the lens viewing and focusing. Focus had to be done by
>measuring the distance to the subject and setting it on the
>scale on the lens. Because the filming was secondary to what was
>going on, the cameraman had to "guess focus", and did not have
>the opportunity to measure and reset focus during the procedure.
>Also, there appears to have been a time limit set for the
>procedure, perhaps due to the danger from exposure to the
>bodies.

I think this is a great explanation for the quality of the
footage. Still, much of the footage is quite clear and in focus
and there is no misunderstanding about what you are seeing.
There's no blurring to hide zippers or mold-lines or seams.
There isn't any evidence that the AA is a hoax. I'll prove this
statement by offering \$500 to anyone who can provide solid
evidence that the AA is a fraud or hoax.

It must be something that hasn't been already refuted like the
curled phone cord.

I find it perplexing that seemingly intelligent folks refuse to
face facts and admit that they should take another look at the
complex collection of facts and brilliant research. To continue
to call the AA a hoax after all the serious work, time, and
money that has gone into verification is ignorant and a denial
of reality. For something to be labeled a hoax, there needs to
be evidence. If there's evidence, bring it to me!

>I've used the exact same camera. My father was a TV news
>reporter in the 50s, 60s, 70s and 80s. They used Bell & Howell
>Filmo cameras in the 50s and until replaced by video in the 70s.
>I shot a lot with my dad's Filmo. Forgetting to refocus was my
>biggest problem because everything always looks in focus through
>the viewfinder.

>I find the AA film perfectly consistent with the camera that the
>cameraman said he used to shoot it.

>But as I have said many times his story about not being able to
>turn in the film is just so much codswallop.

Hi William, Bob, List, EBK,

I'm certainly open to Bob's reasoning but I also understand
William's thinking as it pertains to the cameraman's version of
events. But how did it happen that the cameraman eventually
ended up with some footage that he claimed he shot and processed
himself? Ray has testified that the person who sold him the
footage was able to prove, without a doubt that he was the
person who shot the footage. So if the film is a hoax, it's at
this point that the hoax must have begun. The cameraman either
created the footage, the two creatures, the crash site, and the
debris by himself, to make money, or he was given the footage by
a government agent and told to contact Ray and create the false
impression that he was a cameraman and fake out Ray and all of
us dumb UFO nuts. If this is the case, then the government
created the footage.

Here's the problem: very few of you have taken a close look at
the footage or the facts so you don't have a clue about
favorable evidence that has been collected. You deserve to be
fooled.

Bob has taken a close look and I'm sure he feels others should
too. I've been urging folks to do so for ten years. Once you
realize that the alien is a real creature of some kind, you may
want to join the discussion. or visit the crash site. Why listen
to the negative when you can see the dissection in high
resolution and judge for yourself by viewing the AA CD set? And
the crash site is a real adventure you'll not forget.

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: ufoupdateslist.com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 11](#)

UFO Photo Contest Wants You To Fake It

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 13:28:26 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 13:28:26 -0500
Subject: UFO Photo Contest Wants You To Fake It

Source: The Tallahassee Democrat - Florida, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/z8wyd>

March 11, 2006

UFO Photo Contest Wants You To Fake It
By Mark Hinson
Democrat Senior Writer

Have you seen the photo of an alien spacecraft hovering over the Capitol at high noon on the first day of Session?

The visitors from beyond the stars turned off their cloaking device for a split second, and some kid with a camera snapped the frame.

No, it's for real, dude.

Or... probably not.

This month, The Center for Inquiry and The Tallahassee Skeptics are seeking creative photographers and computer artists who can concoct the most convincing UFO photo that uses a Tallahassee landmark or building as a backdrop. The winner will receive \$250 in cash. The faux-UFO photo also will be published in the Tallahassee Democrat.

It's one contest that actually encourages faking it.

"Nowadays, with Photoshop and other computer programs, it's really pretty easy to come up with credible-looking photos that are completely fake," said Bruce Thyer, a Florida State University professor and member of the Center for Inquiry. "We want (the contest photos) to look seamless - not a couple of kids with a fishing pole and a hubcap."

The Tallahassee Center for Inquiry and the Tallahassee Skeptics are interlocking groups that seek to expose hoaxes, quackery and urban legends.

Thyer used the example of a photograph that circulated on the Internet almost immediately after Sept. 11, 2001. The image depicted a smiling, waving tourist on top of a World Trade Center Tower at the moment the hijacked jets were crashing. It later proved to be a Photoshop-ed sham.

"There was a whole story going around about how they found a camera in the ashes and processed the film," Thyer said. "It was very touching at the time but ... none of it was true."

The UFO competition is intended to be more lighthearted.

"I thought it could be a fun way for a Boy Scout troop or a fraternity to pick up a little extra money," Thyer said.

The deadline to submit an 8-by-10-inch copy of the bogus photo via the U.S. Postal Service is April 1.

"That date was deliberately chosen," Thyer said.

Contestants are asked to write and sign a letter explaining how they set up, carried out and manipulated the UFO photo.

For an entry form, e-mail bthyer.nul or visit www.centerforinquiry.net/tally/

Thyer recommends visiting www.csicop.org/si/2003-09/faking-ufo-photos.html for tips on fooling the viewer.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 11](#)

Search For Extraterrestrial Life Gains Ground

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 13:34:12 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 13:34:12 -0500
Subject: Search For Extraterrestrial Life Gains Ground

Source: Columbia University - New York, New York, USA

<http://www.columbia.edu/cu/news/06/03/astrobiology.html>

Mar 10, 2006

Search For Extraterrestrial Life Gains Ground With Saturn Moon
Discovery

By Alex Lyda

The search for life elsewhere in the solar system got a boost with the stunning NASA announcement of geyser-like eruptions on the surface of Enceladus, a small moon orbiting Saturn, the sixth planet orbiting the Sun. The observations by NASA's Cassini spacecraft and reported this month in the mass media suggest pockets of liquid water =96 a key ingredient for life =96 near the moon's surface.

At Columbia's Astrobiology Center, known as the CAC, a cadre of scientists is bringing this scientific inquiry closer to home by looking into the possibility of "habitable" moons around Jupiter, the fifth planet to orbiting the Sun.

Based in Columbia's astronomy department, the CAC brings together experts from the Earth Institute -- specifically, the Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory (L-DEO), the Center for Climate Systems Research (CCSR) and the NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies -- and from the health science campus, with their counterparts at the American Museum of Natural History.

With the help of a three-year, \$300,000 NASA exobiology grant, a cross-disciplinary team made up of scientists from these four institutions is turning its attention to the possibility of life deep below the surface of Europa, a moon belonging to our solar system's largest planet, Jupiter. Europa has been a source of fascination since it was first observed by Galileo in 1610. It became the focus of renewed scientific interest about 40 years ago, when astronomer Gerard Kuiper and others showed that its crust was composed of water and ice.

Europa technically lies beyond the "classical habitable zone," where life is enabled by heat from the Sun. But the theory is that for Europa, as well as for other bodies within Earth's solar system, life may be enabled not by solar heat but by tidal heating, whereby entire moons undergo stretching and squeezing from the gravitational pull of their parent planets and neighboring moons.

Jupiter has 63 moons and satellites, but the bodies that are of most interest to scientists are the four Galilean moons: Io, Europa, Ganymede and Callisto.

"None of these moons looks like a tropical island," quips Caleb Scharf, the director of astrobiology at the CAC. "But there may be some deeply hidden pockets -- or even vast regions -- of

liquid water, which have the potential for life to occur."

Currently, there is good evidence to suggest that a layer of liquid water could exist beneath Europa's surface ice -- a sub-surface ocean as much as 31 miles deep. If so, it would be the only place in the solar system besides Earth where liquid water exists in significant quantities.

Other striking features of Europa include a series of dark streaks crisscrossing its surface. The largest streaks are roughly 12 miles across with diffuse outer edges and a central band of lighter material. Apparent fields of icy "plates" pock the surface and appear to have been broken from larger sheets and then re-frozen in a more jumbled arrangement. They look exactly like terrestrial sea-ice fields when viewed from above, Scharf says.

While seeking to clarify the circumstances that gave rise to moons like Europa, the CAC scientists also are interested in the possibilities this research opens up for finding life on moons orbiting the so-called exoplanets.

Since most of the exoplanets discovered thus far are "gas giants" akin to Jupiter, they may well harbor moons such as those around Jupiter or Saturn. In fact, these moons could easily be Earth-sized, says Scharf, adding that he and other CAC researchers have grown excited about the possibility that Jovian moons "could serve as prototypes for this bigger question of tidally heated 'exomoons.'"

In investigating the processes by which moons and planets form, the CAC team will eventually be looking for ways not only to explore Europa but also to train instruments on more distant worlds.

In the process, CAC scientists will be scrutinizing the findings of NASA's Stardust mission and New Horizons Pluto probe for clues as to the building blocks of nascent solar systems. "The fun of the astrobiology enterprise is in finding the connections between all these disciplines, and putting together the pieces of the puzzle," Scharf says. "To think that tiny grains of comet material, and a probe going to Pluto, could ultimately help us find new places to look for life in the cosmos -- it's just too much for us scientists to resist."

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

=09

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 11](#)

Discovery Of The 10th Planet

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 14:16:15 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 14:16:15 -0500
Subject: Discovery Of The 10th Planet

Source: California Institute Of Technology

http://today.caltech.edu/theater/item?story_id=13002

2/22/2006

Streaming Theatre

Michael Brown: Beyond Pluto Discovery Of The 10th Planet

56 minutes

In a Watson lecture, Mike Brown, professor of planetary astronomy at Caltech, discussed his and his colleagues' discovery of 2003 UB313, the largest object found in orbit around the sun since the discovery of Neptune in 1846, and the putative 10th planet. In addition, he talked about what constitutes a planet, why the question of planethood is difficult, and what he thinks the answer should be.

[56k modem]

http://today.caltech.edu/theater/13002_56k.ram

[broadband]

http://today.caltech.edu/theater/13002_bb.ram

[cable/DSL]

http://today.caltech.edu/theater/13002_cable.ram

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 12](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Freeman

From: Kelly Freeman <Khfflsciufu.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 07:20:00 EST
Fwd Date: Sun, 12 Mar 2006 08:25:39 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Freeman

>From: Joachim Koch <lists.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 9 Mar 2006 16:01:52 +0100
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Cameraman

<snip>

>You might remember that we of the International Roswell
>Initiative were convinced from the beginning that the whole
>thing was a hoax.

Hello Joachim,

IMO, I found it strange that just about everything but the uniforms/clothing that an alleged alien being from "elsewhere" could have been wearing was not displayed in the AA video.

To me, that would have been of some significance. Any thoughts on that? I don't remember anything in any of the threads on the AA addressing this issue.

Peace and light,

Kelly

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 12](#)

Re: A Good Vehicle To Handle Whistleblowers? -

From: Kelly Freeman <Khfflsciufu.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 08:46:15 EST
Fwd Date: Sun, 12 Mar 2006 08:27:33 -0500
Subject: Re: A Good Vehicle To Handle Whistleblowers? -

>From: Mike Jamieson <mike.jamieson.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 8 Mar 2006 10:25:33 -0800
>Subject: A Good Vehicle To Handle Whistleblowers?

>I suggested here an alternative to the usual way ufologists
>handle whistleblower testimony::

><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2006/mar/m07-026.shtml>

>I think I may have found a good candidate for the job:

><http://www.freedomofinfo.org/mission.html>

>(This way we can lighten the work load of Steven Greer, Michael
>Salla and others!)

Hello Mike,

IMO, it would be important to take the initiative to contact both the Freedom of Info and ACLU organizations, as well as others that promote/defend civil liberties and freedom of speech, to take up the "cause" of UFO disclosure.

I see the work load of Steven Greer, Michael Salla and others who are working hard in the exopolitics movement as being an effort to convince such organizations that such an endeavor would be worth the time expended in campaigning for such disclosure.

H.R. 1317, which is a bill the ACLU is trying to push through Congress, would provide stronger protections for those whistleblowers willing to come forth with their testimony, especially in the area of national security. If the Disclosure Project/exopolitics movement chooses to do so, they could draft a similar bill that could be specifically tailored to the needs of UFO disclosure and present such a draft to the ACLU for consideration to be submitted to Congress. But, of course, a major issue would be the truthfulness of the whistleblowers the Disclosure Project chooses to believe. That is a major hurdle that must be overcome.

If the exopolitics movement can convince some activist organization that is not identified as being associated with the UFO phenomenon, but is actually a "mainstream" entity with a certain amount of clout, that UFO disclosure is worth it, I think the chances are better to convince Congress to take the UFO problem seriously.

Peace and light,

Kelly

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 12](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 10:54:32 -0500
Fwd Date: Sun, 12 Mar 2006 08:29:28 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 16:39:06 -0400
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>Who is the cameraman? What and where are his credentials? What
>record is there (if there is such a person) that he said any
>such thing? Totally left out is the fact that the guy had to
>have had a high level security clearance. Treat classified
>footage this way in 1947? Nonsense!.

Absolutely right, Stan. The story of how the film was handled is baloney, codswallop, and lots of words Errol probably doesn't want used on this list.

I do believe that there was a cameraman, and that he conned Ray Santilli into paying a lot of money for film that otherwise would probably have been thrown away. I seriously doubt that he is still alive.

>Totally left out is how
>film from that era could be useable when stored under a bed for
>umpteen years.

Not sure I follow you. The film was already developed before the cans were put in storage. I don't recall anyone saying anything about under a bed. I thought the claim was that they were stored in his basement.

Regardless, there is no problem with that. I have film my dad shot around that time as well as film shot much earlier that is still in good condition. 16mm film, which this is supposed to be, was always coated onto cellulose acetate base, which is pretty stable stuff. It will tolerate a lot of abuse and maintain its relative dimensional stability and flexibility. Actually, I have always been surprised that the film was in the bad shape that Ray said it was in, seriously deteriorated and with many breaks so that it required frame by frame transfer to video. I didn't think film from as recently as 1947 would be ordinarily be that bad. The frames I have are in pretty bad shape, though.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 12](#)

Explaining The Jack 'LeMonde' Photograph

From: **Jerome Beau** <jbeau.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 17:07:10 +0100
Fwd Date: Sun, 12 Mar 2006 08:33:05 -0500
Subject: Explaining The Jack 'LeMonde' Photograph

Hello,

For some years the famous photograph of Jack 'LeMonde'" (a pseudonym) riding its horse in 1945 with a saucer-like object in the background sky has been shown around as possible photographic evidence of pre-arnoldian saucer.

Among the supporters, John Alexander who wrote an article on the NIDS website:

<http://www.nidsci.org/news/lemonde.php>

describing its thorough photo analysis along with Peter Stankiewicz, a former USAF photo analyst. Other suggested that LeMonde's object was just a lamp, but with just shape comparison and likeness arguments.

It looks that just playing with constrast and brightness of the picture makes a new important clue appear: a thread in the sky, crossing the object's position, thus giving a lot more weight to the lamp hypothesis. Just amazing that such thorough analysis' by experts didn't see that one.

See details - in French - at:

http://rr0.org/Dossier/1945_LeMonde/

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 12](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Sun, 12 Mar 2006 10:25:12 -0800
Fwd Date: Sun, 12 Mar 2006 08:36:19 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gehrman

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 16:39:06 -0400
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 9 Mar 2006 13:48:38 +1000
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>Just for little clarification on why the cameraman had this

<snip>

>As they had so much footage, they could easily
>>have just not even realised there was more coming from the
>>cameraman.

>Who is the cameraman? What and where are his credentials? What
>record is there (if there is such a person) that he said any
>such thing?

Stan,

The cameraman is the person who Ray purchased the film from for \$100,000, the same film you tried to purchase from Ray for \$100,000. We have only Ray and Volker's word that the cameraman is a real person and that he said the things he did about the retrieval. If Ray is lying, then where did he get the film? Are you saying that he produced it himself, or are you implying that he was a government agent and the government supplied the footage and the cover story. And your evidence is? How was the cameraman able to direct me to the crash site if he doesn't exist? Ray couldn't do that since he has never visited the area around Socorro. Are you saying that the crash site doesn't exist?

>Totally left out is the fact that the guy had to
>have had a high level security clearance. Treat classified
>footage this way in 1947? Nonsense!. Totally left out is how
>film from that era could be useable when stored under a bed for
>umptein years.

How the cameraman stored the film isn't important. The footage was not in good shape and had to be carefully handled while it was transferred to video. Some was almost unusable. The cameraman probably had a clearance of some kind since he reported directly to General McMullen. Everything was "need to know" and this was a temporary assignment. Why would there be a record of it and why do you think it would be available? And who would remember?

>How about some facts rather than echo Ray's false claims?.
>Recall that he also falsely claimed that Truman is in the film,

Yes Ray says that there is film of Truman but he didn't include it in the footage he released. Do you have some proof that Truman isn't somewhere on the footage?

>that originally the autopsy was done in Dallas, that he had
>checked with the Truman Library and verified that; then after
>the switch from Roswell in July to Socorro in June he claimed
>falsely that Truman went there on the way to Ottawa which is 400
>miles N. of DC while Dallas is 1100 miles southwest. The trip
>was by train to Ottawa and Truman was very visible.

Ray is not an historian. All he knew was that Truman was
on the footage and maybe the cameraman tried to fill him in.
Could you provide the quote? Maybe Ray was confused,
or maybe you were confused about what he said. I need more
information to check it out.

>He also
>falsely claimed that Kodak had dated the footage..they had only
>received leader without any images.
Ray did not falsely claim that he checked with Kodak. I have the
letter Kodak wrote. The film was leader but Ray wasn't trying to
fool anyone. He didn't realize that the UFO community were
going to react the way they did and immediately declare that
the footage was a hoax, without any proof. Ray brought the
footage to the community without any charge and offered it to
them for research but he was greeted with charges of being a liar,
charlatan, and conman. That made him reluctant to cooperate.
I don't know if you remember those days in early 1996 and how
Ray and anyone who defended him were treated. My psychological
wounds are just beginning to heal after ten years.

>Why believe anything Ray has said?

Because you haven't provided any proof that he is a liar. You
have made a few libelous statements and misrepresented your
meeting with Ray; you never mention that you tried to buy the
footage for \$100,000. The question is why should we believe you
since you've done your share of lying. Why did you say you'd
read "The Day After Roswell" when it is clear that you haven't,
yet.

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 12](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Sun, 12 Mar 2006 11:07:24 -0800
Fwd Date: Sun, 12 Mar 2006 08:41:41 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gehrman

>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 10:44:40 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 9 Mar 2006 13:48:38 +1000
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>List

>>Just for little clarification on why the cameraman had this
>>film...

>>He had taken as Ed has stated much much more footage than we see
>>in what's been released so far.

>>The cameraman has stated that most of the film was sent or was
>>collected by the Army/AirForce. He only kept the reels that were
>>in bad condition.. ie they needed "push developing" or "holding-
>>back" as the "exposures" and "lighting" weren't up to standard.
>>Dont forget in those days the cameramen did most of their own
>>developing As he explained it, when the he got the best results,
>>from the remainder he kept, which as we know still wasn't great
>>quality , he tried to contact the authorities and had no success
>>and I think he even said they were "returned to sender" After
>>trying numerous times to pass the film on without success to
>>theArmy, Air Force and Navy(?) which were all broken into
>>separate entities in 1947, he gave up and put them in a box
>>under his bed. There they were to stay untill Ray turned up
>>looking for old Elvis Footage.

>>We are only seeing the "worst" of the filming, so any talk about
>>"focus being sloppy" and "exposure", is superfluous untill we
>>see the film that was considered OK and handed over to the
>>authorities. The cameraman never had any intention of trying to
>>keep parts of this event for himself. Im sure during that
>>chaotic time in 1947 when all the services separated this was
>>not the only event/file/film etc that was misplaced and
>>forgotten about As they had so much footage, they could easily
>>have just not even realised there was more coming from the
>>cameraman.

>William

>You will note from earlier posts that Bob Shell, who was one of
>the key players in the AA film investigation, and who was
>heavily involved with it all from practically the beginning, has
>stated that in his opinion the story that the cameraman provided
>to Santilli (re. how he had retained the film and why he didn't
>hand it over to the military) is not true.

>Bob thinks that the cameraman recognized the significance of the
>film and secretly made his own copy and handed over the
>originals to the military, as ordered.

>The cameraman's statement about not being able to contact the
>military to hand over the footage is nonsense.

Nick,

You have no proof of this. It may be true or not. I question this aspect of the cameraman's story but Bob's estimate is only that since he hasn't seen all the footage and been able to evaluate it.

>How do we know this? Because as the cameraman said, they got him
>back to do another autopsy in 1949.

Yes they seemed to trust him.

>I suppose that someone might argue that he was frightened - in
>1949 - to admit to his bosses that he held on to the footage in
>1947.

Yes he probably was but what does this have to do with the Santilli footage. How or why the cameraman kept the footage isn't important. All we really need to know is that Ray bought old footage from the cameraman and some of it was of a creature and craft of unknown origin.

>But wouldn't the military have carefully catalogued what they
>had in 1947? And wouldn't they have noticed what was missing?
>It's not that difficult! And wouldn't they have asked him in
>1949 about the missing footage from two years earlier?

It's hard to say what the Army might have done. All we know is that he had the footage in 1992 and sold it directly to Ray. What difference does it make how or why the cameraman had the film. The important thing is that he sold it for \$100,000 and Ray and Volker were pleased with their purchase.

>The cameraman's statement about not being able to contact anyone
>in 1947 to hand the film over makes it sound like his
>relationship ended with them then on AA issues.
It doesn't seem that way to me, but who knows what they knew.

I'm sure they didn't realize he had the footage. And for what ever reason, the cameraman kept the footage and UFO researchers are richer for this, but unappreciative and resistant to the end.

>But if his story is true about another autopsy in 1949, then
>obviously he continued with them, or was brought back into the
>fold.

>Plus I would like to know what credible evidence (or other
>examples) there is of very highly classified materials being
>unable to be delivered to the Army and Air Force after the 1947
>split.

Not that I know of but what is the importance? You have agreed that Ray is telling the truth about his purchase. That's where the story needs to begin; the rest is between the cameraman, the government, and the tax collector.

>Does anyone know of such evidence?

>Personally, like Bob Shell, I believe that the cameraman told
>Santilli this story about not being able to deliver the film to
>the military as a way to hide the fact that he had made a copy
>of it and then kept the copy.

Yes, that could be true.

>>From a legal perspective, if there was any comeback, the
>cameraman would be in a far better position if he said: "The
>military split into two and I couldn't deliver the film and they
>couldn't collect it," rather than: "I handed all the footage
>over, but I illegally made and kept a copy."

Yes, that's true.

>That's not an impossibility that he would do that. I say that
>because the cameraman (as Ed kindly informed, potentially, the
>entire Internet, which no doubt has the family of the cameraman
>in a state of utter consternation) might not have declared to
>the IRS the payment of \$100,000 made to him in exchange for
>handing over the film, and might have committed tax evasion.

Yes this is a serious problem for the cameraman and his family since by now the tax bill probably equals the payment. But once he dies, they'll be off the hook.

>So if the cameraman was prepared to defraud the IRS on a six-figure sum no less, I don't think he would be exactly beyond obfuscating the origins of how he got the film, do you?

I have to agree with this, but taxes are one thing, directions to a possible crash site are another. His lies to escape detection and the tax man are understandable and do not moot his story of the retrieval of craft and creatures SW of Socorro on May 31, 1947.

>So as to avoid any confusion, here is what Ed said when he decided to open up the cameraman's personal tax situation:

>"No he is not telling the complete story of the footage. That would open him up to discovery and prosecution for stealing government property and most importantly, tax evasion."

>And here is the link to Ed's statement:

><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2006/mar/m07-014.shtml>

I admit to this and it is probably the cameraman's main concern, if he's still alive, and I think he is.

>Take a look at the link below too, where Bob Shell makes it clear that he had informed Santilli years ago that he, Santilli, had copy film and not original film, and Santilli didn't realize this. So, again, a good indicator that the cameraman has not told the complete story of the footage and provided Santilli with copy.

I can't argue with this but I fail to see why it's so important to you. Where are you going with this?

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 12](#)

Re: Discovery Of The 10th Planet - Balaskas

From: Nick Balaskas <Nikolaos.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 15:45:52 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
Fwd Date: Sun, 12 Mar 2006 08:51:36 -0500
Subject: Re: Discovery Of The 10th Planet - Balaskas

>Source: California Institute Of Technology

>http://today.caltech.edu/theater/item?story_id=13002

<snip>

>Michael Brown: Beyond Pluto Discovery Of The 10th Planet

>56 minutes

>In a Watson lecture, Mike Brown, professor of planetary
>astronomy at Caltech, discussed his and his colleagues'
>discovery of 2003 UB313, the largest object found in orbit
>around the sun since the discovery of Neptune in 1846, and the
>putative 10th planet. In addition, he talked about what
>constitutes a planet, why the question of planethood is
>difficult, and what he thinks the answer should be.

<snip>

Hi Everyone!

Michael Brown was one of the invited guest astronomers and space scientists that gave talks on January 20 earlier this year at University of Toronto's famous Convocation Hall (a few months earlier past Minister of National Defence Paul Hellyer talked about our ET "visitors" there; astronomer Geoffrey Marcy told us of his discovery of the first planets outside our solar system and where Apollo 8 astronaut Jim Lovell spoke about an illuminated wristwatch dial inside their darkened spacecraft which a fellow astronaut mistakened for a UFO!, etc.). Brown presented a strong case why this newly discovered solar system world that is bigger than Pluto and with at least one satellite of its own should be known as the 10th Planet. I agree.

In fact I would argue that it should be the 11th or 12th planet if one includes the "minor" planets Ceres and Vesta orbiting the Sun between Mars and Jupiter. Both are large spherical shaped worlds (not potatoe shaped like most asteroids) and although Vesta is about half Ceres' diameter of nearly 1000 kilometers, occasionally it is bright enough to be seen with the unaided eye and could have been known in ancient times. Since the planets Uranus, Neptune and Pluto are too faint to be seen with the unaided eye, these were not among the five planets or "wandering stars" (Mercury, Venus, Mars, Jupiter and Saturn) known in ancient times, astronomers still classify them as planets too.

There are current plans to launch a spacecraft sometime about 2010 to fly to and orbit around Vesta and Ceres. Their much smaller gravities and slightly further distance from us than Mars would make them excellent candidate worlds for the first permanent human settlements in our solar system after our Moon (if they are not already claimed and occupied by others).

Nick Balaskas

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 12](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 16:43:30 EST
Fwd Date: Sun, 12 Mar 2006 08:58:26 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gammon

>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 18:17:13 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

<snip>

>I think this is a great explanation for the quality of the
>footage. Still, much of the footage is quite clear and in focus
>and there is no misunderstanding about what you are seeing.
>There's no blurring to hide zippers or mold-lines or seams.
>There isn't any evidence that the AA is a hoax. I'll prove this
>statement by offering \$500 to anyone who can provide solid
>evidence that the AA is a fraud or hoax.

>It must be something that hasn't been already refuted like the
>curled phone cord.

Here are a few of my observations:

1. The autopsy room is either extremely small or is a staged environment. I tend to favor the latter explanation due to some of the following things I will bring up.
2. The people are all disguised, even those who are not participating in the actual autopsy. This doesn't make sense, unless the purpose of the protective clothing is to conceal identity.
3. The Clock's electric cord is exposed and dangling, which implies that we are witnessing a staged environment. In permanent rooms, outlets are wired near the actual position of a hanging clock so as not to expose the cording.
4. I personally am not aware of any autopsy room with a working phone, let alone located a few feet from the body. This would cause too many contamination issues, even when dealing only with deceased humans. Instead, phones are placed in adjoining rooms so medical examiners can properly wash before using such. Even on the popular show "Dr. G Medical Examiner", airing on the Discovery Health Channel, a phone is not present in the autopsy room. Dr. G always finishes, washes, then proceeds to use the phone in another room. I know things may be a bit different in Military environments, but with regards to cleanliness and contamination, I doubt that there would be much difference.
5. The environment is way too clean. Again, either the whole thing is staged or the supposed alien autopsy was the first autopsy of the day. Also, I looked for water beads, water dripping, and wet spots. Part of the clean up process utilizes a water spray to wash biological material off tables and down drains. I failed to find any evidence of such wet spots, let alone a sprayer. (I'm working off memory here so there is a chance that I just missed such.
6. The camera view is extremely constrained.
7. The people in the footage communicate far too easily, almost in a pre-planned way. Wearing such protective gear is bound to

produce communication difficulties.

8. The supposed alien's corpse is "manhandled" by the examiners. Wouldn't extreme care and attention, let alone endless hours if not days, be used in an actual alien autopsy?

9. There is indication that parts of the alien body are in actuality human. Parts like the head, arms and legs appear to be manufactured. The swollen abdomen of the alien is simply a byproduct of the decomposition process. Decomposition begins in the intestines, producing large amounts of gasses which accumulate in the abdomen.

-Jason Gammon

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 12](#)

List Off-Line

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sun, 12 Mar 2006 09:12:41 -0500
Fwd Date: Sun, 12 Mar 2006 09:12:41 -0500
Subject: List Off-Line

Unfortunately it seems my father's time has come and I must leave town to tend to him.

When the List will be back-up is now in the hands of the Gods...

Please hold List-submissions until its return to your in-box.

Errol Bruce-Knapp
Moderator UFO UpDates - Toronto

[[Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 18](#)

List Partially Back

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 16:51:29 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 16:51:29 -0500
Subject: List Partially Back

To all of you who sent kind thoughtful messages about my father, my thanks...

He's till with us and is actually improving - somewhat. I'm spending most of my day being his palliative-care giver. 'Child Is Father To The Man'... How things will progress in the next days remains to be seen.

The Seniors residence he lives in has given me wireless-access via my laptop, so I'll now start and catch-up with the few back-logged messages. Thanks for holding back your submissions.

ebk

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 18](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Sun, 12 Mar 2006 11:37:10 -0800
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 16:45:13 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

>From: Ed Gehrman <egerman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 12 Mar 2006 11:07:24 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 10:44:40 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

<snip>

>>You will note from earlier posts that Bob Shell, who was one of
>>the key players in the AA film investigation, and who was
>>heavily involved with it all from practically the beginning, has
>>stated that in his opinion the story that the cameraman provided
>>to Santilli (re. how he had retained the film and why he didn't
>>hand it over to the military) is not true.

>>Bob thinks that the cameraman recognized the significance of the
>>film and secretly made his own copy and handed over the
>>originals to the military, as ordered.

>>The cameraman's statement about not being able to contact the
>>military to hand over the footage is nonsense.

<snip>

>>Take a look at the link below too, where Bob Shell makes it
>>clear that he had informed Santilli years ago that he, Santilli,
>>had copy film and not original film, and Santilli didn't realize
>>this. So, again, a good indicator that the cameraman has not
>>told the complete story of the footage and provided Santilli
>>with copy.

>I can't argue with this but I fail to see why it's so important
>to you. Where are you going with this?

Ed,

Where am I going with this? Well, if Santilli was provided with
copy, then the cameraman's story about holding back original
footage isn't true.

There is also the significant fact that, as you know because I
sent you still-images, the cameraman also provided Santilli with
footage from another autopsy (not the infamous tent footage -
but another autopsy almost identical to the AA footage).

Phil Mantle has seen that autopsy and it's very detailed; and
with that in mind: do you really think it's possible that the
cameraman could hold on to footage from not one, but two,
autopsies and not have the military realize?

As for your statement that how or why the cameraman kept the
footage is unimportant, I disagree. If he lied to Santilli re.
how he acquired the footage, and lied to Santilli about it being
original footage rather than copy, then he might have lied about

other aspects of the story too.

Nick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 18](#)

UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 17:10:11 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 17:10:11 -0500
Subject: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses

Source: The Sun - London UK

<http://www.thesun.co.uk/article/0,,2-2006110708,00.html>

12 Mar 2006

Euston, we have a problem

=09
UFO Bid By Railway Bosses=09

By JAMIE PYATT

British Rail planned to transport passengers by Flying Saucer, it was revealed yesterday.

Bosses even patented the 'Space Vehicle' in 1973 to stop the idea being nicked.

Inventor Charles Osmond Frederick reckoned the nuclear-powered saucer-shaped craft would be cheap to run =97 and super-fast.

But today's experts say it would never get off the ground as the proposed fusion power system doesn't actually exist.

The detailed plans, showing seats on an upper deck, were found on the European Patent Office website by a student.

He said: =93It looks just like something from a science fiction comic.=94

Michel van Baal, of the European Space Agency, said: =93I doubt whether it would ever be practical.=94

But Prof Colin Pillinger, who led the failed Beagle 2 Mars mission, called the plans =93fascinating.=94

The patent lapsed because renewal fees were not paid.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 18](#)

Re: UFO Sighting Really Took My Breath Away -

From: **Martin Shough** <mshough.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Mar 2006 08:43:54 -0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 17:12:22 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Sighting Really Took My Breath Away -

>From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 17:00:38 -0500
>Subject: Re: UFO Sighting Really Took My Breath Away

>Suffice it to say that until I read this post, I had never heard
>of any other 'flying silver pyramid' UFO reports other than my
>own. I've heard of flying triangles and all manner of other
>shape UFO but never a silver pyramid.

There were a few flying 'pyramid' cases over Europe and the UK
some years ago, silvery bright or translucent. There were films
and photos taken. I don't have any details to hand (in middle of
a house move) but I seem to recall suggestions that they were a
type of large polyethylene 'research' balloons - maybe aerostat
platforms for AEW radar or SIGINT - launched from Toulouse and
elsewhere. Perhaps someone on the List has chapter and verse.
There's probably no connection at all with the sightings
menti18/03/2006 5:12PMoned, but pyramids have been reported.

Martin Shough

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 18](#)

3rd Irish International UFO Conference

From: **Betty Meyler** <ufosocietyireland.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Mar 2006 16:10:35 +0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 17:14:43 -0500
Subject: 3rd Irish International UFO Conference

The UFO Society of Ireland are pleased to announce that the
Third Irish International UFO Conference will take place on the
23, 24 September 2006 in Carrick-On-Shannon, Co. Leitrim,
Ireland.

All enquiries to:

President Betty Meyler

info.nul

or visit the website:

www.ufosocietyireland.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 18](#)

GOT MILK? Goes Extraterrestrial

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 17:21:46 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 17:21:46 -0500
Subject: GOT MILK? Goes Extraterrestrial

Source: Yahoo.Com/Biz

<http://biz.yahoo.com/prnews/060313/lam057.html?.v=44>

Monday March 13, 8:15 am ET

Press Release

GOT MILK? Goes Extraterrestrial

- Cow Abducting Aliens Sport Spandex & Go-Go Boots -

BERKELEY, Calif., March 13 /PRNewswire/ - In what appears to be the result of extraterrestrial encounters, dairy farmers are reporting a rash of cow disappearances in California's heartland*. Eyewitnesses claim to have seen alien beings combing cow pastures for what they call a "magic white serum" that enhances bone strength, promotes muscle recovery, prevents cavities, and takes the edge off insomnia and PMS.

Photos: <http://www.newscom.com/cgi-bin/prnh/20060313/LAM057-a>

"This wonder tonic is exactly what we've been looking for," declared one alien scientist in a recent interview. "Thanks to DA-IRY, our brittle-boned, sleep-deprived citizens will have relief, at last."**

Reports linking extraterrestrials to cows, inspired GOT MILK? creators - The California Milk Processor Board (CMPB) - forming the basis of their next generation of television advertisements. The new GOT MILK? commercial campaign - appropriately called "Aliens" - consists of five 30 second TV spots. Set to break March 13th and run throughout 2006, the campaign aims to position milk as a 'wonder tonic' with multiple health benefits.

"This is no hoax and we're not sworn to secrecy," says Steve James, CMPB Chairman. "We want earthlings to see milk with fresh eyes and recognize its benefits beyond just a calcium source."

This celestial send-up signifies the coming together of two seemingly unrelated pop culture icons: GOT MILK? and aliens. "But, actually both have captured the American imagination, and entered our vernacular in popular and pervasive ways," continues James.

In fact, alien experts and UFO investigators have long reported an extraterrestrial fascination with cows that is here-to-now gone unexplained.

"We don't know why, but cows are a part of the UFO story," stresses Peter Robbins, UFO Investigator and co-author of *Left of East Gate: A first-hand account of Bentwaters-Woodbridge UFO incident*. "These commercials aren't that far-fetched."

The new GOT MILK? commercials, entitled "Landing Party,"

"Farmer," "Communication," "The Ship" and "Homecoming" represent a significant departure for the folks best-known for creating food and milk-deprived worlds.

"Landing Party," the first in the series, opens on a distant planet, where a tunic-wearing alien ruler, is seated at a throne with his leg in a cast. A lesser-ranked alien dignitary enters the room with his arm in a sling to share the marvelous news that, "on planet Earth, two-legged worker beings are granted a nectar that helps maintain bone strength and promote better sleep." Back on planet earth, two alien scientists search a dairy barn for the source of the wonder elixir, which they mistakenly pronounce: "DA-IRY." The spot then closes with the now ubiquitous GOT MILK? tagline.

Subsequent "Alien" spots tout additional benefits of milk and follow the escapades of the alien scientists as they attempt to bring a cow back to their alien planet, Brittlactica. On Brittlactica, alien rulers of nations like "Papau Hairthinny," "Cavitopia," "Insomniastan" and "PSMtonia" help drive the multiple health benefit messaging home in a light-hearted and entertaining way.

Developed by longtime CMPB advertising partners, San Francisco-based Goodby, Silverstein & Partners, GOT MILK?'s Aliens aim to reposition milk as a new product, never-before-seen in this way. Viewers get a glimpse of milk via fresh eyes, in this case, through extraterrestrial beings in search of milk's wonder-tonic properties.

Now in its twelfth year, GOT MILK? has helped sell millions of gallons of milk and become an American icon. The dairy industry spends \$150-million annually to support GOT MILK?, including for use on those Milk Mustache ads. In addition, GOT MILK? is a hot property licensed on hundreds of products over the last decade.

About the CMPB

The California Milk Processor Board was established in 1993 to make milk more competitive and increase milk consumption in California. Awareness of GOT MILK? is over 90% nationally and it is considered one of the most successful campaigns in history. GOT MILK? is a federally registered trademark that has been licensed by the national dairy boards since 1995. GOT MILK? gifts and recipes can be viewed at <http://www.gotmilk.com>. The CMPB is funded by all California milk processors and administered by the California Department of Food and Agriculture.

* www.cowabduction.com

** www.planetinneed.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 18](#)

Re: Boyd Bushman Gulf Breeze & The Hovering Coil -

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <brumac.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Mar 2006 11:25:12 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 17:23:32 -0500
Subject: Re: Boyd Bushman Gulf Breeze & The Hovering Coil -

>From: Geoff Richardson <geoff.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 9 Mar 2006 21:09:03 -0000
>Subject: Boyd Bushman Gulf Breeze & The Hovering Coil

>On Saturday 4th March, 2006, Channel 5 Television (UK)
>broadcast "The Real Flying Saucers" - Off the Wall
>Productions:

><http://www.offthefence.com/>

>a documentary covering the development of top secret craft since
>World War 2. In this documentary the Gulf Breeze series of
>incidents was discussed by Boyd Bushman (Former weapons designer
>with Lockheed and inventor of the Stinger Missile).

>According to Boyd Bushman he recognised certain aspects of the
>craft photographed over Gulf Breeze.

>A very brief summary of Boyd Bushman's explanation of the Gulf
>Breeze photographs is available at:

><http://www.thewhyfiles.net/gulfbreeze.htm>

Amusing. The suspended coil might work over a metal table top which allows for eddy currents that create a bucking magnetic field (the coil generates an alternating magnetic field) that could support the coil. But not enough information is given to know whether this is the situation.

At any rate, magnetic levitation has been proposed many times over the years. Whether this is related to "Ed's craft" or not yet known. Also, why fly a "top secret" aircraft over Gulf Breeze?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 18](#)

Secrecy News -- 03/13/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Mon, 13 Mar 2006 11:52:39 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 17:25:06 -0500
Subject: Secrecy News -- 03/13/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 33
March 13, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** DNI NEGROPONTE ON INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION SHARING
** INTELLIGENCE OVERSIGHT: THE ROAD NOT TAKEN
** SOME NEW INTELLIGENCE-RELATED PUBLICATIONS
** US NEWS: SECRECY UNDER SCRUTINY

DNI NEGROPONTE ON INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION SHARING

In an effort to improve the sharing of intelligence information, the Director of National Intelligence last year authorized the use of a new marking for intelligence documents: RELIDO, or Releasable by Information Disclosure Official.

RELIDO is intended "to facilitate information sharing through streamlined, rapid release decisions by authorized disclosure officials," DNI John D. Negroponte wrote in a June 2005 memo.

Essentially, the RELIDO marking permits authorized officials to release documents (on a need-to-know basis, of course) without consulting the originators of the documents.

This is a step forward since originator controls on the dissemination of intelligence are one of the major bottlenecks that impede intelligence information sharing.

A copy of the DNI memo, marked For Official Use Only (not RELIDO), was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "Intelligence Community Implementation of Releasable by Information Disclosure Official (RELIDO) Dissemination Marking," DCID 8 Series Policy Memoranda 1, June 9, 2005:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/offdocs/dcid8-memo.html>

No one should mistake the recent focus on intelligence information sharing for greater openness or public disclosure. To the contrary, "information sharing" has been accompanied by increased secrecy in intelligence.

In 2004, for example, the Central Intelligence Agency decided that it would no longer release unclassified intelligence directives under the Freedom of Information Act. Though such directives had previously been released, the CIA now claimed that they were exempt from FOIA as internal agency records (exemption 2) and as intelligence sources and methods information (exemption 3).

Consequently, Americans who are interested in such things are

obliged to seek out alternate sources of information.

Among the directives that CIA refused to release under the FOIA is Director of Central Intelligence Directive 8/1, the last Directive issued by former DCI George Tenet, on the subject of intelligence information sharing.

That DCI directive was hailed enthusiastically but perhaps prematurely by some officials.

It "changed the sharing paradigm from 'need to know' as determined by the information collector to 'share at the first point of usability' as determined by intelligence users across our community," wrote Maj. Gen. John F. Kimmons, commander of the U.S. Army Intelligence and Security Command, in INSCOM Journal last year.

A copy of the directive, marked For Official Use Only, was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "Intelligence Community Policy on Intelligence Information Sharing," DCID 8/1, June 4, 2004:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/offdocs/dcid8-1.html>

INTELLIGENCE OVERSIGHT: THE ROAD NOT TAKEN

Democratic proposals to initiate a congressional investigation of the National Security Agency warrantless surveillance program have been repeatedly rebuffed by Republican leaders in Congress.

This month, House Committees have produced no fewer than four adverse reports on Democratic "resolutions of inquiry," which sought executive branch records on domestic intelligence surveillance.

In the Senate, a proposal by Sen. Jay Rockefeller (D-WV) to investigate the NSA program was voted down on party lines in the Senate Intelligence Committee on March 7.

See the reports of the House Intelligence Committee, the House Armed Services Committee, and the House Judiciary Committee (two) here:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_rpt/index.html

Some background on the use of resolutions of inquiry as an instrument of oversight can be found in "House Resolutions of Inquiry" by Louis Fisher (who is now with the Law Library of Congress), Congressional Research Service, May 12, 2003:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/RL31909.pdf>

Sen. Russ Feingold announced yesterday that he would introduce a resolution to censure President Bush for "authorizing the illegal wiretapping program and then misleading the country about the existence and legality of the program." See:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_cr/feingold031206.html

SOME NEW INTELLIGENCE-RELATED PUBLICATIONS

"Sources and Methods of Foreign Nationals Engaged in Economic and Military Espionage" is the title of a September 15, 2005 hearing of a House Judiciary Subcommittee which has just been published. See:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2005_hr/hhrq109-58.html

Defense Department policy on Operations Security has been updated in a new directive. Operations Security (OPSEC) refers to the identification and reduction of tell-tale signs of military operations that could be exploited by an adversary.

See "DoD Operations Security (OPSEC) Program," DoD Directive 5205.02, March 6, 2006:

http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/dod/d5205_02.pdf

Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Stephen A. Cambone has reissued the National Industrial Security Program Operating Manual (NISPOM), which "provides baseline standards for the protection of classified information released or disclosed to industry."

See the updated NISPOM, DoD Manual 5220.22, February 28, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/library/nispom.htm>

US NEWS: SECRECY UNDER SCRUTINY

The latest issue of U.S. News and World Report (March 20) features an interview with me on the subject of government secrecy.

It is part of the observance of Sunshine Week, which is a nationwide effort to focus public attention on the virtues of open government.

My not-so-smiling face can also be seen in light and shadow cast by window blinds ("It's not cliché," the photographer explained, "it's classic.").

See "Secrecy Under Scrutiny" by David E. Kaplan, U.S. News and World Report, March 20:

<http://www.usnews.com/usnews/news/articles/060320/20qa.htm>

A sidebar takes a look at Freedom of Information Act policy. See "Finding out what Uncle Sam has on you" also by David E. Kaplan:

<http://www.usnews.com/usnews/news/articles/060310/10foia.htm>

For more on Sunshine Week go to www.sunshineweek.org.

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 18](#)

Secrecy News -- 03/15/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 Mar 2006 12:23:35 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 17:33:01 -0500
Subject: Secrecy News -- 03/15/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 34
March 15, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** A FOCUS ON "SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED" INFORMATION
** MORE FROM CRS
** SOME MORE INTELLIGENCE-RELATED PUBLICATIONS
** IN THE PRESS

A FOCUS ON "SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED" INFORMATION

The government's use of the problematic "sensitive but unclassified" (SBU) designation to restrict access to information that does not warrant classification is coming under new scrutiny.

"Federal agencies do not use uniform definitions of SBU information or have consistent policies for safeguarding or releasing it," a new study from the Congressional Research Service (CRS) observed.

"This lack of uniformity and consistency raises issues about how to identify SBU information, especially scientific and technical information; how to keep it from those who would use it malevolently, while allowing access for those who need to use it; and how to develop uniform nondisclosure policies and penalties."

The 82-page CRS report presents a comprehensive treatment of this vexing subject. It surveys the origins of government SBU practices; explores "contentious issues" involving SBU; and considers recommendations to improve SBU policy.

CRS does not permit direct public access to its publications, but a copy was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "'Sensitive But Unclassified' Information and Other Controls: Policy and Options for Scientific and Technical Information," dated February 15, 2006 (published March 14, 2006):

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/secrecy/RL33303.pdf>

The Government Accountability Office yesterday released a report on SBU policies at the Departments of Energy and Defense to coincide with a House Government Reform Subcommittee hearing. See "Managing Sensitive Information: Departments of Energy and Defense Policies and Oversight Could Be Improved," Report No. GAO-06-369, March 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/gao/sensitive.pdf>

The National Security Archive conducted its own survey of SBU policies at federal agencies and released a report entitled "Pseudo-Secrets: A Freedom of Information Audit of the U.S. Government's Policies on Sensitive Unclassified Information":

<http://www.gwu.edu/~nsarchiv/NSAEBB/NSAEBB183/press.htm>

MORE FROM CRS

Some other notable publications from the Congressional Research Service include the following.

"Iran: U.S. Concerns and Policy Responses," updated March 10, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/mideast/RL32048.pdf>

"Homeland Security: Protecting Airliners from Terrorist Missiles," updated February 16, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/terror/RL31741.pdf>

"Military Aviation: Issues and Options for Combating Terrorism and Counterinsurgency," January 27, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/weapons/RL32737.pdf>

SOME MORE INTELLIGENCE-RELATED PUBLICATIONS

"Intelligence in the Civil War" is the topic of a new study published by the Central Intelligence Agency. See:

http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/civilwar/docs/Civil_War.htm

The technical challenges facing the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA) and a research agenda to help meet those challenges were described in a new report from the National Research Council. See "Priorities for GEOINT Research at the National Geospatial Intelligence Agency," 2006:

<http://www.nap.edu/catalog/11601.html>

IN THE PRESS

President Bush this week said that a newspaper -- the Los Angeles Times -- had published details of a new technology used to defend against improvised explosive devices, and that jihadists used details from that newspaper story to develop techniques for defeating the new technology. Noah Shachtman of DefenseTech.org argues that there is reason to doubt the President's account. See "The Enemy is Me," March 14:

<http://www.defensetech.org/archives/002238.html>

"In another sign of increasing government secrecy, the Federal Aviation Administration has removed from its Web site the transcript of a heated public hearing during which pilots ridiculed no-fly zones that have surrounded Washington since 9/11," writes Lance Gay of Scripps Howard News Service. See "FAA yanks potentially 'sensitive' information from Web site," March 15:

<http://tinyurl.com/zedcv>

If the New York Times could be prosecuted under the Espionage Act for having disclosed the NSA warrantless surveillance activity, as some enthusiasts have proposed, then who else might be guilty of a similar offense? That question was posed by Jack Shafer in "A Gitmo for Journos: Who besides the New York Times could be prosecuted under the Espionage Act?", Slate, March 14:

<http://www.slate.com/id/2138058/>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 18](#)

Iranian Jet Case

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <brumac.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 Mar 2006 19:18:23 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 17:35:46 -0500
Subject: Iranian Jet Case

Finally, after too many years of procrastination, I have posted my history and discussion of the Iranian Jet Case of Sept 19, 1976 at:

<http://brumac.8k.com/IranJetCase>

download .doc file.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 18](#)

Forums-Within-A-Forum Analysis - Bryant v.

From: **Larry W. Bryant** <overtci.nul>
Date: Wed, 15 Mar 2006 23:35:41 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 17:40:37 -0500
Subject: Forums-Within-A-Forum Analysis - Bryant v.

[Some LWB Notes about the Defendants' Feb. 28, 2006, Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment - in Larry W. Bryant v. Donald H. Rumsfeld, et al.]

1. As part of its forum analysis, the court MUST consider the full extent/weight of actual usage of the subforum in question (i.e., the civilian-enterprise newspaper's ad pages - and, specifically, the ANNOUNCEMENTS section thereof - where a few dozen of plaintiff's public-issue ads have been carried since the mid-eighties). By the weight of his previously published ads, plaintiff, in full view of the world, has inserted his nose under the government's designated-public-forum tent, there to remain unfettered until his "Pentagram"-destined whistleblower-solicitation ad of June 2003 incurred rejection via Comprint employee Donna Rumburg's e-mail message to plaintiff. Now, because of other such ads' being officially disfavored because of their caustic or otherwise critical viewpoints (e.g., as in the case of the U. S. Military Academy's and the Andrews AFB's rejections), that CEN tent inconveniently has collapsed upon the defendants. The plaintiff should not, and cannot, be penalized for that collapse.

2. First Amendment case law historically has allowed any person to facially challenge any government-imposed restraints on speech - even if the plaintiff has yet to be directly affected by the challenged restraints.

3. If plaintiff's submitted ads were "political" at the start of this litigation, then his published ones were just as "political" as far back as the 1987 consent decree in Bryant v. Weinberger, et al. What has changed the playing field? Answer: the escalating, hard-hitting, viewpoint-intensive nature of the post-Weinberger ad submissions. As such, to censor them - in any kind of government-managed forum (whether "public" or "non-public") - violates plaintiff's First Amendment rights.

4. In the Stewart case, the D.C. football stadium operated as a designated public forum in that most all spectators had to pay a fee for admission to a given event; likewise, admission to a CEN's ad pages requires a prospective advertiser to pay a FEE for placement of a product/service/announcement ad. Once he enters (or applies to enter) this designated public forum-within-a-forum for paid expressive activity, the prospective advertiser cannot be arbitrarily speech-restrained on the basis of content or viewpoint. To impose that restraint would be to (unconstitutionally) penalize him for seeking equal, unfettered access to this designated public forum.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 18](#)

UFO ROUNDUP - A Brief Update

From: John Hayes <John.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Mar 2006 13:46:05 +0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 17:44:34 -0500
Subject: UFO ROUNDUP - A Brief Update

As most of you already know Joseph Trainor the editor of UFO Roundup is offline at the moment and unable to continue with the bulletin.

I recently heard from him via a third party and in his message Joe stated his AOL account is closed and that he no longer has the Masiniagan e-mail address, so please do not try writing to him. Mail to that account is not being bounced at the moment and it is possible that someone else is using it, so please do not use it.

Joe was recently sidelined for a couple of weeks with flu and will shortly resume his search for employment. He will resume the weekly bulletin as soon as he can and thanks those who have made donations towards a new computer.

If you have an urgent message for Joe you can write to me and I will forward it to an address where Joe can read it. For those wishing to write via 'snail mail' Joe's postal address is:

Joseph Trainor,
9 Foley Street,
Attleboro,
Massachusetts,
USA 02703-1805

Best wishes,

John Hayes

John.nul

UFOINFO:- <http://www.ufoinfo.com>

Home to UFO Roundup, Encounters With Aliens On This Day, AUFORN Australian UFO Reports and Experiences, UFO + PSI Magazine plus archives of Humanoid Sighting Reports (Albert Rosales), Filer's Files, UFO News UK and more...

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 18](#)

Acute & Contagious FOIA-Philia

From: **Larry W. Bryant** <overtci.nul>
Date: Thu, 16 Mar 2006 23:55:21 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 18:01:56 -0500
Subject: Acute & Contagious FOIA-Philia

Acute And Contagious FOIA-Philia

By Larry W. Bryant

About 100 FOIAphiles filed into the Freedom Forum headquarters in Arlington, Va., March 16, 2006, to spend the day observing and networking the 40th anniversary of the U. S. Freedom of Information Act.

For this eighth annual edition of National FOI Day, the FF staff, led by Paul McMasters and his sterling team of coordinators from the First Amendment Center, the conference began with "the usual suspects" - ranging from veteran FOIA champions Tom Blanton and Tom Susman (reviewing developments on the FOI scene since last year's gathering) to a five-member panel assigned to discuss policies and trends in the arena of whistleblowership (Amerika's ultimate endangered species). Indeed, two of the panelists happened to be high-profile federal whistleblowers, and I would've loved seeing a third principal added to the group: U. S. Army Corps of Engineers contracts analyst Bunnatine Greenhouse (who's had to retain legal counsel to counter the Corps's predictable decision to "kill" this saintly messenger of bad news).

As the Greenhouse case painfully reminds us, the Army rarely learns from its mistakes. As regards how best to nurture, protect, and (yes) even reward such True Patriots as Greenhouse, you might say that, for whatever reasons, the Army bureaucracy's dismal whistleblower-protection record mirrors the public-armpit status recently conferred upon the U. S. Central Intelligence Agency for its FOIA-request delays, deflections, and denials.

One of the Pentagon's second-floor hallways features a display devoted to various Army heroes. Why can't part of that display space be focused on honoring the Army's top 10 whistleblowers? Of course, that positive step won't happen in our lifetime - because of the human-nature tendency of "veriphobes" to want to punish any employee brave and bold enough to embrace Truth and Justice rather than the status quo of job security and personal comfort.

Again, Mr. McMasters & Co., thank you for today's opportunity to take part (however vicariously) in the Freedom Forum's erection of a virtual monument to our nation's whistleblower resource.

See you next year.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 18](#)

Secrecy News -- 03/17/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Mar 2006 13:09:54 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 18:17:15 -0500
Subject: Secrecy News -- 03/17/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 35
March 17, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** CAPTURED IRAQI DOCUMENTS LOOK STRANGELY FAMILIAR
** BILL TO AUTHORIZE WARRANTLESS SURVEILLANCE INTRODUCED
** SOME NOTABLE DOCS ON OVERCLASSIFICATION, NAVY INTEL
** TRANSCRIPT OF FRANKLIN SENTENCING HEARING ONLINE

CAPTURED IRAQI DOCUMENTS LOOK STRANGELY FAMILIAR

The Director of National Intelligence yesterday announced the public release of Iraqi documents that were captured by U.S. forces in Operation Iraqi Freedom.

The release came in response to pressure from House Intelligence Chairman Pete Hoekstra and Senator Rick Santorum, who had both introduced legislation to compel disclosure of the captured Iraqi documents, and from The Weekly Standard magazine and the Wall Street Journal editorial board.

"The accessibility of these materials represents an important departure from the past when previous document release efforts have taken many years," the Office of the DNI said in a news release.

But the documents released by the DNI are a decidedly mixed bag.

Illustrating their eclectic nature, one of the captured Iraqi documents is a print-out of an article from the Federation of American Scientists web site.

"This file contains document relevant to the Mukhabarat or Iraqi Intelligence Service (IIS), it explains the structure of the IIS," according to the DNI synopsis of the document (record number CMPC-2003-006430).

In fact, the document was written in 1997 by John Pike (then at FAS, now at GlobalSecurity.org), except for an added cover page which is handwritten in Arabic.

The newly released documents may be found here:

<http://fmso.leavenworth.army.mil/products-docex.htm>

See also "U.S. Reveals Once-Secret Files From Hussein Regime" by Greg Miller, Los Angeles Times, March 17:

<http://digbig.com/4gtdg>

The interesting possibility that raw intelligence materials like

these could be productively assessed by members of the public working together online was optimistically considered by former intelligence officer Michael Tanji.

"A successful collaborative analysis of Iraqi documents has implications that go beyond just this problem set. Such an endeavor will not go unnoticed by the reform-minded in the intelligence community," he wrote.

See "An Army of Analysts," by Michael Tanji, The Weekly Standard, March 14:

<http://digbig.com/4gtdr>

Writing in the blog GroupIntel, Mr. Tanji also had a provocative response to the March 13 Secrecy News story on the new intelligence community document marking "RELIDO."

See his "RELIDO: Why Bother?":

<http://blog.groupintel.com/2006/03/15/relido-why-bother/>

BILL TO AUTHORIZE WARRANTLESS SURVEILLANCE INTRODUCED

Senate Republicans led by Sen. Mike DeWine yesterday introduced a bill that would authorize warrantless intelligence surveillance for up to 45 days, after which it could be renewed upon review by the Attorney General.

The bill would require notification to Congress of various aspects of the program.

But significantly, it would impose no external constraints on domestic surveillance by the executive branch.

The bill would also impose penalties of up to \$1 million and/or 15 years in prison for unauthorized disclosure of classified information relating to such surveillance activity.

Stung by criticism that this approach could be used to punish reporters who write about illegal government surveillance, the Senators declared that the proposed penalty, in an amendment to 18 U.S.C. 798, "does not apply to journalists."

Thus, while the current 18 U.S.C. 798(a) apparently prohibits unauthorized disclosures of certain specific types of classified information by "any person", the new proposed section 798(b) would only apply to "any covered person," which means someone who has authorized possession of the classified information, but not a reporter or other recipient of the information.

See "DeWine, Graham, Hagel and Snowe Introduce the Terrorist Surveillance Act of 2006," news release, March 16:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_cr/dewine031606.html

On March 13 Sen. Russ Feingold introduced a resolution to censure President Bush for what he described as a violation of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act. See:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_cr/s031306.html

SOME NOTABLE DOCS ON OVERCLASSIFICATION, NAVY INTEL

Prepared testimony from a March 14 House Government Reform subcommittee hearing titled "Drowning in a Sea of Faux Secrets" that addressed overclassification, reclassification, and the use of the "sensitive but unclassified" control marking can be found here:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/congress/2006/index.html>

"Congressional Notification of Intelligence Activities, Intelligence Related Activities, Special Access Programs, and Covert Actions Within the Department of the Navy" is the subject of Secretary of the Navy Instruction 5730.13A, updated February 1, 2006 (badly scanned by the Navy into a large 5 MB PDF file):

http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/navy/secnavinst/5730_13a.pdf

TRANSCRIPT OF FRANKLIN SENTENCING HEARING ONLINE

"All persons who have authorized possession of classified information, and persons who have unauthorized possession, who come into possession in an unauthorized way of classified information, must abide by the law. They have no privilege to estimate that they can do more good with it."

"So, that applies to academics, lawyers, journalists, professors, whatever. They are not privileged to disobey the laws, because we are a country that respects the rule of law."

Thus spoke Judge T.S. Ellis, III, in a January 20, 2006 sentencing hearing for former Defense Department official Lawrence A. Franklin, who was convicted of unauthorized disclosures of classified information. His remarks were first reported (in slightly truncated form) by the Jewish Telegraphic Agency.

Judge Ellis' statement was extraordinary because it appeared to endorse the new Bush Administration theory that not only leakers but also unauthorized recipients of classified information can be prosecuted for retaining or disclosing such information to others.

This reading of the law, which has never prevailed before, could now be used against academics, lawyers, newsletter writers, newsletter readers, whatever.

It is currently being tested in the prosecution of two former employees of the American Israel Public Affairs Committee, who are accused of mishandling classified information that was provided to them by Mr. Franklin. Neither of the two AIPAC employees held a security clearance.

A copy of the transcript of the January 20 sentencing hearing at which Judge Ellis made his surprising remarks was obtained by Secrecy News, and is posted here (1.2 MB PDF file):

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/jud/franklin012006.pdf>

See, relatedly, "Suppression of witness names underlines battle in AIPAC case" by Ron Kampeas and Matthew E. Berger, Jewish Telegraphic Agency, March 15:

<http://digbig.com/4gttdt>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 18](#)

Budd Hopkins' NYC UFO Seminar - 04-08-06

From: **The Intruders Foundation** <ifinfo1.nul>
Date: Fri, 17 Mar 2006 22:28:26 EST
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 18:20:28 -0500
Subject: Budd Hopkins' NYC UFO Seminar - 04-08-06

Intruders Foundation Seminar Series Announcement

UFO ABDUCTIONS AND ALIEN IMPLANTS?

A REVEALING EVENING WITH DR. Roger Leir

Saturday, April 8, 2006

We are very pleased to welcome Dr. Roger Leir to his first appearance in the IF Seminar Series. Dr. Leir is an accomplished podiatric surgeon, investigator, and author of four books concerning the subject of alien implants, including THE ALIENS AND THE SCALPEL. On Saturday evening, April 8, he will present an overview of his fascinating work =E2=80=93 the collection of scientific and medical evidence pertaining to the alien abduction phenomenon.

In a specially prepared slide presentation, he will review some of the latest surgeries for removal of objects suspected of being extraterrestrial in origin, as well as show a short video of actual operating room footage. (If you are not prepared, please look away.) He will also summarize the eleven surgeries that he and his surgical team have performed.

Dr. Leir will explain the scientific analysis demonstrating that these objects may contain materials not of this world. He will also describe some of biological and metallurgical findings, which strongly suggest that we are dealing with a technology far advanced beyond our earthly knowledge. Finally, he will discuss his plans for future surgeries and his attempt to build an institute for the scientific study of the alien abduction phenomena on the West Coast.

As always, questions from the audience will be fielded at the end of the presentation. Budd Hopkins and the IF Advisory Committee Members will also provide their comments.

*IF Members: Please also see, below, our special Sunday morning addendum announcement.

REGISTRATION & INFORMATION

The seminar will be held on April 8 at the new meeting rooms of A.R.E., located on the SECOND FLOOR at 241 W. 30th Street (between Seventh and Eighth Avenues), New York, NY. The price of the seminar is \$30 for non-members and \$20 for members of IF, seniors and students. Reservations must be made by telephone at 212-645-5278, and will be filled on a first come, first served basis. Payment must be made in advance to secure the reservation. Make checks payable to the Intruders Foundation, P.O. Box 30233, New York, NY 10011. Book early! Only 60 reservations will be accepted!

On-street parking is generally available in the neighborhood. The seminar will begin at 7:30 PM and end at 10:00 PM. Doors

open at 7:00 PM. There will be a one half-hour intermission, during which light complimentary refreshments will be served. A book table will offer books, videotapes and other material for sale to those interested. For additional information, call IF at 212-645-5278.

Hope to see you there!

* SPECIAL SUNDAY MORNING ADDENDUM =E2=80=93 FOR IF MEMBERS ONLY

As a new occasional feature to our seminars, IF will offer a Sunday morning, after the seminar, "Coffee, Bagels & Fruit, Meet the Speaker Session" for the first 20 IF MEMBERS who sign up for it. The gathering will be held on April 9 from 11:00 AM to 1:00 PM at Budd Hopkins' apartment and studio. We will serve complimentary coffee, assorted breakfast pastries and fruit, and offer a relaxed, casual atmosphere in which IF members can meet Dr. Leir and chat informally about his work. A fee of \$20 will be required for this special gathering, in addition to the regular \$20 IF member charge for the Saturday evening seminar.

