

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > Aug

## UFO UpDates Mailing List Aug 2006

### Aug 1:

[Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [24]  
[Re: Colombian Raelian Family builds UFO 'Runway'](#) - Don Ledger [3]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Tarbell](#) - Michael Tarbell [15]  
[Re: Are UFOs Alive? - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [47]  
[Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request](#) - Tim Shell [12]  
[Carbondale UFO Crash Chronicles Entry No.7](#) - Matthew Graeber [234]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson](#) - Bruce Hutchinson [19]  
[Re: Colombian Raelian Family builds UFO 'Runway'](#) - Rick Nielsen [21]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Randle](#) - Kathy Kasten [17]  
[Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [77]  
[The Late Walter Moe](#) - Nick Balaskas [126]

### Aug 2:

[Hall Oral History Project](#) - Richard Hall [16]  
[Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request](#) - Royce J. Myers III [9]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [11]  
[An Eye On The Sky](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [265]  
[How To Tell Earthlings Martian Life Is Here](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [68]  
[Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request](#) - Bob Shell [8]  
[Re: Hall Oral History Project - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [6]  
[Re: The Late Walter Moe - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [19]  
[Down Under Conference News](#) - Diane Harrison [38]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [16]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson](#) - Bruce Hutchinson [16]  
[Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [23]

### Aug 3:

[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [19]  
[Re: The Late Walter Moe - Balaskas](#) - Nick Balaskas [31]  
[Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [57]  
[Secrecy News -- 08/02/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [168]  
[Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request](#) - Geoff Richardson [5]  
[Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request](#) - Neil Morris [13]  
[Re: The Late Walter Moe - Allan](#) - Christopher Allan [41]

[Re: Hall Oral History Project - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [4]  
[Granite City In A Spin Over 'Flying Saucers'](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [40]  
[African Desert Has Its Own X-Files](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [127]  
[Habitable Planet Possible Around Nearby Star System](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [87]  
[Re: Hall Oral History Project - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [14]  
[More Menzel \[was: The Late Walter Moe\]](#) - Stanton Friedman [31]  
[Secrecy News -- 08/03/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [104]  
[Re: More Menzel](#) - David Rudiak [36]  
[Re: More Menzel - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [20]  
[Re: More Menzel - Allan](#) - Christopher Allan [20]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson](#) - Bruce Hutchinson [23]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - White](#) - Eleanor White [16]  
[Re: More Menzel - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [13]

#### **Aug 4:**

[Re: More Menzel - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [15]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [95]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [4]  
[The NSA \[was: The Late Walter Moe\]](#) - Brad Sparks [18]  
[UFO Sightings' Reported In Manawatu NZ](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [20]  
[Plenty Of Earths Await Discovery](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [74]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [32]  
[Re: The Late Walter Moe - Goldstein](#) - Josh Goldstein [10]  
[Re: Plenty Of Earths Await Discovery - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [5]

#### **Aug 5:**

[Re: More Menzel - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [60]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [10]  
[Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [42]  
[Stealth Satellite Sourcebook](#) - Diana Cammack [8]  
[Re: More Menzel - Allan](#) - Christopher Allan [4]  
[Secrecy News -- 08/04/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [85]  
[Re: The NSA - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [50]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Allan](#) - Christopher Allan [28]  
[Re: The NSA - Balaskas](#) - Nick Balaskas [41]  
[Re: The Late Walter Moe - Balaskas](#) - Nick Balaskas [22]  
[Ministry To Investigate UFO Light Sightings](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [53]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson](#) - Bruce Hutchinson [35]  
[Re: More Menzel - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [5]  
[NASA Loses High-Quality Moon Video!](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [67]

#### **Aug 6:**

[Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [21]  
[Re: Ministry To Investigate UFO Light Sightings -](#) - Rick Nielsen [3]  
[Re: More Menzel - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [5]  
[Re: NASA Loses High-Quality Moon Video! - Connors](#) - Wendy Connors [8]  
[Remote Viewing And Big Cats](#) - Nick Pope [32]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [24]  
[First Canadian Crop Circle Formation Of 2006](#) - Paul Anderson [35]  
[Magonia Supplement #62](#) - John Harney [11]

[Heflin Photos Article In Current UFO Magazine](#) - Ann Druffel [25]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [22]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [169]  
[Coverup Of Secrets \[was: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV](#) - Stanton Friedman [39]  
[Re: Cheyenne Mountain Shutting Down? - Gates](#) - Robert Gates [23]  
[The Aldrin UFO Video-Clip On-Line](#) - Trevor Page [13]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [6]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson](#) - Bruce Hutchinson [13]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Allan](#) - Christopher Allan [21]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Lehmberg](#) - Alfred Lehmberg [9]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Lehmberg](#) - Alfred Lehmberg [30]  
[Another Hoaxed 'Alien' Video](#) - Santiago Yturria Garza [8]

## Aug 7:

[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [15]  
[Re: Another Hoaxed 'Alien' Video - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [7]  
[Re: Another Hoaxed 'Alien' Video - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [5]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [29]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [14]  
[Re: Dean Haglund Of The X Files - Ecker](#) - Don Ecker [22]  
[Re: Another Hoaxed 'Alien' Video - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [13]  
[Re: NASA Loses High-Quality Moon Video! - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [9]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Lehmberg](#) - Alfred Lehmberg [10]

## Aug 8:

[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [16]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [15]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [7]  
[Mutilated Animals & Strange Lights Reappear In](#) - Scott Corrales [125]  
[Jeremy Vaeni Stunned Applause](#) - Alfred Lehmberg [107]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [171]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Fleming](#) - Lan Fleming [12]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [37]  
['IMPACT' Level of Proof Mnemonic For UFO Material](#) - Tim Shell [43]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [16]  
[Re: Heflin Photos Article In Current UFO Magazine](#) - Ann Druffel [11]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [42]  
[Re: Another Hoaxed 'Alien' Video - Yturria](#) - Santiago Yturria Garza [14]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [9]  
[Black Box UFOs & History](#) - Bruce Maccabee [39]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [8]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson](#) - Bruce Hutchinson [29]  
[Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Gates](#) - Robert Gates [15]  
[Rutkowski "Something's Out There"](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [53]  
[After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [176]  
[CSICOPia Intellectual Bankruptcy From On High](#) - Alfred Lehmberg [19]  
[Chupacabas Return Alarms Rural Argentinians](#) - Scott Corrales [34]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [7]

## Aug 9:

[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson](#) - Bruce Hutchinson [36]  
[Re: 'IMPACT' Level of Proof Mnemonic For UFO](#) - Diana Cammack [4]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Allan](#) - Christopher Allan [18]  
[Organ transplants & Organ Switching?](#) - Greg Boone [6]  
[Re: Australian 'UFO Wave' Website Is Closed](#) - - Santiago Yturria Garza [17]  
[Re: Ministry To Investigate UFO Light Sightings](#) - - Nick Pope [34]  
[Re: 'IMPACT' Level of Proof Mnemonic For UFO](#) - Kathy Kasten [6]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [30]  
[Bruni And Thatcher](#) - Nick Pope [14]  
[Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [14]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [40]  
[UFO Over Bahrain?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [24]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [64]  
[Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars](#) - - Lan Fleming [15]  
[Military Aircraft Chased UFO Over Slupsk](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [49]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [12]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [58]  
[Re: 'IMPACT' Level of Proof Mnemonic For UFO](#) - Tim Shell [17]  
[Secrecy News -- 08/09/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [115]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [51]

## Aug 10:

[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Allan](#) - Christopher Allan [97]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Allan](#) - Christopher Allan [18]  
[Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars](#) - - Stuart Miller [23]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [13]  
[Roswell Debris \[was: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV](#) - John Scheldroup [38]  
[Light Shed On UK Hill Mystery](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [15]  
[UK Floating Lanterns Not UFOs](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [54]  
[Intelligent Design On Another Planet?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [116]  
[UFO Enthusiasts To Set Down In Hooper](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [49]  
[2nd Bahrain UFO Witness](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [54]  
[Bahrain UFO Debunker](#) - Greg Boone [24]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [2]  
[Re: Roswell Debris - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [15]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [12]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [48]

## Aug 11:

[UFO Spotted Over Bahrain's Tree Of Life](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [33]  
[Did Aliens Visit Balham And Tooting?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [53]  
[Secrecy News -- 08/10/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [98]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson](#) - Bruce Hutchinson [12]  
[Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens](#) - Greg Boone [13]  
[Re: Roswell Debris - Scheldroup](#) - John Scheldroup [22]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [14]  
[Re: UFO Over Bahrain?](#) - McNatt - Rand McNatt [15]  
[Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars](#) - - John Rimmer [2]  
[Re: a Iry Milk Commercial Aliens - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [13]  
[Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars](#) - - Lan Fleming [48]  
[Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars](#) - - Alfred Lehmborg [12]

## Aug 12:

[Re: Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens - Vander Ploeg](#) - Dirk Vander Ploeg [11]  
[Info Needed On The 'ET Threat'](#) - Dirk Vander Ploeg [11]  
[Armstrong BC Crop Circle Formation Latest](#) - Paul Anderson [13]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [8]  
[Sci Fi Channel & Roswell was: \[Jessie Jnr.'s](#) - Steven Kaeser [80]  
[Re: Roswell & Sci Fi Channel - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [6]  
[Re: Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [8]  
[Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars](#) - - Stuart Miller [6]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [365]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [48]  
[Timothy Good's Need To Know](#) - Nick Pope [15]  
[Re: Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [12]  
[Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars](#) - - Stuart Miller [35]

## Aug 13:

[Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars](#) - - Rick Nielsen [22]  
[Armstrong BC Crop Circle Formation Latest #2](#) - Paul Anderson [19]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - White](#) - Eleanor White [5]  
[Re: Info Needed On The 'ET Threat' - Balaskas](#) - Nick Balaskas [36]  
[Re: Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens - Kimball](#) - Paul Kimball [19]  
[Great STF Interview Horrible Backdrop](#) - Jason Gammon [5]  
[Jesse Marcel Sr & Jr](#) - Judy Mickelson [12]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [31]

## Aug 14:

[Apollo 11 Mission TV Archive Mystery Unspools](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [149]  
[Re: Info Needed On The 'ET Threat' - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [47]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [13]  
[Re: Great STF Interview Horrible Backdrop](#) - - Stanton Friedman [7]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [10]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [275]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [23]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [23]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [275]  
[Apollo UFOs](#) - James Smith [66]  
[Hall Is Ba-a-a-ck!](#) - Richard Hall [6]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [3]  
[UFO Between Santa Rosa & Toay Argentina](#) - Scott Corrales [24]  
[Secrecy News -- 08/14/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [189]  
[Three Major CE-3 Events On Same 1971 Day](#) - Loren Coleman [109]

## Aug 15:

[Canadian UFO Sightings On The Paracast Tonight](#) - Gene Steinberg [31]  
[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [22]  
[Bogus Video At Australian UFO Wave Website?](#) - Royce J. Myers III [11]  
[Philippines UFO Claim Unsubstantiated](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [21]  
[Tim Good's Australian Book Release Date](#) - Sheryl Gottschall [9]

[UFOs Or Not?](#) - Hollis Kimball [17]  
[Delbert Newhouse Dies](#) - David Rudiak [10]  
[Re: Apollo 11 Mission TV Archive Mystery Unspools](#) - William Sawers [29]  
[Re: Three Major CE-3 Events On Same 1971 Day -](#) - Loren Coleman [19]  
[Re: Apollo UFOs - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [11]  
[Re: Bogus Video At Australian UFO Wave Website?](#) - - Bill Chalker [50]  
[Re: Hall Is Ba-a-a-ck! - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [18]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [6]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [8]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [13]  
[Re: Bogus Video At Australian UFO Wave Website?](#) - - Bob Shell [6]

## Aug 16:

[Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [15]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [26]  
[The Alien Abduction Of Mr. Wladyslaw](#) - Dirk Vander Ploeg [20]  
[Gene Duplantier's Address Requested](#) - Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos [5]  
[Re: Apollo 11 Mission TV Archive Mystery Unspools](#) - James Smith [22]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [2]  
[Re: Apollo UFOs - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [8]  
[Re: Apollo UFOs - Smith](#) - James Smith [22]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Warren](#) - Frank Warren [13]  
[Flying Humanoid Video Clip](#) - Jason Gammon [14]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [18]  
[Re: Bogus Video At Australian UFO Wave Website?](#) - - Tim Shell [7]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [12]  
[Tim Good's Australian Book Release & Perth Lecture](#) - Robert Frola [29]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [7]  
[Australian UFO Wave Website Admits Footage Is Fake](#) - Royce J. Myers III [2]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Scheldroup](#) - John Scheldroup [47]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Sawyer](#) - Steve Sawyer [37]  
[Re: Hall Is Ba-a-a-ck! - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [2]  
[A Guide To UFO Sightings In Ireland](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [58]  
['Unidentified' A New Movie About UFOs](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [50]

## Aug 17:

[Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [67]  
[Fields Of Fear](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [6]  
[Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars -](#) - Paul Anderson [18]  
[Our Solar System Planets](#) - Terry Colvin [49]  
[Re: 'Unidentified' A New Movie About UFOs - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [6]  
[Re: Flying Humanoid Video Clip - Yturria](#) - Santiago Yturria Garza [37]  
['Hybrid Mutant' Found Dead In Maine](#) - Chaz Stuart [52]  
[Alien Artifacts In The Solar System?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [298]  
['We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [63]  
[Re: Apollo UFOs - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [81]  
[Re: Apollo UFOs - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [19]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [11]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [17]  
[Re: 'Unidentified' A New Movie About UFOs - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [11]  
[Re: Fields Of Fear - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [46]



[Re: 'Unidentified' A New Movie About UFOs - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [10]  
[Assistance From British Researchers](#) - Robert Frola [27]  
[Re: Apollo UFOs - Smith](#) - James Smith [7]  
[Re: Apollo UFOs - Smith](#) - James Smith [11]  
[Re: Fields Of Fear - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [10]  
[Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [24]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [11]  
[Re: Apollo UFOs - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [52]  
[Re: Fields Of Fear - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [32]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [8]  
[Re: Our Solar System Planets - Anderson](#) - Paul Anderson [9]  
[Re: Fields Of Fear - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [10]  
[Re: Apollo UFOs - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [7]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [39]  
[Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Rutkowski](#) - Chris Rutkowski [7]  
[Hawaii UFO Looked Like A Wayward Missile](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [19]  
[Re: Our Solar System Planets - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [5]

## Aug 18:

[Re: Apollo UFOs - Tarbell](#) - Michael Tarbell [5]  
[Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [9]  
[Re: Flying Humanoid Video Clip - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [2]  
[Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [84]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Shell](#) - Stanton Friedman [41]  
[Re: Fields Of Fear - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [90]  
[Re: 'Unidentified' A New Movie About UFOs - Centre](#) - Mark Center [7]  
[Watchdog Interviews UFO Hoaxer Chris Kenworthy](#) - Royce J. Myers III [3]  
[Re: UFOs or Not? - Kimball](#) - Hollis Kimball [23]  
[Re: Tim Good's Australian Book Release - Gottschall](#) - Sheryl Gottschall [8]  
[Re: UFOs Or What? - Frison](#) - Eugene Frison [6]  
[Re: Flying Humanoid Video Clip - Balusek](#) - Gary O. Balusek [6]  
[Re: Hawaii UFO Looked Like A Wayward Missile](#) - Josh Goldstein [17]  
[Oz UFO Hot Spot](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [70]  
[What Happens If A Signal Is Found](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [138]  
[New From Faded Discs - Ufology Across The Ponds](#) - Wendy Connors [32]

## Aug 19:

[Daily Grail Interviews Chris Kenworthy](#) - Greg Taylor [7]  
[Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [6]  
[Re: Apollo UFOs - Smith](#) - James Smith [14]  
[Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - James Smith [268]  
[The Choppers & The Choppers?](#) - Don Capps [17]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [15]  
[Re: UFOs or Not? - Allan](#) - Christopher Allan [9]  
[Re: UFOs or Not? - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [21]  
[Review Of Connors' UFOs Across The Ponds](#) - Robert Barrow [332]  
[Tom Petty Quits Driving After 'UFO' Scare](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [28]  
[Re: UFOs or Not? - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [56]  
[Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Reason](#) - Cathy Reason [12]  
[Re: UFOs or Not? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [14]  
[Re: UFOs Or What? - Fleming](#) - Lan Fleming [3]

[Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [137]  
[Author Gives Life To Aliens](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [54]  
[Re: Fields Of Fear - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [57]  
[Abduction & What We Can Do About It](#) - Rick Nielsen [123]  
[Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'](#) - Stuart Miller [18]  
[Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [15]  
[Re: UFOs or Not? - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [12]  
[Re: Assistance From British Researchers - Pope](#) - Nick Pope [13]  
[Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'](#) - Ray Dickenson [9]  
[Re: Apollo UFOs - Tarbell](#) - Michael Tarbell [14]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not - Frison](#) - Eugene Frison [61]  
[Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [15]  
[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - Don Ledger [55]  
[Re: Fields Of Fear - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [93]

## Aug 20:

[Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Golubik](#) - Viktor Golubik [40]  
[Military Docs Info](#) - Hollis Kimball [19]  
[The Bible-UFO Connection](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [110]  
[Re: UFOs or Not? - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [23]  
[Re: The Choppers & The Choppers? - O'Brien](#) - Chris O'Brien [15]  
[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - Bob Shell [8]  
[Re: Fields Of Fear - Rimmer](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [5]  
[Re: UFOs or Not? - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [159]  
[Re: Military Docs Info - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [10]  
[Re: Military Docs Info - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [28]  
[Re: The Choppers & The Choppers? - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [6]  
[Re: Apollo UFOs - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [81]  
[Re: Military Docs Info - Freeman](#) - Kelly Freeman [7]  
[Re: UFOs or Not? - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [3]  
[Re: Military Docs Info - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [16]  
[Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal Encounters](#) - Gene Steinberg [20]  
[Re: Military Docs Info - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [5]  
[Re: The Bible-UFO Connection - Denton](#) - Julia Denton [19]  
[Re: UFOs or Not? - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [21]  
[Re: Military Docs Info - Scheldroup](#) - John Scheldroup [26]  
[Re: Apollo UFOs - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [5]  
[John Velez Re-Appears On SDI](#) - Eleanor White [25]  
[Re: he Choppers & The Choppers? - O'Brien](#) - Christopher O'Brien [42]  
[Re: Military Docs Info - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [5]  
[Re: Military Docs Info - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [8]

## Aug 21:

[Re: John Velez Re-Appears On SDI - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [13]  
[Re: The Choppers & The Choppers? - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [10]  
[1965 UFO Activity In Wise County Virginia?](#) - Jerry Hamm [6]  
[Re: Apollo UFOs - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [2]  
[Re: Military Docs Info - Kimball](#) - Hollis Kimball [18]  
[Re: UFOs or Not? - Fleming](#) - Lan Fleming [9]  
[Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal](#) - Paul Kimball [11]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Kimball](#) - Hollis Kimball [26]



[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Frison](#) - Eugene Frison [97]  
[Re: UFO Activity In Hicksville Ohio 1950's? - Hamm](#) - Jerry Hamm [24]  
[Australian Gold Coast UFO Report](#) - Sheryl Gottschall [27]  
[Rex Heflin Audio](#) - Wendy Connors [17]  
[Re: Fields Of Fear - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [64]  
[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - James Smith [32]  
[NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles](#) - Greg Boone [16]  
[Re: Military Docs Info - Allan](#) - Christopher Allan [5]  
[Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is](#) - Hollis Kimball [19]  
[AA A Little Bit Of This & A Little Bit Of That](#) - Philip Mantle [8]

## Aug 22:

[Re: The Choppers & The Choppers? - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [47]  
[Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [108]  
[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - Tim Shell [10]  
[Re: The Bible-UFO Connection - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [7]  
[Crop Circle Formation Report #2 Schomberg Ontario](#) - Paul Anderson [25]  
[Re: 1965 UFO Activity In Wise County Virginia? -](#) - Bob Shell [6]  
[Re: UFOs or Not? - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [41]  
[Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal](#) - Steven Kaeser [14]  
[Re: Apollo UFOs - Smith](#) - James Smith [28]  
[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - James Smith [44]  
[Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles - Beasley](#) - Craig Beasley [22]  
[Re: Military Docs Info - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [9]  
[Re: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is -](#) - Jason Gammon [18]  
[Kinross Solved? - UFO UpDates - Toronto](#) [57]  
[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - James Smith [16]  
[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - James Smith [18]  
[Re: 1965 UFO Activity In Wise County Virginia? -](#) - Steven Kaeser [11]  
[Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal](#) - Jerome Clark [24]  
[Re: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is -](#) - Stanton Friedman [4]  
[Chupacabras Strikes Again In Jalpatagua](#) - Scott Corrales [27]  
[UFOs Involved In Forced Landing Of Cuban Plane?](#) - Scott Corrales [40]

## Aug 23:

[Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles - Reason](#) - Cathy Reason [10]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [53]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [12]  
[Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [6]  
[Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [26]  
[Re: The Black Vault's 10th Anniversary - Greenewald](#) - John Greenewald [29]  
[Re: Kinross Solved? - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [4]  
[Re: Kinross Solved? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [5]  
[Re: Apollo UFOs - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [2]  
[Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [40]  
[Re: Fields Of Fear - Rimmer](#) - John Rimmer [3]  
[Re: Tom Petty Quits Driving After 'UFO' Scare -](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [11]  
[Re: UFOs or Not? - Fleming](#) - Lan Fleming [24]  
[Illinois Crop Circles](#) - Chaz Stuart [53]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [83]  
[Re: UFOs or Not? - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [83]

[Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal](#) - Rick Nielsen [38]  
[Re: Military Docs Info - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [5]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - White](#) - Eleanor White [4]  
[Re: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is](#) - Eleanor White [4]  
[Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal](#) - Jerome Clark [6]  
[Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal](#) - Scott Carr [11]  
[Re: The Choppers & The Choppers? - O'Brien](#) - Christopher O'Brien [31]  
[The Encyclopedic Sourcebook Of UFO Religions](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [97]  
[Centre For AnthroUfology](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [120]  
[Re: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is](#) - John Velez [5]  
[Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [27]  
[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - Bruce Maccabee [20]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Fleming](#) - Lan Fleming [14]  
[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - Don Ledger [77]  
[Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image?](#) - Chaz Stuart [5]  
[Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles - Anderson](#) - Paul Anderson [10]  
[Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' -](#) Martin Shough [20]  
[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - Martin Shough [9]  
[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - Martin Shough [9]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Lehmberg](#) - Alfred Lehmberg [10]  
[Re: Military Docs Info - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [3]  
[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - James Smith [25]  
[Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -](#) Bob Shell [6]  
[Re: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is](#) - Alfred Lehmberg [47]  
[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - James Smith [121]  
[Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal](#) - Jerome Clark [27]  
[Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles - Smith](#) - James Smith [25]  
[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - Ray Dickenson [25]  
[Re: Tom Petty Quits Driving After 'UFO' Scare -](#) Josh Goldstein [8]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [32]  
[Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -](#) Greg Boone [5]  
[Carbondale UFO Chronicles Entry #9](#) - Matthew Graeber [580]  
[Re: Tom Petty Quits Driving After 'UFO' Scare -](#) Jason Gammon [3]  
[New British UFO Documentary](#) - Nick Pope [6]

## Aug 24:

[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Kimball](#) - Hollis Kimball [11]  
[Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -](#) Joe Faccenda [12]  
[Re: Kinross Solved? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [3]  
[Keyhoe, J J O'Connor & FOI](#) - Terry Colvin <fortean1@mindspring.com> [44]  
[Crop Circles Found In Galva Field](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [40]  
[Crop Circles Puzzle Geneseo Officials](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [60]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [55]  
[Re: Tom Petty Quits Driving After 'UFO' Scare -](#) Josh Goldstein [16]  
[Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal](#) - Steven Kaeser [9]  
[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - Martin Shough [38]

## Aug 25:

[Tim Good Live On The Net](#) - Sheryl Gottschall [9]  
[Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -](#) Bob Shell [24]  
[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - Martin Shough [76]

[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [23]  
[Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -](#) - Martin Shough [9]  
[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - James Smith [35]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [20]  
[Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -](#) - Tim Shell [6]  
[Re: UFOs or Not? - Fleming](#) - Lan Fleming [22]  
[Mass Monster Mash Friday 13th October 06](#) - Brian Sunday [38]  
[Alfred Lehmborg's 'Sky Lights'](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [10]  
[Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -](#) - Eleanor White [10]  
[Doctor Bringing UFO-Like Experience To The Screen](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [115]  
[Cooper A Manipulated "Disinformant" Of The 90s?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [161]  
[Eye To The Sky A Paranormal Odyssey](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [54]  
[Re: Kinross Solved? - Kimball](#) - Hollis Kimball [9]  
[Time Out](#) - Hollis Kimball [25]  
[Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -](#) - Santiago Yturria Garza [7]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Kimball](#) - Hollis Kimball [15]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Kimball](#) - Hollis Kimball [4]  
[Confidentiality Honour & Duty](#) - Greg Boone [29]  
[Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -](#) - Bob Shell [11]  
[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - James Smith [12]  
[Secrecy News -- 08/25/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [134]  
[Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles - Balaskas](#) - Nick Balaskas [29]  
[Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -](#) - Tim Shell [7]  
[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - Martin Shough [95]  
[Re: The Choppers & The Choppers? - O'Brien](#) - Christopher O'Brien [28]  
[Re: Cooper A Manipulated "Disinformant" Of The](#) - Greg Sandow [15]  
[Explaining The Unexplainable](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [243]  
[Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -](#) - Paul Anderson [21]  
[Re: Kinross Solved? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [56]  
[Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [340]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [6]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [12]

## Aug 26:

[Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [42]  
[Re: Time Out - White](#) - Eleanor White [7]  
[Re: UFOs or Not? - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [93]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Kimball](#) - Hollis Kimball [10]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Scheldroup](#) - John Scheldroup [7]  
[Re: Kinross Solved? - Heath](#) - Gord Heath [73]  
[Sheriffs Seek UFO Possibly With Soybeans Attached](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [20]  
[Re: The Black Vault's 10th Anniversary - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [5]  
['Eye In The Sky' UFO Montage Video](#) - Jerry Hamm [17]  
[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - Bruce Maccabee [9]  
[Meteorite Find Suggests Life On Mars](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [50]  
[Cowboys And UFOs](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [81]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [59]  
[Re: Rex Heflin Audio - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [4]  
[Re: UFOs Or Not? - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [18]  
[Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Reason](#) - Cathy Reason [22]  
[Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Reason](#) - Cathy Reason [19]  
[Starchild Project Update 08-25-06](#) - Lloyd Pye [74]

[Re: Rex Heflin Audio - Connors](#) - Wendy Connors [11]

## **Aug 27:**

[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - Don Ledger [76]

[Re: Confidentiality Honour & Duty - Freeman](#) - Kelly Freeman [13]

[Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [24]

[Re: Cowboys And UFOs - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [6]

[Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [43]

[Re: Sheriffs Seek UFO Possibly With Soybeans](#) - Martin Shough [5]

[Re: Kinross Solved? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [37]

[Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' -](#) - Stuart Miller [15]

[Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' -](#) - Stuart Miller [40]

[Are 'Rods' Solid-State Aircraft?](#) - Jason Gammon [26]

[Re: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is -](#) - Rick Nielsen [3]

[Are We Living In A Dark Age?](#) - Ray Dickenson [93]

[Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [216]

[Re: Apollo UFOs - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [3]

[The Bible-UFO Connection Pt II](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [97]

[Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [73]

## **Aug 28:**

[Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [65]

[Unlocking Namibia's X-Files](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [61]

[UFO Conference Saturday October 14th 2006](#) - Brian Sunday [35]

[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - James Smith [8]

[Re: heriffs Seek UFO Possibly With Soybeans](#) - Jim Deardorff [22]

[Re: Sheriffs Seek UFO Possibly With Soybeans](#) - Paul Anderson [16]

[Re: The Bible-UFO Connection Pt II - Hamilton](#) - Bill Hamilton [12]

[Secrecy News -- 08/28/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [147]

[Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [4]

[Re: The Bible-UFO Connection Pt II - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [29]

[Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' -](#) - Tim Shell [32]

[Can't Talk About Aliens Apollo & The Moon?](#) - Greg Boone [23]

## **Aug 30:**

[VSN Site Back Up](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [15]

## **Aug 29:**

[Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [41]

[Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [14]

[ET Survey Results](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [115]

[New Evidence Kinross UFO Incident](#) - Dirk Vander Ploeg [13]

[Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life - Balaskas](#) - Nick Balaskas [72]

[Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards](#) - Don Ledger [6]

[Re: The Bible-UFO Connection Pt II - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [26]

[Re: Are We Living In A Dark Age? - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [8]

[Trouvelot And The UFOs](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [20]

[Abductions In The 21st Century 21 Questions](#) - Luis R. Gonzalez [102]

## Aug 30:

[Re: What Happens If A Signal Is Found - White](#) - Eleanor White [35]  
[Mystical Experiences Are Real](#) - Nick Balaskas [55]  
[The Truth Isn't On There](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [67]  
[Triangle Over Norfolk UK](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [91]  
[Residents Of Fort Resolution Report UFO Sightings](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [33]  
[Lake Discovery Is Likely Truax Jet](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [109]  
[Renewed Chupacabras Attack In Calama Chile](#) - Scott Corrales [33]  
[Secrecy News -- 08/30/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [96]  
[Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [20]  
[UFO Sighting In Slovenia?](#) - Milos Krmelj [20]

## Aug 31:

[Formation Report #3 - Buffalo Pound Lake,](#) - Paul Anderson [20]  
[Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' - Shell](#) - Tim Shell [18]  
[Re: Abductions In The 21st Century 21 Questions -](#) - Tim Shell [16]  
[E.T. Where Are You?](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [78]  
[Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [10]  
[Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [22]  
[UFOs Seen In Bonsall Again](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [45]  
[A New Empirical Approach In The Search For ETI](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [42]  
[A COMETA Report Report](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [106]  
[UFO Frauds And The Misunderstood Contactees](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [16]  
[UFO 'Expert' Speculates About Strange Lights](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [81]  
[Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [31]

*The number enclosed in brackets is the number of lines of new text in the message, excluding the header, blank lines and quotes from previous messages.*

[Previous Month](#)

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 1](#)

## Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 12:36:16 -0300  
Fwd Date: Tue, 01 Aug 2006 20:40:02 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Ledger

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 14:51:09 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 13:59:26 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>First, he had no authority to designate material as Top  
>>Secret. That is done after assessment by higher authority later  
>>on.

>>Second, why should the possibility of some "alien manufactured"  
>>material found in the desert be automatically assumed to be a  
>>security concern and be declared Top Secret?

>That's right Don. Moreover he may have presumed that this was  
going to be something outside the purview of mundane security  
concerns and that it was going to change the world in its  
entirety, so, perhaps the world would likely be informed in its  
regard. A subsequent press release did go out the next day,  
after all. I imagine he was pleased and proud to be the one to  
let his son in on the most important revelation that can be  
conceived.

Hi Al,

The more I think about what I said re the second point the more  
I wonder about how anyone from the highest authority on down  
would automatically know to classify something hinted to be an  
ETI controlled craft as Top Secret. Was there already a protocol  
existing to cover just such an eventuality in place in 1947? I  
doubt it but if so, why? Marcel Sr. would have had the  
mentality-possibly the suspicion that it might be the case-to  
consider doing an on-the-spot classification, I suppose. He was  
after-all a security officer at the world's only A-bomb bomber  
base [that apparently had no A-bombs] and certainly the nuclear  
secrecy/paranoia holdover from the A-bomb's development and  
other Top Secret programs during the war would still prevail,  
not knowing of course that the nuclear horse had already bolted.

Has anyone ever run into such a protocol for that era, or a  
suspicion of same?

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)



## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 1](#)

### Re: Colombian Raelian Family builds UFO 'Runway' -

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 12:49:12 -0300  
Fwd Date: Tue, 01 Aug 2006 20:43:56 -0400  
Subject: Re: Colombian Raelian Family builds UFO 'Runway' -

>From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
>To: UFO Updates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 08:01:27 -0400  
>Subject: Colombian Raelian Family builds UFO 'Runway'

>INEXPLICATA  
>The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
>July 30, 2006

>Source: NOTIMEX; El Universal (Bogota)  
>Date: Sunday, July 30, 2006

>Colombia: Family Builds UFO "Runway"

>Colombia: Family Builds UFO "Runway"

>A Colombian family attached to the Raelian faith explained that  
>its purpose is to offer hospitality to any extraterrestrials  
>willing to visit them.

>A Colombian family built a runway for Unidentified Flying  
>Objects (UFOs) in a ranch they own in the northwestern department  
>of Antioquia, according to local media.

>The family, made up by Oscar Orozco, his wife Beatriz and his  
>four children, all of them members of the "Raelian" faith,  
>explained thta its purpose is to offer hospitality to any  
>extraterrestrials willing to visit them.

>The runway is a white, circular structure built near the house  
>occupied by these adepts of the Raelian Movement, founded by  
>Claude Vorilhon, who claims to have been contacted by a UFO in  
>1973 and later assumed the name "Rael".

>In statements to the El Tiempo newspaper, Orozco said that he  
>designed the runway himself, directed the pouring of cement and  
>raised the circular adobe structure, which measures 40 meters in  
>diameter. He admitted having some problems with his neighbors,  
>not only on account of his beliefs, but because the family is  
>>wont to wander naked around their property. "They said they were  
>going to lynch us and on two occasions called the authorities on  
>me for scandal, but in the end, the scandal was taking place  
>within our own property," notes Orozco.

>He adds that the landing center is still provisional, because  
>the real UFO landing strip should be 150 meters in diameter,  
>according to the measurements of the so called "temple of  
>Ezekiel."

>This Raelian family hopes that the Colombian government will  
>grant it the extra-territorial nature held by foreign embassies  
>and that it will be relocated to the Jose Maria Cordova airport, which  
>serves the city of Medellin, in order to avoid accidents.

>According to Raelian belief, humanity was created by aliens 25  
>million years ago when they visited earth and set off evolution  
>by means of DNA. The followers of "Rael" are atheists and  
>science is their only religion. They believe in accepting

Re: Colombian Raelian Family builds UFO 'Runway' -

>responsibility for their own actions, believe in non-violence,  
>profess absolute respect for human life and sexual tolerance.'

Like it or not we all get stained by the actions, practices and  
beliefs of these fools.

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 1](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Tarbell

From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 10:15:35 -0600  
Fwd Date: Tue, 01 Aug 2006 20:47:10 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Tarbell

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 13:59:26 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[webmaster.nul](mailto:webmaster.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 01:16:29 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>><snip>

>>Assuming that we are talking about the debris that the Marcells  
>>described, somehow I don't think that you would have required  
>>someone else's opinion. And somehow I don't think that you  
>>would have needed orders from above to know that showing  
>>and/or talking about this to your family would have been a  
>>serious breach of your secrecy oath.

>><snip>

>Bruce, both you and Kathy have stated that, as a security  
>officer, Marcel breached security by sharing information with  
>his family.

>First, he had no authority to designate material as Top  
>Secret. That is done after assessment by higher authority later  
>on.

>Second, why should the possibility of some "alien manufactured"  
>material found in the desert be automatically assumed to be a  
>security concern and be declared Top Secret?

It's not clear that Marcel would not have had the authority to  
classify this material on his own. It would depend on what DoD  
programs he had access to, and whether any of the associated  
Security Classification Guides defined the classification level  
of such material.

It is virtually certain that Marcel would not have had the  
authority to unilaterally define a new category of information  
and assign a classification level to it. The latter would be an  
"original classification", and not many people are authorized to  
do it. However, if he were cognizant of an existing  
information category and the associated classification level, he  
could (and should) mark and handle such material as classified.  
The latter is known as "derivative classification", and it  
occurs quite routinely.

Mike

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 1](#)

## Re: Are UFOs Alive? - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 11:53:40 -0500 (CDT)  
Fwd Date: Tue, 01 Aug 2006 20:48:58 -0400  
Subject: Re: Are UFOs Alive? - Shell

>From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 13:15:36 EDT  
>Subject: Are UFOs Alive?

>There has been many suggestions from researchers that UFOs may  
>represent a previously unknown, arial life-form. I, for one, do  
>not subscribe to this belief but I do feel that this line of  
>thought does have some meririt.

>What I am intersted in, is the 'brainstorming' of the mechanics  
>of an interplanetary or deep-space vehicle.

It's not really so far-fetched. I've said before that we homo sapiens are a transitional species, helping to move the notion of "life" from strictly carbon-based to include the silicon-based life that we will create. So it's not too difficult to imagine ships that are no only alive, but also part of a complete system that includes a more organic lifeform as an integral component.

We're not too far away from that, ourselves. A fair amount of research is being conducted with direct mind control of fighter aircraft, with the hopes of reducing reaction time to catch up with the speed of the electronics. While the artificial intelligence field has had a few false starts, from what I've read and understand I personally feel the field is prime for some startling advances in the near future. I feel that machine consciousness is just around the corner. Add human and machine minds and you've got an interesting hybrid.

Without getting too New-Agey, we know that consciousness (an observer), is an inseparable component to determining quantum states. We can also hypothesize that our own consciousness exists in a kind of indeterminate quantum state spanning but not necessarily native to any particular intertwining universe, as defined by observer determination. (Huh?) That is, even though we only seem to consciously exist in one universe, our consciousness may indeed exist in a multitude of separate but similar universes with variations on a quantum level, and these separate consciousnesses overlap and blend to seem like a single, coherent structure, like an electron "cloud" that still contains distinct particles but also exists as its own probability structure. Most of the time we perceive and exist in universes close to the median of the probability curves, but we also occasionally exist and perceive far to one end or the other of the curve, way out past several standard deviations. The places where the UFOs and ghosties live.

If that were the case, who knows what the effect would be if our own lives/minds were amplified and focused through a silicon-based life/mind? Maybe it would be possible to not only perceptually, but physically shift our little consciousness/perspective cloud from one point in spacetime to another just by "imagining" it. I don't know what kind of energy that would require. Maybe a lot, but perhaps not an infinite amount.

Maybe that's what Einstein meant when he said that imagination



is more important than knowledge. Knowledge helps you define your existence, but imagination allows you to move between existences.

Bumping an amplified consciousness/perspective probability bubble from one set of universes to another...? Nah. Still...

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 1](#)

## Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request -

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 12:05:01 -0500 (CDT)  
Fwd Date: Tue, 01 Aug 2006 20:51:31 -0400  
Subject: Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request -

>From: Royce J. Myers III <[ufowatchdog.nul](mailto:ufowatchdog.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 15:46:39 -0700  
>Subject: Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request

>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 08:51:50 EDT  
>>Subject: Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request

>>>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 29 Jul 2006 18:37:45 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request

>>>>From: Larry W. Bryant <[overtci.nul](mailto:overtci.nul)>  
>>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Fri, 28 Jul 2006 21:49:14 -0400  
>>>>Subject: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request

><snip>

>>Oh, my Lord, another second-hand witness to an autopsy at Wright  
>>Field...

>>I think we have been burned enough by the second-hand witnesses.  
>>Yes, they sometimes provide us with clues to the first-hand  
>>source, but too often they have misunderstood a comment (I spent  
>>months chasing down a story about a man who had been one of the  
>>pilots of Air Force One under Kennedy who had seen the alien  
>>bodies... Yes, he had been a pilot, briefly, on Air Force One,  
>>yes, he had spoken to Kennedy. Yes, he had seen an alien...  
>>living, in a UFO near his fighter aircraft but had nothing to do  
>>with bodies or Kennedy) or are embellishing a story to make it  
>>more interesting. We need the Colonel and not SeCoy.

>>>Please, I beg you, spare us another alien autopsy. We have all  
>>>had a bellyful with the Santilli one and want a complete rest  
>>>from such things.

>>>Linda Moulton Howe's 'revelations' are best summarised in one 8-  
>>>letter word (or is it two 4-letter ones?).

>>Oh, my Lord, again. I have to agree with CDA...

>Wow. Another anonymous supposed military witness who told  
>someone about alien bodies. Good for radio ratings and selling  
>website subscriptions.

Hey, it's Roswell again! Oh, goody. BTW, have any of you  
gentlemen (and ladies) had a chance to check this latest footage  
out:

<http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1143012732739096028>

At least this one has more than just a couple people in it, and  
you can even almost see their faces. Same alien, though. A  
little stiff around the edges. Perhaps another piece of film  
that Santilli had produced that wasn't good enough for public

consumption at the time.

Or maybe it's the \_real deal\_, buried by a mountain of  
disinformation!

You tell me.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 1](#)

## Carbondale UFO Crash Chronicles Entry No.7

From: **Matthew Graeber** <[Matthewgraeber.nul](mailto:Matthewgraeber.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 13:59:57 EDT  
Fwd Date: Tue, 01 Aug 2006 21:10:09 -0400  
Subject: Carbondale UFO Crash Chronicles Entry No.7

Entry No.7 - The Carbondale UFO Crash Chronicles

(Dredging up the Confidential story lurking beneath the surface of BUFO)

Welcome back to the chronicles and the continuing expose of Mary Sutherland's attempts to 'Turn' the 19 74 saucer hoax at Carbondale, PA into a viable and lucrative UFO business enterprise, something like the ones at Roswell and Aztec, NM.

While it is perfectly obvious that something unusual did actually happen at Roswell in 1947, The Aztec, and Carbondale non-UFO events were merely clumsy and silly hoaxes. Aztec was conjured up by two very greedy con men, while the Carbondale incident was actually a teenage prank that got blown completely out of proportion by media hype and unbridled community rumor-mongering.

It suffices to say that if you've been following this sad saga, you are fully-aware of the fact that Mary Sutherland and her companions (Ron Hannivig and Frank Scassellatti), have proven themselves to be quite inept investigators, shoddy researchers and gross distorters of fact.

They have over the last couple of years presented a variety of ever-changing theories about the Carbondale incident while, presenting an array of 'alleged' eyewitness statements from mostly 'Unidentified' sources. Here too, the contradictions are improbability of the stories being factual is so obvious that it's embarrassing to list them for your scrutiny.

But, in previous chronicles entries, I have discussed quite a few of them while exposing Mary and her pair of saucer sleuths antics to the harsh light of reason, logic and common sense. However, the trio appears to be shameless, and therefore, I am once again compelled to take up my pen in defense of historical fact and respect for the efforts of so many volunteers who participated in the resolution of the incident back on November 11, 1974

Mary's latest URL is a marvelous example of BUFOONian distortion regarding the so-called 'fessing up' of the volunteer scuba diver (Mark Stamey) who actually did recover the railroad lantern from the pond's floor. It was this lantern's beam of light shining up from beneath the pond's surface on the night of November 9th that sparked the rumors and suspicions about a flying saucer, or a downed soviet missile that had 'Possibly' fallen into the silt pond on the edge of town.

However, that suspicion has become a growing obsession for Mary Sutherland and her pair of defective detectives, who see conspiracy lurking in every yarn about the incident they've come across or, managed to conjure up themselves.

In fact, for them the word 'Possibly' a downed UFO or soviet missile has been replaced with the unshakable belief that it definitely 'was' a downed two-seater flying saucer that entered earth's atmosphere on an errant angle, which damaged the craft

and caused it to crash into the pond and sink to it's bottom.

After that, according to Ron Hannivig, the submerged UFO began dredging operations for hibernating toad specimens. So, I guess the alien's weren't too worried about drowning. (?)

Of course, there were no eyewitnesses to this historical UFO splash down, BUFO's Frank Scassellati apparently figured all the details out all by himself during one of his BUFOOlogical quantum leaps of ill-logical assumption.

Now, three decades years later, Mark Stamey (The volunteer scuba diver) has resurfaced in this story - and has come forward to inform Mary Sutherland that not only was there something else in the pond but, that the lantern was planted in the water by the acting police chief (Det.Sgt. Francis X.Dottle) in an attempt to bamboozle the people of Carbondale and fool the press too. Mary calls this 'Imaginary' deed a "Smoke screen" cover up.

In Mary's own words,"Mark Stamey, diver that recovered the lantern admits that lantern retrieval was staged!" Diver reports that lantern was deliberately thrown into the pond by acting police chief (Det.Sgt. Francis X. Dottle) in an attempt to create a smoke screen for the recovery of a UFO that crashed into the silt pond outside of Carbondale.'

But a careful read of Stamey's statement to Rick Fisher (a paranormal writer) who interviewed the diver several months ago about the affair tells quite a different story - possibly revealing how much Mary Sutherland has embellished and distorted the diver's account of his experience at the pond back on November 11th, 1974.

Stamey says (According to Sutherland) that Sgt. Dottle did ask him to take another look into the pond to see if anything else might possibly have been there. Stamey saw nothing unusual on the second dive but, now proclaims he had a 'Feeling"that something was there. Stamey apparently had complete access to all areas of the pond and did not suffer from radiation exposure which the BUFOONians like to tell people that Sgt.Dottle failed to warn the citizens of Carbondale about.

However, the radiation contrivance is generally linked to a downed soviet missile story that Mary 'Borrowed' from a deceased saucer-zealot, (Robert D. Barry) and now she seems to have abandoned the missile tale in favor of the errant saucer fantasy she is promoting.

Of course, Stamey had quite a different story to tell while being interviewed by the press at pond side shortly after he recovered the

railroad lantern on the afternoon of November 11th. 1974. Stamey said 'I was hoping it was be something big you know, some day I want to start my own diving business and I was hoping I'd get something good, not a lousy lantern."Source: Philadelphia Evening Bulletin -Tuesday. November 12, 1974.

Stamey, the 26 year old skin diver from Syracuse, N.Y. suburbs, made a second dive into the murky pond (an hour after he recovered the lantern), in a further attempt to see if something other than the lantern might be there. When he resurfaced Stamey yelled to the small crowd onlookers and acting police chief ' There's nothing there, if I found anything else I'd tell you. That's it, only the lantern. Nothing else.'

Ms. Mary Schmitt of the Silver Spring, Maryland ISIS Center, a UFO, Esoteric Arts and Sciences organization, was one of the small crowd gathered at the scene of Stamey's second dive. On page 6 of her official report we find this comment, 'The lantern itself weighed about three pounds and could easily have been thrown. It was found at a depth of 8 feet. The diver did go down again as planned but found nothing. He made his dive where a 'hot"but safe radiation reading had been obtained.'

The radiation reading was .05. That's not 'Hot', nor is it an uncommon background reading. Ms. Schmitt also noted that 'It was a trainmen's lantern, brand unknown, with a six volt battery marked 'Sears not for resale'. Mary Sutherland recently stated the lantern was a kerosene lamp. Moreover, one of the three teenage boys who perpetrated the hoax later confessed to having

thrown the battery powered railroad lantern into the pond to scare his little sister. It was a post-Halloween prank that simply got out of control.

Stamey later confided to Philadelphia press reporters that the incident had made him 'feel like a ding-a-ling."So much for Mark Stamey's 15 minutes of fame back in '74" fast forward to 2006" Why has Mark Stamey come forward 32 years later to inform Mary Sutherland, Ron Hannivig and Frank Scassellati about this 'new and improved"story concerning his experiences at Carbondale?

Has he actually said that he observed the acting police chief toss the lantern into the pond as a 'smoke screen"for a later UFO recovery by the military? Mary Sutherland claims this is the case but, then again, Mary has been known to distort, embellish and exaggerate the claims of her alleged eyewitnesses. Could it be that this is just another of Mary's 'misrepresentations"of what Mr.Stamey actually said?

Understandably, if Mary were planning to \$pon\$or annual UFO conferences at Carbondale, it would be quite advantageous for her to have a keynote speaker who could attest to Mary's suspicions that the lantern was a cover up device. Naturally, such a speaker could demand a sizeable honorarium for his story. A story which (If believed by enough people), would help establish Mary/BUFO as the leading authority on the Carbondale UFO crash cover up. (a cover up and UFO crash that never happened.)

The 1948 Aztec, New Mexico saucer incident never happened either, yet, It has blossomed into a very lucrative UFO convention and tourist industry. The Aztec annual festival has paid for a 1.9 million dollar new city library building project, and Roswell brings in much more than that in tourist dollars..

But, how did it come to pass that Mark Stamey reappeared after 32 years of silence and no recognizable stature in the UFO/paranormal communities? For the answer to that question we'll have to ask Ron Hannivig who e-mailed his associates about contacting Stamey with the hopes of acquiring an endorsement to BUFO's cover up yarn.

Here's several excerpts of that lengthy, BUFOOlogical message from Ron Hannvig to his 'colleagues in nonsense"regarding how the diver's story should be handled. Apparently, Ron likes to control witness and TV interviews of them. He also likes to list his illuminating thoughts numerically.

'6th. The diver must only grant one such interview" yours would be considered as: A Preliminary" fact finding interview, to ascertain the credibility of his account, relative to the innuendoes and conjecture as to his role in what surely must have been a conspiracy."

Ron continues,'8th. By doing it this way- The diver would not be bothered by others; your exclusive interview would be updated; Moreover, supported by video documentation" and ----- would have an item of value- that is, if the diver realizes value of his key contribution regarding this incident. (Italics mine) Over the long-term only - taped interview will become the prime credible source - indeed, the one and only source.'

'10th. Once the taped interview is 'in the can"and secured, then Mary's (Sutherland's) role will become useful" to her own interests, as well as to all of you who have become involved in this venture - as it would be toward promoting the actual critical role and value of a key participant."(Source: Ron Hannivig in an e-mail to Mary Sutherland and Frank Scassellati (and others), titled 'CONFIDENTIAL" To be kept just between us three.'

Rick Fisher, the paranormal researcher and writer, had already interviewed the diver about his experience / recollections of the 1974 incident - and Fisher informed me that Stamey told him he did not see the acting police chief toss the lantern in the pond and that if Stamey were to change his story for BUFO, Rick would expose him just as he had previously exposed Frank Scassellatti for his ever-wavering renditions concerning his



presence and activities at the pond in 1974.

Mary Sutherland claims that Stamey never told me that he was on parole in New York, and had crossed state lines to participate (as a volunteer) in the recovery of the lantern at the pond. Mary says that during her 1 1/2 hour audio interview with Stamey he said I lied about his being on parole. I placed a phone call to Doug Dains, Dr. Hynek's investigator at the scene and he too recalls Stamey telling him (separately) about his parole status. So, I guess according to BUFOLogical wisdom both Dains and I are liars.

BUFO's Frank Scassellati wrote Fisher and said, 'I've never really been an investigator for her (Mary Sutherland), I'm just an amateur trying to find answers to the UFO and Paranormal enigmas. Mary has interpreted my day at the pond in Carbondale, and the UFO may have been underwater when all those eyewitnesses were there, that is what Ron Hannivig believes....

I'm sorry for my part in contributing wrong data on the misinformation highway, but I can never go against my friend Mary. Frank closes his semi-apology by lamenting about the 'great conspiracy' to cover up the truth about UFOs.

In response to Mr. Hannivig's e-mail Mary wrote, "I believe that Graeber knew the second diver (Stamey) and called him to the site. I believe that Graeber is one that was working on covering up what was taking place at Carbondale. I need to pull him out into the public eye and expose him".

Yet, Mary tries to convince everyone that I was just a young, inexperienced field investigator who didn't perform a very good inquiry into the incident at Carbondale. So, which is it Mary, am I the top dog of the conspiracy or an inept investigator like Ron and Frank?

Mary continues, "Graeber naturally is going to attack me, but that's ok. I expect that to happen. Actually, I want that to happen" smiles Mary'

Log on to <http://www.burlingtonnews.net/carbondale.html> and see if Mary 'Living in the light' Sutherland has succeeded in exposing me". I hope she's still smiling!

In time, so the old saying goes, 'What's on the bottom comes to the surface', and that's certainly true of BUFO's attempts to create a UFO crash business venture through deception and collusion amongst several sad individuals who obviously have no scruples or shame.

Matt Graeber is solely responsible for this chronicles entry, the contents of which were primarily provided by BUFOONian nonsense. Since the information was not copyrighted, no fair use notification is required or extended.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 1](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson

From: Bruce Hutchinson <[webmaster.nul](mailto:webmaster.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 15:24:19 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 01 Aug 2006 21:56:54 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 21:43:24 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[webmaster.nul](mailto:webmaster.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 01:16:29 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 29 Jul 2006 09:52:57 EDT  
><>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

>Actually, it wasn't classified immediately at the Roswell Army  
>Airfield (RAAF) because, remember, Blanchard ordered the press  
>release. It wasn't until later, after Marcel had gotten to Fort  
>Worth, and some of the debris had been taken on to Washington,  
>D.C. (as mentioned by Thomas DuBose) that the veil of secrecy  
>slipped down.

Mmmm - According to many of the Saga versions that are out  
there, the initial Press Release was concocted as part of the  
whole cover-up stratagy- which means that prior to the release  
being written, and that well before the stuff got to Fort Worth,  
the security clamps were already in place.

>>Jessie, as ranking Intellegence officer, did not have to wait  
>>for someone else to bring out the Top Secret stamp. If the  
>>debris was as strange as Jessie has related, then I would think  
>>that his immediate reaction, as a highly trained military  
>>officer, would be to clamp full security on the site, the  
>>debris, and any witnesses. He surely had that authority, and  
>>surely he was competent enough that he did not need to be  
>>ordered to do the obvious

>True enough and I have actually classified material based on the  
>source and the importance of it, which made me the  
>classification authority. However, in most cases, it would be  
>the commanding officer who retains that authority. But, then,  
>Blanchard assumed that it didn't need to be classified and told  
>the world about it.

And the reason why Blanchard assumed this was...?

>Your assumption is that Marcel thought the material was of so  
>little importance. It was just the opposite. He thought it of  
>great significance, but also knew that, at the precise moment it  
>wasn't classified, so he showed his son. Had it been if little  
>importance, he would have driven on out to the base, rather than  
>go home and wake the family.

True enough - Marcel did think it was important, although we  
differ on exactly what Marcel thought he had found.

But if we assume that the debris description Jesse gave Moore, Friedman, etc is accurate, then your excuse that Marcel "knew that, at the precise moment it wasn't classified" is, to my mind, just a little contrived. By that statement, you sort of indicate that Marcel was a fairly un- imaginative guy who needed to look to above for approval. Not exactly the go-getter that you and others have described previously. The 'go- getter' would have known what he had was not for public knowledge- and that included the family- and acted accordingly.

Bruce Hutchinson

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 1](#)

## Re: Colombian Raelian Family builds UFO 'Runway' -

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 16:41:00 -0700 (PDT)  
Fwd Date: Tue, 01 Aug 2006 21:58:18 -0400  
Subject: Re: Colombian Raelian Family builds UFO 'Runway' -

>From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 08:01:27 -0400  
>Subject: Colombian Raelian Family builds UFO 'Runway'

>INEXPLICATA  
>The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
>July 30, 2006

>Source: NOTIMEX; El Universal (Bogota)  
>Date: Sunday, July 30, 2006

>Colombia: Family Builds UFO "Runway"

<snip>

>According to Raelian belief, humanity was created by aliens 25  
>million years ago when they visited earth and set off evolution  
>by means of DNA. The followers of "Rael" are atheists and  
>science is their only religion. They believe in accepting  
>responsibility for their own actions, believe in non-violence,  
>profess absolute respect for human life and sexual tolerance.

>Translation (c) 2006. Scott Corrales, Institute of Hispanic  
>Ufology

>Special thanks to Virgilio Sanchez Ocejo, Miami UFO Center

Thanks Scott for your translation and the update on those wacky  
Raelians. Those folks really "R" -aliens!

I'm probably stepping on some toes, but I'll yield to the  
impulse anyway and say the following:

Raelians are nuts. What more can be said? Aliens need runways?  
Come on. There are cheaper ways to draw attention to nuttiness.

And that phrase "profess... sexual tolerance." Every wacky  
belief of men includes some form of "sexual tolerance." Wacky  
men see women and even girls and boys as things to satisfy them.  
Wacky men may say they "believe in non-violence", but this  
always stops at "sexual tolerance." This is proven time and time  
again, as wacky men seek to enforce their abusing ways.

Truth is, most men have no idea what women want in life. Just as  
most women don't know how men usually see them. We can thank the  
reinforcing media, and our willingness to pay them for it, for  
much of that. But, if men in general would tone down the sexual  
and turn up the romantic, and if women would relax the drama and  
open up to their own intellect, there'd be less gender battles  
and more peace and happiness for all.

In my opinion, that is.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 1](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Randle

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catja90024.nul](mailto:catja90024.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 17:18:50 -0700 (PDT)  
Fwd Date: Tue, 01 Aug 2006 22:05:50 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Randle

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 21:43:24 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[webmaster.nul](mailto:webmaster.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 01:16:29 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 29 Jul 2006 09:52:57 EDT  
>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snips>

>>So his bringing the stuff to the house to show it  
>>off is more than strange, it would seem to have been  
>>a rather gross violation of Basic Security 101. So why  
>>was he not reprimanded?

>See above...

>>>Given the circumstances, I might have just done the same  
>>>thing...

Are you claiming that you would have disobeyed an order/policy -  
just to be the one who provided the "scoop" to the world? What  
rank are you claiming when you violate security? Without thought  
to future command promotions?

>>Assuming that we are talking about the debris that the Marcells  
>>described, somehow I don't think that you would have required  
>>someone else's opinion. And somehow I don't think that you would  
>>have needed orders from above to know that showing and/or  
>>talking about this to your family would have been a serious  
>>breach of your secrecy oath.

>>It's just that the activity you described - full emergency  
>>security clamp down, detention of Brazel, elaborate PR, threats,  
>>etc - over material that Marcel apparently gave so little  
>>importance to that he casually showed it off to his family, just  
>>doesn't quite square up. It is one of the stranger events in the  
>>Roswell Saga.

>Your assumption is that Marcel thought the material was of so  
>little importance. It was just the opposite. He thought it of  
>great significance, but also knew that, at the precise moment it  
>wasn't classified, so he showed his son. Had it been of little  
>importance, he would have driven on out to the base, rather than  
>go home and wake the family.

As I stated in previous posts, Marcel, Sr. really didn't have  
much to say about the debris - except a sort of "oh, gosh, it's  
not from this world" without really knowing if that was true or  
not.

>In the final analysis you must remember that Blanchard did

>much the same thing. He thought the find important enough to  
>alert the local media and it wasn't until hours later that the  
>situation changed. Without that little breakdown, we wouldn't be  
>having this discussion.

We still have no idea what the discussion is about - do we. That would include the discussion of the events - who did what and whether they jumped the gun without thinking things through - is still up for grabs. Based on the very sketchy "tesimony" of Marcel, Sr., it is anybody's guess what he is referring to. And, further, based on the description of what was transported out of Roswell? Based on the testimony, it looks like a big fuss about nothing.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 1](#)

## Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 20:28:35 EDT  
Fwd Date: Tue, 01 Aug 2006 22:09:43 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Randle

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 20:17:04 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 08:43:52 EDT  
>>Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 29 Jul 2006 18:22:59 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

>>>I wonder how much of Jesse Marcel Jr.'s testimony should be  
>>>taken literally. When he first supposedly saw the debris he was  
>>>about 11 years old. Assuming he was (as he has claimed many  
>>>times since 1979) truly astounded by the pieces his dad brought  
>>>home, and assuming that it all made a great impression on him,  
>>>is it not natural that he would have told his school friends and  
>>>playmates all about it? Kevin tells us that at the time Marcel  
>>>Jr. first saw and handled the stuff, nothing about it was  
>>>classified. Classification only came later, perhaps a day later,  
>>>perhaps two days later, we don't really know. In the meantime an  
>>>11-year old boy would have been quite free to tell his friends  
>>>all about this remarkable debris, whatever it was. Yet he  
>>>appears never to have done so.

>>Untrue. I have talked to a couple of people (young in 1947)  
>>who remember young Jess telling them about the debris in the day  
>>or so after it was brought home. Why not report this when I  
>>learned of it? These people were more second-hand witnesses to  
>>the event and added nothing to our knowledge about what might  
>>have been brought into the house. Do we really need the  
>>testimony of more second-hand witnesses in a case already  
>>cluttered with such testimony or worse?

>>>Even if his dad told him not to speak of it to anyone (I doubt  
>>>such words were ever used), if this stuff was truly  
>>>extraordinary, it would still be highly tempting for an 11-year  
>>>old to spread the tale about it. Would he have obeyed his dad's  
>>>warning? I wonder.

>>Yes, he would. There are other examples of young Jess being  
>>told things by his father that he wouldn't talk about at the  
>>time. What this demonstrates is a strong bond and a trusting  
>>relationship between the two. Older Jess knew that he could  
>>trust his son with things and the stories would not be told in  
>>school.

>>>In short, if the wreckage/debris from this aerial craft was so  
>>>'out of this world' (as he has often claimed) it is very hard to  
>>>believe Marcel Jr. would have held his tongue during all his  
>>>boyhood and teenage years. In fact I simply don't believe it.

>>Believe or not believe... that is irrelevant. We know that young



>>Jess told some friends and once his father told him not to talk  
>>about it, he stopped. A youngster obeying the wishes of a  
>>parent... go figure.

CDA, List, All -

I was going to ignore this simply because I had said all that I cared to say about it and wasn't all that interested in what CDA had to say about it, but then I realized he'd changed the game. That wasn't quite fair, so I thought I'd take another shot at it.

>Sorry Kevin, but I do not buy this. It is a case of you talking  
>to two people 40 years afterwards (when the Roswell story was by  
>then highly public) and for them to relate to you that Marcel  
>Jr., as a boy, told them all about the strange Roswell fragments  
>in 1947. There is always the chance that this indeed happened,  
>but the point is that there is no contemporary record of it. You  
>are relying on stories built upon stories. Who is to say whether  
>these people really knew, or cared, about Roswell in 1947, or  
>whether they in fact pieced together, and embellished, things  
>they had read and heard about during the 1980s?

You said (which is why I went to all the trouble to make sure that this whole correspondence was reproduced here rather than make the listerions wade through the archives), "In the meantime an 11-year old boy would have been quite free to tell his friends all about this remarkable debris, whatever it was. Yet he appears never to have done so."

I then wrote that this assumption was untrue because I had talked to people who said that Jesse Jr., did say something about it to them. Now we have the new requirement... they must say it to the newspaper in 1947. I say, "Foul."

Yes, I fully understand that it would be nice to have confirmation from 1947. I fully understand that I interviewed people back in 1990 (rather than in 1947 when I wasn't around to do it). But I would say that these interviews were conducted prior to the explosion of Roswell material and so, the criticism might not be wholly valid.

So, yes, it would be nice to have a newspaper clipping from 1947 about this, but just who in the hell is going to listen to those 11-year-olds talking about a flying saucer when the adults, including Brigadier General Ramey had said there was nothing to it... especially when those kids are saying that their friend, also 11 told them different. I'm sorry but this just doesn't work. Complain that I have no documentation from 1947 but don't suggest that these kids should have run to the newspaper with the story. This too is a new requirement, different from the first inaccurate claim that Jesse Jr., didn't mention to anyone back in 1947.

>No we certainly do not need more second-hand witnesses, but  
>consider this: the Roswell Daily Record of July 8 contains a  
>number of local people's opinions of 'flying discs'. Marcel's  
>wife, Marcel Jr., or in fact anyone at all who saw or handled  
>that debris had ample opportunity to contact the newspaper that  
>day, or the day after, and relate their story of seeing or  
>handling that extraordinary stuff. None of them did so.

>The two boys who Marcel Jr. told the story to could likewise have  
>contacted the press. Boys of 11 or 12 are old enough to read  
>newspapers, especially when an extraordinary local event has  
>taken place and that event is all over the papers. Where are  
>the comments of these people? I put it to you that the comments  
>are not there because there was nothing remarkable about the  
>debris and therefore it was not worth commenting about.  
>It is as simple as that.

And I put it to you that (a) not everyone thinks of running to the press every time they learn something, especially in 1947 and (b) that they didn't says nothing about how remarkable the debris was or wasn't. Besides, these others hadn't seen it so it was just a second-hand story, and while we get enough of that on FoxNews, CNN, MSNBC and the BBC today, I submit in 1947, the reporters would have preferred to have a first-hand witness.

The real point that these people should have run to the press is just so much specious reasoning. That you believe they would

have done this doesn't make it so.

>And no, I do not believe that there was any security attached to  
>the stuff Marcel brought home, none whatever. Consider all the  
>other civilians who handled it, some even going out to the  
>ranch. All sworn to secrecy? You must be kidding. Each and every  
>one of those persons had the opportunity to 'tell the world' at  
>the time. Not one did so.

Well, this moves us into another arena entirely, but we certainly have, from any number of witnesses, both military and civilian, that they were warned about talking about it. There is a long list. Accept it or don't, but those people didn't say anything... not to mention that the story was over when Ramey said it was a balloon. So, even if some of those people had gone to the media (which just was not in the mindset of 1947), the media wouldn't be interested. General Ramey said it was a balloon, end of story.

>>>One phrase Marcel Jr. used is "Dad said that the speed of  
>>>impact was not in keeping with any type of aircraft we had at  
>>>that time". Nobody ever saw the crash but Marcel Sr., amazingly,  
>>>was able to determine the impact speed by putting together a  
>>>zillion small fragments. Was Marcel Sr. really that clever, or is  
>>>this another piece of the Roswell legend?

>>Guesswork by the older Marcel based on his experience as an  
>>intelligence officer and participant in aircraft accidents? The  
>>layout of the wreckage, among other factors, can produce an  
>>indication of speed... It might also be that it looked as if the  
>>object hit at high speed and Marcel based his comment on that.  
>>Nothing really out of the ordinary here. What we must remember  
>>that Marcel's guess might have been nothing more than that and  
>>something that someone might have said after seeing the results  
>>of an auto accident... "Wow, the one car was really traveling  
>>fast..." I just don't think we need to add weight to what might  
>>have been little more than an off-hand comment.

>Come off it. If Marcel Sr. uttered those words, it shows that he  
>simply did not know what he was talking about. It is poppycock,  
>and for him to say such a thing must devalue his other  
>testimony. For one thing the UFO was (in some versions of the  
>story) supposed to have exploded in the air. Therefore it was in  
>fragments before it even struck the earth! Yet Marcel Sr., expert  
>in aviation and atomic bombs, was (according to his son) still  
>able to assert that its impact speed was far in excess of  
>anything made in the US. From the myriad of fragments he  
>gathered, Marcel Sr. could still pronounce this amazing fact.  
>Naturally I have my doubts over whether he ever said this, but  
>that is what Moore & Friedman heard from Marcel Jr.. Do you know  
>whether it crashed or whether it exploded? Does anybody know?

Opinion here and nothing more. You don't like it. Fine. But your reasons are opinion and not fact.

And, given the descriptions of the debris field, it exploded... Remember, those who have talked about a craft like Frank Kaufmann, Gerald Anderson and Jim Ragsdale have all been discredited (usually by the work we on the side of the UFO crash in Roswell have done). And yes, I understand that this weakens the case, but hell, what can I do? It's where the facts lead.

>And Marcel Sr., we are told, was so clever that he could also  
>determine from examining the scattered wreckage on the ranch,  
>that the object was extra-terrestrial. Oh really? Again, he  
>never hinted at any such thing in 1947, but at some point  
>(c.1978) must have been 'converted', as was his son, plus of  
>course numerous others. All these people, as I have said, missed  
>their great chance to tell their story to the local newspaper at  
>the time. Yet this was supposedly the story of the century (or  
>even the millennium).

Converted c. 1978? By whom?

And I simply don't buy this idea that all these people would have run to the press... Do I wish they had? Absolutely. But you seem to be attributing 2006 attitudes to people in 1947.

>That, in a nutshell, is why I am inclined to take Dr Jesse  
>Marcel's current version of the Roswell story with a pinch of  
>salt.

Believe or disbelief... that is your option... But what you have said here is not good reasoning. You are saying that you think they should have gone to the media and that they didn't means the debris and story weren't all that extraordinary. I say, instead, that they didn't go to the press because, in 1947, people didn't run there with everything that happened.

What I attempted to point out was that your first reasons for rejecting some of the Roswell case were inaccurate. You then moved the goal posts and created new conditions. So, what I infer from this is that no matter what I am able to present, you'll find an excuse to dismiss it.

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 1](#)

## The Late Walter Moe

From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 1 Aug 2006 08:43:10 -0400 (Eastern Standard Time)  
Fwd Date: Tue, 01 Aug 2006 22:16:36 -0400  
Subject: The Late Walter Moe

-----

Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 22:14:55 EDT  
From: [WalterMoe9.nul](mailto:WalterMoe9.nul)  
To: <snip>  
Cc: <snip>  
Subject: walter moe

This message is from Walter Moe's daughter, Janet Neuman. I believe that Wally carried on email correspondence with all of you, so I wanted to let you know that Wally died in early July. He was curious and learning right up to the very last day, and died quickly without any illness. Many years ago, Wally had bequeathed his remains to medical research, and I was happy to carry out that wish of his. If I have mistakenly included businesses or others who do not belong on this message, I apologize. I'm also sorry for the abrupt notice, but I didn't want to leave all of those in his email address book wondering why they weren't hearing from Wally.

-----

Walter was one of those many important but little known figures in ufology that made big contributions to our understanding of UFOs. I want to express my appreciation for sharing what he knew and extend my condolences to his family.

I made a quick check to learn more about this person and his connection to ufology and the UFO cover-up. I came across this very interesting article in the Minnesota MUFON Journal.

Nick Balaskas

-----

Minnesota MUFON Journal  
Issue #88 Mar./Apr. 2001  
Directors Report

By Bill McNeff, State Director, MN MUFON ERA,

Donald Menzel, Roswell and The Agency

In January 1946, Engineering Research Associates (ERA) was started by former Navy men. Dr. Howard Engstrom and William Norris were founders. Walter J. Wally Moe, former Assistant State Director for Minnesota MUFON, was also a founder. The new company used the former glider factory near the intersection of Prior and University Ave. in St. Paul's Midway area. All the projects they were working on were classified. One of these projects was to develop one of the first computers (using electron tubes). They had a difficult time attracting investors since they couldn't tell them what they were working on. With the financial problems they were having, they allowed Remington Rand to buy them out in 1956. That is when many of the old timers left since they didn't like all these Remington Rand Shaver division guys from Pennsylvania telling them what to do. Bill Norris was also very unsatisfied by the amount of money Remington Rand was providing for research. So, Control Data Corp

was formed by these former Univac/ERA employees, Bill Norris being the chief executive officer.

The history books say ERA developed the first computer which was sold to the government to work on the census. But, actually they shipped the first computer years before to the NSA which had just been started by Truman in September 1947 after the June 1947 Roswell crash. Congress was told NSAs purpose was to spy on America's enemies by wire tap and radio monitoring. But many researchers believe that a secret purpose of the NSA was and still is to keep track of a possible ET threat. Howard Engstrom had been Dr. Donald Menzel's WWII boss. He tried to hire Menzel at ERA in 1946 for \$10,000 a year (a whole lot for those days). (A typical starting salary in 1960 for a beginning electrical engineer was \$4,500 a year.) Menzel refused the job offer. His main (cover) job was professor of astronomy at Harvard.

During the war he wrote a book on radio propagation which was declassified after the war. He made many trips to ERA and Collins Radio in Iowa. He started consulting for ERA in 1947 and his consulting fee for the year 1948 was \$18,000, more than anyone else was paid at ERA. Obviously, his services must have been highly valued by ERA, which had trouble raising money.

According to the well known but controversial MJ-12 Briefing Document, MJ-12 was started by President Harry Truman in the summer of 1947 to analyze the El craft(s) that had crashed at Roswell in June 1947. Menzel, at the time, was working on a project involving electrical plasma at ERA. Wally Moe said that Menzel was suddenly called to Washington in the summer of 1947 to work on some highly classified job. (He and I believe this was probably the newly forming NSA and the MJ-12 group.) Menzel's travel expense journal that was made available in 1988 by his wife (after he died in 1976) showed many trips to New Mexico starting during the summer of 1947 for several years. His expenses for these trips were paid by the government, not Harvard. The above facts provide support for the idea that Menzel was making these trips to look at Er craft wreckage at the government facilities such as Sandia and White Sands, under the auspices of MJ-12.

On 2/21/01, five of us Minnesota MUFON members attended a meeting at Lockheed in Eagan to hear a presentation about the early days of ERA. Harry Wise, former ERA employee, told about that first computer, the 1101, that they shipped to the NSA. At the 1986 fortieth anniversary banquet of the ERA, they were not allowed to talk about this first computer. At this meeting, we hoped to learn more about Donald Menzel's involvement with MJ-12. There were several former ERA people at this meeting, but we were unable to learn anything new. Dr. Menzel sent a number of letters to Senator and later President John F. Kennedy in 1960 and 1961. Here are excerpts from some of these letters:

11/3/60 - .. there is one deeper and more complex area in which I may be of assistance. I have been associated since 1930 with a small organization that has now grown into the great National Security Agency. Obviously, in an unclassified letter, I cannot go further into detail. But I wish to register that I have certain facts in my possession concerning actions by Eisenhower that have had a very adverse effect in these supersensitive areas. Matters were so bad that I wrote a Top Secret report which eventually reached Eisenhower and had some temporary beneficial effect. This report can be made available to you and would serve to educate you on the shortcomings and successes in this area. Because of my length of service, I probably know more about what has gone on in this agency over the years than almost anyone with agency.!! (Note that this is about the time that Col. Philip Corso said he was put in charge of the Roswell crash material.)

12/8/60 - since my memorandum concerning the NSA may have been misplaced during your campaign, let me repeat that I have been a consultant to the NSA for 30 years. I am one of the few people who has had continued service and contact with its varied activities.

12/27/60 & 3/3/61 - Two additional Menzel letters are available expressing concern over the strong influence on the space effort exerted by Dr. Detlev Bronk as President of the National Academy of Science, and Hugh Dryden, named number 2 man at NASA. (The MJ-12 Document listed Bronk as one of the members.)

Menzel wrote two books attempting to debunk UFOs. Dr. J. Allen Hynek showed how Menzel cooked the facts about sightings in order to make them fit the explanations he was attempting to promote. There are very good reasons to suspect that Donald Menzel was heavily involved in ET craft analysis and information control. (Credit for much of this information goes to Bob Schultz.)

-----

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 2](#)

## Hall Oral History Project

From: **Richard Hall** <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 01 Aug 2006 22:02:33 +0000  
Fwd Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 07:43:18 -0400  
Subject: Hall Oral History Project

Just as Errol was going on hiatus I learned that a computer failure (what else?) had pretty well wiped out the Richard Hall oral history project that was/is to result in a CD-ROM. Since many of you have contributed to this project and stand to receive one or more copies of the finished product, I regret to inform you of this. It is totally beyond my control, and dependent on a network of (wondrously generous) volunteers.

The compiler/editor of the project in Canada has told me that he would be able to resume work on the project by now in the relatively slow business months (for him) of July and August, and he said it shouldn't take all that long since he is now familiar with all the materials. However, I have no way of knowing the exact or approximate timetable. Be assured that I have recorded the contributor information and am very grateful for the contributions.

- Dick Hall

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 2](#)

## Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request -

From: Royce J. Myers III <[ufowatchdog.nul](mailto:ufowatchdog.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 01 Aug 2006 18:38:40 -0700  
Fwd Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 08:34:24 -0400  
Subject: Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request -

>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 12:05:01 -0500 (CDT)  
>Subject: Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request

>>From: Royce J. Myers III <[ufowatchdog.nul](mailto:ufowatchdog.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 15:46:39 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request

>>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 08:51:50 EDT  
>>>Subject: Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request

<snip>

>>>Oh, my Lord, another second-hand witness to an autopsy at Wright  
>>>Field...

<snip>

>Hey, it's Roswell again! Oh, goody. BTW, have any of you  
>gentlemen (and ladies) had a chance to check this latest footage  
>out:

><http://video.google.de/videoplay?docid=-1143012732739096028>

>At least this one has more than just a couple people in it, and  
>you can even almost see their faces. Same alien, though. A  
>little stiff around the edges. Perhaps another piece of film  
>that Santilli had produced that wasn't good enough for public  
>consumption at the time.

>Or maybe it's the real deal, buried by a mountain of  
>disinformation!

>You tell me.

I think this film appeared in Ray "The Salesman" Santilli's  
comedy film about the Alien Autopsy when the two characters in  
the film supposedly realize "the truth" of it all. Santilli's  
bit, in my opinion, to keep the mythos of his film alive...

Regards,

Royce J. Myers III  
[ufowatchdog.com](http://ufowatchdog.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 2](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 01 Aug 2006 23:29:48 -0300  
Fwd Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 08:38:28 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Ledger

>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 10:15:35 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 13:59:26 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[webmaster.nul](mailto:webmaster.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 01:16:29 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>><snip>

>>>Assuming that we are talking about the debris that the Marcells  
>>>described, somehow I don't think that you would have required  
>>>someone else's opinion. And somehow I don't think that you  
>>>would have needed orders from above to know that showing  
>>>and/or talking about this to your family would have been a  
>>>serious breach of your secrecy oath.

>>><snip>

>>Bruce, both you and Kathy have stated that, as a security  
>>officer, Marcel breached security by sharing information with  
>>his family.

>>First, he had no authority to designate material as Top  
>>Secret. That is done after assessment by higher authority later  
>>on.

>>Second, why should the possibility of some "alien manufactured"  
>>material found in the desert be automatically assumed to be a  
>>security concern and be declared Top Secret?

>It's not clear that Marcel would not have had the authority to  
>classify this material on his own. It would depend on what DoD  
>programs he had access to, and whether any of the associated  
>Security Classification Guides defined the classification level  
>of such material.

>It is virtually certain that Marcel would not have had the  
>authority to unilaterally define a new category of information  
>and assign a classification level to it. The latter would be an  
>"original classification", and not many people are authorized to  
>do it.

>"However, if he were cognizant of an existing information  
>category and the associated classification level, he could (and  
>should) mark and handle such material as classified."

Your last sentence is what I was getting at. If he was  
cognizant, then that denotes prior knowledge and the possibility  
that he, other security people, intelligence personnel and  
ranking officers, etc. were possibly briefed at sometime to be  
on the lookout for exotic "foreign" material that might turn up.

By that I don't mean they were expecting "alien" product but interpreted by those briefed to mean Soviet material, but the heads-up would have served its purpose. Not knowing what he had could have led Marcel to leap to the possibility of this stuff being Soviet-or something even more exciting.

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 2](#)

## An Eye On The Sky

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 09:04:28 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 09:04:28 -0400  
Subject: An Eye On The Sky

Source: The Bangkok Post - Thailand

<http://tinyurl.com/jsyfe>

Sunday July 30, 2006

An Eye On The Sky  
Erika Fry

Whether truth or fiction, the idea of supra-intelligent alien beings fascinates us all

A strange thing happened in Thailand in mid-May. For three days hotdog-sized gelatinous "slugs" turned up in backyards all over Thailand. Before it was all over, the mystery had wormed its way through Uthai Thani, Khon Kaen, Phrae, Samut Prakan, Chon Buri and Bangkok. Rumours were flying that aliens (or at least part of them) had landed.

The "slugs" were jarred. They were cooked. They were admired, studied, and worried over by villagers who thought, seeing them shrivel in the sun, that they were dying. They were thought to be invading organisms from outer space, or at least the droppings of them.

The incident inspired headlines, an investigation by the Ministry of Science and Technology, and stirred up enough public confusion and alarm to warrant the start-up of the ministry's Science Hotline 1313.

It turned out that the "slugs" were - disappointingly to those who jarred, studied, and considered them life-like - none of the above. Just fever-cooling gel sheets, available at pharmacies nearly everywhere for 85 baht a pack.

To be fair, they were not in their original packaging nor, being bloated from rain water, their typical size. They looked less - or more - than terrestrial. It is a strange and obscure object to find in your backyard.

So maybe it was the next best guess, that the unidentified object belonged to a flying one; that the unknown was begotten by the unknown; and that aliens were to blame.

Two and a half months later, all is quiet at the hotline. Our call, on a normal Friday afternoon, is answered on the 4th ring, and perhaps comes as a disappointment to the other end that we are not calling to inquire about strange activity, but only to inquire about people inquiring about strange activity.

The staffer said the line has been virtually uncalled since about 10 days after the "slugs" incident. Until then, it received about 10 calls a day, most of them questions regarding the incident and wondering if the rumour - that the "slugs", if cooked, could be eaten - was actually true.

"It says something about how unscientific the general population is," says Visanu Euarchukiati, an assistant editor at National Geographic Thailand and one of the 1,000+ amateur astronomers in the Thai Astronomical Society.

Visanu, having recognised the "slug" as the gel pack on the first day the story ran, knew right away that the imaginative alien dropping theory was "rubbish".

But, there are believers and there are non-believers. And we live in a place and time where, in most cases, if not this one, each has the luxury to believe they are right.

Space - infinitely vast and hardly explored - has captured the imaginations of men since the beginning of time. We can find Orion in the sky, and Superman, flying in from his glowing green planet Krypton, in the theatres.

Yet space, with its black holes and many moons, has given us realities almost as strange as its fictions. It is after all, out of this world.

So, what to make of those much bandied about beings from beyond - the extraterrestrial and its UFO-the substance of what so many claim to be truth and what so many others claim to be fiction?

#### Thailand's First Tourists

However strange UFOs are purported to be, sightings of them are not that rare in Thailand. The Thai Astronomical Society gets reports around twice a month. Dr Debhanom Muangman, a prominent doctor and civic leader (see InSight column on Page 2) claims to have seen more than 200 of them, and says he can call them at will. The Internet boasts of vivid tales, that may or may not have made headlines, from Phuket (ox-blood coloured lights in the sky, March 2006) to Chiang Mai (a large-headed, yellow body creature wandering the rice paddies in September 2005).

There's also - depending on whom you ask - a long and rich history of UFOs flying through Thai skies and landing in the nation's rice paddies. Dr Debhanom, who has written a book on the subject, says extraterrestrials have been visiting Thailand, especially the northeastern provinces, for at least 5,000 years. He says evidence of this exists near Chiang Mai in the form of cave drawings that depict robotic-looking men with large eyes and rockets.

Dr Debhanom says he discovered the drawings after an alien contact named Perasitao telepathically told him to visit the caves. He is currently trying to raise funds and organise an effort to clean and preserve the cave drawings.

He also speaks of more recent events, like that of a UFO crash in the dense mountainous jungle near Chiang Mai in February 1958. He says the crash caused enough alarm that the US Consul in Thailand alerted the White House. Despite this, he says much evidence from the crash, which he hopes to investigate himself, still exists in the forest reserve area.

He shares another colourful story of a UFO crash in Kanchanburi 25 years ago. He describes a scene of chaos in which a hairy, winged alien with green blood was killed by a police officer with a shotgun.

Dr Debhanom says the alien body is buried somewhere in the province, but its whereabouts are known only to the 80-year-old police officer's wife. Because of bad dreams in which she is threatened with death for telling, she will not reveal the location.

He says sightings nowadays are mostly made by uneducated villagers who see lights in the sky or a craft landing, with no scientific evidence to support it.

He has also had his share of sightings, though, having the meditative powers to call UFOs at will, he is usually prepared with a camera or a video recorder.

He says he has summoned or observed over 200 UFOs and about 15 different species of aliens, many of which he has recorded on film and in photographs that he readily and excitedly shares.

In Thailand, he knows of 12 other people that can also communicate with ETs. While he says it's hard to know whether one is being honest about such abilities, he says they often test new claimants by asking them to call a UFO. While Dr Debbhanom does so simply through meditation, he says others "go into trance or speak in strange tongues."

#### A Look At The Evidence

According to Dr Debbhanom, you can tell a UFO by the silence and stealth of its flight. Unlike earthly vessels, UFOs can fly horizontally and vertically - quickly and without sound. UFOs vary immensely in shape, size, colour and make, as demonstrated by a postcard handout showing 16 different photographs of UFO craft that he's produced.

Despite the claims that he can call a UFO at will, when asked to do so he says it will have to wait for February, or at least the end of rainy season. "There are clouds," he says, and ETs, despite the fearlessness and the comfort one might presume would come with their sixth senses, are afraid to drive their UFOs below them.

While his photographic evidence is abundant, none of it is particularly convincing. Perhaps because of the nature of the UFO and the ET, most are unfocussed photos of blurry bright lights. One might expect similar pictures from a malfunctioning camera or by adjusting the shutter speed and photographing a moving vehicle at night.

There are others that appear to have been staged, and that call to mind the special effects used in movies from the 1950s or sensationalistic National Enquirer covers. It does not seem inconceivable in many cases that the scene could have been engineered by someone with a sense of mischief, some coloured light bulbs and a black background - especially in this day of digital manipulation.

Dr Pornchai Pacharintanakul, an assistant professor in Chulalongkorn's Physics Department who has seen some of Dr Debbhanom's photographs and finds them dubious, says of UFO sightings in general, "Most of the incidents are hoaxes, hallucinations, or misunderstandings. Whether the individual believes it or not, they are seeing something else."

Pornchai Amornsrijiratorn, a computer programmer, who also serves as a board member and webmaster for the Thai Astronomical Society, often receives the calls from people - "usually very excited and convinced" - reporting UFOs.

Despite their certainty, Pornchai also says the sightings are invariably "misunderstandings" and can be proven untrue.

For example, callers' frequent reports of "lights dancing in the sky," or lights beaming from the ground to sky are phenomena that can be easily traced back to the less-than-phenomenal spotlights at Siam Paragon, Emporium or other spots in Bangkok.

Another common mix-up comes with the spectacularly bright planet Venus. Pornchai says airplane passengers, especially, see the glowing planet and assume it is a UFO.

The Society also receives inquiries from people who "know it is not a UFO, they just want to know what it is."

Pornchai, who does believe that somewhere beyond our system there is probably intelligent life, says he personally gets more excited about phenomena like eclipses, comets, and meteor storms.

The 25-year-old Society, which organises Star Parties, sky-gazing events, and this October, the burial of a time capsule to be unearthed at the time of the next total solar eclipse (April 11, 2070), is a good outlet for the lifelong astronomy enthusiast.

#### All Shapes And Sizes

Dr Debbhanom offers a postcard handout that pictures an equally diverse bunch of ETs, and a description of alien properties that



is at least as intriguing.

There are many kinds of ETs; they vary in appearance and properties according to their planet and how evolved they are. (Humans, he explains, will one day advance to similar states.) Of the varieties he has observed, some have been as large as a house, while others have been only half the size of humans. They come in a number of colours and in varying transparencies. They are hyper-intelligent.

ETs live in the 6th dimension, he says. This means they can see the future, they can see the past, and they can be seen or not seen by humans, according to their wishes. They cannot breathe oxygen, but depend on carbon dioxide, which he says is common in space.

They are not gendered, as evolution has taken them to a place without gonads, emotions, or excretory systems. They reproduce by cloning. They do not eat food, but consume energy.

They have no time for taste or beauty or love. They are a no-nonsense bunch - they consume energy and they work.

Life in outer space may not sound like a lot of fun, yet they live a comparative forever - typically 300 to 400 years, Dr Debhanom says, having either adapted or developed technologies that allow them to beat the diseases that usually kill humans. Having mastered medicine, he says they rarely die by any other cause than accident - usually a crash landing or in an interplanetary visit gone awry.

Because they exist in a different energy state, they can travel faster and more freely (Las Vegas to Bangkok in 5 minutes), which they often do - depending on the friendliness of the species - for aid efforts, exploration, exploitation (this is where abduction comes in, he says) or conquest of other planets.

Though they have made efforts, they have yet to engineer body systems which will sustain them on Earth or which will allow them to cross-breed with humans, Dr Debhanom says.

This means that there are good aliens and there are bad aliens (known as "reptilians", these once met with Hitler, he claims), and while they don't have emotions and they do have powers to see the future and the past, they are not so perfect as to avoid war with each other. There are intergalactic battles already going on, Dr Debhanom says.

#### Vastness A Barrier To Knowledge

Dr Pornchai remains unconvinced when listening to accounts of person-to-alien contacts. He says of extraterrestrials, "In our system, it is possible, but highly improbable. If there are any, you get only small organisms, bacteria. You never get big animals."

He does not discount the existence of intelligent life in outer space entirely. "It is possible there is an intelligent alien - there must be some. Maybe in other systems far away."

He explains the distance and vastness of space make it hard to be sure if we will ever really know. "The question is how they can get here. Communication is difficult."

SETI (Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence), a project formerly operated by NASA (National Aeronautics and Space Administration), and now an institute run by a private foundation in California, is striving to bridge the distance by using a network of volunteers and computers, radio telescopes and lasers around the world, to capture and search for intelligent signals from outer space.

Dr Pornchai calls it a "very good project," and says its methods, "unless you can go out there by yourself or by machine", offer the most hope for answering questions about the existence of other intelligent life in the universe.

"Outside our system, we have no choice. Sending signals out, the chance is also very low. It's more likely to come across something by looking for them," he adds.

But will we know it when we find it? Even the very sceptical Visanu chuckles that modern scientific minds might not allow it, and "rationalise away any sign that life does exist."

While some may wonder, why bother?, others believe finding intelligent life could lead to information exchange, scientific and societal advancement, or extraterrestrial counsel like that which Dr Debhanom claims to receive via meditation.

Space is also a place where borders break down and nationalism seems petty. There is perhaps nothing more likely to inspire global unity, an end to terrorism and political wars, and a general world hug than being faced with a greater other.

That alone, might make the ET myth, however silly-seeming, one worth hoping for.

Even if it doesn't immediately provide answers about life beyond it, Visanu encourages all Thais to start looking towards the skies.

"Astronomy is the best way to start teaching the population to be scientific," he says. "Everyone can observe. It is a good entry point for anyone to get into science."

Pornchai agrees, adding that astronomy quickly and deeply makes an impression on people. He dares anyone to look into a telescope and not become transfixed by the sight of "spectacular objects."

You might not see a UFO, but he guarantees it will make you want to keep a watch on the heavens.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 2](#)

## How To Tell Earthlings Martian Life Is Here

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 09:49:12 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 09:49:12 -0400  
Subject: How To Tell Earthlings Martian Life Is Here

Source: New Scientist Space.Com

<http://tinyurl.co.uk/8erz>

01 August 2006

How To Tell Earthlings That Martian Life Is Here  
by David Shiga

A plan should be formulated for how to tell the public if signs of Martian life are found by future missions to the Red Planet, say scientists from NASA and the SETI Institute. Otherwise, incorrect information could be leaked to the public before studies on the potential life could be completed.

The Spirit and Opportunity rovers that continue to explore Mars are not designed to search for life. But if a sample return mission is ever sent to Mars, scientists could test for it in the rocks brought back to Earth.

In 1996, news of possible signs of life in a Martian meteorite called ALH84001 leaked out ahead of a press conference that had been scheduled by NASA. This was partly because a high-ranking White House official told a prostitute about the meteorite. NASA had to scramble to reschedule its press conference to an earlier date to satisfy the growing demand for information from the press and the public.

That rescheduling was just an inconvenience, but bigger problems could arise in connection with a Mars sample return mission, say John Rummel, NASA's planetary protection officer in Washington DC, and Margaret Race of the SETI Institute in Mountain View, California, both in the US.

The ALH84001 researchers were able to carry out their studies for years before finding themselves in the media spotlight. But the public will know about the Mars sample return mission ahead of time, so there will be intense media scrutiny from the beginning, they say.

Lost in Interpretation

"If you're going to be working in public you have to have some sort of reasonable way of ensuring that the information going to the public is right," Rummel told New Scientist. "You wouldn't want to have each hypothesis announced as if it were a fact."

"There's not much to be gained by rushing to publication, and much to be lost =96 mainly your credibility and public confidence," he adds.

Rummel says it would make sense to continually release raw pieces of data =96 like how much the sample rocks weigh =96 but to wait before announcing any interpretation of what the data means.

"You may have a whole information stream that's basically labelled [by the scientists] as unreliable, raw, maybe stupid" but that is released very quickly by the research team, he says. This stream is similar to how information is currently released from the Mars rover missions.

#### "Invasive" Species

After any Mars rocks are returned to Earth, preliminary NASA plans call for them to be isolated and quarantined in a specially constructed laboratory. They would be tested there to determine whether they pose any threat to life on Earth and, if deemed safe, released to other laboratories for further study.

The communications strategy should emphasise the scientific benefits of the sample return mission, while not ignoring public concerns about a potential biohazard, Rummel says.

A lobbying group has already formed to prevent such a mission from happening, citing biohazard concerns. These include the chance that alien microbes could be the ultimate "invasive" species and could displace their terrestrial counterparts, upsetting existing ecosystems. Any living Martian microbes could "cause unknown difficulties" to life on Earth, Rummel admits.

NASA's plans for a Mars Sample Return mission in 2016 were recently cancelled because of budget cuts (see NASA to divert cash from science into shuttle). The idea may be revived, however, in a new round of mission proposals due on Tuesday.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 2](#)

## Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request -

From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 07:08:12 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 10:44:34 -0400  
Subject: Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request -

From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 12:05:01 -0500 (CDT)  
Subject: Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request

>At least this one has more than just a couple people in it, and  
>you can even almost see their faces. Same alien, though. A  
>little stiff around the edges. Perhaps another piece of film  
>that Santilli had produced that wasn't good enough for public  
>consumption at the time.

This is the footage shown at the very end of the Ant and Dec  
Alien Autopsy movie. Some claim it is from Ray's original  
footage.

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 2](#)

## Re: Hall Oral History Project - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 07:11:04 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 10:46:57 -0400  
Subject: Re: Hall Oral History Project - Lehmborg

>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 01 Aug 2006 22:02:33 +0000  
>Subject: Hall Oral History Project

>Just as Errol was going on hiatus I learned that a computer  
>failure (what else?) had pretty well wiped out the Richard Hall  
>oral history project that was/is to result in a CD-ROM. Since  
>many of you have contributed to this project and stand to  
>receive one or more copies of the finished product, I regret to  
>inform you of this. It is totally beyond my control, and  
>dependent on a network of (wondrously generous) volunteers.

Philip Klass came to me in a dream last night and said you'd say  
just that given that the many millions contributed actually  
went to hookers and cheese-burgers. <VBG>

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 2](#)

## Re: The Late Walter Moe - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 09:57:20 -0300  
Fwd Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 10:51:18 -0400  
Subject: Re: The Late Walter Moe - Friedman

>From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 1 Aug 2006 08:43:10 -0400 (Eastern Standard Time)  
>Subject: The Late Walter Moe

>-----

>Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 22:14:55 EDT  
>From: [WalterMoe9.nul](mailto:WalterMoe9.nul)  
>To: <snip>  
>Cc: <snip>  
>Subject: walter moe

>This message is from Walter Moe's daughter, Janet Neuman. I  
>believe that Wally carried on email correspondence with all of  
>you, so I wanted to let you know that Wally died in early July.  
>He was curious and learning right up to the very last day, and  
>died quickly without any illness. Many years ago, Wally had  
>bequeathed his remains to medical research, and I was happy to  
>carry out that wish of his. If I have mistakenly included  
>businesses or others who do not belong on this message, I  
>apologize. I'm also sorry for the abrupt notice, but I didn't  
>want to leave all of those in his email address book wondering  
>why they weren't hearing from Wally.

>-----

>Walter was one of those many important but little known figures  
>in ufology that made big contributions to our understanding of  
>UFOs. I want to express my appreciation for sharing what he knew  
>and extend my condolences to his family.

>I made a quick check to learn more about this person and his  
>connection to ufology and the UFO cover-up. I came across this  
>very interesting article in the Minnesota MUFON Journal.

>Nick Balaskas

>-----

>Minnesota MUFON Journal  
>Issue #88 Mar./Apr. 2001  
>Directors Report

>By Bill McNeff, State Director, MN MUFON ERA,

>Donald Menzel, Roswell and The Agency

>In January 1946, Engineering Research Associates (ERA) was  
>started by former Navy men. Dr. Howard Engstrom and William  
>Norris were founders. Walter J. Wally Moe, former Assistant  
>State Director for Minnesota MUFON, was also a founder. The new  
>company used the former glider factory near the intersection of  
>Prior and University Ave. in St. Paul s Midway area. All the  
>projects they were working on were classified. One of these  
>projects was to develop one of the first computers (using  
>electron tubes). They had a difficult time attracting investors  
>since they couldn't tell them what they were working on. With  
>the financial problems they were having, they allowed Remington



>Rand to buy them out in 1956. That is when many of the old  
>timers left since they didn't like all these Remington Rand  
>Shaver division guys from Pennsylvania telling them what to do.  
>Bill Norris was also very unsatisfied by the amount of money  
>Remington Rand was providing for research. So, Control Data Corp  
>was formed by these former Univac/ERA employees, Bill Norris  
>being the chief executive officer.

>The history books say ERA developed the first computer which was  
>sold to the government to work on the census. But, actually they  
>shipped the first computer years before to the NSA which had  
>just been started by Truman in September 1947 after the June  
>1947 Roswell crash. Congress was told NSAs purpose was to spy on  
>America's enemies by wire tap and radio monitoring. But many  
>researchers believe that a secret purpose of the NSA was and  
>still is to keep track of a possible ET threat. Howard Engstrom  
>had been Dr. Donald Menzel's WWII boss. He tried to hire Menzel  
>at ERA in 1946 for \$10,000 a year (a whole lot for those days).  
>(A typical starting salary in 1960 for a beginning electrical  
>engineer was \$4,500 a year.) Menzel refused the job offer. His  
>main (cover) job was professor of astronomy at Harvard.

>During the war he wrote a book on radio propagation which was  
>declassified after the war. He made many trips to ERA and  
>Collins Radio in Iowa. He started consulting for ERA in 1947 and  
>his consulting fee for the year 1948 was \$18,000, more than  
>anyone else was paid at ERA. Obviously, his services must have  
>been highly valued by ERA, which had trouble raising money.

>According to the well known but controversial MJ-12 Briefing  
>Document, MJ-12 was started by President Harry Truman in the  
>summer of 1947 to analyze the El craft(s) that had crashed at  
>Roswell in June 1947. Menzel, at the time, was working on a  
>project involving electrical plasma at ERA. Wally Moe said that  
>Menzel was suddenly called to Washington in the summer of 1947  
>to work on some highly classified job. (He and I believe this  
>was probably the newly forming NSA and the MJ-12 group.)  
>Menzel's travel expense journal that was made available in 1988  
>by his wife (after he died in 1976) showed many trips to New  
>Mexico starting during the summer of 1947 for several years. His  
>expenses for these trips were paid by the government, not  
>Harvard. The above facts provide support for the idea that  
>Menzel was making these trips to look at Er craft wreckage at  
>the government facilities such as Sandia and White Sands, under  
>the auspices of MJ-12.

>On 2/21/01, five of us Minnesota MUFON members attended a  
>meeting at Lockheed in Eagan to hear a presentation about the  
>early days of ERA. Harry Wise, former ERA employee, told about  
>that first computer, the 1101, that they shipped to the NSA. At  
>the 1986 fortieth anniversary banquet of the ERA, they were not  
>allowed to talk about this first computer. At this meeting, we  
>hoped to learn more about Donald Menzel's involvement with MJ-  
>12. There were several former ERA people at this meeting, but we  
>were unable to learn anything new. Dr. Menzel sent a number of  
>letters to Senator and later President John F. Kennedy in 1960  
>and 1961. Here are excerpts from some of these letters:

>11/3/60 - .. there is one deeper and more complex area in which  
>I may be of assistance. I have been associated since 1930 with a  
>small organization that has now grown into the great National  
>Security Agency. Obviously, in an unclassified letter, I cannot  
>go further into detail. But I wish to register that I have  
>certain facts in my possession concerning actions by Eisenhower  
>that have had a very adverse effect in these supersensitive  
>areas. Matters were so bad that I wrote a Top Secret report  
>which eventually reached Eisenhower and had some temporary  
>beneficial effect. This report can be made available to you and  
>would serve to educate you on the shortcomings and successes in  
>this area. Because of my length of service, I probably know more  
>about what has gone on in this agency over the years than almost  
>anyone with agency.!! (Note that this is about the time that  
>Col. Philip Corso said he was put in charge of the Roswell crash  
>material.)

>12/8/60 - since my memorandum concerning the NSA may have been  
>misplaced during your campaign, let me repeat that I have been a  
>consultant to the NSA for 30 years. I am one of the few people  
>who has had continued service and contact with its varied  
>activities.

>12/27/60 & 3/3/61 - Two additional Menzel letters are available  
>expressing concern over the strong influence on the space effort  
>exerted by Dr. Detlev Bronk as President of the National Academy  
>of Science, and Hugh Dryden, named number 2 man at NASA. (The  
>MJ-12 Document listed Bronk as one of the members.)

>Menzel wrote two books attempting to debunk UFOs. Dr. J. Allen  
>Hynek showed how Menzel cooked the facts about sightings in  
>order to make them fit the explanations he was attempting to  
>promote. There are very good reasons to suspect that Donald  
>Menzel was heavily involved in ET craft analysis and information  
>control. (Credit for much of this information goes to Bob  
>Schultz.)

>-----  
>Nick et al:

There are a number of mistakes in the discussion. Menzel wrote 3  
anti-UFO books not 2. Roswell happened in July, 1947 not June.

MJ-12 was authorized in September 1947 not in the summer.

I was the one who after getting permission from 3 different  
people including Menzel's wife, visited the Harvard Archives and  
located and had copied the letters that are quoted.

I also found (1986) that Menzel taught cryptography and had  
learned Japanese. McNeff had noted to me a co-worker of Menzel's  
at ERA who told me of Menzel having to leave in a hurry for  
what was presumed to be other highly classified work.

I asked the co-worker when that was and held my tongue; he  
thought for a moment "July, 1947", he said.

I was the one who found his travel records at Harvard. This was  
reported at length in an article in IUR, in my book, TOP  
SECRET/MAJIC, etc.

Incidentally Menzel was Commander of the Navy Reserve  
Communications Unit no 1. in Cambridge for many years after the  
war.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 2](#)

## Down Under Conference News

From: Diane Harrison <[auforn06.nul](mailto:auforn06.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 22:55:37 +1000  
Fwd Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 10:55:40 -0400  
Subject: Down Under Conference News

To all the New Zealand UFO watchers...

Adamar. J. Gevaerd of Brazil will be giving a lecture in New Zealand at the beginning of October for more details re. his lecture contact:

Ian Lucas

email: [ielucas.nul](mailto:ielucas.nul)

---

Good news for Perth UFO watchers...

Timothy Good will lecture in Perth on Sunday 24th September 2006 at the Murdoch University.

Lecture details:

UFOs and The Military  
What do they Really know?

TIMOTHY GOOD one of the world's leading authorities on UFOs and Alien phenomena is to visit Perth. The author of Above Top Secret: The Worldwide UFO Cover-up, an instant bestseller, plus five other top selling books. Consultant for U.S. Congress investigations, invited for discussions at the Pentagon and the French Airforce, Good has amassed a wealth of evidence, including several thousand declassified, intelligence documents.

Timothy Good will give one talk in Perth, at which he will give details his new book, Need to Know: UFOs, The Military, And Intelligence, a compelling look at top-secret documents and interviews with key witnesses.

The evidence presented in this book is clear, balanced and irrefutable.

Lecture room  
7.00pm on Sunday September 24th.  
in Lecture Theatre ECL1 at Murdoch University.  
Cost \$20 per person (no concessions)

Warmest Regards

Diane Harrison/Frola

National Director  
The Australian UFO Research Network  
<http://www.auforn.com>  
[Diane.nul](mailto:Diane.nul)

Coming Conference 2007  
The 2nd International Scientific & Metaphysical Symposium

<http://www.auforn.com/sydneyconference2007.html>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 2](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 08:33:11 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 10:58:57 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Lehmborg

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 01 Aug 2006 23:29:48 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 10:15:35 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

>Your last sentence is what I was getting at. If he was  
>cognizant, then that denotes prior knowledge and the possibility  
>that he, other security people, intelligence personnel and  
>ranking officers, etc. were possibly briefed at sometime to be  
>on the lookout for exotic "foreign" material that might turn up.  
>By that I don't mean they were expecting "alien" product but  
>interpreted by those briefed to mean Soviet material, but the  
>heads-up would have served its purpose. Not knowing what he had  
>could have led Marcel to leap to the possibility of this stuff  
>being Soviet-or something even more exciting.

Too - I'm sure the fact of 'the great UFO flap of 1947' would  
not be lost on the 'top kick' intelligence guy of the only arm  
in the world able to deliver the atomic bomb. He'd already been,  
it would seem, open-mindedly imbued with the concept and that  
there would be some kind of 'glasnost' or 'perestroika' in it's  
regard. Hence his initial openness and pride at being on the  
cutting edge of its actualization?

At least until his orders changed... and they did "change".  
Marcel was a proper soldier, as is patently obvious to anyone  
but an abject fool, and briefed beforehand he'd never have  
taken a piece home to show his kid... with all respect to 'the  
kid'. <g>

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 2](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson

From: Bruce Hutchinson <[webmaster.nul](mailto:webmaster.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 10:15:54 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 11:01:36 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson

>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 10:15:35 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 13:59:26 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[webmaster.nul](mailto:webmaster.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 01:16:29 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>><snip>

>>>Assuming that we are talking about the debris that the Marcells  
>>>described, somehow I don't think that you would have required  
>>>someone else's opinion. And somehow I don't think that you  
>>>would have needed orders from above to know that showing  
>>>and/or talking about this to your family would have been a  
>>>serious breach of your secrecy oath.

>>><snip>

>>Bruce, both you and Kathy have stated that, as a security  
>>officer, Marcel breached security by sharing information with  
>>his family.

>>First, he had no authority to designate material as Top  
>>Secret. That is done after assessment by higher authority later  
>>on.

>>Second, why should the possibility of some "alien manufactured"  
>>material found in the desert be automatically assumed to be a  
>>security concern and be declared Top Secret?

>It's not clear that Marcel would not have had the authority to  
>classify this material on his own. It would depend on what DoD  
>programs he had access to, and whether any of the associated  
>Security Classification Guides defined the classification level  
>of such material.

The question in my mind is not who had the 'Authority'... Marcel  
certainly should have had the good sense to know whether the  
debris was in fact something that should be possibly classified  
or not. The line of thought that says Marcel showed it off to  
his family just because it was not yet officially classified  
does not cast Jesse in very good light.

Again assuming that that Marcel's 1979 descriptions were  
accurate, I'm sure that Blanchard (or Ramey, or Washington)  
would have expected Marcel to exercise good judgement at this  
point and protect the debris from undue exposure to others-  
including his family- until it had been officially examined.

>It is virtually certain that Marcel would not have had the  
>authority to unilaterally define a new category of information

>and assign a classification level to it. The latter would be an  
>"original classification", and not many people are authorized to  
>do it. However, if he were cognizant of an existing  
>information category and the associated classification level, he  
>could (and should) mark and handle such material as classified.  
>The latter is known as "derivative classification", and it  
>occurs quite routinely.

At the point the debris is collected, the above is a not really  
germane. Again, it matters not who had the ultimate authority,  
Marcel's judgement and subsequent actions the day he is  
collected the debris is the question.

Bruce Hutchinson

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 2](#)

## Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 08:51:07 -0700  
Fwd Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 11:41:57 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Gehrman

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 20:28:35 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 20:17:04 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>Come off it. If Marcel Sr. uttered those words, it shows that he  
>>simply did not know what he was talking about. It is poppycock,  
>>and for him to say such a thing must devalue his other  
>>testimony. For one thing the UFO was (in some versions of the  
>>story) supposed to have exploded in the air. Therefore it was in  
>>fragments before it even struck the earth! Yet Marcel Sr., expert  
>>in aviation and atomic bombs, was (according to his son) still  
>>able to assert that its impact speed was far in excess of  
>>anything made in the US. From the myriad of fragments he  
>>gathered, Marcel Sr. could still pronounce this amazing fact.  
>>Naturally I have my doubts over whether he ever said this, but  
>>that is what Moore & Friedman heard from Marcel Jr.. Do you know  
>>whether it crashed or whether it exploded? Does anybody know?

>Opinion here and nothing more. You don't like it. Fine. But your  
>reasons are opinion and not fact.

>And, given the descriptions of the debris field, it exploded...  
>Remember, those who have talked about a craft like Frank  
>Kaufmann, Gerald Anderson and Jim Ragsdale have all been  
>discredited (usually by the work we on the side of the UFO crash  
>in Roswell have done). And yes, I understand that this weakens  
>the case, but hell, what can I do? It's where the facts lead.

Kevin, CDA,

Not all witness testimony has been discredited. The MP's story  
should still be considered. See:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2000/apr/m01-002.shtml>

He said that the port side of the craft was blown out as if  
there had been an explosion from the inside. Other than that,  
the craft was intact. When it was hoisted onto the flatbed  
truck, the craft broke into two pieces. It was so light that two  
men were able to lift it.

Basically, he said the crash happened on the night of the 2nd of  
July and he was sent to the crash site late on the 3rd and  
everything was cleaned up by the early morning of the 4th of  
July. Then Mac came to town on the 6th with more debris, and  
that's when the confusion began. It seems logical that if the  
port side of the craft was missing, as the MP has stated, that's  
what Mac found.

Also Marcel Sr. was the base intelligence officer, but his job  
was handling clearance paper work and not any actual  
investigation, which was left to the CID. It's just a fluke that

he was involved with the Foster ranch site, since it's clear he wasn't included in the recovery of the craft the MP described so he was not in the loop.

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 3](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 13:16:45 -0300  
Fwd Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 08:23:02 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman

>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[webmaster.nul](mailto:webmaster.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 10:15:54 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 10:15:35 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 13:59:26 -0300  
>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[webmaster.nul](mailto:webmaster.nul)>  
>>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 01:16:29 -0400  
>>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>><snip>

>>>>Assuming that we are talking about the debris that the Marcells  
>>>>described, somehow I don't think that you would have required  
>>>>someone else's opinion. And somehow I don't think that you  
>>>>would have needed orders from above to know that showing  
>>>>and/or talking about this to your family would have been a  
>>>>serious breach of your secrecy oath.

>>>><snip>

>>>Bruce, both you and Kathy have stated that, as a security  
>>>officer, Marcel breached security by sharing information with  
>>>his family.

>>>First, he had no authority to designate material as Top  
>>>Secret. That is done after assessment by higher authority later  
>>>on.

>>>Second, why should the possibility of some "alien manufactured"  
>>>material found in the desert be automatically assumed to be a  
>>>security concern and be declared Top Secret?

>>It's not clear that Marcel would not have had the authority to  
>>classify this material on his own. It would depend on what DoD  
>>programs he had access to, and whether any of the associated  
>>Security Classification Guides defined the classification level  
>>of such material.

>The question in my mind is not who had the 'Authority'... Marcel  
>certainly should have had the good sense to know whether the  
>debris was in fact something that should be possibly classified  
>or not. The line of thought that says Marcel showed it off to  
>his family just because it was not yet officially classified  
>does not cast Jesse in very good light.

>Again assuming that that Marcel's 1979 descriptions were  
>accurate, I'm sure that Blanchard (or Ramey, or Washington)  
>would have expected Marcel to exercise good judgement at this

>point and protect the debris from undue exposure to others-  
>including his family- until it had been officially examined.

>>It is virtually certain that Marcel would not have had the  
>>authority to unilaterally define a new category of information  
>>and assign a classification level to it. The latter would be an  
>>"original classification", and not many people are authorized to  
>>do it. However, if he were cognizant of an existing  
>>information category and the associated classification level, he  
>>could (and should) mark and handle such material as classified.  
>>The latter is known as "derivative classification", and it  
>>occurs quite routinely.

>At the point the debris is collected, the above is a not really  
>germane. Again, it matters not who had the ultimate authority,  
>Marcel's judgement and subsequent actions the day he is  
>collected the debris is the question.

>Bruce Hutchinson

Let us remember that rancher Brazel handled the wreckage, had talked to and shown pieces to the Proctors, had talked to the Wades in Corona, which is why he went to Roswell on July 6., that the sheriff saw the wreckage, that clearly Blanchard did not send out a bunch of armed troops to check it out, that Blanchard himself ordered a press release sent out when Jesse returned. The notion that Jesse should have acted as a policeman and psychically should have known the future of the incident seems absurd. Ramey in Texas ordered Marcel not to say anything. Ramey followed McMullen's orders. Jesse followed orders and told his family not to say anything. They didn't. He wouldn't tell John Allan anything either.

You make it sound as though the world wouldn't have known anything if Jesse hadn't brought any stuff in to his house. This is patently nonsense.

Frankly, Bruce, you seem to be grinding an axe with no other purpose than to try to downgrade the testimony of two fine officers, but without doing your homework. Why?

Stanton Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 3](#)

## Re: The Late Walter Moe - Balaskas

From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 12:34:48 -0400 (Eastern Standard Time)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 08:26:49 -0400  
Subject: Re: The Late Walter Moe - Balaskas

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 09:57:20 -0300  
>Subject: Re: The Late Walter Moe

<snip>

>There are a number of mistakes in the discussion. Menzel wrote 3  
>anti-UFO books not 2. Roswell happened in July, 1947 not June.

>MJ-12 was authorized in September 1947 not in the summer.

>I was the one who after getting permission from 3 different  
>people including Menzel's wife, visited the Harvard Archives and  
>located and had copied the letters that are quoted.

>I also found (1986)that Menzel taught cryptography and had  
>learned Japanese. McNeff had noted to me a co-worker of Menzel's  
>at ERA who told me of Menzel having to leave in a hurry for  
>what was presumed to be other highly classified work.

>I asked the co-worker when that was and held my tongue; he  
>thought for a moment "July, 1947", he said.

>I was the one who found his travel records at Harvard. This was  
>reported at length in an article in IUR, in my book, TOP  
>SECRET/MAJIC, etc.

>Incidentally Menzel was Commander of the Navy Reserve  
>Communications Unit no 1. in Cambridge for many years after the  
>war.

<snip>

Hi Everyone!

Walter Moe was one of the many very knowledgeable but silent lurkers on the UFO UpDates list. He had contacted me recently regarding a revolutionary new book written by a physics/space science colleague of mine at York University which could explain, among many other things, the reported behaviour of UFOs and how they may operate.

Below is an obituary for Walter Moe published in the Pioneer Press on July 7, 2006.

-----

Moe, Walter Aug. 12, 1918 - July 5, 2006, in Oregon Lived most of his life in the St. Paul area. He married Lucille Bower in 1943; they had one child, Janet, in 1954, and divorced in 1959. He married Audrey Bodelson in 1961; she died in 1995. Wally had an Electrical Engineering degree from the U of M; served in the Navy in WW II, and worked for ERA, Univac, and Control Data. Survivors include daughter Jan Neuman and son-in-law Bob Slayton of Portland, OR, grandsons Raife and Reid Neuman and Matthew Slayton, brother Chester, St. Paul. Brothers Bernie and Russ predeceased him. He donated his remains to medical research; no service at this time. Remembrances: Oregon Water Trust

endowment, 522 SW 5th Ave., Suite 825, Portland, Oregon, 97204.

-----

In his reply, Stanton makes no comment about the important revelation that the world's first modern computer which Walter worked on was actually shipped to the NSA years earlier than what the history books tell us.

Many researchers believe that the secret purpose of the NSA, created after the Roswell UFO crash in 1947, was and still is to keep track of UFOs and monitor the ET threat.

Nick Balaskas

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 3](#)

## Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 12:41:14 EDT  
Fwd Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 08:28:40 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Randle

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 20:17:04 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 08:43:52 EDT  
>>Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

CDA, List, All -

Here's the deal. In his response to me, CDA suggested that these people in 1947, because of the nature of the story, should have gone running to the press. I suggested this was a ridiculous claim and said so in a post, but since then I've thought about some other aspects of this idea and will share something here. It gives some perspective to this idea.

As many of you know, I spent nearly a year in Baghdad and was there when terrorists hit the UN building, killed about 22. I had complete access to the site since I was in uniform and no one thought to ask me my business. Had they done so, I would have explained that we had a team on site and I was coordinating with them. I talked to the guards on duty when the car bomb went off, I talked to members of another National Guard unit who had the unpleasant duty of combing the wreckage for bodies, I took pictures of the damaged UN building and the surrounding area. In short, I roamed all over the place.

So, in keeping with CDA's idea and since I was the unit Public Affairs Officer (an additional duty) I wrote a story for our hometown newspaper because we were on the scene of a major news story. I had exclusive interviews with soldiers from other units (and who had not talked to any reporters for any media), and I had exclusive pictures. Granted, my pictures would look pretty much like everyone else's pictures, but they were exclusive if not unique. I also wrote a story about our unit's participation in the event and what they were doing now and how they were reacting to all this... again exclusive stuff. Our people couldn't talk to the media without letting me know about it so I knew who had said what to whom.

The newspaper's reaction to this international exclusive? They didn't run it. They ignored it. I mean, I had some very interesting stuff. I had it exclusively... no other newspapers, other than the ones I was writing for had this stuff or those pictures. But no, they didn't run it.

Now this was a big story. It was on all the networks. It was in the newspapers, but our local paper chose to ignore what I sent them.

My point here is even if the youngsters in 1947... or the Marcel family in 1947, had gone to the media, there is no guarantee that they would have been believed, that they would have been interviewed, or that the story would have been printed. It's the call of the editors and news directors and sometimes they can't see the importance of the story. (I will note that I had my wife

call the managing editor of the newspaper to ask about this and he couldn't get her off the phone fast enough... he wanted to find out why they had blown this.)

Now when Saddam was captured, I prepared a story about that, because some of our soldiers were close to the scene, and they did run that. And when Letterman came to Baghdad and I got some pictures of him, they ran that. But the UN story... no.

So, I'm thinking that CDA's criticism here might not be valid, as my experience with a legitimate story showed. We can point out that others on this list, who have tried to get newspapers and TV stations interested in UFO stories have failed. I'm thinking that the fact we have nothing in the newspaper really tells us nothing about the importance of the event.

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 3](#)

Secrecy News -- 08/02/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 13:23:16 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 08:30:42 -0400  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 08/02/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 85  
August 2, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

\*\* OF LEAKS AND WHISTLEBLOWERS  
\*\* MISSILE DEFENSE DEPLOYMENTS "SECRET FOR POLITICAL PURPOSES"  
\*\* HOUSE BILL WOULD OPEN UP INTELLIGENCE OVERSIGHT  
\*\* SOME RECENT CONGRESSIONAL PUBLICATIONS  
\*\* DOD ANNUAL REPORT ON COUNTERPROLIFERATION  
\*\* STEALTH SATELLITE SOURCEBOOK  
\*\* CRS ON INDIA AND PAKISTAN

OF LEAKS AND WHISTLEBLOWERS

Senator Christopher Bond (R-Missouri) introduced legislation today to criminalize the unauthorized disclosure of classified information.

"We need to send a message that leaks will not be tolerated and give prosecutors a modern and appropriate tool to go after those who do leak," he said.

The new Bond bill is identical to the controversial anti-leak legislation sponsored by Senator Richard Shelby in the FY 2001 Intelligence Authorization Act that was vetoed by President Clinton in November 2000.

See "Bond Legislation Targets Intelligence Leaks," August 2:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/2006/08/bond080206.html>

Meanwhile, a new U.S. intelligence policy on unauthorized disclosures of classified information is pending, the Director of National Intelligence said last week in a progress report.

"The DOJ and ODNI are ... working closely on leaks issues," he wrote. "In March 2006 the ODNI issued policies to consolidate IC reporting of leaks and is now preparing to issue a Community-wide directive on [unauthorized] disclosures." See (at page 8):

<http://www.fas.org/irp/dni/prog072706.pdf>

The National Security Whistleblowers Coalition disclosed last week that former NSA employee Russell Tice had been summoned to appear before a grand jury investigating the unauthorized disclosure of classified information. See related background, including a copy of the grand jury summons, on the Coalition web site here:

<http://www.nswbc.org/>

Mr. Tice and other national security whistleblowers testified before the House Committee on Government Reform last February, and the record of that hearing has just been published.

See "National Security Whistleblowers in the post-9/11 Era: Lost in a Labyrinth and Facing Subtle Retaliation," February 14, 2006:

[http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006\\_hr/whistle.html](http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_hr/whistle.html)

#### MISSILE DEFENSE DEPLOYMENTS "SECRET FOR POLITICAL PURPOSES"

The names of foreign countries that are being considered for deployment of U.S. missile defense systems are unclassified but nevertheless should be kept secret, the Missile Defense Agency ordered last year.

"There are many operational and political sensitivities that require varying levels of protection as we consider possible deployments," wrote MDA Deputy Director Gen. Marvin K. McNamara.

"Therefore, I am requiring that potential host nations being studied or considered by MDA for operational deployments not be identified by country or city name in any form on Unclassified computer systems....."

The November 22, 2005 MDA memorandum on "Protection of Information Regarding Operational Deployments" was obtained by Nick Schwellenbach of the Project on Government Oversight and is available here:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/othergov/dod/mda112205.pdf>

In an email message also obtained by POGO, an MDA security manager paraphrased the policy this way: "Information regarding operational deployments should be treated as 'Secret' for political purposes and, for that reason, the information is to be sent encrypted or by SIPRNET."

What is at issue here, explained Victoria Samson of the Center for Defense Information, is the location of the third site for the Ground-based Midcourse Defense (GMD) system, which is still under consideration.

But not everyone got the word.

In a March 20, 2006 briefing by MDA Director Gen. Trey Obering, obtained by Ms. Samson, three countries are identified as possible candidates for the third ground-based site: the United Kingdom, the Czech Republic, and Poland. See "Missile Defense Program Update" (at slide 35):

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/othergov/dod/mda032006.pdf>

Official controls on unclassified information have mushroomed in recent years. An interagency task force that conducted an inventory of so-called Sensitive But Unclassified control markings recently identified 164 distinct marking systems for controlling unclassified information, according to Grace Mastalli, who co-chaired the task force.

#### HOUSE BILL WOULD OPEN UP INTELLIGENCE OVERSIGHT

A bipartisan bill introduced in the House would require the House Intelligence Committee to disclose information on intelligence activities to other congressional committees, as long as such disclosure did not reveal sensitive intelligence sources or methods.

"In order to exercise proper oversight, House committees need all pertinent information and, unfortunately, that process isn't functioning as it was intended to," said Rep. Jeff Flake (R-AZ), who introduced the bill.

"We should not have to rely on the morning paper to learn about secret government programs, particularly when we sit on committees that are charged with overseeing such programs," said Rep. Adam Schiff (D-CA), a co-sponsor.

See the "Intelligence Oversight Act" (H.R. 5954) here:

[http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006\\_cr/hr5954.html](http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_cr/hr5954.html)

In a move that may enhance its legislative prospects, the bill has been referred to the House Rules Committee rather than to the House Intelligence Committee, UPI's Shaun Waterman reported.

#### SOME RECENT CONGRESSIONAL PUBLICATIONS

Some noteworthy congressional documents that have recently been published include the following.

"The Need to Know: Information Sharing Lessons for Disaster Response," House Committee on Government Reform, March 30, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/congress/2006/infoshare.html>

"Plane Clothes: Lack of Anonymity at the Federal Air Marshal Service Compromises Aviation and National Security," House Judiciary Committee investigative report, May 25, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/congress/2006/plane.pdf>

"The Terrorist Threat from Shoulder-Fired Missiles," House Committee on International Relations, March 30, 2006:

[http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006\\_hr/manpads.pdf](http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_hr/manpads.pdf)

#### DOD ANNUAL REPORT ON COUNTERPROLIFERATION

The Department of Defense recently published its annual report on counterproliferation, an overview of U.S. government programs to detect, prevent and counter the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction.

See "Report on Activities and Programs for Countering Proliferation and NBC Terrorism, Counterproliferation Program Review Committee," Volume I, Executive Summary, May 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/threat/nbcterror2006.pdf>

See also the related "Department of Defense Chemical and Biological Defense Program, Annual Report to Congress," March 2006 (8.5 MB PDF):

<http://www.fas.org/irp/threat/cbdp2006.pdf>

#### STEALTH SATELLITE SOURCEBOOK

Published sources on stealthy satellites were compiled in "A Stealth Satellite Sourcebook" by independent researcher Allen Thomson, available here:

<http://www.fas.org/spp/military/program/track/stealth.pdf>

An earlier compilation on the French GRAVES space surveillance system, also by Mr. Thomson, may be found here:

<http://www.fas.org/spp/military/program/track/graves.pdf>

#### CRS ON INDIA AND PAKISTAN

New reports of the Congressional Research Service, not readily available to the public, include the following:

"India-U.S. Relations," updated July 31, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/row/RL33529.pdf>

"Pakistan-U.S. Relations," updated July 27, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/row/RL33498.pdf>

Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sgp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:  
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sgp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sgp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 3](#)

## Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request -

From: **Geoff Richardson** <[geoff.nul](mailto:geoff.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 18:38:47 +0100  
Fwd Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 08:33:46 -0400  
Subject: Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request -

>From: Royce J. Myers III <[ufowatchdog.nul](mailto:ufowatchdog.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 01 Aug 2006 18:38:40 -0700  
>Subject: Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request

>>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 12:05:01 -0500 (CDT)  
>>Subject: Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request

<snip>

>I think this film appeared in Ray "The Salesman" Santilli's  
>comedy film about the Alien Autopsy when the two characters in  
>the film supposedly realize "the truth" of it all. Santilli's  
>bit, in my opinion, to keep the mythos of his film alive...

>On 6th July, 2006, Ray Santilli and his sidekick Gary Shoefield  
>appeared on The Richard and Judy Show (Channel 4 tv, U.K.) to  
>discuss the Alien Autopsy Film.

An audio file of most of the disussion is available at:

<http://www.thewhyfiles.net/autopsy.htm>

Geoff Richardson  
[www.thewhyfiles.net](http://www.thewhyfiles.net)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 3](#)

## Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request -

From: Neil Morris <[neil.nul](mailto:neil.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 20:15:05 +0100  
Fwd Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 08:36:06 -0400  
Subject: Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request -

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 07:08:12 -0400  
>Subject: Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request

>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 12:05:01 -0500 (CDT)  
>Subject: Re: USAF 'Alien Autopsy' 1947-49 FOIA Request

>>At least this one has more than just a couple people in it, and  
>>you can even almost see their faces. Same alien, though. A  
>>little stiff around the edges. Perhaps another piece of film  
>>that Santilli had produced that wasn't good enough for public  
>>consumption at the time.

>This is the footage shown at the very end of the Ant and Dec  
>Alien Autopsy movie. Some claim it is from Ray's original  
>footage.

I have grave doubts this is "original" AA footage. Having had a close look at it as ripped from the UK DVD release of the Ant+Dec AA Film for all it looks very authentic, in a few frames between "the fogging" you can glimpse a chap in a short sleeved shirt in the background looking very un-military and if you check out one particular "arm movement" of the body it seems to "bounce" in a very "latex" like way. And for all Ray S has alluded to it being from his stash of "held-back" footage, the film company who produced the Ant & Dec Film do say they produced it.

Just a few observations.

Best Regards

Neil

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 3](#)

## Re: The Late Walter Moe - Allan

From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 21:25:37 +0100  
Fwd Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 08:41:11 -0400  
Subject: Re: The Late Walter Moe - Allan

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 09:57:20 -0300  
>Subject: Re: The Late Walter Moe

>>From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 1 Aug 2006 08:43:10 -0400 (Eastern Standard Time)  
>>Subject: The Late Walter Moe

>>Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 22:14:55 EDT  
>>From: [WalterMoe9.nul](mailto:WalterMoe9.nul)  
>>To: <snip>  
>>Cc: <snip>  
>>Subject: walter moe

>>Minnesota MUFON Journal  
>>Issue #88 Mar./Apr. 2001  
>>Directors Report

>>By Bill McNeff, State Director, MN MUFON ERA,

>>Donald Menzel, Roswell and The Agency

>>In January 1946, Engineering Research Associates (ERA) was  
>>started by former Navy men. Dr. Howard Engstrom and William  
>>Norris were founders. Walter J. Wally Moe, former Assistant  
>>State Director for Minnesota MUFON, was also a founder. The new  
>>company used the former glider factory near the intersection of  
>>Prior and University Ave. in St. Paul s Midway area. All the  
>>projects they were working on were classified. One of these  
>>projects was to develop one of the first computers (using  
>>electron tubes). They had a difficult time attracting investors  
>>since they couldn't tell them what they were working on. With  
>>the financial problems they were having, they allowed Remington  
>>Rand to buy them out in 1956. That is when many of the old  
>>timers left since they didn't like all these Remington Rand  
>>Shaver division guys from Pennsylvania telling them what to do.  
>>Bill Norris was also very unsatisfied by the amount of money  
>>Remington Rand was providing for research. So, Control Data Corp  
>>was formed by these former Univac/ERA employees, Bill Norris  
>>being the chief executive officer.

>>The history books say ERA developed the first computer which was  
>>sold to the government to work on the census. But, actually they  
>>shipped the first computer years before to the NSA which had  
>>just been started by Truman in September 1947 after the June  
>>1947 Roswell crash. Congress was told NSAs purpose was to spy on  
>>America's enemies by wire tap and radio monitoring. But many  
>>researchers believe that a secret purpose of the NSA was and  
>>still is to keep track of a possible ET threat. Howard Engstrom  
>>had been Dr. Donald Menzel s WWII boss. He tried to hire Menzel  
>>at ERA in 1946 for \$10,000 a year (a whole lot for those days).  
>>(A typical starting salary in 1960 for a beginning electrical  
>>engineer was \$4,500 a year.) Menzel refused the job offer. His  
>>main (cover) job was professor of astronomy at Harvard.

>There are a number of mistakes in the discussion. Menzel wrote  
>3 anti-UFO books not 2. Roswell happened in July, 1947 not June.

>MJ-12 was authorized in September 1947 not in the summer.

>I was the one who after getting permission from 3 different  
>people including Menzel's wife, visited the Harvard Archives and  
>located and had copied the letters that are quoted.

>I also found (1986)that Menzel taught cryptography and had  
>learned Japanese. McNeff had noted to me a co-worker of Menzel's  
>at ERA who told me of Menzel having to leave in a hurry for what  
>was presumed to be other highly classified work.

>I asked the co-worker when that was and held my tongue; he  
thought for a moment "July, 1947", he said.

>I was the one who found his travel records at Harvard. This was  
>reported at length in an article in IUR, in my book, TOP  
>SECRET/MAJIC, etc.

>Incidentally Menzel was Commander of the Navy Reserve  
>Communications Unit no 1. in Cambridge for many years after the  
>war.

We should query a bit more than this.

Some claims that Bill McNeff makes are:

1. Many researchers believe that NSA was set up, as one of its  
briefs, to monitor ET activities.
2. Menzel's main job as professor of astronomy at Harvard was a  
cover for something else.

Which researchers, apart from Stan Friedman, believe item1? (We  
are told there are, or were, "many"). What was Menzel's supposed  
actual job for which being an astronomy professor for at least  
25 years was merely a "cover"?

As to Stan's comments above, I notice that Menzel had to  
suddenly leave ERA on "what was presumed to be other highly  
classified work". In Stan's book 'Top Secret Majic' he says  
(p.38) Menzel had to leave hurriedly for "some very highly  
classified project". Now Stan says it is only "presumed" to be  
such. Is it customary for someone to depart an important  
research job and to announce (or have the news spread about)  
that he is going to work elsewhere on a highly classified  
project, thus raising everyone's eyebrows? I very much doubt  
it. I also notice that in Stan's book no month is specified. The  
anonymous informant, when asked by Stan some 40 years  
afterwards, merely said "it must have been 1947". Now we read  
that it was "July 1947", the same month as Roswell (surprise!).

A more likely scenario is that Menzel simply left, and that no  
reason was given. However, I did discover some interesting  
items in a Menzel biography, namely that during the early post-  
war years he was heavily involved in setting up a solar  
observatory at Sacramento Peak in New Mexico. This was in  
conjunction with the US Air Force, and Menzel helped a lot with  
the instrumentation. (This accounts for all those mysterious  
expenses being paid for by the government). He also had a big  
hand in establishing the Kitt Peak National Observatory in  
Arizona.

But I suppose a conspiracist could always claim these were both  
'cover jobs' for something sinister.

I suggest Menzel could just as well have left the ERA for  
personal or family reasons. But it bolsters the Roswell 'legend'  
to say Menzel had to depart for "some very highly classified  
project" in the same month as the Roswell crash.

CDA



[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 3](#)

## Re: Hall Oral History Project - Hall

From: **Richard Hall** <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 20:35:26 +0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 08:42:27 -0400  
Subject: Re: Hall Oral History Project - Hall

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 07:11:04 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Hall Oral History Project

>>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 01 Aug 2006 22:02:33 +0000  
>>Subject: Hall Oral History Project

>>Just as Errol was going on hiatus I learned that a computer  
>>failure (what else?) had pretty well wiped out the Richard Hall  
>>oral history project that was/is to result in a CD-ROM. Since  
>>many of you have contributed to this project and stand to  
>>receive one or more copies of the finished product, I regret to  
>>inform you of this. It is totally beyond my control, and  
>>dependent on a network of (wondrously generous) volunteers.

>Philip Klass came to me in a dream last night and said you'd say  
>just that given that the many millions contributed actually  
>went to hookers and cheese-burgers. <VBG>

Alien hookers at that! Cheesebugga, cheesebugga, cheesebugga.  
Whatever layer of hell or perdition ol' Phil is in, he's on top  
of his game and about as accurate as he ever was.

- Dick

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 3](#)

## Granite City In A Spin Over 'Flying Saucers'

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 11:21:55 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 11:21:55 -0400  
Subject: Granite City In A Spin Over 'Flying Saucers'

Source: The Press & Journal, Aberdeen, Scotland

<http://tinyurl.co.uk/pulg>

02 August 2006

Granite City In A Spin Over 'Flying Saucers'  
by  
Chris Marshall

The truth, so they say, is out there and it now appears that the 'there' in question is Aberdeen.

Forget Roswell , New Mexico and even Bonnybridge near Falkirk, it seems the Granite City is the new hotspot of UFO activity.

These pictures, taken in Garthdee, show what locals say are flying saucers.

The orange lights in the sky, said to resemble balloons more than saucers, were captured by John Sutherland, 64, of Gaitside Drive, last month.

Yesterday his wife, Audrey, 61, who also witnessed the strange sight, said that locals were in no doubt that the objects were not of this Earth.

"We were just out on the back patio, having a glass of wine and chatting with the neighbours when we saw them," she said.

"I would love to know what they were because I have never seen anything like that before. It just happened out of the blue - I'm not a UFO spotter but I'm interested now. I keep going outside to have a look."

She said she saw six orange lights in the sky which hovered momentarily before "disintegrating".

And she is not the only one. A Canadian-based website which records UFO sightings has reports from eight people in the Aberdeen area.

But last night local councillor Scott Cassie played down claims that Garthdee was a UFO hotspot. "It's certainly never been brought to my attention," he said.

In January, the Press and Journal received a number of calls from concerned residents after mysterious lights were spotted in the night sky.

People living in the Garioch area had reported seeing "a laser wagging backwards and forwards." But fears of an alien invasion soon passed after it emerged the lights had come from an oil company party at Fyvie Castle.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 3](#)

## African Desert Has Its Own X-Files

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 11:42:32 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 11:42:32 -0400  
Subject: African Desert Has Its Own X-Files

Source: The Star - Cape Town, South Africa

<http://tinyurl.co.uk/mljw>

August 02 2006

African Desert Has Its Own X-Files

By Veruska de Vita

This is when you'll see them, round barren patches devoid of plants and rocks.

Perfectly circular and mostly equidistant, they pockmark the landscape like Leopard's spots.

The Himba people, native to the Namib Desert, believe they have always been there, much the same way a zebra has always had its stripes.

Colonialists believe that they're made by fairies, yes, the creatures of myth and folklore, that migrated across stormy seas to find new breeding grounds.

In the Namib, evolutionary mutations cut deep into history.

White Ladies (sand spiders) do cartwheels down dunes, owls leave their night time narrative printed in the sand like tattoos and the sound of the desert turns introspective.

The enigma of fairy circles, much like Stonehenge and crop circles, has attracted researchers from many schools of thought.

Theories have been flung about furiously, resulting in caustic disagreements and years of extensive correspondence.

The circles have been dug up, the sand tested for geomagnetic forces and radioactivity.

Two chefs from Carlton Food Network have even pitched a tent smack in the middle of one of them, passing the night in feverish dreams that in the morning had them itching with insect bites. They blamed it on the fairies. Others looked to the heavens for an explanation and when the alignment with the moons of distant planets didn't quite match up, they threw up their hands and blamed the circles on UFO landings.

Locals joke, saying that Bushmen have been playing golf. Others like to blame highly creative desert dwellers wanting to get onto the crop circle bandwagon and stir up some interest in Sossusvlei.

Ruth Amasubiya, who comes from the Caprivi Strip in the North of Namibia, explains that in traditional beliefs, the circles were made by a dragon's breath. "There is the opinion that beneath

the edge of the Namib Desert, the oldest desert in the world, lies a crack in the earth's crust. A dragon lives there.

"Whenever he exhales, bubbles of fire rise to the surface, burning the vegetation, causing it to completely vaporise, forming circles."

There seems to be an invisible barrier in this area of Sossusvlei that protects it. So evocative is the place that a lodge was built here, appropriately called Sossusvlei Mountain Lodge. In this part of the world there is no cellphone signal, the skies are remarkable clear and relatively free of satellite and radioactive interference, there are no telephone poles, no electricity wires and there is only one road to get there. Remote and pristinely special. Is the immigration of fairies any wonder then?

It seems that concentric circles attract eccentricity. Bob McGown, a geophysicist from Portland University in the US, lay awake at night with an eye on the stars. But at the break of day, his telescopic gaze would turn to the fairy circles.

As the resident astronomer at Sossusvlei Mountain Lodge, McGown was affectionately known as "MadBob". He came in search of meteorites but instead found "self-organising" natural craters made by teen social creatures. Instead of fairies he found a community more endemic to the area - termites.

In his correspondence with Vernon Swanepoel, a ranger at Sossusvlei Mountain Lodge, Bob admits that he is an amateur at studying termites. "Just the discussions with Carl Sagan and Miles Paul and the popular Nova show called From Telescopes To Termites by Frank Drake have sparked my curiosity.

"The aspects that interested me the most are the complex interactions going on to create these circles. Since we know that termites live in these circular mounds their migration underground may have an effect on the frequency and distribution of the fairy circles.

"The fairy circles have been baffling scientists since the early 1970s," says Swanepoel.

A keen researcher himself, he's had many late night debates over the organic essence of these rings.

"People have had a lot to say regarding the circles.

"I've heard everything from landing locations for flying saucers, impact points for broken-up meteorites, tribal dancing spots and fossilised termite mounds."

At first he's reluctant to discuss his theories, it's sometimes difficult to let go of the shroud hiding the natural explanation. And then he reveals the piles of neatly filed papers and books he's collected over the years.

The papers reveal the correspondence between him and a pharmacologist called Carl Albrecht in which each guided the other towards a more probable explanation.

In 1993, as the fairy circles kept evolving, each waxing and waning over a period of 30 years, Albrecht stepped into the Namib Desert.

He researched like an insomniac by night. Albrecht presented the hypothesis that the circular barren patches were caused by a chemical associated with termites.

A fraction of the size of a pinhead, these termites have a protrusion coming out the top of their heads where a semi-volatile chemical is stored. Precious in its ability to inhibit dehydration resistance in plants, the termites release it into the soil killing off the plants and creating a water trap. Albrecht published his hypothesis in the South African Journal of Science in 2001.

In the article he suggests that since termites are not covered by an exoskeleton and are prone to rapid dehydration, they build their nests many metres underground and only come out at night. The reason for their disappearance when other researchers dug by

day.

"Understanding the factors that cause circular barren patches is principally driven by curiosity but may have relevance for an holistic understanding of the evolution of deserts," writes Albrecht

"It is possible a termite induced peripheral zone of barren patches on the edge of the Namib, may help to extend the desert in time."

"Perhaps the circles are the desert's way of creating breathing space for itself," suggests Elizabeth Light, a journalist from New Zealand.

From the top of a sand dune she looks down at the spotted landscape, temperature dropping as the sun sets behind the mountainous petrified dune.

Swanepoel, the unofficial keeper of the fairy circles, glances over at her. Under the weight of the Atlantic, the desert had held its breath for millions of years.

Perhaps, with the help of the creatures native to its warmth and its harshness, it's ensuring its survival. Perhaps it is the migrating fairies, as yet unphotographed, who in spring, bask in the heat of the desert, remove their clothes to reveal their true selves and fly amongst colonies of tiny winged termites.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 3](#)

## Habitable Planet Possible Around Nearby Star System

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 11:47:36 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 11:47:36 -0400  
Subject: Habitable Planet Possible Around Nearby Star System

Source: Space.Com - New York, New York, USA

[http://www.space.com/scienceastronomy/060801\\_science\\_tuesday.html](http://www.space.com/scienceastronomy/060801_science_tuesday.html)

01 August 2006

Habitable Planet Possible Around Nearby Star System

By Robert Roy Britt  
Senior Science Writer

Someday astronomers will likely create a long list of Sun-like stars with Earth-like planets around them. But technology has yet to reveal such worlds, instead allowing the detection only of much larger planets.

Most of the roughly 200 known extrasolar planets are larger than Jupiter. Many complete their orbital years in just a few days. This proximity to their stars creates noticeable wobbles in the stars that make the planets detectable.

But astronomers figure the giants probably formed farther out, in a disk of material swirling around a newborn star, and migrated inward. In doing so they would have destroyed any fledgling habitable worlds.

In recent years, with improving technology, researchers have found a handful of systems that could harbor life-bearing planets, in theory at least. A nearby star called 55 Cancri is one of the leading candidates.

The system

The 55 Cancri system involves three gas giant planets and another world that could be icy or rocky and is about the size of Neptune. The setup is 41 light-years from Earth and about 4.7 billion years old, comparable to our Sun.

Astronomers have said since 2002, when a planet was found at about the same orbital distance from 55 Cancri as Jupiter is from the Sun, that the star had the potential to harbor an Earth-sized world.

A new computer simulation shows that amid the giant worlds orbiting 55 Cancri, a small rocky world could indeed have formed=97in theory=97and attracted enough water to support life as we know it.

"Our models show a habitable planet, a planet with mass, temperature and water content similar to Earth's, could have formed," said Rory Barnes, a postdoctoral researcher at the University of Arizona.

Barnes and colleagues ran several simulations of varying scenarios around four stars, each known to have at least two giant planets. They put moon-sized planetary embryos into the



systems during their youth and allowed them to evolve for 100 million years.

The idea, based on the leading planet-formation theory, is that small objects collect more material and, if they don't collide with another big object, become planets.

Star of the show

Only 55 Cancri consistently yielded a world similar in size and orbital distance to Earth. Our planet sits in what's called a habitable zone, just the right distance from the Sun to allow liquid water.

"Our simulations typically produced one terrestrial planet in the habitable zone of 55 Cancri, with a typical mass of about half an Earth mass," said Sean Raymond, a postdoctoral researcher at the University of Colorado who worked on the project while a doctoral student at the University of Washington. "In many of the simulations, these planets accreted a decent amount of water-rich material from farther out in the disk."

The research, funded by NASA and the National Science Foundation, is described in a recent issue of the *Astrophysical Journal*.

A computer simulation is of course far from reality. But research like this can guide astronomers to solar systems worthy of further investigation as search technology improves.

"Our assumptions are quite optimistic, but not crazy by any means, and we start our simulations with a decent amount of material for terrestrial planets to form," Raymond told SPACE.com. "If we are wrong about this, then only smaller, perhaps Mars-sized planets could form in the habitable zone."

The best bet

Two other stars yielded little suggestion of habitable worlds. Another star, named HD 38529, is likely to support an asteroid belt and objects up to the size of Mars, the simulations indicate.

"In terms of the systems we looked at, 55 Cancri has the largest zone between giant planets in which terrestrial planets may form and remain on stable orbits," Raymond said. "So, I think the chance of other planets existing in the system is pretty good, but it's certainly not definitive at the moment."

Other modeling by Raymond has shown that only about 5 percent of the known giant-planet systems are likely to have Earth-like planets. But, he and others have said, there may well be many solar systems similar to our own, in which the giant planets are all on the outskirts, that simply can't be detected yet.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 3](#)

## Re: Hall Oral History Project - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 10:16:23 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 13:39:12 -0400  
Subject: Re: Hall Oral History Project - Lehmborg

>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 20:35:26 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Hall Oral History Project

>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 07:11:04 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Hall Oral History Project

>>>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Tue, 01 Aug 2006 22:02:33 +0000  
>>>Subject: Hall Oral History Project

>>>Just as Errol was going on hiatus I learned that a computer  
>>>failure (what else?) had pretty well wiped out the Richard Hall  
>>>oral history project that was/is to result in a CD-ROM. Since  
>>>many of you have contributed to this project and stand to  
>>>receive one or more copies of the finished product, I regret to  
>>>inform you of this. It is totally beyond my control, and  
>>>dependent on a network of (wondrously generous) volunteers.

>>Philip Klass came to me in a dream last night and said you'd say  
>>just that given that the many millions contributed actually  
>>went to hookers and cheese-burgers. <VBG>

>Alien hookers at that! Cheesebugga, cheesebugga, cheesebugga.  
>Whatever layer of hell or perdition ol' Phil is in, he's on top  
>of his game and about as accurate as he ever was.

Yep - not even as accurate a broken clock and only useful as a  
direction indicator, et al, so the observer knows to run hard  
in the other direction. Undeserving, moreover, of the faintest  
praise, ephemeral if kind remembrance, or lukewarm  
congratulation as a mechanism for keeping others on their toes,  
even.

Verily, it has been said, Dr. Paul Kurtz knew PK was a 'nut',  
but consoled himself, damningly, that Klass was his nut.  
Still, a nut by any name is still a nut. A nut is a nut is a nut  
without regard to the side of the fence upon which it falls.  
Kurtz should be the first to say so.

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 3](#)

## More Menzel [was: The Late Walter Moe]

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 12:42:43 -0300  
Fwd Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 13:44:58 -0400  
Subject: More Menzel [was: The Late Walter Moe]

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 21:25:37 +0100  
>Subject: Re: The Late Walter Moe

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 09:57:20 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: The Late Walter Moe

<snip>

>>I was the one who after getting permission from 3 different  
>>people including Menzel's wife, visited the Harvard Archives and  
>>located and had copied the letters that are quoted.

>>I also found (1986)that Menzel taught cryptography and had  
>>learned Japanese. McNeff had noted to me a co-worker of Menzel's  
>>at ERA who told me of Menzel having to leave in a hurry for what  
>>was presumed to be other highly classified work.

>>I asked the co-worker when that was and held my tongue; he  
>>thought for a moment "July, 1947", he said.

>>I was the one who found his travel records at Harvard. This was  
>>reported at length in an article in IUR, in my book, TOP  
>>SECRET/MAJIC, etc.

>>Incidentally Menzel was Commander of the Navy Reserve  
>>Communications Unit no 1. in Cambridge for many years after the  
>>war.

>We should query a bit more than this.

>Some claims that Bill McNeff makes are:

>1. Many researchers believe that NSA was set up, as one of its  
>briefs, to monitor ET activities.

>2. Menzel's main job as professor of astronomy at Harvard was a  
>cover for something else.

>Which researchers, apart from Stan Friedman, believe item1?

What possible basis is there for saying I think NSA was set up  
to monitor ETs? It was officially established in 1952, but was a  
continuation of work on code breaking etc established before WW  
2 mostly navy basis... Menzel was involved before the war.

>We  
>are told there are, or were, "many"). What was Menzel's supposed  
>actual job for which being an astronomy professor for at least  
>25 years was merely a "cover"?

Menzel claimed in his unpublished autobiography (I had to get  
his wife's written permission for access)that he did consulting  
work for 30 different companies, and the CIA, he had taught  
cryptography, and indeed was a very busy astronomer. He noted  
that his retirement income from his consulting activities was

greater than from Harvard.

>As to Stan's comments above, I notice that Menzel had to  
>suddenly leave ERA on "what was presumed to be other highly  
>classified work". In Stan's book 'Top Secret Majic' he says  
>(p.38) Menzel had to leave hurriedly for "some very highly  
>classified project". Now Stan says it is only "presumed" to be  
>such. Is it customary for someone to depart an important  
>research job and to announce (or have the news spread about)  
>that he is going to work elsewhere on a highly classified  
>project, thus raising everyone's eyebrows? I very much doubt  
>it. I also notice that in Stan's book no month is specified. The  
>anonymous informant, when asked by Stan some 40 years  
>afterwards, merely said "it must have been 1947". Now we read

My source had already noted early in the summer.. .professors,  
summer vacations, etc.The question was which year. This was not  
a public or over the loudspeaker announcement. There was a  
private conversation. He is the one, having a high level  
security clearance himself, who presumed the departure was  
because of a highly classified project.

>that it was "July 1947", the same month as Roswell (surprise!).

>A more likely scenario is that Menzel simply left, and that no  
>reason was given. However, I did discover some interesting  
>items in a Menzel biography, namely that during the early post-  
>war years he was heavily involved in setting up a solar  
>observatory at Sacramento Peak in New Mexico. This was in  
>conjunction with the US Air Force, and Menzel helped a lot with  
>the instrumentation. (This accounts for all those mysterious  
>expenses being paid for by the government). He also had a big  
>hand in establishing the Kitt Peak National Observatory in  
>Arizona.

>But I suppose a conspiracist could always claim these were both  
>'cover jobs' for something sinister.

>I suggest Menzel could just as well have left the ERA for  
>personal or family reasons. But it bolsters the Roswell 'legend'  
>to say Menzel had to depart for "some very highly classified  
>project" in the same month as the Roswell crash.

Your in depth investigation of Menzel and his activities is  
quite underwhelming. I recall your once claiming that his  
connection with the intelligence community was well known and  
you gave a reference. The reference had noted he was in the Navy  
during WW II, but nothing about his post-war activities (He knew  
more about NSA and its navy predecessors than anybody as a  
result of his 30+ years connection, he wrote to Jack Kennedy in  
1960)

Let us not forget the three Soviet spies whose day jobs were for  
British Intelligence... Philby, Burgess, McLean. No problem with  
very competent people being involved in classified activity  
outside their day jobs... especially professors... Look at the  
Jasons.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

More Menzel [was: The Late Walter Moe]

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 3](#)

Secrecy News -- 08/03/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 13:37:10 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 14:24:50 -0400  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 08/03/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 86  
August 3, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

\*\* AIRCRAFT ANTI-MISSILE SYSTEMS NEED MORE WORK, DHS SAYS  
\*\* SELECTED CRS REPORTS

AIRCRAFT ANTI-MISSILE SYSTEMS NEED MORE WORK, DHS SAYS

The potential threat to commercial aircraft from hostile use of shoulder-fired anti-aircraft missiles (Man Portable Air Defense Systems, or MANPADS) still does not have a satisfactory technological solution, the Department of Homeland Security said in a new report to Congress.

"It is feasible to transition selected military [defense] technology to the commercial aviation environment, but it is challenging from a logistics, cost, export control, and, to some extent, from a liability perspective," the DHS report said.

"Additional design, development, test, and actual operation [of counter-MANPADS technology] in the commercial environment is needed to improve reliability, reduce drag and weight, incorporate technology protection, [and] enhance producibility...."

See "Department of Homeland Security Counter-MANPADS Program Summary, Report to Congress Detailing Phases I and II Findings of the Counter-MANPADS Program," DHS Science and Technology Directorate, July 31, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/dhs/manpads.pdf>

The new DHS assessment, which has not previously been made available to the public, was first reported by the Associated Press.

See "Airline Anti-Missile System Years Away" by Leslie Miller, Associated Press, July 31:

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/uslatest/story/0,,5985901,00.html>

Extensive background on MANPADS proliferation prepared by Matthew Schroeder of the FAS Arms Sales Monitoring Project is available here:

<http://www.fas.org/asmp/campaigns/MANPADS/MANPADS.html>

"Congress needs to keep in mind that onboard anti-missile systems are not a panacea; they only protect planes from a small sub-category of threats, and provide no protection for Americans

flying on foreign airliners that aren't equipped with the systems," Mr. Schroeder said. "If Congress goes this route, they need to redouble non- and counter-proliferation efforts."

#### SELECTED CRS REPORTS

Numerous new reports of the Congressional Research Service on subjects of public interest and concern have been issued lately. Yet by design, they are not made readily available to the public. They include the following.

"The Department of Defense Rules for Military Commissions: Analysis of Procedural Rules and Comparison with Proposed Legislation and the Uniform Code of Military Justice," updated July 25, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/natsec/RL31600.pdf>

"Hamdan v. Rumsfeld: Military Commissions in the 'Global War on Terrorism'," July 6, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/natsec/RS22466.pdf>

"Military Tribunals: Historical Patterns and Lessons," July 9, 2004:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/natsec/RL32458.pdf>

"Iran: U.S. Concerns and Policy Responses," updated July 31, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/mideast/RL32048.pdf>

"Israeli-Arab Negotiations: Background, Conflicts, and U.S. Policy," updated July 25, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/mideast/RL33530.pdf>

"Lebanon," updated July 24, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/mideast/RL33509.pdf>

"European Approaches to Homeland Security and Counterterrorism," July 24, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/homesec/RL33573.pdf>

"China and Proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction and Missiles: Policy Issues," updated July 17, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/nuke/RL31555.pdf>

"Banning Fissile Material Production for Nuclear Weapons: Prospects for a Treaty (FMCT)," July 14, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/nuke/RS22474.pdf>

"North Korean Ballistic Missile Threat to the United States," updated July 6, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/nuke/RS21473.pdf>

"International Small Arms and Light Weapons Transfers: U.S. Policy," updated June 27, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/weapons/RS20958.pdf>

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)



Secrecy News is archived at:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:

<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:

[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood

Project on Government Secrecy

Federation of American Scientists

web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)

email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood@fas.org)

voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 3](#)

## Re: More Menzel

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 11:45:47 -0700  
Fwd Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 15:18:41 -0400  
Subject: Re: More Menzel

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 12:42:43 -0300  
>Subject: More Menzel [was: The Late Walter Moe]

>>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 21:25:37 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: The Late Walter Moe

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 09:57:20 -0300  
>>>Subject: Re: The Late Walter Moe

><snip>

>>>I was the one who after getting permisssion from 3 different  
>>>people including Menzel's wife, visited the Harvard Archives and  
>>>located and had copied the letters that are quoted.

>>>I also found (1986)that Menzel taught cryptography and had  
>>>learned Japanese. McNeff had noted to me a co-worker of Menzel's  
>>>at ERA who told me of Menzel having to leave in a hurry for what  
>>>was presumed to be other highly classified work.

>What possible basis is there for saying I think NSA was set up  
>to monitor ETs? It was officially established in 1952, but was a  
>continuation of work on code breaking etc established before WW  
>2 mostly navy basis... Menzel was involved before the war.

>Menzel claimed in his unpublished autobiography (I had to get  
>his wife's written permission for access)that he did consulting  
>work for 30 different companies, and the CIA, he had taught  
>cryptography, and indeed was a very busy astronomer. He noted  
>that his retirement income from his consulting activities was  
>greater than from Harvard.

>Let us not forget the three Soviet spies whose day jobs were for  
>British Intelligence... Philby, Burgess, McLean. No problem with  
>very competent people being involved in classified activity  
>outside their day jobs... especially professors... Look at the  
>Jasons.

Another scientist at one time possibly linked to crash  
retrievals was Luis Alvarez, Nobel winning physicist at  
Berkeley. I went through boxes of his papers there trying to see  
if there was any evidence of this, but found nothing. There was  
some interesting stuff though. Like Menzel and many other top  
scientists, he did a lot of secret government consulting work,  
including for the NSA. (There is even one letter with him  
complaining how onerous he found most of the consulting work,  
but he felt an obligation to do it.)

Students of UFO history well know he was on the CIA's debunking  
Robertson Panel of 1953. There is nothing in his papers about  
his participation, other than an impersonal letter from Menzel 2  
or 3 years later congratulating him and others on the Panel on  
arriving at negative conclusions.

Alvarez became a Jason around 1962. The paperwork indicated it paid fantastically well - \$800 a day even back then, probably the equivalent of about \$6000 a day today. A professor could double his income with 3 or 4 weeks of Jason consulting work a year. The Defense Dept. obviously had money to burn. There were also lists of Jason internal research publications, nothing obviously UFO-related. Most of the consultation had to do with improving missile defense. If there were UFO-related consultations and publications, they were in another file not for public consumption even today.

The only other \_remotely\_ UFO/MJ-12 connection was a letter in which Alvarez refers to Detlev Bronk as "Dev" Bronk. Obviously he knew Bronk well, but that's not much to go on. If Alvarez had any connection to supersecret saucer investigations and/or crash retrievals, it never made it into his now-public files.

One other fascinating tidbit I discovered to my great surprise was that he was an observer on the Nagasaki A-bomb plane. There was a letter he wrote immediately afterward, to his son Walter, saying how horrible it was. (Much later, he and Walter Alvarez came up with the asteroid extinction theory of the dinosaurs, ironically now Alvarez's main claim to fame.)

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 3](#)

## Re: More Menzel - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 17:32:55 -0300  
Fwd Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 17:11:25 -0400  
Subject: Re: More Menzel - Friedman

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 11:45:47 -0700  
>Subject: Re: More Menzel

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 12:42:43 -0300  
>>Subject: More Menzel [was: The Late Walter Moe]

<snip>

>>Let us not forget the three Soviet spies whose day jobs were for  
>>British Intelligence... Philby, Burgess, McLean. No problem with  
>>very competent people being involved in classified activity  
>>outside their day jobs... especially professors... Look at the  
>>Jasons.

>Another scientist at one time possibly linked to crash  
>retrievals was Luis Alvarez, Nobel winning physicist at  
>Berkeley. I went through boxes of his papers there trying to see  
>if there was any evidence of this, but found nothing. There was  
>some interesting stuff though. Like Menzel and many other top  
>scientists, he did a lot of secret government consulting work,  
>including for the NSA. (There is even one letter with him  
>complaining how onerous he found most of the consulting work,  
>but he felt an obligation to do it.)

>Students of UFO history well know he was on the CIA's debunking  
>Robertson Panel of 1953. There is nothing in his papers about  
>his participation, other than an impersonal letter from Menzel 2  
>or 3 years later congratulating him and others on the Panel on  
>arriving at negative conclusions.

>Alvarez became a Jason around 1962. The paperwork indicated it  
>paid fantastically well - \$800 a day even back then, probably  
>the equivalent of about \$6000 a day today. A professor could  
>double his income with 3 or 4 weeks of Jason consulting work a  
>year. The Defense Dept. obviously had money to burn. There were  
>also lists of Jason internal research publications, nothing  
>obviously UFO-related. Most of the consultation had to do with  
>improving missile defense. If there were UFO-related  
>consultations and publications, they were in another file not for  
>public consumption even today.

>The only other remotely UFO/MJ-12 connection was a letter in  
>which Alvarez refers to Detlev Bronk as "Dev" Bronk. Obviously  
>he knew Bronk well, but that's not much to go on. If Alvarez  
>had any connection to supersecret saucer investigations and/or  
>crash retrievals, it never made it into his now-public files.

>One other fascinating tidbit I discovered to my great surprise  
>was that he was an observer on the Nagasaki A-bomb plane. There  
>was a letter he wrote immediately afterward, to his son Walter,  
>saying how horrible it was. (Much later, he and Walter Alvarez  
>came up with the asteroid extinction theory of the dinosaurs,  
>ironically now Alvarez's main claim to fame.)

A good very recent book is "The Jasons: The Secret History of Science's Postwar Elite" by Ann Finkbeiner, Viking, 2006, 304 pages.. Alvarez is, of course, noted.

I should also point out that none of the material in the Menzel file at the Harvard Archives, or at the American Philosophical Society Library, which has his UFO correspondence, or at the University of Denver, was classified.

I would certainly expect that his classified files as well as those of Alvarez, Robertson, etc were eventually sent to either the National Archives which has tons of classified material, or the sponsoring agencies for whom he consulted.

I had located a woman who worked for him at Harvard, had a clearance, and was well aware of his classified activities, but she would say very little about them.

Detlev Bronk had his fingers in most of the Washington Elite science committees, was head of the National Academy of Sciences. Menzel knew him well and made some negative remarks about him to Kennedy... not enough vision.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 3](#)

## Re: More Menzel - Allan

From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 21:39:39 +0100  
Fwd Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 17:16:12 -0400  
Subject: Re: More Menzel - Allan

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 12:42:43 -0300  
>Subject: More Menzel [was: The Late Walter Moe]

>>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 21:25:37 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: The Late Walter Moe

<snip>

>>We  
>>are told there are, or were, "many"). What was Menzel's supposed  
>>actual job for which being an astronomy professor for at least  
>>25 years was merely a "cover"?

>Menzel claimed in his unpublished autobiography (I had to get  
>his wife's written permission for access)that he did consulting  
>work for 30 different companies, and the CIA, he had taught  
>cryptography, and indeed was a very busy astronomer. He noted  
>that his retirement income from his consulting activities was  
>greater than from Harvard.

>>As to Stan's comments above, I notice that Menzel had to  
>>suddenly leave ERA on "what was presumed to be other highly  
>>classified work". In Stan's book 'Top Secret Majic' he says  
>>(p.38) Menzel had to leave hurriedly for "some very highly  
>>classified project". Now Stan says it is only "presumed" to be  
>>such. Is it customary for someone to depart an important  
>>research job and to announce (or have the news spread about)  
>>that he is going to work elsewhere on a highly classified  
>>project, thus raising everyone's eyebrows? I very much doubt  
>>it. I also notice that in Stan's book no month is specified. The  
>>anonymous informant, when asked by Stan some 40 years  
>>afterwards, merely said "it must have been 1947". Now we read

>My source had already noted early in the summer... professors,  
>summer vacations, etc.The question was which year. This was not  
>a public or over the loudspeaker announcement. There was a  
>private conversation. He is the one, having a high level  
>security clearance himself, who presumed the departure was  
>because of a highly classified project.

>>that it was "July 1947", the same month as Roswell (surprise!).

>>A more likely scenario is that Menzel simply left, and that no  
>>reason was given. However, I did discover some interesting  
>>items in a Menzel biography, namely that during the early post-  
>>war years he was heavily involved in setting up a solar  
>>observatory at Sacramento Peak in New Mexico. This was in  
>>conjunction with the US Air Force, and Menzel helped a lot with  
>>the instrumentation. (This accounts for all those mysterious  
>>expenses being paid for by the government). He also had a big  
>>hand in establishing the Kitt Peak National Observatory in  
>>Arizona.

>>But I suppose a conspiracist could always claim these were both  
>>'cover jobs' for something sinister.

>>I suggest Menzel could just as well have left the ERA for  
>>personal or family reasons. But it bolsters the Roswell 'legend'  
>>to say Menzel had to depart for "some very highly classified  
>>project" in the same month as the Roswell crash.

>Your in depth investigation of Menzel and his activities is  
>quite underwhelming. I recall your once claiming that his  
>connection with the intelligence community was well known and  
>you gave a reference. The reference had noted he was in the Navy  
>during WW II, but nothing about his post-war activities (He knew  
>more about NSA and its navy predecessors than anybody as a  
>result of his 30+ years connection, he wrote to Jack Kennedy in  
>1960)

>Let us not forget the three Soviet spies whose day jobs were  
>for British Intelligence... Philby, Burgess, McLean. No problem  
>with very competent people being involved in classified activity  
>outside their day jobs... especially professors... Look at the  
>Jasons.

You have avoided my main thesis, namely that you have absolutely  
no evidence that Menzel left the ERA for a very highly classified  
other job, only a "presumption" by an anonymous source of  
40 years afterwards that he did so. Therefore my contention that  
Menzel could just as well have left for other reasons, such as  
personal ones, still stands. I have given you and McNeff  
(and listers) a perfectly good reason for Menzel's expenses in the  
early post-WW2 years being paid by the US government.

You, being a conspiracist, seem to prefer the idea that he was  
likely on some supersecret Roswell/MJ-12 related project.  
Certainly you are entitled to this view; now see how many others go  
along with it.

The idea that Menzel's 25-year or more association with Harvard  
U as a professor of astronomy was only a 'cover' for something  
else (as stated by McNeff, not you) is too preposterous to need  
further comment. And, no I do not need to do weeks and months of  
archival research in Menzel's archives to come to this  
conclusion.

CDA

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 3](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson

From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 17:17:29 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 17:21:26 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 13:16:45 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[webmaster.nul](mailto:webmaster.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 10:15:54 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>The question in my mind is not who had the 'Authority'... Marcel  
>>certainly should have had the good sense to know whether the  
>>debris was in fact something that should be possibly classified  
>>or not. The line of thought that says Marcel showed it off to  
>>his family just because it was not yet officially classified  
>>does not cast Jesse in very good light.

>>Again assuming that that Marcel's 1979 descriptions were  
>>accurate, I'm sure that Blanchard (or Ramey, or Washington)  
>>would have expected Marcel to exercise good judgement at this  
>>point and protect the debris from undue exposure to others-  
>>including his family- until it had been officially examined.

>Let us remember that rancher Brazel handled the wreckage, had  
>talked to and shown pieces to the Proctors, had talked to the  
>Wades in Corona, which is why he went to Roswell on July 6.,  
>that the sheriff saw the wreckage, that clearly Blanchard did  
>not send out a bunch of armed troops to check it out, that  
>Blanchard himself ordered a press release sent out when Jesse  
>returned. The notion that Jesse should have acted as a policeman  
>and psychically should have known the future of the incident  
>seems absurd. Ramey in Texas ordered Marcel not to say  
>anything. Ramey followed McMullen's orders. Jesse followed orders  
>and told his family not to say anything. They didn't .He  
>wouldn't tell John Allan anything either.

