

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > Dec

## UFO UpDates Mailing List Dec 2006

### Dec 1:

[Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [8]  
[Comparing MAAR & Roper Surveys](#) - Rick Nielsen [115]  
[Re: Happy Birthday to Jerome Clark! - Goldstein](#) - Josh Goldstein [13]  
[Re: Are They Real UFO Images? - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [3]  
[Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [12]  
[Re: Are They Real UFO Images? - Balaskas](#) - Nick Balaskas [29]  
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [64]  
[Re: UFO Nut \[Lazar\] Sells Spy Poison Online -](#) - Jan Aldrich [14]  
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [22]  
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [18]  
['UFO' Crashes In Russia's Siberia](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [18]  
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [30]  
[Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [20]  
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Shell](#) - Bob Shell [8]  
[Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [18]

### Dec 2:

[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Rudiak](#) - David Rudiak [28]  
[Re: 'UFO' Crashes In Russia's Siberia - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [7]  
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [10]  
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [115]  
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [29]  
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [22]  
[Re: Comparing MAAR & Roper Surveys - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [10]

### Dec 3:

[Secrecy News -- 12/01/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [124]  
[The Best Evidence So Far?](#) - Michael Bourne [29]  
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bourdais](#) - Gildas Bourdais [20]  
[Re: The Best Evidence So Far? - Warren](#) - Frank Warren [5]

### Dec 4:

[Re: 'UFO' Crashes In Russia's Siberia](#) - Paul Stonehill [9]

[STS-48 Article In Journal Of UFO Studies](#) - Lan Fleming [26]  
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [15]  
[Re: The Best Evidence So Far? - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [4]  
[Re: Exopolitics "UFO RIP"](#) - Paradigm Research Group [441]  
[Image On Rock Still Lucky For Decatur Man](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [44]

## Dec 5:

[Lt. Col. Larry Coyne](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [12]  
[Disk-Shaped Object 'Crashes' In Brazil?](#) - A. J. Gervaerd - Brazilian UFO Magazine [44]  
[Re: The Best Evidence So Far? - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [19]  
[Re: Image On Rock Still Lucky For Decatur Man](#) - - Kathy Kasten [15]  
[Re: STS-48 Article In Journal Of UFO Studies](#) - - Kathy Kasten [19]  
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [27]  
[Secrecy News -- 12/04/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [147]  
[NASA Briefing To Announce Significant Mars Find](#) - NASA News [38]  
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash - Connors](#) - Wendy Connors [34]  
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [39]  
[Re: Exopolitics "UFO RIP"](#) - Kimball - Paul Kimball [19]  
[The Cosford Incident 1993](#) - Nick Pope [15]  
[Snippy Lands On eBay](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [69]

## Dec 6:

[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash - Balthaser](#) - Dennis Balthaser [37]  
[Re: NASA Briefing To Announce Significant Mars](#) - Paul Anderson [11]  
[Re: The Best Evidence So Far? - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [12]  
[Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [24]  
[Re: STS-48 Article In Journal Of UFO Studies](#) - - Lan Fleming [13]  
[Re: Best Evidence So Far - Cohen](#) - Jerry Cohen [37]  
[Re: NASA Briefing To Announce Significant Mars](#) - Kathy Kasten [12]  
[Water Still Flows In Brief spurts On Mars](#) - NASA News [89]  
[Secrecy News -- 12/06/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [166]

## Dec 7:

[Manatee UFO Enthusiasts Want To Believe](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [67]  
[Wilbert Smith Saucer Detection Equipment](#) - Nick Balaskas [26]  
[APB For Colonel Larry Coyne](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [16]

## Dec 8:

[UFO Group Explores Extraterrestrials](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [88]  
[JAL Pilot's UFO Story Surfaces After 20 Years](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [66]  
[Re: Wilbert Smith Saucer Detection Equipment](#) - - Dimitris Hatzopoulos [57]  
[Re: JAL Pilot's UFO Story Surfaces After 20 Years](#) - Bruce Maccabee [7]  
[Re: Wilbert Smith Saucer Detection Equipment](#) - - Kathy Kasten [3]  
[Re: JAL Pilot's UFO Story Surfaces After 20 Years](#) - Don Ledger [19]  
[Secrecy News -- 12/08/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [132]

## Dec 9:

[WME To Host World Premiere Of UFO Documentary](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [87]  
[Yukoners To Put UFOs Under Scrutiny](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [133]  
[Cherniak SDI Interviews On-Line](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [9]  
[Struggle For UFO Mare's Remains](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [83]  
[The Battle Of Los Angeles - Part II](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [2]  
[Mckinnon Extradition Appeal Due In Weeks](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [49]  
[Re: The Battle Of Los Angeles - Part II - Groff](#) - Terry Groff [6]

## Dec 10:

[Re: JAL Pilot's UFO Story Surfaces After 20 Years](#) - Michael Tarbell [21]

## Dec 11:

[Moon Anomalies Stuff](#) - Terry Colvin [14]  
[Ufologists Video Clips Online](#) - Paul Kimball [25]  
[Man In Black](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [70]  
[UK's Top Secrets For Public Inspection](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [472]

## Dec 12:

[Re: Ufologists Video Clips Online - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [17]  
[Re: Moon Anomalies Stuff - Scheldroup](#) - John Scheldroup [18]  
[Re: Moon Anomalies Stuff - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [7]  
[The U.S. Air Force Logo](#) - Greg Boone [7]  
['Billions And Billions Of Stars'](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [135]  
[CSICOP Becomes CSI After Thirty Years](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [40]  
[More Ufologist Video Clips Online](#) - Paul Kimball [12]  
[Secrecy News -- 12/12/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [143]

## Dec 13:

[Re: Ufologists Video Clips Online - Kimball](#) - Paul Kimball [11]  
[Student Spots UFO Over Swindon UK](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [50]  
[UFO Or Ball Lightning?](#) - Chris Rutkowski [6]  
[Re: The U.S. Air Force Logo - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [4]  
[Hall & Downing Video On-line](#) - Paul Kimball [24]  
[Re: More Ufologist Video Clips Online - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [6]  
[Guide to Extra-Terrestrials?](#) - Dimitris Hatzopoulos [24]  
[Re: UFO Or Ball Lightning? - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [5]  
[Greer & 'Flash Lights' \[was: Ufologists Video\]](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [15]  
[Re: UFO Or Ball Lightning? - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [41]  
[Re: More Ufologist Video Clips Online - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [4]

## Dec 14:

[Re: UFO Or Ball Lightning? - Balaskas](#) - Nick Balaskas [33]  
[Re: Hall & Downing Video On-line - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [3]  
[Re: UFO Or Ball Lightning? - Cameron](#) - Cory Cameron [6]  
[UFO 'Secrets' Could Land UK Hacker In Gitmo](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [38]  
[UFO Sightings And Unexplained Animal Deaths](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [6]  
[Military Ranks \[was: More Ufologist Video Clips\]](#) - Ray Dickenson [12]

[Re: UFO Or Ball Lightning? - Ballester Olmos](#) - Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos [14]  
[Re: Military Ranks - Randle](#) - Kevin Randle [33]

## Dec 15:

[US Scientists Reject Interference](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [105]  
[Re: UFO 'Secrets' Could Land UK Hacker In Gitmo](#) - Roy Hale [18]  
[Secrecy News -- 12/14/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [171]  
[Re: Military Ranks - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [24]  
[Re: Military Ranks - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [10]  
['Rod' Struck By Soccer Ball In U.S.](#) - Chris Parr <ChrsP875@aol.com? [60]  
[Re: Greer & 'Flash Lights' - White](#) - Eleanor White [20]  
[For The Record: NICAP Site Funded](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [20]  
[Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [274]  
[Gorbachev And Reagan](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [104]  
[Re: Greer & 'Flash Lights' - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [14]  
[Re: Greer & 'Flash Lights' - Kimball](#) - Paul Kimball [31]  
[Professor Probes Ball Lightning Phenomenon](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [43]  
[Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Pope](#) - Nick Pope [23]  
[Re: Professor Probes Ball Lightning Phenomenon](#) - David Rudiak [13]  
[Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Shough](#) - Martin Shough [31]

## Dec 16:

[Schmitt Says Doleman Out](#) - Kathy Kasten [13]  
[Habitable Planet System In Taurus?](#) - Kathy Kasten [15]  
[Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Paul Kimball [11]  
[Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [3]  
[Secrecy News -- 12/15/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [78]  
[Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [5]  
[Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [10]  
[Re: Professor Probes Ball Lightning Phenomenon](#) - Michael Tarbell [15]  
['Ridicule Factor' Fading](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [66]  
[Exempt From Legal Recourse Behind The Scenes](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [105]  
[Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Pope](#) - Nick Pope [11]  
[Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Pope](#) - Nick Pope [15]  
[Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - McGonagle](#) - Joe McGonagle [16]  
[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Ed Gehrman [15]  
[Re: Schmitt Says Doleman Out - Gehrman](#) - Ed Gehrman [4]  
[Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Dickenson](#) - Ray Dickenson [15]  
[Re: Habitable Planet System In Taurus? - Scheldroup](#) - John Scheldroup [10]  
[The Science Of Cymatics](#) - Robert Morningstar [73]

## Dec 17:

[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Stanton Friedman [12]  
[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Mac Tonnies [12]  
[Strange Days... Indeed December 16, 2006](#) - Eleanor White [37]  
[Re: The Science Of Cymatics - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [9]  
[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Bob Shell [13]  
[Re: Strange Days... Indeed December 16, 2006](#) - Alfred Lehmberg [23]  
[New UK Freedom Of Information Act Proposals](#) - Nick Pope [24]

## Dec 18:

[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Ed Gehrman [32]  
[Re: Habitable Planet System In Taurus? - Scheldroup](#) - John Scheldroup [6]  
[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Jerome Clark [4]  
[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Mac Tonnies [17]  
[Re: Alien Abduction The 'Shhh Disease'? - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [38]  
[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Bob Shell [10]  
[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Stanton Friedman [8]

## Dec 19:

[Re: Schmitt Says Doleman Out - Zukowski](#) - Chuck Zukowski [5]  
[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Ed Gehrman [9]  
[Lie Detector Software](#) - Greg Boone [16]  
[INEXPLICATA Contributor Injured During Research](#) - Scott Corrales [31]  
[Investigation Of Great Lakes Dive Company](#) - Frank Warren [3]  
[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Mac Tonnies [28]  
[50 Most Influential UFO People Of All Time](#) - Paul Kimball [136]  
[Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Ledger](#) - Don Ledger [8]  
[NASA & Google Bring Space Exploration Down To Earth](#) - NASA News [76]  
[Re: Alien Abduction The 'Shhh Disease'? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [25]  
[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Jerome Clark [27]  
[Re: New UK Freedom Of Information Act Proposals -](#) - Don Ledger [3]  
[I.E. Sees Saucer Sightings](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [83]  
[Bristolian Wants To Identify Flying Objects](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [66]  
[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Don Ledger [17]  
[Chupacabras Reappear In Tulancingo Mexico](#) - Scott Corrales [54]  
[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Stanton Friedman [9]  
[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Stanton Friedman [7]  
[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Bob Shell [21]  
[Re: UFO DATA Magazine Jan-Feb-2007 - Mantle](#) - Philip Mantle [14]  
[Secrecy News -- 12/19/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [133]

## Dec 20:

[Re: 50 Most Influential UFO People Of All Time -](#) - Paul Kimball [15]  
[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Bob Shell [29]  
[UFO/ET Websites Web-Ring?](#) - Dimitris Hatzopoulos [22]  
[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Jerome Clark [55]  
[Strange Indiana Lights?](#) - Chaz Stuart [6]  
[Re: Lie Detector Software - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [20]  
[NASA Inspector General Investigation Of Censorship](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [109]  
[Alien Hunters Get Green Light To Stay On Station](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [33]

## Dec 21:

[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Paul Kimball [44]  
[What's Missing In UFO/Entity Situation?](#) - Ray Dickenson [94]  
[Walter Cronkite & UFOs An Update](#) - Richard W. Heiden [290]  
[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Brad Sparks [5]  
[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Greg Boone [68]  
[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Stanton Friedman [10]

[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - James Smith [22]

## **Dec 22:**

[Secrecy News -- 12/21/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [186]

[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Dimitris Hatzopoulos [65]

[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Mac Tonnies [17]

[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Mac Tonnies [11]

[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Paul Kimball [22]

[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Ed Gehrman [26]

[Re: The Science Of Cymatics - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [51]

[The Politics Of Marginalization](#) - Mac Tonnies [54]

## **Dec 23:**

[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Stanton Friedman [6]

[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Greg Boone [47]

[Re: The Politics Of Marginalization - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [33]

[Re: he Politics Of Marginalization - Miller](#) - Stuart Miller [4]

[Re: The Politics Of Marginalization - Smith](#) - James Smith [22]

[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Michael Tarbell [31]

[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Ray Dickenson [36]

[Re: The Politics Of Marginalization - White](#) - Eleanor White [7]

[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Stanton Friedman [50]

[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [10]

[J. Allen Hynek \[was: Mac Tonnies' The](#) - Jerome Clark [47]

[Re: J. Allen Hynek - Lehmborg](#) - Jerome Clark [9]

[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Bob Shell [22]

[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Don Ledger [58]

[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Bob Shell [35]

[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Paul Kimball [152]

## **Dec 24:**

[The Twelve Alien Days Of Christmas](#) - Daniel Brenton [117]

[Re: J. Allen Hynek - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [20]

[Re: J. Allen Hynek - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [66]

[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Stanton Friedman [49]

[Re: The Politics of Marginization - Parr](#) - Chris Parr [26]

[Jacques Patenet On UFO Radio International](#) - Giuliano 'Jimmy' Marinkovic [24]

[Official Spanish UFO Document Online](#) - Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos [22]

[Re: J. Allen Hynek - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [51]

[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Michael Tarbell [3]

[Thanks To John Velez](#) - Eleanor White [12]

[Christmas](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [30]

## **Dec 26:**

[Re: Thanks To John Velez - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [7]

[Re: J. Allen Hynek - Clark](#) - Jerome Clark [13]

[Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Paul Kimball [63]

[Re: Thanks To John Velez - Velez](#) - John Velez [82]

[Re: Christmas - Kasten - Kathy Kasten](#) [4]  
[Paul Villa NM 1963 Photos](#) - Dimitris Hatzopoulos [13]  
[Re: J. Allen Hynek - Friedman](#) - Stanton Friedman [16]  
[HDTV & Old UFO Films](#) - Greg Boone [24]