We hope that this casual meeting will offer members yet another opportunity to inform themselves about the UFO abduction phenomenon, and to enjoy the company of our speakers in a more intimate atmosphere.

If you are interested, please contact us immediately at 212-645-5278, since we are limited, for space reasons, to the first twenty people who call. (Address for this gathering to be provided when confirming your reservation.)

The Intruders Foundation Seminar Series is presented in the interests of open-minded scientific learning and the free exchange of research, ideas, and theories. IF makes no specific claims or endorsements regarding any materials, views, or subject matter presented by our guests.

Want to know more about Budd Hopkins and his nonprofit scientific research organization, as well as past and future IF events? Please visit our website=E2=80=A6

Intruders Foundation Website: www.intrudersfoundation.org

IF respects your e-mail privacy. To be removed from future IF mailings, please send us a return e-mail with the word "REMOVE" in the subject heading.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 18](#)

Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 18:57:58 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 18:23:34 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses - Friedman

Source: The Sun - London UK

<http://www.thesun.co.uk/article/0,,2-2006110708,00.html>

12 Mar 2006

>Euston, we have a problem

>UFO Bid By Railway Bosses

>By Jamie Pyatt

>British Rail planned to transport passengers by Flying Saucer,
>it was revealed yesterday.

>Bosses even patented the 'Space Vehicle' in 1973 to stop the
>idea being nicked.

>Inventor Charles Osmond Frederick reckoned the nuclear-powered
>saucer-shaped craft would be cheap to run - and super-fast.

<snip>

We did operate jet engines on nuclear fission energy in the late 1950s. We did operate a number of nuclear fission rockets on the ground in the 1960s. A nuclear fission ramjet was operated on the ground in the early 1960s. We did a small (\$9million) study on Fusion rockets in the early 1960s. Were they really talking about Fission or Fusion in the patent?

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

Re: British MOD Magazine UFO Article - McGonagle

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 23:41:04 +0000
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 08:31:57 -0500
Subject: Re: British MOD Magazine UFO Article - McGonagle

Hello Nick, List,

>From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 20:38:19 -0000
>Subject: British MOD Magazine UFO Article

>I recently sought and obtained a commission from the Ministry of
>Defence's magazine Focus, to write a feature on UFOs. In
>particular, I wanted to get across to the military and civil
>service readership some information about the Rendlesham Forest
>UFO incident of 1980. Dubbed 'Britain's Roswell', this is the
>UK's most significant UFO event but has sometimes been
>misrepresented as the sighting of lights. In fact, as the United
>States Air Force witness statements make clear, the security
>police personnel saw a metallic craft with strange symbols on
>the hull. The article ran in the March issue of Focus with only
>very minor editorial changes:

>Source: Focus - Ministry of Defence Magazine

<snip>

For those who prefer to see the original source, the article is
on the MoD's website at the following link:

<http://tinyurl.com/hobfl>

I note that the article at the site is dated 13th March.

Nick mentioned some minor editorial changes, it is quite
interesting to see what they were. The title changed from "The
Rendlesham Forest UFO Incident" to "The official who wants to
believe". Another notable change was that reference to "The
Ministry of Defence's UFO Project" was removed.

In another article for "Focus" dated 10th March and entitled
"The truth is out there... under FOI" at:

<http://tinyurl.com/z7ru6>

there is a highlighted quote from Linda Unwin (the current
incumbent of Nick's old role) which states:

"The first point to make is that there is no 'UFO Project'".

In the main body of the text, this quote is immediately followed
by "Handling of UFO sightings is a very small element of our
work."

I am surprised that Nick was apparently unaware of the second
article, and the fact that both articles would be available at
the site referenced above.

Regards,

Joe

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

Re: (was Re: UFO UpDate: List Partially Back)

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 11:41:29 -0500
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 11:41:29 -0500
Subject: Re: (was Re: UFO UpDate: List Partially Back)

>Errol,

>Our prayers (as such) and thoughts are with you. Call me if you need anything.

Fanks my ole darlin' - things are okay at the moment. The old man is snoring away, happily behind me at the moment.

He's quite chatty - read 'mumbly' when awake. Still has a great smile and all the staff keep telling me how much they love him - so he's in good hands when I'm not here.

Beeg manly hugs on youm,

meem

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses - McGonagle

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 00:09:04 +0000
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 12:05:46 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses - McGonagle

Hello Stan, List,

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 18:57:58 -0400
>Subject: Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses

<snip>

>We did operate jet engines on nuclear fission energy in the
>late 1950s. We did operate a number of nuclear fission rockets
>on the ground in the 1960s. A nuclear fission ramjet was operated
>on the ground in the early 1960s. We did a small (\$9million)
>study on Fusion rockets in the early 1960s. Were they really
>talking about Fission or Fusion in the patent?

Brian James, a member of the ufologyinuk list kindly provided
the following link to a copy of the patent documentation at the
European Patent Office:

<http://v3.espacenet.com/textdes?DB=EPODOC&IDX=GB1310990&F=8&OPN=GB1310990>

As you can see, the document refers to "A controlled
hermonuclear fusion reaction".

This patent has been quite well-known in the UK for many years,
one of the early researchers was an ufologist called Nigel
Wright, if I recall correctly.

Regards,

Joe

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

UFO Watch Tower

From: **Carolyn Lawrence** <carolyn1122.nul>
Date: Sun, 12 Mar 2006 12:37:23 -0700
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 12:09:04 -0500
Subject: UFO Watch Tower

To the UFO Community

We have a friend in the UFO Community that is in need of our help.

The UFO Watch Tower, in Hooper, Colorado, USA.

Judy Messoline, writes:

We had to remove our directional signs on Highway 17 by the order of the courts from, Colorado Department of Transportation, [CDOT]. I am permitted to put up "freedom of speech" signs as well as " artwork". I did not want to have to ask for your help, but we are between a rock and a hard place. We will accept ANY AMOUNT OF MONEY.

The UFO Watch Tower will need six signs:

Two large signs, [8' x 16'] at a cost of \$500.

Four small signs, [3' x 4'] at a cost of \$125.

The Watch Tower is not a Non-Profit Organization

Please, send what ever money amount you are able to spare ASAP. Later if you are able to send more, please do.

Please make checks out to;

UFO Watch Tower

and mail to:

UFO Watch Tower
P.O. Box 522
Hooper, Colorado
81136
USA

Blessings to you all,

Carolyn Lawrence
carolyn1122.nul

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

Bush's Odd Energy Comment?

From: **Jeff Olson** <jlolson.nul>
Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 18:00:11 -0800
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 12:12:24 -0500
Subject: Bush's Odd Energy Comment?

"Our nation is on the threshold of new energy technology that I think will startle the American people," Bush said. "We're on the edge of some amazing breakthroughs, breakthroughs all aimed at enhancing our national security and our economic security and the quality of life of the folks who live here in the United States."

Does anyone have any idea of what Bush specifically is referring to here? What are these "new technolog[ies]" and "breakthroughs" that would "startle" us? (I found this remark rather startling.)

Should I be ashamed to confess that I thought of Corso and the other claims of alien technology circulating on the web?

JeffO

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Sawers

From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 13:17:10 +1000
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 12:15:43 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Sawers

>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 12 Mar 2006 11:37:10 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 12 Mar 2006 11:07:24 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Fri, 10 Mar 2006 10:44:40 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

><snip>

>>>You will note from earlier posts that Bob Shell, who was one of
>>>the key players in the AA film investigation, and who was
>>>heavily involved with it all from practically the beginning, has
>>>stated that in his opinion the story that the cameraman provided
>>>to Santilli (re. how he had retained the film and why he didn't
>>>hand it over to the military) is not true.

>>>Bob thinks that the cameraman recognized the significance of the
>>>film and secretly made his own copy and handed over the
>>>originals to the military, as ordered.

>>>The cameraman's statement about not being able to contact the
>>>military to hand over the footage is nonsense.
><snip>

Nick, Bob, List

I should point out at this stage the post stating "cameraman
unable to hand over the footage to the military" was initially
mine. At the time I sent in the post I was trying to clarify the
position the cameraman had taken. Unfortunately I sent the post
and had inadvertently missed Bob Shell's post about the
"copy film". Once I read his I immediately sent off a retraction
to Errol asking him "not to post the original, if it was'nt too
late". Obviously it was, hence we have fallen into this debate.

It is important however to know what the cameraman told Santilli
and what Bob (whom I unreservedly respect) told Santilli, and
that they were different, copy vs orig. This does not
necessarily mean the film is hoaxed, just the opposite... the
cameraman new he had something special and made copy. Still no
real harm done.

>>>Take a look at the link below too, where Bob Shell makes it
>>>clear that he had informed Santilli years ago that he, Santilli,
>>>had copy film and not original film, and Santilli didn't realize
>>>this. So, again, a good indicator that the cameraman has not
>>>told the complete story of the footage and provided Santilli
>>>with copy.

>>I can't argue with this but I fail to see why it's so important
>>to you. Where are you going with this?

>Ed,
>Where am I going with this? Well, if Santilli was provided with
>copy, then the cameraman's story about holding back original
>footage isn't true.
>There is also the significant fact that, as you know because I
>sent you still-images, the cameraman also provided Santilli with
>footage from another autopsy (not the infamous tent footage -
>but another autopsy almost identical to the AA footage).
>Phil Mantle has seen that autopsy and it's very detailed; and
>with that in mind: do you really think it's possible that the
>cameraman could hold on to footage from not one, but two,
>autopsies and not have the military realize?

As Bob has said it's copy film. The military wouldn't
realise(?), so he would still be in good with them to be called
in for the second Autopsy. If the second autopsy is as detailed
as Philip M. said then of course it must be copy. Does it really
make a big difference Nick?

>As for your statement that how or why the cameraman kept the
>footage is unimportant, I disagree. If he lied to Santilli re.
>how he acquired the footage, and lied to Santilli about it being
>original footage rather than copy, then he might have lied about
>other aspects of the story too.

I fail to understand "if he lied to Santilli re how he acquired
the footage". Why does this question come into the equation? We
are still talking of the cameraman filming an autopsy. In this
discussion "how" hasn't been questioned. The whole thing still
doesn't change the fact we have film/copy of a "creature being
autopsied". That is genuine. There is no evidence of hoax. Every
other effort to dis-credit the footage has failed.

Apart from the main story, "who said what" is not that
important IMO.

Bob, could you help with these enquiries..?

Would the "copy film" deteriorate if kept in canisters we've
seen pictures of?

As far as you know, was there any original film?

Did you actually see any original /copy film, other than
"leader?"

What condition was it in?

Regards

William

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -

From: Paul Anderson <paulanderson.nul>
Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 19:32:07 -0800
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 12:18:37 -0500
Subject: Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 7 Mar 2006 17:14:15 -0500
>Subject: Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed

>Human and most other mammalian red blood cells lack DNA.

True. Odd though if these were blood cells, since as I noted below, blood cells are normally destroyed within minutes in rainwater, according to one of the scientists quoted in New Scientist.

New Scientist has this as the cover story for the current March 4-10, 2006 issue (see also the listing under Features):

'Alien Rain'
<http://www.newscientist.com/contents/issue/2541.html>

A brief summary of the cells' characteristics, according to these two PDF papers from Godfrey:

<http://arxiv.org/ftp/astro-ph/papers/0312/0312639.pdf>
(2003, 17 pages)

<http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0601022>
(2006, 18 pages)

- no DNA or RNA
- proteins
- dye-binding biomolecules
- strong UV absorption
- elements: primarily carbon, oxygen; also hydrogen, nitrogen, sodium, aluminum, silicon, chlorine, iron
- apparent growth patterns of the cells in high temperatures, up to 300 C and survivability at even higher temperatures
- ability to metabolize both organic and inorganic materials

Dust doesn't contain proteins, metabolize or reproduce...

Not sure yet if the first PDF paper (2003) was peer-reviewed, but the archive listing for it is from the Cornell University Library:

<http://arxiv.org>

The second PDF paper (2006) was peer-reviewed, and accepted in the journal Astrophysics and Space Science as indicated in the paper itself (also archived in the Cornell University Library).
ASS info:

<http://www.springer.com/journal/10509/about>

Oil droplets, red algae and mammalian blood were suggested here as possibilities (letters):

<http://www.newscientistspace.com/article/mg18925430.300.html>

Interesting idea re the oil droplets, I hadn't heard that one yet. This is his hypothesis though, not confirmed yet. I just got the print copy of the current New Scientist issue in question. Other scientists, including others from the University of Sheffield, don't necessarily agree though. A few other pertinent quotes:

"If they're not living cells, I don't know what they are," said Milton Wainwright, a microbiologist at the University of Sheffield, UK. "Maybe this is the beginning of something amazing."

"They don't look anything like sand, they look biological," says Monica Grady, a meteorite expert at the UK's Open University in Milton Keynes.

One type of analysis shows their chemical make-up is about 50 per cent carbon and 45 per cent oxygen by weight, along with traces of other elements such as sodium and iron. That's consistent with the components of a biological cell, according to Jeffrey Walker, a molecular biologist from the University of Colorado in Boulder.

His (Godfrey Louis') new report on the subject, which will appear in Astrophysics and Space Science in the next few months, is impressive in its detail, according to Wainwright.

"Everything in the paper is done correctly, there's nothing wacky about it," he says. Grady says it is "very, very thorough indeed."

Also:

All the scientists interviewed are cautious, as they should be, and caution against jumping to conclusions, but they are interested in what seems to be a genuine mystery, whatever these things turn out to be. Wainwright suggests possible spores, rust fungus, pollen or algae, unless it is confirmed that there is no DNA. Mammalian red blood cells are still possible, although it is noted that blood cells are usually destroyed within minutes in rainwater, unless the salinity in both the water and cells is the same (Charles Cockell, Open University, UK). And how would they get mixed into rain clouds (at least 50 tonnes at that)?

I agree with cautious skepticism, and yes, the comet association is a big leap to make. But, a couple observations are worth noting again though because they are important details. These are covered in the two PDFs and New Scientist article.

The red material didn't "rain down continually" for weeks quite the way some people have inferred; by far most of it occurred right after the initial event, within a few days and then rapidly diminishing over the next few weeks. The first incident occurred during (part-way through) a rain shower, suggesting that the initial event, whatever that was, happened at that time. To Godfrey et al, that makes it less likely to be mundane contaminants which had become airborne somehow and then just falling back down with the next rain. There's a difference there. He believes that some of the red material fell quickly, while the rest gradually settled into the rain clouds, falling in subsequent rains (and so remaining localized, for the most part anyway). Is this not feasible?

The New Scientist article mentions cases of red dust happening before as we all know, but which had been easily identified at the time. This is not the same thing, at least not the same kind of dust. Almost all the scientists referenced in New Scientist agree these are probably biological, it is the identity and source that is in question. If no DNA is confirmed, and these do turn out to be cells of some kind, then that would be very interesting, whether they were extraterrestrial or terrestrial, especially given their reported reproduction at high temperatures.

Personally, I'm just glad these scientists are willing to examine this occurrence more closely; all too often "weird" events like this just get ignored or ridiculed, which does not

Re: Red Rain Could Prove That Aliens Have Landed -

advance science, imho. And there was plenty of physical evidence to work with in this case.

The additional newest analysis results from Cardiff University will be posted on the New Scientist web site when they are completed, so I am just going to wait and see...

--
The Meridiani Journal
<http://www.themeridianijournal.blogspot.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

Re: Iranian Jet Case - Maccabee

From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 23:12:21 -0500
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 12:25:01 -0500
Subject: Re: Iranian Jet Case - Maccabee

>From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 15 Mar 2006 19:18:23 -0500
>Subject: Iranian Jet Case

>Finally, after too many years of procrastination, I have posted
>my history and discussion of the Iranian Jet Case of Sept 19,
>1976 at:

><http://brumac.8k.com/IranJetCase>

>download .doc file.

There is also a .pdf file.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

Rendlesham Forest Cartoon

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 10:17:42 -0000
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 12:26:19 -0500
Subject: Rendlesham Forest Cartoon

I've previously posted the text of an article I wrote about UFOs and the Rendlesham Forest incident, which was published in the MOD's magazine Focus:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2006/mar/ml1-003.shtml>

A cartoon accompanied the article and I've posted this on my website:

http://www.nickpope.net/images/UFO_SKYNET.jpg

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

Abductions Mainly In North America?

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 09:29:07 -0500
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 12:36:08 -0500
Subject: Abductions Mainly In North America?

Hi -

I heard someone comment recently that "All UFO abductions are centered in North America." Is that true?

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

Online Survey On Extraterrestrial Visitation

From: Michael Salla <exopolitics.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 06:01:29 -1000
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 12:38:01 -0500
Subject: Online Survey On Extraterrestrial Visitation

Aloha List,

I've created an online survey examining attitudes and beliefs concerning extraterrestrial visitation using as the sample population internet users aware of UFO/Exopolitical issues.

The online survey will be used for evaluation purposes to test the suitability of the present set of questions for an 'off-line' survey to be conducted by volunteers and/or by a registered polling company of a random population. The online survey takes an average of six minutes and you can view the results after completing it.

The survey URL is:

<http://www.questionpro.com/akira/TakeSurvey?id=391646>

I plan to analyse the results of the survey with the support of members from the Exopolitics Institute and will release a report of what the survey implies for the study of UFOs/exopolitics.

I thank all those who will take the time to complete the survey and for any comments you have to assist this initiative.

In peace,

Michael Salla
www.exopoliticsinstitute.org

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

UK Paraquest Conference Sunday 14th May 2006

From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 18:12:35 -0000
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 13:52:43 -0500
Subject: UK Paraquest Conference Sunday 14th May 2006

From: Paraquestuk.nul
To: Paraquestuk.nul
Sent: Saturday, March 18, 2006 9:46 PM
Subject: Paraquest Conference Sunday 14th May 2006

Hi,

Please find attached information on our Annual Conference for 2006. This year we have another great line up of speaker on UFO's and the Paranormal. All are excellent speakers and some may be very familiar to you.

Paraquest Conference
Sunday 14th MAY 2006

Masonic Hall,
Tatton Road
Sale, Cheshire,
M33 1EE

Nick Pope

Nick works for the ministry of Defence as a Higher Executive Officer. From 1991 to 1994, he was appointed to Secretariat (Air Staff) Department 2A, better known as 'The UFO Desk'. His job was to investigate and analyse claims of UFO sightings and to assess their threat to national security. It was an experience that changed his life.

Tina Laurant

Tina is one of the UK's foremost researchers into Electronic Voice Phenomenon (known as EVP) - which she has now studied and practised for 20 years with increasingly positive results. She has also collaborated with the Police on murder cases using psychic methods.

Andrew Johnson

The Disclosure Project is a nonprofit research project working to fully disclose the facts about UFO's, extraterrestrial intelligence, and classified advanced energy propulsion systems. They claim first hand experience with UFO's, ET's, ET technology and the cover-up that keeps this information secret.

Titus Rivas

Titus is a researcher and author living in Holland. He heads the Dutch group Athanasia a serious foundation for the philosophical and parapsychological study of survival after death, reincarnation and evolution of the soul or spirit. Titus is

widely regarded as one of Europe's leading experts in this field.

Russel Callaghan (TBC)

Russell was the co-editor and image analyst of much mourned UFO Magazine. He is an active researcher who is not easily fooled. With a wealth of experience and case studies to hand bringing us all up to date with the latest UFO news from the UK.

For more details:

www.paraquest.org.uk

Phone: +++0161 969 8706

Tickets Pounds 12.50 advance
Pounds 15.00 at door

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 18:58:38 +0000
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 14:23:03 -0500
Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Hall

>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 09:29:07 -0500
>Subject: Abductions Mainly In North America?

>I heard someone comment recently that "All UFO abductions
>are centered in North America." Is that true?

>Eleanor White

Eleanor,

I would like to know who this person was (by occupation or speaking platform if nothing else) and why such people keep trying to perpetuate this myth.

It is an absolutely false statement.

The issue has come up before on this List, and I am sure you can find some of the commentary about it in the Archives.

You will find abduction case reports from many different countries in my writings alone, especially The UFO Evidence, Vol. II.

At least two worthwhile abduction books have come from Great Britain. Sandinavia, Africa, South America, you name it, have abduction cases.

- Richard

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

Re: Rendlesham Forest Cartoon - Pope

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 19:00:22 -0000
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 14:25:01 -0500
Subject: Re: Rendlesham Forest Cartoon - Pope

>From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 10:17:42 -0000
>Subject: Rendlesham Forest Cartoon

>I've previously posted the text of an article I wrote about
>UFOs and the Rendlesham Forest incident, which was published in
>the MOD's magazine Focus.

>A cartoon accompanied the article and I've posted this on my website:

> http://www.nickpope.net/images/UFO_SKYNET.jpg

Further to this previous post, I should have pointed out that in
the MOD cartoon, the symbols on the side of the alien spaceship
are taken directly from the sketch in Jim Penniston's USAF
witness statement:

http://www.nickpope.net/images/UFO_SKYNET.jpg

<http://www.nickpope.net/images/UFO%20Symbols%20Sketch.jpg>

It's always interesting when ufological motifs are incorporated
into popular culture, but seeing them incorporated into
government publications is comparatively rare.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

Two New Alien Autopsy TV Documentaries

From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 19:23:55 -0000
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 14:30:42 -0500
Subject: Two New Alien Autopsy TV Documentaries

Dear Colleagues,

I would like to take this opportunity to let you know that in the next few weeks there will be 2 new TV documentaries being shown on UK TV, both of which are entirely dedicated to the Alien Autopsy Film. I have had the pleasure of taking part in both of them.

The first documentary will appear on the satellite channel SKY ONE on Tuesday April 4th at 8.00 pm. The other is planned for broadcast on the terrestrial channel, Channel 5, but as yet I do not have a broadcast date for it.

Both documentaries are bound to re-ignite the arguments surrounding the authenticity of Ray Santili's highly controversial Alien Autopsy film footage. There will be something in both TV shows for the proponents and opponents of the Alien Autopsy film but my contracts do not permit to divulge any further details at this moment.

All I can say is, turn on, tune in and buckle-up as it looks like it's going to be a bumpy ride.

Regards,

Philip Mantle.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 15:27:02 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 14:56:31 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses - Friedman

>From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 00:09:04 +0000
>Subject: Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses

>>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 18:57:58 -0400
>>Subject: Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses

><snip>

>>We did operate jet engines on nuclear fission energy in the
>>late 1950s. We did operate a number of nuclear fission rockets
>>on the ground in the 1960s. A nuclear fission ramjet was operated
>>on the ground in the early 1960s. We did a small (\$9million)
>>study on Fusion rockets in the early 1960s. Were they really
>>talking about Fission or Fusion in the patent?

>Brian James, a member of the ufologyinuk list kindly provided
>the following link to a copy of the patent documentation at the
>European Patent Office:

><http://v3.espacenet.com/textdes?DB=EPODOC&IDX=GB1310990&F=8&OPN=GB1310990>

>As you can see, the document refers to "A controlled
>hermonuclear fusion reaction".

>This patent has been quite well-known in the UK for many years,
>one of the early researchers was an ufologist called Nigel
>Wright, if I recall correctly.

Thanks much Joe.

Obviously fusion. Awfully short on details; very big
undertaking. Anybody see a date on this patent, especially the
date submitted?

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Pope

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 19:40:42 -0000
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 14:58:13 -0500
Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Pope

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 18:58:38 +0000
>Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America?

>>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 09:29:07 -0500
>>Subject: Abductions Mainly In North America?

>>I heard someone comment recently that "All UFO abductions
>>are centered in North America." Is that true?

>I would like to know who this person was (by occupation or
>speaking platform if nothing else) and why such people keep >
>trying to perpetuate this myth.

>It is an absolutely false statement.

>The issue has come up before on this List, and I am sure you
>can find some of the commentary about it in the Archives.

>You will find abduction case reports from many different
>countries in my writings alone, especially The UFO Evidence,
>Vol. II.

>At least two worthwhile abduction books have come from Great
>Britain. Sandinavia, Africa, South America, you name it, have
>abduction cases.

Absolutely. This is a global phenomenon, though cultural factors
clearly play a part in the interpretation of these experiences
and the extent to which they are likely to be reported in the
media or elsewhere. The literature certainly concentrates on US
cases, but in doing so creates a false representation of the
true situation.

I've looked into around one hundred such cases, mainly in the UK:

<http://www.andrewwlownie.co.uk/books/pope.nick/uninvited.shtml>

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses - McGonagle

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 20:20:30 +0000
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 15:44:09 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses - McGonagle

Hi Stan,

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 15:27:02 -0400
>Subject: Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses

<snip>

>Obviously fusion. Awfully short on details; very big undertaking. Anybody see a date on this patent, especially the date submitted?

Clicking on the "Original Documents" tab produces an (incomplete) pdf file. The first page has the following date information:

- Filed 11th December 1970
- Complete spec filed 10th March 1972
- Complete spec published 21st March 1973

I think there is something wrong with the pdf, scrolling beyond the first page results in a "file missing" message.

Cheers,

Joe

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 14:25:05 -0800
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 15:46:39 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 13:17:10 +1000
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 12 Mar 2006 11:37:10 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

<snip>

>I fail to understand "if he lied to Santilli re how he acquired
>the footage". Why does this question come into the equation? We
>are still talking of the cameraman filming an autopsy. In this
>discussion "how" hasn't been questioned. The whole thing still
>doesn't change the fact we have film/copy of a "creature being
>autopsied". That is genuine. There is no evidence of hoax. Every
>other effort to dis-credit the footage has failed.

>Apart from the main story, "who said what" is not that
>important IMO.

>Bob, could you help with these enquiries..?

>Would the "copy film" deteriorate if kept in canisters we've
>seen pictures of?

>As far as you know, was there any original film?

>Did you actually see any original /copy film, other than
>"leader?"

>What condition was it in?

William

I agree with you that the film is not a straightforward fake and
I do believe it represents a filming of a real event.

But the cameraman's story about having held on to the footage
because he couldn't hand it over to the correct people after the
Army and Air Force split into two, is utter rubbish. And we all
know that this is what the cameraman claimed. Plus, as Ed G.
pointed out, the cameraman may also have committed tax evasion.

Would you, personally, routinely embrace the testimony of a
lying tax-evader?

Nick R.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses - Redfern

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 14:31:50 -0800
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 15:48:43 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses - Redfern

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 15:27:02 -0400
>Subject: Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses

>>From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 00:09:04 +0000
>>Subject: Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 18:57:58 -0400
>>>Subject: Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses

>><snip>

>>>We did operate jet engines on nuclear fission energy in the
>>>late 1950s. We did operate a number of nuclear fission rockets
>>>on the ground in the 1960s. A nuclear fission ramjet was operated
>>>on the ground in the early 1960s. We did a small (\$9million)
>>>study on Fusion rockets in the early 1960s. Were they really
>>>talking about Fission or Fusion in the patent?

>>Brian James, a member of the ufologyinuk list kindly provided
>>the following link to a copy of the patent documentation at the
>>European Patent Office:

>><http://v3.espacenet.com/textdes?DB=EPODOC&IDX=GB1310990&F=8&OPN=GB1310990>

>>As you can see, the document refers to "A controlled
>>hermonuclear fusion reaction".

>>This patent has been quite well-known in the UK for many years,
>>one of the early researchers was an ufologist called Nigel
>>Wright, if I recall correctly.

>Thanks much Joe.

>Obviously fusion. Awfully short on details; very big
>undertaking. Anybody see a date on this patent, especially the
>date submitted?

List:

This story about British Rail's Flying Saucer was published
years ago in the old 13-volume "Unexplained" magazine that was
published in the UK, originally in the early 1980s.

I'm not sure of the exact issue, and I have no intention of
digging through 13 volumes, but if someone is up to the task
they will find it.

The version of the "Unexplained" that I collected was the late-
1980s re-issue, so it may be best to start there. But in terms
of this being a new revelation, it's not.

Nick R.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 16:10:16 -0500
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 17:36:50 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 13:17:10 +1000
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>The whole thing still
>doesn't change the fact we have film/copy of a "creature being
>autopsied". That is genuine. There is no evidence of hoax. Every
>other effort to dis-credit the footage has failed.

Absolutely correct.

>Apart from the main story, "who said what" is not that
>important IMO.

I think it is important, but not a "deal killer" as we say. If the cameraman had told Ray the film was not original but copies, Ray might not have bought it, or might have offered less.

>Bob, could you help with these enquiries..?

I'll try. Unfortunately, some people believe "a mind is a terrible thing to open" and won't listen.

>Would the "copy film" deteriorate if kept in canisters we've
>seen pictures of?

Not so much that it would, but that it could. All depends on storage conditions. Supposedly Jack lives in Florida. If stored in a basement that was not climate controlled it would have been subjected to very high humidity, which is very bad for film. Ray said the film was stuck together and in some cases crumbly, and humid storage could cause that.

>As far as you know, was there any original film?

Since what Ray has appears to be copy film, there would have had to be original film.

>Did you actually see any original /copy film, other than
>"leader?"

I never saw any leader. I saw only film from the image-bearing part of the film. I still have several frames.

"Leader" is a red herring, anyway. Real leader is clear or translucent white film that is just the culluloid. It has never had any emulsion on it and is not light sensitive. It is incapable of recording an image and has no edge markings. Leader could not be dated at all.

What has been incorrectly called "leader" is actually just blank film from the ends of rolls. It has no images on it, but does have the date code edge markings. The clear film Ray has does have the correct date code for 1947 manufacture.

>What condition was it in?

Awful. Badly torn on the edges. Frame by frame scanning would have

been the only way it could be transferred to video.

I hope this clears things up for the open-minded.

Bob Shell

From the moment I picked up your book until I laid it down, I was
convulsed with laughter. Some day I intend reading it.
--Groucho Marx

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 16:24:45 -0500
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 17:39:05 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
To: <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 14:25:05 -0800
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>I agree with you that the film is not a straightforward fake and
>I do believe it represents a filming of a real event.

>But the cameraman's story about having held on to the footage
>because he couldn't hand it over to the correct people after the
>Army and Air Force split into two, is utter rubbish. And we all
>know that this is what the cameraman claimed. Plus, as Ed G.
>pointed out, the cameraman may also have committed tax evasion.

>Would you, personally, routinely embrace the testimony of a
>lying tax-evader?

Oy! And why not? Ever bought a used car, Nick??

Seriously, though, a salesman is allowed a certain amount of
"puffery" in proffering his goods. I think sly old Jack knew
he'd get less money if he 'fessed up to copying, so he came up
with a story nobody on earth other than Ray Santilli would have
swallowed.

I'll forgive him that.

Tax evader? How do we know that? Do you believe Ray flew over
from England with \$ 100,000 in cash in his pocket? Carrying that
much cash is very illegal, and I don't see Ray as the type to
take that kind of chance. Let's be clear that we do not know how
much Ray paid for the film, how it was paid, or what Jack did or
did not do with the money.

Bob Shell

I find television very educating. Every time somebody turns on
the set, I go into the other room and read a book.

--Groucho Marx

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 19](#)

Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 17:26:45 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 17:42:24 -0500
Subject: Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses - Friedman

>From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 20:20:30 +0000
>Subject: Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses

>>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 15:27:02 -0400
>>Subject: Re: UFO Bid By British Railway Bosses

><snip>

>>Obviously fusion. Awfully short on details; very big
>undertaking. Anybody see a date on this patent, especially the
>date submitted?

>Clicking on the "Original Documents" tab produces an
>(incomplete) pdf file. The first page has the following date
>information:

>- Filed 11th December 1970

>- Complete spec filed 10th March 1972

>- Complete spec published 21st March 1973

>I think there is something wrong with the pdf, scrolling beyond
>the first page results in a "file missing" message.

Thanks. Yes, a lot had been published by 1970 about Fusion
propulsion including as I recall in the Journal of the British
Interplanetary Society. Nobody could build a system from the
patent. Project Daedalus was a small study (Few man years) about
such matters as well.

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 20](#)

Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - White

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 17:53:21 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 12:19:48 -0500
Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - White

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 18:58:38 +0000
>Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America?

<snip>

>>I heard someone comment recently that "All UFO abductions
>>are centered in North America." Is that true?

>I would like to know who this person was (by occupation or
>speaking platform if nothing else) and why such people keep
>trying to perpetuate this myth.

>It is an absolutely false statement.

>The issue has come up before on this List, and I am sure you can
>find some of the commentary about it in the Archives.

>You will find abduction case reports from many different
>countries in my writings alone, especially The UFO Evidence,
>Vol. II.

>At least two worthwhile abduction books have come from Great
>Britain. Sandinavia, Africa, South America, you name it, have
>abduction cases.

Thanks, Richard - that's what I was looking for - an
authoritative answer. The asker had no connection at all with
ufology - a comment from a forum on a totally different subject.

It is so frustrating being pinned down with this war on citizens
I call citizen gang stalking with electronic harassment. If
only I had my time and strength back I would love to dive in to
the UFO and abduction reports and work hard to massively assign
codes to every facet. Although there are databases in
existence, making available massively encoded data, query-able
on line, would dramatically increase the ability to slam out
authoritative answers to the public and public officials.

For example: In a submission to a public official, the person
or group making the submission wants all abductions within a
hundred mile radius of Geneva, Switzerland. With a fully
encoded database, you could retrieve all case names in seconds,
and print them out at whatever current day printer speed is.

The official wants to know how many were daytime? Answer in
seconds. No manual sifting through the printouts.

The official wants to know how many abductions have occurred in
the U.S. with close similarity? Answer in seconds.

The official wants to know how many abductions involve nordic
humanoids? Answer in seconds.

On and on.

It is a _lot_ of _tedious_ work, but given the stonewalling by
the authorities, having these answers available _on-line_, in

seconds, would change the publicly seen face of ufology in a very positive way, I believe. In fact, I claim here that the public interest would soar with that on-line, query-able database.

And a huge increase in public awareness and requests to know all could bring about full disclosure.

Basically, this idea is similar to, and could even grow out of, Larry Hatch's graphical data presentations. It would add interactive query capability to what Larry has started.

(I'd be volunteer #1 if I weren't sandbagged with UFO-level technology so heavily I can't even sit in front of my PC more than a couple of hours per day!)

Hope someone sees the benefits of the massive encoding idea.

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 20](#)

Dexter 'UFO' Mystery Still Haunts Some

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 12:43:06 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 12:43:06 -0500
Subject: Dexter 'UFO' Mystery Still Haunts Some

Source: The Ann Arbour News - Michigan, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/gsjql>

Monday, March 20, 2006

'UFO' Mystery Still Haunts Some

1966 Dexter sightings by residents, officer called swamp gas by U.S. government

BY Jo Collins Mathis
News Staff Reporter

Forty years ago today, for a brief but interesting time, Washtenaw County became the flying saucer capital of the Midwest.

It started when a Dexter farmer named Frank Mannor and his 18-year-old son, Ronald, told the Washtenaw County Sheriff's Department that a strange flying object appeared and landed in a swampy area at Quigley and Brand roads.

Frank Mannor, 46, told authorities that night that the two went out in search of the object moments after they saw it touch ground. He said it appeared to be brown, with a "quilted" effect on the surface. It was flat on the bottom and cone-shaped toward the top, with two small lights on the outer edges emitting a glowing blue-green color that intensified and turned red at times. When it became brightly lit, the entire object was light yellow, with the light running horizontally between the two outer running lights.

According to the police report, Mannor said: "We then heard the sound of a whistle - something like a rifle bullet makes when it ricochets off something. Then this object went up in the air, passed directly over us and disappeared."

Patrolman Robert Hunawill of the Dexter Village Police Department reported then that he saw what appeared to be the same object after he parked his car near the area. He said it suddenly appeared over his patrol car at a height of about 1,000 feet, that it had white and red lights on it that at times had a bluish tinge, and that it hovered over the car before continuing sweeps over the swamp.

Hunawill reported that he watched the object for a few minutes before it was joined by three others that flew in formation, with one set of two flying high above the other two. They then disappeared into the sky.

Professor J. Allen Hynek, a Northwestern University astrophysicist who consulted with the military, came to Dexter to investigate, and then reported his findings at the Detroit Press Club.

"It was like a mob scene," said Bill Trembl of Ann Arbor, the Ann Arbor News reporter who covered the story. "Then (Hynek) said: 'As near as I can tell, what we're seeing is swamp gas*.'" "

"I remember (Mannor) saying, 'I was in the Army and we were down in Louisiana and there was swamp gas all the time; this was not swamp gas.' "

Trembl is convinced the Mannors and Hunawill saw something that night.

"Frank Mannor wasn't a nut case," he said. "He wasn't a guy who had wishes of grandeur. He was just telling what he saw. I'm sure he didn't dream it up. He died thinking that was some kind of UFO, either Air Force-connected or from another planet or something."

Trembl said he thinks that something was manmade.

"I'm sure the Air Force has secret files about all their experiments with rockets or whatever," he said. "Sometimes the high officials are so stupid, they think, 'This will create a panic.' That's their alibi for not saying, 'Hey, we had a rocket ship go round the moon, or something come down.' Each administration continues the charade."

Douglas Harvey, Washtenaw County sheriff from 1965 to 1972, agrees with Trembl that the Mannors clearly saw something.

And he's never believed the government's official stance on what that something was.

"Dr. Hynek was sent in from the U.S. government. He came into my office. We went out to the site where supposedly this object came down on the ground. Dr. Hynek in the car said, 'There is something. We just can't put our finger on it. We've been investigating this for quite a while.' "

They returned to Harvey's office, where Hynek asked to use the telephone in private.

"He was on the phone for quite a while, which I found very enlightening," Harvey said. "He came out and I said, 'Well, Dr. Hynek. What do you think?' He said, 'It's swamp gas.' He tells me one minute he has no idea what it is. And then he makes one phone call to Washington and comes out and gives a statement that it's swamp gas. Very strange."

"And then the Mannor family really caught a lot of flak, which was very unfortunate."

He said soon after that, a man who was out running in Brighton reported a sighting.

"And then that was it," Harvey said. "It just kind of died away."

Harvey doesn't know what to think about it.

"They did see something," he said. "I'll believe this to the day I die. Somebody has kept something quiet, and nothing more ever materialized. So we don't know if it was the government experimenting, or was it really a UFO. I don't know."

Harry Willnus of South Lyon, the former state director of the Mutual UFO Network, has investigated the sightings and wrote a feature article about it for UFO (UK edition) magazine two years ago.

Willnus has a copy of the police report from that night, and said there's no way that it was swamp gas.

"For instance, it mentions that the object was observed to rise to an altitude of approximately 500 feet, and then return to the ground," he said. "Swamp gas doesn't do that. It only goes off the ground a few feet. It mentioned when it took off, it sounded like a rifle shot in a canyon. Again, swamp gas doesn't do that."

So what was it?

"We can't be sure," he said. "It was, I think, either a craft that came from off the earth, an extraterrestrial, or some kind of one-dimensional device. And I'm starting to use the word multiverse rather than universe... Some kind of one-dimensional craft, perhaps, that came into our realm and then left."

Willnus, who is retired from teaching in the Romulus school district, worked for a while as an investigator for Hynek after Hynek started The Center for UFO Studies.

"We haven't solved the mystery," Willnus said. "This case is 40 years old. We still don't know the answer, and yet it still continues to occur, with sightings every day around the world."

Jo Collins Mathis can be reached at jmathis.nul or 734-994-6849.

*[Hynek actually called it "Marsh Gas"--ebk]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 20](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 19:24:11 -0800
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 12:47:45 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 16:24:45 -0500
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 14:25:05 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>I agree with you that the film is not a straightforward fake and
>>I do believe it represents a filming of a real event.

>>But the cameraman's story about having held on to the footage
>>because he couldn't hand it over to the correct people after the
>>Army and Air Force split into two, is utter rubbish. And we all
>>know that this is what the cameraman claimed. Plus, as Ed G.
>>pointed out, the cameraman may also have committed tax evasion.

>>Would you, personally, routinely embrace the testimony of a
>>lying tax-evader?

>Oy! And why not? Ever bought a used car, Nick??

>Seriously, though, a salesman is allowed a certain amount of
>"puffery" in proffering his goods. I think sly old Jack knew
>he'd get less money if he 'fessed up to copying, so he came up
>with a story nobody on earth other than Ray Santilli would have
>swallowed.

>I'll forgive him that.

>Tax evader? How do we know that? Do you believe Ray flew over
>from England with \$ 100,000 in cash in his pocket? Carrying that
>much cash is very illegal, and I don't see Ray as the type to
>take that kind of chance. Let's be clear that we do not know how
>much Ray paid for the film, how it was paid, or what Jack did or
>did not do with the money.

Bob

I agree that we don't know for certain that the cameraman evaded
paying tax. As I have noted in all my emails (and the one above)
all the information I have about him possibly evading tax came
from Ed G's original statement to this effect.

Perhaps Ed can clarify for the List what it is that prompted him
to make the comment re. tax evasion on the part of the
cameraman?

Nick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 20](#)

Re: Bush's Odd Energy Comment? - Fleming

From: **Lan Fleming** <lfleming6.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 21:32:41 -0600
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 12:50:49 -0500
Subject: Re: Bush's Odd Energy Comment? - Fleming

>From: Jeff Olson <jlolson.nul>
>To: <Ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 18 Mar 2006 18:00:11 -0800
>Subject: Bush's Odd Energy Comment?

>"Our nation is on the threshold of new energy technology that I
>think will startle the American people," Bush said. "We're on
>the edge of some amazing breakthroughs, breakthroughs all aimed
>at enhancing our national security and our economic security and
>the quality of life of the folks who live here in the United
>States."

>Does anyone have any idea of what Bush specifically is referring
>to here? What are these "new technolog[ies]" and "breakthroughs"
>that would "startle" us? (I found this remark rather startling.)

Bush often says things that are rather startling but he usually
does it unintentionally. I wouldn't get too excited over what
Bush says about impending scientific breakthroughs.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 20](#)

Clinton FOIA Update

From: Grant Cameron <presidentialufo.nul>
Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 22:37:59 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 12:54:45 -0500
Subject: Clinton FOIA Update

The initial replies are back related to my 90+ FOIAs filed on the Clinton Presidential files which became open for research on January 20th - or 5 years after Bill Clinton left office.

Based on my requests the Clinton Archives is reviewing 14,148 textual pages, 14,708 electronic pages, 625 pictures, and one video. Much of this is related to speech files where Bill or Hillary brought up the UFO subject.

There were no surprises found in the 90 replies. It was pretty much what I had expected.

Because of a failed e-mail, my requests are numbered 475 and up. It may therefore be a while before the final processing gets done. Hopefully, the queries made related to Hillary's files are ready before she openly begins her run for President.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 20](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Sawers

From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 14:18:23 +1000
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 13:03:09 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Sawers

>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 14:25:05 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 13:17:10 +1000
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Sun, 12 Mar 2006 11:37:10 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

><snip>

>>I fail to understand "if he lied to Santilli re how he acquired
>>the footage". Why does this question come into the equation? We
>>are still talking of the cameraman filming an autopsy. In this
>>discussion "how" hasn't been questioned. The whole thing still
>>doesn't change the fact we have film/copy of a "creature being
>>autopsied". That is genuine. There is no evidence of hoax. Every
>>other effort to dis-credit the footage has failed.

>>Apart from the main story, "who said what" is not that
>>important IMO.

>>Bob, could you help with these enquiries..?

>>Would the "copy film" deteriorate if kept in canisters we've
>>seen pictures of?

>>As far as you know, was there any original film?

>>Did you actually see any original /copy film, other than
>>"leader?"

>>What condition was it in?

>I agree with you that the film is not a straightforward fake and
>I do believe it represents a filming of a real event.

>But the cameraman's story about having held on to the footage
>because he couldn't hand it over to the correct people after the
>Army and Air Force split into two, is utter rubbish. And we all
>know that this is what the cameraman claimed. Plus, as Ed G.
>pointed out, the cameraman may also have committed tax evasion.

Nick

I think we've been over the film not getting to the Army/AF
because of the split many times now, I've explained how I came
to post and how I tried to retract but you keep on mentioning
it.

As for us all knowing "what the cameraman claimed" I think that's
rubbish. You know, I know, Ed and Bob knows, but some of the
Listeners didn't seem to know. That is the reason I tried to

clarify "what the cameraman claimed"... I didn't say I believed it, it was just a clarification.

You haven't answered my question about... "if he lied to Santilli re how he acquired the footage". Where does this statement come from and what is it meant to insinuate?

Put the delivery of the film behind you and please explain how you turned one question mark into three?

You said...

"As for your statement that how or why the cameraman kept the footage is unimportant, I disagree. If he lied to Santilli re. how he acquired the footage, and lied to Santilli about it being original footage rather than copy, then he might have lied about other aspects of the story too."

Its obvious you are more interested in how the cameraman aquired the film, rather than the film itself.

>Would you, personally, routinely embrace the testimony of a
>lying tax-evader?

Please see Bob's post about Car Salesmen. I don't think its the crime of the century. The man was on his last legs, surely we can't crucify him for wanting to leave a bit of a legacy for his family. We don't even know if he is a Tax Evader, or, if he is, for how much? This is not our business. We are UFO researchers not policemen or tax-collectors.

I would be shocked if "Jack" was hunted down because of something someone said on this List.

Regards

William

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 20](#)

Re: Two New Alien Autopsy TV Documentaries - Sawers

From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 15:29:53 +1000
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 13:38:21 -0500
Subject: Re: Two New Alien Autopsy TV Documentaries - Sawers

>From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 19:23:55 -0000
>Subject: Two New Alien Autopsy TV Documentaries

>I would like to take this opportunity to let you know that in
>the next few weeks there will be 2 new TV documentaries being
>shown on UK TV, both of which are entirely dedicated to the
>Alien Autopsy Film. I have had the pleasure of taking part in
>both of them.

>The first documentary will appear on the satellite channel SKY
>ONE on Tuesday April 4th at 8.00 pm. The other is planned for
>broadcast on the terrestrial channel, Channel 5, but as yet I do
>not have a broadcast date for it.

>Both documentaries are bound to re-ignite the arguments
>surrounding the authenticity of Ray Santili's highly
>controversial Alien Autopsy film footage. There will be
>something in both TV shows for the proponents and opponents of
>the Alien Autopsy film but my contracts do not permit to divulge
>any further details at this moment.

>All I can say is, turn on, tune in and buckle-up as it looks
>like it's going to be a bumpy ride.

Thanks Philip,

Lets hope those of us in the USA or Aust etc get a chance to
view these documentaries.

Maybe some kind soul may tape them and put them on a website for
all to see.

William

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 20](#)

Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 01:02:46 -0800
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 13:40:42 -0500
Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Hatch

>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 09:29:07 -0500
>Subject: Abductions Mainly In North America?

>I heard someone comment recently that "All UFO abductions
>are centered in North America." Is that true?

Hello Eleanor:

Of course that's not true. I'm always reading facile BS like that.

Here's a map of Abductions and Missing time events in South America (ABDs and MSTs are coded by color)

<http://www.larryhatch.net/MSTABDSA.html>

One case is almost invisible on the coastline of Peru due to similar colors.

Here's another for Europe:

<http://www.larryhatch.net/MSTABDEU.html>

If North America has more than its share, its probably because we have easier access to the reports.

<http://www.larryhatch.net/MSTABDNA.html>

If the person indicated that these cases tend to turn up in the USA or NA, I wouldn't argue the point.

Best

- Larry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 20](#)

Pondering The Sex That's Out Of This World

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 13:47:43 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 13:47:43 -0500
Subject: Pondering The Sex That's Out Of This World

Source: The Philadelphia Inquirer - Pennsylvania, USA

<http://www.philly.com/mld/inquirer/news/magazine/daily/14139478.htm>

Mon, Mar. 20, 2006

Pondering The Sex That's Out Of This World
By Faye Flam

If there is life on other planets, would there be sex?

Do we happen to live on a freaky sex-soaked planet, or is rampant sex normal or even inevitable for any life-supporting world?

It may seem like a silly question, but it gets at the foundation of our understanding of life. And the prospect of extraterrestrial life in our own solar system is looking more plausible as NASA spacecraft deliver starkly detailed images of the moons of Saturn and Jupiter, hinting at tidally heated oceans of water.

This month, new images of Enceladus appeared to show a geyser spewing through the icy surface, adding this tiny moon of Saturn to the short list of watery places where science can't rule life out.

But life doesn't necessarily imply sex, as I explained in a column in June. The first living things on Earth almost certainly didn't have sex as we know it. They just divided in two. Simple.

A small number of plants, insects, fish, and even some lizards reproduce by cloning themselves, showing sex isn't absolutely necessary. And yet, "there is a lot of sex going on out there," says Ricardo Azevedo, a biologist from the University of Houston. The prevalence of sex poses a long-standing puzzle, but two papers published just last month go far toward solving it.

You can multiply a lot faster by cloning, Azevedo says. If you had two groups, one of regular people who averaged two children per couple, one of asexual ones who could each create two clones, the clone population would double every generation, swamping their sexual counterparts.

Not only that, he says, "there are many things sexuals have as baggage....You have to waste energy and resources in looking for mates," he says. For some species that also means competing for mates, keeping mates interested, fighting with mates, divorcing mates, and, if you can stand it, repeating the cycle with new mates.

In 1932 Nobel-winning biologist Hermann Muller suggested a downside of asexual cloning - you'll inevitably make errors in copying your DNA. Whenever such an error, or mutation, appears, you saddle all your children and grandchildren with it.

"There's no way back," says Indiana University biologist Michael Lynch. "You'd take the population out by a mutational meltdown."

If you have sex to reproduce, you pass down only half your genes, mixing them with those of your mate. So if both of you carry two harmful mutations apiece, some of your kids will inherit all four, but some may get none.

Natural selection weeds out the most mistake-riddled, while those with the fewest errors go on to survive. So sex gives living things a chance to clean up genetic copying errors.

Lynch, working with Susanne Paland, tested the idea by studying the genes of two closely related species of water flea, one sexual and one asexual. They found that indeed the asexual water fleas were accumulating mutations much faster. They published the results in Science magazine.

Azevedo used a computer model to conclude that in a typical living organism, individual mutations get more harmful as they accumulate. In biology this is called negative epistasis, and it makes sex even more critical for long-term survival. His results, published in last month's Nature, help explain why there are few old species of asexual plants and animals alive today; losing sex may tend to drive them to extinction.

In light of this new knowledge, it's not totally insane to talk about sex on exotic and distant worlds. It's not easy to define life, but it would probably need some sort of chemical code, like DNA, that would inevitably have mutations, making sex advantageous.

Nobody knows the odds of life originating beyond Earth, but Enceladus and some of Jupiter's moons seem to have the minimal requirements - energy, liquid water, and certain chemical building blocks. The energy doesn't have to be sunlight - deep ocean vents support whole communities of microbes fueled by chemical energy and feeding a whole community of worms, crustaceans and other creatures.

Though some sea creatures can live in darkness, however, Earth's animals originated only after sunlight-dependent plants began exhaling oxygen, said David Des Marais, an astrobiologist from NASA-Ames. Enceladus gets less than 1 percent the sunlight of Earth, but that's not to say complex and interesting life forms couldn't evolve in some other direction there. "We have to be open-minded and look at all kinds of possibilities," Des Marais said.

Contact staff writer Faye Flam at 215-854-4977
or
f flam.nul

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 20](#)

Mushroom Clouds Coming To A Town Near You?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 13:55:40 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 13:55:40 -0500
Subject: Mushroom Clouds Coming To A Town Near You?

Source: The American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/puz3c>

March 19, 2006

Mushroom Clouds Coming to a Town Near You?

Gary S. Bekkum

Loose nukes and STAR GATE 9/11. Warp drives and wormholes. Vacuum reaction weapons. Skinwalkers and more. Starstream Research examines how the U.S. Government intends to leap beyond science fiction on a quest for the ultimate weapons.

Thirty four years and millions of dollars later, CIA documents reveal the amazing success and dismal failures of STAR GATE, the formerly top secret intelligence agency programs used to "remote view" information that couldn't be accessed by any normal means of collection. Starstream Research has uncovered new files strongly suggesting that America's psychic intelligence agents were picking up information warning of the rise of Usama bin Ladin and the devastating attacks of September 11, 2001. The latest finds include a CIA released DIA document that identifies "Project 911" and drawings that strongly resemble Usama bin Ladin before and after the 9/11 attacks. You can view some of the original documents at the Starstream Research web site.

The STAR GATE files pointing to the 9/11 events were only the beginning. According to British author and filmmaker Jon Ronson, following the 9/11 attacks, psychic Uri Geller was reactivated into the ranks of intelligence agency psychic spies. The 'spooky' spooks are likely used to track the movement of terrorists and weapons of mass destruction. Ronson claims that Uri Geller was reticent to speak of his work with the American CIA, but broke down and revealed one interesting tidbit of information. Ronson claims that Uri told him that the name of the man who reactivated his mental powers for intelligence was called Ron.

Based on information provided to us by various sources, we strongly suspect that Ron is a former high ranking CIA agency analyst, previously tasked to monitor technology developments in China. The big question remains: which intelligence agencies might be involved in the latest version of a psychic black ops antiterrorist unit? MASINT (Measurement and Signatures Intelligence) is a likely candidate, but our present understanding is that Ron is working for John Negroponte at the Department of National Intelligence.

Rumors persist that America's DIA trained psychic intelligence sources are viewing mushroom clouds over numerous cities in the homeland. Taken in tandem with the constant rumors of loose nukes, it appears that the psychic spies may have been reactivated, at least in part, by the man said to have had a

hand in shutting down the original DIA STAR GATE psychic spy program.

All in all it would seem that there is something about space, time and beyond that we don't understand. Researchers have discovered mirror neurons that empathetically fire in your brain when you are watching someone else get poked by a needle, for example. Somehow the neurons in a remote viewer must fire empathetically for information about distant events, removed from ordinary sensory detection.

Last year the Air Force received a great deal of flak from the press about a research paper they commissioned to examine the use of teleportation for military purposes. Apparently the journalists didn't realize that quantum teleportation has been an active area of mainstream research, ever since it was discovered by a team at IBM in the 1990's. MIT Professor Seth Lloyd has been researching the use of quantum teleportation for communication networks. Lloyd's support includes DARPA, the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency. Recently he also developed an interest in quantum gravity, the elusive theory that would unite Einstein's theory of bending space and time with the foundation stone of all modern electronics and atomic engineering: the quantum theory.

In the mid 1990's, Dr. Stuart Hameroff at the University of Arizona, and Oxford's Sir Roger Penrose proposed that quantum processes might be involved in the emergence of human consciousness. Penrose is well known for his work in mathematics and the physics of black holes. The idea of creating a synthesis of quantum teleportation and brain function quickly followed as a theory of telepathy. In the Penrose-Hameroff theory, the shape of switch-like structures in the brain are controlled by the location of single electrons, the quantum of electric charge, and these structures resemble quantum computing circuits.

Seth Lloyd's theory of quantum gravity is based on quantum computing circuits. In other words, Lloyd believes that spacetime is fundamentally a computational quantum process.

Is this beginning to sound a little bit like "The Matrix?" It should.

The STAR GATE legacy stands as evidence that the military will make operational use of anything appearing to offer a technical advantage, whether or not there is scientific support for the technology.

One unnamed high level source has confirmed that paranormal data was discussed by members of an elite government committee. Strange events investigated by Las Vegas businessman Bob Bigelow's National Institute of Discovery Science (NIDS) have been the topic of discussion between members at DIA sponsored meetings on the threats of emerging technologies.

Dr. Eric Davis, author of the highly controversial report on teleportation commissioned by the U.S. Air Force, has been very forthcoming answering questions about observations of strange creatures and other anomalous phenomena made by NIDS personnel at Bigelow's Skinwalker Ranch. The Skinwalker is a shape shifting being said to haunt this remote part of Utah near Salt Lake City. Strange creatures, strange objects, floating black triangles, animal mutilations, disintegrated dogs, telepathic messages -- a smorgasbord of every strange and imaginable terror has been served to those unfortunates spending any period of time at the ranch. The strangeness is reported in "Hunt for the Skinwalker," by former NIDS staff scientist Colm A. Kelleher, and veteran UFO reporter George Knapp. In the Air Force teleportation study Davis examines potential scientific explanations for the weird phenomena, including spacetime wormholes, teleportation, and manipulation of the quantum vacuum.

If the STAR GATE program was any measure of the interest displayed by the DIA in this kind of weirdness, it wouldn't be surprising to learn that the Utah ranch has been the subject of at least a few confidential memos for the record. Perhaps the DIA would like to 'read in' a Skinwalker or two as part of their secret paranormal war on terror.

Gary S. Bekkum is an independent 'occasional' rogue journalist & web author, and researcher of material that blurs the distinction between fiction and reality. In 2004 Bekkum initiated Starstream Research, as an informal survey of exotic physics and consciousness concepts related to the survival or otherwise of the human race. Building from an international network of contacts in science and the defense industry, some of the Starstream Research material appears as the "Spacetime Threat Assessment Report" at the Starstream Research web site.

garysbekkum.nul

<http://www.starstreamresearch.com/>

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: ufoupdateslist.com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 20](#)

Hobbled Spirit Heading For Sun

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 14:01:35 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 14:01:35 -0500
Subject: Hobbled Spirit Heading For Sun

Source: Astrobiology Magazine - Huntsville, ALabama, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/rqzuj>

Mar 19, 2006

Hobbled Spirit Heading For Sun

NASA's long-lived Mars rovers demand lots of care, as they age and the Martian winter approaches.

"It continues to be an exciting adventure with each day like a whole new mission," said John Callas, the new project manager for NASA's Mars Exploration Rover missions. "Even though the rovers are well past their original design life, they still have plenty of capability to conduct outstanding science on Mars. The JPL operations team and the remote science team working on the project are the best in the solar system at what they do. It is a pleasure and a privilege to lead such an outstanding team and great mission."

One of Spirit's six wheels has stopped working. Dragging that wheel, the solar-powered rover must reach a slope where it can catch enough sunshine to continue operating during the Martian winter. The period of minimum sunshine is more than 100 days away, but Spirit gets only enough power for about one hour per day of driving on flat ground. And the supply is dropping fast.

Spirit's right-front wheel became a concern when it began drawing unusually high current five months after the January 2004 landing on Mars. Driving Spirit backwards redistributed lubricant and returned the wheel to normal operation. This week, during the 779th Martian day of what was originally planned as a 90-Martian-day mission, the motor that rotates that wheel stopped working.

"It is not drawing any current at all," said JPL's Jacob Matijevic, rover engineering team chief. One possibility engineers are considering is the motor's brushes, contacts that deliver power to the rotating part of the motor, have lost contact. The motors that rotate Spirit's wheels have revolved more than 13 million times, far more than called for in its design.

Spirit's solar panels have been generating about 350 watt-hours of electricity daily for the past week. That is down about 15 percent since February and less than one-half of their output during the Martian summer.

The best spot for Spirit is the north-facing side of McCool Hill, where it could spend the southern-hemisphere winter tilted toward the sun. Spirit finished studying a bright feature called "Home Plate" last week and is driving toward the hill. It has approximately 120 meters (about 390 feet) to go. Expected progress is approximately 12 meters (40 feet) per day.

Opportunity is closer to the equator, so does not need to winter on a slope like Spirit. Opportunity spent most of the past four months at Erebus Crater. It examined layered outcrops, while the rover team determined and tested a strategy for dealing with degraded performance by a motor in the shoulder of its robotic arm. Opportunity left Erebus this week and is on a 2 kilometer (1.2 mile) journey to a giant crater called Victoria.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 20](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Kaeser

From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 08:56:02 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 14:09:10 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Kaeser

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 16:10:16 -0500
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 13:17:10 +1000
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

<snip>

>Since what Ray has appears to be copy film, there would have
>had to be original film.

>>Did you actually see any original /copy film, other than
>>"leader?"

>I never saw any leader. I saw only film from the image-bearing
>part of the film. I still have several frames.

>"Leader" is a red herring, anyway. Real leader is clear or
>translucent white film that is just the culluloid. It has never
>had any emulsion on it and is not light sensitive. It is
>incapable of recording an image and has no edge markings. Leader
>could not be dated at all.

>What has been incorrectly called "leader" is actually just
>blank film from the ends of rolls. It has no images on it, but
>does have the date code edge markings. The clear film Ray has
>does have the correct date code for 1947 manufacture.

>>What condition was it in?

>Awful. Badly torn on the edges. Frame by frame scanning would have
>been the only way it could be transferred to video.
>I hope this clears things up for the open-minded.

Hi Bob,

I think there are a couple of points being "side stepped" here,
although probably not intentionally.

The "film w/ image" is one of several that Ray Santilli gave up
to several researchers in hopes that they could help him
validate the AA "film". His first attempt was to use a copy of
the film in hopes that the simple dating would be enough, with a
letter from a Kodak office in Europe confirming the date code
dating. But, as noted, this piece of film had no image that
could be tied to the AA "film" as shown. Ray was told early on
that he would have to give up a piece of the actual film with
the alien image in it to get a date verification, but that did
not occur. As I recall, all of the film pieces show only one
edge of the film, which includes the date code, and that raised
red flags for some.

Without an image on the film, there's no way to tell where that
piece of film came from. Anecdotal testimony only goes so far,

and to this point there is no actual proof that any film of the alien exists. This is noted by the fact that many of us still us quotes when refering to it as a film, since it's only distribution medium has been over-the-air broadcast, video tape and CD/DVD.

All this being said, I agree that there is no definitive proof that the images show a hoax. But, all roads lead to Ray Santilli in the AA "film" saga. He has spoon fed information to those who supported his effort to verify it, and those who were critical of his behavior were left on the sidelines to criticize.

I believe that Philip is a lurker on this list, so he may want to chime in with his thoughts. However, I have to admit that while we've been discussing this "film" for the past decade as more and more information has come, we really haven't learned a lot that we can label as "proven".

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 20](#)

Do UFOs Walk?

From: **Wendy Connors** <fadeddiscs.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 07:42:17 -0700
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 14:12:48 -0500
Subject: Do UFOs Walk?

Please Feel Free to Post Far and Wide

Fellow Listarians, Lurkers and Government Spooks,

1. If you get slimed by a UFO, who ya gonna call?
2. What does a U.S. Navy Spy Ship Captain, off the coast of Cuba and surrounded by Soviet naval vessels, say about the three UFOs on a collision course with his ship?
3. What Chicksand RAF Communications Center UFO incident?
4. Do UFOs only have mechanical love affairs with cars at Nellis and Edwards Air Force bases?
5. If a pilot passes his aircraft under a UFO once, is it stupid for him to do it again, deliberately?
6. In a dueling contest with a big, bad truck driver and a UFO, who wins?
7. Can five air carrier pilots from different companies, spot Tom Hank's "Wilson," at the same time?
8. What the heck is it with former President G. H. W. Bush and that Kennebunkport UFO thingy?
9. Has a UFO ever come back exactly a year later and repeated it's previous maneuvers, before a different set of witnesses, who missed it the first time?
10. What UFO battle over Lansing, MI?
11. Do UFOs cause weeping blisters on people driving in Tujunga, CA's, Topanga Canyon?
12. Do UFOs take bubble baths?

Answers:

Night Journeys in Ufology: The NUFORC Hotline Recordings

Details at:

www.fadeddiscs.com

Thank you for listening,

Wendy Connors

Please Post Far and Wide

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 20](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 10:49:58 -0800
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 14:33:30 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gehrman

>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 19:24:11 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 16:24:45 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 14:25:05 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>>I agree with you that the film is not a straightforward fake and
>>>I do believe it represents a filming of a real event.

>>>But the cameraman's story about having held on to the footage
>>>because he couldn't hand it over to the correct people after the
>>>Army and Air Force split into two, is utter rubbish. And we all
>>>know that this is what the cameraman claimed. Plus, as Ed G.
>>>pointed out, the cameraman may also have committed tax evasion.

>>>Would you, personally, routinely embrace the testimony of a
>>>lying tax-evader?

>>Oy! And why not? Ever bought a used car, Nick??

>>Seriously, though, a salesman is allowed a certain amount of
>>"puffery" in proffering his goods. I think sly old Jack knew
>>he'd get less money if he 'fessed up to copying, so he came up
>>with a story nobody on earth other than Ray Santilli would have
>>swallowed.

>>I'll forgive him that.