Stan;

You forgot to mention that (according to most accounts) only  
\_hours\_ after Marcel brought the stuff to RAAAFB, and before it  
arrived in Ft Worth, Brazel was under Military escort, Brazel's  
RDR interview had been scripted, the immediate need for  
substitute debris had been realized- and found, and the  
beginings of a major conspiracy had been put in place.

What I find so intriguing about all this is that according to  
the Saga, all these actions were the result of orders from  
Washington (McMullen?) without anyone from the Pentagon actually  
examining the debris! All they had to go on was oral and/or  
teletype descriptions. Even Ramey, at this point, had yet to see  
the material. If these descriptions alone could spark such an  
immediate high security response, anyone actually handling the  
material should have been acutely aware that this was not stuff  
that should be shown around- even to one's family.

>You make it sound as though the world wouldn't have known  
>anything if Jesse hadn't brought any stuff in to his house. This  
>is patently nonsense.



You're quite right, that is nonsense - and you'll have to show us where I ever even remotely implied that.

>Frankly, Bruce, you seem to be grinding an axe with no other  
>purpose than to try to downgrade the testimony of two fine  
>officers, but without doing your homework. Why?

Snide remarks aside, this is simply one of the many inconsistencies in The Saga that has been totally glossed over. Marcel's casual handling of material that caused such a major reaction - sight unseen - just does not fit.

Bruce Hutchinson

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 3](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - White

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 18:14:30 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 18:32:06 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - White

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 13:16:45 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

>Ramey in Texas ordered Marcel not to say anything. Ramey followed  
>McMullen's orders. Jesse followed orders and told his family not  
>to say anything. They didn't. He wouldn't tell John Allan  
>anything either.

Point of curiosity: One other lister has told me off list he  
thinks he remembers Jesse Marcel Sr. on a much later TV  
interview, filmed in black and white, with an outdoor backdrop,  
in bright sunlight, saying that he felt the public deserved to  
know all the facts and in fact, as I remember it, given the  
debris to view as well.

His last line was very close to "It belongs to them [the  
people]; give it to 'em."

This was part of a UFO documentary I saw here in Canada about a  
decade ago. I have no idea what the name of the show was,  
however, it was very likely one of the educational specialty  
channels.

Does anyone else remember this brief TV interview on black and  
white film with Jesse Marcel Sr.?

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 3](#)

## Re: More Menzel - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 17:26:19 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 18:33:49 -0400  
Subject: Re: More Menzel - Lehmborg

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 17:32:55 -0300  
>Subject: Re: More Menzel

<snip>

>Detlev Bronk had his fingers in most of the Washington Elite  
>science committees, was head of the National Academy of  
>Sciences. Menzel knew him well and made some negative remarks  
>about him to Kennedy... not enough vision.

Wow! That from a man with the least vision \_possible\_,  
calculated for the maximum effect on a distracted Kennedy, so,  
very definitely another funeral improving the aggregate human  
condition... yet, how is it that the good a person does is  
interred with their bones, but their evil lives on and on?

The mainstream \_still\_ holds up the unsupported negative  
conclusions (lies) of Condon and Robertson as the high-water  
call on UFOs. Like saying dusk is indeed dawn or night is,  
indeed, day.

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 4](#)

## Re: More Menzel - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 17:40:45 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 04 Aug 2006 07:13:46 -0400  
Subject: Re: More Menzel - Lehmborg

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 21:39:39 +0100  
>Subject: Re: More Menzel

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 12:42:43 -0300  
>>Subject: More Menzel [was: The Late Walter Moe]

<snip>

>You have avoided my main thesis, namely that you have absolutely  
>no evidence that Menzel left the ERA for a very highly classified  
>other job, only a "presumption" by an anonymous source of  
>40 years afterwards that he did so. Therefore my contention that  
>Menzel could just as well have left for other reasons, such as  
>personal ones, still stands. I have given you and McNeff  
>(and listers) a perfectly good reason for Menzel's expenses in the  
>early post-WW2 years being paid by the US government.

>You, being a conspiracist, seem to prefer the idea that he was  
>likely on some supersecret Roswell/MJ-12 related project.  
>Certainly you are entitled to this view; now see how many others go  
>along with it.

>The idea that Menzel's 25-year or more association with Harvard  
>U as a professor of astronomy was only a 'cover' for something  
>else (as stated by McNeff, not you) is too preposterous to need  
>further comment. And, no I do not need to do weeks and months of  
>archival research in Menzel's archives to come to this  
>conclusion.

Great suffering ZOT Mr. Allan! This is some adroit vaulting over  
tick-droppings by any stretch! With regard to your conclusions,  
\_they\_ seem buried into the firmament of a neutron star and  
wouldn't be moved by an asteroid strike or impact with a  
planetesimal!

Astonishing, really, the lengths some folks will go so they  
don't have to see, or even risk seeing. Though I wouldn't say a  
word but you drag others with you in a seeming rationality but  
which might be interpreted actually as a feeble attempt to prop  
up personal paradigms not up to the task of a future  
accelerating towards us... as we speak.

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 4](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 15:58:22 -0700  
Fwd Date: Fri, 04 Aug 2006 07:16:11 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak

>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 17:17:29 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 13:16:45 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[webmaster.nul](mailto:webmaster.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 10:15:54 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>The question in my mind is not who had the 'Authority'... Marcel  
>>>certainly should have had the good sense to know whether the  
>>>debris was in fact something that should be possibly classified  
>>>or not. The line of thought that says Marcel showed it off to  
>>>his family just because it was not yet officially classified  
>>>does not cast Jesse in very good light.

In DebunkerWorld, people are supposed to behave in highly constrained ways (which the debunkers define). If anybody departs even slightly from such artificial boundaries, it is supposed to be some sort of showstopping indication of something or other.

However, in the Real World that the rest of us inhabit, people behave all over the map. In Marcel's situation and others at Roswell, some might share information with people close to them that they trust and some might choose to leave it at the office. Some might share it then, some years later, and others would take the information to their graves.

So Marcel first went home and showed his wife and son the debris before returning to the base and told them he thought it came from a flying saucer. So what? Supposedly this is some sort of deep character flaw. But he didn't exactly go to the newspapers at the time, did he? It was kept private within the Marcel family and they didn't talk about it for over 30 years.

Marcel wasn't the only one to confide with to his spouse. Sheridan Cavitt, who went out with Marcel, also obviously told his wife something about it. When Cavitt was interviewed by the Air Force in 1994, his wife kept butting in and making it clear she knew something. She was surprised that the Marcells would eventually talk about it. Think she was concerned about balsa wood and paper/foil candy wrapping material?

Blanchard told his wife Anne something. Oliver Henderson later told his wife, daughter, WWII buddies, and a business friend. Sgt. Melvin Brown later told his daughters about seeing bodies. There's one or two other stories of military people coming back from the field and confiding to their wives about bodies. That's the way people are. Some talk to those close to them and some never do. It's pretty simple really.

>>>Again assuming that that Marcel's 1979 descriptions were

>>>accurate, I'm sure that Blanchard (or Ramey, or Washington)  
>>>would have \_expected\_ Marcel to exercise good judgement at this  
>>>point and protect the debris from undue exposure to others-  
>>>including his family- until it had been officially examined.

>>Let us remember that rancher Brazel handled the wreckage, had  
>>talked to and shown pieces to the Proctors, had talked to the  
>>Wades in Corona, which is why he went to Roswell on July 6.,  
>>that the sheriff saw the wreckage, that clearly Blanchard did  
>>not send out a bunch of armed troops to check it out, that  
>>Blanchard himself ordered a press release sent out when Jesse  
>>returned. The notion that Jesse should have acted as a policeman  
>>and psychically should have known the future of the incident  
>>seems absurd. Ramey in Texas ordered Marcel not to say  
>>anything. Ramey followed McMullen's orders. Jesse followed orders  
>>and told his family not to say anything. They didn't .He  
>>wouldn't tell John Allan anything either.

>You forgot to mention that (according to most accounts) only  
>\_hours\_ after Marcel brought the stuff to RAAFB, and before it  
>arrived in Ft Worth, Brazel was under Military escort, Brazel's  
>RDR interview had been scripted, the immediate need for  
>substitute debris had been realized- and found, and the  
>beginings of a major conspiracy had been put in place.

And Marcel had flown to Fort Worth in the early afternoon and  
wasn't party to putting any of this in place. Instead, when he  
returned late the next day, Lewis Rickett spoke of Marcel  
returning to the intelligence office and demanding that Cavitt  
show him the reports written in his absence. Cavitt said he  
couldn't do that. Marcel was out of the loop at that point.

>What I find so intriguing about all this is that according to  
>the Saga, all these actions were the result of orders from  
>Washington (McMullen?) without anyone from the Pentagon actually  
>examining the debris!

Well you conveniently forget Dubose's story of an earlier  
shipment of debris by "colonel courier" that first went to  
Washington and then to Wright Field on McMullen's personal  
plane. That was Sunday, July 6, the day Brazel first came to  
town, and two days before the big ruckus of the press release on  
July 8. Part of the story was the "colonel courier" being Col.  
Alvin Clark at Fort Worth, the FWAAF deputy base commander.  
Further Dubose said Ramey was away from the base at the time,  
which is why Dubose was handling this. When I checked Texas  
newspapers, it turned out both Ramey and FWAAF base commander  
Col. Hewitt Wheelless were indeed away from the base that day  
attending an air show in Ramey's home town of Denton, Tex. That  
squares exactly with Dubose's story of what happened, and also  
probably explains why Clark and not Wheelless was the courier.

Thus Washington and Wright Field already had a look at the  
debris. This is perhaps why the Dallas FBI telegram of July has  
Wright Field disagreeing with the balloon and radar target ID  
that Ramey was putting out at Fort Worth late in the afternoon  
of July 8.

>All they had to go on was oral and/or  
>teletype descriptions. Even Ramey, at this point, had yet to see  
>the material. If these descriptions alone could spark such an  
>immediate high security response, anyone actually handling the  
>material should have been acutely aware that this was not stuff  
>that should be shown around- even to one's family.

No, according to Dubose, they had already seen the material  
first-hand, probably while Marcel was still back at the Foster  
Ranch. Marcel wouldn't have been aware of this.

<snip>

>>Frankly, Bruce, you seem to be grinding an axe with no other  
>>purpose than to try to downgrade the testimony of two fine  
>>officers, but without doing your homework. Why?

Perhaps because Bruce Hutchinson has been a debunker from way  
back, He had quite a reputation of trolling the Usenet UFO  
groups stirring up pointless arguments. Now he's here doing the  
same thing. Maybe that's how he gets his kicks.

>Snide remarks aside, this is simply one of the many

>inconsistencies in The Saga that has been totally glossed over.  
>Marcel's casual handling of material that caused such a major  
>reaction - sight unseen - just does not fit.

How is this "inconsistent." Do you think Marcel would go home and wake up his family to show them the stuff that Ramey showed in the photos at Fort Worth, namely a balloon, balsa wood sticks, and the same stuff as chewing gum and candy bar wrappers (paper foil)? No, Marcel would only bother if he thought the material remarkably strange.

Let us not forget that Marcel had a number of other people independently backing his testimony of strange debris, people like Rickett, Exon, Smith, Brazel Jr., Proctor, etc. It wasn't Marcel's story alone. Was everybody confused by balsa wood and chewing gum wrappers?

Also cut the crap about how human beings can behave in only one conceivable way. Marcel went home and showed his family the debris before returning to base. Others talked to wives and family members; others didn't. That's the way it is in the real world.

Now for real inconsistency and more snide remarks, I'd like to remind readers that Bruce Hutchinson and Christopher Allen, both of whom are trying to make such a big deal out of nothing here, are also defenders of Charles Moore's Mogul balloon trajectory calculation hoax. According to them, Moore's numbers like  $100/12 = 350$  are perfectly OK and "consistent" with Moore being an upright guy instead of a flagrant hoaxer and does nothing to invalidate the Mogul explanation for Roswell. But Marcel telling his family first is supposed to some sort of cosmic "inconsistency" that somehow makes Marcel's character questionable and somehow invalidates the Roswell case. So Moore's hoaxing good; Marcel telling family bad; Mogul caused it all. That's all you really need to know about DebunkerThink on the Roswell case.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 4](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 18:38:29 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 04 Aug 2006 07:45:16 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Lehmborg

>From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 18:14:30 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 13:16:45 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

><snip>

>>Ramey in Texas ordered Marcel not to say anything. Ramey followed  
>>McMullen's orders. Jesse followed orders and told his family not  
>>to say anything. They didn't. He wouldn't tell John Allan  
>>anything either.

>Point of curiosity: One other lister has told me off list he  
>thinks he remembers Jesse Marcel Sr. on a much later TV  
>interview, filmed in black and white, with an outdoor backdrop,  
>in bright sunlight, saying that he felt the public deserved to  
>know all the facts and in fact, as I remember it, given the  
>debris to view as well.

>His last line was very close to "It belongs to them [the  
>people]; give it to 'em."

>This was part of a UFO documentary I saw here in Canada about a  
>decade ago. I have no idea what the name of the show was,  
>however, it was very likely one of the educational specialty  
>channels.

>Does anyone else remember this brief TV interview on black and  
>white film with Jesse Marcel Sr.?

I do - and I remember it as you report it, ma'am.

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 4](#)

## The NSA [was: The Late Walter Moe]

From: Brad Sparks <[RB47x.nul](mailto:RB47x.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 20:44:54 EDT  
Fwd Date: Fri, 04 Aug 2006 07:48:35 -0400  
Subject: The NSA [was: The Late Walter Moe]

>From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 12:34:48 -0400 (Eastern Standard Time)  
>Subject: Re: The Late Walter Moe

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 09:57:20 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: The Late Walter Moe

<snip>

>>There are a number of mistakes in the discussion. Menzel wrote 3  
>>anti-UFO books not 2. Roswell happened in July, 1947 not June.

<snip>

>In his reply, Stanton makes no comment about the important  
>revelation that the world's first modern computer which Walter  
>worked on was actually shipped to the NSA years earlier than  
>what the history books tell us.

>Many researchers believe that the secret purpose of the NSA,  
>created after the Roswell UFO crash in 1947, was and still is to  
>keep track of UFOs and monitor the ET threat.

The NSA did not exist in 1947. It did not exist in 1948. It did not exist in 1949. It did not exist in 1950. It did not exist in 1951.

The NSA was established by TOP SECRET Executive Order of President Truman on Oct. 24, 1952. The NSA did not exist prior to that date. These are well-known facts of history that are being distorted to manufacture a nonexistent NSA in 1947, even the claim in the original posting on this thread that Truman had established the NSA in Sept 1947. Never happened!

Needless to say then, no alleged "first computer" was ever shipped to "NSA" in 1947. If even these elementary facts of history can't be gotten right why should we believe outlandish claims about ET's made a part of this same story?

It is easy to look up the fact that the first digital computer, the ENIAC, went into operation at Univ. of Penn. on Feb. 15, 1946, well over a year before this alleged "first" by ERA.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 4](#)

## UFO Sightings' Reported In Manawatu NZ

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 04 Aug 2006 08:11:04 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 04 Aug 2006 08:11:04 -0400  
Subject: UFO Sightings' Reported In Manawatu NZ

Source: The New Zealand Herald - Wellington, NZ

[http://www.nzherald.co.nz/section/story.cfm?c\\_id=1&ObjectID=10394579](http://www.nzherald.co.nz/section/story.cfm?c_id=1&ObjectID=10394579)

Friday August 4, 2006

UFO Sightings' Reported In Manawatu

Mystery surrounds a number of strange sightings in the Manawatu skies last night.

Several people have contacted Newstalk ZB claiming to have spotted a UFO after seeing lights darting around at speed.

One informant from Feilding said he saw lights doing strange manoeuvres which seemed to violate the law of physics.

Police have been at a loss to explain what the lights may have been.

The Wellington Observatory said a possible explanation could be a bright star low on the horizon but that did not account for the strange movements the lights were making.

Staff at Palmerston North's control tower offered the strongest explanation saying a couple of air force planes were flying around the area before 8 PM.

- NEWSTALK ZB

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 4](#)

## Plenty Of Earths Await Discovery

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 04 Aug 2006 08:23:03 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 04 Aug 2006 08:23:03 -0400  
Subject: Plenty Of Earths Await Discovery

Source: BBC News - London, UK

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/sci/tech/4411865.stm>

Tuesday, 5 April, 2005

Plenty Of Earths Await Discovery  
By Jonathan Amos  
BBC News science reporter, in Birmingham

British researchers are more confident than ever that there are "Earths" out there waiting to be discovered.

The scientists say perhaps a half of all the known planetary systems today could be harbouring habitable worlds.

It must be said most of these systems are strange places where supergiant planets orbit close in to their stars.

But Barrie Jones and colleagues say their modelling work suggests that even with this oddness, there should be room for small rocky planets.

The Open University team presented its ideas here at the UK National Astronomy Meeting on Tuesday.

They extend recent and previously published theoretical work, "putting it on a firmer modelling basis," Professor Jones told the BBC News website.

The research calculates the likely number of Earths out there, based on what we know about how planets form and the conditions needed for life - in particular, the requirement to sit in the part of a solar system that is neither too hot for liquid water, nor too cold.

'Disaster' area

"The conclusions haven't changed, I'm pleased to say. Roughly half the systems out there could have Earths in their habitable zones today and have been there long enough for life to develop," Jones added.

The limitations of current telescope technology make it extremely difficult to view so-called extrasolar planets directly.

Astronomers have therefore made most of their detections indirectly - by finding stars that appear to "wobble" under the gravitational tug of what must be nearby, very large planets.

The technique has the bias of only showing up apparently bizarre systems - where planets that are sometimes many times the mass of our own Jupiter circle their stars in orbits that are smaller than Mercury's.

And this presents a problem because current thinking holds that these huge Jupiters probably formed some way out from their stars before migrating inwards. And if they did that, the chances are they would have destroyed all in their path, including any fledging Earths.

"We've now got some simple rules for establishing how far these disaster zones extend," explained Professor Jones.

Moving zones

Encouragingly, his team finds there is plenty room and time for Earths to evolve.

"At the stage these great giants sweep through, the Earths are not formed - they are still smallish planetary embryos. They get scattered but the simulations show enough material remains that Earths can form after the migration of the great giants has taken place."

The team found about half of the known exoplanetary systems offer a safe haven for a period extending from the present into the past that is at least long enough for life to have developed on any such planets.

The situation is complicated slightly by the fact that the habitable zone migrates outwards as the star ages, and in some cases this changes the potential for life to evolve.

Thus, in some cases a safe haven might have been available only in the past, while in other cases it might exist only in the future.

These scenarios of past extinction and future birth increase to about two-thirds the proportion of the known exoplanetary systems that are potentially habitable at some time during the main-sequence lifetime of their central star.

The research by Barrie Jones, Nick Sleep, and David Underwood has been published in *Astrophysical Journal*.

The Royal Astronomical Society's National Astronomy Meeting is being held at the University of Birmingham.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 4](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 07:49:36 -0300  
Fwd Date: Fri, 04 Aug 2006 09:15:31 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman

>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 17:17:29 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 13:16:45 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[webmaster.nul](mailto:webmaster.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Wed, 02 Aug 2006 10:15:54 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>The question in my mind is not who had the 'Authority'... Marcel  
>>>certainly should have had the good sense to know whether the  
>>>debris was in fact something that should be possibly classified  
>>>or not. The line of thought that says Marcel showed it off to  
>>>his family just because it was not yet officially classified  
>>>does not cast Jesse in very good light.

>>>Again assuming that that Marcel's 1979 descriptions were  
>>>accurate, I'm sure that Blanchard (or Ramey, or Washington)  
>>>would have expected Marcel to exercise good judgement at this  
>>>point and protect the debris from undue exposure to others-  
>>>including his family- until it had been offically examined.

>>Let us remember that rancher Brazel handled the wreckage, had  
>>talked to and shown pieces to the Proctors, had talked to the  
>>Wades in Corona, which is why he went to Roswell on July 6.,  
>>that the sheriff saw the wreckage, that clearly Blanchard did  
>>not send out a bunch of armed troops to check it out, that  
>>Blanchard himself ordered a press release sent out when Jesse  
>>returned. The notion that Jesse should have acted as a policeman  
>>and psychically should have known the future of the incident  
>>seems absurd. Ramey in Texas ordered Marcel not to say  
>>anything.Ramey followed McMullen's orders. Jesse followed orders  
>>and told his family not to say anything. They didn't .He  
>>wouldn't tell John Allan anything either.

>Stan;

>You forgot to mention that (according to most accounts) only  
>\_hours\_ after Marcel brought the stuff to RAAFB, and before it  
>arrived in Ft Worth, Brazel was under Military escort, Brazel's  
>RDR interview had been scripted, the immediate need for  
>substitute debris had been realized- and found, and the  
>beginings of a major conspiracy had been put in place.

>What I find so intriguing about all this is that according to  
>the Saga, all these actions were the result of orders from  
>Washington (McMullen?) without anyone from the Pentagon actually  
>examining the debris! All they had to go on was oral and/or  
>teletype descriptions. Even Ramey, at this point, had yet to see  
>the material. If these descriptions alone could spark such an  
>immediate high security response, anyone actually handling the  
>material should have been acutely aware that this was not stuff  
>that should be shown around- even to one's family.



>>You make it sound as though the world wouldn't have known  
>>anything if Jesse hadn't brought any stuff in to his house. This  
>>is patently nonsense.

>You're quite right, that is nonsense - and you'll have to show  
>us where I ever even remotely implied that.

>>Frankly, Bruce, you seem to be grinding an axe with no other  
>>purpose than to try to downgrade the testimony of two fine  
>>officers, but without doing your homework. Why?

>Snide remarks aside, this is simply one of the many  
>inconsistencies in The Saga that has been totally glossed over.  
>Marcel's casual handling of material that caused such a major  
>reaction - sight unseen - just does not fit.

A few important facts are left out here:

- Brazel came in about midday on Sunday with wreckage to the Sheriff's office. Wilcox called the base and talked to Jesse.
- Jesse looked at the wreckage and talked to Blanchard who says 'follow up and take a CIC guy'.
- Marcel & Cavitt leave and return the next night.
- Meanwhile the wreckage was there in Roswell. Blanchard had time to have it looked at by others, to talk to Ramey.
- Ramey had time to talk to McMullen.
- Cavitt would have reported to Doyle Rees in Albuquerque when he came back .
- A plan was worked out for bait and switch.
- Twining flew to White Sands on July 7.
- On July 9 Twining's AMC people launched a radar reflector/balloon package.
- Newspaper in Alamogordo on the 10th proclaims "Fantasy of Flying Disc Explained Here"...
- The Foster ranch was well North of town.
- Marcel lived in town. The base was South of town.
- Highly unlikely Marcel talked to Blanchard on July 7.
- The Plains of San Agustin crash had occurred days earlier and info went up the chain to Twining to McMullen etc.
- Jesse would not have been told about the Plains or Twining.
- Jesse would never have gone to the press...
- In retrospect perhaps he shouldn't have brought wreckage in to his home. 2nd guessing is easy. There were after all other people calling the shots, having Brazel brought in, etc.
- Don't forget the Ramey memo.
- Remember there was an FBI memo indicating their involvement as well.
- July 4 weekend was a very big one for flying disc sightings.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 4](#)

## Re: The Late Walter Moe - Goldstein

From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 01:49:14 -0700  
Fwd Date: Fri, 04 Aug 2006 13:56:28 -0400  
Subject: Re: The Late Walter Moe - Goldstein

>From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 12:34:48 -0400 (Eastern Standard Time)  
>Subject: Re: The Late Walter Moe

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 09:57:20 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: The Late Walter Moe

<snip>

>Walter Moe was one of the many very knowledgeable but silent  
>lurkers on the UFO UpDates List. He had contacted me recently  
>regarding a revolutionary new book written by a physics/space  
>science colleague of mine at York University which could  
>explain, among many other things, the reported behaviour of UFOs  
>and how they may operate.

>Below is an obituary for Walter Moe published in the Pioneer  
>Press on July 7, 2006.

>-----

>Moe, Walter Aug. 12, 1918 - July 5, 2006, in Oregon Lived most  
>of his life in the St. Paul area. He married Lucille Bower in  
>1943; they had one child, Janet, in 1954, and divorced in 1959.  
>He married Audrey Bodelson in 1961; she died in 1995. Wally had  
>an Electrical Engineering degree from the U of M; served in the  
>Navy in WW II, and worked for ERA, Univac, and Control Data.  
>Survivors include daughter Jan Neuman and son-in-law Bob Slayton  
>of Portland, OR, grandsons Raife and Reid Neuman and Matthew  
>Slayton, brother Chester, St. Paul. Brothers Bernie and Russ  
>predeceased him. He donated his remains to medical research; no  
>service at this time. Remembrances: Oregon Water Trust  
>endowment, 522 SW 5th Ave., Suite 825, Portland, Oregon, 97204.

>-----

>In his reply, Stanton makes no comment about the important  
>revelation that the world's first modern computer which Walter  
>worked on was actually shipped to the NSA years earlier than  
>what the history books tell us.

>Many researchers believe that the secret purpose of the NSA,  
>created after the Roswell UFO crash in 1947, was and still is to  
>keep track of UFOs and monitor the ET threat.

Aloha Nick,

I'm sorry to hear about Walter Moe passing on. I did not know  
Mr. Moe but it is sad that this list and this world lost an  
enthusiast for UFO truth.

I am curious about the new book from your colleague at York U.  
Can you please mention its title, the name of the author and the  
publisher? Can you say anything about his claims?

Mahalo,

Josh Goldstein

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 4](#)

## Re: Plenty Of Earths Await Discovery - Friedman

From: **Stanton Friedman** <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 10:42:00 -0300  
Fwd Date: Fri, 04 Aug 2006 14:00:07 -0400  
Subject: Re: Plenty Of Earths Await Discovery - Friedman

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>Sent: Friday, August 04, 2006 9:23 AM  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Plenty Of Earths Await Discovery

>Source: BBC News - London, UK

><http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/sci/tech/4411865.stm>

>Tuesday, 5 April, 2005

>Plenty Of Earths Await Discovery  
>By Jonathan Amos  
>BBC News science reporter, in Birmingham

>British researchers are more confident than ever that there are  
>"Earths" out there waiting to be discovered.

>The scientists say perhaps a half of all the known planetary  
>systems today could be harbouring habitable worlds.

<snip>

Do note that the date was in April 2005 and that no consideration is given to colonization and migration, the major means for people dispersal on Earth.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 5](#)

## Re: More Menzel - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 16:00:49 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 07:52:55 -0400  
Subject: Re: More Menzel - Shough

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 17:32:55 -0300  
>Subject: Re: More Menzel

>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 11:45:47 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: More Menzel

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 12:42:43 -0300  
>>>Subject: More Menzel [was: The Late Walter Moe]

>><snip>

>>>Let us not forget the three Soviet spies whose day jobs were for  
>>>British Intelligence... Philby, Burgess, McLean. No problem with  
>>>very competent people being involved in classified activity  
>>>outside their day jobs... especially professors... Look at the  
>>>Jasons.

>>Another scientist at one time possibly linked to crash  
>>retrievals was Luis Alvarez, Nobel winning physicist at  
>>Berkeley. I went through boxes of his papers there trying to see  
>>if there was any evidence of this, but found nothing. There was  
>>some interesting stuff though. Like Menzel and many other top  
>>scientists, he did a lot of secret government consulting work,  
>>including for the NSA. (There is even one letter with him  
>>complaining how onerous he found most of the consulting work,  
>>but he felt an obligation to do it.)

>>Students of UFO history well know he was on the CIA's debunking  
>>Robertson Panel of 1953. There is nothing in his papers about  
>>his participation, other than an impersonal letter from Menzel 2  
>>or 3 years later congratulating him and others on the Panel on  
>>arriving at negative conclusions.

>>Alvarez became a Jason around 1962. The paperwork indicated it  
>>paid fantastically well - \$800 a day even back then, probably  
>>the equivalent of about \$6000 a day today. A professor could  
>>double his income with 3 or 4 weeks of Jason consulting work a  
>>year. The Defense Dept. obviously had money to burn. There were  
>>also lists of Jason internal research publications, nothing  
>>obviously UFO-related. Most of the consultation had to do with  
>>improving missile defense. If there were UFO-related  
>>consultations and publications, they were in another file not for  
>>public consumption even today.

>>The only other \_remotely\_ UFO/MJ-12 connection was a letter in  
>>which Alvarez refers to Detlev Bronk as "Dev" Bronk. Obviously  
>>he knew Bronk well, but that's not much to go on. If Alvarez  
>>had any connection to supersecret saucer investigations and/or  
>>crash retrievals, it never made it into his now-public files.

>>One other fascinating tidbit I discovered to my great surprise  
>>was that he was an observer on the Nagasaki A-bomb plane. There  
>>was a letter he wrote immediately afterward, to his son Walter,

>>saying how horrible it was. (Much later, he and Walter Alvarez  
>>came up with the asteroid extinction theory of the dinosaurs,  
>>ironically now Alvarez's main claim to fame.)

David

Alvarez has a short reference to the Robertson panel in his autobiography "Adventures of a Physicist". He dismisses the affair in a paragraph but I found this quite interesting for several reasons.

He said, "We listened for a week while people who claimed to have seen UFOs retailed some of the wildest stories I'd ever heard". This grotesquely misrepresents the nature of the Panel hearings, and could be said to imply either mischief, prejudice or a poor memory. Talk of "wild stories" told by "people who claimed to have seen UFOs" is a wild enough story in itself, and effectively gives the impression of a mind too important to be bothered with remembering such preposterous nonsense. But if so, then this is in contrast to his apparently excellent memory for detail on all other matters.

This is reinforced by another lacuna: Discussing his service on a 1960 Pentagon committee on limited conventional warfare (with Lloyd Berkner, another Robertson Panel member) Alvarez remarks that when he assumed chairmanship following Berkner's withdrawal due to a heart attack he found himself without contacts, because he "hadn't visited the Pentagon since World War II." Yet the Robertson Panel had met for several days in the Pentagon in 1953.

It is mildly curious, then, that in recalling the Panel's conclusions he almost negligently tosses in verbatim the exact turns of phrase used in the official report he had signed thirty-five years before: "We found no threat, no phenomena attributable to foreign artifacts, and no need to revise current scientific concepts".

The Panel certainly ought to have been memorable because at the time, he was usually "protected" from committee service because his nuclear physics work at Berkeley was considered "more important". Apparently it was not more important than the Robertson Panel.

All of this is inconsistent, but it is not necessarily evidence of dissimulation. On the other hand notice that Alvarez refers to the duration of the meetings as "a week". The only other reference to week is by Ruppelt. Ruppelt gives the dates of the meetings as Monday January 12 to Sunday January 18, or seven full days, which is at variance with the copy of the Report released by the CIA giving the dates as Wednesday 14 to Saturday 17, or four days.

Associate Panel Member Hynek recalled in 1972, seemingly compounding the mystery, that the Panel met for five days between January 14-18, which cannot be squared with the detailed CIA minutes covering only four or with Ruppelt's seven. It could fit Ruppelt's statement that the Panel spent five days in actual study with a further two days of in camera rumination; but then since these five days in Ruppelt's schedule would be January 12-16 why would Hynek recall January 14-18? Maybe he refreshed his memory by glancing at the declassified CIA report? Because whereas it internally records four days of meetings its cover bears the dates "January 14-18, 1953" - five days!

One other thing is that Alvarez recalls registering surprise when he found that his expenses cheque was drawn on the account of a private citizen - i.e. he was in the pay of the CIA. He says he'd always believed he was being employed by the Air Force, which is interesting in view of theories about who was the real influence behind the Panel.

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 5](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 16:14:44 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 07:55:50 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Shough

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 15:58:22 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 17:17:29 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

>>What I find so intriguing about all this is that according to  
>>the Saga, all these actions were the result of orders from  
>>Washington (McMullen?) without anyone from the Pentagon actually  
>>examining the debris!

>Well you conveniently forget Dubose's story of an earlier  
>shipment of debris by "colonel courier" that first went to  
>Washington and then to Wright Field on McMullen's personal  
>plane. That was Sunday, July 6, the day Brazel first came to  
>town, and two days before the big ruckus of the press release on  
>July 8. Part of the story was the "colonel courier" being Col.  
>Alvin Clark at Fort Worth, the FWAAF deputy base commander.  
>Further Dubose said Ramey was away from the base at the time,  
>which is why Dubose was handling this. When I checked Texas  
>newspapers, it turned out both Ramey and FWAAF base commander  
>Col. Hewitt Wheless were indeed away from the base that day  
>attending an air show in Ramey's home town of Denton, Tex. That  
>squares exactly with Dubose's story of what happened, and also  
>probably explains why Clark and not Wheliss was the courier.

So Ramey's home town was Denton, Texas? Wasn't Denton the  
location of farmer John Martin's famous "flying saucer" of 1878?  
A bit of a stretch there I've always felt, since he didn't  
actually use "saucer" to describe a shape explicitly (in the  
account I've read) more the size. But he did say it was round  
and moving at a wonderful speed, or something like that. So Ramey  
(like Eisenhower) came from Saucerville, USA? Great. I love  
these synchronicities!

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > 2006 > Aug > Aug 5

## Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 12:21:34 EDT  
Fwd Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 08:00:21 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Randle

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 08:51:07 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2006 20:28:35 EDT  
>>Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 20:17:04 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>Come off it. If Marcel Sr. uttered those words, it shows that he  
>>>simply did not know what he was talking about. It is poppycock,  
>>>and for him to say such a thing must devalue his other  
>>>testimony. For one thing the UFO was (in some versions of the  
>>>story) supposed to have exploded in the air. Therefore it was in  
>>>fragments before it even struck the earth! Yet Marcel Sr., expert  
>>>in aviation and atomic bombs, was (according to his son) still  
>>>able to assert that its impact speed was far in excess of  
>>>anything made in the US. From the myriad of fragments he  
>>>gathered, Marcel Sr. could still pronounce this amazing fact.  
>>>Naturally I have my doubts over whether he ever said this, but  
>>>that is what Moore & Friedman heard from Marcel Jr.. Do you know  
>>>whether it crashed or whether it exploded? Does anybody know?

>>Opinion here and nothing more. You don't like it. Fine. But your  
>>reasons are opinion and not fact.

>>And, given the descriptions of the debris field, it exploded...  
>>Remember, those who have talked about a craft like Frank  
>>Kaufmann, Gerald Anderson and Jim Ragsdale have all been  
>>discredited (usually by the work we on the side of the UFO crash  
>>in Roswell have done). And yes, I understand that this weakens  
>>the case, but hell, what can I do? It's where the facts lead.

>Kevin, CDA,

>Not all witness testimony has been discredited. The MP's story  
>should still be considered. See:  
><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2000/apr/m01-002.shtml>

>He said that the port side of the craft was blown out as if  
>there had been an explosion from the inside. Other than that,  
>the craft was intact. When it was hoisted onto the flatbed  
>truck, the craft broke into two pieces. It was so light that two  
>men were able to lift it.

>Basically, he said the crash happened on the night of the 2nd of  
>July and he was sent to the crash site late on the 3rd and  
>everything was cleaned up by the early morning of the 4th of  
>July. Then Mac came to town on the 6th with more debris, and  
>that's when the confusion began. It seems logical that if the  
>port side of the craft was missing, as the MP has stated, that's  
>what Mac found.

>Also Marcel Sr. was the base intelligence officer, but his job  
>was handling clearance paper work and not any actual  
>investigation, which was left to the CID. It's just a fluke that  
>he was involved with the Foster ranch site, since it's clear he  
>wasn't included in the recovery of the craft the MP described so  
>he was not in the loop.

Good Morning, Ed, List All -

First, to split a fine hair, I'm not sure that the MP story is still valid. It has not been properly vetted and if we've learned anything in the last few years, it must be to vet the stories. If it has not been vetted, then it fits into almost the same category as those stories that have been discredited. It's out there, but there is no evidence to support it.

Or, to take this a step further, it seems to me, with the insistence on a six-fingered hand, this is a detail from the admitted hoax of the alien autopsy movie. Seems to me, that if the details of the dead alien are found in such a hoax, and those details appear no where else independently of the hoax, then we may deduce that the tale is a hoax.

And, since we don't know the name of the MP, we cannot check our lists of soldiers in Roswell in 1947 to see if he was there. We cannot attempt to verify his military record through independent sources (because even Frank Kaufmann provided an official looking document to prove he was a high ranking intelligence NCO and we later learned he had doctored the documents), and we have no way of knowing if this MP was even in the military. He suggests he knew the important players but doesn't seem to have come up with a name that wasn't in the public arena. In short, while he might not have been discredited, he certainly hasn't been properly vetted so his testimony is as valuable as those who have been discredited.

And Marcel's job included more than handling clearance paperwork. I mean, I was an intelligence officer with a battalion and while part of the job was to ensure that the security clearances were up to date, that task was left to the NCO who worked for me. I was busy gathering intelligence on what was happening around us so that I might be able to deduce the safest routes for our convoys, anticipate enemy actions directed against our installations, coordinate the OPSEC and even assist on counter mortar which is a fancy way of saying that we examined the impacts to deduce the area of launch, size of the weapon, probable target and several other facts... security clearances was a small part of the job.

So, sorry Ed. Unless we know who the MP is and we have a chance to verify his story, then his testimony does nothing to advance the case. Instead, like so many others, I see it as a hindrance.

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 5](#)

## Stealth Satellite Sourcebook

From: Diana Cammack <[cammack.nul](mailto:cammack.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 18:39:07 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 08:02:53 -0400  
Subject: Stealth Satellite Sourcebook

Stealth Satellite Sourcebook

Published sources on stealthy satellites were compiled in "A Stealth Satellite Sourcebook" by independent researcher Allen Thomson, available here:

<http://www.fas.org/spp/military/program/track/stealth.pdf>

An earlier compilation on the French GRAVES space surveillance system, also by Mr. Thomson, may be found here:

<http://www.fas.org/spp/military/program/track/graves.pdf>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 5](#)

## Re: More Menzel - Allan

From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 18:44:21 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 08:04:29 -0400  
Subject: Re: More Menzel - Allan

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 17:40:45 -0500  
>Subject: Re: More Menzel

>>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 21:39:39 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: More Menzel

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 12:42:43 -0300  
>>>Subject: More Menzel [was: The Late Walter Moe]

>>You have avoided my main thesis, namely that you have absolutely  
>>no evidence that Menzel left the ERA for a very highly classified  
>>other job, only a "presumption" by an anonymous source of  
>>40 years afterwards that he did so. Therefore my contention that  
>>Menzel could just as well have left for other reasons, such as  
>>personal ones, still stands. I have given you and McNeff  
>>(and listeners) a perfectly good reason for Menzel's expenses in the  
>>early post-WW2 years being paid by the US government.

>>You, being a conspiracist, seem to prefer the idea that he was  
>>likely on some supersecret Roswell/MJ-12 related project.  
>>Certainly you are entitled to this view; now see how many others go  
>>along with it.

>>The idea that Menzel's 25-year or more association with Harvard  
>>U as a professor of astronomy was only a 'cover' for something  
>>else (as stated by McNeff, not you) is too preposterous to need  
>>further comment. And, no I do not need to do weeks and months of  
>>archival research in Menzel's archives to come to this  
>>conclusion.

>Great suffering ZOT Mr. Allan! This is some adroit vaulting

>Astonishing, really, the lengths some folks will go so they  
>don't have to see, or even risk seeing. Though I wouldn't say a  
>word but you drag others with you in a seeming rationality but  
>which might be interpreted actually as a feeble attempt to prop  
>up personal paradigms not up to the task of a future  
>accelerating towards us... as we speak.

Tell me this Mr Lehmborg:

Regarding your writings, do you ever consider that you might be  
inebriated by the exuberance of your own verbosity?

CDA

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 5](#)

Secrecy News -- 08/04/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 14:07:17 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 08:05:56 -0400  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 08/04/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 87  
August 4, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

\*\* SEN. BOND'S ANTI-LEAK BILL DRAWS FIRE AT HOME  
\*\* INFORMATION OPERATIONS IN IRAQ - WHAT WENT WRONG?

SEN. BOND'S ANTI-LEAK BILL DRAWS FIRE AT HOME

"Sen. Kit Bond has gone way too far in an effort to curtail the public's right to information on government operations," according to one of the leading newspapers in his home state of Missouri.

The Kansas City Star objected to a bill introduced this week by Senator Bond that would outlaw "leaks" or unauthorized disclosures of classified information. A similar provision was vetoed by President Clinton in 2000.

Opponents of such measures argue that the ability of the press to uncover and report on misconduct in classified programs often depends on leaks of classified information, and that reporting on such leaks serves a larger national interest.

So, for example, the fact that "numerous incidents of sadistic, blatant, and wanton criminal abuses were inflicted" on detainees at Abu Ghraib prison was classified "Secret" when it was first reported by the press. The unauthorized disclosure of these findings, from a classified report by Army General Antonio Taguba, triggered a series of investigations and continuing public controversy.

"Bond should withdraw his proposal immediately," the Kansas City Star editorialized today. "It obviously is not well thought out."

See "Law Would Go Against Ideals of Free Society," Kansas City Star, August 4 (free but intrusive registration required):

<http://www.kansascity.com/mld/kansascity/15192641.htm>

"Over the past few years, we have seen unauthorized disclosures of classified information at an alarming rate," said Senator Bond on the Senate floor on August 2.

"Each one of the leaks gravely increases the threat to our national security and makes it easier for our enemies to achieve their murderous and destructive plans. Each leak is a window of opportunity for terrorists to discover our sources and methods. Each violation of trust guarantees chaos and violence in the world."



See the introduction of his bill to prohibit unauthorized disclosures as well as the text of the bill (S. 3774) here:

[http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006\\_cr/s3774.html](http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_cr/s3774.html)

The bill has been referred to the Senate Judiciary Committee.

#### INFORMATION OPERATIONS IN IRAQ - WHAT WENT WRONG?

Information operations that are designed to influence the perceptions and conduct of enemy combatants and non-combatants can be a highly effective adjunct to military force, but they were not effectively executed by the U.S. military in Iraq, a new U.S. Army monograph reports.

Information operations can include military deception, psychological operations, operations security, and electronic warfare.

The Army monograph investigates the role of information operations in Iraq and presents recommendations for changes in doctrine, training, resources and intelligence support.

See "Information Operations in Operations Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom -- What Went Wrong?" by Major Joseph L. Cox, US Army School of Advanced Military Studies, Fort Leavenworth, May 2006 (134 pages, 3.6 MB PDF):

<http://www.fas.org/irp/eprint/cox.pdf>

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:  
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 5](#)

## Re: The NSA - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 12:40:32 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 08:07:38 -0400  
Subject: Re: The NSA - Rudiak

>From: Brad Sparks <[RB47x.nul](mailto:RB47x.nul)>  
>To: [UFOUpdates.nul](mailto:UFOUpdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 20:44:54 EDT  
>Subject: The NSA [was: The Late Walter Moe]

>>From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 12:34:48 -0400 (Eastern Standard Time)  
>>Subject: Re: The Late Walter Moe

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 09:57:20 -0300  
>>>Subject: Re: The Late Walter Moe

><snip>

>>>There are a number of mistakes in the discussion. Menzel wrote 3  
>>>anti-UFO books not 2. Roswell happened in July, 1947 not June.

><snip>

>>In his reply, Stanton makes no comment about the important  
>>revelation that the world's first modern computer which Walter  
>>worked on was actually shipped to the NSA years earlier than  
>>what the history books tell us.

>>Many researchers believe that the secret purpose of the NSA,  
>>created after the Roswell UFO crash in 1947, was and still is to  
>>keep track of UFOs and monitor the ET threat.

>The NSA did not exist in 1947. It did not exist in 1948. It did  
>not exist in 1949. It did not exist in 1950. It did not exist in  
>1951.

>The NSA was established by TOP SECRET Executive Order of  
>President Truman on Oct. 24, 1952. The NSA did not exist prior  
>to that date. These are well-known facts of history that are  
>being distorted to manufacture a nonexistent NSA in 1947, even  
>the claim in the original posting on this thread that Truman had  
>established the NSA in Sept 1947. Never happened!

>Needless to say then, no alleged "first computer" was ever  
>shipped to "NSA" in 1947. If even these elementary facts of  
>history can't be gotten right why should we believe outlandish  
>claims about ET's made a part of this same story?

>It is easy to look up the fact that the first digital computer,  
>the ENIAC, went into operation at Univ. of Penn. on Feb. 15,  
>1946, well over a year before this alleged "first" by ERA.

Brad, while strictly speaking you are correct, the NSA had its  
predecessors before it was officially established in 1952, just  
as the CIA was preceded by the CIG (Central Intelligence Group)  
post WWII, which was in turn derived from the wartime OSS.

James Bamford in "The Puzzle Palace" traces NSA back to the  
original wartime Army Signal Intelligence Service, which went  
through numerous name changes. In August 1942 it became the

Signal Intelligence Service Division, then Signal Security Division, Signal Security Branch, and finally Signal Security Service through July 1943 when it became the Signal Security Agency, all under the Army Signal Corp. In December 1944, Army G-2 (intelligence) wrested control of the Signal Security Agency from the Signal Corp. When the war ended, the name was again changed to the Army Security Agency.

According to Bamford, other agencies also had their own wartime communications intelligence (COMINT) and code-breaking services, including the Coast Guard, the FCC, Navy, and FBI. To conserve resources, the Joint Chiefs in 1942 recommended to Roosevelt that he issue a memorandum limiting cryptoanalysis to the Army, Navy and FBI. In May 1944, an informal committee was formed to coordinate COMINT cooperation between the ever-feuding Army and Navy, called the Army-Navy Communications Intelligence Board (ANCIB).

The State Department had its own COMINT branch, so by December 1944, the coordinating committee's name was changed to the State-Army-Navy Communications Intelligence Board (STANCIB).

Then the FBI got added in, so by June 1946 STANCIB became the U.S. Communications Intelligence Board (USCIB). The following year, the newly formed Air Force became part of USCIB. In July 1948, the National Security Council issued the NSC Intelligence Directive No. 9 (NSCID) which formally established the USCIB to coordinate all government COMINT, though all decision-making authority was left in the hands of the member agencies. However, NSCID #9 also amazingly stated that the Executive Branch had no authority over the internal workings of COMINT. Thus, says Bamford, this left the President or Attorney General impotent in controlling what form electronic surveillance could take.

Bamford continues that COMINT remained fractionalized, so an attempt was made to consolidate it under one agency. In March 1949, Sec. of Defense Louis Johnson issued a top secret directive establishing the Armed Forces Security Agency (AFSA) under the direction and control of the Joint Chiefs, whose mission was consolidate COMINT under one authority within the National Military Establishment. Attempts to do this continued to be undercut by interservice rivalry.

Finally Truman put COMINT under one authority when he created the NSA by executive order on October 24, 1952. The point remains, however, that the NSA or COMINT in general had a long history before the NSA's official creation.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 5](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Allan

From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 21:20:17 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 08:09:42 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Allan

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 15:58:22 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 17:17:29 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>Let us not forget that Marcel had a number of other people  
>independently backing his testimony of strange debris, people  
>like Rickett, Exon, Smith, Brazel Jr., Proctor, etc. It wasn't  
>Marcel's story alone. Was everybody confused by balsa wood and  
>chewing gum wrappers?

>Also cut the crap about how human beings can behave in only one  
>conceivable way. Marcel went home and showed his family the  
>debris before returning to base. Others talked to wives and  
>family members; others didn't. That's the way it is in the real  
>world.

>Now for real inconsistency and more snide remarks, I'd like to  
>remind readers that Bruce Hutchinson and Christopher Allen, both  
>of whom are trying to make such a big deal out of nothing here,  
>are also defenders of Charles Moore's Mogul balloon trajectory  
>calculation hoax. According to them, Moore's numbers like 100/12  
>= 350 are perfectly OK and "consistent" with Moore being an  
>upright guy instead of a flagrant hoaxer and does nothing to  
>invalidate the Mogul explanation for Roswell. But Marcel telling  
>his family first is supposed to some sort of cosmic  
>"inconsistency" that somehow makes Marcel's character  
>questionable and somehow invalidates the Roswell case. So  
>Moore's hoaxing good; Marcel telling family bad; Mogul caused it  
>all. That's all you really need to know about DebunkerThink on  
>the Roswell case.

Since you are trying to be provocative, I will reply by saying that Charles Moore never said  $100/12 = 350$ . His table from which you derive this phoney result is based mainly on metric altitudes which he has converted, sometimes wrongly I admit, into feet. Some of his time intervals also look wrong. Instead of realising at once that the 52,100 ft. figure (from which you deduce your  $100/12 = 350$ ) is an obvious misprint or mistake, you quote this false division as evidence that Moore is a "flagrant hoaxer". His figures certainly look dubious in places, but I am not going through this subject again, as it is likely to bore everyone to death and get nowhere. Just one point which you and others simply cannot, or will not, concede: Brazel first went into Roswell to see the sherriff on Monday July 7, not Sunday July 6. All, yes all, the contemporary press reports that give a date give it as July 7. However, the 'Roswell was ET' promoters have decided, based on certain statements made between 30 & 50 years later, that it was July 6 (presumably to fit in with certain other events they assume to have taken place). Karl Pflock's meticulously researched book (p.91) says "the weight of the evidence is very much against" the July 6 date. Marcel himself, speaking on July 8, said clearly it was Monday July 7. What more need I say?

Debunker-think? Top secret ET wreckage, bodies, and documents stashed away from the scientific world and the general public for 6 decades? (this is exactly what the 'Roswell was ET' thesis implies). That is all you really need to know about Credulity-think on the Roswell case.

CDA

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 5](#)

## Re: The NSA - Balaskas

From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 17:25:25 -0400 (Eastern Standard Time)  
Fwd Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 08:10:50 -0400  
Subject: Re: The NSA - Balaskas

>From: Brad Sparks <[RB47x.nul](mailto:RB47x.nul)>  
>To: [UFOUpdates.nul](mailto:UFOUpdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 20:44:54 EDT  
>Subject: The NSA [was: The Late Walter Moe]

>>From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 12:34:48 -0400 (Eastern Standard Time)  
>>Subject: Re: The Late Walter Moe

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 09:57:20 -0300  
>>>Subject: Re: The Late Walter Moe

><snip>

>>>There are a number of mistakes in the discussion. Menzel wrote 3  
>>>anti-UFO books not 2. Roswell happened in July, 1947 not June.

><snip>

>>In his reply, Stanton makes no comment about the important  
>>revelation that the world's first modern computer which Walter  
>>worked on was actually shipped to the NSA years earlier than  
>>what the history books tell us.

>>Many researchers believe that the secret purpose of the NSA,  
>>created after the Roswell UFO crash in 1947, was and still is to  
>>keep track of UFOs and monitor the ET threat.

>The NSA did not exist in 1947. It did not exist in 1948. It did  
>not exist in 1949. It did not exist in 1950. It did not exist in  
>1951.

>The NSA was established by TOP SECRET Executive Order of  
>President Truman on Oct. 24, 1952. The NSA did not exist prior  
>to that date. These are well-known facts of history that are  
>being distorted to manufacture a nonexistent NSA in 1947, even  
>the claim in the original posting on this thread that Truman had  
>established the NSA in Sept 1947. Never happened!

>Needless to say then, no alleged "first computer" was ever  
>shipped to "NSA" in 1947. If even these elementary facts of  
>history can't be gotten right why should we believe outlandish  
>claims about ET's made a part of this same story?

>It is easy to look up the fact that the first digital computer,  
>the ENIAC, went into operation at Univ. of Penn. on Feb. 15,  
>1946, well over a year before this alleged "first" by ERA.

Hi Brad!

You are correct that NSA (National Security Agency - also known  
by some as "No Such Agency") was created by President Truman  
during his last days of office in October 24, 1952.

Just like NACA (National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics)

ceased to exist on October 1, 1958 when its successor NASA was created, NSA's predecessor was AFSA (Armed Forces Security Agency).

NSA included the very same AFSA people with Naval intelligence backgrounds (and special knowledge of UFOs?) and expertise in cryptology such as Dr. Donald Menzel and his close friend Louis Tordella, the long serving Deputy Director of NSA.

According to the Engineering Research Associates (ERA) records for 1946-1959 summarized in the web site below

<http://www.hagley.lib.de.us/2015.htm>

ERA was formed in January 1946, the very same year ERA received its first major contract from the Office of Naval Research where ERA personnel, including Walter Moe, were given access to classified government reports and worked directly with computer pioneers John Mauchly and J. Presper Eckert, the inventors of the ENIAC.

Taking all this into account, you do not present very compelling reasons why we should NOT believe the "outlandish claims" about ETs which are also part of the same Minnesota MUFON Journal article.

Nick Balaskas

P.S. Regarding Stanton's other comments regarding the Jasons, although Grant Cameron and T. Scott Crain Jr., authors of 'UFOs, MJ-12 and the Government' (a report on Government involvement in UFO crash retrievals) do not present any official documents linking the Jasons to UFOs, one of their colleagues, fellow researcher, William Steinman did managed to contact Dr. Luis Alvarez in person who admitted to him that he took part in the recovery of a flying saucer in Mexico (the Sierra Madre crash written about in the May 1950 issue of 'Fate') which he was able to confirm by taking with others...

The short but very important chapter in Grant's and Scott's book on the Jasons begins with this interesting quote:

"Jason had extremely high levels of clearance to government information. Top-Secret is a low level clearance." - Jason Member and Nobel Laureate Donald Glaser

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 5](#)

## Re: The Late Walter Moe - Balaskas

From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 18:23:35 -0400 (Eastern Standard Time)  
Fwd Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 08:13:06 -0400  
Subject: Re: The Late Walter Moe - Balaskas

>From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 01:49:14 -0700  
>Subject: Re: The Late Walter Moe

>>From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 2 Aug 2006 12:34:48 -0400 (Eastern Standard Time)  
>>Subject: Re: The Late Walter Moe

<snip>

>>Walter Moe was one of the many very knowledgeable but silent  
>>lurkers on the UFO UpDates List. He had contacted me recently  
>>regarding a revolutionary new book written by a physics/space  
>>science colleague of mine at York University which could  
>>explain, among many other things, the reported behaviour of UFOs  
>>and how they may operate.

<snip>

>Aloha Nick,

>I'm sorry to hear about Walter Moe passing on. I did not know  
>Mr. Moe but it is sad that this list and this world lost an  
>enthusiast for UFO truth.

>I am curious about the new book from your colleague at York U.  
>Can you please mention its title, the name of the author and the  
>publisher? Can you say anything about his claims?

<snip>

Hi Josh!

Below is a reply I sent to Walter Moe on June 30 regarding the  
new book from my colleague Stoyan.

Nick Balaskas

-----

Hello again Walter!

Below is the reply I just got from my colleague Stoyan regarding  
your enquiry about purchasing his book.

Nick

----- Forwarded message -----

Date: Fri, 30 Jun 2006 17:54:31 -0400 (EDT)  
From: Stoyan <snip>  
To: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
Subject: Re: Structures of Matter Supergravitation Unified  
Theory

Hi Nick,

The book could be purchased directly from the publisher



Re: The Late Walter Moe - Balaskas

[www.trafford.com/06-0142](http://www.trafford.com/06-0142) for the listed price \$49.95 CAD plus shipping.

<snip>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 5](#)

## Ministry To Investigate UFO Light Sightings

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 08:21:39 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 08:21:39 -0400  
Subject: Ministry To Investigate UFO Light Sightings

Source: The Sunderland Echo - Northumberland, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/qdspy>

05 August 2006

Ministry To Investigate UFO Light Sightings

The Ministry of Defence (MoD) is to probe UFO sightings over Sunderland and Seaham after mysterious lights appeared in the night sky.

Since the Echo reported the mysterious activity earlier this week we have been contacted by more than 20 readers who witnessed the lights.

They all reported seeing bright, orange-coloured orbs floating over East Durham residents and parts of Sunderland.

Now, after being contacted by someone who saw nine UFOs in the sky, the MoD is to investigate.

An MoD spokeswoman said: "We examine any UFO sighting reports to establish whether there is any evidence to suggest that UK airspace has been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity."

The probe is under way after Seaham couple Harry and Elizabeth McCall filmed the orbs flying over the town last Saturday night.

Mr McCall, 67, told the Echo: "They were silent, as if they were floating. There was no noise.

"It was unbelievabe and until someone convinces me otherwise, they were UFOs."

Since then Echo readers from Westlea, Eastlea, Northlea, Deneside, Dalton-le-Dale, and Hollycarrside, in Sunderland, have reported seeing the lights.

UFO Hunters, a national organisation which researches and compiles information about sightings internationally, has also appealed for witnesses to get in touch.

Its leader, Russ Kellett, wants any UFO spotters to file reports on its website, [www.ufo-paranormal.co.uk](http://www.ufo-paranormal.co.uk), or call him on 01723 514700 so he can start his own investigation.

North East-based UFO expert Alfred Dodds receives an average of three reports a year of sightings in the region.

Mr Dodds, 68, who runs the Northumberland UFO Research Centre, has been interested in the subject since the age of 10 and has never heard of any local sightings like those made last weekend.

He said: "There have been similar sightings, but mainly abroad.

"The problem is you see is that we don't know what is being developed in technology and who is developing it.

"It's mainly America, which we have seen recently in the press.

"There are a lot of triangle sightings, with three lights and then a larger central light. This doesn't sound like that at all.

"There is usually an explanation for most things, but there is about five per cent that are unexplained."

Reports to the MOD can be sent to the Directorate of Air Staff =96 Freedom of Information, 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB.

A 24-hour answerphone, where people can leave a name and contact details, can also be reached at 020 7218 2140 or people can email [das-ufo-office.nul](mailto:das-ufo-office.nul)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 5](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson

From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 02:07:57 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 08:23:59 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 07:49:36 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>A few important facts are left out here:

>- Brazel came in about midday on Sunday with wreckage to the  
>Sherrif's office. Wilcox called the base and talked to Jesse.

>- Jesse looked at the wreckage and talked to Blanchard who says  
>'follow up and take a CIC guy'.

Which brings up this point again: Blanchard sent out  
\_Intelligence\_ Officers.

To reiterate: Anyone in intelligence, be it Military or  
civilian, is trained to protect the information they get. That  
means "Loose Lips Sinks Ships", as well as not showing off  
potentially valuable material- such as stuff that "was not of  
this world".

So when Blanchard hears of the strange debris in Wilcox's  
office, he sent out senior intelligence officers that he would  
expect to evaluate the wreckage and act accordingly, without  
waiting for orders. That includes not showing off valuable  
intelligence or highly anomalous debris to unauthorized people,  
including family.

And as long as we are examining motives, according to Stan and  
his MJ-12 story, all this really started on July 7th, which  
means that Top Security clamps were in place long before Wilcox  
called the base. With that as a backdrop, we have Blanchard (who  
certainly would have known of the secret recovery operation)  
sending out his senior intelligence officers to pick up what  
sounds like more of the wreckage. Marcel and Cavitt (in Stan's  
MJ-12 scenario) may or may not have been briefed on the nascent  
MJ-12 operation, but Blanchard most certainly would have at  
least warned them both that they would have to bring this stuff  
back pronto, and as quietly as possible.

So when you throw Stan's MJ-12 story in here, all this makes  
Marcel's actions even more curious than ever!

Unless....

The rest of Stan's list is more about assumptions that are not  
based in testimony, or based on Stan's version of the Incident.  
Such as- Jesse may not have known about San Agustin, but if that  
crash really did happen as Stan asserts, we can assume (?) that  
Cavitt did. Would he have not at least given Marcel broad enough  
hints that Brazel's debris had far greater implications?

>- July 4 weekend was a very big one for flying disc sightings.

Interesting point! <g>

Bruce Hutchinson

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 5](#)

## Re: More Menzel - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 07:38:14 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 09:01:24 -0400  
Subject: Re: More Menzel - Lehmborg

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 18:44:21 +0100  
>Subject: Re: More Menzel

>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 17:40:45 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: More Menzel

>>>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 21:39:39 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: More Menzel

>>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 12:42:43 -0300  
>>>>Subject: More Menzel [was: The Late Walter Moe]

<snip>

>Tell me this Mr Lehmborg:  
>Regarding your writings, do you ever consider that you might be  
>inebriated by the exuberance of your own verbosity?

Not at all. I write my conscience. You?

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 5](#)

## NASA Loses High-Quality Moon Video!

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 10:50:59 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 10:50:59 -0400  
Subject: NASA Loses High-Quality Moon Video!

Source: The Sydney Morning Herald - Australia

<http://tinyurl.com/m6ylb>

August 5, 2006

One Giant Blunder For Mankind: How NASA Lost Moon Pictures  
by  
Richard Macey

The heart-stopping moments when Neil Armstrong took his first tentative steps onto another world are defining images of the 20th century: grainy, fuzzy, unforgettable.

But just 37 years after Apollo 11, it is feared the magnetic tapes that recorded the first moon walk - beamed to the world via three tracking stations, including Parkes's famous "Dish" - have gone missing at NASA's Goddard Space Centre in Maryland.

A desperate search has begun amid concerns the tapes will disintegrate to dust before they can be found.

It is not widely known that the Apollo 11 television broadcast from the moon was a high-quality transmission, far sharper than the blurry version relayed instantly to the world on that July day in 1969.

Among those battling to unscramble the mystery is John Sarkissian, a CSIRO scientist stationed at Parkes for a decade. "We are working on the assumption they still exist," Mr Sarkissian told the Herald.

"Your guess is as good as mine as to where they are."

Mr Sarkissian began researching the role of Parkes in Apollo 11's mission in 1997, before the movie *The Dish* was made. However, when he later contacted NASA colleagues to ask about the tapes, they could not be found.

"People may have thought 'we have tapes of the moon walk, we don't need these'," said the scientist who hopes a new, intensive hunt will locate them.

If they can be found, he proposes making digitalised copies to treat the world to a very different view of history.

But the searchers may be running out of time. The only known equipment on which the original analogue tapes can be decoded is at a Goddard centre set to close in October, raising fears that even if they are found before they deteriorate, copying them may be impossible.

"We want the public to see it the way the moon walk was meant to be seen," Mr Sarkissian said.

"There will only ever be one first moon walk."

Originally stored at Goddard, the tapes were moved in 1970 to the US National Archives. No one knows why, but in 1984 about 700 boxes of space flight tapes there were returned to Goddard.

"We have the documents to say they were withdrawn, but no one knows exactly where they went," Mr Sarkissian said.

Many people involved had retired or died.

Also among tapes feared missing are the original recordings of the other five Apollo moon landings. The format used by the original pictures beamed from the moon was not compatible with commercial technology used by television networks. So the images received at Parkes, and at tracking stations near Canberra and in California, were played on screens mounted in front of conventional television cameras.

"The quality of what you saw on TV at home was substantially degraded" in the process, Mr Sarkissian said, creating the ghostly images of Armstrong and Aldrin that strained the eyes of hundreds of millions of people watching around the world.

Even Polaroid photographs of the screen that showed the original images received by Parkes are significantly sharper than what the public saw. While the technique looks primitive today, Mr Sarkissian said it was the best solution that 1969 technology offered.

Among the few who saw the original high-quality broadcast was David Cooke, a Parkes control room engineer in 1969.

"I can still see the screen," Mr Cook, 74, said. "I was amazed, the quality was fairly good."

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 6](#)

## Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Gehrman

From: **Ed Gehrman** <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 09:18:48 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 08:19:36 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Gehrman

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 12:21:34 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 08:51:07 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>And, given the descriptions of the debris field, it exploded...  
>>>Remember, those who have talked about a craft like Frank  
>>>Kaufmann, Gerald Anderson and Jim Ragsdale have all been  
>>>discredited (usually by the work we on the side of the UFO crash  
>>>in Roswell have done). And yes, I understand that this weakens  
>>>the case, but hell, what can I do? It's where the facts lead.

>>Kevin, CDA,

>>Not all witness testimony has been discredited. The MP's story  
>>should still be considered. See:

>>He said that the port side of the craft was blown out as if  
>>there had been an explosion from the inside. Other than that,  
>>the craft was intact. When it was hoisted onto the flatbed  
>>truck, the craft broke into two pieces. It was so light that two  
>>men were able to lift it.

>>Basically, he said the crash happened on the night of the 2nd  
>>of July and he was sent to the crash site late on the 3rd and  
>>everything was cleaned up by the early morning of the 4th of  
>>July. Then Mac came to town on the 6th with more debris, and  
>>that's when the confusion began. It seems logical that if the  
>>port side of the craft was missing, as the MP has stated, that's  
>>what Mac found.

>>Also Marcel Sr. was the base intelligence officer, but his job  
>>was handling clearance paper work and not any actual  
>>investigation, which was left to the CID. It's just a fluke that  
>>he was involved with the Foster ranch site, since it's clear he  
>>wasn't included in the recovery of the craft the MP described so  
>>he was not in the loop.

>First, to split a fine hair, I'm not sure that the MP story is  
>still valid. It has not been properly vetted and if we've  
>learned anything in the last few years, it must be to vet the  
>stories. If it has not been vetted, then it fits into almost the  
>same category as those stories that have been discredited. It's  
>out there, but there is no evidence to support it.

Hi Kevin, List,

By vetted I suppose you mean the MP hasn't been examined  
carefully. I've been doing the best I can. I plan to look for  
his crash site in a few weeks. I've tried to get other  
researchers interested in looking at this closely.

I will be more than happy to supply the name of the MP to  
qualified researchers as I have done several times. He tried to

tell Stan his story and I've given the name to David Rudiak and others but nothing ever came of this. I'm fairly sure the MP is still alive but I don't know where he lives or how to contact him. We haven't communicated for some time. I have verified that the person he says he is, was an MP at Roswell in July of 1947.

>And Marcel's job included more than handling clearance  
>paperwork. I mean, I was an intelligence officer with a  
>battalion and while part of the job was to ensure that the  
>security clearances were up to date, that task was left to the  
>NCO who worked for me. I was busy gathering intelligence on what  
>was happening around us so that I might be able to deduce the  
>safest routes for our convoys, anticipate enemy actions directed  
>against our installations, coordinate the OPSEC and even assist  
>on counter mortar which is a fancy way of saying that we  
>examined the impacts to deduce the area of launch, size of the  
>weapon, probable target and several other facts... security  
>clearances was a small part of the job. In an interview, I think  
>with Robert Todd, he stated that his main job was paperwork and  
>clearance and that all the investigation was by the CID he had  
>assigned to him.

>So, sorry Ed. Unless we know who the MP is and we have a  
>chance to verify his story, then his testimony does nothing to  
>advance the case. Instead, like so many others, I see it as a  
>hindrance.

If you think you can confidentially investigate this, I'll be glad to give you his name. He wanted this story to be heard and wanted to talk to qualified researchers because he knew that I wasn't part of the UFO establishment and I tried to arrange these contacts.

But he was given such a hard time on UpDates, that became frightened.

I think that if you could contact him under the right circumstances, then you'll get a great story.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 6](#)

## Re: Ministry To Investigate UFO Light Sightings -

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 07:27:38 -0700 (PDT)  
Fwd Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 08:21:12 -0400  
Subject: Re: Ministry To Investigate UFO Light Sightings -

>Source: The Sunderland Echo - Northumberland, UK

><http://tinyurl.com/qdspy>

>05 August 2006

>Ministry To Investigate UFO Light Sightings

>The Ministry of Defence (MoD) is to probe UFO sightings over  
>Sunderland and Seaham after mysterious lights appeared in the  
>night sky.

<snip>

>Reports to the MOD can be sent to the Directorate of Air Staff  
>=96 Freedom of Information, 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building,  
>Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB.

>A 24-hour answerphone, where people can leave a name and contact  
>details, can also be reached at 020 7218 2140 or people can  
>email [das-ufo-office.nul](mailto:das-ufo-office.nul)

Very interesting to see that there is (official UFO) life after  
Condign. Imagine that!

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 6](#)

## Re: More Menzel - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 15:28:15 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 08:22:05 -0400  
Subject: Re: More Menzel - Shough

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 16:00:49 +0100  
>Subject: Re: More Menzel

<snip>

>Discussing his service on  
>a 1960 Pentagon committee on limited conventional warfare (with  
>Lloyd Berkner, another Robertson Panel member) Alvarez remarks  
>that when he assumed chairmanship following Berkner's withdrawal  
>due to a heart attack he found himself without contacts, because  
>he "hadn't visited the Pentagon since World War II." Yet the  
>Robertson Panel had met for several days in the Pentagon in  
>1953.

Apologies. Brad Sparks has corrected me. The Panel did not meet  
at the Pentagon but at the CIA Building M, Room 1514, Washington  
D.C., so the suggested inconsistency does not exist.

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 6](#)

## Re: NASA Loses High-Quality Moon Video! - Connors

From: Wendy Connors <[fadeddiscs.nul](mailto:fadeddiscs.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 10:53:56 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 08:25:02 -0400  
Subject: Re: NASA Loses High-Quality Moon Video! - Connors

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 10:50:59 -0400  
>Subject: NASA Loses High-Quality Moon Video!

<snip>

Exactly why the audio history of Ufology preservation project is being done so that future researchers will continue to have the audio history available and the "other" side of Ufology many don't even know exists. Please support the project. Details at:

[www.fadeddiscs.com](http://www.fadeddiscs.com)

Thank you,

Wendy Connors

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 6](#)

## Remote Viewing And Big Cats

From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 20:49:37 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 08:30:54 -0400  
Subject: Remote Viewing And Big Cats

For some time now I've been advising various ufologists on how to make best use of the UK's Freedom of Information Act. This has led to some fascinating document releases. But I still get a lot of emails about this, so thought it would be useful to repeat four tips that researchers may find helpful.

Firstly, regularly check the new 'Global Search' facility on the National Archives website. Vary search terms to include not just "UFO", but "flying saucer", "unidentified flying object", etc:

[http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/search/quick\\_search.aspx](http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/search/quick_search.aspx)

Secondly, regularly check the 'Publication Scheme' section of the MOD's website, again varying your search terms and setting the dates so as to search the entire archive:

<http://tinyurl.com/p3euz>

Thirdly, regularly check the 'Disclosure Log' section of the MOD's website, varying search terms as recommended above and again ensuring you set the dates to capture all releases:

<http://tinyurl.com/joccg>

Fourthly, check the 'Requests Received' section of the Disclosure Log. This is on the right hand side of the above link and is updated on a weekly basis. This allows you to track recent requests. Here are two recent examples, containing requests relating to remote viewing and big cat sightings:

<http://tinyurl.com/zdk28>

<http://tinyurl.com/ghjva>

UFOs are not the only weird and wonderful thing the MOD got drawn into.

I hope this is helpful and wish researchers the best of luck in their continuing quest for documents detailing MOD's involvement with strange phenomena, mysteries, etc.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 6](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 16:58:58 -0300  
Fwd Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 08:33:21 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman

>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>To: UFO Updates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 02:07:57 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 07:49:36 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>A few important facts are left out here:

>>- Brazel came in about midday on Sunday with wreckage to the  
>>Sherrif's office. Wilcox called the base and talked to Jesse.

>>- Jesse looked at the wreckage and talked to Blanchard who says  
>>'follow up and take a CIC guy'.

>Which brings up this point again: Blanchard sent out  
>\_Intelligence\_ Officers.

>To reiterate: Anyone in intelligence, be it Military or  
>civilian, is trained to protect the information they get. That  
>means "Loose Lips Sinks Ships", as well as not showing off  
>potentially valuable material- such as stuff that "was not of  
>this world".

>So when Blanchard hears of the strange debris in Wilcox's  
>office, he sent out senior intelligence officers that he would  
>expect to evaluate the wreckage and act accordingly, without  
>waiting for orders. That includes not showing off valuable  
>intelligence or highly anomalous debris to unauthorized people,  
>including family.

>And as long as we are examining motives, according to Stan and  
>his MJ-12 story, all this really started on July 7th, which  
>means that Top Security clamps were in place long before Wilcox  
>called the base. With that as a backdrop, we have Blanchard (who  
>certainly would have known of the secret recovery operation)  
>sending out his senior intelligence officers to pick up what  
>sounds like more of the wreckage. Marcel and Cavitt (in Stan's  
>MJ-12 scenario) may or may not have been briefed on the nascent  
>MJ-12 operation, but Blanchard most certainly would have at  
>least warned them both that they would have to bring this stuff  
>back pronto, and as quietly as possible.

>So when you throw Stan's MJ-12 story in here, all this makes  
>Marcel's actions even more curious than ever!

>Unless....

>The rest of Stan's list is more about assumptions that are not  
>based in testimony, or based on Stan's version of the Incident.  
>Such as- Jesse may not have known about San Agustin, but if that  
>crash really did happen as Stan asserts, we can assume (?) that  
>Cavitt did. Would he have not at least given Marcel broad enough  
>hints that Brazel's debris had far greater implications?

>>- July 4 weekend was a very big one for flying disc sightings.



>Interesting point! <g>

Bruce, your timeline is wrong. Brazel went in to Wilcox's office on Sunday, July 6. Cavitt was Counter Intelligence with concerns about thievery on base and especially about spies considering the importance of the 509th. Cavitt and Marcel followed Brazel out on Sunday the 6th (Not on the 7th) and stayed overnight in their sleeping bags, saw the debris field on the 7th, and came back late on the 7th. The Plains of San Agustin had no connection with Roswell. Alamogordo Army Air Field was the primary base (Name later changed to Holloman). Blanchard wasn't in that loop. That is why I mentioned Twining going to White Sands/Holloman (Not Roswell) on July 7 with a high powered team. The Roswell Daily Record of July 8 (Front page AP story from the Portland Oregonian -- Twining's old home area) quotes Twining clearly indicating he had already been charged to look into things. By the morning of the 8th all kinds of communications would have taken place. Again note the memo in Ramey's hand as researched by Dr. David Rudiak... and as noted previously, don't forget several others were already aware of the Brazel find. There has been no indication that I have seen that Marcel and Cavitt before they left Roswell on the 6th, Sunday, would have known about the Twining trip, the Plains etc. Twining was a Major General and outranked Ramey and Blanchard.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 6](#)

## First Canadian Crop Circle Formation Of 2006

From: Paul Anderson <[paulanderson.nul](mailto:paulanderson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 13:10:05 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 08:35:12 -0400  
Subject: First Canadian Crop Circle Formation Of 2006

First Canadian Crop Circle Formation Of 2006

Near Armstrong, British Columbia. Circle with branching pathways, in hay (?). In the Okanagan Valley region, where reports are typically very rare. Spotted by a pilot on August 2. Further details pending.

This is the first known and reported Canadian formation for 2006 and the first BC formation since 2004 (none reported last year).

Thanks to Darren Handschuh (Kelowna Daily Courier) and Brian Vike (HBCC UFO Research) for their assistance.

<http://www.cccrn.ca/formationarchive2006.html>

THE MERIDIANI JOURNAL

On a brief non-crop circle related note, for those who are interested in space exploration, astronomy and related subjects, a primary interest of mine my entire life, I also have a new blog, The Meridiani Journal:

"The Meridiani Journal is a blog about planetary exploration and the search for life elsewhere, both in our own solar system and beyond. The name is derived from the desert plains of Meridiani Planum on Mars, where the Opportunity rover landed in January of 2004 and is still going today, providing the first on-site confirmation of ancient salty playa lakes and groundwater on another planet..."

<http://themeridianijournal.blogspot.com>

While I had started it initially last fall, I have recently had more time to work on revamping the format. Many recent updates regarding fascinating findings including the still-going Spirit and Opportunity rovers on Mars, methane rain and lakes on Titan, huge water vapour geysers on Enceladus and more...

- Paul Anderson

---

Canadian Crop Circle Research Network  
<http://www.cccrn.ca>

The Prairie Circular  
the weblog of the canadian crop circle research network  
<http://www.theprairiecircular.blogspot.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 6](#)

## Magonia Supplement #62

From: John Harney <[magonia.nul](mailto:magonia.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 21:17:10 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 08:37:41 -0400  
Subject: Magonia Supplement #62

The latest issue of Magonia Supplement contains an article by Matt Graeber on what he calls "Minisauacers" - small UFO-like objects usually reported as being seen indoors. Based on his interviews with witnesses, he suggests psychological explanations.

John Rimmer reports on a recent UFO conference at which he was one of the speakers, and there are book reviews by Peter Rogerson.

The HTML edition is now available at:

<http://www.users.waitrose.com/~magonia/ms62.htm>

John Harney

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 6](#)

## Heflin Photos Article In Current UFO Magazine

From: Ann Druffel <[Anndruffel.nul](mailto:Anndruffel.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 16:22:59 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 08:44:03 -0400  
Subject: Heflin Photos Article In Current UFO Magazine

Hi, All,

You may be interested in a complete article that UFO Magazine just published in their November 2006 issue, Vol. 21, No. 6 re. the Heflin case. It includes the historical background, the recent 2000 re-analysis of the photos, the answers we were able to give to researchers in the past, including Jim McDonald, as well as being a memoriam to Rex.

I thank UFO Magazine for their wonderful work in this, especially their very helpful and courteous editor, Nancy Birnes, as this corrects recent mistakes made in an article recently in another journal and presents the Heflin photos LARGE and in all their splendor.

The article is entitled "Goodbye Rex Heflin, Your Photos Remain," and is on pp. 62-53.

For those interested in the Heflin photos who have not had an opportunity to read the 2000 JSE paper (Winter Vol. 14, No. 4 edition) by Dr. Bob Wood, Dr. Eric Kelson and myself, or to read about the Heflin case in Chapter 13 of my 2003 book, Firestorm! Dr. James E. McDonald's Fight For UFO Science, this UFO Magazine article will bring up-to-date information, pending Dr. Kelson's second paper.

All best regards,

Ann Druffel  
[anndruffel.nul](mailto:anndruffel.nul)  
[www.anndruffel.com](http://www.anndruffel.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 6](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Frieman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 17:31:07 -0300  
Fwd Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 10:39:00 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Frieman

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 21:20:17 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 15:58:22 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 17:17:29 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>Let us not forget that Marcel had a number of other people  
>>independently backing his testimony of strange debris, people  
>>like Rickett, Exon, Smith, Brazel Jr., Proctor, etc. It wasn't  
>>Marcel's story alone. Was everybody confused by balsa wood and  
>>chewing gum wrappers?

>>Also cut the crap about how human beings can behave in only one  
>>conceivable way. Marcel went home and showed his family the  
>>debris before returning to base. Others talked to wives and  
>>family members; others didn't. That's the way it is in the real  
>>world.

>>Now for real inconsistency and more snide remarks, I'd like to  
>>remind readers that Bruce Hutchinson and Christopher Allen, both  
>>of whom are trying to make such a big deal out of nothing here,  
>>are also defenders of Charles Moore's Mogul balloon trajectory  
>>calculation hoax. According to them, Moore's numbers like 100/12  
>>= 350 are perfectly OK and "consistent" with Moore being an  
>>upright guy instead of a flagrant hoaxer and does nothing to  
>>invalidate the Mogul explanation for Roswell. But Marcel telling  
>>his family first is supposed to some sort of cosmic  
>>"inconsistency" that somehow makes Marcel's character  
>>questionable and somehow invalidates the Roswell case. So  
>>Moore's hoaxing good; Marcel telling family bad; Mogul caused it  
>>all. That's all you really need to know about DebunkerThink on  
>>the Roswell case.

>>Since you are trying to be provocative, I will reply by saying  
>>that Charles Moore never said 100/12 = 350. His table from which  
>>you derive this phoney result is based mainly on metric  
>>altitudes which he has converted, sometimes wrongly I admit,  
>>into feet. Some of his time intervals also look wrong. Instead  
>>of realising at once that the 52,100 ft. figure (from which you  
>>deduce your 100/12 = 350) is an obvious misprint or mistake, you  
>>quote this false division as evidence that Moore is a "flagrant  
>>hoaxer". His figures certainly look dubious in places, but I am  
>>not going through this subject again, as it is likely to bore  
>>everyone to death and get nowhere. Just one point which you and  
>>others simply cannot, or will not, concede: Brazel first went  
>>into Roswell to see the sherriff on Monday July 7, not Sunday  
>>July 6. All, yes all, the contemporary press reports that give a  
>>date give it as July 7. However, the 'Roswell was ET' promoters  
>>have decided, based on certain statements made between 30 & 50  
>>years later, that it was July 6 (presumably to fit in with

>certain other events they assume to have taken place). Karl  
>Pflock's meticulously researched book (p.91) says "the weight of  
>the evidence is very much against" the July 6 date. Marcel  
>himself, speaking on July 8, said clearly it was Monday July 7.  
>What more need I say?

>Debunker-think? Top secret ET wreckage, bodies, and documents  
>stashed away from the scientific world and the general public  
>for 6 decades? (this is exactly what the 'Roswell was ET' thesis  
>implies). That is all you really need to know about Credulity-  
>think on the Roswell case.

Wrong again.

Read the Roswell Daily Record for July 8th, 1947; it does not say  
Marcel went out on the 7th.

Brazel went to Corona on the 5th, talked to the Wades and went  
into Roswell on the 6th.

Marcel, in our first conversation, said it was Sunday and mentioned  
the sleeping bags. He first saw the Debris field on the 7th. Came  
back that night.

He and Cavitt were out of the loop for well over 24 hours.

I should add that many accounts in other papers on July 8,  
noted that the wreckage "was found last week".

Only after Brazel had been brought back to town on the 8th,  
programmed with a new story, do we suddenly read in July 9th  
articles of the supposed mid-June find date.

Karl Pflock was flat out wrong.

There were a number of errors in the hurried reporting, such as  
spelling Walter Haut's name four different ways, etc.

It is amazing that the Mogul Balloon adherents ignore the "found  
last week" dates and the difference between the first accounts  
and the programmed, later Brazel story. Mogul doesn't fit for a  
host of reasons.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 6](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 13:52:21 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 12:53:43 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 21:20:17 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 15:58:22 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Thu, 03 Aug 2006 17:17:29 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>Let us not forget that Marcel had a number of other people  
>>independently backing his testimony of strange debris, people  
>>like Rickett, Exon, Smith, Brazel Jr., Proctor, etc. It wasn't  
>>Marcel's story alone. Was everybody confused by balsa wood and  
>>chewing gum wrappers?

>>Also cut the crap about how human beings can behave in only one  
>>conceivable way. Marcel went home and showed his family the  
>>debris before returning to base. Others talked to wives and  
>>family members; others didn't. That's the way it is in the real  
>>world.

>>Now for real inconsistency and more snide remarks, I'd like to  
>>remind readers that Bruce Hutchinson and Christopher Allen, both  
>>of whom are trying to make such a big deal out of nothing here,  
>>are also defenders of Charles Moore's Mogul balloon trajectory  
>>calculation hoax. According to them, Moore's numbers like 100/12  
>>= 350 are perfectly OK and "consistent" with Moore being an  
>>upright guy instead of a flagrant hoaxer and does nothing to  
>>invalidate the Mogul explanation for Roswell. But Marcel telling  
>>his family first is supposed to some sort of cosmic  
>>"inconsistency" that somehow makes Marcel's character  
>>questionable and somehow invalidates the Roswell case. So  
>>Moore's hoaxing good; Marcel telling family bad; Mogul caused it  
>>all. That's all you really need to know about DebunkerThink on  
>>the Roswell case.

>Since you are trying to be provocative,

Indeed.

>I will reply by saying  
>that Charles Moore never said  $100/12 = 350$ .

Oh yes he did. I merely gave the most ridiculous of his  
rise/fall rate numbers and these aren't even the worst of his  
many mathematical sins. Now watch how Christopher Allan again  
tries to rationalize Moore's hoax. Like I said, debunkers like  
Hutchinson and Allan are so disingenuous that they will defend  
anything Moore did as just fine, even though it is clearly a  
deliberate hoax on Moore's part, while poor Jesse Marcel is some  
sort of bad soldier for showing his family the debris he brought  
in from the field.



>His table from which  
>you derive this phoney result is based mainly on metric  
>altitudes which he has converted, sometimes wrongly I admit,  
>into feet.

As they say in Britain - bollix! It has nothing to do with  
converting from metric to feet.

>Some of his time intervals also look wrong. Instead  
>of realising at once that the 52,100 ft. figure (from which you  
>deduce your  $100/12 = 350$ ) is an obvious misprint or mistake, you  
>quote this false division as evidence that Moore is a "flagrant  
>hoaxer".

No, its more like half of his 40 rise/fall rates are way out of  
whack. A 50% score on a math test is F-, and these are grade  
school math "mistakes" by a scientist, not some school drop-out.  
Then throw in the fact that he flagrantly cheated by saying he  
was assuming the altitude equipment worked perfectly and that it  
was a perfect flight, only behind everybody's back he  
deliberately had the balloon rising and falling much too fast in  
order to drastically cut distances. Then on top of that, he  
calculated his entire table wrong to cut distances even more on  
the rise end. This was also contrary to the way he said he was  
calculating it (which would have been correct). Moore is guilty  
of other deceptions as well, but these are the worst of them  
concerning Flight #4. For those interested, I have a non-  
mathematical description of Moore's hoax and various deceptions  
at:

[http://www.roswellproof.com/Mogul\\_hoax\\_FAO.html](http://www.roswellproof.com/Mogul_hoax_FAO.html)

No, Moore is a clear-cut hoaxer. But you will never get the  
debunkers like Allan or Hutchinson to admit it. The hoax is so  
clear-cut because it is 100% provable through the mathematics  
that I consider it a litmus test of a skeptic's integrity. Allan  
and Hutchinson flunk, as do others like Tim Printy, Dave Thomas,  
and the Skeptical Inquirer.

>His figures certainly look dubious in places, but I am  
>not going through this subject again, as it is likely to bore  
>everyone to death and get nowhere.

No of course not, because what he did is not accidental and  
completely indefensible. I think you now well know it, but won't  
admit it, which again goes exactly to the question of your own  
intellectual integrity.

>Just one point which you and  
>others simply cannot, or will not, concede: Brazel first went  
>into Roswell to see the sherriff on Monday July 7, not Sunday  
>July 6. All, yes all, the contemporary press reports that give a  
>date give it as July 7.

I'll be generous and call Allan merely misinformed on this  
point. No, it is simply NOT true that "all, yes, all"  
contemporary press reports gave a date of July 7 for Brazel  
coming to town. This can be seen by actually reading the  
contemporary news stories, not just quoting from some skeptic's  
book. I have over 3 dozen such contemporary stories over at my  
website that people can wade through and check this out for  
themselves:

[http://www.roswellproof.com/press\\_coverage.html](http://www.roswellproof.com/press_coverage.html)

As is the case with many details of what happened, there are  
serious inconsistencies in the way the story was reported. E.g.,  
the date of Brazel's discovery got changed from the original  
press release's "sometime last week" (also reported as "several  
days ago" and "last week"), or early July, to the middle of June  
when Ramey took over the story in Fort Worth. Sheriff Wilcox was  
likewise quoted saying \_both\_ "about 3 weeks ago" (UP) and "two  
or three days before." (AP).

The same thing happened with the date of when Brazel came to  
town. Sheriff Wilcox was again all over the map. He told UP on  
July 8 that Brazel first came "the day before yesterday," or  
July 6, but told AP that Brazel came to town on Monday, or July  
7. Thus UP based stories reported Brazel coming to town on July  
6 whereas AP based stories reported him coming to town on July 7  
(based mostly on how Ramey was also spinning the story over in

Fort Worth). And since UP was the second largest wire-service and accounted for the second-most contemporary Roswell stories (maybe 15-20% of all newspaper accounts), it is complete nonsense to claim that "all, yes, all" contemporary stories cited July 7.

In one story that I know about, both dates were given. The Washington Post reported that the "disc" was finally identified after being in "official hands" for \*\*\*"nearly two days"\*\*\*. That would mean "official hands" (Marcel and Cavitt) first took possession on July 6, or maybe early July 7. But later in the article, the Post reverted to mostly the AP reporting and now how Brazel coming to town on Monday, July 7, which contradicted their opening account.

The New York Herald-Tribune similarly quoted Wilcox's UP quote of Brazel coming "the day before yesterday" or Sunday, and also added that intelligence officers (in the plural) visited the ranch and picked up the object \*\*\*"yesterday morning,"\*\*\* or Monday morning, July 7. Now if you think about that for a half millisecond, that wouldn't be possible if Brazel had truly come to town on Monday given the necessary lengthy travel delays. Marcel and Cavitt couldn't have gotten back to the Foster Ranch until late Monday. They could only have picked up the debris Monday morning if they had already gotten to the ranch on Sunday and spent the night there. Gee, doesn't that sound a lot like Marcel's story years later?

The point remains that Brazel's arrival in Roswell was NOT universally reported as being July 7. It was only because AP was the major wire service (perhaps 70% or so of all stories were derived from it), that the strong majority of stories reported the July 7 date.

>However, the 'Roswell was ET' promoters  
>have decided, based on certain statements made between 30 & 50  
>years later,

No, also based on \_other\_ contemporary news accounts, that "Roswell was Mogul" "promoters" either don't know about or deliberately choose to ignore.

>that it was July 6 (presumably to fit in with  
>certain other events they assume to have taken place). Karl  
>Pflock's meticulously researched book (p.91) says "the weight of  
>the evidence is very much against" the July 6 date. Marcel  
>himself, speaking on July 8, said clearly it was Monday July 7.  
>What more need I say?

How about you don't know what you are talking about? Pflock's "meticulously researched" book somehow neglects to mention that UP stories in particular reported July 6 as did a few other stories, such as those in the Washington Post and N.Y. Herald-Tribune.

Yes, Pflock is correct that Marcel in Fort Worth was quoted as saying that Brazel came to town and Monday, they went back to the ranch and "spent a couple of hours Monday afternoon looking for any more parts of the weather device." However, this neglects to mention both Marcel and Dubose stating that everybody was acting under Ramey's orders at that point and telling the story Ramey wanted put out. E.g., Dubose was also quoted back then saying he thought it was a weather device, but completely retracted this 30 years later, just like Marcel, saying this was Ramey's cover story.

Marcel was also quoted as saying in Fort Worth that the debris was scattered over a square mile. Now think about that for another half millisecond. How does one scout a square mile of rough ground in a "couple of hours" to determine this? How is this consistent with the tiny amount of debris Ramey displayed or another of Marcel's statements of how Brazel had already weeks before "bundled together" the remains of the balloon and rolled it under some brush (which is also inconsistent with Brazel's story of first ignoring the debris and not picking it up until early July)? Then think about how Marcel could even get back to the ranch in time to spend several hours of the "afternoon" looking around. First Brazel has to drive to Roswell--3 to 4 hours. He reports to the Sheriff, the Sheriff calls the base and Marcel (Marcel said he was eating lunch, thus around noon), Marcel has to travel from the base to town,

interview Brazel, return to the base to talk to Blanchard, round up Cavitt and two vehicles, travel back to Roswell, find and pick up Brazel (who Marcel said was running errands in town), and then journey back to the ranch--probably 5 or 6 more hours. By this time it is probably at least 5:00 or 6:00 p.m. and the "afternoon" is basically gone. (Which, incidentally, is also consistent with Marcel's account 30 years later of getting to the ranch too late in the day to journey out to the debris field.)

Futhermore Marcel is quoted as saying that he didn't return until "early Tuesday morning." Spend another half millisecond thinking about that. If Marcel had truly spent only a couple hours of the "afternoon" poking around a "square mile" of debris, why would he get back so late? No, he would have had to have left quite late, probably around 9 p.m. in order to "return early Tuesday morning." That would mean Marcel wouldn't be spending the "afternoon" but the early and late evening looking around. The story Ramey had Marcel tell in Fort Worth (under orders) is full of internal inconsistencies, as might be expected from a hastily concocted cover story.

I have also mentioned Dubose's story of first hearing of the crash and debris when Ramey was away from the base and how the deputy base commander Col. Alvin Clark acted as the "colonel courier" accompanying the secret debris shipment to Washington. When was Ramey away from the base? According to contemporary stories in the Fort Worth Star-Telegram and Denton Record-Chronicle, which I checked, it was Sunday, July 6, when Ramey was attending an air show in his home town of Denton, Texas (the town had just opened up an airport--Denton is about 40 miles north of Fort Worth). Accompanying Ramey was the base commander, Col. Hewitt Wheless. Thus July 6 is also consistent with Dubose's account of what happened and might also explain why Clark and not Wheless ended up acting as Gen. McMullen's ordered "colonel courier."

>Debunker-think? Top secret ET wreckage, bodies, and documents  
>stashed away from the scientific world and the general public  
>for 6 decades? (this is exactly what the 'Roswell was ET' thesis  
>implies). That is all you really need to know about Credulity-  
>think on the Roswell case.

"All, yes, all" contemporary news account say Brazel came to Roswell on July 7? "Ex"-CIA/DOD employee and admitted hoaxer Karl Pflock being the last word on the Roswell case? The scientific world and the general public having free access to top-secret information of any kind decades later? Charles Moore's dozens of deceptions and math "mistakes" to justify his Mogul Flight 4 being nothing to be concerned about? That's all you really need to know about the credulousness and disingenuousness of this Brit debunker on the Roswell case.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 6](#)

## Coverup Of Secrets [was: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 18:07:39 -0300  
Fwd Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 13:01:51 -0400  
Subject: Coverup Of Secrets [was: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 21:20:17 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

CDA made this remarkable statement:

>Debunker-think? Top secret ET wreckage, bodies, and  
>documents stashed away from the scientific world and the  
>general public for 6 decades? (this is exactly what the  
>'Roswell was ET' thesis implies). That is all you really need to  
>know about Credulity- think on the Roswell case.

The above nonsense from CDA is a splendid example of research by proclamation having no basis in reality.

In case others aren't aware of it, as he obviously would have us believe he isn't, there are 10s of thousands of engineers and scientists working on classified programs. Some are black budget programs. Does anybody in his right mind believe that academia is where it is at with regard to advanced tchnology?

Several years ago, when I checked, I found that each of the three nuclear weapons labs (Sandia, LANL, Livermore) employed roughly 8000 people of whom roughly 30% were engineers and scientists. Each had a budget of over a billion dollars. Together that was more than the total budget of the National Science Foundation. The development of fission nuclear rockets, stealth aircraft, the U-2 and SR-71, nuclear ramjets, the Poppy and Corona Spy Satellites were all done outside of academia. The latter two involved keeping the very existence of these programs secret from the unclassified world for 43 and 35 years respectively.

Try the important book By Any Means Necessary: America's Secret Air War in the Cold War, by William E. Burrows. Farrar Strauss, Giroux, New York, 2001. 399 pages. He tells the exciting and depressing story of the complete coverup of the deaths of well over 160 USA airman in Reconnaissance planes in the 1940s, 50s, and 60s.

Families and the public were lied to about what happened and why it happened. Of course secrets can be kept from the public!

Those of us who worked on classified progams such as Dr. Bruce Maccabee, Dr. Robert Wood and myself are part of the scientific world... like it or not.

I think all of us would like to know CDA's background in security matters besides silly belittling of claims about secrets having been kept.

Bletchley Park's 12,000 translators and cryptographers seem to have been able to keep their secrets about breaking the German WW 2 codes for 25 years as I recall...

What level clearances did you have, CDA, and for how long and while working for whom??

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 6](#)

## Re: Cheyenne Mountain Shutting Down? - Gates

From: Robert Gates <[RGates8254.nul](mailto:RGates8254.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 23:39:49 EDT  
Fwd Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 13:03:55 -0400  
Subject: Re: Cheyenne Mountain Shutting Down? - Gates

>From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 14:15:39 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Cheyenne Mountain Shutting Down?

>>From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 30 Jul 2006 02:17:43 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: Cheyenne Mountain Shutting Down?

>>>From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 29 Jul 2006 11:50:52 EDT  
>>>Subject: Cheyenne Mountain Shutting Down?

>>>Does anyone have an opinion of the recent disclosure that the  
>>>Government is shutting down the Cheyenne Mountain instillation?

>>>Does this have any ramifications toward Ufology, especially any  
>>>evidence that the complex may have gathered relating UFO's?

>>Aloha Jason,

>>I have not heard anything about this alleged disclosure. What  
>>was your source of information?

Hi Jason & Listers,

The bottom line to the NORAD story is the General in charge was concerned about running his command and spending part of his time at Peterson where Northern Command (or whatever it is these days) is and part of his time in the mountain, in essence a split command.

So the easiest thing apparently is because the "cold war" is over, and apparently there is a view point that the US no longer faces any nuclear armed enemy, they can place the mountain in caretaker status and run everything out of Peterson. By the accounts thus far, they freely admit it will cost more money to do this in the short term, but in the long term they can apparently justify some savings by doing this.

I have no doubt that there will be NO ramifications towards UFOlogy, as its the same information they have been processing as before, same computer terminals, same screens, just that it will be done at Peterson instead of the mountain. From a personal point of view, NORAD will have gone from being a "hard target" to being a "soft target" should this change occur and I have no doubts that our nuclear armed enemys will notice this... instantly.

Cheers,

Robert

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 6](#)

## The Aldrin UFO Video-Clip On-Line

From: Trevor Page <[webmaster.nul](mailto:webmaster.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 01:00:14 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 13:12:25 -0400  
Subject: The Aldrin UFO Video-Clip On-Line

Hi Folks,

Not sure if it's been posted yet, but, I was cruising the Google Video site and found the clip that everyone has been talking about recently, from the documentary Apollo 11: The Untold Story:

<http://video.google.ca/videoplay?docid=6647204125621625190&q=apollo>

I highly doubted the transcript from the recent UK show talking about the Apollo 11 mission and Buzz admitting they saw something they could not identify but it's the real deal I guess.

Enjoy

Trev Page

[The full documentary is also at Google video:

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-6055631735307978494&hl=en-GB>

--ebk]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 6](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catja90024.nul](mailto:catja90024.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 14:24:54 -0700 (PDT)  
Fwd Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 14:43:52 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Kasten

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 21:20:17 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

>Debunker-think? Top secret ET wreckage, bodies, and documents  
>stashed away from the scientific world and the general public  
>for 6 decades? (this is exactly what the 'Roswell was ET' thesis  
>implies). That is all you really need to know about Credulity-  
>think on the Roswell case.

To sum it all up: there really is no "there" there. In the words  
of Cavitt: "it was a weather balloon. I said it then. I say it  
now." Cavitt - one of the first witnesses to view the Foster  
Ranch debris field.

KK

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 6](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson

From: **Bruce Hutchinson** <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 11:51:52 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 15:02:51 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 16:58:58 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>Bruce, your timeline is wrong.

<snip>

Stan

We could argue timelines, who-knew- what-when, and other minutiae forever and not get anywhere. None of that addresses the simple fact that Maj. Jesse Marcel's reported actions were totally inconsistent with how the senior intelligence officer at RAAFB would have handled the highly anomalous material with obvious intelligence value he described to you - "not of this world".

BTW - There is an alternate scenario where Marcel's actions are not only perfectly acceptable, they are what anyone would have expected Marcel to do. I fear, alas, that you might not agree with some of the assumptions though.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 6](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Allan

From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 17:11:33 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 15:07:51 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Allan

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 17:31:07 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 21:20:17 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 15:58:22 -0700  
>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>Just one point which you, and others, simply  
>>cannot, or will not, concede: Brazel first went  
>>into Roswell to see the sherriff on Monday July 7, not Sunday  
>>July 6. All, yes all, the contemporary press reports that give a  
>>date give it as July 7. However, the 'Roswell was ET' promoters  
>>have decided, based on certain statements made between 30 & 50  
>>years later, that it was July 6 (presumably to fit in with  
>>certain other events they assume to have taken place). Karl  
>>Pflock's meticulously researched book (p.91) says "the weight of  
>>the evidence is very much against" the July 6 date. Marcel  
>>himself, speaking on July 8, said clearly it was Monday July 7.  
>>What more need I say?

>>Debunker-think? Top secret ET wreckage, bodies, and documents  
>>stashed away from the scientific world and the general public  
>>for 6 decades? (this is exactly what the 'Roswell was ET' thesis  
>>implies). That is all you really need to know about Credulity->  
>>think on the Roswell case.

>Wrong again.

>Read the Roswell Daily Record for July 8th, 1947; it does not  
>say Marcel went out on the 7th.

>Brazel went to Corona on the 5th, talked to the Wades and went  
>into Roswell on the 6th.

>Marcel, in our first conervation, said it was Sunday and  
>mentioned the sleeping bags. He first saw the Debris field on  
>the 7th. Came back that night.

>He and Cavitt were out of the loop for well over 24 hours.

>I should add that many accounts in other papers on July 8,  
>noted that the wreckage "was found last week".

>Only after Brazel had been brought back to town on the 8th,  
>programmed with a new story, do we suddenly read in July 9th  
>articles of the supposed mid-June find date.

>Karl Pflock was flat out wrong.

I said, quite clearly, that of those papers that gave a date for  
Brazel coming into Roswell to see the Sheriff, the date they

all gave was Monday July 7. The reports are consistent here, unlike the purported date of discovery (which is confused with the date of recovery in some of the press reports).

The RDR for July 8 does not give any date for Brazel's visit (probably because it was printed before they had the details). Therefore it can be left out of the equation. The RDR for July 9 does give a clear date, namely Monday [July 7]. So does the Roswell Morning Dispatch for July 9. So does the Fort Worth Morning Star Telegram for July 9. All agree on this point, and there is no press report that gives July 6, or any other date, for Brazel's first visit to Roswell.

Brazel went into Corona late on Saturday, July 5 and Roswell Monday the 7th. The evidence is crystal clear from the contemporary accounts. Whatever date Marcel gave you 32 years later is highly suspect, considering that when you first saw him he could not even recall the year of the event, and he kept no diaries or notes!

Karl Pflock was flat out right. It is you who are dead wrong.

CDA

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 6](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 12:23:55 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 15:11:30 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Lehmborg

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 13:52:21 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 21:20:17 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

>That's all  
>you really need to know about the credulousness and  
>disingenuousness of this Brit debunker on the Roswell case.

And it is written... by the sword as ye live, so shall ye die,  
little brothers and sisters... Ah say unto ye brethren!

Amen and Burma-shave-ah!

How's the view from the bottom of that smokin' hole, Mr. Allan?  
Smellin' any 'exuberance' yet?

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 6](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 14:43:50 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 16:16:01 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Lehmborg

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 17:11:33 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 17:31:07 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

Mr. Allan... With regard to a tiger by the tail? That would be the other end, Sir.

I've read accounts of the valiant English bull-dog's contentious jaws clamped to some part of the bull that did, in fact, valiantly fight on even unto it's own death... but was expired after all. The bull lived on.

After all your recriminations, artful dodges and illogical hurdles... your desperate bleating pleas for the maintenance of the mundane plane... are done? An entire multiverse of space, time, and surface area hides behind a grain of sand held against the night sky at arms length... some guarantee that you are not the decisive arbiter that your canted (cherry picking?) 'reasoning' seems to connote. Eh?

You contrive a safe situation which does not threaten your portfolio or staid porcine paradigms. I suspect the evidence is plain that you are not alone the default setting for rationality you pretend, Sir, nor are you performing a service promoting such intellectual unbravery, at all.

The tighter 'science' seems to squeeze the more star systems slip through its fingers. It presupposes where it shall not look. That's not science... and neither are you, ironically.

>Karl Pflock was flat out right. It is you who are dead wrong.

"Here's your sign..."

<taking a deep breath>

Ahh... yeah! I see what you mean! The fresh clear air of relevant righteousness! It does provoke an 'exuberance'! You are correct!

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 6](#)

## Another Hoaxed 'Alien' Video

From: **Santiago Yturria Garza** <[syturria.nul](mailto:syturria.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 18:46:51 +0000  
Fwd Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 16:25:05 -0400  
Subject: Another Hoaxed 'Alien' Video

The series of hoaxes by the Australian UFO Wave 2006 website is getting annoying. Now these people have posted an alleged 'alien' caught on tape, titled No. 30, which is a poor CGI recreation.

<http://www.australianufowave.com/ufo30.html>

This website is just a bad joke.

It's time to denounce this people and their absurd fakes for trying to distort serious Australian UFO research.

Santiago

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 7](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catja90024.nul](mailto:catja90024.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 13:42:30 -0700 (PDT)  
Fwd Date: Mon, 07 Aug 2006 08:11:18 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Kasten

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 17:31:07 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

>Wrong again.

>Read the Roswell Daily Record for July 8th, 1947; it does not  
>say Marcel went out on the 7th.

>Brazel went to Corona on the 5th, talked to the Wades and went  
>into Roswell on the 6th.

>Marcel, in our first conversation, said it was Sunday and  
>mentioned the sleeping bags. He first saw the Debris field on  
>the 7th. Came back that night.

>He and Cavitt were out of the loop for well over 24 hours.

>I should add that many accounts in other papers on July 8,  
>noted that the wreckage "was found last week".

>Only after Brazel had been brought back to town on the 8th,  
>programmed with a new story, do we suddenly read in July 9th  
>articles of the supposed mid-June find date.

>Karl Pflock was flat out wrong.

>There were a number of errors in the hurried reporting, such as  
>spelling Walter Haut's name four different ways, etc.

>It is amazing that the Mogul Balloon adherents ignore the  
>"found last week" dates and the difference between the first  
>accounts and the programmed, later Brazel story. Mogul doesn't  
>fit for a host of reasons.

Gosh, isn't this fun. Arguing over the date when whatever  
crashed - "last week", yesterday, or tomorrow. Who said it had  
to be a Mogul weather balloon? And, that the crash had to fit  
with some launching of a weather balloon involved in Dr. Moore's  
research project?

Brazel persuaded to "change his story"? You mean those poor old  
stupid ranch hands who were intimidated by "military threats"?  
What a put down of the intelligence of rural farming/ranching  
Americans. Programmed? What a presumptuous assumption. How about  
somebody explained to Brazel exactly what he saw - with actual  
pieces/evidence - and he realized the mistake he made and the  
possible trouble he caused. No? Got to have aircraft from the  
beyond? Okay.

KK

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 7](#)

## Re: Another Hoaxed 'Alien' Video - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 17:26:38 -0400  
Fwd Date: Mon, 07 Aug 2006 15:38:47 -0400  
Subject: Re: Another Hoaxed 'Alien' Video - Shell

From: Santiago Yturria Garza <[syturria.nul](mailto:syturria.nul)>  
To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 18:46:51 +0000  
Subject: Another Hoaxed 'Alien' Video

>The series of hoaxes by the Australian UFO Wave 2006 website is  
>getting annoying. Now these people have posted an alleged  
>'alien' caught on tape, titled No. 30, which is a poor CGI  
>recreation.

Why do you think it is CGI?

To me it looks like some stupid bloke in a rubber alien suit.

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 7](#)

## Re: Another Hoaxed 'Alien' Video - Boone

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 18:20:50 EDT  
Fwd Date: Mon, 07 Aug 2006 15:40:02 -0400  
Subject: Re: Another Hoaxed 'Alien' Video - Boone

>From: Santiago Yturria Garza <[syturria.nul](mailto:syturria.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 18:46:51 +0000  
>Subject: Another Hoaxed 'Alien' Video

>The series of hoaxes by the Australian UFO Wave 2006 website >is  
>getting annoying. Now these people have posted an alleged  
>'alien' caught on tape, titled No. 30, which is a poor CGI >recreation.

><http://www.australianufowave.com/ufo30.html>

>This website is just a bad joke.

>It's time to denounce this people and their absurd fakes for  
>trying to distort serious Australian UFO research.

Yes, 'aliens' with nipples and navels.

Every hoax has it's day.

Thanks Santiago.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 7](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 19:28:55 -0300  
Fwd Date: Mon, 07 Aug 2006 15:43:25 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catja90024.nul](mailto:catja90024.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 14:24:54 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 21:20:17 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

><snip>

>>Debunker-think? Top secret ET wreckage, bodies, and documents  
>>stashed away from the scientific world and the general public  
>>for 6 decades? (this is exactly what the 'Roswell was ET' thesis  
>>implies). That is all you really need to know about Credulity-  
>>think on the Roswell case.

>To sum it all up: there really is no "there" there. In the words  
>of Cavitt: "it was a weather balloon. I said it then. I say it  
>now." Cavitt - one of the first witnesses to view the Foster  
>Ranch debris field.

Sorry, Kathy, but you have it wrong. For years Cavitt wouldn't  
say much of anything even at one time denying he was at Roswell  
at that time.

In his first meeting with Bill Moore, while he was out of the  
room, his wife told Bill he wouldn't say anything, they told him  
not to.

His much later statement to Colonel Weaver was total malarkey.  
Says he never saw the rancher... but the only way he and Jesse  
could get out there was to follow the rancher.

Then he said it was just a balloon covering an area 20' square  
and easily fitting in one vehicle. If that is all there had  
been, Brazel would have taken it in to town and there would have  
been no reason for Cavitt and Marcel to go out to the ranch.

Marcel had a course in radar that dealt with balloons and radar  
reflectors and would immediately have recognized it. Brazel had  
recovered at least two weather balloons on his ranch. It wasn't  
a balloon.

Of course Jesse was dead by this time, so Cavitt could tell any  
lies he wanted.

Do you really think the rancher would worry about his sheep  
crossing a debris field 20' square? His son handled wreckage  
later as well. Crazy foil.

In our first conversation in 1978 Jesse said how big an area was  
covered. Even in the cover-up article in the Roswell July 9  
paper it was stated that the wreckage covered an area 200 yards  
in diameter.

In short, let's not present baloney as fact... unless you were

joking.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 7](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 19:38:14 -0300  
Fwd Date: Mon, 07 Aug 2006 15:45:17 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman

>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 11:51:52 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 16:58:58 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>Bruce, your timeline is wrong.

><snip>

>We could argue timelines, who-knew- what-when, and other  
>minutiae forever and not get anywhere. None of that addresses  
>the simple fact that Maj. Jesse Marcel's reported actions were  
>totally inconsistent with how the senior intelligence officer at  
>RAAFB would have handled the highly anomalous material with  
>obvious intelligence value he described to you - "not of this  
>world".

>BTW - There is an alternate scenario where Marcel's actions are  
>not only perfectly acceptable, they are what anyone would have  
>expected Marcel to do. I fear, alas, that you might not agree  
>with some of the assumptions though.

Probably not. My first conversation with Jesse was in 1978 not  
in 1947. Much transpired before he came home on July 7, 1947,  
about which he knew nothing..

What makes you an expert on how an experienced intelligence  
officer would have handled anomalous material under the  
circumstances of July 7, 1947?

Don't forget that at the meeting, morning of July 8, Blanchard  
ordered Haut to issue a press release about the recovery of a  
flying saucer.

Next you will say well Blanchard was an obvious idiot.. of  
course he did get 4 more promotions to 4 Star General and was  
Vice chief of Staff of the USAF when he died, but that isn't  
what Hutchinson knows to be sensible activity....

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 7](#)

## Re: Dean Haglund Of The X Files - Ecker

From: Don Ecker <[decker0726.nul](mailto:decker0726.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 17:27:18 -0700  
Fwd Date: Mon, 07 Aug 2006 15:46:45 -0400  
Subject: Re: Dean Haglund Of The X Files - Ecker

Greetings UFO UpDate List.

On the new edition of Dark Matters Radio I've just interviewed Mr. Dean Haglund of X Files and The Lone Gunmen television programs. If you are not aware, Haglund has quite a UFO interest. This is a rather light hearted hour where Haglund discusses lots of items including his view on UFOs.

Haglund (born in Oakbank, Manitoba, Canada) is a Canadian actor best known for the role of Richard "Ringo" Langly, one of the Lone Gunmen on The X Files. Haglund is also a stand-up comedian, specializing in improvisational comedy. In addition to The X Files, Haglund also portrayed Langly in the spin-off The Lone Gunmen, which aired thirteen episodes in 2001.

Now the weird part of the story. The premier episode of The Lone Gunmen, which aired about March of 2001, the plot involved a "secret government" plot to fly an aircraft into the Twin Towers in New York, months prior to the 9-11 attacks. Weird, huh?

Join me for a informative and fun filled hour at Dark Matters Radio at <http://www.darkmattersradio.com>.

Best,

Don Ecker

<http://www.darkmattersradio.com>  
<http://www.PastSins.Net>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 7](#)

## Re: Another Hoaxed 'Alien' Video - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 07 Aug 2006 00:05:29 -0700  
Fwd Date: Mon, 07 Aug 2006 15:48:28 -0400  
Subject: Re: Another Hoaxed 'Alien' Video - Shell

>From: Santiago Yturria Garza <[syturria.nul](mailto:syturria.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 18:46:51 +0000  
>Subject: Another Hoaxed 'Alien' Video

>The series of hoaxes by the Australian UFO Wave 2006 website is  
>getting annoying. Now these people have posted an alleged  
>'alien' caught on tape, titled No. 30, which is a poor CGI recreation.

><http://www.australianufowave.com/ufo30.html>

>This website is just a bad joke.

>It's time to denounce this people and their absurd fakes for  
>trying to distort serious Australian UFO research.

Are you sure they're all fakes? Some of them look pretty darned good. I'm personally not a believer in the old notion that if it "looks too good to be real, it must be fake." Or that if one is fake, they're all fake (although it does look very bad if they all come from the same source, like Billy Meier).

Of course, none of the videos on the site meet my personal criteria (which I've posted here before) for proving anything. One of these days I'm going to have to work on developing a nice mnemonic device for my checklist, so people can use it as a handy guideline. You guys can help.

But some of the videos are pretty good, even if they are fake. Certainly worth looking at to help debunk similar questionable videos in the future.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 7](#)

## Re: NASA Loses High-Quality Moon Video! - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 07 Aug 2006 00:12:49 -0700  
Fwd Date: Mon, 07 Aug 2006 15:50:21 -0400  
Subject: Re: NASA Loses High-Quality Moon Video! - Shell

>From: Wendy Connors <[fadeddiscs.nul](mailto:fadeddiscs.nul)>  
>To: UFO Updates" <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 10:53:56 -0600  
>Subject: Re: NASA Loses High-Quality Moon Video!

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 10:50:59 -0400  
>>Subject: NASA Loses High-Quality Moon Video!

><snip>

>Exactly why the audio history of Ufology preservation project is  
>being done so that future researchers will continue to have the  
>audio history available and the "other" side of Ufology many  
>don't even know exists. Please support the project. Details at:

>[www.fadeddiscs.com](http://www.fadeddiscs.com)

Oh, you know the "loss" of the videotape is nothing more than a blatant attempt by NASA to destroy more evidence of the faked Moon landings. I don't want to start any rumors, but I heard from a guy who knows a guy who once worked for a guy who said that if you look at the high-quality video, it shows a stage hand running out of the frame just as Armstrong gets on top of the ladder. Most people who saw it in 1969 either didn't notice it, nobody believed them, or were in on the conspiracy.

<wink-wink... winkity-wink>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 7](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 7 Aug 2006 07:31:24 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 07 Aug 2006 15:52:46 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Lehmborg

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catja90024.nul](mailto:catja90024.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 13:42:30 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 17:31:07 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

>Gosh, isn't this fun. Arguing over the date when whatever  
>crashed - "last week", yesterday, or tomorrow. Who said it had  
>to be a Mogul weather balloon? And, that the crash had to fit  
>with some launching of a weather balloon involved in Dr. Moore's  
>research project?

>Brazel persuaded to "change his story"? You mean those poor old  
>stupid ranch hands who were intimidated by "military threats"?  
>What a put down of the intelligence of rural farming/ranching  
>Americans. Programmed? What a presumptuous assumption. How about  
>somebody explained to Brazel exactly what he saw - with actual  
>pieces/evidence - and he realized the mistake he made and the  
>possible trouble he caused. No? Got to have aircraft from the  
>beyond? Okay.

Was there a new truck Brazel sported and if so, where'd the new  
truck come from? What happened to all the missing Message  
traffic for the period? How do you explain what Rudiak has very  
rationally sussed out of the Ramey Memo? To what do you  
attribute the ongoing sociopathy of the Marcel's, father and  
son, to continue living this lie?

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 7 Aug 2006 09:58:07 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 07:56:42 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Kaeser

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catja90024.nul](mailto:catja90024.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 13:42:30 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

>Gosh, isn't this fun. Arguing over the date when whatever  
>crashed - "last week", yesterday, or tomorrow. Who said it had  
>to be a Mogul weather balloon? And, that the crash had to fit  
>with some launching of a weather balloon involved in Dr. Moore's  
>research project?

>Brazel persuaded to "change his story"? You mean those poor old  
>stupid ranch hands who were intimidated by "military threats"?  
>What a put down of the intelligence of rural farming/ranching  
>Americans. Programmed? What a presumptuous assumption. How about  
>somebody explained to Brazel exactly what he saw - with actual  
>pieces/evidence - and he realized the mistake he made and the  
>possible trouble he caused. No? Got to have aircraft from the  
>beyond? Okay.

No Kathy, I don't think this is a lot of fun for most of us, but there aren't any rules and everyone can speculate as much as they want.

The anecdotal testimony appears to indicate that Brazel was coerced into changing his story and there's no reason to believe otherwise, IMO.

I would agree with Stanton in that many people appear to have skimmed through the debate and grabbed the small fragments they like, to develop the theory of their choice.

Some may think that this is advancing the debate, but from my perspective a number of nonsensical arguments have been made with no basis for them. What is the most frustrating is the fact that this is little more than a political debate in which both sides are simply posturing their position and restating opinion.

I have to assume that Errol find this entertaining.....

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <[KevikRandle993.nul](mailto:KevikRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 7 Aug 2006 10:02:21 EDT  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 08:01:35 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Randle

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catja90024.nul](mailto:catja90024.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 13:42:30 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 17:31:07 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

>Gosh, isn't this fun. Arguing over the date when whatever  
>crashed - "last week", yesterday, or tomorrow. Who said it had  
>to be a Mogul weather balloon? And, that the crash had to fit  
>with some launching of a weather balloon involved in Dr. Moore's  
>research project?

Why all those who suggest that Mogul answers the question of  
what crashed in Roswell, of course.

>Brazel persuaded to "change his story"? You mean those poor old  
>stupid ranch hands who were intimidated by "military threats"?  
>What a put down of the intelligence of rural farming/ranching  
>Americans. Programmed? What a presumptuous assumption. How about  
>somebody explained to Brazel exactly what he saw - with actual  
>pieces/evidence - and he realized the mistake he made and the  
>possible trouble he caused. No? Got to have aircraft from the  
>beyond? Okay.

I'm not sure how you get from persuaded to intimidated... in my  
talks with Bill Brazel, Jr., Bill told me that the military  
explained to his father the importance of keeping the secret and  
Mack agreed to it. So your assumption about the intelligence of  
the rural farming ranching community is your assumption and  
nothing more.

And please don't forget that Brazel told reporters that he had  
found weather balloons before and what he found this time was  
nothing like that... except, that if it was Mogul, it would have  
been exactly like that, the only difference would have been  
several balloon envelopes rather than one.

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 7 Aug 2006 10:06:32 EDT  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 08:05:04 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Randle

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 16:58:58 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 02:07:57 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

>That is why I mentioned Twining going to White  
>Sands/Holloman (Not Roswell) on July 7 with a high powered  
>team. The Roswell Daily Record of July 8 (Front page AP story  
>from the Portland Oregonian --Twining's old home area) quotes  
>Twining clearly indicating he had already been charged to look  
>into things. By the morning of the 8th all kinds of  
>communications would have taken place.. again note the memo in  
>Ramey's hand as researched by Dr. David Rudiak... and as noted  
>previously, don't forget several others were already aware of  
>the Brazel find. There has been no indication that I have seen  
>that Marcel and Cavitt before they left Roswell on the 6th,  
>Sunday, would have known about the Twining trip, the Plains  
>etc. Twining was a Major General and outranked Ramey and  
>Blanchard.

Stan, List, All -

Just a minor point here... though I'm not convinced that  
Twining's trip had much to do with the Roswell case. At the time  
Twining was a lieutenant general (three stars) as opposed to a  
major general (two stars). He still outranked Ramey.

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## Mutilated Animals & Strange Lights Reappear In

From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 7 Aug 2006 10:46:18 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 08:10:59 -0400  
Subject: Mutilated Animals & Strange Lights Reappear In

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
August 7, 2006

Source: El Liberal de Santiago del Estero

<http://www.elliberal.com.ar>

Date: August 6, 2006

Unknown Santiago - Mystery Returns After a 4-year Absence

Mutilated Animals And Strange Lights Reappear In Quimilioj

History repeats: In 2002, mutilated cows appeared in this locality of the Dept. of San Martin, as they did in many parts of the country. Today, four years later, history repeats. Mutilated goats were found in a pen. The previous evening, local residents reportedly saw "strange" lights in the sky.

A resigned expression and serene voice reveal the fact that Juan de la Cruz Paz is no longer startled by anything at all. In 2002, two of his cows were found mutilated near his humble ranch. There were no signs of putrefactions and carrion animals did not dare touch the animals' remains. Today, four years after that disconcerting experience, four of his goats were found with the same signs of mutilation and no one can explain -- again -- what happened to them.

"Don Juandela", as he is known by the locals, lives in the Quimilioj wilderness, some 16 km northwest of Garza in the Dept. of San Martin. Four years ago, this province, as well as others, made national and international headlines when bovines began turning up with mutilated vital organs [removed] with a surgical precision hitherto unseen.

With these recent discoveries, the mystery returned to Quimilioj yet again. And although don Juan de la Cruz reported that he did not officially report the cases since "it will all be for naught" as in 2002, the inhabitants of this inhospitable wilderness are concerned by the new chain of strange events that is taking place in this site and which they already endured four years ago.

Although in 2002 they preferred to keep silent about many things they saw and experienced, on this occasion, interviewed by El Liberal, several residents of Quimilioj decided to break their silence and tell us about the strange manifestations of "flying objects with unusual lights."

"We Couldn't Touch The Cows Because There Was Electricity"

El Liberal visited Quimilioj's residents last Thursday. All of the locals consulted on the cases involving cow mutilation in 2002 and those whose goats met the same fate a week ago,

coincided in their accounts. "Strange lights could be seen in the wilderness the night before the mutilations."

When several Argentinean provinces began reporting cattle mutilations in 2002, her husband was among the first Santiago residents who reported strange cases in this province.

"The first thing he found was a dead cow missing her eyes, guts and many inner organs, but he didn't pay it much mind because it's only normal for an animal to die every so often in the fields. But when we started seeing on TV that dead cows were being found in the same way as ours, my husband decided to file a report, because it was very strange," Graciela Paz de Lemos told EL LIBERAL.

Graciela still has vivid recollections of that first encounter with the astonishing. "When my husband found the cows, all of the locals went to the site, which is about 2 km from my house. When we got to where the animal was, no one could approach it because the current would seize you. The truth is that it scared us a lot, and we're still scared today," she added.

Graciela agreed with her neighbor Ernestina about the strange lights that appeared in the wilderness always before cattle mutilations. "Every time we saw a large light in the wilderness, an animal would be lost the next day. Whenever we went to look for it, it would turn up dead and mutilated."

"The cows had their eyes well gouged-out, as if they'd removed them with a spoon. Furthermore, they would leave them like a bag, that is to say, nothing but hide, because they would remove all that was inside and left them with nothing. All of the locals felt frightened, because we still don't know what happened to them."

"We Always See Strange Lights in the Countryside"

Although four years ago, when the first mutilated cows turned up, the story did not merit greater attention by researchers, she insists. She does not doubt to ascertain that everytime that an animal is found dead under strange circumstances in the countryside, "strange lights going up and down can be seen in the wilderness the night before."

"You're the ones who published that time when the mutilated cows turned up. I remember because the animals turned dead near my house. My name is Ernestina Paz and it's a pleasure to see you here." That was how the woman who, along with her fellow residents of Quimilioj, suffered the experience finding mutilated bovines, presented herself to the team from EL LIBERAL.

Today, four years after that incident, Ernestina claims that "what happened at the time is also happening today." She added: "The other night we saw a very powerful light in the wilderness, several colors, going up and down. At the next day, over at Juan de la Cruz Paz's place, near my house, about four goat kids turned up dead."

Regarding these new discoveries, she remarked: "The goat kids were hollow on the inside, like the cows. It seems as though all their innards had been removed in a single go. Don Juandela didn't find traces of any other animal and there was no blood anywhere."

"That time with the cows, some people said it was Martians, because strange lights could be seen in the wild. It was as though some red-colored thing was burning. Until today, when one wakes up in the early morning, one can see something like giant lamps burning," she observed.

"But as far as I know, this isn't happening only in Quimilioj. When I worked in Garza, a little old man also had all of his sheep devoured. I say that it's something to be afraid of, because one doesn't know what it is. Even other animals are terrified, because when we found the dead cows, not even the crows would come near."

"Animals Were Left As Empty as a Bag"

A man from the deep countryside, Primitivo Paz never feared anything that could befall him in the wilderness, but the situations involving cows and mutilated goats changed his opinion. "All I can tell you is that one's afraid of what one doesn't know, or what you can't explain," he told EL LIBERAL.

This resident of Quimilioj also suffered the "terrible" experience of seeing - without knowing how their deaths occurred - dead and mutilated cows. "When the first mutilated cow showed up we were all alarmed, because we couldn't understand how it happened."

"The animals were down to pure leather, that is to say, empty inside. Furthermore, they were missing eyes and entrails. Even though they came down from Santiago to investigate, we were never told what had happened to the animals. It's still a mystery to us today."

-----

Translation (c) 2006. Scott Corrales  
Institute of Hispanic Ufology IHU  
Special thanks to Christian Quintero, Planeta UFO

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## Jeremy Vaeni Stunned Applause

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 7 Aug 2006 10:47:48 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 08:20:25 -0400  
Subject: Jeremy Vaeni Stunned Applause

Jeremy Vaeni... Stunned Applause  
by Alfred Lehmborg

Jeremy Vaeni is another one of those guys I don't know from Bunny Pants. No, Bunny Pants isn't fair. Right out of the gate there is more respect tendered for Mr. Vaeni than mere "Bunny Pants" will allow.

See? "Bunny Pants" is an intimation that further investigation may be wasted time, and that said investigation might be considered ill advised. Not so with Mr. Vaeni.

There is suspected there, there, reader. Investigation is warranted, I'd warrant.

We'll forget for a moment that the son-of-a-bitch can write (in a way that grabs this old soldier by his stacking-swivels)! He can also make film equally hungry for those self-same swivels aforementioned! Why, he's one of only two guys I know I'd like to be able to write 'like', actually. I'm in no way shamed.

Still, I don't know him well. He could be another clever charlatan. I've some experience with same. Still, even burned and shy? ...I don't think so.

I met the guy as a result of a regular and very cleverly executed column he writes for UFO Magazine. It's ironic that I'd not found him on the InterNet, but noticed him first in a technology as old as the 19th century... a pulp magazine, even if an excellent example of one...

He makes films, I said. "Hungry film," I said. Did I say he was funny? I mean that both ways, too. Laugh out-loud (with him)... and 'peculiar' funny. But that's a good thing.

And you get the feeling that he has throttled way back on his humor, reader, refrained from stoking that boiler gratuitously... Eh? In his way, after his fashion, passed through his filter and through his lens? He would have you take him seriously.

I shall.

His film? Well... think "Blair Witch Project" but dial it back about five points (lose a lot of the tormented snot), re-point it into the non-gratuitous but weirdly akimbo, and then push the un-trod path 'hyperspace' button. As I've really never seen anything like it? I can't tell you what it is... reader.

Why do you watch? I can sum it up into one word. Honesty. Honesty that is blistering to one's countenance and enough sometimes to make you squirm in your seat.

Strange honesty! Honesty that can make you flinch, but a flinch somehow strangely revisit-able. You have some difficulty believing that you saw what you saw and revisit it to find that you see what you see, you see?

Be forewarned! It is a kind of honesty that we are not used to

with virtually nothing on a thousand channels of television, a billion web pages, and millions of books, papers, and magazines. Vaeni's film has an honesty that hurts as an observer watches the filmmaker explicate this hard-edged honesty safe in his TV chair at home... deceiving himself that he is not PART of the freak-show Vaeni pours through his assaulted sensibilities... gird your loins reader!

Vaeni did.

What's Jeremy Vaeni on about?

A seemingly sane and abundantly rational Jeremy Vaeni thinks he has inter-operated with the perplexing, enigmatic, and indefatigable 'other', boys and girls; I'd be using a duplicitously unflattering light if I just said... "abducted by aliens."

See, I shan't give the reader the opportunity to dismiss him so quickly! I remind the reader that there is more to his heaven and Earth than he can dream... whether he chooses to regard it or not! Moreover, I encourage these lads and lassies quick with that easy dismissal regarding uncomfortable concepts to which Vaeni alludes ... that they are disserved by their ignorance, disturbing news does not get better with age, and that any bliss detected in ignorance is naught but a dangerous lie, reader, anyway!

Made uncomfortable by the honesty of Jeremy Vaeni, the observer is served even if it is only that an observer finds heartfelt relief they are not Jeremy Vaeni. See? In a strange way Vaeni has courage so you don't have to, reader, even as you are encouraged to a little courage all your own.

Vaeni has 'sack' reader, a eccentric strength of tested convictions, apparently. In a long military career I was a veteran of combat and senior training staff at hard-corps Officer Candidate schools, folks. I know what courage is. Vaeni has same in proverbial spades and super-sized boxcars.

Additionally, in clarion answer to Peggy Lee Lee's timelessly poignant question... what the reader sees in apparent operation around them is not 'all there is'. Vaeni helps strike the scales from your weary and watering eyes about that. He's convincing with regard to the outlandish he suggests because he is so damned honest about everything else!

Everything else, reader.

When you see the film? You'll understand what I mean. See the film. Everything else just gets a little bit clearer.

Winding up, I suspect the reader will agree that Vaeni's film is many things. Among these are that the film is nothing like the reader has ever seen. Its deprecating honesty is brutally, if non-violently, sincere. Its production values are excellent ... and damned if you don't find yourself, like extended friends and family, really liking this strange man named Jeremy Vaeni... even as he leads you to the very edge of credulity... where you'll jump yourself if you have a lick of sense or soul. I suspect Jeremy won't push you.

In addition to producing No One's Watching: An Alien Abductee's Story, Vaeni is a writer of a regular column in UFO Magazine, and author of a book titled I Know Why The Aliens Don't Land!

In closing: Vaeni soars and cleaves in the spirit of the finest Giordano Bruno and, that good, may even live to grace the stake of same. There are worse ways to end your days, reader. Read on!

See more at: <http://www.valiens.com/>

[alienview.nul](#)

[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)

AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 7 Aug 2006 09:43:43 -0700  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 08:23:19 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 17:11:33 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 17:31:07 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 21:20:17 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Thu, 3 Aug 2006 15:58:22 -0700  
>>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>>Just one point which you, and others, simply cannot,  
>>>>or will not, concede: Brazel first went into Roswell  
>>>>to see the sherriff on Monday July 7, not Sunday July 6.  
>>>>All, yes all, the contemporary press reports that give a  
>>>>date give it as July 7. However, the 'Roswell was ET' promoters  
>>>>have decided, based on certain statements made between 30 & 50  
>>>>years later, that it was July 6 (presumably to fit in with  
>>>>certain other events they assume to have taken place). Karl  
>>>>Pflock's meticulously researched book (p.91) says "the weight  
>>>>of the evidence is very much against" the July 6 date. Marcel  
>>>>himself, speaking on July 8, said clearly it was Monday July 7.  
>>>>What more need I say?

>>Wrong again.

>>Read the Roswell Daily Record for July 8th, 1947; it does not  
>>say Marcel went out on the 7th.

>>Brazel went to Corona on the 5th, talked to the Wades and went  
>>into Roswell on the 6th.

>>Marcel, in our first conervation, said it was Sunday and  
>>mentioned the sleeping bags. He first saw the Debris field on  
>>the 7th. Came back that night.  
<snip>

>>Karl Pflock was flat out wrong.

>I said, quite clearly, that of those papers that gave a date for  
>Brazel coming into Roswell to see the Sheriff, the date they  
>all gave was Monday July 7. The reports are consistent here,  
>unlike the purported date of discovery (which is confused with  
>the date of recovery in some of the press reports).

As I pointed out in my other post of yesterday, this is flat-out  
WRONG. United Press in particular, quoting Sheriff Wilcox from  
the afternoon of July 8, had Brazel first coming to see Wilcox  
"the day before yesterday," or Sunday July 6.



Text of Frank Joyce's surviving UP bulletins quoting Wilcox:

[http://www.roswellproof.com/United\\_Press\\_Telexes.html](http://www.roswellproof.com/United_Press_Telexes.html)

(Or check Appendix E of Pflock's book which reproduces Joyce's telexes.)

Some UP newspaper stories quoting Wilcox:

[http://www.roswellproof.com/UP\\_ClovisNMPress\\_July9.html](http://www.roswellproof.com/UP_ClovisNMPress_July9.html)

[http://www.roswellproof.com/UP\\_NevadaSJ\\_July9.html](http://www.roswellproof.com/UP_NevadaSJ_July9.html)

There was also the Washington Post story starting out with the "disc" being in "official hands" for "nearly two days" before being exposed as a weather balloon, again pointing to a July 6 date:

[http://www.roswellproof.com/Washington\\_Post\\_July9.html](http://www.roswellproof.com/Washington_Post_July9.html)

There was the New York Herald-Express article saying that "intelligence officers visited the ranch yesterday morning" and picked up the object, thus placing the "intelligence officers" already at Brazel's place on the night of July 6 (also quotes Wilcox's "day before yesterday"):

[http://www.roswellproof.com/NY\\_HeraldTribune\\_July9.html](http://www.roswellproof.com/NY_HeraldTribune_July9.html)

>The RDR for July 8 does not give any date for Brazel's visit  
>(probably because it was printed before they had the details).  
>Therefore it can be left out of the equation. The RDR for July 9  
>does give a clear date, namely Monday [July 7].

The RDR did not run their own Roswell main story but ran an AP version. AP stories generally reported what was said over in Fort Worth when Ramey took control of the story that Brazel came to town on Monday, but this wasn't in the RDR AP version:

[http://www.roswellproof.com/AP4\\_July9.html](http://www.roswellproof.com/AP4_July9.html)

An RDR side article about Sheriff Wilcox does have Brazel coming in on Monday.

[http://www.roswellproof.com/RMD\\_Wilcox\\_July9.html](http://www.roswellproof.com/RMD_Wilcox_July9.html)

This contradicts what Wilcox told UP. But Wilcox was all over the map. He also gave contradictory accounts to UP and AP about when Brazel made his find, telling UP "about 3 weeks ago" and AP "two or three days before."

It should also be mentioned that Wilcox's family later reported that he had been threatened and was cooperating with the military. Just more stories decades later? No, the same 1947 AP story that has Wilcox saying "two or three days before" also has him declining to answer more questions about the appearance of the object Brazel found explaining that "I'm working with those fellows at the base."

[http://www.roswellproof.com/AP5\\_July9.html](http://www.roswellproof.com/AP5_July9.html)

Another serious inconsistency in Wilcox's story has him telling UP that Brazel came into the office thinking that maybe he had found a "weather meter."

But when Brazel magically appeared in Roswell later that evening at the office of the RDR for an interview (accompanied by military officers, according to people who were there), he is instead quoted as saying that he "'whispered kinda confidential like' that he might have found a flying disk." Further at the very end of his interview, the RDR reported that, "Brazel said that he had previously found two weather observation balloons on the ranch, but that what he found this time did not in any way resemble either of these. 'I am sure that what I found was not any weather observation balloon.'"

[http://www.roswellproof.com/Brazel\\_Interview.html](http://www.roswellproof.com/Brazel_Interview.html)

So where did Wilcox get the idea that Brazel thought he had found a "weather meter?" Maybe it had something to do with Wilcox "working with those fellows at the base."

>So does the Roswell Morning Dispatch for July 9.

[http://www.roswellproof.com/RMD\\_Wilcox\\_July9.html](http://www.roswellproof.com/RMD_Wilcox_July9.html)

Which again just shows that Wilcox was giving out contradictory information.

>So does the Fort Worth  
>Morning Star Telegram for July 9.

[http://www.roswellproof.com/FortWorthST\\_July9.html](http://www.roswellproof.com/FortWorthST_July9.html)

Which purportedly quotes Marcel, with Marcel telling a balloon story. The Star-Telegram story is so similar to many AP stories (with a few unique items) that it is probably the source for much of AP's information. Reporter J. Bond Johnson (who also took most of the photos in Ramey's office) also originally claimed to have written the story used by AP.

This is the only news story I've ever seen that mentions or quotes Col. Dubose, Ramey's chief of staff. It has him saying the device resembled a radar target and that both he and Ramey immediately thought it was a weather device of some kind.

Of course, both Marcel and Dubose would later recant, saying they were speaking under Ramey's direct orders and the balloon story was a cover story to get the press off their backs.

There are also some very serious inconsistencies in the story attributed to Marcel, as I've previously pointed out. Marcel, e.g., has the debris scattered over a square mile. That isn't even consistent with a Mogul balloon crash much less a weather balloon. Further, how did he determine this? The story has him spending only a few hours in the "afternoon" looking for more debris. How does one cover a square mile in a few hours? How could Marcel even get back to the ranch clear from Roswell by the "afternoon" if Brazel really did travel from his ranch to Roswell to report to Sheriff Wilcox on Monday? And if Marcel really spent only a few hours in the "afternoon" picking up a tiny bit of remaining balloon debris, why is it that he didn't return to Roswell until "early Tuesday morning?"

Further, like Wilcox, Marcel said something that was wildly inconsistent with what Brazel was to report. According to the Marcel quotes, Brazel found the debris 3 weeks previously, and when he first heard about the flying saucers in Corona on Saturday, July 5, "Brazel then hurried home, and bright and early on Sunday [July 6], dug up the remnants of the kite balloon." Then after excitedly hurrying home, going miles out of his way to dig up the remains of his "kite balloon," Brazel now waits until Monday to go to Roswell and report to the Sheriff. Furthermore, he somehow neglects to take even a single piece of debris with him to show the Sheriff (if one believes Wilcox's quoted statements.)

But what did Brazel report hours later in his RDR interview? He said he first found the debris on the remarkably precise date of June 14. Did he immediately pick up the debris and roll it under some brush, as Marcel said happened? No, according to Brazel, he was too busy at the time and instead waited until Friday, July 4, when his family was visiting:

"At the time Brazel was in a hurry to get his round made and he did not pay much attention to it. But he did remark about what he had seen and on July 4 he, his wife, Vernon and a daughter, Betty, age 14, went back to the spot and gathered up quite a bit of the debris. The next day he first heard about the flying disks, and he wondered if what he had found might be the remnants of one of these. Monday he came to town to sell some wool and while here he went to see sheriff George Wilcox and 'whispered kinda confidential like' that he might have found a flying disk."

Again, these stories are just all over the place and horribly inconsistent. Debunkers like Allan seem to think the story was reported in uniform way in the contemporary press, but it wasn't.

>All agree on this point, and  
>there is no press report that gives July 6, or any other date,  
>for Brazel's first visit to Roswell.

Absolutely false! There were reports back then, particularly from UP, of Brazel first coming to town on July 6. I might add here, that newspapers generally report only what they are told and just because a story is "contemporary" does not necessarily mean the details are correct. The fact that the various stories have many, many inconsistencies in the details underscores this.

Further, the military was clearly trying to control the story. Dubose and Marcel said their statements were made under Ramey's orders. The original press release, e.g., said Brazel made his discovery "sometime last week" which got changed in Fort Worth to three weeks before. Sheriff Wilcox "working with those fellows at the base" never did get his story straight and gave out contradictory accounts to AP, UP, and the local press. His "weather meter" story for Brazel also contradicted what Brazel had to say. Marcel also gave out inconsistent and contradictory information from Fort Worth under Ramey's orders. Parts of his story also contrasted sharply with what Brazel had to say. Even Gen. Ramey was quoted saying inconsistent things, such as the foil-covered box-kite in his office being 25 feet across if reconstructed.

The many, many serious inconsistencies in the story are clear signatures of a hastily contrived cover story that never did gel completely in its details. But the press back then wasn't carefully comparing notes nor did they likely care and this all just slid by. Gen. Ramey, the authority figure, said it was a weather balloon and that was good enough.

>Brazel went into Corona late on Saturday, July 5 and Roswell  
>Monday the 7th. The evidence is crystal clear from the  
>contemporary accounts. Whatever date Marcel gave you 32 years  
>later is highly suspect, considering that when you first saw him  
>he could not even recall the year of the event, and he kept no  
>diaries or notes!

>Karl Pflock was flat out right. It is you who are dead wrong.

Sorry, but you are the one dead wrong. All your arguments by assertion to the contrary will not magically change historical archival material. The press back in 1947 reported BOTH July 6 and July 7 dates for Brazel coming to town. That's just the way it is. Again, you could start by looking at Frank Joyce's telexes in Pflock, Appendix E, p. 246. Pflock even put his own brackets around the relevant Wilcox quote: "Sheriff Wilcox says the rancher does not have a telephone, and that he did not report finding the disc until [the] day before yesterday." The exact same line is found in some UP newspaper stories (linked to above).

What does it say about Karl Pflock and his "meticulously researched" book if he fails to mention in his discussion that UP, the second largest wire service, reported Brazel coming to town on July 6 ("the day before yesterday")? This is typical of Pflock's style of argument throughout his book--leaving out (or flippantly dismissing) opposing evidence in his discussions, thus forcing a particular conclusion on the less-informed reader.

Pflock was a debunker and had an agenda. As ex-CIC agent Sheridan Cavitt said in his AFOSI interview, "Pflock is OUR debunker - I lean towards him."

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Fleming

From: **Lan Fleming** <[lflaming6.nul](mailto:lflaming6.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 07 Aug 2006 12:43:38 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 08:25:23 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Fleming

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catja90024.nul](mailto:catja90024.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 13:42:30 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

>Brazel persuaded to "change his story"? You mean those poor old  
>stupid ranch hands who were intimidated by "military threats"?  
>What a put down of the intelligence of rural farming/ranching  
>Americans. Programmed? What a presumptuous assumption. How about  
>somebody explained to Brazel exactly what he saw - with actual  
>pieces/evidence - and he realized the mistake he made and the  
>possible trouble he caused.

Apparently they didn't explain it well enough to the "stupid"  
ranch hand, since Brazel's last words in the July 9th Roswell  
Daily Record article on which debunkers rely so heavily were:

"I am sure that what I found was not any weather observation  
balloon," he said. "But if I find anything else besides a bomb  
they are going to have a hard time getting me to say anything  
about it."

>No? Got to have aircraft from the  
>beyond? Okay.

No. Got to have a Mogul balloon, so let's just forget Brazel's  
last comment which can very reasonably be interpreted as  
unscripted words of defiance in an otherwise scripted  
performance made under duress.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 7 Aug 2006 10:45:27 -0700  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 08:27:01 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak

>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 11:51:52 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 16:58:58 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>Bruce, your timeline is wrong.

><snip>

>We could argue timelines, who-knew- what-when, and other  
>minutiae forever and not get anywhere. None of that addresses  
>the simple fact that Maj. Jesse Marcel's reported actions were  
>totally inconsistent with how the senior intelligence officer at  
>RAAFB would have handled the highly anomalous material with  
>obvious intelligence value he described to you - "not of this  
>world".

>BTW - There is an alternate scenario where Marcel's actions are  
>not only perfectly acceptable, they are what anyone would have  
>expected Marcel to do. I fear, alas, that you might not agree  
>with some of the assumptions though.

Marcel's actions would only be "inconsistent" if: 1) human  
beings behaved in perfectly predictable ways instead of like--  
human beings, and 2) he had shown the debris to his wife and son  
during normal waking hours, not waking them up in the middle of  
the night.

As usual debunker Bruce Hutchinson's "logic" is ass-backwards.  
It seems to be the thrust of his argument that Marcel would only  
have brought the debris home and shown his family if there was  
nothing remarkable about it. This ignores the testimony of Jesse  
Marcel Jr. that his father definitely thought the debris  
extremely remarkable and from a crashed flying saucer when he  
came home. And it ignores the fact that Marcel woke his family  
up to show them the debris.

I can think of only one time in my life where I woke up my wife  
and children in the wee hours of the night to witness something,  
and that was a Space Shuttle re-entry. I suspect I might also  
wake them if the house was on fire. I further suspect this is  
typical of most people. They try not to wake their families if  
they tiptoe in late at night. Such things are reserved for only  
very unusual or important events.

Whether or not Marcel should have involved his family is a  
judgment call and a whole other issue. This alone tells us  
nothing about the nature of the debris given the circumstances.

Similarly, if Marcel had been the proper soldier, according to  
Hutchinson, and simply returned directly to the base, it would  
also tell us nothing about the nature of the debris. It could  
have been remarkable or it could have been mundane.

Ah, but making a detour home and waking up his family, that tells us a great deal. Now maybe some roaring drunk comes home at 2:00 a.m. and routinely rousts his family from bed, but typical people let their kids sleep. Marcel obviously thought he had something exceptionally important on his hands, confirmed by Jesse Marcel Jr.'s recall of the event.

As for Marcel involving his family, that's the human angle that Hutchinson keeps ignoring. Some soldiers talked and involved their families and others didn't. End of story.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## 'IMPACT' Level of Proof Mnemonic For UFO Material

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 7 Aug 2006 13:39:13 -0500 (CDT)  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 08:29:53 -0400  
Subject: 'IMPACT' Level of Proof Mnemonic For UFO Material

I've been kicking some ideas around for an easy mnemonic device for determining the level of validity/importance for public UFO material according to available evidence. Here's what I've come up with:

I.M.P.A.C.T.

I = IDENTIFICATION -- This means that all of the parties associated with the material are identified, and good ol' "Mr. Anonymous" is nowhere to be found. Preferably, these people should still be alive. We also are able identify the places and times of the sighting, or whatever. Who, When, and Where. That's a big start.

M = MULTIPLE -- Multiple witnesses, rather than just one person's story. Bumps the believability level up immediately. It would be nice to simply accept the truth of something based on one person's word, but we've got to be realistic.

P = PHOTOS -- Including photos, videos, documents and other related printed materials associated with the case. Photos from multiple witnesses and locations, 3-D photos, photos that allow a triangulation of the object(s) are of extra value.

A = ARTIFACTS -- Physical things, starting with burn marks and flattened flowers, all the way from alien ashtrays to pieces of ships to whole ships, then the aliens, themselves. Preferably, the aliens should still be alive, but we'll take what we can get. But an artifact isn't worth much without...

C = CONFIRMATION -- Expert and official confirmation of the validity of the material, including scientists, government officials including the President, the church, noted skeptics, and others. Independent confirmation by conflicting and contentious organizations would be the best.

T = TOUCH -- This is the ultimate, personal level of proof. Like a Moon rock, or Hoover Dam, I personally want to be able to physically go to whatever the thing is, the flying saucer, and "put my tongue on it." To touch it (or if it's toxic or radioactive, to at least personally see it). I don't actually have to do it, but I want to be able to do it, if I want. I haven't put my tongue on Hoover Dam, but I'm confident I could, if I wanted to.

What do you think? Any suggestions, additions, etc? I think it's a pretty handy guideline for accepting the validity of a UFO case. It hopefully eliminates a lot of hopeful thinking and personal prejudice that might lead me astray. Live I've said, I want this stuff to be real, but I'm not gonna be a sucker about it.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]



[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Boone

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 7 Aug 2006 15:58:40 EDT  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 15:40:41 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Boone

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 19:28:55 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catja90024.nul](mailto:catja90024.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 14:24:54 -0700 (PDT)  
>>Subject: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 21:20:17 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

With all due respect not meant to butt in here... a couple of questions.

Was there a Bessie Brazel and if so what was her account of the debris field at the Foster Ranch? Is there a link or paper wherein her first hand testimony can be read?

Communications. Marcel and Cavitt were miles out there while visting the Foster Ranch but did they have walkie talkies from that era? Some had a very good range if I recall. Or were such devices not used except for emergencies? I would assume any activity near the 509th would require the best equipment for their personell.

Best,

Greg  
[www.evolbaby.com](http://www.evolbaby.com)  
[www.monsterposse.com](http://www.monsterposse.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## Re: Heflin Photos Article In Current UFO Magazine

From: Ann Druffel <[Anndruffel.nul](mailto:Anndruffel.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 07 Aug 2006 16:45:16 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 15:56:46 -0400  
Subject: Re: Heflin Photos Article In Current UFO Magazine

7 August 2006

Hi All,

An email from Stan Friedman just called my attention to the fact that I'd said the new Heflin article is in the "November" issue of UFO MAGAZINE. It's in the August issue, Vol. 21, No. 6, just out. Sorry for the mistake. There's a weird flu bug roaming the Pacific coast and it got me. Enjoy the article.

All best wishes,

Ann Druffel  
[anndruffel.nul](mailto:anndruffel.nul)  
[www.anndruffel.com](http://www.anndruffel.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 7 Aug 2006 14:14:30 -0700  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 15:58:55 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 19:38:14 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 11:51:52 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 16:58:58 -0300  
>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>Bruce, your timeline is wrong.

>><snip>

>>We could argue timelines, who-knew- what-when, and other  
>>minutiae forever and not get anywhere. None of that addresses  
>>the simple fact that Maj. Jesse Marcel's reported actions were  
>>totally inconsistent with how the senior intelligence officer at  
>>RAAFB would have handled the highly anomalous material with  
>>obvious intelligence value he described to you - "not of this  
>>world".

>>BTW - There is an alternate scenario where Marcel's actions are  
>>not only perfectly acceptable, they are what anyone would have  
>>expected Marcel to do. I fear, alas, that you might not agree  
>>with some of the assumptions though.

>Probably not. My first conversation with Jesse was in 1978 not  
>in 1947. Much transpired before he came home on July 7, 1947,  
>about which he knew nothing..

>What makes you an expert on how an experienced intelligence  
>officer would have handled anomalous material under the  
>circumstances of July 7, 1947?

>Don't forget that at the meeting, morning of July 8, Blanchard  
>ordered Haut to issue a press release about the recovery of a  
>flying saucer.

>Next you will say well Blanchard was an obvious idiot.. of  
>course he did get 4 more promotions to 4 Star General and was  
>Vice chief of Staff of the USAF when he died, but that isn't  
>what Hutchison knows to be sensible activity....

To this we could add that following Roswell, Blanchard upped  
Marcel's numerical rating to "superior" from merely his previous  
"excellent," Col. Dubose recommended Marcel for command officer  
training school, and both Dubose and Blanchard endorsed Marcel's  
promotion in the Air Force reserve. Col. John Ryan, Ramey's  
operations officer and Blanchard's successor at Roswell AAF,  
called Marcel's career "most exemplary" and "most outstanding."  
Ryan eventually became A.F. Chief of Staff and Chairman of the  
Joint Chiefs (replacing Blanchard as heir-apparent AFCOS when  
Blanchard suddenly died).

And finally Ramey himself likewise called Marcel's services to his command "outstanding," protested his transfer to Washington, saying he had nobody to replace him, and said he thought he was command officer material. In Washington, Marcel's services were actively sought for the top-secret Special Weapons Project gathering intelligence on an anticipated Soviet A-bomb. This involved intelligence on remote detection of Soviet tests, including, ironically, Project Mogul!

Marcel was probably sought out for his intelligence position in the SWP because he was a key briefing intelligence officer for the 509th and 8th Air Force in 1946 for Operation Crossroads, the Bikini atoll A-bomb tests. He received three commendations for his intelligence work there, one from Ramey (who headed up air operations, including dropping the bomb and monitoring the test results from the air), one from Admiral William H. P. Blandy (the "atomic Admiral"), who headed up the whole thing, and one from Major General W. E. Kepner, Deputy Task Force Commander for Aviaton.

Afterwards, Kepner became chief of the Air Force's Atomic Energy Division and Special Weapons Group at the Pentagon, and it's a good bet that he was the one who wanted Marcel for the SWP.

(Incidentally, Blandy wrote Marcel's commendation after Roswell. In yet another Roswell "coincidence," Blandy had a special private meeting with Truman on July 7, his only one, and on July 9, was quoted in a number of stories on Roswell, such as the N. Y. Times, mildly debunking the flying saucer reports.)

Quite a documented record for a supposedly bad intelligence officer who couldn't identify a balloon and involved his family. Don't go by debunker badmouthing of Marcel. Read what his superior officers actually wrote about him after Roswell:

[http://www.roswellproof.com/marcel\\_evaluations.html](http://www.roswellproof.com/marcel_evaluations.html)

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## Re: Another Hoaxed 'Alien' Video - Yturria

From: **Santiago Yturria Garza** <[syturria.nul](mailto:syturria.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 00:17:46 +0000  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 16:26:35 -0400  
Subject: Re: Another Hoaxed 'Alien' Video - Yturria

>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 07 Aug 2006 00:05:29 -0700  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Subject: Re: Another Hoaxed 'Alien' Video

>From: Santiago Yturria Garza <[syturria.nul](mailto:syturria.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 18:46:51 +0000  
>Subject: Another Hoaxed 'Alien' Video

>>The series of hoaxes by the Australian UFO Wave 2006  
>>website is getting annoying. Now these people have  
>>posted an alleged 'alien' caught on tape, titled No.  
>>30, which is a poor CGI recreation.

>><http://www.australianufowave.com/ufo30.html>

>>This website is just a bad joke.

>>It's time to denounce this people and their absurd  
>>fakes for trying to distort serious Australian UFO  
>>research.

>Are you sure they're all fakes? Some of them look  
>pretty darned good. I'm personally not a believer in  
>the old notion that if it "looks too good to be real,  
>it must be fake." Or that if one is fake, they're all  
>fake (although it does look very bad if they  
>all come from the same source, like Billy Meier).

>Of course, none of the videos on the site meet my  
>personal criteria (which I've posted here before) for  
>proving anything.

>One of these days I'm going to have to work on  
>developing a nice mnemonic device for my checklist,  
>so people can use it as a handy guideline. You guys  
>can help.

>But some of the videos are pretty good, even if they  
>are fake. Certainly worth looking at to help debunk  
>similar questionable videos in the future.

I'm familiar with Flash animation and CGI effects. These videos  
match the pattern. I agree that some are good and could be taken  
as real. Other ones are simply childish.

Take this in consideration: All the videos are anonymous. Is'nt  
this highly suspicious ? Besides the alleged FLIR video is a bad  
recreation with a false FLIR screen ( check the bottom ) and the  
alleged UFO is a classic Flash animation.

In my opinion the alleged alien is CGI. Someone may think it's  
just a person disguised as an alien but seeing the long arms and  
other details I still believe it's CGI.

I'm certain that this website is bogus. We have seen this kind  
of websites before announcing spectacular ufo videos taken by  
anonymous persons that at the end were proven fakes.

Santiago

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 7 Aug 2006 21:40:28 -0300  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 16:28:08 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catja90024.nul](mailto:catja90024.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 13:42:30 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 17:31:07 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

><snip>

>>Wrong again.

>>Read the Roswell Daily Record for July 8th, 1947; it does not  
>>say Marcel went out on the 7th.

>>Brazel went to Corona on the 5th, talked to the Wades and went  
>>into Roswell on the 6th.

>>Marcel, in our first conversation, said it was Sunday and  
>>mentioned the sleeping bags. He first saw the Debris field on  
>>the 7th. Came back that night.

>>He and Cavitt were out of the loop for well over 24 hours.

>>I should add that many accounts in other papers on July 8,  
>>noted that the wreckage "was found last week".

>>Only after Brazel had been brought back to town on the 8th,  
>>programmed with a new story, do we suddenly read in July 9th  
>>articles of the supposed mid-June find date.

>>Karl Pflock was flat out wrong.

>>There were a number of errors in the hurried reporting, such as  
>>spelling Walter Haut's name four different ways, etc.

>>It is amazing that the Mogul Balloon adherents ignore the  
>>"found last week" dates and the difference between the first  
>>accounts and the programmed, later Brazel story. Mogul doesn't  
>>fit for a host of reasons.

>Gosh, isn't this fun. Arguing over the date when whatever  
>crashed - "last week", yesterday, or tomorrow. Who said it had  
>to be a Mogul weather balloon? And, that the crash had to fit  
>with some launching of a weather balloon involved in Dr. Moore's  
>research project?

>Brazel persuaded to "change his story"? You mean those poor old  
>stupid ranch hands who were intimidated by "military threats"?  
>What a put down of the intelligence of rural farming/ranching  
>Americans. Programmed? What a presumptuous assumption. How about  
>somebody explained to Brazel exactly what he saw - with actual  
>pieces/evidence - and he realized the mistake he made and the  
>possible trouble he caused. No? Got to have aircraft from the  
>beyond? Okay.



I presume you make these up as you go along? Mogul balloon trains used 20-25 neoprene weather balloons of the sort that Mack had found. It wasn't a weather balloon or a Mogul balloon train. The government was very powerful in NM. Floyd Proctor saw Brazel in town being accompanied by military guys and didn't even say hi.. totally out of character. Maybe you ought to watch the Recollections of Roswell video and listen to the sheriff's family, and Judd Roberts and Bill Brazel, etc etc

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## Black Box UFOs & History

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 7 Aug 2006 22:41:42 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 16:30:39 -0400  
Subject: Black Box UFOs & History

I just saw the History channel show on "black box" UFOs, referring to UFO sightings by pilots and astronauts. AS is typical, their depictions of UFOs are... shall I say, creative?

I was presented discussing two cases: New Zealand, Dec. 1978 and Gemini 11, Sept, 1966.

Not surprising to me is the fact that they made a sort of historical mishmash of the New Zealand events, showing film obtained during one period of sightings while presenting verbal descriptions that apply to other periods of the sightings. (Maybe future documentarians can do better.)

Nevertheless, the core story came through: it is, perhaps, the most documented civilian sighting with two audio tapes (one made on the plane, made at the Wellington Air Traffic Control center), testimony of eight people (pilot, copilot, two reporters - one being Quentin Fogarty, cameraman, sound recordist, radar controller and radar technician), and, of course, the 16 mm color movie film.

Several series of events have never been explained, such as the series of radar and visual sightings culminating in the "double sized" radar target (controller: "You have a target flying in formation with you. Your target has doubled in size."), the flashing light sequence and the "squid boat" sequence (so named because the most recent explanation offered has been that the light was from a solitary Japanese squid boat with lots of light to lure squid to the surface for netting). A more complete discussion, including reasons why the "squid boat" suggested for one of the series of events/film does not explain the sighting, is presented at

<http://brumac.8k.com>

.... scroll down to the various references to the New Zealand sightings .

I was amused to see, for the first time since January 1,1979, famous ancient CBS anchorman, Walter Cronkite, commenting on the New Zealand sightings and ending his program with a question:

"And that's the way it is... or is it?"

I was not amused to see that their filming angle from above tended to emphasize my balding pate.

Further information on the Gemini 11 sighting is also presented at the aforementioned web site.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Maccabee

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 00:02:46 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 16:33:15 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Maccabee

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 19:28:55 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catja90024.nul](mailto:catja90024.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 14:24:54 -0700 (PDT)  
>>Subject: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 21:20:17 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

><snip>

>>>Debunker-think? Top secret ET wreckage, bodies, and documents  
>>>stashed away from the scientific world and the general public  
>>>for 6 decades? (this is exactly what the 'Roswell was ET' thesis  
>>implies). That is all you really need to know about Credulity-  
>>think on the Roswell case.

>>To sum it all up: there really is no "there" there. In the words  
>>of Cavitt: "it was a weather balloon. I said it then. I say it  
>>now." Cavitt - one of the first witnesses to view the Foster  
>>Ranch debris field.

>Sorry, Kathy, but you have it wrong. For years Cavitt wouldn't  
>say much of anything even at one time denying he was at Roswell  
>at that time.

>In his first meeting with Bill Moore, while he was out of the  
>room, his wife told Bill he wouldn't say anything, they told him  
>not to.

>His much later statement to Colonel Weaver was total malarkey.  
>Says he never saw the rancher... but the only way he and Jesse  
>could get out there was to follow the rancher.

>Than he said it was just a balloon covering an area 20' square  
>and easily fitting in one vehicle. If that is all there had  
>been, Brazel would have taken it in to town and there would have  
>been no reason for Cavitt and Marcel to go out to the ranch.

>Marcel had a course in radar that dealt with balloons and radar  
>reflectors and would immediately have recognized it. Brazel had  
>recovered at least two weather balloons on his ranch. It wasn't  
>a balloon.

>Of course Jesse was dead by this time, so Cavitt could tell any  
>lies he wanted.

>Do you really think the rancher would worry about his sheep  
>crossing a debris field 20' square? His son handled wreckage  
>later as well. Crazy foil.

>In our first conversation in 1978 Jesse said how big an area was  
>covered. Even in the cover-up article in the Roswell July 9

>paper it was stated that the wreckage covered an area 200 yards  
>in diameter.

Anyone who thinks Cavitt was a credible witness against the Marcel interpretation of the Roswell material should (a) examine more carefully Cavitt's statement to Weaver and/or (b) have his/her own head examined.

Cavitt's statement is about as solid as a Swiss cheese. In Cavitt's case the holes are bigger than the cheese.

See: Cavitt Emptor

at: <http://brumac.8k.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson

From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 00:19:13 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 16:37:15 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 19:38:14 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 11:51:52 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 16:58:58 -0300  
>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>Bruce, your timeline is wrong.

>><snip>

>>We could argue timelines, who-knew- what-when, and other  
>>minutiae forever and not get anywhere. None of that addresses  
>>the simple fact that Maj. Jesse Marcel's reported actions were  
>>totally inconsistent with how the senior intelligence officer at  
>>RAAFB would have handled the highly anomalous material with obvious  
>>intelligence value he described to you - "not of this world".

>>BTW - There is an alternate scenario where Marcel's actions are  
>>not only perfectly acceptable, they are what anyone would have  
>>expected Marcel to do. I fear, alas, that you might not agree  
>>with some of the assumptions though.

>>Probably not. My first conversation with Jesse was in 1978 not  
>>in 1947. Much transpired before he came home on July 7, 1947,  
>>about which he knew nothing..

And how is this relevant?

>>What makes you an expert on how an experienced intelligence  
>>officer would have handled anomalous material under the  
>>circumstances of July 7, 1947?

I am certainly not an expert in Military Intelligence, so I  
asked one. A good friend of mine was in Army Intelligence from  
'67 through '75. Served in a variety of field and NCO positions  
in Vietnam and several postings stateside. Was an E6 when he  
left the service.

I described to him the basic outlines Marcel's trip to Corona and  
his gathering up of the Roswell debris, and gave him the  
descriptions of the debris from Marcel Sr and Jr. I then asked  
him if he would have dropped by home first to show it off to the  
family...

A. You're kidding, right? Good way to get a court-marshal. Take  
it straight to base, lock it up, and let the lab boys have at  
it.

>>Next you will say well Blanchard was an obvious idiot.. of  
>>course he did get 4 more promotions to 4 Star General and was

>Vice chief of Staff of the USAF when he died, but that isn't  
>what Hutchinson knows to be sensible activity....

Well, Stan, you're wrong again, as usual. My opinion is that Blanchard's actions were perfectly in line with what most any commander would have done under the same circumstances, given the excitement of the day (all those Flying Disk reports), and was nothing that should cause comment or problems one way or the other. The whole 'incident' was a non- event, and as it obviously had no ill effects on the careers of any of the players, the Air Force thought so too.

Stan. We've still not heard from you regarding this question that I have posed to you several times - That is the simple fact that Maj. Jesse Marcel's reported actions were totally inconsistant with how the senior intelligence officer at RAAF B would have handled the highly anomalous material with obvious intelligence value he described to you - "not of this world".

Bruce Hutchinson

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Gates

From: Robert Gates <[RGates8254.nul](mailto:RGates8254.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 01:09:09 EDT  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 16:38:56 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Gates

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 09:18:48 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

Ed,

Other than what the MP has said (and I am not doubting his story at this point), do we have an independent backup of his service record... meaning have we run his name through the military to see if, by some remote chance, his service record exists.

Also, in the annual history of the Roswell AAF base, the person keeping that "might" have included the units and the people in those units that were on base, or shown copies of orders that may show that MP XYZ was transferred in or out on this date. I have actually seen this in some annual histories. Knowing what unit the guy was in, we may be able to get records from the Army itself. Just some thoughts.

Cheers,

Robert

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## Rutkowski "Something's Out There"

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 16:42:24 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 16:42:24 -0400  
Subject: Rutkowski "Something's Out There"

Source: The Winnipeg Sun - Manitoba, Canada

<http://winnipeg.sun.com/News/Canada/2006/08/08/1723941-sun.html>

Tue, August 8, 2006

Something's Out There: Rutkowski

By Brenda Boonstra  
Staff Reporter

The truth is out there.

Though Chris Rutkowski has never seen a UFO himself, the co-author of The Canadian UFO Report says he knows UFOs are out there.

"I have spoken to many astronomers, pilots, and air traffic controllers who have seen things that can not be explained," said Rutkowski, "so I know these objects exist. Whether or not they are from outer space is another question."

Rutkowski maintains a national database, started in 1989, which stores more than 5,000 Canadian UFO sighting reports dating back to 1663 when missionaries saw fiery serpents in the sky over what is now Montreal. Despite these testimonials, Rutkowski admits there is no hard evidence of aliens visiting Earth from other planets.

Rutkowski receives hundreds of reports each year. In 2005 alone there were 763 reported UFO sightings from across the country.

Rutkowski's interest in UFOs began while he was studying astronomy in university in the 1970s. In 1975, a red fiery ball dubbed Charlie Redstar was reportedly seen flying over Carman, Man., every single night throughout that summer. Since no one seemed interested in studying the phenomenon, Rutkowski became involved.

"Something about it intrigued me," said Rutkowski.

Rutkowski claims one of the most intriguing cases in Canadian UFO history happened right here in Manitoba.

### Incident Never Explained

On May 20, 1967, Stephan Michalak reportedly took a walk in the bush near Falcon Lake where he encountered two unidentified flying objects which changed both in form and colour.