## Dec 27:

[Re: HDTV & Old UFO Films - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [5]  
[Re: Paul Villa NM 1963 Photos - Hall](#) - Richard Hall [7]  
[Re: Thanks To John Velez - White](#) - Eleanor White [11]  
[Re: Paul Villa NM 1963 Photos - Henningsen](#) - Ole Henningsen [8]  
[Re: Mac Tonnie's The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Stanton Friedman [69]  
[Conspiracy Of Silence?](#) - Rick Nielsen [3]  
[Former President Gerald Ford Passes Away](#) - Steven Kaeser [8]  
[UFO Over Sierra de la Ventana Argentina](#) - Scott Corrales [31]  
[Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [20]

## Dec 28:

[Host Of Unexplained Sightings Captured](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [61]  
[He's Just Building His Own](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [67]  
[Gerald Ford And The Condon Study](#) - Robert Barrow [12]  
[Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Scheldroup](#) - John Scheldroup [3]  
[Space Telescope To Hunt Planets](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [60]  
[Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Gammon](#) - Jason Gammon [10]  
[Secrecy News -- 12/27/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [132]  
[Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Brenton](#) - Daniel Brenton [8]  
[Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [23]  
[Re: Mac Tonnie's The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Paul Kimball [185]  
[What Should We Expect Now?](#) - Ray Dickenson [72]  
[Gerald Ford's UFO Legacy](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [171]  
[Filmmakers Examine UFO Summoner's Claims](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [210]  
[Re: Mac Tonnie's The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Mac Tonnie [22]  
[Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Sawers](#) - William Sawers [3]  
[Re: Paul Villa NM 1963 Photos - Henningsen](#) - Ole Henningsen [17]  
[Montana A UFO Hotspot Dating Back To '50s](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [238]  
[Re: Mac Tonnie's The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Martin Shough [30]  
[Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [3]  
[Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [7]

## Dec 29:

[Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [8]  
[Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [1]  
[Re: Mac Tonnie's The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Don Ledger [46]  
[Re: Mac Tonnie's The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Paul Kimball [34]  
[Re: HDTV & Old UFO Films - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [5]  
[Re: Mac Tonnie's The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Paul Kimball [34]  
[Re: Mac Tonnie's The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Don Ledger [8]  
[Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [9]  
[Mexican Airliner Crew Sees UFO](#) - Scott Corrales [19]  
[Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [20]  
[Calling All Hudson Valley UFO Folk](#) - Greg Boone [11]

[Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Kaeser](#) - Steven Kaeser [23]  
[Great Lakes Dive Company Investigation - Pt II](#) - Frank Warren [9]  
[Re: Calling All Hudson Valley UFO Folk - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [7]  
[Secrecy News -- 12/29/06](#) - Steven Aftergood [74]  
[UFO DATA Magazine](#) - Philip Mantle [27]  
[Re: Mac Tonnie's The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Paul Kimball [46]  
[Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [20]  
[Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [16]  
[France's Space Agency To Post UFO Database](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [18]  
[Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [18]  
[Re: UFO DATA Magazine - Boone](#) - Greg Boone [5]  
[Re: Mac Tonnie's The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Michael Tarbell [81]  
[Re: Conspiracies Of Silence - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [8]  
[Re: Mac Tonnie's The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Don Ledger [54]  
[Re: Conspiracies Of Silence - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [5]  
[Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [2]

## Dec 30:

[Re: Mac Tonnie's The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Eleanor White [9]  
[Re: France's Space Agency To Post UFO Database](#) - Giuliano 'Jimmy' Marinkovic [20]  
[AUFORN Disclosure Australia Project Anno. #32](#) - Diane Harrison [33]  
[Interview With MUFON's James Carrion](#) - Eustaquio Andrea Patounas [198]  
[Re: Conspiracies Of Silence - Maccabee](#) - Bruce Maccabee [7]

## Dec 31:

[Re: Calling All Hudson Valley UFO Folk - Kasten](#) - Kathy Kasten [2]  
[Re: Mac Tonnie's The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis](#) - Paul Kimball [22]  
[Re: Interview With MUFON's James Carrion - Nielsen](#) - Rick Nielsen [11]  
[A Forgotten Chupacabras Case From 2005](#) - Scott Corrales [80]  
[Re: Calling All Hudson Valley UFO Folk - Lehmborg](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [17]

*The number enclosed in brackets is the number of lines of new text in the message, excluding the header, blank lines and quotes from previous messages.*

[Previous Month](#)

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

## Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 12:58:48 -0000  
Fwd Date: Fri, 01 Dec 2006 07:58:18 -0500  
Subject: Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - Shough

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 11:49:20 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Natural Or Supernatural?

>In another FSR piece F. W. Holiday attempted to link the famous  
>Socorro CE2/CE3 with fossilized tracks in Texas. In this reading  
>the humanoids New Mexico police officer Lonnie Zamora briefly  
>glimpsed did not step out of the nearby UFO, as the witness  
>thought, but out of a "tempic field different to [sic] the one  
>inhabited by ourselves." The tracks they left, he argued, are  
>like fossilized human prints found near Glen Rose, Texas, amid  
>those left by dinosaurs - evidence, in Holiday's view, that  
>paranormal entities are able to travel backwards and forwards in  
>time. In fact, the fossilized prints are of dinosaur feet; they  
>appear unusual only because sediments had filled in the toe  
>marks before hardening into rock. Moreover, the Texas prints are  
>not small ones like those at Socorro; they are 15 to 20 inches  
>long. Nonetheless, though his argument made no sense on any  
>level, Holiday had nothing but contempt for those who would take  
>issue with it:

>"Personally, I wouldn't trust an establishment scientist further  
>than I could throw him as regards interpreting the 'holy  
>footprint' business objectively. Too much is at stake including  
>all the accepted machinery of causation. You would hardly expect  
>high priests of the scientific status quo to take part in the  
>slitting of their own throats."

Hello Jerry

Holiday's juxtaposition of Socorro and fossil dinosaur tracks is a pretty striking coincidence, given the prominence of Ray Stanford in both areas. I trust this is just a wierd coincidence!

If you have the reference to hand, can you tell me the issue of FSR that Holiday's article appeared in? Thankyou.

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

## Comparing MAAR & Roper Surveys

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 06:06:55 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 01 Dec 2006 07:59:22 -0500  
Subject: Comparing MAAR & Roper Surveys

Limits of Opinion Polls: Comparing Malevolent Alien Abduction  
Research & Roper Surveys

A non-chance statistical result is said to be significant.

Another way of looking at this is comparing statistical significance to the idea of the 'simple majority'. This statistical significance does not mean 'significance' in the usual sense of the word. It only refers to a result not readily attributed to chance. Technically, this working definition of statistical significance is really too simplistic. But it will work for this article.

Generally, chance for two options equals fifty percent. That is, if you flip a non-trick coin several times, you should expect 50% heads and 50% tails. If the options are more than two, you'll usually have to adjust your percentage down. For example, three options equally weighted would give a chance result of 33 1/3 % one result, 33 1/3 % the second result, and 33 1/3 % the last result.

In surveys with true/false or yes/no options, you clearly have only two options. So statistical significance for one of the items should be 51% or more. In our example of three, we should have one result at 34% or more.

An internet survey on alien abductions was offered to interested respondents, for eight years, between 1997 and 2005 by MAAR, the Malevolent Alien Abduction Research website. It can be found at:

[http://www.maar.us/abduction\\_data.html](http://www.maar.us/abduction_data.html)

It was a laudable attempt. I believe the authors were sincerely looking for a legitimate consensus on this topic. It also had the appearance of a scientific research survey. But it had limits. The survey tabulators eliminated approximately 6370 or 48% of the 13270 respondents, due to several indicators including:

- Inappropriate answers
- Jokes
- Spam
- Being under 13
- Non-legitimate return email addresses
- Faked/dishonest answers, and
- Responding more than once from the same IP address

But there were other flaws.

First, the majority of questions were of the 'yes/no/not sure' type. Although this appears to indicate a three-way split for equally weighted items, that's not the case. There's a psychology to surveys. Most respondents want to answer yes, if that's an option.

Next, the survey was offered at a site with a title indicating 'malevolence' on the part of the aliens. This theory of alien intent may, in the end, be revealed as irrefutable in all cases. But titled as malevolent, the survey could be expected to

indicate negative experiences throughout, which it did, to a high level of statistical significance.

Then, the respondents came to the test from web searches that were based on specific keywords consistent with the topic. I presume that this internet-based survey was chosen due to a lack of financial resources to take a survey among a larger and more general population. I can really sympathize with that! But this greatly reduced the number of respondents from the general public. It is true that no survey is unbiased at its core. But more generally administered polls typically have more objective results.

So, with all that in mind, we can conclude the following from the MAAR survey:

- Respondents were those who thought they might have been abducted by malevolent aliens.
- Respondents sought out a place to voice their negative experiences.
- Negative experiences predominated the results.

These conclusions were revealed as a sort of self-fulfilling prophecy. The results were predicted before the data was obtained, due to questions chosen to elicit specific responses. However, I must again aver that similar results may be revealed and become more credible with time and more data objectively obtained.

On the other hand, the Roper survey was better administered and yielded more credible results. A summary can be found at [http://www.nidsci.org/news/roper\\_surveys.html](http://www.nidsci.org/news/roper_surveys.html)

The Roper organization is found at:

<http://www.ropercenter.uconn.edu/>

There are several reasons for this:

- The survey sample was from a more general population. But without compulsory means, this was still limited to those willing to complete a survey.
- Only eleven questions were used. Five of the questions were considered essential.
- The survey was conducted three times each. This yielded an error rate of only + 1.4%.

But the Roper polls had flaws too.

A 1991 item, 'Having vivid dreams about UFO's', was changed in the 1998 survey to, 'Having been abducted by the occupants of a UFO.'

The control word, TRONDANT, originally thought to be an arbitrarily, made-up word, was in fact a real word. The word 'Trondant' is a title for the angel Metatron, or Sefer Ha-Heshek. (By the way, the Metatron stories and their connection to possible ancient, alien abductions and contacts, is an interesting topic in itself. But that's another story.) So however unlikely, persons indicating familiarity with the word TRONDANT, may have been telling the truth.

Even so, the Roper poll did give more believable results. In the 1991 poll, only two percent of the 5947 respondents, or 119, had 'experiences known to be associated with UFO abductions'.

In 1998, this figure was cut in half. One percent of the 5955, or 60, had those kinds of experiences. Although this was less than the poll seven years earlier, it contradicted the concerns that exposure to the UFO media would spoil the survey sample. This did not happen. No reason for the downward trend was revealed by the results.

This brings me to my last point: How can we all know what we're dealing with, until we can get a more generic response from a more general population sample? Or does this already exist? And if it already exists, where is it? Why isn't it available to all

of us to review?

Rick Nielsen

On the web at:

<http://alienabductionaccounts.blogspot.com/>  
<http://nilthchi.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

## Re: Happy Birthday to Jerome Clark! - Goldstein

From: Josh Goldstein <[lovolution.nul](mailto:lovolution.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 07:49:25 -0800  
Fwd Date: Fri, 01 Dec 2006 08:05:45 -0500  
Subject: Re: Happy Birthday to Jerome Clark! - Goldstein

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 28 Nov 2006 09:24:33 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Happy Birthday to Jerome Clark!

>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 27 Nov 2006 09:33:56 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Happy Birthday to Jerome Clark!

>>>From: Loren Coleman <[lcoleman.nul](mailto:lcoleman.nul)>  
>>>To: UFO Updates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Mon, 27 Nov 2006 09:21:07 -0400  
>>>Subject: Happy Birthday to Jerome Clark!

>>>Jerome Clark's birthday is November 27th.

>>Happy birthday to you  
>>And to John Rimmer, too...  
>>When his 'bleak' day rolls 'round at last...  
>>Still, Happy Birthday to you!

>Thanks for the kind greetings, everybody. I survived the day -  
>marking, groan, my 60th year on earth - and now it's on to  
>whatever awaits beyond.

>And remember, folks: John Rimmer on August 22!

>Cheers,

>Jerry Clark

Aloha Jerry, This is a bit belated as I was away on another island here in Hawaii. I was in nature and I purposely did not take my laptop with me.

I wish you a Happy Birthday and a big congratulations! I turned 60 last June 18 and I am still here, almost six months later and after a number of times being on the edge of death.

You still have plenty of time to enjoy these phases of your life to their fullest here on earth. Please remember to have as much fun and joy as possible.

Thanks for all you have said and written. For decades I have learned a great deal from you. I will for many more.

Mahalo,

Josh Goldstein

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

## Re: Are They Real UFO Images? - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 16:58:01 +0000  
Fwd Date: Fri, 01 Dec 2006 08:07:14 -0500  
Subject: Re: Are They Real UFO Images? - Kasten

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Are They Real UFO Images?  
>Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 07:39:55 -0500

>The People's Daily, in Beijing, China, postd 5 images  
>of purported UFOs, yesterday, November 29, 2006 at:

>[http://english.people.com.cn/200611/29/eng20061129\\_326444.html](http://english.people.com.cn/200611/29/eng20061129_326444.html)

Orthon lives!! Adamski was right (check out the last photo - it looks like a scout craft).

KK

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

## Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - Clark

From: **Jerome Clark** <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 11:11:06 -0600  
Fwd Date: Fri, 01 Dec 2006 08:09:11 -0500  
Subject: Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - Clark

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 12:58:48 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Natural Or Supernatural?

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 11:49:20 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Natural Or Supernatural?

Hi, Martin,

>>In another FSR piece F. W. Holiday attempted to link the famous  
>>Socorro CE2/CE3 with fossilized tracks in Texas. In this reading  
>>the humanoids New Mexico police officer Lonnie Zamora briefly  
>>glimpsed did not step out of the nearby UFO, as the witness  
>>thought, but out of a "tempic field different to [sic] the one  
>>inhabited by ourselves." The tracks they left, he argued, are  
>>like fossilized human prints found near Glen Rose, Texas, amid  
>>those left by dinosaurs - evidence, in Holiday's view, that  
>>paranormal entities are able to travel backwards and forwards in  
>>time. In fact, the fossilized prints are of dinosaur feet; they  
>>appear unusual only because sediments had filled in the toe  
>>marks before hardening into rock. Moreover, the Texas prints are  
>>not small ones like those at Socorro; they are 15 to 20 inches  
>>long. Nonetheless, though his argument made no sense on any  
>>level, Holiday had nothing but contempt for those who would take  
>>issue with it:

>If you have the reference to hand, can you tell me the issue of  
>FSR that Holiday's article appeared in? Thank you.

The article is "The Holy Footprint Enigma," FSR 18,2 (March/  
April 1972): 20-21.

My earlier post in this thread, I'll take the opportunity to  
clarify as long as I have the floor, shouldn't be read as an  
attack on any individual on this List. I was simply expressing  
exasperation at what I regard as the persistence of certain  
unsustainable but apparently unkillable notions about the UFO  
phenomenon and its significance.

My problem is not with any individuals, but with magical  
thinking which will get - has gotten - us nowhere.