>>Tax evader? How do we know that? Do you believe Ray flew over
>>from England with \$ 100,000 in cash in his pocket? Carrying that
>>much cash is very illegal, and I don't see Ray as the type to
>>take that kind of chance. Let's be clear that we do not know how
>>much Ray paid for the film, how it was paid, or what Jack did or
>>did not do with the money.

>Bob

>I agree that we don't know for certain that the cameraman evaded
>paying tax. As I have noted in all my emails (and the one above)
>all the information I have about him possibly evading tax came
>from Ed G's original statement to this effect.

>Perhaps Ed can clarify for the List what it is that prompted him
>to make the comment re. tax evasion on the part of the
>cameraman?

Nick, Bob, William, List, EBK,

It was only speculation on my part during the larger discussion
of why the cameraman won't reveal himself. There may have been

some slight projection on my part, too. If I had stolen top secret footage of an earth-shattering event and secretly sold it for a large amount of money, I doubt I would report it on my income taxes. He said he sold the footage to help a grandchild. He may not have thought he wasn't going to live much longer.

But for the sake of this discussion, I'm happy to agree with Bob. We don't know what he did with the money or how much he received. Ray told me that the cameraman still had a percentage of the profit made from the footage.

I still don't know where you want to take this. Do you think the cameraman exists? Or are you saying that he exists, but we shouldn't believe him or his story because he sold Ray copied film and might not have paid his taxes? If so, how do you think the cameraman obtained the footage he sold to Ray and how was he able to give directions to the crash site.

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 20](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 12:55:34 -0800
Fwd Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 14:35:12 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 14:18:23 +1000
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>Nick

>I think we've been over the film not getting to the Army/AF
>because of the split many times now, I've explained how I came
>to post and how I tried to retract but you keep on mentioning
>it.

William

I appreciate and accept that you have retracted your statement. But it doesn't matter if you retract your statement, because the cameraman himself was the original source of the story that he was unable to deliver the footage because of the Army and Air Force splitting into two.

That story was in circulation in the UK years ago. As an example on 22 January 1997, I interviewed Nick Pope, who told me in that interview the following: "If this really was the most highly classified operation in the world, classified higher than the hydrogen bomb, it's inconceivable that this cameraman would have been left to his own devices to develop these films, and that bits of them would simply not have been recovered from him and that he would have sat on it for nigh on fifty years. The story is more full of holes than a piece of Swiss cheese."

Anyone with knowledge of the AA film will be able to tell you that the cameraman's own story was that he couldn't hand the film over because of this Army-Air Force spilt.

So, your retraction from you is fine, and I accept that retraction.

But you cannot retract the fact that the cameraman himself is the one who started all of this by coming up with this absurd story about having no one to give the film to.

>You haven't answered my question about... "if he lied to Santilli
>re how he acquired the footage". Where does this statement come
>from and what is it meant to insinuate?

What is it meant to insinuate? Well, to avoid any misinterpretations: The cameraman lied to Santilli, plain and simple, about how and why he, the cameraman, came to have the film in his possession.

The cameraman said that his acquisition/possession of the film stemmed from the fact that he couldn't deliver it to his superiors. This is absurd because he also supplied Ray with the footage of a second autopsy!

I can, perhaps, just about imagine that it might be remotely possible for him to have some footage of 1 of supposedly 4 or 5 autopsies. But to hang on to significant parts of 2 films and not have the military realize this is a ridiculous scenario and

completely unbelievable.

>Put the delivery of the film behind you and please explain how
>you turned one question mark into three?

>You said...

>"As for your statement that how or why the cameraman kept the
>footage is unimportant, I disagree. If he lied to Santilli re.
>how he acquired the footage, and lied to Santilli about it being
>original footage rather than copy, then he might have lied about
>other aspects of the story too."

>Its obvious you are more interested in how the cameraman
>aquired the film, rather than the film itself.

Nope. I have 3 main interests re. the film. The first is in trying to find out the real identity of the person that filmed it. The second is determining the truth behind how the person who sold it to Ray came to have it in his possession half a century later. And the third is in determining what it shows.

>>Would you, personally, routinely embrace the testimony of a
>>lying tax-evader?

>Please see Bob's post about Car Salesmen. I don't think its the
>crime of the century. The man was on his last legs, surely we
>can't crucify him for wanting to leave a bit of a legacy for his
>family. We don't even know if he is a Tax Evader, or, if he is,
>for how much? This is not our business. We are UFO researchers
>not policemen or tax-collectors.

>I would be shocked if "Jack" was hunted down because of
>something someone said on this List.

I care not in the slightest if he evaded the IRS, nor do I have any interest in crucifying him. I could not care less about such things. The cameraman's relationship (or lack of relationship!) with the IRS is his business.

However..._if_ he committed tax evasion (and, again, my source for this possible scenario is Ed G. and no one else, and so perhaps Ed can clarify this for us), and this can be proven, then we may be able to use this as leverage to get the IRS to investigate him and, more importantly, identify him.

And if the IRS _does_ investigate, that might lead to identification of the cameraman. And if that happens, then we may be a hell of a lot closer to determining the truth about the AA film than we have ever been.

So, I view the IRS thing as a legitimate area of investigation that might help us to identify who he was. I see nothing wrong with that.

Of course, as I have stressed, the cameraman may have paid his tax on the footage and in which case all of the above is voided.

But if not, you surely cannot disagree that this is actually one unconventional avenue that _might_ (and I stress "might") lead to his ultimate identification.

You would be shocked if someone hunted "Jack" down based on what was said on this list? Why? Are you saying that we should set self-imposed limits on our quest for the truth? If you _are_ saying that, I find this very strange.

Nick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 21](#)

Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Rimmer

From: John Rimmer <jrimmer.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 21:09:28 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 13:16:03 -0500
Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Rimmer

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 18:58:38 +0000
>Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America?

>>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 09:29:07 -0500
>>Subject: Abductions Mainly In North America?

>>I heard someone comment recently that "All UFO abductions
>>are centered in North America." Is that true?

>I would like to know who this person was (by occupation or
>speaking platform if nothing else) and why such people keep
>trying to perpetuate this myth.

>It is an absolutely false statement.

>The issue has come up before on this List, and I am sure you can
>find some of the commentary about it in the Archives.

>You will find abduction case reports from many different
>countries in my writings alone, especially The UFO Evidence,
>Vol. II.

>At least two worthwhile abduction books have come from Great
>Britain. Sandinavia, Africa, South America, you name it, have
>abduction cases.

I hope one of the worthwhile British books you refer to is "The
Evidence for Alien Abductions".

I suppose it depends on what you mean by 'centred'. It is a fact
that the greatest proportion of UFO abduction reports come from
North America, even allowing for the US's greater population,
and that the next largest numbers come from those countries
which have strong cultural and ethnic links with the USA.

No-one is denying that abduction reports come from many
countries, but it is hard to deny that the phenomenon is
"centred" on the US.

--
John Rimmer

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 21](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 14:04:22 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 13:21:50 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 10:49:58 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>Nick, Bob, William, List, EBK,

>It was only speculation on my part during the larger discussion
>of why the cameraman won't reveal himself. There may have been
>some slight projection on my part, too. If I had stolen top
>secret footage of an earth-shattering event and secretly sold it
>for a large amount of money, I doubt I would report it on my
>income taxes. He said he sold the footage to help a grandchild.
>He may not have thought he wasn't going to live much longer.

>But for the sake of this discussion, I'm happy to agree with
>Bob. We don't know what he did with the money or how much he
>received. Ray told me that the cameraman still had a percentage
>of the profit made from the footage.

>I still don't know where you want to take this. Do you think the
>cameraman exists? Or are you saying that he exists, but we
>shouldn't believe him or his story because he sold Ray copied
>film and might not have paid his taxes? If so, how do you think
>the cameraman obtained the footage he sold to Ray and how was he
>able to give directions to the crash site.

Ed

Yes, I do believe there is (or was) an elderly cameraman.

The only place I wanted to "take this" was: (and based on your
comments above, this is probably now rendered null and void
anyway) if the cameraman had evaded paying tax, I was going
to contact a good friend I have in the tax world and get his
opinion to see if there were grounds that would allow for an
investigation to be initiated by the IRS, and that might result
in the identification of the cameraman by that route.

I have several very large volumes of files on the AA film going
back to 1995 that I haven't looked at in years (articles, news
clippings, etc., from numerous countries and practically every
magazine and newspaper that covered the AA film).

If there is anything in there that remotely supports this non-
tax-payment issue, and suggests that there might be grounds for
taking this unconventional approach to identifying the
cameraman, I will pursue it further.

If there isn't any such evidence, and no one else has any input
on this issue, I will shelve the IRS approach scenario.

Yes Ed, his story about directions to the alleged crash site is
intriguing, precisely why I am prepared to take whatever
unconventional steps are necessary, and do whatever it takes to
find the guy, or his family.

You, of all people, should realize the potential importance of all this. If we could identify the cameraman and take things to the next level, we would be beyond the endless debate of: "The film is real." "No it's not." "Yes it is."

Nick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 21](#)

The First Amendment's David vs. Goliath Redux

From: Larry W. Bryant <overtci.nul>
Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 18:29:46 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 13:25:17 -0500
Subject: The First Amendment's David vs. Goliath Redux

The First Amendment's David vs. Goliath Redux
by
Larry W. Bryant

Some of us might wonder whether there's an unwritten legal rule of thumb that goes like this: "Whenever you as a sued federal official find it difficult to argue such a simple case of censorship as that challenged in Bryant v. Rumsfeld, et al., then by all means proceed to shower the plaintiff with a few dozen pages of 'briefese' peppered with irrelevant and/or inaccurate interpretations of First Amendment case law; in that way, the hapless, resources-strained 'David' can feel your 'Goliathan' hot [but stale] breath bearing down upon him as he aims his slingshot."

Well, so far, that official strategy has led Mr. Rumsfeld into a self-painted corner of the arena. There, under the spotlight of well settled free-speech case law, he desperately stands -- stark-naked and vulnerable to the latest reminder slung his way by my lawyer, Jonathan L. Katz.

Yes, here we have another round of combat via the plaintiff's filing, on March 17, 2006, of a suitably short brief titled "Plaintiff's Reply to Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Summary Judgment Motion." (Its full content is expected to be posted soon upon the following web site:
<http://www.markskatz.com/militarycases.htm> .)

As in Katz's previous pleadings, he uses the occasion to reiterate the inescapable conclusion -- rendered in the controlling case of *LeBron v. Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority* (749 F.2d 893,896 -- DC Cir. 1984) -- that the censorship aimed at New York artist Michael LeBron mirrors that incurred by most of my whistleblower-solicitation ads submitted to various military-owned newspapers: ". . . [appellate court] Judge Bork demonstrates how the acceptance of 'political advertising' (e.g., the Pentagon's publication of the FBI [employee-recruitment] and [retired Sen. Robert] Dole [book-signing] ads) turns a place (in the instance of a subway station) or a [government-owned] periodical (in the instance of Defendants' civilian-enterprise-newspaper publication) into public fora.

"Moreover," Katz's brief continues, "Lebron clearly shows how, in that case as well as [in] Plaintiff's instant case, once there is a political forum, political ads cannot be rejected, even if they viciously attack the government; and how the prohibition of political ads amounts to an unconstitutional prior restraint of First-Amendment-protected speech."

Katz's brief, a model of focus and insight, proceeds to take Rumsfeld's legal counsel to task as regards how the "doctrine of unintended consequences" can pose a policy pitfall to the hundreds of military-owned civilian enterprise newspapers:

"Particularly seeing that defense counsel should know the contents of the CEN's it has provided to Plaintiff in discovery, it would be disingenuous for defense counsel to try to distort the reality of the CEN's articles and advertisements. The

refusal to print Plaintiff's ads soliciting whistleblowers clearly is content based, particularly when the same Pentagon to which Plaintiff submitted a rejected whistleblower ad, contains a solicitation for employees of the FBI, which clearly is not part of the military, but is part of the Bush administration that the CEN's try to prop up."

As Bryant v. Rumsfeld winds up its pleadings (which may or may not include one or two more status hearings), you might wish to save this "essayette" as a memento of Rumsfeld's version of Goliath: a Cyclops plagued by a narrowly linear, myopic, arrogant, and dangerous view of First Amendment principles and values.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 21](#)

Big Boys' Toys End Riddle Of The UFOs

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 14:45:06 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 14:45:06 -0500
Subject: Big Boys' Toys End Riddle Of The UFOs

Source: The Daily Telegraph - London, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/k7yv9>

21/03/2006

Big Boys' Toys End Riddle Of The UFOs

By Catherine Elsworth in Los Angeles

A spate of flying saucer sightings that alarmed residents of Orange County, California, and attracted attention from UFO researchers worldwide have been traced to the garages of a local heart surgeon and engineer.

For the past few months, police have been logging reports of mysterious discs hovering and weaving over Aliso Viejo and nearby towns.

In one sighting, recorded on a UFO research website, a witness reported seeing four craft studded with bright blue lights "dance around one another in the night sky".

But now the men behind the UFOs have revealed that they are radio-controlled models and that night flights are not just to shock people but also help sell the craft.

Dr Gaylon Murphy, a cardiovascular surgeon from Aliso Viejo, and Steve Zingali, an engineer in Mission Viejo, built the fleet of carbon fibre-reinforced foam flying saucers in their garages.

The discs are 3ft in diameter, about 1in thick, weigh less than 17oz and can reach 40mph powered by a tiny electric motor. The flashing lights are fitted around the edge of the disc.

"We fly them in formation. It's pretty funny," Dr Murphy told the Los Angeles Times. "People stop, people scream; one cab driver ran his car off the road."

The men have already sold four flight-ready saucers for \$1,000 (Pounds Sterling 570) each.

Not everyone has found the displays entertaining, however. Erik Strong, the manager of a restaurant in Aliso Viejo, accused the duo of scaring his staff by making the discs hover near the restaurant.

"It looked like something right out of a movie, a little too real," said Mr Strong.

Local police chief, Lt Richard Paddock, said the men were not breaking the law. "These guys have really done something quite controversial, scary to some people, quite fun in the eyes of most, and who knows where it's going to go," he said.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 21](#)

Re: Pondering The Sex That's Out Of This World -

From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 05:04:49 -0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 14:46:44 -0500
Subject: Re: Pondering The Sex That's Out Of This World -

>Source: The Philadelphia Inquirer - Pennsylvania, USA

><http://www.philly.com/mld/inquirer/news/magazine/daily/14139478.htm>

>Mon, Mar. 20, 2006

<snip>

>Azevedo used a computer model to conclude that in a typical
>living organism, individual mutations get more harmful as they
>accumulate. In biology this is called negative epistasis, and it
>makes sex even more critical for long-term survival. His
>results, published in last month's Nature, help explain why
>there are few old species of asexual plants and animals alive
>today; losing sex may tend to drive them to extinction.

<snip>

Right, Matt Ridley's book 'The Red Queen' makes that clear - that sex is a response to adversity of different kinds, and there are examples of how a-sexual beings are more common towards equator (more food) and at higher altitudes (less parasites / predators).

So you could say 'where the living is easy - things are less sexy' (we're not talking individuals here - but check "4 sexual differences" in Google for sarcasm); but maybe bear in mind another comment of Ridley's - "People cannot be adapted to the present, or to the future; they can only be adapted to the past."

So organic beings carrying some sort of DNA would seem to be more likely to have sex (but not necessarily); while those without DNA (and think DNA might be an ex-parasite turned symbiote only on Earth), if they hadn't had microbial parasites to contend with, could well be sex-less (or many-sexed).

However, for non-organic beings there would seem to be no reasons for gender difference at all - which might explain some of those "curiosity" traits in several of the weirder confrontations and visits reports.

Cheers

Ray D

"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://ufoupdateslist.com) > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 21](#)

Extraterrestrials Land In Academia

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 14:49:40 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 14:49:40 -0500
Subject: Extraterrestrials Land In Academia

Source: The New York Sun - NY, USA

<http://www.nysun.com/article/29468>

March 21, 2006

Extraterrestrials Land In Academia
Knickerbocker

By Gary Shapiro

Have extraterrestrial creatures become a legitimate subject of academic study? A group of anthropologists gathered on this planet Friday at Labyrinth Books to discuss beings with gourd-shaped heads and almond-shaped eyes. For more than a century, anthropologists have examined magic, witchcraft, and shamanism in far-flung cultures. Now these anthropologists have trained their eye on customs and beliefs a lot farther from home.

In the anthology "E.T. Culture: Anthropology in Outerspaces" (Duke University Press), which was the subject of discussion that evening, these scholars have set aside the issue of whether or not aliens exist and examine what role belief in them plays in people's lives. "This book is about how people find and relate to one another around the idea of extraterrestrial life and UFOs," the book's editor, Debora Battaglia, who teaches anthropology at Mount Holyoke College, said. The scholars who wrote for the book focus on how discussion and images of aliens reflect anxiety about issues such as technology and race.

To read this article in its entirety, you must be a subscriber to NYSun.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 21](#)

Project Isis?

From: Rosa Manson <rosamanson.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 05:37:23 EST
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 14:51:43 -0500
Subject: Project Isis?

In 1985 some Russian tourists that were visiting Egypt were taken late at night from the Hilton Hotel in Cairo on 23 rd April to a remote location to worship the Gods.

It is believed that they went missing whilst on their knees by a UFO. Is this true, and why was it part of project Isis?

The Russian Authorities are denying it but the FBI are hoping that this was the case.

Rosa Manson

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 21](#)

A Scientist Looks At 'Intelligent Design'

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 14:56:56 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 14:56:56 -0500
Subject: A Scientist Looks At 'Intelligent Design'

Source: The Evangelical Times - Whitinsville, Massachusetts

<http://www.evangelical-times.org/Articles/Dec05/Dec05a21.htm>

20 March 2006

Life in focus

David J. Tyler

A Scientist Looks At 'Intelligent Design'

As a boy, I was able to use a microscope to explore the world around me. It was fascinating to look at printing in books. Under magnification, the letters are no longer crisp and well formed. Printed pictures are a chaotic mixture of coloured dots. A razor blade has such a jagged edge that it is amazing it can cut at all!

By contrast, living things reveal exquisite details that are unsuspected without magnification. The eye of a fly, the texture of a leaf, the surface of a butterfly wing =97 these evoke a growing sense of wonder as we uncover new levels of detail. The contrast with manmade objects could hardly be missed!

Looking deeper

Our understanding of the natural world has continued to grow as scientists have painstakingly explored more and more of these levels of detail. The human body, for example, is an extraordinary system of interdependent parts. Whether we look at the workings of the eye, the ear, the liver, the kidneys or the heart, the closer we look the more we find systems so complex and intricate that they boggle our minds.

Looking deeper still, we find that every living cell is a marvel of miniaturisation. Each cell has the molecular equipment to carry out a wide variety of tasks, including the amazing ability to replicate itself. The component parts of cells have all been studied in great detail, only to find more and more evidence of complexity.

When Charles Darwin proposed his views on origins, the cell was thought to be nothing more than a blob of jelly =97 a simple building block of life. At that time, many found no reason to doubt Darwin=92s mechanisms for evolutionary change.

However, as scientists got to work with microscopes and other tools, the huge complexity of the living cell emerged. Nevertheless, these scientists were so well schooled in evolutionary theory that they never lost faith =97 if they looked closely enough, they reasoned, ultimately they would find simplicity.

Molecular machines

Today we know better. Living cells are described as nanotechnology factories, packed with molecular machinery, with vast numbers of different processes going on in a harmonious way. New revelations about cell complexity continue to be announced and they often lead to expressions of astonishment by the researchers involved.

An enormous change of gear in thinking came with the discovery in 1953 of the cell's information system. The genetic code is built into the structure of the DNA molecules that lie at the heart of every living cell.

Chemical units (=nucleotides=) of four different kinds are arranged along each DNA molecule. Their function is analogous to the letters of the alphabet. Just as a sequence of letters is used to construct words and then meaningful sentences, so these chemical units arranged in appropriate sequences are used as a template from which the proteins needed by the cell can be copied.

Just as the arrangement of alphabetical letters on this page constitute a code spelling out a message that you can read and (hopefully!) understand, so the nucleotide sequence strung along the DNA molecule is a code that spells out a meaningful message. Of course, the code has to be read and decoded before it can be used to control actions within the cell. Molecular biologists are only just beginning to work out what is going on.

Codes and computers

The codes we meet most frequently today are computer codes. Intelligent programmers convert real-world problems into a code that is then fed into the computer system. Indeed, both software and hardware have to be intelligently designed, otherwise they would not work together.

The analogy with the genetic code is very close the biological molecules within the cell are the hardware, while the encoded genetic information is equivalent to the software.

In our daily lives, whenever we see coding, transmission and decoding taking place, we know that someone has sent a message. A simple example is making a telephone call. You dial a number (a code) which is transmitted to the telephone exchange and interpreted (decoded) as the telephonic location of the person you are calling. Using that information it rings the phone you are calling, and you know the rest.

Not just noise

The existence of a code points clearly to an intelligent agent at work. Codes do not just happen, they have to be designed. One vastly expensive research project is built on this premise SETI, the Search for Extra-Terrestrial Intelligence.

Huge sums of money are being spent to employ powerful radio-telescopes to search for signals from intelligent life beyond earth. How do scientists hope to distinguish intelligent communication from all the radio noise from outer space? By discovering signals containing you guessed it coded information!

Since, by common consent, coded signals point to intelligence, why should biology be any different? Within every living thing there exists an extraordinarily rich and complex coded system. Why should all that have happened just by chance?

No explanation

After enormous effort by the best scientific brains, using advanced technologies, we are just beginning to discover how the genetic code works. The closer we look, the more pointers we find to intelligent design.

For example, the discovery of cases where more than one message is encoded in the same DNA sequence reveals unexpected sophistication. Another example is the mechanism that repairs faulty copying. All these findings provide powerful evidence that an intelligent Designer has been at work.

No one has yet proposed a coherent explanation of how such a

complex coding--transmission--decoding system could arise by natural processes alone. Nevertheless, evolutionists persist in their view that, one day, an explanation will be found. It is a case of taking an evolutionary belief=92 position contrary to clear scientific evidences of design.

Russian doll

Over the years, discovery of complexity within complexity made the Darwinian approach to biology less and less convincing. Nevertheless, people held on to the theory, thinking that it could be rescued if simplicity were found at the most fundamental level of living things. Any such hope has now vanished.

It is as if biologists have been given a Russian doll to examine. Open the case of the outer doll and another is found inside. Split that apart and yet another pops out. Molecular biology has now probed the most fundamental level of biological structure possible =97 the molecules themselves =97 and still there is no simplicity.

Gradual transformation from simple to complex (as taught by Darwinism) will always be a problem as long as organisms are found to be sophisticated. Darwinists need to find the equivalent of a doll that has something inside that will allow their gradualistic mechanisms to work.

Hallmark of design

What have researchers found? Have they uncovered at the molecular level some simple system on which Darwinian mechanisms could operate? Or is the final doll of the set empty, forever blocking Darwinian-type transformation?

Darwinism has always claimed to explain the origin of complexity. However, the more scientists look at the data the less it appears that evolutionary theory has anything helpful to say on this subject.

Returning to the Russian doll analogy, the last doll of the set has been opened by the molecular biologists. It is empty. There is nothing at the core that can be described as simple.

Complexity exists wherever we look in living things. Consequently, there is no level at which Darwinian processes of transformation can work. This complexity is a major hallmark of design.

The Master=92s handiwork

Believing the Bible does not mean that we become irrational. The Bible portrays the Christian as one who has been renewed in his or her mind =97 who has come out of darkness into the light. Included in this mental renewal is a right understanding of the world around us. Here we see the handiwork of our Creator God.

And consider this. If God indeed constructed the genetic code, with all its intricacy and information content, can he not also communicate life-transforming truth to human beings? The Christian faith is concerned with God=92s speaking directly to our world.

He used prophets and teachers to bring his message to mankind in the form of the Holy Scriptures. Supremely, he has come himself in the person of Jesus Christ. Jesus said, =91Anyone who has seen me has seen the Father=92 (John 14:9).

The apostle Paul writes that God=92s invisible qualities (his eternal power and divine nature) =91have been clearly seen, being understood from what has been made=92 (Romans 1:20). What was apparent in Paul=92s day is even clearer today, knowing as we do so much more about the things that have been made.

Animals and plants are revealed to be the handiwork of a Master Craftsman, who is wise, powerful and divine. =91How many are your works, O Lord! In wisdom you made them all; the earth is full of your creatures=92 (Psalm 104:24).

For further reading see the author=92s book Creation =97 Chance or Design? published by Evangelical Press (available from bookshops

A Scientist Looks At 'Intelligent Design'

or from [sales.nul](#)).

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 21](#)

New UFO Video Real Or Fake?

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 06:24:22 EST
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 14:58:10 -0500
Subject: New UFO Video Real Or Fake?

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2r5rklj9NBQ>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 21](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Morris

From: Neil Morris <neil.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 11:38:45 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:00:35 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Morris

All,

While we're going ove this again <g>, can I again throw this into the ring: It usually gets ignored because I suspect it way too far out in 'left field' <g>, but as yet no one has given me a credible alternative explanation for these crossmatches in the images.

<http://voyagemedia.ath.cx/fortworth/new-1/aalink/index.htm>

The AA Film - Fort Worth Pics/Roswell linkage.

The above was on my Fort Worth Photographs site which sadly had to close some 5 years ago but is now back online at:

www.fortworthphotographs.org.uk

It's currently frozen as it was 5 years ago but will get updated with new and more recent finding later in the year.

Love it or loath it, that pesky AA film just won't lie down and die will it. <g>

Best Regards

Neil

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 21](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 07:12:22 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:02:37 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 08:56:02 -0500
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>I think there are a couple of points being "side stepped" here,
>although probably not intentionally.

Certainly not!

>The "film w/ image" is one of several that Ray Santilli gave up
>to several researchers in hopes that they could help him
>validate the AA "film". His first attempt was to use a copy of
>the film in hopes that the simple dating would be enough, with a
>letter from a Kodak office in Europe confirming the date code
>dating. But, as noted, this piece of film had no image that
>could be tied to the AA "film" as shown. Ray was told early on
>that he would have to give up a piece of the actual film with
>the alien image in it to get a date verification, but that did
>not occur. As I recall, all of the film pieces show only one
>edge of the film, which includes the date code, and that raised
>red flags for some.

Ray first had Kodak look at some blank film, what has wrongly
been called "leader" by many. Kodak in Europe and in the USA has
confirmed that the edge code shown on this blank film is correct
for 1947.

To date Ray has not given anyone a piece of the film with the
creature on it.

The film frames given to me, Philip Mantle, and one or two other
researchers show what appears to be some stairs and come from
some footage at the head of one of the reels. These bits of film
are in poor condition and only have one film edge intact, which
makes it impossible to know if this was single perf or double
perf film. That's not really a serious issue.

An additional confirmation of the age of the film could be
determined by measuring the pitch of the perforations, as this
was changed at some point (in the 50s if I recall correctly),
but it would require about one meter of the film to make this
measurement, and Ray has not been willing to loan a piece of
film of that length to me or directly to Kodak in Colorado, the
only ones equipped to make that measurement.

>Without an image on the film, there's no way to tell where that
>piece of film came from. Anecdotal testimony only goes so far,
>and to this point there is no actual proof that any film of the
>alien exists. This is noted by the fact that many of us still
>us quotes when referring to it as a film, since it's only
>distribution medium has been over-the-air broadcast, video tape
>and CD/DVD.

There is image on the film frames I have, just not image of the
creature. There is much in the video, when examined carefully,
to indicate that it is, indeed, copied from a film original.
Personally, I have no doubt at all that the original is on film,
and that the film is old.

>All this being said, I agree that there is no definitive proof
>that the images show a hoax. But, all roads lead to Ray Santilli
>in the AA "film" saga. He has spoon fed information to those who
>supported his effort to verify it, and those who were critical
>of his behavior were left on the sidelines to criticize.

Yes, true. Ray is one of those infectiously likable characters
you sometimes run into in life. I admit that on a personal level
I like him a lot. He's also the most frustrating person I have
ever tried to deal with.

>I believe that Philip is a lurker on this list, so he may want
>to chime in with his thoughts. However, I have to admit that
>while we've been discussing this "film" for the past decade as
>more and more information has come, we really haven't learned a
>lot that we can label as "proven".

True as well, and due to Ray's unwillingness to trust some of us
who could have helped him plead his case. If he'd given me even
half the things he promised a lot of our discussion today would
be moot.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 21](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:45:32 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:06:00 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gehrman

>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 12:55:34 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 14:18:23 +1000
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>I think we've been over the film not getting to the Army/AF
>>because of the split many times now, I've explained how I came
>>to post and how I tried to retract but you keep on mentioning
>>it.

>I appreciate and accept that you have retracted your statement.
>But it doesn't matter if you retract your statement, because the
>cameraman himself was the original source of the story that he
>was unable to deliver the footage because of the Army and Air
>Force splitting into two.

Hi Nick, William, List, EBK,

That is true. The cameraman did use that reason and it is somewhat implausible, but the Army & Air Force did split at that time. The cameraman's possession of the reels of footage he sold Ray could be explained that way. Where is your evidence that it didn't happen as he described? Speculation isn't evidence.

>That story was in circulation in the UK years ago. As an example
>on 22 January 1997, I interviewed Nick Pope, who told me in that
>interview the following: "If this really was the most highly
>classified operation in the world, classified higher than the
>hydrogen bomb, it's inconceivable that this cameraman would have
>been left to his own devices to develop these films, and that
>bits of them would simply not have been recovered from him and
>that he would have sat on it for nigh on fifty years. The story
>is more full of holes than a piece of Swiss cheese."

Great quote but Nick's opinion isn't evidence.

>Anyone with knowledge of the AA film will be able to tell you
>that the cameraman's own story was that he couldn't hand the
>film over because of this Army-Air Force split.

Yes that is true.

>So, your retraction from you is fine, and I accept that
>retraction.

>But you cannot retract the fact that the cameraman himself is
>the one who started all of this by coming up with this absurd
>story about having no one to give the film to.

Absurd is a value judgment and again not evidence. It's a loaded term. His story may sound improbable, but it could be true! That's the Army way. SNAFU and cover your butt. Prove that it isn't.

>>You haven't answered my question about... "if he lied to Santilli
>>re how he acquired the footage". Where does this statement come
>>from and what is it meant to insinuate?

>What is it meant to insinuate? Well, to avoid any
>misinterpretations: The cameraman lied to Santilli, plain and
>simple, about how and why he, the cameraman, came to have the
>film in his possession.

You still haven't provided any evidence for this. We don't know exactly how he ended up with the footage, but we do know that he's the person who shot and developed the footage.

>The cameraman said that his acquisition/possession of the film
>stemmed from the fact that he couldn't deliver it to his
>superiors. This is absurd because he also supplied Ray with the
>footage of a second autopsy!

Think about your timeline and I suggest you read Beyond Roswell by Mantle and Hesemann. You need some basic grounding in the facts of the matter.

>I can, perhaps, just about imagine that it might be remotely
>possible for him to have some footage of 1 of supposedly 4 or 5
>autopsies. But to hang on to significant parts of 2 films and
>not have the military realize this is a ridiculous scenario and
>completely unbelievable.

Not if these significant portions were copied and not known to be missing. Maybe the cameraman copied the footage for some other reason. Only a very few persons knew of this event and it was probably need to know, but this is only speculation. I'm certainly willing to discuss this.

>>Put the delivery of the film behind you and please explain how
>>you turned one question mark into three?

>>You said...

>>"As for your statement that how or why the cameraman kept the
>>footage is unimportant, I disagree. If he lied to Santilli re.
>>how he acquired the footage, and lied to Santilli about it being
>>original footage rather than copy, then he might have lied about
>>other aspects of the story too."

>>Its obvious you are more interested in how the cameraman
>>aquired the film, rather than the film itself.

>Nope. I have 3 main interests re. the film. The first is in
>trying to find out the real identity of the person that filmed
>it.

You're not going to do that. You're not even heading in that direction. First you have to conduct a little basic research and at least get the story right. You're a thousand miles behind.

>The second is determining the truth behind how the person
>who sold it to Ray came to have it in his possession half a
>century later.

Ray researched all that. Do you think he'd spend \$150,000 on a lark. The cameraman is the cameraman. Many folks have tried to disprove that and failed. But you must read the story in *Beyond Roswell*. Then we can discuss this.

>And the third is in determining what it shows.

That you can do on your own or with the folks who have already viewed the footage. I suggest you do so as quick as possible, the entire footage is on the AA CD set which can be purchased at:

<http://www.v-j-enterprises.com/aafilm/aaindex.html>

>>>Would you, personally, routinely embrace the testimony of a
>>>lying tax-evader?

>>Please see Bob's post about Car Salesmen. I don't think its the
>>crime of the century. The man was on his last legs, surely we
>>can't crucify him for wanting to leave a bit of a legacy for his
>>family. We don't even know if he is a Tax Evader, or, if he is,

>>for how much? This is not our business. We are UFO researchers
>>not policemen or tax-collectors.

>>I would be shocked if "Jack" was hunted down because of
>>something someone said on this List.

>I care not in the slightest if he evaded the IRS, nor do I have
>any interest in crucifying him. I could not care less about such
>things. The cameraman's relationship (or lack of relationship!)
>with the IRS is his business.

Exactly!

>However..._if_ he committed tax evasion (and, again, my source
>for this possible scenario is Ed G. and no one else, and so
>perhaps Ed can clarify this for us), and this can be proven,
>then we may be able to use this as leverage to get the IRS to
>investigate him and, more importantly, identify him.

Sure and you might find a pig in a poke. You don't even know
where to begin.

>And if the IRS _does_ investigate, that might lead to
>identification of the cameraman. And if that happens, then we
>may be a hell of a lot closer to determining the truth about the
>AA film than we have ever been.

You said you believe Ray. That's the truth of the footage. As he
has said many times:"It is what it is".

>So, I view the IRS thing as a legitimate area of investigation
>that might help us to identify who he was. I see nothing wrong
>with that.

Except that it's a false lead. But do as you wish.

>Of course, as I have stressed, the cameraman may have paid his
>tax on the footage and in which case all of the above is voided.

No I don't think he did. But how do you think you might
approach the IRS and ask them to help on your quest?

>But if not, you surely cannot disagree that this is actually one
>unconventional avenue that _might_ (and I stress "might") lead
>to his ultimate identification.

To each his own.

>You would be shocked if someone hunted "Jack" down based on what
>was said on this list? Why? Are you saying that we should set
>self-imposed limits on our quest for the truth? If you _are_
>saying that, I find this very strange.

Why strange? You could never use the IRS to track down anyone
unless you were connected. Are you?

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 21](#)

Secrecy News -- 03/21/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 12:10:13 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:08:16 -0500
Subject: Secrecy News -- 03/21/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 36
March 21, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** US STRATEGIC STRIKE SKILLS ARE FADING, DSB WARNS
** IN CONGRESS
** IN THE NEWS
** SELECTED CRS REPORTS ON FOREIGN POLICY

US STRATEGIC STRIKE SKILLS ARE FADING, DSB WARNS

The U.S. military faces an erosion of the skills that it needs to develop and maintain strategic nuclear and non-nuclear strike forces, according to a new study by the Defense Science Board (DSB).

"It appears that a serious loss of certain critical strategic strike skills may occur within the next decade" as senior design and operations personnel retire, the DSB study said.

"The strategic strike area most at risk today is ballistic missiles: Current skills may not be able to cope with unanticipated failures requiring analysis, testing, and redesign."

"Design skills are rapidly disappearing, both for major redesigns of current systems and for the design of new strategic systems."

"DoD and industry have difficulty attracting and retaining the best and brightest students to the science and engineering disciplines relevant to maintaining current and future strategic strike capabilities," according to the DSB.

These findings are elaborated in the 89 page report with respect to ballistic missiles, bombers and other strategic strike platforms and systems.

See "Report of the Defense Science Board Task Force on Future Strategic Strike Skills," March 2006 (1.9 MB PDF):

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/dod/dsb/skills.pdf>

This document was password-protected by DSB so as to prevent copying or printing of the report.

IN CONGRESS

A House resolution to investigate the so-called Downing Street memo on pre-war intelligence on Iraq was considered and

rejected, along with two other resolutions on Iraq and the Valerie Plame case, in a September 14, 2005 markup by the House Committee on International Relations. A report of that Committee markup has now been published and is posted here:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2005_rpt/downing091405.pdf

Sen. Arlen Specter introduced his "National Security Surveillance Act" last week that would subject the Bush Administration's warrantless surveillance program to the adjudication of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court. See his March 16 statement of introduction here:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_cr/s2453.html

Also on March 16, Senator Dewine and three Republican colleagues introduced their "Terrorist Surveillance Act" which would nullify the requirements of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act and authorize warrantless surveillance for up to 45 days without any judicial authorization. See:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_cr/s2455.html

IN THE NEWS

The Department of Defense withdrew from its web site a DoD inspector general report that was critical of information security in the Missile Defense Agency's ground-based missile defense system. Federal Computer Week reported on the removal of the document and posted the missing document on its own web site. See "DOD removes missile defense system report from Web site" by Bob Brewin, Federal Computer Week, March 20:

<http://www.fcw.com/article92668-03-20-06-Web>

Several critical assessments of the "sensitive but unclassified" information control marking were discussed in "New Reports Raise Questions About Secrecy Stamps" by Rebecca Carr, Cox News Service, March 19:

<http://tinyurl.com/q4tnz>

The consequences of applying espionage statutes not only to leakers but also to unauthorized recipients of classified information were considered by Fred Kaplan in "Spies Like Us: Listening to leakers could land you in jail," Slate, March 17:

<http://www.slate.com/id/2138277/>

SELECTED CRS REPORTS ON FOREIGN POLICY

Some notable new reports from the Congressional Research Service include the following.

"The Middle East Peace Talks," updated March 16, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/mideast/IB91137.pdf>

"Terrorism and National Security: Issues and Trends," updated March 9, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/terror/IB10119.pdf>

"North Korea's Nuclear Weapons Program," updated February 21, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/nuke/IB91141.pdf>

"Nepal: Background and U.S. Relations," updated February 2, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/row/RL31599.pdf>

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 21](#)

Kevin Randle Unsubscribes

From: **Kevin Randle** <KRandle993.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 12:46:44 EST
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:09:41 -0500
Subject: Kevin Randle Unsubscribes

Errol, List, All -

The time has come and I must bow out for another short period. I've been on active duty since Sunday, and now have a much more limited access to my email. I'll try to check in now and again, through the 100 Latest Messages, but I don't know how often that will work.

I hope to be back soon and back on the List. It's been fun.

Kevin D. Randle

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 21](#)

Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Lehmberg

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 13:00:22 -0600
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:19:03 -0500
Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Lehmberg

>From: John Rimmer <jrimmer.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 21:09:28 +0000
>Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America?

>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 18:58:38 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America?

>>>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 09:29:07 -0500
>>>Subject: Abductions Mainly In North America?

>>>I heard someone comment recently that "All UFO abductions
>>>are centered in North America." Is that true?

>>I would like to know who this person was (by occupation or
>>speaking platform if nothing else) and why such people keep
>>trying to perpetuate this myth.

>>It is an absolutely false statement.

>>The issue has come up before on this List, and I am sure you can
>>find some of the commentary about it in the Archives.

>>You will find abduction case reports from many different
>>countries in my writings alone, especially The UFO Evidence,
>>Vol. II.

>>At least two worthwhile abduction books have come from Great
>>Britain. Sandinavia, Africa, South America, you name it, have
>>abduction cases.

>I hope one of the worthwhile British books you refer to is "The
>Evidence for Alien Abductions".

Probably not Mr. Rimmer. Mr. Hall was not trying to be
inappropriately funny, intellectually frivolous, or academically
constipated like yourself.

>I suppose it depends on what you mean by 'centred'. It is a fact
>that the greatest proportion of UFO abduction reports come from
>North America, even allowing for the US's greater population,
>and that the next largest numbers come from those countries
>which have strong cultural and ethnic links with the USA.

Which does not do a single thing to parse out the original limp
suggestion or flaccid intimation that the abduction phenomena is
the province of "those dotty Americans with too little sense and
too much time on their hands." It remains, as Mr. Hall has
kindly informed you (...again!) that persons all over the planet
are touched by this 'thing' you too quickly denounce as
foolishness, Sir. Too many persons, by half again, than is
covered by the duplicitous salve of your reflex denial.

>No-one is denying that abduction reports come from many
>countries, but it is hard to deny that the phenomenon is

>"centred" on the US.

The only "centering" having the remotest chance of accuracy, Sir, is that North American proclivity that it generate two high profile University Professors and a world class professional person yet to disgrace himself... with the courage to devote themselves to the study of this high strangeness, while select others content themselves with deprecating humor, intellectual cowardice, and the need for more fiber in their academic diet.

alienview.nul

www.AlienView.net

AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 21](#)

Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 19:23:37 -0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:20:33 -0500
Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Dickenson

>From: John Rimmer <jrimmer.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 21:09:28 +0000
>Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America?

>>>From: Eleanor White <eleonor.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 09:29:07 -0500
>>>Subject: Abductions Mainly In North America?

>>>I heard someone comment recently that "All UFO abductions
>>>are centered in North America." Is that true?

<snip>

>I suppose it depends on what you mean by 'centred'. It is a fact
>that the greatest proportion of UFO abduction reports come from
>North America, even allowing for the US's greater population,
>and that the next largest numbers come from those countries
>which have strong cultural and ethnic links with the USA.

>No-one is denying that abduction reports come from many
>countries, but it is hard to deny that the phenomenon is
>"centred" on the US.

Hello John & List,

Richard Dolan apparently wrote (in his book UFOs and the
National Security State - haven't managed to check it yet): "By
early 1969, teams within the CIA were running a number of
bizarre experiments in mind control under the name Operation
Often. In addition to the normal assortment of chemists,
biologists, and conventional scientists, the operation employed
psychics and experts in demonology."

Can't help tying that in with conclusions at "reports.txt"
(Google) - "MI-5, CIA etc have had 30 - 50 years of
experimentation to determine that `ET-phobia' has the same
effect as stirred-up `nationalism' (xeno-phobia)"

Which might give a (bad) reason for the phenomena to be centered
on USA and "culturally linked" countries.

Cheers

Ray D

"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 21](#)

Just Flights of Fancy?

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 19:56:04 -0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:22:32 -0500
Subject: Just Flights of Fancy?

London's free morning newspaper, Metro, ran another UFO article today:

<http://tinyurl.com/rduzc>

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 21](#)

National Festival Of Extraterrestrial Abductions

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 17:13:31 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 17:13:31 -0500
Subject: National Festival Of Extraterrestrial Abductions

Source: The Ridgeway Recorder, Pennsylvania, USA

<http://www.ridgwayrecord.com/articles/2006/03/21/news/news03.txt>

Monday, March 20, 2006

Today is National Festival of Extraterrestrial Abductions Day

Extraterrestrial abduction claims have become almost common since the late 20th century and once each year alien enthusiasts have a day to speculate on the validity of such reports.

In Pennsylvania, a number of extraterrestrial abduction claims have been documented. The international extraterrestrial abduction and UFO reporting center, "Mystical Universe," keeps a comprehensive listing of the reports of such phenomena from around the world. The largest number of such sightings and abductions seem to come from California, Australia, and the United Kingdom. Although the reasons for this are unknown, Pennsylvania is listed as the sight of 19 such reports. A particularly famous abduction report involved a group of four men, three of which were Pennsylvanians, who claim to have been abducted while camping in Maine.

Four distinct abduction or sighting reports stem from the Harrisburg area alone and involve up to 17 witnesses. The Bensalem area is also the sight of four purported incidents. Other locations such as Mt. Carmel, Langhorne, Easton, Springfield, Lewiston, Jessup, Levittown, Highspire, Union City and Vermillion Hills record as many as three reports each. Unfortunately, many of those reporting such phenomena do not disclose an actual location and, fearing public censure, only report the general region or state in which their experience occurred.

In our own area, no claimants could be contacted to discuss their experiences. It is very likely though that someone in our region believes to have been abducted or have seen a UFO. Statistically, these reports are more common in rural areas such as our own. Given county population and geographic features, our area most likely has residents who believe to have had such experiences, although they may never have reported it.

Ridgway Borough Police and Pennsylvania State Police based in Ridgway were both contacted in regards to such extraterrestrial experiences. Neither office reports having any such incident claims on file. One state police officer claimed that in his entire time here he knows of no such reports and stated that something of that nature would probably have been brought up for procedural instruction at daily roll call. That doesn't mean that no one in our area makes such claims, only that they have thus far gone unreported. In researching such events you quickly find that everyone knows someone else who makes such claims, but can rarely give you any accurate information.

Local astronomer Richard Steudler was willing to give his

opinions on such phenomena. Steudler stated that he didn't put a whole lot of faith in such stories. He said he felt that, without solid proof, the stories remain completely unsubstantiated, and said he couldn't figure out why no one has ever gotten any sharp pictures if such reports are true. Steudler said he believes that there probably is alien life somewhere else in our vast universe, but he does not believe that extraterrestrials would be secretly abducting people. Steudler stated that he felt that visitors with such capabilities would make themselves known and not be, "sneaking around."

Steudler has, in the past, seen things as an astronomer that he himself was unable to account for at the time, but all such occurrences were later given a valid scientific explanation. He said that he has read some things on the infamous Roswell, New Mexico incident that prove, in his mind, that it wasn't aliens at all. Steudler stated that his research has led him to believe that the incident was merely an accident involving a Canadian test pilot working with an American bomber plane. He felt that the story was simply, "blown out of proportion."

Steudler also believed that many reports of UFO sightings can be accounted for by ball lightning. Ball lightning, claimed Steudler, can occur on perfectly clear nights. He also stated that it can account for the buzzing noise reported by many extraterrestrial phenomena claimants, since ball lightning makes a similar buzzing sound. Ball lightning, said Steudler, can also account for the ground tremors and static shocks that many people claim to experience in such cases, as it can cause both when it grounds.

Whether the purported experiences with extraterrestrials that many people claim to have experienced in the past are bonafide events, simple misunderstandings of naturally occurring phenomena, or merely pleas for attention we may never know. Steudler though did have this to say on the matter, "I hope if they (extraterrestrials) do make contact with us they have a better attitude than people have proven to have in encountering strangers." Steudler referred to such incidents as the European colonization of the Americas as proof that humanitiy's track record in such events has not proven the best. With such events in our own past, it is hard not to echo Steudler's sentiments.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 21](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Pope

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 20:22:05 -0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 16:58:23 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Pope

>From: Ed Gehrman <egerman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:45:32 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 12:55:34 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

<snip>

>>I appreciate and accept that you have retracted your
>>statement. But it doesn't matter if you retract your statement,
>>because the cameraman_himself_was the original source of the
>>story that he was unable to deliver the footage because of the
>>Army and Air Force splitting into two.

>Hi Nick, William, List, EBK,

>That is true. The cameraman did use that reason and it is
>somewhat implausible, but the Army & Air Force did split at that
>time. The cameraman's possession of the reels of footage he sold
>Ray could be explained that way. Where is your evidence that it
>didn't happen as he described? Speculation isn't evidence.

>>That story was in circulation in the UK years ago. As an
>>example on 22 January 1997, I interviewed Nick Pope, who told me
>>in that interview the following: "If this really was the most
>>highly classified operation in the world, classified higher than
>>the hydrogen bomb, it's inconceivable that this cameraman would
>>have been left to his own devices to develop these films, and
>>that bits of them would simply not have been recovered from him
>>and that he would have sat on it for nigh on fifty years. The
>>story is more full of holes than a piece of Swiss cheese."

>Great quote but Nick's opinion isn't evidence.

So far as I can recollect, my comment to Nick Redfern was simply
aimed at showing how, in terms of military InfoSy policy, the
alleged cameraman's story made no sense. I leave it to
ufologists to debate whether this constitutes evidence.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 21](#)

Re: New UFO Video Real Or Fake? - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 16:02:31 EST
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 17:01:35 -0500
Subject: Re: New UFO Video Real Or Fake? - Gammon

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 06:24:22 EST
>Subject: New UFO Video Real Or Fake?

><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2r5rklj9NBQ>

Fake

-Jason Gammon

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 21](#)

Re: Kevin Randle Unsubscribes - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <alienview.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 14:46:27 -0600
Fwd Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 17:00:25 -0500
Subject: Re: Kevin Randle Unsubscribes - Lehmborg

>From: Kevin Randle <KRandle993.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 12:46:44 EST
>Subject: Kevin Randle Unsubscribes

>The time has come and I must bow out for another short period.
>I've been on active duty since Sunday, and now have a much more
>limited access to my email. I'll try to check in now and again,
>through the 100 Latest Messages, but I don't know how often that
>will work.

>I hope to be back soon and back on the List. It's been fun.

Keep the powder dry, the hull in defilade, and change your
socks, Major! And try to sneak a glance at the sky now and again.
Your dues are paid up... remember, and some things are a little
more important even than getting your butt shot off. Keep that
down, too.

Entries on your WeBlog are not out of the question, and
something of a tradition by now. Good way to let us know you
haven't caught one in the face, you know?

Good luck.

alienview.nul
www.AlienView.net
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 22](#)

Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 23:03:50 +0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 12:43:30 -0500
Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Hall

>From: John Rimmer <jrimmer.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 21:09:28 +0000
>Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America?

>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 18:58:38 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America?

>>>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 09:29:07 -0500
>>>Subject: Abductions Mainly In North America?

>>>I heard someone comment recently that "All UFO abductions
>>>are centered in North America." Is that true?

>>I would like to know who this person was (by occupation or
>>speaking platform if nothing else) and why such people keep
>>trying to perpetuate this myth.

>>It is an absolutely false statement.

>>The issue has come up before on this List, and I am sure you can
>>find some of the commentary about it in the Archives.

>>You will find abduction case reports from many different
>>countries in my writings alone, especially The UFO Evidence,
>>Vol. II.

>>At least two worthwhile abduction books have come from Great
>>Britain. Sandinavia, Africa, South America, you name it, have
>>abduction cases.

>I hope one of the worthwhile British books you refer to is "The
>Evidence for Alien Abductions".

>I suppose it depends on what you mean by 'centred'. It is a fact
>that the greatest proportion of UFO abduction reports come from
>North America, even allowing for the US's greater population,
>and that the next largest numbers come from those countries
>which have strong cultural and ethnic links with the USA.

>No-one is denying that abduction reports come from many
>countries, but it is hard to deny that the phenomenon is
>"centred" on the US.

>John Rimmer

John,

Have you - either as a librarian or a ufologist - conducted any
studies as to what proportion of the world's UFO literature
emanates from North America or the U.S.? What proportion appears
in the English language (which of course rules out the U.S.)?
Lacking any meaningful data on these questions, it is not proper
to claim that the abduction phenomenon "centers" or occurs more
frequently (or anything similar) in North America. Given the

current state of statistical knowledge, that is an unanswerable question.

As I am sure I have reported previously on this list, I was present at the Abduction Conference held at M.I.T. (actually on the organizing committee) and presented a paper on the international nature of UFO abduction cases. During the question and answer session a female scientist from Scandinavia stood up and reported that Norway, Sweden, and Denmark all have had large numbers of abduction cases but that they hadn't been reported in the English-language literature.

Also, I was both taken aback and cynically amused by being confronted in the hallway during an intermission following the international session by Robert Schaffer, notorious CSICOP debunker, who asked me pointedly, "Why are abduction cases only reported in North America?" Obviously he had either not attended the session or hadn't listened to what was said! But of course he KNEW better anyway.

The arrogance and ignorance, the blindness and closed-mindedness of such people never ceases to amaze me.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 22](#)

Re: Project Isis? - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 23:12:11 +0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 12:44:40 -0500
Subject: Re: Project Isis? - Hall

>From: Rosa Manson <rosamanson.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 05:37:23 EST
>Subject: Project ISIS?

>In 1985 some Russian tourists that were visiting Egypt were
>taken late at night from the Hilton Hotel in Cairo on 23 rd
>April to a remote location to worship the Gods.

According to what documented facts or evidence? To worship what
"gods?"

>It is believed that they went missing whilst on their knees by a
>UFO. Is this true, and why was it part of project Isis?

Believed by whom and on what basis? Why were they on their
knees? And what is project Isis?

>The Russian Authorities are denying it but the FBI are hoping
>that this was the case.

Huh?

>Rosa Manson

Rosa,

I think you're on the wrong List. Wild and sensational stories
like this without one iota of factual background just don't hack
it here.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 22](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:50:33 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 12:48:16 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:45:32 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 12:55:34 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 14:18:23 +1000
>>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>>I think we've been over the film not getting to the Army/AF
>>>because of the split many times now, I've explained how I came
>>>to post and how I tried to retract but you keep on mentioning
>>>it.

>>I appreciate and accept that you have retracted your statement.
>>But it doesn't matter if you retract your statement, because the
>>cameraman_himself_ was the original source of the story that he
>>was unable to deliver the footage because of the Army and Air
>>Force splitting into two.

>That is true. The cameraman did use that reason and it is
>somewhat implausible, but the Army & Air Force did split at that
>time. The cameraman's possession of the reels of footage he sold
>Ray could be explained that way. Where is your evidence that it
>didn't happen as he described? Speculation isn't evidence.

Ed

"Somewhat implausible"?! That's the understatement of the year,
and similar in magnitude to someone saying I'm slightly lacking
in hair! It's way beyond somewhat implausible.

For example, where are all of the other stories about military
people (in the UFO field or in any avenue of the military) in
1947 being unable to deliver highly classified materials to
their superiors because of this Army-Air Force split?

Please show me some examples where there is a precedent to this
scenario of the cameraman and I will definitely listen more. If
the cameraman's claim is the only such claim, I suggest we
discard its validity.

Now as I have said, I can understand why he might want to lie
to protect himself. That does make complete sense.

But my main point is that if he lied on the how and why re. his
possession of the film, we simply cannot say to what extent he
has lied on other aspects of the story - and that's the
important issue.

>Absurd is a value judgment and again not evidence. It's a loaded
>term. His story may sound improbable, but it could be true!

>That's the Army way. SNAFU and cover your butt. Prove that it
>isn't.

I can't prove he is lying; no one can. But, if there is zero evidence of similar things (such as people being unable to deliver classified materials to their bosses after the Army-Air Force split), then the body of evidence, or lack of it, would suggest he lied.

We don't know
>exactly how he ended up with the footage, but we do know that
>he's the person who shot and developed the footage.

How do we know that he took and developed the footage? Because that is what he told Ray? He also told Ray that he couldn't deliver the most important film of the millennium to his superiors and that sounds like, as we say in the UK, utter bollocks.

So how do we know that the elderly guy that Ray got the film from wasn't a go-between from someone else with an obscure agenda?

That was certainly what happened with the British Home Office: namely that bogus data was disseminated concerning the nature and origin of the film, as per my interview with Levine.

>>The cameraman said that his acquisition/possession of the film
>>stemmed from the fact that he couldn't deliver it to his
>>superiors. This is absurd because he also supplied Ray with the
>>footage of a second autopsy!

>Think about your timeline and I suggest you read Beyond Roswell
>by Mantle and Hesemann. You need some basic grounding in the
>facts of the matter.

Ed, I read Beyond Roswell when it was published, and I was in touch with Phil Mantle 10 years ago, and provided him with a lot of data and documentation on some of the people alleged to be the cameraman. Phil can confirm that. So I know the facts.

But the important fact is that this cameraman supposedly had access to all of this classified material and kept it, and that does not sound plausible. End of story.

>>I can, perhaps, just about imagine that it might be remotely
>>possible for him to have some footage of 1 of supposedly 4 or 5
>>autopsies. But to hang on to significant parts of 2 films and
>>not have the military realize this is a ridiculous scenario and
>>completely unbelievable.

>Not if these significant portions were copied and not known to
>be missing. Maybe the cameraman copied the footage for some
>other reason.

Yes, in which case he lied to Ray. Now, I'm not judging the cameraman for obfuscating how he, the cameraman, came to be in possession of the film. But again, I am pointing out that a liar once, might mean a liar twice re. other aspects of his story and his story of what the film shows.

>>Nope. I have 3 main interests re. the film. The first is in
>>trying to find out the real identity of the person that filmed
>>it.

>You're not going to do that. You're not even heading in that
>direction. First you have to conduct a little basic research and
>at least get the story right. You're a thousand miles behind.

We'll see.

>>The second is determining the truth behind how the person
>>who sold it to Ray came to have it in his possession half a
>>century later.

>Ray researched all that. Do you think he'd spend \$150,000 on a
>lark. The cameraman is the cameraman. Many folks have tried to
>disprove that and failed. But you must read the story in **Beyond
>Roswell**. Then we can discuss this.

I agree that there was someone who obviously filmed the event.

And I do believe that Ray bought it in good faith. And I do not believe that Ray was a part of any con or hoax himself. And I do believe that Ray dug deep into the story as provided by the cameraman. But it all just comes back to the cameraman and his claims as to what it shows and where it was shot.

>>>I would be shocked if "Jack" was hunted down because of
>>>something someone said on this List.

>>I care not in the slightest if he evaded the IRS, nor do I have
>>any interest in crucifying him. I could not care less about such
>>things. The cameraman's relationship (or lack of relationship!)
>>with the IRS is his business.

>Exactly!

>>However...if he committed tax evasion (and, again, my source
>>for this possible scenario is Ed G. and no one else, and so
>>perhaps Ed can clarify this for us), and this can be proven,
>>then we may be able to use this as leverage to get the IRS to
>>investigate him and, more importantly, identify him.

>Sure and you might find a pig in a poke. You don't even know
>where to begin.

Actually I know exactly where to begin. As I mentioned, I have a friend who is a high-mover in the field of tax issues.

And, most important of all, he is a high-mover in the field of British-American tax transactions re. payments between both nations, and the deep and complex legal issues that are present here.

The reason why I know such a source is actually very simple: I had to obviously get all of my tax issues taken care of before I moved to the US from the UK 5 years ago, and register as a US-based tax payer, and get all of the relevant and highly complex legal data and paperwork sorted out between the UK and the US before securing US citizenship.

And so, I had to hire someone who was an expert in this area and who could ensure that all ran smoothly with the IRS and the British equivalent - the Inland Revenue.

The person who did this for me is highly experienced in such legal avenues. That I got him interested in UFOs a couple of years ago too is a good bonus in having him on board here.

>>So, I view the IRS thing as a legitimate area of investigation
>>that might help us to identify who he was. I see nothing wrong
>>with that.

>Except that it's a false lead. But do as you wish.

I am going to.

>>Of course, as I have stressed, the cameraman may have paid his
>>tax on the footage and in which case all of the above is voided.

>No I don't think he did. But how do you think you might
>approach the IRS and ask them to help on your quest?

That's very interesting Ed: you say that you "don't think he did" pay tax on the film. What makes you say that? Do you know more than the rest of us? You must know something more to say that with respect to him paying tax: "No I don't think he did."

If you do know more, why not make a definitive statement on this List that I can then provide to my friend? And if you don't think he did pay tax, how can you justify saying as above that this is a "false lead"?

If we have something concrete suggesting that "Jack" did not pay tax, we may have firm grounds for getting the IRS involved and identifying him.

>>But if not, you surely cannot disagree that this is actually one
>>unconventional avenue that might (and I stress "might") lead
>>to his ultimate identification.

>To each his own.

>>You would be shocked if someone hunted "Jack" down based on what
>>was said on this list? Why? Are you saying that we should set
>>self-imposed limits on our quest for the truth? If you are
>>saying that, I find this very strange.

>Why strange? You could never use the IRS to track down anyone
>unless you were connected. Are you?

Nope, I am not with the IRS. But if I can demonstrate convincing evidence that "Jack" did not pay tax and we have it on record that money was paid to him, then there may be a remote chance of having the IRS at least look into it, bearing in mind that I have the perfect contact to at least try and initiate inquiries along these exact lines.

As I said, I care not about the cameraman's relationship with the IRS. I am only interested in pursuing this tax angle as leverage to find the guy, nothing more, nothing less.

Of course, in one area I do agree with you: it may not lead anywhere. But if I don't at least try, it will definitely not lead anywhere. So, I might as well give it a go.

Nick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 22](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Sawers

From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 16:54:23 +1000
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 14:40:34 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Sawers

>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 12:55:34 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 14:18:23 +1000
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>I think we've been over the film not getting to the Army/AF
>>because of the split many times now, I've explained how I came
>>to post and how I tried to retract but you keep on mentioning

>I appreciate and accept that you have retracted your statement.
>But it doesn't matter if you retract your statement, because the
>cameraman himself was the original source of the story that he
>was unable to deliver the footage because of the Army and Air
>Force splitting into two.

>That story was in circulation in the UK years ago. As an example
>on 22 January 1997, I interviewed Nick Pope, who told me in that
>interview the following: "If this really was the most highly
>classified operation in the world, classified higher than the
>hydrogen bomb, it's inconceivable that this cameraman would have
>been left to his own devices to develop these films, and that
>bits of them would simply not have been recovered from him and
>that he would have sat on it for nigh on fifty years. The story
>is more full of holes than a piece of Swiss cheese."

I'm not so sure on this Nick, we have to try to think as if we were in the 1940's? We think a lot more analytical in the 20th century and things are not always as they seem. We are not as easily fooled, we don't take what the Govt says as gospel. Call it perhaps a little more sophistication these days. I feel his story is quite credible, apart from the copy story which we accept was pushed onto Ray as original. We just have to look at movies from the 40's and 50's to see how full of holes and basic the plots were. These are the same films that got Academy Awards for brilliance in their day. We are allowed to ask more questions these days, even though the answers may not be the truth, it's all a lot more complicated than the simple days of our fathers. It's only full of holes to our way of thinking??

>Anyone with knowledge of the AA film will be able to tell you
>that the cameraman's own story was that he couldn't hand the
>film over because of this Army-Air Force split.

It's still a feasible option, or he wouldn't have said it and Ray wouldn't have swallowed it

>So, your retraction from you is fine, and I accept that
>retraction.

>But you cannot retract the fact that the cameraman himself is
>the one who started all of this by coming up with this absurd
>story about having no one to give the film to.

It wasn't so absurd. The only thing different is the copy film.

>>You haven't answered my question about... "if he lied to Santilli
>>re how he acquired the footage". Where does this statement come
>>from and what is it meant to insinuate?

>What is it meant to insinuate? Well, to avoid any
>misinterpretations: The cameraman lied to Santilli, plain and
>simple, about how and why he, the cameraman, came to have the
>film in his possession.

Where does he lie about how and why he came to have the film in
his possession? All we have established is the film he sold to
Ray was copy film. We haven't established anything of the sort
about "how and why" He filmed a couple of autopsies, copied them
and later when he felt it was safe sold the copies to Ray.

>The cameraman said that his acquisition/possession of the film
>stemmed from the fact that he couldn't deliver it to his
>superiors. This is absurd because he also supplied Ray with the
>footage of a second autopsy!

So what Nick? So he copied the film! Of both! The story is the
same, except it was copy film.

>I can, perhaps, just about imagine that it might be remotely
>possible for him to have some footage of 1 of supposedly 4 or 5
>autopsies. But to hang on to significant parts of 2 films and
>not have the military realize this is a ridiculous scenario and
>completely unbelievable.

Like I said Nick... How would the Military know..if it was
copied. Who would know except him. Ray didn't even realise until
Bob told him The military would not have known 'cos they didn't
see it. Especially if he was in a trusted position to have
filmed them in the first place.

>>Put the delivery of the film behind you and please explain how
>>you turned one question mark into three?

>>You said...

>>"As for your statement that how or why the cameraman kept the
>>footage is unimportant, I disagree. If he lied to Santilli re.
>>how he acquired the footage, and lied to Santilli about it being
>>original footage rather than copy, then he might have lied about
>>other aspects of the story too."

>>Its obvious you are more interested in how the cameraman
>>aquired the film, rather than the film itself.

>Nope. I have 3 main interests re. the film. The first is in
>trying to find out the real identity of the person that filmed
>it. The second is determining the truth behind how the person
>who sold it to Ray came to have it in his possession half a
>century later. And the third is in determining what it shows.

We don't know the identity of the cameraman, true.

We have seem accept the cameraman filmed these autopsies at this
stage. There is nothing to say he didn't.

The big question is "what it shows?" If we accept it as a real
film, it most definatly is not human. If we accept that it's a
real film we also must look closer at the debris footage as
well, and take into account the rest of the film quite possibly
has a vehicle unknown to us or the people of that time. That is
where we should be looking and trying to research.