One of the objects landed nearby and Michalak said he approached it hearing voices coming from inside.

Michalak said he moved close enough to the object to touch it when it abruptly rotated, leaving Michalak face to face with some kind of exhaust system which blew hot air out, setting

Michalak's shirt and undershirt on fire.

Michalak immediately returned home to Winnipeg and checked into Misericordia Hospital suffering from burns and severe headaches and nausea. Reports say some radiation contamination was found at the site but the incident has never been explained.

Believing the truth may be out there, Rutkowski continues to gather UFO information on a volunteer basis.

He and his co-author, Geoff Dittman, have gleaned more than 50 of the best stories from the database for their book, which they released on Aug 1.

Anyone looking to report their own sightings can contact them at: [canadianuforeports.nul](http://canadianuforeports.nul)

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 16:47:13 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 16:47:13 -0400  
Subject: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

Source: Yahoo News.Com

[http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20060806/ap\\_on\\_sc/life\\_on\\_mars\\_4](http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20060806/ap_on_sc/life_on_mars_4)

Sun Aug 6, 6:24 AM ET

After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

By Matt Crenson  
AP National Writer

It was a science fiction fantasy come true: Ten years ago this summer, NASA announced the discovery of life on Mars.

At a Washington, D.C., news conference, scientists showed magnified pictures of a four-pound Martian meteorite riddled with wormy blobs that looked like bacterial colonies. The researchers explained how they had pried numerous clues from the rock, all strongly supporting their contention that microscopic creatures once occupied its nooks and crannies.

It was arguably the space agency's most imagination-gripping moment since Apollo. Space buffs and NASA officials said that it just might be the scientific discovery of the century.

"If the results are verified," the late Carl Sagan pronounced, "it is a turning point in human history."

Ten years later, the results have not been verified. Skeptics have found non-biological explanations for every piece of evidence that was presented on Aug. 6, 1996. And though they still vigorously defend their claim, the NASA scientists who advanced it now stand alone in their belief.

"We certainly have not convinced the community, and that's been a little bit disappointing," said David McKay, a NASA biochemist and leader of the team that started the scientific episode.

But even though the majority of his colleagues don't buy his "life on Mars" theory =97 McKay's own brother, also a NASA scientist, is one of his most prominent critics =97 many say they respect him and greatly appreciate his efforts.

The announcement and the technical paper that followed it practically created exobiology, the scientific field that investigates the potential for life on other planets.

"Without that paper I wouldn't be working in this field," said Martin Fisk, a marine geologist who studies how bacteria survive under the sea floor, partly because their harsh environment may resemble that of extraterrestrial life.

Debating the claim has helped researchers develop standards that will eventually prove useful for evaluating the presence of life in other Martian meteorites or a sample from the red planet. It has given the scientific community ideas about exactly where on

the planet they would most like to scoop up a sample, should they ever get to retrieve one.

And it is undeniable that McKay and his colleagues have drawn attention to what is =97 whether it contains evidence of life or not =97 a very interesting rock.

The rock in question was discovered in Antarctica, where rocks that fall from the heavens are easy to spot on the icy glacial plains. Its name, ALH84001, indicates that it was the first meteorite found during the 1984 research season in the Allan Hills, an especially meteorite-rich area in the Trans-Antarctic Mountains.

At first ALH84001 was misclassified, so it wasn't until 1993 that researchers even realized the rock came from Mars. That was interesting enough, because at the time fewer than a dozen Martian meteorites were known to science.

But ALH84001 also turned out to be much more ancient than the other known Martian meteorites. At 4.5 billion years old, it dates from a period of Martian history when liquid water =97 a requirement for the presence of life =97 probably existed at the now barren planet's surface.

It made sense to ask: Could there be fossils of ancient Martian microbes, or maybe traces of them, preserved in the cracks and pore spaces of ALH84001?

The NASA scientists proffered four reasons to support their view that the answer to that question is "Yes."

First, chemical analysis showed that the meteorite contained a variety of organic molecules known as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, or PAHs. PAHs can be produced by biological processes, and that's what McKay and his colleagues argued. But they are also commonly found in asteroids, comets and meteorites, not to mention the Antarctic ice where ALH84001 is estimated to have lain for 13,000 years. For that reason, skeptics immediately dismissed the importance of PAHs in the Martian meteorite.

A second line of evidence =97 that the elongated blobs in the electron microscope images could be fossils of ancient Martian bacteria =97 was also rejected pretty quickly by most scientists.

The problem was, those blobs were much smaller than any bacteria that have ever been observed on Earth. A National Research Council panel concluded in 1998 that the blobs were 100 to 1,000 times too small to be free-living organisms because they couldn't have held all the proteins, DNA and other molecules necessary for even the simplest metabolic processes.

You could argue that perhaps Martian life evolved a more compact biochemistry, or that the blobs shriveled as they fossilized. At one point McKay and the other NASA scientists suggested the blobs might be pieces of larger organisms.

"That was only mentioned once or twice and never brought up again," said Allan Treiman, a geologist at the Lunar and Planetary Institute in Houston.

The two other lines of evidence survived longer. Both revolved around minerals sprinkled through the meteorite that could have been produced by microbes.

The first mineral, carbonate, is typically formed on earth by the remains of living organisms that make shells and other skeletal parts out of minerals they extract from seawater. Some of those organisms can be quite tiny. So finding carbonate in ALH84001 could indicate the presence of ancient microbes in the rock.

The story is similar for magnetite, the other mineral of interest in ALH84001. Some bacteria produce extraordinarily small and pure magnetite crystals, then align the magnetic grains to make a microscopic compass needle that helps them navigate.

The bacteria don't use their internal compasses to find north; they use them to tell up from down. Earth's spherical shape

means that a compass needle in either hemisphere points at least somewhat downward, so the magnetite grains help the microbes sense where they are with respect to the planet's surface.

Some of the most evolutionarily ancient bacteria on Earth produce magnetite, McKay and his colleagues pointed out. Perhaps ancient Martian microbes did as well; at least some of the magnetite grains in ALH84001 share the shape, small size and remarkable purity of those produced by bacteria on Earth.

Of all the lines of evidence presented by the NASA scientists, it was the magnetite grains that proved most provocative. They were embedded in the carbonate along with other iron-containing minerals in such an unusual arrangement that something out of the ordinary must have put them there =97 could it have been alive?

"The shape of the magnetite grains is still rather distinctive," McKay said. "If it were found on Earth it would be a very strong biosignature."

For years McKay and his detractors argued about how distinctive the magnetite grains in ALH84001 are, and whether a non-biological process could have produced them. Certainly nobody had ever produced similar magnetite grains in the laboratory.

Then somebody did. In 2001 a second team of NASA scientists, including McKay's brother Gordon and a consultant to the space agency named D.C. Golden, managed to cook up a batch of magnetite grains very similar to the ones in ALH84001. Golden and Gordon McKay were also able to incorporate the magnetite grains into balls of carbonate like the ones David McKay and his colleagues described in 1996.

"He got a little testy about the results we were getting," said Gordon McKay, whose office is down the hall from his brother's. "What we have shown is that it is possible to form these things inorganically."

What's more, their laboratory method simulated conditions ALH84001 is known to have experienced during its time on Mars.

Yet David McKay insists his brother's team has not accurately described the synthetic crystals' shape, and that they aren't sufficiently similar to the ones found in ALH84001. He also suggests that the purity of the magnetite crystals stems not from the lab process itself, but from using unrealistically pure raw materials as a starting point.

Most of the scientific community doesn't buy those arguments.

"Personally I don't understand why (Gordon McKay's and) Golden's work hasn't just been the final word on it," said Treiman, the Lunar and Planetary Institute geologist.

Now David McKay has added another meteorite to the mix. At a March scientific meeting he presented microscopic images of the Nakhla meteorite, another Martian specimen. The pictures resemble pits that terrestrial bacteria create as they literally eat the volcanic rock of the sea floor.

"When I first saw it I was really struck by the similarity," said marine geologist Fisk, who is a professor at Oregon State University.

So far the scientific community hasn't shown much interest in David McKay's analysis of the Nakhla meteorite, partly because it dates from a more recent period of Martian history when the planet was just as frigid and inhospitable to life as it is today. In fact all of the 30-some Martian meteorites now known to science, with the exception of ALH84001, are probably too young to have contained living organisms.

But new Martian meteorites turn up almost every year. Eventually, another 4.5 billion-year-old piece of the red planet is going to be discovered.

"Sooner or later we're going to get another old rock," said Massachusetts Institute of Technology geophysicist Benjamin Weiss.

And when that happens, the talk about life on Mars will begin anew.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## CSICOPia Intellectual Bankruptcy From On High

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 07:13:59 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 17:00:21 -0400  
Subject: CSICOPia Intellectual Bankruptcy From On High

CSICOPia: Intellectual Bankruptcy From On High  
By Alfred Lehmborg

I come to you not to praise CSICOP, certainly, but to bury it!  
Such should be its woeful wage!

And I must caution the listener that it is not Skeptics I have a  
problem with or that I am merely bored with the rationality  
CSICOPians only pretend to dispense... true skeptics are not the  
issue! They are in comparison persons to be revered above all  
others and are welcome company ... honored team members... boon  
companions...!

They are the most interesting of us, the most knowledgeable of  
us, and the ones to instruct us the most! They are not to be  
confused with scurrilous skepti-bunkies, ponderous pelicanists,  
or insipid CSICOPians, scurvy klasskurtxians... the antagonists  
regarded in the rest of this essay...

More: <http://tinyurl.com/gaktz>

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## Chupacabas Return Alarms Rural Argentinians

From: **Scott Corrales** <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 08:30:32 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 17:04:31 -0400  
Subject: Chupacabas Return Alarms Rural Argentinians

INEXPLICATA  
The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
August 8, 2006

Source: Infobae.Com  
Date: August 6, 2006

Argentina: Return Of Chupacabras Alarms Rural Santiago Del Estero.

Residents of this province found a group of kid goats slain in a pen. The case shares several similarities with events that took place four years ago plus a new feature: the presence of strange lights. The ghost of the "red-muzzled mouse."

Three years ago, several rural districts in Argentina produced reports concerning the presence of mutilated cattle, causing INTI authorities to intervene and find an answer in the presence of the carrion rodent better known as "the red-muzzled mouse."

The narratives, which shared the same tone as those involving the Chupacabras - a sort of extraterrestrial monster engaged in attacking livestock in various parts of Latin America - appeared to vanish after the official explanation and there was practically no further discussion of the topic.

However, in a rural sector near the Santiago locality of Garza, Department of San Martin, the story appears to have flashed up from the embers.

According to the El Liberal newspaper, goat carcasses were found mutilated with surgical precision in a pen of the Quimilioj wilderness, 16 km from the aforementioned locality.

The goats showed no signs of having been attacked by carrion animals, at least not known ones, nor signs of putrefaction, much like the cows found in the same region in 2002.

The strange animal deaths, according to locals, was preceded by the sighting of strange lights flying over the area.

-----

Translation c. 2006 Scott Corrales Institute of Hispanic Ufology (IHU)  
Special thanks to Virgilio Sanchez Ocejo, Miami UFO Center

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)



## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 8](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 10:06:38 -0300  
Fwd Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 17:08:55 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Friedman

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 7 Aug 2006 10:06:32 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 16:58:58 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 05 Aug 2006 02:07:57 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

><snip>

>>That is why I mentioned Twining going to White  
>>Sands/Holloman (Not Roswell) on July 7 with a high powered  
>>team.The Roswell Daily Record of July 8 (Front page AP story  
>>from the Portland Oregonian --Twining's old home area)quotes  
>>Twining clearly indicating he had already been charged to look  
>>into things. By the morning of the 8th all kinds of  
>>communications would have taken place.. again note the memo in  
>>Ramey's hand as researched by Dr. David Rudiak... and as noted  
>>previously, don't forget several others were already aware of  
>>the Brazel find. There has been no indication that I have seen  
>>that Marcel and Cavitt before they left Roswell on the 6th,  
>>Sunday,would have known about theTwining trip, the Plains  
>>etc.Twining was a Major General and outranked Ramey and  
>>Blanchard.

>Stan, List, All -

>Just a minor point here... though I'm not convinced that  
>Twining's trip had much to do with the Roswell case. At the time  
>Twining was a lieutenant general (three stars) as opposed to a  
>major general (two stars). He still outranked Ramey.

I think Kevin is probably right. I had been looking at the  
Roswell Daily Record article on July 8. They called Twining  
"Major General". But then the story also says head of the AAF  
material command when it actually was AAF Air Materiel Command.  
He was definitely Lt. General in September when he wrote his  
famous letter.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 9](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson

From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 10:45:46 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 08:27:12 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 7 Aug 2006 10:45:27 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 11:51:52 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>We could argue timelines, who-knew- what-when, and other  
>>minutiae forever and not get anywhere. None of that addresses  
>>the simple fact that Maj. Jesse Marcel's reported actions were  
>>totally inconsistent with how the senior intelligence officer at  
>>RAAFB would have handled the highly anomalous material with  
>>obvious intelligence value he described to you - "not of this  
>>world".

>>BTW - There is an alternate scenario where Marcel's actions are  
>>not only perfectly acceptable, they are what anyone would have  
>>expected Marcel to do. I fear, alas, that you might not agree  
>>with some of the assumptions though.

>Marcel's actions would only be "inconsistent" if: 1) human  
>beings behaved in perfectly predictable ways instead of like--  
>human beings, and 2) he had shown the debris to his wife and son  
>during normal waking hours, not waking them up in the middle of  
>the night.

But you forgot Training. The inconsistencies you pointed out  
apply to those not trained in the requirements of intelligence  
gathering. Marcel was trained not to react as a "typical human  
being" in these kind of situations. That is the whole point of  
Military Training- to subdue as much as possible the human  
factor from a soldier's actions. And Marcel was Head of  
Intelligence in the elite, highly trained 509th, was he not?

>As usual debunker Bruce Hutchinson's "logic" is ass-backwards.  
>It seems to be the thrust of his argument that Marcel would only  
>have brought the debris home and shown his family if there was  
>nothing remarkable about it.

Your mind reading skills are rapidly deteriorating. Marcel's  
actions indicate that he thought the debris was quite  
remarkable, but not for the reasons you obviously have assumed.  
The very fact that Marcel was trained in intelligence gathering  
protocols and brought the stuff home to his family is very  
indicative.

>This ignores the testimony of Jesse  
>Marcel Jr. that his father definitely thought the debris  
>extremely remarkable and from a crashed flying saucer when he  
>came home. And it ignores the fact that Marcel woke his family  
>up to show them the debris.

Interestingly enough, it does not ignore that fact, rather it  
embraces it, for that is precisely why Jesse brought the stuff  
to his house.

>Whether or not Marcel should have involved his family is a  
>judgment call and a whole other issue. This alone tells us  
>nothing about the nature of the debris given the circumstances.

Quite to the contrary - Marcel's actions speak \_volumes\_ about  
the nature of the debris, given the circumstances.

>Similarly, if Marcel had been the proper soldier, according to  
>Hutchinson, and simply returned directly to the base, it would  
>also tell us nothing about the nature of the debris. It could  
>have been remarkable or it could have been mundane.

Wrong again, David. It does indicate, however, whether or not  
Jesse (in 1947) thought the debris was highly anomalous and/or  
had intelligence value.

>Ah, but making a detour home and waking up his family, that  
>tells us a great deal. Now maybe some roaring drunk comes home  
>at 2:00 a.m. and routinely rousts his family from bed, but  
>typical people let their kids sleep.

And just where did you pull that analogy out from?

>Marcel obviously thought he  
>had something exceptionally important on his hands, confirmed by  
>Jesse Marcel Jr.'s recall of the event.

Quite right! That is exactly why Marcel woke up the family.

>As for Marcel involving his family, that's the human angle that  
>Hutchinson keeps ignoring. Some soldiers talked and involved  
>their families and others didn't. End of story.

Intelligence Officers that talked "out of school", then as now,  
end up in the stockade or worse. That was part of their  
training. When it comes to intelligence matters, the "human  
angle" is not allowed as an excuse.

David seems to want to conveniently ignore one of the Prime  
Tenets of his whole Roswell thesis - that the 509th was a highly  
trained, elite unit where only the best were assigned. I'll not  
argue with that, so his asking everyone to suspend that thesis  
and create a scenario where the 509th Head of Intelligence  
violates just about all of the basic security policies he not  
only was "highly trained" in but was charged to enforce is  
ludicrous at best.

Bruce Hutchinson

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 9](#)

## Re: 'IMPACT' Level of Proof Mnemonic For UFO

From: Diana Cammack <[cammack.nul](mailto:cammack.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 16:33:32 +0100  
Fwd Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 08:29:28 -0400  
Subject: Re: 'IMPACT' Level of Proof Mnemonic For UFO

>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 7 Aug 2006 13:39:13 -0500 (CDT)  
>Subject: 'IMPACT' Level of Proof Mnemonic For UFO Material

>I've been kicking some ideas around for an easy mnemonic device  
>for determining the level of validity/importance for public UFO  
>material according to available evidence. Here's what I've come  
>up with:

>I.M.P.A.C.T.

>I = IDENTIFICATION -- This means that all of the parties  
>associated with the material are identified, and good ol' "Mr.  
>Anonymous" is nowhere to be found. Preferably, these people  
>should still be alive. We also are able identify the places and  
>times of the sighting, or whatever. Who, When, and Where. That's  
>a big start.

>M = MULTIPLE -- Multiple witnesses, rather than just one  
>person's story. Bumps the believability level up immediately. It  
>would be nice to simply accept the truth of something based on  
>one person's word, but we've got to be realistic.

>P = PHOTOS -- Including photos, videos, documents and other  
>related printed materials associated with the case. Photos from  
>multiple witnesses and locations, 3-D photos, photos that allow  
>a triangulation of the object(s) are of extra value.

>A = ARTIFACTS -- Physical things, starting with burn marks and  
>flattened flowers, all the way from alien ashtrays to pieces of  
>ships to whole ships, then the aliens, themselves. Preferably,  
>the aliens should still be alive, but we'll take what we can  
>get. But an artifact isn't worth much without...

>C = CONFIRMATION -- Expert and official confirmation of the  
>validity of the material, including scientists, government  
>officials including the President, the church, noted skeptics,  
>and others. Independent confirmation by conflicting and  
>contentious organizations would be the best.

>T = TOUCH -- This is the ultimate, personal level of proof. Like  
>a Moon rock, or Hoover Dam, I personally want to be able to  
>physically go to whatever the thing is, the flying saucer, and  
>"put my tongue on it." To touch it (or if it's toxic or  
>radioactive, to at least personally see it). I don't actually  
>have to do it, but I want to be able to do it, if I want. I  
>haven't put my tongue on Hoover Dam, but I'm confident I could,  
>if I wanted to.

>What do you think? Any suggestions, additions, etc? I think it's  
>a pretty handy guideline for accepting the validity of a UFO  
>case. It hopefully eliminates a lot of hopeful thinking and  
>personal prejudice that might lead me astray. Live I've said, I  
>want this stuff to be real, but I'm not gonna be a sucker about  
>it.

A great list! the problem is, though, that we can't do the last  
scientific test - duplicate other's research to achieve similar

findings ! Till we can we remain collectors and sadly, not  
listened to by the scientific community. D

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 9](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Allan

From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 17:01:14 +0100  
Fwd Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 08:31:54 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Allan

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 7 Aug 2006 09:43:43 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 17:11:33 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 17:31:07 -0300  
>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>The many, many serious inconsistencies in the story are clear signatures of a hastily contrived cover story that never did gel completely in its details. But the press back then wasn't carefully comparing notes nor did they likely care and this all just slid by. Gen. Ramey, the authority figure, said it was a weather balloon and that was good enough.

>>Brazel went into Corona late on Saturday, July 5 and Roswell >>Monday the 7th. The evidence is crystal clear from the >>contemporary accounts. Whatever date Marcel gave you 32 years >>later is highly suspect, considering that when you first saw him >>he could not even recall the year of the event, and he kept no >>diaries or notes!

>>Karl Pflock was flat out right. It is you who are dead wrong.

>Sorry, but you are the one dead wrong. All your arguments by >assertion to the contrary will not magically change historical >archival material. The press back in 1947 reported BOTH July 6 >and July 7 dates for Brazel coming to town. That's just the way >it is. Again, you could start by looking at Frank Joyce's >telexes in Pflock, Appendix E, p. 246. Pflock even put his own >brackets around the relevant Wilcox quote: "Sheriff Wilcox says >the rancher does not have a telephone, and that he did not >report finding the disc until [the] day before yesterday." The >exact same line is found in some UP newspaper stories (linked to >above).

>What does it say about Karl Pflock and his "meticulously >researched" book if he fails to mention in his discussion that >UP, the second largest wire service, reported Brazel coming to >town on July 6 ("the day before yesterday")? This is typical of >Pflock's style of argument throughout his book--leaving out (or >flippantly dismissing) opposing evidence in his discussions, >thus forcing a particular conclusion on the less-informed >reader.

Pflock does indeed mention this very item and discusses the whole question of the dates on pages 89-91 of his book.

>Pflock was a debunker and had an agenda. As ex-CIC agent Sheridan Cavitt said in his AFOSI interview, "Pflock is OUR debunker - I lean towards him."



Sorry, but I have answered your points in another post, and am not going over it again.

Note what I say at the end of that post, please. You should cease claiming that Pflock, or any other Roswell skeptic, had an "agenda", or as being involved with the CIA or DOD etc. This is merely an attempt to discredit such persons by association. Some people said the same about James McAndrew. What "agenda" do you have for promoting your decipherment of Ramey's memo?

CDA

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 9](#)

## Organ transplants & Organ Switching?

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 12:41:11 EDT  
Fwd Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 08:33:11 -0400  
Subject: Organ transplants & Organ Switching?

Wondering if anyone's come up with reports of abductees having organs removed, switched, transplanted?

Any references if any would be appreciated.

Best,

Greg  
[www.evolbaby.com](http://www.evolbaby.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 9](#)

## Re: Australian 'UFO Wave' Website Is Closed -

From: **Santiago Yturria Garza** <[syturria.nul](mailto:syturria.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 17:44:14 +0000  
Fwd Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 08:36:27 -0400  
Subject: Re: Australian 'UFO Wave' Website Is Closed -

Finally what I expected - the Australian UFO Wave 2006 website is no longer operating. However the videoclips are still available.

Interestingly in this investigation that comes to a conclusion the owner/webmaster recognizes that the videoclips were once for sale. This statement contradicts the version that all the videos were submitted by several anonymous persons in wich case their copyrights were violated.

Now the webmaster announces that the entire website has been sold to a documentary producer. Another action violating the copyrights of the original owners of the videos - supposing they really exist, which I sincerely doubt.

It's clear to me that this website was just another attempt to promote and sell faked UFO videos with false stories from anonymous witnesses. A failed project that finally comes to an end.

Case closed.

Santiago

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 9](#)

## Re: Ministry To Investigate UFO Light Sightings -

From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 19:49:24 +0100  
Fwd Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 08:38:41 -0400  
Subject: Re: Ministry To Investigate UFO Light Sightings -

>Source: The Sunderland Echo - Northumberland, UK

><http://tinyurl.com/qdspy>

>05 August 2006

>Ministry To Investigate UFO Light Sightings

>The Ministry of Defence (MoD) is to probe UFO sightings over  
>Sunderland and Seaham after mysterious lights appeared in the  
>night sky.

<snip>

>Now, after being contacted by someone who saw nine UFOs in the  
>sky, the MoD is to investigate.

>An MoD spokeswoman said: "We examine any UFO sighting reports to  
>establish whether there is any evidence to suggest that UK  
>airspace has been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air  
>activity."

<snip>

>Reports to the MOD can be sent to the Directorate of Air Staff  
>- Freedom of Information, 5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building,  
>Whitehall, London, SW1A 2HB.

>A 24-hour answerphone, where people can leave a name and contact  
>details, can also be reached at 020 7218 2140 or people can  
>email [das-ufo-office.nul](mailto:das-ufo-office.nul)

A misunderstanding, I fear, over the word "investigate".

Directorate of Air Staff personnel on the UFO Project are now engaged on responding to Freedom of Information Act requests. They will do exactly what the MOD spokeswoman said. This is likely to consist of evaluating the report and sending a standard reply to the witness. The media may use the word "investigate", but you'll struggle to find the word used by the MOD, in relation to their current policy on UFOs.

This contrasts with the position during my tour of duty, as confirmed recently in the UK's Parliament:

<http://tinyurl.com/fwpga>

On my watch, the response to a UFO sighting could include:

Talking to the witness.

Liaison with local police.

Examination of radar tapes.

Consultation with the Ballistic Missile Early Warning Center at RAF Fylingdales.

Discussion with Defense Intelligence Staff colleagues.

Analysis of photographs/videos (if available).

Liaison with air force colleagues, astronomers and other specialist staffs, as appropriate.

Consultation (shock, horror) with civilian UFO groups and researchers.

In summary, I took the view that one couldn't make a valid assessment of the potential threat to the UK posed by a particular UFO incident, or of the "defense significance" of the UFO phenomenon more generally, without some form of proper, scientific investigation.

Was I wrong?

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 9](#)

## Re: 'IMPACT' Level of Proof Mnemonic For UFO

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catja90024.nul](mailto:catja90024.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 12:05:01 -0700 (PDT)  
Fwd Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 08:41:25 -0400  
Subject: Re: 'IMPACT' Level of Proof Mnemonic For UFO

>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 7 Aug 2006 13:39:13 -0500 (CDT)  
>Subject: 'IMPACT' Level of Proof Mnemonic For UFO Material

<snip>

>I.M.P.A.C.T.

<snip>

>What do you think? Any suggestions, additions, etc? I think it's  
>a pretty handy guideline for accepting the validity of a UFO  
>case. It hopefully eliminates a lot of hopeful thinking and  
>personal prejudice that might lead me astray. Live I've said,  
>I want this stuff to be real, but I'm not gonna be a sucker  
>about it.

Hurray! This shout from someone who gave up being a sucker years ago. The criteria reaches what is usually expected in science research.

KK

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 9](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 16:59:43 EDT  
Fwd Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 08:54:07 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Gammon

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catja90024.nul](mailto:catja90024.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 13:42:30 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

>Brazel persuaded to "change his story"? You mean those poor old  
>stupid ranch hands who were intimidated by "military threats"?  
>What a put down of the intelligence of rural farming/ranching  
>Americans. Programmed? What a presumptuous assumption. How about  
>somebody explained to Brazel exactly what he saw - with actual  
>pieces/evidence - and he realized the mistake he made and the  
>possible trouble he caused. No? Got to have aircraft from the  
>beyond? Okay.

Kathy,

I'm a little put off by your attitude. You are free to believe what you may concerning said incident, as is Mr. Friedman.

What I find particularly troubling is the agenda by many to promote an 'over-simplification' of The Roswell Incident.

Please don't align yourself with this group. The ultimate truth behind the Roswell Incident is probably something so complex that most researchers, today, fail to grasp.

Something happened in the desert near Roswell in 1947. Subsequently, countless documents were illegally destroyed. The behavior of the Military was abnormal, if not truly bizarre.

The explanation that Project Mogul was the true cause of the commotion is completely absurd. It doesn't matter if a million weather balloons are strung together. No trained military personal are going to confuse a Project Mogul device with a supposed flying saucer.

With regard to the wreckage, and it's strange properties, we are only now creating alloys possessing the same properties as those of the crash debris. This is important because it does prove that the creation of said material is plausible. Also keep in mind that the statements of the witnesses have been highly warped over time. When a witness said "It's like balsa wood.", this doesn't mean the witness is claiming an extraterrestrial craft was made of balsa wood, instead he is claiming the material had similar properties. Remember, this was a time before plastic was widely known. Assuming you lived in this era, how might you describe plastic if you had no prior knowledge of it?

- Jason Gammon

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 9](#)

## Bruni And Thatcher

From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 22:33:39 +0100  
Fwd Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 08:58:33 -0400  
Subject: Bruni And Thatcher

It's well-known among ufologists that Georgina Bruni's book on the Rendlesham Forest UFO incident owes its title - You Can't Tell The People - to a conversation she had with former UK Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher.

I saw Georgina recently in The Red Lion pub on Whitehall, in the company of a few politicians, before she went off to a social function at Parliament. As the picture at the link below shows, Georgina met Baroness Thatcher again:

[http://www.hotgossip.co.uk/August\\_2006/298.cntns](http://www.hotgossip.co.uk/August_2006/298.cntns)

Sadly, having had the debrief on their conversation, I can confirm that ufology was not discussed on this occasion.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 9](#)

## Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Gehrman

From: **Ed Gehrman** <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 15:51:53 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 09:01:49 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Gehrman

>From: Robert Gates <[RGates8254.nul](mailto:RGates8254.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 01:09:09 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 09:18:48 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Jesse Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

><snip>

>Ed,  
>Other than what the MP has said (and I am not doubting his  
>story at this point), do we have an independent backup of his  
>service record... meaning have we run his name through the  
>military to see if, by some remote chance, his service record  
>exists.

Hi Robert, List, John,

I was able to check him out in a very limited way. I did find that the name he gave me matched the name of a person who was a member of MP Squadron "T". This person was not Thomas Gonzales, MP, who told a very similar story to Don Ecker and who also was from Squadron "T".

>Also, in the annual history of the Roswell AAF base, the person  
>keeping that "might" have included the units and the people in  
>those units that were on base, or shown copies of orders that  
>may show that MP XYZ was transferred in or out on this date. I  
>have actually seen this in some annual histories. Knowing what  
>unit the guy was in, we may be able to get records from the Army  
>itself. Just some thoughts.

The name he gave me is in the Roswell yearbook for 1947 as an MP in Squadron "T". He may be reading this right now. He made the connection to me through Updates and a discussion about Roswell.

If he is, I want to remind him what Kevin R. just told me: not a single person has been arrested for revealing what they saw while in the service, many years ago..

>Cheers,

>Robert

Same to you,

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 9](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 21:18:44 EDT  
Fwd Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 09:06:54 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Randle

>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 00:19:13 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 19:38:14 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 11:51:52 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 16:58:58 -0300  
>>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>>Bruce, your timeline is wrong.

>>>><snip>

>>>>We could argue timelines, who-knew- what-when, and other  
>>>>minutiae forever and not get anywhere. None of that addresses  
>>>>the simple fact that Maj. Jesse Marcel's reported actions were  
>>>>totally inconsistent with how the senior intelligence officer at  
>>>>RAAFB would have handled the highly anomalous material with obvious  
>>>>intelligence value he described to you - "not of this world".

>>>>BTW - There is an alternate scenario where Marcel's actions are  
>>>>not only perfectly acceptable, they are what anyone would have  
>>>>expected Marcel to do. I fear, alas, that you might not agree  
>>>>with some of the assumptions though.

>>>>Probably not. My first conversation with Jesse was in 1978 not  
>>>>in 1947. Much transpired before he came home on July 7, 1947,  
>>>>about which he knew nothing..

>>>>And how is this relevant?

>>>>What makes you an expert on how an experienced intelligence  
>>>>officer would have handled anomalous material under the  
>>>>circumstances of July 7, 1947?

>>>>I am certainly not an expert in Military Intelligence, so I  
>>>>asked one. A good friend of mine was in Army Intelligence from  
>>>>'67 through '75. Served in a variety of field and NCO positions  
>>>>in Vietnam and several postings stateside. Was an E6 when he  
>>>>left the service.

>>>>I described to him the basic outlines Marcel's trip to Corona and  
>>>>his gathering up of the Roswell debris, and gave him the  
>>>>descriptions of the debris from Marcel Sr and Jr. I then asked  
>>>>him if he would have dropped by home first to show it off to the  
>>>>family...

>>>>A. You're kidding, right? Good way to get a court-marshal. Take

>it straight to base, lock it up, and let the lab boys have at  
>it.

Bruce, Stan, List, All -

I'm going to butt in here because I was a senior intelligence officer and I can speak from experience. Just like Marcel, I'm a Major and I have intelligence experience in both the Air Force and the Army. And, I have one other qualification... I have spoken, at length, to Jesse Marcel, Jr.

So, given that, I say that Marcel did nothing wrong, that he understood the situation completely and thought that it was of sufficient importance to wake his family. At the time he arrived home, the debris was not classified... and I'm sure that he anticipated that it would be. And he took a risk, but it was an educated risk, based on what had happened in the last few days, in the belief that what he had was not Soviet (in which case, he would have acted just as you postulate) and that it was one of the flying saucers.

What we must remember here is that, in 1947, we were dealing with a different mindset. And, Marcel trusted his son with this information. His course of action was vindicated when Blanchard told the world they had a flying saucer. Nothing classified until Marcel got to Fort Worth and Ramey took over.

>>Next you will say well Blanchard was an obvious idiot.. of  
>>course he did get 4 more promotions to 4 Star General and was  
>>Vice chief of Staff of the USAF when he died, but that isn't  
>>what Hutchison knows to be sensible activity....

>Well, Stan, you're wrong again, as usual. My opinion is that  
>Blanchard's actions were perfectly in line with what most any  
>commander would have done under the same circumstances, given  
>the excitement of the day (all those Flying Disk reports), and  
>was nothing that should cause comment or problems one way or the  
>other. The whole 'incident' was a non- event, and as it  
>obviously had no ill effects on the careers of any of the  
>players, the Air Force thought so too.

>Stan. We've still not heard from you regarding this question  
>that I have posed to you several times - That is the simple fact  
>that Maj. Jesse Marcel's reported actions were totally  
>inconsistent with how the senior intelligence officer at RAAFB  
>would have handled the highly anomalous material with obvious  
>intelligence value he described to you - "not of this world".

I'll answer the question for you... As a senior intelligence officer, understanding the regulations and the situation, I see nothing inconsistent with Marcel's action. This really is a non-argument here. Marcel did go home and he did show it to his family.

Let me relate a couple of quick stories. When I called Edwin Easley, the provost marshal, he was reluctant to talk, but did tell me things. What he should have done was answer no questions and hung up... which has happened to me more than once. One former officer said, "I don't know who you are and what is still classified and what isn't." He wouldn't talk.

So, it all depends on the circumstance. Given the dynamic of the Marcel house, I see nothing inconsistent with Marcel's actions... and there is an important key that has been overlooked. The dynamic in the Marcel house.

And Kathy, I don't need another lecture on my ethics or my obligations as a field grade officer. I understand them completely, and I know what I can say and can't say about a lot of things.

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 9](#)

## UFO Over Bahrain?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 11:00:52 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 11:00:52 -0400  
Subject: UFO Over Bahrain?

Source: The Gulf Daily News - Bahrain

<http://tinyurl.com/znuz4>

9 August 2006

[Image at site]

UFO Over Bahrain?

MANAMA: A Sri Lankan couple snapped this picture of what they claim to be a UFO that flew over their car as they were driving along the Sitra Causeway on Monday night.

Engineer Omerdeen Hamad Idrees, 35, said he was on his way to Sitra Mall with his wife, Shiyara, and four-year-old son, Imran, at around 9pm when he saw the object moving very slowly in the sky and making circular movements over Tubli Bay.

"Since I always carry my digital camera with me when we go out, I immediately asked my wife to take pictures," he told the GDN yesterday.

"She could actually take three or four shots before the object came right over the car and seemed to cross the bridge.

"Initially I thought this was just my imagination, but when I downloaded the pictures I thought it was something very strange.

"I have never ever seen anything like it."

Mr Idrees, who works for Products for Projects, was on his way to the mall from his home in Juffair when the pictures were taken.

[Thanks to Greg Boone for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)





# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 9](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 20:06:17 -0700  
Fwd Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 12:28:17 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak

>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 00:19:13 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 19:38:14 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

>I am certainly not an expert in Military Intelligence, so I  
>asked one. A good friend of mine was in Army Intelligence from  
>'67 through '75. Served in a variety of field and NCO postions  
>in Vietnam and several postings stateside. Was an E6 when he  
>left the service.

>I descibed to him the basic outlines Marcel's trip to Corona and  
>his gathering up of the Roswell debris, and gave him the  
>descriptions of the debris from Marcel Sr and Jr. I then asked  
>him if he would have dropped by home first to show it off to the  
>family...

>A. You're kidding, right? Good way to get a court-marshal. Take  
>it straight to base, lock it up, and let the lab boys have at  
>it.

So what exactly are you saying here? That it never happened and  
Marcel Sr., Jr., and Marcel's wife made up Marcel coming home  
and showing them the debris? Or that it did happen, and Marcel  
would never have done this unless he thought it was nothing? Why  
would he do that? Why would he wake up his wife and son to show  
them nothing? Why would Marcel Jr. confirm that his father was  
excited and thought the debris from a crashed flying saucer?

Why would Marcel be "court-marshalled" if the Marcel family kept  
the incident to themselves?

And again, Bruce Hutchinson places the human race in a straight  
jacket. There is only one way human's beings behave under given  
circumstances, which he chooses to define.

It's also an example of circular reasoning or begging the  
question. Because it was nothing debris, Marcel showed his  
family. Because he showed his family, it was nothing debris. And  
around and around we go.

I've encountered the same simple-minded circular reasoning from  
those trying to debunk the Ramey memo. Ramey would never allow  
something important to be photographed. Because it was  
photographed, it couldn't be anything important. Back on the  
merry-go-round we go.

We live in a highly imperfect world. People don't always follow  
protocol. Sometimes they inadvertantly slip up. Sometimes they  
ignore the rules if they think it important enough. Some talk  
to people they trust, like family members; some don't. Human  
beings aren't totally predictable. That's the way the real world

is.

>>Next you will say well Blanchard was an obvious idiot.. of  
>>course he did get 4 more promotions to 4 Star General and was  
>>Vice chief of Staff of the USAF when he died, but that isn't  
>>what Hutchison knows to be sensible activity....

>Well, Stan, you're wrong again, as usual. My opinion is that  
>Blanchard's actions were perfectly in line with what most any  
>commander would have done under the same circumstances, given  
>the excitement of the day (all those Flying Disk reports), and  
>was nothing that should cause comment or problems one way or the  
>other. The whole 'incident' was a non- event, and as it  
>obviously had no ill effects on the careers of any of the  
>players, the Air Force thought so too.

Roswell was the big news story of the day once the press release went out. If you actually bothered to read the newspapers from that day and the next, you'll find out that the Pentagon was tied up in knots for hours answering the torrent of phone calls from reporters and news agencies clamoring for details. Acting Chief of Staff Vandenberg was described dropping into the AAF press room to personally take charge of the public relations' mess. Phone lines into New Mexico and Fort Worth AAF were also described as being jammed with calls.

There were one or two stories of Walter Haut and other unnamed "officers" supposedly receiving "blistering" phone calls from the Pentagon reprimanding them for the press release.

This is your "nothing event" where nobody at the Pentagon cares a whit about any of this. However, back on planet Earth, Vandenberg and others at the Pentagon would have been mightily pissed off to have been disrupted as they were by this internationally reported PR disaster. So would Gen. Ramey. His subcommand was staffed by total incompetents who had embarrassed him.

No, in the real world there would have been an internal inquiry to find out why the press release ever went out--if this really was a "nothing event." Reports would have been written. But there never was an inquiry and there are no reports that anybody can find. Instead a year later we have things like Ramey calling Marcel's service to his command "outstanding," saying he was command officer material, and protesting his transfer because he had nobody to replace him. Blanchard goes on to become a 4-star general and was next in line to become AF Chief of Staff.

>Stan. We've still not heard from you regarding this question  
>that I have posed to you several times - That is the simple fact  
>that Maj. Jesse Marcel's reported actions were totally  
>inconsistent with how the senior intelligence officer at RAAFB  
>would have handled the highly anomalous material with obvious  
>intelligence value he described to you - "not of this world".

And again, your argument makes no sense. You want it both ways (just like a typical debunker). Apparently you want it to be a 'nothing event' and 'nothing debris' to explain why Marcel would go home and show his family. Yet simultaneously you want it to be important enough for him to do so, in fact so important that he would wake up his family in the middle of the night. It can't be both unimportant and important at the same time.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 9](#)

## Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars -

From: **Lan Fleming** <[lflaming6.nul](mailto:lflaming6.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 22:52:28 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 12:31:10 -0400  
Subject: Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars -

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 16:47:13 -0400  
>Subject: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

>Source: Yahoo News.Com

>[http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20060806/ap\\_on\\_sc/life\\_on\\_mars\\_4](http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20060806/ap_on_sc/life_on_mars_4)

>Sun Aug 6, 6:24 AM ET

>After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

>By Matt Crenson  
>AP National Writer

<snip>

How do they know that "few" scientists believe the Allan Hills meteorite is evidence of life? Did they do a Gallup poll?

The Houston Chronicle recently ran a story that gave fairer coverage to the views of the JSC team that put forward the Allen Hills meteorite as evidence of life on Mars:

<http://www.chron.com disp/story.mpl/front/4095956.html>

The author states one of the JSC team's key arguments that seldom is addressed by their critics: "Alone, none of these lines of evidence was strong enough to make a case for extraterrestrial life. But with all four coming together at one tiny point in the rock, where water had once been, they thought the four lines of evidence were "strongly suggestive" of ancient life."

The AP article seems to have glossed over this point.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 9](#)

## Military Aircraft Chased UFO Over Slupsk

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 12:37:24 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 12:37:24 -0400  
Subject: Military Aircraft Chased UFO Over Slupsk

Source: American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/rg7xb>

August 8, 2006

Military Aircraft Chased UFO Over Slupsk  
by  
Dirk Vander Ploeg

Radar identified an UFO object that had entered Polish airspace. Mr. Stanislaw Z. notified the proper officials and soon an alert was announced. In the following minutes Captain Praszczalek would have direct contact with the UFO.

Many military services maintain that they don't keep track of UFO sightings, but the Polish army keeps records of pilots that encounter UFOs. The following information was obtained from Mr. Marcin Wawrzak, an UFO enthusiast from Slupsk.

Many military services maintain that they don't keep track of UFO sightings, but the Polish army keeps records of pilots that encounter UFOs. The following information was obtained from Mr. Marcin Wawrzak, an UFO enthusiast from Slupsk.

The report was related by Mr. Stanislaw Z. who was in charge of the radar operations at Slupsk Military Airport, "On July 6th 1983, I was on command duty as a navigator. We received information that some Unidentified Flying Object appeared over an airport in Darlowo."

A military jet was ordered to close on the object and to report. After several minutes, the pilot replied: "I can see the target. It is steel in color, rotating around its axe, 'throwing' as a boomerang, without any exploratory marks, without any engines nor markings", stated Stanislaw Z.

Captain Praszczalek's description of the object puzzled those in command of the airport. It was decided to notify the General Command of Air Forces in Warsaw and let them decide what actions or procedures the pilot should follow. "In 20 minutes the decision came ordering the pilot to fire at the object. When he passed on the command: "Fire!" to the pilot, the pilot reported that, "The object made a sharp turn and disappeared!"

Then suddenly, all the radar screens went crazy, registering thousands of unknown signals. For the next 10 hours military aircraft unsuccessfully searched for the unknown object.

The next day Military Special Service members arrived on the base and began their investigation of the incident. Their final report and any determinations made have never been made public. Stanislaw Z. refused to talk about the investigation.

During the past twenty or more years there have been many

similar reports to the 1983 Slupsk UFO encounter.

Source: NPN c. - <http://www.npn.ehost.pl/>, 31/07/06

Original Story by:  
Piotr Cielebiace - NOL - Eastern European UFO Journal  
c. //nol-polishufojournal.blogspot.com  
01/08/06

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 9](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 08:58:29 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 16:25:09 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Kaeser

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 17:01:14 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>Note what I say at the end of that post, please. You should  
>cease claiming that Pflock, or any other Roswell skeptic, had an  
>"agenda", or as being involved with the CIA or DOD etc. This is  
>merely an attempt to discredit such persons by association. Some  
>people said the same about James McAndrew. What "agenda" do you  
>have for promoting your decipherment of Ramey's memo?

Since Karl was employed by the Pentagon while he was in Washington, and part of that time worked with the Fund for UFO Research, which funded some of Karl's earlier Roswell investigation. Whether he had an "agenda" is for others to deduce (since that's really never going to rise above the level of speculation, I don't really care at this point), but it's clear that he had contacts in and worked with both the DOD and the CIA at times. His deductions regarding Roswell were well crafted and ignored information when needed to maintain consistency.

Karl's life was far more than you'll read in his book.

Steve

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 9](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 09:51:34 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 16:35:49 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Lehmborg

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 21:18:44 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 00:19:13 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 19:38:14 -0300  
>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Sun, 06 Aug 2006 11:51:52 -0400  
>>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 16:58:58 -0300  
>>>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>>>Bruce, your timeline is wrong.

<snip>

I suspect you've got everything covered here, Doc, if I may be bold.

I would add an unstretched conjecture I've been able to find a lot of idiosyncratic support for over the years. Obtained as a result of public support for Major Marcel, and suffering the slings and arrows of the sneering cheering section of the Major's detractors... Robert Todd declared me an "ass-xxxx" over my reasonable support of the Major late last century...

I think I've given due consideration and can say something in the Major's regard...

I've gotten the impression... before he was essentially broken by the shadow leadership and its imperative of some fishy fiat... that the Major was a brave man of rare talent and organization entrusted by his government to a key position. His spirited contribution was definitely big-league ball, and it was a position accessorized with stupefying detail and creative responsibility... A dim bulb is not the first choice of one interested in protecting their country's monopoly regarding the "keys to the kingdom." Eh? Especiallly then. Nuclear capability is a 'big' deal.

Moreover, the Major was a man with a far-seeing bent to his sensibility. The point is not lost to him that he was a young man at the top of his game, and that he could see the future opening before him... like the gates of all the potentiality of unconfined optimism were coming open before his eyes... Something truly wondrous and experiential was going to occur



soon and was indeed right around the corner... I bet a lot of people felt that way.

He must have wondered about UFOs given he had to have had some militarily intelligent information of the UFO Flap going on at the time. He had obvious intelligence and would ponder the implications of same.

Additionally, he may have presumed that this was going to be something outside the purview of mundane security concerns and that it was going to change the world in its entirety, so, perhaps the world would likely be informed in its regard and a classification was not going to be necessary. A subsequent press release did go out the next day, after all. I imagine, as I've written before, he was pleased and proud to be the one to let his son in on the most important revelation for mankind that can be conceived.

Something highly strange occurred at Roswell from Redfern through Rudiak to Randle. Moreover, an investigation of same is discouraged and hobbled at every turn, and later may have even gotten a US Congressman killed for looking into similar issues. Marcel was on the cutting edge.

Given the present state of affairs, nothing surprises me with regard to the mendaciousness of men we never put in office and too many that we do. Major Marcel was so far in bounds that outside bounds he'd still be in bounds... verily. Finally, I suspect the way things shook down put a lot of that aforementioned optimism out like an ice-watered campfire, and he was never quite the same again.

Is that fair?

[alienview.nul](#)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 9](#)

## Re: 'IMPACT' Level of Proof Mnemonic For UFO

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 11:31:57 -0500 (CDT)  
Fwd Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 16:37:57 -0400  
Subject: Re: 'IMPACT' Level of Proof Mnemonic For UFO

>From: Diana Cammack <[cammack.nul](mailto:cammack.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 16:33:32 +0100  
>Subject: Re: 'IMPACT' Level of Proof Mnemonic For UFO Material

>>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 7 Aug 2006 13:39:13 -0500 (CDT)  
>>Subject: 'IMPACT' Level of Proof Mnemonic For UFO Material

<snip>

>A great list! the problem is, though, that we can't do the last  
>scientific test - duplicate other's research to achieve similar  
>findings ! Till we can we remain collectors and sadly, not  
>listened to by the scientific community. D

That's not exactly true, the part about not being able to duplicate the research. Plenty of things don't occur regularly but can still be scientifically analyzed. Meteorite strikes, for instance, the study of which provides a relatively good template for UFO research. Additionally, my academic background in Sociology shows that decent science can still be conducted without test tubes and cyclotrons if you develop good interview questions and standardize some basic concepts.

No, the lack of funding, not a lack of scientific method, is what has kept UFO research the "red-headed stepchild" of science. The "scientific community" is essentially comprised of people who make money doing science - sometimes a lot of it - usually for people who want a particular product developed which can either make more money, or kill people efficiently, or both. Maybe if UFO research was able to demonstrate that kind of value to somebody, the funding would follow, and then the prestige.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 9](#)

Secrecy News -- 08/09/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 11:54:51 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 16:39:44 -0400  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 08/09/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 88  
August 9, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

\*\* AIR FORCE LAB WILL NOT FUND CONTROVERSIAL FOIA STUDY  
\*\* DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE ON THE LOOKOUT FOR MANPADS COMPONENTS  
\*\* ETHIOPIA RATIFIES NUCLEAR TEST BAN TREATY

AIR FORCE LAB WILL NOT FUND CONTROVERSIAL FOIA STUDY

The Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL) said this week that it will not administer a grant to a San Antonio, Texas law school to study state freedom of information laws.

In a story that prompted new concerns about official secrecy, USA Today reported last month that the government was going to pay St. Mary's University School of Law \$1 million to reevaluate state freedom of information laws in light of the threat of terrorism.

But the proposed freedom of information study "doesn't fit with the information research and development that we do," said Dan Emlin of the AFRL Information Directorate in Rome, New York.

That AFRL Directorate focuses on information technology -- including C4I, artificial intelligence, and surveillance technology -- but not information policy.

The freedom of information study "was more of a [policy] 'project' than bona fide research," Mr. Emlin told Secrecy News, and "so the [AFRL] Director decided 'We're not going to do it'."

Based on news reports and public statements, the proposed freedom of information study seemed oriented towards new limitations on public disclosure of information.

So, for example, St. Mary's law school professor Jeffrey Addicott, the lead investigator, told USA Today that "There's the public's right to know, but how much?"

"There's too much stuff that's easy to get that shouldn't be," he added.

("And plenty of stuff that should be easy to get that isn't," the Detroit Free Press objected in a July 26 editorial criticizing the program.)

See "Tax Dollars to Fund Study on Restricting Public Data" by Richard Willing, July 6:

<http://www.usatoday.com/news/washington/2006-07-05-foia->

research\_x.htm

But Senator John Cornyn, who sponsored the defense budget earmark of funds for the St. Mary's project, said its purpose was not to increase secrecy.

"In fact, the exact opposite is true. The research will make certain that free flow of information is not unnecessarily hindered by security-driven laws approved by states after Sept. 11, 2001," he said in a statement on the St. Mary's web site:

<http://www.stmarytx.edu/ctl/display.php?go=cornyn>

"The study is not designed to assist the Department of Defense, Pentagon or individual States to weaken either State or Federal Freedom of Information Act laws," according to another statement from the University.

<http://www.stmarytx.edu/ctl/>

Since the \$1 million grant has already been appropriated by Congress in the FY 2006 defense appropriations bill, it is possible that another agency will step forward to administer the award. But with AFRL's refusal to participate it is not immediately clear which agency that might be.

#### DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE ON THE LOOKOUT FOR MANPADS COMPONENTS

The Defense Intelligence Agency has prepared an illustrated briefing on the components of a MANPADS shoulder-fired anti-aircraft missile briefing to assist security personnel in identifying such weapons and apprehending those who possess them without authorization.

"An individual cannot legally possess a MANPADS [man-portable air defense system] under federal law," the DIA briefing notes.

"If you encounter an individual in possession of a piece of equipment that resembles any of the attached photos... please hold and notify the On-Call Intelligence agent."

The briefing was produced for the Transportation Security Administration by the Defense Intelligence Agency's Missile and Space Intelligence Center.

See "MANPADS Components," Defense Intelligence Agency, undated (2002):

[http://www.fas.org/irp/dia/manpads\\_components.pdf](http://www.fas.org/irp/dia/manpads_components.pdf)

A PowerPoint version of the same briefing is available here:

[http://www.fas.org/irp/dia/manpads\\_components.ppt](http://www.fas.org/irp/dia/manpads_components.ppt)

#### ETHIOPIA RATIFIES NUCLEAR TEST BAN TREATY

Ethiopia this week became the 135th country to ratify the comprehensive nuclear test ban treaty (CTBT), which prohibits the explosive testing of nuclear weapons.

To enter into force, the CTBT must be ratified by 44 States listed in Annex 2 of the Treaty. So far, 34 of those States have done so.

See "Ethiopia ratifies Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty," CTBT Organization news release, August 9:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/news/2006/08/ctbt080906.html>

Background, history and current status of the proposed test ban may be found in "Nuclear Weapons: Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty,"

Congressional Research Service, updated June 21, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/nuke/IB92099.pdf>

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:  
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 9](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 13:23:22 -0300  
Fwd Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 16:52:27 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Ledger

>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 10:45:46 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 7 Aug 2006 10:45:27 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

>Intelligence Officers that talked "out of school", then as  
>now, end up in the stockade or worse. That was part of their  
>training. When it comes to intelligence matters, the "human  
>angle" is not allowed as an excuse.

>David seems to want to conveniently ignore one of the Prime  
>Tenents of his whole Roswell thesis - that the 509th was a  
>highly trained, elite unit where only the best were assigned.  
>I'll not argue with that, so his asking everyone to suspend  
>that thesis and create a scenario where the 509th Head of  
>Intelligence violates just about all of the basic security  
>policies he not only was "highly trained" in but was charged  
>to enforce is ludicrous at best.

Bruce and Kathy Kasten seem to be trying to "solve" this case by  
destroying Marcel's reputation. Make Marcel a traitor and your  
whole case goes down the tubes. I don't have a dog in the  
Roswell hunt, but I don't like the method being used to "solve"  
it. I've come across this tact before on other cases. If you  
can't come up with concrete evidence to solve a case then  
destroy the witness's credibility by questioning his or her  
loyalty to their country. That's starry-eyed nonsense. Soldiers  
know where their loyalties lay when the bullets start flying and  
it carries through to their life after the war has ended. I've  
seen this with my military witnesses.

The fact of the matter is there was no protocol to be followed at  
the point where Marcel had material in hand and showed it to his  
family. This silliness about his not doing his duty by sharing  
with his wife and kid won't solve anything. If there was a  
protocol in place for the reporting of alien artifacts then that  
opens a whole new area of exploration. Marcel, according to the  
texts, was past think of this stuff being Soviet and in that day  
Soviet technology was not to be expected to be of any import. The  
Soviets were copiers during that period as were the Americans to  
some degree where rocketry was concerned.

Marcel had his suspicions this was wasn't human technology. He  
knew it wasn't a weather balloon. Mogal was a contraption made up  
of weather balloons. Stringing a bunch of them together didn't  
make them anomalous technology just a weather balloon times 30 or  
so and just bulky. Skyhook wasn't top secret contrary to popular  
belief; Popular Science magazine had a full article with pictures  
and specs out in May of 1948 researched in January of that year.

Anyway, I've been on the fence about Roswell for some years but

trashing Marcel's reputation is not going to sway me either way. To my mind anyone who rings the bell [which Marcel did not] re some alien intervention deserves a damn medal not derision and disrespect. He told his wife and kid. Tout your ersatz knowledge about military honor all you want but your source probably would have done the same thing had he been present in the day. His flippancy re Marcel's actions denotes a lack of knowledge of how this phenomenon can affect one on a gut level when confronted by it suddenly. It's pretty obvious that no one took it to be of military concern up to the level of Blanchard because he told the press officer to make up a report and release it-which he did. If Blanchard's mindset was such at that time, why would Marcel's be any different?

Bruce your motives are suspect in any event being a card carrying debunker. It looks like you are getting material together for Skeptics magazine. It's not Marcel's mind set the bothers me, it's yours. I'm surprised that Stan and Kevin are being as polite as they are to both of you. Kathy sneers and you chuckle.

But that's just...my take on it.

Sorry, Dave...

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 10](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Allan

From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 18:12:13 +0100  
Fwd Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 08:14:31 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Allan

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 13:52:21 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 21:20:17 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>Since you are trying to be provocative,

>Indeed.

>I'll be generous and call Allan merely misinformed on this  
>point. No, it is simply NOT true that "all, yes, all"  
>contemporary press reports gave a date of July 7 for Brazel  
>coming to town. This can be seen by actually reading the  
>contemporary news stories, not just quoting from some skeptic's  
>book. I have over 3 dozen such contemporary stories over at my  
>website that people can wade through and check this out for  
>themselves:

By all means be generous. What would your reply be if you were being, shall we say, ungenerous? I must congratulate you, genuinely, on your large collection of source material on Roswell. I have been through almost all of the newspaper cuttings on your website, plus a few of my own, and have concluded that what I originally wrote is essentially correct. There is no press report quoting a date for Brazel's trip to Roswell that gives any date other than Monday July 7. But see below.

>The same thing happened with the date of when Brazel came to  
>town. Sheriff Wilcox was again all over the map. He told UP on  
>July 8 that Brazel first came "the day before yesterday," or  
>July 6, but told AP that Brazel came to town on Monday, or July  
>7. Thus UP based stories reported Brazel coming to town on July  
>6 whereas AP based stories reported him coming to town on July 7  
>(based mostly on how Ramey was also spinning the story over in  
>Fort Worth). And since UP was the second largest wire-service  
>and accounted for the second-most contemporary Roswell stories  
>(maybe 15-20% of all newspaper accounts), it is complete  
>nonsense to claim that "all, yes, all" contemporary stories  
>cited July 7.

There is one UP wire that quotes the sherriff as saying Brazel did not report the finding until "the day before yesterday". Since this wire is dated July 8, it follows the date referred to is Sunday July 6. Point conceded to you (but only a small one). I think we can assume that to "report the finding the disc" means reporting it to sheriff Wilcox. Even so, recall that Brazel had first 'reported' the disc (though not to the sheriff) when he visited Corona on Saturday July 5. Thus my contention that none of the press reports give the date of Brazel's visit to Roswell as Sunday July 6 remains correct. Those that quote a specific date all quote Monday the 7th. >In one story that I know about, both dates were given. The Washington Post reported that the "disc" was finally identified after being in "official



hands" for nearly two days. That would mean "official hands" (Marcel and Cavitt) first took possession on July 6, or maybe early July 7. But later in the article, the Post reverted to mostly the AP reporting and now how Brazel coming to town on Monday, July 7, which contradicted their opening account.

The vague statement by writer John G.Norris that it had been in "official hands for nearly two days" simply means it was in official hands for perhaps half of July 7 and nearly all of July 8. Nothing sinister in that. I repeat: no mention of July 6. Perhaps the writer was a shade careless, but only a shade. >The New York Herald-Tribune similarly quoted Wilcox's UP quote of Brazel coming "the day before yesterday" or Sunday, and also added that intelligence officers (in the plural) visited the ranch and picked up the object \*\*\*"yesterday morning,"\*\*\* or Monday morning, July 7. Now if you think about that for a half millisecond, that wouldn't be possible if Brazel had truly come to town on Monday given the necessary lengthy travel delays. Marcel and Cavitt couldn't have gotten back to the Foster Ranch until late Monday. They could only have picked up the debris Monday morning if they had already gotten to the ranch on Sunday and spent the night there. Gee, doesn't that sound a lot like Marcel's story years later?

In a newspaper dated July 9, "yesterday morning" obviously refers to Tuesday July 8. It would be perfectly possible for Brazel, Marcel & Cavitt to go to the ranch on the 7th, arriving late afternoon, do perhaps 2 hours work, maybe stay one night and depart early next day, arriving Roswell, say, by 10 AM. Karl Pflock, whose book is far superior to anything written by the ETHers, and who has analysed the affair in great detail, casts doubts on whether Marcel stayed the night at all (Cavitt denied any such thing), but whether he did or didn't, there was certainly time for a few hours of debris gathering before driving back to the town. There was even time for a short spell at sunrise on the Tuesday. Sunrise 0456, sunset 1917 mountain time; I don't know if daylight saving was operating. And all of this could be done if Brazel first came to Roswell on Monday the 7th. (Pflock does concede that the one UP wire saying "the day before yesterday" is a slight blip on the dateline, but still comes down heavily in favor of Monday the 7th).

For the record: Brazel went into Corona late Saturday, spent Sunday gathering up some of the debris, presumably getting very fatigued doing so, and went into Roswell on the Monday. That is the time sequence as reported in all the papers that give a date for these activities.

Whether Marcel & Cavitt spent the night at the ranch is still an open question. If Marcel brought some of the stuff home at night then it appears that they did not stop over at the ranch. But there is no final answer to this. We can be as near certain as possible that Brazel first visited Roswell on the Monday and even more certain that Marcel brought the debris back on the Tuesday. The Sunday was a public holiday weekend!

The reason you and other ETHers desperately cling to the July 6 date is that without it other parts of the Roswell story will collapse like a pack of cards. "Everyone acting under Ramey's orders?" Baloney.

As for that UP wire, notice that it also says that Brazel first discovered the stuff "about three weeks ago" and that "Brazel did not bring the object to the sheriff's office". I doubt that either you or Stan Friedman would accept either of these quotes (STF certainly would not). But you do accept the "day before yesterday" quote. A case of selecting that which confirms your own ideas about the case, and rejecting that which does not. But that sums up a lot about the whole Roswell legend, whatever line you take, doesn't it?

I refuse to be drawn further on the Charles Moore trajectory affair. You refer to him as a "flagrant hoaxer" and to Karl Pflock as an "admitted hoaxer", and try to detract from his analysis of the case by also referring to him as an "ex-CIA/DOD employee", as if this in some way implies that he is not to be trusted. I have dealt effectively with the date Brazel came into Roswell. You should do two things: 1. Cease promoting this false Roswell dateline. 2. Desist from making condescending remarks about those who oppose your credulity over the affair. And with that, I rest my case.

CDA

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 10](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Allan

From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 18:12:19 +0100  
Fwd Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 08:15:48 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Allan

>From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 16:59:43 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catja90024.nul](mailto:catja90024.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 13:42:30 -0700 (PDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>Something happened in the desert near Roswell in 1947.  
Subsequently, countless documents were illegally destroyed. The  
behavior of the Military was abnormal, if not truly bizarre.

I am sorry but this will not do. There were plenty of destroyed  
documents emanating from Roswell AFB, covering the period  
October 1946 - December 1949. There is nothing to indicate any  
of these were connected with the Roswell Incident. The  
likelihood is that they were routine messages nobody was  
interested in keeping. The other point is that they were not  
"illegally destroyed". The confusion occurred in 1995 when the  
GAO Report was published. It was congressman Schiff's press  
release that gave a false impression of "illegally" destroyed  
records. The GAO Report itself uses quite a different  
phraseology. The true story is given in Karl Pflock's book, p.  
219-221.

The supposed illegality of the destruction of these records has,  
unfortunately, been perpetuated by some writers, but the truth  
is somewhat different.

CDA

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 10](#)

## Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars -

From: **Stuart Miller** <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 21:17:59 +0100 (BST)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 08:19:18 -0400  
Subject: Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars -

>From: Lan Fleming <[lfleming6.nul](mailto:lfleming6.nul)>  
>To: UFOUpdates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 22:52:28 -0500  
>Subject: Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 16:47:13 -0400  
>>Subject: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

>>Source: Yahoo News.Com

>>[http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20060806/ap\\_on\\_sc/life\\_on\\_mars\\_4](http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20060806/ap_on_sc/life_on_mars_4)

>>Sun Aug 6, 6:24 AM ET

>>After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

>>By Matt Crenson  
>>AP National Writer

><snip>

>How do they know that "few" scientists believe the Allan Hills  
>meteorite is evidence of life? Did they do a Gallup poll?

>The Houston Chronicle recently ran a story that gave fairer  
>coverage to the views of the JSC team that put forward the  
>Allen Hills meteorite as evidence of life on Mars:

><http://www.chron.com/disp/story.mpl/front/4095956.html>

>The author states one of the JSC team's key arguments that  
>seldom is addressed by their critics: "Alone, none of these  
>lines of evidence was strong enough to make a case for  
>extraterrestrial life. But with all four coming together at  
>one tiny point in the rock, where water had once been, they  
>thought the four lines of evidence were "strongly suggestive"  
>of ancient life."

>The AP article seems to have glossed over this point.

Hi Lan,

When it comes to the question of life on Mars, rightly or  
wrongly, and probably the latter, I think we, the general  
public, are victims of a massive conspiracy. That may well be a  
very hollow complaint and I am far too lazy to do any  
statistical research to back my assertion up and besides, its  
much more fun doing it "by proclomation". But I do have my  
reasons for alleging what I claim.

Published at virtually the same time as the story above, we also  
had another very negative story about the chance of life  
existing on Mars, namely this:

Hydrogen Peroxide Snow

<http://tinyurl.co.uk/im2x>

I have noticed this pattern before; a strong story with some credibility appears that seems to suggest a reasonable possibility that extraterrestrial life might exist, in this case possibly the Buzz Aldrin story, is then followed straight away by a smackdown, just to stop us getting too uppity.

As I say, I have noticed this pattern before and I think it's a conspiracy.

Other than the fact that I am completely nuts, what do you think?

Stuart Miller

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 10](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 16:46:51 -0500 (CDT)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 09:06:09 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Shell

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 20:06:17 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

>We live in a highly imperfect world. People don't always follow  
>protocol. Sometimes they inadvertantly slip up. Sometimes they  
>ignore the rules if they think it important enough. Some talk  
>to people they trust, like family members; some don't. Human  
>beings aren't totally predictable. That's the way the real world  
>is.

I'm curious as to what people think is the moment that the  
Roswell event became a 'military' event. Up to a certain point,  
it apparently wasn't a military concern.

The Army was only contacted after the fact, and apparently  
wasn't out actively looking for something important they knew  
they lost in the first place. So if Marcel brought some of the  
funny stuff home for the wife and kids to look at, maybe he  
didn't feel like he was 'on the clock', and had to think about  
security protocols.

It's just some funny tin-foil. Who would guess that it might be  
Russian, or after that, alien? In 1947, who would even think of  
such a thing?

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 10](#)

## Roswell Debris [was: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV

From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 19:10:27 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 09:10:22 -0400  
Subject: Roswell Debris [was: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV

>From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 16:59:43 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>With regard to the wreckage, and it's strange properties, we  
>are only now creating alloys possessing the same properties as  
>those of the crash debris.

Shape Memory Alloys?

-----

<http://herkules.oulu.fi/isbn9514252217/html/x317.html>

### 2.3.1. History of shape memory alloys

The first reported steps towards the discovery of the shape memory effect were taken in the 1930s. According to Otsuka and Wayman (1998), A. Olander discovered the pseudoelastic behavior of the Au-Cd alloy in 1932.

Greninger & Mooradian (1938) observed the formation and disappearance of a martensitic phase by decreasing and increasing the temperature of a Cu-Zn alloy.

The basic phenomenon of the memory effect governed by the thermoelastic behavior of the martensite phase was widely reported a decade later by Kurdjumov & Khandros (1949) and also by Chang & Read (1951).

In the early 1960s, Buehler and his co-workers at the U.S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory discovered the shape memory effect in an equiatomic alloy of nickel and titanium, which can be considered a breakthrough in the field of shape memory materials (Buehler et al. 1967). This alloy was named Nitinol (Nickel-Titanium Naval Ordnance Laboratory).

Since that time, intensive investigations have been made to elucidate the mechanics of its basic behavior.

The first efforts to exploit the potential of NiTi as an implant material were made by Johnson and Alicandri in 1968 (Castleman et al. 1976).

The use of NiTi for medical applications was first reported in the 1970s (Cutright et al. 1973, Iwabuchi et al. 1975, Castleman et al. 1976, Simon et al. 1977).

In the early 1980s the idea attained more support, and some orthodontic and mainly experimental orthopedic applications were released.

It was only in the mid-1990s, however, that the first widespread commercial stent applications made their breakthrough in medicine.

The use of NiTi as a biomaterial is fascinating because of its superelasticity and shape memory effect, which are completely

new properties compared to the conventional metal alloys.

-----

John

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 10](#)

## Light Shed On UK Hill Mystery

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 09:26:15 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 09:26:15 -0400  
Subject: Light Shed On UK Hill Mystery

Source: The Shropshire Star - UK

[http://www.shropshirestar.com/show\\_article.php?aID=47476](http://www.shropshirestar.com/show_article.php?aID=47476)

Aug 09, 2006

Light Shed On Hill Mystery

The mystery behind two white lights on Shropshire's favourite hill, which puzzled people for miles around, was finally solved today.

Wrekin-watchers have been baffled about the source of the lights for more than a week. Some suggested it might be a UFO, while others claimed it might be cars driving to the top of the 1,335ft hill.

But the Shropshire Star can today reveal that the lights are part of new security measures to stop vandals attacking the TV mast and communications equipment on the hill.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 10](#)

## UK Floating Lanterns Not UFOs

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 09:32:23 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 09:32:23 -0400  
Subject: UK Floating Lanterns Not UFOs

Source: Sunderland Today - Sunderland, Pennywell, UK

<http://tinyurl.co.uk/8ghc>

09 August 2006

The Truth Revealed!

Party pranksters today admitted hoaxing hundreds into thinking alien ships were circling our skies.

The Ministry of Defence (MoD) launched an X-Files-style probe after the Echo reported that dozens of our readers had spotted UFOs floating over Sunderland and Seaham 10 days ago.

But the truth is out there now. Seaham couple Paul McKinney and Emma Henfrey have revealed what was really in the sky that night.

Paul, 28, and Emma, 30, celebrated moving into their new home in Wycliffe Road, Westlea, by holding a party for friends and set floating lanterns, bought by neighbour Quentin Carr, into the air.

But the orbs, which glowed bright orange then silvery white, caused a real stir and some stargazers thought they were seeing extraterrestrial spaceships overhead.

Paul was going to remain tight-lipped about the prank, but when he heard the MoD was investigating, the systems analyst contacted the Echo.

He said: "It was an awesome experience to watch these lanterns float up and away and we never though for a second that people would think that they were aliens.

"I wasn't going to say anything because I thought it was quite funny, but then my cousin saw something in the Echo about the MOD investigating so I thought I better tell.

"I thought, with all that's happening in the world at the minute and the increased terrorism level in the UK I should put people's minds at rest."

He added: "Maybe there are extraterrestrials out there, but they did not visit Seaham on July 29, I can assure you."

The lanterns party-goers set off that night are on sale on the internet for about =A310 and carry a manufacturers' warning that they have been mistaken for UFOs.

They can fly up to 1,000ft high in the sky and look just like hot air balloons =96 they are made from a plastic bag, copper wire and paraffin cube which glows as the fuel burns slowly propelling the device into the air.

Witnesses who spotted the lanterns over our coast were convinced they were seeing UFOs.

Harry McCall, 67, and his wife Elizabeth of Stockton Road, Seaham, reported seeing the lights.

Mr McCall said: "I said they were UFOs until I was convinced otherwise, and now I have been.

"It is quite funny to find out and it's good to know an explanation."

The balloons were also spotted floating over the skies of Wheatley Hill.

Ian Craggs, 50, his wife Melanie, 39, caught them on film for eight minutes. Stills of these images have been taken by the Echo.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [ufoupdateslist.com](http://ufoupdateslist.com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 10](#)

## Intelligent Design On Another Planet?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 09:39:23 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 09:39:23 -0400  
Subject: Intelligent Design On Another Planet?

Source: The Conservative Voice.Com - Kernersville,  
North Carolina, USA

<http://www.theconservativevoice.com/article/16804.html>

August 09, 2006

Intelligent Design On Another Planet?  
by Babu Ranganathan

Imagine finding a planet where robots are programmed so that they can make other robots just like themselves from raw materials.

Now, imagine an alien visitor coming to the planet and, after many years of studying these robots, coming to the conclusion that since science can explain how these robots work, function, and reproduce there's no reason to believe that there was an ultimate intelligent designer behind them.

The analogy above certainly is not perfect but it is sufficient to reveal the fallacious thinking of those who attack intelligent design behind life and the universe.

Chance physical processes can produce some level of order but it is not rational to believe that the highest levels of order in life and the universe are by chance. For example, amino acids have been shown to be able to come into existence by chance but not more complex structures such as proteins which require that the various amino acids be in a precise sequence, just like the letters in a sentence. A single cell has millions of proteins.

There is no innate chemical tendency for amino acids to bond with one another in a sequence. Any one amino acid can just as easily bond with any other. The only reason at all for why the various amino acids bond with one another in a precise sequence in the cells of our bodies is because they're directed to do so by an already existing sequence of molecules in our genetic code. Without being in a proper sequence protein molecules will not function.

The sequence of molecules in DNA (the genetic code) determines the sequence of molecules in proteins. Furthermore, without DNA there cannot be RNA, but without RNA there cannot be DNA. And without either DNA or RNA there cannot be proteins, but without proteins there cannot be DNA or RNA. They're all mutually dependent upon each other for existence!

If humans must use intelligence to perform genetic engineering, to meaningfully manipulate the genetic code, then what does that say about the origin of the genetic code itself!

If the cell had evolved it would have had to be all at once. A partially evolved cell cannot wait millions of years to become complete because it would be highly unstable and quickly disintegrate in the open environment.

The great British scientist Sir Frederick Hoyle has said that the probability of the sequence of molecules in the simplest cell coming into existence by chance is equivalent to a tornado going through a junk yard of airplane parts and assembling a 747 Jumbo Jet!

Considering the enormous complexity of life, it is much more logical to believe that the genetic and biological similarities between all species is due to a common Designer rather than common biological ancestry. It is only logical that the great Designer would design similar functions for similar purposes and different functions for different purposes in all of the various forms of life.

What if we should find evidence of life on Mars? Wouldn't that prove evolution? No. It wouldn't be proof that such life had evolved from non-living matter by chance natural processes. And even if we did find evidence of life on Mars it would have most likely have come from our very own planet - Earth! In the Earth's past there was powerful volcanic activity which could have easily spewed dirt containing microbes into outer space which eventually could have reached Mars. A Newsweek article of September 21, 1998, p.12 mentions exactly this possibility.

Contrary to popular belief, scientists have never created life in the laboratory. What scientists have done is genetically alter or engineer already existing forms of life, and by doing this scientists have been able to produce new forms of life. However, they did not produce these new life forms from non-living matter. Even if scientists ever do produce life from non-living matter it won't be by chance so it still wouldn't help support any argument for evolution.

We also know from the law of entropy in science that the universe does not have the ability to have sustained itself from eternity. The existence and complexity of the universe point to a Supreme Designer and Creator! The reader is encouraged to read the author's essay "Creationists Right On Entropy, Evolution" (at [www.Ideamarketers.com](http://www.Ideamarketers.com)).

Those advocating the teaching of intelligent design are not demanding that Darwinian theory no longer be taught. Rather, the advocates of intelligent design want the merits of both theories taught side by side when the issue of origins is covered in science classes and textbooks. This is only fair.

Science cannot prove we are here by either design (creation) or by chance (evolution), but students should have full information available to decide which position science best supports.

What we believe about life's origins does influence our philosophy and value of life as well as our view of ourselves and others. This is no small issue!

Just because the laws of science can explain how life and the universe operate and work doesn't mean there is no Maker. Would it be rational to believe that there's no designer behind airplanes because the laws of science can explain how airplanes operate and work?

Natural laws are adequate to explain how the order in life, the universe, and even a microwave oven operates, but mere undirected natural laws cannot fully explain the origin of such order.

There is, of course, much more to be said on this subject. Scientist, creationist, debater, writer, and lecturer, Dr. Walt Brown covers various scientific issues ( i.e. fossils, biological variation and diversity, the origin of life, comparative anatomy and embryology, the issue of vestigial organs, the age of the earth, etc. ) at greater depth in his website at [www.creationscience.com](http://www.creationscience.com). Another excellent source of information from highly qualified scientists who are creationists is the Institute for Creation Research ([www.icr.org](http://www.icr.org)) in San Diego, California.

Babu G. Ranganathan

The author, Babu G. Ranganathan, is an experienced Christian writer. He has his B.A. with academic concentrations in Bible

and Biology. As a religion and science writer he has been recognized in the 24th edition of Marquis Who's Who In The East. The author has a website at: [www.religionscience.com](http://www.religionscience.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 10](#)

## UFO Enthusiasts To Set Down In Hooper

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 09:44:50 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 09:44:50 -0400  
Subject: UFO Enthusiasts To Set Down In Hooper

Source: The Pueblo Chieftain - Colorado, USA

<http://www.chieftain.com/metro/1155124801/32>

August 09, 2006

[images at site]

UFO Enthusiasts To Set Down In Hooper

By Erin Smith  
The Pueblo Chieftain

HOOPER - The annual celebration for space people in every shape, size and color - and the craft they fly - is scheduled Saturday and Sunday at the UFO Watchtower.

The UFO Watchtower in cooperation with Colorado MUFON will sponsor the 2006 UFOlympics, a conference about the extraterrestrial. Bill Winkler will be master of ceremonies for the two-day event.

Wanda Wornick, acting postmaster from the Hooper Post Office, will be available to cancel letters and post cards with a special UFO stamp from 9 to 11 a.m.

Among the scheduled speakers on Saturday are Sasquatch speakers Joe Fex and Jack 'Kewaunee' Lapseritis, 9 and 10:30 a.m., respectively; UFO speakers Alejandro Rojas, 1 p.m.; Mac and Susan McManaway, 2:30 p.m.; and Chuck Zukowski and Debbie Zieglemeyer, 4 p.m.

A prayer circle and American Indian storyteller Priscilla Wolf will finish the day.

Sunday will feature abductee and healing speaker Suki at 9 a.m.; Poly Cady, at 10:30 a.m.; and disclosure project witness Franklin Carter at 1:30 p.m.

Cost is \$20 prepaid, \$30 at the door. Overnight camping costs \$10.50 a vehicle.

The gift shop features a variety of UFO memorabilia and paperback books published by Earth Star Publications, including "That Crazy Lady Down The Road" by Watchtower owner Judy Messoline and "Stranded On Earth: The Story of a Roswell Crash Survivor" by one of last year's popular speakers, Sanni Emyetti Ceto.

Messoline's book, which sells for \$21.95, explains why she relocated to the San Luis Valley from the Denver area in 1999. The book is full of stories about people from around the world who come to the tower, sightings of space ships in the area and other paranormal happenings.

Sanni Emyetti Ceto

Ceto claims she is the reincarnated survivor of the space ship that crashed near Roswell, N.M., in July 1947. Her book, telling the story of the crash and her reincarnated life, sells for \$11.97.

For a flyer, more information or reservations contact Messoline at the UFO Watchtower, 719-378-2271 or visit [www.ufowatchtower.com](http://www.ufowatchtower.com) .

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [ufoupdateslist.com](http://ufoupdateslist.com) > 2006 > Aug > Aug 10

## 2nd Bahrain UFO Witness

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 10:05:11 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 10:05:11 -0400  
Subject: 2nd Bahrain UFO Witness

Source: The Gulf Daily News - Bahrain

<http://tinyurl.com/jllwx>

10th August, 2006

[Image at site]

UFO Mystery  
By Mandeep Singh

MANAMA

A Second GDN reader has come forward claiming to have seen a UFO over Bahrain on Monday evening, but one of the country's top astronomers has dismissed suggestions that it was a flying saucer. Indian Joy Michael, aged 35, claimed he saw a "strange sight" over the Bahrain Financial Harbour (BFH) at around 6.10pm while he was driving home from work.

Mr Michael, who works as an administration and finance officer at Techind, said he told his friends about it, but they also dismissed the sighting. "I was driving home from work with a colleague of mine at 6.10pm when I saw this apparently revolving dish-like thing for a few seconds," said Mr Michael told the GDN yesterday. "But the report in the GDN proved something was there, for a while."

The GDN carried a picture on its front page yesterday taken by a Sri Lankan couple, which they claimed showed a UFO that flew over them as they were driving along the Sitra Causeway. Engineer Omerdeen Hamad Idrees, aged 35, said he his wife, Shiyara, took the picture when they were on their way to Sitra Mall with their four-year-old son Imran at around 9pm. Mr Idrees, who works for Products for Projects, said the object moved very slowly in the sky and made circular movements over Tubli Bay before passing over his car.

However, Bahrain University applied physics professor Dr Waheeb Alnaser claimed there was probably a rational explanation.

He admitted that Mr Idrees and his wife could have seen a strange phenomenon, but doubted that it was a flying saucer.

"What they saw, and I am only guessing, is some reflection on the horizon - possibly from satellite panels," the Bahrain Astronomical Society vice-chairman told the GDN.

"This is not a very rare happening, but at the same time is not seen very often."

He said sometimes one, two or even three "lighted dots" appear on the horizon as a result of these reflections - giving a "flying saucer like" impression to an ordinary person.

Dr Alnaser also dismissed as "preposterous" a suggestion by

another GDN reader that the picture in the GDN was of the planet Mars.

"On the contrary, Mars is getting further and further away from the Earth these days and cannot be visible to the naked eye," he said.

Meanwhile, Mr Idrees was still adamant yesterday that what he saw was a UFO. "We definitely saw it," he insisted. "It was something we have never seen before. "I am sure it was 'something', never mind what anyone says. It was really touching - it was so near."

Civil Aviation Affairs refused to comment on the subject yesterday.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 10](#)

## Bahrain UFO Debunker

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 21:39:03 EDT  
Fwd Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 10:09:21 -0400  
Subject: Bahrain UFO Debunker

<http://tinyurl.com/jllwx>

Okay, now I've had my laugh of the year.

In this update to the recent story regarding a UFO photographed by a husband and wife in Bahrain, another witness comes forward to corroborate them.

Yet look at the statement made by Bahrain University professor Dr. Alnaser.

Quote:

However, Bahrain University applied physics professor Dr Waheeb Alnaser claimed there was probably a rational explanation.

He admitted that Mr Idrees and his wife could have seen a strange phenomenon, but doubted that it was a flying saucer.

"What they saw, and I am only guessing, is some reflection on the horizon - possibly from satellite panels," the Bahrain Astronomical Society vice-chairman told the GDN.

"This is not a very rare happening, but at the same time is not seen very often."

WTH? That's gotta be the debunker clunker of the year.

Anyone got a better debunker quote funnier?

I'm sure the best so far has been time travelling crash test dummies from the future offered up by our own Air Force in a million dollar taxpayer funded second Roswell report.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 10](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Sawers

From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 15:37:19 +1000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 10:28:18 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Sawers

>From: Kevin Randle <[KevikRandle993.nul](mailto:KevikRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 7 Aug 2006 10:02:21 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catja90024.nul](mailto:catja90024.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 13:42:30 -0700 (PDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 17:31:07 -0300  
>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

><snip>

>>Gosh, isn't this fun. Arguing over the date when whatever  
>>crashed - "last week", yesterday, or tomorrow. Who said it had  
>>to be a Mogul weather balloon? And, that the crash had to fit  
>>with some launching of a weather balloon involved in Dr. Moore's  
>>research project?

>Why all those who suggest that Mogul answers the question of  
>what crashed in Roswell, of course.

>>Brazel persuaded to "change his story"? You mean those poor old  
>>stupid ranch hands who were intimidated by "military threats"?  
>>What a put down of the intelligence of rural farming/ranching  
>>Americans. Programmed? What a presumptuous assumption. How about  
>>somebody explained to Brazel exactly what he saw - with actual  
>>pieces/evidence - and he realized the mistake he made and the  
>>possible trouble he caused. No? Got to have aircraft from the  
>>beyond? Okay.

>I'm not sure how you get from persuaded to intimidated... in my  
>talks with Bill Brazel, Jr., Bill told me that the military  
>explained to his father the importance of keeping the secret and  
>Mack agreed to it. So your assumption about the intelligence of  
>the rural farming ranching community is your assumption and  
>nothing more.

>And please don't forget that Brazel told reporters that he had  
>found weather balloons before and what he found this time was  
>nothing like that... except, that if it was Mogul, it would have  
>been exactly like that, the only difference would have been  
>several balloon envelopes rather than one.

...and don't forget the new truck from out of the blue!

William

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 10](#)

## Re: Roswell Debris - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 10:20:16 EDT  
Fwd Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 10:32:34 -0400  
Subject: Re: Roswell Debris - Gammon

>From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 19:10:27 -0500  
>Subject: Roswell Debris [was: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview]

>>From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 16:59:43 EDT  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>With regard to the wreckage, and it's strange properties, we  
>>are only now creating alloys possessing the same properties as  
>>those of the crash debris.

>Shape Memory Alloys?

<snip>

I was thinking more along the lines of the metallic resins that are being produced especially with regards to solid state aircraft.

As you may know, with such aircraft, it is the material itself which is the driving force for propulsion, thus lacking any engine. When an electric current is passed through a metallic resin, the affected part will bend, flap, move etc., in a specific way.

Solid-state aircraft are light enough to actually be able to flap their wings and fly like a bird.

The last I heard, NASA was investigating the use of Solid-State aircraft for use in planetary missions.

Solid State Aircraft

<http://tinyurl.com/zcd8a>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 10](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 10:33:03 EDT  
Fwd Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 11:14:10 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Gammon

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 18:12:19 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 16:59:43 EDT  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>Something happened in the desert near Roswell in 1947.  
>>Subsequently, countless documents were illegally destroyed. The  
>>behavior of the Military was abnormal, if not truly bizarre.

>I am sorry but this will not do.

This will not do?

>There were plenty of destroyed  
>documents emanating from Roswell AFB, covering the period  
>October 1946 - December 1949. There is nothing to indicate any  
>of these were connected with the Roswell Incident.

Yes, because they were destroyed. Had those records been  
available, who knows what may have been proved or disproved.

>The likelihood is that they were routine messages nobody was  
>interested in keeping.

That would be speculation. I'm fond of what I refer to as  
"intelligent speculation", myself. However, this is not an  
example of such.

>The other point is that they were not  
>"illegally destroyed." The confusion occurred in 1995 when the  
>GAO Report was published. It was congressman Schiff's press  
>release that gave a false impression of "illegally" destroyed  
>records. The GAO Report itself uses quite a different  
>phraseology. The true story is given in Karl Pflock's book, p.  
>219-221.

Forgive me if I don't automatically accept what Karl Pflock  
writes as Biblical truth. You have aroused my curiosity, though.  
I shall converse with a few contacts to see if the destruction  
of such documents is indeed illegal.

>The supposed illegality of the destruction of these records has,  
>unfortunately, been perpetuated by some writers, but the truth  
>is somewhat different.

We shall see.

- Jason Gammon

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 10](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 11:18:25 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 11:28:20 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Kaeser

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 18:12:19 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 16:59:43 EDT  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

>>Something happened in the desert near Roswell in 1947.  
>Subsequently, countless documents were illegally destroyed. The  
>behavior of the Military was abnormal, if not truly bizarre.

>I am sorry but this will not do. There were plenty of destroyed  
>documents emanating from Roswell AFB, covering the period  
>October 1946 - December 1949. There is nothing to indicate any  
>of these were connected with the Roswell Incident. The  
>likelihood is that they were routine messages nobody was  
>interested in keeping. The other point is that they were not  
>"illegally destroyed". The confusion occurred in 1995 when the  
>GAO Report was published. It was congressman Schiff's press  
>release that gave a false impression of "illegally" destroyed  
>records. The GAO Report itself uses quite a different  
>phraseology. The true story is given in Karl Pflock's book, p.  
>219-221.

>The supposed illegality of the destruction of these records has,  
>unfortunately, been perpetuated by some writers, but the truth  
>is somewhat different.

>CDA

Gee. Have you read the actual report, or merely pulled your knowledge from Karl's book? Were you involved in any of the discussions during the time period, which were on going and reviewed most of these issues when they were much fresher in everyone's minds?

Yes, the GAO uncovered the fact that a number of communication transmissions, that should have been available, had been destroyed and there was no collaborating authorization for that destruction (or disposal). The fact that the records weren't available and that there was no official record of who authorized their destruction/disposal is probably a violation of regulations, but this also wasn't an unusual situation. Some researchers looked at the availability of communication records from other bases during the late 40's and early 50's and I recall that other gaps were found without any record of who authorized the distruction/disposal, so this isn't a unique situation that deserves a lot of attention (IMO).

The GAO probe was something the Air Force did not appreciate, and they were very "official" in their responses to the Congressional entity. The late Jack Anderson had some interesting thoughts from the lead GAO investigator, who indicated that the Air Force was stonewalling. But that's

anecdotal at this point and doesn't really lead anywhere.

Congressman Schiff's next step in his effort would have been to seek a Congressional hearing into the Roswell Event, and have the military explain why these records weren't available and carry the investigation further. But that would require political clout, or support from other Representatives, and at a time when the news of the day related to the Clinton/Lewiski affair and Congress was focused on trashing the Democratic Administration and its policies, that support just wasn't there. Congressman Schiff did not believe that the GAO investigation closed the book on this matter, but he also knew that he was unique in that his constituency was supportive of his inquiry into the Roswell event. As you are probably aware, he did not actually represent Roswell, NM, but the constituents in "his" District expressed an interest in seeking answers and he was willing to oblige.

FYI, I am still a staffer at the US House, and I was in touch with Congressman Schiff's office during this time period. Karl Pflock was married to the District Office Director for Congressman Schiff in Albuquerque, and I was in touch with both Karl and the office for several years while this "investigation" was underway. We agreed to disagree on some aspects of the Roswell history, but had respect for each other's viewpoint.

So, whose myths do you want to perpetuate today.....

Steve

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 11](#)

## UFO Spotted Over Bahrain's Tree Of Life

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 06:33:35 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 06:33:35 -0400  
Subject: UFO Spotted Over Bahrain's Tree Of Life

Source: The Gulf Daily News - Bahrain

<http://tinyurl.co.uk/prww>

11 August 2006

UFO Spotted Over The Tree Of Life\*

A report of a green and white revolving light over the Tree of Life yesterday became the latest claimed sighting of a UFO in Bahrain.

Pakistani Mohammed Adnan, aged 40, claimed he and six others saw it in the skies over Sakhir three weeks ago.

"We were all fascinated at the sight and were convinced it was most unusual," said Mr Adnan, who is in Bahrain visiting relatives. "We had never seen anything quite like it."

He said the object was visible for a few seconds before it disappeared into the clouds at around 7pm.

"It was first visible as a green revolving light, which turned white before it vanished," he said.

"When we reached home we told the others what we had seen and they said we were imagining things." Mr Adnan said he decided to come forward after reading other reports of UFO sightings over the Sitra Causeway and Bahrain Financial Harbour on Monday.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

-----

\*The Tree Of Life

<http://www.come2bahrain.com/attractions/others.html>

[Images at site]

The Tree of Life is a well-matured acacia tree that stands alone in the otherwise barren desert and grows where no other vegetation or water can be seen nearby. Its green foliage provides welcome shade and it is a popular picnic spot, but its source of water still remains a mystery, even to this day.

It is situated approximately 2 kilometres south of Jebel Dukhan where the Oil Museum and Oil Well No.1 are located.

Legend says that if one touches it, one will live longer.

---

ebk

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 11](#)

## Did Aliens Visit Balham And Tooting?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 06:38:49 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 06:38:49 -0400  
Subject: Did Aliens Visit Balham And Tooting?

Source: The Wandsworth Putney Guardian - London, UK

<http://tinyurl.co.uk/6xpt>

Thursday 10th August 2006

Did Aliens Visit Balham And Tooting?  
By Adrian Kajumba

There were some strange and spooky goings on in the skies last week, prompting one observer to eerily predict "I think there is something out there."

Stunned witnesses described how gobsmacked crowds were drawn out onto the streets to watch two sets of unidentified shining bright orange lights fly perfectly in formation through the skies above Balham and Tooting.

The UFOs, which lit up the skies for a short while before shooting up and disappearing into the distance just before midnight last Friday, also had people standing in their gardens trying to capture the action on video and mobile phones.

And the unexplained phenomenon that left Balham and Tooting's Mulders and Scullys stumped also had the Ministry Of Defence (MOD) scratching their heads.

Baffled Newham College Site manager Peter Dacey, 48, saw the lights when he was going to visit a friends house in Balham.

He said he saw four lights coming towards him at a steady speed before they went up into the sky and disappeared. Another four followed after that.

He said: "They were making no noise at all even though they were not far off. There was an aeroplane further off that we could hear. There was no way they were fireworks. If they were a helicopter or a plane we would have heard something. I just can't work it out. It was unbelievable."

Peter's equally baffled girlfriend Noriko Shimada, 46, a fashion designer from Balham, who was with him at the time said: "There was no sound and it was just the lights so I thought it was very weird. I have no idea what it could be."

Antonio Petrosino, manager of Double Espresso, Balham High Road, also saw the lights after leaving work and was joined by staff from another restaurant staring into the skies in amazement. He said: "Who knows what they were. It could be anything. You could name it as a UFO but you just can't say. I believe there is something out there. Definitely."

An MOD spokeswoman said: "We are not aware of what these lights could be."

Sightings of UFOs and extra-terrestrial activity in the skies

are not alien' to Wandsworth and south west London.

In March earlier this year The Wandsworth Borough News reported how two work pals spotted an unidentified flying silver triangle in Putney while they sat at their office desks.

While in February another reader contacted the paper after seeing "strange lights" in the sky.

And according to information released by the MOD under the Freedom of Information act earlier this year, reported UFO sightings have been recorded three times in Wimbledon in 2002 and twice more in Southfields.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 11](#)

Secrecy News -- 08/10/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 13:24:30 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 06:53:27 -0400  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 08/10/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 89  
August 10, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

\*\* RECIPIENTS OF "LEAKS" MAY BE PROSECUTED, COURT RULES

RECIPIENTS OF "LEAKS" MAY BE PROSECUTED, COURT RULES

In a momentous expansion of the government's authority to regulate public disclosure of national security information, a federal court ruled that even private citizens who do not hold security clearances can be prosecuted for unauthorized receipt and disclosure of classified information.

The August 9 ruling by Judge T.S. Ellis, III, denied a motion to dismiss the case of two former employees of the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) who were charged under the Espionage Act with illegally receiving and transmitting classified information.

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/jud/rosen080906.pdf>

The decision is a major interpretation of the Espionage Act with implications that extend far beyond this particular case.

The Judge ruled that any First Amendment concerns regarding freedom of speech involving national defense information can be superseded by national security considerations.

"Although the question whether the government's interest in preserving its national defense secrets is sufficient to trump the First Amendment rights of those not in a position of trust with the government [i.e. not holding security clearances] is a more difficult question, and although the authority addressing this issue is sparse, both common sense and the relevant precedent point persuasively to the conclusion that the government can punish those outside of the government for the unauthorized receipt and deliberate retransmission of information relating to the national defense," Judge Ellis wrote (p. 53).

The provisions of the Espionage Act are not impermissibly overbroad or unconstitutional, the Judge ruled, because they are limited by the requirements that the prohibited behavior be both knowing and willful.

"The government must... prove that the person alleged to have violated these provisions knew the [restricted] nature of the information, knew that the person with whom they were communicating was not entitled to the information, and knew that such communication was illegal, but proceeded nonetheless."

"Finally, with respect only to intangible information [as opposed to documents], the government must prove that the defendant had a reason to believe that the disclosure of the information could harm the United States or aid a foreign nation..."

"So construed, the statute is narrowly and sensibly tailored to serve the government's legitimate interest in protecting the national security, and its effect on First Amendment freedoms is neither real nor substantial as judged in relation to this legitimate sweep," Judge Ellis wrote (p. 63).

Others will disagree.

For example, the classified 2004 report of Maj. Gen. Antonio Taguba on prisoner abuse Abu Ghraib prison clearly fit the court's description of national defense information that is closely held by the government. Moreover, its unauthorized disclosure was likely to, and did in fact, harm the United States. And yet that disclosure also served an important national purpose in prompting a public debate over U.S. policy on prisoner detention and interrogation.

But under Judge Ellis' new interpretation, those reporters and others who communicated this information to the public could apparently be prosecuted under the Espionage Act.

Judge Ellis concluded his opinion by noting that the provisions of the Espionage Act "have remained largely unchanged since the administration of William Howard Taft."

Technological and other changes over the past century "should suggest to even the most casual observer that the time is ripe for Congress to engage in a thorough review and revision of these provisions to ensure that they reflect both these changes, and contemporary views about the appropriate balance between our nation's security and our citizens' ability to engage in public debate about the United States' conduct in the society of nations."

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:  
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)



## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 11](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson

From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 14:40:22 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 06:55:06 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Hutchinson

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 21:18:44 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Bruce Hutchinson <[bhutch.nul](mailto:bhutch.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 00:19:13 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>I'm going to butt in here because I was a senior intelligence officer and I can speak from experience. Just like Marcel, I'm a Major and I have intelligence experience in both the Air Force and the Army. And, I have one other qualification... I have spoken, at length, to Jesse Marcel, Jr.

>So, given that, I say that Marcel did nothing wrong, that he understood the situation completely and thought that it was of sufficient importance to wake his family. At the time he arrived home, the debris was not classified... and I'm sure that he anticipated that it would be. And he took a risk, but it was an educated risk, based on what had happened in the last few days, in the belief that what he had was not Soviet (in which case, he would have acted just as you postulate) and that it was one of the flying saucers.

Well, I respect your opinion and, of course, it comes from one who has considerable expertise. So what we have is two views on what proper procedure in a case like this would be. But is worth noting that according to Kevin, Marcel did take a risk here (assuming that the debris was as Marcel described in 1978).

<snip>

>>Well, Stan, you're wrong again, as usual. My opinion is that >>Blanchard's actions were perfectly in line with what most any >>commander would have done under the same circumstances, given >>the excitement of the day (all those Flying Disk reports), and >>was nothing that should cause comment or problems one way or the >>other. The whole 'incident' was a non-event, and as it >>obviously had no ill effects on the careers of any of the >>players, the Air Force thought so too.

>>Stan. We've still not heard from you regarding this question >>that I have posed to you several times - That is the simple fact >>that Maj. Jesse Marcel's reported actions were totally >>inconsistent with how the senior intelligence officer at RAAF B >>would have handled the highly anomalous material with obvious >>intelligence value he described to you - "not of this world".

>I'll answer the question for you... As a senior intelligence officer, understanding the regulations and the situation, I see >nothing inconsistent with Marcel's action. This really is a non- >argument here. Marcel did go home and he did show it to his >family.

Be g to differ, but as you pointed out above, Marcel's actions were risky. Given the highly anomalous descriptions, there is

still room for an argument that Marcel probably shouldn't have brought it home.

But, at least you provided an answer to my questions.

Bruce Hutchinson

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 11](#)

## Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 15:47:49 EDT  
Fwd Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 07:07:03 -0400  
Subject: Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens

I've you've been watching US television of late you should have come across a serialized commercial campaign to get people to drink more milk.

It's surrounds the adventures of a group of humanoid aliens who suffer from weak bones and injuries that only milk can remedy.

They send two aliens to Earth and they're convince a dairy cow - hence the name Da Iry - is a creature of high intelligence and the granter of the miracle elixir.

These commercials are very warm and funny and a good giggle look at the ETH.

You can probably find them on YouTube.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 11](#)

## Re: Roswell Debris - Scheldroup

From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 14:50:57 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 07:09:49 -0400  
Subject: Re: Roswell Debris - Scheldroup

>From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 10:20:16 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Roswell Debris

>>From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 19:10:27 -0500  
>>Subject: Roswell Debris [was: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview]

>>>From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 16:59:43 EDT  
>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>With regard to the wreckage, and it's strange properties, we  
>>>are only now creating alloys possessing the same properties as  
>>>those of the crash debris.

>>Shape Memory Alloys?

><snip>

>I was thinking more along the lines of the metallic resins that  
>are being produced especialy with regards to solid state  
>aircraft.

>As you may know, with such aircraft, it is the material itself  
>which is the driving force for propulsion, thus lacking any  
>engine. When an electric current is passed through a metallic  
>resin, the affected part will bend, flap, move etc., in a  
>specific way.

>Solid-state aircraft are light enough to actually be able to  
>flap their wings and fly like a bird.

>The last I heard, NASA was investigating the use of Solid-State  
>aircraft for use in planetary missions.

>Solid State Aircraft

><http://tinyurl.com/zcd8a>

Holy batwings batman.. there goes one of those piezoelectric  
flapping bats, though I doubt those tiny moments of torque much  
heavier then a bat even gets off the ground and this is just as  
well, those NACA folks would start to wonder about their airfoil  
jobs going obsolete... one more thing to think about when will  
we decide to flap instead of fly.

<http://tinyurl.com/s3ybo>

Micro Warfare

Small, smart and deadly, micro air vehicles swarm onto the  
battlefield.

Published on: January 1, 2001

"Creating lift, the force that keeps flying machines aloft, and maintaining control once airborne, involves a host of aerodynamic factors. The most important characteristics can be summarized in what aerodynamics experts call a Reynolds Number. Large, fast-flying commercial aircraft- a Boeing 747, for example-have high Reynolds Numbers, well exceeding 100 million, says Thomas J. Mueller, Roth-Gibson Professor of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering at the University of Notre Dame in Indiana. As planes shrink and move more slowly, their associated Reynolds Numbers decline."

John

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 11](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catja90024.nul](mailto:catja90024.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 13:00:52 -0700 (PDT)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 12:09:36 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Kasten

>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 16:46:51 -0500 (CDT)  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

>It's just some funny tin-foil. Who would guess that it might be  
>Russian, or after that, alien? In 1947, who would even think of  
>such a thing?

The retrieved Roswell-Dig material supports tin foil, parchment and some funny looking sticks. All of this material is sitting in a closet at the University of New Mexico, in the Department of Archaeology. What seems to be the problem on this List is that nobody has read the Doleman Report the SciFi Channel published. And, the other problem is that the SciFi Channel isn't interested in pursuing analysis of the debris because it isn't exotic - no headline story for the Channel to promote.

However, as soon as the analysis happens - if pressure to do so is put on the SciFi Channel - this case will be closed with a pronouncement something like a "weather balloon" or reflecting target.

KK

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 11](#)

## Re: UFO Over Bahrain? - McNatt

From: **Rand McNatt** <[randmcnatt.nul](mailto:randmcnatt.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 13:44:05 -0700 (PDT)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 12:14:08 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFO Over Bahrain? - McNatt

UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
posted:

>Source: The Gulf Daily News - Bahrain

><http://tinyurl.com/znuz4>

>9 August 2006

>[Image at site]

>UFO Over Bahrain?

>MANAMA: A Sri Lankan couple snapped this picture of  
>what they  
>claim to be a UFO that flew over their car as they  
>were driving  
>along the Sitra Causeway on Monday night.

And yet, nobody noticed the circular penninsula (apparently  
well-lit, with many light poles) just a few hundreds yards away.

Paste this into Google Earth:

26 11'21.76"N 50 34'58.51"E

or take a look with Google Local:

<http://tinyurl.com/nras5>

Amazing resemblance, no?

I've written the Gulf Daily News suggesting their reporter might  
investigate whether other drivers can see or have ever seen a  
reflection of the penninsula in their car's windows.

I wonder if we will ever see the other images mentioned in the  
reports, or the un-altered camera images?

Rand

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**



Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 11](#)

## Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars -

From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 20:01:24 +0100  
Fwd Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 12:16:11 -0400  
Subject: Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars -

>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 21:17:59 +0100 (BST)  
>Subject: Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

>Other than the fact that I am completely nuts, what do you  
>think?

You got it in one.

John Rimmer

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 11](#)

## Re: a Iry Milk Commercial Aliens - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 06:46:46 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 12:40:53 -0400  
Subject: Re: a Iry Milk Commercial Aliens - Lehmborg

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 15:47:49 EDT  
>Subject: Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens

>I've you've been watching US television of late you should have  
>come across a serialized commercial campaign to get people to  
>drink more milk.

>It's surrounds the adventures of a group of humanoid aliens who  
>suffer from weak bones and injuries that only milk can remedy.

>They send two aliens to Earth and they're convince a dairy cow  
>- hence the name Da Iry - is a creature of high intelligence and  
>the granter of the miracle elixir.

>These commercials are very warm and funny and a good giggle look  
>at the ETH.

>You can probably find them on YouTube.

Advertising! A great way to manipulate consciousness, eh?  
Encourage a plausible deniability and make the 'other' an object  
of easy ridicule? Mass media is mendacious \_and\_ mentally ill.

With regard to Milk (feh!) and strong bones? Please check:

<http://www.alienview.net/ALLT1.html#CALie>

look for Evil Dairy Lobby

<http://www.alienview.net/ALLT1.html#Sally>

Say it ain't so, Sally!

Or search the whole page for "Dairy"... feel the outrage! Verily!

It's the science, folks. The science. Christ retches.

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 11](#)

## Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars -

From: **Lan Fleming** <[lfl Fleming6.nul](mailto:lfl Fleming6.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 08:37:54 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 12:45:00 -0400  
Subject: Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars -

>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 21:17:59 +0100 (BST)  
>Subject: Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

>>From: Lan Fleming <[lfl Fleming6.nul](mailto:lfl Fleming6.nul)>  
>>To: UFOUpdates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 22:52:28 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

>>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 16:47:13 -0400  
>>>Subject: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

>>>Source: Yahoo News.Com

>>>[http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20060806/ap\\_on\\_sc/life\\_on\\_mars\\_4](http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20060806/ap_on_sc/life_on_mars_4)

>>>Sun Aug 6, 6:24 AM ET

>>>After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

>>>By Matt Crenson  
>>>AP National Writer

>><snip>

>>How do they know that "few" scientists believe the Allan Hills  
>>meteorite is evidence of life? Did they do a Gallup poll?

>>The Houston Chronicle recently ran a story that gave fairer  
>>coverage to the views of the JSC team that put forward the  
>>Allen Hills meteorite as evidence of life on Mars:

>><http://www.chron.com/disp/story.mpl/front/4095956.html>

>>The author states one of the JSC team's key arguments that  
>>seldom is addressed by their critics: "Alone, none of these  
>>lines of evidence was strong enough to make a case for  
>>extraterrestrial life. But with all four coming together at  
>>one tiny point in the rock, where water had once been, they  
>>thought the four lines of evidence were "strongly suggestive"  
>>of ancient life."

>>The AP article seems to have glossed over this point.

>When it comes to the question of life on Mars, rightly or  
>wrongly, and probably the latter, I think we, the general  
>public, are victims of a massive conspiracy. That may well be a  
>very hollow complaint and I am far too lazy to do any  
>statistical research to back my assertion up and besides, its  
>much more fun doing it "by proclomation". But I do have my  
>reasons for alleging what I claim.

>Published at virtually the same time as the story above, we also  
>had another very negative story about the chance of life  
>existing on Mars, namely this:

>Hydrogen Peroxide Snow

><http://tinyurl.co.uk/im2x>

Hello, Stuart

I saw that article. A new theory to explain why Mars is covered with life-destroying oxide compounds comes out every year or so. The curious thing is that there's not a shred of direct evidence that these alleged oxides exist in amounts great enough to explain the Viking Labeled Release experiment results from the 1970's. No Mars probe subsequent to Viking even carried any instruments that could detect their presence, apparently because their existence is considered to be beyond question and needed no verification.

It seems to be a case of faith-based science. They "know" that there can't be life on Mars, so therefore any evidence that might be an indication of life must be due to something else. Speculation on what that "something else" might be provides a bottomless reservoir of pop science articles that enables researchers to draw attention to themselves.

I'm sure that keeps many of them happy and contented, but not Gil Levin, the Principal Investigator for the Viking LR experiment that is the ultimate source of the endless speculation. He went off the reservation years ago and has been expressing his reasons for skepticism of the oxide theories in scientific forums ever since. You won't see much about him in the popular science media. Only certain types of skepticism are tolerated. Levin once described himself as being treated by his peers like a non-person in a fashion similar to the treatment of dissidents in the old Soviet Union. These oxide articles seldom even mention his name.

>

>I have noticed this pattern before; a strong story with some  
>credibility appears that seems to suggest a reasonable  
>possibility that extraterrestrial life might exist, in this  
>case possibly the Buzz Aldrin story, is then followed straight  
>away by a smackdown, just to stop us getting too uppity.

>As I say, I have noticed this pattern before and I think it's a  
>conspiracy.

>Other than the fact that I am completely nuts, what do you  
>think?

The Robertson panel in the 1950's recommended that the U.S. government discourage public interest in UFOs so as to keep the intelligence channels from becoming clogged with UFO reports. Perhaps there's some concern that even a hint of the possibility of extraterrestrial life, if only in the form of primitive Martian microbes, will lend credence to the possibility that UFOs are extraterrestrial, encouraging people to imagine they're seeing UFOs, leading to the clogging of intelligence channels with UFO reports of which the Robertson panel was so allegedly fearful.

But I don't think these scientific grand-standers who rush out to a credulous press with their latest "skeptical" theories are part of a conspiracy. They are, however, part of a society in which government has cultivated negative attitudes about the possibility of extraterrestrial life (only in the vicinity of Earth, though orthodox SETI gets some ridicule as unavoidable blow-back, much to their dismay).

BTW: I don't believe for a minute that the Robertson panel was concerned about intelligence channels getting clogged up. I think their real concern was that the government would lose control over the public consciousness. It would have made it a lot more difficult to keep people terrified of the Communist Menace if their attention was diverted by the UFO phenomenon. The Red Menace is gone now, but of course there are new threats that the government wants to keep us terrified about.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 11](#)

## Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars -

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 11:53:53 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 13:47:09 -0400  
Subject: Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars -

>From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 20:01:24 +0100  
>Subject: Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

>>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 21:17:59 +0100 (BST)  
>>Subject: Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

>>Other than the fact that I am completely nuts, what do you  
>>think?

>You got it in one.

Awww... and I thought you were doing so well... had such high hopes for you! Such a cheap shot, too, given some insanity is decidedly preferable to what passes for sanity on Errol's List and which is so much an engine for your energy and artistry, Sir.

I suspect they're not washing the glasses very well down at the Pelican Inn... is that it, and you've a bit of the rumbly tummy? Have a bi-carb and go back to playing silent Cal. There's a good lad.

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 12](#)

## Re: Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens - Vander Ploeg

From: Dirk Vander Ploeg <[publisher.nul](mailto:publisher.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 12:53:57 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 08:22:53 -0400  
Subject: Re: Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens - Vander Ploeg

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 06:46:46 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 15:47:49 EDT  
>>Subject: Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens

>>I've you've been watching US television of late you should have  
>>come across a serialized commercial campaign to get people to  
>>drink more milk.

>>It's surrounds the adventures of a group of humanoid aliens who  
>>suffer from weak bones and injuries that only milk can remedy.

>>They send two aliens to Earth and they're convince a dairy cow  
>>- hence the name Da Iry - is a creature of high intelligence and  
>>the granter of the miracle elixir.

>>These commercials are very warm and funny and a good giggle look  
>>at the ETH.

>>You can probably find them on YouTube.

>Advertising! A great way to manipulate consciousness, eh?  
>Encourage a plausible deniability and make the 'other' an object  
>of easy ridicule? Mass media is mendacious \_and\_ mentally ill.

>With regard to Milk (feh!) and strong bones? Please check:

><http://www.alienview.net/ALLT1.html#CALie>

>look for Evil Dairy Lobby

><http://www.alienview.net/ALLT1.html#Sally>

>Say it ain't so, Sally!

>Or search the whole page for "Dairy"... feel the outrage! Verily!

>It's the science, folks. The science. Christ retches.

Check out - <http://www.ufodigest.com/gotmilk.html>

This was part of a promotion the California milk association ran  
on websites earlier in the Spring. Great videos.

Dirk

UFODigest.com  
11L6 Maple Road  
R.R.2  
Port Colborne, Ontario L3K 5V4  
Canada  
905-834-2177  
Email: [publisher.nul](mailto:publisher.nul)

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 12](#)

## Info Needed On The 'ET Threat'

From: Dirk Vander Ploeg <[publisher.nul](mailto:publisher.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 13:01:55 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 08:24:28 -0400  
Subject: Info Needed On The 'ET Threat'

Hi, I'm writing an article on the ET threat and I was wondering, hoping that someone would have some info on the subject - articles, first hand knowledge etc.

Thanks Dirk

UFODigest.com  
1116 Maple Road  
R.R.2  
Port Colborne, Ontario L3K 5V4  
Canada  
905-834-2177  
Email: [publisher.nul](mailto:publisher.nul)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 12](#)

## Armstrong BC Crop Circle Formation Latest

From: Paul Anderson <[paulanderson.nul](mailto:paulanderson.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 10:08:42 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 08:26:22 -0400  
Subject: Armstrong BC Crop Circle Formation Latest

Update - Armstrong, British Columbia Crop Circle Formation

Some ground photos have now been posted. The crop is barley, not hay or grass as initially reported. Investigation is still on-going by CCCRN British Columbia. The farmer does not want anyone entering the field without permission. Plus an interesting synchronicity...

<http://www.cccrn.ca/formationarchive2006.html>

---

Canadian Crop Circle Research Network  
<http://www.cccrn.ca>

The Prairie Circular  
the weblog of the canadian crop circle research network  
<http://www.theprairiecircular.blogspot.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 12](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 14:16:14 -0300  
Fwd Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 08:29:30 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Ledger

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catja90024.nul](mailto:catja90024.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 13:00:52 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 16:46:51 -0500 (CDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

><snip>

>>It's just some funny tin-foil. Who would guess that it might  
>>be Russian, or after that, alien? In 1947, who would even think  
>>of such a thing?

>The retrieved Roswell-Dig material supports tin foil, parchment  
>and some funny looking sticks. All of this material is sitting  
>in a closet at the University of New Mexico, in the Department  
>of Archaeology. What seems to be the problem on this List is  
>that nobody has read the Doleman Report the SciFi Channel  
>published. And, the other problem is that the SciFi Channel  
>isn't interested in pursuing analysis of the debris because it  
>isn't exotic - no headline story for the Channel to promote.

>However, as soon as the analysis happens - if pressure to do so  
>is put on the SciFi Channel - this case will be closed with a  
>pronouncement something like a "weather balloon" or reflecting  
>target.

Well now... this is more like it. Rather than trashing the  
reputation of one of the witnesses you come up with some details  
worth reading to. But your simplistic weather balloon theory  
shows a lack of critical thinking for all of the reasons others  
- including myself - have refuted.

By all means, solve the Roswell incident but your dogged weather  
balloons theory as the culprit is absurd.

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 12](#)

## Sci Fi Channel & Roswell was: [Jessie Jnr.'s

From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 13:34:07 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 08:37:40 -0400  
Subject: Sci Fi Channel & Roswell was: [Jessie Jnr.'s

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catja90024.nul](mailto:catja90024.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 13:00:52 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 16:46:51 -0500 (CDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

><snip>

>>It's just some funny tin-foil. Who would guess that it might  
>>be Russian, or after that, alien? In 1947, who would even think  
>>of such a thing?

>The retrieved Roswell-Dig material supports tin foil, parchment  
>and some funny looking sticks. All of this material is sitting  
>in a closet at the University of New Mexico, in the Department  
>of Archaeology. What seems to be the problem on this List is  
>that nobody has read the Doleman Report the SciFi Channel  
>published. And, the other problem is that the SciFi Channel  
>isn't interested in pursuing analysis of the debris because it  
>isn't exotic - no headline story for the Channel to promote.

>However, as soon as the analysis happens - if pressure to do so  
>is put on the SciFi Channel - this case will be closed with a  
>pronouncement something like a "weather balloon" or reflecting  
>target.

Hi Kathy,

It's really all about money, when you get down to it from the  
network perspective, but that was an interesting time period. I  
thought that I had posted this a few weeks ago, but never saw  
it, and so I'll send it again.

Doleman has joined with a team of people to become part of a  
show that the SCI FI Channel will be airing:

I would note that this is being produced by the NBC News  
production department, so this may be worth looking at. NBC  
Anchors have been showing up on the most unusual documentaries  
recently, and this shows another connection.

-----

Press Release

SCI FI Channel Announces Slate Of Controversial Topics To Be  
Explored On SCI FI INVESTIGATES

Experts Join "Boston Rob" Mariano on 'SCI FI Investigates' Team

PASADENA, CA--July 13, 2006--SCI FI Investigates is a new SCI FI  
Channel series that explores the sweeping and eclectic mysteries  
and legends that pervade our world. Produced in a partnership  
with NBC News Productions, this six-part, one-hour weekly series  
is a real-life X-Files, aggressively exploring the unexplained.

Investigations are led by an array of subject- specific authorities including, as was previously announced, Survivor and Amazing Race alumnus and resident cynic Rob Mariano.

Mariano ' s fellow SCI FI Investigates teammates include, forensic expert Deborah Dobrydney, scientist Dr. Bill Doleman and author/historian Richard Dolan. Mariano is the resident " jack of all trades, " offering pragmatic, resourceful input on a variety of investigations.

Capitalizing on the experience and resources of NBC News Productions, the series and its team of investigators will seek out new evidence by using the latest forensic technology to reveal fresh perspectives on world-renowned mysteries. Today, Thomas Vitale, SCI FI Channel Senior Vice President of Programming and Original Movies, has announced the First group of topics the SCI FI Investigates team will be examining when the series premieres in the fall. They are:

Bigfoot - New sightings are making news and SCI FI Investigates does whatever it takes to secure legitimate evidence of the legendary creature's existence.

Mothman - A real-life nightmare that made headlines around the world, recent sightings of a terrifying winged apparition, known as the Mothman, takes SCI FI Investigates deep into the mountains of West Virginia.

Paranormal Hotspots - As hubs for such uncommon occurrences as UFO sightings, cattle mutilations and unexplained paranormal activity, Dulce, New Mexico and Sedona, Arizona are quite unique. SCI FI Investigates gets to the root of the disturbances that have put these cities on the paranormal map.

Roswell Revisited - 2007 marks the 60th anniversary of the alleged crash of an alien spacecraft in Roswell, New Mexico. SCI FI Investigates revisits this controversial location as startling new evidence has recently surfaced.

The Afterlife - What happens at the moment of death? Evidence has suggested that the human consciousness can function independent of the body. Our team members explore altered states (sensory deprivation, past life regression, etc.) in an effort to find the ultimate truth.

Voodoo - There is more to Voodoo than tales of dolls and pins. To learn the truth about this religion, the SCI FI Investigates team heads to New Orleans to experience its practices first hand.

BC News Productions is an in-house production unit serving NBC News, NBC's Entertainment Division and all of the NBC-owned cable channels. Recent productions include Biography of the Year, a People Magazine People of the Year special and the seven-hour Greatest American with Matt Lauer special. News Productions has also done three documentaries for SCI FI Channel: a two-hour special Bermuda Triangle: Startling New Secrets with Lester Holt, Countdown to Doomsday with Matt Lauer, and Quest for Atlantis: Startling New Secrets, with Natalie Morales. In addition, the in-house production group oversees a DVD division that works closely with Universal to produce bonus material included in new DVD releases.

SCI FI Channel is a television network where "what if" is what's on. SCI FI fuels the imagination of viewers with original series and events, blockbuster movies and classic science fiction and fantasy programming, as well as a dynamic Web site [www.scifi.com](http://www.scifi.com) and magazine. Launched in 1992, and currently in 85 million homes, SCI FI Channel is a network of NBC Universal, one of the world's leading media and entertainment companies.

# # #

-----



[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 12](#)

## Re: Roswell & Sci Fi Channel - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 13:37:06 EDT  
Fwd Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 08:40:00 -0400  
Subject: Re: Roswell & Sci Fi Channel - Gammon

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catja90024.nul](mailto:catja90024.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 13:00:52 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>The retrieved Roswell-Dig material supports tin foil, parchment  
>and some funny looking sticks. All of this material is sitting  
>in a closet at the University of New Mexico, in the Department  
>of Archaeology. What seems to be the problem on this List is  
>that nobody has read the Doleman Report the SciFi Channel  
>published. And, the other problem is that the SciFi Channel  
>isn't interested in pursuing analysis of the debris because it  
>isn't exotic - no headline story for the Channel to promote.

>However, as soon as the analysis happens - if pressure to do so  
>is put on the SciFi Channel - this case will be closed with a  
>pronouncement something like a "weather balloon" or reflecting  
>target.

I seriously hope you are not trying to suggest that the team  
discovered actualy remains from the supposed Roswell event.

I saw the program and they did discover remains of a weather  
balloon. However, no one even remotely believed the remains  
were old enough to be from 1947.

- Jason Gammon

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 12](#)

## Re: Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens - Boone

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)> <[Evolbaby@aol.com](mailto:Evolbaby@aol.com)>  
Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 13:55:34 EDT  
Fwd Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 08:41:59 -0400  
Subject: Re: Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens - Boone

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 06:46:46 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 15:47:49 EDT  
>>Subject: Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens

>>I've you've been watching US television of late you should have  
>>come across a serialized commercial campaign to get people to  
>>drink more milk.

>>It's surrounds the adventures of a group of humanoid aliens who  
>>suffer from weak bones and injuries that only milk can remedy.

>>They send two aliens to Earth and they're convince a dairy cow  
>>- hence the name Da Iry - is a creature of high intelligence and  
>>the granter of the miracle elixir.

>>These commercials are very warm and funny and a good giggle look  
>>at the ETH.

>>You can probably find them on YouTube.

>Advertising! A great way to manipulate consciousness, eh?  
>Encourage a plausible deniability and make the 'other' an object  
>of easy ridicule? Mass media is mendacious \_and\_ mentally ill.

>With regard to Milk (feh!) and strong bones? Please check:

><http://www.alienview.net/ALLT1.html#CALie>

>look for Evil Dairy Lobby

><http://www.alienview.net/ALLT1.html#Sally>

>Say it ain't so, Sally!

>Or search the whole page for "Dairy"... feel the outrage! Verily!

>It's the science, folks. The science. Christ retches.

Well, with that said, anyone wanting a good chuckle can see the  
ads themselves at the Got Milk? website:

<http://www.gotmilk.com/fun/aliens.html>

These ads are such a scream! Well done and just a good giggle.

Maybe we can convince the company to get Stanton T. Friedman on  
the commercials to get kids to drink their milk.

Best,

Greg

Search for other documents from or mentioning: [evolbaby](#)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 12](#)

## Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars -

From: **Stuart Miller** <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 19:15:37 +0100 (BST)  
Fwd Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 08:44:50 -0400  
Subject: Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars -

>From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 20:01:24 +0100  
>Subject: Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

>>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 21:17:59 +0100 (BST)  
>>Subject: Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

>>Other than the fact that I am completely nuts, what do you  
>>think?

>You got it in one.

Tee hee; Gotcha!

As chronically pathetically predictable as your response was,  
may I draw your attention, if you dare, to this?

<http://rickdotyismygod.blogspot.com/2006/08/pelican-roast.html>

Now, should you key the link... or should you leave it? Mmmm

Stuart

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 12](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 12:59:56 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 08:56:18 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 18:12:13 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 13:52:21 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 4 Aug 2006 21:20:17 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>I'll be generous and call Allan merely misinformed on this  
>>point. No, it is simply NOT true that "all, yes, all"  
>>contemporary press reports gave a date of July 7 for Brazel  
>>coming to town. This can be seen by actually reading the  
>>contemporary news stories, not just quoting from some skeptic's  
>>book. I have over 3 dozen such contemporary stories over at my  
>>website that people can wade through and check this out for  
>>themselves:

>By all means be generous. What would your reply be if you were  
>being, shall we say, ungenerous? I must congratulate you,  
>genuinely, on your large collection of source material on  
>Roswell. I have been through almost all of the newspaper  
>cuttings on your website, plus a few of my own, and have  
>concluded that what I originally wrote is essentially correct.  
>There is no press report quoting a date for Brazel's trip to  
>Roswell that gives any date other than Monday July 7. But see  
>below.

Now watch the contortions of illogic debunker Allan goes through  
below.

Why can't debunkers ever admit they were just wrong about  
something? It doesn't seem to be in their DNA.

>>The same thing happened with the date of when Brazel came to  
>>town. Sheriff Wilcox was again all over the map. He told UP on  
>>July 8 that Brazel first came "the day before yesterday," or  
>>July 6, but told AP that Brazel came to town on Monday, or July  
>>7. Thus UP based stories reported Brazel coming to town on July  
>>6 whereas AP based stories reported him coming to town on July 7  
>>(based mostly on how Ramey was also spinning the story over in  
>>Fort Worth). And since UP was the second largest wire-service  
>>and accounted for the second-most contemporary Roswell stories  
>>(maybe 15-20% of all newspaper accounts), it is complete  
>>nonsense to claim that "all, yes, all" contemporary stories  
>>cited July 7.

>There is one UP wire that quotes the sherriff as saying Brazel  
>did not report the finding until "the day before yesterday".  
>Since this wire is dated July 8, it follows the date referred to  
>is Sunday July 6. Point conceded to you (but only a small one).  
>I think we can assume that to "report the finding the disc"  
>means reporting it to sheriff Wilcox. Even so, recall that

>Brazel had first 'reported' the disc (though not to the sheriff)  
>when he visited Corona on Saturday July 5.

Allan's logic contortion #1. July 5 is 3 days before Wilcox's statement, not "the day before yesterday."

Logic contortion #2: the full UP quotes make it VERY clear that "the day before yesterday" indeed referred to Brazel reporting his find to Sheriff Wilcox, not the bar in Corona.

The full set of UP quotes reads as follows:

[http://www.roswellproof.com/United\\_Press\\_Telexes.html](http://www.roswellproof.com/United_Press_Telexes.html)

First UP telex:

"Not having telephone facilities, the rancher, whose name has not yet been obtained, stored the disc until such time as he was able to contact the Roswell sheriff's office.

Followed minutes later by:

"Sheriff Wilcox says the rancher does not have a telephone, and that he did not report finding the disc until [the] day before yesterday. Sheriff Wilcox says that Brizell [sic] said he didn't know what it was, but that at first it appeared to be a weather meter."

Now how could anyone construe this wording to possibly mean anything other than "the day before yesterday" referring to when Brazel reported his find to the Sheriff? Bringing in the Corona bar visit by Brazel is just a red herring.

>Thus my contention  
>that none of the press reports give the date of Brazel's visit  
>to Roswell as Sunday July 6 remains correct.

Like I said, an incredible attempt to rationalize away the obvious.

>Those that quote a specific date all quote Monday the 7th.

Logic contortion #3: Even though Allan concedes that "the day before yesterday" said by Wilcox on July 8 would implicitly imply a Sunday July 6 date (even he can do that math), now he is inanely arguing that unless the date was explicitly given, it doesn't count.

The funny thing is, I KNEW this would be one of his arguments. He's painted himself into a corner and will grasp at any foolish rationale to avoid conceding that he was wrong.

>>In one story that I  
>>know about, both dates were given. The Washington Post reported  
>>that the "disc" was finally identified after being in "official  
>>hands" for nearly two days. That would mean "official  
>>hands" (Marcel and Cavitt) first took possession on July 6, or  
>>maybe early July 7. But later in the article, the Post reverted  
>>to mostly the AP reporting and now how Brazel coming to town on  
>>Monday, July 7, which contradicted their opening account.

(In Allan's confused post, he neglected to format the above paragraph to indicate it was my statement, not his. This has been corrected.)

>The vague statement by writer John G.Norris that it had been in  
>"official hands for nearly two days" simply means it was in  
>official hands for perhaps half of July 7 and nearly all of July  
>8.

Logic contortion #4: In order to rationalize away the Washington Post statement, Allan is now trying to expand an obviously one day timeline (if one does the math) into meaning two days.

As I've pointed out previously, if Brazel arrived in Roswell on Monday, July 7, reported to the Sheriff, the Sheriff reported to Marcel at the base (while he was eating lunch, as Marcel recalled), Marcel drove into Roswell from the base to interview Brazel, drove back to the base to consult with Col. Blanchard, rounded up CIC head Cavitt and two vehicles, drove back into Roswell, found Brazel (after Brazel had done other business in Roswell), and then journeyed back to the ranch (alone at least 3

hours), they wouldn't have gotten to the ranch until late afternoon or early evening at the earliest. So say 5 or 6 p.m. Roswell time at the earliest. Marcel actually recalled not picking up Brazel until around 3:30 or 4:00 p.m. and not getting back to the ranch until just before dark, i.e. around 8:00 p.m.

Logic contortion #5: How does this late arrival at Brazel's ranch become "perhaps half of July 7?" Wouldn't "half of July 7" be at least 12 hours, or from at least noon on?

The late arrival at Brazel's ranch is the very earliest that Brazel's debris could be in "official hands," unless Brazel had brought debris with him to Roswell when he first went to see the Sheriff (denied by other quotes from Sheriff Wilcox). Further, in another part of the official story out of Fort Worth, Marcel didn't actually take possession of Brazel's disk until he got to the ranch, and you can't have it both ways, now can you?

The other part of the simple time equation is when the debris was "finally identified." We know precisely when the AP bulletin went out announcing Gen. Ramey's official identification: about 5:30 p.m. Roswell time, or almost exactly 3 hours after the AP announced the base press release. Gen. Ramey had actually been hinting at the identification for two hours before this.

So what have we here? The time between the "final identification" and Marcel/Cavitt's arrival at the ranch to "take possession" if they really went out on July 7 would have been almost exactly 24 hours according to the official story. As I vaguely recall, there are 24 hours in ONE day, not two. I see Christopher Allan's math skills are as bad as ever.

>Nothing sinister in that. I repeat: no mention of July 6.

Once again, Allan using the incredibly stupid argument that it doesn't count unless the date is explicitly given.

>Perhaps the writer was a shade careless, but only a shade.

(Again, in Allan's careless post, he incorrectly formatted my following statement as his own. It has been correctly formatted here to indicate the proper origin.)

>>The

>>New York Herald-Tribune similarly quoted Wilcox's UP quote of >>Brazel coming "the day before yesterday" or Sunday, and also >>added that intelligence officers (in the plural) visited the >>ranch and picked up the object \*\*\*"yesterday morning,"\*\*\* or >>Monday morning, July 7. Now if you think about that for a half >>millisecond, that wouldn't be possible if Brazel had truly come >>to town on Monday given the necessary lengthy travel delays. >>Marcel and Cavitt couldn't have gotten back to the Foster Ranch >>until late Monday. They could only have picked up the debris >>Monday morning if they had already gotten to the ranch on Sunday >>and spent the night there. Gee, doesn't that sound a lot like >>Marcel's story years later?

>In a newspaper dated July 9, "yesterday morning" obviously >refers to Tuesday July 8.

I can't fault Allan for this reasoning. However, apparently unbeknownst to him over in Brit-Land, the Herald-Tribune was a morning newspaper, meaning the story was written and "put to bed" for printing the previous evening, July 8. The quote "the day before yesterday" for Brazel's arrival is direct from the UP story quoting Sheriff Wilcox on July 8, and therefore doing simple math refers back to Sunday, July 6 (even if not explicitly stated). Similarly "yesterday morning" for a story written on July 8 refers to Monday morning, July 7.

However, the following arguments by Allan fall back into the inane, illogical, or inconsistent categories.

>It would be perfectly possible for >Brazel, Marcel & Cavitt to go to the ranch on the 7th, arriving >late afternoon, do perhaps 2 hours work, maybe stay one night >and depart early next day, arriving Roswell, say, by 10 AM.

Yes, it would be "possible" but it also wouldn't be consistent with many things, including Marcel returning to his home in the middle of the night to show the debris to his wife and Jesse



Jr., or the Fort Worth Star-Telegram story that Allan loves because it is so "contemporary" and has Marcel in Fort Worth saying Brazel came to Roswell on Monday. However, it also quotes Marcel saying he returned to Roswell "early Tuesday morning," which doesn't mean "10 AM" (which is late, not early morning in any case) because it also says he reported to Col. Blanchard at 8:00 A.M.! Kinda hard to stay overnight at Brazel's place and be back reporting to Blanchard by 8:00 AM.

>Karl  
>Pflock, whose book is far superior to anything written by the  
>ETHers, and who has analysed the affair in great detail, casts  
>doubts on whether Marcel stayed the night at all (Cavitt denied  
>any such thing)

And Cavitt lied a lot also. He denied for years ever serving at Roswell. When it was pointed out that records showed he was indeed there, he denied being at the base at the time of the Roswell incident. Then he changed his story to yes, he was at the base, but he wasn't involved. But when the A.F. interviewed him in 1994, now he was involved, but he denied going out with Marcel, instead claiming to be with only his aide Rickett. (But when AFOSI interviewer Col. Weaver typed up an affidavit for Cavitt to sign immediately afterwards, the wording was now changed to Cavitt going out with both Marcel and Rickett, which Cavitt signed off on.)

Furthermore, Cavitt claimed that although he went out to the ranch, he never met the rancher. (How did he find the tiny balloon crash without Brazel's direct help?) Then he claimed he and Rickett found only a tiny balloon crash no bigger than his living room or 20 square feet. (What happened to the giant Mogul balloon crash?). Then when asked about "flower tape" (Brazel) or "hieroglyphics" (Marcel), he said those were the inventions of writers promoting saucer stories. (Again what happened to the Mogul "flower tape"). Cavitt was obviously repeating the 1947 "weather balloon" cover story.

Cavitt's account of events was contradicted not only by others directly involved (e.g., Marcel and Rickett), but also contemporary stories (such as Brazel saying Marcel was accompanied by "a man in plain clothes" or other stories speaking of "intelligence officers" or a "military detail" returning with Brazel, or Brazel's debris field 200 yards in diameter, or Marcel's "square mile" of debris). Nobody and nothing at all backed up Cavitt's story.

But remarkably, the debunker's just ignore the many lies and gross inconsistencies in his story over the years. Why any honest, informed person would consider Cavitt's testimony about what really happened credible is beyond me.

>, but whether he did or didn't, there was  
>certainly time for a few hours of debris gathering before  
>driving back to the town.

Yes, assuming there was still several hours of daylight when Marcel/Cavitt finally got to the ranch. But let us remember, once again, that the official story out of Fort Worth attributed to Marcel (which Allan absolutely insists must be 100% correct because it was so "contemporary"), has Marcel saying he spent several hours in the "afternoon" looking for more debris. As I've repeatedly pointed out (including above), a simple reconstructed timeline shows that the "afternoon" would have been gone by the time Marcel/Cavitt arrived there. Marcel, in fact recalled getting there just before dark, too late to go to the debris field.

>There was even time for a short spell  
>at sunrise on the Tuesday. Sunrise 0456, sunset 1917 mountain  
>time; I don't know if daylight saving was operating.

So quickly Allan forgets the "contemporary" FWST story with Marcel having a date with Blanchard at 8:00. As usual, Allan doesn't seem to think through the consistency or inconsistency of the myriad of muddy scenarios he throws up against the wall, hoping one of them might stick.

>And all of this could be done if Brazel first came to Roswell on  
>Monday the 7th.

I've long lost track of the number of logical contortions and inconsistent statements Allan has made. This could be number 10 or 15 by now. Anybody who bothers to actually do the math, think through the scenarios, and stay consistent with the contemporary stories they insist must be true realizes that all these things could NOT have been done if Brazel first came to Roswell on Monday the 7th.

>(Pflock does concede that the one UP wire saying "the day before yesterday" is a slight blip on the dateline, but still comes down heavily in favor of Monday the 7th).

A "slight blip" by the second largest newswire agency. If instead it had been the much bigger AP reporting Wilcox's "day before yesterday" comment instead of UP, it would have been a very large blip indeed.

And another "slight blip" was the Washington Post statement about the object being in official hands for nearly two days before the official identification. Allan tries to make this disappear by waving his little debunker magic wand and changing 24 hours involved in the official story (if one does the math) into "nearly two days."

And yet another "blip" was the Herald-Tribune story of intelligence officers taking possession yesterday morning, which if one knows the correct day when the story was actually written (evening of Tuesday July 8), places Cavitt and Marcel on the debris field on Monday morning, July 7. This would be at least several hours before the official story of when Brazel came to town later that day. I just love debunkery theories that involve time-travel arguments.

An especially foolish argument advanced by Allan, is that even if a story directly implies a Sunday, July 6 date for Brazel's first arrival in town, it doesn't count if the story didn't actually say Sunday or July 6.

Where can I get one of those debunker magic wands to make all those annoying, inconsistent "blips" vanish?

>For the record: Brazel went into Corona late Saturday, spent Sunday gathering up some of the debris, presumably getting very fatigued doing so,

Oh dear. How quickly Allan forgets those contemporary stories. Which incontestable contemporary story shall we choose for when Brazel gathered up the debris? Should it be Brazel's, where he says he didn't think much of the debris when he first found it in mid-June because he had other chores, and instead waited until Friday, July 4, to gather it up with his family when they were visiting?

Or should it be Marcel's in Fort Worth, where Brazel immediately gathers it up and tosses it under some brush? Then when he first hears about the saucers in Corona the night of Saturday, July 5, he rushes back to the ranch, and bright and early Sunday morning, July 6, goes back out to the debris field (7 or 8 miles, according to Brazel) and digs up the bundles. According to Brazel, his two small bundles weighed all of 5 pounds.

Yes, that chore must indeed have been very fatiguing, leaving Brazel so exhausted, he couldn't venture to Roswell until the following day. In both stories, even though now having gathered-up debris in small bundles, Brazel somehow neglects to take any of the debris with him to Roswell (according to quoted Sheriff Wilcox statements).

>and went into Roswell on the Monday.  
>That is the time sequence as reported in all the papers that give a date for these activities.

Again, falling back on the absurd "it only counts if the explicit date was given."

>Whether Marcel & Cavitt spent the night at the ranch is still an open question. If Marcel brought some of the stuff home at night then it appears that they did not stop over at the ranch. But there is no final answer to this.

>We can be as near certain as

>possible that Brazel first visited Roswell on the Monday and  
>even more certain that Marcel brought the debris back on the  
>Tuesday.

"Near certain as possible" only if debunking, which in this case involves Allan's many, many contortions of logic, some absurd beyond belief, to try to make conflicting evidence magically disappear.

>The Sunday was a public holiday weekend!

So how would this forbid Brazel from reporting on Sunday or Marcel working at the base? Was it illegal back then to travel or work on the Sabbath? Was the base or the Sheriff's office closed on Sunday? Just think for the moment how ridiculous such arguments are.

Yes, yes, I know Pflock also argues that it makes no sense for Brazel to come to town on Sunday to conduct other business if said businesses were closed. But we really don't know for sure just what Brazel's other business was supposed to be (while Marcel returned to base to confer with Blanchard), much less whether the businesses were open. E.g., what if Brazel's "business" included buying some gas for the trip home and getting something to eat? Were all the gas stations and restaurants closed too? I have one ad from the Roswell Daily Record for a drive-in advertising they were open from 5 p.m. to 1 a.m. By debunker logic, that's not possible. Surely nothing would be open in Roswell as late as 1 a.m.

"Business" can also be done in person, not necessarily at a place of business. Roswell's a small town, even now, and people knew one another. Unless you actually lived there at the time or spoke to people who did, you wouldn't have any idea what sort of "business" could be conducted in Roswell on a Sunday. Pflock's argument by assertion (aped by Allan) doesn't prove anything.

Or maybe Brazel's "business" in Roswell was reporting the crash and everything else was secondary. Frank Joyce, who spoke to Brazel when he first came to Roswell, told me that was definitely the case, that Brazel was in a highly agitated and stressed state of mind over the crash, at first expressing concern to Joyce over how he was going to clean up the large amount of debris. Joyce said he sensed there was more, and pushed Brazel for more information. Finally Brazel told him that he had also just found smelly, nonhuman bodies near the crash site. Finding such bodies would certainly provide a strong motive for Brazel to come to Roswell ASAP.

>The reason you and other ETHers desperately cling to the July 6  
>date is that without it other parts of the Roswell story will  
>collapse like a pack of cards. "Everyone acting under Ramey's  
>orders?" Baloney.

Yes, I can't imagine why the "ETHers" would ever think Major Marcel and Col. Dubose could possibly be acting under General Ramey's orders in Fort Worth, as they said they were. What a preposterous notion! The real military is total anarchy with the junior officers doing and saying whatever they damn well please. (giggle)

>As for that UP wire, notice that it also says that Brazel first  
>discovered the stuff "about three weeks ago" and that "Brazel  
>did not bring the object to the sheriff's office". I doubt that  
>either you or Stan Friedman would accept either of these quotes  
>(STF certainly would not). But you do accept the "day before  
>yesterday" quote. A case of selecting that which confirms your  
>own ideas about the case, and rejecting that which does not.

A remarkably hypocritical statement by Allan, considering he's done exactly that in all his above arguments regarding when Brazel first came to Roswell.

Let us again remember that this thread began with Allan's usual argument by assertion that "all, yes, all" contemporary stories said that Brazel came to town on Monday, July 7, and only ancient memories in interviews decades later had him coming to town on Sunday, July 6. I then pointed out that wasn't true, there were in fact contemporary stories, particularly the UP story quoting Sheriff Wilcox, but also other stories that indicated indirectly that Brazel came to town Sunday, July 6.

My point all along is that there are many serious inconsistencies in how the so-called facts of the story were reported. Therefore, just because a story was "contemporary" does not prove one version of events over another.

To cite another example, the original press release (both AP and UP) reported that Brazel found the object "sometime last week". UP also reported that "residents near the ranch on which the disc was found reported seeing a strange blue light several days ago about 3 a.m." Again the implication was the the finding of the the rancher's disc was very recent. However, over in Fort Worth, quotes attributed to Marcel (who indeed would have been acting under orders--only a debunker would argue otherwise) now have him claiming Brazel found the object 3 weeks before. Odd that he didn't mention this to Blanchard earlier that day before Blanchard issued the press release saying "sometime last week."

The 3 weeks before was reiterated by Sheriff Wilcox when speaking to UP, but to AP he said Brazel had found the object 2 or 3 days before. So which contemporary Wilcox story to believe?

Then Brazel (whom various witnesses say was under military escort) again moves the date back to mid-June. So again which story does one believe? I'm quite sure, for debunker Allan that he would opt for the later official change of story to 3 weeks before and selectively ignore the contrary initial press release.

Regarding Wilcox's UP quote that Brazel didn't bring the object to the office, it is inconsistent with Marcel's story Fort Worth story of how Brazel "hurried" home after hearing of the flying discs on Saturday and then jourined back to the debris field "bright and early" the next morning to retrieve the debris he had allegedly rolled under some brush. After going through all that trouble to retrieve the debris in his obviously excited flying saucer state, doesn't it strike debunker Allan even slightly odd that he then neglects to bring the small amount of retrieved debris with him to Roswell when he reports it?

According to Wilcox's family in later interviews, Brazel did indeed bring debris with him to Wilcox's office, but it was quickly confiscated by the military. (Leading probably to Col. Dubose's story of the early secret shipment of debris from Roswell.)

This is perhaps hinted at in another UP bulletin which says "officials at the Roswell Army Air base were notified [by Wilcox], and an officer and an enlisted man came to the Sheriff's office to claim the object." Is that somebody coming to take the debris, or is it a confused account of Marcel and plain-clothes CIC-man Cavitt returning to the Sheriff's office to go back to the ranch with Brazel? I would probably opt for the latter, but it could be interpreted either way.

Another hint in contemporary accounts that maybe Brazel did bring debris was one AP story in which Sheriff Wilcox demures from describing further what the object was that Brazel found, saying "he was working with those fellows at the base." He had already claimed in other quotes that Brazel described the object as foil covered and about as big as the Sheriff's safe, or 3 by 4 feet, obviously a mundane radar-target description. Why would Wilcox refuse to discuss the subject further, citing cooperation with the military as a reason? Perhaps because that wasn't at all what Brazel described and Wilcox himself had seen the actual debris. Perhaps Wilcox was afraid that he might slip and say too much if he kept talking. As it was, Wilcox was unable to tell a consistent story, hence conflicting statements he made to UP and AP.

There are many, many other inconsistencies, such as Wilcox claiming Brazel came in thinking that maybe he had found a "weather meter" (again UP), whereas Brazel's story is that he told the Sheriff that maybe he had found a flying disc, and absolutely denied that he had found any sort of weather device. Which "contemporary" story does Allan choose to believe while ignoring the conflicting ones?

>that sums up a lot about the whole Roswell legend, whatever line  
>you take, doesn't it?

Yes, we all have our theory of events and pick and choose, now don't we?

>I refuse to be drawn further on the Charles Moore trajectory  
>affair. You refer to him as a "flagrant hoaxer"

Oddly even Alan admits that many of Moore's numbers don't add up, but apparently is so deep into debunker denial that he can't draw the obvious conclusion. This is one of the debunker Ten Commandments. Thou shalt not speak ill of a fellow debunker.

>and to Karl Pflock as an "admitted hoaxer",

He was indeed caught red-handed in a cattle mutilation hoax in the 1980s and admitted complicity. That's a fact. Read "Mute Evidence," if you can find it. If he was one of Allan's "pro-ETHers", that would have ended Pflock's Ufological career right there.

But if you're a debunker, then your fellow debunkers won't let that happen. You get a "Get out of Jail Free" card. This is their hypocritical Debunker DoubleStandard. No matter how much you might lie, hoax, cheat, bend, fold, or mutilate the evidence, it's OK if you're debunking because you are defending the world against pseudoscience, superstition, irrational beliefs, etc., etc. Anything goes. Only hold those "credulous" "pro-ETHers" feet to the fire.

>and try to detract from his  
>analysis of the case by also referring to him as an "ex-CIA/DOD  
>employee", as if this in some way implies that he is not to be  
>trusted.

Yes, one might reasonably suspect somebody like Pflock might still have government ties or be an agent provocateur if he was involved in hoaxing and using a standard playbook of propaganda tools to routinely ridicule and debunk a subject he would also claim he personally believed in. Even old CIC agent Sheridan Cavitt sensed what Pflock was up to, hence his statement in his AFOSI interview that Pflock was "our debunker."

Take, e.g., Pflock's flippant dismissal of Cpt. Oliver Henderson's confessions to his wife, daughter, business partner, WWII crew, etc., that he flew crashed saucer debris to Wright Field and had also seen alien bodies. How does Pflock deal with this? Why he just waves his little debunker magic wand, asserts Henderson was a "practical joker," and that the story was just another one of his practical jokes. Yes, Henderson was just jerking his wife and daughter around for a little fun. Is that an honest attempt to get at the truth or just transparent debunking?

>I have dealt effectively with the date Brazel came into  
>Roswell.

Only in his dreams.

>You should do two things: 1. Cease promoting this false  
>Roswell dateline.

Why, simply because you assert it's false? Why does this not impress me?

>2. Desist from making condescending remarks  
>about those who oppose your credulity over the affair.

Even if they are obviously lying, hoaxing, falsifying evidence, or arguing like drooling idiots? My you ask a great deal of me. I'm not sure I can live up to your expectations.

>And with that, I rest my case.

That in debunker parlance is known as a strategic withdrawal, but only after first claiming victory.

David Rudiak

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 12](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 15:00:22 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 08:59:23 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catja90024.nul](mailto:catja90024.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 13:00:52 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 16:46:51 -0500 (CDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

><snip>

>>It's just some funny tin-foil. Who would guess that it might be  
>>Russian, or after that, alien? In 1947, who would even think of  
>>such a thing?

>The retrieved Roswell-Dig material supports tin foil, parchment  
>and some funny looking sticks. All of this material is sitting  
>in a closet at the University of New Mexico, in the Department  
>of Archaeology. What seems to be the problem on this List is  
>that nobody has read the Doleman Report the SciFi Channel  
>published. And, the other problem is that the SciFi Channel  
>isn't interested in pursuing analysis of the debris because it  
>isn't exotic - no headline story for the Channel to promote.

>However, as soon as the analysis happens - if pressure to do so  
>is put on the SciFi Channel - this case will be closed with a  
>pronouncement something like a "weather balloon" or reflecting  
>target.

As far as I know, the only thing Doleman found remotely balloon  
related was a weather balloon of recent vintage. Any neoprene  
rubber weather balloons from 1947 would have rotted into dust a  
long time ago.

If they did find "tin foil, parchment, and some funny looking  
sticks," how would that prove a "weather balloon" or "reflecting  
target." Go to any partially inhabited desert area and you  
would probably find the same articles.

E.g., source of tin foil: candy bar or chewing gum wrapper  
discarded by some ranch-hand or blown in by the wind from  
elsewhere. (Chewing gum and candy bars were being wrapped with  
the same paper-foil used on radar targets for 30 years prior to  
the Roswell incident, and are still so wrapped today, as are  
many other food products such as hamburgers.) Or how about a  
discarded sandwich wrapper made of good old Reynolds wrap?  
Tin foil is such a common consumer product, that without knowing  
the age or source of any tin foil, it is ridiculous to assert  
that finding tin foil would have any probative value one way or  
the other.

Likely source of "parchment": a piece of dead animal hide. My,  
wouldn't find that out there, now would we, with sheep, coyotes,  
jackrabbits, packrats, etc. running around. Or maybe it was part  
of a discarded old shoe or off a saddle. No, must have come  
from a Mogul balloon.

As for Mogul balloon "parchment," the official story put out by

the USAF debunkers is that the Mogul parachutes were made of a parchment-like material. In reality, of the early N.M. Moguls of June/July 1947, only the Flight #5 schematic shows a parachute, and it's labeled as being made of silk. Weather balloons back then did also use a type of parachute made of crepe paper, and this may perhaps be shown on the Flight #2 schematic (but this was in New Jersey, not New Mexico). But crepe paper isn't "parchment" and it probably wouldn't survive 50+ years of exposure in the open or buried in the soil. If you think about it for half a second, actual "parchment" is kind of heavy, not very good for making parachutes.

As for "funny looking sticks," what does that mean? Radar target sticks were no different than hobbyist balsa wood, also commonly used to make kid's toy kites up through the 1970s, hardly funny looking or mysterious. Like crepe paper, it is highly unlikely that the very porous balsa wood would survive 50+ years exposure instead of just rotting out. Even assuming it did survive, I don't think Doleman would take more than a second to identify it, just like he instantaneously identified the weather balloon he found as --gasp-- a weather balloon. And he wasn't even a weather expert! But I guess those idiots at Roswell like Marcel and Blanchard just weren't as observant.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 12](#)

## Timothy Good's Need To Know

From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 13:21:00 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 09:36:59 -0400  
Subject: Timothy Good's Need To Know

I've just received a review copy of Timothy Good's new book,  
Need To Know:

<http://tinyurl.com/p2llu>

As with much of Timothy's work, it concentrates on UFO sightings from pilots and military personnel, and features the testimony of people with backgrounds in government, military, intelligence and law enforcement. With quotes from the MOD's recently released Project Condign and remarks from former Canadian Minister of Defense Paul Hellyer, the book has a contemporary feel.

Need To Know is published on August 18 and extracts were published yesterday in the Daily Mail.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 12](#)

## Re: Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 08:28:58 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 11:11:52 -0400  
Subject: Re: Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens - Lehmborg

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)><[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 13:55:34 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens

>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 06:46:46 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens

<snip>

>>Advertising! A great way to manipulate consciousness, eh?  
>>Encourage a plausible deniability and make the 'other' an object  
>>of easy ridicule? Mass media is mendacious \_and\_ mentally ill.

>>With regard to Milk (feh!) and strong bones? Please check:

>><http://www.alienview.net/ALLT1.html#CALie>

>>look for Evil Dairy Lobby

>><http://www.alienview.net/ALLT1.html#Sally>

>>Say it ain't so, Sally!

>>Or search the whole page for "Dairy"... feel the outrage! Verily!

>>It's the science, folks. The science. Christ retches.

>Well, with that said, anyone wanting a good chuckle can see the  
>ads themselves at the Got Milk? website:

><http://www.gotmilk.com/fun/aliens.html>

>These ads are such a scream! Well done and just a good giggle.

>Maybe we can convince the company to get Stanton T. Friedman on  
>the commercials to get kids to drink their milk.

And then we can go down to the Bedlam asylum and beat the inmates with canes... that was a scream too. It's \_all\_ fun until someone loses an eye... Mass media 'is' mendacious and mentally-ill. Moreover, I suspect that Stan Friedman would have nothing to do with contriving to feed something to a kid so detrimental to them... that's right... It's the science Mr. Boone. The science. Giggles come at a cost... and sometimes? Well, they just aren't appropriate.

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 12](#)

## Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars -

From: **Stuart Miller** <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 17:37:07 +0100 (BST)  
Fwd Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 13:43:07 -0400  
Subject: Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars -

>From: Lan Fleming <[lfleming6.nul](mailto:lfleming6.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 08:37:54 -0500  
>Subject: Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

>>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 9 Aug 2006 21:17:59 +0100 (BST)  
>>Subject: Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

>>>From: Lan Fleming <[lfleming6.nul](mailto:lfleming6.nul)>  
>>>To: UFOUpdates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 22:52:28 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

>>>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Tue, 08 Aug 2006 16:47:13 -0400  
>>>>Subject: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

>>>>Source: Yahoo News.Com

>>>>[http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20060806/ap\\_on\\_sc/life\\_on\\_mars\\_4](http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20060806/ap_on_sc/life_on_mars_4)

>>>>Sun Aug 6, 6:24 AM ET

>>>>After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

<snip>

>>I have noticed this pattern before; a strong story with some  
>>credibility appears that seems to suggest a reasonable  
>>possibility that extraterrestrial life might exist, in this  
>>case possibly the Buzz Aldrin story, is then followed  
>>straight away by a smackdown, just to stop us getting too  
>>uppity.

>>As I say, I have noticed this pattern before and I think it's  
>>a conspiracy.

>>Other than the fact that I am completely nuts, what do you  
>>think?

>Hello, Stuart

>I saw that article. A new theory to explain why Mars is  
>covered with life-destroying oxide compounds comes out every  
>year or so. The curious thing is that there's not a shred of  
>direct evidence that these alleged oxides exist in amounts  
>great enough to explain the Viking Labeled Release experiment  
>results from the 1970's. No Mars probe subsequent to Viking  
>en carried any instruments that could detect their presence,  
>apparently because their existence is considered to be beyond  
>question and needed no verification.

>It seems to be a case of faith-based science. They "know" that  
>there can't be life on Mars, so therefore any evidence that  
>might be an indication of life must be due to something else.  
>Speculation on what that "something else" might be provides a

>bottomless reservoir of pop science articles that enables  
>researchers to draw attention to themselves.

>I'm sure that keeps many of them happy and contented, but not  
>Gil Levin, the Principal Investigator for the Viking LR  
>experiment that is the ultimate source of the endless  
>speculation. He went off the reservation years ago and  
>has been expressing his reasons for skepticism of the oxide  
>theories in scientific forums ever since. You won't see much  
>about him in the popular science media. Only certain types of  
>skepticism are tolerated. Levin once described himself as  
>being treated by his peers like a non-person in a fashion  
>similar to the treatment of dissidents in the old Soviet  
>Union. These oxide articles seldom even mention his name.

>The Robertson panel in the 1950's recommended that the U.S.  
>government discourage public interest in UFOs so as to keep  
>the intelligence channels from becoming clogged with UFO  
>reports. Perhaps there's some concern that even a hint of the  
>possibility of extraterrestrial life, if only in the form of >  
primitive Martian microbes, will lend credence to the  
>possibility that UFOs are extraterrestrial, encouraging  
>people to imagine they're seeing UFOs, leading to the  
>clogging of intelligence channels with UFO reports of which  
>the Robertson panel was so allegedly fearful.

>But I don't think these scientific grand-standers who rush out  
>to a credulous press with their latest "skeptical" theories  
>are part of a conspiracy. They are, however, part of a  
>society in which government has cultivated negative attitudes  
>about the possibility of extraterrestrial life (only in the  
>vicinity of Earth, though orthodox SETI gets some ridicule as  
>unavoidable blow-back, much to their dismay).

>BTW: I don't believe for a minute that the Robertson panel was  
>concerned about intelligence channels getting clogged up. I  
>think their real concern was that the government would lose  
>control over the public consciousness. It would have made it a  
>lot more difficult to keep people terrified of the Communist  
>Menace if their attention was diverted by the UFO phenomenon.  
>The Red Menace is gone now, but of course there are new  
>threats that the government wants to keep us terrified about.

Hi Lan,

Well, next year with the NASA Phoenix mission or by 2011 with  
ESA's ExoMars mission, we will know one way or another. What  
might be amusing is if one mission comes to the conclusion there  
is or was life there and the other disagrees. Either way, before  
Man actually gets there, he'll probably know what he's getting  
in to.

I have said this many times before so that even I am now bored  
with it but I think this List seriously underestimates the  
importance of any announcement of the existence of even  
bacterial life elsewhere. Look at this rubbish from today's UK  
Daily Telegraph:

Evangelicals Urge Museum To Hide Man's Ancestors

<http://tinyurl.co.uk/ini4>

It's all very well heaving a sigh and going, "Well, they would"  
but there are now many that share their POV.

Look at this truly tragic story from a few days ago:

Nearly Two-thirds of U.S. Adults Believe Human Beings Were  
Created by God

[http://www.harrisinteractive.com/harris\\_poll/index.asp?PID=581](http://www.harrisinteractive.com/harris_poll/index.asp?PID=581)

or this

Poll: Majority Reject Evolution

<http://tinyurl.co.uk/1dds>

Given that people seem unable or unprepared to accept the fossil

record and hard fact, I think it is naive to assume there wouldn't be further social unrest or disquiet if an announcement of Life on another planet was to be made.

Only people who live with their heads permanently in the sand, pelicanists come to mind in particular, would seriously discount the fact that regardless of whether there is any real conspiracy or not, any announcement would need to be a very carefully managed event.

Stuart Miller

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 13](#)

## Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars -

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 11:31:25 -0700 (PDT)  
Fwd Date: Sun, 13 Aug 2006 07:27:28 -0400  
Subject: Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars -

>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 17:37:07 +0100 (BST)  
>Subject: Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

<snip>

>I have said this many times before so that even I am now bored  
>with it but I think this List seriously underestimates the  
>importance of any announcement of the existance of even  
>bacterial life elsewhere.

<snip>

>Look at this truly tragic story from a few days ago:

>Nearly Two-thirds of U.S. Adults Believe Human Beings Were  
>Created by God

>[http://www.harrisinteractive.com/harris\\_poll/index.asp?PID=581](http://www.harrisinteractive.com/harris_poll/index.asp?PID=581)

>or this

>Poll: Majority Reject Evolution

><http://tinyurl.co.uk/1dds>

>Given that people seem unable or unprepared to accept the fossil  
>record and hard fact, I think it is naive to assume there  
>wouldn't be further social unrest or disquiet if an announcement  
>of Life on another planet was to be made.

>Only people who live with their heads permanently in the sand,  
>pelicanists come to mind in particular, would seriously discount  
>the fact that regardless of whether there is any real conspiracy  
>or not, any announcement would need to be a very carefully  
>managed event.

Thanks for the thoughtful thought food Stuart!

Whether God uses evolution in some panspermic way, or a more direct intelligent design, doesn't really matter does it? "For my thoughts are not your thoughts, neither are your ways my ways, saith the LORD. For as the heavens are higher than the earth, so are my ways higher than your ways, and my thoughts than your thoughts." (Isaiah chapter 55, verses 8 and 9, Authorized King James Version)

And, whether ET openly and publicly declares and proves authorship of us all, or God comes in all His glory and puts down ignorance and error in one, well, act of God, or over time and evolution our offspring discards religion as grade-school fumbling and moves on to inherit the heavens, it doesn't really matter either. Does it?

I see a couple things though, that do matter and are important, IMO:

- First, that respectful dialogue continues, and

- Second, that common human decency and compassion wins.

Thus, the education of the masses continues, including your worthy efforts Stuart. Sincerely, keep up the good work!

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 13](#)

## Armstrong BC Crop Circle Formation Latest #2

From: Paul Anderson <[paulanderson.nul](mailto:paulanderson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 11:54:35 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sun, 13 Aug 2006 07:30:35 -0400  
Subject: Armstrong BC Crop Circle Formation Latest #2

UPDATE #2 - ARMSTRONG, BRITISH COLUMBIA FORMATION

A new (and better) aerial photo has now been posted on the CCCRN web site. Taken by a pilot who first saw the formation on July 31. The second pilot, as previously reported, saw it on August 2.

<http://www.cccrn.ca/formationarchive2006.html>

Also, for anyone who may have seen it, please note the commentary on the blog regarding errors in the local, but widely circulated, article in The Province newspaper on August 10 regarding this formation:

<http://theprairiecircular.blogspot.com>

The blog format has been updated a bit more also. Comments-enabled for feedback and Atom news feed available!

---

Canadian Crop Circle Research Network  
<http://www.cccrn.ca>

The Prairie Circular  
the weblog of the canadian crop circle research network  
<http://www.theprairiecircular.blogspot.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 13](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - White

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 16:07:51 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 13 Aug 2006 07:36:01 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - White

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catja90024.nul](mailto:catja90024.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 13:00:52 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

<snip>

>The retrieved Roswell-Dig material supports tin foil, parchment  
>and some funny looking sticks. All of this material is sitting  
>in a closet at the University of New Mexico, in the Department  
>of Archaeology.

Why, one must wonder, would a rancher report finding "tin foil,  
parchment, and some funny looking sticks" to the sheriff in the  
first place, if indeed that's what Mac Brazel found?

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 13](#)

## Re: Info Needed On The 'ET Threat' - Balaskas

From: Nick Balaskas <[nikolaos.nul](mailto:nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 16:59:10 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 13 Aug 2006 07:54:24 -0400  
Subject: Re: Info Needed On The 'ET Threat' - Balaskas

>From: Dirk Vander Ploeg <[publisher.nul](mailto:publisher.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 13:01:55 -0400  
>Subject: Info Needed On 'The ET Threat'

>Hi, I'm writing an article on the ET threat and I was wondering,  
>hoping that someone would have some info on the subject -  
>articles, first hand knowledge etc.

Hi Dirk!

It depends what you mean by "ET threat".

This weekend is the annual Perseid meteor "shower". If the night sky is clear you may be able to spot about one "shooting star" per minute burn up in the night sky over Colborne (less after the Moon rises in the east around midnight and brightens up the sky so only the brightest meteors or fireballs are noticeable).

These natural ET visitors are not considered much of a threat but whenever a very big meteoroid does strike the Earth, the loss to life and property can be enormous. The Great Chicago fire of 1871 which destroyed this large midwestern city and many other towns and cities in surrounding States was not caused by Mrs. O'Leary's cow but by a bombardment of fireballs (or UFOs?) from space - at least according to reports by eyewitnesses.

Some believe that those "unEarthly" organisms and viruses previously unknown to science may be recent ET visitors too. Such ET microbes may be small but they have a greater potential of killing all life on Earth than asteroids or large comets.

Others will argue that the ETs are already here and living among us. In fact their arrival on Earth may date back to at least from the beginning of human history. Does not the Bible state that we should show hospitality to strangers since we could be entertaining angels unaware?

If the number of total strangers, colleagues and friends that have approached me for help about their personal encounters with aliens or demons (past and ongoing) is any indication, then we have a major crisis here in Toronto that cannot be solved simply by dialing 911 or calling in the army.

Maybe our leaders and world governments already know about the ET threat and this is the real reason for the ongoing UFO cover-up. Another scarier scenario is those in control are, or are very closely working with, the ETs!

The least of our worries should be of ET signals detected in deep space. Let's concern ourselves with the ET threats closer to home like those few I mentioned above.

Nick Balaskas

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 13](#)

## Re: Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens - Kimball

From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 18:17:39 EDT  
Fwd Date: Sun, 13 Aug 2006 07:58:55 -0400  
Subject: Re: Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens - Kimball

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 15:47:49 EDT  
>Subject: Da Iry Milk Commercial Aliens

>I've you've been watching US television of late you should have  
>come across a serialized commercial campaign to get people to  
>drink more milk.

>It's surrounds the adventures of a group of humanoid aliens who  
>suffer from weak bones and injuries that only milk can remedy.

>They send two aliens to Earth and they're convince a dairy cow  
>- hence the name Da Iry - is a creature of high intelligence and  
>the granter of the miracle elixir.

>These commercials are very warm and funny and a good giggle look  
>at the ETH.

Greg:

The producers previewed the commercials at the 2006 International UFO Congress in Laughlin. I remember that they were quite amusing, as you suggest. I even thought about using clips in my upcoming documentary "Fields of Fear" (re: cattle mutes and Fern Belzil), to show how the subject of aliens and cattle had permeated the mass media, but didn't pursue it, as it didn't fit the tone of the film at the end of the day.

I recall that most of the (heavily pro-ETH) audience thought they were pretty funny as well, but I'm sure there was the odd sour(milk) puss who was somehow offended. C'est la vie.

The producers passed around comment cards - they wanted feedback, which I thought was interesting. I guess the responses they got were positive.

As good as the commercials were, however, they weren't as much fun as watching Don Ledger dance. That man can cut a rug! :-)

Best regards,

Paul Kimball  
[www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com](http://www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 13](#)

## Great STF Interview Horrible Backdrop

From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 23:48:22 EDT  
Fwd Date: Sun, 13 Aug 2006 08:07:18 -0400  
Subject: Great STF Interview Horrible Backdrop

Click on the following link to Google Video:

<http://tinyurl.com/pg28g>

The interview, of Stanton Friedman, is wonderful. The backdrop, however, is horrendous.

- Jason Gammon

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 13](#)

**Jesse Marcel Sr & Jr**

From: **Judy Mickelson** <[ufolady.nul](mailto:ufolady.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 13 Aug 2006 03:19:50 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sun, 13 Aug 2006 08:15:54 -0400  
Subject: Jesse Marcel Sr & Jr

What pure nonsense on here considering Jesse Marcel,Sr can't stick up for himself. However, I wonder how all of these so called researchers and supposed friends of the Marcells would feel if I printed out every outlandish thing said on here and gave it to Jesse Marcel, Jr in either Oct or Nov, when I see him next? I wonder what he would say about all of this so called controversey surrounding his Dad and now him and others who were involved at the time?

I think this would be hurtful to the Marcel family, don't you all agree?

I myself think there is much ado about nothing!

