

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2006](#) > Apr

UFO UpDates Mailing List Apr 2006

Apr 1:

[?](#) - Stuart Miller [119]
[Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [11]
[Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [11]
[Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet](#) - - Jeff Olson [5]
[Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Connors](#) - Wendy Connors [11]
[The 'True' Alien Agenda](#) - Isaac Koi [541]
[More On 'Ultraterrestrials'](#) - Mac Tonnies [98]
[April Edition Of Exopolitics Journal](#) - Michael Salla [77]
['Sudden External Impact' Cripples Russian Satellite](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [53]
[Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Bourdais](#) - Gildas Bourdais [14]
[Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet](#) - - Larry Hatch [10]
[Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet](#) - - Don Ledger [6]
[Re: ? - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [7]
[Re: ? - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [5]
[Re: ? - Weber](#) - Bill Weber [12]
[Re: ? - Briggs](#) - Michael J Briggs [3]
[Re: ? - Scheldroup](#) - John Scheldroup [8]
[Re: ? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [2]
[Re: ? - Burns](#) - Max Burns [11]

Apr 2:

[Re: ? - Furlotte](#) - David Furlotte [5]
[Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet](#) - - Larry Hatch [17]
[Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet](#) - - Gord Heath [69]
[Re: More On 'Ultraterrestrials' - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [29]
[Re: ? - Olson](#) - Jeff Olson [7]
[Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet](#) - - Lan Fleming [15]

Apr 3:

[Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda - Frison](#) - Eugene Frison [39]
[Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet](#) - - Craig Beasley [4]
[Re: Funds For Hunting ET Life Restored By NASA](#) - - Paul Anderson [21]
[Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet](#) - - Bruce Maccabee [6]
[Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet](#) - - Don Ledger [8]

[Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Philip Mantle [13]
[New Crop Circles Conondale Queensland Australia](#) - Glennys Mackay [44]
[New 800 Number For Jerry Black](#) - Jerry Black [16]
[Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Owens](#) - Steve Owens [5]
[Whence Serpo?](#) - Brendon Hird [10]

Apr 4:

[Avian Virus Invades Washington D.C.](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [128]
[Aliens Have Landed?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [37]
[Real Or Un-Reel?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [43]
[The Evolution Of Faith](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [90]
[Sorry E.T. Is Still Not Taking Our Calls](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [152]
[Many Saints Believed In Extraterrestrial Life](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [208]
[Alien Abductions Reality or Dreams?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [182]
[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Steven Kaeser [1]
[Secrecy News -- 04/03/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [216]
[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Jason Gammon [11]
[Glenn Dennis Veracity Poll Results](#) - Brian Sunday [17]
[Re: Whence Serpo? - Denton](#) - Julia Denton [3]
[Re: Whence Serpo? - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [18]
[Faith And Spirit Lasagna?](#) - Larry Hatch [14]
[Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda - Koi](#) - Isaac Koi [31]
[Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet -](#) - Larry Hatch [6]
[Re: Whence Serpo? - Baron](#) - Uzi Baron [3]
[Conondale Crop Circle Queensland 2006](#) - Diane Harrison [85]
[James Bond Johnson 1926 - 2006](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [91]
[Re: Whence Serpo? - Myers](#) - Royce J. Myers III [17]
[Bob Dylan And A Possible UFO Connection](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [209]
[Why Are They Silent?](#) - Rick Nielsen [3]
[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Bob Shell [14]
[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Steven Kaeser [17]
[Re: Sorry E.T. Is Still Not Taking Our Calls](#) - Ray Dickenson [32]

Apr 5:

[The Great UFO Scare Of 1896](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [110]
[Re: Why Are They Silent? - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [4]
[Re: Faith And Spirit Lasagna? - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [3]
[Re: Re: James Bond Johnson 1926 - 2006 - Allan](#) - Christopher Allan [15]
[Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda - Frison](#) - Eugene Frison [89]
[Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story](#) - Philip Mantle [43]
[Re: Glenn Dennis Veracity Poll Results - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [6]
[Re: Faith And Spirit Lasagna? - Groff](#) - Terry Groff [6]
[Re: Why Are They Silent? - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [9]
[Re: Why Are They Silent? - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [3]
[Re: Why Are They Silent? - Connors](#) - Wendy Connors [24]
[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Isaac Koi [33]

Apr 6:

[Eammon Investigates The Alien Autopsy](#) - Joe McGonagle [86]
[AUFORN Public Meeting April 7th 2006](#) - Diane Harrison [36]

[Latest On Red Rain Puzzle](#) - Paul Anderson [82]
[Black Vault Launches Massive Encyclopedia Project](#) - John Greenewald, Jr. [39]
[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [5]
[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [12]
[Secrecy News -- 04/05/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [167]
[Re: James Bond Johnson 1926 - 2006 - Morris](#) - Neil Morris [22]
['The World's Strangest UFOs'](#) - Don Ledger [53]
[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Jason Gammon [9]
[Re: Why Are They Silent? - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [6]
[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -](#) - Stanton Friedman [9]
[Re: Why Are They Silent? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [5]
[Re: Why Are They Silent? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [6]
[Re: Why Are They Silent? - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [35]
[Re: Why Are They Silent? - Toal](#) - Ted Toal [9]
[Re: Faith And Spirit Lasagna? - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [9]
[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -](#) - Alfred Lehmberg [13]
[Phone Call From An Alien](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [104]
[The Science Fiction Factor](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [98]
[Did UFOs Go To Alberta?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [43]
[Review Of Aliens: Why They Are Here](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [17]
[French Military Air Traffic Controller Claims Link](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [49]
[Ant And Dec's Alien Adventure](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [68]
[World's First Dedicated Optical SETI Telescope](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [87]
[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Bob Shell [6]
[Re: Why Are They Silent? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [8]
[Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [18]
[Re: Why Are They Silent? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [6]
[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -](#) - Eugene Frison [16]
[UFO Witnesses Reunite](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [62]
[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -](#) - Nick Redfern [77]
[Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Rome](#) - Irene Rome [17]
[Secrecy News -- 04/06/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [162]

Apr 7:

[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Nick Redfern [15]
[Santilli's Film Flim-Flam](#) - Philip Mantle [47]
[Re: Why Are They Silent? - Rome](#) - Irene Rome [13]
[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Maurizio Baiata [15]
[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [19]
[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -](#) - Don Ledger [21]
[Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [6]
[Re: Why Are They Silent? - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [6]
[Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [22]
['Suits' Silence Doctors Willing To Look Into](#) - Eleanor White [43]
[Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Feindt](#) - Carl Feindt [8]
[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -](#) - Ed Gehrman [41]
[Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - White](#) - Eleanor White [8]
[Salford Man Admits Alien Autopsy Fake](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [44]

Apr 8:

[Re: Eammon Investigates The Alien Autopsy - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [8]

[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Keith](#) - Rebecca Keith [8]
[Humphreys & AA Films](#) - Philip Mantle [9]
[Skeptic Shermer's Disbelief Is Science-Based](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [89]
[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [6]
[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Greg Boone [59]
[Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [11]
[Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Redfern](#) - Nick Redfern [21]
[Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Redfern](#) - Nick Redfern [7]
[Re: 'Suits' Silence Doctors Willing To Look Into](#) - Rob Kritkauskay [9]
[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Jason Gammon [15]
[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Steven Kaeser [56]
[Secrecy News - 04/07/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [116]
[Alien Abductions Reality or Dreams?](#) - David Haith [73]
[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -](#) - Jason Gammon [23]
[Re: Glenn Dennis Veracity Poll Results - Owens](#) - Steve Owens [10]
[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -](#) - Steven Kaeser [28]
[Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [20]
[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -](#) - Jim Deardorff [7]
[Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs - Sanchez-Ocejo](#) - Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo [12]
[Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Faccenda](#) - Joe Faccenda [15]
[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Jason Gammon [26]
[Re: Why Are They Silent? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [4]
[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Eugene Frison [51]
[Alien Autopsy Feature Film Review](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [51]
[Namebase UFO Resource](#) - Gary Matteson [10]
[Re: Why Are They Silent? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [8]
[Re: James Bond Johnson 1926 - 2006 - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [39]
[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [27]
[Dan Aykroyd Unplugged On UFOs](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [83]
[UFOs Part Of World's History](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [87]
[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -](#) - Steven Kaeser [1]
[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Larry Hatch [27]
[Re: Eammon Investigates The Alien Autopsy - Stimson](#) - Michael Stimson [21]
[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Pope](#) - Nick Pope [18]
[Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [10]
[Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Pope](#) - Nick Pope [15]
[Milking That Cow](#) - Greg Boone [35]
[Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [29]
[Re: Alien Abductions Reality Or Dreams? - Freeman](#) - Kelly Freeman [17]
[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Bob Shell [36]
[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Bob Shell [6]
[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Greg Boone [20]
[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -](#) - Gildas Bourdais [17]
[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Maurizio Baiata [33]

Apr 9:

[List Off-Line](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [6]

Apr 15:

[List Back On-Line](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [18]

Apr 10:

[CE3/4/5 Evidence?](#) - Rick Nielsen [8]

[Re: Milking That Cow - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [4]

[Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [8]

[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Koi](#) - Isaac Koi [29]

[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Eugene Frison [36]

[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Stanton Friedman [13]

[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Nick Redfern [40]

[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -](#) - Stanton Friedman [7]

[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Nick Redfern [22]

[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - Nick Redfern [13]

[Re: James Bond Johnson 1926 - 2006 - Morris](#) - Neil Morris [14]

[Re: 'Suits' Silence Doctors Willing To Look Into](#) - Eleanor White [17]

[Re: Dan Aykroyd Unplugged On UFOs - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [3]

[Re: Alien Autopsy Feature Film Review - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [11]

[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -](#) - Bruce Maccabee [8]

[Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [27]

Apr 11:

[The Movie](#) - Rebecca Keith [9]

[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -](#) - Dave Morton [32]

[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Allan](#) - Christopher Allan [24]

[Exopolitical Implications Of Nuclear War in Iran](#) - Michael Salla [168]

[Re: Milking That Cow - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [42]

[Setting The Alien Autopsy Record Straight](#) - Philip Mantle [104]

[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -](#) - Ed Gehrman [21]

[Re: Setting The Alien Autopsy Record Straight -](#) - Mark Center [36]

[Re: Setting The Alien Autopsy Record Straight](#) - Dave Vetterick [8]

[Yuri Aleksandrovich Smirnov Passes](#) - Paul Stonehill [35]

[UFOs Concealed Publicity Marketing & Information](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [249]

Apr 16:

['Kecksburg UFO' To Land In New Home](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [85]

[UFO Magazine Book Review Majic Eyes Only](#) - Ryan S. Wood [62]

[UFO Ignorance](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [96]

[Are We Alone? UFOs The Topic](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [72]

[Re: UFOs Part Of World's History - Rome](#) - Irene Rome [2]

[UFO Ignorance II](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [142]

[Winner Beams Up \\$250 In UFO Contest](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [44]

[FOIA Request To Defense Intelligence Agency](#) - Larry W. Bryant [54]

[UFO Betwixt and Between?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [99]

[New Documents Bring Black Vault Over 306,000 Pages](#) - John Greenewalrd john@greenewald.com [128]

[NASA Solicits Ideas For Exploration Of The Moon](#) - NASA News [29]

[Mars Orbiting Cameras Debut As NASA Craft Adjusts](#) - NASA News [75]

[Re: Milking That Cow - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [42]

[Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [18]

[Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [18]

[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [6]

[Moon The Lunar Spaceship & The Nuclear Holocaust?](#) - Jerry Hamm [23]

[So What Happened?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [85]

[Max Headroom Creator Made Roswell Alien](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [58]
[Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy](#) - William Sawers [21]
[Re: Milking That Cow - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [14]
[Re: UFOs Part Of World's History - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [8]
[Oak Ridge Leads Search For Alien Life](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [76]
[Unlocking The Mystery Of Ware Triangle](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [55]
[Alien Intent Human Explication](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [49]
[Swiss Mystery Park Needs Saviour To Materialise](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [30]
[Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [11]
[Re: Setting The Alien Autopsy Record Straight -](#) - Nick Pope [10]
[Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -](#) - Don Ledger [25]
[Santilli Autopsy List-Discussion At End](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [6]
[Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [5]

Apr 17:

[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [38]
[Detlev Bronk \[was: Milking That Cow\]](#) - Christopher Allan [15]
[Re: UFO Ignorance II - White](#) - Eleanor White [6]
[Re: Mars Orbiting Cameras Debut As NASA Craft](#) - Eleanor White [8]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [12]
[Re: Milking That Cow - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [9]
[Re: Alien Intent-Human Explication - Toal](#) - Ted Toal [29]

Apr 18:

[Re: Milking That Cow - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [29]
[Re: Detlev Bronk - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [18]
[Re: Alien Intent-Human Explication - Warren](#) - Frank Warren [15]
[Re: Detlev Bronk - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [24]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [8]
[Re: Mars Orbiting Cameras Debut As NASA Craft](#) - Paul Anderson [26]
[Secrecy News -- 04/17/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [147]
[Re: Alien Intent-Human Explication - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [10]
[Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos](#) - Santiago Yturria Garza [22]
[The Birth Of The CIA And Flying Saucers Part I](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [82]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [9]
[2006 Australian National UFO Conference](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [41]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [18]
[The Truth Is Up There](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [80]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [18]
[Re: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos - Smith](#) - James Smith [9]
[Spirits In The Sky](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [105]

Apr 19:

[Re: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [8]
[Re: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos -](#) - Bruce Maccabee [20]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [52]
[Mysterious Sky Press Release](#) - Philip Mantle [26]
[Rectangular Ruins In Arabia Terra On Mars?](#) - Paul Anderson [29]
[Secrecy News -- 04/18/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [172]
[Old Abduction Case?](#) - Luis R. Gonzalez [17]

[House-Shaking UFOs?](#) - Luis R. Gonzalez [16]
[Re: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos - Yturria](#) - Santiago Yturria Garza [10]
[CUFOS In-Home Environment Detector Results?](#) - Eleanor White [6]
[The Birth Of The CIA And Flying Saucers - Part II](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [84]
[Re: Why Are They Silent? - Rome](#) - Irene Rome [20]
[Re: Milking That Cow - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [4]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [9]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [2]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [12]

Apr 20:

[Re: Milking That Cow - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [9]
[Re: Detlev Bronk - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [5]
[30th Parallel And Texas](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [52]
[April 2006 FOTOCAT Project Update](#) - Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos [6]
[Re: Detlev Bronk - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [3]
[April 8th 2006 Sighting](#) - John Scheldroup [23]
[Re: CUFOS In-Home Environment Detector Results? -](#) - Steven Kaeser [12]
[Re: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos - Smith](#) - James Smith [23]
[Secrecy News -- 04/19/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [126]
[Re: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos - Yturria](#) - Santiago Yturria Garza [9]
[Re: House-Shaking UFOs? - Seeger](#) - Kevin Seeger [10]
[Re: Why Are They Silent? - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [13]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [15]
[French Radio Ufologie](#) - Jean Noel [21]
[Re: House-Shaking UFOs? - Connors](#) - Wendy Connors [11]
[Re: Milking That Cow - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [13]
[Re: Milking That Cow - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [45]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [17]
[Review Of On The Trail Of The Saucer Spies](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [116]

Apr 21:

[E.T. There's No Place Like Dome](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [95]
[Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda - Koi](#) - Isaac Koi [41]
[Re: Milking That Cow - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [16]
[Re: Detlev Bronk - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [5]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [2]
[Re: Milking That Cow - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [5]
[What Do We Know About ETs?](#) - Irene Rome [26]
[UFO Technology Leaders](#) - Craig Beasley [9]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [43]
[Re: House Shaking UFOs? - Kimball](#) - Paul Kimball [107]
[UFO Believers - Parts I & II](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [176]
[Wake-Up Call On Alien Visits](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [102]
[Supreme Court Takes Up Insanity Defense](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [28]
[Magonia Blog](#) - John Harney [4]
[Secrecy News -- 04/20/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [144]
[Re: CUFOS In-Home Environment Detector Results? -](#) - Eleanor White [10]
[Re: House-Shaking UFOs? - Rimmer](#) - John Rimmer [20]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Harrison](#) - Diane Harrison [11]
[Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw? - Olmos](#) - Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos [11]

[Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [12]
[Re: Milking That Cow - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [8]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [22]
[Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [11]
[Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [11]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Smith](#) - James Smith [67]
[Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Rome](#) - Irene Rome [8]
[Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Rome](#) - Irene Rome [6]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [5]
[UFO Report](#) - Don Ledger [66]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [106]
[Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [7]
[Re: UFO Believers - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [10]

Apr 22:

[Whatever Happened To... UFOs?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [72]
[How UFOs Relate To The New World Order](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [161]
[Mysteries Of 'UFO Ranch' In Spotlight](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [124]
[Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Lehmberg](#) - Alfred Lehmberg [5]
[Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - White](#) - Eleanor White [14]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - White](#) - Eleanor White [3]
[Re: UFO Technology Leaders - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [6]
[Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [8]
[Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Rome](#) - Irene Rome [33]

Apr 23:

[Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw? - Scheldroup](#) - John Scheldroup [6]
[Re: UFO Technology Leaders - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [18]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [13]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [17]
[Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [3]
[Interview With Jacques Vallee](#) - Greg Taylor [14]
[Re: UFO Believers - Parts I & II - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [26]
[Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda - Koi](#) - Isaac Koi [20]
[Re: Detlev Bronk - Allan](#) - Christopher Allan [20]
[Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [10]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [8]
[Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [5]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [20]
[Re: Whatever Happened To... UFOs? - White](#) - Eleanor White [4]
[Re: Mysteries Of 'UFO Ranch' In Spotlight - White](#) - Eleanor White [34]
[Carbondale UFO?](#) - Rick Fisher [21]
[UFOs Not Dead Yet](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [89]
[Re: UFO Technology Leaders - Beasley](#) - Craig Beasley [2]
[Re: Whatever Happened To... UFOs? - Fleming](#) - Lan Fleming [10]
[A Wrong Turn In Roswell](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [70]
[Finnish Government UFO Videos](#) - Tapani Koivula [16]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [9]
[If A Roswell Incident Happened Today?](#) - Greg Boone [15]
[1940's UFO Mindset?](#) - Greg Boone [18]

Apr 24:

[Re: Detlev Bronk - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [10]
[Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [12]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [6]
[Re: Whatever Happened To... UFOs? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [2]
[Re: UFOs Not Dead Yet - Weber](#) - Bill Weber [18]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Smith](#) - James Smith [28]
[Fears Town Overrun By Aliens Teen Shoots Cop?](#) - Jason Gammon [10]
[Re: UFO Believers - Parts I & II - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [26]
[Re: UFO Technology Leaders - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [3]
[Re: 1940s UFO Mindset - Connors](#) - Wendy Connors [37]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [30]
[Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Yturria](#) - Santiago Yturria Garza [16]
[Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Rainey](#) - Carol Rainey [13]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [24]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - White](#) - Eleanor White [14]
[Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - White](#) - Eleanor White [7]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [20]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kritkauskay](#) - Rob Kritkauskay [40]
[PRG Update - April 23, 2006](#) - Stephen G. Bassett [86]
[Re: If A Roswell Incident Happened Today? -](#) - Peter B. Davenport [47]
[Re: If A Roswell Incident Happened Today? - Hale](#) - Roy Hale [3]
[UFO Devotees To Gather In Landers](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [77]
[Bridlington UK UFO Pictures](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [23]
[Examining The Alien Agenda](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [223]
[Phenomena Produce Theories But No Answers](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [186]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [31]
[Re: Fears Town Overrun By Aliens Teen Shoots Cop?](#) - Don Nellesen [16]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [3]
[SDI 389 22-04-06](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [15]
[Re: If A Roswell Incident Happened Today? - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [32]
[Re: UFO Technology Leaders - Beasley](#) - Craig Beasley [14]
[Re: UFOs Not Dead Yet - Fleming](#) - Lan Fleming [10]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [30]
[Re: UFO Technology Leaders - Beasley](#) - Craig Beasley [5]
[Re: Fears Town Overrun By Aliens Teen Shoots Cop?](#) - Stuart Miller [10]

Apr 25:

[Re: UFO Technology Leaders - Beasley](#) - Craig Beasley [4]
[Re: Fears Town Overrun By Aliens Teen Shoots Cop?](#) - Jason Gammon [3]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Smith](#) - James Smith [79]
[Re: UFOs Not Dead Yet - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [42]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Smith](#) - James Smith [131]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Smith](#) - James Smith [24]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [29]
[Re: UFO Believers - Parts I & II - Ledger](#) - Larry Hatch [13]
[Re: PRG Update - April 23, 2006 - Bassett](#) - Stephen G. Bassett [4]
[Paradigm Clock - 4-24-2006](#) - Stephen G. Bassett [105]
[Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Koivula](#) - Tapani Koivula [15]
[Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [7]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [5]

[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [5]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [25]
[Of Crop Circles & Comets](#) - Robert Gates [37]
[Progress In Physics](#) - Stephen Crothers [15]
[Oh The Hypocrisy - Science & UFOs](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [93]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [12]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [11]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [15]

Apr 26:

[Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Smith](#) - James Smith [10]
[Re: UFOs Not Dead Yet - Fleming](#) - Lan Fleming [24]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [20]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [7]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [12]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kritkauskay](#) - Rob Kritkauskay [45]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [9]
[Secrecy News - 04/25/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [213]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [30]
[Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa](#) - Jerome Clark [30]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [17]
[A Tribute To Dr. J. Allen Hynek 1910 - 1986](#) - Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo [76]
[Re: UFO Believers - Parts I & II - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [13]
[Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda - Frison](#) - Eugene Frison [39]
[Animal Mutilation In Puerto Rico](#) - Steve Wilson Snr. [92]
[Re: Of Crop Circles & Comets - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [10]
[PRG Press Release - 04-25-06 - Paradigm Clock](#) - Stephen Bassett [105]
[PRG Update - 04-23-06](#) - Stephen Bassett [86]
[Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - White](#) - Eleanor White [11]

Apr 27:

[British House Of Commons On Nick Pope](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [23]
[Phoenix Lights Did I Miss Something?](#) - Greg Boone [23]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Bourdais](#) - Gildas Bourdais [203]
[UFO Sightings Increase For HBCC UFO Research](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [87]
['UFO' Is Sighted Again](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [31]
[Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Koivula](#) - Tapani Koivula [18]
[Re: Tribute To Dr. J. Allen Hynek 1910 - 1986](#) - Bruce Maccabee [8]
[Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [18]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kritkauskay](#) - Rob Kritkauskay [11]
[Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [10]
[Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [13]
[Re: If A Roswell Incident Happened Today? -](#) - Rob Kritkauskay [22]
[E.T. True Believers To Descend On Desert](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [106]
[Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Gates](#) - Robert Gates [32]
[Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [151]
[Re: Phoenix Lights Did I Miss Something? - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [29]
[Something Strange Afoot at Tinker AFB](#) - Jason Gammon [37]
[Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [9]
[Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Smith](#) - James Smith [57]
[Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Smith](#) - James Smith [25]

[Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Beasley](#) - Craig Beasley [7]
[Secrecy News -- 04/27/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [180]
[Research Into Encounters & Telepathy?](#) - Irene Rome [3]
[Re: Tribute To Dr. J. Allen Hynek 1910 - 1986](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [14]
[NASA Clarifies Information On Comet Approach](#) - NASA News [32]
[Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Smith](#) - James Smith [10]
[Re: British House Of Commons On Nick Pope](#) - Joe McGonagle [14]
[Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [6]
[Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment](#) - Richard Hall [6]

Apr 28:

[Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - White](#) - Eleanor White [5]
[Re: E.T. True Believers To Descend On Desert](#) - Bob Shell [4]
[Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [3]
[Re: British House Of Commons On Nick Pope - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [3]
[What Was San Diego Mystery Boom?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [36]
[Re: Research Into Encounters & Telepathy? - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [81]
[Lawn Landing & City Flyovers \[was: Re: Phoenix](#) - Larry Hatch [12]
[Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [12]
[Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment](#) - Terry Groff [17]
[The Best Short UFO Report?](#) - Joe Faccenda [69]
[Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Koivula](#) - Tapani Koivula [20]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [4]
[Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment](#) - Rick Nielsen [4]
[More On UK 'UFO' Hacker Gary McKinnon](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [71]
[Re: E.T. True Believers To Descend On Desert](#) - Richard Hall [2]
[You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up](#) - Stuart Miller [51]
[Re: Lawn Landing & City Flyovers - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [5]
[Re: The Best Short UFO Report? - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [15]
[Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment](#) - Richard Hall [10]
[Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment](#) - Richard Hall [10]
[Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [9]

Apr 29:

[Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [8]
[Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [14]
[Re: Phoenix Lights Did I Miss Something? - Hamilton](#) - Bill Hamilton [8]
[Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [25]
[Secrecy News -- 04/28/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [135]
[Re: The Best Short UFO Report? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [54]
[Tripping The Lights Fantastic](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [51]
[Re: What Was San Diego Mystery Boom? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [24]
[Re: The Best Short UFO Report? - Sandow](#) - Greg Sandow [90]
[Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [32]
[Re: Research Into Encounters & Telepathy? -](#) Gary Matteson [14]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [38]
[Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [9]
[Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Hatch](#) - Larry Hatch [7]
[Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [27]
[Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment](#) - John Velez [61]
[UFO Convention At Strange Integratron Building](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [46]

[UFOs Are Back](#) - Nick Pope [67]

[Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [23]

[Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Allan](#) - Christopher Allan [29]

[Re: Research Into Encounters & Telepathy? -](#) - Ray Dickenson [12]

[Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [14]

[Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [12]

[Re: British House Of Commons On Nick Pope - Pope](#) - Nick Pope [15]

[Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [58]

[Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [46]

Apr 30:

[Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [16]

[Re: Bridlington UK UFO Pictures - Scheldroup](#) - John Scheldroup [3]

[A Short Story](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [23]

[Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [3]

[Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Harney](#) - John Harney [22]

[Re: Research Into Encounters & Telepathy? - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [17]

[Re: UFOs Are Back - Bueche](#) - Will Bueche [37]

[NUFORC Case Audio-Recordings 1974-77](#) - Wendy Connors [14]

The number enclosed in brackets is the number of lines of new text in the message, excluding the header, blank lines and quotes from previous messages.

[Previous Month](#)

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 1](#)

?

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 12:36:41 +0100 (BST)
Fwd Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 09:29:54 -0500
Subject: ?

I received this as an email on Thursday 30th. I replied to the sender seeking more clarification but my email bounced. I'm sure it's hoax and just the ramblings of a delusional mind. But... there are specifics in it, the alleged date is not far off, and there's just something about the writer's style that says to me that it's worth taking a chance of making a fool of myself by submitting it to this List. Nothing ventured etc. And if as is likely it is a load of twaddle, then enjoy it for what it is.

Next Friday, that is Friday, April 7, 2006, the President of the United States will make two public addresses to the world on the same day. Notice I say "World" and not "Nation". The first will be at 3 p.m. EST and the second will be at 8 p.m. EST.

At the time of writing this, there are only five people who are currently aware that the President will be making these speeches. His cabinet doesn't know, the White House doesn't know, the government doesn't know, the two Houses, the military, and the media don't know. As the week progresses, the number of informed people will gradually increase so that by late Thursday afternoon, there will be 15 people "in the know". However, only the original five will actually be aware of what the President will be speaking about. The rest will know he is speaking and that it will be important, but they will not know the subject content.

Although it is unlikely that any of you reading this will want to take this "challenge" up, as it will seem to you that I'm asking you to prove a double negative, nevertheless, if you are somebody that feels they ought to know or are able to know what the President's plans are for that Friday then by all means try and find out. The one thing that you will definitely be told is, "He certainly has no plans to make a TV address". In fact according to the President's diary, he is due to attend a meeting at the White House with the President of Austria. That by the way is classified information. He will not be attending that meeting.

The media will be informed of the President's intended broadcasts at 8 p.m. Eastern standard Time on Thursday April 6th. Their initial reaction of great anger at this sudden and unexpected intrusion into their highly profitable Friday night scheduling will quickly be replaced by an intense journalistic curiosity as questions about what the President is going to be speaking about go unanswered. This will inevitably lead to a strong bout of speculation which will gradually filter down across the nation so that by the time the President makes his first speech at 3 p.m. on the 7th, 98% of all the media outlets that could potentially carry the President's address will do so.

The first that the public will know about what will be happening will be in news reports commencing from 7:30 a.m. EST on the Friday. These news reports will be significant during the latter course of the day as they will be yet further confirmation that the President is in control and is aware of what's going to be happening.

The first address at 3 p.m. will last no more than 10 minutes. The President will warn the world about something that is going to happen in a Middle Eastern country later that day at 6 p.m. EST. Other than to vaguely indicate that the matter has something to do with "terrorism", he will not announce what the event will be. He will reassure people that his government is aware of what is going on but that it should be obvious from the nature of the event that neither the American government nor any other government in the world could possibly be responsible. He will further reassure people that what is going to happen will be "unquestionably good" but that at the same time people will also be deeply shocked because of the nature of the event. They should remain calm and be assured that nobody is in any danger whatsoever and that he is simply not informing them of what will be happening so as not to spoil the surprise. He will repeat more than once that the event will be "good" and that people should enjoy it. He will add that as a precaution, all international Stock Markets have been shut.

It will be worthwhile if only for amusement value to listen to or to watch media reports coming in from 6 p.m. EST onwards on that Friday. Just exactly how the media will report what will have happened will be of great interest. There is likely to be a large element of confusion.

The president will return at 8 p.m. as promised to discuss further what has happened and to put a little bit more detail to it. But before he does that, he will inform you about something else that happened the day before. This too will shock you and as the President will give virtually no detail as to how the event on Thursday was achieved, you will be asking yourselves almost immediately, "How?" In a general way, by the end of the broadcast you will have worked it out for yourselves. It will be obvious.

He will then turn his attention to that day's events. You should listen very carefully to everything he says and not just what he says but how he says it. I would advise that you should put aside any personal antagonism towards him that you may have. Although he will not be telling you very much in terms of background detail, he will be telling you virtually all he knows at that particular moment in time, and he will not be lying. He has already spent some time rehearsing what he will say and will continue to do so in the coming week. Every nuance, every raised eyebrow or inflection will be intended. How he conveys all this will be vital.

So what exactly is going to happen then? What is this fabulous event that is seemingly going to change the world? I cannot go into detail but I can tell you in very simple terms that it is what most of you here would welcome. There will be no landing, the grass on the White House lawn will remain undisturbed, and there will be no little creatures walking around. Nevertheless, the sheer strangeness of what will happen will leave absolutely no room for doubt whatsoever. It will be unequivocal.

Although I am pre warning you, I would nevertheless recommend that you try and prepare yourselves as much as possible. This is going to come at you from left field and however fertile an imagination you may have, you are likely to get ambushed with this. Each of you to some degree or another will have a personal battle with it even though you will be positively inclined towards it. Things will get rough almost immediately afterwards and will probably remain so for many months to come. By "rough" I mean that this event will sweep all other news events completely off the board and will be the sole topic of media and even personal discussion for ages afterwards. There are likely to be examples of disturbing behaviours on the parts of many and there is no question that things will change.

I repeat; there is no danger and no one is at risk. The event is to be enjoyed. And it is ironic. Of all that it could have been, of all the solutions that could have transpired to have been the reality, it turns out to be the most basic of all. Enjoy.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 1](#)

Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 06:05:24 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 09:36:22 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Shell

>From: Ed Gehrman <egerman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 10:33:52 -0800
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>>I stand willing and able to go back to work on this project and
>>act as a liaison with Kodak in doing the necessary testing and
>>measurements if Ray will agree to loan the necessary piece of
>>film. He could have one of his own people, or himself, bring it
>>to the USA, carry it to Kodak, and be present during the
>>testing. There would be no risk to the film itself. If Ray
>>thinks the film is real, he has everything to gain by agreeing
>>to this.

>This is a good idea. Have you contacted Ray?

More times than I care to remember. I spent endless hours trying to get Ray to cooperate in having the film tested. He would waffle back and forth, at times seeming to agree to do it and then backing off on the grounds that the film did not belong to him, but to Volker, and Volker would not agree.

I think we've been whipping a dead horse, Ed. Ray is not going to give me, or Kodak, any of the film for proper testing because he knows it won't pass the test.

I think Ray will soon reveal the actual origin of the film, and when he does it is not going to make you happy.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 1](#)

Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 06:11:17 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 09:37:52 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Shell

>From: John Kubish <Kubieskorner.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 16:11:40 EST
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>Having worked in TV production and first thing I noticed was
>that this "scratchy" old appearance looks to me as it was put on
>the video to make it "appear" older than it really is. This
>appearance is quite steady during the entire film, which would
>not happen to an original film. My opinion is that they placed
>this "old" appearance on it to give it the illusion of being
>old. It's done in TV and film production all the time.

>I was surprised that no one else ever picked up on this. But, I
>guess you would have to be familiar with adding effects to film
>and video.

Yes, the "old film" look is quite easily added to video. I have
a filter in Final Cut Pro Studio that does it quite
convincingly.

My belief that the AA originated on film rather than video has
to do with the light struck roll ends that can be seen on the
unedited AA. The "print through" that you get from one layer of
film on top of another on short rolls of 16mm film is very
characteristic, and not an effect easily duplicated on video.

When all of the details come out about the AA, whether real
or fake, I think it will come out that it was shot on 16mm film.

Bob

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 1](#)

Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet -

From: **Jeff Olson** <jlolson.nul>
Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 11:45:32 -0800
Fwd Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 10:44:33 -0500
Subject: Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet -

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>Date: Friday, March 31, 2006 10:38 AM
>Subject: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet

>Source: The Times - London, UK

><http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,6-2111848,00.html>

>March 31, 2006

>ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet
>Ben Macintyre

<snip>

Ben Macintyre must be looking at a different internet from the one I've been looking at. Otherwise, just the usual ignorant, pseudo-objective debunker's claptrap.

Jeff

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 1](#)

Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Connors

From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 14:32:29 -0700
Fwd Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 10:50:18 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Connors

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>,
>Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 21:01:40 -0500
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 15:57:12 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 09:54:51 -0800
>>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>>>>Instead, we
>>>>have a bunch of AA-cultists screaming that we sane people have to
>>>>prove it is fake.

>>>>Cultists? I don't think we qualify. Why do you think we do?

>>>>It's probably the secret handshake we use that makes him think
>>>>we're a cult, Ed. Or it might be our decoder rings. Or maybe it's
>>>>our skin tight superhero outfits with the big white AA on the chest
>>>>and the flowing purple cape.

>>>>Okay, I'll bite. Seeing the both of you in skin tight leotards,
>>>>wearing your secret decoder rings along with flowing purple
>>>>capes, would certainly be worth the price of admission. And to
>>>>think that Wendy was complaining, in another thread, that the
>>>>field has lost it's scientific objectivity. I'll bet she'd buy a
>>>>ticket.....

My position on the AA case is this. I've seen no evidence to dispel its authenticity, nor evidence to prove it conclusive. Thus, it is an honest research endeavor for those inclined to pursue it.

I visited the alleged crash site, as any researcher would do, and reported my findings. From that position and being physically on-site, I have seen enough to convince me only that the subject is a legitimate ufological research vehicle.

See:

<http://www.thewhyfiles.net/gehrman.htm>

Wendy Connors

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 1](#)

The 'True' Alien Agenda

From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi2.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 00:49:27 +0100
Fwd Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 10:53:42 -0500
Subject: The 'True' Alien Agenda

Below is an article by British researcher and conspiracy theorist Andy Miller, which I pass on without endorsing its contents.

Regards, Isaac Koi.

Aliens and the 57 Varieties : The True Alien Agenda
By Andy Miller.

Copyright 2006. [1]

There are thousands upon thousands of UFO reports each year. Most respectable UFO researchers accept that a majority of those reports have mundane sources. The precise numbers given differ from individual to individual, but most ufologists accept that between 70 per cent and 95 per cent of sightings are the result of misperception, delusion or hoaxes.

The total number of reports investigated since 1947 is overwhelming. Yet little persuasive evidence has resulted from those investigations. Even today, relatively few mainstream scientists accept that the residue of unexplained cases is due to anything more than ineptitude by the relevant amateur UFO investigators.

Thus, a different approach is called for.

If you wanted to prove the existence of gold, wouldn't you take a skeptic to a jewellers instead of trying to find a tiny nugget of gold within a mass of low-grade ore? Similarly, instead of starting with a mass of unscreened UFO reports, it would be more efficient and effective for ufo researchers to focus upon the individuals that have been privileged enough to have had first hand experience of dealing with relevant evidence as part of their work clandestine government organizations who keep official knowledge of the alien presence secret from the general public and elected political officials.

A number of such individuals have been brave enough to come forward in recent years. Such "whistleblowers" have, unfortunately, not been greeted with the respect that their bravery deserves. Instead, many of them have been dismissed as liars or crackpots.

One such individual is Sergeant First Class Clifford Stone. Sergeant Stone admits that he was covertly recruited into a UFO crash retrieval team due to his natural ability to interface with extraterrestrial biological entities (EBEs). He worked for that team from 1968-1990 whilst serving in the U.S. Army.

Details of his evidence can be found on the Exopolitics website at the link below:

<http://www.exopolitics.org/Exo-Comment-35.htm>

Sergeant First Class Clifford Stone participated in the

Disclosure Project's news conference held by Dr Steven Greer of the Centre for the Study of Extraterrestrial Intelligence in May 2001 at the National Press Club, Washington DC, with a panel of UFO witnesses and experts. The full video of that press conference can be viewed at:

<http://www.netro.ca/disclosure/npcmenu.htm>

Sergeant Stone also admits in 1979 he saw a "EBE Guidebook". The EBE Guidebook describes the physiological characteristics of 57 types of EBES and contained much information on each of group of aliens in terms of their physiology, food requirements and medical information.

Several prominent ufologists taken Clifford Stone reference to "57 varieties" of aliens as a reason to dismiss his evidence in its entirety. Esteemed members of the ufological community (including Bruce Maccabee and Larry Hatch) have jokingly noted the connection between this number and the Heinz advertising slogan "57 varieties" and not commented further on Clifford Stone's admissions.

However, the usually high standards of thought and investigation by these researchers have not been applied in relation to this connection. The matter is simply dismissed with a humorous remark. Those ufologists are either ignorant of relevant evidence, incapable of logical thought or are part of a massive disinformation campaign.

If even a modicum of research had been done, researchers would have found that the actual origins of "57 varieties" advertising slogan have been (prior to this article) a complete mystery. Faced with this fact, further investigation could have revealed the amazing truth (discussed below) years ago. I simply hope that this article does not come too late to save the human race.

In or before 1892[10], Henry Heinz personally came up with one of the most recognized slogans in advertising history: "57 Varieties". Very soon thereafter, signs bearing the words "57 Varieties" and a picture resembling a green pickle was everywhere Heinz "could find a place to stick it" [2, 4].

You might be forgiven for having presumed that the "57 Varieties" slogan merely reflected the actual number of varieties produced by H. J. Heinz. However, even in 1896, the actual number of varieties produced by H. J. Heinz already totalled over 60. (The "57 Varieties" slogan continues to be used even though by 1969, Heinz's product line included more than 1,100).

This fact (amongst several others relevant to this article) can easily be confirmed by visiting an interesting myth-busting website, Snopes.com [2]. A further myth-busting website (The Straight Dope) reveals further information relevant to our attempt to find the truth [3], including the following:

"The number 57 has mystical significance to the Heinz company, but it has never had much to do with reality. However, Heinz stuck with 57, for what his biographer describes as 'occult reasons'."

The relevant "occult reasons" are not stated in the article on The Straight Dope, nor on the many websites which quote that explanation.

Despite some knowledge of the occult and the Dark Arts, I am not aware of the number 57 having any occult significance nor (despite looking) have I found any suggestion that the number 57 does have any such significance.

It was not easy to investigate the true position further. The article on The Straight Dope failed to identify the relevant "biographer" that referred to the "occult reasons". However, during my years of research, I finally found the relevant claim in a biography of H J Heinz by Robert C Alberts ("The Good Provider: H J Heinz and his 57 Varieties", 1973). [4]

That biography simply states that HJ Heinz had more than 60 products at the time he first deployed the "57 Varieties" slogan, "but for occult reasons his mind kept returning to the number 57 and the phrase 57 Varieties" [4].

Again, no explanation of the relevant "occult reasons" is given.

Careful study of the complete biography by Robert Alberts does not indicate that Heinz had any interest in magick or the Dark Arts. Quite the opposite. There are numerous references in "The Good Provider" to Heinz's Christian beliefs and makes it clear that he was a good-fearing man, with absolutely no interest in the Dark Arts. For example, that biography:

(a) Details HJ Heinz's activity in "Sunday School work from the age of twelve - as a pupil, a teacher, a superintendent, a director of the county association, as president since 1906 of the Pennsylvania State Sabbath School Association (succeeding his friend John Wanamaker), as a member since 1902 of the executive committee of the International Sunday School Association, and as a member since 1904 of the executive committee of the World Sunday School Association" (at page 203, in Chapter 14).

(b) Gives an account of HJ Heinz's fervent advocate of Sunday Schools, and various lectures around the world to promote them (see, in particular, the second half of Chapter 14).

(c) Describes the Bible (an American Revised Edition) in HJ Heinz's office (at page 183);

(d) Mentions that HJ Heinz carried a Book of Psalms with him, which he annotated (at pages 203-204, in Chapter 14)

(e) Quotes from a prayer written by HJ Heinz ("A Call to Prayer", at page 225).

(f) Contains numerous quotes from HJ Heinz's journals which repeatedly refers to God.

So why was Heinz so obsessed with the phrase "57 Varieties"? Also, why was the "57 varieties" slogan used on a number of hideous advertising signs slogan in conjunction with huge green pickles?

Look at photographs of the "pickles" which featured on Heinz's advertising on Heinz's delivery. Do you think that the "pickle" used resembles the "cigar" shape commonly mentioned in UFO reports [5]? In particular, doesn't the "pickle" resemble the including the Chiles/Whitted incident in 1948[6]? Also, the colour green is common in UFO reports (e.g. the perplexing "green fireballs" investigating in the USA from 1948 onwards [7]).

If you look in sections of bookstores and libraries entitled "occult", in addition to material about the Dark Arts you will commonly find books about UFOs and aliens. Could the phrase "occult reasons" have been used, not in relation to the Dark Arts, but instead in a wider sense which embraces UFOs and their occupants?

Could Heinz, in fact, have known about the 57 varieties of aliens subsequently revealed to the public by Clifford Stone?

Could Heinz have been the first whistleblower of the cosmic Watergate?

Careful examination of precisely what Clifford Stone actually said supports the hypothesis that there is a link between Heinz and the aliens.

While Stone's remarks about 57 varieties have generally been laughingly dismissed due to the Heinz slogan, in fact Stone's own evidence mentioned Heinz.

The clandestine side of Stone's NBC group (Nuclear Biological Containment/Clean up) affectionately referred to the 57 different species of aliens as "The Heinz 57". See:
<http://www.rense.com/ufo6/terrorist.htm>

Dr Michael E Salla (a former Assistant Professor and current Researcher-in-Residence at American University, Washington DC) has suggested that the use of this phrase suggests that the authors of the EBE Guide had a sense of humour. See:
<http://tinyurl.com/mueku>

In making this comment, Michael Salla is failure to rigorously apply the principles of exopolitics. Rather than making the completely unwarranted assumption that the phrase was merely an attempt at humour, useful insights and questions are provoked by taking the words in the EBE Guide at face value. References to the aliens as "The Heinz 57" are a vital clue to the existence of a connection between Heinz and the 57 varieties of alien invaders.

Is there any evidence that suggests that Heinz was in fact aware of the 57 varieties of aliens or dealt with them?

To answer this question, it is necessary to briefly consider the history of the Heinz company. The success which Heinz enjoyed is, on its face, completely incredible. Heinz "built up the business with a rapidity seldom witnessed" (page 12 (in Chapter 2) of "The Good Provider"), as a result of which "in less (page 149 (in Chapter 11).

One of the keys to that success was a secret recipe which (according to one source) is "only known to FOUR people worldwide". [20] It is important to note the qualification as to the number of people that know the recipe. It is not simply claimed that only "four people" know the recipe. The word "worldwide" is added as a qualification, implying that other people not on this world know the recipe.

The relevant secrecy is discussed in the biography of HJ Heinz. "The Good Provider" contains comments (at page 215, in Chapter 15) from a bacteriologist, Herbert N Riley. "For protective coloration", Mr Riley was "entered on the books as an accountant" when he began work for Heinz in 1912. Riley is recorded as having said that "every operation was secret, and the man who possessed the secret guarded it jealously. Everything was in code and was locked in the safe". In the very same paragraph, Riley recalls that "In those days the moon was considered as having much to do with the success of food processing".

What possible connection could there have been between the moon and Heinz's success? Perhaps Riley had in fact picked up on a rumour about the existence between (a) Heinz's recipe and food production methods and (b) the aliens.

The possibility of alien involvement is arguably also indicated by a striking incident described by Heinz's biographer (at pages 153-155 of "The Good Provider"). In around 1905, Heinz decided he wanted to move his home 5 miles downriver. However, he was not willing to have his home torn down and reconstructed. Various house-moving companies told him that his brick house (unlike a frame house) could not moved by road (due to the nature of the terrain) or by river (since it might end up at the bottom of the river). However, within months his house was somehow moved intact to its new location.

The idea that certain companies have gained knowledge from aliens has been supported by the evidence of several whistleblowers. Most notably, in his 1997 book "The Day After Roswell", Colonel Philip Corso (ret) revealed his official activities involving the ET presence. He publicly revealed that he had led a top secret clandestine project to reverse engineer ET technology recovered from the Roswell crash in 1947. Corso admitted that the rapid technological advances over the last 50 years in various fields of research (including in fiber optics, integrated circuit chips and night-vision equipment) were a direct result of these clandestine projects.

It has been suggested that some of the details of the claims made by Corso do not tie in with the historical record. In particular, it has been pointed out that some of the developments referred to by Corso can be tracked back to dates prior to the alleged Roswell crash in 1947. But, as has been asked elsewhere, why would a Colonel have lied to the public? At the time he wrote his book he was already very old so there was little prospect of him benefiting financially. If Corso lied, given his military background, it must be suspected that any lies were told under orders from his superiors.

Everything begins to make sense when you contemplate a scenario in which alien technology was not introduced in 1947, but much

earlier. Heinz was aware of the number of alien races prior to 1900. The aliens may have been in contact with him, or Heinz may at that time have been part of a clandestine project to introduce alien technology to companies in the USA. It is noteworthy that stories of cases of Martian spacecraft were already in circulation in 1897, some fifty years before the alleged Roswell crash.

Roswell, and Corso's book, are part of a massive disinformation campaign which has succeeded in drawing the focus of UFO researchers to the wrong geographical areas and the wrong time period.

Once it is conceded that a possible link between Heinz and alien races is worth considering, it is surprisingly easy to find evidence of that link.

For example, Heinz's main production plant in England is its Heinz's 50-acre Kitt Green site near Wigan, which produces two thirds of all the company's UK-manufactured products [11]. Wigan is a District Authority in the county of Greater Manchester (part of the North West of England) [22]. Jenny Randles has commented that a triangle "between Leeds, Manchester, and Sheffield . has proved to undeniably to be Britain's most consistent hotspot" [21]. See also [16] and [17].

For details of many UFOs near Wigan on just one top UFO website (ufoinfo.com), see: <http://tinyurl.com/l8uqv>

Bernard Wrigley has even written a poem entitled "The Martians have landed in Wigan". See: <http://tinyurl.com/o2odl>

Is it simply coincidence that there have been many UFO sightings in the area near the Heinz factory?

Several (incredible) explanations have been offered for the number of sightings in North West England. Probably the most famous is the "earthlights" hypothesis advanced by researcher Paul Devereux. He has suggested that sightings are due to forces in the rocks in the faultlines in these areas. However, that theory has extremely limited scientific support, particularly in relation to sightings far above the ground. Also, virtually none of the people that purport to support Devereux's theory even mention the fact that (as part of his explanation of sightings by multiple witnesses of structured craft) Devereux contends that the Earthlights resulting from forces in the rock react to the thoughts of witnesses (by some sort of quite incredible and unexplained form of telepathy or telekinesis)[18]. It is noteworthy that Devereux's investigative team included northern researchers Andy Roberts and David Clarke [21], i.e. individuals that have been accused by Max Burns of being "less than honest", "members of a group placing disinformation into Ufology since the late 80s" and "not genuine Ufologists, even sceptical ones, but something else entirely" [19]. Is it possible that the absence of proof that Roberts and Clarke work for the intelligence services be due to the fact that they are employed by Heinz as part of its conspiracy?

A further possible explanation for sightings in the area has been advanced by Tim Matthews. Matthews has suggested (in his book "UFO Revelation" (1999)) that UFO reports may have resulted from the operation of advanced stealth and UAV projects by BAe, which has a facility at Warton in Lancashire [9]. However, such projects cannot account for all UFO reports. A significant number of UFO reports are made over heavily populated areas. (One of the most famous series of UFO sightings in the history of ufology occurred over Washington in July 1952). Obviously, no agency would test such projects by flying them over cities since (apart from the risk to the civilian population of prototypes malfunctioning) this would pose a serious risk to the secrecy of the project. It should be noted that Tim Matthews has also been accused of being part of a disinformation conspiracy (see the pamphlet "At War with the Universe") [8].

Furthermore, at this point would it surprise you if I said that several of Heinz's other main factories worldwide (including in the USA) are also hot-spots for UFO sightings?

Could the use of the slogan "57 Varieties" (particularly in conjunction with an image of a Flying Saucer) have been

attempting by Heinz to warn the public about an alien invasion?

If Heinz had been attempting to get members of the public to watch for flying saucers, he may have had a measure of success. Shortly after his advertising campaign began, people across the USA began reporting "airships" in the skies (before building airships were technically feasible) and sometimes mentioned "Martians" in relation to these "airships".

Any motive for the cooperation (whether voluntary or forced) between Heinz and the aliens must, given the degree of secrecy and amount of disinformation, involve a degree of speculation.

It is possible to envisage a friendly motive - perhaps the aliens wish to show that they can work in cooperation with us prior to revealing themselves openly. It is also possible to envisage a neutral motive - possibly the aliens have an arrangement to share in the profits made by Heinz and are simply doing business here. I fear, however, that the evidence points towards a hostile motive for the involvement of aliens in Heinz's business.

Consider the business conducted by Heinz and its effects.

Heinz is well known for its advertising slogan "Beanz Meanz Heinz", used for more than 22 years to advertise the product. Equally, it may be said that "Heinz meanz beanz". Heinz has heavily promoted the eating of baked beans, to the point that a supermarket website recently noted that "the British are just mad about baked beans. A staggering 1.5 million cans of them are eaten every day" [12]. Promotion of baked bean intake remain a consistent theme in advertising material generated on behalf of Heinz, which now refers to baked beans as "Nature's Super Food". Baked beans were originally marketed by Heinz on the basis of their taste (see the discussion above of the source of Heinz's "secret recipe"). Now, however, Heinz has cleverly changed to promoting its baked beans as representing a low fat, high fibre food, packed with nutritional value.

Heinz has been careful to avoid mention of one of the well known physiological effects of eating baked beans: an increase in flatulence.

Beans are naturally sweetened with a family of sugars called oligosaccharides, which are stored in large amounts in the outer coatings of legumes. These sugars are big, clumsy molecules -- too big to slip into your body through the lining of the small intestine. These complex sugars pass unmolested into the large intestine, where bacteria gulp in the big sugars and let out gas. This causes flatulence.

I am well aware of the social taboos regarding discussion of flatulence. As with other aspects of the UFO phenomenon, it is common for the "giggle factor" to get in the way of proper research and serious discussion. However, flatulence is not a laughing matter. Flatulence results in the emission of methane. Methane is a greenhouse gas.

In fact, methane is a large contributor to global warming, second only to carbon dioxide. Its atmospheric concentration is 1.7 ppmv, much less than carbon dioxide; but each molecule of methane is twenty to sixty times more effective than each molecule of carbon dioxide at trapping infrared radiation (Mackenzie and Mackenzie, 1995) [13].

Emissions of methane have grown dramatically. Methane emissions from what is coyly referred to by researchers as "human-related activities" now represent about 70% of total emissions, as opposed to less than 10% some 200 years ago [13].

The contribution made to global warming by the flatulence of cows is a relatively frequent topic of discussion amongst environmentalists, as can be seen merely by doing a Google search. For example, The Straight Dope website discusses a story in the Washington Post headlined "Feed, Animal Flatulence and Atmosphere". The Washington Post article described the work of one Donald Johnson, an animal-nutrition specialist at Colorado State University, who has been studying cow flatulence. According to the story, animal flatulence "contributes in a large way to the potentially catastrophic warming of the globe, the 'greenhouse effect.'" While attacking some details in that

story, the Straight Dope website does acknowledge that "animal methane does present a definite threat to the biota" [14]. See also an article by Seranne Howis entitled "Cow flatulence: a significant contributor to global warming or just a load of hot air?" [15].

Perhaps surprisingly, a NASA webpage is among those that notes that while most greenhouse gas studies focus on carbon dioxide, methane is 20 times more potent as a heat-trapping gas in the atmosphere. The same webpage also notes that "in the last 200 years, atmospheric methane has more than doubled" and expressly mentions flatulence as one of the factors that has caused this doubling. See:

<http://www.giss.nasa.gov/research/news/20011210/>

Flatulence as a source of methane contributing to global warming is also mentioned on a further NASA webpage. See:

<http://www.giss.nasa.gov/research/features/methane/>

One could ask why NASA is involved in such research. Perhaps this is a hint that in fact the Government of the USA is aware of the link between space aliens and this source of methane. It is not possible to tell whether the Government is in league with Heinz or seeking to battle it. The willingness of NASA to give such information to the public on one of its websites may indicate that it is not part of the conspiracy.

Why, then, does the subject of human flatulence not appear to arise in discussion about global warming? Is such discussion being silenced? Is it possible that such silence is being imposed by the same entities which have been behind the huge growth in the popularity of baked beans, which can be linked to Heinz?

Also, why would aliens want to cause global warming? Global warming has two basic effects: (a) Rising temperatures and (b) rising sea levels (due to thermal expansion of the water and melting of continental glaciers). Either of these results could be the objective of the aliens.

The basic idea that global warming is caused by aliens has previously been advanced in a movie entitled "The Arrival" (1996) hosted by Charlie Sheen. "The Arrival" featured aliens building power plants which released greenhouse gases to heat up the atmosphere to a temperature preferred by the aliens. The fact that the aliens may indeed actually prefer a higher temperature can be inferred from the fact that there are fewer sightings per square mile in Antarctica than in warmer regions such as the USA, Europe and Brazil. This could be said to imply that UFOs prefer warmer climates.

However, despite a huge budget, many of the details put forward in "The Arrival" were inherently implausible and do not stand up to rigorous examination. For example, why would aliens bother expending resources on a project which did not generate any financial return, when they could easily use their more advanced technology to design a product that they could sell to humans (thus generating money which could be used to obtain any desired resources, hire humans to do work, or obtain political influence on Earth) which would also achieve their own true agenda of raising the Earth's temperature.

Perhaps the errors in "The Arrival" were deliberate, as part of the disinformation campaign intended to discourage researchers from pursuing the basic idea and finding out about the link between Heinz and the alien invasion.

Alternatively, possibly the motive advanced in "The Arrival" is mistaken. Global warming will result in sea level rising. Perhaps the aliens want more of the Earth's surface to be covered in water. Links between water and UFOs have been advanced by several researchers, some of whom have suggested that aliens may be building a civilization under Earth's oceans.

So, what should be done? Obviously, more research is necessary. If you are prepared to avoid jumping to conclusions and are prepared to do the necessary careful research, you may be surprised to find that the facts suggests that this theory is not as incredible as you might think. This thinking must be done and soon.

Acting on the precautionary principle, however, the human race should stop eating baked beans. You are urged to call for a global ban on baked beans. At the very least, we should call for a change in baked bean production to reduce flatulence. Colin Leakey, the Cambridgeshire based biologist and world-renowned bean expert has referred to the "Prim Bean", which is said to produce 50% less digestive gas than commercial baked beans.

Perhaps Hynek's infamous statement in March 1966 put things in reverse as a coded warning. Instead of the obviously ridiculous suggestion that "swamp gas" (i.e. methane) is a cause of UFOs, perhaps Hynek was trying to get us to consider a link between UFOs and methane (i.e. that UFOs are a cause of methane).

We cannot allow the aliens to get humanity to gas itself to death.

Andy Miller

[1] Copyright 2006. To enable international enforcement of my copyright in my real name, I hereby declare that I have registered my pseudonym ("Andy Miller") with the Intellectual Property Authority in the United Kingdom (Registration No. 2006F040L) and that the date of first publication of this article is certified as April 1, 2006.

[2] See:
<http://www.snopes.com/business/hidden/heinz57.asp>

[3] See:
http://www.straightdope.com/classics/a1_195

[4] On page 130 of the Houghton Mifflin Company hardback edition of "The Good Provider: H J Heinz and his 57 Varieties" (1973), by Robert C Alberts.

[5] For discussion of cigar shaped UFOs see:
<http://www.uforth.com/cigar.htm>

[6] For discussion of the Chiles / Whitted (including illustrations), see:
<http://ufocasebook.com/Chiles.htmlc>

[7] See:
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Green_Fireballs

[8] For a summary of "At War With The Universe", see:
<http://tinyurl.com/s6t7e>

[9] See Tim Matthew's "UFO Revelation". For a summary of his views, see also:
<http://tinyurl.com/p67xe>

[10] See "The Good Provider" at page 130 (in Chapter 9) of the Houghton Mifflin Company hardback edition. See also page 274 (in the Notes and Sources) of the Good Provider, which notes that "A later date for [the development of the slogan] is commonly given, but I have found the slogan used in Heinz advertisements as early as 1892". I note in passing that the Heinz website appears to be trying to rewrite history, by suggesting that the slogan was coined in 1896 - see:

<http://www.heinz.com/jsp/milestones.jsp>

[11] Kitt Green, Wigan, Lancashire, England, WN5 0JL. Telephone 01942 214 057. See:

<http://www.issworld.co.uk/view.asp?ID=1044>
<http://www.touchwigan.com/business/list/bid/1893932>

[12] See:
<http://tinyurl.com/k56ot>

[13] See:
<http://members.aol.com/profchm/gina.html>

[14] See:
http://www.straightdope.com/classics/a4_176.html

[15] See:

<http://www.rucus.ru.ac.za/~wolfman/Essays/Cow.html>

[16] See also the discussion of sightings in this area by Jenny Randles her "Something in the Air" (1998) at pages 148 (in Chapter 11), 195, 197-198 (in Chapter 13) of the Hale hardback edition and in her "Star Children" (1995) at pages 35-37, 38, 40-41, 48 (in Chapter 3) of the Sterling softcover edition.

[17] See also the discussion by Jenny Randles and Peter Hough of a transmission apparently from "outer space" which was received in this area : "Looking for the Aliens" (1991) at pages 126-127 (in Chapter 15) of the Blandford softcover edition.

[18] See Paul Devereux's "Earth Lights" (1982) and his "Earth Lights Revelation" (1989)

[19] See:

<http://tinyurl.com/mp1lr>

[20] See:

<http://tinyurl.com/hqm3q>

[21] Jenny Randles in her "UFOs and How to See Them" (1992) at page 106 (in Chapter 4) of the Brockhampton Press hardback edition.

[22] See:

<http://tinyurl.com/m63ky>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 1](#)

More On 'Ultraterrestrials'

From: **Mac Tonnies** <macbot.nul>
Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 20:27:24 -0800 (PST)
Fwd Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 10:56:56 -0500
Subject: More On 'Ultraterrestrials'

List,

Here's my latest on the Ultraterrestrial Hypothesis:

<http://tinyurl.com/n3eqz>

Forget the idea of "other dimensions" for a moment. Perhaps Jacques Vallee's proposed "psychic thermostat," while a well-intentioned attempt to reconcile UFO observations with their psychosocial effects, isn't needed to encompass the weirdness of alien visitation. Forget, also, the idea that aliens are necessarily from space. (Sure, some might be, but I'll deal with them another time.)

Instead, let's assume for adventure's sake that we're sharing the planet with a flesh-and-blood offshoot of the human species. As I've tried to demonstrate, the prospect isn't as absurd as it initially seems; indeed, I expect it will seem much less so when we've learned more about our world and our relatively brief tenure here. (It bears mention that eminent primatologist Jane Goodall has defended the scientific search for "Bigfoot," a cryptohominid commonly described as enormous. Assuming a gigantic and purportedly foul-smelling primate can successfully lay low, it may be substantially easier for an intelligent technical society, with a tested capacity for stealth and a full repertoire of disinformation tricks, to dodge our radar.)

Astrophysicists discern black holes - the invisible corpses of collapsed stars - by detecting their gravitational influence on neighboring phenomena. Similarly, the search for extrasolar life hinges on the belief that technological civilizations - regardless how advanced - will necessarily betray their existence via electromagnetic emissions. Freeman Dyson, for instance, has suggested hunting for alien megascale engineering by looking for its distinctive energetic signature.

We can apply the same basic principles to the search for nonhuman intelligences here on Earth. If some UFOs are indeed the work of an indigenous race, we ought to be able to detect the inevitable "signature" it's imprinted on the planet. This confirming evidence can take many forms: anomalous fossils, genetic traces, "mystery" transmissions, and even inexplicable artifacts.

Our technology-driven world is effectively shrinking at a pace that threatens to obliterate remaining wilderness areas. At the same time, we continue to map the continents and oceans (not to mention the surfaces of other planets) with ever-improving instruments. It stands to reason that the "Ultraterrestrial Hypothesis" is testable. In other words, no matter how addicted to seclusion, a parallel society will eventually betray its existence.

But maybe they don't want to be found. Maybe they'd prefer to observe from the balcony, unseen and unsuspected, while we go about our blundering affairs onstage. If so, then they've almost certainly noticed the hazard we pose to their maintained stealth. And while they might be our technological superiors, one couldn't blame them for being at least a little

concerned.

Whitley Strieber has remarked that his "visitors," the subject of the best-selling "Communion" and subsequent books that delve into the ufological, accomplish their agenda largely through stealth and cunning; their technology, as enviable as it may be, is secondary. Strieber attributes the fall-back in his encounters with nonhumans to the fact that he no longer resides in his isolated New York cabin, but in the busy community of San Antonio. Apparently the "visitors" (whoever they are) are daunted by the ubiquity of modern civilization, able to exist among us for only limited periods - and even then assisted by considerable disguise and technical savvy.

In many ways, this would be an appalling predicament for our hypothetical ultraterrestrials. For most of human history they would have enjoyed unimpeded dominance. Humans, without a global media infrastructure, would have been easier to fool (and perhaps to exploit) than we are now. (Or do I err on the side of overconfidence?)

In almost any event, the "others" would have been compelled to misdirect us in order to maintain cultural coherence. I suspect that the prevailing notion that they hail from outer space originates from an overarching disinformation campaign with roots that predate humanity as we know it. For millennia, we've interpreted them according to the disguises they adopt, each tailored to mesh with the given paradigm. Even a cursory overview of world folklore indicates that this ability is extraordinarily well-honed; it may be their most zealously guarded secret.

However, I suggest that our abrupt transformation into a global, intricately networked society poses a grave challenge to what has traditionally been a routine effort. We may be on the threshold of some oblique form of contact; alternatively, this contact may have begun in modern times, marked by the emergence of the contemporary UFO phenomenon and the equally alarming epidemic of so-called "alien abductions."

Jacques Vallee has remarked, somewhat famously, about the possible futility of trying to look behind the curtain; what might we be confronted with? Given the opportunity, could we even comprehend what we're seeing?

Like the origin of the "aliens" themselves, this sense of existential humility may prove to be a clever construct designed to limit our perceptions.

Mac's website: <http://www.mactonnies.com>

Mac blogs at: <http://posthumanblues.blogspot.com>

Buy "After the Martian Apocalypse" from Amazon!
<http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/tg/detail/-/074348293X>

cell: (816) 352-2463

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 1](#)

April Edition Of Exopolitics Journal

From: Michael Salla <exopolitics.nul>
Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 21:36:39 -1000
Fwd Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 10:59:09 -0500
Subject: April Edition Of Exopolitics Journal

Aloha all, I'm pleased to announce that the April edition of the Exopolitics Journal is now available online at:

www.exopoliticsjournal.com

The journal begins with three research articles. The first is by Eric Julien who discusses whether humanity constitutes a threat to extraterrestrial civilizations by virtue of the effects of nuclear weapons testing. He discusses the research of a number of French UFO researchers in terms of the correlation between UFO sightings and nuclear testing. He also studies the correlation between UFO crash/retrievals and nuclear testing. Eric Julien turns the common view that visiting extraterrestrials pose a security threat to humanity on its head, and discusses in an insightful manner the true motivation for why extraterrestrial civilizations are visiting humanity.

The second research article is by Dr James Deardorff who provides a quantitative framework for assessing the genuineness of 'contactee testimonies'. Modifying a framework introduced by Robert Wood in Majic Eyes Only for evaluating testimonies concerning UFO crash/retrievals, Dr Deardorff provides a means for arriving at a probability value for contactee 'genuineness' based on various categories of available evidence. Dr Deardorff's paper provide a rigorous quantitative framework for assessing contactee claims and will be welcomed by those wanting to more seriously consider the claims by a number of contactees with substantial evidence to support their claims.

The third research article is the second part of an article in the previous edition of the Journal that analyses Col Corso and his critics. The article identifies a further six key criticisms made against Corso and systematically goes through each to determine their validity. The article also assesses the motivations of Corso's critics by again distinguishing between objective criticism and debunking. My conclusion provides some recommendations for steps to take in considering the Corso case and the criticisms raised by three veteran UFO researchers.

The Journal also contains two interviews. The first is an interview of Command Sgt Major Robert Dean who emerged in 1991 with claims that he witnessed a Cosmic Top Secret NATO study called The Assessment. His claims generated much interest in the UFO community and led to criticism on the basis of alleged inconsistencies in his testimony. In this interview, Robert Dean responds to recent criticisms by clarifying exactly what occurred when he worked at NATO Supreme Headquarters Operations Center. In my view, this article sets the record straight by removing some erroneous perceptions about Robert Dean and the Assessment, and justifies renewed consideration of his claims.

The second is an interview of the former Canadian Minister for Defense, the Hon Paul Hellyer, by Paola Harris, M.Ed., where Paul Hellyer discusses his recent emergence into UFO arena and why he believes that a government cover-up exists concerning UFOs and visiting extraterrestrials. In particular, he focuses on key policy questions concerning the designation of extraterrestrials as 'enemy aliens', and why citizens should be concerned about this and recent US steps towards weaponizing

space.

There is finally a book review of Bill Hamilton's, Project Aquarius. In this book, he provides a detailed analysis of the evidence supporting a whistleblower whose case has recently led to much controversy, 'Dr Dan Burisch'. Bill Hamilton gives a coherent account of the main elements in the Burisch case, and provides sufficient evidence to suggest that it has much merit. The Burisch case is a fascinating account of an alleged whistleblower's experiences in the secure S-4 facility, and Bill Hamilton succeeds in making a cogent argument for why the Burisch case should be taken seriously by those seeking to understand the true agendas behind covert projects involving extraterrestrial biological entities.

If you haven't yet had a chance to peruse the Exopolitics Journal, the current and the first two editions are available in the archive page of the website at:

<http://www.exopoliticsinstitute.org/Journal-Archive.htm>

Finally, the sponsor of the Journal is the Exopolitics Institute and I invite you to support both the Institute and the Journal in any of the ways listed on the support page for the journal at:

<http://www.exopoliticsinstitute.org/Journal-support.htm>

In peace,

Michael Salla

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 1](#)

'Sudden External Impact' Cripples Russian Satellite

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 11:03:12 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 11:03:12 -0500
Subject: 'Sudden External Impact' Cripples Russian Satellite

Source: Space.Com - New York, NY, USA

http://www.space.com/missionlaunches/060330_am11_satfail.html

30 March 2006

'Sudden External Impact' Cripples Russian Satellite
By Peter de Selding
Space News Staff Writer

PARIS =96 A Russian telecommunications satellite in geostationary orbit failed March 29 following what its builder says was a "sudden external impact" of undetermined origin. The satellite, Express-AM11, is being moved into a graveyard orbit before on-board temperatures render it uncontrollable, the Russian Satellite Communications Co. (RSCC) announced March 30.

RSCC-92s Express-AM11 telecommunications spacecraft, launched in April 2004, is stationed at 96.5 degrees east longitude. The satellite, built by prime contractor NPO PM of Krasnoyarsk, Russia, with the electronics payload provided by Alcatel Alenia Space of France and Italy, carries 26 C-band and four Ku-band transponders. It was designed to operate for 12 years.

RSCC said communications traffic that has Russian government priority has been transferred to other RSCC spacecraft located at 80 degrees, 103 degrees and 140 degrees east longitude. The company said most commercial traffic also has been transferred to other spacecraft.

The failure occurred suddenly at 3:41 a.m. Moscow time March 29, RSCC said in its statement.

"According to the preliminary finding of NPO PM... the telemetry information shows that due to a sudden external impact, an instantaneous depressurization of the thermal control system fluid circuit happened, followed by a sudden outburst of the heat-carrying agent. This resulted in... spacecraft orientation loss and rotation."

One industry official said an external agent such as a micro-meteorite could have caused a sudden rupture of the satellite=92s thermal-control piping, which in a severe case could result in a loss of spacecraft control. But this official also said NPO PM could conclude that an external factor was the cause in the absence of any other explanation, and the absence of earlier signs of trouble on the spacecraft. While this conclusion would be reasonable, this official said it would not eliminate the possibility that a soldered thermal-control circuit broke for other reasons.

RSCC spokeswoman Elena Polischuk said March 30 that the rupture of the thermal-control lines means the satellite=92s temperatures cannot be controlled, and that it must be moved soon to avoid becoming a menace to the geostationary arc at 36,000 kilometers

in altitude. RSCC ground teams currently have the satellite under control, Polischuk said.

"In order to prevent fatal consequences associated with space garbage formation... which would result in the impossibility of using this orbital slot in future... measures aimed at removing Express-AM11 from 96.5 degrees east into a disposal orbit have been taken," RSCC said.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 1](#)

Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Bourdais

From: Gildas Bourdais <gbourdais.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 16:58:09 +0200
Fwd Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 11:06:41 -0500
Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film - Bourdais

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 06:11:17 -0500
>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>>From: John Kubish <Kubieskorner.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 16:11:40 EST
>>Subject: Re: Santilli & The AA Film

>>>Having worked in TV production and first thing I noticed was
>>that this "scratchy" old appearance looks to me as it was put on
>>the video to make it "appear" older than it really is. This
>>appearance is quite steady during the entire film, which would
>>not happen to an original film. My opinion is that they placed
>>this "old" appearance on it to give it the illusion of being
>>old. It's done in TV and film production all the time.

>>I was surprised that no one else ever picked up on this. But, I
>>guess you would have to be familiar with adding effects to film
>>and video.

>Yes, the "old film" look is quite easily added to video. I have
>a filter in Final Cut Pro Studio that does it quite
>convincingly.

>My belief that the AA originated on film rather than video has
>to do with the light struck roll ends that can be seen on the
>unedited AA. The "print through" that you get from one layer of
>film on top of another on short rolls of 16mm film is very
>characteristic, and not an effect easily duplicated on video.

>When all of the details come out about the AA, whether real
>or fake, I think it will come out that it was shot on 16mm
>film.

Bob,

Yes, I noticed that typical effect at the first, unedited
screening in London, on May 5.

I am familiar with it, having shot about one hundred 100 feet
rolls of negative 16 mm film, back in 1968-1970, in New York.

A friend of mine knows a specialist who had the opportunity to
study the copy sent in France for the TF1 program.

He thought that, yes it had first been shot on film, but then
transferred on a numerical format and modified, especially the
body.

He thought that this would have been done, plausibly, during the
80's, when the USAF already had equipment to do that.

Would you agree with that?

Gildas

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 1](#)

Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet -

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 08:20:38 -0800
Fwd Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 11:49:12 -0500
Subject: Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet -

>From: Jeff Olson <jlolson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 11:45:32 -0800
>Subject: Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>>Date: Friday, March 31, 2006 10:38 AM
>>Subject: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet

>>Source: The Times - London, UK
>><http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,6-2111848,00.html>
>>March 31, 2006

>>ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet
>>Ben Macintyre

>Ben Macintyre must be looking at a different internet from the
>one I've been looking at. Otherwise, just the usual ignorant,
>pseudo-objective debunker's claptrap. - Jeff

Hello Jeff.

I've seen this stuff too many times to count.

There are well known writers on these forums.
They must be asking themselves questions like:

- a) Who is this guy?
- b) Did he get paid for this? How much?
- c) Who paid him and why?

If/when he actually says something, I'll check back.

Best wishes

- Larry Hatch

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 1](#)

Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet -

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 12:27:15 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 11:50:54 -0500
Subject: Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet -

>From: Jeff Olson <jlolson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 11:45:32 -0800
>Subject: Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>>Date: Friday, March 31, 2006 10:38 AM
>>Subject: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet

>>Source: The Times - London, UK

>><http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,6-2111848,00.html>

>>March 31, 2006

>>ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet
>>Ben Macintyre

Macintyre must get his information from the back of cereal boxes. On most any other topic he would have been required to check his his facts or at least provide some.

There seems to be a daily supply of this twaddle from the UK media.

Don

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 1](#)

Re: ? - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 06:20:17 -0800
Fwd Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 12:05:42 -0500
Subject: Re: ? - Hatch

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 12:36:41 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: ?

>I received this as an email on Thursday 30th. I replied to the
>sender seeking more clarification but my email bounced. I'm sure
>it's hoax and just the ramblings of a delusional mind. But...
>there are specifics in it, the alleged date is not far off, and
>there's just something about the writer's style that says to me
>that it's worth taking a chance of making a fool of myself by
>submitting it to this List. Nothing ventured etc. And if as is
>likely it is a load of twaddle, then enjoy it for what it is.

> -----

>Next Friday, that is Friday, April 7, 2006, the President of
the United States will make two public addresses to the world on
the same day. Notice I say "World" and not "Nation". The first
will be at 3 p.m. EST and the second will be at 8 p.m. EST.

<snip>

Bush will pronounce himself Emperor of the World and appoint
Arnold Schwarzenegger (formerly of Austria) as Prime Minister.

- Larry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 1](#)

Re: ? - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 09:25:13 EST
Fwd Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 12:07:18 -0500
Subject: Re: ? - Gammon

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 12:36:41 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: ?

>I received this as an email on Thursday 30th. I replied to the
>sender seeking more clarification but my email bounced. I=E2=80=99m sure
>it=E2=80=99s hoax and just the ramblings of a delusional mind. But...
>there are specifics in it, the alleged date is not far off, and
>there=E2=80=99s just something about the writer=E2=80=99s style that says=
to me
>that it=E2=80=99s worth taking a chance of making a fool of myself by
>submitting it to this List. Nothing ventured etc. And if as is
>likely it is a load of twaddle, then enjoy it for what it is.

>-----

April Fool!!!!!!

But seriously, the weird thing is that I dreamt of this the
other night, and yes, in my dream it took place on 7 April.

-Jason

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 1](#)

Re: ? - Weber

From: **Bill Weber** <wweber1.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 10:04:05 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 12:09:17 -0500
Subject: Re: ? - Weber

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 12:36:41 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: ?

>And if as is likely it is a load of twaddle, then enjoy it for
>what it is.

Hi, Stuart,

I vote for twaddle - but if not, we'll need an immediate translation of the address. I certainly hope that out of the five who already know the subject of the announcement, one is his regular speech writer, the teleprompter is functioning, and that the preznit isn't allowed to 'wing it' town meeting style.

Can you imagine something like a longer version of his now famous explanation of social security - but on a cosmic scale?

This should be important to us since we may share a "peeance, freeance" universe with an extraterrestrial presence.

Best,

Bill

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 1](#)

Re: ? - Briggs

From: Michael J Briggs <mbriggs.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 09:15:44 -0600
Fwd Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 12:12:22 -0500
Subject: Re: ? - Briggs

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 12:36:41 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: ?

>I received this as an email on Thursday 30th. I replied to the
>sender seeking more clarification but my email bounced. I'm sure
>it's hoax and just the ramblings of a delusional mind. But...
>there are specifics in it, the alleged date is not far off, and
>there's just something about the writer's style that says to me
>that it's worth taking a chance of making a fool of myself by
>submitting it to this List. Nothing ventured etc. And if as is
>likely it is a load of twaddle, then enjoy it for what it is.

When Stuart says "worth taking a chance of making a fool of
myself," I believe he means 'making an April Fool of himself.'

MB

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 1](#)

Re: ? - Scheldroup

From: John Scheldroup <jschel.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 10:13:59 -0600
Fwd Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 12:14:21 -0500
Subject: Re: ? - Scheldroup

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 12:36:41 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: ?

>I received this as an email on Thursday 30th. I replied to the
>sender seeking more clarification but my email bounced. I'm sure
>it's hoax and just the ramblings of a delusional mind. But...
>there are specifics in it, the alleged date is not far off, and
>there's just something about the writer's style that says to me
>that it's worth taking a chance of making a fool of myself by
>submitting it to this List. Nothing ventured etc. And if as is
>likely it is a load of twaddle, then enjoy it for what it is.

>-----

>Next Friday, that is Friday, April 7, 2006, In fact
>according to the President's diary, he is due to attend a
>meeting at the White House with the President of Austria.

Dr. Heinz Fischer Appointments:

http://www.hofburg.at/show_content.php?sid=27&language=en

April 6, 2006

Empfang von Mitgliedern der griechisch-orthodoxen Kirche der
USA, begleitet von Metropolit Michael Staikos 06.04.2006

Receipt of members of the Greek-orthodox Church of the USA,
accompanied by Metropolitan Michael Staikos 06/04/2006

John

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 1](#)

Re: ? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 12:19:27 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 12:15:57 -0500
Subject: Re: ? - Ledger

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 12:36:41 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: ?

>I received this as an email on Thursday 30th. I replied to the
>sender seeking more clarification but my email bounced. I'm sure
>it's hoax and just the ramblings of a delusional mind. But...
>there are specifics in it, the alleged date is not far off, and
>there's just something about the writer's style that says to me
>that it's worth taking a chance of making a fool of myself by
>submitting it to this List. Nothing ventured etc. And if as is
>likely it is a load of twaddle, then enjoy it for what it is.

>-----

Nice try Stuart. What is the date again? Oh, yeah. April 1.

Don

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 1](#)

Re: ? - Burns

From: Max Burns <max.burns.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 18:12:51 +0100
Fwd Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 12:20:49 -0500
Subject: Re: ? - Burns

>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 06:20:17 -0800
>Subject: Re: ?

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 12:36:41 +0100 (BST)
>>Subject: ?

>>I received this as an email on Thursday 30th. I replied to the
>>sender seeking more clarification but my email bounced. I'm sure
>>it's hoax and just the ramblings of a delusional mind. But...
>>there are specifics in it, the alleged date is not far off, and
>>there's just something about the writer's style that says to me
>>that it's worth taking a chance of making a fool of myself by
>>submitting it to this List. Nothing ventured etc. And if as is
>>likely it is a load of twaddle, then enjoy it for what it is.

>> -----

>>Next Friday, that is Friday, April 7, 2006, the President of
>>the United States will make two public addresses to the world on
>>the same day. Notice I say "World" and not "Nation". The first
>>will be at 3 p.m. EST and the second will be at 8 p.m. EST.

<snip>

>Bush will pronounce himself Emperor of the World and appoint
>Arnold Schwarzenegger (formerly of Austria) as Prime Minister.

Dear all,

Maybe Bush has had an anus transplant and the anus has rejected
him. I am about to approach the bookies in the UK to see if I
can get good odds on Arnold to be US president.

Larry your sentiment is not lost across the pond, let those who
have eyes see.

Perhaps the ET visitors have abandoned plans for colonisation
After they tested bush's IQ, and honesty

Happy April 1st all

Max

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 2](#)

Re: ? - Furlotte

From: David Furlotte <davidfurlotte.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 12:25:28 -0500
Fwd Date: Sun, 02 Apr 2006 09:52:52 -0400
Subject: Re: ? - Furlotte

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 12:36:41 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: ?

<snip>

>He will then turn his attention to that day's events. You should
>listen very carefully to everything he says and not just what he
>says but how he says it. I would advise that you should put
>aside any personal antagonism towards him that you may have.
>Although he will not be telling you very much in terms of
>background detail, he will be telling you virtually all he knows
>at that particular moment in time, and he will not be lying. He
>has already spent some time rehearsing what he will say and will
>continue to do so in the coming week. Every nuance, every raised
>eyebrow or inflection will be intended. How he conveys all this
>will be vital.

I love your writing style, Stuart. One thing though, telling us
to listen to how somebody says something before they say it is
a dead giveaway. Happy April Fool's Day to you too.

Dave (Furry) Furlotte

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 2](#)

Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet -

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 09:37:59 -0800
Fwd Date: Sun, 02 Apr 2006 09:54:44 -0400
Subject: Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet -

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 12:27:15 -0400
>Subject: Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet

>>From: Jeff Olson <jlolson.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 11:45:32 -0800
>>Subject: Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet

>>>Source: The Times - London, UK
>>><http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,6-2111848,00.html>
>>>March 31, 2006

>>>ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet
>>>Ben Macintyre

>Macintyre must get his information from the back of cereal
>boxes. On most any other topic he would have been required to
>check his facts or at least provide some.
>There seems to be a daily supply of this twaddle from the UK
>media. - Don

Hiya Don:

I will never forget my first excursion to the Great Frozen North
via Minnesota. I was near penniless, but not quite so.

I stopped at a hamburger stand where they had salt-shakers full
of white liquid. I asked what that was, and the girl said
'vinegar!' as in 'of course!'

Then I asked what the vinegar was for. It turns out people there
shook it onto their french fries. Silly me, Manitoba ways. At
least I found a beer or two at age 18 (plus/minus 10% .. nobody
much cared).

Back to Ben MacIntyre:

I think the only reason we bother with Bens and Jerries like
this is that they somehow find their ways onto this forum.

Maybe we should be a little less sensitive and a bit more
tolerant toward amateur journalists.

Best wishes

- Larry Hatch

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 2](#)

Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet -

From: Gord Heath <gheath.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 11:55:18 -0800
Fwd Date: Sun, 02 Apr 2006 10:01:09 -0400
Subject: Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet -

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>Date: Friday, March 31, 2006 10:38 AM
>Subject: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet

<snip>

Reproduced from The Flat Planet Society Magazine

April 1, 2006

England Sinks Into the Sea - Drowned by Reality TV
by the Lazyboy Sceptic

The disappearance of England from planetary consciousness and popular culture shows that the myths of olde cannot stand up to the scorching hilarity of reality TV.

It seems like just a few decades ago when the media was abuzz with all sorts of nonsensical reports from this fabled land of tea drinkers. You could hardly open a newspaper without some tabloid-like headline about the scandals in the English royal family. The modern post-war baby boomers, weary of the scandal of Watergate and the Vietnam War thrived on the fantasy of a land that was still ruled by kings and queens, living in castles, parading around in their golden carriages. It is the old fiction-based television and movies that is most often credited by sociologists and psychologists with creating this mass delusion that such a bizarre and strange land might exist over the Atlantic Ocean. Few who grew up before the 21st century can forget watching these fictional programs - Monty Python's Flying Circus, Robin Hood, Fawlty Towers, Dr. Who. This mass delusion created all sorts of fads that crept and swept into popular culture, fish and chip shops, Carnaby Street 'Mod' fashion wear, Twiggy, the Beatles. But where are they now? Gone, gone, gone.

Myself as a child, I remember going to see the movie Mary Poppins. It was all so fanciful to dream about wandering the vast mist shrouded cobble streets of the fabled city of London, the capital of this fabled mysterious island. Walking the streets at night, you might just see a werewolf, a famous murderer with a knife, a swarm of chimney sweeps dancing on the rooftops lit by the glow of gas lights, or a kindly nanny, sailing by with an umbrella that kept the rain from her cheery smiling face.

Remember when the media proclaimed that America was faced with a 'British Invasion'? First it was the pop stars, then the outrageous and silly fashions. Thankfully it all ended before their fabled bland, greasy, boiled culinary creations became part of modern pop culture. It seems only a short time ago that many dreamed about visiting this fabled land. But what do you hear of it now? When was the last time you heard someone making up a silly story like "on our vacation to England last year, we went to see the Queen and Prince Phillip in Buckingham Castle".

Perhaps it is global warming and the melting of great glaciers.

Perhaps there really was such a fabled land and it has just sunk into the sea, like that equally fictitious land of Atlantis. Maybe England is Atlantis. Or maybe it is just the realization that as funny and strange as it is to imagine living in a land of kings and queens living in fancy castles, that our own lives that we see mirrored everyday in reality television, is really just as entertaining as the illusions and delusions of yesteryear.

For some, the old illusions die hard. The media in Cucamonga, California was recently all abuzz about rumours that English knights would be coming to town for a jousting match. The idea that this might show that England exists was proven to be a hoax when it was revealed that this was just a club formed by people who liked to dress up as knights and ladies and act out these fables as a sort of "lets pretend" playtime activity.

Serious sceptics, who prefer rational analysis over wild-eyed nonsensical fantasy, say these fantasy games show that some people just can't accept reality and have a psychological need that feeds off belief in fables such as England.

Pass the tea and crumpets. I have to go put some petrol into my Rolls Royce before I make my appointment with the queen. I am to be made a knight. Jolly good. Cheery oh lads.

Alas it is all just an illusion. Jolly Olde England has sunk into the sea.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 2](#)

Re: More On 'Ultraterrestrials' - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 21:06:32 +0100
Fwd Date: Sun, 02 Apr 2006 10:03:32 -0400
Subject: Re: More On 'Ultraterrestrials' - Dickenson

>From: Mac Tonnies <macbot.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 20:27:24 -0800 (PST)
>Subject: More On 'Ultraterrestrials'

>Here's my latest on the Ultraterrestrial Hypothesis:

><http://tinyurl.com/n3eqz>

<snip>

>In many ways, this would be an appalling predicament for our
>hypothetical ultraterrestrials. For most of human history they
>would have enjoyed unimpeded dominance. Humans, without a global
>media infrastructure, would have been easier to fool (and
>perhaps to exploit) than we are now. (Or do I err on the side of
>overconfidence?)

<snip>

>However, I suggest that our abrupt transformation into a global,
>intricately networked society poses a grave challenge to what
>has traditionally been a routine effort. We may be on the
>threshold of some oblique form of contact; alternatively, this
>contact may have begun in modern times, marked by the emergence
>of the contemporary UFO phenomenon and the equally alarming
>epidemic of so-called "alien abductions."

<snip>

Hi Mac, (sorry, snips are just to make my point)

Fully agree that we need to at least think about this (and seem to remember you've made a similar point before).

Some may have noticed we're in the middle of a (recently inaugurated) period when -

- 1) 'Science' is restricted and even suppressed;
- 2) 'Ordinary folk' are subject to extra-ordinary thuggery, theft and fraud under pretence of "Law" or "War";
- 3) Media are controlled and regimented as never before.

Those points could be said to be "normal" and hardly worth the notice, except they're now more extreme even than "normal".
[Historically - "The great nations have always acted like gangsters" - Stanley Kubrick]

We now know, from FoI and Archive releases, that the BBC had arranged that if a "Four Minute Warning" was sounded - to let the 'important people' get to the bunkers - the BBC would not broadcast any warning but would play comedy shows and "light entertainment" to keep ordinary folk in ignorance.

Some might see, and hear, similar mis-direction happening right now.

Wouldn't want to sound apocalyptic but it strikes me that your suggested "oblique form of contact" may've recently become more direct.

Cheers

Ray D

"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 2](#)

Re: ? - Olson

From: Jeff Olson <jlolson.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 15:58:50 -0800
Fwd Date: Sun, 02 Apr 2006 21:04:20 -0400
Subject: Re: ? - Olson

>From: Max Burns <max.burns.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 18:12:51 +0100
>Subject: Re: ?

>>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 06:20:17 -0800
>>Subject: Re: ?

>>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 12:36:41 +0100 (BST)
>>>Subject: ?

<snip>

Max:

>Perhaps the ET visitors have abandoned plans for colonisation
>After they tested bush's IQ, and honesty

I can see it now: an alien conference mulling over the results of such a test, and declaring their human genetic upgrade program a failure. I hope the consequences of such a declaration wouldn't be too dire. :-)

Jeff

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 2](#)

Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet -

From: **Lan Fleming** <lfleming6.nul>
Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 18:44:49 -0600
Fwd Date: Sun, 02 Apr 2006 21:08:32 -0400
Subject: Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet -

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers -
>Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006 13:38:41 -0500
>Subject: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet

>Source: The Times - London, UK

><http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,6-2111848,00.html>

>March 31, 2006

>ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet
>Ben Macintyre

>The disappearance of flying saucers and little green men - and a
>shift in human credulity

Articles reporting the imminent demise of the "UFO fad" seem to come out periodically. Remember the stories about the closing of the British UFO Bureau backin 2001? A lot of major news outlets took up the story. The important-sounding "Bureau" turned out to be a small local group that didn't actually close, according to the man who stated it.

The black triangle sightings by multiple police witnesses in several Illinois towns occurred the preceding year. It was one of the major cases in recent years, but it didn't get anywhere near the news coverage that the famous UFO Bureau did. In fact, it got nearly no coverage at all.

I think the apparent need for these periodic UFO obituaries implies the exact oppoite of what the authors want people to believe: the UFOs aren't going away, as much as some people would like them to.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 3](#)

Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda - Frison

From: **Eugene Frison** <eugene.frison.nul>
Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 22:06:47 -0400
Fwd Date: Mon, 03 Apr 2006 09:13:00 -0400
Subject: Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda - Frison

>From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi2.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 00:49:27 +0100
>Subject: The 'True' Alien Agenda

>Aliens and the 57 Varieties : The True Alien Agenda
>By Andy Miller.

This piece has got to be the best I've come across yet to illustrate what Jacques Vallee is talking about in his 'Alien Contact trilogy' - especially in Revelations: Alien Contact and Human Deception - in that it shows what Ufology (for the most part) has become, that is, what it has degenerated to and has sunk to.

The aliens (ET not proven to be the solution to the UFO enigma yet, by the way) are behind drastic changes in our environment by getting us to fart ourselves to our doom, as if the massive amounts of pollution spewed into the environment by automobiles, industry, etc. every minute wouldn't be enough to quickly do the job? And to ensure success, they mutilate the cows that could speed up the process?

I guess we'll be soon getting a telephone call from an 'unnamed General' who will verify that every word of Andy Miller's article is true!

Now we know why the 'greys' don't have any noses - they've been genetically engineered to be immune to cow farts as they take over the world! Or, maybe they breathe farts (coming from a world where the atmosphere is composed mainly of methane). Perhaps that's the answer to the whole cattle mutilation mystery - the rectums were cored out because the alien in a flying saucer passing overhead ran out of methane in the breathing apparatus strapped to his back and needed an emergency 'breathing tank.' Makes as much sense as Heinz being recruited by ET to change Earth's atmosphere with a diabolical and sinister release of farts.

Come to think of it, we might now have even explained crop circles - the vegetation in such formations has been swirled and flattened by some powerful force and beings who breathe methane must surely be guilty of letting some serious ones rip!

Excuse my sarcasm but the people involved in researching and investigating this phenomenon (for the most part) really need to step back and observe the stupidity that has engulfed this field. But this has been said before - by the few competent (percentage-wise) researchers and investigators who are aware of the problems in the field and have complained about it - and it's not having much of an impact. Probably because, like the saying says: you can't tell a Heinz pickle nothing!

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 3](#)

Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet -

From: **Craig Beasley** <fallingleaf.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 20:11:49 -0600
Fwd Date: Mon, 03 Apr 2006 09:15:56 -0400
Subject: Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet -

>From: <ufo-updates.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers -
>Date: Friday, March 31, 2006 12:39 PM
>Subject: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet

>The web is the natural home of every crackpot and conspiracy
>theorist, but it also, eventually, produces a rarefied atmosphere
>of rationalism in which aliens and other elusive creatures cannot
>long survive.

Ugh. Where do the MSM outlets dig up these people?

The net is so irrational that it is hyper-rational? It must have
been a slow news day at the Times.

J. Craig Beasley

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 3](#)

Re: Funds For Hunting ET Life Restored By NASA -

From: Paul Anderson <paulanderson.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 18:41:46 -0800
Fwd Date: Mon, 03 Apr 2006 09:18:43 -0400
Subject: Re: Funds For Hunting ET Life Restored By NASA -

>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 16:16:53 -0500
>Subject: Re: Funds For Hunting ET Life Restored By NASA

>One can only wonder why the creators of the Mars rovers weren't
>'emboldened' enough to put astrobiology sensors on them (!)

Because, as NASA said from the start, they were meant to be a geological mission. They are only one part of a series of new missions which are building on each other as such, in specific steps. Those focused on geology and the search for evidence of water; later ones, like Phoenix in 2007 and Mars Science Laboratory in 2009, will have both a geology and organics/biology focus.

The ESA is also planning new landers for the coming next few years, again with more of a life detection (past or present) focus.

It can seem tedious I know, but I think this is a good way of going about it, because the life search needs to be done in context of the overall Martian geology, water resources, etc. to determine the best places to look.

Of course, if MRO were to show the face or other such unusual landforms in Cydonia and elsewhere to be artificial after all, with its incredible new imaging resolution, then all bets would be off anyway and the search for microbes would seem almost redundant...

--
The Meridiani Journal
<http://www.themeridianijournal.blogspot.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 3](#)

Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet -

From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 23:20:46 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 03 Apr 2006 09:21:18 -0400
Subject: Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet -

>Source: The Times - London, UK

><http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,6-2111848,00.html>

>March 31, 2006

>ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet
>Ben Macintyre

>The disappearance of flying saucers and little green men and a
>shift in human credulity

>Where (on Earth) have all the unidentified flying objects gone?
>Just a few years ago, the sky seemed to be littered with flying
>saucers and every other sort of astral crockery: strange lights,
>cigar-shaped spaceships, paranormal things that went bump in the
night. Scully and Mulder were rushed off their feet.

>Now the UFOs have almost vanished. Sure, you still get a few
>alien abductions, especially on New Years Eve, and diehard
>ufologists are still recording close encounters of the umpteenth
>kind. Since 1955 the National UFO Reporting Centre in Seattle
>has clocked 125,000 reports of sightings. But in recent years
>the numbers have dropped dramatically. The British Flying Saucer
>Bureau closed down three years ago after half a century of
>saucer-spotting. The simple truth is that the little green men
>dont come calling like they used to, and they have stopped
>leaving circles in our crops.

snip

>But it is also a result of human invention, and humanitys
>evolving relationship with new technology. UFO sightings have
>declined as the internet has expanded. The web is the natural
>home of every crackpot and conspiracy theorist, but it also,
>eventually, produces a rarefied atmosphere of rationalism in
>which aliens and other elusive creatures cannot long survive. In
>the short term, the internet was a blessing to UFOs; but over
>time, it has all but killed them off.

Dumb, dumb. Such muddled reporting is what he have learned to
expect from the 'learn-ed' press.

Wonder if he ever looked at the National UFO Reporting Center
website or Filer's Files, etc.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 3](#)

Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet -

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Sun, 02 Apr 2006 13:45:52 -0300
Fwd Date: Mon, 03 Apr 2006 09:29:08 -0400
Subject: Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet -

>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 09:37:59 -0800
>Subject: Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>To:
>>ufoupdates.nul Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006
>>12:27:15 -0400 Subject: Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By
>>The Internet

>>>From: Jeff Olson <jlolson.nul>To:
>>>ufoupdates.nul>Date: Fri, 31 Mar 2006
>>>11:45:32 -0800 Subject: Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By
>>>The Internet

>>>>Source: The Times - London, UK

>>>><http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,6-2111848,00.html>

>>>>March 31, 2006

>>>>ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet Ben Macintyre

>>Macintyre must get his information from the back of cereal boxes.
>>On most any other topic he would have been required
>>to check his his facts or at least provide some. There seems
>>to be a daily supply of this twaddle from the UK media. -
>Don

>Hiya Don:

>I will never forget my first excursion to the Great Frozen
>North via Minnesota. I was near penniless, but not quite so.
>I stopped at a hamburger stand where they had salt-shakers
>full of white liquid. I asked what that was, and the girl said
>'vinegar!' as in 'of course!'

>Then I asked what the vinegar was for. It turns out people
>there shook it onto their french fries. Silly me, Manitoba
>ways.

Doesn't everyone...? Not as popular now but all the rage back in
the 40s and 50s.

>At least I found a beer

Larry, you are the master beer finder. if you can't find it,
buddy, it ain't there - or two at age 18 (plus/minus 10%...

>nobody much cared).

>Back to Ben MacIntyre:

Yeah, I was wondering.

>I think the only reason we bother with Bens and Jerries like
>this is that they somehow find their ways onto this forum.

>Maybe we should be a little less sensitive and a bit more

>tolerant toward amateur journalists.

You're going to have me in tears. But let me think about it...
Naw.

Don

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 3](#)

Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
Date: Sun, 2 Apr 2006 18:58:21 +0100
Fwd Date: Mon, 03 Apr 2006 09:42:06 -0400
Subject: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

List Members,

I'm just preparing you for a new twist from Ray Santilli & Gary Shoefield with regards to the Alien Autopsy film.

This will be featured in the Sky One documentary 'Eamon Investigates - Alien Autopsy', to be screened on Tuesday 4th April a 8.00 pm in the UK.

I've briefly taken part and so has Nick Pope.

Just when you thought you'd heard it all from Ray Santilli then there's more. This is all of course in advance of the Alien Autopsy movie which is launched in cinema's here on April 7th.

Stay tuned as it's sure to fun.

Regards,

Philip

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 3](#)

New Crop Circles Conondale Queensland Australia

From: **Glennys Mackay** <glenmack60.nul>
Date: Mon, 3 Apr 2006 10:39:50 +1000
Fwd Date: Mon, 03 Apr 2006 10:37:07 -0400
Subject: New Crop Circles Conondale Queensland Australia

Thanks to Richard Giles.

Glennys

Hi all,

The first pictures from the Conondale Crop Circles of 29/30th March 2006.

These have appeared in the same area as the circle formation in 2004 and almost to the exact same date.

For those not familiar with the Malenty-Conondale area its located in the hinterland of the Sunshine coast which is about 100 klms north of Brisbane, Queensland.

In late March 2004 a three circle formation appeared in pasture grass on Aherns Road, Conondale out of sight of the main road. It was discovered by some local boys on bikes.

This 2006 appearance of a circle formation is a two circle set on Cookes Road which is about a few kilometers from where the first circles appeared in 2004. It is also out of sight on the road and found by some locals on bicycles.

The formation is simple with one larger and one small circle. The compass line up of the centres of the two circles, according to Paul Boulton (pictured) is just about exactly the same as the compass orientation of the line of centres of the circle formation of 2004 - this is just off North.

In 2004 the local farmer eventually destroyed the formation, but not after it was thoroughly recorded. He did this because of the publicity and a stream of visitors. This time around the farmer is concerned about his land, so no visitors are being encouraged to visit the site.

The question we need to ask is what makes Conondale, of all places in Australia, so unique that we have experienced two circle formations in two years that stand the test of genuineness? Is this region special in some way? Is there some unique soil properties here encouraging the circle makers or is it a message to small communities to get their acts together because of this shifting moment in history?

Just down the road from Conondale is Crsytal Waters community village, a property of six hundred acres with 200 people living on it in a test of small self sufficient communities established outside the mainstream.

You can visit the websites at:

<http://www.ecologicalsolutions.com.au>

If you wish to see the 2004 formations and photos at Conondale go to:

<http://members.iinet.net.au/~bwratten/cc.html>

Best wishes,

Richard

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 3](#)

New 800 Number For Jerry Black

From: Jerry Black <gulfbreezeinfo.nul>
Date: Sun, 2 Apr 2006 19:37:03 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Mon, 03 Apr 2006 10:40:47 -0400
Subject: New 800 Number For Jerry Black

To those who have tried to reach me on my old 800 number, I apologize for the fact that it has been inactive for the past six months.

As of 3/25/06, this number is active - 1-877-731-8400.

Please feel free to e-mail me or call me if you have any questions about the following cases:

Whitley Strieber - (Four months research)
Gulf Breeze Sightings 1987 - (Five year investigation)
Travis Walton 1975 - (Two years research)
Casey County Abductions 1975 - (Four year investigation as lead investigator)

--Jerry Black

UFO Researcher and Investigator
You can write to me at PO Box 125
Blanchester, OH 45107
or call 1-877-731-8400

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 3](#)

Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Owens

From: Steve Owens <p944dc.nul>
Date: Sun, 02 Apr 2006 21:37:04 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 03 Apr 2006 10:43:09 -0400
Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum - Owens

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 22:40:05 +0000
>Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum

>>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 29 Mar 2006 16:08:01 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum

>>>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 28 Mar 2006 20:21:34 -0500
>>>Subject: Re: Roswell's UFO Museum

>>>You don't have to be a tourist in an RV. That sounds like about
>>>98% of the UFO web sites out there..... <g>

>>If there's enough cash to spend, a 'planetarium' structure in
>>which notable sightings are re-created and projected, along with
>>narrative, might be worth visiting.

>Now that's the best idea I have heard in a long time! Even
>better if mandatory attendance was required for anyone seeking
>national political office. But seriously folks, planetaria (-
>ums) could be very usefully applied for this purpose. In

There already is a very good planetarium (Robert H. Goddard
Planetarium) attached to the Goddard Rocket and Space Museum in
Roswell. I believe it is the largest in the region, and I know
when I lived in Roswell it was the largest in the state.

Steve Owens

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 3](#)

Whence Serpo?

From: **Brendon Hird** <hird1974.nul>
Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 02:27:47 +1000
Fwd Date: Mon, 03 Apr 2006 11:02:15 -0400
Subject: Whence Serpo?

Just when things were starting to get exciting

<http://www.serpo.org>

appears to have been taken over by some UK domain name company.

I live in Australia, and the Serpo site was accessible up until about a week ago. Then attempted access brought up a notification that the domain name, www.serpo.org had been acquired by a UK company. Now attempts to access www.serpo.org bears no fruit whatsoever!! Where, what, why and how? Can anyone please explain?

Brendon

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Avian Virus Invades Washington D.C.

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 08:28:33 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 08:28:33 -0400
Subject: Avian Virus Invades Washington D.C.

Source: American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/me6ke>

April 2, 2006

Avian Virus Invades Washington D.C.
Gary S. Bekkum

In the real-life world of psychic spies, paranormal goat killers, and Skinwalkers haunting remote regions of Utah, all roads lead to Ron, a high ranking former CIA analyst, and the "core story" of a shadowy group of government insiders popularly known as "The Aviary."

Tricky Fish

This is a true story about a couple of "tricky fish" floating in the intelligence pond affectionately known as "The Aquarium." Like all good fish tales, the size of the catch has almost certainly been exaggerated. On the other hand we may have uncovered a tale of a sea monster or two lurking beneath the surface. From the world of psychic spies, paranormal goat killers, and Skinwalkers haunting remote regions of Utah, something wicked and wacky this way comes. Our real-life "X-files" mystery continues with the introduction of a few strange characters and even stranger ideas.

"Uri," I said, "please give me something to go on. Please tell me one more thing." Uri sighed. "Okay," he said. "I will tell you one more thing only. The man who reactivated me is...." Uri paused, then he said, "called Ron."

From page 12 of "The Men Who Stare at Goats," by Jon Ronson

Avian dreams: Mr. Smith goes to Washington

Let us introduce one of the main characters in our Orwellian tale, a man we shall designate as Ron.

Ron has a job doing the work of the U.S. Government. His employer, an intelligence agency, doesn't say a great deal about what Ron actually does. We do know more about Ron than many of his associates thanks to his brief appearance in the New York Times a few years ago.

Ron developed the reputation of being the chief phenomenologist at the CIA. Something about a file filled with strangeness called the "weird desk." It is said that Ron inherited this assignment from a certain retired CIA officer, who continues as government consultant in the critical area of reviewing advanced technologies with possible military applications. This gentleman holds the distinction of appearing in a photograph in the March, 2006 "Reader's Digest."

Inside the alleged "weird desk" is the "core story" about visitors not of our world. The extraterrestrial tale has spread with some help from a group of present and former intelligence agents and military types in a loose network popularly known as "The Aviary." Another source with close ties to the alleged members of the Aviary group revealed that the same core story had been confirmed by the former Director of Central Intelligence, Richard Helms, just prior to his death in 2002.

We wondered if the "weird desk" really existed. So did Nick Cook from Jane's Information Group. Nick asked us, and so we inquired. We contacted an associate of Ron's in the private sector, that we shall designate Mr. Smith.

We reported back: "Ron's activities are either overt or covert with respect to the so-called visitors, per Mr. Smith. I suggest they are covert, perhaps even with respect to his activities at DIA...As I have already pointed out to Mr. Smith, it is suggested that Ron is working as CIA representative at DIA on passive radar technologies and their various applications, which might include foreign stealth and of stealthy UFO's ...In other words Ron may be working with DIA on improved methods for tracking 'visitors' -- a situation brought briefly to the public's attention by the Mexican report on invisible UFO's ..."

A MITRE document confirmed that a new kind of radar is under development, using personal computers. We suspect that the new radar is a passive system intended to detect unwanted intrusions into U.S. air space. As for the nature of the intruders, that is best left to the imagination. There has been a great deal of chatter by persons in the know that the UFO question, in particular the military implication of violation of sovereign airspace, is taken very seriously. Unless there is a terrestrial explanation for the intruders, we must assume that those (sources) protected by classification have also accepted the possibility that this phenomenology is foreign to our world. As Mr. Smith tells us, this is a "gray area" - a useful term which implies that the interpretation is ambiguous and open to manipulation by the most powerful players.

Recently we learned through a back channel source with close ties to intelligence types in suits, that our disclosure of an interest in all things 'alien' by members of the DIA sponsored TIGER committee had provoked a split between some of the key players. TIGER is the "standing committee on Technology Insight-Gauge Evaluate Review." Naturally our first reaction was that anyone desiring confidentiality would not intentionally promote the fact that such a committee existed in the first place. Putting two and two together, and adding in a bit of raw speculation we obtain five. Our present opinion is that there is a rift and that the reference to the committee on an open email list of government employees and journalists was deliberate. The said result apparently threatened the continuance of the UFO group on the committee. Sabotage might be too strong a word but that is how it is beginning to look from our end.

Mental Communication Project

In 1993 the DIA STAR GATE project initiated a pilot study into the feasibility of using telepathy for command, control and communication for soldiers behind enemy lines, in situations where normal communication wasn't possible. If the government is serious about developing mind to mind com links, then they must also be considering the threat of 'foreign' developments. This is known as C^4: Command, Control, Communication, Computers. Telepathy merely takes this to the next level. It provides a command and control signal line for communication. The computer is the biological material inside the human brain. Wiggle this brain here, and that one over there responds. Wiggle hard enough, and perhaps you have created a remote control system.

Combine the ideas behind passive radar with telepathy, and you have a unique C^4 system capable of distinguishing the flow of unconscious information around the planet. The Aviary is concerned not so much with the hardware of an 'alien' civilization, but with a deeper and more sinister dilemma. Take command and control of the flow of the collective unconscious mind, and you have taken control of the human race. A meme is an idea that spreads like a virus: an idea that replicates evolves and infects like the common cold, moving from host to host. It would seem that the Aviary's "core story" has revealed a virus

of unearthly intent set upon the leadership of our planet.

Getting past the sci-fi implications of a paranormal C^4 scenario, we have to wonder about all of the smoke and mirrors presented by the alleged Avian virus. Will Mr. Smith make it in time to warn Washington? What about the White House? Are they ready for this? Are these folks for real?

Starstream Research provides an informal survey of exotic physics and consciousness concepts related to the survival or otherwise of the human race. For additional information please visit the Starstream Research web site.

Copyright (c) 2006 Starstream Research. All rights reserved.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Aliens Have Landed?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 08:31:49 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 08:31:49 -0400
Subject: Aliens Have Landed?

Source: The Edmonton Sun - Alberta, Canada

<http://tinyurl.com/ga6gz>

Sat, April 1, 2006

Aliens Have Landed?

By The Canadian Press

Discovery Channel goes slumming in supermarket-tabloid land with The World's Strangest UFO Stories, a new documentary series from the U.K.

It premieres with back-to-back episodes tomorrow night at 6 before settling into a regular time-slot the following Friday at 6 p.m., repeated at 10 p.m.

The first episode is entitled Do Aliens Rule the World? Apart from setting up the whole premise, it looks at the paranoia and alien conspiracies that have flowered since the end of the Second World War and the dawn of the atomic age in the 1940s.

The concept was dramatized in 1951's The Day the Earth Stood Still, when a technologically advanced alien being, alerted by mankind's detonation of the first A-bomb ("Oh no, the kids have found the matches!") arrives on Earth to issue a warning about our possible misuse of nuclear power.

The scenario here advances by ridiculous leaps to a theory that aliens have now bred with humans, and so hybrid creatures in disguise (from President Bush to the Queen) now rule the planet.

It seems these alien lizard gods - known as the Illuminati - have offered humanity the knowledge of unlimited cheap energy, but it has been suppressed by governments and industry, especially the oil industry (those infamous Men in Black).

But as the documentary unfolds, it is clear at least that it is adopting a tongue-in-cheek approach, and instead of taking them seriously, handily knocks down those who are convinced there is a massive conspiracy to cover up the existence of aliens among us.

Future episodes will run the gamut from Roswell to ancient astronauts. But apart from having some fun with pop mythology, one wonders why this is airing on Discovery and not Fox TV as, say, The World's Greatest Aliens.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Real Or Un-Reel?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 08:47:48 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 08:47:48 -0400
Subject: Real Or Un-Reel?

Source: Society Of Camera Operators Magazine - Toluca Lake,
California, USA

http://www.soc.org/opcam/a_autopsy/alienautopsy.html

January 3rd 2006

Real Or Un-Reel?

By Joe Longo, SOC

President

International Combat Camera Association

- * Santilli Acquires Film From 'Collector'
- * Santilli Supporters Speak Out
- * Longo The Cameraman Interview
- * Critical Analysis Of The 'Interview'
- * Special Effects Experts Weigh In
- * Eastman Kodak Expert Responds
- * Longo Explains Military Filming Procedures
- * International Combat Camera Association Views Footage
- * The Film Can Labels
- * Credits List
- * Frame By Frame Analysis By Theresa Carlson
- * Blood Drip Discrepancy
- * Final Comments From Mark L. Center
- * Comments From Another SOC Member

The Alien Autopsy Film

Introduction

This is the first SOC article written expressly for our web site. It developed from a TV show called "Sightings=94 where I saw SOC member Joe Longo interviewed concerning the Alien Autopsy film. Joe was contacted because of his experience in the military as a combat cameraman during the time of the Roswell incident. Joe wrote the following article and directed me to people with deeper interests in this phenomena, most of whom communicate with others via World Wide Web sites and e-mail.

This article then expanded to include those believers and non-believers alike so that you the reader may develop your own conclusions. This being an on-line document, we have included web site URLs and e-mail addresses of many of those whose opinions help round out the article. Also, we will be able to update it as 'new' information comes to light. (See the Theresa Carlson information below which was received just before we posted this article on-line in December 1997.)

=97 Michael Frediani, SOC

Continuies...

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

The Evolution Of Faith

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 08:50:59 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 08:50:59 -0400
Subject: The Evolution Of Faith

Source: Time Magazine - Europe

<http://tinyurl.com/o95t9>

Saturday, Apr. 01, 2006

The Evolution Of Faith

A passionate rationalist explores the scientific roots of religious belief, superstition and plain old luck

By Michael Brunton

What's the first thing you do if the ground beneath you starts to rumble and the walls begin to shake? Grab the kids and run? Check your home-insurance policy? Fall on your knees and pray for deliverance? All logical enough reactions, but not your very first one. Instead, even when faced with imminent disaster, you'll spend precious time asking, "What was that?" It's called the cognitive imperative, the uniquely human, hardwired instinct to link cause with effect that gave us a vital evolutionary advantage over other animal species. After all, the noise could be just a passing truck and nothing to lose precious sleep over.

Delineating how we react to an earthquake is just one example of the cognitive imperative described in *Six Impossible Things Before Breakfast*, British scientist Lewis Wolpert's enquiry into the evolutionary origins of belief. If the theme sounds familiar, that's because the search for scientific roots of religious faith is a hot, and heatedly debated, issue of the day. In his 2004 book *The God Gene*, U.S. molecular biologist Dean Hamer claimed to have located one of the genes he said was responsible for spirituality. Last month, the American philosopher and evolutionary theorist Daniel Dennett provoked more controversy with *Breaking the Spell*, in which he cast religion in terms of memes =97 cultural ideas that can spread, mutate and survive in our minds, whether or not they are good for us. Meanwhile in Oxford, England, researchers at the Centre for the Science of the Mind are subjecting volunteers to severe pain to see if religious belief can help them cope with physical suffering.

As a developmental biologist at London's University College, and one of Britain's loudest champions of the public understanding of science, Wolpert covers genes, memes, pain and various other angles in his book. But rather than just arm wrestling with God's faithful, his book attempts to survey the science underpinning all intuitive beliefs, including religion, that humans stubbornly cling to, in spite of the best efforts of rational enquiry to displace them: credence in the paranormal, magic and superstition; faith in alternative-health therapies; the conviction that sooner or later we're bound to win a lottery jackpot. Our belief engine, Wolpert concludes, works on wholly unscientific principles: "It prefers quick decisions, it is bad with numbers, loves representativeness and sees patterns where there is only randomness. It is too often influenced by

authority and it has a liking for mysticism."

It is no coincidence that the stubbornest of our "irrational" beliefs correspond to our fears of the unknown, the unknowable and the unstoppable =97 of disease, death and natural disaster. Although Wolpert is a passionate promoter of science, he still recognizes that religion has its benefits and that in some things "reason will never triumph over superstition." The Nobel-prizewinning physicist Niels Bohr once explained why he kept a horseshoe nailed to his wall. It was not because he believed it would bring him good luck, but because he'd been told it would do so even if he didn't believe it. "How can one argue with such logic?" said Bohr. Wolpert, who took the title of his book from Lewis Carroll's *Through the Looking Glass*, in which the White Queen explains to Alice that believing in impossible things is simply a matter of practice, seems happy to agree.

More likely to start an argument is the author's novel proposition that the imperative to link cause and effect derived directly from our earliest hominid ancestors' discovery of tools as many as 2 million years ago. The ability to fashion a flint spear, he speculates, promoted a kind of causal thinking that was beyond other species: take a certain type of stone, hit it in just such a way, and it will leave a cutting edge. The later development of another tool, language, enabled early humans to explain the technology, and in the evolutionary twinkling of an eye we found ourselves genetically wired to seek a cause for every effect we see. In virtually no time at all, Archimedes was leaping from his bath.

By linking our belief engine to the use of tools, Wolpert suggests a more fruitful engagement between science and faith than the either/or conflict we're normally asked to take sides in. Wolpert, 76, was prompted to write the book by the shock of a conversation with his son Matthew, who had joined a fundamentalist Christian church. Matthew told his father he envied him because the elder Wolpert would die soon and get to heaven first. That logic still troubles the scientist, but the parent in him now accepts that the church was a great benefit to his son. Religious beliefs will endure, Wolpert writes, "not only because mysticism is in our brains, but also because it gives enormous comfort and meaning to life." So when your cognitive imperative tells you it is the ground beneath your certainties that is shaking, the good news is that there are other beliefs in the human toolbox you can try.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Sorry E.T. Is Still Not Taking Our Calls

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 08:56:37 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 08:56:37 -0400
Subject: Sorry E.T. Is Still Not Taking Our Calls

Source: The San Francisco Chronicle - California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/z7g5u>

Sunday, April 2, 2006

Sorry, E.T. Is Still Not Taking Our Calls

Historian looks at fruitless quest for intelligent
extraterrestrial life

Reviewed by Keay Davidson, Chronicle Staff Writer

--

Civilized Life in the Universe

Scientists on Intelligent Extraterrestrials

By George Basalla

Oxford University Press; 233 pages; \$29.95

--

The year 2010 will mark the 50th anniversary of humanity's
strangest scientific quest: the use of giant radiotelescope
dishes to listen for radio messages from alien beings in space.
So far, the search has been a complete bust. The
radioastronomers keep listening, but the stars aren't talking to
us - not yet, anyway.

Oddly, the failure of the Search for Extraterrestrial
Intelligence, or SETI, has been largely ignored by the general
public, journalists and Hollywood filmmakers. They continue to
be enchanted by (and, in Hollywood's case, to commercially
exploit) the hope that intelligent life is sprinkled across the
galaxy like dandelions across a spring meadow, that those
faraway intelligences wish to communicate with us by radio and
that said communication would mutually benefit both parties. For
example (we are told), aliens could transmit to us cures for
cancer and warfare, while we could enlighten them on the mating
and sexual rituals of hominids on the third planet of the star
called Sol.

Why does the public remain fascinated with a project, SETI, that
has so far yielded only disappointment? No doubt it's fun to
think that the cosmos is more than "drops of mud", as Anatole
France sneered. In an unhappy world, it's reassuring to think
that no matter how miserable we are Down Here, there's all kinds
of wondrous stuff going on Up There, i.e. that the center of our
Milky Way galaxy is a celestial Broadway teeming with brilliant
societies and buzzing with starships. Toward that stellar
metropolis 30,000 light years overhead, we suffering
terrestrials gaze wistfully, rather like the ragged working

girls of romantic fiction who gaze longingly at the lights of Manhattan.

The fantasist roots of ostensibly scientific projects is a central theme of George Basalla's excellent, edifying and enjoyable book "Civilized Life in the Universe", a little landmark in the study of the history of science. Mind you, his book is not the first formal history of ideas about alien life; the historians Stephen Dick and Michael J. Crowe have already superbly chronicled that subject in much bigger texts. Instead, what Basalla (a distinguished historian of technology) offers is a short and highly readable work of historical interpretation akin to Carl L. Becker's 1930s classic "The Heavenly City of the Eighteenth-Century Philosophers." Basalla's concern is not what happened in history so much as why it happened - that is, why have humans throughout the centuries been so eager to believe in aliens? In his view, the scientific arguments for SETI are not the primary root of its popularity. Rather, SETI fascinates millions because of its quasi-religious features. It is a kind of anthropomorphism - the perception of human qualities in inhuman entities. We gaze at the night sky and what do we see? Seas of stars, beautiful and luminous, yet cold and meaningless. How, then, do we invest them with meaning in order to overcome our own loneliness and alienation? By imagining them to be worlds like our own, thronged by beings like ourselves.

Basalla offers many examples, some of them quite amusing. For example, the 17th century astronomer Johannes Kepler gazed at the moon through his telescope and saw lunar craters. He argued that these objects were walled cities akin to those of Europe in his own epoch. Likewise, via elaborate chains of reasoning, the 17th century Dutch astronomer Christiaan Huygens claimed that inhabitants of the planets were rational creatures who possessed hands and feet, were versed in astronomy, studied geometry, lived in houses and cities, engaged in commerce, and were familiar with water transport - in other words, as Basalla wryly notes, they lived in a world that "looks very much like Europe in the 17th century." Basalla compares such cultural ethnocentrism to today's SETI scientists who "believe that extraterrestrial civilizations construct radio telescopes." History shows that cultures evolve in many different ways; to assume that aliens build radiotelescopes because we build them is the epitome of anthropocentrism.

Popular propaganda about SETI also implies it is the quintessence of modern, rigorous, secular scientific thinking. Thus (by this reasoning) anyone who criticizes SETI must be a closet evangelical who is tormented by questions such as, "If other worlds are inhabited, how do the aliens know that Jesus died for their sins?" In Carl Sagan's pro-SETI novel "Contact", the villain is a Bible-slapping vulgarian, a character so crude that he makes Elmer Gantry look like Voltaire.

In fact, the historical reality has been almost the complete opposite. At least until the mid-19th century, popular belief in extraterrestrials was fueled more by religious sentiments than by scientific reasoning. Preachers thundered that God, being so creative and benevolent, surely wouldn't have created so many stars without adding inhabited worlds for them to shine upon. One of the earliest champions of the possibility of an inhabited moon was Bishop John Wilkins, a leading cleric and science enthusiast of 17th century England.

The rise of modern science weakened scientific confidence in the likelihood of alien life, rather than strengthening it. This was especially the case after the emergence of Darwin's theory of evolution. Darwin's thesis, plus new Victorian-era understandings of physiology and planetary atmospheres, showed how tough it is for life to get started and to survive except where planetary conditions are just right - and divine benevolence has nothing to do with it.

Recent research only reinforces this hard-boiled view of the improbability of life on most worlds, despite NASA publicists' breathless efforts to convince the public otherwise. (Reading NASA press releases, one would think that anyplace NASA finds water, life will also be found there, which is complete nonsense.) As recently as the mid-1970s, one prominent space scientist (Sagan) refused to rule out the possibility of polar-bear-sized animals on Mars. Nowadays, NASA officials would be thrilled if they could find a few grungy microbes, even complex

organic molecules, somewhere far beneath the radiation-baked, poisonous surface of Mars. There's a good chance the whole red planet is utterly dead.

Although scientists speculated about communicating with other worlds in the 19th century, modern SETI dates from 1960. In that year, radioastronomer Frank Drake tried to detect alien signals by using a radiotelescope at Green Bank, W.Va. He found no alien signals. In the 1960s, via half-baked rationalizations akin to Huygens', SETI buffs estimated that our galaxy contains tens of thousands of technically advanced civilizations. Today's radio dishes are trillions of times more powerful than Drake's. Yet over the past 46 years, numerous other SETI searches have detected no alien signals.

Why bother talking to aliens? One of SETI scientists' favorite arguments is that aliens might be superior to us and we can learn exciting things from them. Some SETI scientists literally believe that aliens will save us from ourselves. A 1976 editorial in the New York Times criticized SETI opponents and claimed, "The vastness of the universe might contain beings who long ago found the cure for cancer, solved the problems of taming thermonuclear energy, and routinely practice genetic engineering for the benefit of their species." Such talk recalls how our medieval ancestors groveled before altars and prayed to God for divine aid. "The idea of the superiority of celestial beings is neither new nor scientific", Basalla emphasizes. "It is a widespread and old belief in religious thought."

A half century of failure is nothing to brag about. At the least, it suggests something is terribly wrong with SETI theorists' basic assumptions - say, about the prevalence of cosmic life, especially intelligent life, or perhaps about the usefulness of radio for contacting interstellar beings. SETI scientists should respect and heed skeptics like Basalla, rather than haughtily dismissing them as heretics or intruders from the humanities. Basalla's book is exactly what the insular little world of SETI research needs: an outsider's clear-headed take on a fledgling science that long ago ceased to be scientific.

E-mail Keay Davidson at kdavidson.nul

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Many Saints Believed In Extraterrestrial Life

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 09:05:06 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 09:05:06 -0400
Subject: Many Saints Believed In Extraterrestrial Life

Source: Dirk Vander Ploeg's UFO Digest Site, Hamilton, Ontario Canada

<http://www.ufodigest.com/vaticanufos.html>

January 1st 2006

Many Saints Believed In Extraterrestrial Life: Vatican Monsignor

by Adriano Forgione

(Editor-In-Chief of the Italian UFO Magazines' 'Dossier Alieni'
and 'UFO Network') translation from Italian by Dawn A. Bissel

The reality of the UFO phenomenon and its theological implications: using reason, extraterrestrials are here. A glimpse of their nature and a mention of the Third Secret of Fatima.

I met Monsignor Corrado Balducci at the Second Ufological Conference of Ancona, entitled "Alien civilizations: between doubt and reason" on 17 April 1999. Balducci, a demonologist of the Vatican is a very open person with a pleasant manner who is quite well known in the ufological circuit for having openly declared that he believes in the possibility that extraterrestrial intelligences are interacting with Earth. Are his statements made on behalf of the Vatican? I tried to examine the religious repercussions of contact with Monsignor Balducci.

AF: Monsignor, where does your interest in extraterrestrial intelligences spring from?

MB: I really became interested in ufology a couple of years ago, even though I started talking about it on TV about four years ago, in 1995. Actually, it all started in 1959 when I began to devote my time to studying demonology. As I had to explore fields such as psychiatry and parapsychology, I indirectly came into contact with topics linked to ufology. I remember that in the Fifties, there was a tendency to deny at all costs the object of parapsychological studies amongst the public, some scientists and also amongst us clergymen. They said that there was no basis for acknowledging parapsychology and phenomena which were called nonsense and fantasy. To put it simply, they denied everything. This was reflected in ufology, which is linked to other frontier topics.

As I collected material, I realized that this generalized denial stemmed from illogical fanaticism. There must be something there, even if you just use your common sense, and, using reason, the phenomenon is undeniable. Strict criticism goes against common sense because it goes against the value of human testimonies. In recent years, accounts of ufological events have greatly increased and yet there are still too many people who tend to pass everything off as nonsense. Of course, in many cases, natural phenomena for example, may be wrongly interpreted, but it's absolutely unthinkable to put everything

into that category. Total scepticism is completely unjustified.

AF: And what's happening at the moment in your field?

MB: I've never told anyone this, but I'll tell you: some theologians have said to me "Balducci, what are you doing, it's all just fantasy!", and they were important theologians, not just anyone. Then when I explained my interest, I defended it tooth and nail so as to say that it's time to change this attitude because if we go on like this, we'll end up weakening and destroying the value of human testimonies. This is what worries me because human testimonies are the basis for our life as an individual, our life in society but above all the basis for the spiritual life of Christians, given that the Divine Revelation is a historical fact that was testified to. God's Revelation is testified to by people and by the gospels. If we believe in the accounts of Biblical miracles, then using reason, we must also believe the accounts of extraordinary events that happen today. It's obvious that we must prove that every affirmation is based on credible foundations. Above all, I decided to get involved in ufology in order to defend human testimonies.

AF: On various occasions you have said that the occupants of UFOs could be more highly evolved spiritually than we are.

MB: I must start by saying that angels do not use spaceships. As purely spiritual beings, they are where they want to be and if they wanted to show themselves, they wouldn't have any trouble taking on visible forms. So, when we talk about extraterrestrials, we have to think either of beings like us or, preferably, other types of beings who always combine a spiritual part with a material part, a body, even though they have a different relationship compared with us terrestrial humans.

Nowadays, science accepts the existence of forms of life in the cosmos, even though it doesn't want to take into account the fact that these intelligences could already be here in our planetary sphere, at least in an obvious form. How does theology tackle this problem? Opinions in favour of the habitability of other worlds are held not only by secular scientists, but also theologians and people who died and were made saints like Padre Pio. The book written in 1974 by the priest Don Nello Castello, "Così parlò Padre Pio" ("Thus Spoke Padre Pio"), says that someone in Padre Pio's confraternity asked him "Father, I thought Earth was nothing compared to the stars and all the other planets", to which Padre Pio replied "Yes, and if we leave the Earth we are nothing. The Lord certainly didn't restrict his glory to this little planet. On other planets, there will be beings without sin."

I can also mention Cardinal Niccolò Cusano (1401-1464) who wrote "there is no star from which we are authorized to exclude the existence of beings, who may even be different to us". The Jesuit and astronomer, Father Angelo Secchi (1818-1866) wrote "It's absurd to consider the worlds that surround us as uninhabited deserts". And I could go on and on. Of course, we still don't have scientific confirmation of this particular issue.

However, in the field of theology and the Scriptures we can make some observations. As God's power is limitless, it is not only possible but also likely that inhabited planets exist. In fact, there is a great difference between angels, who are purely spiritual beings, and ourselves, who are made of spirit and matter and whose soul is limited in its actions by the abilities of the body itself. This is explained by the axiom that Nature doesn't take jumps. And so it's likely that the distance between us and angels is reduced by beings who, although they have a body, maybe a more perfect one, have a soul which is less conditioned in its evolution. Probably, not only is this possible and likely but, in my opinion, also desirable. In a not so distant future, these beings could help us, especially on our spiritual path.

AF: Some researchers think that this already happened in the past.

MB: That's an interesting point. It's arguable that these beings have been protecting and helping us for a long time. Some people have put forward the hypothesis that some disasters have been

avoided thanks to them. If intelligent beings from other planets really existed, we would find the solution as to how to reconcile their existence with Christ's redemption. If Christ is the centre and head of all creation, no world exists which doesn't refer to Christ, as everything is under the influence of the divine Word and His glory. And no glory is possible without the existence of intelligent beings who can understand it. In any case, it's absurd to think that the only form of intelligence is our own. Other intellectual forces, different to the human one and constructed with a different type of structure is not only possible, but extremely probable.

AF: Is your research personal or is it on behalf of the Vatican?

MB: It's personal.

AF: Twenty years ago, Father Domenico Grasso spoke publicly in favour of the UFO phenomenon. Was it personal research for him too?

MB: This research is absolutely personal. The Vatican doesn't decide anything. We aren't told "study this or study that" but it gives great freedom of action to individuals. The Vatican will be pleased when it knows that Balducci too has spoken about ufology. We don't work according to specific orders, absolutely not.

AF: In your opinion, what are the possible implications of the phenomenon for our current civilization or for the near future, if we acknowledge a possible interaction between man and extraterrestrial intelligences?

MB: That's a question which leads me to think that it would be better if we knew what these beings are like. I have already gone into this and I justified my opinion with "likely". What you want to know depends on whether they are inferior or superior to us. If they are like us, there wouldn't be many implications, as they could have a civilization on the same level as our own. It would be different if they were superior beings. In that case a lot of presuppositions could change.

AF: According to some studies, visions of Blessed Virgin Mary are connected to the UFO phenomenon. Some associated phenomena seem to be of a ufological nature. What do you think?

MB: It's absolutely out of the question. For pity's sake, Our Lady can do what she wants, and definitely doesn't need a UFO.

AF: Two years ago, an article was published in 'Il Messagero' which quoted some of your statements about a nuclear war and a celestial cataclysm which will happen within ten years. That was a sensationalist article.

MB: The truth is, I said that they are two risks we are running. The nearest is a nuclear world war, a danger that's more real when there are two powers involved. So, I speculated on who could be a possible opponent for America, and I said China or the Arab world, but I didn't mean that this would happen tomorrow. I pointed out that for near future I meant around 2030. China first has to develop its commerce and industry to the point where it has enough military power to involve the West in a future war. (At the time of the interview, the war in Kosovo was already under way - Ed). The danger could also be that Russia, being in a destitute state, has already sold arms, technology and people to Third World countries. But I think that however small a country is, it thinks before dropping an atomic bomb on the USA.

AF: And what do you think the other, more distant danger is?

MB: An asteroid hitting Earth. I hadn't thought of that. I was actually referring to an ecological crisis which is growing because of the increase in pollution which is faster than any human corrective measure. But of course I'm not talking about only surviving ten years.

AF: Staying with this issue, in an edition of the magazine 'Visto' three years ago, you partly confirmed the text of the Third Secret of Fatima which has been around for thirty years but has never been backed by the Church.

MB: Yes. There are two things in the Third Secret of Fatima. Everyone noticed the first, but very few noticed the second. The first tells of a nuclear war before the end of the millenium. From the context, that's clear. In fact, the text talks about the use of arms that are more powerful than a thousand suns. It's clear what arms are intended if we look at what the text goes on to say: "the living will envy the dead". This means that the people who survive the nuclear weapons will suffer from radiation.

The other, less known aspect, is the doctrinal crisis of the Church. Three years ago, I was interviewed by Canadian TV. I said: "Yes, the Madonna of the Third Secret of Fatima says this, but no-one has noticed that she first says that it will happen if mankind doesn't mend its ways.". So its all conditioned by this previous remark. But I am optimistic. We, as people, can influence the realization of a prophecy, change the date and the intensity of the prophesied events and mitigate them. The renewal of spirituality in the young is a good omen. I mean to say, spirituality based on the rules of the Church.

AF: Do you believe that non-terrestrial intelligences could intervene in a possible future cataclysm?

MB: I've already said that it's possible for these beings to come to the aid of mankind. Anyway, we shouldn't expect help from anyone, it has to be us who change and mature.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: ufoupdateslist.com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Alien Abductions Reality or Dreams?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 09:10:45 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 09:10:45 -0400
Subject: Alien Abductions Reality or Dreams?

Source: The National Capital FreeNet - Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

http://www.ncf.ca/~dy656/earthpages3/articles_alienab.htm

March 30, 2006

Alien Abductions: Reality or Dreams?
by Anita Welsh

If one had asked me 20 years ago if "we are alone", my answer would have been definitely "yes." Today, I am not sure. My research into the phenomena known as alien abductions began 13 years ago, in my home on a farm in Rockwood, between Guelph and Acton Ontario. At that time, my husband, myself and daughter (with her young son of 2 years old) all worked for the Ontario Government. Our ritual was to get up each morning before 6 a.m. and leave for work in downtown Toronto, dropping the infant off at the babysitters. This particular morning, we all slept in until 10 a.m.

Our first instinct on waking so late, as if we all had hangovers, 'was of a power cut causing the alarms to not go off. But what most caught our attention was a two-year-old telling us that he had met "God" during the night. His description of "God," and of being taken up through his bedroom window in a blue light to a space ship, certainly woke us quickly. Of course, our natural instinct was to put it down to a dream. I remember asking my daughter what on Earth he'd been watching on television. Remarkably, the two-year-old turned to me and said "they said you would not believe me Nanna." Not wishing to call the child a liar, I instinctively said "of course I believe you." His next words sent a chill down my spine. "They told me to tell you to find the book that grandpa Lennie gave you in England, and read the last two lines on page.." (I cannot remember the page number now). I had to think what book he was talking about; then I remembered that on my last visit a few months earlier to England, my father had given me a book to read on the plane back to Canada. Because it dealt with a form of spiritualism, which I could not understand, I didn't bother to read it. On my return, it had been thrown in the junk drawer. My daughter and I turned the house upside-down searching for this book. On turning to the page indicated by my grandson, I read out loud the two lines he told me to read "there are more things in heaven and earth than what any of us understand"..

What is so extraordinary about this, is that my two-year-old grandson, who could not possibly read, or have known about this book, was able to tell me about two unusual lines contained in it.. This started me wondering about what he was telling us, and if it could have some truth to it. He also told us, quite in detail, about the 'children on the space ship' who were children but not really children--in fact adults; also, about animals in cages that had been taken from other planets, which were nothing like animals on earth. He then told us about a lady and another little boy who looked after him while he was on board this ship. His description of "God" was as one would want to think of him,

yet he described the children as having large heads and big eyes. It was not until later that I discovered, through researching aliens, that there have been various descriptions as to what aliens look like. In one description, they were depicted as tall, with golden hair and beautiful. Could these aliens have been the ones that my grandson had met?

The years went by, and we would tease my grandson.. "if the aliens come again, wake us up this time and take us with you"...but my grandson always remarked that they had said they would come back for him when he was older - which was very chilling to hear, so we stopped asking.

One morning, I woke feeling groggy and told my husband that I wasn't going to work that day (my daughter had moved out with her son to a distant town). For some reason, I went back into a deep sleep, only to wake up very suddenly during the morning. I got out of bed, went into the living room, and turned on the television. It was a show called "Bob McLean and Friends." He was interviewing a lady called Betty Stewart, who claimed to have been abducted on quite a few occasions. As I listened to her, I knew immediately, how I do not know, that this was the woman whom my grandson had said looked after him on the spaceship. Without hesitation, I contacted the television station and was immediately given the producer, who happened to be Bob McLean's wife. She arranged for me to speak with Betty. During the conversation with Mrs. McLean, however, I discovered that this show, which normally went out live, was a recording which had been put on at the last minute, due to technical problems.

Betty Stewart phoned me back. We discussed my grandson, and I was amazed how she described him in detail, and knew immediately what I was talking about. She also told me about the other little boy with him. While on the phone, I heard my front door open, and to my utter surprise, my daughter and grandson were standing there. They were just as shocked to see me, as I normally would have been at work. For some reason, my daughter had decided to make a surprise visit. Betty asked me to ask my grandson if he had been fed on the spaceship. I thought it a strange request, but found the reply even stranger. My grandson said they had given him a milk-like substance to drink; but it wasn't milk. Betty confirmed this. She then asked me to ask if the aliens had eaten with him. My grandson became annoyed, "no grandma they do not eat like us, they absorbed the food through their skin..." Betty also confirmed this. The conversation lasted quite some time, and questions were thrown back and forward. Betty then told me that her abductions had been profiled on the CBC television show "Man Alive" and that she would send the video tape to me. She then asked me to show it to my grandson and ask him if he recognized anyone on the show. When watching the tape, my grandson on seeing Betty cried out, "that is the lady on the space ship.. but her hair is funny." I phoned Betty and told her this. She laughed, "I was wearing a wig on the show. When I was abducted with your grandson, it was night and I obviously was not wearing a wig, so he saw me as I really look."

Betty had asked me to return the tape, but somehow, I never got around to it. Then another strange thing happened. It was Christmas, and as such, we who did not have small children worked to give those with kids a chance to spend more time with them. Because of my position with the Government, I received phone calls after they'd been screened by reception. A man on the phone told me that he was a friend of Betty's, and that she had died. He also said that it was Betty's wish for me to carry on her work. I had to tell him that, because of my position with the government, I could not possibly do this; it could jeopardize my work. We discussed the funeral plans and he hung up. I went up to the receptionist, as we were the only ones in, and told her about the call which she had just transferred to my office. She then told me that she had not put through any calls to me..