>>>Would you, personally, routinely embrace the testimony of a
>>>lying tax-evader?

>>Please see Bob's post about Car Salesmen. I don't think its the
>>crime of the century. The man was on his last legs, surely we
>>can't crucify him for wanting to leave a bit of a legacy for his
>>family. We don't even know if he is a Tax Evader, or, if he is,
>>for how much? This is not our business. We are UFO researchers
>>not policemen or tax-collectors.

>>I would be shocked if "Jack" was hunted down because of
>>something someone said on this List.

>I care not in the slightest if he evaded the IRS, nor do I have
>any interest in crucifying him. I could not care less about such
>things. The cameraman's relationship (or lack of relationship!)
>with the IRS is his business.

>However..._if_ he committed tax evasion (and, again, my source
>for this possible scenario is Ed G. and no one else, and so
>perhaps Ed can clarify this for us), and this can be proven,
>then we may be able to use this as leverage to get the IRS to
>investigate him and, more importantly, identify him.

>And if the IRS _does_ investigate, that might lead to
>identification of the cameraman. And if that happens, then we
>may be a hell of a lot closer to determining the truth about the
>AA film than we have ever been.

>So, I view the IRS thing as a legitimate area of investigation
>that might help us to identify who he was. I see nothing wrong
>with that.

Only if you demean him by calling him a lying tax-evader and you
wouldn't trust one. By the way what do lying tax-evaders look
like?

>Of course, as I have stressed, the cameraman may have paid his
>tax on the footage and in which case all of the above is voided.

>But if not, you surely cannot disagree that this is actually one
>unconventional avenue that _might_ (and I stress "might") lead
>to his ultimate identification.

>You would be shocked if someone hunted "Jack" down based on what
>was said on this list? Why? Are you saying that we should set
>self-imposed limits on our quest for the truth? If you _are_
>saying that, I find this very strange.

Come on Nick, of course I'm not saying that.

William

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 22](#)

Aliens Gave Me Psychic Powers

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 15:04:22 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 15:04:22 -0500
Subject: Aliens Gave Me Psychic Powers

Source: The Belfast Telegraph - Northern Ireland

<http://www.belfasttelegraph.co.uk/news/features/story.jsp?story=3D683291>

21 March 2006

Aliens Gave Me Psychic Powers
By Claire McNeilly

Patrick King attracted newspaper headlines when he recently predicted a huge bank robbery but he been predicting the future and telling clients secrets from their past for more than 40 years. Claire McNeilly casts her fate to the winds and meets the man who claims he was abducted by aliens at the age of five

IF you have any skeletons hidden in your closet or some dark secret to protect, you might want to stay away from Co Down clairvoyant Patrick King. But if you'd like to know whether your partner is having an affair or if you can really trust a friend, then maybe it might be worth a visit to his home in Hollywood ...

After all, the clinical psychologist-turned-fortune teller has been reading his clients' minds, as well as predicting their futures, for over 40 years.

Even an ex-girlfriend of Michael Stone, the notorious Milltown Cemetery murderer, once popped in - Paddy, knew, he says, "because I smelt a terrorist off her".

Then there are those who may be searching for information about a lost loved one and, of course, a few who are looking for love...

"People of all ages come to see me, from 15 to my oldest client, who's 97," the 58-year-old Manchester University psychology graduate reveals. "And I have a lot of regulars. Everyone wants to know something different. A few come purely to ask if they will be happy, but most of them have secrets."

Indeed, once Paddy, who has one daughter, Debbie (33), consults the tarot cards, his crystal ball, or reads your palm, he claims it can be quite a revelation.

And sometimes, apparently, it only takes one look - when his fourth wife-to-be Esther (52) walked in, for instance, he says: "I told her I was going to be her husband."

Over the years, he claims to have seen some unbelievable things.

"One girl who came for a reading had killed a three-year-old child, and a man had murdered his family and escaped justice.

"These type of people want to know if I know what they've done and if they'll ever be found out."

Of course, among the questions every sceptic asks are: how can one believe him? And how can he possibly know what he claims is

true?

"People test me all the time," he protests. "Once a woman swallowed her wedding ring when she tried to hide it! But if you're going to come and try to bluff me, what's the point?"

Where, then, do these so-called revelatory powers come from?

"I don't think clairvoyance is a gift," he replies, "it's something I work on and practise every day. My mum read tea leaves and, as a child, she taught me the basics; it's been a learning curve since then and I've learned from my mistakes."

One such 'mistake', if you could call it that, was his inability to foresee a disaster for a relative who was raped in east Belfast by four men some years ago.

"I didn't know it was going to happen," he admits, "even though I had just left my psychology job to concentrate on fortune telling full time."

At =A325 per half hour session, his counsel isn't cheap, but there are some who clearly think it's worth it.

"There are 26 millionaires who come to see me - they usually want to know if the Inland Revenue is going to catch up with them," he says.

"Then there are murderers who want to know if their victim's body will be found.

"Other people have been stealing from their employers ... and I've also done readings for policemen, as well as members of the IRA and UDA."

If - as he claims - his access to sensitive paramilitary information at one point led Special Branch to bug his office, he must be shouldering the weight of withholding the truth?

"Who am I to judge?" he counters. "I don't pass on information, although in the past I have helped the police with murder investigations."

His isn't, obviously, a job for the faint-hearted either.

"You have to be careful what you tell people. I get threats all the time. For example, if I've told a woman her husband is having an affair and she confronts him ..." he trails off.

"I also remember a man coming in with his wife and her sister. I did the women's readings first and when it came to his turn I told him they were both pregnant - by him."

Apart from treading the murky waters of human nature, there is frequently an upside to his profession.

"Sometimes you're helping folk without them realising," he explains. "During one reading I told a woman to insure her husband, because she would have nothing left when he died.

"She replied that he was fighting fit, but did it anyway. A few days later, he had a heart attack and passed away."

Reports in different publications in the past have suggested that there could be some truth in Patrick's predictions.

"A few weeks before a triple killing in Portavogie, a woman visited me. I saw the tragedy written in her cards and I told her," he explains.

Despite that, Elizabeth Downes died, along with her 17-year-old daughter, Joanne, on May 3, 1992. They were both shot dead at the family home by her husband, Stuart, who then turned the gun on himself.

So what's the point of seeing the future, if you can't change what's in store? Especially if you diagnose yourself with throat cancer - as he did recently ("I'm hoping to receive a date for an operation fairly soon").

Is turning to the occult simply a question of faith - or

loneliness?

"I don't believe in God. I believe in what I can see and hear; some of which has been terrible," he says.

"Religion has been geared to keep the masses in check; if people didn't believe in Jesus Christ, mayhem would ensue."

He adds: "I'm not close to my family (he has four brothers and five sisters) and I don't have any friends either. Who would want to be friends with someone who can read their mind?"

Patrick started down his chosen path after a supernatural childhood experience.

"One night, when I was about five, I was taken away by a UFO," he says.

"I shared a room with my brother and mummy put us to bed around 8pm. The next thing I knew it was morning ... but I remembered being in a very bright room with several people. They were between 4ft 5ins and 5ft tall, with big faces and big eyes. All I can say is, I came back a clairvoyant."

Before I leave, Paddy is keen to give me an insight into what my destiny holds.

He asks me to pick nine tarot cards, which he reads, along with my palm.

As a qualified psychologist, Patrick can no doubt pick up more than most from appearance and body language - but I still found the experience disconcerting.

What he told me about the future is, well, possible, that I'll meet a gorgeous man (indeed, a pleasant change) and move to England (God help us all).

But the revelations about a past relationship were rather shocking - if what he says is true.

After I leave I'm in limbo for a while.

Still, without wishing to cast aspersions on Paddy's powers, or disparage those who consult him, I can't help remembering an Albanian proverb: there are no scarecrows in the desert.

Patrick King can be contacted on 028 9042 8122 or 07792 404120.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 22](#)

Sisters Are Stewards Of Landers Landmark

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 14:48:09 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 14:48:09 -0500
Subject: Sisters Are Stewards Of Landers Landmark

Source: The Hi-Desert Star, Big Fork, Montana, USA

<http://www.hidesertstar.com/articles/2006/03/22/features/feature1.txt>

Tuesday, March 21

Sisters Are Stewards Of Landers Landmark
By Jimmy Biggerstaff
Hi-Desert Star

LANDERS - Preserving the history surrounding the Integratron and recording new history at the unique site is an ongoing project of the Morongo Basin Historical Society and Integratron owners Joanne and Nancy Karl.

More than 100 people congregated in the white dome Sunday, invited by the MBHS to view videos recently made there and at nearby Giant Rock, receive a sound bath and swap stories about their recollections of the area.

The Integratron owners, sisters from Long Island, N.Y., had been leading wilderness retreats when they decided to make their own personal trek to the Mojave. "We needed a little Thelma and Louise time," as Nancy put it.

Upon their arrival at the Integratron in 1988 they encountered a man Joanne characterized as, "the weirdest guy I ever met in my life."

"We were more than intrigued," Nancy added. The pair started renting the Integratron, and every time they came to the desert they planted a tree on the 10-acre site. "No one loved this place like we did," Nancy said.

Things came together in 2000 and the sisters became business partners and owners of the Integratron. Upon acquisition of the property the pair turned to each other and simultaneously asked, "Now what do we do?"

"It was very humbling," Joanne admitted of their purchase.

"It was like buying a summer camp you love," Nancy said.

About six weeks after the Karls took over operations at the local landmark, California public broadcasting icon Huell Howser came calling to tape a broadcast of his popular travel show.

The airing of the program put the word out that things were again happening at the Integratron. "We weren't prepared for how many people wanted to come here," Nancy said.

Nancy and Joanne said people who knew the Integratron's builder, George Van Tassel, regularly dropped by to relate anecdotes.

The Karls agree the Integratron is, "larger than us. We're owners on paper, but in our hearts and minds we're stewards. We

want people to understand that this is their Integratron. There's only one in the world and Landers has it."

The Integratron has been adopted by musicians and travel writers, Nancy related. And because of Van Tassel's claims of UFO sightings and extra-terrestrial contact there, the Integratron and Giant Rock are something of a mecca for UFO enthusiasts.

The Karls want to share their treasure of the Integratron through events that won't cause undue stress on the 50-year-old structure or grounds. "The more we share, the more people can adopt it," Nancy said.

Barbara Harris first laid eyes on the Integratron in the early 1980s when she came to Landers from Anaheim on dates with her boyfriend Rob, now her husband of 25 years.

Harris asked the historical society members and guests assembled downstairs in the Integratron how many of them had been to a UFO convention, sponsored by Van Tassel.

About a dozen of the 100 people in the audience raised their hands. Conventions from the 1950s through the early '70s at Giant Rock attracted thousands of people.

"How many of you remember Eva's pies?" Harris continued, referring to Van Tassel's wife's renowned baking skills. Their cafe at Giant Rock, the Come On Inn, served hamburgers and pie to visitors, some flying into the adjacent dirt airstrip. Howard Hughes is said to have flown in for a desert dessert.

The Karls and Harris are organizing a Retro UFO Spaceship Convention at the Integratron April 29. A flier for the event advertises UFO and scientific speakers, Integratron and Giant Rock tours, vendors, food, art exhibits, musical entertainment and intergalactic interactions.

Paul Morehead will operate a biography studio where people with Integratron stories or memories about Van Tassel or Giant Rock are invited to record their experiences.

Harris said people interested in attending the Retro UFO Spaceship Convention should visit a Web site established for the event at:

<http://www.retroufo.com>

Harris encouraged anyone planning to come to the event to pre-register through the Web site. Spaces are still available for vendors at a reasonable fee.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 22](#)

The Lies & Beuracracy We're Up Against

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 03:06:32 EST
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 14:42:50 -0500
Subject: The Lies & Beuracracy We're Up Against

I've totally hit the roof.

To point out an analogy of the lies and bureaucracy we're up against let's look at how insipid this Homeland Security issue is.

Notably the Drug Trade.

After 911 when the ports and railways were shut down and cops were everywhere, dope still did not wane in it's flow into the U.S.

The rest of the world is still seeing a boom in drug trafficking as well. Afghanistan, a country where U.S. Troops are stationed after holding a war is booming in heroin and opium cultivation and distribution.

For crying out loud, we've had dock strikes, hurricanes, tsunamis and more money flowing for cops and military than in history. I can't walk down the streets of Los Angeles with a cute blonde on my arm without ten cops stopping me for ID but for some reason known only to the sages, tons of dope still can get into the country.

Look at some of the statistics and stories:

<http://observer.guardian.co.uk/international/story/0,6903,1436471,00.html>

<http://www.ojp.gov/bjs/dcf/enforce.htm>

<http://www.post-gazette.com/pg/06018/640103.stm>

I don't know how honest cops can stand it! Danger and sacrifice all over by the brave in blue not only in the U.S. but in foreign law enforcement as well and they're not even making a dent. How these people keep at it with this thankless job is beyond me.

Mind you, the Bush Administration can tap your phone and search you and do all kinds of things in direct violation of our Constitutional birthrights, but they can't stop mountains of dope from getting into the U.S.. It's a scam and the biggest lie ever told to us. Thus here we are, people from various walks of life and disciplines mostly spending our own money and time to educate the public regarding UFOs and related data and we have to depend on government policies and stonewalling like this.

Then when we get solid information and request data from our own government we get lied to like there's no tomorrow. A look at the bullsnot regarding this 'War on Drugs' and 'War on Terrorism' gives a clear picture of the problem right there.

Again, I don't know what terrors, if any, are from our visitors from beyond but none could be anywhere near the foolishness we face from our own species.

With the huge attention of the public focused on UFOs as any of us can see from their search engine queries, we're up against astounding odds to get a response at all from the elected and

appointed officials. They're too busy worrying about if they're going to get that next hit of smack and not whether you are being serviced.

So here comes Johnny UFO witness, researcher, whistleblower and not only does he not get assistance from his own government but he's frightened to come forward for fear of retribution of some kind.

It's driving me crazy to sit here with irrefutable proof but the witnesses are terrified of their own government to come forward.

Now I can understand the frustration of the true abductees as they've no where to go but themselves for support and the few brave researchers who give a damn about their fellow human being.

It wouldn't surprise me one iota if one fine day, by some act of God, we discover that crashed ET technologies having been back engineered are being used to transport narcotics on a global basis.

If it's strange, it's probably true.

Best, and good luck,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 22](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Rimmer

From: John Rimmer <jrimmer.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 10:07:08 +0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 21:30:08 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Rimmer

>From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 20:22:05 -0000
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:45:32 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>Hi Nick, William, List, EBK,

>>That is true. The cameraman did use that reason and it is
>>somewhat implausible, but the Army & Air Force did split at that
>>time. The cameraman's possession of the reels of footage he sold
>>Ray could be explained that way. Where is your evidence that it
>>didn't happen as he described? Speculation isn't evidence.

>>>That story was in circulation in the UK years ago. As an
>>>example on 22 January 1997, I interviewed Nick Pope, who told me
>>>in that interview the following: "If this really was the most
>>>highly classified operation in the world, classified higher than
>>>the hydrogen bomb, it's inconceivable that this cameraman would
>>>have been left to his own devices to develop these films, and
>>>that bits of them would simply not have been recovered from him
>>>and that he would have sat on it for nigh on fifty years. The
>>>story is more full of holes than a piece of Swiss cheese."

>>Great quote but Nick's opinion isn't evidence.

Hey, this guy was Head of the British Government's UFO Project!
Show him some respect!

--
John Rimmer

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 22](#)

Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Allan

From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 16:24:57 -0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 21:40:19 -0500
Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Allan

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <alienview.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 13:00:22 -0600
>Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America?

>>From: John Rimmer <jrimmer.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 21:09:28 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America?

>>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Sun, 19 Mar 2006 18:58:38 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America?

>>>At least two worthwhile abduction books have come from Great
>>>Britain. Sandinavia, Africa, South America, you name it, have
>>>abduction cases.

>>>I hope one of the worthwhile British books you refer to is "The
>>>Evidence for Alien Abductions".

>>>Probably not Mr. Rimmer. Mr. Hall was not trying to be
>>>inappropriately funny, intellectually frivolous, or academically
>>>constipated like yourself.

>>>I suppose it depends on what you mean by 'centred'. It is a fact
>>>that the greatest proportion of UFO abduction reports come from
>>>North America, even allowing for the US's greater population,
>>>and that the next largest numbers come from those countries
>>>which have strong cultural and ethnic links with the USA.

>>>Which does not do a single thing to parse out the original
>>>limp suggestion or flaccid intimation that the abduction
>>>phenomena is the province of "those dotty Americans with too
>>>little sense and too much time on their hands." It remains, as
>>>Mr. Hall has kindly informed you (...again!) that persons all
>>>over the planet are touched by this 'thing' you too quickly
>>>denounce as foolishness, Sir. Too many persons, by half again,
>>>than is covered by the duplicitous salve of your reflex
>>>denial.

>>>No-one is denying that abduction reports come from many
>>>countries, but it is hard to deny that the phenomenon is
>>>"centred" on the US.

>>>The only "centering" having the remotest chance of accuracy,
>>>Sir, is that North American proclivity that it generate two high
>>>profile University Professors and a world class professional
>>>person yet to disgrace himself... with the courage to devote
>>>themselves to the study of this high strangeness, while select
>>>others content themselves with deprecating humor, intellectual
>>>cowardice, and the need for more fiber in their academic diet.

Are the two "high profile university professors" by any chance
David Jacobs and John Mack? Is the "world class professional
person" a certain Budd Hopkins?

Neither of the first two could be described as high profile in

the true sense (unless you mean high profile in the field of ufology). And Budd Hopkins is certainly not a "world class professional" in any sense of the term. Ufology is not a recognised profession, and likely never will be.

CDA

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 22](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 13:36:34 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 21:42:00 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:50:33 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>As I said, I care not about the cameraman's relationship with
>the IRS. I am only interested in pursuing this tax angle as
>leverage to find the guy, nothing more, nothing less.

No disrespect, Nick, but what the hell are you going to tell the IRS?

We have this third party story about an old man in Florida who might not have paid taxes on some money, but we don't know who he is, how much money was involved, or whether he really didn't pay the taxes??

I mean, the IRS is a US Government agency, my man! Staffed by incompetent nincompoops who have all been promoted to their level of maximum incompetence. Do you really expect them to find their behinds with both hands, much less find anything else?

I just don't see this as being productive. Even if they were somehow motivated, even the FBI couldn't really do anything with what we have about Jack.

What we know:

No name. No military ID number or info. No Social Security Number. No address. No telephone number. No fingerprints. No clear photo of his face. No date or time of the money exchange. No info on whether cash payment or some other form.

I wish you the best if you try to do something through the IRS, but to me it sounds like spinning your wheels in quicksand.

Bob

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 22](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Kaeser

From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 14:16:30 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 21:45:25 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Kaeser

>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:50:33 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:45:32 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>That is true. The cameraman did use that reason and it is
>>somewhat implausible, but the Army & Air Force did split at that
>>time. The cameraman's possession of the reels of footage he sold
>>Ray could be explained that way. Where is your evidence that it
>>didn't happen as he described? Speculation isn't evidence.

>Ed

>"Somewhat implausible"?! That's the understatement of the year,
>and similar in magnitude to someone saying I'm slightly lacking
>in hair! It's way beyond somewhat implausible.

>For example, where are all of the other stories about military
>people (in the UFO field or in any avenue of the military) in
>1947 being unable to deliver highly classified materials to
>their superiors because of this Army-Air Force split?

>Please show me some examples where there is a precedent to this
>scenario of the cameraman and I will definitely listen more. If
>the cameraman's claim is the only such claim, I suggest we
>discard its validity.

>Now as I have said, I can understand why he might want to lie
>to protect himself. That does make complete sense.

I guess we're to select whatever piece of the cameraman's story
we want to believe and simply reject the rest as a lie or mis-
information. The story didn't make sense in 1996 and and
doesn't make sense a decade later, so now we should just reject
it and fill in the blanks, I guess.

<snip>

>That's very interesting Ed: you say that you "don't think he
>did" pay tax on the film. What makes you say that? Do you know
>more than the rest of us? You must know something more to say
>that with respect to him paying tax: "No I don't think he did."

>If you do know more, why not make a definitive statement on
>this List that I can then provide to my friend? And if you don't
>think he did pay tax, how can you justify saying as above that
>this is a "false lead"?

>If we have something concrete suggesting that "Jack" did not
>pay tax, we may have firm grounds for getting the IRS involved
>and identifying him.

Do we have anything "concrete" that proves money changed hands

to pay for the "film"?

Nick, if you were lurking in the AA "film" discussions a decade ago, you'll recall that the issue of his paying Federal taxes was discussed and speculation centered on the idea that he wouldn't have been able to declare the income. But if Ray Santilli is our only source of information regarding the payment, I'm not confident that any money actually changed hands.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 22](#)

Re: Project Isis? - Olson

From: **Jeff Olson** <jlolson.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 11:39:00 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 22:41:06 -0500
Subject: Re: Project Isis? - Olson

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 23:12:11 +0000
>Subject: Re: Project Isis?

>>From: Rosa Manson <rosamanson.Nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 05:37:23 EST
>>Subject: Project ISIS?

>Rosa,

>I think you're on the wrong List. Wild and sensational stories
>like this without one iota of factual background just don't hack
>it here.

:- (What a party-pooper, Dick. And to think I was about to share
my personal correspondence with an ET-genetically altered moose
in Northern Minnesota. Some people were worshipping it, and then
mysteriously disappeared. At least that's what he wrote in his
email (the fact that he could type with his hooves surely
demonstrates an extraterrestrial connection!).

Jeff:;-)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 22](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 12:04:22 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 23:39:40 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Gehrman

>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:50:33 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:45:32 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>That is true. The cameraman did use that reason and it is
>>somewhat implausible, but the Army & Air Force did split at that
>>time. The cameraman's possession of the reels of footage he sold
>>Ray could be explained that way. Where is your evidence that it
>>didn't happen as he described? Speculation isn't evidence.

>"Somewhat implausible"?! That's the understatement of the year,
>and similar in magnitude to someone saying I'm slightly lacking
>in hair! It's way beyond somewhat implausible.

>For example, where are all of the other stories about military
>people (in the UFO field or in any avenue of the military) in
>1947 being unable to deliver highly classified materials to
>their superiors because of this Army-Air Force split?

>Please show me some examples where there is a precedent to this
>scenario of the cameraman and I will definitely listen more. If
>the cameraman's claim is the only such claim, I suggest we
>discard its validity.

Hi Nick,

My informed speculation is that the Socorro crash and recovery was a unique event, and probably without precedent. Everything was done by seat-of-the-pants organizing. The cameraman must have been very trusted to be given the assignment and at that time there was no book to follow on how a secret like this should be handled. My guess is that there was utmost confusion and a few rolls of copied footage falling through the cracks is highly probable. I think the cameraman wanted a record and didn't think he'd be caught and the Army/Navy split was an excuse and an opportunity. So I too am skeptical of his story, but I also was in the Army and know how mistakes often happen. So there is a chance he's telling the truth. I probably shouldn't say this, but during the Voice Stress Analysis of his Japanese interview, the one place where the cameraman showed marked deception was when he indicated he was sorry for what he had done.

>Now as I have said, I can understand why he might want to lie
>to protect himself. That does make complete sense.

Yes, we agree.

>But my main point is that if he lied on the how and why re. his
>possession of the film, we simply cannot say to what extent he
>has lied on other aspects of the story - and that's the
>important issue.

I think the import issue is deciding which parts of his story can be verified. Those are entered into our stash of evidence. The other material is placed in the grey file and hopefully time will provide substanciation, one way or the other.

>>Absurd is a value judgment and again not evidence. It's a loaded >>term. His story may sound improbable, but it could be true!
>>That's the Army way. SNAFU and cover your butt. Prove that it >>isn't.

>I can't prove he is lying; no one can. But, if there is zero >evidence of similar things (such as people being unable to >deliver classified materials to their bosses after the Army-Air >Force split), then the body of evidence, or lack of it, would >suggest he lied.

Yes he may have lied about this to protect himself and that's understandable but unprovable. But I don't think it's an important issue.

>We don't know
>>exactly how he ended up with the footage, but we do know that >>he's the person who shot and developed the footage.

>_How_ do we know that he took and developed the footage? Because >that is what he told Ray? He also told Ray that he couldn't >deliver the most important film of the millennium to his >superiors and that sounds like, as we say in the UK, utter >bollocks.

He provided Ray with evidence of who he was:

"When we were first made aware of the footage there was an obvious need to establish its authenticity. Of course we had the benefit of knowing and dealing directly with the cameraman however we went to great lengths to satisfy ourselves that his story was genuine (we only had to satisfy ourselves not the world).

We had sight of, not only the cameramans many old photo albums which clearly proved his story (life story) but his enrolment and discharge documents, his diary and a wealth of other material all of which was easy to check. In addition the footage itself contained film stock code which we were able to confirm as 1947. (solid square next to a solid triangle on selected frames)." Ray Santilli, June 1995

>So how do we know that the elderly guy that Ray got the film >from wasn't a go-between from someone else with an obscure >agenda?

So someone with an "obscure agenda" contacts a little known and obscure film collector and merchant, without any previous connections to the UFO community and offers him film which he says is an autopsy of an alien "creature" but it's really footage of retarded, six fingered, six toed, Chinese twin mutants killed by secret flash burn experiments conducted by reborn German scientists using Japanese protocols at a secret White Sands location.

>That was certainly what happened with the British Home Office: >namely that bogus data was disseminated concerning the nature >and origin of the film, as per my interview with Levine.

>>>The cameraman said that his acquisition/possession of the film >>>stemmed from the fact that he couldn't deliver it to his >>>superiors. This is absurd because he also supplied Ray with the >>>footage of a second autopsy!

>>Think about your timeline and I suggest you read Beyond Roswell >>by Mantle and Hesemann. You need some basic grounding in the >>facts of the matter.

>Ed, I read Beyond Roswell when it was published, and I was in >touch with Phil Mantle 10 years ago, and provided him with a lot >of data and documentation on some of the people alleged to be >the cameraman. Phil can confirm that. So I know the facts.

>But the important fact is that this cameraman supposedly had >access to all of this classified material and kept it, and that >does not sound plausible. End of story.

It's not the end of the story because we have the footage. He only kept or copied some of it. Then years later he sold it for big bucks. Why is that so unbelievable?

>>>I can, perhaps, just about imagine that it might be remotely
>>>possible for him to have some footage of 1 of supposedly 4 or 5
>>>autopsies. But to hang on to significant parts of _2_ films and
>>>not have the military realize this is a ridiculous scenario and
>>>completely unbelievable.

>>Not if these significant portions were copied and not known to
>>be missing. Maybe the cameraman copied the footage for some
>>other reason.

>Yes, in which case he lied to Ray. Now, I'm not judging the
>cameraman for obfuscating how he, the cameraman, came to be in
>possession of the film. But again, I am pointing out that a liar
>once, might mean a liar twice re. other aspects of his story and
>his story of what the film shows.

But there haven't been any inconsistencies between his story and what the footage depicts. He says it's a freak creature and she sure looks like that to me. He gives directions to a crash site and these prove to be correct. He directs two drawings of the crash site and these match 100%. He says we'll find burned vegetation and strange blue material on the rocks and again he's correct.

>>>Nope. I have 3 main interests re. the film. The first is in
>>>trying to find out the real identity of the person that filmed
>>>it.

>>You're not going to do that. You're not even heading in that
>>direction. First you have to conduct a little basic research and
>>at least get the story right. You're a thousand miles behind.

>We'll see.

>>>The second is determining the truth behind how the person
>>>who sold it to Ray came to have it in his possession half a
>>>century later.

>>Ray researched all that. Do you think he'd spend \$150,000 on a
>>lark. The cameraman is the cameraman. Many folks have tried to
>>disprove that and failed. But you must read the story in *Beyond
>>Roswell*. Then we can discuss this.

>I agree that there was someone who obviously filmed the event.
>And I do believe that Ray bought it in good faith. And I do
>not believe that Ray was a part of any con or hoax himself.
>And I do believe that Ray dug deep into the story as provided
>by the cameraman. But it all just comes back to the cameraman
>and his claims as to what it shows and where it was shot.

But his claims have already been verified. He said we'd find a crash site and we found one.

<snip>

>>>Of course, as I have stressed, the cameraman may have paid his
>>>tax on the footage and in which case all of the above is voided.

>>No I don't think he did. But how do you think you might
>>approach the IRS and ask them to help on your quest?

>That's very interesting Ed: you say that you "don't think he
>did" pay tax on the film. What makes you say that? Do you know
>more than the rest of us? You must know something more to say
>that with respect to him paying tax: "No I don't think he did."

>If you do know more, why not make a definitive statement on this
>List that I can then provide to my friend? And if you don't
>think he did pay tax, how can you justify saying as above that
>this is a "false lead"?

>If we have something concrete suggesting that "Jack" did not pay
>tax, we may have firm grounds for getting the IRS involved and
>identifying him.

On pg 186 of Beyond Roswell, he mentions the tax problem and

the amount of the offer. Ray also mentioned this in a letter:

"Why won't we spill the beans and give the world chapter and verse on the cameraman?"

>From the cameramans point of view there were, and still are, a number of major considerations :

1. The welfare of his family (especially grandchildren)
2. His sworn oath to his country
3. The ownership of the material
4. The IRS.

I think we can all appreciate his concerns..." Ray Santilli,
June 1995

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 22](#)

Re: Project Isis? - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 15:09:51 EST
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 23:44:47 -0500
Subject: Re: Project Isis? - Gammon

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 23:12:11 +0000
>Subject: Re: Project Isis?

>>From: Rosa Manson <rosamanson.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 05:37:23 EST
>>Subject: Project ISIS?

>>In 1985 some Russian tourists that were visiting Egypt were
>>taken late at night from the Hilton Hotel in Cairo on 23 rd
>>April to a remote location to worship the Gods.

>According to what documented facts or evidence? To worship what
>"gods?"

>>It is believed that they went missing whilst on their knees by a
>>UFO. Is this true, and why was it part of project Isis?

>Believed by whom and on what basis? Why were they on their
>knees? And what is project Isis?

<snip>

Project Isis was a supposed above top secret soviet program
which investigated the belief that Egypt was founded by
extraterrestrials.

In Egyptian mythology, the first Pharaoh was Osiris who was
murdered and later raised from the dead by his sister-wife,
Isis.

We are supposed to believe that Osiris not only actually existed
but that he was actually an alien who brought civilization unto
humanity. After his death he was mummified and entombed in a
secret location which Project Isis supposedly discovered.

After the Soviets discovered the tomb and opened it, several men
died from unknown causes.

Not only did the Soviet's recover the mummified body of an
extraterrestrial, but they also gleefully took the various
technological devices buried with it.

The Soviets also reportedly discovered hieroglyphics which
detailed a prediction concerning the return of the Gods after
the tomb was discovered and opened. This led to certain members
of the project to form a cult-like group which worshiped the
extraterrestrial as Gods and which sought to help them
reestablish their control over humanity.

This cult later witnessed a rather large UFO and were
subsequently taken in a group abduction never to have returned
since. All of this information appeared on a rather poorly made
The Secret KGB UFO Files, hosted by none other than Roger Moore!
Here's a link:

<http://www.imdb.com/title/tt0224072/>

- Jason Gammon

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 22](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 14:38:39 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 23:47:15 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 16:54:23 +1000
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>Anyone with knowledge of the AA film will be able to tell you
>>that the cameraman's own story was that he couldn't hand the
>>film over because of this Army-Air Force spilt.

>Its still a feasible option, or he wouldn't have said it and Ray
>wouldn't have swallowed it.

But you are missing my point, William:

If the cameraman lied about whether he supplied Ray with copy or original, and he lied to Ray about the scenario of him not being able to hand over the footage to the military, then there is certainly a very strong argument for saying that he lied about other aspects of the story. That's where the biggest problem is, and where it always will be.

>Where does he lie about how and why he came to have the film in
>his possession? All we have established is the film he sold to
>Ray was copy film. We havent established anything of the sort
>about "how and why" He filmed a couple of autopsies, copied them
>and later when he felt it was safe sold the copies to Ray.

Well, my reading is that the cameraman lied about how and why he came into possession of the film because of his story about not being to hand it over to the military! His scenario about how and why he had the film is a lie. Doesn't that explain my conclusion on this issue?

>>The cameraman said that his acquisition/possession of the film
>>stemmed from the fact that he couldn't deliver it to his
>>superiors. This is absurd because he also supplied Ray with the
>>footage of a second autopsy!

>So what Nick? So he copied the film! Of both! The story is the
>same, except it was copy film.

Yes, but he told Ray something entirely different! It is thanks to Bob Shell that we are getting the real story of the cameraman's manipulation of the truth and the story he told to Ray. If it was left up to the cameraman, we would still be faced with his scenario and nothing more.

>>I can, perhaps, just about imagine that it might be remotely
>>possible for him to have some footage of 1 of supposedly 4 or 5
>>autopsies. But to hang on to significant parts of 2 films and
>>not have the military realize this is a ridiculous scenario and
>>completely unbelievable.

>Like I said Nick... How would the Military know..if it was
>copied. Who would know except him. Ray didn't even realise until
>Bob told him The military would not have known 'cos they didn't
>see it. Especially if he was in a trusted position to have
>filmed them in the first place.

Agreed: but (how many more times can I say this?!) if this is

true, then the cameraman lied to Ray. And a liar on one issue of the film might be a liar on other aspects too.

>We have seem accept the cameraman filmed these autopsies at this >stage. There is nothing to say he didn't.

No, there isn't anything to say he didn't film them. I agree. But we only have his word. And if he lied to Santilli about how he kept the film, and if he carefully committed tax evasion, I'm not sure how much faith I would place in anything he had to say about the film - other than that it was in his possession and he came to a financial agreement that ultimately led to it being broadcast all over the world.

>Only if you demean him by calling him a lying tax-evader and you >wouldn't trust one. By the way what do lying tax-evaders look >like?

How am I demeaning him? I am not the one who made the original suggestion that he duped Ray re. this story about how he came to have the footage and why he couldn't deliver it to the military.

I'm just commenting on what others have said, such as Bob Shell, about the fact that Ray didn't even know he had copy until Bob told him!

That's a clear sign that Ray was duped, but to stress, that didn't come from me - I am merely commenting on this excellent insight from Bob. I just happen to agree with Bob.

So if you see that as demeaning to the cameraman, so be it. But the cameraman totally and solely dug himself into that hole by his lies - so you can't blame me for demeaning him.

And the tax evasion issue did not come from me, either. Ed brought that up. If you have a problem with my comments on this, I would suggest you ask Ed why he brought up on a public forum the possibility that the cameraman may not have paid tax on the payment he received in return for the AA footage.

What do lying tax evaders look like? Er...I'm not sure, although I could make a guess that at least one of them looks like a 90-year-old-plus cameramen who distorts the truth to people in the British TV industry. If the old geezer is still alive in his 90s, of course.

>>>>You would be shocked if someone hunted "Jack" down based on what >>was said on this list? Why? Are you saying that we should set >>self-imposed limits on our quest for the truth? If you are >>saying that, I find this very strange.

>
>Come on Nick, of course I'm not saying that.

Good.

Nick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 23](#)

Hank Meierdierk Area 51 Aviation Icon Dies

From: Loren Coleman <lcoleman.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 18:46:49 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 11:52:35 -0500
Subject: Hank Meierdierk Area 51 Aviation Icon Dies

Source: KLAS-TV - Las Vegas, Nevada, USA

<http://www.klastv.com/Global/story.asp?S=4669023&nav=168Y>

March 22, 2006

Hank Meierdierk Area 51 Aviation Icon Dies At 84
George Knapp
I-Team Reporter

The secretive Area 51 military base has lost one of its most celebrated pilots. Lt. Colonel Hank Meierdierk died Tuesday in Las Vegas.

It wasn't until recently that the colonel and his Area 51 colleagues were allowed to even talk about what they did out at the Groom Lake base.

"They called me Rufus T. Geldanes. Heck of a name," said Lt. Colonel Hank Meierdierk. His name wasn't really Rufus T. Geldanes. That was a code name he used, even among fellow Air Force personnel.

The work being done by Lt. Colonel Hank Meierdierk and a few others was so highly classified that they couldn't tell superior officers what they were doing in the Nevada desert. Nor could they tell their own wives.

"Absolutely not. She knew nothing for two years. We had no time off. We'd be gone for 2-3 weeks at a time, so when we came home, we wanted to put on a sterling performance," said Meierdierk.

Meierdierk, who flew combat missions in World War II, was one of the very first pilots assigned to a desolate airstrip on the outskirts of the Nevada Test Site, a facility so secret that the government wouldn't acknowledge its existence for another 45 years, a base that would eventually be known far and wide as Area 51.

In the mid 50's, it wasn't much to look at, but it was home to the most highly classified aircraft in the world -- the U-2 spy plane, also known as the Dragonlady.

"They had already put part of a runway in, a couple of hangars, small ones. They had trailers for our living, trailers weren't very plush. We had a little poker game going on and a little whiskey now and then," Meierdierk remembered.

The U-2 was vital to national defense, Meierdierk was one of the first to fly it. Three years later, he was recruited to work for the CIA on the successor to the U-2, he headed up Project Oxcart, the program that developed a family of planes known as the Blackbirds, including the SR-71, perhaps the greatest airplane ever built.

For most of his life, Meierdierk wasn't allowed to talk to anyone about the vital work he did for America. In the last few

years, he and his colleagues from Area 51, the Roadrunners, received the green light to open up a bit. They gather every few years to swap stories and toast those who are no longer around.

"Because we're all getting so old, the bar isn't making any money," joked Meierdierk. An aviation icon, he was 84 when he passed away on Tuesday, March 21, 2006.

Dozens of the colonel's Area 51 colleagues are flying in from around the world for funeral services being held Friday in Boulder City.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 23](#)

Something Visible On Google Mars

From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 03:05:27 -0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 11:56:02 -0500
Subject: Something Visible On Google Mars

Just found it - here's a step-by-step

Get onto "Google Mars"

Type in "NiloSyrtis Mensae" and hit "Search" and see a red balloon - then ZOOM in/out until you're 5 bars below +sign (4 bars above -sign).

Then move that balloon (by moving map/screen with mouse) up til it's only 1 1/4 inch (3 cm) below top edge, and left a bit until it's just 4 inches (10.5 cm) from left edge - (best check that ZOOM setting again first).

[then check both measurements again, until exactly right)

Now zoom in (without touching map-screen at all) until 1 bar below +sign (8 bars above -sign).

In VISIBLE you should see - center-right-just-below middle - a darker sea-gull shape with a bent right-wing pointing down toward lower right-hand corner.

And in that corner do you see a MAN'S SHAVEN HEAD half-buried in the sands?

(looking towards bottom credit line "~ University =3D Terms ~").

Cheers

Ray D

PS Lat/Long - image center's at about 17.57 N 283.24=B0W (Old style - NASA photos) or the new planetocentric bearing is 17.57 N 76.76 E (New style - as it should be in Google but maybe not)

Here's a link to a guy who's checked it out quite a lot:

<http://tinyurl.com/4j9s3>

he shows it's a truly large monument - about 12 miles across.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 23](#)

Re: Something visible on Google Mars - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 03:52:44 -0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 13:12:46 -0500
Subject: Re: Something visible on Google Mars - Dickenson

Sorry, should have thought of this - those measurements to set position of red balloon only work if you're looking at a "Mars" screen 26 cm wide (10 1/4 inch) - that is, on mine, with Google's "results" side-bar in place to left.

And if you don't move screen & get rid of balloon's pop-up Google might keep screen centered on it while you're zooming - a bit frustrating.

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 23](#)

USAF New Civilian Careers Recruitment Site

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 20:29:20 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 13:15:07 -0500
Subject: USAF New Civilian Careers Recruitment Site

Why is the USAF now on an open worldwide recruiting campaign for civilian scientists to work on their research and design projects?

Reference:

<http://afciviliancareers.com/http://afciviliancareers.com/>

- The USAF has been superior in all the world for the past ten years at least.
- The USAF has been heavily monitoring and recruiting from the elite tech programs in academia for at least a decade.
- The USAF has been contracting with the elite engineering industries for at least 60 years.

Why is there a need to recruit in the open in a worldwide program?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://ufoupdateslist.com) > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 23](#)

Harnessing PCs For Searches

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 13:21:36 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 13:21:36 -0500
Subject: Harnessing PCs For Searches

Source: The Guardian, London, UK

<http://technology.guardian.co.uk/weekly/story/0,,1736761,00.html>

Thursday March 23, 2006

If distributed processing can search for aliens, why not web pages?

Inspired by projects that harness spare time on PCs, one programmer wants to hand back control of internet searching to users

Michael Pollitt
The Guardian

Are you worried about Google's growing dominance of the internet search market? Alex Chudnovsky certainly is. To develop a community-led alternative, the Birmingham-based Russian programmer is building a new type of search engine. By harnessing the power of distributed computing, he's already managed to build an index that covers lbn web pages.

He has called his venture Majestic-12, possibly a reference to the alleged secret committee formed after the 1947 Roswell UFO incident. His passion for technically challenging work stems from worries about Google's iron grip on the market, its tight control of search results, and even whether some sites are indexed at all.

"Because of their success, they have effectively created a monopoly in the virtual world. Monopolies never end up well for consumers," says Chudnovsky, who has developed search engines and other software for leading UK retailers. "I want to build the biggest UK search index."

He has a challenge. The market research firm Nielsen/NetRatings says Google has a UK market share of more than 60%, with Yahoo and MSN trailing by a substantial margin. "To many, search and Google are synonymous. Its dominance increases bit by bit each month," says a spokesman.

Chudnovsky, though, wants to use the technique that has worked so well - at least for recruitment - for the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence (Seti), cancer searches, climate change modelling and, most recently, cracking a set of encrypted messages sent from a submarine during the second world war. Distributed computing lets many participants do little bits of work to create a huge result, using spare time on their computers.

Big shoes to fill

Chudnovsky has a huge result to follow. Google stopped publicising the size of its search index when it reached 8bn pages four months ago. "We maintain the largest collection of documents searchable on the web," says a Google spokeswoman. "We

estimate this expanded search index to be more than three times as large as any other search engine. We update the entire index about once a month, and some areas more frequently."

In his latest book "An Introduction to Search Engines and Web Navigation" Mark Levene, the professor of computer science at Birkbeck College, says Google has more than 15,000 servers and "crawls" - examines for indexing - 3,000 URLs per second. (Other estimates have ranged from 31,000 to 79,000 servers.)

Your home PC is clearly no match. For example, you cannot crawl more than 1m pages a day on a 2Mbps broadband connection. It will take you 8,000 days (about 22 years) to acquire a Google-sized but hopelessly out-of-date index.

The solution? Recruit like-minded people who donate computer time, as they do for Seti.nul and other projects. "Google's database is about 8bn pages, so fewer than 10,000 people taking part in this project can recrawl the whole of Google's database every single day," says Chudnovsky.

A large-scale distributed crawling project has been attempted and involved thousands at its peak. Danny Sullivan, the editor-in-chief of Search Engine Watch, points to Looksmart's Grub project (<http://grub.looksmart.com>) of 2003, which is no longer operational.

Majestic-12's volunteers - 60 so far - are crawling about 50m pages a day using unlimited broadband connections and software that runs in the background. Over the past few months, 7bn pages have been crawled although, at 1bn pages, the completed index lags behind for now. This is stored centrally to enable the Majestic-12 distributed search engine (via majestic12.co.uk) to return fast, relevant results.

"Ideally, I'd like to distribute the search index," says Chudnovsky. This is a challenging proposition that would see duplicate chunks of a huge index distributed between broadband-connected PCs. There are also parallels with peer-to-peer systems such as Gnutella, which share music, films and software. A small-scale experiment with one country, perhaps Finland, may happen later this year.

Professor Jon Crowcroft of Cambridge University says this type of collaborative web crawling and indexing is very reasonable. "Many search engines do this to reduce the traffic load returning to a single central site - distributing the index itself is OK, so long as you have an efficient mechanism to search the index."

These efforts also interest Professor Levene. "I hope the project succeeds. People finding novel ways of doing crawling or search is good for the competition," he says. Should Google, Yahoo, and MSN be worried? "It would be hard to push Google out of the way - they're just going to buy you out."

Chudnovsky's aspirations are more community-minded, helping to develop a search engine that users control. Nevertheless, his innovative code might revitalise searches on corporate websites or, more controversially, assist with search engine optimisation. But as video, images and music are added to burgeoning search engine indices, crawling and search tasks will need to become more distributed.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: ufoupdateslist.com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 23](#)

Russian Researchers Open UFO School

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 18:51:19 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 18:51:19 -0500
Subject: Russian Researchers Open UFO School

Source: MosNews.Con - Moscow, Russia

<http://www.mosnews.com/news/2006/03/23/ufoschool.shtml>

23.03.2006

Russian Researchers Open UFO School For Lovers Of Paranormal

MosNews

Russian ufologists have opened a school where they teach people what to do if they encounter a UFO and where to look for extraterrestrials.

The commotion over UFO sightings in Central Russia's Togliatti began this summer when crop circles appeared in a field just outside the city. The enormous pictogram in the grass attracted dozens of journalists and hundreds of curious onlookers.

In response to the evident demand, local UFO researchers decided to establish a school where people would learn about the paranormal. Togliatti's popularity with extraterrestrials makes it an ideal spot for such an institution, a local daily said Thursday.

"We know of several places in Togliatti where UFO sightings are frequent," chairwoman for the Togliatti Ufology Commission, Tatiana Markova, told the Ploshchad Svobody daily.

"We have studied several of the most popular flying saucer routes. We have often visited the places where UFO's were sighted and filmed the phenomena we saw, for instance we have lots of video footage featuring the so-called Belgium triangles, they are frequent visitors to our city."

At the UFO school, experts give students lectures on various types of anomalies, the correct behavior in case of encounter with a UFO, and basic guidelines on UFO-watching. After all the theory is done, the students will go out to practise their skills.

"In late April we will take our students out to see UFOs," Markova said.

"However we are not going to take crowds of amateurs to UFO sighting spots just to satisfy their curiosity. We expect newcomers to extend our UFO-sightings map," she added.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 23](#)

UFO Over Mexico, 2005

From: Scott Corrales <lornis1.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 07:48:41 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 18:54:53 -0500
Subject: UFO Over Mexico, 2005

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology
March 23, 2006

Source: www.analuisacid.com
Date: 03.22.06

Mexico: UFO Over Mexico, 2005

A Chance UFO Recorded on Video by Dr. Adalberto Dominguez Valdes
by Prof. Ana Luisa Cid

On December 10, 2005, Dr. Adalberto Dominguez Valdes and his family were celebrating his son Carlos's graduation and held a party at the family home, located in the state of Mexico.

Precisely at the moment that the host addressed a few words to his guests, the cameraman involuntarily captured a black flying object that was not seen by anyone present at the time.

Dr. Dominguez only became aware of the event when he checked the video on his TV set, further noting that an elongated structure passed by his son's face at high speed.

Upon reviewing the original tape, which he kindly provided for my analysis, I noticed that the object is self-propelled and that on occasions it appears to spin on its own axis with an ascending, irregular motion, since it remained static at times.

I performed electronic magnifications in slo-mo, reaching the conclusion that it could indeed be a chance UFO, invisible to the human eye, and seldom captured on video.

Translation (c) 2006 Scott Corrales
Institute of Hispanic Ufology (IHU)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 23](#)

Narlikar's ET Discourse In Siliguri Today

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 19:01:35 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 19:01:35 -0500
Subject: Narlikar's ET Discourse In Siliguri Today

Source: The New Statesman - Calcutta, India

<http://www.thestatesman.net/page.news.php?clid=3D10&theme=3D&usrsess=3D1&id=3D110503>

March 22 2006

Narlikar's ET Discourse In Siliguri Today

Statesman News Service

SILIGURI - It will be all matters - extraterrestrial - when the internationally acclaimed astrophysicist Padmabhusan Dr Jayant Vishnu Narlikar delivers a scholarly discourse here at the Dinabandhu Mancha tomorrow morning!

And not to mention, as the eminent cosmologist, known for his science popularisation efforts, take on to the dais, it will be a delight for those keen on ET life as also for the general audience.

Dr Narlikar will be delivering a popular lecture of moderate duration on "search for extraterrestrial life" at a programme organised by the Paschimanga Vigyan Mancha (PVM) commemorating the concluding celebration of The World Year of Physics. The programme will take off at 10:30 a.m. tomorrow at the arterial Dinabandhu Mancha.

As soon as Dr Narlikar concludes the enriched discourse, he would immediately start interacting with young minds - his most potential successors.

"We have arranged a session titled 'meet the scientist' immediately after the deliverings. In that very session, school children will get an opportunity to put forward their queries before Dr Narlikar," said Mr Anindya Pal, president, PVM.

But the inquisitive young minds willing to have a chat with the founder-director of the Inter University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics (IUCAA) of the UGC, will be required to dig out the best query from their mind!

"It will be very difficult for Dr Narlikar to attend each of the quests. Hence, what we will do is, collect all the queries from the students in writing first and then will sort out those worth replying by the eminent scientist," Mr Pal added.

Dr Narliar and Mrs Narlikar would also attend another programme organised by the department of physics of the North Bengal University at the varsity campus on Friday.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 23](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <alienview.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 08:54:53 -0600
Fwd Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 19:17:06 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Lehmborg

>From: John Rimmer <jrimmer.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 10:07:08 +0000
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 20:22:05 -0000
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:45:32 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>>Hi Nick, William, List, EBK,

>>>That is true. The cameraman did use that reason and it is
>>>somewhat implausible, but the Army & Air Force did split at that
>>>time. The cameraman's possession of the reels of footage he sold
>>>Ray could be explained that way. Where is your evidence that it
>>>didn't happen as he described? Speculation isn't evidence.

>>>>That story was in circulation in the UK years ago. As an
>>>>example on 22 January 1997, I interviewed Nick Pope, who told me
>>>>in that interview the following: "If this really was the most
>>>>highly classified operation in the world, classified higher than
>>>>the hydrogen bomb, it's inconceivable that this cameraman would
>>>>have been left to his own devices to develop these films, and
>>>>that bits of them would simply not have been recovered from him
>>>>and that he would have sat on it for nigh on fifty years. The
>>>>story is more full of holes than a piece of Swiss cheese."

>>>Great quote but Nick's opinion isn't evidence.

>Hey, this guy was Head of the British Government's UFO Project!
>Show him some respect!

Set an example!

alienview.nul
www.AlienView.net
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 24](#)

Re: The Lies & Bureaucracy We're Up Against -

From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 10:08:47 -0500 (GMT-05:00)
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 15:25:49 -0500
Subject: Re: The Lies & Bureaucracy We're Up Against -

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 03:06:32 EST
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Subject: The Lies & Bureaucracy We're Up Against

I think drugs are not pertinent to the discussion. The drug policy shows how ineffective the government is about doing things in general.

>It's driving me crazy to sit here with irrefutable proof but the witnesses are terrified of their own government to come forward.

1) What irrefutable proof?

2) Who can blame them for being terrified? Since we do not know how a government would respond on an individual basis to a witness, such witnesses must assume the worst outcome, thus must remain mum for their own survival.

Whether they respond at all indicates whether there is anything to UFOs. If UFOs are truly nothing at all (or simply prosaic), then the government would NOT bother witnesses (assuming they saw something of high quality). If UFOs are really aliens or secret human vehicles, then they may have 'good' reason (i.e. national security as defined by the bureaucracy) to shut up or dissuade or discourage or divert quality witnesses.

The government has many ways to make your life miserable which you may not be able to trace. These range from bureaucratic messes (tax-wise, security watchlist-wise, property-wise, postal-wise, etc) to more esoteric things that Ms.E.White talks about.

Has there been a study of the life's of 'quality' UFO witnesses (or for that matter whistleblowers in general) and how they turned out after "coming out"? It is difficult in that we must know that they are creditable and whether what they witnessed was substantial and valuable (for general whistleblowers, this may not apply in that any threat to commercial or bureaucratic organizations whether based in fact or not, may be treated the same way). We need to know how they fared in their life as compared to prior to the event (as well as to the average Joe). I would suspect many would get a divorce (but it is unclear if this percentage is greater than the rest of the population). The rates of criminal charges for drunk driving and other drinking related crimes, tax crimes, forclosures would be pertinent. Also life expectancy.

Since we do not know how any government would treat witnesses (overtly or covertly), its hard to assume that anything bad happening to you isn't due to something you did. This is a typical whistleblower dilemma and does not require UFOs in the equation.

If bad things DO happen to you (and we all have them happen to us every day), it does not mean that they were directed by unfriendly bureaucracies rather than being purely random events, but how can you ever prove it???? Of course, this is the way to paranoia! But then a certain amount is healthy.

>Now I can understand the frustration of the true abductees as
>they've no where to go but themselves for support and the few
>brave researchers who give a damn about their fellow human
>being.

Since the official paradigm is that they are psychologically troubled (to say the least), then it doesn't pay to go to the government and be committed.

If one had stereo video of a abduction taking place (with radar of the spacecraft), then perhaps you can get your congressman to take action by forcing the bureaucracy to do something (legal investigation,NASA, someone!).

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 24](#)

Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Lehmberg

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 09:17:43 -0600
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 15:29:10 -0500
Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Lehmberg

>From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 16:24:57 -0000
>Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America?

>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 13:00:22 -0600
>>Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America?

>>>From: John Rimmer <jrimmer.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 21:09:28 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America?

<snip>

>>>No-one is denying that abduction reports come from many
>>>countries, but it is hard to deny that the phenomenon is
>>>"centred" on the US.

>>The only "centering" having the remotest chance of accuracy,
>>Sir, is that North American proclivity that it generate two high
>>profile University Professors and a world class professional
>>person yet to disgrace himself... with the courage to devote
>>themselves to the study of this high strangeness, while select
>>others content themselves with deprecating humor, intellectual
>>cowardice, and the need for more fiber in their academic diet.

>Are the two "high profile university professors" by any chance
>David Jacobs and John Mack? Is the "world class professional
>person" a certain Budd Hopkins?

>Neither of the first two could be described as high profile in
>the true sense (unless you mean high profile in the field of
>ufology). And Budd Hopkins is certainly not a "world class
>professional" in any sense of the term. Ufology is not a
>recognised profession, and likely never will be.

This is only because you insist on straining your fears and
prejudices through inadequate filters used well past their
serviceability date, Mr. Allan.

Mack was a giant, Sir, in and out of a ufological field. It's
why he won a Pulitzer prize. Jacobs is one of the two remaining
giants in a ufological field worldwide in scope and buoyed by
the interest of millions of persons. Hopkins is a quality artist
respected worldwide (read world class professional) and has
devoted his life to the study of something way outside your
comfort zone.

I think its safe to say they will, all three, be remembered long
after you've sloughed your mortal coil, eh?

alienview.nul
www.AlienView.net
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 24](#)

Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Sandow

From: **Greg Sandow** <greg.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 10:31:33 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 15:30:40 -0500
Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Sandow

>From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 16:24:57 -0000
>Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America?

<snip>

>Are the two "high profile university professors" by any
>chance David Jacobs and John Mack? Is the "world class
>professional person" a certain Budd Hopkins?

>Neither of the first two could be described as high profile
>in the true sense (unless you mean high profile in the field
>of ufology). And Budd Hopkins is certainly not a "world class
>professional" in any sense of the term. Ufology is not a
>recognised profession, and likely never will be.

I'd agree that David Jacobs isn't a major academic figure,
though he's a very popular teacher at Temple University, where
he's in the history department.

John Mack was certainly a major figure in his field, psychiatry.
His professorship at Harvard Medical School would alone
guarantee that, I think. He also won the Pulitzer Prize for one
of his books, a study of Theodore Roosevelt. This is one of the
most prestigious, highly publicized honors in the US.

Budd Hopkins was an important painter, not one of the top names,
certainly, but he was a notable figure in the New York art
world. He had shows all over the US. That he to a large extent
left his art career behind (though not his art - he still paints
and makes sculptures) to concentrate on UFOs doesn't minimize
his stature.

Greg Sandow

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 24](#)

Re: Project ISIS? - Clark

From: **Jerome Clark** <jkclark.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 10:11:58 -0600
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 15:32:35 -0500
Subject: Re: Project ISIS? - Clark

>From: Jeff Olson <jlolson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 11:39:00 -0800
>Subject: Re: Project Isis?

>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 23:12:11 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Project Isis?

>>>From: Rosa Manson <rosamanson.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 05:37:23 EST
>>>Subject: Project ISIS?

>>I think you're on the wrong List. Wild and sensational stories
>>like this without one iota of factual background just don't hack
>>it here.

>:-(What a party-pooper, Dick. And to think I was about to
>share my personal correspondence with an ET-genetically altered
>moose in Northern Minnesota. Some people were worshipping it,
>and then mysteriously disappeared. At least that's what he wrote
>in his email (the fact that he could type with his hooves surely
>demonstrates an extraterrestrial connection!).

Jeez, as a Minnesotan I thought I was the only person who knew
about Ferdinand (which is the moose's name). Are you sure we
should be talking about this openly? We could disappear, too!

Fearfully,

Jerry Clark

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 24](#)

Secrecy News -- 03/23/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 11:33:05 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 15:35:15 -0500
Subject: Secrecy News -- 03/23/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 37
March 23, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** SOME FISA COURT NEWS
** SOME RESOURCES
** SOME MORE CRS REPORTS

SOME FISA COURT NEWS

Judge Frederick J. Scullin, Jr. of the Southern District of New York was identified last week as a member of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court, which was established by the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 to provide judicial authorization for intelligence search and surveillance activities within the United States.

Although Judge Scullin was appointed to the FISA Court in 2004, his name had not previously appeared in news stories about the Court or in published lists of its current membership, such as this one (now updated):

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/doj/fisa/court2005.html>

Judge Scullin, who recently retired from the District Court in New York (but not from the FISA Court), acknowledged his membership in the secretive surveillance court in interviews with the Syracuse Post-Standard (March 17) and the Albany Times Union (March 14).

Another new FISA Court judge has presumably been appointed by U.S. Supreme Court Chief Justice Roberts to replace Judge James Robertson, who resigned from the FISA Court in December 2005 in what was reported to be an expression of protest against the President's warrantless surveillance program, which circumvented the FISA Court.

But officials at the Justice Department Office of Intelligence Policy and Review said they would not disclose the identity of the latest appointment to the FISA Court except in response to a Freedom of Information Act request. Such a request was duly filed.

A copy of the updated FISA Court Rules of Procedure, effective February 17, 2006, is available here:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/doj/fisa/fiscrules.pdf>

SOME RESOURCES

The website [freedominfo.org](http://www.freedominfo.org), sponsored by the National Security Archive, has produced a splendid new catalog of freedom of information laws in some 60 countries around the world, with links to underlying statutes and related background information (flagged by BeSpacific.com). See:

<http://www.freedominfo.org/>

"For the first time, the National Archives and Records Administration has made available online more than 400,000 State Department telegrams and other records for 1973 and 1974," according to a NARA news release yesterday. See:

<http://www.archives.gov/press/press-releases/2006/nr06-71.html>

Secrecy News has been named "resource of the week" (thanks) by ResourceShelf.com, which provides a daily survey of news, documents and tools for information professionals. See:

<http://www.resourceshelf.com/2006/03/resource-of-week-secrecy-news.html>

SOME MORE CRS REPORTS

Rep. Bob Ney (R-OH), the most outspoken opponent of proposals to permit direct public access to Congressional Research Service reports, recently lost his chairmanship of the House Administration Committee in the initial fallout of the unfolding corruption scandals in Congress.

But it is unclear whether his sensible successor, Rep. Vernon Ehlers (R-CA), will be any more amenable to online public access to CRS products. It may be that this inevitable step will have to await the election of a whole new Congress that actually values public access to government information.

In the meantime, the public can get its CRS fix from "unauthorized" sources.

In addition to the FAS archive of CRS reports, there are complementary collections at the State Department's Foreign Press Center (fpc.state.gov/c4763.htm) and at CDT's OpenCRS.com, among others.

Here are some notable new or recently updated CRS reports from FAS that are not available from those other sites.

"Balancing Scientific Publication and National Security Concerns: Issues for Congress," updated February 2, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/secrecy/RL31695.pdf>

"National Emergency Powers," updated February 10, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/natsec/98-505.pdf>

"Jordan: U.S. Relations and Bilateral Issues," updated March 14, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/mideast/IB93085.pdf>

"Global Climate Change: Federal Research on Possible Human Health Effects," updated February 10, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/RL31519.pdf>

"'Bunker Busters': Robust Nuclear Earth Penetrator Issues, FY2005-FY 2007," updated February 21, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/nuke/RL32347.pdf>

"The Exon-Florio National Security Test for Foreign Investment," updated March 15, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/natsec/RL33312.pdf>

"Military Retirement: Major Legislative Issues," updated March 14, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/natsec/IB85159.pdf>

"Navy Attack Submarine Force-Level Goal and Procurement Rate: Background and Issues for Congress," updated January 18, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/weapons/RL32418.pdf>

"Navy DD(X), CG(X) and LCS Ship Acquisition Programs: Oversight Issues and Options for Congress," updated March 7, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/weapons/RL32109.pdf>

"Improvised Explosive Devices (IEDs) in Iraq: Effects and Countermeasures," February 10, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/weapons/RS22330.pdf>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 24](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 13:35:05 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 16:07:36 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Shell

>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 14:38:39 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>Yes, but he told Ray something entirely different! It is thanks
>to Bob Shell that we are getting the real story of the
>cameraman's manipulation of the truth and the story he told to
>Ray. If it was left up to the cameraman, we would still be faced
>with his scenario and nothing more.

One other point, while we're talking about the cameraman lying.
The whole Truman thing came from Jack. Before the film had all
been copied onto video Ray was telling people that Truman was
there and could be clearly seen in the film. Why did Ray say
that? I asked him. He said Jack told him that Truman was there,
and would be clearly visible in the film.

Well, we now know Truman isn't in the film, and it is highly
unlikely he was at Socorro to see the crash site. Failing memory
of an 85 year old man? You decide.

Unlike Ed, I don't place any importance on those drawings of the
crash site that Ray has. My understanding is that an artist that
Ray hired drew them from a verbal description by Jack. I think
they're "artist's conceptions" of the crash, nothing more, and
we should not expect them to conform to reality other than in a
very general way. I certainly don't think the contours of the
land and hills are accurate.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 24](#)

Re: USAF New Civilian Careers Recruitment Site -

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 13:46:44 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 16:09:35 -0500
Subject: Re: USAF New Civilian Careers Recruitment Site -

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 20:29:20 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: USAF New Civilian Careers Recruitment Site

>Why is the USAF now on an open worldwide recruiting campaign
>for civilian scientists to work on their research and design
>projects?

>Reference:

><http://afciviliancareers.com/http://afciviliancareers.com/>

>- The USAF has been superior in all the world for the past
>ten years at least.

>- The USAF has been heavily monitoring and recruiting from
the elite tech programs in academia for at least a decade.

>- The USAF has been contracting with the elite engineering
>industries for at least 60 years.

>Why is there a need to recruit in the open in a worldwide
>program?

It's been said that the US is falling behind in math and science
training, and this could well be a result of it.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 24](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 10:57:09 -0800
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 16:10:55 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 13:36:34 -0500
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:50:33 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>As I said, I care not about the cameraman's relationship with
>>the IRS. I am only interested in pursuing this tax angle as
>>leverage to find the guy, nothing more, nothing less.

>No disrespect, Nick, but what the hell are you going to tell the
>IRS?

>We have this third party story about an old man in Florida who
>might not have paid taxes on some money, but we don't know who
>he is, how much money was involved, or whether he really didn't
>pay the taxes??

>I mean, the IRS is a US Government agency, my man! Staffed by
>incompetent nincompoops who have all been promoted to their
>level of maximum incompetence. Do you really expect them to find
>their behinds with both hands, much less find anything else?

>I just don't see this as being productive. Even if they were
>somehow motivated, even the FBI couldn't really do anything with
>what we have about Jack.

>What we know:

>No name. No military ID number or info. No Social Security
>Number. No address. No telephone number. No fingerprints. No
>clear photo of his face. No date or time of the money exchange.
>No info on whether cash payment or some other form.

>I wish you the best if you try to do something through the IRS,
>but to me it sounds like spinning your wheels in quicksand.

Bob

I do agree that it would be a tough thing to do, and it may
well be nigh-on impossible to follow this path re.
identification.