Judy

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 13](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Boone

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 13 Aug 2006 08:03:11 EDT  
Fwd Date: Sun, 13 Aug 2006 09:21:59 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Boone

>From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 16:07:51 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catja90024.nul](mailto:catja90024.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 10 Aug 2006 13:00:52 -0700 (PDT)  
>>Subject: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

><snip>

>>The retrieved Roswell-Dig material supports tin foil, parchment  
>>and some funny looking sticks. All of this material is sitting  
>>in a closet at the University of New Mexico, in the Department  
>>of Archaeology.

>Why, one must wonder, would a rancher report finding "tin foil,  
>parchment, and some funny looking sticks" to the sheriff in the  
>first place, if indeed that's what Mac Brazel found?

Right on Eleanor White. In addition, how could the number of  
people and range of occupations and age also make the same  
mistake about materials they were all very familiar with?

That's like any of us finding an empty soda can tossed onto our  
lawn by some passing car. We all know what it is and we  
certainly wouldn't be taking it miles on end to ask others about  
it. Like sheriffs and decorated military personell from one of  
if not the most top secured bases.

The mere idea that common materials would be so mis-identified  
by so many doesn't even qualify for anything near a real  
hypothesis. It's a pitiful poor, sorry excuse tossed out to a  
trusting public in an age where questioning our government was  
not in practice as it is today.

The last sorry excuse was something about people, honest  
citizens of the U.S. having suffered from some bizarre disorder  
called 'time compression' and having mistaken crash dummies for  
living beings?

What branch of our government comes up with these absurd  
explanations? Oh, I know, it's that branch of government that  
uses shoe phones and the 'Cone of Silence'.

It's not even worth debate. It's obvious from the majority of  
testimony these people were examining debris none were familiar  
with. The debate is exactly what was the origin of the material.

There we could jaw til the cows come home.

Bottom line is don't twist the obvious into another attempt to  
pull the wool over our eyes. If you read the facts the sheep  
wouldn't cross the debris field so the wool ( as they used to  
say to emphasize a half assed attempt at a con  
job ) is still on the hide.

Best ,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 14](#)

## Apollo 11 Mission TV Archive Mystery Unspools

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 14 Aug 2006 07:49:52 -0400  
Fwd Date: Mon, 14 Aug 2006 07:49:52 -0400  
Subject: Apollo 11 Mission TV Archive Mystery Unspools

Source: Space.Com

[http://www.space.com/news/060813\\_apollo11\\_tapes.html](http://www.space.com/news/060813_apollo11_tapes.html)

13 August 2006

Tale Of The TV Tapes: Apollo 11 Mission Archive Mystery Unspools  
By Leonard David  
Senior Space Writer

Back in July 1969, the first moonwalks by Apollo 11's Neil Armstrong and Buzz Aldrin are frozen forever moments in the history books. But it turns out that millions of riveted spectators back on Earth were on the receiving end of substantially degraded television showing the epic event.

The highest-quality television signal from Apollo 11's touchdown zone in the moon's Sea of Tranquility=97from an antenna mounted atop the Eagle lunar lander=97was recorded on telemetry tapes at three tracking stations on Earth: Goldstone in California and Honeyuckle Creek and Parkes in Australia.

Scads of the tapes were produced =97 and now a search is on to locate them. And if recovered and given a 21st century digital makeover, they could yield a far sharper view of that momentous day, compared to what was broadcast around the globe.

But Apollo 11 is a memory rewind=97now over 37 years old. Nobody is quite sure just how much longer the original slow-scan tapes will last - that is, if they haven't already been erased.

Handled and archived

"I would simply like to clarify that the tapes are not lost as such, which implies they were badly handled, misplaced and are now gone forever. That is not the case," explained John Sarkissian, operations scientist at the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization's (CSIRO) Parkes Radio Observatory in Parkes, Australia.

Sarkissian said the tapes were appropriately handled and archived in the mid 1970's after the hectic activity of the Apollo lunar landing era was over. "We are confident that they are stored at [NASA's] Goddard Space Flight Center [in Greenbelt, Maryland] - we just don't know where precisely," he told SPACE.com. It is important to note, Sarkissian added, that there is no inference of wrong-doing, incompetence or negligence on the part of NASA or its employees.

"The archiving of the tapes was simply a lower priority during the Apollo era. It should be remembered, that at the time, NASA was totally focused on meeting its goal of putting a man on the Moon and returning him safely to the Earth. No sooner had they done that, than they had to repeat it again a few months later, and then do it again, repeating it for a total of seven lunar landing missions - including Apollo 13," Sarkissian pointed out.

Making it tough to track down the whereabouts of the data, many of those involved in the archiving of the tapes have since moved on, retired or passed away, "taking their corporate memory of where the tapes are with them," Sarkissian said.

It is important not to exaggerate the quality of the images being sought, Sarkissian added. "The SSTV was not like modern high definition TV and nor was it even equal in quality to the normal broadcast TV we are accustomed to viewing," he said.

Still, the SSTV was better than the scan-converted images that were broadcast at the time =97 which is the only version currently available, Sarkissian concluded.

#### Paper trail

A small independent group of Australian and U.S. Apollo tracking station veterans have embarked on a new search for the Apollo 11 tapes.

The group is hot on a cold paper trail regarding the location of the data. They're also on the lookout for anyone involved in the management, disposition and storage of the Apollo tapes at NASA Goddard =97 or any other NASA or NASA - utilized facility where they may have been shipped.

Technical spokesman for the group is Bill Wood, a retired Apollo tracking station engineer in Barstow, California. He supported all of the Apollo missions at Goldstone =96 part of NASA's worldwide network of deep space antennas run by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) in Pasadena, California.

Wood hasn't been happy of late with some reports saying that they are looking for "missing Apollo videotapes" =97 as well as tabloid claims that NASA had somehow bungled a task.

"That's the furthest thing from the truth," Wood told SPACE.com. "There are no lost Apollo video tapes," he emphasized.

#### Never-before-seen view

For the last three or four years, the private group has been searching for special raw data recordings that contain unconverted slow-scan television (SSTV), recorded as a backup in case of an equipment glitch or a video circuit outage during the historic moon strolls of Armstrong and Aldrin.

Since there were no problems converting the slow-scan signals to National Television System Committee video standards, there was no need to use the backup telemetry recordings. Hundreds of boxes of Apollo-era magnetic tapes were subsequently shipped to NASA Goddard, later to be likely turned over to the National Record Center in Suitland, Maryland, Wood said.

Most of the Apollo tapes were later returned to NASA Goddard, including the raw Apollo 11 SSTV tapes. However, what happened to the tapes is not known. Because the SSTV was of superior quality to the scan-converted pictures broadcast out to the world at large, the hope is to recover them and give the public a higher-quality, never-before-seen view of the first human expedition sent to the Moon. Along with video, vintage Apollo 11 telemetry is also being sought.

Wood said he doubts the tapes have been trashed. On the other hand, there's a 50/50 chance they were recycled.

"Since telemetry recording tapes back then cost \$90 to \$100 a reel - well, that was back when a \$100 dollars was a \$100 dollars," Wood said. A magnetic rehab center at Goddard, he said, may have wiped the tapes clean =97 a budget-saving measure for reuse of the recording tapes.

"What we're hoping, though, is that somebody, maybe, might have saved some of them," Wood added. "We want to interest people to see something better than it happened at the time."

#### Range of formats

Meanwhile, at the Goddard Space Flight Center, the search is on.

"Hopefully, if we can find one set of tapes we can find them all," said Dave Williams of the National Space Science Data Center (NSSDC) at the NASA field center. "We still have some possibilities we're looking into, so I'd say the tapes might be found and depending on how they have been stored may well be readable," he told SPACE.com.

Williams and several colleagues are engaged in the Lunar Data Project =97 a different effort to take relevant, scientifically important Apollo data archived at NSSDC=97 analog data, microfilm, microfiche, photographic film, or hard copy documents and digitize that range of formats.

If the data were more readily available and usable in today's data rich and readable world, restoring Apollo data could provide a wealth of information for scientific studies and planning for future lunar exploration.

Migration of data

"There's a lot of old data that we don't seem to have," suggested Philip Stooke, Associate Professor at the University of Western Ontario's Department of Geography in London, Ontario, Canada. "I think more Apollo-era science data is missing too."

Hard at work on an atlas of lunar exploration, Stooke told SPACE.com that he was personally looking for images of the Moon taken by Explorer 49, a NASA radio astronomy mission that settled into lunar orbit in 1973. The probe carried a panoramic camera to monitor the deployment of its booms.

"It seems that the science data were preserved - but not those images," Stooke said.

The entire lunar data hide and seek saga that's alive and well here in the U.S. is being repeated in Russia too. "I work with people in Moscow who are trying to recover old lunar data," Stooke added.

The worry that old Apollo tapes can deteriorate is a valid concern, Stooke said. "Migration of data to new media is essential in digital archiving - and it's an ongoing problem."

What about the CD-ROMs of today? Are they going to be readable in 50 years?

"Don't count on it," Stooke responded.

For details regarding the search for the Apollo 11 Slow-Scan Television Tapes, cast your eyes on these sites:

<http://tinyurl.com/eq38g>

<http://tinyurl.com/kbrct>

[Thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 14](#)

## Re: Info Needed On The 'ET Threat' - Boone

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 13 Aug 2006 09:58:11 EDT  
Fwd Date: Mon, 14 Aug 2006 08:02:24 -0400  
Subject: Re: Info Needed On The 'ET Threat' - Boone

>From: Dirk Vander Ploeg <[publisher.nul](mailto:publisher.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 13:01:55 -0400  
>Subject: Info Needed On 'The ET Threat'

>I'm writing an article on the ET threat and I was wondering,  
>hoping that someone would have some info on the subject -  
>articles, first hand knowledge etc.

Dirk,

I've always said that any ET threat pales in comparison to the human and mosquito threat.

Mosquitoes still kill a million of us humans a year. We humans have toxins in food and recreational ingestibles that nail a few million more.

I will admit that there is something sinister going on with some reported abductions. Yet it's so small in comparison with what we do to one another it's only a matter of concern to we ufo enthusiasts.

I'm sure someone has directed you to the work of Dr. David Jacobs. Do not shy from his works one iota.

Intention is the clue. We capture and tag and experiment on other life forms. Our intention for the most part is one of study to better our lives and the lives of said life forms we examine. We don't mean harm but we do interrupt their life cycles and cause pain and emotional distress. We don't get into a conversation with that polar bear we're putting a radio transceiver on nor do we get into the topics of the day with that moose we've knocked out with a tranquilizing dart.

To the bear and the moose, when they go to sleep at night and have dreams we appear as those two legged monsters from the skies who use probes and take skin and hair samples. I'll bet Smokey Bear being a government bear said to Yogi Bear that Yogi was delusional and that such two legged creatures don't exist and that Yogi was suffering from some newly created psychiatric disorder.

The threat is ourselves if any. The fact someone is examining us and using technology far beyond that of mortal ken means something. Either we're a valuable asset or we're not. The fact that we're not all fleeing for our lives as big spaceships are blasting away at us says obviously there's no immediate threat on our part to whomever.

So the biggest ET threat from my point of view is us.

Our reactions to the phenomena and our reactions toward our fellow humans. Often hostile in our U.S. culture. I could go on for days about the horror stories there. Real ones, with real people whose lives have been ruined, not by aliens but by those sworn to protect, serve, and administer the healing arts.

If indeed the intentions of some or most of if any ET's is present it will be people like yourself who are braving the territory to ask the questions and document them that will be at the forefront of any battles.

Please keep us updated on your research.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 14](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 13 Aug 2006 10:04:08 -0400  
Fwd Date: Mon, 14 Aug 2006 08:04:32 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Shell

>From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 16:07:51 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>Why, one must wonder, would a rancher report finding "tin foil,  
>parchment, and some funny looking sticks" to the sheriff in the  
>first place, if indeed that's what Mac Brazel found?

Precisely the point that so many miss. Why, indeed? Anyone with half a brain and an ounce of common sense has realized long ago that Brazel brought the stuff into town exactly because it was dramatically different from anything he had ever encountered before. And he knew weather balloons quite well after recovering some in the past.

I think we need to add a new term to Ufology. We've all become accustomed to referring to one group as "Pelicanists", so I submit that we should call all those who want to insist that Roswell is only about weather balloons as "Balloonists". Their particular weather balloons differ from the commonly-observed ones in being filled with massive amounts of hot air.

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 14](#)

## Re: Great STF Interview Horrible Backdrop -

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 13 Aug 2006 12:06:15 -0300  
Fwd Date: Mon, 14 Aug 2006 08:25:35 -0400  
Subject: Re: Great STF Interview Horrible Backdrop -

>From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 23:48:22 EDT  
>Subject: Great STF Interview Horrible Backdrop

>Click on the following link to Google Video:

><http://tinyurl.com/pg28g>

>The interview, of Stanton Friedman, is wonderful. The backdrop,  
>however, is horrendous.

I don't think I have ever seen this clip before. Thanks Jason.

Presumably it is from an edited interview I did in France in  
1988 (41 years after Roswell).

Of course the NSA has now released all 156 UFO documents which  
are almost entirely whited out, ostensibly and laughably,  
because of sources and methods information.

Stan

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 14](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Boone

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 14 Aug 2006 08:13:47 EDT  
Fwd Date: Mon, 14 Aug 2006 08:48:00 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Boone

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 13 Aug 2006 10:04:08 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 16:07:51 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>Why, one must wonder, would a rancher report finding "tin foil,  
>>parchment, and some funny looking sticks" to the sheriff in the  
>>first place, if indeed that's what Mac Brazel found?

>Precisely the point that so many miss. Why, indeed? Anyone >with  
>half a brain and an ounce of common sense has realized long >ago  
>that Brazel brought the stuff into town exactly because it was  
>dramatically different from anything he had ever encountered  
>before. And he knew weather balloons quite well after recovering  
>some in the past.

>I think we need to add a new term to Ufology. We've all become  
>accustomed to referring to one group as "Pelicanists", so I  
>submit that we should call all those who want to insist that  
>Roswell is only about weather balloons as "Balloonists". Their  
>particular weather balloons differ from the commonly-observed  
>ones in being filled with massive amounts of hot air.

>Bob Shell

ROFL! Right on Bob Shell!

I want my Bob Shell Action Figure with Kung Fu Grip! :)

That's a high compliment we Yanks use for you of the international crowd.

You are so right. I could have thought of a more less friendly name though. I think this point brought up again by Ms. White puts an end to that debate once and for all.

Yes, I want my Eleanor White Action Figure too!

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 14](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 13 Aug 2006 14:04:50 -0700  
Fwd Date: Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:56:29 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Rudiak

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 8 Aug 2006 17:01:14 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 7 Aug 2006 09:43:43 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sun, 6 Aug 2006 17:11:33 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Sat, 5 Aug 2006 17:31:07 -0300  
>>>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>The many, many serious inconsistencies in the story are clear  
>signatures of a hastily contrived cover story that never did gel  
>completely in its details. But the press back then wasn't  
>carefully comparing notes nor did they likely care and this all  
>just slid by. Gen. Ramey, the authority figure, said it was a  
>weather balloon and that was good enough.

>>>Brazel went into Corona late on Saturday, July 5 and Roswell  
>>>Monday the 7th. The evidence is crystal clear from the  
>>>contemporary accounts. Whatever date Marcel gave you 32 years  
>>>later is highly suspect, considering that when you first saw  
>>>him he could not even recall the year of the event, and he kept  
>>>no diaries or notes!

>>>Karl Pflock was flat out right. It is you who are dead wrong.

>>Sorry, but you are the one dead wrong. All your arguments by  
>>assertion to the contrary will not magically change historical  
>>archival material. The press back in 1947 reported BOTH July 6  
>>and July 7 dates for Brazel coming to town. That's just the way  
>>it is. Again, you could start by looking at Frank Joyce's  
>>telexes in Pflock, Appendix E, p. 246. Pflock even put his own  
>>brackets around the relevant Wilcox quote: "Sheriff Wilcox says  
>>the rancher does not have a telephone, and that he did not  
>>report finding the disc until [the] day before yesterday." The  
>>exact same line is found in some UP newspaper stories (linked to  
>>above).

>>What does it say about Karl Pflock and his "meticulously  
>>researched" book if he fails to mention in his discussion that  
>>UP, the second largest wire service, reported Brazel coming to  
>>town on July 6 ("the day before yesterday")? This is typical of  
>>Pflock's style of argument throughout his book - leaving out (or  
>>flippantly dismissing) opposing evidence in his discussions,  
>>thus forcing a particular conclusion on the less-informed  
>>reader.

>Pflock does indeed mention this very item and discusses the  
>whole question of the dates on pages 89-91 of his book.

And allow me again to remind everybody how this thread got started. Allan stated absolutely that "all, yes, all" contemporary news story had Brazel coming to town on Monday, July 6. This is false, as I pointed out. UP stories in particular said this, as did two other stories I found (Washington Post and New York Herald-Tribune). There are other indications, such as newspaper stories placing Ramey away from Fort Worth base on July 6, squaring with Dubose's story of the first shipment of debris 2 or 3 days before July 8 while Ramey was away from the base. (Dubose's story also briefly mentioned and then dismissed by Pflock)

I admit error in saying Pflock didn't discuss the UP story - he did, though very briefly, then ignores it. Is Allan going to apologize about his statement that not a single contemporary story placed Brazel in Roswell on July 6? (The answer, judging by his companion post is "no", and some of his so-called logic is beyond belief. E.g., the UP story's "day before yesterday" directly implied a Sunday, July 6 date, which even Allan admits. But then he says that doesn't count, because the story didn't explicitly state the date or day. Unbelievable!)

I don't apologize about saying Pflock left many things out of his discussion, such as the inconsistencies in Marcel's Fort Worth Star-Telegram story or the circumstances under which it was told. Pflock doesn't mention, e.g., that both Marcel and Dubose said they were acting strictly under Ramey's orders. The same story also quotes Dubose saying he thought it a radar target while Marcel told a balloon story. Both Dubose and Marcel recanted decades later, saying this was nothing but the cover story.

Pflock discusses, at several points, where Marcel recalled he was eating lunch when he got the call from the Sheriff's office about Brazel. Further, he didn't return with Brazel to the ranch until after Brazel had taken care of business in Roswell. Yet the Star-Telegram story has Marcel saying he spend several hours in the "afternoon" combing Brazel's place for more debris and that debris was scattered over "a square mile".

Neither statement makes sense. After receiving the call noon-ish while eating lunch, Marcel had to drive into town from the base to interview Brazel, drive back to discuss the situation with Blanchard, round up Cavitt and two cars, return to Roswell town, round up Brazel, and then return to the ranch. The total time to do all this is 5 or 6 hours. Marcel's "afternoon" of "several hours" looking for more debris over a "square mile" is long gone.

(In fact, in one interview, Marcel said the Sheriff told him that Brazel wouldn't be done with errands in town until 3:30 or 4:00, and that when they did get to the ranch it was already dusk and too late to visit the debris field.)

I also pointed out other inconsistencies in Marcel's FWST story, such as having Brazel immediately gather up the debris 3 weeks earlier and toss it under some brush, whereas Brazel's story was not thinking much of it because he had other ranch errands, then waiting until July 4 to gather debris with his family. There is no reconciling these two wildly inconsistent stories, or the fact that the original press release didn't even have Brazel finding the debris until "sometime last week."

Pflock also throws in his usual "it couldn't have happened this way because I say so" remarks. Supposedly there was no reason for Marcel to be at the base on Sunday. Why? Would the base close down on Sunday? Because Marcel was a religious man and observed the Sabbath?

Actually it would be totally in character for Marcel to be working at the base on the weekends, according to his performance reviews. Over and over again it is mentioned what an extremely hard worker he was and how he put in long, grinding hours. In other words, he was something of a workaholic. Why wouldn't he be at the base on Sunday?

>>Pflock was a debunker and had an agenda. As ex-CIC agent  
>>Sheridan Cavitt said in his AFOSI interview, "Pflock is OUR  
>>debunker - I lean towards him."

>Sorry, but I have answered your points in another post, and am  
>not going over it again.

I just responded to Allan's other post. Allan uses every irrational argument in the barrel to avoid admitting he was wrong about the contemporary press being unanimous on when Brazel first arrived in Roswell. And when he gets to the bottom of the barrel, he keeps digging straight for China.

I also have more to say about agent Cavitt and the many lies he obviously told in his AF Roswell interview concerning what happened back at Roswell.

>Note what I say at the end of that post, please. You should  
>cease claiming that Pflock, or any other Roswell skeptic, had an  
>"agenda", or as being involved with the CIA or DOD etc.

But Pflock did work for the CIA and DOD previously, indeed he brags about it in his bio. Little known and something he didn't brag about was how he was caught red-handed in a cattle mutilation hoax story in the 1980s, where he was involved with two co-men and going under the pseudonym of "Kurt Peters". (Pflock's excuse was that he was only trying to get a book deal.)

Pflock in his book also brags about his role in helping to get N.M. Congressman Schiff's Roswell inquiry going. Pflock's wife was on Schiff's staff and Schiff put her in charge of handling the inquiry. This obviously gave Pflock total access to what was going on.

I've heard stories about how important evidence sent over to Schiff's office by Roswell investigators seemed to disappear into big black hole and never seemed to get to Schiff, but maybe we should hear from Kevin Randle and others whether this was true.

I do know of one definite case of somebody involved in Schiff's investigation playing games with the evidence. (Of course, given his history of debunkery and position in the Schiff investigation, I suspect Pflock.) This dates back to when I was discussing the Roswell case over on Usenet. Somebody quoted from the Roswell Daily Record stories, claiming that they suggested that Marcel alone issued the press release and that Brazel never denied that it was a balloon he found.

It turned out the source of this person's RDR stories was Congressman Schiff's website! I went to the website and sure enough, somebody associated with with the Schiff inquiry had deliberately altered the wording of the stories.

Here's what I wrote on alt.alien.visitors about the alterations:

---

Pflock's wife is on Schiff's staff and was one of the main people handling the inquiry for Schiff. Pflock has written that he gave "informal assistance" to Schiff on his inquiry into Roswell. One wonders if some of Pflock's "assistance" consisted of providing Roswell Daily Record stories with curious typos that changed the meaning.

Thus Brazel's statement: "I am sure what I found was not any weather observation balloon."

was changed to: "I am NOT sure what I found was not any weather observation balloon."

And the other main RDR story announcing the saucer crash in two places replaces intelligence office with intelligence officer.

...What the story really said was:

"According to information released by the department, over authority of Maj. J.A. Marcel, intelligence officer, the disk was recovered on a ranch in the Roswell area ... "After the intelligence office here had inspected the instrument it was flown to 'higher headquarters.' The intelligence office stated that no details of the saucer's construction or its appearance had been revealed."

..Which somebody changed to:

"After the intelligence officer here had inspected the instrument it was flown to 'higher headquarters.' The intelligence officer stated that no details of the saucer's construction or its appearance had been revealed."

Somebody was obviously linking Marcel, the just stated intelligence officer, to the other two statements, and making it look as though the actions and statements were of Marcel alone. In other words, Marcel was a lone wolf behind the whole thing, and everybody else like Blanchard and Haut were just innocent bystanders.

When you know that Pflock is also a Marcel basher... then it looks like Pflock's fingerprints are all over these transcript changes.

---

I can't prove this, but I'll be damned if I can think of anybody else who would have had both opportunity and motive.

>This is merely an attempt to discredit such persons by  
>association. Some people said the same about James McAndrew.  
>What "agenda" do you have for promoting your decipherment of  
>Ramey's memo?

The comparison is ridiculous. I don't work nor have I ever worked for the government, much less in intelligence and counterintelligence, like Pflock and McAndrew. Pflock did indeed work for the CIA and DOD. He was still working for the CIA when he became Chairman of NICAP's Washington, DC subcommittee in the late 1960's, one of several CIA people who worked their role into key positions in NICAP and contributed to Donald Keyhoe's ouster as director in 1969, as discussed by Timothy Good in "Above Top Secret."

At best, the evidence pointing to the CIA helping to undermine NICAP from within has always been circumstantial. (I think Richard Hall has written that Keyhoe was a poor administrator and on his way out anyway.) Pflock has stated that there was no connection between his CIA day job and his work with NICAP.

Well maybe, or maybe not. Regardless, Pflock does have a suspicious background elsewhere in the field, such as his cattle mutilation hoax. And for a guy who claimed he had a long-standing interest in UFOs and believed them to be real, he has done a helluva lot of debunking. His column for Saucer Smear before he died was filled with ridicule for the field and fellow Ufologists. You won't find one straight word or serious comment in it. Is this the behavior of a serious researcher trying to advance the field - or undermine it? And what was going on with the Schiff website and the altered RDR transcripts? Do you really think Schiff personally did this?

I'm not the only person suspicious of Pflock. When I spoke to Frank Joyce a few years ago (for those who don't know, Joyce was the first and maybe last reporter to speak to Brazel back in 1947), and the subject of Pflock came up, Joyce angrily referred to him as "goddamn CIA" and a debunker. Needless to say, Pflock ridicules Joyce's Roswell story in his book, tries to portray him as a crazy man, and calls him not credible.

When I spoke to Kent Jeffrey back around 1995 when he was doing his Roswell Initiative, I innocently asked him what he thought of Pflock (I had just read Pflock's Roswell In Perspective, an older version of his 2001 book). To my surprise, Jeffrey angrily referred to Pflock with a profane word for a rectal orifice, and then explained that there was something very "strange" about Pflock and that he didn't trust him.

Ex-CIC agent Sheridan Cavitt's comment when interviewed by AFOSI in 1994 that Pflock was "our debunker" indicates that he quickly surmised what Pflock was probably up to. As the saying goes, it takes one to know one.

Allan has also got to be kidding about McAndrew and compatriot Col. Richard Weaver, head of the first Roswell report. Both were Air Force OSI, or counter-intelligence agents. (Weaver interviewed Cavitt.) This affiliation seems to have been

carefully hidden in both the Air Force's original Roswell report and also by Pflock, who doesn't even mention Weaver's name.

All I can find Pflock saying about Weaver's role is (p. 218), "Anticipating the need to respond to and support the GAO effort, the Air Force had already begun its own investigations... The job was assigned to the Air Force director of security and special programs oversight [reference to Weaver], an official whose office was cleared for access to the most sensitive information.

That is known in the propaganda trade as spin, as it doesn't tell the reader who Weaver really was. It's also how Weaver was identified in the Roswell report and to the media, but he was long-time AF counterintelligence, had taught propaganda classes at AFOSI, and circumstantial evidence turned up he was also involved in the 1980s AFOSI UFO disinformation campaign in New Mexico, including the Paul Bennewitz affair and distribution of hoaxed UFO documents.

Wikipedia succinctly describes Weaver's AFOSI unit in the Washington area thusly:

"The single region not aligned with a major command is Region 7, the mission of which is to provide counterintelligence and security-program management for special- access programs under the Office of the Secretary of the Air Force."

Timothy Good in *Beyond Top Secret* (pp. 481-482), describes how Weaver's office oversaw A.F. Special Access Programs (SAPs), which are highly classified, highly restricted programs with little or no Congressional oversight. E.g., the Stealth aircraft program was a SAP. Weaver's office was right next door to the A.F. Scientific Advisory Board in the Pentagon, which would also be involved in such SAPs (and also figures in UFO history clear back to at least 1948). Good notes that if a UFO SAP program were to exist in the Air Force, it would be controlled through Weaver's office.

As Weaver himself commented in his interview with Sheridan Cavitt, "...in my real job we handle all the Special Programs that do keep all the secrets. And we would like to figure out how they do it [keep a saucer crash secret] so we can duplicate it."

So even if one supposes Weaver knew nothing to begin with and actually set out to do an honest Roswell investigation, what would happen if perchance he did stumble across evidence of an actual saucer crash? Would he then dutifully report this to the American public? Hah, hah, hah. He's one of the main guys who's job was to "keep all the secrets."

Good also discusses how Weaver's position as director of security and special programs oversight put him in an ideal position to try to derail Schiff's GAO investigation if the Air Force was indeed trying to hide something. His office included two AF officer "special planners". "Special plans," says Good, is an A.F. euphemism for deception as well as for "perception management" plans and operations. Special planners plan and monitor A.F. deception operations that ordinarily support wartime operations. "Perception management", says Good, is an extension of deception on a broader scale, not always limited to warfare. (In other words, making use of the tools of the trade such as propaganda, dirty tricks, disinformation, agent provocateurs, sympathetic media lackeys, etc., etc., to shape public opinion.)

Thus if the Air Force had something to hide vis-a-vis a Roswell crash and UFO investigations, Weaver's office would be the likely ones to do handle it. There couldn't have been a more blatant conflict of interest. When Weaver broke standard government protocol and publicly released his debunking Executive Summary 9 months before the GAO had concluded their investigation, Newsweek magazine immediately and perceptively tabbed it a "pre-emptive strike". And 3 weeks before the GAO finally did release its conclusions, columnist Jack Anderson reported that GAO officials involved in the investigation told him that the Air Force was clearly still hiding something, "something big", and had repeatedly tried to steer them in the wrong direction.



When reporters tried to contact Weaver immediately after this "pre-emptive strike" Executive Summary was released, they were informed that he had "retired," when the reality was he had been transferred back to Langley. (This is an age-old tactic to prevent annoying follow-up interviews, and was also used during the Roswell incident. The press was told immediately after the Roswell base press release became public that Col. Blanchard was on leave and not available for comment.)

Does Allan really think it was just a coincidence that Weaver was in charge of the original A.F. Roswell investigation and that one of his primary investigators (McAndrew) would follow up with the ridiculous "crash-dummies" report 3 years later? (which Pflock, incidentally, strongly defends in his book)

So yes, I think guys like Pflock and McAndrew and Weaver may have had an "agenda", and it wasn't personal but business.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 14](#)

## Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Boone

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 14 Aug 2006 08:13:47 EDT  
Fwd Date: Mon, 14 Aug 2006 10:56:55 -0400  
Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview - Boone

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 13 Aug 2006 10:04:08 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 12 Aug 2006 16:07:51 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Jessie Jnr.'s Denver TV Interview

>>Why, one must wonder, would a rancher report finding "tin foil,  
>>parchment, and some funny looking sticks" to the sheriff in the  
>>first place, if indeed that's what Mac Brazel found?

>Precisely the point that so many miss. Why, indeed? Anyone >with  
>half a brain and an ounce of common sense has realized long >ago  
>that Brazel brought the stuff into town exactly because it was  
>dramatically different from anything he had ever encountered  
>before. And he knew weather balloons quite well after recovering  
>some in the past.

>I think we need to add a new term to Ufology. We've all become  
>accustomed to referring to one group as "Pelicanists", so I  
>submit that we should call all those who want to insist that  
>Roswell is only about weather balloons as "Balloonists". Their  
>particular weather balloons differ from the commonly-observed  
>ones in being filled with massive amounts of hot air.

>Bob Shell

ROFL! Right on Bob Shell!

I want my Bob Shell Action Figure with Kung Fu Grip! :)

That's a high compliment we Yanks use for you of the international crowd.

You are so right. I could have thought of a more less friendly name though. I think this point brought up again by Ms. White puts an end to that debate once and for all.

Yes, I want my Eleanor White Action Figure too!

Best,

Greg

[ [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Fields Of Fear

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:04:22 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:04:22 -0400  
Subject: Fields Of Fear

Paul Kimball's new documentary, Fields Of Fear, is set to premier on Canada's Space: The Imagination Station September 15th.

Details are here:

<http://tinyurl.com/qugm5>

ebk

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars -

From: Paul Anderson <[paulanderson.nul](mailto:paulanderson.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 16 Aug 2006 10:29:47 -0700  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:10:39 -0400  
Subject: Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars -

>From: Lan Fleming <[lfleming6.nul](mailto:lfleming6.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 08:37:54 -0500  
>Subject: Re: After 10 Years Few Believe Life On Mars

>I saw that article. A new theory to explain why Mars is covered  
>with life-destroying oxide compounds comes out every year or so.  
>The curious thing is that there's not a shred of direct evidence  
>that these alleged oxides exist in amounts great enough to  
>explain the Viking Labeled Release experiment results from the  
>1970's. No Mars probe subsequent to Viking even carried any  
>instruments that could detect their presence, apparently because  
>their existence is considered to be beyond question and needed  
>no verification.

>It seems to be a case of faith-based science. They "know" that  
>there can't be life on Mars, so therefore any evidence that  
>might be an indication of life must be due to something else.  
>Speculation on what that "something else" might be provides a  
>bottomless reservoir of pop science articles that enables  
>researchers to draw attention to themselves.

>I'm sure that keeps many of them happy and contented, but not  
>Gil Levin, the Principal Investigator for the Viking LR  
>experiment that is the ultimate source of the endless  
>speculation. He went off the reservation years ago and has been  
>expressing his reasons for skepticism of the oxide theories in  
>scientific forums ever since. You won't see much about him in  
>the popular science media. Only certain types of skepticism are  
>tolerated. Levin once described himself as being treated by his  
>peers like a non-person in a fashion similar to the treatment of  
>dissidents in the old Soviet Union.  
>These oxide articles seldom even mention his name.

I have several other good links about this on the blog, also  
(August 1):

<http://themeridianijournal.blogspot.com>

I agree though, these kinds of oxides are still mostly just an  
assumption. Many Mars scientists now think that any possible  
current Martian life is more likely to be underground anyway,  
which these articles don't really mention, thus giving a very  
one-sided view to the public. That said, I still don't think the  
Viking results can be dismissed yet, as so many are apparently  
eager to do.

The Mars Rovers have shown that at least some of Mars' ancient  
liquid water was very salty and acidic, but still not  
necessarily lethal to life either (as Rio Tinto in Spain  
testifies), but that is another matter, also.

---

The Meridiani Journal  
a chronicle of planetary exploration  
<http://www.themeridianijournal.blogspot.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Our Solar System Planets

From: Terry Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 16 Aug 2006 19:13:39 -0700 (GMT-07:00)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:14:28 -0400  
Subject: Our Solar System Planets

-----

The planets in our Solar System are now 12:

Mercury  
Venus  
Earth  
Mars  
Ceres  
Jupiter  
Saturn  
Uranus  
Neptune  
Pluto  
Charon  
2003 UB313

To make the most sense, read the docs in order.

1. Press Release 2. Resolution text 3. Questions & Answers

Press release:

[http://www.iau2006.org/mirror/www.iau.org/iau0601/iau0601\\_release.html](http://www.iau2006.org/mirror/www.iau.org/iau0601/iau0601_release.html)

Resolution text:

[http://www.iau2006.org/mirror/www.iau.org/iau0601/iau0601\\_resolution.html](http://www.iau2006.org/mirror/www.iau.org/iau0601/iau0601_resolution.html)

Question and Answers:

[http://www.iau2006.org/mirror/www.iau.org/iau0601/iau0601\\_O\\_A.html](http://www.iau2006.org/mirror/www.iau.org/iau0601/iau0601_O_A.html)

Forwarded in an email from Mark Sykes, (PSI), who forwarded it from Richard Binzel at the IAU meeting in Prague:

BRIEF SUMMARY (TWO PARTS)

A. Planet = Hydrostatic Equilibrium Shape.

Therefore:

- Pluto status as planet remains unchanged.
- There are currently 12 planets, and counting.
- Ceres now a planet.
- Charon now a planet (not a satellite; barycenter is in free space)
- 2003 UB313 now a planet; will be named after IAU Meeting.

B. New IAU Category of planets created: "Plutons"

- Pluto is the prototype.
- Many new planets to be added, these will be the largest TNOs that satisfy the "planet" definition. (IAU may create a separate "pluton catalog". This is a separate discussion.)
- Currently three objects recognized as "plutons":
  - Pluto, Charon, UB313.
- New discoveries of large objects (and currently known large TNOs)

to be evaluated for "planet" status on a case by case basis.  
"Planets" among the TNOs are also known as "Plutons".

NOTE: "Pluton" is an official IAU word.

Terrestrial planet, classical planet, dwarf planet, etc. are all descriptive words, not IAU terms. We want to work hard to not have "classical planet", "dwarf planet" be mis- interpreted as official IAU categories. They are not.

=====

--

Amara Graps, PhD [www.amara.com](http://www.amara.com)  
Istituto di Fisica dello Spazio Interplanetario (IFSI), Roma, ITALIA  
Associate Research Scientist, Planetary Science Institute (PSI), Tucson

-----

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: 'Unidentified' A New Movie About UFOs - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 16 Aug 2006 23:33:51 EDT  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:16:51 -0400  
Subject: Re: 'Unidentified' A New Movie About UFOs - Gammon

>Source: The Christian Newswire - Washington, DC, USA  
><http://www.earnedmedia.org/CFG0815.htm>  
>Aug. 15 2006

>Unidentified A New Movie About UFOs To Open Wider In Theaters

>Contact: Rich Christiano, 714-544-7224

>MEDIA ADVISORY, Christian Newswire/ - One out of two people  
>believe in UFOs, and over 15 million Americans claim to have  
>seen one. There have been over 63,000 reported UFO sightings  
>worldwide - some by pilots, astronauts, and even former  
>Presidents. UFOs sightings continue to make headlines and cause  
>most people to wonder what UFOs really are.

>Writer-Director Rich Christiano hopes to answer this question  
>with Unidentified, his new dramatic film about UFOs coming out  
>in theaters in select cities. "We tried to present the various  
>angles that people normally use to explain UFOs," Christiano  
>says, "and then added some things that you aren't likely to see  
>on the History or Discovery channels." Are UFOs a hoax? Are they  
>real? Are aliens a threat? Unidentified addresses all these  
>questions.

<snip>

I cannot judge something I haven't seen, but I must admit I have my concerns. I've started to notice that the camp which believes UFOs/Aliens to be 'demons', or 'Satanic', is steadily growing amongst religious folk, and this fact worries me.

- Jason Gammon

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: Flying Humanoid Video Clip - Yturria

From: **Santiago Yturria Garza** <[syturria.nul](mailto:syturria.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 04:29:31 +0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:19:27 -0400  
Subject: Re: Flying Humanoid Video Clip - Yturria

>From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 15 Aug 2006 13:48:48 EDT  
>Subject: Flying Humanoid Video Clip

>This has probably been discussed already, but here is  
>a link for a video clip of a 'flying humanoid' filmed  
>over Phoenix, AZ on September 23, 2005.

><http://tinyurl.com/s8agr>

>Now, I've seen people in 'jet packs' fly short  
>distances before.

>What I'm interested in learning is if anyone has any  
>knowledge of the Military having a 'jet pack' which  
>is capable of long distance flight?

>FYI: When watching the video above, try getting as  
>close to your monitor as possible. In my opinion it  
>shows a dark figure with a 'jet pack' on it's back  
>flying through the air. I can even make  
>out the figure's legs dangling and it seems to be  
>adjusting itself when the camera zooms in.

>Jason Gammon

Just keep in mind that the military jet pack makes a lot of  
noise all the time. In Rich Giordano's sighting over Phoenix  
there was no sound heard at any time.

Same as Ed Sherwood's two UFO over Santa Monica, Ca, Russell  
Kellett sightings in England and all the mexican sightings of  
this kind, no sound heard at any time.

The term Flying Humanoid is just given to these specific type of  
rare sightings as a reference to describe the shape the object  
presents like we do in Ufology for the diferent shapes in UFO  
sightings.

The term Flying Humanoid does'nt imply in any way these flying  
objects are actual living humanoids or aliens, this particular  
kind of phenomena remains in mystery. There has been some  
opinions that these human shaped figures in the sky could be  
actual persons being abducted since some of the videos match  
descriptions by former abductees but at the end this theory as  
well as the others remain under investigation and can't be  
proven as a fact.

In Chile the case of the Parachuters without parachute took  
place in Sainantiago Maipu in 1998 where five flying humanoids  
appeared at daylight and were videotaped. The case was  
investigated by the Chilean Air Force under General Bermudez and  
they even made some recreations but concluded this was an  
unsolved case. Just like the subsequent cases no sound was heard  
during the sighting.

There has been many sightings of this kind reported all over the

world through the years and I must say this is a hard phenomena to deal with because it defies the common sense. I been doing my own research since 2000 and I'm surprised to see how this type of sightings have multiplied and fortunately for the research purposes many videos have been provided.

Still a mystery sometimes associated with the Mothman story the flying humanoid stories have attracted the attention of the tv networks. I may say this phenomena is not an urban legend but an interesting and certainly fascinating manifestation of something very rare in the skies that deserves a serious study.

Santiago

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## 'Hybrid Mutant' Found Dead In Maine

From: Chaz Stuart <[Daydisk2.nul](mailto:Daydisk2.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 03:45:19 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:23:31 -0400  
Subject: 'Hybrid Mutant' Found Dead In Maine

Source: Breitbart.Com - Los Angeles, California, USA

<http://www.breitbart.com/news/2006/08/16/D8JHRSVO5.html>

Aug 16 2006

'Hybrid Mutant' Found Dead In Maine

Turner, Maine - Residents are wondering if an animal found dead over the weekend may be the mysterious creature that has mauled dogs, frightened residents and been the subject of local legend for half a generation.

The animal was found near power lines along Route 4 on Saturday, apparently struck by a car while chasing a cat. The carcass was photographed and inspected by several people who live in the area, but nobody is sure exactly what it is.

Michelle O'Donnell of Turner spotted the animal near her yard about a week before it was killed. She called it a "hybrid mutant of something."

"It was evil, evil looking. And it had a horrible stench I will never forget," she told the Sun Journal of Lewiston. "We locked eyes for a few seconds and then it took off. I've lived in Maine my whole life and I've never seen anything like it."

For the past 15 years, residents across Androscoggin County have reported seeing and hearing a mysterious animal with chilling monstrous cries and eyes that glow in the night. The animal has been blamed for attacking and killing a Doberman pinscher and a Rottweiler the past couple of years.

People from Litchfield, Sabattus, Greene, Turner, Lewiston and Auburn have come forward to speak of a mystery monster that roams the woods. Nobody knows for sure what it is, and theories have ranged from a hyena or dingo to a fisher or coydog, an offspring of a coyote and a wild dog.

Now, people are asking if the mystery beast and the animal killed over the weekend are one and the same.

Wildlife officials and animal control officers declined to go to Turner to examine the remains. By Tuesday, the carcass had been picked clean by vultures and there was not much left of the dead animal.

Loren Coleman, a Portland author and cryptozoologist, said it's unlikely that the animal was anybody's pet.

After reviewing photos of the carcass, Coleman said he was bothered by the animal's ears and snout. It reminded him of a case years ago in northern Maine in which an animal shot by a hunter could not be identified. In the end, wildlife officials got a DNA analysis that showed the animal was a rare wolf-dog hybrid, he said. Mike O'Donnell, who is married to Michelle O'Donnell, said the animal looked "half-rodent, half-dog" to him.

It was charcoal gray, weighed between 40 and 50 pounds and had a bushy tail, a short snout, short ears and curled fangs hanging over its lips, he said. It looked like "something out of a Stephen King story."

"This is something I've never seen before. It's an evil-looking thing," he said.

Copyright 2006 The Associated Press.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Alien Artifacts In The Solar System?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:38:52 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:38:52 -0400  
Subject: Alien Artifacts In The Solar System?

Source: FATE Magazine - Lakeville, Minnesota, USA

<http://fatemag.com/issues/2000s/2005-11article1a.html>

November 2005

Subscribe to FATE:

<http://fatemag.com/subscribe.html>

Alien Artifacts In The Solar System?  
By Curt Sutherly

In late 1991 a strange object approached and passed within celestial spitting distance of the Earth, causing surprise, and some disquiet, among astronomers before vanishing back into the depths of space. The object was catalogued as "1991 VG," and to this day it remains a mystery.

Spotted on November 6, 1991, by astronomer Jim Scotti, 1991 VG was initially thought to be an NEO=97a Near Earth Object, probably an asteroid, of which there are many that periodically pass by too close for comfort and of which the public is blissfully unaware. At the time of discovery, 1991 VG was approximately 2,046,000 miles from Earth and heading inbound rapidly. Scotti, who was tracking with the small Spacewatch telescope at Kitt Peak National Observatory, Arizona, described it as a "fast-moving asteroidal object."

Continued observation revealed that the object did not appear to be an asteroid, or at least it didn't behave like one. For instance, it had a tendency to "wink": to become roughly three times brighter, then dark again, every seven and one-half minutes, behavior akin to that of a rotating artificial satellite. This led to speculation that 1991 VG was perhaps an expended rocket booster drifting through interplanetary space, maybe even an old Saturn V booster from the Apollo moon-launch days of the late 1960s and early 1970s.

As the object continued to approach Earth, astronomers at the European Southern Observatory (ESO) in La Silla, Chile, began tracking with a 60-inch telescope. At this point, the media became aware that something was going on and press statements were issued. Meanwhile, the ESO team (astronomers Richard West, Olivier Hainaut, and Alain Smette) conducted precise measurements of the "winking" and confirmed that the phenomenon was reminiscent of the pulsations of light observed on reflective, rotating artificial satellites.

The mystery object came closest to Earth on December 5, 1991, when it passed 51,000 miles beyond the orbit of the Moon, or a distance from the Earth of about 288,300 miles, hardly any distance at all when measured on an interplanetary scale. Then it began drifting away. An estimate of the object's size suggested a diameter of anywhere from 33 to 62 feet, small for an asteroid but about right for an expended rocket booster or

possibly a large piece of spacecraft debris. Indeed, the object was small enough so that it was visible only as a pinpoint of light when viewed through the 2.9-foot-diameter Spacewatch telescope.

Four months later, on April 27, 1992, now well away from the Earth but following a path around the sun that was remarkably similar to the Earth=92s orbit, 1991 VG was again detected by astronomers at Kitt Peak, this time with a larger telescope. It was to be the last reported sighting of the object before it vanished from ground-based visual range.

Three years passed. 1991 VG was all but forgotten, at least by the media. Then, in April 1995, a highly respected astronomer and author published an article that not only re-opened the debate about 1991 VG, but took the discussion to a whole new level.

The astronomer was Duncan Steel, then associated with the University of Adelaide in Australia, and today with the University of Salford in the United Kingdom. His article, "SETA and 1991 VG" (SETA referring to "Search for ExtraTerrestrial Artifacts"), appeared in *The Observatory*, a recognized science journal published in the UK.

In the article, Steel dared to suggest what other astronomers had no doubt considered but were too guarded to openly discuss: that 1991 VG might not just be artificial, but might, in fact, be a probe of extraterrestrial origin!

### Three Possibilities

Steel, who has a reputation for being painstakingly thorough, was no less careful when stating his case for 1991 VG.

"The approach here," he said in the *Observatory* article, "is to investigate the different probabilities for the nature of this object, given our incomplete knowledge." He then cited three distinct possibilities: "The first is that it [1991 VG] was a natural asteroid=85the second is that it was a man-made spacecraft [a spent rocket booster or an early probe launched into heliocentric orbit]. The third is that it was an alien artifact."

In considering the three possibilities, Steel said his "personal bias" was that 1991 VG was artificial but "anthropogenic," meaning it originated on Earth. Using the available orbital data and calculating backwards, he determined that the object was last near Earth during February or March 1975, and before that during the late 1950s. (With limited information concerning the object, he was unable to be more precise about the earlier date.)

Studying the early launch records, the astronomer found that there were relatively few spacecraft that could conceivably explain the existence of 1991 VG, and some of these were easily eliminated. He cited seven robot craft launched from October 1958 to March 1960. They included the Pioneer probes 1, 3, 4, and 5, and the Luna probes 1, 2, and 3. However, he pointed out that these probes were "generally small objects," some of which were known to have reentered the Earth=92s atmosphere, and one (Luna 2) had by all accounts crashed on the Moon.

Moving forward, Steel eliminated the probe Luna 23, launched in October 1974, which successfully landed on the Moon. There was, however, Helios 1, launched into heliocentric orbit in December 1974, and the probe Venera 9, sent to Venus in June 1975. But unless something had affected the trajectory of these two probes and their boosters "such as radiation pressure or leaking fuel," Steel said it was unlikely they could account for the presence of 1991 VG. (Expended boosters from the manned Apollo series were not considered because the Apollo missions were flown between October 1968 and December 1972, too early for the 1975 window of opportunity.)

Along with eliminating all known launches that fit his criteria, Steel pointed to the exceedingly low odds of a returning spacecraft or booster ever being detected by an instrument as small as the Spacewatch telescope. He estimated the chances of an accidental detection at no greater than one in 100,000 per year.

## Not an Asteroid

Steel next turned his attention to the idea that 1991 VG was a "natural body;" i.e., an asteroid. He quickly eliminated this possibility. In part, he based his rationale on the aforementioned "winking," the regular light flashes exhibited by the object, which he said were "distinctly similar to rotating artificial satellite trails." He also noted that gravitational forces arising from the closely aligned paths of the Earth and 1991 VG should have eventually kicked the object into an unstable orbit. However, 1991 VG's orbit seemed inherently stable, so he felt fairly confident that it was a new arrival (in stellar terms) and therefore probably not an asteroid.

All of which left the scientist with only one other logical consideration: that 1991 VG was an extraterrestrial probe or an alien artifact of some sort. But if the object was truly alien, then, said Steel, it begs the question: was it under control when it passed by Earth or simply following a random path? In other words, was it operational, or was it inert or a derelict?

Steel concluded his article by noting that a continual search of the heavens should be made for other suspicious objects. In so saying, he invoked a paradox originally put forth by the brilliant physicist Enrico Fermi (1901-1954).

Simply put, the "Fermi Paradox" questioned the commonly held belief that the galaxy, with its multitude of stars, must inevitably have produced a multitude of advanced alien cultures. Fermi wanted to know why, if these civilizations are so unavoidable, we've uncovered no evidence of them, such as probes, spacecraft, or transmissions. He decided that the fact that we haven't is paradoxical, thereby suggesting a flaw either in our method of reasoning or in our observations.

Put another way, if extraterrestrials actually exist, would we recognize one of their probes if it flew right by our planet?

## New Object

Nine years after 1991 VG made its close approach to the Earth, another unusual object drifted in from deep space. Discovered on September 29, 2000, by astronomers David Tholen and R. Whiteley at the University of Hawaii, the object was again presumed to be a NEO—an asteroid on a path that occasionally brought it near the Earth.

Catalogued "2000 SG344," the object approached to within 4,800,000 miles of the Earth, or about 20 times farther away than the distance from the Earth to the Moon. This was nowhere near as close as the approach of 1991 VG, but again not all that far on a celestial scale. The object appeared to be anywhere from 98 to 230 feet in diameter, depending on whether or not it was actually an asteroid and what type of material it was composed of.

Despite its safe distance from our planet, there was some concern that SG344 might hit the Earth at a future date. Initial calculations bore out this possibility, suggesting a 1-in-500 chance of an impact in 30 years—specifically, on or about September 21, 2030. When this information was released to the media (a premature move, according to some astronomers) it caused an immediate uproar. It wasn't long, however, before additional orbital data was available and statements about a collision were revised and reduced. The new date for a possible impact was September 2071, with the odds of a collision at that time estimated at about 1 in 1,000. (No such concern was ever raised about 1991 VG, which passed much nearer to the Earth but was also smaller and therefore not taken seriously as a long-term threat.)

In the midst of all the controversy about whether or not SG344 might someday hit the Earth, there occurred a striking instance of  $d \approx E_j = E_0 v_u$ . As had been the case with 1991 VG, it was abruptly noticed that SG344 was behaving less like an asteroid and more like—well, like something artificial. Said Donald Yeomans, manager of NASA's Near Earth Object program at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory in Pasadena, California: "The orbit of SG344 is so Earth-like, it makes you wonder if it came from our own planet."



## Additional Data

Checking earlier sky data, astronomers found "pre-discovery" images of SG344 in a May 1999 photo archive. These images, combined with the more current data, suggested that the object was last in Earth-92s vicinity in 1971. The Apollo space program was in full swing that year, so it was immediately apparent that SG344 might be a spent rocket booster, most likely a Saturn IV-B used as the third stage for a Saturn V Apollo launch vehicle.

However, David Tholen, one of the two discoverers of SG344, was not so certain: "The only Apollo launches in 1971 were Apollo 14 and 15, but the S-IV-B stages from those missions crashed into the Moon. The wild card is Apollo 12. Its S-IV-B stage apparently wound up in an Earth-circling orbit. There is a possibility that the Moon perturbed Apollo 12=92s S-IV-B into an orbit around the Sun. In that case, the important time is not when Apollo 12 launched [in 1969], but instead when the Moon might have nudged the booster into its new orbit."

Tholen further noted that images of SG344 suggested an elongated object, rotating about once every ten minutes. "That sure sounds like the shape of a Saturn booster," he said. "But we=92ve also found asteroids that match that description. "

Whatever it is, 2000 SG344 has an orbital period of 354 days, slightly less than that of the Earth, which revolves around the Sun in 365.2 days. By comparison, the object 1991 VG orbits the Sun in a slightly greater period of 379.6 days. The objects move in orbital paths parallel to the Earth like runners on a track. They eventually overtake and pass one another, and we are left to decide whether this is a purely natural circumstance or one that is artificial=85and if artificial whether it is an accident of our own space program or deliberate on the part of someone or something.

## Long Delayed Echoes

The idea that one or more extraterrestrial probes might be located in our solar system is nothing new.

In 1927 ham radio operators began noticing a phenomenon they termed Long Delayed Echoes (LDEs), the reflection of their own radio signals after an odd and unexpected delay. Most echoes came back after about three seconds, though some intervals were as brief as a quarter-second and others as long as 30 seconds. The phenomenon was frequently reported during the 1930s, =9240s, and =9250s, and is still reported today. Numerous theories were put forth by way of explanation. These included the notion that radio signals were somehow being trapped in the ionosphere and forced into multiple orbits before returning to Earth. Other explanations were that the echoes were the result of moon bounce, were a hoax, or (and this was the most unusual) they were RF signals rebroadcast by an extraterrestrial probe orbiting somewhere beyond the Moon. According to this last theory, the LDEs were the probe=92s way of drawing attention to itself, letting us know that we aren=92t alone in the galaxy.

The mystery of the LDEs took an added twist in February 1960 when a mysterious blip appeared on radar operated by the North American Air Defense System, which is today NORAD (North American Aerospace Defense Command). The blip was of an object with an estimated mass of 15 metric tons flying in a polar orbit. It was tracked for three weeks and caused considerable pandemonium within the Department of Defense. Then it vanished as abruptly as it had appeared.

Months later, a story was circulated that the mystery object was the second-stage booster for the top-secret Discoverer V surveillance satellite, launched into polar orbit on August 13, 1959. Alas, the Discoverer second stage was nowhere near the estimated size of the mystery satellite. In fact, the entire fully-fueled Thor-Agena rocket used to launch the Discoverer had a mass of only 8,470 pounds (less than four metric tons), a fraction of the suspected mass of the unidentified object.

While DoD personnel worried over the presence of the mystery satellite, ham radio operators again began hearing LDEs, which some suspected were coming from the mysterious object.

Years later, the LDE phenomenon was investigated by Duncan Lunan, a Scottish researcher who charted the original 1927 echo patterns on an XY graph. His effort resulted in what he believed was a map from an alien space probe=97a map showing the constellation Bootes and the binary star system Epsilon Bootis, located 203 light years from Earth. Unfortunately, the "star map" was imprecise and disputed by professional astronomers, and Lunan himself eventually backed away from his original interpretation, labeling it "speculative."

#### Bracewell Probes

In 1960, the same year the mystery satellite appeared on radar, a professor at Stanford University published a significant article in the magazine Nature. The author was Ronald Bracewell, and he proposed the idea that advanced civilizations could be sending out automated spacecraft with the hope of making contact with other advanced races. These probes, he said, would be self-sufficient and possess a high degree of artificial intelligence.

After arriving in a new star system, a "Bracewell Probe" would take up orbit in the star=92s habitable zone where it could scan for narrow-band radio transmissions. If signals were discovered, the probe would identify the source and re-broadcast the contents unchanged in order to draw attention to itself.

Professor Bracewell correctly asserted that probes dispatched to other solar systems would be able to communicate with an indigenous culture in something close to real time, a delay of no more than a few seconds, a decided advantage over any attempt at communicating across the void of interstellar space. Data stored aboard the probe could be easily transmitted in exchange for data from the resident civilization. Even small artifacts could be exchanged. Information obtained by the visiting probe would be transmitted back across the interstellar gulf to the parent star system.

The existence of Bracewell Probes is pure conjecture, but it is conjecture based on sound reasoning. On the other hand, the phenomenon of LDEs is undeniably real, as are the two unusual objects moving in Earth-like orbits around the Sun. The chance that both these objects=971991 VG and 2000 SG344=97are leftover rocket boosters seems unlikely.

Are they Bracewell Probes? Sooner or later we are bound to find out.

Curt Sutherly is the author of Strange Encounters (Llewellyn, 1996) and UFO Mysteries (Llewellyn, 2001). A native of Pennsylvania, Curt is currently

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:44:29 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:44:29 -0400  
Subject: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

Source: The South Wales Echo - U.K.

<http://tinyurl.co.uk/owez>

Aug 16 2006

'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

Top Cardiff astronomer is set to retire after a glittering career in the stars

Katie Bodinger  
South Wales Echo

A pioneer in the field of astronomy believes contact could be made with alien life forms within the next decade.

Cardiff University Professor Chandra Wickramasinghe, who is retiring, says it is only a matter of time before contact is made with extra terrestrials.

Prof Wickramasinghe, who specialises in applied mathematics and astronomy, said: 'Attempts to make contact have been going on since the 1960s.

'I hope in the next 10 years that we could make some definite decision as to whether intelligent life exists outside the Earth.

'When that happens what that will do for human society is a very interesting question.'

Prof Wickramasinghe believes world peace could be brought about if it was proved aliens really were out there.

'We will discover we are not alone, so what will all this bickering on our planet mean?' he said. 'It will make us less bigoted as nations. Unity has to happen through external contact.'

The eminent academic, who founded the theory that life on Earth began from space dust from comets, was born in Sri Lanka and came to Britain in 1960 aged 21 to study in Trinity College, Cambridge.

He came to Cardiff in 1973, aged 34, when he was the youngest professor to be appointed at the university.

He has since helped to found one of the top astronomy departments in Britain and has published more than 25 books on the subject, in collaboration with the late Sir Fred Hoyle.

In the past 40 years, Prof Wickramasinghe has seen amazing developments in technology allowing people to land on the Moon and probes to visit planets.

He said he had enjoyed a satisfying career and was excited about what the next 30 years could bring.

'The world has got smaller and it's going to get smaller.'

Following his retirement, the professor will continue as director of the Cardiff centre for astrobiology at the university.

The Prof's theories

Some of Professor Wickramasinghe's theories:

- Life on Earth began from inter-stellar dust which was brought to Earth by comets - "The theory that life came from outside was considered crazy in the 1970s," he said. "We had to fight very hard against the Establishment to get this position changed."

- A meteorite could hit Earth at any time and potentially wipe life out - "After 20 years people are beginning to take this seriously. We have contingencies in place for an impact."

- Intelligent life exists on other planets - "I think we are part of a connected chain of beings that extends to the remotest parts of the universe."

- Aliens probably look like us - "Our genes come from space and, therefore, if there is life on other planets, they must derive from the same genetic information."

- Humans will one day live on Mars - "I think sooner or later we will go to Mars, maybe even colonise it, if our planet becomes uninhabitable in the distant future."

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: Apollo UFOs - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 11:06:04 +0100  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:48:13 -0400  
Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs - Shough

>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 15 Aug 2006 12:16:30 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 15 Aug 2006 12:12:04 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Mon, 14 Aug 2006 11:18:45 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>>>Subject: Apollo UFOs

>>>Regarding the cosmic ray light flash experiments, the CMP never  
>>>saw any...

>>>"Throughout the mission, the CMP looked for, but never saw, a  
>>>light flash, even though he tried various locations and  
>>>orientations."

>>Is this a reference to cosmic ray particles passing through the  
>>eyeball?

>Yes. I included it to help remove the possibility that the light  
>flash was induced by the particles. It was very intriguing that  
>the report states that both missions saw the flash on the  
>surface in the same location. I cannot confirm how they deduced  
>this (based on the ground trace and observation time?) but there  
>it is.

>>Oddly enough while hunting through a stack of old FSRs yesterday  
>>I found an article by Dr. Bernard Finch called "Phosphenes and  
>>the UFO phenomenon" about this very thing.

>And what did it conclude?

You know, I haven't read this for over 30 years. FSR 16.5 p.9.  
Ah, nostalgia! Looking through it in detail I find to my mild  
surprise that Finch doesn't mention cosmic ray phosphenes  
specifically (as I'd recalled\*) but discusses experiments  
applying currents across the eyeball, or close to the eyeball, to  
generate phosphene flashes. He points out that similar results  
can be caused by exposing the head to alternating magnetic  
fields, along with other effects such as slowing down the  
psychomotor responses in laboratory rats. He also says that  
certain neurochemical effects have been shown to persist long  
after the source is turned off.

He then speculates about how much of a CE witness's experience  
in the presence of a UFO might be "mental phenomena" caused by  
intense alternating magnetic fields. He suggests that certain  
strange and inconsistent aspects of testimony, even in contact  
cases, ought to be re-examined with the possibility of induced  
disorientation and hallucination in mind. "A glowing object  
descends, your car stops . . . It comes close to you and  
"someone" comes out and waves and talks. You are paralysed, and  
can't think or move; you fall down, become unconscious, and

experience vivid dreams for weeks afterwards. This is the pattern."

He also suggests that if the optic nerve can be stimulated to produce vivid phosphenes in the absence of light then this offers a test for UFO recognition: "If a large glowing object is seen in the sky, the observer should close the eyes, when, if light or flashes are still seen, then the object is truly unconventional." (Separating descriptions of phosphenes from afterimages might be tricky though!)

Finally he suggests that "several cases when UFOs were sensed ('seen') through walls and ceilings and when the eyes were shut (i.e. asleep)" should be re-appraised, without saying which cases these are. There's an implication that there could be induced dream imagery in such cases, but he doesn't discuss this or the difficulties of separating spontaneous from induced dream imagery.

>Probably few Earth UFO reports are the result of the cosmic ray >particles, although some people on the Earth surface have >reported similar sounding things (see Corliss' database).

I agree it's highly unlikely. Almost no cosmic ray protons make it beyond the top of the atmosphere where they decay into pion-muon showers. Most of the muons decay too, so at sea level the average rate is only about 1 per cm<sup>2</sup> per minute. This is only about half of the average background radiation. If any visual "UFOs" are rare phosphene effects caused by intense and sustained particle fluxes through the eye (highly unlikely anyway) it would have to be from a local source (like what?), and I dare say the cell damage could be significant!

Of course fluctuations occur, and effects on pilots at high altitude might be more noticeable. There must be lots of research on this. I did find a NASA aerospace medicine bibliography showing that studies of "phosphene luminosity" go back to 1964 (abstract below). I imagine Richard Haines would know about all this. He was head of NASA Human Factors at one time. According to Finch the term "phosphenes" was introduced by M. Valentinuzzi in 1962 but studies go back to experiments by an S. P. Thompson in 1910.

-----  
A6540748  
RETINA POTENTIAL (EERG) INDUCED BY ELECTRIC CURRENT IWZVANNYI ELEKTRICHESKIM TOKOM POTLNTSIAL SETCHATKI (EF.KG)I.  
A. I. Bogoslovskii, E. N. Semenovskaia, and V. K. Zhdanov.  
Biofizika, voi. 9, 1964, p.701-709. 21 refs. In Russian.

Electric stimulation of the human eyeball produced phosphenes and evoked potentials in the retina. The registered potentials showed increases in amplitude and duration, which were in logarithmic ratio to the increase of the stimulus intensity and coincided with the increase in phosphene luminosity. Individual visual adaptation determined the values. No retinal potentials were observed when the sensors were inserted into the hollow eye orbit. Electric stimulation of the nasal mucosa, ear lobe, or the skin of the hand did not produce the same type of potential tracings.

"NASA SP-7011 (12) Scientific and Technical Information Division  
NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. JUNE 1965"

<http://tinyurl.com/n4owg>

-----  
>>I'm not sure what if any connection there is between phosphenes >>and UFO reports, but in the Apollo case my question would be, >>How does an observer know that a brief flash of light apparently >>on the lunar surface is not a cosmic ray event?

>I would imagine that they get experience with the kinds of >flashes and their characteristics after a while. Note that on >their return from the Moon there were much fewer cosmic ray >flashes reported than on the way to the Moon. This implies >acclimatization.

I see. Sorry, I thought that "throughout the mission, the CMP

looked for, but never saw, a light flash." That's what made me ask how he knew the lunar flashes weren't cosmic rays. Obviously I wasn't seeing the whole story here.

>Their intent looking at the surface and their experience with  
>cosmic ray flashes seems to vote in favor of it not being due to  
>cosmic rays. If they were seeing flashes all the time when  
>looking at the surface, then I would suspect they would have  
>clearly written off the observation as such. But even though  
>there was an official experiment set up to understand the  
>phenomena, they did not include these in the data set.

Yes, thanks. Makes sense now.

Martin Shough

\* I now think this was in a different article by C. Maxwell cade but I can't find it at the moment.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: Apollo UFOs - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 11:30:37 +0100  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:49:36 -0400  
Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs - Shough

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 15 Aug 2006 12:57:09 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 15 Aug 2006 12:12:04 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Mon, 14 Aug 2006 11:18:45 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>>Subject: Apollo UFOs

>>>Regarding the cosmic ray light flash experiments, the CMP  
>>>never saw any...

>>>"Throughout the mission, the CMP looked for, but never saw,  
>>>a light flash, even though he tried various locations and  
>>>orientations."

>>Is this a reference to cosmic ray particles passing through  
>>the eyeball?

>>Oddly enough while hunting through a stack of old FSRs  
>>yesterday I found an article by Dr. Bernard Finch called  
>>"Phosphenes and the UFO phenomenon" about this very thing.  
>>I'm not sure what if any connection there is between  
>>phosphenes and UFO reports, but in the Apollo case my question  
>>would be, How does an observer know that a brief flash of  
>>light apparently on the lunar surface is not a cosmic ray  
>>event?

>Just one stray cosmic ray-out of gazillions to the tenth power?

Cosmic ray phosphenes do occur, due mainly to solar or galactic  
protons. Occasional collisions in the eye do produce brief  
flashes. Astronauts see them. (The "gazillions" of protons they  
don't see are irrelevant.)

>What's wrong with a meteor strike?

There's nothing wrong with a meteor strike. I never implied  
there was. Meteor strikes also cause occasional brief flashes.  
The CMP was tasked to look out for phosphene flashes, but said  
he hadn't noticed any. But he did see flashes, apparently on the  
moon. My question was simple: Would it be obvious whether a  
brief flash was in the eye or on the lunar surface?

>As for the phosphenes and UFO  
>reports- baloney. You know without reading the report . . .

Oh you do, do you?

>You know without reading the report [is it on the net somewhere BTW]

It is in FSR 16.5 Sept/Oct 1970 pp.9-10



>that Finch is going to satisfy just one  
>fraction of a second in a full UFO, light-in-the-sky report and  
>he's assuming UFO reports are all reports of brief sightings of  
>lights in the sky. We know different.

FYI, Don, Bernard Finch MRCS LRCP DCh FBIS was a consultant to Flying Saucer Review, with his name on the masthead, and a regular contributor. His interest in phosphenes was not an attempt to explain away UFOs as cosmic ray flashes, and nothing I said could possibly be interpreted to imply that. Your knee-jerk assumptions are ignorant and foolish.

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Friedman

From: **Stanton Friedman** <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:06:18 -0300  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:51:49 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Friedman

>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 15 Aug 2006 13:36:01 -0500 (CDT)  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 14 Aug 2006 23:02:39 EDT  
>>Subject: UFOs Or Not?

>>Is there any chance anyone would want to  
>>talk about real unexplained cases of UFO sightings?

>The thing about the unexplained cases is, well, they usually  
>don't have enough data available to make them explainable. If  
>there was, then the cases become "explained," don't they? We can  
>take the available data and like Sherlock Holmes try to deduce  
>an explanation, but generally all we end up with is conjecture.  
>Not a crime, but not tremendously productive, either.

>But sure, what cases would you like to ruminate about?

>My background: SDM, Gemini, legal professional, degrees in  
>Sociology and English, over 40 years of UFO interest, former  
>USAF with Top Secret SIOP-ESI, good electric blues guitar  
>player, likes dancing, good food, romantic moonlight strolls on  
>the beach, snuggling on rainy weekend mornings.

The Insufficient Information cases in Blue Book Special Report  
No. 14 were completely separate from the UNKNOWNS. It is  
standard nonsense, including from the secretary of the USAF,  
that if more information had been available, the UNKNOWN 3%  
could have been identified as conventional phenomena or  
illusions.

The UNKNOWNS were 21% and the Insuff. Info cases were 9.5% of  
the 3201 cases. Of course the press release and the summary  
didn't give these numbers.

Why should we repeat these lies?

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 12:32:33 +0100 (BST)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:53:16 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Miller

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [UFOupdates.nul](mailto:UFOupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 14 Aug 2006 23:02:39 EDT  
>Subject: UFOs Or Not?

>It seems the subject of UFOs on this List has been more of a  
>forum for argumentative discussion rather than delving into  
>the core of the UFO phenomenon itself.

<snip>

Fellow Listerians,

Please don't be aggressive or nasty to Hollis. I will excuse anyone with a first name like that. It does make me wonder a bit but then again, any country that can turn out a "Travis".... What is wrong with good, old fashioned names like Crispin or Trevelyan?

But no one has asked for clarification of the obvious. Perhaps Hollis is a far flung member of the Kimball family. Or even a near flung one. Methinks we should be told.

I do hope Hollis returns and in particular explains the miscon/perception of Soccorro. And yet the biggest hoax of all only got a "Hmmm". Hmmm.

I have no credentials whatsoever to offer. But I have a criminal conviction and a wart - will that do?

Welcome Hollis. Some of the other Listers are a strange lot.

Stuart Miller

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: 'Unidentified' A New Movie About UFOs - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:38:00 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:54:59 -0400  
Subject: Re: 'Unidentified' A New Movie About UFOs - Shell

>From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 16 Aug 2006 23:33:51 EDT  
>Subject: Re: 'Unidentified' A New Movie About UFOs

>I cannot judge something I haven't seen, but I must admit I have  
>my concerns. I've started to notice that the camp which believes  
>UFOs/aliens to be 'demons', or 'Satanic', is steadily growing  
>amongst religious folk, and this fact worries me.

You are misusing the term "religious", Jason. There has been no  
growth of such beliefs among Buddhists, Shintos, Ba'hai, Jews,  
Hindus, Jains, Sikhs, Rastafarians, Shintos, Taoists,  
Zoroastrians, etc., etc.

It is only among those who call themselves "Fundamentalist  
Christians" that such lunacy is on the rise. Personally, I do  
not include these cultists in the term "religious". Yes, their  
peculiar brand of narrow-minded fanaticism is worrisome, but  
please do not tar the truly religious with the broad brush that  
includes them.

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: Fields Of Fear - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:11:20 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 09:17:08 -0400  
Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear - Lehmborg

>Paul Kimball's new documentary, Fields Of Fear, is set  
>to premier on Canada's Space: The Imagination Station  
>September 15th.

>Details are here:

><http://tinyurl.com/qugm5>

>ebk

I ask on my editorial/news page if this going to be another of Mr. Kimball's loss-leader (one step forward and two steps back) film productions, a production like many of his past productions, a production to be refuted and repudiated later on... when the sales flatten out, presumably? That's my perception.

I can understand changing ones mind given new information, but there seemed to be something decidedly different and a little too convenient about Mr. Kimball's philosophical conversion... like he actualized, virtually overnight, the deeper, wider, and easier - most profitable, more conventional, more homocentric? - ufological channel we all navigate... thereby gleefully celebrating that which provides the most disrespect to a more genuine truth-seeker... a seeker mistrustful of a status quo providing that disrespectful impetus Mr. Kimball wields (and defends) so well?

I feel honor bound to fire a few torpedoes beneath the waterline of all that precipitated by the fact that I have ceased to believe anything Mr. Kimball writes, publishes, or produces. I mean 90% of everything is supposed to be crap. Mr. Kimball, and by his own admission, repudiation, and refutation... seems to generously exceed that percentage.

Mr. Kimball will have to pardon my cynicism. None of us is able to look forward better than we are able look back, we can travel into the future navigating only by what we see in our own inadequate rear-view mirrors . Mr. Kimball looks decidedly dodgy in my rear view mirror.

Many of the bridges and causeways he's constructed in his contemporary ufological travel he's dynamited and destroyed himself, to be rebuilt later, he says, in a manner reflecting not where he'd been, but where he'd be remembered he was, is my opinion. That does not seem to connote a respect for history that Mr. Kimball would proclaim.

Background on the philosophical divergence here:

<http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/2006/01/why-i-fight.html>

And a running chronicle ending here, but going back for months:

<http://www.alienview.net/news.html>

Explored? What's really on with Mr. Kimball... and why does this 'believer' not believe.

Not inordinately sorry if you find the opining tune offensive...  
Yeah, that's right. Shoot the piano player. But be surprised.  
Be very surprised. Find his back is not to the saloon floor.  
Sneers are returned with interest and edge.

[alienview.nul](http://alienview.nul)

[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)

AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: 'Unidentified' A New Movie About UFOs - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 09:23:40 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 13:44:58 -0400  
Subject: Re: 'Unidentified' A New Movie About UFOs - Kaeser

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:38:00 -0400  
>Subject: Re: 'Unidentified' A New Movie About UFOs

>>From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 16 Aug 2006 23:33:51 EDT  
>>Subject: Re: 'Unidentified' A New Movie About UFOs

>>I cannot judge something I haven't seen, but I must admit I have  
>>my concerns. I've started to notice that the camp which believes  
>>UFOs/Aliens to be 'demons', or 'Satanic', is steadily growing  
>>amongst religious folk, and this fact worries me.

>You are misusing the term "religious", Jason. There has been no  
>growth of such beliefs among Buddhists, Shintos, Ba'hai, Jews,  
>Hindus, Jains, Sikhs, Rastafarians, Shintos, Taoists,  
>Zoroastrians, etc., etc.

>It is only among those who call themselves "Fundamentalist  
>Christians" that such lunacy is on the rise. Personally, I do  
>not include these cultists in the term "religious". Yes, their  
>peculiar brand of narrow-minded fanaticism is worrisome, but  
>please do not tar the truly religious with the broad brush that  
>includes them.

You are correct, but I would defined them as religious fanatics,  
and I would suspect you can find them in most religions (with  
the possible exception of TAOism, by definition). When they are  
promoting and pursuing may well not be 'religious' at its core,  
but it's still done in the name of "religion" and the public is  
attracted to a belief structure and not a specific viewpoint. I  
could make a parallel comment about Government and how it  
controls the views of its citizens, but that belongs on a  
different List.

Steve

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Assistance From British Researchers

From: **Robert Frola** <[auforn.nul](mailto:auforn.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 23:53:29 +1000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 13:50:18 -0400  
Subject: Assistance From British Researchers

Hi List

I have a few questions and some links I need British ufologists to take a look at.

You may have seen Bill Chalker's post about the Australian UFO Wave site, well I would like those involved in British UFO research to take a look at these articles I found on the Web as they all mention a guy named Chris Kenworthy.

I would like to know if the Chris in these articles could be the same Chris who created the UFO Wave hoax over here in Australia.

The British Chris Kenworthy was said to have written for UFO magazine mag #9 an article titled, Just Kidding.

Wingfield Accuses Possible Makers Of Autopsy Film

<http://www.anomalies.net/archive/cni-news/CNI.0497.html>

Another article which mentions a Chris Kenworthy.

<http://www.cohenufo.org/Walton/magwaltn3.htm>

This is an email with mention of a Chris Kenworthy.

<http://www.magonia.demon.co.uk/ethbull/ethbull13.html>

Magonia ETH Bulletin No. 3, May 1998  
Editor: John Harney

Quote:

In Britain, Christopher Kenworthy and his fellow researchers have tried a similar experiment. Some of the subjects remembered being abducted, but when the tapes were played back they were seen to have been in bed all the time. However, on two separate nights which tally with their abduction memories, two of our experimenters were seen getting up and leaving the bedroom.

Thank you for your time and I hope you can help.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: Apollo UFOs - Smith

From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 10:02:30 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 13:55:12 -0400  
Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs - Smith

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 15 Aug 2006 12:57:09 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 15 Aug 2006 12:12:04 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>...How does an observer know that a brief flash of  
>>light apparently on the lunar surface is not a cosmic ray  
>>event?

>Just one stray cosmic ray-out of gazzillions to the tenth power?  
>What's wrong with a meteor strike?

Because they stated they saw it in the same location (which I don't believe, but that's what they said). What are the odds of that?

Also, no seismic data was picked up (but that doesn't prove it wasn't a meteor, it could have been small). Also, a "streak" is unlikely in a vacuum environment for a meteor.

What was it? I don't know.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: Apollo UFOs - Smith

From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 10:12:27 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 13:56:46 -0400  
Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs - Smith

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 11:06:04 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 15 Aug 2006 12:16:30 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>You know, I haven't read this for over 30 years. FSR 16.5 p.9.  
>Ah, nostalgia! Looking through it in detail I find to my mild  
>surprise that Finch doesn't mention cosmic ray phosphenes  
>specifically (as I'd recalled\*) but discusses experiments  
>applying currents across the eyeball, or close to the eyeball, to  
>generate phosphene flashes. He points out that similar results  
>can be caused by exposing the head to alternating magnetic  
>fields, along with other effects such as slowing down the  
>psychomotor responses in laboratory rats. He also says that  
>certain neurochemical effects have been shown to persist long  
>after the source is turned off.

Thanks for the interesting recounting of this article. With the close proximity of a witness to such fields, I can see how it might affect their perceptions. However, such field strengths are unlikely to be generated by anything but vehicles (alien or secret).

>>Probably few Earth UFO reports are the result of the cosmic ray  
>>particles, although some people on the Earth surface have  
>>reported similar sounding things (see Corliss' database).

>I agree it's highly unlikely. Almost no cosmic ray protons make  
>it beyond the top of the atmosphere where they decay into pion-  
>muon showers. Most of the muons decay too, so at sea level the  
>average rate is only about 1 per cm<sup>2</sup> per minute. This is only  
>about half of the average background radiation. If any visual  
>"UFOs" are rare phosphene effects caused by intense and  
>sustained particle fluxes through the eye (highly unlikely  
>anyway) it would have to be from a local source (like what?), and I  
>dare say the cell damage could be significant!

>Of course fluctuations occur, and effects on pilots at high  
>altitude might be more noticeable. ....

I looked for the case, but could not find it in the Corliss database but am pretty sure it was there. In summary, an astronomer noticed that when inside his house (!!!) and he looked in one direction he saw flashes of light. I believe this was with his eyes open. I remember him being in Great Britain but am not sure.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: Fields Of Fear - Friedman

From: **Stanton Friedman** <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 11:30:20 -0300  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 14:00:42 -0400  
Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear - Friedman

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:11:20 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear

>>Paul Kimball's new documentary, Fields Of Fear, is set  
>>to premier on Canada's Space: The Imagination Station  
>>September 15th.

>>Details are here:

>><http://tinyurl.com/gugm5>

>I ask on my editorial/news page if this going to be another of  
>Mr. Kimball's loss-leader (one step forward and two steps back)  
>film productions, a production like many of his past  
>productions, a production to be refuted and repudiated later  
>on... when the sales flatten out, presumably? That's my  
>perception.

<snip>

Alfred,

I really think Paul Kimball's Stanton T. Friedman IS Real, and his Do You Believe In Majic?, both broadcast on Space, are quite well done and worth watching. The latter, with a lot of additions, will be available on DVD around the end of September from Tim Crawford's central video.

I have no idea how many outside of Canada have viewed either one. Haven't seen Fields Of Fear, so no opinion yet.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:46:26 +0100  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 14:02:50 -0400  
Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Shough

>Source: The London Free Press - Ontario, Canada

><http://tinyurl.com/gxx2h>

>Thursday, 17 August, 2006

>Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle  
>By Ian Gillespie

>The two boys can hardly contain their excitement.

>"At first, we thought it was just our imagination," says 13-  
>year-old Anthony Crivea.

>"But then other people saw it, too!" exclaims 11-year-old Jason  
>Dean.

>"And it started going in figure-eight shapes," says Crivea. "So  
>then we thought it was a UFO."

<snip>

>"It was weird," says the 33-year-old Londoner. "Your first  
>instinct is that it could be a plane, but then you think, well  
>no, that's not a plane unless the pilot is really drunk. Because  
>it would go up and down and then zoom back to where it was  
>initially."

>Hounam and the boys say at first the object appeared to be white  
>but later showed flashes of red and blue. Most peculiar,  
>however, is their claim the lights moved in a kind of sharp-  
>angled figure-eight pattern.

<snip>

>After 30 years of study, Rutkowski says he's found most UFO  
>sightings have some valid explanation. Often, he says, people  
>are simply seeing stars or satellites.

>"Even a star or a planet will twinkle with different colours,"  
>he says. "And because of a phenomenon called auto-kinesis, it  
>can appear to move, even though it's stationary.

>"You stare at it with no frame of reference and your mind  
>interprets it as moving closer or farther away from you."

>But clearly, he says, stars and satellites don't move in a  
>figure-eight. So what did these local folks see?

Based on near-zero information given, there's no reason this  
apparent motion couldn't have been autokinesis, which does cause  
illusory lateral motion, not line-of-sight motion as stated  
here. I just checked a couple of sources out of interest.

The favourite explanation seems to be that it's caused by the  
brain's method of stabilising the visual field and is basically  
the same as the "spinning room" illusion that happens when you

spin your chair and suddenly stop - perception of motion induced opposite to the direction of real motion.

Autokinetic motion is apparently proportional to the amount of voluntary eye movement that would be required in order to cancel out small involuntary eye movements, so in the opposite direction. Presumably during the attempt to fixate on a star the eye constantly drifts due to these involuntary twitches and has to be hauled back, then overshoots, and so on, and each involuntary drift triggers an illusory stabilising "motion".

I'm not sure if I've got that exactly right, but that's the gist. So you might expect the apparent motion to be the inverse of the eye's attempt to home in on the source and such a recursive scan pattern might well (I imagine) be a sort of "figure eight". Does anyone know more about this?

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Hall

From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:08:53 +0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 14:04:42 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Hall

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:06:18 -0300  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 15 Aug 2006 13:36:01 -0500 (CDT)  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprigo.nul](mailto:Choprigo.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Mon, 14 Aug 2006 23:02:39 EDT  
>>>Subject: UFOs Or Not?

>>>Is there any chance anyone would want to  
>>>talk about real unexplained cases of UFO sightings?

>>The thing about the unexplained cases is, well, they usually  
>>don't have enough data available to make them explainable. If  
>>there was, then the cases become "explained," don't they? We can  
>>take the available data and like Sherlock Holmes try to deduce  
>>an explanation, but generally all we end up with is conjecture.  
>>Not a crime, but not tremendously productive, either.

<snip>

>The Insufficient Information cases in Blue Book Special Report  
>No. 14 were completely separate from the UNKNOWNNS. It is  
>standard nonsense, including from the secretary of the USAF,  
>that if more information had been available, the UNKNOWN 3%  
>could have been identified as conventional phenomena or  
>illusions.

>The UNKNOWNNS were 21% and the Insuff. Info cases were 9.5% of  
>the 3201 cases. Of course the press release and the summary  
>didn't give these numbers.

>Why should we repeat these lies?

I thoroughly agree with Stan on this.

The cockeyed notion that insufficient data cases and truly  
puzzling reports are one and the same is total nonsense.

Hundreds and thousands of very detailed, credible, and  
thoroughly investigated cases leave us with substantial data and  
an array of strong patterns. There was no lack of information  
that could leave the door open for a plausible explanation in  
these cases.

Pretty weak argument, Tim.

- Dick

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: Apollo UFOs - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 14:01:42 -0300  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 14:55:52 -0400  
Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs - Ledger

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 11:30:37 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 15 Aug 2006 12:57:09 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 15 Aug 2006 12:12:04 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

<snip>

>>>Is this a reference to cosmic ray particles passing  
>>>through the eyeball?

>>>Oddly enough while hunting through a stack of old FSRs  
>>>yesterday I found an article by Dr. Bernard Finch called  
>>>"Phosphenes and the UFO phenomenon" about this very thing.  
>>>I'm not sure what if any connection there is between  
>>>phosphenes and UFO reports, but in the Apollo case my  
>>>question would be, How does an observer know that a brief  
>>>flash of light apparently on the lunar surface is not a  
>>>cosmic ray event?

>>Just one stray cosmic ray-out of gazzillions to the tenth  
>>power?

>Cosmic ray phosphenes do occur, due mainly to solar or  
>galactic protons. Occasional collisions in the eye do produce  
>brief flashes. Astronauts see them. (The "gazillions" of  
>protons they don't see are irrelevant.)

>>What's wrong with a meteor strike?

>There's nothing wrong with a meteor strike. I never implied  
>there was. Meteor strikes also cause occasional brief flashes.

>The CMP was tasked to look out for phosphene flashes, but  
>said he hadn't noticed any. But he did see flashes, apparently  
>on the moon. My question was simple: Would it be obvious  
>whether a brief flash was in the eye or on the lunar surface?

>>As for the phosphenes and UFO reports - baloney. You know  
>>without reading the report...

>Oh you do, do you?

>>You know without reading the report [is it on the net  
>>somewhere BTW]

>It is in FSR 16.5 Sept/Oct 1970 pp.9-10

>>that Finch is going to satisfy just one fraction of a second  
>>in a full UFO, light-in-the-sky report and he's assuming UFO

>>reports are all reports of brief sightings of lights in the  
>>sky. We know different.

>FYI, Don, Bernard Finch MRCS LRCP DCh FBIS was a consultant to  
>Flying Saucer Review, with his name on the masthead, and a  
>regular contributor. His interest in phosphenes was not an  
>attempt to explain away UFOs as cosmic ray flashes, and  
>nothing I said could possibly be interpreted to imply that.  
>Your knee-jerk assumptions are ignorant and foolish.

No I think not. What's knee jerk and foolish is your assumption  
that I'm trashing your premise or anyone else's.

Of course these things happen but the question I asked was why  
with the infinite number of cosmic rays passing through our  
Solar system, only one hits the retina while the astronaut is in  
space lasting a fraction of a second on rare occasions rather  
than constantly but these rays that can't get through the  
ionosphere and the Earth's magnetic field cause UFO sightings  
that can last for seconds or minutes and in broad daylight and  
seen by hundreds of thousands.

There's your knee-jerk theories if you will. It simply amazing  
the hoops that science will jump through attempting to explain  
the UFO. BTW - I didn't bring that up - you did.

Martin, you are coming across as a bit of a fathead. If anyone  
disagrees with something you post they - or at least me - are  
automatically assumed by yourself to be intellectually inferior  
and in my case prone to knee-jerk responses, and also ignorant  
[see intellectually inferior] and foolish.

The reason I respond to these lines of reasoning at all is  
because I'm frankly tired of silly small observations observed  
in the lab that produces a flash of light which is then rolled  
out by someone else as another explanation for what people are  
seeing in the hundreds of thousands in our skies, on the surface  
of Earth and in or on the water. "Eureka, I've solved the UFO  
mystery." T

his is science short-stroking at it's best about something they  
know nothing about. And you don't need a lab to discover these  
odd effects.

Get hit in the side of a head with a baseball and you'll see all  
the flashing lights you want. Stick enough hi-gauss magnets  
around someone's head and you'll get pinpoints of light  
hallucinations. Duh!!

Reminds me of the claims about brain damage caused by smoking  
the "weed" back in the 60s and George Carlin's joke about  
science proving this by sticking a mask over the snout of a lab  
rat and forcing it to breath the "weed" fumes for hours on end.  
Again, duh!!

It's this kind of nonsense that's led to such revelations as the  
Condign Report and the damage it's doing, particularly in the UK  
press as is evidenced by this last line to a newspaper article a  
week or so ago which showed up on here under the heading (UFO  
HOAX! The truth is out there... British couple admit UFO  
prank!) and states at the end of the article this enlightening  
sentence, "Declassified MoD files released in May this year  
revealed that none of the numerous "unidentified aerial  
phenomena" reported over Britain in the last 30 years was a  
flying saucer."

But I'll go with the meteor impact explanation for the flash of  
lights seen on the Moon's surface, if you don't mind. Occam's  
razor.

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: Fields Of Fear - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 17:19:47 +0100 (BST)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 14:57:24 -0400  
Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear - Miller

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:11:20 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear

>>Paul Kimball's new documentary, Fields Of Fear, is set  
>>to premier on Canada's Space: The Imagination Station  
>>September 15th.

>>Details are here:

>><http://tinyurl.com/qugm5>

>>ebk

>I ask on my editorial/news page if this going to be another of  
>Mr. Kimball's loss-leader (one step forward and two steps  
>back) film productions, a production like many of his past  
>productions, a production to be refuted and repudiated later  
>on... when the sales flatten out, presumably? That's my  
>perception.

>I can understand changing ones mind given new information, but  
>there seemed to be something decidedly different and a little  
>too convenient about Mr. Kimball's philosophical conversion...  
>like he actualized, virtually overnight, the deeper, wider,  
>and easier - most profitable, more conventional, more  
>homocentric? - ufological channel we all navigate... thereby  
>gleefully celebrating that which provides the most disrespect  
>to a more genuine truth-seeker... a seeker mistrustful of a  
>status quo providing that disrespectful impetus Mr. Kimball  
>wields (and defends) so well?

>I feel honor bound to fire a few torpedoes beneath the  
>waterline of all that precipitated by the fact that I have  
>ceased to believe anything Mr. Kimball writes, publishes, or >  
>produces. I mean 90% of everything is supposed to be crap.  
>Mr. Kimball, and by his own admission, repudiation, and  
>refutation... seems to generously exceed that percentage.

>Mr. Kimball will have to pardon my cynicism. None of us is  
>able to look forward better than we are able look back, we can  
>travel into the future navigating only by what we see in our >  
>own inadequate rear-view mirrors . Mr. Kimball looks  
>decidedly dodgy in my rear view mirror.

>Many of the bridges and causeways he's constructed in his  
>contemporary ufological travel he's dynamited and destroyed  
>himself, to be rebuilt later, he says, in a manner reflecting  
>not where he'd been, but where he'd be remembered he was, is  
>my opinion. That does not seem to connote a respect for  
>history that Mr. Kimball would proclaim.

>Background on the philosophical divergence here:

><http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/2006/01/why-i-fight.html>

>And a running chronicle ending here, but going back for  
>months:

><http://www.alienview.net/news.html>

>Explored? What's really on with Mr. Kimball... and why does  
>this 'believer' \_not\_ believe.

>Not inordinately sorry if you find the opining tune  
>offensive... Yeah, that's right. Shoot the piano player. But >  
>be surprised. Be very surprised. Find his back is not to the >  
>saloon floor.

Alfred,

I do hope that as two friends and colleagues, we can argue and exchange vociferous insults and banter without any lasting effects (translation; Alfred old boy, I am about to stab you in the back). Because I think you are wrong with this and I intend to tell you fairly and squarely.

Play nice and stop making yourself look silly. You haven't even seen the film yet and passing judgement on it based on your idea of how Mr. K has or hasn't behaved in the past is not really on. You often review things for the rest of us Alfred and place your work here. We rely upon you to be objective but alas, you have wronged us and spurned our trust. If nothing else, look at it like this: you are attacking someone's business livelihood as he offers up a product \_honestly\_ made without, at this point, any serious grounds.

Get a proper look at it first Alfred and then come back and tell us how typical of Mr. K's deceit it is, how shockingly mocking of the Truth we all seek it is or, God forbid, how good it is. We of course won't believe you if you tell us the latter. We expect a deconstruction but make it one based on fact. And no lame excuses about you not living in Canada. Initiative if you will.

>Sneers are returned with interest and edge.

Make them good Alfred, like poison to my blood so that my heart shall plead for mercy. Lets do the dance, cha cha cha.

Your dear friend

Stuart

Sluuuurp. Sound of dagger being withdrawn from your back. I can't leave it there, I need it for someone else.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 14:12:23 -0300  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 15:01:10 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Ledger

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:06:18 -0300  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 15 Aug 2006 13:36:01 -0500 (CDT)  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Mon, 14 Aug 2006 23:02:39 EDT  
>>>Subject: UFOs Or Not?

>>>Is there any chance anyone would want to  
>>>talk about real unexplained cases of UFO sightings?

>>The thing about the unexplained cases is, well, they usually  
>>don't have enough data available to make them explainable. If  
>>there was, then the cases become "explained," don't they? We can  
>>take the available data and like Sherlock Holmes try to deduce  
>>an explanation, but generally all we end up with is conjecture.  
>>Not a crime, but not tremendously productive, either.

>>But sure, what cases would you like to ruminate about?

>>My background: SDM, Gemini, legal professional, degrees in  
>>Sociology and English, over 40 years of UFO interest, former  
>>USAF with Top Secret SIOP-ESI, good electric blues guitar  
>>player, likes dancing, good food, romantic moonlight strolls on  
>>the beach, snuggling on rainy weekend mornings.

>The Insufficient Information cases in Blue Book Special Report  
>No. 14 were completely separate from the UNKNOWNNS. It is  
>standard nonsense, including from the secretary of the USAF,  
>that if more information had been available, the UNKNOWNN 3%  
>could have been identified as conventional phenomena or  
>illusions.

>The UNKNOWNNS were 21% and the Insuff. Info cases were 9.5% of  
>the 3201 cases. Of course the press release and the summary  
>didn't give these numbers.

>Why should we repeat these lies?

Hollis keeps restating credentials without coming up with any theories behind the pronouncements. Hollis has not responded to my 3 or 4 word question re Socorro. I let Arnold slide because of the "hoax" appellation. That's, of course, nonsense. But I think it's a waste of time defending when nothing has been offered to defend against. I do give credit for being a musician and admitting to being a romantic.

Don



[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: Our Solar System Planets - Anderson

From: Paul Anderson <[paulanderson.nul](mailto:paulanderson.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 11:34:13 -0700  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 15:02:33 -0400  
Subject: Re: Our Solar System Planets - Anderson

>From: Terry Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 16 Aug 2006 19:13:39 -0700 (GMT-07:00)  
>Subject: Our Solar System Planets

>-----

>The planets in our Solar System are now 12:

>Mercury  
>Venus  
>Earth  
>Mars  
>Ceres  
>Jupiter  
>Saturn  
>Uranus  
>Neptune  
>Pluto  
>Charon  
>2003 UB313

-snip-

I've added several good links about this on the blog; a lot of discussion and media coverage this past week or so:

<http://www.themeridianijournal.blogspot.com>

Paul

---

The Meridiani Journal  
a chronicle of planetary exploration  
<http://www.themeridianijournal.blogspot.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: Fields Of Fear - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 13:47:11 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 15:04:08 -0400  
Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear - Lehmborg

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 11:30:20 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear

>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:11:20 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear

>>>Paul Kimball's new documentary, Fields Of Fear, is set  
>>>to premier on Canada's Space: The Imagination Station  
>>>September 15th.

>>>Details are here:

>>><http://tinyurl.com/qugm5>

>>I ask on my editorial/news page if this going to be another of  
>>Mr. Kimball's loss-leader (one step forward and two steps back)  
>>film productions, a production like many of his past  
>>productions, a production to be refuted and repudiated later  
>>on... when the sales flatten out, presumably? That's my  
>>perception.

><snip>

>Alfred,

>I really think Paul Kimball's Stanton T. Friedman IS Real, and  
>his Do You Believe In Majic?, both broadcast on Space, are quite  
>well done and worth watching. The latter, with a lot of  
>additions, will be available on DVD around the end of September  
>from Tim Crawford's central video.

>I have no idea how many outside of Canada have viewed either  
>one. Haven't seen Fields Of Fear, so no opinion yet.

>Stan Friedman

I would agree with you, Sir... in both instances and reported  
same. The latter he has repudiated, and the former he has \_yet\_  
to repudiate? This is forgetting that I, among others, have  
detected no small intimation of repudiation for that former,  
Sir, and, again, have reported same.

Reading backwards from here:

<http://www.alienview.net/news.html>

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)

[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)

AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: Apollo UFOs - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:40:41 -0300  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:42:30 -0400  
Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs - Ledger

>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 10:02:30 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 15 Aug 2006 12:57:09 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 15 Aug 2006 12:12:04 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>>...How does an observer know that a brief flash of light  
>>>apparently on the lunar surface is not a cosmic ray event?

>>Just one stray cosmic ray-out of gazzillions to the tenth  
>>power? What's wrong with a meteor strike?

>Because they stated they saw it in the same location (which I  
>don't believe, but that's what they said). What are the odds  
>of that?

>Also, no seismic data was picked up (but that doesn't prove it  
>wasn't a meteor, it could have been small). Also, a "streak"  
>is unlikely in a vacuum environment for a meteor.  
>What was it? I don't know.

I read that stuff as well in a previous post. I was - for a  
change - trying to be the one promoting the prosaic, but I got  
trumped by the cosmic ray theory. Which I don't like for the  
thin-edge-or-the-wedge reason. See my other post.

And me either. But interesting.

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 15:04:51 -0500 (CDT)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:44:36 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Shell

>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:08:53 +0000  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:06:18 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Tue, 15 Aug 2006 13:36:01 -0500 (CDT)  
>>>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>>>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprigo.nul](mailto:Choprigo.nul)>  
>>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>>Date: Mon, 14 Aug 2006 23:02:39 EDT  
>>>>Subject: UFOs Or Not?

>>>>Is there any chance anyone would want to  
>>>>talk about real unexplained cases of UFO sightings?

>>>>The thing about the unexplained cases is, well, they usually  
>>>>don't have enough data available to make them explainable. If  
>>>>there was, then the cases become "explained," don't they? We can  
>>>>take the available data and like Sherlock Holmes try to deduce  
>>>>an explanation, but generally all we end up with is conjecture.  
>>>>Not a crime, but not tremendously productive, either.

><snip>

>>The Insufficient Information cases in Blue Book Special Report  
>>No. 14 were completely separate from the UNKNOWNS. It is  
>>standard nonsense, including from the secretary of the USAF,  
>>that if more information had been available, the UNKNOWN 3%  
>>could have been identified as conventional phenomena or  
>>illusions.

>>The UNKNOWNS were 21% and the Insuff. Info cases were 9.5% of  
>>the 3201 cases. Of course the press release and the summary  
>>didn't give these numbers.

>>Why should we repeat these lies?

Oh, I'm not saying that if we had more data, all UFOs would be found to be mundane or have conventional explanations. Not at all. You're reading too much into my statement. Sure, a good chunk might be found to have prosaic explanations, but I'm also saying that if we had more data, perhaps some UFOs would be found to be actual alien flying saucers from Zeta Reticuli, or wherever. Then it wouldn't be "unexplained" or "unknown" anymore. We haven't found a case like that yet that I know of, but I completely agree that it's possible, given a particular set of assumptions.

>I thoroughly agree with Stan on this.

>The cockeyed notion that insufficient data cases and truly

>puzzling reports are one and the same is total nonsense.

>Hundreds and thousands of very detailed, credible, and  
>thoroughly investigated cases leave us with substantial data and  
>an array of strong patterns. There was no lack of information  
>that could leave the door open for a plausible explanation in  
>these cases.

Please see above.

>Pretty weak argument, Tim.

Of course, there's a difference between not having enough data to identify what something is and not having enough data to identify what something is not. Unfortunately, both path leads to the same pond.

Somebody recalls seeing a twinkly light three or four years ago over their apple tree for three seconds before it vanished. Not much data. Assuming this sighting actually happened, we don't have enough data to say what it was, so it's an "unknown." Could have been a lightning bug, Venus, whatever. But we don't know.

On the other hand we have a UFO report from a skilled birdwatcher with a radar tracking unit in his SUV that is highly detailed, apparently accurate, includes reasonably clear photos, and describes something that is apparently like nothing from Earth. No freekin way could this thing have been a ruby-throated hummingbird, lightning bug, Venus, or B-2 bomber out for a joyride.

But what was it? Now we're back into the whole argument again about trying to prove a negative. No matter how detailed the report, no matter how much data we have, we're still stuck in the position of saying it's an "unknown," because we don't have enough information to positively identify it. We still don't know (and theoretically can't know) all of the things it might have been.

My response to Mr. Kimball was that if we had enough data to show that it was aliens from Zeta Reticuli, or that it was a garbage bag with a can of Sterno attached to the bottom, then it wouldn't be "unexplained" or "unknown" anymore, would it?

Sorry if I didn't make myself clear.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Rutkowski

From: Chris Rutkowski <[rutkows.nul](mailto:rutkows.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:48:16 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:48:16 -0400  
Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Rutkowski

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:46:26 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>Source: The London Free Press - Ontario, Canada  
>><http://tinyurl.com/gxx2h>  
>>Thursday, 17 August, 2006

<snip>

>>"Even a star or a planet will twinkle with different colours,"  
>>he says. "And because of a phenomenon called auto-kinesis, it  
>>can appear to move, even though it's stationary.

>>"You stare at it with no frame of reference and your mind  
>>interprets it as moving closer or farther away from you."

>>But clearly, he says, stars and satellites don't move in a  
>>figure-eight. So what did these local folks see?

>Based on near-zero information given, there's no reason this  
>apparent motion couldn't have been autokinesis, which does cause  
>illusory lateral motion, not line-of-sight motion as stated  
>here. I just checked a couple of sources out of interest.

Yes, and as I told the journalist, if the figure-eight was  
larger than several degrees, this explanation wouldn't hold  
water. I often get witnesses who tell me they were watching a  
star "dance all over the place", which turns out to be only a  
few degrees of sky, and even then, sometimes through hand-held  
binoculars.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Hawaii UFO Looked Like A Wayward Missile

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:50:52 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:50:52 -0400  
Subject: Hawaii UFO Looked Like A Wayward Missile

Source: United Press International - Washington, DC, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/hrknc>

8/17/2006

Hawaii UFO Looked Like A Wayward Missile

HILO, Hawaii, Aug. 17 (UPI) -- No one Thursday was claiming ownership of a tubular flying object that buzzed a Hawaii airport this week and looked a lot like a missile.

Officials at the Pohakuloa Training Area told the Honolulu Star-Bulletin that there were no military exercises involving missiles taking place when the object was spotted Tuesday over the Hilo Airport on the Big Island.

Witnesses told the newspaper the silver object was silver and emitted a vapor trail; however it had no apparent fins or markings on it.

One man told the newspaper, "The noise was super loud."

The object didn't seem to threaten any air traffic around the airport, the report said.

Hawaii officials said the FBI and Transportation Security Administration were looking into the matter.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 17](#)

## Re: Our Solar System Planets - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 15:58:10 -0500 (CDT)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:55:24 -0400  
Subject: Re: Our Solar System Planets - Shell

>From: Paul Anderson <[paulanderson.nul](mailto:paulanderson.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 11:34:13 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Our Solar System Planets

>>From: Terry Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 16 Aug 2006 19:13:39 -0700 (GMT-07:00)  
>>Subject: Our Solar System Planets

>>The planets in our Solar System are now 12:

>>Mercury  
>>Venus  
>>Earth  
>>Mars  
>>Ceres  
>>Jupiter  
>>Saturn  
>>Uranus  
>>Neptune  
>>Pluto  
>>Charon  
>>2003 UB313

>-snip-

>I've added several good links about this on the blog; a lot of  
>discussion and media coverage this past week or so:

><http://www.themeridianijournal.blogspot.com>

I met with my astrologer and thanks to the addition of the new planets, now rather than being a moody introvert, I'm a feisty extrovert with a love of butterscotch. I think "2003 UB313" is a little clunky for a planet name, though. How about "UBD1IC?"  
"You be the one I see?"

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 18](#)

## Re: Apollo UFOs - Tarbell

From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 14:39:08 -0600  
Fwd Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 06:22:39 -0400  
Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs - Tarbell

>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 10:02:30 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 15 Aug 2006 12:57:09 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 15 Aug 2006 12:12:04 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>>How does an observer know that a brief flash of  
>>>light apparently on the lunar surface is not a cosmic ray  
>>>event?

>>Just one stray cosmic ray-out of gazzillions to the tenth power?  
>>What's wrong with a meteor strike?

>Because they stated they saw it in the same location (which I  
>don't believe, but that's what they said). What are the odds of  
>that?

>Also, no seismic data was picked up (but that doesn't prove it  
>wasn't a meteor, it could have been small). Also, a "streak" is  
>unlikely in a vacuum environment for a meteor.

A meteor "streak" in a vacuum could certainly occur if it were  
post-impact on a highly oblique trajectory. With no atmosphere  
to weed them out, it's not obvious why such glancing impacts  
would be particularly unusual on the moon.

Mike

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 18](#)

## Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:05:08 -0500 (CDT)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 06:26:50 -0400  
Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' - Shell

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:44:29 -0400  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>Source: The South Wales Echo - U.K.

><http://tinyurl.co.uk/owez>

>Aug 16 2006

>'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>A pioneer in the field of astronomy believes contact could be  
>made with alien life forms within the next decade.

It might, and it might not. Way to hedge your bets, Doc!

>Some of Professor Wickramasinghe's theories:

>- Life on Earth began from inter-stellar dust which was brought  
>to Earth by comets - "The theory that life came from outside was  
>considered crazy in the 1970s," he said. "We had to fight very  
>hard against the Establishment to get this position changed."

Huh? Life just spontaneously arose out of interstellar dust? I'd like to see how that happened.

>- A meteorite could hit Earth at any time and potentially wipe  
>life out - "After 20 years people are beginning to take this  
>seriously. We have contingencies in place for an impact."

Maybe the aliens we find in the next 10 years will help us out before it hits.

>- Intelligent life exists on other planets - "I think we are  
>part of a connected chain of beings that extends to the remotest  
>parts of the universe."

That's a nice thought, although not proven conclusive, by any means.

>- Aliens probably look like us - "Our genes come from space and,  
>therefore, if there is life on other planets, they must derive  
>from the same genetic information."

In the same way we all look like trees and kittens!

>- Humans will one day live on Mars - "I think sooner or later we  
>will go to Mars, maybe even colonise it, if our planet becomes  
>uninhabitable in the distant future."

Mars ain't the kind of place to raise your kids.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 18](#)

## Re: Flying Humanoid Video Clip - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:15:35 -0500 (CDT)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 06:28:10 -0400  
Subject: Re: Flying Humanoid Video Clip - Shell

>From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 15 Aug 2006 13:48:48 EDT  
>Subject: Flying Humanoid Video Clip

>This has probably been discussed already, but here is a link for  
>a video clip of a 'flying humanoid' filmed over Phoenix, AZ on  
>September 23, 2005.

><http://tinyurl.com/s8agr>

>Now, I've seen people in 'jet packs' fly short distances before.  
>What I'm interested in learning is if anyone has any knowledge  
>of the Military having a 'jet pack' which is capable of long  
>distance flight?

It's Woody Allen in "Sleeper. Or maybe something like this:

<http://img99.imageshack.us/img99/7352/flybotuk4.jpg>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 18](#)

## Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 14:01:00 -0700  
Fwd Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 06:30:33 -0400  
Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Rudiak

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:46:26 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>Source: The London Free Press - Ontario, Canada

>><http://tinyurl.com/gxx2h>

>>Thursday, 17 August, 2006

>>Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>"And it started going in figure-eight shapes," says Crivea. "So  
>>then we thought it was a UFO."

><snip>

>>"It was weird," says the 33-year-old Londoner. "Your first  
>>instinct is that it could be a plane, but then you think, well  
>>no, that's not a plane unless the pilot is really drunk. Because  
>>it would go up and down and then zoom back to where it was  
>>initially."

>>Hounam and the boys say at first the object appeared to be white  
>>but later showed flashes of red and blue. Most peculiar,  
>>however, is their claim the lights moved in a kind of sharp-  
>>angled figure-eight pattern.

><snip>

>>After 30 years of study, Rutkowski says he's found most UFO  
>>sightings have some valid explanation. Often, he says, people  
>>are simply seeing stars or satellites.

>>"Even a star or a planet will twinkle with different colours,"  
>>he says. "And because of a phenomenon called auto-kinesis, it  
>>can appear to move, even though it's stationary.

>>"You stare at it with no frame of reference and your mind  
>>interprets it as moving closer or farther away from you."

>>But clearly, he says, stars and satellites don't move in a  
>>figure-eight. So what did these local folks see?

>Based on near-zero information given, there's no reason this  
>apparent motion couldn't have been autokinesis, which does cause  
>illusory lateral motion, not line-of-sight motion as stated  
>here. I just checked a couple of sources out of interest.

>The favourite explanation seems to be that it's caused by the  
>brain's method of stabilising the visual field and is basically  
>the same as the "spinning room" illusion that happens when you  
>spin your chair and suddenly stop - perception of motion induced  
>opposite to the direction of real motion.

>Autokinetic motion is apparently proportional to the amount of  
>voluntary eye movement that would be required in order to cancel  
>out small involuntary eye movements, so in the opposite

>direction. Presumably during the attempt to fixate on a star the  
>eye constantly drifts due to these involuntary twitches and has  
>to be hauled back, then overshoots, and so on, and each  
>involuntary drift triggers an illusory stabilising "motion".

>I'm not sure if I've got that exactly right, but that's the  
>gist. So you might expect the apparent motion to be the inverse  
>of the eye's attempt to home in on the source and such a  
>recursive scan pattern might well (I imagine) be a sort of  
>"figure eight". Does anyone know more about this?

I have never read in the perceptual literature that stationary star-like objects can be seen to zoom in a blank reference frame. (I have never experienced this and have never known anybody to experience it.) In any case, this is not the same as autokinesis.

There is an interesting illusion of size related to motion towards or away from the observer. This is related to size constancy, which depends on perceived distance. The visual system scales perceived object size with perceived distance. Thus a person 20 feet away will form an image on the retina only 1/2 as big as somebody 10 feet away, yet the person will still be perceived as being the same size. Size constancy, however, will break down, if the distance is no longer correctly perceived. E.g., if two identically sized people are made to appear the same distance even though one is twice as far away, the more distant person will appear to be half the size. (This effect, e.g., is created in a distorted room called the Ames room, a truly astonishing illusion.)

One cue to distance is looming motion. We can get a sense, e.g., of how far away a car is by how rapidly the headlights increase in size as we approach them. A very fast approaching object can be judged as being closer than it really is, resulting in it being perceived as smaller than a slower approaching object of the same angular size. Apparently baseball players experience this when thrown a fastball. However, this effect will not make a stationary object like a star or planet grow or shrink in size.

Autokinesis produces random motion, not regular patterns like figure-8's (though vertical motion, usually upward, is more common than horizontal motion for some reason), and requires a virtual absence of nearby surrounding reference objects in the night sky, like other stars. Motion excursions tend to be very small, a degree or less, usually much less (probably because for larger excursions, peripheral vision picks up other reference objects). However, I understand that in a truly featureless background, the motion excursions can be quite large. This is of concern in aviation where pilots may often be flying in such conditions (e.g., a fog or mist or where stars are difficult to see because of cabin light). E.g., a nearby aircraft light may seem to suddenly change direction causing a pilot to erroneously take evasive action. The usual advice to avoid or minimize the autokinetic illusion is to move the eyes around and continuously refixate to stabilize the field.

When I have experienced it looking up at stars or satellites in the night sky, it is always occasional, momentary (less than a second in duration), and small. I am always aware it is an illusion, and not the star, satellite, etc., itself moving. I have never seen any regular or repetitive pattern of motion being generated. Again the operative words here are random motion.

This also sounds like a multiwitness sighting. I seriously doubt multiple witness will simultaneously think something very unusual is in the sky and attribute the same anomalous motion to it.

Autokinesis is not the same illusion as reverse motion induced by spinning/moving somebody or by prolonged viewing of motion in one direction. (When the motion stops, one then "sees" the illusory reverse motion for a few seconds.) This is thought to be caused by fatigue or habituation of neurons sensitive to motion in the direction being viewed (plus maybe fatigue in the person's vestibular system if the person themselves is in motion). The nervous system is wired in antagonistic ways. Thus, e.g., if you are viewing something moving to the right, the neurons responding to rightward motion are also inhibiting neurons that respond to leftward motion. After prolonged



viewing, the rightward neurons fatigue or respond less vigorously to the stimulus, which also reduces inhibition on the opposing neurons. When the rightward motion stimulus is stopped, the leftward motion neurons are disinhibited and now fire temporarily as if there were actual motion to the left. This is also commonly called the waterfall illusion. (Stare at a waterfall for a while, then look away and things will momentarily seem to be moving upward.)

However, with autokinesis, there is no analogous fatigue of motion neurons. Eye muscle fatigue seems to play a role. E.g., if one gazes upward for a prolonged period at a target, the autokinetic motion will either be in the same or opposite direction, but in no other plane. However, it is not completely understood what causes the illusion, but the mechanism does not seem to be the same as in the waterfall illusion.

I think autokinesis is greatly overused as a pat explanation to explain anomalous motion of "lights in the sky." In particular, if the light excursions are large, prolonged, and form regular patterns, autokinesis is very unlikely to be the culprit.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 18](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Shell

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 19:32:59 -0300  
Fwd Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 06:36:13 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Shell

>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 15:04:51 -0500 (CDT)  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:08:53 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:06:18 -0300  
>>>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

<snip>

>Of course, there's a difference between not having enough data  
>to identify what something is and not having enough data to  
>identify what something is not. Unfortunately, both path leads  
>to the same pond.

>Somebody recalls seeing a twinkly light three or four years ago  
>over their apple tree for three seconds before it vanished. Not  
>much data. Assuming this sighting actually happened, we don't  
>have enough data to say what it was, so it's an "unknown."  
>Could have been a lightning bug, Venus, whatever. But we  
>don't know.

This is totally incorrect. Obviously I didn't make myself clear.  
The definition of UNKNOWN - "This designation in the  
identification code was assigned to those reports of sightings  
wherein the description of the object and its maneuvers could  
not be fitted to the pattern of any known object or phenomenon."

On the other hand here is the definition of INSUFFICIENT  
INFORMATION - "This identification category was assigned to a  
report when upon final consideration there was some essential  
item of information missing, or there was enough doubt about  
what data were available to disallow identification as a common  
object or some natural phenomenon. It is emphasized that this  
category of identification was not used as a convenient way to  
dispose of "poor unknowns", but as a category for reports that  
perhaps, could have been one of several known objects or natural  
phenomena. No reports identified as INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION  
contain authenticated facts or impressions concerning the  
sighting that would prevent its being identified as a known  
object or phenomenon."

In short, if there wasn't enough data about a sighting, it could  
NOT be listed as UNKNOWN. It had to be listed as INSUFFICIENT  
INFORMATION. I hope that is clear.

If the appearance indicates it was manufactured, and the  
behavior indicates it couldn't have been made here, regardless  
of whether we see a license plate number, it can be listed as an  
extraterrestrial spacecraft.

>On the other hand we have a UFO report from a skilled

>birdwatcher with a radar tracking unit in his SUV that is highly  
>detailed, apparently accurate, includes reasonably clear photos,  
>and describes something that is apparently like nothing from  
>Earth. No freekin way could this thing have been a ruby-throated  
>hummingbird, lightning bug, Venus, or B-2 bomber out for a  
>joyride.

>But what was it? Now we're back into the whole argument again  
>about trying to prove a negative. No matter how detailed the  
>report, no matter how much data we have, we're still stuck in  
>the position of saying it's an "unknown," because we don't have  
>enough information to positively identify it. We still don't  
>know (and theoretically can't know) all of the things it might  
>have been.

>My response to Mr. Kimball was that if we had enough data to  
>show that it was aliens from Zeta Reticuli, or that it was a  
>garbage bag with a can of Sterno attached to the bottom, then  
>it wouldn't be "unexplained" or "unknown" anymore, would it?

>Sorry if I didn't make myself clear.

Please read the definitions again. It is of considerable  
interest that the better the quality of the report, the more  
likely to be listed as an unknown and the less likely to be  
listed as insufficient information. Only 9.6% of the cases were  
listed as excellent, but 35.1% of those were categorized as  
UNKNOWN. The Chi-square analysis, based on 6 different  
characteristics, showed that the probability that the UNKNOWNNS  
were just missed knowns was less than 1%.

Sorry, but we don't have to have the identity of the killer to  
know that a murder was committed.(Person or persons  
unknown).Object from an unknown civilization elsewhere.

Yes, copies of BBSR 14 with all the 246charts, graphs, tables  
and figures are availalable for \$25. including priority mail  
from UFORI, POB 958, Houlton, ME 04730-0958 .

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 18](#)

## Re: Fields Of Fear - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 17:39:39 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 06:43:21 -0400  
Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear - Lehmborg

>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 17:19:47 +0100 (BST)  
>Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear

>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:11:20 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear

>>>Paul Kimball's new documentary, Fields Of Fear, is set  
>>>to premier on Canada's Space: The Imagination Station  
>>>September 15th.

>>>Details are here:

>>><http://tinyurl.com/qugm5>

>>>ebk

<snip>

>>Not inordinately sorry if you find the opining tune  
>>offensive... Yeah, that's right. Shoot the piano player. But >  
>be surprised. Be very surprised. Find his back is not to the >  
>saloon floor.

>Alfred,

>I do hope that as two friends and colleagues, we can argue and  
>exchange vociferous insults and banter without any lasting  
>effects (translation; Alfred old boy, I am about to stab you in  
>the back).

Of course not, old sod

[flicking up the ends of my 'stash with a casual flip of the  
right hand]

you won't mind or take any offence as I walk along with you and  
point out the error of your ways.

>Because I think you are wrong with this and I intend  
>to tell you fairly and squarely.

[Bowing deeply in the Elizabethan sense]

>Play nice and stop making yourself look silly.

I'm playing as I've always played, Sir, with consistency and  
elan, but an eye offends me and I must of needs pluck it out.  
Silly is as silly does Mr. Miller - I promise to go back to  
first names right after.

"Silly" is Mr. Kimball's admonition to you after your angry  
promise to Mr. Rimmer should he wander, North is it? Of his  
territorial environs. Now that's silly.

Also silly was his unwarranted smear at your WebLog in the same

post about a person in our community who is his ethical, intellectual, and productive superior thinking it would go by unchallenged. Now that's silly.

>You haven't even  
>seen the film yet and passing judgment on it based on your idea  
>of how Mr. K has or hasn't behaved in the past is not really on.

Could you have spouted a greater nonsense? I think not. I conjectured whether or not Mr. Kimball's future films will suffer the suspicious self-repudiations of his earlier films. "Judgment" is a decided mischaracterization. Moreover, speculations on future behaviors based on past ones is the very foundation of rationality and is in fact what sets us apart from beasts. Consequently, this is so "on" it is "up" subsequent to being pushed "through." LOL!

>You often review things for the rest of us Alfred and place your  
>work here.

[Bowling deeply, again... most only get the one...]

>We rely upon you to be objective but alas, you have  
>wronged us and spurned our trust.

More nonsense if not an unqualified and effrumpeterous flap-doodle, Sir. I've qualified it. I ever honor it! I show it abeyance and deference or I never would have attempted it. I serve the trust and pay it homage. You only demonstrate, Sir, that our Mr. Kimball is undeserving, in my opinion, of your friendship.

>If nothing else, look at it  
>like this: you are attacking someone's business livelihood as he  
>offers up a product honestly made without, at this point, any  
>serious grounds.

What!?! Mr. Miller, if our Mr. Kimball's 'product' is made in any way less profitable as a result of my small critique, that must say a lot more about the history that he has produced himself about his own work and not my ability to comment on same. Moreover, I doubt any of us can politely speculate on the honesty implied when that work has yet to be seen even by your self.

Can you say, "typical mutilation-exploitation flick?"

Consider, moreover, the last line from his own review:

"Whether or not you think cattle mutes are real, there's no question that Fern is 100% real!"

Now, tell me - how does that 'summary' of his film in any way put the subject forward? How does that particular assertion move the subject, and us, along?

Can you say, "Paul Kimball - the Joe Lieberman of Ufology?"

This has been done time and time again - by far better folk than PK, yet this time we get to listen to Mr. Kimball's particular brand of insentient "giggle." It doesn't put us further along in this big, open question. It does nothing but promote Paul Kimball, at our expense. As I wrote, "One step forward and two steps back."

>Get a proper look at it first Alfred and then come back and tell  
>us how typical of Mr. K's deceit it is, how shockingly mocking  
>of the Truth we all seek it is or, God forbid, how good it is.

As I have a history, Sir, of doing just that? I report with alacrity that I've been there... and done that!

Mr. Kimball's films have gotten comparative rave reviews from this writer... in fact our Mr. Kimball was lately using same as my endorsement of his person, you'll remember. Perhaps Mr. Kimball would be good enough to send me a review copy. I will be fair.

>We of course won't believe you if you tell us the latter.

And why not! I ask, only slightly offended. I've gotten thrown

off this very board for following my conscience, Sir. I was welcomed back without it once being made a requirement that I ate the crow I served up, entirely, myself. When I'm wrong, I admit it, quickly and without any spin-meistering or fancy activities associated with face-saving. 'Others' have been decidedly less forthcoming than I, good Sir!

>We expect a deconstruction but make it one based on fact.

If appropriate, expect nothing less, Mr. Miller.

>And no lame excuses about you not living in Canada.

You have the advantage here, Sir. This was unintelligible.

>Initiative if you will.

And here... blanks decidedly drawn.

>>Sneers are returned with interest and edge.

>Make them good Alfred, like poison to my blood so that my heart  
>shall plead for mercy. Lets do the dance, cha cha cha.

It was enough just to spank you with the flat of my saber. I've a wealth of regard that I shall not belabor. PK is well served with you, his debater. I'll re-sheath my sword and but say, "see you later."

>Your dear friend

>Stuart

I have a lot of high regard for you, Stuart. Your sentiments are returned to you with the interest promised. No sneers were perceived.

>Sluuuurp. Sound of dagger being withdrawn from your back. I  
>can't leave it there, I need it for someone else.

Don't worry, my friend. It never made it passed the Kevlar. Check the blade, decidedly absent of the crimson. Like I said, I don't keep my back to the saloon floor.

In closing, let me say that I can only aspire to the quality of friendship shown to Mr. Kimball, apparently unearned. See, I would never have publically conjectured that you had threatened some kind of awkward carnal knowlege of the intrepid Mr. Rimmer when nothing was further from the truth.

That's silly, Stuart, if uncontested.

[alienview.nul](http://alienview.nul)

[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)

AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 18](#)

## Re: 'Unidentified' A New Movie About UFOs - Centre

From: Mark Center <[marklcenter.nul](mailto:marklcenter.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 15:47:51 -0700  
Fwd Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 06:44:57 -0400  
Subject: Re: 'Unidentified' A New Movie About UFOs - Centre

>From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 16 Aug 2006 23:33:51 EDT  
>Subject: Re: 'Unidentified' A New Movie About UFOs

>>Source: The Christian Newswire - Washington, DC, USA

>><http://www.earnedmedia.org/CFG0815.htm>

>>Aug. 15 2006

>>Unidentified A New Movie About UFOs To Open Wider In Theaters

>>Contact: Rich Christiano, 714-544-7224

>>MEDIA ADVISORY, Christian Newswire/ - One out of two people  
>>believe in UFOs, and over 15 million Americans claim to have  
>>seen one. There have been over 63,000 reported UFO sightings  
>>worldwide - some by pilots, astronauts, and even former  
>>Presidents. UFOs sightings continue to make headlines and cause  
>>most people to wonder what UFOs really are.

>>Writer-Director Rich Christiano hopes to answer this question  
>>with Unidentified, his new dramatic film about UFOs coming out  
>>in theaters in select cities. "We tried to present the various  
>>angles that people normally use to explain UFOs," Christiano  
>>says, "and then added some things that you aren't likely to see  
>>on the History or Discovery channels." Are UFOs a hoax? Are they  
>>real? Are aliens a threat? Unidentified addresses all these  
>>questions.

><snip>

>I cannot judge something I haven't seen, but I must admit I have  
>my concerns. I've started to notice that the camp which believes  
>UFOs/Aliens to be 'demons', or 'Satanic', is steadily growing  
>amongst religious folk, and this fact worries me.

This film was released last March to less than flattering  
reviews.

If you liked the "Left Behind" series, you'll like this.

Unidentified film information:

<http://www.imdb.com/title/tt0460975/>

Mark

<http://www.beyondroswell.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 18](#)

## Watchdog Interviews UFO Hoaxer Chris Kenworthy

From: Royce J. Myers III <[ufowatchdog.nul](mailto:ufowatchdog.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:21:48 -0700  
Fwd Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 06:49:59 -0400  
Subject: Watchdog Interviews UFO Hoaxer Chris Kenworthy

ufowatchdog.com Interviews Producer of the Australian UFO Wave  
Website Hoax

<http://www.ufowatchdog.com/aussieufo3.html>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 18](#)

## Re: UFOs or Not? - Kimball

From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprqo.nul](mailto:Choprqo.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 19:21:57 EDT  
Fwd Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 06:53:30 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs or Not? - Kimball

My apologies for not replying to each individual post on this subject. Several of them I wouldn't care to. I was distracted most of the day, with having a road contractor here paving the 27-ft wide street I live on with 3 layers of asphalt. Now that's a real cover-up!

Again, my sole interest is investigating, and I mean investigating right down to the nitty-gritty seemingly worthless details. I've yet to see one single UFO case where this has been done.

To get answers, you have to ask questions, even if they seem insignificant at the time. And the cases where this would most certainly apply the most are single-witness cases. But no less important also are the multi-witness cases, even when photographs and/or videos are the second witness.

An example might be the Roswell case.

The USAAF said it was a weather balloon. End of case? Not yet.

What make and model balloon? Manufacturer? Released from what weather station? By whom? When? For what purpose? Where is the radiosonde? The report from the tracking station? The name of the meteorologist or weather officer in charge?

Otherwise, I believe that's called 'unsubstantiated evidence', or something to that effect.

Hollis Kimball

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 18](#)

## Re: Tim Good's Australian Book Release - Gottschall

From: Sheryl Gottschall <[gottscha.nul](mailto:gottscha.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 09:24:05 +1000  
Fwd Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 06:55:01 -0400  
Subject: Re: Tim Good's Australian Book Release - Gottschall

>From: Robert Frola <[aufor.nul](mailto:aufor.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 16 Aug 2006 08:28:34 +1000  
>Subject: Tim Good's Australian Book Release & Perth Lecture

>Tim only has a limited number of books with him to sell (ten  
>copies) and these may have already been sold. So if you are in  
>Australia pre-order your book now so that you won't be  
>disappointed. Contact Robert Frola for costings.

Hi Rob,

We'll have plenty of copies of Tim's new book, Need To Know,  
available at the 50th Anniversary conference in Brisbane so  
conference goers will be able to purchase an autographed copy  
there.

Sheryl

50th Anniversary UFO Conference, Australia  
<http://www.uforg.asn.au/about/conference2006.html>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 18](#)

## Re: UFOs Or What? - Frison

From: **Eugene Frison** <[eugene.frison.nul](mailto:eugene.frison.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 21:01:57 -0300  
Fwd Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 06:56:30 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or What? - Frison

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 14 Aug 2006 23:02:39 EDT  
>Subject: UFOs Or What?

>It seems the subject of UFOs on this List has been more of a  
>forum for argumentative discussion rather than delving into the  
>core of the UFO phenomenon itself.

A very accurate observation!

That's because really and truly examining the "core" of the UFO  
phenomenon requires raising questions about the ET hypothesis  
and you don't want to dare try approaching that sacred cow with  
dissecting tools in hand.

Afterall, everyone knows some UFOs are definitely ET spaceships!

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 18](#)

## Re: Flying Humanoid Video Clip - Balusek

From: Gary O. Balusek <[garyo1954.nul](mailto:garyo1954.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 19:32:36 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 07:31:56 -0400  
Subject: Re: Flying Humanoid Video Clip - Balusek

>From: Santiago Yturria Garza <[syturria.nul](mailto:syturria.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 04:29:31 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Flying Humanoid Video Clip

>>From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 15 Aug 2006 13:48:48 EDT  
>>Subject: Flying Humanoid Video Clip

Keep in mind the perspective of the camera.

As it is to the side you see the figure sloping, in a gradual descent, as if following a wire. Then the camera repositions to what appears to be a person descending by parachute.

Obviously, it would help to have some known size to work with.

G

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 18](#)

## Re: Hawaii UFO Looked Like A Wayward Missile -

From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 01:26:22 -0700  
Fwd Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 07:36:16 -0400  
Subject: Re: Hawaii UFO Looked Like A Wayward Missile -

>Source: United Press International - Washington, DC, USA

><http://tinyurl.com/hrknc>

>8/17/2006

>Hawaii UFO Looked Like A Wayward Missile

>HILO, Hawaii, Aug. 17 (UPI) -- No one Thursday was claiming  
>ownership of a tubular flying object that buzzed a Hawaii  
>airport this week and looked a lot like a missile.

>Officials at the Pohakuloa Training Area told the Honolulu Star-  
>Bulletin that there were no military exercises involving  
>missiles taking place when the object was spotted Tuesday over  
>the Hilo Airport on the Big Island.

>Witnesses told the newspaper the silver object was silver and  
>emitted a vapor trail; however it had no apparent fins or  
>markings on it.

>One man told the newspaper, "The noise was super loud."

>The object didn't seem to threaten any air traffic around the  
>airport, the report said.

>Hawaii officials said the FBI and Transportation Security  
>Administration were looking into the matter.

This is an interesting event. I live on Oahu, which is two islands west of the island of Hawaii, the Big Island. I will speak with the reporter of the Honolulu Star-Bulletin. I would like to find out what may be on radar. The only other location where missiles are fired is on the west side of Kuai, which is the westernmost of the major islands in Hawaii. From there they test fire missiles being developed for the Missile Defense shield. However, they are fired on a trajectory to the west.

I would like to fly to Hilo and interview the witnesses but I have a serious health problem that does not allow that trip. I will try to get someone from the Hawaii MUFON to investigate this event. Usually the FBI does not give any information out about a case while it is under investigation. I don't know if the Transportation Security Administration has the same policy.

Maybe this was an unguided rocket fired from a Hizbollah sleeper cell. <g>

Josh Goldstein

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [ufoupdateslist.com](http://ufoupdateslist.com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 18](#)

## Oz UFO Hot Spot

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 07:41:26 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 07:41:26 -0400  
Subject: Oz UFO Hot Spot

Source: The Tweed Daily News - Tweed Heads South  
New South Wales - Australia

<http://tinyurl.co.uk/vcjs>

16.08.2006

UFO Hot Spot  
By Samantha Healy

Bill Etscheid is adamant he has seen UFOs in the night sky.

"I was looking towards Surfers Paradise while having a smoke on my balcony," Mr Etscheid, of Banora Point, said yesterday.

"I noticed three orange lights in a line from the ground to the sky.

"These lights started to change into different sequences, with one light taking off up and towards Surfers, two more came in from the west over the airport.

"They then joined each other playing around, then two took off directly up and disappeared. One light took off over Coolangatta and the last one just faded out.

"The speed of them was impressive, definitely too fast to be a conventional plane."

A truck driver, a father of three, a member of the Volunteer Marine Rescue and a volunteer firefighter, Mr Etscheid, who managed to snap some digital images of the strange lights over Tugun in June last year, is not a lone witness.

"It was the strangest sight," he said. "I told some of my mates and we had a chuckle about it but I still can't explain what I saw." There have been other alleged mysterious sightings of late which have once again underlined the Tweed region's reputation as one of Australia's UFO hotspots. Residents from Tugun to Kingscliff have told the Daily News they have seen unexplained flying objects in the night sky.

Some claim the desalination rig anchored off Tugun is proving something of a UFO magnet.

"I always see UFOs hovering over the plant," said one teenage Coolangatta surfer last week. "I saw something just last week. I don't know what it was."

He was backed up by other friends who also claimed regular sightings.

Readers again came forward yesterday following a report about another type of UFO in Tuesday's paper - an Unidentified Floating Object. The object seemingly was an unusual-looking oil rig but its identity has yet to be confirmed.



The report jogged Mr Etscheid's memory. Stressing he did not drink alcohol or that what he saw was a plane, Mr Etscheid said he was a UFO sceptic prior to his sighting.

"What I had seen was also witnessed by my wife and my son," Mr Etscheid said.

Since 2000 there have been at least a dozen reported UFO sightings in the region, particularly around Banora Point and Tweed Heads. They have been filed to "ufologists" by locals along with accounts from plane and helicopter pilots.

There has also been at least one report of an apparent alien encounter.

Last year Brian Vike, from a UFO research group in Canada, received a report from a Banora Point mother and son who claimed to have suffered strange bodily marks.

"About four weeks ago I noticed a cut on my back and I couldn't recall how it happened," the son wrote in an email to Mr Vike. "The cut formed into a scar and looked similar to that of a surgery-related operation and I thought nothing of it.

"Then my mother woke me and complained of back pain and asked me to check to see what the problem was.

"I realised that her cut was identical to my cut four weeks earlier."

The man said he suspected he had been contacted by extra-terrestrials (ET).

Mr Vike said he receives a massive number of reports of UFO sightings each year, many from Australia.

"Australia is and has been a very active area for all kinds of unusual sightings," Mr Vike said.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 18](#)

## What Happens If A Signal Is Found

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 07:47:26 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 07:47:26 -0400  
Subject: What Happens If A Signal Is Found

Source: Space.Com - New York, New York, USA

[http://www.space.com/searchforlife/seti\\_whatif\\_060817.html](http://www.space.com/searchforlife/seti_whatif_060817.html)

17 August 2006

Contact: What Happens If A Signal Is Found

By Seth Shostak  
SETI Institute

If you chanced to be among the handful of visitors wandering the lava-strewn landscape of northeastern California on July 18, 2006, you might have seen the preamble to what could be a very giant leap for mankind. In the dusty pastures edging the town of Hat Creek, in the northern shadow of moldering Mt. Lassen, ten antennas revved their motors, and panned the sky. They were making their debut as the first working elements of the Allen Telescope Array.

This new instrument which=97when completed=97will brandish 350 antennas, can speed up the search for signals from other societies by hundreds of times and more. Compared to earlier efforts, it will turn SETI on its metal ear. We're not talking about the difference between a Lexus and a Toyota; we're talking about the difference between a Lexus and an oxcart.

In the next two dozen years, the Allen Telescope Array will parse the nearest thousand light-years of space. If there are other occupants of this galactic neighborhood, we could turn up a signal.

But then what? Would the discovery be put under wraps, either voluntarily or by government edict? If we found a signal, would you know?

This is among the most commonly asked questions of SETI: what happens in case of a detection. Conditioned by television, movies, and a penchant for expecting conspiracy, a lot of people think that the truth would not be out there. They believe it entirely reasonable to expect that the military, worried that the aliens will threaten the planet, would surround the telescope with chain link, and redirect the data stream to the Pentagon. Another common assumption is that the government, figuring that the citizenry will lose its cool, stampede the streets, and provoke a seismic collapse of polite society, will keep the discovery under wraps. Some even venture the thought that SETI scientists, for unspecified (and hard to imagine) reasons, would deprive themselves of future funding and the Nobel Prize by squirreling away their find.

Not a chance. And the reason I say that is, first and foremost, because the handful of researchers doing SETI experiments are intensely keen to find treasure, not to bury it. But even if you don't respect the integrity of the scientists, even if you think these dedicated folks have motives that are secret and suspect,

then you should at least look at the process. Frankly, secrecy is ruled out by how the experiment is done.

What happens when a signal comes in

Now I've written about this matter on these web pages before, but not in the last five years. So allow me to refresh memories (if you have them) by noting that there's a protocol that outlines the activities to be followed by any individual or organization that finds an extraterrestrial signal. In short, this document, constructed by an international group of SETI scientists, boils down to the following action plan in case of a suspected transmission from an alien world:

First, the discoverers should verify that the signal is really extraterrestrial and artificial, not man-made interference or natural, cosmic static. Having done so, those who made the discovery are to notify all the other signatories to the document so that they can independently proceed to check it. They should also inform national authorities. Next on the list of those notified are all the world's astronomers, so that every available telescope can be used to study the source of the signal. And then there's this, verbatim from the protocol; namely that the detection should be disseminated promptly, openly, and widely through scientific channels and public media=85=94

Sounds straightforward, right? And it is. However, this protocol assumes an orderly procession of events, with detection quickly followed by verification, which is then followed by spreading the news.

Well, real life is messy, as Pigpen knew. The disorder arises from the fact that the highly sensitive antennas used by SETI, coupled to digital receivers monitoring a hundred million channels or more, turn up signals all the time. When we were using the Arecibo radio telescope in Puerto Rico, there were detections falling out of the receivers every few seconds. This is not like in the movies, when a control-room oscilloscope suddenly goes from flatline to a profile resembling a sharpened pencil point, encouraging bored-looking scientists to wake up and start screaming. In reality, sifting through all those signals to see if any have the characteristics of an extraterrestrial source takes a long time. It's days before you're sure, or at least reasonably sure.

That's a very important, and currently unavoidable fact. Another relevant circumstance is that SETI is done in the open. For example, at every radio telescope we've ever used, there are observatory (not SETI) personnel in the control room 24/7, not to mention local visitors and a raft of other interested parties (Jimi Hendrix's sister, several Silicon Valley executives, Isaac Asimov's daughter, and Miss Puerto Rico are among many who toured the control room at Arecibo during Project Phoenix observations). If we're looking at an interesting signal=97one that's passing the tests that can separate the local interference from an extraterrestrial transmission=97then a lot of people know, even before we call up someone in another state or another country to verify its reality. The excitement begins to build long before the detection is confirmed.

What happens thereafter departs from the protocol, because the media start calling. When, in June of 1997, we had a suspicious signal on our computer screens, a New York Times science reporter was on the phone with me within hours. At the same time, a TV crew was, by chance, in the control room of the telescope. As it turned out, that signal, which slipped by our usual software filters due to an equipment malfunction, was from a European research satellite (SOHO) whose telemetry was bouncing around the steelwork of the radio telescope.

It all means the following: you will be media-blasted about a possible detection days before the people who find it are certain it's for real. (This may pose a dilemma for SETI Institute scientists, who always keep a bottle of champagne at the observing site. When do we pop the cork?) There's also the near-certain consequence that there will be false alarms for SETI experiments in the future, as reporters describe interesting signals which, upon closer inspection, turn out to be telecommunication satellites, airport radar, or just electronic noise.

Because verifying a signal is slow and the media are fast, there will be days of uncertainty for any newly detected candidate signal. Consequently, some members of the SETI community have devised an index of a signal's credibility, known as the Rio Scale. This scale evaluates with a numeric grade any claim of a detection, as judged by SETI researchers themselves. The researchers award their grade on the basis of the signal's technical characteristics. Sure, the Rio Scale is not a perfect gauge; it's not magic. But it is expert opinion, and should help the interested public by making manifest the judgment of an international group of SETI practitioners.

In the end, of course, and like all good science, a real detection will be confirmed by a wide range of observations, involving observers from many countries during the course of days or weeks. Facts are, the first discovery of a signal from an alien world will break into the world's consciousness in a haphazard, messy fashion. The news won't be crisp and well-defined. But it will be very, very exciting.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 18](#)

## New From Faded Discs - Ufology Across The Ponds

From: Wendy Connors <[fadeddiscs.nul](mailto:fadeddiscs.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 00:57:04 -0600  
Fwd Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 07:58:22 -0400  
Subject: New From Faded Discs - Ufology Across The Ponds

Ufology Across The Ponds: The UK & Australian Experience In Audio

Some of the voices you will hear on this 32+ hours, 51 track, MP3 compilation from the years 1946 to 1989 are:

Arthur Shuttlewood - Warminster to the Max!

Lionel Beer

Andrew Tobias - Rare as a 'Roo on Roller Blades!

Colin McCarthy - Talks early Aussie Ufology!

Charles Bowen

Tony Wedd - Yep, that Tony Wedd!

Harold Hill - Wigan Flying Saucer Group!

Desmond Leslie

Brinsley le Poer Trench - Coughing up the Sky People!

Waveney Girvan

P. F. Norris - Smooz'n with Major Keyhoe!

C. Maxwell Cade

Gordon Creighton

Rex Dutta

Capt. Ivar MacKay - Pip, Pip, Cheerio and all that rot!

Raymond Drake

Paul Norman - Makes Down Under and the Outback Proud!

Norman Oliver

Philip Rodgers - Yes, that Philip Rodgers, lecturing!

Jenny Randles

If you ever wanted to know about GEPAN, you'll find it on the bonus track.

Details for obtaining a copy of this new compilation can be found in the Audio History Series at:

<http://www.fadeddiscs.com/ufosacrossthepond.html>

Support the Audio History of Ufology Preservation Project. Hear the People you've Read about - It's the Other Side of Ufology!

Thank You and End of Crass Donation Plea,

Wendy Connors

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Daily Grail Interviews Chris Kenworthy

From: **Greg Taylor** <[greg.nul](mailto:greg.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 23:27:04 +1000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 09:17:23 -0400  
Subject: Daily Grail Interviews Chris Kenworthy

Hi list,

For those interested, I asked a few questions of Chris Kenworthy, the film-maker behind the 'Australian UFO Wave' website. The interview is here:

<http://dailygrail.com/node/3501>

Kind regards,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' -

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 15:09:19 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 09:18:53 -0400  
Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' -

>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:05:08 -0500 (CDT)  
>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:44:29 -0400  
>>Subject: UFO UpDate: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>Source: The South Wales Echo - U.K.

>><http://tinyurl.co.uk/owez>

>>Aug 16 2006

>>'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>A pioneer in the field of astronomy believes contact could be  
>>made with alien life forms within the next decade.

>  
>It might, and it might not. Way to hedge your bets, Doc!

>>Some of Professor Wickramasinghe's theories:

>>- Life on Earth began from inter-stellar dust which was brought  
>>to Earth by comets - "The theory that life came from outside was  
>>considered crazy in the 1970s," he said. "We had to fight very  
>>hard against the Establishment to get this position changed."

>Huh? Life just spontaneously arose out of interstellar dust? I'd  
>like to see how that happened.

I think the idea is that primitive life could arise in space  
(maybe in clouds of organic chemicals) or survive being blown  
into space somehow from a planetary surface, and then be brought  
down through the atmosphere on fine meteoritic dust or something  
like this. Hang on a minute - those Condign "dusty plasmas"!  
They're inhabited and flown by intelligent alien microbes!

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Re: Apollo UFOs - Smith

From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 10:49:31 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 09:20:07 -0400  
Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs - Smith

>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 14:39:08 -0600  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 10:02:30 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>Also, a "streak" is  
>>unlikely in a vacuum environment for a meteor.

>A meteor "streak" in a vacuum could certainly occur if it were  
>post-impact on a highly oblique trajectory. With no atmosphere  
>to weed them out, it's not obvious why such glancing impacts  
>would be particularly unusual on the moon.

Okay, I can see this as possible. However, it seems that the odds are somewhat more remote than you say. A narrow range of angles are required to hit the surface and create a non-point-like flash. Also, given the lunar surface is not flat, the odds of getting the right impact slope/terrain to keep from "hitting a wall" or too steep a slope seems pretty remote. Much more likely to see a flash from a more or less vertical meteor hit.

Then there is the problem of them seeing the flashes in the "same location". Somewhat synchronous. Sure some sides of the Moon get hit by meteors more often than others, but the odds of an astronaut seeing it during the brief time period allowed seem kind of remote.

Perhaps these were caused by transient lunar phenomena (whatever that is). Of maybe Hoagland's high lunar glass towers.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 12:03:21 -0400 (EDT)  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 09:26:45 -0400  
Subject: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

When is a rocket or missile a UFO and, regardless of its name, when does the government do anything about it?

There have been a lot of reported UFO cases in which the UFO appeared to be a rocket or missile. As usual, when reported by the public, these are ignored. However, when commercial pilots report them, perhaps the government should do something about them. When terrorists threaten to blow up aircraft with shoulder launched missiles (e.g. perhaps TWA800) and thousands of missiles are launched at Israel, perhaps the government should take these "UFOs" seriously and take action, rather than wait for disaster.

What are these cases? We can look to things like FAA databases and DOD news releases.

Remember that odd official public release from the DOD that in November 2002 the North American Aerospace Defense Command began receiving reports of a contrail of unknown origin in the air over the Caribbean? It stated that fighter jets were scrambled from several bases to intercept the unknown that was heading northwest toward the U.S., but reportedly, nothing was spotted. Also commercial airline pilots later reported the contrail over Florida and later over Indiana. Why would the DOD draw so much attention to this "contrail" when they could have hushed it up as usual? It was unprecedented that they issue such a release on a topic they could not resolve. Why not offer the usual prosaic explanations? Was it a missile or rocket or UFO? This was never seriously answered to the public although we got a nice cryptic press release showing a lack of ability to identify an unknown. I cannot find any other report/source that does not use the DOD press release as its sole information source (anyone else got this?). It is interesting that this case is not in the FAA databases where commercial pilots generally report odd incidents. In fact, the DOD press release is similar to how rockets/missiles (UFOs) are treated in the FAA databases.

I first came across these databases when I read the NARCAP report: "Aviation Safety in America: A Previously Neglected Factor" by Dr. Haines. I was impressed that they included commercial/professional pilot reports that include possible references to UFOs.

[http://www.narcap.org/reports/airsafety\\_p2.htm](http://www.narcap.org/reports/airsafety_p2.htm)

These interesting databases (which can be found at the FAA web site) are the ASRS (Aviation Safety Reporting System) database, the NMACS (Near Midair Collision System) database and AIDS (Accident/Incident Data System).

It is interesting is that the government willingly displays information that I would have thought would freak out the public. My main question is, to what end? They seem to want to disclose the problem but offer no solution. They basically ignore many cases, somewhat like the UFO phenomena.

The main freakout data I am referring to in this posting includes reports of rockets or missiles (or what they would call UFOs if it didn't have such a stigma) passing the aircraft, but

I could, if I wanted, include "unknown" aircraft that do not show up on radar but which cause evasive action by the pilot, objects hitting the aircraft at high altitudes (unlikely to be birds), and illumination/ tracking of the aircraft by light (sometimes lasers).

If the government is afraid of letting the public know anything that may make it panic (such as UFOs) then why does it actively permit the release of these FAA reports?

We all agree that pilots are, as a whole, highly reliable witnesses to objects in the sky. This is mainly because their own and their passenger's safety depends upon their ability to see well, observe well and judge well based on visual information. They have to be sober to do their jobs. When they officially report, when they don't have to do so (except perhaps near misses), then we must sit up and take notice.

Something that is clear from reading the reports is that many pilots choose not to report anything. This is based on the fact that the Air Traffic Control (ATC) stating other pilots witnessed something too but only one pilot chooses to file a report.

These reports are supposed to be used to improve the safety of air travel and while the FAA apparently does use some of the reported data to improve certain kinds of safety, they do not seem to do anything when it comes to certain kinds of cases (rocket/missiles, unknown/radar invisible aircraft, lasers-except tell them not to look at them). Basically, one wonders why the pilot would bother reporting some events at all.

---

Here I include here a few summarized examples of rockets/missiles reported by pilots.

Report Number: 346083  
Date: 8/1996  
Altitude(ft): 41000  
Aircraft: B757/ Passenger

"While at Cruise Altitude of 41000ft, Flight Crew Observed Either A Missile Or Rocket Climbing Through Their Altitude... In The Vicinity Of Snow Hill, Md"

"...at 41000ft when a missile/rocket climbed vertically through our altitude within 3 mi or our position. Asked ATC about it and they were not aware. Passenger shades were down due to movie. Notified...FAA by landline..."

Report Number: 671401  
Date: 9/2005  
Aircraft: A320/ Passenger

"A320 Captain Observed an Unknown Object that Passed Beneath Aircraft at 12500 Ft"

"...at about 12500 ft, I caught about a 2 second glimpse of something go directly underneath the aircraft. At first I thought it was another aircraft but I did not see any wings. I asked ATC if they showed an aircraft that just passed beneath me. They said there was no traffic in the area. I asked the flight officer, he was flying the aircraft, if he saw anything but he said no, his head was down. It appeared to be a missile. The object was gray, had a round nose and cylindrical. It appeared to be traveling fairly fast. I did not see any contrail although there was a layer of clouds beneath the object and my line of sight. I reported this to ATC. I cannot be absolutely certain it was a missile, but I don't think it was a bird or a balloon. It was definitely something, and it looked like a missile more than anything else."

Report Number: 19870625039819C (AID Database)  
Date: 6/25/87  
Location: Charleston, WV  
Aircraft: Boeing, 737-200, Delta Air Lines  
Registration #: 305DL

"A missile approached head on and passed 500 ft below the airliner. Appeared to be homebuilt and six feet long."

Report Number: 91273

Date: 7/1988

Altitude(ft): 9500

Aircraft: Light Transport, Low Wing, 2

Turboprop Eng/Air Carrier/ Passenger

"Close Proximity Air Carrier-Unknown Object While On Approach"

"On descent... approx 9500 ft I spotted something about 200 ft below heading south. I pulled our aircraft up and to the right and missed object. I couldn't tell if it was an aircraft or a balloon, but it was dark blue in color, and bigger than a basketball and smaller than an aircraft. The captain advised ATC that we had a near miss and controller said he hadn't seen any traffic to report. Copilot and pilot simultaneously saw what appeared to be a drop military style tank or a missile. Object appeared to be 2- tone blue, bullet shaped, with 1 winglet extending from each side. The flight officer did say that he thought it was a balloon, but could not be sure. ... Reporter did not know of any activity at the airport that would be connected with their sighting."

---

The following report was mentioned in the newspapers, but no resolution ever made. Only one US military rocket test was taking place during that time but it was over a thousand miles away! Another case where the government apparently drops the whole thing.

Report Number: 363539

Date: 3/1997

Aircraft: DC-9

Altitude(ft): 27000

"A Rocket Or Missile Flies By A DC9 ...At 27000ft. Flight Crew Describes A Bright White Greenish Light Moving Rapidly And Then Extinguishing After A Brief Time. Another Air Carrier and A Gulfstream Crew Report a Similar Sighting."

"While climbing .. the captain and I sighted a rocket or a missile climbing through our altitude off of our left wing.

The sighting lasted in excess of 30 seconds. The smoke/exhaust plume was quite large (bluish white), filling up 1/3 of the captain's side window from my vantage point. After the rocket/missile climbed through our altitude it appeared to level off slightly or flatten its trajectory somewhat. The object was moving extremely fast, was highly visible, and was sighted by other aircraft...

Please follow up and contact me reference this. It is a very serious matter that needs immediate attention. Callback conversation with incident reporter revealed the following: reporter stated that ARTCC was informed of the sighting by them, another company aircraft, and a Gulfstream also sighted the rocket or missile. The actual rocket body was not visible, but the trailing smoke was thick and made the rocket sighting easy to see. The rocket climbed above reporter's aircraft, then began to level off. Another aircraft 33000 ft stated it flew under them so the maximum altitude achieved seems to be under 33000ft. There is no airspace in that area that might fire such a device. Reporter never received any other info regarding the sighting.

Supplemental info: while listening to a flight describe a missile sighting the flight officer saw, out of the right window, a green light level and then descend just slightly below our altitude. I looked and saw a bright white light moving rapidly and then extinguishing after 1 or 2 seconds. A Gulfstream reported a similar sighting...

Callback conversation with reporter revealed the following info: reporter states they were just departing ... and upon switching frequency heard the description of a missile launch. The other crew indicated they had seen missiles launched in Las Vegas and this looked just like it. Reporter and flight officer did

indicate that the bright light had a tail which shortly extinguished but it looked more bright green. Reporter was not able to tell exact direction of movement but felt it remained at the same altitude below them. They know it was not the Comet Hale Bopp because they had been looking at that earlier. The other flight crews were quite positive of a north/south movement. A few days later reporter had a safety representative on jump seat who had been to meetings with NTSB and other government agencies to discuss this sighting. It seemed there was good cooperation among the various groups. ... "

----

I might as well mention some non-rocket/missile cases from the database which seem like UFOs which the government should explain while I am at it.

The NARCAP report mentions (but does not describe) this case as an aside the following case which it says is the only case that specifically mentions UFO.

Number: ASRS/82260  
Date: 2/1988  
Aircraft: Widebody, Low Wing, 3 Turbojet  
Altitude(ft): 37000

"Flight Crew Sighted UFO At Night Over Gulf Of Mexico"

"I observed a light tracking similar to a satellite through my forward windshield. I pointed it out to the other 2 crewmembers. Then, observed random light on and off, duration 3/4-1 1/3 seconds on. Time off varied 2-12 secs. Approx 8 flashes per min. Total sighting 7-8 mins. As it started flashing it appeared to take on characteristics of an aircraft. No silhouette observed. Appeared to fly down to and in front of our aircraft. From right to center of our flight course. Estimated 60000 to 10000ft, speed Mach 2-5. It was either: 1) a high alt stealth aircraft-one of ours or a Russian based in Cuba, 2) a satellite, 3) flying saucer from outer space (but I don't believe in them), or 4) NASA, NORAD, CIA Mil intelligence."

---

The following is an interesting case in that not only is there an interesting phenomena, but we see how the pilot brushes off the public with a false prosaic explanation even after being told some other prosaic explanations, while writing a report about "encounters of a third kind"! By the way Jan 1994 had 13 known debris reentries, but since this database does not give days or times to protect anonymity we cannot assess whether these are causes.

Report: ASRS/261019  
Date: 1/1994  
Altitude(ft): 39000  
Aircraft: B757

"Encounters Of The Third Kind By Air carrier Crew When Experiencing A Bright Light That Was Supposed To Be Re-Entry Of Some Space Material Or Decayed Satellite."

"En route Atlanta-Salt Lake City at 39000ft, in clear air about 6000 ft above thin cirrus deck. Aircraft was illuminated by a very bright white light including inside of cockpit. We were unable to determine the source, but the light resembled being lit up by a searchlight from ground. I contacted ATC to ask if they had any unusual report or sighting of the phenomenon. Several air carrier aircraft in the vicinity reported a meteor or space object had passed through the atmosphere and entered clouds in our vicinity. Please let me know if there is any documentation of other events such as this.

There were 3 witnesses in the cockpit - 2 pilots and a flight attendant. When we deplaned at Salt Lake City, I had several inquiries from passengers. I explained it was lightning from a rare winter thunderstorm. Incidentally, the cirrus was very thin and we could see ground lights. There were no thunderstorms at all. Apparently the cirrus acted as a reflector causing the light to appear below us. I believe the object came close to us and behind."

----

What about lasers or laser-like lights?

There were alot of reports (~30 in the databases and a total of >80 referenced) during the period of 1990-2005.

Sometimes they seem to be tracking the aircraft cockpit, which would seem hard given the distance and speed and relative size of the aircraft cockpit versus the beam diameter. At least one pilot wonders why no passengers have reported such laser illuminations. It is not clear how to track (up to 30 seconds) a cockpit window from over two miles away. Most of the time the source can never be found, although sometimes hotel light are the cause. But with tracking lasers, this is spookily dangerous.

Recently there have been alot more availability to these lasers, but the following report is way back in 1980!!!!

Report: 19800415051059C (AID Database)  
Date: 4/15/80  
Location: Albuquerque, NM  
Aircraft Make: Boeing, 727-200, Continental  
Airlines  
Registration #: 88703

"Captain Reported Being Struck By A Laser Type Light. Unable To Locate Source."

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## The Choppers & The Choppers?

From: Don Capps <[alienagenda.nul](mailto:alienagenda.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 12:59:41 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 09:32:26 -0400  
Subject: The Choppers & The Choppers?

I am hoping some of the List members can assist me.

I am doing some research on the animal mutilation phenomenon. And I have been trying for some time now to track down a particular book on the subject. The title is 'The Choppers & The Choppers' and the author is Tom Adams.

Both the author and the book are mentioned prominently by various writers on the subject, including Chris O'Brien and Linda Moulton-Howe and I would really like to get my hands on a copy of it. So far, all I have been able to determine is that it was privately published under the imprimatur of Project Stigma. However, I cannot find any copies for sale. If anyone can assist me, I would be deeply grateful.

Also, if anyone can point me to any other resources, that would be excellent. I already have Moulton-Howe's 'An Alein Harvest' as well as both of Chris O'Brien's books. But any and all recommendations would be appreciated.

AA

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 12:29:29 -0500 (CDT)  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 09:36:06 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Shell

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 19:32:59 -0300  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

<snip>

>If the appearance indicates it was manufactured, and the  
>behavior indicates it couldn't have been made here, regardless  
>of whether we see a license plate number, it can be listed as an  
>extraterrestrial spacecraft.

I just don't think we'll ever agree on this. Just because something appears to be a solid, manufactured object that exhibits characteristics or behavior apparently unlike anything known on Earth, that does not mean it is an ET spacecraft. Because the existence of ET life (much less intelligence or society) has never been conclusively proven and accepted, all we can say is that we don't know what it was.

>Sorry, but we don't have to have the identity of the killer to  
>know that a murder was committed. (Person or persons  
>unknown). Object from an unknown civilization elsewhere.

In a murder case, we are at least pretty sure that human beings exist, and there's a very high probability - not 100% - one of these humans committed the murder. We don't go off saying that the murder was either committed by people or leprechauns, even if the weight of evidence at the scene (bloody shamrocks, shilleliegh wounds, empty bowl of Lucky Charms, etc.) points strongly to a killer-leprechaun.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Re: UFOs or Not? - Allan

From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 19:03:48 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 09:38:47 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs or Not? - Allan

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 19:21:57 EDT  
>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

>My apologies for not replying to each individual post on this  
>subject. Several of them I wouldn't care to. I was distracted  
>most of the day, with having a road contractor here paving the  
>27-ft wide street I live on with 3 layers of asphalt. Now that's  
>a real cover-up!

>Again, my sole interest is investigating, and I mean  
>investigating right down to the nitty-gritty seemingly worthless  
>details. I've yet to see one single UFO case where this has been  
>done.

>To get answers, you have to ask questions, even if they seem  
>insignificant at the time. And the cases where this would most  
>certainly apply the most are single-witness cases. But no less  
>important also are the multi-witness cases, even when  
>photographs and/or videos are the second witness.

>An example might be the Roswell case.

>The USAAF said it was a weather balloon. End of case? Not yet.

>What make and model balloon? Manufacturer? Released from what  
>weather station? By whom? When? For what purpose? Where is the  
>radiosonde? The report from the tracking station? The name of  
>the meteorologist or weather officer in charge?

>Otherwise, I believe that's called 'unsubstantiated evidence',  
>or something to that effect.

You have picked the wrong case. The USAF gave an answer to this  
in 1947, but it was incomplete in the details. However they  
corrected this in 1994 with a fuller, but somewhat different,  
answer, but including the details you ask for above.

Unfortunately there are too many doubters who will not accept  
this answer. What do you do then? The same applies to many other  
'solved' sightings. Whose word do you accept that such cases are  
indeed solved?

CDA

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Re: UFOs or Not? - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 14:35:23 EDT  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 09:49:13 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs or Not? - Gammon

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 19:21:57 EDT  
>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

>My apologies for not replying to each individual post on this  
>subject. Several of them I wouldn't care to. I was distracted  
>most of the day, with having a road contractor here paving the  
>27-ft wide street I live on with 3 layers of asphalt. Now that's  
>a real cover-up!

Now, would that be because of the criticism leveled at you, or  
your dismay at nobody taking 'the bait'?

>Again, my sole interest is investigating, and I mean  
>investigating right down to the nitty-gritty seemingly worthless  
>details. I've yet to see one single UFO case where this has been  
>done.

I've yet to see your experience in the field. Evidence doesn't  
just walk up to people and present itself. Nor can one depend on  
what they might see or hear on a UFO documentary.

>To get answers, you have to ask questions, even if they seem  
>insignificant at the time. And the cases where this would most  
>certainly apply the most are single-witness cases. But no less  
>important also are the multi-witness cases, even when  
>photographs and/or videos are the second witness.

This is not new to anyone in the field. I know most researchers  
would like to think they are 'revolutionary' with regard to  
their approach, but only time will tell the true and worthy  
owners of such label.

>An example might be the Roswell case.

>The USAAF said it was a weather balloon. End of case? Not yet.

They said it was a "disk" or "missile", then they changed it to  
"weather balloon", and finally they claim it was truly "project  
mogul", which involved a string of weather balloons! 'O what a  
tangled web we weave...'

>What make and model balloon? Manufacturer? Released from what  
>weather station? By whom? When? For what purpose? Where is the  
>radiosonde? The report from the tracking station? The name of  
>the meteorologist or weather officer in charge?

There's definitely a boundary between being a UFO Hunter vs.  
having a fetish for meteorology. I doubt too many witnesses  
would have the obsessions to go that far, as the likelihood of  
gathering information relevant to the case would be rather  
slight. It would be a good way to gather information for mere  
reference sake.

>Otherwise, I believe that's called 'unsubstantiated evidence',  
>or something to that effect.

>Hollis Kimball

Yes, but be careful of the 'imaginary evidence' as well.

- Jason Gammon

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Review Of Connors' UFOs Across The Ponds

From: Robert Barrow <[robertbarrow.nul](mailto:robertbarrow.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 20:43:48 -0700 (PDT)  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 10:02:08 -0400  
Subject: Review Of Connors' UFOs Across The Ponds

Wendy Connors' Night Journeys in Ufology series presents:

Ufology Across the Ponds: The UK And Australian Experience  
1946-1989.

Recordings selected from the Archives for UFO Research and the Faded Discs Collection. Total running time 32 hrs: 25 min: 30 sec with 51 tracks (plus bonus track), digital print guide, .mp3 format. Copyright August 2006 by Wendy A. Connors, all rights reserved.

For ordering information visit:

<http://www.fadeddiscs.com/ufosacrossthepond.html>

Reviewed by Robert Barrow

The two girls, aged 14 or 15 and absolutely unprepared for the approaching intruder, were enjoying a midnight game of badminton in the back yard. One lived there and her friend resided several houses away on this, the Chicksands USAF/RAF Communications Base in Bedfordshire, England. Suddenly, just as a "large dark object" cruised overhead, barely above the oak trees, the teens found themselves unable to hear one another's words or any sounds at all, as if engulfed by a veil of stifling silence. Frightened, one of the young ladies ran into the house to alert her father. Inside, sound was normal, but when the two rushed outdoors, neither could hear a thing.

As the large, perhaps 50-60 foot, mysterious object began drifting out of sight ever so slowly, exterior acoustics returned to normal and the girl's military father ran back inside to alert the post command, and soon warning sirens could be heard all over the base.

The other girl ran home, anxious to tell her own father, a senior base NCO, of their experience. In short order, as others witnessed the strange object, all personnel at this small base were hustled to one location and a base lock-down went into full effect. The second girl's father went to his duty station, not to return until morning on this, a base where most of the military jobs were contained in underground structures.

This was the event as recalled several years later, in 1965, by the second girl, now a grown woman in the U.S. with at least one child of her own, whose playful voice is heard in the background as her mother relates the details to UFO researcher Robert Gribble. The woman is finally unloading a heavy burden of intrigue that troubled her for years, and only now because she found a UFO-reporting phone number in a newspaper. The sincerity and conviction in her voice cannot easily be dismissed, as she explains that she was told by her father as a teenager never to discuss the incident publicly.

"My father did not come back until the morning, and when he came back I asked him what it was, and he said I can't tell you. He said, all I can say is that it wasn't ours. I said, you mean OURS ours, or do you mean the earth's ours, and he wouldn't say anything else. Some years later... I asked him again and he

said... there are seven American bases like the one we are on in the world, and he said there were sightings at all seven that same day." On that special night at Chicksands, he also related, the object had been tracked by base detection equipment.

Contrary to the popular assertions of teachers and professors that there are no stupid questions, indeed, there are stupid questions, such as, if UFOs are real, why don't they land on the White House lawn? Or, why do UFOs always make contact with some farmer in the middle of nowhere? And the one heard agonizingly often by UFO researchers - idiotic now only because the matter should have been settled in all inquiring minds years ago - is, if UFOs are real, why aren't they seen in other countries?

To our rescue now, to answer this last concern definitively, comes Wendy Connors' latest compilation, more than thirty hours that prove, indeed, just in the United Kingdom and Australia alone UFO activity and discussions about UFOs are as historically relevant as nearly anywhere on the planet. The interview described at the inception of this review, a prime example, can be experienced in its entirety among the 51 entries in this collection.

"Some of the recordings are one of a kind and were excessively rare," states Connors, "especially (those featuring speakers) Wedd, Tobias, McCarthy and Rodgers. Some of those tracks were unique until the compilation. I doubt that more than three people have ever listened to those recordings, and I know the ones I dubbed them from were originals and that no copies had been made from them until I did it for the compilation."

Though this reviewer has no access to it at this writing, Connors includes also a bonus track (unmentioned track no. 52, we assume), essentially an unknown speaker discussing the famous French UFO investigative project GEPAN, its organizational composition and findings about UFOs - topped off with, Connors states, an exploration of "the background turf wars" that coincided with GEPAN's unique existence.

But our digitally mastered journey begins with a brief 1958 overview by researcher Sven Ahman on the classic Long John Nebel radio show about the ghost rockets observed over the Baltic in 1946. Then, to throw a little laughable debunking balance into the mix, we hear British professor A. M. Lowe in 1947, telling a reporter that flying saucer observations "must be due to mass hysteria."

In 1952, Australian radio actually presented a dramatization about a real UFO-flavored event, "the earliest known recording using the acronym UFO, coined by Capt. Edward J. Ruppelt," according to Connors, and the whole exquisitely rare program is offered here.

Two broadcast interviews are included featuring BOAC (British Overseas Airways Corporation) pilot Capt. James Howard, whose crew and passengers numbering at least ten observed a large shape-shifting object accompanied by six smaller objects for at least 18 minutes during an otherwise routine flight in 1954. Despite a changing shape, advises Howard, the main object "always had a clear edge to it." He further explains, "I think there is no question that it was no illusion and that it was being intelligently handled."