Jerry Clark

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |



[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

## Re: Are They Real UFO Images? - Balaskas

From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 12:11:32 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 01 Dec 2006 08:10:28 -0500  
Subject: Re: Are They Real UFO Images? - Balaskas

>The People's Daily, in Beijing, China, postd 5 images  
>of purported UFOs, yesterday, November 29, 2006 at:

>[http://english.people.com.cn/200611/29/eng20061129\\_326444.html](http://english.people.com.cn/200611/29/eng20061129_326444.html)

Hi Everyone!

Three of these five 'UFO' photos have already been discussed and positively identified or explained by those of us on the UFO UpDates list. Two of these UFOs are birds caught in flight with their heads/beaks, wings and tails clearly visible.

I thought the last photo showing three identical looking UFOs was interesting since it was not a BLURFO (a UFO that has zero eyewitnesses since it was not seen by the person who took the picture but was noticed sometime afterwards when the picture was viewed). Since all three UFOs would have appeared to be much larger than the full moon (assuming that the witness used a typical camera with normal lense), I found it strange that only one picture was submitted to UFOEvidence.org by these two witnesses. I would still like to see the other two pictures taken with the same camera that allegedly did not turn out before I hazard even a guess. If it was me with the camera, I would have taken a lot more pictures of this very strange encounter which could potentially turn out to be one of the best pictures of a "nuts and bolts" type of UFO we have.

Most if not all the UFO photos in the public domain can easily and reasonably be explained in prosaic terms. Since such UFO images are among the important physical evidence we have in support for the unknown objects or artificial crafts seen in our skies, if you were to select five of the best ones that you would present as absolute proof that UFOs are real, which ones would they be?

Unfortunately, I think ufologists would be hard pressed to find even one!

Nick Balaskas

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

## Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers

From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 03:57:20 +1000  
Fwd Date: Fri, 01 Dec 2006 08:16:42 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 10:32:51 EST  
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 17:49:39 +1000  
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>List, Kevin,

>>Why, confronted with a rational scenario, and an animated  
>>response from many List members willing to discuss Ed's site  
>>scenario, does Kevin refuse to even entertain Ed's argument?

>Oh, but I do entertain Ed's argument. The problem is that we  
>need all the facts. For example, Ed calls his witness an MP, but  
>according to the documentation, the man was in Squadron T which  
>was not an MP unit.

Whoops! hold up a sec...Surely in the Forces teams of men would  
be sequestered to do MP work or any other required tasks at any  
stage(?) even for a few weeks, days, hours, or if something big  
was going on.... At the time the "MP" was maybe working as an  
MP(?)

>This MP tells us that the aliens have six  
>fingers and that the autopsy film is real because the alien he  
>saw looks like the one in the film. I have a problem with that.

What problem do you have with that...? Two different crashes,  
perhaps more than a few creatures, stunned witnesses(?) You  
just have a problem with the Film.... You discount the MPs story  
because you have a problem with the AA..and the amount of  
fingers ...surely not?. We know nothing about these creatures.  
For all we know males could have 4or5 fingers and females six?.  
The finger count does not have to be a big issue imho.

>But mostly, the discussion that I've been adding to refers to  
>the Debris Field specifically and not these other multiple sites  
>scattered around southern New Mexico.

There you go again..."these other multiple sites scattered about  
NM..." ...What does that mean?) That may be the problem..we may  
need to have some consensus on which crash is which and keep  
them separated? Any way we can do that?

>>Right from the start Kevin has defended some cause destructive  
>>to valid discussion.

>How is attempting to make sure that the facts on the table  
>destructive to valid discussion? It would seem to me that we'd  
>>want the terms defined, the locations identified and the  
>players named.

Yes, I agree, But with respect I just felt you weren't quite  
open to valid discussion, it was like you had made your mind up  
from the beginning, "...this couldn't be right" attitude. As

for facts on the table...People/witnesses are fallible. Unusual specimens of melted spattered mud in a selected area within the possible trajectory from the Debris site has to be worth a closer look. Ed grew up playing in the desert and knows the terrain and it's idiosyncrasies. If he says this is unusual, you can bet it's damn unusual. Also within the Socorro site there is Crystabolite, which shouldn't be there, within a selected area of \_that\_ crash site. Also the trees burnt on the upper side and rocks, burnt on the side facing the Crystobalite site Isn't there enough solid data to at least have another look at it?

>>Why did/does he continue to vehemently confuse the issue?

>I'm thinking here that I misunderstood Ed in the beginning. He >was talking about another site some 2.5 miles from the Debris >Field and that I thought he meant the Debris Field. My >confusion >then. But then we have the attacks on the Debris Field, the >only >location to which first-hand witnesses have taken us. Others >seem to want to dismiss this out of hand by making up reasons >to reject this

I think I missed something "...attacks on the Debris Field?", "making up reasons to reject this?"

>>Others seem to get it, but he jumps from Debris Field to >>Kaufman, who one minute is quoted, the next he's got a made up >>story.

>We have to separate the Debris Field from the one or two other >sites that Ed is talking about. Second, I said that the MP's >story was in conflict with others and Ed asked for examples. I >gave him a couple including Kaufmann because Ed seemed to think >that Kaufmann could add something to the discussion. I tried to >make it clear (and you seem to have understood it) that Kaufmann >was unreliable but what he told us was in conflict with what the >MP told us, a fact that Ed doesn't seem to get.

Its quite simple.

- 1.Macks Debris Field (Roswell)
  2. Touchdown/Crash Site (Roswell)
- and
- 3.Socorro Site. (MP)

I'm sure some witnesses are in conflict with your scenario as you say there are conflicts with Ed's. Ed didn't say Kaufman was right, he said Kaufman was perhaps loaded with disinformation? If he was a clerk he might be a prime target for the disinformationists.(?) Unfortunately he does bring something to the table and cannot be completely dismissed?

>Ms. Kasten had a valid point re the coyote hole, but again >>Kevin jumped

>Here, I'm afraid that she doesn't have a valid point. A coyote >hole simply doesn't fit the description of the gouge.

Ms Kasten quoted Dr. Doleman's Final Report which must be considered in the whole scheme of it, or is Dr Doleman to be discounted. We really know bugger all about the gouge. One minute it's dead grass then a gouge then a Foxhole.

>Instead of >listening to this, she first believes that the information came >from Jesse Marcel Jr., then decides that Bill Brazel was a young >kid, and finally tells us he would be too busy drinking beer and >chasing women to have gotten a good or accurate look at the >Debris Field. Had her assessment made sense in the context of >what we had heard from others, then that would have been one >thing but when I suggested that the coyote hole, in the context >of the discussion didn't make sense and the descriptions by the >eyewitnesses tended to rule that out, she ignored it.

Yes KK did get her Roswell sons mixed up...but I bet she never does again...<g>

So maybe the gouge wasn't so much of a gouge... perhaps an area of dead grass? With a Foxhole in the \_easy\_ soil. After the clean up it's a contentious issue where the Debris Site is anyway, isn't it?

>>Ed has been to the site recently and has also done the hard >>yards Kevin did.

>Ed has been to a site that was described to him by a man who >claims to be an MP but who clearly was not. Ed is working with >those descriptions and may have found the location described, >but that doesn't tell us much and, more importantly, he has but >a single witness to it.

You have to admit there are many consistencies here... Have you seen Ed and Wendy Connors photographs of the unusual mud(?) splattered rocks, or read Wendy Connors report? This can't be dismissed so easily as you seem to suggest it should be?

>On the other hand, the Debris Field that some wish to dismiss >so casually, was shown to me by more than one witness. It was >described by others. And yes, I understand now that the Debris >Field is not the location to which Ed refers.

>>With the greatest respect, this negative approach is a little >>disconcerting. Is there any reason why you are trying to kill >>this site scenario, or is it just a personality clash complete >>with snide comments?

>No, it's that I'm annoyed with having the same conversations >over and over. I'm annoyed with having the goal posts moved >each >time I score a point and I'm annoyed that some just invent >answers to make their points valid.

Excuse me Kevin, I felt it was you 'moving the goalposts' Every time anyone else scored a point you cried foul. Where are these "invented answers?" and who is being "...dismissive of the Debris field so casually?"

>Take Kathy Kasten (and again >the same example), she decided, with no evidence that (a) Bill >Brazel was a kid when the Debris Field was found so that we >could ignore his description and then (b) we could ignore Bill >Brazel because he was a 20-year old punk chasing women an >drinking beer. Neither assumption was valid.

>So, let's return to facts and go from there.

So you base your gouge objection to Ms. Kasten's, mistake about the Brazel/Marcel kids..? I don't understand?

Just thinking of the sheep...

William

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

## Re: UFO Nut [Lazar] Sells Spy Poison Online -

From: Jan Aldrich <[project1947.nul](mailto:project1947.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 14:08:24 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 01 Dec 2006 08:21:21 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFO Nut [Lazar] Sells Spy Poison Online -

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 08:20:51 -0500  
>Subject: Re: UFO Nut [Lazar] Sells Spy Poison Online

>On Nov 29, 2006, at 8:00 AM, UFO UpDates - Toronto wrote:

>>FF\_192\_chem1\_f.jpgPolonium-210, which experts say is many times  
>>more deadly than cyanide, can be bought legally through United  
>>Nuclear Scientific Supplies, a mail-order company that sells  
>>through the Web, based in Sandia Park, N.M. Chemical companies  
>>sell the Polonium-210 legally for industrial use, such as  
>>removing static electricity from machinery. United Nuclear  
>>claims that it's "currently the only legal Alpha source  
>>available without a license."

>This is nonsense.

>I have Polonium 210 here in my house. Almost every photographer  
>with a darkroom will have it. It is used in Staticmaster  
>anti-static brushes, which have been sold since the 70s.

>No license is needed to buy them, and United Nuclear Supply is  
>not involved in their production. I could buy several of them,  
>or the refill cartridges, and take out the Polonium elements and  
>grind into powder if I wanted to poison someone.

><http://www.2spi.com/catalog/photo/statmaster.shtml>

Bob,

Stevie Wonder is a musical genius.....

Oops, ah... let's try that again, Bob Lazar is a scientific  
genius!

Bob Lazar uses his nuclear accelerator in his backyard to  
recycle old cereal box tops in Pollamium. If you don't believe  
me ask George Knapp of Gullible News Inc. After all, he broke  
the story about Lazar's nuc.

I guess selling the stuff is to help pay for all the electricity  
that the accelerator uses.

That's Polonium!

Yeah sure, Pollamium is element 239.

Whatever.

Jan Aldrich

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

## Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 14:29:02 EST  
Fwd Date: Fri, 01 Dec 2006 08:22:57 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 13:44:47 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 10:32:51 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 17:49:39 +1000  
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>List, Kevin,

>>>Why, confronted with a rational scenario, and an animated  
>>>response from many List members willing to discuss Ed's site  
>>>scenario, does Kevin refuse to even entertain Ed's argument?

>>Oh, but I do entertain Ed's argument. The problem is that we  
>>need all the facts. For example, Ed calls his witness an MP, but  
>>according to the documentation, the man was in Squadron T which  
>>was not an MP unit.

>Hi Kevin, William, List,

>The person who contacted me said he was an MP and he also  
>mentioned working at the gate. Didn't Thomas Gonzales also claim  
>to be an MP in Squadron "T"? The person who contacted me used a  
>name and rank that corresponds with a member of that squadron.

Good Afternoon, Ed, List, All -

Gonzales never said he was an MP. He said that they had swept  
through the area looking for people were not occupied with  
something else and drafted them for this guard duty.

>There is always the possibility that this person was creating  
>the story for my benefit and wanted to confuse the issue. He  
>didn't seem to me to be a phoney, but anything is possible. I  
>understand that. But if I get your drift, you're implying that  
>someone using a name lifted from the squadron "T" roster,  
>contacted me and filled my head with distorted and misleading  
>information.

Nope. I'm just saying that if he was a member of Squadron "T",  
he wasn't an MP. If he told you he was an MP, then that would  
suggest something about his credibility. However, if you  
inferred he was an MP based on the story he told, then we don't  
have that problem.

>>This MP tells us that the aliens have six  
>>fingers and that the autopsy film is real because the alien he  
>>saw looks like the one in the film. I have a problem with that.

>He said that one of the creatures he saw had six fingers, and  
>looked just like the creature in the AA. If he is who he says he

>is, this testimony is relevant to my efforts to prove that the  
>AA is footage of a real event. If he's proved to be a BS artist,  
>then his testimony isn't valuable, but that still doesn't negate  
>the AA.

I would have thought that Philip Mantle's interview with Ray Santilli would have told you something about the authenticity of the AA. And, if the AA is bogus, then that tells us something else about the credibility of your witness.

What his testimony does, if the AA isn't real, is tell us about his reliability but it certainly gives us no clues about the authenticity of the AA. You are right on this.

>>But mostly, the discussion that I've been adding to refers to the  
>>Debris Field specifically and not these other multiple sites  
>>scattered around southern New Mexico.

>Yes, and it is confusing the issue. The site I found is where  
>the remainder of the craft landed. It was basically intact  
>except for a large hole in its port side.

Confused the issue, yes. Confusing the issue no. I fail to see how anyone who has followed this from the beginning would not understand that I thought you were originally talking about the Debris Field.

>>>Right from the start Kevin has defended some cause destructive  
>>>to valid discussion.

>>How is attempting to make sure that the facts on the table  
>>destructive to valid discussion? It would seem to me that we'd  
>>want the terms defined, the locations identified and the players  
>>named.

>Yes I agree. Here's the bottom line: the testimony of Mack,  
>Wilmots, Woodys and the MP made me consider the possibility that  
>a crash site could be found within five miles of the Foster  
>ranch debris field. Aerial photos of that area showed what  
>appeared to be disrupted desert terrain and also the roads that  
>>would get me there. I then drove to this site and found it  
>covered with a strange mud-like material. This site is on public  
>land and can be easily explored, examined and analyzed. It would  
>be great if we could organize a collective effort and set a date  
>for folks to show up and decide for themselves what it all  
>means.

>I think I now know what happened at Roswell. I'll be happy to  
>explain it to anyone who cares to ask, but my opinion doesn't  
>matter. What's important is that we now have a site that can be  
>tested with the tools of science. I think we'll be pleasantly  
>surprised.

Well, that makes one of us.

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

## Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 14:41:59 EST  
Fwd Date: Fri, 01 Dec 2006 08:24:01 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 10:41:49 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 08:08:05 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 15:32:39 +1000  
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>Another possibility along these lines is Mack Brazel said  
>>>the sheep wouldn't cross or go anywhere near the debris.  
>>>Could that mean there were properties in the debris, if  
>>>it kept the sheep away, could have killed off any grass?  
>>>That could explain the explosion in mid-air and the gouge,  
>>>maybe done by the clean-up crew?

>>It could have just smelled bad. Sheep have a very keen sense  
>>of smell.