But, even though the chance is a slim one, I think it's worth me
at least seeing if there is at least some sort of possibility.

Yep: we have no actual contact details for the cameraman yet.
But, I've faced worse hurdles.

However, I may wait until the airing of the new UK shows on the
AA film and the new revelations there, to determine if it's
actually going to be worth doing so or not!

Nick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 24](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 11:03:43 -0800
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 16:12:55 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 14:16:30 -0500
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:50:33 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:45:32 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>>That is true. The cameraman did use that reason and it is
>>>somewhat implausible, but the Army & Air Force did split at that
>>>time. The cameraman's possession of the reels of footage he sold
>>>Ray could be explained that way. Where is your evidence that it
>>>didn't happen as he described? Speculation isn't evidence.

>>"Somewhat implausible"?! That's the understatement of the year,
>>and similar in magnitude to someone saying I'm slightly lacking
>>in hair! It's way beyond somewhat implausible.

>>For example, where are all of the other stories about military
>>people (in the UFO field or in any avenue of the military) in
>>1947 being unable to deliver highly classified materials to
>>their superiors because of this Army-Air Force split?

>>Please show me some examples where there is a precedent to this
>>scenario of the cameraman and I will definitely listen more. If
>>the cameraman's claim is the only such claim, I suggest we
>>discard its validity.

>>Now as I have said, I can understand why he might want to lie
>>to protect himself. That does make complete sense.

>>I guess we're to select whatever piece of the cameraman's story
>>we want to believe and simply reject the rest as a lie or mis-
>>information. The story didn't make sense in 1996 and and
>>doesn't make sense a decade later, so now we should just reject
>>it and fill in the blanks, I guess.

><snip>

>>That's very interesting Ed: you say that you "don't think he
>>did" pay tax on the film. What makes you say that? Do you know
>>more than the rest of us? You must know something more to say
>>that with respect to him paying tax: "No I don't think he did."

>>If you do know more, why not make a definitive statement on
>>this List that I can then provide to my friend? And if you don't
>>think he did pay tax, how can you justify saying as above that
>>this is a "false lead"?

>>If we have something concrete suggesting that "Jack" did not
>>pay tax, we may have firm grounds for getting the IRS involved
>>and identifying him.

>Do we have anything "concrete" that proves money changed hands
>to pay for the "film"?

>Nick, if you were lurking in the AA "film" discussions a decade
>ago, you'll recall that the issue of his paying Federal taxes
>was discussed and speculation centered on the idea that he
>wouldn't have been able to declare the income. But if Ray
>Santilli is our only source of information regarding the
>payment, I'm not confident that any money actually changed
>hands.

Steve

I'm now going to start digging through all my old files at the
weekend on the film for all that I have that was said back then
concerning the tax issue, and that has been said between then
and now.

Maybe it will all come to nothing, but at least it's an avenue
worth pursuing until I know for certain it's a dead-end.

And who knows: it may not be...

Nick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 24](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 15:26:28 -0400
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 16:14:22 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Ledger

>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 14:38:39 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 16:54:23 +1000
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>>Anyone with knowledge of the AA film will be able to tell you
>>>that the cameraman's own story was that he couldn't hand the
>>>film over because of this Army-Air Force spilt.

>>Its still a feasible option, or he wouldn't have said it and Ray
>>wouldn't have swallowed it.

>But you are missing my point, William:

>If the cameraman lied about whether he supplied Ray with copy
>or original, and he lied to Ray about the scenario of him not
>being able to hand over the footage to the military, then there
>is certainly a very strong argument for saying that he lied
>about other aspects of the story. That's where the biggest
>problem is, and where it always will be.

Hi Nick and William,

I have no dog in this hunt and have little faith in the veracity
of the AA film. But if Santilli didn't hoax this thing up, then
that puts it back on the cameraman who then would have had
staged this himself. Does anyone really think this would be
feasible, at his age? Could he afford to produce this himself.
If not him then is it possible this was possibly another
generated piece of disinformation cobbled up by "the boys" and
then fed through the cameraman to Santilli?

I've been away for a month so perhaps this has already been
addressed. If so...ignore.

Best,

Don Ledger

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 24](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 11:34:27 -0800
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 16:19:08 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 12:04:22 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:50:33 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

<snip>

>>I agree that there was someone who obviously filmed the event.
>>And I do believe that Ray bought it in good faith. And I do
>>not believe that Ray was a part of any con or hoax himself.
>>And I do believe that Ray dug deep into the story as provided
>>by the cameraman. But it all just comes back to the cameraman
>>and his claims as to what it shows and where it was shot.

>But his claims have already been verified. He said we'd find a
>crash site and we found one.

><snip>

>>>>Of course, as I have stressed, the cameraman may have paid his
>>>>tax on the footage and in which case all of the above is voided.

>>>No I don't think he did. But how do you think you might
>>>approach the IRS and ask them to help on your quest?

>>That's very interesting Ed: you say that you "don't think he
>>did" pay tax on the film. What makes you say that? Do you know
>>more than the rest of us? You must know something more to say
>>that with respect to him paying tax: "No I don't think he did."

>>If you do know more, why not make a definitive statement on this
>>List that I can then provide to my friend? And if you don't
>>think he did pay tax, how can you justify saying as above that
>>this is a "false lead"?

>>If we have something concrete suggesting that "Jack" did not pay
>>tax, we may have firm grounds for getting the IRS involved and
>>identifying him.

>On pg 186 of Beyond Roswell, he mentions the tax problem and
>the amount of the offer. Ray also mentioned this in a letter:

>"Why won't we spill the beans and give the world chapter and
>verse on the cameraman?"

>From the cameramans point of view there were, and still are,
>a number of major considerations:

- >1. The welfare of his family (especially grandchildren)
- >2. His sworn oath to his country
- >3. The ownership of the material
- >4. The IRS.

>I think we can all appreciate his concerns..." Ray Santilli,

>June 1995

Ed

Thanks for this. I suspect me and you will continue to disagree on the issue of how the credibility of the cameraman is, or is not, affected by him having lied to Santilli.

The final comments re. the IRS are particularly useful.

Nick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 24](#)

Re: The Lies & Beuracracy We're Up Against -

From: Rob Kritkauskay <robkrit.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 12:25:33 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 16:22:12 -0500
Subject: Re: The Lies & Beuracracy We're Up Against -

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 03:06:32 EST
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Subject: The Lies & Bureaucracy We're Up Against

>Then when we get solid information and request data from our own
>government we get lied to like there's no tomorrow. A look at
>the bullsnot regarding this 'War on Drugs' and 'War on
>Terrorism' gives a clear picture of the problem right there.

>Again, I don't know what terrors, if any, are from our visitors
>from beyond but none could be anywhere near the foolishness we
>face from our own species.

>With the huge attention of the public focused on UFOs as any of
>us can see from their search engine queries, we're up against
>astounding odds to get a response at all from the elected and
>appointed officials. They're too busy worrying about if they're
>going to get that next hit of smack and not whether you are
>being serviced.

>So here comes Johnny UFO witness, researcher, whistleblower and
>not only does he not get assistance from his own government but
>he's frightened to come forward for fear of retribution of some
> From: Greg Boone

Greg:

Your frustration is understandable and undoubtedly shared by many others on the List. However, this realization is rarely taken to it's logical conclusion. It would seem evident that the following is true regarding the release of documents by our government::

- * The truly profound is not released
- * What is released is often incomplete
- * What is released can be inaccurate and/or misleading

Hence, the release of government information is much more about serving their particular purpose and much less about truth. That being said, I think the following questions become relevant:

Is this a dependable or corrupt data source on science and the gathering of its own data in pursuit of the truth?

Rob Kritkauskay

"The most exciting phrase to hear in science, the one that heralds new discoveries, is not 'Eureka!' (I found it!) but 'Hmmm, That's funny ...' Isaac Asimov (1920 - 1992)
www.worldblend.net

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 24](#)

Re: Something visible on Google Mars - Boone

From: **Greg Boone** <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 15:43:53 EST
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 16:23:42 -0500
Subject: Re: Something visible on Google Mars - Boone

>From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 03:52:44 -0000
>Subject: Re: Something visible on Google Mars

>Sorry, should have thought of this - those measurements to set
>position of red balloon only work if you're looking at a "Mars"
>screen 26 cm wide (10 1/4 inch) - that is, on mine, with
>Google's "results" side-bar in place to left.

>And if you don't move screen & get rid of balloon's pop-up
>Google might keep screen centered on it while you're zooming - >a
>bit frustrating.

Ray,

Could you just do a screen capture for us? These instructions
are more difficult to comprehend than a government explanation
of a common situation.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 24](#)

Flying Saucers In Popular Culture Site

From: **Brad Sparks** <RB47x.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 10:36:10 -0800
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 16:26:23 -0500
Subject: Flying Saucers In Popular Culture Site

From: "Jim Klotz" <jimklotz.nul>

UFOPOP Observes 1st Birthday

On March 17, 2006, the website UFOPOP.org [Flying Saucers in Popular Culture] observed its first birthday.

The purpose of UFOPOP is to preserve and display images of UFOs and Flying Saucers presented in various aspects of our "popular culture" including comic books, magazine and book covers, advertising and products, toys and games, and more.

Beginning with a thousand comic books, the site has grown to over 3,500 images and will soon open its Merchandising Gallery. A Toy Gallery will be added later this year, followed by a Miscellaneous gallery for those things which just don't fit in the other galleries.

If you are seriously interested in flying saucers, a student of popular culture, enjoy spacecraft artwork, or just want to stroll down memory lane, then you are invited to visit UFOPOP.org, the site Britain's Fortean Times Magazine called "Utterly Essential."

Site creators Jim Klotz and Les Treece-Sinclair invite visitors to return often as new items are constantly being added. Emails are also welcome [through the link at the site].

<http://www.ufopop.org/>

Proudly helping to preserve the history of the UFO phenomenon.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 24](#)

Re: Something Visible On Google Mars - Boone

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 18:09:34 EST
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 16:27:48 -0500
Subject: Re: Something Visible On Google Mars - Boone

>From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 03:05:27 -0000
>Subject: Something Visible On Google Mars

<snip>

Okay, thanks Ray D for that. It made me go back and look again at something else disturbing about Mars that's been bugging me for some time. I don't know if anyone else has noticed but if so please post the researcher.

Here goes.

For years now I've glanced at Mars surface photos and one thing kept bothering me. The impact craters from meteorites that are relatively new geologically, meaning not too affected by erosion or what appear to be mudflows etc.

What's odd is that far, far too often there are craters in near proximity to one another that are dang near the exact same size as it's neighbor and the same age. Some close together and some not too far apart. Also they appear to come in threes. Meaning you'll find three different craters in a triangular pattern. At first I chalked this up to just the mind making order out of chaos but that pattern wouldn't end no matter where you looked on Mars.

I then said to myself to look at the photos of Earth's Moon as well as other moons in our solar system to see if the pattern was just the natural progression of things.

Nope.

Then I looked again at the older, eroded craters on Mars and it's a strain to find two or three craters same size in a pattern but can be found.

It looks like Mars went through two types of bombardment events. Some long ago and some more recent in it's history.

I don't know if the erosion is due to wind and old seas but it did occur and the contrast is something to be studied.

If one just does as Ray Dickenson has pointed out and go to www.google.com/mars you'll see what I mean. Maybe I'm making something out of nothing but when you go to the Cydonia regions you'll see it more prominent.

Could be just the way things are but it's really been gnawing at me for some time. Do meteors the same size hit a lot or what?

These patterns I see are either triangular or side by side in threes. Note not variations in size but dang near the same size.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 24](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Sawers

From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 16:14:34 +1000
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 16:32:15 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Sawers

>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 14:38:39 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 16:54:23 +1000
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>>Anyone with knowledge of the AA film will be able to tell you
>>>that the cameraman's own story was that he couldn't hand the
>>>film over because of this Army-Air Force spilt.

>>Its still a feasible option, or he wouldn't have said it and Ray
>>wouldn't have swallowed it.

>But you are missing my point, William:

>If the cameraman lied about whether he supplied Ray with copy or
>original, and he lied to Ray about the scenario of him not being
>able to hand over the footage to the military, then there is
>certainly a very strong argument for saying that he lied about
>other aspects of the story. That's where the biggest problem
>is, and where it always will be.

No, Nick, I'm not missing your point. It's just a huge leap from Jack copying the film to then saying he is lying about the whole story. We can understand why he may have lied about the copy. As Bob has said, he may have realised what he had was of great importance, or for that matter he may even have copied it as a safeguard. If we have others that had been threatened, maybe Jack was a little afeared and copy was his insurance. Improbable but possible. But to leap from this (copy story?) to how he aquired it, after being checked out by Ray and his colleague/s is too much. Ray may have been fooled by copy film as we all may be. I don't know what copy film looks like. DO you? If Jack's story checked out and whats said to be original film looks like, well, "film" I'd think that is what I was buying. Right?

>>Where does he lie about how and why he came to have the film in
>>his possession? All we have established is the film he sold to
>>Ray was copy film. We havent established anything of the sort
>>about "how and why" He filmed a couple of autopsies, copied them
>>and later when he felt it was safe sold the copies to Ray.

>Well, my reading is that the cameraman lied about how and why he
>came into possession of the film because of his story about not
>being to hand it over to the military! His scenario about how
>and why he had the film is a lie. Doesn't that explain my
>conclusion on this issue?

No it doesn't. Try this. I have a painting, I tell you its an original, You check me out that I'm a straight up guy and an artist. You buy the painting. Now what you dont know is, I have actually painted two exact copies of the same painting 'cos I love it so much, or for whatever reason. You find out...does it make it any the less a painting, apart from the fact its not the original you thought you bought, but a copy of the original. You

are trying to say its not a painting anymore. That I didn't paint it.

>>>The cameraman said that his acquisition/possession of the film
>>>stemmed from the fact that he couldn't deliver it to his
>>>superiors. This is absurd because he also supplied Ray with the
>>>footage of a _second_ autopsy!

>>So what Nick? So he copied the film! Of both! The story is the
>>same, except it was copy film.

>Yes, but he told Ray something entirely different! It is thanks
>to Bob Shell that we are getting the real story of the
>cameraman's manipulation of the truth and the story he told to
>Ray. If it was left up to the cameraman, we would still be faced
>with his scenario and nothing more.

Yes accepted, but it doesn't put all your "_ifs_" into the scenario.

>>>I can, perhaps, just about imagine that it might be remotely
>>>possible for him to have some footage of 1 of supposedly 4 or 5
>>>autopsies. But to hang on to significant parts of _2_ films and
>>>not have the military realize this is a ridiculous scenario and
>>>completely unbelievable.

>>Like I said Nick... How would the Military know..if it was
>>copied. Who would know except him. Ray didn't even realise until
>>Bob told him The military would not have known 'cos they didn't
>>see it. Especially if he was in a trusted position to have
>>filmed them in the first place.

>Agreed: but (how many more times can I say this?!) if this is
>>true, then the cameraman lied to Ray. And a liar on one issue of
>the film _might_ be a liar on other aspects too.

OK, Yes. _might_. So, the creature _might_ be a radio-active
six-fingered victim of progeria(sp?) gliding in on a flying
wing? I think it's you not seeing the point here Nick, or not
wanting to.

>>We have seem accept the cameraman filmed these autopsies at this
>>stage. There is nothing to say he didn't.

>No, there isn't anything to say he didn't film them. I agree.
>But we only have his word. And _if_ he lied to Santilli about
>how he kept the film, and _if_ he carefully committed tax
>evasion, I'm not sure how much faith I would place in anything
>he had to say about the film - other than that it was in his
>possession and he came to a financial agreement that ultimately
>led to it being broadcast all over the world.

>>Only if you demean him by calling him a lying tax-evader and you
>>wouldn't trust one. By the way what do lying tax-evaders look
>>like?

>How am _I_ demeaning him? _I_ am not the one who made the
>original suggestion that he duped Ray re. this story about how
>he came to have the footage and why he couldn't deliver it to
>the military.

>I'm just commenting on what others have said, such as Bob Shell,
>about the fact that Ray didn't even know he had copy until Bob
>told him!

..and that's all we established from Bob (in this discussion)

>That's a clear sign that Ray was duped, but to stress, that
>didn't come from me - I am merely commenting on this excellent
>insight from Bob. I just happen to agree with Bob.

As do I, as my retraction tried to establish.

>So if you see that as demeaning to the cameraman, so be it. But
>the cameraman totally and solely dug himself into that hole by
>his lies - so you can't blame me for demeaning him.

>And the tax evasion issue did not come from me, either. Ed
>brought that up. If you have a problem with my comments on this,
>I would suggest you ask Ed why _he_ brought up on a _public_
>forum the possibility that the cameraman may not have paid tax

>on the payment he received in return for the AA footage.

Its not important. As we dont know who Jack is, we aren't going to find out anything about his tax affairs.IMO. So he is/ maybe/maybe not a Tax evader. You seem to think because he didnt pay tax or he didnt admit the copy film you bring the full story into doubt. Remember the "painting" is still a "painting" painted by me and the "film" is still a "film" filmed by Jack. You checked me out as an artist, and Ray checked Jack out as a photographer. Remember he did buy other old film as well. Or did the other film come from other obscure sources. Would you be upset if Jack copied the old Elvis(?) footage as well? I know where you're going with this Nick.

You seem to want Jack out of the equation or so dis-credit him we can start to look at your "Body Snatchers..." scenario more seriously.

>What do lying tax evaders look like? Er...I'm not sure, although >I could make a guess that at least one of them looks like a 90->year-old-plus cameramen who distorts the truth to people in the >British TV industry. If the old geezer is still alive in his >90s, of course.

<LOL> Touche.
(not toupe... you bought up your folicly challenged state (g))

Regards

William

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 24](#)

Re: Visible On Mars - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 10:09:08 -0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 16:35:01 -0500
Subject: Re: Visible On Mars - Dickenson

Yup - realized that screens are different sizes so here's an easier way to find that "HEAD" (and you don't even really need color).

Google Mars - search box for "Nilosyrtis Mensae" gets a red balloon (click its labeling "OFF")

In "Elevation" and with ZOOM about half-way you can see, to lower right of the balloon, there's a (blue) bay or inlet with two almost parallel curving lines close-in, just above and to left of the bay.

If you double-click on the extreme leftward protrusion of the blue bay, just below the end of the lower (broken) parallel line - in the green really - the screen should center on that point.

Then change to "VISIBLE" and carefully ZOOM in closer. Eventually you should see a dark "sea-gull" flying UP the screen. Its right wing is bent downwards, to touch a lighter-shaded globular thing.

Pull that to center and ZOOM again. It's remarkably like a HEAD, half-buried in the sand and looking down to bottom of screen.

And here's the link for that chap who's done the studying.

<http://tinyurl.com/4j9s3>

he shows it's a truly large monument - about 12 miles across.

cheers
Ray D

"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 25](#)

What Does The Bible Say About ET Life?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 10:08:52 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 10:08:52 -0500
Subject: What Does The Bible Say About ET Life?

Source: The Good News - United Church of God, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA

<http://www.gnmagazine.org/issues/gn14/extralife.htm>

January/February 1998

Extraterrestrial Life: What Does The Bible Say?

Humans have long searched the heavens for signs of other life.
Is there life out there? Is there a way to know for sure?

by David Treybig

Humanity is fascinated with the concept of extraterrestrial life. Many wonder if intelligent life residing somewhere else in the universe is busy watching us develop. We want to know who we are and if there is anything (or anyone) else out there that resembles us.

Interest in contact with aliens is not just the grist of science fiction but a real-life passion for many devoted to the search for other life forms. When a job transfer put me near Roswell, New Mexico, I soon learned of July 4, 1947, the date of an alleged crash landing of an alien ship a few miles northwest of the city. The next day's newspaper reported that the commander of the nearby U.S. Army base confirmed that a "flying saucer" had indeed crashed into the desert the previous evening.

Rumors circulated that on board the craft had been aliens who had died in the crash. On the second day, however, the commander said the crash was simply a downed weather balloon.

Another report, released in June 1997, just days before the 50th-anniversary extravaganza staged by local residents, said that what some thought had been dead aliens were only test dummies.

The search for life

Of course, man's curiosity has not been limited to stories about flying saucers. Reporting on a radio-telescope project, an article in U.S. News & World Report said recently: "In 1960, Project Ozma in West Virginia began its quest to detect alien radio signals. The National Aeronautics and Space Administration committed \$100 million to a formal mission-the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence-but so far, no word has come from out there" (Victoria Pope, "Is There Life on Other Planets?," August 25, 1997).

The article also stated: "Space exploration hasn't turned up life, either. When NASA's Viking landers examined Mars two decades ago, they found a dry, sterile environment. Venus was a blazing inferno. It was only the images from the Galileo spacecraft that raised a slight hope of finding suitable conditions for life elsewhere in our solar system: Jupiter's moon Europa appeared to have an ocean of liquid water covered

with pack ice."

More recently NASA's Pathfinder has sent back pictures of Mars that show a rocky desert terrain devoid of any surface vegetation or other signs of life. Now attention is turning to what might be below the surface of the red planet. Scientists wonder whether they should be looking for types of microbes similar to ones on earth that can live in extreme conditions such as searing heat, salt and high pressure.

An alleged martian meteorite recovered in Antarctica containing microscopic wormlike structures generates more questions. Are these structures fossils of earthlike bacteria? Are they just the result of mineral or geologic processes that didn't involve life-forms? Is it possible that life like ours once began on Mars, only to die out later?

Although new possibilities and questions continue to arise as quickly as old ones are rejected, one simple fact remains: We have no scientific evidence of intelligent life beyond this planet.

Comparing science and the Bible

Missing in this discussion is information that has been disallowed by the scientific method, which discards any information that cannot be verified or disproved by observation or experimentation.

That missing information is found in the Bible. The scientific approach generally leads to rejection of biblical facts and statements about other intelligent life, because science cannot prove those statements. This isn't necessarily the fault of science; it simply is the way the scientific discipline was established and functions. Of course, we can rely on something science has proven. But, like most things, even science has its limitations.

When the Bible reveals something of a spiritual nature, such information is often beyond the level of science. Science is often incapable of proving or disproving what is stated. The Bible describes this kind of information as revealed knowledge, or knowledge we cannot discover through human effort alone.

An interesting example of this is found in Matthew 16. Here, Jesus asked His disciples what people were saying about Him. The answers varied. Then He asked: "'But who do you say that I am?' Simon Peter answered and said, 'You are the Christ, the Son of the living God.'" Jesus answered and said to him, 'Blessed are you, Simon Bar-Jonah, for flesh and blood has not revealed this to you, but My Father who is in heaven'" (Matthew 16:15-17).

Understanding Jesus Christ's identity as the Son of God is not scientific; it is not in the flesh-and-blood realm, proven through a microscope or telescope. This kind of knowledge is beyond science. In His conversation with Peter, Jesus affirmed that only God reveals this kind of knowledge. This revealed knowledge is the missing component in man's desire to understand his place in the universe. Without it, man will forever be left with a string of unanswered questions and endless possibilities.

Of course, not all who claim revealed knowledge actually have it. Occasionally we learn of cultlike small groups that claim to have secret knowledge of the unknown. When tragedy results, like the recent mass suicide of the Heaven's Gate group near San Diego, many are inclined to dismiss all revealed knowledge.

The difference between these groups and the Bible is the source of their information. Even large, long-established religious assemblies have shown their inadequacies in interpreting and explaining the Bible and attempting to represent God's revealed will. All groups have fallible human leaders, while the Bible contains the very words of God Himself. As 2 Timothy 3:16 tells us, "all Scripture is given by inspiration of God." Its authority is unassailable, whereas speculation of individuals remains just that-speculation.

The Bible has answers

So what can we learn from Scripture about extraterrestrial life and man's place in the universe? The Bible reveals that there is

extraterrestrial intelligent life, but not as many suppose. The Bible does not speak of aliens who live on other planets, but it does speak of a Spirit Being who "inhabits eternity" (Isaiah 57:15).

The Bible is silent about extraterrestrial life-forms as popularized in science fiction and the entertainment media, yet it is by no means silent about real extraterrestrial life in all its great abundance. The beings spoken of in the Bible are real. They have appeared and spoken directly to humans (Genesis 3:9-10; 4:9; 16:9). The Bible records the existence of many millions of angelic beings (Revelation 5:11) who are "ministering spirits" to mankind in fulfillment of God's purpose (Hebrews 1:13-14).

The first verse of the Bible introduces us to the ultimate Spirit Being: God. "In the beginning God created the heavens and the earth" (Genesis 1:1). It presents two pieces of revealed knowledge beyond the realm of science. The first is the existence of God. The second is found in the word created, a translation of the Hebrew word bara.

"This verb is of profound theological significance, since it has only God as its subject. Only God can 'create' in the sense implied by bara. The verb expresses creation out of nothing, an idea seen clearly in passages having to do with creation on a cosmic scale..." (Vine's Complete Expository Dictionary of Old and New Testament Words, 1985, Thomas Nelson Publishers, Nashville, "Create").

The concept of bringing the universe into existence from nothing is completely outside the parameters of scientific observation and experimentation. Science tells us a great deal about matter that already exists. It tells us that matter can change shapes and form. But science cannot account for the appearance of something that previously did not exist. It can only theorize about possible explanations for how everything we see around us came to exist from nothing.

We see this even in the various theories for the evolution of life into the myriad forms we see today-all start by assuming that matter already existed.

The Bible vs. evolution

In the face of this fundamental deficiency, some have tried to blend revealed knowledge with scientific theory in what is known as theistic evolution, or a belief that God made matter and then allowed evolution to shape life. In a monumental announcement the Roman Catholic Church recently agreed that life as we know it may have come about in this way.

Theistic evolution, however, is not the simple bridge between the spiritual and the physical worlds many would like. There are simply too many conflicts. The Bible, for example, reveals that God formed Adam from the dust of the ground (Genesis 2:7), not by evolutionary happenstance.

Scientific limitations aside, the apostle Paul said, "For since the creation of the world God's invisible qualities-his eternal power and divine nature-have been clearly seen, being understood from what has been made, so that men are without excuse" (Romans 1:20, New International Version).

Science acknowledges that we live on planet Earth, but in rejecting revealed knowledge it can provide no absolutes about how our world came to be. In contrast, Paul said this beautiful planet, with its many sophisticated, interwoven relationships among its intricate array of life-forms, is testimony to God's existence.

The Bible further says, "The fool has said in his heart, 'There is no God'" (Psalm 14:1; 53:1). Why would anyone reject revealed knowledge simply because it did not meet humanly imposed limitations? Paul, speaking of man's moral decline, explains: "For although they knew God, they neither glorified him as God nor gave thanks to him, but their thinking became futile and their foolish hearts were darkened. Although they claimed to be wise, they became fools" (Romans 1:21-22, NIV).

Mankind desperately needs the revealed knowledge that only the

Bible can provide. Through the pages of this divinely inspired book, we learn not only about extraterrestrial intelligent life (God and the angelic realm), but how this planet and we humans came to be-and what God has in store as our ultimate destiny.

A scientist's last words

The late Carl Sagan was respected worldwide as one of this century's greatest scientists. His many credentials included his tenure as the David Duncan professor of astronomy and space sciences and director of the Laboratory for Planetary Studies at Cornell University. He also wrote 30 books and served as an adviser to NASA on the Mariner, Voyager and Viking unmanned space missions.

Before dying from a rare disease in December 1996, he penned these words: "Six times now have I looked Death in the face. And six times Death has averted his gaze and let me pass. Eventually, of course, Death will claim me-as he does each of us. It's only a question of when. And how.

"I've learned much from our confrontations-especially about the beauty and sweet poignancy of life, about the preciousness of friends and family, and about the transforming power of love...

"I would love to believe that when I die I will live again, that some thinking, feeling, remembering part of me will continue. But as much as I want to believe that, and despite the ancient and worldwide cultural traditions that assert an afterlife, I know of nothing to suggest that it is more than wishful thinking" (Billions & Billions, Random House, New York, 1997, p. 214).

Although he excelled in scientific endeavors as few others have ever done, Sagan's otherwise expansive outlook was in many ways shackled by the scientific method to which he had devoted his life. This remarkable man had not come to trust in the revealed knowledge of the Bible-promises that human beings can eventually "be like" their Creator (1 John 3:1-2) and take on His "divine nature" (2 Peter 1:2-4).

From the outset the Bible describes man as created "in the image of God" (Genesis 1:27). The profound ramifications of this concept are discussed and described throughout the rest of the Bible.

Whether human beings understand these things or not, the Bible promises that "the glory of the LORD will be revealed, and all mankind together will see it. For the mouth of the LORD has spoken" (Isaiah 40:5, NIV). In due time this will come to pass. The Good News is dedicated to sharing this revealed knowledge found within the Bible.

The Bible is silent about extraterrestrial life, as it is popularly conceived, in other parts of the universe. At the same time, most of the world is markedly ignorant of the extraterrestrial life to which the pages of the Bible so abundantly testify. Mankind is also largely ignorant of the incredible future potential God has in store for human beings "out there."

There is indeed life out there-and your destiny is to become part of it if you so choose!

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 25](#)

Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of Light?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 10:17:45 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 10:17:45 -0500
Subject: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of Light?

Source: Penn State Live - Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

<http://live.psu.edu/story/16767>

Thursday, March 23, 2006

Probing Question: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of Light?

By Joe Anuta
Research/Penn State

Can anything travel faster than the speed of light?

"No," is what Albert Einstein would likely say if he was alive today - and he would be the man to ask, because scientists have been taking his word for it ever since the early 20th century.

According to Einstein's theory of special relativity, published in 1905, nothing can exceed the speed of light. That speed, explained Einstein, is a fundamental constant of nature: It appears the same to all observers anywhere in space.

The same theory says that objects gain mass as they speed up, and that speeding up requires energy. The more mass, the more energy is required. By the time an object reached the speed of light, Einstein calculated, its mass would be infinite, and so would the amount of energy required to increase its speed. To go beyond the infinite is impossible.

One hundred years of testing have only reinforced what Einstein wrote, said Donald Schneider, professor of astronomy and astrophysics at Penn State. "There is no experiment that has contradicted special relativity. We have accelerated sub-atomic particles to well over 99 per cent of the speed of light, but not equal to or exceeding the speed of light.

"Theoretically, strange things happen when you exceed the speed of light," Schneider added. Time travel, for one thing, and a breakdown in cause and effect. Schneider uses an example of hitting a target with a gun that shoots bullets faster than the speed of light. "Some observers would see the bullet hit the target before they saw the shooter fire the gun," he said.

"Since one of the guiding principles of relativity is that all physical laws are the same to all observers, this violation of causality would be a big problem."

Another oddity: tachyons. In 1967, Gerald Feinberg, a physicist at Columbia University, proposed the existence of these faster-than-light particles. In their mirror world above the light-speed barrier, tachyons would require infinite energy to slow down to the speed of light.

Other concepts that have popped up include "wormholes" - shortcuts through space-time that would permit point-to-point travel faster than light - and "warp drives," a kind of bubble created in space in which relativity wouldn't apply.

Although they have become staples of science fiction, tachyons, worm holes and warp drives remain speculation, and many physicists dismiss their significance. There is, however, at least one real-world example of superluminal (i.e., faster-than-light) travel. It occurs when light passes through water.

In this dense medium, Schneider explained, light is slowed to three-fourths of its speed in a vacuum. In a nuclear reactor, charged particles flying off the radioactive rods through the water they are submerged in exceed this reduced speed.

Because these particles contain an electric charge, they emit energy, called Cherenkov radiation. Any particles they bump into become radioactive, giving the water a characteristic blue glow.

"It's not at all exotic," Schneider said. "Every time you look at the water in a nuclear reactor, the bluish glow you see is radiation produced by charged particles moving faster than the speed of light in the water."

Still, slowing light down in order to beat it is cheating, Schneider conceded. And although he's not closing his mind to the possibility that relativity will one day be amended, for now, he said, Einstein's theory is the final word.

Donald Schneider is a professor of astronomy and astrophysics at Penn State. He can be reached at dps.nul via e-mail.

For more Probing Questions, and other features about research at Penn State, subscribe to Research Penn State: Online at:

<http://www.rps.psu.edu/subscribe/>

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://ufoupdateslist.com) > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 25](#)

Tiny Tunnels In Mars Rock Hint At Possibility Of

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 10:24:38 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 10:24:38 -0500
Subject: Tiny Tunnels In Mars Rock Hint At Possibility Of

Source: Space.Com - New York, New York, USA

http://www.space.com/scienceastronomy/060323_meteorite.html

March 23 2006

Tiny Tunnels In Mars Rock Hint At Possibility Of Life

Leonard David
Senior Space Writer
SPACE.com

A study of a meteorite that fell in Egypt nearly 95 years ago may offer clues as to the search for possible life on Mars.

Researchers studying the meteorite that originated from Mars found a series of microscopic tunnels within the object that mimic the size, shape and distribution to tracks left on Earth rocks by the feeding frenzy of bacteria.

The discovery of the tiny burrows adds intrigue to the search for life beyond Earth. However, no DNA could be extracted from the meteorite, so it's not known if the tunnels are of biological origin. The scientists said the lack of DNA also does not derail the prospect.

Martin Fisk, a professor of marine geology in the College of Oceanic and Atmospheric Sciences at Oregon State University (OSU) in Corvallis, Oregon is lead author of a study team's research findings, published in the February issue of the bimonthly journal, *Astrobiology* and announced today.

Bacterial invasion

A focus of their work is the Nakhla meteorite that fell from the sky in 1911 near Nakhla in Egypt. The object was later identified as belonging to an exclusive group of objects known as SNC meteorites=97a subgroup of which is the nakhlites=97considered to come from the surface of Mars.

Scientists have dated the igneous rock fragment from Nakhla at 1.3 billion years in age. They believe that the rock was exposed to water about 600 million years ago, based on the age of clay found inside the rocks.

"Virtually all of the tunnel marks on Earth rocks that we have examined were the result of bacterial invasion," Fisk explained in an OSU press statement. "In every instance, we've been able to extract DNA from these Earth rocks, but we have not yet been able to do that with the Martian samples."

That being the case, there are two likely scenarios.

"One is that there is an abiotic [non-living] way to create those tunnels in rock on Earth, and we just haven't found it

yet," Fisk said. "The second possibility is that the tunnels on Martian rocks are indeed biological in nature, but the conditions are such on Mars that the DNA was not preserved."

Fisk said it is commonly believed that water is an essential ingredient for life. "So if bacteria laid down the tunnels in the rock when the rock was wet, they may have died 600 million years ago. That may explain why we can't find DNA=97it is an organic compound that can break down."

Handful of environments

How do scientists know that the meteorite can from the red planet in the first place?

Nakhla is one of more than 30 meteorites identified as coming from Mars, hurled off that planet due to asteroid or comet impacts long ago. Eventually, after tumbling through space, a few crossed Earth's orbit and came to full-stop on our planet.

The SNC meteorites contain gas trapped in their interiors. The composition of this gas has been found to be nearly identical to that of the atmosphere on the red planet, as measured by NASA's twin Viking Mars landers in 1976.

The igneous rocks from Mars are similar to many of those found on Earth, and virtually identical to those found in a handful of environments, including a volcanic field in Canada.

Scientists have come across rock-eating microbes here on Earth in a wide assortment of places=97below the ocean floor, in desert settings, and on dry mountaintops, Fisk and his colleagues point out.

A follow-on question that the OSU researchers hope to answer is whether bacteria begin devouring the rock as soon as they are introduced. Such a discovery might help estimate when water=97and possibly life=97may have been introduced on Mars.

Along with Fisk, other authors on the Astrobiology paper include Olivia Mason, an OSU graduate student; Radu Popa, of Portland State University; Michael Storrie-Lombardi, of the Kinohi Institute in Pasadena, Calif.; and Edward Vicenci, from the Smithsonian Institution.

Old claim, new data

In other Mars meteorite research, an international team of scientists will fuel more debate about the 1996 NASA-led assertion that another Martian meteorite=97Allan Hills 84001, or ALH 84001=97contained tell-tale signs of past biological activity on Mars.

The claim centered on whether organic compounds and tiny globules of carbonate minerals imbedded in that meteorite may have been processed by Martian biology. Indeed, the tiny carbonate globules from the meteorite seem to resemble minerals that arise from microbial activity on Earth.

But a fresh take on this issue will show that such carbon complexes in ALH 84001 likely formed by non-biological processing on Mars, according to Andrew Steele of Carnegie Institution's Geophysical Laboratory in Washington, D.C.

The new ALH 84001 research is to be presented at NASA's Astrobiology Science Conference (AbSciCon) 2006 being held March 26-30 in Washington, D.C.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 25](#)

Re: The Lies & Bureaucracy We're Up Against -

From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 09:45:36 -0500 (GMT-05:00)
Fwd Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 10:29:49 -0500
Subject: Re: The Lies & Bureaucracy We're Up Against -

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 03:06:32 EST
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Subject: The Lies & Bureaucracy We're Up Against

<snip of drug reference>

I think drugs are not pertinent to the discussion. That policy shows how ineffective the government is about doing things in general, though.

>It's driving me crazy to sit here with irrefutable proof but
>the witnesses are terrified of their own government to
>come forward.

- 1) What irrefutable proof? Can you share anonymous versions of it? If you have it then why do you need the government?
- 2) Who can blame them for being terrified? Since we do not know how a government would respond on an individual basis to a witness, such witnesses must assume the worst potential outcome, thus must remain mum.

Whether the government acts against witnesses at all indicates whether there is anything to the UFO topic. If UFOs are truly nothing at all (or simply prosaic), then the government would NOT bother witnesses (assuming they saw something of high quality). If UFOs are really aliens or secret human vehicles, then they may have 'good' reason (i.e. national security as defined by the bureaucracy) to shut up or dissuade or discourage or divert quality witnesses.

The government has many ways to make your life miserable which you may not be able to trace. These range from bureaucratic messes (tax-wise, security watchlist-wise, property-wise, postal-wise, etc) to more esoteric things that Ms.E.White talks about.

Has there been a study of the life's of 'quality' UFO witnesses (or for that matter whistleblowers in general) and how they turned out after "coming out"? It is difficult in that we must know that they are creditable and whether what they witnessed was substantial and valuable (for non-UFO whistleblowers, this may not apply in that any threat to commercial or bureaucratic organizations whether based in fact or not, may be treated the same way because they threaten the organization or its public perception).

We need to know how witnesses fared in their life as compared to prior to the event (as well as to the average Joe). I would suspect many would get a divorce (but it is unclear if this percentage is greater than the rest of the population). The rates of criminal charges for drunk driving and other drinking related crimes, tax crimes, foreclosures would be pertinent. Also lifetime vs. life expectancy.

Since we do not know how any government would treat witnesses (overtly or covertly), its hard to assume that anything bad happening to you isn't due to something you did. This is a

typical whistleblower dilemma and does not require UFOs in the equation.

If bad things DO happen to you (and we all have them happen to us every day), it does not mean that they were directed by unfriendly bureauocracies rather than being purely random events, but how can you ever prove it????

Of course, this is the way to paranoia! But then a certain amount is healthy.

>Now I can understand the frustration of the true
>abductees as they've no where to go but themselves
>for support and the few brave researchers who give
>a damn about their fellow human being.

Since the official paradigm is that they are psychologically troubled (to say the least), then it doesn't pay to go to the government and be committed (or, thesedays, to save money, just ignored and put back on the streets with along with others with _true_ mental problems).

If one had stereo video of a abduction taking place (with radar of the spacecraft), then perhaps one can get one's congressman to take action by forcing the bureaucracy to do something (legal investigation,NASA, someone!).

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 25](#)

Secrecy News -- 03/24/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 10:55:18 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 10:33:08 -0500
Subject: Secrecy News -- 03/24/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 38
March 24, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** JUDGE JOHN D. BATES APPOINTED TO THE FISA COURT
** CORRECTIONS
** THIS AND THAT

JUDGE JOHN D. BATES APPOINTED TO THE FISA COURT

Judge John D. Bates was appointed last month by Chief Justice John Roberts to serve on the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court.

Judge Bates of the D.C. District is the eleventh member of the secretive Court, which processes applications for domestic intelligence search and surveillance under the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978.

He replaces Judge James Robertson who resigned in December 2005 in what was widely viewed as a protest against the President's warrantless surveillance program.

The appointment of Judge Bates to the FISA Court has not previously been reported.

When questioned by Secrecy News earlier this week, Justice Department officials refused to divulge the name of the newest FISA Court judge. The Justice officials suggested filing a Freedom of Information Act request.

But Judge Bates himself disclosed the February 2006 appointment in his online bio at the D.C. District Courthouse (thanks to S):

<http://www.dcd.uscourts.gov/bates-bio.html>

Judge Bates, a Republican appointee, has a distinctly conservative cast to his resume. From 1995-1997, he served as Deputy Independent Counsel to the intensely partisan Whitewater investigation. In 2002, he dismissed a lawsuit brought by the congressional General Accounting Office seeking disclosure of records of the Vice President's Energy Task Force.

But he has also ruled occasionally in favor of Freedom of Information Act litigants. And in 2004, he rejected the Bush Administration's argument that a U.S. citizen detained abroad under U.S. control cannot invoke habeas corpus.

"The Court concludes that a citizen cannot be so easily separated from his constitutional rights," Judge Bates memorably ruled in *Abu Ali v. John Ashcroft*.

An FAS roster of FISA Court judges, now including Judge Bates, can be found here:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/doj/fisa/court2006.html>

CORRECTIONS

Judge Frederick J. Scullin, another FISA Court member, served in the Northern District of New York, not the Southern District, as mistakenly stated in Secrecy News yesterday.

Rep. Vernon J. Ehlers, the new chairman of the House Committee on House Administration, is a Republican from Michigan, not from California.

(Speaking of Rep. Ehlers, his online bio notes that he "was instrumental in the effort to connect the House of Representatives with the Internet and the creation of the Library of Congress' Thomas website, which allows anyone to look up legislation being considered by Congress, laws that have been passed and other information about Congress."

For now, however, that "other information about Congress" which is available online thanks in part to Rep. Ehlers still does not encompass Congressional Research Service reports.)

THIS AND THAT

The Department of Energy has released a redacted version of its twentieth report on inadvertent releases of classified nuclear weapons information found in declassified records at the National Archives. Upon examination of nearly 300,000 pages of public records, DoE reviewers found 47 pages which they said should not have been released. Those pages were embedded in over a thousand pages of documents, all of which were removed from public access. See:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/othergov/doe/inadvertent20.pdf>

The defense contractor Sikorsky Aircraft has sued the Defense Department in an effort to block disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act of what it considers to be confidential commercial information, the Project on Government Oversight reported on its blog. See:

http://pogoblog.typepad.com/pogo/2006/03/sikorskys_rever.html

The record of a September 2005 Senate Judiciary Committee hearing on "ABLE DANGER and Intelligence Information Sharing" has recently been published. See:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2005_hr/shrg109-311.html

The U.S. military must be prepared to respond to incidents abroad that involve chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, or high-yield explosives (CBRNE). A new Defense Department Instruction on "Foreign Consequence Management" sets DoD policy on the subject. See DoD Instruction 2000.21, March 10, 2006:

http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/dod/i2000_21.pdf

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 25](#)

Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Clark

From: **Jerome Clark** <jkclark.nul>
Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 14:35:02 -0600
Fwd Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 10:34:35 -0500
Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America? - Clark

>From: Greg Sandow <greg.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 10:31:33 -0500
>Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America?

>>From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 16:24:57 -0000
>>Subject: Re: Abductions Mainly In North America?

Hi, Greg,

>John Mack was certainly a major figure in his field,
>psychiatry. His professorship at Harvard Medical School would
>alone guarantee that, I think. He also won the Pulitzer Prize
>for one of his books, a study of Theodore Roosevelt. This is one
>of the most prestigious, highly publicized honors in the US.

I think you may have unconsciously confused John Mack with
Edmund Morris. Mack's Pulitzer was for his psychobiography
of T. E. Lawrence.

Jerry Clark

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 25](#)

Mars Orbiter's 8 Foot Resolution At 1,547 Miles

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 17:09:21 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 10:46:45 -0500
Subject: Mars Orbiter's 8 Foot Resolution At 1,547 Miles

Imagine the resolution when the Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter is down to 200 miles.

Don Ledger

March 24, 2006

Erica Hupp
Headquarters, Washington
(202) 358-1237

Guy Webster
Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, Calif.
(818) 354-6278

RELEASE: 06-106

NASA's New Mars Orbiter Returns Test Images

The first test images of Mars from NASA's newest spacecraft provide a tantalizing preview of what the orbiter will reveal when its main science mission begins next fall.

Three cameras on NASA's Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter were pointed at Mars at 11:36 p.m. EST, Thursday, while the spacecraft collected 40 minutes of engineering test data. The three cameras are the High Resolution Imaging Science Experiment, Context Camera and Mars Color Imager.

"These high resolution images of Mars are thrilling, and unique given the early morning time-of-day. The final orbit of Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter will be over Mars in the mid-afternoon, like Mars Global Surveyor and Mars Odyssey," said Alfred McEwen, of the University of Arizona, Tucson, the principal investigator for the orbiter's High Resolution Imaging Science Experiment camera.

"These images provide the first opportunity to test camera settings and the spacecraft's ability to point the camera with Mars filling the instruments' field of view," said Steve Saunders, the mission's program scientist at NASA Headquarters. "The information learned will be used to prepare for the primary mission next fall." The main purpose of these images is to enable the camera team to develop calibration and image-processing procedures such as the precise corrections needed for color imaging and for high-resolution surface measurements from stereo pairs of images.

To get desired groundspeeds and lighting conditions for the images, researchers programmed the cameras to shoot while the spacecraft was flying about 1,547 miles or more above Mars, "nine times the range planned for the primary science mission". Even so, the highest resolution of about 8 feet per pixel - an object 8 feet in diameter would appear as a dot - is comparable to some of the best resolution previously achieved from Mars orbit.

Further processing of the images during the next week or two is

expected to combine narrow swaths into broader views and show color in some portions.

The Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter has been flying in elongated orbits around Mars since it entered orbit on March 10. Every 35 hours, it has swung from about 27,000 miles away from the planet to within about 264 miles of Mars' surface.

Mission operations teams at NASA's Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, Calif., and at Lockheed Martin Space Systems, Denver, continue preparing for aerobraking. That process will use about 550 careful dips into the atmosphere during the next seven months to shrink the orbit to a near-circular shape less than 200 miles above the ground.

More than 25 gigabits of imaging data, enough to nearly fill five CD-ROMs, were received through NASA's Deep Space Network station at Canberra, Australia, and sent to JPL. They were made available to the camera teams at the University of Arizona Lunar and Planetary Laboratory and Malin Space Science Systems, San Diego, Calif.

Additional processing has begun for release of other images from the test in coming days. Preliminary images from the High Resolution Imaging Science Experiment and additional information about the Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter are available online at:

<http://www.nasa.gov/mro>

For information about NASA and agency programs on the Web, visit:

<http://www.nasa.gov>

JPL, a division of the California Institute of Technology in Pasadena, manages the Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter for NASA's Science Mission Directorate, Washington. Lockheed Martin Space Systems is the prime contractor for the project and built the spacecraft.

-end-

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 25](#)

Re: Something Visible On Google Mars - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 23:59:41 -0000
Fwd Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 10:50:34 -0500
Subject: Re: Something Visible On Google Mars - Dickenson

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 18:09:34 EST
>Subject: Re: Something Visible On Google Mars

>>From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 03:05:27 -0000
>>Subject: Something Visible On Google Mars

><snip>

>What's odd is that far, far too often there are craters in near
>proximity to one another that are dang near the exact same size
>as it's neighbor and the same age. Some close together and some
>not too far apart. Also they appear to come in threes. Meaning
>you'll find three different craters in a triangular pattern. At
>first I chalked this up to just the mind making order out of
>chaos but that pattern wouldn't end no matter where you looked
>on Mars.

<snip>

Greg,

Have been reading researchers' notes on Mars surface for a few
years and several have picked up on that same point. J Skipper

<http://tinyurl.com/q4uzm>

says many of those `new' craters are actually excavated holes or
maybe even ventilation shafts, and, looking at the ones he
cites, I can see his point: they're too regular and have a
different "overhang" and shadow.

Would also recommend Tom Van Flandern's pages at:

<http://tinyurl.com/pyevt>

for some interesting slants on those and other surface features,
some in high detail.

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 25](#)

Re: Something Visible On Google Mars - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 19:22:48 EST
Fwd Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 10:54:01 -0500
Subject: Re: Something Visible On Google Mars - Gammon

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 18:09:34 EST
>Subject: Re: Something Visible On Google Mars

>Okay, thanks Ray D for that. It made me go back and look again
>at something else disturbing about Mars that's been bugging me
>for some time. I don't know if anyone else has noticed but if so
>please post the researcher.

>Here goes.

>For years now I've glanced at Mars surface photos and one thing
>kept bothering me. The impact craters from meteorites that are
>relatively new geologically, meaning not too affected by erosion
>or what appear to be mudflows etc.

>What's odd is that far, far too often there are craters in near
>proximity to one another that are dang near the exact same size
>as it's neighbor and the same age. Some close together and some
>not too far apart. Also they appear to come in threes. Meaning
>you'll find three different craters in a triangular pattern. At
>first I chalked this up to just the mind making order out of
>chaos but that pattern wouldn't end no matter where you looked
>on Mars.

>I then said to myself to look at the photos of Earth's Moon as
>well as other moons in our solar system to see if the pattern
>was just the natural progression of things.

>Nope.

>Then I looked again at the older, eroded craters on Mars and
>it's a strain to find two or three craters same size in a
>pattern but can be found.

>It looks like Mars went through two types of bombardment events.
>Some long ago and some more recent in it's history.

Well, the problem is that 'nearby' is a very vague term used to describe the proximity of craters. If you could prove that these craters are all between a certain distance apart and likewise prove that this is not repeated on other planets, then that would at least be a start.

Also, keep in mind that Mars has had several moons during it's life which eventually crash into the planet when their orbits decayed. In fact, Phobos and Deimos, the two current moons of Mars, will strike the planet in about 40-to-50 million years or so.

-Jason Gammon

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 25](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 16:58:33 -0800
Fwd Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 10:59:00 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 16:14:34 +1000
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 14:38:39 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 16:54:23 +1000
>>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

<snip>

>>Well, my reading is that the cameraman lied about how and why he
>>came into possession of the film because of his story about not
>>being to hand it over to the military! His scenario about how
>>and why he had the film is a lie. Doesn't that explain my
>>conclusion on this issue?

>No it doesn't. Try this. I have a painting, I tell you its an
>original, You check me out that I'm a straight up guy and an
>artist. You buy the painting. Now what you dont know is, I have
>actually painted two exact copies of the same painting 'cos I
>love it so much, or for whatever reason. You find out...does it
>make it any the less a painting, apart from the fact its not the
>original you thought you bought, but a copy of the original. You
>are trying to say its not a painting anymore. That I didn't
>paint it.

William:

That's all a viable scenario re. the above, but as I said, once
a liar can mean (but I agree doesn't necessarily mean) twice a
liar.

The fact is we simply don't know, and you and me can argue for
the next 10 years about whether a liar once means a liar twice
or means indefinitely a liar.

<snip>

>>>Like I said Nick... How would the Military know..if it was
>>>copied. Who would know except him. Ray didn't even realise until
>>>Bob told him The military would not have known 'cos they didn't
>>>see it. Especially if he was in a trusted position to have
>>>filmed them in the first place.

>>Agreed: but (how many more times can I say this?!) if this is
>>>true, then the cameraman lied to Ray. And a liar on one issue of
>>the film might be a liar on other aspects too.

>OK, Yes. might. So, the creature might be a radio-active
>six-fingered victim of progeria(sp?) gliding in on a flying
>>wing? I think it's you not seeing the point here Nick, or not
>>wanting to.

I do see your point, totally. But I find it hard to accept everything the cameraman says, particularly when he probably lied to, and outright deceived, the very first person he dealt with on this issue: namely Ray Santilli. That's not a very good start when it comes to instilling confidence in testimony.

<snip>

>>And the tax evasion issue did not come from me, either. Ed >>brought that up. If you have a problem with my comments on this, >>I would suggest you ask Ed why he brought up on a public >>forum the possibility that the cameraman may not have paid tax >>on the payment he received in return for the AA footage.

>Its not important. As we don't know who Jack is, we aren't going >to find out anything about his tax affairs.IMO.

We'll see!

>So he is/
>maybe/maybe not a Tax evader. You seem to think because he didn't >pay tax or he didnt admit the copy film you bring the full story >into doubt.

Well, partly, yes: If he lied on 1 or 2 issues, who knows how many more angles of the story he lied on?

And I bring up the IRS issue mainly because, over the years, I have found that one way to get answers to questions that prove difficult to resolve is to do investigations in an unconventional manner, and think outside of the box. And this IRS angle is certainly unconventional, but it may be just the type of thing to take this further.

>Remember the "painting" is still a "painting"
>painted by me and the "film" is still a "film" filmed by Jack.
>You checked me out as an artist, and Ray checked Jack out as a
>photographer. Remember he did buy other old film as well. Or did
>the other film come from other obscure sources. Would you be
>upset if Jack copied the old Elvis(?) footage as well? I know
>where you're going with this Nick.

Nope, as I have stressed: I don't care in the slightest if Jack gave Ray copy and lied and said it was original. I don't care in the slightest if he took the money and ran and forgot about the IRS. But my point is that (a) none of this reflects well on his character; and (b) the IRS angle is one that could provide answers.

>You seem to want Jack out of the equation or so dis-credit him >we can start to look at your "Body Snatchers..." scenario more >seriously.

That's an outrageous thing to say - it's the cameraman who has discredited himself by lying to Ray! I can't be held responsible for discrediting the cameraman. He has done an excellent and praise-worthy job of that himself.

And as I have pointed out in the past, the only time you see me discussing the AA issue or indeed my Body Snatchers book on UFO Updates (or other lists I'm on) is when other people bring it up in a discussion in which they mention me or the book, and I am then of course obliged to reply.

If people stopped posting things about Body Snatchers to this list tomorrow, you would not see me discussing Body Snatchers on this List for whatever the period of time was. I guarantee it. As an experiment: why not try that?

I am not some egotistical monster that needs everyone to accept the Body Snatchers scenario. I can take or leave whatever people think of it.

And unlike some, I do not need to keep bombarding this list and other lists with the latest details of my TV exploits or radio interviews, as if everyone is salivating like Pavlov's dogs to know what I'm doing. That makes a person look very silly.

And it is unimportant to me whether people agree with Body Snatchers or not. I put the information out there just so that

people could see what I had been told, and to see where it went/goes.

But whether or not people embrace the data or not is completely their business, and is hardly something that keeps me awake at night.

>>What do lying tax evaders look like? Er...I'm not sure, although
>>I could make a guess that at least one of them looks like a 90-
>>year-old-plus cameramen who distorts the truth to people in the
>>British TV industry. If the old geezer is still alive in his
>>90s, of course.

><LOL>Touche.

>(not toupe... you bought up your folicly challenged state <g>)

<LOL> Very good! Sadly, humor is often lacking on this List. :)

Cheers,

Nick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 25](#)

Re: Visible On Mars - White

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 17:34:32 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 11:27:05 -0500
Subject: Re: Visible On Mars - White

>From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 10:09:08 -0000
>Subject: Re: Visible On Mars

<snip>

><http://tinyurl.com/4j9s3>

I'm intrigued by that "pictogram" - especially the enhanced version.

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 25](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 17:06:13 -0800
Fwd Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 11:30:51 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 15:26:28 -0400
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 14:38:39 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 16:54:23 +1000
>>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

<snip>

>I have no dog in this hunt and have little faith in the veracity
>of the AA film. But if Santilli didn't hoax this thing up, then
>that puts it back on the cameraman who then would have had
>staged this himself. Does anyone really think this would be
>feasible, at his age? Could he afford to produce this himself.
>If not him then is it possible this was possibly another
>generated piece of disinformation cobbled up by "the boys" and
>then fed through the cameraman to Santilli?

>I've been away for a month so perhaps this has already been
>addressed. If so...ignore.

Don

This is actually not impossible. See the following:

In 1980, in The UFO Crash Retrieval Syndrome, Leonard Stringfield related the testimony of 'T.E.' a former "Air Force radar specialist", who in the spring of 1953 while stationed at Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey was "summoned to view a film at the base theater."

The film, Stringfield was told, showed "a desert scene dominated by a silver disc-shaped object imbedded in the sand with a domed section at the top."

The source of the story advised Stringfield that the UFO had been recovered in New Mexico in 1952. It was fifteen to twenty feet in diameter and was surrounded by "ten to fifteen military personnel dressed in fatigues."

Notably, Stringfield's informant told him that the movie jumped to another scene. According to T.E.'s recollection: "Now in view were two tables, probably taken inside a tent, on which were dead bodies. Two were on one table; one on the other. The bodies appeared little by human standards and most notable were the heads, all looking alike, and all being large compared to their body sizes. They looked Mongoloid, with small noses, mouths, and eyes that were shut."

Interestingly, T.E. and his colleagues were ordered by a

superior officer not to discuss what they had seen with anyone, and merely to "think about the movie." Two weeks later, T.E. was told: "Forget the movie you saw; it was a hoax."

T.E. added to Stringfield that: "The 5-minute long movie was not a Walt Disney production. It was probably shot by a inexperienced cameraman because it was full of scratches, and had poor coloring and texture."

Although the year of this was supposedly 1952, the description of the poor condition and poor shooting of the film is very AA-like.

Plus the fact that T.E. was told that the film was a fake, does suggest the possibility that the disinformation/psy-ops angle might be one to follow.

And I say that fully in the knowledge that it does me, nor the data in *Body Snatchers*, any favors given my beliefs. But we should not ignore the possibility because none of us really know the truth of the AA.

Cheers,

Nick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: ufoupdateslist.com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 25](#)

Aztec UFO Symposium Draws Believers

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 12:00:03 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 12:00:03 -0500
Subject: Aztec UFO Symposium Draws Believers

Source: The Daily Times - Farmington, New Mexico, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/zovk7>

March 25, 2006

UFO Symposium Draws Believers
Daily Times

AZTEC - Jack Berringer said he began going to UFO conventions after his first encounter with aliens. The 69-year-old California resident claimed that on Feb. 8, 1980, spacecrafts hovered directly over his home. The event sparked an interest in the extraterrestrial and caused him to begin reading about the subject.

"I've met some interesting people," Berringer said of the conventions he attends. "But I only go to 'smart' UFO conventions."

Berringer was one of many who gathered Friday afternoon to meet with some of the 9th Annual UFO Symposium speakers in Aztec. He and others discussed ufology - the study of unidentified flying objects - over wine and cheese at Hard Backs Book Store.

"It's basically for speakers and anyone who wants to come in," said event organizer Scott Ramsey. "We try to make it a community event."

According to the event's Web site, www.aztecufo.com, New Mexico is ranked highest in the world for UFO-related sightings.

According to the site, 12 "crashed disks" or alien space crafts were recovered in New Mexico.

"Our UFO Symposium will initiate discussions on the UFO, alien phenomenon that seems to permeate our culture," the site states.

The city of Aztec gained prominence among UFO enthusiasts after a crash reportedly occurred around March 25, 1948, almost 12 miles outside of Aztec in Hart Canyon, according to information provided on the Web site.

Ramsey said he believes this year's speakers will shed some insight into new findings in the field of ufology, noting that two of Saturday's speakers will do so in Aztec for the first time this year.

Ramsey said each year Friends of the Library choose speakers who have done much research and have published literature relating to the study of UFOs and aliens. The group also attempts to bring in at least one scholar with a Ph.D.

"You can learn and have fun at the same time," he said. Dennis Balthaser, one of the event's lecturers, said he has been

involved with the Aztec UFO Symposium for nine years.

"I've been fortunate to work here as a speaker and as an emcee," said the Roswell resident. "I think it's one of the better managed symposiums because it doesn't have the carnival aspect."

Balthaser said he believes the event had also served as an important fundraiser for the new library in previous years.

When asked how he responds to skeptics and naysayers, Balthaser said he speaks with them publicly.

"Years ago, I did it privately not to embarrass people," he said. "That didn't work."

Balthaser said he believes answering such questions is an important part of his research, noting that serious researchers spend much of their time "putting out fires" by validating their findings.

"I think skeptics are needed," he said. "But they usually don't present a whole lot of information to counter (our findings)."

Rhys Saunders: rsaunders.nul-times.com

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 25](#)

Jackson Blasts Alien Encounter Reports

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 12:06:41 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 12:06:41 -0500
Subject: Jackson Blasts Alien Encounter Reports

Source: ContactMusic.Com - Leeds, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/equxu>

24/03/2006

Jackson Blasts Alien Encounter Reports

Pop superstar Michael Jackson has slammed reports he is desperate to meet an alien. The Thriller hitmaker allegedly appealed to extraterrestrial researcher Mike Luckman to help in his quest, when the pair met in a magic store early last year (05).

Luckman, author of Alien Rock: The Rock 'n' Roll Extraterrestrial Connection, says of their 15 minute conversation, "Michael indicated to me that he is open to making contact with otherworldly beings."

But the singer's publicist Raymone Bain has blasted the reports as "pure bull". She tells the New York Daily News, "I wouldn't doubt that he was in the store but if he talked to Luckman he was only being polite."

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 25](#)

Well Into My Majority

From: Alfred Lehmborg <alienview.nul>
Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 07:49:51 -0600
Fwd Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 12:09:24 -0500
Subject: Well Into My Majority

Well Into My Majority
by Alfred Lehmborg

AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

Hypertext and Definitions

As the shortening days unfold I'm becoming ever more dismayed by the psychotic state of mind of that which would willfully subvert, even pervert, the star-faring humanity that we could be. This willful perversion seems tragically uncalled for. Completely unnecessary.

Consequently, I can only be disgusted by this state of mind's obtuse and unrepentant authoritarianism regarding same its paternalistically prosecuted dominator-styled intellectualism and, not forgetting its too convenient religious fundamentalism a bane on our race's house which abominably and appallingly abounds! Dominator style paternalistic fundamentalism. Angry daddy beating you with his holy book. A pox on our human family. Clear and present danger.

Additionally, it's not very astonishing that the transmitters of this "state of mind" are themselves the demons these perverting "self-righteous authoritarians" would otherwise stridently decry if they had the remotest sense even as they surreptitiously embrace these egregiously employed imps and errant demons iterated calling them right, true, and good Reader? I suspect that, to a degree? You suspect such, yourself.

Let's shove all that in sunless appropriate places, eh?

Let us reject this top-down non-progressive state of mind and its demons. Its lieutenants, facilitators, enablers, and supporters. They would be quite a bit less unacceptable if they were competent but they are not even that. I want a president who can speak sense in non-nonsensical sentences. Is that too much to ask?

Maybe management from the top is a necessity. But that top must show concern and facilitation from the bottom up! I digress.

Well into my majority, having thought on the matter, and having suffered more than my fair share of "the thousand natural shocks" to which flesh is heir? I've presumed the right to put dissenting words together on an electric page. No apologies. Buckle up and extinguish your smokes.

To wade right in?

I look back upon a short history of this planet (only 20,000 years!) and perceive that religion, trading glibly upon its necessity as a framework for continuity is consistently, if obliquely, only betraying that trust, over and over again? It has only ever been a duplicitous hurdle to the rising and advancing of individual spirit a spirit required at the individual level to qualify and quantify an aggregate galaxy class humanity that humanity requiring framework to begin with? Added further: that this individual spirit is an individual's

birthright, is required for a quality evolving humankind, and from which we are, have been, and continue to be unhealthily denied?

Yes. God retches.

This cannot endure. Indeed the consequences of our short-sightedness roar down on us like a comet. Examples later Open your heart.

Well into my majority? I watch the evolution of government criminally officiating its dominion over the land and its people, clearly understanding that it is a way to legislate (read manipulate) the subjugation of those governed at the expense of their happiness and satisfaction dooming them to squalid existences in toxic wastelands. A way to keep folks befouled and ignorant and docile and scared in a corrosively mal-ethical campaign facilitating the aforementioned subjugation and degradation so they be more effectively fleeced, manipulated or betrayed, perhaps? I wonder.

This is the goal of mass education since the academic philosopher Pestalozzi, he who first articulated that the "poor must be trained to their poverty"? No bets that the default Societal leadership would not take this facilitating pedagogue at his word.