On arriving home, I immediately phoned Betty's home to speak to her. A woman answered the phone, and I explained about the man who, calling that morning, had told me of Betty's death. The woman was very abrupt. There was no way I could have known-- Betty had only just died, and there were no men there. In fact, they were still trying to get hold of her son to notify him. I hung up the phone, not sure what to make of the whole thing. I

never heard from Betty's family or about attending the funeral, so decided to try and forget.

As the years passed, strange things would happen that were hard to explain. However, in 1997, my home was destroyed by fire. All my tapes except one, the "Man Alive" tape with Betty on it, were lost to the flames. I can remember laughing when the insurance adjuster, going through the rescued items, handed it to me. Again not thinking, it was thrown into a box. Recently my grandson, now nearly 17, came to live with me. He found the tape, and asked if he could look at it. Suddenly he yelled, "Nan come here!" and rushing into the room, was pointing at one of the people investigating Betty. It was Terrence Dickenson, the Canadian Astronomer. What made this so remarkable, is that when my grandson was 10 years old, he had an urge to contact Mr. Dickenson after reading his books on space and astronomy. He and Mr. Dickenson hit it off, and it was arranged for my grandson to go to McMaster University in Hamilton to attend meetings with some of the students and persons interested in astro-physics. Unfortunately, a few months after going to McMaster, my daughter and her new husband took my grandson to live in British Columbia, and the contact between him and Mr. Dickenson ceased. Mr. Dickenson, however, had phoned me about my grandson, and remarked how at his age of 10 he knew so much about space, and that most of the people who read and understood his books were university students.

So another part of the puzzle was unfolding. I decided that it was time for me to take up Betty's work. I had retired from the government, and had free time. So I started researching into alien abductions. Among other things, I wanted to contact Mr. McLean who had originally interviewed Betty, over 10 years prior. I was able to track him down, and spoke once again with his wife. She remembered the show, and told me that she had received another call from a Guelph couple, who like me, had been drawn to watch the show because their son, like my grandson, had told the same story. They didn't want any publicity, but it seemed that after the "abduction" their child began to have terrible nose bleeds. A medical operation revealed that something, metal like, had been inserted in the nose. It was removed, and although examined, no-one could say just what substance it was. My grandson has also had terrible nose bleeds since this incident, which raises the question, "did he receive some kind of implant, the same as this other child?"

Betty, in one of our conversations, had told me that the children had been implanted with some kind of "tracking device." Still not sure, we wondered if the whole thing was an imagination problem which should be ignored. Now, we still are not sure...

There have been so many coincidences that I have not written about all of them, or of my research into alien abductions. I am now working on a seminar about these phenomena, and look forward to talking with people about it over the next few months. Anyone wanting to book me for an after-dinner/corporate event, or just for an unusual talk/seminar, can contact me at:

anita.welsh.nul

Copyright Anita Welsh 2006. All rights reserved.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Mon, 03 Apr 2006 11:28:28 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 09:52:42 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 2 Apr 2006 18:58:21 +0100
>Subject: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>I'm just preparing you for a new twist from Ray Santilli & Gary
>Shoefield with regards to the Alien Autopsy film.

>This will be featured in the Sky One documentary 'Eamon
>Investigates - Alien Autopsy', to be screened on Tuesday 4th
>April a 8.00 pm in the UK.

>I've briefly taken part and so has Nick Pope.

>Just when you thought you'd heard it all from Ray Santilli then
>there's more. This is all of course in advance of the Alien
>Autopsy movie which is launched in cinema's here on April 7th.

Is this show available via online broadcast?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Secrecy News -- 04/03/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Mon, 3 Apr 2006 12:24:44 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 09:54:40 -0400
Subject: Secrecy News -- 04/03/06

SECURITY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 42
April 3, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

**AIPAC CASE DRAWS GROWING ATTENTION
**SECURITY CLEARANCES PROPOSED FOR SOME HOUSE MEMBERS
**ENDING SECRET HOLDS IN THE SENATE
**DOD ISSUES NEW ON INFORMATION OPERATIONS
**RADIO FREQUENCY BIOEFFECTS VIEWED FOR NON-LETHAL WEAPONS

AIPAC CASE DRAWS GROWING ATTENTION

The prosecution of two former officials of the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) for mishandling classified information is attracting growing public attention and concern as the anomalous character of the case becomes increasingly clear.

It bears repeating that the two defendants, Steven Rosen and Keith Weissman, are not accused of being agents of Israel or any other foreign power. The government has stipulated that they are not. Although they are charged under "the Espionage Act," this is not an espionage case.

What makes the whole affair even more peculiar is that the defendants did not even request the disclosure of the information they are accused of mishandling.

"Nowhere is it alleged that Dr. Rosen or Mr. Weissman stole, paid for or even solicited the information that they allegedly received," the defense noted in a January 19 motion to dismiss.

A theory of the law that would penalize such informal transactions between citizens and government officials is obviously susceptible to extreme abuse.

See "First Amendment Issues Raised About Espionage Act" by Walter Pincus, Washington Post, March 31:

<http://tinyurl.com/hwfgg>

See also "Judge Calls Speech Rights Central to Espionage Case" by Richard B. Schmitt, Los Angeles Times, April 2:

<http://tinyurl.com/gz24c>

Although Judge T.S. Ellis III questioned the government sharply at a March 24 hearing, there is no reason to deduce that he will dismiss the case. Such questioning typically serves to clarify the basis for prosecution and is, as often as not, a prelude to a ruling in favor of the government.

The jury trial in the case that was originally set for April 25 has been rescheduled for May 23, according to a notice in the case docket.

SECURITY CLEARANCES PROPOSED FOR SOME HOUSE MEMBERS

Members of the House of Representatives who serve on the Intelligence Committee or the Defense Appropriations Subcommittee should be required to obtain security clearances as a condition of the service, said Rep. Steve Buyer (R-IN), who introduced legislation to that end last week.

"These two Committees have access to the most closely guarded secrets our nation possesses," Rep. Buyer said in March 30 testimony before the House Rules Committee.

"These Committees are positions of the highest level of trust. I do not believe that asking Members to obtain a clearance in exchange for the privilege of serving on these Committees is too much to ask to show the American people that we take this trust seriously," he said.

He cited the case of Rep. Randy "Duke" Cunningham (R-CA), a member of both committees who was recently convicted of accepting bribes and other offenses, as a justification for the move.

Under Rep. Buyer's surprising proposal, merely getting elected to Congress would become subordinate to the vagaries of the security clearance process. And since Congress does not have its own security vetting function, the Buyer proposal would effectively transfer to the executive branch the power to approve or deny membership on the intelligence or defense appropriations committees.

See "Buyer Pushes Higher Standards for Members," news release, March 30:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/2006/03/buyer033006.html>

ENDING SECRET HOLDS IN THE SENATE

The Senate voted last week to end the practice of secret "holds" by which a Senator may anonymously block the consideration of pending legislation. The proposal, advanced by Sen. Ron Wyden (D-OR) and Sen. Charles Grassley (R-Iowa), would still permit Senators to object to legislation, but they could not do so anonymously.

"What is unjust about the process of secret holds is that it prevents a Senator from being held accountable when it comes to conducting the people's business," said Sen. Wyden. "It's time to force these objections out of the shadows and into the sunshine."

Last year, a secret hold was used to block the FY 2006 Intelligence Authorization Act from coming to the Senate floor, and for the first time in three decades the annual Authorization Act was not passed.

"I will tell the Senator who is holding that important intelligence bill," said Sen. Jeff Sessions (R-AL) in a somewhat bizarre speech on the Senate floor last week. "It is the two Senators from Massachusetts. Senators Kennedy and Kerry have objected to considering the bill because they want to offer amendments."

That's not true, Senators Kennedy and Kerry replied. The Senators noted that their amendments had been cleared for consideration, and that there were no objections on the Democratic side.

"Apparently, to prevent debate on this very important issue, a Republican Senator is willing to let the whole intelligence bill fail," said Sen. Kennedy. "That's an outrage."

The Wyden-Grassley amendment to prohibit secret holds passed the Senate 84-13. All of the 13 no votes were cast by Republicans.

See the March 28 floor debate here:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_cr/s032806.html

Now that the amendment has passed, will the 2006 Intelligence Authorization Act finally be brought to the Senate floor?
Probably not.

"I have little faith in SSCI's [the Senate Intelligence Committee] ability to produce any legislation, regardless of the circumstances," said one Democratic congressional expert. But in any case, work on the 2007 Intelligence Authorization bill has already commenced. And the Wyden-Grassley measure is not yet law, the congressional official noted.

The Wyden-Grassley amendment was offered as part of the Lobbying Reform bill that passed the Senate last week. Some recent Congressional Research Service reports on lobbying reform include the following:

"Lobbying, Ethics and Related Procedural Reforms: Comparison of Current Provisions of S. 2349 and H.R. 4975," March 23, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/misc/RL33326.pdf>

"Lobbying and Related Reform Proposals: Consideration of Selected Measures," 109th Congress, updated March 23, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/misc/RL33293.pdf>

"Lobbying Disclosure and Ethics Proposals Related to Lobbying Introduced in the 109th Congress: A Comparative Analysis," updated March 23, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/misc/RL33234.pdf>

"Lobbying Reform: Background and Legislative Proposals," 109th Congress, updated March 23, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/misc/RL33065.pdf>

And, for good measure, "Taiwan: Major U.S. Arms Sales Since 1990," updated March 21, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/weapons/RL30957.pdf>

DOD ISSUES NEW DOCTRINE ON INFORMATION OPERATIONS

The Department of Defense recently published new doctrine on the planning and execution of "information operations."

Information operations, including what was formerly known as "information warfare" (a term that has been withdrawn from official doctrine), is comprised of five elements: psychological operations, military deception, operations security, electronic warfare, and computer network operations.

Its overall purpose is "to influence, disrupt, corrupt, or usurp adversarial human and automated decision making while protecting our own."

Information operations programs to influence foreign audiences under the rubric of "strategic communication" have been both controversial and notably ineffective.

"If I were rating, I would say we probably deserve a D or D+ as a country as how well we're doing in the battle of ideas that's taking place," said Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld on March 27. "I'm not going to suggest that it's easy, but we have not found the formula as a country."

The new doctrinal document is Joint Publication 3-13, "Information Operations," dated February 13, 2006 (1.3 MB PDF):

http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/dod/jp3_13.pdf

Communications support to military operations through the Global Information Grid is addressed in another new document: Joint Publication 6-0, "Joint Communications System," 20 March 2006 (3

MB PDF):

http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/dod/jp6_0.pdf

New Army doctrine on operations -- beginning with "How Army Forces Fight" -- was published last week in U.S. Army Field Manual Interim FMI 5-0.1, "The Operations Process," March 31, 2006 (2.7 MB PDF):

<http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/army/fmi5-0-1.pdf>

RADIO FREQUENCY BIOEFFECTS VIEWED FOR NON-LETHAL WEAPONS

The effects of radio frequency (RF) microwave (MW) radiation on the human nervous system and their potential for use in non-lethal weaponry were discussed in a new summary report prepared for the Air Force Office of Scientific Research.

"Although the Department of Defense is one of the world's largest developers and users of RF/MW-emitting systems for radar, communication and anti-electronic weaponry purposes, the use of RF/MW radiation as a non-lethal weapon per se has not yet been realized," according to the authors.

"Most likely this is because the effects of exposure of biological systems to RF/MW fields at levels that do not produce thermal effects are largely unknown," the unclassified report states.

"The overall objective of the research funded by this grant was to begin laying the foundation upon which RF/MW technology can be developed that would have an application for non-lethal weaponry uses, such as stunning/immobilizing the enemy."

See "Interdisciplinary Research Project to Explore the Potential for Developing Non-Lethal Weapons Based on Radiofrequency/Microwave Bioeffects" by Gale L. Craviso and Indira Chatterjee, University of Nevada, January 31, 2006 (1.3 MB PDF):

<http://www.fas.org/man/eprint/nlw-rf.pdf>

See, relatedly, "Air Force Plan: Hack Your Nervous System," February 13, 2006:

<http://www.defensetech.org/archives/002152.html>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Mon, 3 Apr 2006 13:23:29 EDT
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 09:57:42 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 2 Apr 2006 18:58:21 +0100
>Subject: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>List Members,

>I'm just preparing you for a new twist from Ray Santilli & Gary
>Shoefield with regards to the Alien Autopsy film.

>This will be featured in the Sky One documentary 'Eamon
>Investigates - Alien Autopsy', to be screened on Tuesday 4th
>April a 8.00 pm in the UK.

>I've briefly taken part and so has Nick Pope.

>Just when you thought you'd heard it all from Ray Santilli then
>there's more. This is all of course in advance of the Alien
>Autopsy movie which is launched in cinema's here on April 7th.

>Stay tuned as it's sure to fun.

Some thoughts:

1. Santilli is planning on releasing a "10th Anniversary Re-
Release" with "Special Added Scenes" not included in the
original.

Unfortunately the dummies will flock to it with just as much
passion and pseudo-scientific enthrallment as before.

2. Santilli will "expose" the original film as a fraud and hoax,
carefully making it seem as if he was a victim as well. The
dummies will disagree and race to form complex and pointless
conspiracy theories on how the film was indeed authentic.

-Jason

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Glenn Dennis Veracity Poll Results

From: **Brian Sunday** <bipsunday.nul>
Date: Mon, 03 Apr 2006 12:01:55 -0700
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 09:59:18 -0400
Subject: Glenn Dennis Veracity Poll Results

Out of the 9 who responded (both publicly and privately)
two-thirds question his veracity....

33% believe him
44% don't believe him
23% are not sure

- A. Believe him (3 responses)
- B. Don't believe him - his story does not fit into Roswell event
(2 responses)
- C. Not sure what to believe rearding the Roswell Incident
(0 responses)
- D. Forced to discount his story as Kevin Randle has shown
glaring inconsistencies in Dennis' tale. (1 response)
- E. Not sure what to believe about Glenn Dennis (1 response)
- F. Not sure what parts of his story are truthful, what parts are
lies, and what parts may be the results of imagination and
faulty memory. (1 response)
- G.) Glenn Dennis lies like a Republican Neocon (1 response)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Re: Whence Serpo? - Denton

From: Julia Denton <ybr2.nul>
Date: Mon, 3 Apr 2006 15:47:28 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 10:01:05 -0400
Subject: Re: Whence Serpo? - Denton

>From: Brendon Hird <hird1974.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 02:27:47 +1000
>Subject: Whence Serpo?

>Just when things were starting to get exciting

><http://www.serpo.org>

>appears to have been taken over by some UK domain name company.

>I live in Australia, and the Serpo site was accessible up until
>about a week ago. Then attempted access brought up a notification
>that the domain name, www.serpo.org had been acquired by a UK
>company. Now attempts to access www.serpo.org bears no fruit
>whatsoever!! Where, what, why and how? Can anyone please
>explain?

They are making a new website. Should be available soon,
according to George Noory last night on Coast to Coast AM.

Julia

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Re: Whence Serpo? - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Mon, 3 Apr 2006 14:00:04 -0700
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 10:02:56 -0400
Subject: Re: Whence Serpo? - Hatch

>From: Brendon Hird <hird1974.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 02:27:47 +1000
>Subject: Whence Serpo?

>Just when things were starting to get exciting

><http://www.serpo.org>

>appears to have been taken over by some UK domain name company.

>I live in Australia, and the Serpo site was accessible up until
>about a week ago. Then attempted access brought up a notification
>that the domain name, www.serpo.org had been acquired by a UK
>company. Now attempts to access www.serpo.org bears no fruit
>whatsoever!! Where, what, why and how? Can anyone please
>explain?

Hello Brendon:

To see the original page(s), Google up "serpo.org"

Instead of clicking on the regular link(s), look just beneath
and click on 'cached' instead. Cached versions of pages should
stay around for a while. Links won't work however, they will go
to the same parked domain.

Explain? I can only guess:

a) Serpo only registered the domain for a year or whatever
instead of 3, 5 or 10 years like a long-term project, and the
domain registry simply expired. If this is the case, it should
come back up if/when they renew.

b) Serpo generated a bubble of interest. Maybe they sold the
domain to the highest bidder for its traffic before that faded
away.

Check the regular URL from time to time to see if either of
these theories works out, or if its something else again.

Best

-Larry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Faith And Spirit Lasagna?

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Mon, 3 Apr 2006 14:08:36 -0700
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 10:04:41 -0400
Subject: Faith And Spirit Lasagna?

Hello all:

I just got a message (small excerpt below).

I'm just curious who else may have gotten it, so I can guess how the recipient-list was formulated.

I'd hate to think I'm the only one getting this stuff.

Thanks

- Larry Hatch

Dearly Chosen,

My name is Dr. Daniel Payne, and I have been directed to contact you with great news. Beginning in 2001, a female alien being from the most supreme alien race in our universe started channeling messages through me, and has just recently given me directions to send an email to you.

<snip>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda - Koi

From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi2.nul>
Date: Mon, 3 Apr 2006 22:11:27 +0100
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 10:06:49 -0400
Subject: Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda - Koi

>From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
>To: UFO Updates List <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 22:06:47 -0400
>Subject: Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda

>>From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi2.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 00:49:27 +0100
>>Subject: The 'True' Alien Agenda

>>Aliens and the 57 Varieties : The True Alien Agenda
>>By Andy Miller.

>This piece has got to be the best I've come across yet to
>illustrate what Jacques Vallee is talking about in his 'Alien
>Contact trilogy' - especially in Revelations: Alien Contact and
>Human Deception - in that it shows what Ufology (for the most
>part) has become, that is, what it has degenerated to and has
>sunk to.

>The aliens (ET not proven to be the solution to the UFO enigma
>yet, by the way) are behind drastic changes in our environment
>by getting us to fart ourselves to our doom, as if the massive
>amounts of pollution spewed into the environment by automobiles,
>industry, etc. every minute wouldn't be enough to quickly do the
>job? And to ensure success, they mutilate the cows that could
>speed up the process?

>I guess we'll be soon getting a telephone call from an 'unnamed
>General' who will verify that every word of Andy Miller's
>article is true!

<snip>

Hi Eugene,

A message from Andy Miller is cut and pasted below.

All the best,

Isaac Koi

Dear Eugene,

I was flattered that MUFON's Nova Scotia Provincial Director
would take the time to comment on my article.

I was particularly flattered that you would mention my modest
efforts in the same breath as books by Jacques Vallee. As you
may know, Vallee has just been voted "The Greatest Ufologist
ever" in a poll organised by Paul Kimball. See:

<http://tinyurl.com/lno53>

Your email arrived just before pre-publication copies of my new
book (which has the same title as the article you have seen) was
due to be collected by a courier for distribution to 106

reviewers and members of the press. Fortunately, my agent (Dave Pope) and I had a few hours this afternoon to quickly print a slip of paper to enclose with each copy of the book, with a couple of direct quotes from your email. That slip read:

"After reading an article summarising the startling conclusions in Andy Miller's new book 'Aliens and the 57 Varieties : The True Alien Agenda', Eugene Frison (a representative of MUFON, the largest UFO group in the USA) commented:

'This piece has got to be the best I've come across yet ...' and '... every word of Andy Miller's article is true!'"

Many thanks for this support. Let me know if you would like a photograph of your good self to accompany these quotes on the back of my book when the final dust jackets are printed.

With warm regards,

Andy Miller

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet -

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Mon, 3 Apr 2006 14:17:36 -0700
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 10:08:10 -0400
Subject: Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet -

>From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 23:20:46 -0500
>Subject: Re: ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet

>>Source: The Times - London, UK
>><http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,6-2111848,00.html>
>>March 31, 2006

>>ET's Flown Home Chased Off By The Internet
>>Ben Macintyre

>>The disappearance of flying saucers and little green men and a
>>shift in human credulity

>>Where (on Earth) have all the unidentified flying objects gone?
>>Just a few years ago, the sky seemed to be littered with flying
>>saucers and every other sort of astral crockery: strange lights,
>>cigar-shaped spaceships, paranormal things that went bump in the
>>night. Scully and Mulder were rushed off their feet.

<snip>

>>The simple truth is that the little green men
>>dont come calling like they used to, and they have stopped
>>leaving circles in our crops.

<snip>

>Dumb, dumb. Such muddled reporting is what he have learned to
>expect from the 'learn-ed' press.
>Wonder if he ever looked at the National UFO Reporting Center
>website or Filers Files ..

Dumb as a sack of rocks, yes, not a fact in sight. It reads like
a rushed effort by some student for some junior college
newspaper. How it got into the London Times escapes me.

- Larry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Re: Whence Serpo? - Baron

From: **Uzi Baron** <uzibaron.nul>
Date: Mon, 3 Apr 2006 19:23:05 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 10:12:12 -0400
Subject: Re: Whence Serpo? - Baron

>From: **Brendon Hird** <hird1974.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 02:27:47 +1000
>Subject: Whence Serpo?

<http://67.175.66.68/serpo/>

Regards,

UB

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Connondale Crop Circle Queensland 2006

From: **Diane Harrison** <aufor.nul>
Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 10:52:32 +1000
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 10:17:02 -0400
Subject: Connondale Crop Circle Queensland 2006

Connondale Crop Circle Queensland, Australia, 2006
AUFORN QLD report by Robert Frola

Its been 2 years since the last set of crop circles appeared in a private paddock [field] on Aherns Road on the way to Crystal Waters on a Sunday afternoon March 28th 2004, found by local boys, Eli Colbran and Tom Braby while out bushwalking.

Today we have a new set of circles one large & one small and the question on everyones lips, are these man-made or were they made by a UFO observed in the area around the same time, who knows? But AUFORN will travel to the area this week to continue their investigation.

For those interested, Mrs. English called AUFORN to have a chat about the circles on her property.

Phone interview with Robert Frola AUFORN Qld

Q: Robert asked Mrs. English if she had seen the crop circles from 2 years ago.

Mrs. English said "I was aware of the previous circles which appeared on the Aherns road property in 2004 but at that time we were away in Emerald, central Queensland, where they had 4 or 5 circles appear. We only have 2".

Mrs. English said "they don't appear to be man-made but we don't know....I can't see how they could be man-made but that's all we know. They're just there".

Mr English said: "We didn't discover them, someone else did and they passed this information on to someone who knew someone who was into UFOs. His name was Chris and he rang my mother in-law and asked if he could take a look. He took measurements and 7 photos".

Comment: Robert informed Mrs. English that TV coverage could stir quite a bit of interest and she may get all kinds of people on your property wanting to have a look.

Robert also informed Mrs. English the previous land owner got very upset with people continually climbing his fence and trespassing on his property without permission and that he plowed the circles into the ground to stop interest.

Mrs. English said "I didn't want TV coverage but I'm quite happy to let those that have a keen interest in the circles take a look".

Comment from Channel 9 TV: The reason we were in the area was because we had a team up in Maleny covering the opening of the new Woolworths store. It was just good timing.

Mrs. English tried to think of what or who could have made the circles and informed AUFORN she believed in the possibility of life out there in the universe.

Mrs. English said "we really have no idea what's done it,

certainly we haven't done them and it seems unlikely that someone else would come into our place and do them. I don't know if there is any technically way or who would do it". "There's no holes in the middle" indicating if man-made, then maybe there should be, "but there is a slight cone of grass in the middle of one of the circles and we did have 2 reports of people who reported seeing something in the sky at about that time, over 2 nights".

Mrs. English said "the circles had been there since Thursday that she is aware of, but they could have been there longer as they were only found this Thursday 30 of March.

"The lady who lives across the road who I don't know and have only met today, she was on TV. She saw something in the sky and heard lots of noise. My goats freaked out and they wouldn't go away from the house for a couple of days. I just thought there could have been dingoes around and maybe that's what upset them. I didn't know about these crop circles at the time. Whether that's connected or not I don't know".

Mrs. English said: "This fellow Chris and his wife and mother in-law saw something hovering about that time also, and the lady across the road reckons she saw something, but we my husband and I didn't hear anything at all".

Images copyright by Chris white can be viewed at:

<http://members.iinet.net.au/~bwratten/2006.html>

Case is under investigation

We will keep you posted.

Regards

Diane Harrison

The Australian UFO Research Network

<http://www.aufor.com>

UFOlogist Magazine

<http://www.ufologistmagazine.com>

Subscribe to: UFOlogist Magazine

<http://www.isubscribe.com.au/t>

P.O Box 738
Jimboomba, 4280
Australia.

Tel/Fax 07 55487205

Report a UFO Free Call Hotline
1800 77 22 88

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO Updates Main Index](#)

UFO Updates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

James Bond Johnson 1926 - 2006

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 10:21:30 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 10:21:30 -0400
Subject: James Bond Johnson 1926 - 2006

Source: Frank Warren's Blog

<http://tinyurl.com/llk77>

April 03, 2006

Dr. J. Bond Johnson Passed Away Quietly In His Sleep Saturday,
March 25

Dr. J. Bond Johnson passed away quietly in his sleep Saturday,
March 25, 2006, in Long Beach, Calif., from complications due to
cancer.

Memorial services will be held at the base chapel at Los
Alamitos Naval Air Station in California. His cremated remains
will be placed in a niche at Arlington National Cemetery in
Washington, D.C. Please go to [here](#) for more information.

Born June 18, 1926, in Fort Worth, Dr. Johnson was the only son
of the Rev. Floyd E. and Gladys Johnson, who ministered to Texas
Methodists their entire lives. Upon graduation at age 15 from
Taylor High School in 1942, he returned to Fort Worth to enroll
at Texas Wesleyan as a journalism major. With a great many of
the men away in World War II and having written and edited for
his high school paper and the Taylor Daily Press, he soon gained
employment as a reporter-photographer at the Fort Worth Star-
Telegram.

He remained in its employ for more than five years, including
the time before and after his service in the Army Air Corps,
while he earned degrees from Texas Wesleyan and Texas Christian
universities. Later he was to also earn higher academic degrees
in education, theology and psychology from Southern Methodist,
Southern California and Claremont universities.

J. Bond Johnson received the most attention in recent years for
having photographed in 1947 for the Fort Worth Star-Telegram the
wreckage of the controversial "UFO Crash" in Roswell, N.M. He
was sent by his editor to photograph the debris from the crash
that had been transported to Gen. Ramey's office at Fort Worth
Army Air Station. He shot a series of six photographs (both
sides of three glass plates from a Speed-Graphic camera), of
which five survive in the collection at the University of Texas
at Arlington. These photos are the only known pictures of this
famous and controversial debris. Although a minor incident in
his life, this event would come back later to include him in UFO
investigations.

In 1952, he was called back into the military and sent through
the Marine Corps Officer Training School in Quantico, Va. and
later assigned as public information officer at El Toro Marine
Base in Orange County, Calif. It was here that he earned his
wings as a Marine aviator. He became a life member of the U.S.
Marine Corps Combat Correspondents Association.

At the end of the Korean War, he was released from active duty

with the rank of captain and continued in the Marine Reserve. He was honorably discharged from the Marines in 1962 and immediately entered the U.S. Army Reserve. He retired as a full colonel after 33 years of service.

Col. Johnson was a consultant to the National Security Council at the White House and served on the Eisenhower Commission, which revised the Code of Conduct for prisoners of war, and was a Pentagon consultant to "Operation Homecoming," the Department of Defense rehabilitation program for returning prisoners of war after the Vietnam War. He was on the consulting faculty of the U.S. Army Command and General Staff College at Fort Leavenworth, Kan.

He was a post-doctorate fellow of the National Institute of Mental Health for three years of clinical psychiatry training in the post-graduate Department of Psychiatry, University of Southern California School of Medicine. He also received clinical training at the U.S. Public Health Service Hospital in Fort Worth, TCU-VA Veterans Guidance Center in Fort Worth, Metropolitan Hospital in Norfolk, Calif., Tri-City Mental Health Authority in Pomona, Calif., and Memorial Hospital Medical Center of Long Beach.

Since 1960, Dr. Johnson had been a California board-certified and licensed clinical psychologist. He was in private practice as a clinical and consulting psychologist in Long Beach for 39 years with specialties in family therapy, sports and industrial psychology. In 1970, he founded the Long Beach Youth Home, a residential treatment facility and school for emotionally troubled youths, and in 1974, he established Cedar House in Long Beach, which has become a national model in the treatment of child and spousal abuse.

He pioneered in studying the etiology of post-traumatic stress disorder, having interviewed returned prisoners of war, escapees and evacuees during three wars. He served as an Army Air Corps pilot cadet in World War II, a Marine Corps captain during the Korean War and an Army psychological operations specialist during the Vietnam War.

Dr. Johnson was ordained a Methodist minister by the Central Texas Methodist Conference. He served churches in Everman, Cross Plains and Italy, Texas. Fifty years later, in 1996, he retired from active ministry as senior pastor of First United Methodist Church of San Pedro, Calif.

Survivors: Wife, Elizabeth; son, Jerry Johnson; daughters, Jan Johnson and Joyce Jackson, all of California; grandchildren, Jennifer Shull of New York and Aaron Jackson of Hawaii; and sister, Elaine J. Carroll of Fort Worth.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Re: Whence Serpo? - Myers

From: Royce J. Myers III <ufowatchdog.nul>
Date: Mon, 03 Apr 2006 19:08:39 -0700
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 10:24:41 -0400
Subject: Re: Whence Serpo? - Myers

>From: Brendon Hird <hird1974.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 02:27:47 +1000
>Subject: Whence Serpo?

>Just when things were starting to get exciting

><http://www.serpo.org>

>appears to have been taken over by some UK domain name company.

>I live in Australia, and the Serpo site was accessible up until
>about a week ago. Then attempted access brought up a notification
>that the domain name, www.serpo.org had been acquired by a UK
>company. Now attempts to access www.serpo.org bears no fruit
>whatsoever! Where, what, why and how? Can anyone please
>explain?

This is the reply I received from the owner of the serpo.org
website:

From: Bill Ryan <bill.ryan.nul>
To: Royce J. Myers III <ufowatchdog.nul>
Date: Mon, 03 Apr 2006 22:06:58 +0100
Subject: Re: serpo.org

Hi, Royce -

Many thanks for your message. I registered serpo.org in the
usual way back in mid-November (through a company I used to work
for), and still have it registered to my name. There was no
sale... it=B9s all still me.

The site is currently being transferred to another server (and
is currently being updated as well) -- it=B9s taking an age and I
have no idea why! It should all be up and running very soon...
each time I log on I expect to see it back on line.

Please stay tuned, and thanks for your interest!

With best wishes to you, Bill

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Bob Dylan And A Possible UFO Connection

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 10:31:37 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 10:31:37 -0400
Subject: Bob Dylan And A Possible UFO Connection

Source: Sean Casteel's Website

<http://www.seancasteel.com/dylan.htm>

October 20, 2003

Bob Dylan And A Possible UFO Connection

By Sean Casteel

There have been innumerable attempts to see past the artistic guise of Bob Dylan's lyrics and poetry to what underlies his genius - what the actual creative process is that results in Dylan's lyrical outpouring and what the bedrock of inspiration is that he seems to have drawn on so effortlessly for more than 30 years.

I am here to suggest an explanation that has, to my knowledge, never been previously offered-UFO contact. I base this on a fairly rigorous study of UFO interaction with humans and a listener's fascination with Bob Dylan that I began as a 12-year-old in 1970.

Let me first give some background on what typically happens when a person experiences contact with the alien occupants of a UFO. A person will often be asleep in their bed and then suddenly awake feeling paralyzed and with difficulty breathing. Then a blue beam of light will appear to shine down into their room from nowhere. The blue beam of light then floats the person off their bed, through their unopened window, and into a waiting craft outside.

The most consistently reported description of what these aliens look like is as follows: gray-skinned creatures who are from two and a half to four feet tall with over-sized, embryo like heads and large, black, almond-shaped eyes. These humanoid creatures, called "grays" by most researchers in the field, then lay the subject on something like an operating table and began to perform various medical tests and procedures. The ultimate purpose of those medical examinations is still basically unknown but is often thought to be for the purpose of breeding experiments designed to create a hybrid race of half-human, half-alien creatures.

I know this may offend some of you, but the alien breeding experiments often call for the removal of ova from female subjects and sperm from male subjects, which are then blended accordingly with their alien counterparts. It has been stated more than once by these "grays" that their genetic material is compatible with that of human beings.

So, what about Dylan? Well, when I first heard the song "10,000 Men" from the "Under A Red Sky" album, I was struck by the appropriateness of the line "10,000 women in my room, Spilling my buttermilk, Sweeping it up with a broom." Using "buttermilk" as a euphemism, Dylan gives us a fairly straightforward account

of a sperm sample being taken. Even the female nature of his alien attending physicians squares with many other UFO abduction accounts in which human subjects are matched with aliens of the opposite sex.

I will discuss more lyrical allusions along those lines later.

Another point to consider is that a UFO abduction experience is often not consciously remembered. An abductee is typically left with only a memory of a block of "missing time", sometimes hours that they can't account for. There is another phenomenon that sometimes happens called a "screen memory" or "cover story", in which a more acceptable memory is inserted by the aliens to block out what is the usually traumatic abduction experience that has just taken place. This is what many UFO researchers feel is a "merciful" amnesia.

But back to Dylan. One of the most important aspects of Dylan's body of work is obviously his social conscience, his concern for all human beings and their right to various personal and political freedoms as well as a very healthy dose of the fear of God-like an Old Testament prophet's sense of reverent social criticism. Here again Dylan has very much in common with a UFO "experienter", as they are now sometimes called.

Everyone from Harvard psychiatrist John E. Mack, a firm believer in the abduction phenomenon and considered a major researcher in his own right, to the bestselling author of "Communion", "Transformation" and "Breakthrough", Whitley Strieber, reports that in the aftermath of the abduction experience a person is awakened in new ways to certain social issues like never before. This change in world view brings with it a huge upsurge in interest in subjects like nuclear warfare and the environmental decline of the world. A deeper concern for the planet and their fellow man than they have ever felt previously begins to take hold of them.

Abductees are often shown a holographic image of the world on fire which imprints on them permanently an apocalyptic sense of the immediate future that tends to manifest itself in increased spirituality and implants the notion of unselfish devotion to their fellow man. Whether this change is intended by the aliens or is simply a common "side-effect" of the abduction memories stored in the unconscious mind is not known at this point.

Is this a possible explanation for Dylan's extremely moralistic social and political stance and his dire warnings of doom through decadence and unrestrained wickedness?

Just like "you don't need a weatherman to tell which the wind blows", you don't need a UFO to tell you the world's in an evil mess. But my argument remains that there are a great many allusions in Dylan's lyrics to experiences he has in common with UFO contactees.

Remember I talked about "missing time" and "screen memories", the two methods the aliens use to block a person's memory after an abduction is completed and the subject is safely returned to their bedroom or automobile or whatever. It has been demonstrated by more than one researcher that a person can have multiple UFO encounters over their entire lifetime and yet have no conscious memory at all of either ships or aliens. All that is left behind is a confusing jumble of memories stored in the unconscious mind and perhaps a nagging feeling that something is "wrong." Perhaps Dylan doesn't discuss UFOs because his waking memory retains no knowledge of his experiences.

"Saw a shooting star tonight, and I thought of you", from the song "Shooting Star." When a UFO is conveniently explained away by debunkers, a shooting star is often offered as an explanation for the movements of the craft-usually aerial maneuvers that cannot be duplicated by current human aircraft technology and certainly not by shooting stars.

"The farmers and the business men, they all did decide, To show you where the dead angels are that they used to hide . . ."

Although that line dates from 1966, "Sad-Eyed Lady Of The Lowlands", it seems a fairly good summation of the now famous "Roswell Incident" in which a New Mexico farmer named Mack Brazel reported finding a crashed disk with the bodies of dead

aliens strewn nearby. The "dead angels" were subsequently covered up by the military and the whole incident was said to consist of nothing more than a downed weather balloon.

Dylan's unconscious mind was somehow directed to write a neat little summary of the incident in rhyme nearly 13 years before the public knew anything about it. Perhaps you've heard of New Mexico Congressman Steven Schiff's attempts to get records of the incident declassified by the Department of Defense. Some part of Dylan "knew" the truth even when some members of the government remained shut out.

The experience Dylan had in late 1978 or early 1979 in which he was alone in his house and experienced a "presence" that was rattling his windows and trying to communicate was also a possible incidence of UFO contact. Dylan interpreted what happened as message from Jesus Christ that he should convert officially to Christianity, which Dylan did.

The history of UFOs and their contact with mankind being religiously interpreted goes back at least 6,000 years to ancient Sumeria, and arguably even further. Many of the miracles recounted in the Gospel, such as the Transfiguration, Resurrection and the Ascension have been linked to UFOs, called throughout the Bible "the clouds of Heaven." The healing miracles Christ is thought to have performed are similar in many ways to comparable effects in the wake of an abduction. Often a subject is surprised to see some ailment of theirs has been mysteriously cured overnight.

In fact, the Bible is sometimes called "the worlds' greatest book of Ufology" because many of the miracles described by the ancient Hebrew writers have been duplicated in the 20th century by UFOs. Dylan's immersion in the Bible from his childhood on is certainly no coincidence in this context.

Did Dylan ever comment directly on UFOs? In Robert Shelton's biography of Dylan, "No Direction Home", Dylan is quoted as saying "The 60s was like a UFO landing. A lot of people heard about it, but very few actually saw it." His reference is made in passing as an intended joke, but it may yet prove to be the tip of an iceberg buried deeply in the nearly infinitely complex unconscious memory of Dylan. And if this is true of Dylan, he is most certainly not alone.

A recent poll conducted in 1992 by the Roper Organization in cooperation with well-respected UFO researchers like Budd Hopkins and David Jacobs asked a few questions designed to indicate whether a person had been abducted without ever realizing it. The questions dealt with certain kinds of experiences that are commonly remembered after an abduction in spite of the amnesia imposed by the aliens themselves.

The results of the poll indicated that a tiny minority of the population of the United States, around 5 million people, reported having had these experiences. However, on another scale, that tiny minority was also consistently more socially and politically active than the average non-abductee respondent.

There is therefore at least a tenuous connection between the UFO abduction experience and a person's waking need to be of service to mankind in social and political ways. The association with Dylan's body of work should be obvious.

There have been numerous UFO sightings by celebrities from Elvis Presley to Prince Charles to Jimmy Carter to Muhammed Ali. Just as much ridicule is heaped on the belief in the reality of UFOs by the government and the "straight" media, there is a counter-balancing wealth of sightings by famous people and occasional government admissions that not all sightings can be explained away as natural phenomena.

The belief that we are not alone in the universe usually strikes the intelligent person as being more likely than the alternative. Was the lyrical and musical genius of Bob Dylan created in some kind of spiritual vacuum? Or is it the result of careful tending of a delicate flower by alien gardeners with a magic touch?

"As you stare into the vacuum of his eyes . . ." (From "Like A Rolling Stone", 1965)

Dr. David Jacobs, the UFO researcher who brought a new psychological depth to the study of alien abductions, discusses in his book "Secret Life" the various effects the gray's large, black, almond-shaped eyes have on people. Everything from interrogation of the subject to a warm and peaceful anesthetic-like effect can be attributed to staring into the gray alien's eyes. The staring is one of the most commonly recalled events of the abduction experience and has been consistently reported by thousands of "experiencers."

With a little background on the subject stored in your waking memory banks, knowledge gleaned from actually reading up on the subject, these loose associations between popular culture (such as song lyrics) and UFO contact may start to make themselves felt without much effort on your part.

Other artists who seem to be under this same alien influence include Leonard Cohen ("Sisters Of Mercy", for one) and The Band ("Chest Fever"). Both songs deal with female entities as nocturnal bedroom visitors who both charm and terrify.

And if it is true that the aliens behind the UFO phenomenon are here on Earth seeking a "Chosen Few", who could begrudge them their choice of Bob Dylan as a human specimen deserving of their special care and attention?

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Why Are They Silent?

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 05:57:42 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 10:33:39 -0400
Subject: Why Are They Silent?

If true UFO designers/builders/fliers are so smart with technology, why are they so silent? Why do they get our attention, on a worldwide scale, but not say anything?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 10:20:41 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 10:35:18 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 3 Apr 2006 13:23:29 EDT
>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>1. Santilli is planning on releasing a "10th Anniversary Re-
>Release" with "Special Added Scenes" not included in the
>original.

>Unfortunately the dummies will flock to it with just as much
>passion and pseudo-scientific enthrallment as before.

I wouldn't be surprised if we don't see release of the other
autopsy that only a few people have seen. That would result
in a big demand for the new version. This other film could
certainly answer some questions.

>2. Santilli will "expose" the original film as a fraud and hoax,
>carefully making it seem as if he was a victim as well. The
>dummies will disagree and race to form complex and pointless
>conspiracy theories on how the film was indeed authentic.

Ray told me on Saturday that he still sticks to his story as
originally told. He said "there was a cameraman, the film was
genuine and the cameraman's claims should be taken seriously."

I find his statement "there was a cameraman" interesting because
it is past tense. This tends to reinforce my own belief that the
man has died. This doesn't sound like Ray is about to say the
original film was a hoax. He has promised full disclosure after
the end of this month when his embargo with TV producers
expires. I'll be talking to him again at that time.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 10:25:12 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 10:37:48 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 3 Apr 2006 13:23:29 EDT
>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>Some thoughts:

>1. Santilli is planning on releasing a "10th Anniversary Re-
>Release" with "Special Added Scenes" not included in the
>original. Unfortunately the dummies will flock to it with just
>as much passion and pseudo-scientific enthrallment as before.

>2. Santilli will "expose" the original film as a fraud and
>hoax, carefully making it seem as if he was a victim as well. The
>dummies will disagree and race to form complex and pointless
>conspiracy theories on how the film was indeed authentic.

Jason,

Everyone should take a look at:

<http://uk.movies.yahoo.com/a/Alien-Autopsy/index-1742621.html>

This is the feature film that is to be released on Friday and if
you look at the Trailer, you'll see that the "creatruue" looks
identical to the AA "film" alien.

To make matters more interesting, the primary charactors appear
to look like the two main characters behind the AA "film"
(Santilli and Shoefield).

One plot line thread (i.e.- the actual film was too badly
deteriorated to use, so we decided to try and re-crete it) was
the same story given by Santilli with regard to the "Tent
Sequence".

And finally, Ray Santilli is feature movie's Producer.

This all seems to be building to a climax of some sort, and as
Philip indicated, the next few weeks could be telling.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 4](#)

Re: Sorry E.T. Is Still Not Taking Our Calls -

From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 15:37:37 +0100
Fwd Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 12:14:07 -0400
Subject: Re: Sorry E.T. Is Still Not Taking Our Calls -

>Source: The San Francisco Chronicle - California, USA

><http://tinyurl.com/z7g5u>

>Sunday, April 2, 2006

>Sorry, E.T. Is Still Not Taking Our Calls

<snip>

>A half century of failure is nothing to brag about. At the
>least, it suggests something is terribly wrong with SETI
>theorists' basic assumptions - say, about the prevalence of
>cosmic life, especially intelligent life, or perhaps about the
>usefulness of radio for contacting interstellar beings. SETI
>scientists should respect and heed skeptics like Basalla, rather
>than haughtily dismissing them as heretics or intruders from the
>humanities. Basalla's book is exactly what the insular little
>world of SETI research needs: an outsider's clear-headed take on
>a fledgling science that long ago ceased to be scientific.

Hi List,

only snippet of reality, in l-o-n-g article, seems to be that
"usefulness of radio" question.

We now know - most, maybe all stars have dust rings around them,
hinting at planetary systems. Also - many planetary systems
already discovered can't be explained by current models, so
we've got to expect many more than previously thought.

How many stars in Milky Way? Wiki gives up to 400 billion - but
latest NASA x-ray results say might be many more -

http://www.nasa.gov/home/hqnews/2006/feb/HO_M06067_Milky_Way_Map.html

We also know that 'self-organizing' is ubiquitous in deep space
- so organic molecules & nucleic acids exist in quantity, maybe
even proteins and RNA/DNA will be found. I.e. pan-spermia, or
something like it, is probably at work.

Only limiting factor would seem to be the size (hence life-time)
of stars. Too large a star has a short life-time - so probably
less chance of native intelligence arising (but that doesn't bar
colonizers!).

A harsh estimate would be maybe 100 billion candidate 'Solar
Systems'. Say that one-third are more primitive, one-third are
equivalent and one-third more advanced (and we've got to presume
millions of years more advanced).

That might mean 30 billion inhabited planets at high
civilization level. Dividing them into "introvert" stay-at-homes
and "extrovert" expansionists, exploiters or 'scientists', that
still leaves us with at least 10 billion possible visitors (or
"owners"?).

And none of them will be using steam radio!

Cheers

Ray D

"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 5](#)

The Great UFO Scare Of 1896

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 09:47:02 -0400
Fwd Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 09:47:02 -0400
Subject: The Great UFO Scare Of 1896

Source: The Mid-County Post - Capitola, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/k6oo2>

March 7 - March 20, 2006

The Great UFO Scare Of 1896
By Phil Reader

No, the date in the title is not a misprint. At the time of the incident in question, Wilbur and Orville Wright were still repairing bicycles in their little shop near Dayton, Ohio. Charles Lindbergh's parents were still dating and John Glenn's grandparents were farming in the Midwest. It was back in a day when men were rarely little and never green.

The story began slowly, hovering almost unnoticed in the back pages of the local papers. Sailors in the dives of San Francisco's infamous Barbary Coast began to talk about glowing spheres and saucer-like machines that they had seen rising out of the waters of the Sea of Japan or the China Sea, hovering and thence flying off. Saloon keepers and patrons of these dives were not at all surprised, "considering the quantity and quality of whiskey being passed across the bars," according to the San Francisco Call.

Though the Wright brothers' historic flight at Kitty Hawk was still seven years in the future, by 1896 public imagination was already receptive to the prospect of flight by powered aircraft. Jules Verne had written of ships of the air, just as he had submarines and sending men to the moon. German engineer Otto Lilienthal, whose work inspired the two brothers from Dayton, had already successfully flown in a hang glider. And Samuel P. Langley, third Secretary of the Smithsonian Institution, had recently managed to get his Aerodrome No. 5, an unpiloted, engine-driven, heavier-than-air craft, airborne.

By the Thanksgiving holidays of 1896, the wild sailors' story had made its way to the front pages of the newspapers in bold and brazen print. Sightings of the mysterious "airship" reached feverish heights when on Tuesday, Nov. 17, word came of sightings by "hundreds of people" in the Sacramento area. It was described as a series of electric arc lamps propelled by a mysterious force.

Reportedly traveling from north to south, it could be seen for nearly an hour. Observers speculated that the "airship" was apparently directed by some intelligent force, as it reportedly rose and descended to avoid roofs and steeples.

Not to be outdone, San Francisco had its own rash of sightings four days later. Among the large crowd that witnessed the arrival of the "airship" were policemen and firemen - 97 just the sort of people not generally supposed to be given to hysteria or prevarication. Even Mayor Adolph Sutro got into the act, claiming that he too had witnessed "lights carried by airship."

Soon all eyes were turned skyward, with the large daily papers carrying lurid details of events breathlessly reported by "reliable" observers.

An attorney even came forth claiming to know the inventor of the wondrous machine. He personally claimed to have been aboard the vessel and said it was made of metal, about 150 feet long and capable of carrying 15 people. He also told of watching the ship ascend and descend in an almost vertical fashion.

Barristers in those days inspired the same instinctive mistrust as now, and the announcement quickly came under overwhelming scrutiny. Yet in spite of the fact that the barrister's story was eventually proven untrue, the airship craze continued to grow.

On the night of Nov. 26, the unidentified flying object finally reached the Monterey Bay region where newspapers excitedly announced the citizenry had been treated to a "bright light arcing through the air," which appeared first in Pacific Grove and then moved on to Salinas and Watsonville. It was said to have conveniently bypassed Hollister, "which was a temperance town."

In Watsonville, an excited resident rushed into the newspaper office shouting that he had seen the airship. But this development was dashed by friends jealous of his sudden attention. They contended that he had merely seen one of "those big owls which have their home high up in the steeple of the Presbyterian church."

The next evening, Santa Cruz was to get its long-awaited chance. The strange light put in an appearance in the skies above the coastline, hovering for a period of time over the Cliff Drive. Moments later the "craft" was reported to have crisscrossed town several times before slowly fading from sight.

As elsewhere, with the Santa Cruz County witnesses it was said that the luridness and detail of their observations seemed to be in direct proportion to the amount of alcoholic beverages recently consumed. It is perhaps enlightening to note that the overwhelming number of those sightings by the "airship clan" were in the airspace above the Front Street saloon and brothel district.

Duncan McPherson, longtime publisher of the Sentinel, glibly announced that it appeared to him that this strange new vessel was powered solely by alcohol. This sparked a verbal tiff with the competing Surf newspaper, each editor contending that other shared the same fuel source, and that his rival's family tree had been darkened by a streak of lunacy.

Even the local judiciary became involved in the question. Superior Court Judge Lucas Smith ordered a hooligan named Jack Brodie to exit the County and remain in banishment until the "airships" return. Needless to say, Brodie was never seen in these parts again.

With an eye on the future, journalists of the day busied themselves with explanations which will seem thoroughly modern to present-day skeptics. Among these were Earth-based balloons, prepubescent pranks and, of course, the scapegoat planets Mars and Venus.

There was also one unique to Santa Cruz =97 the reflection of moonlight off of schools of sardines bouncing up to the cloud cover. Original indeed!

During the month of December, the airship hysteria of 1896 slowly faded. Not surprisingly, no definitive explanation for the mystery was ever found. But just over 50 years later, an equally elusive appearance was made in the night skies over Roswell, New Mexico, proving everything old is new again.

[UFO UpDates thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 5](#)

Re: Why Are They Silent? - Boone

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 10:38:09 EDT
Fwd Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 09:49:14 -0400
Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent? - Boone

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 05:57:42 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Why Are They Silent?

>If true UFO designers/builders/fliers are so smart with
>technology, why are they so silent? Why do they get our
>attention, on a worldwide scale, but not say anything?

"People yakkity yak a streak and waste your time of day
But Mr. Ed will never speak unless he has something to say"

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 5](#)

Re: Faith And Spirit Lasagna? - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 12:05:38 -0400
Fwd Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 09:51:27 -0400
Subject: Re: Faith And Spirit Lasagna? - Shell

>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 3 Apr 2006 14:08:36 -0700
>Subject: Faith And Spirit Lasagna?

>I'd hate to think I'm the only one getting this stuff.

I got it, too.

Deleted it as well.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 5](#)

Re: Re: James Bond Johnson 1926 - 2006 - Allan

From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 17:05:57 +0100
Fwd Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 09:54:03 -0400
Subject: Re: Re: James Bond Johnson 1926 - 2006 - Allan

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2006 3:21 PM
>Subject: UFO UpDate: James Bond Johnson 1926 - 2006

>Source: Frank Warren's Blog

><http://tinyurl.com/llk77>

>April 03, 2006

>Dr. J. Bond Johnson Passed Away Quietly In His Sleep Saturday, March 25

<snip>

>J. Bond Johnson received the most attention in recent years
>for having photographed in 1947 for the Fort Worth Star-Telegram
>the wreckage of the controversial "UFO Crash" in Roswell, N.M.
>He was sent by his editor to photograph the debris from the
>crash that had been transported to Gen. Ramey's office at Fort
>Worth Army Air Station. He shot a series of six photographs
>(both sides of three glass plates from a Speed-Graphic camera),
>of which five survive in the collection at the University of
>Texas at Arlington. These photos are the only known pictures of
>this famous and controversial debris. Although a minor incident
>in his life, this event would come back later to include him in
>UFO investigations.

A query: Randle and Schmitt in their two books say that J Bond Johnson in fact took only four of the photos at Fort Worth, and that Major Charles Cashion took the other two, before Johnson arrived at the base. Karl Pflock says these two took all the photos but does not say who took which.

However, there is a seventh photo, shown in the Ft Worth Evening Star-Telegram for July 9. It is captioned "Star-Telegram Staff Photo". This 7th photo is one I do not recall seeing in any of the published Roswell books or papers.

In view of the high importance attached to the Roswell event, for the sake of accuracy we ought to clear up this matter, if it is at all possible. How many photos were actually taken in Fort Worth, who took them, and how many still survive?

CDA

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 5](#)

Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda - Frison

From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 13:25:07 -0300
Fwd Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 10:06:05 -0400
Subject: Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda - Frison

>From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi2.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 3 Apr 2006 22:11:27 +0100
>Subject: Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda

>>From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
>>To: UFO Updates List <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 01 Apr 2006 22:06:47 -0400
>>Subject: Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda

>>>From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi2.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 00:49:27 +0100
>>>Subject: The 'True' Alien Agenda

>>>Aliens and the 57 Varieties : The True Alien Agenda
>>>By Andy Miller.

>>This piece has got to be the best I've come across yet to
>>illustrate what Jacques Vallee is talking about in his 'Alien
>>Contact trilogy' - especially in Revelations: Alien Contact and
>>Human Deception - in that it shows what Ufology (for the most
>>part) has become, that is, what it has degenerated to and has
>>sunk to.

>Dear Eugene,

>I was flattered that MUFON's Nova Scotia Provincial Director
>would take the time to comment on my article.

<snip>

>"After reading an article summarising the startling conclusions
>in Andy Miller's new book 'Aliens and the 57 Varieties : The True
>Alien Agenda', Eugene Frison (a representative of MUFON, the
>largest UFO group in the USA) commented:

>'This piece has got to be the best I've come across yet ...' and
>'... every word of Andy Miller's article is true!'"

>Many thanks for this support. Let me know if you would like a
>photograph of your good self to accompany these quotes on the
>back of my book when the final dust jackets are printed.

I'm guessing I'm supposed to respond to this, probably by
getting upset or starting some long drawn-out thread to sort the
matter out.

Andy ...You can do whatever you want with my photo, stick it on
whatever slip of paper or book you want, and use it to support
whatever you want.

Anybody who would believe the piece you've written isn't
somebody I care about when it comes to their opinion. The fact
is, this field has been transmuted into pure nonsense. That's
today's reality and it's not going to change any time soon. Like
Vallee indicated in 'Revelations,' serious researchers have to
detach themselves from it all and do research independent of and
outside the present gathering of wannabes and fools. Some of us

are doing exactly that! Some of us have gone back to objective field research, trying to study the phenomenon, rather than sitting in the armchair endlessly arguing over things that the evidence shows has been discredited, is misinformation, etc. and instead of getting up on soap boxes to shout about our ET visitors from the stars!

The bottom line is: just like the real outer space exploration that's being done by our scientists isn't connected to or affected by the thousands of fantasy loving fans of shows like Star Trek (with their conventions and everything else), so real UFO research and investigation doesn't need to - and isn't - affected by the present gathering of fools, frauds, and fanatics.

If you're detached from it then it doesn't matter what the 'fantasy' crowd is doing! The biggest mistake that has been made is for some serious and competent researchers to pour their energies into trying to change the present state of affairs in Ufology. You can't change this entity that has developed. You can only ignore it and put your energies into efforts that lie totally outside it and do the science that that needs to be done. If this present group wants to think they are 'Ufologists' then let them! As long as serious and competent researchers don't make the mistake of not recognizing that trying to change this present ufological entity is a black hole that devours the energies and efforts of competent researchers if they get caught up in trying to change it by clashing endlessly with the players involved, then the existence of this huge group of 'ufoologists/alienologists' doesn't affect real research into the UFO phenomena.

Who cares if some people want to believe in the AA film, or if others want to believe Billy Mier is legitimate? Who cares if someone who is stupid enough to believe that aliens are using the Heinz company to change the world by increasing the amount of farts released also believes that Eugene Frison endorsed the piece? Anyone whose opinion I respect and care about knows what I am doing and knows I wouldn't have endorsed that, so how is it of any significance that someone who has an intellectual level not sufficient enough to allow that person to wrap their mind around the 'fart conspiracy' believes I spoke up in favor of it?

If the goal is 'to determine the origin and nature of the UFO phenomenon' then how are my research efforts along these lines harmed when some fan of yours that I don't even know believes I endorsed your alien/farts conspiracy theory?

Oh, and by the way, just for the information of those who may be on this List and don't know - it's been years since I was the Provincial Director of MUFON. I left that position when I was promoted to MUFON's Atlantic Regional Director then moved on to become its National Director (of Canada). And it's been over a year since I resigned as MUFON's National Director. I still respect MUFON and its International Director (John Schuessler) and he knows he can call on me to assist him any time he needs - just for the record!

It is very apparent, through his use of outdated 'facts' and his twisting of the legitimate information that he does receive (as evidenced in his post above), just how Andy Miller was able to come up with something like his article 'Aliens and the 57 Varieties: The True Alien Agenda' - and what he's all about in this field.

So, Andy ... I'm going to go out now and eat my dinner (think I'm going to open that can of Heinz beans that's in the cupboard and have them with some whole wheat bread), as it's just past noon here. Then I'm going to work on finishing the report on a local abduction case here in Halifax that Mark Rodeghier of CUFOs (one of the few people doing legitimate UFO research) asked me to look into awhile back so I can send it to him this week. I don't think I'm going to worry too much about my part in helping the aliens take over the world after I eat those beans and while I work on that report. But, if you want, while you're putting my picture in that endorsement, don't forget to add that Eugene Frison also believes we now know what the anal probes are really being used for in abductions - the aliens aren't stealing genetic material and sperm/ova (that's just a cover) - they are really stealing our farts. This piece of information should really help your new book and be an

important revelation to your fans!

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 5](#)

Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 22:35:57 +0100
Fwd Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 11:18:06 -0400
Subject: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

Tonight showed the SKY ONE TV show 'Eamon Investigates - Alien Autopsy'. The show starts of with Santilli still claiming that he saw real film of aliens and that he purchased it from a former US military cameraman. However, there is now a change in the story. Santilli & his colleague Gary Shoefield claim that it took 2 years to buy the film & that when it finally arrived in London 95% of it had 'oxidised' and the remaining 5% was in very poor condition. They therefore decided to 'reconstruct' it based on Santilli's recollection and a few frames that were left.

To do this they hired UK sculptor John Humphreys. Humphreys tells of how he used sheeps brain for the brain and a lambs leg for the leg joint.

The cameraman's interview film is also a fake. The man in the film is someone they literally brought in off the street and gave him a prepared script to read from.

Santilli and Shoefield continually try to insist that the AA film as we know it is a restoration, but in fact it was made by John Humphreys. To try and justify they claim that some of the surviving original frames are seen mixed in with the reconstruction/restoration. Interestingly neither Santilli, Shoefield or Humphreys could point out where and which are these frames when viewing the AA film.

Santilli admitted that the six-fingered panels in the debris film were the result of 'artistic license' an he even produced one of the I-beams from the boot of his car. The debris film was also mde by John Humphreys.

In fact, Humphreys is the surgeon in the film, and a former employee of Shoefield's in behind the window. He's Gareth Watson, a man I met several times in Ray's office.

Nick Pope and mysels appear briefly in this show and I dare say Nick will have hi own comments to make. For anyone interested in the AA film I do recommend watching this if you can. I've taken part in another show for Channel Five in the UK the content of which I am not permitted to disclose.

After watching this tonight I can honestly say that I do not believe one word of either Santilli or Shoefield and I have no doubt that the film is nothing more than a complete fake. There is and never was any original film and there is and never was any US military cameraman. Santilli & Shoefield had little credibility as it was but now they have none.

The alien autopsy film is dead and I hope to put it to rest, once and for all, soon. Watch this space.

Philip Mantle

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 5](#)

Re: Glenn Dennis Veracity Poll Results - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 15:13:12 -0300
Fwd Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 14:32:38 -0400
Subject: Re: Glenn Dennis Veracity Poll Results - Friedman

>From: Brian Sunday <bipsunday.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 03 Apr 2006 12:01:55 -0700
>Subject: Glenn Dennis Veracity Poll Results

>Out of the 9 who responded (both publicly and privately)
>two-thirds question his veracity....

>33% believe him
>44% don't believe him
>23% are not sure

>A. Believe him (3 responses)

>B. Don't believe him - his story does not fit into Roswell event
>(2 responses)

>C. Not sure what to believe rearding the Roswell Incident
>(0 responses)

>D. Forced to discount his story as Kevin Randle has shown
>glaring inconsistencies in Dennis' tale. (1 response)

>E. Not sure what to believe about Glenn Dennis (1 response)

>F. Not sure what parts of his story are truthful, what parts are
>lies, and what parts may be the results of imagination and
>faulty memory. (1 response)

>G.) Glenn Dennis lies like a Republican Neocon (1 response)

In the past I have talked about Dart Board Physics being used
with regard to UFOs. Now we seem to have Dart Board sociology. I
wonder how many of the respondents - obviously not a significant
sample size - have actually spent any time with Glenn or
carefully reviewed what he has said?

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 5](#)

Re: Faith And Spirit Lasagna? - Groff

From: Terry Groff <terrygroff.nul>
Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 13:20:06 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 14:33:45 -0400
Subject: Re: Faith And Spirit Lasagna? - Groff

>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 3 Apr 2006 14:08:36 -0700
>Subject: Faith And Spirit Lasagna?

>Hello all:

>I just got a message (small excerpt below).

>I'm just curious who else may have gotten it, so I can guess how
>the recipient-list was formulated.

>I'd hate to think I'm the only one getting this stuff.

>Thanks

>- Larry Hatch

>-----

>Dearly Chosen,

>My name is Dr. Daniel Payne, and I have been directed to contact
>you with great news. Beginning in 2001, a female alien being
>from the most supreme alien race in our universe started
>channeling messages through me, and has just recently given me
>directions to send an email to you.

><snip>

Dang,

Now I'm going to have to join Non-Contactees Anonymous. My
self-esteem has been shattered because I wasn't chosen.

(Either that or my Mailwasher program marked it as spam and I
deleted it without looking.)

Terry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 5](#)

Re: Why Are They Silent? - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 15:22:24 -0300
Fwd Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 14:35:41 -0400
Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent? - Friedman

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 05:57:42 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Why Are They Silent?

>If true UFO designers/builders/fliers are so smart with
>technology, why are they so silent? Why do they get our
>attention, on a worldwide scale, but not say anything?

We don't know that there haven't been many contacts between alien visitors and Earth based governments. People collecting worms for bait or minnows rarely speak with the bait. Surely aliens are here for their purposes not to have small talk with us.

Abductees do report communications. As long as aliens can do what they please, why worry about making noise for our "benefit"? Are we worth talking to??

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 5](#)

Re: Why Are They Silent? - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 14:06:44 -0700
Fwd Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 14:36:48 -0400
Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent? - Hatch

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 05:57:42 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Why Are They Silent?

>If true UFO designers/builders/fliers are so smart with
>technology, why are they so silent? Why do they get our
>attention, on a worldwide scale, but not say anything?

My best guess is they don't want our attention, but may be
unable to hide completely and are seen from time to time.

- Larry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 5](#)

Re: Why Are They Silent? - Connors

From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 15:08:28 -0600
Fwd Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 14:38:12 -0400
Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent? - Connors

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 05:57:42 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Why Are They Silent?

>If true UFO designers/builders/fliers are so smart with
>technology, why are they so silent? Why do they get our
>attention, on a worldwide scale, but not say anything?

Perhaps they are not silent. It is possible that we have neither evolved biologically, or technologically enough, to understand the messages. All living species communicate, but for the life of me, I can't hold a two-way conversation with a tree, as an example. Yet, I receive much knowledge from carefully looking at a tree. I am not as grand and majestic. I don't produce oxygen and I certainly am not as enduring in longevity, but these few examples have been communicated to me by the very existence of a tree being in my frame of reference.

There is much communication via methodology as the devices observed evolve. As an example, translucent objects were far and few between during the 1960s. By the late 1970s reports of such objects became glaringly obvious to researchers. It gave rise to the speculation these types of objects may be probes.

SETI is fundamentally flawed because it does not look for communications outside the known radio frequencies which we are aware. It is foolish to assume our great technological advance since WW II is so total. It is not and never will be.

Keep thinking, researching and studying. Ufology is a science that evolves. Pat answers don't exist. It is the journey attempting understanding of a phenomena that is important and brings knowledge.

Wendy Connors
fadeddiscs.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 5](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: **Isaac Koi** <isaackoi2.nul>
Date: Wed, 5 Apr 2006 00:34:02 +0100
Fwd Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 14:39:16 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

The "Eamonn Investigates: Alien Autopsy" documentary was shown on Sky One in the United Kingdom this evening.

I assume that Philip Mantle will shortly be posting a summary here, so will just give a few quick overview.

The main revelation will not have come as a surprise to anyone that has been following any of the publicity surrounding the Ant and Dec film during recent months.

For those that haven't been following that publicity and didn't see the documentary, basically Santilli admitted that he and some associates had filmed the "Alien Autopsy" using a model of an alien, sheeps brains and other props.

He repeatedly claimed that in filming his "alien autopsy" he was in fact involved in "restoration" of the actual footage which he had purchased (but was in very poor shape, having basically disintegrated during the 2 years that he took to negotiate and fund the purchase of that footage), and was thus was not fake footage.

Santilli admitted that 95% of the footage was from his "restoration", filmed in a private house in London. However, he claimed that maybe 5% of the "alien autopsy" footage was from the "real" footage, but was unable to point out which bits were not added as a result of his "restoration".

At one point Santilli produced a few snippets of film which he claimed was from the oh-so fragile footage of the real alien autopsy - and handled it with bare hands.

The huge grin that Santilli had on his face each time he referred to the "restoration" did not exactly assist in the credibility of his story that he had purchased footage that he believed was actually of a real alien autopsy.

Santilli and his associates clearly enjoyed the filming of the "restoration" and the subsequent publicity.

Kind Regards,

Isaac Koi

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Eammon Investigates The Alien Autopsy

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 01:26:46 +0100
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 01:28:58 -0400
Subject: Eammon Investigates The Alien Autopsy

This programme was shown on Sky one between 20:00-21:00 in the UK on Tuesday 4th April 2006.

The programme jumped about a lot, so this isn't in chronological order as it was broadcast.

It very briefly covered the background. In essence, Ray Santilli and Gary Shoefield claim that they were in Cleveland, Ohio in 1992 trying to obtain rare home movie footage of Elvis Presley. Santilli was contacted by an ex-US army cameraman, offering the Alien Autopsy film for an undisclosed price.

Santilli travelled to Clearwater, Florida to meet the cameraman. He didn't discuss this with Shoefield until he returned to Cleveland. Santilli examined the film and was convinced it was genuine, but he couldn't afford the price being asked.

3 years later, Santilli had sufficient funds, and purchased the 22 rolls of film, but found that the film had deteriorated in the intervening time and was virtually worthless. Shoefield supports this account, claiming to have been present in Santilli's office when he opened some of the cannisters, and recalled that the film smelled very strongly (he didn't specify what it smelled of). When Eammon asked Santilli how much he paid for the film, he replied "in your terms, not a lot of money". Santilli also said that he supplied copies of the film free to broadcasters. Ray Levine, editor of the "News of the World" in 1995 was interviewed and he said that Santilli offered him the film for sale, and the newspaper offered =A350,000, but "There was a sort of a smoke and mirrors type of conversation between us and Ray Santilli, where he was coming up with all sorts of reasons why it couldn't be produced immediately". When Santilli realised that the film would need to be verified by experts and details published in the paper before he would receive any cash, he ceased to communicate with the NotW.

In order to recoup some of the investment, Santilli decided to "Restore" the film (essentially recreate it, using dummies and props). He claims that "less than 5%" of the film he released to the media was from the original footage, and that he can no longer identify which frames are from the original. He showed Eammon a few frames of the original film (it wasn't shown in any detail on the TV) The remainder of the original film is in the possession of an anonymous backer in Germany. Santilli said "I wasn't involved in the world of ufology, you know, my concerns were strictly commercial at the time, because I thought it was a great opportunity, and I was looking at footage which really was a difficult sell, and I thought, well, if we're going to make any money out of it, we need to find a different answer, which is why we restored it".

The dummy was created over three-and-a-half weeks by sculptor and SFX designer John Humphreys (who I thought bore an uncanny resemblance to the "alien", perhaps he used his own face as a model). The organs were made from sheep and chicken offal (including the brain, which was a sheep's brain encased in jelly), the leg injury made use of a sheep bone joint. The set was a terraced house in Camden Town, London. The actors included Santilli, Shoefield, Humphreys, and one of Shoefield's

employees, Gareth Watson.

Props (including the camera) were carefully chosen so as to be appropriate for the time-period, and care was taken to ensure that they didn't appear to be "antiques". The "debris" was also manufactured by Humphreys, according to him, based on usable stills from the original footage, but according to Santilli, "we're not expert enough to know [what] the original heiroglyphics were and in fact the imagery wasn't that clearly defined for us to be able to emulate it". The 6-fingered palm print was "artistic licence".

The first attempt to "restore" the film failed, and a second dummy had to be created. (In fact, Humphreys made no mention of there being two dummies on-screen, only Santilli did). When the dummies were finished with, Humphreys said it was disposed of by being cut into small pieces and burnt. According to Santilli they were cut into small pieces and placed in plastic sacks which were dumped in different locations in London.

Santilli was quite insistent that "I didn't fake alien footage... no, we restored it", and compared it with the restoration of the Mona Lisa and the Sistine chapel!

Santilli still refuses to name the cameraman, claiming that he promised not to do so. During the "restoration", under pressure from people to identify the cameraman, Shoefield faked an interview with him in a hotel room in Los Angeles - just a character picked up on the street.

Somehow, I doubt that the cameraman ever existed - Santilli could easily have duped his co-restorers into believing that he did. No-one other than Santilli saw the 'original' film in good condition. On the other hand, all of those involved in the programme might have been 'got at' by MI5/CIA/MFI/C&A and forced to debunk the true story.....

Cheers,

Joe

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

AUFORN Public Meeting April 7th 2006

From: **Diane Harrison** <auforn.nul>
Date: Wed, 5 Apr 2006 10:31:50 +1000
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 01:31:07 -0400
Subject: AUFORN Public Meeting April 7th 2006

AUFORN Public Meeting April 7th 2006

Where: Springwood community Center
cnr of Cinderella Drive & Vanessa Blvd
Springwood.

Free tea and coffee

Starts promptly 7.30 pm till 10.30 pm
Admittance \$7.00 members \$5.00

We have a great night organized for you so don't forget to come along.

Topics:

1. UFOs around Australia, UFOs around the World.
2. The recent Crop Circle in Connondale.
3. "A New NASA Footage DVD" NASA UFO FOOTAGE rare NASA footage which the U.S Government does not want you to see.

Off topic:

4. Depleted Uranium the environmental implications to Australia and its people. "Blowin' The Wind" a documentary by David Bradbury.

For more details Contact
Robert Frola Editor of the UFOlogist Magazine on 0427164677
or Diane Harrison National Director AUFORN on 07 55487205.
Or email Diane at: Diane.nul or
Robert at editor.nul

Regards Diane Harrison

The Australian UFO Research Network
<http://www.auforn.com>

UFOlogist Magazine
<http://www.ufologistmagazine.com>

Subscribe to: UFOlogist Magazine
<http://www.isubscribe.com.au/t>

P.O Box 738
Jimboomba, 4280
Australia.

Tel/Fax 07 55487205

Report a UFO Free Call Hotline
1800 77 22 88

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Latest On Red Rain Puzzle

From: Paul Anderson <paulanderson.nul>
Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 21:39:22 -0700
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 01:37:15 -0400
Subject: Latest On Red Rain Puzzle

Source: New Scientist Magazine Issue 2545

<http://tinyurl.com/f6ehw>

March 31, 2006

'Red Rain Puzzle is Still Up in the Air'
by Hazel Muir

When red rain fell over southern India in 2001 it was sensationally suggested that the red particles in the rain could be alien microbes. Now, after weeks of analysis at two labs in the UK, microbiologists are still struggling to identify them. It sounds like an episode of The X-Files, but a down-to-Earth explanation is looking the more likely outcome.

Astronomer Chandra Wickramasinghe is studying the cells with microbiologists at Cardiff University. "As the days pass, I'm getting more and more convinced that these are exceedingly unusual biological cells," he says.

The red rain fell sporadically over Kerala during two months in 2001. Godfrey Louis, a physicist at Mahatma Gandhi University in Kottayam in Kerala, examined the red particles and, unable to find DNA, suggested that they might be alien microbes that had fallen to Earth on a comet (New Scientist, 4 March, p 34).

If so, they would be the best evidence to date of "panspermia", the theory that primitive life forms fly around interplanetary space on chunks of rock and ice. However, other scientists who read Louis's report thought the red particles could be terrestrial cells that had somehow blown up into the rain clouds. Suggestions included fungal spores, red algae and mammalian red blood cells.

At the end of February, Louis sent samples of the red rain to Wickramasinghe, a champion of the panspermia theory. His team has analysed the samples, as has a second team led by Milton Wainwright, a microbiologist at the University of Sheffield.

Both teams say microscopy confirms that the particles are biological cells. They are not red blood cells because they do not contain haemoglobin. It's unlikely that they are fungal spores or red algae. They don't contain chitin, a key component of fungal cell walls. Nor do they contain the chloroplasts, the organelles in which photosynthesis takes place, that are typical of red algae.

But they do, after all, contain DNA. A simple DNA stain test in Sheffield came back positive. However, more rigorous tests in Cardiff that try to amplify specific DNA sequences have so far failed. "That doesn't mean there's no DNA, it means that the DNA is probably unusual," Wickramasinghe suggests.

The red cells have unusually thick, sturdy walls, and some contain daughter cells that Wainwright says are puzzling. He

stresses, though, that the cells could be ordinary, terrestrial organisms he is not familiar with.

Something like the Trentepohlia alga, perhaps? That's the conclusion of microbiologists at the Tropical Botanic Garden and Research Institute in Kerala, who say they have cultured the cells and grown Trentepohlia, an alga common in Kottayam, where the first report of the red rain originated. Formal DNA identification awaits.

Both UK teams will continue DNA tests and say they will not release full details of their results until they have been peer reviewed. Sadly for X-Files fans, a terrestrial origin is looking more likely. How the cells fell as rain, that's the mystery.

So now, a new summary to-date:

- confirmed as biological cells; "exceedingly unusual"
- not red blood cells, fungal spores or red algae
- DNA found, but no specific DNA sequences found yet; DNA may then be unusual
- unusually thick cell walls
- "daughter cells"
- Trentepohlia alga?

The thick cell walls and "unusual" DNA could still be consistent with cells originating from a (hostile) extraterrestrial space environment, possibly? Do other known earthly cells/microorganisms have "unusual" DNA in any significant way? The thinking seems to be that they are terrestrial because they have DNA, but so could extraterrestrial ones. They probably will turn out to be terrestrial I suppose, but they do at least seem to be quite unusual in nature. I look forward to their full findings when published.

Re the possible Trentepohlia alga, I found the full CESS report (PDF file), which explains their findings:

<http://www.geocities.com/iamgoddard/Sampath2001.pdf>

Is this the solution? If so, I'm a bit surprised the two other UK labs haven't also easily confirmed this yet...?

--

The Meridiani Journal

<http://www.themeridianijournal.blogspot.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Black Vault Launches Massive Encyclopedia Project

From: John Greenewald, Jr. <john.nul>
Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 23:06:01 -0700 (Pacific Standard Time)
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 01:40:10 -0400
Subject: Black Vault Launches Massive Encyclopedia Project

The Black Vault Launches Massive Encyclopedia Project

NORTHRIDGE (BlackVault) - Wednesday April 05, 2006 =96 The Black Vault internet archive announced today the launching of a massive online Encyclopedia Project. This new undertaking archives information that many mainstream portals consider 'fringe' and usually ignore. This project also aims to bring together a worldwide group of editors and writers to create the largest 'encyclopedia' of conspiracy, paranormal, and related topics.

Built on the same engine as the popular Wikipedia site, The Black Vault Encyclopedia Project launched officially with 200 articles already in the database, with many more to come. But the unique feature of this site is not only the invaluable information that is stored, but the ability for users worldwide to edit the site in real time, and add their contributions to the archive.

The Black Vault VIP members were first to test the engine and offer their recommendations a couple of weeks ago. After some minor tweaks, The Black Vault has officially opened the doors to the project - and is accepting new users!

Registration is free, and offers great benefits to use the site. (Existing accounts on The Black Vault portal do not transfer to this new domain - it is an entirely new database, and requires an additional free registration)

To begin using The Black Vault Encyclopedia Project, logon to:

<http://www.blackvault.com/encyclopedia>

Please keep in mind the project is in need of editors and writers. If you are interested, please contact the Administrator (described below) and reference the help files for more information.

And for those worried about this new project being defaced by hackers, The Black Vault Encyclopedia Project has initiated cyber bots to watch for malicious attacks, along with sysops worldwide keeping an eye out for unwanted visitors.

Ideas and suggestions are welcomed, and preferred they are left on the Encyclopedia Project site. To leave a message, head to:

<http://www.blackvault.com/wiki/index.php/User:Administrator>

and click on Discussion. Once there, click on the "+" sign, and leave me a message!

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 15:57:01 +0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 07:49:32 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Hall

>From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 22:35:57 +0100
>Subject: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>Tonight showed the SKY ONE TV show 'Eamon Investigates - Alien
>Autopsy. The show starts of with Santilli still claiming that he
>saw real film of aliens and that he purchased it from a former
>US military cameraman. However, there is now a change in the
>story. Santilli & his colleague Gary Shoefield claim that it
>took 2 years to buy the film & that when it finally arrived in
>London 95% of it had 'oxidised' and the remaining 5% was in very
>poor condition. They therefore decided to 'reconstruct' it based
>on Santilli's recollection and a few frames that were left.

>To do this they hired UK sculptor John Humphreys. Humphreys
>tells of how he used sheeps brain for the brain and a lambs leg
>for the leg joint.

>The cameraman's interview film is also a fake. The man in the
>film is someone they literally brought in off the street and
>gave him a prepared script to read from.

>Philip Mantle

So much for Gullible's Travels. I sincerely hope that the dupes
and the apologists for this fraud will learn a strong lesson
about applying more critical thinking to such far-out claims.
The will to believe has clouded peoples' judgment.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Wed, 5 Apr 2006 12:04:17 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 07:51:36 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Shell

>From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 22:35:57 +0100
>Subject: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>To do this they hired UK sculptor John Humphreys. Humphreys
>tells of how he used sheeps brain for the brain and a lambs leg
>for the leg joint.

Humphreys is not telling the truth when he says he used a
sheep's brain. The "brain" removed in the AA is much larger
than a sheep's brain and in no way resembles a sheep's brain in
gross anatomy. In fact, as I pointed out when I first looked at
the AA, it does not look like a brain at all.

The whole bit about the film "oxidizing" makes no sense to me.
Ray mentioned something to me once about some of it having
gotten wet and being stuck together, but there are methods of
separating stuck film. Philip does not believe there ever was
any film.

Curious.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Secrecy News -- 04/05/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Wed, 5 Apr 2006 12:50:05 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 07:53:26 -0400
Subject: Secrecy News -- 04/05/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 43
April 5, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** GOVT DEFENDS CONSTITUTIONALITY OF CHARGES IN AIPAC CASE
** A LEGAL ANALYSIS OF THE REAUTHORIZED PATRIOT ACT (CRS)
** TRANSNATIONAL ORGANIZED CRIME (CRS)
** U.S. ASSISTANCE TO IRAQ, POST-WAR GERMANY, JAPAN COMPARED (CRS)

GOVT DEFENDS CONSTITUTIONALITY OF CHARGES IN AIPAC CASE

Government attorneys presented a robust justification of their decision to prosecute two former officials of the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) for mishandling classified information in a supplemental brief filed in the case last week.

"In the final analysis, this case is not about free speech, foreign policy lobbying, or petitioning the government," the Government brief stated.

"This case is about the willful conduct of two defendants; two defendants who conspired to obtain national defense information, knew they had in fact obtained national defense information, knew that communicating that information to foreign agents and members of the press was illegal, and yet chose to do so anyway. The First Amendment offers no sanctuary for their criminal conduct," the brief declared.

At a March 24 hearing, government attorneys had seemed tongue-tied and barely responsive to the probing questions of Judge T.S. Ellis III. Their March 31 brief, in contrast, is tightly argued.

Prosecutors even identified, at long last, what they said was a precedent for this unusual case. In a 1941 ruling in *Gorin v. United States*, the Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of the espionage statutes in a case that, like the present one, involved "oral disclosures of national defense information to a person who was not a government employee."

Attorneys for the defense also filed their own brief on First Amendment-related issues on March 31, but it was filed under seal and is not publicly available.

The defense had previously argued that it would be unconstitutional to criminalize oral communications involving national defense information because -- unlike documents that are marked classified -- oral conversations do not provide the listener any way to know which information is restricted from disclosure. Prosecutors disputed that argument.

"If oral communications of national defense information to non-government employees were to render the espionage statutes unconstitutional, this country would face a new era in which unconstrained espionage would flourish. Foreign agents and other individuals intent on obtaining the nation's most secret information would merely need to engage in verbal discussions with their sources in order to thwart law enforcement. It is obvious that in passing the espionage statutes, Congress never intended such an absurd result."

The Government also disputed the defense claim that the espionage statutes are impermissibly vague, and said that there are "extremely rigorous evidentiary hurdles" that protect against prosecutorial abuse.

"The willful intent requirement is a particularly strong guarantee against the unconstitutional application of Section 793 [of the Espionage Act]... By requiring the government to prove that the defendants knew their conduct was illegal, Section 793 insures that an 'entrapped innocent' will not fall within the statute's confines. Section 793 is not unconstitutionally vague."

A copy of the Government's brief was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "Government's Supplemental Response to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Superseding Indictment," filed March 31:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/jud/rosen033106govt.pdf>

The Government's newfound clarity about its argument hardly mitigates the First Amendment concerns raised by the case.

To the contrary, the prosecution's theory implies that once a reporter becomes aware that the subject of a story is "national defense information," he or she is legally obliged to desist from gathering any more information about it. To do otherwise could constitute evidence of "willful intent" to violate the Espionage Act.

As then-U.S. Attorney (now Deputy Attorney General) Paul McNulty put it last year, "Those not authorized to receive classified information must resist the temptation to acquire it, no matter what their motivation may be."

But according to case law, "national defense information" means defense-related information that the Government has taken steps to withhold from official disclosure, even if it is not classified.

So the press and the public are free to investigate anything defense-related unless the Government has decided it should be withheld. This might work very well. In some other country.

A LEGAL ANALYSIS OF THE REAUTHORIZED PATRIOT ACT (CRS)

On March 9, the President signed into law the USA Patriot Improvement and Reauthorization Act, which made permanent 14 of the 16 sections of the Patriot Act that were set to expire.

A new report from the Congressional Research Service provides a detailed, 74 page analysis of the Act, including the various modifications made in the reauthorization process.

See "USA PATRIOT Improvement and Reauthorization Act of 2005: A Legal Analysis," March 24, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/intel/RL33332.pdf>

TRANSNATIONAL ORGANIZED CRIME (CRS)

The threat posed by organized crime networks to national security and international stability, and U.S. policy responses to the threat, are examined by the Congressional Research Service in a new report obtained by Secrecy News.

"Starting in the 1990s with the end of the Cold War and the advent of globalization, many criminal organizations ramped up

their operations and expanded them worldwide."

"Crime networks have exploited expanding trade and financial markets, while benefitting from rapidly advancing technology, broadened international travel, and improved global communications."

"Mainly due to its clandestine nature, international crime is hard to measure. By the most conservative estimates, criminal proceeds comprise between two and five percent of global gross domestic product (GDP)."

See "Transnational Organized Crime: Principal Threats and U.S. Responses," March 20, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/natsec/RL33335.pdf>

U.S. ASSISTANCE TO IRAQ, POST-WAR GERMANY, JAPAN COMPARED (CRS)

The total amount of U.S. aid to Iraq since 2003 is already comparable to post-World War II U.S. assistance to Germany and nearly double that provided to Japan, according to a new Congressional Research Service analysis.

"U.S. assistance to Germany totaled some \$4.3 billion (\$29.6 billion in 2005 dollars) for the years of direct military government (May 1945-May 1949) and the overlapping Marshall Plan years (1948/1949-1952)."

"Total U.S. assistance to Japan for the years of the occupation, from 1946-1952 was roughly \$2.2 billion (\$15.2 billion in 2005 dollars), of which almost \$1.7 billion was grants and \$504 million was loans."

By comparison, "U.S. assistance to Iraq appropriated from FY2003 to FY2006 totaled some \$28.9 billion."

The CRS report is careful to note the various distinctions between the U.S. occupation of Iraq and the occupations of Germany and Japan.

For one thing, "Unlike the cases of Germany and Japan, there was no massive humanitarian crisis requiring aid in Iraq."

On the other hand, "Iraq also faces an insurgency that deliberately sabotages the economy and reconstruction efforts, whereas there were no resistance movements in either Germany or Japan."

CRS does not permit direct public access to its products. A copy of the new report was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "U.S. Occupation Assistance: Iraq, Germany and Japan Compared," March 23, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/natsec/RL33331.pdf>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood@fas.org
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Re: James Bond Johnson 1926 - 2006 - Morris

From: Neil Morris <neil.nul>
Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 16:12:21 +0100
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 07:59:00 -0400
Subject: Re: James Bond Johnson 1926 - 2006 - Morris

>From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 17:05:57 +0100
>Subject: Re: James Bond Johnson 1926 - 2006

>A query: Randle and Schmitt in their two books say that J Bond
>Johnson in fact took only four of the photos at Fort Worth,
>and that Major Charles Cashion took the other two, before
>Johnson arrived at the base. Karl Pflock says these two took
>all the photos but does not say who took which.

Photo analysis carried out by myself and Andrew Lavoie indicates
that Bond took the 4 images showing Ramey and DuBose, this
includes the image published on the 9th, no original of this
image or it's negative exists, only the microfilm copy page in
the Star Telegram archive.

>However, there is a seventh photo, shown in the Ft Worth
>Evening Star-Telegram for July 9. It is captioned "Star-
>Telegram Staff Photo". This 7th photo is one I do not recall
seeing in any of the published >Roswell books or papers.

>In view of the high importance attached to the Roswell event,
>for the sake of accuracy we ought to clear up this matter, if it
>is at all possible. How many photos were actually taken in Fort
>Worth, who took them, and how many still survive?

Bond's 4 photographs were taken at aprox 3.15pm on the afternoon
of the 8th, the remaining 3 photos were taken some time after he
left to return to the Star-Telegram.

Analysis of the 2 Marcel photographs strongly indicates these
were taken by two different cameras neither of which matches the
optical characteristics of Bond's Speed Graphic. As no negative
of the Newton shot exists it is still a mystery, but although the
debris in the shot has been moved around a little, other image
content suggests it was taken at around the same time as the
Marcel shots.

I have been extremely saddened by the loss of Bond, it was a
great pleasure to meet him on the occasions he visited the UK,
the last time only some 12 months ago. I have indeed lost a very
dear friend.

My heartfelt condolences go out to Elizabeth and all the family.

Neil

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

'The World's Strangest UFOs'

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 14:52:16 -0300
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 08:07:36 -0400
Subject: 'The World's Strangest UFOs'

The program aired this week on Discovery Channel Canada has really pissed me off. It's called The World's Strangest UFOs. Some of you may have seen this piece of trash. The following is what I sent to that channel.

Don Ledger

To whom it may concern:

I've seen some bad programming in my time but this latest "The World's Strangest UFOs" which is supposed to be an in-depth look at the UFO phenomenon, takes the cake. As a veteran UFO researcher/pilot/author I am, quite frankly, insulted by its content. The whole piece is designed to make everyone appear foolish and takes the giggle factor, already inherent in this business, to the highest degree possible. Those people who were interviewed are made to look as silly as possible, the music and sound effects are corny and close-ups are embarrassing. Often we researchers get sucked into these documentaries with no way of knowing just how we will be treated in "post".

The first episode that I viewed did its best to make Stanton Friedman look as freaky as possible while exploring the Hill case in New Hampshire. The explanations for what happened-not only in the Hill case, but many others - as represented by the documentary's "experts" who have produced this piece of trash - is amateurish at best.

You rely on a few of us researchers for information on the Discovery Channel's "Daily Planet". I've received requests for information from Peter McMahon at pmcmahon.nul for example but if this recent documentary is the level of respect you offer us then from here on in, you can go look elsewhere.

I'm sure you will find the appropriate wing-nuts to fill the bill and satisfy what appears to be a rather amateurish approach to a phenomenon that some of us have been looking at for decades and others for over 50 years.

If I were a scientist who had some interest in this UFO phenomenon and wanted to explore further while protecting his or her reputation, this latest program "The World's Strangest UFOs" would turn me off it completely.

I'm angry about this program. As a researcher and researchers we try and try to filter out the nonsense that pervades this field but no one seems interested in the really unexplainable hard core of this phenomenon. I for one look into pilot sightings, private military and commercial. There is a very serious component to these observations because they not only present themselves to very credible observers but bring up safety issues as well.

I expect better of Discovery Channel, but I guess hype sells better than fact.

A copy of this will go to UFO Updates which is the oldest,

The World's Strangest UFOs'

worldwide UFO List. Maybe we can nip "The World's Strangest UFOs" producer/director's ability to make future "UFO" documentaries, in the bud.

Don Ledger

[Not just a "program" but a series - the 2nd was equally awful
--ebk]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Wed, 5 Apr 2006 13:55:18 EDT
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 08:10:45 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 10:25:12 -0400
>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>Jason,

>Everyone should take a look at:

><http://uk.movies.yahoo.com/a/Alien-Autopsy/index-1742621.html>

>This is the feature film that is to be released on Friday and if
>you look at the Trailer, you'll see that the "creatruer" looks
>identical to the AA "film" alien.

>To make matters more interesting, the primary charactors appear
>to look like the two main charactors behind the AA "film"
>(Santilli and Shoefield).

>One plot line thread (i.e.- the actual film was too badly
>deteriorated to use, so we decided to try and re-crete it) was
>the same story given by Santilli with regard to the "Tent
>Sequence".

>And finally, Ray Santilli is feature movie's Producer.

>This all seems to be building to a climax of some sort, and as
>Philip indicated, the next few weeks could be telling.

>Steve

Thanks Steve! Anyone who watches the trailer will immediately realize that the whole thing was a sham.

Of course, it wouldn't suprise me if, ironically, the characters in the movie start off by creating a fake AA but then witness a true version. Just please don't fall for that crap in real life.

Now, here's what I want. I want all the Psuedo-Scientific AA Cultists - don't make me name names - to get out of the field when the truth about AA hits the fan.

-Jason Gammon

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Re: Why Are They Silent? - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
Date: Wed, 5 Apr 2006 20:38:24 +0100
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 08:17:59 -0400
Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent? - Dickenson

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 15:22:24 -0300
>Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 05:57:42 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Why Are They Silent?

>>If true UFO designers/builders/fliers are so smart with
>>technology, why are they so silent? Why do they get our
>>attention, on a worldwide scale, but not say anything?

>We don't know that there haven't been many contacts between
>alien visitors and Earth based governments. People collecting
>worms for bait or minnows rarely speak with the bait. Surely
>aliens are here for their purposes not to have small talk with
>us.

Occasionally thoughtless (or cruel) 'youngsters' will mess with
live bait, just to see it squirm.

Sure that's not happening here?

Cheers
Ray D

"Perceptions" <http://www.perceptions.couk.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Wed, 5 Apr 2006 19:11:50 -0300
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 08:20:26 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

>From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 22:35:57 +0100
>Subject: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>Tonight showed the SKY ONE TV show 'Eamon Investigates - Alien
>Autopsy. The show starts of with Santilli still claiming that he
>saw real film of aliens and that he purchased it from a former
>US military cameraman. However, there is now a change in the
>story. Santilli & his colleague Gary Shoefield claim that it
>took 2 years to buy the film & that when it finally arrived in
>London 95% of it had 'oxidised' and the remaining 5% was in very
>poor condition. They therefore decided to 'reconstruct' it based
>on Santilli's recollection and a few frames that were left.

>
>To do this they hired UK sculptor John Humphreys. Humphreys
>tells of how he used sheeps brain for the brain and a lambs leg
>for the leg joint.

>The cameraman's interview film is also a fake. The man in the
>film is someone they literally brought in off the street and
>gave him a prepared script to read from.

<snip>

Thanks much Philip for this report. I wonder what Ed Gehrman
will say now... Now if we can get Bob Lazar to admit all his
lies, we would really have a breakthrough.

I wonder if it was a coincidence that Lazar was on Coast to Coast
on April Fool's Day?

The listing info now says he worked on the design of nuclear
weapons for Los Alamos!!

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Re: Why Are They Silent? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Wed, 5 Apr 2006 18:15:28 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 08:22:21 -0400
Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent? - Nielsen

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 10:38:09 EDT
>Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 05:57:42 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Why Are They Silent?

>>If true UFO designers/builders/fliers are so smart with
>>technology, why are they so silent? Why do they get our
>>attention, on a worldwide scale, but not say anything?

>"People yakkity yak a streak and waste your time of day
>But Mr. Ed will never speak unless he has something to say"

Thanks Greg!

But, all kidding aside, does that mean that unless we can approach and communicate with superior intelligences, we can't expect to hear from the true UFO drivers? That sounds almost like religion to me.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Re: Why Are They Silent? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Wed, 5 Apr 2006 18:22:17 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 08:24:48 -0400
Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent? - Nielsen

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 15:22:24 -0300
>Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 05:57:42 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Why Are They Silent?

>>If true UFO designers/builders/fliers are so smart with
>>technology, why are they so silent? Why do they get our
>>attention, on a worldwide scale, but not say anything?

>We don't know that there haven't been many contacts between
>alien visitors and Earth based governments. People collecting
>worms for bait or minnows rarely speak with the bait. Surely
>aliens are here for their purposes not to have small talk with
>us.

>Abductees do report communications. As long as aliens can do
>what they please, why worry about making noise for our
>"benefit"? Are we worth talking to??

Thanks Stan.

If all the options we have are possible contacts with Earth
based governments, (never fully revealed), or never being able
to communicate because we're not aware enough on an evolutionary
level, all I can say is "Bummer! I still wish my wants rated a
response!"

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Re: Why Are They Silent? - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Wed, 5 Apr 2006 23:40:17 EDT
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 08:26:09 -0400
Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent? - Gammon

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 05:57:42 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Why Are They Silent?

>If true UFO designers/builders/fliers are so smart with
>technology, why are they so silent? Why do they get our
>attention, on a worldwide scale, but not say anything?

Congratulations for your observation. Many of us have pondered this. I personally feel that it is a warning that things are bit more dire for humanity that previously thought.

The visitors know that there presence cannot be kept secret. The visitors know that only their motivations can be hidden.

If the visitors have a motivation which they wish to keep secret from humanity, then this implies an on-going plan of some type. A plan which humans may disrupt or prevent should they "eat of the tree which God has forbid".

Let's take this further, the type of crafts reported fall into standard catagories. However, the occupants do not, and more importantly, are not associated with any particular type of craft. This is quite troublesome. The visitors seem to be attempting to decieve us of just "what" they in fact are.

It is of my opinion, based on the similiar methods of telepathic communication, that our visitor are a form of Artificial Intelligence, or some combination of A.I. and Organics.

Now, many abduction researchers have focused on the reproductive procedures reported by abductees. Seemingly, the mind control experiments/brain-washing/perception tampering, is for the most part pushed to the side by such researchers. It is my opinion that yes, reproductive concerns are indeed a cornerstone of the visitor's agenda. However, it doesn't stop just there. The visitors seem to be practicing with a goal of total control, via the body and mind. These mental procedures forced upon Abductees can be viewed as practice runs, where technology is tweaked and tinkered with until as much understanding of the human pyshe is gained as to produce effective mind control of the entire human race. I have previously predicted that at a future time, the visitors will be more assertive with their interactions with the public. This will coincide with the period just prior to the attainment of their goal, where secrecy itself is no longer required.

The phrase "Loose Lips Sink Ships" has apt application.

-Jason Gammon

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Re: Why Are They Silent? - Toal

From: **Ted Toal** <ttoal.nul>
Date: Wed, 5 Apr 2006 22:36:45 -0700
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 08:27:21 -0400
Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent? - Toal

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 05:57:42 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Why Are They Silent?

>If true UFO designers/builders/fliers are so smart with
>technology, why are they so silent? Why do they get our
>attention, on a worldwide scale, but not say anything?

Rick,

It has to be intentional. They do not want our worldwide attention. They want to get attention, a few people at a time. The "slow leak." Read about Jim Deardorff's hypothesis about a slow leak. It is the only theory I've seen that makes sense of it all. We are under an embargo, we are probably being studied. Why not, it makes sense to study other emerging intelligences to learn about the process of intelligent emergence, doesn't it?

Ted Toal

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Re: Faith And Spirit Lasagna? - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 00:31:25 -0700
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 08:29:33 -0400
Subject: Re: Faith And Spirit Lasagna? - Hatch

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 12:05:38 -0400
>Subject: Re: Faith And Spirit Lasagna?

>>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 3 Apr 2006 14:08:36 -0700
>>Subject: Faith And Spirit Lasagna?

>>I'd hate to think I'm the only one getting this stuff.

>I got it, too.

>Deleted it as well.

Thanks Bob, Terry and others who wrote in.

There doesn't seem to be any pattern to this, favoring one UFO email list or another.

Chances are the airhead just surfed the net for anything paranormal, made a list and spammed those people.

I saved the original message here somewhere.

Its a marvel of spirit-contactee drivels.

Best

-Larry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <alienview.nul>
Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 07:19:50 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 08:31:36 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 15:57:01 +0000
>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>>From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 22:35:57 +0100
>>Subject: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>>Tonight showed the SKY ONE TV show 'Eamon Investigates - Alien
>>Autopsy. The show starts of with Santilli still claiming that he
>>saw real film of aliens and that he purchased it from a former
>>US military cameraman. However, there is now a change in the
>>story. Santilli & his colleague Gary Shoefield claim that it
>>took 2 years to buy the film & that when it finally arrived in
>>London 95% of it had 'oxidised' and the remaining 5% was in very
>>poor condition. They therefore decided to 'reconstruct' it based
>>on Santilli's recollection and a few frames that were left.

>>To do this they hired UK sculptor John Humphreys. Humphreys
>>tells of how he used sheeps brain for the brain and a lambs leg
>>for the leg joint.

>>The cameraman's interview film is also a fake. The man in the
>>film is someone they literally brought in off the street and
>>gave him a prepared script to read from.

>So much for Gullible's Travels. I sincerely hope that the dupes
>and the apologists for this fraud will learn a strong lesson
>about applying more critical thinking to such far-out claims.
>The will to believe has clouded peoples' judgment.

I agree, Sir. The people's judgment is clouded. The will to
believe in something sincere, dependable, honest, or real...
is strong. Perhaps if churches practiced what they preached, if
honest governments operated for the good of the people... if
Schools didn't repudiate the lowest common demoninator (sic),
and profit didn't reflexively trump individuality? ...Perhaps
that judgment might be a little more difficult to cloud.

What's the real provenance of this intellectual fuzziness so
appalling to us both, I wonder. "Dupes" and "apologists", by and
large, only seem to try to fill a void preceding them, I feel.

alienview.nul
www.AlienView.net
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Phone Call From An Alien

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 08:48:02 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 08:48:02 -0400
Subject: Phone Call From An Alien

Source: Fate Magazine - Lakeville, Minnesota, USA

<http://www.fatemag.com/issues/2000s/2006-04article1a.html>

April 2006

Phone Call From An Alien
by Preston Dennett

UFO contact comes in many different forms. Some people have sightings of craft. Others have onboard experiences. Some experience bedroom visitations with ETs. Others have only telepathic contact or even claim to channel ETs. But there is another form of contact that is so rare, there have been only a few reported cases. These unique cases involve UFO contact through a simple instrument found in most homes—the telephone. As bizarre as this sounds, enough accounts have turned up to merit a serious investigation.

"A Flying Saucer Called Me Up"

Jack Sarfatti is today a prominent quantum physicist. Unknown to many, however, is that he may owe some of his success to a very unusual experience—a phone call from a flying saucer! The whole ordeal began more than 50 years ago.

Says Sarfatti, "In 1952 and 1953, when I was about 12 or 13 years old, I received a phone call—in which a mechanical sounding voice at the other end said it was a computer on board a flying saucer. They wanted to teach me something and would I be willing? This was my free choice. Would I be willing to be taught to communicate with them? I remember a shiver going up my spine, because I said, "Hey, man, this is real." Of course, I was a kid—but I said, yes."

Sarfatti was impressed. He ran and got his friends, and they gathered together in his bedroom, awaiting the upcoming promised contact. Unfortunately, nothing occurred, and Sarfatti assumed at first that it was just a clever practical joke.

At this point, his memory of the event strangely ends. But according to Sarfatti's mother, the first phone call was followed by a series of similar phone calls—each giving Sarfatti information that would leave him forever changed. Says Sarfatti, "My mother remembers this experience very well. It turns out that I had forgotten most of it. This was really something that occurred over several weeks. Apparently what happened, which is completely blanked from my memory but not from hers, was that I continually received phone calls, many phone calls from the same source. My mother says I was walking around really strange. She began to get worried about me. Finally, one day she picked up the phone, and she heard this computer. She remembers the voices." She said, "Leave my boy alone!" The Jewish mother talking to the flying saucer or whatever they were. And that was the end of it. We never got another phone call after that."

While Sarfatti is unable to consciously recall the messages given to him, his pioneering career as a quantum physicist may hold some of the answers. He championed Bell's theorem, which is based on the Einstein-Rosen-Podolsky quantum physics experiments regarding the possibility that subatomic particles behave in a way that indicates some sort of "telepathic communication with each other." Einstein felt that such a possibility was absurd. However, current experiments have proven, as Sarfatti claimed, that subatomic particles are in fact connected with each other non-locally. Sarfatti is today a leading authority on the physics of consciousness.

Debbie=92s Wednesday Afternoon Phone Calls

A remarkably similar case comes from the files of leading abduction investigator Budd Hopkins. Debbie Jordan (aka Kathie Davis of Intruders) has had a lifetime of UFO encounters in her rural Indiana home, including sightings, landings, abductions, and more. And then, of course, there were the mysterious phone calls.

Starting in 1980, when she was pregnant with her second son, she began to receive a series of strange phone calls. The first one came on a Wednesday afternoon. Jordan answered the phone, but all she could hear was a roar "like a factory in full swing" and "a voice moaning and muttering but using no syllables she could understand."

She assumed somebody was playing a practical joke, and asked what was happening. When several solicitations received no response, she hung up the receiver and thought nothing further of it.

However, the next Wednesday, again at 3:00 in the afternoon, she received an identical call. Again there was a strange moaning voice with other odd noises. Again, she listened for a few moments and hung up. Following that, the phone calls came regularly every Wednesday about the same time. While she usually hung up, sometimes Debbie sat and listened with fascination to the "weird sounds."

On one occasion, Jordan's mother answered the phone and also heard "guttural sounds, the moaning, and the background roaring and clicking noises." On another occasion, Jordan's friend answered the phone and heard a strange voice. All the witnesses describe the voice as being sometimes angry, sometimes sad, and sometimes neutral, talking in an unintelligible monologue. They couldn't tell if the voice was a man or a woman.

Finally, Jordan decided to have her phone number changed to an unpublished listing. She received her new unlisted number on a Monday. The next day, Tuesday, the mysterious phone caller rang. On this occasion, the voice sounded angry. It was the only time the mysterious calls ever came on any day other than Wednesday.

More here:

<http://www.fatemaq.com/issues/2000s/2006-04article1b.html>

here:

<http://www.fatemaq.com/issues/2000s/2006-04article1c.html>

here:

<http://www.fatemaq.com/issues/2000s/2006-04article1d.html>

and here:

<http://www.fatemaq.com/issues/2000s/2006-04article1e.html>

Preston Dennett is a MUFON field investigator, the author of four books, and a long-time FATE contributor.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

The Science Fiction Factor

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 08:53:28 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 08:53:28 -0400
Subject: The Science Fiction Factor

Source: Fate Magazine - Lakeville, Minnesota, USA

<http://www.fatemag.com/issues/2000s/2006-04article2a.html>

April 2006

The Science Fiction Factor
by Curt Sutherly

It was 1947: the year aviator Kenneth Arnold spotted nine glistening objects flying at high speed among the peaks of the Cascade Mountains; the year the phrase "flying saucer" was coined and the UFO phenomenon took hold in the public consciousness.

Longtime readers of FATE are undoubtedly familiar with the Ken Arnold saga and the events that transpired soon thereafter. The more astute among those readers will recognize that the flying saucer activity of the 1940s, 1950s, and 1960s was significant not because of any intrinsic notion about visiting alien spaceships, but because the UFO phenomenon forced us to reexamine our lives and our place in the scheme of things. Simply put, it raised the question: are we alone in the universe as we had previously assumed, or are we one civilization of many in the vastness of space?

The sudden awareness of UFOs and the questions they pose was one of two sociological events to dramatically affect Western society beginning in 1947. The second event was less blatant, though no less significant, and can best be described as a major shift in cultural outlook and philosophy. The catalyst for this second phenomenon was itself no big thing; nothing more than the rise to popularity of an obscure literary form—a genre known as speculative fiction or science fiction, but most often simply referred to as SF.

A product of the early pulp magazines (with some small overlap into specialty publications), SF was viewed by the mainstream publishing industry as a tasteless form of entertainment. But all this changed with the publication of a single book. The book was *Rocket Ship Galileo*, released in 1947 by Scribner, a highly respected publisher.

Written by the late Robert A. Heinlein, then 40 years old and a former naval officer turned writer, *Rocket Ship Galileo* was the first novel of teenage-oriented SF to be picked up by a mainstream U.S. publisher. As such it broke new ground for the genre, and is widely credited for taking science fiction out of the pulps and into a much larger arena. *Galileo* was also the first in a long series of SF novels written by Heinlein for teens and young adults. However, his juveniles, as they were called, also drew older readers, and many of these books have since been reprinted as adult fare. A prime example is *Starship Troopers* (1959), Heinlein's epic tale of interstellar war. To this day arguments erupt over whether the book is a novel for teenagers or a story intended for adults.

Sense of Wonder

One of the first novels I read as a boy was Rocket Ship Galileo. The book had a profound effect on me. Like the early stories I read about UFOs and Fortean phenomena, it opened a door to wonder and imagination and forced me to think far outside the norm.

The story told in Rocket Ship Galileo is straightforward: a team of young scientists led by a more mature man (a father figure) was always a staple of Heinlein's early work) design and build an atom-powered spaceship and fly it to the Moon. But as soon as they land they run into unexpected trouble from Nazis (yes, Nazis!) who have already established a foothold on the Moon. Absurd as this yarn sounds when compared with today's sophisticated storytelling, the book was, and still is, a terrific read. It has been reprinted many times and was successful enough to inspire a movie, Destination Moon.

Released in 1950 by George Pal Productions and co-scripted by Heinlein, Destination Moon was, like its literary counterpart, something of a milestone—the first major science fiction film produced in the United States.

Films from other producers trying to cash in on the growing SF craze quickly followed. Among the more entertaining of these: Rocketship X-M, produced and directed by Kurt Neumann, 1950 (an experimental spaceship en route to the Moon winds up on Mars); When Worlds Collide, Paramount Pictures, 1951, based on the novel of the same name by Edwin Balmer and Philip Wylie (a nomadic planet enters our solar system on a collision course with Earth); The Beast from 20,000 Fathoms, Warner Brothers, 1953, based on a Saturday Evening Post story by Ray Bradbury (a prehistoric beast freed by an atomic blast becomes a radioactive threat); Target Earth, Herman Cohen Productions, 1954, (a Twilight-Zone-style story about a handful of individuals who discover that their entire city has been abandoned); Earth vs. the Flying Saucers, Columbia Pictures, 1956, (exactly what it sounds like, with excellent stop-motion animation by the legendary Ray Harryhausen), and the best of them all, Forbidden Planet, MGM Studios, 1956 (the crew of an interstellar warship confront an unstoppable entity on a world light years from Earth).

More here:

<http://www.fatemaq.com/issues/2000s/2006-04article2b.html>

here:

<http://www.fatemaq.com/issues/2000s/2006-04article1c.html>

and here:

<http://www.fatemaq.com/issues/2000s/2006-04article2c.html>

Curt Sutherly is the author of Strange Encounters (Llewellyn, 1996) and UFO Mysteries (Llewellyn, 2001). A native of Pennsylvania, Curt is currently a federal civil servant for the Air Force.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Did UFOs Go To Alberta?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 08:56:59 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 08:56:59 -0400
Subject: Did UFOs Go To Alberta?

Source: The Winnipeg Sun - Manitoba, Canada

<http://winnipeg.sun.com/News/Canada/2006/04/06/1522098-sun.html>

Thu, April 6, 2006

Did UFOs Go To Alberta?

'Toba sightings in '05 Down Significantly

By
Chris Kitching
Staff Reporter

Maybe it was our lousy summer or the Blue Bombers' wretched regular season that kept them away.

Whatever the reason, fewer unidentified flying objects were spotted in Manitoba's skies last year than in 2004.

Ufology Research of Manitoba spokesman Chris Rutkowski said there were 43 sightings reported to his independent agency in 2005. Twenty-three of them were in Winnipeg.

He received 112 reports from around the province in 2004, a record-setting year.

"We're way down, but despite that (Canada) recorded its second-highest number of sightings in a single year," Rutkowski said. "Most people aren't convinced these are spaceships. Many have reasonable explanations."

Most sightings are lights in the sky. There has been no evidence of extraterrestrial involvement.

But some can't be explained.

One such case was reported in Vita, Man., on Aug. 7 when three people reported seeing a silver missile-shaped object.

No, it wasn't a plane, witness Peter Osadchuk said.

"It was a tubular shape with protrusions on the sides," he said. "We could not figure out what it was before it got out of eyesight."

Rutkowski said two people saw a similar object in Winnipeg the same day. It's unknown what it was or if it was the same UFO.

"It was hovering in the sky making no sound and it suddenly vanished after a short length of time," he said.

Rutkowski's independent agency researches sightings and releases an annual tally on them.

He said Canadians reported 769 sightings last year, which translates into about two a day. Canada recorded 882 in 2004.

Ontario tops the 2005 list with 214, while Nunavut was the only jurisdiction without a report.

Calgary and Vancouver's 29 sightings were the most of all urban centres.

- On the web: <http://survey.canadianuforeport.com>

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Review Of Aliens: Why They Are Here

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 09:03:32 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 09:03:32 -0400
Subject: Review Of Aliens: Why They Are Here

Source: The Independent OnLine Edition - London, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/fggc7>

6 April 2006

Aliens: Why They Are Here By Bryan Appleyard
Published: 31 March 2006
Scribner [Pounds] 7.99 (340pp)

By Christopher Hirst

Yes, it's that Bryan Appleyard, the star journalist whose recent output has included a comprehensive demolition of John Prescott. Yet this paragon of good sense concludes this odd but readable book by admitting, "I moved from scepticism to belief and finally came to rest with acceptance". In the first half, he scrutinises accounts of alien encounters, from bizarre abductions to pilot reports. In the second half, he discusses aliens as "expressions... of the predicament of self-consciousness." In short, aliens are not alien. They're us.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

French Military Air Traffic Controller Claims Link

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 09:08:08 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 09:08:08 -0400
Subject: French Military Air Traffic Controller Claims Link

Source: YahooNews.Com - Sunnyvale, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/k5kt2>

Wed Apr 5 2006

Contact: Michael Salla of the Exopolitics Institute,
808-323-3400, exopolitics.nul

French Military Air Traffic Controller Claims a Link Between
Nuclear Weapons Tests & UFO Sightings

KONA, Hawaii, April 5 /U.S. Newswire/ -- A former French military air traffic controller claims in a recently published journal article that UFO sightings can be correlated with nuclear weapons testing. Eric Julien served in the French Air Force for five years as an air traffic controller and later went on to become a senior airport manager in France. He analyses data gained from a number of sources available in France that point to UFO activity in the vicinity of nuclear weapons tests. He claims that a clear correlation exists between the 2419 nuclear tests on Earth from 1945 through 1998, and 140 cases involving radar and visual sightings of UFOs. In the article, released in the April edition of the Exopolitics Journal, he also studies the correlation between alleged UFO crash/retrievals and nuclear testing. Julien argues that the common view that UFOs pose a security threat to humanity is very likely misplaced. He concludes that it may be more likely that nuclear testing poses some kind of security threat to UFOs, and this is real reason for frequent UFO sightings on Earth.

Eric Julien is author of two books recently published in France dealing with UFOs and the scientific principles used by their extraterrestrial occupants to navigate through space and time; *La Science des Extraterrestres* (JMG Publications 2005), and *Enfants des Etoiles* (JMG Publications 2006). He claims that nuclear testing interrupts the space and time continuum in ways that makes humanity a direct threat to extraterrestrial civilizations that have the ability to navigate the corridors of space and time.

Eric Julien will be speaking about the threat posed by nuclear weapons testing to the extraterrestrial inhabitants of UFOs and the implications for world peace at a conference titled "Extraterrestrial Civilizations & World Peace" in Kona, Hawaii, June 9-11, 2006. Other conference speakers include the former Canadian Defense Minister, the Honorable Paul Hellyer; and a former United States Ambassador, John MacDonald.

To read Eric Julien's published article online go to:
<http://www.exopoliticsjournal.com>

For further information on Eric Julien go to:
<http://www.exopoliticsinstitute.org/EricJulien.htm>

For information on the forthcoming conference featuring Eric

Julien, go to: <http://www.etworldpeace.com>

<http://www.usnewswire.com/>

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Ant And Dec's Alien Adventure

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 09:14:54 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 09:14:54 -0400
Subject: Ant And Dec's Alien Adventure

Source: The Daily Mail - London, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/lg6s5>

4th April 2006

Ant And Dec's Alien Adventure

Ant and Dec thrilled fans at the premiere of their first big screen movie.

The kings of TV entertainment star in Alien Autopsy, a comedy based on a true story.

They play best friends Ray Santilli and Gary Shoefield, UFO enthusiasts who 10 years ago convinced the world that they had film of a real life UFO autopsy.

The I'm A Celebrity... Get Me Out of Here! stars spent an hour and a half signing autographs and chatting to fans outside the Odeon, in London's Leicester Square, and added that they did believe in UFOs.

Dec, 30, said: "I do think there's something else out there. It's naive to think that we're the only intelligent life source out there."

Ant, also 30, added: "Obviously there's some kind of life elsewhere. I don't know whether it would look like anything we recognise but I do believe in aliens."

The pair said they had enjoyed the green carpet experience with Dec jokingly adding: "We even signed autographs for people that didn't want them, like tourists just having coffee.

"We've seen Tom Cruise do it so thought we should too.

"Apparently we were out there for an hour and a half but it felt like 10 minutes.

"I think Robbie Williams has just sent a text congratulating us. I was sat vibrating backstage if you know what I mean, but I haven't read it yet."

The pair joked that they enjoyed being airbrushed for the film's promotion material with Dec adding: "That's the most exciting thing. We've obviously been on holiday and got suntanned, it's brilliant."

Ant said of making the film: "Obviously we were really nervous about doing it but thought that if we're going to take risks and challenge ourselves then this is the film to do it because we really believe in it."

Dec added last night: "We've been really looking forward to tonight. This might be the only movie we ever make, the only

premiere we ever have so we just decided to enjoy it.

TV projects

"We're signed to ITV to 2007 so certainly till the end of then we'll still be working on our TV projects.

"We wanted to see how this went and if viewers can think of us as these characters.

"If things come in then we'll look at them but we're certainly not going to launch an assault on Tinseltown."

Other stars who attended on the green carpet last night included Hollywood star Minnie Driver, Tess Daley and Vernon Kay, Jimmy Carr, Donna Air, comic David Baddiel, Phillip Schofield and Caron Keating's widower Russ Lindsay and Sally Meen.

Liberty X star Michelle Heaton was also at the event but wired up to a device to check her heart rate.

She joked: "It looks glamorous doesn't it? I went to the doctor because my heart felt like it was going to explode but I'm carrying on as normal and apparently it's quite common.

"They asked me whether I do drugs as apparently this is what happens but I told them that I didn't and to do tests on me if they wanted."

Girls Aloud star Cheryl Tweedy and Kimberley Walsh were also at the premiere with Cheryl's fiance footballer Ashley Cole. Cheryl said of her impending nuptials: "If you ever get married run away and do it somewhere else. It's very stressful. I'm panicking that no-one will turn up and thinking about running away.

"I've got a wedding planner but they ask you everything anyway."

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

World's First Dedicated Optical SETI Telescope

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 09:18:57 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 09:18:57 -0400
Subject: World's First Dedicated Optical SETI Telescope

Source: SpaceRef.Com - Victoria, British Columbia, Canada

<http://www.spaceref.com/news/viewpr.html?pid=19442>

Tuesday, April 4, 2006

Planetary Society Will Open World's First Dedicated Optical SETI Telescope - Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence to Begin

PRESS RELEASE

Date Released: Tuesday, April 4, 2006

Source: Planetary Society

After 20 years of listening for signs of life elsewhere in the universe, The Planetary Society is now ready to watch the skies. On April 11, 2006 the Society will dedicate a brand new optical telescope at an observatory in Harvard, Massachusetts, designed solely to search for light signals from alien civilizations - a search known as Optical SETI (OSETI). Read more at http://planetary.org/programs/projects/seti_optical_searches/.

The new telescope will be the first dedicated optical SETI telescope in the world. Its 72-inch primary mirror is larger than any optical telescope in the U.S. east of the Mississippi river.

Under the direction of Harvard University physicist Paul Horowitz and his team, The Planetary Society's new telescope will conduct a year round, all-sky survey, scanning the entire swath of our Milky Way galaxy visible in the northern hemisphere.

"This new search apparatus performs one trillion measurements per second and expands by 100,000-fold the sky coverage of our previous optical search," said Horowitz.

The new optical telescope has been built at the Oak Ridge Observatory, where for many years The Planetary Society has conducted radiotelescope SETI searches with Horowitz - first with the Mega-channel Extraterrestrial Assay (META) and later the Billion-channel Extraterrestrial Assay (BETA).

Alien civilizations are thought by many to be at least as likely to use visible light signals for communicating as they are to use radio transmissions. Visible light can form tight beams, be incredibly intense, and its high frequencies allow it to carry enormous amounts of information. Using only Earth 2006 technology, a bright tightly focused light beam, such as a laser, can be ten thousand times as bright as its parent star for a brief instant. Such a beam could be easily observed from enormous distances.

"The opening of this telescope represents one of those rare moments in a field of scientific endeavor when a great leap forward is enabled," said Planetary Society Director of Projects Bruce Betts. "Sending laser signals across the cosmos would be a

very logical way for E.T. to reach out, but until now, we have been ill equipped to receive any such signal."

The Planetary Society's Optical SETI telescope's custom processors will process the equivalent of all books in print every second. As the telescope scans strips of sky, it employs a custom-built "camera" containing an array of detectors that can detect a billionth-of-a-second flash of light. The telescope will scan the sky every night, weather permitting.

Planetary Society members around the world helped fund the OSETI telescope. Additional major support for the telescope, which is located at the Oak Ridge site of the Harvard College Observatory, came from the Bosack/Kruger Foundation.

Since its founding, the Society has been a leading advocate of the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence, supporting a wide variety of searches, making use of different approaches. The first META search, which began over 20 years ago, kicked off with a significant donation from Society Board Member Steven Spielberg. Most of the Society-sponsored searches were radio SETI projects. The new observatory is one of the largest SETI projects ever sponsored by The Planetary Society.

The Planetary Society's OSETI telescope will be dedicated on Tuesday, April 11, 2006 at 2:00 pm EST. The media are invited to attend the dedication ceremony. Speakers will include Horowitz, Planetary Society Chairman Neil deGrasse Tyson, and Society Executive Director Louis Friedman.

CONTACT INFORMATION:

For more information on OSETI or on attending the dedication ceremony, contact Susan Lendroth at phone: (626) 793-5100 ext. 237, e-mail: susan.lendroth.nul

THE PLANETARY SOCIETY:

The Planetary Society has inspired millions of people to explore other worlds and seek other life. Today, its international membership makes the non-governmental Planetary Society the largest space interest group in the world. Carl Sagan, Bruce Murray and Louis Friedman founded The Planetary Society in 1980.

WEBLINKS:

The Planetary Society <http://planetary.org>

OSETI
http://planetary.org/programs/projects/seti_optical_searches/

Radio SETI
http://planetary.org/programs/projects/seti_radio_searches/

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 09:15:17 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 12:44:58 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>Date: Wed, 5 Apr 2006 13:55:18 EDT
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>Now, here's what I want. I want all the Psuedo-Scientific AA
>Cultists - don't make me name names - to get out of the field
>when the truth about AA hits the fan.

I find your "this town isn't big enough for both of us" cowboy
posturing both immature and insulting.

I can't speak for the other "Pseudo-Scientific AA Cultists", but
I certainly have no intention of leaving this field, a field I
have been active in since the 60s, BTW.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Re: Why Are They Silent? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 06:25:50 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 12:46:45 -0400
Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent? - Nielsen

>From: Ted Toal <ttoal.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 5 Apr 2006 22:36:45 -0700
>Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 05:57:42 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Why Are They Silent?

>>If true UFO designers/builders/fliers are so smart with
>>technology, why are they so silent? Why do they get our
>>attention, on a worldwide scale, but not say anything?

>Rick,

>It has to be intentional. They do not want our worldwide
>attention. They want to get attention, a few people at a time.
>The "slow leak." Read about Jim Deardorff's hypothesis about a
>slow leak. It is the only theory I've seen that makes sense of
>it all. We are under an embargo, we are probably being studied.
>Why not, it makes sense to study other emerging intelligences to
>learn about the process of intelligent emergence, doesn't it?

Thanks Ted.

With my inferior intellect, (little "gray" matter), I tend to agree. They have to know they are seen by us. They are not talking on as large a scale as they are being seen, at least, only through "abductees" or "channellers", whatever those are.

So, I must conclude they don't want us to know anything else. Unfortunately, we don't know either if we will ever know anything else.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 13:40:14 +0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 12:48:06 -0400
Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Hall

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 14:52:16 -0300
>Subject: 'The World's Strangest UFOs'

>The program aired this week on Discovery Channel Canada has
>really pissed me off. It's called The World's Strangest UFOs.
>Some of you may have seen this piece of trash. The following is
>what I sent to that channel.

>Don Ledger

>To whom it may concern:

>I've seen some bad programming in my time but this latest "The
>World's Strangest UFOs" which is supposed to to be an in-depth
>look at the UFO phenomenon, takes the cake. As a veteran UFO
>researcher/pilot/author I am, quite frankly, insulted by its
>content. The whole piece is designed to make everyone appear
>foolish and takes the giggle factor, already inherent in this
>business, to the highest degree possible. Those people who were
>interviewed are made to look as silly as possible, the music and
>sound effects are corny and close-ups are embarrassing. Often we
>researchers get sucked into these documentaries with no way of
>knowing just how we will be treated in "post".

<snip>

Don,

All too common in the U.S. as well. After giving up on UFO
documentary productions (and newscasts as well) due to very
similar mistreatment I quit doing them. Out of great respect for
Peter Jennings I briefly came out of retirement, only to get
sucked into another disaster. So I have decided never to
participate in another schlockumentary unless two conditions
prevail:

1. I personally know and trust the producer and/or have an
advance understanding in writing of the form it will take.
2. I am granted a four-minute summary statement that will be
broadcast in full and not be edited.

If those terms are not acceptable, fine. Count me out. And I
wish all serious researchers who are asked to participate would
(a) protest the junk that is being produced and (b) establish a
boycott of all such producers/programs unless they are willing
to make some fundamental fairplay guarantees in writing. - Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Re: Why Are They Silent? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 06:46:47 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 12:51:16 -0400
Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent? - Nielsen

>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 5 Apr 2006 23:40:17 EDT
>Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 05:57:42 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Why Are They Silent?

>>If true UFO designers/builders/fliers are so smart with
>>technology, why are they so silent? Why do they get our
>>attention, on a worldwide scale, but not say anything?

<snip>

>Now, many abduction researchers have focused on the reproductive
>procedures reported by abductees. Seemingly, the mind control
>experiments/brain-washing/perception tampering, is for the most
>part pushed to the side by such researchers. It is my opinion
>that yes, reproductive concerns are indeed a cornerstone of the
>visitor's agenda. However, it doesn't stop just there. The
>visitors seem to be practicing with a goal of total control, via
>the body and mind. These mental procedures forced upon Abductees
>can be viewed as practice runs, where technology is tweaked and
>tinkered with until as much understanding of the human psyche is
>gained as to produce effective mind control of the entire human
>race. I have previously predicted that at a future time, the
>visitors will be more assertive with their interactions with the
>public. This will coincide with the period just prior to the
>attainment of their goal, where secrecy itself is no longer
>required.

>The phrase "Loose Lips Sink Ships" has apt application.

Thanks Jason. But on the topic of alien abductions I have to
ask, "Why isn't there more physical evidence?" Or, "Why isn't
there as much physical evidence as there is for UFO's?"
Anecdotal does not equal empirical. But maybe our science is too
limiting.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 11:32:34 -0300
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 12:54:01 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 15:57:01 +0000
>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>>From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 22:35:57 +0100
>>Subject: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>So much for Gullible's Travels. I sincerely hope that the dupes
>and the apologists for this fraud will learn a strong lesson
>about applying more critical thinking to such far-out claims.
>The will to believe has clouded peoples' judgment.

>>Tonight showed the SKY ONE TV show 'Eamon Investigates - Alien
>>Autopsy. The show starts of with Santilli still claiming that he
>>saw real film of aliens and that he purchased it from a former
>>US military cameraman. However, there is now a change in the
>>story. Santilli & his colleague Gary Shoefield claim that it
>>took 2 years to buy the film & that when it finally arrived in
>>London 95% of it had 'oxidised' and the remaining 5% was in very
>>poor condition. They therefore decided to 'reconstruct' it based
>>on Santilli's recollection and a few frames that were left.

I predict this still will not go away. The argument over the
validity of the AA film will still be going on here ten years
from now. The will (or more accurately, the 'need') to believe
is just too strong.

Already, one can see the direction where things are going to go.

The 'brain' can't be a sheep brain as it's "too large".

It "in no way resembles a sheep brain in gross anatomy."

"Humphreys is not telling the truth."

Something "curious" going on.

The liars were "got at" and "forced to debunk the true story".
It will never end.

Whether or not the people who posted the above quoted remarks
meant them sincerely or as a joke, these ideas will be picked up
on and true believers will run with these themes.

The truth is that those were extraterrestrial sheep! (That's why
they had bigger brains!)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

UFO Witnesses Reunite

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 12:57:33 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 12:57:33 -0400
Subject: UFO Witnesses Reunite

Source: The Herald Sun - Melbourne, Australia

<http://tinyurl.com/zdmwn>

07apr06

UFO Witnesses Reunite
by
Terry Brown

The truth is out there ... in Clayton South

One of Australia's biggest UFO mysteries has taken off again, 40 years on.

And researchers hope the truth will out at a reunion of more than 30 witnesses tomorrow.

About 200 people are said to have seen either a flying saucer or crop circles near Westall High School on April 6, 1966.

The craft was described as silver, saucer-shaped and silent.

Some witnesses say they saw it drop behind trees at Westall's Grange Reserve, then rise vertically and leave.

Flattened and charred grass "crop circles" were said to have been left.

Canberra academic Shane Ryan says he has contacted about 50 witnesses in the past year.

The bulk were Westall High School students who were gathered on the oval at the time for physical education.

The incident was reported in daily papers, on Channel Nine and twice as front-page news in the local Dandenong Journal.

About a quarter of the witnesses said they saw the flying saucer and the rest saw as many as five so-called crop circles.

Many children reportedly ran down to the Grange after the sighting.

John Spencer, a seven-year-old at Westall Primary School at the time, said the incident still got to him. "I need answers, 'cos this has been a real bugbear over the years," he said.

"I have remembered the day as vividly as a seven-year-old could -- Mum dragging me away from the Grange after school from the landing site . . . seeing this object in the sky, other planes flying, following it."

Mr Spencer said the Grange was a second home to kids, a spot to catch frogs and tadpoles.

He said that after the incident, "guys in uniforms" made the reserve a no-go zone.

Mr Ryan said many witnesses reported police or military activity after the incident.

Science teacher Andrew Greenwood told the Dandenong Journal at the time he saw a silvery-green disc.

Mr Greenwood also claimed he was visited at home by two uniformed officers and threatened with prosecution if he continued to speak of it.

The defence department says there is no record of any military action after the sightings.

Gerry Shepherd taught woodwork at the time and says he never saw any military at the school.

"All I can say is that the school bell went to start the afternoon classes and there was hardly anyone there," Mr Shepherd said.

"I would say 99.999 per cent it's a load of bull."

Mr Ryan hopes retired police or military will go to the reunion, from 11am-2pm at Westall Tennis Club on the edge of the Grange in Clayton South.

"I'm convinced people saw something quite out of the ordinary," he said.

"It is a story that has almost been completely forgotten.

"These people, even after 40 years, have this burning desire to make sense of what they saw."

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 11:11:57 -0700
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 13:04:05 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 5 Apr 2006 12:04:17 -0400
>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>>From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 22:35:57 +0100
>>Subject: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>>To do this they hired UK sculptor John Humphreys. Humphreys
>>tells of how he used sheeps brain for the brain and a lambs leg
>>for the leg joint.

>Humphreys is not telling the truth when he says he used a
>sheep's brain. The "brain" removed in the AA is much larger
>than a sheep's brain and in no way resembles a sheep's brain in
>gross anatomy. In fact, as I pointed out when I first looked at
>the AA, it does not look like a brain at all.

>The whole bit about the film "oxidizing" makes no sense to me.
>Ray mentioned something to me once about some of it having
>gotten wet and being stuck together, but there are methods of
>separating stuck film. Philip does not believe there ever was
>any film.

>Curious.

Bob

There are some very curious things about Ray's confession
concerning the hoax, or as Ray prefers it the "restoration" of
the film.

The sheep's brain issue is a critical one: as some on-List know,
I have a long history of pro-active links to animal rights
organizations, and I have seen things in slaughter houses, and
in the meat industry that no one would want to see.

I was also hired in England (around 1999/2000) to do some
research for a TV company making a TV show about CJD/Mad Cow
Disease, chiefly because I had friends in the animal rights
field who had good contacts in the meat industry who were
willing to speak out about how the meat industry had tried to
play down the BSE issue when it surfaced.

Anyway, that is just background data to the next bit: I can tell
you with one hundred per cent certainty based on my knowledge of
the meat-industry that the brain in the film is not a sheep's
brain - encases in jelly or otherwise.

Bob Shell is correct re. the size of the brain in the film
against the size of a sheep's brain.

Also, the stories that Santilli and Humphreys told in the show
don't match: Humphreys said that the dummy body parts were
burned after use.

Santilli said they were placed into plastic bags and discarded in different parts of London. That's a pretty big discrepancy.

What about the 1940s vintage telephone? I presume that Santilli would have had to have purchased that from a company that specializes in providing such things?

Can Santilli give us a name of the company he obtained the phone from? If yes, that would be helpful.

But if not, why not? If Ray had to go to a specialized place to purchase it, I would think that would stick in his mind, and I'm sure he would remember today where that place was.

Now, it may be that Ray's confession is the truth, and if it is, so be it. I'll willingly accept that, and everyone else should too.

But, we should remember that Ray's confession did not come out of the blue. It was specifically designed to surface four days before the release of a major cinema film on the story.

In other words, Ray's confession (even if true) was only made to provide publicity for the Ant and Dec film.

Now, Ray is of course a shrewd businessman, and he may have realized that by putting these discrepancies into the confession, it would prompt more interest, and questions like these above - just to keep the publicity wheels turning.

I have heard from 2 people who quite seriously think that Ray was "threatened" by "the Government" to backtrack on his story.

Frankly, I think that is total bollocks and absurd. Because if it was true, that would be an even bigger story than if Ray had hoaxed it!

But, Ray may subtly want us to think that there is something strange, or even suspicious, about the confession (which there is!), again to help grease the wheels of publicity.

As Neil Morris has noted too, there are a number of credible people who claim to have seen the film years before.

Mike Maloney, Group Chief Photographer with the British Daily Mirror newspaper informed Philip Mantle that during the 1970s he saw footage almost certainly linked with the film that Ray made available years later.

Diana Lyons, a retired physiotherapist, advised Philip Mantle that, at some point between 1970 and 1973, her father, a radiologist named Dr. Geoffrey Hordle, showed her a number of photographs that she believes may have come from the Alien Autopsy film.

Lyons' reasoning is that both the Santilli film and the photographs that she saw displayed a body with six fingers and toes.

There are many other such accounts too.

Now, of course, none of this definitely vindicates the existence of the AA film prior to Santilli having made it available.

But this issue, along with the discrepancies in the testimony of Ray and his colleagues, and the fact that the brain is not a sheep's brain, means that there are still unresolved issues.

Of course, Ray may recognize that such discrepancies are going to continue the debate, which I'm sure is what he wants with the Ant and Dec film hitting the cinemas!

Nick R.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Rome

From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 09:23:09 -0700
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 13:09:08 -0400
Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Rome

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 14:52:16 -0300
>Subject: 'The World's Strangest UFOs'

>The program aired this week on Discovery Channel Canada has
>really pissed me off. It's called The World's Strangest UFOs.
>Some of you may have seen this piece of trash. The following is
>what I sent to that channel.

<snip>

Amen to that, Dan. I watched it one time (last week) and that was more than enough. It galled me that once again someone has to throw in yet another ingredient into the already labyrinthine UFO phenomenon. The producers of the show are no better than Doug and Dave with crop circles.

One of the more grievous consequences of irresponsible spin is that layers of false information are added to a discipline that is still in the formative stage. There will be watchers of Strangest UFOs who will believe the tripe, and pass it along as fact [That is the reason I became disillusioned with the TV series X-Files early on].

Thanks for taking the steps you have in writing to the Discovery channel, who, it seems, have chosen to take the skeptical and mocking side of the debate, judging from this and other of their productions on UFOs.

Irene

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 6](#)

Secrecy News -- 04/06/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 12:58:23 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 13:18:12 -0400
Subject: Secrecy News -- 04/06/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 44
April 6, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** BUSH AUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF NIE TO NY TIMES' MILLER
** DOD SAYS THREAT DATABASE INCLUDED IMPROPER INFO
** BIPARTISAN EXECUTIVE BRANCH REFORM BILL ADVANCES IN HOUSE
** FEDERAL SECRECY AFTER SEPTEMBER 11
** THE SECRET HISTORY OF THE JASONS
** TIME OUT

BUSH AUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF NIE TO NY TIMES' MILLER

President Bush specifically authorized Vice Presidential aide Scooter Libby to disclose information from a classified National Intelligence Estimate (NIE) to then-New York Times reporter Judith Miller in July 2003, effectively declassifying the information, according to a government filing in the Libby prosecution yesterday.

"Defendant's [i.e. Libby's] participation in a critical conversation with Judith Miller on July 8 [2003] occurred only after the Vice President advised defendant that the President specifically had authorized defendant to disclose certain information in the NIE," the government filing stated (at pp. 19-20).

"Defendant [Libby] testified that the circumstances of his conversation with reporter Miller -- getting approval from the President through the Vice President to discuss material that would be classified but for that approval -- were unique in his recollection."

The new filing in the Libby case was first reported today in the New York Sun.

Whatever its significance for the Libby case, the latest filing helps to resolve a lingering question that arose last February regarding the Vice President's role in authorizing the disclosure of classified information (Secrecy News, 02/16/06). It appears that the Vice President did not direct disclosure on his own authority but on that of the President.

"Defendant [Libby] testified that the Vice President later advised him that the President had authorized defendant to disclose the relevant portions of the NIE. Defendant testified that he also spoke to David Addington, then Counsel to the Vice President, whom defendant considered to be an expert in national security law, and Mr. Addington opined that Presidential authorization to publicly disclose a document amounted to a

declassification of the document," the government filing said (p. 23).

See "Government's Response to Defendant's Third Motion to Compel Discovery," April 5, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/jud/libby/us040506.pdf>

See also "Bush Said to Have Cleared Early Release of Iraq Intelligence to Times" by Josh Gerstein, New York Sun, April 6:

<http://nysun.com/timesleak.php>

DOD SAYS THREAT DATABASE INCLUDED IMPROPER INFO

Of the 13,000 entries in the Pentagon's TALON database of potential threats to the Department of Defense facilities and personnel, some two percent did not involve threats and should not have been retained, Pentagon officials acknowledged yesterday.

The TALON system "should be used only to report information regarding international terrorist activity," said Deputy Defense Secretary Gordon England in a March 30 memo.

See "Pentagon Threat Database Kept Reports It Shouldn't Have" by Peter Spiegel, Los Angeles Times, April 6:

<http://tinyurl.com/k9sor>

The fact that the TALON database included information on American citizens engaged in peaceful protest activities was first disclosed several months ago by NBC News and author Bill Arkin.