>>So far as I remember, no one has said anything about smell. This  
>>stuff must have smelled like something. I wonder if Jess Marcel  
>>would remember.

>Bob & List,

>The issue of smell, or lack thereof, I think is an important  
>one. Rotting, smelly neoprene balloons might explain the  
>reluctance of sheep to cross the debris field. But no witness  
>has ever mentioned any smell associated with the Foster Ranch  
>debris. E.g., I once asked Jesse Marcel Jr. if he remembered any  
>smell with the debris in the Marcel kitchen, and he again didn't  
>remember any. Neither has any other witness at either the Foster  
>Ranch or back in Roswell.

Good Afternoon David, Bob, William, List, All -

Since it was I who introduced the idea that the sheep wouldn't  
cross the field back in one of the Roswell books, let me get rid  
of the idea that odor had anything to do with it. Tommy Tyree  
told me (and Don Schmitt) that the sheep refused to cross the  
Debris Field because of all the shiny metal and trash scattered  
around. He said nothing about the odor frightening them, only  
that the metal did.

>The only time smell was mentioned was by J. Bond Johnson,  
>photographer/reporter in Gen. Ramey's office in Fort Worth. He  
>recalled the smelly, acrid balloon pictured on the floor there.  
>Of course Marcel and Gen. Dubose both said it was a shill  
>balloon, the cover story to get the press off their backs.

I'm not sure just how much odor could be attributed to one of  
these neoprene balloons. Johnson suggested that it had a burned  
odor and called it smelly garbage.

>But back at Roswell and the Foster Ranch, nobody mentions  
>smells. If neoprene weather balloons were at the Foster Ranch  
>debris field and then taken back to Roswell, you would think at  
>least one witness would mention their smell. Smell is such a  
>primitive sense that it goes unfiltered direct to the brain. It  
>forms very vivid memories, especially bad smells, like decaying  
>bodies.

Of course, back at the ranch, it could be that there were (a) no  
neoprene balloons lying around, (b) it's open area so that any  
odor coming from them would be long dissipated, or c) no one  
thought to mention the odor on the Debris Field (which is the  
field the sheep refused to cross according to Tyree) because it  
just wasn't very strong.

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

## 'UFO' Crashes In Russia's Siberia

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 01 Dec 2006 08:29:57 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 01 Dec 2006 08:29:57 -0500  
Subject: 'UFO' Crashes In Russia's Siberia

Source: MosNews - Moscow, Russia

<http://tinyurl.com/ylfldy>

01.12.2006

UFO Crashes In Russia's Siberia

An unidentified flying object has crashed in Krasnoyarsk Region, Siberia, causing a forest fire, the RIA-Novosti news agency reported on Friday.

The crash took place in the taiga between the towns of Yeniseisk and Lesosibirsk, the agency said quoting the local Interior Ministry directorate. The crash was reported by local villagers and traces of forest fire can be seen on the site. Air traffic officials said that no aircraft were scheduled to fly in the region at the time of the crash and no air vessels were missing.

A group formed of police investigators, representatives of the Transport Prosecutors Service and representatives of the aircraft safety watchdog Rosavianadzor has started to the site of the crash from Krasnoyarsk.

Local Emergencies Directorate has sent a helicopter to the site.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

## Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 09:01:56 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 01 Dec 2006 09:15:03 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Kaeser

>From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
>To: <[ufupdates.nul](mailto:ufupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 03:57:20 +1000  
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

<snip>

>Whoops! hold up a sec...Surely in the Forces teams of men would  
>be sequestered to do MP work or any other required tasks at any  
>stage(?) even for a few weeks, days, hours, or if something big  
>was going on.... At the time the "MP" was maybe working as an  
>MP(?)

What do you base this on? What US military manuals of the late  
1940s would have directed that the MPs be sequestered?

>>This MP tells us that the aliens have six  
>>fingers and that the autopsy film is real because the alien he  
>>saw looks like the one in the film. I have a problem with that.

>What problem do you have with that...? Two different crashes,  
>perhaps more than a few creatures, stunned witnesses(?) You  
>just have a problem with the Film.... You discount the MPs story  
>because you have a problem with the AA..and the amount of  
>fingers ...surely not?. We know nothing about these creatures.  
>For all we know males could have 4or5 fingers and females six?..  
>The finger count does not have to be a big issue imho.

I think part of the problem is that Ed has been an AA "film"  
proponent for so long that many have little trust in his  
judgement at times. Let's have the witness come forward and  
become vetted in a proper manner, and then perhaps we can talk  
about six finger aliens. So far, the AA "film" and a special  
effects expert in London seems to be the key to that mystery.

<snip>

>>Ed has been to a site that was described to him by a man who  
>>claims to be an MP but who clearly was not. Ed is working with  
>>those descriptions and may have found the location described,  
>>but that doesn't tell us much and, more importantly, he has but  
>>a single witness to it.

>You have to admit there are many consistencies here... Have you  
>seen Ed and Wendy Connors photographs of the unusual mud(?)  
>splattered rocks, or read Wendy Connors report? This can't be  
>dismissed so easily as you seem to suggest it should be?

There was more I could say, but it's not really worth the  
bandwidth.

Ed swore up and down that he had located the "crash site"  
identified by the "cameraman" to Ray Santilli, and used the  
drawing (based on a description by Ray from a phone  
conversation) to verify that it was the right place. HMMMMMMMMM.  
Ray Santilli is now facing a possible Fraud suit in Great  
Britian as a result of his "film", and a SFX expert has admitted  
to creating the creatures used in the video, so I think this may  
limit the viability of the map in question.

Rocks from that site were sent to Ray Sanford for study, because they were supposed to be proof of extreme temperatures and an apparent crash. But Ray came back with an analysis that they were naturally formed deposits and the anomaly that was generated was unfortunate.

You can jump on Ed's theory if you'd like, but until you come up with some actual evidence I think you're just whistling in the wind. Single anecdotal tales are a dime a dozen in Roswell, and you'll often find what you go looking for.

Steve

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO Updates Main Index](#)

**UFO Updates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

## Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - Clark

From: **Jerome Clark** <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 08:41:44 -0600  
Fwd Date: Fri, 01 Dec 2006 10:20:22 -0500  
Subject: Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - Clark

>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 15:45:47 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Natural Or Supernatural?

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 11:49:20 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Natural Or Supernatural?

<snip>

Ray,

>First, would recommend a second look at Fort's writings - then  
>replacing 'good-humored' with 'acidic' or 'vitriolic' maybe.

Nah, I don't think so. If anything, Fort gets funnier as I return to him again and again in my adult, presumably more mature intellectual life. If I want "acidic" and "vitriolic," I'll climb down the literary ladder from the heights and into the basement where Keel's collected works are stored.

In the course of historical research projects, I've come across previously unknown letters Fort published in a range of newspapers, including one in Kingston, Jamaica. They tell us things about his serious interest in subjects like space travel and interplanetary visitation (from both directions), but even here - as in his private correspondence - there is zero evidence that Fort was a Keel- style spewer of spittle.

To the contrary, his sense of humor, his ironic view of human absurdity, and his ability to marvel at our strange and surprising universe are all in clear view, untainted by rage, resentment, and petty sentiment. Fort remains one of my heroes, not least because he will always make me laugh.

Jerry Clark

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

## Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Shell

From: **Bob Shell** <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 10:14:14 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 01 Dec 2006 10:36:05 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Shell

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 14:41:59 EST  
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>Since it was I who introduced the idea that the sheep wouldn't  
>cross the field back in one of the Roswell books, let me get rid  
>of the idea that odor had anything to do with it. Tommy Tyree  
>told me (and Don Schmitt) that the sheep refused to cross the  
>Debris Field because of all the shiny metal and trash scattered  
>around. He said nothing about the odor frightening them, only  
>that the metal did.

Did anyone interview the sheep? ;-)

Seriously, though, Tyree couldn't be privy to the sheep's  
thoughts, so he must have inferred from their actions that the  
shiny metal was scaring them. Maybe it was, maybe it wasn't.  
Maybe the sheep could smell something he couldn't.

I'm not saying it definitely was the smell, but surely you must  
admit that it could have been.

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 1](#)

## Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 15:55:55 -0000  
Fwd Date: Fri, 01 Dec 2006 11:10:07 -0500  
Subject: Re: Natural Or Supernatural? - Dickenson

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 08:41:44 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Natural Or Supernatural?

>>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 15:45:47 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Natural Or Supernatural?

>>First, would recommend a second look at Fort's writings - then  
>>replacing 'good-humored' with 'acidic' or 'vitriolic' maybe.

>Nah, I don't think so. If anything, Fort gets funnier as I  
>return to him again and again in my adult, presumably more  
>mature intellectual life. If I want "acidic" and "vitriolic,"  
>I'll climb down the literary ladder from the heights and into  
>the basement where Keel's collected works are stored.

>In the course of historical research projects, I've come across  
>previously unknown letters Fort published in a range of  
>newspapers, including one in Kingston, Jamaica. They tell us  
>things about his serious interest in subjects like space travel  
>and interplanetary visitation (from both directions), but even  
>here - as in his private correspondence - there is zero evidence  
>that Fort was a Keel-style spewer of spittle.

>To the contrary, his sense of humor, his ironic view of human  
>absurdity, and his ability to marvel at our strange and  
>surprising universe are all in clear view, untainted by rage,  
>resentment, and petty sentiment. Fort remains one of my heroes,  
>not least because he will always make me laugh.

Hi,

Gotta admit not having read Keel (far as I know), so am limited  
to Fort.

Strange thing with Fort, he comes over differently almost any  
time I have a big reading session. Sometimes I get embarrassed  
for him when I think he's talked himself out on a limb, next  
time it'll appear quite a reasonable jab at some shoddy  
'science' of his day (and every day has some shoddy science -  
even today).

For an insider's laugh at science, would recommend B K Ridley's  
'Time, Space and Things', where's he more gently informs us of  
what we don't know yet - it's reasonable on Cambridge Press  
site.

BTW - think that's the only place there's a full description of  
the "two slit experiment" - even the Google video apparently  
thinks the full results are too weird to describe.

Cheers

Ray D

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

## Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Rudiak

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 09:44:31 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sat, 02 Dec 2006 06:58:41 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Rudiak

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 14:41:59 EST  
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 10:41:49 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 08:08:05 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>>From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 15:32:39 +1000  
>>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>>So far as I remember, no one has said anything about smell.  
>>>>This stuff must have smelled like something. I wonder if Jess  
>>>>Marcel would remember.

>>>>The issue of smell, or lack thereof, I think is an important  
>>>>one. Rotting, smelly neoprene balloons might explain the  
>>>>reluctance of sheep to cross the debris field. But no witness  
>>>>has ever mentioned any smell associated with the Foster Ranch  
>>>>debris. E.g., I once asked Jesse Marcel Jr. if he remembered  
>>>>any smell with the debris in the Marcel kitchen, and he again  
>>>>didn't remember any. Neither has any other witness at either the  
>>>>Foster Ranch or back in Roswell.

>>>>Since it was I who introduced the idea that the sheep wouldn't  
>>>>cross the field back in one of the Roswell books, let me get rid  
>>>>of the idea that odor had anything to do with it. Tommy Tyree  
>>>>told me (and Don Schmitt) that the sheep refused to cross the  
>>>>Debris Field because of all the shiny metal and trash scattered  
>>>>around. He said nothing about the odor frightening them, only  
>>>>that the metal did.

>>>>The only time smell was mentioned was by J. Bond Johnson,  
>>>>photographer/reporter in Gen. Ramey's office in Fort Worth. He  
>>>>recalled the smelly, acrid balloon pictured on the floor  
>>>>there.  
>>>>Of course Marcel and Gen. Dubose both said it was a shill  
>>>>balloon, the cover story to get the press off their backs.

>>>>I'm not sure just how much odor could be attributed to one of  
>>>>these neoprene balloons. Johnson suggested that it had a burned  
>>>>odor and called it smelly garbage.

From what I've read, they have a pretty strong smell as they are  
decaying.

>>>>But back at Roswell and the Foster Ranch, nobody mentions  
>>>>smells. If neoprene weather balloons were at the Foster Ranch  
>>>>debris field and then taken back to Roswell, you would think  
>>>>at least one witness would mention their smell. Smell is such

>>a primitive sense that it goes unfiltered direct to the brain.  
>>It forms very vivid memories, especially bad smells, like  
>>decaying bodies.

>Of course, back at the ranch, it could be that there were (a)  
>no neoprene balloons lying around, (b) it's open area so that any  
>odor coming from them would be long dissipated, or c) no one  
>thought to mention the odor on the Debris Field (which is the  
>field the sheep refused to cross according to Tyree) because  
>it just wasn't very strong.

If what was shown at Fort Worth came from the Foster Ranch, then the balloon smell hadn't dissipated, according to Johnson's recollection (not that I believe for a moment that the balloon in Fort Worth came from the ranch). The point is, a smelly balloon gets reported in Fort Worth, but nobody prior to this, including people in close contact with the debris, both in the field or at Roswell, reported any sort of smell.

Who were some of the people who examined or held debris up close and personal before Fort Worth? Jesse Marcel & Marcel Jr., Sheridan Cavitt, Lewis Rickett, Mack Brazel & Brazel Jr. (a few small pieces), Bessie Brazel (maybe), Robert Porter, Robert Smith, Robert Shirkey, and maybe a few others. None of them reported any smell associated with the debris, not even Brazel in his infamous interview, after rolling up his "rubber strips" into a bundle.

I once asked Jesse Marcel Jr. in an email if he remembered any smell to the debris in the Marcel kitchen. The answer was no. On the other hand, to be fair about it, I also asked the same question of Irving Newton, Ramey's weather officer in Fort Worth, and he also answered no. And he was in the same room as the infamous Ramey weather balloon that Johnson reported stank up the place.

Nonetheless, I still consider very odd and significant that nobody reported any odor to the debris until we get to Fort Worth and Ramey's shill weather balloon.

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

## Re: 'UFO' Crashes In Russia's Siberia - Boone

From: Greg Boone <[evolbaby.nul](mailto:evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 01 Dec 2006 13:24:30 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 02 Dec 2006 07:03:50 -0500  
Subject: Re: 'UFO' Crashes In Russia's Siberia - Boone

>Source: MosNews - Moscow, Russia

><http://tinyurl.com/ylfldy>

>01.12.2006

>UFO Crashes In Russia's Siberia

>An unidentified flying object has crashed in Krasnoyarsk Region,  
>Siberia, causing a forest fire, the RIA-Novosti news agency  
>reported on Friday.

>The crash took place in the taiga between the towns of Yeniseisk  
>and Lesosibirsk, the agency said quoting the local Interior  
>Ministry directorate. The crash was reported by local villagers  
>and traces of forest fire can be seen on the site. Air traffic  
>officials said that no aircraft were scheduled to fly in the  
>region at the time of the crash and no air vessels were missing.