Do our schools remotely do the job you want them to? Onward.

Well into my majority? I observe the patent sociopathy of our institutions, agencies, and corporate bodies am appalled by their willing soullessness and perfidy their ready indifference to the general well being, and their hearty willingness to profit directly and indirectly from our abuse and the abuse of the power we provide them yes, even delighting in their scurvy capacity to make the rich richer and the poor poorer.

What is the present state of our union? Test your ability to be honest with yourself, reader

Well into my majority? I survey the lap-dog media's shrill carnival-barking shill (lying presentation) for a duplicitous faux-mainstream. This so-called mainstream, friends and neighbors, deserves every erg of derision fired at it.

Why?

Because the so-called mainstream is itself a patent fraud! It is ever only providing ample demonstration that it is not a "mainstream" (mean of best thinking and practice) at all, but a flashy and well moneyed front-piece extolling those self-sacrificial virtues its handlers won't abide for themselves and shan't reciprocate respecting you! More "rich kids" would fight their daddy's wars if these handlers did abide and reciprocate those virtues, frankly. We'd fight, consequently, less war, not more.

Continuing, this mainstream's media machine even blithely abdicates a presumed responsibility to inform and elevate as it solemnly contrives to intrude and obfuscate and it prosecutes, furiously, the canted propagandas of its handlers to facilitate and reinforce its own insidious, irrelevant, and insipid status quo! A broad brush but paint sticks, reader! Consider a moment the contrasts between Jeff Rense and Bill O'Reilly Jim Marrs and Gerald Posner Jesus Christ and Jerry Falwell.

Strain this through your own filter. What does your conscience say?

None dare call it conspiracy!

Well into my majority, I endure a smirking Christianity and an arrogant Islam, largely! The egregious tyrannies of these aggregate entities makes obvious, reader, just how far some are willing to go to return to a caustic past they long to return to even as it never really existed the way they believed it had!

That's 1930 in the case of the former and 1350 in the case of the latter. Two periods, not so astonishingly, where reality could not have been more arbitrary, oppressive, and unjust (not counting the ages preceding them) even psychotic, reader!

No, these distorted ideologies have only shown how miserable some people, a minority, want to make life in this world for all of the rest of us in complacent preparation for an approved if very irrational, self-serving, and nebulous next one One that we are required to take, oh, by the way on untested faith!

"Untested faith" has the value of the "unexamined life," say the finest minds.

Verily? The last time we let God rule (the church have dominion)? It was called the "Dark Ages," reader a Tobe-Hooper-slasher-movie age of practiced ignorance so dark-hearted and insane that the memory of it is shunted away from us and avoided like the terror tale that it was. There was not a lot to be found, good reader, of that which one could be proud in the so-called "Dark Ages."

Yet, to a degree, we endure them still!

The two greatest religions existing today extol and romanticize the imagined virtues of this "Dark Age," this artless ignorance, into present times. They do this passionately, furiously, busily, violently with a gaily fervid and shiny-eyed ardency even None dare call them crazy!

Times would appear bleak. Foregone. Dreary. Desolate even Futile for the sincere truth seeker.

Yet against all odds and institutionalized assaults of persecution? There is an increasing dissent.

Yes! As I progress further into my majority more and more UFOs begin to fill the skies as if to invalidate and annul the reflex (untested faith-based) prerequisites of science, government, institution, and church dissolve the hobbling boundaries of outmoded agency, outdated organization, and obsolete association diminish the canted imperatives of predatory corporate bodies, irrelevant business models, or the ideologies of neo-con, supply-side, and trickle-down-conservatism grasping institutional grifters gaily grifting. Lawyers. Doctors. Priests. Machine bosses

Another broad brush, but with paint still sticking.

Assess these aforementioned societal trees by their fruit, good reader. Follow your heart. Trace the Karma. Do the math? More on this in a moment

As I progress further into my majority? Fields of sticky cereal crops continue to lay down in hugely enigmatic and golden patterns of increasing Complexity, Artistry, and Novelty perfectly executed glyphs describing even more perfect geometries of dimensions otherwise unknown to us?and so, perhaps, unmasking our pompous ignorance and invalidated pride in a manner that only this comparison of inner, outer, a hyper spaces can seem to achieve

Additionally, composed in a message written on food and consumable for that, remember! I think the preceding is a very interesting and compelling twist on the aggregate weirdness.

All it takes is one, reader. "Grok" is writ large enough to be seen from space!

As I progress further into my majority? Persons possess the bravery, still, to report the anomalies confronting them, the highly strange activities confounding them the appalling mysteries to which they are abandoned by sociopathic society, corrupted culture, and psychopathic civilization. These people make their reports and perform their investigations despite the punishments meted out to themarbitrary punishments not leavened by the remotest due process, fair-minded rationality, or sense of justice completely capricious and with the foul stench of authoritarian convenience and intellectual cowardice appended to them.

Cruel and unusual punishment administered for pro-social behaviors in many cases. A scourge in any case. Remember John Ford.

As I progress further into my majority intrepid persons continue, willfully and non-willfully, to interact with creatures or objects not of this Earth, Space, Time, Dimension, or Psychology, and report, same, back to us. Moreover, the persons with the most invested in an investigation of same, this highly strange or paranormal activity (UFOs et al), are discounted, diminished, and derided as a rule while their detractors get support and exposure for their nonsensical if noisome negativity from that axe-grinding, obfuscating, and prevaricating mainstream previously identified as false.

Doctors "John Mack" and "Susan Clancy" spring to mind.

As I progress further into my majority time, terra, and technology obviously accelerate to the quickly approaching singularity of luminary concrescence surmised by Terence McKenna, Ralph Abraham, and Rupert Sheldrake a concept assiduously avoided by mainstreamer science even as science measures, astonishingly, its accelerating approach!

Hyperspace blooms like a flower at the fast approaching end of time and conjectures a change in the fortunes of lay humanity (negative AND positive!) for which we are not preparing! even as the aforementioned hyperspace looms. Yea and verily.

Warp engines are soon to be engaged, reader. December 21, 2012 is not an unrealistic or unsupported conjecture for the beginning of new age, the engagement of these unspeakable new engines, a forecast birth for a heretofore gestating humanity? I suspect so.

Finally, as I progress still further into my majority I find that I have lived too long now to be unaware of the duplicity prosecuted by many of the mechanisms built, maintained and facilitated by a privileged superior class for lack of a better appellation. I might just as well have said "The Man."

This class of serial criminals smirks up its gilded and advantaged sleeves while officiating oppressions and restrictions evil oppressions wicked restrictions under the purposefully indistinct banners of "fear of God," "family values," and "love for country"! Oppressions and restrictions larded with backsliding and downhill-rolling infidelities, disloyalties, and an aggregate insentience with regard to individual sensibility a complete and utter lack of respect for the individual FAR exceeding what would be required for a society to protect itself from the so-called (if unofficial) "lone crazy" we are not otherwise protected from, anyway!

Consider G.W. Bush. John Ashcroft Pat Robertson other members of the well connected, self-supporting, and sociopathic elite What has protected us from them? The best response is no response at all. Nothing protects us but the individual these aforementioned attack and assail.

This "lack of respect for the individual" and my recognition of it for what it is: a tedious effort of the inordinately privileged to avoid their responsibility for the present state of affairs (or to maintain their lard-assed positions at the fundage trough), has provoked an ironic reaction in me.

I find I do not believe!

Often maligned as a credulous "believer" prone to unfiltered beliefs, ideas, and principles the reality is that I do not believe the ones suspiciously pre-filtered for me by a suspect and unfriendly culture and its sick societal systems! Once burned? I am "twice shy" with regard to Church and Statehouse, School and Business, Agency and Association. These are jealous entities with little egalitarian regard for the individuals who empower them, I find. The burnings alluded to, moreover, have been multiple in scope and artifice.

Shyness seems abundantly indicated.

As a result, official leadership, such that it is, is perceived (by me?) to be hull-breached below the water line, like the Titanic and in the fashion reminiscent of the leadership on that ill-fated ship? They would have us all locked below decks in steeage to drown in an ignorance they have, themselves, busily cultivated!

I've been burned too often, reader, to credit them now with the smallest degree of trust, aspect, or affection given their documented unrestricted behaviors You too, I suspect, whether you admit it or not.

And what is not detestable, reader? Lets assess "the fruit" once again tabling for a moment society's open FAILURE to be moved, improved, or informed with regard to the concept of the other obviously sharing a multi-verse with us: Table that a moment.

Consider:

Cancerous Population Growth A Looming Economic Catastrophe A Shriveling Middle Class A Degrading Health Care System A Diseased Environment An Increase Of The Homelessness An Eroded And Demoralized Military Force Families Ripped Apart A Degraded Bill Of Rights A Despoiled Quality Of Life Nameless Fear And Easy Loathing Increased Crime Reflex Religiosity Incompetent Cronyism Decreased Security Laughable Ballots The Rape Of Our Republic Death Torture Unrestricted Sociopathy Tyranny A Complete Disruption Of The Rule (and spirit) Of Law

The list could go on and on as you know it must Pretty noxious fruit, eh reader ?

But the worst infidelity and betrayal these community pillars, captains of industry, and religious leaders prosecute, by far actually, is their damnable reluctance to investigate or admit to the presence of an "other" beyond themselves (ourselves) and their Gods (our Gods) or contriving to provoke an extension to our OWN "dark-age" for their comfort and convenience and as a result of that damnable reluctance?!? None dare call it cowardice!

The Bastards!

UFOs are real, reader. So say the finest minds. The finest minds. The findings of the finest minds are in no way discounted by the hijacked faux-mainstream, nor are they invalidated by a conflicted status quo

Now, presuming a moment for the sake of argument that the just asserted is true, and it is, I suspect: what could possibly be more important than this other?

What indeed

And the other is important, reader, measured as it is as the ultimate sedition, the higher court beyond our own corrupted one and in no way beholden to it, a fulcrum of new ideas, new energies, and new efficacious opportunities the other stealthily communicates to us (and is denied us presently, otherwise?) since we first attempted to chronicle history in cold stone and old ink?

Importance seems, indeed, transcended.

A kingdom is at hand, good reader, for the rank and file! No degrees, pricey approvals, or arduous certifications are required! Only, you won't be hearing about it from the mainstream; Pat Robertson won't share it from his bully pulpit, and Madison Avenue won't be taking out any ads

Do you think this must be in your best interest? Another "think" is due you.

Read on

alienview.nul

www.AlienView.net

AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://ufoupdateslist.com) > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 25](#)

How The Search For ET Turned Into Hard Science

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 12:13:33 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 12:13:33 -0500
Subject: How The Search For ET Turned Into Hard Science

Source: The Ottawa Citizen - Ontario, Canada

<http://tinyurl.com/mcomk>

Saturday, March 25, 2006

How The Search For ET Turned Into Hard Science

Once relegated to the quacks and hacks of astronomy, the search for alien life has become a legitimate area of study

Jacob Berkowitz
The Ottawa Citizen

Washington, D.C., is full of conspiracy theories and political intrigue at the best of times. When it comes to talk about aliens in the U.S. capital, it's often about calls for the CIA to reveal what it did with a UFO that allegedly crashed in Roswell, New Mexico, in the 1950s, or buzz about frozen alien bodies in the basement of the Pentagon.

But next week in Washington, talk about aliens will be taken with furrowed-brow seriousness. It's not speculation about pregnant women and Martian abductions, but the painstaking search for possible Martian microbes. Not alien invaders, but talk about using space-based telescopes to search for a sister-Earth around a distant sun.

Only several decades ago, the search for extraterrestrials was led by garage-based amateur enthusiasts debating crop circles and grainy UFO snapshots. Many scientists thought it was quackery.

Today it's called astrobiology, and for the first time in human history is backed by hard science.

Astrobiologists say that we stand on the brink of verifying something that, since the time of the ancient Greeks, humans have only been able to speculate about: life beyond Earth. And 20 years from now, they say, there's a good chance we'll know for certain, one way or the other.

The hundreds of astronomers, planetary geologists, biologists and chemists gathered in D.C. this week for the NASA-sponsored fourth Astrobiology Science Conference are riding a wave of excitement, and barely contained expectation, fuelled by the fact that amidst their speculation they have hard data - from robotic rovers on Mars to tantalizing glimpses of exoplanets, planets around other suns.

Humanity now has the technology to actually test for life beyond Earth rather than just peer up at the night sky in wonder.

Astrobiology advances just this month point to the convergence of events prompting scientists to believe that if life is out

there it can't hide much longer:

- In March, astronomers identified four new planets orbiting distant suns. Prior to the summer of 1995, when the first exoplanet was discovered, we knew of only nine planets, from Mercury out to Pluto, in the entire universe. As of today, astronomers have racked up a menagerie of 185 exoplanets - mostly gas giants like Jupiter - and counting, according to the online Extrasolar Planets Encyclopaedia, the scientific scorekeeper in the search for exoplanets. Now there's intense competition to find the first Earth-sized rocky planet, and then to probe it for signs of life.

- On March 13, NASA's Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter entered orbit around the Red Planet to pinpoint the location of water there. This latest probe will provide more scientific data than all previous Mars missions combined. Thanks to recent missions to Mars, it's now clear there've been extensive periods when water existed there. And where there was liquid water there could have been life - or might still be life, eking out an existence in subsurface ice.

- Later this year, the Search for ExtraTerrestrial Intelligence SETI project will start tuning in to the cosmos with the new massive Allen Telescope Array radio telescope, north of San Francisco. With their new ability to scan a much wider swath of the cosmos in broadband, the folks searching for distant civilizations will even be able to eavesdrop on any "extraterrestrial electromagnetic leakage" from alien TV or radio broadcasts. SETI officials are cocky enough to put a timeline on their search: They expect to make contact within two decades.

The fact is, whether your view of life in the universe is primarily shaped by Star Trek or science, most astrobiologists and sci-fi buffs alike believe that in a universe of more than a billion galaxies, each with more than a billion stars, life of some kind must be out there.

"I think we all believe in it," says Hojatollah Vali, a 59-year-old McGill University professor of biomineralization who teaches Canada's first university course in astrobiology.

Indeed, we live in the age of discovery of extremophiles -- creatures on Earth, mostly microbes, that live in what previously were thought of uninhabitable environments. Whether it's acidic, near-boiling, freezing or under enormous pressure - it's home to some sort of microbial life. We've found life living below the surface of Antarctic rocks, in acidic Arctic "cold springs" and even kilometres inside the earth.

So if life can get a toehold in these seemingly alien environments on Earth, why couldn't bacteria live in an ocean below the perpetually frozen surface of Jupiter's moon Europa?

However, for all of astrobiology's pre-birth excitement, anyone who's been around the ET business knows that its modern history is founded on a century of errors and dashed hopes.

A hundred years ago, millionaire Bostonian James Lowell convinced himself and millions of others that the lines on Mars surface visible through a telescope were ancient canals, the remnants of a dead civilization. Mr. Lowell was no crackpot. He founded the famous Lowell observatory in Flagstaff, Arizona, and painstakingly drew what for 50 years were the best maps of Martian geography. But he was dead wrong about the canals.

On Dec. 14, 1962, NASA's Mariner 2 probe opened a new age of space probe research, speeding by Venus carrying many scientists' expectations of a watery, life-filled world. Instead, it radioed home news of an impossibly hot, utterly dry surface. A lifeless planetary neighbour.

In 1976, the NASA scientists and engineers guiding Viking 1, the first U.S. robotic probe on Mars, were ecstatic when their first test of Martian soil indicated the presence of microbial life. But subsequent tests showed that this life signal was coming from very dead rocks.

Then in 1996, a NASA team including McGill's Mr. Vali, made international headlines with the discovery of a possible sign of

life in Martian meteorite ALH84001. The scientists said that a microscopic, pearl-like thread of iron-based spheres could be a byproduct of Martian microbial life. Most of the scientific community said the evidence was too ambiguous to pop the champagne. Ten years later, Mr. Vali still believes he's held a possible sign of ET life. But, he says, astrobiologists are still taking scientific baby steps in understanding how to look for signs of alien life.

"We learn from our mistakes," he says. "Nowadays the good thing is we're really learning about these things, including extremophiles. We're creating an extensive database that we can use in case we find something in a meteorite or material that comes back from Mars, so that we can interpret it in a better way."

Indeed, when one alien door shuts, another opens. While Venus appears dead and Mars is a big maybe, now astrobiologists are focusing their search for life on Europa and, more recently, Saturn's moon Enceladus. Earlier this month, an international team of scientists announced that images from NASA's Cassini spacecraft reveal that there are gigantic geysers, 80-kilometre tall versions of Yellowstone Park's Old Faithful, at the moon's south pole. The geysers appear to be spewing water, which readily turns into ice and snow.

While 20 years ago, the thought of life in or around these planet-sized snowmakers would have seemed far-fetched, astrobiologists are planning to debate the possibility of life on Enceladus at the Astrobiology Science Conference in Washington, D.C. this coming week.

What's clear is that with tools and targets, it's now not just a search for ET life, it's a race. Not just to find alien life, but to explain it. The astrobiologists in Washington will be discussing the latest findings toward a grand unifying theory of life in the universe -- how to explain the evolution of the universe from the Big Bang to our big brains. We know Earth isn't a cosmic island -- did life arrive here from another planet, the concept of panspermia?

Ironically, astrobiologists are grappling with these questions of cosmic evolution at a time when the evolution debate in the U.S. is at a cyclical peak, and only several blocks from a White House occupied by a president who tacitly supports the teaching of intelligent design. It's an evolutionary debate that astrobiologists are eager to widen -- not only to talk about our evolution from earlier hominids, but from stardust. And finding life, or not, on another world will open a new chapter in our relentless quest to understand not just the cosmos, but ourselves.

The Search for ET

First Copernicus, then Darwin. Two of our greatest scientific thinkers transformed the way we see ourselves in relation to the cosmos. Now astrobiologists believe we're on the brink of another revolution. This week, science journalist Jacob Berkowitz is taking the pulse of the frenetic global effort to find extraterrestrial life. It's a journey that takes us from deep below the Canadian High Arctic to the conference floor as the world's leading alien-seekers meet this week in Washington, D.C. for the fourth Astrobiology Science Conference.

c. The Ottawa Citizen 2006

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 25](#)

Re: Project ISIS? - Olson

From: Jeff Olson <jlolson.nul>
Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 09:02:59 -0800
Fwd Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 12:15:50 -0500
Subject: Re: Project ISIS? - Olson

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 23:12:11 +0000
>Subject: Re: Project Isis?

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 10:11:58 -0600
>Subject: Re: Project Isis?

>>From: Jeff Olson <jlolson.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 11:39:00 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Project Isis?

>>:-(What a party-pooper, Dick. And to think I was about to
>>share my personal correspondence with an ET-genetically altered
>>moose in Northern Minnesota. Some people were worshipping it,
>>and then mysteriously disappeared. At least that's what he
>>wrote in his email (the fact that he could type with his hooves
>>surely demonstrates an extraterrestrial connection!).

Jerry:

>Jeez, as a Minnesotan I thought I was the only person who knew
>about Ferdinand (which is the moose's name). Are you sure we
>should be talking about this openly? We could disappear, too!

I don't think it was wise to give this creature a name, Jerry,
but perhaps not all hope is lost.

I've been studying the paranormal hypothesis, and am close to
concluding that "F" may simply be an aspect of an
interpersonal/interdimensional quantum non-local quasi-reality,
or perhaps merely the projection of Midwest hunters' collective
unconscious. I say this because of how, just as we close in on
acquiring evidence for "F's" existence, it always seems to
vanish into the veritable mists beyond our futilely grasping
rational intellects.

I'm beginning to suspect this theory may account for my lack of
success as a hunter in the north woods as well.

Jeff

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 26](#)

Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of

From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 17:27:55 -0000
Fwd Date: Sun, 26 Mar 2006 23:07:06 -0500
Subject: Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of

>Source: Penn State Live - Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

><http://live.psu.edu/story/16767>

>Thursday, March 23, 2006

>Probing Question: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of
>Light?

<snip>

>Still, slowing light down in order to beat it is cheating,
>Schneider conceded. And although he's not closing his mind to
>the possibility that relativity will one day be amended, for
>now, he said, Einstein's theory is the final word.

Hello List,

I believe it was done first about eleven or so years ago, by
Guenther Nimtz.

Here's the refs:

<http://fm.vanillasite.at/space/Superluminal+tunneling>

"Superluminal tunneling (faster than light transmission of
signals) was first observed at the University of Cologne with
microwave photons. Soon thereafter these experiments were
duplicated and validated at the University of Berkeley and
Vienna. For theoretical physics the implication is that there
exist spaces, devoid of time." Research by Prof. Dr. Guenther
Nimtz, Prof. Raymond Chiao and others."

<snip>

"This means that it is indeed possible for an object to have a
velocity greater than that of light."

Believe Guenther, a showman as well as a sharp engineer-
scientist, used a piece of classical music to demonstrate that
"information" could indeed travel at f.t.l. speed. (Think it was
about 4 times f.t.l.)

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

ces. Have recently theorized there is a constant and corrupt manipulation of science (as there was of theology before science), to manufacture perceptions of a "closed / doomed universe". To me this is made obvious by a) long-term refusal (and cover-up) to accept 'open universe' results, first found by Beatrice Tinsley et al in 1973 (maybe go "1973 - Beatrice Tinsley wrote a paper" in Google) b) present refusal (and cover-up by NASA et al) to accept truth behind the "redshift" controversy; honorable exception being Halton Arp. Try: <http://www.quackgrass.com/roots/arp.html> for a background. Why would the powers-that-be want a "doomed universe", a sterile (apart from us) universe, and of course, a doomed Earth, to be the only public perceptions? Two reasons - to deprive people of hope for better things (probably main reason), and to justify the plundering and despoilation of Earth (and Mars? other planets?) because "it's all going to disappear anyway". Cheers Ray D "Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 26](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 13:48:59 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 26 Mar 2006 23:14:15 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Ledger

>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 17:06:13 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 15:26:28 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 14:38:39 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>>>From: William Sawers <ufosyntax.nul>
>>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 16:54:23 +1000
>>>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

><snip>

>>I have no dog in this hunt and have little faith in the veracity
>>of the AA film. But if Santilli didn't hoax this thing up, then
>>that puts it back on the cameraman who then would have had
>>staged this himself. Does anyone really think this would be
>>feasible, at his age? Could he afford to produce this himself.
>>If not him then is it possible this was possibly another
>>generated piece of disinformation cobbled up by "the boys" and
>>then fed through the cameraman to Santilli?

>>I've been away for a month so perhaps this has already been
>>addressed. If so...ignore.

>Don

>This is actually not impossible. See the following:

>In 1980, in The UFO Crash Retrieval Syndrome, Leonard
>Stringfield related the testimony of 'T.E.' a former "Air Force
>radar specialist", who in the spring of 1953 while stationed at
>Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey was "summoned to view a film at the
>base theater."

>The film, Stringfield was told, showed "a desert scene
>dominated by a silver disc-shaped object imbedded in the sand
>with a domed section at the top."

<snip>

That's the first thing that popped into my mind, Nick. This
disinformation fed to UFO researchers theory does nothing to
support Body Snatchers in the Desert's theme.

But again, going back to the first. Is it the contention of most
that Santilli is off the hook for this production? Apparently he
fared very well financially from it's existence. Not only that
he had the resources and skills to produce this film. Of course
so do the film distributors of today and producers of same.

Quite often the end people make more money out of something that does the creator of the piece.

In the case of "T.E." would he have been one of many who was shown this buried saucer film and then told it was a hoax to see if the story developed legs, a sort of experiment in disinformation technique. If so the question arises, was the buried saucer real but claimed as a hoax or did the architects of this "hoax" go the extra mile and build a set and photograph it? that being the case likely some experienced Hollywood types-set designers-would have had a hand in it. That's dicey however. How do you ensure their silence for decades afterward?

Don

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 26](#)

Re: USAF New Civilian Careers Recruitment Site -

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 10:51:00 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Sun, 26 Mar 2006 23:19:02 -0500
Subject: Re: USAF New Civilian Careers Recruitment Site -

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 13:46:44 -0500
>Subject: Re: USAF New Civilian Careers Recruitment Site

>It's been said that the US is falling behind in math and science
>training, and this could well be a result of it.

Thanks Steven, you're probably right. But I think it's more.

The USAF is the superior air force in all the world. And it has been, at least as demonstrated in both Gulf Wars.

It also may be argued that top secret doesn't stay that way. But even leaks of top secret technology take several years to upgrade the industries of rival/rogue nations. So that threat is not imminent.

As well, the USAF has been able to and continues to obtain the services and skills of the best and brightest on this planet, since it's beginning, (Paperclip, etc.).

So I'm left with the question, what is the USAF preparing against now by this in-the-open, worldwide recruitment for research and development?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 26](#)

Re: Visible On Mars - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 21:41:22 -0000
Fwd Date: Sun, 26 Mar 2006 23:20:34 -0500
Subject: Re: Visible On Mars - Dickenson

>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 17:34:32 -0500
>Subject: Re: Visible On Mars

>>From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 10:09:08 -0000
>>Subject: Re: Visible On Mars

>><http://tinyurl.com/4j9s3>

>I'm intrigued by that "pictogram" - especially the enhanced
>version.

Right Eleanor, that chap has done some hard work resolving those images, especially when we realize many of the published NASA images had previously been secretly "flipped" horizontally or vertically or both before release.

And we won't get into the "image tampering" evidence, which looks fairly convincing to me.

But you might be interested in a fairly straight forward resolution he's done of a possible 'hidden city' - which also looks convincing - at

http://www.marsanomalyresearch.com/evidence-reports/2001/028/hidden_city.htm

Cheers

Ray D

"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 26](#)

Santilli & The AA Film

From: Dave Vetterick <veterick.nul>
Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 21:51:48 -0600
Fwd Date: Sun, 26 Mar 2006 23:25:26 -0500
Subject: Santilli & The AA Film

As many of the List-members recall, Neil Moris put an original Beta video copy of the AA film on CD. We invited you look at the CD copy and offer comments. We put the responses on a web site and offered to sell the 2 CD set for \$15. See:

<http://www.v-j-enterprises.com/aafilm/aaindex.html>

This was with Santilli's authorization. \$10 covered the cost of making copies and postage. \$5 went to the Texas Children's Hospital.

You'll also recall recent posts about a comedy spoof of the AA film Santilli is involved in to be released in England in early April.

You may be interested in the following exchange between Santilli & myself:

Ray Santilli wrote:

Dear Dave,

Interest in the footage will soon be rekindled due to a movie which we are involved in. Therefore I must formally ask that you stop any further activity in respect of your CDs.

I may find another way to help the Texas Children's hospital but for now you must confirm by return that you understand this message. Could you also let me know what went to the hospital last year.

Regards,

Ray Santilli

March 25
To: Ray Santilli
From: Dave Vetterick

Ray, I don't share your opinion that a comedy spoof of the AA film is going to rekindle interest in the AA CD's. Especially if it doesn't make it to the US.

Never the less I'll honor your request to not sell any more CD's.

I've sent a little under \$300 to the hospital in total. \$40 in 2005. The agreement was \$5 per CD set. Not what you'd call overwhelming.

Release the rest of the film copy you have, let a piece of it with the body image be examined, or the cameraman's real identity, THEN interest will be rekindled.

Regards

Dave Vetterick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://ufoupdateslist.com) > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 26](#)

AUFORN In 2006

From: Diane Harrison <aufor.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 00:29:39 +1000
Fwd Date: Sun, 26 Mar 2006 23:52:32 -0500
Subject: AUFORN In 2006

What's Happening with AUFORN 2006?

1. AUFORN meeting will be held the first Friday of April
Go to the AUFORN meeting page for more details:

<http://www.aufor.com/Meetings.html>

2. AUFORN Campout Night Watch. 22nd April at Captain
Logan Camping ground. Contact Daniel for details about
bookings by emailing AufornOld.nul go to the
web page for location:

<http://www.aufor.com/NightWatch.html>

to check on camp fees.

3. Help The UFOlogist magazine Celebrates its 10th anniversary
don't miss your copy its in full colour. It also has a New web
page take a look at the content:

<http://www.ufologistmagazine.com/>

order now.

4. May 6th - The National UFO Conference, held at Campbelltown.
Visit WhatsOn at AUFORN:

<http://www.aufor.com/WhatsON06.html>

and get your tickets now or miss out!

Regards Diane Harrison

The Australian UFO Research Network
<http://www.aufor.com>

UFOlogist Magazine
<http://www.ufologistmagazine.com>

P.O Box 738
Jimboomba, 4280
Australia.

Tel/Fax 07 55487205

Report a UFO Free Call Hotline 1800 77 22 88

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 26](#)

Roswell's UFO Museum

From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
Date: Sun, 26 Mar 2006 08:26:36 -0700
Fwd Date: Sun, 26 Mar 2006 23:55:23 -0500
Subject: Roswell's UFO Museum

Greetings to the Listarians, Lurkers and Pesky Government Spooks,

I've been doing much thinking of late about the current situation regarding the Roswell Incident.

Time to retire this dog, if not bury it completely.

Why?

Simply put the case has become so muddied by inexperienced researchers and those who earn their living off of the case, such as the alleged International UFO Museum and Research Center in Roswell, that the case languishes more as a joke than a bonafide case. That's really a shame.

The UFO museum in Roswell has not produced anything of note regarding the case. All new information has come from private researchers. This alleged bastion of 'research' done by the UFO museum adds up to diddly-squat. About the only thing this dog and pony show has done is fleece the tourists pockets by providing cheap, Chinese, alien figures and putting up some exhibits, that even smaller museums out-classes in design, scope and factuality.

So, if you head to Roswell for vacation this year, I suggest going to the McDonald's hamburger joint built in the shape of a UFO. At least you'll get something in your stomach instead of a belly ache you'll get by visiting a 'research facility' that produces zero research.

In the beginning the International UFO Museum and Research Center had a lot of promise. But, like all big ideas borne in tribal mentality, it has failed miserably.

Wendy Connors

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 27](#)

James Bond Johnson Passes

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 12:07:36 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 12:07:36 -0500
Subject: James Bond Johnson Passes

Mary Castner informed both the Project 1947 and Current Encounters Lists yesterday, of the passing of James Bond Johnson - photographer of the 1947 Roswell UFO Crash wreckage in the office of General Ramey in Fort Worth, Texas and former UFO UpDates List member/contributor - on Saturday.

If anyone has any further details please post.

ebk

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 27](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Bourdais

From: Gildas Bourdais <gbourdais.nul>
Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 17:33:52 +0100
Fwd Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 12:15:58 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Bourdais

>From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 20:22:05 -0000
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 21 Mar 2006 15:45:32 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 20 Mar 2006 12:55:34 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

><snip>

>>>That story was in circulation in the UK years ago. As an
>>>example on 22 January 1997, I interviewed Nick Pope, who told me
>>>in that interview the following: "If this really was the most
>>>highly classified operation in the world, classified higher than
>>>the hydrogen bomb, it's inconceivable that this cameraman would
>>>have been left to his own devices to develop these films, and
>>>that bits of them would simply not have been recovered from him
>>>and that he would have sat on it for nigh on fifty years. The
>>>story is more full of holes than a piece of Swiss cheese."

>>Great quote but Nick's opinion isn't evidence.

>So far as I can recollect, my comment to Nick Redfern was
>simply
>aimed at showing how, in terms of military InfoSy policy, the
>alleged cameraman's story made no sense. I leave it to
>ufologists to debate whether this constitutes evidence.

Yes, that story does not make sense, and therefore it is highly
dubious.

On the other hand, there are good reasons to think that the
release of that film was a well designed operation against
Roswell, especially the GAO report, released at the same time,
which was completely eclipsed by the "scandal of the autopsy".

BTW, I just had a debate on a French radio (Sud Radio, in
Toulouse), with sociologist Pierre Lagrange, a total skeptic on
Roswell. His opinion on the AAF still is that it was a cheap
hoax made just for money. Well, I still don't know what this
body was, but it was certainly not a cheap hoax. The same
Lagrange wrote at the time in the French press that the GAO had
accepted the Mogul story!

Gildas Bourdais

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 27](#)

UFO Public Meetings?

From: Diane Harrison <aufor.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 14:18:26 +1000
Fwd Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 12:20:39 -0500
Subject: UFO Public Meetings?

Hi Everyone

How many UFO organisations in the UK Scotland & Wales hold public meetings?

If possible can you please supply me with dates and times of your meeting?

Please send your details to this address:

<diane.nul>

Thank you

Regards Diane Harrison

The Australian UFO Research Network
<http://www.aufor.nul>

UFOlogist Magazine
<http://www.ufologistmagazine.com>

Subscribe to: UFOlogist Magazine
<http://www.isubscribe.com.au/t>

P.O Box 738
Jimboomba, 4280
Australia.

Tel/Fax 07 55487205

Report a UFO Free Call Hotline 1800 77 22 88

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 27](#)

Military Claiming Things That Didn't Happen

From: Robert Gates <RGates8254.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 03:23:09 EST
Fwd Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 12:27:19 -0500
Subject: Military Claiming Things That Didn't Happen

Listers, all

A recent article, brings a reminder to something we should already know. Kevin Randle (good luck and be careful Kevin) has pointed this very thing out many times over the years.

Over the years we have discussed the various stories told by people such as the many various disclosure witnesses and the absolute need to check these people out before unloading their story high and low over the Internet, radio and other means.

Not to mention the stories and tales told by alleged members of the so-called insiders that bill themselves as members, or former members of secret government research projects, or so-called informants whispering tales about alleged inside information from the intelligence community and many others.

So of interest, is a recent article in the Las Vegas Review Journal about people in Nevada who claim rank and decorations that they don't have etc., etc. Gee, why would they lie? See:

<http://tinyurl.com/hru3n>

Take for example the lead story about the 'Colonel' with all of his alleged decorations, showing up at a 2004 convention of Army Rangers with all of 'his decorations'.

If a person like that showed up at, say a select few, UFO researchers and unloaded some secret government, secret UFO research story, his story would have been blabbed all over the place and treated as absolute fact, never to be doubted, after all he is a Colonel and why would he lie? Then when the truth finally leaked some of these same people would never step up to the plate and admit they were lied to and stroked, but instead would claim it was part of some secret government plot to discredit him, and/or the guy, complete with tales about how his military record was altered, etc., etc.

The point is that people need to be careful and carefully check out/vet witnesses and stories.

Cheers,

Robert

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 27](#)

Lecture's Lesson Look To The Stars

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 19:45:15 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 19:45:15 -0500
Subject: Lecture's Lesson Look To The Stars

Source: The Pasadena Star-News - California, USA

http://www.pasadenastarnews.com/news/ci_3640716

03/26/2006

Lecture's Lesson: Look To The Stars
By Gene Maddaus Staff Writer

PASADENA - The mystery of whether we are alone in the universe haunts the very young and the very old.

While those in life's expansive middle occupy themselves with more mundane concerns - such as "Why is the 210Freeway jammed in the middle of the day on Saturday?" - those at the extremes of age are looking at the stars.

This, at least, is the conclusion one could draw from "Space - Are We Alone?" - a film viewing and lecture at Caltech's Beckman Auditorium on Saturday.

The audience was split between restless children confronting the vastness of space for the first time and seniors with definite opinions about Roswell, Area 51 and the feasibility of interstellar travel.

Guiding the discussion was Laura Baker, a Caltech grad student in geology. With the children, she encouraged a gee-whiz sense of endless possibilities. With the seniors, she maintained her scientific skepticism, while acknowledging that anything is possible.

The video - a BBC production - gave a survey of the current state of the exobiological art, from the SETI satellite dishes to the ice-encrusted oceans of Europa.

Most in the room believed there is extraterrestrial life. But not all.

"I'm skeptical," said Charles Dickson, 74, of Lanham, Md.

His "significant other," who asked for anonymity because of the nature of her views, was more credulous.

"Somebody in the police service in my family - I won't say where but it was around here - saw something in the sky," she said. "And my son, who is in a position to know, thinks the government is keeping the whole thing very quiet, because the public couldn't handle it."

Dickson said he doesn't believe aliens have visited Earth, because he doesn't think they exist.

"I know the numbers are in favor of the people who believe what you believe, but that doesn't make it the truth," he told his partner.

The children tended to have practical concerns. A child of about 10 was worried that the aliens wouldn't speak English.

"What if we can't communicate with them?" he asked.

Baker gave an answer right out of ice-breakers at Caltech freshman orientation.

"Remember," she said. "There's the universal language of math."

gene.maddaus.nul

(626) 578-6300, Ext. 4444

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 27](#)

A New Sighting in Parera Argentina

From: Scott Corrales <lornis1.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 08:52:48 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 19:48:55 -0500
Subject: A New Sighting in Parera Argentina

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology
March 26, 2006

SOURCE: CIUFOS-LA PAMPA
DATE: 03.26.06

On March 18, 2006 six witnesses reported a large light for several minutes at the property belonging to Adolfo Ziegenfhus, located in the jurisdiction of Parera, province of La Pampa (Argentina).

Ruben Ariel Lara, 37, Roberto Fernandez, 53, and four minors aged between 8 and 16 witnessed a source of light which they described as "three to four times the size of the full moon" as they walked through the fields at 22:30 hours on the date in question.

The luminosity was detected at some 3.00 meters of the place where the witnesses were located, who advised researchers that "the light appeared over the field, ascending to a considerable height before descending, engaging in these maneuvers in four separate maneuvers."

Fernandez added that "it was round, spun on its vertical axis while emitting some very distinctive red, green, blue, orange, yellow and silver lights." The ascent was up to "some 60 degrees over the horizon".

The witnesses, who were trapping "peludos" (armadilloes) at that time, were completely overwhelmed and frightened by the sighting, deciding to withdraw from the field and run back to the farmhouse, located some 2 kilometers from where the event occurred.

It should be noted that mutilated animals were found at this location and that in July 2005, a huge "Australian-type" water tank containing 70,000 liters of water was found completely drained from the evening to the morning.

Translation (c) 2006. Scott Corrales, IHU. Special thanks to Raul Oscar Chaves, Ciufoslapampa.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 27](#)

Argentine Fisherman Takes Cellphone Photos Of UFO

From: Scott Corrales <lornis1.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 06:13:26 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 19:52:14 -0500
Subject: Argentine Fisherman Takes Cellphone Photos Of UFO

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology
March 26, 2006

Source: Planeta UFO
Date: 03.24.06

Fisherman Takes Cellphone Photos of UFO

The man, on the banks of the Atuel River, claimed seeing a fireball. He managed to take some photos using his cellphone.

GENERAL ALVEAR =96 A businessman fishing along the banks of the Atuel River managed to photograph a UFO that was suspended in the air for some 30 seconds before it took off in the general direction of the cordillera.

The image shows a UFO immersed in a fireball, and it could well be considered a meteorite, although those who saw it say that "given its trajectory and movements, it could not have been one.=94

This curious event took place on March 6 when a renowned businessman from Alvear =96 his name is Ramon but he preferred to withhold his surname =96 was fishing along the river in an area known as Paso del Loro, 20 km between the borders of this department and La Pampa province.

"We were fishing around 10:00 pm. I believe that some 40 to 50 meters away from us, no more than that, I looked up and saw an object suspended in the air, like a fireball some 30 meters up in the air. I can=92t tell you more because it was a dark night,=94 said Ramon, 32.

According to his calculations, the object must have been suspended in the air some 30 seconds since "it gave me time to take two photos with my cellphone and a third one that didn=92t come out very well.=94 All of this took place when they were fishing on this side of the river and the object was on the far side, over some hills in the area.

The businessman said that everything happened so quickly that "when I took the photo, it was hovering. Then it made an ascending motion toward the cordillera, but it wasn=92t abrupt. Then I took a third picture that didn=92t come out very well. What called my attention is that at the time we could see a shining light, and when we downloaded the photo to the computer, we noticed that it had reddish tones on the one side and bluish ones on the other.=94

He told his story with a sober demeanor and with a degree of reticence, concerned about the remarks that this experience may generate, since "if you talk about flying saucer, they say you=92re nuts.=94 Ramon says he only told a friend and later saw the experience published in an Alvear weekly paper. In his opinion "it isn=92t a meteorite. It=92s something else, although I don=92t know what. It=92s the first time that this has happened to me. It was struck by the height at which the object was located

and the fact that if you take a cellphone picture of a light source, it won't come out in the same way as this one did.=94

(Translation (c) 2006. S. Corrales, IHU. Special thanks to Mario Luis Bracamonte, S.I.O. - Argentina)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 27](#)

Re: Seeking 2 Canadian UFO Articles - Balaskas

From: Nick Balaskas <Nikolaos.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 11:17:18 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
Fwd Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 19:53:46 -0500
Subject: Re: Seeking 2 Canadian UFO Articles - Balaskas

>From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <ballesterolmos.yahoo.es>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 18 Feb 2006 09:35:32 -0500
>Subject: Seeking 2 Canadian UFO Articles

>Dear Colleagues,

>I am seeking the following two articles on UFOs printed in
>Canadian journals in 1968. I will appreciate any photocopies or
>scans.

>A.B. Barnes, article published in Product Design and Value
>Engineering, Vol 13, No 2, February 1968, page 14 (and
>following)

>Author unknown, article published in the Canadian Scientist of
>September 1968.

>Thank you indeed and best regards,

>Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos
>Apartado de Correos 12140
>46080 Valencia
>Spain

Greetings Vincente-Juan!

While going through my copies of Arthur's scrapbooks late last night (these are part of the massive Wilbert B. Smith/Arthur Bray UFO collection at the University of Ottawa Archives) to my surprise I found what you were looking for (SB-3-P050 to P57).

The cover story of the September 1968 special issue of 'The Canadian Scientist', Canada's first general science magazine, was 'UFOs An Engineer's Challenge'. The caption beneath the long article (pages 8 to 19) 'What's a UFO to an Engineer?' by Austin B. Bornes, P. Eng., manager of Product Design and Value Engineering, Southern Business Publications stated:

The Canadian Scientist has consistently published original articles and avoided reprinting from other journals. An exception is made for this outstanding article from Product Design and Value Engineering, whose author is the manager of that journal and a professional engineer in the aerospace field. This article is long but it is simply too good not to reprint - Editor.

I would be happy to scan this article and send it to you as an e-mail attachment and to any others who are interested in having a copy of their own.

Also, while looking through my Bray's scrapbooks on UFOs I made another interesting discovery. The 'Canadian Aeronautics and Space Journal' for May 1968 (Published by the Aeronautics and Space Institute in Ottawa - Canada's equivalent to NASA) had a summary of their Astronautics Symposium that was held in Montreal between March 11 and 12 that same year where the subject of UFOs was discussed. The first speaker of the four speakers in this

session on UFOs was the late Dr. James E. McDonald, atmospheric scientist with the University of Arizona. The other speakers were Dr. Peter M. Millman, NRC astronomer and head of Project Second Storey, Canada's UFO project; Philip J. Klass, author of 'UFO - Identified' and 'Aviation Week and Space Technology' editor and Dr. Roy M. Pritchard, visual perception expert at McMaster University. The counter-arguments presented by McDonald and Klass were heated, topical and of great interest to the audience.

Nick Balaskas

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 27](#)

Winner 15th Annual EBE Film Festival Award

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 19:59:06 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 19:59:06 -0500
Subject: Winner 15th Annual EBE Film Festival Award

Source: PRWeb.Com - Ferndale, Washington, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/pkqpk>

March 27, 2006

=09

Producer/Director/Writer J.J. Barmettler wins Best Picture Award at 15th annual EBE Film Festival Awards

The 15th Annual EBE winner for the Best Feature Film held March 4th 2006 Laughlin Nevada was awarded to the film "Alien Secrets" Directed by J. J. Barmettler and Produced by Barmettler, Brandon Scott and Richard Smith.

Los Angeles, CA (PRWEB) March 27, 2006 -- This year=92s 15th annual film festival event was held at The Flamingo Resort and Casino in Laughlin, Nevada (Feb.26-March 4, 2006). The highlight of this weeklong gathering of scientists, experts, and enthusiasts culminated with The International UFO Congress Film Festival screening the best features, documentaries and short films dealing with UFO related subject matter. This festival recognizes provocative filmmakers with the coveted EBE Award.

J.J. Barmettler is an award winning Producer/Director with a background in feature films, dramatic and doc style television programs. He was Head Writer and Director on the successful TNT=92s action/comedy television series, "L.A. HEAT" starring Steven Williams and Ken Tigar. He was Producer on the Daytime Emmy Award winning series "Adoption Stories" on Discovery Health Channel. Mr. Barmettler=92s extensive feature film screenwriting credits include the HBO World premiere of "Rage", Universal Music & Home Video DVD releases of the sci-fi film "Silencers", urban action thriller "Riot" and the dark comedy "Pure Danger".

"Alien Secrets is a good natured tale nested inside controversial subject matter", says Barmettler.

<http://www.aliensecrets.com/as/themovie/asmenu.htm>

According to Barmettler, "The film attracted many artists that had some sort of UFO experience at some point in their life =96 or just had a burning curiosity for the subject matter. We were able to gather the collaboration of many talented digital artists like Steve Neill, Martin Hjalms, Bob Chapin, James Neff, and Cher Lyn. We attracted are large cast of actors and talent from many subculture arenas like cult maven Raven De La Croix, world renown magician Mark Wilson and the extraterrestrial channel Bashar. The intriguing UFO/paranormal experts in the film are ex-military intelligence officer Robert O. Dean, Author/researcher Dr. J.J. Hurtak, Author Budd Hopkins and Blackvault.com=92s John Greenwald".

The music in Alien Secrets is from an array of talented and award winning recording artists; Henry Iglesias, Hans Stiritz, Gailyn Addis, Robbie Longley, Anna Trevino, Fritz Heede, Michael Murphy and the band Mumbo Gumbo.

Award winning filmmakers that contributed footage include Roger Nygard (Trekkies 1 & 2, Six Days To Roswell), and past EBE winners Christopher Toussaint and UFOTV=92s Tim Crawford.

"We are so glad this film was made," commented Michael, one of the UFO researchers attending the festival. "This film is a perfect way for delivering this sensitive information in an entertaining way".

" It=92s a story that has to be told," commented a husband and wife team, both who are UFO researchers; " So many of us go through the same experiences as the character Brandon Scott portrayed the movie, so we really identified with that."

" I am glad they didn't make fun of us" shared a young woman and UFO abductee. "It was funny in many parts and yet it was serious about the message".

Another audience member was eager to share this with his wife and kids.

"I can=92t wait to show it to my family" he said, " I can never sit them down to watch a documentary on UFOs, this movie is fun and so entertaining, I think this will help them get exposed to the facts about this phenomena and it=92s a great story to boot."

After presenting the Award, Bob Brown, founder of the International UFO Congress, stated to the awards audience, "I really enjoyed the film , I got it, I think most of you out there will=85 It has humor so we can laugh at ourselves. Very nicely done."

Best Feature Film- UFO or Related Theme: "ALIEN SECRETS"
Produced by J.J. Barmettler, Brandon Scott, Richard Smith.
Directed by J.J. Barmettler. Executive Producer John Fromberg.
Written by Ayers Baxter, J.J. Barmettler, Brandon Scott.
Staring Brandon Scott, Tracy Erickson, and Spike Steingasser.
Featuring Dr. J.J. Hurtak, Robert O. Dean, Budd Hopkins, John Greenwald, Bashar, Raven De la Croix and Pamela Stonebrooke.

Story synopsis:

They=92re here and living among us.
Filmmaker J.J. Barmettler and controversial UFO Investigator Brandon Scott have captured the UFO subculture from the inside out, working with 43 artists, 23 abductees, 30 experts and 200 eye witnesses who have all had experiences in the chilling UFO/Alien Phenomenon. Their discovery shows that there is a hidden generation of alien/human hybrids living among us. In the process of the investigation a female hybrid speaks out for the first time ever on film. This "hybrid" narrative/documentary film weaves story and fact in an epic portrayal of one man's fight for free will and his drive to save humanity. With over twenty original songs, real UFO footage and a daring subject matter, this provocative film is sure to be a cult sensation.

###

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 27](#)

Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Horn

From: Tom Horn <tomhorn.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 09:11:26 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 20:02:11 -0500
Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Horn

>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <UFOUpdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 26 Mar 2006 08:26:36 -0700
>Subject: Roswell's UFO Museum

>Greetings to the Listarians, Lurkers and Pesky Government
>Spooks,

>I've been doing much thinking of late about the current
>situation regarding the Roswell Incident.

>Time to retire this dog, if not bury it completely.

<snip>

Time to bury Roswell? Maybe not quite yet. Information is forthcoming in the next couple months involving what was recovered at Roswell, and this from the farmers son. Might even get CNN & Oprah coverage. Material the intel cops didn't know about. See you in Mac.

Tom Horn

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 27](#)

Re: USAF New Civilian Careers Recruitment Site -

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 12:48:52 +0100 (BST)
Fwd Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 20:09:47 -0500
Subject: Re: USAF New Civilian Careers Recruitment Site -

>From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 13:46:44 -0500
>Subject: Re: USAF New Civilian Careers Recruitment Site

>>From: **Rick Nielsen** <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 22 Mar 2006 20:29:20 -0800 (PST)
>>Subject: USAF New Civilian Careers Recruitment Site

>Why is the USAF now on an open worldwide recruiting campaign
>for civilian scientists to work on their research and design
>projects?

>Reference:

><http://afciviliancareers.com/http://afciviliancareers.com/>

>- The USAF has been superior in all the world for the past
>ten years at least.

<snip>

Source: Air Force Times.Com - Reno, Nevada, USA

<http://www.airforcetimes.com/story.php?f=1-292925-1641407.php>

March 24, 2006

U.S. Missile Science Slumping

The Pentagon risks running out of scientists to operate and upgrade the nation's arsenal of intercontinental nuclear and conventional missiles, according to a report released this week by the Defense Science Board.

As the nation's veteran engineers and scientists retire, the military will lose much of its expertise in long-range missile technology, the report says. That means the Air Force and Navy, which operate most of the 1960s-vintage missiles, will be unable to cope with system failures or develop improved weapons, the report says.

Not only are fewer American engineers and scientists choosing to work on missile technology, there are fewer of them altogether, the report says. Each year, about 70,000 Americans receive undergraduate and graduate science and engineering degrees that are defense related, compared with a combined 200,000 in China and India, the report says.

The government should pay higher salaries and offer other incentives to attract more experts into the strategic missile field, the report says.

A task force of five outside missile experts spent two years preparing the report at the Pentagon's request.

Although the board lacks the power to force the Pentagon to act,

Lt. Gen. Frank Klotz, acting head of the Air Force Space Command, told a Senate committee this month that the Pentagon is trying to improve its recruiting and retention of missile experts. Space Command runs the intercontinental ballistic missile system.

The report does not give specifics on the number of experts who are retiring or the numbers needed to replace them, but it says about 20,000 research and development scientists and engineers work in the aerospace industry as a whole, down from more than 140,000 in the mid-1980s.

John Steinbruner, head of the Center for International and Security Studies at the University of Maryland, says few scientists want to work on long-range missiles because "it's not too hard to figure out that American security doesn't depend on this any more."

Instead, Steinbruner says, the Pentagon's priorities have shifted toward fighting terrorism and "low-intensity conflicts," such as the insurgency in Iraq.

The report, he says, sounds like the Pentagon advisory board is "just trying to keep the money flowing" and may be biased toward Cold War-era ballistic missile systems.

The report also questions a Pentagon plan to seek more than \$500 million to replace nuclear warheads on some submarine-launched Trident ballistic missiles with conventional warheads. The Pentagon says those missiles could be used to strike fortified targets such as nuclear weapons facilities in rogue nations.

Converting the warheads on these missiles will be difficult, the report says, because the Pentagon lacks the necessary engineering skills. It adds that technical requirements for non-nuclear warheads are much different for long-range missiles from those for shorter-range missiles or nuclear weapons.

Panel cites weaknesses in strike force

A two-year study by a panel of the Defense Science Board found several flaws in the nation's strategic missile system. They include:

- Expertise: There's a lack of industry and government expertise in long-range missile systems, and what exists may not be available for future systems.
- Rebuilding costs: It would take five to seven years to rebuild a skilled workforce, and even then there would be mistakes and cost overruns because of inexperience.
- Failure to cope: The Pentagon "may not be able to cope with unanticipated failures" in intercontinental nuclear missiles.
- Inexperience: It's been 25 years since the last long-range missile was designed, and few in the Air Force have helped design a missile system.
- Shortages: There's an "alarming" lack of missile expertise in the Defense Threat Reduction Agency, where severe shortages of civilian experts exist in 124 of 163 critical skills areas.
- Incentives: Defense contractors and the Pentagon need to increase pay and incentives to attract "the best and brightest students" to missile programs they have avoided in favor of better-paying jobs.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 27](#)

New Book 'Mysterious Sky'

From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 18:34:05 +0100
Fwd Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 22:03:24 -0500
Subject: New Book 'Mysterious Sky'

Mysterious Sky
Soviet UFO Phenomenon
By Philip Mantle & Paul Stonehill

The long awaited new book by international UFO researchers Philip Mantle & Paul Stonehill will be published on 29th of March 2006 by PublishAmerica (www.publishamerica.com). The book will be available in all good book stores, or online via PublishAmerica, or other usual online outlets such as Amazon.

MYSTERIOUS SKY takes an in-depth look at the UFO phenomenon from behind the former iron curtain. With historical material the authors set the scene and track the UFO phenomenon right through to today's modern Russia. The authors have called upon their own extensive research material plus that of many UFO researchers within the former Soviet Union, to publish what is the first collaboration between East & West. Fully illustrated and at only \$24.95, MYSTERIOUS SKY is a must read for both the avid UFO researcher or casual reader of the UFO genre. Review copies can be requested via e-mail at: support.nul or fax: 001 301-631-9073.

Philip Mantle is from the UK and is an international UFO researcher, author, lecturer & broadcaster. He can be contacted via e-mail at: philip.nul

Paul Stonehill is originally from the Ukraine but he now resides in the USA. An international author, lecturer & broadcaster he can be contacted via e-mail at: rurcla.nul

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 27](#)

Secrecy News -- 03/27/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 13:26:46 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 22:06:12 -0500
Subject: Secrecy News -- 03/27/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 39
March 27, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** NATIONAL SECURITY LETTERS AND ADMINISTRATIVE SUBPOENAS (CRS)
** SOME MORE FROM CRS
** ARMY ISSUES NEW MANUAL ON UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS
** THE THOMAS BUTLER AFFAIR

NATIONAL SECURITY LETTERS AND ADMINISTRATIVE SUBPOENAS (CRS)

National security letters are investigative tools used in foreign intelligence investigations to compel the disclosure of certain transactional information such as financial records and communications data.

NSLs have become controversial due to their increasing use by government agencies (primarily the FBI), and because of the non-disclosure requirements and the limited judicial oversight involved in their use.

A new report from the Congressional Research Service sorts through the five statutes that authorize the use of National Security Letters, including the latest amendments which provide for a measure of judicial review.

See "National Security Letters in Foreign Intelligence Investigations: Legal Background and Recent Amendments," March 17, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/intel/RL33320.pdf>

An abbreviated version of the same report, without footnotes or appendices, is "National Security Letters in Foreign Intelligence Investigations: A Glimpse of the Legal Background and Recent Amendments," March 21, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/intel/RS22406.pdf>

Administrative subpoenas used in criminal investigations are approximately analogous to national security letters used in foreign intelligence investigations. They are the subject of another new report from the Congressional Research Service.

For extended background on administrative subpoenas, see "Administrative Subpoenas in Criminal Investigations: A Brief Legal Analysis," March 17, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/intel/RL33321.pdf>

An abbreviated version of that report is "Administrative

Subpoenas in Criminal Investigations: A Sketch," March 17, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/intel/RS22407.pdf>

CRS does not make its publications directly available to the public. Copies of these reports were obtained by Secrecy News.

SOME MORE FROM CRS

Some other notable new reports from the Congressional Research Service are the following.

"Material Support of Terrorists and Foreign Terrorist Organizations: Sunset Amendments in Brief," updated March 17, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/terror/RS22222.pdf>

"Tactical Aircraft Modernization: Issues for Congress," updated March 16, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/weapons/IB92115.pdf>

"Syria: U.S. Relations and Bilateral Issues," updated March 13, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/mideast/IB92075.pdf>

"AIDS in Africa," updated March 9, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/row/IB10050.pdf>

"Internet Development and Information Control in the People's Republic of China," February 10, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/row/RL33167.pdf>

ARMY ISSUES NEW MANUAL ON UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS

The U.S. Army has issued a new manual on unmanned aerial systems (UAS), which are increasingly used in a wide spectrum of reconnaissance, surveillance and targeting missions.

UAS include what were formerly referred to as unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), plus their payloads and support systems.

The new Army manual includes fresh information on Army UAS programs and operations.

A copy of the unclassified manual was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "Army Unmanned Aircraft System Operations," Field Manual Interim FMI 3-04.155, dated 4 April 2006 (183 pages in a large 9 MB PDF file):

<http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/army/fmi3-04-155.pdf>

THE THOMAS BUTLER AFFAIR

Dr. Thomas C. Butler is one of the rather few people in the history of humanity of whom it can be truly said that he helped to save millions of lives. A specialist in the plague and other infectious diseases, his research helped lead to the adoption of oral hydration as a standard treatment for diarrhea in the Third World and elsewhere.

But in post-9/11 America, Dr. Butler is also a convicted criminal.

Because he apparently committed certain violations of the laws governing the transport of toxic agents used in his medical research, he was investigated and prosecuted as if he were a potential terrorist. In 2004, he was sentenced to a term of two years in prison, which he recently completed.

The strange tale of Dr. Butler is explored this week in an exhaustive seven-part series in the Cleveland Plain Dealer beginning March 26. See "Plagued by Fear" reported by John

Mangels here:

<http://www.cleveland.com/plague/>

Some related material in support of Dr. Butler from the Federation of American Scientists is available here:

<http://www.fas.org/butler/index.html>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 27](#)

Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catja90024.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 10:41:03 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 22:09:55 -0500
Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Kasten

>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <UFOUpdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 26 Mar 2006 08:26:36 -0700
>Subject: Roswell's UFO Museum

>Greetings to the Listarians, Lurkers and Pesky Government
>Spooks,

<snip>

>So, if you head to Roswell for vacation this year, I`suggest
>going to the McDonald's hamburger joint built in the shape of a
>UFO. At least you'll get something in your stomach instead of a
>belly ache you'll get by visiting a 'research facility' that
>produces zero research.

However, if you are interesting in researching the UFO subject,
the Roswell library is one of the most extensive in the world -
books, magazines, articles, artifacts, etc.

I was informed by the librarians that they get calls from all
over the world for information, and from many levels of society.
Plus, the replica of the tomb stele at Palanque is spectacular.

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 27](#)

Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Allan

From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 20:36:33 +0100
Fwd Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 22:16:13 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Allan

>From: Dave Vetterick <veterick.nul>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 21:51:48 -0600
>Subject: Santilli & The AA Film

>As many of the List-members recall, Neil Moris put an original
>Beta video copy of the AA film on CD. We invited you look at the
>CD copy and offer comments. We put the responses on a web site
>and offered to sell the 2 CD set for \$15. See:

><http://www.v-j-enterprises.com/aafilm/aaindex.html>

>This was with Santilli's authorization. \$10 covered the cost of
>making copies and postage. \$5 went to the Texas Children's
>Hospital.

<snip>

>Release the rest of the film copy you have, let a piece of it
>with the body image be examined, or the cameraman's real
>identity, THEN interest will be rekindled.

>Regards
>Dave Vetterick

Your last paragraph sounds perfectly reasonable to me.

I saw this film twice in 1995, and thought it was an abomination. It seemed merely an attempt, by somebody, to thrust some footage upon the public and make a sensation at the very time the USAF and the GAO were re-investigating the Roswell affair. (The GAO involvement had been announced in the press in Jan 1994).

I recall Ray Santilli putting out a flyer that the principal MJ-12 paper (i.e. the Eisenhower briefing) was an official document released from the National Archives! This was intended, presumably, to bolster his idea that the film was the autopsy of an actual Roswell alien.

There is simply no pedigree to this autopsy film, and nobody seems to be able to get anywhere in tracing its true history or origin. Apart from Santilli, nobody connected with this film has ever been identified, and the film itself was thoroughly discredited in 1995, at the time of its release.

Does anybody still expect that anything of scientific value will emerge from this film? If it had any real merit, it ought to have had a sound track, plus some identity as to the date and location of the filming, and the personnel involved. Then we could at least make a start on establishing its validity.

CDA

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 27](#)

Re: Visible On Mars - White

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 17:44:39 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 22:17:50 -0500
Subject: Re: Visible On Mars - White

>From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 21:41:22 -0000
>Subject: Re: Visible On Mars

>>>From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 10:09:08 -0000
>>>Subject: Re: Visible On Mars
>>><http://tinyurl.com/4j9s3>

<snip>

>But you might be interested in a fairly straight forward
>resolution he's done of a possible 'hidden city' - which also
>looks convincing - at

>http://www.marsanomalyresearch.com/evidence-reports/2001/028/hidden_city.htm

Mmmm... I do see what looks like a stylized representation of apartment building tops, but to my eye, they appear to be laying almost flat on the Mars surface - as if looking at an artist's slightly 3D relief impression of a city molded into plaster. The overall view looks vertical, not horizontal, to my eye.

When I see that the image is 2.87 km wide, those would have to be huge buildings. None the less, those rectangular "openings" are interesting. Mine shafts?

I would expect that if Mars ever had a livable biosphere, and it sure does seem likely from all I've seen, heard and read, cities would be likely, if not from native life, then from space farers. But I don't see the image linked above as a city.

* One thing I've noticed on other "city" photos is that unlike cities on Earth, those apparently on the Martian landscape don't taper off into suburbs. They stop in a sharp edge. That may indicate the city was established after Mars lost most of its atmosphere, and the only viable city after that point would have to be pressurized.

Another nagging problem is that one of those "cities" was perched atop a "cordillera" of substantial ridges - kind of awkward site, but understandable if there was a good water source there. A city erected by space farers would have been constructed using very advanced technology so I guess it could be anywhere.

* Another thought occurs to me when I looked at the "man's face" referenced in the original thread. Another view of that same area showed simple, flat terrain above an eroded area. Is it possible that the "man's face" was maybe "edited in"? I tend to think that particular "face" might well have been edited in by the same folks who have tried to "edit out" the Cydonia face. It looks edited in to my eye.

Why would NASA (or JPL, or whoever) do that?

Maybe because the newer "man's face" is being set up so the

powers that be can prove, undeniably, that there is no face there. The powers that be might then be able to persuade the public that the Cydonia face is also a hoax, when in fact it isn't (my personal opinion.)

This is just speculation, but I come from a world members of this list haven't had the "pleasure" of experiencing, where extremely subtle scams operate constantly to discredit targets, cause them to discredit themselves by taking bait, and in which deception is several layers deep.

Having experienced this for 26 years now, and having several hundred colleagues experiencing the same, having NASA/JPL/whoever edit in some bait images would be just everyday stuff from our standpoint.

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 28](#)

Re: James Bond Johnson Passes - Sawers

From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 11:32:05 +1000
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 13:27:03 -0500
Subject: Re: James Bond Johnson Passes - Sawers

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2006 3:07 AM
>Subject: UFO UpDate: James Bond Johnson Passes

>Mary Castner informed both the Project 1947 and Current
>Encounters Lists yesterday, of the passing of James Bond
>Johnson - photographer of the 1947 Roswell UFO Crash wreckage
>in the office of General Ramey in Fort Worth, Texas and former
>UFO UpDates List member/contributor - on Saturday.

>If anyone has any further details please post.

>ebk

Thank you for passing on this information.

Condolences to JBJs family and friends.

I'm sure the RPIT team will miss him as we see another 1st hand witness to the Roswell story pass away. We owe JBJ a debt of gratitude for being so willing and helpful in the search for the truth.

Regards

William

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 28](#)

There Are Aliens Amongst Us

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 13:30:48 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 13:30:48 -0500
Subject: There Are Aliens Amongst Us

Source: Movies.MonstersAndCritics.Com - Glasgow, Scotland

<http://tinyurl.com/evx25>

Mar 28, 2006

There Are Aliens Amongst Us!

Ok, ok! Before we start getting zillions of email - yes, we are dedicated to bringing you the latest and the best news from the entertainment world!

But sometimes, we get movie news that is so "different" we can't help but let you know about it. So it is with 'Alien Secrets'.