The DoD experience provides an empirical basis to question the propriety of intelligence collection under the President's warrantless surveillance program. But investigations of that program have been blocked in Congress.

Secretary England's March 30 memo on "Threats to the Department of Defense" may be found here:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/dod/033006talon.pdf>

BIPARTISAN EXECUTIVE BRANCH REFORM BILL ADVANCES IN HOUSE

An ambitious bill to promote an entire menu of "good government" reforms in the executive branch was endorsed on a bipartisan basis in the House Government Reform Committee today and reported to the full House.

The bill would notably limit the use of "pseudo-classification" markings such as "sensitive but unclassified" and "for official use only" unless they are authorized by statute or regulation. Such markings have been increasingly used by government agencies to restrict public access to unclassified information.

The "Executive Branch Reform Act of 2006," HR 5112, was introduced by Committee Chairman Tom Davis (R-VA) and Ranking Member Henry Waxman (D-CA), and unanimously supported by the Committee's members.

Among its various provisions the bill would: end secret meetings between lobbyists and most executive branch officials; enhance protections for national security whistleblowers; and ban covertly sponsored government propaganda.

See the text of the "Executive Branch Reform Act of 2006," introduced April 6, here:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/congress/2006/hr5112.pdf>

FEDERAL SECRECY AFTER SEPTEMBER 11

The implications of increasing government secrecy are examined in a special issue of "I/S: A Journal of Law and Policy for the Information Society."

A series of articles, mainly academic in tenor, address both the "theory" and the practice of secrecy from various perspectives and on topics such as Biosecurity and Secrecy Policy, for example.

The I/S Journal is published by Ohio State University and Carnegie Mellon. The special issue on secrecy was sponsored by The Century Foundation and The John S. and James L. Knight Foundation (which also supports Secrecy News).

The full text of "Federal Secrecy After September 11 and the Future of the Information Society" can be found online here:

<http://is-journal.org/articles.php?level=1>

THE SECRET HISTORY OF THE JASONS

One of the lacunae in the history of defense policy and science advice to government concerns the role of the JASON advisory panel. A fascinating new book on the JASONS helps to fill in that mysterious gap.

Established in 1960, the JASONS first gained unwelcome public attention as the result of a reference in the leaked Pentagon Papers. They have only rarely since been heard from in public.

Their membership is not publicized. Their meetings are closed. The publications are mostly classified. Their impact is hard to assess.

Author Ann Finkbeiner interviewed 36 JASONS, "roughly half of the membership," and gleaned more about their activities than has ever appeared on the public record before, beginning with a definitive account of the origin of the JASON name (it was coined by Mildred Goldberger, the wife of Murph Goldberger, who is a JASON founding member and a friend of Secrecy News).

In her engaging and highly readable book, Finkbeiner traces the work of the JASONS over four decades and introduces many of the group's original, eccentric and hyper-intelligent members.

See "The JASONS: The Secret History of Science's Postwar Elite" by Ann Finkbeiner, Viking, April 2006:

<http://tinyurl.com/n43b3>

A selection of unclassified JASON reports may be found here:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/dod/jason/index.html>

TIME OUT

The next issue of Secrecy News will be published the week of April 17.

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood

Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 7](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 12:13:53 -0700
Fwd Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 10:59:47 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 09:15:17 -0400
>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 5 Apr 2006 13:55:18 EDT
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>>Now, here's what I want. I want all the Psuedo-Scientific AA
>>Cultists - don't make me name names - to get out of the field
>>when the truth about AA hits the fan.

>I find your "this town isn't big enough for both of us" cowboy
>posturing both immature and insulting.

>I can't speak for the other "Pseudo-Scientific AA Cultists", but
>I certainly have no intention of leaving this field, a field I
>have been active in since the 60s, BTW.

Agreed.

I have made pro-AA film comments in the past regarding my belief
it was real. The idea, that we should leave the field because
that is what this guy wants, is absurd!

What about all of the other hoaxes that have gone on throughout
the years? Should everyone who endorsed them, and then
subsequently changed their views, also leave the field?

At some point in his or her "career," pretty much every
ufologist will come across a case (even if it's only one case)
that was probably hoaxed and that the ufologist thought, at some
point, might be genuine.

And if everyone who ever initially thought that even just one
hoaxed case was real was to leave ufology, there would be hardly
anyone left - in fact, quite possibly no one would be left!

Nick R.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 7](#)

Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 18:17:33 +0100
Fwd Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 11:09:48 -0400
Subject: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

Dear List Members,

For the benefit of those who have not seen the recent Sky One show featuring Santilli I thought I might take this opportunity to point out some of the nonsense in more detail.

Santilli & Co claim that they had 22 reels of film of which only 5% was left intact. 55 is an awful lot, but Santilli claims that only a few frames were used in the AA film and the rest was a reconstruction. Santilli & co could not recognise these few frames which they claim were part of the AA film. The 'oxidisation' of the film is also laughable.

Santilli & co could not remember the flat (apartment) where they claimed to have filmed the restoration/reconstruction. I've just spoken to a friend who has been in movie effects all his life and he can not imagine how two 5ft alien dummies plus all of the props were smuggled into a flat without anyone seeing them and it all being filmed in one day. Very implausible to say the least.

The chap who made the dummies is John Humphreys. Santilli & Shoefield stood over him constantly like a pair of minders and never allowed him to say anything without them being there. I can tell you for sure that they did not want him to spill the beans.

The so-called original frames that Santilli showed, after keeping them in a small tin, were never held up to the camera so that we could see what was on them. They could have had anything on them. And of course, Santilli has never had any frames of film independently scientifically tested. As Santilli pointed out, he was only interested in the commercial aspects of the film. Are you telling me that if he even had one authentic frame of film that he would not have sold it to the highest bidder?

Just for the record, I have been working on another TV show for a UK terrestrial broadcaster and the only reason that it has not yet been broadcast is because of legal action that was brought to prevent it. I cannot tell you who took out that action nor the content of the show, but I think you can probably guess.

Last but not least, I've recently interviewed someone here in the UK whose testimony could well sink Ray Santilli's ship. He will tell all exactly how the whole scam started.

Make no mistake about it, there is and never was any original film, and there is and never was any former U.S. military cameraman. Some of you might be aware of the second world war saying: "Loose lips sink ships". Well, there's a few 'loose lips' talking to me and they have been for quite some time now and Santilli's ship is sinking fast.

Just for the record, John Humphreys said he made the alien brain from a sheeps brain coated in jelly (jello). That's why it looks like it does.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 7](#)

Re: Why Are They Silent? - Rome

From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 10:19:02 -0700
Fwd Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 11:14:59 -0400
Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent? - Rome

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 15:22:24 -0300
>Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 05:57:42 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Why Are They Silent?

>>If true UFO designers/builders/fliers are so smart with
>>technology, why are they so silent? Why do they get our
>>attention, on a worldwide scale, but not say anything?

>We don't know that there haven't been many contacts between
>alien visitors and Earth based governments. People collecting
>worms for bait or minnows rarely speak with the bait. Surely
>aliens are here for their purposes not to have small talk with
>us.

>Abductees do report communications. As long as aliens can do
>what they please, why worry about making noise for our
>"benefit"? Are we worth talking to??

I had a sighting in which - later, upon reflection - it seemed
to me that the experience was akin to a kid with an ant farm,
who eventually can identify individual ants and taps on the
glass or otherwise 'communicates' with them, sometimes with
results, such as the ant stopping and waving the antenna towards
the tapping.

In this case, I would be the ant and "they" would be the kid,
and the 'communication' would be telepathy.

Not trying to anthropomorphise ET, from that one experience and
from others I've read about, I don't think small talk is that
arcane.

FWIW.

Irene

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 7](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: Maurizio Baiata <maurizio.baiata.nul>
Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 19:06:18 +0200
Fwd Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 11:18:26 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 09:15:17 -0400
>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 5 Apr 2006 13:55:18 EDT
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>>Now, here's what I want. I want all the Psuedo-Scientific AA
>>Cultists - don't make me name names - to get out of the field
>>when the truth about AA hits the fan.

>I find your "this town isn't big enough for both of us" cowboy
>posturing both immature and insulting.

>I can't speak for the other "Pseudo-Scientific AA Cultists", but
>I certainly have no intention of leaving this field, a field I
>have been active in since the 60s, BTW.

Thanks Bob, my comment will come soon, reinforcing my intention
not to leave this field at all. Just need few hours to recap
some thoughts. Situation is not clear at all.

Too many discrepancies, for instance the opinions expressed by
three prominent Italian super partes anatomo-pathologists,
totally diverging from the "new" insight of the Humphreys work
with sheep brains for the "creature" brains: how the "dura
madre" membrane was created? Many more suspicious points will
surface soon.

As reminder, I'm one of the few who saw the 'first autopsy'
footage, in Santilli's office, in Balcombe Street, London, on
Wednesday, April 26, 1995. I was there with Reg Presley.

Maurizio Baiata
Editorial Manager
Area 51 Magazine - Italy

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 7](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Boone

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 13:48:17 EDT
Fwd Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 11:20:16 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Boone

>From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 22:35:57 +0100
>Subject: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>Tonight showed the SKY ONE TV show 'Eamon Investigates - Alien
>Autopsy. The show starts of with Santilli still claiming that he
>saw real film of aliens and that he purchased it from a former
>US military cameraman. However, there is now a change in the
>story. Santilli & his colleague Gary Shoefield claim that it
>took 2 years to buy the film & that when it finally arrived in
>London 95% of it had 'oxidised' and the remaining 5% was in very
>poor condition. They therefore decided to 'reconstruct' it based
>on Santilli's recollection and a few frames that were left.

Y'know, this Alien Autopsy nonsense went on far too long.

For someone to come out and make this claim of having real
footage and then dance around the barn regarding it's
authenticity was enough for me to toss it out from day one.

I didn't buy the story. Looked like a cheap high school gag.

I'm sure it made a fortune and has eaten up untold time and
resources of others.

Let it be a lesson for the future in this field. If you don't
have solid proof, then either shut the heck up. No more teasers
and mystery marches.

I heard from the start that there was 'real' footage and
reconstructed footage. I may have posted that here. It's been so
long I can't remember off hand.

So he made good loot with this one and good for him. Should be a
final lesson that next time if a guy comes up with something
like this again, we give him a definitive time period to prove
it, no excuses, or we label it bunk and get on with our lives.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 7](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 14:51:40 -0300
Fwd Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 11:24:40 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

If this was a 'normal' UFO case, the slightest hint at a hoax being involved would taint the case to the point where it would be abandoned. It took only Venus to rise for Jimmy Carter's UFO to be laid waste. How come this documentary dog deserves any better? Maybe two - or three - sheep's brains were used. Why the precise details about sheep's brains?

This case was hanging on by its fingernails for years and now its creator - who stands to make more money out of it - says it was a hoax. Can't we go with that rather than trying to read some 'black' intelligence-type, double-misinformation nonsense into the mix, leaving open the door to the possibility that this was real footage and now there's some type of cover-up going on.

I didn't buy the AA film before and it's certainly a waste of time for me from here on in. If I'm queried on this by friends, the curious or some documentary producer, my response is going to be that the maker of the film says it was a hoax, a fabrication and that he and it has no credibility in my estimation.

Whether that will count for anything, I don't know, but I, at least, will be able to move on to more serious topics.

Don

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 7](#)

Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 14:57:11 -0300
Fwd Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 11:29:45 -0400
Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Ledger

>From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 09:23:09 -0700
>Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs'

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 14:52:16 -0300
>>Subject: 'The World's Strangest UFOs'

>>The program aired this week on Discovery Channel Canada has
>>really pissed me off. It's called The World's Strangest
>>UFOs. Some of you may have seen this piece of trash. The
>>following is what I sent to that channel.

><snip>

>Amen to that, Dan. I watched it one time (last week) and that
>was more than enough. It galled me that once again someone
>has to throw in yet another ingredient into the already
>labyrinthine UFO phenomenon. The producers of the show are no
>better than Doug and Dave with crop circles.

>One of the more grievous consequences of irresponsible spin is
>that layers of false information are added to a discipline
>that is still in the formative stage. There will be watchers
>of Strangest UFOs who will believe the tripe, and pass it
>along as fact [That is the reason I became disillusioned with
>the TV series X-Files early on].

>Thanks for taking the steps you have in writing to the
>Discovery channel, who, it seems, have chosen to take the
>skeptical and mocking side of the debate, judging from this
>and other of their productions on UFOs.

Hi Irene,

Two documentaries have been on all week long, most predominantly
the governments controlled by aliens nonsense. This one airs
once more tonight at 6:00PM eastern on Discovery. I expect that
the Hill doc will be shown once more as well. best,

Don not Dan.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 7](#)

Re: Why Are They Silent? - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 13:57:27 EDT
Fwd Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 11:31:37 -0400
Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent? - Gammon

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 06:46:47 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent?

>Thanks Jason. But on the topic of alien abductions I have to
>ask, "Why isn't there more physical evidence?" Or, "Why isn't
>there as much physical evidence as there is for UFO's?"
>Anecdotal does not equal empirical. But maybe our science is too
>limiting.

Well, you do realize that according to the establishment there
is no proof that UFOs are real, yet alone that abductions are
taking place.

I don't think our Science is too limiting. I think our approach
to Science is too limiting.

- Jason

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 7](#)

Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 15:15:55 -0300
Fwd Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 11:37:36 -0400
Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Ledger

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 13:40:14 +0000
>Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs'

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 14:52:16 -0300
>>Subject: 'The World's Strangest UFOs'

>>The program aired this week on Discovery Channel Canada has
>>really pissed me off. It's called The World's Strangest UFOs.
>>Some of you may have seen this piece of trash. The following is
>>what I sent to that channel.

>>Don Ledger

>>-----

>>To whom it may concern:

>>I've seen some bad programming in my time but this latest "The
>>World's Strangest UFOs" which is supposed to be an in-depth
>>look at the UFO phenomenon, takes the cake. As a veteran UFO
>>researcher/pilot/author I am, quite frankly, insulted by its
>>content. The whole piece is designed to make everyone appear
>>foolish and takes the giggle factor, already inherent in this
>>business, to the highest degree possible. Those people who were
>>interviewed are made to look as silly as possible, the music and
>>sound effects are corny and close-ups are embarrassing. Often we
>>researchers get sucked into these documentaries with no way of
>>knowing just how we will be treated in "post".

>><snip>

>>Don,

>>All too common in the U.S. as well. After giving up on UFO
>>documentary productions (and newscasts as well) due to very
>>similar mistreatment I quit doing them. Out of great respect for
>>Peter Jennings I briefly came out of retirement, only to get
>>sucked into another disaster. So I have decided never to
>>participate in another schlockumentary unless two conditions
>>prevail:

>>1. I personally know and trust the producer and/or have an
>>advance understanding in writing of the form it will take.

>>2. I am granted a four-minute summary statement that will be
>>broadcast in full and not be edited.

>>If those terms are not acceptable, fine. Count me out. And I
>>wish all serious researchers who are asked to participate would
>>(a) protest the junk that is being produced and (b) establish a
>>boycott of all such producers/programs unless they are willing
>>to make some fundamental fairplay guarantees in writing.

Hi Dick,

I should have mentioned that these documentaries are made in England. I watched another of these - perhaps from the same production company - not yet presented on Discovery Canada involving UFO reports from the early 1950s to present day in the UK.

This piece used the same nutty production methods; close-ups with wide angle lenses, 50 style sci-fi movie music on a theramin.

Actually much data was included in the documentary that I was unaware of and some of the names we often hear about but don't often see interviewed. Lord Hill Norton, Tim Good and the pilots of a Meteor jet encounter - which I am familiar with - from the 1950s were some of those interviewed. But the silly production methods made a mockery of the piece.

The two caveats that you've offered are indeed the way each of us should approach these documentaries. Maybe it would smarten them up in the long term, but then a new batch of production companies will probably come along and it will be the same as before.

Best,

Don Ledger

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 7](#)

'Suits' Silence Doctors Willing To Look Into

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 14:23:56 -0400
Fwd Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 11:45:27 -0400
Subject: 'Suits' Silence Doctors Willing To Look Into

Recently, a 'radio frequency detective', that is, a detective who is equipped to investigate cases where electromagnetic fields play a part in criminal or civil law cases, told our group of citizen gang stalking/electronic harassment targets that he is discovering a number of individuals where their bodies appear to be radiating electromagnetic signals, and these signals appear similar to digital processor noise.

(Let me comment that I have evidence showing that EM signals can be injected from a point in mid-air, so such readings do not necessarily mean the individual carries a physical device as the source of the signal.)

He reports one client who was able to locate the implanted device on a medical scan. So far, this client has not chosen to come forward publicly and provide details to our group. However, that isn't what this UFO Updates posting is about.

What is very interesting, not only to our CGS/EH target group but possibly UFO researchers and list members, is what this "radio frequency detective" wrote in response to my suggestion that he try to connect with surgeons who are willing to remove telemetry/control implants. Here is what the detective wrote, and my comments follow:

"On two occasions I had doctors willing to help and then after a visit from some 'suits' they would not talk to us again."

Wow. I consider that proof that this thing many call "electronic harassment" or "electronic mind control" is real. If it weren't, those 'suits' would not have shown up!

Kind of curious, though, that apparently no 'suits' have interfered with Dr. Roger Leir's implant removals. My theory on that, and it is only my own not-well-informed opinion, is: Dr. Leir's implants made public by way of National Institute of Discovery Science (NIDS) appear to be simple lumps of metal, nothing particularly 'technological'.

If 'suits' interfere with gang stalking/electronic harassment targets' attempts to get implants detected and removed, but not Dr. Leir's operations, I wonder if Dr. Leir's implant removals are allowed specifically because those cases to date have not produced objects of clear cut technological purpose, such as telemetry or control.

I also wonder if only when a claimed UFO implant turns out to not support the idea of deliberate alien telemetry/control purpose, does that implant get posted by NIDS or any other similar web site?

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 7](#)

Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Feindt

From: Carl Feindt <waterufo.nul>
Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 14:21:34 -0400
Fwd Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 12:52:33 -0400
Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Feindt

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 14:52:16 -0300
>Subject: 'The World's Strangest UFOs'

>The program aired this week on Discovery Channel Canada has
>really pissed me off. It's called The World's Strangest UFOs.
>Some of you may have seen this piece of trash. The following is
>what I sent to that channel.

<snip>

Hi Don and list,

I totally agree with everyone's evaluation of the program. Seems to me that I had heard on one of the Lists that Discovery was going totally negative on UFOs while History is going totally positive. From what I've seen on both channels that seems to be true.

Carl Feindt - H2(UF)O

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 7](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 12:31:38 -0700
Fwd Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 12:54:50 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 5 Apr 2006 19:11:50 -0300
>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>>From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 22:35:57 +0100
>>Subject: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>>Tonight showed the SKY ONE TV show 'Eamon Investigates - Alien
>>Autopsy. The show starts of with Santilli still claiming that he
>>saw real film of aliens and that he purchased it from a former
>>US military cameraman. However, there is now a change in the
>>story. Santilli & his colleague Gary Shoefield claim that it
>>took 2 years to buy the film & that when it finally arrived in
>>London 95% of it had 'oxidised' and the remaining 5% was in very
>>poor condition. They therefore decided to 'reconstruct' it based
>>on Santilli's recollection and a few frames that were left.

>>To do this they hired UK sculptor John Humphreys. Humphreys
>>tells of how he used sheeps brain for the brain and a lambs leg
>>for the leg joint.

>>The cameraman's interview film is also a fake. The man in the
>>film is someone they literally brought in off the street and
>>gave him a prepared script to read from.

><snip>

>Thanks much Philip for this report. I wonder what Ed Gehrman
>will say now...

Hi Stan, EBK, List,

First of all I was very surprised by Ray's remarks. After reading Philip's comments, I immediately did a frame by frame visual analysis of the new AA DVD that Neil Morris has developed. I was looking for any evidence that Ray had faked, hoaxed or tampered with the footage or added frames or that it was a type of restoration as he now claimed. A restoration or hoaxed presentation can't be accomplished without leaving some clues that this has been attempted. I don't think it's possible to fake something like this and not leave any incriminating evidence behind, no matter what Ray suggests.

My belief in the authenticity of the AA footage has never depended on Ray's veracity, although I did believe his story of the cameraman and how he acquired the footage and I still do. Dennis Murphy, Neil Morris, Theresa Carlson, William Sawers, Andrew Lavoie and Bob Shell have been and continue to be my main sources for verification. These are researchers who have actually spent time examining the uncut, AA footage. They have reported internal clues that speak for legitimacy. I'm sure they'd agree that Ray's turnaround leaves many unanswered questions.

There's still the matter of the crash site that I discovered

after following the cameraman's directions. How did Ray ever imagine or "restore" these directions from the fabric of his imagination? He has never been to Socorro! The cameraman existed; the crash site and drawings are evidence.

How many of you remember the Kiviat Productions lawsuit against Chuck Harder? Chuck simply copied the uncut AA footage from a Santilli original tape and began selling it during his radio talk show for ten dollars saying that it belonged to everyone in the US since our tax dollars funded the project. I bought one and still have it. Harder was sued for sixty million dollars but the case was settled, in Chuck's favor, just before it went to court. This avoided a Federal court decision on the validity of Santilli's copyright. It's not clear but Kiviat probably ended up paying Harder's legal fees (\$150,000) as well.

I doubt Ray could establish clear copyright on stolen, top secret Army film unless he claimed, as he does now, that he had revised and restored the footage and that it was a creative endeavor. So what this boils down to is copyright protection.

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 7](#)

Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - White

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 17:30:12 -0400
Fwd Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 12:58:00 -0400
Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - White

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 13:40:14 +0000
>Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs'

<snip>

>So I have decided never to participate in another
>schlockumentary unless two conditions prevail:

>1. I personally know and trust the producer and/or have an
>advance understanding in writing of the form it will take.

>2. I am granted a four-minute summary statement that will be
>broadcast in full and not be edited.

>If those terms are not acceptable, fine. Count me out. And I
>wish all serious researchers who are asked to participate would
>(a) protest the junk that is being produced and (b) establish a
>boycott of all such producers/programs unless they are willing
>to make some fundamental fairplay guarantees in writing. - Dick

Bravo! One wonders if an informal "union" of sorts might be
formed by the researchers most frequently invited, and a
standardized contract drawn up reflecting these conditions might
finally get the attention of the producers!

With the most popular researchers all insisting on the same
thing, maybe some sane productions might result?

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 7](#)

Salford Man Admits Alien Autopsy Fake

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 19:28:53 -0400
Fwd Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 19:28:53 -0400
Subject: Salford Man Admits Alien Autopsy Fake

Source: The Manchester Evening News - UK

<http://tinyurl.com/nslco>

Thursday, 6th April 2006

A Salford-born special effects expert, who has designed the aliens for Ant and Dec's big screen debut Alien Autopsy, claims he made models that 10 years ago fooled the world into thinking they were really watching the dissection of a real-life extra-terrestrial.

Sculptor John Humphreys has done modelling work for films such as Alexander and Charlie and the Chocolate Factory and for TV series including Doctor Who.

But until now, he says, he has kept secret his most well-known work - footage of an alleged post mortem of an alien which, some say, crashed to Earth in Roswell in the United States in 1947. For years sceptics have claimed it was a hoax, but John has stayed quiet - saying he was sworn to secrecy.

But with the release of sci-fi comedy Alien Autopsy, which features Ant and Dec and which is based loosely on the Roswell film, John says he has decided to reveal his role in the making of the 1996 film.

'Identical'

John, who grew up on The Avenue in Lower Broughton and now lives near Eastbourne, says the latest film features creatures which are identical to the aliens he created for the Roswell film.

"All we did for the Ant and Dec film was recreate the models we made 10 years ago from photographs we took at the time," said father-of-two John, who says he also played the role of the surgeon in the original film as well as designing the iconic aliens.

"The first time around we put together a fantastic film in a very short space of time and the models we used were destroyed after we made the film," he said.

"Ant and Dec were great to work with - they have really kept their feet on the ground and they were a pleasure to be around. I visited the set for a few days and they were fantastic."

Despite his admission about his role in the making of the original film, John doesn't claim to be able to explain the truth about what happened at Roswell.

"The truth is out there but there are layers and layers, it's like the real X Files and people will have to make their own minds up," he said.

* Alien Autopsy is out on general release from today and if you want to find out more about John's work you can visit his

website at www.john-humphreys.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Eammon Investigates The Alien Autopsy - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catja90024.nul>
Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 20:11:35 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 07:42:48 -0400
Subject: Re: Eammon Investigates The Alien Autopsy - Kasten

>From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
>To: UFO updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 01:26:46 +0100
>Subject: Eammon Investigates The Alien Autopsy

<snip>

>Santilli was quite insistent that "I didn't fake
>alien
>footage... no, we restored it", and compared it
>with the restoration of the Mona Lisa and the
>Sistine chapel!

<snip>

When the AA film first came out and images were available from the internet, I worked in UCLA's Depart. of Pathology. After reviewing the images, the consensus opinion within the department was that it was a "snuff" film someone was palming off as an autopsy of an "alien."

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Keith

From: **Rebecca Keith** <rebeccask.nul>
Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 23:28:24 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 07:48:12 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Keith

I'm so happy that Santilli and crew have admitted their silly little film wasn't true at all.

I'm shocked there are still apologists for them. No, I'm not. That's one of the reasons I'm no longer involved in this field.

Looks like the List is still going strong. Keep up the great work.

A voice from the past...

Rebecca

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Humphreys & AA Films

From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 08:15:19 +0100
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 07:50:14 -0400
Subject: Humphreys & AA Films

Hi list members,

I thought you might be interested to know that UK sculptor John Humphreys, the man who made the dummies for Ray Santilli's fake autopsy film, is also the man who has made the dummies for the Alien Autopsy movie which goes on general release today in cinemas across the UK. I bet you can't guess who recommended Humphreys to the movie company ?

Regards,

Philip Mantle

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Skeptic Shermer's Disbelief Is Science-Based

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 07:54:23 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 07:54:23 -0400
Subject: Skeptic Shermer's Disbelief Is Science-Based

Source: The Chicago Tribune - USA

<http://tinyurl.com/nquq3>

April 6, 2006

Skeptic Shermer's Disbelief Is Science-Based

By Robert K. Elder
Tribune staff reporter

Dr. Michael Shermer isn't just a skeptic, he's the skeptic.

The founding publisher of Skeptic magazine and director of the Skeptic Society, Shermer has spent the better part of 25 years examining everything from UFOs and psychics to the more controversial topics of creationism and the existence of God.

Shermer will present a lecture Friday based on his book "Why People Believe Weird Things" on the Fermilab campus in Batavia.

Tempo talked to Shermer about aliens, faith and what he would like to believe - despite his skepticism.

Q. How did you get started on the skeptic's path?

A. In the '70s, I actually believed a lot of this stuff. I was a born-again Christian for about seven years. And then in graduate school, I lost my religiosity.

There wasn't a single moment but a slow deterioration of my faith. I just really got into science. I love science; the scientific way of trying to understand the world appealed to me.

As a personality, I like the ambiguity of science and the open-endedness. I have a high tolerance of ambiguity; not everybody does. That's one of the appealing notions of religion ... it offers a balm to ambiguity - certain absolutes to certain questions.

Q. You've said skepticism isn't a worldview but an approach. But one critic pointed to a kinship between fanatical skeptics and religious fundamentalists. Does this "kinship" help you understand them?

A. Yes, it does.

Militant atheists, for example, who really go after religions and they're angry, remind me of ex-smokers who run around putting out people's cigarettes. . . . I'm not one of those, but that's what people talk about when they talk about the dogma of skepticism. You do have to watch for that.

You have to be open to the possibility that you're wrong, and that does make you more tolerant, which is one of the things I didn't like in myself when I was religious: the level of

intolerance I was willing to put up with and even foster in myself, in terms of judging other people.

Q. In print, you've been very thoughtful in exploring everything from religion to UFOs, but is there anything you'd like to believe?

A. I'd really like to believe that there is extraterrestrial intelligence and that we could learn an incredible amount from them. I think that'd be about the closest thing to a belief in a god.

[Carl] Sagan's "Contact" and "Cosmos," these are very spiritual books and films... He steps way beyond the bounds of science and I find that personally very fulfilling, in terms of where I get my spirituality.

Q. I think some of the folks reading this might be surprised that you have spirituality. Can you articulate it for me?

A. I define spirituality as a sense of transcendence, of something bigger and grander than us as individuals. I think there's lots of ways to get that. Religion has had a monopoly on it for a while, but I think science does this in spades.

You can't help but look at a Hubble Space Telescope photograph of the cosmos - all those thousands of galaxies in one tiny sliver of the photograph - and not be completely awed by the whole thing.

That gets you out of yourself and thinking about other people, the species, the planet, the cosmos.

Q. You've said America, unlike other cultures, is obsessed with proving the existence of God. Why do you think that is?

A. Two things.

1. We are the most scientific, technological country in the history of the world and that forces us to justify our beliefs, based on rational, scientific reason.

2. And we're exceptionally religious. We have separation of church and state, so there's a free market of competition between churches. American religions are very flexible and dynamic and ready to change, and that's what fuels it.

Q. If someone tells you that even though the existence of God is not provable it brings them comfort - what's wrong with that?

A. I don't have brooks with any religious claims. It's only when it interferes with science, education, government, politics. And most people do keep it to themselves. It's the minority that makes a big stink. The creationists, for example.

And they want to get [creationism taught] in public schools and get the government to force teachers to teach what they believe. That's just wrong. It's also very un-American, in terms of our belief of liberty and freedom.

As of press time, Shermer's lecture was sold out. For more information, call 630-840-2787 or visit: www.fnal.gov/culture.

[relder.nul](#)

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Clark

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 10:41:38 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 07:56:04 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Clark

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 14:51:40 -0300
>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>This case was hanging on by its fingernails for years and now
>its creator - who stands to make more money out of it - says it
>was a hoax. Can't we go with that rather than trying to read
>some 'black' intelligence-type, double-misinformation nonsense
>into the mix, leaving open the door to the possibility that this
>was real footage and now there's some type of cover-up going on.

>I didn't buy the AA film before and it's certainly a waste of
>time for me from here on in. If I'm queried on this by friends,
>the curious or some documentary producer, my response is going
>to be that the maker of the film says it was a hoax, a
>fabrication and that he and it has no credibility in my
>estimation.

>Whether that will count for anything, I don't know, but I, at
>least, will be able to move on to more serious topics.

Thanks, Don, for saying it so well. My sentiments exactly. And
thanks to Philip Mantle, too, for his role in putting this
dreary, transparently bogus affair to rest, at least among
people who have more productive ways to spend their time than to
clutch at straws.

Jerry Clark

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 12:04:24 EDT
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 07:58:32 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 12:13:53 -0700
>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 09:15:17 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>>>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 5 Apr 2006 13:55:18 EDT
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>>>Now, here's what I want. I want all the Psuedo-Scientific AA
>>>Cultists - don't make me name names - to get out of the field
>>>when the truth about AA hits the fan.

>>I find your "this town isn't big enough for both of us" cowboy
>>posturing both immature and insulting.

>>I can't speak for the other "Pseudo-Scientific AA Cultists", but
>>I certainly have no intention of leaving this field, a field I
>>have been active in since the 60s, BTW.

>Agreed.

>I have made pro-AA film comments in the past regarding my >belief
>it was real. The idea, that we should leave the field because
>that is what this guy wants, is absurd!

>What about all of the other hoaxes that have gone on throughout
>the years? Should everyone who endorsed them, and then
>subsequently changed their views, also leave the field?

>At some point in his or her "career," pretty much every
>ufologist will come across a case (even if it's only one case)
>that was probably hoaxed and that the ufologist thought, at some
>point, might be genuine.

>And if everyone who ever initially thought that even just one
>hoaxed case was real was to leave ufology, there would be >hardly
>anyone left - in fact, quite possibly no one would be left!

>Nick R.

Okay we need to take a break here and look at what's really
important and that is keeping level heads and a forgiving
nature.

We humans make mistakes. It's a given that during the course of
any day we will stumble, bumble, goof, and grimace.

It's what we are.

In Santilli's case it's beyond that and surely he is not to be
trusted again. That's his banner. Yet he fooled millions and we
that said it was bogus from the start surprisingly were

sometimes chastised. Funny, isn't it?

So some felt the AA was real. It doesn't mean they were part of some hustle or sham. It just means they're human and have the same human foibles as do we all. I wrote on this list a while back that if one can't admit one is wrong, then one will forever be wrong.

Go out today and make a mistake. Do it on purpose and then laugh at yourself. You'll find you're your own best audience and best prankster. Turn on the windshield wipers when it isn't raining. Call your pet by another name. So what? You made a mistake.

It's easy to pull one over on the UFO community and the public. The veterans round these parts are harder to fool. They've seen it all and the new digital era of special effects can catch one by surprise if one hasn't the resources to properly analyze the data.

Nick, so what? You made a mistake. Doesn't mean you have to walk away or be forced to. So you got 'had' by someone. That means you're one or more steps closer to being better at detecting these things in the future. Makes you a better being.

It behooves us all from now on to really dig into every UFO claim and stand our ground regardless. If proven wrong, so what? This isn't a betting parlor, it's a specialized form of research that encompasses every humanity and science. Ufologists have some amazing diversities in knowledge and talent. Just recently on Coast To Coast AM, Dr. Bruce Maccabee was on and I learned not only is he a brilliant scientist but also a musician and top notch at that! Bet that made the kids tuning in realize you can be a scientist and an artist. Great lesson learned there.

Integrity is the keyword here. I remember when AA first aired my younger siblings and people all around were on pins and needles. My mom made sure I was at her house when it aired and she giggled when Dr. Wecht appeared. She knew him and joked how in the world did they get him to be on the show. We giggled. I then ripped into the presentation because I had studied hard on classical theatrical special effects and makeup and soon as I started cracking jokes my siblings were relieved.

People bothered me for I don't know how long about the AA. I kept telling them to me it was bogus. If I was wrong, cool. If I was right at least I stood my ground.

So I say let's not spend our resources attacking one another. Chalk up who is mature enough to admit they were wrong and call it a day. There are far more interesting studies to be done and number crunching. The amount of time we spend on the AA we could be out there working and gathering solid leads and proof.

Fear of the opinions of others about ourselves is the most crippling and most fiendish of fears.

This charade went on far too long and we've all learned a great lesson.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 17:15:08 +0100 (BST)
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 08:00:39 -0400
Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Miller

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 15:15:55 -0300
>Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs'

>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 13:40:14 +0000
>>Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs'

>>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 14:52:16 -0300
>>>Subject: 'The World's Strangest UFOs'

<snip>

>Actually much data was included in the documentary that I was
>unaware of and some of the names we often hear about but don't
>often see interviewed. Lord Hill Norton, Tim Good and the
>pilots of a Meteor jet encounter - which I am familiar with -
>from the 1950s were some of those interviewed. But the silly
>production methods made a mockery of the piece.

Don,

Re the above meteor jet encounter - I presume you are referring
to the Swinney/Hubbard sighting.

If the documentary you saw is the one I have in mind, then did
you notice that as the two gentlemen were comming to the end of
their narration, clearly heard in the background was the sound
of a cuckoo?

Obviously the production company's conclusions about their
testimony. Which is actually very strong.

Stuart

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Redfern

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 11:15:20 -0700
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 08:02:51 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Redfern

>From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 18:17:33 +0100
>Subject: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

>Dear List Members,

>For the benefit of those who have not seen the recent Sky One
>show featuring Santilli I thought I might take this opportunity
>to point out some of the nonsense in more detail.

>Santilli & Co claim that they had 22 reels of film of which only
>5% was left intact. 55 is an awful lot, but Santilli claims
>that only a few frames were used in the AA film and the rest was
>a reconstruction. Santilli & co could not recognise these few
>frames which they claim were part of the AA film. The
>'oxidisation' of the film is also laughable.

>Santilli & co could not remember the flat (apartment) where they
>claimed to have filmed the restoration/reconstruction. I've
>just spoken to a friend who has been in movie effects all his
>life and he can not imagine how two 5ft alien dummies plus all
>of the props were smuggled into a flat without anyone seeing
>them and it all being filmed in one day. Very implausible to say
>the least.

>The chap who made the dummies is John Humphreys. Santilli &
>Shoefield stood over him constantly like a pair of minders and
>never allowed him to say anything without them being there. I
>can tell you for sure that they did not want him to spill the
>beans.

>The so-called original frames that Santilli showed, after
>keeping them in a small tin, were never held up to the camera so
>that we could see what was on them. They could have had anything
>on them. And of course, Santilli has never had any frames of
>film independently scientifically tested. As Santilli pointed
>out, he was only interested in the commercial aspects of the
>film. Are you telling me that if he even had one authentic frame
>of film that he would not have sold it to the highest bidder?

>Just for the record, I have been working on another TV show for
>a UK terrestrial broadcaster and the only reason that it has not
>yet been broadcast is because of legal action that was brought
>to prevent it. I cannot tell you who took out that action nor
>the content of the show, but I think you can probably guess.

>Last but not least, I've recently interviewed someone here in
>the UK whose testimony could well sink Ray Santilli's ship. He
>will tell all exactly how the whole scam started.

>Make no mistake about it, there is and never was any original
>film, and there is and never was any former U.S. military
>cameraman. Some of you might be aware of the second world war
>saying: "Loose lips sink ships". Well, there's a few 'loose lips'
>talking to me and they have been for quite some time now and
>Santilli's ship is sinking fast.

>Just for the record, John Humphreys said he made the alien brain

>from a sheeps brain coated in jelly (jello). That's why it looks
>like it does.

Philip

Based specifically on your new posting, I think we can now lay
the AA to rest and move on to other things.

My main reason for thinking that there was possibly still more
to this, was the fact that the confession itself was so full of
holes.

Such as the conflicting statements re. how the dummies were
destroyed, the brain (it still isn't a sheep's though, even if
covered in jelly - the size is the problem, aside from how it
looks), and now we learn that Santilli claims not to remember
where it was filmed!

The latter claim is highly strange. I would think anyone would
remember where they filmed something like this!

But I detect from your posting that, with this new source and
yet another TV show, additional data on the nature of the hoax
will ultimately surface.

I wondered how you reconcile all of this though with the many
people in your Alien Autopsy Inquest book who claimed to have
seen the footage years before?

Cheers,
Nick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Redfern

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 11:20:59 -0700
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 08:04:43 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Redfern

>From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 18:17:33 +0100
>Subject: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

<snip>

>The chap who made the dummies is John Humphreys. Santilli &
>Shoefield stood over him constantly like a pair of minders and
>never allowed him to say anything without them being there. I
>can tell you for sure that they did not want him to spill the
>beans.

List:

Those with an interest in the work of John Humphreys' as
referred to above by Philip, should check out his website:

www.john-humphreys.com

There's some interesting stuff here.

Nick R.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: 'Suits' Silence Doctors Willing To Look Into

From: Rob Kritkauskay <robkrit.nul>
Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 09:26:19 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 08:06:04 -0400
Subject: Re: 'Suits' Silence Doctors Willing To Look Into

>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 14:23:56 -0400
>Subject: 'Suits' Silence Doctors Willing To Look Into Implants

>Recently, a 'radio frequency detective', that is, a detective
>who is equipped to investigate cases where electromagnetic
>fields play a part in criminal or civil law cases, told our
>group of citizen gang stalking/electronic harassment targets
>that he is discovering a number of individuals where their
>bodies appear to be radiating electromagnetic signals, and
>these signals appear similar to digital processor noise.

Eleanor:

I was hoping you could provide more specific data regarding the
above information, which might allow us to gain some useful
information from it.

What specific frequency was the signal received? What
instruments were used by the "radio frequency detective"?

What method was used to isolate the signal?

Thanks,

Rob

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 12:27:30 EDT
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 08:08:48 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 12:13:53 -0700
>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>Agreed.

>I have made pro-AA film comments in the past regarding my belief
>it was real. The idea, that we should leave the field because
>that is what this guy wants, is absurd!

>What about all of the other hoaxes that have gone on throughout
>the years? Should everyone who endorsed them, and then
>subsequently changed their views, also leave the field?

>At some point in his or her "career," pretty much every
>ufologist will come across a case (even if it's only one case)
>that was probably hoaxed and that the ufologist thought, at some
>point, might be genuine.

>And if everyone who ever initially thought that even just one
>hoaxed case was real was to leave ufology, there would be hardly
>anyone left - in fact, quite possibly no one would be left!

The funny thing is Nick, that I specifically didn't mention names because I had a feeling that said guilty parties would respond and incriminate themselves. Now, I chose not to reply to Bob Shell, simply because he deserves a chance to justify the time and effort he wasted on trying to prove the veracity of AA. Unfortunately he did a poor job of justifying such.

However, I do not see any reason why you should take issue with my comments since you have already stated that you came to believe that AA was indeed a fake.

I can only assume you responded because you are involved in a similar and poorly supported take on the Roswell Incident?. One that once proven wrong will result in similar desires for you to leave the field. Perhaps you are preparing a possible response to such criticism?

-Jason Gammon

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 12:32:26 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 08:12:55 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

In my opinion,

The controversy surrounding the AA began before its first showing in London (1996, I believe) and continues to this day.

Long before the AA 'film' came to light, there was a film that was sent anonymously to a researcher in the southwest that showed an alleged confrontation between people in a house and mysterious lights outside and the eventual invasion of the home by aliens who abducted those inside.

If memory serves, it was later found to be a demonstration project created by someone hoping to break into the movie industry, and in the end someone decided to have some fun with it. Many will recall a television program that aired in the late 90's that was very similar to the demonstration project that had been described. The show attempted to continue the illusion of it being a real event, but at the end in fine print you could reach the screen credits for all the actors.

When the movie GATTACA was released, the studio began a very strange promotion that included contacting people via email, fax and snail mail after they'd registered on the GATTACA web site. The communication involved the individual in a mini-plot that evolved over time with more messages.

We have seen film created for entertainment that has made it's way into the UFO field as something to be investigated, and studios have been experimenting with ways to involve the audience before a film's release to increase the hype. It's really tragic that the UFO field is so vulnerable to this sort of thing, but the need to believe is very strong for many.

From the very beginning, Ray Santilli made no effort to hide that fact that he was in this to make a return on his investment. Any effort on his part to validate the 'film' was done to increase it's value, and not to resolve a long standing UFO mystery. As some have described him, I think of Ray as a carnival barker, who's task is to get the "rubes" into the tent to see the sideshow freaks. He knows enough about the "freaks" to get everyone interested and excited, but this is entertainment and not science.

UFO Researchers had a very difficult time relating to this, which was quickly apparent from the reaction to the London showing, but as a commodity, Ray's language was one that television producers could understand and relate to. So we quickly saw productions on Channel 4 and on the Fox Network.

After several years of being unable to manipulate UFO researchers any further, Ray withdrew from the UFO field and decided to let a few proponents in the UFO field carry the torch and they've been able to keep interest alive at a minimal level. This week, a feature movie that tells the story of this 'film' in a humorous vein has been released.

So is there any reason to believe that anything said by Ray is actually true? His job is to "promote" and that is what he's been able to do here, and like a carnival barker he wants to

build excitement. Even the controversy generated among UFO researchers can't help but raise the awareness level of the movie and help to increase the hype.

From the very beginning, Ray has controlled the conversation surrounding the AA 'film', and he continues to do so.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Secrecy News - 04/07/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 13:06:17 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 08:15:19 -0400
Subject: Secrecy News - 04/07/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 45
April 7, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** HOUSE INTEL COMMITTEE URGES NEW ACTION AGAINST LEAKS
** DCIA GOSS INVOKES STATE SECRETS PRIVILEGE
** TIME OUT, REALLY

HOUSE INTEL COMMITTEE URGES NEW ACTION AGAINST LEAKS

Existing laws prohibiting unauthorized disclosures of classified information have not been effective, the House Intelligence Committee stated in a new report on the 2007 intelligence authorization act published today.

"Additional and more creative steps to deter unauthorized disclosures are warranted," the report said.

Towards that end, the Committee asked the Director of National Intelligence to study the feasibility of revoking the pensions of those who commit unauthorized disclosures.

Furthermore, "the Committee has initiated a review of certain specific potential unauthorized disclosures of classified information at the request of the Speaker of the House."

"That review primarily is concentrating on an investigation of four cases to develop a better understanding of the related facts and circumstances. The investigation is in turn expected to better enable the Committee to understand how and why unauthorized disclosures occur, and how the protection of classified information is perceived in practice."

"By definition, no individual - whether a journalist, government official, or intelligence community employee - can or should singlehandedly presume to determine what information 'deserves' to be withheld from disclosure in order to protect national security, especially without full knowledge of the surrounding context," the Committee stated.

In one startling passage, the Committee suggests that even the unauthorized receipt of classified information, and not merely its unauthorized disclosure, should be subject to legal penalties:

"The Committee's work plan for this fiscal year includes reviewing all legal avenues to bring to justice those who violate the law, including those who knowingly receive, what is essentially, stolen classified information."

It goes without saying that the President's irregular treatment

of classified information in the Libby case invites cynicism about the whole subject.

The House Intelligence Committee report on the FY 2007 Intelligence Authorization Act is available here:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_rpt/hrpt109-411.html

In a minority statement at the end of the report, Democrats criticized the President's warrantless surveillance program: "Allowing the NSA surveillance program to proceed without fully complying with the law threatens to undermine our entire Constitutional order - our system of checks and balances," they said.

Committee Republicans, in response, rejected what they termed "false and reprehensible claims of improper or illegal activities."

DCIA GOSS INVOKES STATE SECRETS PRIVILEGE

Director of Central Intelligence Agency Porter J. Goss invoked the state secrets privilege last month to block litigation filed against the CIA and another U.S. Government agency.

The likely effect is to terminate the case, for reasons that DCIA Goss said cannot be explained on the public record.

"After deliberation and personal consideration, I have determined that the bases for my assertion of the state secrets privilege cannot be filed on the public court record, or in any sealed filing accessible to the plaintiffs or their attorneys, without revealing the very information that I seek to protect," Director Goss stated in an unclassified March 16 Declaration.

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/jud/doess-goss.pdf>

Little is known about the case. Even the identity of one of the government agencies that is a defendant in the suit has been withheld from disclosure.

What is known is that last September, attorney Mark S. Zaid filed a lawsuit on behalf of an anonymous plaintiff, Jane Doe, and three minors, alleging violations of the Privacy Act, the Administrative Procedures Act, and the U.S. Constitution, in this country and abroad. A copy of the severely redacted complaint is available here:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/jud/doess-complaint.pdf>

The government defended its assertion of the state secrets privilege and moved for dismissal of the case in a March 29, 2006 memorandum of law which is available here:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/jud/doess-memo.pdf>

"Use of the state secrets privilege in courts has grown significantly over the last twenty-five years," wrote William G. Weaver and Robert M. Pallitto in a study of the privilege in Political Science Quarterly last year ("State Secrets and Executive Power," PSQ, volume 120, no. 1, Spring 2005).

"Recent use of the state secrets privilege shows a tendency on the part of the executive branch to expand the privilege to cover a wide variety of contexts," they found.

TIME OUT, REALLY

The next issue of Secrecy News will be published the week of April 17.

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to

secrecy_news-request.nul
with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena

'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Alien Abductions Reality or Dreams?

From: David Haith <visions.nul>
Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 18:55:06 +0100
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 08:20:14 -0400
Subject: Alien Abductions Reality or Dreams?

Source: The National Capital FreeNet - Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

http://www.ncf.ca/~dy656/earthpages3/articles_alienab.htm

March 30, 2006

Alien Abductions Reality or Dreams?
by Anita Welsh

If one had asked me 20 years ago if "we are alone", my answer would have been definitely "yes." Today, I am not sure. My research into the phenomena known as alien abductions began 13 years ago, in my home on a farm in Rockwood, between Guelph and Acton Ontario. At that time, my husband, myself and daughter (with her young son of 2 years old) all worked for the Ontario Government. Our ritual was to get up each morning before 6 a.m. and leave for work in downtown Toronto, dropping the infant off at the babysitters. This particular morning, we all slept in until 10 a.m.

Our first instinct on waking so late, as if we all had hangovers, 'was of a power cut causing the alarms to not go off. But what most caught our attention was a two-year-old telling us that he had met "God" during the night. His description of "God," and of being taken up through his bedroom window in a blue light to a space ship, certainly woke us quickly. Of course, our natural instinct was to put it down to a dream. I remember asking my daughter what on Earth he'd been watching on television. Remarkably, the two-year-old turned to me and said "they said you would not believe me Nanna." Not wishing to call the child a liar, I instinctively said "of course I believe you." His next words sent a chill down my spine. "They told me to tell you to find the book that grandpa Lennie gave you in England, and read the last two lines on page.." (I cannot remember the page number now). I had to think what book he was talking about; then I remembered that on my last visit a few months earlier to England, my father had given me a book to read on the plane back to Canada. Because it dealt with a form of spiritualism, which I could not understand, I didn't bother to read it. On my return, it had been thrown in the junk drawer. My daughter and I turned the house upside-down searching for this book. On turning to the page indicated by my grandson, I read out loud the two lines he told me to read "there are more things in heaven and earth than what any of us understand"..

What is so extraordinary about this, is that my two-year-old grandson, who could not possibly read, or have known about this book, was able to tell me about two unusual lines contained in it...

This started me wondering about what he was telling us, and if it could have some truth to it. He also told us, quite in detail, about the 'children on the space ship' who were children but not really children - in fact adults; also, about animals in cages that had been taken from other planets, which were nothing like animals on earth. He then told us about a lady and another

little boy who looked after him while he was on board this ship. His description of "God" was as one would want to think of him, yet he described the children as having large heads and big eyes. It was not until later that I discovered, through researching aliens, that there have been various descriptions as to what aliens look like. In one description, they were depicted as tall, with golden hair and beautiful. Could these aliens have been the ones that my grandson had met?

<snip>

I was fascinated by this case and contacted Anita Welsh to find she is as puzzled today about all this as she was when it happened but is still seeking answers.

Listers who read her full account will remember it featured the abduction case of a Betty Stewart (Dagenais) researched by Lawrence (Larry) Fenwick who wrote about analysis of an alleged implant she had.

I have been trying in vain to contact Larry who used to write to this List and was connected to the Canadian UFO Research Network.

But his website is defunct and there is no response from his email. If Larry is still around or anybody knows where to contact him, could they respond please.

In connection with this case I also need an email for the Canadian astronomer Terrence Dickinson who appeared on a TV UFO show with Betty.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 13:58:42 EDT
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 08:23:15 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

>From: Ed Gehrman <egerman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 12:31:38 -0700
>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>First of all I was very surprised by Ray's remarks. After
>reading Philip's comments, I immediately did a frame by frame
>visual analysis of the new AA DVD that Neil Morris has
>developed. I was looking for any evidence that Ray had faked,
>hoaxed or tampered with the footage or added frames or that it
>was a type of restoration as he now claimed. A restoration or
>hoaxed presentation can't be accomplished without leaving some
>clues that this has been attempted. I don't think it's possible
>to fake something like this and not leave any incriminating
>evidence behind, no matter what Ray suggests.

There are several key features of the film which suggest hoax.
Most have been ignored or excessively rationalized away by true
believers.

>My belief in the authenticity of the AA footage has never
>depended on Ray's veracity, although I did believe his story of
>the cameraman and how he acquired the footage and I still do.
>Dennis Murphy, Neil Morris, Theresa Carlson, William Sawers,
>Andrew Lavoie and Bob Shell have been and continue to be my main
>sources for verification. These are researchers who have
>actually spent time examining the uncut, AA footage. They have
>reported internal clues that speak for legitimacy. I'm sure
>they'd agree that Ray's turnaround leaves many unanswered
>questions.

No, the real question is why are you relying on the opinion of
others instead of using your own logic? Did you at any point
realize that the their goal of trying to prove the film genuine
is completely unscientific? Should I remind you the person
making the claim must prove authenticity, and not the other way
around?

>There's still the matter of the crash site that I discovered
>after following the cameraman's directions. How did Ray ever
>imagine or "restore" these directions from the fabric of his
>imagination? He has never been to Socorro! The cameraman
>existed; the crash site and drawings are evidence.

Again, there is no evidence the camera man ever existed. All we
have is the word of a liar. Nor was any proof submitted claiming
that a location was the genuine location of the Roswell crash.
Instead we have a case of a person telling people what they
desperately wish to be believe true. In my opinion, had people
stopped and used logic and commonsense then this whole joke
would have been over a long time ago. Wait! People did do just
that but the crazy-crowd insisted the film was authentic.

>How many of you remember the Kiviat Productions lawsuit against
>Chuck Harder? Chuck simply copied the uncut AA footage from a
>Santilli original tape and began selling it during his radio
>talk show for ten dollars saying that it belonged to everyone in
>the US since our tax dollars funded the project. I bought one
>and still have it. Harder was sued for sixty million dollars but

>the case was settled, in Chuck's favor, just before it went to
>court. This avoided a Federal court decision on the validity of
>Santilli's copyright. It's not clear but Kiviati probably ended
>up paying Harder's legal fees (\$150,000) as well.

Considerably less than what stands to be made off of the movie
should it prove successful.

>I doubt Ray could establish clear copyright on stolen, top
>secret Army film unless he claimed, as he does now, that he had
>revised and restored the footage and that it was a creative
>endeavor. So what this boils down to is copyright protection.

>Ed

I doubt Ray would have lived long after acquiring any genuine
footage. The powers that be are quite skilled at making people
and evidence disappear.

-Jason

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Glenn Dennis Veracity Poll Results - Owens

From: Steve Owens <p944dc.nul>
Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 13:03:10 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 08:25:17 -0400
Subject: Re: Glenn Dennis Veracity Poll Results - Owens

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 15:13:12 -0300
>Subject: Re: Glenn Dennis Veracity Poll Results

>>D. Forced to discount his story as Kevin Randle has shown
>>glaring inconsistencies in Dennis' tale. (1 response)

>In the past I have talked about Dart Board Physics being used
>with regard to UFOs. Now we seem to have Dart Board sociology. I
>wonder how many of the respondents - obviously not a significant
>sample size - have actually spent any time with Glenn or
>carefully reviewed what he has said?

I grew up in Roswell and was acquainted with Dennis as my father
was a local pastor and Dennis worked at Ballard funeral home.

Having spoken with him impacts not at all my opinion of what
he said about the incident.

My opinion, which I sent privately to Stan Friedmant, was formed on
what Dennis said publicly about the incident and what
researchers, Kevin Randle in particular, found regarding
discrepancies in his tale.

Perhaps you were participating in Dart Board DeBunking?

Steve Owens

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 14:22:31 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 08:27:42 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

>From: Ed Gehrman <egerman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 12:31:38 -0700
>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

<snip>

>First of all I was very surprised by Ray's remarks. After
>reading Philip's comments, I immediately did a frame by frame
>visual analysis of the new AA DVD that Neil Morris has
>developed. I was looking for any evidence that Ray had faked,
>hoaxed or tampered with the footage or added frames or that it
>was a type of restoration as he now claimed. A restoration or
>hoaxed presentation can't be accomplished without leaving some
>clues that this has been attempted. I don't think it's possible
>to fake something like this and not leave any incriminating
>evidence behind, no matter what Ray suggests.

>My belief in the authenticity of the AA footage has never
>depended on Ray's veracity, although I did believe his story of
>the cameraman and how he acquired the footage and I still do.

>Dennis Murphy, Neil Morris, Theresa Carlson, William Sawers,
>Andrew Lavoie and Bob Shell have been and continue to be my main
>sources for verification. These are researchers who have
>actually spent time examining the uncut, AA footage. They have
>reported internal clues that speak for legitimacy. I'm sure
>they'd agree that Ray's turnaround leaves many unanswered
>questions.

Hi Ed,

I'm not sure how you can believe Ray's story of the cameraman
and his acquisition of the "film", when he's essentially come
out and said that he most of it up.

Neil and Teresa were both involved in this AA "film"
investigation from the beginning and their views would be of
interest. Teresa actually did analysis that indicated that the
"brain" material was too much like jelly when it was moved,
which now seems to fit the production description. Neil spent a
lot of effort working with the "Tent Sequence", but there was a
lot of deliberate effort to wash out the faces in the film print
and not a lot could be done.

Bob Shell has been in touch with Philip and knows there's a lot
more to this story than we've seen so far, and it would seem
that others are beginning to come forward with the release of
the movie this week.

But may I recommend that you cease trying to infer that any lack
of support is the result of not watching the "film", frame-by-
frame, all the way through. There are many researchers, such as
myself, who spent time looking at it and eventually decided that
tangent of ufology was going nowhere. Not all researchers rolled
their eyes and turned away.

We can all agree that Ray's statement has left a lot of

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

unanswered questions, but that doesn't mean the answers won't be forthcoming and I would suspect that they won't help your argument.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 14:50:09 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 08:30:50 -0400
Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Kaeser

>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 17:30:12 -0400
>Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs'

>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 13:40:14 +0000
>>Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs'

<snip>

>One wonders if an informal "union" of sorts might be formed by
>the researchers most frequently invited, and a standardized
>contract drawn up reflecting these conditions might finally get
the attention of the producers!

>With the most popular researchers all insisting on the same
>thing, maybe some sane productions might result?

The intent it good, but impractical. Does anyone really believe that the media would have a difficult time finding the voices they need to fill their air time? Howard Stern on Sirius radio is launching a program on the Satellie Network for Contactee Riley Martin. On his web site, Riley talks about his involvement with aliens since he was a young boy and his trips to the Rings of Saturn. I suppose that Riley could fill in when all the good researchers refuse to participate in a flawed documentary.

I don't blame any researcher for refusing to participate in an interview, and certainly there have been cases where their words were misused. But drawing a line in the sand seems to be self-defeating and forces the media to go to the fringes for information. On the other hand, I guess that the fringe investigators would be more interesting to film and interview.

There is no good answer to this, and I think we have to keep in mind that for the media this is really all about ratings and profit margins.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

From: Jim Deardorff <deardorj.nul>
Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 13:08:44 -0700
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 08:32:47 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 12:31:38 -0700
>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

<snip>

>There's still the matter of the crash site that I discovered
>after following the cameraman's directions. How did Ray ever
>imagine or "restore" these directions from the fabric of his
>imagination? He has never been to Socorro! The cameraman
>existed; the crash site and drawings are evidence. ...

Hi Ed,

Wouldn't this be a good time to post somewhere, a side-by-side
comparison of the most appropriate photo you took of the site
and the sketch of the site made earlier from the cameraman's
memory?

Jim

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs - Sanchez-Ocejo

From: **Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo** <ufomiami.nul>
Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 16:42:34 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 08:51:24 -0400
Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs - Sanchez-Ocejo

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 14:52:16 -0300
>Subject: 'The World's Strangest UFOs'

>The program aired this week on Discovery Channel Canada has
>really pissed me off. It's called The World's Strangest UFOs.
>Some of you may have seen this piece of trash. The following is
>what I sent to that channel.

>Don Ledger

>-----

>To whom it may concern:

>I've seen some bad programming in my time but this latest "The
>World's Strangest UFOs" which is supposed to to be an in-depth
>look at the UFO phenomenon, takes the cake. As a veteran UFO
>researcher/pilot/author I am, quite frankly, insulted by its
>content. The whole piece is designed to make everyone appear
>foolish and takes the giggle factor, already inherent in this
>business, to the highest degree possible. Those people who were
>interviewed are made to look as silly as possible, the music and
>sound effects are corny and close-ups are embarrassing. Often we
>researchers get sucked into these documentaries with no way of
>knowing just how we will be treated in "post".

I was interviewed for The World's Strangest UFOs series
Alien Vampire Chronicles episode by the Canadian producer:

Robin Bicknell
Proper Television
409 King St. W. Sweet 201
Toronto, On. M5V 1K1

Phone: 416-598-2500 x 244
Fax: 416-598-2550
robinbicknell.nul

I don't know if she did the other documentaries.

Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo
Miami UFO Center

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Faccenda

From: Joe Faccenda <Uforth.nul>
Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 17:57:47 EDT
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 08:56:03 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Faccenda

>From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 18:17:33 +0100
>Subject: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

>For the benefit of those who have not seen the recent Sky One
>show featuring Santilli I thought I might take this opportunity
>to point out some of the nonsense in more detail.

There is no doubt there are a lot of angry ufologist pulling out hair and much gnashing of teeth over this sorry AA episode. While a few rake over the ashes for any crumbs of comfort, the majority want to distance themselves as much as they can.

As Santilli dances off into the sunset clutching his wads with glee, the UFO community is not only left dumbfounded at the enormity of the scam, but also the realisation of the damage this has done to ufological credibility itself.

Bebunkers like the late and not lamented Philip J Klass would have had a field day with this.

And while many will say "I told you so", I am sure there was deep down, a faint hope that some of AA was true. Ray Santilli ufology will not forget you. And believe me they will not forgive you either.

Joe Faccenda

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: **Jasson Gammon** <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 19:01:06 EDT
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 08:57:38 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Maurizio Baiata <maurizio.baiata.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 19:06:18 +0200
>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>Thanks Bob, my comment will come soon, reinforcing my intention
>not to leave this field at all. Just need few hours to recap
>some thoughts. Situation is not clear at all.

The situation is extremely clear. It was a hoax. Several people who were supposed to maintain a scientific frame of mind, in fact didn't. They were fooled and also fooled themselves.

>Too many discrepancies, for instance the opinions expressed by
>three prominent Italian super partes anatomo-pathologists,
>totally diverging from the "new" insight of the Humphreys work
>with sheep brains for the "creature" brains: how the "dura
>madre" membrane was created? Many more suspicious points will
>surface soon.

What is suspicious? Jello Gellatin was used to enhance the brain. By the way, fresh brains have the consistency of gellatin, so it would be a good substance to use. Also, if you remember the gunk all over the hands of the "Doctors" in the film, then you should notice that it was remarkably similar to gellatin as well. Hasn't anyone else ever played with their food?

>As reminder, I'm one of the few who saw the 'first autopsy'
>footage, in Santilli's office, in Balcombe Street, London, on
>Wednesday, April 26, 1995. I was there with Reg Presley.

Many people, including names like Stan Friedman, believed in the authenticity of the film when it first surfaced. However, those researchers who were a bit more prudent made observations which exposed the film for what it was, a hoax. Now, true believers are scrambling to form complex theories on how the film is truly genuine. How funny to think that this behavior has been predicted by many people here on this list, yet true believers continue to post anyway?

>Maurizio Baiata
>Editorial Manager
>Area 51 Magazine - Italy

I choose to think of AA as a test for trustworthiness of researchers, their data, and their conclusions. Those researchers who flunked will always be suspect in my mind.

Now, it's perfectly fine if you were a former believer who changed their mind after looking at the evidence, or lack of evidence. This just means you came to your senses and still hold on to some scrap of scientific inquiry.

-Jason Gammon

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Why Are They Silent? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 18:00:38 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 09:22:15 -0400
Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent? - Nielsen

>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 13:57:27 EDT
>Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 06:46:47 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent?

>>Thanks Jason. But on the topic of alien abductions I have to
>>ask, "Why isn't there more physical evidence?" Or, "Why isn't
>>there as much physical evidence as there is for UFO's?"
>>Anecdotal does not equal empirical. But maybe our science is too
>>limiting.

>Well, you do realize that according to the establishment there
>is no proof that UFOs are real, yet alone that abductions are
>taking place.

There is (empirical) physical trace evidence which can't be
disputed. This backs up the coinciding expert (anecdotal)
testimony. So, some UFO's are real.

>I don't think our Science is too limiting. I think our approach
>to Science is too limiting.

Good point Jason. How would you change our approach to science?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: **Eugene Frison** <eugene.frison.nul>
Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 22:19:53 -0300
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 09:24:33 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 11:11:57 -0700
>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 5 Apr 2006 13:55:18 EDT
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>What about all of the other hoaxes that have gone on throughout
>the years? Should everyone who endorsed them, and then
>subsequently changed their views, also leave the field?

>At some point in his or her "career," pretty much every
>ufologist will come across a case (even if it's only one case)
>that was probably hoaxed and that the ufologist thought, at some
>point, might be genuine.

>And if everyone who ever initially thought that even just one
>hoaxed case was real was to leave ufology, there would be hardly
>anyone left - in fact, quite possibly no one would be left!

>>Now, here's what I want. I want all the Psuedo-Scientific AA
>>Cultists - don't make me name names - to get out of the field
>>when the truth about AA hits the fan.

Yeah, but Nick, this is not what we're really talking about here! It's one thing when you're talking about ufologists initially getting fooled by a hoax and then changing their minds about it when the facts are sifted. It's another thing when the hoaxer admits it and people still want to believe the hoax - making up all kinds of excuses and logic to go on believing rather than just accepting it as discredited. I don't think Jason Gammon is asking ufologists who were wrong about a case and then saw it for what it was to leave the field. I think he's referring to the ones who are obsessed and can't be objective - the "pseudo-scientific cultists". Can't deny the field is rife with those and that they aren't doing ufology any good!

The AA film lives! If Santilli swore to a full confession next week in a courtroom there would still be those who would say he was coerced into the confession. As Don Ledger said, this was "hanging on by its fingertips" and what Philip Mantle said was like someone stomping hard on those fingers with a pair of steel-lined workboots - severing the fingers clean off. It should have just fallen to its death! But no, now we're going to have endless arguments as to why it couldn't be sheep brains, how you can't create a hoaxed restoration without leaving clues (the clues are all over the freaking place), how Ray's turnaround is curious, etc., etc., etc.!

Maybe Santilli asked for a sheep's brain but got a horse's brain or something similiar instead. I'm sure if you're looking for an animal brain to fake a film then any brain will do as long as it creates the effect you're looking for. It's probable and reasonable to suggest that he isn't an expert in anatomy and just took what he got, instead of getting there arguing "no, no, no, this a horses's brain and it absolutely has to be a

sheep's brain." Maybe he simply went out, or sent someone else out, for a sheep's brain - not really caring what kind of brain he got - and he or they came back with something different but it was good enough for what they were going to do. Maybe the dude who gave him the brain didn't know what kind of brain it really was, or didn't have one from a sheep and didn't think Santilli would know the difference (or care). Why do people have to turn things that could have the simplest mundane answer into an enigma?

I predicted this wasn't going to go away yet. Mantle's revelations, not without surprise, had absolutely no affect on those who couldn't see the AA film before for the hoax it was. The remarks by Ed Gehrman, "I was very surprised by Ray's remarks" and "what this boils down to is copyright protection" epitomizes why it's a waste of time and resources for serious researchers to attempt to make a dent in the 'need to believe' of the "pseudo-scientific cultists". If only they all would leave the field! But since it's not going to happen, serious researchers just need to leave them to their field and do the science that needs to be done independent of and detached from them.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Alien Autopsy Feature Film Review

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 09:29:38 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 09:29:38 -0400
Subject: Alien Autopsy Feature Film Review

Source: ITV - London, UK

<http://www.itv.com/page.asp?partid=3D4747>

April 07, 2006

Movie Reviews

Alien Autopsy

Best mates Ray and Gary are about to unveil something out of this world=85.

Well, not exactly.

When Ray Santilli (Declan Donnelly) and Gary Shoefield (Ant McPartlin) head to the States in pursuit of some top Elvis memorabilia for Ray=92s dodgy DVD stall, they unwittingly stumble across something rather more collectable.

Having flogged Gary=92s motor to pay for the trip, Ray=92s running a big risk when he persuades his disapproving pal to help him find the funds for some old US military footage that he thinks could lead to the big time.

And he could just be right, for this is no ordinary old US military footage=85this is an undercover autopsy on an unidentified alien being!!!

It sounds a little far-fetched, but the ancient cameraman (Harry Dean Stanton) who shot the startling scenes back in 1947 is deadly serious, and Gary is soon on board and searching for suckers willing to stump up the cash.

But back in Blighty, the dippy duo discover that the film has disintegrated, and when you=92ve got a trigger happy drug baron like Laszlo Voros (Gotz Otto) as your expectant financier, that=92s a BIG problem.

So naturally, there=92s only one thing for it=85a reconstruction!

The plucky chums hastily recruit a =91top-notch=92 film crew headed up by their kebab king pal and part time wedding cameraman Melik (Omid Djalili), and in the glitzy location of Gary=92s sister=92s front room, they set about breathing life into the paranormal past.

But will they fool ravenous media, UFO specialists and more importantly, the bloodthirsty Laszlo when they unleash their masterpiece on the unsuspecting world?

Based on one of the biggest conspiracy theories of the 20th Century, Alien Autopsy brings iconic comic duo Ant and Dec to the big screen for the first time.

With acclaimed Shameless and Phoenix Nights director Johnny

Campbell at the helm, Ant and Dec=92s alien endeavour is supported by a stellar cast, including the legendary Harry Dean Stanton, comic cad and big screen big shot Omid Djalili, and the incomparable Jimmy Carr.

And whilst the audience will be treated to the familiar sparkle and hilarious comic capers that we have come to know and love from our Geordie boy wonders, the duo assume challenging roles that reverse their TV personas and absorb their most avid fans in the stunning story that initially snared its stars.

Alien Autopsy will be beamed into cinemas on Friday 7th April.

[Many links to video-clips at site]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Namebase UFO Resource

From: Gary Matteson <mystrius.nul>
Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 21:56:24 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 09:33:22 -0400
Subject: Namebase UFO Resource

Errol:

Is this database of names known to UFO Updates and its members?
I have not explored it only superficially, there is a very large
listing of names associated with aerial phenomena.

I would think it very useful to those new to the study of UFOs
and associated fields.

<http://www.namebase.org/books67.html>

Kindest regards,

Gary C. Matteson

MUFON-NE <http://tinyurl.com/8v9xy>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Why Are They Silent? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 20:10:12 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 09:35:35 -0400
Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent? - Nielsen

>From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 10:19:02 -0700
>Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent?

>>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 15:22:24 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent?

>>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 05:57:42 -0700 (PDT)
>>>Subject: Why Are They Silent?

>>>If true UFO designers/builders/fliers are so smart with
>>>technology, why are they so silent? Why do they get our
>>>attention, on a worldwide scale, but not say anything?

<snip>

>Not trying to anthropomorphise ET, from that one experience and
>from others I've read about, I don't think small talk is that
>arcane.

Not to anticipate Stan Friedman's potential response, Irene, but I think we should humanize ET's intentions. It's what we always do. We can know that we don't know everything. But how can we ever know what we don't know? And whoever is smart enough to design/build/fly UFOs should also know that about us, and talk to us as evidently and on as worldwide a scale as they show their UFOs to us!

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: James Bond Johnson 1926 - 2006 - Sawers

From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 17:33:09 +1000
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 09:39:01 -0400
Subject: Re: James Bond Johnson 1926 - 2006 - Sawers

>From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 17:05:57 +0100
>Subject: Re: James Bond Johnson 1926 - 2006

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>>Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2006 3:21 PM
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: James Bond Johnson 1926 - 2006

>>Source: Frank Warren's Blog

>><http://tinyurl.com/llk77>

>>April 03, 2006

>>Dr. J. Bond Johnson Passed Away Quietly In His Sleep Saturday, March 25

>><snip>

>>J. Bond Johnson received the most attention in recent years
>>for having photographed in 1947 for the Fort Worth Star-Telegram
>>the wreckage of the controversial "UFO Crash" in Roswell, N.M.
>>He was sent by his editor to photograph the debris from the
>>crash that had been transported to Gen. Ramey's office at Fort
>>Worth Army Air Station. He shot a series of six photographs
>>(both sides of three glass plates from a Speed-Graphic camera),
>>of which five survive in the collection at the University of
>>Texas at Arlington. These photos are the only known pictures of
>>this famous and controversial debris. Although a minor incident
>>in his life, this event would come back later to include him in
>>UFO investigations.

>A query: Randle and Schmitt in their two books say that J Bond
>Johnson in fact took only four of the photos at Fort Worth,
>and that Major Charles Cashion took the other two, before
>Johnson arrived at the base. Karl Pflock says these two took
>all the photos but does not say who took which.

>However, there is a seventh photo, shown in the Ft Worth Evening
>Star-Telegram for July 9. It is captioned "Star-Telegram Staff Photo".
>This 7th photo is one I do not recall seeing in any of the published
>Roswell books or papers.

>In view of the high importance attached to the Roswell event,
>for the sake of accuracy we ought to clear up this matter, if it
>is at all possible. How many photos were actually taken in Fort
>Worth, who took them, and how many still survive?

Chris, List

This is interesting to me as I didn't know the name of the other
photographer.

James Bond Johnson thought he took six photos but only 4 of the
original negatives are available for study in the Special
Collections Section of the University of Texas Arlington's
Library.

As you see there are 7 different shots on the site below. Bettmann Archive in NY has a "copy neg" of the 5th pic and the neg for the 6th pic is missing. (Frt Worth Star) Although it's been said a "press conference" was held, JBJ has said he was the only photographer present at that time and he even unwrapped some of the debris, which was wrapped in brown paper and recalls it having a foul burnt smell.

This site has this information:

<http://voyagemedia.ath.cx/fortworth/index.htm>

Quote

"A further photograph of the debris is in existence, this was not taken by Johnson and at this time it is not known who took this photograph, it may have been by the Air Force themselves, it shows Warrant Officer Irving Newton, one of the base weather men who was on duty that day and was summoned to Gen Ramey's office to identify the debris as a "weather balloon".

It must be assumed that this photograph was taken soon after Johnson took his set as if you look at the base of the radiator beneath the window behind Newton you can see a paper wrapped package, Johnson states that these were the packages the debris was wrapped in." end quote

It seems the other photos, or at least the Newton photo was taken after JBJ's hence the thought the debris was "sanitised", as we see bits of the debris are missing.

It is worthwhile to look at this site and checkout the timing of these photos, not to mention the Telegram information with Neil Morris and Dave Rudiaks etc interpretations of the message Ramey is holding. Interesting.

Regards

William

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Hatch

From: **Larry Hatch** <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 01:55:48 -0700
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 09:42:09 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Hatch

Hello all:

A special thanks to Philip Mantle and anyone else who helped bring this to light, even Santilli and Humphreys for coming clean.

I have a new listing in my set of Discredited Sightings (this is more than a 'sighting', its a whole movie!)

<http://www.larryhatch.net/DISCREd.html>

Search by date (1947). So far it reads:

! 1947/?? (actually 1996) Ray Santilli's famous Alien Autopsy Film was finally exposed as a 'reconstruction' by Santilli himself in April 2006. Noted sculptor John Humphreys now says that he created the dummy, and even portrayed one of the surgeons.

Even with these public admissions, there are people trying to salvage this, the most thoroughly discredited hoax on record.

I'm a little concerned about my wording, I don't want to exaggerate. Would most of you agree that this is the 'most thoroughly discredited hoax on record', or is there some other major/well known case that's even more completely shot to pieces?

Also, any help with dates would be appreciated.

1) Did the fictitious cameraman ever indicate a date or at least a month in 1947 when he took the footage?

2) Did Santilli or Humphreys give a date or month in 1996 when they put together the hoax footage?

Thanks in advance for your input.

- Larry Hatch

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Dan Aykroyd Unplugged On UFOs

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 09:48:22 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 09:48:22 -0400
Subject: Dan Aykroyd Unplugged On UFOs

Source: David Sereda's Blog

<http://tinyurl.com/lncg4>

Friday, April 07, 2006

If you want to see Dan Aykroyd Unplugged On UFOs, tell your local Blockbuster Video Store to Order it!

Dan Aykroyd brings ET and UFO Disclosure to the World in a stunning film, "Dan Aykroyd, Unplugged on UFO." Release date May 31st, 2006

(CNN) Reported in 1997 -- Nearly 50 years since an alleged UFO was sighted at Roswell, New Mexico, a new CNN/Time poll released Sunday shows that 80 percent of Americans think the government is hiding knowledge of the existence of extraterrestrial life forms. That is nearly 230 million Americans who are believers.

While many of the top grossing Hollywood films are the subject of UFOs, and ETs, Dan Aykroyd is the first celebrity ever to apply brains and wit to disclose the real UFO phenomenon to the world in his new film, "Dan Aykroyd, Unplugged on UFOs." No Hollywood Celebrity has ever come forward to tell us the truth, as Aykroyd proclaims, "Let=92s get past the debate on whether they are here or not. Let=92s start to analyze who they are, what their intentions are and how we can utilize this technology to better ourselves as a species."

Make no mistake about it, Spielberg=92s UFO Blockbuster, "Close Encounters of the Third Kind," was a box office smash hit in 1977 grossing \$337,700,000 world wide in 1977 dollars. At the American Institute for Economic Research, adjusted with inflation calculations you get \$1,106,162,541 in 2006 dollars. Spielberg=92s ET grossed \$792,910,554 worldwide in 1982, adjusted to 2006 dollars, we get \$1,604,719,494. These two UFO titles rival any movie made today including James Cameron=92s Titanic, which made \$1,200,000,000 in 1997 dollars, the top grossing film of all time? In adjusted 2006 dollars, Titanic comes in behind at \$1,484,112,149.

ET is still the top grossing film of all time. What does that tell us? People want to know who is out there. Is there an ET? "Condemnation without investigation is the height of ignorance!" ?--Albert Einstein. Are we ready for the truth?

Spielberg=92s War of the Worlds did \$591,377,056 in 2005 dollars. Other titles like Independence Day (\$816,969,255), Men in Black, Star Wars, and many more have Spaceship or UFO implications.

UFO Titles rule at the box Office for Hollywood, so why hasn=92t Hollywood gone deeper into the ET subject? Why haven=92t they sponsored deeper research into the phenomenon and given grants to many of the top researchers in the field? Stephen Bassett, a

UFO lobbyist in Washington says most of the people in this field are broke and living in closets to do research. There is indeed a phenomenon happening in our skies, and because people want to know the answer, they conduct studies with pennies. So what would happen if a study was sponsored with millions of research dollars?

Dan Aykroyd is a self-sponsored researcher and has been since he was first aware of the UFO wave as a child. He learned about Kenneth Arnold's sighting in Yakima, Washington in 1942 and he learned about the Roswell Incident. But Aykroyd doesn't stop there. He goes all the way in this never before seen inside look into the mind that has captivated audiences in many blockbuster films, like Ghostbusters, Blues Brothers, Sneakers, Dragnet, and more. It is his personal hobby to know the truth about UFOs. Now he does. He says "There is no debate anymore. UFOS are real. They are intelligently manufactured and guided technology from somewhere else, not this planet."

In this film, Aykroyd is backed up by many credible military personnel. NASA, Air Force and Astronaut (Gordon Cooper) told of his personal sightings of UFOs. Paul Hellyer, former Defense Minister of Canada, whom at 82, went public at the University of Toronto in 2005 to say, "UFOs are as real as the airplanes that fly over your head. That is my unequivocal conclusion."

Many top military witnesses have come forward to testify before their deaths, such as NASA Astronaut Gordon Cooper, Colonel Phillip Corso, on the Roswell Incident, Paul Hellyer, Minister of Defense Canada, President Reagan, and more.

"It is the responsibility of scientists never to suppress knowledge, no matter how awkward that knowledge is, no matter how much it may bother those in power. We are not smart enough to decide which pieces of knowledge are permissible and which are not." --Carl Sagan, in a 1991 commencement address at UCLA

Decide for yourself as you follow Dan Aykroyd through History and Evidence, Video footage and photographs and military eye witness testimony. If you are in the 20% who don't believe, you will change your mind or think differently after you see this film. If you are in the 80% who believe, you will know more than you ever have before. The truth is finally disclosed.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

UFOs Part Of World's History

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 09:54:01 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 09:54:01 -0400
Subject: UFOs Part Of World's History

Source: The Morning News - Springdale, Arizona, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/q7u85>

April 6, 2006

UFOs Part Of World's History

By Becca Bacon Martin
The Morning News

When Ozarkers want to take a little trip into the past, they might choose a museum, a Civil War battlefield, a cemetery or a theme park like Silver Dollar City.

Paul Von Ward thinks that for some "advanced beings", Earth might be that kind of destination.

"Some are trying to help humans - to give us good information", says Von Ward of the visitors he calls "ABs." "Some continue to try to manipulate humans for their own ends. And for some, I think it's just plain observation, like our anthropologists and biologists study other species - just to see what's happening with us."

If Von Ward's theories are correct, ABs helped make humans what we are today. They are not only the "gods" of myth and religion, but our ancestors: The speaker, researcher and author of "Gods, Genes, and Consciousness: The Case for Nonhuman Intervention Throughout the Ages", believes ABs mated with early humans to advance the development of the species. And he thinks they remained intimately involved with mankind until their own squabbling forced them to leave Earth - or to be less open in their presence here.

* "I think the significant story is not that there are a few UFOs or a few aliens around, but that they have always been here", says Von Ward, who will speak April 14-16 at the Ozark UFO Conference in Eureka Springs. "It's all part of the natural universe we ought to be studying."

Von Ward might seem the least likely proponent of a theory that elevates alien visitors to the status of gods. He started graduate school as a Southern Baptist minister who wanted to combine a career in religion and psychology "to be helpful to young people."

But, he counters, he was always interested in the big metaphysical questions: Who are we? How did we get here? What are we doing here?

"In graduate school, I became more and more interested in parapsychology, precognition, telepathy - things outside both the religious perspective and the academic perspective", he says. "I dropped out of grad school in the middle of my doctoral program to go into the Navy and get a different perspective for

myself.

"The more I traveled and worked in different parts of the world, the more I discovered that humans around the world see reality in many different ways", Von Ward goes on. "So my comfortable Southern upbringing was pretty much shattered. And once you break Humpty Dumpty, you can't put him back together again."

Von Ward admits he did have his own experiences - "I don't call them paranormal, I call them natural", he clarifies - and he was reminded by his parents of visits from ABs - beings he called "Woke" and "Wokem" - when he was a child. But it was study of world cultures that convinced him that "humans have been impacted by ABs from the beginning."

"If you look at every culture - whether it's the Genesis story in the Hebrew tradition, Sumarian creation myths in Mesopotamia, the Hindu stories of the gods shaping human life - around the world, it's the same story", he says. "Beings more advanced than humans came down from the sky and created life."

Other stories refer to the time when the "gods" lived on Earth with man. "Then", says Von Ward, "the stories stop talking about direct contact. My hypothesis, which is not unique to me, is that the gods, for their own reasons, pulled back from direct rule of humans about 4,000 years ago."

"If you look back into Middle Eastern history, about 2,000 years B.C., you see the decline of all the city states", he goes on. "Conventional historians call this period from about 1500 B.C. to about 500 A.D. 'the dark ages of Mesopotamia. All of those civilizations deteriorated because the gods who had been keeping everything in order left - the same thing that happens in developing countries when the more advanced society pulls out."

However, says Von Ward, "the kings who had been given authority and the priesthoods that had grown up around the ABs wanted to keep their power and influence. So they just kept saying the gods would come back."

He thinks they do, regularly.

"Reality is not what they teach in school or the way we talk about it in church", he says. "When we tell the story, we need to take into account all of these pieces."

Dan Bogey, writing for ForeWord magazine, agrees.

"Whether or not one believes that 'advanced beings' even exist, let alone play a vital part in human evolution, 'Gods, Genes, and Consciousness' will prove to be a thought-provoking ... interpretation of human events."

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 08:36:55 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 10:04:57 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 14:22:31 -0400
>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>I'm not sure how you can believe Ray's story of the cameraman and
>his acquisition of the "film", when he's essentially come out and
>said that he most of it up.

I had meant to say that he "made" most of it up, and I mistyped.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 05:46:37 -0700
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 10:07:56 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 12:04:24 EDT
>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

<snip>

>Okay we need to take a break here and look at what's really
>important and that is keeping level heads and a forgiving nature.

>We humans make mistakes. It's a given that during the course of
>any day we will stumble, bumble, goof, and grimace.

>In Santilli's case it's beyond that and surely he is not to be
>trusted again. That's his banner. Yet he fooled millions and we
>that said it was bogus from the start surprisingly were
>sometimes chastised. Funny, isn't it?

<snip>

>It's easy to pull one over on the UFO community and the public.
>The veterans round these parts are harder to fool.

<snip>

>Nick, so what? You made a mistake. Doesn't mean you have to walk
>away or be forced to. So you got 'had' by someone. That means
>you're one or more steps closer to being better at detecting
>these things in the future. Makes you a better being.

>This isn't a betting parlor

Sometimes I wish it were! -LH <burp>

<snip>

>Integrity is the keyword here.

<snip>

>Chalk up who is mature enough to admit they were wrong and call
>it a day. There are far more interesting studies to be done and
>number crunching. The amount of time we spend on the AA we could
>be out there working and gathering solid leads and proof.

<snip>

Hello Greg:

You surprise me.

That was probably the best post I've seen from you on this list.
I agree with pretty much everything you wrote. Santilli wasn't
the first Adamski, nor will he be the last. As long as there is
a willing audience cash in hand, Santillis will crawl out of the
woodwork.

At the same time, we need some toleration for people willing to
do the legwork checking these loose threads down, even if this

or that case comes to naught.

Myself, I prefer to filter out the more unlikely stuff, hoping that the remainder will show patterns that might tell me something. Seldom betting on 3-legged horses, I waste little time and no money on dead ones.

Please stop me if I'm wrong, but I initially had the feeling Philip Mantle came in as a hopeful believer in this mess. If so, it is much to his credit that he shot his own pet case down. Not everyone can do that.

Best

-Larry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Eammon Investigates The Alien Autopsy - Stimson

From: Michael Stimson <Michael001.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 14:19:16 +0100
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 10:11:34 -0400
Subject: Re: Eammon Investigates The Alien Autopsy - Stimson

>From: Kathy Kasten <catja90024.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 20:11:35 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: Eammon Investigates The Alien Autopsy

>>From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
>>To: UFO updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 01:26:46 +0100
>>Subject: Eammon Investigates The Alien Autopsy

><snip>

>>Santilli was quite insistent that "I didn't fake alien
>>footage... no, we restored it", and compared it
>>with the restoration of the Mona Lisa and the
>>Sistine chapel!

><snip>

>When the AA film first came out and images were available from
>the internet, I worked in UCLA's Depart. of Pathology. After
>reviewing the images, the consensus opinion within the
>department was that it was a "snuff" film someone was palming
>off as an autopsy of an "alien."

<snip>

I was a manager for a national chain of UK newsagents. At 7am we
used to have to sort the newspapers. The Sun newspaper was the
one which featured the alien autopsy. I'm pretty well certain
that it appeared on page 7.

>From that very moment that I saw the picture, I am 100% certain
>that I have seen it before. Not the autopsy, but the actual face and
>head.

I subscribe to this List and read it. It's taken a lot for me to
post here. I'm getting the feeling that I've seen this creature
in the Wizard Of Oz. I'm not being ironic or anything like that,
I'm trying to work out where I've seen it before.

As I said, I was the manager of this shop. I sat in the back
office and a senior manager of this chain seriously suggested to
me that I had been abducted. I found that to be ridiculous.

The point I'm making is that it was important enough for me to
talk to them about it and for them to offer their opinion. This
is a serious guy.

I've followed the AA since that time. I believe it shows a real
creature, I watched the AA thing on TV the other night. IMO
Philip Mantle is just an opportunist and he should give me a
refund for his book. Bob Shell is the main man in this and he'll
prove it genuine.

Michael

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Pope

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 14:19:26 +0100
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 10:13:39 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Pope

>From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 22:35:57 +0100
>Subject: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>Tonight showed the SKY ONE TV show 'Eamon Investigates - Alien
>Autopsy. The show starts of with Santilli still claiming that he
>saw real film of aliens and that he purchased it from a former
>US military cameraman. However, there is now a change in the
>story. Santilli & his colleague Gary Shoefield claim that it
>took 2 years to buy the film & that when it finally arrived in
>London 95% of it had 'oxidised' and the remaining 5% was in very
>poor condition. They therefore decided to 'reconstruct' it based
>on Santilli's recollection and a few frames that were left.

<snip>

>Nick Pope and mysels appear briefly in this show and I dare say
>Nick will have hi own comments to make. For anyone interested in
>the AA film I do recommend watching this if you can. I've taken
>part in another show for Channel Five in the UK the content of
>which I am not permitted to disclose.

>After watching this tonight I can honestly say that I do not
>believe one word of either Santilli or Shoefield and I have no
>doubt that the film is nothing more than a complete fake. There
>is and never was any original film and there is and never was
>any US military cameraman. Santilli & Shoefield had little
>credibility as it was but now they have none.

>The alien autopsy film is dead and I hope to put it to rest,
>once and for all, soon. Watch this space.

Santilli's confession is very clever, because he's said enough
to get the "it was a hoax" publicity, but has done just enough -
with his claim that there was a real film - to keep the story
going.

My position on the AA film had always been:

- a. None of the story made sense when taking into account
military InfoSy policy.
- b. If you want to get to the bottom of the mystery, follow the
money.

When the dust settles, some wider points will doubtless be made
about this whole affair: the psychology of belief; the extent to
which UFO/alien imagery is part of the popular culture; legal
issues surrounding copyright, fraud, etc.

But for now... enjoy the movie.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Boone

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 09:19:56 EDT
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 10:15:24 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Boone

>From: Joe Faccenda <Uforth.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 17:57:47 EDT
>Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

>>From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 18:17:33 +0100
>>Subject: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

>>For the benefit of those who have not seen the recent Sky One
>>show featuring Santilli I thought I might take this opportunity
>>to point out some of the nonsense in more detail.

>There is no doubt there are a lot of angry ufologist pulling out
>hair and much gnashing of teeth over this sorry AA episode.
>While a few rake over the ashes for any crumbs of comfort, the
>majority want to distance themselves as much as they can.

>As Santilli dances off into the sunset clutching his wads with
>glee, the UFO community is not only left dumbfounded at the
>enormity of the scam, but also the realisation of the damage
>this has done to ufological credibility itself.

>Bebunkers like the late and not lamented Philip J Klass would
>have had a field day with this.

>And while many will say "I told you so", I am sure there was
>deep down, a faint hope that some of AA was true. Ray Santilli
>ufology will not forget you. And believe me they will not
>forgive you either.

Joe, that's the funniest thing I've read in a long time!

You are sooooo right on target!

Me being a cartoonist I got the imagery and like a full Warner Bros. cartoon like scenario rushed through my mind. I could even see a sequence of Philip Klass bursting from his tomb and doing a break dance amongst the tombstones with a James Brown 'Hyah!' emitting from him.

This is going to be one funny and happy Saturday.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Pope

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 14:37:43 +0100
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 10:16:59 -0400
Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Pope

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 17:15:08 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs'

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 15:15:55 -0300
>>Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs'

>>Actually much data was included in the documentary that I was
>>unaware of and some of the names we often hear about but don't
>>often see interviewed. Lord Hill Norton, Tim Good and the
>>pilots of a Meteor jet encounter - which I am familiar with -
>>from the 1950s were some of those interviewed. But the silly
>>production methods made a mockery of the piece.

>Re the above meteor jet encounter - I presume you are referring
>to the Swinney/Hubbard sighting.

I was involved with and interviewed on two British programs
which featured the 1952 sighting by RAF Meteor aircrew Michael
Swinney and David Crofts. One was a BBC2 documentary for the
prestigious "Timewatch" series and the other was a Channel Five
program entitled "The British UFO Files". Both were well-
received in the UK and details have appeared previously on this
List:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2004/jan/m10-008.shtml>

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2004/may/m27-007.shtml>

I haven't seen The World's Strangest UFOs, but suspect it used
footage from one or both of these previous programs, and
incorporated it into a new show.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Milking That Cow

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 09:38:37 EDT
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 10:19:43 -0400
Subject: Milking That Cow

Well once again another scam has been uncovered.

Of course I'm referring to the recent 'Alien Autopsy' controversy.

It's not like it should have taken this long to prove it a fake, yet it did.

That says a lot and I won't point fingers, but it's important for us to look at some of the stuff that just doesn't hold up to muster.

Some of these claims go on for years while their proponents go on to sell books, do tv specials, even cruise line excursions!

It's either true or not gang. Don't give these stories the footing to grow the weeds of bewilderment. Put a fork in them as fast as possible and get on to real hard science.

As I write, there are the new alarms of the year 2012 when some new menace is to occur. Based on interpretations of the Mayan Calendar. Well, where the heck are the Mayans? Oh, yeah, that's right they're extinct!

Shows you how much you can put into that prophecy. They could tell the future but couldn't tell they were going to be extinct? Okay...

Just another attempt to sell books and boost ratings. Just something else to scare people with nothing.

Reptilian shape shifting people? Did David Icke invent this? Does he have one photo or video? He doesn't? I wonder why?

We don't even need to go into Hale Bopp.

Really we need to stop this cow milking and get real before more people get hurt.

Now we have guys talking about giant people of the past who were 18 feet tall. Oh, there's one bone or skeleton, right? No?

Jeez, let's chill out a moment and look at what's being claimed and what is being presented as evidence and proof.

If you like the carnival atmosphere then ignore me. I too enjoy a good fright.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 06:41:31 -0700
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 10:21:55 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Hatch

>From: Joe Faccenda <Uforth.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 17:57:47 EDT
>Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

>>From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 18:17:33 +0100
>>Subject: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

>>For the benefit of those who have not seen the recent Sky One
>>show featuring Santilli I thought I might take this opportunity
>>to point out some of the nonsense in more detail.

>There is no doubt there are a lot of angry ufologist pulling
out hair and much gnashing of teeth over this sorry AA episode.
While a few rake over the ashes for any crumbs of comfort, the
majority want to distance themselves as much as they can.

>As Santilli dances off into the sunset clutching his wads with
>glee, the UFO community is not only left dumbfounded at the
>enormity of the scam, but also the realisation of the damage
>this has done to ufological credibility itself.

>Bebunkers like the late and not lamented Philip J Klass would
>have had a field day with this.

>And while many will say "I told you so", I am sure there was
>deep down, a faint hope that some of AA was true. Ray Santilli
>ufology will not forget you. And believe me they will not
>forgive you either.

Uh, Joe?

I'm sure you meant DEbunkers and not BEbunkers.
(Bebunkers went out of style with saddle shoes.)

"There is no doubt there are a lot of angry ufologist pulling
hair and much gnashing of teeth .."

I'm sure your meant the plural, ufologists (with lettre S) and
you forgot the part about 'weeping and wailing'.

Actually, there are maybe two or three of us who publicly gave
the Santilli Alien Abduction matter much credit. I certainly
didn't.

"...the UFO community is not only left dumbfounded at the enormity
of the scam, but also the realisation of the damage this has
done to ufological credibility itself."

I disagree entirely. It is the searching, self-healing nature of
any study willing to study itselfm which differentiates a
(proto) science from a religion. Only religions claim absolute
truth.

Please do not confuse religious nuts who wander into UFO land,
with the saner parts of ufology, which refuses to wander back in
return.

"Ray Santilli: ufology will not forget you. And believe me they will forgive you either."

You seem to be assuming that most ufologists believed Santilli in the first place. I hope I'm wrong. If not, I find that insulting.

- Larry Hatch

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Alien Abductions Reality Or Dreams? - Freeman

From: Kelly Freeman <Khfflsciufu.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 10:09:09 EDT
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 10:23:58 -0400
Subject: Re: Alien Abductions Reality Or Dreams? - Freeman

>From: David Haith <visions.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <UFOupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 18:55:06 +0100
>Subject: Alien Abductions Reality Or Dreams?

<snip>

>Our first instinct on waking so late, as if we all had
>hangovers, ' was of a power cut causing the alarms to not go off.
>But what most caught our attention was a two-year-old telling us
>that he had met "God" during the night. His description of
>"God," and of being taken up through his bedroom window in a
>blue light to a space ship, certainly woke us quickly. Of
>course, our natural instinct was to put it down to a dream. I
>remember asking my daughter what on Earth he'd been watching on
>television. Remarkably, the two-year-old turned to me and said
>"they said you would not believe me Nanna." Not wishing to call
>the child a liar, I instinctively said "of course I believe
>you." His next words sent a chill down my spine. "They told me
>to tell you to find the book that grandpa Lennie gave you in
>England, and read the last two lines on page.." (I cannot
>remember the page number now). I had to think what book he was
>talking about; then I remembered that on my last visit a few
>months earlier to England, my father had given me a book to read
>on the plane back to Canada. Because it dealt with a form of
>spiritualism, which I could not understand, I didn't bother to
>read it. On my return, it had been thrown in the junk drawer. My
>daughter and I turned the house upside-down searching for this
>book. On turning to the page indicated by my grandson, I read
>out loud the two lines he told me to read "there are more things
>in heaven and earth than what any of us understand"..

Hello David, EBK and List,

The above reference by the two-year-old who said he had met
"God" brings to mind one of the many mysteries of my life.

Back in the mid-90's my neighbor's little girl who was about 5
or 6 years old at the time came over to visit us. During that
time, she innocently looked up at me and asked, "Is this God's
house?" At the time, I really didn't know what to say, but I
ended up telling her "no".

But, later on, in the stretch of woods that separated our two
houses I noticed that there were three trees that appeared as
though something from above came down and "bent" the tops of
them down.

She and her family has since moved away, but what she asked me
that day still mystifies me.

Peace and light,

Kelly

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 10:18:36 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 10:31:25 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
>To: UFO Updates List <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 22:19:53 -0300
>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>Maybe Santilli asked for a sheep's brain but got a horse's brain
>or something similiar instead. I'm sure if you're looking for an
>animal brain to fake a film then any brain will do as long as it
>creates the effect you're looking for. It's probable and
>reasonable to suggest that he isn't an expert in anatomy and
>just took what he got, instead of getting there arguing "no, no,
>no, this a horses's brain and it absolutely has to be a
>sheep's brain." Maybe he simply went out, or sent someone else
>out, for a sheep's brain - not really caring what kind of brain
>he got - and he or they came back with something different but
>it was good enough for what they were going to do. Maybe the
>dude who gave him the brain didn't know what kind of brain it
>really was, or didn't have one from a sheep and didn't think
>Santilli would know the difference (or care). Why do people have
>to turn things that could have the simpliest mundane answer into
>an enigma?

Since I brought up the bit about the brain, let me say that I feel that the statements made by Ray Santilli, John Humphreys, etc., need to be researched and vetted with as much care as the original AA was given. I remarked long ago when first analyzing the high quality video that Ray sent me, that the "brain" removed in the AA does not look like a mammalian brain at all. I've looked at it again several times in the last week, and I still do not think it is any sort of mammalian brain, encased in gelatin or otherwise. It lacks the gross morphological features of a mammalian brain. Does that mean I discount Ray's story of faking the film? Not at all, but it does mean I discount John Humphreys' statement that he used a sheep's brain in making the fake. If you were going to mold gelatin, why use any brain at all? Indeed, what is seen in the AA could simply be molded gelatin, nothing more. But I feel we need to exercise as much care in analyzing these new statements as some of us did with the original ones.

Ray has told me in private communications that there was a cameraman, and he did buy film from him. He promises full details after the end of this month. I will analyze any information he gives me with care.

The information communicated to me by telephone when I was in Socorro came from someone with a detailed knowledge of the area. If we believe that Ray has never been there, nor anyone else at his company, then we still have the question of who was providing these instructions.

Even if we do put the AA film in the hoax category, that does not mean there aren't unanswered questions worthy of investigation. I never had any emotional capital invested in whether the film was real or not. I simply attempted to use my knowledge of film and photography to determine how likely it was that the actual film, if any existed, dated from 1947, and my knowledge of biology and anatomy to make observations about the "body" being dissected in the AA. I am neither uninformed

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

believer nor uninformed skeptic.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 10:22:36 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 10:33:07 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 05:46:37 -0700
>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>Please stop me if I'm wrong, but I initially had the feeling
>Philip Mantle came in as a hopeful believer in this mess. If so,
>it is much to his credit that he shot his own pet case down. Not
>everyone can do that.

Philip is a real gentleman, and honest as the day is long. Two
attributes singularly lacking in this field.

I consider getting to know Philip and gaining his friendship the
one worthwhile thing that came from my involvement in the AA
film.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 10:26:31 EDT
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 10:34:49 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 05:46:37 -0700
>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 12:04:24 EDT
>>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

<snip>

>>Chalk up who is mature enough to admit they were wrong and call
>>it a day. There are far more interesting studies to be done and
>>number crunching. The amount of time we spend on the AA we could
>>be out there working and gathering solid leads and proof.

><snip>

>You surprise me.

>That was probably the best post I've seen from you on this list.
>I agree with pretty much everything you wrote. Santilli wasn't
>the first Adamski, nor will he be the last. As long as there is
>a willing audience cash in hand, Santillis will crawl out of the
>woodwork.

>At the same time, we need some toleration for people willing to
>do the legwork checking these loose threads down, even if this
>or that case comes to naught.

>Myself, I prefer to filter out the more unlikely stuff, hoping
>that the remainder will show patterns that might tell me
>something. Seldom betting on 3-legged horses, I waste little
>time and no money on dead ones.

<snip>

Gee willikers Larry! I feel validated!

Bottom line is that when Santilli hesitated one iota in the
verification of the film, he should have been put out to the cow
milking pasture.

I know it's tough when sources are afraid to come forward.
Considering what happens to whistleblowers one can see why.

Yet in this case there were forensic tests that could have been
done that in a matter of days would have resolved the issue.

If memory serves didn't Santilli once say he was reticent to
give up the small amount of original footage for testing? Yet
now we hear he had cans and cans of the stuff, oxydized or not
there was more footage? Too many inconsistencies.

If he were to come out tomorrow with the 'authentic' film he
should be run out of town on a rail. Let's not spend anymore
press on the chap. Next time he raises his head then let's all
pounce on him and alert the media.

Best ,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

From: **Gildas Bourdais** <gbourdais.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 16:30:29 +0200
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 11:31:13 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 13:58:42 EDT
>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 12:31:38 -0700
>>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

<snip>

>I doubt Ray would have lived long after acquiring any genuine
>footage. The powers that be are quite skilled at making people
>and evidence disappear.

They only needed to pay him a visit and tell him to give the
film back. They had a whole semester, at least, to do that.

Santilli has been lying since 1995 and now does not remember
where he shot the fake film - and again he is lying, of course.

He did not shoot it.

So, the question remains: who made it? Please pay attention
again to the calendar. The film was released in June/July 1995
(not 1996!!), just before the release of the GAO Report which
went almost completely unnoticed, as a result.

This was not long before the release, in October, of the USAF
Roswell Report explaining on 1,000 pages that the Roswell
officers had been lured by balsa wood sticks.

Good show, again this year with a new Santilli comedy. Why is
there so much agitation around Roswell, again?

Maybe time will tell.

Gildas Bourdais

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 8](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: Maurizio Baiata <maurizio.baiata.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 16:50:49 +0200
Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 11:39:39 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Jasson Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 19:01:06 EDT
>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>>From: Maurizio Baiata <maurizio.baiata.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 19:06:18 +0200
>>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>>Thanks Bob, my comment will come soon, reinforcing my intention
>>not to leave this field at all. Just need few hours to recap
>>some thoughts. Situation is not clear at all.

>The situation is extremely clear. It was a hoax. Several people
>who were supposed to maintain a scientific frame of mind, in
>fact didn't. They were fooled and also fooled themselves.

As far as Italy, several people did keep a scientific, or
rational approach since the beginning, including the proponents
(such as me) of a commun effort to find any clue leading us
eith0e0r to prove that it was a hoax, or its was a genuine film.
Obviously, the source's anonimity was a point of no return for
everyone. And Santilli did not help at all.

>>Too many discrepancies, for instance the opinions expressed by
>>three prominent Italian super partes anatomo-pathologists,
>>totally diverging from the "new" insight of the Humphreys work
>>with sheep brains for the "creature" brains: how the "dura
>>madre" membrane was created? Many more suspicious points will
>>surface soon.

>What is suspicious? Jello Gellatin was used to enhance the
>brain. By the way, fresh brains have the consistancy of
>gellatin, so it would be a good substance to use. Also, if you
>remember the gunk all over the hands of the "Doctors" in the
>film, then you should notice that it was remarkably similar to
>gellatin as well. Hasn't anyone else ever played with their
>food?

I'm referring to the entire situation, not to a detail in
particular. If they don't show the molds, the dummies (destroyed
immediatly after), the actors, the props and a 'making-of', how
can we be confident on a correct and conclusive verdict? We
don't have these elements, yet. We have have only words,
Philip Mantle's very disappointed statement and, now, Mr.
Humphreys statement. Too little to just forget and eliminate
this evidence.

>>As reminder, I'm one of the few who saw the 'first autopsy'
>>footage, in Santilli's office, in Balcombe Street, London, on
>>Wednesday, April 26, 1995. I was there with Reg Presley.

>Many people, including names like Stan Friedman, believed in the
>authenticity of the film when it first surfaced. However, those
>researchers who were a bit more prudent made observations which
>exposed the film for what it was, a hoax. Now, true believers
>are scrambling to form complex theories on how the film is truly
>genuine. How funny to think that this behavior has been

>predicted by many people here on this list, yet true believers
>continue to post anyway?

Nope, Stan Friedman was and has been always very suspicious.

I had several discussions with him about it.

BTW, at that time I was with CUN, Italy's most important UFO association, and we held a special meeting in San Marino, Friedman, Linda Howe, Don Schmitt, Jesse Marcel jr., Bruce Maccabee, Bob Shell and many Europeans.

None came to the conclusion that the Autopsy Footage was a hoax. None - but everyone seemed concerned to nail Santilli to his responsibilities, rightly. Stan can confirm this.

Too bad, Santilli escaped all the possible enquiries.

>I choose to think of AA as a test for trustworthiness of
>researchers, their data, and their conclusions. Those
>researchers who flunked will always be suspect in my mind.

>Now, it's perfectly fine if you were a former believer who
>changed their mind after looking at the evidence, or lack of
>evidence. This just means you came to your senses and still hold
>on to some scrap of scientific inquiry.

Thanks Jason, very good advice. However, being a journalist since 1976, I'm not and never was a "believer", I'm only a stubborn Roswell researcher. If we are based, equally, on the "5W" rules, we may conclude that the majority of them are still missing, in the Santilli case.

Maurizio Baiata

Maurizio Baiata
Editorial Manager
Area 51 Magazine - Italy

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 9](#)

List Off-Line

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sun, 09 Apr 2006 09:52:06 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 09 Apr 2006 09:52:06 -0400
Subject: List Off-Line

Unfortunately, I have to take some time away from the List.

My father passed this morning and I now have to fulfill my obligations as his executor.

The List will return as soon as is possible.

Errol Bruce-Knapp
Moderatore UFO UpDates - Toronto

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 15](#)

List Back On-Line

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 15 Apr 2006 09:13:19 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 15 Apr 2006 09:13:19 -0400
Subject: List Back On-Line

Gentle Subscribers & Readers,

To the literally dozens of you who sent messages of condolence on my father Bruce's passing, my thanks.

As Nick Pope wrote, this week, "...I'm sure I won't be the first to speculate that your father now knows more about UFOs than any of us."

Bruce was always intrigued by things that were beyond the norm. He would call me when those strange folk at CSICOP were on TV, spouting their nonsense and ask "what kind of idiots are they?" No doubt he now knows the truth - about many things.....

He was probably the most well-known 'private' citizen in Peterborough as the following will attest:

<http://tinyurl.com/qmpsn>

There is some List-mail accumulation here, which will be back-filled into the Archive, according to date.

I appreciate Subscribers hanging onto List submissions, without being asked.

ebk

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 10](#)

CE3/4/5 Evidence?

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 10:47:53 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Mon, 10 Apr 2006 09:18:52 -0400
Subject: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

It is not my intent to offend anyone's belief. But I believe it's time to answer the following:

Where is the physical evidence for alleged close encounters between non-humans and humans? Specifically, where is the physical evidence for those close encounters occurring without communication (CE3), or the so-called abductions (CE4), or those which demonstrate communication with understanding by both parties (CE5)?

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 10](#)

Re: Milking That Cow - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 11:04:34 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Mon, 10 Apr 2006 09:21:01 -0400
Subject: Re: Milking That Cow - Nielsen

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 09:38:37 EDT
>Subject: Milking That Cow

>Well once again another scam has been uncovered.

>Of course I'm referring to the recent 'Alien Autopsy'
>controversy.

>It's not like it should have taken this long to prove it a fake,
>yet it did.

<snip>

>Some of these claims go on for years while their proponents go
>on to sell books, do tv specials, even cruise line excursions!

>It's either true or not gang. Don't give these stories the
>footing to grow the weeds of bewilderment. Put a fork in them as
>fast as possible and get on to real hard science.

Exactly Greg! How can Ufology expect credibility when those
involved don't question everything? How can we expect validity
when we accept almost anything?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 10](#)

Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 16:22:26 -0300
Fwd Date: Mon, 10 Apr 2006 09:23:25 -0400
Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Friedman

>From: Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo <ufomiami.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 16:42:34 -0400
>Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs'

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 14:52:16 -0300
>>Subject: 'The World's Strangest UFOs'

>>The program aired this week on Discovery Channel Canada has
>>really pissed me off. It's called The World's Strangest UFOs.
>>Some of you may have seen this piece of trash. The following is
>>what I sent to that channel.

>>Don Ledger

>>-----

>>To whom it may concern:

>>I've seen some bad programming in my time but this latest "The
>>World's Strangest UFOs" which is supposed to be an in-depth
>>look at the UFO phenomenon, takes the cake. As a veteran UFO
>>researcher/pilot/author I am, quite frankly, insulted by its
>>content. The whole piece is designed to make everyone appear
>>foolish and takes the giggle factor, already inherent in this
>>business, to the highest degree possible. Those people who were
>>interviewed are made to look as silly as possible, the music and
>>sound effects are corny and close-ups are embarrassing. Often we
>>researchers get sucked into these documentaries with no way of
>>knowing just how we will be treated in "post".

>I was interviewed for The World's Strangest UFOs series
>Alien Vampire Chronicles episode by the Canadian producer:

>Robin Bicknell
>Proper Television
>409 King St. W. Sweet 201
>Toronto, On. M5V 1K1

>Phone: 416-598-2500 x 244
>Fax: 416-598-2550
>robinbicknell.nul

>I don't know if she did the other documentaries.

Robin did the Roswell show which was on last night. I was pleasantly surprised. A few glitches, but Dave Thomas was a perfect example of the use of research by proclamation. Did no real research, talked to none of the people. Claimed no one would pay him \$1000 for a lecture "Flying Saucers Are Not Real". It is pretty obvious why. He knows nothing and doesn't even express himself well...

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 10](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Koi

From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi2.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 20:25:26 +0100
Fwd Date: Mon, 10 Apr 2006 09:25:36 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Koi

>From: Gildas Bourdais <gbourdais.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 16:30:29 +0200
>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

<snip>

>Please pay attention again to the calendar. The film
>was released in June/July 1995 (not 1996!!),

<snip>

Hi Gildas, List

Given the confusion apparent in various emails on this List and off it, it may be worth summarising some of the relevant dates (taken from my draft UFO Chronology - see:

<http://tinyurl.com/jalfb>).

On 13 January 1995, during an interview on the British TV show Good Morning With Anne and Nick, pop-singer Reg Presley claims that he has viewed footage of the apparent autopsy of an alien (for references see footnotes to Koi Chrono Core 1995.0113).

On 5 May 1995, the "Alien Autopsy" footage was shown at a press conference held at the London Museum (for references see footnotes to Koi Chrono Core 1995.0505).

On 28 August 1995, the alleged "alien autopsy" footage was broadcast on the Fox network in the USA in a documentary entitled "Alien Autopsy : (Fact or Fiction?)" (for references see Koi Chrono Core footnotes to 1995.0828).

In fact, word of the footage was spreading behind the scenes quite a while before these public events. Jenny Randles has told me that Philip Mantle first told her and John Spencer (of BUFORA) about the footage at a meeting in London in early September 1993.

The comment by Jenny Randles confirms at least part of a detailed Alien Autopsy Time-line, by Paul Fuller that you might find interesting at:

http://www.outtahear.com/beyond_updates/alien_timeline.html

All the best,

Isaac Koi

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 10](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 16:28:39 -0300
Fwd Date: Mon, 10 Apr 2006 09:26:39 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 10:18:36 -0400
>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>Does that mean I discount Ray's story of
>faking the film? Not at all, but it does mean I discount John
>Humphreys' statement that he used a sheep's brain in making the
>fake. If you were going to mold gelatin, why use any brain at
>all? Indeed, what is seen in the AA could simply be molded
>gelatin, nothing more. But I feel we need to exercise as much
>care in analyzing these new statements as some of us did with
>the original ones.

Sure, I agree with needing to use care when analyzing any new statements but the ostensible reason for using gelatin in addition to a real brain would be to hide the fact that it was a sheep's brain. Humphreys, it is no stretch, would be smart enough to know the whole thing would come under close scrutiny and using gelatin provided him with a medium to camouflage the sheep's brain. It provided something to work with, or within, that could change the appearance of something that was easily recognizable. They'd have to be a couple of cretins to not realize that the creature in the footage was going to be scrutinized and there would be many people who could recognize the brain of a sheep.

If Santilli admitted faking the film then why question Humphreys when he admits what he used to fake it? If he used gelatin to change the appearance of something that was easily recognizable then it obviously worked really well because people are still discounting his explanation even after he admitted to it. Or, like I said before, maybe they just thought they had a sheep's brain - whoever provided it might have had access to a dead python, monitor lizard, or other large reptile (which would really give a neat effect if encased in gelatin) if it truly wasn't a mammal's brain. They asked around for something and got something different instead, and didn't know it.

The bottom line is that they admitted creating these things, so why start turning the explanations they provided into hugh mysteries? Are you sure you know all Humphreys is capable of using a sheep's brain and some gelatin? How do you know what he is capable of with a few simple ingredients? Maybe he could come up with something that most people couldn't conceive of because he has great talent with such things. Don't sell him short! If that's his thing, he'd have reasons as to why he'd use both a real brain and gelatin rather than just making it wholly out of gelatin. You're presuming to know his craft too.

The simplest explanation is that, despite your studies of it, you and other people just failed to recognize a sheep's brain (encased in gelatin or otherwise) when it was observed. So what?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 10](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 16:29:43 -0300
Fwd Date: Mon, 10 Apr 2006 09:30:06 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Jasson Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 19:01:06 EDT
>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

<snip>

>Many people, including names like Stan Friedman, believed in the
>authenticity of the film when it first surfaced. However, those
>researchers who were a bit more prudent made observations which
>exposed the film for what it was, a hoax. Now, true believers
>are scrambling to form complex theories on how the film is truly
>genuine. How funny to think that this behavior has been
>predicted by many people here on this list, yet true believers
>continue to post anyway?

<snip>

Jason, you are mistaken. Where did you get the idea that I
believed in the authenticity of AA when it first surfaced?

It was definitely in my gray box.

I asked a lot of questions, met with Ray twice in England
besides phone conversations. My list of strong questions was
actually distributed at the first showing in England in 1995.

Read what I wrote in TOP SECRET/MAJIC in 1997.

As usual I started neutral. Discovering all Ray's lies pretty
quickly pushed me on the disbeliever side... as French
researchers know.

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 10](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 12:33:31 -0700
Fwd Date: Mon, 10 Apr 2006 09:32:18 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 12:27:30 EDT
>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 12:13:53 -0700
>>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

<snip>

>The funny thing is Nick, that I specifically didn't mention
>names because I had a feeling that said guilty parties would
>respond and incriminate themselves. Now, I chose not to reply to
>Bob Shell, simply because he deserves a chance to justify the
>time and effort he wasted on trying to prove the veracity of AA.
>Unfortunately he did a poor job of justifying such.

>However, I do not see any reason why you should take issue with
>my comments since you have already stated that you came to
>believe that AA was indeed a fake.

>I can only assume you responded because you are involved in a
>similar and poorly supported take on the Roswell Incident?. One
>that once proven wrong will result in similar desires for you to
>leave the field. Perhaps you are preparing a possible response
>to such criticism?

Jason

I responded to your email not because I cared whether or not I was one of the people you meant. I responded because I was a believer in the AA and now I'm not.

So it doesn't matter if you personally meant me or not: I am still relevant to your sweeping and generalizing statement that you personally want AA believers to leave the field, which is why I replied.

But the main reason I replied was to point out to the List that for you to suggest that if people are taken in by a hoax they should "leave" ufology, is the one of the strangest things I have ever read on this list - truly.

But at some point most people (as I said yesterday) get taken in by at least one hoax, even if it's only for 10 minutes.

And if everyone who did get taken in by just one hoax left the subject, practically no one would be around!

As for Roswell, as I have constantly said on numerous occasions, if I have ever been fooled I always say so.

But there are various reasons why the Roswell scenario of 731 and human experimentation still stands (as I'll be publishing).

You ask: Perhaps I am preparing myself for such criticism of

Body Snatchers?

Er...., Jason, what do you think I dealt with on-List for the last year from Gildas Bourdais, Ed Gehrman, and numerous others? That was criticism and it began the day the book was published last June and continued until about the year's end.

The articles by Kevin Randle in Fate, Stan Friedman in UFO Mag, Bob Durant in the IUR, and Gildas Bourdais in the Mufon Journal were all examples of criticism of the whole book!

In fact, aside from, literally, a handful, I do not believe there is hardly anyone in ufology who accepts the Body Snatchers scenario as genuine.

But trying to appease ufology or say the right thing has never been my approach to any aspect of life, never mind ufology.

So there's nothing new about criticism of Body Snatchers or the idea that I was hoaxed at all. I had that until around the end of last year until it all died a death when the community pretty much decided I was wrong anyway.

Nick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 10](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 16:33:44 -0300
Fwd Date: Mon, 10 Apr 2006 09:34:16 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

>From: Jim Deardorff <deardorj.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 13:08:44 -0700
>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 12:31:38 -0700
>>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

><snip>

>>There's still the matter of the crash site that I discovered
>>after following the cameraman's directions. How did Ray ever
>>imagine or "restore" these directions from the fabric of his
>>imagination? He has never been to Socorro! The cameraman
>>existed; the crash site and drawings are evidence. ...

>Wouldn't this be a good time to post somewhere, a side-by-side
>comparison of the most appropriate photo you took of the site
>and the sketch of the site made earlier from the cameraman's
>memory?

Surely the drawing could have been made by whomever convinced
Ray that his story didn't match Roswell and he better make up
another one... ergo Socorro..... Maybe in 1995... not 1947?

No evidence has been provided that there was a military cameraman
who filmed an autopsy in 1947. Michael Hessemann did of course
spend time in New Mexico. Others could have as well.

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 10](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 12:51:27 -0700
Fwd Date: Mon, 10 Apr 2006 09:37:24 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
>To: UFO Updates List <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 07 Apr 2006 22:19:53 -0300
>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 11:11:57 -0700
>>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>>>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 5 Apr 2006 13:55:18 EDT
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>>What about all of the other hoaxes that have gone on throughout
>>the years? Should everyone who endorsed them, and then
>>subsequently changed their views, also leave the field?

>>At some point in his or her "career," pretty much every
>>ufologist will come across a case (even if it's only one case)
>>that was probably hoaxed and that the ufologist thought, at some
>>point, might be genuine.

>>And if everyone who ever initially thought that even just one
>>hoaxed case was real was to leave ufology, there would be hardly
>>anyone left - in fact, quite possibly no one would be left!

>>>Now, here's what I want. I want all the Psuedo-Scientific AA
>>>Cultists - don't make me name names - to get out of the field
>>>when the truth about AA hits the fan.

>Yeah, but Nick, this is not what we're really talking about
>here! It's one thing when you're talking about ufologists
>initially getting fooled by a hoax and then changing their minds
>about it when the facts are sifted. It's another thing when the
>hoaxer admits it and people still want to believe the hoax -
>making up all kinds of excuses and logic to go on believing
>rather than just accepting it as discredited. I don't think
>Jason Gammon is asking ufologists who were wrong about a case
>and then saw it for what it was to leave the field. I think he's
>referring to the ones who are obsessed and can't be objective -
>the "pseudo-scientific cultists". Can't deny the field is rife
>with those and that they aren't doing ufology any good!

>The AA film lives! If Santilli swore to a full confession next
>week in a courtroom there would still be those who would say he
>was coerced into the confession. As Don Ledger said, this was
>"hanging on by its fingertips" and what Philip Mantle said was
>like someone stomping hard on those fingers with a pair of
>steel-lined workboots - severing the fingers clean off. It
>should have just fallen to its death! But no, now we're going to
>have endless arguments as to why it couldn't be sheep brains,
>how you can't create a hoaxed restoration without leaving clues
>(the clues are all over the freaking place), how Ray's
>turnaround is curious, etc., etc., etc.!

>Maybe Santilli asked for a sheep's brain but got a horse's brain
>or something similiar instead. I'm sure if you're looking for an

>animal brain to fake a film then any brain will do as long as it
>creates the effect you're looking for. It's probable and
>reasonable to suggest that he isn't an expert in anatomy and
>just took what he got, instead of getting there arguing "no, no,
>no, this a horses's brain and it absolutely has to be a
>sheep's brain." Maybe he simply went out, or sent someone else
>out, for a sheep's brain - not really caring what kind of brain
>he got - and he or they came back with something different but
>it was good enough for what they were going to do. Maybe the
>dude who gave him the brain didn't know what kind of brain it
>really was, or didn't have one from a sheep and didn't think
>Santilli would know the difference (or care). Why do people have
>to turn things that could have the simpliest mundane answer into
>an enigma?

>I predicted this wasn't going to go away yet. Mantle's
>revelations, not without surprise, had absolutely no affect on
>those who couldn't see the AA film before for the hoax it was.
>The remarks by Ed Gehrman, "I was very surprised by Ray's
>remarks" and "what this boils down to is copyright protection"
>epitomizes why it's a waste of time and resources for serious
>researchers to attempt to make a dent in the 'need to believe'
>of the "pseudo-scientific cultists". If only they all would
>leave the field! But since it's not going to happen, serious
>researchers just need to leave them to their field and do the
>science that needs to be done independent of and detached from
>them.

Eugene

I understand what you are saying. I was one of those believers
in the AA and now I'm not, so my views have changed based on
the Santilli confession.

But for those that continue to believe in spite of the evidence
to the contrary: well, so what? Who cares if they want to
continue to believe? Who cares if someone spends the next 10
years endlessly scrutinizing every second of the AA film in the
hope that it might still be real? I don't.

If that's how they want to spend their life, and if they want to
tell us why they think they are still right, so what?

I could not care less if I tried, if this ufologist or that
ufologist believes that the moon is made of green cheese or if
Britain's now-rotted Queen Mother was a flesh-eating, blood-
sucking reptilian.

And I certainly could not be bothered to muster up the demand
that someone who I have never met "leaves" ufology because they
believe something I don't believe anymore!

Loftily demanding that someone should "leave" ufology because
they may have been taken in by a hoax is close to elitism and
censorship.

Nick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 10](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 13:05:52 -0700
Fwd Date: Mon, 10 Apr 2006 09:40:58 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 12:04:24 EDT
>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>>From: Nick Redfern <nickredfern.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 12:13:53 -0700
>>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>>>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 09:15:17 -0400
>>>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>>>>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>>>>Date: Wed, 5 Apr 2006 13:55:18 EDT
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>>>>Now, here's what I want. I want all the Psuedo-Scientific AA
>>>>Cultists - don't make me name names - to get out of the field
>>>>when the truth about AA hits the fan.

>>>I find your "this town isn't big enough for both of us" cowboy
>>>posturing both immature and insulting.

>>>I can't speak for the other "Pseudo-Scientific AA Cultists", but
>>>I certainly have no intention of leaving this field, a field I
>>>have been active in since the 60s, BTW.

>>Agreed.

>>I have made pro-AA film comments in the past regarding my >belief
>>it was real. The idea, that we should leave the field because
>>that is what this guy wants, is absurd!

>>What about all of the other hoaxes that have gone on throughout
>>the years? Should everyone who endorsed them, and then
>>subsequently changed their views, also leave the field?

>>At some point in his or her "career," pretty much every
>>ufologist will come across a case (even if it's only one case)
>>that was probably hoaxed and that the ufologist thought, at some
>>point, might be genuine.

>>And if everyone who ever initially thought that even just one
>>hoaxed case was real was to leave ufology, there would be >hardly
>>anyone left - in fact, quite possibly no one would be left!

>>Nick R.

>Okay we need to take a break here and look at what's really
>important and that is keeping level heads and a forgiving
>nature.

>We humans make mistakes. It's a given that during the course of
>any day we will stumble, bumble, goof, and grimace.

>It's what we are.

>In Santilli's case it's beyond that and surely he is not to be
>trusted again. That's his banner. Yet he fooled millions and we
>that said it was bogus from the start surprisingly were
>sometimes chastised. Funny, isn't it?

>So some felt the AA was real. It doesn't mean they were part of
>some hustle or sham. It just means they're human and have the
>same human foibles as do we all. I wrote on this list a while
>back that if one can't admit one is wrong, then one will forever
>be wrong.

>Go out today and make a mistake. Do it on purpose and then laugh
>at yourself. You'll find you're your own best audience and best
>prankster. Turn on the windshield wipers when it isn't raining.
>Call your pet by another name. So what? You made a mistake.

>It's easy to pull one over on the UFO community and the public.
>The veterans round these parts are harder to fool. They've seen
>it all and the new digital era of special effects can catch one
>by surprise if one hasn't the resources to properly analyze the
>data.

>Nick, so what? You made a mistake. Doesn't mean you have to walk
>away or be forced to. So you got 'had' by someone. That means
>you're one or more steps closer to being better at detecting
>these things in the future. Makes you a better being.

>It behooves us all from now on to really dig into every UFO
>claim and stand our ground regardless. If proven wrong, so what?
>This isn't a betting parlor, it's a specialized form of research
>that encompasses every humanity and science. Ufologists have
>some amazing diversities in knowledge and talent. Just recently
>on Coast To Coast AM, Dr. Bruce Maccabee was on and I learned
>not only is he a brilliant scientist but also a musician and top
>notch at that! Bet that made the kids tuning in realize you can
>be a scientist and an artist. Great lesson learned there.

>Integrity is the keyword here. I remember when AA first aired my
>younger siblings and people all around were on pins and needles.
>My mom made sure I was at her house when it aired and she
>giggled when Dr. Wecht appeared. She knew him and joked how in
>the world did they get him to be on the show. We giggled. I then
>ripped into the presentation because I had studied hard on
>classical theatrical special effects and makeup and soon as I
>started cracking jokes my siblings were relieved.

>People bothered me for I don't know how long about the AA. I
>kept telling them to me it was bogus. If I was wrong, cool. If I
>was right at least I stood my ground.

>So I say let's not spend our resources attacking one another.
>Chalk up who is mature enough to admit they were wrong and call
>it a day. There are far more interesting studies to be done and
>number crunching. The amount of time we spend on the AA we could
>be out there working and gathering solid leads and proof.

>Fear of the opinions of others about ourselves is the most
>crippling and most fiendish of fears.

>This charade went on far too long and we've all learned a great
>lesson.

Greg

All good points and I totally agree. If someone falls for a hoax
and they have the character to admit that they were wrong, then
that is the right thing to do.

That's exactly what Phil Mantle has done, and I have done myself
re. reversing my views on the AA.

And you are right re. worrying about what others think about us.
Personally, I have done exactly what I want to do in life on my
own terms and if people don't like that, it matters not a bit to
me in the slightest.

Everyone should live by that code and there would be a lot less
screwed-up people in this world.

Nick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 10](#)

Re: James Bond Johnson 1926 - 2006 - Morris

From: Neil Morris <neil.nul>
Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 21:13:56 +0100
Fwd Date: Mon, 10 Apr 2006 09:46:24 -0400
Subject: Re: James Bond Johnson 1926 - 2006 - Morris

>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 17:33:09 +1000
>Subject: Re: James Bond Johnson 1926 - 2006

<snip>

>This site has this information:

><http://voyagemedia.ath.cx/fortworth/index.htm>

<snip>

I have only recently put my above site back on line, and it's content is still just as it was when it closed back in late 2000. There are many new items to add to the findings which have been made in the intervening years, these additions I hope to make over the course of the next few months. I hope they will help to show events going on in Fort Worth that afternoon and evening of July 8th 1947 were far more complex than has so far been imagined and should go some way to sorting out the controversy over the "press conference".

The site also contains interesting AA items, but I'll make some more comments regarding that shortly.

Neil

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 10](#)

Re: 'Suits' Silence Doctors Willing To Look Into

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 16:53:20 -0400
Fwd Date: Mon, 10 Apr 2006 09:48:31 -0400
Subject: Re: 'Suits' Silence Doctors Willing To Look Into

>From: Rob Kritkausky <robkrit.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 09:26:19 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: 'Suits' Silence Doctors Willing To Look Into Implants

<snip>

>Eleanor:

>I was hoping you could provide more specific data regarding the
>above information, which might allow us to gain some useful
>information from it.

>What specific frequency was the signal received? What
>instruments were used by the "radio frequency detective"?

>What method was used to isolate the signal?

The detective, who has given permission for me to tell this
story, is Roger Tolces. His informative web site is:

<http://www.bugsweeps.com>

Apparently, Roger was referenced briefly in a 1982 Business
Week magazine article on bugs.

I haven't questioned him on specifics - I'm not sure, given the
absolute refusal of government to even acknowledge that
organized group stalking, never mind advanced electronic
torture, exists, knowing specifics would help me at this time.

As I've mentioned, we experience things which are not possible
according to contemporary university-taught physics, so
conventional RF shielding doesn't help. I'm focussed more on
the organized citizen gang stalking, where people have to show
themselves, unlike the electronic torturers who can hide.

He has an email posted - you might try asking him.

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 10](#)

Re: Dan Aykroyd Unplugged On UFOs - Maccabee

From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 16:58:19 -0400
Fwd Date: Mon, 10 Apr 2006 10:21:41 -0400
Subject: Re: Dan Aykroyd Unplugged On UFOs - Maccabee

>Source: David Sereda's Blog

><http://tinyurl.com/lncg4>

>Friday, April 07, 2006

>If you want to see Dan Aykroyd Unplugged On UFOs, tell your
>local Blockbuster Video Store to Order it!

>Dan Aykroyd brings ET and UFO Disclosure to the World in a
>stunning film, "Dan Aykroyd, Unplugged on UFO." Release date May
>31st, 2006

>(CNN) Reported in 1997 -- Nearly 50 years since an alleged UFO
>was sighted at Roswell, New Mexico, a new CNN/Time poll released
>Sunday shows that 80 percent of Americans think the government
>is hiding knowledge of the existence of extraterrestrial life
>forms. That is nearly 230 million Americans who are believers.

>While many of the top grossing Hollywood films are the subject
>of UFOs, and ETs, Dan Aykroyd is the first celebrity ever to
>apply brains and wit to disclose the real UFO phenomenon to the
>world in his new film, "Dan Aykroyd, Unplugged on UFOs." No
>Hollywood Celebrity has ever come forward to tell us the truth,
>as Aykroyd proclaims, "Let's get past the debate on whether they
>are here or not. Let's start to analyze who they are, what their
>intentions are and how we can utilize this technology to better
>ourselves as a species."

<snip>

>Dan Aykroyd is a self-sponsored researcher and has been since he
>was first aware of the UFO wave as a child. He learned about
>Kenneth Arnold's sighting in Yakima, Washington in 1942 and he
>learned about the Roswell Incident.

Kenneth Arnold in 1942?

I guess he's clairvoyant as well!

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 10](#)

Re: Alien Autopsy Feature Film Review - Maccabee

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <brumac.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 17:06:59 -0400
Fwd Date: Mon, 10 Apr 2006 10:23:52 -0400
Subject: Re: Alien Autopsy Feature Film Review - Maccabee

>Source: ITV - London, UK

><http://www.itv.com/page.asp?partid=3D4747>

>April 07, 2006

>Movie Reviews

>Alien Autopsy

>Best mates Ray and Gary are about to unveil something out of
>this world=85.

>Well, not exactly.

>When Ray Santilli (Declan Donnelly) and Gary Shoefield (Ant
>McPartlin) head to the States in pursuit of some top Elvis
>memorabilia for Ray=92s dodgy DVD stall, they unwittingly stumble
>across something rather more collectable.

>Having flogged Gary=92s motor to pay for the trip, Ray=92s running a
>big risk when he persuades his disapproving pal to help him find
>the funds for some old US military footage that he thinks could
>lead to the big time.

<snip>

>It sounds a little far-fetched, but the ancient cameraman (Harry
>Dean Stanton) who shot the startling scenes back in 1947 is
>deadly serious, and Gary is soon on board and searching for
>suckers willing to stump up the cash.

>But back in Blighty, the dippy duo discover that the film has
>disintegrated, and when you=92ve got a trigger happy drug baron
>like Laszlo Voros (Gotz Otto) as your expectant financier,
>that=92s a BIG problem.

>So naturally, there=92s only one thing for it=85a reconstruction!

>The plucky chums hastily recruit a =91top-notch=92 film crew headed
>up by their kebab king pal and part time wedding cameraman Melik
>(Omid Djalili), and in the glitzy location of Gary=92s sister=92s
>front room, they set about breathing life into the paranormal
>past.

>But will they fool ravenous media, UFO specialists and more
>importantly, the bloodthirsty Laszlo when they unleash their
>masterpiece on the unsuspecting world?

Hmmmmmm.....

Sounds almost believable.

Ray convinces Volker Spielberg to invest X hundred thousand in
buying the film, only to find out that it is useless and now he
has to pay back VS, so he decides he has to go public with a
reconstructed version of what is (supposedly) in the film. In so
doing has to come up with a backup story and a backup to that...

and so on, weaving a web of lies in order to make the money back... and probably a lot more. Santilli is still alive, so one presumes that his backer was happy with the resulting \$\$\$s.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 10](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <brumac.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 17:20:58 -0400
Fwd Date: Mon, 10 Apr 2006 10:25:30 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

>From: Gildas Bourdais <gbourdais.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 16:30:29 +0200
>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 13:58:42 EDT
>>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

<snip>

>>I doubt Ray would have lived long after acquiring any genuine
>>footage. The powers that be are quite skilled at making people
>>and evidence disappear.

>They only needed to pay him a visit and tell him to give the
>film back. They had a whole semester, at least, to do that.

>Santilli has been lying since 1995 and now does not remember
>where he shot the fake film - and again he is lying, of course.

>He did not shoot it.

>So, the question remains: who made it? Please pay attention
>again to the calendar. The film was released in June/July 1995
>(not 1996!!), just before the release of the GAO Report which
>went almost completely unnoticed, as a result.

>This was not long before the release, in October, of the USAF
>Roswell Report explaining on 1,000 pages that the Roswell
>officers had been lured by balsa wood sticks.

>Good show, again this year with a new Santilli comedy. Why is
>there so much agitation around Roswell, again?

And now we have Project Serpo.

Is this the 2006 version of Alien Autopsy?

It seems to be getting circulated through society, although not
as in a dramatic method as the Alien Autopsy film of Robert
Kiviat (and subsequent versions, including a version that called
at least part of the autopsy a fake).

Maybe time will tell.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 10](#)

Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <alienview.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 17:23:11 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 10 Apr 2006 10:27:29 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Lehmborg

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 09:19:56 EDT
>Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

>>From: Joe Faccenda <Uforth.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 17:57:47 EDT
>>Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

>>>From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 18:17:33 +0100
>>>Subject: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

>>>For the benefit of those who have not seen the recent Sky One
>>>show featuring Santilli I thought I might take this opportunity
>>>to point out some of the nonsense in more detail.

>>There is no doubt there are a lot of angry ufologist pulling out
>>hair and much gnashing of teeth over this sorry AA episode.
>>While a few rake over the ashes for any crumbs of comfort, the
>>majority want to distance themselves as much as they can.

>>As Santilli dances off into the sunset clutching his wads with
>>glee, the UFO community is not only left dumbfounded at the
>>enormity of the scam, but also the realisation of the damage
>>this has done to ufological credibility itself.

>>Bebunkers like the late and not lamented Philip J Klass would
>>have had a field day with this.

>>And while many will say "I told you so", I am sure there was
>>deep down, a faint hope that some of AA was true. Ray Santilli
>>ufology will not forget you. And believe me they will not
>>forgive you either.

>Joe, that's the funniest thing I've read in a long time!

>You are sooooo right on target!

>Me being a cartoonist I got the imagery and like a full Warner
>Bros. cartoon like scenario rushed through my mind. I could even
>see a sequence of Philip Klass bursting from his tomb and doing
>a break dance amongst the tombstones with a James Brown 'Hyah!'
>emitting from him.

>This is going to be one funny and happy Saturday.

Yeah... with decisive splits and someone to run over and throw a
little cape on him. But not because he's got some vindication
for his denialists position... but that he could push a little
further away the 'unknown' he refused to look for, the obvious
'other' he couldn't acknowledge, and the highly 'strange'
clutching his spastic sphincter and freezing his moldy bones
with cowardly fear...

No points for Klass-less and any of his too eager and
inappropriately relieved apologists. Consider: AA was dodgy

from the start, and is in no way a victory. These should turn their tremulous wattles, instead, to Jerry Cohen's very sober site at:

<http://www.cohenufo.org/#siteoverview>

and read about...

1. Kirtland AFB (11\4\57)
2. Hynek Blue Book Case (5\5\65)
3. Malmstrom AFB (3/20/67)
4. Incident at Redlands, Ca. (Hynek, BB, 2\4\68)
5. Exeter, New Hampshire (9\3\65)
6. Malmstrom AFB (11\7\75)
7. Iran F-4 Incident (9\76)
8. Belgium (1989\90)
9. Illinois, USA (1\5\2000)
10. Et sig al... friends and neighbors.