>A group formed of police investigators, representatives of the  
>Transport Prosecutors Service and representatives of the  
>aircraft safety watchdog Rosavianadzor has started to the site  
>of the crash from Krasnoyarsk.

>Local Emergencies Directorate has sent a helicopter to the site.

I'm amazed that this story hit the front page of Matt Drudge's  
Drudgereport website!

News on the wires about the story is scarce and the MosNews  
website is down no doubt to it being linked to by Matt.

Whether this story pans out or not it's worth a look see.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

## Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 01 Dec 2006 18:52:00 +0000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 02 Dec 2006 07:07:09 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Kasten

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 10:14:14 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 14:41:59 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>Since it was I who introduced the idea that the sheep wouldn't  
>>cross the field back in one of the Roswell books, let me get rid  
>>of the idea that odor had anything to do with it. Tommy Tyree  
>>told me (and Don Schmitt) that the sheep refused to cross the  
>>Debris Field because of all the shiny metal and trash scattered  
>>around. He said nothing about the odor frightening them, only  
>>that the metal did.

>Did anyone interview the sheep? ;-)

>Seriously, though, Tyree couldn't be privy to the sheep's  
>thoughts, so he must have inferred from their actions that the  
>shiny metal was scaring them. Maybe it was, maybe it wasn't.  
>Maybe the sheep could smell something he couldn't.

>I'm not saying it definitely was the smell, but surely you must  
>admit that it could have been.

If there was a smell, it would help determine what caused the  
explosion above ground. Too bad Dr. Doleman didn't do a  
radiation level reading. He did do other kinds of readings, but  
not for radiation. Now, that, or similar, reading I would be  
very interested in.

Ed Gehrman, if you put an expedition together, and you get  
somebody with the right kind of equipment - and who knows how  
to use it. Count me in. I am just over the border in Arizona,  
south of Tucson, and could make myself available.

KK

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)





# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

## Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 17:24:19 EST  
Fwd Date: Sat, 02 Dec 2006 07:11:07 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

>From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 03:57:20 +1000  
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 10:32:51 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 17:49:39 +1000  
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

Good Morning, William, List, All -

>>>List, Kevin,

>>>Why, confronted with a rational scenario, and an animated  
>>>response from many List members willing to discuss Ed's site  
>>>scenario, does Kevin refuse to even entertain Ed's argument?

>>Oh, but I do entertain Ed's argument. The problem is that we  
>>need all the facts. For example, Ed calls his witness an MP, but  
>>according to the documentation, the man was in Squadron T which  
>>was not an MP unit.

>Whoops! hold up a sec...Surely in the Forces teams of men would  
>be sequestered to do MP work or any other required tasks at any  
>stage(?) even for a few weeks, days, hours, or if something big  
>was going on.... At the time the "MP" was maybe working as an  
>MP(?)

The man claimed to be an MP, assigned to guard the front gate as part of his regular duty. This is not the same as Thomas Gonzales, also a member of Squadron 'T' who said that he was impressed into guard duty for this activity. While Ed's MP might have been caught in the same net that dragged in Gonzales, he was not assigned as an MP and working for a short period as an MP doesn't make one into an MP. In fact, guard duty, as a military occupation, something we all learn in basic training, doesn't even mean he was functioning as an MP, but as a soldier whose duty, at that point, was as a guard (or a soldier on guard duty) and not as an MP. Yes, it's splitting a hair here, but it's just that the guy wasn't an MP (which requires special training and the assignment of a specific MOS) according to the various documentation we that currently hold. I assign little significance to this, other than to note it as something that might become significant.

>>>This MP tells us that the aliens have six  
>>>fingers and that the autopsy film is real because the alien he  
>>>saw looks like the one in the film. I have a problem with that.

>What problem do you have with that...? Two different crashes,  
>perhaps more than a few creatures, stunned witnesses(?) You  
>just have a problem with the Film.... You discount the MPs story  
>because you have a problem with the AA..and the amount of

>fingers ...surely not?. We know nothing about these creatures.  
>For all we know males could have 4or5 fingers and females six?.  
>The finger count does not have to be a big issue imho.

Why not a dozen different crashes with creatures from a dozen different worlds then...

And, no, I'm saying that the MP's description of an alien with six fingers does not match other descriptions, something that we must also note. It was the MP who linked the creatures he saw to the AA and that should also be noted. If the film is faked...

>>But mostly, the discussion that I've been adding to refers to  
>>the Debris Field specifically and not these other multiple sites  
>>scattered around southern New Mexico.

>There you go again..."these other multiple sites scattered about  
>NM..." ...What does that mean?) That may be the problem..we may  
>need to have some consensus on which crash is which and keep  
>them separated? Any way we can do that?

Yes, I did that on purpose because we now have three sites on the Brazel (Poster) ranch (the Debris Field, the 'MP' site some 2.5 miles from the Debris Field, and another site maybe five or six miles away); the site near Ramon; the Kaufmann site (or wait, there are now three of those); the site over by Bottomless Lakes; the site south of the base; the site out on the Corn Ranch (as originally identified by Kaufmann and confirmed by Jim Ragdsdale until he decided the site was out by Boy Scout Mountain); the Socorro site of the MP (which, if I have this straight is really near the Debris Field); the Barney Barnett site, and the five different locations identified by Gerald Anderson until he decided on a location near Horse Springs... to me that is scattered around southern New Mexico.

>>>Right from the start Kevin has defended some cause destructive  
>>>to valid discussion.

>>How is attempting to make sure that the facts on the table  
>>destructive to valid discussion? It would seem to me that we'd  
>>>want the terms defined, the locations identified and the  
>>players named.

>Yes, I agree, But with respect I just felt you weren't quite  
>open to valid discussion, it was like you had made your mind up  
>from the beginning, "...this \_couldn't\_ be right" attitude. As  
>for facts on the table...People/witnesses are fallible. Unusual  
>specimens of melted spattered mud in a selected area within the  
>possible trajectory from the Debris site has to be worth a  
>closer look. Ed grew up playing in the desert and knows the  
>terrain and it's idiosyncrasies. If he says this is unusual, you  
>can bet it's damn unusual. Also within the Socorro site there is  
>Crystabolite, which shouldn't be there, within a selected area  
>of \_that\_ crash site. Also the trees burnt on the upper side and  
>rocks, burnt on the side facing the Crystobalite site Isn't  
>there enough solid data to at least have another look at it?

But you see, to me that was never part of the discussion. I was talking about the Debris Field that Bill Brazel and others pointed out to me. I had originally thought there was an attempt to move the Debris Field to some other location based solely on descriptions, but Ed and the others were talking of a new and different site. I don't know how to make this any clearer to you.

It seems to me that you place greater stock in Ed's refusal to even listen to what Brazel said than in Brazel, who had been raised on that ranch and was familiar with that piece of ground. Brazel said it grassed back over in a couple of years... he was there, saw the gouge and saw the grass eventually reclaim the bare earth. But you prefer to listen to Ed who grew up playing in the desert but who didn't see the gouge or the grass.

And who is intractable in this discussion, Ed, who said that he just didn't believe anything about the gouge, or me, who, at the very least, is attempting to explain the gouge to those who refuse to listen.

>>>Why did/does he continue to vehemently confuse the issue?

>>>I'm thinking here that I misunderstood Ed in the beginning. He

>>was talking about another site some 2.5 miles from the Debris  
>>Field and that I thought he meant the Debris Field. My confusion  
>>then. But then we have the attacks on the Debris Field, the only  
>>location to which first-hand witnesses have taken us. Others  
>>seem to want to dismiss this out of hand by making up reasons  
>>to reject this

>I think I missed something "...attacks on the Debris Field?",  
>"making up reasons to reject this?"

Well, not wanting to flog a dead horse here, but we have the  
coyote hole explanation which was presented to us originally as  
the answer to the gouge... and we have Ed's insistence that he  
doesn't believe there was a gouge and his rejection of anything  
to suggest there was one based on his opinion of how long it  
would be visible regardless of what the rancher's son, who was  
actually out there, repeatedly might say.

That seems to me to be two people who are inventing reasons to  
reject the notion of a gouge. They seem pretty intractable about  
it and seem to reject, out of hand, all descriptions of it...  
and you suggest that I had my mind made up in advance.

>>>Others seem to get it, but he jumps from Debris Field to  
>>>Kaufman, who one minute is quoted, the next he's got a made up  
>>>story.

>>We have to separate the Debris Field from the one or two other  
>>sites that Ed is talking about. Second, I said that the MP's  
>>story was in conflict with others and Ed asked for examples. I  
>>gave him a couple including Kaufmann because Ed seemed to think  
>>that Kaufmann could add something to the discussion. I tried to  
>>make it clear (and you seem to have understood it) that Kaufmann  
>>was unreliable but what he told us was in conflict with what the  
>>MP told us, a fact that Ed doesn't seem to get.

>Its quite simple.

1.Macks Debris Field (Roswell)

>2. Touchdown/Crash Site (Roswell)

>and

>3.Socorro Site. (MP)

But you seem to have forgotten the MP site on the Brazel (Foster)  
ranch, not to mention some others.

And using the descriptions given by the mythical cameramen of the  
AA, Ed found that site near Socorro. But if the AA was a fake,  
created completely in England and if there was no cameraman, then  
just what did Ed find? If there were anomalous artifacts found on  
this site, what was the source of them?

>I'm sure some witnesses are in conflict with your scenario as  
>you say there are conflicts with Ed's. Ed didn't say Kaufman was  
>right, he said Kaufman was perhaps loaded with disinformation?  
>If he was a clerk he might be a prime target for the  
>disinformationists.(?) Unfortunately he does bring something to  
>the table and cannot be completely dismissed?

Here is where we disagree. Kaufmann was not an agent of  
disinformation. He was an opportunist who invented his  
connection to the Roswell case and injected himself into the  
middle of the story. He brings nothing to the table but  
confusion and misinformation and the sooner we get rid  
of his tales, the better off we're all going to be. Yes, I'm  
pretty intractable about this.

>>>Ms. Kasten had a valid point re the coyote hole, but again  
>>>Kevin jumped

>>Here, I'm afraid that she doesn't have a valid point. A coyote  
>>hole simply doesn't fit the description of the gouge.

>Ms Kasten quoted Dr. Doleman's Final Report which must be  
>considered in the whole scheme of it, or is Dr Doleman to be  
>discounted. We really know buggar all about the gouge. One  
>minute it's dead grass then a gouge then a Foxhole.

I really don't care who she quoted because the coyote hole, while certainly out there, does not explain the size or shape of the gouge as described by the eyewitnesses... I don't know where this idea that it was only dead grass came from but I do know where the idea it was a coyote hole did. But the eyewitnesses were quite clear on the point of it being a gouge.

>>Instead of  
>>listening to this, she first believes that the information came  
>>from Jesse Marcel Jr., then decides that Bill Brazel was a young  
>>kid, and finally tells us he would be too busy drinking beer and  
>>chasing women to have gotten a good or accurate look at the  
>>Debris Field. Had her assessment made sense in the context of  
>>what we had heard from others, then that would have been one  
>>thing but when I suggested that the coyote hole, in the context  
>>of the discussion didn't make sense and the descriptions by the  
>>eyewitnesses tended to rule that out, she ignored it.

>Yes KK did get her Roswell sons mixed up...but I bet she never  
>does again...<g>

>So maybe the gouge wasn't so much of a gouge... perhaps an area  
>of dead grass? With a Foxhole in the \_easy\_ soil. After the  
>clean up it's a contentious issue where the Debris Site is  
>anyway, isn't it?

And maybe the gouge was a gouge and not an area of dead grass which sounds like your speculation. And, once again we know where the gouge was because there were eyewitnesses to it who pointed out the location, and of all the various crash sites, it is the only one with reliable eyewitness testimony (which I say so that I don't have to hear that Gerald Anderson provided eyewitness testimony or that Jim Ragsdale did or that Frank Kaufmann did because they all offered multiple sites).

>>>Ed has been to the site recently and has also done the hard  
>>>yards Kevin did.

>>Ed has been to a site that was described to him by a man who  
>>claims to be an MP but who clearly was not. Ed is working with  
>>those descriptions and may have found the location described,  
>>but that doesn't tell us much and, more importantly, he has but  
>>a single witness to it.

>You have to admit there are many consistencies here... Have you  
>seen Ed and Wendy Connors photographs of the unusual mud(?)  
>splattered rocks, or read Wendy Connors report? This can't be  
>dismissed so easily as you seem to suggest it should be?

>>On the other hand, the Debris Field that some wish to dismiss  
>>so casually, was shown to me by more than one witness. It was  
>>described by others. And yes, I understand now that the Debris  
>>Field is not the location to which Ed refers.

>>>With the greatest respect, this negative approach is a little  
>>>disconcerting. Is there any reason why you are trying to kill  
>>>this site scenario, or is it just a personality clash complete  
>>>with snide comments?

>>No, it's that I'm annoyed with having the same conversations  
>>over and over. I'm annoyed with having the goal posts moved each  
>>time I score a point and I'm annoyed that some just invent  
>>answers to make their points valid.

>Excuse me Kevin, I felt it was you 'moving the goalposts' Every  
>time anyone else scored a point you cried foul. Where are these  
>"invented answers?" and who is being "...dismissive of the  
>Debris field so casually?"

Well, how about Kathy saying that it was a coyote hole? To me this is an invented answer regardless of whose report she is quoting. It sounded, from her posting that we could now reject the idea of the gouge and Debris Field because it had been identified as a coyote hole.

>>Take Kathy Kasten (and again  
>>the same example), she decided, with no evidence that (a) Bill  
>>Brazel was a kid when the Debris Field was found so that we  
>>could ignore his description and then (b) we could ignore Bill  
>>Brazel because he was a 20-year old punk chasing women and  
>>drinking beer. Neither assumption was valid.

>>So, let's return to facts and go from there.

>So you base your gouge objection to Ms. Kasten's, mistake about  
>the Brazel/Marcel kids..? I don't understand?

No, I'm saying that her rejection of the gouge was partially based on her mistake that it was Jesse Jr., and then on the mistake that Bill Brazel was a kid, and finally on the mistaken notion (which strikes me as moving the goal posts, well, sort of) that Bill was out chasing loose women and drinking beer and therefore wasn't a reliable source, though at the time Bill was married and working in Albuquerque, had served in WWII and was in his late 20s.

Kathy decided that Dr. Doleman's discovery of a terrain feature that he thought might have been part of the gouge was identified as a coyote hole and this explained, to her satisfaction but not mine, that what Bill Brazel described to me as the gouge was, in fact a coyote hole, a notion that I reject.

>Just thinking of the sheep...

Which reminds me of the age old question: Do androids dream of electric sheep?