British writer Desmond Leslie is heard more than once on this disc, either lecturing on his own or introducing his American co-authorship associate, contactee George Adamski, who speaks before various audiences in the fifties. Among these rare and frequently lengthy recordings is a reporter who asks Adamski, "I'm a radio reporter and I would like to meet (the space people) to interview them. Could you ever arrange that for me, do you think?" Sly George, always the scalawag contactee when questions arise, replies: "I wish I could, but that would be left up to them whether they want such an interview or not. If they do, I certainly would." Somehow, one doubts this much-desired interview was ever arranged. During a London lecture, Adamski characteristically goes off on tangents about nature, Divine Law and space brothers. While Adamski, with Leslie's kind assistance, exuded an immense charm that hooked his admirers for years, during a post-WW II/cold war/Korean conflict era that welcomed his comforting flying saucer revelations, one must confess that, by now, the mere mention of Leslie and

Adamski together almost brings to mind a self-sustaining tragicomedy influence. Like a horrible auto accident that we don't really want to see, but curiously cannot divert our eyes from as we proceed along a busy highway at high noon, the Adamski saga provided an extraordinary magic show, irresistible, yet destined to relegate serious UFO research to clown show territory for years to come. It's essential that Connors include these recordings for an historical perspective.

British writer Brinsley le Poer Trench is interviewed by a very skeptical reporter whom he refutes quite adeptly in 1955, and in a later entry lectures about the "Sky People" as he speaks rather dynamically to a captive audience. Discussing space flight and other UFO-related issues, le Poer Trench states his firm belief that "I do not believe there is a limit to anything in God's universe."

George King of the Aetherius Society is also given his due on this disc as he lectures at a private London residence on the "Cosmic Masters" and the "Space People." On another entry, where it might be assumed that a "Mr. King," editor of "Cosmic Voice," is also contactee George King, the following interview occurs between reporter and King: "Might I ask, what are the women on Venus like?" King replies, "Very, very beautiful indeed, out of this world, absolutely out of this world, yes."

Harold Hill of England's Wigan Flying Saucer Group and publisher of "Uranus" is heard, offering the latest UFO reports in 1957. Author Waveney Girvan, Great Britain's author of the book, Flying Saucers and Common Sense, is also featured in a 1959 session.

Those familiar with the remarkable Papua, New Guinea UFO experience of Father William Gill will enjoy his 1959 lecture in the UK ("But this in fact is one thing that I can't quite wipe out of my mind - that something that looked human waved in apparent response..."). Another "keeper" on this collection is a brief 1960 statement by Air Chief Marshal, Lord Hugh Dowding, who affirms that "The evidence for the existence of flying saucers is so overwhelming that I have long ago accepted it." And a comment by UK aeronautical historian Charles H.G. Smith in 1963 further enhances the fact that UFOs are a universal subject of contemplation: "What convinces you more than anything else?" asks an interviewer. "Primarily the behavior and descriptions of the objects themselves, which do not fit, really, any other explanation," Smith responds.

Of more than passing interest is a 1963 'audio letter' to Major Donald Keyhoe, director of the National Investigations Committee on Aerial Phenomena (NICAP) from P. F. Norris, Australian UFO researcher, exemplifying his country's interest in legitimate UFO investigations as he requests Keyhoe's assistance.

The Warminster Mystery and British author Arthur Shuttlewood, whose mutual relationship has been the subject of some controversy lately, are allowed several entries sprinkled throughout this project. The first recording is eerily reminiscent, unintended, to be sure, of the old War Of the Worlds radio broadcast in the U.S. that caused so much panic amongst listeners. Further broadcasts highlight actual witnesses to the alleged Warminster UFO and UFO-related events. Shuttlewood himself is perhaps as intriguing as the Mystery, as he discusses hovering UFOs, vibrations, aliens and crackling sounds associated with weird events. He feels he has been in contact with aliens and believes in reincarnation, and frequently makes reference to spirituality: "This is a great spiritual force moving down in our atmosphere... you suddenly become aware that there is something big and profound and could be extremely helpful and beneficial to mankind..."

But further on, Shuttlewood states, "It's factual, according to these people, that Mars and Venus, I know for a fact that these two are peopled... absolutely like us... except the people with whom I dealt are even more advanced." Whatever one's conclusions about Shuttlewood and the Warminster affair, there is plenty here to contemplate, as Connors has included a number of tracks about this series of things that go bump in the mind.

British scientist C. Maxwell Cade shows up twice on the disc with some refreshing thoughts (1968 and 1972). His concern is primarily the possible relationship of UFOs to parapsychology,

and believes some aliens might actually be machines (perhaps if he spoke today he would say computers). He ponders telepathy and our meetings with extraterrestrial life forms, and considers the dilemma that they may see our world differently than do we. All things considered, his ideas fascinate.

A rare recording of Tony Dunkin Wedd, British founder of the Star Fellowship and former WW II RAF pilot is offered.

Running just over an hour, the fairly well-balanced English TV documentary (1968), *Flying Saucers And The People Who See Them* enhances this collection tremendously, providing great interviews from a British point of view with such American UFO notables as Lonnie Zamora and Dr. J. Allen Hynek regarding the Socorro UFO. An extraordinarily handsome touch added to this documentary is an interview with both Betty and Barney Hill (rarely heard from) and Dr. Benjamin Simon, who hypnotized each and explains here how he believes Betty's dreams are somehow responsible for their experience.

Listeners also get a taste of Fortean researchers in this collection, by such notables as John Michell and his belief (1966 lecture) that the chances of alien infiltration into society are strong, bolstered by rock paintings and other antiquities. Australian UFO researcher Colin McCarthy speaks in 1967 about Australia's UFO history dating from 1954 to the early sixties, offering a very interesting perspective geographically. He touches upon physical evidence, official silence, confiscated films and cameras. McCarthy discusses at length an official film he viewed of a missile launch, clearly showing a disc-shaped UFO doing circles around it as it sped upwards at 1500 miles per hour. His description of a radar-tracked UFO that apparently caused "severe interference" on a radar screen is worthwhile, and he and his fellows' plot to "kidnap" George Adamski is a hoot. Andrew Tobias, former editor of the *Australian Flying Saucer Review*, follows McCarthy at the same lecture.

In 1967, famed UFO researcher and atmospheric physicist Dr. James E. McDonald is touring Australia and takes a few minutes to speak with a radio reporter, with whom he discusses his investigation of UFO reports there, in New Zealand and Tasmania. "In my opinion," he suggests, "the UFO problem is the greatest scientific problem and the greatest international problem that stands before us, and I'm hoping to see it elevated to that status in a very great hurry."

Charles Bowen, a former *Flying Saucer Review* editor, shows up a couple of times here (1967 and 1971) and addresses such subjects in his lectures as UFOs, a one-eyed alien and the always intriguing Villas-Boas UFO humanoid sex incident, upon which he treads very lightly in his G-rated comments. On UFO research in general, he advises, "We must look at everything and be completely open-minded... we must collect everything and record it... How much better it would be for us if we had properly documented records of all the events of 1947 or 1946 or 1909 or even 1897. We might even find it superfluous to hypothesize."

Gordon Creighton, another of several respected *Flying Saucer Review* editors, lectures about UFOs in the UK (1969 and 1970), emphasizing that if we truly desire to know what UFOs are, perhaps we first need to know who and what we are. As well, he asks whether humans developed on this planet far too fast for standard evolution processes to handle. Creighton adds a few words of caution during a lecture: "I want to suggest to you that almost all of our thinking about the so-called UFO or the so-called flying saucer question is probably hopelessly wrong... and I want to suggest to you (that there are people) whose job it has been and whose job it is to see that our thinking continues to be hopelessly wide of the mark." We, he theorizes, perhaps borrowing from Charles Fort, may be owned by others.

In an enticing lecture about teleportation, which Creighton refers to using Ivan T. Sanderson's term, "instantaneous transfer", Creighton borrows from his *Flying Saucer Review* article on the subject, discussing cases as far back as 1593 (the earliest), to 1873 and to modern times. Some of these involve UFOs.

Philip Rodgers, in what Connors says may be his only known recording, describes his affiliation with space people. We are far more entertained than informed by this 1970 lecture, and I'm



not sure how the space people's assurance through Rodgers that black and brown folks are indigenous to the planet, yet white people were brought here by space people, will play out amongst those who profess us all to be one big happy human family with common origins. History book revisions alone will take years, should this viewpoint ever catch on (!).

Researcher Norman Oliver talks about Men in Black and gives another lecture about hoaxers, both in 1970. Also in 1970, writer Rex Dutta talks about the "flying saucer viewpoint," while Capt. Ivar Mackay, chairman of BUFORA (British UFO Research Association) discusses categories of UFOs. Raymond Drake offers a lecture on spacemen of the past, drawing upon antiquities and locations such as ancient Rome and Greece for his evidence of old visitations. Lionel Beer, one of BUFORA's founders, turns up in a 1971 interview and presents recent UFO reports.

A 1971 UK program called "Speak Easy" serves UFOs up for discussion among an audience and guests Jimmy Saville (a British celebrity), Dr. Kit Pedler (ophthalmologist, sci-fi and science fact writer), Keith Robertson (secretary of the Aetherius Society) and Keith Palmer (director of the International UFO Research Association). Bizarre is a good word to designate the proceedings here.

Maureen Puddy of Australia discusses her abduction in a 1972 recording, though it's regrettable that the quality here suffers. Connors always employs strong techno-audio magic with her state-of-the-art equipment and expertise, but some old recordings are especially troublesome, and this collection includes perhaps four, five or even six tracks of poor sound quality. Fortunately, the compilation's essential contributions are overwhelmingly balanced with clarity.

However, there's no loss of faithful audio in a 1976 UK program entitled "It's a Free Country," boasting a guest supporting UFO reality and the obligatory skeptical astronomer. When the audience votes at the end of the show, the UFO "believers" win out.

Wendy Connors offers further gold with her inclusion of the now-famous recording made by Lt. Col. Charles Halt during his initial field investigation of an incident in the Rendlesham Forest area (the Bentwaters-Woodridge Air Base case) in 1980. When this approximately 18 minute tape was initially released a few years ago by a private organization it did cost a few dollars, so its appearance on the disc is a definite economic bonus.

Another great find is Paul Norman's December, 1988 radio appearance. Representing the Victoria UFO Research Society (VUFORS), Norman appears on a show marking the tenth anniversary of the disappearance of pilot Frederick Valentich during a possible UFO encounter. Besides updating this tragic incident, other important cases, both new and old, are mentioned, including a car picked up by something strange near Adelaide, Australia; the New Zealand UFO incident described by reporter Quentin Fogarty in a book; the Coyne case of Ohio; and the Kinross case.

Connors finishes off the compilation with a nearly hour-long lecture on an European view of UFO abductions by British investigator Jenny Randles: "I think it's a kind of cultural difference. The way in which we evaluate UFOs from nation to nation will differ, and I don't think there's anything wrong with that," she tells her audience. "We can learn from one another. We should be prepared to do that."

However, Randles cautions, her words still as piercing as they were during this 1989 lecture: "Nobody has a monopoly on truth. Nobody can say that they know what the answer is."

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [ufoupdateslist.com](http://ufoupdateslist.com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Tom Petty Quits Driving After 'UFO' Scare

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 10:09:34 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 10:09:34 -0400  
Subject: Tom Petty Quits Driving After 'UFO' Scare

Source: Contact Music.Com - Burley in Wharfedale, Leeds, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/fpr5b>

18/08/2006

Petty Swears To Quit Driving After 'UFO' Scare

Rocker Tom Petty has promised his worried wife he won't get back behind the wheel of his car after making a spectacle of himself by literally crashing Adam Sandler's wedding.

The Running Down A Dream singer was distracted by silver weather balloons Sandler sent up to thwart paparazzi trying to get wedding shots from helicopters - and he rear-ended a guest.

Petty reveals he now only drives when he absolutely has to after promising his wife Dana he'll hang up his keys.

He explains, "The last two times I drove I had accidents. It just came down as a rule that I am not allowed behind the wheel. I like to drive, but after that last time, I knew I had scared my wife.

As I was coming back (from the store) I saw three enormous silver balls floating in the sky... People were pulled over to the side of the road pointing.

I ran in the house and yelled to Dana and her friend, 'Get in the car, you have got to come see this...' I had them convinced that they (balloons) were UFOs.

When we got a lot closer we could see that there was a thin wire attached to them and that they were only balloons... Right when I realised this, I go to turn the car around and I crashed into an oncoming car and nearly killed two people."

Frantic Petty then pulled off the road and into Sandler's wedding party - where he was swarmed by the paparazzi.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Re: UFOs or Not? - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 15:11:22 EDT  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 10:14:00 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs or Not? - Randle

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 19:21:57 EDT  
>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

<snip>

>An example might be the Roswell case.

>The USAAF said it was a weather balloon. End of case? Not yet.

>What make and model balloon? Manufacturer? Released from what  
>weather station? By whom? When? For what purpose? Where is the  
>radiosonde? The report from the tracking station? The name of  
>the meteorologist or weather officer in charge?

>Otherwise, I believe that's called 'unsubstantiated evidence',  
>or something to that effect.

Good Morning Hollis, List, All

You're kidding right?

Let's see, it was a neoprene weather balloon along with a rawin  
radar reflector, ML-307. Pilot Balloon Target ML-307(\*)/AP. The  
balloon envelop was a standard, off-the-shelf item. Charles  
Moore still had one and showed it to me.

Albert Crary's diary says, "On Thursday morning 3 July, a  
cluster of GM plastic balloons sent up for V2 recording but V2  
was not fired: Pibal showed no W wind w. Balloons picked up by  
radar: Located on Tularosa Range."

It was released on 3 Jul 47 from the Alamogordo Army Air Field  
as part of the New York University constant level balloon  
project, According to a diary kept by Dr. Albert Crary, The  
balloons and the arrays were used in attempts to detect  
detonations. The array was supposed to hang in the acoustical  
level in the upper atmosphere where it was hoped that the  
microphones would pick up evidence of Soviet attempts to  
detonate an atomic weapon.

The diary entry says, for the morning of July 3, "Sent up  
cluster Balloons with dummy load." That is the second launch.

There was no radiosonde on these arrays because they were not  
used to gather weather data. The officer in charge was Capt. A.  
C. Trakowski, Jr. Dr. Albert Crary was in charge of the  
civillians at Alamogordo and Charles Moore was one of those  
working the Project.

According to Dr. Crary's diary, the corresponding launch of a  
rocket at Alamogordo was scrubbed so that the balloon flight was  
also scrubbed. Charles Moore told me that in such cases, the  
equipment was stripped from the arrays, but the balloons were  
released because they couldn't put the helium back in the  
bottles. So, at best, there were a number of Neoprene balloons  
strung together but no radar targets still attached.

For additional information you could take a look at The Roswell Report: Fact vs. Fiction in the New Mexico Desert by Headquarters United States Air Force and available from the Government Printing Office, or Karl Pflock's Roswell: Inconvenient Facts, or Charles Moore's examination of winds aloft data (which I got from NOAA) so that he could plot the probable course of Flight No. 9, or David Rudiak's repudiation of that information. You could look at my own Roswell Encyclopedia which looks at the various explanations and discussions about Mogul.

A couple of websites:

<http://roswellproof.homestead/ProjectMogul.html>

or

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Project\\_Mogul](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Project_Mogul)

or

<http://ciscop.org/si/9507/roswell.html> :

Each of these should lead you to other links so that you'll see all your questions have been answered as I, among so many others have attempted to learn the truth.

I could follow Dr. Rudiak's example of providing an overwhelming number of sources and cites, but figure this is enough to get you started.

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Reason

From: **Cathy Reason** <[CathyM.nul](mailto:CathyM.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 02:31:25 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 10:24:46 -0400  
Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Reason

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:46:26 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

<snip>

>Autokinetic motion is apparently proportional to the amount of  
>voluntary eye movement that would be required in order to cancel  
>out small involuntary eye movements, so in the opposite  
>direction. Presumably during the attempt to fixate on a star the  
>eye constantly drifts due to these involuntary twitches and has  
>to be hauled back, then overshoots, and so on, and each  
>involuntary drift triggers an illusory stabilising "motion".

The key feature is the lack of reference background against  
which relative movement can be judged. You can easily show this  
experimentally by setting up an autokinetic experiment and  
introducing background reference points - the illusion will be  
progressively confined and eventually destroyed.

>I'm not sure if I've got that exactly right, but that's the  
>gist. So you might expect the apparent motion to be the inverse  
>of the eye's attempt to home in on the source and such a  
>recursive scan pattern might well (I imagine) be a sort of  
>"figure eight". Does anyone know more about this?

If only life were that simple.

Autokinetic movement is random and the visual system is prone to  
extract patterns from random noise. That in itself is  
potentially sufficient to generate any given pattern of apparent  
movement.

Cathy

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Re: UFOs or Not? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 19:02:18 -0700 (PDT)  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 10:26:00 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs or Not? - Nielsen

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 19:21:57 EDT  
>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

<snip>

>An example might be the Roswell case.

>The USAAF said it was a weather balloon. End of case? Not yet.

>What make and model balloon? Manufacturer? Released from what  
>weather station? By whom? When? For what purpose? Where is the  
>radiosonde? The report from the tracking station? The name of  
>the meteorologist or weather officer in charge?

>Otherwise, I believe that's called 'unsubstantiated evidence',  
>or something to that effect.

Very good Hollis!

But you must know you're not alone. As Dr. Maccabee has said in a prior post, the ideas here get a pretty thorough peer review. In fact, I've not seen more peer review on the UFO topic in any other venue. I see that, and the willingness of EBK to entertain almost any point of view, as the main reasons for the popularity of this List.

There are several authors here who "plum the depths" as you describe. I suggest you check out their work, at least as a jump-off point, standing on their shoulders, so-to-speak, so you don't have re-invent any more wheels, (model train or otherwise)! How's that for a cliché-ridden paragraph!

I sincerely hope you get my point. I'm fresh out of clichés!

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Re: UFOs Or What? - Fleming

From: **Lan Fleming** <[lfleming6.nul](mailto:lfleming6.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 22:04:47 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 10:28:46 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or What? - Fleming

>From: Eugene Frison <[eugene.frison.nul](mailto:eugene.frison.nul)>  
>To: UFO Updates List <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 21:01:57 -0300  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or What?

>>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 14 Aug 2006 23:02:39 EDT  
>>Subject: UFOs Or What?

>>It seems the subject of UFOs on this List has been more of a  
>>forum for argumentative discussion rather than delving into the  
>>core of the UFO phenomenon itself.

>A very accurate observation!

>That's because really and truly examining the "core" of the UFO  
>phenomenon requires raising questions about the ET hypothesis  
>and you don't want to dare try approaching that sacred cow with  
>dissecting tools in hand.

>Afterall, everyone knows some UFOs are definitely ET spaceships!

And as everyone knows, bitter sarcasm is such an effective way  
to elevate a subject above the level of "argumentative  
discussion" (he says sarcastically).

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 11:21:41 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 10:31:12 -0400  
Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Shough

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 14:01:00 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:46:26 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>>"It was weird," says the 33-year-old Londoner. "Your first  
>>>instinct is that it could be a plane, but then you think, well  
>>>no, that's not a plane unless the pilot is really drunk. Because  
>>>it would go up and down and then zoom back to where it was  
>>>initially."

>>>Hounam and the boys say at first the object appeared to be white  
>>>but later showed flashes of red and blue. Most peculiar,  
>>>however, is their claim the lights moved in a kind of sharp-  
>>>angled figure-eight pattern.

>><snip>

>>>After 30 years of study, Rutkowski says he's found most UFO  
>>>sightings have some valid explanation. Often, he says, people  
>>>are simply seeing stars or satellites.

>>>"Even a star or a planet will twinkle with different colours,"  
>>>he says. "And because of a phenomenon called auto-kinesis, it  
>>>can appear to move, even though it's stationary.

>>>"You stare at it with no frame of reference and your mind  
>>>interprets it as moving closer or farther away from you."

>>>But clearly, he says, stars and satellites don't move in a  
>>>figure-eight. So what did these local folks see?

>>Based on near-zero information given, there's no reason this  
>>apparent motion couldn't have been autokinesis, which does cause  
>>illusory lateral motion, not line-of-sight motion as stated  
>>here. I just checked a couple of sources out of interest.

>>The favourite explanation seems to be that it's caused by the  
>>brain's method of stabilising the visual field and is basically  
>>the same as the "spinning room" illusion that happens when you  
>>spin your chair and suddenly stop - perception of motion induced  
>>opposite to the direction of real motion.

>>Autokinetic motion is apparently proportional to the amount of  
>>voluntary eye movement that would be required in order to cancel  
>>out small involuntary eye movements, so in the opposite  
>>direction. Presumably during the attempt to fixate on a star the  
>>eye constantly drifts due to these involuntary twitches and has  
>>to be hauled back, then overshoots, and so on, and each  
>>involuntary drift triggers an illusory stabilising "motion".

>>I'm not sure if I've got that exactly right, but that's the  
>>gist. So you might expect the apparent motion to be the inverse  
>>of the eye's attempt to home in on the source and such a

>>recursive scan pattern might well (I imagine) be a sort of  
>>"figure eight". Does anyone know more about this?

David,

Thankyou for your characteristically interesting and informative reply.

>I have never read in the perceptual literature that stationary  
>star-like objects can be seen to zoom in a blank reference  
>frame. (I have never experienced this and have never known  
>anybody to experience it.) In any case, this is not the same as  
>autokinesis.

With respect, that is actually what I said. The witnesses do not (so far as we know) say the object zoomed, in your sense, i.e. loomed along the line of sight (the word is used but in the sense "it zoomed up and down"). The reference to line-of-sight motion was by Chris Rutkowski (or the reporter at any rate) describing autokinesis, and I pointed out that this is an incorrect characterisation of autokinesis.

<snip>

>Autokinesis produces random motion, not regular patterns like  
>figure-8's (though vertical motion, usually upward, is more  
>common than horizontal motion for some reason), and requires a  
>virtual absence of nearby surrounding reference objects in the  
>night sky, like other stars. Motion excursions tend to be very  
>small, a degree or less, usually much less (probably because for  
>larger excursions, peripheral vision picks up other reference  
>objects). However, I understand that in a truly featureless  
>background, the motion excursions can be quite large. This is of  
>concern in aviation where pilots may often be flying in such  
>conditions (e.g., a fog or mist or where stars are difficult to  
>see because of cabin light). E.g., a nearby aircraft light may  
>seem to suddenly change direction causing a pilot to erroneously  
>take evasive action. The usual advice to avoid or minimize the  
>autokinetic illusion is to move the eyes around and continuously  
>refixate to stabilize the field.

The description we have ("it would go up and down and then zoom back to where it was initially" in "a kind of sharp-angled figure-eight pattern") isn't necessarily a description of a highly ordered type of real motion, reiterated exactly. Couldn't a "kind of figure-eight" be verbal shorthand that someone might use to describe a subjective pattern imposed on a "random" walk about the mean position of the star? To me "kind of" suggests the latter possibility, as does "it would go up and down" which is inconsistent with any true figure-8. The phrase "sharp-angled" could well indicate the sort of abrupt and erratic reversals that occur with autokinesis.

I think unless there is evidence that the object was seen close to reference objects, or the apparent angular excursions were in some other way shown to have been much larger than a degree or two, or there is other striking case information we don't know about, then autokinesis is a reasonable theory.

>When I have experienced it looking up at stars or satellites in  
>the night sky, it is always occasional, momentary (less than a  
>second in duration), and small. I am always aware it is an  
>illusion, and not the star, satellite, etc., itself moving. I  
>have never seen any regular or repetitive pattern of motion being  
>generated. Again the operative words here are random motion.

I too have seen autokinetic motion many times when looking at stars, satellites and even aircraft. Like you I can't recall any regular pattern of motion and the effect tends to be intermittent. I have however read that in in an experimental situation for most subjects the effect tends to increase with the duration of fixation, not decline. It's also the case that you and I knew what we were seeing and were able to "correct" the perceptual error. Maybe the presence of this correction tends to damp the more extreme type of effects - by psychologically compensating the illusion and/or by re-fixating more efficiently? Maybe an observer who believed they were seeing something remarkable might be more likely to let the effect "run wild" so to speak?

Also, you say the operative words are "random motion". But wouldn't random motion within a boundary be appropriate, with the word "boundary" being equally operative? I imagine the

eye is continually "hunting" within a small angle for fixation on the source. I'd expect that bounded closed-curve motions of this type are going to be approximately reiterative.

In the extreme theoretical case a totally unpatterned motion ergodically explores the entire boundary in infinitely many different ways in infinite time; but real mechanical biological systems can't work like this. In going repetitively over the same ground there'll surely be some degree of pattern, due to displacement-forces and restoring forces associated with the arrangement and tensioning of muscle groups, involuntary scan patterns - i.e., up/down, left/right, criss-cross, whatever - evolved for analysing static detail and movement etc etc

After looking through this extremely interesting book

[www.4colorvision.com/pdf/7Dynamics.pdf](http://www.4colorvision.com/pdf/7Dynamics.pdf)

I think I'm right in saying that the continual tremor of a few tens of arcsecs whose lower limit represents the noise baseline for all eye motion occurs in a basically square scan (up/down, left/right, but figure-8 Lissajou curves are also considered).

I can't find out whether or not this underlying pattern has any tendency to transfer to the larger arcminute-scale "mini-saccades" that I suppose are involved in the autokinetic movements, but it seems plausible to me. Is this a misunderstanding? If it is, couldn't a "kind of figure-eight" be verbal shorthand that someone might use to describe a subjective pattern imposed on a "random" walk about the mean position of the star? I'm not suggesting that there would be a precisely reiterated simple figure, but that a general type of arcminute- or degree-scale motion pattern, recovered on average out of a great many broadly similar motions, might reflect this basic "graining" of the velocity field, producing closed curve motions that someone might well describe as like figure-8s.

The description we have ("it would go up and down and then zoom back to where it was initially" in "a kind of sharp-angled figure-eight pattern") isn't necessarily a description of a highly ordered type of real motion, reiterated exactly. To me "kind of" suggests a simile rather than a literal identity, as does "it would go up and down" which is inconsistent with any true figure-8. The phrase "sharp-angled" could well indicate the sort of abrupt and erratic reversals that occur with autokinesis.

>This also sounds like a multiwitness sighting. I seriously doubt  
>multiple witness will simultaneously think something very  
>unusual is in the sky and attribute the same anomalous motion to  
>it.

I'm not so sure. I think people can strongly influence one another's subjective impressions of things like this. I seem to recall there is plenty of experimental work on how normative peer pressures can dominate people's judgment in various spatiotemporal tasks including illusions. In fact if I'm honest I can recall examples of this from my own impressionable youth - staring at blinking aircraft lights and agreeing with my skywatching companions as to how it had jumped up or down, things like this.

>Autokinesis is not the same illusion as reverse motion induced  
>by spinning/moving somebody or by prolonged viewing of motion in  
>one direction. (When the motion stops, one then "sees" the  
>illusory reverse motion for a few seconds.) This is thought to  
>be caused by fatigue or habituation of neurons sensitive to  
>motion in the direction being viewed (plus maybe fatigue in the  
>person's vestibular system if the person themselves is in  
>motion). The nervous system is wired in antagonistic ways. Thus,  
>e.g., if you are viewing something moving to the right, the  
>neurons responding to rightward motion are also inhibiting  
>neurons that respond to leftward motion. After prolonged  
>viewing, the rightward neurons fatigue or respond less  
>vigorously to the stimulus, which also reduces inhibition on  
>the opposing neurons. When the rightward motion stimulus is  
>stopped, the leftward motion neurons are disinhibited and now  
>fire temporarily as if there were actual motion to the left.  
>This is also commonly called the waterfall illusion. (Stare at a  
>waterfall for a while, then look away and things will  
>momentarily seem to be moving upward.)

OK that's very informative, thanks. I did say "the same" so perhaps I expressed it badly. However rather than meaning that autokinesis was "the same" as the spinning illusion in its precise neurological mechanism (which I didn't know about), I meant to say that it was analogous, in the sense that both are related to dynamical feedback mechanisms controlling the stability of the visual velocity field. Or that is what I read in several sources.

>However, with autokinesis, there is no analogous fatigue of  
>motion neurons. Eye muscle fatigue seems to play a role. E.g.,  
>if one gazes upward for a prolonged period at a target, the  
>autokinetic motion will either be in the same or opposite  
>direction, but in no other plane. However, it is not completely  
>understood what causes the illusion, but the mechanism does not  
>seem to be the same as in the waterfall illusion.

My limited understanding is that autokinesis is thought to have something to do with when the eye/brain is using efferent motion sensing based on eye motion, versus afferent sensing based on a retinal image track.

This is like a tracking radar versus a surveillance radar I think. The latter passively shows a track across a stabilised screen; the former reconstructs the track in polar coordinates from sensor information about how the antenna has had to actively move so as to follow a target. If there are constant small-scale random jitters in the tracking antenna then this efferent motion sensing introduces the possibility of an autokinetic radar illusion that has to be cancelled out by electronic correction running all the time in the background. If the correction fails then the "noise" will show up as an erratic track.

It seems to me this is closely similar to what happens if the eye/brain is deprived of correction information from other parts of the visual field. Normally the general comotion of objects distributed across the field provides a comoving reference frame which defines the meaning of "stationary" for the moving eye, against which individual relative excursions can be detected and measured. If the field contains no comoving peripheral reference objects, then the fixated object has an ambiguous status. This is position measure versus velocity measure. Either the fixated object is sole position datum for the static reference field, or it is the sole datum in the efferent velocity field. I suggest that in the absence of context the eye/brain dithers between these two modes.

I'd value your opinion on this interpretation. Does it sound plausible?

>I think autokinesis is greatly overused as a pat explanation to  
>explain anomalous motion of "lights in the sky." In particular,  
>if the light excursions are large, prolonged, and form regular  
>patterns, autokinesis is very unlikely to be the culprit.

I agree. But in the present case we don't know how large, prolonged or regular the excursions were. We don't even know times and bearings. They said it started out white but later started showing flashes of red and blue. Scintillation as a star descends towards the west? Could well be, but we don't know.

In any case my main interest in this story was that it made me curious to think about the cause and limits of autokinesis. I'm still not sure, but I've learned a lot. Thanks.

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [ufoupdateslist.com](http://ufoupdateslist.com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Author Gives Life To Aliens

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 10:36:00 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 10:36:00 -0400  
Subject: Author Gives Life To Aliens

Source: Inside The Bay Area - Oakland, California, USA

[http://www.insidebayarea.com/ci\\_4208044?source=3Drss](http://www.insidebayarea.com/ci_4208044?source=3Drss)

08/19/2006

Author Gives Life To Aliens

Writer is convinced we definitely are not alone  
By Cheryl Winkelman  
Staff Writer

TRACY =97 If you've ever wondered if were alone in the universe, you may want to come to Tracy today and pick up a copy of Alien Log by Dr. Robert Farrell.

The Arizona-based author will be signing copies of his book, which posits the theory that millions of forms of intelligent life are out there.

Farrell, 66, a retired professor from Penn State University, where he helped start a plastics engineering technology program, said he wrote the book in the same vein as Michael Crichton's Jurassic Park =97 the fiction is steeped in scientific research.

I've always had a deep curiosity about the subject matter, he said.

His own questions led to extensive, years-long research, during which he studied genetics, sociology, anthropology, cosmology, crop circles and other topics.

He also pored over the wealth of accounts on UFO sightings and the work of Dr. John Mack, a Harvard University psychiatrist who studied and took seriously the phenomenon of alien abductions.

Like many before him, Farrell asked himself the Fermi paradox: Because of the staggering age of the galaxy =97 billions of years old =97 and the vast number of stars, aliens should be everywhere. So where are they?

Farrell said he thinks they're already here.

He said he shares the ancient Sumerian belief that humans were created by aliens about 450,000 years ago. They're still watching over us, with a mothership parked in the asteroid belt and visits to Earth done on scout ships.

Dont be alarmed: Farrell said he thinks these aliens are benevolent.

Why would they want to destroy us? he asked.

But other aliens may not come to Earth with such good intentions, as many stories of alien abductions testify, he said.

"You should think of the galaxy as Star Trek", Farrell said, with a federation of planets. Our civilization is new and far less advanced as many others. We're actually just a blip on a huge, complicated screen.

Farrell works these theories into his book, about a colonel, two scientists, an astrophysicist and a linguist who must decode a ship's log found at a UFO crash site. The log contains key information about the aliens.

The real issue is to find out what the aliens are up to, Farrell said, but you'll have to read the book to find out.

And for those who enjoy Farrell's first novel, hell soon be done with its sequel.

Cheryl Winkelman  
[cwickelman](#).nml

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Re: Fields Of Fear - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 15:41:10 +0100 (BST)  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 11:06:05 -0400  
Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear - Miller

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 17:39:39 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear

>>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 17:19:47 +0100 (BST)  
>>Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear

>>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:11:20 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear

>>>>Paul Kimball's new documentary, Fields Of Fear, is set  
>>>>to premier on Canada's Space: The Imagination Station  
>>>>September 15th.

>>>>Details are here:

>>>><http://tinyurl.com/gugm5>

><snip>

>>I do hope that as two friends and colleagues, we can argue  
>>and exchange vociferous insults and banter without any  
>>lasting effects (translation; Alfred old boy, I am about to >  
>stab you in the back).

>Of course not, old sod

>[flicking up the ends of my 'stash with a casual flip of the  
>right hand]

Are you smoking a joint while talking to me?

>you won't mind or take any offence as I walk along with you  
>and point out the error of your ways.

Yes, we can walk together but I refuse to hold hands and you'd  
better keep up as I take long strides and I believe you're a bit  
of a short-ass.

>>Because I think you are wrong with this and I intend  
>>to tell you fairly and squarely.

>[Bowing deeply in the Elizabethan sense]

>>Play nice and stop making yourself look silly.

>

>I'm playing as I've always played, Sir, with consistency and  
>elan, but an eye offends me and I must of needs pluck it out.  
>Silly is as silly \_does\_ Mr. Miller - I promise to go back to  
>first names right after.

>"Silly" is Mr. Kimball's admonition to you after your angry  
>promise to Mr. Rimmer should he wander, North is it? Of his  
>territorial environs. Now that's silly.

>Also silly was his unwarranted smear at your WebLog in the  
>same post about a person in our community who is his ethical,  
>intellectual, and productive superior thinking it would go by  
>unchallenged. Now that's silly.

OK, Paul did lower the tone a bit. All I had actually had in mind was a cannibalistic ending; you know - the boiling pot thing and a bit of seasoning followed by a skewering. Unfortunately, Mr. Rimmer had the temerity to send me a most disarming private response which somewhat put me off my food. I went hungry.

>>You haven't even seen the film yet and passing judgment on  
>>it based on your idea of how Mr. K has or hasn't behaved in >  
>the past is not really on.

>Could you have spouted a greater nonsense?

Easily.

>I conjectured whether or not Mr. Kimball's future films will  
>suffer the suspicious self-repudiations of his earlier films.  
>"Judgment" is a decided mischaracterization. Moreover,  
>speculations on future behaviors based on past ones is the  
>very foundation of rationality and is in fact what sets us  
>apart from beasts. Consequently, this is so "on" it is "up" >  
subsequent to being pushed "through." LOL!

Leopards change their spots. To presuppose a negative outcome is a disservice. But if you are going to speculate, and I agree that speculation is legitimate, at least publicly make an allowance for a change of direction. Perhaps Mr. Kimball is guilty of too much inner reflection, too much public angst, too much personal honesty. Should he be harrangued for further thoughts post release? Should he be crucified upon the alter of public introspection? Persist if you will Mr. L but you will make him a martyr to the God of emotional self-evisceration. Soon there will be a cult and then mass deaths. I urge caution.

>>You often review things for the rest of us Alfred and place  
>your work here.

>[Bowling deeply, again... most only get the one...]

>>We rely upon you to be objective but alas, you have  
>>wronged us and spurned our trust.

>\_More\_ nonsense if not an unqualified and effrumptorous flap-  
>doodle, Sir. I've qualified it. I ever honor it! I show it  
>abeyance and deference or I never would have attempted it. I  
>serve the trust and pay it homage. You only demonstrate, Sir,  
>that our Mr. Kimball is undeserving, in my opinion, of your  
>friendship.

I feel uncomfortable asking but is all this some bizarre menage a trois gone disasterously wrong? I am not a play thing Alfred.

>>If nothing else, look at it like this: you are attacking  
>>someone's business livelihood as he offers up a product  
>>honestly made without, at this point, any serious grounds.

>What!?! Mr. Miller, if our Mr. Kimball's 'product' is made in  
>any way less profitable as a result of my small critique, that  
>must say a lot more about the history that he has produced  
>himself about his own work and not my ability to comment on  
>same. Moreover, I doubt any of us can politely speculate on  
>the honesty implied when that work has yet to be seen even by  
>your self.

You are too modest Mr. L. You have no idea of the weight of public expectation that hangs upon your every utterance. If you tell your public, "This is good", then it is a success. Vice versa.

As for the integrity of the piece, Mr. K has assured me of his conscientiousness when in production. He is a gentleman. If he says it is so, it is so.

>Can you say, "typical mutilation-exploitation flick?"

Typical? And its predecessors were? Names Alfred, names.

>Consider, moreover, the last line from his own review:

>"Whether or not you think cattle mutes are real, there's no question that Fern is 100% real!"

>Now, tell me - how does that 'summary' of his film in any way  
>put the subject forward? How does that particular assertion  
>move the subject, and us, along?

What it shows is a lack of arrogance. What it shows is a respect for the opinion of others. There is no presumption about anything other than a recognition that there is a diversity of views and that it is a controversial subject. And what it shows is a respect and an admiration for an individual involved in the investigation of such. Surely that is clear. How therefore can the subject not be moved along?

>Can you say, "Paul Kimball - the Joe Lieberman of Ufology?"

What's a "Joe Lieberman"?

>This has been done time and time again - by far better folk  
>than PK, yet this time we get to listen to Mr. Kimball's  
>particular brand of insentient "giggle." It doesn't put us  
>further along in this big, open question. It does nothing but  
>promote Paul Kimball, at our expense. As I wrote, "One step  
>forward and two steps back."

Charmless and predetermined judgement."Better folk"?, "Giggle"?  
"promote Paul Kimball"? What kind of talk is that? How do you know all this? At the risk of repetition, have you seen it yet?

>>Get a proper look at it first Alfred and then come back and  
>>tell us how typical of Mr. K's deceit it is, how shockingly  
>>mocking of the Truth we all seek it is or, God forbid, how  
>>good it is.

>As I have a history, Sir, of doing just that? I report with  
>alacrity that I've been there... and done that!

>Mr. Kimball's films have gotten comparative rave reviews from  
>this writer... in fact our Mr. Kimball was lately using same  
>as my endorsement of his person, you'll remember. Perhaps Mr.  
>Kimball would be good enough to send me a review copy. I  
>will be fair.

Irony is not confined just to the British. I shall prevail upon Mr K to send you a package. Beware of postmen bearing gifts.....

>>We of course won't believe you if you tell us the latter.

>And why not! I ask, only slightly offended. I've gotten thrown  
>off this very board for following my conscience, Sir. I was  
>welcomed back without it once being made a requirement that  
>I ate the crow I served up, entirely, myself. When I'm wrong,  
>I admit it, quickly and without any spin-meistering or fancy  
>activities associated with face-saving. 'Others' have been  
>decidedly less forthcoming than I, good Sir!

I didn't know that. Errol has taste! Then we graciously await your pennance at some future point.

>>We expect a deconstruction but make it one based on fact.

>If appropriate, expect nothing less, Mr. Miller.

>>And no lame excuses about you not living in Canada.

>You have the advantage here, Sir. This was unintelligible.

>>Initiative if you will.

>And here... blanks decidedly drawn.

"Paul Kimball's new documentary, Fields Of Fear, is set to premier on Canada's Space: The Imagination Station September 15th."

You do not live in Canada. Will it air in the South? Will you

need a DVD? Are you on SDI tonight? Is life fair?

>>>Sneers are returned with interest and edge.

>>Make them good Alfred, like poison to my blood so that my  
>heart shall plead for mercy. Lets do the dance, cha cha cha.

>It was enough just to spank you with the flat of my saber.  
>I've a wealth of regard that I shall not belabor. PK is well  
>served with you, his debater. I'll re-sheath my sword and but  
>say, "see you later."

A spanking eh? My ears prick up.

>>Your dear friend

>>Stuart

>I have a lot of high regard for you, Stuart. Your sentiments  
>are returned to you with the interest promised. No sneers  
>were perceived.

>>Sluuuurp. Sound of dagger being withdrawn from your back. I  
>can't leave it there, I need it for someone else.

>Don't worry, my friend. It never made it passed the Kevlar.  
>Check the blade, decidedly absent of the crimson. Like I said,  
>I don't keep my back to the saloon floor.

>In closing, let me say that I can only aspire to the quality  
>of friendship shown to Mr. Kimball, apparently unearned.

No aspiration needed. It is already earned, pocketed, banked.  
You are well in the black. Manly hugs all round.

>See, I would never have publically conjectured that you had  
>threatened some kind of awkward carnal knowlege of the  
>intrepid Mr. Rimmer when nothing was further from the truth.

>That's silly, Stuart, if uncontested.

See somewhere near the top.

XXX

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Abduction & What We Can Do About It

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 08:14:47 -0700 (PDT)  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 11:25:06 -0400  
Subject: Abduction & What We Can Do About It

Abduction and What We Can Do About It  
By Rick Nielsen

(Rick Nielsen has worked in criminal justice since 1979. He completed a BS at BYU and an MIT at AIU. An experienced officer, teacher, writer, and public speaker, his clients range from those transitioning back into society, to regional civic and religious leaders. He's an outstanding speaker, with experience in front of small groups as well as assemblies of hundreds. His publications include web-based sources, commentary, reference non-fiction, children's literature and song lyrics. Rick is dedicated to discovering ways to increase human responsibility, understanding and compassion, through the voluntary, selfless service of each individual.)

My article looks at the abduction of humans by humans, because the factual basis of these examples is certain. Only four cases, in which the victim survived, are discussed: living victims' experiences can be known and compared, and conclusions can be made. I hope that my conclusions can be used to help those who are victims of abductions of all kinds.

One abduction is one too many - liberty lost at extreme cost. Each abduction causes suffering: suffering for the victim, for their loved ones, and when brought to justice, even for the abductors. Let's look at these four representative cases.

You may remember brave little Shasta Groene. Her abduction is relatively recent news. The same man, Joseph Duncan a convicted sex offender, who killed her mother, stepfather and older brother at their home in northern Idaho, took her with her brother. During their ordeal she and her other brother were kept alive for continued abuse by Joseph Duncan. That brother was also eventually killed. She was recovered when alert patrons at a restaurant identified her from news stories and flyers resulting from police and community involvement, including the AMBER Alert system. She was returned to her father and loved ones, and continues in treatment while her abductor continues his incarceration. This case is still being adjudicated.

A man and woman, trusted friends of the family, took Elizabeth Smart from her home, at knifepoint. She was tortured and abused and told by her abductors this was because they "loved" her. Caring parents, family, community members and police kept up interest in her case. Her missing status was lengthy and her appearance had changed some. Her desire to live, and possibly the Stockholm syndrome, had apparently changed her thinking during that time also. But Elizabeth also knew her real family would still love her, no matter what. Finally, alert store patrons recognized her and contacted police. She was returned to loving parents and family. She went into treatment while her abductors went to jail. As of May 2006, her captors were still in custody while the state determines their competency to stand trial.

Harvey Weinstein was 68 and living in the public eye in New York City, when he was kidnapped for ransom. His abductors literally buried him alive. He begged for mercy. They gave none. He pleaded for a quick death, to no avail. He even asked that his

body be left by the road so his family could find him. He was ignored. He chose to write and rewrite his own autobiography, in his mind. He remembered the best times in his life while he endured the worst. His family and the authorities did not forget him. He was found alive. He chose life. After his ordeal he chose to live in the open as he had before this incident. He refused to be beaten. His story beautifully illustrates the ultimately unconquerable human spirit.

Viktor Frankl personally endured the Holocaust. The Nazi's tortured him and his neighbors with experimental sterilization surgeries. Viktor chose his response. He wrote and rewrote his life's work, based on his will to have meaning in life, all life. He chose to survive. He helped his fellow inmates to survive wherever and whenever he could. He was Viktor. The Allies did not forget the suffering of his race. They freed the living captives. They brought the offenders to justice. Viktor's influence is still felt throughout the world.

Could these four abductions have been avoided? Obviously not. Who's to blame? Only the abductors. Can any abduction be avoided? Maybe. Will it ever stop? Probably not until humanity changes in voluntary, all-inclusive, altruistic ways.

Common sense tells us that staying in well-lit places with lots of people, especially caring people, is best. But restaurants and shopping malls work too, as they did with Shasta and Elizabeth. Personal safety is built on staying in those safe zones. But no one can live there. We sleep and bathe and otherwise live in places we think are safe and private. Privacy requires some trust; trust in the people and environment nearby. But because of that, privacy can create opportunities for offenders. And the offenders are the ones to blame.

Continued community support of proven practices to protect the innocent, is common sense too. AMBER Alerts, awareness and alertness also help. Caring family members help too. But no person or process can be counted on to protect someone 24/7. Life doesn't work that way. And offenders are still to blame.

The four people above are real life champions. They each chose life, as far as they were able. They saw life, human life, even their own life, as precious at a fundamental, immutable level. There was no compromise. They respected life so much, they even respected their captors. That is not cowardice. Their actions demonstrated humanity, and compassion at its best.

Obviously, this article only deals with some selected specifics in just four example cases. Yet the principles are sound and represent a majority of cases. However, the continued potential for the unexpected makes prevention in all cases uncertain, and at present, impossible. The more that each of us sees each other as partners in humanity, the more we can prevent abductions from happening. As we bring the topic of abduction out of obscurity and into the light, our mutual strength to prevent it increases.

Is this out of reach because it's 'pie in the sky'? Maybe. Ronald Reagan is credited with saying to the UN that, "In our obsession with antagonisms of the moment, we often forget how much unites all the members of humanity. Perhaps we need some outside, universal threat to make us recognize this common bond. I occasionally think, how quickly our differences worldwide would vanish if we were facing an alien threat from outside this world."

It is my hope that we continue to voluntarily unite as members of the largest of all families - humanity. Let us live for that hope and see it in our lifetimes.

Partial reference list:

Shasta Groene: [en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shasta\\_Groene](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shasta_Groene)  
AMBER Alert: [en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Amber\\_alert](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Amber_alert)  
Elizabeth Smart: [en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elizabeth\\_Smart\\_kidnapping](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elizabeth_Smart_kidnapping)  
Stockholm Syndrome: [en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stockholm\\_Syndrome](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stockholm_Syndrome)  
Harvey Weinstein:  
[www.usnews.com/usnews/culture/articles/930830/archive\\_016666.htm](http://www.usnews.com/usnews/culture/articles/930830/archive_016666.htm)  
Viktor Frankl: [en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Viktor\\_Frankl](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Viktor_Frankl)  
The Holocaust: [en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Holocaust](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Holocaust)  
Ronald Reagan quote: [en.wikiquote.org/wiki/Ronald\\_Reagan](http://en.wikiquote.org/wiki/Ronald_Reagan)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' -

From: **Stuart Miller** <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:45:42 +0100 (BST)  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 12:11:08 -0400  
Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' -

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 15:09:19 +0100  
>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:05:08 -0500 (CDT)  
>>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:44:29 -0400  
>>>Subject: UFO UpDate: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>>Source: The South Wales Echo - U.K.

>>><http://tinyurl.co.uk/owez>

>>>Aug 16 2006

>>Huh? Life just spontaneously arose out of interstellar dust?  
>>I'd like to see how that happened.

>I think the idea is that primitive life could arise in space  
>(maybe in clouds of organic chemicals) or survive being blown  
>into space somehow from a planetary surface, and then be  
>brought down through the atmosphere on fine meteoritic dust or  
>something like this. Hang on a minute - those Condign "dusty  
>plasmas"! They're inhabited and flown by intelligent alien  
>microbes!

Martin,

At the admitted risk of cries of the pot calling the kettle black, I can understand Tim Shell mocking this story because he doesn't seem to take anything seriously anymore but with you, I'm rather surprised.

You do know who Professor Wickramasinghes is, don't you? Does the name Sir Fred Hoyle, scrapyard, working 747 mean anything?

He is essentially the co-founder, along with Fred Hoyle, of modern astrobiology, and a very brave man (and an extremely clever one too) who for years had to put up with ridicule and disbelief until the inevitable happened and the blow-hards finally swung round to gradual recognition. It may or may not fall in with what you recognise or think but panspermia is now the generally accepted manner by which life started on this planet.

If you have other theories on this subject, I would be very interested in hearing them.

Stuart Miller



[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 17:08:47 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 15:54:58 -0400  
Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Dickenson

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 11:21:41 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 14:01:00 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

<snip>

>In any case my main interest in this story was that it made me  
>curious to think about the cause and limits of autokinesis. I'm  
>still not sure, but I've learned a lot. Thanks.

Yes, thanks a lot David, a great post, really informative.

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2006/aug/m18-004.shtml>

It illustrates some of the ways we slightly mis-perceive almost everything around us - yet retain the conviction that 'seeing is believing'.

"An examination of most of the data of vision would reveal no examples of what could be accurately called 'correct' perception" - from 'Light And Vision' - joint editors C.P. Snow (British Ministry of Technology), Prof. Henry Margenau (Yale University), Prof. Ren=E9 Dubois (The Rockefeller University).

Of course, some of those mis-perceptions might be more deceptive than others, we just don't know which they are yet.

Cheers,

Ray D

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Re: UFOs or Not? - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 17:09:11 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 15:57:47 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs or Not? - Dickenson

>From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 14:35:23 EDT  
>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

>>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 19:21:57 EDT  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

<snip>

>>Otherwise, I believe that's called 'unsubstantiated evidence',  
>>or something to that effect.

>Yes, but be careful of the 'imaginary evidence' as well.

Hi Jason, wouldn't want to dis-enthuse any evidence collector and debater, but can't help thinking that no evidence, extraordinary or not, is going to be acceptable to those who have interests (and in-place policies?) in denial.

Let's have a flight of fancy and imagine massed landings in all capital cities, world-wide. Would they be reported?

From published orders for military and 'security forces', and attitudes seemingly set-in-stone within the corporate world-media - the answer's, no.

Cheers,

Ray D

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Re: Assistance From British Researchers - Pope

From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 17:10:16 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:00:11 -0400  
Subject: Re: Assistance From British Researchers - Pope

>From: Robert Frola <[aufor.nul](mailto:aufor.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 23:53:29 +1000  
>Subject: Assistance From British Researchers

<snip>

>You may have seen Bill Chalker's post about the Australian UFO  
>Wave site, well I would like those involved in British UFO  
>research to take a look at these articles I found on the Web as  
>they all mention a guy named Chris Kenworthy.

>I would like to know if the Chris in these articles could be  
>the same Chris who created the UFO Wave hoax over here in  
>Australia.

>The British Chris Kenworthy was said to have written for UFO  
>magazine mag #9 an article titled, Just Kidding.

<snip>

Christopher Kenworthy wrote extensively for Alien Encounters in  
the mid/late Nineties. The article on page 68 of issue 25  
includes the phrase "To my surprise, many of the abductees I  
have met throughout Australia agreed with me".

His own website states he was born in England but has lived in  
Australia:

<http://www.christopherkenworthy.com/>

I can't recall much about him, but it may well be the same guy.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' -

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 17:33:46 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:02:23 -0400  
Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' -

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 15:09:19 +0100  
>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:05:08 -0500 (CDT)  
>>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>><http://tinyurl.co.uk/owez>

<snip>

>>>Some of Professor Wickramasinghe's theories:

>>>- Life on Earth began from inter-stellar dust which was brought  
>>>to Earth by comets - "The theory that life came from outside was  
>>>considered crazy in the 1970s," he said. "We had to fight very  
>>>hard against the Establishment to get this position changed."

>>Huh? Life just spontaneously arose out of interstellar dust? I'd  
>>like to see how that happened.

>I think the idea is that primitive life could arise in space  
>(maybe in clouds of organic chemicals) or survive being blown  
>into space somehow from a planetary surface, and then be brought  
>down through the atmosphere on fine meteoritic dust or something  
>like this. Hang on a minute - those Condign "dusty plasmas"!  
>They're inhabited and flown by intelligent alien microbes!

Tim, the rapidly accumulating evidence is that all the materials  
necessary for life: all the amino acids and maybe even proteins,  
are somehow more easily made in regions of deep space we now  
call 'molecular clouds'. Most are 'organic' (carbon-based).

See Stardust by John Gribbin -

<http://tinyurl.com/rpx3s> for Amazon reviews

Cheers,

Ray D

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Re: Apollo UFOs - Tarbell

From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 10:48:02 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:04:10 -0400  
Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs - Tarbell

>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 10:49:31 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 14:39:08 -0600  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>>>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 10:02:30 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>>>>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>>>Also, a "streak" is  
>>>>unlikely in a vacuum environment for a meteor.

>>>>A meteor "streak" in a vacuum could certainly occur if it were  
>>>>post-impact on a highly oblique trajectory. With no atmosphere  
>>>>to weed them out, it's not obvious why such glancing impacts  
>>>>would be particularly unusual on the moon.

>Okay, I can see this as possible. However, it seems that the  
>odds are somewhat more remote than you say. A narrow range of  
>angles are required to hit the surface and create a non-point-  
>like flash. Also, given the lunar surface is not flat, the odds  
>of getting the right impact slope/terrain to keep from "hitting  
>a wall" or too steep a slope seems pretty remote. Much more  
>likely to see a flash from a more or less vertical meteor hit.

Whatever likelihood exists for such glancing impacts on a flat  
surface wouldn't seem to be dramatically altered by introducing  
perturbations in the topography, since as many new candidates  
will be added as are lost, unless the scale of "roughness" (mean  
separation between peaks and valleys) is comparable to or  
smaller than the zone of interaction between the meteor and the  
surface. I suspect that most of the moon is not that rough.

>Then there is the problem of them seeing the flashes in the  
>"same location". Somewhat synchronous. Sure some sides of the  
>Moon get hit by meteors more often than others, but the odds of  
>an astronaut seeing it during the brief time period allowed seem  
>kind of remote.

>Perhaps these were caused by transient lunar phenomena (whatever  
>that is). Of maybe Hoagland's high lunar glass towers.

The location coincidence is clearly a bigger problem. I guess I  
could believe two sightings of parallel streaks separated by a  
very brief interval, but as I gather from your post of 14 Apr,  
these sightings were on separate missions altogether. Never  
heard of Hoagland's glass towers, but they can't be much more  
far-fetched than meteor impact-prone real estate.

Mike



[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not - Frison

From: **Eugene Frison** <[eugene.frison.nul](mailto:eugene.frison.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 14:01:54 -0300  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:08:12 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not - Frison

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 19:32:59 -0300  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>If the appearance indicates it was manufactured, and the  
>behavior indicates it couldn't be made here, regardless  
>of whether we see a license plate number, it can be listed as an  
>extraterrestrial spacecraft.

A car gets stolen in Saskatchewan and its license plate removed!  
The plates get smuggled to Nova Scotia and sold to someone who  
attaches it to his car, which is also stolen! We can conclude,  
as we observe this car driving around Halifax that it's an out-  
of-province vehicle visiting the maritimes? This, despite the  
fact that it and its driver have never left mainland Nova  
Scotia?

Something always 'is' as something 'appears'? Something's  
"appearance" is always indicative of how or what that something  
is? "Appearances" cannot be deceptive? This is the first bit of  
poor logic in your statement but even if we overlook this and  
accept as a 'given' that, in the case of your apparently  
manufactured flying saucer category of UFOs, you lucked out and  
hit the nail squarely on the head in that such anomalies are  
indeed physical structured manufactured craft, then it's still a  
huge leap to the extraterrestrial spaceship conclusion.

I'd still like to know on what basis you justify separating the  
'flying saucer' type UFOs from the other categories of genuinely  
anomalous UFOs that aren't of the flying saucer variety, and  
especially from that category of apparently 'nuts and bolts'  
'flying saucer' UFOs that possess characteristics which strongly  
indicate that may not be this at all - misleading and irrelevant  
analogies of "gold ore," "fissionable materials," and "disease-  
curing chemicals" (that you've presented before) aside.

And I'd still like to know on what basis you justify dismissing  
important research like Alvin Lawson's as having no connection  
to the UFO enigma, as well as what evidence you possess which  
justifies dismissing the anomalies in the world around us that  
suggest the idea of older Earth-evolved technological  
civilizations can't be totally ruled out as of yet, how you  
explain an ET alien connection to the Peter Khoury case with its  
apparent DNA evidence of human involvement rather than ET aliens  
(or dismiss it as having no importance to the UFO riddle), and  
just how you explain and/or dismiss all the other cases in the  
'abduction scene' that don't fit your 'aliens abducting humans'  
scenario - since you're still making the claims that some UFOs  
are definitely ET spaceships.

Because it's only by dismissing and totally ignoring this very,  
very large portion (flying saucer UFOs are a minority within the  
total UFO phenomenon) of data can you even shakily make the  
conclusions and statements you've made.

I wouldn't have brought this up again but your 'failure to get a  
license plate number' analogy and the implications you attach to  
it behooves me to point it all out once more. Bottom line: you

can't hand-pick data and cases that fit your chosen scenario; you have to deal with the whole UFO phenomenon (and I'm not talking about the misidentifieds or hoaxes) as it presents itself to us (including the genuinely anomalous non-flying saucer UFOs, the apparent 'flying saucer' UFOs that have characteristics which indicate they may not be this at all, and the abduction scene cases that aren't nicely behaving 'ET aliens abducting humans' cases).

You also can't dismiss and ignore research that indicates another answer may be involved that is contrary to the one you've tied yourself to until you've established - with evidence- such research has no bearing on the phenomenon.

These arguments are all valid without even going near your 'as things appear, so they are' fallacy! Even if you weren't reasoning 'as it appears, so it is' you still can't hand-pick data to get the results you want - which is what you are doing to justify your conclusions about 'flying saucers.'

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 20:13:35 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:09:40 -0400  
Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Shough

>From: Cathy Reason <[CathyM.nul](mailto:CathyM.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 02:31:25 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:46:26 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

><snip>

>>Autokinetic motion is apparently proportional to the amount of  
>>voluntary eye movement that would be required in order to cancel  
>>out small involuntary eye movements, so in the opposite  
>>direction. Presumably during the attempt to fixate on a star the  
>>eye constantly drifts due to these involuntary twitches and has  
>>to be hauled back, then overshoots, and so on, and each  
>>involuntary drift triggers an illusory stabilising "motion".

>The key feature is the lack of reference background against  
>which relative movement can be judged. You can easily show this  
>experimentally by setting up an autokinetic experiment and  
>introducing background reference points - the illusion will be  
>progressively confined and eventually destroyed.

I realise that Cathy. I thought it so well known as to go  
without saying.

>>I'm not sure if I've got that exactly right, but that's the  
>>gist. So you might expect the apparent motion to be the inverse  
>>of the eye's attempt to home in on the source and such a  
>>recursive scan pattern might well (I imagine) be a sort of  
>>"figure eight". Does anyone know more about this?

>If only life were that simple.

Well that was a cartoon for illustration not a thesis, but I  
accept it was crude.

>Autokinetic movement is random and the visual system is prone to  
>extract patterns from random noise. That in itself is  
>potentially sufficient to generate any given pattern of apparent  
>movement.

Is it that simple? ;- ) I agree about the pattern extraction,  
this is partly what I'm suggesting myself - that description of  
a figure-8 doesn't have to mean there were literal figure-8  
motions going on. Any vaguely loopy recursive hunting behaviour  
in a small bound is going to be characterised by some phrase  
like this. But I also wonder about the contribution of  
underlying physiological factors that may not be wholly random  
and might predispose the "random" eye-motions to be of this  
general type? See my other speculative mail to David. I'd be  
interested in your detailed opinion on that. Thanks.

Martin

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:25:03 -0300  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:14:45 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 12:03:21 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

I've just touched on some of your points below, James. I deleted the list of reports at the bottom just for lengths sake, not because they were not interesting.

>When is a rocket or missile a UFO and, regardless of its name, >when does the government do anything about it?

Never, for two reasons. If it's a rocket, it's their fault and extremely embarrassing never mind deadly and will never make the papers. As for the second reason, if it's a UFO see the plethora of blow-offs since 1947.

>There have been a lot of reported UFO cases in which the UFO >appeared to be a rocket or missile. As usual, when reported by >the public, these are ignored. However, when commercial >pilots report them, perhaps the government should do something >about them. When terrorists threaten to blow up aircraft with >shoulder launched missiles (e.g. perhaps TWA800)

More like a destroyer doing exercises in the ADIZ directly below it.

>and thousands of >missiles are launched at Israel, perhaps the government should >take these "UFOs" seriously and take action, rather than wait >for disaster.

>What are these cases? We can look to things like FAA databases >and DOD news releases.

>Remember that odd official public release from the DOD that in >November 2002 the North American Aerospace Defense Command >began receiving reports of a contrail of unknown origin in the >air over the Caribbean? It stated that fighter jets were >scrambled from several bases to intercept the unknown that was >heading northwest toward the U.S., but reportedly, nothing was >spotted. Also commercial airline pilots later reported the >contrail over Florida and later over Indiana. Why would the >DOD draw so much attention to this "contrail" when they could >have hushed it up as usual? It was unprecedented that they >issue such a release on a topic they could not resolve. Why >not offer the usual prosaic explanations? Was it a missile or >rocket or UFO? This was never seriously answered to the public >although we got a nice cryptic press release showing a lack of >ability to identify an unknown. I cannot find any other >report/source that does not use the DOD press release as its >sole information source (anyone else got this?). It is >interesting that this case is not in the FAA databases where >commercial pilots generally report odd incidents. In fact, the >DOD press release is similar to how rockets/missiles (UFOs) >are treated in the FAA databases.

>I'm doing a magazine article on the above and I do have >documentation but that's for the article.

>I first came across these databases when I read the NARCAP  
>report: "Aviation Safety in America: A Previously Neglected  
>Factor" by Dr. Haines. I was impressed that they included  
>commercial/professional pilot reports that include possible  
>references to UFOs.

It's been out there for 5+ years now. I've been the Canadian rep  
for nearly that long.

>[http://www.narcap.org/reports/airsafety\\_p2.htm](http://www.narcap.org/reports/airsafety_p2.htm)

>These interesting databases (which can be found at the FAA web  
>site) are the ASRS (Aviation Safety Reporting System)  
>database,

NASA supports this data-base. Dick once told me that out of the  
630,000 incident reports in the data-base about 36,000 of them  
are UFO reports. Pilots use ASRS to whistleblow on their  
industry about unsafe incidents that they couldn't tell their  
own superiors or complain to the companies about, and there is  
lots to complain about; and it's going to get worse as airlines  
cut corners.

>the NMACS (Near Midair Collision System) database and AIDS  
>(Accident/Incident Data System).

>It is interesting is that the government willingly displays  
>information that I would have thought would freak out the  
>public. My main question is, to what end? They seem to want to  
>disclose the problem but offer no solution. They basically  
>ignore many cases, somewhat like the UFO phenomena.  
>The main freakout data I am referring to in this posting  
includes reports of rockets or missiles (or what they would  
>call UFOs if it didn't have such a stigma) passing the  
>aircraft, but I could, if I wanted, include "unknown" aircraft  
>that do not show up on radar but which cause evasive action by  
>the pilot, objects hitting the aircraft at high altitudes  
>(unlikely to be birds), and illumination/ tracking of the  
>aircraft by light (sometimes lasers).

You are correct. Pilots often report them as missiles or  
balloons rather than having to go through the crap they do when  
they report a UFO. It's even worse now because the airlines are  
suffering from flight reductions and the terrorist threats and  
anything that could affect ticket sales is to be squashed. I  
have a Canadian report of an airliner reporting a "weather"  
balloon recently while at cruise and at 38,000 feet. Having seen  
six weather balloons at my low altitudes at only 120 mph for the  
few seconds it took to see it and zip by it, acquiring one  
visually, identifying it in the tenth of a second you have while  
at Mach.87 frankly stretches the limits of credibility. The fact  
that they even had their eyes outside the cockpit is  
interesting. Weather balloons very often carry packages aloft  
weighing a few ounces that wouldn't matter much unless you hit  
it at Mach.87. But nobody attempts to track a weather balloon  
back to source. They are just an acceptable hazard, right.  
Ingesting one of these could be serious as well possibly leading  
to a compressor stall. >If the government is afraid of letting  
the public know >anything that may make it panic (such as UFOs)  
then why does >it actively permit the release of these FAA  
reports?

>We all agree that pilots are, as a whole, highly reliable  
>witnesses to objects in the sky. This is mainly because their  
>own and their passenger's safety depends upon their ability  
>to see well, observe well and judge well based on visual  
>information. They have to be sober to do their jobs. When they  
>officially report, when they don't have to do so (except  
>perhaps near misses), then we must sit up and take notice.

This doesn't mean much to most researchers.

>Something that is clear from reading the reports is that many  
>pilots choose not to report anything. This is based on the  
>fact that the Air Traffic Control (ATC) stating other pilots  
>witnessed something too but only one pilot chooses to file a  
>report.

Then you hear the crickets. ATC doesn't care. But let one of the  
aircraft they are controlling slot in at 123 miles rather than

the 125 required for lateral separation at same altitudes while in their assigned airway, watch the fur fly and the recriminations begin.

>These reports are supposed to be used to improve the safety of  
>air travel and while the FAA apparently does use some of the  
>reported data to improve certain kinds of safety, they do not  
>seem to do anything when it comes to certain kinds of cases  
>(rocket/missiles, unknown/radar invisible aircraft, lasers -  
>except tell them not to look at them). Basically, one wonders  
>why the pilot would bother reporting some events at all.

I'm not going to bother getting into the FAA and UFOs. It doesn't have to be UFOs. Read Mary Schiavo's book Flying Blind, Flying Safe re the FAA. She was at one time the Inspector General of the US Department of Transportation.

Finally as fudgy as the FAA and the military might appear re the response to pilot reports, they don't hold a candle to Canada's Transport Canada. What little we do see here are generic, vague even with most of the aero-information stripped from them.

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 19](#)

## Re: Fields Of Fear - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 15:09:03 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:31:24 -0400  
Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear - Lehmborg

>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>To: UFO Updates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 15:41:10 +0100 (BST)  
>Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear

>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 17:39:39 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear

>>>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 17:19:47 +0100 (BST)  
>>>Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear

>>>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:11:20 -0500  
>>>>Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear

>>>>>Paul Kimball's new documentary, Fields Of Fear, is set  
>>>>>to premier on Canada's Space: The Imagination Station  
>>>>>September 15th.

<snip>

>>[flicking up the ends of my 'stash with a casual flip of the  
>>right hand]

>Are you smoking a joint while talking to me?

What bizarre dichotomy in regional affectations of language  
would provoke you say such a thing to me. Mustache. Indicating  
by way of stage direction what is taken for a British mannerism  
on my side of the pond. I thought it was broad enough to be  
understood. Guess not.

>>you won't mind or take any offence as I walk along with you  
>>and point out the error of your ways.

>Yes, we can walk together but I refuse to hold hands and you'd  
>better keep up as I take long strides and I believe you're a bit  
>of a short-ass.

Well - I'm not very tall. But try to run over a fireplug in your  
car sometime. You won't hold hands... so I guess the sex I was  
contemplating is completely out of the question.

<snip>

>>Also silly was his unwarranted smear at your WebLog in the  
>>same post about a person in our community who is his ethical,  
>>intellectual, and productive superior thinking it would go by  
>>unchallenged. Now that's silly.

>OK, Paul did lower the tone a bit. All I had actually had in  
>mind was a cannabalistic ending; you know - the boiling pot  
>thing and a bit of seasoning followed by a skewering.

It was perfectly clear. Any bone-head got it: context, set, and setting... even Mr. Kimball. Why then? I have some ideas on it. The little snipe at Jerry Clark didn't go unnoticed either...

>Unfortunately, Mr. Rimmer had the temerity to send me a most  
>dissarming private response which somewhat put me off my food. I  
>went hungry.

I don't know how you keep from driving over and hitting him in the head with a board.

>>>You haven't even seen the film yet and passing judgment on  
>>>it based on your idea of how Mr. K has or hasn't behaved in >  
>>>the past is not really on.

>>Could you have spouted a greater nonsense?

>Easily.

And here it is! Good as your word. LOL!

>>I conjectured whether or not Mr. Kimball's future films will  
>>suffer the suspicious self-repudiations of his earlier films.  
>>"Judgment" is a decided mischaracterization. Moreover,  
>>speculations on future behaviors based on past ones is the  
>>very foundation of rationality and is in fact what sets us  
>>apart from beasts. Consequently, this is so "on" it is "up" >  
>subsequent to being pushed "through." LOL!

>Leopards change their spots.

Leopards have spots with which to begin...

>To presuppose a negative outcome is  
>a disservice.

Agreed... and it would pop before it could be stretched to the point where it could be said that I am "presupposing" in any way.

>But if you are going to speculate, and I agree that  
>speculation is legitimate, at least publicly make an allowance  
>for a change of direction.

And I have myself written that that is just so, recently, so coal is being carried to Newcastle here. I said as much in the Endorsement Brou-Ha on my News/Editorial page.

>Perhaps Mr. Kimball is guilty of too  
>much inner reflection

!

>too much public angst,

!!

>too  
>much personal honesty.

!!!

>Should he be harrangued for further  
>thoughts post release?

I would expect no less were it me and I inadvertently exposed more of myself than humility and modesty allowed.

>Should he be crucified upon the alter of  
>public introspection?

Paul Kimball as a Christ figure! That has me decidedly askance and akimbo after I'd been rolling around on the floor holding splitting sides for awhile.

>Persist if you will Mr. L but you will  
>make him a martyr to the God of emotional self-evisceration.

Well - I suppose there are worse ways to end your days. Will he get, like, 72 perpetual Virgins and live in a garden greenly

verdant?

>Soon there will be a cult and then mass deaths. I urge caution.

I'd tend to agree with you, but as to the caution? It's out of  
\_my\_ hands... the moving finger writes and having writ moves on.

>>>You often review things for the rest of us Alfred and place your  
>>>work here.

>>[Bowing deeply, again... most only get the one...]

>>>We rely upon you to be objective but alas, you have  
>>>wronged us and spurned our trust.

>>\_More\_ nonsense if not an unqualified and effrumptorous flap-  
>>doodle, Sir. \_I've\_ qualified it. \_I\_ ever honor it! I show it  
>>abeyance and deference or I never would have \_attempted\_ it. I  
>>serve the trust and pay it homage. You only demonstrate, Sir,  
>>that our Mr. Kimball is undeserving, in my opinion, of your  
>>friendship.

>I feel uncomfortable asking but is all this some bizarre menage  
>a trois gone disasterously wrong? I am not a play thing Alfred.

How do I keep from flying over there and hitting you in the  
head with a board. You nixing being a play-thing presumes the  
little short-pants sailor suit I was going to have you try on  
for me is altogether out, right?

>>>If nothing else, look at it like this: you are attacking  
>>>someone's business livelihood as he offers up a product  
>>>\_honestly\_ made without, at this point, any serious grounds.

>>What!?! Mr. Miller, if our Mr. Kimball's 'product' is made in  
>>any way less profitable as a result of my small critique, that  
>>must say a lot more about the history that he has produced  
>>himself about his own work and not my ability to comment on  
>>same. Moreover, I doubt any of us can politely speculate on  
>>the honesty implied when that work has yet to be seen even by  
>>your self.

>You are too modest Mr. L. You have no idea of the weight of  
>public expectation that hangs upon your every utterance.

Now dude... that was really rather uncalled for, eh? However  
inflated and tedious my writing, I think it's sincere enough not  
to have to be mocked this way.

>If you  
>tell your public, "This is good", then it is a success. Vice  
>versa.

I suspect this, too, is overblown; however nice it is to see it  
in print... pretend it's truer than it is for awhile... that  
persons would trust my flawed judgment... hear my sincerity...  
but no... there is no consistent evidence of this. I believe Mr.  
Kimball is safe from my 'influence'. I believe you believe so  
too.

>As for the integrity of the piece, Mr. K has assured me of his  
>conscientiousness when in production. He is a gentleman. If he  
>says it is so, it is so.

Ok.

>>Can you say, "typical mutilation-exploitation flick?"

>Typical? And its predecessors were? Names Alfred, names.

You're right, Stuart... there have been absolutely no other  
documentaries about the cattle mutilation phenomenon good or  
bad. Paul Kimball explores new frontiers. How silly of me...  
what could I have been thinking. I'll be sure to use you as a  
reference for same.

>>Consider, moreover, the last line from his own review:

>>"Whether or not you think cattle mutes are real, there's no  
>>question that Fern is 100% real!"

>>Now, tell me - how does that 'summary' of his film in any way  
>>put the subject forward? How does that particular assertion  
>>move the subject, and us, along?

>What it shows is a lack of arrogance.

A lack of arrogance? Color my incredulity stunned! Not 24 months into the iteration Paul Kimball does not deign to confer with experts, he is the expert, and you want to talk about a lack of arrogance? Is he going to do a crop circle film next and pointedly pass on Nancy Talbot? An abduction one and avoid Dr. Jacobs or Budd Hopkins? I suspect there is no arrogance lacking, Stuart.

>What it shows is a respect  
>for the opinion of others.

Linda Moulten Howe in particular?

>There is no presumption about  
>anything other than a recognition that there is a diversity of  
>views and that it is a controversial subject.

Well, I suppose we shall just have to see.

>And what it shows  
>is a respect and an admiration for an individual involved in the  
>investigation of such.

Above.

>Surely that is clear.

No. No it is not. But I will have an open mind on the subject, sincerely, and given the opportunity to see it? I'll give it one of my more unsettlingly passionate reviews sincere to its fault.

>How therefore can  
>the subject not be moved along?

I guess it would be different if I shared a kipper with him in his home? Perhaps then I'd be able to perceive this Christ figure risen amidst his own doubts and fears and angsts ... feeling the same kind of shock and awe about the value of his contributions that you seem to be feeling.

>>Can you say, "Paul Kimball - the Joe Lieberman of Ufology?"

>What's a "Joe Lieberman"?

Labor turned abruptly Tory and abandoning constituency...

>>>This has been done time and time again - by far better folk  
>>>than PK, yet this time we get to listen to Mr. Kimball's  
>>>particular brand of insentient "giggle." It doesn't put us  
>>>further along in this big, open question. It does nothing but  
>>>promote Paul Kimball, at our expense. As I wrote, "One step  
>>>forward and two steps back."

>Charmless and predetermined judgement."Better folk"?,"Giggle"?  
>"promote Paul Kimball"? What kind of talk is that? How do you  
>know all this? At the risk of repetition, have you seen it yet?

That's from the review, Stuart. I heard Paul Kimball on the C2C show with Noory go on for the better part of a minute about Hockey and Prize Cups when asked about the potentiality of life elsewhere in the universe... pooped all over Noory's set-up for the segment. Better folk would have done a better job with less self-promotion and not gone for giggles that were inappropriate and unappreciated.

>>>Get a proper look at it first Alfred and then come back and  
>>>tell us how typical of Mr. K's deceit it is, how shockingly  
>>>mocking of the Truth we all seek it is or, God forbid, how  
>>>good it is.

>>As I have a history, Sir, of doing just that? I report with  
>>alacrity that I've been there... and done that!

>>Mr. Kimball's films have gotten comparative rave reviews from

>>this writer... in fact our Mr. Kimball was lately using same  
>>as my endorsement of his person, you'll remember. Perhaps Mr.  
>>Kimball would be good enough to send me a review copy. I  
>>\_will\_ be fair.

>Irony is not confined just to the British. I shall prevail upon  
>Mr K to send you a package. Beware of postmen bearing gifts.....

Please do... I'll get my crow-bib out and prepared against  
potentiality of its use, forthwith!

>>>We of course won't believe you if you tell us the latter.

>>And why not! I ask, only slightly offended. I've gotten thrown  
>>off this very board for following my conscience, Sir. I was  
>>welcomed back without it once being made a requirement that  
>>I ate the crow I served up, entirely, myself. When I'm wrong,  
>>I admit it, quickly and without any spin-meistering or fancy  
>>activities associated with face-saving. 'Others' have been  
>>decidedly less forthcoming than I, good Sir!

>I didn't know that. Errol has taste! Then we graciously await  
>your pennance at some future point.

>>>We expect a deconstruction but make it one based on fact.

>>If appropriate, expect nothing less, Mr. Miller.

>>>And no lame excuses about you not living in Canada.

>>You have the advantage here, Sir. This was unintelligible.

>>>Initiative if you will.

>>And here... blanks decidedly drawn.

>"Paul Kimball's new documentary, Fields Of Fear, is set to  
>premier on Canada's Space: The Imagination Station September  
>15th."

>You do not live in Canada. Will it air in the South? Will you  
>need a DVD? Are you on SDI tonight? Is life fair?

Oh c'mon... it wasn't that clear, no need to drive it in with  
a jack-hammer.

>>>>Sneers are returned with interest and edge.

>>>Make them good Alfred, like poison to my blood so that my  
>>heart shall plead for mercy. Lets do the dance, cha cha cha.

>>It was enough just to spank you with the flat of my saber.  
>>I've a wealth of regard that I shall not belabor. PK is well  
>>served with you, his debater. I'll re-sheath my sword and but  
>>say, "see you later."

>A spanking eh? My ears prick up.

Too bad, it's not your ears getting spanked.

>>>Your dear friend

>>>Stuart

>>I have a lot of high regard for you, Stuart. Your sentiments  
>>are returned to you with the interest promised. No sneers  
>>were perceived.

>>>Sluuuurp. Sound of dagger being withdrawn from your back. I  
>>>can't leave it there, I need it for someone else.

>>Don't worry, my friend. It never made it passed the Kevlar.  
>>Check the blade, decidedly absent of the crimson. Like I said,  
>>I don't keep my back to the saloon floor.

>>In closing, let me say that I can only aspire to the quality  
>>of friendship shown to Mr. Kimball, apparently unearned.

>No aspiration needed. It is already earned, pocketed, banked.  
>You are well in the black. Manly hugs all round.

Alas... not the steamy/sweaty sex I was hoping for (oh woe) but our wives will be relieved... I know mine is.

It is very gratifying to hear that my idiosyncratic credit is good with you, if I'm hearing you correctly... and reciprocate same. Thank you....

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)

[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)

AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Golubik

From: Viktor Golubik <[Diverge247.nul](mailto:Diverge247.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:20:32 EDT  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 08:49:42 -0400  
Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Golubik

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 14:01:00 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:46:26 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>>Source: The London Free Press - Ontario, Canada

>>><http://tinyurl.com/gxx2h>

>>>Thursday, 17 August, 2006

>>>Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>>"And it started going in figure-eight shapes," says Crivea. "So  
>>>then we thought it was a UFO."

>><snip>

>>>"It was weird," says the 33-year-old Londoner. "Your first  
>>>instinct is that it could be a plane, but then you think, well  
>>>no, that's not a plane unless the pilot is really drunk. Because  
>>>it would go up and down and then zoom back to where it was  
>>>initially."

>>>Hounam and the boys say at first the object appeared to be white  
>>>but later showed flashes of red and blue. Most peculiar,  
>>>however, is their claim the lights moved in a kind of sharp-  
>>>angled figure-eight pattern.

>><snip>

>>>After 30 years of study, Rutkowski says he's found most UFO  
>>>sightings have some valid explanation. Often, he says, people  
>>>are simply seeing stars or satellites.

>>>"Even a star or a planet will twinkle with different colours,"  
>>>he says. "And because of a phenomenon called auto-kinesis, it  
>>>can appear to move, even though it's stationary."

>>>"You stare at it with no frame of reference and your mind  
>>>interprets it as moving closer or farther away from you."

>>>But clearly, he says, stars and satellites don't move in a  
>>>figure-eight. So what did these local folks see?

>>Based on near-zero information given, there's no reason this  
>>apparent motion couldn't have been autokinesis, which does cause  
>>illusory lateral motion, not line-of-sight motion as stated  
>>here. I just checked a couple of sources out of interest.

>>The favourite explanation seems to be that it's caused by the  
>>brain's method of stabilising the visual field and is basically  
>>the same as the "spinning room" illusion that happens when you  
>>spin your chair and suddenly stop - perception of motion induced



>>opposite to the direction of real motion.

>>Autokinetic motion is apparently proportional to the amount of  
>>voluntary eye movement that would be required in order to cancel  
>>out small involuntary eye movements, so in the opposite  
>>direction. Presumably during the attempt to fixate on a star the  
>>eye constantly drifts due to these involuntary twitches and has  
>>to be hauled back, then overshoots, and so on, and each  
>>involuntary drift triggers an illusory stabilising "motion".

>>I'm not sure if I've got that exactly right, but that's the  
>>gist. So you might expect the apparent motion to be the inverse  
>>of the eye's attempt to home in on the source and such a  
>>recursive scan pattern might well (I imagine) be a sort of  
>>"figure eight". Does anyone know more about this?

<snip>

>I think autokinesis is greatly overused as a pat explanation to  
>explain anomalous motion of "lights in the sky." In particular,  
>if the light excursions are large, prolonged, and form regular  
>patterns, autokinesis is very unlikely to be the culprit.

>David Rudiak

I find that by asking more definitive questions one can partially include, overcome, or completely reject certain optical illusions such as this, generally, overlooked one.

If someone becomes particularly fascinated by a bright object they begin to fixate up it and there in lies the problem. Sometimes the apparent motion can be a combination of real movement of the object and random eye movement. In that case, the perceived motion pattern might assume some other attributes outside any single-viewed scenario (right angled turns, figure eights?). Also, when patterns do emerge and assemble, they will probably be remembered more acutely over the random ones. I believe humans are particularly drawn to pattern recognition and may inadvertently draw connections where none exist just as easily as they do in making the proper ones.

Auto-kinetic motion can also feed upon the random motions of the body and neck and are not solely the work of excursive eye movement: A distinction also has to be made between afferent motion (when the eye tries to remain fixed and motion is perceived by the successive stimulation of all adjacent retinal neurons) and efferent motion signal processing (when the eye starts to track movement where retinal projections of the movement pattern begin to factor in).

Having more than one witness may not help either since we're all prone to the same biological shortcomings. In which case, we must, at least, relate this phenomena in a report and how it may or may not be significant in a particular instance. I'm sure threshold cutoffs could be established at a point where such reports begin to lose their UFO-like cohesiveness (LITS).

The atmosphere doesn't help either as it tends to induce momentary fluctuations in light intensity (size?) and color. It is doubtful that such extenuating occurrences have been cofactored in conjunction with auto-kinetic perceptual evaluations which usually rely upon controlled light sources at known distances.

How much distance we place between this phenomena and our belief in a real UFO sighting may also be another matter of greater perception as we ride our own particular and, perhaps, peculiar world-views.

Viktor Golubik

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |



[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Military Docs Info

From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprqo.nul](mailto:Choprqo.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:22:45 EDT  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 08:53:08 -0400  
Subject: Military Docs Info

To add to anyone's knowledge, should they be interested, I have in front of me at this moment two sheets of USAF records, not copies, but originals. Here is just a bit of each.

"Castle AFB, Calif.

Duties and Responsibilities:

...Answers requests for assistance, information, and instructions from aircraft in flight. Alerts... station or net upon request. Advises...upon receipt of emergency or distress calls... Records UNUSUAL OCCURRENCES." (My emphasis).

"Castle Air Force Base, California  
Date 19 Nov 1952

I certify that I have cleared...with all agencies listed on the reverse side of this clearance, and with ALL OTHER AGENCIES AND INSTRUMENTALITIES of the U.S. necessary to effect a proper clearance of this base; that I have complied with the provisions of AFR 205-1 and I do not have in my possession nor am I responsible for any Secret or Confidential documents except necessary orders..."

/s/ Hollis Kimball

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## The Bible-UFO Connection

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 09:04:30 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 09:04:30 -0400  
Subject: The Bible-UFO Connection

Source: The Manila Bulletin - Philippines

<http://tinyurl.com/z47mw>

2006/08/20/

The Bible-UFO Connection

(Part I)

By Nelly Favis-Villafuerte  
OPED

IS there really life out there in other planets? Are there extraterrestrial visitors or aliens coming to earth now and then? These questions continue to excite many people even to this day. Because many people have always been attracted to search for the unknown. And the obsession of many to know more about the existence of UFOs is a good example.

UFO is the acronym for unidentified flying objects referred to by many as extraterrestrials, extraterrestrial beings, space visitors or alien beings. Many believe that UFOs existed even during Biblical times. However, the first major report of UFO being spotted in our modern times started on June 24, 1947 when a pilot by the name of Kenneth Arnold spotted nine disk shape objects near Mt. Rainier, Washington, USA. Arnold described the disks this way =96 "these objects moved like rocks skipping over water." Hence the coined phrase "flying saucers." Since the sighting of Kenneth Arnold was announced, there have been many UFO sightings and encounters in USA and in other countries as well. In September, 1974, the National UFO Reporting Center was launched by a certain Bob Gribble. Hundreds of reports of UFO sightings and encounters were received each month by the National UFO Reporting Center from the US as well as from other countries around the world. Today there are also many movies, documentaries, television shows, and publications inspired by the UFO phenomenon.

Remember the Steven Spielberg=92s movies titled E.T. (The Extraterrestrial)? This movie released in 1982 is probably one of the greatest movies related to UFOs. The movie earned billions of dollars in profit. Also, the first day this movie hit video stores six years after the initial release, eight (8) million copies were sold. Not to mention the billions of dollars raked by spin-off licenses of the movie to franchise the sale of video games, bicycles, calendars, toys, and other consumer goods that the public was obsessed to buy. Later in 1997, another UFO film titled Star Wars again titillated the public imagination about UFOs. Yes, over the past 26 years or so the big screen has glamorized the so-called space visitors including the following: Independence Day, The Empire Strikes Back, Return of the Jedi, and Encounters of the Third Kind.

E.T. Spielberg=92s lovable alien is indeed one of the most effective promotional efforts to sell the idea of an alien life

out there. Spielberg, by the way, believes that there is life in the other solar systems in the galaxy. While he claims that he has never seen a UFO, he would like to see one that "can't be explained by science or logic."

Not only movies and television shows on UFOs are in the market to promote the UFO mystery. Extraterrestrial research too has been going on. Some six years ago, there were stories going around that then 86-year-old Lawrence Rockefeller and the moneyed grandson of John D. Rockefeller, founder of the world-famous Rockefeller dynasty, was very interested in UFOs and reportedly even financed plans to establish contact with UFOs.

Today, the word ufologist has been coined to refer to somebody who is a real UFO buff and is very serious in documenting and recording everything about UFO encounters and sightings. Including reports of hundreds of people who claimed to have seen flying saucers land in open fields; as well as testimonies of people who say that they have actually seen strange-looking people from outer space. All these documentations of ufologists have definitely strengthened the theory that UFOs are real.

Other UFO fanatics even go to the extent of saying that the UFOs have the supernatural power to "walk-in" our bodies as well as in the bodies of other animals. But what is scary is the theory of many UFO researchers and buffs that UFOs or these aliens from space are so advanced spiritually and technologically that they can clone our genes and they can also feed on humans as a vampire style. This kind of UFO propaganda definitely puts up human beings psychologically off balance. I am referring to our mental and spiritual outlook. Of course, the pervasive influence of our movies and televisions in conditioning our minds to accept UFOs as well as overwhelm us with their supernatural power cannot be discounted. Not to mention the print media, including the Internet, which are very powerful channels to arouse the senses of the public to the fact that UFO stories are not a collection of lore and myth but a reality.

Whether we like it or not, the UFO phenomenon has penetrated our lives, our culture and our spiritual values. The notion that alien beings from out there are building machines to cross space to visit us is something new and unbelievable. Also, from the spiritual viewpoint, the impact of this UFO phenomenon is awesome. For one, many are wondering whether the divine act of creating human life has already been duplicated. If not by God, who then created UFOs, if they really exist. Many go to the extent of identifying these space aliens with the angels, the heavenly messengers mentioned in the Holy Bible. Others go as far as saying that stories of angels in the Holy Bible are actually stories about extraterrestrial visitations.

This column continues to give out copies of the Holy Bible for free to those who cannot afford to buy their own copies. If interested, please send your letter-request to Ms. Nelly Favis-Villafuerte, 5th Floor, Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas (BSP), Roxas Blvd., Malate, Manila. Kindly mention in your letter request if it is the Tagalog, English, Ilocano or Cebuano version of the Holy Bible that is preferred.

This column is also giving out for free a 42-page 3 x 5 inch booklet that briefly explains the basic doctrine of salvation as presented in the Holy Bible. To those who are confused and struggling as to what the Holy Bible really says on how souls can be saved from eternal damnation please do not hesitate to write me and ask for a copy of this booklet. Let us not forget the biblical verse that says: "What profits a man if he gains the whole world and loses his soul?"

Have a joyful day.

[Thanks to Greg Boone for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Re: UFOs or Not? - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 14:17:49 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 09:11:34 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs or Not? - Rudiak

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 19:21:57 EDT  
>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

>Again, my sole interest is investigating, and I mean  
>investigating right down to the nitty-gritty seemingly worthless  
>details. I've yet to see one single UFO case where this has been  
>done.

To get answers, you have to ask questions, even if they seem insignificant at the time...

<snip>

>An example might be the Roswell case.

>The USAAF said it was a weather balloon. End of case? Not yet.

Yes, the USAAF in \_1947\_ said it was a weather balloon. But the USAF in 1994 claimed it was a Project Mogul balloon.

Further, back in 1947, the public and the press simply accepted the official explanation of weather balloon. Nobody was investigating what happened back at Roswell in 1947. That didn't happen for another 30+ years. The first civilian UFO investigation groups didn't even exist until the early 1950s.

The irony here is that for a guy who claims he wants investigation "right down to the nitty-gritty seemingly worthless details," you don't seem to know even the simplest basic details of the case. I'm no doubt sure the same applies to such cases as Kenneth Arnold's sighting (which you previously asserted was a "hoax") or the Socorro incident (which you asserted was a "mis-conception," whatever that means).

How can somebody be so sure of the answers or so-called deficiencies in investigation without knowing the first thing about the cases?

>What make and model balloon? Manufacturer? Released from what weather station? By whom? When? For what purpose? Where is the radiosonde? The report from the tracking station? The name of the meteorologist or weather officer in charge?

>Otherwise, I believe that's called 'unsubstantiated evidence', or something to that effect.

I believe what you've written so far is called 'unsubstantiated assertions,' or something to that effect.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Re: The Choppers & The Choppers? - O'Brien

From: Chris O'Brien <[cob.nul](mailto:cob.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 19:41:29 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 08:36:24 -0400  
Subject: Re: The Choppers & The Choppers? - O'Brien

>From: Don Capps <[alienagenda.nul](mailto:alienagenda.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 12:59:41 -0400  
>Subject: The Choppers & The Choppers?

>I am hoping some of the List members can assist me.

>I am doing some research on the animal mutilation phenomenon.  
>And I have been trying for some time now to track down a  
>particular book on the subject. The title is 'The Choppers & The  
>Choppers' and the author is Tom Adams.

>Both the author and the book are mentioned prominently by  
>various writers on the subject, including Chris O'Brien and  
>Linda Moulton-Howe and I would really like to get my hands on a  
>copy of it. So far, all I have been able to determine is that it  
>was privately published under the imprimatur of Project Stigma.  
>However, I cannot find any copies for sale. If anyone can assist  
>me, I would be deeply grateful.

>Also, if anyone can point me to any other resources, that would  
>be excellent. I already have Moulton-Howe's 'An Alein Harvest'  
>as well as both of Chris O'Brien's books. But any and all  
>recommendations would be appreciated.

>AA

Don:

Unfortunately, Tom Adams has completely dropped out of the  
UFO/paranormal field. I'm sad to report that myself and others  
haven't been able to communicate with him since the late 1990s.

He was one of my mentors and one of the field's most  
accomplished researchers and I'm completely at a loss to explain  
why he decided to disappear. I assume he is still located in  
Paris, Texas, but no one I know has heard from him in years.

Myself and his long-time research associate and friend David  
Perkins have copies, but his log of chopper sightings in and  
around mutilation sites is a rare, self-published work that is  
next to impossible to find. If you'd like I can provide you with  
a photocopy or scans of the book - its only about 50 or so  
pages long. BTW: what exactly is your project, I'm curious?

Chris O'Brien

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)



**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: **Bob Shell** <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 08:35:11 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 10:29:33 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 12:03:21 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>Callback conversation with reporter revealed the following info:  
>reporter states they were just departing ... and upon switching  
>frequency heard the description of a missile launch. The other  
>crew indicated they had seen missiles launched in Las Vegas and  
>this looked just like it.

Just who was launching missiles in Las Vegas? They don't launch missiles from the Air Force base north of Las Vegas, so far as I know. I've never heard of any supposed missile launches from Area 51, either. I wonder what this other crew was talking about.....

Phenomenon/phenomena. Phenomenon is singular, phenomena is plural. Just a reminder.

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Re: Fields Of Fear - Rimmer

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 10:33:18 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 10:33:18 -0400  
Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear - Rimmer

>>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>>To: UFO Updates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 15:41:10 +0100 (BST)  
>>Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear

>>Unfortunately, Mr. Rimmer had the temerity to send me a most  
>>dissarming private response which somewhat put me off my food. I  
>>went hungry.

>I don't know how you keep from driving over and hitting him in  
>the head with a board.

Good idea. It might jog my memory about the 'disarming private  
response', which I have no memory of ever sending to the  
Sage of Altrincham. Maybe it was after a particularly heavy  
session at the Pelican pub.

--  
John Rimmer

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Re: UFOs or Not? - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 06:10:23 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 10:36:16 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs or Not? - Rudiak

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 15:11:22 EDT  
>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

>>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 19:21:57 EDT  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

><snip>

>>An example might be the Roswell case.

>>The USAAF said it was a weather balloon. End of case? Not yet.

>>What make and model balloon? Manufacturer? Released from what  
>>weather station? By whom? When? For what purpose? Where is the  
>>radiosonde? The report from the tracking station? The name of  
>>the meteorologist or weather officer in charge?

>You're kidding right?

>Let's see, it was a neoprene weather balloon along with a rawin  
>radar reflector, ML-307. Pilot Balloon Target ML-307(\*)/AP. The  
>balloon envelop was a standard, off-the-shelf item. Charles  
>Moore still had one and showed it to me.

>Albert Crary's diary says, "On Thursday morning 3 July, a  
>cluster of GM plastic balloons sent up for V2 recording but V2  
>was not fired: Pibal showed no W wind w. Balloons picked up by  
>radar: Located on Tularosa Range."

>It was released on 3 Jul 47 from the Alamogordo Army Air Field  
>as part of the New York University constant level balloon  
>project, According to a diary kept by Dr. Albert Crary, The  
>balloons and the arrays were used in attempts to detect  
>detonations. The array was supposed to hang in the acoustical  
>level in the upper atmosphere where it was hoped that the  
>microphones would pick up evidence of Soviet attempts to  
>detonate an atomic weapon.

>The diary entry says, for the morning of July 3, "Sent up  
>cluster Balloons with dummy load." That is the second launch.

I guess I'm confused by your post Kevin. Charles Moore and the  
USAF have been arguing since 1994 that the balloon that caused  
all all the ruckus was the alleged Mogul Flight #4 from June 4,  
not any of the July 1947 Moguls.

The first July 3 launch described in Crary's diary entry quoted  
above was Mogul #8. It couldn't have been the crash object  
because it was tracked 100% of the time to its eventual crash  
site about 20 miles west of Alamogordo, or about 100 miles from  
the Foster Ranch "Roswell" crash site (about 85 miles NNE of  
Alamogordo).

The balloon cluster launched later that day was the alleged #9,  
which isn't recorded in Mogul records, just like #4. According

to Pflock's book (based on Moore's recollections), if the flights were scrubbed, they released the already-filled balloons anyway sans any equipment. These were not tracked and the flight numbers not recorded. Unless there had been a radical shift in the winds, its fate was probably similar to Flight #8, i.e., drifted west and crashed far, far away from the Foster Ranch. For this reason, plus the fact that the discarded balloon cluster would probably have been stripped of any radar targets, Pflock eventually abandoned his theory that Flight #9 was the culprit for what Mack Brazel found at his ranch.

According to Crary's diary, the similarly unnumbered and unrecorded (and otherwise totally undocumented) Flight #4 on June 4 was also scrubbed because of cloud cover (meaning the balloons couldn't be visually tracked). Then Crary's diary indicates they sent a balloon cluster up anyway with a sonobuoy, but gives no other description of configuration, such as perhaps carrying altitude control equipment or the alleged radar targets that Moore, USAF, etc., claim Mack Brazel and personnel from Roswell base found at the Foster Ranch and confused with a "flying disk."

>There was no radiosonde on these arrays because they were not used to gather weather data.

Actually, all the June/July flights did carry radiosondes (as did earlier Mogul flights back in New Jersey), not for gathering weather data, but for ground tracking purposes. Simultaneous recording the direction of the radio signal from two different locations enabled them to track the balloons through triangulation. The other methods of tracking were ground observation through theodolites and visually from the air by a chase aircraft. All this can be found in the Mogul flight summary tables, which Pflock in his book reproduced up through Flight #17 in Sept. 1947.

Interestingly, Flight #8, July 3, was the ONLY June/July Mogul which records show was actually tracked by radar part of the time, indicating it had radar targets attached. The lack of radar tracking of the other recorded balloon flights indicates they all lacked radar targets.

The fact that there are zero records of any Flight #4 would suggest this balloon was not tracked, just like the unrecorded Flight #9 balloon cluster. This further suggests it probably did NOT carry radar targets, just like the aborted "Flight #9." They were aborted "throwaway" flights with no data to be recorded. Had they actually tracked the balloons visually and partly by radar, as Charles Moore claims he remembers, they would have recorded the flight.

Now according to Charles Moore and his ancient memories, they relied heavily on radiosondes instead of radar targets for instrumented tracking only after "Flight #4" because he claims the radar target tracking was so poor. The entire claim about Flight #4 carrying radar targets is based on the ancient memories of Moore, who has been shown to have been wrong about other things historical (see below for examples).

>The officer in charge was Capt. A.  
>C. Trakowski, Jr. Dr. Albert Crary was in charge of the  
>civilians at Alamogordo and Charles Moore was one of those  
>working the Project.

But by the time the Air Force got around to "investigating" in 1994, Crary was dead (only his sketchy diary remained to record what might have happened). Trakowski and Moore were still alive, but Trakowski, like many officers in charge, didn't get involved in the nitty-gritty technical details. This, left Moore and his ancient memories, plus the confusing and brief entry in Crary's diary, as the sole witnesses to what allegedly happened to the otherwise totally undocumented Flight #4.

>According to Dr. Crary's diary, the corresponding launch of a  
>rocket at Alamogordo was scrubbed so that the balloon flight was  
>also scrubbed.

Again, this is referencing the unrecorded "Flight #9" of July 3, not the unrecorded "Flight #4" of June 4, the alleged Roswell crash object championed by Moore and the USAF.

>Charles Moore told me that in such cases, the  
>equipment was stripped from the arrays, but the balloons were  
>released because they couldn't put the helium back in the  
>bottles. So, at best, there were a number of Neoprene balloons  
>strung together but no radar targets still attached.

And likewise, one would presume following the same logic, for the "cancelled" on account of cloud cover (according to Crary's diary) Flight #4. It would have been stripped of any radar targets had they been attached. The only fly in the ointment of this logic is that Crary's diary, after saying the flight was cancelled, also says that when they released the balloons, a sonobuoy was still attached.

The use of a sonobuoy would go to the top-secret purpose of Project Mogul, to listen for the sound of distant Soviet A-bomb tests rumbling through the upper atmosphere. Crary's diary also records how they would set off explosive charges in White Sands for the purposes of testing this listening equipment. (The reason for the flights on July 3 was purportedly to "listen" for the sound of an explosive charge set off high in the atmosphere by the V-2 rocket to be launched that day.)

So was the initially "cancelled" Flight #4 (because of clouds) uncanceled? Was it fully equipped, including perhaps radar targets, or did the balloon cluster released only include a sonobuoy (as recorded in Crary's diary)? If it was fully configured, it would have been tracked by the various tracking systems in place. Even if radar tracking was poor, as Moore with his ancient memories claim, they would also have had radiosonde and ground/air visual tracking used on all the other flights. Thus they would have had useful tracking data and the flight WOULD have been recorded.

But aside from the Crary diary entry and Moore's ancient memories, there is zero record of any such flight. This is one of the paradoxes of a Mogul Flight #4 hypothesis for the Roswell crash. Moore claims he remembers them tracking the balloon (hence it carried radar targets), yet there is zero record of any such flight in official Mogul records. Yet it should have been recorded and documented had it been tracked.

>For additional information you could take a look at The Roswell  
>Report: Fact vs. Fiction in the New Mexico Desert by  
>Headquarters United States Air Force and available from the  
>Government Printing Office, or Karl Pflock's Roswell:  
>Inconvenient Facts, or Charles Moore's examination of winds  
>aloft data (which I got from NOAA) so that he could plot the  
>probable course of Flight No. 9, or David Rudiak's repudiation  
>of that information.

Again this needs to be corrected. Moore claimed that he had shown how winds would have taken Flight #4 (not Flight #9) "exactly" to the Foster Ranch (and thus allegedly explain the Roswell crash). What I, plus Brad Sparks, repudiated was that claim. Moore's Flight #4 trajectory "calculation" was an out-and-out fraud perpetrated by Moore. This is just one reason, among many, to question Moore's credibility. Details of Moore's hoax can be found at my website (which Kevin also referenced):

[http://www.roswellproof.com/Flight4\\_Trajectory.html](http://www.roswellproof.com/Flight4_Trajectory.html)

I found one clear instance of where Moore's historical memory of events didn't check out. Moore claimed he was quite sure they tracked Flight #4 to the Capitan Peak, Arabela, Bluewater N.M. area before they lost contact with it, 17 miles from the Foster Ranch. He claimed he had a vivid memory of them visually tracking it from the ground and air with the various "exotic" N.M. town names being called out, the first and the last times, he claims, he or any Mogul flight ever had any association with these N.M. locales.

Except this isn't true. I discovered a well-documented flight only 3 months later, Flight #17, flew directly over these same "exotic" locales which Moore claimed would only have been true for Flight #4. Moore's so-called vivid memory of where Flight #4 allegedly headed could have been confusion with Flight #17.

For details of this (including the track of Flight #17), see "What does Moore remember?" at:

[http://www.roswellproof.com/flight4\\_addendums.html](http://www.roswellproof.com/flight4_addendums.html)

Incidentally, Flight #17 is the only documented flight that ever passed anywhere near the Foster Ranch (but it ended up in Kansas). Mogul records show that prevailing winds almost always blew the flights eastward or southward, not in the more northerly direction of the ranch.

Brad Sparks recently found another similar instance of Moore's confused ancient memories. About 10 years ago, Moore also said he was at the launch of the Skyhook balloon on January 4, 1948, that Thomas Mantell allegedly chased in his plane and then subsequently died when his plane crashed. Moore claimed he knew all along that Mantell died chasing his Skyhook balloon and not a UFO.

Sparks wrote that A.F. investigators actually checked out Moore's story. They found that records showed Moore wasn't there as he claimed, instead not showing up for a Skyhook launch until the end of the month. Moore then backpedaled and said his memory of the event was apparently wrong.

Mogul Flight #4 is totally undocumented. The whole Flight #4 Roswell scenario is based on various Charles Moore claims about what he thinks happened. Even he has admitted his memories of Mogul flight specifics decades earlier are generally vague and he has been reconstructing various events to the best of his ability. Some of his claimed crystal-clear memories have been shown to be false and then throw in his Roswell hoaxing (perhaps motivated by wishful thinking) and you've got a debunker star witness whose many statements concerning the case should obviously not be accepted at face value.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Re: Military Docs Info - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 06:24:15 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 10:37:34 -0400  
Subject: Re: Military Docs Info - Rudiak

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:22:45 EDT  
>Subject: Military Docs Info

>To add to anyone's knowledge, should they be interested, I have  
>in front of me at this moment two sheets of USAF records, not  
>copies, but originals. Here is just a bit of each.

>"Castle AFB, Calif.

>...Answers requests for assistance, information, and  
>instructions from aircraft in flight. Alerts... station or net  
>upon request. Advises...upon receipt of emergency or distress  
>calls... Records UNUSUAL OCCURRENCES." (My emphasis).

>"Castle Air Force Base, California  
>Date 19 Nov 1952

>I certify that I have cleared...with all agencies listed on the  
>reverse side of this clearance, and with ALL OTHER AGENCIES AND  
>INSTRUMENTALITIES of the U.S. necessary to effect a proper  
>clearance of this base; that I have complied with the provisions  
>of AFR 205-1 and I do not have in my possession nor am I  
>responsible for any Secret or Confidential documents except  
>necessary orders..."

>/s/ Hollis Kimball

As usual, I am confused by what point, if any, Mr. Kimball is making in his posts. Are these teaser quotes suggesting he once held a relatively high security clearance, recorded UFO reports for the USAF, and thus has insider knowledge of these reports?

If so, I wish he would just say so and tell us exactly what he knows (or claims to know) instead of beating around the bush. If he is reluctant to discuss specifics publicly, maybe he can contact some List researchers he might trust off-List instead.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp



Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Re: Military Docs Info - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 08:35:28 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 10:41:56 -0400  
Subject: Re: Military Docs Info - Lehmborg

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:22:45 EDT  
>Subject: Military Docs Info

>To add to anyone's knowledge, should they be interested, I have  
>in front of me at this moment two sheets of USAF records, not  
>copies, but originals. Here is just a bit of each.

>"Castle AFB, Calif.

>Duties and Responsibilities:

>...Answers requests for assistance, information, and  
>instructions from aircraft in flight. Alerts... station or net  
>upon request. Advises...upon receipt of emergency or distress  
>calls... Records UNUSUAL OCCURRENCES." (My emphasis).

>"Castle Air Force Base, California  
>Date 19 Nov 1952

>I certify that I have cleared...with all agencies listed on the  
>reverse side of this clearance, and with ALL OTHER AGENCIES AND  
>INSTRUMENTALITIES of the U.S. necessary to effect a proper  
>clearance of this base; that I have complied with the provisions  
>of AFR 205-1 and I do not have in my possession nor am I  
>responsible for any Secret or Confidential documents except  
>necessary orders..."

I was a career military aviation officer of some specialty, Mr.  
Kimball (IP.SIP and IFE), and with respect to this point? I'm  
underwhelmed, Sir. Your clearance seems only startlingly  
prosaic.

Moreover, your over-all tone thrashes around raw sensibilities  
pretty glibly. Tune in to the last SDI to hear the discussion  
about you and your flavor of 'approach'. Underwhelming seems  
rampant. Sincerely, with regard to what you so airily address?  
It's stranger that you would or could imagine.

Seriously, it's been argued by the best minds of our time -  
review the archives:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/>

- that it just may be that UFOs exist to delegitimize that  
which does not earn legitimization as an insufferably arrogant  
science not even in accordance with its own rules of evidence  
and otherwise hobbled by reflex denial. Research by  
proclamation, you know? There are those on this List who can  
argue this point far better than I.

Finally, it seems at this point that you would be better served  
listening and reading instead of trying to make a contribution,  
a contribution which is, apparently, the question already  
asked... and abundantly answered.

With regard to what you add? We've all been there, done that,  
and got the obligatory T-shirt, eh?

What's 'real' again? Maybe you missed my mail.

[alienview.nul](#)

[www.AlienView.net](#)

AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Re: The Choppers & The Choppers? - Randle

From: **Kevin Randle** <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 10:06:37 EDT  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 10:47:06 -0400  
Subject: Re: The Choppers & The Choppers? - Randle

>From: Don Capps <[alienagenda.nul](mailto:alienagenda.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 12:59:41 -0400  
>Subject: The Choppers & The Choppers?

>I am hoping some of the List members can assist me.

>Also, if anyone can point me to any other resources, that would  
>be excellent. I already have Moulton-Howe's 'An Alein Harvest'  
>as well as both of Chris O'Brien's books. But any and all  
>recommendations would be appreciated.

Good Morning -

You might also wish to look at Mute Evidence by Daniel Kagan and Ian Summer. And let's not forget the Rommel Report by a former FBI agent who was commissioned to officially study the mutilations.

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Re: Apollo UFOs - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 15:16:31 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 10:58:12 -0400  
Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs - Shough

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 14:01:42 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 11:30:37 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Tue, 15 Aug 2006 12:57:09 -0300  
>>>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Tue, 15 Aug 2006 12:12:04 +0100  
>>>>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

><snip>

>>>>Is this a reference to cosmic ray particles passing  
>>>>through the eyeball?

>>>>Oddly enough while hunting through a stack of old FSRs  
>>>>yesterday I found an article by Dr. Bernard Finch called  
>>>>"Phosphenes and the UFO phenomenon" about this very thing.  
>>>>I'm not sure what if any connection there is between  
>>>>phosphenes and UFO reports, but in the Apollo case my  
>>>>question would be, How does an observer know that a brief  
>>>>flash of light apparently on the lunar surface is not a  
>>>>cosmic ray event?

>>>>Just one stray cosmic ray-out of gazzillions to the tenth  
>>>>power?

>>Cosmic ray phosphenes do occur, due mainly to solar or  
>>galactic protons. Occasional collisions in the eye do produce  
>>brief flashes. Astronauts see them. (The "gazzillions" of  
>>protons they don't see are irrelevant.)

>>>What's wrong with a meteor strike?

>>There's nothing wrong with a meteor strike. I never implied  
>>there was. Meteor strikes also cause occasional brief flashes.

>>The CMP was tasked to look out for phosphene flashes, but  
>>said he hadn't noticed any. But he did see flashes, apparently  
>>on the moon. My question was simple: Would it be obvious  
>>whether a brief flash was in the eye or on the lunar surface?

>>>As for the phosphenes and UFO reports - baloney. You know  
>>>without reading the report...

>>Oh you do, do you?

>>>You know without reading the report [is it on the net  
>>>somewhere BTW]

>>It is in FSR 16.5 Sept/Oct 1970 pp.9-10

>>>that Finch is going to satisfy just one fraction of a second  
>>>in a full UFO, light-in-the-sky report and he's assuming UFO  
>>>reports are all reports of brief sightings of lights in the  
>>>sky. We know different.

>>FYI, Don, Bernard Finch MRCS LRCP DCh FBIS was a consultant to  
>>Flying Saucer Review, with his name on the masthead, and a  
>>regular contributor. His interest in phosphenes was not an  
>>attempt to explain away UFOs as cosmic ray flashes, and  
>>nothing I said could possibly be interpreted to imply that.  
>>Your knee-jerk assumptions are ignorant and foolish.

>No I think not.

You think not? Then you are defending your psychic  
misrepresentation of an unseen article?

>What's knee jerk and foolish is your assumption  
>that I'm trashing your premise or anyone else's.

You were grossly in error in your above prejudgment of an  
article you hadn't read and arrogantly claimed you didn't need  
to read! How you can have to gall to claim otherwise is beyond  
me.

>Of course these things happen but the question I asked was why  
>with the infinite number of cosmic rays passing through our  
>Solar system, only one hits the retina while the astronaut is in  
>space lasting a fraction of a second on rare occasions rather  
>than constantly but these rays that can't get through the  
>ionosphere and the Earth's magnetic field cause UFO sightings  
>that can last for seconds or minutes and in broad daylight and  
>seen by hundreds of thousands.

Don, nobody, neither me nor anyone else, here or anywhere else  
that I know of, at any time, has ever suggested the fatuous  
theory that you seem to be getting excited about.

>There's your knee-jerk theories if you will. It simply amazing  
>the hoops that science will jump through attempting to explain  
>the UFO. BTW - I didn't bring that up - you did.

I simply have no idea what you think you are on about. Brought  
up what for heaven's sake? NASA "brought up" cosmic ray  
phosphene flashes and meteor impact flashes seen by an Apollo  
crew; James Smith brought the two topics together in his post.  
What I brought up was the fact that FSR had an article on  
phosphenes and UFOs back in 1970, which you proceeded to leap  
on and denounce (unread) as an attempt to explain away all UFOs  
as brief lights in the sky caused by cosmic ray proton  
phosphenes. Even if you had guessed the contents of the article  
right by accident this would still have been an affront to  
reason; in fact your guess was laughably wrong.

I'm not sure why you don't accept that this rash mistake was a  
foolish, or why you think that you are making yourself look less  
foolish by persisting with it.

>Martin, you are coming across as a bit of a fathead.

Ah, now we're getting down to the meat of this! Ad hominem. Get  
stung, lash out. You are coming across like the spouse spoiling  
for a fight and yelling "Why do you always have to be so  
goddamned reasonable!" When you're calmer you can go back over  
what has been said and realise that you are making an exhibition  
of yourself. For the moment rational discussion seems  
impossible.

>If anyone  
>disagrees with something you post

Excuse me but you did not disagree with, and are not now  
disagreeing with, anything I said, nor with anything Bernard  
Finch said. You are disagreeing with some straw man in your own  
mind that has caused you to imagine statements never made. You  
made a badly misjudged assumption without evidence (indeed  
claiming that you didn't need evidence) and now seem to be in  
denial, having painted yourself into a corner where abuse is

your last resort.

>they - or at least me - are  
>automatically assumed by yourself to be intellectually inferior

I don't "automatically assume" any such thing. It needs to be demonstrated.

>and in my case prone to knee-jerk responses, and also ignorant  
>[see intellectually inferior] and foolish.

If you still think that only a fathead would think it foolish to sound off about an article in ignorance of its contents then there's no hope.

>The reason I respond to these lines of reasoning at all is  
>because I'm frankly tired of silly small observations observed  
>in the lab that produces a flash of light which is then rolled  
>out by someone else as another explanation for what people are  
>seeing in the hundreds of thousands in our skies, on the surface  
>of Earth and in or on the water. "Eureka, I've solved the UFO  
>mystery."

Again you are tilting at windmills in your own mind, that have nothing to do with me or with the topics of this thread.

>This is science short-stroking at it's best about something they  
>know nothing about.

What is? Whatever, it doesn't seem to be related to anything I said or cited.

>And you don't need a lab to discover these odd effects.  
>Get hit in the side of a head with a baseball and you'll see all  
>the flashing lights you want. Stick enough hi-gauss magnets  
>around someone's head and you'll get pinpoints of light  
>hallucinations. Duh!!

You traduce the nature of the effects claimed for brain exposure to alternating fields as mere "pinpoints of light hallucinations" - they include disorientation, dissociation, coloured lights, motor inhibition, floating and spinning sensations etc. You also comically misrepresent, again, the role suggested for these sorts of effects in the early FSR article which you have been psychically criticising. See my precis in response to James' calm and reasonable query. Finch believed that UFOs were structured craft with associated electromagnetic effects possibly capable of causing unusual human neurochemical and other physiological responses - including phosphenes.

Now you can try to argue if you want that there are no such unusual responses to explain, or that there is a better explanation, or that it's unfortunate how Finch's idea - through the related later work of people like Persinger & Lafreniere, Devereux etc - has led to some people advocating a stand-alone electromagnetic explanation for UFO experiences, (i.e. Condign) and you can talk about limits to that. You can even come out with a dismissal of an entire field of work because of irritation about a misunderstanding of Finch's 1970 article if you want (though it would hardly be sensible) but at least have the grace to admit that it was a misunderstanding.

>Reminds me of the claims about brain damage caused by smoking  
>the "weed" back in the 60s and George Carlin's joke about  
>science proving this by sticking a mask over the snout of a lab  
>rat and forcing it to breath the "weed" fumes for hours on end.  
>Again, duh!!

You still seem to ranting about things that no-one ever said.

>It's this kind of nonsense that's led to such revelations as the  
>Condign Report and the damage it's doing, particularly in the UK  
>press as is evidenced by this last line to a newspaper article a  
>week or so ago which showed up on here under the heading (UFO  
>HOAX! The truth is out there... British couple admit UFO  
>prank!) and states at the end of the article this enlightening  
>sentence, "Declassified MoD files released in May this year  
>revealed that none of the numerous "unidentified aerial  
>phenomena" reported over Britain in the last 30 years was a  
>flying saucer."

See above.

>But I'll go with the meteor impact explanation for the flash of  
>lights seen on the Moon's surface, if you don't mind. Occam's  
>razor.

This is a completely separate debate and I wouldn't strongly disagree with you. But there are questions, as James pointed out - the streak or trail effect reported (if prior to impact) and the apparent recurrence of the flash in one spot. The latter is not strongly suggestive of phosphenes either, but NASA (via James) brought up the fact that phosphenes were being looked for and were, at other times, seen. So the origin of these flashes is still an open question as far as I'm concerned. Occam doesn't have much information to go on here.

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Re: Military Docs Info - Freeman

From: Kelly Freeman <[Khfflsciufu.nul](mailto:Khfflsciufu.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 10:39:59 EDT  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 10:59:28 -0400  
Subject: Re: Military Docs Info - Freeman

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:22:45 EDT  
>Subject: Military Docs Info

>To add to anyone's knowledge, should they be interested, I have  
>in front of me at this moment two sheets of USAF records, not  
>copies, but originals. Here is just a bit of each.

>"Castle AFB, Calif.

>Duties and Responsibilities:

>...Answers requests for assistance, information, and  
>instructions from aircraft in flight. Alerts... station or net  
>upon request. Advises...upon receipt of emergency or distress  
>calls... Records UNUSUAL OCCURRENCES." (My emphasis).

>"Castle Air Force Base, California

>Date 19 Nov 1952

>I certify that I have cleared...with all agencies listed on the  
>reverse side of this clearance, and with ALL OTHER AGENCIES AND  
>INSTRUMENTALITIES of the U.S. necessary to effect a proper  
>clearance of this base; that I have complied with the provisions  
>of AFR 205-1 and I do not have in my possession nor am I  
>responsible for any Secret or Confidential documents except  
>necessary orders..."

Hello Hollis,

OK, so far this is almost meaningless to me, unless you choose  
to elaborate/explain the purpose of this post. Are you hiding  
something?

What about those "necessary orders"?

Confused and amused,

Kelly

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Re: UFOs or Not? - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 15:43:38 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 11:00:45 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs or Not? - Shough

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 14:17:49 -0700  
>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

>>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprigo.nul](mailto:Choprigo.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 19:21:57 EDT  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

>>Again, my sole interest is investigating, and I mean  
>>investigating right down to the nitty-gritty seemingly worthless  
>>details. I've yet to see one single UFO case where this has been  
>>done.

>The irony here is that for a guy who claims he wants  
>investigation "right down to the nitty-gritty seemingly  
>worthless details," you don't seem to know even the simplest  
>basic details of the case. I'm no doubt sure the same applies to  
>such cases as Kenneth Arnold's sighting (which you previously  
>asserted was a "hoax") or the Socorro incident (which you  
>asserted was a "mis-conception," whatever that means).

>How can somebody be so sure of the answers or so-called  
>deficiencies in investigation without knowing the first thing  
>about the cases?

And we're still waiting for those "\_real\_ unexplained cases" you  
wanted to talk about Hollis. Are you getting cold feet, or just  
being coy with us?

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Re: Military Docs Info - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 12:11:54 -0300  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 13:21:06 -0400  
Subject: Re: Military Docs Info - Ledger

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 06:24:15 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Military Docs Info

>>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprigo.nul](mailto:Choprigo.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:22:45 EDT  
>>Subject: Military Docs Info

>>To add to anyone's knowledge, should they be interested, I have  
>>in front of me at this moment two sheets of USAF records, not  
>>copies, but originals. Here is just a bit of each.

>>"Castle AFB, Calif.

>>...Answers requests for assistance, information, and  
>>instructions from aircraft in flight. Alerts... station or net  
>>upon request. Advises...upon receipt of emergency or distress  
>>calls... Records UNUSUAL OCCURRENCES." (My emphasis).

>>"Castle Air Force Base, California  
>>Date 19 Nov 1952

>>I certify that I have cleared...with all agencies listed on the  
>>reverse side of this clearance, and with ALL OTHER AGENCIES AND  
>>INSTRUMENTALITIES of the U.S. necessary to effect a proper  
>>clearance of this base; that I have complied with the provisions  
>>of AFR 205-1 and I do not have in my possession nor am I  
>>responsible for any Secret or Confidential documents except  
>>necessary orders..."

>>/s/ Hollis Kimball

>As usual, I am confused by what point, if any, Mr. Kimball is  
making in his posts. Are these teaser quotes suggesting he once  
held held a relatively high security clearance, recorded UFO  
reports for the USAF, and thus has insider knowledge of these  
reports?

>If so, I wish he would just say so and tell us exactly what he  
knows (or claims to know) instead of beating around the bush. If  
he is reluctant to discuss specifics publicly, maybe he can  
contact some List researchers he might trust off-List instead.

>David Rudiak

I asked a simple enough question of Hollis Kimball. What were  
the supposed inconsistencies in the Socorro case? No answer just  
ambiguities. Personally I think too much time has been wasted on  
this person who just makes unsubstantiated announcements. The  
remark that the Ken Arnold incident was a hoax pretty much  
indicates his level of experience. Maybe he's the summer  
replacement for some of the other debunkers who have been posted  
on here.

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal Encounters

From: Gene Steinberg <[gene.nul](mailto:gene.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 08:30:11 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 13:26:19 -0400  
Subject: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal Encounters

The Paracast

Tuesday, August 22, 2006

On this week's episode, Gene and David will present another exclusive interview with the one-and-only Jim Moseley, editor of Saucer Smear. This time, Moseley will talk about some strange paranormal encounters he personally experienced. Although Moseley is often regarded as Ufology's 'Court Jester', there is a serious side to this man that will be apparent during this no-holds-barred interview.

And to demonstrate, once again, that The Paracast is an equal opportunity offender, we'll also present Royce J. Myers III of UFOWatchdog.com, who will talk about his UFO Hall Of Fame and Hall of Shame.

The Paracast is broadcast every Tuesday from 6:00 to 8:00 p.m. Pacific, 9:00 to 11:00 p.m. Eastern from:

[www.theparacast.com/](http://www.theparacast.com/)

Archives of previous episodes are available for free download direct from the site or via a Podcast directory, such as Apple iTunes.

<http://www.theparacast.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Re: Military Docs Info - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 12:11:49 EDT  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 13:30:54 -0400  
Subject: Re: Military Docs Info - Gammon

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 06:24:15 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Military Docs Info

>>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:22:45 EDT  
>>Subject: Military Docs Info

>>To add to anyone's knowledge, should they be interested, I have  
>>in front of me at this moment two sheets of USAF records, not  
>>copies, but originals. Here is just a bit of each.

>>"Castle AFB, Calif.

>>...Answers requests for assistance, information, and  
>>instructions from aircraft in flight. Alerts... station or net  
>>upon request. Advises...upon receipt of emergency or distress  
>>calls... Records UNUSUAL OCCURRENCES." (My emphasis).

>>"Castle Air Force Base, California  
>>Date 19 Nov 1952

>>I certify that I have cleared...with all agencies listed on the  
>>reverse side of this clearance, and with ALL OTHER AGENCIES AND  
>>INSTRUMENTALITIES of the U.S. necessary to effect a proper  
>>clearance of this base; that I have complied with the provisions  
>>of AFR 205-1 and I do not have in my possession nor am I  
>>responsible for any Secret or Confidential documents except  
>>necessary orders..."

>>/s/ Hollis Kimball

>As usual, I am confused by what point, if any, Mr. Kimball is  
>making in his posts. Are these teaser quotes suggesting he once  
>held held a relatively high security clearance, recorded UFO  
>reports for the USAF, and thus has insider knowledge of these  
>reports?

>If so, I wish he would just say so and tell us exactly what he  
>knows (or claims to know) instead of beating around the bush. If  
>he is reluctant to discuss specifics publicly, maybe he can  
>contact some List researchers he might trust off-List instead.

Mr. Kimball is using the standard 'bait' technique that  
ultimately proves destructive for the researcher who falls for  
such. Please show caution when dealing with this individual.  
Disinformation and disinformationists are quite real.

- Jason Gammon

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Re: The Bible-UFO Connection - Denton

From: Julia Denton <[ybr2.nul](mailto:ybr2.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 20 Jul 2006 12:08:38 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 13:35:06 -0400  
Subject: Re: The Bible-UFO Connection - Denton

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>Date: Sunday, August 20, 2006 9:04 AM  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: The Bible-UFO Connection

>Source: The Manila Bulletin - Philippines

><http://tinyurl.com/z47mw>

>2006/08/20/

>The Bible-UFO Connection

<snip>

>Also, from the spiritual viewpoint, the impact of this UFO phenomenon is  
>awesome. For one, many are wondering whether the divine act of  
>creating human life has already been duplicated.

>If not by God, who then created UFOs, if they really exist.

This is like asking who created trains, if they really exist.  
People did.

Who created UFOs?

If we go by the train example above, the answer would be:  
whichever species are using them.

I think the writer more likely meant to say: If Aliens exist,  
and were not created by God, then who created them?

To which I'd answer: What's with the assumption that they  
weren't created by God? Where does that assumption come from,  
and why is it considered valid?

Why do people assume that human beings as we know them on this  
planet are the only thinking, speaking, advanced life form  
created by God?

Or, on the other hand (which might actually just be the flip  
side of the above) who says aliens weren't created through  
evolution relative to the conditions on their own planets,  
either?

Julia

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Re: UFOs or Not? - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 12:18:21 EDT  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 13:36:53 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs or Not? - Randle

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 06:10:23 -0700  
>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 15:11:22 EDT  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

>>>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 19:21:57 EDT  
>>>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

>><snip>

>>>An example might be the Roswell case.

>>>The USAAF said it was a weather balloon. End of case? Not yet.

>>>What make and model balloon? Manufacturer? Released from what  
>>>weather station? By whom? When? For what purpose? Where is the  
>>>radiosonde? The report from the tracking station? The name of  
>>>the meteorologist or weather officer in charge?

>>You're kidding right?

>>Let's see, it was a neoprene weather balloon along with a rawin  
>>radar reflector, ML-307. Pilot Balloon Target ML-307(\*)/AP. The  
>>balloon envelop was a standard, off-the-shelf item. Charles  
>>Moore still had one and showed it to me.

>>Albert Crary's diary says, "On Thursday morning 3 July, a  
>>cluster of GM plastic balloons sent up for V2 recording but V2  
>>was not fired: Pibal showed no W wind w. Balloons picked up by  
>>radar: Located on Tularosa Range."

>>It was released on 3 Jul 47 from the Alamogordo Army Air Field  
>>as part of the New York University constant level balloon  
>>project, According to a diary kept by Dr. Albert Crary, The  
>>balloons and the arrays were used in attempts to detect  
>>detonations. The array was supposed to hang in the acoustical  
>>level in the upper atmosphere where it was hoped that the  
>>microphones would pick up evidence of Soviet attempts to  
>>detonate an atomic weapon.

>>The diary entry says, for the morning of July 3, "Sent up  
>>cluster Balloons with dummy load." That is the second launch.

>I guess I'm confused by your post Kevin. Charles Moore and the  
>USAF have been arguing since 1994 that the balloon that caused  
>all all the ruckus was the alleged Mogul Flight #4 from June 4,  
>not any of the July 1947 Moguls.

David, List, All -

As well you should be... If you remember, Flight No. 9 was the  
original culprit in the it's a Mogul Balloon derby. You are, or

course correct in that the Air Force et. al. decided after seeing Crary's diary and other documentation that it must have been Flight No. 4. When the rocket didn't fly on that date, they scrubbed the mission, stripped the equipment and let the balloons go.

The confusion was mine since I pulled this together quickly to show that we had the answers to the questions, we just hadn't discussed them for a while.

I could have pointed out that I spent more time than I wanted in geologist's offices because Gerald Anderson said that the family was looking for moss agate on the Plains... learning where moss agate was found in New Mexico and where banded agate could be found on the Plains.

Anyway, David, you're right in your response, but you also prove my point. The questions thrown out have been answered repeatedly, and if one of us makes an error, there is someone else who can spot it immediately.

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Re: Military Docs Info - Scheldroup

From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 11:32:09 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 13:39:14 -0400  
Subject: Re: Military Docs Info - Scheldroup

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:22:45 EDT  
>Subject: Military Docs Info

>To add to anyone's knowledge, should they be interested, I have  
>in front of me at this moment two sheets of USAF records, not  
>copies, but originals. Here is just a bit of each.

>"Castle AFB, Calif.

>Duties and Responsibilities:

>...Answers requests for assistance, information, and  
>instructions from aircraft in flight. Alerts... station or net  
>upon request. Advises...upon receipt of emergency or distress  
>calls... Records UNUSUAL OCCURRENCES." (My emphasis).

>"Castle Air Force Base, California  
>Date 19 Nov 1952

>I certify that I have cleared...with all agencies listed on the  
>reverse side of this clearance, and with ALL OTHER AGENCIES AND  
>INSTRUMENTALITIES of the U.S. necessary to effect a proper  
>clearance of this base; that I have complied with the provisions  
>of AFR 205-1 and I do not have in my possession nor am I  
>responsible for any Secret or Confidential documents except  
>necessary orders..."

Have you had a square meal?

<http://usmilitary.about.com/cs/airforcejoin/a/basicdormguard.htm>

Preparing for Air Force Basic Training

General Instructions

All dorm guards must follow specific rules whenever on duty.  
These rules include, but are not limited to, the following:

NEVER sit, sleep, write letters, or read any material other than  
your Basic Military Training Manual (BMTM) and class notes.

Write down all messages to be passed on to the flight, next dorm  
guard, or MTI. One of the primary ways you receive messages is  
over the intercom:

If you receive a message over the intercom answer with the  
following statement: "Sir/Ma'am, Dorm Guard, Dorm \_\_\_\_\_, May I  
help you?"

Along with writing down messages, also write down the details of  
any unusual occurrences and brief the instructor and your dorm  
guard relief.

Failure to report all unusual occurrences and violations may

result in disciplinary action. If you observe trainees breaking rules and fail to report it in a timely manner, you will be held accountable.

The dorm guard is responsible for opening and closing the door for normal entry/exit.

Airmen scheduled for dorm guard during lights out have the following responsibilities:

John

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Re: Apollo UFOs - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 13:32:30 -0300  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 13:42:24 -0400  
Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs - Ledger

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 15:16:31 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>To:  
>>[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul) Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006  
>>14:01:42 -0300 Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 11:30:37 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

<snip>

>>Martin, you are coming across as a bit of a fathead.

>Ah, now we're getting down to the meat of this! Ad hominem.  
>Get stung, lash out. You are coming across like the spouse  
>spoiling for a fight and yelling "Why do you always have to be  
>so goddamned reasonable!" When you're calmer you can go back  
>over what has been said and realise that you are making an  
>exhibition of yourself. For the moment rational discussion  
>seems impossible.

If I'm stung I can't find the mark. But this ship has sailed.  
I'm bored with the thread. It's degenerated into the usual BS.  
Have fun.

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## John Velez Re-Appears On SDI

From: **Eleanor White** <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 12:57:50 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 13:48:20 -0400  
Subject: John Velez Re-Appears On SDI

Just wanted to comment that it's great to hear that John Velez, who reports on the abduction aspect of the UFO arena, has resumed his slot after a two-year hiatus on Errol's Saturday night program, Strange Days... Indeed, on CFRB 1010 AM Toronto.

As one in a situation similar to that of abductees -in terms of how the public views the situation - I really empathized with John's lament wondering why our best professionals - scientists, psychologists, law enforcement - are not studying the thousands of abduction cases, reported by sane people. A good question, that deserves a straight answer!

Amen, John!

And for Listers who might want to hear this Saturday night's SDI, you can tune in via:

<http://www.cfrb.com>

(Click the "Listen Now" button)

At one time CFRB also broadcast on 5.070 MHz shortwave but I don't know if that broadcast still exists.

The times are:

9 - 11 pm Eastern  
8 - 10 pm Central  
7 - 9 pm Mountain  
6 - 8 pm Pacific  
0100-0300 UTC/GMT/ZULU summer  
0200-0400 UTC/GMT/ZULU winter

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Re: he Choppers & The Choppers? - O'Brien

From: Christopher O'Brien <[cob.nul](mailto:cob.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 13:19:28 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 13:54:12 -0400  
Subject: Re: he Choppers & The Choppers? - O'Brien

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 10:06:37 EDT  
>Subject: Re: The Choppers & The Choppers?

>>From: Don Capps <[alienagenda.nul](mailto:alienagenda.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 12:59:41 -0400  
>>Subject: The Choppers & The Choppers?

>>I am hoping some of the List members can assist me.

>>Also, if anyone can point me to any other resources, that would  
>>be excellent. I already have Moulton-Howe's 'An Alein Harvest'  
>>as well as both of Chris O'Brien's books. But any and all  
>>recommendations would be appreciated.

>Good Morning -

>You might also wish to look at Mute Evidence by Daniel Kagan and  
>Ian Summer. And let's not forget the Rommel Report by a former  
>FBI agent who was commissioned to officially study the  
>mutilations.

Your above comment reminds me of your well-known area of  
expertise, Roswell. Perhaps investigators interested in the  
Roswell case would wish to look at the US Air Forces "Roswell:  
Case Closed"?

With all due respect Kevin, in the twenty-three to twenty-six  
years since the above mentioned debunking and whitewash of the  
mutilation phenomenon were published, law enforcement,  
scientists and civilian investigators have objectively-applied a  
hard-science approach to aspects of the cattle mutilation  
mystery. Granted, a sizeable percentage of reported cases have  
mundane explanations, but not all. The results of testing has  
revealed that there are unequivocally-real, high-strange cases  
that cannot be explained away. I am not aware of the level of  
expertise you may profess to have regarding this misunderstood  
subject, but my fifteen or so years of field investigation and  
research convinces me that this mystery could be our most  
extensive unsolved serial crime spree.

For example: How do you explain a head of livestock found  
missing all the copper from it's blood? Or an animal discovered  
with its udder perfectly packed with sand? Or an animal found  
with a perfectly excised spinal cord? Or it's brain missing with  
no beak in the cranium? Or animals found mutilated in trees? Etc.

The list of high-strange elements goes on and on and invalidates  
debunker's knee-jerk assertions that all of these reports are  
due to mundane scavenging, or blowfly maggots, or 'mass delusion'  
and 'hysteria'. If even a handful of cases are truly  
unexplained, don't we have a legitimate mystery to ponder?

How do you explain cases that have been documented by law  
enforcement and field investigators where the animal was killed  
and mutilated, in broad daylight, in a scant few minutes? The  
case cited in Kelleher and Knapp's Hunt For The Skinwalker,

relates a case where witnesses were in the same field within eyesight of the targeted animal - several hundred yards away as a calf was horrifically killed and mutilated in broad daylight.

For any List members interested in this mystery I suggest reading The National Institute of Discovery Sciences scientific work studying the mutilation phenomenon:

<http://www.nidsci.org/articles/articles2.php>

The work of Montana Sheriff Keith Wolverton: Mystery Stalks The Prairie, Colm Kelleher's Brain Trust and Ted Oliphant's article Mad Cows I have Known, should be also be referenced.

Christopher O'Brien

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Re: Military Docs Info - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 12:29:59 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 13:55:40 -0400  
Subject: Re: Military Docs Info - Lehmborg

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 12:11:54 -0300  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Subject: Re: Military Docs Info

>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 06:24:15 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: Military Docs Info

>>>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:22:45 EDT  
>>>Subject: Military Docs Info

<snip>

>I asked a simple enough question of Hollis Kimball. What were  
>the supposed inconsistencies in the Socorro case? No answer just  
>ambiguities. Personally I think too much time has been wasted on  
>this person who just makes unsubstantiated announcements. The  
>remark that the Ken Arnold incident was a hoax pretty much  
>indicates his level of experience. Maybe he's the summer  
>replacement for some of the other debunkers who have been posted  
>on here.

Moreover, aren't these the same guys telling \_us\_ to get a life?

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 20](#)

## Re: Military Docs Info - Hall

From: **Richard Hall** <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 14:56:32 +0000  
Fwd Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 13:57:11 -0400  
Subject: Re: Military Docs Info - Hall

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:22:45 EDT  
>Subject: Military Docs Info

>To add to anyone's knowledge, should they be interested, I have  
>in front of me at this moment two sheets of USAF records, not  
>copies, but originals. Here is just a bit of each.

>"Castle AFB, Calif.

>Duties and Responsibilities:

>...Answers requests for assistance, information, and  
>instructions from aircraft in flight. Alerts... station or net  
>upon request. Advises...upon receipt of emergency or distress  
>calls... Records UNUSUAL OCCURRENCES." (My emphasis).

>"Castle Air Force Base, California  
>Date 19 Nov 1952

>I certify that I have cleared...with all agencies listed on the  
>reverse side of this clearance, and with ALL OTHER AGENCIES AND  
>INSTRUMENTALITIES of the U.S. necessary to effect a proper  
>clearance of this base; that I have complied with the provisions  
>of AFR 205-1 and I do not have in my possession nor am I  
>responsible for any Secret or Confidential documents except  
>necessary orders..."

>/s/ Hollis Kimball

Hollis,

I have no idea what you think you are establishing here, or perhaps more appropriately what game you are playing. As an ex-airman myself, what you have excerpted here appears to be (1) an MOS or job description, and (2) a base clearance form required before you permanently leave an Air Force Base. What's the point?

- Dick

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 21](#)

## Re: John Velez Re-Appears On SDI - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 13:03:09 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 08:52:21 -0400  
Subject: Re: John Velez Re-Appears On SDI - Lehmborg

>From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 12:57:50 -0400  
>Subject: John Velez' Re-Appearence On SDI

>Just wanted to comment that it's great to hear that John Velez,  
>who reports on the abduction aspect of the UFO arena, has  
>resumed his slot after a two-year hiatus on Errol's Saturday  
>night program, Strange Days... Indeed, on CFRB 1010 AM Toronto.

>As one in a situation similar to that of abductees -in terms of  
>how the public views the situation - I really empathized with  
>John's lament wondering why our best professionals - scientists,  
>psychologists, law enforcement - are not studying the  
>\_thousands\_ of abduction cases, reported by sane people. A good  
>question, that deserves a straight answer!

>Amen, John!

>And for Listers who might want to hear this Saturday night's  
>SDI, you can tune in via:

><http://www.cfrb.com>

>(Click the "Listen Now" button)

>At one time CFRB also broadcast on 5.070 MHz shortwave but I  
>don't know if that broadcast still exists.

>  
>The times are:

>9 - 11 pm Eastern  
>8 - 10 pm Central  
>7 - 9 pm Mountain  
>6 - 8 pm Pacific  
>0100-0300 UTC/GMT/ZULU summer  
>0200-0400 UTC/GMT/ZULU winter

Plugging the program on the Debris Field List, I wrote:

"Hey - be sure to check SDI this week, John Velez (just hear him speak!)... electrifying, erudite, a breath of air... a flash of light!

Like sitting by the fire at night? Don Ledger was a 'Friend'  
That's right.

Furlotte put it in the street again... UFOs \_extant\_ sans spin,  
and Errol smoothly sang along his Strangest Days... Indeed theme  
song. <g>

No apologies, sometimes it just forces its way out, you know?"

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 21](#)

## Re: The Choppers & The Choppers? - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 14:14:52 EDT  
Fwd Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 19:50:48 -0400  
Subject: Re: The Choppers & The Choppers? - Randle

>From: Christopher O'Brien <[cob.nul](mailto:cob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 13:19:28 -0400  
>Subject: Re: The Choppers & The Choppers?

>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 10:06:37 EDT  
>>Subject: Re: The Choppers & The Choppers?

>>>From: Don Capps <[alienagenda.nul](mailto:alienagenda.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 12:59:41 -0400  
>>>Subject: The Choppers & The Choppers?

>>>I am hoping some of the List members can assist me.

>>>Also, if anyone can point me to any other resources, that would  
>>>be excellent. I already have Moulton-Howe's 'An Alein Harvest'  
>>>as well as both of Chris O'Brien's books. But any and all  
>>>recommendations would be appreciated.

>>Good Morning -

>>You might also wish to look at Mute Evidence by Daniel Kagan and  
>>Ian Summer. And let's not forget the Rommel Report by a former  
>>FBI agent who was commissioned to officially study the  
>>mutilations.

>Your above comment reminds me of your well-known area of  
>expertise, Roswell. Perhaps investigators interested in the  
>Roswell case would wish to look at the US Air Forces "Roswell:  
>Case Closed"?

But of course they should... and Phil Klass's Roswell book, and  
Karl Pflock's Roswell: Inconvenient Facts, and even Kal Korff's  
nonsense... all valuable to lend perspective to a study of the  
specifics of the case.

Why, I'd even read Stan's Roswell book, his MJ-12 Book and Ryan  
Wood's book on UFO crashes.

Should we limit our research only to that which agrees with us  
or should we look at all aspects of it so that we understand the  
whole?

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)



## **UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 21](#)

## 1965 UFO Activity In Wise County Virginia?

From: **Jerry Hamm** <[zoomarl.nul](mailto:zoomarl.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 11:47:14 -0700 (PDT)  
Fwd Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 19:52:34 -0400  
Subject: 1965 UFO Activity In Wise County Virginia?

Dear List,

I'm searching for any kind of UFO activity -- or anything else strange - reported in the Coeburn, VA (Wise County) area during the summer of 1965.

Thank you,

Jerry Hamm

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 21](#)

## Re: Apollo UFOs - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 19:56:07 +0100  
Fwd Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 19:54:00 -0400  
Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs - Shough

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 13:32:30 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 15:16:31 +0100 Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>To:  
>>>[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul) Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006  
>>>14:01:42 -0300 Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 11:30:37 +0100 Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

><snip>

>>>Martin, you are coming across as a bit of a fathead.

>>Ah, now we're getting down to the meat of this! Ad hominem.  
>>Get stung, lash out. You are coming across like the spouse  
>>spoiling for a fight and yelling "Why do you always have to be  
>>so goddamned reasonable!" When you're calmer you can go back  
>>over what has been said and realise that you are making an  
>>exhibition of yourself. For the moment rational discussion  
>>seems impossible.

>If I'm stung I can't find the mark. But this ship has sailed.  
>I'm bored with the thread. It's degenerated into the usual BS.  
>Have fun.

Sadly, I'm afraid that happened as soon as you jumped into it, Don.

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 21](#)

## Re: Military Docs Info - Kimball

From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprigo.nul](mailto:Choprigo.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 19:46:04 EDT  
Fwd Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 19:56:45 -0400  
Subject: Re: Military Docs Info - Kimball

>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 14:56:32 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Military Docs Info

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprigo.nul](mailto:Choprigo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:22:45 EDT  
>Subject: Military Docs Info  
>Hollis,

>I have no idea what you think you are establishing here, or  
>perhaps more appropriately what game you are playing. As an ex-  
>airman myself, what you have excerpted here appears to be (1) an  
>MOS or job description, and (2) a base clearance form required  
>before you permanently leave an Air Force Base. What's the  
>point?

Richard:

Thanks for your excellent deductions. Yes (1) is a USAF AFSC job description, and (2) is a USAF Form 93BW 15.

The point of (1) is that the USAF was aware at that time of the possible existence of 'unknowns', commonly called UFOs, and that personnel in certain positions would be the most likely ones to be involved in either seeing, visually or by radar, and/or knowing about such an 'unusual occurrence', and thus be required to record and report same. That info then may or may not be classified.

Point (2) merely states that military personnel clearing one base to another location are prohibited from removing classified material from that base, except necessary orders, which could simply be classified travel orders. Naturally this would prohibit any personnel from passing on any classified documents to an 'outsider' such as a UFO researcher maybe?

No big deal, just a little FYI.

Hollis

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 21](#)

## Re: UFOs or Not? - Fleming

From: **Lan Fleming** <[lfleming6.nul](mailto:lfleming6.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 19:02:29 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 19:59:21 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs or Not? - Fleming

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 06:10:23 -0700  
>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

<snip>

>The balloon cluster launched later that day was the alleged #9,  
>which isn't recorded in Mogul records, just like #4. According  
>to Pflock's book (based on Moore's recollections), if the  
>flights were scrubbed, they released the already-filled balloons  
>anyway sans any equipment. These were not tracked and the flight  
>numbers not recorded.

Quick question, David. When you say the balloons were released without any equipment, does that mean there were no radar reflectors on Flight 4? Or were the balloons still launched with the reflectors because it wasn't worth the effort to remove them? If the former were true, it would be a fairly important point, since the radar reflectors were used to explain the presence of foil and "I-beams" in the recovered wreckage as part of the Mogul balloon train.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 21](#)

## Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal

From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 20:36:30 EDT  
Fwd Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 20:01:41 -0400  
Subject: Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal

>From: Gene Steinberg <[gene.nul](mailto:gene.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 08:30:11 -0700  
>Subject: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal Encounters

>The Paracast

>Tuesday, August 22, 2006

>On this week's episode, Gene and David will present another  
>exclusive interview with the one-and-only Jim Moseley, editor of  
>Saucer Smear. This time, Moseley will talk about some strange  
>paranormal encounters he personally experienced. Although  
>Moseley is often regarded as Ufology's 'Court Jester', there is  
>a serious side to this man that will be apparent during this no-  
>holds-barred interview.

Kudos to the Paracast crew. Moseley is best known as the "Court Jester" these days (whether you love him or loathe him for that is a matter of taste), but he's been around as long as anyone in ufology, met everyone, and done some quality first-hand research and investigation himself that has often gone overlooked.

It's good to see folks still paying attention, and giving Jim a platform beyond Saucer Smear.

I'll be tuned in.

Best regards,

Paul Kimball  
[www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com](http://www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 21](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Kimball

From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprqo.nul](mailto:Choprqo.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 21:08:03 EDT  
Fwd Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 20:03:42 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Kimball

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 15:43:38 +0100  
>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

>And we're still waiting for those "\_real\_ unexplained cases" you  
>wanted to talk about Hollis. Are you getting cold feet, or just  
>being coy with us?

Martin;

No, I'm not getting 'cold feet', nor am I being coy be any means. My original post was a question regarding possible\_real\_cases, such as some of the historic 'unknowns' Blue Book ones, or whatever. Of course, if further investigation results in nothing to add, pro or con, then simply dead-file the case as 'Unknown'. Regarding the Socorro case:

I see two probabilities. Either it was an alien spacecraft flown by two alien beings in white coveralls, or:

Considering all the available reported information, it was simply an experimental test flight of a early prototype Lunar Survey landing craft, using a Hughes Model 369 (OH-6 Cayuse), aka the 'Flying Egg', helicopter as the carrier, which was flown by a Hughes Aircraft Company (Culver City, CA) test pilot, along with a Flight Test Engineer, which is the usual procedure. As you all know, the Lunar craft was unable to lift it's own weight in Earth's gravity, hence the helicopter requirement. The landing test area selected for that flight was as similar to the moon's known surface as possible.

Now pardon my humility, but I have test flown not only the OH-6, but the Bell OH-4 (Jet Ranger), and the Hiller OH-5, (later the FH-1100 civilian version).

Comment if you wish, but I have no interest in getting into a 'pizzing' contest with anyone.

Regards to all,

Hollis Kimball

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**



Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 21](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Frison

From: Eugene Frison <[eugene.frison.nul](mailto:eugene.frison.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 22:58:58 -0300  
Fwd Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 20:07:15 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Frison

>From: Lan Fleming <[lfleming6.nul](mailto:lfleming6.nul)>  
>To: UFO Updates List <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 22:04:47 -0500  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>And as everyone knows, bitter sarcasm is such an effective way  
>to elevate a subject above the level of "argumentative  
>discussion" (he says sarcastically).

Hollis Kimball's remark about "argumentative discussion" on this List was, in my opinion, an accurate one. In my very first comment of my original post I implied my agreement by saying it was "a very accurate observation." No bitterness here. No sarcasm. Only 'agreement.'

I then expressed my view that delving into the "core" of the UFO phenomenon required questioning the ETH as the only viable answer to the UFO enigma and that this is, in effect, a form of heresy to most in this field. I think this, too, is an accurate observation. It's probable that I speak the truth about this. The truth may hurt some individuals to hear it but it's not bitterness and not sarcasm that they're getting - just, as I said, probably some truth that they don't like. This field of 'ufology' has indeed become identified with ET aliens and spaceships. Which brings me to my final statement in my original post which you responded to.

The nuance of my remark about everyone knowing some UFOs are definitely ET spaceships was a chuckle and a smile at the current state of 'ufology.' No bitterness. No sarcasm. Just an accurate, I think, observation about how 'ufology' has been replaced by 'alienology' rather than remaining a study of the UFO phenomenon, especially as pertains to its origin and nature. It, indeed, in a lot of ways deserves to be chuckled at and that's what I, in my original post, did.

I'm neither pro-ETH nor anti-ETH. In fact, I rank the ETH as among the very best of the possible answers to the UFO riddle. I don't have an anti-alien (ET) phobia. I simply want conclusions to be based on facts before I make them. The 'as it appears, so it is' reasoning doesn't work for me. Hundreds of reports describing apparently high-tech manufactured vehicles (read: flying saucers) is not grounds for automatically concluding there are high-tech manufactured vehicles known as the 'flying saucers.' The 'if it looks like a duck' logic is a fallacy. Hundreds of reports of 'manufactured high-tech vehicles' (physical 'flying saucers') doesn't make it so.

There is a category of apparently 'nuts and bolts' UFOs that possesses characteristics which indicate they may not be as they appear (I am referring to the so-called 'blur zone' cases). There is research involving totally imaginary UFO encounters/abductions that duplicates many of the unusual and significant elements found in these 'blur zone' cases. There are also far too many 'real' UFO encounters/abductions where the witnesses report distortions of reality and/or interruptions of continuity of their consciousness. These 'real' encounters often too contain bizarre elements which match bizarre elements found in the totally imaginary encounters and in the 'blur zone'

cases .

The overlap and close-matching of bizarre and surreal qualities in three major categories which contain the majority of cases comprising the UFO phenomenon - these being (1) truly anomalous UFOs/abductions that involve non-flying saucer types, (2) 'flying saucer' types/abductions that possess characteristics which indicate things may not be as they appear, and (3) totally imaginary UFOs/abductions invoked under hypnosis - indicates there may be a significant correlation here. This needs to be looked at and explained before any conclusions about any UFOs are made.

Bottom line: there are too many questions about the origin and nature of the UFO phenomenon - and the degree to which the human mind plays a role in the event - to start concluding (based solely on 'it appears to be, so it is' reasoning) that even some UFOs are definitely ET spaceships.

Of course, if you ignore the definitely anomalous UFOs that don't look like 'flying saucers' and then ignore the 'flying saucers' that do things which raise valid questions as to whether they are indeed physical manufactured craft, and also ignore research that appears to have bearing on these bizarre and surreal qualities of the UFO phenomenon - collecting enough of the 'flying saucer' reports and throwing away everything else - you then create a situation enabling you to claim there are physical manufactured vehicles of the flying saucer variety.

This, however, only allows you to make illogical conclusions establishing the reality of manufactured high-tech vehicles. You still have to make another (premature) leap to make these vehicles extraterrestrial. You can't just conclude that, since they perform beyond anything our society produces, they have to be extraterrestrial because it's absolutely certain there was no earlier Earth-evolved civilization capable of producing them.

No matter how you slice it or dice it, conclusions that some UFOs are definitely extraterrestrial spacecraft are based on one thing and one thing only. This is that hundreds of case reports exist which describe apparently manufactured high-tech craft that perform beyond our technological capabilities. This fact is then extrapolated through 'as it appears, so it is' reasoning - and through ignoring and dismissing a huge part of what the phenomenon presents to us - into the illogical and premature conclusion that some UFOs are ET spaceships.

This is not bitter sarcasm but the truth. The sad truth that ufology has all but disappeared and been replaced by a laughing-stock best described as alienology. And if you sit back and watch the antics of 'alienology' you can't help but smile and chuckle!

Intent was to agree with (in part) and welcome a new member to the List while pointing out a sad truth that applies to something the new member said - in a spirit of smiling and chuckling at said sad truth. Sorry that the drama of "bitter sarcasm" you misperceived was not included.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 21](#)

## Re: UFO Activity In Hicksville Ohio 1950's? - Hamm

From: Jerry Hamm <[zoomarl.nul](mailto:zoomarl.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 19:08:21 -0700 (PDT)  
Fwd Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 20:31:50 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFO Activity In Hicksville Ohio 1950's? - Hamm

Dear List,

I received an email request regarding UFOs in Hicksville, Ohio during the 50's. With permission, I have posted the message below:

Thank you,

Jerry Hamm

-----

I was born in Payne, Ohio In 1948. After we lived there we moved to Hicksville, Ohio where my sister was born. It was my grandmothers home and we stayed there until we moved to Florida around 1955.

While I was there in Hicksville, I was only about 7 then, I can remember having some vivid nightmares. These were about small people visiting me in the night.

It got so bad I wouldn't sleep in my room anymore. Anyway just a few years ago I had a MRI on my skull to check for ms. What the doctor found was an ocular implant in the auditory canal. I went to an ear doctor who at first said there is something there.

After the second visit he finally retrieved something. When I asked to see it he said it was nothing and walked out of the room. He then told me I didn't need to see him anymore.

I have the copy of the MRI report.

Is it possible to find out if there were any strange sightings in that area around the early fifties?

[Name deleted]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 21](#)

## Australian Gold Coast UFO Report

From: **Sheryl Gottschall** <[gottscha.nul](mailto:gottscha.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 13:31:28 +1000  
Fwd Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 20:33:30 -0400  
Subject: Australian Gold Coast UFO Report

Source: Tweed Daily News

<http://tinyurl.com/fcann>

21.08.2006

Murwillumbah teenager Jade Walters got the shock of her life driving home from work as she watched bright lights flash across the sky. She is now wondering if she saw a UFO.

Jade, 19, a former Murwillumbah High student now studying psychology at Griffith University, spotted a "whitish yellow ball", about as big as a full moon, just after she had driven across the Barneys Point bridge.

The strange light appeared somewhere over Chinderah, then flashed across the Pacific Highway ahead of her car about 9.30pm last Thursday as she drove home from her retail job at Tweed City. The light seemed to descend into trees on the left-hand side of the highway.

"It was up pretty high and it was big," Jade said. "At first I thought it looked like a light from one of those huge light poles at sports grounds. "Then it started to move pretty rapidly, much faster than a plane. "It freaked me out, my heart was pumping, I was scared. "I was trying to watch it as I drove along, but I was glad it went past ahead of me. "When I got home I thought surely it can't be a UFO but I can't work out what else it could be."

Jade said she told her parents about the sighting and the family then remembered Banora Point resident Bill Etscheid's UFO-sighting report in the Daily News last week. "I don't know what to believe," Jade said

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 21](#)

## Rex Heflin Audio

From: Wendy Connors <[fadeddiscs.nul](mailto:fadeddiscs.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 22:10:36 -0600  
Fwd Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 20:37:42 -0400  
Subject: Rex Heflin Audio

Greetings to the Listarians,

A tape recorded interview with Mr. Heflin the day following the visit by Dr. James McDonald, Dr. Hartle, Dr. Adams, etc. to re-enact and investigate the case to determine if the photos had been faked or not, has been obtained and preserved.

The interviewer is John Gray, engineer and member of the now defunct, Los Angeles, CA NICAP Sub-Committee. Interview runs 12+ minutes.

Mr. Heflin describes the activity at the scene of where he took the photos in Santa Ana, CA three years earlier. He states that Dr. James E. McDonald talked to his employer and McDonald felt like he (Heflin) had a mental problem because he was a Morman and a polygamist with five wives.

If you haven't begun to add the recorded voice record to your research, you are missing half of the subject. Visit:

<http://www.fadeddiscs.com>

Wendy Connors

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 21](#)

## Re: Fields Of Fear - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 11:05:36 +0100 (BST)  
Fwd Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 20:48:49 -0400  
Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear - Miller

>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 15:09:03 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear

>>>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>>>To: UFO Updates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 15:41:10 +0100 (BST)  
>>>Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear

>>>Unfortunately, Mr. Rimmer had the temerity to send me a most  
>>>dissarming private response which somewhat put me off my  
>>>food. I went hungry.

>>I don't know how you keep from driving over and hitting him  
>>in the head with a board.

>Good idea. It might jog my memory about the 'disarming private  
>response', which I have no memory of ever sending to the  
>Sage of Altrincham. Maybe it was after a particularly heavy  
>session at the Pelican pub.

Dear John,

Firstly, can I say how much pleasure it gives me to begin a  
piece of correspondence like that.

I will be the first to admit that I am pretty well beaten here.  
Struggle as I might, I know I am limited by my lack of sound  
psychological knowledge. I know there is some sort of  
dysfunction working here and I can also tell it's along the same  
lines as the illness that afflicts Andy Roberts. But other than  
the word "masochistic" that swamps my mind and the belief that  
it might lie somewhere in your childhood and/or possibly your  
school days, I just cannot throw any further light on it.

You see, witnessing a love fest between two of your nemeses,  
your aggitation becomes such that when a suggestion of extreme  
physical violence is suggested against your personage, you seem  
unable to resist anymore and just have to jump in. You want us,  
yea, you ask us to commit this act against you. Presumably  
preferrably verbally although if we were all in the same room, I  
wouldn't put it past you...

But OK John, as you ask:

In my blog at:

<http://rickdotyismygod.blogspot.com/2006/08/pelican-roast.html>

I pretty much accuse you of the following:

1. Actually making me physically sick by what you write.
2. Being a wimp.
3. Preferring some fuddy duddy, well past it, and highly  
irrelevant form of Ufology to the current reality.

4. Attempting to separate "a peculiarly English UFO and contactee narrative" from scepticism. They are one and the same.
5. Being a folklorist. Shame on you.
6. An implication of dubious behaviour with young men.
7. Lying.
8. Being anti-American.
9. Being unable to distinguish between an American and a Canadian. Try getting out more.
10. Being spiteful, malicious, having low self esteem, being jealous, bigotted and racist.
11. Being dry, dull, and dreary in your public speaking to the extent that you would have an audience reaching for smelling salts just to stay conscious, let alone awake. Oh, and being an amnesiac's dream.
12. Threatening to eat you if you venture north ever again.
13. Suggesting all pelicanists are labotomised automatons.
14. Err, that's it.

In response to my suggestion on this List here

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2006/aug/m12-008.shtml>

that you read my blog, you wrote to me privately on Sunday August 13th saying;

"Already seen it thanks. No worse than I expected."

John Rimmer

I can send you a copy if you want.

You win John. If that isn't disarming, then what is? I am all out of epithets. Short of calling you a murderer, which of course would be grossly unfair, I can think of nothing else.

I admire the way you keep going, head down, deriving some sort of pleasure from this deflected form of intimacy. Personally, it creeps me out but who am I to question.

Best

Stuart Miller

PS - On the advice of my legal team, I withdraw most of the allegations made above, namely those relating to deviant or socially offensive behaviour and advise that my comments were satirically alienated. Mr. Rimmer is a fine fellow of impecable reputation and standing and for whom I have the greatest respect and admiration.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**



Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 21](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 09:38:02 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
Fwd Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 20:53:10 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 12:03:21 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

I am posting the following message for Mr. Ray Stanford. I shall respond in a separate email.

-----

Subject: August 8, 1996: Report from near Snow Hill, Maryland, of rocket "within 3 miles..." of aircraft.

Date: Aug 19, 2006 12:15 PM  
Dinosaur Tracker <[dinosaurtracker.nul](mailto:dinosaurtracker.nul)>

Please do me the favor of passing this on to UFO UpDates:

In your post to UFO UpDates, Friday, August 18, you quote a rocket-seen-by-flight-crew case, number 34083, August, 1996, from the vicinity of Snow Hill, Maryland, as said to have been observed from 41,000 feet cruise altitude. The reporting flight crew member(s?) is (are) in all likelihood correct in identifying the object as a vertically climbing rocket, but very likely incorrect about it being, "...within 3 mi." of their position.

How so? While Snow Hill may sound as though the town might be located in high north-western Maryland, it is actually in low-land far-south-eastern part of the state. The NASA Wallops Island Flight Center (where rockets have been rather frequently launched) is only 22 to 23 miles south (and very slightly west) of Snow Hill, Maryland. Usually, the air in the vicinity of 41,000 feet is so clear as to make a missile launch at the distance of the NASA launch facility at Wallops Island easily misperceived as much closer.

I am confident the NASA personnel responsible for aircraft safety were very much aware of the aircraft's being at a safe distance, even if the observer from the aircraft was unaware of the launch facility at Wallops Island, while misperceiving the launch distance in the clear air.

I am copying this to Ted Roe of NARCAP, since, if I read your letter correctly, you found the report via the NARCAP website.

Ray Stanford

-----

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## **UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 21](#)

## NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 10:57:10 EDT  
Fwd Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 20:55:20 -0400  
Subject: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Crew\\_Exploration\\_Vehicle](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Crew_Exploration_Vehicle)

I was just watching the movie "Apollo 13" again.

Each time I watch, it gets better and better. I recall as a kid when the adventure unfolded and how everyone was on the edge of their seats waiting for the crew of Odyssey to get home.

The Apollo program was thrilling and how they did all that without the advanced computing we've got today is a tribute to the mind-power behind those projects.

The STS or Space Shuttle is due to be mothballed in a few years and the new CEV, Crew Exploration Vehicle program is to take it's place.

Do any of you space flight Pros or aficionados feel these new space craft are the best direction and the missions worth the risk and costs?

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 21](#)

## Re: Military Docs Info - Allan

From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 16:15:41 +0100  
Fwd Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 21:08:24 -0400  
Subject: Re: Military Docs Info - Allan

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 08:35:28 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Military Docs Info

>>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprigo.nul](mailto:Choprigo.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:22:45 EDT  
>>Subject: Military Docs Info

>>To add to anyone's knowledge, should they be interested, I have  
>>in front of me at this moment two sheets of USAF records, not  
>>copies, but originals. Here is just a bit of each.

>>"Castle AFB, Calif.

>>Duties and Responsibilities:

>>...Answers requests for assistance, information, and  
>>instructions from aircraft in flight. Alerts... station or net  
>>upon request. Advises...upon receipt of emergency or distress  
>>calls... Records UNUSUAL OCCURRENCES." (My emphasis).

>>"Castle Air Force Base, California  
>>Date 19 Nov 1952

>>I certify that I have cleared...with all agencies listed on the  
>>reverse side of this clearance, and with ALL OTHER AGENCIES AND  
>>INSTRUMENTALITIES of the U.S. necessary to effect a proper  
>>clearance of this base; that I have complied with the provisions  
>>of AFR 205-1 and I do not have in my possession nor am I  
>>responsible for any Secret or Confidential documents except  
>>necessary orders..."

>I was a career military aviation officer of some specialty,  
>Mr. Kimball (IP.SIP and IFE), and with respect to this point?  
>I'm underwhelmed, Sir. Your clearance seems only startlingly  
>prosaic.

Could I ask a (slightly) relevant question:

Are Hollis Kimball and Paul Kimball related?

Supplementary question:

Are two other Listers, Tim Shell and Bob Shell related?

CDA

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 21](#)

## Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is

From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprqo.nul](mailto:Choprqo.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 13:05:00 EDT  
Fwd Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 21:13:32 -0400  
Subject: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is

Source: NASA Ames

Ames people charted the origins of life in the universe, and breathed life into the field of exobiology. This group led, among other things, the life detection experiments flown aboard the Viking Lander on Mars, and technologies for the biological quarantine of planets. Ames also provided the first home for SETI, the scientists leading the search for extraterrestrial intelligence, as well as the home of the Kepler project to chart other places in the universe that may nurture life.

Building on this accumulated expertise in the prospects of life in the universe, Ames people created the NASA Astrobiology Institute. Astro-biology is arguably the hottest new scientific discipline'.

---

In addition to the Kepler project, commonly referred to as the 'Terrestrial Planet Finder (with a \$300 million price tag), NASA Project 'SOFIA' is scheduled to be airborne by this fall.

My point here? Scientists are interested in ETs, so much so that they are 'putting their money where their mouth is'.

Hollis Kimball

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 21](#)

## AA A Little Bit Of This & A Little Bit Of That

From: Philip Mantle <[philip.nul](mailto:philip.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 18:30:12 +0100  
Fwd Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 21:16:49 -0400  
Subject: AA A Little Bit Of This & A Little Bit Of That

Just in case you are interested UFO DATA magazine has produced a DVD entitled 'Alien Autopsy - A Little Bit Of This And A Little Bit Of That'.

It features interviews with myself, Neil Morris and Keith Bateman. Keith Bateman tells how the whole scam started. It is available in PAL or NTSC format and is available from UFO DATA via: [www.ufodata.co.uk](http://www.ufodata.co.uk)

Philip

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 22](#)

## Re: The Choppers & The Choppers? - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 14:25:13 EDT  
Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 09:22:32 -0400  
Subject: Re: The Choppers & The Choppers? - Randle

>From: Christopher O'Brien <[cob.nul](mailto:cob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 13:19:28 -0400  
>Subject: Re: The Choppers & The Choppers?

>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 10:06:37 EDT  
>>Subject: Re: The Choppers & The Choppers?

>>>From: Don Capps <[alienagenda.nul](mailto:alienagenda.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 12:59:41 -0400  
>>>Subject: The Choppers & The Choppers?

>>>I am hoping some of the List members can assist me.