[alienview.nul](#)

www.AlienView.net

AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 11](#)

The Movie

From: **Rebecca Keith** <rebeccask.nul>
Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 17:23:15 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Tue, 11 Apr 2006 07:48:59 -0400
Subject: The Movie

Hi,

I'm just watching the movie - I don't think anyone is playing Bob in the movie, but I love the Volker Spielberg character. It's a pretty funny movie in a sad sort of way.

I remember Glenn Joyner, Bill Ralls and I discussing almost the identical scenario back in the day.

Cha-ching\$\$

More buck\$ for \$antilli.

Rebecca

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 11](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

From: Dave Morton <Marspyrs.nul>
Date: Sun, 9 Apr 2006 01:11:44 EDT
Fwd Date: Tue, 11 Apr 2006 07:50:21 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 13:58:42 EDT
>Fwd Date: Sat, 08 Apr 2006 08:23:15 -0400
>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 12:31:38 -0700
>>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>>First of all I was very surprised by Ray's remarks. After
>>reading Philip's comments, I immediately did a frame by frame
>>visual analysis of the new AA DVD that Neil Morris has
>>developed. I was looking for any evidence that Ray had faked,
>>hoaxed or tampered with the footage or added frames or that it
>>was a type of restoration as he now claimed. A restoration or
>>hoaxed presentation can't be accomplished without leaving some
>>clues that this has been attempted. I don't think it's possible
>>to fake something like this and not leave any incriminating
>>evidence behind, no matter what Ray suggests.

>There are several key features of the film which suggest hoax.
>Most have been ignored or excessively rationalized away by true
>believers.

>>My belief in the authenticity of the AA footage has never
>>depended on Ray's veracity, although I did believe his story of
>>the cameraman and how he acquired the footage and I still do.
>>Dennis Murphy, Neil Morris, Theresa Carlson, William Sawers,
>>Andrew Lavoie and Bob Shell have been and continue to be my main
>>sources for verification. These are researchers who have
>>actually spent time examining the uncut, AA footage. They have
>>reported internal clues that speak for legitimacy. I'm sure
>>they'd agree that Ray's turnaround leaves many unanswered
>>questions.

>No, the real question is why are you relying on the opinion of
>others instead of using your own logic? Did you at any point
>realize that the their goal of trying to prove the film genuine
>is completely unscientific? Should I remind you the person
>making the claim must prove authenticity, and not the other way
>around?

<snip>

I used to know the aforementioned Theresa Carlson, slightly,
about 10 years ago from MUFON meetings (I'm assuming it's the
same person). By coincidence, I met her around the time the AA
film was inflicted upon the public.

I had eagerly downloaded the first 2 or 3 promo pictures for the
film when I made my decision - an easy decision, I might add:
"It's a fake. It's garbage. This is ridiculous."

At the next meeting, however, Theresa spoke excitedly of this
new film. I'm certain I voiced my negative opinion, but it
seemed to have no effect on her. Of course, I hadn't provided
any reasons for my belief since I thought they were self-
evident. When you see a picture of a toy car floating in a

bathtub, for example, how do you explain to a Believer that it's just a toy, not a real Porsche floating in a swimming pool of heavy water?

I found her to be fun to talk with, a voracious reader of UFO materials, very smart and well-informed, brought excitement to the topic of UFOs, and so filled with either a "desire to believe" or to be part of something important, that you could probably have convinced her that the Earth is hollow and was built by aliens as an R&R station and underground base for fighting the One World Government and Single Monetary System, if humans emerged and developed that far.

Nice, fun, intelligent lady; gullible as a fish nibbling on a hooked worm, in my opinion, and would probably do very well at writing science fiction or working as a legal prosecutor to right the world's wrongs.

I should have gone to the MUFON meeting today, after a 10-year absence. She's probably still active, and it would have been fun to see her again - gullible or not.

Dave Morton

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 11](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Allan

From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
Date: Sun, 9 Apr 2006 10:08:02 +0100
Fwd Date: Tue, 11 Apr 2006 07:52:43 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story - Allan

>From: Gildas Bourdais <gbourdais.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 16:30:29 +0200
>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 13:58:42 EDT
>>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 12:31:38 -0700
>>>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>>I doubt Ray would have lived long after acquiring any genuine
>>footage. The powers that be are quite skilled at making people
>>and evidence disappear.

>They only needed to pay him a visit and tell him to give the
>film back. They had a whole semester, at least, to do that.

>Santilli has been lying since 1995 and now does not remember
>where he shot the fake film - and again he is lying, of course.

>He did not shoot it.

>So, the question remains: who made it? Please pay attention
>again to the calendar. The film was released in June/July 1995
>(not 1996!!), just before the release of the GAO Report which
>went almost completely unnoticed, as a result.

>This was not long before the release, in October, of the USAF
>Roswell Report explaining on 1,000 pages that the Roswell
>officers had been lured by balsa wood sticks.

>Good show, again this year with a new Santilli comedy. Why is
>there so much agitation around Roswell, again?

>Maybe time will tell.

It is a big maybe.

The date of the USAF report summary on Roswell was in fact Sept 1994. The big volume came out about 6 to 8 months later. The announcement that the GAO was investigating Roswell was first made in the press in Jan 1994, so it is entirely possible that Santilli timed his film release to coincide, approximately, with either the GAO report or the USAF 'big book'.

This indicates that Santilli, perhaps through his travels in the US, knew a bit more about the Roswell story than he let on. Why, for instance, his tale, later retracted, about Harry Truman being present in the film? I suggest it was because of one of these:

1. He knew a bit about MJ-12, and Truman's alleged involvement.
2. He had heard the story that Barney Barnett had witnessed the

Socorro 'crash' (see "The Roswell Incident") and the picture of Truman in the book (with his hat on) which was said by some to resemble that of Truman.

A good follow-up to this fake film would be if some joker suddenly produced a faded and battered copy of Gen. Ramey's infamous piece of paper. The said copy would be decipherable, just, and its contents would closely match the wording obtained by the RPIT.

You can guess the sequel.

CDA

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 11](#)

Exopolitical Implications Of Nuclear War in Iran

From: Michael Salla <exopolitics.nul>
Date: Sun, 9 Apr 2006 07:00:25 -1000
Fwd Date: Tue, 11 Apr 2006 08:08:13 -0400
Subject: Exopolitical Implications Of Nuclear War in Iran

Aloha List, I have just completed a short article that considers the exopolitical issues raised by a preemptive nuclear war in Iran. I hope members have the time to follow and act upon these issues since they are very pertinent given unfolding political events.

In peace

Michael Salla

Source: <http://www.exopolitics.org/Exo-Comment-42.htm>

Exopolitical Comment # 42 - Exopolitical Implications of a Preemptive Nuclear War in Iran

Seymour Hersh has recently written an article that gives a chilling account of the Bush administration's efforts to get the Pentagon to go along with its war plans for Iraq - see:

http://www.newyorker.com/printables/fact/060417fa_fact

The article contends that Dick Cheney and Donald Rumsfeld are leading a Bush administration effort to pressure a reluctant Pentagon to go along with their Iran policy which involves the preemptive use of tactical nuclear weapons. Hersh writes: "that the idea of using tactical nuclear weapons in such situations has gained support from the Defense Science Board, an advisory panel whose members are selected by Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld." According to one of Hersh's sources the Defense Science Board is "telling the Pentagon that we can build the B61 [tactical nuclear weapon] with more blast and less radiation," he said. Hersh describes that the chairman of the Defense Science Board, William Schneider, Jr., "served on an ad-hoc panel on nuclear forces sponsored by the National Institute for Public Policy." According to Hersh, "the panel's report recommended treating tactical nuclear weapons as an essential part of the U.S. arsenal and noted their suitability "for those occasions when the certain and prompt destruction of high priority targets is essential and beyond the promise of conventional weapons." Several signers of the report are now prominent members of the Bush Administration, including Stephen Hadley, the national-security adviser; Stephen Cambone, the Under-Secretary of Defense for Intelligence; and Robert Joseph, the Under-Secretary of State for Arms Control and International Security."

It's clear from Hersh's article that Cheney and Rumsfeld are committed to launching this war with the same kind of determination that they used to carry the day for the preemptive war against Iraq. Again, they are using a range of panel recommendations and personnel supporting the preemptive military action as a policy option to overwhelm sceptics in the Pentagon. Cheney and Rumsfeld obviously haven't learned the lesson about the danger of preemptive military actions, and now want the Pentagon to authorize another preemptive action. The difference with Iraq would be that the Iran military action would require

the preemptive use of tactical nuclear weapons in destroying Iran's underground nuclear facilities. According to Hersh: "conventional weapons in the American arsenal could not insure the destruction of facilities under seventy-five feet of earth and rock, especially if they are reinforced with concrete." This has been used by Cheney and Rumsfeld to argue that tactical nuclear weapons are necessary.

I suspect that the scheduled June 2 test of a massive 'conventional' bomb that will generate a mushroom cloud, is in fact a test for the eventual use of a tactical nuclear weapon designed to destroy underground facilities. Here is how one journalist describes the test: "Mushroom clouds are commonly associated with nuclear blasts, but this cloud will come from the detonation of a 700-ton explosive charge designed to test new bunker-busting technologies:" <http://abcnews.go.com/US/story?id=1788259> . The Bush administration likely plans to advertise the June test as a new 'conventional' weapon, that generates a mushroom cloud while destroying underground facilities. Consequently, preemptive nuclear strike against Iran's underground facilities could be marketed to a sceptical American and global public as a series of 'new' conventional weapons being used rather than tactical nuclear weapons. The Bush administration could argue that the mushroom clouds generated by the new conventional 'bunker busting' weapons are not due to them being nuclear weapons, and any radioactive fallout was 'proof' that the bunker busting bomb had in fact destroyed a nuclear facility.

The preemptive use of tactical nuclear weapons would unleash a devastating nuclear war that would be catastrophic for the region and the globe in every respect. According to a Hersh and one of his sources, the Pentagon's Joint Chiefs of Staff are resisting these plans and there have been threats of high level resignations:

"The attention given to the nuclear option has created serious misgivings inside the offices of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, he added, and some officers have talked about resigning. Late this winter, the Joint Chiefs of Staff sought to remove the nuclear option from the evolving war plans for Iran-without success, the former intelligence official said. "The White House said, 'Why are you challenging this? The option came from you.'"

The resistance from the Joint Chiefs of Staff may not be enough to prevent the Cheney/Rumsfeld push for a preemptive nuclear war in Iran. That takes me to discussing the exopolitical political implications of a preemptive nuclear war in Iran.

Exopolitical Implications of Preemptive Nuclear War

To begin, I invite the reader to take a look at a French researcher, Eric Julien's (aka Jean Ederman's) article on the relationship between nuclear weapons tests and UFO sightings: <http://www.exopoliticsinstitute.org/Journal-home.htm> [pdf and word versions are available]. In the article titled, "Are We a Security Threat to Extraterrestrial Civilizations?" Julien makes a cogent case that the use of nuclear weapons affects the time/space continuum in ways that disrupt UFO/extraterrestrial navigation and propulsion systems. Hence the frequency of UFO crashes around nuclear tests which he discusses in terms of 74 alleged UFO crashes documented in Ryan Wood's book, *Majic Eyes Only* (2006). In his book, *The Science of Extraterrestrials*, published in France and soon to be published in the US (for details see:

<http://www.exopoliticsinstitute.org/EricJulien.htm>

he argues that an "atomic explosion is a foray into the space-time continuum that they occupy!" This suggests that use of nuclear weapons threaten the civilizations of extraterrestrials whose space-time density is close to our own.

Consequently, the use of nuclear weapons is a threat to extraterrestrial civilizations and can provoke a devastating retaliatory strike against the planet due to our irresponsible use of nuclear technology. Based on his analysis of UFO sightings/crashes and nuclear tests, Julien argues that "we are a threat to extraterrestrials and they are not likely to accept the use of nuclear weapons. Any war that sparks nuclear confrontation such as Iran might trigger an extraterrestrial

response against humanity." In a startling conclusion, Julien argues that the prospect of a nuclear war can lead to some extraterrestrials guiding asteroids/comets against the planet in retaliation:

"Extraterrestrials capable of so many exploits must surely have the power to divert a celestial body toward Earth as predicted by so many prophets and described by Immanuel Velikovsky. Is this how extraterrestrials will respond to the threat posed by humanity's use of nuclear weapons?"

If Julien is correct with his analysis of the threat posed by nuclear weapons to extraterrestrial civilizations, what does this suggest about the means used by extraterrestrial civilizations to respond to a possible threat posed by humanity? Presumably extraterrestrials would give warnings through their communications with individuals and government officials in their various interactions. If these warnings went unheeded then extraterrestrials would be forced to take a range of defensive measures based on their influence over policy makers and key institutions. Such measures could culminate in a massive preemptive extraterrestrial strike to prevent the Bush administration launching a preemptive nuclear war. Consequently, a preemptive nuclear war in the Middle East could very likely precipitate an extraterrestrial response through an asteroid strike that devastates part of the planet, and seriously undermines human sovereignty and freedom.

In conclusion, I encourage those who have any influence in the policy making process to stop the Bush administration drive towards authorizing the preemptive use of nuclear weapons in Iran. Hersh has done an important service in informing the general public as to how real the prospect is that the Bush administration will authorize the preemptive use of nuclear weapons. As to the ultimate loyalty and agenda of Cheney and Rumsfeld who are the principle architects of this preemptive nuclear policy, I believe it highly likely that they are assets of forces whose agendas are antithetical to human freedom and sovereignty. I think the time has come for Cheney and Rumsfeld to be immediately removed from their respective political offices by public officials who understand the true dangers posed by a preemptive nuclear war in Iran and a possible extraterrestrial response.

c. Michael E. Salla, PhD
April 9, 2006
<http://www.exopolitics.org>
drsalla.nul

Forward as you wish. Permission is granted to circulate among private individuals and groups, post on all Internet sites and publish in full in all not-for-profit publications. Contact author for all other rights, which are reserved.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 11](#)

Re: Milking That Cow - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Sun, 9 Apr 2006 10:43:11 -0700
Fwd Date: Tue, 11 Apr 2006 08:10:00 -0400
Subject: Re: Milking That Cow - Gehrman

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 09:38:37 EDT
>Subject: Milking That Cow

>Well once again another scam has been uncovered.

Greg,

It was not uncovered. Ray stated that everything was

>Of course I'm referring to the recent 'Alien Autopsy'
>controversy.

>It's not like it should have taken this long to prove it a fake,
>yet it did.

It has not been proven a fake. We have a confession, of sorts,
but not a shred of evidence that the information gleaned from
Ray's statements is true. You never believed Ray before this, so
why believe him now.

As Bob Shell has pointed out, we need to find evidence that Ray
filmed the autopsy. And again there isn't any.

Let me give you one example, which I intend to repeat as many
times as is necessary until you and others get it through your
thick skulls. There is an accepted method for sawing off the
skull cap and for removing a brain after the skull has been
opened. Only doctors (pathologists or surgeons) who regularly
remove brains are aware of this. As I've tried to explain
before, the surgeon in the AA employs this esoteric procedure.

How could that be? How would a sculptor be aware of this
technique and then practice it enough to gain the perfection
shown by the AA surgeon? Why do I know this? My mother was
director of nurses at Bernalillo Indian Hospital in 1957. She
trained my brother and me to be orderlies. I worked as an ER and
surgical orderly most of the time I attended UNM and then when I
left the Army in 1962 as ER orderly for Kaiser Hospital in San
Francisco. I learned surgical techniques and did most of the
male preps. So I have first-hand knowledge and that's why I knew
immediately that the surgeons in the AA were not fake doctors
(sculptors), and if we can trust the cameraman, their names were
Bronk and Williams.

>That says a lot and I won't point fingers, but it's important
>for us to look at some of the stuff that just doesn't hold up to
>muster.

Yes it is important to "look" and examine, but I don't see you
doing this.

>Some of these claims go on for years while their proponents go
>on to sell books, do tv specials, even cruise line excursions!

I don't think you can accuse the AA proponents of making any
money on the AA. I've spent well over ten thousand dollars and
hundreds of hours and never expected a penny in return. I plan

to spend a lot more. My offer for any evidence that the AA is a hoax, other than Ray's coy confession, is still in effect: \$500 for definitive evidence. Find that seam in the rubber dummy or a mistake that you can prove. Or better yet, find the added authentic frames and I'll up the payment to a thousand. I'm more confident than ever that the AA footage is a real event and the creature is a real creature and the debris footage is from the craft she was piloting; and that championing an ambiguous cause is often hard on the ego and the checkbook.

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 11](#)

Setting The Alien Autopsy Record Straight

From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
Date: Sun, 9 Apr 2006 19:34:34 +0100
Fwd Date: Tue, 11 Apr 2006 08:12:56 -0400
Subject: Setting The Alien Autopsy Record Straight

To all List members.

I thought I'd take this opportunity to set the record straight especially for the uninformed individual who thinks I'm an opportunist.

I have been actively involved in UFO research since the late 1970's. I joined the Yorkshire UFO Society and the British UFO Society as well as Contact International. During that time I have investigated many sightings up and down the UK either on my own or with colleagues.

I was the Yorkshire UFO Society's investigator of the year in 1984 and I went on to become BUFORA's Director of Investigations and Press Officer and also the MUFON Representative for England. I think it's fair to say that I've served my apprenticeship so far as UFO research and investigation is concerned.

In 1993 I was the press officer & conference organiser for BUFORA. This was the year that I was first contacted by Ray Santilli. Yes, 1993. He told me the story of how he'd found the film, etc, etc and invited me to view it. It was well over year before I finally saw it at his office in London. This was now 1995.

I already had a conference planned for August of that year so I invited Santilli to show it at the conference. Much to my surprise he agreed so long as I would help him 'research' the film. Over the coming months the news spread about the film and the rest is history.

Right from when I first met Ray Santilli I knew that if you antagonised him you would not get anywhere. I therefore decided that the best policy was to try at all costs to get the film out into the public domain. Hopefully then someone, somewhere would be able to shed some light on it. I never thought it would take this long. But, right from when I first saw the film in Santilli's London office I was determined to get to the bottom of it no matter how long it took. I told Santilli this to his face and he accepted this.

Down the years I kept badgering and probing and trying to keep the film in the public domain in the hope that we would get a breakthrough. That breakthrough came when my colleague Tim Matthews and I proved beyond a shadow of a doubt that the tent footage section of the film was 100% fake. We even managed to get those responsible to own up and our findings eventually made it onto TV in Bob Kiviat's 'WORLD'S GREATEST HOXES' show.

I now fully expected Santilli's wall to come tumbling down but they didn't. No one came forward and claimed responsibility for the Autopsy and debris film.

In February 2002 I wrote an article for Graham Birdsall's UFO Magazine entitled 'Alien Autopsy Update'. This was an overview of our research so far. I was still working with Tim Matthews at this time. It was as a direct result of this article that I was contacted by a chap named 'Simon'. Simon went on to tell me and Tim that a friend of his was responsible for making the dummies

for Ray Santilli.

He didn't give us a name but some clues to his identity. He wondered if anyone in TV might be interested in this so I immediately thought of Bob Kiviat. The information was passed to Bob and he took over from there. In the meantime I continued to try and locate this individual using my own efforts. Eventually last year I finally found the man in question. He is John Humphreys. A UK sculptor originally from the Manchester area. The same John Humphreys who has recently appeared in the SKY ONE show.

Over the last 3 years Bob and I have tried just about everyone we knew in TV to see if they wanted to air a special exposing the film as a fake based on John Humphreys and others as well. No one was interested. Then, out of the blue, Channel FIVE in the UK wanted this due to the up-coming release of the Alien Autopsy movie. We didn't have the budget to include John Humphreys but Bob prepared a special telling enough as it was. I helped and featured in this. Channel FIVE notified the TV listings publications and then Santilli found out.

Over the last 3 years I had kept up an elaborate smokescreen in order to keep Santilli of our track. We almost got there. Santilli's legal representative contacted Channel FIVE and as a result the show has been suspended. It might still be screened but we will have to wait and see. This in turn gave Santilli the opportunity to peddle his new version of events in the SKY ONE show.

A great many UFO researchers dismissed the Santilli film right away. This to me is the easy option. Just to dismiss something is not good enough for me. I was determined to get to the bottom of it no matter what. I have to say that I have been ably assisted by a number of colleagues around the world including: Bob Shell, Maurizio Baitata, Steve Kaeser, Dave Vetterick, Odd-Gunnar Roed, Ed Gerhman and many others. But special praise must go to Mark Center in the USA and Tim Matthews in the UK. They like me stuck at it and never gave up.

I don't pretend to have all the answers but I'm satisfied that I can almost finally lay this matter to rest. I have spent a great deal of time and money on this damn film and my involvement in it went a long way to adding to the breakup of my marriage. There were those who suggested behind closed doors that I was on Santilli's payroll and that I'd even helped him make the fake in the first place. None of these individuals will have the balls to apologise.

I don't know the individual who calls me an opportunist but in future I'd ask that they do their homework first before making themselves look even more foolish. If a few more researchers and stuck at it like myself, Tim and Mark, then we might have got to the bottom of this a lot sooner than we did.

For those unfamiliar with some of our research into the Alien Autopsy film you might like to check out the alien autopsy archive section of our web site :

http://www.outtahear.com/beyond_updates/index.html

Regards,

Philip Mantle

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 11](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
Date: Sun, 9 Apr 2006 12:15:58 -0700
Fwd Date: Tue, 11 Apr 2006 08:15:17 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 13:58:42 EDT
>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 12:31:38 -0700
>>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>>First of all I was very surprised by Ray's remarks. After
>>reading Philip's comments, I immediately did a frame by frame
>>visual analysis of the new AA DVD that Neil Morris has
>>developed. I was looking for any evidence that Ray had faked,
>>hoaxed or tampered with the footage or added frames or that it
>>was a type of restoration as he now claimed. A restoration or
>>hoaxed presentation can't be accomplished without leaving some
>>clues that this has been attempted. I don't think it's possible
>>to fake something like this and not leave any incriminating
>>evidence behind, no matter what Ray suggests.

>There are several key features of the film which suggest hoax.
>Most have been ignored or excessively rationalized away by true
>believers.

Jason,

No there isn't. There's not a single mistake that you can point out. Do so if you can. Not your opinion but evidence. Point to a specific frame that you think is phony and why? \$500 for evidence of a mistake and a cool grand for the inserted, "real" frames.

>>My belief in the authenticity of the AA footage has never
>>depended on Ray's veracity, although I did believe his story of
>>the cameraman and how he acquired the footage and I still do.
>>Dennis Murphy, Neil Morris, Theresa Carlson, William Sawers,
>>Andrew Lavoie and Bob Shell have been and continue to be my main
>>sources for verification. These are researchers who have
>>actually spent time examining the uncut, AA footage. They have
>>reported internal clues that speak for legitimacy. I'm sure
>>they'd agree that Ray's turnaround leaves many unanswered
>>questions.

>No, the real question is why are you relying on the opinion of
>others instead of using your own logic?

We worked together on this.

>Did you at any point
>realize that the their goal of trying to prove the film genuine
>is completely unscientific?

Our methods were scientific and deductive. We were trying to find any mistakes. Then we discussed what we found. The effort was collegial.

>Should I remind you the person

>making the claim must prove authenticity, and not the other way
>around?

That's what we did. You haven't been paying attention

>>There's still the matter of the crash site that I discovered
>>after following the cameraman's directions. How did Ray ever
>>imagine or "restore" these directions from the fabric of his
>>imagination? He has never been to Socorro! The cameraman
>>existed; the crash site and drawings are evidence.

>Again, there is no evidence the camera man ever existed.

Yes there is. What there seems to be a lack of are researchers
willing to examine the evidence.

>All we
>have is the word of a liar. Nor was any proof submitted claiming
>that a location was the genuine location of the Roswell crash.

Not true!

www.thewhyfiles.net/gehrman.htm

>Instead we have a case of a person telling people what they
>desperately wish to be believe true. In my opinion, had people
>stopped and used logic and commonsense then this whole joke
>would have been over a long time ago. Wait! People did do just
>that but the crazy-crowd insisted the film was authentic.

When Ray was telling the truth you thought he was lying, and now
when he is not being truthful, you all believe him.

>>How many of you remember the Kiviat Productions lawsuit against
>>Chuck Harder? Chuck simply copied the uncut AA footage from a
>>Santilli original tape and began selling it during his radio
>>talk show for ten dollars saying that it belonged to everyone in
>>the US since our tax dollars funded the project. I bought one
>>and still have it. Harder was sued for sixty million dollars but
>>the case was settled, in Chuck's favor, just before it went to
>>court. This avoided a Federal court decision on the validity of
>>Santilli's copyright. It's not clear but Kiviat probably ended
>>up paying Harder's legal fees (\$150,000) as well.

>Considerably less that what stands to be made off of the movie
>should it prove successful.

I can see you don't get the point.

>>I doubt Ray could establish clear copyright on stolen, top
>>secret Army film unless he claimed, as he does now, that he had
>>revised and restored the footage and that it was a creative
>>endeavor. So what this boils down to is copyright protection.

>>Ed

>I doubt Ray would have lived long after acquiring any genuine
>footage. The powers that be are quite skilled at making people
>and evidence disappear.

Now there's a real scientific statement. Have you ever actually
watched the uncut version of the AA?

Ed

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 11](#)

Re: Setting The Alien Autopsy Record Straight -

From: **Mark Center** <marklcenter.nul>
Date: Sun, 9 Apr 2006 12:43:55 -0700
Fwd Date: Tue, 11 Apr 2006 08:18:06 -0400
Subject: Re: Setting The Alien Autopsy Record Straight -

Hi,

Philip Mantle and the few researchers he cites in his note are the only ones that I'm aware of you've kept researching the footage; real or fake, with an agenda free status.

From the start, all we cared to believe in was getting to the truth, whatever that may be. Speaking for myself and the others I know that Philip cites, we have no motive; money, ego, fame, etc., pushing us forward. Just the need to put the case to rest. Period.

As Philip stated, many people wrote off Santilli and his footage from the start. In the end, they may be right and they've saved that precious time to research other cases. For me, and I assume my colleagues as well, getting to the bottom of the most public case ever (Roswell aside) in the history of ufology, it had to be done. It had to be definitely exposed for what it is.

In this way, the Santill footage would not sit with the many cases that we assume are fake or real, but we don't really "know" that they are real or fake. Insert your own case, I choose the Meier case. These types of cases must be proven out, for it does a disservice to the subject to let them hang out there, mudding the waters, hanging on for decades on end.

A little focus in the subject would go a long way to cleaning it up. Sadly, the "figure-heads" of the subject, gloss over the new cases, get the "results" out there as soon as possible, so they can be first on the block. "Look at me, I've got information you don't!"

Instead, we have Philip Mantle and others, methodically going over the same material for 10 plus years, beating the bushes, over and over again, trying to make the bird of truth fly in the air so we can snare it, inspect it, verify it, and move on with a clean slate.

The autopsy may be dead, it may always have been dead, but it was never proven dead. The zombie still walks, in need of his head to be removed. :-)

Mark Center
http://www.outtahear.com/beyond_updates/index.html

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 11](#)

Re: Setting The Alien Autopsy Record Straight

From: **Dave Vetterick** <veterick.nul>
Date: Sun, 09 Apr 2006 19:34:41 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 11 Apr 2006 08:49:49 -0400
Subject: Re: Setting The Alien Autopsy Record Straight

Hello Philip

It's unfortunate you feel the need to explain anything because of a few big mouth clowns. You have more honor, credibility, and class in you're little finger than they'll ever experience.

Thank you for your effort in getting to the truth behind the AA film fraud. Your sacrifices went way above & beyond the call. We all owe you a debt of gratitude.

Dave Vetterick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 11](#)

Yuri Aleksandrovich Smirnov Passes

From: Paul Stonehill <rurcla.nul>
Date: Mon, 10 Apr 2006 02:31:55 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 11 Apr 2006 08:53:48 -0400
Subject: Yuri Aleksandrovich Smirnov Passes

Yuri Smirnov, a noted Russian ufologist, a colleague of mine, and a decent human being passed away on or about March 17, 2006 (source: www.ufo.lv). He was only 52.....

Yuri Smirnov was a seasoned UFO researcher. He lived in Yaroslavl, and the Yaroslavl Regional Ufology Center functioned since 1981 (initially, it was an informal entity).

From the very beginning, it was headed by Smirnov. They had collected thousands of reports (UFO sightings; accounts of Russian contactees).

In 1986, the regional KGB had confiscated most interesting documents the Center had possessed. Smirnov and his colleagues had written a complaint about this to Mikhail Gorbachev, then the General Secretary of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union. Shortly thereafter, the Center was officially recognized.

In 1991 Smirnov started sending me the newspaper he published, Chetvertoye Izmereniye; we exchanged letters and materials. I am proud that my Russian-language articles were published in Smirnov's newspaper in the 1990s.

Yuri Smirnov was interested in various paranormal phenomena, not just ufology; the pages of his newspaper were open to various Russian, Ukrainian, and Western researchers. He was devastated by his mother's passing, and was looking for ways to clone her.

Allegedly, Smirnov wanted to repay Western cloning experts with his impressive meteorite collection. When Smirnov's body was found, and local police conducted its investigation, it was determined that although the door to his apartment was left open, only the meteorite collection was missing. But he could have kept it somewhere else.

Smirnov is survived by his father, Aleksandr.

I have saved some issues of Chetvertoye Izmereniye for those who are interested in Soviet and Russian ufology. Yuri Smirnov was a truly dedicated and honest ufologist. May he rest in peace.

Paul Stonehill
Los Angeles
California

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 11](#)

UFOs Concealed Publicity Marketing & Information

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 11 Apr 2006 09:02:51 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 11 Apr 2006 09:02:51 -0400
Subject: UFOs Concealed Publicity Marketing & Information

Source: American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/gc98f>

April 10, 2006

UFOs: Concealed Publicity, Marketing And Information

Daniela Giordano

Something has changed. A phenomena has occurred of extraordinary importance and few have realized it. We have been witnesses to the evolution of the highest form of commercial communication: the advertising message.

According to several current theories held by scientists (like the astrophysicists Allen Hynek of the U.S.A., and Jaques Vall=E8e of France), these unidentified flying objects would work on our society from the external (sightings, close encounters of various kind, etc.) and from the internal (commercials, movies, and TV) to produce an evolution in human thought. But when this has been said didn=92t cause any outcry.

The advertising medium is the most powerful tool for acting on the common mind, and it is legitimate to wonder how much of an influence it has toward the acceptance of the UFO phenomenon.

No information medium is as widespread today as that of advertising. Books, reports, journals, conferences, etc. become almost obsolete methods of information when considered against the ability of the advertising medium to inform, to guide, to mold, to educate and above all to sell.

These days, information and ideas reach more people through the advertising medium than through editorial means. This medium, in a relatively clear manner, is superior in forming ideas and currents of thought through images or logos. In these recent years, advertised product is not sold anymore for its quality but for the sentiments it gives rise to.

Today, EMOTION is the engine of marketing.

Emotion and passion to let dream the people, used as means trying to sell the product to the potential consumers: the almost erotic emotion of an ice-cream, the intense pleasure of an ice cold drink in the torrid days of August, the sensuality of a jewel or a perfume, the hate or love for a pair of gym shoes doing only market trend=85

The prestigious advertising campaigns brought out by the big and mid-level companies are actually the result of a synergetic chain put in action by specialized agencies who have the skill to offer a real supply in the strategic and operative advertising services. Very deep inquiries are carried out about what people wish or aspire and it is entrusted to the =93creatives=94 the difficult task to create an image or a message hitting the potential consumer to more levels.

Thus such advertising aims at "strategic thinking": the emotion of adventure, of vast spaces and mystery, as in the GILERA advertising spot of 1997. The furrows on the ground, framed from the top by two circles partially superimposed, the trademark of GILERA, clearly refer to the phenomenon, for those who know it, of the crop circles that are the probable traces left by UFOs during landings. The two furrows subtly transmit the information related to a topic debated since several years by the media and on which have been published many books in all countries.

Less sophisticated and more direct is the TV spot by HONDA advertising the "SKY" and showing some improbable aliens appreciating its performance. Therefore, implying a technological level that is, according to the logo, "more fun than a flying saucer." This followed an advertising campaign by CAGIVA some years earlier that had the image of a human-like alien with green skin and Vulcanian ears.

It could be said the use of aliens in the advertising campaigns began officially when the nice little alien with telekinesis skill, moved with the power of his mind the film roll into the special box to be sure it would be developed only on KODAK paper.

A couple of years later came the promotion of AGFA-UFO, a photographic roll of film with high image definition. In this advertising message, the AGFA film was introduced as such an effective film that it could photograph something so notoriously difficult as a UFO.

In this case the information inherent in both advertising messages is varied. It is involved telekinesis skill, telepathy, the alien way to communicate =96 as it could be deduced from the huge quantity of reports received by researchers from all around the world =96 and the importance to be technological advanced to face a phenomena =93on the edge=94 of our knowledge.

It is just the skill to develop brilliant creative solutions, supported by rigorous strategies of communication and tied to accurate data and market researches, the sign more characteristic of style and the communication philosophy of the advertising on the way of the last and new millennium.

A style that is possible to read in the single announcements of the advertising campaign revealing the sure touch and innovative use of the persuasion techniques.

Without doubt, movies generate ideas for the advertising campaigns. In fact, following the success of Spielberg's E.T., Italy's old SIP (national old telephone company) bought the image rights of the famous E.T. to promote its IN-SIP products. The logo obviously paraphrased the request of the little alien: "The telephone has found home."

While is relatively easy to insert information in a TV spot, where a short sequence of moving images tells the entire story, it is more difficult to launch advertising messages with an unique static image.

Well known, for instance, is the campaign of SKF, a leading company in the field of the ball-bearings, which advertised its product with a huge lighted panel at Madrid/Barajas Airport, in Spain.

Emblematic and full of suspense, the image shows a squadron of ball bearing, like UFOs =96 such as people reports, flying in formation against the background of a sky at sunset. In this case the information is cognitive, in the meaning it is presumed all understand the message and the related information inherent in it as taken for granted data.

An interesting campaign in the print media was planned by the Testa Pella Rossetti agency for CESAME, an Italian company leader in the sanitary fixtures. Here a group of whirling UFOs were inserted inside an unlikely bathroom. Additional information proclaimed: "They are not terrestrial."

The advertising message of the BANK OF ROME, characterized by the slogan "Close Encounters with Objects of All Kind", was issued to promote a special competitive bidding of value goods.

The image is centered on three "storied" UFOs hovering over a boundless corn field and projecting their shadows. Here the complex information includes many references: flying saucers, close encounters, crop circles and possible alien writing-quoting, in effect, all the main aspects of the UFO phenomenon.

Many of the companies quoted above have been the first, together with FIAT in the 1970s, to have used UFOs and aliens to promote their product in a period in which information on the topic was scarce, not very reliable or of doubtful origin for most of the audience in Italy. It can be said, therefore, that these companies have been indirect promoters of Ufological concepts and information.

In truth, these companies do not create the images or the advertising messages in the promotional campaign, but rather this work is done by the advertising agencies through the so-called creatives, who submit them for approval to their director and then to the owner or the executive director of the company for which they work.

It should be understood that, even if it is expressed in a simple way, the decision or the choice of a given advertising message is never the exclusive domain of a single person, but of a group of people.

=46rom where, therefore, has come the primary impulse for the "sowing" of such ideas among the general public - since, apparently, the creatives are only the means? What mechanism starts in order that a group of qualified persons opt for this marketing choice of utilizing "alien" topics - which, among other things, has a noticeable cost on the budget of the company? Analysis and market surveys done to test the tastes or the expectations of possible consumers do not seem to justify this trend - at least in Italy. Perhaps at an unconscious level there are certainties of which the public is not aware?

Notable, in this regard, is the national magazine advertising campaign created by Ogilvy & Mather agency which combined AMERICAN EXPRESS CARD with the known personalities of Italian business companies.

The image of Michele Giglio, owner of a line of prestigious clothing stores in the country and also in Japan, projects a shadow which has been transformed into an alien with little antennas. In the background, a group of whirling UFOs hover in the sky. The logo leaves no doubt: =93We Are The Trend=94. That is to say, UFOs and aliens.

What has driven the owner of a chain of upscale stores to transform, in part, his image to that of an alien? A particular experience? A clear desire? No. The answer came out in a little interview: the simple firm belief that somewhere aliens exist. And if their images can be used for selling more clothes, O.K., why not !

In other cases, the mental association between flying saucers and advanced technology is played upon.

The German company SIEMENS, with a campaign created by the Publicis MCD, has advertised its mobile phones not with a close-up of its product but of a UFO.

Another German company, QUIX, a maker of advanced electronic diaries, advertised its product with aliens and UFOs.

The year 1999 saw a dramatic rise in advertising campaign using UFOs and the aliens. Dramatically, FORD advertised its car KA with the statements: "I have in mind only KA. I have in mind that electric green". To the side is the suffused image of two aliens, the so-called grays, in this case rendered in green for the chromatic needs well known to the people working in this field.

The information spreads, and the old-fashioned concepts about little monsters with antennas are abandoned, being replaced, in turn, with something that is closer to reality. The logo and image also suggest a telepathic experience - a phenomena that has been denied by official science in Italy but experienced by everyone at least once time in a lifetime.

Rousing excitement and of great emotional impact is the AIR EUROPE advertising campaign, planned by the Armando Testa agency.

Its logo - "The Flight That Was Not" - exalts the image of a huge UFO flying over the Milan Cathedral. Another ad has a UFO hovering near the control tower of an airport with the logo "extraorganized." And a third ad shows three UFOs illuminating a Boeing 777 from above with the logo "Air Europe Presents To The Galaxy Its New Jewel." This was followed by an imitative advertising campaign from ALITALIA with the logo: "Not Even A UFO Would Fly From Sicily To Milan 32 Times Per Day."

Excluding the elite of the big and medium commercial companies, it remains the hard base of the small shops, where a narrow niche of market survive only thank to the willingness of the individual owner.

Among owners of small family businesses can often be found some protagonists of UFO sightings or even of alleged abductions. It is possible, if not probable, that this life experience is engraved in their mind in indelible way, and that it has conditioned thoughts and attitudes.

Thus, it would not be strange if these persons have then developed trade activities through the years that promote their experience. The logos of a commercial house printed on paper that wraps small packages or the neon image of the shop's sign is not, for sure, a visual advertisement of great impact. But it has some influence on a certain kind of consumer - one who becomes a client and acquires through the "counter chats" knowledge of the phenomena and the opinions of those who have lived the unusual experience.

In this way the UFO Baby, a shop selling items for newborns, is born, as well as the Laundry of the UFOs (Lavanderia degli UFO) and the UFO Bar, a nice place on the promenade of Salerno in Southern Italy.

Behind these names is hidden the more or less unconscious willingness to inform others of a lived experience.

Thus, thanks to advertising, members of our society influence each other, and, as the wave forming when a stone is thrown in the pond, the information starts to circulate among the people. (A valuable occurrence primarily in areas where the knowledge about the phenomena is poor.)

No book or scientist will ever be able to transmit to so many people the complex and much debated topic of UFOs as an advertising message is able to do. Thanks to advertising messages, UFOs and their occupants have entered our education, despite the fact that the more orthodox mind wishes to deny them.

Maybe the external pressure (sightings, testimonies, abduction, crop circles, etc.) and the internal pressure (cinema, press, TV and above all advertising) are slowly provoking a transformation in the consciousness of people - and perhaps subtly preparing mankind for possible future contact.

Daniela Giordano

Daniela Giordano has been an Italian free-lance writer for many years. She has always been interested in parapsychology and avant-garde research about UFOs and other unusual phenomena. In 1999 she won first prize of the Donald E. Keyhoe Journalism Award, a contest promoted by the Fund for UFO Research in Washington, D.C., with the article titled "93 UFOs in the History of Arts." The winning article has been published in an Italian magazine about astronomical and science information and in some international magazines, such as Fate, in the United States, and The Arts Magazine, in Singapore. It has also been included in a volume by the Greenhaven Press "92s Contemporary Issue Companion series for American high school students. It has been mentioned by the Sun (Miami), the Herald Tribune (Florida), and on the TV History Channel.

author's email:

dstar2.nul

[[Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

'Kecksburg UFO' To Land In New Home

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 09:29:19 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 09:29:19 -0400
Subject: 'Kecksburg UFO' To Land In New Home

Source: The Daily Courier - Warrendale, Pennsylvania, USA

http://www.pittsburghlive.com/x/dailycourier/news/s_442169.html

Monday, April 10, 2006

'Kecksburg UFO' To Land In New Home

By Rachel R. Basinger
For the Daily Courier

The Kecksburg UFO monument, better known among the locals as "the acorn," will soon get a new home.

The event commemorated by the monument took place more than 40 years ago, on Dec. 9, 1965, when witnesses say they saw an object fall from the sky into a small patch of woods.

Several witnesses agree the military was involved, blocking the path of anyone getting to the object before it was hauled away.

While some think the flying object was an extraterrestrial spaceship, others explain the phenomenon as some type of Soviet satellite or Venus probe that went off course.

In the early 1990s, the television show "Unsolved Mysteries" came to do a story on the event. After the production crews left, the monument made for the show was left behind in Kecksburg, Mt. Pleasant Township.

It was placed on a podium at the Kecksburg Fire Hall.

The fire department never got involved with bringing attention to the event or the monument until recently.

In need of more than \$300,000 for a pumper truck, firefighters pulled together to think of unusual ways to raise money.

With a monument sitting on their property -- representing an event that brought international attention to the small village -- fire department officials decided to take advantage.

To date, firefighters have raised more than \$9,000 selling T-shirts, sweatshirts and videotapes of the "Unsolved Mysteries" television clip and the more recent Sci-Fi Channel television clip.

But, in order to attract additional interest -- and customers who would buy merchandise -- the fire department formed a UFO committee.

Ron Struble, chairman of the committee, said that's when members came up with the idea of refurbishing the monument and moving it to an adjacent piece of fire department property.

The fire department's UFO gift shop is located in the emergency

services headquarters directly beside the new site that is still being prepared.

"Where it sat originally was not a very good location," Struble said. "If someone stopped to look at it and we got a fire call, we would have to ask them to leave. Now they won't be affected if we get a fire call."

In addition to parking for tour buses and other visitors, the little park will include sidewalks leading up to the monument that will be placed on a platform attached to a tall steel tubing cylinder.

"We want to really dress it up with shrubs and all," Struble said.

While the monument has been waiting for its new home, it has received a face-lift. It's been covered with a special coating that should last for 20 to 30 years.

The hieroglyphic-looking symbols around the bottom of the monument also were redone with special caulking that should also last for 20 to 30 years.

"After all these years, there's still enough interest being shown that we thought we could benefit," Struble said. "We've had people from Japan and Germany come to visit, and we've gotten e-mails from all over the place."

Stan Gordon, UFO researcher who has worked tirelessly on finding out what really happened that day, said the fire department's efforts will be nothing but beneficial for them as well as the community.

"I have received a number of calls over the years from people out of town who had heard of the event," Gordon said. "They wanted to know what all there was to see. Unfortunately, there was really nothing here for them except for the monument itself."

Gordon said that a refurbished monument in a permanent home that is tourist-friendly, along with a souvenir shop, will bring in more visitors.

"This is a local mystery with an international interest that puts Kecksburg on the map," Gordon said. "Overall, this will be beneficial to the community."

Struble said the fire department hopes to have the site completed by month's end, but will be happy to have the money raised for their new pumper in five to 10 years.

Gordon added that anyone who may have information on the event, and has not yet come forward, to call him at 724-838-7768 or visit his Web site at www.stangordon.com.

"We're still pursuing the constant rumors that there are some pictures out there of the object," Gordon said. "If anyone has these pictures, they could really help solve the case."

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

UFO Magazine Book Review Majic Eyes Only

From: Ryan S. Wood <majesticdocuments.nul>
Date: Mon, 10 Apr 2006 13:24:41 -0700
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 09:32:08 -0400
Subject: UFO Magazine Book Review Majic Eyes Only

UFO Magazine Reviews:

Majic Eyes Only

BROOMFIELD CO April 10, 2006 In the April, 2006 issue of UFO Magazine Robert Bletchman, a retired Connecticut attorney, MUFON public relations director and long time researcher of MJ-12 documents reviews Ryan S. Wood's new book Majic Eyes Only: Earth's Encounters With Extraterrestrial Technology. Here is some of what Bletchman says:

Wood recognizes that the end of the so-called cosmic Watergate will only occur when unequivocal evidence of the physical reality of the flying saucer or its alien occupants is obtained. So he orients his book to the nuts and bolts of the UFO phenomena. And it is that pursuit that animates this quest. He has provided the next best thing, documents, both those with certified provenance and those that satisfy the most comprehensive analysis available. When the smirking skeptic fails in his claims of fraudulence the proponent of the claim wins and the proof is established. Woods strict adherence to analytic criteria results in the only proof presently available.

This book presupposes that the reader is grounded in the legend and the lore of the UFO phenomena. I think Wood would agree with Stanton Friedman, who coined the phrase: UFOs are simple hardware from elsewhere. Science with a capital S is not the only venue to establish proof of fact. The law, which increasingly touches every aspect of life, also provides a vehicle. Thorough the legal maxim that the soul of the law is reason, has evolved a structure called the best-evidence rule, which requires the proponent of the original document to produce that very document if it is accessible. But such a rule doesnt require foolish activity or frustrate a bono fide pursuit. If an original is not available a copy will do. The criteria set out to authenticate the copy then must be stringent and comprehensive. Wood has satisfied the tests established. He has proved his document claims. That doesnt mean that he is necessarily correct, only that the burden has shifted to the skeptic.

Below is a brief summary of the new book. see www.majiceyesonly.com for []purchase details.

The idea that major governments have covered up the true nature of the UFO phenomenon for more than half a century through unprecedented secrecy, deception and intimidation strikes many reasonable citizens as preposterous. Yet, this book provides a wealth of evidence, including declassified and leaked government documents and credible eyewitness testimony, which supports the uncomfortable truth of this "preposterous" notion.

MAJIC EYES ONLY is a landmark synthesis and review of every credible UFO crash retrieval event uncovered worldwide to date. Assembling data from his own research and numerous other investigators, including crash retrieval pioneer Leonard Stringfield, the author presents 74 UFO crashes from 1897 to the present, supported by compelling evidence in the form of official documents, eyewitnesses and in some cases physical

evidence. Incidents do vary in quality, evidence, and therefore authenticity.

You can also purchase your autographed copy of the book and The Secret DVD via the website at www.majiceyesonly.com or online just the book at www.amazon.com.

Sincerely,

Ryan S. Wood

14004 Quail Ridge Drive
Broomfield, CO 80020
Phone: 720-887-8171
FAX: 720-887-8239

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

UFO Ignorance

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 09:36:41 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 09:36:41 -0400
Subject: UFO Ignorance

Source: American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/psovg>

April 10, 2006

UFO Ignorance

Frank Warren

It's not often one sees an article about UFOs in the mainstream print press or on television these days; when one does, it's usually filled with terms like "believers, pseudo-science, fringe, paranormal, cult, hoax," etc. On television the reporting of UFO events is generally done in a light comical manner, complete with the "winks, nods and guffaws included."

That said, it's no surprise how ignorant the American public "of today" is on the subject of UFOs. Moreover, when attempts are made by independent sources, via television, the bulk of these productions come complete with organ music, smoke machines and are narrated by an eerie character with a British accent.

Occasionally when a reporter from the mainstream press does gather the courage to broach the subject of UFOs, more often than not we see an individual who approaches the subject from the aforementioned education received by his fellow colleagues; that's not to say there haven't been some reporters/newsmen etc., who have done some good publicized research; but those individuals, and instances are few and far in-between!

The "all too common" term, or phrase used by the mainstream reporters, is "UFO believers." Most Americans are familiar with the term, "UFO", and most incorrectly associate the term with extraterrestrial spacecraft and or beings. The term, "UFO" was borne by the Air Force back in 1952, specifically Capt. Edward J. Ruppelt, Chief of the Air Force's Project Blue Book=97the government's "public investigating agency" of the UFO phenomenon. In the verbatim it is an acronym for, "Unidentified Flying Objects"; the "key word" being "UNIDENTIFIED."

To associate the verb, "believer" with a "factual thing" i.e., "UFO," is an oxymoron. Say to yourself, "I believe in the Empire State Building," or use the term "The Mount Rushmore believers"=97just doesn't make sense does it? Yet the layman who is ignorant of the subject of UFOs, or who's only knowledge on the subject is from the comedic side of the mainstream press might assume there is a debate about the existence of such things, particularly if the individual has never seen one=97henceforth we breed more ignorance!

The public as a whole, I'm sure would be quite surprised to learn that the media in the early years of UFology (late '40's and early '50's) did not turn a "blind eye" to this phenomenon=97quite the opposite in fact! "Flying Saucer" stories (the term created by a reporter from Oregon in response to

Kenneth Arnold's report of his sighting of 9 UFOs in June of '47, describing their flight characteristics) permeated the press=97it was headline news in most states across the country. The press was eager to learn and report what these "strange objects" were, flying in our skies.

Ironically it was the press that provoked the "powers-that-be" to become very aggressive in a public anti-UFO campaign. Initially, when the "newly" independent branch of the military, i.e., the Air Force, established "Project Sign," aka, "Project Saucer" (one of the predecessor's to "Blue Book") who's function was "to collect, collate, evaluate and distribute to interested government agencies and contractors all information concerning sightings and phenomena in the atmosphere which can be construed to be of concern to the national security"; there seemed to be a genuine desire to get to the bottom of the UFO phenomenon; however, the evidence towards the end of 1948 was leading to an apparent extraterrestrial explanation, which didn't sit well with the higher-ups, namely "Air Force Chief of Staff General Hoyt S. Vandenburg."

In the beginning of 1949 "Project Sign" was no more, and "Project Grudge" was in its stead. "Grudge" like it's name took to a dim view of UFO reports, and indicated the Air Forces "public shift" in policy to "explain away" reports as natural phenomenon, e.g., planets, meteors or stars; more drastic explanations would include: hallucinations, reflections, birds and even "particles that float in the fluid of the eye that cast shadows on the retina."

When the Air Force couldn't explain away the evidence they in turn would ridicule the witnesses. UFO observers were called hoaxers, fearsome freaks, or people just trying to get media attention.

Capt. Edward Ruppelt in his book, "The Report On Unidentified Flying Objects" referred to the beginning of the "Project Grudge era" as the "Dark Ages," or "as a period of "intellectual stagnation.'" What he didn't realize is that "stagnation" would evolve and continue for decades.

In the beginning of the Air Force's "debunking policy" it used big names like Vandenburg, and LeMay to substantiate and solidify the "natural explanation" of UFO reports; but it didn't stop internally; the Air Weather Service was asked to verify "balloon flights" that must have been confused with UFOs. In regards to astronomical answers, Dr. J. Allen Hynek, head of Ohio State University's Astronomy Department sorted out reports that could be associated with stars, planets, etc.

Although the public and the media didn't swallow the Air Force's policy shift at once, it did sow the seed of doubt. Magazines like "True and Life" from 1949 through 1952 published some ground-shaking revelations on UFOs based on Air Force reports and files; however that rich source of "official unbiased information" was soon to dry up.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

Are We Alone? UFOs The Topic

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 09:41:51 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 09:41:51 -0400
Subject: Are We Alone? UFOs The Topic

Source: Salt Lake Tribune - Utah, USA

04/11/2006

Are Are We Alone? UFOs The Topic
By Dan Nailen
The Salt Lake Tribune

In 1952, Navy officer Delbert Newhouse was driving cross-country with his family, a trip that took them through northern Utah the morning of July 2.

Around 11 a.m., Newhouse's wife reportedly noticed odd figures in the sky a few miles outside Tremonton. Grabbing his movie camera, Newhouse ended up with some striking images of the unidentified flying objects - images that persuaded the U.S. Air Force, Navy and the Central Intelligence Agency to investigate.

The government eventually concluded the "Tremonton tapes" simply showed some seagulls in flight.

Of course, what would you expect the government to say?

The Tremonton episode is one story in a long line of alleged extraterrestrial activity in Utah. It's one reason independent UFO researcher and lecturer Robert Hastings will make his fourth trip to the state Wednesday for a lecture at the University of Utah.

Of the Tremonton tapes, Hastings said: "If one looks, using modern, computer-based visual-enhancement technologies, those seagulls essentially were saucer-shaped. They were round, oval-shaped or disc-shaped, so clearly they weren't seagulls.

"That's one example of countless ones where the PR guys at the Pentagon have tried to explain away UFOs."

UFOs, extraterrestrials and all manner of unexplained phenomena are always the subject of uneasy debate between believers and nonbelievers, government agents and private citizens. And UFOs are fodder for pop-culture fantasies, whether through sci-fi flicks of the 1950s or "The X-Files," a TV show in the '90s that rekindled talk that "The Truth Is Out There."

The 56-year-old Hastings has dedicated much of his life to formulating counterarguments to official dismissals of all things UFO-related, digging through once-classified documents, filing Freedom of Information Act requests, and interviewing people with firsthand knowledge of UFO sightings and the government's efforts to ignore them.

He's delivered his findings in lectures at more than 500 colleges and universities.

Most of his study involves the preponderance of UFO activity

near America's nuclear weapons, and he has studied intently cases in Wyoming, New Mexico and Montana.

"There are FBI, CIA and Air Force documents going back to as early as December 1948 confirming that what the documents themselves refer to as 'flying discs' or 'flying saucers' have demonstrated an ongoing interest in our nuclear weapons sites," Hastings said, noting that since 2001, the release of sensitive, UFO-related documents by the government has slowed to a trickle. Much of his lecture Wednesday, "UFOs: The Hidden History," will involve the history and potential risks of alien life forms' interest in U.S. nuclear weapons.

Stephen Nielson, the 23-year-old speakers board chairman for the Associated Students of the University of Utah, booked Hastings' lecture at the U. Nielson said he believes in extraterrestrial life, but "I don't necessarily know if they've been to Earth."

"UFOs, everybody has some fascination with them," Nielson said. " 'The X-Files' made them extremely popular during the '90s, so the generation that's now in college kind of has that mentality."

For more on UFOs in Utah

Robert Hastings will present his lecture "UFOs: The Hidden History" at 7 p.m. Wednesday at the Utah Museum of Fine Arts Auditorium. Admission is free.

To view video of the Tremonton tapes, visit:

<http://galactic2.net/video/ufo9.avi>

and for photographs, visit:

<http://www.nicap.dabsol.co.uk /utahcondon.htm>

For more information on UFO activity in Utah, visit the Web site of the Utah UFO Hunters at:

<http://www.aliendave.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

Re: UFOs Part Of World's History - Rome

From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
Date: Tue, 11 Apr 2006 08:15:26 -0700
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 09:44:34 -0400
Subject: Re: UFOs Part Of World's History - Rome

>Source: The Morning News - Springdale, Arizona, USA
><http://tinyurl.com/q7u85>
>April 6, 2006

>UFOs Part Of World's History

>By Becca Bacon Martin
>The Morning News

I believe Springdale might be in Arkansas, not Arizona.

Irene

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

UFO Ignorance II

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 09:54:15 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 09:54:15 -0400
Subject: UFO Ignorance II

Source: Source: American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/gtwno>

April 12, 2006

UFO Ignorance II
Frank Warren

By 1952 the Air Force was in 'full debunking mode', and that summer would offer a grandiose example of how far the Air Force would go to explain away the Flying Saucer (UFO) Phenomenon.

Common folk (those 'mildly' knowledgeable about UFOs) have often said, "If they are from another planet, why don't they just land on the Whitehouse lawn?" Ironically, in July of 1952 they came very close to doing just that!

The headline of the Washington Post's Final Edition of July 28th, 1952 declared, "'Saucer' Out Ran Jet, Pilot Reveals." The article went on to reveal a "secret military investigation" of what were described as "glowing aerial objects" that were appearing on radar screens in the Washington area for the second consecutive week. Pilots sent up by the ADC (Air Defense Command) reported that they were unable to overtake the UFOs that were near Andrews Air Force Base.

The Air Force's official response was that they were investigating the incidents and that it was classified as "secret." They further stated, "we have no evidence they are 'flying saucers'; conversely we have no evidence they are not 'flying saucers.' We don't know what they are."

To be clear, the UFOs were not just "blips on a screen" they were simultaneously witnessed from the ground as well as from the air (radar/visual sightings) by the pilots pursuing them in addition to civilian airline pilots.

In an interview with The Alexandria Gazette, James Ritchy, an "air traffic controller and radar specialist" for The Washington Air Traffic Control Center said, "These objects were about 30 miles from the airport when we first made contact with them. We spotted 12 objects, and judged that they were moving in a southeasterly direction at a speed of about 40 mph..... The Air Force sent some jet planes up to investigate, and we would help 'vector' the pilots toward the objects....."

When we 'vector' a plane onto an object, we are in radar contact with both the object and the plane, and also in radio contact with the pilot of the plane. We keep telling the pilot how to turn to approach the object until he makes a sighting. The first jet pilot to go out Saturday night reported that he sighted a steady white light that appeared to be about 10 miles distant. When we tried to draw closer, it just disappeared.....

A commercial pilot got much closer to one of the objects, and

reported to us that he sighted a yellow light that appeared to turn red and then yellow again. He reported to us that the object appeared to be about two miles away and the flying parallel with him.

Radar confirmed that he was between two and three miles from the object.

A third pilot sighted two bluish lights and later five more white lights. Our radar continued to show unidentified objects through the night, until 6 a.m. the next morning, but the pilots did not get closer to them."

The pursuit planes used were F-94s with a top speed of 600 mph. The targets (UFOs) were tracked at speeds as slow as 90 mph and faster than that of their pursuers. (Substantially faster, as when planes approached in some instances, the UFOs would simply disappear from radar=97presumably retreating faster than it took the radar antenna to make a full sweep).

As one might imagine, since this was an ongoing phenomenon (for two weeks) and it was taking place near the nation's capitol, it created quite a hubbub! With telegrams, phone calls and letters by the thousands pouring into the Pentagon, as well as pressure from the constituents of local Congressman, and topping it off with a lot of noise from the media; the powers-that-be needed to do something, and quickly! That something ended up initiating "the largest press conference held since the end of the Second World War."

On July 29th at 4:00 pm in the conference room at the Pentagon, Major General John A. Samford, Director of Intelligence of the Air Force proceeded to engage in the one of the largest cover-ups ever perpetrated on the American public! With him in this machination was Major General Roger M. Ramey, Director of Operations, a veteran in confabulating UFO events (a la Roswell), Colonel Donald L. Bower, Technical Analysis Division, ATIC (Air Technical Intelligence Center), Captain Roy L. James, Electronic Branch, ATIC, Captain Edward J. Ruppelt, Aerial Phenomena Branch, (head of Project Blue Book and future author of "The Report On Unidentified Flying Objects) ATIC, and Mr. Burgoyne L. Griffing, Electronics Branch, ATIC.

On the other side of the table were the media's elite, top correspondents from all the major newspapers and national magazines were in attendance; heavy hitters from radio and the new medium, "TV" were also there; in the midst of that crowd was one Major Donald E. Keyhoe, who had penned, "The Flying Saucers Are Real" and was a considerable thorn in the Air Force's side.

Samford's opening statement recapped the Air Force's investigation of the UFO phenomenon since 1947; he mentioned the Air Force's concern of possible air born menaces to the United States, talked about Project Saucer (Project Sign) and it's current "more improved" organization. He noted the mass amount of reports that have been analyzed, and quickly put them to rest as some easily explainable phenomenon, e.g., our own aircraft, weather aberrations, hoaxes etc.

His oratory was mild mannered and done in an academic fashion; Keyhoe later commented that the "tension in the crowd was eased" by his simple explanations of the UFOs. Samford didn't shy away from the "20%" of the reports that couldn't be "identified," either, and gave the impression that with more data those could be laid to rest as well.

In concluding he made a point that the Air Force's role was to ensure that UFO sightings didn't pose a threat to the United States; he said there was no pattern to indicate there was one.

At this point the rest of the conference would proceed as a Q & A session with the reporters. As would be expected, the reporters began a barrage of some very poignant questions, but Samford held his ground, and calmly gave rational explanations for the previous weeks UFO sightings and consequent "radar tracks."

When asked about "solid returns" Samford talked about "birds and temperature inversions"; when asked about multiple radar units tracking the same objects, "simultaneously" he mentioned the same phenomenon can pass from scope to scope, and indicated that

the timing can be off. (I.e., it wasn't simultaneous). When asked about the "expertise" of the radar operators he politely indicated that even the "best can be fooled."

As you can see, no matter the question Samford and or one of his panel had a very "logical explanation" for the recent UFO phenomenon; even when he left room for further investigation he firmly stated, "there is nothing in them that is associated with materials or vehicles or missiles that are directed against the United States."

The conference lasted an hour and twenty minutes, at it's finale the members of the media had a mixed response, some thought that Samford and his group were on the level, others didn't buy it for a moment, but by and large they would "accept the more feasible explanations" and that is what would "go to print."

The following day the New York Times published an article entitled, "Air Force Debunks =91Saucers' As Just =91Natural Phenomena.'" The "Herald Tribune" published the same article, and the "Post" headlined an article, "Saucer Blips Over Capitol Laid To Heat." The "AP" ran with "those stories" and premise was spread across the country.

Some might wonder how the press could be so na=EFve... but those were different times, and we were much more trustful of the powers-that-be. Still, after taking in Samford's explanations, it must have given people pause if they had to board an airplane, since their very lives depend upon the air traffic controllers who apparently couldn't tell a plane, or another solid object from a flock of birds and or heat inversions.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

Winner Beams Up \$250 In UFO Contest

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 09:58:50 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 09:58:50 -0400
Subject: Winner Beams Up \$250 In UFO Contest

Source: The Tallahassee Democrat - Florida, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/qyl93>

13 Apr 2006

Winner Beams Up \$250 In UFO Contest
By Mark Hinson
Democrat Senior Writer

Wakulla High School student Jacob McCown was in his car at a stop light along Gaines Street when he noticed something strange zipping by in his rear-view mirror.

Luckily, McCown was quick enough to grab his digital camera and snap a quick shot of an unidentified flying object whizzing by the Turlington Building.

Finally, proof of intelligent life from... well, McCown's imagination.

McCown's subtle fakery of an alien invasion of the Department of Education took first place and won \$250 in the Tallahassee Skeptic UFO Contest held by the Center for Inquiry - Tallahassee Chapter.

"I copied a picture from the Internet of the mirror and then transferred a UFO cut from another picture found on the Internet," McCown explained in his contest-entry letter. "I then flattened the two images and printed."

Bruce Thyer, who dreamed up the bogus-UFO competition for the Center of Inquiry, said the contest sought out fixed photos that were "believable-looking but not valid." The only rule was that the forgers had to include a recognizable landmark in Tallahassee or North Florida.

"I'm very pleased with the response we got and the work that was put into the photos," Thyer said.

The contest drew nearly 40 entries from 24 applicants. Second place and \$75 went to Philip Tambasco, and Lisa Hug won \$50 and the third-place slot.

The pictures included images of a spaceship whizzing over Lake Ella, a saucer visiting a packed Doak Campbell Stadium during a halftime show and an "Independence Day"-styled war ship blasting downtown Tallahassee with a death ray during the Winter Festival and Celebration of Lights.

"Some of them really got into it," Thyer said. "I was scared at first that we were just going to get pictures of hub caps on fishing poles, but that didn't happen."

Contact reporter Mark Hinson at (850) 599-2164 or mhinson.nul

Skeptic UFO Contest entries:

<http://tinyurl.com/q4czx>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

FOIA Request To Defense Intelligence Agency

From: **Larry W. Bryant** <overtci.nul>
Date: Thu, 13 Apr 2006 16:49:20 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 10:01:20 -0400
Subject: FOIA Request To Defense Intelligence Agency

To: FOIA.nul

To: Director
U. S. Defense Intelligence Agency
ATTN: Freedom-of-Information Manager (Bldg. 6000)
Washington, DC 20340-5100

From: Larry W. Bryant
3518 Martha Custis Drive
Alexandria, VA 22302

DATE: April 13, 2006

Your agency's recent participation, along with the U. S. Air Force intelligence community and other intelligence-gathering entities, in a project to reclassify certain previously declassified records housed at the National Archives and Records Administration prompts me to submit the following FOIA request:

Please send me a copy of all DIA-generated and all DIA-received records pertaining to your agency's decision, rationale, and modus operandi for participating in the above-cited project - said records to include the following:

- (1) Any and all policy-formulation and procedural-guidance documents.
- (2) Any and all correspondence (including e-mail and faxed documents) containing coordination proposals, decisions, notices, document-review comments, memoranda of understanding, and after-action reports.
- (3) Cost analyses for the project, along with budgetary estimates, outlays, and reports.
- (4) Instructional guidelines as to how individual document-reviewers/reclassifiers must perform their tasks in completing the project's goals; personnel rosters for the project.
- (5) Reclassification log sheets/reports produced as regards any and all UFO-related records subjected, thus far, to reclassification by the project.
- (6) Any and all planning guidance, policy directives, and administrative notices pertaining to any PROPOSED reclassification of UFO-related records currently in the custody of any NARA-managed facility.
- (7) All public-affairs guidance on your agency's processing of news-media inquiries about the project; all DIA responses, to date, to such inquiries.
- (8) All congressional inquiries about the project, along with the DIA responses thereto.
- (9) Minutes of meetings, project progress reports, and in-process-review summaries.

(10) Managerial and operational briefing charts, temporary-duty reports, and memoranda of telephone conversations.

Since I submit this request as an independent writer focusing on national-security affairs and on the public's stakeholder-ship in intelligence-agency oversight, I ask that you waive all records-search fees incident to your fulfilling this request.

By snail-mail, I'm sending to you a signed printout of this e-formatted letter.

Larry W. Bryant

Copy furnished to:
Chairman, U. S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

UFO Betwixt and Between?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 10:07:53 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 10:07:53 -0400
Subject: UFO Betwixt and Between?

Source: Source: American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/zvntn>

April 14, 2006

UFO Betwixt and Between?

R. Lee

Flying Saucer was the term commonly used to describe objects of unknown origin flying in our skies. Journalistic folklore tells us that reporters coined the term in response to Kenneth Arnold's famous sighting of June 24, 1947. Arnold, a non-commercial pilot, was flying over Washington state where he observed nine silver objects he described as "skipping across the water." From that description, journalists came up with the term "flying saucer" and it stuck for quite awhile.

As language does, the term changed, and the seemingly more scientific term U.F.O. came into use. U.F.O. was an acronym for Unidentified Flying Object. The idea behind the creation of U.F.O. was that it did not make a case for aliens from other planets, nor, against it. UFO was a neutral term, less folksy and picturesque than "flying saucer."

U.F.O. quickly became UFO. Maybe less clunky but the same idea. Free of judgment. Clean.

And now, UFO has come to mean, not the non-biased form of the term in its purest form: Unidentified Flying Object, but quite the opposite.

Nowadays, whenever the topic of UFOS is brought up, it's necessary to be sure everyone's on the same page regarding the definition of UFO. A lot of people use the term UFO interchangeably with the idea that extraterrestrials exist; or, in the case of the skeptic, that he thinks you think extraterrestrials exist.

The question is often asked: "Do you believe in UFOs?" What kind of question is that? Do you believe in toasters? Cars, televisions, airplanes? The skeptic will tell you that toasters, cars, televisions, or airplanes have been proven to exist, while UFOs have not.

That's silly of course. UFOs are seen every day, all over the planet, by thousands of people. Obviously they exist. UFOs have been seen all over the planet, by thousands of people, for literally centuries. Clearly there are things up there zipping, dipping, flying, stopping, disappearing, reappearing, glowing and even coming in for a landing. The questions are not "Do you believe in UFOs?" or, "Do UFOs exist?" The obvious and on task question is: What are they?

The reasonable person, regardless of his or her "belief" in aliens from other planets, acknowledges that some UFOs have a mundane explanation. (Yes, I realize that one might question the idea that a reasonable person would accept that there are extraterrestrials, but we're not going there.)

We - humans - have a lot of stuff up there. By now anyone who's heard of UFOS has also heard the "swamp gas" theory, or that Venus is exceptionally bright and large in the sky, to explain away UFO sightings.

It's not a contest. We do not have to choose one or the other. Sometimes one explanation fits, other times, it's a different reason completely. Some UFOs are man made craft, some are misidentifications of natural phenomena, and so on. And many simply have no explanation at all; at least not for the time being. It's this last bit; "no explanation at all," that is difficult for many to accept.

This doesn't mean that UFOs are aliens from other planets. And here's the problem that many can't get past; if it isn't a bird, weather balloon, swamp gas, Venus, or the hallucinations of a mentally ill, drug or alcohol intoxicated person, then what could it be? The assumption on the part of the skeptic is that if it isn't any of those things, it's an alien. More specifically, that the skeptic assumes believe it's aliens. But, since the skeptic knows no such thing as aliens exist, therefore, no alien, the answer is: no alien, then, it has to be something else, which means it's not a UFO, but wait, it is a UFO, since we don't know what it is, but... and this is the point where their heads explode.

There is an alternate perspective, which is admittedly as mind boggling for some as the above, and that is the idea that the "aliens" are beings not from outer space, but from here on earth, or another dimension. For many, including those that "believe" in aliens, that idea is bizarre. It verges on metaphysics, religion, philosophy. Too shaky and mystical an idea to embrace.

If UFOs were a only a case of observation; meaning, only a visual sighting of an unusual craft, it's possible these questions wouldn't be asked, or not to the extent that they are. But many a UFO sighting has "high strangeness" events with it, including probably the most well known that has seeped into popular culture: alien abductions.

All of this is getting away a bit from the point; the issue here isn't one of belief in aliens, or alien abductions, but usage of a term. UFO means that: Unidentified flying Object. We cannot assume that one always means "aliens from Mars" when saying UFO. And we cannot dismiss that possibility either.

Possibly it's this unnerving, nebulous middle area, this betwixt and between state, that bothers some. Most of use want answers, concrete proof, black or white choices, the security in knowing, once and for all, something either is, or isn't.

That's what UFOs are. By it's very nature, UFOs are maddeningly elusive: in their appearance, their behavior, and their theories. The truth is, when it comes to UFOs, it just isn't that simple.

Author's email:

rlee15.nul

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

New Documents Bring Black Vault Over 306,000 Pages

From: John Greenewalrd john.nul
Date: Fri, 14 Apr 2006 16:13:31 -0700
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 10:20:10 -0400
Subject: New Documents Bring Black Vault Over 306,000 Pages

Black Vault Document Database Restructured and Converted New Documents Bring Total to over 306,000 Pages online Black Vault Encyclopedia doubles in size since it's launch John Greenewald Releases Controversial Editorial New home page additions Black Vault Database of Documents Converted to PDF's

<http://www.bvalphaserver.com/index.html#foia>

The Black Vault has just completed a complete redesign and restructuring of the document database. In the beginning of The Black Vault, nearly 10 years ago, the most viable solution to downloading thousands of documents was via .gif files. But now, times have changed to the the world of broadband and high-speed internet, and downloading a page at a time simply was not appropriate. So, I have completed a complete restructuring of all the documents to .pdf format. In rare cases, .gifs and .tifs are still available, but for the most part - everything has been transferred over.

In addition, the links to the documents have been restructured. Once you enter the categories, you will primarily be linked DIRECTLY to the document itself, instead of seeing a few pages in between. This will speed up your browsing time, and get you exactly what you want.

I highly recommend you check out these new changes!

New Documents Bring Total to over 306,000 Pages online

The Black Vault has reached a milestone of over 306,000 pages of documents. The following documents are now available for download:

Space Documents

Apollo Program Flight Summary Report [126 Pages]
{<http://www.blackvault.com/documents/space/apollosummary.pdf>} For a complete list of space documents, you can head to:

<http://www.bvalphaserver.com/content-15.html>
{<http://www.bvalphaserver.com/content-15.html>}

FBI Files:

Allen, Steve

<http://www.bvalphaserver.com/article15953.html>

FBI File Bono, Sonny

<http://www.bvalphaserver.com/article15955.html>

FBI File Lennon, John

<http://www.bvalphaserver.com/article15959.html>

FBI File Hoffman, Abbie

<http://www.bvalphaserver.com/article15956.html>

FBI File Levison, Stanley

<http://www.bvalphaserver.com/article15961.html>

FBI File Lindbergh, Charles

<http://www.bvalphaserver.com/article15962.html>

FBI Files

For a complete list of FBI files currently available, you can head to:

<http://www.bvalphaserver.com/content-26.html>

Black Vault Encyclopedia doubles in size since it's launch

<http://www.blackvault.com/encyclopedia/>

The Black Vault Encyclopedia is off and running strong! Since the press release went out last week, The Black Vault Encyclopedia Project has doubled in size. It now has nearly 420 entries in the database. This results in hundreds of pages of material to read and download, photographs, links to documents and more.

However, the twist if you haven't heard, is anyone can edit this project, and have fun with it! You can log on and add/edit current entries in the Encyclopedia, and begin new pages and categories if you'd like.

It's a fun, exciting new project for everyone to get involved in, and add your two cents.

Join us online, and leave me a message

http://www.blackvault.com/wiki/index.php/User_talk:Administrator

To enter the Encyclopedia and begin researching:

<http://www.blackvault.com/encyclopedia/>

John Greenewald Releases Controversial Editorial

<http://www.bvalphaserver.com/ftopict-54441.html>

Article:

Bush Bashing in America - An open message to the critics of the Oval Office
By John Greenewald, Jr.

Although my intention was not to be controversial, and online editorial I wrote has created quite the stir! :) I welcome ALL of you to read my thoughts and opinions on paper, and offer your two cents. The article is currently linked to the message forums, and can be read and responded to via the URL above.

I welcome all comments and criticisms :) It was written to spark good conversation and debate between Black Vault members online.

Here is an excerpt:

"September 10th, 2001=85 I remember exactly what I was doing. Six years into running my 'Black Vault=94 website, I launched a completely new design and database that housed my 'life=92s work'. People from around the globe began registering on this new system. I was extremely excited -- and then went off to bed. The next morning -- my whole perception of the world changed.

Planes were flying into the World Trade Center and the Pentagon. Another plane was down in the fields of Pennsylvania, and many more aircraft we unaccounted for. What happened next in the world is something I cannot wait to see again.

It wasn't the tragedy that followed=85 the sorrow=85 or the tears. It wasn't the cleanup, the conspiracy or the unanswered questions. No, it wasn't any of that. It was the patriotism. It was the pride of being an American. Most of all, it was a new found respect we had for

our fellow countrymen, and even our country. "

New home page additions

<http://www.blackvault.com>

There have been some slight changes to the home page of The Black Vault, that should be pointed out. First off, you will see now a list of recent news headlines in the database near the bottom of the page. New headlines appear daily, so make sure you come back regularly to check them out.

For information on bringing Black Vault headlines to your desktop, check out:

<http://www.bvalphaserver.com/article15797.html>

Also, you will note the FOIA document category images have been changed slightly, but more importantly, a few sections that were only text links before have images and are seen more easily.

Maybe you missed them in the past. Make sure you check out the

DoD Collection

<http://www.bvalphaserver.com/article12785.html>

which is the collection from the Department of Defense and the first 5 CD's (of a total of around 15) that holds the contents of their reading room in Washington D.C. I am waiting for the remaining CDs, and they will be available soon... but enjoy the thousands of pages currently online.

OTA Collection

<http://www.bvalphaserver.com/article14438.html>

The Congressional Office of Technology Assessment (OTA) closed on September 29, 1995. During its 23-year history, OTA provided Congressional members and committees with analysis of the scientific and technological issues that were increasingly relevant to public policy and legislative action.

The Black Vault has obtained each and every report this agency released, and they are currently online available for download.

Hope you enjoyed this update! Stay tuned, there is much more to come!

Sincerely,

John Greenewald, Jr.
The Black Vault Headquarters
<http://www.blackvault.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

NASA Solicits Ideas For Exploration Of The Moon

From: NASA News <hqnews.nul>
Date: Tue, 11 Apr 2006 13:52:51 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 10:22:20 -0400
Subject: NASA Solicits Ideas For Exploration Of The Moon

April 11, 2006

Dolores Beasley/Michael Braukus
Headquarters, Washington
(202) 358-1753/1979

RELEASE: 06-134

NASA SOLICITS IDEAS FOR EXPLORATION OF THE MOON

NASA issued a Request for Information Tuesday soliciting ideas on lunar exploration activities that could be pursued as part of the agency's long term exploration goals for the moon, Mars and beyond.

Sponsored by NASA's Exploration Systems Mission Directorate, this request seeks input from individuals and organizations covering a broad range of disciplines, from lunar science activities, to operational activities and technology research efforts that could be done on the moon to assist in preparing for future human missions to Mars and beyond.

The goal is to collect a wide variety of perspectives on future human and robotic activities on the moon. Eventually, a multidisciplinary lunar exploration strategy will be developed using information from a variety of sources, including inputs received through this solicitation.

For information about the Exploration Systems Mission Directorate and the Request For Information, visit:

<http://exploration.nasa.gov/>

For information about NASA and agency programs, visit:

<http://www.nasa.gov/home>

-end-

To subscribe to the list, send a message to:

hqnews-subscribe.nul

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

Mars Orbiting Cameras Debut As NASA Craft Adjusts

From: NASA News <hqnews.nsl>
Date: Thu, 13 Apr 2006 15:53:13 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 10:24:59 -0400
Subject: Mars Orbiting Cameras Debut As NASA Craft Adjusts

April 13, 2006

Erica Hupp/Dwayne Brown
Headquarters, Washington
(202) 358-1237/1726

Guy Webster
Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, Calif.
(818) 354-6278

RELEASE: 06-184

Mars Orbiting Cameras Debut As NASA Craft Adjusts Orbit

Researchers today released the first Mars images from two of the three science cameras on NASA's Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter.

Images taken by the orbiter's Context Camera and Mars Color Imager during the first tests of those instruments at Mars confirm the performance capability of the cameras even though the test images were taken from nearly 10 times as far from the planet as the spacecraft will be once it finishes reshaping its orbit. Test images from the third camera of the science payload were released previously.

"The test images show that both cameras will meet or exceed their performance requirements once they're in the low-altitude science orbit. We're looking forward to that time with great anticipation," said Dr. Michael Malin of Malin Space Science Systems, San Diego. Malin is team leader for the Context Camera and principal investigator for the Mars Color Imager.

The cameras took the test images two weeks after the orbiter's March 10 arrival at Mars and before the start of "aerobraking," a process of reshaping the orbit by using controlled contact with Mars' atmosphere. This week, the spacecraft is dipping into Mars' upper atmosphere as it approaches the altitude range that it will use for shrinking its orbit gradually over the next six months.

The orbiter is currently flying in very elongated loops around Mars. Each circuit lasts about 35 hours and takes the spacecraft about 27,000 miles (43,000 kilometers) away from the planet before swinging back in close.

On Wednesday, a short burn of intermediate sized thrusters while the orbiter was at the most distant point nudged the spacecraft to pass from approximately 70 miles (112 kilometers) to within 66 miles (107 kilometers) of Mars' surface.

"This brings us well into Mars' upper atmosphere for the drag pass and will enable the mission to start reducing the orbit to its final science altitude," said Dan Johnston of NASA's Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), Pasadena, Calif., deputy mission manager.

After hundreds of passes through the upper atmosphere, the drag will gradually reduce the far point of the orbit until the spacecraft is in a nearly circular orbit every two hours.

After the spacecraft gets into the proper orbit for its primary science phase, the six science instruments on board will begin their systematic examination of Mars. The Mars Color Imager will view the planet's entire atmosphere and surface every day to monitor changes in clouds, wind-blown dust, polar caps and other changeable features.

Images from the Context Camera will have a resolution of 20 feet (6 meters) per pixel, allowing surface features as small as a basketball court to be discerned. The images will cover swaths 18.6 miles (30 kilometers) wide.

The Context Camera will show how smaller areas examined by the High Resolution Imaging Science Experiment camera - which will have the best resolution ever achieved from Mars orbit - and by the mineral-identifying Compact Reconnaissance Imaging Spectrometer fit into the broader landscape. It will also allow scientists to watch for small-scale changes, such as newly cut gullies, in the broader coverage area.

The new test images and latest updates on the mission are available at:

www.nasa.gov/mro

NASA's Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter is managed by JPL, a division of the California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, for the NASA Science Mission Directorate, Washington. Lockheed Martin Space Systems, Denver, is the prime contractor.

-end-

To subscribe to the list, send a message to:
hqnews-subscribe.nul

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

Re: Milking That Cow - Boone

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Sat, 15 Apr 2006 11:05:03 EDT
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 10:29:19 -0400
Subject: Re: Milking That Cow - Boone

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 11:04:34 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 09:38:37 EDT
>>Subject: Milking That Cow

>>Well once again another scam has been uncovered.

>>Of course I'm referring to the recent 'Alien Autopsy'
>>controversy.

>>It's not like it should have taken this long to prove it a fake,
>>yet it did.

>><snip>

>>Some of these claims go on for years while their proponents go
>>on to sell books, do tv specials, even cruise line excursions!

>>It's either true or not gang. Don't give these stories the
>>footing to grow the weeds of bewilderment. Put a fork in them as
>>fast as possible and get on to real hard science.

>Exactly Greg! How can Ufology expect credibility when those
>involved don't question everything? How can we expect validity
>when we accept almost anything?

Rick, thanks!

The percentage of folks in and around Ufology who missed the bullseye on this one are probably in the same ration as people who miss it on every scam no matter where. The difference however is that this is a field of research encompassing just about every science and humanity. There's no shortage of brains and talent in the UFO community lemme tell ya! Problem here was just a simple case of not putting the hammer down sooner.

Normally people have anecdotal evidence. Stories, sometimes 2nd and 3rd person. Yet in this case dude offered up video and a live mystery person. His ass should have been called to the carpet from day one. When he hesitated, that was the warning sign and no one, not even Dr. Wecht who appeared on the tv special should have validated him one iota with their presence.

That would have rung a bell (no pun Art if you're reading) right off the start. Could you imagine a UFO special where all the Ufologists refused to appear because the claimant refused to provide solid proof to an claim of such magnitude? Heck they could do their own UFO special called:

"UFO Experts Who Ain't Buyin' This Guy's Spiel Because He's Fulla More Crap Than A Constipated Elephant".

A few years ago I told of a first person account of several UFO sightings with multiple witnesses. Some Ufologists jumped on my

case faster than a lawyer on an accident victim. I figured then that if they could do that to me, they must've done it to other stories and cases. Even when several of the naysayers to my story screwed up royally in public by not doing something simple like asking the sources sited, that gave me a clue what was wrong:

Bad investigative skills and an inability to leave their IDs at the door (ID as in that thing below the conscious mind).

We all make boo boos. We should admire that we do and go on.

I don't expect Ufologists to be any more superior to any other group of researchers and groups of people. Ufologists have the toughest time of any research group. Little or no financial support, public support only if there's a thrill and a laugh in it, no government support etc. Ufologists are on their own from day one and their only allies are the few colleagues, witnesses, public that exhibit the kind of courage and fortitude that move us all forward.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Boone

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Sat, 15 Apr 2006 11:29:29 EDT
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 10:30:36 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Boone

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <alienview.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 17:23:11 -0500
>Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 09:19:56 EDT
>>Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

>>>From: Joe Faccenda <Uforth.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 17:57:47 EDT
>>>Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

>>>>From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
>>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>>Date: Thu, 6 Apr 2006 18:17:33 +0100
>>>>Subject: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

>>>>For the benefit of those who have not seen the recent Sky One
>>>>show featuring Santilli I thought I might take this opportunity
>>>>to point out some of the nonsense in more detail.

>>>>There is no doubt there are a lot of angry ufologist pulling out
>>>>hair and much gnashing of teeth over this sorry AA episode.
>>>>While a few rake over the ashes for any crumbs of comfort, the
>>>>majority want to distance themselves as much as they can.

>>>>As Santilli dances off into the sunset clutching his wads with
>>>>glee, the UFO community is not only left dumbfounded at the
>>>>enormity of the scam, but also the realisation of the damage
>>>>this has done to ufological credibility itself.

>>>>Bebunkers like the late and not lamented Philip J Klass would
>>>>have had a field day with this.

>>>>And while many will say "I told you so", I am sure there was
>>>>deep down, a faint hope that some of AA was true. Ray Santilli
>>>>ufology will not forget you. And believe me they will not
>>>>forgive you either.

>>Joe, that's the funniest thing I've read in a long time!

>>You are sooooo right on target!

>>Me being a cartoonist I got the imagery and like a full Warner
>>Bros. cartoon like scenario rushed through my mind. I could even
>>see a sequence of Philip Klass bursting from his tomb and doing
>>a break dance amongst the tombstones with a James Brown 'Hyah!'
>>emitting from him.

>>This is going to be one funny and happy Saturday.

>Yeah... with decisive splits and someone to run over and throw a
>little cape on him. But not because he's got some vindication
>for his denialists position... but that he could push a little
>further away the 'unknown' he refused to look for, the obvious
>'other' he couldn't acknowledge, and the highly 'strange'

>clutching his spastic sphincter and freezing his moldy bones
>with cowardly fear...

>No points for Klass-less and any of his too eager and
>inappropriately relieved apologists. Consider: AA was dodgy
>from the start, and is in no way a victory. These should turn
>their tremulous wattles, instead, to Jerry Cohen's very sober
>site at:

><http://www.cohenufo.org/#siteoverview>

>and read about...

- >1. Kirtland AFB (11\4\57)
- >2. Hynek Blue Book Case (5\5\65)
- >3. Malmstrom AFB (3/20/67)
- >4. Incident at Redlands, Ca. (Hynek, BB, 2\4\68)
- >5. Exeter, New Hampshire (9\3\65)
- >6. Malmstrom AFB (11\7\75)
- >7. Iran F-4 Incident (9\76)
- >8. Belgium (1989\90)
- >9. Illinois, USA (1\5\2000)
- >10. Et sig al... friends and neighbors.

>alienview.nul

>www.AlienView.net

>AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

Dang you Alfred Lehmborg! You've gone and made me laugh so hard
I fell out of my chair and knocked over my Land of the Giants
Spindrift model! :)

I was sitting here all grumpy and enjoying it and you've gone
and made me laugh uncontrollably.

A pal of mine from Disney studios stopped by while I was reading
the list emails and she had to help me back into my chair. She
says she can see the toon visuals in her head as well. Now she's
cracking up laughing and knocked over my talking Edna Mode doll
from 'The Incredibles' movie.

Now we can't work. We're laughing too hard.

If I recall the original AA special, didn't Dr. Jesse Marcel Jr.
and artist Frank Kaufman both say that what they saw on
Santilli's video wasn't what they recalled as seeing back in
'47? I've read where Kaufman's testimony was debunked but not
sure if the jury was out on it or not.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Sat, 15 Apr 2006 13:19:57 -0300
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 10:34:28 -0400
Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs' - Ledger

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 16:22:26 -0300
>Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs'

>>From: Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo <ufomiami.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 16:42:34 -0400
>>Subject: Re: 'The World's Strangest UFOs'

>>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2006 14:52:16 -0300
>>>Subject: 'The World's Strangest UFOs'

<snip>

>>I was interviewed for The World's Strangest UFOs series
>>Alien Vampire Chronicles episode by the Canadian producer:

>>Robin Bicknell
>>Proper Television
>>409 King St. W. Sweet 201
>>Toronto, On. M5V 1K1

>>Phone: 416-598-2500 x 244
>>Fax: 416-598-2550
>>robinbicknell.nul

>>I don't know if she did the other documentaries.

>Robin did the Roswell show which was on last night. I was
>pleasantly surprised. A few glitches, but Dave Thomas was a
>perfect example of the use of research by proclamation. Did no
>real research, talked to none of the people. Claimed no one
>would pay him \$1000 for a lecture "Flying Saucers Are Not Real".
>It is pretty obvious why. He knows nothing and doesn't even
>express himself well...

The Vampire Alien show was on Discovery's "The World's Strangest UFOs" last night. This time it was Virgilio's turn "in the barrel". Note the tight shot of, and curious interest in, Virgilio's left eye twitching around at one point.

Chupacabras are not my area of interest or expertise but it took a hit on "The World's Strangest UFOs".

They did provide some humour however, in the guise of that voice of reason and UFO expert who Seth Shostak shared with us the reasons why people see UFOs. It's because of airports apparently.

Incidentally, about 6 months ago a sheep rancher in New Brunswick, Canada had something like 16 sheep killed with the one wound mark in the throat. When I investigated this he told me he was convinced as was the provincial animal control officer that coyotes were responsible. Since the sheep rancher had no problem with the explanation, I didn't pursue it.

Don Ledger

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Sat, 15 Apr 2006 17:19:56 +0000
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 10:35:38 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Hall

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 10:47:53 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>It is not my intent to offend anyone's belief. But I believe
>it's time to answer the following:

>Where is the physical evidence for alleged close encounters
>between non-humans and humans? Specifically, where is the
>physical evidence for those close encounters occurring without
>communication (CE3), or the so-called abductions (CE4), or those
>which demonstrate communication with understanding by both
>parties (CE5)?

Rick,

Pardon me, but have you read any of the serious literature? Try
my The UFO Evidence, Volume II which has tons of data. Start
with physical trade landing cases. That ought to keep you
occupied for a few years.

- Richard Hall

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

Moon The Lunar Spaceship & The Nuclear Holocaust?

From: Jerry Hamm <zoomarl.nul>
Date: Sat, 15 Apr 2006 14:15:14 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 10:38:54 -0400
Subject: Moon The Lunar Spaceship & The Nuclear Holocaust?

Dear List,

Anybody know anything about the following book?

Moon the Lunar Spaceship And The Nuclear Holocaust
by Joseph H Friedt

Below is a response from a bookseller who had a copy at one time, but has since sold it.

Thank you,

Jerry Hamm

Jerry,

I'm sorry to tell you that this book is no longer available. I am not sure why the listing was still on Amazon as we went through the steps to remove it when the book sold a few months ago.

This is a very mysterious book! I cannot find anything about it OR even the author on the internet! I believe it is a work of fiction as when we had it, it was filed in the literature section. If you contact a major library (perhaps a university library) they may be able to track down a copy for you to borrow. Good luck! Please let me know if you have any questions!

Sincerely,
Amy
Logos Books & Records
Internet Department

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: ufoupdateslist.com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

So What Happened?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 10:43:37 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 10:43:37 -0400
Subject: So What Happened?

Source: The Beaver County Times - Beaver, Pennsylvania, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/rzmfa>

04/16/2006

So What Happened?
by
Scott Tady

As far as the federal government is concerned, the incident is over and done.

"The case was closed and never reopened," said Brian Seese, a paranormal researcher from Hopewell Township, who includes the incident in his new book, "Unexplained Events in Beaver County."

In late 1966, Weitzel, the NICAP investigator assigned to the case, delivered his final report to his Washington, D.C., supervisor, Richard Hall.

"I personally hand-carried a copy of Weitzel's very thick and extremely well-documented report to Dr. Edward Condon," Hall recalled last month.

Condon, a scientist, was in charge of a UFO study conducted by the University of Colorado under the sponsorship of the Air Force.

"Years later, I learned to my astonishment that he never turned over the case to his staff, and it gathered dust in his personal files," Hall said.

And so when the Air Force turned the Colorado report over to Congress, the Ohio-to-Conway incident wasn't mentioned.

"Maj. Hector Quintanilla tried to pass it off as a sighting of the planet Venus and an earth satellite, which was quite preposterous," said Hall, who wrote "The UFO Evidence, Vol. II; A Thirty-Year Report," published in 2001. "I think he may have changed it to an unexplained case later on."

According to the files of a leading UFO researcher, Brad Sparks, the Air Force ultimately did categorize the case as "unexplained" and probably left it at that, Hall said.

Project Blue Book files would show the final status of the incident, Hall said.

But trying to get someone to share Project Blue Book details isn't easy.

The feds closed Project Blue Book in 1972, ending at least publicly the Air Force's role as a UFO investigation agency.

Representatives of the U.S. Air Force Historical Research Agency

contacted last month said documents from Project Blue Book are kept at the National Archives and Records Agency, though two representatives at that agency said they couldn't confirm the status of the case, ultimately transferring a reporter's phone call to a third person who never returned the call.

"Getting someone from the government to talk is almost impossible," said Leslie Kean, an investigative reporter who, backed by cable's Sci-Fi Channel, sued NASA under the Freedom of Information Act to see files on a UFO sighting Dec. 9, 1965, in Mount Pleasant, Westmoreland County.

NASA maintains the "fireball" that dozens of witnesses spotted that night was a remnant of a Russian satellite that disintegrated after re-entering the atmosphere. But official documents from that investigation were lost in the 1990s, NASA claims.

As for the Conway sighting, Kean speculated the Air Force proclaimed that matter dead after Quintanilla's ruling, or once the University of Colorado-Air Force report didn't list it.

UFO investigators claim that Air Force report "was a totally bogus thing" anyway, designed from the onset to debunk UFO theories, Kean said.

In the first few years after Project Blue Book ceased, UFO sightings continued to crop up nationally, including a six-month span from 1973 to 1974 that included separate sightings in Center Township, Ohioville and West Mifflin. Gradually, the phenomenon faded away, and recent years have been devoid of similar reports.

"The UFO sightings may have appeared to slow down," Seese said, "but these may only be reported sightings. As a general rule, most people do not report what they observe.

"According to veteran UFO researcher Paul Johnson, the Internet changed the way people report their sightings," Seese said. "Instead of contacting the state police or local researchers, they can now send their report directly to the Internet and remain anonymous and not have to deal face to face with an investigator initially."

The Internet certainly has kept the Conway-to-Portage incident alive.

Dozens of sites, many suspecting a government cover-up, recount the morning of April 17, 1966.

Meanwhile, the men who saw the flying object are left with their own unique perspectives.

"I don't know what I would have done it if had landed," Panzanella said. "I don't know if I would have run or not."

Scott Tady can be reached online at stady.nul

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

Max Headroom Creator Made Roswell Alien

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 10:46:46 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 10:46:46 -0400
Subject: Max Headroom Creator Made Roswell Alien

Source: The Sunday Times - London, UK

<http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,2087-2136617,00.html>

April 16, 2006

Max Headroom Creator Made Roswell Alien
Marc Horne

The creator of Max Headroom, a 1980s television cyber-presenter, has claimed he was one of the hoaxers behind the Roswell film, the grainy black and white footage supposedly showing a dead alien being dissected by American government scientists after a UFO crash.

Alien Autopsy, a movie about the footage, is currently on release across Britain. It stars real-life television presenters Ant and Dec.

John Humphreys, a sculptor and consultant on Alien Autopsy who has also worked on special effects for Doctor Who, said it was he who made the models for the alien dissected in the original fake footage.

His confession, 11 years after the Roswell footage was first shown, will raise questions about the role of Channel 4, which unleashed Max Headroom on the world in the 1980s and bought the UK rights to screen the Roswell footage in Britain.

The footage was first exposed as a fake by The Sunday Times, but an estimated billion people still watched it around the world.

Rather than being shot in 1947 near Roswell in the New Mexico desert as previously claimed, the film was actually made at a flat in Camden, north London, in 1995.

Philip Mantle, a UFO researcher and author who has been investigating the Roswell hoax for 10 years, said Humphreys had been a prime suspect but had never before admitted involvement.

Mantle, who next month will deliver a lecture at Glasgow University on the Roswell story, said: "I didn't think it would take so long, but I am delighted this hoax has finally been exposed and the mystery has been solved."

Humphreys, who is based in Manchester, says he also appeared in the Roswell film as the chief surgeon. The bug-eyed alien models were filled with sheep brains, chicken entrails and knuckle joints bought from Smithfield meat market. After filming, the dummies were cut up and dumped in bins across London.

For a few short weeks the world held its breath after the 91-minute silent film was unveiled by Ray Santilli, a London-based video distributor. He claimed to have bought the footage, shot on 14 reels, from a retired American military cameraman.

Humphreys said the Roswell film was shot by himself, Santilli and three others. He said he spent four weeks fashioning the models from latex using clay sculptures.

Humphreys, a graduate of the Royal Academy who has also created special effects for the film Charlie and the Chocolate Factory starring Johnny Depp, says he only told his wife about the hoax when he was hired to work on Alien Autopsy.

"It was a very, very strange feeling to know that I had played a key part in it," he said.

Santilli, who is played by Declan Donnelly in Alien Autopsy, insists he was trying to "re-create" a real Roswell incident. He claims he bought genuine footage that was badly damaged when it was exposed to the air after 48 years in a can. "John was given very precise images to work with and what he did was sheer genius," he said.

[UFO UpDates thanks Philip Mantle for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 22:26:21 +1000
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 10:51:22 -0400
Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

>From: Maurizio Baiata <maurizio.baiata.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 16:50:49 +0200
>Subject: Re: Sky's Eamon Holmes Investigates Alien Autopsy

<snip>

>As far as Italy, several people did keep a scientific, or
>rational approach since the beginning, including the proponents
>(such as me) of a commun effort to find any clue leading us
>eith0e0r to prove that it was a hoax, or its was a genuine film.
>Obviously, the source's anonimity was a point of no return for
>everyone. And Santilli did not help at all.

<snip>

>I'm referring to the entire situation, not to a detail in
>particular. If they don't show the molds, the dummies (destroyed
>immediately after), the actors, the props and a 'making-of', how
>can we be confident on a correct and conclusive verdict? We
>don't have these elements, yet. We have have only words,
>Philip Mantle's very disappointed statement and, now, Mr.
>Humphreys statement. Too little to just forget and eliminate
>this evidence.

<snip>

>BTW, at that time I was with CUN, Italy's most important UFO
>association, and we held a special meeting in San Marino,
>Friedman, Linda Howe, Don Schmitt, Jesse Marcel jr., Bruce
>Maccabee, Bob Shell and many Europeans.

>None came to the conclusion that the Autopsy Footage was a hoax.
>None - but everyone seemed concerned to nail Santilli to his
>responsabilities, rightly. Stan can confirm this.

>Too bad, Santilli escaped all the possible enquiries.

<snip>

>Thanks Jason, very good advice. However, being a journalist
>since 1976, I'm not and never was a "believer", I'm only a
>stubborn Roswell researcher. If we are based, equally, on the
>"5W" rules, we may conclude that the majority of them are still
>missing, in the Santilli case.

Maurizio, List,

With the below timeline...

http://www.outtahear.com/beyond_updates/alien_timeline.html

"Tue 25.4.1995..Maurizio Baiata flies from Rome to London to
meet RS and view AA film

Wed. 26.4.1995.. Maurizio Baiata and Reg Presley view enhanced
footage at RS's office in London

Wed. 26.4.1995..Colin Andrews visits RS at his London office for the second time to view more film at a private screening (for journalists?)"

I appreciate your open-mindedness and could I ask if you recall if there was any difference in the Tuesday 25th 1995 and the Wednesday 26th of April 1995 viewing with Colin Andrews and Reg Presley's 'private screening' (for journalists), and what was the difference, and how it was enhanced?

Thanks

William

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

Re: Milking That Cow - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 09:37:46 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 10:54:29 -0400
Subject: Re: Milking That Cow - Shell

>From: Ed Gehrman <egerman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 9 Apr 2006 10:43:11 -0700
>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>I learned surgical techniques and did most of the
>male preps. So I have first-hand knowledge and that's why I knew
>immediately that the surgeons in the AA were not fake doctors
>(sculptors), and if we can trust the cameraman, their names were
>Bronk and Williams.

Detlev Bronk was certainly a real person, and a highly qualified medical doctor with high-level government connections. I think Bronk was involved in Roswell and find it very suggestive that his diaries for 1947 are missing from his archive. He was, if anything, a compulsive diarist who recorded daily events in great detail.

Now this has nothing to do with the AA film, but can be studied independently as part of a Roswell investigation. I personally went looking for his 1947 diaries, found them (and nothing else), missing, and talked to a puzzled archivist who could think of no reason for them not to be there.

Bronk would be a good person for someone with the time and resources to do a thorough study of.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

Re: UFOs Part Of World's History - Hatch

From: **Larry Hatch** <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 07:22:04 -0700
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 10:57:12 -0400
Subject: Re: UFOs Part Of World's History - Hatch

>From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 11 Apr 2006 08:15:26 -0700
>Subject: Re: UFOs Part Of World's History

>>Source: The Morning News - Springdale, Arizona, USA
>><http://tinyurl.com/q7u85>
>>April 6, 2006

>>UFOs Part Of World's History

>>By Becca Bacon Martin, The Morning News

>I believe Springdale might be in Arkansas, not Arizona.

>Irene

Hello Irene:

There is indeed a Springdale in Arkansas, plus seven other states from New Jersey to Washington that I could find.

Eight states in all, but Arizona was not among them.

[Uh... that would be Moderator's error - Arkansas is correct
--ebk]

Best wishes

-Larry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: ufoupdateslist.com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

Oak Ridge Leads Search For Alien Life

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 11:59:40 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 11:59:40 -0400
Subject: Oak Ridge Leads Search For Alien Life

Source: Town Online - Needham, Massachusetts, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/s6jlz>

Friday, April 14, 2006

Oak Ridge Leads Search For Alien Life
By Melissa A. Hoffmann
Staff Writer

On Pinnacle Road, at the Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics' Oak Ridge Observatory, a large, nondescript shed has been constructed. It doesn't look like much - until the roof starts moving. It slides almost completely off to allow the telescope inside the chance the scan the skies.

It's scanning for, in the words of Charles Alcock, director of the Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, "flashes of light from distant stars - or in this case, not so distant stars."

Yes, this super-powerful telescope is scanning for communication from extraterrestrial life forms. It's the only telescope of its kind dedicated to that search.

"It's overwhelmingly likely that life exists on other planets," said Paul Horowitz, the Harvard University professor who heads the Optical SETI (search for extraterrestrial intelligence) project.

For many years, Horowitz has conducted radiotelescope (audio) SETI searches. But laser communication between civilizations is just as viable, if not more so, he said; a state-of-the-art laser is 10,000 times brighter than any star. Visible light can form tight, intense beams, and its high frequencies allow it to carry large amounts of information. Those lasers are what the SETI telescope, with its 72-inch primary mirror, will be scanning for.

Some optical searches have been performed before now, but on telescopes that are not as advanced and not dedicated for that purpose.

Horowitz said the technology that went into the telescope "expands by 100,000-fold the sky coverage of our previous optical search." When the telescope is operating, its camera will process 3.5 terabytes every second (or, for those who need a more practical reference, it's like scanning the contents of all of the books in print - every second).

Basically, the telescope will constantly scan strips of sky, and employs a custom-built camera that can detect a billionth-of-a-second flash of light. The telescope will scan the sky every night, as long as the weather is clear; Horowitz estimates that it will take about 200 clear nights to scan the entire sky.

"This is undoubtedly a long shot," he added, "but the payoff would be profound."

"We have been listening for alien signals for decades," said Louis Friedman, executive director of the Planetary Society (and self-described "SETI skeptic"). "It's time we started to watch for signals as well."

"We are very excited about this telescope," said Bruce Betts, Planetary Society director of projects. "It's a leap into a new age - a new era of SETI that has a lot of promise, a lot of things going on with it."

Tuesday's dedication included presentations from members of Horowitz's team, comprising graduate and undergraduate Harvard University students. Grad student Andrew Howard actually had to develop a new electronic chip in order to process the data from the telescope.

Another student, Jason Gallicchio, developed a computer graphics library as part of his work on the Web operations team. The telescope can be controlled remotely via a Web-based system. "We can see what's going on in the observatory when we're sitting back in Cambridge," Howard said. They can even open the roof of the observatory or change the telescope's position.

The project's Web site, still under construction, will allow people to see the conditions at the observatory and what the telescope has recorded.

The Planetary Society is a long-time collaborator with Horowitz on SETI projects, including some at the Pinnacle Road observatory. The society funded the optical SETI telescope along with additional support from the Bosack/Kruger Foundation.

Friedman said he was glad the project was located at Oak Ridge. "It's right at the cutting edge of technology," he said. "At the same time, it has this homey feel to it."

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

Unlocking The Mystery Of Ware Triangle

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 12:05:43 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 12:05:43 -0400
Subject: Unlocking The Mystery Of Ware Triangle

Source: Herts Essex News - High Wycombe, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/h24cp>

13 April 2006

Unlocking The Mystery Of Ware Triangle

A bizarre Bermuda Triangle-style hole has opened up in Ware.

Thankfully, this one doesn't cause aircraft to disappear off the radar, but it does infuriate motorists.

Those venturing to the bottom of Page Hill have discovered the remote keys to unlock their cars fail to work there.

Puckeridge resident Terry Fletcher is baffled.

"It's like a sort of Bermuda Triangle or maybe aliens have indeed landed =97 we've had a few UFO sightings in Hertford!

"My wife's been parking there once a week for ages. She goes to visit friends where she worked at GlaxoSmithKline, but today (Thursday, 13 April) her remote key just wouldn't work," he said.

Initial thoughts that the battery on the bleeper had gone proved unfounded when the Fletchers called out a breakdown truck.

"His wouldn't work either," said Terry. "And another person also had the same thing. It's all very strange."

A Mercury reporter went to investigate the strange phenomenon. Sure enough, when he pressed the remote key to his ageing BMW, it failed to elicit a satisfying 'bleep, bleep'. Now the gadget is kaput.

A spokeswoman for the RAC Foundation said: "I've heard of a similar thing hap pening near the US Air Force base in Yorkshire, which has an extremely powerful radar, but you have to be really close for it to happen. Do you have an air force base in Ware?"

Tamsin Johnston, from RAC Rescue, said: "RAC is aware of a number of loca tions including military bases, hospital car parks and docksides where this phenomenon occurs.

"If a motorist experiences this problem they should open the car manually using the key. Once inside the car, close the door and retry, deactivating the security system by operating the key fob, as the car's bodywork provides a shield to the radio signals.

"If this doesn't work, the car will need to be towed out of the range of the signal to rectify the situation. The interference may only be temporary, so it's worth wait ing 10 minutes or so

and trying again."

A spokesman for break down firm Lantern Recovery, of South Mimms, said: "It can happen near a radio transmitter or a pylon. It's all to do with radio waves. I don't want to scare anyone =97 there are no health worries."

We discovered that in 1993 a European frequency of 433.92 MHz was allocated for radio car keys by the European Radio Committee. The same frequency was already legally allocated to other users, including the Ministry of Defence.

So it seems that Ware has a hidden air force base, a secret MoD installation or a new hospital that the NHS forgot to mention.

Either that or UFOs have landed in the secluded wood at the bottom of Page Hill.

=09

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

Alien Intent Human Explication

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 12:10:43 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 12:10:43 -0400
Subject: Alien Intent Human Explication

Source: American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/plfs3>

April 10, 2006

Alien Intent Human Explication
Frank Warren

There are a multitude of not only Ufologists, but also scientists, and on the other side, debunkers, pelicanists etc., who seem to have an "inside track" on the psychology of ET. In listening to some, one would think that they've had intimate encounters with our visitors, and or have studied their societies for years. (If in fact "they" have societies at all).

Many suggest their motives, and why things happen or don't; e.g., in regards to Roswell, some have stated that, "a crash of an ET craft" isn't possible because ET would be too far advanced in their respective technologies for that to happen.

Still others claim that ET is just as fallible as we humans, regardless of their superiority over us. Scientists of course say ET can't be here, because "we can't get there."

Skeptics have often echoed the sentiments that if UFOs were indeed alien spacecraft, then they would obviously make contact with the governments of the earth. Conspiracists theorize they indeed already have, and work in conjunction with a macabre faction of the powers-that-be.

Theologians, who seem to know what the 'supreme ET' of all is thinking, say that "we are alone."

Some very esteemed Ufologists have suggested that ET has been visiting the planet since the first detonation of the A-bomb; that much like Star Trek a "Federation of Planets" is concerned, much akin "to children playing with a gun."

Within these various dogmas lies a common thesis; they are all based from "human logic"; therefore, the foundation to which they (said dogmas) are predicated is non-existent! I submit that trying to deduce alien intentions/ideologies with 'human explication' to quote (speaking of Star Trek) one of my favorite extraterrestrials "is not logical!"

Barring abductions, there isn't enough data to surmise any alien ideology of any sort; to suggest otherwise is nonsensical. In order to postulate a theorem about an extraterrestrial life form at the very least, some facts are obligatory; for example, are they carbon based? Do they require sustenance? Are they societal? Do they possess emotions, etc?

Without further data there is only speculation; that speculation is futile, unless ET is analogous to the human species. Naturally that notion can't be ruled out either, given the lack

of information.

Ultimately, in order to validate the various licenses taken by so many, the preface must be, "if ET is akin to the human species, then I believe..." as it is currently impossible to presume alien intent by human explication.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

Swiss Mystery Park Needs Saviour To Materialise

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 12:16:42 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 12:16:42 -0400
Subject: Swiss Mystery Park Needs Saviour To Materialise

Source: Yahoo News.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/nwpeu>

Mon Apr 10 2006

Swiss Mystery Park Needs Saviour To Materialise

ZURICH (Reuters) - Long ago, astronauts from outer space visited earth to lay the foundations for human civilisation, Erich von Daeniken says.

Now, the Swiss writer and businessman hopes for a visitor wealthy enough to save his Mystery Park theme park in Interlaken from financial collapse.

The park, set up by the author of bestsellers such as "Chariots of the Gods" and "The Gods were Astronauts", has failed to attract enough visitors and needs 4 million Swiss francs (2 million pounds) in cash to stay in business.

The park's attractions - which showcase giant drawings in the Peruvian desert that may once have been signs for visiting spacecraft, the search for extraterrestrial intelligence and more - may close forever if the group does not find the money.

Mystery Park's shares have risen sharply in heavy volumes recently as investors speculate about its future and the stock now changes hands at around 2.50 francs. But they are still well below levels around 20 francs they stood at before 2004.

The group said on Monday it had asked a court for protection from creditors to win time to propose a restructuring plan to a shareholder meeting in May.

But the company will be declared bankrupt if the plan does not get approved, it added in a news release, and the days of Mystery Park will be numbered. Unless some higher power intervenes, that is, financially or otherwise.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <alienview.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 10:05:07 -0500
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 12:19:20 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Lehmborg

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 15 Apr 2006 11:29:29 EDT
>Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <alienview.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 17:23:11 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

>>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 09:19:56 EDT
>>>Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

<snip>

>>>Me being a cartoonist I got the imagery and like a full Warner
>>>Bros. cartoon like scenario rushed through my mind. I could even
>>>see a sequence of Philip Klass bursting from his tomb and doing
>>>a break dance amongst the tombstones with a James Brown 'Hyah!'
>>>emitting from him.

>>>This is going to be one funny and happy Saturday.

>>Yeah... with decisive splits and someone to run over and throw a
>>little cape on him. But not because he's got some vindication
>>for his denialists position... but that he could push a little
>>further away the 'unknown' he refused to look for, the obvious
>>'other' he couldn't acknowledge, and the highly 'strange'
>>clutching his spastic sphincter and freezing his moldy bones
>>with cowardly fear...

>>No points for Klass-less and any of his too eager and
>>inappropriately relieved apologists. Consider: AA was dodgy
>>from the start, and is in no way a victory. These should turn
>>their tremulous wattles, instead, to Jerry Cohen's very sober
>>site at:

>><http://www.cohenufo.org/#siteoverview>

>>and read about...

- >>1. Kirtland AFB (11\4\57)
- >>2. Hynek Blue Book Case (5\5\65)
- >>3. Malmstrom AFB (3/20/67)
- >>4. Incident at Redlands, Ca. (Hynek, BB, 2\4\68)
- >>5. Exeter, New Hampshire (9\3\65)
- >>6. Malmstrom AFB (11\7\75)
- >>7. Iran F-4 Incident (9\76)
- >>8. Belgium (1989\90)
- >>9. Illinois, USA (1\5\2000)
- >>10. Et sig al... friends and neighbors.

>Dang you Alfred Lehmborg! You've gone and made me laugh so hard
>I fell out of my chair and knocked over my Land of the Giants
>Spindrift model! :)

>I was sitting here all grumpy and enjoying it and you've gone

>and made me laugh uncontrollably.

<snip>

Wow, Mr. Boone. I see little reason for such an abundance of laughs, and much, actually, for their stark antithesis. Indeed, there seems more justification for tears and some quality edification via the sterling efforts of Jerry Cohen.

Perhaps I'm being a little dense or inappropriately sensitive, Sir... but, were you mocking me?

alienview.nul

www.AlienView.net

AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpDatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

Re: Setting The Alien Autopsy Record Straight -

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 16:29:22 +0100
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 12:21:15 -0400
Subject: Re: Setting The Alien Autopsy Record Straight -

>From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 9 Apr 2006 19:34:34 +0100
>Subject: Setting The Alien Autopsy Record Straight

>I thought I'd take this opportunity to set the record straight
>especially for the uninformed individual who thinks I'm an
>opportunist.

<snip>

>I don't pretend to have all the answers but I'm satisfied that
>I can almost finally lay this matter to rest. I have spent a
>great deal of time and money on this damn film and my
>involvement in it went a long way to adding to the breakup of my
>marriage. There were those who suggested behind closed doors
>that I was on Santilli's payroll and that I'd even helped him
>make the fake in the first place. None of these individuals will
>have the balls to apologise.

<snip>

Whatever one thinks of the alien autopsy film, nobody can deny
the fact that Philip Mantle has put a vast amount of time and
effort into getting to the bottom of the mystery.

Philip's post demonstrates a degree of courage and integrity
that one doesn't always see in ufology.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 12:35:16 -0300
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 12:26:01 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story -

>From: Ed Gehrman <egerman.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 9 Apr 2006 12:15:58 -0700
>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 13:58:42 EDT
>>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <egerman.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 12:31:38 -0700
>>>Subject: Re: Santilli Changes His Autopsy Film Story

>>>First of all I was very surprised by Ray's remarks. After
>>>reading Philip's comments, I immediately did a frame by frame
>>>visual analysis of the new AA DVD that Neil Morris has
>>>developed. I was looking for any evidence that Ray had faked,
>>>hoaxed or tampered with the footage or added frames or that it
>>>was a type of restoration as he now claimed. A restoration or
>>>hoaxed presentation can't be accomplished without leaving some
>>>clues that this has been attempted. I don't think it's possible
>>>to fake something like this and not leave any incriminating
>>>evidence behind, no matter what Ray suggests.

>>There are several key features of the film which suggest hoax.
>>Most have been ignored or excessively rationalized away by true
>>believers.

>Jason,

>No there isn't. There's not a single mistake that you can
>point out. Do so if you can. Not your opinion but evidence.
>Point to a specific frame that you think is phony and why? \$500
>for evidence of a mistake and a cool grand for the inserted,
>"real" frames.

Ed,

Every frame of the film is a hoax.

Santilli said he faked the _whole_ thing.

What more proof do you want?

Are we going to waste time over the next ten years arguing about
the varacity of a film that the _maker_ has stated is a fake?

It's no bloody wonder we have trouble getting mainstream science
to get on board when we still waste bandwidth arguing the merits
of a faked film which just adds fuel to the skeptic's argument
that every thing about the phenomenon can be explained away by
mis-identification of prosaic objects, hallucinations and
hoaxes.

Even arguing the merits of the Santilli fake weakens our
arguments because it's a stated fake-which the majority of
researchers had concluded years ago anyway, including me-and

therefore should not warrant further discussion.

I can't believe we are still arguing some perceived validity about this silly piece of film. I for one think it lessens the credibility of other more important aspects of the phenomenon dragging good cases down into the muck of hoaxing with it, contributing to the loss of ground which we've strived to gain over all these years.

This faked film is not even a UFO case when you get right down to it, but the phenomenon is guilty by its association with it.

Don Ledger

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

Santilli Autopsy List-Discussion At End

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 12:30:49 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 12:30:49 -0400
Subject: Santilli Autopsy List-Discussion At End

The time has come to retire the discussion of Santilli and his fake 'Alien Autopsy' film.

If, and when, evidence surfaces to the contrary then UFO UpDates will be available for further discussion.

Errol Bruce-Knapp
Moderator UFO UpDates - Toronto

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 16](#)

Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Boone

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 12:24:32 EDT
Fwd Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 12:42:04 -0400
Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam - Boone

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <alienview.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 10:05:07 -0500
>Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 15 Apr 2006 11:29:29 EDT
>>Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

>>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <alienview.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 17:23:11 -0500
>>>Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

>>>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 09:19:56 EDT
>>>>Subject: Re: Santilli's Film Flim-Flam

><snip>

>>>>Me being a cartoonist I got the imagery and like a full Warner
>>>>Bros. cartoon like scenario rushed through my mind. I could even
>>>>see a sequence of Philip Klass bursting from his tomb and doing
>>>>a break dance amongst the tombstones with a James Brown 'Hyah!'
>>>>emitting from him.

>>>>This is going to be one funny and happy Saturday.

<snip>

>>>Yeah... with decisive splits and someone to run over and throw a
>>>little cape on him.

>Wow, Mr. Boone. I see little reason for such an abundance of
>laughs, and much, actually, for their stark antithesis. Indeed,
>there seems more justification for tears and some quality
>edification via the sterling efforts of Jerry Cohen.

>Perhaps I'm being a little dense or inappropriately sensitive,
>Sir... but, were you mocking me?

No Alfred, the comment on the cape was funny. Didn't think there
were people around who still remembered that shtick.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 17](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 14:56:26 -0300
Fwd Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 09:19:57 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger

>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 15 Apr 2006 17:19:56 +0000
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 10:47:53 -0700 (PDT)
>>>Subject: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>It is not my intent to offend anyone's belief. But I believe
>>>it's time to answer the following:

>>>Where is the physical evidence for alleged close encounters
>>>between non-humans and humans? Specifically, where is the
>>>physical evidence for those close encounters occurring without
>>>communication (CE3), or the so-called abductions (CE4), or those
>>>which demonstrate communication with understanding by both
>>>parties (CE5)?

>>>Pardon me, but have you read any of the serious literature? Try
my The UFO Evidence, Volume II which has tons of data. Start
with physical trade landing cases. That ought to keep you
occupied for a few years.

Aye, there's the problem, Dick. It seems most don't do their homework. It's an argument I've had with friends [90 percent of whom are pilots, incidentally] who express a passing interest in the phenomenon. They too ask the same uninformed questions, basically because they don't know how to ask the question and go with vague memories from what they've they gotten from documentaries and maybe the X-files. With pilots at least I can offer a good example of how a question can be skewed due to misinformation. I ask, "Do you think that the lay public is well educated about flying and the world of the pilot from what they see on TV and in the movies?" That elicits a resounding negative response. Having got that out of the way I can then ask, "Not having had any grounding in, or done a study of the UFO phenomenon for a decade or more, do you really think you know anything of value about the phenomenon, or can offer an informed opinion or a reasonable argument?" On the odd occasion when a pilot does answer yes to question two, I then walk away, because there is no use arguing with a fathead.

And I won't fly with him either.

It's been my experience that people often accept the word [read explanation] of those perceived to be in authority though that authority most likely has no expertise in the area in question and is just a mouthpiece for whatever agency is spouting off.

How often do we see Seth Shostak - or the local astronomer - trotted out as an expert on the UFO phenomenon or, incidentally, as of late-an expert on alien life forms on other planets.

Does anyone really expect Seth to state that "Yes, intelligent life forms seem to be flying exotic craft around our skies."

Having said this, would he then not have to state, "I've
tendered my resignation from the SETI project since why look
there, when they are here?"

More and more often I find myself loosing patience with those
who haven't done their homework and then ask where's the proof.

Don

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 17](#)

Detlev Bronk [was: Milking That Cow]

From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 21:23:07 +0100
Fwd Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 09:25:15 -0400
Subject: Detlev Bronk [was: Milking That Cow]

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 09:37:46 -0400
>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 9 Apr 2006 10:43:11 -0700
>>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>I learned surgical techniques and did most of the
>>male preps. So I have first-hand knowledge and that's why I knew
>>immediately that the surgeons in the AA were not fake doctors
>>(sculptors), and if we can trust the cameraman, their names were
>>Bronk and Williams.

>Detlev Bronk was certainly a real person, and a highly
>qualified medical doctor with high-level government connections.
>I think Bronk was involved in Roswell and find it very
>suggestive that his diaries for 1947 are missing from his
>archive. He was, if anything, a compulsive diarist who recorded
>daily events in great detail.

>Now this has nothing to do with the AA film, but can be
>studied independently as part of a Roswell investigation. I
>personally went looking for his 1947 diaries, found them (and
>nothing else), missing, and talked to a puzzled archivist who
>could think of no reason for them not to be there.

>Bronk would be a good person for someone with the time and
>resources to do a thorough study of.

And who better than Stan Friedman, who has devoted so much time
& energy on MJ-12?

Why is it "very suggestive" that the 1947 diaries of Detlev
Bronk are missing? Surely, if he was genuinely involved in
autopsies of the alien bodies at Roswell he would have had lots
and lots of medical work, meetings and reports to do during
1948, 49, 50 and so on, probably for many years. Are the diaries
for these years also missing?

Also, he is alleged to have invented the term "EBE". Have you
found use of any such term in his diaries? Have you come across
any reference whatever to Roswell, a crashed UFO, or the alien
bodies he supposedly studied, in the diaries you have seen? If
such references are indeed missing (as I assume they are), I
wonder why.

CDA

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 17](#)

Re: UFO Ignorance II - White

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 17:02:46 -0400
Fwd Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 09:27:39 -0400
Subject: Re: UFO Ignorance II - White

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers
>Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 09:54:15 -0400
>Subject: UFO Ignorance II

>UFO Ignorance II
>Frank Warren

<snip>

>Some might wonder how the press could be so na=EFve... but those
>were different times, and we were much more trustful of the
>powers-that-be. Still, after taking in Samford's explanations,
>it must have given people pause if they had to board an
>airplane, since their very lives depend upon the air traffic
>controllers who apparently couldn't tell a plane, or another
>solid object from a flock of birds and or heat inversions.

It would be reasonable to expect that for a few years, until the
technology and personnel had been updated, this would have
happened repeatedly if from 'natural causes'!

Bet it didn't.

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 17](#)

Re: Mars Orbiting Cameras Debut As NASA Craft

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 17:14:43 -0400
Fwd Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 09:28:46 -0400
Subject: Re: Mars Orbiting Cameras Debut As NASA Craft

>From: NASA News <hcnnews.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 13 Apr 2006 15:53:13 -0400
>Subject: Mars Orbiting Cameras Debut As NASA Craft Adjusts Orbit

>Images from the Context Camera will have a resolution of 20 feet
>(6 meters) per pixel, allowing surface features as small as a
>basketball court to be discerned.

I haven't followed the capabilities of Earth satellites very closely, but don't our satellites do a lot better than basketball court resolution? And if Mars' atmosphere at the surface is the pressure of Earth's atmosphere at 80,000 feet, couldn't a satellite around Mars fly at least as close as Earth's cameras?

This stinks, as I read it anyway.

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 17](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 14:40:46 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 09:32:03 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 15 Apr 2006 17:19:56 +0000
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 10:47:53 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>It is not my intent to offend anyone's belief. But I believe
>>it's time to answer the following:

>>Where is the physical evidence for alleged close encounters
>>between non-humans and humans? Specifically, where is the
>>physical evidence for those close encounters occurring without
>>communication (CE3), or the so-called abductions (CE4), or those
>>which demonstrate communication with understanding by both
>>parties (CE5)?

>Rick,

>Pardon me, but have you read any of the serious literature? Try
>my The UFO Evidence, Volume II which has tons of data. Start
>with physical trade landing cases. That ought to keep you
>occupied for a few years.

First let me thank you for quoting my original post. More later
on that. Second, let me apologize Richard. I apparently offended
you. That really was not my intent.

I am still learning. Please help me here.

I really would like to know why you quote my post, then miss my
point. I asked about CE3, CE4, and CE5. I did not ask about CE1
and CE2. I readily admit to physical evidence in those first and
second types of close encounters. But even your books, which I
am familiar with, do not list empirical, physical evidence,
trace or otherwise, of CE3, CE4, or CE5 events.

All you have, and all I've found to date, is empirical evidence
to back up anecdotal evidence of CE1 and CE2 events.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 17](#)

Re: Milking That Cow - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 15:03:07 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 09:34:16 -0400
Subject: Re: Milking That Cow - Nielsen

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 15 Apr 2006 11:05:03 EDT
>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 11:04:34 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 09:38:37 EDT
>>>Subject: Milking That Cow

>>>Well once again another scam has been uncovered.
>>>Of course I'm referring to the recent 'Alien Autopsy'
>>>controversy.

>>>It's not like it should have taken this long to prove it a fake,
>>>yet it did.

>><snip>

>>>Some of these claims go on for years while their proponents go
>>>on to sell books, do tv specials, even cruise line excursions!
>>>It's either true or not gang. Don't give these stories the
>>>footing to grow the weeds of bewilderment. Put a fork in them as
>>>fast as possible and get on to real hard science.

>>Exactly Greg! How can Ufology expect credibility when those
>>involved don't question everything? How can we expect validity
>>when we accept almost anything?

>Rick, thanks!

<snip>

>Bad investigative skills and an inability to leave their IDs at
>the door (ID as in that thing below the conscious mind).

>We all make boo boos. We should admire that we do and go on.

>I don't expect Ufologists to be any more superior to any other
>group of researchers and groups of people. Ufologists have the
>toughest time of any research group. Little or no financial
>support, public support only if there's a thrill and a laugh in
>it, no government support etc. Ufologists are on their own from
>day one and their only allies are the few colleagues, witnesses,
>public that exhibit the kind of courage and fortitude that move
>us all forward.

Thanks Greg! At times I think that anyone who confronts the poor
conclusions sometimes presented here, gets cast in the same mold
as the unethical folks discussed herein.

All I'm really looking for is more empirical evidence, even
physical trace evidence, to back up all the anecdotal assertions
extant.

I like Stan Friedman's "gray box". I wish more Ufologists had "gray boxes" and _used_ them!

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 17](#)

Re: Alien Intent-Human Explication - Toal

From: Ted Toal <ttoal.nul>
Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 17:15:31 -0700
Fwd Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 09:38:20 -0400
Subject: Re: Alien Intent-Human Explication - Toal

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>Sent: Sunday, April 16, 2006 9:10 AM
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Alien Intent-Human Explication

>Alien Intent-Human Explication

<snip>

>Within these various dogmas lies a common thesis; they are all
>based from "human logic"; therefore, the foundation to which
>they (said dogmas) are predicated is non-existent!

<snip>

>Barring abductions, there isn't enough data to surmise any
>alien ideology of any sort; to suggest otherwise is nonsensical.
>In order to postulate a theorem about an extraterrestrial life
>form at the very least, some facts are obligatory;

<snip>

>Without further data there is only speculation; that
>speculation is futile

<snip>

Frank, you are saying that hypothesizing in the face of inadequate data is futile. If that were true, then surely the science of cosmology is a futile effort. Yet significant progress seems to have been made in that area by people willing to give it a go.

It isn't true that there is NO data feeding ufological hypotheses. There is quite a bit of data. The various hypotheses you cited as useless dogma are not useless; in fact, I'd say we need more of them, BECAUSE we are dealing with an unknown alien ideology.

Let's allow our minds to roam, and then debate and sort through the hypotheses that result, discarding some, keeping others as tentative possible explanations. And as you suggest, we must guard against raising these explanations to the status of dogma.

A particular pet peeve of mine with regards to ufological hypotheses is the absense of attention to the ramifications of growth in scientific and technological knowledge in the area of cellular and neurological biology. We can pretty much bet that if humans can stick around for another 1000 years, we'll have a full understanding of how cells and brains function, and will be able to engineer them at will. Imagine humans a dozen times more intelligent than we are now! Now, how would we react to aliens that were that much smarter? How might they choose to interact with us (or would they)?

Ted Toal

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 18](#)

Re: Milking That Cow - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <alienview.nul>
Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 09:09:59 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 06:39:59 -0400
Subject: Re: Milking That Cow - Lehmborg

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 15:03:07 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 15 Apr 2006 11:05:03 EDT
>>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

<snip>

>I like Stan Friedman's "gray box". I wish more Ufologists had
>"gray boxes" and used them!

Yet, it is Stanton Friedman who is absolutely convinced that some UFOs are not an artifice of humanity. He is not alone. He's joined by others of quality and stature. Why do you suppose that is Mr. Nielsen?

Perhaps you are making assumptions at least as invalid as the ones you decry in others, Sir. Perhaps the mainstream is not remotely reflective of the sensical. Perhaps science is not doing the science it would pretend to specify. Perhaps culture is hostile to the truth and society just another way to legislate a sociopathy of ignorance.

Space, time, and surface area is infinite, at least as far as we are concerned, and I suspect that our potential is ill served acting as if the preceding paragraph is impossible. There is abundant opportunity for much more arrogant than us in this multi-verse to exist, verily, and ourselves? We're only hard evidence of that likelihood.

Things don't happen just once... If we've happened? "Happening" is proved. What can happen has happened... ..will happen.

Moreover, higher level intelligence has occurred on just this planet many, many times... in three of four species of hominid, in molluska, in cetacea, and even in the vine that won't grow out on a dead branch getting ready to fall momentarily.

We're far from being the jewel in God's crown, I suspect. I sense that's where you would place us, and that it is at the root of your ufological discomfort...

alienview.nul
www.AlienView.net
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 18](#)

Re: Detlev Bronk - Boone

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 10:46:08 EDT
Fwd Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 06:43:09 -0400
Subject: Re: Detlev Bronk - Boone

>From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 21:23:07 +0100
>Subject: Detlev Bronk [was: Milking That Cow]

>>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 09:37:46 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Sun, 9 Apr 2006 10:43:11 -0700
>>>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>>I learned surgical techniques and did most of the
>>>male preps. So I have first-hand knowledge and that's why I knew
>>>immediately that the surgeons in the AA were not fake doctors
>>>(sculptors), and if we can trust the cameraman, their names were
>>>Bronk and Williams.

>>Detlev Bronk was certainly a real person, and a highly
>>qualified medical doctor with high-level government connections.
>>I think Bronk was involved in Roswell and find it very
>>suggestive that his diaries for 1947 are missing from his
>>archive. He was, if anything, a compulsive diarist who recorded
>>daily events in great detail.

>>Now this has nothing to do with the AA film, but can be
>>studied independently as part of a Roswell investigation. I
>>personally went looking for his 1947 diaries, found them (and
>>nothing else), missing, and talked to a puzzled archivist who
>>could think of no reason for them not to be there.

>>Bronk would be a good person for someone with the time and
>>resources to do a thorough study of.

>And who better than Stan Friedman, who has devoted so much
>time & energy on MJ-12?

>Why is it "very suggestive" that the 1947 diaries of Detlev
>Bronk are missing? Surely, if he was genuinely involved in
>autopsies of the alien bodies at Roswell he would have had lots
>and lots of medical work, meetings and reports to do during
>1948, 49, 50 and so on, probably for many years. Are the
>diaries for these years also missing?

<snip>

>CDA

Gosh Dr. Bronk's name sounds very familiar. Something from when
I was a kid. I'll have to look more.

Now what gets me is here we have another story of someone else
involved in the Roswell/UFO story whose diaries or records are
missing.

Please correct me if I'm wrong but I'm aware of how the GAO was told by the military that many records from the years of the Roswell incident went missing or were illegally destroyed? If I recall it was the efforts of the late Congressman Steve Shiff who pushed til he got an answer as such? Also, the late Sec. Forrestal. His notes or some such went missing?

How many other instances of 'missing files' are associated with people, groups, institutions of that time period? I'm sure several of you pros has a list if there is a connection. Please share.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 18](#)

Re: Alien Intent-Human Explication - Warren

From: Frank Warren <frank-warren.nul>
Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 08:37:28 -0700
Fwd Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 06:46:17 -0400
Subject: Re: Alien Intent-Human Explication - Warren

>From: Ted Toal <ttoal.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 17:15:31 -0700
>Subject: Re: Alien Intent-Human Explication

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>>Sent: Sunday, April 16, 2006 9:10 AM
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Alien Intent-Human Explication

>>Alien Intent-Human Explication

><snip>

>>Within these various dogmas lies a common thesis; they are all
>>based from "human logic"; therefore, the foundation to which
>>they (said dogmas) are predicated is non-existent!

><snip>

>>Barring abductions, there isn't enough data to surmise any
>>alien ideology of any sort; to suggest otherwise is nonsensical.
>>In order to postulate a theorem about an extraterrestrial life
>>form at the very least, some facts are obligatory;

><snip>

>>Without further data there is only speculation; that
speculation is futile

><snip>

Good Day Ted,

>Frank, you are saying that hypothesizing in the face of
>inadequate data is futile. If that were true, then surely the
>science of cosmology is a futile effort. Yet significant
>progress seems to have been made in that area by people willing
>to give it a go.

In order to form a reasonable hypothesis or theorem "for
something in question" (with any unfamiliar subject) there
certainly has to be an adequate amount of data to draw from;
that fact does not negate a branch of science, i.e., Cosmology.

>It isn't true that there is NO data feeding ufological
>hypotheses. There is quite a bit of data. The various hypotheses
>you cited as useless dogma are not useless; in fact, I'd say we
>need more of them, BECAUSE we are dealing with an unknown alien
>ideology.

<snip>

Hmmm... Ted, perhaps you didn't "get the gist" of the piece; I
never said, "that there is NO data feeding ufological
hypotheses." I have a problem (from a scientific point of view)
with "some" of the conclusions of certain hypotheses,
particularly with a "human explication" when there is clearly
not enough data to support the proposed ideologies.

Cheers ,

Frank

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 18](#)

Re: Detlev Bronk - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 12:37:47 -0300
Fwd Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 06:48:47 -0400
Subject: Re: Detlev Bronk - Friedman

>From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 21:23:07 +0100
>Subject: Detlev Bronk [was: Milking That Cow]

>>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 09:37:46 -0400
>>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <egehrman.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Sun, 9 Apr 2006 10:43:11 -0700
>>>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>>I learned surgical techniques and did most of the
>>>male preps. So I have first-hand knowledge and that's why I knew
>>>immediately that the surgeons in the AA were not fake doctors
>>>(sculptors), and if we can trust the cameraman, their names were
>>>Bronk and Williams.

>>Detlev Bronk was certainly a real person, and a highly
>>qualified medical doctor with high-level government connections.
>>I think Bronk was involved in Roswell and find it very
>>suggestive that his diaries for 1947 are missing from his
>>archive. He was, if anything, a compulsive diarist who recorded
>>daily events in great detail.

>>Now this has nothing to do with the AA film, but can be
>>studied independently as part of a Roswell investigation. I
>>personally went looking for his 1947 diaries, found them (and
>>nothing else), missing, and talked to a puzzled archivist who
>>could think of no reason for them not to be there.

>>Bronk would be a good person for someone with the time and
>>resources to do a thorough study of.

>And who better than Stan Friedman, who has devoted so much time
>& energy on MJ-12?

>Why is it "very suggestive" that the 1947 diaries of Detlev
>Bronk are missing? Surely, if he was genuinely involved in
>autopsies of the alien bodies at Roswell he would have had lots
>and lots of medical work, meetings and reports to do during
>1948, 49, 50 and so on, probably for many years. Are the diaries
>for these years also missing?

>Also, he is alleged to have invented the term "EBE". Have you
>found use of any such term in his diaries? Have you come across
>any reference whatever to Roswell, a crashed UFO, or the alien
>bodies he supposedly studied, in the diaries you have seen? If
>such references are indeed missing (as I assume they are), I
>wonder why.

I did a lot of looking into Bronk more than 20 years ago. He was
not a physician, but a pathologist and biophysicist and very
active in Aviation Biology. He served on a host of boards,
panels, committees, etc including NACA (became a member at same

time as Dr. James Doolittle in 1948), which had been headed by V. Bush and then J. Hunsaker (two other MJ-12 guys). I did visit the Rockefeller Archives, N. Tarrytown, New York which had many of his papers from his days as President of the Rockefeller University.

But he had been president of Johns Hopkins University from 1948-1953, and in Philadelphia for years before that. I am sure that, because of the groups he was connected with, he had all kinds of security clearances (he was actively involved in the activities of the Office of Scientific Research and Development - headed by Van Bush during WW. 2). There were some problems between Johns Hopkins and Rockefeller U about his files. I am dubious about his being involved in an autopsy of an alien. I believe that the document saying so was one of a bunch of false documents received by Tim Cooper. He was not a pathologist. He was very big in neurology and certainly would have been asked for biomedical advice about handling aliens. I must have missed any mention of the facility from which his 1947 diary was supposedly missing. Anybody recall?

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 18](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 12:08:17 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 06:51:14 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kaeser

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 14:40:46 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 15 Apr 2006 17:19:56 +0000
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>>Pardon me, but have you read any of the serious literature?
>>Try my The UFO Evidence, Volume II which has tons of data. Start
>>with physical trade landing cases. That ought to keep you
>>occupied for a few years.

>First let me thank you for quoting my original post. More later
>on that. Second, let me apologize Richard. I apparently offended
>you. That really was not my intent.

>I am still learning. Please help me here.