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

## Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers

From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Dec 2006 09:10:53 +1000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 02 Dec 2006 07:21:04 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers

>From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 09:01:56 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 03:57:20 +1000  
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

><snip>

>>Whoops! hold up a sec...Surely in the Forces teams of men would  
>>be sequestered to do MP work or any other required tasks at any  
>>stage(?) even for a few weeks, days, hours, or if something big  
>>was going on.... At the time the "MP" was maybe working as an  
>>MP(?)

>What do you base this on? What US military manuals of the late  
>1940s would have directed that the MPs be sequestered?

Steven, Kevin, Ed, List,

I base it on what Kevin said... (maybe sequestered was the wrong  
word?).

"Gonzales never said he was an MP. He said that they had swept  
through the area looking for people were not occupied with  
something else and drafted them for this guard duty."

>>>This MP tells us that the aliens have six  
>>>fingers and that the autopsy film is real because the alien he  
>>>saw looks like the one in the film. I have a problem with  
>>>that.

>>What problem do you have with that...? Two different crashes,  
>>perhaps more than a few creatures, stunned witnesses(?) You  
>>just have a problem with the Film.... You discount the MPs story  
>>because you have a problem with the AA..and the amount of  
>>fingers ...surely not?. We know nothing about these creatures.  
>>For all we know males could have 4or5 fingers and females six?..  
>>The finger count does not have to be a big issue imho.

>I think part of the problem is that Ed has been an AA "film"  
>proponent for so long that many have little trust in his  
>judgement at times. Let's have the witness come forward and  
>become vetted in a proper manner, and then perhaps we can talk  
>about six finger aliens. So far, the AA "film" and a special  
>effects expert in London seems to be the key to that mystery.

As I understand it the MP has since passed away. Both Kevin and  
Ed have seen the MP's name in the Yearbook. His information and  
directions have proved accurate and combined with the drawings  
from the cameraman lead Ed to the Socorro site. Not a bad start?

><snip>

>>>Ed has been to a site that was described to him by a man who  
>>>claims to be an MP but who clearly was not. Ed is working with

>>>those descriptions and may have found the location described,  
>>>but that doesn't tell us much and, more importantly, he has  
>>>but a single witness to it.

>>You have to admit there are many consistencies here... Have you  
>>seen Ed and Wendy Connors photographs of the unusual mud(?)  
>>splattered rocks, or read Wendy Connors report? This can't be  
>>dismissed so easily as you seem to suggest it should be?

>There was more I could say, but it's not really worth the  
>bandwidth.

Ok....? There's a lot more I could say that is worth the  
bandwidth.

>Ed swore up and down that he had located the "crash site"  
>identified by the "cameraman" to Ray Santilli, and used the  
>drawing (based on a description by Ray from a phone  
>conversation) to verify that it was the right place. HMMMMMMMMM.

Hmmm, exactly, especially as the site was where they said it  
was. Don't forget the MP had a hand in describing the site and  
terrain as well.

>Ray Santilli is now facing a possible Fraud suit in Great  
>Britain as a result of his "film", and a SFX expert has admitted  
>to creating the creatures used in the video, so I think this may  
>limit the viability of the map in question.

Ray Santilli is not facing a fraud suit in GB. This was  
brought about by a 'hate campaign' (verging on a suit of it's  
own) by an anonymous 'Poster' splashed about the internet  
claiming he was going to sue for Consumer Fraud.

>Rocks from that site were sent to Ray Sanford for study, because  
>they were supposed to be proof of extreme temperatures and an  
>apparent crash. But Ray came back with an analysis that they  
>were naturally formed deposits and the anomaly that was  
>generated was unfortunate.

I haven't seen Ray Sanford's analysis, only that the  
Cristobalite is only formed in 'extreme heat' and shouldn't have  
been there. Couple that with the burnt trees and rocks, and the  
descriptions of and to the site, must make for more than a  
cursory flippant look.

>You can jump on Ed's theory if you'd like, but until you come up  
>with some actual evidence I think you're just whistling in the  
>wind. Single anecdotal tales are a dime a dozen in Roswell, and  
>you'll often find what you go looking for.

Yes and we can also write them off without a second glance  
perhaps overlooking valuable data.

This isn't a 'single witness anecdotal tale' It fits in with  
several other witness accounts.

William

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO Updates Main Index](#)

**UFO Updates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

## Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers

From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 2 Dec 2006 09:45:53 +1000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 02 Dec 2006 07:25:08 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 14:41:59 EST  
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 10:41:49 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

<snip>

>>Bob & List,

>>The issue of smell, or lack thereof, I think is an important  
>>one. Rotting, smelly neoprene balloons might explain the  
>>reluctance of sheep to cross the debris field. But no witness  
>>has ever mentioned any smell associated with the Foster Ranch  
>>debris. E.g., I once asked Jesse Marcel Jr. if he remembered  
>>any smell with the debris in the Marcel kitchen, and he again  
>>didn't remember any. Neither has any other witness at either  
>>the Foster Ranch or back in Roswell.

>Good Afternoon David, Bob, William, List, All -

>Since it was I who introduced the idea that the sheep wouldn't  
>cross the field back in one of the Roswell books, let me get rid  
>of the idea that odor had anything to do with it. Tommy Tyree  
>told me (and Don Schmitt) that the sheep refused to cross the  
>Debris Field because of all the shiny metal and trash scattered  
>around. He said nothing about the odor frightening them, only  
>that the metal did.

Kevin, David, Bob, List,

I take your point that it could have been just the metal and  
trash frightening the sheep, but with respect, how would Tommy  
Tyree know a subtle odour, difficult to pick up outside, by  
human noses wasn't frightening them as well?

A combination of the whole scenario perhaps. Sheep, like dogs  
have a keen sense of smell and would be very wary either way. We  
also have a few accounts mentioning odour... including Bond

>>The only time smell was mentioned was by J. Bond Johnson,  
>>photographer/reporter in Gen. Ramey's office in Fort Worth. He  
>>recalled the smelly, acrid balloon pictured on the floor there.  
>>Of course Marcel and Gen. Dubose both said it was a shill  
>>balloon, the cover story to get the press off their backs.

Bond did mention an odour. He also mentioned the wrapped parcels  
shown in the background of the Ft Worth photos Neil Morris  
isolated.

Bond was under the impression the odour came from them, as did  
some of the genuine debris.

>I'm not sure just how much odor could be attributed to one of  
>these neoprene balloons. Johnson suggested that it had a burned



>odor and called it smelly garbage.

>>But back at Roswell and the Foster Ranch, nobody mentions  
>>smells. If neoprene weather balloons were at the Foster Ranch  
>>debris field and then taken back to Roswell, you would think at  
>>least one witness would mention their smell. Smell is such a  
>>primitive sense that it goes unfiltered direct to the brain. It  
>>forms very vivid memories, especially bad smells, like decaying  
>>bodies.

>Of course, back at the ranch, it could be that there were (a) no  
>neoprene balloons lying around, (b) it's open area so that any  
>odor coming from them would be long dissipated, or c) no one  
>thought to mention the odor on the Debris Field (which is the  
>field the sheep refused to cross according to Tyree) because it  
>just wasn't very strong.

My vote is a combination of (b) and (c). The odour may have  
dissipated to human noses out in the open air, but was stronger  
inside an office and more noticeable. I doubt Ramey would have  
an odour of rotting carcasses/balloons stinking up his office.  
We just don't know if an unusual odour no matter how subtle  
could have kept the sheep at bay.

Best

William

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 2](#)

## Re: Comparing MAAR & Roper Surveys - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 19:13:55 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Sat, 02 Dec 2006 08:08:45 -0500  
Subject: Re: Comparing MAAR & Roper Surveys - Nielsen

>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 06:06:55 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Comparing MAAR & Roper Surveys

>Limits of Opinion Polls: Comparing Malevolent Alien Abduction  
>Research & Roper Surveys

>A non-chance statistical result is said to be significant.

>Another way of looking at this is comparing statistical  
>significance to the idea of the 'simple majority'. This  
>statistical significance does not mean 'significance' in the  
>usual sense of the word. It only refers to a result not readily  
>attributed to chance. Technically, this working definition of  
>statistical significance is really too simplistic. But it will  
>work for this article.

<snip>

I want to thank you Errol, for posting this. I must say that I am sorry that it will probably not be welcomed by those who are researching along these lines. But I felt it necessary to point out the limits of opinion polls in general, with these examples. It is my hope that those who are victims of abductions, any abductions, get the competent help they need. I see that help, in this field, coming from selfless folks like you, Mr. John Velez, and others. Please feel free to pass this on to them.

Again, my thanks for posting this.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

Secrecy News -- 12/01/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 11:00:27 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 03 Dec 2006 10:01:57 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 12/01/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 122  
December 1, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

\*\* KILLING HABEAS CORPUS  
\*\* NEW FROM JASON  
\*\* NAVY MIND CONTROL  
\*\* OTHER ODDS AND ENDS

KILLING HABEAS CORPUS

Habeas corpus refers to the right of a person who has been detained by the government to challenge his detention in a court of law. Although the U.S. Constitution does not permit the suspension of habeas corpus except in case of invasion or rebellion, last September Congress did so anyway at the behest of the Bush Administration.

In a startling display of how easy it can be to disable even the most elementary constitutional protections, Congress enacted the Military Commissions Act of 2006, which would deprive suspected enemy combatants held abroad of their ability to seek judicial review of their status.

Proposed limits on habeas corpus were the subject of an intense and contentious hearing before the Senate Judiciary Committee recently, the record of which has just been published.

See "Examining Proposals to Limit Guantanamo Detainees' Access to Habeas Corpus Review," Senate Judiciary Committee, September 25:

[http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006\\_hr/habeas.html](http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_hr/habeas.html)

Some of the more electric moments in the hearing were recounted in The New Yorker this week in a profile of Sen. Arlen Specter, who inexplicably condemned the proposed new restrictions on habeas corpus and then voted in favor of them.

See "Killing Habeas Corpus" by Jeffrey Toobin, The New Yorker, December 4:

[http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/articles/061204fa\\_fact](http://www.newyorker.com/fact/content/articles/061204fa_fact)

"In my view, [the Military Commissions Act] has dishonored our Nation's proud history," said Sen. Christopher Dodd (D-CT), who introduced legislation on November 16 that would repeal several of the Act's provisions. See:

[http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006\\_cr/dodd111606.html](http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_cr/dodd111606.html)

NEW FROM JASON

The elite JASON defense science advisory panel, most of whose deliberations and conclusions are classified, surfaced publicly for a moment with two new releases.

One new JASON report addresses the feasibility of reducing Defense Department dependence on fossil fuels.

"In light of an increasing U.S. dependence on foreign oil, as well as rising fuel costs for the U.S. and the DoD, and implications with regard to national security and national defense, JASON was charged in 2006 by the DDR&E [Director, Defense Research and Engineering] with assessing pathways to reduce DoD's dependence on fossil fuels."

A copy of the report was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "Reducing DoD Fossil-Fuel Dependence," JASON report JSR-06-135, September 2006 (105 pages, 4.5 MB PDF):

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/dod/jason/fossil.pdf>

The second release is an unclassified summary of a JASON review of plutonium aging in nuclear weapons, which found that most plutonium weapon "pits" have "credible lifetimes of at least 100 years." This important conclusion diminishes the case for any new nuclear weapon development.

See the unclassified executive summary of the JASON report on "Pit Lifetime" here (flagged by ArmsControlWonk.com):

[http://www.nukewatch.org/facts/nwd/JASON\\_ReportPuAging.pdf](http://www.nukewatch.org/facts/nwd/JASON_ReportPuAging.pdf)

NAVY MIND CONTROL

U.S. Navy research on "mind control techniques" cannot be performed on human subjects without the authorization of the Under Secretary of the Navy, according to a new Navy Instruction.

"The Under Secretary of the Navy (UNSECNAV) is the Approval Authority for research involving ... severe or unusual intrusions, either physical or psychological, on human subjects (such as consciousness-altering drugs or mind-control techniques)."

The nature and scope of any such Navy research could not be immediately discovered.

See "Human Research Protection Program," Secretary of the Navy Instruction 3900.39D, November 6, 2006 [at section 7(a)(2), page 9]:

[http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/navy/secnavinst/3900\\_39d.pdf](http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/navy/secnavinst/3900_39d.pdf)

OTHER ODDS AND ENDS

U.S. Air Force policy on "information operations" -- which includes electronic warfare, psychological operations, military deception, counter-propaganda and more -- is described in a recently updated Air Force Policy Document.

See "Information Operations," AFPD 10-7, 6 September 2006 (revised 8 Oct 06):

<http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/usaf/afpd10-7.pdf>

The apparent involvement of the North Korean government in drug trafficking and the implications of such activity for U.S. policy are the subject of a newly updated report from the Congressional Research Service (first reported by U.S. News and World Report).

See "Drug Trafficking and North Korea: Issues for U.S. Policy," updated November 27, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/row/RL32167.pdf>

Now that the 109th Congress is drawing to a close, the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence has belatedly issued a report summarizing its activities during the 108th Congress (2003-2004). See, if you care to, "Committee Activities," Senate Report 109-360, November 16:

[http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006\\_rpt/srpt109-360.html](http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_rpt/srpt109-360.html)

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:  
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

## The Best Evidence So Far?

From: Michael Bourne <[thebookofthoth.nul](mailto:thebookofthoth.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 16:13:00 +0000  
Fwd Date: Sun, 03 Dec 2006 10:17:55 -0500  
Subject: The Best Evidence So Far?

Ok, here's the thought.

I've been interested in and researching this subject for a long long time, there have been many times when "the definitive proof" has been shouted, and we're where we are now. I become increasingly despondent at the quality of documentaries made about the subject, which either promote a silly bunch of clips as proof positive, or at the other end of the scale condemn all UFO sightings and footage as hoaxes, mistaken mundane objects etc.

You folks obviously have your own ideas as to what you would put forward as your best cases, or best evidence, so lets do that. A short while ago I announced I'm making a UFO documentary, the only public response was that of derision, without that person knowing the first thing about what it was going to be like, or how it was going to be done. Saddens me that there is so much pettiness in UFO research, peoples agendas seem to revolve around the ego instead of the subject matter. So when I get an opportunity to try make a difference, it is met with little or no interest? Has the fight been lost, or are there too many vested interests in your own productions?

Paul Kimball seems to be the one breaking the mould these days, and hats off to him, we should all be working together, to project a united front. So are there any kind people out there, would offer their view as to what in their minds constitutes the best evidence so far? This isn't going to be a "100 best UFO clips" or "best evidence so far" this documentary will mention those, but instead focuses on angles to this phenomenon, that haven't been explored in great depth.

Michael

--

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

## Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bourdais

From: Gildas Bourdais <[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 02 Dec 2006 15:11:13 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sun, 03 Dec 2006 10:22:54 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Bourdais

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 17:24:19 EST  
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 03:57:20 +1000  
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

<snip>

>>There you go again..."these other multiple sites scattered about  
>>NM..." ...What does that mean?) That may be the problem..we may  
>>need to have some consensus on which crash is which and keep  
>>them separated? Any way we can do that?