Written and helmed by film maker J.J. Barmettler ('LA Heat') and produced by famed UFO investigator Brandon Scott, 'Alien Secrets' is described as a "mock-umentary".

According to the film makers: "a fictitious story told documentary style. In our case, it's a story based on a truth and real events, shot documentary style, with a real documentary interwoven. But don't get bogged down by definitions and classifications. Just enjoy it!"

The film captures the life and times of a wacky UFO investigator on the trail of alien/human hybrids and the trials and tribulations he endures to find them.

He encounters real victims of the phenomenon, women who have been shown their alien/human hybrid children and experts (Robert O. Dean, Budd Hopkins and Dr. J.J. Hurtak) who shed light on the phenomenon while at the same time giving insight into Brandon's quest.

According to PR Web, 'Alien Secrets' was recently awarded the "EBE Award" from the 15th annual International UFO Congress Film Festival. The fest screens the best features, documentaries and short films dealing with UFO related subject matter. They recognize provocative filmmakers with the EBE Award.

The festival was held at The Flamingo Resort and Casino in Laughlin, Nevada (Feb.26-March 4, 2006). For those that do not know, Laughlin is not far from the legendary Area 51 of UFO lure.

We will leave you with the tag line of the film:

"They're here and living among us!"

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 28](#)

Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 21:22:22 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 13:56:06 -0500
Subject: Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of

>From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 17:27:55 -0000
>Subject: Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of Light?

>>Source: Penn State Live - Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA
>><http://live.psu.edu/story/16767> Thursday, March 23, 2006

>>Probing Question: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of
>>Light? <snip>

>>Still, slowing light down in order to beat it is cheating,
>>Schneider conceded. And although he's not closing his mind to
>>the possibility that relativity will one day be amended, for
>>now, he said, Einstein's theory is the final word.

>Hello List,

>I believe it was done first about eleven or so years ago, by
>Guenther Nimtz. Here's the refs:

><http://fm.vanillasite.at/space/Superluminal+tunneling>

>"Superluminal tunneling (faster than light transmission of
>signals) was first observed at the University of Cologne with
>microwave photons. Soon thereafter these experiments were
>duplicated and validated at the University of Berkeley and
>Vienna. For theoretical physics the implication is that there
>exist spaces, devoid of time." Research by Prof. Dr. Guenther
>Nimtz, Prof. Raymond Chiao and others." <snip>

>"This means that it is indeed possible for an object to have a
>velocity greater than that of light."

>Believe Guenther, a showman as well as a sharp engineer-
>scientist, used a piece of classical music to demonstrate that
>"information" could indeed travel at f.t.l. speed. (Think it was
>about 4 times f.t.l.)

Hello Ray.

Here we go again.

Whenever a 'showman' comes up with this stuff, it almost always
follows that somebody didn't read the fine print.

From the link above:

"Einstein causality rules out the propagation of any signal
traveling faster than light, but it does not limit the group
velocity of electromagnetic propagation. - Chiao

After considering the mathematical possibility of motion faster
than light, we have concluded that Einstein Causality does not
allow for the superluminal transmission of any useful
information.

In other words, one cannot send a signal backwards in time,

ruling out all time travel and similar cause and effect disturbances.

However, through the work of Chaio and other experimental physicists, conclusive evidence is given for faster-than-light transmission of smoothly varying functions such as that of a particle wave packet. This means that it is indeed possible for an object to have a velocity greater than that of light."

Taken out of context, that last sentence sounds like you can send physical objects (bullets, your car keys ..) FTL.

The preceding sentence refers only to "smoothly varying functions such as that of a particle wave packet", i.e. a mathematical construct (function), not something you can pick up off the table, and no useful information either.

Sending music FTL? Nice trick. There's a Nobel prize for the first 'showman' who can pull it off convincingly before the doubting eyes of Physics. I wish it were otherwise but alas. I've lost count how many times I and others have send pretty much this same sad message.

Best

-Larry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 28](#)

Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 22:55:46 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 13:58:31 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman - Redfern

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 13:48:59 -0400
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 24 Mar 2006 17:06:13 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

>>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Thu, 23 Mar 2006 15:26:28 -0400
>>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The Camerman

<snip>

>>Don

>>This is actually not impossible. See the following:

>>In 1980, in The UFO Crash Retrieval Syndrome, Leonard
>>Stringfield related the testimony of 'T.E.' a former "Air Force
>>radar specialist", who in the spring of 1953 while stationed at
>>Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey was "summoned to view a film at the
>>base theater."

>>The film, Stringfield was told, showed "a desert scene
>>dominated by a silver disc-shaped object imbedded in the sand
>>with a domed section at the top."

><snip>

>That's the first thing that popped into my mind, Nick. This
>disinformation fed to UFO researchers theory does nothing to
>support Body Snatchers in the Desert's theme.

>But again, going back to the first. Is it the contention of most
>that Santilli is off the hook for this production? Apparently he
>fared very well financially from it's existence. Not only that
>he had the resources and skills to produce this film. Of course
>so do the film distributors of today and producers of same.
>Quite often the end people make more money out of something that
>does the creator of the piece.

>In the case of "T.E." would he have been one of many who was
>shown this buried saucer film and then told it was a hoax to see
>if the story developed legs, a sort of experiment in
>disinformation technique. If so the question arises, was the
>buried saucer real but claimed as a hoax or did the architects
>of this "hoax" go the extra mile and build a set and photograph
>it? That being the case likely some experienced Hollywood types-
>set designers-would have had a hand in it. That's dicey however.
>How do you ensure their silence for decades afterward?

Don

All good questions.

Maybe, from what I have heard, we may have some answers after the new UK TV productions.

Nick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 28](#)

Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of

From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 10:03:33 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 14:07:01 -0500
Subject: Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of

>From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 17:27:55 -0000
>Subject: Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of Light?

>>Source: Penn State Live - Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

>><http://live.psu.edu/story/16767>

>>Thursday, March 23, 2006

>>Probing Question: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of
>>Light?

<snip>

>Still, slowing light down in order to beat it is cheating,
>>Schneider conceded. And although he's not closing his mind to
>>the possibility that relativity will one day be amended, for
>>now, he said, Einstein's theory is the final word.

>Hello List,

>I believe it was done first about eleven or so years ago, by
>Guenther Nimtz.

>Here's the refs:

><http://fm.vanillasite.at/space/Superluminal+tunneling>

>"Superluminal tunneling (faster than light transmission of
>signals) was first observed at the University of Cologne with.
>microwave photons. Soon thereafter these experiments were
>duplicated and validated at the University of Berkeley and
>Vienna. For theoretical physics the implication is that there
>exist spaces, devoid of time." Research by Prof. Dr. Guenther
>Nimtz, Prof. Raymond Chiao and others."

><snip>

>"This means that it is indeed possible for an object to have a
>velocity greater than that of light."

Yes, but was this faster than lght in a vacuum, or were the
experiments done in some material medium?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 28](#)

Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 16:30:23 +0100 (BST)
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 15:11:04 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Miller

>From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 20:36:33 +0100
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>>From: Dave Vetterick <veterick.nul>
>>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 21:51:48 -0600
>>Subject: Santilli & The AA Film

>Does anybody still expect that anything of scientific value
>will emerge from this film? If it had any real merit, it
>ought to have had a sound track, plus some identity as to the
>date and location of the filming, and the personnel involved.
>Then we could at least make a start on establishing its
>validity.

Yes of course, without a soundtrack it just ain't a proper piece
of disinformation, is it? I'd always fancied the Tiller Girls
high kicking their way through the autopsy suite just as the
final incision was being made. Then presumably you'd take it
seriously. Then of course trailers; "They came from outer
space....." except of course in this instance they really would
have. Popcorn, popcorn; where would we be without it.

Stuart Miller

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 28](#)

Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Boone

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 10:32:53 EST
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 15:21:54 -0500
Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Boone

>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <UFOUpdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 26 Mar 2006 08:26:36 -0700
>Subject: Roswell's UFO Museum

<snip>

>In the beginning the International UFO Museum and Research
>Center had a lot of promise. But, like all big ideas borne in
>tribal mentality, it has failed miserably.

No way.

I'm sorry Ms. Connors, I really adore your work but this time
I'm in total disagreement.

That museum is like an oasis in the desert of blandness.

It's true Americana located in an area where pioneers suffered
the hot arid wastelands to build a community and history.

That area of Roswell is where my forefathers served our country
against the menace of the Axis powers. Where the first weapons
of mass destruction were deployed. Where ingenuity carved out of
the desert a place that has industry, education, art and science
and a culture truly our own.

I enjoy my visits. I meet real heroes and heroines, some of them
old enough to remember my uncles, aunts and grandparents and
neighbors who served at the base there and who are old enough
with the honor and respect to still have the authority to smack
me upside my head if I misbehave. That's admiration and love and
respect.

The best steaks and seafood I've ever had were in Roswell. Why
the best milkshake I've ever had was at the Dairy Queen there
and the lady behind the counter knew my kin and made me a
special cappuccino malt milkshake. I dream about it each day.
Then I found out that they have a huge ice cream industry there.
Nothin' like fresh made ice cream.

I got the chance to meet so many Ufologists whose works I grew
up studying and they all greeted me with a smile and a handshake
and even a joke or two. I got to meet present and ex military
who miraculously made it home after the wars and who shared a
tall tale and a smile. You can't buy that.

The Roswell UFO Museum is where we, the people, the kinfolk, get
together to swap stories of tall adventure and fellowship. We
see the common thread in us all as we ponder the mysteries of
the UFO phenomena. All races, ages and such. In that one place
we're all one. Differences of opinions, but one.

The folks there in Roswell are warm, friendly, generous. One
officer from Chaves County sheriff's dept. and a lady from the
NBC office in Albuquerque told me that folks in New Mexico take
care of their own. No hostilities, just folks.

Whenever I get the chance I go there to visit and eat. Folks in Roswell feed you. Often what you see 'mooing' in the morning is on the plate by afternoon! You don't even know the design on your plate til after almost spraining your arm shoveling in the helpful heaping portions of their hospitality.

I'm glad the museum is there as it's a part of home that is often not recognized in this age of political global agendas that are undermining our livelihoods as Americans.

I'm now thinking the aliens crashed there trying to find parking just to get a slice of that apple pie.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 28](#)

Re: Visible On Mars - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 16:50:13 +0100
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 17:40:27 -0500
Subject: Re: Visible On Mars - Dickenson

>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 17:44:39 -0500
>Subject: Re: Visible On Mars

<snip>

>* Another thought occurs to me when I looked at the "man's face"
>referenced in the original thread. Another view of that same
>area showed simple, flat terrain above an eroded area. Is it
>possible that the "man's face" was maybe "edited_in"? I tend
>to think that particular "face" might well have been edited in
>by the same folks who have tried to "edit out" the Cydonia face.
>It looks edited in to my eye.

>Why would NASA (or JPL, or whoever) do that?

>Maybe because the newer "man's face" is being set up so the
>powers that be can prove, undeniably, that there is no face
>there. The powers that be might then be able to persuade the
>public that the Cydonia face is also a hoax, when in fact it
>isn't (my personal opinion.)

Right Eleanor,

That was my first thought, that some NASA/JPL tech had
"placed" that head image on one official shot:

http://www.msss.com/moc_gallery/ab1_m04/images/M0200164.html
so they could later say "Ha - joke's on you".

However that means they'll be fairly well scorned for public
fakery (seems they've done some 'private' fakery on this and
other subjects) - and, as J.P. Skipper mailed "However, it isn't
partially buried in the sand but the lower portion has been
obscured by smudge image tampering applications."

So are we looking at a double or treble bluff? At least one
image (plus Google Mars) has the head, some others don't. And
the one that has it seems to have had (automatic?) military-
grade tampering applied - to obscure what?

>From what J.P.S says, there's very little in that wider shot
>which is what it seems to be - so why leave that blatant 'head'
>in view?

Interesting question.

Cheers
Ray D

"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 28](#)

Secrecy News -- 03/28/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 12:00:37 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 17:42:23 -0500
Subject: Secrecy News -- 03/28/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 40
March 28, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** THE AIPAC CASE: "UNCHARTED WATERS"
** SELECTED CRS REPORTS ON THE MIDDLE EAST

THE AIPAC CASE: "UNCHARTED WATERS"

The prosecution of two former officials of the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) for allegedly receiving and communicating classified information without authorization poses novel legal issues, the presiding judge in the case said last week.

"We are a bit in new, uncharted waters, and that's why I'm going to consider this matter extremely carefully," said Judge T.S. Ellis III at a March 24 hearing on defense motions to dismiss the case.

This is the first case in which the government has sought to criminalize the unauthorized receipt of classified information by non-governmental persons who do not hold security clearances.

Anything other than a dismissal of the charges would mark a dramatic shift in national security law and a significant reduction in First Amendment protections.

At the hearing last week, defense attorneys reiterated their arguments that the underlying statutes are overbroad, unconstitutionally vague, and do not apply to speech but only to the unauthorized transfer of tangible materials such as classified documents.

Unlike documents that bear classification markings, the defense pointed out, oral communications do not provide the recipient with notice that their contents are restricted.

"It's not a coincidence that the words of the statute speak in [terms of] tangible items, and the conduct here is oral," said defense attorney Abbe Lowell.

Under such circumstances, "How can a defendant, a potential defendant, trying to decide whether or not he's stepping across the line, determine when -- what information is national defense information, and when it isn't?" Judge Ellis asked the prosecution.

"It all depends upon the facts, your Honor," replied Assistant U.S. Attorney Kevin DiGregory vaguely.

Furthermore, documents can be returned to their rightful owners. But oral information once received into conscious awareness is difficult not to retain. Yet according to the government, retention of such information by unauthorized recipients is illegal too.

"Well, what are they supposed to do," asked Judge Ellis, "have a lobotomy?"

Prosecutors argued that this is not a First Amendment case involving protected speech.

"What we have alleged in our indictment, your Honor, is not First Amendment protected activity," said Mr. DiGregory.

"What we have alleged is that these two men conspired with persons, known and unknown, they conspired to gather and disseminate national defense information. And we have alleged that they have done so, and communicated that information to persons not entitled to receive it."

"What we're talking about here, your Honor, in the first instance, is conduct. We're not talking about speech," he said.

"Do you think that you can transform speech into conduct?" Judge Ellis replied. "You can't do it just by labeling it conduct."

"All speech is a type of conduct," the Judge continued, "but it's a type of conduct which [defense attorney] Lowell would quickly say falls within the First Amendment. But he would have to be quick to concede that conduct in terms of giving someone a document is not speech, under the First Amendment."

None of these disputed issues were resolved, and the Court's aggressive questioning does not reliably indicate the Judge's own predilections. The parties were ordered to further brief the First Amendment issues by Friday, March 31.

A copy of the transcript of the March 24 hearing in U.S.A. v. Rosen and Weissman was obtained by Secrecy News and may be found here:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/jud/rosen032406.html>

"I am not sure why FAS and other outlets are trying make AIPAC into some kind of martyr of freedom," wrote one commenter on the Secrecy News blog last week. "Its activities were clearly illegal and in violation of US law. Let's be careful not to confound the defense of freedom with a defense of illicit activity."

AIPAC, however, is not on trial and is not accused of wrongdoing. Whether or not the defendants' activities were illegal is the question that is now before the Court.

As for Secrecy News' interest in the case, it stems from the fact that we also gather and disseminate "national defense information," a term that encompasses both classified and unclassified defense information.

We have "unauthorized" conversations with government officials. Sometimes we deliberately pose questions about matters that we know to be classified ("Psst...How big was the total intelligence budget 50 years ago?").

If the government's unbounded new interpretation of the espionage statutes were to prevail, much of our research and publication activity could arguably be considered illegal.

"Under the government's theory, in fact, countless conversations and publications that take place every day are criminal acts," the Washington Post editorialized last week.

See "Dangerous Prosecution," Washington Post, March 23:

<http://tinyurl.com/ggvvm>

SELECTED CRS REPORTS ON THE MIDDLE EAST

Some recent reports of the Congressional Research Service on

Middle East-related topics include the following.

"Israel: Background and Relations with the United States,"
updated March 16, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/mideast/IB82008.pdf>

"Palestinian President Mahmoud Abbas: Overview of Internal and
External Challenges," updated March 9, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/mideast/RS22047.pdf>

"Iraq: Elections, Government, and Constitution," updated March
13, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/mideast/RS21968.pdf>

"Iraq: U.S. Regime Change Efforts and Post-Saddam Governance,"
updated March 7, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/mideast/RL31339.pdf>

"Women in Iraq: Background and Issues for U.S. Policy," updated
March 13, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/mideast/RL32376.pdf>

"Lebanon," updated March 16, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/mideast/IB89118.pdf>

"Saudi Arabia: Current Issues and U.S. Relations," updated
February 24, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/mideast/IB93113.pdf>

"Afghanistan: Post-War Governance, Security, and U.S. Policy,"
updated March 17, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/row/RL30588.pdf>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the
Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to
secrecy_news-request.nul
with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 28](#)

Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 09:42:53 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 17:45:56 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Gehrman

>From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 20:36:33 +0100
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>>Release the rest of the film copy you have, let a piece of it
>>with the body image be examined, or the cameraman's real
>>identity, THEN interest will be rekindled.

>>Regards
>>Dave Vetterick

>Your last paragraph sounds perfectly reasonable to me.

>I saw this film twice in 1995, and thought it was an
>abomination.

Christopher,

You have not seen the uncut version. The Fox version is a mess I admit. I think Ray tried his best to dovetail the information he received from the cameraman with the Roswell event because he thought the two were connected. This was a mistake because they are two separate events. The confusion was caused by the cameraman since he was flown to Roswell and then transported to the Socorro crash site, he believed he was part of the Roswell happening.

>It seemed merely an attempt, by somebody, to thrust
>some footage upon the public and make a sensation at the very
>time the USAF and the GAO were re-investigating the Roswell
>affair. (The GAO involvement had been announced in the press in
>Jan 1994).

This was just a coincidence. Ray doesn't know much at all about UFO, or their history, or the current research. He tried to get help but still was mostly uninformed. Yes, he wanted to make some money on the footage but he thought he was making a great contribution to UFO research. When he was rejected and vilified, just as you are doing now, he said "F--- you guys" and quit contributing.

Working with Ray has been both rewarding and frustrating. and I don't understand why he's decided to curtail our AA CD sales. But I'm in agreement with Dave's insistence that it's about time to reveal the identity of the cameraman and release the remaining footage.

>I recall Ray Santilli putting out a flyer that the principal MJ-12 paper (i.e. the Eisenhower briefing) was an official document >released from the National Archives! This was intended, >presumably, to bolster his idea that the film was the autopsy of >an actual Roswell alien.

Yes as I stated, Ray thought the creature was the Roswell alien because that's what the cameraman told him he thought it was. I doubt Ray put out a flyer about MJ-12. Do you still have a copy? But Ray does know for certain that the creature is a real creature, just as you would if you ever took the time to view

the uncut version.

>There is simply no pedigree to this autopsy film, and nobody
>seems to be able to get anywhere in tracing its true history or
>origin.

This shows that you haven't been reading the posts about the AA
on UpDates. The film was purchased from the cameraman in 1994.
The cameraman gave proof to Ray that he was who he said he was.
This isn't disputed any more as far as I can tell. Do you have
evidence that it didn't happen this way?

>Apart from Santilli, nobody connected with this film has
>ever been identified, and the film itself was thoroughly
>discredited in 1995, at the time of its release.

By who? All I saw and experienced, and I was there from the
beginning, was shared ignorance and stupidity.

>Does anybody still expect that anything of scientific value will
>emerge from this film?

If you study the creature and the debris from the craft she was
flying, you can get a very good idea of their nature and
capabilities.

>If it had any real merit, it ought to
>have had a sound track, plus some identity as to the date and
>location of the filming, and the personnel involved.

We do know the date and the doctors involved.

>Then we
>could at least make a start on establishing its validity.

You "could at least make a start" by getting the basic facts,
paying attention to what has already been written on the List,
and opening your mind to the possibility that you might be all
wrong about your assumptions.

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 28](#)

Martian Atmosphere

From: **Ed Gehrman** <egerman.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 11:27:02 -0800
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 17:47:30 -0500
Subject: Martian Atmosphere

EBK, List,

Below you find an excerpt from an intriguing article which seems relevant to several recent and/or current List musings:

Martian Cataclysm: Impact energy analysis in support of the origin of multiple anomalies on Mars
by Gary R. Spexarth

In The Mars Mystery: A Tale of the End of Two Worlds (1998) Graham Hancock presented evidence of a hidden menace lurking in our solar system - the fragments of a giant comet that passed close to Mars and to the Earth some 20,000 years ago and that broke up into dozens of fragments kilometers across. Hancock argued that it was an intense bombardment of these fragments that "killed" Mars - not millions of years ago, as most scientists still suppose, but very recently - and that our own planet's future may be threatened by the same orbiting cloud of debris. Now in a new study aerospace engineer Gary R. Spexarth re-examines the evidence of the Martian cataclysm. The heavily-cratered surface of Mars is generally estimated to be over 3 billion years old, he notes: "But what if it isn't 3 billion years old. What if the impacts did not occur slowly throughout the life of the planet but rather occurred suddenly, in a short amount of time. We may find that the current surface of Mars may only be thousands of years old instead of billions! Maybe in the near past it still had running water and an atmosphere! The implications of such are astounding!"

For complete article:

<http://www.grahamhancock.com/forum/SpexarthG1.php?p=1>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 28](#)

Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 15:31:42 EST
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 17:50:13 -0500
Subject: Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of

>From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 25 Mar 2006 17:27:55 -0000
>Subject: Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of Light?

<snip>

1.) The "No Faster than Light" rule only applies to light in a vacuum, such as space. Light slows depending upon the medium it is passing through.

2.) Theoretically, a particle could be created traveling faster than light in a vacuum. However, it must never be able to slow down. Since it can never be able to slow down, there is no way to test if such particles truly exist. Some scientists have labeled these theoretical particles Tachyons, but I must emphasize that most scientists do not believe that these particles exist. If it turns out Tachyons do exist, then they would also be moving backwards in time when compared to other particles.

-Jason

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 28](#)

Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of

From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 21:49:20 +0100
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 17:53:16 -0500
Subject: Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of

>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 21:22:22 -0800
>Subject: Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of Light?

<snip>

>The preceding sentence refers only to "smoothly varying
>functions such as that of a particle wave packet", i.e. a
>mathematical construct (function), not something you can pick up
>off the table, and no useful information either.

>Sending music FTL? Nice trick. There's a Nobel prize for the
>first 'showman' who can pull it off convincingly before the
>doubting eyes of Physics. I wish it were otherwise but alas.
>I've lost count how many times I and others have send pretty
>much this same sad message.

<snip>

Hi Larry,

Haven't got entrenched view on f.t.l. for material objects
except to say it's probably impossible - due to fact that energy
needed to accelerate a hugely ever-increasing mass anywhere
near the speed of light is beyond calculation (by me anyway).

But photons are different - they don't have mass / inertia. What
they do have is a normal 'mode' of propagation or movement. And
if you send a sufficient amount of photon energy (a 'beam' of
light, radio, x-rays) along a path but then restrict the path to
where that freq. of 'light' should be cut-off - it can somehow
go through your blockage at f.t.l. speed. The mode of
propagation is forced to change to one that we know virtually
nothing about - and it's faster.

Here's one of the many reports you'll find in ref books or on
Net -

<http://www.valdostamuseum.org/hamsmith/newtech2.html>

"In March of 1995, at a colloquium in Snowbird, Utah, Nimtz
announced that he had sent a signal across twelve centimeters of
space at 4.7 times the speed of light. The signal was a
modulation in the frequency of his microwave source matching
Mozart's 40th Symphony... Even Chiao and his colleagues were
adamantly opposed to describing Nimtz' work as the sending of a
signal...

Why was the bar of Mozart's symphony not a signal?... If a wave
packet's shape upon incidence is smooth and well-defined, it is
a straightforward calculation to determine its shape after
transmission. Because the final shape can be mathematically
determined... most scientists would not consider a smoothly
varying function to be a signal.... Chiao and Steinberg were
quick to point out that Nimtz' symphony was not a signal, but
simply a smoothly varying pulse... A sudden change in the shape
would still travel at only light speed, and only a sudden

change, according to Chiao, could be regarded as a signal... ".

Now, some of you might agree with me that they seemed to be chopping logic merely to defend G.R. If the superimposed music-info (modulation) traveled at 4.7 times the speed of light then we should be able to make use of that - somehow. Regardless of whether it's a `smooth' or `sharp' (or flat) tone.

However, think the more important point is:- `light' has another, more mysterious mode of travel, using perhaps a different medium than we're yet aware of, which allows it to move at f.t.l speeds through blocking waveguides or filters or screens. We need to know about that.

Cheers

Ray D

"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 28](#)

Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Connors

From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 13:57:17 -0700
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 17:54:50 -0500
Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Connors

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 10:32:53 EST
>Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum

>>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates <UFOUpdates.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 26 Mar 2006 08:26:36 -0700
>>Subject: Roswell's UFO Museum

><snip>

Hi Greg,

You're off the point. I'm a New Mexican. Love the community of Roswell. The point is that original research done by the museum is zilch. Now, what kind of a "research" center is it that doesn't investigate the Roswell incident itself, especially when it is bringing in a lot of money? Nah, it remains a tourist trap for dollars and isn't interested in much of anything else, except donations for their research library, which is very nice. I've donated some of my own research to it.

On this List has been many comments about researchers being into Ufology for the money. I've never met any legitimate researcher with a monetary motive. The International UFO Museum and Research Center is a money pit. It doesn't even follow it's own mission statement (read it, if you don't believe me). It exists solely on the work of other researchers. It does nothing itself to vigorously investigate that which it was built to accomplish.

Nothing wrong with being a money pit, but if you're going to bill yourself as a research unit in the Roswell incident, doesn't it make sense for the museum to invest in research, besides a library?

Wendy Connors

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 28](#)

Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Sandow

From: **Greg Sandow** <greg.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 16:36:26 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 18:00:25 -0500
Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Sandow

>From: **Greg Boone** <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 10:32:53 EST
>Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum

>The best steaks and seafood I've ever had were in Roswell.
>Why the best milkshake I've ever had was at the Dairy Queen
>there and the lady behind the counter knew my kin and made me
>a special cappuccino malt milkshake. I dream about it each day.
>Then I found out that they have a huge ice cream industry there.
>Nothin' like fresh made ice cream.

This is all a surprise to me. As I've mentioned before on the List, my wife's family lives in Roswell, and I've spent a lot of time there. Eaten out a lot, too. But I've never discovered what Greg reports here. I'd say the food is pretty blah. Now, granted, I come from New York City, and someone could say I'm being a food snob. Not true, but someone might think that. So how about this? One thing we really don't have in the big city is authentic barbeque. So I always look for it when I'm out west, or in the south. Found it in Roswell, and I've been to the BBQ place several times, but the food is pretty tired. Can't compare to what I've found in Galveston, TX, let's say, or Kansas City, or (of all unexpected places) Rhode Island.

My wife and I would love to know where the fabulous ice cream is. Greg, please enlighten us! There's one place on Main Street, pretty far from the UFO Museum... some kind of chain that pretends to have great ice cream, but I'd dissent from that. Anyone's ever in Orange County, New York, try looking for the Bellvale Creamery, on Route 17A between Greenwood Lake and Warwick. Now, that's ice cream! (About a mile away from our country place.)

>I got the chance to meet so many Ufologists whose works I
>grew up studying and they all greeted me with a smile and a
>handshake and even a joke or two. I got to meet present and
>ex military who miraculously made it home after the wars and
>who shared a tall tale and a smile. You can't buy that.

>The Roswell UFO Museum is where we, the people, the kinfolk,
>get together to swap stories of tall adventure and
>fellowship. We see the common thread in us all as we ponder
>the mysteries of the UFO phenomena. All races, ages and such.
>In that one place we're all one. Differences of opinions, but one.

Greg, sounds like you were there during one of the big UFO events. I can guarantee that the UFO Museum isn't like that on a normal day. Tourists in their RVs coming to look at exhibits that, forgive me (I've said this here before), are only a couple of steps up from someone's high school science project.

The real attractions in Roswell are the two art museums, the Roswell Museum of Art and the Anderson Musuem of Contemporary Art. Many people might find it hard to believe that a sleepy city of 50,000 in the American southwest would have two highly professional art museums, but Roswell does. They'd hold their own anywhere. The Anderson museum in particular (though it doesn't have the southwest-related exhibits that the Roswell

museum has); it's one of the most joyful places I've ever been. Now, full disclosure here, the Anderson in question is Don Anderson, my wife's stepfather, who's been living in Roswell so long that he has post office box number 1. So you could say I'm being biased here, but, believe me, I'm not. Don has been bringing top-class artists to Roswell for more than 40 years on a grant he started, and his museum is filled with the work he's bought from them over the decades. As well as his own painting, which is nothing to sneeze at., either. He started both museums, and if you ask me, he gets equal credit with the aliens for making Roswell a special place.

Greg Sandow

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 28](#)

Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 16:47:27 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 18:02:02 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Shell

>From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 20:36:33 +0100
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>Does anybody still expect that anything of scientific value will
>emerge from this film? If it had any real merit, it ought to
>have had a sound track, plus some identity as to the date and
>location of the filming, and the personnel involved. Then we
>could at least make a start on establishing its validity.

Thanks for the most incorrect, superficial, and downright
ignorant post that I have seen on this List in a very long time.
Nothing you say is correct, and if you had been paying attention
to this thread at all, you'd know that.

What a sad waste of bandwidth.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 29](#)

Re: USAF New Civilian Careers Recruitment Site -

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 16:14:27 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 07:34:36 -0500
Subject: Re: USAF New Civilian Careers Recruitment Site -

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 12:48:52 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: Re: USAF New Civilian Careers Recruitment Site

>Source: Air Force Times.Com - Reno, Nevada, USA
><http://www.airforcetimes.com/story.php?f=1-292925-1641407.php>

>March 24, 2006

>U.S. Missile Science Slumping

>The Pentagon risks running out of scientists to operate and
>upgrade the nation's arsenal of intercontinental nuclear and
>conventional missiles, according to a report released this week
>by the Defense Science Board.

Excellent article reference Stuart! Missile-science probably is one of the reasons for the recruitment. But I still don't think it's the only reason. It may be interesting to check out the jobs they are recruiting for on the afciviliancareers.com web site; lots of less-technical ones are listed.

But was that article timely? The article you reference was placed last Friday, but the afciviliancareers.com web site was date stamped "2004", two years ago, (you can see it at the bottom left corner of the site). Is that USAF article last Friday responding to this list?

What about the Aurora and Hyper-X projects (thanks to Steven Aftergood for his enlightening info)? At Mach 5 to Mach 10, can't they outrun any missile? What about ground-, air-, and space- based lasers, can't they bring down any missile?

There may be a serious brain-drain, from the military to the highest bidder. And China, the USA's biggest trading partner, may be a future threat, if you're into that, but is this afciviliancareers.com web site really a continuation of the military- industrial complex mentality President Eisenhower warned us about?

I would think American's should be more afraid of China and other countries buying them out, than bombing them out.

Anyway, I'm still asking what imminent threat the USAF is trying to defend against?

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 29](#)

Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Kaese

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 20:21:34 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 07:37:31 -0500
Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Kaese

>From: **Greg Sandow** <greg.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 16:36:26 -0500
>Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum

<snip>

>Greg, sounds like you were there during one of the big UFO events.
>I can guarantee that the UFO Museum isn't like that on a normal
>day. Tourists in their RVs coming to look at exhibits that, forgive
>me (I've said this here before), are only a couple of steps up from
>someone's high school science project.

You don't have to be a tourist in an RV. That sounds like about
98% of the UFO web sites out there..... <g>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 29](#)

Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Boone

From: **Greg Boone** <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 20:24:30 EST
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 07:40:23 -0500
Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Boone

>From: Greg Sandow <greg.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 16:36:26 -0500
>Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum

>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 10:32:53 EST
>>Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum

>>The best steaks and seafood I've ever had were in Roswell.
>>Why the best milkshake I've ever had was at the Dairy Queen
>>there and the lady behind the counter knew my kin and made me
>>a special cappuccino malt milkshake. I dream about it each day.
>>Then I found out that they have a huge ice cream industry there.
>>Nothin' like fresh made ice cream.

>This is all a surprise to me. As I've mentioned before on the
>List, my wife's family lives in Roswell, and I've spent a lot of
>time there. Eaten out a lot, too. But I've never discovered what
>Greg reports here. I'd say the food is pretty blah. Now,
>granted, I come from New York City, and someone could say >I'm
>being a food snob. Not true, but someone might think that. So
>how about this? One thing we really don't have in the big city
>is authentic barbeque. So I always look for it when I'm out
>west, or in the south. Found it in Roswell, and I've been to the
>BBQ place several times, but the food is pretty tired. Can't
>compare to what I've found in Galveston, TX, let's say, or
>Kansas City, or (of all unexpected places) Rhode Island.

I'm from New York Too.

>My wife and I would love to know where the fabulous ice cream
>is. Greg, please enlighten us! There's one place on Main Street,
>pretty far from the UFO Museum... some kind of chain that
>pretends to have great ice cream, but I'd dissent from that.
>Anyone's ever in Orange County, New York, try looking for the
>Bellvale Creamery, on Route 17A between Greenwood Lake and
>Warwick. Now, that's ice cream! (About a mile away from our
>country place.)

You've gotta be kidding me. My family has been in Dutchess and
Orange Counties and beyond long before they were named. I've got
more kinfolk in that area than I can count.

>>I got the chance to meet so many Ufologists whose works I
>>grew up studying and they all greeted me with a smile and a
>>handshake and even a joke or two. I got to meet present and
>>ex military who miraculously made it home after the wars and
>>who shared a tall tale and a smile. You can't buy that.

>>The Roswell UFO Museum is where we, the people, the kinfolk,
>>get together to swap stories of tall adventure and
>>fellowship. We see the common thread in us all as we ponder
>>the mysteries of the UFO phenomena. All races, ages and such.
>>In that one place we're all one. Differences of opinions, but one.

>Greg, sounds like you were there during one of the big UFO
>events. I can guarantee that the UFO Museum isn't like that on a

>normal day. Tourists in their RVs coming to look at exhibits
>that, forgive me (I've said this here before), are only a couple
>of steps up from someone's high school science project.

>The real attractions in Roswell are the two art museums, the
>Roswell Museum of Art and the Anderson Musuem of >Contemporary
>Art. Many people might find it hard to believe that a sleepy
>city of 50,000 in the American southwest would have two highly
>professional art museums, but Roswell does. They'd hold their
>own anywhere. The Anderson museum in particular (though it
>doesn't have the soutwest-related exhibits that the Roswell
>museum has); it's one of the most joyful places I've ever been.
>Now, full disclosure here, the Anderson in question is Don
>Anderson, my wife's stepfather, who's been living in Roswell so
>long that he has post office box number 1. So you could say >I'm
>being biased here, but, believe me, I'm not. Don has been
>bringing top-class artists to Roswell for more than 40 years on
>a grant he started, and his museum is filled with the work he's
>bought from them over the decades. As well as his own >painting,
>which is nothing to sneeze at., either. He started both >museums,
>and if you ask me, he gets equal credit with the aliens for
>making Roswell a special place.

>Greg Sandow

To each his own Sandow.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 29](#)

Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 23:35:02 EST
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 07:42:54 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Gammon

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 16:47:27 -0500
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>>From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 20:36:33 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>>Does anybody still expect that anything of scientific value will
>>emerge from this film? If it had any real merit, it ought to
>>have had a sound track, plus some identity as to the date and
>>location of the filming, and the personnel involved. Then we
>>could at least make a start on establishing its validity.

>Thanks for the most incorrect, superficial, and downright
>ignorant post that I have seen on this List in a very long time.
>Nothing you say is correct, and if you had been paying attention
>to this thread at all, you'd know that.

>What a sad waste of bandwidth.

>Bob Shell

Unfortunately the same thing could be said about those pseudo-researchers who desperately cling on to the notion that the AA film is authentic.

Take a big step backwards Bob, turn your critical eye inwards, and look at the situation with a commonsense, down-to-earth mental outlook.

No shred of evidence has been presented to validate any of the claims of Santilli or the supposed "Camera Man". Instead, we have a bunch of AA-cultists screaming that we sane people have to prove it is fake.

You, and other like-minded people, may have abandoned Science and Reason but I can assure you that many people have not.

- Jason Gammon

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 29](#)

Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 02:33:25 -0800
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 07:45:12 -0500
Subject: Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of

>From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 21:49:20 +0100
>Subject: Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of Light?

>>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 21:22:22 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of Light?

><snip>

>>The preceding sentence refers only to "smoothly varying
>>functions such as that of a particle wave packet", i.e. a
>>mathematical construct (function), not something you can pick up
>>off the table, and no useful information either.

>>Sending music FTL? Nice trick. There's a Nobel prize for the
>>first 'showman' who can pull it off convincingly before the
>>doubting eyes of Physics. I wish it were otherwise but alas.

><snip>

>Hi Larry,

>Haven't got entrenched view on f.t.l. for material objects
>except to say it's probably impossible - due to fact that energy
>needed to accelerate a hugely ever-increasing mass anywhere
>near the speed of light is beyond calculation (by me anyway).

That's the overwhelming concensus, yes.

>But photons are different - they don't have mass / inertia. What
>they do have is a normal 'mode' of propagation or movement.

They have no 'rest mass', yes. Depending on their individual
energies (frequency/wavelength dependant) they DO have a non-
zero relativistic mass. Something struck by photons will recoil.

>And if you send a sufficient amount of photon energy (a 'beam' of
>light, radio, x-rays) along a path but then restrict the path to
>where that freq. of 'light' should be cut-off - it can somehow
>go through your blockage at f.t.l. speed.

You totally lost me there.

>The mode of propagation is forced to change to one that we
>know virtually nothing about - and it's faster.

Lost me again. And again, there's a Nobel Prize waiting for
somebody if so.

>Here's one of the many reports you'll find in ref books or on
>the Net -

><http://www.valdostamuseum.org/hamsmith/newtech2.html>

>"In March of 1995, at a colloquium in Snowbird, Utah, Nimtz
>announced that he had sent a signal across twelve centimeters of

>space at 4.7 times the speed of light. The signal was a
>modulation in the frequency of his microwave source matching
>Mozart's 40th Symphony... Even Chiao and his colleagues were
>adamantly opposed to describing Nimtz' work as the sending of a
>signal... [a FTL signal I presume]

I'd have to side with Chiao and his colleagues at this point.

>Why was the bar of Mozart's symphony not a signal?... If a wave
>packet's shape upon incidence is smooth and well-defined, it is
>a straightforward calculation to determine its shape after
>transmission. Because the final shape can be mathematically
>determined... most scientists would not consider a smoothly
>varying function to be a signal.... Chiao and Steinberg were
>quick to point out that Nimtz' symphony was not a signal, but
>simply a smoothly varying pulse... A sudden change in the shape
>would still travel at only light speed, and only a sudden
>change, according to Chiao, could be regarded as a signal... ".

>Now, some of you might agree with me that they seemed to be
>chopping logic merely to defend G.R. If the superimposed music-
>info (modulation) traveled at 4.7 times the speed of light then
>we should be able to make use of that - somehow. Regardless of
>whether it's a `smooth' or `sharp' (or flat) tone.

>However, think the more important point is:- `light' has
>another, more mysterious mode of travel, using perhaps a
>different medium than we're yet aware of, which allows it to
>move at f.t.l speeds through blocking waveguides or filters or
>screens. We need to know about that.

I don't think anybody really knows if `light' has another, more
mysterious mode of travel. I'd love to think that it does, but
just don't see any evidence yet.

What I _think_ I know is that you cannot send useful information
FTL. Not even the simplest 'Hello, my name is XYZ', FTL such
that somebody on the other end would receive the message, or
even know that one was sent. That is the present paradigm.

Rightly or not, anyone arguing otherwise is going to be
considered a crackpot. Conventional wisdom is that the entire
solar system could explode in some unimaginable cataclysm, a
collision with antimatter say, and not the slightest peep of
this would be knowable on some civilization 100 light years
away, until those same 100 years had passed.

If there were any solid breakthroughs along these lines, they
would be screamed all over the physics sites and blogs.

Instead, they are abuzz with other stuff, including the
fascinating possibility that strong rotating magnetic fields
might have gravitic (acceleration) effects. If that has any
merit at all, and I hope it does, then we might begin to
understand UFO propulsion a little better.

Meanwhile, I steer well clear of science-fiction and the
paranormal. I do not consider UFOs 'paranormal' BTW, just poorly
understood.

Best

- Larry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 29](#)

Funds For Hunting ET Life Restored By NASA

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 07:59:09 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 07:59:09 -0500
Subject: Funds For Hunting ET Life Restored By NASA

Source: New Scientist.Com - Sutton, Surrey, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/s5uqr>

28 March 2006

Funds For Hunting Extraterrestrial Life Restored By NASA

NewScientist.com news service
Maggie McKee

NASA is planning to restore about \$30 million to its astrobiology research programme over three years, agency officials said on Monday. Astrobiologists welcomed the news but vowed to put pressure on US lawmakers to reinstate all of the \$160 million or so that has been cut from the programme over the next five years.

Astrobiology research grants were \$32.5 million per year in NASA's 2007 budget request to Congress, half of funding levels in 2005. The request, announced in February 2006, called for funding to remain constant through 2011.

The cuts meant that NASA could still afford to fund the three-year grants it had already committed to through 2006, but not any research proposals it had solicited in 2005 or any new proposals in 2006, said Carl Pilcher, NASA's senior scientist for astrobiology.

But now some of those projects may be funded, he told scientists on Monday at a NASA-sponsored astrobiology conference in Washington DC, US. "During the past week, a plan was developed to add money back into the astrobiology budget," he said.

He said the plan, which has yet to be finalised, would allow NASA to fund about half of the proposals it had solicited in 2005. That amounts to restoring about \$10 million per year for the three years from 2006 to 2008, he said. But he added that the plan would not allow NASA to solicit new research proposals in 2006.

Sustained pressure

Researchers said NASA was responding to protests from scientists and lawmakers and called for the pressure to continue. "It seems to me that instead of going away quietly with what we were given, we ought to be emboldened to ask for more," said Jill Tarter, director for the Center for SETI Research in Mountain View, California, US.

Scientists at the conference criticised NASA's decision to cut astrobiology in the first place. The agency proposed \$3.1 billion in cuts to science funding through 2010 to make up for cost overruns in the human spaceflight programme, delaying several important space missions indefinitely. Without data from

those missions to analyse, NASA's associate administrator for science, Mary Cleave, had argued that fewer research and analysis grants would be necessary.

But delegates pointed out that the search for life elsewhere in the universe captivates the public and is central to President George W Bush's Vision for Space Exploration, a plan to send people back to the Moon and on to Mars. "I think most people see astrobiology as being front and centre to the Vision for Space Exploration," Pilcher agreed.

Pilcher said he did not know where the restored funds would come from within NASA's budget. "That's moving pieces around the board - what we need is more pieces on the board," says David McKay of NASA's Johnson Space Center in Houston, Texas. "I think our best hope is Congress."

Both houses of Congress must approve the budget request and can change the amount of funding appropriated to NASA or its programmes. Negotiations over the budget are expected to take several months.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 29](#)

China-Made 'UFO' To Debut

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 08:04:56 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 08:04:56 -0500
Subject: China-Made 'UFO' To Debut

Source: People's Daily Online - Beijing, China

http://english.people.com.cn/200602/27/eng20060227_246389.html

February 27, 2006

China-Made "UFO" To Debut

Vertical maneuver, suspension in air and unmanned flight, the words used to describe "UFOs" in science fiction films, will become true in reality. News from the School of Earth and Space Sciences of Beijing University says a virtual system of stratospheric solar aerospace flight system has been developed with Chinese independent IPR and is applying for a national patent.

The system looks like a pentacle and can maneuver automatically in the air.

According to Prof. Yan Lei with the School, the system is powered by solar cell. It adopts bearingless motor propeller to realize silent flight, vertical maneuver, long-time suspension in air. It can realize self-control and ground control. Capable of carry arms, it can attack and defend itself.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 29](#)

Who Believes In Who

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 08:10:17 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 08:10:17 -0500
Subject: Who Believes In Who

Source: The Daily Telegraph - London, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/n7dfq>

28/03/2006

[Images @ Site]
=09

Who Believes In Who

Is there any real science in the TV series? Paul Parsons examines what's possible. So, beware that your garden doesn't rise up and bite you...

To fans of Doctor Who, which returns to BBC1 next month, the good doctor couldn't possibly exist without his Tardis. After all, time travel makes the programme tick. But isn't Doctor Who stretching reality a bit too far? What about all those futuristic baddies and technology?

A close parallel between real science and fiction has remained with the programme for more than 40 years - ever since the first episode went out on November 23, 1963, the day after President John F Kennedy was shot.

The doctor has fought genetically modified Daleks; encountered nanorobots that can heal your every ill; owned a robot dog (20 years before Sony); and explored a virtual-reality world called the Matrix back when Keanu Reeves was knee-high to a memory card.

The new series, with Scottish actor David Tennant playing the eponymous Time Lord's 10th incarnation, sees the return of his old adversaries the Cybermen. These hybrids of man and machine were created in 1966 by the show's then science adviser, Dr Kit Pedler of University College London. Fascinated by new developments in transplant surgery, Pedler imagined the Cybermen as a race that had given themselves so many cybernetic implants that little of their original bodies remained.

So what about the science in the show - time travel, sonic screwdrivers, cosmic empires? And what is the big deal about hiding behind the sofa=85?

Under the Tardis' bonnet

Doctor Who is built on the idea that he can flit anywhere - and any time - in the Universe using his Tardis. In this way, he has met Marco Polo and seen the demise of the Earth in the year five billion.

=09
Doctor Who and Rose

Twenty years ago, Prof Kip Thorne's team from the California Institute of Technology proposed how an advanced civilisation might be able to build a time machine. Thorne's design used what

physicists refer to as a wormhole - a short tunnel through space and time, predicted by Einstein's general theory of relativity.

Thorne imagined introducing a time difference between the two mouths of the wormhole by putting one on a spacecraft and flying it off at close to the speed of light. Einstein predicted in 1905 that time passes slower for moving objects relative to stationary ones - "time dilation" - so that if the spacecraft travels at 0.9 the speed of light for a year, two years have actually passed on Earth. That means that if you jump into the wormhole mouth that stayed on Earth you will emerge from the one on the ship a year in the past.

Many physicists find the idea of time travel distasteful because of the potential paradoxes it throws up. For instance, if you killed your granny before you were born. Cambridge cosmologist Prof Stephen Hawking has even argued for the existence of what he calls the "chronology protection conjecture" to prohibit time travel and keep "the world safe for historians". Although, clearly, no one has thought to tell the doctor this.

Empire of the stars

The last season of Doctor Who introduced viewers to the "Fourth Great and Bountiful Human Empire", which in the year 200,000 stretched out into space from Earth and spanned a million planets. Could such empires really be possible?

There are hundreds of billions of stars in our galaxy, so if we assume on average one habitable planet per star, the empire must take up about one 100,000th of the galaxy's volume. We know our galaxy is a disk roughly 100,000 light years thick. So if the empire occupies a spherical region within this, then it must be about 800 light years across. Because nothing can travel faster than light, that means the time it takes to travel and communicate across the empire is at best 800 years.

So if the Daleks invade some remote corner, it could take many lifetimes for the empire to respond. By which time, the Daleks will have exterminated everyone.

"There are some real technical problems managing an empire over distances more than a light year," says astronomer Dr Seth Shostak, of the SETI Institute in Mountain View, California. "Although you might just be able to hold something together over the distance to the nearest stars."

Will DIY fanatics ever use a sonic screwdriver?

The doctor's sonic screwdriver is capable of projecting a concentrated beam of sound to open locks, weld metal and occasionally even undo screws. But could one ever be built?

Sonic screwdriver

Prof Douglas Adams, a noise and vibration engineer at Purdue University, Indiana (no relation to the late Doctor Who script editor and novelist), thinks a short-range sonic screwdriver could work using the principal of structure-acoustic linear ultrasonics. "You produce a focused column of oscillating air particles (sound waves) that is directed towards an object, say a screw," he says. "These oscillating air particles set up high-frequency oscillations in the screw, causing it to rattle along in the direction of the threads to either tighten or loosen."

This technology is being investigated as a replacement for the mechanical motor bearings used in computer hard drives. Here, the magnetic storage disks in the drive are levitated and spun entirely using ultrasound - sound waves with a frequency beyond human hearing.

The ultrasound generators have a range of just a few thousandths of a millimetre. Extending that to the few tens of metres over which the doctor's sonic screwdriver operates could raise safety issues. Ultrasound at 159dB is enough to warm human skin, while anything above 180dB is considered lethal.

"The device that you would need to produce enough energy would be massive," says Adams. "To get a non-contact acoustic device to fit in the doctor's pocket, we would need to develop a new kind of power source." Pocket fusion source, anyone?

The fuchsia's bright for plant people

Over the years, the doctor has tangled with more than his fair share of plant beasts - intelligent creatures with bodies based on plant biology. These have included the trees from the Forest of Cheem, encountered last year, and the evil carnivorous Krynoid from the Tom Baker vintage series. Now some scientists are claiming that intelligent plants aren't such a daft idea after all.

Last year, Florence hosted the world's first conference on "plant neurobiology", a new science about the similarities between the physiology and anatomy of plants and animal nervous systems. "If intelligence is the capacity to acquire and apply knowledge, then plants are intelligent," says Prof Leslie Sieburth of the University of Utah.

Plants have an immune system, hormones (chemical messengers that regulate their growth), they can communicate with each other and they can manipulate animal behaviour. Acacia trees, for example, produce unpalatable tannin whenever animals attempt to dine on them - and are even able to detect the scent of tannin from other trees and then release their own before the hungry animals arrive.

Plants also have similar chemical pathways to those in animal nervous systems, although it is unlikely plants have anything equivalent to a brain. Animals rely on their brain and central nervous system to co-ordinate movement, but plants don't need to make fast, co-ordinated movements to survive.

But plant biologist Prof Peter Barlow of the University of Bristol says this need not be the case for ever, because evolution can often take life forms in unexpected directions. "If dinosaurs became birds, then who knows where plants could go," he says.

Why humans love hiding behind the sofa

For those of us who grew up with Doctor Who, watching the Daleks from behind the sofa was all part of the fun. But why do we enjoy programmes that give us the willies?

Feelings of fear are regulated by the brain's limbic system, in particular, an almond-shaped part of the temporal lobe called the amygdala. This helps set processes in motion, such as widening breathing passages, increasing the blood flow to muscles and stimulating adrenaline production to make your heart beat faster. These reactions are known as the "fight or flight" response.

It doesn't take much to set off the amygdala. Even a threatening word - "Killer" - can make it twitch. So it is not surprising that television can frighten us. But when the threat is artificial, the brain's frontal lobe - the region that moderates reason and impulse control - steps in to remind us that we are not really in danger.

"Television provides excellent sensory experience of fear stimuli - the visuals, the amazing music - which triggers all the amygdala-limbic responses," says psychologist Prof Julie Suhr, of Ohio University. "But we enjoy it because the frontal lobes are aware of the larger context - it isn't real - and so we do this for entertainment."

Paul Parsons is the editor of 'BBC Focus' magazine and the author of 'The Science of Doctor Who' (Icon Books), which is available for =A311.99 + =A31.25 p&p. To order, call Telegraph Books on 0870 428 4112

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 29](#)

Alien Autopsy Movie's Irish Premiere

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 08:29:53 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 08:29:53 -0500
Subject: Alien Autopsy Movie's Irish Premiere

Source: RTE [Radio Telef=EDs Eireann] - Donnybrook, Dublin, Ireland

<http://www.rte.ie/arts/2006/0329/antanddec.html>

29 March 2006

Ant And Dec In Dublin For Premiere

TV duo Anthony McPartlin and Declan Donnelly will be in Dublin next week for the Irish premiere of their new film 'Alien Autopsy'.

The premiere of the UFO-themed film takes place at Cineworld Cinemas on Parnell Street on Wednesday 5 April at 7.30pm.

The duo will be joined at the premiere by the film's director Jonny Campbell, whose other works include 'Phoenix Nights' and 'Shameless'.

'Alien Autopsy' is released in Ireland on 7 April.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 29](#)

Re: Who Believes In Who - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 08:23:14 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 08:34:02 -0500
Subject: Re: Who Believes In Who - Kaeser

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 08:10:17 -0500
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>Subject: Who Believes In Who

>Source: The Daily Telegraph - London, UK

><http://tinyurl.com/n7dfq>

>28/03/2006

>[Images @ Site]

<snip>

I've been watching the "New" Dr. Who on the SciFi Channel, but it has a different Doctor and I have to assume that it's last season's model.

It's interesting to see how they've been able to spark the imagination of a significant segment of the public. The plots are simple, the special effects very simplistic, and I find it entertaining.

May the good Doctor live forever... and I guess that's the point of the show.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 29](#)

Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 08:43:05 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 09:35:31 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Kaeser

>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 23:35:02 EST
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film
>
>>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>

>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 16:47:27 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

<snip>

>>Thanks for the most incorrect, superficial, and downright
>>ignorant post that I have seen on this List in a very long time.
>>Nothing you say is correct, and if you had been paying attention
>>to this thread at all, you'd know that.

>>What a sad waste of bandwidth.

>>Bob Shell

>Unfortunately the same thing could be said about those pseudo-
>researchers who desperately cling on to the notion that the
>AA film is authentic.

>Take a big step backwards Bob, turn your critical eye inwards,
>and look at the situation with a commonsense, down-to-earth
>mental outlook.

>No shred of evidence has been presented to validate any of the
>claims of Santilli or the supposed "Camera Man". Instead, we
>have a bunch of AA-cultists screaming that we sane people have
>to prove it is fake.

>You, and other like-minded people, may have abandoned Science
>and Reason but I can assure you that many people have not.

Jason,

The images we see on the screen do not need any confirmation to
ignite controversy, and simply declaring that researchers
haven't done their homework because they haven't reached the
same conclusion you have is a bit self-serving.

I have have seen no reason to believe the alleged Cameraman's
tale, and Santilli fails to add any credibility to the images we
see. However, that does not by itself prove that they were part
of a modern hoax. Bob has performed a lot more research than I
would suspect most of the people on this List have, and I have
great interest in seeing what new controversies the programs
that Philip Mantle has mentioned will ignite. Many of those who
had followed the AA 'film' controversy in the mid-90's have
pulled back due to the lack of anything new, and while there has
been an effort to keep the matter alive, there's really nothing
new to discuss.

While the general consensus is that the entire Santilli AA

'film' affair is a hoax, but that doesn't mean that it's been proven any more than the 'film's' validity. I have no argument that many in ufology have shown little understanding of Science (or more importantly, the Scientific Method), but I can assure you that, for many, the lack of provenance for the AA 'film' doesn't diminish the curiosity surrounding it.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 29](#)

Re: Who Believes In Who - Groff

From: Terry Groff <terrygroff.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 07:47:38 -0600
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 09:37:06 -0500
Subject: Re: Who Believes In Who - Groff

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 08:23:14 -0500
>Subject: Re: Who Believes In Who

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 08:10:17 -0500
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>>Subject: Who Believes In Who

>>Source: The Daily Telegraph - London, UK

>><http://tinyurl.com/n7dfq>

>>28/03/2006

>>[Images @ Site]

>><snip>

>I've been watching the "New" Dr. Who on the SciFi Channel, but
>it has a different Doctor and I have to assume that it's last
>season's model.

>It's interesting to see how they've been able to spark the
>imagination of a significant segment of the public. The plots
>are simple, the special effects very simplistic, and I find it
>entertaining.

>May the good Doctor live forever... and I guess that's the point
>of the show.

Actually there will only be 12 incarnations of the good doctor.
After the twelfth, there will be no more.

Terry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 29](#)

UFOs And The National Security State Vol. II

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 09:43:02 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 09:43:02 -0500
Subject: UFOs And The National Security State Vol. II

Source: Richard Dolan's Through The Keyhole - Rochester, NY, USA

<http://www.keyholepublishing.com/Volume%20Two%20Update.htm>

March 1, 2006

Volume Two Of UFOs And The National Security State - Progress Report.

Note to visitors of my site:

As many of you have noted, I have not been doing very much to update this website in quite some time.

Reason: I am working hard these days trying to complete Volume Two of my study, UFOs and the National Security State. I am very pleased to tell you that progress has been going very well. I expect the book to be finished and in print before the end of 2006. At the least, I am doing everything possible to get it done by that time.

The book will have three to four times the quantity of sources than I had for the first volume, and will be a fairly complete history of the UFO phenomenon since 1973, with a particular focus on its connection with U.S. national security issues.

In fact, the main themes of the book, as it is shaping up are:

1. Documentation of the UFO phenomenon itself =96 a "best evidence" presentation of its reality.
2. An exploration of the relationship between the UFO phenomenon and the U.S. military/intelligence community. Also, to a secondary extent, its connection with militaries of other nations -- to the extent that it is possible for me to do this, of course.
3. The history of UFO research since the 1970s: the people, the controversies, the evolution of the field.
4. A look behind UFO secrecy, and an attempt to understand just *how* secret and expansive it really is. For instance, is there a secret space program? What of the claim that there are indeed programs to study alien technology and bodies? If so, how far has research progressed? With or without outside help, have we reached the Holy Grail of field propulsion? On what evidence can we base our conclusions?
5. An inquiry into black budget issues, media control, and other factors relevant to the secrecy. Also, a discussion of the extent to which those controlling the secrecy appear to be beyond ordinary public control. In other words, is it time we stop thinking of this subject as classified, and start thinking of it as proprietary?

6. Finally, I will explain why the need to end UFO secrecy is more urgent now than at any point in our past, and I will give my best estimate of the likely outcomes relevant to this for the next decade and beyond.

Stylistically, Volume Two will be very similar to the Volume One -- a basic historical narrative, albeit with a few thematic digressions (e.g. abductions, crop circles, animal mutilations, etc.)

It may not be easy for me to send out many "updates" until the book is nearly ready. Please bear with me during this time.

I will continue to correspond with people on a private basis, although I am trying to be economical in this matter, as well. I'll do my best.

I encourage you to write to me regarding any and all research advice, leads, encouragement, etc. at keyhole.nul . I can assure you that I will appreciate your assistance.

Best regards,

Rich Dolan
Rochester, New York
March 1, 2006

[UFO UpDates thanks 'The Norm' for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 29](#)

Paper By Dr. Alvin H. Lawson?

From: **Eugene Frison** <eugene.frison.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 10:41:07 -0400
Fwd Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 09:45:20 -0500
Subject: Paper By Dr. Alvin H. Lawson?

Can anyone provide me with a copy of Dr. Alvin H. Lawson's paper "Hypnotic Regressions of Alleged CE-III Cases: Ambiguities on the Road to UFOs" (FSR Vol. 22, No. 3)? I will reimburse costs of reproducing this for me.

Thanks!

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

15th Annual UFO Congress Convention Videos

From: Nicole Irvine <nicole.nul>
Date: 29 Mar 2006 13:51:51 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 11:32:35 -0500
Subject: 15th Annual UFO Congress Convention Videos

15th Annual Videos on Sale!

If you missed the Convention and Film Festival but want to see some or all of the presentations, you can order them online now!

<http://tinyurl.com/qmxag>

Speaker Line-up

2006 UFO Conference Speaker List

Michael Horn Wendelle Stevens Christian Frehner (Switzerland)
Michael Cremo Geoff Stray (U.K.) William A Tiller, Ph.D.
Robert Cook Greg Bishop Colin Andrews
Nancy Talbott Paul Davids Scott Ramsey
Don Ledger (Canada) Ryan Wood Barbara Lamb
Mark Kimmel Helen Littrell Jorge' Martin (Puerto Rico)
George Knapp Maurizio Baiata (Italy) Bill Ryan (U.K.)
Budd Hopkins Haktan Akdogan (Turkey) Peter Robbins (U.K.)
A.J. Gevaerd (Brazil)
Jaime Maussan (Mexico) Santiago Garza (Mexico)
Dr. David Jacobs Bill Stanley Jean Bilodeaux

International UFO Congress
6160 Firestone Blvd #104-373
Firestone, CO 80504
Phone: (303) 651-7136
Fax: (303) 651-7137

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 10:06:59 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 11:34:02 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Shell

>From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 08:43:05 -0500
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>I have have seen no reason to believe the alleged Cameraman's
>tale, and Santilli fails to add any credibility to the images we
>see. However, that does not by itself prove that they were part
>of a modern hoax. Bob has performed a lot more research than I
>would suspect most of the people on this List have, and I have
>great interest in seeing what new controversies the programs
>that Philip Mantle has mentioned will ignite. Many of those who
>had followed the AA 'film' controversy in the mid-90's have
>pulled back due to the lack of anything new, and while there has
>been an effort to keep the matter alive, there's really nothing
>new to discuss.

Thanks, Steve. Nice to see a post from one of the more level
heads in Ufology.

I lost interest in the AA film after the initial furor in the
mid-90s because, as you say, nothing new was coming out. I
really do not expect the Ant & Dec AA movie or the two UK
television programs to produce anything new, because I don't
think Ray has changed his stance.

Ray could end a lot of the squabbling if he would just loan me
(or Kodak, Colorado, directly) three feet of the film with the
creature on it. This would allow all-important measurements to
be made that would firmly place the film in the proper time
period. If the film turns out to be of recent vintage, then
there you have it. If the film turns out to be genuinely 1947
vintage, then there is a totally different picture. Without this
simple act of cooperation from Ray the whole thing will continue
to be a mess, and the subject of a lot of unnecessary argument.

I stand willing and able to go back to work on this project and
act as a liaison with Kodak in doing the necessary testing and
measurements if Ray will agree to loan the necessary piece of
film. He could have one of his own people, or himself, bring it
to the USA, carry it to Kodak, and be present during the
testing. There would be no risk to the film itself. If Ray
thinks the film is real, he has everything to gain by agreeing
to this.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Poll On Veracity Of Roswell 'Witness' Glenn Dennis

From: **Brian Sunday** <bipsunday.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 07:28:15 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 11:37:49 -0500
Subject: Poll On Veracity Of Roswell 'Witness' Glenn Dennis

Hello

I am conducting a straw poll. Regarding the veracity of Glenn Dennis, alleged Roswell Witness, do you:

- A. Believe him
- B. Don't believe him - his story does not fit into Roswell event
- C. Not sure what to believe rearding the Roswell Incident

Thank You

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Who Believes In Who - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 10:32:41 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 11:39:36 -0500
Subject: Re: Who Believes In Who - Kaeser

>From: Terry Groff <terrygroff.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 07:47:38 -0600
>Subject: Re: Who Believes In Who

>>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 08:23:14 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Who Believes In Who

>>May the good Doctor live forever... and I guess that's the point
>>of the show.

>Actually there will only be 12 incarnations of the good doctor.
>After the twelfth, there will be no more.

Terry

I assume this has been written about in the Dr. Who lore on various web sites. Like Star Trek in the U.S., I suspect Dr. Who gave viewers a sense of hope in a world that was filled with terror and war. Other shows will take it's place in the future, perhaps showing hope after the Earth's warming and a rise in ocean levels.

Sorry, I didn't mean to get political.....

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Allan

From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 16:59:30 +0100
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 11:50:47 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Allan

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 16:47:27 -0500
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>>From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 20:36:33 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>>Does anybody still expect that anything of scientific value will
>>emerge from this film? If it had any real merit, it ought to
>>have had a sound track, plus some identity as to the date and
>>location of the filming, and the personnel involved. Then we
>>could at least make a start on establishing its validity.

>Thanks for the most incorrect, superficial, and downright
ignorant post that I have seen on this List in a very long time.
Nothing you say is correct, and if you had been paying attention
to this thread at all, you'd know that.

>What a sad waste of bandwidth.

I could retort that the whole discussion of this long
discredited film is a waste of bandwidth. There are two chapters
on this film in Tim Shawcross's 1997 book. From what I can make
out from the exchanges on this List, your progress over these
last nine years is virtually zero. Still arguing about a
'cameraman' who has been dead since 1967.

CDA

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Allan

From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 16:59:54 +0100
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 13:01:29 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Allan

>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 09:42:53 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>>From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 20:36:33 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>>I saw this film twice in 1995, and thought it was an abomination.