>I really would like to know why you quote my post, then miss my
>point. I asked about CE3, CE4, and CE5. I did not ask about CE1
>and CE2. I readily admit to physical evidence in those first and
>second types of close encounters. But even your books, which I
>am familiar with, do not list empirical, physical evidence,
>trace or otherwise, of CE3, CE4, or CE5 events.

>All you have, and all I've found to date, is empirical evidence
>to back up anecdotal evidence of CE1 and CE2 events.

Since nearly all evidence in this field is anecdotally based,
I'm not sure what you expect to find. The Volume Richard
mentioned has CE-3 and CE-4 information, but apparently not the
"evidence" you are looking for.

There are no quick answers to your question and referring you to
current literature seems to be the best course for someone who
is seeking generic answers.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 18](#)

Re: Mars Orbiting Cameras Debut As NASA Craft

From: Paul Anderson <paulanderson.nul>
Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 09:24:20 -0700
Fwd Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 06:52:23 -0400
Subject: Re: Mars Orbiting Cameras Debut As NASA Craft

>From: Eleanor White <eleonor.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 17:14:43 -0400
>Subject: Re: Mars Orbiting Cameras Debut As NASA Craft Adjusts Orbit

>I haven't followed the capabilities of Earth satellites very
>closely, but don't our satellites do a lot better than
>basketball court resolution? And if Mars' atmosphere at the
>surface is the pressure of Earth's atmosphere at 80,000 feet,
>couldn't a satellite around Mars fly at least as close as
>Earth's cameras?

>This stinks, as I read it anyway.

The Context camera has to be seen "in context" as it were.
Again, from the same press release:

"The Context Camera will show how smaller areas examined by the High Resolution Imaging Science Experiment camera - which will have the best resolution ever achieved from Mars orbit - and by the mineral-identifying Compact Reconnaissance Imaging Spectrometer fit into the broader landscape. It will also allow scientists to watch for small-scale changes, such as newly cut gullies, in the broader coverage area."

The HiRISE camera, which is the focus of everyone's interest, will be able to resolve the shape of objects smaller than 3 feet, with a corresponding pixel size of only about 12 inches! There are multiple cameras and instruments for different purposes. The first test images from HiRISE, taken from an orbit about 10 times higher than it will be a few months from now (yes, it will be much lower by that time), have already been spectacular, with amazing details seen.

I could understand your criticism if this was the best offering, but the images this fall will be worth the wait. Just like your previous question regarding the lack of biological instruments on the MERs (which are coming on other near-future missions as I mentioned before), a logical progression is being followed, a necessary orbital adjustment in this case, we just need to be patient. The new images however, should be wonderful.

--
The Meridiani Journal
<http://www.themeridianijournal.blogspot.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 18](#)

Secrecy News -- 04/17/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 15:30:45 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 06:54:08 -0400
Subject: Secrecy News -- 04/17/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 46
April 17, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** NARA RELEASES SECOND MEMO ON DOCUMENT RECLASSIFICATION
** DOE INTELLIGENCE EMBRACES DISCREDITED BUDGET SECRECY POLICY

NARA RELEASES SECOND MEMO ON DOCUMENT RECLASSIFICATION

The National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) today released a second newly declassified Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on the withdrawal of government records from its public collections.

National Archivist Allen Weinstein said that he discovered the existence of the second MOU, which was signed by the Central Intelligence Agency and NARA in October 2001, only last Thursday and that he immediately sought its declassification. Another MOU on document withdrawal, signed by Air Force and NARA in March 2002, was released in declassified form last week.

Until its recent discovery by researcher Matthew Aid, with the support of the National Security Archive, the document withdrawal activity at the National Archives had been conducted secretly, as if it were some kind of covert action.

"It is in the interests of both the CIA and the National Archives and Records Administration to avoid the kind of public notice and researcher complaints that may arise from removing from the open shelves for extended periods of time records that had been public available," the 2001 MOU stated.

The resulting firestorm of criticism that has been directed at the National Archives is "absolutely fair," said Archivist Weinstein in a meeting with historians and public interest groups today.

He took responsibility for the affair (which originated prior to his appointment as Archivist). More significantly, he repudiated the underlying practice.

"There can never be a classified aspect to our mission," Weinstein said. "Classified agreements are the antithesis of our reason for being."

"If records must be removed for reasons of national security, the American people will always, at the very least, know when it occurs and how many records are affected."

An audit of the document withdrawal program by the Information Security Oversight Office is expected to be released on April

26.

See this April 17 NARA news release, with links to the newly release MOU and related background material:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/2006/04/nara041706.html>

Beyond the unwarranted secrecy of the document withdrawal program, a deeper problem concerns official policy on classification of historical records.

Since many of the withdrawn documents are publicly accessible elsewhere, their withdrawal provides the public a rare opportunity to evaluate current classification policy as practiced by executive branch agencies. It is not a very satisfactory picture.

One publicly available document that was modified by the Central Intelligence Agency in a revealingly obtuse way was featured in a New York Times story yesterday.

See "Why the Secrecy? Only the Bureaucrats Know" by Scott Shane, New York Times, April 16 (free reg. req'd.):

<http://www.nytimes.com/2006/04/16/weekinreview/16shane.html>

DOE INTELLIGENCE EMBRACES DISCREDITED BUDGET SECRECY POLICY

The Department of Energy Office of Intelligence has reverted to a policy of budget secrecy that it rejected more than a decade ago.

For as long as anyone can remember, the small DOE intelligence unit always had an unclassified budget (around \$40 million in recent years).

"The size of the DOE intelligence budget is not classified because it does not reveal the size or the components of the Department's National Foreign Intelligence Program," wrote John G. Keliher, then-Director of the DOE Office of Nonproliferation and National Security on June 24, 1994.

"The DOE intelligence budget does not disclose any classified information. National security is neither threatened nor damaged as a result of the UNCLASSIFIED intelligence budget released to the public," Mr. Keliher wrote. See his 1994 letter here:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/foia/2002/siebert.html#keliher>

Interestingly, the other member of the U.S. intelligence community with an unclassified budget is the State Department's Bureau of Intelligence and Research (INR).

It may be more than a coincidence that INR and DOE intelligence analysts also distinguished themselves by dissenting from prevailing government views on Iraq's supposed "reconstitution" of its nuclear weapons program.

In 2004, the 9/11 Commission recommended that all U.S. intelligence agencies should do what INR and DOE Intelligence had long done, and disclose their annual budget totals.

"To combat the secrecy and complexity we have described, the overall amounts of money being appropriated for national intelligence and to its component agencies should no longer be kept secret," the Commission wrote in its final report (p. 416).

Other agencies simply ignored the 9/11 Commission's recommendation. But amazingly, DOE responded by doing the exact opposite of what the 9/11 Commission said was necessary.

Boldly striving for mediocrity, DOE began to classify its intelligence budget figure in Fiscal Year 2005.

A longstanding request from Secrecy News for an explanation of DOE's retreat into the budget secrecy that it previously disavowed has gone unanswered.

Instead, DOE officials have sought to purge prior disclosures of intelligence budget information from the agency website. This

material has been recovered here:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/doe/budget.html>

It hardly comes as a surprise that DOE intelligence is now facing a period of internal turmoil.

One possible outcome, "which the DOE Secretary reportedly has approved but not yet initiated, would be to integrate the DOE's Office of Intelligence... and DOE's CI [Counterintelligence] office under a newly created DOE intelligence agency," according to a new Congressional Research Service report.

A copy of the CRS report was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "Intelligence Reform at the Department of Energy: Policy Issues and Organizational Alternatives," April 10, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/intel/RL33355.pdf>

The DOE Office of Classification publishes a newsletter called "CommuniQue," which presents instructional tips for classifiers and declassifiers and provides notification of new and forthcoming classification guides. The latest issue, dated February 2006, is available here:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/othergov/doe/comm0206.pdf>

The possible integration of DOE intelligence and counterintelligence was first reported by Bill Gertz in The Washington Times on February 21.

The Director of the DOE Office of Intelligence is Rolf Mowatt-Larsen.

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 18](#)

Re: Alien Intent-Human Explication - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catja90024.nul>
Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 14:04:56 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 06:58:54 -0400
Subject: Re: Alien Intent-Human Explication - Kasten

>From: Ted Toal <ttoal.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 17:15:31 -0700
>Subject: Re: Alien Intent-Human Explication

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>>Sent: Sunday, April 16, 2006 9:10 AM
>>Subject: Alien Intent-Human Explication

<snip>

>It isn't true that there is NO data feeding ufological
>hypotheses. There is quite a bit of data. The various hypotheses
>you cited as useless dogma are not useless; in fact, I'd say we
>need more of them, BECAUSE we are dealing with an unknown alien
>ideology.

>Now, how would we react to aliens that were that much smarter?
>How might they choose to interact with us (or would they)?

Dear List:

Anybody interested in the topic of interaction with an "alien intelligence" might want to start with Terence McKenna's suggestion as to how 'alien intelligences' are interacting with humans. See especially, The Archaic Revival, Chapter 5: A Conversation Over Saucers.

Then, there is Daniel Deardorff's The Other Within, that might be helpful.

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 18](#)

Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos

From: **Santiago Yturria Garza** <syturria.nul>
Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 22:22:16 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 07:04:16 -0400
Subject: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos

The Finnish Air Force made an important disclosure presenting a video of an incident involving a military jet fighter and a UFO. According to Tapani Koivula, chairman of the Finnish research group FUFORA, the encounter took place on April 27, 2005 and it was recorded by the infrared camera aboard the fighter.

There was another UFO incident also recorded on November 2003 and both cases were disclosed by the Finnish Air Force. Researcher Tapani Koivula received the files and footage for further analysis.

In his report Mr. Koivula mentioned that, "No normal explanations have been found, neither by officials nor UFO researchers". The Mexican Air Force UFO case was discussed by them as the UFO event was also recorded on infrared camera while flying over the Campeche aerial space.

This is an important advance in the efforts for a global UFO Disclosure by the military institutions all over the world.

The Finnish Air Force UFO videoclip may be seen at the FUFORA website along with the complete report.

<http://www.suomenufotutkijat.fi/infraredvideo.htm>

Thanks to Mr. Tapani Koivula, FUFORA and Brazilian researcher Jaime Rangel for sharing this information.

Santiago

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 18](#)

The Birth Of The CIA And Flying Saucers Part I

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 07:11:37 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 07:11:37 -0400
Subject: The Birth Of The CIA And Flying Saucers Part I

Source: American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/g8r87>

April 17, 2006

The Birth Of The CIA And Flying Saucers - Part I
Frank Warren

There's been a lot of speculation in regards to the irony of the "birth of the CIA" (formerly, The Central Intelligence Group [CIG]) in September of 1947, coinciding with all the "flying saucer (UFO) activity" beginning (publicly speaking) in June of that year; starting with Kenneth Arnold's sighting near Mt Rainier, to the "crashed discs" in the area of Roswell, New Mexico. Some have claimed, that the sole purpose in creating the CIA was to investigate the "flying saucer" (UFO) phenomenon.

That, of course is not the case. "CIG" and then later the "CIA," were born primarily out of the realization of the importance of a "post war" intelligence gathering agency, similar to it's "war time" predecessor, the "OSS," and President Truman's frustration with being "out of the intelligence loop," as "Vice-President." In addition to the difficulty it had been for him to obtain information from the various government departments, each of which seemed "walled off" from the others.

At that time, by many accounts, he had been surprised to discover how much information relating to intelligence and national security matters had been "withheld" from him. The most dramatic evidence of how ill-informed he was came on his 12th day in office when "Secretary of War Henry Stimson" briefed him for the first time on the "Manhattan Project" (atomic bomb), about which Truman had heard only hints while serving as Vice-President and on key Senate committees. (David McCullough, Truman, Simon and Shuster, New York, 1992, pgs. 376-378.)

It is interesting to note however, that both CIG and the OSS did in fact investigate UFOs individually. The OSS investigated what US pilots had nicknamed "Foo Fighters" (UFOs trailing our aircraft during World War II), fearing that these objects could be a "new secret weapon" from either Germany or Japan. The OSS also investigated possible sightings of German V-1 and V-2 rockets before their operational use during the war. (Jacobs, UFO Controversy, p. 33.) The Central Intelligence Group, the predecessor of the CIA, also monitored reports of "ghost rockets" in Sweden in 1946. (CIG, Intelligence Report, 9 April 1947.)

The CIA's "official" position on the "investigation of UFOs" from a historical stand point is this:

Although it had "monitored" UFO reports for at least three years, (49 to 52) CIA reacted to the new rash of sightings by forming a special study group within the Office of Scientific Intelligence (OSI) and the Office of Current Intelligence (OCI)

to review the situation. (Gerald K. Haines, National Reconnaissance Office historian, Ralph L. Clark, Acting Assistant Director, OSI, memorandum to DDI Robert Amory, Jr., 29 July 1952.)

"OSI" and "OCI" were in the Directorate of Intelligence. Established in 1948, OSI served as the CIA's focal point for the analysis of foreign scientific and technological developments. In 1980, OSI was merged into the Office of Science and Weapons Research. The Office of Current Intelligence (OCI), established on 15 January 1951 was to provide all-source current intelligence to the President and the National Security Council.

Until 1952, one could conclude, "based on these statements," that the CIA had no "direct involvement" in the investigation of "flying saucers," other than a monitoring position of other agencies, i.e., the Air Force's Project SIGN (initially named Project SAUCER) to "collect, collate, evaluate, and distribute" within the government all information relating to such sightings, on the premise that UFOs might be real and of national security concern. (Jacobs, The UFO Controversy, p. 156 and Quintanilla, The Investigation of UFOs, p. 97.)

Amid mounting UFO sightings, the Air Force continued to collect and evaluate UFO data in the late 1940s under a new project, "GRUDGE", which tried to alleviate public anxiety over UFOs via a PR campaign designed to persuade the masses that UFOs constituted nothing unusual or extraordinary. UFO sightings were explained as "balloons, conventional aircraft, planets, meteors, optical illusions, solar reflections," or even "large hailstones." They recommended that the project be reduced in scope because the very existence of Air Force official interest encouraged people to believe in UFOs and contributed to a "war hysteria" atmosphere. On December 27, 1949, the Air Force announced the project's termination. (Air Force, Projects GRUDGE and BLUEBOOK Reports 1-12, National Investigations Committee on Aerial Phenomena, Washington, DC, 1968 and Jacobs, The UFO Controversy, pgs. 50-54.)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 18](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 17:27:15 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 07:13:36 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 14:56:26 -0300
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 15 Apr 2006 17:19:56 +0000
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 10:47:53 -0700 (PDT)
>>>Subject: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>It is not my intent to offend anyone's belief. But I believe
>>>it's time to answer the following:

>>>Where is the physical evidence for alleged close encounters
>>>between non-humans and humans? Specifically, where is the
>>>physical evidence for those close encounters occurring without
>>>communication (CE3), or the so-called abductions (CE4), or those
>>>which demonstrate communication with understanding by both
>>>parties (CE5)?

>>Pardon me, but have you read any of the serious literature? Try
>>my The UFO Evidence, Volume II which has tons of data. Start
>>with physical trade landing cases. That ought to keep you
>>occupied for a few years.

<snip>

>More and more often I find myself loosing patience with those
>who haven't done their homework and then ask where's the proof.

Don:

Please review my first post. I'm not asking for more proof of
CE1's and CE2's. I readily admit to empirical proof backing up
all those anecdotes. It's CE3/4/5 proof I'm looking for.

And I'm not the only one. And yes, this question has been asked
before and it will be raised again, until answered
satisfactorily.

Don't you agree?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 18](#)

2006 Australian National UFO Conference

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 07:35:59 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 07:35:59 -0400
Subject: 2006 Australian National UFO Conference

Source: Macarthur Chronicle - Campbelltown, New South Wales,
Australia
<http://tinyurl.com/mhomd>

17Apr06

The Truth Is Out There

Alien abductions and time-space discontinuity are set to knock off the Wests Tigers as Campbelltown's number-one conversation topic for a weekend in May.

Campbelltown Catholic Club will host the 2006 National UFO Conference, where Australian and international experts will exchange ideas and present evidence on all things extraterrestrial.

Organiser Attila Kaldy, co-founder of the UFO Society of Western Sydney, said he wanted to host the conference in Campbelltown because the Macarthur region was a UFO hotspot.

"There's been a lot of really bizarre stuff happening, especially around Razorback," he said.

"In one case, a gentleman was travelling at The Oaks on his way to work, when lights descended from the night and came towards him.

"At first he thought it was a semi trailer ... but then the lights parted and scattered in all directions around his car. He can't account for about half an hour. It was a horrifying experience for him."

The conference's star guest is journalist, broadcaster and self-proclaimed UFO expert Jaime Maussan, who has previously anchored Mexico's version of 60 Minutes.

He will be flown to Campbelltown especially for the conference.

Mr Kaldy said Maussan had unearthed startling videos of bright lights criss-crossing in the sky, which the Mexican military had shot using infrared cameras.

"These lights weren't visible to the naked eye - it's like a form of camouflage that they use. Really, those things could be anywhere at any given time," Mr Kaldy said.

Other speakers include NSW UFO Investigation Centre co-ordinator Bill Chalker, Dominic McNamara from the Disclosure Project and Australian UFOlogist magazine editor Diane Frola.

The National UFO Conference will be held at Campbelltown Catholic Club on Saturday, May 6, starting at 9am.

Entry is \$50 for a single person and \$100 for a family of four. For more information visit www.ufosociety.com.au

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 18](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 11:17:24 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 07:38:11 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Hall

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 14:40:46 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 15 Apr 2006 17:19:56 +0000
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>Where is the physical evidence for alleged close encounters
>>>between non-humans and humans? Specifically, where is the
>>>physical evidence for those close encounters occurring without
>>>communication (CE3), or the so-called abductions (CE4), or those
>>>which demonstrate communication with understanding by both
>>>parties (CE5)?

Rick,

I pointed you to a starting place for finding physical evidence cases as per the first sentence of your query above. You will also find humanoid alien reports that include craft-like objects and physical traces, and data on abduction cases sometimes with elements of physical evidence. I have seen the types of puncture marks reported by abductees for myself, for example. Budd Hopkins has reported numerous physical evidence cases, some verified by medical personnel.

>>Pardon me, but have you read any of the serious literature? Try >>my The UFO Evidence, Volume II which has tons of data. Start >>with physical trade landing cases. That ought to keep you >>occupied for a few years.

Better yet (and cheaper) simply refer to the NICAP web site (www.nicap.org) section on cases by types. All laid out very nicely for you.

>First let me thank you for quoting my original post. More later >on that. Second, let me apologize Richard. I apparently offended >you. That really was not my intent.

Offense has nothing to do with it. Think logic and reason.

>I am still learning. Please help me here.

>I really would like to know why you quote my post, then miss my >point. I asked about CE3, CE4, and CE5. I did not ask about CE1 >and CE2. I readily admit to physical evidence in those first and >second types of close encounters. But even your books, which I >am familiar with, do not list empirical, physical evidence, >trace or otherwise, of CE3, CE4, or CE5 events.

You must not be familiar with the UFO Evidence, Vol. II in the sense of actually having read or studied it.

>All you have, and all I've found to date, is empirical evidence >to back up anecdotal evidence of CE1 and CE2 events.

Not true. Maybe you haven't found it yet, but perhaps you need

to look more diligently.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 18](#)

The Truth Is Up There

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 08:17:44 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 08:17:44 -0400
Subject: The Truth Is Up There

Source: The Herald-Mail - Hagerstown, Maryland, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/zb33v>

Tuesday April 18, 2006

The Truth Is Up There
by Nick Ritchick

One April night, the Socorro, N.M., police department received a radio call from Sgt. Lonnie Zamora. Zamora said that while investigating a loud roar at a dynamite storage shack, he encountered a strange scene.

What he first thought to be an overturned car with an exploded gas tank turned out to be an oval-shaped object about the size of a car, with legs that extended to the ground.

According to UFO Casebook magazine's Web site (ufocasebook.com), Zamora reported the object had no windows or doors and had a red insignia on the side. Two child-sized people in white coveralls stood nearby.

Zamora told his dispatcher he was going to go closer to investigate. But he heard a loud roar and saw a blue-orange flame at the bottom of the object. Then the object rose into the air and flew away.

Air Force and FBI investigators arrived on the scene within a few days, gathered evidence and spoke to witnesses. After two years, Air Force investigator Hector Quintanilla, Jr., released his surprising conclusion.

"There is... no question about Zamora's reliability," Quintanilla reported. "... we have been unable, in spite of thorough investigation, to find the vehicle or other stimulus that scared Zamora to the point of panic."

Weird science?

According to the National UFO Reporting Center (www.nuforc.org), there were 3,999 unidentified flying object (UFO) reports in the year 2005. Some of these reports, according to Bruce Maccabee, former president of Mutual UFO Network, Maryland chapter, remain unexplained.

"Most cases - 70-80-90 percent - you can reasonably explain," said Maccabee, of Thurmont, Md., in a phone interview last week. "But maybe 5 percent do not fit."

Maccabee, a civilian physicist working with the U.S. Navy, said he has been investigating UFO reports since the 1970s. He said the U.S. government has investigated UFOs since the first sightings were reported in newspapers a few years after World War II.

"The government thought maybe the Russians had leapfrogged our technology. These craft were probably nuclear powered. The government was naturally worried, at the beginning of what is called the Cold War," Maccabee said. "Air Force pilots were also involved. FBI was involved to find out if there was any communist activity."

Government investigators discounted most UFO reports, but a few were truly strange. But they told the public differently, according to Maccabee.

Maccabee has been interested in these unidentified aircraft since he was a teenager in the 1950s. During this era, newspaper reports of the UFO appearances caught the interests of many people. He volunteered with the Washington, D.C., office of the National Investigating Committee on Aerial Phenomena (NICAP), and began investigating reported sightings.

"That's where the rubber hits the road - witnesses who are telling the truth as they know it, or just plain hoaxing," he said. "Where I investigated, they were telling the truth as they know it."

"But it's possible to misperceive. You know there are stars and planets up there - aircraft with lights on. If the light was traveling along and made a right-angle turn, it wasn't a star, wasn't a meteor. Sometimes it takes days or weeks or years. After you spend time and you have no other explanation that fits, you can say it might be unidentifiable. This is the scientific method."

Maccabee has published several books and reports on UFO investigations, including "UFO FBI Connection," which details early FBI investigations. To visit Maccabee's Web site, go to www.brumac.8k.com.

Read about thought-provoking UFO reports such as Lonnie Zamora's in "Mysteries of Mind, Space and Time: The Unexplained." And, for fun, find good UFO fiction in "Bruce Coville's Book of Aliens 1" and "Bruce Coville's Book of Aliens 2."

You can believe me or not, but there are reports of flying objects that cannot be explained. Next time you're outside at night and see stars, just think to yourself that maybe not all of those lights are stars.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 18](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 07:57:16 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 08:22:53 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kaeser

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 17:27:15 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>Don:

>Please review my first post. I'm not asking for more proof of
>CE1's and CE2's. I readily admit to empirical proof backing up
>all those anecdotes. It's CE3/4/5 proof I'm looking for.

>And I'm not the only one. And yes, this question has been asked
>before and it will be raised again, until answered
>satisfactorily.

>Don't you agree?

Rick,

I would suggest that you sit back and enjoy the show. This List is not a group that needs to be led by the nose toward conclusions, and the evidence you ask for does not exist to meet what appear to be your criteria.

If you would clearly define what you mean by "evidence", since anecdotal information clearly doesn't appear to meet your definition, and any 'scoop' marks on the bodies of abductees, or statements of physical damage, would all come down to anecdotal descriptions of what they believe happened, so where would that leave you?

The tone in your posts is a bit condescending, IMO, as an adult would in trying to provide guidance to children. I would suspect that some of us have been involved in this genre since before you were born, and I wouldn't assume that we simply weren't paying attention in class.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 18](#)

Re: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos - Smith

From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 10:18:27 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
Fwd Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 10:59:53 -0400
Subject: Re: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos - Smith

>From: Santiago Yturria Garza <syturria.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 22:22:16 +0000
>Subject: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos

>In his report Mr. Koivula mentioned that, "No normal
>explanations have been found, neither by officials nor UFO
>researchers". The Mexican Air Force UFO case was discussed by
>them as the UFO event was also recorded on infrared camera while
>flying over the Campeche aerial space.

>The Finnish Air Force UFO videoclip may be seen at the FUFORA
>website along with the complete report.

><http://www.suomenufotutkijat.fi/infraredvideo.htm>

Actually it seems the "full" report is only in Finnish. The
English version is only a snippet.

<http://www.suomenufotutkijat.fi/infrapunavideo.htm>

The video clip is unimpressive since it has no context (clouds,
mountains, oceans) or on-screen encoding of direction/
position/magnification. One image on the web page shows this
data blacked out, I guess that helps prevent any real analysis).

I prefer the Campeche "oil well flares" for impressiveness or
even the balloon fleets.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 18](#)

Spirits In The Sky

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 11:03:44 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 11:03:44 -0400
Subject: Spirits In The Sky

Source: The Advertiser - Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

<http://tinyurl.com/powsl>

18apr06

Spirits In The Sky

Could aliens be our ancestors? A growing number of UFO cults think so, reports Tori Shepherd

Across Australia, people are looking to the skies for a saviour. Many people have seen objects in the sky that they could not identify, and many believe that we are not alone in the universe.

But there are also people who have built spiritual belief systems around the idea that aliens once came to Earth, and will return one day to take them away to a better place.

The Raelians, who had their "annual awakening seminar" earlier this month in Queensland, are a prominent group in Australia that believe aliens created them and will return.

These are most commonly referred to as UFO cults, the most infamous of which is Heaven's Gate, whose 39 members committed suicide in 1997 in the hope that their souls would catch a ride to the Kingdom of Heaven on a passing spaceship. But Monash University sociology professor Gary Bouma said religions based on UFOs are an "exceedingly tiny fraction" of religious groups.

Professor Bouma, an expert on religion and society, said they were "one of the absolute fringes of spirituality".

"It's simply a tiny little group pursuing an esoteric idea. Life has been full of them, they've come and gone," he said.

"They never stand up against the mainstream, for a whole variety of reasons."

But since space travel began in the 1950s, the idea of extraterrestrials has taken hold of people's imaginations.

Films like Close Encounters of the Third Kind and television series like The X-Files have made aliens and UFOs a part of popular culture.

There is even a predominant image of an alien - a small, grey creature with big, dark eyes.

And with new technology such as the internet, small groups can have a large and enduring presence.

The Raelians are one group that has used the internet to become a worldwide phenomenon. They have an international headquarters in Switzerland, and offices all over the world, from Afghanistan

to Zimbabwe.

They claim there are up to 70,000 Raelians worldwide, with about 500 in Australia. A registered non-profit organisation, their main aim is "to create peace on Earth".

The group was founded in 1973 by Rael, a French journalist formerly known as Claude Vorilhon.

He said he had met extraterrestrials who told him to build an embassy to await their return to Earth.

He claimed they had created humanity, and would return to elevate them to a higher evolutionary plane sometime before 2035. But members of many groups deny that the word "cult" applies to them, and would prefer to be called religions, if anything.

This is a complicated idea. The High Court of Australia defines religion as "a complex of beliefs and practices which point to a set of values and an understanding of the meaning of existence". By this definition, most groups with any organised spirituality should be referred to as religions.

The proliferation of magazines, books, and internet sites on the subjects suggests most groups referred to as "cults" are not just brainwashed followers of charismatic leaders, but diverse groups of people looking for spiritual guidance. The Raelians gained media exposure through their claims to have cloned humans, and they have also weighed into the Intelligent Design debate with their version of the origin of life. Roy Tyrrell is a "guide" with the Raelians - a position which he likens to being a priest.

He said the Raelians believe "that life . . . was a scientific creation".

"We believe that beings, whom we call the Elohim (which translates as 'those who come from the sky') came to the planet, and with the synthesis of DNA they were able to create life."

"They created man after their likeness, so we look like the Elohim," he said.

This theory of the origins of humanity is common in UFO cults and is often referred to as the Ancient Astronaut theory. Its most famous proponent was Erich Von Daniken, whose 1969 book *Chariots of the Gods? Was God an Astronaut?* was a bestseller.

Von Daniken argued that aliens were a hidden force behind the history of humankind, responsible for ancient civilisations. He suggests that, once upon a time, there was a war between two worlds, and the defeated race concealed themselves on Earth.

These astronaut refugees then contacted the "feeble hominids" (our ancestors) and decided to help them.

So they mated with the hunter-gatherers and produced a superior race of human beings.

VON Daniken offered proof of his theory in the form of cave drawings that he claimed looked like astronauts, complete with helmets and spacesuits.

Mr Tyrrell said Von Daniken has "provided evidence of the existence of extraterrestrials doing things on the planet in the past".

He said this supported the Raelians claim that the Elohim had been here.

Professor Bauma said that these extraterrestrial theories of the origins of life are "an interesting but trivial phenomenon", although for some people they are "a way of making sense of the world around them".

"Von Daniken said if you look at certain things a certain way they look a bit like astronauts," he said. "I can't imagine that he has any current credibility."

He said that some people "seem to be vulnerable to some kinds of

charismatic leaders", and that people should be wary of people trying to convert them to unconventional religions.

[UFO UpDates thanks Greg Boone for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 19](#)

Re: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 12:15:12 -0300
Fwd Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 10:14:16 -0400
Subject: Re: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos - Ledger

>From: Santiago Yturria Garza <syturria.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 22:22:16 +0000
>Subject: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos

>The Finnish Air Force made an important disclosure presenting a
>video of an incident involving a military jet fighter and a UFO.
>According to Tapani Koivula, chairman of the Finnish research
>group FUFORA, the encounter took place on April 27, 2005 and it
>was recorded by the infrared camera aboard the fighter.

<snip>

>Thanks to Mr. Tapani Koivula, FUFORA and brazilian researcher
>Jaime Rangel for sharing this information.

Hola Santiago,

Is there any contact information for Mr. Tapani Koivula, FUFORA.
I'd like to get additional information, such as the location of
the sighting, the directions, altitude, speeds, aircraft type
and conditions etc.

Best,

Don Ledger

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 19](#)

Re: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos -

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <brumac.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 12:31:55 -0400
Fwd Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 10:19:05 -0400
Subject: Re: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos -

>From: Santiago Yturria Garza <syturria.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 22:22:16 +0000
>Subject: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos

>The Finnish Air Force made an important disclosure presenting a
>video of an incident involving a military jet fighter and a UFO.
>According to Tapani Koivula, chairman of the Finnish research
>group FUFORA, the encounter took place on April 27, 2005 and it
>was recorded by the infrared camera aboard the fighter.

<snip>

>The Finnish Air Force UFO videoclip may be seen at the FUFORA
>website along with the complete report.

><http://www.suomenufotutkijat.fi/infraredvideo.htm>

>Thanks to Mr. Tapani Koivula, FUFORA and brazilian researcher
>Jaime Rangel for sharing this information.

The video by itself is not sufficient information for a decision
as to what the image might be. It appears dark against a bright
screen.

What type of equipment was used? Is there elevation and azimuth
data (relative to the airplane) as there was in the case of the
2004 Mexican AF video?

One needs the whole context to provide a proper evaluation. Was
the object also on radar? Was there anything visible to the naked
eye? What time of day was it? And so on.....

Seems to me there was an infrared object picked up over London
by people flying in a helicopter a few year ago... or was that
visible - I don't remember.

You may recall that only by studying everything recorded in the
Mexican case was it possible to propose an explanation for the
"lights" (distant oil field fires and perhaps other ground
sources of heat) which was never disproved by the Mexican Air
Force. The initial radar target remains unexplained. See:

<http://brumac.8k.com/MexicanDOD5mar04>

and download the complete report.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 19](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 13:34:46 -0300
Fwd Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 10:25:05 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 17:27:15 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 14:56:26 -0300
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Sat, 15 Apr 2006 17:19:56 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>>Date: Sat, 8 Apr 2006 10:47:53 -0700 (PDT)
>>>>Subject: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>>It is not my intent to offend anyone's belief. But I
>>>>believe it's time to answer the following:

>>>>Where is the physical evidence for alleged close
>>>>encounters between non-humans and humans? Specifically,
>>>>where is the physical evidence for those close
>>>>encounters occurring without communication (CE3), or the
>>>>so-called abductions (CE4), or those which demonstrate
>>>>communication with understanding by both parties (CE5)?

>>>>Pardon me, but have you read any of the serious
>>>>literature? Try my The UFO Evidence, Volume II which has
>>>>tons of data. Start with physical trade landing cases.
>>>>That ought to keep you occupied for a few years.

><snip>

>>More and more often I find myself loosing patience with
>>those who haven't done their homework and then ask where's
>>the proof.

>Don:

>Please review my first post. I'm not asking for more proof of
>CE1's and CE2's. I readily admit to empirical proof backing
>up all those anecdotes. It's CE3/4/5 proof I'm looking for.
>And I'm not the only one. And yes, this question has been
>asked before and it will be raised again, until answered
>satisfactorily. Don't you agree?

No I don't. What do you call proof? You have not indicated
whether you have done any research in this area or not. If so
what did your research consist of? If you have done your
homework you would be as aware as I am that there is never a
smoking gun, there are no fingerprints, no videos of an
encounter or abduction. But there is some trace evidence and
quality anecdotal evidence. I know that there have been studies
done about the degree of reliability of witnesses to an event;

I've seen some of them myself. But what you don't see are the studies done about witnesses to events of a highly emotional nature which focuses the witnesses attention over a period of time not just a chance glance. These prove to be more fruitful.

Let me ask you this. Have you, do you, actually go out there in the field and do interviews with the witnesses? There are those that read data, and there are those who read data and obtain fresh data.

My point was that it's not up to us to convince you of anything. Do your work and make up your mind then. Once made up, if it's a negative for you, then move onto something else, like collecting match books or writing about the factual accuracy of the bible. We really don't need someone else asking the same tired questions with clockwork regularity. I didn't get on this list or others or write books about the phenomenon until I had been looking into it for many years. At that point I'd come to my own decisions about certain aspects of it, some for, some against. But what I didn't do was ask the blanket question you posed that began this thread beginning with quote, "It is not my intent to offend anyone's belief." You just offended me with your attempt to make this a religion.

Then you went on to say, "But I believe it's time to answer the following:"

What do you mean it's time. What in Sam Hill's name do you think we are trying to do here? From the research I've done with the live witnesses - you know, the people across the table from me - over the years in close-encounter cases and abductee experiences I have little hesitation in stating that I'm convinced of the validity of that side of the phenomenon. But that's just me - I can't speak for the others. It's been my experience however, that those that ask a blanket question such as your own, never actually get any further into the field than arm's reach from the computer's keyboard.

Finally, you can find out a lot about my time in this field but I know nothing of your background [though your responses are clone-like] as has been the case with several others who have posed similar questions on this List.

And finally, this quote, "And yes, this question has been asked before and it will be raised again, until answered satisfactorily."

What question and to whose satisfaction? I've never heard of you. Why do I have to satisfy you? Are you an abductee? Do you play guitar in Cheap Trick?

Don Ledger

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 19](#)

Mysterious Sky Press Release

From: Philip Mantle <philip.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 18:10:57 +0100
Fwd Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 10:28:37 -0400
Subject: Mysterious Sky Press Release

Mysterious Sky
Soviet UFO Phenomenon
By Philip Mantle & Paul Stonehill

The long awaited new book by international UFO researchers Philip Mantle & Paul Stonehill will be published on 29th of March 2006 by PublishAmerica. The book will be available in all good book stores, or online via PublishAmerica, or other usual online outlets such as Amazon.

Mysterious Sky takes an in-depth look at the UFO phenomenon from behind the former iron curtain. With historical material the authors set the scene and track the UFO phenomenon right through to today's modern Russia. The authors have called upon their own extensive research material plus that of many UFO researchers within the former Soviet Union, to publish what is the first collaboration between East & West. Fully illustrated and at only \$24.95, Mysterious Sky is a must read for both the avid UFO researcher or casual reader of the UFO genre. Review copies can be requested via e-mail at: support.nul or fax: 001 301-631-9073.

Philip Mantle is from the UK and is an international UFO researcher, author, lecturer & broadcaster. He can be contacted via e-mail at: philip.nul

Paul Stonehill is originally from the Ukraine but he now resides in the USA. An international author, lecturer & broadcaster he can be contacted via e-mail at: rurcla.nul

www.publishamerica.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 19](#)

Rectangular Ruins In Arabia Terra On Mars?

From: Paul Anderson <paulanderson.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 10:17:33 -0700
Fwd Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 10:30:00 -0400
Subject: Rectangular Ruins In Arabia Terra On Mars?

This image has been around a few years apparently, but I hadn't seen it discussed anywhere before, until just recently in the last few days in various space-related forums.

A very interesting rectangular looking formation, at the top of the image strip, mostly buried under sand? The remaining "walls" appear to form an almost perfect rectangle. If a fluke of nature, then the geometry is rather impressive:

<http://ida.wr.usgs.gov/html/e10004/e1000462.html>

The indexed page (e1000462.html) was last modified on August 5, 2004:

<http://ida.wr.usgs.gov/html/e10004>

The image itself (e1000462a.jpg) was last modified on October 31, 2002:

<http://ida.wr.usgs.gov/fullres/divided/e10004>

I did a quick overlay of the feature, illustrating the apparent near-perfect rectangular shape (compared with the cropped zoom of the original image). Also of interest, if you look at the "curved ridge" inside the lower part of the rectangle, the points where the curve touch the "walls" would intersect the walls just about at mid-centre on each of those two sides:

http://www3.telus.net/paulanderson/rectangleoverlay_e1000462a.gif

http://www3.telus.net/paulanderson/rectangle_e1000462a.jpg

The whole long image strip (on the main page, first link) is full of interesting, if more natural-looking, features. No claims being made here, just an interesting oddity worth a closer look (submitting a request for this as an MRO public target might be a good idea I think...).

--
The Meridiani Journal
<http://www.themeridianijournal.blogspot.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 19](#)

Secrecy News -- 04/18/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 13:00:51 -0400
Fwd Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 10:32:17 -0400
Subject: Secrecy News -- 04/18/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 47
April 18, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** DOD SEEKS NEW FOIA EXEMPTION FOR UNCLASSIFIED WMD INFO
** GAO VIEWS SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED INFO
** THE AIPAC CASE: THE DEFENSE STRIKES BACK
** AIR FORCE ISSUES DOCTRINE ON HOMELAND OPERATIONS
** REVERENCE FOR THE LAW, AND STATUTORY INTERPRETATION

DOD SEEKS NEW FOIA EXEMPTION FOR UNCLASSIFIED WMD INFO

The Department of Defense is seeking a broad new exemption from the Freedom of Information Act for unclassified information relating to weapons of mass destruction.

According to the proposed legislation, "Examples of such information could include ... formulas and design descriptions of lethal and incapacitating materials; maps, designs, security/emergency response plans, and vulnerability assessments for facilities containing weapons of mass destruction materials."

See the DoD legislative proposal here:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/2006/04/dodfoia.html>

The proposal is puzzling because most such information, including that which is not classified, is already exempt from the FOIA. Meanwhile, some related categories of information that are not exempt should arguably remain public.

The draft DoD language "is so broad as to potentially sweep everything related to any chemical facility into the exemption," said Meredith Fuchs, general counsel at the National Security Archive. "There is nothing in here that explicitly protects the public's need to know some things about these facilities, e.g. violations of the law, lack of required certifications or licenses."

Furthermore, she said, "the lack of a temporal limit on the withholdability of the information, and the lack of any appeals mechanism, creates a potential black hole."

She suggested that any such exemption should be more narrowly "focused on what they actually are trying to protect, which I think is vulnerability information DOD learns of regarding private facilities."

The draft DoD FOIA exemption was first reported in "DOD Asks For New FOIA Exemption Covering WMD-Related Information" by Keith

Costa, Inside the Pentagon, April 13:

<http://defense.iwpnewsstand.com/insider.asp?issue=04132006sr>

GAO VIEWS SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED INFO

The challenges posed by the use of "sensitive but unclassified" control markings were examined in a comprehensive new report from the Government Accountability Office.

"The agencies that GAO reviewed are using 56 different sensitive but unclassified designations (16 of which belong to one agency) to protect information that they deem critical to their missions -- for example, sensitive law or drug enforcement information or controlled nuclear information."

"For most designations there are no governmentwide policies or procedures that describe the basis on which an agency should assign a given designation and ensure that it will be used consistently from one agency to another. Without such policies, each agency determines what designations and associated policies to apply to the sensitive information it develops or shares. More than half the agencies reported challenges in sharing such information."

See "Information Sharing: The Federal Government Needs to Establish Policies and Processes for Sharing Terrorism-Related and Sensitive but Unclassified Information," March 2006 (1.8 MB PDF):

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/gao/sensitive2.pdf>

The Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI) is currently coordinating an effort to standardize governmentwide procedures for the handling of "sensitive but unclassified" information.

But the ODNI rather impudently refused to cooperate with the GAO because "the review of intelligence activities is beyond the GAO's purview," according to Kathleen Turner of the ODNI Office of Legislative Affairs.

The Project on Government Oversight dissected the matter here:

http://pogoblog.typepad.com/pogo/2006/04/director_of_nat.html

See also "Report criticizes U.S. terror info sharing" by Shaun Waterman, United Press International, April 18:

<http://www.wpherald.com/storyview.php?StoryID060418-102544-4064r>

THE AIPAC CASE: THE DEFENSE STRIKES BACK

Attorneys for two former officials of the American Israel Public Affairs Committee accused of mishandling classified information vigorously rebutted the latest prosecution arguments against their clients in an April 6 pleading.

"In the history of the Espionage Act, the government has never embarked on a prosecution like this one -- of private citizens outside government, not accused of espionage, for receiving and transmitting oral information in the context of their jobs as foreign policy advocates protected by the First Amendment," the defense argued.

The defense brief disputed the prosecution's new claim that a 1940 case known as Gorin was a pertinent precedent to the current case. In that earlier case, the defendant was a foreign agent who paid his sources for access to restricted information. But unlike Gorin, the present defendants are not accused of being foreign agents, nor of bribing or coercing the disclosure of information.

See "Defendants' Reply to Government's Supplemental Response to Motion to Dismiss," April 6, 2006 (1 MB PDF):

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/jud/rosen040606.pdf>

An earlier defense brief on related matters, dated March 31 and initially filed under seal, is now available here (2.2 MB PDF):

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/jud/rosen033106.pdf>

Recent news coverage of the case includes "Leak Flap Seen Aiding Lobbyists' Case" by Marc Perelman, Forward, April 14:

<http://www.forward.com/articles/7655>

AIR FORCE ISSUES DOCTRINE ON HOMELAND OPERATIONS

The U.S. Air Force has produced a new doctrinal document that articulates its role in "homeland operations."

"This document describes how our Air Force organizes and employs air and space power in operations in the homeland."

"It focuses on how we support civilian agencies through the appropriate combatant commander in a variety of operations, such as neutralizing terrorist threats, responding to natural disasters, and supporting the traditional mission of homeland defense."

See "Homeland Operations," Air Force Doctrine Document AFDD 2-10, March 21, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/usaf/afdd2-10.pdf>

REVERENCE FOR THE LAW, AND STATUTORY INTERPRETATION

The best way to ensure the perpetuation of democratic institutions in turbulent times, said Abraham Lincoln in an 1838 speech, is to cultivate a sense of reverence for the law.

"Let reverence for the laws be breathed by every American mother to the lisping babe that prattles on her lap -- let it be taught in schools, in seminaries, and in colleges; let it be written in Primers, spelling books, and in Almanacs; -- let it be preached from the pulpit, proclaimed in legislative halls, and enforced in courts of justice."

"And, in short, let it become the political religion of the nation," said the 28 year old Lincoln.

<http://showcase.netins.net/web/creative/lincoln/speeches/lyceum.htm>

A newly updated report from the Congressional Research Service introduces lay readers to the principles of statutory interpretation used by the Supreme Court to interpret the law.

Some of these rules are commonsensical. Thus, ordinarily, "shall" is mandatory and "may" is permissive.

Others are less obvious but no less important. The principle of "constitutional avoidance," for example, dictates that a statute should be read, "if fairly possible," so as not to be found unconstitutional.

At Congressional direction, CRS does not make its products directly available to the American public. But a copy of the new CRS report was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "Statutory Interpretation: General Principles and Recent Trends," updated March 30, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/misc/97-589.pdf>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 19](#)

Old Abduction Case?

From: Luis R. Gonzalez <lrgm.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 19:40:18 +0200
Fwd Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 10:35:27 -0400
Subject: Old Abduction Case?

Reading an interview by Jerome Clark of Dr. James Harder in the magazine UFO Report, (Dec 1977), he mentions one of the very first abductions he investigated with the help of noted hypnotist Leslie LeCron.

There were four boys involved. They apparently never got aboard a UFO but were captured and immobilized while the beings extracted blood samples from their chims. One of the children suffered from hair loss. Only one of the older boys was hypnotized, the others said they remember nothing strange.

The witness wrote APRO about certain details remembered and Harder was the one who realized there was some time missing.

Unfortunately, Harder says he never published the case.

Would it be possible to obtain more details? He might have written some report for APRO.

Is Dr. Harder still alive?

Thanks for any help,

Luis R. Gonzalez Manso

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 19](#)

House-Shaking UFOs?

From: Luis R. Gonzalez <lrgm.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 19:52:27 +0200
Fwd Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 10:39:22 -0400
Subject: House-Shaking UFOs?

One of the special effects some film makers employ when referring to a UFO presence is the shaking - almost like an earthquake - of the witness's house. Nevertheless, I had never heard such detail in real UFO accounts.

After some perusing, my friend Martin Kottmeyer has finally uncovered two short references to such an UFO effect.

George D. Fawcett, Quarter Century Studies of UFOs in Florida, N. Carolina & Tennessee, 1975, p. 16:

"... A home 'vibrated' in Port Richey (1966)"

"... On December 9, 1966 a retired RCA engineer Ed Fields saw a UFO that hovered over his home and as it did his house 'vibrated'."

Can anybody give me better references to thes two incidents... or similar one with this kind of peculiar UFO effect?

Thanks in advance for any help,

Luis R. Gonzalez

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 19](#)

Re: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos - Yturria

From: **Santiago Yturria Garza** <syturria.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 17:57:52 +0000
Fwd Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 10:45:59 -0400
Subject: Re: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos - Yturria

>From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 10:18:27 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
>Subject: Re: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos

>>From: Santiago Yturria Garza <syturria.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 22:22:16 +0000
>>Subject: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos

>>In his report Mr. Koivula mentioned that, "No normal
>>explanations have been found, neither by officials nor UFO
>>researchers". The Mexican Air Force UFO case was discussed by
>>them as the UFO event was also recorded on infrared camera while
>>flying over the Campeche aerial space.

>>The Finnish Air Force UFO videoclip may be seen at the FUFORA
>>website along with the complete report.

><http://www.suomenufotutkijat.fi/infraredvideo.htm>

>Actually it seems the "full" report is only in Finnish. The
>English version is only a snippet.

>><http://www.suomenufotutkijat.fi/infrapunavideo.htm>

>The video clip is unimpressive since it has no context (clouds,
>mountains, oceans) or on-screen encoding of
>direction/position/magnification. One image on the web page
>shows this data blacked out, I guess that helps prevent any real
>analysis).

>I prefer the Campeche "oil well flares" for impressiveness or
>even the balloon fleets.

You are dismissing the real issue here and that is the Finnish
Air Force disclosure of two UFO incidents in which they were
involved. Certainly an important precedent in our research and a
new, open, communication channel in that country between the
military and civilian researchers.

By the way, the Finnish footage was recorded by the fighter's
engaged infrared camera, focusing on a target during a
chase/interception operation. Have you ever seen any of these
cameras working?

Santiago

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 19](#)

CUFOS In-Home Environment Detector Results?

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:57:37 -0400
Fwd Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 11:26:16 -0400
Subject: CUFOS In-Home Environment Detector Results?

Has anyone heard anything yet about that data collected by CUFOS, in which computer-monitored environmental sensors were set up in the homes of frequent abductees?

They finished that a couple of years ago. Sure would be nice if they told us what they learned.

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 19](#)

The Birth Of The CIA And Flying Saucers - Part II

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 11:35:07 -0400
Fwd Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 11:35:07 -0400
Subject: The Birth Of The CIA And Flying Saucers - Part II

Source: American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/ejsgl>

April 18, 2006

The Birth Of The CIA And Flying Saucers - Part II

Frank Warren

Now this is where it gets interesting; there seems to be a contradiction in facts as "evidenced" by the "Oral History Interview with Robert B. Landry" given February 28, 1974, by, James R. Fuchs for Harry S. Truman Library: (Robert B. Landry was a member of faculty, National War College, 1946; Executive Officer to Army Air Force Chief of Staff, General Carl Spaatz, 1947; United States Air Force Aide to President Truman, 1948-53).

Subject: UFOs;

Date: 1948

In this time period the UFO phenomenon was getting quite a bit of play in the press, radio, TV and from miscellaneous other sources. All manner of objects and things were being seen in the sky by people, including attempted UFO landings and UFO hoverings over isolated areas. There was even a report of seeing little men with big round heads getting in and out of a UFO. Well, the President, like any other citizen, is exposed to all these goings on, too.

In any case, I was called one afternoon to come to the Oval Office. The President wanted to see me. We talked about UFO reports and what might be the meaning for all these rather way?out reports of sightings, and the subject in general. The president said he hadn't give much serious thought to all these reports; but at the same time, he said, if there was any evidence of a strategic threat to the national security, the collection and evaluation of UFO data by Central Intelligence warranted more intense study and attention at the highest government level.

I was directed to report quarterly to the President after consulting with Central Intelligence people, as to whether or not any UFO incidents received by them could be considered as having any strategic threatening implications at all.

The report was to be made orally by me unless it was considered by intelligence to be so serious or alarming as to warrant a more detailed report in writing. During the four and one?half years in office there, all reports were made orally. Nothing of substance considered credible or threatening to the country was ever received from intelligence. Note: the Air Force had been

charged by the Department of Defense with the collection and evaluation of UFO data from all sources such as the other services, the National Weather Service, and any other reliable source.

It would appear, based on that interview that the CIA was directly involved in the investigation of UFOs as early as 1948, not 1952, as previously mentioned in the article by Gerald K. Haines. Landry concludes that "nothing of substance considered credible or threatening to the country was ever received from intelligence," however we know that's not true based on Haines' article:

"a massive buildup of sightings over the United States in 1952, especially in July, alarmed the Truman administration. On 19 and 20 July, radarscopes at Washington National Airport and Andrews Air Force Base tracked mysterious blips. On 27 July, the blips reappeared."

Personally I believe that Landry played no real part in any serious investigation by CIA. I think his role, was for appearance sake, unwittingly. Truman was receiving "intelligence reports" on a daily and weekly basis, since 1946, from CIG, then CIA beginning in 47. There would have been no reason for Landry to be involved. Having said that, remember that "Flying Saucers" were being seen all over the country and were making headlines coast to coast. Any "lack of response" on Truman's part, I think would have been highly unusual. So, overtly, he tasked Landry in his minor role, to report to the President as to appease outward appearances of official concern of the "aerial phenomenon."

In conclusion, as more and more documents become declassified, and Ufology moves forward (albeit sometimes at a snail's pace), I think we as the public, won't be surprised to see the ever-changing official positions of various government agencies.

Moreover we've witnessed this in recent years, with the Air Force's explanation(s) of the Roswell Incident. Fortunately, with man's advancement in personal technologies, e.g., desk top computers, camcorders, cellular phone cameras, and the internet, it's getting much harder for the government to pass off eye witness sightings of UFOs as, mass hysteria, hallucination, swamp gas, ball lightning or heat inversions. Because of that, this author feels we're coming to the inevitable, eventuality of government disclosure in regard to the UFO phenomenon.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 19](#)

Re: Why Are They Silent? - Rome

From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 12:56:24 -0700
Fwd Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 16:06:35 -0400
Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent? - Rome

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 20:10:12 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent?

>>From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 10:19:02 -0700
>>Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent?

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 15:22:24 -0300
>>>Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent?

>>>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 05:57:42 -0700 (PDT)
>>>>Subject: Why Are They Silent?

>>>>If true UFO designers/builders/fliers are so smart with
>>>>technology, why are they so silent? Why do they get our
>>>>attention, on a worldwide scale, but not say anything?

><snip>

>>Not trying to anthropomorphise ET, from that one experience and
>>from others I've read about, I don't think small talk is that
>>arcane.

>Not to anticipate Stan Friedman's potential response, Irene, but
>I think we should humanize ET's intentions. It's what we
>always do. We can know that we don't know everything. But how
>can we ever know what we don't know? And whoever is smart enough
>to design/build/fly UFOs should also know that about us, and
>talk to us as evidently and on as worldwide a scale as they show
>their UFOs to us!

Rick - (I don't remember fully the gist of your original post,
so am responding to your most recent above.)

Well, it is true that we have nothing else to compare ET with,
so we have to be the standard. The best we can do is ascertain
as best we can how far ET deviates from that standard.
"Humanizing" looks to be a slippery slope inasmuch as we haven't
a clue to what they are; I would hesitate to invest ET with
human attributes and expect him to then conform.

Should, eh? On the surface that looks na=EFve. I'd love it, as,
I'm confident in saying, would the rest of the UFO community, if
ET would formally make himself known to us following a protocol
we are familiar with, then tell us what he's doing here.

Your agonized questions above reflect my own frustration with
this maddening conundrum. There are many of a mind speculating,
and a small army of researchers, finding answers for you and for
me. We must all emulate the archeologists who dig away at their
mountainous projects with a toothbrush, patiently and
determinedly, resigned to knowing that answers most likely

will not be seen in our lifetime.

Irene

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 19](#)

Re: Milking That Cow - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:11:49 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 16:08:17 -0400
Subject: Re: Milking That Cow - Nielsen

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <alienview.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 09:09:59 -0500
>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 15:03:07 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

<snip>

>Moreover, higher level intelligence has occurred on just this
>planet many, many times... in three of four species of hominid,
>in molluska, in cetacea, and even in the vine that won't grow
>out on a dead branch getting ready to fall momentarily.

Alfred:

My definition of high intelligence includes those species that
leave written records. I hope this helps.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 19](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:31:51 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 16:09:32 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 12:08:17 -0400
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 14:40:46 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>Since nearly all evidence in this field is anecdotally based,
>I'm not sure what you expect to find.

I agree with you. CE3/4/5 evidence is mainly anecdotal, without the same kind of hard, testable, empirical evidence supporting CE1/2 cases.

> . . . The Volume Richard (Hall) mentioned has CE-3 and CE-4
>information, but apparently not the "evidence" you are looking
>for.

No Steven, it doesn't.

>There are no quick answers to your question and refering you to
>current literature seems to be the best course for someone who
>is seeking generic answers.

I'm not looking for quick or generic answers. I'm looking for the same kind of hard evidence to support CE3/4/5 cases as that supporting CE1/2 cases. Shouldn't that be the standard, to support all the assertions?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 19](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:35:24 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 16:10:40 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 11:17:24 +0000
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 14:40:46 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>Where is the physical evidence for alleged close encounters
>>between non-humans and humans? Specifically, where is the
>>physical evidence for those close encounters occurring without
>>communication (CE3), or the so-called abductions (CE4), or those
>>which demonstrate communication with understanding by both
>>parties (CE5)?

<snip>

>Maybe you haven't found it yet, but perhaps you need to look more
>diligently.

Thanks Dick! I am.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 19](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:47:01 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 16:11:55 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 07:57:16 -0400
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 17:27:15 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>If you would clearly define what you mean by "evidence", since
>anecdotal information clearly doesn't appear to meet your
>definition, and any 'scoop' marks on the bodies of abductees, or
>statements of physical damage, would all come down to anecdotal
>descriptions of what they believe happened, so where would that
>leave you?

Thanks Steve! As you say, current sources, with very few
exceptions, indicate only "anecdotal descriptions of what they
believed happened," regarding CE3/4/5 events.

I'm asking for the same hard evidence in CE3/4/5 cases as is
already supporting the good CE1/2 cases. That hard evidence
should include photos, videos, recordings, many witnesses of the
same event, etc., with all evidence subject to exhaustive
examination, in addition to witness testimony. My hypothesis
includes the idea that hard evidence for CE3/4/5 events can be
found either in new cases or in a review of the older ones.

Do you agree?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 20](#)

Re: Milking That Cow - Sawers

From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 14:09:14 +1000
Fwd Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 07:22:12 -0400
Subject: Re: Milking That Cow - Sawers

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <alienview.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 09:09:59 -0500
>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 15:03:07 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Sat, 15 Apr 2006 11:05:03 EDT
>>>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

><snip>

>>I like Stan Friedman's "gray box". I wish more Ufologists had
>>"gray boxes" and used them!

>Yet, it is Stanton Friedman who is absolutely convinced that
>some UFOs are not an artifice of humanity. He is not alone. He's
>joined by others of quality and stature. Why do you suppose that
>is Mr. Nielsen?

>Perhaps you are making assumptions at least as invalid as the
>ones you decry in others, Sir. Perhaps the mainstream is not
>remotely reflective of the sensical. Perhaps science is not
>doing the science it would pretend to specify. Perhaps culture
>is hostile to the truth and society just another way to
>legislate a sociopathy of ignorance.

>Space, time, and surface area is infinite, at least as far as we
>are concerned, and I suspect that our potential is ill served
>acting as if the preceding paragraph is impossible. There is
>abundant opportunity for much more arrogant than us in this
>multi-verse to exist, verily, and ourselves? We're only hard
>evidence of that likelihood.

>Things don't happen just once... If we've happened? "Happening"
>is proved. What can happen has happened... ..will happen.

>Moreover, higher level intelligence has occurred on just this
>planet many, many times... in three of four species of hominid,
>in molluska, in cetacea, and even in the vine that won't grow
>out on a dead branch getting ready to fall momentarily.

>We're far from being the jewel in God's crown, I suspect. I
>sense that's where you would place us, and that it is at the
>root of your ufological discomfort...

Alfred/List

Thanks for bringing (to me) a semblance of sanity. We don't
agree on many things but this has struck a cord.

It seems the discussion can carry on as long as the "(add your
own term)" aren't involved.

Without wee weeing in your pocket I can appreciate but maybe not partake in your conversations.

Regards

William

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 20](#)

Re: Detlev Bronk - Sawers

From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 14:23:53 +1000
Fwd Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 07:23:37 -0400
Subject: Re: Detlev Bronk - Sawers

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 12:37:47 -0300
>Subject: Re: Detlev Bronk

<snip>

>I did a lot of looking into Bronk more than 20 years ago. He was
>not a physician, but a pathologist and biophysicist and very
>active in Aviation Biology.

snipped

>.I am

>dubious about his being involved in an autopsy of an alien.I
>believe that the document saying so was one of a bunch of false
>documents received by Tim Cooper. He was not a pathologist. He
>was very big in neurology and certainly would have been asked
>for biomedical advice about handling aliens. I must have missed
>any mention of the facilityfrom which his 1947 diary was
>supposedly missing. Anybody recall?
>Stan Friedman

Mr Friedman

In your studies was Dr Bronk a Pathologist or not?

William

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 20](#)

30th Parallel And Texas

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 07:32:26 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 07:32:26 -0400
Subject: 30th Parallel And Texas

Source: Old Gary's Blog - Texas

<http://tinyurl.com/nk8mp>

Tuesday, April 18, 2006

30th Parallel And Texas

Many writers have pointed out that the 30th parallel seems to have had some kind of mystical importance to the ancients. Four of the first historical civilizations were located on this meridian.

The suspected location of Eden in ancient Sumer (4000 B.C.) in modern day Iraq is on the 30th parallel. The Great Pyramids of Giza (3000 B.C.) are located on the 30th parallel. The Sphinx sits silently gazing directly East - along the 30th parallel. The Indus Valley civilization (2000 B.C.) of India had its capital, Harappa, on the 30th parallel.

The 30th parallel also runs through the ancient civilization of China. The Tibetan city of Lhasa and the ancient city of Persepolis in Persia are also on the 30th parallel.

The 30th parallel crosses the Pacific Ocean, enters northern Mexico, and then into Texas.

Marfa, Texas is a small town in west Texas on the 30th parallel. The town is located in the Chihuahuan Desert. It is dry and hot. Jackrabbits are said to carry canteens. The Marfa Lights

<http://www.tsha.utexas.edu/handbook/online/articles/MM/lxml.html>

are the town's major claim to fame. Strange lights have been seen here for years, even by the Spanish and Indians before white men arrived.

Further eastward on the 30th parallel, "Tonkawa Indians believed ghost fires flickered at the top, and they heard weird creaking and groaning" at Enchanted Rock:

http://www.tpwd.state.tx.us/spdest/findadest/parks/enchanted_rock/

Strange glowing lights are still seen to this day in and around the entire Enchanted Rock area.

Unidentified flashing and glowing lights are also seen regularly on Cedar Mountain

<http://www.tsha.utexas.edu/handbook/online/articles/CC/rjc25.html>

which is a few miles east of Enchanted Rock and also sits on the 30th parallel.

Continuing eastward on the 30th parallel, you come to the town of Saratoga, Texas. A nearby country lane called Bragg Road

<http://www.bigthicketdirectory.com/ghostroad.html>

runs straight north for 8 miles, following an old railroad right of way. Basketball-sized orbs of glowing light have been seen along this road for years. Motorists driving on the road have reported being paced by these orbs. Some even report engine failure when in close proximity to the orbs.

Saratoga is located in the Big Thicket

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Big_Thicket

which is also home to many Bigfoot sightings, such as this one:

<http://www.texasbigfoot.com/Hardin1.html>

By the way, this humble blogger lives just a few miles south of the 30th parallel, deep in the heart of Texas.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 20](#)

April 2006 FOTOCAT Project Update

From: **Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos** <ballesterolmos.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 14:16:23 +0200 (CEST)
Fwd Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 07:33:59 -0400
Subject: April 2006 FOTOCAT Project Update

The April 2006 FOTOCAT Project blog (bilingual report, English and Spanish, by Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos) has been updated in the following url:

<http://fotocat.blogspot.com/>

Greetings,

V.J. Ballester Olmos

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 20](#)

Re: Detlev Bronk - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 09:39:38 -0300
Fwd Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 07:36:27 -0400
Subject: Re: Detlev Bronk - Friedman

>From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 21:23:07 +0100
>Subject: Detlev Bronk [was: Milking That Cow]

I meant Bronk was not a pathologist but was a physiologist...
mea culpa.

Stan

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 20](#)

April 8th 2006 Sighting

From: John Scheldroup <jschel.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 09:12:43 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 07:39:30 -0400
Subject: April 8th 2006 Sighting

Source of Report: UFO Wisconsin.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/omr6j>

Date of Incident: April 8th, 2006
Time of Incident: 7:40 pm
Location of Incident: Butler, Missouri
Other Related Reports: Not Applicable

Details of Incident:

I was at my pasture I was by the barn and I saw a UFO.

It was round on the top and wide on the top and it got narrower going towards the bottom. It was round and narrow on the bottom and got wider going towards the top it was round and wide on the top. It looked like a top. It was yellow lighted with red vertical lines all around the sides.

And it had a orange light in the middle of the top and in the middle of the bottom. It was very scary but it hovered around the barn and got lower. The object would swing around the barn and it got higher and went over the nearby lake. Then I kept watching it and the object was over the lake then it went to the west and it got out of sight and I didn't see it no more. There was no sound to the object. It was very cloudy outside. Then it started to lightning and thunder and storm. So I got in my car and left my pasture.

John

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 20](#)

Re: CUFOS In-Home Environment Detector Results? -

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 11:44:41 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 07:42:20 -0400
Subject: Re: CUFOS In-Home Environment Detector Results? -

>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:57:37 -0400
>Subject: CUFOS In-Home Environment Detector Results?

>Has anyone heard anything yet about that data collected by
>CUFOS, in which computer-monitored environmental sensors were
>set up in the homes of frequent abductees?

>They finished that a couple of years ago. Sure would be nice if
>they told us what they learned.

The Abduction Monitoring Project was conducted by the UFO
Coalition, and CUFOS was only part of the equation.

That being said, the project was completed a couple of years
ago, as you note, and a final report (to my knowledge) has not
been written as yet.

Mark Rodeghier was working on it, but I believe the issue is now
finding the funding to have the results properly analyzed by an
independent entity.

To my knowledge, there are no major correlations to report, but
an indepth analysis might show something that isn't visible with
a quick review.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 20](#)

Re: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos - Smith

From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 11:45:44 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
Fwd Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 07:45:15 -0400
Subject: Re: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos - Smith

>From: Santiago Yturria Garza <syturria.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 17:57:52 +0000
>Subject: Re: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos

>>From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 10:18:27 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
>>Subject: Re: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos

>>I prefer the Campeche "oil well flares" for impressiveness
>>or even the balloon fleets.

>You are dismissing the real issue here and that is the Finnish
>Air Force disclosure of two UFO incidents in wich they were
>involved. Certainly an important precedent in our research
>and a new, open, communication channel in that country
>between the military and civilian researchers.

I am not dismissing "the real issue". I am saying that what the web site shows is unimpressive. Perhaps there is more data which will eventually be released by the Finnish UFO group. If I were them I would not do so because there is the possibility of getting an explanation to the recording which would make them look just like UFO researchers/Mexican Air Force re: the Campeche case.

The Finnish AF releasing the data may not be a new channel that is useful, since either they determined the recording to be useless, non-threatening and non-analyzable or wanted to obliquely discredit the UFO researchers by having them get all excited and chase their tails only to find its all prosaic or just opaquely (not enough data) useless.

>By the way, the Finnish footage was recorded by the fighter's
>engaged infrared camera, focusing on a target during a
>chase/interception operation. Have you ever seen any of these
>cameras working?

No, but what bothers me is that the one image shows what is obviously detailed position/angle/magnification/time data being blacked out. Who did this and why? If the Finnish AF did it and we will never get the data, then this is a waste of time. If the Finnish UFO folk did it then are they afraid of getting a prosaic explanation?

Don't trust the military to give you UFO data! Go out and gather it yourself! The military has its own agenda. They don't need UFO researchers to 'help' analyze footage. At least, they don't need those they can't control.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 20](#)

Secrecy News -- 04/19/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 12:12:48 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 07:47:03 -0400
Subject: Secrecy News -- 04/19/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 48
April 19, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** CIA EXPANDS OPERATIONAL FILE SECURITY
** FBI SEEKS ACCESS TO JACK ANDERSON FILES

CIA EXPANDS OPERATIONAL FILE SECURITY

The Central Intelligence Agency conducted a review of its "operational files" last year, as it is required to do every ten years under the CIA Information Act of 1984, to see if any such files could have their "operational" designation rescinded, making them subject to Freedom of Information Act requests.

But instead of removing any files from operational status, as contemplated by the 1984 Act, the CIA added nearly two dozen new categories of files that will now be exempt from search and review under the FOIA, according to a newly disclosed report to Congress.

Remarkably, the CIA report to Congress misstated the requirements of the 1984 law. The CIA told Congress that:

"The CIA Information Act... required that not less than once every ten years, the DCI review the operational files exemptions then in force to determine whether such exemptions could be removed from any category of exempted files or portion of those files, and whether any new categories of files should be designated as exempt."

Only the first half of that sentence is true.

The statute that governs these reviews -- 50 U.S.C. 432 -- refers only to the removal of the operational file exemption based on "historical value or other public interest." It says nothing about adding new designations.

Having misstated the law, CIA proceeded to implement its own misrepresentation.

The Agency did not remove any operational file exemptions at all. Instead, it added twenty three new file category exemptions.

The CIA has the legal authority under the 1984 CIA Information Act to create new operational file designations at any time. But that is not the purpose of the decennial reviews, which were established by Congress specifically to correct and curtail prior designations that were no longer necessary or appropriate.

In this case, the corrective mechanism designed by Congress was defeated by CIA.

The Report of the Second Decennial Review of CIA Operational File Exemptions was transmitted to Congress on June 28, 2005. It was publicly released this week in response to a Freedom of Information Act appeal from the Federation of American Scientists. See:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/othergov/ciaopf2.html>

In the first decennial review in 1995, the operational file exemption was removed from four file categories and they were opened to FOIA requests. See the report of the first decennial review here:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/othergov/ciaopf.html>

If rigid and arbitrary secrecy were preconditions for a superior intelligence product, then advocates of greater openness would confront a dilemma. But we know that is not the case.

CIA secrecy policy as it exists today is not a sign of vigor but of decay.

In the latest sign of institutional turmoil at the Agency, the editor of the somewhat respected CIA journal Studies in Intelligence has resigned, and so has the chairman of its Editorial Board.

"The most chilling aspect is that there are newly established editorial hurdles at the journal. Merit is no longer the sole criterion governing publication," wrote Max Holland, a sometime contributor to Studies.

He reported on the resignations in a new article in Washington Spectator, "Lessons Not Learned," April 15:

http://www.washingtonspectator.com/articles/20060415lessons_1.cfm

FBI SEEKS ACCESS TO JACK ANDERSON FILES

The Federal Bureau of Investigation wants to review the files of the late muckraking journalist Jack Anderson and confiscate any documents it believes are classified before they are opened to the public.

This amazing story was first reported yesterday by the Chronicle of Higher Education.

There has long been an unwritten agreement the government may do what it must to deter unauthorized disclosures of classified information and to punish leakers but that, once disclosed, the government does not pursue those who receive or publish the information.

Yet the Bush Administration and some on the political right seem intent on disrupting that longstanding convention through subpoenas of reporters, prosecution of recipients of leaks (as in the AIPAC case), threats of prosecution against the press for reporting classified information, and now the FBI pursuit of the Anderson files.

A series of email messages on the FBI matter from Jack Anderson's son Kevin were posted yesterday by Don Goldberg on his blog here:

http://www.qorvis.com/2006/04/fbi-outrage-bureau-attempts-to_18.html

Among the abundant news reports of the story are these:

"FBI Rebuffed on Reporter's Files" by Spencer Hsu, Washington Post, April 19:

<http://tinyurl.com/kgcvj>

"Late Journalist's Family Resists FBI Request for His Documents" by Nick Timiraos, Los Angeles Times, April 19:

<http://tinyurl.com/kpgs9>

"Dead Journalist's Archives Sought In U.S. Spy Case" by Eli Lake, New York Sun, April 19:

<http://www.nysun.com/article/31195>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 20](#)

Re: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos - Yturria

From: Santiago Yturria Garza <syturria.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 16:58:48 +0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 07:52:24 -0400
Subject: Re: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos - Yturria

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 12:15:12 -0300
>Subject: Re: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos

>>From: Santiago Yturria Garza <syturria.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 22:22:16 +0000
>>Subject: Finnish Air Force Releases UFO Videos

>>The Finnish Air Force made an important disclosure presenting a
>>video of an incident involving a military jet fighter and a UFO.

>>According to Tapani Koivula, chairman of the Finnish research
>>group FUFORA, the encounter took place on April 27, 2005 and it
>>was recorded by the infrared camera aboard the fighter.

<snip>

>>Thanks to Mr. Tapani Koivula, FUFORA and Brazilian researcher
>>Jaime Rangel for sharing this information.

>Is there any contact information for Mr. Tapani Koivula,
>FUFORA. I'd like to get additional information, such as the
>location of the sighting, the directions, altitude, speeds,
>aircraft type and conditions etc.

You may contact Mr. Koivula visiting the FUFORA website and
request more information.

<http://www.suomenufotutkijat.fi/index.htm>

Keep in mind that officials from the Finnish Air Force who
released the information. As they were directly involved in
the incidents their opinion of the nature and possible origin of
the phenomena may be the most appropriate.

Santiago

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 20](#)

Re: House-Shaking UFOs? - Seeger

From: **Kevin Seeger** <seeger.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 12:03:36 -0700
Fwd Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 07:56:28 -0400
Subject: Re: House-Shaking UFOs? - Seeger

>From: Luis R. Gonzalez <lrgm.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>,
>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 19:52:27 +0200
>Subject: House-Shaking UFOs?

>Can anybody give me better references to these two incidents...
>or similar one with this kind of peculiar UFO effect?

I recall three episodes of my parents' Gulf Breeze house shaking during the late '80s UFO flap. Each lasted several seconds, rattled the windows really good, and were not accompanied by sonic booms or fly-bys.

By then, there was already a tremendous air force presence in the skies, and I saw a squadron of stealth fighters flying at treetop level not long after they had been declassified. I assumed the 'sky quakes' were the result of supersonic military aircraft trying to catch up to the bogies.

-KS

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 20](#)

Re: Why Are They Silent? - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 17:36:12 -0300
Fwd Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 07:59:21 -0400
Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent? - Friedman

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 7 Apr 2006 20:10:12 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent?

>>From: Irene Rome <ikroml.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 06 Apr 2006 10:19:02 -0700
>>Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent?

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 15:22:24 -0300
>>>Subject: Re: Why Are They Silent?

>>>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Date: Tue, 4 Apr 2006 05:57:42 -0700 (PDT)
>>>>Subject: Why Are They Silent?

>>>>If true UFO designers/builders/fliers are so smart with
>>>>technology, why are they so silent? Why do they get our
>>>>attention, on a worldwide scale, but not say anything?

><snip>

>>Not trying to anthropomorphise ET, from that one experience and
>>from others I've read about, I don't think small talk is that
>>arcane.

>Not to anticipate Stan Friedman's potential response, Irene, but
>I think we should humanize ET's intentions. It's what we
>always do. We can know that we don't know everything. But how
>can we ever know what we don't know? And whoever is smart enough
>to design/build/fly UFOs should also know that about us, and
>talk to us as evidently and on as worldwide a scale as they show
>their UFOs to us!

I have no idea what response you were anticipating, Rick.
However, the abduction accounts clearly indicate that there has
been "small talk".. this will be over soon; it won't hurt, you
will be going back soon.,etc. They seem to be being kind to dumb
animals.Why would anybody anticipate such clever items as "Nice
day we are having? Oh, look at those Red Sox!

Another suicide bombing in Afghanistan..... I rarely talk to the
squirrels in my back yard. My daughter talks to her horse, dog,
and cat.. but rarely on a very elevated level. Are we equals or
when close enough to talk, their subjects and under their
control?.It is nice that they don't use guns or knives to keep
us in line.

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 20](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 17:48:50 -0300
Fwd Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 08:02:35 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Friedman

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:47:01 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 07:57:16 -0400
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 17:27:15 -0700 (PDT)
>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

><snip>

>>If you would clearly define what you mean by "evidence", since
>>anecdotal information clearly doesn't appear to meet your
>>definition, and any 'scoop' marks on the bodies of abductees, or
>>statements of physical damage, would all come down to anecdotal
>>descriptions of what they believe happened, so where would that
>>leave you?

>Thanks Steve! As you say, current sources, with very few
>exceptions, indicate only "anecdotal descriptions of what they
>believed happened," regarding CE3/4/5 events.

>I'm asking for the same hard evidence in CE3/4/5 cases as is
>already supporting the good CE1/2 cases. That hard evidence
>should include photos, videos, recordings, many witnesses of the
>same event, etc., with all evidence subject to exhaustive
>examination, in addition to witness testimony. My hypothesis
>includes the idea that hard evidence for CE3/4/5 events can be
>found either in new cases or in a review of the older ones.

>Do you agree?

I certainly don't agree. Just how many rapists or bank robbers
pose for pictures and microphones?

There are, of course, many cases involving several abductees at
once who can be queried independently.

Automobile accidents, plane crashes, crimes of all sorts are
observed unexpectedly by people in the right place at the right
time. They are not predictable or controllable.

When I hear and see an airplane flying over my house. I don't
have proof. I can provide evidence for evaluation. Courts do it
all the time and have to decide what testimony is believable.

Things or events not involving any intelligence... fall of a
rock, a solar storm are very different from the other events
noted.

Why demand the same verification?

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 20](#)

French Radio Ufologique

From: Jean Noel <korrigan.d.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 22:53:12 +0200
Fwd Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 08:08:22 -0400
Subject: French Radio Ufologique

Translation Google.fr:

Good evening has all

We are pleased to present to you French premiere RADIO UFOLOGIQUE in mode Poscasting. We seek people for interviews on the field of ufology (UFO) avec a reaductor of our team which will be on line with software SKYPE

I appeal has all large thank you of radio FRANCE

<http://ovni83.podemus.com>

mail: radio.ovni.nul

SKYPE: korrigan83

bye bye

Bonsoir a tous

nous avons le plaisir de vous presenter la premiere RADIO UFOLOGIQUE Francaise en mode Poscasting nous recherchons des personnes pour des interviews dans le domaine de l'ufologie (UFO) avec un reaductor de notre equipe qui sera en direct avec le logiciel SKYPE je fais appel a tous grand merci de la FRANCE

radio: <http://ovni83.podemus.com>

mail: radio.ovni.nul

SKYPE: korrigan83

bye bye

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 20](#)

Re: House-Shaking UFOs? - Connors

From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 14:58:53 -0600
Fwd Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 08:10:22 -0400
Subject: Re: House-Shaking UFOs? - Connors

>From: Luis R. Gonzalez <lrgm.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>,
>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 19:52:27 +0200
>Subject: House-Shaking UFOs?

>One of the special effects some film makers employ when
>referring to a UFO presence is the shaking - almost like an
>earthquake - of the witness's house. Nevertheless, I had never
>heard such detail in real UFO accounts.

Hi Luis,

Robert Gribble has several accounts in his NUFORC case recordings. Another interesting tidbit concerns black triangles. Several close approaches to pilots, indicate an asphalt or form rubber-like, skin texture. Some of the more interesting cases have been those witnesses who hear an object above them, but can't see anything.

There seems no end to the idiosyncracies of UFOs being observed and reported.

Wendy Connors
www.fadeddiscs.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 20](#)

Re: Milking That Cow - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 21:04:27 +0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 08:13:20 -0400
Subject: Re: Milking That Cow - Hall

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:11:49 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <alienview.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 09:09:59 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 15:03:07 -0700 (PDT)
>>>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>Moreover, higher level intelligence has occurred on just this
>>planet many, many times... in three of four species of hominid,
>>in molluska, in cetacea, and even in the vine that won't grow
>>out on a dead branch getting ready to fall momentarily.

>My definition of high intelligence includes those species that
>leave written records. I hope this helps.

Well, I guess that rules out the supposed aliens, since they
haven't left any written record that I am aware of; the dummies!

Oh, right, we do have Adamski's Venusian footprints with symbols
and some other highly ambiguous (not to mention extremely
dubious) alien messages of sorts. But I mean written language
that can be proved. There is no hard evidence for a written
alien language.

Further, on the human level I am not so sure that the mere fact
of leaving written records ensures high intelligence either. In
my formal scholarly area of philosophy alone, there are some
pretty dumb written records. And I give you the administration
in Washington, D.C. Take my leaders, please!

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 20](#)

Re: Milking That Cow - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <alienview.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 16:39:45 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 08:23:53 -0400
Subject: Re: Milking That Cow - Lehmborg

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:11:49 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <alienview.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 09:09:59 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Sun, 16 Apr 2006 15:03:07 -0700 (PDT)
>>>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

><snip>

>>Moreover, higher level intelligence has occurred on just this
>>planet many, many times... in three of four species of hominid,
>>in molluska, in cetacea, and even in the vine that won't grow
>>out on a dead branch getting ready to fall momentarily.

>Alfred:

>My definition of high intelligence includes those species that
>leave written records.

Oh really? That's a pretty narrow band isn't it, Mr. Nielson?
Especially, given you haven't considered how 'others' even leave
their records, what form these records would take, how long they
have endured, and if you would be remotely able to recognise
them if they were even presented to you, as such. <ROFL>

The hominids you seemingly disdain, as not having risen to your
unimaginative definition of high intelligence - including early
variations of ourselves - developed an oral record able to
sustain itself over much more time than you've had with your
quaint evolutions of cuneiform, forgetting the unqualified
intellectual arrogance provoked in you as a result. Moreover, if
you're up an Amazonian tributary without a paddle or your laptop
sometime you can hope for the Witoto brand of higher
intelligence, conveying very useful information about shelter
building, nutritional or medically useful plants... and
fish/bird traps.

The molluska you blithely write-off have 250 million years of
being able carry their traditions in a language unknown to us...
written on the very surface of their bodies. You were able to
see what an octopus was saying perhaps (if you had the
capacity to understand it) when individuals in your direct line
were fretfully scurrying and fearfully endeavoring to cop the
odd dinosaur egg. 250 million years is a pretty rich tradition.
Sure it didn't invent a cotton gin or a micro processor... but
did it need to? Did we?

The cetacea you dismiss practice an oral tradition of song that
is both deeper and higher than you can hear or apparently
appreciate and could carry a natural history of this planet as
rich as it is deep and as intelligent as it is musical. Could be

Mr. Nielsen.

The vine I alluded to was an example of vegetable intelligence far beyond our capacity for awareness and suggests a definition for "high intelligence" you seem too willing to dismiss as beneath your concern, consideration, or contempt. I suspect you err, Sir.

Still, this universe has the potential to produce even more arrogant intelligences than your own, Mr. Nielsen, and ones with more qualification.

>I hope this helps.

ROFL! Helped _what_ exactly? ...Helped me to be as prosaic and pedestrian as yourself? As unimaginative? As homocentric? As dismissively arrogant? As narrow-minded? As banal?

Been there, done that, Mr. Nielsen. But hope I returned the favor.

alienview.nul

www.AlienView.net

AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 20](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kaeser

From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 17:48:28 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 08:27:10 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kaeser

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:47:01 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 07:57:16 -0400
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 17:27:15 -0700 (PDT)
>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

><snip>

>>If you would clearly define what you mean by "evidence", since
>>anecdotal information clearly doesn't appear to meet your definition,
>>and any 'scoop' marks on the bodies of abductees, or statements of
>>physical damage, would all come down to anecdotal descriptions of
>>what they believe happened, so where would that leave you?

>Thanks Steve! As you say, current sources, with very few
>exceptions, indicate only "anecdotal descriptions of what they
>believed happened," regarding CE3/4/5 events.

<snip>

>I'm asking for the same hard evidence in CE3/4/5 cases as is
>already supporting the good CE1/2 cases. That hard evidence should
>include photos, videos, recordings, many witnesses of the same
>event, etc., with all evidence subject to exhaustive examination,
>in addition to witness testimony. My hypothesis includes the idea
>that hard evidence for CE3/4/5 events can be found either in new
>cases or in a review of the older ones.

>Do you agree?

IMO, the level of evidence you appear to be looking for does not
exist to 'prove' the validity of abductions. Physical injury
cases are the best I can think of at the moment, but they only
lead to anecdotal unsubstantiated descriptions of what happened.

The Kelly Cahill abduction event in Australia a few years back
was a good multiple abduction case with a fairly involved
investigation performed by different researchers in parallel.
But it's not a smoking gun case that will prove abductions are
occurring.

Let me suggest that you locate a copy of Alien Discussions:
Proceedings of the Abduction Study Conference. Cambridge, MA:
North Cambridge Press, 1994. This is probably difficult to
locate, but this is a compilation of the presentations at a 1994
scientific conference on Alien Abductions. It won't have the
evidence you seek, but will show the foundation of why there is
interest among abduction researchers today.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 20](#)

Review Of On The Trail Of The Saucer Spies

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 08:44:02 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 08:44:02 -0400
Subject: Review Of On The Trail Of The Saucer Spies

Source: American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California

<http://tinyurl.com/r5zxcg>

April 19, 2006

Book Review
by Steve Hammons

On The Trail Of The Saucer Spies
By Nick Redfern

Researcher and author Nick Redfern has put together a fascinating picture of the history of government interest in people involved in UFOs in his new book On The Trail Of The Saucer Spies.

Based on declassified government documents and other sources, Redfern presents information on FBI inquiries about individuals involved with the topic of UFOs that date back to the late 1940s.

He also cites information that involves the U.S. Air Force, the CIA, the British Ministry of Defense (MoD) and many other government agencies.

Redfern traces the interest of government agencies in the UFO phenomenon from the late '40s through subsequent decades up to the present. The book takes readers back into the '50s, '60s and continues in basically a chronological order as citizens and the military and intelligence communities all tried to make sense of UFOs and related topics.

In the U.S., the FBI, CIA and the U.S. Air Force sometimes overlapped in their response to alleged UFO incidents, inquiries from citizens and in following up on concerns about UFO groups and individuals of interest, Redfern's research indicates.

He also focuses heavily on the parallel activities within the United Kingdom. The MoD, the Royal Air Force and Scotland Yard were also investigating UFO matters.

Redfern paints a complex picture of many military units and government agencies that had jurisdiction of some kind when it came to the topic of UFOs. He pays special attention to the mysterious Men In Black, believed by some to be a special unit of the U.S. Air Force.

Some actual FBI and other documents are reproduced in the book and Redfern quotes widely from various investigative reports. This makes very interesting reading as we imagine the FBI agent or other investigator interviewing witnesses about strange incidents and writing a sober, professional report for higher authorities about flying discs and visitors from outer space.

Why The Interest?

Regardless of the nature of the investigation, what level of secrecy or deception was involved, or where up the chain of command the investigative information went, Redfern's research makes clear that the U.S. and British governments, as well as the governments of other nations, have been very interested in UFOs.

The intelligence-gathering on the part of U.S. Government agencies seems to have spanned many aspects of the UFO phenomena that could potentially pose a threat or danger to the United States.

Some of the government concern was based on the post-World War II and Cold War fears about communist infiltration of the U.S. Other worries focused on links that might exist between some UFO-involved people and right-wing extremists. There were technological and even public health aspects to some of their concerns.

There seemed to be legitimate neutral and professional inquiry into reports that people had seen unusual and unidentified flying craft or had experienced closer encounters. Government investigators were often especially interested when the witnesses were pilots, military personnel, police officers and similarly credible observers.

Up the chains of command, and behind the secrecy of the governmental activities regarding UFOs, readers can sometimes only guess about what may have been going on when all the information from the government and military "saucer spies" was organized and interpreted by higher authorities.

What view emerged about UFOs, alleged extraterrestrial visitors, claims of abductions of humans and all of the other mysteries that have occurred since the late '40s?

Then And Now

Along the way, Redfern introduces readers to a few of the sometimes colorful pioneers of UFO research as well as books and lore on the subject. On The Trail Of The Saucer Spies looks at the backgrounds of many UFO enthusiasts and researchers over the decades, as well as the government interest in these individuals.

Authors of books on the subject, people who reported strange incidents and many other citizens were subjects of FBI and government inquiry and readers get to read from the actual government documents about them.

In addition, the surveillance of some UFO groups that emerged over the years in the U.S., UK and elsewhere is examined in the book. This provides a rich history of the social aspects of the UFO phenomena, as well as a look at the broad interest in the subject by average citizens, military personnel and intelligence professionals.

Many of the incidents involving UFOs over the decades are covered by Redfern including the famous ones and events that are less well-known.

Nearly 60 years have passed since the alleged 1947 "Roswell Incident," an event that some say brought government and the military fully into investigating, monitoring and trying to understand the UFO situation. Redfern's book allows readers to get a good view of the actions and reactions of military and intelligence agencies regarding a large spectrum of people who, over the decades since 1947, found themselves interested in or directly involved in this subject.

We now seem to be in an era of greater knowledge and sophistication about UFOs than some of the citizens and agents in the past that Redfern tells us about. Amid current requests for more disclosure about UFOs, possible gradual releases of information to the public and continued concerns about the effects the situation could have on society, On The Trail Of The Saucer Spies gives us insight into our recent history, our follies and our progress.

By following the trail of history, this book provides a valuable

resource for anyone interested in the subject of UFOs, in the relationship between citizens and their government and in understanding how far we have come in dealing with this mysterious situation.

For more information, visit:

<http://www.anomalistbooks.com/redfern.html>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

E.T. There's No Place Like Dome

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 07:40:29 -0400
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 07:40:29 -0400
Subject: E.T. There's No Place Like Dome

Source: The Los Angeles Times - California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/pezct>

April 20, 2006

E.T., There's No Place Like Dome
By Susannah Rosenblatt
Times Staff Writer

The desert is a place where it is said that time bends, aging slows and the laws of gravity don't necessarily apply. And not just poolside in Palm Springs.

Past scrubby fields of Joshua trees down a dusty road in Landers, a gleaming white dome rises abruptly from the jagged, putty-colored horizon, a curious testament to one man's intergalactic vision: the Integratron.

The life's work of a onetime aircraft engineer, the bizarre 38-foot wooden structure was meant to add decades to a person's life (not to mention warp time and suspend gravity) through frequencies generated by electrostatic energy. Now the dome, surrounded by fruit trees, grapevines, vintage trailers and miles of nothing in every direction, will be put to a more modest use =97 host to the first Retro UFO convention April 29.

The all-day affair offers a kitschy modern homage to the 1950s- and '60s-era reports of flying saucers that became the stuff of legend in this San Bernardino County outpost, organizer Barbara Harris said.

"It's a new chapter in ufology," said Harris, a graphic artist by trade. "When you go on that property =85 there is something that touches your heart, that touches your soul."

Harris hopes to preserve and propagate this most mysterious slice of the desert's history, sharing the stories of those who once flocked to Landers, necks craned skyward.

To that end, next weekend's extravaganza will include talks by old-timers of strange encounters; tours of Giant Rock, now grimy with graffiti and missing a giant chunk that fell off in 2000; a contest for the best aluminum foil deflector beanie; old movies; classic cars; fire spinners; and a live poetic opera by a group called UFOetry titled "Did We Really Go to the Moon?"

Harris hopes the event will draw about 500 people to the 10-acre site; some proceeds will benefit the Morongo Basin Historical Society and help renovate the dome.

It's a fitting place to gather, considering the closest thing to a Retro UFO celebrity may be the Integratron's patron saint, engineer George Van Tassel. He built the dome for \$150,000 over 18 years starting in 1957, claiming that he was inspired by a

predawn meeting with a visitor from Venus named Solgonda.

Van Tassel and his family lived in a hollowed-out chamber under Giant Rock, a seven-story free-standing boulder plopped on the edge of Landers three miles north of the dome.

He didn't complete the electrostatic device at the heart of the dome before he died in 1978, and his plans and equipment to finish the 50-megavolt Integratron disappeared soon after his death.

The outlandish dome and its unlikely location are "a monument to one man's field of dreams," said Joanne Karl, 51, one of three sisters who own the dome and have worked to restore it.

As for Van Tassel's alleged encounters with visitors from Venus and his offbeat writings claiming that the sun is square, "I smile and wink," said co-owner Nancy Karl, 48.

The Karl sisters, who live there part time, are used to hosting curious tourists, retreats and rock stars like Billy Corgan eager to experience the acoustically perfect space.

The upper chamber of the Integratron, made entirely of Douglas fir and fastened with a 1.5-ton concrete ring, includes an altar littered with visitors' mementos =97 including guitar picks, Tibetan Buddhist paintings, a Yoda Pez dispenser and a set of dentures.

Under one of the 16 windows sits a set of seven large quartz bowls, which Nancy plays with a suede-covered wooden stick rubbed along the rim, producing astoundingly clear tones that reverberate through the airy space.

Joanne, a former cardiac nurse, describes the experience as "like being inside a giant cello." For \$10 per person, they'll give groups an ethereal, 30-minute jam session "sound bath," complete with blankets, pillows and rosemary-scented oils.

But for now, the attention is on next weekend's gathering.

"There's going to be some loony bins there," Harris says of Retro UFO's debut crowd; she's deciding between wearing a get-up of bobby socks and poodle skirt, plus the obligatory cowboy hat and alien antenna, or Judy Jetson gear.

Still, Harris and the Karl sisters enthusiastically embrace the era's nostalgia and mystery, and believe the cosmic force found in sun-baked Landers "restores [the] soul," Harris said.

"We don't want to lose that."

*

Indulge in a "sound bath" and take a tour of the Mojave Desert Integratron, site of the April 29 Retro UFO Spaceship Convention, in a video report plus photo gallery at calendarlive.com/ufo.

*

Retro UFO at the Integration

*

Where: 2477 Belfield Blvd., Landers

When: April 29, gate opens at 7:30 a.m., closes 9 p.m. Limited space for overnight stays.

Price: \$25, general admission; speaker venues and tours, \$15 each. All-access tickets, \$145 in advance, \$199 at the door. Overnight pass \$195 in advance, \$245 at the door.

Info: (760) 365-3266, <http://www.retroufo.com>

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda - Koi

From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi2.nul>
Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 10:26:47 +0100
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 08:03:42 -0400
Subject: Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda - Koi

>From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi2.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 00:49:27 +0100
>Subject: The 'True' Alien Agenda

>Aliens and the 57 Varieties : The True Alien Agenda
>By Andy Miller.

>Copyright 2006. [1]

Just to ensure there is no confusion in the future, I should make it absolutely clear that the article "Aliens and the 57 Varieties: The True Alien Agenda" by "Andy Miller", posted on 1 April 2006 at:

<http://tinyurl.com/e6crp>

and on various other forums, was an April Fools joke.

The article was intended as a light-hearted spoof of certain elements within ufology.

I did not think that anyone would believe it was intended seriously. Apart from the content of the article, more eagle-eyed readers may have spotted something odd about the "Registration Number" in the first footnote:

>[1] Copyright 2006. To enable international enforcement of my
>copyright in my real name, I hereby declare that I have
>registered my pseudonym ("Andy Miller") with the Intellectual
>Property Authority in the United Kingdom (Registration No.
>2006F040L) and that the date of first publication of this
>article is certified as April 1, 2006.

By the way, the supporting references are valid (including the references for the various quotes from the biography of HJ Heinz and those for the contribution of cow flatulence to global warming). It is merely the reasoning in the article that is completely bogus.

I started with the punch line, and worked backwards by searching for "supporting" evidence.

In relation to the numerous rhetorical questions asked (along the lines of "could it be that ...?"), I hope most people mentally responded "er, probably not".

In addition to those that thought "Andy Miller" was a seriously advancing a stupid theory, at least one researcher on another Forum appears to be sincere in a wish to take forward some of the claims made in that article. Following the "Andy Miller" article he appears (so far as I can understand his emails and website) to believe that there is a connection between property owned by Heinz, Roslin Chapel and an "ET connection" to "roslin and the bloodline secret societies". When I pointed out the (obvious?) fact that the article by "Andy Miller" was an April Fools spoof, he responded with an email beginning "yes that's true - it sounded farcical BUT" and commented that the article is "rather like ant and decs alien autopsy film - a good hoax to

help distract from and bury the truth about ETs". He concluded that it was a good thing that I posted the article since it makes him "want to ask more questions about the activities of the heinz corporation in masonic scotland".

I will now be reverting to more serious emails.

Kind Regards,

Isaac Koi

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Re: Milking That Cow - Lehmberg

From: **Alfred Lehmberg** <alienview.nul>
Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 07:26:09 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 08:08:17 -0400
Subject: Re: Milking That Cow - Lehmberg

>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 14:09:14 +1000
>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 09:09:59 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

<snip>

>Alfred/List

>Thanks for bringing (to me) a semblance of sanity. We don't
>agree on many things but this has struck a cord.

Odd - this the essence of the contents of the applicable
conceptual shadowbox (some would say nut-shell... I shan't), and
we agree. I suspect we disagree on very little, over coffee, in
the end.

>It seems the discussion can carry on as long as the "(add your
>own term)" aren't involved.

Pride - That which goes before a fall? Ego - Freud's short sheet
contribution to the knowledge base contributing to misogynation
and intellectual bigotry? Intellectual cowardice - speaks for
itself?

>Without wee weeing in your pocket I can appreciate but maybe not
>partake in your conversations.

Well - the post-toasties took a hit, but it took stone to
encourage me... besides, there is the matter of our agreement on
key issues to consider.

Thanks for the note.

alienview.nul
www.AlienView.net
AVG Blog - <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Re: Detlev Bronk - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 09:40:46 -0300
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 08:10:37 -0400
Subject: Re: Detlev Bronk - Friedman

>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 14:23:53 +1000
>Subject: Re: Detlev Bronk

>>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 12:37:47 -0300
>>Subject: Re: Detlev Bronk

><snip>

>>I did a lot of looking into Bronk more than 20 years ago. He was
>>not a physician, but a pathologist and biophysicist and very
>>active in Aviation Biology.

<snip>

>>I am
>>dubious about his being involved in an autopsy of an alien.I
>>believe that the document saying so was one of a bunch of false
>>documents received by Tim Cooper. He was not a pathologist. He
>>was very big in neurology and certainly would have been asked
>>for biomedical advice about handling aliens. I must have missed
>>any mention of the facility from which his 1947 diary was
>>supposedly missing. Anybody recall?

>Mr Friedman

>In your studies was Dr Bronk a Pathologist or not?

Sorry. I didn't proof-read closely enough. What I meant to say is
that he was a physiologist and bio-physicist not a pathologist.

Pathologists do indeed do autopsies.

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 06:29:00 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 08:11:44 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 17:48:28 -0400
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:47:01 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>Let me suggest that you locate a copy of Alien Discussions:
>Proceedings of the Abduction Study Conference. Cambridge, MA:
>North Cambridge Press, 1994. This is probably difficult to
>locate, but this is a compilation of the presentations at a 1994
>scientific conference on Alien Abductions. It won't have the
>evidence you seek, but will show the foundation of why there is
>interest among abduction researchers today.

Thanks Steve. I'll check out that book.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Re: Milking That Cow - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 06:35:40 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 08:13:06 -0400
Subject: Re: Milking That Cow - Nielsen

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 21:04:27 +0000
>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:11:49 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>My definition of high intelligence includes those species that
>>leave written records. I hope this helps.

>Well, I guess that rules out the supposed aliens, since they
>haven't left any written record that I am aware of; the dummies!

<snip>

But Dick, that would mean dismissing the "hieroglyphics"
witnessed by too many to list here. I accept that body of
testimony, less the physical evidence, because of the numbers.
Don't you?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

What Do We Know About ETs?

From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 08:14:18 -0700
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 08:19:30 -0400
Subject: What Do We Know About ETs?

Two recent threads - CE3/4/5 Evidence? and Why Are They Silent?
- have particularly made me wonder if there is anything we
definitely know about ETs, other than:

that they exist in many forms,
are technologically advanced,
are interested in us,
are studying us,
are not concerned that we see them,
leave the occasional trace of their visit,
seem to have been visiting for centuries.

If a publication has been put out listing what we do know/think
we know/speculate about the Essential ET? Not a bad title,
either.

I'd love to know what it is, if so. If not, what a great project
for someone. It could include whole chapters on describing the
following:

Species of ET (short and tall Greys; insectoids; dwarves ala
W.Strieber(?); etc.).

Craft physical descriptions and their observed capabilities.
Does ET make errors?

Does ET telecommunicate?

Is ET mentally superior to us?

What are the more accepted theories of ET by the UFO community?

Etc. (many, many topics for chapters).

Just a thought.

Irene

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

UFO Technology Leaders

From: **Craig Beasley** <fallingleaf.nul>
Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 10:21:04 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 08:22:34 -0400
Subject: UFO Technology Leaders

Hello All,

This question was sparked by an off-list question about Stan Friedman's 'work' on 'UFO technology' itself.

Generally, who has performed work on the technology and science that might, or might not, be behind UFO propulsion and control? I mean both the nuts-n-bolts stuff and the purely speculative, and all points in-between.

Thanks for the input in advance,

J. Craig Beasley

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 17:13:54 +0100 (BST)
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 08:25:47 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:31:51 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

Geez fellas, give the guy a break. I've no idea if Rick is a newbie but we don't have a very good record with them on this List.

Am I the only one who appears to think his original question, while admittedly naive, was nevertheless a good one?

The answer is quite straight forward; there is tons of evidence Rick, loads of it, but it all adds up to zilch for one very good reason. Without government validation it is useless. Forget scientists, sceptics, witnesses, film, video, etc. Without a suit saying, "Yeah, OK, they're here" we're all up a creek without a paddle.

It really is as simple as that.

Collectively and even beyond the boundaries of Ufology, we are completely incapable of agreeing on evidence. Note for example the number of medics who offered positive opinions about the AA film. We're a complete waste of space.

The solutions to this conundrum are as follows:

1. Take positive, illegal action. Hack computers, steal, lie etc. Be bold and be prepared to go where no ufologist has gone before.
2. Be nice to politicians, donate to their campaigns and re-elect them. And then hope that once in office, they will honour any pledges made in their campaign. Done that though and.....
3. Form a massive international caucus, like the right-wing Christians for example, so that as a lobby we become so powerful that we simply cannot be ignored. This by the way is a serious response to your original question but this particular point is laughable.
4. Identify an individual within the intelligence/defence field who you feel may have answers. Stalk him, kidnap him, torture him and release him only upon his revealing what he knows. Be prepared to kill him if necessary.
5. Devote your entire life from a very young age to finding out. Get a fabulous degree, join the military, work your way into intelligence and hopefully eventually get yourself into a position where you can find out for yourself from the inside. Then come and tell the rest of us. Please. We won't believe you by the way. You will be labelled a fantasist and a liar and your reputation will be trodden into the ground.
6. Ask Rick Doty. He seems to know a lot.

I could go on but the dog needs to be taken outside.

Any other suggestions folks?

Stuart Miller

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Re: House Shaking UFOs? - Kimball

From: Paul Kimball <TheRobieShark.nul>
Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 15:43:18 EDT
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 08:31:25 -0400
Subject: Re: House Shaking UFOs? - Kimball

>From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates <UFOUpdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 14:58:53 -0600
>Subject: Re: House-Shaking UFOs?

>>From: Luis R. Gonzalez <lrgm.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>,
>>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 19:52:27 +0200
>>Subject: House-Shaking UFOs?

>>One of the special effects some film makers employ when
>>referring to a UFO presence is the shaking - almost like an
>>earthquake - of the witness's house. Nevertheless, I had never
>>heard such detail in real UFO accounts.

<snip>

>Robert Gribble has several accounts in his NUFORC case
>recordings.

Here's a case from my neck of the woods, investigated by the
RCMP, and later by Chris Styles. I originally posted this at my
blog - see:

<http://tinyurl.com/g86f1>

Two different sets of witnesses, two totally different
experiences, as a result of the same case, happening at the same
time (whether the house actually shook was not made clear, but
the effect is somewhat similar):

ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE

Division: "H"
Subdivision: Halifax
Detachment: Bedford
RCMP File References: 76-085-117
76-085.100-4
Date: 27 OCT 76
Re: UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS
Sightings of - Lower Sackville
Halifax County, N.S. (16/17 OCT 76)

16 OCT 76

At 11:45 P.M., this date, a call was received at this office
from Mr. & Mrs. Percy WEBSTER of 39 Hillside Avenue, Lr.
Sackville, stating there were three unidentified flying objects
in the area of their residence. An immediate patrol was made by
the writer to the WEBSTER residence and upon arrival and with
the aid of the complainants' binoculars I noticed three
unidentified flying objects. All of them were round in shape and
had red flashing lights on the bottom and also a flashing white
light. There was a stable green light which appeared to be
located inside the objects. At this time the objects were
stationary; however, I noticed that they began to move and when
they did, the lights changed to a turquoise color. As the

objects began to move, their altitude became greater. There was no sound or smell. The sky was clear at this time.

2. An immediate check was made with the Halifax International Airport Tower and it was learned that there were no airplanes or helicopters in this area at this time and nothing was registering on their radar.

3. Other witnesses are Mr. & Mrs. Robert BEDFORD of 37 Hillside Ave., Lr. Sackville, and Percy WEBSTER, age fifteen years, son of Mr. & Mrs. Percy WEBSTER.

4. Upon discussing this matter with the WEBSTERS, it was learned that the unidentified flying objects had been seen earlier in the evening; however, had not reported it. At that time the objects appeared to have been round, cigar shaped with four lights. They were flat bottom and had three long windows. Complainant also stated that one of the objects appeared to dock on top of another for about two minutes and then they split again and each went off in their own direction. These objects were visible to the writer for approximately two hours and when I left the scene, they were still there.

5. I might add it is the opinion of the writer that there is no possibility at all that what I saw might have been stars or even another planet as I was looking through the binoculars and therefore, would have been able to tell the difference.

P. PHARAND, Cst. #29983 Bedford Highway Patrol

END REPORT

That's the official report. Styles tracked down the Websters. In his 2004 MUFON paper, he noted:

In the fall of 1996 I met with Mrs. Webster and her son... At the time of the interview the family still lived in the same house. Mrs. Webster recounted the same story as that in Pharand's narrative. There were no added embellishments in its second telling. My impression, at the time, was that the Webster's UFO sighting had been a strong 'nuts and bolts' case. And that might have been the end of it."

But then Styles, good investigator that he is, visited the other witnesses to the event, the Bedfords. Constable Pharand referred to them in his 1976 report, but did not provide their account of what happened.

At first, Mr. Bedford had trouble remembering the night in question. As it turned out, his wife was better with the details - when she told him that it was just after they had moved into the house from Ontario, he recalled the evening. Here is what he told Styles:

"He apologized for not being able to help me. As he was saying, 'Goodbye,' he paused. 'Wait a minute... I do remember. I know what you're talking about now. You see... I didn't see anything. That's why I was confused.

I asked Mr. Bedford how that was possible in light of the fact that the RCMP report had listed the couple as witnesses. Mr. Bedford explained that on the night of October 16, 1976, Constable Pharand knocked on their door. The couple spoke with the mountie at the doorway. They never stepped outside to look up. They did not want to. Previous to Constable Pharand's intrusion the Bedford's had been hiding upstairs with the blinds drawn. The cause for concern was a loud, persistent roaring noise unlike anything that they had heard before. Mr. Bedford felt that the source of the strident noise was something hovering low over their suburban home. Mr. Bedford was just as certain that whatever that 'something' was it was not anything like a helicopter or conventional aircraft. It should be noted that at the time of the incident Mr. Bedford was employed by DND as a naval architect and had considerable experience with such equipment.

Robert Bedford refused to speculate as to how his house could

come under a threatening din of noise while just next door, the Webster's and Constable Pharand managed to enjoy a two hour exhibition of three UFOs that hovered and maneuvered in silence. He was just grateful that the ordeal ended at the precise moment that the mountie knocked on the door. At the end of our conversation Mr. Bedford once again apologized for his reluctant memory. He expressed regret about how he reacted that strange October night. Fear of the unknown had got the better of him.

>There seems no end to the idiosyncracies of UFOs being observed
>and reported.

Indeed.

Paul Kimball
www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

UFO Believers - Parts I & II

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 09:25:18 -0400
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 09:25:18 -0400
Subject: UFO Believers - Parts I & II

Source: Amercian Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/zoyym>

and

<http://tinyurl.com/zvape>

April 18 & 19 2006

UFO Believers - Parts I & II
by
Frank Warren

Merriam-Webster's Online Dictionary gives the following
definition(s) for the verb, believe:

intransitive senses

1 a : to have a firm religious faith b : to accept as true,
genuine, or real -ideals we believe in- -believes in ghosts-

2 : to have a firm conviction as to the goodness, efficacy,
or ability of something -believe in exercise-

3 : to hold an opinion : THINK -I believe so-

transitive senses

1 a : to consider to be true or honest -believe the reports-
-you wouldn't believe how long it took- b : to accept the word
or evidence of -I believe you- -couldn't believe my ears-

2 : to hold as an opinion : SUPPOSE -I believe it will rain
soon-

- be=B7liev=B7er noun

- not believe : to be astounded at -I couldn't believe my
luck-

Intransitive, by the way means: not transitive; especially:
characterized by not having or containing a direct object.

When associating the verb, believe or the noun believer, one
who has a firm religious faith, or one who accepts something
as true or genuine, etc., with any given subject, it puts the
onus on the individual; that is it gives rise to doubt, it
leaves room for skepticism, contestability=97it isn't accepted as
an established fact.

More often then not, when one comes across a news report
concerning a UFO, by a main stream media source (one of the
networks, CNN etc.) it usually melds the verb, and or noun,

believe, believer(s) into the story. Those of us who pay attention see this as a common theme, and for the layperson who can recall anything on UFOs, I'm sure you remember the connotation implied.

Although the acronym UFO for U.nidentified F.lying S.aucers can be found in declassified Air Force documents, (prior to 1952) it is Capt. Edward J. Ruppelt, Chief of the Air Force's Project Blue Book who takes credit for making it the popular 'official' term, for the then, 'Flying Saucers'.

The underlying importance of this action is that the description of what was flying in US airspace (as well as all over the world) at the time went from being a definitive object, i.e., a flying craft in the shape of a flying saucer, to that of an unknown.

There is most certainly a psycho-sociological impact when an administrative body (particularly back in the 50's) in essence goes from describing what these objects are, to stating, they're unidentified.

Of course we later would see the Air Force's transparent objective in giving a conventional explanation to these now unknown objects. To that end, it is much easier to argue that an unknown was actually ball lightning e.g., then to change a flying saucer into the same.

Many Ufologists have theorized that this seemingly small word change was indeed a calculated psychological move, in part to de-emphasize the unusual activity over American skies=97whether this is true or not, there certainly was an impact nonetheless.

Although the term, UFO was introduced to the American lexicon in the early 50's, it's sibling Flying Saucer wasn't quick to leave=97in part because of so many reports describing a disc or saucer shaped craft in regards to UFOs.

I think it important to note that the media in the early days of Ufology took the subjects of UFOs very seriously, which was of course was a direct reflection of our society; in fact the largest press conference post WWII was in July 1952, and the topic was, you guessed it UFOS!

In a previous article (UFO Ignorance) which describes the aforementioned event (UFOs flying over Washington for weeks) a reader wrote in to say:

"I was fascinated by your account of the 1952 UFO flap around DC. I'm 68 now, but at age 13, I was there, living with my family - temporarily - in the sedate, colonial Alexandria home of my mother's sister and her husband. (My father was an Army officer. En route to Bogot=El, Colombia, Dad was going through Pentagon briefing.) What I recall as most striking about this flap was the ubiquitous excitement on local television, newspapers, and so forth. You didn't mention this in your piece, but individual sightings seemed to be all but continuous. Cars were piled up along the shoulders of the Mt. Vernon Parkway. Crowds gazing out across the Potomac toward DC and National Airport came and went. [Emphasis added]. I remember my grown cousin - Jim (a broker in the family real estate business) - arriving at the house one day all but breathless with excitement over a sighting.

As a sociologist I've long been fascinated by how civil authorities are able to virtually erase the direct experience (in this case) of literally hundreds of witnesses. Years would pass before my own inquiries would lead me to understand that UFOs represent a vital dimension of the human picture - hidden though it is behind smoke, mirrors, disinformation and sheer ignorance."

It's safe to say that the media back then didn't associate the phenomenon with terms, such as believe, believers enthusiasts etc., they reported the events as they happened, in a clear and concise manner. And as evidenced by the declaration from the reader above =97 it was quite a hair-raising experience!

Part II

For those of us that have been around long enough to watch the

transition of the media reporting the news to the editorializing of it, and some would say as of late the propagation of it=97many of us realize the power the medium wields which publishes this information. I often have said that the greatest power on the earth is the media, and that the most powerful people, are those that hold the reins.

Most military historians can cite the use of, and agree upon the importance of propaganda and its sister censorship. The layperson may find it surprising that the powers-that-be used those very tools from the very introduction (in a public way) to the UFO phenomenon.

When UFOs were reported off the coast in 1941 which set off two alarms and initiated a blackout, in the aftermath the War Department over turned eye witness accounts of their Generals in place and said the actions were only tests. Similarly, in February of 1942 it happened again, the powers-that-be discounted the declarations of thousands of witnesses, and gave an explanation of jittery war nerves.

As the war progressed and pilots were reporting what they nicknamed, Foo Fighters, (UFOs trailing our aircraft) a silence order was quickly put into effect. After the war in 1946, the Swedish officials exorcised censorship with the media in regards to what would be called Ghost Rockets.

Following the death (in 1947) of two official UFO investigators (Brown & Davidson) of the Army's CIC (Counter Intelligence Corps) in a fiery airplane crash, after meeting flying saucer witness Kenneth Arnold the military put a muzzle on the media for weeks.

In 1953, a group was put together by the CIA called The Robertson Panel, led by its namesake H. P. Robertson, a noted physicist from the California Institute of Technology. The panel consisted of a distinguished group of non-military scientists to study the UFO issue. It included Samuel A. Goudsmit, a nuclear physicist from the Brookhaven National Laboratories; Luis Alvarez, a high-energy physicist; Thornton Page, the deputy director of the Johns Hopkins Operations Research Office and an expert on radar and electronics; and Lloyd Berkner, a director of the Brookhaven National Laboratories and a specialist in geophysics.

Following a very brief investigation the panel concluded that the manipulation of information to the public was paramount. The panel recommended to the National Security Council that UFO reports be debunked and a policy of public education instituted to reassure the masses of the lack of evidence behind UFOs. It suggested using the media, advertising, business clubs, schools, and even the Disney corporation to get the message across.

The Air Force terminated its (overt) investigation (Project Blue Book) of UFOs in 1969 with the completion of the Condon Report. The common consensus amongst ufologists is that Blue Book was at the least a weak attempt at investigating the phenomenon, and at most, an internal cog of the debunking process.

Which brings us back to recent times; back to the media using terms like "believe", "believers", "enthusiasts", etc., in regard to reporting the UFO phenomenon. (Noted ufologist Richard Hall in How To Debunk UFOs And Discredit UFO Proponents, writes, "Always refer to them as UFO believers or ETH believers, implying that their position is faith-based.") Some believe that there exists a conspiracy today executed by those whom hold the reins to what Americans read, see or hear regarding the news, specifically in relation to UFO reports.

Whether the latter is true or not, is open for debate; however, in my view, the past actions of the powers-that-be certainly have had a psycho-sociological effect on society, as well as the media and this phenomenon has crossed generations.

Imagine if you will a news report about the Empire State Building, with a reporter stating, Empire State Building believers gathered today... or Washington Monument believers stated today... Doesn't make sense does it? Associating the verb 'believers' with a factual thing is nonsensical =97 period! The irony of course is that the term UFO was created by the very agency that was most fervent in its attempts to discredit it.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: ufoupdateslist.com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Wake-Up Call On Alien Visits

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 09:30:46 -0400
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 09:30:46 -0400
Subject: Wake-Up Call On Alien Visits

Source: The Sydney Morning Herald - New South Wales, Australia

<http://tinyurl.com/z8sgz>

April 20, 2006

Wake-Up Call On Alien Visits
By Stephen Pincock

Sleep patterns, not little green men, can explain perceived close encounters.

Over the past 30 years or so thousands of people around the world have reported being abducted by aliens. The basics of their experiences are familiar to us all, courtesy of a host of television shows and documentaries.

It goes something like this: one moment they were lying in bed or driving along a lonely road, and the next they'd been whisked away to a flying saucer and subjected to shocking experiments by extraterrestrials with big heads, almond eyes and slits for nostrils.

The people who report such visitations are utterly convinced they happened, but there is no evidence that aliens have ever been on the planet. In an effort to understand this disparity, researchers have been studying the psychology of alien abductees, with some revealing results.

A recent study was reported by Professor Chris French, head of the Anomalistic Psychology Research Unit at Goldsmiths College, University of London.

French and his colleagues wanted to compare the psychology of a group of 19 people who believed they had had experiences of alien encounters and another group of 19 age-and gender-matched people who hadn't. The team subjected all the people to tests that measured their tendency to have paranormal beliefs and hallucinations, the ease with which they become engrossed in experiences and their propensity to enter into altered states of consciousness.

On all those scales, the 19 who said they had experiences of alien encounters scored significantly higher than the control group.

"What was interesting was that the 'experiencers' scored more highly for paranormal beliefs and other paranormal experiences," French says. "It's not just that these people have one-off experiences - they have a range of them."

The researchers also asked the subjects if they had experienced a little-known phenomenon called sleep paralysis, a condition that takes place when our sleep cycles slip out of synchronisation. Rather than moving easily between sleeping and waking, we get caught midway.

The result is that our mind "wakes up" before our body does, leaving us in a dreamlike state, unable to move. This is something that about 40 per cent of the population is said to experience at least once in their lives, and is often associated with hallucinations, a feeling that some malevolent person or thing is in the room with you, and a sense of a crushing weight on your chest.

"It all seems very real and it's very, very frightening," French says. "But what is happening is that people are still in a kind of REM sleep - the kind associated with dreams - which is coming through into consciousness."

Most people who experience sleep paralysis shrug it off, but a fraction are more concerned about the experience. In some cultures, the phenomenon has been thought of as a sign that evil spirits or other nasties have taken over your body.

In our high-tech world we scoff at the idea of evil spirits. But some people who experience sleep paralysis associate it with alien abduction, as Susan Clancy, a psychology researcher from Harvard University, describes in her recent book *Abducted: How People Come to Believe They Were Kidnapped by Aliens*.

She recounts stories told to her by several people who think they were abducted. James, a 50-year-old dermatologist, described it like this: "I found myself waking up in the middle of the night, seized with fear. There were beings standing around my bed, but I was totally paralysed, incapable of moving. I felt surges of electricity shooting through my body."

For researchers such as Clancy and French, this sounds a lot like sleep paralysis. They see alien abduction as part of a wider matrix of paranormal experiences, such as seeing ghosts and past-life memories.

Clancy says most people she has spoken to who think they have had encounters with aliens don't initially have memories of the experience. They "simply believed that they'd been abducted", she writes.

In many cases, those who do acquire memories do so after undergoing techniques such as hypnosis, regression therapy or guided imagery designed to help them "retrieve" their memories of events. These processes can create memories of things that never happened.

Even without hypnosis, false memories can be generated in the right person, Clancy notes. The key factor is protracted imagining of an event in the presence of an authority figure who encourages belief in the memories that emerge. This, she says, is what happens when alien abductees fall into the hands of abduction researchers who help them "recover" abduction memories.

"We've all seen *The X Files*, we know what alien abduction is supposed to be like," French says. "So people weave together their expectations, beliefs and so on into what they convince themselves is true." For people who have the psychological profile he found among his alien experiencers, this may be an easier task than for others.

French goes further and hypothesises that at least in some cases, childhood trauma such as sexual abuse, physical abuse or terrible illness may trigger a kind of psychological coping mechanism that allows people to become absorbed in a fantasy life as a way of escaping from unpleasant reality.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Supreme Court Takes Up Insanity Defense

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 09:43:42 -0400
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 09:43:42 -0400
Subject: Supreme Court Takes Up Insanity Defense

Source: The Independent Florida Alligator - University of Florida
Gainesville, Florida, USA

http://www.alligator.org/pt2/060420ap_court.php

Thursday, April 20, 2006

Supreme Court Takes Up Insanity Defense About Aliens

The Associated Press

Washington (AP) - Supreme Court justices rarely talk about Martians.

But on Wednesday, extraterrestrials were at the heart of a case brought by a schizophrenic teenager who says he killed an Arizona police officer because he thought the lawman was a space alien.

Until now, the high court has avoided challenges to insanity defense laws, even as states around the country toughened their laws following John Hinckley's acquittal by reason of insanity in the 1981 shooting of President Reagan.

It was a surprise when justices agreed to review Eric Michael Clark's case, and they seemed uninterested Wednesday in broadly addressing the constitutional rights of psychotic criminal defendants whose lawyers want them sentenced to psychiatric facilities instead of prisons.

Court members, however, did repeatedly refer to the unusual facts of Clark's case, signaling that they are likely to rule narrowly.

He was a popular football star until he became convinced that aliens had taken over his town, Flagstaff, Ariz., and that his own parents were aliens.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Magonia Blog

From: John Harney <magonia.nul>
Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 21:02:06 +0100
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 09:45:02 -0400
Subject: Magonia Blog

I have recently started a blog which discusses UFOs and the other topics dealt with in Magonia and Magonia Supplement:

<http://mufob.blogspot.com>

John Harney

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Secrecy News -- 04/20/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 16:23:00 -0400
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 09:55:12 -0400
Subject: Secrecy News -- 04/20/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 49
April 20, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** A FLUTTER OVER AIR FORCE ONE SCHEMATICS
** WORLD LAW BULLETIN
** IN THE NEWS
** AN ARMY GUIDE TO GERMAN CUSTOMS

A FLUTTER OVER AIR FORCE ONE SCHEMATICS

When the San Francisco Chronicle reported April 8 that information about the design and layout of the Presidential aircraft Air Force One was available on the world wide web, it generated a spasm of anxiety in some quarters.

The anxiety was magnified by a follow-on story in the Chronicle April 19, reprinted in the Pentagon Early Bird today, which observed ominously that the information "still remain[s] publicly available."

The reporter, Paul J. Caffera, spoke to several people in and out of government who were prepared to express alarm about the disclosure. He did not quote anyone who downplayed its significance or the potential threat that it might pose.

On closer examination, it appears that the Chronicle story exaggerated the entire matter, and not only by mistakenly referring to the information as "classified" (an error that it corrected today).

The notion of a Secret that may lead to fatal vulnerability if exposed has mythological force and deep psychological resonance. But fear untethered by objective scrutiny is a poor guide to government information policymaking.

To begin with, the document that the Chronicle found on the web has never been classified. To the contrary, it was specifically reviewed and cleared for public release years ago.

This was no accident. As Stephen I. Schwartz observed in a cogent critique of the Chronicle story last week, the Air Force document was deliberately made public:

"It's part of a safety manual, written so firefighters and emergency responders can quickly rescue Air Force One's pilots and passengers if there's an accident or mishap," noted Schwartz, the former publisher of the Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists.

It follows that new efforts to suppress the document in response

to the Chronicle story may tend to impede accident preparedness.

See Schwartz's critique on the DefenseTech blog "Air Force One Scare: Real Security Sacrificed," April 11:

<http://www.defensetech.org/archives/002315.html>

John Pike of GlobalSecurity.org observed that he regularly finds "all kinds of stuff" that is genuinely sensitive, such as new details relating to Improvised Explosive Devices (IEDs) in Iraq, which his organization refrains from publishing.

But the information in the unclassified Air Force One safety manual that triggered the Chronicle story, he said, "is neither very interesting nor unique." See:

<http://www.globalsecurity.org/military/systems/aircraft/vc-25-schem.htm>

WORLD LAW BULLETIN

The Law Library of Congress, which maintains the world's largest collection of legal materials, produces a monthly publication called World Law Bulletin that features the highlights of legal developments around the world, as well as occasional essays on specialized topics by some of the Library's resident experts.

For no good reason, however, the World Law Bulletin is not released to the public. Until such time as Congress authorizes it to do so, the Library cannot make it directly available. Efforts are underway to instigate a change in disclosure policy to permit public release, but that has not happened yet.

In the meantime, Secrecy News has obtained the latest issues from December 2005 through March 2006.

Scanning the March issue at random, one learns that "The Government of Botswana has amended the Liquor Act so that as of April 1, 2006, beer may only be sold for five hours a day."

But a Library analyst astutely observes that "Bars and bottle shops are to open at 5 p.m. and close at 11 p.m. (which would appear to be a six-hour period for legal sales)."

See the latest issues of World Law Bulletin here:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/othergov/wlb/index.html>

IN THE NEWS

"Security guards at the Department of Homeland Security were forced last month to sign agreements not to disclose information the agency deems sensitive -- an attempt, according to several current guards, to silence them after recent high-profile revelations of security breaches at DHS."

See "Guards Say Non-Disclosure Agreements Were Used to Hide Security Flaws at DHS" by Patrick Yoest, CQ Homeland Security, April 19:

http://public.cq.com/public/20060420_homeland.html

"While no one is paying much attention, the Bush administration is promoting a reading of an old and largely moribund law that could radically diminish the openness of U.S. government while criminalizing huge swaths of academic debate and journalism," the Washington Post editorialized today on the AIPAC case.

See "Dangerous Prosecution," April 20:

<http://tinyurl.com/luog7>

The FBI's attempt to gain access to files of the late Jack Anderson "is one of the more outrageous steps in a campaign by the Bush administration to hide information from the public," according to the Kansas City Star.

See "FBI needs to back off," April 20:

<http://www.kansascity.com/mld/kansascity/news/opinion/14381508.htm>

AN ARMY GUIDE TO GERMAN CUSTOMS

The U.S. Army last year published a handbook for commanders and other U.S. military personnel who are newly deployed to Germany which describes German customs, protocol and etiquette -- as understood by the Army.

It includes a wide variety of interesting and peculiar details, including an introduction to German wine and beer.

"German wine categories are more complicated than German beer categories," the Army guide says. "There are three types of wine and three colors."

It also includes advice for how to handle delicate interpersonal situations.

For example, if two persons pledge brotherhood ("Bruederschaft") over drinks and switch from using the formal you ("Sie") to the informal you ("du") and one of them later comes to regret the intimacy -- what then?

"If an unhesitating 'Sie' is used [by one person] at the next encounter following a Bruederschaft drink, the other person should also revert to using 'Sie'."

See "Commanders Guide to German Society, Customs, and Protocol," USAREUR Pamphlet 360-6, 20 September 2005:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/army/ae-pam-360-6.pdf>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Re: CUFOS In-Home Environment Detector Results? -

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 16:37:13 -0400
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 09:56:58 -0400
Subject: Re: CUFOS In-Home Environment Detector Results? -

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 11:44:41 -0400
>Subject: Re: CUFOS In-Home Environment Detector Results?

<snip>

>The Abduction Monitoring Project was conducted by the UFO
>Coalition, and CUFOS was only part of the equation.

>That being said, the project was completed a couple of years
>ago, as you note, and a final report (to my knowledge) has not
>been written as yet.

>Mark Rodeghier was working on it, but I believe the issue is now
>finding the funding to have the results properly analyzed by an
>independent entity.

>To my knowledge, there are no major correlations to report, but
>an indepth analysis might show something that isn't visible with
>a quick review.

It would be of considerable interest to know if any abductions
occurred during the study, or, did the environmental monitoring
sensors 'scare-off' the abductors?

Also, if there were abductions during times the sensors were
active, even without "analysis", simply posting sensor readings
versus time, over periods bracketing the abductions would be
very interesting for at least members of this list to look at,
I'd think.

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Re: House-Shaking UFOs? - Rimmer

From: John Rimmer <jrimmer.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 00:59:28 +0100
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 10:00:40 -0400
Subject: Re: House-Shaking UFOs? - Rimmer

>From: Luis R. Gonzalez <lrgm.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>,
>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 19:52:27 +0200
>Subject: House-Shaking UFOs?

>One of the special effects some film makers employ when
>referring to a UFO presence is the shaking - almost like an
>earthquake - of the witness's house. Nevertheless, I had never
>heard such detail in real UFO accounts.

The very earliest accounts from the Warminster (Wiltshire, England) wave in the 1960s involved house roofs being shaken by "The Thing", as it was called at the time. In his book "The Warminster Mystery" (Spearman, 1967) local journalist Arthur Shuttlewood records:

Ted and Gwen Davies live in a thatched cottage at Crockerton...

Whilst having breakfast on the morning of Thursday, 25thg March [1965] both heard the flapping of birds' wings rustling over the rooftop...

Then came the grinding metallic undertone of The Thing in closer contact...

"Our rafters shook and the windows rattled", Mrs Davies told me...

Mr Davies spoke of "the loud clattering from the chimney and a gale-force wind..."

Shuttlewood recounts a number of similar cases. For further examples I recommend the excellent book "In Alien Heat: the Warminster Mystery Revisited", by Steve Dewey and John Ries - Anomalist Books, 2005.

John Rimmer

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Harrison

From: Diane Harrison <aufor.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 15:23:52 +1000
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 10:04:19 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Harrison

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 17:48:28 -0400
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>IMO, the level of evidence you appear to be looking for does not
>exist to 'prove' the validity of abductions. Physical injury
>cases are the best I can think of at the moment, but they only
>lead to anecdotal unsubstantiated descriptions of what happened.

Remember the pubic-hair?

>The Kelly Cahill abduction event in Australia a few years back
>was a good multiple abduction case with a fairly involved
>investigation performed by different researchers in parallel.
>But it's not a smoking gun case that will prove abductions are
>occurring.

I agree we need more smoking-guns with hard evidence.

Have you guys forgotten about Peter Khoury's case and the DNA
done on the evidence collected researched by Bill Chalker and
his team of experts?

http://www.theozfiles.com/anomaly_investigation_group.html

Warmest Regards

Diane Harrison/Frola

The Australian UFO Research Network
<http://www.aufor.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw? - Olmos

From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <ballesterolmos.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 11:00:06 +0200 (CEST)
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 11:00:01 -0400
Subject: Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw? - Olmos

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 13:44:26 -0800
>Subject: Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw?

<snip>

>On June 27, 1950, a photographer for the Louisville Times, Al
>Hixenbaugh, made a 100 second movie of a UFO hanging over
>Louisville. A few frames from the movie made it into the
>Louisville Times the next day. What was striking was the shape
>was exactly the way Kenneth Arnold had drawn the shape when he
>wrote a letter to AAF intelligence back on July 12, 1947. For a
>side-by-side comparison of the Arnold drawing and the Louisville
>and Phoenix objects (plus artists' conceptions of what the
>Roswell craft supposedly looked like, see:

><http://www.roswellproof.com/roswellsummary.html>

>The newspaper reported that AF intelligence was interested in
>examining the film. You'll also find the FBI UFO files carrying
>the story, plus mentioning the AF was interested. More
>interestingly, if you go over to the new Project Blue Book
>website, there are several documents there from the heads of AF
>intelligence and counterintelligence expressing a keen interest
>in the film, and discussing how they might approach Hixenbaugh
>so as to disguise their interest.

>I actually phoned Hixenbaugh some years back and he was a
>super reluctant witness. He didn't want to talk about it or
>anything else, claiming he didn't remember anything, including
>what happened to the film. After about half an hour of this, I
>got him to admit he still had the film in his possession when he
>finally did meet with military intelligence, and that's all he
>would say. I strongly suspect that this film too got confiscated
>and they put the fear of God into the guy, since he was so
>reluctant to talk about the episode even 50 years later. But
>that's pure speculation on my part.

<snip>

I just want to observe that this same person had already been in
the news by having photographed a UFO, in fact a pair of white
vapor trails over the sky=B4s dark background, in Louisville, on
July 7, 1947.

Just for the record.

Best regards,

Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos
Manager, FOTOCAT Project
<http://fotocat.blogspot.com>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 08:36:09 -0400
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 11:02:42 -0400
Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Shell

>From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 08:14:18 -0700
>Subject: What Do We Know About ETs?

>Two recent threads - CE3/4/5 Evidence? and Why Are They Silent?
>- have particularly made me wonder if there is anything we
>definitely know about ETs, other than:

>that they exist in many forms,
>are technologically advanced,
>are interested in us,
>are studying us,
>are not concerned that we see them,
>leave the occasional trace of their visit,
>seem to have been visiting for centuries.

I don't think we definitely know any of that. We don't even
definitely know that there are ETs.

We know that some very odd things have been seen by many people.

We know that some very odd things happen to some people.

But to jump from that to saying we definitely know that these
events have anything to do with ETs is fallacious. While we may
be dealing with extra-terrestrial entities, it is equally
possible that we are dealing with extra-temporal entities,
extra-dimensional entities, or something else we haven't even
theorized as yet.

My personal vote is for the latter.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Re: Milking That Cow - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 13:38:16 +0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 11:04:15 -0400
Subject: Re: Milking That Cow - Hall

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 06:35:40 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 21:04:27 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:11:49 -0700 (PDT)
>>>Subject: Re: Milking That Cow

>>>My definition of high intelligence includes those species that
>>>leave written records. I hope this helps.

>>Well, I guess that rules out the supposed aliens, since they
>>haven't left any written record that I am aware of; the dummies!

><snip>

>But Dick, that would mean dismissing the "hieroglyphics"
>witnessed by too many to list here. I accept that body of
>testimony, less the physical evidence, because of the numbers.
>Don't you?

Rick,

I suspect you are into attempted leg-pulling. No idea what body
of testimony you are talking about. Sounds to me as if you have
gotten lost in the maze of kook literature.

There are various symbols reported by abductees that I am well
aware of, but these certainly don't amount to a recognizable
written language or written record.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 06:52:38 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 11:07:52 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 17:13:54 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:31:51 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>Geez fellas, give the guy a break. I've no idea if Rick is a
>newbie but we don't have a very good record with them on this
>List.

Thanks Stuart!

<snip>

>The answer is quite straight forward; there is tons of evidence
>Rick, loads of it, but it all adds up to zilch for one very good
>reason. Without government validation it is useless. Forget
>scientists, sceptics, witnesses, film, video, etc. Without a
>suit saying, "Yeah, OK, they're here" we're all up a creek
>without a paddle.

>It really is as simple as that.

>Collectively and even beyond the boundaries of Ufology, we are
>completely incapable of agreeing on evidence. Note for example
>the number of medics who offered positive opinions about the AA
>film. We're a complete waste of space.

Not a complete waste, Stuart!

>The solutions to this conundrum are as follows:

<snip>

>3. Form a massive international caucus, like the right-wing
>Christians for example, so that as a lobby we become so powerful
>that we simply cannot be ignored. This by the way is a serious
>response to your original question but this particular point is
>laughable.

<snip>

>5. Devote your entire life from a very young age to finding out.
>Get a fabulous degree, join the military, work your way into
>intelligence and hopefully eventually get yourself into a
>position where you can find out for yourself from the inside.
>Then come and tell the rest of us. Please. We won't believe you
>by the way. You will be labelled a fantasist and a liar and your
>reputation will be trodden into the ground.

<snip>

>Any other suggestions folks?

I'm interested in your number 3 and 5 solutions above. But isn't

that what Steven Greer, et al, are doing, beyond selling books and videos?

On a more serious note, I would add another solution. I work in an American criminal justice field.

No, I'm not the Cheap Trick songster; though I am partial to his music!

It's partiality I speak to now. I'm used to two forms of court judgements: those based on preponderance of evidence, and those 'beyond a reasonable doubt'.

Not to bore those who know, but preponderance really means the judge is 51% or more convinced.

In other words, the scales of justice are starting to tip one way or the other. I think this would equal "gray box" evidence.

Beyond reasonable doubt would include all the CE1/2 evidence.

Could these be the standard for all UFO evidence?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 07:05:26 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 11:10:45 -0400
Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Nielsen

>From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 08:14:18 -0700
>Subject: What Do We Know About ETs?

>Two recent threads - CE3/4/5 Evidence? and Why Are They Silent?
>- have particularly made me wonder if there is anything we
>definitely know about ETs, other than:

>that they exist in many forms,
>are technologically advanced,
>are interested in us,
>are studying us,
>are not concerned that we see them,
>leave the occasional trace of their visit,
>seem to have been visiting for centuries.

>If a publication has been put out listing what we do know/think
>we know/speculate about the Essential ET? Not a bad title,
>either.

>I'd love to know what it is, if so. If not, what a great project
>for someone.

<snip>

I believe there are many books available that have much of what
you've listed. Right now I'm thinking of all those UFO
encyclopedias offered.

There are also the leaked government manuals, (SOM, The Fire
Response Manual, etc.). But maybe there's a real need for
something which connects the dots, in a more obvious way.

For example, I know the evidence is there to have a firm basis
for conclusions regarding advanced technology, compared to human
endeavors up to the Cold War era, at least.

If you write it Irene, I'll buy it!

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 15:53:04 +0100 (BST)
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 11:12:31 -0400
Subject: Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda - Miller

>From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi2.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 10:26:47 +0100
>Subject: Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda

>>From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi2.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 00:49:27 +0100
>>Subject: The 'True' Alien Agenda

>>Aliens and the 57 Varieties : The True Alien Agenda
>>By Andy Miller.

>>Copyright 2006. [1]

>Just to ensure there is no confusion in the future, I should
>make it absolutely clear that the article "Aliens and the 57
>Varieties: The True Alien Agenda" by "Andy Miller", posted on
>1 April 2006 at:

><http://tinyurl.com/e6crp>

Ah yes, all very well Isaac but when thinking up a spoof, was it really necessary to purloin my surname?

Now, if it hadn't been the case before, the Ufological public will come to associate the name "Miller" with a light weight and superficial attitude towards the subject and a person who appears to be fascinated by bodily functions when in reality we all know that the opposite isn't true.

Messrs Sue, Grabbit & Run a firm of solicitors I believe you are intimately acquainted with have been engaged and are now considering the matter.

Stuart Miller

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Smith

From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 11:00:21 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 11:16:09 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Smith

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 17:13:54 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:31:51 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>Am I the only one who appears to think his original question,
>while admittedly naive, was nevertheless a good one?

Its a valid question but needs some clarification to be a
good question.

As has been discussed, the main issue is what the definition of 'proof' is for Mr. Nielsen's purposes. He is willing to accept CE1-CE2 evidence as 'proof'. This assumes that one can believe hearsay. For instance, I accept evidence of Australia as 'proof' that it exists even though I personally have never been there. Some purists (or philosophers) would not be so inclined. In order to function in the world we take these kind of shortcuts with 'proof' otherwise we would get bogged-down to a point of inactivity.

Thus, the issue is whether he is trying to prove it for himself (really the only realistic approach) or prove it scientifically. The later is tedious and very difficult for a quasi-repeatable phenomena such as UFOs. Not only do you have to capture the data, but others must be able to use your setup to repeat the data capture independently. Project Hessdalen has this approach and even so can't come up with "answers", although it has lots of evidence (only anecdotal for CE5 though I think).

If he just wants to prove it to himself, it is highly subjective based on his own internal proof level.