>Yes, I did that on purpose because we now have three sites on  
>the Brazel (Foster) ranch (the Debris Field, the 'MP' site some  
>2.5 miles from the Debris Field, and another site maybe five or  
>six miles away); the site near Ramon; the Kaufmann site (or  
>wait, there are now three of those); the site over by Bottomless  
>Lakes; the site south of the base; the site out on the Corn  
>Ranch (as originally identified by Kaufmann and confirmed by  
>Jim Ragsdale until he decided the site was out by Boy Scout  
>Mountain); the Socorro site of the MP (which, if I have this  
>straight is really near the Debris Field); the Barney Barnett  
>site, and the five different locations identified by Gerald  
>Anderson until he decided on a location near Horse Springs...  
>to me that is scattered around southern New Mexico.

Kevin, and the List,

Thank you for trying to maintain some basic facts, as usual. In  
have a couple of question about these alleged sites.

The site on the Corn ranch is now in doubt after the demise of  
Kaufman and Ragsdale. But we still have two credible, converging  
testimonies, those of Lewis Rickett and Chester Barton, about a  
site at only 45 minutes by the road 285, north-west of Roswell.

They also gave a similar description of the location, with  
small, sandy hills. It seems that this would be more to the west  
of the Corn ranch site, but at about the same distance.

Do you agree with that and do you include it in your list? Now,  
we have another alleged site at about 2 and a half miles,  
south-east of the debris field, where Brazel would have found a  
sort of escape capsule and two dead bodies. What do you think of  
it, and could that be the site mentioned by this new "MP"  
witness ??

A third and last question is, could you tell us what is this  
site near Ramon? I don't remember it.

Gildas Bourdais

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 3](#)

## Re: The Best Evidence So Far? - Warren

From: Frank Warren <[frank-warren.nul](mailto:frank-warren.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 03 Dec 2006 08:12:04 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sun, 03 Dec 2006 11:25:41 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Best Evidence So Far? - Warren

>From: Michael Bourne <[thebookofthoth.nul](mailto:thebookofthoth.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 16:13:00 +0000  
>Subject: The Best Evidence So Far?

Good Day Michael, Et Al,

>Ok, here's the thought.

>I've been interested in and researching this subject for a long  
>long time, there have been many times when "the definitive  
>proof" has been shouted, and we're where we are now. I become  
>increasingly despondent at the quality of documentaries made  
>about the subject, which either promote a silly bunch of clips  
>as proof positive, or at the other end of the scale condemn all  
>UFO sightings and footage as hoaxes, mistaken mundane objects  
>etc.

>You folks obviously have your own ideas as to what you would put  
>forward as your best cases, or best evidence, so lets do that. A  
>short while ago I announced I'm making a UFO documentary, the  
>only public response was that of derision, without that person  
>knowing the first thing about what it was going to be like, or  
>how it was going to be done. Saddens me that there is so much  
>pettiness in UFO research, peoples agendas seem to revolve  
>around the ego instead of the subject matter. So when I get an  
>opportunity to try make a difference, it is met with little or  
>no interest? Has the fight been lost, or are there too many  
>vested interests in your own productions?

>Paul Kimball seems to be the one breaking the mould these days,  
>and hats off to him, we should all be working together, to  
>project a united front. So are there any kind people out there,  
>would offer their view as to what in their minds constitutes the  
>best evidence so far? This isn't going to be a "100 best UFO  
>clips" or "best evidence so far" this documentary will mention  
>those, but instead focuses on angles to this phenomenon, that  
>haven't been explored in great depth.

Pardon my ignorance, but "definitive proof" of what? "Best  
evidence" in support of what? Please clarify.

Respectfully,

Frank Warren

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

## Re: 'UFO' Crashes In Russia's Siberia

From: Paul Stonehill <[rurcla.nul](mailto:rurcla.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 03 Dec 2006 17:31:14 +0000  
Fwd Date: Mon, 04 Dec 2006 07:06:35 -0500  
Subject: Re: 'UFO' Crashes In Russia's Siberia

According to the news agency Sibnovosti at:

<http://www.sibnovosti.ru/news/?id=39476>

nothing was found in the taiga, and in the opinion of the law enforcement agencies, the whole incident was someone's joke. A helicopter did circle the area for an hour, but found nothing. The person who called to report the "crash" to the authorities is being sought. They have his phone number.

Paul Stonehill  
Co-author of Mysterious Sky: Soviet UFO Phenomenon (2006)

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

## STS-48 Article In Journal Of UFO Studies

From: **Lan Fleming** <[lfleming6.nul](mailto:lfleming6.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 03 Dec 2006 17:11:51 -0600  
Fwd Date: Mon, 04 Dec 2006 07:10:10 -0500  
Subject: STS-48 Article In Journal Of UFO Studies

JUFOS has published an article I wrote about a quantitative examination of the trajectories of objects in the STS-48 video from 9/15/91.

Briefly, some of the objects in the video were being accelerated by forces other than two "mundane" forces that might influence the motions of small ice particles near the shuttle: atmospheric drag and pressures generated by ice particle sublimation.

Despite the paper getting favorable reviews from all of the reviewers, I had to put almost as much work into revisions based on their suggestions as I did on the original paper. I think the paper is much better for it, although I still think I could have made some of the math derivations in the appendices a little easier to follow.

The abstract for my article is the fourth one down from the top at:

<http://cufos.org/jufos2006.html>

You can order this and previous editions of JUFOS at:

<http://cufos.org/pubs3.html>

The other papers in this issue look interesting, too.

There are a few other factors I looked into after my paper was accepted for publication that I hadn't considered before, but none change the final conclusion and actually result in the objects' paths diverging a couple degrees more than what is shown in the paper from the direction in which atmospheric drag forces would have pushed them. Maybe I'll write something more about this in the future.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

## Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers

From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Dec 2006 11:19:18 +1000  
Fwd Date: Mon, 04 Dec 2006 07:13:50 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Sawers

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 17:24:19 EST  
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 03:57:20 +1000  
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

<snip>

Morning Kevin, Gildas, KK, List

Apologies for my confusing the Socorro crash site with the MPs.  
Of course I meant to say The 'Cameraman' at Socorro site rather  
than the 'MP'.....

This isn't open for discussion because it's linked to the AA  
Film, so thats out... for the moment anyway.(?)

It also seems the MPs site is closed for discussion as well.

If the anomalies at the site approx 5 miles due West of the  
Debris field, Wendy Connors' and Ed's reports plus photographs  
receive less attention than Kaufman we can't continue.

My gaff and your confusion over the Brazel debris field along  
with a couple of other diversions have only complicated a simple  
scenario.

William

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

## Re: The Best Evidence So Far? - Maccabee

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 3 Dec 2006 23:24:06 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 04 Dec 2006 07:15:49 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Best Evidence So Far? - Maccabee

>From: Michael Bourne <[thebookofthoth.nul](mailto:thebookofthoth.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 16:13:00 +0000  
>Subject: The Best Evidence So Far?

>Ok, here's the thought.

>I've been interested in and researching this subject for a long  
>long time, there have been many times when "the definitive  
>proof" has been shouted, and we're where we are now. I become  
>increasingly despondent at the quality of documentaries made  
>about the subject, which either promote a silly bunch of clips  
>.as proof positive, or at the other end of the scale condemn all >  
>UFO sightings and footage as hoaxes, mistaken mundane objects  
>etc.

>You folks obviously have your own ideas as to what you would put  
>forward as your best cases, or best evidence, so lets do that. A  
>short while ago I announced I'm making a UFO documentary, the  
>only public response was that of derision, without that person

I was just interviewed by a company working for the Discovery  
Channel They are creating a doc with the title - as I understand  
it - Best Evidence.

Probably air next spring.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

## Re: Exopolitics "UFO RIP"

From: **Paradigm Research Group** <[PRG.nul](mailto:PRG.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 04 Dec 2006 00:32:42 -0800  
Fwd Date: Mon, 04 Dec 2006 07:33:45 -0500  
Subject: Re: Exopolitics "UFO RIP"

PRG  
Paradigm Research Group

The following article has just been published by PRG. It resides at:

[www.paradigmclock.com/Article\\_Archive/exopolitics-12-2-06.html](http://www.paradigmclock.com/Article_Archive/exopolitics-12-2-06.html)

Permission to republish all or in part is granted by PRG

-----  
December 2, 2006

Exopolitics

UFO RIP

By Stephen Bassett

ex=B7o=B7pol=B7i=B7tics

Function: noun plural but singular or plural in construction  
Etymology: From Greek exo, outside, from ex, out of, and from Greek politika, from neuter plural of politikos political.

- 1: the art or science of government as concerned with guiding or influencing governmental policy toward extraterrestrial phenomena and extraterrestrial beings.
- 2: exopolitical actions, practices, or policies.
- 3: the exopolitical opinions or sympathies of a person.
- 4: the total complex of relations between the human race and non-human, intelligent beings.

Bethesda, MD USA -- In Alexandria, Virginia there is a place called Gravelly Point Park. It's not much of a park, but quite the place. It is known throughout the region because it sits a mere 1000 feet from the north end of runway 1/19 at Reagan National Airport. Bicyclists pull off the Mount Vernon Trail and tourists pull off the George Washington Parkway to watch the planes land and take off -- a long standing pastime uninterrupted (remarkably) by the events of September 11, 2001.

Landing planes fly over this grassy patch so low you can see the tread on the tires. Wind direction permitting, planes taking off will rattle the fillings in your teeth. During the day the park is never empty. It's addictive.

This author has been there many times and remembers one perfectly clear day during the summer of 1992. As the planes passed overhead this thought came to mind: while the destination of the plane was known, the Washington, DC metro area, the point of origin was not known. Neither was it known how many people were on board, if any - it could be remote controlled -- nor their names, reasons for coming, home towns, hopes and dreams. And depending upon the viewing angle, it might not be possible to even know the airline.

With so much missing information one might be tempted to say, "What we have here is an unidentified flying object, a UFO." But that would be ridiculous, wouldn't it? What we had there was an airplane almost certainly containing human beings coming to the Washington, DC area for one reason or another.

Perhaps you see where this is going for the very next thought that came to mind was this: during the five decades since 1942 millions of people around the world had been seeing unusual objects moving in the earth's atmosphere. Tens of thousands of reports had been filed. The objects had been photographed, filmed, recorded on radar and sighted by trained observers -- sometimes all four at once. They had been seen in the air and stationary on the ground. They had been seen traveling and maneuvering at seemingly impossible fashion for the historical period. They had been seen by pilots off the wings and cockpits of planes -- fully formed metallic looking discs. They had been seen by Army, Air Force, Naval and Coast Guard personnel; air traffic controllers, astronauts, police officers, amateur astronomers, doctors, lawyers, political leaders and scientists.

Documents referring to these craft had been discovered in government files, delivered anonymously to researchers and formally released by government authorities without prompting -- not just in the United States, but in most first-world nations. Systematic investigations had been conducted by civilian and government organizations over the entire fifty years. Nearly 10,000 books, papers, articles and documentary films had been published specifically addressing this phenomenon. Reports have poured into researchers (their numbers would eventually swell to the tens of thousands) of direct contact with beings, clearly not human, who could well be the occupants of some of these craft.

When one of these 'objects' would pass overhead or close track a plane in flight the destination could properly be assumed to be the planet Earth, but the point of origin was not known. It was also not known whether the object contained humans, non-humans or no beings at all (remote controlled). If containing beings, their names, reasons for coming, hopes, dreams, etc. were also not known. With so much missing information it would be appropriate to call the "object" an unidentified flying object -- a UFO -- wouldn't it? No, it wouldn't. It would be appropriate to call it an alien or extraterrestrial space craft, most likely piloted by non-human beings, origin Earth, reasons for presence unknown. This was on this author's mind in the summer of '92.

Paradigm Research Group was founded four years later, but it was not until 2000 the implication of those thoughts in 1992 became manifest. The implication was that the era of the 'UFO' was over and a new era had begun -- the era of exopolitics.

The partitioning of history when dealing with large scale, implicative trends is usually done in retrospect. There is no shame in this, but there is often an arbitrary aspect to assigning the time frames. That is done by consensus of historians, but being early has its advantage. Here is the early line: the UFO era ended and the exopolitical era began in late 1991 with the dissolution of the Soviet Union into Russia and 14 other post-soviet states.

The short answer as to "why this demarcation?" is this: 1) by 1991 the evidence which had been gathered and analyzed establishing some sightings as extraterrestrial craft was overwhelming. The researchers had done their job in the face of great resistance by the state. The verdict was in. (The government's refusal to accept this result was irrelevant to this conclusion but entirely relevant to the truth embargo and propaganda campaign waged by the government to negate and subvert this conclusion.), and 2) the end of the Cold War removed the single most powerful restraint keeping military, agency and civilian contractor insiders from revealing personal, direct and indirect knowledge of events and evidence corroborating the extraterrestrial hypothesis behind the sightings. The gradual emergence of these insiders into the public domain gave the disclosure process substance.

Understanding this transition is critical for anyone wishing to impact impending events. Given that "exopolitics" is not yet listed in any standard dictionary or encyclopedia (it is listed



in Wiktionary, Webster's Open Dictionary and Wikipedia), it is not surprising there is much misunderstanding over scope and usage. The primary misconception is that Exopolitics only deals with 'galactic diplomacy', how humans should politically engage theoretical or asserted alien beings. Whatever the assertion of facts, this is but one aspect of exopolitics. It is the icing for a cake which is still in the oven.

Exopolitics covers all social and political implications of the engagement of extraterrestrial-related phenomena by humans since the phenomena were first recognized. This will likely be split into two parts: the modern era beginning in the 1940's and the pre-modern era going back to earliest recorded times. [The pre-modern era will perhaps be subsumed under the broader umbrella of exohistory -- the study of non-terrestrial influences on human history.]

Thus, exopolitics includes the full history of the government truth embargo (cover-up) and the national security structures created to manage the research, the actions or lack thereof of the public and institutions such as the press, Congress and academia, and most importantly, the disclosure process itself.

It is a large field of study. It will fill scores of books and documentaries and spawn PhD theses for centuries. In time it will be subsumed into the larger field of political science. A student will major in political science with an emphasis on exopolitics.

By comparison the phenomenological, scientific aspects of the phenomena will be dispersed into departments such as exobiology, cosmology, physics, astrophysics (already established) and yet to be established fields such as exoarcheology, exomedicine and exoscience (the study of the practice of science by extraterrestrials).

But the academic evolution of exopolitics is the least interesting aspect of our present concerns.