>Christopher,

>You have not seen the uncut version. The Fox version is a mess
>I admit. I think Ray tried his best to dovetail the information
>he received from the cameraman with the Roswell event because he
>thought the two were connected. This was a mistake because they
>are two separate events. The confusion was caused by the
>cameraman since he was flown to Roswell and then transported to
>the Socorro crash site, he believed he was part of the Roswell
>happening.

The version I saw at the City of London Museum, and again at the Sheffield Conference (both in 1995) was the uncut version, I assume. Why are there different versions anyway? All this does is add to the continuing mystery of this film. At the first (museum) showing Ray definitely issued a sheet stating that the Eisenhower MJ-12 paper came from the National Archives. I may still have it. Anyway, you will find it reprinted on p.14 of a 1996 document published by Quest Publications International, edited by Graham W. Birdsall, called "The Alleged Roswell Archive Footage".

I did write to Ray correcting him, and got a reply thanking me. I also have a letter from John Purdie of Union Pictures, producer of the TV Programme shown in August that year, which states at the end: "In the meantime we are continuing with our enquiries and I can assure you that the Santilli film will be explained, definitively, in the not too distant future".

I suppose it depends on what "not too distant" means.

>>It seemed merely an attempt, by somebody, to thrust some
>>footage upon the public and make a sensation at the very time
>>the USAF and the GAO were re-investigating the Roswell affair.
>>(The GAO involvement had been announced in the press in Jan
>>1>>994).

>This was just a coincidence. Ray doesn't know much at all about
>UFO, or their history, or the current research. He tried to
>get help but still was mostly uninformed. Yes, he wanted to make
>some money on the footage but he thought he was making a great
>contribution to UFO research. When he was rejected and vilified,
>just as you are doing now, he said "F--- you guys" and quit contributing.

>Working with Ray has been both rewarding and frustrating. and I
don't understand why he's decided to curtail our AA CD sales.
But I'm in agreement with Dave's insistence that it's about time

to reveal the identity of the cameraman and release the remaining footage.

>>I recall Ray Santilli putting out a flyer that the principal MJ-12 paper (i.e. the Eisenhower briefing) was an official document released from the National Archives! This was intended, presumably, to bolster his idea that the film was the autopsy of an actual Roswell alien.

>Yes as I stated, Ray thought the creature was the Roswell alien because that's what the cameraman told him he thought it was. I doubt Ray put out a flyer about MJ-12. Do you still have a copy? >But Ray does know for certain that the creature is a real creature, just as you would if you ever took the time to view the uncut version.

>>There is simply no pedigree to this autopsy film, and nobody seems to be able to get anywhere in tracing its true history or origin.

>This shows that you haven't been reading the posts about the AA on UpDates. The film was purchased from the cameraman in 1994. >The cameraman gave proof to Ray that he was who he said he was. >This isn't disputed any more as far as I can tell. Do you have evidence that it didn't happen this way?

Do you have any evidence that it did? (Apart from anecdotal) If the cameraman gave proof as to who he was, then please answer this: Who was he? And what proof have you that he is genuine?

>>Apart from Santilli, nobody connected with this film has ever been identified, and the film itself was thoroughly discredited in 1995, at the time of its release.

>By who? All I saw and experienced, and I was there from the beginning, was shared ignorance and stupidity.

>>Does anybody still expect that anything of scientific value will emerge from this film?

>If you study the creature and the debris from the craft she was flying, you can get a very good idea of their nature and capabilities.

What flying capabilities? Was an actual craft shown in the film? There is nothing to show that the "debris" ever left the ground or had anything to do with a flying craft.

>>If it had any real merit, it ought to have had a sound track, plus some identity as to the date and location of the filming, and the personnel involved.

>We do know the date and the doctors involved.

Which date? Please give us the names of the doctors, and anyone else connected with that film.

>>Then we could at least make a start on establishing its validity.

>You "could at least make a start" by getting the basic facts, paying attention to what has already been written on the List, and opening your mind to the possibility that you might be all wrong about your assumptions.

I might indeed be wrong about it, but I am still waiting for the names of the persons involved in its making, the identities of those doing the autopsy, the date or dates it was filmed, the film location(s) and in fact anything that can be checked and verified about it.

Let's face it, it is all based on hearsay. And the 'body' could very well be a latex rubber model.

CDA

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 09:54:51 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 13:04:33 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Gehrman

>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 23:35:02 EST
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 16:47:27 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>>>From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 27 Mar 2006 20:36:33 +0100
>>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>>>Does anybody still expect that anything of scientific value will
>>>emerge from this film? If it had any real merit, it ought to
>>>have had a sound track, plus some identity as to the date and
>>>location of the filming, and the personnel involved. Then we
>>>could at least make a start on establishing its validity.

>>Thanks for the most incorrect, superficial, and downright
>>ignorant post that I have seen on this List in a very long time.
>>Nothing you say is correct, and if you had been paying attention
>>to this thread at all, you'd know that.

>>What a sad waste of bandwidth.

>>Bob Shell

>Unfortunately the same thing could be said about those pseudo-
>researchers who desperately cling on to the notion that the AA
>film is authentic.

Jason,

What makes a person a "pseudo-researcher"? There are about ten researchers who have been following the AA and collecting evidence and working together as much as possible. I guess we form the core of the AA pseudo-research community. I'm proud to be a part of this group and to have worked with Dave Vetterick, Neil Morris, Bob Shell, and William Sawers, Philip Mantle, Wendy Connors and others to try to figure out the AA puzzle. None of us are "desperately clinging" to the notion that the AA is authentic. We'd like the UFO community to reexamine their assumptions and give the evidence we've collected and honest break.

>Take a big step backwards Bob, turn your critical eye inwards,
>and look at the situation with a commonsense, down-to-earth
>mental outlook.

>No shred of evidence has been presented to validate any of the
>claims of Santilli or the supposed "Camera Man".

Then why not visit the following site and see where you think I'm in error. How could the cameraman supply directions, a map,

and two drawings to a site in the middle of nowhere that accurately predicted the actual features and anomalies found there.

<http://www.thewhyfiles.net/gehrman.htm>

>Instead, we
>have a bunch of AA-cultists screaming that we sane people have
>to prove it is fake.

Cultists? I don't think we qualify. Why do you think we do?

>You, and other like-minded people, may have abandoned Science
>and Reason but I can assure you that many people have not.

Our evidence is both scientific and reasonable; the problem is that you haven't examined it. Your previous posts have indicated that fact.

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Roswell Solved?!

From: Don Ecker <decker0726.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 10:34:13 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 13:06:54 -0500
Subject: Roswell Solved?!

Greetings List,

With the recent discussion concerning the infamous Roswell event, I've come up with the following.

Well to paraphrase Sherlock Holmes, if you eliminate everything else then what you are left with must be the answer!

Using that logic I think we have solved the UFO case of the century. Roswell can be explained like this!

Back in 1947 the Army Air Force were secretly testing recovered German V 2 Rockets.

They were also using the secret Project Mogul balloon array.

Sometime in either June (if you believe Ray Santilli) or in July (if you believe Stanton Friedman, Kevin Randle, or Bill Moore) the Army Air Force fired one off, (V 2) and it ran into a Mogul balloon! Of course there was a huge explosion and all this crap just dropped out of the sky!

Unfortunately out in the region of Corona, or the Plains of San Augustine or some other damn place, (depending on who you believe) there was a group of five elderly - bald Chinese archeologists. They had the bad luck of being underneath this damn mess when it dropped from the sky.

Well, if you are smart enough to be here online you should be able to figure out the rest!

Need i say any more?

Don Ecker
www.PastSins.Net Don Ecker's exciting supernatural thriller!

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Roswell's UFO Museum Research Projects - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catja90024.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 11:27:47 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 13:12:54 -0500
Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum Research Projects - Kasten

>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 13:57:17 -0700
>Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum

>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 10:32:53 EST
>>Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum

<snip>

>You're off the point. I'm a New Mexican. Love the community of
>Roswell. The point is that original research done by the museum
>is zilch. Now, what kind of a "research" center is it that
>doesn't investigate the Roswell incident itself, especially when
>it is bringing in a lot of money? Nah, it remains a tourist trap
>for dollars and isn't interested in much of anything else,
>except donations for their research library, which is very nice.
>I've donated some of my own research to it.

>On this List has been many comments about researchers being into
>Ufology for the money. I've never met any legitimate researcher
>with a monetary motive. The International UFO Museum and
>Research Center is a money pit. It doesn't even follow it's own
>mission statement (read it, if you don't believe me). It exists
>solely on the work of other researchers. It does nothing itself
>to vigorisly investigate that which it was built to accomplish.

>Nothing wrong with being a money pit, but if you're going to
>bill yourself as a research unit in the Roswell incident,
>doesn't it make sense for the museum to invest in research,
>besides a library?

Wendy:

To my knowledge, there is only one dig site the Roswell Museum
is interested in supporting. That would be further exploration
of the Foster Ranch Impact site.

As of November 2005, I was present when the Director of the
museum basically goaded one of the primary investigators of the
Roswell "dig" project - done with the U of New Mexico and Sci-Fi
Channel - to go get something she could put on exhibition in the
museum.

The investigator promised the Director to find something from a
near future dig to be deposited in the museum. Therefore, I
must correct your assumption that the Museum doesn't perform the
function of a research center.

As I stated in an earlier post, the library is open to every
one. The library has hosted many serious researchers. The Museum
would welcome any related material you might have. This is the
function of a research center.

To sponsor and support researchers.

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - White

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 16:08:01 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 13:29:31 -0500
Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - White

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 20:21:34 -0500
>Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum

<snip>

>You don't have to be a tourist in an RV. That sounds like about
>98% of the UFO web sites out there..... <g>

If there's enough cash to spend, a 'planetarium' structure in
which notable sightings are re-created and projected, along with
narrative, might be worth visiting.

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Kubish

From: John Kubish <Kubieskorner.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 16:11:40 EST
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 13:32:27 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Kubish

Dear List:

Having worked in TV production and first thing I noticed was that this "scratchy" old appearance looks to me as it was put on the video to make it "appear" older than it really is. This appearance is quite steady during the entire film, which would not happen to an original film. My opinion is that they placed this "old" appearance on it to give it the illusion of being old. It's done in TV and film production all the time.

I was surprised that no one else ever picked up on this. But, I guess you would have to be familiar with adding effects to film and video.

Of course this is just my opinion.

Regards,

JK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Funds For Hunting ET Life Restored By NASA -

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 16:16:53 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 13:34:26 -0500
Subject: Re: Funds For Hunting ET Life Restored By NASA -

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 07:59:09 -0500
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Funds For Hunting ET Life Restored By NASA

>"It seems to me that instead of going away quietly with what we
>were given, we ought to be emboldened to ask for more," said
>Jill Tarter, director for the Center for SETI Research in
>Mountain View, California, US.

One can only wonder why the creators of the Mars rovers weren't
'emboldened' enough to put astrobiology sensors on them (!)

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Paper By Dr. Alvin H. Lawson? - Sandow

From: **Greg Sandow** <greg.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 16:41:57 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 13:35:32 -0500
Subject: Re: Paper By Dr. Alvin H. Lawson? - Sandow

>From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
>To: UFO Updates List <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 10:41:07 -0400
>Subject: Paper By Dr. Alvin H. Lawson?

>Can anyone provide me with a copy of Dr. Alvin H. Lawson's
>paper "Hypnotic Regressions of Alleged CE-III Cases:
>Ambiguities on the Road to UFOs" (FSR Vol. 22, No. 3)? I will
>reimburse costs of reproducing this for me.

Try Google. Last time I looked, a few years ago, Lawson had a
website. You could download his papers from it.

Greg Sandow

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Posthuman Blues Essays On 'Ultraterrestrials'

From: **Mac Tonnies** <macbot.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 19:24:28 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 13:37:41 -0500
Subject: Posthuman Blues Essays On 'Ultraterrestrials'

List,

I've been writing about a possible terrestrial origin for apparent 'alien' visitors on my weblog, Posthuman Blues.

I've posted the first two posts below. For upcoming material, visit <http://posthumanblues.blogspot.com>.

Sincerely, Mac Tonnies

The more I research the history and morphology of "alien" contact, the more I'm convinced the Extraterrestrial Hypothesis is profoundly lacking. But even the most lucid opponents of the EH, aside from offering vague (albeit endlessly enticing) references to "other dimensions" and "parallel universes," seem dumbstruck by the phenomenon's absurdity; I have yet to read of a plausible means by which the "aliens'" home world could intersect our own, allowing a steady stream of ufonauts.

We typically assume interdimensional travel must involve arcane cosmological machinery such as a wormhole or "stargate." But, increasingly, I'm drawn to the idea that our visitors' method of travel is less flashy (from a technical perspective) and more understandable in terms of quantum neurology - a field we humans have barely skimmed, let alone utilized.

This leads to my suspicion that the "aliens" are less technologically advanced than they lead us to believe. In fact, I think a case can be made that we're dealing with a surprisingly vulnerable intelligence that relies largely on subterfuge and disinformation to achieve its goals.

And as outlandish as it may seem, I've been forced to wrestle with the notion that our relationship with these "others" is far more widespread and intimate than even paranoid dramatizations of the UFO spectacle would have us believe.

These dawning suspicions are borne out, at least in part, by world folklore (with its preoccupation with "little people" in our midst) as well as by recent discoveries that suggest the history of our species is more enigmatic than we'd like to admit. We may well share our planet with cryptohominids that have mastered the art of camouflage in order to coexist with us. More portentously, their agenda may be within our ability to grasp. But to do so, we must suspend the assumption that we're dealing with something as quaint as ET astronauts.

The truth, unnervingly, seems much closer to home, threatening to displace our sense of self in a most unexpected manner.

--

My recent post on alleged extraterrestrials has aroused some (deserved) skepticism. Advocates of the Extraterrestrial Hypothesis argue, for instance, that the UFO evidence supports the existence of an advanced, presumably spacefaring technology. Superficially, at least, this seems more congruent with flesh-and-blood space visitors than trans-mythical interlopers from the Great Beyond.

In defense of "ultraterrestrials" (which I'll broadly define as unconventional humanoids from Earth or variations thereof), I suggest that the high-performance flight characteristics commonly associated with UFOs don't necessarily imply ET origin (although I wouldn't be in the least surprised if the parade of close encounters that fall under the ufological rubric include at least some genuine visits from advanced interstellar societies).

Although perhaps unlikely, I think it's perfectly conceivable that a technically superior civilization could have arisen on Earth in the distant past. This civilization needn't be particularly large nor would its technology have to be centuries or millennia ahead of our own; the rate of technological change in the Western world, as students of the "Singularity" are fond of reminding us, is exponential, rendering accurate forecasts of the future effectively impossible.

(Within two centuries - certainly a blip on an evolutionary time-scale - we've harnessed electromagnetism and nuclear energy, events largely unforeseeable by the preceding zeitgeist. If certain rumors can be accepted at face value, an element within the military is actively experimenting with electrogravitic propulsion, in which case we may be encroaching on a functioning theory of quantum gravity.)

If an ultraterrestrial offshoot of the human species is indeed operating in our midst, we can reasonably expect it to have made huge technological advances given the time it would have had to develop (perhaps in tandem with our own technical progression). "Alien" UFOs, while undoubtedly remarkable from today's engineering perspective, may only be a century or so more advanced than earthly technology. Unfortunately, to my mind, we've become accustomed to thinking of aliens as being from far-away star systems, which in turn tends to make ufologists and SETI pundits alike think in terms of hundreds of thousands - if not billions - of years.

The "Ultraterrestrial Hypothesis," as presented here, hinges on what we know of our species' genetic and social history. Bluntly: Could we be sharing our world with virtual aliens and not even know it? My answer, equally blunt: Why not? As our scientific instruments improve, we discover mounting evidence that the popularly accepted history of homo sapiens sapiens is incomplete. (The recent discovery of the Flores "hobbits," for example, was unexpected enough to temporarily fuse the disciplines of cryptozoology and paleoanthropology, especially when it became clear that there existed a rich oral tradition of small, hairy people among the local population. Intriguingly, these hairy people were said to kidnap babies - a trend that recurs quite explicitly in both fairy lore and contemporary accounts of fetus-snatching by clinical Gray aliens.)

In addition to gaps in terrestrial history, we're confronted by the possible existence of parallel universes, a theme that's attracted the attention of mainstream cosmologists who no longer speak of reality in terms of a singular "universe," but as a bewildering amalgam dubbed the "multiverse." (Students of ufology will immediately seize on Dr. Jacques Vallee's use of the same term, as recounted in his iconoclastic study "Dimensions.")

As theoretical physicists narrow their search for a Theory of Everything, calculating the quantum wave function of our entire universe (as opposed to those of single elementary particles), new vistas of exploration appear, unbidden, like a froth of bubbles set loose from a bottle of well-shaken Perrier.

Could these realms - so seemingly intangible - harbor clues to the reality of some of our unlikely visitors?

Mac's website: <http://www.mactonnies.com>

Mac blogs at: <http://posthumanblues.blogspot.com>

Buy "After the Martian Apocalypse" from Amazon!
<http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/tg/detail/-/074348293X>

Cell: (816) 352-2463

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Women In UFO And Pararnormal Studies

From: **Regan Lee** <rlee15.nul>
Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 22:45:15 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 13:41:00 -0500
Subject: Women In UFO And Pararnormal Studies

Dear List,

This is my first post, though I've been a lurker for a couple of years.

A little while ago I started putting together a database of women UFO and paranormal researchers, (and experiencers, witnesses, etc.) It's very small but growing. Any names you think of would be welcome.

Women in UFO & Paranormal Studies:

<http://www.angelfire.com/realm/rubyfiles0/womanufopeople.html>

Thanks,

R. Lee

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

BBC Roswell Incident Documentary On-Line

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 14:09:01 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 14:09:01 -0500
Subject: BBC Roswell Incident Documentary On-Line

The rarely seen BBC documentary on the Roswell Incident UFO is available for on-line streamed viewing at:

<http://tinyurl.com/m2ro9>

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Harrison

From: Diane Harrison <aufor.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 22:47:07 +1000
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 17:24:51 -0500
Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Harrison

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 20:24:30 EST
>Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum

<snip>

>>Greg Sandow

>To each his own Sandow.

Hi Greg, List,

I have to say my visit to Roswell was wonderful and Bridgett at the UFO Museum was warm and welcoming. She took time out to take Robert and I out for lunch and yes we had one of those great steaks. Dennis Balthaser and his wife gave us the grand tour of Roswell, we had a great time.

And that library, I just love the library at the UFO Museum, admittedly its not the best display but for me I consider the museum a collection house for UFO research papers _and_ anyone can go look at them. Oh!! and lets not forget the yummy Top Gun guys wondering the streets, some of these guys would make any young girls heart skip a few beats.

You're right Greg, each to his own.

Regards Diane Harrison

The Australian UFO Research Network
<http://www.aufor.com>

UFologist Magazine
<http://www.ufologistmagazine.com>

Subscribe to: UFologist Magazine
<http://www.isubscribe.com.au/t>

P.O Box 738
Jimboomba, 4280
Australia.

Tel/Fax 07 55487205

Report a UFO Free Call Hotline
1800 77 22 88

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Mysterious Unknown Flying Cloud Above Northern

From: **Joachim Koch**<lists.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 15:47:41 +0200
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 17:28:56 -0500
Subject: Mysterious Unknown Flying Cloud Above Northern

Hello List,

For some of you maybe an old hat, for some of you maybe new: on July 19, 2005, a radar phenomenon happened above Northern Germany with so far unknown origin and unknown responsible party.

I recommend having a look at the - German - website

<http://www.donnerwetter.de/news/news.mv?id=6177>

where you can see the texts, maps and radar maps

and

<http://www.donnerwetter.de/news/news.mv?id=6207>

where you can order a CD with analysis and maps and pictures.

You may also follow the links to the research pages of this phenomenon which obviously happened not only one time. Very interesting ist the link to the "Deutsche Meteorologische Gesellschaft" (German Meteorology Society) and their scientific radars analysis:

<http://tinyurl.com/hq786>

This phenomenon caused a big debate among Germany's meteorologists especially with Mr. Kachelmann who is a well known meteorologist in the media who tried to ridicule his colleagues.

I phoned the German Northern Broadcasting Station and some weatherforecast services included the German Weather Service but they all know little or pretend to know little.

The private weather service "Donnerwetter" has already brought a charge against an unknown party at the police in Aurich, Germany.

So far there is consensus that it was not a natural meteorologic phenomenon but an experiment (?). Someone brought something into the atmosphere to fool the radar. The military, questioned about this, refused to have conducted a military experiment and showed that they had now planes at that time in the air nor could their radar discover any solid flying object. But someone must have been up there.

Well, who is fiddling around in our atmosphere then?

I recommend especially the Remote Viewers among you to view the incident. I would appreciate to hear about the results - if you wish, confidently.

We know that the KNMI (Netherlands) has done some research into this phenomenon, here is the website:

<http://www.knmi.nl/>

Maybe some of our Dutch friends will find something there.

I'll keep you informed.

Joachim Koch

Read latest information about the great
"Project Avebury 2006" at:

<http://www.kochkyborg.de>

15:47:41 30.03.2006

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Secrecy News -- 03/30/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 11:05:28 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 17:30:36 -0500
Subject: Secrecy News -- 03/30/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 41
March 30, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** THE LAW, THE CONSTITUTION AND WARRANTLESS SURVEILLANCE
** THE STRUCTURE OF CHINESE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
** A STUDY OF THE A.Q. KHAN NETWORK
** SELECTED CRS REPORTS

FISA AND WARRANTLESS SURVEILLANCE NEWS

Must the President of the United States obey the law?
Ordinarily, the answer of course is yes, unless the law itself
is unconstitutional.

It is "uncontroversial," wrote then-Assistant Attorney General
Walter Dellinger in a 1994 memorandum for the Clinton White
House, that "there are circumstances in which the President may
appropriately decline to enforce a statute that he views as
unconstitutional."

See "Presidential Authority to Decline to Execute
Unconstitutional Statutes," Office of Legal Counsel, Department
of Justice, November 2, 1994:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/doj/olc110294.html>

However, the President does not have the last word on what is or
is not constitutional. That decision belongs to the Supreme
Court.

A new bill introduced by Sen. Charles Schumer (D-NY) yesterday
would set the stage for the Supreme Court to consider the
legality of the Bush Administration's warrantless surveillance
program by granting legal standing to litigants seeking to
challenge the program.

"Did the President go outside the ambit of the law about asking
for a warrant?" asked Sen. Schumer. "Some think yes, and they
are pretty sure of that. Some think no, and they are pretty sure
of it. They are pretty sure that he couldn't. Many are not sure
at all."

"The most logical place for this to be settled is in the U.S.
Supreme Court," he said in his March 29 introductory statement
on the new bill (S. 2468). See:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_cr/s2468.html

The Senate Judiciary Committee held a hearing on March 28
featuring four former judges of the Foreign Intelligence

Surveillance Court and other expert witnesses who testified on issues surrounding the warrantless surveillance program and Senator Specter's legislative proposal on the subject.

Prepared statements from Sen. Leahy, FISA expert Morton Halperin of the Center for American Progress, and former Justice official David Kris (but not yet the statements of the judges) can be found here:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_hr/index.html#nsa3

A rare interview with FISA Court Judge George Kazen of Laredo, Texas appeared in the Dallas Morning News earlier this week.

See "Judge juggles busy docket, secret duty" by Todd J. Gilman, Dallas Morning News, March 28 (free but intrusive registration required):

<http://tinyurl.com/f4vek>

THE STRUCTURE OF CHINESE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

The People's Republic of China is making significant strides in science and technology areas related to national security and commercial enterprise, according to a new "bibliometric" study of Chinese scientific publications performed by the U.S. Navy's Office of Naval Research.

"China's output of research articles has expanded dramatically in the last decade. In terms of sheer numbers of research articles, especially in critical technologies (e.g., nanotechnology, energetic materials), it is among the leaders," according to the study.

"In terms of investment strategy relative to that of the USA, China is investing more heavily in the hard science areas that underpin modern defense and commercial activities, whereas the USA is investing more heavily in the medical, psychological, and social problem (e.g., drug use) science areas that underpin improvement of individual health and comfort," the authors said.

The 500 page study proceeds from a series of straightforward observations and analyses to several increasingly dense methodological appendices that are unintelligible to non-specialists.

A copy of the study was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "The Structure and Infrastructure of Chinese Science and Technology" by Ronald N. Kostoff, Office of Naval Research, et al, 2006 (3.9 MB PDF file):

<http://www.fas.org/irp/world/china/docs/science.pdf>

A STUDY OF THE A.Q. KHAN NETWORK

The activities of Pakistan's notorious Abdul Qadeer Khan in proliferating nuclear weapons technology are examined in detail in a recent Master's Thesis, along with an analysis of their enabling conditions and some of their larger implications.

"The A. Q. Khan nuclear supplier network constitutes the most severe loss of control over nuclear technology ever," wrote author Christopher O. Clary.

"For the first time in history all of the keys to a nuclear weapon-- the supplier networks, the material, the enrichment technology, and the warhead designs--were outside of state oversight and control."

"This thesis demonstrates that Khan's nuclear enterprise evolved out of a portion of the Pakistani procurement network of the 1970s and 1980s. It presents new information on how the Pakistani state organized, managed, and oversaw its nuclear weapons laboratories."

See "The A.Q. Khan Network: Causes and Implications" by Christopher O. Clary, Naval Postgraduate School, December 2005:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/eprint/clary.pdf>

SELECTED CRS REPORTS

Some notable new (or newly acquired) publications of the Congressional Research Service include the following.

"North Korean Counterfeiting of U.S. Currency," March 22, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/row/RL33324.pdf>

"Science and Technology Policy: Issues for the 109th Congress," updated February 3, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/misc/RL32837.pdf>

"The Jackson-Vanik Amendment: A Survey," updated August 1, 2005:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/row/98-545.pdf>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Poll On Veracity Of Roswell 'Witness' Glenn

From: Cory Cameron <corycameron_510.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 11:53:37 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 17:32:19 -0500
Subject: Re: Poll On Veracity Of Roswell 'Witness' Glenn

>From: Brian Sunday <bipsunday.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 07:28:15 -0800
>Subject: Poll On Veracity Of Roswell 'Witness' Glenn Dennis

>I am conducting a straw poll. Regarding the veracity of Glenn
>Dennis, alleged Roswell Witness, do you:

>A. Believe him

>B. Don't believe him - his story does not fit into Roswell
> event

>C. Not sure what to believe rearding the Roswell Incident

A. I believe him.

Cory

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Paper By Dr. Alvin H. Lawson? - Scheldroup

From: John Scheldroup <jschel.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 10:53:49 -0600
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 17:51:02 -0500
Subject: Re: Paper By Dr. Alvin H. Lawson? - Scheldroup

>From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
>To: UFO Updates List <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 10:41:07 -0400
>Subject: Paper By Dr. Alvin H. Lawson?

>Can anyone provide me with a copy of Dr. Alvin H. Lawson's paper
>"Hypnotic Regressions of Alleged CE-III Cases: Ambiguities on
>the Road to UFOs" (FSR Vol. 22, No. 3)? I will reimburse costs
>of reproducing this for me.

Eugene,

Hurry! EBay and the whole WWW have just one copy to be found!

<http://tinyurl.com/p9b7w>

The work of Alvin H. Lawson that you are interested in is:

Alvin H. Lawson. Hypnotic Regression Of Alleged CE-III Cases:
Ambiguities On The Road To UFOs. Proceedings Of The
1976 CUFOS Conference, pp. 141-151, CUFOS, 1976.

The paper was reprinted in:

Flying Saucer Review (FSR), Vol.22, No. 3, pp. 18-24, October 1976

<http://tinyurl.com/oroqf>

Also in this Issue:

News Round-up from Northern England by Jenny Randles
Hypnotic Regression and alleged CE III cases.
Must it be Forever ?
Time Travel; UFOs and the Fourth Dimension.

John

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Poll On Veracity Of Roswell 'Witness' Glenn

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 12:25:25 EST
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 18:03:35 -0500
Subject: Re: Poll On Veracity Of Roswell 'Witness' Glenn

>From: Brian Sunday <bipsunday.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 07:28:15 -0800
>Subject: Poll On Veracity Of Roswell 'Witness' Glenn Dennis

>I am conducting a straw poll. Regarding the veracity of Glenn
>Dennis, alleged Roswell Witness, do you:

>A. Believe him

>B. Don't believe him - his story does not fit into Roswell
event

>C. Not sure what to believe rearding the Roswell Incident

D. Not sure what parts of his story are truthful, what parts
are lies, and what parts may be the results of imagination and
faulty memory.

- Jason Gammon

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 13:04:19 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 18:06:57 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Kaeser

>From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 16:59:30 +0100
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

<snip>

>I could retort that the whole discussion of this long
>discredited film is a waste of bandwidth. There are two chapters
>on this film in Tim Shawcross's 1997 book. From what I can make
>out from the exchanges on this List, your progress over these
>last nine years is virtually zero. Still arguing about a
>'cameraman' who has been dead since 1967.

I assume that you're referring to "The Roswell Files", which I had never heard of or seen. But I found a couple of interesting reviews of it on Amazon:

I- Booooooring....., September 20, 2002
Reviewer: Patrick (Md) - See all my reviews

I have had this book for over 2 years and just cannot finish it. I am halfway through and I can hardly remember anything. I struggled to get to the middle of the book. Stay away from this one. The book just seems to repeat the details and doesn't give you hard facts. I don't ever think I will finish this one!

II- Perfect for School Reports, May 22, 2000

Reviewer: A reader

I found the book extremely useful for the report I had to write on the Roswell "Conspiracy". Backing up statements and theories with clear facts, it makes speculations sound plausible to even some of the most disbelieving readers. Having had no previous knowledge on the Roswell matter, the book filled me in on the details and then some. I got an A on the report and I give the book 5 stars! :)

Of course, this isn't a broad sample and the book is probably somewhere in between "boring" and "a good resource". But choose whatever religion you wish, since there little more than faith and belief to base any conclusions on in regard to the AA "film". I'm not changing my paradigm beliefs because of it,

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 10:33:52 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 18:08:24 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Gehrman

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 10:06:59 -0500
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 08:43:05 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>>I have have seen no reason to believe the alleged Cameraman's
>>tale, and Santilli fails to add any credibility to the images we
>>see. However, that does not by itself prove that they were part
>>of a modern hoax. Bob has performed a lot more research than I
>>>would suspect most of the people on this List have, and I have
>>great interest in seeing what new controversies the programs
>>that Philip Mantle has mentioned will ignite. Many of those who
>>had followed the AA 'film' controversy in the mid-90's have
>>pulled back due to the lack of anything new, and while there has
>>been an effort to keep the matter alive, there's really nothing
>>new to discuss.

I have to disagree with you both. The discovery of the crash site by following the cameraman's directions changes everything. There isn't a way that his drawings, map and directions could happen by chance and that is evidence that this is footage of a real event and the cameraman exists. I had an email exchange of information with Ray and the cameraman in 2000 concerning the MP and his story so I know the cameraman was alive then.

The problem with UFO research and researchers is that no one believes anyone else or the witness that come forward. Very few believe me about what I've found or the tests that have been done. That's insulting, so I know how Ray feels. But I'm bull-headed, (my father always said I had more guts than a government mule), or I'd have given up long ago.

>Thanks, Steve. Nice to see a post from one of the more level heads in Ufology.

>I lost interest in the AA film after the initial furor in the mid-90s because, as you say, nothing new was coming out. I really do not expect the Ant & Dec AA movie or the two UK television programs to produce anything new, because I don't think Ray has changed his stance.

>Ray could end a lot of the squabbling if he would just loan me (or Kodak, Colorado, directly) three feet of the film with the creature on it. This would allow all-important measurements to be made that would firmly place the film in the proper time period. If the film turns out to be of recent vintage, then there you have it. If the film turns out to be genuinely 1947 vintage, then there is a totally different picture. Without this simple act of cooperation from Ray the whole thing will continue to be a mess, and the subject of a lot of unnecessary argument.

>I stand willing and able to go back to work on this project and act as a liaison with Kodak in doing the necessary testing and measurements if Ray will agree to loan the necessary piece of

>film. He could have one of his own people, or himself, bring it
>to the USA, carry it to Kodak, and be present during the
>testing. There would be no risk to the film itself. If Ray
>thinks the film is real, he has everything to gain by agreeing
>to this.

This is a good idea. Have you contacted Ray?

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Roswell Solved?! - Boone

From: **Greg Boone** <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 13:24:28 EST
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 18:09:43 -0500
Subject: Re: Roswell Solved?! - Boone

>From: Don Ecker <decker0726.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 10:34:13 -0800
>Subject: Roswell Solved?!

>Greetings List,

>With the recent discussion concerning the infamous Roswell
>event, I've come up with the following.

>Well to paraphrase Sherlock Holmes, if you eliminate everything
>else then what you are left with must be the answer!

>Using that logic I think we have solved the UFO case of the
>century. Roswell can be explained like this!

>Back in 1947 the Army Air Force were secretly testing >recovered
>German V 2 Rockets.

>They were also using the secret Project Mogul balloon array.

>Sometime in either June (if you believe Ray Santilli) or in July
>(if you believe Stanton Friedman, Kevin Randle, or Bill Moore)
>the Army Air Force fired one off, (V 2) and it ran into a Mogul
>balloon! Of course there was a _huge_ explosion and all this
>crap just dropped out of the sky!

>Unfortunately out in the region of Corona, or the Plains of San
>Augustine or some other damn place, (depending on who you
>believe) there was a group of five elderly - bald Chinese
>archeologists. They had the bad luck of being underneath this
>damn mess when it dropped from the sky.

>Well, if you are smart enough to be here online you should be
>able to figure out the rest!

>Need i say any more?

>Don Ecker
>www.PastSins.Net Don Ecker's exciting supernatural thriller!

Leave it to good ol' Don Ecker to start my day with a laugh.

I can one-up you Don. Here's my explanation for the Roswell
crash.

The military was on constant guard toward any foreign spies and
aircraft. This heightened sense of alert had everyone a wee bit
too trigger happy. Then, like on some foggy Christmas Eve,
someone saw on radar a fast mover and fired. Scattered
throughout the desert landscape were the remnants of a sleigh
with the bodies of 8 tiny reindeer and some elves. The jolly old
elf at the helm was captured, interrogated and sent to Wright
Patterson AFB.

There he remained until he was identified by a colleague from
the Keebler Elf Anti-Defamation League.

As it dawned on our authorities that they'd just shot down Santa Claus who was on his way to his summer vacation villa in Arizona, they didn't want to alarm the public that Christmas was not coming that year. The Easter Bunny and King Bryan of the Leprechaun Kingdom filed a joint lawsuit against the Pentagon and it was hushed up.

Needless to say, no one found goodies in their stockings the following holiday season and as punishment all military personnel present at the tragedy were sworn to secrecy.

President Truman, realizing the magnitude of the situation then started the new wave of security agencies to ensure the safety of holiday icons everywhere.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 14:14:29 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 18:12:29 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Kaeser

>From: John Kubish <Kubieskorner.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 16:11:40 EST
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>Dear List:

>Having worked in TV production and first thing I noticed was
>that this "scratchy" old appearance looks to me as it was put on
>the video to make it "appear" older than it really is. This
>appearance is quite steady during the entire film, which would
>not happen to an original film. My opinion is that they placed
>this "old" appearance on it to give it the illusion of being
>old. It's done in TV and film production all the time.

>I was surprised that no one else ever picked up on this. But, I

>Of course this is just my opinion.

Hi John,

We're re-treading a lot of old territory here.

Bob Shell compared the images with other films of that time
period and no real conclusions could be drawn one way or the
other. While this may well be a modern re-creation of an old
'film', there's no 'smoking gun' evidence to prove it.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Roswell's UFO Museum Research Projects -

From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 12:41:43 -0700
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 18:16:25 -0500
Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum Research Projects -

>From: Kathy Kasten <catja90024.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 11:27:47 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum Research Projects

>>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 13:57:17 -0700
>>Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum

>>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 10:32:53 EST
>>>Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum

<snip>

>>You're off the point. I'm a New Mexican. Love the community of
>>Roswell. The point is that original research done by the museum
>>is zilch. Now, what kind of a "research" center is it that
>>doesn't investigate the Roswell incident itself, especially when
>>it is bringing in a lot of money? Nah, it remains a tourist trap
>>for dollars and isn't interested in much of anything else,
>>except donations for their research library, which is very nice.
>>I've donated some of my own research to it.

>>On this List has been many comments about researchers being into
>>Ufology for the money. I've never met any legitimate researcher
>>with a monetary motive. The International UFO Museum and
>>Research Center is a money pit. It doesn't even follow it's own
>>mission statement (read it, if you don't believe me). It exists
>>solely on the work of other researchers. It does nothing itself
>>to vigorously investigate that which it was built to accomplish.

>>Nothing wrong with being a money pit, but if you're going to
>>bill yourself as a research unit in the Roswell incident,
>>doesn't it make sense for the museum to invest in research,
>>besides a library?

Hi KK,

>To my knowledge, there is only one dig site the Roswell Museum
>is interested in supporting. That would be further exploration
>of the Foster Ranch Impact site.

Interesting. I'm pretty convinced that they are interested...
just not in funding an investigation. It's the old, "let
somebody else do the work, pay for it and we'll ride their coat
tails and pretend we know what research is all about." Pitiful,
actually.

>As of November 2005, I was present when the Director of the
>museum basically goaded one of the primary investigators of the
>Roswell "dig" project - done with the U of New Mexico and Sci-Fi
>Channel - to go get something she could put on exhibition in the
>museum.

Yep. "Goad" is the operative word. The IUFOMRC is good at doing

such endeavors. Several excellent researchers have been "goaded." Watch your wallet!

>The investigator promised the Director to find something from a >near future dig to be deposited in the museum. Therefore, I must >correct your assumption that the Museum doesn't perform the >function of a research center.

Huh? Just because somebody gives the museum something, certainly doesn't make the Museum function as a research center. Do they have professional staff investigators? I'll answer that...NO. Do they offer research grants? I'll answer that...NO. It's a museum with cutesy displays, a huge gift shop and manned by volunteers who don't research on their own. Pssst... it's a tourist trap!

>As I stated in an earlier post, the library is open to >everyone. The library has hosted many serious researchers. The >Museum would welcome any related material you might have. This >is the function of a research center.

>To sponsor and support researchers.

Yes, the museum has a nice research library, which isn't used by the Museum to investigate anything. Like I said, the IUFOMRC is a Roswell dollar machine. Doesn't give a twit about real research...just the attitude, hey, dude, wanna give us a copy of your research? Too lazy to for them to get out in the field and start their own projects. That would interfere with the pumping of chinese-made souvenirs.

Bottom line. It's a tourist trap with a nice library and they certainly don't sponsor or support researchers...they just get rid of them.

Wake up.

Wendy Connors
fadeddiscs.com

Wendy Connors

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 12:08:37 -0800
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 18:18:06 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Gehrman

>From: John Kubish <Kubieskorner.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 16:11:40 EST
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>Having worked in TV production and first thing I noticed was
>that this "scratchy" old appearance looks to me as it was put on
>the video to make it "appear" older than it really is. This
>appearance is quite steady during the entire film, which would
>not happen to an original film. My opinion is that they placed
>this "old" appearance on it to give it the illusion of being

>I was surprised that no one else ever picked up on this.

John,

Someone has written about the "scratchy" condition before,
somewhere. It wasn't you? I have seen this argument before.

The problem with it is that we know who transferred the raw 16mm
Kodak film, frame by frame, or small sections, to video. He has
been interviewed and has indicated that the film was very old
and in poor condition.

See link below to read history of this transfer:

http://www.outtahear.com/beyond_updates/Betacam%20Alien%20Autopsy%20Tape.htm

Also what copy of the footage were you watching when you
discovered the "scratchy appearance" that was "quite steady"?

I haven't noticed this in the AA CD version. In fact it's quite
clean.

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of

From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 21:11:43 +0100
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 18:20:33 -0500
Subject: Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of

>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 02:33:25 -0800
>Subject: Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of Light?

>>From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 21:49:20 +0100
>>Subject: Re: Can Anything Travel Faster Than The Speed Of Light?

>><snip>

>>And if you send a sufficient amount of photon energy (a `beam' of
>>light, radio, x-rays) along a path but then restrict the path to
>>where that freq. of `light' should be cut-off - it can somehow
>>go through your blockage at f.t.l. speed.

>You totally lost me there.

<snip>

>>Now, some of you might agree with me that they seemed to be
>>chopping logic merely to defend G.R. If the superimposed music-
>>info (modulation) traveled at 4.7 times the speed of light then
>>we should be able to make use of that - somehow. Regardless of
>>whether it's a `smooth' or `sharp' (or flat) tone.

>>However, think the more important point is:- `light' has
>>another, more mysterious mode of travel, using perhaps a
>>different medium than we're yet aware of, which allows it to
>>move at f.t.l speeds through blocking waveguides or filters or
>>screens. We need to know about that.

>I don't think anybody really knows if `light' has another, more
>mysterious mode of travel. I'd love to think that it does, but
>just don't see any evidence yet.

>What I think I know is that you cannot send useful information
>FTL. Not even the simplest 'Hello, my name is XYZ', FTL such
>that somebody on the other end would receive the message, or
>even know that one was sent. That is the present paradigm.

>Rightly or not, anyone arguing otherwise is going to be
>considered a crackpot. Conventional wisdom is that the entire
>solar system could explode in some unimaginable cataclysm, a
>collision with antimatter say, and not the slightest peep of
>this would be knowable on some civilization 100 light years
>away, until those same 100 years had passed.

>If there were any solid breakthroughs along these lines, they
>>would be screamed all over the physics sites and blogs.

Hi Larry,

Understand where you're at - your work means you must exclude
anything you don't have confidence in.

Others (me) can relax and accept data unless we've strong
reasons to disbelieve.

Won't dispute snipped stuff, but understand, from reading the experiment descriptions, that some superluminal results came from Nimtz reading a failed experiment (12 yrs+ ago) and realizing that an attempt to slow or stop `light' had strange results. So he did it himself and found that when he put a too-small waveguide or some other just-blocking device in the path, the wave-packet still arrived but a lot faster than ordinarily (he got it up to nearly 5 times f.t.l.)

Seems things have moved on - here's quotes, from July 2000 article at:

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/sci/tech/841690.stm>

"The end result was a beam of light that moved at 300 times the theoretical limit for the speed of light. ...And according to Dr Wang, this strange result does not threaten Einstein's theories - in particular, the causality principle, which states that a cause must precede its effect.

Or so almost all physicists think - for now. Privately, some admit that experiments such as Dr Wang's may force a reassessment of some cherished ideas.

According to Dr Guenter Nimtz, of the University of Cologne, who has carried out similar experiments, the NEC work is very exciting.

He told BBC News Online: "The effect cannot be used to go back in time, only to reduce the time between cause and effect a little bit.

"The reason for this," he said, "is because the light pulse has a finite length of time, much longer than any gain obtained by a faster-than-light speed."

Now, while it's almost sure that straight message modulation of light won't be carried at that speed - so no normal signals at f.t.l, we're now at that vital stage when someone realizes something new is going on.

Which is, any light traveling that much `faster than light' is doing something different - so it's got to be using a new mode of travel (or a new medium - and there isn't one as far as we know).

Finally, your point about publicity, think people are now seeing the powerful suppression of `unfashionable' ideas, for more than a generation and increasingly now.

Ask Hoyle (only he's dead) and Halton Arp (very much alive I think). Their ideas on redshift and the size, age, and expansion of the universe are probably going to be proven correct eventually - but NASA et al control much of the evidence at the moment and won't agree.

Fr'instance, why didn't we hear about this `superluminal' stuff earlier in the last 12 years - like, when it was happening?

Cheers
Ray D

"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Space Cadets And Martian Faces

From: Jay Nelson <jnelson.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 13:30:37 -0700
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 18:25:47 -0500
Subject: Space Cadets And Martian Faces

[Before we revisit yet another thread, please go to:

<http://tinyurl.com/lwy6o>

at the Archive _before_ responding --ebk]

Listarians --

The ever-entertaining Richard Hoagland now claims he has solid evidence that the Face on Mars and the secret history of the Solar System were not only already known to the elite UFO Cabal but even leaked in the late 50s. By means of none other than Tom Corbett, Space Cadet, and also by the hand of Jack "King" Kirby, the late great comic art genius!

<http://www.enterprisemission.com/forbidden-planet.htm>

Long page, many big photos, slightly over halfway down.

Ha! Take that, ye scoffers! ;->

Interestingly, I actually owned that Tom Corbett Viewmaster set in my misspent youth (may even still do, somewhere), and the story went a bit further than what Hoagland shows on that page. Our heroes continued on to the Asteroid Belt and there viewed a recording by rather cat-like aliens from the shattered planet (which fits into Hoagland's convoluted ideas somehow as well, it seems). I might have even had that Kirby Klassic Komic back then, too.

So then, was I subtly programmed to believe in Cydonia nearly half a century ago, decades before the Face was "discovered"? And could it have been deliberate?

Sure, it seems unlikely, to say the least, that the ultrasecret UFO Cabal would broadcast these amazing 'facts' through kiddy's sci-fi, but stranger things have happened. It could even be argued that mass media without any credibility, like tabloids, comic books, and FOX News, would be precisely the channels the Powers That Be would prefer to dribble out incredible information, so as to cleverly cushion our fragile minds from the Awful Truth.

Or, as those wily debunkers will doubtless claim, this may just be yet more evidence that we have been subliminally culturally predisposed to see Martians for a long, long time now. Which is doubtless true, yet still does not prove that Martians, feline or otherwise, AREN'T real, either. And so it goes...

Let's face it, though, this is an odd coincidence. And it makes me wonder about (Lee and) Kirby's other spacey characters, too. Is there some big-headed Watcher coolly studying us from his lunar base? And more importantly, what about that Silver Surfer dude and his planet-munching boss, Galactus, anyway?

Inquiring minds may well hope the last is fiction indeed. ;->

Jay Nelson

(Sorry if this topic has been dealt with before. I've been offline due to illness lately, but I didn't notice any recent similar looking threads... and this was just too good not to share!)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 15:57:12 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 18:27:22 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Shell

>From: Ed Gehrman <egerman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 09:54:51 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>>Instead, we
>>have a bunch of AA-cultists screaming that we sane people have
>>to prove it is fake.

>Cultists? I don't think we qualify. Why do you think we do?

It's probably the secret handshake we use that makes him think we're a cult, Ed. Or it might be our decoder rings. Or maybe it's our skin tight superhero outfits with the big white AA on the chest and the flowing purple cape.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Women In UFO And Pararnormal Studies - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 22:25:16 +0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 18:28:34 -0500
Subject: Re: Women In UFO And Pararnormal Studies - Hall

>From: Regan Lee <rlee15.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 22:45:15 -0800
>Subject: Women in UFO and Pararnormal Studies

>Dear List,

>This is my first post, though I've been a lurker for a couple of
>years.

>A little while ago I started putting together a database of
>women UFO and paranormal researchers, (and experiencers,
>witnesses, etc.) It's very small but growing. Any names you
>think of would be welcome.

>Women in UFO & Paranormal Studies:

><http://www.angelfire.com/realm/rubyfiles0/womanufopeople.html>

>Thanks,

>R. Lee

Regan,

The text on your web site is so obscure and murky with weird
colors that I, for one, can't read it.

Do you mean currently active women (Jenny Randles, Judith Magee,
Susan Swiatek, Georgina Bruni, etc.) or women in the past as
well? I would be glad to help but need to know exactly what you
are looking for.

- Richard Hall

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 22:40:05 +0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 18:31:25 -0500
Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Hall

>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 16:08:01 -0500
>Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum

>>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 20:21:34 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum

>>You don't have to be a tourist in an RV. That sounds like about
>>98% of the UFO web sites out there..... <g>

>If there's enough cash to spend, a 'planetarium' structure in
>which notable sightings are re-created and projected, along with
>narrative, might be worth visiting.

Now that's the best idea I have heard in a long time! Even better if mandatory attendance was required for anyone seeking national political office. But seriously folks, planetaria (-ums) could be very usefully applied for this purpose. In fact, my friend and colleague Walt Webb did exactly this many years ago when he was scientific director of the Charles Hayden Planetarium in Boston, and he would make a great consultant for such a project.

Sure beats the futility of constantly rehashing the dubious alien autopsy films or the badly contaminated Roswell crash.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 30](#)

Re: Poll On Veracity Of Roswell 'Witness' Glenn

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 22:44:39 +0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 18:32:45 -0500
Subject: Re: Poll On Veracity Of Roswell 'Witness' Glenn

>From: Cory Cameron <corycameron_510.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 11:53:37 -0500
>Subject: Re: Poll On Veracity Of Roswell 'Witness' Glenn Dennis

>>From: Brian Sunday <bipsunday.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 07:28:15 -0800
>>Subject: Poll On Veracity Of Roswell 'Witness' Glenn Dennis

>>I am conducting a straw poll. Regarding the veracity of Glenn
>>Dennis, alleged Roswell Witness, do you:

>>A. Believe him

>>B. Don't believe him - his story does not fit into Roswell
>> event

>>C. Not sure what to believe rearding the Roswell Incident

>A. I believe him.

>Cory

Question C takes a giant logical leap beyond A & B. To be
consistent it should have been Not sure what to believe about
Glenn Dennis, not about the incident (by which I assume the
crash is meant).

Better questions and definitons are needed if you want
meaningful answers.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 31](#)

Speed Of Light

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 18:40:12 EST
Fwd Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 12:54:14 -0500
Subject: Speed Of Light

Before anyone else makes more silly claims on this subject, please read the information at the following link. Please pay special attention to the subject of "Speed of Light in a Vacuum", as this is the true barrier that nothing can travel faster than. Slowing light down in order to travel faster than it means didly-squat.

<http://csep10.phys.utk.edu/guidry/violence/lightspeed.html>

- Jason Gammon

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 31](#)

Re: BBC Roswell Incident Documentary On-Line -

From: Gary Matteson <mystrius.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 17:48:27 -0600
Fwd Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 12:56:35 -0500
Subject: Re: BBC Roswell Incident Documentary On-Line -

Greetings EBK and Listers

>The rarely seen BBC documentary on the Roswell Incident UFO is
>available for on-line streamed viewing at:

><http://tinyurl.com/m2ro9>

>[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

Using the available time to view the BBC Documentary (thank you
for the link, Stuart Miller) I want to offer a perceived
observation.

My 66 year old peepers seldom catch much of value yet, I could
not help wondering, after viewing something that startled me, at
approximately, the 56 to 61 minute period.

Now, I don't mind being mistaken (I'm beginning to get used to
being mistaken) so I am asking, has anyone else noted whether
the person on the left hand side of the table appears to be a
female? If a woman, I wonder whether any women were doing
autopsies in that era?

Perhaps this been mentioned previously and I missed it?

Gary

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 31](#)

Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 21:01:40 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 12:59:28 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Kaeser

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 15:57:12 -0500
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egerman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 09:54:51 -0800
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>>>Instead, we
>>>have a bunch of AA-cultists screaming that we sane people have to
>>>prove it is fake.

>>Cultists? I don't think we qualify. Why do you think we do?

>It's probably the secret handshake we use that makes him think
>we're a cult, Ed. Or it might be our decoder rings. Or maybe it's
>our skin tight superhero outfits with the big white AA on the chest
>and the flowing purple cape.

Okay, I'll bite. Seeing the both of you in skin tight leotards,
wearing your secret decoder rings along with flowing purple
capas, would certainly be worth the price of admission. And to
think that Wendy was complaining, in another thread, that the
field has lost it's scientific objectivity. I'll bet she'd buy a
ticket.....

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 31](#)

Mars Once Had Life Harvard Prof Says

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 13:30:49 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 13:30:49 -0500
Subject: Mars Once Had Life Harvard Prof Says

Source: The Brown & White - Lehigh University, Bethlehem,
Pennsylvania, USA

<http://www.bw.lehigh.edu/story.asp?ID=3D19645>

3/30/2006

Mars Once Had Life Harvard Prof Says
By Daniel Glaser
News Writer

Life on Mars is currently unfeasible but probably existed millions of years ago, said Andrew Knoll, professor of natural history and earth and planetary sciences at Harvard University, in a lecture Monday at Lewis Laboratory.

Knoll, a Lehigh graduate, has studied astrobiology his entire life and spoke about his research in his lecture, "Meridiani, opportunity, and the search for life on Mars." Through his research, Knoll=92s team launched two Mars rovers, Opportunity and Spirit, to explore the planet and the possibility of life.

The existence of canals indicates water was once present on Mars and leads scientists to hypothesize life was once possible, Knoll said. Millions of years later, with no visible water and an inhospitable climate, life is unlikely, he said.

"With an average temperature of negative 35 degrees Celsius, intense radiation and a very small atmosphere," Knoll said, "Mars doesn=92t look very biological."

Just as scientists use rocks and fossils to study Earth=92s history, scientists can collect information about Mars=92s past in the same way, Knoll said. The effort to find this evidence led him to launch the Mars rovers more than 19 years ago.

Knoll described the differences and similarities between rocks on Earth and Mars.

"One sample that came back had a high concentration of silicate, hematite, magnesium, calcium, sulfites and some jarosite =85" Knoll said. "Most rocks on Earth have these components."

Knoll said, however, that there were major differences between rocks on Mars and Earth.

"If this was Earth, you would expect to see a lot of carbonate," Knoll said, "but there was no carbonate."

The most significant evidence that water was present on Mars is the abundance of sulfuric acid, which can work as an anti-freeze compound, Knoll said. Water=92s freezing point on Mars is 76 degrees Celsius below its freezing point on Earth.

In the end, Knoll said, there is enough evidence to conclude that life once existed on Mars.

Kara Minissale, =9206, said the lecture was interesting but the conclusion was underwhelming.

"Overall, I thought the lecture was good," Minissale said, "but I wasn't surprised that it wasn't possible for anything to live on Mars. It was really interesting to see what the [rovers] could do... Knoll's conclusions were still nothing surprising."

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 31](#)

MUFON Discusses Time Travel Aliens Government

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 13:34:26 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 13:34:26 -0500
Subject: MUFON Discusses Time Travel Aliens Government

Source: The Acorn - Thousand Oaks, California, USA

<http://www.toacorn.com/news/2006/0330/Community/028.html>

March 30, 2006

MUFON Discusses Time Travel Aliens Government Coverups

By Stephanie Bertholdo
bertholdo.nul

Are unidentified flying objects real or just the product of overactive imaginations sparked by pop culture?

Millions of Americans believe that extraterrestrials regularly visit Earth. The UFO industry has evolved over 50-plus years, starting in 1947 when pilot Kenneth Arnold flew near Mount Rainier in Washington State and spied nine crescent-shaped metallic objects in the distance traveling at speeds he estimated to be 1,000 mph.

During the same year of Arnold's flight, another seminal UFO event occurred. An alien craft allegedly crashed on a local ranch in Roswell, N.M. Although the military initially released information to the press that a flying saucer was recovered, within days the official government explanation was that the debris found in the desert was simply a fallen weather balloon. The seeds of a government coverup were planted with the explanation.

Area 51, a top secret military base in New Mexico, has since become a focus for "ufologists," or those who study the UFOs.

Groups dedicated to researching the UFO phenomena have proliferated along with sightings of lights and objects darting through the skies. It's been 60 years since remnants of either a weather balloon or a spaceship was recovered in the desert, but the UFO industry that emerged has grown astronomically.

Claims that the U.S. government is either in cahoots with aliens to cull their technology, or responsible for squelching data by disseminating misinformation was the basis of a recent meeting sponsored by the Ventura/Santa Barbara chapter of MUFON, the Mutual UFO Network.

About a dozen UFO enthusiasts met at Marie Callender's in Westlake Village in mid-February to hear author and UFO expert Bill Hamilton discuss the fantastic case of Dan Burisch and the government's quest to silence him, and how time travel plays into some UFO theories.

Dr. Dan Burisch (aka Dan Crain) was allegedly an Area 51 microbiologist who worked on the Lotus Project, a government-sponsored study of the Ganesh particle, which purportedly has the capability of repairing damaged cells. Burisch claims to have worked with an alien being named J-Rod on

a variety of "black budget," (top secret, not officially recognized by the government) projects.

"There's no doubt in my mind, after knowing Dan for a number of years, that he is indeed a genius," Hamilton said.

According to Hamilton, the alien J-Rod is actually a descendant of humans—a race that has evolved millions of years into the future, a "post-catastrophical future."

J-Rod's race apparently left Earth long ago and now resides on a distant planet where another form of humanity is languishing due to genetic deficiencies. JRod's purpose, Hamilton said, is to repair their genetic problems through earthlings' scientific advances using the Genome Study. In return, according to Hamilton, J-Rod is providing information on how to create designer viruses, possibly for military use, or perhaps for cures for human diseases. According to the reams of information on Burisch available on the Internet, the U.S. has forged numerous treaties with alien nations.

For skeptics, there's plenty of fodder in these wild extraterrestrial tales.

Burisch changed his name from Crain for some complicated personal reasons that involve his marriage and adopted children. Supposedly the high school that Burisch attended in England was not recognized in the U.S., so official records just show that Burisch earned a GED (General Equivalency Diploma that is an equivalent to a high school diploma). And, in keeping with the age-old ufologist's claim that people involved in black budget research and experiments are often targeted by the government, Burisch's personal records were erased, so verifying information about the man is virtually impossible.

"It's an easy matter to control records, much easier than I ever believed," Hamilton said. He added that it's not only records that disappear, but people sometimes vanish. Currently, Burisch is said to be in "lock-down," working on the Lotus project. If given immunity, he will allegedly testify before a congressional hearing about his involvement on secret human experiments.

According to one website dedicated to the Burisch case, one treaty "allows for abduction (of humans), removal of blood and reproductive samples and tissue; and does not control whether humans are maimed, tortured or that they might expire."

"There are gaps in Dan's information, but not big red flags," Hamilton said. But there's always missing data about such wild claims, he said. Ufologists often believe the missing information in such top secret work is due to records being erased by the government.

Later in his presentation, Hamilton touched on quantum physics and wormholes, or "tunnels in space" that may be the key to time travel and J-Rod's visitation.

Extraterrestrials travel back and forth through time via a "hyperspace vortex," Hamilton said.

"Time travel is happening, not only now, but has happened in the past," he said.

"Time slips" was another theory that Hamilton proffered.

Now and then, he said, people "slip" into another time of history. He said one family was driving on their vacation and stopped at a restaurant that appeared to be a 1950s-style establishment. When they paid their bill it was as cheap as in 1950. The family wanted to revisit the restaurant on their return trip but when they arrived in the town they couldn't find it. An old timer told them that there used to be such a restaurant but it had burned down in the 1960s.

Hamilton said that there are thousands of stories of time slips, but the only common bond is that there is a flash of blue light, the atmosphere seems to thicken and compasses appear to spin out of control.

Jeannie, a MUFON member from North Hollywood who would not reveal her last name, said that she enjoyed the presentation.

"It was interesting," she said. "I like the scientific side of things a lot of times."

Science or not, true believers in UFOs will continue to search the skies for proof that we are not alone in the universe.

For information on future MUFON meetings, visit www.mufon.com, and link to local chapters.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: ufoupdateslist.com > [2006](#) > [Mar](#) > [Mar 31](#)

ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 13:38:41 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 13:38:41 -0500
Subject: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet

Source: The Times - London, UK

<http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,6-2111848,00.html>

March 31, 2006

ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet
Ben Macintyre

The disappearance of flying saucers and little green men =96 and a shift in human credulity

Where (on Earth) have all the unidentified flying objects gone? Just a few years ago, the sky seemed to be littered with flying saucers and every other sort of astral crockery: strange lights, cigar-shaped spaceships, paranormal things that went bump in the night. Scully and Mulder were rushed off their feet.

Now the UFOs have almost vanished. Sure, you still get a few alien abductions, especially on New Year=92s Eve, and diehard ufologists are still recording close encounters of the umpteenth kind. Since 1955 the National UFO Reporting Centre in Seattle has clocked 125,000 reports of sightings. But in recent years the numbers have dropped dramatically. The British Flying Saucer Bureau closed down three years ago after half a century of saucer-spotting. The simple truth is that the little green men don=92t come calling like they used to, and they have stopped leaving circles in our crops.

* One explanation for this is that the aliens have realised that this poor little planet is dying, and not worth visiting any more. But leaving aside the possibility that we are simply an interplanetary holiday destination that has fallen out of fashion, the withering of the UFO craze represents a fascinating shift in human credulity, the end of a cultural phenomenon that reached its apogee in the late 20th century.

But it is also a result of human invention, and humanity=92s evolving relationship with new technology. UFO sightings have declined as the internet has expanded. The web is the natural home of every crackpot and conspiracy theorist, but it also, eventually, produces a rarefied atmosphere of rationalism in which aliens and other elusive creatures cannot long survive. In the short term, the internet was a blessing to UFOs; but over time, it has all but killed them off.

Humans have been spotting odd things in the sky from the dawn of time. Egyptian scrolls from the 15th century BC tell of "burning circles" in the heavens, the Book of Ezekiel describes celestial wheels of fire and in AD98 a shield-shaped thing hurtled across the Roman firmament. Before the First World War there were regular sightings of phantom Zeppelins.

UFOs tend to appear at moment of turmoil and technical innovation, and the full-scale alien invasion started after the Second World War. On June 24, 1947, an American pilot named Kenneth Arnold spotted nine silvery objects hurtling through the

air near Mount Rainier in Washington state. The term "flying saucer" was born, a good example of bad journalism since Arnold had specifically stated that the objects were shaped like boomerangs.

Within a month, flying saucers had been reported in 28 states. On a ranch near Roswell, New Mexico, the US Air Force recovered bits of debris from a crash site, and rumours of bodies of bug-eyed aliens quickly spread. Britain became a favoured UFO landing strip, with hundreds and then thousands of reported sightings. "What does all this stuff about flying saucers amount to?", wondered Winston Churchill in a memo written in 1951. "What can it mean?"

In part, the alien spaceship invasion was a reaction to the stresses of the Cold War and the nuclear age; in a time of technological frenzy, space exploration and paranoia, the notion of alien visitors was increasingly acceptable, even comforting. In the same way that our medieval forebears saw angels and comets at times of plague and catastrophe, we saw lights and visitations in the night sky as the world seemed ever more dangerous, and other planets drew ever closer.

The high point for UFO spotters came in the mid-1990s. The fields were festooned with crop circles; The X-Files was required watching, the men in black were everywhere. In 1994 some black-and-white film footage turned up, purporting to show military scientists performing a post-mortem examination on two of the Roswell aliens: small, humanoid creatures with six fingers on each hand and six toes on each foot, without belly buttons or hair. The film, since exposed as a crude forgery, was taken seriously enough for journalists (including this one) to be dispatched to Roswell to report on the discovery.

The early internet helped to power the UFO phenomenon: instant myths, sightings, secrets and lies scorched around the world wide web. But the web also helped to undermine faith in the paranormal. In the age of instant text messaging and universal webcams, spotting UFOs, photographing them, posting the evidence worldwide and calling in witnesses should have been far easier than ever before.

Instead, the UFOs have scarpered. The internet works by taking in vast swaths of hokum and ignorance but it gradually sifts out the chaff. Errors inevitably creep, for example, into Wikipedia, the online encyclopaedia, but because this is a self-creating and self-regulating mechanism, the mistakes and the nonsense are weeded out, or wither away. For every soothsayer and rumour-peddler on the web, there is a rationalist, an expert, a sceptic, calling for common sense. The truth will out, eventually.

The UFOs are still whizzing about up there, for sure; they just tend to crash faster these days. This month, residents of Orange County, California, spotted mysterious discs hovering over the town of Aliso Viejo. The sightings were swiftly recorded by a UFO research website, ghostly blue lights that "danced around one another in the night sky". The news hurtled around the web, UFO chat rooms buzzed with excitement.

Ten years ago the Aliso Viejo sightings would have swiftly entered UFO folklore, passed from one believer to another. But no sooner had the Orange County saucers been launched, than a cardiovascular surgeon named Gaylon Murphy admitted the UFOs were radio-controlled foam disks fitted with flashing lights, which he had built in his garage. "We fly them in formation," he said. "It=92s pretty funny."

The Aliso Viejo UFOs are still on the internet, but now entirely overshadowed by more mundane normality: "It came from the Planet Garage."

The unidentified flying object has been identified, and cannot fly any more. ET has gone home.

[[Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)