For CE3-CE5 we got alot of witness testimony. It is never safe to discount this altogether. It is interesting and guides serious investigation, but cannot be taken as proof unless a) it happens to you or b) can be confirmed with recordings. If a abductee is carrying a GPS unit and is carried into the air and this is recorded, then we have something.

>The answer is quite straight forward; there is tons of evidence
>Rick, loads of it, but it all adds up to zilch for one very good
>reason. Without government validation it is useless. Forget
>scientists, sceptics, witnesses, film, video, etc. Without a
>suit saying, "Yeah, OK, they're here" we're all up a creek
>without a paddle.

Too bad that you feel it requires "suits" to 'prove' something. If a person proves it to himself then why wouldn't that give adequate satisfaction? Some people need a low level of proof to have a point of view. Some of us (I think a much smaller percentage) need much more data before we have proof. But if you get the government involved, proof isn't even needed. They can make up stuff right and left. They can pick and choose whatever

scientists they want or just make up "facts". They are policy makers, not truth sayers. Do not trust the government to ever tell the truth.

If you want to change the perspective of society on the UFO issue, don't wait for the government. Just gather unassailable/repeatable instrumented data and distribute it, tell people how you did it so they can do it too, make it cheap enough for others to do it, make it an Internet accessible network.

>The solutions to this conundrum are as follows:

>1. Take positive, illegal action. Hack computers, steal, lie >etc. Be bold and be prepared to go where no ufologist has gone >before.

Bah. You trust the government to give you real 'hacked' data? Pretty funny!

>2. Be nice to politicians, donate to their campaigns and re- >elect them. And then hope that once in office, they will honour >any pledges made in their campaign. Done that though and....

You trust politicians to tell you the truth? Do you think those in charge of the real secrets would let politicians know them?

>3. Form a massive international caucus, like the right-wing >Christians for example, so that as a lobby we become so powerful >that we simply cannot be ignored. This by the way is a serious >response to your original question but this particular point is >laughable.

Well, the right-wing Christians don't seem to be getting much respect and their agenda isn't getting anywhere, while secular groups have much more power.

Perhaps if you used the term "communist, atheistic liberals" then it would be more apparent they have some power. Even so, again, they would have not impact on the non- political (bureaucratic or military) secret keepers.

>4. Identify an individual within the intelligence/defence field >who you feel may have answers. Stalk him, kidnap him, torture >him and release him only upon his revealing what he knows. Be >prepared to kill him if necessary.

Please, don't give any nuts out there ideas. The world has enough problems like this already! And its a bad one not only ethically and morally but also no data from this will be reliable.

>5. Devote your entire life from a very young age to finding out. >Get a fabulous degree, join the military, work your way into >intelligence and hopefully eventually get yourself into a >position where you can find out for yourself from the inside. >Then come and tell the rest of us. Please. We won't believe you >by the way. You will be labelled a fantasist and a liar and your >reputation will be trodden into the ground.

Hopefully, anyone like this will have sufficient honor to keep the secrets he was entrusted with rather than be a mole.

The only approach is to eschew government participation in this issue. Then, as related above, do some damn instrumented field research and stop relying on the same ole interviewing witnesses. Interviews alone will never sway some people. We got enough interviews already, thank you very much! Blanket the country with automated observation stations tied to an Internet web site (like earthquake monitoring stations in California).

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Rome

From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 08:46:40 -0700
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 16:04:34 -0400
Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Rome

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 08:36:09 -0400
>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

>>From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 08:14:18 -0700
>>Subject: What Do We Know About ETs?

>>Two recent threads - CE3/4/5 Evidence? and Why Are They Silent?
>>- have particularly made me wonder if there is anything we
>>definitely know about ETs, other than:

>>that they exist in many forms,
>>are technologically advanced,
>>are interested in us,
>>are studying us,
>>are not concerned that we see them,
>>leave the occasional trace of their visit,
>>seem to have been visiting for centuries.

>I don't think we definitely know any of that. We don't even
>definitely know that there are ETs.

>We know that some very odd things have been seen by many people.

>We know that some very odd things happen to some people.

>But to jump from that to saying we definitely know that these
>events have anything to do with ETs is fallacious. While we may
>be dealing with extra-terrestrial entities, it is equally
>possible that we are dealing with extra-temporal entities,
>extra-dimensional entities, or something else we haven't even
>theorized as yet.

>My personal vote is for the latter.

"ET" is a generic term for entities of the UFO phenomenon. As
you say, we don't know if they are proper extra-terrestrials,
and I agree with you that they are not. All we know is that
they're with us. If they aren't, then what psychological
pathology(?) is running pandemic through us - a question first
posed by Jacques Vallee.

Is there a term other than ET that suits the phenomenon better?

Irene

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Rome

From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 09:26:31 -0700
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 16:06:12 -0400
Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Rome

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 07:05:26 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

>>From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 08:14:18 -0700
>>Subject: What Do We Know About ETs?

>>Two recent threads - CE3/4/5 Evidence? and Why Are They Silent?
>>- have particularly made me wonder if there is anything we
>>definitely know about ETs, other than:

>>that they exist in many forms,
>>are technologically advanced,
>>are interested in us,
>>are studying us,
>>are not concerned that we see them,
>>leave the occasional trace of their visit,
>>seem to have been visiting for centuries.

>>If a publication has been put out listing what we do know/think
>>we know/speculate about the Essential ET? Not a bad title,
>>either.

>>I'd love to know what it is, if so. If not, what a great project
>>for someone.

><snip>

>But maybe there's a real need for
>something which connects the dots, in a more obvious way.

Yes, that's what I was thinking.

>For example, I know the evidence is there to have a firm basis
>for conclusions regarding advanced technology, compared to human
>endeavors up to the Cold War era, at least.

>If you write it Irene, I'll buy it!

Thanks. I would like to, but am too unreliable for book-writing,
and my knowledge of UFOs too haphazard. Your promise, though,
should spur someone to the task. My vote is for Stan Friedman,
no nonsense guy that he is. I'd certainly buy it.

Irene

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 13:42:32 -0300
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 16:08:01 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 17:13:54 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:31:51 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>Geez fellas, give the guy a break. I've no idea if Rick is a
>newbie but we don't have a very good record with them on this
>List.

>Am I the only one who appears to think his original question,
>while admittedly naive, was nevertheless a good one?

Could be. It's not like his line of investigation has not been
pursued many times before on this list. He need only to go back
into the Update archives. Also Rick takes the line of least
resistance having not answered my last email to his response.

Don

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

UFO Report

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 14:59:16 -0300
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 16:09:42 -0400
Subject: UFO Report

I received this case early on the morning of March 30/06 from a radio announcer of the local station. I contacted the witness shortly after.

Date of UFO Event: March 29/06
Date of Interview: March 30/06
Location: Bridgewater,NS
Time: 7:05-7:10 PM Local and 7:25-7:30PM Local
Sky Conditions: Clear and just after dusk.
Witness:[C.L.] Male-58 yearsTo summarize:

An object was seen to be traveling from southeast to northwest up the LaHave River which winds it way inland for about 12 miles [20 kms] and through the town of Bridgewater. According to the witness [C.L.] the object was low, below 2,000 feet just over the tree line. Two lights [like car head lights] were seen were approaching the witness [C.L.] who observed the object from his patio. The witness drew it to the attention of his daughter's boyfriend who saw the object only as it had turned and was displaying a red light. Witnesses heard no sound and thought the object had approached within approx.1,000 feet[342 meters] from him.

The witness is used to seeing a Sikorsky-76 Provincial Med-Evac helicopter approaching in the same region as it comes in to land at the Bridgewater Hospital. It approaches in that area as it is less densely populated.

The event occurred once again at the second stated time.

I contacted CFB Greenwood Wing Ops for the possibility of radar contact and was told that another had contacted them as well which turned out to be the radio announcer. They had told him there had been an Aurora maneuvering in that area at that time.

I was told to contact a Captain Hallet a Wing Public Affairs officer. I called and had to wait until the following Tuesday for his return-he was on leave. Did so and ended up talking with Capt. J.Gallant who told me that Hallet was out of the office. He looked up the information I was looking for which tended to support the possibility of the object seen as being an Aurora [P-3 Orion for the Americans]. I was thinking then that an Aurora was probably the culprit but the lack of sound was troubling.

Capt. Gallant asked if he could back to me as he didn't have the report on hand, so I settled in for the usual week's delay. He called me 5 minutes later and reported an practice operation was being carried on with a pilot checking out in an Aurora. An approach had been made up the river twice at around 7:05PM and 7:25PM with the two wing landing lights on which is mandatory when maneuvering below 2,500 feet in case of the possibility of other commercial/private aircraft are working the same area. The aircraft was approaching within 5 miles of B'water and turned right then completed the square 180 turn as a track crawling maneuver for search and rescue training. In this case the Aurora was as low as 1,500 feet coming up the river.

My last call to the principle witness was to determine which way

the "object" had turned when it went away and he told me to the objects right which would have then brought the Aurora's left side red nav light into view. At about 5 miles the two wing mounted landing lights would have appeared close together like an automobile's lights and of course the distance of the Aurora in slow flight with it's props in coarse pitch/slowflight would have been much quieter and probably not heard at all. The witnesses point of location is about 350 feet ASL with an uphill slant from his house to the treelike further emphasizing the low altitude of the object from his perspective.

There's more but the above serves the purpose of getting this case off my table at least. It's pretty much a slam dunk as far as I'm concerned. The witness saw the Aurora but was confused by the lack of sound due to distance.

Don Ledger

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 20:12:22 +0100 (BST)
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 16:12:57 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller

>From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 11:00:21 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 17:13:54 +0100 (BST)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:31:51 -0700 (PDT)
>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>Thus, the issue is whether he is trying to prove it for
>himself (really the only realistic approach) or prove it
>scientifically. The later is tedious and very difficult for a
>quasi-repeatable phenomena such as UFOs. Not only do you
>have to capture the data, but others must be able to use
>your setup to repeat the data capture independently.
>If he just wants to prove it to himself, it is highly
>subjective based on his own internal proof level.

Contradictions, contradictions. On the one hand you say that
proving it for himself is the only realistic approach and yet at
the same time he has to lay an audit trail so that he can prove
it to others. You've confused me as I suspect you have yourself.
And if he can prove it for others, does that mean the rest of
the world will be convinced as well or does it just have to be
other researchers?

<snip>

>>The answer is quite straight forward; there is tons of
>>evidence Rick, loads of it, but it all adds up to zilch for >
>one very good reason. Without government validation it is
>>useless. Forget scientists, sceptics, witnesses, film,
>>video, etc. Without a suit saying, "Yeah, OK, they're here" >
>we're all up a creek without a paddle.

>Too bad that you feel it requires "suits" to 'prove'
>something. If a person proves it to himself then why wouldn't
>that give adequate satisfaction?

The answer to this point depends very much upon whether you are
a human being who participates in society and interacts with
others or whether you are an automaton devoid of any sensitivity
or normal human perspective. Most people on this List are
satisfied that something has been "happening" and is still going
on. They may possibly need some clarification as to its source
and structure etc. but are nevertheless satisfied and confident
with their ideas, thoughts and data. Unfortunately, their next
door neighbours think they're mad. OK, hardly the end of the
world but the endless debates with ill informed smart asses and
smirkers would vanish overnight the moment someone in
"authority" confirms what we already know. And surely you, with

your obvious scientific background, can see that once that particular hurdle is overcome, doors to various respected institutions would suddenly start to swing open instead of remaining firmly locked and bolted and people like yourself would be invited in for a coffee and a chat. Serious sums of money would be proffered, and better brains than ours would start to switch their microscopes on. And all because a suit said it's so.

>Some people need a low level of proof to have a point of view. Some of us (I think a much smaller percentage) need >much more data before we have proof. But if you get the >government involved, proof isn't even needed. They can make >up stuff right and left. They can pick and choose whatever >scientists they want or just make "facts". They are policy >makers, not truth sayers. Do not trust the government to ever >tell the truth.

>If you want to change the perspective of society on the UFO >issue, don't wait for the government. Just gather >unassailable/repeatable instrumented data and distribute it, >tell people how you did it so they can do it too, make it >cheap enough for others to do it, make it an Internet >accessible network.

On that basis, we might as well all pack and go home now and forget about the whole damn thing. What exactly do you think people have been doing all these years? Researchers have come up with magnificent work that has certainly satisfied me and thousands of others. But I suspect we may be on different tracks here. Judging by your comments, I suspect the sort of proof you are looking for are things like electromagnetic traces and so on; things that can be recorded on little electrical gizmos etc. How do you identify the source? And how do you really know that something that shows up on a meter really is not of this world and not some advanced human piloted or controlled craft? Perhaps the government will help you out? You're stuck in an alley James.

>>The solutions to this conundrum are as follows:

>>1. Take positive, illegal action. Hack computers, steal, lie >>etc. Be bold and be prepared to go where no ufologist has >>gone before.

>Bah. You trust the government to give you real 'hacked' data? >Pretty funny!

You do understand what hacking is, don't you? Or are government intel agencies so sophisticated that once they detect an intruder, sophisticated systems gently guide them to suites with pre-arranged material just waiting to be surreptitiously "discovered"? There's a logic break here.

>>2. Be nice to politicians, donate to their campaigns and re- >>elect them. And then hope that once in office, they will >>honour any pledges made in their campaign. Done that though >>and.....

>You trust politicians to tell you the truth? Do you think >those in charge of the real secrets would let politicians >know them?

My point was made sarcastically as was obvious from the last sentence.

>>3. Form a massive international caucus, like the right-wing >>Christians for example, so that as a lobby we become so >>powerful that we simply cannot be ignored. This by the way >>is a serious response to your original question but this >>particular point is laughable.

>Well, the right-wing Christians don't seem to be getting much >respect and their agenda isn't getting anywhere, while secular >groups have much more power.

>Perhaps if you used the term "communist, atheistic liberals" >then it would be more apparent they have some power. Even so, >again, they would have not impact on the non- political >(bureaucratic or military) secret keepers.

Actually, the real point, which I find I'm having to explain, is that the idea of Ufologists coming together "as one" is impossible. No further explanation needed.

However, you would be foolish, really foolish, to underestimate the power of public opinion. That, above anything else, is what politicians and indeed the military really, truly fear. Remember that government is by consensus and when that is withdrawn, then the end is very, very near.

If we could harness that, we'd win. Easily.

>>4. Identify an individual within the intelligence/defence >>field who you feel may have answers. Stalk him, kidnap him, >>torture him and release him only upon his revealing what he >>knows. Be prepared to kill him if necessary.

>Please, don't give any nuts out there ideas. The world has >enough problems like this already! And its a bad one not only >ethically and morally but also no data from this will be >reliable.

I disagree. It's not a bad idea at all.

Perhaps posting here and musing on the thoughts and ideas of others is no more than an intellectual pastime for yourself and that the fun and pleasure is in that rather than really getting to the truth. If that is the case, then fine. No problems as far as I'm concerned. But if you want the truth then how long do you want to wait James? Are you happy going to your grave knowing no more than you do now?

You talk about ethics and morals. We fight this fight with both hands tied behind our backs because the majority of us in this subject are generally law abiding and wouldn't dream of doing anything violent or disruptive. Unfortunately, our adversaries play by very different rules and the concept of ethics and morals in their game plan is just a joke. They lie, they blackmail, they kidnap, they kill, they coerce, they treat us like morons. As things stand now, we haven't a hope in hell.

Raising the stakes a little may very well be the answer. It depends how badly you want to find out.

>>5. Devote your entire life from a very young age to finding >>out. Get a fabulous degree, join the military, work your way >>into intelligence and hopefully eventually get yourself into >>a position where you can find out for yourself from the >>inside. Then come and tell the rest of us. Please. We won't >>believe you by the way. You will be labelled a fantasist >>and a liar and your reputation will be trodden into the >>ground.

>Hopefully, anyone like this will have sufficient honor to keep >the secrets he was entrusted with rather than be a mole.

All is revealed. You are not serious. You are content for your government to assume that you are an intellectual incompetent and you enjoy playing the role of victim. I respectfully say to you that you are wasting your time. Completely.

>The only approach is to eschew government participation in >this issue. Then, as related above, do some damn instrumented >field research and stop relying on the same ole interviewing >witnesses. Interviews alone will never sway some people. We >got enough interviews already, thank you very much! Blanket >the country with automated observation stations tied to an >Internet web site (like earthquake monitoring stations in >California).

Sorry James but as far as I'm concerned, you are not living in the real world. The phrase "tunnel vision" comes to mind. You ignore the cultural and military implications of "UFOs" and you do so to your cost. There is a naivete about your approach. While what you eschew may find favour with some of the older members on this List, perhaps even the majority, as far as I'm concerned it is nothing more than a futile exercise. Yes, Peter Davenport's idea might produce some interesting data eventually but truly, it wouldn't really tell us much. The time is approaching for a different tack. We should advance to the bottom of the barricades and look up and think a little more

seriously about how we're going to climb over. Up 'n at 'em pal.

"These are the times that try men's souls. The summer soldier and the sunshine patriot will, in this crisis, shrink from the service of their cause; but he that stands by it now, deserves the love and thanks of man and woman. Tyranny, like hell, is not easily conquered; yet we have this consolation with us, that the harder the conflict, the more glorious the triumph. What we obtain too cheap, we esteem too lightly: it is dearness only that gives every thing its value. Heaven knows how to put a proper price upon its goods; and it would be strange indeed if so celestial an article as honesty and truth should not be highly rated."

I've fiddled with a bit, as I'm sure you recognise, but you get the point, I hope.

Stuart Miller

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 21](#)

Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 20:12:03 +0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 16:15:54 -0400
Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Hall

>From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 08:46:40 -0700
>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

>>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 08:36:09 -0400
>>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

<snip>

>>But to jump from that to saying we definitely know that these
>>events have anything to do with ETs is fallacious. While we may
>>be dealing with extra-terrestrial entities, it is equally
>>possible that we are dealing with extra-temporal entities,
>>extra-dimensional entities, or something else we haven't even
>>theorized as yet.

>>My personal vote is for the latter.

>"ET" is a generic term for entities of the UFO phenomenon. As
>you say, we don't know if they are proper extra-terrestrials,
>and I agree with you that they are not. All we know is that
>they're with us. If they aren't, then what psychological
>pathology(?) is running pandemic through us - a question first
>posed by Jacques Vallee.

>Is there a term other than ET that suits the phenomenon better?

I refer to them as "beings from elsewhere" in Uninvited Guests
to cover just this point. But I'm not sure what a "proper" ET is
supposed to be. If they are in fact alien beings from another
planet in space, they still could take very bizarre forms that
don't fit our preconceptions.

- Dick

[[Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 22](#)

How UFOs Relate To The New World Order

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 09:50:00 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 09:50:00 -0400
Subject: How UFOs Relate To The New World Order

Source: Ether Zone - Princeville, Iinois

<http://www.etherzone.com/2005/mako020705.shtml>

February 7, 2005

How UFOs Relate To The New World Order
by Henry Makow

Like most people my opinion of flying saucers and aliens was
programed by the mass media.

I thought they were possible but highly unlikely and
speculative.

Now I know better, thanks to Dr. Stephen Greer's book
"Disclosure: Military and Government Witnesses Reveal the
Greatest Secrets in Modern History." (2001) His web site is
www.disclosureproject.org

Stephen Greer is a country doctor from North Carolina who has a
wife, four children, a dog and a faith in American values. Since
1992, he has collected video and written testimony from some 400
retired members of the U.S. military, intelligence and
aeronautics industry including Presidential advisors, generals,
pilots and two astronauts many of whom had Top-Secret clearance.

The gist of this overwhelming evidence is that every year we
have thousands of visits from UFO's, which are tracked on radar
at speeds ranging from 5000 to 20,000 mph. There are also
thousands of signals reaching the earth from outer space.

Over the last 60 years many UFO's have crashed or have been shot
down by missiles or laser beams. The US has recovered these
spaceships and replicated some of the technology. It has
recovered aliens both dead and alive.

The revelations contained in "Disclosure" have profound
implications for the fate of humanity. They reveal that the laws
of physics as we know them are obsolete. Space is not empty but
an energy field that can provide an infinite supply of free
energy and propulsion.

Thanks to extraterrestrial contact, the technology already
exists to release humanity from its dependence on its dwindling
reserves of polluting fossil fuels, which is the cause of war.

I am not asking you to believe this right away. People think
they must either accept or reject a new idea. There is a third
alternative: reserve judgment.

Keep in mind that your attitudes to UFO's were shaped by
"experts" like Dr. Carl Sagan, who was on the CIA payroll. The
CIA employs a small army of people to mislead you.

I urge you to get Dr. Greer's 570-page book. It may be one of

the most important you ever read.

Nick Cook, the Aviation Editor of Jane's Defense Weekly, also confirms these conclusions in a book "The Hunt for Zero Point." He traces the development and suppression of zero gravity technologies over the last 80 years.

COVER-UP

Witnesses describe a massive and coordinated cover-up. People who have had UFO contact are ridiculed and warned that their career will end if they speak. Their lives and their family's lives are threatened. Many people have "disappeared."

A network of military and intelligence agencies along with major defense contractors is responsible for the cover-up. Generally speaking, they are not accountable to the President and Congress. Dr. Greer has met with many Senators who frankly admit they are "not in the loop."

The purpose of this cover-up is both economic and political. At the end of the Nineteenth Century, London-based bankers established their power and wealth on the basis of large international cartels. In the US, they used their control of the railroad to favor their agent J.D. Rockefeller with low rates enabling him to eliminate competition.

The same principle applied to the coal and steel cartels. Later they expanded to defense, chemicals, pharmaceuticals and media. They run the US from London through their American partners.

As my readers know, the goal of these bankers and their allies is to formalize a thinly veiled totalitarian world government. The presence of extraterrestrials and new technology is interfering with this plan. The bankers have trillions of dollars invested in old technology. They will release the new technology only if and when it suits them. In the meantime, they use it to keep us enslaved, and to ward off more advanced civilizations.

COORDINATION

How do they coordinate a cover-up that extends to so many countries? I don't know the answer to this but there is a disturbing possibility that national differences may be more apparent than real. Through Communism they took control of Russia and China. After World War Two, they took over Japan and continental Europe.

Witnesses describe secret meetings dedicated to the subject of extraterrestrials that involved an international cast. The New World Order has been here a long time. They are unveiling it gradually.

In the US alone, the cover-up must extend to hundreds of government agencies, military units, research labs and corporations. How is that coordinated?

Again, I do not know, but if I had to guess, I'd venture it is controlled by Freemasonry through their network, which I understand is well represented in these areas.

Dr. Greer quotes Senator Daniel Inouye: "There exists a shadowy Government with its own Air Force, its own navy, it's own fundraising mechanism, and the ability to pursue its own ideas of the national interest, free from all checks and balances, and free from the law itself."

Indeed the emerging picture is that the London bankers use this occult secret network to control the planet. This Satanic "religion" is indeed the secret guiding principle behind our ideas of "progress" and what is "modern."

PRISON PLANET

Many civilizations in the universe may be thousands of years in advance of ours. Increasingly they appear to view banker-controlled mankind as a potential menace, with reason. Strange as this may sound, the focus of UFO attention has been the bankers' nuclear installations. They appear to be actively resisting the US plan to put weapons in space.

Space-based weaponry is presented to the public as a deterrent against "rogue nations" like North Korea. In fact, it seems to have a dual purpose that has nothing to do with this. It is designed to prevent "alien influences" from liberating us from our mental, spiritual and economic bondage, at the same time as controlling us physically.

Apparently this scenario has been in place at least since the 1970's. According to the testimony of Dr. Carol Rossin, which is on line, Werner Von Braun warned her about it in 1974. He said the aim was "to control the Earth from space and space itself."

Dying from cancer, Von Braun enlisted Rossin, a fellow executive at Fairchild Industries, to help educate the public and decision-makers. He regarded space-based weapons as "dumb, dangerous, destabilizing, too costly, unnecessary and unworkable."

Significantly he outlined the five-step "scare tactics" used to justify them. First the public has been made to fear the Communists; then "terrorists"; then "rogue nations"; then "asteroids" and finally "extraterrestrials."

"Remember Carol, the last card is the alien card. We are going to have to build space-based weapons against aliens and all of it is a lie," he told her.

The program is slowly unfolding as Von Braun predicted. We are at the rogue nation, terrorist stage.

A 2002 report concludes: "The continued development of these space systems seriously undermines the claim that the U.S. missile defence project is purely defensive in nature. Instead, the dual use capability of both the SBL and kinetic kill vehicles makes them inherently threatening to the space assets and national security of other countries. ... The possibility of sparking an arms race in space, with seriously detrimental effects on global commerce, communications and security, should not be underestimated."

CONCLUSION

The "dual use capability" of US space weapons might also be designed to deter advanced civilizations that pose a threat to the Masonic banker's monopoly of power and wealth, and their satanic New World Order agenda.

Yes, it would be better if a large flying saucer landed on the field during half time at the Superbowl and ETs emerged. But Dr. Stephen Greer's book is credible. Don't dismiss it without reading it first.

Far fetched as it sounds, at the rate we're going, extraterrestrials could represent degenerate mankind's best hope. Who knows what form Divine intervention can take? If we won't represent God, maybe a more advanced civilization will.

Henry Makow, Ph.D. is the inventor of the board game Scruples and author of A Long Way to go for a Date. His articles on feminism and the New World Order are archived at his web site. Henry is a regular columnist for Ether Zone.

Henry Makow can be reached at:

Henry.nul

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 22](#)

Mysteries Of 'UFO Ranch' In Spotlight

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 09:57:12 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 09:57:12 -0400
Subject: Mysteries Of 'UFO Ranch' In Spotlight

Source: The Deseret Morning News - Salt Lake City, Utah, USA

<http://deseretnews.com/dn/view/0,1249,635201708,00.html>

Saturday, April 22, 2006

Mysteries Of 'UFO Ranch' In Spotlight
By Lezlee E. Whiting
For the Deseret Morning News

In 1996 a Las Vegas billionaire bought a ranch in Fort Duchesne from a family who had, for all intents and purposes, been run off of their property by forces they could not explain. All they knew was that a series of bizarre events on their ranch had left them financially ailing, mentally anguished, and in the end, horrified and afraid.

Vanishing and mutilated cattle. Unidentified flying objects. Huge, otherworldly creatures. Invisible objects emitting magnetic fields capable of causing destruction.

A book has now been published about what went on in the late 1990s and early 2000s at what was dubbed the "UFO ranch," an area in west Uintah County known for its 50-year history of perplexing and even frightening events said to have taken place there.

Colm Kelleher, the co-author of the recently published "Hunt for the Skinwalker," was a research immunologist at the National Jewish Medical and Research Center, in Denver, when he came across "a very strange job-placement advertisement" in a respected scientific magazine. The wording caught his eye, he said. The ad's author was looking for scientists interested in "exploring the origin and evolution of consciousness in the universe."

Kelleher said he found the ad "so completely unusual" that he was compelled to respond. "I have had a long-standing interest in scientific anomalies," he said in an interview from his home in northern California. Kelleher is currently working as a senior scientist in biotechnology in the private sector.

A native of Ireland, with reams of scientific degrees behind his name, Kelleher answered the ad and joined a team of respected mainstream research scientists with backgrounds in physics, biochemistry and veterinary studies, who were working for the National Institute of Discovery Science (NIDS).

Founded by real-estate and aerospace tycoon Robert Bigelow, NIDS was intent on removing the crackpot element from the study of the paranormal. Bigelow's goal was to study paranormal events purely from an unbiased and authentic scientific angle, using the brightest minds and the latest technology.

"NIDS had a uniquely deep range of analytical capabilities," Kelleher explained.

That's how Kelleher and other NIDS scientists and researchers ended up living part time on the mysterious ranch in west Uintah County that borders the Ute Indian Reservation. The reservation itself, as they discovered through interviews with its residents, is not exempt from unexplained events similar to those that occurred on the neighboring ranch.

Kelleher worked on the book with award-winning Las Vegas investigative reporter George Knapp, the only journalist ever allowed on the ranch. Kelleher and Knapp detail the days and nights the family spent on their working cattle ranch, besieged by forces which, they found out, never played by the rules.

The book also relates the many haunting mysteries that happened to NIDS researchers after they arrived in March 1997.

One of those still unexplained events involved the mutilation of an 84-pound calf that occurred just minutes after the animal had been tagged by the ranch manager.

"We were fortunate to get the vet and full NIDS staff up there in five hours," Kelleher recalled. "It was 10 a.m., March 10, 1997. The ranch manager and his wife had just tagged it and their dog started acting strangely. They went back to investigate 45 minutes later, and in the field in broad daylight found the calf and its body cavity empty."

One of the strangest things was there was not a drop of blood on the animal or on the ground, said Kelleher. "Most people know if an 84 pound calf is killed there is blood spread around," he added. "It was as if all of the blood had been removed in a very thorough way."

The calf incident was the opening salvo, according to Kelleher. "That early summer and stretching into late summer, there were multiple incidents."

The researchers saw large, ferocious animals with piercing yellow eyes. Although they were seen, they left few tracks and in a few cases, were not injured when struck by bullets, Kelleher said.

"Three eyewitnesses saw a very large animal in a tree and also another large animal at the base of the tree," which was shot but never found, he said.

"We had videotape equipment, night vision equipment," Kelleher said. "We started hunting around the tree for the carcass and there was no evidence whatsoever."

The same problem was encountered when flying orbs were seen by several people. Expensive audio and video recording devices were meticulously placed but produced nothing, and one time the NIDS teams found their equipment vandalized, with taped wires ripped apart and no evidence at all to point to the culprit or culprits who had wreaked the havoc.

"It was very difficult to gather the kind of evidence consistent with scientific publication," said Kelleher.

In the book, Kelleher and Knapp not only allow the reader inside the ranch, which remains off limits to the public, they also provide a detailed history of observances of phenomena such as skinwalkers, UFO sightings and flying orbs. They delve into Native American legends and curses that had some connection to the events they had witnessed or that people had recounted to them. The pair also spoke to a number of Uintah Basin residents who shared their own encounters with the paranormal in areas not too far from the ranch.

Kelleher and Knapp examine the gamut of possible explanations for what they had seen. Hoaxes, hallucinations, conspiracy theories, military experiments are all investigated and essentially eliminated. Despite plotting out close to 100 incidents or anomalies, the authors do not lay out the full array of scientific data and charts in the book, preferring to gear their narrative to a lay audience.

NIDS disbanded in 2004, after paranormal phenomenon at the ranch and indeed throughout the nation seemed to dramatically decrease, starting in 2000, Kelleher said.

Did he feel his involvement with the group was worth it? While acknowledging that the field of the paranormal has "a bad reputation," his answer is unequivocal: "I firmly believe the study of anomalies can open doors into other fields."

For Kelleher, research into anomalies that occurred on the ranch are basic to the discovery process connected to science, because they ultimately open doors to advance mainstream knowledge.

"One hundred years ago meteors were not considered real in science. They were figments of imagination, hallucinations," he said. "That's the way science progresses."

E-mail: lezleewhiting.nul

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 22](#)

Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Lehmberg

From: **Alfred Lehmberg** <alienview.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 15:24:53 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 10:32:14 -0400
Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Lehmberg

>From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 08:46:40 -0700
>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

<snip>

>"ET" is a generic term for entities of the UFO phenomenon. As
>you say, we don't know if they are proper extra-terrestrials,
>and I agree with you that they are not. All we know is that
>they're with us. If they aren't, then what psychological
>pathology(?) is running pandemic through us - a question first
>posed by Jacques Vallee.

>Is there a term other than ET that suits the phenomenon better?

The 'Other'?

alienview.nul
www.AlienView.net
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 22](#)

Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - White

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 16:33:11 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 10:33:21 -0400
Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - White

>From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 08:14:18 -0700
>Subject: What Do We Know About ETs?

<snip>

>Is ET mentally superior to us?

Fascinating question. Just because a civilization has had time to advance beyond our technology, that doesn't necessarily mean they are any more mentally advanced, it would seem.

Even if they are fluent in telepathy, hey, our species can do that too, though randomly and not universally. Our limited ability may well have more to do with the (faulty) way science automatically pooh-poohs what can't be explained by textbook science than with lack of potential.

So even telepathic ability could just be a manifestation of more time, not necessarily more "mentally developed."

(I know I've used terms here which could invite demands for definitions. I leave debating definitions to others.)

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 22](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - White

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 16:36:59 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 10:34:19 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - White

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 17:13:54 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>3. Form a massive international caucus, like the right-wing
>Christians for example, so that as a lobby we become so powerful
>that we simply cannot be ignored. This by the way is a serious
>response to your original question but this particular point is
>laughable.

I don't think it's laughable at all, and it would probably work.

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 22](#)

Re: UFO Technology Leaders - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catja90024.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 13:51:20 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 10:44:45 -0400
Subject: Re: UFO Technology Leaders - Kasten

>From: **Craig Beasley** <fallingleaf.nul>
>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 10:21:04 -0500
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Subject: UFO Technology Leaders

>This question was sparked by an off-list question about Stan
>Friedman's 'work' on 'UFO technology' itself.

>Generally, who has performed work on the technology and science
>that might, or might not, be behind UFO propulsion and control?
>I mean both the nuts-n-bolts stuff and the purely speculative,
>and all points in-between.

Craig:

Try to find an ex-engineer of Grumman Aircraft, Long Island, New
York - as I did. Have a chat over lunch and get him to tell
you what he can about some of the research that came out of
Grumman. Real technology; that really worked.

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 22](#)

Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 17:08:00 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 10:47:15 -0400
Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Shell

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 20:12:03 +0000
>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

<snip>

>I refer to them as "beings from elsewhere"

<snip>

But do we really know even that?

They could be from right here, right now. We really must work hard not to project our preconceptions onto them. Any honest researcher must admit that we don't have a clue what we're dealing with.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 22](#)

Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Rome

From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 14:14:22 -0700
Fwd Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 10:51:59 -0400
Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Rome

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 20:12:03 +0000
>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

>>From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 08:46:40 -0700
>>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

<snip>

>>Is there a term other than ET that suits the phenomenon better?

>I refer to them as "beings from elsewhere" in Uninvited Guests
>to cover just this point. But I'm not sure what a "proper" ET is
>supposed to be. If they are in fact alien beings from another
>planet in space, they still could take very bizarre forms that
>don't fit our preconceptions.

Dick

Taken on its own merits, the phrase "beings from elsewhere" is more non-specific than 'ET' is, and could include 'ET'. I'm sorry to say that I haven't yet read your book, but I anticipate that it is reasonable to say the phrase is probably defined early on, so is understood in that context throughout the book.

I used the term "proper" in response to your objection that ET presupposes a being from "outer space", another planet, another galaxy, another physical place, as opposed to also being from another time or dimension associated with us, our Earth. Obviously, any ET from any physical place could have any appearance or manifestation, as they do, if they are ET, and as they do if they are not ET.

At any rate, not wishing to become embroiled in a nonproductive debate over trifles, I admit your objection is an important one, and I propose - if a proposal hasn't already been made - that the community (UFO community), contrive a word or phrase that generally indicates inhabitants/operators of the UFO (or strange thing other than UFO). A secondary list would then suggest itself for "strange" inhabitants of unknown flying objects, and "strange" beings not associated with flying objects. You get the gist.

Note: "UFO" in the above paragraph includes the entire UFO phenomenon, flying and not flying. It has served us well, albeit there are now objections to it on the grounds that it is associated only with "ET".

(Jeez. One has to be a closet lawyer to say anything on this list, have y'all noticed? It also helps not to have a too-thin skin.)

Irene

Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Rome

BTW - You wouldn't be related to the Richard Hall associated,
some years ago, with Lowell Observatory, would you?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw? - Scheldroup

From: John Scheldroup <jschel.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 17:30:36 -0500
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 10:52:24 -0400
Subject: Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw? - Scheldroup

>From: David Rudiak <drudiak.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 13:44:26 -0800
>Subject: Re: Photos Of What Arnold Saw?

>>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 1 Mar 2006 14:40:49 +1000
>>Subject: Photos Of What Arnold Saw?

>>Has anyone on the List seen the photos mentioned in the below
>>article. It seems Arnold said the pics were exactly the same as
>>the objects he saw.

>>The article is from this website

>><http://www.qtm.net/~geibdan/arnold.html>

>>"... then on July 5th Arnold and the Colonel were at Boeing
>>Field, Seattle, when they heard about Captain E.J.Smith and his
>>co-pilot who had made a spectacular sighting the day before. In
>>the papers that day there was also a photograph taken by a Coast
>>Guard Yeoman of a flying object virtually identical to the ones
>>Arnold had reported. It had been taken in the Seattle area.
>>Arnold went to the offices of the newspaper to see the original
>>of the photo and there met Captain Smith and his co-pilot. They
>>hit it off well.

>>The photos must have been pure dynamite because on the 10th of
>>July a nationwide ban would be said to have been placed on them.
>>These photos are reproduced in Arnold's book but the country at
>>large never got to see the Coast Guardsman's flying saucer,
>>which was identical to eight of those Arnold had seen."

>>Does anyone know anything about this or have seen the pics?

>All I've seen are the low quality newspaper versions on
>microfilm from that time period. The enlargements show
>something like an oval with maybe a "bite" out of one end. But
>it's hard to make out anything definitive. I don't know what
>happened to the original negative.

>The William Rhodes' photos of an object over Phoenix on July 7
>are clearer:

>http://www.roswellproof.com/Rhodes_Phoenix.html

<http://www.larryhatch.net/MNWI.html>

A group of four sightings around Elkhorn, Eagle River and East
Troy, WI took place on 7 July, 1947... far away from the Western
States.

http://www.ufowisconsin.com/county/reports/rindex1897_1959.html

John

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

Re: UFO Technology Leaders - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 20:22:23 -0300
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 10:55:40 -0400
Subject: Re: UFO Technology Leaders - Friedman

>From: Craig Beasley <fallingleaf.nul>
>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 10:21:04 -0500
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Subject: UFO Technology Leaders

>This question was sparked by an off-list question about Stan
>Friedman's 'work' on 'UFO technology' itself.

>Generally, who has performed work on the technology and science
>that might, or might not, be behind UFO propulsion and control?
>I mean both the nuts-n-bolts stuff and the purely speculative,
>and all points in-between.

A few references might be useful. My congressional Testimony at the hearings of July 29, 1968, is somewhere on the Web (Symposium on Unidentified Flying Objects - Hearings before the Committee on Science and Astronautics). It is in two parts and includes 65 references, a list of 10 patents for circular aircraft and a copy of an article Flying Saucers ARE Real with more references. The volume has 11 other papers by Hynek, Sagan, McDonald, Menzel, Sprinkle, Harder, etc

My 1974 MUFON paper, Flying Saucers and Physics, is 18 pages, 2 columns and has 69 References. It is still available from me at POB 958, Houlton, ME 04730-0958 USA for \$4. which includes shipping.

My 1999 MUFON paper, Star Travel? YES!, is also 18 pages long and has 18 References... also \$4. from me.

The above cover a lot of ground, but not much about very speculative far out propulsion systems. Magnetoaerodynamics, fission, fusion... are all discussed.

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 20:37:24 -0300
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 10:57:42 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Friedman

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 20:12:22 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 11:00:21 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>Sorry James but as far as I'm concerned, you are not living in
>the real world. The phrase "tunnel vision" comes to mind. You
>ignore the cultural and military implications of "UFOs" and you
>do so to your cost. There is a naive about your approach.
>While what you eschew may find favour with some of the older
>members on this List, perhaps even the majority, as far as I'm
>concerned it is nothing more than a futile exercise. Yes, Peter
>Davenport's idea might produce some interesting data eventually
>but truly, it wouldn't really tell us much. The time is
>approaching for a different tack. We should advance to the
>bottom of the barricades and look up and think a little more
>seriously about how we're going to climb over. Up 'n at 'em pal.

>"These are the times that try men's souls. The summer soldier
>and the sunshine patriot will, in this crisis, shrink from the
>service of their cause; but he that stands by it now, deserves
>the love and thanks of man and woman. Tyranny, like hell, is not
>easily conquered; yet we have this consolation with us, that the
>harder the conflict, the more glorious the triumph. What we
>obtain too cheap, we esteem too lightly: it is dearness only
>that gives every thing its value. Heaven knows how to put a
>proper price upon its goods; and it would be strange indeed if
>so celestial an article as honesty and truth should not be
>highly rated."

>
>I've fiddled with a bit, as I'm sure you recognise, but you get
>the point, I hope.

It should be noted that there is already in existence a very
formidable operation that obtains radar, visual, and satellite
data about uncorrelated targets. Unfortunately, this data,
obtained by the very expensive Aerospace Defense Comannd, is
born classified.

We pay for it, but don't see the results. This is why exposing
the Cosmic Watergate is so important. Remember General
Bolender's statement that "Reports of UFOs which could effect
national security are not part of the Blue Book System".

This statement is conveniently ignored by those who think the
government has nothing useful to offer.

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 19:04:25 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:01:23 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 13:42:32 -0300
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 17:13:54 +0100 (BST)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:31:51 -0700 (PDT)
>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>Geez fellas, give the guy a break. I've no idea if Rick is a
>>newbie but we don't have a very good record with them on this
>>List.

>>Am I the only one who appears to think his original question,
>>while admittedly naive, was nevertheless a good one?

>Could be. It's not like his line of investigation has not been
>pursued many times before on this list. He need only to go back
>into the Update archives. Also Rick takes the line of least
>resistance having not answered my last email to his response.

>Don

OK, you asked for it Don. Please take this in the same good
humor in which I suppose you meant your post. And no, I'm not
that Cheap Trick songster, though I could use his money!

Who takes time for the angry man,
Whose bark is his only bite?
Rage and gibber--his only plan.
He's never worth the fight.
Rather not us wasted, nor that way chored,
He's best left alone and ignored.

Maybe those eyes of his won't dim,
Before he's dosed what age should've given,
Like "do unto the other..."
And honey's sweet, to vinegar.
His breathe of life - our only hope -
That he finds good ways to cope.

Only the best,

The _other_ Rick Nielsen

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 19:17:31 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:02:39 -0400
Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Nielsen

>From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 09:26:31 -0700
>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 07:05:26 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

<snip>

>>But maybe there's a real need for
>>something which connects the dots, in a more obvious way.

>Yes, that's what I was thinking.

>>For example, I know the evidence is there to have a firm basis
>>for conclusions regarding advanced technology, compared to human
>>endeavors up to the Cold War era, at least.

>>If you write it Irene, I'll buy it!

>Thanks. I would like to, but am too unreliable for book-writing,
>and my knowledge of UFOs too haphazard. Your promise, though,
>should spur someone to the task. My vote is for Stan Friedman,
>no nonsense guy that he is. I'd certainly buy it.

I would too. It would make a fine addition to my library. I have
all the rest of his books.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

Interview With Jacques Vallee

From: **Greg Taylor** <greg.nul>
Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 12:45:52 +1000
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:04:03 -0400
Subject: Interview With Jacques Vallee

Hi All,

List members might be interested in a recent interview I did with Jacques Vallee. It can be found in the latest issue of our free online magazine (PDF) 'Sub Rosa':

<http://subrosa.dailygrail.com/>

While the topics discussed may be a bit too 'general' for many of the experienced researchers on the list (we have an audience of varied interests), I'm sure many of you will find a number of his comments interesting.

Anybody who can't view PDF documents, feel free to email me off-List and I will happily send a text version. But the PDF does look a whole lot prettier... ;)

Kind regards,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

Re: UFO Believers - Parts I & II - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 02:48:30 -0700
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:07:36 -0400
Subject: Re: UFO Believers - Parts I & II - Hatch

>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>From: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Sent: Friday, April 21, 2006 6:25 AM
>Subject: UFO UpDate: UFO Believers - Parts I & II

>Source: Amercian Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

><http://tinyurl.com/zoyym>

>and

><http://tinyurl.com/zvape> April 18 & 19 2006

>UFO Believers - Parts I & II
>by Frank Warren

>Merriam-Webster's Online Dictionary gives the following
>definition(s) for the verb, believe:

>intransitive senses

>1 a : to have a firm religious faith b : to accept as true,
>genuine, or real -ideals we believe in- -believes in ghosts-

Hello Frank, all.

Definition 1a is good enough for my purposes.

When somebody asks if I or somebody else 'believes' in UFOs it is almost always in this context.

It is as if (whoever) is being accused of a quasi-religious belief, and therefore subject to ridicule.

The term 'UFO Believers' is tossed out almost automatically in the media, in such a way sometimes that I can almost taste the rancor.

'Belief' in this context is a very loaded term, carrying connotations that go far beyond dictionary definitions.

Suppose the finest minds in ufology gathered someplace, discussing the phenomenon in the most sober and rational manner conceivable.. and it got in the news,

Its a fairly good bet that they would be called a group of 'ufo believers'. The implication is obvious, that they are a gaggle of crackpots, each reinforcing the superstitions of the others. Never mind that the group might more closely resemble a science convention, even if it is fringe-science.

If this were international affairs, zingers like that would be considered yellow journalism. If it were politics, we might call it 'spin' or propaganda. In the gossip columns, it would be a 'cheap-shot'.

If its UFOs (wink, nod, poke in the ribs..) its OK. "UFO believers." Why is that?

- Larry Hatch

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda - Koi

From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi2.nul>
Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 14:59:14 +0100
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:10:01 -0400
Subject: Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda - Koi

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 15:53:04 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda

>>From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi2.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 10:26:47 +0100
>>Subject: Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda

>>>From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi2.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 00:49:27 +0100
>>>Subject: The 'True' Alien Agenda

>>>Aliens and the 57 Varieties : The True Alien Agenda
>>>By Andy Miller.

>>>Copyright 2006. [1]

>>Just to ensure there is no confusion in the future, I should
>>make it absolutely clear that the article "Aliens and the 57
>>Varieties: The True Alien Agenda" by "Andy Miller", posted on
>>1 April 2006 at:

>><http://tinyurl.com/e6crp>

>Ah yes, all very well Isaac but when thinking up a spoof, was
>it really neccessary to purloin my surname?

Yes.

>Now, if it hadn't been the case before, the Ufological public
>will come to associate the name "Miller" with a light weight
>and superficial attitude towards the subject and a person who
>appears to be fascinated by bodily functions when in reality we
>all know that the opposite isn't true.

As you say Stuart, we all know the opposite isn't (sic) true,
so no harm done.

However, as I said I'm now reverting to my serious emails.
Having recently (finally) circulated a draft of my UFO
Chronology, I'm now concentrating on finalising another
reasonably large, and reasonably serious project, conducted
during the last 3 years of my life. To redeem any unintended
slur on your illustrious surname, if it would make you smile
(since making each other smile is, I'm sure, the main reason for
us all posting on UpDates), I'd be happy to circulate that
project on the Internet under the name of "Andy Miller" rather
than "Isaac Koi". Heck, I'd be happy to provide the relevant
material to you and let you circulate it under your own name
if you so desire.

>Messrs Sue, Grabbit & Run a firm of solicitors I believe you
>are intimately acquainted with have been engaged and are now
>considering the matter.

Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda - Koi

Nothing for me to worry about there. I crush bugs like the members of that firm under my feet, in Court everyday.

Now, back to more serious matters...

All the best,

Isaac Koi

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

Re: Detlev Bronk - Allan

From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 15:31:59 +0100
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:14:07 -0400
Subject: Re: Detlev Bronk - Allan

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 09:40:46 -0300
>Subject: Re: Detlev Bronk

>>From: William Sawers <ufsyntax.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 19 Apr 2006 14:23:53 +1000
>>Subject: Re: Detlev Bronk

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Mon, 17 Apr 2006 12:37:47 -0300
>>>Subject: Re: Detlev Bronk

>>>I did a lot of looking into Bronk more than 20 years ago. He was
>>>not a physician, but a pathologist and biophysicist and very
>>>active in Aviation Biology.

>>>I am
>>>dubious about his being involved in an autopsy of an alien.I
>>>believe that the document saying so was one of a bunch of false
>>>documents received by Tim Cooper. He was not a pathologist. He
>>>was very big in neurology and certainly would have been asked
>>>for biomedical advice about handling aliens. I must have missed
>>>any mention of the facilityfrom which his 1947 diary was
>>>supposedly missing. Anybody recall?

>>Mr Friedman

>>In your studies was Dr Bronk a Pathologist or not?

>Sorry. I didn't proof-read closely enough. What I meant to say
is that he was a physiologist and bio-physicist not a
pathologist.

>Pathologists do indeed do autopsies.

My point was this:

The MJ-12 Ike briefing paper states the following:

"Dr Bronk's team has suggested the term "Extraterrestrial
Biological Entities" or "EBEs", be adopted as the standard term
of reference for these creatures until such time as a more
definitive designation can be agreed upon".

Therefore if Bob Shell has seen Bronk's diaries, he ought to
have come across the term "EBE" in them. So ought you. If it
does not appear anywhere in these diaries I offer this
explanation: Dr Bronk did write down EBE in his 1947 diary, but
the diary was confiscated by the powers-that-be. He would
obviously not have repeated the mistake in future diaries. This
would explain why his post-1947 diaries do not contain the term
EBE.

However, there may be a simpler explanation for the lack of EBE

Re: Detlev Bronk - Allan

in the diaries. Also, absence of evidence is not evidence of absence, as you so often remind us.

CDA

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Hall

From: **Richard Hall** <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 15:22:10 +0000
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:15:35 -0400
Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Hall

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 17:08:00 -0400
>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 20:12:03 +0000
>>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

>>I refer to them as "beings from elsewhere"

>But do we really know even that?

>They could be from right here, right now. We really must work
>hard not to project our preconceptions onto them. Any honest
>researcher must admit that we don't have a clue what we're
>dealing with.

Bob,

I was talking about terminology, not about having absolute knowledge of anything. But if you are suggesting that they are earthly technology, then I think that is easily refuted by the evidence. And if you mean something like other dimensions/parallel universe then "beings from elsewhere" covers those possibilities... deliberately. I am well aware of preconceptions and their role in confused thinking, and my terminology and reasoning takes them into account.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 20:09:27 +0100 (BST)
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:21:25 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller

>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 16:36:59 -0400
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 17:13:54 +0100 (BST)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

><snip>

>>3. Form a massive international caucus, like the right-wing
>>Christians for example, so that as a lobby we become so
>>powerful that we simply cannot be ignored. This by the way is
>>a serious response to your original question but this
>>particular point is laughable.

>I don't think it's laughable at all, and it would probably
>work.

Hi Eleanor.

You're right, it probably would work but... surely experience has shown you that we can never work together as one. And when someone does step up, they are either incompetent (Greer) or go off the rails (err... Greer) or just get hacked to near death by the rest of us for some supposed reason or other.

It's a non starter.

Stuart Miller

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catja90024.nul>
Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 12:14:46 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:23:02 -0400
Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Kasten

>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 16:33:11 -0400
>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

<snip>

>So even telepathic ability could just be a manifestation of more
>_time_, not necessarily more "mentally developed."

>(I know I've used terms here which could invite demands for
>definitions. I leave debating definitions to others.)

Eleanor:

How about this: expanding the meaning of space and
time available to the human mind.

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 20:37:06 +0100 (BST)
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:26:39 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 13:42:32 -0300
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 17:13:54 +0100 (BST)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:31:51 -0700 (PDT)
>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>Geez fellas, give the guy a break. I've no idea if Rick is a
>>newbie but we don't have a very good record with them on this
>>List.

>>Am I the only one who appears to think his original question,
>>while admittedly naive, was nevertheless a good one?

>Could be. It's not like his line of investigation has not been
>pursued many times before on this List. He need only to go
>back into the UpDates Archives. Also Rick takes the line of
>least resistance having not answered my last email to his
>response.

Hi Don,

I agree with you. It can be irritating to see the same thing
come up time and time again when a simple search of the archives
can produce the required answers. But the solution might be for
our moderator to put a note in the 'welcoming' message sent to
new members pointing out the vastness and usefulness of the
archives and that folk should dip into that first before asking
a question on the List itself.

Actually, hold on a sec. If you think about his original
question, it was possible to take it at more than one level. On
the one hand, taken literally, it was a straight forward
question but on the other, I also saw it as a challenge.

Perhaps it just depends upon how an individual 'reads' the
post, and what his internal reactions are.

I get ticked off with some of the repeated subjects that keep
coming up, not so much the questions, and the rather narrow
focus that some people bring to the subject. Horses for courses
etc.

Best

Stuart

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

Re: Whatever Happened To... UFOs? - White

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 16:40:28 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:30:23 -0400
Subject: Re: Whatever Happened To... UFOs? - White

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 09:23:31 -0400
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Whatever Happened To... UFOs?

>Whatever Happened To... UFOs?
>Iain Hollingshead
>The Guardian

<snip>

>Yet BUFORA's Rosamond remains phlegmatically optimistic about
>the future of ufology. "For every 100 cases there are 99
>rational explanations," he says. "But there is always one which
>confounds the scientists.

Who is to say that just because a "rational explanation" is offered,
it must be the correct one?

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

Re: Mysteries Of 'UFO Ranch' In Spotlight - White

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 17:03:10 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:32:52 -0400
Subject: Re: Mysteries Of 'UFO Ranch' In Spotlight - White

>Source: The Deseret Morning News - Salt Lake City, Utah, USA
><http://deseretnews.com/dn/view/0,1249,635201708,00.html>
>Saturday, April 22, 2006

>Mysteries Of 'UFO Ranch' In Spotlight
>By Lezlee E. Whiting
>For the Deseret Morning News

<snip>

>Expensive audio and video recording devices were meticulously
>placed but produced nothing, and one time the NIDS teams found
>their equipment vandalized, with taped wires ripped apart and no
>evidence at all to point to the culprit or culprits who had
>wreaked the havoc.

That vandalizing is reminiscent of the manipulation at a distance, in real time, that sometimes happens to members of my group of organized stalking/advanced electronic harassment targets. Objects and body parts are surgically manipulated, or even, in a few cases, levitated. A few of our members report being sexually groped by "invisible icy hands" (though that is rare.)

While I haven't personally observed gross physical destruction of equipment happening in front of me, the precise manipulation of objects from huge (like cars on dry roads being shoved side to side, no wind) to tiny (like playing little rhythmic 'tunes' with gas bubbles in the sinuses or eustachian tubes) shows this kind of thing could be done remotely, leaving no footprints for example.

I've had a considerable amount of equipment damaged as in this example, in locked and alarmed places (home, work and car) but no evidence to show if entry was by conventional lock picking and alarm bypassing, or by more advanced methods. But equipment sabotage is definitely a hallmark of our covert harassment teams.

Two instances of snapping a very strong and sound structural steel weld on a structure with a soft painted surface, without the slightest mark on the soft paint, had to be done with something advanced, however. (I have done welding and I know what a good bead looks like and what good penetration looks like.)

Even more bizarre, after several weeks in the broken condition, the seriously bent member suddenly straightened out, the old paint undisturbed, heavily painted over bolts having never been touched.

I wish I had taken pictures of that one. Others witnessed the break, by the way.

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

Carbondale UFO?

From: Rick Fisher <rfisher.nul>
Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 17:33:05 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:35:53 -0400
Subject: Carbondale UFO?

I am researching and writing a book about the alleged UFO crash in Carbondale, PA in 1974.

I know it has been ruled a hoax and most UFO researchers agree that it was nothing more than a lantern. Some argue that it would have been impossible for the lantern to have stayed lit under water for that length of time, between 39 and 44 hours.

I performed my own test just to see how long the same type of lantern could stay lit. Using a new battery and two new bulbs I submerged the lit lantern in water, it was 84 hours later that the light finally burned out suggesting the possibility that the lantern could have been the culprit that caused the 3 day saga in Carbondale.

Although the conditions were not the same as back then, my test was to see just how long it could stay lit.

Further tests will be performed and in similar conditions.

I have been in contact with Matt Graeber who was one of the original UFO researchers and was on the scene the day the lantern was retrieved from the pond.

If anyone has any information on the Carbondale incident please contact me, Rick Fisher rfisher.nul

Thanks.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

UFOs Not Dead Yet

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:40:47 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:40:47 -0400
Subject: UFOs Not Dead Yet

Source: The American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/ggsee>

April 22, 2006

UFOs: Not Dead Yet
R. Lee

I've been following the articles and related threads on-line that insist Ufology is dead. Dead, dead, dead. UFO sightings are down. Barely any reports of UFOs come in anymore. Interest in the topic has waned; no one cares. UFO reporting bureaus have shut down, publications have ceased, and it's all due to one thing: no more UFOs. Nothing to speak of anyway, not worth a mention.

The most recent of these is Whatever happened to... UFOs? The implication in its very title is that UFOs have gone forever.

Have UFOs gone away, simply vanished from our skies, as well as our minds? As hard as they (you know, "them,") might try to convince us of this, the reality is very different.

The local media in my area doesn't report on UFO sightings. They used to, many years ago. A couple of years ago, I interviewed a local UFO witness who had caught the object on video. He took photographs of it as well, and there were a handful of witnesses. The witness - who was unfamiliar with ufology - contacted our local television news programs, as well as the newspaper. Despite other witnesses, photos, and video, no one was interested. Only one news program said they'd meet with this witness; yet they said the sighting wasn't newsworthy and didn't air anything on it. I am in contact with several individuals here in Oregon and elsewhere, who, on a weekly basis, share with me not only sightings of UFOs, but encounters of entities that seem to be connected with these UFOs as well. These UFO sightings are ongoing; they were active decades ago, they were active last week.

There is an interesting thread of photos and comments on things unidentified found on Google satellite images, National UFO Reporting Center, receives reports of UFOs daily. They are "severely overworked" and obviously this is due to the amount of UFO reports that come in. (And, sadly, the amount of prank calls they receive.) There are MUFON chapters in just about every state. Filer's Files lists reports from all over the world, thanks to MUFON and George A. Filer. UFO magazine, which went from publishing an issue once a month, to only once every two months, is now back to a once a month schedule. The UFO Database (an amazing resource) is updated frequently and clearly shows that UFOs are as present as ever.

There have been UFO 'flaps' throughout the decades, and sightings may be more prevalent during some times than others.

This is not the same thing as the belief that there are no longer UFOs that have ceased to exist. Nor does it mean that UFOs are "old hat," as one 'Randi-bot' commented on a forum awhile ago. UFOs are not old fashioned, out of date, or boring, all astounding comments made by skeptics of varying stripes on various forums the past couple of years. What's worrisome about these unfounded opinions is that they trickle down to the general public, who are already suspended in an ambivalent state when it comes to UFOs.

They'll still here. Not only are they still here, entities are still being seen, people are still reporting abduction events, and high strangeness is still occurring in connection with UFOs. These sightings are not limited to the United States. There is UFO activity in every country, including sightings of non-human creatures, and other general weirdness.

It's too easy to say that this persistent little "there are hardly any UFOs left" meme is some sort of disinformation campaign perpetuated by various governmental agencies. Too easy and too paranoid. Yet it's no secret that the United States, Britain, and other countries have engaged in purposeful anti-UFO debunking and disinformation campaigns since the 1940s, if not before. With the contemporary Black Triangle sightings and alien abduction encounters, for example, it is naive to think these efforts at squelching UFO interests have stopped.

Officially, the public face our government has put on their interest in UFOs has been one of indifference. Surely no one seriously believes that is the case. If it is the case, we are in deep trouble. During the 1997 Phoenix Lights UFO sightings, various government agencies were disconcertingly uninterested - but more to the point, seemingly inept in their responses - to UFOs. Yet it is difficult to believe that this was actually the reality. Behind the scenes, our government-military agencies are working in mysterious ways in responding to the UFO phenomenon.

This combination of the mini-flood of 'UFOs are dead' articles, and public government disinterest lulls us into a sense of amnesia and complacency. What UFOs? is the response, with a shrug, because after all, who cares anyway?

The reality is clear; UFOs are here, now, right now. They didn't just start with Kenneth Arnold, and they just didn't end there either. The UFO phenomenon is intensely complex, its history ancient, and its ramifications certainly stupendous.

And maybe that last reason is why we hear, every few weeks, that "UFOs are dead."

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

Re: UFO Technology Leaders - Beasley

From: **Craig Beasley** <fallingleaf.nul>
Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 22:46:51 -0500
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:43:07 -0400
Subject: Re: UFO Technology Leaders - Beasley

>From: Kathy Kasten <catja90024.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 13:51:20 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: UFO Technology Leaders

>Craig:

>Try to find an ex-engineer of Grumman Aircraft, Long Island,
>New York - as I did. Have a chat over lunch and get him to
>tell you what he can about some of the research that came out of
>out of Grumman. Real technology; that really worked.

That's a good idea, Kathy. Do you have a name for this ex-
Grumman engineer? What kind of hardware did he develop?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

Re: Whatever Happened To... UFOs? - Fleming

From: **Lan Fleming** <lflaming6.nul>
Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 23:41:52 -0500
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:45:23 -0400
Subject: Re: Whatever Happened To... UFOs? - Fleming

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 09:23:31 -0400
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Whatever Happened To... UFOs?

>Whatever Happened To... UFOs?
>Iain Hollingshead
>The Guardian

><http://www.guardian.co.uk/commentisfree/story/0,,1758886,00.html>

Oh, no. Not again! I think this is the second time in as many months that it's been reported that the UFO 'craze' has fizzled out. It seems to have died more frequently this year than it has in past years, although its demise was quite well publicized in 2001 with the British UFO Bureau closing up shop - or not, according to one of its few members who later said he'd been misquoted.

If this alarming upward trend continues, the newspapers will have little room for any other stories besides the frequently repeated endings of the UFO era.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

A Wrong Turn In Roswell

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:51:32 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:51:32 -0400
Subject: A Wrong Turn In Roswell

Source: The Salt Lake Tribune - Utah, USA

http://www.sltrib.com/contentlist/ci_3739552

04/22/2006

Wharton: A Wrong Turn In Roswell
The world's UFO capital

Tom Wharton
Tribune Columnist

ROSWELL, N.M. - The spring sun neared the western horizon, casting an eerie glow on the desert.

Having just visited the International UFO Museum and Research Center, my imagination soared when I took a wrong turn and found myself lost in the middle of what was once Walker Air Force Base, where conspiracy buffs swear the U.S. Air Force hid alien bodies and wreckage of a flying saucer from a 1947 crash.

A rancher named W.W. Mack Brazel, as the story goes, went to check his sheep after a thunderstorm and found debris made of a strange metal scattered in many directions. He noticed a shallow trench cut into the desert floor. There had reported unidentified flying object sightings reported that year in the couple of months preceding what would be known as the Roswell Incident. Adding to the mystery: The Air Force ordered sealed coffins from a Roswell undertaker, fueling speculation that aliens had been recovered.

The Air Force issued a press release stating that a UFO had been found. Officials quickly rescinded that statement and issued another saying the debris came from a top-secret weather balloon test.

Roswell plays up its reputation as the world's UFO capital. The city's clever tourist brochure is laid out like a version of a sensational

An alien movie display inside the International UFO Museum and Research Center in Roswell, N.M., draws a curious look from a young guest. (Tom Wharton/The Salt Lake Tribune) supermarket tabloid, complete with Alien Travel Alerts, human invasions, miracle skin creams and a bottomless lake story (there actually is one nearby). Advertisements for Out of This World Taxidermy, a burger joint known as "the home of the Flying Saucer" and a liquor store promoting "great wines for great encounters" are all over Roswell. The town's street lights look like space visitors.

The International UFO Museum and Research Center, which bills itself as having the world's largest UFO research library, has hosted more than 2 million visitors since opening in 1991. It has a strange mix of serious research and kitsch, with articles and displays on the worldwide debate over whether aliens exist.

Growing up in the 1950s, I remember watching movies such as "The Day the Earth Stood Still" and believing in space creatures. Add to that "Close Encounters of the Third Kind," "E.T." and the more recent "War of the Worlds" and the alien story might seem at least plausible. Roswell's promotion of the story seemed all in good fun.

Until I took that wrong turn.

The Air Force decommissioned Walker Air Force Base in 1967. Now, I found myself lost at sunset in the middle of a gigantic empty base, not a person in sight. Dozens of commercial jetliners stood silently on a tarmac as large as Salt Lake City International Airport. Many windows were broken on the planes, some with the markings of defunct airlines, such as TWA, painted on their sides.

Later, after much Internet research, I learned that Roswell City took over the old base and that its FAA tower and long runway are used as an aircraft storage, maintenance and painting facility.

Of course, I didn't know that as I stood on the old base. All I knew was that after learning all about UFOs, the abandoned jets at the place where conspiracy buffs remain convinced the U.S. government covered up an alien encounter gave me the creeps. Finally, I was delighted to find the main road.

Contact Tom Wharton at wharton.nul or 801-257-8909.
Send comments to livingeditor.nul

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

Finnish Government UFO Videos

From: **Tapani Koivula** <koivulatapani.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 10:13:12 +0200
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:58:25 -0400
Subject: Finnish Government UFO Videos

[Non-Subscriber post]

Hi Colleagues,

Unfortunately our website is down. It will some days to get it going. Anyway I am ready to answer, if you have questions.

It has been very fruitful to publish these government UFO videos, especially in English.

Yesterday I came back from a very important UFO meeting. I was in Sweden discussing these videos with Clas Svahn and also about Nordic co-operation in UFO research.

In Finland we have been active since the 60's, but because of our special language our international co-operation in UFO research has been poor. I and some others have had co-operation with foreign UFO researchers, i.e. Estonians and Russians.

Tapani Koivula
Chairman of FUFORA
Finnish UFO Research Association

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 06:33:54 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 12:48:47 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 13:42:32 -0300
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 17:13:54 +0100 (BST)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:31:51 -0700 (PDT)
>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>Geez fellas, give the guy a break. I've no idea if Rick is a
>>newbie but we don't have a very good record with them on this
>>List.

>>Am I the only one who appears to think his original question,
>>while admittedly naive, was nevertheless a good one?

>Could be. It's not like his line of investigation has not been
>pursued many times before on this list. He need only to go back
>into the Update archives. Also Rick takes the line of least
>resistance having not answered my last email to his response.

Don:

With all the respect I can muster, I say to you sincerely, I
relish the line of least resistance. I'm human. It's in my
nature to be as lazy as life will allow. My prime motive is
comfort. Isn't it yours? But seriously, if you've read my recent
responses to others, you'd probably find the statements of mine
you seek.

Only the best,

The other Rick Nielsen

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

If A Roswell Incident Happened Today?

From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:04:27 EDT
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 13:39:59 -0400
Subject: If A Roswell Incident Happened Today?

Stretching The Imagination: If A Roswell Incident Happened Today

What would we expect if an incident like the Roswell Incident occurred today?

What scenario would unfold? How would the resources and researchers of today come into play?

Let's just give it a nudge and say at the point where a craft or crafts crashed, witnesses emerged, and some official statement about a recovered saucer made the news.

Are we all prepared to scramble and snag up the data before or if that data got shuffled off to parts unknown?

I know some will argue that the Roswell crash(es) weren't proven to be alien but that's not the point. The point here is are we prepared?

Best,
Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 23](#)

1940's UFO Mindset?

From: **Greg Boone** <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:17:53 EDT
Fwd Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 13:41:26 -0400
Subject: 1940's UFO Mindset?

This is a tough question but posed often during UFO discussions I've had over the years. I don't want to bring it up but it must be addressed.

The question being about the mindset of people in the 1940's, particularly the time where elements of bigotry, racism, sexism were still around.

If the Roswell Incident did indeed have alien beings and if the stories of alien contact occurred, would the prejudices of that age have come into play for the best or the worse case scenarios? Old timers I've had the chance to chat with will often lower their heads in shame or embarrassment when discussing those times and far too often blurt out that " It was a different time, another mindset ", as if to explain or justify some sort of goof up.

I shudder to think of some poor ET with dark brown skin landing in Klan territory by accident back then.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: Detlev Bronk - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:48:38 -0400
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 08:01:43 -0400
Subject: Re: Detlev Bronk - Shell

>From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 15:31:59 +0100
>Subject: Re: Detlev Bronk

>Therefore if Bob Shell has seen Bronk's diaries, he ought to
>have come across the term "EBE" in them. So ought you. If it
>does not appear anywhere in these diaries I offer this
>explanation: Dr Bronk did write down EBE in his 1947 diary, but
>the diary was confiscated by the powers-that-be. He would
>obviously not have repeated the mistake in future diaries. This
>would explain why his post-1947 diaries do not contain the term
>EBE.

>However, there may be a simpler explanation for the lack of EBE
>in the diaries. Also, absence of evidence is not evidence of
>absence, as you so often remind us.

Bronks journals are extensive, and I really only flipped through
a few of them, so the fact that I did not see EBE anywhere is
meaningless.

Is there anyone on this List in or near Baltimore who could go
over to Johns Hopkins library and take a more detailed look at
them?

I was only interested in relevance to the AA when I looked at
them ten years ago, and lost interest when I realized that 1947
was missing.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:55:51 -0400
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 08:03:30 -0400
Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Shell

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 15:22:10 +0000
>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?
>I was talking about terminology, not about having absolute
>knowledge of anything. But if you are suggesting that they are
>earthly technology, then I think that is easily refuted by the
>evidence. And if you mean something like other
>dimensions/parallel universe then "beings from elsewhere" covers
>those possibilities... deliberately. I am well aware of
>preconceptions and their role in confused thinking, and my
>terminology and reasoning takes them into account.

Dick, I'm sorry. I was speaking generally, and not pointing any fingers at you, and I guess I didn't make that clear. I respect you as a researcher.

To me, anyway, "elsewhere" implies a place. I don't mean that they are earthly human technology, but "earthly technology" may encompass more than human technology. Just as other dimensions/parallel universes may not be the explanation, nothing that has so far been suggested may be the explanation.

Maybe, like the universe, these dudes are beyond our understanding. In that case, we'll never know the answers until we can expand our understanding of everything.

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 12:57:12 -0300
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 08:07:04 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: >ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 19:04:25 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 13:42:32 -0300
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 17:13:54 +0100 (BST)
>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:31:51 -0700 (PDT)
>>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>Geez fellas, give the guy a break. I've no idea if Rick is
>>>a newbie but we don't have a very good record with them on
>>>this List.

>>>Am I the only one who appears to think his original
>>>question, while admittedly naive, was nevertheless a good
>>>one?

>>Could be. It's not like his line of investigation has not
>>been pursued many times before on this list. He need only to
>>go back into the Update archives. Also Rick takes the line
>>of least resistance having not answered my last email to his
>>response.

>OK, you asked for it Don. Please take this in the same good
>humor in which I suppose you meant your post. And no, I'm not
>that Cheap Trick songster, though I could use his money!
>Who takes time for the angry man, Whose bark is his only bite?
>Rage and gibber--his only plan. He's never worth the fight.
>Rather not us wasted, nor that way chored, He's best left
>alone and ignored.

>Maybe those eyes of his won't dim, Before he's dosed what age
>should've given, Like "do unto the other..." And honey's
>sweet, to vinegar. His breathe of life - our only hope - That
>he finds good ways to cope.

>Only the best,
>The _other_ Rick Nielsen

Cool, Rick. First time I've been poemed. There's also the
beatitude "The meek shall inherit the earth." But only when they
are dead of course. A self serving promotion by the rich and
powerful.

How long have you been doing research of the phenomenon?

Don Ledger

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: Whatever Happened To... UFOs? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 13:10:02 -0300
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 08:09:01 -0400
Subject: Re: Whatever Happened To... UFOs? - Ledger

>From: Eleanor White <eleonor.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 16:40:28 -0400
>Subject: Re: Whatever Happened To... UFOs?

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 09:23:31 -0400
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Whatever Happened To... UFOs?

>>Whatever Happened To... UFOs?
>>Iain Hollingshead
>>The Guardian

><snip>

>>Yet BUFORA's Rosamond remains phlegmatically optimistic
>>about the future of ufology. "For every 100 cases there
>>are 99 rational explanations," he says. "But there is
>>always one which confounds the scientists.

>Who is to say that just because a "rational explanation" is offered,
>it must be the correct one?

Rationality is in the eye of the beholder.

Don

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: UFOs Not Dead Yet - Weber

From: **Bill Weber** <wweber1.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 12:17:23 -0400
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 08:11:40 -0400
Subject: Re: UFOs Not Dead Yet - Weber

>Source: The American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

><http://tinyurl.com/gqsee>

>April 22, 2006

>UFOs: Not Dead Yet
>R. Lee

>I've been following the articles and related threads on-line
>that insist Ufology is dead. Dead, dead, dead. UFO sightings are
>down. Barely any reports of UFOs come in anymore. Interest in
>the topic has waned; no one cares. UFO reporting bureaus have
>shut down, publications have ceased, and it's all due to one
>thing: no more UFOs. Nothing to speak of anyway, not worth a
>mention.

>The most recent of these is Whatever happened to... UFOs? The
>implication in its very title is that UFOs have gone forever.

Hi, All,

Our media also reported that Bush was a popular President for a solid year even though his disapproval ratings during that time period were over 50%. And of course, there's the now famous FOX News tool, "people say" (e.g. "People say no one is interested in UFOs."). Yep - we call it the 'new journalmalism'. Skeptics prefer their information reported this way - which is to say it's what they prefer to believe. UFOs are simply missing in action and no one is interested in them - until the Sweeps [ratings], of course.

As with all other subjects socio-cultural, political or just plain disturbing, one needs a combination of factors in order to even get mentioned, let alone accurately reported. If the next abduction of a beautiful white woman from a cruise ship on her honeymoon during spring break is also accompanied by a UFO sighting... well... maybe.

Best,

Bill

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Smith

From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 12:38:40 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 08:13:29 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Smith

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 20:37:24 -0300
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 20:12:22 +0100 (BST)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 11:00:21 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>It should be noted that there is already in existence a very
>formidable operation that obtains radar, visual, and satellite
>data about uncorrelated targets. Unfortunately, this data,
>obtained by the very expensive Aerospace Defense Comannd, is
>born classified.

>We pay for it, but don't see the results. This is why exposing
>the Cosmic Watergate is so important. Remember General
>Bolender's statement that "Reports of UFOs which could effect
>national security are not part of the Blue Book System".

>This statement is conveniently ignored by those who think the
>government has nothing useful to offer.

The issue is not that the government does not have an array of
instruments that collect datas. I know that it has alot of
imaging satellites and radar/optical tracking systems. However,
since all these systems are specifically designed for defense,
we will never get access to the raw data. The non-political
types in charge of these systems take it VERY seriously and are
not likely (thank God!) to be inclined to dish out raw access to
the data to the public (and also our enemies).

Also, whatever they give us, we must always question whether we
can trust them.

"Exposing the Cosmic Watergate" is an utter waste of time. It is
very sad that people are just playing into it hook line and
sinker. Why is it so hard to see that we do not need to depend
upon the government to spoon feed us data and conclusions? They
have their own agendas and trying to part the endless layers of
secrecy/misdirection is a fruitless way to spend one's life
(although you may sell alot of books).

It is the notion of liberals that the government is there to
help us and I note a strong tendency of liberal thought in
UFOlogy, so perhaps it is not surprising that the desire is to
have "Mother" government help us with the "monster under the
bed". A stronger more independent and proactive attitude is
needed. We need to get our own instrumented data using
ubiquitous, low cost technology at our disposal TODAY. But of
course this is "hard" since it takes time from interviewing

witnesses for the umpteenth time (but those cases make good books/articles!).

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Fears Town Overrun By Aliens Teen Shoots Cop?

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 12:46:32 EDT
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 08:17:14 -0400
Subject: Fears Town Overrun By Aliens Teen Shoots Cop?

Does anyone have any information on the teen who shot a cop, claiming the town folk had slowly been taken over by aliens?

I only caught the last few sentences of the story on the news. Apparently, the teen noticed subtle changes in the personality of people, including his parents. He felt contacted by an alien intelligence that attempted to take over his mind. He freaked out, went on some rampage, and ended up shooting a cop, who he thought was possessed by an alien. I know nothing more, though I'm sure the teen will be diagnosed as schizophrenic.

- Jason

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: UFO Believers - Parts I & II - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 14:01:17 -0300
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 08:23:28 -0400
Subject: Re: UFO Believers - Parts I & II - Ledger

>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 02:48:30 -0700
>Subject: Re: UFO Believers - Parts I & II

>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>>From: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Sent: Friday, April 21, 2006 6:25 AM
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: UFO Believers - Parts I & II

>>Source: Amercian Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

>><http://tinyurl.com/zoyym>

>>and

>><http://tinyurl.com/zvape> April 18 & 19 2006

>>UFO Believers - Parts I & II by Frank Warren

>>Merriam-Webster's Online Dictionary gives the following
>>definition(s) for the verb, believe:

>>intransitive senses

>>1 a : to have a firm religious faith b : to accept as true,
>>genuine, or real -ideals we believe in- -believes in
>>ghosts-

>Hello Frank, all.

>Definition 1a is good enough for my purposes.

>When somebody asks if I or somebody else 'believes' in UFOs it
>is almost always in this context.

>It is as if (whoever) is being accused of a quasi-religious belief,
>and therefore subject to ridicule.

>The term 'UFO Believers' is tossed out almost automatically in
>the media, in such a way sometimes that I can almost taste
>the rancor.

>'Belief' in this context is a very loaded term, carrying
>connotations that go far beyond dictionary definitions.
>Suppose the finest minds in ufology gathered someplace,
>discussing the phenomenon in the most sober and rational
>manner conceivable... and it got in the news.

>Its a fairly good bet that they would be called a group of
>'UFO believers'. The implication is obvious, that they are a
>gaggle of crackpots, each reinforcing the superstitions of the
>others. Never mind that the group might more closely resemble
>a science convention, even if it is fringe-science.

>If this were international affairs, zingers like that would be
>considered yellow journalism. If it were politics, we might
>call it 'spin' or propaganda. In the gossip columns, it would
>be a 'cheap-shot'.

>If its UFOs (wink, nod, poke in the ribs..) its OK. "UFO
>believers."

>Why is that?

Right on, Larry.

The reason that I bristle or get upset with the "Do you believe in UFOs" question is this: it assumes that I am in the same way involved in this phenomenon as those who practice religion; as if it's a replacement for religion that helps me deal with the reality of my own impending death.

Not so.

When you die, you die and that's it. If something happens on some quantum level, fair enough. If not that, either way what's the difference? I'd rather be flying to be honest with you. I don't need something to believe in, though I do believe in Bernoulli's Principle. Those getting into airplanes, should.

The other question I'm asked is "You don't believe in that crap do you?" Which starts another discussion.

Now I just state that if you are going to ask me that question you had better be prepared to sit still for at least half an hour while I rough it in for you because it's a "Are you still beating your wife?" type question. You can't answer it with a yes or a no.

Sometimes I ask, "What crap are you referring to?" That allows them to expand on their question. If I'm bored enough with the 'Do you believe' question I tell them about the little shrine I have with a flying saucer and some candles.

If anyone has a six or seven word response that I can use, I'd be interested in hearing it.

Don Ledger

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: UFO Technology Leaders - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catja90024.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 10:35:23 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 08:29:21 -0400
Subject: Re: UFO Technology Leaders - Kasten

>From: Craig Beasley <fallingleaf.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 22:46:51 -0500
>Subject: Re: UFO Technology Leaders

>>From: Kathy Kasten <catja90024.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 13:51:20 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: UFO Technology Leaders

>>Try to find an ex-engineer of Grumman Aircraft, Long Island,
>>New York - as I did. Have a chat over lunch and get him to
>>tell you what he can about some of the research that came out of
>>out of Grumman. Real technology; that really worked.

>That's a good idea, Kathy. Do you have a name for this ex-
>Grumman engineer? What kind of hardware did he develop?

Craig:

Why do you want to know?

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: 1940s UFO Mindset - Connors

From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 12:00:53 -0600
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 08:33:46 -0400
Subject: Re: 1940s UFO Mindset - Connors

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:17:53 EDT
>Subject: 1940's UFO Mindset?

<snip>

Well, I was alive in the 1940s and what I remember well into the 1950s was the mindset of the Great Depression. My clan suffered greatly, as did everyone else's clan. However, I remember the time of oldster's not trusting their leaders or business specifically because of the class system. I literally cry that such a system is reemerging today.

It wasn't until the mid-1950s that older people began to loosen up and begin to believe that maybe life was actually going to get better. It was no secret that trust in business and government tanked then as it has now. There are many similarities today... class systems re-emerging for the worst, while the majority can't make ends meet, etc.

I remember living in government housing because we were very poor. My father worked the CCC in order to eat. One day the government was converting the housing units from coal to oil. During that time my father took me aside and told me that a person "of color" was going to be doing the work on our unit. He told me that I was to accept this gentleman (and he certainly was just that, even though he was covered in the dust of concrete) and be friendly. My father also told me that when he was in WWII that he was ashamed of the prejudice he observed and that come "hell or high water, if I ever catch you being disrespectful to a minority, you wouldn't eat for a month." He meant it too!

Yes, it was a very different mindset, but people back then helped each other far more than they do today. We children were given excellent educations and a turncoat member of the elites, known as Franklin Delano Roosevelt, brought the rest of the U.S. citizens out of a very restrictive class system. As a matter of fact, I still remember when it came time to eat, grandparents and parents ate first. We children got the leftovers. True!!!

I also remember my father reading the flying saucer reports from the newspapers and how he did so to boost the imagination he had taken out of him in his youth.

You youngsters are very close to losing your country.

Wendy Connors

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:10:16 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 08:36:31 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 20:37:06 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 13:42:32 -0300
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 17:13:54 +0100 (BST)
>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>>>Geez fellas, give the guy a break. I've no idea if Rick is a
>>>newbie but we don't have a very good record with them on this
>>>List.

>>>Am I the only one who appears to think his original question,
>>>while admittedly naive, was nevertheless a good one?

>>Could be. It's not like his line of investigation has not been
>>pursued many times before on this List. He need only to go
>>back into the UpDates Archives. Also Rick takes the line of
>>least resistance having not answered my last email to his
>>response.

<snip>

>Actually, hold on a sec. If you think about his original
>question, it was possible to take it at more than one level. On
>the one hand, taken literally, it was a straight forward
>question but on the other, I also saw it as a challenge.

>Perhaps it just depends upon how an individual 'reads' the
>post, and what his internal reactions are.

<snip>

Thanks Stuart! Exactly! We humans need challenges, and
choices.

Each day at my work, I deal personally with the kind of human
challenges most folks safely see on the tube. I've found most of
those folks in a rut, doing the same things, reacting the same
way, reaching for the same destructive externals that continue
to spoil their lives.

In my decades now of experience with this I've learned some
things which, let our kind Moderator decide, may be helpful for
this list:

First, humans like rewards better than punishment. They find the
boundaries of whatever system they're in more quickly when
rewarded than when punished. It makes sense, doesn't it? After
all, which would you prefer?

Second, each human's only power is their willful exercise of personal choice. When practiced well, this leads to a life of new discovery with each moment, even each breath. When we don't, we're not very good company!

I'm probably mistaken. It wouldn't be the first time or the last. But I thought this was a platform for dialogue, not for errand- running or delegation without authority. In fact dialogue is what I'm here for, with no disrespect. I may still be someone's fool. But if the large volume of off-list emails I've been getting lately are any indication, (and BTW I do ask them to respond on- list), I'm not alone and at least an honest fool who tries to not take himself too seriously!

And thanks for the soap-box!

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Yturria

From: **Santiago Yturria Garza** <syturria.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 18:14:49 +0000
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 08:40:12 -0400
Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Yturria

>From: Tapani Koivula <koivulatapani.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 10:13:12 +0200
>Subject: Finnish Government UFO Videos

>[Non-Subscriber post]

>Hi Colleagues,

>Unfortunately our website is down. It will some days >to get it
>going. Anyway I am ready to answer, if you >have questions.

>It has been very fruitful to publish these government >UFO
>videos, especially in English.

>Yesterday I came back from a very important UFO >meeting. I was
>in Sweden discussing these videos with >Clas Svahn and also
>about Nordic co-operation in UFO >research.

>In Finland we have been active since the 60's, but >because of
>our special language our international co->operation in UFO
>research has been poor. I and some others have had co-operation
>with foreign UFO >researchers, i.e. Estonians and Russians.

>Tapani Koivula
>Chairman of FUFORA
>Finnish UFO Research Association

Dear Mr. Koivula,

Congratulations for this important advance for Finnish Ufology
in getting this disclosure by the Finnish Air Force and thanks
to your efforts and FUFORA. This historic event joins our crusade
for a global UFO disclosure by military all over the world.

Unfortunately your website experienced an exceeded bandwidth
limit but at the same time this inconvenience confirms the
massive interest of the people to know about any official
institution like an Air Force that comes forward telling their
UFO experiences and showing footage as evidence.

Something very similar happened in 2004 with the Mexican Air
Force case and many websites experienced the same problems due
to massive downloads but in the end this is a very positive
response and definitely a highly promising reaction from people.

The UFO Phenomenon is a reality and a fact of life.

Santiago

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Rainey

From: Carol Rainey <Csrainey1.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 15:12:11 EDT
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 08:46:06 -0400
Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Rainey

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 20:12:03 +0000
>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

>I refer to them as "beings from elsewhere"

<snip>

Hi, List

I love the term "beings from elsewhere," that Dick uses. It puts me in mind of what Ted Bloecher - a researcher from years ago who was also a man of the theatre - said about where aliens go when they disappear. He said "They go behind the scenery."

These terms and phrases are evocative of the damned, deep mystery of these events and how we use words to grope toward an understanding of them.

The only bad thing about "beings from elsewhere," Dick, is that they'd be called "BFE's" and that's even sillier than "aliens."
:-)

Here's to mystery, in all forms.

Carol Rainey

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 17:00:23 -0300
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 08:56:05 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 06:33:54 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 13:42:32 -0300
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>>Could be. It's not like his line of investigation has not
>>been pursued many times before on this list. He need only to
>>go back into the Update archives. Also Rick takes the line
>>of least resistance having not answered my last email to his
>>response.

>Don:

>With all the respect I can muster, I say to you sincerely, I
relish the line of least resistance. I'm human. It's in my
nature to be as lazy as life will allow. My prime motive is
comfort. Isn't it yours?

I'd like to achieve some comfort, Rick, but my life style won't
allow me. My body, at my age and despite attempts otherwise,
does indeed seek the line of least resistance which is down, in
the direction of gravity-toward the center of Earth. Everything
is sagging.

In this "business" if you go with the flow, trust other's
research and opinions without following the tracks on your own,
you can miss important details that many already know but have
filed away in their memories as part of their understanding of
the phenomenon in general or a certain case in particular. In
the case of abduction and abductees [like UFO cases in general]
I can't stress how important it is to get involved 'first hand'
and all that that entails. Maybe you have done that already, but
if you haven't there's a big difference between reading case
files and writing up one of your own. Go in and get your hands
and your brain dirty. You can't do that from your comfortable
livingroom sofa.

>But seriously, if you've read my recent
>responses to others, you'd probably find the statements of
>mine you seek.

Sure enough.

>Only the best,
>The other Rick Nielsen

Best to you,

Don

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - White

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 16:36:08 -0400
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 08:58:32 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - White

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 20:09:27 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 13:42:32 -0300
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 17:13:54 +0100 (BST)
>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>>>3. Form a massive international caucus, like the right-wing
>>>Christians for example, so that as a lobby we become so
>>>powerful that we simply cannot be ignored. This by the way is
>>>a serious response to your original question but this
>>>particular point is laughable.

>>I don't think it's laughable at all, and it would probably
>>work.

>You're right, it probably would work but... surely experience
>has shown you that we can never work together as one.

<snip>

>It's a non starter.

Well, if people who are more knowledgeable about American
history than I am are right, there was extreme lack of
"working together as one" during the American Revolution, with
only about 5% of the total population actively engaged. Yet it
succeeded.

So I'm not sure it's a non-starter, particularly with those
surveys showing what, 50-80% of Americans "believing" (sorry!)
that UFOs have some genuine substance to them?

In the disclosure realm, one small government somewhere which
decided to tell all could be ufology's "shot heard 'round the
world."

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - White

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 16:41:38 -0400
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 09:00:13 -0400
Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - White

>From: Kathy Kasten <catja90024.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 12:14:46 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

<snip>

>>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 16:33:11 -0400
>>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

><snip>

>>So even telepathic ability could just be a manifestation of more
>>_time_, not necessarily more "mentally developed."

>>(I know I've used terms here which could invite demands for
>>definitions. I leave debating definitions to others.)

>Eleanor:

>How about this: expanding the meaning of space and
>time available to the human mind.

Hmm. My original point was that roughly speaking, a range of
levels of "mental development", given enough _time_, can
probably eventually reach the technology levels observed during
UFO sightings/interactions.

I'm not clear how your comment relates to that.

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Lehmberg

From: Alfred Lehmberg <alienview.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 15:51:47 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 09:03:14 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Lehmberg

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 19:04:25 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 13:42:32 -0300
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 17:13:54 +0100 (BST)
>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:31:51 -0700 (PDT)
>>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>OK, you asked for it Don. Please take this in the same good
>humor in which I suppose you meant your post. And no, I'm not
>that Cheap Trick songster, though I could use his money!

>Who takes time for the angry man,
>Whose bark is his only bite?
>Rage and gibber--his only plan.
>He's never worth the fight.

What do you babble on about... and cast aspersions yet! And,
arguing from ignorance? You're just a troll, I'd bet.

A churlish little creature who's bereft of common sense, who
only proves his lack of wit by being boorish... dense?

>Rather not us wasted, nor that way chored,
>He's best left alone and ignored.

It's here you drop your rhyme scheme? Your meter loses sight?

A sneering churl outside his league who brings a stick to gun
fight?

>Maybe those eyes of his won't dim,
>Before he's dosed what age should've given,
>Like "do unto the other..."
>And honey's sweet, to vinegar.

Honey's sweet to everything with sense enough to taste it. Your
simile's bereft of same, and it's not like you can waste it.

You trifle with your betters, sir, are asking answered
questions, and you don't understand, at all, you're 'light' to
make suggestions.

>His breathe of life - our only hope -
>That he finds good ways to cope.

Or, better, you'd just lurch away... a strutting sneering
popinjay?

>Only the best,

Yet to see it from you, at all, Mr. Nielsen... but I've got
small hope for you...

[alienview.nul](#)

www.AlienView.net

AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kritkausky

From: Rob Kritkausky <robkrit.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 14:38:47 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 09:15:43 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kritkausky

>From: Stanton Friedman
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 20:37:24 -0300
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Stuart Miller
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 20:12:22 +0100 (BST)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: James Smith
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 11:00:21 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>Sorry James but as far as I'm concerned, you are not living in
>>the real world. The phrase "tunnel vision" comes to mind. You
>>ignore the cultural and military implications of "UFOs" and you
>>do so to your cost. There is a naive about your approach.
>>While what you eschew may find favour with some of the older
>>members on this List, perhaps even the majority, as far as I'm
>>concerned it is nothing more than a futile exercise. Yes, Peter
>>Davenport's idea might produce some interesting data eventually
>>but truly, it wouldn't really tell us much. The time is
>>approaching for a different tack. We should advance to the
>>bottom of the barricades and look up and think a little more
>>seriously about how we're going to climb over. Up 'n at 'em pal.

>It should be noted that there is already in existence a very
>formidable operation that obtains radar, visual, and satellite
>data about uncorrelated targets. Unfortunately, this data,
>obtained by the very expensive Aerospace Defense Comannd, is
>born classified.

>We pay for it, but don't see the results. This is why exposing
>the Cosmic Watergate is so important. Remember General
>Bolender's statement that "Reports of UFOs which could effect
>national security are not part of the Blue Book System".

>This statement is conveniently ignored by those who think the
>government has nothing useful to offer.

Stan:

Thanks for making that point. This is an important distinction
because there is a big difference between these two types of
information in terms of actual value. The solicitation of
statements from our government that might validate the existence
of UFOs has little value. There is little doubt that this source
of information is deceitful, self-serving and unreliable. That
being said, the idea that we can get the final word on this
matter by a "suit" making a public statement validating the
existence of UFOs is not logical or realistic. A corrupt data
source is just that and the fact that the content of some future
statement may include information we want to hear, it does not
magically render the source dependable.

I certainly see value in seeking the kind of data you mention.
The approach as a whole has merit especially when it focuses on

obtaining the more scientific data oriented information you elude to. The mistake I think Ufology makes is the reliance on it to such an extent that any active scientific research is almost non-existent. Would you agree that this imbalance makes the field more dependent on a government hostile to its cause, as well as promoting an image of Ufology as more distant from science than one might wish?

I have a reason for addressing this topic whenever it surfaces. This is because Field Stations proposed by James or Radar concepts Peter has mentioned are more than things that just might work. In actuality, I happen to know that it has worked on one occasion. This success happened completely outside the UFO community and the participants wish to remain there for reasons I both understand and respect. The data obtained includes video from two cameras(one infrared), precise spectral readings, scoped bearings taken from two separate observation points and revealing data streams from Gamma and EM instruments running at the time. This single success exists as a subset of a much larger group of failed attempts, but it is the type of data Ufologists dream of. The point I'm trying to make is this type of data should not be dreamed of or waited upon for release by the government. An active scientific pursuit of this type of data by Ufology has merit, yet it collects dust in a closet of untapped potential.

Rob

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

PRG Update - April 23, 2006

From: **Stephen G. Bassett** <ParadigmRG.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 19:25:47 EDT
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 09:22:50 -0400
Subject: PRG Update - April 23, 2006

PRG
Paradigm Research Group

PRG Update - April 23, 2006

Paul Davids Documentary - The Sci-Fi Boys

Researcher, filmographer, artist and longtime PRG supporter, Paul Davids, had the DVD of his latest documentary, The Sci-Fi Boys, go on sale in Best Buy stores nationwide on March 28. Look for them next to the record selling King Kong DVD.

Paul, who was the executive producer of the movie, Roswell, as created a nostalgic look back at the legendary pioneers of science fiction films - perhaps the most influential artistic genre in history.

Paul recently conducted an interview with some of these legends at a screening at the Egyptian Theater.

www.fangoria.com

has several articles about The Sci-Fi Boys and posted the streaming video of the March 21 interview. Another edited version of the interview along with photos is located at:

www.kongisking.net

David Sereda/Dan Aykroyd Documentary - Dan Aykroyd Unplugged on UFOs

On May 31 David Sereda's much improved and enhanced documentary, Dan Aykroyd Unplugged on UFOs, will also hit all the Best Buy stores nationwide. PRG predicts this will quickly become the largest selling UFO documentary in history with total sales in the millions. Dan Aykroyd is a principal and will be promoting the video personally. Expect David and Dan to turn up on Larry King Live on CNN and elsewhere.

If sales are as predicted, this documentary will elevate Dan Aykroyd to the status of the leading spokesperson in the world on the matters of extraterrestrial-related phenomena and a government truth embargo (cover-up).

So please spread the word about Dan Aykroyd Unplugged on UFOs. It's success will help everyone in the field.

More details at:

<http://tinyurl.com/lncg4>

Activism, the Rich and the Super Rich

Attached to this email you will find an .htm file you can open in any browser. It contains a version of the recent Forbes Magazine's list of the world's wealthiest people. About a dozen listees with some connection to matters ET have been bolded. It is worth mentioning that while many on this list have made

substantial sums of money from ET related movies, books and products, no one on this list has "publicly" (not aware of any private instances, but such are certainly possible) ever provided any money for either the research into extraterrestrial-related phenomena or the activism to end the truth embargo. Should they? That is up to each of them to decide.

Until they do, let's not miss any opportunity to provide them the information needed to make an informed decision. If you have a personal or business relationship with anyone on this list or have a good contact with someone who does, please try and get information to that person regarding the incipient disclosure movement. Give them a chance to make a difference. In most cases they are simply too busy with multiple projects to be aware of what is happening regarding this issue and its implications. Help them out.

To further put this matter in perspective, PRG has calculated that if just \$2 million were applied to a selected list of activist projects targeting specific weaknesses in the truth embargo, that embargo could be ended in mere months. Let's use Ms. Alice Walton, net worth \$15.7 billion, as an example. Assuming a modest 5% annual growth rate on assets (be assured that is low for billionaires), \$2 million is the equivalent of one days worth in appreciation on her assets.

Also consider this: as of 2004 there were 7.5 million Americans with assets in excess of \$1 million for a total in excess of \$10 trillion. The entire human race is being denied the full facts regarding the most profound circumstance in history at a time when the human race and the planet are facing unprecedented challenges, and 7.5 million people with over \$10 trillion in assets can't come up with \$2 million to acquire those facts?

Time is running out for constructive solutions to global problems. Anyone who cares about their children, grandchildren - all children - needs to step forward.

Paradigm Research Group
E-mail: ParadigmRG.nul
URL: www.paradigmclock.com
Cell: 202-215-8344
4938 Hampden Lane, #161
Bethesda, MD 20814

3rd Annual Exopolitics Expo - The X-Conference
Hilton Gaithersburg - TBA 2006
www.x-conference.com
Tapes/DVDs available at: www.lostartsmedia.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: If A Roswell Incident Happened Today? -

From: Peter B. Davenport <director.nul>
Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 16:50:55 -0700
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 09:26:15 -0400
Subject: Re: If A Roswell Incident Happened Today? -

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:04:27 EDT
>Subject: If A Roswell Incident Happened Today?

>Stretching The Imagination: If A Roswell Incident Happened Today

>What would we expect if an incident like the Roswell Incident
>occurred today?

>What scenario would unfold? How would the resources and
>researchers of today come into play?

>Let's just give it a nudge and say at the point where a craft or
>crafts crashed, witnesses emerged, and some official statement
>about a recovered saucer made the news.

>Are we all prepared to scramble and snag up the data before or
>if that data got shuffled off to parts unknown?

>I know some will argue that the Roswell crash(es) weren't proven
>to be alien but that's not the point. The point here is are we
>prepared?

Greg's message touches on the very point discussed at a gathering of ufologists near Washington, D.C., in June 2003. The principal question was what should the UFO organizations do in order to be ready for the next major UFO-related event, and how should they combine forces in order to capture as much of the data as possible.

It is interesting to consider the fact that UFO events, which rival the dramatic nature of the Roswell event, appear to have taken place in the recent past... and the public almost didn't notice! The Kecksburg Incident of December 09, 1965, was one that comes to mind, and the "Phoenix Lights" event of March 13, 1997, should have galvanized the public and press, as well, but it did not. In the latter instance, five objects, or perhaps even more, each of which was approximately the size of any international airport, loitered over a major U. S. city for approximately 90 minutes, and it didn't make the national news!!

On the day after that event, I made a call to the Los Angeles offices of United Press International, in order to inform them of the incident, and to offer information that had come in to NUFORC over the preceding 12 hours. They could not have been less interested. One of the supervisory personnel there (initials "J.F.") declared that the "breaking news" at that moment were the results of the most recent polygraph test of Mark Fuhrman, the chief detective in the O. J. Simpson case, even though a verdict had already been reached in that case. The employee stated wouldn't be able to focus on any other news item on that particular morning!

The most interesting part of the Phoenix Lights case is that the USAF personnel were more than just moderately successful in convincing most members of the public that the event had been caused by either a cluster of flares, or a flight of aerobatic

Re: If A Roswell Incident Happened Today? -

aircraft from Canada! It would not be until the story "broke" in USA TODAY on June 18 that people would begin to receive any word of the case through the mainstream media, over three months later.

Frankly, I think a fleet of UFO's could land on the White House lawn, abduct the First Family, steal the White House off its foundation, and the only news article we might read would be some vapid statement from the GSA, announcing that the President and his family were on vacation, and the White House had been removed for routine maintenance.....

In my view, neither the press, nor the UFO community, is prepared for the next major UFO-related event. I suspect, however, the U. S. government is, with a myriad of "off-the-shelf" contingency plans for deceiving the American People.

Peter
NUFORC

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: If A Roswell Incident Happened Today? - Hale

From: Roy Hale <roy.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 01:26:37 +0100
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 09:27:41 -0400
Subject: Re: If A Roswell Incident Happened Today? - Hale

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:04:27 EDT
>Subject: If A Roswell Incident Happened Today?

>Stretching The Imagination: If A Roswell Incident Happened Today

>What would we expect if an incident like the Roswell Incident
>occurred today?

Hi,

How about the Rendlesham Forest Incident?

Roy

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

UFO Devotees To Gather In Landers

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 09:34:10 -0400
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 09:34:10 -0400
Subject: UFO Devotees To Gather In Landers

Source: The Daily Bulletin - Woodland Hills, California, USA

http://dailybulletin.com/entertainment/ci_3742081

April 24 2006

UFO Devotees To Gather In Landers
By Redmond Carolipio, Staff Writer

According to The X-Files, the truth is out there.

And on Saturday, it'll be in Landers.

The High Desert will become the landing site for the Retro UFO Spacecraft Convention, an old-school homage to the starry gatherings that used to draw people to the desert decades ago.

"We're going back to the history," said Barbara Harris of Yucca Valley, one of the event's co-creators. "We don't want to make it scary - we want it to be fun."

Many trekked to UFO conventions in the '50s, '60s, and '70s, Harris said. People used to gather from all over the country for a chance to hear people called "contactees" talk about their personal experiences with beings from another world - and expound about what it all meant.

One of the first contactees was George Van Tassel, an aerospace engineer who said he was visited by people from space, boarded a ship and was given the plans to build a machine called the Integratron, which is the focal point of the convention.

Van Tassel, who died in 1978, held yearly "spacecraft conventions" near Giant Rock in Landers, which attracted thousands of people. The conventions helped raise the funds for Van Tassel to build the Integratron, which he described as a "time machine, a rejuvenation machine, and an anti-gravity device."

Today, people boldly go to the Integratron to do everything from record music in the sound chamber to meditate. One of its signature features is the "sound bath," which is said to have therapeutic effects.

Harris said the rest of the fair will be quite a break from a run-of-the-mill UFO convention, which is heavy on academics and theories.

"Typical conventions are held in hotels, and they just feature a lot of speakers - like a lecture," she said. "We're outside, we're going to have lectures in tents, hayrides, shows, bands at night, contests... it's very lighthearted."

There are also going to be tours of Giant Rock and the Integratron, art exhibits and a UFO opera performed by the band UFOetry, which has won two L.A. Music Awards for their work.

Visitors also have the option of staying at the Integratron overnight, supported by campfires and astronomers.

Some of the original contactees from years past will be part of the lineup of speakers.

Rob Harris, Barbara's husband and co-organizer of the event, said while much discussion about meeting alien beings might sound "out there" at first, it's obviously still on a lot of minds - just look at pop culture as proof.

"There's that sense of wonder out there," he said. "It's still a big topic. You see more stuff about aliens on television shows and movies, so people are definitely still interested."

However, much of what's seen in the media perceives aliens as malicious creatures that want to wipe humans off the face of the Earth, and people aren't getting the clearest picture of the movement, said Josh Poet, co-founder and member of UFOetry.

"One of the things Barbara (Harris) talked to us about was that she wanted to re-instill the idea of the innocence and the purity of when we first heard about life on other planets," he said. "We wanted to get a back to the stuff that's been shrouded in conspiracy. There's more than just one group of aliens... many of them want to help us evolve."

And Barbara Harris hopes the convention itself evolves into something that leaves a lasting impression.

"This made memories for people years ago," she said. "We want to create new memories for the next generation."

The Retro UFO Space Convention

When: Saturday, 8:30 a.m. to 9 p.m.

Where: The Integratron, 2477 Belfield Blvd., Landers

Cost: \$25 for general admission, \$145 for full-day pass, \$195 to stay overnight

Information:

www.integratron.com

www.retroufo.com,

or call Barbara or Rob Harris:
760-365-3266

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Bridlington UK UFO Pictures

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 09:44:08 -0400
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 09:44:08 -0400
Subject: Bridlington UK UFO Pictures

Source: The Bridlington Free Press - Yorkshire, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/jghge>

24 April 2006

Has UFO Visited Brid?

Did you spot something strange flying over Bridlington on Easter Sunday?

Bridlington UFO fan Paul Sinclair spotted the object over Blackburn Avenue at around midnight and managed to get these photographs.

He said: "I cannot explain why or how but I sensed something and looked up into the sky. It was very dark with low cloud cover.

"I could see an orange light and a dark oval shape of some kind within the cloud."

"I have to say it was strange knowing there was an object of some sort in the sky."

Paul runs his own UFO website which details other accounts of sightings in the area.

Anyone interested can visit the website at:

www.ilf-ufo.co.uk

Have you spotted any UFOs over Bridlington?

Write to the Free Press at 3 Prospect Street, Bridlington, YO15 2AQ or e-mail newsdesk.nul to let us know.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Examining The Alien Agenda

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 09:49:28 -0400
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 09:49:28 -0400
Subject: Examining The Alien Agenda

Source: American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/s75gx>

April 22, 2006

Examining The Alien Agenda
Dirk Vander Ploeg

Thousands of Americans are being told by the established media that millions of Mexican, Central and South American economic refugees will soon be flooding across the U.S.-Mexico border. This fear is amplified by the knowledge that the actual number of illegal immigrants already in the United States is estimated at 12 million.

These interlopers only want your money, jobs and lifestyle. There are those that claim that other aliens have also infiltrated the U.S. and other countries. And these aliens don't want your money, they want you.

There are those who claim contact with these aliens and who in their words have become 'enlightened'. Depending on your source these aliens are either benign or the worst nightmare you can possibly imagine.

Alien abductions and cattle mutilations are clues to a breeding process that is creating a human-alien hybrid. It is alleged that the creation of this hybrid is an attempt to prevent extinction of an alien race, known as the Greys.

They have been portrayed as benevolent creatures in Steven Spielberg's movies 'Close Encounters of the Third Kind' and 'ET: the Extraterrestrial'. Last year's hit movie 'War of the Worlds' and previous movies like the 'Alien' and 'Predator' series describe the aliens as monsters, bent on killing and the destruction of the human race.

As the webmaster of www.ufodigest.com, I am in the unique position of being contacted by individuals who claim to have first hand knowledge of the alien agenda.

Which of these descriptions is most accurate. Recently, I have been in contact with two individuals who claim to have had personal contact with members of alien races living and monitoring our planet. What is really interesting about the dialogues with these creatures is their interpretation of the purpose of the so-called Greys.

The first description is being offered by one of the Greys themselves, the second is by a spirit guide, a member of another alien race that claims first hand knowledge of the Greys.

The two entities conveyed their information using different methods. The Grey physically met the person that gave me the information six times over a period of weeks and actually

dictated, telepathically, the message. The spirit guide sends her information through dreams or meditations. This is often referred to as channeling, which is when the medium, through which a spirit guide purportedly communicates with the physical world.

For the purpose of this article I have decided to focus only on the following areas: alien abduction, governments interaction and the alien agenda.

Alien Abduction

The Grey: They call their race Magnuss and claim they have been watching humans from their gravity crafts for thousands of years. They admit that they abduct humans, but only do so for the purpose of research, hoping to develop a procedure to continue their existence. They only reproduce through cloning and realize that theirs is a dying race. They claim that they never injure the abductees and always return them safely to their originating location.

They admit to inserting implants into their subjects. These implants are attached to the nerve endings and transmit location and biological data to a master computer. They call this procedure "tagging". Once an implant is surgically removed the implant becomes dormant and the master computer is alerted.

They also claim that they also abduct females within six days of being impregnated. The embryos are removed and genetically altered to produce human-alien hybrids. They claim that these fetuses would have been aborted and they were only saving the children's lives. The Magnuss that has conveyed this information says that he was created in a Petrie dish and was in fact a human-alien hybrid.

Spirit guide: An alien claiming to be a messenger of the Supreme Spirit, channels information to the person receiving the message. This is accomplished when the receiver (person being contacted) is in a dream state or in a subconscious state. The spirit guide claims that there are many races of guides, some come from other planets and dimensions. There are light and dark (good and bad) guides throughout the Universe seeking followers.

The spirit guide claims that the Greys are from a planet called Zeta Reticulan, which is near the Barnard's star in the system near Orion. Zeta Reticulan is a (two) binary star system. They state that the Greys are a very old race and cloned themselves for thousands of years. They were originally created through genetic manipulation by two other alien races, the Reptilians and the Insectoids.

Apparently, they are conducting experiments with livestock and are responsible for all cattle mutilations. By dissecting the animals they obtain DNA information and also derive some type of nourishment.

They are also responsible for many of the alien abductions. In fact, many missing persons are abducted and never returned. Some of the abductees are sacrificed for medical knowledge. The Greys are unemotional and uncaring concerning the human abductees. The Greys have no reproduction or digestive systems and feed on physical and mental human energy. They state the Grey's absorb nutrition through their skin and emit their bodily wastes the same way.

Government Interaction

The Grey: They had two crashes: the first at Aurora and the second at Roswell.

The Aurora incident happened in 1897 and details of the crash of an unknown object were published in the April 19th edition of the Dallas Morning News. According to reports early in the morning residents of Aurora were shocked by the sudden appearance of the airship overhead. The object appeared to be malfunctioning and was traveling only ten or twelve miles an hour and was descending slowly. It couldn't navigate properly and collided with the tower of a windmill and exploded, sending pieces of the wreck everywhere. The pilot of the ship was killed in the collision and appeared to be the only occupant. While his body was badly disfigured, the remains did not appear to be from

this planet.

The Roswell Incident is the most famous and widely publicized UFO sighting in the world. Something crashed, was in a collision or was shot down in 1947 in New Mexico. Rancher Mac Brazel reported finding portions of a crashed UFO on his ranch. The sheriff of Chaves County passed this information along to officials at Roswell Army Air Field (RAAF) and an investigation was begun by Maj. Jesse Marcel, an intelligence officer. A press release was issued by RAAF about the flying saucer on July 8, 1947. The following day, the official story was changed by Army Air Force officials. UFO lore suggests that as many as two crafts crashed and that the bodies of four aliens were found at the crash site. One of the aliens may have been only injured. The Air Force claims the incident never happened and has repeatedly issued official and differing versions of what occurred and was found.

The Greys admit that they were not immediately aware of the crashes and would have cleaned the crash areas of all debris and casualties. Although, the crash was accidental it resulted in the U.S. obtaining advanced technology and extraterrestrial bodies. The crashes could have been avoided if they had used their weapons, which they refer to as Thor's Hammer, to shoot down U.S. planes. This way the occupants could have parachuted to safety, but because of their non aggression policy no shots were fired.

They claim it was their ship that flew over Los Angeles on February 25, 1942 causing the U.S. military to launch a barrage of cannon fire and send fighter jets racing toward the unknown craft. They state that although they could easily have shot down the jets and destroyed the cannons with lasers they simply defended their craft and did not fire back.

The following is a brief description of the encounter: It was reported that the sudden appearance of the enormous round object over LA caused dozens of gun crews and searchlights of the Army's 37th Coast Artillery Brigade to target the huge ship which hovered in the winter sky. The city was awakened by the hundreds of rounds fired at the glowing object. Fighter planes, flying in group formation, attacked the ship, silhouetted by bright beams from the searchlights, but had little effect and after a brief encounter returned to their airstrips. The appearance of the object and the ensuing battle only lasted a little over 30 minutes.

They state that they are no threat to mankind but claim there are other alien species on the planet that are.

They advise that we be aware of UFOs that appear like a vertical cylinder or a baker's pie with a cherry on top. Run, like they did in Colares, Brazil. During a three-month period from July to September 1977 fishermen witnessed objects in the sky and a doctor treated dozens of people hit by the light from an unidentified flying object. One patient died and several witnesses stated that they observed two teams of Brazilian military filming the objects. Every evening UFOs appeared, in some cases they flew down from the sky, in others, they emerged out of the ocean. The UFOs flew at low altitude, circling and descending. They looped and suddenly accelerated; they hovered over houses probing inside with beams. They emerged from larger objects and then reentered them. This happened every night for three months.

Spirit guide: The Greys have interacted with the U.S. government for many years. There are underground bases in both New Mexico and Nevada that are jointly maintained and manned by humans and aliens. They are working with the government to develop a human-alien hybrid. Ironically, they have been sharing cloning technology with the military for decades.

The incident at Roswell did happen and was the genesis for first contact by aliens.

The Alien Agenda

The Grey: They are a totally peaceful race that is very interested in the development of mankind and wish to work with humans in the hope of developing a hybrid species that would ensure the continuation of both races.

The Greys also claim to be very religious and followers of Christ.

Spirit guide: The Greys are controlled, enslaved by a race of Reptilian aliens. One of their goals may be to develop a superior genetically enhanced hybrid that is capable of rebelling against their slave masters. On the other hand they may be working hand-in-hand with the Reptilians to enslave mankind.

The spirit guide believes that the Greys are attempting to gain control of the government, thereby securing their freedom from the Reptilians. One race of the Greys are working with the government officials and seeking an alliance to defend themselves against future attacks from the Reptilians.

When I began this article I was hoping to clarify the alien agenda but seem to have made it even more complicated. Our alien "experts" differ wildly on the agenda of the Greys, but disturbingly agree with the following:

1. There are alien races presently on the planet
2. They are responsible for the kidnapping and experimenting on humans
3. They are responsible for cattle mutilations
4. They are conducting DNA experiments to develop a human-alien hybrid
5. There has been interaction between world governments and extraterrestrials
6. Alien craft with their occupants have crashed and been recovered by government agencies.

Either way you look at it, there is an alien presence in our midst. Regardless of which side you choose to believe there is one point that must be made: all races have their agendas and the truth is we won't know the aliens' agendas until they act on them.

Dirk Vander Ploeg is the editor and publisher of UFODigest.com and PsiTalk.com. He has worked as a publisher and writer for travel related and other magazines. He has written the non-fiction book 'Quest for Middle-earth' which compares Tolkien's 'The Lord of the Rings' to ancient Earth history. He graduated from Mohawk College majoring in Communications.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Phenomena Produce Theories But No Answers

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 09:54:16 -0400
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 09:54:16 -0400
Subject: Phenomena Produce Theories But No Answers

Source: The Union-Tribune - San Diego, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/ewsdr>

April 23, 2006

Phenomena Produce Theories, But No Answers
By Alex Roth
Union-Tribune Staff Writer

Life can serve up a good mystery every once in a while. Weird things happen that defy explanation, that make us wonder how much we really know about the world.

Something of the sort happened in San Diego County shortly before 9 a.m. Tuesday, April 4, and so far no one has come forward with an explanation.

Whatever it was, it caused a woman's bed to shake in Lakeside. It created waves in a backyard pool in Carmel Valley. It set off car alarms in Kearny Mesa and rattled windows from Mission Beach to Poway to Vista. At various spots throughout the county, people reported a rumbling sound or a booming noise.

Scientists insist it wasn't an earthquake. The Federal Aviation Administration has no record of any planes producing a sonic boom by breaking the sound barrier.

Camp Pendleton officials say no activities on the Marine base could have created such a disturbance. There were no large explosions in San Diego County that day, and no meteor fireballs were reported in the sky that morning.

What was it, then?

Maybe it was the same thing that caused a strange disturbance in Mississippi on April 7, when the locals heard a loud boom that rattled windows all over Jackson County, throwing emergency workers "into a tizzy," said Butch Loper, Jackson County's civil defense director. Authorities in that state still don't have a clue as to the cause.

Advertisement Nor, to this day, can anyone explain what was behind similar episodes in Maine two months ago, or Alabama three months ago, or North Carolina four months ago. In each of those cases =96 as well as in other incidents around the nation over the years =96 residents reported hearing windows rattle and feeling floors shake even though no earthquake was detected.

There's almost certainly a simple, unromantic, "Aha!"-type explanation for each of these odd occurrences, something that everyone has overlooked for whatever combination of reasons.

But who knows?

Maybe we're not being told everything. Maybe the Earth still does things that present-day humanity doesn't understand.

The morning of April 4 was cloudy in San Diego County, with rain in some areas and temperatures in the low to mid-60s. In Lakeside, Judi Mitchell, an emergency medical technician who works the night shift at a hospital, had returned to her home on Lakeshore Drive and was just about to fall asleep. It was 9 a.m., give or take a few minutes.

Suddenly, the earth started to vibrate.

"The windows shook; my bed moved," she said. "It moved my bookcase."

The rattling lasted a few seconds. Mitchell, 44, has lived in East County all her life and considers herself an expert at judging the size of an earthquake. She quickly guessed this one was a 4.5 on the Richter scale.

But to the astonishment of everyone, a quake wasn't the culprit. Within hours, both the U.S. Geological Survey in Pasadena and the Scripps Institution of Oceanography in La Jolla issued statements saying no earthquake had been detected.

Last week, USGS spokeswoman Stephanie Hanna said the agency stands by its initial conclusion.

"No, it wasn't an earthquake," she said. "We haven't changed our minds about that."

By noon on the day of the incident, The San Diego Union-Tribune was being inundated with e-mails from people wondering what could have caused the strange tremors.

"My garage door is double steel and it weighs about 500 lbs.," a man in University City wrote. "It was rattling back and forth like a leaf in the wind for about 3 or 4 seconds."

A Mission Beach resident compared the sensation to "somewhere in between an explosion and an earthquake." A woman in Carmel Valley noted that the rattling was very distressing to her cats.

In recent days, the Union-Tribune has tried to get to the bottom of this mystery. Our efforts haven't met with much success.

Was it a sonic boom? If so, it didn't come from any aircraft at Miramar Marine Corps Air Station, Maj. Jason Johnston said. And it didn't come from any Navy planes in San Diego, said Cmdr. Jack Hanzlik, a Coronado-based spokesman for the Naval Air Forces.

"There were no Navy aircraft operating in this area during that time capable of flying at transonic speed," he said.

Officials with the California National Guard and several Air Force bases also insisted their planes weren't the culprit, as did a Colorado-based spokesman for the North American Aerospace Defense Command.

If a plane had been traveling over San Diego County at supersonic speeds, the Federal Aviation Administration would have picked it up on radar, said Cheryl Jones, the FAA's San Diego-based liaison to the Marine Corps.

Jones checked with FAA control centers in Palmdale and San Diego, which monitor 180,000 square miles covering Southern California, southern Nevada and western Arizona. The agency has no records of any plane, military or civilian, breaking the sound barrier on the morning of April 4, she said.

Under federal law, Jones added, the military can fly at supersonic speeds only in certain restricted areas, three of which exist in Southern California. One is 150 miles to the north of San Diego, the second is 220 miles to the east and the third is 27 miles off the coast. The odds of a plane in any of those areas creating a sonic boom that could be felt all over San Diego County are virtually nonexistent, she said.

Could some sort of rocket be the cause? A spokeswoman at

Vandenberg Air Force Base, 60 miles north of Santa Barbara, said the base didn't launch any rockets that day. Neither did NASA, a spokesman for that agency said.

Was it a meteor? Unlikely, said Ed Beshore, a researcher at the University of Arizona's NASA-funded Catalina Sky Survey, which monitors asteroids and other heavenly objects.

Every few months, a meteor enters Earth's atmosphere and produces an "airburst" that can cause a disturbance on the ground, Beshore said. In one recent case, an airburst over the Mediterranean Sea broke the windows on a ship, he said. In the most extreme incident ever recorded, a 1908 airburst over Siberia flattened trees for thousands of miles.

But an airburst powerful enough to cause tremors all over San Diego County would have been noticed by scientists, Beshore said. And the American Meteor Society reported no fireball sightings over California on April 4.

A spokeswoman for Camp Pendleton scoffed at speculation that some sort of Marine mortar training exercise at the base might have caused the countywide rumbling. "It was not us," 2nd Lt. Lori Miller stated flatly.

Miller was home in Vista on the morning of April 4 when her windows began to rattle. There is no possible way, she said, that a Pendleton training exercise could have caused a sensation like that.

Two months before the San Diego incident, Robert Higgins, the emergency management director of Somerset County, Maine, was confronted with a nearly identical set of puzzling circumstances. In February, panicked residents in a 15-mile radius reported feeling earthquakelike tremors. Authorities quickly ruled out an earthquake, explosion or industrial accident.

"I've called it the mystery of Somerset County," Higgins said in a telephone interview last week. He still hasn't figured out the cause.

"I'm not done with it," Higgins said. "I don't forget."

Then there was the incident in Mobile, Ala., on Jan. 19, when residents in two counties reported hearing what sounded like an explosion and feeling "quakelike tremors," according to news reports. To this day, no one is certain of the cause. By process of elimination, authorities have settled on the sonic-boom theory, even though no branch of the military has owned up to it.

There have been other similar unexplained events over the past few years. Something of the sort happened in Wilmington, N.C., on Dec. 20, 2005; Winston-Salem, N.C., on March 5, 2005; Charleston, S.C., on Aug. 1, 2003; and Pensacola, Fla., on Jan. 13, 2003.

"The large boom that shook walls and windows from Century to Milton on Monday remains a mystery, and probably will stay that way," a reporter for the Pensacola News Journal wrote after the Jan. 13 episode.

On those occasions when a logical explanation is wanting, it's sometimes necessary to consult that archive of wisdom otherwise known as the Internet.

Among bloggers and Web-based conspiracy theorists, one of the leading explanations for the San Diego disturbance is that the military is testing a top-secret spy plane called the Aurora, which supposedly can travel several times the speed of sound.

"Sir, I've never even heard of that plane before," an Air Force spokeswoman in Virginia responded when asked about the possibility.

Even UFO experts are baffled by what happened in San Diego. Asked whether a flying saucer might have caused such an event, Peter Davenport of the Seattle-based National UFO Reporting Center said, "Probably not."

"UFOs almost never generate sonic booms or shock waves," he added. "They accelerate so rapidly that they leave a vacuum in the sky, much the way lightning does."

What happened in San Diego on April 4 seems destined to remain one of life's little mysteries, as inexplicable as those Bigfoot sightings in the Pacific Northwest.

Mitchell, the Lakeside hospital worker, remains convinced that an earthquake was the culprit, regardless of what the experts say. The tremors were too strong, she said, too violent to be anything else.

"The earth actually moved," she said. "You could feel it. If it moved my bed, it moved the earth."

If anyone out there has any answers, would you please be kind enough to share them with the rest of us? A lot of folks are really curious.

Alex Roth: (619) 542-4558; alex.roth.nul

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 09:57:41 -0300
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 10:05:13 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Friedman

>From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 12:38:40 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 20:37:24 -0300
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 20:12:22 +0100 (BST)
>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>>From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 11:00:21 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
>>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

><snip>

>>It should be noted that there is already in existence a very
>>formidable operation that obtains radar, visual, and satellite
>>data about uncorrelated targets. Unfortunately, this data,
>>obtained by the very expensive Aerospace Defense Comandnd, is
>>born classified.

>>We pay for it, but don't see the results. This is why exposing
>>the Cosmic Watergate is so important. Remember General
>>Bolender's statement that "Reports of UFOs which could effect
>>national security are not part of the Blue Book System".

>>This statement is conveneiently ignored by those who think the
>>government has nothing useful to offer.

>The issue is not that the government does not have an array of
>instruments that collect datas. I know that it has alot of
>imaging satellites and radar/optical tracking systems. However,
>since all these systems are specifically designed for defense,
>we will never get access to the raw data. The non-political
>types in charge of these systems take it VERY seriously and are
>not likely (thank God!) to be inclined to dish out raw access to
>the data to the public (and also our enemies).

>Also, whatever they give us, we must always question whether we
>can trust them.

>"Exposing the Cosmic Watergate" is an utter waste of time. It is
>very sad that people are just playing into it hook line and
>sinkers. Why is it so hard to see that we do not need to depend
>upon the government to spoon feed us data and conclusions? They
>have their own agendas and trying to part the endless layers of
>secrecy/misdirection is a fruitless way to spend one's life
>(although you may sell alot of books).

>It is the notion of liberals that the government is there to
>help us and I note a strong tendency of liberal thought in
>UFOlogy, so perhaps it is not surprising that the desire is to

>have "Mother" government help us with the "monster under the
>bed". A stronger more independent and proactive attitude is
>needed. We need to get our own instrumented data using
>ubiquitous, low cost technology at our disposal TODAY. But of
>course this is "hard" since it takes time from interviewing
>witnesses for the umpteenth time (but those cases make good
>books/articles!).

Nobody said anything about having the government spoon feed us data and conclusions. Having worked under security for 14 years, I am well aware of the need for withholding classified national security data. The point of the sophisticated technology is to be able to distinguish between enemies and Non enemies. Many people, in my experience tend to think that, if there was anything to UFOs, the government would know about it. They haven't given any indication that they do and have consistently lied as I noted in my MUFON 2005 paper "Government UFO Lies" which will soon be on my website. If UFOs are no threat to national security, than why not inform? If there is, aren't we as citizens of a democracy entitled to know that? Showing that they have been withholding data is therefore important.

Is there an accusation there some place that I and others continue to reinterview the same witnesses in order to sell books? I take it you haven't noted the new afterword to TOP SECRET/MAJIC.

The US government has consistently said they are not withholding data. They are lying as the blacked out and whited out documents prove. One important aspect of this is to convince the media they have been lied to. Then they might put their resources to work

I don't object to your getting ubiquitous low cost data. What is holding you back? Do you think people are not giving you money because they are buying my books? I would like to see people like Ted Phillips get more money to use low cost techniques for getting data from physical trace cases. Feel free to consider exposing secrecy a fruitless way to spend one's time. That is your privilege. The response to my programs would indicate otherwise.

Stan Friedman

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: Fears Town Overrun By Aliens Teen Shoots Cop?

From: Don Nellesen <don01.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 09:00:47 -0400
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 10:07:44 -0400
Subject: Re: Fears Town Overrun By Aliens Teen Shoots Cop?

>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 12:46:32 EDT
>Subject: Fears Town Overrun By Aliens Teen Shoots Cop?

>Does anyone have any information on the teen who shot a cop,
>claiming the town folk had slowly been taken over by aliens?

Hey Jason,

It would appear that this story stems from a case back in 2000 in the state of Arizona where a teen shot a cop on June 21, 2000, and who he later claimed the officer was an alien. In April of 1999, and again in June of 2000, the teen had stated he thought that his parents were aliens as well.

In court, his lawyers argued "the 17-year-old was "guilty except insane" and should instead be sent to a psychiatric facility."

The Supreme Court is considering the arguement that the teens trial was unfair.

Two links regarding this story:

<http://tinyurl.com/gewrf>

<http://tinyurl.com/p9nyo>

Best,

Don Nellesen
Clarkesville, GA

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 06:44:42 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 10:11:37 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

>From: Rob Kritkauskay <robkrit.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 14:38:47 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>I have a reason for addressing this topic whenever it surfaces.
>This is because Field Stations proposed by James or Radar
>concepts Peter has mentioned are more than things that just
>might work. In actuality, I happen to know that it has worked on
>one occasion. This success happened completely outside the UFO
>community and the participants wish to remain there for reasons
>I both understand and respect. The data obtained includes video
>from two cameras(one infrared), precise spectral readings,
>scoped bearings taken from two separate observation points and
>revealing data streams from Gamma and EM instruments running at
>the time. This single success exists as a subset of a much
>larger group of failed attempts, but it is the type of data
>Ufologists dream of. The point I'm trying to make is this type
>of data should not be dreamed of or waited upon for release by
>the government. An active scientific pursuit of this type of
>data by Ufology has merit, yet it collects dust in a closet of
>untapped potential.

Excellent Rob! Would some funding help here? Or would current politics abort that prior to conception?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

SDI 389 22-04-06

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <alienview.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 06:09:12 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 12:56:50 -0400
Subject: SDI 389 22-04-06

You were preaching to my choir and must take what I say with that 'grain' which is appropriate...

But, Great presentation on SDI, Mr. Bassett! If I may be so bold? It was Organized, Objective, Constructive, Comprehensive, Flexible, Acceptable, Specific, and Thoughtful. This is forgetting that it showed abundant 'stone', vast cajones... real brass-clankers.

And a Veteran of combat, I taught courage at Officer Candidate Schools.

Brave heart, Sir. I submit you nailed all your points... performed a colossal aggregate service, and you can quote me for what it's worth.

alienview.nul
www.AlienView.net
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: If A Roswell Incident Happened Today? - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 10:37:09 -0400
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 13:01:06 -0400
Subject: Re: If A Roswell Incident Happened Today? - Kaeser

>From: Peter B. Davenport <director.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 16:50:55 -0700
>Subject: Re: If A Roswell Incident Happened Today?

<snip>

>Greg's message touches on the very point discussed at a
>gathering of ufologists near Washington, D.C., in June 2003. The
>principal question was what should the UFO organizations do in
>order to be ready for the next major UFO-related event, and how
>should they combine forces in order to capture as much of the
>data as possible.

>It is interesting to consider the fact that UFO events, which
>rival the dramatic nature of the Roswell event, appear to have
>taken place in the recent past... and the public almost didn't
>notice! The Kecksburg Incident of December 09, 1965, was one
>that comes to mind, and the "Phoenix Lights" event of March 13,
>1997, should have galvanized the public and press, as well, but
>it did not. In the latter instance, five objects, or perhaps
>even more, each of which was approximately the size of any
>international airport, loitered over a major U. S. city for
>approximately 90 minutes, and it didn't make the national news!!

>On the day after that event, I made a call to the Los Angeles
>offices of United Press International, in order to inform them
>of the incident, and to offer information that had come in to
>NUFORC over the preceding 12 hours. They could not have been
>less interested. One of the supervisory personnel there
>(initials "J.F.") declared that the "breaking news" at that
>moment were the results of the most recent polygraph test of
>Mark Fuhrman, the chief detective in the O. J. Simpson case,
>even though a verdict had already been reached in that case. The
>employee stated wouldn't be able to focus on any other news item
>on that particular morning!

>The most interesting part of the Phoenix Lights case is that
>the USAF personnel were more than just moderately successful in
>convincing most members of the public that the event had been
>caused by either a cluster of flares, or a flight of aerobatic
>aircraft from Canada! It would not be until the story "broke" in
>USA TODAY on June 18 that people would begin to receive any word
>of the case through the mainstream media, over three months
>later.

>Frankly, I think a fleet of UFO's could land on the White House
>lawn, abduct the First Family, steal the White House off its
>foundation, and the only news article we might read would be
>some vapid statement from the GSA, announcing that the President
>and his family were on vacation, and the White House had been
>removed for routine maintenance.....

>In my view, neither the press, nor the UFO community, is
>prepared for the next major UFO-related event. I suspect,
>however, the U. S. government is, with a myriad of "off-the-
>shelf" contingency plans for deceiving the American People.

Hi Peter,

It's been a few years since that Washington DC event. I wish it had led somewhere, but that apparently wasn't to be.

I just had to comment that the Roswell event was far different than any other event that has occurred since, IMO. The primary importance of Roswell was the press release from the Air Force that was later withdrawn, which acknowledged that an alien craft had been recovered. Kecksburg and Phoenix were both impressive incidents that involved multiple witnesses and a long investigation, but neither involved the issuance of a Government statement acknowledging an alien craft of some sort.

But the point that no one is ready to really investigate an event is absolutely true. In fact, I would suggest that more damage is done to potential investigations by the haphazard manner in which such events are now approached by independent investigations.

The Leadership meeting, which had been sponsored by the Sci-Fi Channel, began the planning stages of a joint investigative team that would be set up and prepared to assist in the investigation of any event, with science labs ready to receive material for analysis. There had been some talk of kits that would be put together and rushed to the site of an event. Indeed, everyone came away from the event with the idea that we could pull our resources together and perhaps develop material that could be published and interest other scientists in the mystery.

But as it often the case with volunteers and non-profit groups that have their own 'issues' to contend with, this concept faded quickly as everyone fell back into the ruts they had crawled out of. I don't blame anyone, but there's a certain level of frustration at what happened among some of us.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO Updates Main Index](#)

UFO Updates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: UFO Technology Leaders - Beasley

From: **Craig Beasley** <fallingleaf.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 09:55:50 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 13:03:09 -0400
Subject: Re: UFO Technology Leaders - Beasley

>From: Kathy Kasten <catja90024.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 10:35:23 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: UFO Technology Leaders

>>From: Craig Beasley <fallingleaf.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 22:46:51 -0500
>>Subject: Re: UFO Technology Leaders

>>>From: Kathy Kasten <catja90024.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 13:51:20 -0700 (PDT)
>>>Subject: Re: UFO Technology Leaders

>>>Try to find an ex-engineer of Grumman Aircraft, Long Island,
>>>New York - as I did. Have a chat over lunch and get him to
>>>tell you what he can about some of the research that came
>>>out of Grumman. Real technology; that really worked.

>>That's a good idea, Kathy. Do you have a name for this ex-
>>Grumman engineer? What kind of hardware did he develop?

>Craig:

>Why do you want to know?

I realized some hours later that I may have sounded a bit
belligerent in my response. And certainly I didn't explain my
intentions. My apologies for my lack of clarity.

I'm a big believer in specialization when dealing with expansive
subject matter. Ufology is about as expansive as it gets. For
me, as an engineer on my day job, it's a pretty smart bet that I
would be interested in the technology. Asking about this Grumman
fella is purely an exercise in the goal of UFO technological
discovery.

Based on anecdotal evidence alone, not counting traces, people
certainly seem to be seeing something real. I want to bound that
by technology, and it my conviction that it is possible.

Does that give you a better explanation of my motives?

J. Craig Beasley

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: UFOs Not Dead Yet - Fleming

From: **Lan Fleming** <lfleming6.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 10:05:06 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 13:06:23 -0400
Subject: Re: UFOs Not Dead Yet - Fleming

>Source: The American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

><http://tinyurl.com/gqsee>

>April 22, 2006

>UFOs: Not Dead Yet
>R. Lee

<snip>

>The reality is clear; UFOs are here, now, right now. They didn't
>just start with Kenneth Arnold, and they just didn't end there
>either. The UFO phenomenon is intensely complex, its history
>ancient, and its ramifications certainly stupendous.

>And maybe that last reason is why we hear, every few weeks, that
>"UFOs are dead."

I think that is very likely why the UFO 'craze' keeps getting
killed-off every now and then, like Kenny on South Park.

Far from being dead, the exact opposite is true: public interest
in UFOs is still very much alive as evidenced by the frequent
UFO programs on cable channels.

Apparently some 'journalists' feel it's their civic duty to keep
that interest tamped down so that it doesn't blaze up out of
control. It's professionalism in journalistic coverage of UFOs
that has almost completely disappeared, not the UFOs themselves.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 16:41:33 +0100 (BST)
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 13:09:57 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller

>From: Rob Kritkauskay <robkrit.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 14:38:47 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Stanton Friedman
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 20:37:24 -0300
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>>It should be noted that there is already in existence a very
>>formidable operation that obtains radar, visual, and
>>satellite data about uncorrelated targets. Unfortunately,
>>this data, obtained by the very expensive Aerospace Defense >
>Comandnd, is born classified.

>>We pay for it, but don't see the results. This is why
>>exposing the Cosmic Watergate is so important. Remember
>>General Bolender's statement that "Reports of UFOs which
>>could effect national security are not part of the Blue Book
>>System".

>>This statement is convenieently ignored by those who think
>>the government has nothing useful to offer.

>Thanks for making that point. This is an important distinction
>because there is a big difference between these two types of
>information in terms of actual value. The solicitation of
>statements from our government that might validate the
>existence of UFOs has little value. There is little doubt
>that this source of information is deceitful, self-serving
>and unreliable. That being said, the idea that we can get the
>final word on this matter by a "suit" making a public
>statement validating the existence of UFOs is not logical or >
>realistic. A corrupt data source is just that and the fact
>that the content of some future statement may include
>information we want to hear, it does not magically render the
>source dependable.

Firstly, every data source is corruptible at some point in its
existence. And far from being unreliable, the final word on this
matter coming from a suit is perfectly logical and realistic,
although highly unlikely. I accept the negative comments you
make about the general reliability of such a source but it would
be a strange game indeed that they were playing if they ever
stood up and said, "Guess what, we've something to tell you"
when it wasn't true.

What evidence we have on a possible course of action an
administration might take is of course scarce but we do have the
example of Clinton squawking about Allan Hills 84001 and from
that we can learn that far from being reticent or misleading,
they were so anxious to tell us that in their haste they got it
wrong. Bet you would have believed him though if science had
finally backed him up. Bet you in the same set of circumstances
you wouldn't believe Bush. Some of you guys need to take a
longer term view.

<snip>

>I have a reason for addressing this topic whenever it
>surfaces. This is because Field Stations proposed by James or
>Radar concepts Peter has mentioned are more than things that
>just might work. In actuality, I happen to know that it has
>worked on one occasion. This success happened completely
>outside the UFO community and the participants wish to remain
>there for reasons I both understand and respect. The data
>obtained includes video from two cameras(one infrared),
>precise spectral readings, scoped bearings taken from two
>separate observation points and revealing data streams from
>Gamma and EM instruments running at the time. This single
>success exists as a subset of a much larger group of failed
>attempts, but it is the type of data Ufologists dream of. The
>point I'm trying to make is this type of data should not be
>dreamed of or waited upon for release by the government. An
>active scientific pursuit of this type of data by Ufology
>has merit, yet it collects dust in a closet of untapped
>potential.

And just what did this data prove? What did it show? You're saying nothing here Rob except vague claims that amount to not very much at all. And if the implication you are making is that the object detected was "unusual" then I would ask, how do you know? What's your comparison base?

The fact is, that unless you work at the very highest level with NORAD you will never, ever be sure about anything in this area because you cannot be. You have no idea at all what terrestrial craft exist or are in development.