>>>Also, if anyone can point me to any other resources, that would  
>>>be excellent. I already have Moulton-Howe's 'An Alein Harvest'  
>>>as well as both of Chris O'Brien's books. But any and all  
>>>recommendations would be appreciated.

>>Good Morning -

>>You might also wish to look at Mute Evidence by Daniel Kagan and  
>>Ian Summer. And let's not forget the Rommel Report by a former  
>>FBI agent who was commissioned to officially study the  
>>mutilations.

>Your above comment reminds me of your well-known area of  
>expertise, Roswell. Perhaps investigators interested in the  
>Roswell case would wish to look at the US Air Forces "Roswell:  
>Case Closed"?

>With all due respect Kevin, in the twenty-three to twenty-six  
>years since the above mentioned debunking and whitewash of the  
>mutilation phenomenon were published, law enforcement,  
>scientists and civilian investigators have objectively-applied a  
>hard-science approach to aspects of the cattle mutilation  
>mystery. Granted, a sizeable percentage of reported cases have  
>mundane explanations, but not all. The results of testing has  
>revealed that there are unequivocally-real, high-strange cases  
>that cannot be explained away. I am not aware of the level of  
>expertise you may profess to have regarding this misunderstood  
>subject, but my fifteen or so years of field investigation and  
>research convinces me that this mystery could be our most  
>extensive unsolved serial crime spree.

>For example: How do you explain a head of livestock found  
>missing all the copper from it's blood? Or an animal discovered  
>with its udder perfectly packed with sand? Or an animal found  
>with a perfectly excised spinal cord? Or it's brain missing with  
>no beak in the cranium? Or animals found mutilated in trees? Etc.

Good Morning, All -

Let me reverse this and ask you some questions. What does it  
mean if all the copper is missing from the blood? Is there a  
terrestrial based explanation for it? Is this a unique condition

or has it been noted in multiple mutilations? Has this result been verified by peer review, or more precisely, have various independent vets reported this condition? What do you mean perfectly packed with sand? What would be the point of that? Is this a unique condition? Who said that the spinal cord had been perfectly excised? What are the credentials of the person making that claim? Have those observations been reviewed by others with the proper credentials? Do you have photographs of the mutilated animals in the trees? How do you suggest they got there in the first place? (Remembering, I am not suggesting this as a solution, but remembering that leopards routinely drag their pray into trees to keep lions and scavengers from getting it).

It seems to me, not meaning to stir up anger here, that you have made some claims that you have not bothered to justify. You require me to provide answers for the questions but have yet to provide evidence that these claims are true, or that those making the claims have the background to make these claims.

Instead, you seem annoyed that I mentioned two sources of material on mutilations that you don't find credible. By why deny that they exist? Shouldn't anyone doing research look at all the data out there so that he or she might make a more informed decision on what is reliable and what is not? I ask all those who want to know about Roswell if they've read the Air Force report. I believe it makes the best case against itself.

I'm reminded of the event in Roswell (since you brought it up) at the fiftieth anniversary where a scientist claimed that the isotopic ratio in a piece of alleged debris proved that it was of extraterrestrial origin. Yet, contrary to what they had claimed prior to the press conference, they could not, or would not, provide the chain of custody, they made claims that suggested the only source for the results was material from an extraterrestrial source but when we began to check, what they really meant was that it didn't come from a natural source. The doctor who made the pronouncements was rushed out the back door before anyone had a chance to interview him, and when I finally did, the story he told was significantly different than what he had said at the press conference. Here we are nearly ten years later, and that situation hasn't changed.

So, to make sure that those doing research have all the information, maybe we should also mention the Jack Hitt article that appeared in the February 1997 issue of GQ (pp 153-159, 195-196) or another look at cattle mutilations.

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 22](#)

## Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 14:28:10 -0700  
Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 09:29:14 -0400  
Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Rudiak

>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 17:08:47 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 11:21:41 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 14:01:00 -0700  
>>>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

><snip>

>>In any case my main interest in this story was that it made me  
>>curious to think about the cause and limits of autokinesis. I'm  
>>still not sure, but I've learned a lot. Thanks.

>Yes, thanks a lot David, a great post, really informative.

Thanks Ray.

><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2006/aug/m18-004.shtml>

>It illustrates some of the ways we slightly mis-perceive almost  
>everything around us - yet retain the conviction that 'seeing is  
>believing'.

I think this is an overstatement. Our visual perceptual systems  
in most situations are actually quite stable and reliable. Like  
any sensory system, including man-made ones, they can sometimes  
be fooled.

E.g., we do not experience autokinesis in a normal visual  
environment. It is only when viewing something in a blank field  
in virtual isolation that our visual systems might lose  
calibration provided by peripheral cues and not know exactly  
where a fixated object actually is. If our eyeballs drift  
slightly, because of small random eye movements and without our  
brain telling them to move (the "outflow" system), the brain  
then perceives the resulting movement across our retinas as  
actual motion in the outside world.

A simple example of this is to close one eye and press gently on  
the other eyeball with a finger. Artificially moving the eyeball  
causes the image of the outside world to move over the retina.  
But because the outflow system hasn't caused the eyeball to  
move, there is no cancellation signal sent to the motion centers  
of the brain telling them to ignore the motion. Instead, we see  
the whole outside world appearing to move.

This is an illusion, but like most illusions, the situation is  
artificial. We normally do not see the world outside us moving  
when it is not. As is often the case when he experience an  
illusion, other parts of the brain are "aware" that something  
isn't right and contradictory.

Another example is the so-called waterfall illusion, an illusion of motion in the opposite direction caused by neural fatigue. E.g., stare at a waterfall for a minute or two with everything falling down, then shift gaze to a nearby cliff, and everything appears to move upward for a few seconds. Even as the illusory movement is happening, we are quite aware that it is illusory. For one thing, from experience, we know that cliffs don't move upward and the parts of our visual brain that analyze form are also "aware" on some level that nothing is moving, even as our motion centers are telling us motion is occurring.

Some visual illusions are caused by visual ambiguity, i.e., there is more than one way to interpret a situation. A simple example is a Necker cube, an outline 2-dimensional drawing of a cube. Our brain can interpret the flat drawing as a 3-D cube, with sometimes one square face of the cube in front, and sometimes the other. Both interpretations are equally valid (therefore the ambiguity), and our brain flip-flops between the two. (The spontaneous flip-flopping is again probably partly due to neural fatigue, though we can mentally induce the reversal through concentration.)

Again the situation is somewhat artificial. In normally viewing a real 3-D cube, we do not see these spontaneous depth reversals. Partly this is because our various visual brain centers interact to remove ambiguity and prevent the illusion. E.g., if we view the cube with both eyes, our stereoscopic depth perception tells us which corner and surfaces of the cube are actually the closest.

The illusion can still be produced with solid cubes, but it is more difficult to induce than with a flat 2-D drawing. One, e.g., can build a stick outline of a cube and close one eye (to remove stereoscopic depth perception which destroys the illusion). With concentration and time, one can eventually get the depth to reverse, which can lead to other illusions not seen in the cube drawing. The reversed cube no longer looks cubish with square corners but a trapezoidal solid with non-square corners. (Because in a real cube, the rear, more distant square is smaller on the retina than the close, front square.) Rotate the reversed 3-D cube with your hand and visually it will appear to rotate in the opposite direction. Again, there are conflicting perceptions in the brain and we are aware on a conscious level that something isn't quite right and probably illusory.

A still more difficult task is to get a true solid cube with no visible back surfaces to reverse. I've done this with a Rubik's cube, e.g. If you are successful, you will experience other illusions, such as the cube no longer appearing to be solid but more like the floor corner of a room made up of 3 surfaces that look true 2-D surfaces with zero thickness. Also colors now appear to be translucent like stained glass. These various other illusions are not random. They are all self-consistent with an actual reversal of depth. The system is wired to maintain consistency and constancy.

Again, the situation is mostly artificial. How often in real life does anyone see a Rubik's cube spontaneously reverse? Probably almost never.

>"An examination of most of the data of vision would reveal no >examples of what could be accurately called 'correct' >perception" - from 'Light And Vision' - joint editors C.P. Snow >(British Ministry of Technology), Prof. Henry Margenau (Yale >University), Prof. Ren=E9 Dubois (The Rockefeller University).

Again, I think this is a gross overstatement. Proof that our perception is actually quite stable and reliable is the fact only a relatively small percentage of people die as a direct result of visual or other sensory misperception. The vast majority end up dying from starvation, disease, war, old age, murder, etc. The human race wouldn't even be here if our perception were actually as flaky as you represent.

The perceptual systems have evolved to maintain general constancy of perception over a wide range of conditions because constancy of perception has strong survival value. E.g., size constancy over a wide range of distances helps us identify objects and their relatively value or threat to us. Without size

constancy, a somewhat distant and dangerous tiger might appear no larger than a nearby harmless pussy cat. It's a good thing we can distinguish between the two with high reliability and take appropriate action.

Honestly, as you look about, do you see the colors of objects constantly shifting, objects changing form, spontaneously moving about, changing depth, changing size, etc.? No, your visual world is quite stable and constant. Because of that constancy, you are able to carry out your daily business in a usually predictable way and usually without incident. Visual illusions in daily life and normal viewing conditions are usually rare and minor.

How could any of this be if it were really a case that none of our visual perceptions were correct or reliable?

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 22](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 17:17:09 -0500 (CDT)  
Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 09:38:43 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 08:35:11 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 12:03:21 -0400 (EDT)  
>>Subject: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>Callback conversation with reporter revealed the following info:  
>>reporter states they were just departing ... and upon switching  
>>frequency heard the description of a missile launch. The other  
>>crew indicated they had seen missiles launched in Las Vegas and  
>>this looked just like it.

>Just who was launching missiles in Las Vegas? They don't launch  
>missiles from the Air Force base north of Las Vegas, so far as I  
>know. I've never heard of any supposed missile launches from  
>Area 51, either. I wonder what this other crew was talking  
>about.....

I had an uncle who was a Montana Forest Ranger in the mid-  
sixties who saw a couple of UFOs. One, he described as a  
"rocket" zooming along just above the treetops in a valley he  
was in.

Only later did he become convinced that it was a Cruise Missile  
test.

The other UFO was your basic silver saucer, parked on a small  
field below where he and some other Rangers were working. It  
eventually rose up and flew away. He's pretty sure that one  
wasn't a Cruise Missile.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 22](#)

## Re: The Bible-UFO Connection - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 19:25:46 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 09:40:48 -0400  
Subject: Re: The Bible-UFO Connection - Gehrman

>From: Julia Denton <[ybr2.nul](mailto:ybr2.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 20 Jul 2006 12:08:38 -0400  
>Subject: Re: The Bible-UFO Connection

>Or, on the other hand (which might actually just be the flip  
>side of the above) who says aliens weren't created through  
>evolution relative to the conditions on their own planets,  
>either?

Hi Julia,

Subject to evolution? I agree. And who is to say that the creatures who fly the craft we see invading our air-space are not our mammalian brother and sisters, a little older and wiser, and perhaps frightened and perplexed by human behavior, but as I've mentioned many times, still looking for love.

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 22](#)

## Crop Circle Formation Report #2 Schomberg Ontario

From: Paul Anderson <[paulanderson.nul](mailto:paulanderson.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 19:43:44 -0700  
Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 09:45:18 -0400  
Subject: Crop Circle Formation Report #2 Schomberg Ontario

Crop Circle Formation Report #2 - Schomberg, Ontario

Ringed circle with eight connecting spokes, in sorghum, approximately 18 metres (60 feet) diameter. Found by hayriders on August 17 or 18. Investigation is still on-going by CCCRN Ontario. Initial details, ground photos and diagrams are now posted. This is the second reported formation for 2006 and the first known Canadian formation in sorghum. Further details pending.

<http://www.cccrn.ca/formationarchive2006.html>

Odd Pattern In Wheat Field Near Leader, Saskatchewan

Unusual semi-geometric pattern in wheat, found by pilot and CCCRN Saskatchewan assistant John Erickson on August 16. North of Leader in south-west Saskatchewan. Not being classified as a regular formation at this point, as plants apparently not flattened, and weeds are growing in the pattern area. While there may easily be a prosaic explanation, the cause is currently unknown. Aerial photos are now posted. Further details pending.

<http://www.cccrn.ca/leader2006.html>

---

Canadian Crop Circle Research Network  
<http://www.cccrn.ca>

The Prairie Circular  
the weblog of the canadian crop circle research network  
<http://www.theprairiecircular.blogspot.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 22](#)

## Re: 1965 UFO Activity In Wise County Virginia? -

From: **Bob Shell** <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 08:17:46 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 09:46:43 -0400  
Subject: Re: 1965 UFO Activity In Wise County Virginia? -

>From: Jerry Hamm <[zoomarl.nul](mailto:zoomarl.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 11:47:14 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: 1965 UFO Activity In Wise County Virginia?

>I'm searching for any kind of UFO activity -- or anything else  
>strange - reported in the Coeburn, VA (Wise County) area during  
>the summer of 1965.

I've lived in SW Virginia all my life, and have been interested in UFOs since my teens. Other than the usual reports of sightings now and then, I know of no concentration of UFO reports anywhere other than Wytheville, VA, in the 60s. I don't remember any reports from Wise County.

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 22](#)

## Re: UFOs or Not? - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 08:36:24 EDT  
Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 09:48:41 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs or Not? - Randle

>From: Lan Fleming <[lfleming6.nul](mailto:lfleming6.nul)>  
>To: UFOUpdates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 19:02:29 -0500  
>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 06:10:23 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

<snip>

>>The balloon cluster launched later that day was the alleged #9,  
>>which isn't recorded in Mogul records, just like #4. According  
>>to Pflock's book (based on Moore's recollections), if the  
>>flights were scrubbed, they released the already-filled balloons  
>>anyway sans any equipment. These were not tracked and the flight  
>>numbers not recorded.

>Quick question, David. When you say the balloons were released  
>without any equipment, does that mean there were no radar  
>reflectors on Flight 4? Or were the balloons still launched with  
>the reflectors because it wasn't worth the effort to remove  
>them? If the former were true, it would be a fairly important  
>point, since the radar reflectors were used to explain the  
>presence of foil and "I-beams" in the recovered wreckage as part  
>of the Mogul balloon train.

Lan, David, List, All -

If I might jump in here, Charles Moore told me that what happened when a flight was canceled was that they stripped all the reusable equipment from the array but, and I remember this clearly, he said they couldn't stuff the helium back into the bottles so they let the balloons go. In other words, neither Flight No. 4, the final culprit according to Pflock, the Air Force and others, nor Flight No. 9, the original culprit, had any equipment left on them. They would have been strings of balloons and that would be it. This is a point that I have made a number of times... even if Flight No. 4 fell on the ranch managed by Mack Brazel, there would have been nothing metallic for him to find... no broken up pieces of rawin target scattered over the ground... just the deflated, or partially deflated weather balloons made of neoprene (because the first flights using the new polyethylene would be made until after Flight No. 4... Flight No. 9. is irrelevant because we know what happened to it and that's the reason they began looking at another flight).

Charles Moore also told me, and many others, if there was, in fact a gouge (as reported by Bill Brazel), then the balloon answer doesn't work because the balloons were not heavy enough to gouge the soil.

Mogul is not a viable answer because of this... and the fact that the flights and equipment were not secret. Notice of the flights were required by the FAA (in 1947 the CAA lest anyone think I wasn't paying attention this time) in their NOTAMS. The officers at Roswell has also been told of the experiments.

Charles Moore said that the officers couldn't be bothered with helping out the college boys because they had real work to do. I've always thought that Moore's attitude smacked of payback...

In fact in Roswell Remembered, a video done by Russ Estes, there is an amusing incident in the interview with Moore. I wanted him to confirm, just for the record that we were talking about Project Mogul and he danced around my questions, refusing to give a straight answer. You finally hear Russ asking him basically the same question and Moore answering it straight forward. Moore just wasn't going to answer my questions because I was "the enemy."

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 22](#)

## Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 08:47:04 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 09:51:26 -0400  
Subject: Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal

>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 20:36:30 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal Encounters

<snip>

>Kudos to the Paracast crew. Moseley is best known as the "Court  
>Jester" these days (whether you love him or loathe him for that  
>is a matter of taste), but he's been around as long as anyone in  
>ufology, met everyone, and done some quality first-hand research  
>and investigation himself that has often gone overlooked.

>It's good to see folks still paying attention, and giving Jim a  
>platform beyond Saucer Smear.

>I'll be tuned in.

This is good for those who view the field as Entertainment, but  
Moseley views the genre from a sociological perspective that  
many researchers find offensive.

He was a close associate of the late Gray Barker for many years,  
who researched and hoaxes UFOs to record the reactions of  
people. He was also a close friend of Karl Pflock, who recently  
passed away.

Barker and Moseley have been entertaining in the field, but have  
done little to bring credibility to the genre as a science. But,  
IMO, the field has failed itself for not clearly defining itself  
and establishing some form of rules of evidence. But that's  
another issue for a different discussion.

Steve

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 22](#)

## Re: Apollo UFOs - Smith

From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 09:17:44 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 09:53:09 -0400  
Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs - Smith

>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 10:48:02 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 10:49:31 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>A narrow range of  
>>angles are required to hit the surface and create a non-point-  
>>like flash. Also, given the lunar surface is not flat, the odds  
>>of getting the right impact slope/terrain to keep from "hitting  
>>a wall" or too steep a slope seems pretty remote. Much more  
>>likely to see a flash from a more or less vertical meteor hit.

>Whatever likelihood exists for such glancing impacts on a flat  
>surface wouldn't seem to be dramatically altered by introducing  
>perturbations in the topography, since as many new candidates  
>will be added as are lost, unless the scale of "roughness" (mean  
>separation between peaks and valleys) is comparable to or  
>smaller than the zone of interaction between the meteor and the  
>surface. I suspect that most of the moon is not that rough.

But the astronaut during Apollo 17 said the streak was "near the  
crater at the north edge of Grimaldi; the fairly sharp one to  
the north (small crater north of Grimaldi B ) is where there was  
just a thin streak of light."

Looking at a topographic map:

<http://www.lpi.usra.edu/resources/mapcatalog/LAC/lac74/150dpi.jpg>

shows that the area is craterous/mountainous. If we were talking  
about inside the main Grimaldi crater, then I would to agree  
with you, its pretty flat. But with the relatively rough terrain  
north of it, then a shallow meteor strike (if it was one) is  
much less likely.

>>Perhaps these were caused by transient lunar phenomena (whatever  
>>that is). Of maybe Hoagland's high lunar glass towers.

>The location coincidence is clearly a bigger problem. I guess I  
>could believe two sightings of parallel streaks separated by a  
>very brief interval, but as I gather from your post of 14 Apr,  
>these sightings were on separate missions altogether.

When I have time I will try to figure out how they deduced the  
location of the Apollo 16 flash. One thing that doesn't seem to  
exist on the Web is a map of all the passes for each Apollo. I  
have to try to deduce it from photo times ofr the Lunar Mapping  
camera.

>Never heard of Hoagland's glass towers, but they can't be much more  
>far-fetched than meteor impact-prone real estate.

Richard Hoagland and his friends promote a theory based on  
various images from Lunar Orbiter and Apollo that seem to show

glass-like shattered high altitude spires from ancient domes.

Although the Lunar Orbiter image seems convincing, its hard to confirm such a theory. They even suggested one Surveyor crashed into one! One would have thought with Clementine and Smart-1, such conjectures could be dismissed, but they generally performed nadir visioning and glass structures would be pretty invisible. Laser ot radar ranging should address it, but Clementine's laser ranging was somewhat limited and poor. An Indian lunar satellite will perform radar imaging in a few years.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 22](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 09:43:09 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 09:56:35 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 09:38:02 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 12:03:21 -0400 (EDT)  
>>Subject: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>I am posting the following message for Mr. Ray Stanford. I shall  
>respond in a separate email.

>-----

>Subject: August 8, 1996: Report from near Snow Hill, Maryland,  
>of rocket "within 3 miles..." of aircraft.  
>Date: Aug 19, 2006 12:15 PM  
>Dinosaur Tracker <[dinosaurtracker.nul](mailto:dinosaurtracker.nul)>

>Please do me the favor of passing this on to UFO UpDates:

>In your post to UFO UpDates, Friday, August 18, you quote a  
>rocket-seen-by-flight-crew case, number 34083, August, 1996,  
>from the vicinity of Snow Hill, Maryland, as said to have been  
>observed from 41,000 feet cruise altitude. The reporting flight  
>crew member(s?) is (are) in all likelihood correct in  
>identifying the object as a vertically climbing rocket, but very  
>likely incorrect about it being, "...within 3 mi." of their  
>position.

>How so? While Snow Hill may sound as though the town might be  
>located in high north-western Maryland, it is actually in low-  
>land far-south-eastern part of the state. The NASA Wallops  
>Island Flight Center (where rockets have been rather frequently  
>launched) is only 22 to 23 miles south (and very slightly west)  
>of Snow Hill, Maryland. Usually, the air in the vicinity of  
>41,000 feet is so clear as to make a missile launch at the  
>distance of the NASA launch facility at Wallops Island easily  
>misperceived as much closer.

>I am confident the NASA personnel responsible for aircraft  
>safety were very much aware of the aircraft's being at a safe  
>distance, even if the observer from the aircraft was unaware of  
>the launch facility at Wallops Island, while misperceiving the  
>launch distance in the clear air.

>I am copying this to Ted Roe of NARCAP, since, if I read your  
>letter correctly, you found the report via the NARCAP website.

---

In an attempt to verify Mr. Stanford's conjecture, I tried to  
find the exact date of the Snow Hill event. I found a reference  
in a newspaper to this sighting.

Sept 8 , 1996  
"Pilot says missile passed his plane"  
The Associated Press

SHINNECOCK, N.Y.: Weeks after the TWA Flight 800 explosion and hundreds of miles away, an American Airlines pilot claimed he saw a missile pass by his jetliner in flight, federal investigators said Saturday.

While the two cases were being investigated separately, the report fits a scenario that is one of the theories under consideration in the TWA case that a missile brought down the jumbo jet July 17 killing all 230 people aboard. The National Transportation Safety Board said the pilot on an Aug 29 American Airlines flight from San Juan, Puerto Rico, to Boston said he saw a missile pass his Boeing 757 as it flew over Wallops Island. Va.

The island, where the National Aeronautics and Space Administration has a program for unmanned research rockets is about 220 miles south of the TWA crash site.

"We got a report of it through our normal channels and assigned an investigator to it," said NTSB spokesman Peter Goelz "We're going to look into it. So far. we have not been able to confirm anything "

The FAA report sounds fairly similar in that it is in August 1996, occurs fairly near Wallops Island, involved a 757, involved a missile.

Assuming this described case is the same as the FAA report, then it happened on Aug 29, 1996.

Searching the launch database at:

<http://www.planet4589.org/space/lvdb/index.html>

I found one item that was launched at Wallops that day. It was a test of a USAF Black Brant VC rocket. Launch tag "1996-R4112-001". Apogee is listed as "250km?". Only limited data was available for this launch (since it was done by the USAF.) The launch data source was the New York Times of 9/9/1996 (I don't have access to it unfortunately). No exact time of launch is given.

It is disappointing that the FAA did not update their report with this data which one would hope was available to them at the time.

This data confirms Mr. Stanford's assertion and this particular case seems to be a UFO no longer.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 22](#)

## Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles - Beasley

From: **Craig Beasley** <[fallingleaf.nul](mailto:fallingleaf.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 08:50:06 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 09:59:23 -0400  
Subject: Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles - Beasley

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 10:57:10 EDT  
>Subject: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles

<snip>

>The STS or Space Shuttle is due to be mothballed in a few years  
>and the new CEV, Crew Exploration Vehicle program is to take  
>it's place.

>Do any of you space flight Pros or aficionados feel these new  
>space craft are the best direction and the missions worth the  
>risk and costs?

Greg,

Since the space industry is my bread and butter, I admit to some bias, but here's my take:

CEV is a good solid system to do what we're laying out, namely Moon missions, and later Mars. A lot of the wonderful complexity of Shuttle goes directly into raw operation of the craft itself, and as we've all seen, this can have consequences. Of course, only something like Shuttle can bring massive things back to earth or perform refit work, a la the Hubble telescope. Shuttle has been necessary.

For lunar missions, where we will not be returning significant mass, a capsule gets the job done handily. And there is something to be said for not being strapped to the side of a hydrogen-oxygen balloon! Overall, the complexity of the system is rather low, and we designers and mission planners have excess capacity available to build a robust, versatile system.

The later path of CEV for deep-space travel to Mars is also served well by the experience of building the International Space Station.

My final analysis? Expect good things from CEV.

J. Craig Beasley

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 22](#)

## Re: Military Docs Info - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 09:51:53 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 10:01:20 -0400  
Subject: Re: Military Docs Info - Kaeser

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 16:15:41 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Military Docs Info

<snip>

>Could I ask a (slightly) relevant question:

>Are Hollis Kimball and Paul Kimball related?

This question has been asked on the List and I'm curious as well (however, not too concerned).

>Supplementary question:

>Are two other Listers, Tim Shell and Bob Shell related?

Bob Shell and Tim Shell are two different people, I believe, with Bob getting his email through his own photography Domain (bobshell.com). Tim's "stance" on the subject appears to be different than Bob's, but perhaps we have to take into account Multiple Personality Syndrome (MPS)... <VBG>

Steve

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 22](#)

## Re: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is -

From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 09:53:23 EDT  
Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 10:02:59 -0400  
Subject: Re: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is -

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 13:05:00 EDT  
>Subject: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is

>Source: NASA Ames

>Ames people charted the origins of life in the universe, and  
>breathed life into the field of exobiology. This group led,  
>among other things, the life detection experiments flown aboard  
>the Viking Lander on Mars, and technologies for the biological  
>quarantine of planets. Ames also provided the first home for  
>SETI, the scientists leading the search for extraterrestrial  
>intelligence, as well as the home of the Kepler project to chart  
>other places in the universe that may nurture life.

>Building on this accumulated expertise in the prospects of life  
>in the universe, Ames people created the NASA Astrobiology  
>Institute. Astro-biology is arguably the hottest new scientific  
>discipline'.

>---

>In addition to the Kepler project, commonly referred to as the  
>Terrestrial Planet Finder (with a \$300 million price tag), NASA  
>Project 'SOFIA' is scheduled to be airborne by this fall.

>My point here? Scientists are interested in ETs, so much so  
>that they are 'putting their money where their mouth is'.

Does anybody recall the name of the Government study which  
stated that if evidence for extraterrestrial life was found,  
that such should be withheld from the public?

Mr. Kimball seems to be implying that there is no cover-up as  
NASA, and the like, desperately want to find evidence for other  
life in the universe.

The problems is that Mr. Kimball is not considering the impact  
on society if intelligent extraterrestrials are visiting Earth  
vs. humans discovering alien microbes on another planet.

The keyword here is 'power'. If aliens are indeed visiting the  
planet then they possess power of us. If we discover alien  
microbes living on a new world then we likewise possess power  
over them.

This is, in my opinion, the true motive for the  
Military/Government cover-up, mainly that extraterrestrials are  
indeed visiting the earth and that we are not as 'in control' as  
we would like to be.

- Jason Gammon

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 22](#)

## Kinross Solved?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 11:30:03 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 11:30:03 -0400  
Subject: Kinross Solved?

Below is a forwarded-post from the Current Encounters List.

I've tried to track-down the original on the Web, with no success.

The link at the bottom of Francis' post works and leads to a 'Flash' website. The report, with images, is accessed by clicking 'New Projects' then 'Search For F-89 Scorpion'.

ebk

-----

From: Francis Ridge <[nicap.nul](mailto:nicap.nul)>  
To: [current-encounters.nul](mailto:current-encounters.nul)  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 06:49:15 -0500  
Subject: Kinross Solved?

Forwarded Message

Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 19:25:33 -0700 (PDT)  
From: Preston Miller <[prestonfenner.nul](mailto:prestonfenner.nul)>  
Subject: Kinross incident update  
To: [nicap.nul](mailto:nicap.nul)

Hi Francis,

Thought you might be interested in this.

Preston

Great Lakes Mystery Solved, Another Begins

Port Huron, MI (AP) -- A group of Michigan divers operating a next generation sophisticated side scan sonar have answered one of the Great Lakes enduring mysteries, and may have uncovered another.

In 1953, during the infancy of the Cold War, a U.S. Airforce F-89 Scorpion fighter jet disappeared over Lake Superior while trying to intercept and investigate an unusual radar blip. The wreckage of the plane and bodies of the two pilots had never been found.

"We feel bittersweet" said Great Lakes Dive Company spokesman Adam Jimenez. "I mean, on one hand we set out to answer this thing and did, but on the other hand you realize this was a tragedy that claimed the lives of two American pilots."

Well known among UFO enthusiasts, the incident involving the disappearance of the F-89 has been called Michigan's "Roswell". "Certainly this was a mysterious event, and needed to be solved. There was a tremendous amount of unanswered questions related to this matter." said Jimenez. The U.S. military originally claimed that the fighter jet had collided with a Canadian transport

plane, a version of events dismissed by the Canadian government.

Jimenez went on to say that the plane is mostly intact and lying upright in deep water. "Frankly, we came away surprised. We expected at best, to locate an engine, wing or other small debris. Finding the plane together was really unexpected." And then the mystery deepened.

"In searching the general vicinity of the wreck, we believe we have also found a part of the object that the F-89 collided with. We are still in the process of documenting the mystery object." Jimenez declined to elaborate on what the object is. "There is still a lot of wreck site forensics to complete" he said.

A feature length documentary on the history, search and discovery of the F-89 and the mystery object is being planned.

The Great Lakes Dive Company

[www.greatlakesdive.com](http://www.greatlakesdive.com)

is a group of Michigan natives with the common interest of shipwreck hunting and historical preservation.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 22](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 10:05:07 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 17:16:43 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 08:35:11 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 12:03:21 -0400 (EDT)  
>>Subject: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>Callback conversation with reporter revealed the following info:  
>>reporter states they were just departing ... and upon switching  
>>frequency heard the description of a missile launch. The other  
>>crew indicated they had seen missiles launched in Las Vegas and  
>>this looked just like it.

>Just who was launching missiles in Las Vegas? They don't launch  
>missiles from the Air Force base north of Las Vegas, so far as I  
>know. I've never heard of any supposed missile launches from  
>Area 51, either. I wonder what this other crew was talking  
>about.....

Yes, its unlikely they tested any in Las Vegas. But aside from  
amateur rocket launches of which I have no data on launch sites,  
there are some official launch sites used by the government.

Las Vegas is 36 deg North and 115 deg West.

Here are the official orbital and suborbital launch sites  
(currently active) for that area from:

<http://planet4589.org/space/lvdb/sdb/Sites>

Area 26, Nevada Test Site  
36.75, -116.11 from 1997 to today, US DOE

Tonopah Test Range, Nevada  
37.75, -116.75, from 1957 to today,

Sandia HAD Launcher No. 4, Tonopah Test Range, Nevada  
37.75, -116.7500, from 1957 to today, Sandia

Area 26 seems pretty close, so perhaps this was where they saw  
the launch.

>Phenomenon/phenomena. Phenomenon is singular, phenomena is  
>plural. Just a reminder.

Thanks.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |



[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 22](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 10:24:15 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 17:18:29 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:25:03 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 12:03:21 -0400 (EDT)  
>>Subject: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>When is a rocket or missile a UFO and, regardless of its name,  
>>when does the government do anything about it?

>Never, for two reasons. If it's a rocket, it's their fault and  
>extremely embarrassing never mind deadly and will never make the  
>papers. As for the second reason, if it's a UFO see the plethora  
>of blow-offs since 1947.

Yes, but by "their fault" do you mean they accidently launched  
it or incorrectly controlled it? Or is it their fault because  
they allowed an enemy missile (or hobbyist or someone like Homer  
Hickam) to be launched and could not control such activities?

>>There have been a lot of reported UFO cases in which the UFO  
>>appeared to be a rocket or missile. As usual, when reported by  
>>the public, these are ignored. However, when commercial  
>>pilots report them, perhaps the government should do something  
>>about them. When terrorists threaten to blow up aircraft with  
>>shoulder launched missiles (e.g. perhaps TWA800)

>More like a destroyer doing exercises in the ADIZ directly below  
>it.

Yes, I have heard that theory.

>>and thousands of  
>>missiles are launched at Israel, perhaps the government should  
>>take these "UFOs" seriously and take action, rather than wait  
>>for disaster.

>>Remember that odd official public release from the DOD that in  
>>November 2002 the North American Aerospace Defense Command  
>>began receiving reports of a contrail of unknown origin in the  
>>air over the Caribbean? It stated that fighter jets were  
>>scrambled from several bases to intercept the unknown that was  
>>heading northwest toward the U.S., but reportedly, nothing was  
>>spotted. Also commercial airline pilots later reported the  
>>contrail over Florida and later over Indiana. Why would the  
>>DOD draw so much attention to this "contrail" when they could  
>>have hushed it up as usual? ...

>I'm doing a magazine article on the above and I do have  
>documentation but that's for the article.

I searched the satellite databases pretty thoroughly during that  
day and could not see any weird contrails. If you have an exact  
time of day and locations where people saw the thing, I can  
relook for you.

>>I first came across these databases when I read the NARCAP  
>>report: "Aviation Safety in America: A Previously Neglected  
>>Factor" by Dr. Haines. I was impressed that they included  
>>commercial/professional pilot reports that include possible  
>>references to UFOs.

>It's been out there for 5+ years now. I've been the Canadian rep  
>for nearly that long.

>>These interesting databases (which can be found at the FAA web  
>>site) are ...

>NASA supports this data-base. Dick once told me that out of the  
>630,000 incident reports in the data-base about 36,000 of them  
>are UFO reports. Pilots use ASRS to whistleblow on their  
>industry about unsafe incidents that they couldn't tell their  
>own superiors or complain to the companies about, and there is  
>lots to complain about; and it's going to get worse as airlines  
>cut corners.

Dr. Haines deduces that the 36000 are related to UFOs, but its  
really not that conclusive. A lot of cases of unknown aircraft  
that don't show up on radar, but the pilots usually don't say  
they aren't aircraft. Sometimes balloons or missiles.

>>It is interesting is that the government willingly displays  
>>information that I would have thought would freak out the  
>>public. My main question is, to what end? They seem to want to  
>>disclose the problem but offer no solution. They basically  
>>ignore many cases, somewhat like the UFO phenomena.  
>>The main freakout data I am referring to in this posting  
>includes reports of rockets or missiles (or what they would  
>>call UFOs if it didn't have such a stigma) passing the  
>>aircraft, but I could, if I wanted, include "unknown" aircraft  
>>that do not show up on radar but which cause evasive action by  
>>the pilot, objects hitting the aircraft at high altitudes  
>>(unlikely to be birds), and illumination/ tracking of the  
>>aircraft by light (sometimes lasers).

>You are correct. Pilots often report them as missiles or  
>balloons rather than having to go through the crap they do when  
>they report a UFO. It's even worse now because the airlines are  
>suffering from flight reductions and the terrorist threats and  
>anything that could affect ticket sales is to be squashed. I  
>have a Canadian report of an airliner reporting a "weather"  
>balloon recently while at cruise and at 38,000 feet. Having seen  
>six weather balloons at my low altitudes at only 120 mph for the  
>few seconds it too to see it and zip by it, acquiring one  
>visually, identifying it in the tenth of a second you have while  
>at Mach.87 frankly stretches the limits of credibility. The fact  
>that they even had their eyes outside the cockpit is  
>interesting. Weather balloons very often carry packages aloft  
>weighing a few ounces that wouldn't matter much unless you hit  
>it at Mach.87. But nobody attempts to track a weather balloon  
>back to source. They are just an acceptable hazard, right.  
>Ingesting one of these could be serious as well possibly leading  
>to a compressor stall.

Can you explain why so many cases are reported in which aircraft  
cannot be painted by ATC radar?

This seems pretty serious too.

If they can't track regular aircraft (and balloons/missiles),  
then how can we hope that UFOs can be tracked at all with radar?

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 22](#)

## Re: 1965 UFO Activity In Wise County Virginia? -

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 10:29:23 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 17:20:12 -0400  
Subject: Re: 1965 UFO Activity In Wise County Virginia? -

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 08:17:46 -0400  
>Subject: Re: 1965 UFO Activity In Wise County Virginia?

>>From: Jerry Hamm <[zoomarl.nul](mailto:zoomarl.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 11:47:14 -0700 (PDT)  
>>Subject: 1965 UFO Activity In Wise County Virginia?

>>I'm searching for any kind of UFO activity -- or anything else  
>>strange - reported in the Coeburn, VA (Wise County) area during  
>>the summer of 1965.

>I've lived in SW Virginia all my life, and have been interested  
>in UFOs since my teens. Other than the usual reports of  
>sightings now and then, I know of no concentration of UFO  
>reports anywhere other than Wytheville, VA, in the 60s. I don't  
>remember any reports from Wise County.

Hi Bob,

When I worked radio news in the Shenandoah Valley, I spoke with the owner/operator of the Front Royal Airport (which was a long paved strip for small planes), and during idle conversation said that he had once witnessed a cigar-shaped craft floating up the Shenandoah Valley in the mid-60's.

However, I was asking him questions in the mid-70's and that was too far back to be a story, so I didn't pursue it.

This was a single anecdotal tale from the "good ol' boy" Airport manager, so there wasn't much to follow up on.

Steve

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 22](#)

## Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 09:42:12 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 17:22:25 -0400  
Subject: Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal

>From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 08:47:04 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal Encounters

>>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 20:36:30 EDT  
>>Subject: Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal Encounters

>This is good for those who view the field as Entertainment, but  
>Moseley views the genre from a sociological perspective that  
>many researchers find offensive.

"Sociological perspective" is what trained professionals such as Ron Westrum, Brenda Denzler, Mark Rodeghier, Eddie Bullard, and Robert Bartholomew have provided, along with well-informed, articulate non-professionals like Hilary Evans, Robert Bartholomew, the Magonia crew, and others. That's a whole lot more than can be said of Moseley, who has seen the UFO scene largely as a personal playpen. Overwhelmingly, his focus has been on pretty trivial (albeit sometimes entertaining) matters, which is why I called the long critical essay I wrote on him The Trivialist, (IUR, Summer 2002, pp. 15-19,29-30). His career on the scene has been both extended and unique.

As I remarked in The UFO Encyclopedia, Saucer News and the subsequent Saucer Smear have value for their coverage of feuds, outlandish claims, and fringe personalities ignored in more serious UFO literature (which is to say literature focused on the phenomenon itself and on thoughtful analyses thereof). Saucer News in particular was of great help to me as I sought to reconstruct the early social history of ufology and saucerianism. But I never mistook the publication (or its successor) for sociology. At their best, Saucer News was and Saucer Smear is good for a laugh, but sociology it is not.

I think, Steve, that you meant the same thing I've said here, but just happened to throw in a misleading adjective.

Jerry Clark

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 22](#)

## Re: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is -

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 11:47:07 -0300  
Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 17:27:13 -0400  
Subject: Re: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is -

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 13:05:00 EDT  
>Subject: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is

>Source: NASA Ames

<snip>

>In addition to the Kepler project, commonly referred to as the  
>'Terrestrial Planet Finder (with a \$300 million price tag), NASA  
>Project 'SOFIA' is scheduled to be airborne by this fall.

>My point here? Scientists are interested in ETs, so much so  
>that they are 'putting their money where their mouth is'.

Sorry, but that is taxpayers' money not the money of the  
scientists.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 22](#)

## Chupacabras Strikes Again In Jalpatagua

From: **Scott Corrales** <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 11:01:47 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 17:36:04 -0400  
Subject: Chupacabras Strikes Again In Jalpatagua

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
08.22.06

Source: Miami UFO Center  
Date: 08/22/06

Chupacabras Strikes Again In Jalpatagua

Residents of the Municipality of Jalpatagua, Jutiapa, are alarmed by the deaths of several farm animals. Apparently the so-called Chupacabras is on the prowl again, since all of the sheep have been found dead under mysterious circumstances.

Members of a family discovered the remains of several sheep slain in a mysterious fashion; it appears that another animal attacked them but merely drained their blood instead of devouring them.

Since then, they decided to keep watch over the sector for several evenings. However, no predator was found even while the beast kept attacking small animals.

One of the witnesses to the sheep deaths noted that in a single night, they found four dead animals lined up, presenting bite-marks on their necks.

Some residents have speculated that there is a tigrillo (jaguar) on the loose. However, given the manner in which the animals are being attacked, others point to the involvement of the Chupacabras.

The fact of the matter is that not even the authorities have been able to explain the situation, and the mystery continues.

-----

(translation (c) 2006, S. Corrales, IHU  
Special thanks to Virgilio Sanchez Ocejó

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 22](#)

## UFOs Involved In Forced Landing Of Cuban Plane?

From: **Scott Corrales** <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 11:01:56 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 17:40:41 -0400  
Subject: UFOs Involved In Forced Landing Of Cuban Plane?

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
08.22.06

Source: Miami UFO Center and El Nuevo Herald  
Date: 08.20.06

Cuba: Were UFOs Involved in the Forced Landing of A Private Plane?

Note: This is part of an article that appeared today in Miami's El Nuevo Herald concerning a recording in which Raul Castro discusses the forced landing of a private plane by Cuban MIGs on February 24, 1996.

Raul Ordered Private Plane To Land  
by Wilfredo Cancio Isla  
El Nuevo Herald

The recording corroborates multiple information regarding the events that took place with regard to the incident and contributes details on the condition of high alert generated among the [Cuban] military hierarchy and the government in the days following February 24.

The tension surrounding the military commands at the time gave rise to special reasons for protecting the travels of Fidel Castro within the country and the presence of the highest government figures in mass political mobilizations, according to the tape.

In the tape, Raul explains that in recent days he had to issue a forced landing order to the airplane conveying Fidel Castro from Ciego de Avila, since the San Antonio de los Baños air base had detected "several contacts in the center of the Havana province."

"When [Fidel] was heading there [Havana], I gave the order to land him in Varadero. It was necessary to say that the order was mine," he says. "Make them land in Varadero! And he, [Fidel] ordered them to comply."

When mentioning the mid-air contacts, Raul says that "we called them UFOs" but does not explain if they were U.S. probe aircraft or artificial electronic interference on the radar screen.

=3D=3D=3D=3D=3D=3D=3D=3D=3D=3D=3D=3D=3D=3D=3D=3D=3D=3D=3D  
For more information:

<http://www.miami.com/mld/elnuevo/news/world/15315496.htm>

(translation (c) 2006. S. Corrales, IHU.  
Special thanks to Virgilio Sanchez Ocejó, Miami UFO Center

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles - Reason

From: **Cathy Reason** <[CathyM.nul](mailto:CathyM.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 16:02:47 +0100  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 06:05:40 -0400  
Subject: Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles - Reason

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 10:57:10 EDT  
>Subject: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles

<snip>

>The STS or Space Shuttle is due to be mothballed in a few years  
>and the new CEV, Crew Exploration Vehicle program is to take  
>it's place.

>Do any of you space flight Pros or aficionados feel these new  
>space craft are the best direction and the missions worth the  
>risk and costs?

I'm going beyond my area of knowledge here, but I'll just repeat  
what a friend of mine who is a PR consultant said when he heard  
about this - that it had all the hallmarks of a classic  
political scam.

In his view, the Moon/Mars program was simply a political  
camouflage to cover the phasing out of the shuttle and its  
replacement by a cheaper, less sophisticated system. The Moon  
and Mars landings, in his opinion, will never actually happen.

Cathy

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Shough

From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 16:06:20 +0100  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 06:10:09 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Shough

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 21:08:03 EDT  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 15:43:38 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

>>And we're still waiting for those "\_real\_unexplained cases" you  
>>wanted to talk about Hollis. Are you getting cold feet, or just  
>>being coy with us?

>Martin;

>No, I'm not getting 'cold feet', nor am I being coy be any  
>means. My original post was a question regarding possible\_real\_  
>cases, such as some of the historic 'unknowns' Blue Book ones,  
>or whatever.

Hi Hollis

Was it? How are we supposed to conclude this? You dismissed one  
"historic BB unknown" (Socorro) off the bat as a mis-conception  
and (you clearly imply) a waste of our time. I think everyone  
who read your original post interpreted it to mean that you had  
reliable first hand sighting information you wanted to discuss.  
I doubt it could be interpreted any other way:

>"Are there UFOs? Yes. Have I  
>seen any? Yes. Do I know what I saw? No. Am I a credible  
>witness? Absolutely! Not like the... etc., etc. Is there any chance  
>anyone would want to talk about \_real\_unexplained cases of UFO  
>sightings? Your call...

Several people have "called". Go ahead. We're ready and waiting.  
But we can't tell whether you have a "real unexplained case" or  
even whether your are "absolutely credible" unless you give us  
the straight dope. Is that unreasonable or unclear?

Of course we may have misunderstood you - we're very silly here,  
as we all tell each other every so often. Maybe you're not  
claiming to be a witness at all. That's certainly the impression  
you are now creating. So lets forget that and talk about those  
"possible real cases" (whatever that is supposed to mean) and  
"historic BB unknowns" then.

>Of course, if further investigation results in  
>nothing to add, pro or con, then simply dead-file the case as  
>'Unknown'. Regarding the Socorro case:

Excuse me, but Socorro is precisely one of the cases you told us  
you were sick of hearing about and definitely not a "real"  
unknown, so you're obviously not going to get started on that  
one are you. Or are you?

>I see two probabilities. Either it was an alien spacecraft flown  
>by two alien beings in white coveralls, or:

Yes, you are! Well so much for Occam's razor.

>Considering all the available reported information, it was  
>simply an experimental test flight of a early prototype Lunar  
>Survey landing craft, using a Hughes Model 369 (OH-6 Cayuse),  
>aka the 'Flying Egg', helicopter as the carrier, which was flown  
>by a Hughes Aircraft Company (Culver City, CA) test pilot, along  
>with a Flight Test Engineer, which is the usual procedure. As  
>you all know, the Lunar craft was unable to lift it's own weight  
>in Earth's gravity, hence the helicopter requirement. The  
>landing test area selected for that flight was as similar to the  
>moon's known surface as possible.

You can't be expected to know the whole UpDates archive but back in Jan-Feb 2005 the difficult scenario of a balloon-suspended lander test-rig was debated at nauseating length and pushed to its limit and beyond on this List. Why? Because "considering all the available reported information" the racket of a 300 HP motor spinning a 26-foot rotor overhead is not exactly consistent with the total silence in which the object flew away. So it isn't "simple" - you've got some justifying to do here.

I know about the Surveyor helicopter drop tests, dangling by cable off its special side-mounted bracket. James Smith and I discussed this off-List at the time of the balloon-borne debate. We had drawings and technical specs (I've since lost all those emails - maybe James hasn't). We both thought it was intriguing but didn't advance the idea on-List. Apparently even we weren't daft enough to think we could make a silent helicopter fly! Can you?

Incidentally, the Lunar Surveyor series had three legs, and no occupants. The Socorro object had four legs, and two occupants. Unknown early large-scale manned prototype? Hmmm. If you have specs that match then it would be interesting. But you've still got that rotor noise etc to worry about.

>Now pardon my humility, but I have test flown NOT only the OH-6,  
>but the Bell OH-4 (Jet Ranger), and the Hiller OH-5, (later the  
>FH-1100 civilian version).

>Comment if you wish,

Why, thank you so much.

>but I have no interest in getting into a  
>'pizzing' contest with anyone.

Exactly what is it you do want, Hollis? If you want discussion of strong BB unknowns that you don't think are misinterpretations, hoaxes, etc, then I couldn't agree with you more. Believe me I've tried detailed nitty-gritty debate about "hard" cases on more than one occasion but it can be harder to fly that kite than your silent helicopter! For quite a few on this List it seems analysis = debunking. You've gotta be careful. But do try. Let's have your short-list.

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 12:10:40 -0300  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 06:13:16 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Friedman

>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 12:29:29 -0500 (CDT)  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 19:32:59 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

><snip>

>>If the appearance indicates it was manufactured, and the  
>>behavior indicates it couldn't have been made here, regardless  
>>of whether we see a license plate number, it can be listed as an  
>>extraterrestrial spacecraft.

>I just don't think we'll ever agree on this. Just because  
>something appears to be a solid, manufactured object that  
>exhibits characteristics or behavior apparently unlike  
>anything known on Earth, that does not mean it is an ET  
>spacecraft. Because the existence of ET life (much less  
>intelligence or society) has never been conclusively proven and  
>accepted, all we can say is that we don't know what it was.

>>Sorry, but we don't have to have the identity of the killer to  
>>know that a murder was committed. (Person or persons  
>>unknown). Object from an unknown civilization elsewhere.

>In a murder case, we are at least pretty sure that human beings  
>exist, and there's a very high probability - not 100% - one of  
>these humans committed the murder. We don't go off saying that  
>the murder was either committed by people or leprechauns, even  
>if the weight of evidence at the scene (bloody shamrocks,  
>shilleliegh wounds, empty bowl of Lucky Charms, etc.) points  
>strongly to a killer-leprechaun.

So we have a nice example of circular reasoning.

We supposedly haven't proof of the existence of ET life, so  
evidence that the crew of the airplane have just observed  
visually and on radar, along with radar on the ground, things  
whose appearance indicates manufacture and whose behavior  
indicates otherworldly, must be rejected... even though it is  
part of the evidence that is needed to establish ET life.

Those little guys seen in about 1/6th of the Physical Trace  
cases (some footprints, too) that Ted Phillips has collected  
can't be ETs because we haven't proven that ETs exist... I am  
sure many other examples come to mind of such false reasoning.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles - Boone

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 12:48:29 EDT  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 06:14:56 -0400  
Subject: Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles - Boone

>From: Craig Beasley <[fallingleaf.nul](mailto:fallingleaf.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 08:50:06 -0500  
>Subject: Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 10:57:10 EDT  
>>>Subject: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles

><snip>

>>The STS or Space Shuttle is due to be mothballed in a few years  
>>and the new CEV, Crew Exploration Vehicle program is to take  
>>it's place.

>>Do any of you space flight Pros or aficionados feel these new  
>>space craft are the best direction and the missions worth the  
>>risk and costs?

>Since the space industry is my bread and butter, I admit to some  
>bias, but here's my take:

<snip>

>My final analysis? Expect good things from CEV.

>J. Craig Beasley

Thanks J. Craig!

I'm pestering pals at NASA and JPL too ;)

The country could use another thrill of space flight adventure.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 11:56:24 -0500 (CDT)  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 06:17:00 -0400  
Subject: Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles - Shell

>From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 10:57:10 EDT  
>Subject: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles

>Do any of you space flight Pros or aficionados feel these new  
>space craft are the best direction and the missions worth the  
>risk and costs?

It all depends on what the ultimate purpose of space exploration is. If the ultimate goal is to have people zipping through space from planet to planet, Star Trek style, then we have to keep putting people in space. There's no way around it. If the ultimate goal, however, is to gather scientific information about the macrocosmic universe, then we can do it much safer and less expensively with robotic probes.

It can be argued that the most important lesson we've learned from several decades of manned space travel is that space is a lousy environment for human beings. We've had to cling tight to the planet and stay within its magnetosphere to avoid being toasted by solar flares and cosmic radiation, or perforated by micro-meteors. And we've had to limit the time people spend in space because of the bone loss problem.

The trips to the Moon were an amazing feat, but no missions have extended out to further distances, like Lagrange Points, for instance. Why? It's not because there isn't good science to be done. It's because at this point, it'd probably kill or at the very least sterilize anybody who went. Until we can figure out a way to take enough shielding or create enough gravity to protect ourselves, it's pretty pointless to send people into space. The old argument of "it's better to have a human being there to make decisions in case of an emergency" has pretty much been thrown out the window with the two Shuttle explosions.

But, hey, I'm not the one making the decisions. I don't get to vote on space program goals.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: The Black Vault's 10th Anniversary - Greenewald

From: John Greenewald <[john.nul](mailto:john.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 10:01:28 -0700  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 06:19:19 -0400  
Subject: Re: The Black Vault's 10th Anniversary - Greenewald

August 22, 2006, marks a very special day for me. Exactly ten years ago today, the United States Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) sent me a four page document that started one of the biggest things in my life =96 The Black Vault.

The document gave me the idea for a website that was doggedly devoted to archiving declassified U.S. Government documents. =46rom the UFO phenomenon to information on the JFK assassination, The Black Vault quickly grew to one of the top destinations online =96 anywhere in the world.

NBC, FOX, The History Channel, A&E, Discovery Channel, and many others carried the story of my work with The Black Vault. Since the dream came alive, hundreds of thousands of people logon and download over 1,000,000 pages and generate over 8,000,000 hits on the server =96 every month! They can read nearly 450,000 posts on the message forums, download over 441,000 government documents, and download ovey are only getting bigger.

Each day, The Black Ver 16,000 news articles. Since that attacks on the World Trade Center on 9/11, visitors to The Black Vault have downloaded well over 40,000,000 pages from the site. The stats go on, and thault grows in membership, documents, and information. I wanted to send a personal thank you to the thousands of supporters around the world to this website, myself, and the work I do.

Thank you to everyone=85

And just in case you haven=92t seen it, here is a link to the document that started it all:

<http://www.blackvault.com/documents/ufos/1976iranincident.pdf>

Very Sincerely,

John Greenewald, Jr.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Kinross Solved? - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 12:03:24 -0500 (CDT)  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 06:24:51 -0400  
Subject: Re: Kinross Solved? - Shell

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers -  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 11:30:03 -0400  
>Subject: Kinross Solved?

>Below is a forwarded-post from the Current Encounters List.

<snip>

>"In searching the general vicinity of the wreck, we believe we  
>have also found a part of the object that the F-89 collided  
>with. We are still in the process of documenting the mystery  
>object." Jimenez declined to elaborate on what the object is.  
>"There is still a lot of wreck site forensics to complete" he  
>said.

Well, they're certainly being cagey about the identification of  
"other object," aren't they? My guess is that it's a Mogul  
balloon.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Kinross Solved? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 14:17:58 -0300  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 06:28:37 -0400  
Subject: Re: Kinross Solved? - Ledger

>UFO UpDates - Toronto wrote:

>Below is a forwarded-post from the Current Encounters List.

>I've tried to track-down the original on the Web, with no success.

>The link at the bottom of Francis' post works and leads to a  
>'Flash' website. The report, with images, is accessed by  
>clicking 'New Projects' then 'Search For F-89 Scorpion'.

>ebk

>-----

>From: Francis Ridge <[nicap.nul](mailto:nicap.nul)>  
>To: [current-encounters.nul](mailto:current-encounters.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 06:49:15 -0500  
>Subject: Kinross Solved?

<snip>

>A feature length documentary on the history, search and  
>discovery of the F-89 and the mystery object is being planned.

>The Great Lakes Dive Company

>[www.greatlakesdive.com](http://www.greatlakesdive.com)

>is a group of Michigan natives with the common interest of  
>shipwreck hunting and historical preservation.

It might take awhile before we see the documentary. One assumes  
the registration number verifies this being Moncla's F-89C. If  
it is the plane it's condition suggests a ditching.

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Apollo UFOs - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 14:21:32 -0300  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 06:30:36 -0400  
Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs - Ledger

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 19:56:07 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 13:32:30 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>If I'm stung I can't find the mark. But this ship has sailed.  
>>I'm bored with the thread. It's degenerated into the usual BS.  
>>Have fun.

>Sadly, I'm afraid that happened as soon as you jumped into it,  
>Don.

Aww, Martin, you don't really mean that.

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 19:15:12 +0100  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 06:33:36 -0400  
Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Dickenson

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 14:28:10 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 17:08:47 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>Yes, thanks a lot David, a great post, really informative.

>><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2006/aug/m18-004.shtml>

>>It illustrates some of the ways we slightly mis-perceive almost  
>>everything around us - yet retain the conviction that 'seeing is  
>>believing'.

>I think this is an overstatement. Our visual perceptual systems  
>in most situations are actually quite stable and reliable. Like  
>any sensory system, including man-made ones, they can sometimes  
>be fooled.

<snip>

Hi David, while I agree the eye-brain combination (the brain can't actually see) has evolved to give a reasonable approximation of things 'natural' for survival purposes, it is notoriously easy to fool in certain surroundings.

- 1) perspective - try [ponzo.gif](#) in Google Images for several examples [Ponzo drew them apparently].
- 2) color - if a red and green object has the green half lightly shadowed, the eye-brain sees the whole thing as red, and vice-versa. Other colors are often 'decided' by association with familiar shapes.
- 3) motion you've already mentioned - 'looming' etc
- 4) sequence of perceived actions - if you push a button you can often see the indicator light before the push! Your cerebrum - the push decider - is slow to realize it's done, while your cerebellum is speedier in seeing the result, because that animal-like cerebellum is not part of the new brain. The fast cerebellum is heavily used while driving, playing sports - and all fear reactions.

Worst of all are perceived shapes and their associated emotions:- if it's something of a kind you've never seen before, then you could see virtually anything. If the brain has no template for it, it'll just grab whatever's handy, or expected - or feared. That's why adult folk who recover their sight after being blind from birth often see everything around them as 'monstrous' - even their loved ones.

Like you say, a person who's well-fed, well-rested and confident should have a stable and routine picture of the world. Another person might not. And neither will be exactly 'true'.

There are more classic illusions are in 'Light and Vision' - joint editors C.P. Snow, Prof. Henry Margenau, Prof. Ren=E9 Dubois, which also has on p. 154 - "If by 'correct' we mean that the visual system gives a true representation of the physical events in the environment, we must be prepared to place all visual phenomena in the category of illusion" - (their emphasis) and, in next paragraph - "An examination of most of the data of vision would reveal no examples of what could be accurately called 'correct' perception".

Cheers

Ray D

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Fields Of Fear - Rimmer

From: John Rimmer <[jrimmer.nul](mailto:jrimmer.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 19:26:04 +0100  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 06:36:21 -0400  
Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear - Rimmer

>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 11:05:36 +0100 (BST)  
>Subject: Re: Fields Of Fear

>Dear John,

>In my blog at:

><http://rickdotyismygod.blogspot.com/2006/08/pelican-roast.html>

>I pretty much accuse you of the following:

- >1. Actually making me physically sick by what you write.
- >2. Being a wimp.
- >3. Preferring some fuddy duddy, well past it, and highly irrelevant form of Ufology to the current reality.
- >4. Attempting to separate "a peculiarly English UFO and contactee narrative" from scepticism. They are one and the same.
- >5. Being a folklorist. Shame on you.
- >6. An implication of dubious behaviour with young men.
- >7. Lying.
- >8. Being anti-American.
- >9. Being unable to distinguish between an American and a Canadian. Try getting out more.
- >10. Being spiteful, malicious, having low self esteem, being jealous, bigotted and racist.
- >11. Being dry, dull, and dreary in your public speaking to the extent that you would have an audience reaching for smelling salts just to stay conscious, let alone awake. Oh, and being an amnesiac's dream.
- >12. Threatening to eat you if you venture north ever again.
- >13. Suggesting all pelicanists are labotomised automatons.
- >14. Err, that's it.

>In response to my suggestion on this List here

><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2006/aug/m12-008.shtml>

>that you read my blog, you wrote to me privately on Sunday August 13th saying;

>"Already seen it thanks. No worse than I expected."

>John Rimmer

>I can send you a copy if you want.

>You win John. If that isn't disarming, then what is? I am all  
>out of epithets. Short of calling you a murderer, which of  
>course would be grossly unfair, I can think of nothing else.

>I admire the way you keep going, head down, deriving some sort  
>of pleasure from this deflected form of intimacy. Personally, it  
>creeps me out but who am I to question.

>Best

>Stuart Miller

>PS - On the advice of my legal team, I withdraw most of the  
>allegations made above, namely those relating to deviant or  
>socially offensive behaviour and advise that my comments were  
>satirically alienated. Mr. Rimmer is a fine fellow of impeccable  
>reputation and standing and for whom I have the greatest respect  
>and admiration.

Now you've really annoyed me, and if I'm ever in Altrincham I'll  
have to give you a very severe look.

--

John Rimmer

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Tom Petty Quits Driving After 'UFO' Scare -

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 13:26:23 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 07:04:28 -0400  
Subject: Re: Tom Petty Quits Driving After 'UFO' Scare -

>Source: Contact Music.Com - Burley in Wharfedale, Leeds, UK

><http://tinyurl.com/fpr5b>

>18/08/2006

>Petty Swears To Quit Driving After 'UFO' Scare

>Rocker Tom Petty has promised his worried wife he won't get back  
>behind the wheel of his car after making a spectacle of himself  
>by literally crashing Adam Sandler's wedding.

>The Running Down A Dream singer was distracted by silver weather  
>balloons Sandler sent up to thwart paparazzi trying to get  
>wedding shots from helicopters - and he rear-ended a guest.

>Petty reveals he now only drives when he absolutely has to after  
>promising his wife Dana he'll hang up his keys.

>He explains, "The last two times I drove I had accidents. It  
>just came down as a rule that I am not allowed behind the wheel.  
>I like to drive, but after that last time, I knew I had scared  
>my wife.

>As I was coming back (from the store) I saw three enormous  
>silver balls floating in the sky... People were pulled over to  
>the side of the road pointing.

>I ran in the house and yelled to Dana and her friend, 'Get in  
>the car, you have got to come see this...' I had them convinced  
>that they (balloons) were UFOs.

>When we got a lot closer we could see that there was a thin wire  
>attached to them and that they were only balloons... Right when  
>I realised this, I go to turn the car around and I crashed into  
>an oncoming car and nearly killed two people."

>Frantic Petty then pulled off the road and into Sandler's  
>wedding party - where he was swarmed by the paparazzi.

If I ever had any doubts about Tom Petty as a genuine person,  
and I didn't, they'd dispel hearing this. Verily.

Sorry you cracked up your car and freaked the family... but  
thanks for being interested, Sir. Thanks for having an open  
mind. Thanks for being curious. Thanks for being stronger than  
your knock-knee'd society and equally craven culture.

And if you were? Thanks for being a tad disappointed when you  
finally saw the wire tethers.

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: UFOs or Not? - Fleming

From: **Lan Fleming** <[lfleming6.nul](mailto:lfleming6.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 13:29:17 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 07:07:09 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs or Not? - Fleming

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 08:36:24 EDT  
>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

>>From: Lan Fleming <[lfleming6.nul](mailto:lfleming6.nul)>  
>>To: UFOUpdates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 19:02:29 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

>>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 06:10:23 -0700  
>>>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

><snip>

>>>The balloon cluster launched later that day was the alleged #9,  
>>>which isn't recorded in Mogul records, just like #4. According  
>>>to Pflock's book (based on Moore's recollections), if the  
>>>flights were scrubbed, they released the already-filled balloons  
>>>anyway sans any equipment. These were not tracked and the flight  
>>>numbers not recorded.

>>Quick question, David. When you say the balloons were released  
>>without any equipment, does that mean there were no radar  
>>reflectors on Flight 4? Or were the balloons still launched with  
>>the reflectors because it wasn't worth the effort to remove  
>>them? If the former were true, it would be a fairly important  
>>point, since the radar reflectors were used to explain the  
>>presence of foil and "I-beams" in the recovered wreckage as part  
>>of the Mogul balloon train.

>Lan, David, List, All -

>If I might jump in here, Charles Moore told me that what  
>happened when a flight was canceled was that they stripped all  
>the reusable equipment from the array but, and I remember this  
>clearly, he said they couldn't stuff the helium back into the  
>bottles so they let the balloons go. In other words, neither  
>Flight No. 4, the final culprit according to Pflock, the Air  
>Force and others, nor Flight No. 9, the original culprit, had  
>any equipment left on them. They would have been strings of  
>balloons and that would be it. This is a point that I have made  
>a number of times... even if Flight No. 4 fell on the ranch  
>managed by Mack Brazel, there would have been nothing metallic  
>for him to find... no broken up pieces of rawin target scattered  
>over the ground... just the deflated, or partially deflated  
>weather balloons made of neoprene (because the first flights  
>using the new polyethylene would be made until after Flight No.  
>4... Flight No. 9. is irrelevant because we know what happened  
>to it and that's the reason they began looking at another  
>flight).

Thanks for your reply. You may have made this point several  
times, but maybe you should have shouted louder! Short of  
someone digging up a piece of a flying saucer at the Foster  
Ranch, the lack of metallic debris from Flight 4 by itself

seems about as close to total disproof of the Mogul theory as could be imagined. Also as a corollary, it would indicate that the metallic junk and sticks in General Ramey's office during his photo op had to be part of an intentional coverup.

However, this might still leave open the possibility that the debris Mac Brazel found in 1947 really was from an ordinary weather balloon with Rawins and other instruments still attached and that the Air Force just added the Mogul malarkey 50 years later to provide some Cold War intrigue that would make the story more interesting. I don't know enough about weather balloons to make an argument either way.

In any case, the lack of metallic foil on the Air Force's preferred Mogul flight went right by me for all these years. I'll bet it went by almost everyone else, too. It shows how easily crucial facts can be obscured by a well-financed media fog-machine furiously churning out distracting nonsense about flowery tape, fanciful calculations of balloon flight paths, and so forth. But I suppose that when the government says "case closed," the case is, unfortunately, pretty much closed despite the fact that the case is built upon fiction and misdirection.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Illinois Crop Circles

From: Chaz Stuart <[Daydisk2.nul](mailto:Daydisk2.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 14:58:15 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 07:24:32 -0400  
Subject: Illinois Crop Circles

Source: Eyewitness 4 News WHBF Rockville, Illinois, USA

<http://www.whbf.com/global/story.asp?s=3D5308780&ClientType=3DPrintable>

Aug 21, 2006

Crop Circles Puzzle Geneseo Officials  
by Christina Palladino

GENESEO, IL - A mystery has planted itself firmly in the middle of a 90 acre field of soybeans in Henry County.

The question now is, are the five crop circles a natural phenomenon or the work of pranksters? Phenomena experts say the strange circles have killed farmers' crops for centuries and they still have no answers as to how they form, but other folks say they believe it's the work of higher powers.

Henry County Sheriff Gib Cady had no real explanation for the phone call Saturday morning to report mysterious damage to a soybean crop west of Geneseo. His department had a bit of fun with the situation, asking people to call CrimeStoppers if they saw any UFO's with soybeans trailing behind.

But all kidding aside, the bizarre scene near Jim Stahl's soybean field has a lot of people scratching their heads. And phenomena experts are taking samples of the flattened crop to see what could have caused the crop circles.

"No one has been able to determine really how they're made or who makes genuine ones," said JoAnne Scarpellini, an investigator.

"Many, many total fakes...total frauds."

Scarpellini says crop circles are certainly no urban legend. In fact, they've been killing farmers' crops since the first ones appeared in the late 1700's in England. She says every so often crop circles are spotted in fields throughout the Midwest, but to this day researchers have not been able to find out how they're made.

And she says there's no basis to the UFO stories.

"No one has seen a UFO making a crop circle," she said. "Until that happens, I can't say who does it or how they do it."

The circles have attracted people from Geneseo and other parts of the area. Some think it's just teenagers playing a joke but others say it could be from extraterrestrial beings.

"I watch it all the time on the Discovery Channel," said Luke Rawlings of Galva, Illinois. "You see all kinds of stuff like this. I just couldn't believe it. I had to see it with my own eyes."

"It's nothing serious," said Dave Paxton of Geneseo. "I think it's pranksters who got in there, because you can get in and out

of a cornfield and a bean field without leaving any type of tracks."

The three biggest crop circles are 50 feet in diameter.

The dead soybeans will be tested and then compared to the healthy ones to see if they can determine what caused them all to fall flat and die. Because of the large circles, the entire crop will have to be destroyed.

All content =A9 Copyright 2000 - 2006 WorldNow and WHBF. All Rights Reserved.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 11:49:05 -0700  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 07:21:27 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Rudiak

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 21:08:03 EDT  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 15:43:38 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

>>And we're still waiting for those "\_real\_unexplained cases" you  
>>wanted to talk about Hollis. Are you getting cold feet, or just  
>>being coy with us?

>No, I'm not getting 'cold feet', nor am I being coy be any  
>means. My original post was a question regarding possible\_real\_  
>cases, such as some of the historic 'unknowns' Blue Book ones,  
>or whatever. Of course, if further investigation results in  
>nothing to add, pro or con, then simply dead-file the case as  
>'Unknown'. Regarding the Socorro case:

>I see two probabilities. Either it was an alien spacecraft flown  
>by two alien beings in white coveralls, or:

>Considering all the available reported information, it was  
>simply an experimental test flight of a early prototype Lunar  
>Survey landing craft, using a Hughes Model 369 (OH-6 Cayuse),  
>aka the 'Flying Egg', helicopter as the carrier, which was flown  
>by a Hughes Aircraft Company (Culver City, CA) test pilot, along  
>with a Flight Test Engineer, which is the usual procedure. As  
>you all know, the Lunar craft was unable to lift it's own weight  
>in Earth's gravity, hence the helicopter requirement.

Well, now I know Don Ledger was right. The debunkers are playing  
tag team again and "Hollis Kimball" has just come off the bench  
as a summertime replacement.

Here's a picture of Mr. Kimball's so-called "Flying Egg"  
helicopter:

<http://tinyurl.com/fhue7>

Also check out the Wikipedia article, which includes history &  
performance details:

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hughes\\_H-6](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hughes_H-6)

The H-6 looks remarkably like... a helicopter. Only somebody  
totally ignorant of the Socorro case details (or a debunker)  
would think that this helicopter with clear plexiglass bubble,  
big rotor on top, tail with tail rotor, and small parallel  
landing gear bore any resemblance to the actual white oval  
Lonnie Zamora described.

Or was it the "early prototype Lunar Survey landing craft" that  
was Zamora's large white oval sitting on the ground, while  
Zamora totally missed seeing the helicopter hovering above? If  
that's the case, then how did the helicopter pilot and flight  
test engineer in their white coveralls get down on the ground

next to the "Lunar Surveyor" to be seen by Zamora? Where was the double parallel track of the helicopter landing gear in addition to the four landing pad impressions left behind by the "Lunar Surveyor"? Like most debunkers, Mr. Kimball seems to want to have it both ways.

I also vaguely recall that helicopters make a tremendous amount of noise, create a strong downdraft, etc. None of this was reported by Zamora. He got within about 50 feet of the craft reporting no noise or downdraft (or seeing any support cable). Then he heard a tremendous noise and saw what looked like a flame shooting down, which burned the ground and cut a mesquite bush cleanly in half. Oh I see, this was the test "Lunar Surveyor" blasting off. Then it went dead silent. Oh right, this was nothing but a test and the "Lunar Surveyor" cut its engine. But wait, wasn't a noisy helicopter still supporting it from above? Where did that noise go?

Then Zamora reported his egg-shaped object accelerating and rapidly disappearing in the distance over the mountains about 6 miles away, probably all within about 20 seconds. Work out the average speed to cover that distance in such a short period of time and it's supersonic. That's one fast "helicopter" carrier.

Here's another problem. A small helicopter like this can't lift all that much weight. (According to the Wikipedia article, the maximum was about 1500 pounds.) Besides lifting a crew of two, the helicopter was also supposed to be carrying a white oval object which by Zamora's description and by the landing pad impression left behind must have been at least 15 feet in length. If it was also a "lunar surveyor" complete with test rocket engine and fuel, that's quite a bit of weight, shall we estimate at least equal to a car or at least a ton? (A very conservative number. According to the depth of the landing pad impressions in the hard soil, calculations actually placed the Socorro object weight at several tons.) How was the "helicopter" supposed to lift that?

>The landing test area selected for that flight was as similar to  
>the moon's known surface as possible.

A rivine in the open desert just a few hundred yards from a town? This is as "similar to the moon's known surface as possible?"

How about a nice lava field on an actual test range well away from civilians? Think they could have managed that? I know of at least one such site over at White Sands proper. Or is part of your theory that the Hughes aircraft test pilots were a might bit confused and wandered 50 miles away from White Sands test range?

If this was a test involving our people, why couldn't the Air Force ever figure this out? Project Book Book head Quintilla wanted nothing better than to debunk the Socorro case. They looked into the White Sands test craft angle and came up with -- nothing.

Why would a test involving our people, why couldn't the Air Force ever figure this out? Project Book Book head Quintilla wanted nothing better than to debunk the Socorro case. They looked into the White Sands test craft angle and came up with -- nothing.

There are so many idiotic things wrong with this helicopter/lunar surveyor theory, there is no point in even discussing it any further.

>Now pardon my humility, but I have test flown not only the OH-6,  
>but the Bell OH-4 (Jet Ranger), and the Hiller OH-5, (later the  
>FH-1100 civilian version).

Yet, as usual, you seem to know so little for somebody claiming to know so much.

>Comment if you wish, but I have no interest in getting into a  
>'pizzing' contest with anyone.

When you obviously know nothing about the cases and then throw out idiotic debunking "explanations," you can expect to get

"pizzed" on.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: UFOs or Not? - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 12:29:16 -0700  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 07:28:09 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs or Not? - Rudiak

>From: Lan Fleming <[lfleming6.nul](mailto:lfleming6.nul)>  
>To: UFOUpdates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 19:02:29 -0500  
>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 06:10:23 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

><snip>

>>The balloon cluster launched later that day was the alleged #9,  
>>which isn't recorded in Mogul records, just like #4. According  
>>to Pflock's book (based on Moore's recollections), if the  
>>flights were scrubbed, they released the already-filled balloons  
>>anyway sans any equipment. These were not tracked and the flight  
>>numbers not recorded.

>Quick question, David. When you say the balloons were released  
>without any equipment, does that mean there were no radar  
>reflectors on Flight 4? Or were the balloons still launched with  
>the reflectors because it wasn't worth the effort to remove  
>them? If the former were true, it would be a fairly important  
>point, since the radar reflectors were used to explain the  
>presence of foil and "I-beams" in the recovered wreckage as part  
>of the Mogul balloon train.

According to Moore, if the flights were cancelled, they would  
strip off all reuseable equipment before releasing the balloon  
clusters. This would include, presumably, the radar target  
kites. (Removing the radar targets would be quite easy, nothing  
more than cutting the fishing line holding them to the main  
balloon array line. The targets easily folded down for storage.  
To see how the targets were constructed and an animation of how  
they folded down or opened up, see my website:

[http://www.roswellproof.com/RAWIN\\_construction.html](http://www.roswellproof.com/RAWIN_construction.html))

This seems to have been the case, e.g., with Flight #9, on July  
3. The flight was cancelled because a V-2 rocket launch with an  
explosive charge on board (to test Mogul sound reception) was  
scrubbed.

Because the flight was cancelled and no tracking was done on it,  
there is no record of Flight #9 in Mogul summary records, just a  
gap between Flight #8 and Flight #10.

Similarly, there is no record of Flight #4 in Mogul summary  
records. It too seems to have been a throwaway flight like #9.

According to Albert Crary's short diary entry, the flight was  
cancelled on account of cloud cover. (They needed mostly clear  
weather because a major part of the tracking was visual from the  
ground and the air. Among other things, tracking was an  
essential part of testing the constant altitude control system  
they were developing for Project Mogul.)

Crary's next sentence is what the debate is all about. It says

that a balloon cluster was released anyway with a sonobuoy attached. The sonobuoy (a microphone used by the Navy) was an early listening device used on Project Mogul while they developed their own microphones. Mogul was listening for explosions in the upper atmosphere and they would set off explosive charges up and down White Sands range to test the microphone reception.

Because there was equipment (the sonobuoy) released with the balloon cluster, this raises the question as to whether there was other equipment still attached, in particular the constant altitude control equipment, maybe a radiosonde (for radio tracking - all the other Moguls carried them), and last but not least, radar targets.

Part of Charles Moore's ancient memory claims is that they were in fact tracking Flight #4, including visually from the ground and air, plus using radiosonde reception and radar targets.

However, this raises the conundrum I previously talked about. If they had tracking devices still attached and they were indeed tracking the balloons in multiple ways, they would have recorded the valuable tracking data for Flight #4 and there would not be the gap in Mogul records where Flight #4 would have been.

Moore, the Air Force, and the debunkers want it both ways. They want the balloon to be fully equipped and tracked so that they can try to match crash debris descriptions with Mogul equipment. But at the same time they want it to be a nothing flight with no data to try to explain why the flight was never recorded.

My opinion is that the planned fully-equipped flight was indeed cancelled on account of cloud cover. This would have involved stripping off any tracking gear (radar targets and radiosonde) and other equipment that could be used on other flights. Because they already had the explosive charges in place on White Sands range, they sent up the balloon cluster anyway with the sonobuoy to test reception, but without tracking or caring where the balloon cluster went. But that's just a guess. Since there is no real "Flight #4" documentation, it could have been anything one wishes it to be. (Just one reason why it is so useful as a debunking tool.)

As I noted before, Flight #8 on July 3 was the only June/July New Mexico Mogul flight that records show was actually tracked by radar. None of the other recorded Mogul flights were, indicating that none of them carried radar targets. (Schematics of these Moguls also show the absence of attached radar targets.) This includes Flight #5 launched the day after (June 5) the purported Flight #4 and Flight #6 launched 2 days later. No radar tracking and no radar targets.

Yet the debunkers will tell us that Flight #4 definitely carried radar targets to be later mis-identified as a flying disc by those incompetents over at Roswell base. How can they be so sure it carried radar targets? Because Charles Moore thinks it probably did, even though there is no real evidence to support this. It's just his ancient memories and say-so. Further, in practically the same breath, Moore will admit that the similar unrecorded flight, Flight #9, probably would have been stripped of reusable equipment like radar targets before the balloon cluster was released.

Moore's story of what allegedly happened with Flight #4 is full of big gaping holes.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 12:40:48 -0700 (PDT)  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 07:33:30 -0400  
Subject: Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal

>From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 08:47:04 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal Encounters

<snip>

>Barker and Moseley have been entertaining in the field, but have  
>done little to bring credibility to the genre as a science. But,  
>IMO, the field has failed itself for not clearly defining itself  
>and establishing some form of rules of evidence. But that's  
>another issue for a different discussion.

>Steve

Steve: Again, even in passing you bring up relevant points about  
the current state of ufology, points that deserve discussion.  
These on-going concerns that many here have could be stated as:

How do we define Ufology?

- Is it a study of exotic aircraft?
- Is it a forensic view of past sightings?
- Or of trace evidence?
- Is it a study in abduction?
- Is it government conspiracy?
- Is it exo-politics?
- Is it for postulating on all things extra-terrestrial?
- Or are there terrestrial sources for these "unknowns"?
- Will Ufology continue as an interpretation of things we don't  
have enough information about?
- Can all this stuff be contained in one field of science? In  
other words, if scientific standards can be met for ufology,  
will those standards need to be adjusted in the future, as the  
more legitimate sciences have been? Or will new sciences be  
broken out to satisfy the new standards?
- Is there really any benefit to humanity that can be derived  
from this?
- Are any of these legitimate reasons to continue this course?
- Does Ufology continue as a droll form of entertainment and  
self-aggrandizement, or is it serious?

If serious, we have peer-review. This List is a good example of  
that.

We also have scientific expertise. Many of the contributors here

use their training to enhance the body of knowledge. But as Dr. Maccabee has said, this is largely a forensic study, of evidence after-the-fact. Without the cooperation of the UFO drivers, and more open access to government records, this will continue.

But is peer-review and forensics all there is? If so, we'd have to drop some topics that frequent this List, namely, exopolitics and postulating about sources unknown.

Is peer-review and forensics enough to get us to legitimacy? If so, will we ever see a Ufology major at an accredited institution? And isn't that an interesting thought?

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Military Docs Info - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 12:43:59 -0700  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 07:36:32 -0400  
Subject: Re: Military Docs Info - Rudiak

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprigo.nul](mailto:Choprigo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 19:46:04 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Military Docs Info

>>From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 14:56:32 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Military Docs Info

>>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprigo.nul](mailto:Choprigo.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:22:45 EDT  
>>Subject: Military Docs Info  
>>Hollis,

>>I have no idea what you think you are establishing here, or  
>>perhaps more appropriately what game you are playing. As an ex-  
>>airman myself, what you have excerpted here appears to be (1) an  
>>MOS or job description, and (2) a base clearance form required  
>>before you permanently leave an Air Force Base. What's the  
>>point?

>Thanks for your excellent deductions. Yes (1) is a USAF AFSC job  
>description, and (2) is a USAF Form 93BW 15.

>The point of (1) is that the USAF was aware at that time of the  
>possible existence of 'unknowns', commonly called UFOs, and that  
>personnel in certain positions would be the most likely ones to  
>be involved in either seeing, visually or by radar, and/or  
>knowing about such an 'unusual occurrence', and thus be required  
>to record and report same. That info then may or may not be  
>classified.

>Point (2) merely states that military personnel clearing one  
>base to another location are prohibited from removing classified  
>material from that base, except necessary orders, which could  
>simply be classified travel orders. Naturally this would  
>prohibit any personnel from passing on any classified documents  
>to an 'outsider' such as a UFO researcher maybe?

>No big deal, just a little FYI.

Now tell us something we don't already know.

Do you or don't you have any noteworthy UFO relevant information  
from your military background to share here? Either fish or cut  
bait.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - White

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 16:20:55 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 07:37:38 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - White

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 21:08:03 EDT  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

<snip>

>Considering all the available reported information, it was  
>simply an experimental test flight of a early prototype Lunar  
>Survey landing craft, using a Hughes Model 369 (OH-6 Cayuse),  
>aka the 'Flying Egg', helicopter as the carrier ...

An experienced highway patrolman couldn't figure out that a  
helicopter was a helicopter, or hear the noise of the rotors?

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is -

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 16:26:41 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 07:38:36 -0400  
Subject: Re: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is -

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 13:05:00 EDT  
>Subject: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is

<snip>

>My point here? Scientists are interested in ETs, so much so  
>that they are 'putting their money where their mouth is'.

So interested they didn't equip one of the Mars rovers with life  
detection capability?

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 16:28:49 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 07:40:06 -0400  
Subject: Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 09:42:12 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal Encounters

>>From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 08:47:04 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal Encounters

>>>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 20:36:30 EDT  
>>>Subject: Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal Encounters

>>This is good for those who view the field as Entertainment, but  
>>Moseley views the genre from a sociological perspective that  
>>many researchers find offensive.

>"Sociological perspective" is what trained professionals such as  
>Ron Westrum, Brenda Denzler, Mark Rodeghier, Eddie Bullard, and  
>Robert Bartholomew have provided, along with well-informed,  
>articulate non-professionals like Hilary Evans, Robert  
>Bartholomew, the Magonia crew, and others.

I guess that's what's called standing on both sides of the  
fence. My friend Bob Bartholomew is listed above as both  
professional and nonprofessional. Sorry for the screw-up. He is  
very much a professional, and widely published in the  
sociological literature.

Jerry Clark

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal

From: Scott Carr <[sardonica.nul](mailto:sardonica.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 14:42:58 -0700 (PDT)  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 07:46:02 -0400  
Subject: Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 09:42:12 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal Encounters

<snip>

>As I remarked in The UFO Encyclopedia, Saucer News and the  
>subsequent Saucer Smear have value for their coverage of feuds,  
>outlandish claims, and fringe personalities ignored in more  
>serious UFO literature (which is to say literature focused on  
>the phenomenon itself and on thoughtful analyses thereof).  
>Saucer News in particular was of great help to me as I sought to  
>reconstruct the early social history of ufology and  
>saucerianism. But I never mistook the publication (or its  
>successor) for sociology. At their best, Saucer News was and  
>Saucer Smear is good for a laugh, but sociology it is not.

<snip>

No, the publication itself is most certainly not sociology. A  
product of sociology? Certainly. A study of sociology? Most  
definitely. An insightful window into a particularly and  
peculiarly fascinating sociology? Absolutely! Jim Mosely an  
accomplished and enduring sociologist? Without a doubt.

But you are correct, the ink and the paper it's printed on are  
not, in and of themselves, 'sociology'.

--Scott C. Carr  
[www.myspace.com/sardy](http://www.myspace.com/sardy)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: The Choppers & The Choppers? - O'Brien

From: Christopher O'Brien <[cob.nul](mailto:cob.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 18:56:44 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 07:51:41 -0400  
Subject: Re: The Choppers & The Choppers? - O'Brien

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 14:14:52 EDT  
>Subject: Re: The Choppers & The Choppers?

>>From: Christopher O'Brien <[cob.nul](mailto:cob.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 13:19:28 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: The Choppers & The Choppers?

>>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 10:06:37 EDT  
>>>Subject: Re: The Choppers & The Choppers?

>>>>From: Don Capps <[alienagenda.nul](mailto:alienagenda.nul)>  
>>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 12:59:41 -0400  
>>>>Subject: The Choppers & The Choppers?

>>>>I am hoping some of the List members can assist me.

>>>>Also, if anyone can point me to any other resources, that would  
>>>>be excellent. I already have Moulton-Howe's 'An Alein Harvest'  
>>>>as well as both of Chris O'Brien's books. But any and all  
>>>>recommendations would be appreciated.

>>>You might also wish to look at Mute Evidence by Daniel Kagan and  
>>>Ian Summer. And let's not forget the Rommel Report by a former  
>>>FBI agent who was commissioned to officially study the  
>>>mutilations.

>>Your above comment reminds me of your well-known area of  
>>expertise, Roswell. Perhaps investigators interested in the  
>>Roswell case would wish to look at the US Air Forces "Roswell:  
>>Case Closed"?

>But of course they should... and Phil Klass's Roswell book, and  
>Karl Pflock's Roswell: Inconvenient Facts, and even Kal Korff's  
>nonsense... all valuable to lend perspective to a study of the  
>specifics of the case.

>Why, I'd even read Stan's Roswell book, his MJ-12 Book and Ryan  
>Wood's book on UFO crashes.

>Should we limit our research only to that which agrees with us  
>or should we look at all aspects of it so that we understand the  
>whole?

I agree that when researching a subject, all opinions should to be carefully studied and considered. In case you are truly interested, this investigator has managed to locate and read both the hard-to-find Rommel Report and Kagen and Summer=92s Mute Evidence -- both of which I have cited in my research and work documenting the animal mutilation cases I have personally investigated and researched. My response to your post was due to the dismissive slant of your recommendation that appeared to suggest this area of study as being irrelevant.

If you were truly interested in the =93mutilation=94 phenomenon, you would have already read the peer-reviewed papers that have been published on the subject and not ask me to provide you with the results of these years of work investigating and researching the subject. Obviously, this forum is not the place to fully communicate the results of scientific investigations of this complex, highly misunderstood subject, but I will answer your prior post=92s questions in a later post

In a perfect world, Kevin, unlimited budgets and double-blind scientific involvement would be welcome - especially in this particular area of investigation -- don=92t forget, unlike the =93UFO=94 phenomenon, we are dealing with thousands of pounds of physical evidence. In my opinion, this subject deserves recognition as a viable avenue of discovery - not a coy, off-hand dismissal. I=92d be happy to submit a proposal to you, or any scientific group (s) willing to properly conduct field investigations and laboratory analysis. I would hope you and the list would agree that this controversial subject deserves to be scientifically investigated and thoroughly researched by accredited professionals and motivated civilian investigators.

Christopher O'Brien  
Author of The Mysterious Valley and Enter The Valley

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [ufoupdateslist.com](http://ufoupdateslist.com) > 2006 > Aug > Aug 23

## The Encyclopedic Sourcebook Of UFO Religions

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 07:57:20 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 07:57:20 -0400  
Subject: The Encyclopedic Sourcebook Of UFO Religions

Source: UFO-Sweden - Norrkoping, Sweden

<http://www.ufo.se/english/reviews/encyclopediceng.shtml>

Review  
By Stefan Isaksson

The Encyclopedic Sourcebook Of UFO Religions  
James R. Lewis

530 pages  
ISBN: 1573929646

Scholarly books which objectively and thoroughly deal with the UFO phenomenon and all its various elements are, fortunately, multiplying. And, the last few years have seen a steady rise in the books published dealing with the religious, anthropological, and sociological aspects. The God have Landed (1995, also edited by Lewis) brought together several outstanding scholars and their analyses and studies of religious elements within the UFO movement in general and the extraterrestrial hypothesis in particular.

The latest book from Lewis can be regarded as a sequel to the one published in 1995. The Encyclopedic Sourcebook examines many different new religious movements that were founded after its leader or leaders, in other words the contactees, received extraterrestrial messages through physical contact or telepathy and channelling. Since the book (actually an anthology) is examining new religious movements and their ideas, the content is free from descriptions of various famous UFO sightings (which have been dealt with in numerous other books, anyway). Theories about explanations or solutions to what the UFO phenomenon really is, are also absent, and so are new sightings and new revelations.

Instead, the human factor is what=92s being focused on, discussions about why people believe what the believe, and the positive as well as negative factors that might surface when traditional religion is being replaced by saviors from outer space.

The anthology, a massive piece with more than 500 pages, is divided into six different sections. The first section offers an overview of various religious interpretations of the UFO phenomenon, and how the flying saucer myth with its benevolent extraterrestrials came into existence, primarily in the United States during the latter half of the 20th century.

Section two describes two UFO religions from the 1950s that are still in existence; The Aetherius Society and Unarius Academy of Science.

Next section is devoted to movements founded in the 1970s; The Ra=EBlian Movement and Heaven=92s Gate. The former is the most

prominent UFO religion in contemporary society and the latter created headlines all over the world when its members and last remaining founder committed mass suicide in San Diego, California, in 1997.

After that section, the reader is offered an exposition over movements that were founded more recently; Chan Tao and the internet based The Ground Crew.

The following section is lacking direct analyses of movements, and is instead focused on how people in general view the extraterrestrial hypothesis, how contemporary religions would react to the discovery of intelligent extraterrestrial life, and how a future meeting with a cosmic intelligence would affect the Earth.

The final section is a long appendix with material gathered from some of the movements. The Gods have Landed ended with an extensive bibliography of contactee literature from 1950 to 1994 by J. Gordon Melton, but a similar or updated list cannot be found in The Encyclopedic Sourcebook. However, since literature produced by the movements themselves rarely or never can be found in traditional bookstores, the final section gives the reader a possibility to hear from the believers themselves, and not just from the scientists who have studied them.

And speaking of the scientists, all contributors are well-known and quite respected, which makes the reading both interesting and memorable indeed. But, the book is very academic, and thus very demanding. On numerous occasions the reader must be aware of anthropological, sociological, or religious scholarship in order to fully understand what's going on, the language can be quite a challenge, and several sections are not easy to grasp for the everyday reader. On more than one occasion the text feels somewhat repetitive, which on the other hand can be suspected from an anthology dealing with such a specific topic.

Still, if one is able to cope with the academic English, lots and lots of goodies are to be found. The essays by Susan Palmer and Michael Rothstein about the Ra=EBlians are very interesting. Charles A. Ziegler offers great insight into how widespread the belief in extraterrestrial intelligence is, Robert Elwood does a great job philosophizing about what would happen the day Contact was firmly established, and Hilary Evans has a splendid contribution with an essay made of easy-to-understand language and interesting approach.

John A. Saliba's essay about Unarius, on the other hand, is both boring and redundant, and the entire section four does not live up to the standard set by the other essays in the anthology.

Overall, The Encyclopedic Sourcebook of UFO Religions is a breath of fresh air of reasoning and interesting scholarly analyses of new religious movements which all in one way or the other uses the UFO phenomenon in their ideologies and promises about a better world for us all. It's quite expensive, but if you're able to afford it you'll get a piece of scholarship well worth a place of honor in your bookshelf.

[Thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [ufoupdateslist.com](http://ufoupdateslist.com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Centre For AnthroUfology

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 08:05:20 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 08:05:20 -0400  
Subject: Centre For AnthroUfology

Source: Ryan J Cook's Centre for AnthroUfology - Chicago, Illinois

<http://home.uchicago.edu/~ryancook/anthufo.htm>

Anthropology & UFOs

An Introduction

Anthropologists traditionally have taken pride in their willingness to study everything about humans and our primate next-of-kin. Yet anthropological research, as in all other disciplines, is subject to the preferences and biases of its practitioners--and those from whom they must seek funding. Those biases include one discouraging research on unidentified flying objects (UFOs). Despite the insight UFOs - viewed as a social phenomenon - could shed on many different societies and their cultural and historical contexts, anthropological study of them is hampered by, on the one hand, the phenomenon's association with stigmatized fringe scientific or religious endeavors, and, on the other, the long-standing, conflicted attitude of the general public toward aerial anomalies (an attitude informed but not determined by scientific and political "knowledge elites").

Despite institutional disincentives and widespread biases, a number scholars, including a number of anthropologists, have been pursuing the study of UFOs as a social phenomenon. I believe anthropology enhances such an approach in several respects:

\* First, the tantalizing but inconclusive nature of the physical evidence in this field (e.g. chemical changes in soil and vegetation, electromagnetic disturbances, radar returns, photographs) has derailed many a "hard-science" investigation. Ufologists have long lamented that, for the most part, "all" they have to go on are witness reports. Given the nature of the raw data, a social-scientific and social-psychological research program should produce insights the heretofore predominant physical-science approach would not have produced.

\* Second, the UFO phenomenon is not confined to any one society--though the exact manner in which UFOs relate to the modern global economic system and the cultural influence of a number of "culture-exporting" nations (including the US) has yet to be addressed thoroughly. Most ufological studies tend to be very limited in cultural and geographic scope. A cross-cultural investigation of the sort in which anthropology excels should be a great way to discern the extent, the patterns, and the variability of UFO reports.

\* A third, related matter is how UFO reports vary between and within societies. Ufological and orthodox scientific investigations have tended to look for patterns in reports, casting off variations though - as more ufologists are realizing - those variations in experience are integral to the phenomenon. Once again, this is a place where cross-cultural, ethnographically based research can make significant

contributions, not least by examining UFO reports and lore within the cultural contexts within which they circulate.

The social-scientific study of UFOs is not as fledgling a field of research as I may make it sound. Academic studies have been done on the history of sightings, on UFO beliefs, and even on abduction experiences. But I believe it can only help to encourage, through this site, social scientists (and anyone else who is interested) to investigate social and psychological phenomena under the UFO rubric in their proper cultural, political-economic, and historical context. I hope that in some way the resources contained here can be of some use, either to those already looking into UFOs or as a means of drawing more attention to the investigation.

In lieu of a fuller bibliography to come soon, I offer below a few references I consider to be of great utility or significance in the field. And, in the hyper-linked spirit of the Internet, many of these works have their own, much more extensive bibliographies that interested readers can pursue ever outward. Some books listed are out of print, but they can be purchased through services like Advanced Book Exchange and Bibliofind, or specialty sellers like Gordon Speer ([www.essex1.com/people/speer/ufo.html](http://www.essex1.com/people/speer/ufo.html)). Historical references

\* Thomas Eddie Bullard. 1982. *Mysteries in the eye of the beholder: UFOs and their correlates as a folkloric theme past and present*. Ph.D. dissertation, Indiana U.

\* David M. Jacobs. 1976. *The UFO controversy in America*. Bloomington: Indiana U P.

\* Curtis Peebles. 1995. *Watch the skies! A history of the UFO myth*. Washington DC: Smithsonian.

#### Social-scientific and social-psychological references

\* Joseph Blake. 1979. 'Ufology: the intellectual development and social context of the study of unidentified flying objects.' In Roy Wallis, ed. *On the margins: the social construction of rejected knowledge*. Keele: U Keele.

\* Michael Craft. 1996. *Alien impact*. NY: St. Martin's.

\* Linda D=E9gh. 1977. 'UFOs and how folklorists should study them.' *Fabula* 18:242-8.

\* Brenda Denzler. 2001. *The lure of the edge: scientific passions, religious beliefs, and the pursuit of UFOs*. Berkeley: U California.

\* C. G. Jung. 1991. *Flying saucers: a modern myth of things seen in the skies*. Princeton: Bollingen.

\* James R. Lewis, ed. 1995. *The gods have landed: new religions from other worlds*. Albany: SUNY.

\* Joost Meerloo. 1966. 'The flying saucer syndrome and the need for miracles.' *Journal of the American Medical Association* 203:70.

\* Bertrand Meheust. 1978. *Science fiction et soucoupes volantes*. Paris: Mercure de France.

\* Linda Jean Milligan. 1988. *The UFO debate: a study of a contemporary legend*. Ph.D. dissertation, Ohio State U.

\* Howard Peckham. 1950. 'Flying saucers as folklore.' *Hoosier Folklore* 9:103-7.

\* Ted Peters. 1977. *UFOs-God's chariots? Flying saucers in politics, science, and religion*. Atlanta: John Knox.

\* Carl Raschke. 1989. 'UFOs: ultraterrestrial agents of cultural deconstruction.' *Archaeus* 5:21-32.

\* John Rimmer. 1969. 'The UFO as an anti-scientific symbol.' *Merseyside UFO Bulletin* 2(4).

\* David Ritchie. 1994. *UFO: the definitive guide to unidentified flying objects and related phenomena*. NY: MJF.

\* Peter Rojcewicz. 1984. The boundaries of orthodoxy: a folkloric look at the UFO phenomenon. Ph.D. dissertation, U Pennsylvania.

\* Carl Sagan and Thornton Page, eds. 1996 [1972]. UFOs: a scientific debate. NY: Barnes & Noble [Ithaca: Cornell UP].

\* Benson Saler, Charles Ziegler, and Charles Moore. 1997. UFO crash at Roswell: the genesis of a modern myth. Washington DC: Smithsonian.

\* Keith Thompson. 1993. Angels and aliens: UFOs and the mythic imagination. New York: Fawcett.

[Thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is -

From: John Velez <[johnvelez.aic.nul](mailto:johnvelez.aic.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 19:19:01 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 08:08:47 -0400  
Subject: Re: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is -

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 11:47:07 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is

>>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 13:05:00 EDT  
>>Subject: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is

>>Source: NASA Ames

><snip>

>>In addition to the Kepler project, commonly referred to as the  
>>'Terrestrial Planet Finder (with a \$300 million price tag), NASA  
>>Project 'SOFIA' is scheduled to be airborne by this fall.

>>My point here? Scientists are interested in ETs, so much so  
>>that they are 'putting their money where their mouth is'.

>Sorry, but that is taxpayers' money not the money of the  
>scientists.

God bless Stan Friedman for putting his mouth where  
his mind is!

You're the best Stan. Spot on - and damn near every time! Not bad.

Just one man's opinion.

John Velez

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 20:14:58 -0500 (CDT)  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 08:41:49 -0400  
Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' - Shell

>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:45:42 +0100 (BST)  
>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 15:09:19 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:05:08 -0500 (CDT)  
>>>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:44:29 -0400  
>>>>Subject: UFO UpDate: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>>>Source: The South Wales Echo - U.K.

>>>><http://tinyurl.co.uk/owez>

>>>>Aug 16 2006

>>>Huh? Life just spontaneously arose out of interstellar dust?  
>>>I'd like to see how that happened.

>>I think the idea is that primitive life could arise in space  
>>(maybe in clouds of organic chemicals) or survive being blown  
>>into space somehow from a planetary surface, and then be  
>>brought down through the atmosphere on fine meteoritic dust or  
>>something like this. Hang on a minute - those Condign "dusty  
>>plasmas"! They're inhabited and flown by intelligent alien  
>>microbes!

>I can understand Tim Shell mocking this story because he  
>doesn't seem to take anything seriously anymore but with you,  
>I'm rather surprised.

Not true, not true! I take plenty of things seriously. And even  
my mocking is generally used to illuminate a bit of faulty logic  
or absurdity.

>It may or may not  
>fall in with what you recognise or think but panspermia is now  
>the generally accepted manner by which life started on this  
>planet.

Yes, of course, but is he talking about panspermia, or is he  
talking about life itself forming (I suppose at random) in an  
interstellar cloud? The idea of panspermia is interesting, but  
it always begs the question, "Okay, where did that meteor or  
comet with the bacteria on it come from?" Life had to start  
somewhere before it could spread. And I think interstellar dust  
would be a strange place for it to have started, what with all  
the nasty radiation and lack of water and stuff like that.

>If you have other theories on this subject, I would be very  
>interested in hearing them.

Life, the structure of which is expressed molecularly through DNA, is a result of a strong observing intelligence, either in the present or future, "imagining" the form into being in the past, collapsing a quantum wave function with a combination of something akin to remote viewing and micro-PK. The direct manipulation of matter through consciousness (happens all the time), and a reverse-temporal photon reaction (perfectly acceptable per Feynman, et al.)

The universe itself became actual, rather than virtual, that same way, which resolves the question of why the forces and dimensions in the universe are "luckily" balanced in such a way that we're able to exist in it.

The rich, slimy, protected organic muck of Earth was a dandy place for life to come together, no comets required. Whether it happened on other similarly disposed planets has yet to be proven.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 22:19:41 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 08:44:35 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 12:03:21 -0400 (EDT)  
>Subject: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>When is a rocket or missile a UFO and, regardless of its name,  
>when does the government do anything about it?

>There have been a lot of reported UFO cases in which the UFO  
>appeared to be a rocket or missile. As usual, when reported by  
>the public, these are ignored. However, when commercial pilots  
>report them, perhaps the government should do something about  
>them. When terrorists threaten to blow up aircraft with shoulder  
>launched missiles (e.g. perhaps TWA800) and thousands of  
>missiles are launched at Israel, perhaps the government should  
>take these "UFOs" seriously and take action, rather than wait  
>for disaster.

>What are these cases? We can look to things like FAA databases  
>and DOD news releases.

>Remember that odd official public release from the DOD that in  
>November 2002 the North American Aerospace Defense Command began  
>receiving reports of a contrail of unknown origin in the air  
>over the Caribbean? It stated that fighter jets were scrambled  
>from several bases to intercept the unknown that was heading  
>northwest toward the U.S., but reportedly, nothing was spotted.  
>Also commercial airline pilots later reported the contrail over  
>Florida and later over Indiana. Why would the DOD draw so much  
>attention to this "contrail" when they could have hushed it up  
>as usual? It was unprecedented that they issue such a release on  
>a topic they could not resolve. Why not offer the usual prosaic  
>explanations? Was it a missile or rocket or UFO? This was never  
>seriously answered to the public although we got a nice cryptic  
>press release showing a lack of ability to identify an unknown.  
>I cannot find any other report/source that does not use the DOD  
>press release as its sole information source (anyone else got  
>this?). It is interesting that this case is not in the FAA  
>databases where commercial pilots generally report odd  
>incidents. In fact, the DOD press release is similar to how  
>rockets/missiles (UFOs) are treated in the FAA databases.

>I first came across these databases when I read the NARCAP  
>report: "Aviation Safety in America: A Previously Neglected  
>Factor" by Dr. Haines. I was impressed that they included  
>commercial/professional pilot reports that include possible  
>references to UFOs.

>[http://www.narcap.org/reports/airsafety\\_p2.htm](http://www.narcap.org/reports/airsafety_p2.htm)  
<

Pilot reports of unexplained missiles go way back.

In Oct 1946 a pilot reported "flying mayonaise jars". A dense  
contrail with no source apparent... but there must have been  
one... was seen and photographed in 1947, if I recall correctly,  
I think in Canada.

I discovered such a case in the FBI UFO file. In 1948 the FBI

received a letter from a man who was flying a small plane over West Virginia. He claimed that a "yellow rocket" with no contrail passed underneath his plane and he could clearly see it silhouetted against the trees on the mountains below.

Of course, there was the famous Chiles Whitted sighting of 1948. And there have been numerous such since.

The recent and notorious Project Condign report discusses pilot sightings in particular and points out the danger in such sightings \_if\_ the pilot makes abrupt maneuvers to avoid what he thinks may be a collision. Condign suggests all these sightings are meteor- caused plasmas... which goes over about like a lead saucer.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Fleming

From: **Lan Fleming** <[lfleming6.nul](mailto:lfleming6.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 21:40:20 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 08:46:38 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Fleming

>From: Eugene Frison <[eugene.frison.nul](mailto:eugene.frison.nul)>  
>To: UFO Updates List <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 22:58:58 -0300  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>From: Lan Fleming <[lfleming6.nul](mailto:lfleming6.nul)>  
>>To: UFO Updates List <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 22:04:47 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>And as everyone knows, bitter sarcasm is such an effective way  
>>to elevate a subject above the level of "argumentative  
>>discussion" (he says sarcastically).

>Hollis Kimball's remark about "argumentative discussion" on this  
>List was, in my opinion, an accurate one. In my very first  
>comment of my original post I implied my agreement by saying it  
>was "a very accurate observation." No bitterness here. No  
>sarcasm. Only 'agreement.'

The comment you made to which I responded was: "Afterall,  
everyone knows some UFOs are definitely ET spaceships!"

Unless you actually believe that some UFOs are definitely  
spaceships, you were being sarcastic. Whether or not sarcasm is  
humorous depends on who's getting bashed, I suppose.

BTW: You also said: "... you don't want to dare try approaching  
that sacred cow with dissecting tools in hand."

The idea that some UFOs are extraterrestrial is a hypothesis and  
an opinion, sometimes a strongly held opinion. But it's untrue  
that it's treated as a sacred cow, at least on this List;  
people "dare" to dispute it every day of the week here. As far  
as I know, few if any Listers have met with financial ruin or  
untimely demises by foul play because they "dared" to question  
the ET hypothesis.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 00:34:46 -0300  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 08:53:13 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 10:24:15 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:25:03 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 12:03:21 -0400 (EDT)  
>>>Subject: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>>When is a rocket or missile a UFO and, regardless of its name,  
>>>when does the government do anything about it?

>>Never, for two reasons. If it's a rocket, it's their fault and  
>>extremely embarrassing never mind deadly and will never make the  
>>papers. As for the second reason, if it's a UFO see the plethora  
>>of blow-offs since 1947.

>Yes, but by "their fault" do you mean they accidently launched  
>it or incorrectly controlled it? Or is it their fault because  
>they allowed an enemy missile (or hobbyist or someone like Homer  
>Hickam) to be launched and could not control such acitvities?

>>>There have been a lot of reported UFO cases in which the UFO  
>>>appeared to be a rocket or missile. As usual, when reported by  
>>>the public, these are ignored. However, when commercial  
>>>pilots report them, perhaps the government should do something  
>>>about them. When terrorists threaten to blow up aircraft with  
>>>shoulder launched missiles (e.g. perhaps TWA800)

>>More like a destroyer doing exercises in the ADIZ directly below it.

>Yes, I have heard that theory.

>>>and thousands of  
>>>missiles are launched at Israel, perhaps the government should  
>>>take these "UFOs" seriously and take action, rather than wait  
>>>for disaster.

>>>Remember that odd official public release from the DOD that in  
>>>November 2002 the North American Aerospace Defense Command  
>>>began receiving reports of a contrail of unknown origin in the  
>>>air over the Caribbean? It stated that fighter jets were  
>>>scrambled from several bases to intercept the unknown that was  
>>>heading northwest toward the U.S., but reportedly, nothing was  
>>>spotted. Also commercial airline pilots later reported the  
>>>contrail over Florida and later over Indiana. Why would the  
>>>DOD draw so much attention to this "contrail" when they could  
>>>have hushed it up as usual? ...

>>I'm doing a magazine article on the above and I do have  
>>documentation but that's for the article.

>I searched the satellite databases pretty thoroughly during

>that day and could not see any weird contrails. If you have an  
>exact time of day and locations where people saw the thing, I  
>can relook for you.

I'll look it up, but it's a broad timeline from Chicago to  
Thunderbay, Ontario estimate.

>>NASA supports this data-base. Dick once told me that out of  
the 630,000 incident reports in the data-base about 36,000 of  
them are UFO reports. Pilots use ASRS to whistleblow on their  
industry about unsafe incidents that they couldn't tell their  
own superiors or complain to the companies about, and there is  
lots to complain about; and it's going to get worse as airlines  
cut corners.

>Dr. Haines deduces that the 36000 are related to UFOs, but  
>it's really not that conclusive. A lot of cases of unknown  
>aircraft that don't show up on radar, but the pilots usually  
>don't say they aren't aircraft. Sometimes balloons or missiles.

Here's a thing. I receive daily incident reports for Canada,  
usually about 55 - 75. They range from birdstrikes to runway  
incursions to CARs infractions, ELT hits to TCAS alerts. It's the  
latter that I find interesting, the TCAS alerts. These are set  
off by the "other" airplanes transponder if it has one. The  
sensitivity is set for pickup at about 5 miles and are receiving  
transponder codes from "channels" 1200 to 7700. In 30 percent  
of the cases the TCAS goes off with no aircraft transponding in  
the vicinity, not at 5 miles 7 miles or 10 miles. I save them  
because I wonder what's setting them off. An airliner's TCAS  
will usually recommend the course of action [turn tight or left  
to climb or descend immediately] and the pilot will comply,  
usually at that point busting his/her clearances which he or she  
has to explain immediately upon assuring themselves that they  
aren't about to hit another aircraft.

The other is GPW alerts (Ground Proximity Warnings) which is a  
microwave/radar piece of equipment. There was enough of these on  
the North America Pacific coast to cause concern a couple of  
years ago. They were giving warnings while airliners were at  
altitudes of 30 and 40,000 feet and well above any terrain.  
What caused this has never been resolved.

I don't know what the heck these things are using for propulsion  
but one has to wonder if there is a serious and strong microwave  
component to it. TCAS alerts can invoke a violent maneuver by the  
pilots as can GPW alerts to avoid collision and injure  
passengers and/or the flight crew as well. It's serious.

>>>It is interesting is that the government willingly displays  
>>>information that I would have thought would freak out the  
>>>public. My main question is, to what end? They seem to want to  
>>>disclose the problem but offer no solution. They basically  
>>>ignore many cases, somewhat like the UFO phenomena.  
>>>The main freakout data I am referring to in this post  
>>>includes reports of rockets or missiles (or what they would  
>>>call UFOs if it didn't have such a stigma) passing the  
>>>aircraft, but I could, if I wanted, include "unknown" aircraft  
>>>that do not show up on radar but which cause evasive action by  
>>>the pilot, objects hitting the aircraft at high altitudes  
>>>(unlikely to be birds), and illumination/ tracking of the  
>>>aircraft by light (sometimes lasers).

>>You are correct. Pilots often report them as missiles or  
>>balloons rather than having to go through the crap they do when  
>>they report a UFO. It's even worse now because the airlines are  
>>suffering from flight reductions and the terrorist threats and  
>>anything that could affect ticket sales is to be squashed. I  
>>have a Canadian report of an airliner reporting a "weather"  
>>balloon recently while at cruise and at 38,000 feet. Having seen  
>>six weather balloons at my low altitudes at only 120 mph for the  
>>few seconds it too to see it and zip by it, acquiring one  
>>visually, identifying it in the tenth of a second you have while  
>>at Mach.87 frankly stretches the limits of credibility. The fact  
>>that they even had their eyes outside the cockpit is  
>>interesting. Weather balloons very often carry packages aloft  
>>weighing a few ounces that wouldn't matter much unless you hit  
>>it at Mach.87. But nobody attempts to track a weather balloon  
>>back to source. They are just an acceptable hazard, right.  
>>Ingesting one of these could be serious as well possibly leading  
>>to a compressor stall.

>Can you explain why so many cases are reported in which  
>aircraft cannot be painted by ATC radar?

I'm assuming you are referring to these "rockets" or "missiles" reported by pilots to ATC who cannot see them. A pilot reports such an object as a rocket or a missile and ATC can't see it so that seems to make it all right, but it isn't all right if this is a conventional aircraft that is where it's not supposed to be.

I can't begin to tell you how much heat comes to bear on the pilot of a airplane in controlled airspace that is violating the vertical and lateral clearances, is in that airspace without clearance and without at least a Mode C transponder. Not only private aircraft but commercial aircraft blunder into places they haven't been cleared. But freaking rockets and missiles, even weather balloons being fired or released into scarosant airspace, geez I've got a real problem with that kind of sloppiness.

I know some will say, "But they release weather balloons at airports." Yeah they do and it's the safest place for them because right above an airport is the open area where hardly any aircraft fly and even then if they do they are not allowed below a certain altitude.

There's too much to explain here about airspace and airports.

A few UFO cases I've looked into have actually been painted by radar at the primary stage but when it shows up on the ATC's screen it's been relegated-by the interpreting computer-to an unknown because it's not burping out a Transponder code and is then given what's called a chicken's foot code, an inverted "Y" over the return. The controllers then usually ignore it as a big truck out on a highway or a boat out on the ocean. Regular occurrences are marked right on the screen as identified as a water tower or some building or a bridge, etc.

>This seems pretty serious too.

>If they can't track regular aircraft (and balloons/missiles),  
>then how can we hope that UFOs can be tracked at all with radar?

Balloons and missiles are hard to track by the commercial radar used by the Air Traffic Control system. The missile travels so fast that radar can't really keep up with it when it's close and has trouble acquiring it at long range. Balloons, unless they are equipped with a radar reflector or are reflective themselves are invisible to most radar. UFOs that were't visible to radar were an anomaly back in the day, but stealth technology has pretty much taken the mystery out of it.

Ted Roe has a case that he uses as an example as how blase' ATC can be about UFO reports close to an airliner. I'll try and find it for you. I think it's on the NARCAP site:

<http://www.narcap.org>

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image?

From: **Chaz Stuart** <[Daydisk2.nul](mailto:Daydisk2.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 23:51:02 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 08:57:18 -0400  
Subject: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image?

<http://tinyurl.com/l88th>

2N168709634EFFFAACP1775L0M1.JPG

Changed:10:21 PM on Wednesday, August 31, 2005

Near center. Looks like the engine cover (hood) of my riding  
mower! A couple interesting objects to the right of that, also.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles - Anderson

From: Paul Anderson <[paulanderson.nul](mailto:paulanderson.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 21:09:33 -0700  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 09:00:28 -0400  
Subject: Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles - Anderson

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 10:57:10 EDT  
>Subject: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles

>The STS or Space Shuttle is due to be mothballed in a few years  
>and the new CEV, Crew Exploration Vehicle program is to take  
>it's place.

>Do any of you space flight Pros or aficionados feel these new  
>space craft are the best direction and the missions worth the  
>risk and costs?

Finally. I'm in the camp that wants the shuttle to be replaced  
ASAP. It is old 70s technology.

What year is this again? Oh yeah, 2006...

We've been stuck in earth orbit for way too long now. I'm also a  
big supporter of the robotic deep space missions - hence my  
Blog - and think there should be a better combination of manned  
and robotic exploration!

The Meridiani Journal  
a chronicle of planetary exploration  
<http://www.themeridianijournal.blogspot.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' -

From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 10:09:52 +0100  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 09:03:51 -0400  
Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' -

>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:45:42 +0100 (BST)  
>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 15:09:19 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:05:08 -0500 (CDT)  
>>>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:44:29 -0400  
>>>>Subject: UFO UpDate: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>>>Source: The South Wales Echo - U.K.

>>>><http://tinyurl.co.uk/owez>

>>>>Aug 16 2006

>>>Huh? Life just spontaneously arose out of interstellar dust?  
>>>I'd like to see how that happened.

>>I think the idea is that primitive life could arise in space  
>>(maybe in clouds of organic chemicals) or survive being blown  
>>into space somehow from a planetary surface, and then be  
>>brought down through the atmosphere on fine meteoritic dust or  
>>something like this. Hang on a minute - those Condign "dusty  
>>plasmas"! They're inhabited and flown by intelligent alien  
>>microbes!

>Martin,

>At the admitted risk of cries of the pot calling the kettle  
>black, I can understand Tim Shell mocking this story because he  
>doesn't seem to take anything seriously anymore but with you,  
>I'm rather surprised.

>You do know who Professor Wickramasinghes is, don't you? Does  
>the name Sir Fred Hoyle, scrapyard, working 747 mean anything?

>He is essentially the co-founder, along with Fred Hoyle, of  
>modern astrobiology, and a very brave man (and an extremely  
>clever one too) who for years had to put up with ridicule and  
>disbelief until the inevitable happened and the blow-hards  
>finally swung round to gradual recognition. It may or may not  
>fall in with what you recognise or think but panspermia is now  
>the generally accepted manner by which life started on this  
>planet.

>If you have other theories on this subject, I would be very  
>interested in hearing them.

Hi Stuart

As so often I'm totally baffled by the responses that remarks on this List can generate. I applaud your chivalrous instinct in leaping into the breach, but I'm at a loss to understand how a small opportunistic joke at the expense of the Condign author - if anyone - can be interpreted as an attack on the theory of panspermia and a disparaging of the career and reputation of Chandra Wickramasinghe and, by association apparently, that of the late, great Fred Hoyle as well.

To turn the question around, you are familiar with Condign aren't you? The anti-ET notion that many UFOs are "dusty plasmas" caused by meteors, that is to say plasmas laced or contaminated with particulate residues of the meteors that caused them, and so having unusual properties of charge distribution, motion, stability and persistence? It amused me to be able to bring ET back into Condign via the back door, that's all. Just a little surreal frivolity, Stuart.

It's usually me who gets accused of lacking humour, which doesn't surprise any more - but I'm a bit surprised at you!

Martin

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 10:27:11 +0100  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 09:05:08 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 10:24:15 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

<snip>

>If they can't track regular aircraft (and balloons/missiles),  
>then how can we hope that UFOs can be tracked at all with radar?

If there is no evidence that there are physical UFOs that can be "tracked at all", then there is so much less evidence that physical UFOs exist at all, and you don't have to worry about them.

The fact is that evidence of high-strangeness radar UFOs does exist, and presumably this is part of the reason that you take the subject seriously?

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 10:44:55 +0100  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 09:06:28 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 10:24:15 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

<snip>

>If they can't track regular aircraft (and balloons/missiles),  
>then how can we hope that UFOs can be tracked at all with radar?

If there is no evidence that there are physical UFOs that can be "tracked at all", then there is so much less evidence that physical UFOs exist at all, and you don't have to worry about them.

The fact is that evidence of high-strangeness radar UFOs does exist, and presumably this is part of the reason that you take the subject seriously?

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 06:48:14 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 09:11:23 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Lehmborg

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 11:49:05 -0700  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprigo.nul](mailto:Choprigo.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 21:08:03 EDT  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 15:43:38 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

<snip>

>>Comment if you wish, but I have no interest in getting into a  
>>'pizzing' contest with anyone.

>When you obviously know nothing about the cases and then throw  
>out idiotic debunking "explanations," you can expect to get  
>"pizzed" on.

And then 'shilt' slung.

What is it with the presumptive palookas sent in as  
aforementioned summer replacement klasskurtxians... eh, Doc?  
They should be able to go at least one round, answer respectful  
questions put to them intelligently, just so a self-respecting  
"kook" can work up a bead of freakin' sweat? Tsk!

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Military Docs Info - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 08:48:12 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 09:12:47 -0400  
Subject: Re: Military Docs Info - Shell

>From: Christopher Allan <[cda.nul](mailto:cda.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 16:15:41 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Military Docs Info

<snip>

>Supplementary question:

>Are two other Listers, Tim Shell and Bob Shell related?

Sorry, but that information is classified.

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 09:39:27 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 18:28:25 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>,  
>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 10:27:11 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 10:24:15 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

><snip>

>>If they can't track regular aircraft (and balloons/missiles),  
>>then how can we hope that UFOs can be tracked at all with radar?

>If there is no evidence that there are physical UFOs that can be  
>"tracked at all", then there is so much less evidence that  
>physical UFOs exist at all, and you don't have to worry about  
>them.

>The fact is that evidence of high-strangeness radar UFOs does  
>exist, and presumably this is part of the reason that you take  
>the subject seriously?

I have two motivations. One is a great concern over the ho-hum attitude of the FAA/industry over aircraft safety. Two, I want to understand how to monitor and track non-prosaic UFOs.

I have no doubt UFOs exist and that sometimes visual UFOs can be correlated to radar images and sometimes there are radar images with no visual component. But I really had more faith that ATC radar could track such objects before I read these FAA incident reports.

It turns out that there are many reports of unknown aircraft that the ATC were asked about by commercial aircraft pilots and which the ATC said (quoted by the pilot) were not painted by radar.

Some of these are clearly described by pilots as aircraft (well, UFOs or alien ships could always cloak themselves in the image of one of our aircraft, but we'll ignore this for now).

So what this means is that our ATC radar is not even good enough to pick up regular aircraft, let alone anomalies like missiles/rockets/balloons (which could also be nonprosaic UFOs instead the "prosaic" appearing objects).

So it bodes somewhat poorly for general detection a non-prosaic UFO class object.

One would think the higher power ATC radar would be the best we got but apparently not. So for a lower power level class radar unit - e.g. Davenport passive radar or others - the success rate would seem to be low for UFO tracking.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

From: **Bob Shell** <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 10:09:35 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 18:30:08 -0400  
Subject: Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

>From: Chaz Stuart <[Daydisk2.nul](mailto:Daydisk2.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 23:51:02 -0400  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Subject: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image?

><http://tinyurl.com/l88th>

>2N168709634EFFAAACP1775L0M1.JPG

>Changed:10:21 PM on Wednesday, August 31, 2005

>Near center. Looks like the engine cover (hood) of my riding  
>mower! A couple interesting objects to the right of that, also.

I see a big pile of rocks.

No, wait, just to the right of center is a shield with a white  
cross painted on it. Does this prove that the Knights Templar  
migrated to Mars after their betrayal by the French king in  
1313? So that's where they went.....

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is -

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 09:19:54 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 18:33:55 -0400  
Subject: Re: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is -

>From: John Velez <[johnvelez.aic.nul](mailto:johnvelez.aic.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 19:19:01 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 11:47:07 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is

>>>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprigo.nul](mailto:Choprigo.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 13:05:00 EDT  
>>>Subject: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is

>>>Source: NASA Ames

>><snip>

>>>In addition to the Kepler project, commonly referred to as the  
>>>'Terrestrial Planet Finder (with a \$300 million price tag), NASA  
>>>Project 'SOFIA' is scheduled to be airborne by this fall.

>>>My point here? Scientists are interested in ETs, so much so  
>>>that they are 'putting their money where their mouth is'.

>>Sorry, but that is taxpayers' money not the money of the  
>>scientists.

>God bless Stan Friedman for putting his mouth where  
>his mind is!

>You're the best Stan. Spot on - and damn near every time! Not bad.

>Just one man's opinion.

>John Velez

Awww... and I was hoping the first thing we could pursue was  
some dance of philosophical contention so folks wouldn't think  
we were contemplating some kind of civil union and child  
adoption based on the proserly and sound-track erected, no pun  
intended, several weeks ago in your honor at my blog... not that  
there's anything wrong with that... civil union I mean.

But no... it's not to be - the civil union or the contention.  
This is not to forget that it is Stuart Miller who is now the  
object of my unwarranted and unwholesome lusts... sorry Johnny  
me lad... you were on sabbatical and I had needs... all that  
said...

Forgetting that all souls on the same aggregate side of the  
ufological struggle should take pains to keep from arbitrarily  
cutting each other's throats. [A-hem] Skeptics welcome, you  
know, but scurvy skeptibunkies, sneering pelicanists, and first-  
wash klasskurtxians can prepare to have their asses laminated  
for posterity - How big is the archive now, Errol?.

They deserve this lamination, in my opinion, because they are

apparent enemies of the enlightened non-anthropocentric state...  
I digress...

Stanton Friedman, back to the point, makes no errors at all when compared to his, even honored, opposition. The dishonored (and dishonorable) are driven before him, in comparison, like mewling jackals or parted like savanna grass before the onrush of a purposed lion. 'Goliath' has been beaten down MANY times by Friedman's 'David', and I have, you may remember, composed epic proserly with regard to an example of same.

<http://www.rense.com/general39/davi.htm>

Hyperbole? Maybe. I'd debate it with anybody.

I say it for two reasons. First? The apparent fawning deference really pisses off the opposition - chaps them right in the old 'chubbie bunches' or 'short and curlies'.

Secondly? Not only is he a man who has contributed hugely to a more forthcoming and efficacious ufological future, himself, he gives less significant others a leg up and fair credit of their own. I've watched him do it. One time in a related instance he brought me to tears with same.

<http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/2005/09/kid.html>

So all that said... for my money? One Snipes at Mr. Friedman with peril. Stan (forgive me) may have his problems, his avarices, and his human failings - or not - but compared to a constipated klassskurtxian, impacted skeptibunky, or plugged up pelicanist? He's Ronny Roto-Rooter reaming drains running free!

Smoke that! Eh?

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)

[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)

AVG Blog - <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 10:28:54 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 18:40:54 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 00:34:46 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 10:24:15 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:25:03 -0300  
>>>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>>>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 12:03:21 -0400 (EDT)  
>>>>Subject: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>>>When is a rocket or missile a UFO and, regardless of its name,  
>>>>when does the government do anything about it?

>>>>Never, for two reasons. If it's a rocket, it's their fault and  
>>>>extremely embarrassing never mind deadly and will never make the  
>>>>papers. As for the second reason, if it's a UFO see the plethora  
>>>>of blow-offs since 1947.

>>>>Yes, but by "their fault" do you mean they accidentally launched  
>>>>it or incorrectly controlled it? Or is it their fault because  
>>>>they allowed an enemy missile (or hobbyist or someone like Homer  
>>>>Hickam) to be launched and could not control such activities?

I repeat my question. Given hobbyist launches, its hard to say  
it is the government's fault (although they really should be  
guarding airspace better). How can they determine if it is a  
hobbyist or a nonprosaic UFO unless they investigate? How can  
they differentiate terrorist cells practice launching a missile  
(and face it, with the fine resolution laser tracking of  
aircraft and the possibility of laser guided missiles, this is  
not something they can ignore) and a hobbyist launching a Homer  
Hickam type rocket and a non-prosaic UFO?

>>>>Remember that odd official public release from the DOD that in  
>>>>November 2002 the North American Aerospace Defense Command  
>>>>began receiving reports of a contrail of unknown origin in the  
>>>>air over the Caribbean? It stated that fighter jets were  
>>>>scrambled from several bases to intercept the unknown that was  
>>>>heading northwest toward the U.S., but reportedly, nothing was  
>>>>spotted. Also commercial airline pilots later reported the  
>>>>contrail over Florida and later over Indiana. Why would the  
>>>>DOD draw so much attention to this "contrail" when they could  
>>>>have hushed it up as usual? ...

>>>>I'm doing a magazine article on the above and I do have  
>>>>documentation but that's for the article.

>>>>I searched the satellite databases pretty thoroughly during  
>>>>that day and could not see any weird contrails. If you have an

>>exact time of day and locations where people saw the thing, I  
>>can relook for you.

>I'll look it up, but it's a broad timeline from Chicago to  
>Thunderbay, Ontario estimate.

That's alright. If its within a few hours. Maybe it could show  
up in infrared using GOES.

>>>NASA supports this data-base. Dick once told me that out of  
>the 630,000 incident reports in the data-base about 36,000 of  
>them are UFO reports. Pilots use ASRS to whistleblow on their  
>industry about unsafe incidents that they couldn't tell their  
>own superiors or complain to the companies about, and there is  
>lots to complain about; and it's going to get worse as airlines  
>cut corners.

>>Dr. Haines deduces that the 36000 are related to UFOs, but  
>>its really not that conclusive. A lot of cases of unknown  
>>aircraft that don't show up on radar, but the pilots usually  
>>don't say they aren't aircraft. Sometimes balloons or missiles.

>Here's a thing. I receive daily incident reports for Canada,  
>usually about 55 - 75. They range from birdstrikes to runway  
>incursions to CARs infractions, ELT hits to TCAS alerts. It the  
>latter that I find interesting, the TCAS alerts. These are set  
>off by the "other" airplanes Transponder if it has one. The  
>sensitivity is set for pickup at about 5 miles and are receiving  
>transponder codes from "channels" 1200 to 7700. In 30 percent  
>of the cases the TCAS goes off with no aircraft transponding in  
>the vicinity, not at 5 miles 7 miles or 10 miles.

Then how does the TCAS go off if no aircraft is transponding? Do  
you mean that no aircraft is visible?

>I save them  
>because I wonder what's setting them off. An airliner's TCAS  
>will usually recommend the course of action [turn tight or left  
>to climb or descend immediately] and the pilot will comply,  
>usually at that point busting his/her clearances which he or she  
>has to explain immediately upon assuring themselves that they  
>aren't about to hit another aircraft.

>The other is GPW alerts (Ground Proximity Warnings) which is a  
>microwave/radar piece of equipment. There was enough of these on  
>the North America Pacific coast to cause concern a couple of  
>years ago. They were giving warnings while airliners were at  
>altitudes of 30 and 40,000 feet and well above any terrain.  
>What caused this has never been resolved.

These are interesting.

>I don't know what the heck these things are using for propulsion  
>but one has to wonder if there is a serious and strong microwave  
>component to it. TCAS alerts an evokes a violent maneuver by the  
>pilots as can GPW alerts to avoid collision and injure  
>passengers and/or the flight crew as well. It's serious.

Clearly there is data out there showing non-prosaic UFOs  
generate high power magnetic/RF fields. But the frequency range  
is a question. If the entire spectrum of RF was swamped with  
some transient burst of signal (like a lightning burst), I doubt  
that the TCAS would go off. Doesn't it require some precise  
coded signal, probably digital?

>>Can you explain why so many cases are reported in which  
>>aircraft cannot be painted by ATC radar?

>I'm assuming you are referring to these "rockets" or "missiles"  
>reported by pilots to ATC who cannot see them. A pilot reports  
>such an object as a rocket or a missile and ATC can't see it so  
>that seems to make it all right, but it isn't all right if this  
>is a conventional aircraft that is where it's not supposed to  
>be.

Well, you can include missiles/rockets/balloons of course into  
the mix of stuff that cannot be painted by ATC but there are  
lots of other cases of apparently normal aircraft which the ATC  
call unknowns which they cannot paint.

Here are a couple examples.

-----

Report: ASRS/500269  
Date: 2/2001  
Altitude(ft):27900  
Aircraft: B727-200/Freight

"B727-200 Crew In Cruise, Responded To A Descend Resolution Advisory From Traffic Alert and Collision Avoidance System 2 (TCASII2)."

"Flying at 28000ft, ...we received a TCASII alert. TCASII alert consisted of a traffic call followed by a descend call.

Captain started evasive action by disconnecting the autopilot and beginning a descent. ATC was notified.

As the descent was initiated the flight officer gained visual contact with the other aircraft. Aircraft was off our right side. Approx 600 ft above and then it descended through our altitude.

Once visual contact was gained altitude deviation stopped, total deviation consisted of 100 ft below assigned.

Traffic was reported to ATC, but ATC said no traffic was in the area. ATC asked if we wanted to file a UFO report.

Captain told ATC that it was definitely an aircraft, at which time ATC asked the Captain about filing a Near Miss report."

---

Report: NMACS/NSOCZME01001  
Investigative:

"While fedex flight 1735 was at 28000ft approximately mach .82, ...crew received TCAS (alert). ...Flight officer looked outside... Flight officer saw rotating beacon, green navigation light and strobe light of unidentified aircraft approaching from the upper right 2 o'clock position and descending rapidly.

TCAS had the unknown aircraft passing within 600 feet of the (aircraft). ATC did not see nor paint this unknown target at any time. The only targets they were painting in this area were Fedex 1735 and a second Fedex 1451 in a climb 3000 feet below.

There was never any loss of separation between the two Fedex aircraft, as they never got less than 3000 feet apart vertically. Fedex 1735 resumed normal cruise as soon as unknown target had passed.

The next hit on the TCAS showed unknown was already 2000 feet below them. It all happened so fast Fedex 1735 lost less than 100 feet altitude, and this never even showed up on ATC radar.

The other Fedex aircraft (1451) was in a climb approaching fl250 for fl270. Fedex 1451 said they saw an aircraft passing left front to right rear about 2-3 miles and at least 2000 feet above them. They were climbing through fl250 and were not TCAS equipped. Whitman airbase contacted and stated there were no B-2's flying east of Missouri. In a telephone interview the crew stated the unknown was bigger than a fighter."

---

Report: ASRS/80881  
Date: 1/1988  
Acft Make/Model Desc: Large Transport,  
Low Wing, 3 Turbojet Eng  
Crew Count: 3  
Flight Purpose: Passenger  
Carrier Operation: Air Carrier  
Descent: Approach  
Weather Conditions: VMC  
Light Condition: Night

"Aircraft Had Near Collision With Unidentified High Performance Aircraft"

"... descending to 6000 ft, clear night 60 mile visibility. Aircraft above us about 10-15 miles in our 10 o'clock pos closing with relative position remaining constant, aircraft descended to near our altitude, crossed over us about 200ft making a 30 deg plus angle bank turn left to parallel our course. We asked ATC if they had any aircraft in our position: negative.

The aircraft continued left turn to parallel our course, we turned slightly to left and climbed about 1000ft to avoid incident.

The other aircraft flew formation on us for about 5-8 mi (of right wing-100ft step down and aft) then slowed to trail us, ATC finally acquired his return (we were merge plot) as he slowed from our 250 kt. No further incident.

Landed and phoned ATC. They were working on finding out who the other aircraft was.

Note: all exterior lights were on, landing lights, wing light tail "logo" lights, etc anti collision. Callback conversation revealed the following info: the crew of the aircraft were not able to tell the type of other aircraft, but felt very strongly that it was a military high-performance fighter.

It seemed to be toying with this aircraft. With a speed of 250 kts, the crew watched this aircraft come over the top of their aircraft, make a steep left, descending turn and come up along side and then move ahead and drop back behind.

They never felt there would be a collision, but the actions of the other aircraft caused them apprehension at first. During the phone call to ATC, it was speculated by the ATC that the aircraft was a general aviation aircraft from Manassas arpt, nearby but the flight crew reject this theory based on the speed of the other aircraft. The other aircraft remains a mystery airplane.

-----

No, they never followed up with an update to this report to explain it.

>I can't begin to tell you how much heat comes to bear on the  
>pilot of a airplane in controlled airspace that is violating the  
>vertical and lateral clearances, is in that airspace without  
>clearance and without at least a Mode C transponder. Not only  
>private aircraft but commercial aircraft blunder into places  
>they haven't been cleared. But freaking rockets and missiles,  
>even weather balloons being fired or released into scarosant  
>airspace, geez I've got a real problem with that kind of  
>sloppiness.

But it seems to happen all the time with regular type aircraft (that are unknown).

>I know some will say, "But they release weather balloons at  
>airports." Yeah they do and it's the safest place for them  
>because right above an airport is the open area where hardly any  
>aircraft fly and even then if they do they are not allowed below  
>a certain altitude.

Plus these type of ballons are designed to burst below cruise altitude.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 09:34:11 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 18:51:33 -0400  
Subject: Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal

>From: Scott Carr <[sardonica.nul](mailto:sardonica.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 14:42:58 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal Encounters

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 09:42:12 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal Encounters

>No, the publication itself is most certainly not sociology. A  
>product of sociology? Certainly. A study of sociology? Most  
>definitely. An insightful window into a particularly and  
>peculiarly fascinating sociology? Absolutely! Jim Moseley an  
>accomplished and enduring sociologist? Without a doubt.

"Without a doubt" - if I may borrow the prepositional phrase -  
Moseley is no sociologist. He is better characterized as a  
gossip columnist for ufologists - or, if you prefer, a social  
commentator. In that sense he is "accomplished," though he has  
gotten some important matters wrong. All of us, of course, have  
done the same at one time or another. Still, as far as I know,  
nobody else has the distinction of leveling (even preserving  
between book covers) a false charge of murder - since withdrawn,  
after he was shown actual evidence bearing on the matter -  
against another saucer personality.

For a full assessment of his life and career, again I recommend  
my essay The Trivialist, published in IUR (Spring 2002) and  
available from CUFOS. I've read nearly everything Moseley ever  
wrote, going back to the mid-1950s. As a consequence, most  
assessments of his idiosyncratic career frankly strike me as  
somewhere between clueless and insufficiently informed. And,  
even worse, sentimental. And if there is one thing Moseley  
himself is not, it is a sentimentalist.

One reason we still think of Moseley and discuss him owes to the  
simple fact that his involvement stretches back almost to the  
beginning of the UFO era. Very few from that halcyon age are  
still around, much less active. At his best, Moseley has been a  
colorful personality and an entertaining writer, and I suspect  
that is how history will remember him, no more, no less. Any  
larger - or, for that matter, smaller - claims for him require,  
I think, a leap of faith or a taste for hyperbole.

Jerry Clark

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles - Smith

From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 10:44:54 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 19:05:31 -0400  
Subject: Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles - Smith

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 10:57:10 EDT  
>Subject: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles

>The STS or Space Shuttle is due to be mothballed in a few years  
>and the new CEV, Crew Exploration Vehicle program is to take  
>it's place.

>Do any of you space flight Pros or aficionados feel these new  
>space craft are the best direction and the missions worth the  
>risk and costs?

I guess its better to ask the public whether the missions are worth the risk and costs.

It is somewhat aggravating to see rich hot shots get to go into space (ISS) using our taxpayer dollars (sure they pay for the trip, but they are visiting a vehicle subsidized mostly by our tax dollars... a Club Med in space). But if ever we are to get space into the financial realm of average Joes to visit, I suppose the rich fat cats will have to go first (same with plasma screens, DVD players, cell phones, etc).

I have seen alot of reasons to go into space, but aside from communications satellites, most are not commercially viable until we come up with very low cost access (present methods are not that... even the CEV method won't).

If you want to guarantee our race's survival, it will require space travel. So I suppose any method is better than no method.

Regarding the CEV and other components, it is somewhat sad to see the reinventing of Apollo. If you have to go with big ole H2/O2 launchers, then Saturn V was terrific! But we burned the plans (and the plans to make the machines to make the Saturn V and the manufacturing machines were destroyed). A new century and no nuclear rockets or anything except H2/O2 rockets!

But if you want people to go to Mars/Moon, Shuttle won't/can't do it. So the lowest cost method is to redo Apollo (those guys in those days knew what they were doing and did a good job in optimized design given they used slide rules!).

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 16:23:29 +0100  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 19:07:07 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 10:24:15 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

<snip>

>Dr. Haines deduces that the 36000 are related to UFOs, but its  
>really not that conclusive. Alot of cases of unknown aircraft  
>that don't show up on radar, but the pilots usually don't say  
>they aren't aircraft. Sometimes balloons or missiles.

<snip>

>If they can't track regular aircraft (and balloons/missiles),  
>then how can we hope that UFOs can be tracked at all with radar?

Hi James,

Can see from later posts that Don's provided a good resume of  
problems - yet there's another problem built into radar and  
comms eqpt.

Equipments have to be prevented from receiving interference from  
nearby objects, terrain etc - which used to be called "wooding"  
- see this parliamentary report

<http://tinyurl.com/h9gqf>

find "wood" - 3 occurences

So there are physical exclusions built into the hardware /  
software.

But there are also a myriad of things meteorological, thermal  
and electrical which will produce radar returns - and all  
possible attempts are made to prevent them being registered.

Typically they can be excluded by their speed being `too slow'  
or `too fast', or their altitude being `too high' or `too low'  
for an aircraft (in those surroundings), or even by their  
appearing and disappearing in too short a time.

This means that any particular radar cannot give an actual view  
of all things in the air - just a view of those things it is  
allowed (i.e. expected) to see.

Cheers

Ray D

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Tom Petty Quits Driving After 'UFO' Scare -

From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 05:38:48 -0700  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 19:09:40 -0400  
Subject: Re: Tom Petty Quits Driving After 'UFO' Scare -

>Source: Contact Music.Com - Burley in Wharfedale, Leeds, UK

><http://tinyurl.com/fpr5b>

>18/08/2006

>Petty Swears To Quit Driving After 'UFO' Scare

>Rocker Tom Petty has promised his worried wife he won't get back  
>behind the wheel of his car after making a spectacle of himself  
>by literally crashing Adam Sandler's wedding.

<snip>

>As I was coming back (from the store) I saw three enormous  
>silver balls floating in the sky... People were pulled over to  
>the side of the road pointing.

>I ran in the house and yelled to Dana and her friend, 'Get in  
>the car, you have got to come see this...' I had them convinced  
>that they (balloons) were UFOs.

>When we got a lot closer we could see that there was a thin wire  
>attached to them and that they were only balloons... Right when  
>I realised this, I go to turn the car around and I crashed into  
>an oncoming car and nearly killed two people."

>Frantic Petty then pulled off the road and into Sandler's  
>wedding party - where he was swarmed by the paparazzi.

Aloha,

Tom Petty used to live in Topanga Canyon, near LA. That has been the territory of Preston Dennet of MUFON LA. I wonder if some people did not realize they were weather balloons and called him with UFO reports. Topanga is still a hippie haven and many people have seen Unidentified Freaky Objects walking along the road.

Josh Goldstein

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 13:24:42 -0300  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 19:19:41 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Ledger

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 11:49:05 -0700  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 21:08:03 EDT  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 15:43:38 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

>>>And we're still waiting for those "\_real\_" unexplained  
>>>cases" you wanted to talk about Hollis. Are you getting  
>>>cold feet, or just being coy with us?

>>No, I'm not getting 'cold feet', nor am I being coy be any  
>>means. My original post was a question regarding  
>>possible\_real\_cases, such as some of the historic  
>>'unknowns' Blue Book ones, or whatever. Of course, if  
>>further investigation results in nothing to add, pro or con,  
>>then simply dead-file the case as 'Unknown'. Regarding the  
>>Socorro case:

>>I see two probabilities. Either it was an alien spacecraft  
>>flown by two alien beings in white coveralls, or:

>>Considering all the available reported information, it was  
>>simply an experimental test flight of a early prototype  
>>Lunar Survey landing craft, using a Hughes Model 369 (OH-6  
>>Cayuse), aka the 'Flying Egg', helicopter as the carrier,  
>>which was flown by a Hughes Aircraft Company (Culver City,  
>>CA) test pilot, along with a Flight Test Engineer, which is  
>>the usual procedure. As you all know, the Lunar craft was  
>>unable to lift it's own weight in Earth's gravity, hence the  
>>helicopter requirement.

>Well, now I know Don Ledger was right. The debunkers are  
>playing tag team again and "Hollis Kimball" has just come off  
>the bench as a summertime replacement.

>Here's a picture of Mr. Kimball's so-called "Flying Egg"  
>helicopter:

><http://tinyurl.com/fhue7>

>Also check out the Wikipedia article, which includes history &  
>performance details:

>[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hughes\\_H-6](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hughes_H-6)

>The H-6 looks remarkably like... a helicopter. Only somebody  
>totally ignorant of the Socorro case details (or a debunker)  
>would think that this helicopter with clear plexiglass bubble,  
>big rotor on top, tail with tail rotor, and small parallel  
>landing gear bore any resemblance to the actual white oval

>Lonnie Zamora described.

>Or was it the "early prototype Lunar Survey landing craft"  
>that was Zamora's large white oval sitting on the ground,  
>while Zamora totally missed seeing the helicopter hovering  
>above? If that's the case, then how did the helicopter pilot  
>and flight test engineer in their white coveralls get down on  
>the ground next to the "Lunar Surveyor" to be seen by Zamora?  
>Where was the double parallel track of the helicopter landing  
>gear in addition to the four landing pad impressions left  
>behind by the "Lunar Surveyor"? Like most debunkers, Mr.  
>Kimball seems to want to have it both ways.

>I also vaguely recall that helicopters make a tremendous  
>amount of noise, create a strong downdraft, etc. None of this  
>was reported by Zamora. He got within about 50 feet of the  
>craft reporting no noise or downdraft (or seeing any support  
>cable). Then he heard a tremendous noise and saw what looked  
>like a flame shooting down, which burned the ground and cut a  
>mesquite bush cleanly in half. Oh I see, this was the test  
>"Lunar Surveyor" blasting off. Then it went dead silent. Oh  
>right, this was nothing but a test and the "Lunar Surveyor"  
>cut its engine. But wait, wasn't a noisy helicopter still  
>supporting it from above? Where did that noise go?

>Then Zamora reported his egg-shaped object accelerating and  
>rapidly disappearing in the distance over the mountains about  
>6 miles away, probably all within about 20 seconds. Work out  
>the average speed to cover that distance in such a short  
>period of time and it's supersonic. That's one fast  
>"helicopter" carrier.

>Here's another problem. A small helicopter like this can't  
>lift all that much weight. (According to the Wikipedia  
>article, the maximum was about 1500 pounds.) Besides lifting a  
>crew of two, the helicopter was also supposed to be carrying a  
>white oval object which by Zamora's description and by the  
>landing pad impression left behind must have been at least 15  
>feet in length. If it was also a "lunar surveyor" complete  
>with test rocket engine and fuel, that's quite a bit of  
>weight, shall we estimate at least equal to a car or at least  
>a ton? (A very conservative number. According to the depth of  
>the landing pad impressions in the hard soil, calculations  
>actually placed the Socorro object weight at several tons.)  
>How was the "helicopter" supposed to lift that?

>>The landing test area selected for that flight was as  
>>similar to the moon's known surface as possible.

>A rivine in the open desert just a few hundred yards from a  
>town? This is as "similar to the moon's known surface as  
>possible?"

>How about a nice lava field on an actual test range well away  
>from civilians? Think they could have managed that? I know of  
>at least one such site over at White Sands proper. Or is part  
>of your theory that the Hughes aircraft test pilots were a  
>might bit confused and wandered 50 miles away from White Sands  
>test range?

>If this was a test involving our people, why couldn't the Air  
>Force ever figure this out? Project Book Book head Quintilla  
>wanted nothing better than to debunk the Socorro case.  
>They looked into the White Sands test craft angle and came up  
>with - nothing.

>Why would a test involving a very unsecret helicopter carrying  
>a very unsecret "lunar surveyor" be kept secret from Project  
>Blue Book and the public, even today? Oh, I see, a rocket  
>engine wasn't supposed to be tested next door to Socorro. They  
>screwed up and had to cover up the whole thing. Yeah, right.  
>That must have been it.

>There are so many idiotic things wrong with this helicopter/lunar  
>surveyor theory, there is no point in even discussing it any further.

>>Now pardon my humility, but I have test flown not only the  
>>OH-6, but the Bell OH-4 (Jet Ranger), and the Hiller OH-5,  
>>(later the FH-1100 civilian version).

>Yet, as usual, you seem to know so little for somebody

>claiming to know so much.

>>Comment if you wish, but I have no interest in getting into  
>>a 'pizzing' contest with anyone.

>When you obviously know nothing about the cases and then throw  
>out idiotic debunking "explanations," you can expect to get  
>"pizzed" on.

>David Rudiak

Hi David,

I doubt Hollis's credentials. To begin with he states he test flew the Hughes OH-6. Had he done this he would know that the "Loach" was cleared for use and entered service with the US Army in September 1966, two and one-half years after the Socorro Incident. 1,434 of them were delivered to the US Army by August of 1970.

Since the Socorro incident happened in April of 1964 while the Hughes OH-6 was in development and testing, how would this particular machine have been involved.

Sort of reminds you of the 1953 test dummies confused with 1947 aliens doesn't it?

You don't use an untested, experimental aircraft, that doesn't exist yet, to lift a hugely expensive piece of space hardware that it could barely lift (it only had 318 hp) in the first place.

It sure as heck wasn't the Bell 47 [of M\*A\*S\*H fame] with less horsepower.

If they would have used anything it would have probably been the Sikorsky S-55, or S-58 or the S-61 even.

Any of these machines could have lifted the LM but you would have heard them coming from miles away and Zamora would have recognized them as well-known helicopters anyway. I don't think he lived in a vacuum. He had television, read newspapers and magazines. These weren't secret aircraft.

Secondly the LM was being tested in place over 50 miles away on the other side of low mountains at altitudes below 500 feet. How would any helicopter drift that far by accident. The theory is ludicrous. If Hollis is a pilot he'd know that too.

Ask Al Lehmborg, he probably flew the OH-6. There's way too much wrong with what Hollis says.

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 12:32:47 EDT  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 19:20:55 -0400  
Subject: Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

>From: Chaz Stuart <[Daydisk2.nul](mailto:Daydisk2.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 23:51:02 -0400  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Subject: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image?

><http://tinyurl.com/l88th>

>2N168709634EFFFAACP1775L0M1.JPG

>Changed:10:21 PM on Wednesday, August 31, 2005

>Near center. Looks like the engine cover (hood) of my riding  
>mower! A couple interesting objects to the right of that, also.

I see rocks, boulders, sand.

I see sections of the Mars rover at the bottom if that's what  
those obvious manufactured objects are.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Carbondale UFO Chronicles Entry #9

From: Matthew Graeber <[matthewgraeber.nul](mailto:matthewgraeber.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 21:28:07 EDT  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 19:43:05 -0400  
Subject: Carbondale UFO Chronicles Entry #9

Entry No.9 The Carbondale UFO Crash Chronicles

Will The Real Mark Stamey Please Come To The Surface

For those of you who have been following this journal, you may recall that in entry no.7 (August 1, 2006 - UFO UpDates ), I discussed "how" the BUFO team of UFOologists were planning to contact the volunteer scuba diver (Mark Stamey), who recovered the railroad lantern from the Russell park pond in 1974 and "arrange" for him to be interviewed by a NBC TV affiliate (WYOU) newswoman from Scranton, Pa.

Naturally, the BUFOONians had also hoped that the diver's comments would support and endorse their claims concerning the finding of the lantern in the pond was merely a cover up or, "smoke screen" as Mary Sutherland likes to call it - which was intended to shift the focus of the inquiry away from the downed two-seater UFO that had crashed into and settled to the bottom of the silt pond. (i.e., Yet another, uncovering of a dastardly UFO Conspiracy!)

Leading the way, and calling the shots on this "Way to handle the diver's interview", was Ron Hannivig, who contacted Rick Fisher of Paranomal, PA who had already interviewed the diver. Ron contacted Fisher via "CONFIDENTIAL" e-mail that excluded Mary Sutherland from the plan (that is, until such time as Ron felt her efforts would be useful.) Mr. Fisher was kind enough to share Ron's "enlightening" missives with me, and I placed some of that information in the text of Chronicles entry no.7

Ron's plans also included the utilization of a young female reporter who was somewhat sympathetic to the UFO crash scenario that Ron and Frank Scassellati had filled her head with over a period of time.

Perhaps Mary Sutherland's inputs regarding the so-called cover up added to the mysterious story - and the reporter possibly believed that a news report the alleged "Historical Event" or, "Big One!" as Ron likes to call it, would be helpful to Carbondale's declining economy and possibly spark a lucrative tourist industry in the Lackawanna County community. Besides that, it may have seemed like an interesting local story too. (i.e. considered as good news filler to the young TV newsperson.)

Sadly, the newswoman was fired (Ron suggests because of her airing a brief Carbondale UFO story while her boss was away on vacation.) Fisher says, the young woman was dismissed for other reasons...so, the mystery dismissal continues.

Anyway, Ron attempted to persuade Fisher that his interview with the diver should be considered as "a preliminary, fact finding interview" which would be greatly enhanced by the professional TV taping and airing that Ron was planning. Actually, Hannivig knew that Fisher had beaten him to the punch so-to-speak, by first interviewing the diver (Mark Stamey) - and Ron Hannivig wanted to cash in on the interview he felt had gotten away from him because of Mary Sutherland's failure to pursue the matter in a timely fashion as previously suggested by Ron.

Hannivig apparently felt strongly that the diver's testimony would more or less "clinch" the incident as being a conspiracy - and even suspected the diver of being in on the cover up. His suspicions were shared and echoed by Mary Sutherland who actually suspected that the diver was summoned to the pond by me in order to perform his part in the assumed conspiracy. The plot thickens...

Mary had claimed in earlier commentary, that I was a very shoddy and inexperienced UFO field investigator who often gotten the names of key eyewitnesses wrong. As an example, she mentioned that the diver, Mark Stamey, was actually named Mark Stanley - and ridiculed me for not knowing his proper name. Naturally, Mary failed to think her claim through, and continued with the charge that I had summoned the diver to the pond from New York - presumably, without even knowing his proper name.

Mary seems to have trouble deciding if I am the head of the conspiracy or just a non-professional, out-of-the-loop UFO investigator. But, then again, Mary is quite confused on a number of points about the 1974 incident.

Moreover, Stamey the diver, has recently come forward to tell of his experience at the pond on that long-ago November day - and Mary now knows his name really is Stamey (not Stanley) - which caused her to automatically shift gears and suggest that it was I who misnamed him Stanley in the first place - presumably, in order to muddy the waters of the BUFO Carbondale investigation.

Mary even goes so far as to quote from my (Magonia Supplement No.55 published) essay/report on the incident - and suggests that because the upper crust leadership of NICAP, APRO, MUFON and CUFOS failed to respond after receiving my original report on the case - that the "Professional" UFOlogists obviously hold me (and my 1974 report) in ill-regard. Actually, Mary doesn't realize that there is no such thing as a "Professional" UFOlogist. As there is no certification in UFOlogy as a science, proto-science or art.

No ph.D's, No MBA's, BA's or any sort of certification. Moreover, what Mary and her so-called investigator's do is not UFO researching, it's "UFO entertainment" and the promotion of that entertainment. What Mary doesn't grasp is that the "leadership" of the major UFO group's wouldn't respond to a finding of a UFO hoax, simply because their dues-paying, card-carrying membership would have no particular interest in reading about another UFO hoax in their exclusive group journals. (It would be considered a complete waste of perfectly good print space.)

Moreover, since Dr.Hynek himself had already deemed the event a probable hoax, and closed the investigation of the matter to get on to far more promising cases. Why should the major UFO group leaders waste their time on the matter and contact my fledgling Philadelphia-based UFO group?

Back to August 2006 and Mary's whimsical URL featuring Mark Stamey in an 11/2 hour BUFO Radio interview in which Stamey (according to Mary), 'fesses up" on his actual role in the incident, as well as witnessing the acting police chief (Det.Sgt.Francis X. Dottle), toss a trainmen's lantern into the pond as a "smoke screen" to draw attention away from the downed sport-model UFO that was really beneath the surface.

Not too very long ago Mary was saying that what was in the pond sooo scared the diver, he vowed never to go in that pond again! He also said (according to Mary), he would take the secret of what he observed in the water to his grave. Of course, (according to Mary), so did Sgt.Dottle make a similar vow.

First of all, according to Rick Fisher, (who is not a BUFO member and never has been despite Mary's claims), he personally interviewed Stamey several months ago and spoke to him on July 30th. this year - the diver said nothing about a cover up to Fisher during their lengthy telephone conversation.

So too, Stamey had told press reporters at the pond in 1974, there was nothing else in the pond other than the lantern. A comment which he repeated to the acting police chief and several UFO experts who gathered as onlookers to his second dive in the

murky pond on the late afternoon of November 11, 1974.

The problem with Mary's BUFO Radio "exclusive?" interview with Mark Stamey is that he never knew he was being taped, and was shocked to see how Mary Sutherland had "misrepresented" his story in her URL which is not only grossly distorted, inaccurate and false...much of it appears to have been created by Mary herself. See:

<http://www.burlingtonnews.net/carbondale.html>

However, the BUFO radio show is quite difficult, if not impossible to download so, you'll have to take Mary's word concerning the authenticity, accuracy and veracity of the inappropriate 1 1/2 hour interview she conducted without Stamey's knowledge.

Stamey advised Mr. Fisher that he only told Mary exactly what he had said to him months earlier. This included, no fessing up about anything, no witnessing the police chief tossing the lantern in the pond, no observation of a UFO resting on the pond's bottom or, vowing to take the shocking secret of what he had observed to his grave.

In an e-mail I received from Mr. Fisher on August 3, 2006 concerning Stamey's alleged letter to BUFO's Frank Scassellati, Fisher wrote: "There is no letter from Stamey to Frank, that's what confused Stamey, he called and told Mary he never contacted Frank and doesn't know who he is. Stamey saw that (Letter on Mary's URL), and asked me who Frank was"...(Hmmm, good question?)

Apparently, Stamey had happened to type his own name in the search engine of his P.C. and "lo and behold", Mary's URL appeared on the very first scroll page.

Mary also posted that Stamey said I was a "liar" when I mentioned that he had asked me to write a note to his New York State parole officer back in 1974, explaining his presence (as a volunteer), at the Russell Park pond. Stamey didn't say that either, according to Rick Fisher who received a call from Stamey after he saw Mary's outrageous claims about him.

Surely, Stamey who is now is a professional journalist had never been exposed to such BUFOONian behavior and unbridled yellow journalism. Of course, Stamey is not the first "unsuspecting" individual to wander into BUFOria, where what you actually say is "magically transformed" into what Mary wants you to say.

Young witness, Dawn Race, Frank Scassellati (Mary's own investigator), and others have also had their statements transformed by Mary. So, while Mary apparently likes to do the favorable "editing" and "Re-writes" of witness testimony - her second investigator, Ron Hannivig prefers taking a more subtle and stealthy approach - Ron merely attempts to control how much the witnesses might say and to whom they might say it.

In one of Ron's "numerically listed" suggestions (or rules) on how Stamey's taped TV interview should be handled he wrote, "9TH. The diver must behave as Travis Walton and his crew had - just to stick to their original story - keep it extremely simple and straight forward, and never allow himself to be maneuvered into a position where he finds himself talking to someone in control of the microphone...moreover, taping an interview for later editing and airing."

Ron also suggests the diver grant just "one" interview to the TV reporter. (Ron makes this suggestion several times in his 10 point missive.)

As you can see Ron seems to favor a form of "controlled censorship" of the witnesses and appears to have a curiously paranoid mistrust of the press - These and many other "Suggestions" were outlined in his "CONFIDENTIAL" message to Rick Fisher and Frank Scassellati - leaving poor Mary Sutherland out-of-the-loop in regard to this highly sensitive, secretive, instructive and critical matter.

However, on January 20th 2006, Ron e-mailed Mary in regard to her asking him about the status of first and second divers, (i.e., Where were they living, were they still alive?) Ron



Hannvig replied "There is only one diver you need contact - Rick Fisher had already done so, with awesome results if you do not want to contact Fisher directly, ...contact Stan Gordon, or Stan(ton) Friedman in Fredericton, New Brunswick, Canada - I'm sure they can act as a mediator."

This is the second time the two Stans have been mentioned in this sorted matter...or, UFO soap opera. (Take your pick.)

So, what does Mark Stamey have to say about all of this? I contacted Mr. Fisher and asked him to get in touch with Stamey. I didn't want to do that myself for fear of being accused of leading the witness or, attempting to control him like Ron and Mary does. Of course, Mary will continue to say Fisher and I are in working together in this despicable UFO crash cover up. But, the truth is that it was Mary's delusional claims against us that actually brought Fisher and I together through e-mails. I never heard of Rick Fisher until a year or so ago, and we've never met face-to-face.

Unfortunately, my suggestion to Mr. Fisher concerning Mark Stamey possibly making a brief comment for this chronicles entry did not pan out. Stamey recently went into the hospital and Fisher is working on a book about the Carbondale incident, so he may not wish to divulge what Stamey may have told him in great detail. I respect that confidence and will make no comment concerning my disappointment with this turn of events.

However, there may be some folks who feel that Fisher has broken a sacred (UFOlogical and journalistic), taboo by sharing Ron's "CONFIDENTIAL" missive with me. But, I ask, which is the greater sin/evil, breaching a confidence with a BUFOONian or, allowing a half-baked conspiracy within one's midst to be carried out? Obviously, I've answered that question by sharing this journal entry with you.

Rick Fisher probably didn't expect me to write about Ron's letter when he passed it along to me. He just thought I'd get a chuckle out of it. But, I really do not think it's very comical, and I was never included in anyone's confidence on the matter.

Fisher's book is intended to explore "WHY" some UFO enthusiasts think/believe a UFO crashed at Carbondale, while other suspect that an errant soviet missile had plummeted into the pond. (BUFO's been promoting both stories.) He was contacting me because I had deemed the incident a hoax back in 1974 - and he wanted to know "WHY" I had come to that conclusion.

Naturally, since Fisher had already been investigating the matter a couple of years before Mary and her sleuths started looking into the incident (As an "assumed" BUFO possession), there was a little tension and mistrust of Fisher who was a paranormal researcher and internet promoter somewhat like Mary - What's worse, Fisher even intended to open a "mysteries museum", perhaps with the Carbondale mystery as a center piece for his fledgling business enterprise.

Fisher adamantly denies that doing so was ever his intention, but the BUFOONians may have had their suspicions about his plans. (?)

For the information on Stamey that Rick Fisher has shared with me, I wish to thank him and give him full-credit for his assistance and kindness. Without Mr.Fisher's help this entry would never have been written. Without Mary Sutherland's recent idiotic and misleading URL, it would not have been necessary to write.

Ron Hannivg, said in an e-mail to a UFO colleague on January 11, 2006. "...The testimony of the diver - Mary is now searching for, is extremely vital to the Carbondale matter, and being privy to what he had already discussed I can say with absolute certainty that his testimony will surely clinch this case - beyond any doubt, whatsoever."

Ron continues, "My problem here: I have been caught in-between two paranormal investigators, who for some reason are at odds with each other - and I don't want either of them " Jumping-the-gun"...intimidating or wearing down the key witness in this Carbondale case. When the time is right - witnesses will be coming out of the woodwork."...



Ron is coyly referring to Mary Sutherland and Rick Fisher's falling out over false claims she posted about him. Ron knows that but, it may be that Fisher had also shared some of his Stamey information with the BUFOONians before he became a pariah in Mary's "Living in the light" eyes. (?) In any case, No witnesses have apparently been coming out of the woodwork at Carbondale as Ron predicted in this January missive to "Jjjjjj Mmmmm"- whom ever that may be?

So, while it is quite difficult to establish exactly where the boundaries of fact and fiction may be on this convoluted and woeful tale - one thing seems to have a ring of "authenticity" to it. Ironically, this bit of light peeking out of the shadows of BUFO comes from Ron Hannivig, Mary's field investigator and self-proclaimed (Albeit unaccredited), investigative journalist-at-large.

In an e-mail reply to Mary, dated January 20, 2006 Ron wrote "What Rick had shared with me - regarding his interview with the diver was confidential - and I cannot get past that confidence.

Ron continues, " I can tell you he (The diver) was evidentially alive when Fisher interviewed him - but very sick. I can also tell you that Fisher believed his entire side of the story, and Rick bought into it... that would be good enough for me, as I as I fully trust his judgment and ability as a serious researcher."

Interestingly, in an e-mail to Fisher, also dated January 20, 2006 (The same day), Ron Hannivig seems to be less informed on Fisher's interview with the diver. Rom wrote "I am indeed well aware that you have valuable information and... \_did\_ document the interview with the diver, a taped interview, assuming it was documented on audio tape... and yes, I still intend to protect your exclusive rights to this key... quite possibly - decisive testimony - and it should also be obvious that I want to protect your Source the best that I can."

Ron continues; "This is why I had ignored Mary's request - and went straight to \_\_\_\_\_ who had done an excellent and professional job in reporting the Carbondale matter and got her report past the political Commissar at CBS's network affiliate here, in N.E. PA (WYOU). She likely had lost her job for doing so."

Occasionally, people make what are commonly referred to as "Freudian slips" which expose their true feelings and thoughts about any given situation, relationship or the individual's fears or desires. Mary Sutherland is a chronically "Slip-prone" when it comes to this sort of thing, and she more-or-less falls into her own traps which she has foolishly attempted to set for others.

A recent example of this can be found in the letter that Stamey (the diver), allegedly sent to Frank Scaellati who, in turn, passed it on to Mary, and she quickly posted in her latest URL (above). The letter concerns Stamey's "clarifying" a few points before beginning his technically detailed and uniquely concise recollections of the incident that happened 32 years earlier.

There is a serious flaw in the opening paragraph which informs you that the diver could not have penned (all of this letter), although it is attributed to him by Mary. Let's take a quick look at the paragraph.

"There were a few minor points I would like to clarify. I was not told to put the lantern back. I was told by chief Dottle, "The people are not buying the story, We have to send you back in the water and this time when you see the object, not the lantern, leave it there. Don't touch it."

Stamey "allegedly" continues, "That told me that the lantern was supposed to be found and whatever came in from the sky was still in it and I should ignore it when I actually found it." (Doesn't read like a professional journalist wrote this strung-together sentence does it?)

Besides "tagging all the bases" that would tend to support Mary's "fishy" conspiratorial pond tales. There is the striking "strangeness" of Stamey doing so within the very first paragraph of his alleged letter - and mentioning that he should "ignore"

the object in pond "not the lantern", when it's a matter of public record and fully-documented by the global press that the lantern had been removed from the pond on the "first" dive. Additionally, Stamey says, "I was not told to put the lantern back." (i.e., In the water.)

Moreover, chief Dottle had advised Stamey not to touch the object in the pond, and just take a quick "look-see" at what it was before Stamey went into the water on the first dive - so, it would not be at all unusual for Dottle to remind him of that "precaution" just before the second dive.

Chief Dottle and everyone present during the first dive knew that some sort of object was in the pond before Stamey went into the water. But, Dottle had absolutely no way of knowing or, reason to suspect that another object might have been there...in fact, he had absolutely no compelling reason to suspect that another object even existed.

He apparently permitted the second dive to "appease" the discontented and very vocal UFO experts/enthusiasts who had gathered at the scene after the lantern had been recovered.. So, why would Dottle, (A professional police detective for many years, with court room testimony experience), have made such a "foolish and assumptive" comment? The answer is, he wouldn't have but, Mary would and often does!

Mary has made a fatal timeline and fact flaw, that should immediately excuse anyone from reading her URL fantasy any further - Simply because Stamey apparently did not pen the entire letter, and couldn't possibly have been aware (or privy to) all the intricate details contained in it, since Stamey is said to have been completely ignorant of all of this Carbondale conspiracy nonsense. Or as, Ron Hannivig suggests, Isolated from it in New York State all these many years

Ron Hannivig actually puts it this way, "...His (Stamey's) isolation... per se... from Carbondale, PA since the event, etc. adds the utmost credence to his account." (What drivel, that is of course, if Stamey (The professional journalist), hadn't computer access, lived in a cave and never read about BUFO's on-going investigation into the so-called Carbondale cover up.