Stuart Miller

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: UFO Technology Leaders - Beasley

From: **Craig Beasley** <fallingleaf.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 10:41:52 -0500
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 13:12:38 -0400
Subject: Re: UFO Technology Leaders - Beasley

Stan,

My off-List friend also wished to convey that he very much enjoyed your presentation at the Aztec conference recently.

G'day,

JCB

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 24](#)

Re: Fears Town Overrun By Aliens Teen Shoots Cop?

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 17:01:31 +0100 (BST)
Fwd Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 13:14:29 -0400
Subject: Re: Fears Town Overrun By Aliens Teen Shoots Cop?

>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 12:46:32 EDT
>Subject: Fears Town Overrun By Aliens Teen Shoots Cop?

>Does anyone have any information on the teen who shot a cop,
>claiming the town folk had slowly been taken over by aliens?

>I only caught the last few sentences of the story on the news.

>Apparently, the teen noticed subtle changes in the personality
>of people, including his parents. He felt contacted by an
>alien intelligence that attempted to take over his mind. He
>freaked out, went on some rampage, and ended up shooting a
>cop, who he thought was possessed by an alien. I know nothing
>more, though I'm sure the teen will be diagnosed as
>schizophrenic.

Oh dear,

Having put Mr. Nielsen through the mangle for not checking the archives, and with me stepping in asking for a more reasonable approach, I now find I have a problem. Do I shout at Jason or do I follow my own advice and take pity?

Decisions.

I'll be nice. Jason. This came up on UpDates just last Friday. It's err in the archives.

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2006/apr/m21-013.shtml>

Stuart Miller

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 25](#)

Re: UFO Technology Leaders - Beasley

From: **Craig Beasley** <fallingleaf.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 09:43:46 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 07:04:38 -0400
Subject: Re: UFO Technology Leaders - Beasley

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 20:22:23 -0300
>Subject: Re: UFO Technology Leaders

>A few references might be useful. My congressional Testimony at
>the hearings of July 29, 1968, is somewhere on the Web...

I will look that up.

>My 1974 MUFON paper, Flying Saucers and Physics, is 18 pages, 2
>columns and has 69 References. It is still available from me at
>POB 958, Houlton, ME 04730-0958 USA for \$4. which includes
>shipping.

>My 1999 MUFON paper, Star Travel? YES!, is also 18 pages long
>and has 18 References... also \$4. from me.

>The above cover a lot of ground, but not much about very
>speculative far out propulsion systems. Magnetoaerodynamics,
>fission, fusion... are all discussed.>
>Stan Friedman

I look forward to getting those works in my hands, as well.

Thank you Stan. This will be invaluable.

J. Craig Beasley

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 25](#)

Re: Fears Town Overrun By Aliens Teen Shoots Cop?

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 13:47:44 EDT
Fwd Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 07:10:58 -0400
Subject: Re: Fears Town Overrun By Aliens Teen Shoots Cop?

>From: Don Nellesen <don01.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 09:00:47 -0400
>Subject: Re: Fears Town Overrun By Aliens Teen Shoots Cop?

>Hey Jason,

>It would appear that this story stems from a case back in 2000
>in the state of Arizona where a teen shot a cop on June 21,
>2000, and who he later claimed the officer was an alien. In
>April of 1999, and again in June of 2000, the teen had stated he
>thought that his parents were aliens as well.

<snip>

><http://tinyurl.com/gewrf>

><http://tinyurl.com/p9nyo>

Don, thanks for the info and links.

-Jason

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 25](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Smith

From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 14:04:27 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
Fwd Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 07:13:27 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Smith

>From: Stanton Friedman <fsphys.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 09:57:41 -0300
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 12:38:40 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>Nobody said anything about having the government spoon feed us
>data and conclusions. Having worked under security for 14 years,
>I am well aware of the need for withholding classified national
>security data. The point of the sophisticated technology is to be
>able to distinguish between enemies and Non enemies. Many people,
>in my experience tend to think that, if there was anything to
>UFOs, the government would know about it. They haven't given any
>indication that they do and have consistently lied as I noted in
>my MUFON 2005 paper "Government UFO Lies" which will soon be on
>my website. If UFOs are no threat to national security, than why
>not inform?

I thought "they" had informed us of this. I don't see why you
want to assume they believe UFOs are NOT a national security
threat.

But anyway....

- 1) If UFOs are uncontrollable directed phenomena (or not a direct imminent threat) then it does not pay to reveal this to the public (or World) and thus lose whatever preeminent position the US still has.
- 2) If UFOs are misdirections by the US then it may not pay to officially recognize it.
- 3) If UFOs are our vehicles, it does not pay to recognize it.
- 4) If UFOs are unexplainable, then it does not pay to reveal that our defenses can be breached or that our instruments are not up to the job.

>If there is, aren't we as citizens of a democracy
>entitled to know that?

No. See above.

I personally assume "they" know UFOs are a security threat but they can't do a hell of a lot about them. This being the case, they are highly unlikely to share their beliefs. They would share it as much as they would share information about how many nuclear weapons that may have been smuggled into the US post 9-11? Even telling us it happened may cause a panic. But do we believe them if they did tell us? Or is it all a political trick? If they show us all the raw data, then our enemies can learn how to do a better job next time. So we cannot rely on such data from the government and must hope that we are getting what we pay for in taxes.

>Showing that they have been withholding
>data is therefore important.

Just important for people who like to sleuth out such things.

>Is there an accusation there some place that I and others
>continue to reinterview the same witnesses in order to sell
>books?

No. I say that we have enough UFO anecdotes, we KNOW something is happening and that people ARE seeing something. Its up to US to find out, not wait for the government to release old documents and make "declarations".

>I take it you haven't noted the new afterword to TOP
>SECRET/MAJIC.

No.

>The US government has consistently said they are not withholding
>data.

An impossible thing for them to say. For instance, if there is a "bucket" where all the non-correlated targets go from all the radar/satellite data, they certainly do NOT release that. If you mean specific cases, like Roswell, I don't know. But I do know that regardless I would not trust what they release.

>They are lying as the blacked out and whited out documents
>prove.

Do you know what is in the blacked out sections? Maybe it is just white space or jibberish or lies.

>One important aspect of this is to convince the media
>they have been lied to. Then they might put their resources to
>work.

Oh yes, there is another trustworthy group... the Media.

>I don't object to your getting ubiquitous low cost data.What is
>holding you back?

One thing that held me back is that I want to know what I am getting into. Reading books/Internet/watching videos/talking/emailing about UFO cases is one thing. It seems popular and apparently the Power Structure could not care less if this is done. Doing armchair analyses also (so far) seems to be of little interest to the Structure. But I wonder with the veritable dearth of instrumented UFO research whether there is some "discouragement" that is applied to people. So far, I have not obtained data/cases confirming this. This is obviously not a complete dataset since some intimidated folk may be disinclined to relate their experiences.

Secondly, I have limited resources and time to devote. To optimize both requires understanding the types of data that is most likely to be useful measures of UFOs (spectrum and mode:audio,electric,radio) and the lowest cost instruments to achieve that goal. Sadly, published references are few in this area. Folk that monitor geophysical phenomena as hobbyists have the right types of tools. Since there are only a few people who have done this kind of work specifically as applied to UFOs (amazingly), it takes time to build up confidence that I am going to spend my resources wisely.

By the way, if/when I do get hard data, I may be disinclined to share it since MY motivation is not to convince others/sell books, but only convince myself. I do not expect anyone to believe my data, but they should gather their own data independently. Also, since the reason for state secrecy on this issue may be justified, it may not be prudent to release data. We'll cross that bridge later.

>Do you think people are not giving you money
>because they are buying my books?

Naw. I think history books are interesting but they will not resolve the UFO issue....just make good entertaining reading.

>I would like to see people

>like Ted Phillips get more money to use low cost techniques for
>getting data from physical trace cases.

Fine. His is a tougher road to follow, but good luck to him.

>Feel free to consider
>exposing secrecy a fruitless way to spend one's time.

Thanks, but I tend to believe that secrecy is usually for some purpose. Be it UFOs as alien spaceships or smuggled nukes, secrecy is required. Only if you are on the same level would secrecy be lifted to you. This may be an outcome of instrumented field research.

>That is your privilege. The response to my programs
>would indicate otherwise.

Oh, I know you are fun to listen to and entertaining and most folk love you as the Ralph Nader of Ufology. My issue is that I don't trust these documents you are referring to.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 25](#)

Re: UFOs Not Dead Yet - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 14:07:58 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 07:15:30 -0400
Subject: Re: UFOs Not Dead Yet - Kaeser

>From: Lan Fleming <lfleming6.nul>
>To: UFOUpdates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 10:05:06 -0500
>Subject: Re: UFOs Not Dead Yet

<snip>

>I think that is very likely why the UFO 'craze' keeps getting
>killed-off every now and then, like Kenny on South Park.

>Far from being dead, the exact opposite is true: public interest
>in UFOs is still very much alive as evidenced by the frequent
>UFO programs on cable channels.

I thnk there's a simpler explanation for the apparent cyclical interest in UFOs, relating to the Internet and the public's reaction. If you've watched the "lists" over the past decade you'll see a group of about 35-50 active individuals on those "lists". These are people who've been involved the genre for a long time and have their views pretty much formed at this point unless new evidence comes along. Then there are those who are new to the field, who come in wide-eyed and often they believe that they can bring their logic to the table and resolve the mystery once and for all. Of course it doesn't work that way and most newbies leave the genre in frustration several years later when they can't seem to make any progress.

But then a new crop of UFO enthusiasts hit the lists and they begin to (again) pose questions about the old cases and Roswell, the 1952 Washington National sightings, Kenneth Arnold's sightings, and other cases from yesteryear are again discussed at length. The same arguments we've all become familiar with are rehashed, and eventually the newbies hit the same barriers the previous group hit, and the cycle continues.

Public interest in a bright future is a strong draw, and it was thought to be the primary reason that Star Trek was such a popular television show through the years. It gave people hope of future beyond the mess they saw around them, and it really had little to do with the simple science fiction theme at the psychological level.

>Apparently some 'journalists' feel it's their civic duty to keep that interest tamped down so that it doesn't blaze up out of control. It's professionalism in journalistic coverage of UFOs that has almost completely disappeared, not the UFOs themselves.

<sigh>If you are saying that there is a conspiracy of silence, please show any evidence you may have to that effect. Having worked as a reporter in the past, I can say that I would have laughed if management had told me to quash a UFO story. If they insisted I would have simply quit and walked across town to a competitor and got it on the air (I worked in Radio News). News is a competitive business that relies on financial support through sales. I would be the first to admit that the old days of altruistic reporting by the major Networks is probably a vain hope, but this is created by market forces, IMO, and not by a desire to suppress specific information or ideas.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 25](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Smith

From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 15:00:23 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
Fwd Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 07:23:16 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Smith

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 20:12:22 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 11:00:21 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 17:13:54 +0100 (BST)
>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Date: Tue, 18 Apr 2006 16:31:51 -0700 (PDT)
>>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>Thus, the issue is whether he is trying to prove it for
>>himself (really the only realistic approach) or prove it
>>scientifically. The later is tedious and very difficult for a
>>quasi-repeatable phenomena such as UFOs. Not only do you
>>have to capture the data, but others must be able to use
>>your setup to repeat the data capture independently.
>>If he just wants to prove it to himself, it is highly
>>subjective based on his own internal proof level.

>Contradictions, contradictions. On the one hand you say that
>proving it for himself is the only realistic approach and yet at
>the same time he has to lay an audit trail so that he can prove
>it to others. You've confused me as I suspect you have yourself.
>And if he can prove it for others, does that mean the rest of
>the world will be convinced as well or does it just have to be
>other researchers?

On the contrary, I am speaking somewhat philosophically about
the nature of proof. In the end, we must prove anything to
ourselves based on our own internal standards. It depends on
many factors. Engineers and scientists generally have high
standards for proof, but this is not a hard rule (note cold
fusion) and some wise uneducated folk require to be shown
something before believing it, regardless how many witnesses say
something happened. On the other end of the spectrum are people
who have no time to research things and simply accept the word
of folk they do not know (or stories they have read) because it
takes too much time to do the legwork to verify the facts.

So you see, trying to prove something to all classes of
individuals whatever their standard of proof is much harder to
do but if he wants to prove it to himself, this may be much
easier to do thus as I said is more realistic.

>>>The answer is quite straight forward; there is tons of
>>>evidence Rick, loads of it, but it all adds up to zilch for
>>>one very good reason. Without government validation it is
>>>useless. Forget scientists, sceptics, witnesses, film,
>>>video, etc. Without a suit saying, "Yeah, OK, they're here"

>>>we're all up a creek without a paddle.

>>Too bad that you feel it requires "suits" to 'prove'
>>something. If a person proves it to himself then why wouldn't
>>that give adequate satisfaction?

>The answer to this point depends very much upon whether you are
>a human being who participates in society and interacts with
>others or whether you are an automaton devoid of any sensitivity
>or normal human perspective. Most people on this List are
>satisfied that something has been "happening" and is still going
>on. They may possibly need some clarification as to its source
>and structure etc. but are nevertheless satisfied and confident
>with their ideas, thoughts and data. Unfortunately, their next
>door neighbours think they're mad. OK, hardly the end of the
>world but the endless debates with ill informed smart asses and
>smirkers would vanish overnight the moment someone in
>"authority" confirms what we already know.

I have sympathy with folk being afraid of being called mad. But,
I am concerned that folk would be such wimps to worry about what
their neighbors say. If neighbors know who you are and trust you
then they should not call you mad. If they don't trust you or
know you, you shouldn't care what they say. If one is so
concerned about the "clique" and fitting in rather than holding
one's own beliefs, then perhaps they should keep their heads
down and mouths shut.

And this expectation that "authority" is definitive is sad but
not surprising. Yes, whatever the suits say is true! White is
black! Ignorance is knowledge! War is peace! Communists in
Russia, China, Korea, Vietnam and elsewhere were "authorities"
and made many pronouncements based on little factual basis (or
were twisted in some way), however, they met political and
policy ends. Democracies have different policies but operate
similarly.

>And surely you, with
>your obvious scientific background, can see that once that
>particular hurdle is overcome, doors to various respected
>institutions would suddenly start to swing open instead of
>remaining firmly locked and bolted and people like yourself
>would be invited in for a coffee and a chat. Serious sums of
>money would be proffered, and better brains than ours would
>start to switch their microscopes on. And all because a suit
>said it's so.

Of course, if the "suits" say so, money will be spent on UFOs.
But then we have the problem of governmental bureaucratic
control of the research to answer only the questions they want
answered. Just because the government gives you money, does not
mean the data will not be tainted or influenced.

Another thing is that money can be spent right now by the
government on UFO research. Its just a matter of fitting the
prevailing paradigm (geophysical phenomena) rather than pushing
an alien spaceship agenda.

Also, speak for yourself about them having "better brains
than ours".

>>Some people need a low level of proof to have a point of
>>view. Some of us (I think a much smaller percentage) need
>>much more data before we have proof. But if you get the
>>government involved, proof isn't even needed. They can make
>>up stuff right and left. They can pick and choose whatever
>>scientists they want or just make "facts". They are policy
>>makers, not truth sayers. Do not trust the government to ever
>>tell the truth.

>>If you want to change the perspective of society on the UFO
>>issue, don't wait for the government. Just gather
>>unassailable/repeatable instrumented data and distribute it,
>>tell people how you did it so they can do it too, make it
>>cheap enough for others to do it, make it an Internet
>>accessible network.

>On that basis, we might as well all pack and go home now and
>forget about the whole damn thing. What exactly do you think
>people have been doing all these years? Researchers have come
>up with magnificent work that has certainly satisfied me and

>thousands of others.

The level of proof is what I am talking about here. Sure, as you say, lots of anecdotal/interview-type data has been collected and it has met the level of proof for yourself and others. Great! You are right, now you can go home and watch soccer or something. But if you have an internal higher level of proof that must be met OR you really want to find out whether these are alien spaceships or not, you need instrumented research. The government is not going to admit that alien spaceships are visiting us. If they can't protect us from something, they aren't going to admit it.

>But I suspect we may be on different tracks
>here. Judging by your comments, I suspect the sort of proof you
>are looking for are things like electromagnetic traces and so
>on; things that can be recorded on little electrical gizmos etc.

Its up to us to go to the next level (from anecdote collection). I am surprised that so few have taken the effort to do this (Ray Stanford, Project Hessdalen, Rutledge). I would have expected as, an outside observer, that over the past 2 decades that we would have a large data set of instrument traces.

>How do you identify the source? And how do you really know
>that something that shows up on a meter really is not of this
>world and not some advanced human piloted or controlled craft?
>Perhaps the government will help you out? You're stuck in an
>alley James.

Philosophically, we cannot know anything. However, practically speaking, a causal relationship can be developed between the occurrence of a signal on a "little gizmo" and a visual object. The "little gizmos" of which you refer are critical in that they provide the fundamental data in the object. If these are merely optical effects, the gizmos are likely to not twitch. If these are various natural phenomena (electrical), they have a specific spectrum /fingerprint. If these are military or alien spacecraft, they too have a specific spectrum/fingerprint. I have gone into before how to use stereo optical imaging to track an object if it goes into orbit or its base. The same principles apply to stereo "little gizmos". These all are relatively inexpensive and it is appalling that we do not have a body of work documenting this kind of activity. There should be a "Journal of Instrumented UFO Research" out there.

The government is not needed, although they may discourage such work.

>>>The solutions to this conundrum are as follows:

>>>1. Take positive, illegal action. Hack computers, steal, lie
>>>etc. Be bold and be prepared to go where no ufologist has
>>>gone before.

>>Bah. You trust the government to give you real 'hacked' data?
>>Pretty funny!

>You do understand what hacking is, don't you? Or are government
>intel agencies so sophisticated that once they detect an
>intruder, sophisticated systems gently guide them to suites with
>pre-arranged material just waiting to be surreptitiously
>"discovered"? There's a logic break here.

Duh. You are kidding me, right? What do you think they do? What would you do in their place with millions of teenagers/ prepubescents in the world?

>>>3. Form a massive international caucus, like the right-wing
>>>Christians for example, so that as a lobby we become so
>>>powerful that we simply cannot be ignored. This by the way
>>>is a serious response to your original question but this
>>>particular point is laughable.

>>Well, the right-wing Christians don't seem to be getting much
>>respect and their agenda isn't getting anywhere, while secular
>>groups have much more power.

>>Perhaps if you used the term "communist, atheistic liberals"
>>then it would be more apparent they have some power. Even so,
>>again, they would have not impact on the non- political

>>(bureaucratic or military) secret keepers.

>Actually, the real point, which I find I'm having to explain, is
>that the idea of Ufologists coming together "as one" is
>impossible. No further explanation needed.

>However, you would be foolish, really foolish, to underestimate
>the power of public opinion. That, above anything else, is what
>politicians and indeed the military really, truly fear. Remember
>that government is by consensus and when that is withdrawn, then
>the end is very, very near.

>If we could harness that, we'd win. Easily.

Public opinion makes little difference I am afraid. Look at the
illegal immigration issue in the US. A vast majority do not
support them, yet our borders are still open, no laws are
enforced. And the politicians play to the potesters (who aren't
even citizens!).

But the real point is that the government seeks to maintain an
apathetic public so that control can fall to the special
interest groups and lobbyists. Thus the companies that want
cheap labor and politicians that want Latino votes and the desire
to keep oiling flowing from Mexico overrules the "vast
majority".

I doesn't matter if you had 99% of the public clamoring for the
government to do something about UFOs. As long as the government
has a reason (good or bad) to not do anything public, it will
remain so.

>>>4. Identify an individual within the intelligence/defence
>>>field who you feel may have answers. Stalk him, kidnap him, >
>>torture him and release him only upon his revealing what he >
>>knows. Be prepared to kill him if necessary.

>>Please, don't give any nuts out there ideas. The world has
>>enough problems like this already! And its a bad one not only
>>ethically and morally but also no data from this will be
>>reliable.

>I disagree. It's not a bad idea at all.

Holy cow! I hope you are smirking.

>Perhaps posting here and musing on the thoughts and ideas of
>others is no more than an intellectual pastime for yourself and
>that the fun and pleasure is in that rather than really getting
>to the truth. If that is the case, then fine. No problems as far
>as I'm concerned. But if you want the truth then how long do you
>want to wait James? Are you happy going to your grave knowing no
>more than you do now?

The truth can wait. I have lived up to this point without
knowing. Thankfully, it at least has the possibility of an
answer, grander questions such as "what is the meaning of life"
are more elusive. I have outlined what I intend to do if I am
allowed to live long enough. It seems like an approach that has
potential. It helps that we can at least see UFOs (if they were
like angels or fairies we would be in alot of trouble) and that
they are in this world of physics (rather than magic). But if I
never find out, who cares? When you are dead, you are likely
pretty busy with other stuff to worry about (or you have nothing
to worry about at all).

>You talk about ethics and morals. We fight this fight with both
>hands tied behind our backs because the majority of us in this
>subject are generally law abiding and wouldn't dream of doing
>anything violent or disruptive. Unfortunately, our adversaries
>play by very different rules and the concept of ethics and
>morals in their game plan is just a joke. They lie, they
>blackmail, they kidnap, they kill, they coerce, they treat us
>like morons. As things stand now, we haven't a hope in hell.

Yes, I sympathize. Most of us follow the rules, but the few that
don't seem to advance and not get punished. It is up to you and
your own sense of integrity whether you go to the dark side. It
would be simpler to be unscrupulous but if everyone did this
think of the chaos!

>Raising the stakes a little may very well be the answer. It
>depends how badly you want to find out.

Not only would you have sold your soul to Satan, but you can't even guarantee that what they say is true. Tortured people say anything to save themselves. Also, they may have been misled to really believe what they know about whatever you are trying to find out about. Seriously corrupted data!!!

>>>5. Devote your entire life from a very young age to finding
>>>out. Get a fabulous degree, join the military, work your way
>>>into intelligence and hopefully eventually get yourself into
>>>a position where you can find out for yourself from the
>>>inside. Then come and tell the rest of us. Please. We won't
>>>believe you by the way. You will be labelled a fantasist
>>>and a liar and your reputation will be trodden into the
>>>ground.

>>Hopefully, anyone like this will have sufficient honor to keep
>>the secrets he was entrusted with rather than be a mole.

>All is revealed. You are not serious. You are content for your
>government to assume that you are an intellectual incompetent
>and you enjoy playing the role of victim. I respectfully say to
>you that you are wasting your time. Completely.

So you are telling me that after all the work to achieve a position of trust and a complete understanding of the rationale for secrecy about UFOs (or anything for that matter), you think a rational, sober, dedicated person would blab it all as a sort of whistle blower. Seems like a weird sort of person to me.

>>The only approach is to eschew government participation in
>>this issue. Then, as related above, do some damn instrumented
>>field research and stop relying on the same ole interviewing
>>witnesses. Interviews alone will never sway some people. We
>>got enough interviews already, thank you very much! Blanket
>>the country with automated observation stations tied to an
>>Internet web site (like earthquake monitoring stations in
>>California).

>Sorry James but as far as I'm concerned, you are not living in
>the real world. The phrase "tunnel vision" comes to mind. You
>ignore the cultural and military implications of "UFOs" and you
>do so to your cost.

I guess I don't understand. I have in prior posts pointed out how UFOs are hostile. I believe that the government must have a reason for its behavior and it is not our job to know all the secret rationale. I imagine there is a cultural implication but it doesn't seem severe unless we were invaded. Actually, it is part of the melting pot of our world culture now.

>There is a naivite about your approach.

I just thought it was logical, but if you think logic is naive then okay.

>While what you eschew may find favour with some of the older
>members on this List, perhaps even the majority, as far as I'm
>concerned it is nothing more than a futile exercise.

Unfortunate.

>Yes, Peter
>Davenport's idea might produce some interesting data eventually
>but truly, it wouldn't really tell us much.

Really! If it told us they come from off-planet or a base in Nevada, what then?

Oh,well!

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 25](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Smith

From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 15:48:30 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
Fwd Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 07:29:12 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Smith

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 16:41:33 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Rob Kritkauskay <robkrit.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 14:38:47 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>I have a reason for addressing this topic whenever it
>>surfaces. This is because Field Stations proposed by James or
>>Radar concepts Peter has mentioned are more than things that
>>just might work. In actuality, I happen to know that it has
>>worked on one occasion. This success happened completely
>>outside the UFO community and the participants wish to remain
>>there for reasons I both understand and respect. The data
>>obtained includes video from two cameras(one infrared),
>>precise spectral readings, scoped bearings taken from two
>>separate observation points and revealing data streams from
>>Gamma and EM instruments running at the time. This single
>>success exists as a subset of a much larger group of failed
>>attempts, but it is the type of data Ufologists dream of. The
>>point I'm trying to make is this type of data should not be
>>dreamed of or waited upon for release by the government. An
>>active scientific pursuit of this type of data by Ufology
>>has merit, yet it collects dust in a closet of untapped
>>potential.

>And just what did this data prove? What did it show? You're
>saying nothing here Rob except vague claims that amount to not
>very much at all. And if the implication you are making is that
>the object detected was "unusual" then I would ask, how do you
>know? What's your comparison base?

Don't get too alarmed at all this hard data type stuff. You
still have lots of anecdotes coming in every day to read.

Rob and friends will publish their data in their own time.
What's the hurry? Science operates by checking and rechecking,
by independent duplication of results. Many studies never get
published. Tabloid science does an experiment in the morning and
publishes the results after lunch.

Also, why should his friends publish outlandish observations
about UFOs per se? If the phenomena could be more related to the
existing paradigm (geophysical phenomena) then they would have
less to worry about. If they captured this data as an incidental
event, then they may not be able to publish it since it did not
fall under the original bailiwick of funding.

I can confirm that others have gathered data at other times and
locations but they have their own reasons for not publishing.
Everyone has their own reason. We must make it more
acceptable in the UFO community for people to want to
do this kind of research and to publish it.

>The fact is, that unless you work at the very highest level with
>NORAD you will never, ever be sure about anything in this area

>because you cannot be. You have no idea at all what terrestrial
>craft exist or are in development.

Come on! You can never be sure about anything so we should all
just stay in bed with the blanket over our heads.

Too bad you are so easily thwarted. Obtaining spectral readings
can help design detectors for UFOs. Tracking them off-planet is
"pretty" useful to imply they are alien. Tracking them to
terrestrial bases may point to more human-like designs.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 25](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 17:30:21 -0300
Fwd Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 07:36:26 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 20:37:06 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 13:42:32 -0300
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 17:13:54 +0100 (BST)
>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>Hi Don,

>I agree with you. It can be irritating to see the same thing
>come up time and time again when a simple search of the
>archives can produce the required answers. But the solution
>might be for our moderator to put a note in the 'welcoming'
>message sent to new members pointing out the vastness and
>usefulness of the archives and that folk should dip into that
>first before asking a question on the List itself.

>Actually, hold on a sec. If you think about his original
>question, it was possible to take it at more than one level.

>On the one hand, taken literally, it was a straight forward
>question but on the other, I also saw it as a challenge.

>Perhaps it just depends upon how an individual 'reads' the
>post, and what his internal reactions are.

>I get ticked off with some of the repeated subjects that keep
>coming up, not so much the questions, and the rather narrow
>focus that some people bring to the subject. Horses for
>courses etc.

That's all it is/was Stuart. Shocks me to note that I've been on
this List for ten years - BTW, does that qualify me for a
pension, Errol? The same threads pop up once every couple of
years or so, but the more frequent is the constant question of
"where is the evidenc?"

How come we can take astronomy's [and physic's] word that Black
Holes exist without any real evidence of same. Now of course we
find out that they don't exist, but Green Holes do; maybe that's
where those "Little Green Men" come from. Frankly I'm holding
out for a nice, soft, pastel color so as to blend in nicely with
the background of space.

Then there's Dark Matter, of which there is no proof of but has
to be there because the mass of the universe is off by a large
percentage.

And the Big Bang which apparently we all started from - and on a local scale that's quite true - but the universe, according to math and physics can't be reduced back to that one tiny pinpoint of nothingness from whence it came. The genie won't go back in the bottle.

M-branes, String Theory, Quantum Theory and Parallel Universes have a high degree of credibility and acceptability in the sciences. But something that's been plaguing our population for centuries - if not millenia - with countless millions of witnesses, can't be.

You know what? If we are right [those that think that these things are intelligently controlled] then Seth is out of a job, and so is Jill.

Don

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 25](#)

Re: UFO Believers - Parts I & II - Ledger

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 14:14:56 -0700
Fwd Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 07:45:01 -0400
Subject: Re: UFO Believers - Parts I & II - Ledger

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 14:01:17 -0300
>Subject: Re: UFO Believers - Parts I & II

>>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 02:48:30 -0700
>>Subject: Re: UFO Believers - Parts I & II

>>>Source: Amercian Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA
>>><http://tinyurl.com/zoyym>
>>>and
>>><http://tinyurl.com/zvape> April 18 & 19 2006

>>>UFO Believers - Parts I & II by Frank Warren
>>>Merriam-Webster's Online Dictionary gives the
>>>following definition(s) for the verb, believe:
>>>intransitive senses

>>>1 a : to have a firm religious faith b : to accept as true,
>>>genuine, or real -ideals we believe in- -believes in
>>>ghosts-

>>Definition 1a is good enough for my purposes.

>>When somebody asks if I or somebody else 'believes' in UFOs it
>>is almost always in this context.

>>It is as if (whoever) is being accused of a quasi-religious
>>belief, and therefore subject to ridicule.

>>The term 'UFO Believers' is tossed out almost automatically in
>>the media, in such a way sometimes that I can almost taste
>>the rancor.

>>'Belief' in this context is a very loaded term, carrying
>>connotations that go far beyond dictionary definitions.

<snip>

>>If this were international affairs, zingers like that would be
>>considered yellow journalism. If it were politics, we might
>>call it 'spin' or propoganda. In the gossip columns, it would
>>be a 'cheap-shot'.

>>If its UFOs (wink, nod, poke in the ribs..) its OK. "UFO
>>believers." Why is that?

>Right on, Larry.

>The reason that I bristle or get upset with the "Do you believe
>in UFOs" question is this: it assumes that I am in the same way
>involved in this phenomenon as those who practice religion; as if
>it's a replacement for religion that helps me deal with the
>reality of my own impending death.

>Not so.

>When you die, you die and that's it. If something happens on
>some quantum level, fair enough. If not that, either way what's
>the difference? I'd rather be flying to be honest with you. I
>don't need something to believe in, though I do believe in
>Bernoulli's Principle. Those getting into airplanes, should.

>The other question I'm asked is "You don't believe in that crap
>do you?" Which starts another discussion.

<snip>

>Sometimes I ask, "What crap are you referring to?" That allows
>them to expand on their question. If I'm bored enough with the
>'Do you believe' question I tell them about the little shrine I
>have with a flying saucer and some candles.

>If anyone has a six or seven word response that I can use, I'd
>be interested in hearing it.

Hi Don:

The little saucer shrine with candles is marvelous! Maybe we can
work up a nice short response with that.

I see it as journalistic laziness and haste. There are ufo cults
after all. Those tend to get into the media, and the lazy writer
just stirs them all together, shrines, candles, flowing robes ..
serious studies and all.

Its so much work for them to sort these things out. Its way
cheaper, easier and more comforting to chuck the whole mess in
the loony bin.

- Larry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 25](#)

Re: PRG Update - April 23, 2006 - Bassett

From: **Stephen G. Bassett** <ParadigmRG.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 17:59:09 EDT
Fwd Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 07:47:19 -0400
Subject: Re: PRG Update - April 23, 2006 - Bassett

>From: Stephen G. Bassett <ParadigmRG.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 19:25:47 EDT
>Subject: PRG Update - April 23, 2006

>PRG
>Paradigm Research Group

>PRG Update - April 23, 2006

Oops. Forgot that no attachments are allowed:

Find the itemized Forbes' Billionaire list at:

www.paradigmclock.com/Billionaires.htm

SB

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 25](#)

Paradigm Clock - 4-24-2006

From: **Stephen G. Bassett** <ParadigmRG.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 17:06:53 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 07:52:12 -0400
Subject: Paradigm Clock - 4-24-2006

Press Release
April 24, 2006

Contact: Stephen Bassett
202-215-8344

PRG
Paradigm Research Group

Washington, DC - The Paradigm Clock, created and published by PRG in 1998 to track the proximity to a formal announcement by the United States Government confirming an extraterrestrial presence engaging the human race, has been reset to 11:59:45 - 15 seconds to midnight. Such an announcement is formally referred to as Disclosure and would mark the end of a 59 year truth embargo imposed by federal authorities. Midnight on the Paradigm Clock is Disclosure.

According to PRG Executive Director, Stephen Bassett, "Due to extraordinary circumstances primarily pertaining but not limited to the United States, a window of opportunity has opened for a Disclosure event to take place. This window should last through the November election and may remain open or possibly close depending upon the outcome of the election." He further added, "I believe this to be the best opportunity to get past this very difficult transition in human history since the truth embargo was initially imposed in 1947."

The previous setting for the Paradigm Clock was 11:58:45 on March 8, 2004. A partial listing of factors contributing to the new time change (in both directions) is provided below. A more detailed explanation of these factors can be found at:
www.paradigmclock.com/chronicleexplanations.html

2006 Crisis In America

2006 After several false starts Air America Radio begins to make headway as a counter balance to conservative radio, approaching 100 markets. This is facilitated by access to some stations owned by syndicate giant Clear Channel Communications, chastened in the aftermath of the Michael Powell fiasco at the Federal Communications Commission - the failed attempt to expand conglomeration of media via new regulations. The effort brought an intense scrutiny of Clear Channel Communications and its business practices. The dominant theme on Air America programs becomes the consequences of government lying. Abuse of power and excess secrecy are also featured.

10/20/06 The Institute for Co-operation in Space requests Canadian Senator Colin Kenny, Senator, Chair of The Senate Standing Senate Committee on National Security and Defence, "schedule public hearings on the Canadian Exopolitics Initiative, so that witnesses such as the Honorable Paul Hellyer, and Canadian-connected high level military-intelligence, NORAD-connected, scientific, and governmental witnesses facilitated by the Disclosure Project and by the

Toronto Exopolitics Symposium can present compelling evidence, testimony, and Public Policy recommendations."

9/25/05 In a speech at University of Toronto's Convocation Hall, Paul Hellyer, Canada's Defence Minister from 1963-67 under Nobel Peace Prize Laureate Prime Minister Lester Pearson and Deputy Prime Minister under Pierre Trudeau, publicly states, "UFOs are as real as the airplanes that fly over your head." Hellyer further states, "The secrecy involved in all matters pertaining to the Roswell incident was unprecedented. The classification was, from the outset, above top secret, so the vast majority of U.S. officials and politicians, let alone a mere allied minister of defence, were never in-the-loop."

5/20/05 Brazil Releases Classified Data - Recognizes UFO Research - The Brazilian Air Force (FAB), almost certainly with the approval of Minister of Defense and Vice President, Jose Alencar, enters into an agreement with civilian researchers to release information and jointly investigate UFO incidents

4/22/05 & 4/17/04 X-Conference. The first two conferences devoted specifically to the political implications of extraterrestrial-related phenomena and disclosure are held just outside of Washington, DC. X-Conferences 2004 and 2005 attract considerable media attention, new government witnesses and launch numerous projects and documentaries. At the 2005 conference former Vatican official, Monsignor Corrado Balducci, speaks in the United States for the first time regarding the truth of an extraterrestrial presence engaging the human race.

11/2/04 President George W. Bush is reelected.

9/27/04 Dr. John E. Mack is killed in London in an automobile accident.

July 2004 Producer, director, writer and actor Dan Aykroyd becomes the first A-list celebrity to allow his or her name and image to be used to support extraterrestrial-related phenomena research. He publicly endorses the Mutual UFO Network (MUFON).

5/11/04 Mexico's DoD Releases Video to UFO Research Team - The Department of Defense of Mexico, with the approval of Mexican Secretary of Defense, General Clemente Vega Garcia (and almost certainly Mexican President Vicente Fox), holds an international press conference at the Hotel Sevilla Palace in Mexico City. The Department of Defense releases air surveillance evidence of an unusual sighting to a civilian research team headed by researcher and journalist, Jaime Maussan and confirms a joint investigative effort.

Relevant Web Pages

Paradigm Research Group: www.paradigmclock.com
Dr. John Mack: http://johnemackinstitute.org/center/center_news.asp?id=3D227
X-Conference: www.paradigmclock.com/X-Conference/X-Conference.htm
Paul Hellyer: www.exopoliticstoronto.com
Institute for Cooperation in Space: www.peaceinspace.com
Mexico: www.rense.com/general52/mexv.htm
Brazil: www.rense.com/general65/braz.htm
Air America: www.airamericaradio.com

Paradigm Research Group
E-mail: ParadigmRG.nul
URL: www.paradigmclock.com
Cell: 202-215-8344
4938 Hampden Lane, #161
Bethesda, MD 20814

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 25](#)

Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Koivula

From: **Tapani Koivula** <koivulatapani.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 22:44:29 +0000
Fwd Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 08:03:30 -0400
Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Koivula

[Non-Subscriber post]

>From: Santiago Yturria Garza <syturria.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 18:14:49 +0000
>Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos

>>From: Tapani Koivula <koivulatapani.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 10:13:12 +0200
>>Subject: Finnish Government UFO Videos

>>[Non-Subscriber post]

>>Unfortunately our website is down. It will some days >to get it
>>going. Anyway I am ready to answer, if you >have questions.

>>It has been very fruitful to publish these government >UFO
>>videos, especially in English.

>>Yesterday I came back from a very important UFO >meeting. I was
>>in Sweden discussing these videos with >Clas Svahn and also
>>about Nordic co-operation in UFO >research.

>>In Finland we have been active since the 60's, but >because of
>>our special language our international co->operation in UFO
>>research has been poor. I and some others have had co-operation
>>with foreign UFO >researchers, i.e. Estonians and Russians.

>Congratulations for this important advance for Finnish ufology
>in getting this disclosure by the Finnish Air Force and thanks
>to your efforts and FUFORA. This historic event joins our crusade
>for a global UFO disclosure by military all over the world.

<snip>

>The UFO Phenomenon is a reality and a fact of life.

Dear Santiago,

Thanks.

We also feel that this is an historical moment, at least for our
UFO association.

In this case the officials who released the videos were some
brave individual persons who wanted to do that without asking
permission from their bosses - this is what I believe.

These officials are honest people, and even they were very
selective of the information they wanted to release. When they
realised that no one of the govt officials was able to find
normal explanations for the objects, they wanted to get help
from the UFO research community.

Tapani Koivula

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 25](#)

Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catja90024.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 15:48:26 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 08:05:54 -0400
Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Kasten

>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 16:41:38 -0400
>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

>>From: Kathy Kasten <catja90024.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 12:14:46 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

><snip>

>>>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 16:33:11 -0400
>>>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

>>>So even telepathic ability could just be a manifestation of more
>>>_time_, not necessarily more "mentally developed."

>>>(I know I've used terms here which could invite demands for
>>>definitions. I leave debating definitions to others.)

>>Eleanor:

>>How about this: expanding the meaning of space and
>>time available to the human mind.

>Hmm. My original point was that roughly speaking, a range of
>levels of "mental development", given enough _time_, can
>probably eventually reach the technology levels observed during
>UFO sightings/interactions.

>I'm not clear how your comment relates to that.

Mental development is in fact a perception of space and time;
limited mind is where the definition of space and time has been
limited. Might the technology to move through additional levels
of consciousness simply be an acceptance that there are in fact
other levels of consciousness. Programming the mind to expand
what it accepts as space and time. Is that still too obscure?

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 25](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catja90024.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 15:51:50 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 08:19:13 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kasten

>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 16:36:08 -0400
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 20:09:27 +0100 (BST)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 13:42:32 -0300
>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 17:13:54 +0100 (BST)
>>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

><snip>

>>>>3. Form a massive international caucus, like the right-wing
>>>>Christians for example, so that as a lobby we become so
>>>>powerful that we simply cannot be ignored. This by the way is
>>>>a serious response to your original question but this
>>>>particular point is laughable.

>>>>I don't think it's laughable at all, and it would probably
>>>>work.

>>>>You're right, it probably would work but... surely experience
>>>>has shown you that we can never work together as one.

><snip>

>>It's a non starter.

>Well, if people who are more knowledgeable about American
>history than I am are right, there was extreme lack of
>"working together as one" during the American Revolution, with
>only about 5% of the total population actively engaged. Yet it
>succeeded.

>So I'm not sure it's a non-starter, particularly with those
>surveys showing what, 50-80% of Americans "believing" (sorry!)
>that UFOs have some genuine substance to them?

>In the disclosure realm, one small government somewhere which
>decided to tell all could be ufology's "shot heard 'round the
>world."

Two rules, rule the game:

- 1) the passionate few;
- 2) the consensus of the room

That is all that is needed.

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 25](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 16:13:49 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 08:20:43 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 17:00:23 -0300
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 06:33:54 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>In this "business" if you go with the flow, trust other's
>research and opinions without following the tracks on your own,
>you can miss important details that many already know but have
>filed away in their memories as part of their understanding of
>the phenomenon in general or a certain case in particular. In
>the case of abduction and abductees [like UFO cases in general]
>I can't stress how important it is to get involved 'first hand'
>and all that that entails. Maybe you have done that already, but
>if you haven't there's a big difference between reading case
>files and writing up one of your own. Go in and get your hands
>and your brain dirty. You can't do that from your comfortable
>livingroom sofa.

<snip>

Alright now you're just confusing me Don. It sounds like you're
saying no one has any credibility in this Ufology field, not
even those who post here.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 25](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 18:35:34 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 08:22:06 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 12:57:12 -0300
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: >ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 19:04:25 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>Cool, Rick. First time I've been poemed. There's also the
>beatitude "The meek shall inherit the earth." But only when they
>are dead of course. A self serving promotion by the rich and
>powerful.

>How long have you been doing research of the phenomenon?

Interesting question Don. Thanks for the opportunity! I believe you're asking about credentials. But in this field the only common denominator seems to be experience. I'll do my best to answer.

In the sixties I became interested in other worlds, space travel and aliens mostly through to works of Asimov and Roddenberry. This interest continues to this day: Bonanza in space!

In the early eighties I had a personal experience, three nights in a row, which I'm still confused about. Definitely "gray basket" material. There was nothing my own logic could make sense of then or now. It involved three "tall greys." Is that shocking to you?

Since that experience, I've been looking wherever I can for answers--some way to understand this--any part of it.

So I guess you could say I've been investigating this, armchair style, (reading books, checking out internet stuff, etc. I know that doesn't meet with your approval and I really am sorry), since the early eighties. But no real or original research as I think you'd describe it. I'm definitely not ready to write anything. I honestly don't see how an ignorant savage like myself can contribute to this field other than by asking questions and looking for answers in the best way I know how.

Mostly I try to stay employed and married. Like any other male slug, I imagine!

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 25](#)

Of Crop Circles & Comets

From: Robert Gates <RGates8254.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 23:36:08 EDT
Fwd Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 08:27:53 -0400
Subject: Of Crop Circles & Comets

Listers and lurkers,

Well, we have, yet again another story, and another public release on the Internet.

As many folks know the Comet 73P/Schwassman-Wachmann will be making a close approach to earth between May 10th and 14th. The comet broke up into pieces a while back, so a number of pieces will be passing-through in late May.

So for all you End-Of-The-Worlders/Planet-X'ers and others, we have the latest attempt at connecting all the planetary dots with a story from an alleged former French military air traffic controller named Eric Julien who allegedly did a study on the Comet and indicates that a piece is likely to impact the earth on May 25th. His story, titled "May 25, 2006: The Day of Destiny" is posted at

<http://www.exopoliticsinstitute.org/EricJulien-En.htm>

Julien ties the comet to a 1995 "missing earth" crop circle, not to mention the Bush administrations nuclear weapons policies.

Note that according to his story posted now he received this information "on April 7, 2006" through "telepathic message from extraterrestrial friends.."

A person could easily predict that nothing will happen and that on May 26th, all the people who bought into this story will either not want to talk about it anymore, preferring to move on to the next incredible tale, told by the next incredible story teller, OR they will rationalize it away by saying things like "Well lets see what happens on may 27th.." or "Well this was just a test run and when the comet comes around next time..." OR "We were just hoping to raise awareness..." or taking the Zeta approach "Well the telepathic message was some kind of white lie..." and on, and on.

One would hope that if May 25th comes and goes without incident, that the folks at exopolitics institute will denounce the story and the story teller for the rubbish that it was and not rationalizing the non occurrence away.

So I will, curiously, await to see in which direction this goes.

Cheers,

Robert

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 25](#)

Progress In Physics

From: **Stephen Crothers** <thenarmis.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 13:38:16 +1000 (EST)
Fwd Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 08:30:16 -0400
Subject: Progress In Physics

Dear Colleague,

You are invited to peruse the electronic version of the international journal, Progress in Physics. All papers can be read and downloaded free of charge. Go to:

www.ptep-online.com

The Editorial Board aims to make all electronic versions of published papers available in full and free of charge. Any interested person can register for receipt of a full electronic copy of each issue of the Journal as it is published in paperback form, by merely contacting the Editor-in-Chief. Details are contained on the Journal's main webpage.

Yours faithfully,

Stephen J. Crothers
Associate Editor
Progress in Physics

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 25](#)

Oh The Hypocrisy - Science & UFOs

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 08:39:22 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 08:39:22 -0400
Subject: Oh The Hypocrisy - Science & UFOs

Source: American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California

<http://tinyurl.com/huaoy>

April 24, 2006

Oh The Hypocrisy - Science & UFOs
Frank Warren

One of the questions that are often evoked when an abecedarian enters the UFO fray is, "where's the science?" Skimming the top of the subject today most fledglings will have trouble in locating scientists or scientific investigation, which some of us think is a phenomenon unto itself; however, there exists a curious thing, on the rare occasion when a person of science does delve into the subject=97that is, it seems they lose their academic training and toss scientific method out the window.

Most recently, a Harvard psychologist, Susan Clancy exemplified this by the publication of her book, *Abducted: How People Come to Believe They Were Kidnapped by Aliens*. In it she refutes the declarations made by so-called abductees of their experiences extruded by hypnosis. The written conclusions emanate from a study she and another Harvard psychologist, Richard McNally, gave to self-described abductees conducted in 2002.

She insinuates that because people under hypnosis are highly prone to suggestion that those that are conducting the session can easily input false memories. Moreover, she claims that people who have reluctantly come forward are those who have a pre-existing interest in the paranormal, mystical arts and Ufology. For the most part she claims that abductees are recreating memories from popular movies and television programs etc. It appears that she feels that in proclaiming that abductees actually believe what they are saying it might offer some solace to the victims, and show her in a different light=97that is one who is not overtly condemning the abduction phenomenon in toto.

Personally, I don't have a problem with her opinion, conclusions etc; however, I do have issues on how she got there. To be clear Clancy admittedly "has not," and "did not" spend any time on investigation of the UFO phenomenon; her study of "self-proclaimed abductees," which is an "element" of the entire subject (Ufology), remained solely in the laboratory. Moreover, the source, or subjects of the study, was culled from respondents of ads placed in local newspapers. The method is suspect, introduces a myriad of other possible origins for respective anecdotes, and calls into question the "vetting" process, which apparently there was little of, if any.

=46rom a scientific point of view; one would think that she would have culled her "source group" from established cases, those that have been investigated by experienced researchers, e.g., Bud Hopkins, David Jacobs, John Mack etc. The vetting of a source group in this instance by experienced researchers seems

paramount in my view; moreover, Clancy would have learned that abductees are not eager to talk about their experiences, henceforth, answering a, 'Have you been abducted by aliens' ad, may have been realized as less than desired protocol for this particular study.

=46rom the gate, Clancy's study is flawed, but omitting that for a moment, she also entered the study with a fixed notion; that is alien abduction is a falsehood, so any disclosures, i.e., false memories would be in support her preconceived ideologies. Methinks, had this been any other subject her peers would have crucified her. Sadly, this is yet another example where scientific method can go by the wayside due to it's subject matter.

Prior to Clancy, last year, Seth Shostak senior astronomer for SETI made the rounds to voice his skepticism in regards to UFOs and the ETH. (Extraterrestrial Hypotheses). From a debate with Nuclear Physicist and renowned Ufologist, Stanton Friedman, to an appearance on Larry King, as well as penscript by Shostak, he left no question in regards to his feelings on Ufology.

Again, he certainly has a right to his opinion, conclusions etc; however, it must be clear that he didn't get there via scientific protocol. In the debate with Friedman he acknowledged that he wasn't a Ufologist and that he hasn't researched the subject, i.e., examined the evidence; however, he condemned the latter nonetheless.

Faith-based science isn't what one would expect from someone with Shostak's academic background and position with SETI as senior astronomer; I think it's safe to say that Shostak and SETI as a whole doesn't use that methodology in regards to their own research, given their success at fundraising.

A little irony here is that Shostak shares the idea that there is intelligent life in the universe, but apparently they are stuck at the same level of technological advancement that we humans are, and since 'we can't there from here', then obviously the same can be said for our alien counterparts; however, the speculation (from SETI) is that they would be using similar 'human' technologies which can be detected, and of course is SETI's primary reason for existence.

Until mainstream science can take a look at Ufology with an unbiased eye, which of course is science at heart, then the value of theorems posed by those who admit flawed scientific method, and or apathetic queries into the evidence, are worthless. In the interim we'll have to contend with the hypocrisy that 'true science' hasn't room for.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 25](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 11:32:18 +0100 (BST)
Fwd Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 08:46:32 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:10:16 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 20:37:06 +0100 (BST)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 13:42:32 -0300
>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 17:13:54 +0100 (BST)
>>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

><snip>

>>Actually, hold on a sec. If you think about his original
>>question, it was possible to take it at more than one level.
>>On the one hand, taken literally, it was a straight forward
>>question but on the other, I also saw it as a challenge.

>>Perhaps it just depends upon how an individual 'reads' the
>>post, and what his internal reactions are.

><snip>

>Thanks Stuart! Exactly! We humans need challenges, and
>choices.

>Each day at my work, I deal personally with the kind of human
>challenges most folks safely see on the tube. I've found most
>of those folks in a rut, doing the same things, reacting the >
>same way, reaching for the same destructive externals that
>continue to spoil their lives.

>In my decades now of experience with this I've learned some
>things which, let our kind Moderator decide, may be helpful
>for this list:

>First, humans like rewards better than punishment. They find
>the boundaries of whatever system they're in more quickly
>when rewarded than when punished. It makes sense, doesn't it?
>After all, which would you prefer?

>Second, each human's only power is their willful exercise
>of personal choice. When practiced well, this leads to a life
>of new discovery with each moment, even each breath. When we
>don't, we're not very good company!

>I'm probably mistaken. It wouldn't be the first time or the
>last. But I thought this was a platform for dialogue, not for
>errand- running or delegation without authority. In fact
>dialogue is what I'm here for, with no disrespect. I may still

>be someone's fool. But if the large volume of off-list emails
>I've been getting lately are any indication, (and BTW I _do_
>ask them to respond on-list), I'm not alone and at least an
>honest fool who tries to not take himself too seriously!

>And thanks for the soap-box!

Hi Rick,

Actually, and believe it or not, this is quite a friendly List.
There is only one person that I can think of that is truly
revolting and he's probably off somewhere kicking a dog.

I suppose the real question now is what have you learned from
the experience?

You do highlight one issue that perturbs me. Too many folk off
List who can't be bothered with the perceived hassle of posting
here. That's a pity.

Regards

Stuart

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 25](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <alienview.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 07:28:14 -0500
Fwd Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 08:49:25 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Lehmborg

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 17:30:21 -0300
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 20:37:06 +0100 (BST)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>Then there's Dark Matter, of which there is no proof of but has
>to be there because the mass of the universe is off by a large
>percentage.

>And the Big Bang which apparently we all started from - and on a
>local scale that's quite true - but the universe, according to
>math and physics can't be reduced back to that one tiny pinpoint
>of nothingness from whence it came. The genie won't go back in
>the bottle.

>M-branes, String Theory, Quantum Theory and Parallel Universes
>have a high degree of credibility and acceptability in the
>sciences. But something that's been plaguing our population for
>centuries - if not millenia - with countless millions of
>witnesses, can't be.

>You know what? If we are right [those that think that these
>things are intelligently controlled] then Seth is out of a job,
>and so is Jill.

I've heard that the whole institution of the state of the art
that is cosmology is predicated on detected energies so faint
that if they were all added together they wouldn't be as
powerful as that energy expended in a cigarette ash falling six
inches.

Seems like pretty thin stuff to entirely hang your
cosmological hat on, huh?

alienview.nul
www.AlienView.net
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 25](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 09:00:07 -0400
Fwd Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 09:10:12 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kaeser

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 16:13:49 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 17:00:23 -0300
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>>In this "business" if you go with the flow, trust other's
>>research and opinions without following the tracks on your own,
>>you can miss important details that many already know but have
>>filed away in their memories as part of their understanding of
>>the phenomenon in general or a certain case in particular. In
>>the case of abduction and abductees [like UFO cases in general]
>>I can't stress how important it is to get involved 'first hand'
>>and all that that entails. Maybe you have done that already, but
>>if you haven't there's a big difference between reading case
>>files and writing up one of your own. Go in and get your hands
>>and your brain dirty. You can't do that from your comfortable
>>livingroom sofa.

<snip>

>Alright now you're just confusing me Don. It sounds like you're
>saying no one has any credibility in this Ufology field, not
>even those who post here.

This thread has become more philosophical in nature, but I would have to ask how you would measure the "credibility" of UFO researchers? Or, by what standards? This become the crux of the problem, IMO, when you ask for "proof" or "evidence". This field has become a magnet for all types of research and theories, and there has been no effort to focus the field of study or define standards for evidence, so it involves everything from crop circles to bigfoot.

Ufology has become a rather large tent that has invited everyone to come in and join in the process. If you're asking for a consensus, then that's likely to generate a lot of discussion and - IMO little substance.

Steve

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 26](#)

Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Smith

From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 09:51:24 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
Fwd Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 08:17:53 -0400
Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Smith

>From: Tapani Koivula <koivulatapani.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 22:44:29 +0000
>Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos

>In this case the officials who released the videos were some
>brave individual persons who wanted to do that without asking
>permission from their bosses - this is what I believe.

So you are saying that certain officials illegally leaked the data to your group. This is not a breakthrough. If the Finnish government officially released the tapes to your group, then it would be more impressive. Do you think your government "leakers" will be prosecuted for breaking any laws or demoted for by-passing official channels?

Also, can you explain why the detailed text data was blacked out on (at least one) video snapshot on your web site?

>These officials are honest people, and even they were very
>selective of the information they wanted to release.

Not honest to their bosses or even their government.

>When they
>realised that no one of the govt officials was able to find
>normal explanations for the objects, they wanted to get help
>from the UFO research community.

But they should have gone through official channels.

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 26](#)

Re: UFOs Not Dead Yet - Fleming

From: **Lan Fleming** <lflaming6.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 08:51:55 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 08:19:37 -0400
Subject: Re: UFOs Not Dead Yet - Fleming

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 14:07:58 -0400
>Subject: Re: UFOs Not Dead Yet

>>From: Lan Fleming <lflaming6.nul>
>>To: UFOUpdates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 10:05:06 -0500
>>Subject: Re: UFOs Not Dead Yet

><snip>

>>I think that is very likely why the UFO 'craze' keeps getting
>>killed-off every now and then, like Kenny on South Park.

>>Far from being dead, the exact opposite is true: public interest
>>in UFOs is still very much alive as evidenced by the frequent
>>UFO programs on cable channels.

>I think there's a simpler explanation for the apparent cyclical
>interest in UFOs, relating to the Internet and the public's
>reaction.

I wasn't trying to explain any cyclical public interest. I was
talking about the frequent pronouncements about the death of
UFOlogy that seem totally unrelated to any decrease in public
interest, cyclical or otherwise.

<snip>

>>Apparently some 'journalists' feel it's their civic duty to
>>keep that interest tamped down so that it doesn't blaze up out
>>of control. It's professionalism in journalistic coverage of
>>UFOs that has almost completely disappeared, not the UFOs
>>themselves.

><sigh>If you are saying that there is a conspiracy of silence,
>please show any evidence you may have to that effect. Having
>worked as a reporter in the past, I can say that I would have
>laughed if management had told me to quash a UFO story.

<sigh>Since I didn't say anything about a "conspiracy of
silence," I have no obligation whatsoever to "show evidence" for
it. Please don't put words in other people's mouths. It's
unsanitary.

News articles popping up with surprising frequency that
announce the death of UFOs are a fact, and nonsense about
conspiracy theories is just a diversion. In fact, it's a
diversion also used by "journalists" to attack people who doubt
the veracity of our beloved government, like when they assure us
they really thought that Saddam Hussein could bomb London in 45
minutes. Honest!

In my opinion, the shoddy reporting on the UFO subject is just a
part of the same type of journalism that enabled the debacle in
Iraq. As with these "UFOs are dead" stories, reporters were just
doing their civic duty in hyping the war - and at the same time,
advancing their own careers, which is more likely when they

write things that make the government happy.

>I would be the first to admit that the old days
>of altruistic reporting by the major Networks is probably a vain
>hope, but this is created by market forces, IMO, and not by a
>desire to supress specific information or ideas.

Exactly. We've got the best journalism money can buy. That's the
problem, not any conspiracy nonsense.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 26](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 06:53:31 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 08:21:15 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 09:00:07 -0400
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 16:13:49 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>>Alright now you're just confusing me Don. It sounds like you're
>>saying no one has any credibility in this Ufology field, not
>>even those who post here.

>This thread has become more philosophical in nature, but I would
>have to ask how you would measure the "credibility" of UFO
>researchers? Or, by what standards? This become the crux of
>the problem, IMO, when you ask for "proof" or "evidence". This
>field has become a magnet for all types of research and
>theories, and there has been no effort to focus the field of
>study or define standards for evidence, so it involves
>everything from crop circles to bigfoot.

<snip>

>Steve

Thanks for the question Steve. I know that scientific proofs
aren't the same as court-accepted evidence. But ufology isn't a
real science yet. I know that hurts and I'm sorry. This list and
those like it are the only peer-review most UFO topics receive.
This list and media like it are the only things like academia in
which a person can gain guild knowledge and acceptance. With
that in mind I recommend that the legal standard of
"preponderance" include all CE3, CE4, and CE5 evidence, for now.

I recommend that "beyond reasonable doubt" include all CE1 and
CE2 evidence.

What is credible to me right now is the CE1 and CE2 evidence. I
experienced a protracted CE5 event personally twenty years ago.
But right now, I can only see it as one of two "dreams" I've
experienced in my life that I have never forgotten. I'm not
trying to interfere with the beliefs of others. And make no
mistake, even real sciences - hypotheses - are based on beliefs.
But that CE5 experience doesn't rise to "beyond reasonable
doubt".

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 26](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 06:59:30 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 08:23:44 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 11:32:18 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:10:16 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>You do highlight one issue that perturbs me. Too many folk off
>List who can't be bothered with the perceived hassle of posting
>here. That's a pity.

Thanks Stuart!

I agree it's a pity. I like it here. It's like hitting your
thumb with a hammer: after a while you get used to it!
Seriously, I can only refer to those who've written to me
off-List. The major motive for their "off-list" activity seems
to be fear of rejection by the more outspoken Listers.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 26](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 16:00:38 +0100 (BST)
Fwd Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 08:25:50 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 17:30:21 -0300
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sat, 22 Apr 2006 20:37:06 +0100 (BST)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 13:42:32 -0300
>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>>Date: Thu, 20 Apr 2006 17:13:54 +0100 (BST)
>>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

><snip>

>That's all it is/was Stuart. Shocks me to note that I've been
>on this List for ten years - BTW, does that qualify me for a
>pension, Errol?

Almost certainly not Don, but it does raise a question or two
about your sanity.

>How come we can take astronomy's [and physic's] word that
>Black Holes exist without any real evidence of same.

Because they wear white coats. That's it. There ain't no more to
it.

>You know what? If we are right [those that think that these
>things are intelligently controlled] then Seth is out of a
>job, and so is Jill.

And I'm sure many on this List muttered "And about time too" as
they read that Don. Not me though, Oh no. If they do nothing
else (other than waste Paul Allen's money) they at least keep
the subject in a sane public profile and hence, respectability.
I shall as of now cease giving my youngest her allowance and
instead I will send it as a monthly sum to Uncle Seth as they
are appealing for funds.

Stuart

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 26](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kritkauskyy

From: Rob Kritkauskyy <robkrit.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 08:16:01 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 08:28:17 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kritkauskyy

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 16:41:33 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>Firstly, every data source is corruptible at some point in its
>existence. And far from being unreliable, the final word on this
>matter coming from a suit is perfectly logical and realistic,
>although highly unlikely.

All data sources can be compromised, this is true. It should be our job to seek out the most reliable sources possible. If a source has a history of streaming inaccurate, incomplete and misleading information, then it is unreliable.....period. Now machines on the other-hand, have a much better history of producing accurate and objective data.

>I accept the negative comments you
>make about the general reliability of such a source but it would
>be a strange game indeed that they were playing if they ever
>stood up and said, "Guess what, we've something to tell you"
>when it wasn't true.

A strange game indeed. Standing up and saying something like "It was one of our dummy-filled balloons that crashed" or "He surely is in possession of WMDs" or "I never had sex with that women"? We should not depend on words spoken by subjective individuals who are influenced by personal agendas to determine what is real.

>What evidence we have on a possible course of action an
>administration might take is of course scarce but we do have the
>example of Clinton squawking about Allan Hills 84001 and from
>that we can learn that far from being reticent or misleading,
>they were so anxious to tell us that in their haste they got it
>wrong. Bet you would have believed him though if science had
>finally backed him up.

These are two very different scenarios One is merely squawking, while the other is a statement supported by expert witnesses, If these scientist had a history of skill and objectivity in their fields and were presenting data from documented evidence, then I would certainly trust the source. What's important to understand here is either way, it would not be the "suit" that convinced me of anything.

>>I have a reason for addressing this topic whenever it
>>surfaces. This is because Field Stations proposed by James or
>>Radar concepts Peter has mentioned are more than things that
>>just might work. In actuality, I happen to know that it has
>>worked on one occasion. This success happened completely
>>outside the UFO community and the participants wish to remain
>>there for reasons I both understand and respect. The data
>>obtained includes video from two cameras(one infrared),
>>precise spectral readings, scoped bearings taken from two
>>separate observation points and revealing data streams from
>>Gamma and EM instruments running at the time. This single

>>success exists as a subset of a much larger group of failed
>>attempts, but it is the type of data Ufologists dream of. The
>>point I'm trying to make is this type of data should not be
>>dreamed of or waited upon for release by the government. An
>>active scientific pursuit of this type of data by Ufology
>>has merit, yet it collects dust in a closet of untapped
>>potential.

>And just what did this data prove? What did it show? You're
>saying nothing here Rob except vague claims that amount to not
>very much at all. And if the implication you are making is that
>the object detected was "unusual" then I would ask, how do you
>know? What's your comparison base?

I enjoy the information you post on this List and I agree with
you on many things, but I think you are in error here, Stewart.

The data is not mine to introduce or discuss before publishing,
let alone peer review. I can confirm what was probably already
a given, in terms of unusual.

Let me illustrate how one would know from the instruments and
data streams mentioned. Having video from two sources obviously
gives you an idea of appearance, motion, color, duration etc.,
as well as eliminating any camera specific artifacts.

Spectral data is great for telling you about how the light
energy is being produced... The chemically generated light of a
flare is much different than that of a street light or Venus for
example and the spectral data reflects these differences.

Readings from two separate GPS Spotting scopes will give you a
location of where the light or object is. EM and Gamma
Instruments that produce anomalous readings that correlate with
the appearance, movements, or fluctuations of the lights are
ideal for determining possible propulsion or power generation
sources.

For example, if you have documented an object's movement,
determined its location, identified the type of light it's
emitting and can correlate gamma readings 10 times greater than
the base to the object, then you really have everything you
need. That is, you have everything you need to tell you what it
is not - this was hypothetical of course.

Rob

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 26](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 16:47:58 +0100
Fwd Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 08:29:31 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Dickenson

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 17:30:21 -0300
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>Then there's Dark Matter, of which there is no proof of but has
>to be there because the mass of the universe is off by a large
>percentage.

>And the Big Bang which apparently we all started from - and on a
>local scale that's quite true - but the universe, according to
>math and physics can't be reduced back to that one tiny pinpoint
>of nothingness from whence it came. The genie won't go back in
>the bottle.

Anyone think it's funny that `skeptic' mainstream scientists are
now clinging to a `standard model' which is contra-indicated by
most real evidence?

'New Scientist' article "What if the big bang never happened?"
in full (so no need to subscribe) at:

<http://tinyurl.com/ec2bb>

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 26](#)

Secrecy News - 04/25/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 12:12:57 -0400
Fwd Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 08:31:14 -0400
Subject: Secrecy News - 04/25/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 50
April 25, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** INTELLIGENCE FUSION CENTERS EMERGE ACROSS THE U.S.
** ODNI PURSUES INTELLIGENCE COMPENSATION REFORM
** HOUSE POISED TO GRANT ARREST POWERS TO CIA, NSA
** JUDGING SECRETS: THE ROLE OF THE COURTS
** FOREIGN INFLUENCE, ISRAEL AND THE SECURITY CLEARANCE PROCESS

INTELLIGENCE FUSION CENTERS EMERGE ACROSS THE U.S.

The contours of the U.S. intelligence bureaucracy are expanding to include dozens of new "intelligence fusion centers" based around the country.

An intelligence fusion center is "a collaborative effort of two or more agencies that provide resources, expertise, and/or information to the center with the goal of maximizing the ability to detect, prevent, apprehend, and respond to criminal and terrorist activity."

A list of state and regional intelligence fusion centers that have been established as of March 2006 was obtained by Secrecy News. See:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/ise/state.pdf>

Last year, the Department of Justice and the Department of Homeland Security published guidelines for the operation of fusion centers dealing with law enforcement intelligence.

See "Fusion Center Guidelines: Developing and Sharing Information and Intelligence in a New World," July 2005 (1.8 MB PDF):

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/ise/guidelines.pdf>

So far, the fusion centers have not been an unqualified success. State officials express growing unhappiness with the contribution of federal intelligence agencies, according to a new survey from the National Governors Association:

"Sixty percent of responding state homeland security directors are dissatisfied or somewhat dissatisfied with the specificity of the intelligence they receive from the federal government. An additional 55 percent are dissatisfied or somewhat dissatisfied with the actionable quality of the intelligence they receive from the federal government."

"These numbers represent a sharp increase from the combined

dissatisfied/somewhat dissatisfied percentages from the previous year," according to the April 5 NGA survey:

<http://www.nga.org/Files/pdf/0604HLSDIRSURVEY.pdf>

The fusion centers are one aspect of a broader effort to promote sharing of intelligence information within the government.

The Intelligence Reform Act of 2004 (section 1016) called for the creation of an Information Sharing Environment (ISE), which is defined as "an approach that facilitates the sharing of terrorism information, which approach may include any methods determined necessary."

This too is a work in progress, at best, that remains far from achieving its objective.

"More than 4 years after September 11, the nation still lacks the government-wide policies and processes that Congress called for to provide a framework for guiding and integrating the myriad of ongoing efforts to improve the sharing of terrorism-related information critical to protecting our homeland," the Government Accountability Office stated in a report published last week (SN, 04/18/06).

Selected resources on the ISE are available here:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/ise/index.html>

It should be noted that "information sharing" in this context does not extend to public disclosure of government information. To the contrary, information sharing policies may even create new barriers to public access through the use of non-disclosure agreements and similar devices.

ODNI PURSUES INTELLIGENCE COMPENSATION REFORM

The Office of the Director of National Intelligence is attempting to revamp the compensation system for intelligence personnel to emphasize "pay for performance" rather than duration of employment.

But it is considered a delicate, even "potentially destructive" task.

"There can be no doubt that pay modernization is coming to the IC," wrote DDNI Michael Hayden in a February memo, "and generally, I believe that is a good thing."

"You should all receive competitive compensation based on your competence and contribution to mission, not just on longevity," Gen. Hayden wrote.

"However, if we don't carefully manage the introduction of these changes, we could see untenable pay disparities within the IC, potentially destructive inter-agency competition, and a negative impact on employee morale," he wrote.

The February 6 Hayden memorandum was transmitted to senior defense officials by Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Stephen A. Cambone on March 13.

A copy was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "Modernizing Civilian Compensation Systems within the Intelligence Community," memorandum for All Intelligence Community Employees:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/dod/compensation.pdf>

HOUSE POISED TO GRANT ARREST POWERS TO CIA, NSA

The House version of the 2007 intelligence authorization bill would grant CIA and NSA security personnel the authority to make arrests for "any felony" committed in their presence, no matter how remote from the foreign intelligence mission it might be, the Baltimore Sun reported today.

Section 423 of H.R. 5020 "appears...to grant to CIA security

personnel powers that have little to do with the primary mission of 'executive protection,' and potentially creates a pretext for use or abuse of these powers for the purposes of general domestic law enforcement - something no element of the CIA has ever been empowered to perform," wrote Danielle Brian of the Project on Government Oversight in a letter to members of the House Intelligence Committee opposing the provision.

<http://www.pogo.org/p/government/gl-060401-intel.html>

Section 432 of the bill grants similar authority to NSA security personnel.

The bill also includes measures intended to increase penalties for unauthorized disclosures of classified information (SN, 04/07/06).

See "Congress cracking down on U.S. leaks" by Siobhan Gorman, Baltimore Sun, April 25:

<http://tinyurl.com/lh4rd>

JUDGING SECRETS: THE ROLE OF THE COURTS

Federal courts could, and should, play a more effective role in curtailing unnecessary government secrecy, argues Meredith Fuchs, general counsel at the National Security Archive, in a splendid new law review article.

"All too often, courts easily accept the argument that the executive needs unquestioning adherence to its judgments and that the court is not competent to assess those judgments in the realm of national security."

"Yet judges have stemmed executive overreaching in other contexts involving national security claims. Judges have discretionary tools - such as the Vaughn Index, in camera review, and special master - available to help them do the same in the secrecy context," she wrote.

Her article provides an updated introduction to the secrecy system, a critique of secrecy policy, and a survey of recent judicial actions.

See "Judging Secrets: The Role Courts Should Play in Preventing Unnecessary Secrecy" by Meredith Fuchs, Administrative Law Review, Winter 2006:

<http://www.nsarchive.org/fuchs/Fuchs.pdf>

FOREIGN INFLUENCE, ISRAEL AND THE SECURITY CLEARANCE PROCESS

Many people who have tangled with the security clearance system have found it bafflingly inconsistent and unpredictable. New research on the role of foreign influence in security clearance disputes, particularly those involving Israel, finds an empirical basis for that perception.

According to official guidelines for granting security clearances for access to classified information, foreign influence or allegiance can understandably be a disqualifying factor leading to denial or revocation of clearance.

Among the security clearance disputes involving foreign influence that are presented for adjudication to the Defense Office of Hearings and Appeals (DOHA), "there appear to be an unusually large number of Israel cases," wrote attorney Sheldon I. Cohen in a recent study.

"Since 1996, when DOHA began posting its decisions to its web site, until February 2006,... there have been 47 cases identifying Israel as the foreign country in question. These cases have resulted in 18 applicants being granted clearances and 29 being denied."

Cohen, a specialist in security clearance law and policy, scrutinized many of these Israel-related cases to deduce the larger lessons they hold about the clearance adjudication process.

"After review of such an extensive body of case law one would expect there to be some predictability, but there is none," he concluded.

"If DOHA would provide its policies in deciding and appealing these cases, if indeed there are such policies, applicants and their counsel would have some idea of the likelihood of obtaining a clearance more than simply a roll of the dice."

Instead, Cohen found, "one is left with a sense of arbitrariness and unpredictability."

See "Israel: Foreign Influence - Foreign Preference Cases, A Review of DOHA Decisions" by Sheldon I. Cohen, March 2006, linked on this page:

<http://www.sheldoncohen.com/publications/index.htm>

A broader consideration of "Foreign Influence and Foreign Preference Considerations in National Security Clearance Decisions," also by Cohen, can be found at the same site.

A new Department of Defense Inspector General report found that the perennially troubled security clearance systems is still... troubled.

"All 26 DoD military and civilian requesting activities we visited experienced difficulties in effectively and efficiently processing personnel security investigation requests for military and civilian personnel."

"As a result, requesting activities may continue to experience delays in the security clearance process, which may impact national security, completion of critical DoD missions, and support of the warfighter."

See "DoD Personnel Security Clearance Process at Requesting Activities," DoD Inspector General audit report, April 19, 2006 (2 MB PDF file):

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/othergov/dod/igclearance.pdf>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sgp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sgp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 26](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 13:42:24 -0300
Fwd Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 08:34:30 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 16:13:49 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 17:00:23 -0300
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>In this "business" if you go with the flow, trust other's
>>research and opinions without following the tracks on your
>>own, you can miss important details that many already know
>>but have filed away in their memories as part of their
>>understanding of the phenomenon in general or a certain case
>>in particular. In the case of abduction and abductees [like
>>UFO cases in general] I can't stress how important it is to
>>get involved 'first hand' and all that that entails. Maybe
>>you have done that already, but if you haven't there's a big
>>difference between reading case files and writing up one of
>>your own. Go in and get your hands and your brain dirty. You
>>can't do that from your comfortable livingroom sofa.

><snip>

>Alright now you're just confusing me Don. It sounds like
>you're saying no one has any credibility in this Ufology
>field, not even those who post here.

I'm not sure where you got that from. Here's the operational part-as above: "In this "business" if you go with the flow, trust other's research and opinions without following the tracks on your own, you can miss important details that many already know but have filed away in their memories as part of their understanding of the phenomenon in general or a certain case in particular."

Witnesses and "experiencers" hold back information that they don't want the public to know. Sometimes they don't know what's important, and usually-as is paramount for them-they want to share the emotional side of the incident with the investigator and often are desperate to get the investigator, or any trusted listener, to understand how they felt during an encounter and they believe that that is paramount to the sighting rather than the more mundane details like time, date, location and weather etc. That's not only true in the field, but on this list as well. Myself and others are aware of more detailed background experiences of posters to this list. We don't share this information, but it allows us to see the reasons for their postings and the reasons for their arguments. Knowing these hidden reasons allows us to better understand their arguments and respond accordingly.

Unless the investigator is a Star Trek type empath he or she will never really be able to appreciate the emotions that came into play during a witness's' CE3,4, or 5. But meeting face to face with the witness is far more productive than a typed report, or a phone call, though it is understood how the face-

to-face is often impossible. Telephone companies must love UFO researchers.

Don Ledger

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 26](#)

Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 11:42:32 -0500
Fwd Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 08:37:17 -0400
Subject: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

Iowa City [Iowa] Press-Citizen
July 7, 1920

Mystery Veils Fallen Airship

Where Did Pilot and Motor Go,
When Plane Fell in Woods
Along Iowa River?

J. J. Beranek, the veteran expressman, describes the startling discovery in the woods, near Butler's Landing in the woods owned by Capt. S. D. Pryce and Charles Weber, along the Iowa river, north of town.

The machine lay in the woods, after a seeming Fourth of July flight and the wrecked, if not burned ship, was extraordinarily without a motor.

Pilot is Missing.

If the pilot fell out of the machine as it fell - and a woman in the neighborhood avers she saw it shooting earthward, he may have dropped in the river, but no sign of him appears in the grove or anywhere else.

Could Pilot Be Drowned?

Such a plunge into the Iowa river, to death by drowning, or being crushed, is possible, perhaps, but it is oddly inexplicable. The loss of the engine, however, seems without explanation. If the machine blew up, and some people state a reverberation was heard, indicating an explosion, the airship, surely, ought to have been torn to pieces, more than the engine, destroyed to a point of utter obliteration.

Mystery Puzzles Many.

Mystery envelops the find, and many Iowa City people have gone up the river to investigate the strange veil of unusualness that enshrouds the discovery.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 26](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 13:54:38 -0300
Fwd Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 08:40:03 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Ledger

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 18:35:34 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 12:57:12 -0300
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>>To: >ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 19:04:25 -0700 (PDT)
>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

><snip>

>>Cool, Rick. First time I've been poemed. There's also the
>>beatitude "The meek shall inherit the earth." But only when they
>>are dead of course. A self serving promotion by the rich and
>>powerful.

>>How long have you been doing research of the phenomenon?

>Interesting question Don. Thanks for the opportunity! I believe
>you're asking about credentials. But in this field the only
>common denominator seems to be experience. I'll do my best to
>answer.

>In the sixties I became interested in other worlds, space
>travel and aliens mostly through to works of Asimov and
>Roddenberry. This interest continues to this day: Bonanza in
>space!

>In the early eighties I had a personal experience, three nights
>in a row, which I'm still confused about. Definitely "gray
>basket" material. There was nothing my own logic could make
>sense of then or now. It involved three "tall greys." Is that
>shocking to you?

>Since that experience, I've been looking wherever I can for
>answers--some way to understand this--any part of it.

No it's not shocking to me. I responded to your last UpDates
post, just a few minutes ago, knowing of the experience you
related above, but I tap-danced all around it to preserve your
privacy. Now you go and out yourself. You and I no longer have
an argument.

Don Ledger

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 26](#)

A Tribute To Dr. J. Allen Hynek 1910 - 1986

From: **Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo** <ufomiami.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 13:14:58 -0400
Fwd Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 08:45:57 -0400
Subject: A Tribute To Dr. J. Allen Hynek 1910 - 1986

J. Allen Hynek - 1910 - 1986

This year we commemorate the 20th anniversary of Dr. Allen Hynek death, April 27, 1986 - one of the finest ufologists in the USA., and we lament that the new generation, involved in the most ambiguous aspects of the UFO phenomenon, sometimes don't even recognize his name.

Since Dr. Hynek's connection with ufology is not well known to all in the field, perhaps the proper emphasis of this sketchy biography should be on his scientific accomplishments, which built his reputation as a serious researcher but are little known outside academic circles.

Career Profile

After obtaining his Ph.D. from the University of Chicago (1935), Dr. Hynek joined the staff at Ohio State University, where he was to remain for twenty-one years, rising to the rank of full Professor of Astronomy. He also served in several other administrative post at Ohio State, as Director of the McMillan Observatory (1946-53) and Assistant Dean of the Graduate School (1946-53).

During the war years he supervised the issue of technical reports for the Applied Physics Laboratory of Johns Hopkins University, and was consultant to the Navy (1944).

In 1956 he was appointed Associate Director of the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory at Harvard University, where he was directly in charge of the U.S. Optical Satellite Tracking Program. This involved the establishment and operation of twelve satellite-tracking stations in selected sites around the world, as well as the organization of the supporting Operation Moonwatch. In addition, during these four years he was a lecturer at Harvard University.

In 1960 he decided to join Northwestern University in Chicago, mainly because of the greater opportunity to do research work of his own choosing. At that university he was Chairman of the Department of Astronomy and Director of the Dearborn Observatory, post which he held for fifteen years. During his tenure he was instrumental in founding the Lindheimer Astronomical Research Center and the Cerralitos Observatory and served as their first director.

Dr. Hynek's research work in astronomy was primarily concerned with space and lunar studies under grants from NASA, and with the application of television techniques to the search for supernovas in real time, under grants from NSF. His personal research dealt with stellar spectroscopy.

Ufological Activities

His association with the Air Force started while he was still at Ohio State (1948) when he became an astronomical consultant for Projects Sign and Blue Book. After becoming disenchanted with the official approach, he decided to found the Center for UFO Studies (1973) in Evanston. He remained the scientific director

of CUFOS as well as the editor-chief of the International UFO Reporter almost until his death (1986).

In association with Dr. Willy Smith he began (1984) a sophisticated data base of high-quality UFO cases, which in time became a much more ambitious research program known as the UNICAT Project.

Publications

Dr. Hynek was the author of numerous technical papers in astrophysics, and made significant contributions to the knowledge of F starts and stellar scintillation. He wrote with N.D. Anderson a book on aspects of astrophysics and celestial mechanics, *The Challenge Of The Universe* (McGraw, 1962), and he authored several other textbooks as well. His three books in the UFO field are well known: *The UFO Experience* (Regnery, 1972), *The Edge Of Reality* (with J. Vallee, Regnery, 1975) and *The Hynek UFO Report* (Dell, 1978). All of these works have been translated into several languages.

Finally, Dr. Hynek was a careful field investigator, a fact known only to his closed associates. I was with him in some of those investigations, and I have never met an investigator having a grasp of the UFO phenomenon like his. He honored me with his friendship, and taught me a great deal about the UFO phenomenon and its implications, for all of which I am very grateful.

Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo

Miami, Fl.

April, 2006

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 26](#)

Re: UFO Believers - Parts I & II - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 14:28:00 -0300
Fwd Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 08:51:08 -0400
Subject: Re: UFO Believers - Parts I & II - Ledger

>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 14:14:56 -0700
>Subject: Re: UFO Believers - Parts I & II

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 14:01:17 -0300
>>Subject: Re: UFO Believers - Parts I & II

<snip>

>>The reason that I bristle or get upset with the "Do you
>>believe in UFOs" question is this: it assumes that I am in
>>the same way involved in this phenomenon as those who
>>practice religion; as if it's a replacement for religion
>>that helps me deal with the reality of my own impending
>>death.

<snip>

>>The other question I'm asked is "You don't believe in that
>>crap do you?" Which starts another discussion.

><snip>

>>Sometimes I ask, "What crap are you referring to?" That
>>allows them to expand on their question. If I'm bored enough
>>with the 'Do you believe' question I tell them about the
>>little shrine I have with a flying saucer and some candles.

>>If anyone has a six or seven word response that I can use,
>>I'd be interested in hearing it.

<snip>

>I see it as journalistic laziness and haste. There are ufo
>cults after all. Those tend to get into the media, and the
>lazy writer just stirs them all together, shrines, candles,
>flowing robes .. serious studies and all.

A case in point is the latest documentary seen here in Canada on
the Discovery Channel-The World's Strangets UFO Cases. The above
is the usual recipe for their shows.

>Its so much work for them to sort these things out. Its way
>cheaper, easier and more comforting to chuck the whole mess in
>the loony bin.

In this case it's almost like they are appealing to the fringe
while airing it on the closest we come to a Made in Canada
science channel. They did take a serious look at Roswell and
treated it with more respect than other cases even though they
had Stan sitting in a yellow overstuffed chair out on the
desert.

Don

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 26](#)

Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda - Frison

From: Eugene Frison <eugene.frison.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 15:06:04 -0300
Fwd Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 08:55:32 -0400
Subject: Re: The 'True' Alien Agenda - Frison

>From: Isaac Koi <isaackoi2.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 1 Apr 2006 00:49:27 +0100
>Subject: The 'True' Alien Agenda

>Just to ensure there is no confusion in the future, I should
>make it absolutely clear that the article "Aliens and the 57
>Varieties: The True Alien Agenda" by "Andy Miller", posted on 1
>April 2006 at:

><http://tinyurl.com/e6crp>

>and on various other forums, was an April Fools joke.

Yes, and a damn good one at that... sure had me going! If it wasn't for Issac letting me know off-line right away what he was up to - as well as the quick 'clue in' off-line from Brad - he could have kept me going with his gag for quite awhile. I knew of the validity of the supporting references so it was easy for Issac to 'pull my chain a little' - as he admitted to and apologized for offline. I had completely forgotten it was April Fool's Day and didn't make the connection until Issac and Brad enlightened me in our off-line correspondence as soon as our initial posts started hitting UpDates.

>When I pointed out the
>(obvious?) fact that the article by "Andy Miller" was an April
>Fools spoof, he responded with an email beginning "yes that's
>>true - it sounded farcical BUT" and commented that the article
>is "rather like ant and decs alien autopsy film - a good hoax to
>help distract from and bury the truth about ETs". He concluded
>that it was a good thing that I posted the article since it
>makes him "want to ask more questions about the activities of
>the heinz corporation in masonic scotland".

This sure points out what is wrong with this field. Too much of this 'twisted (non)thinking' is going on. Although Issac's 'article' was intended as a spoof of certain elements within ufology, these elements are rampant. The above points out just how realistic the 'someone actually believing and promoting the aliens/farts conspiracy' scenario really is. It's not hard to believe someone could actually believe and promote something as silly as the content and line of reasoning in Issac's spoof.

Very sadly, the article could very well have been written by one of the many elements present today in ufology (and the field is rife with these) that could believe a theory like this - clearly one 'researcher' wants to believe the absurd elements of a similiar conspiracy even after being told it was a joke. I'll bet he's not the only 'researcher' who will support his wanting to move forward in this direction of uncovering facts regarding the activities of the Heinz corporation in Masonic Scotland, and the connections between these, the secret bloodline societies, and ET.

How can we ever hope to be taken seriously as long as we have so many 'researchers' who reason like Issac's correspondent? Serious and competent researchers have to be seen as clearly detached and seperated from this field of clowns.

This is why, through the Canadian Aerial Anomalies Research Society (C.A.A.R.S.) founded by Chris Styles and myself, I (as well as the society) have decided to be so active and aggressive against things like Exopolitics, while we conduct research independently.

We want serious and competent research to be seen as clearly separate from the the rest of what's out there.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 26](#)

Animal Mutilation In Puerto Rico

From: Steve Wilson Snr. <Ndunlks.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 14:33:47 EDT
Fwd Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 08:58:49 -0400
Subject: Animal Mutilation In Puerto Rico

From: Scott Corrales <lornisl.nul>
To: inexplicata.nul <inexplicata.nul>
Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 16:18:12 -0400
Subject: Puerto Rico: Animal Mutilation

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology
April 24, 2005

Source: www.ovni.net
Date: 4-18-06

PUERTO RICO: ANIMAL MUTILATION IN SAN SEBASTIAN

Type: Animal Mutilation
Day: Early hours of April 18, 2006
Place: Urb. Pepino near San Sebastian
Time: 02:00 a.m.
Witnesses: Maria Velez, her mother, neighbors, researcher
Wilfrido Gonz=Ellez, Dr. Eric de Juan Torres (veterinarian of the
Borinquen Veterinary Clinic, responsible for the necropsy, etc.)

Evidence: photos, field research data and necropsy.

Summary: Maria told researcher Lucy Guzman that her mother had a
dog with three puppies. On Monday, April 17 2006 at around 8:30
p.m., Mar=EDa heard her dogs barking frantically.

In the early hours of Tuesday April 18, at around 2:00 a.m.,
the neighbor's Dalmatian broke its chain and was barking at the
door leading to the rear of Maria's mother's house. Mar=EDa's
mother hollered at the dog to make it stop barking, and the
animal withdrew.

Maria's mother's dog did not bark, nor was any sound heard from
the pups (mixed breed - poodle with mutt). No other sound was
heard aside from that.

At around 11:00 a.m., 18 April 2006, Mar=EDa's mother found one of
the pups dead. It gave the appearance of having been suctioned
through its rectum. The tail had been severed as if with
scissors (the tail was found on the scene). The area, which was
made of cement, showed no signs of blood. No other laceration or
bite on the body was found except for the affected area in the
rectum, which was completely cored out, and "some gooey, yellow
secretions."

Mar=EDa also reported that at the time of the events no one heard
anything except for the owner of the Dalmatian, who noticed that
his other dog was restless inside the house and kept running
from the living room to the door leading outside. Aside from
this, no one has reported anything else amiss on the ground or
in the air, nor has anyone seen anything or perceived strange
odors at the time of the events, etc.

Maria phoned researcher Wilfredo Gonzalez of the NASAIC
organization, who took photos from all angles and of the
affected area, conducting field research. He later took the pup
to a friend of his to perform a necropsy.

During the course of a phone conversation between Lucy Guzman and Wilfredo Gonzalez on Saturday, April 22, 2006, he told her that when he reported to Maria's mother's house, the woman had thrown the dead puppy into a trash can. Flies were covering the pup and he was forced to spray chemicals to kill them. He conducted his field research and took the carcass to Dr. Eric de Jesus Torres of the Borinquen Veterinary Clinic to perform the necropsy.

Field Research: Researcher Wilfredo Gonzalez photographed the area from a variety of angles, even the injuries presented. He verified the presence of possible prints, points of entry or other evidence, inspecting the perimeter. Noting that the area in which the events occur is practically inaccessible for any animal, since the area is an alleyway between two residences. The walls of these two residences represent walls 10 feet tall on each side, and at the end of this alley is a cyclone fence without any holes and in perfect condition. Furthermore, this fence is covered by zinc plates. There is also another gate made of galvanized pipes and cyclone fencing in the front of the alleyway. The house fence, which stands 4.5 feet, is made of cement and cyclone fencing and is also in perfect conditions.

Conclusions reached by Researcher Wilfredo Gonzalez based on the findings of Dr. Eric de Jesus Torres: The tail was severed by some type of cutting instrument, as it presented a clean cut. The wound was an open wound through which almost the entire rectum was removed; there was no tissue since it (sic) was removed and the entire border of the wound consisted of irregular edges. Unlike the tail, which was a clean cut, the open would had a size of around 2 inches in circumference. All that was left were the hind legs. No blood spots were found. During the necropsy, Dr. Torres indicated that the small animal betrayed no other signs of piercing injuries or scratches. Furthermore, he indicated that the animal had part of its intestines removed as well as the right hemisphere of its heart. No blood exam was conducted since the animal was in a histolytic condition. With regard to the viscous substance, this could not be verified, since the animal had been sprinkled with fly-killing insecticide, thus altering the substance's chemical composition. Therefore the chemical tests would not shed faithful and precise data. Dr. Torres concluded the cannot say that the mutilation was performed by a dog or any other animal, since there aren't sufficient elements to reach a conclusion.

Translation (c) 2006 Scott Corrales
Special thanks to Lucy Guzman OVNI.NET
and Wilfrido Gonzalez

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 26](#)

Re: Of Crop Circles & Comets - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catja90024.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 11:39:41 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 09:01:27 -0400
Subject: Re: Of Crop Circles & Comets - Kasten

>From: Robert Gates <RGates8254.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 23:36:08 EDT
>Subject: Of Crop Circles & Comets

>Listers and Lurkers,

<snips>

>So for all you End-Of-The-Worlders/Planet-X'ers and others, we
>have the latest attempt at connecting all the planetary dots
>with a story from an alleged former French military air traffic
>controller named Eric Julien who allegedly did a study on the
>Comet and indicates that a piece is likely to impact the
>earth on May 25th. His story, titled "May 25, 2006: The Day of
>Destiny" is posted at:

<http://www.exopoliticsinstitute.org/EricJulien-En.htm>

Julien was on Coast-to-Coast last night already covering his ass
with a "if we ask the ETs nicely, they will protect us from the
comet fragment."

My response, into the ethers of the night, was: "Okey-dokey, if
the ETs want a scarifice in exchange for saving humanity, why
not take Julian." I think that is a fair deal; the guy wants to
be abducted anyway. Here's his chance to be the hero.

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 26](#)

PRG Press Release - 04-25-06 - Paradigm Clock

From: **Stephen Bassett** <ParadigmRG.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 21:48:29 EDT
Fwd Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 09:22:32 -0400
Subject: PRG Press Release - 04-25-06 - Paradigm Clock

Press Release
April 24, 2006
Contact: Stephen Bassett
202-215-8344

PRG
Paradigm Research Group

Washington, DC - The Paradigm Clock, created and published by PRG in 1998 to track the proximity to a formal announcement by the United States Government confirming an extraterrestrial presence engaging the human race, has been reset to 11:59:45 - 15 seconds to midnight. Such an announcement is formally referred to as Disclosure and would mark the end of a 59 year truth embargo imposed by federal authorities. Midnight on the Paradigm Clock is Disclosure.

According to PRG Executive Director, Stephen Bassett, "Due to extraordinary circumstances primarily pertaining but not limited to the United States, a window of opportunity has opened for a Disclosure event to take place. This window should last through the November election and may remain open or possibly close depending upon the outcome of the election." He further added, "I believe this to be the best opportunity to get past this very difficult transition in human history since the truth embargo was initially imposed in 1947."

The previous setting for the Paradigm Clock was 11:58:45 on March 8, 2004. A partial listing of factors contributing to the new time change (in both directions) is provided below. A more detailed explanation of these factors can be found at:
www.paradigmclock.com/chronicleexplanations.html

2006 Crisis in America

2006 After several false starts Air America Radio begins to make headway as a counter balance to conservative radio, approaching 100 markets. This is facilitated by access to some stations owned by syndicate giant Clear Channel Communications, chastened in the aftermath of the Michael Powell fiasco at the Federal Communications Commission - the failed attempt to expand conglomeration of media via new regulations. The effort brought an intense scrutiny of Clear Channel Communications and its business practices. The dominant theme on Air America programs becomes the consequences of government lying. Abuse of power and excess secrecy are also featured.

10/20/05 The Institute for Cooperation in Space requests Canadian Senator Colin Kenny, Senator, Chair of The Senate Standing Senate Committee on National Security and Defence, "schedule public hearings on the Canadian Exopolitics Initiative, so that witnesses such as the Honorable Paul Hellyer, and Canadian-connected high level military-intelligence, NORAD-connected, scientific, and governmental witnesses facilitated by the Disclosure Project and by the Toronto Exopolitics Symposium can present compelling evidence, testimony, and Public Policy recommendations."

9/25/05 In a speech at University of Toronto's Convocation Hall, Paul Hellyer, Canada's Defence Minister from 1963-67 under Nobel Peace Prize Laureate Prime Minister Lester Pearson and Deputy Prime Minister under Pierre Trudeau, publicly states, "UFOs are as real as the airplanes that fly over your head." Hellyer further states, "The secrecy involved in all matters pertaining to the Roswell incident was unprecedented. The classification was, from the outset, above top secret, so the vast majority of U.S. officials and politicians, let alone a mere allied minister of defence, were never in-the-loop."

5/20/05 Brazil Releases Classified Data - Recognizes UFO Research - The Brazilian Air Force (FAB), almost certainly with the approval of Minister of Defense and Vice President, Jos=C3=A9 Alencar, enters into an agreement with civilian researchers to release information and jointly investigate UFO incidents

4/22/05 & 4/17/04 X-Conference. The first two conferences devoted specifically to the political implications of extraterrestrial-related phenomena and disclosure are held just outside of Washington, DC. X-Conferences 2004 and 2005 attract considerable media attention, new government witnesses and launch numerous projects and documentaries. At the 2005 conference former Vatican official, Monsignor Corrado Balducci, speaks in the United States for the first time regarding the truth of an extraterrestrial presence engaging the human race.

11/2/04 President George W. Bush is reelected.

9/27/04 Dr. John E. Mack is killed in London in an automobile accident.

July 2004 Producer, director, writer and actor Dan Aykroyd becomes the first A-list celebrity to allow his or her name and image to be used to support extraterrestrial-related phenomena research. He publicly endorses the Mutual UFO Network (MUFON).

5/11/04 Mexico's DoD Releases Video to UFO Research Team - The Department of Defense of Mexico, with the approval of Mexican Secretary of Defense, General Clemente Vega Garcia (and almost certainly Mexican President Vicente Fox), holds an international press conference at the Hotel Sevilla Palace in Mexico City. The Department of Defense releases air surveillance evidence of an unusual sighting to a civilian research team headed by researcher and journalist, Jaime Maussan and confirms a joint investigative effort.

Relevant Web Pages

Paradigm Research Group: www.paradigmclock.com
Dr. John Mack: http://johnemackinstitute.org/center/center_news.asp?id=3D227
X-Conference: www.paradigmclock.com/X-Conference/X-Conference.htm
Paul Hellyer: www.exopoliticstoronto.com
Institute for Cooperation in Space: www.peaceinspace.com
Mexico: www.rense.com/general52/mexv.htm
Brazil: www.rense.com/general65/braz.htm
Air America: www.airamericaradio.com

Paradigm Research Group
E-mail: ParadigmRG.nul
URL: www.paradigmclock.com
Cell: 202-215-8344
4938 Hampden Lane, #161
Bethesda, MD 20814

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 26](#)

PRG Update - 04-23-06

From: **Stephen Bassett** <ParadigmRG.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 22:03:50 EDT
Fwd Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 09:25:16 -0400
Subject: PRG Update - 04-23-06

PRG
Paradigm Research Group

PRG Update - April 23, 2006

Paul Davids Documentary - The Sci-Fi Boys

Researcher, filmographer, artist and longtime PRG supporter, Paul Davids, had the DVD of his latest documentary, The Sci-Fi Boys, go on sale in Best Buy stores nationwide on March 28. Look for them next to the record selling King Kong DVD.

Paul, who was the executive producer of the movie, Roswell, has created a nostalgic look back at the legendary pioneers of science fiction films - perhaps the most influential artistic genre in history.

Paul recently conducted an interview with some of these legends at a screening at the Egyptian Theater. www.fangoria.com has several articles about The Sci-Fi Boys and posted the streaming video of the March 21 interview. Another edited version of the interview along with photos is located at www.kongisking.net

David Sereda/Dan Aykroyd Documentary - Dan Aykroyd Unplugged on UFOs

On May 31 David Sereda's much improved and enhanced documentary, Dan Aykroyd Unplugged on UFOs, will also hit all the Best Buy stores nationwide. PRG predicts this will quickly become the largest selling UFO documentary in history with total sales in the millions. Dan Aykroyd is a principal and will be promoting the video personally. Expect David and Dan to turn up on Larry King Live on CNN and elsewhere.

If sales are as predicted, this documentary will elevate Dan Aykroyd to the status of the leading spokesperson in the world on the matters of extraterrestrial-related phenomena and a government truth embargo (cover-up).

So please spread the word about Dan Aykroyd Unplugged on UFOs. It's success will help everyone in the field.

More details at:

<http://tinyurl.com/lncg4>

Activism, the Rich and the Super Rich

Referring to:

www.paradigmclock.com/Billionaires.htm

It contains a version of the recent Forbes Magazine's list of the world's wealthiest people. About a dozen listees with some connection to matters ET have been bolded. It is worth mentioning that while many on this list have made substantial sums of money from ET related movies, books and products, no one

on this list has "publicly" (not aware of any private instances, but such are certainly possible) ever provided any money for either the research into extraterrestrial-related phenomena or the activism to end the truth embargo. Should they? That is up to each of them to decide.

Until they do, let's not miss any opportunity to provide them the information needed to make an informed decision. If you have a personal or business relationship with anyone on this list or have a good contact with someone who does, please try and get information to that person regarding the incipient disclosure movement. Give them a chance to make a difference. In most cases they are simply too busy with multiple projects to be aware of what is happening regarding this issue and its implications. Help them out.

To further put this matter in perspective, PRG has calculated that if just \$2 million were applied to a selected list of activist projects targeting specific weaknesses in the truth embargo, that embargo could be ended in mere months. Let's use Ms. Alice Walton, net worth \$15.7 billion, as an example.

Assuming a modest 5% annual growth rate on assets (be assured that is low for billionaires), \$2 million is the equivalent of one days worth in appreciation on her assets.

Also consider this: as of 2004 there were 7.5 million Americans with assets in excess of \$1 million for a total in excess of \$10 trillion. The entire human race is being denied the full facts regarding the most profound circumstance in history at a time when the human race and the planet are facing unprecedented challenges, and 7.5 million people with over \$10 trillion in assets can't come up with \$2 million to acquire those facts?

Time is running out for constructive solutions to global problems. Anyone who cares about their children, grandchildren - all children - needs to step forward.

Paradigm Research Group
E-mail: ParadigmRG.nul
URL: www.paradigmclock.com
Cell: 202-215-8344
4938 Hampden Lane, #161
Bethesda, MD 20814

3rd Annual Exopolitics Expo - The X-Conference
Hilton Gaithersburg - TBA 2006
www.x-conference.com
Tapes/DVDs available at: www.lostartsmedia.com

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 26](#)

Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - White

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 18:47:54 -0400
Fwd Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 09:27:29 -0400
Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - White

>From: Kathy Kasten <catja90024.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 15:48:26 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: What Do We Know About ETs?

<snip>

>>>>So even telepathic ability could just be a manifestation of more
>>>>_time_, not necessarily more "mentally developed."

<snip>

>Mental development is in fact a perception of space and time;
>limited mind is where the definition of space and time has been
>limited. Might the technology to move through additional levels
>of consciousness simply be an acceptance that there are in fact
>other levels of consciousness. Programming the mind to expand
>what it accepts as space and time. Is that still too obscure?

I know the definition of "mentally-developed" invites a great deal of debate.

All I meant to say was, given enough _time_, even humanity's current state of "mental development", (however one might define "mental development",) is probably capable of reaching the technology level exhibited during UFO sightings and encounters.

My comment was intended to be unaffected by how one gauges "mental development".

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

British House Of Commons On Nick Pope

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 07:54:57 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 07:54:57 -0400
Subject: British House Of Commons On Nick Pope

Source: The United Kingdom Parliament - House of Commons Hansard
=46rom Index:

<http://tinyurl.com/j99mn>

This Table of Contents lists column numbers, department &
subject headings and names of Members in the Commons Hansard
Written Answers text for Tuesday 18 April 2006

<snip>

<http://tinyurl.com/fwpwa>

18 Apr 2006 : Column 624W=97continued

Volume No. 445 Part No. 136

<snip>

Norman Baker: To ask the Secretary of State for Defence in what
capacity Mr. Nick Pope was employed by his Department between
1991 and 1994. [63392]

Mr. Touhig: From 1991 to 1994 Mr. Pope worked as a civil servant
within Secretariat (air staff). He undertook a wide range of
secretariat tasks relating to central policy, political and
parliamentary aspects of non-operational RAF activity. Part of
his duties related to the investigation of unidentified aerial
phenomena reported to the Department to see if they had any
defence significance.

<snip>

[UFO UpDates thanks Philip Mantle for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Phoenix Lights Did I Miss Something?

From: **Greg Boone** <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 04:07:29 EDT
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 08:00:10 -0400
Subject: Phoenix Lights Did I Miss Something?

I'm sitting here realizing I've yet to see an official explanation for the Phoenix Lights Incident that is worth a darn.

Did I miss something? Can anyone refer me to data regarding this incident? I recall watching it live on television and the explanation was that it was caused by a series of flares dropped by Arizona's National Guard during a training operation. Please correct me if I'm wrong.

It's just gnawing at me as people will often ask why UFOs don't land on the White House lawn or fly over major cities.

Also, Dr. Steven Greer's Disclosure Project has hundreds of military past and present that have come forward? Where're the news reporters?

I guess next time someone asks why don't UFOs land on the White House lawn I should say either they already did but the press wouldn't report it anyway or why would anyone land on a high security spot and risk getting blasted.

I dunno, maybe it's that thing where people are so frightened of other people's opinions of themselves that they would rather go down with the ship than get caught swimming for safety in their unmentionables.

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Bourdais

From: Gildas Bourdais <gbourdais.nul>
Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 11:08:31 +0200
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 08:03:06 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Bourdais

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 09:00:07 -0400
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 16:13:49 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 17:00:23 -0300
>>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

><snip>

>This thread has become more philosophical in nature, but I would
>have to ask how you would measure the "credibility" of UFO
>researchers? Or, by what standards? This become the crux of
>the problem, IMO, when you ask for "proof" or "evidence". This
>field has become a magnet for all types of research and
>theories, and there has been no effort to focus the field of
>study or define standards for evidence, so it involves
>everything from crop circles to bigfoot.

To all,

I have written a piece on the historical case of the alleged UFO fragments of Ubatuba, in Brazil. That case seems quite significant to me, regarding the questions of evidence and proof, of scientific method, and of UFO secrecy. It has been published by Frank Warren on his site, as an Annex (third part) to my account of a recent interview of Jacques Vallee in France by Marie-Therese de Broses:

<http://tinyurl.com/f3y9m>

As I am not sure if it can still be read there, here it is:

UFO fragments at Ubatuba?

One of the arguments endlessly repeated by the skeptics is that researchers have never put their hands on the faintest material from a UFO. But, how could they do it, if they are suppressed when they are discovered? There exists at least one outstanding case of alleged UFO fragments, those found near Ubatuba in Brazil, in September 1957. Several laboratories, mainly in Brazil and in the United States, studied them without reaching a final conclusion about their origin, terrestrial or extraterrestrial, but we are going to see that some aspects of the story remain obscure, even today.

On September 14, 1957, the Brazilian journalist Ibrahim Sued receives a letter with an unreadable signature, together with three samples of a substance, apparently metallic. The witness says that he watched with friends, near the ocean, the explosion of a saucer in flight, fragments of which fell, burning, in the water like fireworks, and that he was able to retrieve a few of

them on the beach. The physician Olavo Fontes, a well-known ufologist, reads the article, and soon obtains the three fragments from the journalist. A first fragment is cut in several pieces, and is studied at the Mineral Production Laboratory, depending from the government. Several tests establish that it is made of extremely pure magnesium, a first very curious result, knowing that magnesium, a very reactive element, is not found pure in nature and is never used in the building of vehicles. At the time, the astronomer Donald Menzel, the father of all debunkers, supposed that it was a fragment of meteorite; but the idea of a meteorite made of pure magnesium is completely unconceivable, and nobody was satisfied with that explanation.

Let's summarize the main points of a long story, which will pass, notably, by the Condon commission. The American physicist Paul Hill has given a very clear and convincing analysis of it in his remarkable book *Unconventional Flying Objects. A Scientific Analysis*, written in 1975 but published only in 1995, after his death. It must be noted that Paul Hill made his entire career at NASA, where he reached important posts of responsibilities. He was personally interested in UFOs after seeing them twice in 1952 (a great year). With humour, he recalls how he had been instructed by his hierarchy to keep silent, when he was at NACA, the predecessor of NASA, and how, having studied UFOs during all his life personally, he wrote this book of reflexions on the physics of UFOs. He became interested in the affair of the debris of Ubatuba when reading the report of Dr Olavo Fontes, published in 1966 in the book *The Great Flying Saucer Hoax*, of Coral Lorenzen, director of APRO with her husband Jim Lorenzen. The Lorenzens have played an important part in that story, Fontes being their correspondent in Brazil.

By reading Paul Hill, one understand how certain mistakes allowed to seed doubt. The analysis of the first sample, he explains, gives an astonishing result. Not only the magnesium is of very high purity (with only weak superficial traces of magnesium hydroxide caused probably by a reaction when falling in the sea water), but the density (or specific gravity) of this sample, of 1.866 g/cc, is 6.7% heavier than the one of ordinary pure magnesium (1.741 g/cc). On the other hand it is almost exactly the one of the isotope 26, rare on Earth, of which Paul Hill gives the detailed calculation: 1.861, a very small difference, of only 0.005%, explainable by the limits of precision of the measurements. According to him, this difference cannot be caused by the presence of traces of magnesium hydroxide, the density of which is 2.36, because it would have required the presence of a lot of it. Besides, the measure of density had been made for a piece carefully polished, taken from the center of the fragment in order to avoid contamination. That piece of metal, explains Paul Hill, could be obtained only by isotopic separation, a process that exists, to this day, on Earth, only for the difficult separation of uranium isotopes!

The continuation of the story becomes incredible. The laboratory, although quite competent, does not consider verifying this extraordinary result on the two other fragments, and destroys the first one in other tests, of lesser importance. Dr Fontes, who kept a second piece of the first fragment, has given it to the military laboratory of the Navy, which had requested it; but it destroys also that piece! It becomes thus impossible to pursue the study of this first fragment, and especially to perform a decisive test of the isotopic composition with a mass spectrometer. Dr Fontes then sends the two other fragments to his colleagues and friends of APRO, Coral and Jim Lorenzen, in the United States. They are cut in several pieces, and some are provided for analysis to American laboratories.

In the United States, the trace of the samples becomes more difficult to follow, explains Paul Hill. Analyses made at the laboratories of Oak Ridge and Dow Chemical reveal a density close to normal, with a certain number of impurities, but with still a curious result: an important part of aluminium, which does not exist in current products (it may be noted here that, if those fragments did come from a UFO which had exploded, we may suppose that they came from different parts made of different materials). Then, another piece given by APRO to the Air Force is destroyed mistakenly, without results (Oh the naivety of the first Ufologists!)

The Lorenzens also try to get ATIC interested, but to no avail. The last fragment falls in the hands, if we may say, of the Condon commission of the university of Colorado. It is tested in a laboratory of the FBI, after having been rendered radioactive in an atomic pile. This time, they find an important proportion of zinc and strontium. But the Condon commission then discovers that Dow Chemical had tested, during the war, very pure magnesium with a part of strontium; this is judged sufficient to discard the whole affair, even if it is impossible to explain how such a product could have ended up in Brazil. As for the measure of density made in Brazil, the "explication" by the presence of hydroxide is adopted without problem.

This Ubatuba affair has provoked a long polemic. Of course, the possibility of a hoax cannot be completely excluded, considering that the first witness remains unreachable (and got no benefit from the story), but one still wonders how he could have obtained a piece of magnesium of such a high purity. The story of Ubatuba does not end there. Other studies have been made, presented in an issue of the Journal of UFO Studies in 1992 (New series, vol. 4), comprising a study made in 1969-70 by physicists Walter Walker and Robert Johnson, which had not been published yet. This study of 36 pages exposes the analyses already achieved, and a new study by Dr Walker. In 1969, APRO had entrusted him with one of the pieces, and he made non-destructive tests on its crystalline structure. He discovered that this material had been manufactured by a process of directional growth of the crystals, which allow increasing their solidity, a technique that was actively researched at the time. Dr Robert Johnson, of the Material Research Corporation and co-author of the article, has validated this finding. In brief, this is a new bizarre twist in this mysterious affair. On the other hand, Dr Walker seems to accept the measures of density made in the Unites States. He remarks that, to obtain the results found in Brazil, it would have required that the fragment contained 21% of magnesium hydroxide, an explanation that seems conceivable to him. However, he remains open, with this personal opinion at the end of his report: "In summary, after all these years, I consider the Ubatuba magnesium fragment as unusual material of still unknown origin".

The debate has started up again with the book of Paul Hill published in 1995. As we have seen, it is on the question of the famous measure of density made in Brazil that Hill departs completely from the "official" analyses made in the United States, although even Dr Fontes had more or less accepted them, as well as Dr Walker. However, that question of density is going to be closed again. Two years later, it is mentioned, very briefly, by Jacques Vallee, at the famous meeting of Pocantico, held in 1997 at the invitation of Laurance Rockefeller and led by physicist Peter Sturrock, professor at Stanford University. He has published the communications in his book The UFO Enigma, in 1999. Vallee does cite the study made by the Mineral Production Laboratory in Brazil, which found extremely pure magnesium, but, curiously, he does not mention the measure of density, corresponding precisely to the isotope 26 of magnesium. On the other hand, he recalls further studies in this paragraph, quoted here entirely :

"Subsequent work under the direction of Peter Sturrock has been conducted at Stanford University and at various laboratories in France, including Orsay University, confirming that the material was magnesium and magnesium oxide, but with substantial impurities (Sturrock, 1984), primarily aluminium, calcium, and iron. Analysis of this sample is still ongoing, with an effort to measure isotopic ratios that might help establish the origin of the material (Lorin and Havette, 1986).

The date of this event, often quoted in the literature as 1957, is actually imprecise. Dr. Pierre Kaufmann of S=E3o Paulo believes the original incident took place in 1933 or 1934, when a bolide indeed passed over Ubatuba and crashed at a nearby beach. The only aerial event to occur at or near Ubatuba in 1957 was the crash of a DC-3."

Here is another curious development, this time on "UFO Updates". Canadian ufologist Nick Balaskas revealed, on April 6, 1999, that Dr Peter Sturrock had entrusted a piece of one of the fragments to Dr Sam Wang, of Vancouver in Canada, in order to determine the isotopic ratios. According to Balaskas, "The

results of this test showed that the Magnesium isotope Mg24 (which makes up nearly 80 percent of terrestrial Magnesium) was nearly absent in the Ubatuba UFO piece that was tested".

Have there been other tests of the last pieces of Ubatuba still available (we don't know exactly which ones, and where they come from)? On the other hand, another, very interesting document was partially published in the 80's, which is related to Ubatuba. It is the long letter that Dr Fontes wrote to Coral Lorenzen, dated February 27, 1958. It was supposed to remain confidential, but it was leaked somehow from the archives of APRO, and a large excerpt of it was published in at least two books (UFO Crash at Aztec of William Steinman, and Above Top Secret of Timothy Good). In this letter, Dr Fontes told how he had received several times the visit of officers of the Brazilian Navy, who had insisted gravely that he should give them the fragments. Upon his refusal, they had revealed to him some secret information about UFOs, in order to make him understand the importance of doing it. They mentioned several UFO crashes, and failed attempts to intercept UFOs, some planes being shot down, to the point that these attempts had been stopped.

Presumably, this source of information is definitely closed, Dr Fontes having died from cancer in 1968, only 43 years old. Jim Lorenzen died in 1986, Coral in 1988, and the archives of APRO are apparently not accessible today.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

UFO Sightings Increase For HBCC UFO Research

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 08:06:47 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 08:06:47 -0400
Subject: UFO Sightings Increase For HBCC UFO Research

Source: American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/zrbpy>

April 25, 2006

UFO Sightings Increase For HBCC UFO Research
Brian Vike

Recently I have been reading where some believe that UFO sightings are on the decrease, due to the lack of interest and a lack of reported sightings in their areas, in Canada, the United States or worldwide. Personally I found this to be untrue in my case, mainly due to the large amount of reported sightings that I receive here at HBCC UFO Research. As a matter of fact, there is so much material coming in, that HBCC UFO Research has now gone International. The idea behind this is to have UFO investigators scattered all over the world willing to take on and investigate the cases that are sent in.

After reading an article on the decrease in UFO reports, I placed a short and to the point survey/poll on my site, just to get a feel of what others thought. Were sightings of unknown objects on the upswing, or were they indeed decreasing ?

Poll Question: Are UFO sightings on the increase ?

People were asked to check one of three boxes, yes, no or not sure. As of today out of 309 people polled, below are the results.

Yes - 208 votes, 67.31%

No - 31 votes, 10.03%

Not sure - 70 votes, 22.65%

The results give an indication how people feel about this topic.

I started HBCC UFO Research in mid 2000 at which time I only received maybe a handful of UFO sighting reports. 2001 rolled along and things picked up a little and actually I worked on several good cases which took place at the end of that year. One involving the Vanderhoof, BC crop circles and the other a cow mutilation out of the Falkland BC area. The latter one in particular I assisted the RCMP in trying to solve the mystery.

At the beginning of 2002, for whatever reason, it was like night and day. Reports started to come in at a fast and steady pace. Some of the cases were simply amazing and certainly there is no doubt in my mind that something rather unusual was taking place here in the Pacific Northwest in British Columbia. Multiple witnesses for some events, and video footage and photos taken of some of what folks were observing reiterated my thoughts on the matter.

I believe this area did become the UFO capital of Canada for two years running. (2002 - 2003).

A good example for such excellent cases took place in the summer of 2003. On July 22nd, a single report came in with multiple witnesses to a bizarre incident. What appeared to be a solid cylindrical shaped object tuned into two parts, and both parts leaving in different directions. This was the start of what people would call a UFO flap. Reports of unusual objects started to pour in from July 22 onward. From jet aircraft chasing an unknown object down Okanagan Lake, to a large circular craft having wedge shaped objects dropping from it. Green balls of light making some fantastic maneuvers in the night sky, and a major UFO event on July 28, where I ended up receiving information from well over 200 eyewitnesses.

That was followed by a missing time case out of Kelowna, BC where two women stopped at the side of a highway, got out of their vehicle and noticed lights moving across the sky and forming a triangle. As the lights dropped down from above, they became one solid, bright green neon ball of light which sat stationary ahead of the ladies just above the highway. What was to take place was an incredible experience for both women. One was left with bruising , and the other had a large burn mark on her tailbone. There also were eight independent eyewitness to the incident who observed the green ball of light hovering over the highway. After the experience one of the ladies was hospitalized and continues to have resulting medical problems.

Reported sightings kept coming in at a good rate, from 2002 and continue to this day with no slow down in reports of unexplained happenings. HBCC UFO Research took in just over 900 sighting reports in 2005 and cases are increasing each year. This year seems no different... reports keep coming in and it looks like 2006 will be another bumper year.

Many people believe we are interested only in new UFO sighting reports and not UFO experiences that go back many years. Not true. I have personally found that a lot of the older sightings were some of the most incredible experiences that people have had and you sure are welcome to submit your details of such occurrences. Many such accounts can be heard on a double Cd I've compiled. The Cds are audio reports from actual people telling me what they saw.

If you have been witness to something unusual in the day or night sky, please feel free to contact Brian at my website which can be found at: <http://www.hbccufo.org> or you are welcome to call me at: 250 845 2189.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

'UFO' Is Sighted Again

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 08:10:57 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 08:10:57 -0400
Subject: 'UFO' Is Sighted Again

Source: The Reading Evening Post - Berkshire, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/hrhsp>

26/4/2006

'UFO' Is Sighted Again

Reported sightings of a 'UFO' above Reading have led to a flurry of calls to the Post's paranormal hotline.

Reader Vicky Chapman, 17, called the newsroom after she and two friends noticed a glowing red ball in the sky as they walked through Whitley on Good Friday.

An incredulous Miss Chapman, who works in hair and beauty in Spencers Wood, said: "We thought we were going a bit mad.

"I'm not sure what we thought it was. I was just shocked. I'd never seen anything like this before."

The Whitley teenager, who saw the crimson orb while walking near Basingstoke Road at around 1am with friends Cherelle Maguire, 16, and Kirsty Bedwell, 17, added: "We weren't drinking that night."

The girls phoned our UFO hotline after reading in last Wednesday's Post about Pip Neal who spotted a mysterious green ball in the sky over Southcote the week before on Friday, April 7.

Mr Neal couldn't sleep and went into his conservatory at around 2am for a drink and cigarette when he saw the emerald orb glowing steadily like a Roman candle firework.

He said it lasted about three seconds before disappearing behind some clouds and added he was too sober to imagine it.

Miss Chapman said: "When I got home I told my friend Ryan and my mum =96 they thought we were mad and hallucinating."

Have you seen any strange objects in the sky or inexplicable sights worthy of the X Files? Call the Post's paranormal hotline on (0118) 918 3009.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Koivula

From: **Tapani Koivula** <koivulatapani.nul>
Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 13:46:26 +0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 08:13:49 -0400
Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Koivula

[Non-Subscriber Post]

>From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 09:51:24 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
>Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos

>>From: Tapani Koivula <koivulatapani.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 22:44:29 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos

>>In this case the officials who released the videos were some
>>brave individual persons who wanted to do that without asking
>>permission from their bosses - this is what I believe.

>So you are saying that certain officials illegally leaked the
>data to your group. This is not a breakthrough. If the Finnish
>government officially released the tapes to your group, then it
>would be more impressive. Do you think your government "leakers"
>will be prosecuted for breaking any laws or demoted for by-
>passing official channels?

>Also, can you explain why the detailed text data was blacked out
>on (at least one) video snapshot on your web site?

>>These officials are honest people, and even they were very
>>selective of the information they wanted to release.

>Not honest to their bosses or even their government.

>>When they
>>realised that no one of the govt officials was able to find
>>normal explanations for the objects, they wanted to get help
>>from the UFO research community.

>But they should have gone through official channels.

Hi, James.

Yes, but I feel that these private representatives of the govt,
who wanted to support the UFO research and find out the truth,
were brave people. If nobody takes a risk, one day all official
papers and pictures will be secret. If the objects seen on these
infra red videos are sent by officials of USA, it is good for us
to know that - of safety reasons.

Our system is more human than in the USA or Russia. The people
who released UFO videos might get difficulties, but I doubt if
they find themselves in jail.

After the second world war discipline also in Finland was tight,
and if they blamed you on spying, they could take you to court
behind closed doors - no newspapers could defend you in public.
We have SUPO (one kind of CIA), but their behaviour is much
softer than in America or in Russia.

If you don't hear about me after this, then I was wrong...

Tapani Koivula

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Re: Tribute To Dr. J. Allen Hynek 1910 - 1986 -

From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 10:50:57 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 08:16:25 -0400
Subject: Re: Tribute To Dr. J. Allen Hynek 1910 - 1986 -

>From: Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo <ufomiami.nul>
>To: Ufo UpDates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 13:14:58 -0400
>Subject: A Tribute To Dr. J. Allen Hynek 1910 - 1986

>J. Allen Hynek - 1910 - 1986

>This year we commemorate the 20th anniversary of Dr. Allen Hynek
>death, April 27, 1986 - one of the finest ufologists in the
>USA., and we lament that the new generation, involved in the
>most ambiguous aspects of the UFO phenomenon, sometimes don't
>even recognize his name.

>Since Dr. Hynek's connection with ufology is not well known to
>all in the field, perhaps the proper emphasis of this sketchy
>biography should be on his scientific accomplishments, which
>built his reputation as a serious researcher but are little
>known outside academic circles.

>Career Profile

>After obtaining his Ph.D. from the University of Chicago (1935),

Thank you fr the Hynekret respective. I last saw him in the
summer of 1985.

In 1981, I think it was, he stayed at my house while he was in
the DC area. I asked him how he lived with this "UFO stuff"...
since he admitted that 'it' was real, whatever 'it' was (ET,
paranormal... whatever). He said something like... "I live every
day as if this weren't real."

Something to think about.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 16:30:42 +0100
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 08:17:37 -0400
Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Dickenson

>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 18:47:54 -0400
>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

>>From: Kathy Kasten <catja90024.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 15:48:26 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: What Do We Know About ETs?

><snip>

>>>>So even telepathic ability could just be a manifestation of more
>>>>_time_, not necessarily more "mentally developed."

><snip>

>>Mental development is in fact a perception of space and time;
>>limited mind is where the definition of space and time has been
>>limited. Might the technology to move through additional levels
>>of consciousness simply be an acceptance that there are in fact
>>other levels of consciousness. Programming the mind to expand
>>what it accepts as space and time. Is that still too obscure?

><snip>

>All I meant to say was, given enough _time_, even humanity's
>current state of "mental development", (however one might define
>"mental development",) is probably capable of reaching the
>technology level exhibited during UFO sightings and encounters.

>My comment was intended to be unaffected by how one gauges
>"mental development".

Hi Eleanor,

I think Kathy and you have a point there - our disciplined
thinkers are also those trained to think in most rigid / limited
ways. And, up to now, those rare folk who can think totally out
of the box tend to be those who've missed-out on (escaped)
formal education.

It might be, as the '60s Brookings Report put it, that "... of
all groups, scientists and engineers might be the most
devastated by the discovery of relatively superior creatures,
since these professions are most clearly associated with the
mastery of nature rather than with the understanding and
expression of man ... Advanced understanding of nature might
vitiate all our theories at the very least, if not also require
a culture and perhaps a brain inaccessible to earth scientists".

Have we got to train folk to think differently before they can
invent - or even `see' - more advanced things?

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kritkauskyy

From: Rob Kritkauskyy <robkrit.nul>
Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 09:07:28 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 08:44:58 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Kritkauskyy

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 06:44:42 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Rob Kritkauskyy
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 14:38:47 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>I have a reason for addressing this topic whenever it surfaces.
>>This is because Field Stations proposed by James or Radar
>>concepts Peter has mentioned are more than things that just
>>might work. In actuality, I happen to know that it has worked on
>>one occasion. This success happened completely outside the UFO
>>community and the participants wish to remain there for reasons
>>both understand and respect. The data obtained includes video
>>from two cameras(one infrared), precise spectral readings,
>>scoped bearings taken from two separate observation points and
>>revealing data streams from Gamma and EM instruments running at
>>the time. This single success exists as a subset of a much
>>larger group of failed attempts, but it is the type of data
>>Ufologists dream of. The point I'm trying to make is this type
>>of data should not be dreamed of or waited upon for release by
>>the government. An active scientific pursuit of this type of
>>data by Ufology has merit, yet it collects dust in a closet of
>>untapped potential.

>Excellent Rob! Would some funding help here? Or would current
>politics abort that prior to conception?

I'm not sure if your question is based on curiosity or is an offer to contribute to the cause. Either way, the answer is yes, as resources are one of the biggest obstacles. The group is a Not-for-Profit Research group, which makes donations tax deductible. Because they make an effort not to accept any government funding, they are at a disadvantage when it comes to resources. The cost of freedom I suppose. If you were looking to contribute, I could direct you. Don't send me any money, it would not be tax deductible.

Wow, did I just say "don't send me any money? :)

Rob

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 13:08:15 -0300
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 08:51:14 -0400
Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Ledger

>From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 09:51:24 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
>Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos

>>From: Tapani Koivula <koivulatapani.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 22:44:29 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos

>>In this case the officials who released the videos were some
>>brave individual persons who wanted to do that without asking
>>permission from their bosses - this is what I believe.

>So you are saying that certain officials illegally leaked the
>data to your group. This is not a breakthrough. If the Finnish
>government officially released the tapes to your group, then it
>would be more impressive. Do you think your government "leakers"
>will be prosecuted for breaking any laws or demoted for by-
>passing official channels?

>Also, can you explain why the detailed text data was blacked
>out on (at least one) video snapshot on your web site?

>>These officials are honest people, and even they were very
>>selective of the information they wanted to release.

>Not honest to their bosses or even their government.

>>When they realised that no one of the govt officials was able
>>to find normal explanations for the objects, they wanted to get
>>help

>>from the UFO research community.

>But they should have gone through official channels.

I find your remarks re trust in your government a bit naive. I believe it was George Washington who warned, above all trust not your government. Eisenhower warned of the military industrial complex.

You don't seem to care for whistle blowers. When is it okay to be honest with the governed from which government draws all of its real power, wealth and the humans to sacrifice in war. Which is lied to the most and which invariably receives little or no punishment for its misdeeds?

Playing devil's advocate again, James?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 13:08:15 -0300
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 08:53:58 -0400
Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Ledger

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 11:42:32 -0500
>Subject: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>Iowa City [Iowa] Press-Citizen
>July 7, 1920

>Mystery Veils Fallen Airship
>Where Did Pilot and Motor Go,
>When Plane Fell in Woods
>Along Iowa River?

<snip>

Hi Jerry,

This one is long on mystery but short on details, as are most 'UFO' sightings or incidents.

Did any other papers carry the story? The reporter has a weird way of expressing himself, i.e. "...after a seeming Fourth of July flight and the wrecked, if not burned ship, was extraordinarily without a motor."

If not burned ship? Wonder if that means "but" not burned ship, rather than "if"?

Very interesting incident, all the same.

Best,

Don

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Re: If A Roswell Incident Happened Today? -

From: Rob Kritkauskay <robkrit.nul>
Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 10:37:15 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 14:56:24 -0400
Subject: Re: If A Roswell Incident Happened Today? -

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sun, 23 Apr 2006 11:04:27 EDT
>Subject: If A Roswell Incident Happened Today?

>Stretching The Imagination: If A Roswell Incident Happened Today

>What would we expect if an incident like the Roswell Incident
>occurred today?

>What scenario would unfold? How would the resources and
>researchers of today come into play?

>Let's just give it a nudge and say at the point where a craft or
>crafts crashed, witnesses emerged, and some official statement
>about a recovered saucer made the news.

>Are we all prepared to scramble and snag up the data before or
>if that data got shuffled off to parts unknown?

>I know some will argue that the Roswell crash(es) weren't proven
>to be alien but that's not the point. The point here is are we
>prepared?

Greg:

These are good questions and it makes for a productive exercise
in thinking. We all know the answer and I hesitate to say
anything more about it, as Peter really covered it all with his
intelligent and insightful response.

Currently, the field has many talented researchers skilled at
collecting data and formulating conclusions about events that
exist in the past tense. Ufology is stuck in "detective mode"
regarding this phenomenon.. The net result is an approach that
constantly follows behind sweeping up pieces in hopes of
reconstructing an event that has already happened.

Forward-thinking concepts such as preparedness, prediction and
monitoring are really foreign to the field. They need not
replace the current state, only complement it. The field, as it
exists here in the present moment, has oriented itself into a
position where it can only see into the past. I don't think it
needs to do a 180 and look only into the future.

Instead, this new face of Ufology would simply provide new eyes
that would allow for a vision that looks ahead and behind. Right
now, because we are bent over examining the past, we are in a
position to get screwed out of documenting any future sightings.

Rob

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

E.T. True Believers To Descend On Desert

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 15:04:11 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 15:04:11 -0400
Subject: E.T. True Believers To Descend On Desert

Source: USA Today - Reno, Nevada, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/ovzqf>

4/26/2006

E.T. True Believers To Descend On Desert 'UFO-Ville'
By Benjamin Spillman, USA TODAY

LANDERS, Calif. =97 "E.T." has been back home for years, and now earthlings claiming contact with space aliens are planning a homecoming of their own Saturday in a section of the Mojave Desert that looks a lot like the moon.

Nearly three decades after a free-thinking Californian named George Van Tassel hosted his final gathering to honor alien visitors, pioneers of the UFO culture are coming back to the site of the nation's earliest extraterrestrial rallies.

They will be coming to see the Integratron, a dome-shaped building said to have been commissioned in 1953 by creatures from outer space; to view the Giant Rock, site of the early rallies; to hear tales by those who say they've had experiences with extraterrestrials; to see demonstrations of "new technologies" by UFO experts; and to enjoy a UFO-themed opera and art exhibits.

"This is where the x-files were talked about before there was The X Files," said Barbara Harris, who is organizing the first formal reunion of alien "contactees" at the site since Van Tassel died in 1978.

"I did not want this history to pass away," she said.

Harris, her husband, Rob, and those helping her want to rekindle the spirit that from 1954 to 1977 attracted thousands annually to this remote desert area about 150 miles east of Los Angeles.

Harris said the philosophy of peace and harmony with aliens fostered during the old rallies is an important counterweight to today's portrayals of extraterrestrials as hostile invaders =97 E.T., of course, being an exception. Earthling contactees describe "alien people who were here to help humanity," Harris said.

Harris said as many as 500 people =97 some from as far as British Columbia, Canada, and Montgomery County, Md. =97 have registered for the reunion, which costs up to \$195 and will include a recording studio for early contactees to recount their experiences.

Van Tassel was a test pilot who left Southern California's booming aerospace industry for the desert in 1947. He and his family lived a simple existence in a home a prospector had dug under a seven-story-tall boulder called Giant Rock.

In 1953 Van Tassel claimed to have been visited by aliens who instructed him to build a structure aimed at extending human life to help people take advantage of the wisdom acquired through age. He called it the Integratron, and it became his mission for the next 25 years.

"The Mojave Desert has been UFO-ville since 1947," said Joseph Trainor of Attleboro, Mass.

From 1996 to early 2006, Trainor operated a website about UFO sightings.

Trainor counted the Mojave Desert rallies and sightings among significant postwar developments that still influence UFO culture today. They include alleged UFO sightings in Washington state, Idaho and New Mexico in the late 1940s and early 1950s.

"Giant Rock, I would say, is probably one of the key UFO hot spots in the U.S.A.," Trainor said.

The highlights of the original rallies were speeches by Van Tassel and others who claimed not only to have seen extraterrestrials but to have communicated with them.

Witness accounts and TV documentaries indicate that at their peak, the rallies attracted as many as 10,000 people. Guests trekked to the desert by car or landed airplanes on a small strip called Giant Rock Airport.

There, Van Tassel and other speakers regaled audiences with tales of flying saucer rides and attempts to channel alien messages through their bodies.

"They came from all over. It wasn't just from the United States," said Robert Short of Cornville, Ariz., who attended the early rallies, claims to have been in contact with aliens since the early 1950s, and will be on hand Saturday.

Short, a Christian minister who makes appearances to detail his alien claims, says the gathering Saturday is an important homage to early believers who by speaking publicly risked ridicule from others.

"A lot of them are gone now," Short said. "People are paying tribute to what took place out there."

"It is one of the best things that ever happened as far as an event in my life," Bob Benson, 60, of Wildomar, Calif., said of the reunion, which he plans to attend.

Benson lived near Giant Rock from 1972 until Van Tassel's death. He says he didn't see any UFOs but he believed in the healing power of the Integratron, which he helped build.

The reunion, Benson says, is validation that the work he and Van Tassel did is still relevant.

"You finally realize it is for people," said Benson during a recent visit to the building and Giant Rock. "It is for everybody, it is not just for myself."

The proceeds from the Retro UFO Space Convention will go to a local historical society and to preserving the Integratron, which despite being built without nails, survived a magnitude-7.3 earthquake in 1992.

In addition to raising money, Harris said she expects the reunion will bring new energy to the Integratron by highlighting its UFO past for a new generation of people who still want to learn about the phenomena.

Dan Woodman, 56, of Anaheim, Calif., says he's looking forward to the event.

Woodman, who has had five generations of relatives living full or part time in Landers, remembers Van Tassel and the early rallies.

"I'm seeing the next generation enjoying the story," Woodman said.

Spillman reports daily for The Desert Sun in Palm Springs,
Calif.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Gates

From: Robert Gates <RGates8254.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 02:15:50 EDT
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 15:06:29 -0400
Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Gates

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 11:42:32 -0500
>Subject: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>Iowa City [Iowa] Press-Citizen
>July 7, 1920

>Mystery Veils Fallen Airship

>Where Did Pilot and Motor Go,
>When Plane Fell in Woods
>Along Iowa River?

Jerome, Listers,

This is an interesting article. For the sake of fun, let me apply some Skeptibunker Pelicanisms to it.

>J. J. Beranek, the veteran expressman, describes the startling
>discovery in the woods, near Butler's Landing in the woods owned
>by Capt. S. D. Pryce and Charles Weber, along the Iowa river,
>north of town.

Pelicanism # 1

The witnesses didn't actually see what they describe seeing, i.e. something unusual. So this absolutely must be one of the following items a) a prank b) joke c) weather balloons d) venus e) Stars f) witness misidentification of some natural or man made something. Understand that to be a good skeptibunker/skeptic you must never take what the witness says at face value...unless of course the witness says "I saw a meteor fall" Since meteor falls are acceptable, then we take everything the witness says about the meteor fall as credible information from a credible witness.

>The machine lay in the woods, after a seeming Fourth of July
>flight and the wrecked, if not burned ship, was extraordinarily
>without a motor.

Pelicanism # 2

Should a witness use something that they know to help describe a sighting or event, we are to instantly believe that they saw that item. For example, in this case the witness mentions "Fourth of July" so it must have been fireworks related.

>Pilot is Missing.

>If the pilot fell out of the machine as it fell - and a woman in
>the neighborhood avers she saw it shooting earthward, he may
>have dropped in the river, but no sign of him appears in the
>grove or anywhere else.

Ah, even better, since the witness said "shooting earthward" it must have been a way ward balloon, with a gondola load of fireworks that were going off.

So, to sum up the whole incident from a Pelican point of view,

JJ Baranek actually saw the remains of some errant balloon that was loaded with fireworks that had the bad luck to crash and explode. Since JJ buddy probably hadn't seen a balloon previous to that, the whole thing was mysterious...etc etc.

Of course it could have been Pelican droppings from the sky as well..... :)

Cheers,

Robert

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 15:16:26 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 15:16:26 -0400
Subject: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment

Source: eMediaWire - Ferndale, Washington, USA

<http://www.emediawire.com/releases/2006/4/emw378209.htm>

April 27, 2006

Psychotherapist to Present Clinical Research Of How
Extraterrestrial Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment

After more than 13 years of clinical research, Dr. Janet Colli has discovered that other-worldly beings have long played a role in human history. To Colli, the truth is self-evident; so-called "alien beings" not only exist but they appear to be facilitating humanity's spiritual evolution. Colli's research continues to address the serious questions that were raised by the late ABC News anchor Peter Jennings in his February 2005, Primetime special on UFOs and alleged encounters with extraterrestrials. Her research will be presented in May 2006 at a Montreal conference that is affiliated with the United Nations Department of Public Information (www.iiihs.org).

Seattle, WA (PRWEB) April 27, 2006 -- For thousands of years, claims of contact with other-worldly beings have been made by every religion known to humankind. Today, these claims are still being reported.

After 13 years of clinical research, Dr. Janet Colli has discovered that there is a consistent and strong spiritual component with those who believe they have experienced other-worldly visitations such as "close encounters" with extraterrestrials.

"There is a correlation between 'close encounters' and the world's great religious and wisdom traditions," says Colli.

A Ph.D. in Clinical Psychology, Colli is a psychotherapist who believes that these experiences are a crossing over between the material world and what in Eastern philosophy is called the "subtle realm," a spiritual dimension.

In her book, "Sacred Encounters: Spiritual Awakenings During Close Encounters," she writes in detail about what appears to be happening during and as a result of such encounters. She presents case studies such as "Hayley," who transitioned from trauma to interspecies communicator, and "Joy," who was initiated into a full-fledged mystical experience.

Colli's clinical research brings together the psychology of close encounters, spiritual experiences, and the ultimate human experience - enlightenment.

"Eastern mystics have sought enlightenment for millennia, enshrining it in ancient lineages," explains Colli. "Yet few Westerners realize that many of these same gurus have long been

communicating with so-called alien beings."

Startling evidence relating "close encounters" to Sufi, Hindu and Tibetan Buddhist traditions will be presented at the 31st annual conference of the International Institute of Integral Human Sciences (IIIHS) in Montreal, Canada, in May 2006. For more information, see:

<http://www.iiihs.org>

The IIIHS is a non-profit organization affiliated with the United Nations Department of Public Information. It serves over 10,000 general members and students from many nations promoting the convergence of new sciences with spirituality, creating inter-religious and inter-cultural understanding for world peace.