So, if the lantern was removed from the pond on the first dive, why would the police chief tell Stamey not to touch the object "not the lantern", when the lantern was no longer in the pond at all. It just doesn't make any sense at all, except to Mary Sutherland and her pair of woefully defective saucer sleuths.

There is another problem with Mary's alleged Stamey letter (Still in the first paragraph). Unknown to Mary, Ron and Frank, Stamey was fitted with an inflatable vest as he went into the pond on the first dive, He was also tethered with ropes that would help the recovery team in the boat pull him aboard if he got in to any trouble while in the water.

The reason for the inflatable vest was to keep him from touching the bottom of the pond for fear of possible electrocution and/or radiation if the "Unknown" object should suddenly reactivate and become illuminated again. (The previously reported strange light beneath the pond had gone dark about 21 hours earlier.)

In Mary's amusing URL, Stamey is reported to have said he was warned to be cautious if the object should suddenly start to "Vibrate" or emit any "Fluids". Neither vibrations or fluids were ever a consideration, or mentioned during the 1974 event. A check of press stories will confirm that Stamey and the police chief never mentioned such nonsense, neither did the three primary UFO investigators at the scene.

There was also no decontamination chamber available to the authorities at the scene (As Mary claims), and Stamey was going to be sprayed with water from fire hoses "IF" he were exposed to any radioactive mud, silt or vegetation while in the pond. That never happened as frequently monitored radiation levels never exceeded normal background ranges.

In another of Mary's recent URL fibs, it is mentioned that I told Stamey that the (UFOs) are usually basketball shaped, and this one had beams of light radiating from it. That alleged

conversation never took place. At the time of the incident, I spoke very briefly with Stamey at the pond, and only had one alleged eyewitness report concerning what the strange object in the sky supposedly looked like.

That was the interview report of William Lloyd - age 16, one of the three teenage boys who perpetrated the hoax. William said, "The object was lens-shaped, with a red dot in the middle and sparks shooting out it's rear section." (a tracing of his original sketch appears in the 32 page investigative UFORIC report of December 1974.)

About a month and a half after the incident had occurred, the written report forms filed by the Lloyd brothers, William and John (who were with Bobby Gillette at the time of the alleged sighting), arrived in the mail and their UFO sketches now depicted an ill-defined oval object which was about the size of a nickel (5 cent piece) held at arm's length. Not lens-shaped, no red dot in the middle and no fire or sparks trailing the object.

29 years later on a BUFO site, I discovered that the Lloyd brothers were now saying that the object was about the size of a "basketball" held at arm's length - perhaps this is where Mary's version of what I supposedly said to the diver before he went in to the pond came from? But, then again, it's very difficult to know exactly where most things come from with Mary Sutherland and her pair of saucer investigators.

BTW, Bobby Gillette confessed to Scranton-Times Tribune reporters that he and his companions - The Lloyd brothers - had thrown the lantern into the pond on the 25th anniversary of the hoax. He also confessed that he made up the entire story about seeing an object in the sky when he got scared as police were converging on the pond. He said he had fears of being sent to Boy's Town or reform school. (source: Scranton Times-Tribune, Scranton, Pa. November 7, 1999)

Naturally, Ron Hannivig (The self-proclaimed investigative journalist), wrote a long-winded and convoluted "Rebuttal" to Gillette's confession - while also explaining that the Oklahoma City Federal Building bombing of 1995, was actually a "building collapse" caused by shoddy construction - and that the home-grown terrorist, Timothy McVeigh was just one of the government's scapegoats for the deadly disaster.

Anyway, Stamey ignored the police chief's warning not to touch whatever he observed (during the first dive), while supposedly taking a quick "look-see" at what was lying on the pond's floor - instead, Stamey grabbed the railroad lantern and immediately brought it to the surface, WITHOUT first informing chief Dottle, the men in the recovery boat or the UFO investigators what he had found.

So, yes, Dottle did advise Stamey not to touch what he discovered in the pond simply because when he first went into the water no one knew exactly what was there. (With the exception of the three tight-lipped teens who had tossed the lantern in the water.)

The UFO field investigators and the police were concerned that perhaps "Space Junk" had splashed down into the pond, and the "Space Nuclear Auxiliary Power" or, so-called "Snap unit" had survived re-entry. (That's why radiological monitors (Geiger counters), were in use throughout the recovery operations at Dr.Hynek's suggestion.)

When Stamey performed his second underwater search. I had already left the area and was headed home. But, I seriously doubt that the recovery boat team were still present, as they had been on the scene for quite some time and were very tired - as were most of the other volunteers who were involved in the 44 hour-long fiasco's resolution. So, it is possible that Stamey went into the water without the recovery team being present but, with chief Dottle and the small group of late-to-the-scene (UFO experts and Esoteric Arts) onlookers standing by..(?)

It's amazing, neither the press or, Jerome Gillott the Carbondale recovery team photographer took any photos of this second dive. Neither did the UFO experts who had traveled many miles to Carbondale in "anticipation" of being present at an

historical UFO event. Considering the camera-consciousness of UFO enthusiasts it seems strange that no photographic documentation exists of the second dive at all.

Well, this has been a very long and convoluted journal entry and I sincerely apologize to those of you who have endured reading it. However, BUFO produces sooo much convoluted material on the Carbondale hoax that it takes an equally convoluted response to wade through some of the many pond stories they come up with. In fact, Mary has stated that it is her "job" to present as much "material" as it takes to persuade others to believe as she does concerning the Carbondale UFO crash cover up. (a saucer crash conspiracy that never happened.)

To date, Mary and her small band of marymen have produced numerous versions of what happened at the pond in 1974 - and I'm certain the list will continue grow as she continues to force-fits pieces of her conspiracy puzzle together - even if it takes more creative witness testimony re-writes to do so.

Mary does not tend to deal in empirical observations, documented fact or physical evidence. She and her investigators were apparently not present during the incident, and none of them were the primary UFO field investigators who actually observed and participated in what was going on at the time. Yet, they manage to have all the answers and All the questions about the incident - and if Mary doesn't have what she needs from her investigators, she simply consults - her BUFO sponsored - internet psychics on the crash cover up.

Mary Sutherland and her woeful pair of saucer sleuths are 32 year after-the-fact wannabes who haven't a clue about the factual Carbondale UFO incident. They are conspiracy peddlers, self-promoters, character assassins and self-appointed experts. They represent everything that's wrong with contemporary UFOlogy - and are in fact, perfect examples of why the real scientific community wisely distances itself from the fringy saucer throng.

At Carbondale, the police department worked shoulder-to-shoulder with many civic-minded volunteers and Dr.Hynek's field investigator (Douglas K.Dains), in an attempt to reach a safe, "evidence-saving" resolution to the incident. Unfortunately, it turned out to be a hoax- but, it might just as well have been a genuine historical UFO event. Most everyone at the scene was very disappointed with the out come - but, that's no excuse to allow three absurd BUFOOlogits to re-write history to further inflate their egos and promote their self-assumed expertise in what is truly a delusional fantasy of their own making.

The Carbondale UFO crash story is an authentic piece of Lackawanna County's history and folklore; and it is the City of Carbondale and its citizens who deserve any financial rewards from its promotion. There is nothing wrong with an exchange of ideas and beliefs concerning the story. It's just that the City of Carbondale should sponsor the UFO conventions and promote their own annual festival of the "The day the earth stood still" at Carbondale. After all, their tax dollars paid for the 44 hour ordeal. (I'm almost certain Mary Sutherland would agree with me on that point!?)

In fact, Mary and her defective detectives would be marvelous speakers and workshop attractions at such an event. Ya see, it's okay to be bullish - and, it is even okay to be a bear in the growing internet UFO entertainment industry. But, one shouldn't be a pig about sole sponsorship of the event.

One also shouldn't attempt to destroy the reputation and character of people with whom one doesn't agree over what may or may not have happened at Carbondale back in 1974. Everybody seems to like a mystery, everybody likes some science fiction, and everybody seems to like UFOs. Did ya know that UFO sites are second only to porn sites in popularity on the net. So, lighten up will ya Mary, Mr.Dottle, Mr. Fisher and I aren't really the boogie men you make us out to be.

Who knows, perhaps the municipal sponsors of the UFO conferences at Carbondale will ask all of us to speak at their annual festival - and we can all have the opportunity to sit down for a cup of coffee at McDonnell's restaurant after our lively debate on the pond incident... (My treat!) Or, would that just be wishful thinking on my part?

I've already written the town council and mayor of Carbondale with this suggestion. So, if Mary should suddenly hear from them, she'll know what it's about. Wouldn't it be grand if Pennsylvania became the second state in the union to have "two saucer crash site" tourist and annual festival attractions like New Mexico! Imagine and east coast Roswell and Aztec. Wouldn't that be a marvelously lucrative enterprise...hmmm, Mary has already said she wants people to think Roswell/Carbondale.

Anyway, as clearly demonstrated herein, BUFO is soooo full of it (convolution), that is, that it's virtually impossible to respond to each and every error-filled charge, claim, suspicion and assumption they might come up with. Even if I were to do so, they'd just come up with more conspiracy-laden nonsense. They are not only unreachable through logic, common sense and reasoning, they are agenda-driven, and appear to be obsessed with the 1974 non-UFO incident at Carbondale.

Matt Graeber is solely responsible for the contents this Chronicles entry. He also challenges the BUFOONians to a face-to-face debate on the Carbondale incident at any time, and at any place. (Providing the debate is "admission-free" to the public or, Carbondale community sponsored, taped and aired for all to see in Lackawanna County.) Perhaps Ron Hannivig can help arrange that too?

Final thoughts:

It might be interesting to have the press cover and host the debate so Mary can receive all the free publicity she seems to crave. Ron won't like them controlling the microphones but then again, Ron, ya can't have it all your way.

I hereby, forgive and release Mary Sutherland from her promise to post (at a BUFO site), my "entire" report/essay on the Carbondale hoax (as she agreed to do in April of 2004.) At the time, I thought her reader's might like to review a "fair and balanced" article on the incident... (she failed to provide them with one) - but, did post an edited "wee bit" of the story elsewhere - shortly after it appeared on the dreaded net - Published as a "Special Issue" by the UK's Magonia Supplement on March 15th. 2005

Matt Graeber  
August 5th, 2006

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## Re: Tom Petty Quits Driving After 'UFO' Scare -

From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 19:30:32 EDT  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 19:44:22 -0400  
Subject: Re: Tom Petty Quits Driving After 'UFO' Scare -

>From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 05:38:48 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Tom Petty Quits Driving After 'UFO' Scare

>Aloha,

>Tom Petty used to live in Topanga Canyon, near LA. That has been the  
>territory of Preston Dennet of MUFON LA. I wonder if some people did  
>not realize they were weather balloons and called him  
>with UFO reports. Topanga is still a hippie haven and many people  
>have seen Unidentified Freaky Objects walking along the road.

>Josh Goldstein

Got any details on the supposed sightings of 'freaky objects'  
walking along the road in the Topanga canyon region?

- Jason Gammon

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 23](#)

## New British UFO Documentary

From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 00:33:16 +0100  
Fwd Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 19:46:07 -0400  
Subject: New British UFO Documentary

The UK's ITV Westcountry will be showing a new UFO documentary  
on Thursday August 24, at 11pm:

<http://tinyurl.com/z3h3a>

Best wishes,

Nick Pope  
<http://www.nickpope.net>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 24](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Kimball

From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 21:25:43 EDT  
Fwd Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 05:31:42 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Kimball

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 13:24:42 -0300  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>I doubt Hollis's credentials.

OK, you got me there.

I don't know my IFR from my IMC, or VOR from DME, or VGI from DGI, or RPM from ROC, or ILS from TACAN, or Reynolds from Mach number, or EGT from OAT, or N1 and N2 from Nr, or my Vne from my Vmc, or V1 from Vr, or Cd from Clmax, or a .-. from an ...--- ..., or a dihedral from an anhedral, or a TAS from an IAS, so maybe I'll just cancel my flight plan, squawk 1200, ident, do a 180 and head back inbound to the localizer and do a practise ILS approach to 31R until the middle marker, then sidestep left and aurorotate to the helipad. OK?

Hollis Kimball

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 24](#)

## Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

From: Joe Faccenda <[Uforth.nul](mailto:Uforth.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 21:33:46 EDT  
Fwd Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 05:52:08 -0400  
Subject: Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 10:09:35 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image?

>>From: Chaz Stuart <[Daydisk2.nul](mailto:Daydisk2.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 23:51:02 -0400  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Subject: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image?

>><http://tinyurl.com/l88th>

>>2N168709634EFFAAACP1775L0M1.JPG

>>Changed:10:21 PM on Wednesday, August 31, 2005

>>Near center. Looks like the engine cover (hood) of my riding  
>>mower! A couple interesting objects to the right of that, also.

>I see a big pile of rocks.

>No, wait, just to the right of center is a shield with a white  
>cross painted on it. Does this prove that the Knights Templar  
>migrated to Mars after their betrayal by the French king in  
>1313? So that's where they went.....

Looking at these photos from the Rovers is like looking at  
Rorschach Inkblot Test, your imagination can conceive all sorts  
of artefacts.

However several years ago while browsing through these photos I  
did see another anomaly, and that was what appeared to be a  
bird skull.

Have a look at the photo at:

<http://tinyurl.com/gzgv2>

If you look at the bottom left you can see it, and also what  
appears to be another, slightly higher up.

Pelican graveyard perhaps?

Joe Faccenda

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 24](#)

## Re: Kinross Solved? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 02:11:41 -0300  
Fwd Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 05:56:24 -0400  
Subject: Re: Kinross Solved? - Ledger

>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 12:03:24 -0500 (CDT)  
>Subject: Re: Kinross Solved?

>>Below is a forwarded-post from the Current Encounters List.

><snip>

>>"In searching the general vicinity of the wreck, we believe we  
>>have also found a part of the object that the F-89 collided  
>>with. We are still in the process of documenting the mystery  
>>object." Jimenez declined to elaborate on what the object is.  
>>"There is still a lot of wreck site forensics to complete" he  
>>said.

>Well, they're certainly being cagey about the identification  
>of "other object," aren't they? My guess is that it's a Mogul  
>balloon.

On another List I've been wondering if this isn't the scan  
[number 2] of a P-51. Doesn't resemble the F-89C to me.

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 24](#)

### Keyhoe, J J O'Connor & FOI

From: Terry Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 19:52:09 -0700 (GMT-07:00)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 06:00:36 -0400  
Subject: Keyhoe, J J O'Connor & FOI

Fwd: [fortean1] Keyhoe, J J O'Connor and FOI

...As a test, we began the first list with the World War II report by Capt. Alvah Rieda, followed by the Mantell case, the disappearance of the AF jet over Lake Superior, the Walesville tragedy, two 1957 airliner near-collisions with UFOs in which passengers were injured and other closeapproach scares. In addition, we listed verified reports from all the armed forces, NASA, the FAA and other documented encounters up to 1965, including the names of expert witnesses. (See partial listing in Appendix.)

After sending this first evidence to London and Low we began on the next group, covering the main cases in 1965 and 1966 (described in Chapter 7). In the second half of '66 there had been two or three frightening close approaches. One unnerving encounter was reported to NICAP and the AF by a former Army Security investigator, J. J. O'Connor, now a lawyer in Florida.

On September 20, 1966, O'Connor was piloting his private plane near Sebring, Florida. He was flying at 9,500 feet when a huge UFO came down directly above him. As its shadow covered the plane O'Connor hastily reduced power and dived.

When he pulled out, at 3,500 feet, he saw that the object had followed him down. Now really scared, he reached for a .38 revolver he kept in the cockpit. But the size of the enormous craft stopped him - firing at it would be futile, and it could be dangerous. After a few more tense moments the UFO circled upward and went out of sight.

Many of the cases in the first list and the hundreds later given to the CU Project had been checked by experienced investigators in the Los Angeles NICAP Subcommittee (LANS) and other subcommittees at Cape Kennedy, Seattle, in Massachusetts, Texas, the San Francisco Bay area and twenty other sections of the country. Important foreign reports also had been checked by NICAP investigators in several countries. The CU scientists also were given a copy of NICAP's documented evaluation, The UFO Evidence, a 184 page report on more than seven hundred verified cases, many reported by top-rated observers and also analyzed by NICAP's staff and scientific and technical advisers. This strong compilation of evidence, along with proof of the cover-up, had convinced many skeptics, but no word of London's reaction came from Boulder...

--Keyhoe, Major Donald E., Aliens From Space, Panther 1973  
pp 130-131

See also:

<http://www.thememoryhole.org/foi/apbnews-list/index.htm>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 24](#)

## Crop Circles Found In Galva Field

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 06:04:33 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 06:04:33 -0400  
Subject: Crop Circles Found In Galva Field

Source: The Star Courier - Kewanee, Illinois, USA

<http://www.starcourier.com/articles/2006/08/22/news/local2.txt>

Tuesday, August 22, 2006

Crop Circles Found In Galva Field  
By Lisa Hammer  
The Star Courier

CAMBRIDGE =97 A report of crop circles in a bean field near Geneseo won't lead to an intensive investigation by the Henry County Sheriff's Department.

A farmer west of Geneseo called the sheriff's office over the weekend to report finding five circles in the field. There was no track of trampled soybeans leading to the circles, the farmer said.

Sheriff Gib Cady issued a news release that concluded, "If anyone happens to see a UFO with attached soybeans, please contact Henry County Crime Stoppers or the Henry County Sheriff's Office." \*

Sheriff's department investigator Lt. Kerry Loncka said today he won't be investing time investigating the circles.

It is possible to walk out into the middle of a soybean field without leaving any tracks, isn't it?

"Yeah. Yeah," Loncka said. "I asked Gib about it this morning and he said it was a slow news day and that was something (the media) looked at. Actually I don't even want to be associated with it."

On his way to Springfield today, Sheriff Cady insisted deputies looked all over for tracks into the field and there were none.

"They checked all that out. That isn't true" (that anyone walked out there)," he said. "We had all kinds of people out looking."

He recalled another instance of a "cookie-cutter" near-perfect wide and deep depression in a field south of Orion years ago.

"We couldn't explain that either," he said.

Cady said they've considered hot-air balloons, but it was raining on the day the circles appeared so no one would have been flying.

They've considered underground methane gas or mold, but that wouldn't explain the perfect shapes.

"I don't know what to tell you. No, there's no explanation. This is going nowhere, so you just have to laugh it off because

you're not going to explain it," the sheriff said.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 24](#)

## Crop Circles Puzzle Geneseo Officials

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 06:09:31 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 06:09:31 -0400  
Subject: Crop Circles Puzzle Geneseo Officials

Source: WHBF-TV/DT - Rock Island, Illinois, USA

<http://www.whbf.com/Global/story.asp?S=5308780>

Aug 21, 2006

[Pictures at site]

Crop Circles Puzzle Geneseo Officials  
by Christina Palladino

GENESE0, IL - A mystery has planted itself firmly in the middle of a 90 acre field of soybeans in Henry County.

The question now is, are the five crop circles a natural phenomenon or the work of pranksters?

Phenomena experts say the strange circles have killed farmers' crops for centuries and they still have no answers as to how they form, but other folks say they believe it's the work of higher powers.

Henry County Sheriff Gib Cady had no real explanation for the phone call Saturday morning to report mysterious damage to a soybean crop west of Geneseo. His department had a bit of fun with the situation, asking people to call CrimeStoppers if they saw any UFO's with soybeans trailing behind.

But all kidding aside, the bizarre scene near Jim Stahl's soybean field has a lot of people scratching their heads. And phenomena experts are taking samples of the flattened crop to see what could have caused the crop circles.

"No one has been able to determine really how they're made or who makes genuine ones," said JoAnne Scarpellini, an investigator. "Many, many total fakes...total frauds."

Scarpellini says crop circles are certainly no urban legend. In fact, they've been killing farmers' crops since the first ones appeared in the late 1700's in England. She says every so often crop circles are spotted in fields throughout the Midwest, but to this day researchers have not been able to find out how they're made.

And she says there's no basis to the UFO stories.

"No one has seen a UFO making a crop circle," she said. "Until that happens, I can't say who does it or how they do it."

The circles have attracted people from Geneseo and other parts of the area. Some think it's just teenagers playing a joke but others say it could be from extraterrestrial beings.

"I watch it all the time on the Discovery Channel," said Luke Rawlings of Galva, Illinois. "You see all kinds of stuff like this. I just couldn't believe it. I had to see it with my own



eyes."

"It's nothing serious," said Dave Paxton of Geneseo. "I think it's pranksters who got in there, because you can get in and out of a cornfield and a bean field without leaving any type of tracks."

The three biggest crop circles are 50 feet in diameter.

The dead soybeans will be tested and then compared to the healthy ones to see if they can determine what caused them all to fall flat and die. Because of the large circles, the entire crop will have to be destroyed.

People in Geneseo are scratching their heads over an unusual sight in the middle of a soybean field.

You can see in the pictures what has them so confused. The field has been damaged by what's being described as several circles with no path in or out of the field.

Over the weekend, Henry County Sheriff's officials said jokingly, if you have happen to see a UFO with soybeans attached to it, to contact them.

[Thanks to Greg Boone for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 24](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Shough

From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 09:32:49 +0100  
Fwd Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 06:13:21 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Shough

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 21:08:03 EDT  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 15:43:38 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

>>And we're still waiting for those "\_real\_unexplained cases" you  
>>wanted to talk about Hollis. Are you getting cold feet, or just  
>>being coy with us?

>Martin;

>No, I'm not getting 'cold feet', nor am I being coy be any  
>means. My original post was a question regarding possible\_real\_  
>cases, such as some of the historic 'unknowns' Blue Book ones,  
>or whatever.

Hi Hollis

Was it? How are we supposed to conclude this? You dismissed one  
"historic BB unknown" (Socorro) off the bat as a mis-conception  
and (you clearly imply) a waste of our time. I think everyone  
who read your original post interpreted it to mean that you had  
reliable first hand sighting information you wanted to discuss.  
I doubt it could be interpreted any other way:

>"Are there UFOs? Yes. Have I  
>seen any? Yes. Do I know what I saw? No. Am I a credible  
>witness? Absolutely! Not like the... etc., etc. Is there any chance  
>anyone would want to talk about \_real\_unexplained cases of UFO  
>sightings? Your call...

Several people have "called". Go ahead. We're ready and waiting.  
But we can't tell whether you have a "real unexplained case" or  
even whether your are "absolutely credible" unless you give us  
the straight dope. Is that unreasonable or unclear?

Of course we may have misunderstood you - we're very silly here,  
as we all tell each other every so often. Maybe you're not  
claiming to be a witness at all. That's certainly the impression  
you are now creating. So let's forget that and talk about those  
"possible real cases" (whatever that is supposed to mean) and  
"historic BB unknowns" then.

>Of course, if further investigation results in  
>nothing to add, pro or con, then simply dead-file the case as  
>'Unknown'. Regarding the Socorro case:

Excuse me, but Socorro is precisely one of the cases you told us  
you were sick of hearing about and definitely not a "real"  
unknown, so you're obviously not going to get started on that  
one are you. Or are you?

>I see two probabilities. Either it was an alien spacecraft flown  
>by two alien beings in white coveralls, or:

Yes, you are! Well so much for Occam's razor.

>Considering all the available reported information, it was  
>simply an experimental test flight of a early prototype Lunar  
>Survey landing craft, using a Hughes Model 369 (OH-6 Cayuse),  
>aka the 'Flying Egg', helicopter as the carrier, which was flown  
>by a Hughes Aircraft Company (Culver City, CA) test pilot, along  
>with a Flight Test Engineer, which is the usual procedure. As  
>you all know, the Lunar craft was unable to lift it's own weight  
>in Earth's gravity, hence the helicopter requirement. The  
>landing test area selected for that flight was as similar to the  
>moon's known surface as possible.

You can't be expected to know the whole UpDates archive but back in Jan-Feb 2005 the difficult scenario of a balloon-suspended lander test-rig was debated at nauseating length and pushed to its limit and beyond on this List. Why? Because "considering all the available reported information" the racket of a 300 HP motor spinning a 26-foot rotor overhead is not exactly consistent with the total silence in which the object flew away. So it isn't "simple" - you've got some justifying to do here.

I know about the Surveyor helicopter drop tests, dangling by cable off its special side-mounted bracket. James Smith and I discussed this off-List at the time of the balloon-borne debate. We had drawings and technical specs (I've since lost all those emails - maybe James hasn't). We both thought it was intriguing but didn't advance the idea on-List. Apparently even we weren't daft enough to think we could make a silent helicopter fly! Can you?

Incidentally, the Lunar Surveyor series had three legs, and no occupants. The Socorro object had four legs, and two occupants. Unknown early large-scale manned prototype? Hmmm. If you have specs that match then it would be interesting. But you've still got that rotor noise etc to worry about.

>Now pardon my humility, but I have test flown NOT only the OH-6,  
>but the Bell OH-4 (Jet Ranger), and the Hiller OH-5, (later the  
>FH-1100 civilian version).

>Comment if you wish,

Why, thank you so much.

>but I have no interest in getting into a 'pizzing' contest with  
>anyone.

Exactly what is it you do want, Hollis? If you want discussion of strong BB unknowns that you don't think are misinterpretations, hoaxes, etc, then I couldn't agree with you more.

Believe me I've tried detailed nitty-gritty debate about "hard" cases on more than one occasion but it can be harder to fly that kite than your silent helicopter! For quite a few on this List it seems analysis = debunking. You've gotta be careful. But do try.

Let's have your short-list.

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 24](#)

## Re: Tom Petty Quits Driving After 'UFO' Scare -

From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 22:45:18 -0700  
Fwd Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 06:15:13 -0400  
Subject: Re: Tom Petty Quits Driving After 'UFO' Scare -

>From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 19:30:32 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Tom Petty Quits Driving After 'UFO' Scare

>>From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 05:38:48 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: Tom Petty Quits Driving After 'UFO' Scare

>>Tom Petty used to live in Topanga Canyon, near LA. That has been the  
>>territory of Preston Dennet of MUFON LA. I wonder if some people did  
>>not realize they were weather balloons and called him  
>>with UFO reports. Topanga is still a hippie haven and many people  
>>have seen Unidentified Freaky Objects walking along the road.

>Got any details on the supposed sightings of 'freaky objects'  
>walking along the road in the Topanga canyon region?

Aloha Jason,

I was being humorous with a bit of satire also in the mix. I stated that Topanga Canyon is still a hippie haven. Back in the 1960s (before and after I was in combat helicopters in Vietnam) I was a psychedelic music hippie type and we used to proudly refer to ourselves as freaks, as did many hippies.

In Topanga Canyon you still see some people with extremely long hair and beards wearing clothes from Guatemala walking and hitchiking along the road. That is why I jokingly referred to them as Unidentified Freaky Objects.

Topanga is also home to a number of famous musical artists and recording studios. Over the years from the 1960s until 1995 I used to do musical productions at a couple of the recording studios located there.

Mahalo,

Josh Goldstein

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 24](#)

## Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 05:56:26 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 06:17:44 -0400  
Subject: Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 09:34:11 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal Encounters

>>From: Scott Carr <[sardonica.nul](mailto:sardonica.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 14:42:58 -0700 (PDT)  
>>Subject: Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal Encounters

>>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 09:42:12 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Saucer Smear's Jim Moseley's Paranormal Encounters

<snip>

Jerry and all,

I probably should have sought better phrasing in my post and didn't intend to indicate that Moseley was a Sociologist. IMO, he approaches the UFO genre from the perspective of sociologist, and not a phenomenon that is "alien" in nature. This is an oversimplification and I agree with Jerry's description. I'll have to re-read the article in IUR.

Steve

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 24](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 11:03:09 +0100  
Fwd Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 06:21:21 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 09:39:27 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>,  
>>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 10:27:11 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 10:24:15 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>><snip>

>>>If they can't track regular aircraft (and balloons/missiles),  
>>>then how can we hope that UFOs can be tracked at all with radar?

>>If there is no evidence that there are physical UFOs that can be  
>>"tracked at all", then there is so much less evidence that  
>>physical UFOs exist at all, and you don't have to worry about  
>>them.

>>The fact is that evidence of high-strangeness radar UFOs does  
>>exist, and presumably this is part of the reason that you take  
>>the subject seriously?

>I have two motivations. One is a great concern over the ho-hum  
>attitude of the FAA/industry over aircraft safety. Two, I want  
>to understand how to monitor and track non-prosaic UFOs.

>I have no doubt UFOs exist and that sometimes visual UFOs can be  
>correlated to radar images and sometimes there are radar images  
>with no visual component. But I really had more faith that ATC  
>radar could track such objects before I read these FAA incident  
>reports.

>It turns out that there are many reports of unknown aircraft  
>that the ATC were asked about by commercial aircraft pilots and  
>which the ATC said (quoted by the pilot) were not painted by  
>radar.

>Some of these are clearly described by pilots as aircraft (well,  
>UFOs or alien ships could always cloak themselves in the image  
>of one of our aircraft, but we'll ignore this for now).

>So what this means is that our ATC radar is not even good enough  
>to pick up regular aircraft, let alone anomalies like  
>missiles/rockets/balloons (which could also be nonprosaic UFOs  
>instead the "prosaic" appearing objects).

>So it bodes somewhat poorly for general detection a non-prosaic  
>UFO class object.

>One would think the higher power ATC radar would be the best we  
>got but apparently not. So for a lower power level class radar



>unit - e.g. Davenport passive radar or others - the success rate  
>would seem to be low for UFO tracking.

James

We've been over and over this topic before at length so I hesitate to subject the List to further anguish, but I'd just like to register that my response was directed at the much more categorical point of view you actually expressed. I quote:

>>>If they can't track regular aircraft (and balloons/missiles),  
>>>then how can we hope that UFOs can be tracked at all with radar?

It is obvious that UFOs (like regular aircraft) can be tracked with radar, by definition, inasmuch as a significant part of the evidence that physical UFOs exist is radar evidence. Your pessimism here seems too extreme and I point it out in case it is symptomatic of an underlying deep scepticism that might skew your approach, despite what you now say about accepting that radar UFOs do occur.

Other than that I'd only say that your characterisation of radar effectiveness solely in terms of "power" is simplistic in the extreme. The factors that affect the detection rate are many and power is only one. And what power is this? Peak pulse power? Average power radiated? Energy on target? All these are different measures differently factoring into the detection rates for different targets in the design and operation of different types of radar along with various other factors.

It is absurd to suggest that ATC radars have a significant failure rate with "regular aircraft" because they don't have sufficient "power". Those other factors which are involved in detection failures - including user-end filters such as switching off non-transponder codes on SSR etc - are precisely those factors which mean that one would not necessarily "think the higher power ATC radar would be the best we got".

These factors are also important in weighing the relative effectiveness of such alternatives as active monostatic and passive multistatic sensing, which latter you caricature here as just another "low power level radar" to be ranked on that one-dimensional scale. Please take on board the very different issues involved in passive radar, see e.g.:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/oct/m28-006.shtml>

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/oct/m29-011.shtml>

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/nov/m04-006.shtml>

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/nov/m06-006.shtml>

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Tim Good Live On The Net

From: **Sheryl Gottschall** <[gottscha.nul](mailto:gottscha.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 20:22:49 +1000  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 08:16:55 -0400  
Subject: Tim Good Live On The Net

Hi List,

We've organised Tim Good to speak live next Monday night 28th August 2006 on Brisbane Radio 4BC at 9.30pm (Queensland, Australia) time.

He'll be speaking about his new book, Need To Know: UFOs, The Military & Intelligence.

Listen live via streaming audio at:

<http://www.4bc.com.au/>

Sheryl

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOupdatesList.Com](http://UFOupdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 06:58:29 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 08:18:37 -0400  
Subject: Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

From: Joe Faccenda <[Uforth.nul](mailto:Uforth.nul)>  
To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 21:33:46 EDT  
Subject: Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image?

>Looking at these photos from the Rovers is like looking at  
>Rorschach Inkblot Test, your imagination can conceive all sorts  
>of artefacts.

True. Any natural formation tends to have random forms that our brains try to force fit into known shapes. I believe it was Leonardo Da Vinci who used to spend hours staring at the grain in wood to visualize images for drawings.

These Mars photos so far tell us more about the human brain and human visual perception than about the red planet. I've looked at them until my eyes hurt and have yet to see anything even slightly odd or anomalous. I've seen no fossils and no manufactured objects.

Being a photographic analyst, I know that visual literacy, like verbal literacy, is not inborn. It must be learned. And, sadly, it is not taught at all in our schools.

>However several years ago while browsing through these photos I  
>did see another anomaly, and that was what appeared to be a  
>bird skull.

>Have a look at the photo at:

><http://tinyurl.com/gzgv2>

>If you look at the bottom left you can see it, and also what  
>appears to be another, slightly higher up.

>Pelican graveyard perhaps?

Nope. Remember that you can identify a pelican because "its bill can hold more than its belly can". These Martian birds have short, stubby beaks, no doubt adapted for breaking rocks to get at the wormlike microbes inside.

Don't get me wrong. I believe there was life on Mars, and that there may still be, but I have yet to see anything in the rover images that is evidence for this.

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 12:49:08 +0100  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 08:20:06 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 10:28:54 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

<snip>

>Well, you can include missiles/rockets/balloons of course into  
>the mix of stuff that cannot be painted by ATC but there are  
>lots of other cases of apparently normal aircraft which the ATC  
>call unknowns which they cannot paint.

>Here are a couple examples.

<snip>

>But it seems to happen all the time with regular type aircraft  
>(that are unknown).

Hi James

These are very interesting examples. I wonder if it is possible to get some feeling for the proportion of all traffic handled by ATCs where incidents like this occur? Obviously it doesn't really happen "all the time". How many aircraft does a typical ATC handle during a year? How many cases of missed traffic of this peculiar kind are reported per ATC per year?

I ask because it is not news that radars are designed with a probability of detection for wanted targets that is less than  $p = 1$ . Acceptable risk, trade-off of certain desired and undesired performance characteristics, as with any engineering solution. Some tricks that are put in to solve problems cause problems in other areas.

For example some detection failures are to do with things like MTI blindness - blind speeds, blind phases, tangential fade and so on. These mean that skin paints of regular aircraft flying on certain courses or at certain speeds can simply disappear. There are ways of combating these but no system is foolproof. Transponder failures must also occur in some small proportion of flights, even with possible hardware redundancy this will happen rarely. Then there is shadowing, where one aircraft is flying in the radar shadow of another. There are also operator issues, as I mentioned elsewhere, such as the fact that controllers have been known to switch off non-transponder codes in busy periods (but might not want to admit it afterwards).

So there are a variety of possible things that can go wrong. Possibly small non-transponder traffic wanders into an ATC area and approaches a jet that happens to be flying near the edge of a null in vertical cover caused by interfering ground reflections (the skin paint of a small aircraft flying within the null might drop off the screen) and/or maybe it drops off due to blind speed/phase or tangential fade. Possibly passenger and/or military traffic with inoperative transponders drops off the screen for similar reasons in a few rare cases.

The question then would be why planes with absent or inoperative

transponders would trigger TCAS alerts. One possibility is reflections.

The unit will be interrogating transponders of aircraft at all distances and courses and happily ignoring them, but an aircraft with no transponder might in some rare cases be so aspected as to scatter the interrogation signal and reply to-and-from another aircraft. The range is calculated from the round-trip time from interrogation to reply and so will actually be exaggerated, and the altitude will be given directly in the reply code, but the bearing information is just geometrical like a normal radar and so the TCAS could respond as though the reflector were the primary source. But it would usually still show the correct height and would not diminish the range so presumably this would still not trigger the TCAS in most cases. Only when the true range/altitude and false azimuth data happened to add up to a phony track with a collision risk would the TCAS go off (this would obviously not be the true course of the nearby reflector aircraft) and probably the reflections would only be fleeting. So the whole combination of circumstances must be pretty rare if it does occur and would only tend to happen in congested skies - which often describes ATC areas I suppose.

I'm not really sure if that could explain your cases but it's worth thinking about. Another possibility is direct back-scatter of an interrogation signal from a nearby aircraft to the interrogator's own transponder. In this situation the TCAS would cause the plane to be "detecting itself" displaced by the true range and bearing to the reflector aircraft and at its own altitude. Again I'm not sure of this is electronically feasible. There may be systems installed to suppress exactly this kind of false signal (Don and other aviators may be able to comment). But then again all systems can rarely fail and the bug fixes always have their own bugs, so I think it's a hypothesis worth looking at.

But anyway, as I said at the start, whether or not such scenarios could feasibly account for your mysterious ghost aircraft incident depends on how common such events are as a proportion of all traffic handles.

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 06:57:41 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 08:22:21 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Lehmborg

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprigo.nul](mailto:Choprigo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 21:25:43 EDT  
>Subject: UFOs Or Not?

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 13:24:42 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>I doubt Hollis's credentials.

>OK, you got me there.

>I don't know my IFR from my IMC, or VOR from DME, or VGI from  
>DGI, or RPM from ROC, or ILS from TACAN, or Reynolds from Mach  
>number, or EGT from OAT, or N1 and N2 from Nr, or my Vne from my  
>Vmc, or V1 from Vr, or Cd from Clmax, or a .-. from an ...---  
>... , or a dihedral from an anhedral, or a TAS from an IAS, so  
>maybe I'll just cancel my flight plan, squawk 1200, ident, do a  
>180 and head back inbound to the localizer and do a practise ILS  
>approach to 31R until the middle marker, then sidestep left and  
>aurorotate to the helipad. OK?

Hey Pardner, don't blame Don for your inauspicious if  
belligerent entry into this fray. That said, the preceding is  
still pretty underwhelming and could have been copied out of  
some civil air manual... with errors yet. See, "Vmc" would  
have written as "VMC" by a true professional if they had truly  
been able to tell the difference... So.

There we have it!

Proof positive you're a fraud!

Cool your jets... I'm just funnin' ya'. You can see how \_easy\_  
that klasskurtxian shtick is to implement.

But sincerely, punch off your load Mr. Kimball. You've an  
oscillation building plus an accelerating sink rate settling  
with insufficient power. Face it Pal, you came in too steep, too  
hot... you're all out of airspeed and ideas with a choice  
pucker-factor generating... plus you're taking heavy \*Area  
51\* Cal. fire. ...There's a UFO in the LZ ahead of you...

You got a "C" tending to a "U" for approach planning et al, Mr.  
Kimball.

Go around. There are no old bold pilots, eh?

What's 'real', Mr. Kimball?

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 13:01:16 +0100  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 08:24:05 -0400  
Subject: Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

>From: Joe Faccenda <[Uforth.nul](mailto:Uforth.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 21:33:46 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image?

<snip>

>Looking at these photos from the Rovers is like looking at  
>Rorschach Inkblot Test, your imagination can conceive all sorts  
>of artefacts.

>However several years ago while browsing through these photos I  
>did see another anomaly, and that was what appeared to be a  
>bird skull.

>Have a look at the photo at:

><http://tinyurl.com/gzgv2>

>If you look at the bottom left you can see it, and also what  
>appears to be another, slightly higher up.

>Pelican graveyard perhaps?

Hey Joe,

I see them. In fact there are several objects with what appear to be roughly symmetrical pairs of holes. Follow a line up towards the right centre and you can see what looks maybe like a pelvis sticking up out of the sand - or the orbital sockets of a much larger skull. Wonder what the scale is? If the first one is a pelican I wouldn't want to meet this bird! ;-)

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 10:09:14 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 08:26:53 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 11:03:09 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 09:39:27 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

<snip>

>It is obvious that UFOs (like regular aircraft) can be  
>tracked with radar, by definition, inasmuch as a significant  
>part of the evidence that physical UFOs exist is radar evidence.  
>Your pessimism here seems too extreme and I point it out in case  
>it is symptomatic of an underlying deep scepticism that might  
>skew your approach, despite what you now say about accepting  
>that radar UFOs do occur.

I will agree that I was too pessimistic in having absolutely no hope in tracking UFOs with radar. Obviously this has/does/will happen. But reading the numerous reports of "unknown" aircraft in the FAA database forces you to some conclusions. If a UFO wants to be tracked, it will be tracked. If it doesn't want to be tracked, it won't show up. But if it doesn't care, then the issue is can radar tracked it. This does not seem as definite as I thought. If even a normal aircraft (usually small aircraft) cannot be tracked (or has a non-negligible miss rate), then it seems that it should be easier for UFOs to not show up. I had thought/hoped that ATC radar does show all aircraft (even UFOs) and that ATC warns aircraft around such obstacles. This is not the case.

>Other than that I'd only say that your characterisation of radar  
>effectiveness solely in terms of "power" is simplistic in the  
>extreme. The factors that affect the detection rate are many and  
>power is only one. And what power is this? Peak pulse power?  
>Average power radiated? Energy on target? All these are  
>different measures differently factoring into the detection  
>rates for different targets in the design and operation of  
>different types of radar along with various other factors.

You are right that I oversimplified this radar issue. "Power" was too general a summary of the possible rationale as to why small aircraft do not show up. However, add as many other possible reasons as you want, the problem remains that "a few" aircraft (solid, relatively low speed...compared to most UFOs) are not showing up on ATC radar.

>It is absurd to suggest that ATC radars have a significant  
>failure rate with "regular aircraft" because they don't have  
>sufficient "power". Those other factors which are involved in  
>detection failures - including user-end filters such as  
>switching off non-transponder codes on SSR etc - are precisely  
>those factors which mean that one would not necessarily "think  
>the higher power ATC radar would be the best we got".

I stand chastened. If the ATC is operating the radar with

filters as you suggest, then it seems somewhat stupid. Are they assuming all aircraft use transponders? Did the aircraft that crashed on 9/11 have transponders on? At least in our current era, this doesn't seem appropriate. If the pilot asks ATC if they are painting an unknown and the ATC says no, wouldn't the ATC be obligated to have tried turning off filters?

If military aircraft have radar camoflauge/no transponder then how can ATC do its job and protect commercial aircraft? If ATC cannot warn of possible collisions with prosaic aircraft, then what possible protection can they be against a non-prosaic UFO? Likely none.

>These factors are also important in weighing the relative  
>effectiveness of such alternatives as active monostatic and  
>passive multistatic sensing, which latter you caricature here as  
>just another "low power level radar" to be ranked on that one-  
>dimensional scale. Please take on board the very different  
>issues involved in passive radar, see e.g.:

><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/oct/m28-006.shtml>  
><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/oct/m29-011.shtml>  
><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/nov/m04-006.shtml>  
><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/nov/m06-006.shtml>

Oh yes, I remember those postings. Very interesting.

Someday hopefully we can see this passive radar in action against UFOs. I am not too hopeful, but it would be interesting.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 12:51:09 -0300  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 08:30:48 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Ledger

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 21:25:43 EDT  
>Subject: UFOs Or Not?

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 13:24:42 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>I doubt Hollis's credentials.

>OK, you got me there.

>I don't know my IFR from my IMC, or VOR from DME, or VGI from  
>DGI, or RPM from ROC, or ILS from TACAN, or Reynolds from  
>Mach number, or EGT from OAT, or N1 and N2 from Nr, or my Vne  
>from my Vmc, or V1 from Vr, or Cd from Clmax, or a .-. from an  
>...--- . . ., or a dihedral from an anhedral, or a TAS from an  
>IAS, so maybe I'll just cancel my flight plan, squawk 1200,  
>ident, do a 180 and head back inbound to the localizer and do  
>a practise ILS approach to 31R until the middle marker, then  
>sidestep left and aurorotate to the helipad. OK?

How come you didn't respond to the facts about the OH-6 not even  
existing at the time of the Socorro incident if you test flew  
it?

You couldn't have test flown that aircraft much before 1965  
because it wasn't released from flight testing and to the army  
until August of 1966, 2 and a half years after the Socorro  
episode.

If you are a pilot with an IFR rating, just say so. You don't  
have to attempt to prove it using jargon.

Then give us sound reasons for your hypothesis re. Socorro - the  
last one fell flat - and your reasons why you think the Ken  
Arnold sighting was a hoax.

"Hoax" is probably the wrong word in any event. It implies that  
other people were duped into reporting these nine objects near  
Adams and Rainer because Ken Arnold fashioned some type of  
aerial vehicles for them to see and report as UFOs or flying  
saucers which they wouldn't have called them at that point in  
time because the terms didn't exist then. If you meant a lie  
then explain why you think it was a lie.

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 11:44:26 -0500 (CDT)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 08:33:41 -0400  
Subject: Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

>From: Joe Faccenda <[Uforth.nul](mailto:Uforth.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 21:33:46 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image?

<snip>

>Looking at these photos from the Rovers is like looking at  
>Rorschach Inkblot Test, your imagination can conceive all sorts  
>of artefacts.

>However several years ago while browsing through these photos I  
>did see another anomaly, and that was what appeared to be a  
>bird skull.

The entire planet is apparently one big alien landfill, crammed  
with all kinds of junk from bones to old washing machine parts.  
Here's a skull found on Sol 513, compared to a human skull.  
Coincidence of nature? Ha!

<http://img93.imageshack.us/img93/9672/skullstk8.jpg>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: UFOs or Not? - Fleming

From: **Lan Fleming** <[lflaming6.nul](mailto:lflaming6.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 12:14:47 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 08:35:47 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs or Not? - Fleming

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 12:29:16 -0700  
>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

<snip>

>According to Albert Crary's short diary entry, the flight was  
>cancelled on account of cloud cover. (They needed mostly clear  
>weather because a major part of the tracking was visual from the  
>ground and the air. Among other things, tracking was an  
>essential part of testing the constant altitude control system  
>they were developing for Project Mogul.)

>Crary's next sentence is what the debate is all about. It says  
>that a balloon cluster was released anyway with a sonobuoy  
>attached. The sonobuoy (a microphone used by the Navy) was an  
>early listening device used on Project Mogul while they  
>developed their own microphones. Mogul was listening for  
>explosions in the upper atmosphere and they would set off  
>explosive charges up and down White Sands range to test the  
>microphone reception.

I have the book Moore wrote on the Roswell "myth." I haven't  
looked at it for a long time, but I just reviewed the section  
about Crary's notes. The full text (according to Moore's  
transcription) is:

June 4 Wed. Out to Tularosa Range and fired charges between 00  
and 06 this am. No balloon flight again on account of clouds.  
Flew regular sonoboy mike up in cluster of balloons and had good  
luck on receiver on ground but poor on plane. Out with Thompson  
this pm. Shot charges 1800 to 2400.

The sentence order clearly indicates that if there was any  
balloon launch it must have occurred after 6 AM. But later in  
Moore's book, he says that the launch must have taken place  
"soon after the skies cleared around 0300 MST on 4 June." This  
apparently had something to do with Moore's need for the balloon  
to be launched at least an hour before sunrise in order for the  
winds to be in the direction he needed for the Mogul flight path  
to work (with considerable fudging, as your analysis seems to  
indicate).

Has anyone been able to see Crary's actual handwritten diary?  
I'm wondering if Crary's "puzzling" contradictory entries are  
because Moore garbled the transcription somehow.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## **UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Mass Monster Mash Friday 13th October 06

From: **Brian Sunday** <[bipsunday.nul](mailto:bipsunday.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 11:22:05 -0700  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 08:41:42 -0400  
Subject: Mass Monster Mash Friday 13th October 06

MASS MONSTER MASH  
P.O. Box 145  
Watertown, Massachusetts  
02471 USA

PRESS RELEASE

They'll Do 'The Mash' In Massachusetts

UFOs, Ghosts, Curses and Creatures -- In the Bay State?

Watertown, MA -- August 13th, 2006 -- The Mass Monster Mash is holding its premiere event on Friday the 13th of October in Watertown, MA. Mass Monster Mash is a celebration of Northeastern legends and folklore, using lecture, film, exhibits and trivia dedicated to strange occurrences in the Bay State with a mission to entertain and amuse anyone who is looking for spirited pre-Halloween fun!

Inside the Bridgewater Triangle, a film by Aaron Cadieux, will have its debut public screening, followed by a talk by Chris Pittman. Both are local authorities on this area of alleged anomalous activity in Southeastern Massachusetts. Christopher Balzano, popular ghost researcher and director of Mass Paranormal Crossroads, will give a presentation about classic local ghost legends. Stan Gordon of Greensburg, PA comes to Boston for the first time to reveal previously undisclosed research into the bizarre 1973 UFO/Bigfoot "flap" in Western Pennsylvania. A renowned paranormal researcher, Gordon has appeared on hundreds of TV and radio programs over the past forty years, including "Unsolved Mysteries."

There will be trivia, prizes, exhibits, slide shows and videos. The Mass Monster Mash will be held at the historic Hibernian Hall located at 151 Watertown Street, Watertown, MA 02472. Doors open at 6:30 with the program beginning promptly at 7:00 PM and concluding by 10:30 PM. It is accessible by public transportation. Admission is \$20.00. A portion of the proceeds will be donated to selected charities. Tickets are limited.

For more information:

[massmonstermash.nul](mailto:massmonstermash.nul)

or log on to:

<http://www.massmonstermash.org>

<http://www.massmonstermash.org/PosterSmall.jpg>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Alfred Lehmborg's 'Sky Lights'

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 08:57:11 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 08:57:11 -0400  
Subject: Alfred Lehmborg's 'Sky Lights'

Alfred Lehmborg has posted a video he created to YouTube:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SWg6OCWyoig>

Quoting Alfred from the site...

---

"I saw, I suspect, a likely UFO on the morning of November 8, 2005.

Stunned, I went into house, recorded a rhythm track, then laid an immediate lead track over it in one long take. This is the song."

---

The video also features Alfred's remarkable images.

ebk

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 16:17:14 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 10:45:03 -0400  
Subject: Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

>From: Greg oone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 12:32:47 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image?

<snip>

>><http://tinyurl.com/l88th>

<snip>

>>Near center. Looks like the engine cover (hood) of my riding  
>>mower! A couple interesting objects to the right of that, also.

>I see rocks, boulders, sand.

I see a formation that could be either a manufactured object or  
a somewhat unusual natural rock formation. About the same degree  
of unusual-ness as that "railroad tie" image a while back.

One would think a Rover operator would go over and have a closer  
look, which may have happened, but if it gets more interesting,  
one can also be sure that image won't be made public. After all,  
we can't "frighten" people, can we, Brookings Institute?

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Doctor Bringing UFO-Like Experience To The Screen

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 10:53:04 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 10:53:04 -0400  
Subject: Doctor Bringing UFO-Like Experience To The Screen

Source: Colorado Daily - University of Colorado,  
Boulder, Colorado, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/p6vtg>

Tuesday, August 22, 2006

Doctor Bringing UFO-Like Experience To The Screen

By Wendy Kale  
Colorado Daily Staff Writer

Dr. Lynne Kitei has always been involved with celestial objects. Stars mostly, with names like Nicholas Cage, Holly Hunter and John Goodman. Kitei, an actress in the early days of her career, starred as Florence Arizona, the mother of the kidnapped Nathan Arizona Jr., in the hit 1987 film "Raising Arizona." But the thing that would bring her to national prominence involved not stars, but something else that glowed one night in the sky above Phoenix.

Kitei is the medical doctor who brought the fascinating story of the 1997 "Phoenix Lights" phenomenon to the public. Although she kept her work underground for seven years, people around the country heard about her UFO-type encounters on the late night radio show "Coast to Coast A.M."

Now Kitei is going public with her research. Thursday she debuts her documentary film "The Phoenix Lights" at Landmark's Crossroads Theatres.

"After seven years of anonymity, I recently came forward as a key witness to the historic Arizona mass sighting of March 13, 1997," said Kitei. "Besides giving up the position as Chief Clinical Consultant of the Imaging & Wellness Center at the Arizona Heart Institute, I pushed my medical career aside to compile a meticulous journal including witness, media, military reports, and scientific analysts of my own experiences."

Kitei gave up her prestigious medical job to find a logical explanation for the now famous "Phoenix Lights" incident when, according to Kitei and other witnesses, "a formation of UFO's flew over Phoenix, Arizona."

Commercial pilots, air traffic controllers, and thousands of private citizens also reported the unusual sighting. To this day, no one's sure exactly what was witnessed, but quite a few people documented the aerial ballet of flickering lights that took place over the Phoenix skyline.

Kitei became determined to find out what actually happened on that night nearly a decade ago, and on the recurring nights of the Phoenix "light shows."

"I had no interest or knowledge of UFOs as a child, though I did

have a near-death experience," explained Kitei. "It made me feel that maybe there are other intelligences out there that are watching over and helping us."

From the time of her childhood experience to the present, Kitei says her various life vocations led to her present-day research.

Kitei grew up in Philadelphia, PA, and attended Temple University as a grad and undergraduate. While attending college, the future doctor also appeared in musical theater productions.

After graduation, Kitei was involved with a family medical practice and spent time making documentaries and acting.

"I played the mother - Florence Arizona in the movie," said Kitei. "I've also appeared on TV syndication giving health tips, and I produced videos on vital health issues. I've been involved in educating communities for over 30 years. I look at the whole picture with 'the unexplained' as still unexplained, and I'd love to help explain this phenomenon."

Kitei moved to Phoenix in the early '80s, but it wasn't until February of 1995 that she had her first unexplained "sighting" in the skies.

"About 100 yards from our house we saw three amber orbs in the sky," recalled Kitei. "There were definitely three distinct objects. I always go back to that night, because that event was so up close and personal. We raced to get a camera, and the top orb started to disappear in place. It started to dim very slowly, but we got a good picture of the two lower orbs."

Other sightings followed, but nothing prepared the people of Phoenix, Arizona for the mass sighting that took place on March 13, 1997.

According to Kitei (and the witnesses she interviewed for her film), a massive V-shaped formation of bright amber orbs glided into place over the Southwest city. Thousands of people across the state reported the phenomenon - the story even made front-page headlines in Phoenix.

Kitei has her own views of the spectacular overhead light show.

"It was pretty unnerving," said Kitei. "It looked like the 'mothership' or some fleet of 'ships.'"

Kitei didn't talk publicly about what she saw that night for most of a decade, but then felt she had to come out with what she had experienced.

"I kept silent for seven years because I didn't want to get involved with the media," said Kitei. "However, those sightings sent me on a meticulous search to find a logical meaning to what I saw. It opened a whole new world to me and I ended up with a 250-page book."

To document her research, Kitei successfully videotaped the March, 1997 "UFO" event and photographed a January '98 appearance of the "sky orbs."

"We even had three great sightings last year - this has been a work in progress," said Kitei. "I had all this information; it's so intriguing and important, and it was time for this story to be told."

Kitei's research resulted in the 2000 edition ( and 2004 revision) of her book "The Phoenix Lights" and in the documentary of the same name. The film has been well received around the country and has won several film awards. Actor/UFO buff Dan Akroyd even requested a documentary interview and used Kitei's photos for his DVD "UFO's Unplugged."

Thursday Kitei's "Phoenix Lights" documentary comes to the Landmark Theatres, where the doctor will host a special screening of the film as well as a question and answer session.

However, Kitei is interested in taking her research one step beyond her UFO footage. The doctor sees a connection between this event and all unexplained phenomenon - and she thinks there is a spiritual connection between all these events.

"I've looked at the data and done my homework," said Kitei.  
"There seems to be a connection between all unexplained  
phenomenon. I've been finding that the after-effects of these  
experiences is more important; there is an awakening and  
enlightenment that happens to people who have these experiences.  
It's a message of awareness that says we're not alone and that  
we're spiritual beings."

[Thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Cooper A Manipulated "Disinformant" Of The 90s?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 10:58:42 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 10:58:42 -0400  
Subject: Cooper A Manipulated "Disinformant" Of The 90s?

Source: Norio Hayakawa's AOL Hometown Site

<http://www.hometown.aol.com/area51watch/page4.html>

August 23, 2006

Bill Cooper A Manipulated "Disinformant" Of The 90's?  
by Norio Hayakawa

My association with Milton William "Bill" Cooper goes back to the summer of 1989 when I first met him in West Los Angeles and my interaction with him went on intermittently throughout the mid 90's. The last time I was next to him was when he was in a closed casket at his funeral service in Arizona. It was quite an emotional day for me.

It was on a typical, mild but clear Arizona morning of November 15, 2001 when a former conspiracy talk radio host and video producer, Anthony J. Hilder and myself, both from Los Angeles and another conspiracy talk show host from Phoenix arrived at the Community Presbyterian Church of a small country town of Springerville, located on a high plateau in Eastern Arizona.

It was around 8:30 a.m. and there was just a sprinkling of people in the foyer of the church. We all drove in to this sleepy country town with my van to attend the funeral service for the late Bill Cooper who was shot to death on November 6, 2001 by Apache County Sheriff deputies in a confrontation that took place in front of Bill's home atop a hill in the nearby town of Eagar. One deputy was seriously injured in that confrontation when Bill fired a round to his head.

We had expected more attendees to the service which was to start at 9:00 a.m.

Soon the closed casket of Bill arrived and was set up in the front in the sanctuary. It was not a formal religious service. Before the service started, we had a briefing by the officiating person. By the time the service started, there were around 85 persons seated in the pews. Some of the attendees were from the Midwestern states, some were from the East Coast. A few, such as us, were from California. Others were from other Southwestern states and the rest were local folks.

It was a simple, informal service with a prayer in the beginning, followed by a short biography of Bill, followed by Eulogies and Remembrances by speakers and a final prayer, followed by the recessional of the casket from the sanctuary and a car processional to the Springerville Town Cemetery a few miles away.

There were a total of 8 speakers at the service, including Anthony J. Hilder and myself. When my turn came, here is what I said from the podium:

"The world will always remember Bill Cooper as an egotistic,



paranoia monger. Indeed, to many he was perhaps an arrogant, obnoxious, choleric, self-aggrandizing, rude, vitriolic and vengeful person. Perhaps he was all of this and much more. But no matter how negative his personality is described to be, we must admit the fact that he did indeed make a tremendous impact among hundreds, if not, thousands of his listeners, whether in front of his astounded lecture audiences or through his "shocking" radio programs.

I first met Bill back in 1989 in West L.A. when he was just an unknown speaker and where he spoke for the first time at a gathering called UFORUM in which I was a frequent attendee. I was quite stunned then with his hypothesis on the "Secret Government". It really sounded so fresh at that time because unlike most UFO speakers of that time, he convincingly injected "UFOs" to a "one-world government" right-wing flavored conspiracy theory. I was so impacted by his hypothesis that I soon became a volunteer and helped him organize his first successful major public appearance that same year, which was held at Hollywood High School Auditorium, which was attended by well over 800 people. That became the historic launching pad for his national lecture circuit. And the rest is history.

Later, in December of that year, I even attended the controversial National MUFON Conference held in Las Vegas in which Bill Cooper was perhaps the most controversial speaker aside from John Lear and Bill Moore and others.

The Bill Cooper of then was very much into "ufology". Even in 1991, when Gary Schultz and I organized the first Ultimate Seminar in Rachel, Nevada ( 25 miles north of Area 51 ), we were surprised to see Bill Cooper as an attendee. I remember quite well when Gary and I had to lead the people to the White Sides hill for a climb to view the base (Area 51), Bill Cooper could not make the climb due to his leg and he was even cracking up jokes about it. He stayed at the foothill of White Sides, without being able to see the facility.

In 1993 Bill Cooper, Eustace Mullins, Jordan Maxwell, Vladimir Terziski, Dr. Robert Strecker, Anthony Hilder and myself, together with a couple of other conspiracy speakers were all invited to speak at the First International Conference on Global Deception to be held in the famous Wembley Arena in London. Because of concerns for security due to the controversial nature of the conference, some of us decided not to travel to England. Nevertheless, Bill Cooper had the guts to go and speak at the conference. I admired him for that.

By 1993 I had completely abandoned the so-called "extraterrestrial hypothesis" of the origins of the UFO phenomena and began to promote the hypothesis that the entire UFO phenomena was a brilliantly concocted, staged and manipulated man-made deception by elitists to bring about certain agendas. From then on, I completely disassociated myself with "ufology".

I was quite surprised to learn that, later on, Bill Cooper also began to depart from "ufology" and was also beginning to hypothesize that "UFOs" had nothing to do with "aliens" (there were no conclusive physical evidence for such) but was a manipulation of the government to bring about fear to create a one-world government. Cooper began to admit that he most likely had been shown disinformation by the government while he was in military service. On this point, I truly commended Cooper for his admission. Cooper began to state that he was no longer a "ufologist". It was Cooper who coined the term "ufology" and I also commended him for it. We both totally departed from "ufology". However, Cooper had a tendency to label anyone that didn't agree with him as "agents of CIA", etc.

After moving to Arizona, he shifted his focus to the Patriot Movement (the Militia Movement), although in reality he was just a one-man militia, simply promoting his view on the Constitutional Republic through his radio programs to thousands of listeners. This was immediately after the Oklahoma Bombing. I, too, became involved in the militia movement. I went to listen to Mark Koernke of the Michigan Militia in a large Conspiracy Conference in Palm Springs. I also became an acquaintance of Ted Gunderson, former FBI Special Agent-in-charge of Los Angeles who also became sympathetic to the militia movement. I also began to correspond with the Militia of

Montana.

Besides his other numerous negative traits, Bill had an uncontrollable alcoholic problem. But despite his eccentric, obnoxious personality, deep in his heart I believe that he wanted to be a good person. Unfortunately he brought an end to his tumultuous life by his self-fulfilling prophecy through his violent act. My heart goes out to Annie and the children. (My heart also goes out to the young deputy who was seriously injured in that confrontation. Bill will have to answer to God for that.) May God forgive and bless the soul of one Milton William "Bill" Cooper".

This is how I ended my "Remembrances" of Bill Cooper at his funeral. After the service, we all proceeded in a car procession to the cemetery, under the watchful eyes of several patrol cars, County Sheriff's vehicles and other governmental agency vehicles. It was just before noon that the casket of Bill Cooper was laid to rest at the graveside. The casket was then lowered into the ground. It was definitely one of the most emotional moments of my 20 years of being involved with "conspiratology".

Do I think that Bill Cooper was an unwitting participant in "disinformation"? Yes, I think so.

I believe that Bill Cooper was slowly drawn into delusional paranoia and was manipulated by certain agencies. I cannot comment more on this.

By the time that his now "classic" book, BEHOLD A PALE HORSE, came out and began to receive attention, ironically, he had already begun to disassociate himself with much of what he wrote. BEHOLD A PALE HORSE was basically a compilation of his past military service history, his original speech THE SECRET GOVERNMENT (now out-dated, filled with misinformation and disinformation and no longer relevant in many ways), some obscure and outdated, unreliable information and articles provided by others regarding Area 51 and mixed in with verbatim copying of THE PROTOCOLS OF THE LEARNED ELDERS OF ZION, which many researchers had always regarded to be a fraud, to begin with.

No, I do not believe that Bill Cooper was a "paid" disinformant.

I tend to believe that he was simply drawn into and manipulated into being an unwitting participant in this strange world of "conspiratology".

[Thanks to Frank Warren for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Eye To The Sky A Paranormal Odyssey

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 11:11:45 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 11:11:45 -0400  
Subject: Eye To The Sky A Paranormal Odyssey

NEWS RELEASE

For Immediate Release

Strange goings-on follow discussions about potential UFO evidence

Publisher and Author experience interference and other phenomena since discovering strange images in UFO footage related to new book.

24 August 2006

Eye To The Sky A Paranormal Odyssey  
Coming December 26, 2006

VICTORIA, BC =96 EcceNova, a small, independent publishing house on the shores of Vancouver Island, BC, has recently acquired the manuscript of an eyewitness account pertaining to physical UFO sightings and telepathic 'contact'.

The book, Eye to the Sky: A Paranormal Odyssey, by Paula Thorneycroft, recounts the author's amazingly frequent and vivid interactions with both craft and extraterrestrial beings, but it is the footage she submitted to substantiate her manuscript that seems to have caused a stir already =96 and this has not even been released, yet.

Since signing contracts and discussing, superficially, the fact that the footage does appear to contain some very intriguing material none of us has seen the like of before, the author has had her entire photographic files and emails uploaded by at least one hacker, perhaps more. EcceNova has had particular telephone conversations 'scrambled' for no apparent reason, and an interview with the Editor on a well-known radio show was mysteriously "lost" immediately after being recorded.

In an effort to advise potential viewers and readers of the veracity and importance of the images we are planning to reveal upon publication of the book, we feel it necessary to release news of this material now.

The footage runs approximately 25-30 minutes in total, filmed over two consecutive evenings. The last 5 minutes are the most amazing, revealing not only a large non-circular craft, but objects that morph from solid to light-based, from one 'representation' to another.

We are in the process of procuring professional analysis of these images, before making the footage available to bona fide researchers, but we are hoping to reveal the most profound and captivating images on the cover of the book itself, which is due for publication on December 26, 2006.

We have taken the precaution of copyrighting the entire footage, and have copies of it in several safe places. Should any hacker(s) attempt to reveal the images before EcceNova is ready

to do so, we want to let the world know that they only had access to a fraction of the material, and that the 'best' images were not held on the computer at the time of the theft.

When it comes time to reveal the images, we will also explain the strange sequence of events that led to the images being 'discovered' and sent to EcceNova...an interesting account in its own right.

For more information about the book, please go to:

<http://www.eccenova.com/ThorneycroftES.htm>

We will release further information at a later date

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: Kinross Solved? - Kimball

From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 19:51:41 EDT  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 11:21:53 -0400  
Subject: Re: Kinross Solved? - Kimball

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 02:11:41 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Kinross Solved?

>On another List I've been wondering if this isn't the scan  
>[number 2] of a P-51. Doesn't resemble the F-89C to me.

Don,

If I may be so bold, in spite of the rather poor outlines in both photos, the wing planform, with the still attached tip tank, as well as the upswept tail, indicates it is almost certain to be that of a Northrop 'Scorpion' (hence the name) C model F-89.

Incidentally, that aircraft had a very bad habit of shedding wings due to those tip tanks being on there.

Hollis Kimball

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Time Out

From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprqo.nul](mailto:Choprqo.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 21:15:41 EDT  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 11:24:56 -0400  
Subject: Time Out

I don't know how many of the Listers here are scientifically or analytically minded, but there is more to UFOs, ETs and 'flying saucers' in the universe and beyond. To paraphrase the late Philip Klass, as far-out and ridiculous as some of his debunks were:

'The UFO phenomenon hasn't been resolved, isn't being resolved, and never will be resolved'.

The first two parts are, of course, correct, but the last part may not be by any means.

My latest little hobby project is trying to tie together the Pioneer Anomaly, the POINCARÉ Conjecture, the Quran (70.4 particularly), and UFOs.

Guess what the common link is? Time! Not just your plain old tick-tock Earth time, but variable time outside our Solar system time.

If that's the case, then it is quite possible that a UFO/ET spacecraft could zip to Earth for a weekend jaunt, although using our time-base, it actually took 150,00 Earth-years!

OK, so I sound like some old long-haired 'professor' in a white smock hunched over a desk madly manipulating all sorts of equations on his note pad. Guess what though?

Dozens, if not hundreds, of eminent scientists with pockets full of Ph.Ds are doing just that on the very same subject!

Time will tell! (Pun intended).

Hollis Kimball

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

From: **Santiago Yturria Garza** <[syturria.nul](mailto:syturria.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 03:47:11 +0000  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 11:41:35 -0400  
Subject: Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

>From: Joe Faccenda <[Uforth.nul](mailto:Uforth.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 21:33:46 EDT  
>Subject: Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image?

<snip>

>Looking at these photos from the Rovers is like  
>looking at Rorschach Inkblot Test, your imagination  
>can conceive all sorts of artefacts.

>However several years ago while browsing through  
>these photos I did see another anomaly, and that was  
>what appeared to be a bird skull.

<Have a look at the photo at:

><http://tinyurl.com/gzgv2>

>If you look at the bottom left you can see it, and  
>also what appears to be another, slightly higher up.

>Pelican graveyard perhaps?

>Joe Faccenda

Even more surprising is the human skull anomaly on Gusev Crater  
in upper quadrant of Mars Chart 23: Aeolis. Look at the lower  
left side of the photo.

<http://tinyurl.com/rk7rq>

Any ideas or simple coincidence?

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Kimball

From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprigo.nul](mailto:Choprigo.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 07:27:48 EDT  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 13:13:21 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Kimball

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 09:32:49 +0100  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

<snip>

>...the racket of a 300 HP motor spinning a 26-foot rotor  
>overhead is not exactly consistent with the total silence in  
>which the object flew away. So it isn't "simple" - you've got  
>some justifying to do here. you've still got that rotor noise  
>etc to worry about.

OK - if you insist....

The 'motor' at that time was an Allison turbo-shaft engine rated at 250 SBHP at S.L. ISA conditions. The compressor was about the size of a coffee can and the only source of any significant noise, just a high pitch whine at 100% rpm.

In fact, it was so quiet that the FAA required not only a flashing red light on the instrument panel, but a very loud Klaxon horn as well, plus an alternating 'on-off' beeper signal to the headsets to alert the pilot of an engine failure.

I know all about that! We had more than a few of those!

But to quote the only witness - "it was very windy" ... "I didn't hear any noise." Sure doesn't prove there \_wasn't\_ any does it?

No further comment.

Hollis Kimball

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Kimball

From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprqo.nul](mailto:Choprqo.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 07:43:53 EDT  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 13:14:49 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Kimball

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 13:24:42 -0300  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>If they would have used anything it would have probably been the  
>Sikorsky S-55, or S-58 or the S-61 even.

Don,

Gee, you forgot the Sikorsky S-56 You can see it here:

[www.big-deuce.de/d\\_story08.htm](http://www.big-deuce.de/d_story08.htm)

Hollis Kimball

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Confidentiality Honour & Duty

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 08:47:50 EDT  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 13:17:11 -0400  
Subject: Confidentiality Honour & Duty

Something disturbing keeps arising nowadays regarding the honor and duty of confidentiality in not just this field but in dealing with the media regarding this field.

It's been going on since day one and one would think by now such nonsense would wane. Instead we get a combination of underhanded or as Limbaugh would say 'drive-by media'.

I had to drop the hammer on a few folks in journalism I've known for years. I was going to write too long a detailed post but basically again I've had to realise one has to be on one's guard from those who appear to be an ally and have another agenda at hand.

If I give my word I keep my word. These two decided to try to get me to disclose the names and locations of persons I swore to keep in confidence. Makes me realise why today more than ever we have to stick to our guns to assure those we interview and do research about that their info, if they choose so, remains confidential.

Sure we could make headlines with blurting out their names and sell books and DVDs but that would compromise far too much and damage those who have for so long kept this field of research going.

Ufology has it's critics who sit like vultures awaiting the smallest error. Let one ufologist goof up on something and 'all' ufologists are labeled and lambasted. I've had to learn again that sometimes a trusted acquaintance can be nothing more but a wolf in sheep's clothing.

Glad I had one eye open this time.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

From: **Bob Shell** <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 09:04:57 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 13:18:50 -0400  
Subject: Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 11:44:26 -0500 (CDT)  
>Subject: Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image?

>The entire planet is apparently one big alien landfill, crammed  
>with all kinds of junk from bones to old washing machine parts.  
>Here's a skull found on Sol 513, compared to a human skull.  
>Coincidence of nature? Ha!

><http://img93.imageshack.us/img93/9672/skullstk8.jpg>

This also shows why Martians make such good football players.  
They're born with shoulder pads.

Seriously, though, I used to spend time hiking in the desert in Nevada. There are lots of places out there where you can find wind-sculpted rocks that look like all sorts of things. Elephant heads, ducks, skulls, rabbits, you name it. And we've all seen human faces in tree trunks and similar such things. We're going to have to send people to Mars or bring chunks of Mars back here before we can have any certainty that we have found signs of life.

Bob (The other Shell)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 10:32:27 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 13:20:03 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 12:49:08 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 10:28:54 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>Well, you can include missiles/rockets/balloons of course into  
>>the mix of stuff that cannot be painted by ATC but there are  
>>lots of other cases of apparently normal aircraft which the ATC  
>>call unknowns which they cannot paint.

>>Here are a couple examples.

>These are very interesting examples. I wonder if it is possible  
>to get some feeling for the proportion of all traffic handled by  
>ATCs where incidents like this occur? Obviously it doesn't  
>really happen "all the time". How many aircraft does a typical  
>ATC handle during a year? How many cases of missed traffic of  
>this peculiar kind are reported per ATC per year?

I am sort of burned out on the databases right now, but I  
suspect that the percentages of missed traffic are very small  
compared to the total number of aircraft in the sky during a  
year.

>I ask because it is not news that radars are designed with a  
>probability of detection for wanted targets that is less than p  
>= 1. Acceptable risk, trade-off of certain desired and undesired  
>performance characteristics, as with any engineering solution.  
>Some tricks that are put in to solve problems cause problems in  
>other areas.

<snip>

Thanks for all the information on the possible reasons for radar  
misses and TCAS triggering. You are clearly the "go-to" man when  
it comes to radar questions! It seems that both systems do their  
jobs fairly well, given the overall safety of air travel. The  
ramifications of these systems used to gain  
confirmatory/collaboratory evidence of future UFO sightings must  
consider the caveats you outline.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

Secrecy News -- 08/25/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 11:14:07 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 13:21:34 -0400  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 08/25/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 91  
August 25, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

\*\* COSTS OF SECRECY SKYROCKET TO \$9 BILLION  
\*\* ARMY REG ON CONSCIENTIOUS OBJECTION  
\*\* HOMELAND SECURITY INTELLIGENCE (CRS)  
\*\* U.S. CONVENTIONAL FORCES, NUCLEAR DETERRENCE AND CHINA (CRS)

## COSTS OF SECRECY SKYROCKET TO \$9 BILLION

The annual financial costs attributable to the national security classification system reached a record high of \$9.2 billion in 2005 according to a new report from the Information Security Oversight Office (ISOO).

Classification-related costs include not merely the direct costs of classifying information, which are modest, but also the derivative costs of the personnel security clearance system, physical security for classified material, classified computer security, and more. Most of these costs are incurred within government, but some are due to the handling of classified information within industry.

"The Government cost estimate for FY 2005 is \$7.7 billion, which is a \$420 million, or 5.8 percent increase above the cost estimates reported for FY 2004," the Information Security Oversight Office reported. "The industry estimate is up by \$696 million."

"This makes the total 2005 cost estimate for Government and industry \$9.2 billion, which is \$1.2 billion more than the total FY 2004 cost estimate for Government and industry."

These figures do not include classification cost estimates for the Central Intelligence Agency, because the CIA has classified its cost data.

See "2005 Report on Cost Estimates for Security Classification Activities," Information Security Oversight Office ([www.archives.gov/isoo](http://www.archives.gov/isoo)), August 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/isoo/2005costs.pdf>

If the classification system were functioning properly to enhance national security, these billions of dollars might all be money well spent. But there is abundant reason to doubt that such is the case.

"There's over 50 percent of the information that, while it may meet the criteria for classification, really should not be

classified in terms of what we lose," said ISOO director William Leonard at an August 24, 2004 hearing of the House Government Reform Committee.

"The price we pay for classification outweighs any perception, any advantage we perceive we gain," he told the Committee.

The Information Security Oversight Office, which was established by Executive Order, reports to the President on national security classification policy.

Mr. Leonard criticized the Washington Post in a remarkable letter to the editor today for reporting "irrelevant" negative information about the personal history of a critic of the classification system.

"Publishing it served no useful public purpose and could, in fact, discourage citizens who take seriously their civic responsibility to lodge complaints regarding the activities of their government," he wrote.

<http://tinyurl.com/n4fcl>

#### ARMY REG ON CONSCIENTIOUS OBJECTION

U.S. Army policy for dealing with military personnel who assert a conscientious objection to military combat is set forth in a newly updated Army regulation.

Criteria for likely approval or rejection of a conscientious objection claim are described. Claims that are insincere or "based on objection to a certain war" will "not be favorably considered."

The Regulation accepts the reality of conscientious objection with due respect.

"Care must be exercised not to deny the existence of beliefs simply because those beliefs are incompatible with one's own," it states.

In any case, "The burden of establishing a claim of conscientious objection as grounds for separation or assignment to noncombatant training and service is on the applicant."

See "Conscientious Objection," Army Regulation 600-43, 21 August 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/army/ar600-43.pdf>

#### HOMELAND SECURITY INTELLIGENCE (CRS)

The first in a new series of Congressional Research Service reports on homeland security intelligence presents a broad introduction to the subject.

"The proliferation of intelligence and information fusion centers across the country indicate that state and local leaders believe there is value to centralizing intelligence gathering and analysis in a manner that assists them in preventing and responding to local manifestations of terrorist threats to their people, infrastructure, and other assets," the CRS report suggests.

See "Homeland Security Intelligence: Perceptions, Statutory Definitions, and Approaches," August 18, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/intel/RL33616.pdf>

#### U.S. CONVENTIONAL FORCES, NUCLEAR DETERRENCE AND CHINA (CRS)

A new Congressional Research Service report proposes an analytical framework for assessing the comparative strengths of U.S. conventional and nuclear forces in the context of a hypothetical future conflict with China.

The authors consider "the possible role that U.S. nuclear and conventional forces might play in four stages of potential

conflicts: deterrence, prior to the start of the conflict; crisis stability in the early stages of the conflict; warfighting during the height of the conflict; and war termination, through either a negotiated settlement or a battlefield victory."

The new report "highlights a number of policy issues that may bear consideration in the ongoing debate regarding military investments," but refrains from drawing specific conclusions.

CRS does not make its reports directly available to the public. A copy was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "U.S. Conventional Forces and Nuclear Deterrence: A China Case Study," August 11, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/natsec/RL33607.pdf>

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:  
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles - Balaskas

From: Nick Balaskas <[nikolaos.nul](mailto:nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 11:53:29 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 13:26:09 -0400  
Subject: Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles - Balaskas

>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 10:44:54 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>Subject: Re: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 10:57:10 EDT  
>>Subject: NASA's Next Phase Of Space Vehicles

>>The STS or Space Shuttle is due to be mothballed in a few years  
>>and the new CEV, Crew Exploration Vehicle program is to take  
>>it's place.

>>Do any of you space flight Pros or aficionados feel these new  
>>space craft are the best direction and the missions worth the  
>>risk and costs?

<snip>

>Regarding the CEV and other components, it is somewhat sad to  
>see the reinventing of Apollo. If you have to go with big ole  
>H2/O2 launchers, then Saturn V was terrific! But we burned the  
>plans (and the plans to make the machines to make the Saturn V  
>and the manufacturing machines were destroyed). A new century  
>and no nuclear rockets or anything except H2/O2 rockets!

Hi Everyone!

Thanks to Robert Godwin of Toronto, founder of CG Publishing which has won many awards for its books and CDs/DVDs on space and the history of manned space travel, the "destroyed" plans for the Saturn V - the world's most powerful and successful launch vehicle - have been found! Now it is up to us if we want to use this proven technology of the 1960s to take us to Mars and beyond

You can read all about this in Godwin's 'Saturn V - The Complete Test and Construction Records' which he announced to me just last year along with many other amazing revelations.

It was on Errol Bruce-Knapp's 'Strange Days... Indeed' (radio program 171, 01-12-02) that Godwin talked about a previous book of his on Apollo XI which included the complete transcripts of NASA's post-flight debriefings by all three astronauts (Aldrin, Collins and Armstrong) regarding that mysterious UFO they observed during their flight to the Moon.

In a personal reply to me, Godwin confirmed that it was he that initiated the search for the never before seen high quality live Apollo XI footage from the Moon that has since been lost.

According to very reliable sources, the U.S. is currently flying amazing modern manned spacecraft that make Space Shuttle pilots envious. Although these other spacecraft which are based on 21st, not 20th century technology, were also paid for by U.S. taxpayers, they are so highly classified they are unknown to the public, the owners of these secret spacecraft! Mankind's dream

of travelling to other worlds can be realized now.

Nick Balaskas

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 11:21:58 -0500 (CDT)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 13:28:45 -0400  
Subject: Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

>From: Santiago Yturria Garza <[syturria.nul](mailto:syturria.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 03:47:11 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image?

>>From: Joe Faccenda <[Uforth.nul](mailto:Uforth.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 21:33:46 EDT  
>>Subject: Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image?

><snip>

>>Looking at these photos from the Rovers is like  
>>looking at Rorschach Inkblot Test, your imagination  
>>can conceive all sorts of artefacts.

>>However several years ago while browsing through  
>>these photos I did see another anomaly, and that was  
>>what appeared to be a bird skull.

><Have a look at the photo at:

>><http://tinyurl.com/gzgv2>

>>If you look at the bottom left you can see it, and  
>>also what appears to be another, slightly higher up.

>>Pelican graveyard perhaps?

>Even more surprising is the human skull anomaly on Gusev Crater  
>in upper quadrant of Mars Chart 23: Aeolis. Look at the lower  
>left side of the photo.

><http://tinyurl.com/rk7rq>

>Any ideas or simple coincidence?

The biggest problem with that one (I posted a comparison  
elsewhere), is that it's hard to tell how big it really is  
because of the way the Rover cameras are set up.

A lot of the stuff at the bottom of the photos is actually very  
close to the Rover. So I suspect that the 'skull' in the image  
is only 4 or 5 inches in diameter, if that. But, hey. Maybe  
those Martians were/are shrimpy little guys.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 17:43:13 +0100  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 13:30:35 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 10:09:14 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 11:03:09 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 09:39:27 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

><snip>

>>It is obvious that UFOs (like regular aircraft) can be  
>>tracked with radar, by definition, inasmuch as a significant  
>>part of the evidence that physical UFOs exist is radar evidence.  
>>Your pessimism here seems too extreme and I point it out in case  
>>it is symptomatic of an underlying deep scepticism that might  
>>skew your approach, despite what you now say about accepting  
>>that radar UFOs do occur.

>I will agree that I was too pessimistic in having absolutely no  
>hope in tracking UFOs with radar. Obviously this has/does/will  
>happen. But reading the numerous reports of "unknown" aircraft  
>in the FAA database forces you to some conclusions. If a UFO  
>wants to be tracked, it will be tracked. If it doesn't want to  
>be tracked, it won't show up. But if it doesn't care, then the  
>issue is can radar track it. This does not seem as definite  
>as I thought. If even a normal aircraft (usually small aircraft)  
>cannot be tracked (or has a non-negligible miss rate), then it  
>seems that it should be easier for UFOs to not show up. I had  
>thought/hoped that ATC radar does show all aircraft (even UFOs)  
>and that ATC warns aircraft around such obstacles. This is not  
>the case.

Again, we have been around the dance floor on this one before,  
at length, but Yes, the miss rate on any radar system is going  
to be non-zero, just like it is for aircraft or any other  
target, and the same will be true for any other detection system  
you might care to imagine. In radar terms, it seems reasonable  
to expect that if there are N reasons why planes can get missed  
then probably there are more than N reasons why UFOs might,  
whatever UFOs are, a) just because they weren't in the design  
remit in the first place and b) because anything not designed in  
is, in some sense, clutter that tends to get actively designed  
out.

>>Other than that I'd only say that your characterisation of radar  
>>effectiveness solely in terms of "power" is simplistic in the  
>>extreme. The factors that affect the detection rate are many and  
>>power is only one. And what power is this? Peak pulse power?  
>>Average power radiated? Energy on target? All these are  
>>different measures differently factoring into the detection  
>>rates for different targets in the design and operation of  
>>different types of radar along with various other factors.

>You are right that I oversimplified this radar issue. "Power"  
>was too general a summary of the possible rationale as to why  
>small aircraft do not show up. However, add as many other  
>possible reasons as you want, the problem remains that "a few"  
>aircraft (solid, relatively low speed...compared to most UFOs)  
>are not showing up on ATC radar.

But I don't think we would want to conclude from this that radar is useless for controlling air traffic! I think some of the cases you listed are unusually interesting as I mentioned in another post, but we do need to get a feel for the numbers here. And I'm not sure that these couldn't be rare instances of non-transponder traffic whose skin paint drops off the screen for one or more of a number of reasons combined with false TCAS replies due to reflected interrogations. Freakish coincidental events, sure, but with enough events freakish ones will happen.

False replies of this kind can occur with ground SSR radar (just like ghosts on primary radar) and can be suppressed partly by antenna design and residual errors that get through can be eliminated by plot processing logic. I don't know enough about TCAS to know if similar suppression can work, or if a false-alarm rate is tolerated that would not be tolerated on an ATC radar because of the different function and environment?

>>It is absurd to suggest that ATC radars have a significant  
>>failure rate with "regular aircraft" because they don't have  
>>sufficient "power". Those other factors which are involved in  
>>detection failures - including user-end filters such as  
>>switching off non-transponder codes on SSR etc - are precisely  
>>those factors which mean that one would not necessarily "think  
>>the higher power ATC radar would be the best we got".

>I stand chastened. If the ATC is operating the radar with  
>filters as you suggest, then it seems somewhat stupid. Are they  
>assuming all aircraft use transponders?

No of course not, but they do - apparently - sometimes make judgments. And that's only one of the various factors I was referring to. See my other post, but there are height and range horizons obviously and vertical nulls, all sensitive to variable RCS, line-of-sight shadowing, MTI related drop-outs like tangential fade, blind phases etc, and proximity within the resolution cell - all reasons why the probability of detection of wanted targets is less than unity. P is designed to be less than unity because you want the benefit of some of these things like clutter rejection and you pay a price in signal visibility.

And given the colossal number of detections going on in airspace all over the world every minute of the day and night, P doesn't have to be much less than 1 for rare failures to start showing up as appreciable numbers. Take those 600,000 or so incident reports of which 30,000-odd might be "UFO related" and that you say includes as some unknown fraction the air-miss detection failures referred to. Say (just as a guess - maybe you have a figure?) 10% of those possibly UFO-related cases are missed plane traffic. Then let's ask how the total population of "incident reports" is related to the total number of ATC aircraft tracks handled during whatever the time period is. I'd be surprised if 1% was an overestimate for the percentage of all flights that result in incident reports. Maybe I'm wrong, but let's use that for the sake of having a figure - the figures are so extreme that a factor 10 here or there almost makes no odds.

We then have about  $P = 0.99995$  for the overall "reliability" in terms of the probability of detection, or a rate of failures running at only about 1 drop-out for every 20,000 flights controlled, in order to yield the above number of missed detections. This is probably ridiculously optimistic for any real world system. I suspect the reliability in practice is poorer both for engineering and operational reasons.

So even though I admit this is an extremely crude cartoon I wouldn't be surprised to find that the numbers of missed aircraft detections is within design parameters, even though - looked at one at a time - they are each startling and sound highly improbable: "How could they let that happen?!". (That's not to say that some of these cases may not have exotic explanations we haven't thought of.)

>Did the aircraft that  
>crashed on 9/11 have transponders on?

Well they were scheduled passenger flights with +30 seats and had transponders fitted by law. I don't know what systems remained active after the hijacks but I seem to recall (?) that at least one or more of the planes had been on radar at least at some of the time after that - whether SSR or just skin paints I don't know.

>At least in our current  
>era, this doesn't seem appropriate. If the pilot asks ATC if  
>they are painting an unknown and the ATC says no, wouldn't the  
>ATC be obligated to have tried turning off filters?

I'd agree. I can only repeat (and again this was all said before in the similar thread last year) that you can find air users complaining about controllers routinely turning their codes off. I think I posted a link to a pissed-off glider pilots' discussion forum as an example. It seems like terribly dodgy practice but probably has risks and benefits like evrything and controllers would probably say it's only done when expert judgment decides that the benefits outweigh the risks.

>If military aircraft have radar camoflauge/no transponder then  
>how can ATC do its job and protect commercial aircraft? If ATC  
>cannot warn of possible collisions with prosaic aircraft, then  
>what possible protection can they be against a non-prosaic UFO?  
>Likely none.

Well again, don't overstate it. You seem to be inflating this premise that ATC radar "cannot warn of possible collisions" and drawing the inflated conclusion that ATC radars must therefore be useless to warn pilots about UFOs too. Military aircraft will normally be identified by their own transponder mode, but probably sometimes things go awry. I'm sure ATCs have been known to detect stray military traffic occasionally, even strongly-suspected stray military traffic they never positively identify. From what we know, in the record, ATC radars do from time to time detect UFOs as well and do query or alert traffic in their area.

>>These factors are also important in weighing the relative  
>>effectiveness of such alternatives as active monostatic and  
>>passive multistatic sensing, which latter you caricature here as  
>>just another "low power level radar" to be ranked on that one-  
>>dimensional scale. Please take on board the very different  
>>issues involved in passive radar, see e.g.:

>><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/oct/m28-006.shtml>  
>><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/oct/m29-011.shtml>  
>><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/nov/m04-006.shtml>  
>><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/nov/m06-006.shtml>

>Oh yes, I remember those posys. Very interesting.

>Someday hopefully we can see this passive radar in action  
>against UFOs. I am not too hopeful, but it would be interesting.

A properly designed passive radar system could definitely have very big advantages over ATC radars. But like you I'm not sanguine that we'll ever see it done.

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: The Choppers & The Choppers? - O'Brien

From: Christopher O'Brien <[cob.nul](mailto:cob.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 12:59:21 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 13:35:35 -0400  
Subject: Re: The Choppers & The Choppers? - O'Brien

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 14:14:52 EDT  
>Subject: Re: The Choppers & The Choppers?

>>From: Christopher O'Brien <[cob.nul](mailto:cob.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 13:19:28 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: The Choppers & The Choppers?

>>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 10:06:37 EDT  
>>>Subject: Re: The Choppers & The Choppers?

>>>>From: Don Capps <[alienagenda.nul](mailto:alienagenda.nul)>  
>>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 12:59:41 -0400  
>>>>Subject: The Choppers & The Choppers?

>>>>I am hoping some of the List members can assist me.

>>>>Also, if anyone can point me to any other resources, that would  
>>>>be excellent. I already have Moulton-Howe's 'An Alein Harvest'  
>>>>as well as both of Chris O'Brien's books. But any and all  
>>>>recommendations would be appreciated.

>>>You might also wish to look at Mute Evidence by Daniel Kagan and  
>>>Ian Summer. And let's not forget the Rommel Report by a former  
>>>FBI agent who was commissioned to officially study the  
>>>mutilations.

>>Your above comment reminds me of your well-known area of  
>>expertise, Roswell. Perhaps investigators interested in the  
>>Roswell case would wish to look at the US Air Forces "Roswell:  
>>Case Closed"?

>But of course they should... and Phil Klass's Roswell book, and  
>Karl Pflock's Roswell: Inconvenient Facts, and even Kal Korff's  
>nonsense... all valuable to lend perspective to a study of the  
>specifics of the case.

>Why, I'd even read Stan's Roswell book, his MJ-12 Book and Ryan  
>Wood's book on UFO crashes.

>Should we limit our research only to that which agrees with us  
>or should we look at all aspects of it so that we understand the  
>whole?

I agree that when researching a subject, all opinions should to be carefully studied and considered. In case you are truly interested, this investigator has located and read both the hard-to-find Rommel Report and Kagen and Summer's Mute Evidence -- both of which I have cited in my research and work documenting the animal mutilation cases I have personally investigated and researched. My response to your post was due to the dismissive slant of your recommendation that appeared to suggest this area of study as being irrelevant.

If you were truly interested enough in the mutilation phenomenon, you would have already read the peer-reviewed papers that have been published on the subject and you would not need to ask me to provide you with the results of these years of work investigating and researching the subject. Obviously, this forum is not the place to effectively communicate the results of scientific investigations of this complex, highly misunderstood subject, but perhaps I will answer your prior post's questions in a later post

In a perfect world, unlimited budgets and double-blind scientific involvement would be welcome in this particular area of investigation -- don't forget, unlike the UFO phenomenon, we are dealing with thousands of pounds of physical evidence, and in my opinion, this subject deserves recognition - not a coy, off-hand dismissal. I'd be happy to submit a proposal to you, or any scientific groups willing to properly conduct field investigations and laboratory analysis as this controversial subject deserves to be scientifically investigated and thoroughly researched by accredited professionals.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: Cooper A Manipulated "Disinformant" Of The

From: **Greg Sandow** <[greg.nul](mailto:greg.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 13:08:24 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 13:37:45 -0400  
Subject: Re: Cooper A Manipulated "Disinformant" Of The

>Source: Norio Hayakawa's AOL Hometown Site

><http://www.hometown.aol.com/area51watch/page4.html>

>August 23, 2006

>Bill Cooper A Manipulated "Disinformant" Of The 90's?  
>by Norio Hayakawa

>I first met Bill back in 1989 in West L.A. when he was just  
>an unknown speaker and where he spoke for the first time at a  
>gathering called UFORUM in which I was a frequent attendee.  
>I was quite stunned then with his hypothesis on the "Secret  
>Government". It really sounded so fresh at that time because  
>unlike most UFO speakers of that time, he convincingly  
>injected "UFOs" to a "one-world government" right-wing  
>flavored conspiracy theory. I was so impacted by his  
>hypothesis that I soon became a volunteer and helped him  
>organize his first successful major public appearance that  
>same year, which was held at Hollywood High School  
>Auditorium, which was attended by well over 800 people. That  
>became the historic launching pad for his national lecture  
>circuit. And the rest is history.

I was there. Cooper said, among much else, that the aliens were responsible for gun control laws.

Better still, he said that the aliens induced the United States to send troops to help the communists during the civil war that followed the Russian revolution. There are two problems with this:

First, elsewhere in his speech, Cooper said that the aliens arrived here in 1947. That would have been long after the civil war in Russia. The Russian revolution happened in 1917; the civil war followed shortly afterward.

Second, the US actually sent troops to help the anti-communist forces.

Cooper (to say the least) was an incoherent right-wing nutcase, who played fast and loose with facts.

Greg Sandow

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Explaining The Unexplainable

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 13:47:29 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 13:47:29 -0400  
Subject: Explaining The Unexplainable

Source: The Connection Newspapers - McLean, Virginia, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/zu6rg>

August 24, 2006

Explaining The Unexplainable

Fund for UFO Research wants answers to lingering questions.

By Michael Lee Pope

In the summer of 1952, the mayor of Alexandria was trying to clean up the city's "skid row" on lower King Street, Virginia farmers were suffering through a punishing drought, the United States Senate was investigating a communist plot to infiltrate the Boy Scouts. But over the nighttime skies of the Washington area, something far more dramatic was happening.

"Until unidentified objects =97 we call them targets =97 began moving onto our radar scopes, I thought people who reported flying saucers were just seeing things," said Air Force Radar Specialist James Ritchey at the time. "Now, I don't know what to think."

Ritchey's bewilderment =97 splashed across the front page of the July 29, 1952 edition of the Alexandria Gazette =97 was understandable. Experiencing the unexplainable can be an existential threat for many people. But for an Air Force guy whose job it was to identify objects in the sky, being unable to recognize an airborne craft can be a tough pill to swallow.

"I don't think the objects were balloons or anything moving with the wind because their speed was greater than that of the wind," Ritchey told a Gazette reporter. "I don't see how they could have been ducks, geese or any kind of night birds =97 these can be picked up on radar, but they wouldn't explain the lights. As I said, I just don't have an explanation, and neither does anyone else as far as I know."

Over the next few weeks, several explanations emerged in the pages of the Gazette. Winthrop Coxe and Rollin Gillespie =97 two writers with the International News Service =97 theorized that the unexplainable phenomenon had been "swarms of electrical particles" whirling through the air at high speeds. In a column that appeared on the editorial page, they attempted to put a scientific face on debunking the widespread notion that Washington was being visited by extraterrestrials.

"In the laboratory, any studious schoolboy can wind a wire around an iron bar, send through the wire a current of electricity and produce a magnetic field," Coxe and Gillespie wrote. "They are real, but there is nothing to fear from them."

Their explanations didn't wash for many skeptics, and Washington's famous 1952 experience with UFOs became a local

legend. To this day, events from that summer have yet to be fully explained. And for many who research UFOs, this is only one story among thousands =97 disparate pieces of a mystery that has yet to be solved.

For many years after World War II, UFOs gripped the American imagination. The phenomenon began in the summer of 1947, when a west-coast pilot named Kenneth Arnold reported seeing nine disk-like objects flying over Mount Rainier, Wash. He said they were traveling at tremendous speeds, which he estimated to be at least 1,200 miles per hour. The next month, mysterious debris was found at a crash site near Roswell, N.M. The subsequent newspaper coverage opened a floodgate, with reports of UFO sightings becoming commonplace.

"Right after the war, people started seeing things that nobody could identify," said Don Berliner, chairman of the Alexandria-based Fund for UFO Research. "The press doesn't cover these things anymore, so it appears that nothing is going on. But we have just as many UFO sightings now than we did back then. It's just that people are afraid of being laughed at."

Berliner doesn't want people to laugh. He'd rather that they think =97 and maybe apply a little science. The fund that he helped create in 1979 has been doing this for more than 25 years: providing grants to clinical psychologists, publishing scientific articles, sponsoring conferences, hosting seminars and holding press conferences. From his apartment on the second floor of Hunting Point, Berliner oversees the operation of the fund.

"I want proof," said Berliner. "Scientists say that there could be millions of other civilizations. Are they interested in travel? We don't know?"

Half the money comes from the sale of publications like "UFO Sightings in the New Millennium" by Richard Hall or "Final Report on the Psychological Testing of UFO Abductees" by Ted Bloecher. The other half of the fund's money comes from donations from private individuals. The group's executive committee meets when needed to make decisions about how and when to invest.

"Unfortunately, we don't make that many decisions because we don't have that much money," said Rob Swiatek, a member of the executive committee. "Things pick up when there is more interest in the subject, like in 1997 when it was the 50th anniversary of the 1947 sightings."

Swiatek, a physics patent examiner with the United States Patent Trade Office, said that he would like to see more mainstream scientists and academics working on the subject. He said that would lend some credence to the topics, which is often overlooked or scorned. The mystery of unexplained events is a lingering source of interest for Swiatek and many devotees, who see the UFO phenomenon as a series of unanswered questions.

"I am convinced that something is going on that is not prosaic or mundane," Swiatek said. "We're having encounters with non-human intelligence."

Critics of UFOs say that it's all a hoax, or that weather patterns are responsible. Yet those who claim to have seen them swear by their presence. After the Washington flap in the summer of 1952, the Air Force was at great pains to explain itself. So it initiated Project Bluebook, a 17-year investigation of the UFO sightings culminating in a controversial report by nuclear physicist Edward Condon. Titled "Scientific Study of Unidentified Flying Objects," the document was popularly known as the "Condon Report."

"Our general conclusion is that nothing has come from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that has added to scientific knowledge," the 1968 report said. "We feel that the reason that there has been very little scientific study of the subject is that those scientists who are most directly concerned, astronomers, atmospheric physicists, chemists, and psychologists, having had ample opportunity to look into the matter, have individually decided that UFO phenomena do not offer a fruitful field in which to look for major scientific discoveries."

Essentially, Condon's committee of scientists reported, there was nothing to it. But many critics of the Air Force investigation said that the unexplained events in the body of the text were not considered in the sweeping denial at the conclusion of the report. At the time the report was issued, Don Berliner was working for a group known as the National Investigations Committee On Aerial Phenomena =97 a private group that acted as a central clearinghouse for reports of UFO sightings, making television appearances and conducting radio interviews from its Dupont Circle office.

"We established ourselves as a reliable source of information in a field where there's not a lot of reliable information," Berliner said. "I had never worked so many hours in my life. I was supposed to be a staff writer and sighting analyst, but I did everything except sweep the floors."

In the late 1960s, the National Investigations Committee On Aerial Phenomena was expanding its membership dramatically, and the involvement of prominence scientists gave the organization academic credibility. But after the 1968 Condon Report, mainstream scientists abandoned the cause in droves. The Air Force shut down its investigation, and reports of UFO sightings became the topic of ridicule and scorn.

"Even today, the vast majority of people who see UFOs keep quiet about it," Berliner said. "They are afraid of being laughed at."

But reports of sightings persist, and questions linger. That's why Berliner and others continue to investigate the subject, asking questions they say remain unanswered. Berliner has written a number of books on UFOs, published countless articles and remains one of the country's preeminent experts on the topic. For him, the Holy Grail of UFO research would be for his organization to get its hand on a piece from the 1947 crash landing at Roswell.

"Somebody out there had to have taken a piece when nobody was looking," Berliner said. "We've got pages of protocol for what we want checked."

A native of Columbus, Ohio, Berliner graduated from Bexley High School in 1947. He studied journalism and accounting at Ohio State University before joining the Air National Guard to help pay for college. One day, he read a newspaper account in the Ohio State Journal of a UFO story that was being investigated by a Ground Observer Corps headquarterd across the street from his father's accounting office.

"So I threw around some military language and BS'd my way in," Berliner said. "I had no more business there than my Aunt Sophie. But they didn't know that."

Berliner said that he then passed along a series of reports to newspaper reporters covering the subject in the local press that documented regional UFO sightings of aluminum-colored discs zooming through the air at tremendous speeds. The chance encounter was an early experience with UFO sightings, and Berliner later left the Air National Guard and took a job as a reporter for the Painesville Telegraph.

"The city editor found out that I had an interest in UFOs," Berliner said. "So I got calls from all the people who said they had seen UFOs. Most of the calls were nothing, but one sighting was a real mystery."

He wrote a story about that sighting in 1961 and sent one copy to the Air Force and another copy to the National Investigations Committee On Aerial Phenomena. The Air Force initially claimed it was a rocket launch at Wallops Island, Va. That didn't seem to make sense to Berliner, who wrote a letter disputing the ability to see such a launch from Ohio. An Air Force officer wrote again to change the explanation to a scientific research balloon launched in Iowa that was 600 miles away from the eyewitnesses who reported the sighting. He wasn't satisfied with that explanation either.

"The government was playing fast and loose with the truth," Berliner said. "If I hadn't challenged their initial explanation, it would have gone into the record book that way."

IN 1962, BERLINER packed up and headed for Washington, D.C. He wanted to be at the center of things, and he knew that the nation's capital would offer him the kind of research opportunities he wanted to pursue a writing career. He took a series of jobs writing newsletters for various Washington associations like the National Aeronautics Association, which was then engaged in a massive effort to put a man on the moon. But his interest in UFOs persisted, and he eventually joined the National Investigations Committee On Aerial Phenomena as a staff writer and sighting analyst.

"We were at the center of the world," Berliner said. "Every week, we would get calls from airline pilots who had seen things. This convinced me even more that there was something going on."

But the Condon Report abruptly ended public fascination with UFOs in 1968, and Berliner left the organization to launch a freelance writing career that includes a wide range of subjects: aviation, space travel and, of course, UFOs. He moved to Hunting Point in 1969, and has lived in the same second-story apartment ever since. By the late 1970s, the National Investigations Committee On Aerial Phenomena had all but vanished and many people skeptical of the official explanation were eager to continue its work.

"The press wasn't covering it anymore," Berliner said. "So it appeared that nothing was going on. But it was."

By 1979, Berliner and others decided to take matters into their own hands. They created the Fund for UFO Research as a way to funnel resources toward investigating the unexplained UFO sightings as well as alleged alien abduction stories.

"Some of my best friends are abductees," Berliner said. "Their stories are consistent in ways even they don't recognize."

One of the Fund of UFO Research's major undertakings involved giving a grant to a clinical psychologist who looked for similarities in the psychological makeup of people who claim to have been abducted by aliens. The study was unable to find any evidence to Berliner and others that there is more to their stories than delusion.

"People all over the world are having highly consistent experiences," Berliner said. "There's got to be something to it."

With public interest in the topic of UFOs at an all-time low, the subject receives little attention outside of late-night cable television. The Fund for UFO Research continues to conduct seminars on UFO-related topics and host conferences where abductees can get together and share their stories. But the group continues to have a hard time attracting scientists and academics.

"Scientists flee in terror when you bring up the subject," Berliner said. "Probably 90 percent of the people who see things don't want to report them. And those 10 percent who do have no idea who to call."

But Berliner's group carries on with every phone call to the group's voice mail and every hit its web site. For many people, the mystery of the unidentified flying objects continues to be obscured by unknown facts and missing pieces to the puzzle.

"I've been at this for 40 years, and I still don't know what the blasted things are," Berliner said, staring out the window into the middle distance of the sky over the Woodrow Wilson Bridge. "A lot of people want to know. I want to know."

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |



[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

From: Paul Anderson <[paulanderson.nul](mailto:paulanderson.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 10:11:47 -0700  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 13:48:34 -0400  
Subject: Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 06:58:29 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image?

>These Mars photos so far tell us more about the human brain and  
>human visual perception than about the red planet. I've looked  
>at them until my eyes hurt and have yet to see anything even  
>slightly odd or anomalous. I've seen no fossils and no  
>manufactured objects.

What about the infamous "bunny" at the beginning of  
Opportunity's mission? Whether it actually was a piece of  
airbag or not, as the conclusion was, it was odd-looking:

[http://www.space.com/scienceastronomy/mars\\_bunny\\_040310.html](http://www.space.com/scienceastronomy/mars_bunny_040310.html)

<http://tinyurl.com/ozrt9>

And the also infamous "rotini" shape, also from Opportunity,  
which never was definitely explained yet, and even the MER team  
has been openly puzzled about:

<http://tinyurl.com/3gyug>

<http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/4480097>

Spirit's "lichen" anomaly, also:

<http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/6969396>

The "spherules on stalks" imaged by Opportunity, while  
apparently geological in origin, were also unexpected and odd-  
looking (some of the bb-sized hematite concretions found were at  
times seen to be attached to the end of long, thin "stalks" of  
protruding rock).

---

The Meridiani Journal  
a chronicle of planetary exploration  
<http://www.themeridianijournal.blogspot.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Re: Manufactured Object In Mars Spirit Image? -

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

### Re: Kinross Solved? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 14:18:45 -0300  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 13:51:33 -0400  
Subject: Re: Kinross Solved? - Ledger

Just a few observations before I drop this thread.

The F-89C's canopy extended ahead of the leading edge of the wing to about the pilot's seat-back position where as the canopy on the P-51 was aft of the wing's leading edge as it appears in the side scan. I see no canopy position protruding ahead of the wing in this side scan.

The nose of the P-51 is very distinctive. It had a gentle slope back from the spinner on top of the engine but there's a chin at the bottom behind the spinner as is evidenced in the side scan. The F-89C's nose is symmetrical from the nose back to the canopy like a long cone.

The wing leading edge is further ahead on the P-51 than the leading edge of the wing of the F-89C. The wing shape is consistent with that of a P-51. The tail section is broken up it appears with the vertical stabilizer indistinct and in acoustic shadow. The acoustic shadow is confusing the actual size of the vertical stab. I see no evidence that it isn't a P-51 empennage.

The F-89C had it's horizontal stabilizer [tail wing] attached nearly midway up its fin whereas the P-51's is at the base of the fin.

Several have mentioned a wingtip tank which the P-51 did not have but it did carry drop tanks about 60 percent of the distance out from the fuselage and rocket rails and hard points out past that, both under the wing. At this point it is difficult to say whether this is a wingtip tank and is only assumed to be so.

The night of the Kinross incident the lake was in a bad 'sea' state, it was windy with snow showers-visibility was non-existent. It is doubtful that if the F-89C Scorpion had hit the water its remains would be in the condition that this one seems to be. This aircraft looks like it might have ditched which is highly unlikely again with the Scorpion for a couple of reasons; no visibility and the jet intakes would grab large volumes of water. The Scorpion had a high stall speed which is very bad when you want to ditch because it's like hitting concrete when landing at speeds of nearly 100 mph. The Scorpion also had ejection seats. Why ditch in the first place?

Note: there was an prop-driven aircraft called the Piper Invader that looked like a Mustang but had wingtip tanks. Only a few were made in circa 1970. The probability of this being one is zero.

Additional notes: The Mustang like the Scorpion had problems with the wings twisting off at high angles of attack and steep turns. The port wing might be buried under silt in this side scan.

Not only the United States Air Force but also the Royal Canadian Air Force flew the the P-51D.

At the end of WWII the RCAF purchased 100 P-51Ds and flew them in various peacetime missions up until 1955. I mention this in

case someone is searching for P-51s missing in the Great Lakes region.

I deem it highly unlikely that the Kinross F-89C Scorpion could have survived in the condition of this as yet unidentified aircraft given the weather and lake conditions on the evening that Moncla and his navigator "perished".

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 10:43:24 -0700  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 13:55:10 -0400  
Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Rudiak

>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 19:15:12 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 14:28:10 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 17:08:47 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>>Yes, thanks a lot David, a great post, really informative.

>>><http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2006/aug/m18-004.shtml>

>>>It illustrates some of the ways we slightly mis-perceive almost  
>>>everything around us - yet retain the conviction that 'seeing is  
>>>believing'.

>>I think this is an overstatement. Our visual perceptual systems  
>>in most situations are actually quite stable and reliable. Like  
>>any sensory system, including man-made ones, they can sometimes  
>>be fooled.

><snip>

>Hi David, while I agree the eye-brain combination (the brain  
>can't actually see) has evolved to give a reasonable  
>approximation of things 'natural' for survival purposes, it is  
>notoriously easy to fool in certain surroundings.

Easy to fool in certain generally \_unnatural\_ surroundings with  
usually malice of forethought involved, i.e., something like a  
carefully constructed drawing by an artist or an experimental  
scientist probing how our perceptual systems work.

But in natural surroundings, illusions are usually very rare and  
minor second-order effects of normal and reliable brain  
processing. Perception also tends to be very stable. 99.99+% of  
the time, objects don't suddenly change appearance, swell in  
size, change color, move around, change depth, etc. The  
perceptual systems work to maintain various forms of constancy.  
It would be impossible to function if that weren't the case.

That's very different from your original statement that  
literally nothing was ever reliably perceived and we could never  
trust our eyes, which is total, unmitigated B.S.

>1) perspective - try [ponzo.gif](#) in Google Images for several  
>examples [Ponzo drew them apparently].

Another example of artificially inducing an illusion not  
normally seen in the natural world. Ponzo illusions are various  
illusions of scale caused by reducing natural 3D scenes down to  
2D, usually a line drawing of perspective on a sheet of paper.

The classic Ponzo illusion is a photo or drawing of railroad tracks receding into the distance. Place a line over the tracks at the lower, "closer" part, and an identical length test line higher up over the receding, "more distant" portion of the tracks. The "more distant" line appears to be longer than the "closer" one.

What causes this illusion? It's caused by the ambiguity of the image. Our brains will normally try to interpret scenes, even 2D ones, as 3D, because we live in a 3D, not 2D world. So instead of a 2D drawing, the brain normally interprets the flat railroad tracks on the sheet of paper as actually receding into the distance.

The second factor in the illusion is size constancy. Our brains scale the size of objects to the perceived distance. If it didn't do that, then as objects got further and further in the distance and their projected angular size on our retinas grew smaller and smaller, they would be perceived as being smaller and smaller objects. So without size constancy, people as they walked away from you would be perceived as becoming tinier and tinier people. But they don't. Instead we normally perceive them as staying the same size but getting further away.

It is possible to trick the size constancy system and make people appear to be vastly different in size by distorting the distance cues, causing the viewer to misinterpret the distance. The classic example is the Ame's room, in which the room is badly distorted from its normally square corner shape, with say the left corner being slanted away from the viewer and the height increased and the right corner being much closer and with a shorter ceiling. If the room is viewed with one eye to destroy stereoscopic depth cues, it appears to be rectangular rather than distorted, with both corners appearing to be equal distance. As a result, a person going to the right corner will appear to be a giant while the person in the left appears to be a midget.

Visual ambiguity is again key here. The projection of the distorted room onto the retina can be interpreted in two equally valid geometric ways, either as a distorted room with differing corner distances and ceiling heights, or as a normal, rectangular room that we experience in day-to-day life. The brain goes with the familiar default square room. (A reasonable choice--no? How often do we experience highly distorted rooms?)

However, remove the ambiguity by letting a person view the room with both eyes to judge true depth, and the illusion disappears. The people appear to be of normal size standing at different distances in a highly distorted room. It's not that the perceptual system is inherently unreliable or has in some sense failed. It has been deliberately tricked in a very artificial situation.

The same is true with the various Ponzo illusions. The line associated with the "more distant" receding railroad ties appears to be longer, because in a true 3D situation, it WOULD be longer if it were the same angular size on the retina but further away and lying on the tracks (not floating in space above the other line at the same distance). In other words, it would be a correct perception of relative size if the two lines existed in 3D space at different distances instead of in 2D space on a sheet of paper.

(Oddly, books on perception don't call it an "illusion" that the different size railroad ties in the drawings are seen as equal in size, yet this is just as much a size constancy "illusion" as the two equal length test lines seen as unequal in size. There is a certain inconsistency here. On the one hand, the flat drawing of railroad ties and tracks receding into the distance is treated as being a "correct" perception, whereas the equally flat test lines are treated as being incorrectly perceived or an "illusion" because we "know" they are the same length on a flat piece of paper. But the brain is just treating them the same way as the tracks.)

As in the Ame's room, the brain tends to go with the default condition of experience. We interpret the flat railroad tracks as actually receding into the distance, and the size illusion of the lines follows because of size constancy scaling.

Again, I don't consider this to be a "failure" in perception. Like many experimental illusions, Ponzo "illusions" are induced by artificial viewing conditions to remove normal cues and deliberate trickery of design.

Incidentally, with a little mental concentration, we can also perceive the "receding" railroad tracks as just being flat, and the "illusion" disappears. The two test lines now appear equal in size because they are now perceived as being at the same distance. Again, this is just an alternative and equally valid geometric solution to another deliberately ambiguous visual scene.

>2) color - if a red and green object has the green half lightly shadowed, the eye-brain sees the whole thing as red, and vice-versa.

I'm not familiar with this illusion. In fact, when I try to reproduce it, I can't. I took a red and green floppy disk and formed a corner. If I "lightly shadow" the green half, e.g., it still looks distinctly green. If I darkly shadow it, the color gets harder to discern, but it doesn't look red. It just looks dark with maybe a hint of green.

I suppose if I very heavily shadowed it, it eventually look black with no trace of green. Maybe at that point the brain will interpret it as the shadowed side of an entirely red object. But that certainly is not the case for a "lightly shadowed" green surface (or vice versa).

Such an illusion would not normally be associated with color constancy, which is the maintainence of a constant perception of colors over a wide range of illumination conditions. For example, go from "white" ambient sunlight to indoors with ambient "bluish" fluorescent or "reddish" incandescent light, and colors do not change. Green/red/etc. objects/surfaces retain their "greenness", "redness", etc.

It is even possible to set up experiments where say a "green" surface can be made to reflect the exact same spectrum of light in one lighting condition as say a "red" surface in another. One might do this, e.g., by bathing the entire scene with red ambient light. Yet the green surface does not become red, even though it reflecting mostly "red" or long wavelength light. It remains distinctly "green" in appearance. Perceived color does not simply equate to the wavelengths of light reaching the eye as is popularly thought. It depends on the context of the entire scene. The "green" surface bathed in red light may appear red if viewed in isolation in a black background, again a somewhat artificial situation. But it will be perceived as "green" in a more normal setting with other colored objects around it.

To be as brief as possible about a complicated phenomenon, the perceptual system "ignores" the ambient lighting conditions and subtracts it off. It is only interested in the relative changes in surface reflectance as detected by the three different color receptors in the retina. It then reconstructs the "true" colors in the brain on the basis of the relative differences.

In a sense, there is nothing physically "real" about color, as there is about other visual attributes like form or distance. It corresponds in a loose sense to wavelength of light, yet is not the same (otherwise perceived color of surfaces would change with the wavelength of ambient lighting, which they do not). As in other senses like smell or taste, it is purely a construct of our brains. However, the color attribute it constructs, it maintains with high fidelity and with definable rules. E.g., computers can be programmed to mimic the process going on in our brain and thus construct and maintain constant colors under varying lighting conditions.

>Other colors are often 'decided' by association with familiar shapes.

That's an old theory without any experimental support if you are strictly talking about real-time perception of color. According to this theory, you see a banana as yellow because through experience you know bananas are yellow. If that was the case, then how is it then we also see unripe bananas as green, or bananas painted purple as purple?



Now it can be that if somebody is asked to recall what color something was, their recall is influenced by their expectations of what such objects would normally be colored, particularly if they weren't paying attention to the color at the time. E.g., place a purple banana amongst many other objects, let somebody briefly view the scene, then ask them the color of the banana and they might say yellow if they weren't paying specific attention to the object amongst all the others. But let them continuously view the scene, and their real-time color perception of the banana when they direct their attention to it will almost certainly be the correct purple.

>3) motion you've already mentioned - 'looming' etc

I mentioned that size can sometimes be slightly misjudged with objects are rapidly receding or approaching (looming). Thus a fastball might look smaller to a batter than a slower pitch. I don't know of any normal perceptual mechanism, however, that makes objects loom in size. Yet alleged perceptual looming has been used to try to debunk UFO cases, such as Jimmy Carter's sighting, supposedly of Venus varying greatly in size (to practically the size of the full moon, in Carter's description). Yeah, right!

Autokinesis, or random motion of a light or small object in a mostly blank background, was mentioned. Again this is not the usual natural situation. There are usually plenty of peripheral cues to prevent autokinesis. Autokinesis is normally experienced in natural surroundings at night when the peripheral cues are difficult to make out, like looking up at the stars. But what risk to survival is posed by stars occasionally darting a little bit? Most of the time, we don't see such autokinetic movement even at night.

>4) sequence of perceived actions - if you push a button you can  
>often see the indicator light before the push! Your cerebrum -  
>the push decider - is slow to realize it's done, while your  
>cerebellum is speedier in seeing the result, because that  
>animal-like cerebellum is not part of the new brain. The fast  
>cerebellum is heavily used while driving, playing sports - and  
>all fear reactions.

Visual perception is mostly in the cerebrum (not cerebellum), with some very primitive perception, akin to what a frog might "see," in our old midbrains. Thus somebody might have massive damage to their visual cortex, yet be 100% accurate in "guessing" what direction something is moving, even though they will also tell you they can't see a bloody thing. This bizarre and rare phenomenon is known as blindsight.

Although most of the visual pathway in our brain goes to visual cortex, some still is directed to the old, primitive midbrain (for controlling such things as pupillary reflexes, eyelid reflexes, eye tracking reflexes, etc.). One theory is that the midbrain centers can still determine movement direction and relay this information on up to intact cortex somewhere, but at what we would call an unconscious level. (The blindsight subject will report they have no conscious awareness of movement.) But the various parts of the visual cortex are required for conscious perception of visual stimuli. The cerebellum has nothing to do with it.

In very carefully controlled (and again artificial) experimental situations, it is possible to show that various visual brain centers process their specialized information at different speeds. Thus such visual attributes as form and color are processed more rapidly than motion. Facial features such as eyes and hairlines are consciously perceived before faces as a whole are recognized.

However, I wouldn't call these differences in perceptual processing speed "illusions." And once again, in normal viewing situations, we are never aware of these various small time differences. Everything seems to occur together, a process sometimes called "binding" of perception.

>Worst of all are perceived shapes and their associated  
>emotions:- if it's something of a kind you've never seen before,  
>then you could see virtually anything. If the brain has no  
>template for it, it'll just grab whatever's handy, or expected -

>or feared. That's why adult folk who recover their sight after  
>being blind from birth often see everything around them as  
>`monstrous' - even their loved ones.

Again, you are trying to apply a highly abnormal situation, in this case literally one in a million (people regaining sight after being blind from birth), and trying to equate it to normal visual perception.

We see new things all the time (ever traveled, e.g.?) and don't freak out with our perception going all out of kilter. We can still accurately describe what we see even if we know nothing about it.

I presume (perhaps wrongly) that most of your exaggeration of perceptual unreliability has something to do with UFO witnesses and debunking them. Suppose you see something unknown up in the air. You don't know what it is, but there is something unusual about it that attracts your attention, i.e., you are having a "UFO" sighting. You can still describe its visual attributes. It's bright/dim, fuzzy/well-defined, shiny/dull, red/green/blue/etc., oval/round/cigar/saucer/point-of-light/etc. - shaped, stationary/moving, zig-zagging/flying-in-circles/streaking/etc., singular/multiple objects, tiny/huge/etc., near-the-horizon/high-in-the-sky/etc., traveling north/south/etc., silent/noisy/etc., has a trail/lacks a trail, visible for seconds/minutes/etc.

Your perception doesn't suddenly collapse into a smoldering heap of unreliable information just because you see something novel or bizarre. That's just more nonsense.

Accurately remembering the information is something else. Also different people will recall different aspects of the same thing. People notice or focus their attention on different things. There won't be 100% agreement in details or recall. That's just as true of witnesses to auto accidents or crimes. But carefully question enough witnesses and usually a consistent picture of what happened emerges.

>Like you say, a person who's well-fed, well-rested and confident  
>should have a stable and routine picture of the world. Another  
>person might not. And neither will be exactly `true'.

More gross exaggeration. Maybe you inhabit a shape-shifting, squirrely perceptual world or are in a state of constant hallucinations, but I rather doubt it, even when you aren't well-fed, well-rested, and confident. Once again, perception is remarkably stable and reliable, so much so that people very rarely experience illusions, make serious mistakes, or get into trouble when they don't perceive things perfectly. Otherwise, it would be impossible to function.

Also, what exactly do you mean by "true?" Visual scenes have inherent ambiguity. E.g., a 3D saucer-shaped craft can appear pointlike, oval, round, or cigar-shaped depending on vantage point and distance. One description or perception isn't any more "true" than another. They are all "true" given the limited 2D information available to the observer.

If the saucer is close, then maybe a witness has more visual cues, like stereoscopic depth perception, to deduce the true 3D shape. Just because they can't do this from the limited information at hand, doesn't mean they what they see is illusory or unreliable.

>There are more classic illusions are in `Light and Vision' -  
>joint editors C.P. Snow, Prof. Henry Margenau, Prof. Ren=E9  
>Dubois, which also has on p. 154 - "If by `correct' we mean that  
>the visual system gives a true representation of the physical  
>events in the environment, we must be prepared to place all  
>visual phenomena in the category of illusion" - (their emphasis)  
>and, in next paragraph - "An examination of most of the data of  
>vision would reveal no examples of what could be accurately  
>called `correct' perception".

Hmmm. This I would classify as academic overreaching, or in plainer language, academic bullshit. First of all, none of these guys was a perceptual scientist by profession. Snow was a physicist and famous writer, Margenau was a physicist (with early contributions to quantum mechanics), and Dubois was a

microbiologist. Nonsense is often written by academics arguing well outside their fields of expertise. (Dubois, incidentally, coined the mantra, "Think globally, act locally." Why bother if perceptually everything is an illusion and you can't trust anything?)

Sure it is easy to induce illusions, but in the real natural world, not some carefully constructed laboratory experiment or drawing designed to screw with our brains, we rarely experience illusions. When we do, they are usually very minor, often nothing more than temporary neural fatigue or slight miscalibrations of our perceptual systems, not some vast disruption of our sense of reality or rip in the space-time fabric, as you and the above quoted academics seem to be implying.

I'm quite sure Mssrs. Snow, Margenau, and Dubois regularly went around their daily business without once seeing giant white rabbits spontaneously appearing before them then dissolving into pink elephants. No doubt they weren't constantly getting run over by buses because they couldn't judge speed or distance accurately. They weren't constantly getting confused between the red and green traffic lights. They drank their daily tea and not once confused it with coffee or Coke. They didn't take cold showers thinking they were hot. After work, they didn't go back to the wrong house and get in bed with the wrong woman. (Well, maybe they did, but that's another story altogether.)

Now here's another quote from a more modern textbook on perception, by perceptual psychologists William Dember and Joel Warm, regarding "the stability of perceptual experience." Just as I've been arguing, it says that our perception of the world is actually remarkably stable and accurate in an ever shifting world of stimuli. This is probably the overwhelming consensus opinion of scientists in various fields who specialize in the study of perception.

"Stimuli [are] subject to substantial, continual change in our normal commerce with the environment. ...Such gross variations in ...stimulation might be expected to produce a kaleidoscopic perceptual world in which objects seem to change in brightness from moment to moment and appear to swell, shrink and warp with every movement. Yet, as you well know, this is normally not the case. Instead our perceptual world remains remarkably stable in spite of the considerable instability of stimulation. Such matches between the object as it is perceived and the object as it 'physically' is are called perceptual constancies. This ability to extract the inherent qualities of objects from continually changing stimulation is one of the most impressive achievements of perception and one which has obvious significance for the biological survival of the perceiver."

Here's another quote regarding the significance of illusions: "...in order to understand how form perception can be accurate, it is also necessary to account for why it can sometimes (emphasis mine) be inaccurate. In other words, the study of illusions may provide special insights into the fundamentals of pattern perception."

And another quote about illusions: "[Vision scientist Richard] Gregory (1968) has made the point that in thinking about the geometric illusions [like the above-mentioned Ponzo illusion] we should not consider them reflecting flaws in the perceptual system. Instead, it might be better to view them as the product of imperfect solutions which are reached by the system when faced with the need to determine the nature of objects from ambiguous images."

To me, these statements are practically self-evident. As Dember and Warm say in an aside to the reader, from experience we all know that the world we perceive is normally NOT shifting around in appearance even though the actual stimuli reaching our retinas is constantly shifting. Objects retain their constant identity even as the light shifts, the distance shifts, the perspective shifts, etc. I bet even Ray Dickenson experiences this. I find it remarkable that he would try to argue otherwise.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 14:45:32 -0300  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 13:58:02 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Ledger

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 07:27:48 EDT  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 09:32:49 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

><snip>

>>...the racket of a 300 HP motor spinning a 26-foot rotor  
>>overhead is not exactly consistent with the total silence in  
>>which the object flew away. So it isn't "simple" - you've  
>>got some justifying to do here. you've still got that rotor  
>>noise etc to worry about.

>OK - if you insist....  
>The 'motor' at that time was an Allison turbo-shaft engine  
>rated at 250 SBHP at S.L. ISA conditions. The compressor was  
>about the size of a coffee can and the only source of any  
>significant noise, just a high pitch whine at 100% rpm.  
>In fact, it was so quiet that the FAA required not only a  
>flashing red light on the instrument panel, but a very loud  
>Klaxon horn as well, plus an alternating 'on-off' beeper  
>signal to the headsets to alert the pilot of an engine  
>failure.

>I know all about that! We had more than a few of those!  
>But to quote the only witness - "it was very windy" ..."I  
>didn't hear any noise." Sure doesn't prove there wasn't  
>any does it?

>No further comment.

Hollis,

The OH-6 didn't get put into service until a full 2.5 years  
after the Socorro incident.

I've posted this twice.

Your ignoring it ain't going to make your OH-6 scenario work.

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 25](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 14:54:11 -0300  
Fwd Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 14:29:24 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Ledger

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 07:43:53 EDT  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 13:24:42 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>If they would have used anything it would have probably been  
>>the Sikorsky S-55, or S-58 or the S-61 even.

>Don,

>Gee, you forgot the Sikorsky S-56 You can see it here:

Who cares... you are sidetracking the issue. Your point was that the OH-6 was the helicopter used. The OH-6 wasn't put into service until August of 1966 while the Socorro incident took place in April of 1964.

You also didn't explain how this non-existent OH-6's pilot managed to drift 50 miles away from the test site while hauling a LM on a tether. Must have been a hell of a wind.

If you are going to look up all of this stuff on the websites, Hollis, you are going to have to find hardware and technical terms to match up.

Feel free to ignore this one too, Hollis.

Don ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 26](#)

## Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 20:33:07 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 07:52:07 -0400  
Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Dickenson

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 10:43:24 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

<snip>

>That's very different from your original statement that  
>literally nothing was ever reliably perceived and we could never  
>trust our eyes, which is total, unmitigated B.S.

<snip>

Slow down David, you're getting heated, slightly incoherent and somewhat contradictory.

Let's take your ramblings on color: color is only the frequency of light reaching your eyes.

That's why early sunshine is slightly blue or whitish, noon's is hot yellow and evening's is reddish. Those are normally the averaged frequencies of sunlight reaching the eye at those times.

But a guy who's in love with his red car can continue to see that car as red under different types of lighting, even though the 'color' reaching his eyes may change to black or blue or purple. That 'red' is an illusion supplied by the brain. A camera tells a different story.

In that case the brain creates an illusion to 'keep hold of' a known color. In other cases the brain will take an extra step by 'assigning' a color to a presumed familiar object, or apparently 'keep hold of' a color' while not being aware that a shadowed part of an object is actually of another color.

Many of us will have seen these same experiments carried out in the lab (or on TV), and they are confirmed when the subject is allowed to look at the object (or part of an object) through a cardboard tube - to exclude the deceptive background, shadows or shapes. Then the illusion vanishes, for the subject and for us the TV viewers - we then only see the 'real' color of the small section visible through the tube.

Much the same is true for the other 'illusions' the brain creates, mostly to give us a sense of security.

Now of course I'm not saying that extreme conditions are the norm - if they were we would have evolved a different eye-brain combo to cope. But we didn't. So we are still vulnerable to those various misperceptions.

BTW - most folk should know I've got no axe to grind with regard to people's testimony for or against anything, and, if I were as pompous as you're beginning to sound, I'd be deeply offended at such a gratuitous slur. As it is - you seem to have a problem, and maybe an agenda.

If you didn't have an agenda I'd recommend reading my post again



- checking the references - and apologizing.

Cheers

Ray D

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 26](#)

## Re: Time Out - White

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 16:05:10 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 07:55:17 -0400  
Subject: Re: Time Out - White

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 21:15:41 EDT  
>Subject: Time Out

<snip>

>If that's the case, then it is quite possible that a UFO/ET  
>spacecraft could zip to Earth for a weekend jaunt, although  
>using our time-base, it actually took 150,00 Earth-years!

>OK, so I sound like some old long-haired 'professor' in a white  
>smock hunched over a desk madly manipulating all sorts of  
>equations on his note pad. Guess what though?

>Dozens, if not hundreds, of eminent scientists with pockets full  
>of Ph.Ds are doing just that on the very same subject!

Time slips have been reported by crop-glyph investigators, based  
both on differences in perceived time spans between those in the  
glyph and those outside, waiting in vehicles, and, by  
differences in time showing on their watches.

So it may not be just "outside the Solar System" at all.

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 26](#)

## Re: UFOs or Not? - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 13:59:24 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 08:02:25 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs or Not? - Rudiak

>From: Lan Fleming <[lfleming6.nul](mailto:lfleming6.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 12:14:47 -0500  
>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 12:29:16 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs or Not?

><snip>

>>According to Albert Crary's short diary entry, the flight was  
>>cancelled on account of cloud cover. (They needed mostly clear  
>>weather because a major part of the tracking was visual from the  
>>ground and the air. Among other things, tracking was an  
>>essential part of testing the constant altitude control system  
>>they were developing for Project Mogul.)

>>Crary's next sentence is what the debate is all about. It says  
>>that a balloon cluster was released anyway with a sonobuoy  
>>attached. The sonobuoy (a microphone used by the Navy) was an  
>>early listening device used on Project Mogul while they  
>>developed their own microphones. Mogul was listening for  
>>explosions in the upper atmosphere and they would set off  
>>explosive charges up and down White Sands range to test the  
>>microphone reception.

>I have the book Moore wrote on the Roswell "myth." I haven't  
>looked at it for a long time, but I just reviewed the section  
>about Crary's notes. The full text (according to Moore's  
>transcription) is:

>June 4 Wed. Out to Tularosa Range and fired charges between 00  
>and 06 this am. No balloon flight again on account of clouds.  
>Flew regular sonoboy mike up in cluster of balloons and had good  
>luck on receiver on ground but poor on plane. Out with Thompson  
>this pm. Shot charges 1800 to 2400.

>The sentence order clearly indicates that if there was any  
>balloon launch it must have occurred after 6 AM.

The various Moguls of this time, such as Flight #5 the next day,  
were typically launched just after sunrise, or around 5:15 AM.  
The "shot charges" were test explosive charges set off on White  
Sands range, sometimes corresponding to a Mogul in the air,  
sometimes not. E.g., nothing was flying 1800 to 2400 hours or 00  
hours, yet charges were still being set off. If a Mogul was  
flying and equipped with a microphone, charges being set off  
could be used to test microphone reception.

>But later in  
>Moore's book, he says that the launch must have taken place  
>"soon after the skies cleared around 0300 MST on 4 June."

Yet two years prior to that, looking at the same weather data  
and Crary entry, Moore had concluded that if there was a Mogul  
#4 launch (at that time he said Crary's entry was ambiguous as  
to whether there ever was a Flight #4), it probably went up just

after dawn just like the other Mogul launches.

>This

>apparently had something to do with Moore's need for the balloon  
>to be launched at least an hour before sunrise in order for the  
>winds to be in the direction he needed for the Mogul flight path  
>to work (with considerable fudging , as you're analysis seems to  
>indicate).

The reason Moore flip-flopped as to alleged launch time and moved it to late night was to delay exposure to sunlight that would deteriorate the neoprene rubber weather balloons and shorten the flight time. Detailed wind data he obtained later indicated the prevailing lower altitude winds blowing harder to the east than Moore had previously presumed. Thus he needed some rationale to move the balloons far back to the west in order to end up on the Foster ranch. The only winds blowing to the west were the high-altitude, low-speed stratospheric winds. He needed extra hours for these winds to do there work.

Hence, poof, he waved his magic wand, flip-flopped on his interpretation of weather data, misrepresented Crary's diary, and declared they actually got up around midnight to launch and then launched around 3 a.m. as the skies cleared (see below).

For a more detailed discussion of how Moore flip-flopped, misrepresented Crary's actual diary entries, and played fast and loose with the weather data in order to justify a nighttime launch and extended flight time, see my website discussion (section "Whether the weather" near bottom):

[www.roswellproof.com/flight4\\_trajectory.html](http://www.roswellproof.com/flight4_trajectory.html)

>Has anyone been able to see Crary's actual handwritten diary?  
>I'm wondering if Crary's "puzzling" contradictory entries are  
>because Moore garbled the transcription somehow.

I don't know about Crary's original handwritten diary, but it was transcribed and typed up back in 1947. This was reproduced in the Air Force's "phone book" Roswell report in 1995. This is now on the Net at the AF website. This is a large pdf file (~90 MBytes), so be prepared for a long download. See the second set of attachments, Attachment 17, "Personal Journal of Albert P. Crary":

<http://tinyurl.com/ltbew>

Moore didn't misquote the Crary entry for June 4, but he did misrepresent other parts of Crary's diary. E.g., for the previous day, Crary had written:

June 3 Tues. Up at 2:30 AM ready to fly balloons but finally abandoned due to cloudy skies. I went out to Tularosa Range and fired charges from 6 on to 12. Missed 5:30 shot - trouble getting ordnance man -

(Note again, they were firing charges even when there was no Mogul flying. Just because they were setting off explosions doesn't mean there was a balloon flight.)

But in his book, Moore wrote:

The launching time is not known, but given that Crary's diary shows that he was ready for the launching \*\*\*soon after midnight\*\*\* on 3 June, and given that the clouds that caused the launch delay went away at around 0230 MST on 4 June, I am sure that we would have launched as rapidly as possible thereafter."

No, Crary's diary says 2:30 AM for June 3, not "soon after midnight."

Moore likewise fudged the actual 5:16 AM launch time of Flight #5 on June 5 by over 2 hours to make it seem it went up at about the same time as Moore's claimed 3:00 AM "Flight #4". In a 1997 Sci Fi channel program interview, Moore again asserted as if it were incontestable fact that Flight #4 was launched at "about" 3:00 AM, and then added that it was launched "about the same time of the day" as Flight #5 the next day.

Thus, again, poof, wave the debunking magic wand and a documented post-dawn launch becomes around 3:00 AM, and getting

out of bed at 2:30 AM to assemble a balloon for later launch becomes "just after midnight," again to try to justify a 3:00 AM launch time for Flight #4.

(I might add, that every single one of the documented June/July 1947 Moguls was a daytime launch. None was launched in the middle of the night, which also makes no sense because visual tracking was so important for gathering data on the balloon trajectories.)

Also Moore's claim that the weather data indicated clearing at around 2:30 is also false because Moore ignored time delays between surrounding weather stations and Alamogordo, as I discuss in detail on my website (along with a map). E.g., the weather station at Columbus, N.M., about 130 miles directly upwind from Alamogordo, would have had about a 3 hour time delay between their reported cloud conditions and the same clouds blowing through to Alamogordo.

It was cloudy at 11:15 PM in Columbus, meaning the same cloudy conditions would likely show up around 2:15 PM in Alamogordo. Columbus then reported some clearing at around 2:10 AM, but again, if one takes delay into account, the same clearing upwind at Alamogordo wouldn't have happened until after 5:00 AM. Moore sweeps all this under the carpet.

Moore plays a lot of games like this with the data, which is why I no longer consider him to be a trustworthy source.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 26](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Kimball

From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprqo.nul](mailto:Choprqo.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 17:54:06 EDT  
Fwd Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 08:07:32 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Kimball

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 06:57:41 -0500  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>See, "Vmc" would  
>have written as "VMC" by a true professional if they had truly  
>been able to tell the difference... So.

>There we have it!

Very good hit Sir! I hope my hard head didn't crack your board.  
But, for the record for all to see...if I may:

VMC means VFR, British style - Visual Meteorological Conditions.

IMC means IFR, British style - Instrument Meteorological  
Conditions.

Vmc means Velocity, minimum control, FAA style, commonly  
referred to as minimum control speed, for twin-engine airplanes  
with one engine out. -Not a good place to be at lift-off-.

My face isn't red. Yours?

Hollis Kimball

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 26](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Scheldroup

From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 16:58:09 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 08:09:48 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Scheldroup

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 07:27:48 EDT  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 09:32:49 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

><snip>

>>...the racket of a 300 HP motor spinning a 26-foot rotor  
>>overhead is not exactly consistent with the total silence in  
>>which the object flew away. So it isn't "simple" - you've got  
>>some justifying to do here. you've still got that rotor noise  
>>etc to worry about.

>OK - if you insist....

>The 'motor' at that time was an Allison turbo-shaft engine rated  
>at 250 SBHP at S.L. ISA conditions. The compressor was about the  
>size of a coffee can and the only source of any significant  
>noise, just a high pitch whine at 100% rpm.

Those engines/turbines, with the BHP, go through reduction gearing,  
generators, etc., and lose power at each stage.

Much other equipment is run off the same power too. "Shaft  
horsepower" is HP at the prop shaft, not at the engine/turbine  
shaft it seems in this case.

Different shaft <G>.

John

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 26](#)

## Re: Kinross Solved? - Heath

From: Gord Heath <[gheath.nul](mailto:gheath.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 15:13:03 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 08:20:00 -0400  
Subject: Re: Kinross Solved? - Heath

For what its worth, I wish to add my comments on the information provided by Great Lakes Dive Company on their website regarding their side scan sonar search for the USAF F-89C missing on a mission from Kinross AFB, MI on the night of Nov. 23, 1953.

Since the GLDC is engaged in continuing surveys of the site, it is important to wait until the have obtained better resolution data before we start jumping to conclusions.

My first reaction to the images posted on the website was incredulity, wondering if it might be a joke in very bad taste.

Since hearing more about the work they are doing, I am hedging more to seeing this as a possible discovery of the missing F-89.

Don Ledger has expressed his views that this might be a P-51 rather than an F-89. Although I can see some of his observations, I don't think there is enough detail in either picture to tell where the canopy begins and ends. Yes, in the F-89, the pilot sat almost right on top of the front edge of the wing. In the low res image, it looks to me that the front part of the canopy is well ahead of the front edge of the wing. In both images, it looks like the the nose is buried in the lakebed (or silted over). I performed several checks and measurements on the images versus a model F-89C, and I found most of the general measurements and details seem to match quite closely. If you look at the first "low-res" image, you can clearly see that the horizontal "wing/stabilizer" on the tail is in fact about half way up the vertical stabilizer, exactly like the F-89. (Note: try to print out the image, and then look at it rotated 90 degrees to the right).

On the basis of what I have been able to check from these two images, I would think it quite likely that this is an F-89, and if it is an F-89 and was found in this location, then this implies it was the F-89 missing from Kinross. (Unless some joker has gone to the expense of dropping another F-89 or similar model on the lakebed at this location).

I note that some people immediately concluded that the aircraft "must" have been ditched, to have retained such a high degree of structural integrity (although one wing is missing and half of the tail).

If you look at the first low-res image, you can quite clearly see where the wing has been cut or sheared off from the fuselage. I hope we will see this detail in video imaging. I have doubts that this was a result of F-89 hitting an object or F-89 impact with water at high speed. I tend to agree with Don Ledger that it is unlikely the F-89 would have survived ditching into the lake in one piece.

I think one possibility is that the aircraft was placed there (as I noted in my account of my, errrr, 'memories' of Moncla and Wilson's time in captivity).

Note some relevant info. They have not located the missing wing or tail section from the plane in their sonar sweeps of the surrounding area. Perhaps this is because the wing and tail



sections were 'placed' at different locations.

We do know that two prospectors found parts of a military fighter jet tail north of Sault Ste. Marie in October 1968.

The parts were examined by the Ontario Provincial Police and a USAF officer from Kincheloe AFB (formerly Kinross AFB).

We also know that the government appears to have never established an identity for these mysterious parts. Perhaps because they were the missing piece from this plane's tail. And as I "recall" in my account, the wing was also perhaps placed at a separate third location.

Note that neither the US or Canadian Governments have been able to shed any light on these missing parts. One explanation for this failure is that the information is being deliberately withheld or has been deliberately hidden away from access to those in government departments.

We should wait to see if better information surfaces on this find. Kinross Mystery... not solved yet.

At this point in time, all we have are two sonar images and the word of GLDC to go on.

[See Gord Heath's Investigation Report on Kinross at UFO\*BC's site:

<http://ufobc.ca/kinross/index.htm>

--ebk]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 26](#)

## Sheriffs Seek UFO Possibly With Soybeans Attached

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 09:06:55 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 09:06:55 -0400  
Subject: Sheriffs Seek UFO Possibly With Soybeans Attached

Source: ABC-TV 7 - Chicago, Illinois, USA

<http://abclocal.go.com/wls/story?section=local&id=4495310>

August 25, 2006

Sheriffs Seek UFO Possibly With Soybeans Attached

(GENESEO, Ill.) - When farmer Jim Stahl approached the Henry County Sheriff's Department to report mysterious damage to his soybeans, officers decided to have a little fun.

The department issued a news release describing the strange crop circles. The release then says, in part, "So, if anyone happens to see a UFO or any other suspicious vehicle with soybeans attached, please call the sheriff's office."

But for some researchers, the crop circles in Stahl's beans are no laughing matter.

BLT Research, a nonprofit group based in Massachusetts, sent a member out to gather samples from his field.

BLT has been investigating crop circles for 15 years.

President Nancy Talbott says the group believes low-energy plasma from outer space causes the crop formations.

Copyright 2006 by The Associated Press

[Thanks to Greg Boone for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 26](#)

## Re: The Black Vault's 10th Anniversary - Maccabee

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 22:50:23 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 09:08:48 -0400  
Subject: Re: The Black Vault's 10th Anniversary - Maccabee

>From: John Greenewald <[john.nul](mailto:john.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 10:01:28 -0700  
>Subject: The Black Vault's 10th Anniversary

>August 22, 2006, marks a very special day for me. Exactly ten  
>years ago today, the United States Defense Intelligence Agency  
>(DIA) sent me a four page document that started one of the  
>biggest things in my life -- The Black Vault.

<snip>

>Thank you to everyone=85

>And just in case you haven=92t seen it, here is a link to the  
>document that started it all:

><http://www.blackvault.com/documents/ufos/1976iranincident.pdf>

Amusing, because MUFON has just done a two-issue retrospective  
on the IJC (Iran Jet Case). It can also be found on my website with  
more than the DIA document:

<http://brumac.8k.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 26](#)

## 'Eye In The Sky' UFO Montage Video

From: Jerry Hamm <[zoomarl.nul](mailto:zoomarl.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 20:03:24 -0700 (PDT)  
Fwd Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 09:14:18 -0400  
Subject: 'Eye In The Sky' UFO Montage Video

Dear List,

I have uploaded a UFO music video, Eye In The Sky, at  
YouTube.com:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VYZsbPoLb4>

A montage of video clips from various UFO-related TV programs  
and films, accompanied by the song, Eye In The Sky, by The  
Alan Parsons Project

I first made this video in 1990 and have since made a few  
revisions to it.

Inspired by the 1985 HBO documentary titled UFOs: What's Going  
On? - the program that changed my mind regarding UFOs. After  
watching it back in 1986, I spent the next 10 years or so  
studying the literature.

Is anyone or any organization out there, locating and/or  
preserving Ufology's video and motion picture history?

I welcome your comments,

Jerry Hamm

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 26](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 23:03:44 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 09:53:06 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 09:39:27 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>,  
>>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 10:27:11 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

<snip>

>>The fact is that evidence of high-strangeness radar UFOs does  
>>exist, and presumably this is part of the reason that you take  
>>the subject seriously?

>I have two motivations. One is a great concern over the ho-hum  
>attitude of the FAA/industry over aircraft safety. Two, I want  
>to understand how to monitor and track non-prosaci UFOs.

>I have no doubt UFOs exist and that sometimes visual UFOs can be  
>correlated to radar images ...

New Zealand, Dec 1978, case in point  
Also Japan Airlines 1628 Nov. 1986  
Also Iran Jet Case, 1976

>and sometimes there are radar images  
>with no visual component.

Mexican Air Force Marhc 2004 case... the radar UFO detected  
before the FLIR lights.

<snip>

>So what this means is that our ATC radar is not even good enough  
>to pick up regular aircraft, let alone anomalies like  
>missiles/rockets/balloons (which could also be nonprosaic UFOs  
>instead the "prosaic" appearing objects).

>So it bodes somewhat poorly for general detection a non-prosaic  
>UFO class object.

There are 'filters' which reject odd-aircraft. The biggest  
filter is the use of transponders in place of raw radar.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 26](#)

## Meteorite Find Suggests Life On Mars

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 10:02:47 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 10:02:47 -0400  
Subject: Meteorite Find Suggests Life On Mars

Source: The Age - Melbourne, Australia

<http://tinyurl.com/jyohe>

August 25, 2006

Meteorite Find Suggests Life On Mars

John Von Radowitz - London

Possible signs of alien life have been found inside a Martian meteorite.

Scientists have discovered tiny tunnels in the rock that may have been bored by micro-organisms on Mars.

But researchers are cautious after the embarrassment over another Martian meteorite once alleged to contain signs of life.

Ten years ago, scientists from NASA said they had found small rod-like structures in the meteorite that were believed to be fossil bacteria.

But most experts now believe there is no evidence of life in the 1.9 kilogram rock, ALH 84001. The rods and other "biosignatures" could all have been produced by inorganic processes, scientists say.

The new meteorite, called Nakhla, fell to Earth on June 28, 1911, exploding into dozens of fragments over Egypt.

Nakhla was one of the first meteorites confirmed to have come from Mars by its chemical composition.

The meteorite is estimated to be 1.3 billion years old and shows signs of being altered by the water once thought to have flowed on Mars. It spent some 11 million years drifting through space before entering Earth's atmosphere.

Scientists are now quietly taking seriously the possibility that Nakhla contains signs of Martian life, according to a US biology professor writing in New Scientist magazine.

A team led by Martin Fisk at Oregon State University found tiny tubular holes similar to those in volcanic Earth rocks believed to be caused by bacteria or ancient organisms called archaeans.

Averaging one to three micrometres in diameter and up to 100 micrometres long, the tunnels often contain biomolecules such as nucleic acids.

Peter Ward, from the University of Washington in Seattle, wrote: "When Fisk's team examined volcanic minerals inside Nakhla, to their surprise they found small tunnels virtually identical in size and shape to those in Earth rocks."

John McKay, from the Johnson Space Centre in Houston, Texas, later found carbon-rich matter in the rock's tunnels. Analysis suggested that it was carbon originating on Mars =97 an intriguing finding since all known life is based on carbon.

"Those involved have been careful not to get carried away by this new evidence," Dr Ward said.

Professor Fisk and his colleagues have stopped short of claiming the tunnels are proof of life on Mars.

Instead they say the features might be a "useful biosignature" given the abundance of volcanic rock in the solar system.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 26](#)

## Cowboys And UFOs

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 10:10:40 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 10:10:40 -0400  
Subject: Cowboys And UFOs

Source: The Independent - Show Low, Arizona, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/g7obh>

08/25/2006

Mountain Life

Cowboys And UFOs

By Jo Baeza - The Independent

You might think the only things cowboys talk about are horses and cows, but that's not true. First, I'll tell you what they don't talk about. They don't talk about new releases of movies and DVDs, men's fashions, or what wine to serve with dinner. They don't talk about women, either, because their mothers told them it was disrespectful.

What they do talk about, in addition to work, is weather. An Arizona cowboy can remember how much it rained on a certain day in 1964 and where he was at the time. They like to talk about wrecks they've had with horses and other livestock, and show scars to prove it. They tell stories about encounters with wildlife, especially rattlesnakes. Sometimes they even talk about UFOs.

Cowboys, like the general population, are about evenly divided between those who believe in UFOs, those who don't, and those who do but won't admit it. UFOs are a lot like witches and werewolves. An anthropologist once asked a Navajo cowboy if he believed in "skinwalkers." He answered, "I don't believe in those witches, but I think I roped one once."

When we lived on a ranch west of Snowflake, we used to see lights jumping around in a strange manner out on the Mogollon Rim. I know someone who said they saw a huge UFO come up out of the ocean off the coast of Mexico back in the '60s. A lot of people in Whiteriver have seen them, and even taken pictures of them. My Aunt Helen told me aliens had an entire civilization under the North Pole, but that was after she'd had a little stroke.

No UFO talk is complete without mentioning Travis Walton whose abduction made headlines more than 30 years ago. Personally, I've always believed Travis because I couldn't understand why a crew of loggers would make up a story like that knowing it would subject them to ridicule. Something happened on Nov. 5, 1975, near Turkey Springs Point on the Mogollon Rim. We may never know exactly what it was.

During our White Mountains Roundup in July, we had a cookout at Fool Hollow Lake for the cowboy poets, and some of us were sitting around afterward telling stories. A couple of people told about experiences with UFOs. Kent Rollins, a cowboy poet and cook said, "I've slept out in the open all my life and I've

never seen a UFO." But then, Kent lives in Oklahoma. I'm not making any judgements, but you never hear about alien abductions in Oklahoma.

It was a rancher who discovered the wreckage that was so hastily cleaned up by the government near Roswell in 1947. A few years ago, I asked Cordelia Lewis, who ranched in the mountains of New Mexico all her life, what she thought about the Roswell incident. She said, "It's a lot of crap".

I mentioned that I'd never seen anything I really thought was a UFO in 20 summers as a fire lookout for the Forest Service. But then, I was looking for smoke, not UFOs. The only time I had a "close encounter" was right at home in Pinetop maybe 10 years ago.

It was a summer night during the monsoon season. I was already asleep when the phone rang about 10:30. My neighbor Maxine Turnbull was on the line. "Jo, come over here. I want to show you something," she said.

"What is it?" I yawned.

"I don't know what it is. That's why I want you to look at it."

I groaned, got up, put on my robe and slippers and my eyeglasses and shuffled across the street. She was sitting on the front porch looking up at a shining saucer-shaped object hovering low over the neighborhood. I sat down next to her and we kept watching it to see if it would take off. After a long while I got impatient and said, "I'm going to get a closer look."

"Be careful," she said.

It had been raining that evening and the street was still wet. When I got closer, I saw that our UFO was a wet transformer on the power line with the moon reflecting on it. I went back and told her not to bother to wake me up the next time she saw a UFO. She chuckled as only Maxine could chuckle.

Humans have been seeing and recording UFOs for thousands of years all over the world. Those little guys sure get around. Funny, you never hear about aliens abducting a cowboy. Maybe they're afraid they'll get roped, range branded and earmarked.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 26](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 11:29:32 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 10:16:19 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Shough

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprgo.nul](mailto:Choprgo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 07:27:48 EDT  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 09:32:49 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

><snip>

>>...the racket of a 300 HP motor spinning a 26-foot rotor  
>>overhead is not exactly consistent with the total silence in  
>>which the object flew away. So it isn't "simple" - you've got  
>>some justifying to do here. you've still got that rotor noise  
>>etc to worry about.

>OK - if you insist....

>The 'motor' at that time was an Allison turbo-shaft engine rated  
>at 250 SBHP at S.L. ISA conditions. The compressor was about the  
>size of a coffee can and the only source of any significant  
>noise, just a high pitch whine at 100% rpm.

>In fact, it was so quiet that the FAA required not only a  
>flashing red light on the instrument panel, but a very loud  
>Klaxon horn as well, plus an alternating 'on-off' beeper signal  
>to the headsets to alert the pilot of an engine failure.

>I know all about that! We had more than a few of those!

>But to quote the only witness - "it was very windy" ..."I didn't  
>\_hear\_ any noise." Sure doesn't prove there \_wasn't\_ any does it?

>No further comment.

"No further comment"? Hollis you are such a tease. Ring the doorbell and run away, eh? But the idea of a forum like this is exchange of views and information and if you raise topics you must not only expect debate but welcome it, and you should be mature enough and respectful enough to deal head-on with issues that you stir up, not just decide to take your ball home when you don't like the game.

You don't have to agree with anybody. But if you strip out everything from replies but the soft part you think you can get your teeth into and throw the rest away, and if you think people won't notice, or if you continue trying to grab attention with cynically kite-flying ideas you have no intention of backing up, you will be on your own. You'll engage nobody's interest or respect. Nobody will care what you say. You'll find you're in a corner talking to yourself. A waste of time.

Twice I invited you to do what you claimed you wished to do - that is, to eschew "argumentative discussion" about Socorro and "delve into the core" the "nitty gritty" of those "real unexplained cases" you talked about. Twice you've come back with arguments about Socorro! Can't you understand why this would

seem perverse to people? For the third time: Where are these real unexplained cases, and what are they, and how do you propose to defend your conclusions?

But in the meantime, since you persist with Socorro, let's agree that it was indeed pretty breezy. Yes, that southwesterly wind could have carried noise away. Oh, but guess which direction the object was moving away from Zamora in silence? Southwest, into the wind, wind blowing towards the observer. But "you could have heard a pin drop." Sure doesn't prove there was much noise does it?

And you obviously know that engine noise is only a minor component of helicopter noise, but pretend not to. Engine and transmission noise are secondary to low frequency main rotor noise and high frequency tail rotor noise are they not? Yes the Flying Egg rotor was a relatively quiet main rotor in its day, but I'm sure that doesn't mean silent (never mind invisible) from a couple of hundred feet with the wind blowing sound towards you.

The Surveyor had only roughly an 11 ft leg span if I recall, the Socorro imprints (E-W) spanned over 15 ft. The small Surveyor body was basically just an engine with equipment stuck to it. It was never designed to carry anybody. Why would two people be riding an outsize 4-legged prototype (which didn't visually or structurally resemble the 3-legged Surveyor series in any way)? You say the terrain was selected to be lunarlike: Was this one little piece of desert scrub on the outskirts of a town so unique among all the other millions of acres of desert scrub available to Hughes - on test ranges and off - that they just had to risk setting down here in public sight? Why would they do this, given that the test was so secret that the Air Force gave up trying to find out about it? And why would a Surveyor prototype be such a secret in the first place?

These are just a few questions that occur. I can imagine answers to some of them, but imagining is not explaining. Your assertion that the object was an early Surveyor prototype seems categorical and couched in terms to suggest that you have personal knowledge or sources. If so then we'd be interested to here about it. But no more teasing please.

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 26](#)

## Re: Rex Heflin Audio - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 12:06:39 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 10:18:29 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rex Heflin Audio - Shough

>From: Wendy Connors <[fadeddiscs.nul](mailto:fadeddiscs.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[UFOUpdates.nul](mailto:UFOUpdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 22:10:36 -0600  
>Subject: Rex Heflin Audio

>A tape recorded interview with Mr. Heflin the day following the  
>visit by Dr. James McDonald, Dr. Hartle, Dr. Adams, etc. to  
>re-enact and investigate the case to determine if the photos had  
>been faked or not, has been obtained and preserved.

>The interviewer is John Gray, engineer and member of the now  
>defunct, Los Angeles, CA NICAP Sub-Committee. Interview runs 12+  
>minutes.

>Mr. Heflin describes the activity at the scene of where he took  
>the photos in Santa Ana, CA three years earlier. He states that  
>Dr. James E. McDonald talked to his employer and McDonald felt  
>like he (Heflin) had a mental problem because he was a Morman  
>and a polygamist with five wives.

>If you haven't begun to add the recorded voice record to your  
>research, you are missing half of the subject. Visit:

><http://www.fadeddiscs.com>

Thanks Wendy

Maybe I'm not looking properly but I don't see it anywhere at  
the link. Can you tell us which disc in which series?

Martin

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 26](#)

## Re: UFOs Or Not? - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 07:38:50 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 10:21:27 -0400  
Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not? - Lehmborg

>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprigo.nul](mailto:Choprigo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 17:54:06 EDT  
>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 24 Aug 2006 06:57:41 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs Or Not?

>>See, "Vmc" would  
>>have written as "VMC" by a true professional if they had truly  
>>been able to tell the difference... So.

>>There we have it!

>Very good hit Sir! I hope my hard head didn't crack your board.  
>But, for the record for all to see...if I may:

>VMC means VFR, British style - Visual Meteorological Conditions.

>IMC means IFR, British style - Instrument Meteorological  
>Conditions.

>Vmc means Velocity, minimum control, FAA style, commonly  
>referred to as minimum control speed, for twin-engine airplanes  
>with one engine out. -Not a good place to be at lift-off-.

>My face isn't red. Yours?

You know - if it's not it should be... the clear reference to  
the implementation of klasskurtxian shtick was entirely lost  
on you? Singularly underwhelming, Sir, and pathetic given  
you're being slapped snotless elsewhere on the List and only  
slurked over here because you thought you detected a little  
'wobble' room. Sad really... You're not very serious are  
you...

I suspect you should have punched off that aforementioned load,  
eh?

Inadequate Air speed (below Vmc?) ... bereft of ideas, and now a  
'klassic' demonstration of an inability to read to a period?  
UnSat...

Sorry pardner, you're going to have to sign this one. Get a  
train-up and come back for a re-check.

Before you go... How did you define 'real' again, Mr. Kimball?

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog - <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 26](#)

## Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Reason

From: Cathy Reason <[CathyM.nul](mailto:CathyM.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 14:39:01 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 10:23:38 -0400  
Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Reason

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 20:13:35 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>From: Cathy Reason <[CathyM.nul](mailto:CathyM.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 02:31:25 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>The key feature is the lack of reference background against  
>>which relative movement can be judged. You can easily show this  
>>experimentally by setting up an autokinetic experiment and  
>>introducing background reference points - the illusion will be  
>>progressively confined and eventually destroyed.

>I realise that Cathy. I thought it so well known as to go  
>without saying.

That's always a mistake ;-)

<snip>

>>Autokinetic movement is random and the visual system is prone to  
>>extract patterns from random noise. That in itself is  
>>potentially sufficient to generate any given pattern of apparent  
>>movement.

>Is it that simple? ;-)

If your objective is to screen out autokinesis, then yes it is.  
The relevant factor is the quality of the background  
information. The exact form of the movement idescribed is  
irrelevant.

>I agree about the pattern extraction,  
>this is partly what I'm suggesting myself - that description of  
>a figure-8 doesn't have to mean there were literal figure-8  
>motions going on. Any vaguely loopy recursive hunting behaviour  
>in a small bound is going to be characterised by some phrase  
>like this. But I also wonder about the contribution of  
>underlying physiological factors that may not be wholly random  
>and might predispose the "random" eye-motions to be of this  
>general type? See my other speculative mail to David. I'd be  
>interested in your detailed opinion on that. Thanks.

My first thought is to ask, does it really matter all that much?

Observer reports of experimental autokinesis simply describe  
random, unconstrained movement. Of course, since random movement  
is, well, random, if you have enough examples you can always  
find one that matches any given pattern arbitrarily well; on top  
of which we have the usual "join the dots" problem. There may  
some specific physiological effect such as you describe, and it  
might be possible to partial out its effects experimentally. It  
might even be the case that someone has already done this. But  
frankly, I don't see the relevance of this to anything of  
interest. In real-life situations the effect is evidently  
swamped by noise.



But if you think this is really important, the next thing to do is design some sort of experiment to isolate the effect. D'you really think the problem merits this level of analysis?

Cathy

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 26](#)

## Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Reason

From: **Cathy Reason** <[CathyM.nul](mailto:CathyM.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 15:00:07 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 10:26:07 -0400  
Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Reason

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 10:43:24 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 19:15:12 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

If I could interject a word or two here, Gentlemen?

<snip>

>>There are more classic illusions are in 'Light and Vision' -  
>>joint editors C.P. Snow, Prof. Henry Margenau, Prof. Ren=E9  
>>Dubois, which also has on p. 154 - "If by 'correct' we mean that  
>>the visual system gives a true representation of the physical  
>>events in the environment, we must be prepared to place all  
>>visual phenomena in the category of illusion" - (their emphasis)  
>>and, in next paragraph - "An examination of most of the data of  
>>vision would reveal no examples of what could be accurately  
>>called 'correct' perception".

>Hmmm. This I would classify as academic overreaching, or in  
>plainer language, academic bullshit.

It's not BS, it's just out of date. I haven't read the book to which Ray refers, but C P Snow's tenure at the Ministry of Technology lasted from 1964-66 (perhaps Ray could confirm the publication date of the text he is referring to?). Which sounds about right, because the view Ray cites here was pretty much the academic orthodoxy at the time. But of course times change and things move on, and the modern view is much closer to the one David Rudiak has outlined.

This is partly due to the influence of J J Gibson; but mainly to the fact that the focus of research in perception has moved out of psychology and into the neurosciences, where the emphasis is very much on identifying the neural mechanisms which extract information from the visual array - an approach which is implicitly Gibsonian. Unfortunately popular culture, as always, tends to lag about twenty or thirty years behind the actual state of the art.

Cathy

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena

'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 26](#)

## Starchild Project Update 08-25-06

From: Lloyd Pye <[lloyd.nul](mailto:lloyd.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 23:50:18 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 10:30:51 -0400  
Subject: Starchild Project Update 08-25-06

Hi, Everyone:

It's been two months since the last update, when I announced my participation in the filming of a new National Geographic documentary featuring the Starchild. I also announced that we'd finally secured a top New York literary agent to handle the new Starchild book I wrote. This is to bring you up to date on those important developments, along with two others that might be of interest.

First, National Geographic. The show will be a segment on the new "Is It Real?" series they're producing. Its theme will be "Ancient Astronauts." Featured will be Erich Von Daniken, the man who started it all; Zecharia Sitchin, the man who lifted it to another level; and a few other lesser players, including myself, because of my association with the Starchild skull. It is still scheduled to be broadcast in late fall. I will inform this List when I have a confirmed air date.

Second, the book submission process. Well, that's been more complex than I ever imagined. In my younger novel-writing days it was simply a matter of writing the book, sending it in, and hoping for the best. With nonfiction it's a whole different ball game. Instead of merely reading the book, editors want to see a proposal that tells them the reasons you'll be able to sell it for them. I think I've been able to do that to an acceptable level, but it has cost weeks of back-and-forth with the agent as we've honed the entire submission package.

For those who might be interested, that package includes the 80,000 word, 320 page manuscript (double spaced) interspersed in the appropriate places with the 60 black & white illustrations that make the Starchild's story impossible to dismiss with the usual mainstream ridicule. In addition, there was a 12 page overview, a 2 page biography, a table of contents, a mock cover, a CD with the manuscript and the color versions of the black & white illustrations, a DVD with five of my television appearances on it, along with an excerpt of a filmed lecture, and copies of five magazine articles, two about me and three I had written. It has been no cakewalk to pull this all together and make it look as professional as possible.

The bad news is that we finished it two weeks ago, in the first week of August. It turns out August is vacation month for the entire publishing industry. Nobody sends or receives projects. It's down time in a business that for the rest of the year is intensely paced, so nothing starts up again until after Labor Day. My agent, who is also on vacation, has assured me she will get on top of the submissions as soon as she returns to her office full-time. She feels she can have them in the hands of interested editors by the end of September, when it then becomes a matter of determining which one, or ones, are interested in publishing it. As with the National Geographic show, when a book deal is confirmed I'll notify this mailing list.

On the Starchild website, [www.starchildproject.com](http://www.starchildproject.com), Amy has completely reformatted the two long slide shows that used to be there. She's broken them into four smaller units while adding terrific new details to the photos to make the skull's

uniqueness crystal clear. Now they're much more compelling for anyone going through them because they make you FEEL the power of the case we're making with them. Even if you've been through those slides before, check them out now. They're vastly improved from how they used to be.

On a personal note, Amy has created a new feature on [www.lloydpye.com](http://www.lloydpye.com), called "Megaliths." She's taken my voice as I lectured about Megaliths, and tracked it over the slides I use to illustrate what I'm talking about. It's not like viewing a slide show, or a video excerpt. It's short, it's punchy, it's different, and I think anyone who checks it out will get a kick out of it. If you do, and if you have any feedback for Amy, don't hesitate to contact her through me at [lloyd.nul](mailto:lloyd.nul). She won't know if this is worth doing more of unless people let her know yea or nay.

Other than waiting for the book manuscript to find a home in New York, and the National Geographic documentary to be aired, we're battenning down the hatches here in Florida in anticipation of the oncoming hurricane season. Wish us well, as we wish all of you good health and safe travels.

Lloyd & Amy

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 26](#)

## Re: Rex Heflin Audio - Connors

From: Wendy Connors <[fadeddiscs.nul](mailto:fadeddiscs.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 14:27:56 +0000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 10:35:15 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rex Heflin Audio - Connors

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 12:06:39 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Rex Heflin Audio

>>From: Wendy Connors <[fadeddiscs.nul](mailto:fadeddiscs.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates <[UFOUpdates.nul](mailto:UFOUpdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 22:10:36 -0600  
>>Subject: Rex Heflin Audio

<snip>

>><http://www.fadeddiscs.com>

>Maybe I'm not looking properly but I don't see it anywhere at  
>the link. Can you tell us which disc in which series?

It isn't on any compilation yet. I was just informing  
researchers that the recording had been located, restored and  
preserved.

If it is needed by somebody for research, they can query me and  
arrange to get a copy. Eventually, it will be included in a  
compilation in the History Series under Photo Cases, but it will  
be awhile before I get that compilation completed. I currently  
have 3 new compilations in various stages of completion.

Best Always,

Wendy

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 27](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 01:43:12 -0300  
Fwd Date: Sun, 27 Aug 2006 18:42:23 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 10:28:54 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 00:34:46 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>I repeat my question. Given hobbyist launches, its hard to say  
>it is the government's fault (although they really should be  
>guarding airspace better). How can they determine if it is a  
>hobbyist or a nonprosaic UFO unless they investigate? How can  
>they differentiate terrorist cells practice launching a missile  
>(and face it, with the fine resolution laser tracking of  
>aircraft and the possibility of laser guided missiles, this  
>is not something they can ignore) and a hobbyist launching a  
>Homer Hickam type rocket and a non-prosaic UFO?

Sorry, James, your response slipped by me. There are probably  
rogue rocketeers out there but for the most part they check with  
the local airports to either make sure they are outside the zone  
if there is one or have NOTAMS posted. But I see what you are  
saying/asking, if a rocket is reported by a pilot, how can ATC  
ignore it?

>>>I searched the satellite databases pretty thoroughly during  
>>>that day and could not see any weird contrails. If you have an  
>>>exact time of day and locations where people saw the thing, I  
>>>can relook for you.

>>I'll look it up, but it's a broad timeline from Chicago to  
>>Thunderbay, Ontario estimate.

>That's alright. If its within a few hours. Maybe it could show  
>up in infrared using GOES.

Well if you do come across something, I'd appreciate the  
information.

>>>>NASA supports this data-base. Dick once told me that out  
>>>>of the 630,000 incident reports in the data-base about  
>>>>36,000 of them are UFO reports. Pilots use ASRS to  
>>>>whistleblow on their industry about unsafe incidents that  
>>>>they couldn't tell their own superiors or complain to the  
>>>>companies about, and there is lots to complain about; and  
>>>>it's going to get worse as airlines cut corners.

>>>Dr. Haines deduces that the 36000 are related to UFOs, but  
>>>its really not that conclusive. Alot of cases of unknown  
>>>aircraft that don't show up on radar, but the pilots usually  
>>>don't say they aren't aircraft. Sometimes balloons or missiles.

>>Here's a thing. I receive daily incident reports for Canada,  
>>usually about 55 - 75. They range from birdstrikes to runway  
>>incursions to CARS infractions, ELT hits to TCAS alerts. It  
>>the latter that I find interesting, the TCAS alerts. These  
>>are set off by the "other" airplanes Transponder if it has

>>one. The sensitivity is set for pickup  
>>at about 5 miles and are receiving transponder codes from  
>>"channels" 1200 to 7700. In 30 percent of the cases the TCAS  
>>goes off with no aircraft transponding in the vicinity, not  
>>at 5 miles 7 miles or 10 miles.

>Then how does the TCAS go off if no aircraft is transponding?  
>Do you mean that no aircraft is visible?

No, the aircraft doesn't have to be visible in any case. But that's what bothers me, why do they go off. I'm sure, as Martin says, that the law of averages dictate that there will be bogus alerts induced possibly by spurious bursts of microwaves on that frequency, or more likely aircraft on the ground that have their transponders on-and this could be just a grass field somewhere out in the country- that are out of range of ATC or "in the grass" for ATC's radar, but I check these every day and there are usually a couple where it just doesn't make any sense. The aircraft are in the middle of nowhere with no other aircraft present and nothing on the ground that could be responsible. I should qualify as well that it's highly unlikely that an aircraft on the ground will set off the TCAS in an airliner that's at 3 miles or more. The reason for this is the position of the antenna. It's mounted on the belly of the airplane and when it's taxiing on the ground most of that microwave energy is likely going to be soaked up by it. Aircraft at large, busy airports don't activate their their Transponders until they are climbing away from the airport otherwise there will be a big clump of light on the center of each controllers screen-particularly on the raw radar skin paints in the tower. They do a test which is instrument internal then set the Xponder on Stand-by and then turn it active when they are out a short distance. The transponder is putting out a weaker signal than that it spurts back at the radar when it's queried. It's that weaker signal that the other aircraft key on and then the stronger signal the radar query excites. TCAS is like a DME [distance measurement equipment]and can sense the proper distance and even direction and activates a warning in the affected aircraft. In practice then both aircraft should then have the same response, but that doesn't happen usually.

So like yourself I'm curious as to what triggers these responses in areas where there is nothing to set them off.

>>I save them because I wonder what's setting them off. An  
>>airliner's TCAS will usually recommend the course of action  
>>[turn tight or left to climb or descend immediately] and the  
>>pilot will comply, usually at that point busting his/her  
>>clearances which he or she has to explain immediately upon  
>>assuring themselves that they aren't about to hit another  
>>aircraft.

>>The other is GPW alerts (Ground Proximity Warnings) which is a  
>>microwave/radar piece of equipment. There was enough of these on  
>>the North America Pacific coast to cause concern a couple of  
>>years ago. They were giving warnings while airliners were at  
>>altitudes of 30 and 40,000 feet and well above any terrain.  
>>What caused this has never been resolved.

>These are interesting.

>>I don't know what the heck these things are using for  
>>propulsion but one has to wonder if there is a serious and  
>>strong microwave component to it. TCAS alerts an evoked a  
>>violent maneuver by the pilots as can GPW alerts to avoid  
>>collision and injure passengers and/or the flight crew as well.  
>>It's serious.

>>Clearly there is data out there showing non-prosaic UFOs  
generate high power magnetic/RF fields. But the frequency range is a question. If the entire spectrum of RF was swamped with some transient burst of signal (like a lightning burst), I doubt that the TCAS would go off. Doesn't it require some precise coded signal, probably digital?

Well it's in the microwave range that we'd be looking. The equipment is digital and relies on GPS and the blind altimeter in each aircraft sending out altitude and GPS information that lets the TCAS know where the other aircraft is where it's going in relation to your airplane and it's altitude and distance from you. They are getting more and more sophisticated each year. You



can buy one for \$300.00 US now for light airplanes that give the pilot a warning of nearby aircraft but doesn't flashback reciprocal info to that nearby aircraft. It's receive only.

>>>Can you explain why so many cases are reported in which  
>>>aircraft cannot be painted by ATC radar?

>>I'm assuming you are referring to these "rockets" or  
>>"missiles" reported by pilots to ATC who cannot see them. A  
>>pilot reports such an object as a rocket or a missile and ATC  
>>can't see it so that seems to make it all right, but it isn't  
>>all right if this is a conventional aircraft that is where it's  
>>not supposed to be.

>Well, you can include missiles/rockets/balloons of course into  
>the mix of stuff that cannot be painted by ATC but there are  
>lots of other cases of apparently normal aircraft which the ATC  
>call unknowns which they cannot paint.

>Here are a couple examples.

>-----

<snip>

>>I can't begin to tell you how much heat comes to bear on the  
>>pilot of a airplane in controlled airspace that is violating  
>>the vertical and lateral clearances, is in that airspace  
>>without clearance and without at least a Mode C transponder.  
>>Not only private aircraft but commercial aircraft blunder into  
>>places they haven't been cleared. But freaking rockets and  
>>missiles, even weather balloons being fired or released into  
>>scarosant airspace, geez I've got a real problem with that  
>>kind of sloppiness.

>But it seems to happen all the time with regular type aircraft  
>(that are unknown).

Weeeeell, not to pick on the air force, but they do sneak around commercial aircraft from time to time. They get away with it too. They are not necessarily squawking transponder codes, in fact it is likely off and they do intercepts for practice. I read a book by the pilot of a F-4 Phantom some years ago and he described how they intercepted a B-747 [forget now what airline] at night over the North Atlantic. At first it was a confusion over a flight planned aircraft and the 4 F-4s were checking it out, but he went on to say that they quickly identified the airliner then spent a few minutes playing tag around the back of the 747 delighting in the fact that they could be this close without the crew or passengers being aware, then they reduced power, fell back then went supersonic back to shore. I've seen the AF up here do things I'm not allowed to do in an airplane all in the name of security. But even if the pilot is caught out, just try and get the ATC shift supervisor to make a complaint to the AF pilots base OC. Never happens. But they really don't know much about general aviation anyway and the rules we have to abide by.

>>I know some will say, "But they release weather balloons at  
>>airports." Yeah they do and it's the safest place for them  
>>because right above an airport is the open area where hardly  
>>any aircraft fly and even then if they do they are not  
>>allowed below a certain altitude.

>Plus these type of ballons are designed to burst below cruise  
>altitude.

One hopes.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 27](#)

## Re: Confidentiality Honour & Duty - Freeman

From: Kelly Freeman <[Khfflsciufu.nul](mailto:Khfflsciufu.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 10:39:02 EDT  
Fwd Date: Sun, 27 Aug 2006 18:43:27 -0400  
Subject: Re: Confidentiality Honour & Duty - Freeman

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 08:47:50 EDT  
>Subject: Confidentiality Honour & Duty

<snip>

>Ufology has it's critics who sit like vultures awaiting the  
>smallest error. Let one ufologist goof up on something and 'all'  
>ufologists are labeled and lambasted. I've had to learn again  
>that sometimes a trusted acquaintance can be nothing more but a  
>wolf in sheep's clothing.

>Glad I had one eye open this time.

Hello Greg,

Yeah man, it's called maintaining the status quo. Keep both those eyes open, peeled and focused for all those lurking in our midst awaiting the opportunity to discredit those who choose to search for the truth about UFOs.

Hell, even family members are subject to the convenience of resorting to the comfortable feeling that the whole arena of the UFO/paranormal require nothing more than an ignorant dismissal, off-handed remark or stab of ridicule.

Trust no one, live for the truth.

Peace is out there,

Kelly

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 27](#)

## Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 15:46:17 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sun, 27 Aug 2006 18:46:29 -0400  
Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Dickenson

>From: Cathy Reason <[CathyM.nul](mailto:CathyM.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 14:39:01 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

<snip>

>If your objective is to screen out autokinesis, then yes it is.  
>The relevant factor is the quality of the background  
>information. The exact form of the movement idescribed is  
>irrelevant.

<snip>

>Observer reports of experimental autokinesis simply describe  
>random, unconstrained movement. Of course, since random movement  
>is, well, random, if you have enough examples you can always  
>find one that matches any given pattern arbitrarily well; on top  
>of which we have the usual "join the dots" problem. There may  
>some specific physiological effect such as you describe, and it  
>might be possible to partial out its effects experimentally. It  
>might even be the case that someone has already done this. But  
>frankly, I don't see the relevance of this to anything of  
>interest. In real-life situations the effect is evidently  
>swamped by noise.

<snip>

>But if you think this is really important, the next thing to do  
>is design some sort of experiment to isolate the effect. D'you  
>really think the problem merits this level of analysis?

Hi Cathy,

By coincidence someone recently asked advice on what might be exactly that. Here's part of her original mail asking about a 'bouncing moon' -

-----

Sent: Tuesday, August 22, 2006 9:46 PM  
Subject: The Bouncing Moon

<snip>

We sat in the dark field for hours, regarding the moon. "Look," would cry Heather (or Elaine), "Did you see that one? It practically leapt out of its rotation!" And the three of us would all concur.

Heather, Elaine and I all are college educated women. We are not imbibers of drink or drugs. We sat in the fields for several hours, viewing a phenomenon none of us had ever been privy to before.

-----

I am at a loss to explain what we saw. Any other folks out there seeing bouncing moons, or tendering a possible explanation? >>

Got the impression she was in mid-west USA, large dark sky, low flat horizon, few or no close reference points.

Cheers

Ray D

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 27](#)

## Re: Cowboys And UFOs - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 11:31:20 EDT  
Fwd Date: Sun, 27 Aug 2006 18:48:18 -0400  
Subject: Re: Cowboys And UFOs - Gammon

>Source: The Independent - Show Low, Arizona, USA

><http://tinyurl.com/g7obh>

>08/25/2006

>Mountain Life

>Cowboys And UFOs

>By Jo Baeza - The Independent

>You might think the only things cowboys talk about are horses  
>and cows, but that's not true. First, I'll tell you what they  
>don't talk about. They don't talk about new releases of movies  
>and DVDs, men's fashions, or what wine to serve with dinner.  
>They don't talk about women, either, because their mothers told  
>them it was disrespectful.

>What they do talk about, in addition to work, is weather. An  
>Arizona cowboy can remember how much it rained on a certain day  
>in 1964 and where he was at the time. They like to talk about  
>wrecks they've had with horses and other livestock, and show  
>scars to prove it. They tell stories about encounters with  
>wildlife, especially rattlesnakes. Sometimes they even talk  
>about UFOs.

>Cowboys, like the general population, are about evenly divided  
>between those who believe in UFOs, those who don't, and those  
>who do but won't admit it. UFOs are a lot like witches and  
>werewolves. An anthropologist once asked a Navajo cowboy if he  
>believed in "skinwalkers." He answered, "I don't believe in  
>those witches, but I think I roped one once."

<snip>

I am born and raised in Oklahoma and have heard from dozens of  
people who claim they were abducted by Aliens. The topic, as  
well as interviews with native Oklahomans, has even been  
occasionally featured on local news stations.

- Jason Gammon

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 27](#)

## Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 16:54:30 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sun, 27 Aug 2006 18:50:03 -0400  
Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Shough

>From: Cathy Reason <[CathyM.nul](mailto:CathyM.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 14:39:01 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 20:13:35 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>>From: Cathy Reason <[CathyM.nul](mailto:CathyM.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 02:31:25 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

<snip>

>>>Autokinetic movement is random and the visual system is prone to  
>>>extract patterns from random noise. That in itself is  
>>>potentially sufficient to generate any given pattern of apparent  
>>>movement.

>>Is it that simple? ;-)

>If your objective is to screen out autokinesis, then yes it is.  
>The relevant factor is the quality of the background  
>information. The exact form of the movement idescribed is  
>irrelevant.

That would be my instinct too. However David Rudiak (who apparently is not going to join us) opined that it is relevant, saying that a description of a repeated apparent "figure 8" motion was very unlikely to be autokinetic. Wishing to pursue this I discovered that there is academic uncertainty and disagreement about what the mechanism of autokinesis even is. Hence my questions and speculations and possibly amusing attempt to construct a heuristic analogy by likening efferent v. afferent motion sensing to the difference between tracking radar and surveillance radar modes.

>>I agree about the pattern extraction,  
>>this is partly what I'm suggesting myself - that description of  
>>a figure-8 doesn't have to mean there were literal figure-8  
>>motions going on. Any vaguely loopy recursive hunting behaviour  
>>in a small bound is going to be characterised by some phrase  
>>like this. But I also wonder about the contribution of  
>>underlying physiological factors that may not be wholly random  
>>and might predispose the "random" eye-motions to be of this  
>>general type? See my other speculative mail to David. I'd be  
>>interested in your detailed opinion on that. Thanks.

>My first thought is to ask, does it really matter all that much?

Not all that much, but opinions have been expressed that are possibly arguable, it is a phenomenon often invoked in UFO cases and it appears to be not very well understood at all. I think some clarification would be nice.

>Observer reports of experimental autokinesis simply describe



>random, unconstrained movement.

OK, thanks, so this has been investigated and no measurable systematic behaviour has been found experimentally. That's a clear answer to one question.

>Of course, since random movement  
>is, well, random, if you have enough examples you can always  
>find one that matches any given pattern arbitrarily well; on top  
>of which we have the usual "join the dots" problem. There may  
>some specific physiological effect such as you describe, and it  
>might be possible to partial out its effects experimentally. It  
>might even be the case that someone has already done this.

This suggests that maybe the above answer is not quite so conclusive?

>But frankly, I don't see the relevance of this to anything of  
>interest. In real-life situations the effect is evidently  
>swamped by noise.

>But if you think this is really important, the next thing to do  
>is design some sort of experiment to isolate the effect. D'you  
>really think the problem merits this level of analysis?

I agree the real-life effects are likely to be very "noisy", but it could still be an interesting issue partly for that very reason (for specialists only, I grant you). Does anyone know what the components of that noise really are? Can lab experiments properly model the psychological, physiological, environmental factors in real world situations? Possibly by excluding what are assumed to be extraneous factors experimenters are leaving out important systematic effects that are masked in the aggregate and suppressed in the lab but do show up in the extremes. Possibly a study of the effect in UFO reports could be useful to somebody?

And then for ufology, there do seem to be cases (like the one that started this thread and others much more striking) where behaviour that does sort of sound uneasily like autokinesis passes through that filter because a reliable witness describes movements just a bit too regular or a bit too large. Might be useful to know where the limits really do lie for observer reports of autokinesis "in the wild" so to speak instead of transferring possibly-non-transferable results from laboratory situations or just guessing. Is there any work relevant to this do you know?

Not earth-shattering stuff maybe, but a little bit interesting.

Martin

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 27](#)

## Re: Sheriffs Seek UFO Possibly With Soybeans

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 17:02:45 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sun, 27 Aug 2006 18:54:01 -0400  
Subject: Re: Sheriffs Seek UFO Possibly With Soybeans

>Source: ABC-TV 7 - Chicago, Illinois, USA

><http://abclocal.go.com/wls/story?section=local&id=4495310>

>August 25, 2006

>Sheriffs Seek UFO Possibly With Soybeans Attached

<snip>

>BLT has been investigating crop circles for 15 years.

>President Nancy Talbott says the group believes low-energy  
>plasma from outer space causes the crop formations.

Does anyone on the List with knowledge of crop-circle studies  
know what this means, or is supposed to mean? Are we in Condign  
territory again here?

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 27](#)

## Re: Kinross Solved? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 13:25:13 -0300  
Fwd Date: Sun, 27 Aug 2006 18:56:29 -0400  
Subject: Re: Kinross Solved? - Ledger

>From: Gord Heath <[gheath.nul](mailto:gheath.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 15:13:03 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Kinross Solved?

>For what its worth, I wish to add my comments on the  
>information provided by Great Lakes Dive Company on their  
>website regarding their side scan sonar search for the USAF  
>F-89C missing on a mission from Kinross AFB, MI on the night  
>of Nov. 23, 1953.

>Since the GLDC is engaged in continuing surveys of the site,  
>it is important to wait until the have obtained better  
>resolution data before we start jumping to conclusions.  
>My first reaction to the images posted on the website was  
>incredulity, wondering if it might be a joke in very bad  
>taste.

>Since hearing more about the work they are doing, I am hedging  
>more to seeing this as a possible discovery of the missing  
>F-89.

>Don Ledger has expressed his views that this might be a P-51  
>rather than an F-89. Although I can see some of his  
>observations, I don't think there is enough detail in either  
>picture to tell where the canopy begins and ends. Yes, in the  
>F- 89, the pilot sat almost right on top of the front edge of  
>the wing. In the low res image, it looks to me that the front  
>part of the canopy is well ahead of the front edge of the  
>wing. In both images, it looks like the the nose is buried in  
>the lakebed (or silted over). I performed several checks and  
>measurements on the images versus a model F-89C, and I found  
>most of the general measurements and details seem to match  
>quite closely. If you look at the first "low-res" image, you  
>can clearly see that the horizontal "wing/stabilizer" on the  
>tail is in fact about half way up the vertical stabilizer,  
>exactly like the F-89. (Note: try to print out the image, and  
>then look at it rotated 90 degrees to the right).  
>On the basis of what I have been able to check from these two  
>images, I would think it quite likely that this is an F-89,  
>and if it is an F-89 and was found in this location, then this  
>implies it was the F-89 missing from Kinross. (Unless some  
>joker has gone to the expense of dropping another F-89 or  
>similar model on the lakebed at this location).

Hi Gord,

You and others must be looking at better quality images than I  
am. At any rate, it would be good for the family of the two  
airmen if this mystery was put to rest. For their sake I hope  
the Great Lakes outfit have found the missing aircraft. But it  
will still be a puzzle as to how it survived in such a  
condition. Just one caution. Side scans can and do frequently  
produce acoustic shadows that blend in with the return.

I don't want to come across as being married to the P-51 theory  
so I'm not going to get into anymore discussion on speculation.  
There are a couple of points that you brought up that I could  
dispute but I'll let it lie until the company positive IDs the

aircraft. Several years ago I'd wondered if hydrate gas might have been the culprit in this episode. I pretty much discounted that in my own mind for a couple of reasons. The object was tracked from Soo Locks and the gas just wouldn't have stay in a bubble for that long, or for more than a few seconds for that matter. It quickly disperses. And considering the windy weather conditions that night a gas couldn't have made it past the lake's surface in a cohesive form.

I couldn't get data on methane hydrate reflectivity effect on radar. But I did find out that such gas's detrimental effect [in air] would have more to do with it's percentage ratio to the air it's dispersing through causing two things; loss of lift and loss of combustion in reciprocating engines and its reduced density would cause compressor stalls in air breathers. Strangely it would be less likely to cause an explosion than one would think. In water it causes an even greater loss of buoyancy and is likely the cause of many ship disappearances in the so-called Bermuda Triangle.

I've wondered about various aircraft disappearances over the great lakes and it's relationship to releases of methane in the lake beds when disturbances exposed pockets of frozen hydrate strata to the lake waters. But that's another topic.

Don Ledger

Best,

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 27](#)

Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' -

From: **Stuart Miller** <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 17:28:40 +0100 (BST)  
Fwd Date: Sun, 27 Aug 2006 18:59:44 -0400  
Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' -

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 10:09:52 +0100  
>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:45:42 +0100 (BST)  
>>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 15:09:19 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>>>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:05:08 -0500 (CDT)  
>>>>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>>>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>>>>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 08:44:29 -0400  
>>>>>Subject: UFO UpDate: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>>>>Source: The South Wales Echo - U.K.

>>>>><http://tinyurl.co.uk/owez>

<snip>

>>Martin,

>>At the admitted risk of cries of the pot calling the kettle  
>>black, I can understand Tim Shell mocking this story because  
>>he doesn't seem to take anything seriously anymore but with  
>>you,I'm rather surprised.

>>You do know who Professor Wickramasinghes is, don't you? Does  
>>the name Sir Fred Hoyle, scrapyard, working 747 mean  
>>anything?

>>He is essentially the co-founder, along with Fred Hoyle, of  
>>modern astrobiology, and a very brave man (and an extremely  
>>clever one too) who for years had to put up with ridicule and  
>>disbelief until the inevitable happened and the blow-hards  
>>finally swung round to gradual recognition. It may or may not  
>>fall in with what you recognise or think but panspermia is  
>>now the generally accepted manner by which life started on  
>>this planet.

>>If you have other theories on this subject, I would be very  
>>interested in hearing them.

Hello Martin,

Firstly apologies for the delay in replying.

>As so often I'm totally baffled by the responses that remarks  
>on this List can generate. I applaud your chivalrous instinct  
>in leaping into the breach, but I'm at a loss to understand  
>how a small opportunistic joke at the expense of the Condign  
>author - if anyone - can be interpreted as an attack on the  
>theory of panspermia and a disparaging of the career and  
>reputation of Chandra Wickramasinghe and, by association  
>apparently, that of the late, great Fred Hoyle as well.

I am sorry if I appeared a bit precious about it all.

>To turn the question around, you are familiar with Condign  
>aren't you? The anti-ET notion that many UFOs are "dusty  
>plasmas" caused by meteors, that is to say plasmas laced or  
>contaminated with particulate residues of the meteors that  
>caused them, and so having unusual properties of charge  
>distribution, motion, stability and persistence?

No, I'm not. I am of course aware of the Condign report but not  
the fact that it is an anti ET notion because of the theories  
offered in that report in connection with plasma. You see, if a  
cloud of plasma can appear to form itself into the shape of what  
many of us would recognise as a non terrestrial craft, and can  
zip about in what has appeared in the past to be in an  
intelligent and controlled manner, then it is not a major leap  
in my favoured mind that that plasma cloud may well be ET.

>It amused me to be able to bring ET back into Condign via the  
>back door, that's all. Just a little surreal frivolity,  
>Stuart.

>It's usually me who gets accused of lacking humour, which  
>doesn't surprise any more - but I'm a bit surprised at you!

Okay, but don't try it again too soon. It disturbs the natural  
order of the world when scientists display humour.

Stuart

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 27](#)

## Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' -

From: **Stuart Miller** <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 18:26:03 +0100 (BST)  
Fwd Date: Sun, 27 Aug 2006 19:01:32 -0400  
Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' -

>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 20:14:58 -0500 (CDT)  
>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 16:45:42 +0100 (BST)  
>>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 15:09:19 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>>>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:05:08 -0500 (CDT)  
>>>>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

Hi Tim,

Before I go any further, I insist you answer the following questions first:

Are you related to Paul Kimball?

Is Bob Shell related to Hollis?

Tell us.

>>>>>Source: The South Wales Echo - U.K.

>>>>><http://tinyurl.co.uk/owez>

>>>>>Aug 16 2006

>>>>>Huh? Life just spontaneously arose out of interstellar  
>>>>>dust? I'd like to see how that happened.

>>>>I think the idea is that primitive life could arise in space  
>>>>(maybe in clouds of organic chemicals) or survive being  
>>>>blown into space somehow from a planetary surface, and  
>>>>then be brought down through the atmosphere on fine  
>>>>meteoritic dust or something like this. Hang on a minute -  
>>>>those Condign "dusty plasmas"! They're inhabited and flown  
>>>>by intelligent alien microbes!

>>I can understand Tim Shell mocking this story because he  
>>doesn't seem to take anything seriously anymore but with you,  
>>I'm rather surprised.

>Not true, not true! I take plenty of things seriously. And  
>even my mocking is generally used to illuminate a bit of  
>faulty logic or absurdity.

It is true Tim. When were you last sensible? Tell us.

>>It may or may not fall in with what you recognise or think  
>>but panspermia is now the generally accepted manner by which  
>>life started on this planet.

>Yes, of course, but is he talking about panspermia, or is he  
>talking about life itself forming (I suppose at random) in an  
>interstellar cloud?

He's a panspermia specialist.

>The idea of panspermia is interesting, but it always begs the  
>question, "Okay, where did that meteor or comet with the  
>bacteria on it come from?" Life had to start somewhere before  
>it could spread.

You see, I knew you couldn't be serious for too long! I'm just checking here rhetorically so to speak but you'd like me to tell you how life began, would you? Where and when and how the first living "thing" ever appeared anywhere, any time, ever ever? Ever?? OK. But it involves causing offence to a number of people and a Nobel Prize, but Bilweb did it. There is no significance in the choice of that name btw. He's always been here. I know you probably can't get past that but you just have to. It is a sign of your development and maturity as an adult if you can take that point on board. Bilweb creates life when he wants to. He pushes a few gas clouds in a certain direction and then basically sits back and waits. We call it directed panspermia. He's never in a hurry and it's all very cleverly thought out before hand. We call that intelligent design. He's been there, done that and apparently its fun to watch which way it all goes, so I'm told!

>And I think interstellar dust would be a strange place for it  
>to have started, what with all the nasty radiation and lack  
>of water and stuff like that.

I agree the dust is a bit of a strange one but it's got something to do with the breeze blocks of Life. The comet thingy bit has the water. I'm not sure about the radiation bits but apparently it all really works.

>>If you have other theories on this subject, I would be very  
>>interested in hearing them.

>Life, the structure of which is expressed molecularly through  
>DNA, is a result of a strong observing intelligence, either in  
>the present or future,

I've interrupted you here mid flow just as you've launched off on one because I just want to say that up to this point, I agree with you. I think the "observing intelligence" has interferred with our DNA development in the past and may do so again as well.

>"imagining" the form into being in the past, collapsing a  
>quantum wave function with a combination of something akin to  
>remote viewing and micro-PK. The direct manipulation of  
>matter through consciousness (happens all the time), and a  
>reverse-temporal photon reaction (perfectly acceptable per  
>Feynman, et al.)

As far as alternative science goes, it's as sound as a pound.

>The universe itself became actual, rather than virtual, that  
>same way, which resolves the question of why the forces and  
>dimensions in the universe are "luckily" balanced in such a  
>way that we're able to exist in it.

>The rich, slimy, protected organic muck of Earth was a dandy  
>place for life to come together, no comets required. Whether  
>it happened on other similarly disposed planets has yet to be  
>proven.

Just on this last point about the muck, can I say that the chances of any life crawling out of this muck are about as likely as, ooh, let's see, a hurricane passing through a junkyard and leaving behind a fully functioning 747.

I go with it's in the gas clouds and its pretty much everywhere.



Stuart

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 27](#)

## Are 'Rods' Solid-State Aircraft?

From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 14:16:54 EDT  
Fwd Date: Sun, 27 Aug 2006 19:02:46 -0400  
Subject: Are 'Rods' Solid-State Aircraft?

Hello List,

Not too long ago I brought up the topic of 'Solid-State Aircraft', with regards to it's similarity with the supposed wreckage from the Roswell Crash, as described by witnesses.

I've sense had one of those 'A-Ha!' reactions, where a light bulb goes on above someone's head, symbolizing the formation of a novel idea.

As readers may know, Solid-State Aircrafts employ metallic resins as the propulsion force. The metallic resin is sensitive to electrical currents, causing it to move/bend in a particular and predictable manner.

Now, imagine a small craft with a flap of this material extending and covering the entire horizontal plane. Such a craft would fly by 'undulation' of the metallic resin. It would literally 'swim' through the air.

Assuming 'Rods' are indeed unidentified flying objects, could this be the secret of their identity/propulsion, implying they are actually Solid-State Aircraft?

By the way, my predictions with regard to the metallic resins utilized by Solid-State Aircraft, is that it will completely revolutionize the world of both aeronautics and medicine. Can you image artificial hearts being produced by this substance?

For More Information On Solid-State Aircrafts, Visit The Following Site:

<http://tinyurl.com/motdj>

- Jason Gammon

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 27](#)

## Re: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is -

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 11:38:07 -0700 (PDT)  
Fwd Date: Sun, 27 Aug 2006 19:03:48 -0400  
Subject: Re: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is -

>From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 16:26:41 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is

>>From: Hollis Kimball <[Choprigo.nul](mailto:Choprigo.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 13:05:00 EDT  
>>Subject: Putting Their Money Where Their Mouth Is

<snip>

>>My point here? Scientists are interested in ETs, so much so  
>>that they are 'putting their money where their mouth is'.

>So interested they didn't equip one of the Mars rovers with life  
>detection capability?

Thanks Eleanor for that needed dose of common sense! It is  
extremely telling of NASA to not, at least, mention that issue.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 27](#)

## Are We Living In A Dark Age?

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 20:51:08 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sun, 27 Aug 2006 19:07:43 -0400  
Subject: Are We Living In A Dark Age?

Hello List - got a question for you. Are we living in a dark-age? And how would you know?

It's always been hard to see the reality of your own times, and it's getting much harder.

Media control is more concentrated, and has huge influence over 'fashionable opinion', shifting our perceptions without the knowledge of the general population. Yet "25% of Americans believe that the Sun goes around the Earth"

<http://tinyurl.com/s6vfr>

and powerful campaigns of mindless ridicule have been operating for decades - for so long they're regarded as 'normal' by most people.

So I give some time to checking reports and publications from periods when things seemed different, more honest and maybe more free. (Yes, you've noticed, Cathy.)

About fifty to a hundred years ago, although the science was cruder, at least it had half a chance of being honestly reported. Only half-a-chance because even then old professors had to die before new ideas were welcome. But now the old professors have been taken-over and largely made redundant by the iron hand of government censorship of science.

Actually the latest thing I read which really resounded with sincerity and frankness - not bowing down to establishment fashion - was James Jeans' 'New Background of Science' (mid-1940's), although one or two moderns have felt confident enough (and now safe enough) to get near, maybe Lee Smolin in 'The Life of the Cosmos' is the main one for me.

Consider

<http://tinyurl.com/eza6r>

re: Bonilla's massive sighting;

<http://tinyurl.com/pgklf>

re: Short, Cassini, Rodkier, Horrebow seeing an orbiter by Venus; and

<http://tinyurl.com/jbvsz>

- re: more reports and comments from a time when scientist were more independent, often privately funded, and fairly free of the dead hand of bureaucracy and government.

You might say - 'So - many old sightings were made by professional astronomers - why's that interesting'?

Well - considering the expected statistical trend, with much more astronomy being done, by vastly more sensitive detection, we should be reading of many more 'craft seen passing the Sun or Moon', or occulting an observed star. But we don't!

And, looking at governments' (rather surprising) determination to censor 'results' (and fire/blacklist whistleblowers), we can't be surprised that credible reports from professionals have dried up.

A few heroes stand out in recent years - Hoyle, Wickramasinghe, and, just now, Halton Arp are the ones for me (if you've any more I'd welcome knowing them). But they've suffered for their independence.

Hoyle alone had earned two, maybe three or four Nobels but was never publicly acknowledged, he was always offending the 'powers-that-be' by speaking out and by not kow-towing to the elite ('Oxbridge' in the UK). If you'd like to know Hoyle's character, some friends say he wrote much of himself into the hero of 'The Black Cloud' - a great story]

Even when working with Wickramasinghe they were both still under observation and pressures from above. A recent (and great) interview by Stuart Miller produced some surprising revelations - try:

<http://tinyurl.com/ppvqx>

find "police".

Halton Arp I'll leave to speak for himself, if you're interested enough to click a link:

<http://www.quackgrass.com/roots/arp.html>

for news of 'Seeing Red: Redshifts, Cosmology and Academic Science'. There's hints of 'doctoring' of star-shots to fit NASA's preferred doctrine and of harsh denial of observing time.

In fact now it seems that slick announcements are choreographed and released only after lip-service is paid to the various sacred cows - "big-bang", "black-holes", the "standard model" and the "redshift-diktat" are only some of them. This despite the lack of firm (and agreeing) evidence for those, and the accumulating evidence for rather different scenarios in several fields.

BTW - just now getting inklings of apparent re-writing of various science results. The suspects are not only N.A.S.A (of course) but what look like concerted campaigns censoring stuff published by supposedly impartial third-parties, like Wikipedia. Facts are thin on the ground but hope to have more quite soon.

Recently I asked if you could now expect honest reporting of, say, some landings in capital cities?

Well, here's another couple of naive questions -

What happened in Washington in '52?

<http://tinyurl.com/qbses>

find "july 1952"

And over Rome (Vatican) in the autumn of '54?

<http://tinyurl.com/kkmfu>

Nothing of any importance?

And nothing since?

Cheers

Ray D

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 27](#)

## Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 13:18:06 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sun, 27 Aug 2006 19:10:26 -0400  
Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Rudiak

>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 20:33:07 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 10:43:24 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

><snip>

>>That's very different from your original statement that  
>>literally nothing was ever reliably perceived and we could never  
>>trust our eyes, which is total, unmitigated B.S.

><snip>

>Slow down David, you're getting heated, slightly incoherent and  
>somewhat contradictory.

>Let's take your ramblings on color: color is only the frequency  
>of light reaching your eyes.

Sorry Ray, that's a very old notion, but it has also been proven completely false. Perceiving color is much more complicated than that. Your statement will only be true if you view something in total isolation with a black background, i.e., remove all reference to a surrounding environment. (Something you do further below do justify your frequency definition of "color" in a circular argument.)

However, in real world scenes, not reductionist and artificial lab experiments, color is NOT simply the frequency of light reaching your eyes. If that were the case, there would be no color constancy. Perceived color would be constantly shifting with the wavelength of illumination. A "green" apple might look red in "white" sunlight, but bathe it in "red" ambient light and it would look red and bathe it in blue light and would become blue. Without color or other perceptual constancies, we would live in a very confusing, constantly shifting visual world, one that would be difficult if not impossible to survive in.

Take the following experiment, which has been carried out many times. Make up a test array of colors using various color patches (not familiar objects). Use three different photometers, each with a different color filter ("red" or long wavelength, "green" or midwavelength, "blue" or short wavelength), to objectively measure the amount of light reflected off each patch in each wavelength. Have identical color filters over three light sources so that you can adjust the amount of each wavelength illuminating the scene.

By adjusting the three light sources, it is possible to make a particular patch of color reflect the same amount in the three different wavelengths as another color in another set of illumination. But the perceived color does NOT change. E.g., suppose we illuminate the scene in the following two ways and look at the light reflected off a perceived "red" patch and

"green" patch:

Light illumination:

"RED": 50 "GREEN": 50 "BLUE": 50 ("White" light)

"Red" patch reflected light:

"RED": 50 "GREEN": 20 "BLUE": 10

"Green" patch reflected light:

"RED": 20 "GREEN": 50 "BLUE": 10

Now readjust the light sources by adding a lot of long wavelength of "red" ambient light and cut the midwavelength or "green" ambient light:

Light illumination:

"RED": 125 "GREEN": 20 "BLUE": 50 ("Reddish" light)

"Red" patch reflected light:

"RED": 125 "GREEN": 8 "BLUE": 10

"Green" patch reflected light:

"RED": 50 "GREEN": 20 "BLUE": 10

Pay attention to the light reflected off the "green" patch. In the second illumination scene, it is now reflecting exactly the same combination of wavelengths that the "red" patch did in the first illumination scene, in fact heavily in the long wavelength or "red" light spectrum.

If perceived color was simply due to which wavelengths a surface reflected, the "green" patch should now also be perceived as "red." But it is NOT. It still looks distinctly "greenish." The colors of the other surfaces in the scene likewise retain their original color sense. This is what is meant by color constancy.

Now, to be sure, the scene and the colors don't look exactly the same. We are aware that the scene is now illuminated strongly with "reddish" ambient light, i.e., we retain a sense of overall wavelength illumination. The "red" surface also has a brighter, more saturated red appearance, while the "green" surface looks like a darker, less saturated green. But the sense of what color each surface is does NOT change.

It is possible to find direct neural correlates of wavelength vs. color sensitivity. Cells in the retina and first visual brain processing areas are wavelength sensitive, i.e. their response varies with the wavelength of illumination. It is not constant. These are not color sensitive cells; they provide information about wavelength (hence we can still discern the reddish overall illumination in the above scene).

But cells in a specialized area, called V4 in monkey, respond only to the perceived color, not wavelength of light. You can vary the wavelengths of illumination all over the place, but a "red" cell will respond only if its visual field is on a perceived "red" patch. The exact corresponding color sensitivity area can also be imaged in human beings using PET or functional MRI scanners. This is the brain center that processes color and provides color constancy.

>That's why early sunshine is slightly blue or whitish, noon's is >hot yellow and evening's is reddish. Those are normally the >averaged frequencies of sunlight reaching the eye at those >times.

As I noted, we have cells sensitive to the wavelength of light, which can make us "aware" of the overall illumination color. But this is NOT the same as the colors of the surfaces that we perceive within this ambient illumination, which does NOT vary with the spectrum of illumination wavelengths or frequencies.

>But a guy who's in love with his red car can continue to see >that car as red under different types of lighting,

"Love" or expectation has nothing to do with the perceived color of his car. It has to do with much drier and unromantic relative surface reflectance values detected by our three color receptor system, which retain constant physical relationships even under highly varying illumination conditions. This is how the brain (or properly programmed computer) manages to extract the



perceptual property of "color" to begin with and maintains color constancy.

>even though  
>the 'color' reaching his eyes may change to black or blue or  
>purple. That 'red' is an illusion supplied by the brain. A  
>camera tells a different story.

Here we are getting into slippery semantics. You are begging the question by defining "color" as being determined only by the wavelength of light, whereas the "color" people say they experience is what a perceptual scientist would say was "color." This is NOT strictly wavelength dependent.

You are also begging the question by defining an "illusion" as people seeing constancy in their environment instead of a constantly changing environment.

Because color and color constancy is so confusing, let's apply your definition to something easier to grasp, size constancy. Objects are scaled in size according to perceived depth, even though their "actual" size projected on our retinas decreases as they get further away. Thus as people get further and further away from us, they remain "people-sized" even though their physical size on our retinas is smaller. We don't see them as tinier and tinier people.

Yet, according to your definition of "illusion," size constancy is an "illusion" because you can physically measure images on a photograph and determine that the people are not the same size on the photo in different conditions. Therefore they must actually be varying in size. Yet we all know this would be a ridiculous assertion. Yet it is exactly analagous to your assertion that perceived color and color constancy is an "illusion" because you can objectively measure the wavelengths reflected off a photograph and determine they vary with lighting conditions.

[This also reminds me of a story about Picasso (or maybe Dali). Some women told him that his paintings weren't "realistic." Picasso notices a photo of the woman nearby, and says to her, "Tell me, are you really this small?"]

Color constancy under varying lighting conditions is no more an "illusion" than size constancy under varying distance conditions (or on a photograph). The reason our brains can achieve color constancy in the first place is because surfaces have constant properties that don't vary with illumination (the relative reflectance from adjacent areas). These constant relative surface properties are just as measureable and physically "real" as absolute wavelength reflected off the surface.

>In that case the brain creates an illusion to 'keep hold of' a  
>known color. In other cases the brain will take an extra step by  
>'assigning' a color to a presumed familiar object, or apparently  
>'keep hold of' a color' while not being aware that a shadowed  
>part of an object is actually of another color.

Well, Ray, you just don't have any idea what you are talking about. Perception science is decades past such old-fashioned and thoroughly disproven notions about the perceptual nature of color.

E.g., we do NOT assign a particular color to an object because we are "familiar" with it. That's total nonsense. If that were true, we could never see a green banana because we "expect" bananas to be yellow.

One of the purposes of using patches of color instead of familiar objects in the experiment I cited at the beginning, was to remove any such possible cues to color. Thus the colors people perceive in the various colored patches have absolutely nothing to do with expectations or familiarity. These perceived colors from the generic colored patches do NOT vary with the wavelength illumination.

>Many of us will have seen these same experiments carried out in  
>the lab (or on TV), and they are confirmed when the subject is  
>allowed to look at the object (or part of an object) through a  
>cardboard tube - to exclude the deceptive background, shadows or  
>shapes.

This is your circular reasoning in play again. (By "you" here, I really mean the outmoded reductionist ideas of some perceptual scientists from long ago that you are repeating.) "You" are defining "color" as equal to wavelength, when in real world conditions it is not. So to make the "reality" conform to the definition (instead of the other way around), you remove the "deceptive background." In other words "you" have created an artificial experimental situation to justify your premise and arrive at the conclusion that fits your definition.

Yes, "color" viewed in complete isolation is strictly wavelength dependent. But this is no more "real" than "color" in a more complex environment NOT being strictly wavelength dependent. In a more complex scene, other physically "real" variables arise (relative reflectance of adjacent areas) that enable the brain to extract the constant surface property we call "color" under varying lighting conditions.

Your argument is like saying that motion is all "illusion" because if you remove the "confusing background" there is nothing to measure relative motion against. The same with depth perception. Remove the "confusing background" and there is nothing to measure relative depth against. You just keep going like this in a reductionist way, and then everything becomes an "illusion" of the surrounding environment. This is a very simplistic and misleading way of analyzing real world scenes.

>Then the illusion vanishes, for the subject and for us  
>the TV viewers - we then only see the 'real' color of the small  
>section visible through the tube.

Again the circular argument in play.

>Much the same is true for the other 'illusions' the brain  
>creates, mostly to give us a sense of security.

More circular argumentation.

>Now of course I'm not saying that extreme conditions are the  
>norm - if they were we would have evolved a different eye-brain  
>combo to cope. But we didn't. So we are still vulnerable to  
>those various misperceptions.

I'll again repeat the obvious, now well - and long-established by perceptual and neuroscience. We do NOT normally experience misperceptions and illusions in daily, normal world viewing. Instead the norm is constancy of perception. Objects do NOT normally change color, shape, position, depth, identity, etc. This isn't to give us a "sense of security" (whatever that means). It's much more practical than that. If we hadn't evolved perceptual constancy, it would be almost impossible to function because everything in the world would be constantly changing. The world would be like that experienced by somebody experiencing constant hallucinations, where nothing stays the same.

I am not arguing that we never experience misperceptions, because obviously we do under certain circumstances. But this is a long, long way from your arguments that virtually everything perceived is "illusion."

>BTW - most folk should know I've got no axe to grind with regard  
>to people's testimony for or against anything, and, if I were as  
>pompous as you're beginning to sound, I'd be deeply offended at  
>such a gratuitous slur. As it is - you seem to have a problem,  
>and maybe an agenda.

>If you didn't have an agenda I'd recommend reading my post again  
>- checking the references - and apologizing.

Ray, you may not have an "agenda", but you are repeating old and thoroughly discredited ideas from perceptual psychology, such as your notions about color.

Even if you are not trying to debunk, your arguments do in fact overlap with those of UFO debunkers, that visual illusions and misperceptions are so pervasive and overwhelming, that literally nothing a witness may report can be trusted. E.g., if Jimmy Carter said he saw a light looming in size and brightness from "brighter/larger than a planet" to that of the full moon,

then debunkers claim he misidentified Venus and suffered a "radical misperception" that made him only think it got as large and bright as the moon.

Yet if "you" (the universal "you") know anything about visual perceptions, such debunking explanations are themselves total bunk. Unless Carter was dropping acid, falling down drunk, psychotic, or brain damaged, there is no way he could experience such huge variations in size and brightness if he were really seeing Venus. (Jimmy Carter an acid-head or drunkard? I don't think so.) It just doesn't happen with normal perception.

"Venus" and "radical misperception" was also used as a debunking explanation for the famous Portage County UFO police chase or the Father Gill sighting or many other cases. Just more bunk from the debunkers.

If human perception were really this unreliable, we would all be dead. In fact, there never would have been a human race because it would be impossible to survive in the real world if our senses and perceptions were really this untrustworthy.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 27](#)

## Re: Apollo UFOs - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 23:26:21 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sun, 27 Aug 2006 19:41:51 -0400  
Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs - Shough

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 14:21:32 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 19:56:07 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Sun, 20 Aug 2006 13:32:30 -0300  
>>>Subject: Re: Apollo UFOs

>>>If I'm stung I can't find the mark. But this ship has sailed.  
>>>I'm bored with the thread. It's degenerated into the usual BS.  
>>>Have fun.

>>Sadly, I'm afraid that happened as soon as you jumped into it,  
>>Don.

>Aww, Martin, you don't really mean that.

>Don

Well I thought I did, but You Know How It Is. I'm more in the mood to acknowledge common ground at the moment. ;-)

Martin

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 27](#)

## The Bible-UFO Connection Pt II

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 27 Aug 2006 19:48:17 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 27 Aug 2006 19:48:17 -0400  
Subject: The Bible-UFO Connection Pt II

Source: The Manila Bulletin - Philippines

<http://tinyurl.com/z8xxh>

Sunday, August 27, 2006

The Bible-UFO Connection - Part 2

By Nelly Favis-Villafuerte

What does the Holy Bible say about UFOs? As mentioned in my article last week appearing in this column =96 UFO is the acronym for unidentified flying objects referred to by many as extraterrestrials, extraterrestrial beings, space visitors or alien beings. Many believe that UFOs existed even during Biblical times. If we believe in UFOs, we also think that there is physical extraterrestrial life on other planets outside our solar system. Others assume further that the physical beings in this vast universe living outside our planet earth visit us now and then. Finally, there are those who strongly advocate that not only are there physical beings outside planet earth, but such physical beings outside planet earth have intelligence superior to human beings with more advanced technology at their command.

The theme of alien super wisdom and intelligence is destroying a lot of human confidence. In worse cases, this speculation of super intelligent aliens from outer space is destroying a lot of human lives. Man=92s feeling of inferiority complex vis-a-vis UFOs has been heightened by claims of UFO contacts and abduction accounts. No wonder then that many UFO contactees and abductees have reported anxiety-ridden nightmares, frightening flashbacks, disturbed minds, and in worse cases, the feeling that they are controlled by the UFOs and can no longer have further life of their own without the interference of the UFOs.

Stories of UFOs have identified these space aliens with angels, the heavenly messengers mentioned in the Holy Bible. Many UFO buffs even go as far as saying that stories of angels in the Holy Bible are really stories about extraterrestrial visitations. Others hold the opposite view that these unexplainable UFO reports is the deceptive work of the devil (Satan) and his cohorts, the demons who are the fallen angels. Stories have been told and retold by people who had reported encounters with alien beings that there is a "reptilian likeness" in the appearance of the alien beings. This brings to mind the Biblical account that when Satan appeared to Eve in the garden of Eden, Satan appeared in the form of a snake/reptile.

=46rom Biblical account we see that there are angels and demons. God created the angels. While there is no specific verse in the Holy Bible that says that God created angels, we know that God created everything and that includes angels. Angels don=92t have bodies, hence do not grow old and die like human beings. They are spiritual beings. Angels are not born. They are created. The

word angel means messenger. They serve God all the time. Sometimes angels may look like human beings and have appeared to Abraham like persons.

On the other hand, the demons are the fallen angels who once followed God but later rebelled against God with Lucifer (Satan) as their leader. As the Biblical story goes, Satan and his fallen angels were kicked out of heaven. One may ask: Can the fallen angels known as demons also take different forms if they want to, like other angels? Many believe that the fallen angels/demons may possess many of the same powers that the good angels possess. From Biblical account, the angels are very powerful spiritual beings. They can appear as bright shining beings that terrify those who see them. (See Matthew 28:2-7)

Does Biblical logic support the thesis that UFOs were created by God? What the Holy Bible in the Book of Genesis, the first book of the Old Testament of the Bible says is that "God created man in His own image, in the image of God He created him; male and female He created them." (Genesis 1:27). From this Biblical verse, it is very clear that life on earth was created by our Omnipotent and Almighty God. Our planet Earth as we know is only a small part of the universe. While many of us believe that the Earth is the only planet where life exists =96 we cannot deny the fact that ongoing scientific studies and researches are being conducted by different countries to advance the theory that there might be a planet out there where there is also life like in the earth. But be it as is =96 to date, the divine act of creating man and woman is something that has never been duplicated in any place of the vast universe. The claim of many that UFOs are real, is indeed an attempt to promote the thinking that the divine act of creating human life has been duplicated. If not by God, who then created the UFOs, if they really exist. This is food for thought. (To be continued)

This column continues to give out copies of the Holy Bible for free to those who cannot afford to buy their own copies. If interested, please send your letter-request to Ms. Nelly Favis Villafuerte, 5th floor, Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas (BSP), Roxas Blvd., Malate, Manila. Kindly mention in your letter-request if it is the Tagalog, English, Ilocano or Cebuano version of the Holy Bible that is preferred.

This column is also giving out for free a 42-page 3 x 5 inch booklet that briefly explains the basic doctrine of salvation as presented in the Holy Bible. To those who are confused and struggling as to what the Holy Bible really says on how souls can be saved from eternal damnation =96 please do not hesitate to write me and ask for a copy of this booklet. Let us not forget the Biblical verse that says: "What profits a man if he gains the whole world and loses his soul?"

Have a joyful day!

[Thanks to Greg Boone for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 27](#)

## Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 27 Aug 2006 12:57:58 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sun, 27 Aug 2006 19:52:33 -0400  
Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Rudiak

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Sent: Saturday, August 26, 2006 1:18 PM  
>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 20:33:07 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 10:43:24 -0700  
>>>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

<snip>

>Because color and color constancy is so confusing, let's apply  
>your definition to something easier to grasp, size constancy.  
>Objects are scaled in size according to perceived depth, even  
>though their "actual" size projected on our retinas decreases  
>as they get further away. Thus as people get further and  
>further away from us, they remain "people-sized" even though  
>their physical size on our retinas is smaller. We don't see  
>them as tinier and tinier people.

>Yet, according to your definition of "illusion," size  
>constancy is an "illusion" because you can physically measure  
>images on a photograph and determine that the people are not  
>the same size on the photo in different conditions. Therefore  
>they must actually be varying in size. Yet we all know this  
>would be a ridiculous assertion. Yet it is exactly analagous  
>to your assertion that perceived color and color constancy is  
>an "illusion" because you can objectively measure the  
>wavelengths reflected off a photograph and determine they vary  
>with lighting conditions.

>[This also reminds me of a story about Picasso (or maybe  
>Dali). Some women told him that his paintings weren't  
>"realistic." Picasso notices a photo of the woman nearby, and  
>says to her, "Tell me, are you really this small?"]

According to several websites I checked, the Picasso story goes  
instead like this:

"Recognizing Pablo Picasso in a train compartment, a man  
inquired of the artist why he did not paint people 'the way they  
really are.' Picasso asked what he meant by that expression. The  
man opened his wallet and took out a snapshot of his wife,  
saying, 'That's my wife.' Picasso responded, 'Isn't she rather  
small and flat?'"

>Color constancy under varying lighting conditions is no more  
>an "illusion" than size constancy under varying distance  
>conditions (or on a photograph). The reason our brains can  
>achieve color constancy in the first place is because surfaces  
>have constant properties that don't vary with illumination  
>(the relative reflectance from adjacent areas). These  
>constant relative surface properties are just as measureable



>and physically "real" as absolute wavelength reflected off the  
>surface.

Here's another example. My 8th grade science teacher once told the class that there was no such things as "hot" or "cold," just differences of temperature or differences of energy.

The may be fine distinction as far as a physicist is concerned, but my science teacher didn't recognize that "temperature" or "energy" are every bit as much abstractions or constructs of the information processor we call the brain as "hot" or "cold" or "color." They are very much perceptually "real."

In fact, neurophysiology shows that we have different temperature receptors in our skin tuned to different ranges of temperatures, and these correspond to our perception of and responses to different temperatures. One set starts firing when temperatures get noxiously or dangerously hot, enough to cause tissue damage. ("Get away fast!") Another set activates when we find it uncomfortably, but not dangerously hot. ("Time to find some shade.") Yet another fires away when it gets too cold. ("Better find some warmth.") Then there are "Goldilocks" receptors that fire when the temperature range is "just right." Interestingly, the "too hot" receptors can also fire when the temperature is extremely cold. This probably explains why sub-freezing cold can also paradoxically feel like a burning sensation. (They can also be triggered by "hot" foods such as hot peppers, and similarly cold receptors can be made to fire by "cool" foods like mint.)

Thus the sensations "hot" and "cold" are every bit as "real" to us as the colors "red" and "blue", based on a measureable neural substrate. Various degrees of "hot" and "cold" are distinct sensations with very obvious survival value involved.

So what's "real" and what is perceptual "illusion." It often comes down to personal taste, semantics, and somewhat arbitrary definition. My 8th grade science teacher, and I suspect Ray Dickenson, would probably come down on the side that hot and cold are "illusions" of the brain. I call them perceptual constructs of the brain that have very real and important survival value. Ultimately, everything we believe "real" is a brain construct, including our very concepts of what constitutes "reality."

To me a true "illusion" is when the brain's normal perception of reality is obviously out of whack or paradoxical, often the result of miscalibration of the sensory apparatus. E.g., dip one hand in "hot" water and another in "cold" water, let them sit there for a while to adapt, then dip both hands into tepid, "Goldilocks" water. The hand previously in the hot water will temporarily "feel" the water as "cool", whereas the one previously in cold water will temporary "feel" the same tepid water as "warm." The result is paradoxical, indicating a true sensory illusion at work.

The likely explanation is temporary miscalibration of the temperature sensing apparatus due to neural fatigue, plus mutual inhibition between the different, opposing types of temperature sensations level. Because of fatigue, the "hot" sensors are momentarily released from inhibition by the "cold" sensors, and vice versa. As a result, both fire when they shouldn't, resulting in one hand feeling warm and the other cool in the same water. (This is the exact analog of the "waterfall motion illusion", where illusory motion is momentarily induced after staring for a prolonged period at motion in the opposite direction. Momentary neural fatigue and release from inhibition is probably at work here too.)

It's probably time to drop this discussion now. It's drifting further and further from the topic of UFOs, though the accuracy of human perception often enters into debates about what people actually report seeing.

David Rudiak



[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 28](#)

## Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 14:50:48 -0400  
Fwd Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 14:50:48 -0400  
Subject: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life

Source: Sciece & Theology - Quincy, Massachusetts, USA

<http://www.stnews.org/Commentary-890.htm>

March 1, 2004

Bible Leaves No Room For Extraterrestrial Life

By Jonathon D. Sarfati

The hunt for life on Mars is once again big news. The last time was the 1996 headline about the discovery of life remnants in the Antarctic meteorite, probably from Mars. This particular claim has largely been discounted, but the big-picture issues are the same.

Most supporters of extraterrestrial life have a strong bias against any idea of a creator God. In their belief system, nature is the only reality. Thus, life arose from nonliving chemicals by itself through chemical evolution or abiogenesis. The Mars rock generated many articles gleefully proclaiming that the discovery would cause headaches for traditional theologians. By showing that matter has an inbuilt tendency to form life, a creator is unnecessary, and Earth and humanity are nothing special. Finding primitive life from Mars, though, would not show that it had evolved there.

First, it would not rule out an Earth origin for that life. If rocks can be blasted from Mars to Earth, the other way is also possible. And scientists have considered for years that light pressure can push bacterial spores from Earth=92s atmosphere into space. Second, evolutionists have not succeeded in showing how nonliving matter can jump the many hurdles required to form living cells. Finding life on Mars would say absolutely nothing about how it arose. Particles-to-people evolutionists deny the fundamental law of biogenesis: Life comes only from life. Conversely, creationist scientists apply this law to its logical conclusion: Material life has not existed forever, and life only comes from other life. Therefore, the source of material life must be nonmaterial life. The Bible says nothing to indicate that God created life anywhere but Earth. But it does not explicitly deny it. Some have speculated that God=92s omnipotence and glory might be expressed by many planets with life.

However, Scripture strongly implies that no intelligent life exists elsewhere, and the long-running Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence, or SETI, projects have failed to refute this. Earth was created to be home for creatures made in God the Creator=92s image. It was on Earth that the first human couple rebelled against its creator and brought the cosmos under His curse. Thus it would have affected Martians, Vulcans, Klingons and any other being in the universe. The second person of the holy trinity incarnated on Earth alone, took on human nature, died for the sins of those with whom He has the kinsman-redeemer relationship, then ascended to the right hand of God the Father. He did not take on Vulcan or Klingon nature, and He

will have only one bride =97 the church =97 for all eternity. It would therefore seem hard to reconcile intelligent life on other worlds with the doctrine of the incarnation. It would also seem odd for God to create microscopic life on other planets, but we should not be dogmatic on this.

In conclusion, despite spending millions of dollars, NASA and others have not found the slightest proof for life anywhere but Earth. Behind the search is the metaphysical assumption that life evolved from nonliving chemicals on Earth, so there is no reason it couldn't evolve elsewhere. Chemical evolution has such major hurdles that if life were found on Mars, the most reasonable assumption is that it came from Earth somehow. Scripture mentions nothing about biology outside Earth, but looking at the big picture of the Bible, it seems hard to reconcile it with extraterrestrial intelligence.

Jonathan D. Sarfati is a creationist physical chemist affiliated with Answers In Genesis in Australia.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 28](#)

## Unlocking Namibia's X-Files

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 14:54:59 -0400  
Fwd Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 14:54:59 -0400  
Subject: Unlocking Namibia's X-Files

Source: All Africa.Com - Washington, DC, USA

<http://allafrica.com/stories/200608250720.html>

August 25, 2006

Namibia Economist

Unlocking Namibia's X-Files

Rodrick Mukumbira  
Windhoek

Researchers from the Department of Nature Conservation at the Polytechnic of Namibia were this week looking into bringing in a term of experts from a South African university to try and isolate the "gas" that is preventing plants from growing, as attempts continued to explain the origins of the bizarre "fairly circles". The circles are the innumerable discs of completely bare sandy soil, ranging from two to 10 metres across, found in grass on Namibia's coastal fringe.

Dr Willem Jankowitz, a lecturer in the department, says he has investigated three theories: radioactive soil, which prevents plants from growing; toxic proteins left in the soil by a poisonous plant called the milkbush; and termite colonies that mop all the seeds, leaving nothing left to grow, but nothing seem to be holding water. Since last year, up to May this year, Jankowitz has collected soil samples from the circles. "If you take soil from the circles, you can plant anything and it will grow, but trying planting anything inside the circles. Nothing will germinate even after constantly watering the place," said Jankowitz. Jankowitz said he has also attempted planting seed in unsealed containers in the circles, these which have also failed to germinate. Those that he planted in sealed containers inside the circles did germinate, he said.

"There is nothing wrong with the soil. It has no chemicals. There is a mysterious gas in the soil that is undetectable to the ordinary nose and that is why we intend to bring in experts to assist us," he said this week. Jankowitz said researchers from his department are currently engrossed in discussions with an undisclosed South African university to see how the gas can be isolated. Over the past three decades, scientists have wrangled over how the shapes are formed. Nevertheless, this is not the first time South Africans have been brought in to try and explain Namibia's X-files. In attempt by South African botanists in 2004 to explain the circles drew a blank. Lead scientist Gretel van Rooyen, a botanist at the University of Pretoria whose findings were later published in the New Scientist magazine that same year, also tested soil samples from the "fairy circles" and found it negative of radioactivity.

In her tests, desert plants were successfully grown in the lab. Her team dug trenches up to two metres deep in and around the circles, but found no sign of termites or their nests, present

or past. "We're left with the fairies," van Rooyen later admitted wryly to New Scientist. The fairy circles occur in a broken belt in the pro-Namib region, from southern Angola to the Orange River in South Africa and have become so famous that they are included in visitors' tours. Other explanations for the phenomenon include electromagnetic waves, land mines, radiation, UFOs, meteorites, other-worldly creatures and God. In the 1980s, Britain's rash of crop circles which was initially attributed aliens was later dismissed as the work of pranksters who carefully flattened down wheat and other crops using sticks and chains.

The human hand has however been ruled out in Namibia and the circles remain a major tourist draw card.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 28](#)

## UFO Conference Saturday October 14th 2006

From: **Brian Sunday** <[bipsunday.nul](mailto:bipsunday.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 05:26:15 -0700  
Fwd Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 16:46:31 -0400  
Subject: UFO Conference Saturday October 14th 2006

Massachusetts MUFON  
Hosts Fall UFO Conference  
Hibernian Hall - Watertown, MA  
October 14, 2006 @ 7PM

Presentations of some of the East Coast's most intriguing UFO cases

Boston, Mass. -- July 30, 2006 -- Massachusetts MUFON, the Massachusetts State Chapter of the Mutual UFO Network (MUFON) (which is the nation's largest UFO investigative organization) is pleased to announce that it will host an evening conference on Saturday, October 14th, 2006 featuring some of the East Coast's most intriguing UFO cases.

The program will include Stan Gordon, lead investigator of the Kecksburg, Pennsylvania UFO incident (as featured on the Sci-Fi Channel and "Unsolved Mysteries"); Greg Berghorn, Massachusetts MUFON State Director and lead investigator of the Hampden County, Massachusetts crop circle case; and Mark Petty, Massachusetts MUFON Assistant State Director and lead investigator of the Middlesex County alleged abduction attempt case. Historian and folklorist Chris Pittman will focus on some historical UFO sightings in Massachusetts.

The venue is the historic Watertown Hibernian Hall, located at 151 Watertown Street in Watertown, Mass. Seating is limited, but there is ample free parking. Doors open at 6:00 p.m. and presentations begin at 7:00. Other events and activities will include prizes, a trivia contest, souvenirs, concessions, exhibits, a slideshow, a Q&A session, information on how to join MUFON, how to become a UFO Field Investigator and more. There will be an admission fee of \$20.

For more up-to-the-minute information and how to pre-register or email [massmufon.nul](mailto:massmufon.nul) or log on to

[http://www.massmufon.com/Fall-Event\\_2006.html](http://www.massmufon.com/Fall-Event_2006.html)

or

<http://www.ufoshow.org>

<http://www.ufoshow.org/ufoshowcomplete.jpg>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 28](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 09:41:57 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
Fwd Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 16:47:38 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 01:43:12 -0300  
>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 10:28:54 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 00:34:46 -0300  
>>>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>>>I searched the satellite databases pretty thoroughly during  
>>>>that day and could not see any weird contrails. If you have an  
>>>>exact time of day and locations where people saw the thing, I  
>>>>can relook for you.

>>>>I'll look it up, but it's a broad timeline from Chicago to  
>>>>Thunderbay, Ontario estimate.

>>>>That's alright. If its within a few hours. Maybe it could show  
>>>>up in infrared using GOES.

>>>>Well if you do come across something, I'd appreciate the  
>>>>information.

Can you give me whatever times for the contrail sighting you  
have (here or privately) along with their relative locations? I  
will forward you any images I find. Sometimes wide enough  
contrails are visible and this one sounded pretty special to  
rate such attention from the DoD.

>>>>But it seems to happen all the time with regular type aircraft  
>>>>(that are unknown).

>>>>Weeeeell, not to pick on the air force, but they do sneak around  
>>>>commercial aircraft from time to time. They get away with it  
>>>>too.  
>>>>snip<

Somewhat inconsiderate (to use a nice term) if they do it  
blatantly in front of the commercial airliner without ATC (or  
Air Force pilot) clarifying the situation.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)



## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 28](#)

## Re: heriffs Seek UFO Possibly With Soybeans

From: Jim Deardorff <[deardorj.nul](mailto:deardorj.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 07:30:34 -0700  
Fwd Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 16:50:16 -0400  
Subject: Re: heriffs Seek UFO Possibly With Soybeans

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 17:02:45 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Sheriffs Seek UFO Possibly With Soybeans Attached

>>Source: ABC-TV 7 - Chicago, Illinois, USA

>><http://abclocal.go.com/wls/story?section=local&id=4495310>

>>August 25, 2006

>>Sheriffs Seek UFO Possibly With Soybeans Attached

<snip>

>BLT has been investigating crop circles for 15 years.

>President Nancy Talbott says the group believes low-energy  
>plasma from outer space causes the crop formations.

Does anyone on the List with knowledge of crop-circle studies know what this means, or is supposed to mean? Are we in Condign territory again here?

Hello Martin,

Talbott's group was earlier headed by biophysicist W.C. Levensgood (the L in BLT), and he made it a point, in the articles on crop circles he managed to get into a peer-reviewed plant-pathology journal, that he thought they were caused by plasma vortices that descended from on high.

Nancy told me that not too long ago he abandoned that phony position, which he apparently had felt necessary in order to get his papers published, and has now dropped into the background.

For quite some time Nancy has known of the crop-circle cases in which UFOs were seen around the time of their formation. So in the article it would seem that she's still partially loyal to Levensgood's description, but is perhaps trying to describe it in a manner that could more clearly include UFOs as the source.

She has a few university types she can consult with, and is apparently leery of mentioning any connection of UFOs with their studies on crop-circle plant and soil changes.

Jim Deardorff

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 28](#)

## Re: Sheriffs Seek UFO Possibly With Soybeans

From: Paul Anderson <[paulanderson.nul](mailto:paulanderson.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 07:56:10 -0700  
Fwd Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 16:51:51 -0400  
Subject: Re: Sheriffs Seek UFO Possibly With Soybeans

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 17:02:45 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Sheriffs Seek UFO Possibly With Soybeans Attached

>Does anyone on the List with knowledge of crop-circle studies  
>know what this means, or is supposed to mean? Are we in Condign  
>territory again here?

This is concerning the evidence for microwaves or something similar being involved in genuine crop circles, whether naturally occurring or not.

The article, as so often, has it wrong though.

The source is theorized to be atmospheric, possibly plasmas, but not from space.

This has been well known and discussed (accurately) for many years now, yet a lot of people, media in particular, still can't get the simple facts straight it seems, oh well.....

Paul

---

Canadian Crop Circle Research Network  
<http://www.cccrn.ca>

The Prairie Circular  
the weblog of the canadian crop circle research network  
<http://www.theprairiecircular.blogspot.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 28](#)

## Re: The Bible-UFO Connection Pt II - Hamilton

From: Bill Hamilton <[skyman22.nul](mailto:skyman22.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 08:30:08 -0700  
Fwd Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 16:55:32 -0400  
Subject: Re: The Bible-UFO Connection Pt II - Hamilton

>Source: The Manila Bulletin - Philippines

><http://tinyurl.com/z8xxh>

>Sunday, August 27, 2006

>The Bible-UFO Connection - Part 2

>By Nelly Favis-Villafuerte

>What does the Holy Bible say about UFOs? As mentioned in my  
>article last week appearing in this column -- UFO is the  
>acronym for unidentified flying objects referred to by many as  
>extraterrestrials, extraterrestrial beings, space visitors or  
>alien beings. Many believe that UFOs existed even during  
>Biblical times. If we believe in UFOs, we also think that there  
>is physical extraterrestrial life on other planets outside our  
>solar system. Others assume further that the physical beings in  
>this vast universe living outside our planet earth visit us now  
>and then. Finally, there are those who strongly advocate that  
>not only are there physical beings outside planet earth, but  
>such physical beings outside planet earth have intelligence  
>superior to human beings with more advanced technology at their  
>command.

>The theme of alien super wisdom and intelligence is destroying a  
>lot of human confidence. In worse cases, this speculation of  
>super intelligent aliens from outer space is destroying a lot of  
>human lives. Man's feeling of inferiority complex vis-a-vis UFOs  
>has been heightened by claims of UFO contacts and abduction  
>accounts. No wonder then that many UFO contactees and abductees  
>have reported anxiety-ridden nightmares, frightening flashbacks,  
>disturbed minds, and in worse cases, the feeling that they are  
>controlled by the UFOs and can no longer have further life of  
>their own without the interference of the UFOs.

<snip>

Fallacious reasoning at best.

If alien super wisdom and intelligence are destroying human  
confidence, then why doesn't angelic super wisdom and  
intelligence or demonic super intelligence destroy human  
confidence? She cites no instances as evidence.

How is speculating about super intelligent aliens from outer  
space destroying a lot of human lives? What is "a lot" in terms  
of numbers? How are they being destroyed? No citations.

These statements are just opinions stated without supporting the  
statements with facts and figures.

William Hamilton

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 28](#)

Secrecy News -- 08/28/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 11:21:17 -0400  
Fwd Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 16:57:07 -0400  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 08/28/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 92  
August 28, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

\*\* FISA SURVEILLANCE CAN TARGET NON-SPIES  
\*\* SELECTED CRS REPORTS

FISA SURVEILLANCE CAN TARGET NON-SPIES

The Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) can be used to monitor U.S. persons who engage in unlawful collection of classified or controlled information even if they are not acting on behalf of a foreign power.

That is the upshot of an August 14 ruling unsealed last week in the case of two former officials of the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC).

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/jud/rosen081406.pdf>

The defendants had argued that they were improperly subjected to FISA surveillance since FISA requires that the target be "an agent of a foreign power" and, they insist, they were never acting on behalf of a foreign power.

Judge T.S. Ellis, III, rejected that defense argument.

But in doing so, he redefined and significantly expanded the meaning of "agent of a foreign power" to include non-foreign agents who may be involved in unlawful information gathering.

FISA "plainly allows a FISC [Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court] judge to issue an order allowing the surveillance or physical search if there is probable cause to believe that the target... may ... Be involved in unlawful clandestine intelligence activities, or in knowingly aiding and abetting such activities," Judge Ellis wrote.

And what are "unlawful clandestine intelligence activities"?

"Although the phrase 'clandestine intelligence gathering activities' is not defined in FISA," he noted, "such 'activities' would include, for example, 'collection or transmission of information or material that is not generally available to the public'."

Some such collection and transmission of information is protected by the First Amendment, the Court acknowledged, and cannot by itself serve as the basis for FISA surveillance.

But earlier this month, Judge Ellis ruled that the collection

and transmission of national defense information can be a violation of the Espionage Act, even if it is conducted by private citizens who are not spies, if they act knowingly and willfully with an awareness that the information is restricted and that it could be used to harm the United States or to aid a foreign nation.

Building on that prior ruling, the Court has now redefined the meaning of "agent of a foreign power" so as to justify the FISA surveillance in the AIPAC case.

"The FISC [the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court that originally authorized surveillance of the AIPAC defendants] had ample probable cause to believe that the targets were agents of a foreign power quite apart from their First Amendment lobbying activities."

But under the Court's new definition, "agents of a foreign power" need not be literally "agents of a foreign power." Rather, the term now includes other persons who engage in unlawful information gathering.

"While the defendants' lobbying activities are generally protected by the First Amendment, willful violations of sec. 793 [the provision of the Espionage Act that prohibits collection and disclosure of national defense information] are not, and ... the FISC had probable cause to believe that such violations had occurred in this case," Judge Ellis explained.

Thus, the Court did not find that there was any reason to believe the defendants were acting on behalf of a foreign power. (The prosecution has conceded that they were not.)

Instead, Judge Ellis expanded the scope of the term "agent of a foreign power" to include someone who clandestinely gathers restricted information in a probable violation of the Espionage Act, even if there is no reason to suppose he is a spy or a terrorist.

By the Court's logic, it does not take an big imaginative leap to envision the application of FISA surveillance to members of the press or others who deliberately solicit classified or controlled defense information or who report on classified programs in conscious defiance of official directives to the contrary.

A former FBI official with extensive experience in FISA policy and practice expressed doubt that the FISA could now be easily invoked against the press or the public for ordinary reporting or research activities since, he said, these do not normally involve the requisite intent to violate the law.

"There is quite a bit on the periphery that is not included in this Order," the official told Secrecy News.

"The judge referred to the information 'not generally available to the public' in the context of clandestine activities that are violations of, for example, 18 USC 793 and 794 [the Espionage Act]. These are statutes that require an intent to harm the United States or benefit another power. Judge Ellis may have been parsimonious in his words, but he hasn't advocated the position that worries you," he said.

Even so, the FBI FISA expert agreed that the new court order does "make it possible to be 'an agent of a foreign power' for FISA surveillance purposes without having any actual connection with a foreign power whatsoever."

The new order also called for a leak investigation to determine the sources of a August 2004 CBS news story about the AIPAC case.

#### SELECTED CRS REPORTS

The Congressional Research Service does not make its reports directly available to the public. Recent CRS reports obtained by Secrecy News include the following.

"Detection of Explosives on Airline Passengers: Recommendation of the 9/11 Commission and Related Issues," updated August 9,



2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/homesecc/RS21920.pdf>

"Defense: FY2007 Authorization and Appropriations," updated August 7, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/natsec/RL33405.pdf>

"Defense Procurement: Full Funding Policy -- Background, Issues, and Options for Congress," updated July 26, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/weapons/RL31404.pdf>

"The FY2007 National Defense Authorization Act: Selected Military Personnel Policy Issues," July 21, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/natsec/RL33571.pdf>

"Emergency Communications: The Emergency Alert System (EAS) and All-Hazard Warnings," updated July 17, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/homesecc/RL32527.pdf>

"Homeland Security Department: FY2007 Appropriations," updated July 5, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/homesecc/RL33428.pdf>

"Privacy: An Abbreviated Outline of Federal Statutes Governing Wiretapping and Electronic Eavesdropping," updated May 5, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/intel/98-327.pdf>

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:  
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 28](#)

## Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 09:05:27 -0700  
Fwd Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 16:57:59 -0400  
Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle - Rudiak

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 13:18:06 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 20:33:07 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 25 Aug 2006 10:43:24 -0700  
>>>Subject: Re: Celestial Sight Remains A Puzzle

>><snip>

>>Let's take your ramblings on color: color is only the frequency  
>>of light reaching your eyes.

>  
>Sorry Ray, that's a very old notion, but it has also been proven  
>completely false. Perceiving color is much more complicated than  
>that. Your statement will only be true if you view something in  
>total isolation with a black background, i.e., remove all  
>reference to a surrounding environment. (Something you do  
>further below do justify your frequency definition of "color" in  
>a circular argument.)

>However, in real world scenes, not reductionist and artificial  
>lab experiments, color is NOT simply the frequency of light  
>reaching your eyes. If that were the case, there would be no  
>color constancy. Perceived color would be constantly shifting  
>with the wavelength of illumination. A "green" apple might look  
>red in "white" sunlight, but bathe it in "red" ambient light and  
>it would look red and bathe it in blue light and would become  
>blue. Without color or other perceptual constancies, we would  
>live in a very confusing, constantly shifting visual world, one  
>that would be difficult if not impossible to survive in.

Correction: That should read, "A 'green' apple might look GREEN  
in 'white sunlight'...."

Sorry if there was any confusion.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 28](#)

## Re: The Bible-UFO Connection Pt II - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 13:34:31 -0300  
Fwd Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 16:59:31 -0400  
Subject: Re: The Bible-UFO Connection Pt II - Ledger

UFO UpDates - Toronto wrote:

>Source: The Manila Bulletin - Philippines

><http://tinyurl.com/z8xxh>

>Sunday, August 27, 2006

>The Bible-UFO Connection - Part 2  
>By Nelly Favis-Villafuerte

<snip>

Is this thread under the category "Know Thy Enemy"?

We live in societies that promote freedom of religion, but don't practice it. Instead it allows children to be brainwashed from the age of 4 or 5 years old by religious institutions, imposing their values and beliefs [controls] at a very impressionable age but without choice. That's not freedom, that's invasion of the rights of these children and the privacy of the human mind and since we know how impressionable children are, that's a negation of their right to choose. I'd be more comfortable with religion if it wasn't allowed to be introduced until the kids were at the age of majority and could then make their own choices. By allowing this "teaching" at such an early age religious sects are gaining a strong foothold, powerful advantages buttressed by guilt and fears of ostracism when the child matures and opts to use it's right to choose. We are expected to believe that only those with a religious education know the difference between right and wrong. Can anyone really believe that considering the state of the world right now?

Frankly I find these reasoned ramblings by Nelly Favis-Villafuerte reinforced by her offer to pass on propaganda literature, offensive. I'm not convinced either that everything that alludes to UFOs is necessarily grist for the Updates mill. If anything it does sound the alarm for what's still lurking out there under the guise of goodness. But that's just my opinion. Unlike religion, I'm not about to imposed my will on anyone in order to gain some position of power, wealth and privilege.

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 28](#)

## Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' -

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 14:59:16 -0500 (CDT)  
Fwd Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 17:01:14 -0400  
Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' -

>From: Stuart Miller <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 18:26:03 +0100 (BST)  
>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 22 Aug 2006 20:14:58 -0500 (CDT)  
>>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>Before I go any further, I insist you answer the following  
>questions first:

>Are you related to Paul Kimball?

>Is Bob Shell related to Hollis?

>Tell us.

I'm not allowed to say.

<snip>

>He's a panspermia specialist.

And I'm sure there's good money in that.

<snip>

>>The idea of panspermia is interesting, but it always begs the  
>>question, "Okay, where did that meteor or comet with the  
>>bacteria on it come from?" Life had to start somewhere before  
>>it could spread.

>You see, I knew you couldn't be serious for too long! I'm just  
>checking here rhetorically so to speak but you'd like me to tell  
>you how life began, would you? Where and when and how the first  
>living "thing" ever appeared anywhere, any time, ever ever?  
>Ever?? OK. But it involves causing offence to a number of people  
>and a Nobel Prize, but Bilweb did it. There is no significance in  
>the choice of that name btw. He's always been here. I know you  
>probably can't get past that but you just have to. It is a sign  
>of your development and maturity as an adult if you can take  
>that point on board. Bilweb creates life when he wants to. He  
>pushes a few gas clouds in a certain direction and then  
>basically sits back and waits. We call it directed panspermia.  
>He's never in a hurry and it's all very cleverly thought out  
>before hand. We call that intelligent design. He's been there,  
>done that and apparently its fun to watch which way it all goes,  
>so I'm told!

I don't know, but I think I like my idea better, with all life  
in the universe working together to define reality and shoving  
Sheldrake-type "morphic resonance fields" backwards and forwards  
in time. Yes, creating a time loop, but so what? This "Bilweb"  
you mention seems a little too centralized for my tastes. If I'm  
forced down the intelligent design road, I'd rather take the  
Gnostic path that has the psychopathic Saklas and Yaldabaoth  
manufacturing reality and life from the raw material originally

created by the Nameless and Unfathomable One. Gimme that old time religion, I guess.

<snip>

>Just on this last point about the muck, can I say that the  
>chances of any life crawling out of this muck are about as  
>likely as, ooh, let's see, a hurricane passing through a  
>junkyard and leaving behind a fully functioning 747.

>I go with it's in the gas clouds and its pretty much everywhere.

I certainly agree that the notion of random activity either in a boiling chemical soup (or a cloud of gas) somehow (?) forming a very complex, functioning mechanism like DNA certainly has some mighty big problems. Time and random motion will only get you so far, and given infinite time, you will eventually toss a coin so it comes up "tails" 500 million times in a row. But there's infinity and then there's real infinity.

I just figure that being on a planet at least offers some protection against the entropic effects of radiation, along with stable gravity and magnetic fields, static charges, and water ranging from boiling to ice that might provide at least some minimum chance for "natural" organization through crystalization and bubble/membrane formation. That's all I'm thinking.

But it's all moot until we can find at least some teensy, tiny bit of hard evidence and reach a consensus that there's something we recognize as "life" somewhere other than right here on Earth.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 28](#)

## Can't Talk About Aliens Apollo & The Moon?

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 16:40:40 EDT  
Fwd Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 17:03:37 -0400  
Subject: Can't Talk About Aliens Apollo & The Moon?

Well if this don't beat all!

I just got off the phone with a pal of mine.

He's one of the top writers ever in the comic book industry as well as television screenplays, editing and a successful novelist.

He just told me he had several projects that had gotten the green light from a major studio. No probs whatsoever all the way up the line til the last possible moment, at the 11th hour one of the top execs at the studio sees in his script the subject about the Apollo missions, aliens, and the moon.

He was told right then and there he couldn't put that information in the script. Mind you the script and project had all sorts of way out stuff but that subject alone was enough to kill the project.

What's going on?

Maybe the reason those original Apollo 11 video tapes are missing because of HDTV.

Anyone with HDTV knows you cannot watch a sci-if movie earlier than 1980 without seeing all the strings and blue/green screen stuff showing through with crystal clarity.

Go figure.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 30](#)

## VSN Site Back Up

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 13:39:30 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 13:39:30 -0400  
Subject: VSN Site Back Up

Its been a trying 40-some hours... but everything on VSN is finally back up and running. Tech problems at the host have, seemingly been resolved.

If you're receiving this by mistake - my apologies - it was necessary to fiddle with the subscriber list.

To remove yourself from the List please visit:

[http://mail.virtuallystrange.net/mailman/options/ufo-updates\\_virtuallystrange.net](http://mail.virtuallystrange.net/mailman/options/ufo-updates_virtuallystrange.net)

put in your address - ignore the 'Password' and click 'Unsubscribe'

There's a back-log of List-mail which will be posted shortly...

To all of you either e-mailed me or other Lists and sites, my thanks for your concern...

Errol Bruce-Knapp  
UFO UpDates - Toronto

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 29](#)

## Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 14:00:56 -0700 (PDT)  
Fwd Date: Tue, 29 Aug 2006 14:03:30 -0400  
Subject: Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life - Nielsen

>Source: Science & Theology - Quincy, Massachusetts, USA

><http://www.stnews.org/Commentary-890.htm>

>March 1, 2004

>Bible Leaves No Room For Extraterrestrial Life

>By Jonathon D. Sarfati

<snip>

>Most supporters of extraterrestrial life have a strong bias  
>against any idea of a creator God. In their belief system,  
>nature is the only reality. Thus, life arose from nonliving  
>chemicals by itself through chemical evolution or abiogenesis.  
>The Mars rock generated many articles gleefully proclaiming that  
>the discovery would cause headaches for traditional theologians.  
>By showing that matter has an inbuilt tendency to form life, a  
>creator is unnecessary, and Earth and humanity are nothing  
>special. Finding primitive life from Mars, though, would not  
>show that it had evolved there.

Biological life in most species on Earth closely resembles the  
life in all other known Earth species, except one type. There is  
life existing at the volcanic vents, deep in the ocean, which  
does not closely relate to any other known species on Earth.  
This fact points to many possibilities, including:

- a panspermic origin of life near the surface of the earth,  
whether by asteroid or by direct-seeding from other  
intelligences;
- a truly evolved form of life on Earth, that life at the  
volcanic vents: many scientists believe the Earth is too young  
to have evolved more complex forms of life like us;
- a divergent evolution of life: one type near the surface, and  
the other within the Earth;
- a more direct intelligent design, or intelligent ordering of  
matter and life;
- and many other possibilities.

<snip>

>Finding life on Mars would say absolutely nothing  
>about how it arose. Particles-to-people evolutionists deny the  
>fundamental law of biogenesis: Life comes only from life.  
>Conversely, creationist scientists apply this law to its logical  
>conclusion: Material life has not existed forever, and life only  
>comes from other life. Therefore, the source of material life  
>must be nonmaterial life. The Bible says nothing to indicate  
>that God created life anywhere but Earth. But it does not  
>explicitly deny it. Some have speculated that God's omnipotence  
>and glory might be expressed by many planets with life.

Stephen Hawking has most eloquently said that events prior to the Big Bang are unknown because there was no time nor space. Logical arguments like, "which came first, God or the universe we know?" are in error because they imply an either/or condition about things unknowable. We do not fully know God and we do not know fully the universe. Our current condition does not allow full disclosure about either. Our brains are just not big enough yet. The question, "Which came first, God or the universe?" is simply a bad argument because its premises are flawed. Bad arguments can't be made valid or sound without some fundamental changes, like us having full knowledge about the subjects.

>However, Scripture strongly implies that no intelligent life  
>exists elsewhere, and the long-running Search for  
>Extraterrestrial Intelligence, or SETI, projects have failed to  
>refute this.

<snip>

Scriptures are mostly silent on the topic of life occurring elsewhere in the universe. They do not imply anything else on that topic. I expect that the reason is due to the nature of the scriptural texts themselves: they were made by men and women to promote a belief in God. Religious texts were written by believers, for believers. They were not written for scientists.

>In conclusion, despite spending millions of dollars, NASA and  
>others have not found the slightest proof for life anywhere but  
>Earth.

<snip>

Any lack of findings may have more to do with government policies on information dissemination, than to any true lack.

>Jonathan D. Sarfati is a creationist physical chemist affiliated  
>with Answers In Genesis in Australia.

I appreciate Mr. Sarfati's article as another example of the problem of combining scientific processes with religion.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 29](#)

## Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 18:02:22 -0300  
Fwd Date: Tue, 29 Aug 2006 14:06:23 -0400  
Subject: Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life - Ledger

UFO UpDates - Toronto wrote:

>Source: Sciece & Theology - Quincy, Massachusetts, USA  
><http://www.stnews.org/Commentary-890.htm>  
>March 1, 2004

>Bible Leaves No Room For Extraterrestrial Life  
>By Jonathon D. Sarfati

>The hunt for life on Mars is once again big news. The last  
>time was the 1996 headline about the discovery of life  
>remnants in the Antarctic meteorite, probably from Mars. This  
>particular claim has largely been discounted, but the  
>big-picture issues are the same.

>Most supporters of extraterrestrial life have a strong bias  
>against any idea of a creator God. In their belief system,  
>nature is the only reality. Thus, life arose from nonliving  
>chemicals by itself through chemical evolution or abiogenesis.  
>The Mars rock generated many articles gleefully proclaiming  
>that the discovery would cause headaches for traditional  
>theologians. By showing that matter has an inbuilt tendency to  
>form life, a creator is unnecessary, and Earth and humanity  
>are nothing special. Finding primitive life from Mars, though,  
>would not show that it had evolved there.

>First, it would not rule out an Earth origin for that life. If  
>rocks can be blasted from Mars to Earth, the other way is  
>also possible. And scientists have considered for years that  
>light pressure can push bacterial spores from Earth=92s  
>atmosphere into space. Second, evolutionists have not  
>succeeded in showing how nonliving matter can jump the many  
>hurdles required to form living cells. Finding life on Mars  
>would say absolutely nothing about how it arose.

>Particles-to-people evolutionists deny the fundamental law of  
>biogenesis: Life comes only from life. Conversely, creationist  
>scientists apply this law to its logical conclusion: Material  
>life has not existed forever, and life only comes from other  
>life. Therefore, the source of material life must be  
>nonmaterial life. The Bible says nothing to indicate that God  
>created life anywhere but Earth. But it does not explicitly  
>deny it. Some have speculated that God=92s omnipotence and glory  
>might be expressed by many planets with life.

>However, Scripture strongly implies that no intelligent life  
>exists elsewhere,

And this proves what?

and the long-running Search for  
>Extraterrestrial Intelligence, or SETI, projects have failed  
>to refute this. Earth was created to be home for creatures  
>made in God the Creator=92s image. It was on Earth that the  
>first human couple rebelled against its creator and brought  
>the cosmos under His curse. Thus it would have affected  
>Martians, Vulcans, Klingons and any other being in the  
>universe. The second person of the holy trinity incarnated on  
>Earth alone, took on human nature, died for the sins of those

>with whom He has the kinsman- redeemer relationship, then  
>ascended to the right hand of God the Father. He did not take  
>on Vulcan or Klingon nature, and He will have only one bride =97  
>the church =97 for all eternity. It would therefore seem hard to  
>reconcile intelligent life on other worlds with the doctrine  
>of the incarnation. It would also seem odd for God to create  
>microscopic life on other planets, but we should not be  
>dogmatic on this.

>In conclusion, despite spending millions of dollars, NASA and  
>others have not found the slightest proof for life anywhere  
>but Earth.

Despite bilking gazillions of dollars out of their flocks the  
churches haven't been able to show proof of a God either.

Behind the search is the metaphysical assumption that  
>life evolved from nonliving chemicals on Earth, so there is no  
>reason it couldn't evolve elsewhere. Chemical evolution has  
>such major hurdles that if life were found on Mars, the most  
>reasonable assumption is that it came from Earth somehow.  
>Scripture mentions nothing about biology outside Earth,

No but to counter that hundreds of pulp science fiction novels  
have noted that there is.

>but looking at the big picture of the Bible, it seems hard to  
>reconcile it with extraterrestrial intelligence.

Still another piece of fiction, "Contact" by Carl Sagan says that  
there is. Which unsupported story are you going to believe.

>Jonathan D. Sarfati is a creationist physical chemist  
>affiliated with Answers In Genesis in Australia.

We can add another oxymoron to the English lexicon-creationist  
physical chemist.

Sheeesh,

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 29](#)

## ET Survey Results

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 29 Aug 2006 14:18:25 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 29 Aug 2006 14:18:25 -0400  
Subject: ET Survey Results

Source: National Geographic TV Channel - Washington DC, USA

<http://channel.nationalgeographic.com/channel/extraterrestrial/poll.html>

31 July 2006

Extraterrestrial

What do Americans really believe about life in galaxies far, far away? Does intelligent life exist anywhere besides Earth? These questions and more are answered below in a new national survey conducted by the University of Connecticut's Center for Survey Research and Analysis (CSRA) in association with the SETI Institute (Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence) and National Geographic Channel.

Question 1 was asked of all respondents:

1. Do you believe that there is life on other planets in the universe besides earth?

60% Yes  
32% No  
8% Don't Know

Questions 2-15 were asked of those respondents who believe in life on other planets (60% of total):

2. When do you think we will confirm, without a doubt, that there is life on other planets: in the next few years, in the next few decades, in another century or more, or never?

7% Next Few Years  
38% Next Few Decades  
34% Century or More  
14% Never  
8% Don't Know

3. If we were to discover, for certain, that there was life on other planets, which of the following best describes how you would most feel: afraid and nervous OR excited and hopeful?

20% Afraid and Nervous  
72% Excited and Hopeful  
8% Don't Know

4. Thinking of the entire universe, do you think there is life on millions of planets, thousands of planets, hundreds of planets, or only a few planets?

15% Millions of Planets  
12% Thousands of Planets  
16% Hundreds of Planets  
45% Only a Few Planets  
11% Don't Know

5. Do you think life would only develop on planets with similar characteristics to earth, or do you think a planet can be very different from earth and still develop life?

18% Can Develop Only on Planets Similar to Earth  
77% Can Develop on Planets Very Different From Earth  
5% Don't Know

6. Do you think life can develop on a planet if there is no liquid water and no sunlight?

45% Yes  
47% No  
8% Don't Know

7. In your opinion, how likely is it that some of these life forms on other planets would be similar to single cell or few cell organisms like microbes or bacteria? Would you say it is very likely, somewhat likely or not likely at all?

45% Very Likely  
42% Somewhat Likely  
8% Not Likely at All  
5% Don't Know

8. In your opinion, how likely is it that some life forms on other planets would be similar to plants like trees and flowers?

25% Very Likely  
54% Somewhat Likely  
17% Not Likely at All  
4% Don't Know

9. In your opinion, how likely is it that some life forms on other planets would be similar to animals, like birds, lizards or mammals?

21% Very Likely  
48% Somewhat Likely  
25% Not likely at All  
5% Don't Know

10. In your opinion, how likely is it that there are intelligent life forms on other planets that are similar to humans?

30% Very Likely  
46% Somewhat likely  
21% Not likely  
3% Don't Know

11. In your opinion, how likely is it that there are intelligent life forms on other planets that are more advanced than us?

39% Very likely  
41% Somewhat Likely  
16% Not Likely at All  
3% Don't Know

12. In your opinion, how likely is it that there are life forms on other planets that have the technology to travel through space to visit different solar systems?

34% Very likely  
38% Somewhat Likely  
24% Not Likely  
4% Don't Know

13. In your opinion, how likely is it that there are life forms on other planets that have the technology to communicate across deep space?

29% Very likely  
44% Somewhat Likely  
22% Not Likely at All  
4% Don't Know

14. Suppose we heard communications coming from another planet. Do you think we should communicate back with them?

90% Yes  
7% No



3% Don't Know

15. If beings from another planet sent a message to us through deep space, do you think we would be able to figure out what they were saying?

50% Yes

38% No

11% Don't Know

These results are from a nationally representative telephone survey of 1,000 Americans aged 18+, conducted by the Center for Survey Research and Analysis (CSRA) at the University of Connecticut ([www.csra.uconn.edu](http://www.csra.uconn.edu)).

[Thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 29](#)

## New Evidence Kinross UFO Incident

From: Dirk Vander Ploeg <[publisher.nul](mailto:publisher.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 17:11:01 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 29 Aug 2006 14:30:57 -0400  
Subject: New Evidence Kinross UFO Incident

After speaking with Adam Jimenez, spokesman for The Great Lakes Dive Company, I have written an update which is posted on my website. Several questions and their answers concerning this discovery are covered in the article.

<http://www.ufodigest.com/news/0806/kinross.html>

Dirk

UFODigest.com  
11L6 Maple Road  
R.R.2  
Port Colborne, Ontario L3K 5V4  
Canada  
905-834-2177  
Email: [publisher.nul](mailto:publisher.nul)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 29](#)

## Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life - Balaskas

From: Nick Balaskas <[nikolaos.nul](mailto:nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 17:23:21 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 29 Aug 2006 14:33:32 -0400  
Subject: Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life - Balaskas

>Source: Sciece & Theology - Quincy, Massachusetts, USA

><http://www.stnews.org/Commentary-890.htm>

>March 1, 2004

>Bible Leaves No Room For Extraterrestrial Life

>By Jonathon D. Sarfati

>The hunt for life on Mars is once again big news. The last time  
>was the 1996 headline about the discovery of life remnants in  
>the Antarctic meteorite, probably from Mars. This particular  
>claim has largely been discounted, but the big-picture issues  
>are the same.

Last Friday while in Ottawa visiting my family, I took my two young nephews and niece to the Geological Survey of Canada museum on Booth Street to check out the rocks and minerals they have on public display. I showed them many space rocks including some lunar soil that was collected by the Apollo XI astronauts and a meteorite that is believed to have originated on Mars. This suspected Martian meteorite, discovered in Nigeria in 1962, is one of the very few "Mars" meteorites which are chemically similar to the famous ALH 84001 Mars rock found in Antarctica and the Nakhla meteorites that fell on Egypt in 1911, both of which contain compelling hints for the presence of ET microbial life.

It was a decade ago this month that President Clinton announced to the world that physical evidence for life on Mars was found in ALH 84001. 10 years later, the jury is still out on ALH 84001 and many other earlier unconfirmed examples of microfossils found in different meteorites and extraterrestrial soil.

>Most supporters of extraterrestrial life have a strong bias  
>against any idea of a creator God. In their belief system,  
>nature is the only reality. Thus, life arose from nonliving  
>chemicals by itself through chemical evolution or abiogenesis.  
>The Mars rock generated many articles gleefully proclaiming that  
>the discovery would cause headaches for traditional theologians.  
>By showing that matter has an inbuilt tendency to form life, a  
>creator is unnecessary, and Earth and humanity are nothing  
>special. Finding primitive life from Mars, though, would not  
>show that it had evolved there.

Everyone, including scientists have their biases and beliefs. Although some scientists will tell you how nature could have easily created life from nonliving matter, they have not been able to do this themselves in the lab under the ideal conditions they claim must be present for new life to emerge. The fact that no life has ever been observed to originate from nonliving matter or even been created in the lab renders this very popular belief a scientific falsehood.

This suggests that life on Earth must be very special indeed.

Also, the argument that the discovery of ET life will cause "headaches" for theologians or challenge religious beliefs is silly since it is religion that demands that there are non human

beings in heaven and on earth. Religion is still waiting for science to catch up and confirm this long accepted truth.

>First, it would not rule out an Earth origin for that life. If  
>rocks can be blasted from Mars to Earth, the other way is also  
>possible. And scientists have considered for years that light  
>pressure can push bacterial spores from Earth's atmosphere into  
>space. Second, evolutionists have not succeeded in showing how  
>nonliving matter can jump the many hurdles required to form  
>living cells. Finding life on Mars would say absolutely nothing  
>about how it arose. Particles-to-people evolutionists deny the  
>fundamental law of biogenesis: Life comes only from life.  
>Conversely, creationist scientists apply this law to its logical  
>conclusion: Material life has not existed forever, and life only  
>comes from other life. Therefore, the source of material life  
>must be nonmaterial life. The Bible says nothing to indicate  
>that God created life anywhere but Earth. But it does not  
>explicitly deny it. Some have speculated that God's omnipotence  
>and glory might be expressed by many planets with life.

It has been shown scientifically that organisms and organic material which originated on Earth could have seeded our entire Milky Way galaxy in just a few millions, not billions, of years. For this reason, I would be very surprised if life was not discovered on Mars and other worlds within our solar system or even on planets orbiting nearby stars since it could easily be traced to Earth. Even the unexpected discovery of organic materials in interstellar space detected by radio waves could easily be explained as having originated here too! One cannot explain how these complex organic chemicals could have been created naturally in the inhospitable void of space otherwise unless they were formed on a host body, such as Earth.

Regarding Sarfati's opinions about life or living creatures from beyond Earth, just because the Bible is apparently silent on such matters (it is silent on many other things too), he is not justified in coming to his conclusion. In fact the Bible and other holy books and ancient/sacred scriptures hint that God's "omnipotence and glory" has indeed been expressed with intelligent life on other worlds.

>However, Scripture strongly implies that no intelligent life  
>exists elsewhere, and the long-running Search for  
>Extraterrestrial Intelligence, or SETI, projects have failed to  
>refute this. Earth was created to be home for creatures made in  
>God the Creator's image. It was on Earth that the first human  
>couple rebelled against its creator and brought the cosmos under  
>His curse. Thus it would have affected Martians, Vulcans,  
>Klingons and any other being in the universe. The second person  
>of the holy trinity incarnated on Earth alone, took on human  
>nature, died for the sins of those with whom He has the kinsman-  
>redeemer relationship, then ascended to the right hand of God  
>the Father. He did not take on Vulcan or Klingon nature, and He  
>will have only one bride - the church - for all eternity. It  
>would therefore seem hard to reconcile intelligent life on other  
>worlds with the doctrine of the incarnation. It would also seem  
>odd for God to create microscopic life on other planets, but we  
>should not be dogmatic on this.

The search for physical evidence of life within our solar system and SETI's indirect search for intelligent life (which is still limited to at most a few hundred stars in the immediate vicinity of our Sun) has only just started. It is far too early to come to any conclusions regarding life in the universe.

Without getting into a debate about the church's mystery of the holy trinity (a key reason it is a mystery is because heavenly things cannot be easily understood by man), let me point out that Jesus himself claimed he was not of this world. Jesus' birth was heralded by a UFO and his life involved the presence of what we would call alien beings, including those "angels" that were present during his departure to the heavens in a "cloud", just to mention a few things.

I agree with Sarfati that we should not be dogmatic on things that we know very little about.

>In conclusion, despite spending millions of dollars, NASA and  
>others have not found the slightest proof for life anywhere but  
>Earth. Behind the search is the metaphysical assumption that  
>life evolved from nonliving chemicals on Earth, so there is no

>reason it couldn't evolve elsewhere. Chemical evolution has such  
>major hurdles that if life were found on Mars, the most  
>reasonable assumption is that it came from Earth somehow.  
>Scripture mentions nothing about biology outside Earth, but  
>looking at the big picture of the Bible, it seems hard to  
>reconcile it with extraterrestrial intelligence.

Biological evolution is not a science or even scientific since  
it has not been observed, is unmeasurable and cannot be used to  
predict or describe changes, among many other things. If life is  
discovered on Mars or in the distant regions of our galaxy, it  
could only have been created by Nature - a supreme intelligence  
or "God" and not by chance as the secular scientists of this  
generation are trying to get us to believe.

Nick Balaskas

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO Updates Main Index](#)

**UFO Updates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 29](#)

## Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 19:17:55 -0300  
Fwd Date: Tue, 29 Aug 2006 14:46:00 -0400  
Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 09:41:57 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 01:43:12 -0300  
>>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>>From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 10:28:54 -0400 (GMT-04:00)  
>>>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>>Date: Wed, 23 Aug 2006 00:34:46 -0300  
>>>>Subject: Re: Rockets Missiles UFOs & Other Aircraft Hazards

>>>>>I searched the satellite databases pretty thoroughly during  
>>>>>that day and could not see any weird contrails. If you have an  
>>>>>exact time of day and locations where people saw the thing, I  
>>>>>can relook for you.

>>>>>I'll look it up, but it's a broad timeline from Chicago to  
>>>>>Thunderbay, Ontario estimate.

>>>>>That's alright. If its within a few hours. Maybe it could show  
>>>>>up in infrared using GOES.

>>>>>Well if you do come across something, I'd appreciate the  
>>>>>information.

>Can you give me whatever times for the contrail sighting you  
>have (here or privately) along with their relative locations? I  
>will forward you any images I find. Sometimes wide enough  
>contrails are visible and this one sounded pretty special to  
>rate such attention from the DoD.

Okay, will do, off-list.

>>>>>But it seems to happen all the time with regular type aircraft  
>>>>>(that are unknown).

>>Weeeeell, not to pick on the air force, but they do sneak  
>>around commercial aircraft from time to time. They get away with  
>>it too. snip<

>Somewhat inconsiderate (to use a nice term) if they do it  
>blatantly in front of the commercial airliner without ATC (or  
>Air Force pilot) clarifying the situation.

I'm not suggesting that they would deliberately get right in the  
face of a commercial airliner but more by accident, but "might  
blunder into commercial airspace. It happens. The example of the  
F-4 was a trailing action which originated with a recon action  
with the 747 crew being completely unaware of the event.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 29](#)

## Re: The Bible-UFO Connection Pt II - Boone

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 18:56:00 EDT  
Fwd Date: Tue, 29 Aug 2006 14:47:53 -0400  
Subject: Re: The Bible-UFO Connection Pt II - Boone

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 13:34:31 -0300  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Subject: Re: The Bible-UFO Connection Pt II

>UFO UpDates - Toronto wrote:

>>Source: The Manila Bulletin - Philippines

>><http://tinyurl.com/z8xxh>

>>Sunday, August 27, 2006

>>The Bible-UFO Connection - Part 2  
>>By Nelly Favis-Villafuerte

><snip>

>Is this thread under the category "Know Thy Enemy"?

>We live in societies that promote freedom of religion, but don't  
>practice it. Instead it allows children to be brainwashed from  
>the age of 4 or 5 years old by religious institutions, imposing  
>their values and beliefs [controls] at a very impressionable age  
>but without choice. That's not freedom, that's invasion of the  
>rights of these children and the privacy of the human mind and  
>since we know how impressionable children are, that's a >negation  
>of their right to choose. I'd be more comfortable with religion  
>if it wasn't allowed to be introduced until the kids were at the  
>age of majority and could then make their own choices. By  
>allowing this "teaching" at such an early age religious sects  
>are gaining a strong foothold, powerful advantages buttressed by  
>guilt and fears of ostracism when the child matures and opts to  
>use it's right to choose. We are expected to believe that only  
>those with a religious education know the difference between  
>right and wrong. Can anyone really believe that considering the  
>state of the world right now?

>Frankly I find these reasoned ramblings by Nelly Favis-  
>Villafuerte reinforced by her offer to pass on propaganda  
>literature, offensive. I'm not convinced either that everything  
>that alludes to UFOs is necessarily grist for the Updates mill.  
>If anything it does sound the alarm for what's still lurking out  
>there under the guise of goodness. But that's just my opinion.  
>Unlike religion, I'm not about to imposed my will on anyone in  
>order to gain some position of power, wealth and privilege.

Right on Don Ledger.

It is interesting as researchers often point to the past for  
data that can be interpreted as persons experiencing the same  
phenomena we do today.

Case in point, close encounters. The arguments being that  
the basis of our observations of UFOs are the same but with  
a different viewpoint and name as those religious moments  
of old.



There's a talk show host in Los Angeles on the KFI station. Every Sunday morning he hosts the 'Jesus' show where he pretends to be Jesus and answers caller's questions. Normally he's right on target if you're Christian and a theologian of any merit but when it came to UFOs he tarries not in his dispelling of anything that would validate extraterrestrial life.

Of course he's right as the scriptures never ever mention anything about unusual technologies, people or beings living in, acting out in, nor descending from the sky. They never talk about odd or unusual beings or powerful beings exhibiting advanced applications of technologies whatsoever. Of course any mention of strange births isn't even spoken of.

I'm being sarcastic of course but it shows the mentality of some people we still have to deal with on this planet.

I'm starting to think there's a built in mechanism that prevents us from utilizing common sense. :)

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 29](#)

## Re: Are We Living In A Dark Age? - Maccabee

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 29 Aug 2006 00:17:30 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 29 Aug 2006 14:50:17 -0400  
Subject: Re: Are We Living In A Dark Age? - Maccabee

>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 26 Aug 2006 20:51:08 +0100  
>Subject: Are We Living In A Dark Age?

>Hello List - got a question for you. Are we living in a dark-  
>age? And how would you know?

>It's always been hard to see the reality of your own times, and  
>it's getting much harder.

<snip>

>Recently I asked if you could now expect honest reporting of,  
>say, some landings in capital cities?

>Well, here's another couple of naive questions -

>What happened in Washington in '52?

><http://tinyurl.com/qbses>

For supplementary information on the history of 1952 the Year of  
the UFO go to:

<http://brumac.8k.com>

scroll down a hort distance to "The Legacy of 1952" and  
download the .doc file

or, for a less detailed version go to:

<http://brumac.8k.com/1952YEAROFUFO/1952YEAROFUFO.html>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 29](#)

## Trouvelot And The UFOs

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 29 Aug 2006 14:58:51 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 29 Aug 2006 14:58:51 -0400  
Subject: Trouvelot And The UFOs

Source: Pip Wilson's Blog Almanac - Coffs Harbour, Australia

<http://wilsonsalmanac.blogspot.com/2006/08/trouvelot-and-ufos.html>

Tuesday the 29th of August, 2006

Trouvelot And The UFOs

1871 At the Meudon Observatory in France, astronomer =C9tienne  
L=E9opold Trouvelot (1827 - 1895) saw several flying objects high  
in the atmosphere.

He described one object as descending like a disc falling  
through water. Ufologists suggest this might have been the first  
description of the =91falling leaf motion=92 that is known in modern  
UFO cases. Some sources say Trouvelot=92s objects resembled those  
seen at Basel, Switzerland, on August 7, 1566.

Trouvelot, by the way, made a living as an artist, painting  
mostly portraits, but he had an amateur interest in entomology.  
He was the person who introduced gypsy moths to North America,  
bringing them back from a trip to Europe between late 1868 and  
early 1869 with a view to raising them to make silk, a plan  
which failed. Over the next seventeen years, the gypsy moth  
population exploded and it is now a major pest...

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 29](#)

## Abductions In The 21st Century 21 Questions

From: Luis R. Gonzalez <[lrgm.nul](mailto:lrgm.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 29 Aug 2006 12:47:32 +0200  
Fwd Date: Tue, 29 Aug 2006 15:08:02 -0400  
Subject: Abductions In The 21st Century 21 Questions

Dear reader,

I am a serious ufo researcher from Spain interested in the abduction phenomena. I have prepared the following questionnaire to learn more about the present situation. You can answer it on this List, or send it complimented to the following address:

[lrgm1@lrgm.nul](mailto:lrgm1@lrgm.nul)

Please feel free to put this message up on any other national or international mailing lists, or bulletin board, related to UFO research. When and if I get enough data, the results will be reported here.

Abductions In The 21st Century 21 Questions

I know that the word "abduction" has some negative connotations and some experiencers prefer other terms. But to keep the questions short I have decided to use it, as synonymous with a meeting with non-human beings in their realm (i.e: aboard a UFO), not just bedroom visitations. After all the millennium unrest around the abduction phenomenon has settled, I am interested in learning about how the abductees have continued living their lives. That is why I have designed the following questions and would like to get some serious answers from abductee/experiencers, but also from willing researchers (as a summary of their own cases).

01) When (year & age) did you first discover that you had been abducted?

02) How and why did you decide you had been abducted, and not had some other kind of anomalous or unusual experience?

03) Which (year & age) is the earliest abduction you remember?

04) And the latest?

05) How many abductions do you remember?

06) If you have had more than one experience, how have they varied across time (increased or decreased frequency, in waves...)?

07) How do you recall them (in percentages over the total of incidents)?

+ Never forgotten.

+ Only one or a few incidents remembered consciously.

Later explored/expanded with hypnosis or a similar technique.

+ Only some details consciously remembered.

Later explored/expanded with hypnosis or a similar technique.

+ Only UFO sighting and/or "missing time" remembered.

Later explored/expanded with hypnosis or a similar technique.

+ Vivid dreams and/or "flashbacks"

+ No previous conscious recall. Incidents are recovered under hypnosis, even if later there are "flashbacks" o details consciously remembered.

08) Who was the first abduction researcher you worked with?

10) Regarding their content, have they changed over time?

11) If they have changed, would you describe how?

(for instance, do you still get "medical exams" or are you shown more of the UFO nowadays)? 12) Do you think you have some kind of implant in your body? How sure you are?

13) Have your reactions and feelings about the abductors changed?

There have been so many different descriptions of aliens abductors, that I would like a rough idea of those you personally have encountered.

14) For each type, please answer how many times you have met them (in a rough percentage over the total of incidents you remember):

+ Humans/Nordics (NOTE: I refer to aliens that look like humans, not MILABs or other human abductees, even if they were seen helping the aliens. Nevertheless, you can include a separate mention of those other kinds)

+ Humanoids/Grays

+ Reptoids

+ Insectoids

+ Robots

+ Exotic

+ Apparitional (NOTE: I refer to those cases in which the beings looked translucent or transformed in a ball of light, etc.)

15) How many of your incidents involved a mixed "crew"?

Considering that the first "hybrid children" were reported around 1984, nowadays they should be adults.

16) If you have been involved with hybrid children, have you been able to meet them as boys or girls, teenagers and/or adults?

17) Has there been any kind of "personal" exchange with them during your meetings aboard?

18) Have you ever met them in everyday life?

Many abductees/experiencers were told of a future "mission". But time flies and the "mission" does not materialize.

19) If this was so in your case, what do you think now about the matter?

20) Do you still feel young/strong enough to fulfill it when the time arrives?

A great number of the abduction subjects say they were shown or told in stark and dramatic ways that a great cataclysm will happen in our lifetimes. Fortunately (and despite some catastrophes like Katrina's) those predictions have not come true.

21) If this was so in your case, what do you think now about the matter?

Finally, I would appreciate if you could tell me in some depth your opinions about the whole of your experiences and if those opinions have changed since the beginning of your life as an abductee/experiencer.

Many thanks for your help.

Sincerely,

Luis R. Gonzalez Manso

[lrgml.nul](mailto:lrgml.nul)

(Spain)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 30](#)

## Re: What Happens If A Signal Is Found - White

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 29 Aug 2006 16:23:01 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 15:15:34 -0400  
Subject: Re: What Happens If A Signal Is Found - White

>Source: Space.Com - New York, New York, USA

>[http://www.space.com/searchforlife/seti\\_whatif\\_060817.html](http://www.space.com/searchforlife/seti_whatif_060817.html)

>17 August 2006

>Contact: What Happens If A Signal Is Found

>By Seth Shostak  
>SETI Institute

<snip>

>But then what? Would the discovery be put under wraps, either  
>voluntarily or by government edict?

Absolutely, in my view.

>Some even venture the thought that SETI scientists, for  
>unspecified (and hard to imagine) reasons, would deprive  
>themselves of future funding and the Nobel Prize by squirreling  
>away their find.

The hard-to-imagine reason is: Knowing about and even what seems more likely, having been in touch with, alien civilizations, is a huge "leg up" on the common person. That is why genuine ET signals, genuine ET crashes, and more mundane things like the truth about chemtrails, the deliberate corruption of vaccines, the deliberate prescribing of damaging medication to children in the name of "mental health", the forced use of terminator seeds by farmers around the world, the truth about who was behind the 911 disasters, and a thousand other things, go unacknowledged and always will go unacknowledged by government, and have for some time.

(This is a UFO forum, and this is my opinion about SETI and the likelihood they will hide any bona fide contacts. If anyone wants to debate my opinions on statements unrelated to UFOs/SETI, please do so off-List. I'll just say that those are the statements of whistleblower professionals, not me, so you will ultimately have to take up your doubts with those whistleblowers.)

>Not a chance. And the reason I say that is, first and foremost,  
>because the handful of researchers doing SETI experiments are  
>intensely keen to find treasure,

Indeed keen to find treasure. But who gets the treasure?  
The moneyed elite who own all governments, not the man in the street.

>not to bury it. But even if you  
>don't respect the integrity of the scientists, even if you think  
>these dedicated folks have motives that are secret and suspect,

Scientists working by way of government or corporate grants are forced to comply with the instructions of those who pay them. Those who would rather make important discoveries available for the immediate good of mankind cannot - they face either losing

their scientific careers and being totally destroyed by lies, or losing their lives as so many scientists, especially biological warfare scientists, have in recent years.

Dr. David Kelly a recent example, found dead in the woods with electrodes attached to his chest.

>then you should at least look at the process. Frankly, secrecy  
>is ruled out by how the experiment is done.

>What happens when a signal comes in

>Now I've written about this matter on these web pages before,  
>but not in the last five years. So allow me to refresh memories  
>(if you have them) by noting that there's a protocol

Ohhhhh ... a \_protocol\_! Well, now, that makes it all better!  
You can forget everything I've written above!

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 30](#)

## Mystical Experiences Are Real

From: Nick Balaskas <[nikolaos.nul](mailto:nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 29 Aug 2006 19:19:27 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 15:29:29 -0400  
Subject: Mystical Experiences Are Real

Hi Everyone!

This recently completed study at the University of Montreal does not provide support for the suspected "God spot" that debunkers have used to explain away all mystical experiences, including alien abductions. Although this single study does not provide further evidence for the ongoing contacts between man and God or for the very many alleged encounters with angels or ETs, it does not rule these out or provides an electrochemical explanation for such "mystical" real experiences either.

Nick Balaskas

-----

Source: Live Science.Com - New York, New York, USA

[http://www.livescience.com/humanbiology/060829\\_god\\_spot.html](http://www.livescience.com/humanbiology/060829_god_spot.html)

29 August 2006

No 'God Spot' in the Human Brain

By Ker Than  
LiveScience Staff Writer

The human brain does not contain a single "God spot" responsible for mystical and religious experiences, a new study finds.

Instead, the sense of union with God or something greater than the self often described by those who have undergone such experiences involves the recruitment and activation of a variety of brain regions normally implicated in different functions such as self-consciousness, emotion and body representation.

The finding, detailed in the current issue of *Neuroscience Letters*, contradicts previous suggestions by other researchers that there might be a specific region in the brain designed for communication with God.

What it means

"The main goal of the study was to identify the neural correlates of a mystical experience," said study leader Mario Beauregard of the University of Montreal in Canada. "This does not diminish the meaning and value of such an experience, and neither does it confirm or disconfirm the existence of God."

In the study, 15 cloistered Carmelite nuns, ranging in age from 23 to 64, had their brains scanned while asked to relive the most intense mystical experience they had ever had as members of the religious order.

The nuns were not asked to try and actually achieve a state of spiritual union with God during the experiment because, as the nuns put it, "God cannot be summoned at will."

Joy and love

Nevertheless, the researchers believe their method was justified because previous studies have shown that actors asked to enter a particular state activated the same brain regions as people actually experiencing those emotions.

As a control, the nuns were instructed to relive the most intense state of union with another human ever felt in their lives while in the Carmelite order.

The study found that mystical experiences activate more than a dozen different areas of the brain at once. One of the regions, called the caudate nucleus, has been implicated in positive emotions such as happiness, romantic love and maternal love.

The researchers speculate that activation of this brain region during mystical experiences is related to the feelings of joy and unconditional love the nuns described.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 30](#)

## The Truth Isn't On There

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 19:15:31 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 19:15:31 -0400  
Subject: The Truth Isn't On There

Source: The Hawkesbury Gazette - Richmond, New South Wales, Australia

<http://tinyurl.co.uk/m4u9>

30 August 2006

The Truth Isn't On There  
Rebecca Lang

This UFO footage was purportedly shot over Richmond on July 11, 2006.

It's featured on a website along with a raft of other unidentified flying object footage supposedly shot by people all over Australia who, quite handily, were armed with cameras when the extra terrestrials came a'calling.

In reality, however, these stills =96 and the rest of the footage featured on the website =96 is part of a sophisticated hoax funded by taxpayers to the tune of \$15,000.

Perth filmmaker Christopher Kenworthy, the man behind the short films and website =96 which will all be included in a documentary on the 'project' and its impact =96 prefers the term "immersive artwork" or artistic illusion, and convinced the Australian Film Commission that the website would not mislead people.

The taxpayer-funded project has angered Australia's genuine UFO researchers like Bill Chalker, who has expressed surprise that a Federally-funded body would dole out money for a project that deliberately misled people.

Mr Chalker, an industrial chemist who has authored many UFO books and articles, said the funding aspect was hard to stomach.

"The exercise seems to demonstrate a propensity for uncritical belief in things, easily manipulated internet travellers, and inflated claims about the importance of the =91artwork' =96 which at its heart was basically a hoax aimed at, at best, seeing how various parties and peoples would respond," he said. "I think I could have told him pretty much what he has found via this bit of =91performance art' without needing to spend the (public, including a little bit of mine via tax) money."

The AFC granted the filmmaker \$15,000 to proceed with the project in April of this year under its =91experimental digital production' category.

The website =96 [www.australianufowave.com/](http://www.australianufowave.com/) =96 has since attracted millions of viewers from around the world, the bulk of whom have been taken in by the films, which were progressively uploaded over a period of several months. The final two films are obvious fakes.

The Gazette contacted the website's registered Melbourne owner =96

a fictional person by the name of 'Allan Stewart' but he was unable to talk to us as he had, apparently, buckled emotionally under the pressure of dealing with the site's contents and angry UFO researchers.

"Due to intense and unexpected pressures I am taking a (possibly permanent) break from UFOlogy and will not be reading or answering email," the message on the website reads. "I am not going to accept any more tapes or clips, so if you are a UFO witness, please contact a reputable site, such as [www.hbccufo.org](http://www.hbccufo.org)."

Correspondence with subsequent website 'caretakers', 'Tim' and 'Des', furthered the hoax.

The deception was finally revealed on the website.

"We had three main aims: To give people a taste of the drama and excitement of a UFO Close Encounter, creating a genuine sense of wonder; to improve research into videos of genuine UFOs. As we discovered, researchers are woefully equipped to spot fakes," the website reads.

"We want ufology to improve as a result of this experiment; to show skeptics that they often rely on faith rather than evidence." (Many skeptics made bold statements about the clips being nothing more than balloons, space junk, stars etc without doing their research. They were more easily misled than UFO-believers.)

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [ufoupdateslist.com](http://ufoupdateslist.com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 30](#)

## Triangle Over Norfolk UK

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 19:19:49 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 19:19:49 -0400  
Subject: Triangle Over Norfolk UK

Source: The Evening News, Norwich, Norfolk, UK

<http://tinyurl.co.uk/8jr6>

29 August 2006

Is anybody out there?

Is it just pie in the sky or do UFOs really exist?

A strange series of lights seen in the sky last week have prompted one group to ask for witnesses to come forward to help fuel the debate on whether we are being watched by beings from outer space.

Kim Briscoe asks "Do you believe?"

Witnesses to a reported sighting of an unidentified flying object over Hellesdon are being asked to come forward.

So far two people saw a black triangular craft appear in the sky above Low Road, but the Norfolk UFO Society (NUFOS) feels more people must have seen the mystery in the sky.

The Evening News was contacted by one of the witnesses to the anomaly, which happened at 12.20am on August 23. A second man, a civil aviation worker at Norwich Airport, also reported seeing the lights in the sky.

The aviation specialist, who did not want to be identified and said it was definitely not a stealth aircraft, was walking home from the cinema when he saw the craft about 50ft in the air, and judged it to nine feet by five feet by comparing it with nearby trees.

He said: "A bright red ball just appeared. It glowed then turned blue and a triangular black glass type solid craft appeared. It pulsed various lights but there was no sound. It turned as if to look in my direction.

"It fired off a light beam that jumped rapidly from house to house along Low Road. It then increased the light at the flat edge, I presume the back, and it just shot off in total silence towards the north. No sound at any time except a static fizz in the air."

Witness Austin Paxman, of Norwich, contacted the Evening News and said he was taking a short cut across the Royal Norwich Golf Club when he saw the same craft, but from a different angle.

He said: "There seems to be more and more UFO reports for Norwich and I am curious as to what is being done about it."

John Sayer, of NUFOS, said he would be interested to speak to people who have reported UFO incidents.

He said: "This does appear to be a very detailed report and the fact they are in aviation lends it credibility - if they are telling the truth.

"In a book by Timothy Good called Beyond Top Secret he says the hotspot for UFO activity in the UK in terms of reports to official bodies is the Norwich area.

"We approach reports in as rigorously a scientific way as possible. We don't just accept anything and always investigate things thoroughly.

"Ufology is more about the study of UFO reports rather than UFOs themselves.

"One of the things we try to do is to familiarize ourselves with what conventional aircraft look like at night time.

"According to the folklore 90 per cent of sightings are explainable but I have never seen any hard statistics on that I think it's just what people say.

"I don't think people are that stupid that they can mistake planes for UFOs, especially in an area like this where people are used to seeing helicopters and planes going over all the time."

According the UFO evidence website, multiple surveys over the last few decades and from different countries show about seven per cent of people have seen a UFO. At least several hundred thousand estimated UFO sightings have been documented in the last 50 years, and the total number of UFO sightings is estimated to be in the millions, although only a small percentage report the sightings.

More information is available from [www.ufoevidence.org](http://www.ufoevidence.org). Mr Sayer, of NUFOS, can be contacted on 01603 755331.

#### PANEL

An official Ministry of Defence UFO report, seen by the Evening News, lists the following UFO sightings in the area between 2002 and 2005:

April 21, 2005 - Three objects were seen hovering in the sky at an undisclosed location in Norfolk at 6.31am.

May 5, 2004 - At 11.10pm a bright, pulsing spider looking object was seen above Kings Lynn.

September 13, 2003 - A shape as bright as Mars, a sort of white light with a dim brightness moved in a south east direction at 8.15pm above Great Yarmouth.

July 15, 2003 - A disc like object, moving in the sky slowly above Gorleston-on-Sea, poised once and then headed in a north east direction.

May 28, 2003 - At 7.50am a saucer object was seen in the sky above Great Yarmouth.

August, 22, 2002 - At 9.30pm at Shropham, near Attleborough, aerial lights were seen in the sky.

January 24, 2002 - At 4.12pm in Cromer, eight to nine lights were spotted which looked like they were close and then they separated. Not too bright. Were like large fireworks.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 30](#)

## Residents Of Fort Resolution Report UFO Sightings

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 19:25:48 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 19:25:48 -0400  
Subject: Residents Of Fort Resolution Report UFO Sightings

Source: CBC News North - Whitehorse, North West Territories, Canada

<http://tinyurl.com/oumkb>

Tuesday, August 29, 2006

Residents Of Fort Resolution Report UFO Sightings

There's something strange in the sky above Fort Resolution in the Northwest Territories.

Over the years, residents of the South Slave community have reported a number of unidentified flying objects, or UFOs.

There's no obvious explanation for them.

Cynthia Cardinal, a Fort Resolution resident, narrates a video she took two weeks ago.

"You'll see there's lights shooting out the side of it," she says. "And then you'll see the camera get fuzzy a bit."

About two weeks ago as Cardinal readied for bed, her husband rushed her out to the back step.

" 'Look at this light,' he says, 'there's a light flashing out there' =85 I looked, and I said wow!"

According to Cardinal, the light flashed red, white and blue and hovered above the ground about as high as an airplane.

Cardinal's husband is a trapper, so he didn't recognize the lights as stars or aurora borealis, or Northern lights.

It's not the first time residents of Fort Resolution spotted strange things in the sky.

In the late 1990s, three military men visited the home of Jayne Miersch-Lafferty, saying they wanted her husband's video of an unexplained light. Miersch-Lafferty says the officers said they had to take the tape and "they would get back to us what they seen on the tape."

But, Miersch-Lafferty never heard from the men again. The next thing she knew, the video was on the Internet.

Cynthia Cardinal says she hasn't contacted authorities about her recent sighting.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]



[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 30](#)

## Lake Discovery Is Likely Truax Jet

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 19:32:09 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 19:32:09 -0400  
Subject: Lake Discovery Is Likely Truax Jet

Source: The Capital Times - Madison, Wisconsin

<http://www.madison.com/tct/news/index.php?ntid=96691&ntpid=6>

August 29, 2006

Lake Discovery Is Likely Truax Jet  
By Doug Moe

THERE HAS been a stunning development in the half-century-old mystery of what happened to a Truax Air Force Base F-89 Scorpion jet airplane that disappeared over Lake Superior on Nov. 23, 1953.

The plane and its Madison-based crew - pilot Felix Moncla and radar observer Robert Wilson - were never found. The F-89 had been dispatched to track a large unidentified flying object that radar had spotted near the U.S.-Canadian border, and the plane's disappearance has been fodder for extraterrestrial theorists ever since.

It now appears the missing plane has been located.

Earlier this month, divers and engineers from the Great Lakes Dive Co. posted on their Web site sonar photographs of what the group calls "the legendary missing F-89 Scorpion."

Gord Heath, a Canadian UFO investigator who has devoted considerable time to researching the F-89 disappearance - including several visits to Madison, most recently this past July - called the new find "a huge development" when we spoke Monday.

At the time of its disappearance in 1953, the F-89 was based not in Madison but rather at the Kinross Air Force Base in Michigan. A Capital Times story at the time explained that the plane and its crew "were part of a Truax Field contingent stationed temporarily at Kinross Air Base to substitute for Kinross personnel engaged in gunnery maneuvers at Yuma, Ariz."

In an incredible and tragic coincidence, the F-89 Scorpion temporarily stationed at Kinross was only one of two Truax F-89s to encounter serious difficulty on Nov. 23, 1953.

Shortly after noon that day, another F-89 Scorpion, this one at Truax with Lt. John Schmidt and Capt. Glenn Collins aboard, took off to test the afterburners of newly installed engines. While the test seemingly went fine, when the plane headed back toward Truax, witnesses below reported hearing an explosion, and then the jet crashed into a marsh in the Arboretum, killing both Schmidt and Collins.

It was less than six hours later that radar operators at Kinross, on Michigan's Upper Peninsula, spotted the UFO in restricted air space over the Soo Locks.

Moncla and Wilson went up in an F-89 Scorpion to track the unidentified craft. Back at Kinross, radar tracked their plane closing in on the UFO over Lake Superior. Moncla's last words from the cockpit were, "I'm going in for another look."

The Capital Times reported what happened next: "The Truax jet was followed on the radar screen at Kinross until its image merged with that of the plane it was checking - then it was lost."

That odd radar image - of the mystery craft seeming to swallow the Truax jet, then both disappearing from the screen - has fueled the extraterrestrial theories. U.S. officials claimed the rogue blip on the screen was an off-course Canadian airliner, but Canadian authorities have denied that any of their planes were in the area.

In his 1955 book, "The Flying Saucer Conspiracy," Donald Keyhoe wrote: "The mystery craft and the F-89 came together far off-shore, about 100 miles from Sault Sainte Marie. ... As quickly as possible, search planes with flares were roaring over Lake Superior. After a fruitless night search, boats joined the hunt as American and Canadian flyers crisscrossed a hundred-mile area. But no trace was ever found of the missing men, the F-89 - or the unknown machine."

But then, in October 1968, the Sault Daily Star newspaper carried a story headlined, "Do aircraft parts belong to missing F-89?" Two prospectors had found the parts, including a tail section, on the eastern shore of Lake Superior. The paper quoted Air Force sources saying the parts belonged to "a high performance military jet aircraft."

Years later, when Gord Heath, the UFO investigator I spoke with Monday, tried to get records from the Canadian government of the jet parts found in 1968, he was told no records existed.

Heath has spent much of the past five years trying to learn the fate of the missing Truax F-89. He has a Web site - [www.ufobc.ca/kinross](http://www.ufobc.ca/kinross) - devoted to it. He has made research trips to Madison and has a section on the city on his site. A documentary film crew from Canadian television accompanied Heath on his last trip here in July.

Now, suddenly, the Great Lakes Dive Co. find has considerably upped the ante on this 53-year-old mystery. You can learn more about the company, and view the sonar photos of the F-89, on its Web site, [www.greatlakesdive.com](http://www.greatlakesdive.com).

The Web site explains that the group formed in 2001 out of "a yearning to explain some of the enduring mysteries of the Great Lakes." Late in 2005, members were searching for two sunken French minesweepers when circumstances led them to the area over which the F-89 had disappeared. Five days into their sonar search, the site notes, "the computer returned some amazing images." It is, they seem certain, the Truax F-89.

They next plan to take underwater video of the plane, which seems in remarkably good condition in perhaps 500 feet of water. Heath, who has been in contact with the Great Lakes Dive Co., says its condition - part of the tail is missing - matches up with the parts that were purportedly found in 1968.

Heath does not believe that the discovery means there wasn't an extraterrestrial ship involved in the 1953 disappearance. What if they were after the crew and not the plane? The sonar photos indicate the cockpit canopy of the F-89 is still intact. In time we should learn if the bodies of Felix Moncla and Robert Wilson are inside. If they aren't, it won't mean aliens got them - they might have bailed out - but if they are, the extraterrestrial theory might be put to rest. Some dead men can tell tales.

Heard something Moe should know? Call 252-6446, write P.O. Box 8060, Madison, WI 53708, or e-mail [dmoe.nul](mailto:dmoe.nul)

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 30](#)

## Renewed Chupacabras Attack In Calama Chile

From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 07:49:52 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 19:35:52 -0400  
Subject: Renewed Chupacabras Attack In Calama Chile

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
August 28, 2006

Source: Chile.com  
Date: 08.26.06

Chile: Renewed Chupacabras Attack In Calama

ORBE - (Calama) Some residents of Calama's Chunchuri Bajo sector are concerned following an alleged new attack by the now-legendary "Chupacabras" after a number of animals appeared dead in one of the sector's plots of land.

Notice of the event occurred after a local woman heard strange noises on her rooftop. The next day she discovered that over 10 animals were dead in their pens.

The report was made by paranormal researcher Jaime Ferrer, who stated that this is not an isolated event, in his opinion. With regard to the aforementioned creature's attack, Ferrer explained that after becoming aware of the animal slaughter, he visited the site and interviewed the owner of Plot No.4. "What is strange about the affair is that if starving dogs had been involved, at least one of the animals would have been devoured. But quite the opposite occurred: no signs of bite marks or tearing could be found on the animals; one of the rabbits presented a deep hole on its left side, a trademark of a Chupacabras attack."

According to the information, the pen showed signs of having been ripped apart. However, no one saw anything on the night of the attack. Perhaps the only sign that something was amiss involved the behavior of one of the small dogs owned by the affected Calama resident: the pet appeared grief stricken and even "cried" at times. The paranormal researcher stated that this is not the first time that this person has lost part of her animals to phenomena like this.

-----

Translation (c) 2006, Scott Corrales, IHU  
Special thanks to Virgilio Sanchez, Miami UFO Center

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 30](#)

Secrecy News -- 08/30/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 11:21:57 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 19:38:52 -0400  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 08/30/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 93  
August 30, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

\*\* NSA MOBILIZES AGAINST LEAKS  
\*\* DNI INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY DIRECTIVES DISCLOSED  
\*\* SELECTED CRS REPORTS

## NSA MOBILIZES AGAINST LEAKS

The National Security Agency has instructed all of its employees to "actively" watch for unauthorized disclosures of classified information in the press and online, and to report such disclosures to the authorities.

"All NSA Components shall actively monitor media for the purpose of identifying unauthorized disclosures of classified NSA information," a March 20 NSA directive stated.

"Media" here is defined as "any print, electronic, or broadcast outlet (including blogs) where information is made available to the general public."

The new NSA policy on leaks was first reported by Siobhan Gorman in "NSA Strives to Plug Leaks," Baltimore Sun, July 23, 2006.

An annex to the NSA directive lists a series of questions to be asked about unauthorized disclosures in order to assess their significance, including: "Is the disclosed information accurate?" Has the information been requested under the Freedom of Information Act? "If yes, identify the requester."

In response to a FOIA request from the Federation of American Scientists earlier this month, the National Security Agency refused to release most of the new directive, which is marked "for official use only."

But the full text was obtained independently by Secrecy News.

See "Reporting Unauthorized Media Disclosures of Classified NSA/CSS Information," NSA/CSS Policy 1-27, 20 March 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/nsa/unauthorized.html>

## DNI INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY DIRECTIVES DISCLOSED

The policy infrastructure of U.S. intelligence community is defined by directives issued by the Director of National Intelligence on everything from security policy to roles and missions to relations with Congress.

The new system of policy statements, known as Intelligence Community Directives (ICDs), is the successor to the former Director of Central Intelligence Directives (DCIDs). The new ICDs are gradually supplementing, modifying or replacing the existing DCIDs.

The DNI has also issued a series of Intelligence Community Policy Memorandums (ICPMs), which are initial statements of policy that have not yet been formalized as an ICD.

Several ICDs and ICPMs have recently been released by the Office of the DNI in response to a Freedom of Information Act request from the Federation of American Scientists.

They cover such topics as open source intelligence, personnel security, the role of the IC analytic ombudsman, the roles of the various Deputy Directors of National Intelligence, and the use of portable electronic devices in secure facilities.

See Intelligence Community Directives:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/dni/icd/index.html>

and Intelligence Community Policy Memorandums:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/dni/icpm/index.html>

#### SELECTED CRS REPORTS

Some notable recent reports of the Congressional Research Service include the following.

"Extraterritorial Application of American Criminal Law," updated August 11, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/94-166.pdf>

"U.S. Nuclear Weapons: Changes in Policy and Force Structure," updated August 10, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/nuke/RL31623.pdf>

"NATO in Afghanistan: A Test of the Transatlantic Alliance," August 22, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/row/RL33627.pdf>

"Radioactive Tank Waste from the Past Production of Nuclear Weapons: Background and Issues for Congress," updated June 13, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/nuke/RS21988.pdf>

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sgp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:  
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sgp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sgp/index.html)



email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 30](#)

## Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 16:08:44 -0500 (CDT)  
Fwd Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 19:41:35 -0400  
Subject: Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life - Shell

>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 14:00:56 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life

<snip>

>I appreciate Mr. Sarfati's article as another example of the  
>problem of combining scientific processes with religion.

I've personally found that the biggest problem with combining the two is that the variables in religion are so poorly defined. I have yet to find anyone who can give me a good, workable definition for "God" that can be used to build reasonable, testable hypotheses. Most people, when they're talking about God, usually describe a physiological sensation - a feeling of "vastness" or a connection with some unknown force or entity more expansive than themselves. Which sounds to me like the feeling people get from the time lag between something really happening and when we perceive and process it in our brains. "God" seems to be more about neuron response and serotonin levels than some omnipotent, supernatural, intelligent entity.

Anyway, it's pretty hard to come up with a good hypothesis when you throw in a variable such as "God = Love."

I also find that most people have difficulty understanding that "time" is not necessarily as we perceive it, and that our comfortable, animal notions of cause followed by effect may not completely or accurately represent the way the universe operates.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 30](#)

## UFO Sighting In Slovenia?

From: Milos Krmelj <[milos.krmelj.nul](mailto:milos.krmelj.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 17:57:13 +0200  
Fwd Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 19:44:55 -0400  
Subject: UFO Sighting In Slovenia?

Source: Daily newspaper Slovenske Novice (Slovenian News)

Date: Monday 28th August 2006

Retired, 78 years old lady Marija Adam from small city Novo Mesto (Slovenia) describes, that on Saturday on 22th July 2006 at close to 4.45 in the morning saw something over some treetops like a shine.

Her first impression had been that she saw a fire. She watched for longer time, when horizon had been lighted by glowing sky body, which emitted a special light. Body had a shape of circle and big like small saucer and bigger then stars or artificial satellite.

It slowly drifted across treetops and then slowly moved toward east. She watched phenomena till 5.a.m., until daylight and then it vanished.

She then each morning waited for eventual reappearance, but no luck. Then it reappeared again on Sunday on 20th August 2006, when she saw it again, after one month. It had the same size, was blinking and described as a little darker then stars.

Milos Krmelj  
Ljubljana  
Slovenia  
(Europe)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 31](#)

## Formation Report #3 - Buffalo Pound Lake,

From: Paul Anderson <[paulanderson.nul](mailto:paulanderson.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 18:56:48 -0700  
Fwd Date: Thu, 31 Aug 2006 07:59:51 -0400  
Subject: Formation Report #3 - Buffalo Pound Lake,

Formation Report #3 - Buffalo Pound Lake, Saskatchewan

Unusual "doubled-lobed pathway" in prairie grass, approximately 55 metres (180 feet) long. Found on August 20. Grass is flattened laterally across the long, continuous closed pathway. Investigation is still on-going by CCCRN Saskatchewan. Initial details, aerial and ground photos and diagrams are now posted (larger photos on web site). This is the third reported formation for 2006. Further details pending.

<http://www.cccrn.ca/formationarchive2006.html>

Update - Schomberg, Ontario Formation

Additional aerial and ground photos and an updated diagram have now been posted (larger photos on web site). The geometry is very irregular when seen from the air.

<http://www.cccrn.ca/formationarchive2006.html>

---

Canadian Crop Circle Research Network  
<http://www.cccrn.ca>

The Prairie Circular  
the weblog of the canadian crop circle research network  
<http://www.theprairiecircular.blogspot.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 31](#)

## Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' - Shell

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 27 Aug 2006 01:44:37 -0700  
Fwd Date: Thu, 31 Aug 2006 08:01:55 -0400  
Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years' - Shell

>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 19 Aug 2006 17:33:46 +0100  
>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 15:09:19 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>>From: Tim Shell <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Thu, 17 Aug 2006 16:05:08 -0500 (CDT)  
>>>Subject: Re: 'We'll See Aliens In The Next 10 Years'

>>>><http://tinyurl.co.uk/owez>

><snip>

>>>>Some of Professor Wickramasinghe's theories:

>>>>- Life on Earth began from inter-stellar dust which was brought  
>>>>to Earth by comets - "The theory that life came from outside was  
>>>>considered crazy in the 1970s," he said. "We had to fight very  
>>>>hard against the Establishment to get this position changed."

>>>>Huh? Life just spontaneously arose out of interstellar dust? I'd  
>>>>like to see how that happened.

>>>>I think the idea is that primitive life could arise in space  
>>>>(maybe in clouds of organic chemicals) or survive being blown  
>>>>into space somehow from a planetary surface, and then be brought  
>>>>down through the atmosphere on fine meteoritic dust or something  
>>>>like this. Hang on a minute - those Condign "dusty plasmas"!  
>>>>They're inhabited and flown by intelligent alien microbes!

>>>>Tim, the rapidly accumulating evidence is that all the materials  
>>>>necessary for life: all the amino acids and maybe even proteins,  
>>>>are somehow more easily made in regions of deep space we now  
>>>>call 'molecular clouds'. Most are 'organic' (carbon-based).

Not to sound like one of those poor, misguided creationists, but you can throw some paint, a brush and a canvas into an empty room, and you're still going to have to explain how it all comes together to make the Mona Lisa. From what I've read, DNA is a complicated business. It doesn't "grow" like a crystal. And if you don't have most or all of the parts in place from the get-go, it doesn't replicate but just spins back into chaos. Maybe you know of a good mechanism that could take bits of amino acids and a few stray hydrocarbons and toss them altogether so they stick together as fully functional DNA. I admit I don't.

I suppose, in theory, "time" and "motion" may make everything happen eventually. Given an infinite number of coin tosses, you'll eventually run a streak where it comes up "tails" 500 million times in a row. But there's "infinite," and then there's really "infinite." It's just hard for me to fathom that somewhere out there in the universe, bits of matter are bumping together to form all kinds of things at random, like a

fish, or a 1974 Detroit telephone book, or strand of DNA.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 31](#)

## Re: Abductions In The 21st Century 21 Questions -

From: **Tim Shell** <[tshell.nul](mailto:tshell.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 22:23:02 -0700  
Fwd Date: Thu, 31 Aug 2006 08:03:43 -0400  
Subject: Re: Abductions In The 21st Century 21 Questions -

>From: **Luis R. Gonzalez** <[lrgm.nul](mailto:lrgm.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 29 Aug 2006 12:47:32 +0200  
>Subject: Abductions In The 21st Century 21 Questions

>I am a serious ufo researcher from Spain interested in the  
>abduction phenomena. I have prepared the following questionnaire  
>to learn more about the present situation.

From my experience and background in the social sciences, I know that creating a useful questionnaire that does not "lead" the respondent and automatically influence the data is extremely difficult. An art as much as a science.

For instance, if I was an abductee (I'm not, as far as I know), just taking a look at one question, Number 5, "How many abductions do you remember," I understand that you're looking for a number here, like "5" or "182." But the question itself seems to suggest that there may have been some abductions I don't remember. So when it comes time to answer another question about frequency, perhaps, then I'm already primed to try and fit the abductions into a pattern where there might not be one, and end up inflating the total number of abductions I think I might have experienced.

Maybe the questions are more precise in Spanish or Basque, though. Anyway, good luck.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 31](#)

## E.T. Where Are You?

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 31 Aug 2006 08:07:39 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 31 Aug 2006 08:07:39 -0400  
Subject: E.T. Where Are You?

Source: The Sand Mountain Reporter - Albertville, Alabama, USA

<http://www.sandmountainreporter.com/story.lasso?ewcd=3Dcf39cc5b1f802fd6>

August 31, 2006

E.T. Where Are You?  
By George Jones  
The Reporter =09

Saturday, as I drove toward Fyffe to check out the UFO Day happenings, I had the distinct feeling I was experiencing what "The Twilight Zone"=92s opening narration said of those who watched =96 "You unlock this door with the key of imagination. Beyond it is another dimension =96 a dimension of sound, a dimension of sight, a dimension of mind. You=92re moving into a land of both shadow and substance, of things and ideas. You=92ve just crossed over into the Twilight Zone."

Riding up Alabama 75 North on a regular day can seem as though you have entered through a door of "another dimension =85 of both shadow and substance."

Portions of Highway 75 North might be considered a dimension filled with the "shadow and substance" of collapsing farm buildings, derelict and abandoned cars and empty houses from a bygone era creating the feel of another dimension.

As I cruised along in my red Ford Ranger, with the morning sun shining down from a slightly hazy powder-blue sky, I thought of the hot-air balloon ride I missed earlier that morning.

The balloon took off at 6 a.m. and I honestly couldn=92t motivate myself to give up my one morning to sleep late.

Anyway, looking towards the heavens, I allowed myself to imagine what vistas I might have seen riding several hundred feet above good ol=92 Alabama terra firma.

Then again, in a more pragmatic mental moment, I was thankful I hadn=92t made the trip as an ever-increasing [with age] fatalism permeated my thoughts.

A fatalism caused me to consider the possibility the colorful, oversized, hot air bag might have collapsed, plummeting me, and it, to an inglorious conclusion upon some cornfield below.

Passing through Geraldine and Lakeview, I finally entered the outskirts of the small rural farming community of Fyffe, where during two days, Feb. 11-12, 1989, Fyffe became a center of UFO attention. At that time, about 50 of the fewer than 1,000 residents reported seeing a UFO.

According to published reports at the time, police Chief Junior Garmany said, "It was big, wide and appeared to be a wide triangular shape. We kept waiting to hear the sound. We kept



looking at each other and saying "where's the sound?" We never heard anything what I saw the first time was like nothing I ever saw before. It was not a helicopter, it was not a plane. Not a sound."

Another witness reported the UFO as looking like a "banana" flying at an angle.

So for a while Fyffe, was the center of the UFO universe, with media coming from around the world to study the situation. However, after their brief brush with fame, the community quietly returned to just another dot on a map of DeKalb County in northeast Alabama.

Last year, city leaders decided to capitalize on the continuing phenomenon with the inception of Fyffe UFO Days. The meaning was changed to "Unforgettable Family Outing."

Approaching the heart of downtown Fyffe, I fully expected to see the town gaily festooned with costumed aliens and flying saucers parked everywhere.

Imagine my surprise when all I found was an empty main street no colorful banners, and no inhabitants, earthly or otherwise.

As I continued to look around, unable to find a human being, the thought came to me "is it possible, have aliens landed and abducted everybody in town?"

Just about the time I was ready to call Homeland Security I saw movement. I spotted what appeared to be an earthling sweeping the driveway near a school.

I cautiously approached him and asked if he knew where the UFO festivities were. He smiled, and told me as he pointed "Over there."

I'm not certain if the organizers of UFO Days didn't want the aliens to know where they were gathering, but I might suggest a few signs pointing the way would be helpful for earthlings coming into Fyffe.

Well, I have run out of "space" so, "G.J. go home!"

George Jones is a staff writer for The Sand Mountain Reporter. His e-mail address is boaz(at)sandmountainreporter.com

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 31](#)

## Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life - Sawers

From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 31 Aug 2006 16:37:52 +1000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 31 Aug 2006 08:10:37 -0400  
Subject: Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life - Sawers

>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 14:00:56 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life

>>Source: Science & Theology - Quincy, Massachusetts, USA

>><http://www.stnews.org/Commentary-890.htm>

>>March 1, 2004

>>Bible Leaves No Room For Extraterrestrial Life

>>By Jonathon D. Sarfati

><snip>

<snip>

>>However, Scripture strongly implies that no intelligent life  
>>exists elsewhere, and the long-running Search for  
>>Extraterrestrial Intelligence, or SETI, projects have failed to  
>>refute this.

><snip>

>Scriptures are mostly silent on the topic of life occurring  
>elsewhere in the universe. They do not imply anything else on  
>that topic. I expect that the reason is due to the nature of the  
>scriptural texts themselves: they were made by men and women to  
>promote a belief in God. Religious texts were written by  
>believers, for believers. They were not written for scientists.

Please excuse me for butting in here and changing the context,  
but The 6 fingered Neophilm are spoken of as Aliens(?) in the  
Bible. 'Being 'giants' they mated with the women of earth'...  
I'm not sure how, or what became of them.

Then there's the angels that visited Ezechial in a ring of fire.  
Not exactly 'elsewhere in the universe stuff' or life elsewhere,  
but for what its worth it's in there.....

Cheers

William

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 31](#)

## Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 31 Aug 2006 06:19:40 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 31 Aug 2006 08:13:09 -0400  
Subject: Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life - Shell

>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 14:00:56 -0700 (PDT)  
>Subject: Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life

>Stephen Hawking has most eloquently said that events prior to  
>the Big Bang are unknown because there was no time nor space.  
>Logical arguments like, "which came first, God or the universe  
>we know?" are in error because they imply an either/or condition  
>about things unknowable.

I'm not sure you understand Hawking. The Big Bang may have happened, or it may not have. We don't yet know. But if it did happen the way Hawking theorizes, time and space both came into being with it. So the phrase "prior to the Big Bang" is meaningless. There can be no prior, no before, when time does not exist. The whole idea of before and after demands the existence of time. "Which came first, god or the universe we know?" is a meaningless question.

>We do not fully know God and we do not know fully the universe.

God is the universe, and vice versa.

>Our current condition does not allow  
>full disclosure about either. Our brains are just not big enough  
>yet. The question, "Which came first, God or the universe?" is  
>simply a bad argument because its premises are flawed. Bad  
>arguments can't be made valid or sound without some fundamental  
>changes, like us having full knowledge about the subjects.

No, it is not a bad argument. It is not an argument at all. It is simply a stupid and meaningless question. There is nothing there to argue about.

>>However, Scripture strongly implies that no intelligent life  
>>exists elsewhere, and the long-running Search for  
>>Extraterrestrial Intelligence, or SETI, projects have failed to  
>>refute this.

><snip>

>Scriptures are mostly silent on the topic of life occurring  
>elsewhere in the universe. They do not imply anything else on  
>that topic. I expect that the reason is due to the nature of the  
>scriptural texts themselves: they were made by men and women to  
>promote a belief in God. Religious texts were written by  
>believers, for believers. They were not written for scientists.

Scriptures were written by primitive people with incorrect ideas about the earth and universe. Theirs was a geocentric universe, so, of course, there was no life elsewhere. Not only were the scriptures not written for scientists, they were not written for anyone born in the last two thousand years. They are simplistic thoughts for simplistic people. Why do we look at them as anything other than moldy old mythology?

Why are modern, educated people even having such a pointless discussion?

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 31](#)

## UFOs Seen In Bonsall Again

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 31 Aug 2006 08:46:30 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 31 Aug 2006 08:46:30 -0400  
Subject: UFOs Seen In Bonsall Again

Source: The Matlock Mercury - Matlock, Derbyshire, UK

<http://tinyurl.co.uk/rpud>

30 August 2006

UFOs Seen In Bonsall Again  
by Jim Taylor

A UFO hunter claims to have captured alien spaceships on film following a close encounter near Bonsall.

Oliver Rowlands, who lives near Stoke-on-Trent in Staffordshire, claims he was surrounded by glowing "orbs" before a "mothership" the size of a football field repeatedly flew over his head.

Oliver returned to the well-known UFO hotspot to record a second encounter on a mobile phone, and now believes he is able to attract the mysterious craft using torch signals.

Oliver's first paranormal experience since a sighting in Dovedale in 1993 came on a Sunday evening around six weeks ago.

He was heading towards Bonsall from the Tissington area with a friend at around 1.30am when the pair spotted something in the sky.

"We saw two stars =96 or at least they looked like stars, but they were moving about a bit.

"I gave them a flash with my torch and they started coming down towards us."

Oliver said he and his colleague were soon surrounded by orange and yellow orbs that even seemed to be emitting laser beams.

The most amazing sight was yet to come as what he described as "a mothership" appeared.

"It was the size of a football pitch but it was made up of pure, white light. It was amazing, though the light almost blinded us."

Oliver returned to the area a few weeks later with a different friend and claims he was again able to attract the orbs using a torch.

"Before we knew it there were hundreds of them swarming around us.

"We just tried to film it the best we could.

"It's fascinating =96 there's definitely something going on up there."

Oliver is currently transferring the footage from his mobile

phone onto a DVD so that he can get a better look at it.

Bonsall has been linked with unidentified flying objects since at least 2001 when a woman captured six minutes of video footage that was hailed by some experts as "the best UFO video ever".

The film was sold to an American television station.

To comment email us at [news.nul](mailto:news.nul)

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 31](#)

## A New Empirical Approach In The Search For ETI

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 31 Aug 2006 08:54:49 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 31 Aug 2006 08:54:49 -0400  
Subject: A New Empirical Approach In The Search For ETI

Source: Cornell University Library - Ithaca, New York, USA

<http://arxiv.org/abs/physics/0608285>

Tue, 29 Aug 2006

Physics, abstract  
physics/0608285

From: Fred Thaheld H - view email:

<http://arxiv.org/auth/show-email/d9f79b7c/physics/0608285>

Date: Tue, 29 Aug 2006 15:07:41 GMT (323kb)

A New Empirical Approach In The Search For Extraterrestrial  
Intelligence: Astrobiological Nonlocality At The Cosmological  
Level

Authors: Fred H. Thaheld  
Comments: 39 pages, no figures  
Subj-class: General Physics

Over a period of several decades a concerted effort has been made to determine whether intelligent life exists outside of our solar system, known as the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence or SETI. This has been based primarily upon attempting to intercept possible radio transmissions at different frequencies with arrays of radio telescopes. In addition, astrophysical observations have also been undertaken to see if other worlds or solar systems exist with similar conditions such as ours, which might be conducive to life. And, numerous papers have been written exploring different possibilities for the existence of life or why we have not observed it as of yet, since none of these approaches have been successful. It may now be possible to explore this issue from another standpoint. Recent theoretical and experimental results in the field of biophysics appear to indicate the possibility of quantum entanglement and nonlocality at the biological level, between spatially separated pairs of human subjects and also between basins containing neurons derived from human neural stem cells. If this research continues to be upheld in a more replicable fashion, this could have very important implications in the area of controllable superluminal communication. Experiments are proposed in an attempt to address the issue of whether controllable superluminal communication is possible and, if it is, to utilize it in an attempt to determine if extraterrestrial intelligence really exists, within the framework of astrobiological nonlocality.

Full-text: PDF only:

<http://arxiv.org/pdf/physics/0608285>



[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 31](#)

## A COMETA Report Report

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 31 Aug 2006 09:02:15 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 31 Aug 2006 09:02:15 -0400  
Subject: A COMETA Report Report

Source: Live Journal.Com - San Francisco, California, USA

<http://rfmcdpei.livejournal.com/1281672.html>

2006-08-24

[Many links in page]

The COMETA Report

Emma Hartley's recent 50 Facts You Need To Know: Europe is an interesting read, seeking to expose underrecognized facts about Europe and to present old facts in new and interesting ways. The book largely succeeds. Perhaps the most interesting topic in the book was the suggestion that the French military believed in the existence of UFOs as an extraterrestrial phenomenon. The proof? The COMETA report, a report allegedly assembled by leading French government officials that strongly supported the extraterrestrial hypothesis.

General Norlain explains in a short preface how this committee was created. General Letty came to see him in March 1995, when he was Director of IHEDN, to discuss his idea of a committee on UFOs. Norlain assured him of his interest and referred him to the Association of Auditors of IHEDN, which in turn gave him its support. As a result, several members of the committee come from the Association of Auditors of IHEDN, joined by other experts.

It is interesting to recall here that, twenty years ago, it was a report of that same Association which led to the creation of GEPAN, the first unit for UFO study, at CNES.

Most of the committee hold, or have held, important functions in defense, industry, teaching, research, or various central administrations. General Norlain expresses hope that this report will help develop new efforts in France and lead to indispensable international cooperation.

General Letty, as president of COMETA, points to the main theme of the report, which is that the accumulation of well documented observations compels us now to consider all hypotheses as to the origin of UFOs, especially extraterrestrial hypotheses.

The COMETA report appears to have attracted no small measure of press coverage soon after its 1999 release, having produced a 2000 report in the Irish Independent and another in the Boston Globe. Indeed, if official France does in fact believe that UFOs are extraterrestrial probes, the COMETA report should have gotten a lot of press coverage indeed. The problem is that this does not seem to be the case. Also in 2000, ufological writer Claude Maug=E9 produced a negative critique of the COMETA report in Inforespace, the official publication of the Soci=E9t=E9 Belge d'=C9tude des Ph=E9nom=E8nes Spatiaux (Belgian Society for Space Phenomenon Study). Maug=E9 argues that the people presenting the report have misrepresented it as a report officially commissioned by the French government, and that instead it is best understood as a private report commissioned by a non-

governmental organization.

On January 31, 2000, in order to get my own clear view of the situation, I asked the interested parties about the status of the =91Cometa Report=92 at the Presidency, the Prime Minister=92s office, the General commanding the IHEDN and the president of Cometa. To date (3 May 2000) I have received three answers, as follows:

\* By letter dated 23 February General Bastien, of the Special Staff of the President of the Republic, wrote: =93To answer your question, this =91report=92 compiled by members of an association organized under the law of 1901 (ruling most non-commercial private associations in France) did not respond to any official request and does not have any special status. You will have noted (page 7) that its authors, among other pretensions, intended to inform decision-makers on this topic. It is in that spirit that a copy got sent, for information, to the President of the Republic.=94

\* According to Lieutenant-Colonel Pierre Bayle, head of the Communication Service of IHEDN, =93The Institute for Advanced Studies in National Defense wishes to make it clear that statements made by these individuals only engage them, and them alone, and are in no way a reflection of the thoughts of IHEDN, which has no special element of information on this topic.=94

Naturally one could argue that such statements are misleading, because political and military authorities know well that real UFOs exist. Yet the position statements quoted above are the only objective reality about the true status afforded to the Cometa report.

Mauge goes on to note that the actual attention given to UFOs by the French government seems to be minimal, suggesting that the French government does not in fact accept the extraterrestrial hypothesis. Maug=E9 is admittedly a proponent of the hypoth=E8se sociopsychologique, falling in the ranks of what the Anglo-American world would know as scientific skeptics. For some, Maug=E9 doubtless isn't a sufficiently credible critic.

This cannot be said of established ufologist Perry Petrakis criticized the report on similar grounds, however, suggesting that the report has been misrepresented as an officially-commissioned report, claiming that many important UFO events (Petrakis cites the Belgian UFO wave of 1989-1991) have been neglected in favour of more conspiratorial UFO events such as Roswell, and speculating as the motives for identifying the United States as " the =91Big Black Wolf=92 [whose] debunking scheme, especially (but not only) over Roswell would seem logical if we are to believe they have acquired otherworldly objects." These critics' objections have been criticized in their turn, though not very well, Gildas Bourdais seeming to want to take the existence of the COMETA report as written by former French military and civil-service initials as indicative of broader tendencies within the French government.

In the end, what can be said about the COMETA report? I feel comfortable in saying that Hartley misrepresented the report's importance, that the French state as such does not believe in the extraterrestrial hypothesis for UFOs. More than that can't reasonably be said: The fact that, as Bourdais points out, so many French government officials are willing to accept the extraterrestrial hypothesis says much, but what of? Belief can't be made to substitute for reality, no matter how fervent it is.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 31](#)

## UFO Frauds And The Misunderstood Contactees

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 31 Aug 2006 09:09:07 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 31 Aug 2006 09:09:07 -0400  
Subject: UFO Frauds And The Misunderstood Contactees

Source: Blogspot.Com - Mountain View, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.co.uk/4h05>

August 30, 2006

-----

Some interesting reading... --ebk

-----

UFO Frauds And The Misunderstood Contactees

This blog is to inform the general public of the nature of the UFO phenamona and the people, organizations or others that have used this subject for their own personal, financial or other gain for whatever reason. The blog will point out inconsistant or possible deceptive messages in a comical manner that may or may not have anything to do with this matter and you can determine what may be truthful. The truth is UFOs are flown by ExtraTerrestrials and anyone can call a UFO like ancient history.

<snip>

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [ufoupdateslist.com](http://ufoupdateslist.com) > 2006 > Aug > Aug 31

## UFO 'Expert' Speculates About Strange Lights

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 31 Aug 2006 09:12:41 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 31 Aug 2006 09:12:41 -0400  
Subject: UFO 'Expert' Speculates About Strange Lights

Source: The Independent - Gallup, New Mexico, USA

<http://www.gallupindependent.com/2005/august/082305ufo.html>

August 23, 2005

UFO 'Expert' Speculates About Strange Lights  
By Bill Donovan  
Staff Writer

GALLUP =97 Local UFO "expert" Robert Allen got noticeably excited when he got his first look at photos of the unexplained phenomenon that appeared over these skies last week.

"I'm getting goosebumps," he said, adding that these may be the clearest photos taken of a UFO in decades.

The photos were taken by Lyle Jeff, a 17-year-old student who lives in Standing Rock, a small Navajo community about 35 miles north of Gallup.

The photos show something but just what that something is will probably be talked about in UFO circles for years if not decades.

To Allen, they appear to be positive proof that a UFO wandered through these skies last week and may have crashed into a field near Standing Rock.

The photos show colors outlined in the night sky, bright yellows, and oranges and reds. They don't show a spaceship but according to Allen, they show the ship's erratic pattern through the skies and one, which looks like a giant V in glowing colors, shows the underside of the ship as it went overhead.

Allen said he observed the strange sight himself one night last week, seeing it from his home in Williams Acres.

The object appeared in the night sky going across his line of vision for more than 90 seconds.

"That's extremely rare for something to be on view that long," he said. Most sightings are for only a few seconds.

In this case, he watched as the object went west to east, disappearing at times and blinking its lights at other times.

Could it possibly be a helicopter?

"No," said Allen, pointing out that the object didn't make any noise when it went overhead. Also the light patterns were nothing like a helicopter.

Could it possibly be a weather balloon?

"No," said Allen. What kind of weather balloon, he said, goes in

erratic patterns like the object he and apparently dozens of other people in this area saw last week.

That's another sign that this sighting may go down as one of the most significant sightings in recent UFO history the number of people who sighted it and were amazed by what they saw.

Jeff said he and others in his community had observed the object in the sky for five straight nights.

On Friday, he got a camera and took several shots of what he saw.

His mother, Stephanie, called The Independent on Monday, and said that other families were saying that the object appeared to have gone beyond a hill north of Standing Rock and landed.

To Allen, however, the only explanation of what he saw in the photos was a spaceship that was having some problems and had to make a forced landing.

On Monday, he called Lyle Jeff and quizzed him on what he saw and went and got directions to his house so he could make a detailed investigation of the area to see if something did in fact land in the fields near the Navajo community.

There have been other landings of alien spacecraft reported in UFO circles over the year and he said he knew what to look for scorched earth in the form of a circle, showing where the spacecraft landed and then took off. And signs of radiation that had been emitted from the spacecraft as it landed.

"Maybe it never did take off," he said. "Maybe it's possible that the craft is still there on the ground."

No matter what people saw and think they saw, Allen said the sightings deserved to be thoroughly investigated to try to determine its origins, not only for the sake of the people who looked up into the night sky last week and saw something strange, but because of the millions of people who will be hearing of this over the next week.

A Los Angeles radio station has already talked to Allen after hearing of these reports, and he said he will be interviewed on the station for an hour today on what was seen and how it may be greeted by UFO experts and fans in coming weeks, months and years.

But Allen said that if it was observed over several nights last week, it still may be around or others like it.

And to see them, all one has to do is something that Allen has been doing for years watch the night skies.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://www.uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Aug](#) > [Aug 31](#)

## Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 31 Aug 2006 09:12:54 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 31 Aug 2006 09:32:00 -0400  
Subject: Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life - Kaeser

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 31 Aug 2006 06:19:40 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Bible Leaves No Room For ET Life

<snip>

>>Scriptures are mostly silent on the topic of life occurring  
>>elsewhere in the universe. They do not imply anything else on  
>>that topic. I expect that the reason is due to the nature of the  
>>scriptural texts themselves: they were made by men and women to  
>>promote a belief in God. Religious texts were written by  
>>believers, for believers. They were not written for scientists.

>Scriptures were written by primitive people with incorrect ideas  
>about the earth and universe. Theirs was a geocentric universe,  
>so, of course, there was no life elsewhere. Not only were the  
>scriptures not written for scientists, they were not written for  
>anyone born in the last two thousand years. They are simplistic  
>thoughts for simplistic people. Why do we look at them as  
>anything other than moldy old mythology?

>Why are modern, educated people even having such a pointless  
>discussion?

Hi Bob,

My, but we're getting very philosophical now, aren't we?

From a religious perspective, the "Big Bang" was caused by God,  
and he did exist as an all powerful God before the beginning of  
time as defined by the theory. So was there time before the  
beginning of time? Hmmmmmmm.

But you raise another question as to why we are holding this  
"pointless" discussion, and there is a larger question implied  
that seems to ask why 'mankind' holds onto religious beliefs in  
the face of the knowledge that we've gained. Now I'm not an  
expert, but I'd speculate that 'mankind' has an inherrant need  
to believe there is something greater than himself, and that had  
evolved into the religions that many hold very dear. I believe  
that with the advent of self-awareness caused habits to become  
rituals that evolved into ceremonies and "mankind" developed a  
crutch that it would rely on for Centuries. We still discuss  
religious issues because there are many who are still afraid of  
the unknown, and I think there are many scientists who refer  
back to their faith when confronted with questions that they  
can't answer.

The references I've seen listed in this thread come from many  
texts and not all are in the traditional "Bible". Many are  
actually controversial at some level, but very interesting in  
their implications. The "New Testament" is a book approved by a  
Committee, and there were many texts rejected for what may have  
been political reasons, so some things haven't really changed a  
lot. Of course, what were identified as "Angels" have now been  
interprestred by some as "Aliens", which makes this thread all  
the more interesting.



Steve

[ [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)