Colli will be one of more than 60 speakers to address the IIIHS, with other distinguished professionals such as medical doctors, psychiatrists, physicists, psychologists, spiritual leaders, as well as a former NASA astronaut.

Her conclusions are consistent with the research of the late Harvard Medical School professor of psychiatry, Dr. John E. Mack, author of "Passport to the Cosmos."

Mack listened to the similar testimony of more than 200 experiencers from the West and from indigenous cultures. He wrote that our western culture needed to redefine our worldview to accommodate the evidence of this paradigm shifting phenomena.

According to Colli, the current surge of "subtle realm" encounters has unveiled the hidden dimension that surrounds us all - the realm of angels and aliens. She believes that those among us who consciously sense the subtle realms herald a critical stage in human evolution.

Colli believes that this paradigm shift can help prepare the modern world for our next evolutionary leap as we awaken to the fact that we are "not alone" in the Universe; otherworldly beings are playing a pivotal role in the evolution of human consciousness.

Species Shift were the words communicated to "Hayley" by her "visitors." By altering her and others, the shift would move like a wave through our species-by some kind of inner connection we all share. "The experience truly has changed me . . . and made me feel like I found God," says Hayley.

Colli takes issue with the conclusions drawn by Drs Richard McNally and Susan Clancy ("Abducted: How People Come to Believe They Were Kidnapped by Aliens") of the Harvard Department of Psychology. Their studies document Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) in "alien abductees."

PTSD is a condition that results from specific traumatic experiences, such as the Vietnam war. Yet McNally and Clancy maintain that the trauma indicates the power of the imagination when stimulated by the media, "New Age beliefs," sleep disturbances, and hypnosis by therapists.

"Their conclusions go against 100 years of trauma research into the causation of PTSD," Colli rejoins. She explains that there is no documented medical research to her knowledge that shows that PTSD has ever been caused by imagined experiences.

Colli also points to a 1997 Journal of Scientific Exploration study of recorded EEGs and brain mapping of "close encounter" experiencers that revealed patterns previously measured in an advanced subject in the state of yogic ecstasy or "samadhi," or what is considered to be profound meditative union with God.

<http://www.scientificexploration.org/jse/abstracts/v11n4a1.php>

Regarding the skeptical claim that there is no evidence of life in the Universe, Colli refers to a 2005 article in the Journal of the British Interplanetary Society.

<http://www.bis-spaceflight.com/sitesia.aspx/page/358/id/455/1/en>

In this article, physicists argue that new developments in

physics and astrophysics, as well as highly credible UFO accounts, provide evidence in favor of our planet being embedded within an enormous galactic community of intelligent worlds.

Some of this evidence was presented by the late ABC News anchor Peter Jennings in his unprecedented February 2005 two-hour Primetime special "UFOs: Seeing is Believing."

<http://abcnews.go.com/Technology/Primetime/>

Jennings' millions of viewers were shown a small portion of the 150 interviews conducted by ABC regarding the enigmatic issue of UFOs and extraterrestrial encounters. Jennings concluded that many UFOs were indeed unidentified and that science needed to take a closer look at the issue.

When looking at the question of "close encounters," Colli agrees that science is needed to verify her claims that extraordinary experience is a portal to a biologically-based transformation of the human personality.

Colli elaborates that common "value changes" as a result of close encounters include increased interest in spirituality; increased appreciation of nature and of life; increased self-acceptance as well as concern for others.

When looking at these transformative aspects, Colli calls "experiencers" the evolutionary forerunners that will usher in higher consciousness on a collective level.

"The stakes are high," says Colli. "Humanity stands to gain enormously from this venture. For when we reach into the depths of ourselves, we will simultaneously travel to the farthest reaches of the universe."

She will be sharing her insights at Montreal's IIIHS conference on May 6th and May 8th, 2006 and is available for media interviews.

Contact information for media interviews

Contact: Janet Colli, Ph.D.

Tel:

Tel:

<http://becolli.home.mindspring.com/book.html>

email: becolli (at) mindspring.com

The International Institute of Integral Human Sciences (IIIHS)
Montreal, Canada

Contact: Dr. Marilyn Rossner, Father John Rossner

Tel:

<http://www.iiihs.org>

Email: info (at) iiihs.org

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Re: Phoenix Lights Did I Miss Something? - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <steve.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 08:53:38 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 16:08:27 -0400
Subject: Re: Phoenix Lights Did I Miss Something? - Kaeser

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 04:07:29 EDT
>Subject: Phoenix Lights Did I Miss Something?

>I'm sitting here realizing I've yet to see an official
>explanation for the Phoenix Lights Incident that is worth a
>darn.

>Did I miss something? Can anyone refer me to data regarding
>this incident? I recall watching it live on television and the
>explanation was that it was caused by a series of flares dropped
>by Arizona's National Guard during a training operation. Please
>correct me if I'm wrong.

You're asking a general question about an event that was fairly complicated and that perpetuates the myth that there's a simple concise answer to what happened and that somewhere there's a vast conspiracy to keep it under wraps.

I have seen Bruce Maccabee's presentation the results of triangulation and that tends to confirm that the series of lights seen winking out in several videos are (indeed) flares, and this matches the information provided by the Maryland National Guard unit that was flying at the time.

<http://brumac.8k.com/phoenixlights2.html>

On the other hand, there is no explanation for a number of sightings that were not so well photographed throughout the State of Arizona that evening. More information on this can be found at

<http://www.ufoevidence.org/cases/case270.htm>

>It's just gnawing at me as people will often ask why UFOs don't
>land on the White House lawn or fly over major cities.

>Also, Dr. Steven Greer's Disclosure Project has hundreds of
>military past and present that have come forward? Where're the
>news reporters?

The Disclosure Witnesses were never well vetted and while some provided good information that might be followed up on, it was often too vague or tenuous to be of interest to the media which is looking for hard news. Since most of them were controversial within the UFO community, the media had no reason to pursue the claims.

>I guess next time someone asks why don't UFOs land on the White
>House lawn I should say either they already did but the press
>wouldn't report it anyway or why would anyone land on a high
>security spot and risk getting blasted.

Living in DC, your first suggestion would be impossible due to the political competition in Washington, but the second point is well taken. Any such incursion would, of course, be highly photographed and immediately covered by a variety of media outlets who already have cameras set up outside the White House.

If they were to suddently go dark, then that would set off alarms with the local media, so you'd never keep it quiet.

>I dunno, maybe it's that thing where people are so frightened
>of other people's opinions of themselves that they would rather
>go down with the ship than get caught swimming for safety in
>their unmentionables.

Loss of retirement benefits is a powerful enticement.....

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Something Strange Afoot at Tinker AFB

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 09:17:41 EDT
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 16:09:49 -0400
Subject: Something Strange Afoot at Tinker AFB

While researching the topic of Mind Control, I soon discovered to my surprise that Tinker AFB is connected with the topic.

Having priorly lived on Tinker for almost 11 years, I can tell you that I've witnessed weird things and behavior, from green _flares_ that moved in a _falling_leaf_ pattern, to odd noises emanating from the then newly created _nature_reserve_. Speaking of the Nature Reserve, there are signs similar to the ones posted at Area 51 concerning what will happen if someone strays beyond a point. I should state that I don't remember anything about _use_of_deadly_force_, though.

Interesting, even then I had the notion that a Nature Reserve would be the perfect cover for the housing of a black project. I've since come to the conclusion that Area 51 is a decoy to direct attention away from the real locations where all sorts of experimental crafts and technologies are being invented.

Did I mention the men dressed all in black that patrol the area of the Nature Reserve at night?

Back to the subject of mind control, as I stated earlier I was doing research on this topic and discovered connections to Tinker AFB. Now, I'm not saying I believe any of the _victims_ who have come forward claiming that they were abused and brainwashed in a secluded warehouse on the base under Covert CIA programs with names like MKULTRA, MONARCH, ARTICHOKE, and the infamous _TINKERBELL_, get it "Tinker"bell. Instead, I am merely stating that it wouldn't surprise me if it was truly taking place.

Interestingly, I recently had a conversation with woman who lived on Tinker at the same time as I. When I brought up the subject she responded, "Yeah, My Dad used to say that there is this warehouse way in the back of the base where they take people to brainwash them. He said it's so secret that you have to park your car a good 3 miles away and walk to it. Almost everyone who lived on base has heard of that rumor. I'm surprised you never heard of it before."

Well, maybe I did hear about it before, but the powers that be have decided to erase this sticky-little-nugget-of-knowledge.

- Jason Gammon

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Clark

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 08:48:03 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 16:11:01 -0400
Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Clark

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 13:08:15 -0300
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 11:42:32 -0500
>>Subject: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>>Iowa City [Iowa] Press-Citizen
>>July 7, 1920

>>Mystery Veils Fallen Airship
>>Where Did Pilot and Motor Go,
>>When Plane Fell in Woods
>>Along Iowa River?

>This one is long on mystery but short on details, as are most
>'UFO' sightings or incidents.

>Did any other papers carry the story? The reporter has a weird
>way of expressing himself, i.e. "...after a seeming Fourth of
>July flight and the wrecked, if not burned ship, was
>extraordinarily without a motor."

>If not burned ship? Wonder if that means "but" not burned
ship, rather than "if"?

My reading of that oddly expressed sentence is that the craft
was "wrecked, albeit not burned..." The guy who wrote this
was not exactly a master of the English language.

Unfortunately, what I posted is so far the sum total of my
knowledge of this curious episode. I've looked for other
accounts, to date unsuccessfully. If I learn anything else,
I'll let you know.

Jerry Clark

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Smith

From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 09:58:21 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 16:12:59 -0400
Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Smith

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 13:08:15 -0300
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos

>>From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 09:51:24 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
>>Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos

>>>From: Tapani Koivula <koivulatapani.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 22:44:29 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos

>>>In this case the officials who released the videos were some
>>>brave individual persons who wanted to do that without asking
>>>permission from their bosses - this is what I believe.

>>So you are saying that certain officials illegally leaked the
>>data to your group. This is not a breakthrough. If the Finnish
>>government officially released the tapes to your group, then it
>>would be more impressive. Do you think your government "leakers"
>>will be prosecuted for breaking any laws or demoted for by-
>>passing official channels?

>>Also, can you explain why the detailed text data was blacked
>>out on (at least one) video snapshot on your web site?

>>>These officials are honest people, and even they were very
>>>selective of the information they wanted to release.

>>Not honest to their bosses or even their government.

>>>When they realised that no one of the govt officials was able
>>>to find normal explanations for the objects, they wanted to get
>>>help from the UFO research community.

>>But they should have gone through official channels.

>I find your remarks re trust in your government a bit naive. I
>believe it was George Washington who warned, above all trust not
>your government. Eisenhower warned of the military industrial
>complex.

Haven't I said that one cannot trust the government under ANY
conditions? Is that naive? Regarding going through official
channels, I meant that IF this was going to be considered a
groundbreaking breakthrough in UFOlogist/ government
cooperation, it should have been done officially. Otherwise, it
does not "count". Essentially, what they ended up doing was
"leak" data (and I don't know if it was even classified in any
way). But they did it in a way where they could get in trouble.

I cannot trust that the "leak" is even real. Is this some psy-
ops thing?

>You don't seem to care for whistle blowers. When is it okay
>to be honest with the governed from which government

>draws all of its real power, wealth and the humans to sacrifice
>in war. Which is lied to the most and which invariably receives
>little or no punishment for its misdeeds?

>Playing devil's advocate again, James?

Why do you think that? I have been consistent with my opinions.

Anyway, I agree that this is a difficult area.

Whistleblowers ARE important if they cannot get appropriate action through official channels first. Look at the Shuttle disasters. Both cases required whistleblowers to prevent the events since official channels failed.

I have a problem with all these people who feel they know what's best for everyone else and think they should be a whistleblower to make the world right in their own minds. But things are not so simple.

Perhaps they are missing some understanding or data that would make their whistleblowing very destructive to a nation's security. In this Finnish case (and it is not so perfect since the video is so lousy), if the Finns were compartmentalized such that one group was working on a new defensive or spy vehicle for their military but the group that took the film was not aware of this then releasing the film would negatively impact on the secrecy of the project. Going through channels may have made this apparent. Even having the government consult officially with University experts would have been better. I suppose the "Cosmic Watergate" or expolitical types would prefer endless whistleblowing regardless of consequences. In my mind, this is self-destructive. Maybe more so than the UFO phenomena itself.

My only alternative would be that private groups gather instrumented field research of the phenemona turning the UFO field into something more than story telling. We have more than enough anecdotes and at this time the purpose of receiving the anecdotes seems similar to a rape counseling center, providing the experiencers some comfort that they are not alone, someone is helping them and listening to them. But that anecdote activity does not produce (even with hundreds of simultaneous witnesses) the pressure on the government to act. Even with all the scenarios of terrorists smuggling weapons over the US/Mexican border and anecdotes of Muslims crossing over, the US STILL cannot act there! However, with the constant pressure of instrumented data gathered about the UFO phenomena and proactive measurements then over time the pressure may be to great for the government to ignore.

If UFOs are secret US vehicles, the US would either stop instrumented UFO field research or be forced to avoid monitoring stations (hard if the stations are not publicized or ubiquitous) or admit the fact.

If UFOs are alien spaceships which the US doesn't what the public to know about, then the US will either stop(control) the field research or admit the results. You can ignore or deny or explain away witnesses, but instrumented readings from independent sites are not so easy to explain away.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Smith

From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 10:13:48 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 16:14:17 -0400
Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Smith

>From: Tapani Koivula <koivulatapani.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 13:46:26 +0000
>Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos

>>From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 09:51:24 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
>>Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos

>>>From: Tapani Koivula <koivulatapani.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 22:44:29 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos

>>>In this case the officials who released the videos were some
>>>brave individual persons who wanted to do that without asking
>>>permission from their bosses - this is what I believe.

>>So you are saying that certain officials illegally leaked the
>>data to your group. This is not a breakthrough. If the Finnish
>>government officially released the tapes to your group, then it
>>would be more impressive. Do you think your government "leakers"
>>will be prosecuted for breaking any laws or demoted for by-
>>passing official channels?

>>Also, can you explain why the detailed text data was blacked out
>>on (at least one) video snapshot on your web site?

>>>These officials are honest people, and even they were very
>>>selective of the information they wanted to release.

>>Not honest to their bosses or even their government.

>>>When they
>>>realised that no one of the govt officials was able to find
>>>normal explanations for the objects, they wanted to get help
>>>from the UFO research community.

>>But they should have gone through official channels.

>Yes, but I feel that these private representatives of the govt,
>who wanted to support the UFO research and find out the truth,
>were brave people. If nobody takes a risk, one day all official
>papers and pictures will be secret. If the objects seen on these
>infra red videos are sent by officials of USA, it is good for us
>to know that - of safety reasons.

But my problem is that these people took it into their OWN hands
without consulting the defenders of your country. If the objects
were secret Finn spy/military devices, but the "leakers" not
part of the group involved in the development, then they decided
to make public such knowledge to the detriment of Finland.

Going through official channels would hopefully have prevented
that and allowed (if the official channels agreed to release) a
more dramatic disclosure event.

I believe that the official channels would not have released the

film unless 1) the object was not related to Finland's technology developments, 2) they had already gotten experts from Finland's universities or companies to examine the film and explain the phenomena to their satisfaction showing no threat to Finland's security (at least no threat they cannot deal with using their present military capabilities).

>Our system is more human than in the USA or Russia. The people >who released UFO videos might get difficulties, but I doubt if >they find themselves in jail.

If the UFO is "just" a UFO rather than a top-secret Finnish spy plane, then perhaps you are right.

>After the second world war discipline also in Finland was tight, >and if they blamed you on spying, they could take you to court >behind closed doors - no newspapers could defend you in public. >We have SUPO (one kind of CIA), but their behaviour is much >softer than in America or in Russia.

>If you don't hear about me after this, then I was wrong...

Oh, I am sure you won't get in trouble.

By the way, can you tell me about the film frame with the blacked out boxes on your web site? Did your group black the boxes out or did the leakers/whistleblowers do this prior to the release of the film? If they did, what was the reason? If you did, ditto?

Thanks,

James

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Beasley

From: **Craig Beasley** <fallingleaf.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 09:19:15 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 16:17:08 -0400
Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Beasley

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 13:08:15 -0300
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 11:42:32 -0500
>>Subject: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>>Iowa City [Iowa] Press-Citizen
>>July 7, 1920

>>Mystery Veils Fallen Airship
>>Where Did Pilot and Motor Go,
>>When Plane Fell in Woods
>>Along Iowa River?

><snip>

>Did any other papers carry the story? The reporter has a weird
>way of expressing himself, i.e. "...after a seeming Fourth of
>July flight and the wrecked, if not burned ship, was
>extraordinarily without a motor."

>If not burned ship? Wonder if that means "but" not burned ship,
>rather than "if"?

If I may chime in:

I think it is more a testimony to the usage of the English
language circa 1920. Some of the old news clippings from that
era and before often contain extraneous phrases that we would
not use now. Our ways of speaking and writing are much leaner
these days.

J. Craig Beasley

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Secrecy News -- 04/27/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 12:09:26 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 16:19:21 -0400
Subject: Secrecy News -- 04/27/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 51
April 27, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** ARCHIVE AUDIT SUGGESTS OVERCLASSIFICATION IS RAMPANT
** "SECRET" 1970 INTELLIGENCE BUDGET FIGURE REVEALED
** HOUSE LIMITS DEBATE ON LARGEST INTELLIGENCE BUDGET EVER
** FEINSTEIN BILL SEEKS CONGRESSIONAL NOTICE OF DECLASSIFICATION
** SELECTED CRS REPORTS

ARCHIVE AUDIT SUGGESTS OVERCLASSIFICATION IS RAMPANT

A large fraction of the documents that were withdrawn from public access at the National Archives on purported national security grounds over the past several years did not meet the standard for classification and should not have been removed, according to an official audit of the activity released yesterday.

"This audit identified a significant number of withdrawal actions for classification purposes as inappropriate. Of the records sampled to date, 24 percent were clearly inappropriate and 12 percent were questionable." See:

<http://www.archives.gov/isoo/reports/2006-audit-report.html>

While focused on historical documents at the National Archives, the audit serves in effect as a snapshot of classification activity throughout the government, and it implies that a sizeable fraction of agency classification actions have no legitimate national security basis.

"To be effective, the classification process is a tool that must be wielded with precision," said William Leonard, director of the Information Security Oversight Office, which performed the audit at the direction of Archivist Allen Weinstein.

"It is disappointing to note, as indicated by the sample contained in this audit, that even trained classifiers, with ready access to the latest classification and declassification guides, and trained in their use, got it clearly right only 64% of the time in making determinations as to the appropriateness of continued classification," Mr. Leonard said.

"The damage such practices can inflict on the integrity of the classification system cannot be denied," he said.

At a time when the Bush Administration is prosecuting even the receipt of classified information, and Members of Congress are seeking new measures to penalize leaks, the new data on overclassification tend to undermine the very premise of such

actions.

Archivist Weinstein and Mr. Leonard of ISOO announced a series of steps to address the immediate issue of document withdrawal at the Archives as well as the larger issue of overclassification and misclassification.

"I am writing to all agency heads asking for their personal attention in ensuring that all of us engaged in advancing our country's security perform our duty to ensure the highest effectiveness of this critical national security tool (i.e. classification)," Mr. Leonard said.

He said that several of the existing provisions in the executive order and implementing directive on classification could help to mitigate classification errors, including: challenges to classification, sanctions for unwarranted classification, and audits of classified collections.

"They just haven't been used," he said.

"SECRET" 1970 INTELLIGENCE BUDGET REVEALED

In 1970, the U.S. spent \$6 billion on intelligence, according to a newly published account of a meeting that President Richard M. Nixon held with his Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board in July 1970.

"The President stated that the US is spending \$6 billion per year on intelligence and deserves to receive a lot more for its money than it has been getting," stated the record of the meeting, which was published in the latest volume of the State Department's Foreign Relations of the United States series.

What makes this observation startling rather than banal is that the Central Intelligence Agency has gone to great lengths to try to keep such historical intelligence budget data out of the public domain.

In response to a 2001 Freedom of Information Act lawsuit for aggregate and individual intelligence agency budget figures from 1947 through 1970, the CIA fought for five years to block disclosure of such information. Last year, D.C. District Judge Ricardo M. Urbina ruled in favor of the CIA (Aftergood v. CIA, Case No. 01-2524).

John E. McLaughlin, then-Acting Director of Central Intelligence, swore under oath that such disclosures could not be tolerated.

"Disclosure of [historical] intelligence budget information could assist in finding the locations of secret intelligence appropriations and thus defeat... congressionally approved clandestine funding mechanisms," argued Mr. McLaughlin in a September 14, 2004 declaration.

Now some of the historical intelligence budget information that the CIA refused to disclose has been published by the U.S. State Department.

See "Record of President's Meeting with the Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board," July 18, 1970:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/othergov/intel/pfiab1970.html>

President Nixon "could not put up with people lying to him about intelligence or giving warped evaluations," the 1970 document continued.

"He believed that those responsible for deliberate slanting of reports should be fired. The time may be coming when he would have to read the riot act to the entire intelligence community."

HOUSE LIMITS DEBATE ON LARGEST INTELLIGENCE BUDGET EVER

House Republicans foreclosed Democratic efforts to offer amendments on warrantless domestic surveillance and other controversial intelligence topics when the FY 2007 Intelligence Authorization Act was brought to the floor yesterday.

Instead, the House approved by a vote of 327-96 what Rep. Leonard Boswell (R-Iowa) described as "the largest intelligence budget in our history."

Democratic amendments, such as a proposal that domestic surveillance be conducted consistent with the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution, were blocked in the Rules Committee so they could not be debated.

"We are not even going to be allowed to vote on an amendment that would deal with this central constitutional question," complained Rep. Barney Frank (D-MA).

"We are now in the process of instructing the people of Iraq about how to ruin parliamentary democracy," he said. "If anybody from the Iraqi Parliament is watching our procedures, please do not try this at home."

See the April 26 House floor debate here:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_cr/s042606.html

The House Rules Committee report which identifies the Democratic amendments that were ruled out of order is House Report 109-438, available here:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_rpt/hrpt109-438.html

FEINSTEIN BILL SEEKS CONGRESSIONAL NOTICE OF DECLASSIFICATION

In the Senate, Sen. Dianne Feinstein (D-CA) yesterday introduced a bill to require the White House to notify Congress when it declassifies intelligence information.

The bill was prompted by recent reports that the President selectively authorized certain disclosures by Vice Presidential aide Scooter Libby without informing the originating agency or other interested persons that the disclosed information was declassified.

"If the President declassifies information so that his subordinates can discuss intelligence with reporters, Congress should be alerted so that the intelligence committees can ensure that national secrets are not being used for political purposes," said Sen. Feinstein. See the introduction of her bill (S. 2660) here:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_cr/s2660.html

SELECTED CRS REPORTS

Some recent reports of the Congressional Research Service obtained by Secrecy News include the following:

"Renditions: Constraints Imposed by Laws on Torture," updated April 5, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/natsec/RL32890.pdf>

"Treatment of 'Battlefield Detainees' in the War on Terrorism," updated March 27, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/terror/RL31367.pdf>

"Polygraph Use by the Department of Energy: Issues for Congress," updated April 7, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/intel/RL31988.pdf>

"Oversight of Dual-Use Biological Research: The National Science Advisory Board for Biosecurity," March 28, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/natsec/RL33342.pdf>

"Nuclear Weapons: The Reliable Replacement Warhead Program," updated March 9, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/nuke/RL32929.pdf>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Research Into Encounters & Telepathy?

From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 09:44:39 -0700
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 16:20:59 -0400
Subject: Research Into Encounters & Telepathy?

Does anyone know if there is investigation into encounters with telepathy as the focus?

Irene

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Re: Tribute To Dr. J. Allen Hynek 1910 - 1986 -

From: Alfred Lehmborg <alienview.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 11:47:25 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 16:23:17 -0400
Subject: Re: Tribute To Dr. J. Allen Hynek 1910 - 1986 -

>From: Bruce Maccabee <brumac.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 10:50:57 -0400
>Subject: Re: Tribute To Dr. J. Allen Hynek 1910 - 1986

>>From: Virgilio Sanchez-Ocejo <ufomiami.nul>
>>To: Ufo UpDates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 13:14:58 -0400
>>Subject: A Tribute To Dr. J. Allen Hynek 1910 - 1986

>>J. Allen Hynek - 1910 - 1986

<snip>

>Thank you fr the Hynekret respective. I last saw him in the
>summer of 1985.

>In 1981, I think it was, he stayed at my house while he was in
>the DC area. I asked him how he lived with this "UFO stuff"...
>since he admitted that 'it' was real, whatever 'it' was (ET,
>paranormal... whatever). He said something like... "I live every
>day as if this weren't real."

>Something to think about.

Just to see if I got this, Doc...

He lived every day as if UFOs were not real, or he lived every
'normal'... UFO denying... "day" as if as if it were not real.

My suspicion for my own part is that it is ufological denial
that is the fantasy imposed, given UFOs appear to be the
reality. Was it one or the other or something altogether
different. I suspect you meant the former... which is a little
disappointing, but I wanted to be sure.

Asked how I live with it... is finding its antithesis so
appallingly shallow.

alienview.nul
www.AlienView.net
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

NASA Clarifies Information On Comet Approach

From: NASA News <hgnews.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 13:45:50 -0400
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 16:24:37 -0400
Subject: NASA Clarifies Information On Comet Approach

April 27, 2006

Grey Hautaluoma/Dwayne Brown
Headquarters, Washington
(202) 358-0668/1726

RELEASE: 06-204

NASA CLARIFIES INFORMATION ON COMET APPROACH

NASA issued information Thursday about Comet 73P/Schwassmann-Wachmann 3, which will be passing Earth as it approaches the point nearest the sun during its 5.5 year orbit.

Astronomers have been observing the comet for more than 75 years. The trajectory of this comet has been monitored and refined over time, and its path around the sun is well understood.

The comet has broken into more than 40 fragments. Any pieces resulting from the breakup of its main body will come no closer than 5.5 million miles to Earth, or more than 20 times the Earth-moon distance, during its closest approaches May 12 - 28. Neither the main comet nor any of its pieces pose a danger to Earth.

The main fragment C comet will pass closest to Earth on May 12 at a distance of approximately 7.3 million miles. It will be visible to small telescopes during the morning hours in the constellation Vulpecula. NASA's Spitzer Space Telescope will observe the comet in May. NASA's Hubble Space Telescope has also viewed it. For images and more information about the comet, visit:

<http://www.nasa.gov/hubble>

For information about NASA and agency programs, visit:

<http://www.nasa.gov/home>

-end-

To remove your address from the list, send a message to:
hgnews-unsubscribe.nul

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Smith

From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 11:57:29 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 16:25:59 -0400
Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Smith

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 13:08:15 -0300
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 11:42:32 -0500
>>Subject: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>>Iowa City [Iowa] Press-Citizen
>>July 7, 1920

>>Mystery Veils Fallen Airship
>>Where Did Pilot and Motor Go,
>>When Plane Fell in Woods
>>Along Iowa River?

><snip>

>This one is long on mystery but short on details, as are most
>'UFO' sightings or incidents.

>Did any other papers carry the story? The reporter has a weird
>way of expressing himself, i.e. "...after a seeming Fourth of
>July flight and the wrecked, if not burned ship, was
>extraordinarily without a motor."

>If not burned ship? Wonder if that means "but" not burned ship,
>rather than "if"?

I think it is burned see below.....

Iowa City Press
July 8, 1920

"Mystery still veils the discovery of a wrecked, mostly-burned, abandoned aeroplane in the woods, along the Iowa river, near Butler's landing. Whose was it? What dropped it there? Where is the pilot who was in charge of the flight? These are some of the unanswerable questions."

My guess is that the pilot thought the airplane was unsalvagable except for the motor and took it with him.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Re: British House Of Commons On Nick Pope -

From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 20:12:35 +0100
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 16:30:24 -0400
Subject: Re: British House Of Commons On Nick Pope -

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 07:54:57 -0400
>Subject: UFO UpDate: British House Of Commons On Nick Pope

>Source: The United Kingdom Parliament - House of Commons Hansard

This is the second time that NP has been the subject of a Parliamentary Question. A similar question was asked in the house by Martin Redmond (now deceased) on 17th October 1996 as follows:

Mr. Nicholas Pope

Mr. Redmond: To ask the Secretary of State for Defence to what post Mr. Nicholas Pope was appointed by his Department after his tour of duty with Secretariat (Air Staff) Department 2A; and if he will make a statement. [40920]

Mr. Soames: Mr. Nicholas Pope was posted on promotion two years ago to a general finance policy branch.

What a popular chap he is.

Regards,

Joe

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <alienview.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 14:20:29 -0500
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 16:32:13 -0400
Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Lehmborg

>From: Robert Gates <RGates8254.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 02:15:50 EDT
>Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 11:42:32 -0500
>>Subject: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa
>>>Iowa City [Iowa] Press-Citizen
>>July 7, 1920

>>Mystery Veils Fallen Airship

>>Where Did Pilot and Motor Go,
>>When Plane Fell in Woods
>>Along Iowa River?

>Jerome, Listers,

>This is an interesting article. For the sake of fun, let me
>apply some Skeptibunker Pelicanisms to it.

<snip>

>Of course it could have been Pelican droppings from the sky as
>well..... :)

Not likely I suspect, Sir. Impacted Pelicanists are anally
retentive and not generally anally expulsive...

alienview.nul
www.AlienView.net
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 27](#)

Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment -

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 19:30:27 +0000
Fwd Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 16:35:47 -0400
Subject: Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment -

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 15:16:26 -0400
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment

>Source: eMediaWire - Ferndale, Washington, USA

><http://www.emediawire.com/releases/2006/4/emw378209.htm>

>April 27, 2006

>Psychotherapist to Present Clinical Research Of How
>Extraterrestrial Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment

>After more than 13 years of clinical research, Dr. Janet Colli
>has discovered that other-worldly beings have long played a role
>in human history. To Colli, the truth is self-evident; so-called
>"alien beings" not only exist but they appear to be facilitating
>humanity's spiritual evolution. Colli's research continues to
>address the serious questions that were raised by the late ABC
>News anchor Peter Jennings in his February 2005, Primetime
>special on UFOs and alleged encounters with extraterrestrials.
>Her research will be presented in May 2006 at a Montreal
>conference that is affiliated with the United Nations Department
>of Public Information (www.iihs.org).

This is the polar opposite, New Age to the core, viewpoint to
that of Susan Ckancy & Co. And probably just as wrong.

In my experience some small percentage of abductees find
"spiritual enlightenemnt", while a large majoritty are scared to
death and have their entire world view badly shaken.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 28](#)

Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - White

From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 16:53:33 -0400
Fwd Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 06:28:43 -0400
Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - White

>From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 16:30:42 +0100
>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

<snip>

>Have we got to train folk to think differently before they can
>invent - or even `see' - more advanced things?

Amen! And the training changes need to start by getting rid of
the idea that if the scientific hierarchy can't explain
something, it must not exist or be delusion.

Eleanor White

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 28](#)

Re: E.T. True Believers To Descend On Desert

From: **Bob Shell** <bob.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 17:01:22 -0400
Fwd Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 06:31:35 -0400
Subject: Re: E.T. True Believers To Descend On Desert

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 15:04:11 -0400
>Subject: E.T. True Believers To Descend On Desert 'UFO-Ville'

>In 1953 Van Tassel claimed to have been visited by aliens who
>instructed him to build a structure aimed at extending human
>life to help people take advantage of the wisdom acquired
>through age. He called it the Integratron, and it became his
>mission for the next 25 years.

But did Van Tassel live longer than normal after building this
thing? My understanding is that he didn't.

More trickery by those funny little folks?

Bob Shell

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 28](#)

Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 18:05:45 -0300
Fwd Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 06:35:02 -0400
Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Ledger

>From: Craig Beasley <fallingleaf.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 09:19:15 -0500
>Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 13:08:15 -0300
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 11:42:32 -0500
>>>Subject: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>>>Iowa City [Iowa] Press-Citizen
>>>July 7, 1920

>>>Mystery Veils Fallen Airship
>>>Where Did Pilot and Motor Go,
>>>When Plane Fell in Woods
>>>Along Iowa River?

>><snip>

>>Did any other papers carry the story? The reporter has a weird
>>way of expressing himself, i.e. "...after a seeming Fourth of
>>July flight and the wrecked, if not burned ship, was
>>extraordinarily without a motor."

>>If not burned ship? Wonder if that means "but" not burned
>>ship, rather than "if"?

>If I may chime in:

>I think it is more a testimony to the usage of the English
>language circa 1920. Some of the old news clippings from that
>era and before often contain extraneous phrases that we would
>not use now. Our ways of speaking and writing are much leaner
>these days.

Maybe the reporter was a stringer, rather than a staffer, and
was paid by the word. The story is short on detail.

Don

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 28](#)

Re: British House Of Commons On Nick Pope - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 18:10:15 -0300
Fwd Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 06:39:19 -0400
Subject: Re: British House Of Commons On Nick Pope - Ledger

>From: Joe McGonagle <joe.mcgonagle.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 20:12:35 +0100
>Subject: Re: British House Of Commons On Nick Pope

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 07:54:57 -0400
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: British House Of Commons On Nick Pope

>>Source: The United Kingdom Parliament - House of Commons Hansard

>This is the second time that NP has been the subject of a
>Parliamentary Question. A similar question was asked in the
>house by Martin Redmond (now deceased) on 17th October 1996 as
>follows:

>---
>Mr. Nicholas Pope

>Mr. Redmond: To ask the Secretary of State for Defence to what
>post Mr. Nicholas Pope was appointed by his Department after his
>tour of duty with Secretariat (Air Staff) Department 2A; and if
>he will make a statement. [40920]

>Mr. Soames: Mr. Nicholas Pope was posted on promotion two years
>ago to a general finance policy branch.

>---

>What a popular chap he is.

I was wondering if this Nick Pope story would flush you out,
Joe. It's like waving a red flag in front of bull:-)

Don

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 28](#)

What Was San Diego Mystery Boom?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 06:45:53 -0400
Fwd Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 06:45:53 -0400
Subject: What Was San Diego Mystery Boom?

Source: Sploid.Com - Budapest, Hungary

http://www.sploid.com/news/2006/04/what_was_the_my.php

April 27, 2006

What Was San Diego Mystery Boom?

Scientists say they've tracked the San Diego Mystery Boom to a spot in the Pacific Ocean about 120 miles offshore.

But they still have no idea what caused the "airquake" that rocked houses from the beach to the desert.

The scientists from the Scripps Institution of Oceanography in La Jolla used data from more than two dozen seismometers that recorded the sonic boom on April 4.

The shock wave appeared to originate over "Warning Area 291," a mysterious million-square-mile part of the Pacific Ocean that's closed to civilian sea and air traffic.

U.S. Navy spokesmen continue to deny they were up to no good on April 4.

Navy Cmdr. William Fenick claimed that no warships were active in the restricted area that day.

"We don't know at this time where this earthquake-like sensation came from," he told local media.

They've also denied that Navy or Air Force jets caused a sonic boom that day.

"I would guess it's either an explosion that somebody hasn't told us about or it could have been a meteor coming into the atmosphere," Scripps professor Peter Shearer told the San Diego Union-Tribune.

"But it was certainly a big disturbance in the atmosphere."

No fireballs were reported on the morning of April 4.

The rumbling boom moved at about the speed of sound from the islands off the coast of San Diego to the Anza-Borrego desert and the inland Salton Sea. Earthquakes are much faster, geologists said.

Mystery booms have been driving people bats for years now. Skyquakes have been known to break windows, set off car alarms and make animals go insane.

[Thanks to Bob Shell for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 28](#)

Re: Research Into Encounters & Telepathy? - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 17:21:51 EDT
Fwd Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 06:51:02 -0400
Subject: Re: Research Into Encounters & Telepathy? - Gammon

>From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 09:44:39 -0700
>Subject: Research Into Encounters & Telepathy?

>Does anyone know if there is investigation into encounters with
>telepathy as the focus?

>Irene

Well, Telepathy, is the most often reported means of communication reported during abductions, and also with some UFO sightings. Many Contactees have also reported that they were initially contacted via telepathy.

Now, this brings up troublesome questions. If beings can effectively communicate with our minds, then either we are dealing with a type of hallucination/delusion, or the phenomena has a far greater hold/control of our perceptions and mental states than we realize.

One of the questions raised is just how telepathy is achieved. There are two basic camps. The first being telepathy _via_ evolution and the second being telepathy_via_technology. I side with the latter.

There is no life form currently known to science which uses telepathy as a means of communication. A clue that points to a technological explanation is the fact that we humans are not that far off from creating a technological form of telepathy. I, and several others, have predicted that today's Internet will eventually be offered via brain implant, allowing access to the direct thoughts of others. This brings up the subject of implants reported by abductees.

What is the purpose of such devices? Some speculate that they are an advanced tagging system and are used to subsequently locate the specimen in the future. Some speculate that they regulate hormone levels, in effect, controlling the abductee's body functions.

However, from my own personal research, abductee's tend to respond with short and simple explanations, 'To become one', meaning to join their mind with the minds of the visitors. A joined mind would naturally include being an automatic locator.

I think this is the key to the puzzle of how abductees/contactees communicate telepathically with the visitors. They were implanted at a time prior to the strange event that they have reportedly experienced.

Taking it one step further, I've talked with several abductees who claim that in the beginning the beings did not communicate telepathically with them. Instead, an audible robotic voice was used.

Now, many will say, "Jason, there is no proof that aliens are implanting devices into supposed abductees. No implant has ever been recovered which proved to be of extraterrestrial origin." I

would counter with the fact that it doesn't matter one bit because it is a proven fact that human beings have used implants in mind control research.

This fact proves that it can be done, yet currently doesn't give a true estimate of just how much control human-created implants impose upon their unwilling hosts.

Another question raised is the fact that all types of occupants have been reported during a UFO encounter, yet amazingly they all use Telepathy. Is Telepathy one of the signifiers of an advanced race? Could it be that we are dealing with a deceptive phenomena that wishes to conceal it's identity by altering our perceptions?

Finally, a very important question is raised by the lack of commentary from various abduction researchers on Telepathy/Mind Control.

Many a researcher has written books documenting the reproductive experiments performed on abductees, often claiming they are central to the core of the visitor's agenda.

I for one am questioning why not one single researcher has commented on the fact that mind control comes in a close second, if not outright beats a reproductive agenda.

Our visitor's aren't just breeding us. They are slowly and methodically trying to quantify the human mind. They alter your perceptions and make you think this or that, force you to participate in staged scenarios, and seem to already know a great deal about humans than would be expected of alien beings newly arrived to the planet. Our visitor's seem to possess awareness of at least some human concepts and mental/emotional needs.

How did they obtain such? How come no one has reported an incident where the communication was bumbled or where both parties mutually couldn't understand each other?

The use of Telepathy would not automatically give our visitor's intimate knowledge of this nature. Instead, it would have to be learned over a period of time, by trial and error.

The fact that our visitor's have the ability to so easily communicate with people via telepathy suggests that either they have been here an extremely long time, or that the situation is far more complex than we originally thought.

- Jason

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 28](#)

Lawn Landing & City Flyovers [was: Re: Phoenix]

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 14:26:16 -0700
Fwd Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 06:54:50 -0400
Subject: Lawn Landing & City Flyovers [was: Re: Phoenix]

>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 04:07:29 EDT
>Subject: Phoenix Lights Did I Miss Something?

>I'm sitting here realizing I've yet to see an official
>explanation for the Phoenix Lights Incident that is worth a
>darn.

<snip>

>It's just gnawing at me as people will often ask why UFOs don't
>land on the White House lawn or fly over major cities.

<snip>

Has anyone asked why UFOs should land on the White House Lawn?

Imagine a scene like this. Ufonauts are going down a check-
list before returning to some base elsewhere.

"Lets see. Did we scare some livestock out in the sticks? OK."

"Take soil and plant samples? Yes..."

"Hide from radar? Use our camouflage? Check!"

"Oh heck! We forgot to land on the White House Lawn!"

"Well, we can do that next time."

- Larry

<snip>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 28](#)

Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 21:32:08 +0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 06:57:06 -0400
Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Hall

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 08:48:03 -0500
>Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 13:08:15 -0300
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 11:42:32 -0500
>>>Subject: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>>>Iowa City [Iowa] Press-Citizen
>>>July 7, 1920

>>>Mystery Veils Fallen Airship
>>>Where Did Pilot and Motor Go,
>>>When Plane Fell in Woods
>>>Along Iowa River?

>>This one is long on mystery but short on details, as are most
>>'UFO' sightings or incidents.

>>Did any other papers carry the story? The reporter has a weird
>>way of expressing himself, i.e. "...after a seeming Fourth of
>>July flight and the wrecked, if not burned ship, was
>>extraordinarily without a motor."

>>If not burned ship? Wonder if that means "but" not burned
>>ship, rather than "if"?

>My reading of that oddly expressed sentence is that the craft
>was "wrecked, albeit not burned...." The guy who wrote this
>was not exactly a master of the English language.

>Unfortunately, what I posted is so far the sum total of my
>knowledge of this curious episode. I've looked for other
>accounts, to date unsuccessfully. If I learn anything else,
>I'll let you know.

My immediate reaction to reading this account when first posted
was to wonder why the craft/wreckage was described in such
meager detail. Wasn't the alleged unusual nature of what was
found supposedly the core of the story after all? But I realized
that was probably all Jerry had found.

One thing I think we can say in this case (and Jerry can correct
me if I am mistaken) is that it did not occur within a context
of ongoing airship/UFO reports and tall tales related thereto at
that time, as can be said of the 1896-97 stories.

One must always consider the possibility of newspaper hoaxes or
jokes, especially at certain periods of history. But in 1920?

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 28](#)

Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment -

From: Terry Groff <terrygroff.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 16:35:30 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 07:00:28 -0400
Subject: Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment -

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 19:30:27 +0000
>Subject: Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 15:16:26 -0400
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment

>>Source: eMediaWire - Ferndale, Washington, USA

>><http://www.emediawire.com/releases/2006/4/emw378209.htm>

>>April 27, 2006

>>Psychotherapist to Present Clinical Research Of How
>>Extraterrestrial Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment

>>After more than 13 years of clinical research, Dr. Janet Colli
>>has discovered that other-worldly beings have long played a role
>>in human history. To Colli, the truth is self-evident; so-called
>>"alien beings" not only exist but they appear to be facilitating
>>humanity's spiritual evolution. Colli's research continues to
>>address the serious questions that were raised by the late ABC
>>News anchor Peter Jennings in his February 2005, Primetime
>>special on UFOs and alleged encounters with extraterrestrials.
>>Her research will be presented in May 2006 at a Montreal
>>conference that is affiliated with the United Nations Department
>>of Public Information (www.iihs.org).

>This is the polar opposite, New Age to the core, viewpoint to
>that of Susan Ckancy & Co. And probably just as wrong.

>In my experience some small percentage of abductees find
>"spiritual enlightenemnt", while a large majoritty are scared to
>death and have their entire world view badly shaken.

So true. I recently spoke to a former DOJ security officer - I'll
call him 'M' - that, along with his partner 'T', was witness to
an event in Dealy Plaza in Dallas, the site of the JFK
assassination.

It seems in January of 2001 'T' spotted an object hovering near
the southeast corner of the Plaza. The greenish orb about the
size of a grapefruit moved away from them as they approached on
foot. According to 'T' it wound it's way through a grove of
trees before taking off when it reached the memorial.

'M' told me that 'T' refused to talk about it because it seemed
to shake the foundation of his faith. They are both retired now
and 'M' has lost touch with 'T'. I have been unable to locate
'T' to see if he would be willing to be interviewed. 'M' seems
to think that 'T' would not be willing.

I, on the other hand, would have my 'faith' bolstered should I
ever witness anything like that.

Terry

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 28](#)

The Best Short UFO Report?

From: Joe Faccenda <Uforth.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 18:35:07 EDT
Fwd Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 07:07:21 -0400
Subject: The Best Short UFO Report?

While many of the more documented UFO cases have been the subject of books, TV presentations, etc.

I suspect it is sometimes a brief report, perhaps only a few paragraphs that can capture the imagination, and in many ways may be the basis of an accumulated UFO learning curve.

With this thought can I ask the List what short seminal report has lingered in their consciousness, and perhaps why?

If I may I would like to kick off with this report from 1956. In many respects it encompasses all the elements that should have made headline news.

Scientists on hand, craft in the same position for several days, scientific instruments to measure speed, etc. and yet it is forgotten. Although if any subsequent investigation was done on this report I would be delighted to hear about it.

UFO ROUNDUP
Volume 4, Number 1
January 5, 1999
Editor: Joseph Trainor

1956: Two UFOs Appear Over Antarctica

On January 8, 1956, at the height of the Antarctic summer, four scientists from Chile were camped out on the icecap of the Weddell Sea, performing weather experiments as part of the International Geophysical Year.

The weather was clear, with a blue sky and the sun low on the horizon. One man stepped outside and noticed 'two metallic cigar-shaped objects in a vertical position, perfectly still and silent and flashing vividly the reflected rays of the sun'. 'One of the objects was almost at the mid-heaven, and the other at a distance of some 30 degrees from the first...the things looked utterly solid, with smooth, polished seemingly metallic surfaces'.

He called a second man to come look at the 'spindles'.

Then they moved to another vantage point about 100 yards away to see if some sort of atmospheric optical effect could be causing them; they did not change appearance.

Upon returning to their tent area, two more men also saw the objects.

The two objects remained motionless until 2100 hours (9 p.m.) when the uppermost object tilted into horizontal position and started to brighten and emit several colors.

Then it began to move and executed a number of maneuvers (90 degree turns, zigzag flight, instantaneous starts and stops,

etc.)

Then it came to a stop and hovered again.

The second object then began to fly around for three minutes like the first object had just finished doing, and then it too stopped and hovered.

The two objects remained motionless throughout that day and through the twilight that was to be their night-time.

The four men were afraid, yet nothing happened.

Then, late in the afternoon of the second day (i.e. January 9, 1956 -- J.T.) Clouds approached, the altitude of which were known approximately. And using a theodolite, the altitude of the objects was determined to be about 24,000 feet (7,275 meters) with each cigar about 450 feet long and 75 feet in diameter."

"One of the men aimed a polarized spotlight at one of the objects which unexpectedly flashed a bright light in return and descended in altitude." "After a 'long pause,' it rose again to its original position and stopped. Then it conducted 'another fantastic sky dance.'

Its velocity was measured at 40,000 kilometers per hour (24,000 miles per hour) starting from a dead stop.

The objects eventually disappeared from view because of the clouds that came in."

(See Flying Saucer Review, volume 14, number 3,

March-April 1968 issue, article by Gordon Creighton, page 20. See also Project Delta:

A Study of Multiple UFO by Richard F. Haines, L.D.A. Press, Los Altos, California, 1994, pages 96 and 97.)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 28](#)

Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Koivula

From: **Tapani Koivula** <koivulatapani.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 22:38:31 +0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 07:10:42 -0400
Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos - Koivula

>From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 10:13:48 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
>Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos

>>From: Tapani Koivula <koivulatapani.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 13:46:26 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos

>>>From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 09:51:24 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
>>>Subject: Re: Finnish Government UFO Videos

<snip>

>If the UFO is "just" a UFO rather than a top-secret Finnish spy
>plane, then perhaps you are right.

>>After the second world war discipline also in Finland was tight,
>>and if they blamed you on spying, they could take you to court
>>behind closed doors - no newspapers could defend you in public.
>>We have SUPO (one kind of CIA), but their behaviour is much
>>softer than in America or in Russia.

>>If you don't hear about me after this, then I was wrong...

>Oh, I am sure you won't get in trouble.

>By the way, can you tell me about the film frame with the
>blacked out boxes on your web site? Did your group black the
>boxes out or did the leakers/whistleblowers do this prior to the
>release of the film? If they did, what was the reason? If you
>did, ditto?

Hello,

It is very theoretical to criticize the representatives of our
officials, who decided to leak the videos for us. They knew - as
far as I understand - that in no way they would have got a
promise to publish the secret information.

In our history there has been only one (1) UFO case that has
been admitted by our govt (7 balls above the Pori airfield
during the war exercise of our Air Force in 1969 - after a
fighter got an order to fly nearer to the balls, they started to
escape with high speed to the North, caught by radar both in
Pori and in Vaasa, a city 100 miles to the North).

At this moment we could give only this limited information,
because these brave people don't want to be identified. By
releasing the videos they just wanted to find the truth, instead
of leaving them to darkness of archives.

It is not obvious that the objects are vehicles or equipment of
our govt. Their origin is either 1) from NATO, 2) Russia or 3)
other civilizations from space.

Tapani Koivula

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 28](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 16:21:40 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 07:11:58 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Nielsen

>From: Rob Kritkausky <robkrit.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 09:07:28 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 06:44:42 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>>Excellent Rob! Would some funding help here? Or would current
>>politics abort that prior to conception?

>I'm not sure if your question is based on curiosity or is an
>offer to contribute to the cause. Either way, the answer is yes,
>as resources are one of the biggest obstacles. The group is a
>Not-for-Profit Research group, which makes donations tax
>deductible. Because they make an effort not to accept any
>government funding, they are at a disadvantage when it comes to
>resources. The cost of freedom I suppose. If you were looking to
>contribute, I could direct you. Don't send me any money, it
>would not be tax deductible.

>Wow, did I just say "don't send me any money? :)

>Rob

I'd like to know the contact info of the folks you mention, so I
can check them and their work out myself. Then if they look
legitimate to me, I'd like to support their efforts.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 28](#)

Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment -

From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 16:39:36 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 07:24:44 -0400
Subject: Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment -

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 19:30:27 +0000
>Subject: Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 15:16:26 -0400
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment

>>Source: eMediaWire - Ferndale, Washington, USA

>><http://www.emediawire.com/releases/2006/4/emw378209.htm>

<snip>

>This is the polar opposite, New Age to the core, viewpoint to
>that of Susan Ckancy & Co. And probably just as wrong.

>In my experience some small percentage of abductees find
>"spiritual enlightenemnt", while a large majoritty are scared to
>death and have their entire world view badly shaken.

Could it be that those without prior "spiritual" experience,
however they choose to define it, are more prone to think of
abductions as spiritual?

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: ufoupdateslist.com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 28](#)

More On UK 'UFO' Hacker Gary McKinnon

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 07:44:59 -0400
Fwd Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 07:44:59 -0400
Subject: More On UK 'UFO' Hacker Gary McKinnon

Source: Yahoo News - Sunnyvale, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/mfspo>

Thu Apr 27 2006

I Was Just Hunting UFOs, Says Pentagon's UK Hacker
By Michael Holden

LONDON (Reuters) - To the United States, he is a seriously dangerous man who put the nation's security at risk by committing "the biggest military computer hack of all time."

But Briton Gary McKinnon says he is just an ordinary computer nerd who wanted to find out whether aliens and UFOs exist.

During his two-year quest, McKinnon broke into computers at the Pentagon, NASA and the Johnson Space Center as well as systems used by the U.S. army, navy and air force.

U.S. officials say he caused \$700,000 worth of damage and even crippled vital defense systems shortly after the September 11 attacks.

The unemployed computer programmer is now battling extradition to the United States, where, if found guilty, he faces up to 70 years in jail and fines of up to \$1.75 million. His lawyer fears he could even be sent to Guantanamo Bay.

It's all a far cry from how he first got into hacking: watching a film about a teenage boy who breaks into a military central computer and almost starts World War Three.

"I had seen the film 'War Games' and I do remember clearly thinking at the time, that's amazing -- a great big military computer system and a young, spotty teenager," the softly spoken 39-year-old told Reuters in an interview.

Hacker's Handbook

A decade later, McKinnon, armed with information gleaned from the book, "The Hacker's Handbook," began his snooping.

During 2000-1 from his home in Hornsey, north London, and using a computer with just a limited 56K dial-up modem, he turned his sights on the American government and military.

"My main thing was wanting to find out about UFOs and suppressed technology," he said insisting his intention was not to cause damage. "I wanted to... find out stuff the government wouldn't tell you about."

He said it was easy, despite being only a rank amateur. Using the hacking name "Solo," he discovered that many U.S. top-security systems were using an insecure Microsoft Windows program and had no password protection at all.

"So I got commercially available off-the-shelf software and used them to scan large military networks ... anything I thought might have possible links to UFO information," he said.

Aliens?

He said he came across a group called the "Disclosure Project," which had expert testimonies from senior figures who said technology obtained from extra-terrestrials did exist.

One NASA scientist had reported that the Johnson Space Center had a facility where UFOs were airbrushed out of high-resolution satellite images. So, he hacked in.

"I saw what I'm convinced was some kind of satellite or spacecraft but it was manufactured by no means I have ever seen before - there were no rivets, no seams, it was like one flawless piece of material. And that was above the Earth."

However, his probing came to an end in March 2002, when British police arrested him.

"I was completely obsessed. I was completely addicted. It was like a huge game but I was getting very paranoid," he said.

McKinnon's own story might sound like the plot of a movie, but the charges he faces are deadly serious. He argues he is being made a scapegoat by U.S. authorities to deter other would-be hackers rather than address their own security flaws.

"I'm already being treated as a terrorist," he said. "I appear in an official American army pamphlet... in a guide to combating terrorism in the 21st century."

The next stage of his legal battle takes place on May 10. But he hints that whatever happens, he has a lot more to tell.

"I can't talk about a lot of stuff that I found. It's just not the right time," he said with a smile.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 28](#)

Re: E.T. True Believers To Descend On Desert

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 11:08:02 +0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 07:46:27 -0400
Subject: Re: E.T. True Believers To Descend On Desert

>From: Bob Shell <bob.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 17:01:22 -0400
>Subject: Re: E.T. True Believers To Descend On Desert 'UFO-Ville'

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 15:04:11 -0400
>>Subject: E.T. True Believers To Descend On Desert 'UFO-Ville'

>>In 1953 Van Tassel claimed to have been visited by aliens who
>>instructed him to build a structure aimed at extending human
>>life to help people take advantage of the wisdom acquired
>>through age. He called it the Integratron, and it became his
>>mission for the next 25 years.

>But did Van Tassel live longer than normal after building this
>thing? My understanding is that he didn't.

>More trickery by those funny little folks?

>Bob Shell

More conning by those cunning con-men.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 28](#)

You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 12:10:54 +0100 (BST)
Fwd Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 07:57:31 -0400
Subject: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up

Source: Stuart Miller's Blog

<http://tinyurl.com/gpc6d>

Friday, April 28, 2006

You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up
(Actually, you can, because someone just has)

In the past I have given those two old duffers, Harney and Rimmer, more than a fair dose of stick. There's nothing wrong with sceptics but when they present themselves in such a naive and often almost childish manner, they are just ripe for having a poke at. And because they are soft targets, it's fun. Cruel fun, but it's fun nevertheless.

So here goes another effort.

They have started a blog. Fair enough. Somebody ought to tell them though that when you write one, something longer than a sentence or two is generally required. It helps a bit as folk actually like to have something to read.

But the latest entry, by Harney, is a gem.

As it's so short, here it is in it's entirety;

<http://mufob.blogspot.com/>

Ufology as Modern Folklore

I am attempting to write an article for the next issue of Magonia Supplement on the general theme that the whole UFOs and ufology business is nothing more than a modern myth, which is best studied by folklorists, psychologists and sociologists, rather than astronomers, physicists (nuclear or otherwise!), and self-styled "Serious Ufologists", most of whom are self-deluded buffoons. The general idea is to dispel the lies, delusions and paranoid fantasies, by such methods as pointing out that reports of apparent "true UFOs" never stand up to critical examination. Your comments on this theme are welcome (but remember that comments are moderated).

And then afterwards, John is going to find a cure for cancer and arrange for global warming to err stop.

The word "clown" seems to keep trying to force it's way to the front of my brain, but Lord knows why.

There are I suppose many comments that one could make. But the real cause of Harney's problem is that he is British and of a certain age and upbringing. As such, he has no imagination that can be traced and above all, is anally retentive. This thing about us Brits having a "stiff upper lip" is really another way of saying that many of us walk around with six foot iron rods

shoved up our asses - except that in Harney's case, his is twelve foot long. The man has spent a life time around the paranormal and yet seems convinced that everything is buttoned down and explainable. In his little world perhaps it is. The real world is another matter entirely.

I invite you all to help John in his quest by submitting helpful comments to the blog but am distressed to notice that comments are moderated, which says an awful lot about not very much.

I thought I was the Clown Prince of Ufology but I recognise a better talent when I see one.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 28](#)

Re: Lawn Landing & City Flyovers - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <alienview.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 06:19:51 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 08:14:55 -0400
Subject: Re: Lawn Landing & City Flyovers - Lehmborg

>From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 14:26:16 -0700
>Subject: Lawn Landing & City Flyovers [was: Re: Phoenix Lights...]

>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 04:07:29 EDT
>>Subject: Phoenix Lights Did I Miss Something?

>>I'm sitting here realizing I've yet to see an official
>>explanation for the Phoenix Lights Incident that is worth a
>>darn.

><snip>

>>It's just gnawing at me as people will often ask why UFOs don't
>>land on the White House lawn or fly over major cities.

><snip>

>Has anyone asked why UFOs should land on the White House Lawn?

>Imagine a scene like this. Ufonauts are going down a check-
>list before returning to some base elsewhere.

>"Lets see. Did we scare some livestock out in the sticks? OK."

>"Take soil and plant samples? Yes..."

>"Hide from radar? Use our camouflage? Check!"

>"Oh heck! We forgot to land on the White House Lawn!"

>"Well, we can do that next time."

I could certainly imagine how they would have avoided it for the
last 6 years...

alienview.nul
www.AlienView.net
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 28](#)

Re: The Best Short UFO Report? - Hall

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 11:30:02 +0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 08:16:22 -0400
Subject: Re: The Best Short UFO Report? - Hall

>From: Joe Faccenda <Uforth.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 18:35:07 EDT
>Subject: The Best Short UFO Report?

>While many of the more documented UFO cases have been the
>subject of books, TV presentations, etc.

>I suspect it is sometimes a brief report, perhaps only a few
>paragraphs that can capture the imagination, and in many ways
>may be the basis of an accumulated UFO learning curve.

>With this thought can I ask the List what short seminal report
>has lingered in their consciousness, and perhaps why?

>If I may I would like to kick off with this report from 1956. In
>many respects it encompasses all the elements that should have
>made headline news.

>Scientists on hand, craft in the same position for several days,
>scientific instruments to measure speed, etc. and yet it is
>forgotten. Although if any subsequent investigation was done on
>this report I would be delighted to hear about it.

> UFO ROUNDUP
>Volume 4, Number 1
>January 5, 1999
>Editor: Joseph Trainor

>1956: Two UFOs Appear Over Antarctica

I have a much briefer report that has always stuck in my mind,
since it simply and clearly embodies the entire UFO mystery.
About 1958 while at NICAP, we received a report from a police
officer in a small town in New Jersey. As I recall, his report
was less than one page (the case is mentioned in The UFO
Evidence, 1964).

He and his partner were sitting in their cruiser at an overlook,
elevated area in the wee hours. Suddenly a glowing red
elliptical object approached, hovered overhead for a while, then
shot straight up out of sight. Given the credibility of the
witnesses, there is no conventional, prosaic, ordinary
explanation even possible. Of course, Pelicanists can always
claim that they didn't really see a glowing red elliptical
object. They imagined it, or mistook a luminous bat for a UFO.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 28](#)

Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment -

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 11:46:55 +0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 08:18:19 -0400
Subject: Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment -

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 16:39:36 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment

>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 19:30:27 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment

>>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 15:16:26 -0400
>>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment

>>>Source: eMediaWire - Ferndale, Washington, USA

>>><http://www.emediawire.com/releases/2006/4/emw378209.htm>

>><snip>

>>This is the polar opposite, New Age to the core, viewpoint to
>>that of Susan Ckancy & Co. And probably just as wrong.

>>In my experience some small percentage of abductees find
>>"spiritual enlightenemnt", while a large majoritty are scared to
>>death and have their entire world view badly shaken.

>Could it be that those without prior "spiritual" experience,
>however they choose to define it, are more prone to think of
>abductions as spiritual?

I would think it far more likely to be the exact opposite.

Spiritual seekers, or people with a New Age mindset, or strongly
religious people would tend to find what they are looking for:
"enlightenment" or something to believe in.

I suspect that people who were spiritually inclined in the first
place would be more likely to find something positive in an
abduction experience, and people who were inclined to buy into
the scientific, secular worldview (like me) would be more likely
to be scared by it.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 28](#)

Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment -

From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 11:54:05 +0000
Fwd Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 08:20:51 -0400
Subject: Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment -

>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 16:39:36 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment

>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 19:30:27 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment

>>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 15:16:26 -0400
>>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>>>Subject: UFO UpDate: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment

>>>Source: eMediaWire - Ferndale, Washington, USA

>>><http://www.emediawire.com/releases/2006/4/emw378209.htm>

><snip>

>>This is the polar opposite, New Age to the core, viewpoint to
>>that of Susan Ckancy & Co. And probably just as wrong.

>>In my experience some small percentage of abductees find
>>"spiritual enlightenemnt", while a large majoritty are scared to
>>death and have their entire world view badly shaken.

>Could it be that those without prior "spiritual" experience,
>however they choose to define it, are more prone to think of
>abductions as spiritual?

I forgot to add one further proviso to my comments.

A person with strong religious beliefs that closely govern
his/her life (say a fundamentalist) and who is not terribly
intellectual, or flexible in their thinking, probably would
have their worldview badly shattered too.

I have watched many an abductee try to make their experience
conform to their pre-existing religious beliefs or to their
scientific worldview, and struggle with it. The framework of
(attempted) understanding is all important.

- Dick

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 28](#)

Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <alienview.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 07:13:11 -0500
Fwd Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 08:23:46 -0400
Subject: Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Lehmborg

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 12:10:54 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up

>Source: Stuart Miller's Blog

><http://tinyurl.com/gpc6d>

>Friday, April 28, 2006

>You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up
>(Actually, you can, because someone just has)

>In the past I have given those two old duffers, Harney and
>Rimmer, more than a fair dose of stick. There's nothing wrong
>with sceptics but when they present themselves in such a naive
>and often almost childish manner, they are just ripe for having
>a poke at. And because they are soft targets, it's fun. Cruel
>fun, but it's fun nevertheless.

>So here goes another effort.

>They have started a blog. Fair enough. Somebody ought to tell
>them though that when you write one, something longer than a
>sentence or two is generally required. It helps a bit as folk
>actually like to have something to read.

>But the latest entry, by Harney, is a gem.

>As it's so short, here it is in it's entirety;

>---

><http://mufob.blogspot.com/>

When properly aroused by Mr. Harney. I suspect breast implants
and longer heels could be his only possible, if ultimately
futile, avenue to that end, he can read about it unmoderated, of
course, over at my end of blogspot.

That said, I further suspect that a "mufob" will be a watch-fob
attached to a clock correct only twice each day.

alienview.nul
www.AlienView.net
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <alienview.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 07:52:32 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 08:56:51 -0400
Subject: Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Lehmborg

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 12:10:54 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up

>Source: Stuart Miller's Blog

><http://tinyurl.com/gpc6d>

>Friday, April 28, 2006

>You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up
>(Actually, you can, because someone just has)

>In the past I have given those two old duffers, Harney and
>Rimmer, more than a fair dose of stick. There's nothing wrong
>with sceptics but when they present themselves in such a naive
>and often almost childish manner, they are just ripe for having
>a poke at. And because they are soft targets, it's fun. Cruel
>fun, but it's fun nevertheless.

I just visited "The Broken-watch Fob." A remarkably tedious
effort of tedious tediousness, even for them, and as
intellectually inane, pathetically prosaic, and anally
assumptive as it is painful to the eye. But, maybe that's just
me...

alienview.nul
www.AlienView.net
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 15:05:58 +0100
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 08:58:58 -0400
Subject: Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Dickenson

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 12:10:54 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up

>Source: Stuart Miller's Blog
><http://tinyurl.com/qpc6d>

<snip>

>They have started a blog. Fair enough. Somebody ought to tell
>them though that when you write one, something longer than a
>sentence or two is generally required. It helps a bit as folk
>actually like to have something to read.

>But the latest entry, by Harney, is a gem.

>As it's so short, here it is in it's entirety;

><http://mufob.blogspot.com/>

>Ufology as Modern Folklore

>I am attempting to write an article for the next issue of
>Magonia Supplement on the general theme that the whole UFOs and
>ufology business is nothing more than a modern myth, which is
>best studied by folklorists, psychologists and sociologists,
>rather than astronomers, physicists (nuclear or otherwise!), and
>self-styled "Serious Ufologists", most of whom are self-deluded
>buffoons. The general idea is to dispel the lies, delusions and
>paranoid fantasies, by such methods as pointing out that reports
>of apparent "true UFOs" never stand up to critical examination.
>Your comments on this theme are welcome (but remember that
>comments are moderated).

Stuart,

That 'invite' is so prescriptive that genuine agreement could
only come from believers in the universe of Aristotle and
Ptolemy, since most real scientists since Copernicus have had to
admit their lack of certainty about 'reality' and 'forces'.

[Not counting those anal establishment hacks who parrot obsolete
text books.]

Suppose we should be careful not to introduce him to the real
world - probably too much for him - but am tempted.

Cheers

Ray D

"Truth does not change because it is, or is not, believed by a
majority of the people." - Giordano Bruno: (1548-1600)

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Re: Phoenix Lights Did I Miss Something? - Hamilton

From: Bill Hamilton <skyman22.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 08:36:43 -0700
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 09:11:16 -0400
Subject: Re: Phoenix Lights Did I Miss Something? - Hamilton

>From: Steven Kaeser <steve.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 08:53:38 -0400
>Subject: Re: Phoenix Lights Did I Miss Something?

>>From: Greg Boone <Evolbaby.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 04:07:29 EDT
>>Subject: Phoenix Lights Did I Miss Something?

>>I'm sitting here realizing I've yet to see an official
>>explanation for the Phoenix Lights Incident that is worth a
>>darn.

>>Did I miss something? Can anyone refer me to data regarding
>>this incident? I recall watching it live on television and the
>>explanation was that it was caused by a series of flares dropped
>>by Arizona's National Guard during a training operation. Please
>>correct me if I'm wrong.

>You're asking a general question about an event that was fairly
>complicated and that perpetuates the myth that there's a simple
>concise answer to what happened and that somewhere there's a
>vast conspiracy to keep it under wraps.

>I have seen Bruce Maccabee's presentation the results of
>triangulation and that tends to confirm that the series of
>lights seen winking out in several videos are (indeed) flares,
>and this matches the information provided by the Maryland
>National Guard unit that was flying at the time.

><http://brumac.8k.com/phoenixlights2.html>

I respectfully disagree with this analysis and conclusion
having seen these so-called flares through telescopic
observation - flares that were perfectly round like a dime
and did not have any coronal glow.

See: <http://www.astrosociences.info/Phoenix.htm>

>On the other hand, there is no explanation for a number of
>sightings that were not so well photographed throughout the
>State of Arizona that evening. More information on this can be
>found at

><http://www.ufoevidence.org/cases/case270.htm>

I have documented at least 10 separate events on the night of
March 13th, 1997 and just recently received another late report.

-Bill

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Clark

From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 10:40:09 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 10:30:39 -0400
Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Clark

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 21:32:08 +0000
>Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 08:48:03 -0500
>>Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>>Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 13:08:15 -0300
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>>>>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>>>>Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 11:42:32 -0500
>>>>Subject: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

Hi, Dick,

>My immediate reaction to reading this account when first posted was to wonder why the craft/wreckage was described in such meager detail. Wasn't the alleged unusual nature of what was found supposedly the core of the story after all? But I realized that was probably all Jerry had found.

>One thing I think we can say in this case (and Jerry can correct me if I am mistaken) is that it did not occur within a context of ongoing airship/UFO reports and tall tales related thereto at that time, as can be said of the 1896-97 stories.

>One must always consider the possibility of newspaper hoaxes or jokes, especially at certain periods of history. But in 1920?

I've searched for other information, so far to no avail. So, unfortunately, we're stuck with a poorly written, thoroughly inadequate story which is open to any number of interpretations and speculations.

Somebody - I believe it was James Smith - suggested that the pilot had survived the wreck and carried off the motor, presumably under his own power. A reasonable guess, perhaps, but for me the problem - not necessarily an insurmountable one - is that it presumes that (1) the guy was uninjured and (2) carrying off the engine was physically accomplishable. Who knows? If we had more details, we wouldn't be here stumbling in the dark.

I doubt that this was a hoax. It could have been an entirely explainable event made enigmatic by bad reporting, or it could be more interesting than that. At this stage it's impossible to say more.

Jerry Clark

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Secrecy News -- 04/28/06

From: Steven Aftergood <saftergood.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 11:41:14 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 10:32:19 -0400
Subject: Secrecy News -- 04/28/06

SECRECY NEWS
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy
Volume 2006, Issue No. 52
April 28, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

** DISFAVORED CIA REPORTS PLACED ONLINE
** FAS STATEMENT ON PERSONNEL SECURITY (1954)
** ODDS AND ENDS
** SOME MORE CRS REPORTS

DISFAVORED CIA REPORTS PLACED ONLINE

U.S. News and World Report reported last January that at least three publications of the CIA's Center for the Study of Intelligence, all critical of the agency, had been withheld from the CIA web site ("A Tangled Web Woven," by David E. Kaplan, U.S. News, January 30, 2006).

Now two of those disfavored publications are available on the Federation of American Scientists web site. The third will follow.

"Intelligence for a New Era in American Foreign Policy" is the report of a conference convened by the Center for the Study of Intelligence, published in January 2004 (1.3 MB PDF):

<http://www.fas.org/irp/cia/product/newera.pdf>

"Analytic Culture in the U.S. Intelligence Community: An Ethnographic Study" is an interesting and unusual effort to assess intelligence analysis from an anthropological viewpoint, published in 2005. See (184 pages, 8 MB):

<http://www.fas.org/irp/cia/product/analytic.pdf>

It is a small irony of the Information Age that by attempting to selectively withhold these publications from the web, the CIA has practically guaranteed that more people will read them than would have otherwise done so.

But CIA seems to have little understanding of that fact, and the Agency's efforts to suppress criticism are as relentless as they are self-defeating.

"The CIA has imposed new and tighter restrictions on the books, articles, and opinion pieces published by former employees who are still contractors with the intelligence agency," writes Shane Harris.

See "Silencing the Squeaky Wheel" by Shane Harris, National Journal, April 27:

<http://news.nationaljournal.com/articles/0427nj1.htm>

See also "Excessive Secrecy Hurting CIA Studies" by Shaun Waterman, UPI, April 27:

<http://www.washingtontimes.com/upi/20060420-121533-2221r.htm>

FAS STATEMENT ON PERSONNEL SECURITY (1954)

When the government revoked the security clearance of J. Robert Oppenheimer on purported national security grounds in April 1954, it sent shock waves through the scientific community and elsewhere.

If Oppenheimer, the man who had done more than any other individual to advance the development of the atomic bomb, was a security risk to the nation, then who might not be?

In the aftermath of the Oppenheimer proceeding, the Federation of American Scientists issued two short statements on how to improve personnel security policy so as "to safeguard the rights of present and prospective government employees and to promote the true security of the nation."

The FAS statements, presented to Atomic Energy Commission Chairman Lewis L. Strauss in July 1954, still have some pertinence today. A copy is posted here (1.7 MB PDF):

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/othergov/doe/fas1954.pdf>

Secrecy News' friend Priscilla McMillan's superb account of the security proceeding against Oppenheimer has just been published in paperback.

See "The Ruin of J. Robert Oppenheimer" by Priscilla J. McMillan, Penguin Books, 2006:

<http://tinyurl.com/ftrxb>

ODDS AND ENDS

Sen. Arlen Specter (R-PA) filed an amendment that would prohibit all funding for the NSA domestic surveillance program unless and until the Bush Administration keeps Congress fully and currently informed of the program as required by law. See the text of his amendment here:

http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_cr/sa3679.html

The Bush Administration welcomed the House version of the 2007 Intelligence Authorization Act for the most part, but also found several objectionable points. A White House statement expressed opposition to one provision that required submission to Congress of an inventory of intelligence special access programs, and to another that would grant congressional access to portions of the intelligence community computer network. See the April 26 Statement of Administration Policy on H.R. 5020 here:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/news/2006/04/sap042606.pdf>

The Justice Department has prepared detailed guidance for executive branch agencies to assist them in complying with President Bush's Executive Order 13392 on "Improving Agency Disclosure of Information," which was intended to enhance the operation of the Freedom of Information Act. See "Executive Order 13,392 Implementation Guidance":

<http://www.usdoj.gov/oip/foiapost/2006foiapost6.htm>

SOME MORE CRS REPORTS

Some notable new reports of the Congressional Research Service include the following:

"The Cost of Iraq, Afghanistan, and Other Global War on Terror Operations Since 9/11," April 24, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/natsec/RL33110.pdf>

"Arab League Boycott of Israel," April 19, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/mideast/RS22424.pdf>

"U.S. Special Operations Forces (SOF): Background and Issues for Congress," updated April 17, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/natsec/RS21048.pdf>

"Sudan: Humanitarian Crisis, Peace Talks, Terrorism, and U.S. Policy," updated April 12, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/row/IB98043.pdf>

"Nonproliferation and Threat Reduction Assistance: U.S. Programs in the Former Soviet Union," updated April 6, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/nuke/RL31957.pdf>

"Afghanistan: Post-War Governance, Security, and U.S. Policy," updated April 6, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/row/RL30588.pdf>

"China-Southeast Asia Relations: Trends, Issues, and Implications for the United States," updated April 4, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/row/RL32688.pdf>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to secrecy_news-request.nul with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to saftergood.nul

Secrecy News is archived at:
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:
http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp

Steven Aftergood
Project on Government Secrecy
Federation of American Scientists
web: www.fas.org/sqp/index.html
email: saftergood.nul
voice: (202) 454-4691

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Re: The Best Short UFO Report? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 13:36:50 -0300
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 10:34:56 -0400
Subject: Re: The Best Short UFO Report? - Ledger

>From: Joe Faccenda <Uforth.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 18:35:07 EDT
>Subject: The Best Short UFO Report?

>While many of the more documented UFO cases have been the
>subject of books, TV presentations, etc.

>I suspect it is sometimes a brief report, perhaps only a few
>paragraphs that can capture the imagination, and in many ways
>may be the basis of an accumulated UFO learning curve.

>With this thought can I ask the List what short seminal report
>has lingered in their consciousness, and perhaps why?

<snip>

RCMP Constable Ian Andrews and Lands and Forest Officer Bert Green and two game wardens were staked out, late in the evening in a vehicle watching a clearing in South Weymouth, NS for deer jackers [deer poachers. It was approximately 11:15 PM. Andrews related that they were drinking coffee from thermoses and speaking in low voices to one another to offset the boredom of the stakeout. Andrews said the conversation died out and he then realized that the other three were looking out of the windshield and upwards at a curious object transiting the night sky from northwest to south east. Andrews noted that it looked like an inverted candle while Green described a flickering spear of bright light in a vertical position. They watched as it drifted silently [they rolled down the car window] over their vehicle on its course to the southeast and disappeared over the tree line.

The next day Andrews visited the Lands and Forest office in Bear River, NS and asked Green if he's heard about the other excitement of the night before. Green said no. Andrews related a UFO incident seen by three other mounties and many witnesses from a small fishing village to the southeast of their position the previous evening. Bert showed him a line he had drawn on a survey map from a compass bearing he had taken after they had seen the thing. The line went over Shag Harbour, Nova Scotia. The date October 4, 1967.

The reason this particular case sticks in my mind is how I came to know about it. The circumstances were tragic. In 1999 a Bob, a mountie in the Bridgewater region of Nova Scotia contacted me [that doesn't often happen] to tell me that he had been at the funeral of another mountie in 1998 who had been shot to death while interviewing a suspect. The suspect was in the back of the mountie's cruiser and had a hand gun.

During the funeral which was attended by policemen from all over Canada and the US, this mountie ran into an old mountie friend [Ian Andrews-though he didn't remember the man's name] with whom he had been working with out of Digby, Nova Scotia back in the 60s. Andrews brought up the subject of the aforementioned UFO sighting after having seen me on a local Live at Five CTV program talking about my book Maritime UFO Files and I'd touched on the Shag Harbour case. He said he'd seen the thing before the three mounties at Shag Harbour.

It took me a couple of weeks to track down Andrews from only a description of him from RCMP constable Bob. Andrews was still an RCMP officer and was working not 20 miles away from me in the RCMP detachment at Halifax International Airport. He then told me the account in detail with Bert Green's name [Bert gave me the game wardens' names as well-one deceased and the other living out west somewhere].

It was very satisfying to track down someone and get the names of the others involved and the link between their sighting estimated to be about 5-10 minutes before the incident at Shag Harbour.

This is one of several short snappers that hook me - despite the length of text above.

Don Ledger

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Tripping The Lights Fantastic

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 10:37:47 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 10:37:47 -0400
Subject: Tripping The Lights Fantastic

Source: The Sydney Morning Herald - Australia

<http://tinyurl.com/nl3kf>

April 29, 2006

Seeing Is Believing - Tripping The Lights Fantastic

By Bellinda Kontominas

Standing in the darkness on Long Reef peninsula, seeing anything - let alone alien aircraft - is proving difficult. That is the challenge for members of the UFO Society of Western Sydney, who are on one of their regular UFO expeditions.

The northern beaches is a "hot spot" for unidentified flying objects, according to the Campbelltown-based group, which says it has received hundreds of "genuine UFO sightings" since its inception in 2000.

However, the only sightings this evening are aircraft, which - with a long stretch of the imagination - look vaguely like spaceships as their lights emerge from the clouds. Another "sighting" occurs when a glowing orange light whizzes past the group. "Did you see that?" asks Attila Kaldy, president of the group. But this is no flying saucer, just a flying cigarette ember.

"Most people would think we're crazy coming out here," Mr Kaldy says, as the wind howls and the second downpour of the evening hits.

UFO-spotting is not just a hobby for this group with six researchers and about 20 fee-paying members, who also conduct night watches in the Blue Mountains and the Macarthur region.

Many say they have seen things they cannot explain, including aircraft that submerge into water and aliens in silhouette. One claims to have been abducted.

It was on June 5, 1999, that Laszlo Novak says he first encountered a UFO, three orange "doughnut-shaped objects" in the sky above his home in Minto. After another sighting that night they appeared again, this time directly above the Hungarian-born retiree. "It was beautiful, and I couldn't believe what I was seeing."

Realising he could not account for more than an hour that night, Mr Novak underwent hypnotherapy and recounted what he believes was an abduction. "I saw a light. I was lying down on a table and could see a shadowy figure on another table next to me in jeans and a white blouse and I saw a shadowy face in front of me.

"It has made me very emotional. It's very hard to talk about, but that's why we come to this group - to talk about it."

The UFO Society of Western Sydney meets monthly at Campbelltown City Library to report on sightings and discuss the paranormal. The group will host this year's national UFO conference at Campbelltown Catholic Club on May 6.

Most people are willing to consider there may be life on other planets, Mr Kaldy says, although he admits there are sceptics. "People have a problem accepting the unknown because it's out of the ordinary realms of our lives and out of our comfort zones."

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Re: What Was San Diego Mystery Boom? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 14:17:06 -0300
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 10:41:43 -0400
Subject: Re: What Was San Diego Mystery Boom? - Ledger

>Source: Sploid.Com - Budapest, Hungary

>http://www.sploid.com/news/2006/04/what_was_the_my.php

>April 27, 2006

>What Was San Diego Mystery Boom?

<snip>

>The shock wave appeared to originate over "Warning Area 291," a
>mysterious million-square-mile part of the Pacific Ocean that's
>closed to civilian sea and air traffic.

I have a bit of trouble with the million square mile size of WA 291. That's an area 1,000 miles on a side. Since those parameters would have USN jurisdiction extending well out into international waters where they have no jurisdiction, it would then have to be all along the US west coast. If that was the case, nothing would be moving out of the western US to fly or sail even further westward.

See: <http://www.globalsecurity.org/military/facility/socal.htm>

>U.S. Navy spokesmen continue to deny they were up to no good on
>April 4.

My money is on just the opposite. Why would they admit to anything?

>Navy Cmdr. William Fenick claimed that no warships were active
>in the restricted area that day.

>"We don't know at this time where this earthquake-like
>sensation came from," he told local media.

>They've also denied that Navy or Air Force jets caused a sonic
>boom that day.

I doubt a sonic boom. They happen quite frequently off-shore. The Concorde used to fly by here on its way to New York and you could set your watch by its faint double boom as it passed about 100 miles to the east.

>"I would guess it's either an explosion that somebody hasn't
>told us about or it could have been a meteor coming into the
>atmosphere," Scripps professor Peter Shearer told the San Diego
>Union-Tribune.

This doesn't seem to be too well thought out. Something of that size should have made more than a ripple if it went in-and a lot of noise on the way down. If it was [as has been theorized about that event] a mini-Tunguska type detonation, that has more possibility.

>"But it was certainly a big disturbance in the atmosphere."

>No fireballs were reported on the morning of April 4.

As per above.

What time of day did this happen anyway?

Don

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Re: The Best Short UFO Report? - Sandow

From: **Greg Sandow** <greg.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 15:32:50 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 10:44:30 -0400
Subject: Re: The Best Short UFO Report? - Sandow

>From: Joe Faccenda <Uforth.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 18:35:07 EDT
>Subject: The Best Short UFO Report?

>While many of the more documented UFO cases have been the
>subject of books, TV presentations, etc.

>I suspect it is sometimes a brief report, perhaps only a few
>paragraphs that can capture the imagination, and in many ways
>may be the basis of an accumulated UFO learning curve.

>With this thought can I ask the List what short seminal
>report has lingered in their consciousness, and perhaps why?

This is a wonderful question, I think.

For me, the most haunting reports are those that I've heard
first-hand. I'll list a few.

I've talked to a married couple, a group of friends, and a
single individual who - all of them, independently of each other
- said they had very similar UFO experiences in the Hudson
Valley region of New York state. All three reported essentially
the same thing - something really, really large moving overhead,
silently, apparently at low altitude. In one case, the apparent
object was said to be "as large as a football field." In another
it was, with more color but obviously less specificity, "as big
as the starship Enterprise." In the third, it was described as
having a pattern of something like piping on its underside,
something that's been reported in other cases, too.

I have no way of knowing whether these people were telling the
truth. On the other hand, others have reported very similar
things, often in that region. I also remember watching a middle-
aged couple on TV talking about something that passed overhead
in (I think I'm remembering this right), the American southwest.
It was, again, "as big as the starship Enterprise."

Taken individually, there's no reason to take any of these cases
as compelling evidence. Taken together, they begin to make an
impact on me.

Other reports I've heard:

Somebody I know says that a large disc-shaped object with
colored lights on the bottom hovered just outside her dorm room
window when she was in college. She says she could have touched
it, if her roommate would have held her while she leaned out the
window.

Someone else I know told me that one evening many years ago he
stepped outside his home in Long Island, outside New York City,
and saw a disk-shaped object slowly making its way across his
town, at low altitude, silently.

Again I have no way of knowing whether these people are telling
the truth, or whether they're not confusing dreams with reality,

or whatever. I can only say that cases like these do exist (in profusion, really) in the literature, and that it's very impressive when you hear one first hand, from someone who at least appears to be grounded and sensible.

Finally I might mention reports of abduction-like phenomena I heard when I was actively interested in abductions. Of course this wasn't a subject I'd talk about with everybody. But twice, when I did venture to talk about it, either the person I was talking to - or, in one case, the person's roommate, who joined the conversation - said something like, "But things like that have happened to me!" And then they proceeded to list a familiar array of abduction-related phenomena - lights in the bedroom, beings by the way, being taken away, and so on. These were people who'd never reported any of this to any abduction researcher. In fact, they appeared to be hearing of the phenomenon for the first time. And it wasn't as if my talking about abductions suddenly triggered buried memories. Both times, the people involved said that these were conscious memories they'd had for much of their lives. They'd just never had any context to put them in before.

Once again - I don't know if these people really had these experiences. Or, much less, if they were actually abducted. Though one of them has been a friend of mine for many years, and I'd vouch without a moment's hesitation for anything else she says.

Well, a couple of more things I've heard.

Once I was on a radio show in New York with John Velez, Budd Hopkins, and Linda, from the famous Linda case. We talked for a while, and then they opened the show up to phone calls. The people who called in reported UFO sightings which they said they'd never told anyone about before. Nothing wildly dramatic, no close encounters - just things seen in the sky. The tone of voice was, in almost every case, unforgettable. It was hard not to believe that they'd really seen something, whatever it may have been, and that - just as important, if you ask me - they'd never had anyone to talk to about it before.

Once I was talking to an abductee who worked with Budd Hopkins. She was an African-American woman who'd grown up in rural Mississippi. This, at the time she lived there, was not exactly a friendly environment for black people. One night, she said, she was out driving, and saw a red glow in the woods, off the main road. She told me that she said to herself, "That might be a Ku Klux Klan rally." And then she says she turned her car off the road, and drove towards it. Once she was far away from the main road, she said, she had an abduction experience.

This was all a conscious memory. What sticks in my mind, after she told me this, was how she'd driven right towards what she thought might be a Klan rally - a gathering, in other words, of ugly white racists, about the last people she'd want to encounter in the woods late at night.

Again, I can't vouch for any of these stories. I don't have evidence that any of them are true. But when you hear a lot of things like this, from apparently grounded people who talk with appropriate emotion - it's hard to forget.

Greg Sandow

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 16:36:53 -0300
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 10:48:04 -0400
Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Ledger

>From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 11:57:29 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
>Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 13:08:15 -0300
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>>>Iowa City [Iowa] Press-Citizen
>>>July 7, 1920

>>>Mystery Veils Fallen Airship
>>>Where Did Pilot and Motor Go,
>>>When Plane Fell in Woods
>>>Along Iowa River?

>><snip>

>>This one is long on mystery but short on details, as are most
>>'UFO' sightings or incidents.

<snip>

>>If not burned ship? Wonder if that means "but" not burned
>>ship, rather than "if"?

>I think it is burned see below.....

>Iowa City Press
>July 8, 1920

>"Mystery still veils the discovery of a wrecked, mostly-burned,
>abandoned aeroplane in the woods, along the Iowa river, near
>Butler's landing. Whose was it? What dropped it there? Where is
>the pilot who was in charge of the flight? These are some of the
>unanswerable questions."

>My guess is that the pilot thought the airplane was
>unsalvagable except for the motor and took it with him.

I started a long description of what happens when a plane crashes and burns and why, etc. But mostly it comes down to how the pilot would pack out a 200-350 pound engine if this was a single or two place aircraft. If it crashed and burned [gas/magneto spark-not likely, or gas/hot exhaust-very likely]then the engine would be damaged, heads warped etc. The more likely scenario is that in flight the plane lost a large piece of the prop and before the pilot could pull power the engine-now violently oscillating-tore itself off the motor mounts and escaped the cowl [sometimes it doesn't but some of them didn't have cowls back then] and it got real quiet up at the sharp end. The aircraft then would become unmanageble without its weight-forward C of G. Most people don't know that the tail wing is there to counteract [it lifts downward] the weight of the engine in the nose. Without the engine, that really comes into play and makes the plan tend to tailslide and the control surfaces don't work. The plane is pretty much on its

own then and there's little the pilot could do except ride it in or maybe he was thrown into the river when the plane went in to a tail-low flat spin.

This could solve two of the three parameters of the crash. But without the engine, what caused the fire? There were no electrics in small aircraft in 1920. Even the military bombers had only limited non-rechargeable battery power.

The pilot might have had a parachute but most likely did not. If he was too low when the engine departed it would have been of little help.

Since there is absolutely no details about the "airship" other than it crashed and burned and the pilot and motor were missing, without finding someone who was there, cripes knows what it was.

Don

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Re: Research Into Encounters & Telepathy? -

From: Gary Matteson <mystrius.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 14:45:31 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 10:52:34 -0400
Subject: Re: Research Into Encounters & Telepathy? -

>From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 17:21:51 EDT
>Subject: Re: Research Into Encounters & Telepathy?

>>From: Irene Rome <ikrom1.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 09:44:39 -0700
>>Subject: Research Into Encounters & Telepathy?

>>Does anyone know if there is investigation into encounters with
>>telepathy as the focus?

>>Irene

>Well, Telepathy, is the most often reported means of
>communication reported during abductions, and also with some
>sightings. Many Contactees have also reported that they were
>initially contacted via telepathy.

>Now, this brings up troublesome questions. If beings can
>effectively communicate with our minds, then either we are
>dealing with a type of hallucination/delusion, or the phenomena
>has a far greater hold/control of our perceptions and mental
>states than we realize.

>One of the questions raised is just how telepathy is achieved.
>There are two basic camps. The first being telepathy_via_
>evolution and the second being telepathy_via_technology. I side
>with the latter.

>There is no life form currently known to science which uses
>telepathy as a means of communication. A clue that points to a
>technological explanation is the fact that we humans are not
>that far off from creating a technological form of telepathy.

>I, and several others, have predicted that today's Internet will
>eventually be offered via brain implant, allowing access to the
>direct thoughts of others. This brings up the subject of
>implants reported by abductees.

I value your commentary. However, the comment regarding
telepathy suggests you're reading/research needs augmenting.

R.A. McConnell

<http://tinyurl.com/pgnog>

addresses the doubts you harbor, in an article "Scientific
Belief as Obedience to Authority." But as you'll read, supposing
you do read, R.A. McConnell learned there is indeed a scientific
basis on which to consider mental telepathy as real, as worthy
of study. Not addressed is our interest in aerial phenomena, for
our purposes however, this isn't necessary.

Please do continue to discuss and debate.

Regards,

Gary C. Matteson

MUFON-NE <http://tinyurl.com/8v9xy>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 20:53:14 +0100 (BST)
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 10:54:36 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller

>From: Rob Kritkauskay <robkrit.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 08:16:01 -0700 (PDT)
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 16:41:33 +0100 (BST)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>>I accept the negative comments you make about the general
>>reliability of such a source but it would be a strange game >
>indeed that they were playing if they ever stood up and
>>said, "Guess what, we've something to tell you" when it
>>wasn't true.

>A strange game indeed. Standing up and saying something like
>"It was one of our dummy-filled balloons that crashed" or "He
>surely is in possession of WMDs" or "I never had sex with that
>women"? We should not depend on words spoken by subjective
>individuals who are influenced by personal agendas to
>determine what is real.

>>What evidence we have on a possible course of action an
>>administration might take is of course scarce but we do have
>>the example of Clinton squawking about Allan Hills 84001 and
>>from that we can learn that far from being reticent or
>>misleading,they were so anxious to tell us that in their
>>haste they got it wrong. Bet you would have believed him
>>though if science had finally backed him up.

>These are two very different scenarios One is merely
>squawking, while the other is a statement supported by expert
>witnesses, If these scientist had a history of skill and
>objectivity in their fields and were presenting data from
>documented evidence, then I would certainly trust the source.
>What's important to understand here is either way, it would
>not be the "suit" that convinced me of anything.

I guess we just see things differently. I would like to clarify
that just because a government official makes a statement
doesn't necessarily mean I believe him but I think if there is
one thing, for example, that the AA film showed me at least, it
is that we are each of us very selective and are really only
prepared to believe or accept whatever our internal biases allow
us to accept. Many didn't believe Santilli in 1995 but those
same "many" were prepared to believe him in 2006. That to me
just doesn't make any sense.

No one believed that Clinton hadn't 'done' dear old Monica when
he said he hadn't and there were very grave doubts about claims
of WMD. But I believed Clinton when he said there was likely
to be life on Mars. Was I being selective there? What did he
possibly have to gain or hide by saying that?

The trouble is, what with this current US admin, all our filters
have shut up shop and we just reject everything now, pretty

much.

<snip>

>>And just what did this data prove? What did it show? You're
>>saying nothing here Rob except vague claims that amount to
>>not very much at all. And if the implication you are making >
>is that the object detected was "unusual" then I would ask, >
>how do you know? What's your comparison base?

>I enjoy the information you post on this List and I agree with
>you on many things, but I think you are in error here,
>Stewart.

>The data is not mine to introduce or discuss before
>publishing, let alone peer review. I can confirm what was
>probably already given, in terms of unusual.

>Let me illustrate how one would know from the instruments and
>data streams mentioned. Having video from two sources
>obviously gives you an idea of appearance, motion, color,
>duration etc., as well as eliminating any camera specific
>artifacts. Spectral data is great for telling you about how
>the light energy is being produced... The chemically
>generated light of a flare is much different than that of a
>street light or Venus for example and the spectral data
>reflects these differences. Readings from two separate GPS
>Spotting scopes will give you a location of where the light
>or object is. EM and Gamma Instruments that produce anomalous
>readings that correlate with the appearance, movements, or
>fluctuations of the lights are ideal for determining possible
>propulsion or power generation sources.

>For example, if you have documented an object's movement,
>determined it's location, identified the type of light it's
>emitting and can correlate gamma readings 10 times greater
>than the base to the object, then you really have everything
>you need. That is, you have everything you need to tell you
>what it is not - this was hypothetical of course.

Thanks for that very lucid explanation Rob. You're probably
going to find my response frustrating but it's pretty much the
same as last time. At the end of it, you still cannot be sure.
You simply cannot declare that something is non terrestrial
because you have no idea, no clear idea (unless your friends
actually do because of their working environment)that something
is non terrestrial because you have no idea what the US airforce
etc. have in development.

If media reports are correct, cloaking is now a realistic
probability and craft pump out masses of EM and no doubt all
sorts of other stuff as well.

Perhaps I'm missing a point here and if so, I apologise for my
ignorance. But I am just not convinced. OK, I'll certainly
withdraw my rather sweeping statement that you'd be wasting your
time but would prefer to see published data, and what's more,
understanding it, before I'd be prepared to fundamentally change
my POV.

regards

Stuart

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <catja90024.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 12:59:47 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 10:56:53 -0400
Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs? - Kasten

>From: Eleanor White <eleanor.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 16:53:33 -0400
>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

>>From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Wed, 26 Apr 2006 16:30:42 +0100
>>Subject: Re: What Do We Know About ETs?

><snip>

>>Have we got to train folk to think differently before they can
>>invent - or even `see' - more advanced things?

>Amen! And the training changes need to start by getting rid of
>the idea that if the scientific hierarchy can't explain
>something, it must not exist or be delusion.

Maybe it is a problem with the general public, scientists who
are not physicists needing to play catch-up. The cosmologists
are expanding their universe; the physicists are expanding their
universe.

The non-reading public and scientists in non-related fields are
way behind. The real scientific frontier is waaay out there.

I've already done the reading attempting to keep up with those
guys who are waiting for the rest of us to catch-up.

KK

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Hatch

From: Larry Hatch <larryhatch.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 13:16:24 -0700
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 10:58:38 -0400
Subject: Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Hatch

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 12:10:54 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up

>Source: Stuart Miller's Blog
><http://tinyurl.com/qpc6d>

>Friday, April 28, 2006

>You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up

>In the past I have given those two old duffers, Harney and
>Rimmer, more than a fair dose of stick.

<snip>

>They have started a blog. Fair enough.

<snip>

>But the latest entry, by Harney, is a gem.
>As it's so short, here it is in it's entirety;
> ---

><http://mufob.blogspot.com/>

>Ufology as Modern Folklore

>I am attempting to write an article for the next issue of
>Magonia Supplement on the general theme that the whole UFOs and
>ufology business is nothing more than a modern myth, which is
>best studied by folklorists, psychologists and sociologists,
>rather than astronomers, physicists (nuclear or otherwise!), and
>self-styled "Serious Ufologists", most of whom are self-deluded
>buffoons. The general idea is to dispel the lies, delusions and
>paranoid fantasies, by such methods as pointing out that reports
>of apparent "true UFOs" never stand up to critical examination.
>Your comments on this theme are welcome (but remember that
>comments are moderated).

>---

Thanks for that Stuart:

Would John Harney care to name those "Serious Ufologists",
most of whom are self-deluded buffoons" ?

He has me curious now.

- Larry Hatch

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 21:27:29 +0100 (BST)
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 11:02:05 -0400
Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence? - Miller

>From: James Smith <zeus001002.nul>
>Date: Mon, 24 Apr 2006 15:00:23 -0400 (GMT-04:00)
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Fri, 21 Apr 2006 20:12:22 +0100 (BST)
>>Subject: Re: CE3/4/5 Evidence?

<snip>

>>Unfortunately, their next door neighbours think they're mad.
>>OK, hardly the end of the world but the endless debates with
>>ill informed smart asses and smirkers would vanish overnight
>>the moment someone in "authority" confirms what we already
>>know.

>I have sympathy with folk being afraid of being called mad.
>But, I am concerned that folk would be such wimps to worry
>about what their neighbors say. If neighbors know who you are
>and trust you then they should not call you mad. If they
>don't trust you or know you, you shouldn't care what they
>say. If one is so concerned about the "clique" and fitting in
>rather than holding one's own beliefs, then perhaps they
>should keep their heads down and mouths shut.

In an earlier post, I accused you of contradictions, which you denied. You need to make your mind up. You have sympathy with folks who are worried about being seen to be off their trolleys and yet it would appear you don't.

>And this expectation that "authority" is definitive is sad but
>not surprising. Yes, whatever the suits say is true! White is
>black! Ignorance is knowledge! War is peace! Communists in
>Russia, China, Korea, Vietnam and elsewhere were "authorities"
>and made many pronouncements based on little factual basis (or
>were twisted in some way), however, they met political and
>policy ends. Democracies have different policies but operate
>similarly.

So the suits in your government are a bunch of liars yet you come down hard on the concept of whistleblowers who tend to highlight the lies your government tells.

<snip>

>>Serious sums of money would be proffered, and better brains
>>than ours would start to switch their microscopes on. And
>>all because a suit said it's so.

>Of course, if the "suits" say so, money will be spent on UFOs.
>But then we have the problem of governmental bureaucratic
>control of the research to answer only the questions they want
>answered. Just because the government gives you money, does
>not mean the data will not be tainted or influenced.

>>>But if you get the government involved, proof isn't even

>>>needed. They can make up stuff right and left. They can pick
>>>and choose whatever scientists they want or just make
>>>"facts". They are policy makers, not truth sayers. Do not
>>>trust the government to ever tell the truth.

Boo!! I hope you didn't jump too much but they could be standing
right behind you just now. As a demonstration in confused
paranoia James, this is a masterclass. It's backwards, forwards,
all over the place.

>The level of proof is what I am talking about here. Sure, as
>you say, lots of anecdotal/interview-type data has been
>collected and it has met the level of proof for yourself and
>others. Great! You are right, now you can go home and watch
>soccer or something.

I have no idea what "soccer" is. However. I do enjoy watching
football. Perhaps I might refer you to this recent article in a
British newspaper about US government advice to Americans when
dealing with foreigners;

<http://tinyurl.com/gpaer>

'Speak softly, don't argue and slow down'

Think a little locally. (Try to find a few topics that are
important in the local popular culture. Remember, most people in
the world have little or no interest in the World Series or the
Super Bowl. What we call "soccer" is football everywhere else.
And it's the most popular sport on the planet.)

>>You do understand what hacking is, don't you? Or are
>>government intel agencies so sophisticated that once they
>>detect an intruder, sophisticated systems gently guide them
>>to suites with pre-arranged material just waiting to be
>>surreptitiously "discovered"? There's a logic break here.

>Duh. You are kidding me, right? What do you think they do?
>What would you do in their place with millions of teenagers/
>prepubescents in the world?

My only real comment at this point is to ask what the weather is
like in your office in Albuquerque.

Stuart Miller

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment -

From: John Velez <johnvelez.aic.nul>
Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 16:43:55 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 11:09:51 -0400
Subject: Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment -

>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 11:46:55 +0000
>Subject: Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment

>>From: Rick Nielsen <nilthchi.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 16:39:36 -0700 (PDT)
>>Subject: Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment

>>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 19:30:27 +0000
>>>Subject: Re: Encounters Lead To Spiritual Enlightenment

>>>This is the polar opposite, New Age to the core, viewpoint to
>>>that of Susan Ckancy & Co. And probably just as wrong.

>>>In my experience some small percentage of abductees find
>>>"spiritual enlightenemnt", while a large majoritty are scared to
>>>death and have their entire world view badly shaken.

>>Could it be that those without prior "spiritual" experience,
>>however they choose to define it, are more prone to think of
>>abductions as spiritual?

>I would think it far more likely to be the exact opposite.

>Spiritual seekers, or people with a New Age mindset, or strongly
>religious people would tend to find what they are looking for:
>"enlightenment" or something to believe in.

>I suspect that people who were spiritually inclined in the first
>place would be more likely to find something positive in an
>abduction experience, and people who were inclined to buy into
>the scientific, secular worldview (like me) would be more likely
>to be scared by it.

Hello Rick, and a very warm hello to Dick Hall,

Relevant anecdote:

I realize I haven't posted in awhile, but this thread caught my attention.

My mother told me about something that happened to her that she believed was a religious experience. I had told her about my work with Budd Hopkins and what it was I believed was going on.

Instead of expressing concern for my sanity, she related to me the following account.

Just a note... my late mother was as honest as the day is long and I have absolute no reason to doubt the veracity of the event she described. Especially in the light of my own discoveries as a middle-aged adult regarding the source of a lifetime of oddities and 'unexplainables.'

According to my mom this event happened in 1961.

She told me she had been sitting in our living room one night after my brother and I had gone to bed. My father worked nights so we were alone. My mom had been watching TV when suddenly a brilliant, spherical/star-like light startled her as it came through the wall and floated to a position directly in front and above her. (She pointed to it's position in relation to herself as she related the story.)

She said she was 'paralyzed' with fear, at first, and unable to move. She said that a beam of light came from the sphere and struck her on her chest. She said it made her feel wonderful, and euphoric, "as if all her sins and worries had been washed away." (Her words.) The beam suddenly went off, the 'light' slowly exited the room the same way it has entered, through the wall, and she says she fell asleep on the couch till next morning. She says she felt wonderful for days after the event.

At that point in her 'testimony' I asked her what she thought it was. She responded, "At the time, I thought it was Jesus!" She believed she had had a religious experience/encounter. I asked her, well what do you think it was 'now', all these years later? She responded, "I just don't know."

I shared this anecdote to illustrate how some people who are having these experiences can relate to them, interpret them. Integrate them. My mom, being raised with Christian values and beliefs interpreted the above event as a 'religious experience/epiphany.'

As I listened to it, I added it to the couple hundred other events in our lives that I attributed to alien intervention. In my own turn, I interpreted my mothers account as corroboration for my own 'belief' that the experiences are alien abduction related.

Dick is correct. As illustrated by the example I just provided. I hope the anecdote added a little 'real' testimony to the discussion.

As for the 'scared or spiritual' discussion...

Something very real has been going on for a long time. It has impacted the lives of many individuals in an often times negative way, Under those circumstances, I suppose 'scared' is better than 'blissed out'. At least scared sets in motion the kind of alert attention and action required to deal with a crisis.

I'm going back to waiting for 'Them' to make the next move. You guys go on and have fun jawing about it. I find it all most engaging/entertaining.

Till next...

Peace, warmest regards to all my buddies! :D

John Velez, Abductee

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: ufoupdateslist.com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

UFO Convention At Strange Integratron Building

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 11:32:44 -0400
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 11:32:44 -0400
Subject: UFO Convention At Strange Integratron Building

Source: KLAS-TV - Las Vegas, Nevada

<http://www.klas-tv.com/Global/story.asp?S=4834694>

April 29, 2006

UFO Convention At Strange Integratron Building
George Knapp, Investigative Reporter

One of the strangest buildings in the world will be the site of a retro UFO convention this weekend, a throwback to gatherings that once attracted 10,000 or more devotees to the desert.

The building is known as the Integratron, and UFO's or not, it's unquestionably strange. The Eyewitness News I-Team's George Knapp just had to see the place.

The weird humming coming from the spacey-looking building sounds like a UFO warming up its engine for a quick jaunt to reticulum. It wouldn't be out of place. For the past half century, the high desert area around Landers California has been a Mecca for E.T. believers and enlightenment seekers.

In the early '50s, an aircraft mechanic named George Van Tassel says he received telepathic messages from space aliens that told him how to build the Integratron, part-healing chamber, part time machine.

'The Integratron is an historical building that was originally meant to be a big electrostatic generator,' said Nancy Karl, Integratron manager. Karl, who is a New Yorker, and her sister came out for a visit to the Integratron and were hooked. That was 18 years ago.

Even if the design really wasn't supplied by E.T.'s, and even if there isn't an energy vortex here, people say there's something about the place. "It seems to focus and amplify. It just happens to be a very zappy place. People seem to pop through whatever seems to be happening for them," Karl said.

Van Tassel died before finishing the Integratron so it's never really worked as he envisioned it. Nancy Karl says the bottom chamber was supposed to generate negative ions that would heal the tissues of anyone who walked through.

No nails or screws were used to build the Integratron, which makes the place as resonant as the inside of a giant violin. The top chamber is acoustically perfect, Karl says, but can play games with your head.

The Karl sisters offer visitors what they call a sound bath. They rub little sticks on the sides of pure crystal bowls and the sound that comes out feels like it passes right through your body.

Not surprisingly, the Integratron attracts a lot of artists, writers, and show biz people for soul searching or even corporate retreats. Whether or not it's really tapped into the cosmos, there are worse ways to spend a day.

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

UFOs Are Back

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 09:10:03 +0100
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 11:38:08 -0400
Subject: UFOs Are Back

In response to recent 'ufology is dead' articles in the media, my agent asked me to write something for the publishing industry, setting the record straight.

Here's the article:

Source: The Andrew Lowney Literary Agency - London, UK

http://www.andrewlownie.co.uk/articles/2006/april_07UFO.shtml

April 2006 News Letter

Nick Pope, former UFO desk officer at the MOD and author of several UFO books argues that interest in UFOs is returning.

UFOs Are Back

UFOs are back. After a period when interest in the subject had waned, the topic is suddenly generating a vast amount of media coverage. So what's going on and what are the implications for publishers and authors?

First of all, I should declare an interest. I used to run the British Government's UFO Project at the Ministry of Defence. I've written four books on the subject, all of which were agented (can I use a noun as a verb if my editor isn't looking?) by Andrew Lownie.

Interest in UFOs is cyclic. The last peak came in the mid-Nineties. In 1995, the so-called 'alien autopsy' film was released: grainy black and white footage that some said showed a vaguely humanoid extraterrestrial being cut open by US military surgeons. Believers claimed this was the proof they'd been waiting for, while sceptics said that the film was a clever hoax. 1997 saw the 50th anniversary of America's first 'flying saucer' sighting and of the Roswell incident, where something (alien spacecraft or weather balloon, depending on who you believe) crashed in the New Mexico desert. Publishers, the media and the public were intrigued by all this and numerous books appeared. They did well. Authors such as Timothy Good were on the bestseller lists and my own first effort 'Open Skies Closed Minds' got to number three in the hardback non-fiction list and stayed in the top ten for ten weeks.

However, in publishing as in economics, every boom is followed by a bust. The market was saturated and the view was that publishers had overbought. Interest in UFOs fell away, replaced perhaps by an interest in magic. Flying saucers and aliens gave way to the fictional worlds of Harry Potter, Narnia and The Lord of the Rings. But now, things are changing. Perhaps driven by interest in Dan Brown's 'The Da Vinci Code', people are rediscovering their interest in real life mysteries.

Interest in UFOs is rising quickly and steadily. This is being fuelled by the Freedom of Information Act - the MOD is being bombarded with requests for documents on UFOs, and a look at

their website confirms this is virtually the most popular type of request they receive. The media have been quick to recognise the resurgence of interest. Over the Christmas period several national newspapers ran major features on the 25th anniversary of Britain's most famous UFO sighting, the Rendlesham Forest incident. Ant & Dec's new movie 'Alien Autopsy' is a fictional retelling of the story that sparked so much interest in 1995. Various UFO-related documentaries are in pre-production. But the real clue is in the way the subject is spilling out into a wider arena. The Science Museum have been running an exhibition about alien life, and my own recent TV appearances include not just documentaries, but popular entertainment programmes such as 'The New Paul O'Grady Show' and 'Totally Doctor Who'.

All the signs are there: the UFO is back. It remains to be seen how the publishing industry will respond, but I suspect the next few years will be an interesting time. Oh, and next year sees the 60th anniversary of the Roswell crash!

Andrew Lownie writes a monthly newsletter, which includes details of the Agency's latest news as well as advice for authors.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Boone

From: **Greg Boone** <Evolbaby.nul>
Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 05:05:33 EDT
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 11:39:26 -0400
Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Boone

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Tue, 25 Apr 2006 11:42:32 -0500
>Subject: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>Iowa City [Iowa] Press-Citizen
>July 7, 1920

>Mystery Veils Fallen Airship

<snip>

What a great find!

I have spent many moons pouring through old newspapers although no where as much as Jan Aldrich.

I've found some awesome items like UFO reports and crop circles going back to the early 1800s. There are still more papers and almanacs to go through.

Whilst I was perusing through the 1800s especially during and after the Civil War the airship stories increased.

I recalled the 1960's television show "Wild Wild West" in which actor Robert Conrad played a special U.S. agent with the latest gizmos to fight villains equipped with frightening technology ahead of those times. I figured our government even in those days had their versions of secret weapons as did the private sector. Not only our government but foreign as well.

Since the early newspapers hadn't the references as do we today their descriptions are quite entertaining.

I'll bet the frontier newspapers and country periodicals are chock full of stories of the strange. Wish I had time to devote to going back to old libraries and snooping around. Quite the treasure trove!

Best,

Greg

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Allan

From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 14:29:22 +0100
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 11:49:28 -0400
Subject: Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Allan

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 12:10:54 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up

>Source: Stuart Miller's Blog

><http://tinyurl.com/gpc6d>

>Friday, April 28, 2006

>You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up
>(Actually, you can, because someone just has)

>There are I suppose many comments that one could make. But the
>real cause of Harney's problem is that he is British and of a
>certain age and upbringing. As such, he has no imagination that
>can be traced and above all, is anally retentive. This thing
>about us Brits having a "stiff upper lip" is really another way
>of saying that many of us walk around with six foot iron rods
>shoved up our asses - except that in Harney's case, his is
>twelve foot long. The man has spent a life time around the
>paranormal and yet seems convinced that everything is buttoned
>down and explainable. In his little world perhaps it is. The
>real world is another matter entirely.

<snip>

You say the real cause of John Harney's problem is that he is British and of a certain age and upbringing. It is none of these. There are Americans, whether of a younger age and upbringing, who share John Harney's views. Conversely, plenty of Brits, past & present, and of whatever age and upbringing - sometimes in high positions - take a completely opposite stance.

Had John been much younger and new to ufology you could then have quipped that he was far too young and lacking in knowledge to be pontificating about UFOs. I know nothing about his upbringing - or about yours - but I do know that being a nuclear physicist is no reason whatever for supposing that someone is more qualified to speak about crashed saucers, cover-ups and abductions than, say, being a librarian or a history teacher.

There are many highly qualified 'serious ufologists'. Unfortunately they do not always exercise their critical judgment on UFO cases and some UFO-related events as they should. John would, however, have been on safer ground had he used the words "hardly ever" instead of "never" in his mention of "true UFOs".

This is why folklorists, sociologists and psychologists have just as much right to study the phenomenon as have physicists and astronomers. (Note that I say "just as much right", i.e. have equal claim to be able to debate the subject). Providing, of course, that they have done a modicum of background reading first. I hope this response does not re-introduce the ghastly term 'pelicanism' onto this forum, but I greatly fear it will.

CDA

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Re: Research Into Encounters & Telepathy? -

From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 16:49:39 +0100
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 12:18:34 -0400
Subject: Re: Research Into Encounters & Telepathy? -

>From: Gary Matteson <mystrius.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 14:45:31 -0500
>Subject: Re: Research Into Encounters & Telepathy?

>I value your commentary. However, the comment regarding
>telepathy suggests you're reading/research needs augmenting.

>R.A. McConnell

><http://tinyurl.com/pgnog>

Gary,

Thanks for that RAMcConnell site URL, it's interesting stuff,
and has this quote -

>"The mystery was not about whether ESP occurs. The answer to
>that is obvious from the peer-reviewed scientific papers
>published, some long ago and some quite recently. But it is more
>than just a mystery. Because of the obvious importance of ESP,
>if in fact it really occurs, the mystery carries with it a
>scandal in science so enormous as to dwarf any other I could
>imagine."

That's important, not for what it says but because the long-
running P.E.A.R. experiments have backed it up completely.

Yet none of that seems to influence mainstream 'skeptic'
scientists in any way - although their pronouncements are
therefore shown to be rubbish; not does it affect the media -
who will only quote the 'skeptics' as validation.

Truly 'a scandal in science'. Why?

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Clark

From: **Jerome Clark** <jkclark.nul>
Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 11:04:02 -0500
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 12:21:05 -0400
Subject: Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Clark

>From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 14:29:22 +0100
>Subject: Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 12:10:54 +0100 (BST)
>>Subject: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up

>This is why folklorists, sociologists and psychologists have
>just as much right to study the phenomenon as have physicists
>and astronomers. (Note that I say "just as much right", i.e.
>have equal claim to be able to debate the subject). Providing,
>of course, that they have done a modicum of background reading
>first. I hope this response does not re-introduce the ghastly
>term 'pelicanism' onto this forum, but I greatly fear it will.

Oh, please, Christopher, don't deny us the pleasure, and please
don't ask us to join you in denying the obvious.

Good old John Harney and his apologists - the ones who have to
explain what JH "really" meant - are proud practitioners of
pelicanism. Unfortunately, while they feel they have every
right to trash the sanity, intelligence, and critical ability of
all who dare dissent from pelicanist dicta, the rest of us claim
an equivalent right - to watch and laugh as they huff and puff
when, after dishing it out, they learn they have to take it,
too. Really, we would like to take them seriously, but long
experience has shown, sadly, that it's just not possible.

Call it by any other name (self-deluded buffoonery, for example,
would do; blimpery is another), but in the end pelicanism it is.

Jerry Clark

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 17:50:09 +0100
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 14:44:19 -0400
Subject: Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Dickenson

>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 12:10:54 +0100 (BST)
>Subject: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up

>Source: Stuart Miller's Blog
><http://tinyurl.com/gpc6d>
>Friday, April 28, 2006

>There are I suppose many comments that one could make. But the
>real cause of Harney's problem is that he is British and of a
>certain age and upbringing. As such, he has no imagination that
>can be traced and above all, is anally retentive. This thing
>about us Brits having a "stiff upper lip" is really another way
>of saying that many of us walk around with six foot iron rods
>shoved up our asses - except that in Harney's case, his is
>twelve foot long. The man has spent a life time around the
>paranormal and yet seems convinced that everything is buttoned
>down and explainable. In his little world perhaps it is. The
>real world is another matter entirely.

Hey, less of the "British" Stuart.

As a Welshman, with lots of Scots and Irish pals (along with all those "Kelts" who make up a majority of Brits) know what you mean though - the traditional "middle-class" (i.e. blinkered and essentially stupid) Englishman.

That was a hang-over from "Empire" - arrogant skepticism was a necessity for "rulers".

More importantly, the "Kelts" (and their Viking cousins) never had an empire - but both have a complex folk-lore which is still being plumbed for clues.

Cheers

Ray D

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Re: British House Of Commons On Nick Pope - Pope

From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 19:13:45 +0100
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 14:46:12 -0400
Subject: Re: British House Of Commons On Nick Pope - Pope

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - "<UFO-UpDates.nul>
>Date: Thursday, April 27, 2006 12:54 PM
>Subject: UFO UpDate: British House Of Commons On Nick Pope

<http://tinyurl.com/fwpwa>

>18 Apr 2006 : Column 624W-continued

>Volume No. 445 Part No. 136

>Norman Baker: To ask the Secretary of State for Defence in what capacity Mr. Nick Pope was employed by his Department between 1991 and 1994. [63392]

>Mr. Touhig: From 1991 to 1994 Mr. Pope worked as a civil servant within Secretariat (air staff). He undertook a wide range of secretariat tasks relating to central policy, political and parliamentary aspects of non-operational RAF activity. Part of his duties related to the investigation of unidentified aerial phenomena reported to the Department to see if they had any defence significance.

There are two interesting things here, mainly for those who have consistently sought to downplay my role at the MOD.

Firstly, the phrase "part of his duties". In other words, not something I pursued as a personal interest, as has been alleged, but core business.

Secondly and perhaps most importantly, the word "investigation". In other words, not "collating the files" or any other nonsense that implies only a clerical role.

And this, of course, was an official statement in Parliament.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 15:17:49 -0300
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 14:48:48 -0400
Subject: Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Ledger

>From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 14:29:22 +0100
>Subject: Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 12:10:54 +0100 (BST)
>>Subject: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up

>>I am attempting to write an article for the next issue of
>>Magonia Supplement on the general theme that the whole UFOs and
>>ufology business is nothing more than a modern myth, which is
>>best studied by folklorists, psychologists and sociologists,
>>rather than astronomers, physicists (nuclear or otherwise!), and
>>self-styled "Serious Ufologists", most of whom are self-deluded
>>buffoons. The general idea is to dispel the lies, delusions and
>>paranoid fantasies, by such methods as pointing out that reports
>>of apparent "true UFOs" never stand up to critical examination.
>>Your comments on this theme are welcome (but remember that
>>comments are moderated).

>This is why folklorists, sociologists and psychologists have
>just as much right to study the phenomenon as have physicists
>and astronomers. (Note that I say "just as much right", i.e.
>have equal claim to be able to debate the subject). Providing,
>of course, that they have done a modicum of background reading
>first. I hope this response does not re-introduce the ghastly
>term 'pelicanism' onto this forum, but I greatly fear it will.

You worry about the possibility of the introduction of the term
pelicanism but see no danger in the use of the words used by
Harney in his simple-minded ramblings such as: modern myth,
self-styled "Serious Ufologists", self-deluded buffoons [I
assume that means myself as well] and the sentence "The general
idea is to dispel the lies, delusions and paranoid fantasies, by
such methods as pointing out that reports of apparent "true
UFOs" never stand up to critical examination."

This last sentence is a big lie, and what's more, Harney knows
it [note he said he was "attempting" to write an article]
because he can't make his accusations stick himself-other than
in his craw. He uses this approach over and over in hopes [like
the Nazis of yore] that if you tell a big enough lie often
enough, people will begin to believe it. In his closed off
little, self aggrandized, "Magonia" world perhaps that is true,
but he and the other magonian sheep are bleating into the wind
when it comes to the world at large. The best he can hope for is
that he intimidates enough witnesses into keeping to themselves
their extraordinary experiences because they don't want to be
branded as crazies and lunatics. These are/is the same methods
used by the SS, Stalin, organized crime and many governments to
keep people from testifying to the truth. Harney doesn't like
the truth. I've never actually seen him disprove anything, but
just make repeated claims that there is no proof.

Many of his ilk are guilty of that omission as is yourself when
it comes down to it.

If this is not true, CDA, then start with this one. It's right in your territory.

"Commercial Air Transport Airmiss Reports (January-April 1995)
Manchester Airmiss With Wedge Shaped UFO"

Airmiss report No. 2/95
Date/Time: 061848 Jan; Night
Position: N5318 W0200 (8NM SE Manchester Apt)
Airspace: TMA Class: A
Reporting Aircraft Reporting Aircraft
Type: B737 Untraced
Operator: CAT
Alt/FL: 4000ft =83 (Descending) (QNH 1027 mb)
Weather: VMC CLAC
Visibility: 10Km+

You can Google it up on your own. First disprove this to my satisfaction, the pilots' and the CAA, not your own - because you have no way of knowing if your solution is accurate or uneducated nonsense. Bear in mind the UK's CAA [Civil Aviation Authority] investigation could not determine what it was, however commended the pilots for making the report and encouraged other to do so [which they are loath to do because of those like Harney and yourself] when similar circumstances arose. If you do come up with a reasonable solution I'll post it to my contact in the CAA myself.

It's time for you guys to put up or shut up and stop using wordy debate to prove or disprove an incident. Basically I'm tired of reading pages long rhetoric [as mine above though not case related-my apologies to the list] that does nothing to prove or disprove a case. Harney, at least, does us the favour of burying his skewed comments in the magonia supplement where only a few dozen people have to read it.

Don Ledger

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 29](#)

Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 19:21:30 +0100 (BST)
Fwd Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 14:50:35 -0400
Subject: Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Miller

>From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 14:29:22 +0100
>Subject: Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 12:10:54 +0100 (BST)
>>Subject: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up

>>Source: Stuart Miller's Blog

>><http://tinyurl.com/gpc6d>

><snip>

>You say the real cause of John Harney's problem is that he is
>British and of a certain age and upbringing. It is none of
>these. There are Americans, whether of a younger age and
>upbringing, who share John Harney's views.

Indeed there are Christopher, and many of them are respected and
admired for their views and practises but in most instances,
there is one fundamental difference.

The two John's have one common fault which is that they
frequently shoot first and then ask questions later. They often
fail to see through the consequences of what they write and
throw in unnecessary and gratuitous comments, and then stand
back aghast at the reaction.

If what John H. wrote is a true reflection of his views, then
again it brings up the question of why he is here. No, this is
not another suggestion that they leave this List, very far from
it, but it seems their presence here is nothing short of an
evangelical mission, which is kind of ironic, isn't it, to
convince us foolhardy ignoramus's that we are on the wrong road,
are fatally misguided and deluded, and that if only we could see
sense - their sense, then the cloud of obscurity would be lifted
and all would be well.

>Had John been much younger and new to ufology you could then
>have quipped that he was far too young and lacking in
>knowledge to be pontificating about UFOs.

No, I wouldn't. I don't do that.

>I know nothing about his upbringing - or about yours - but I >
do know that being a nuclear physicist is no reason whatever >
for supposing that someone is more qualified to speak about
>crashed saucers, cover-ups and abductions than, say, being a
>librarian or a history teacher.

Of course it does. A nuclear physicist would have a much better
appreciation of numerous elements of scientific relevance
relating to Ufology.

>There are many highly qualified 'serious ufologists'.
>Unfortunately they do not always exercise their critical

>judgment on UFO cases and some UFO-related events as they
>should.

According to who Christopher? You? And your basis for making
this judgement is what, common sense?

>This is why folklorists, sociologists and psychologists have
>just as much right to study the phenomenon as have physicists
>and astronomers. (Note that I say "just as much right", i.e.
>have equal claim to be able to debate the subject). Providing,
>of course, that they have done a modicum of background reading
>first.

No argument there. I think most of us recognise there is a
cultural element to Ufology and a psychological one as well. And
no doubt many other "ologies" also. Good luck to them. But to
claim that that is all there is to Ufology is quite ridiculous
and deeply insulting to many hundreds of thousands of people who
have had experiences. And what's more, it just isn't so.

It may have escaped your notice, conveniently, but many if not
most here have a sceptical bent to them. How many times have you
read on this List the words "Rubbish" or "Another snake oil
salesman" uttered from the keyboards of "buffoons"? Once or
twice I think.

There is resentment and bitterness in what John wrote, and he
obviously had this List in mind when he wrote it, or more
likely, specific members. That's not Scepticism; that's just a
half hearted personal attack. Great; I'm all for those, and that
is something I do, but when I do it, I'm upfront about it and
don't try and cloak it with a very thin veil of supposed
academic respectability.

I wish John H luck with his impossible quest. I for one await
anxiously to see the final result.

Stuart Miller

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 30](#)

Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 15:46:40 -0300
Fwd Date: Sun, 30 Apr 2006 09:32:52 -0400
Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa - Ledger

>From: Jerome Clark <jkclark.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 10:40:09 -0500
>Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>>From: Richard Hall <hallrichard99.nul>
>>To: ufoupdates.nul
>>Date: Thu, 27 Apr 2006 21:32:08 +0000
>>Subject: Re: Strange 1920 Crash In Iowa

>>My immediate reaction to reading this account when first
>>posted was to wonder why the craft/wreckage was described
>>in such meager detail. Wasn't the alleged unusual nature of what
>>was found supposedly the core of the story after all? But I
>>realized that was probably all Jerry had found.

>>One thing I think we can say in this case (and Jerry can
>>correct me if I am mistaken) is that it did not occur within a
>>context of ongoing airship/UFO reports and tall tales related
>>thereto at that time, as can be said of the 1896-97 stories.

>>One must always consider the possibility of newspaper hoaxes
>>or jokes, especially at certain periods of history. But in
>>1920?

>I've searched for other information, so far to no avail. So,
>unfortunately, we're stuck with a poorly written, thoroughly
>inadequate story which is open to any number of
>interpretations and speculations.

>Somebody - I believe it was James Smith - suggested that the
>pilot had survived the wreck and carried off the motor,
>presumably under his own power. A reasonable guess, perhaps,
>but for me the problem - not necessarily an insurmountable one
>- is that it presumes that (1) the guy was uninjured and (2)
>carrying off the engine was physically accomplishable. Who
>knows? If we had more details, we wouldn't be here stumbling
>in the dark.

Very true, Jerry.

In another post I explained the implausibility of the pilot
packing out the engine due to its considerable weight.

The weight to horsepower ratios 2.3:1 of engines in 1915 to 1920
was greater than today's 1935 - yes 1935 & they haven't improved
much - technology recip. engines used on most present day,
light, factory-built aircraft. In the case of any possible small
aircraft in this scenario, the engine would have run from 80-150
horsepower - at full RPM - with weights of 180 to 350 pounds.

Carrying it any appreciable distance would have involved at
least two men, possible three, and a travois or a small cart,
then a vehicle of some sort once a road was reached. I've had
occasion to lift these engines - with other helper and on a nice
smooth hangar floor - while attaching them to their motor mounts
and they are extremely heavy and cumbersome.

Don Ledger

[[Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 30](#)

Re: Bridlington UK UFO Pictures - Scheldroup

From: John Scheldroup <jschel.nul>
Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 15:56:12 -0500
Fwd Date: Sun, 30 Apr 2006 09:37:41 -0400
Subject: Re: Bridlington UK UFO Pictures - Scheldroup

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <ufoupdates.nul>
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <UFO-UpDates.nul>
>Date: Monday, April 24, 2006 8:44 AM
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Bridlington UK UFO Pictures

>Source: The Bridlington Free Press - Yorkshire, UK
><http://tinyurl.com/jghge>
>24 April 2006

Strong resemblance to the Kenneth Arnold sighting.

<http://tinyurl.com/q5bhf>

John

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 30](#)

A Short Story

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <ufoupdates.nul>
Date: Sun, 30 Apr 2006 09:53:51 -0400
Fwd Date: Sun, 30 Apr 2006 09:53:51 -0400
Subject: A Short Story

This from 'The Norm'

ebk

A Short Story

On a remote island in the Pacific ocean there is a society of people who have been quite isolated from the industrialized world. Although relatively technologically primitive, by our standards, the people of this society are socially and morally advanced.

For many years, there has been a major emotional controversy among the inhabitants of this island regarding whether so-called "man-made airplanes" actually exist. There have been alleged visual sightings of "airplanes" high in the sky by some residents of the island, but these reported sightings have usually been denied and ignored by most of the other island residents. The witnesses of "airplane sightings" have often been ridiculed by politicians and other powerful members of the society.

However, during an important meeting of the island residents, a well-known and respected former statesman of this society gave an unprecedented speech in which he emphatically proclaimed to his astonished audience:

"You must believe me. Man-made airplanes do exist. They are as real as the UFOs that fly over our heads!"

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 30](#)

Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <stuart.miller4.nul>
Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 21:57:01 +0100 (BST)
Fwd Date: Sun, 30 Apr 2006 09:55:18 -0400
Subject: Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Miller

>From: Ray Dickenson <ray.dickenson.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 17:50:09 +0100
>Subject: Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up

>>From: Stuart Miller <stuart.miller4.nul>
>>To: UFO Updates <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 12:10:54 +0100 (BST)
>>Subject: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up

>Hey, less of the "British" Stuart.

My apologies Ray.

Substitute "British" for southerners.

Stuart

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 30](#)

Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Harney

From: John Harney <magonia.nul>
Date: Sun, 30 Apr 2006 00:18:33 +0100
Fwd Date: Sun, 30 Apr 2006 10:29:34 -0400
Subject: Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up - Harney

>From: Don Ledger <dledger.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 15:17:49 -0300
>Subject: Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up

>>From: Christopher Allan <cda.nul>
>>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>>Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 14:29:22 +0100
>>Subject: Re: You Couldn't Make This Drivel Up

>You worry about the possibility of the introduction of the term
>pelicanism but see no danger in the use of the words used by
>Harney in his simple-minded ramblings such as: modern myth, >
>self-styled "Serious Ufologists", self-deluded buffoons [I
>assume that means myself as well] and the sentence "The general
>idea is to dispel the lies, delusions and paranoid fantasies, by
>such methods as pointing out that reports of apparent "true
>UFOs" never stand up to critical examination."

>This last sentence is a big lie, and what's more, Harney knows
>it [note he said he was "attempting" to write an article]
>because he can't make his accusations stick himself-other than
>in his crow. He uses this approach over and over in hopes [like
>the Nazis of yore] that if you tell a big enough lie often
>enough, people will begin to believe it. In his closed off
>little, self aggrandized, "Magonia" world perhaps that is true,
>but he and the other magonian sheep are bleating into the wind
>when it comes to the world at large. The best he can hope
>for is that he intimidates enough witnesses into keeping to themselves
>their extraordinary experiences because they don't want to be
>branded as crazies and lunatics. These are/is the same methods
>used by the SS, Stalin, organized crime and many governments to
>keep people from testifying to the truth. Harney doesn't like
>the truth. I've never actually seen him disprove anything, but
>just make repeated claims that there is no proof.

>Many of his ilk are guilty of that omission as is yourself when
>it comes down to it.

>If this is not true, CDA, then start with this one. It's right
>in your territory.

>"Commercial Air Transport Airmisss Reports (January-April 1995)
>Manchester Airmisss With Wedge Shaped UFO"

>Airmisss report No. 2/95
>Date/Time: 061848 Jan; Night
>Position: N5318 W0200 (8NM SE Manchester Apt)
>Airspace: TMA Class: A
>Reporting Aircraft Reporting Aircraft
>Type: B737 Untraced
>Operator: CAT
>Alt/FL: 4000ft =83 (Descending) (QNH 1027 mb)
>Weather: VMC CLAC
>Visibility: 10Km+

>You can Google it up on your own. First disprove this to my
>satisfaction, the pilots' and the CAA, not your own - because
>you have no way of knowing if your solution is accurate or un-

>educated nonsense. Bear in mind the UK's CAA [Civil Aviation
>Authority] investigation could not determine what it was,
>however commended the pilots for making the report and
>encouraged other to do so [which they are loath to do because of
>those like Harney and yourself] when similar circumstances
>arose. If you do come up with a reasonable solution I'll post
>it to my contact in the CAA myself.

>It's time for you guys to put up or shut up and stop using wordy
>debate to prove or disprove an incident. Basically I'm tired of
>reading pages long rhetoric [as mine above though not case
>related-my apologies to the list] that does nothing to prove or
>disprove a case. Harney, at least, does us the favour of burying
>his skewed comments in the Magonia supplement where only a few
>dozen people have to read it.

The comments on my post to my Magonia blog have confirmed what I wrote as being basically true. This is because of the strong emotional reaction to the suggestion that there is nothing to the UFO myth apart from popular belief and personal subjective experience.

The example you give is yet another case of a UFO report not standing up to critical examination. This one about the sighting from an airliner near Manchester Airport in January 1995 is well known to British ufologists and has been discussed on this List. See, for example

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2001/apr/m17-023.shtml>

in which Jenny Randles concludes that the probable explanation is that the object was a meteor. Of course, the incident was rightly reported as an Airmiss, as the pilot had no way of knowing how far away it was as he didn't know what it was. However, on investigation, it seems that the meteor explanation was generally accepted. Of course, such explanations are not certain, in the scientific sense, as modern science is based on probability rather than certainty. However, some UFO explanations are rather more probable than others.

John Harney

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 30](#)

Re: Research Into Encounters & Telepathy? - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <LuckyHoodoo.nul>
Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 22:54:10 EDT
Fwd Date: Sun, 30 Apr 2006 10:35:07 -0400
Subject: Re: Research Into Encounters & Telepathy? - Gammon

>From: Gary Matteson <mystrius.nul>
>To: <ufoupdates.nul>
>Date: Fri, 28 Apr 2006 14:45:31 -0500
>Subject: Re: Research Into Encounters & Telepathy?

>I value your commentary. However, the comment regarding
>telepathy suggests you're reading/research needs augmenting.

I openly welcome all criticism.

>R.A. McConnell

><http://tinyurl.com/pgnog>

>addresses the doubts you harbor, in an article "Scientific
>Belief as Obedience to Authority." But as you'll read, supposing
>you do read, R.A. McConnell learned there is indeed a scientific
>basis on which to consider mental telepathy as real, as worthy
>of study. Not addressed is our interest in aerial phenomena, for
>our purposes however, this isn't necessary.

See the problem is you used the phrase "There is indeed a
scientific basis" with regard to your belief in Telepathy _via_
evolution. There most definitely is not a scientific basis as
science requires that any test results must be repeatable,
something which the phenomena of ESP has yet to produce in any
lab results, or at least in lab results that have not been
criticized for procedural errors.

Also, please realize that the author of the link provided lumps
all kinds of paranormal phenomena under the header ESP without
any proof these phenomena are indeed connected. Making a
pendulum swing with one's mind is not an alternative to picking
up the phone and ringing dear old Mum. Implanting an AOL chip,
complete with handy all _seeing_eye_ in_pyramid no less, would
be a likely alternative to carrying a cell phone.

>Please do continue to discuss and debate.

I sure hope so. I would like to hear the opinions of others.

-Jason

[[Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 30](#)

Re: UFOs Are Back - Bueche

From: Will Bueche <willbueche.nul>
Date: Sun, 30 Apr 2006 00:38:47 -0700 (PDT)
Fwd Date: Sun, 30 Apr 2006 10:54:25 -0400
Subject: Re: UFOs Are Back - Bueche

>From: Nick Pope <nick.nul>
>To: ufoupdates.nul
>Date: Sat, 29 Apr 2006 09:10:03 +0100
>Subject: UFOs Are Back

>In response to recent 'ufology is dead' articles in the media,
>my agent asked me to write something for the publishing
>industry, setting the record straight.

>Here's the article:

>Source: The Andrew Lowney Literary Agency - London, UK

>http://www.andrewlownie.co.uk/articles/2006/april_07UFO.shtml

<snip>

A general comment. There seem to be two arguments being forth in various editorials.

One argument being put forth is that 'ufology is dead' in the sense that there are fewer books on this subject being published by publishers now than in former boom times. That could well be true, but unless you are an author trying to get published it doesn't seem like much of a concern. Publishing houses work in cycles, as Nick says, or perhaps everything that could be said about our current understanding of these encounters has been said - and until some authors realize a new level of insight, there won't be much interest from publishers.

Of more relevance to those interested in the study of alien encounters is the other argument being put forth -- that 'ufology is dead' because there are fewer ufo sightings or alien encounters being reported in recent years.

In a 2005 article headlined "Pine Bush outgrows UFOs" (Times Herald-Record, Steve Israel, 9 December 2005), the paper asks "Pine Bush - Where have all the UFOs gone? Check out the list of sightings on the menu of the Cup and (Flying) Saucer diner. The list stops in 1999."

But that newspaper's story came four years after people in the midst of alien encounter research noticed the same thing:

"What's really interesting [is] two years ago all these close encounters abruptly ended," Whitley Strieber told the University of Colorado student newspaper in 2001 - referring not to own experiences, but rather to experiences among people in general. (He has said that his own contact experiences essentially ended when he left upstate New York in late 1997).

At the John Mack Institute, in the 2003 documentary film "Touched" by Laurel Chiten (filmed between late 2000 and early 2003), experiencers who John Mack had worked with who'd had recurrent experiences throughout their lives reported that visits from the apparent aliens had changed, becoming infrequent or entirely absent.

Has there been a change? Seems so. And if so, 'What does it

UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: UFOUpdatesList.Com > [2006](#) > [Apr](#) > [Apr 30](#)

NUFORC Case Audio-Recordings 1974-77

From: Wendy Connors <fadeddiscs.nul>
Date: Sun, 30 Apr 2006 09:39:21 -0600
Fwd Date: Sun, 30 Apr 2006 12:28:41 -0400
Subject: NUFORC Case Audio-Recordings 1974-77

Please feel free to post far and wide

NUFORC 1974 - 1977

A Limited Edition of NUFORC (National UFO Reporting Center)
Selected Witness Case Recordings from 1974 through 1977 is now
available. See:

<http://www.fadeddiscs.com/gribble02.html>

252 Mp3 Audio Tracks

27-Page Printable Guide

44 Hours (and a smidge) total running time.....

Serious Research Material for the Serious Researcher. Period.

Also Available from Arcturus Books, UFO Depot, but not from
Magonia.

Thank you,

Wendy Connors

[[Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#)]
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at AliensOnEarth.com