Formal disclosure will be a cosmic birthing of a new world view, and the human race has now been in labor for 60 years without an epidermal. The screaming can be heard from pole to pole and out to the Kuiper Belt. A significant subject for exopolitical study is to describe the state of the world at the moment of this birthing. What is the condition of the planet and what is the disposition of the species - the variety and depth to the spirituality, science, politics, philosophy, psychology and mental capacity of Homo Sapiens Sapiens -- as formal self-disclosure unfolds?

The short answer is "not good". Many Durantian attempts will be made to explain all this in multi-volume sets. Let's confine ourselves to two perspectives with immediate implications.

---

"When I was a child, I spake as a child, I understood as a child, I thought as a child: but when I became a man, I put away childish things."

Apostle Paul, 1 Corinthians 13:11

By virtue of its military and economic power, its prevailing in the Cold War and its success in building the vast superstructure of secret operations this author has often referred to as the Secret Empire, the United States still appears to have the final say as to when and if formal disclosure takes place, and, if the decision to proceed is made, play the lead role in what will surely be the "greatest show on earth."

But what is the state of the nation as the actor prepares to take the stage? So much has happened to the people and institutions of the United States since the 1945 signing of surrender by Japan, one hesitates to pick one trend and drag it out for close scrutiny, but one trend begs for such treatment, cries out for explanation and adjustment.

Immediately after World War II the United States was a major economic, political and moral force for good in the world. The American people had demonstrated an almost superhuman capacity

to manufacture, deliver and maintain a war machine never witnessed in human history. The nation's post war treatment of the defeated enemies was so extraordinarily generous in its intent, so effective in its application, it eclipsed the horror of the nuclear bombings.

The American people were viewed as capable, caring, fearless, generous, patriotic, family oriented, church going, honest and optimistic. They were in love with their country, and trust in government institutions was rock solid.

And then it all began to go wrong. Beginning in late 1947 for reasons well known and less well known the United States embarked on the creation of what would become the Secret Empire -- the intelligence wing of a vast national security state complex established for two reasons: 1) wining an ideological cold war against international communism, and 2) understanding, exploiting and secreting the material facts in evidence confirming an extraterrestrial presence engaging the human race and the planet Earth.

As the Secret Empire grew in size and scope it began to make alterations to the social contract, bending it to the breaking point. The most serious alteration was to embrace the concept of government in loco parentis -- the government as replacement parent for citizens now viewed as children of the state.

This view became a cancerous growth centered in the gut of the Secret Empire which finally metastasized outward into every corner of governance -- a glioblastoma multiforme with tentacles reaching into the FBI, ATF, DoJ, DOD, Office of the Executive, Congress, NIH, FDA -- only the judiciary remained largely untouched.

The Secret Empire had become larger than the Roman Empire with layer upon layer of management in place before an elected official was even in sight. It now consists of tens of billions of above black (itemized) funding, tens of billions of black (not itemized) funding, additional billions in below black (undisclosed) funding, unknown amounts of rouge funding, millions of classified documents, millions of classified personnel, thousands of classified programs, hundreds of unacknowledged special access programs, huge above ground facilities and equally vast underground facilities.

Within this cloistered megastructure developed a cult of secrecy and unaccountability which placed those who run it above the law and outside societal norms. The secrets of nuclear war, world control mechanisms, extraterrestrial technology, alien beings and such were so profound only the initiated members of the secret world could even bear up under the knowledge. The average citizen would surely go mad, or so it was thought by those holding the secrets.

The public has long sensed this process underway and manifests this awareness by embracing phrases in the popular culture such as "you can't handle the truth", "need to know basis", "don't ask, don't tell", "if I told you that, I'd have to kill you", and many more. They embrace fictional accounts of the process in books and movies but are unable to marshal anything approaching an effective societal protest to the process itself.

More significantly, the public's new status as children to be seen and not heard by a parental state has resulted in unprecedented drops in trust in all aspects of governance. Trust in the executive, the Congress, the judiciary and the press is near historical lows. Only 25% of citizens bother to vote. What does "government by consent of the people" mean if the people are children and not of age to give consent?

[It should be pointed out the social net - welfare programs - promulgated by democrats and moderate republicans does dovetail into this parental modality. But it arises from a separate ideological source and does not present the same danger to the nation.]

The existence of a Secret Empire contained within a developing national security state operating in loco parentis is now the greatest threat to the preservation of the Constitutional Republic, and the most important exopolitical issue now being considered is whether or not the disclosure event (formal

acknowledgment by world governments of the extraterrestrial presence engaging the human race), however achieved, has the power to change the status quo and permit reform of the Secret Empire and reconstitution of the social contract.

The era of the UFO which ended in 1991 was about 'lights in the sky'. The era of exopolitics which followed is about "lies on the ground." It is about the intersection between the phenomenology and the politics of secrecy and control. When Bob Woodward published his latest book, State of Denial, he confined the title's metaphor to the Iraq war and concomitant events. If only he knew (or does he know?) how much further this proffered status extends.

---

They shipped in people with every kind of background: clerks, professors, classical musicians, tradesmen and lawyers along with their spouses and children. No rank or station in life was exempt. They packed them into the designated sector four, five six families to an apartment or house. Outside commerce and services were eliminated or rationed. Guard towers were built, and where necessary gated walls were constructed to complete sector integrity. The residents inside would improvise, overcome, adapt or perish. It mattered little to the overseers one way or the other. In time sector life decayed as sanitation, health, resources, all the customary necessities, eroded.

On occasion members of the controlled press would visit and inquire about the sector's status. The commandant would escort them to viewing ports atop the gated walls. Together they would look down upon the unkempt residents as they slouched along in ragged clothes with listless demeanor. "Do you see what a mess these people are?" the commandant reflected for the benefit of the press. "That is why we must keep them in this ghetto."

Excerpted from Truth Embargo: the Secret Empire and the Intellectual Ghettoization of Ufology by Stephen Bassett. Unpublished.

For many of the thousands of researchers and activists and millions of interested public who engaged the phenomenology of UFOs prior to 1991 the transition out of the UFO era has been uncomfortable and unwelcome. There is considerable resistance to the concept of exopolitics. One source of this resistance lies with a significant component of the delineation between the two eras.

While it is not possible to cover in this short article the full range of issues defining this suggested bifurcation of history, one point of comparison stands out: in the UFO era the ETH (extraterrestrial hypothesis as explanation for the unexplained 5% of extraordinary sightings) was a theory under consideration. In the era of exopolitics post 1991 the ETH is not a theory, it is a given. It was proven by the work of hundreds of researchers over a period of 44 years -- an extraordinary accomplishment under great hardship and against state policy.

Those who are unable to make the transition and accept the ETH as fact, whatever the reason, are not comfortable confronting an emerging group of academics, activists and researchers who do. This circumstance is not unprecedented. All previous social and scientific paradigm shifts have produced similar tensions.

It is also true that previous major shifts in world view have often been opposed and interfered with by state and religious institutions. The cosmic birthing process now underway is not the exception.

As remarkable was the citizen-science-activism which confirmed the ETH and revealed the extraterrestrial presence, equally remarkable was the effort by the United States government to impede, misdirect and undermine the citizen driven process of discovery.

=46rom 1947 forward every resource available to the developing military-intelligence complex was brought to bear on American society to create an embargo on the most profound truth in human history. The task must have seemed incomprehensible in the beginning -- prevent every major institution within one of the

most literate and open societies in history during a period of exponential expansion of electronic communication and multi-tiered media growth, from engaging an issue driven by phenomena not under human control.

While extraterrestrial craft were being seen and photographed around the world and extraterrestrial beings were interacting with humans on every continent, the United States government was able to prevent American academics, clerics, editors, publishers, state and federal political leaders and foundation board members from studying, teaching, covering, politically engaging or funding the issue in an appropriate manner leading to public understanding. It was and is a brilliant accomplishment now approaching its sixtieth year.

The tools used to control the extraterrestrial disclosure process were forged in the fires within the Secret Empire which burned most fiercely during the prosecution of the Cold War (1947-1991). These same tools were also used in a wide range of abuses of power, the impact of which on American policy and the future of the nation can not yet be projected. But the outlines of this impact are beginning to emerge, and the picture is not attractive.

The basic mechanisms of suppression are now well known: misinformation, disinformation, subversion, intimidation, lies, denial and misdirection. But these mechanisms were part of a broader process of social manipulation which this author has termed the "intellectual ghettoization of ufology."

The 'ghettoization' of people and ideas by ruling authority has a long history which was always unpleasant but always effective. There is something fundamental within the human psyche which makes people susceptible to this maneuver.

It is so simple. You direct intense ridicule at a selected target (a person, a race, an idea, a religion) and begin a process of isolation and separation. Then you associate more people and/or ideas to the selected target creating a defined and growing discredited grouping.

The isolation process requires a containment barrier. With people you build a physical wall to encompass the group. Whenever you need to add to the group you toss another person over the wall.

With ideas you build walls in the mind. Such a wall is the "ridicule curtain" built around the study of UFOs by the United States government in the early 1950's. Once it was built every person who touched the issue from that time forward was 'tossed' over the wall into the ghetto of ufology. No one was spared. Walked on the Moon? Won a Pulitzer Prize? Commanded a Naval ship? PhD from MIT? 'Greatest jet pilot that ever was'? No matter. Touch the subject of UFOs and you are in the ghetto. The money dries up, the job offers diminish, programs are cancelled, medals are pinned elsewhere, college tenure is challenged, spouses leave home, phone calls are not returned.\*

As the years passed without resolution the misery mounted. Many good people spent their lives in pursuit of truths they would not live to see acknowledged and shared. Many others 'burned out' and withdrew. Those who stayed on became increasingly frustrated and frayed around the edges. Within the confines of the ghetto they turned on each other. Everyone was suspect. Anyone could be an operative.

Occasionally someone might attempt to break out and run for mainstream daylight. If the stain of the ghetto was strong enough, they might be ignored. Who will believe them? If not, well, collateral damage is an integral part of modern rule. The murders were few by historical standards.

With vision obscured by a wall of lies many lost sight of the most important point -- one which should be etched onto a plaque and mounted over every door. The failure to resolve the political impasse preventing the formal disclosure to the world of the extraterrestrial presence is the fault of one entity -- the government of the United States -- period. It's not the researchers or activists one doesn't like. It's not the character flaws so clearly seen in others but not in oneself.

It's the government, stupid. The one many did not bother to vote for because they were too disgusted or simply did not care any more. It is the government that acts on your behalf every day whether you voted or not and can make your life a living hell anytime it wants -- if you let it.

The UFO era is over. It ended in 1991. The United States government by intention or default is trying to extend the intellectual ghettoization of the extraterrestrial issue well into the second decade of the exopolitics era. This same government also wishes to extend its tenure in loco parentis to a nation of "children."

It is essential, it is critical the American people stand up and assert their role as parent to a misbehaving government which has lost its way. Those within ufology and exopolitics must recognize the "ridicule curtain" is a wall in the mind only. It can be torn down with an act of will.

Tear down the wall, leave the ghetto, stand up to the state and demand the truth.

---

\* To sustain and expand a construct of such complexity over time required a widely dispersed 'carrier' -- a kernel which could accrete the layers of ridicule, propaganda and mendacity -- and which, like the spores of the dandelion, would attach itself to all who came in close proximity and spread. That kernel, that carrier was and remains the acronym "UFO." It is the truth embargo's flag, the "hook" upon which "the mainstream" can hang all who dare to challenge the status quo.

Ultimately "UFO" has to go. Not simply because the 'UFO Era' is over, but because it no longer serves the truth process. However, this acronym is entrenched in the culture. It is in the titles of magazines, books, papers and a legion of websites. It will take time, and, of course, that is exactly what is desired by the keepers of the ghetto. Nevertheless, the transition to a new lexicon within the era of exopolitics must be advanced as quickly as possible by those able and ready to do so.

Relevant Web Links:

X-PPAC: [www.x-ppac.org](http://www.x-ppac.org)  
Paradigm Research Group (PRG):  
[www.paradigmresearchgroup.org](http://www.paradigmresearchgroup.org)

[Stephen Bassett is a political activist, founder of the Paradigm Research Group, Executive Director of the Extraterrestrial Phenomena Political Action Committee (X-PPAC), author of the Paradigm Clock website, and a political columnist & commentator. You can reach him at: [PRG.nul](mailto:PRG.nul)]

-----

Paradigm Research Group  
E-mail: [PRG.nul](mailto:PRG.nul)  
URL: [www.paradigmresearchgroup.org](http://www.paradigmresearchgroup.org)  
Cell Phone: 202-215-8344  
4938 Hampden Lane, #161  
Bethesda, MD 20814

-----

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 4](#)

## Image On Rock Still Lucky For Decatur Man

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 04 Dec 2006 08:04:34 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 04 Dec 2006 08:04:34 -0500  
Subject: Image On Rock Still Lucky For Decatur Man

Source: The Kalamazoo Gazette - Michigan, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/ufuwd>

Sunday, December 3, 2006

Image On Rock Still Lucky For Decatur Man

By Chris Killian  
Special to the Gazette

Since news of his unique rock started to spread, Joe Stambeck has spoken to his share of skeptics.

But Stambeck is sticking to his guns. The image on his rock, he says, is not some strange natural anomaly, but rather bears the profile of what he believes to be an extraterrestrial being.

"Some people told me they thought it was painted on there," he said of the image. "But I can tell you it's not, it's not. It's something very unique."

Stambeck, who lives in Decatur, found the rock while hiking in the Arizona desert in June. The Kalamazoo Gazette published a story on Stambeck and his rock in September.

The luck that he previously said the rock had brought him has continued, Stambeck said in late November. He continues to win at the Michigan Lottery's Club Keno game.

On a recent evening at a local watering hole, he was studying up on similar rocks by reading a magazine published for extraterrestrial enthusiasts. He put the magazine in his pocket and promptly won \$165 in 15 minutes playing Club Keno.

But even though the rock has made him a bit richer, Stambeck said he is more interested in what the rock really is and how the image got there.

"Sometimes I take it out and talk to it," he said. "I ask it 'Who are you?' and 'Why were you put here?'"

He believes the image was placed on the rock by Native Americans who were living in the Arizona desert many years ago. His theory is that they saw some sort of alien being and etched its image into the rock for posterity, much like one would take a picture of something interesting.

Stambeck said he hopes someone who's an expert in geology or archaeology will contact him in the near future so he can have the rock's age determined and assess whether the image is something man-made or nature-made.

If it turns out the rock is not what he thinks it is, Stambeck said he won't be disappointed. But he doubts that will be the case.

"It looks too much like a person or being to be made by nature,"  
he said.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 5](#)

**Lt. Col. Larry Coyne**

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Dec 2006 09:04:44 -0600  
Fwd Date: Tue, 05 Dec 2006 07:24:49 -0500  
Subject: Lt. Col. Larry Coyne

Oh and by the way Comrades, fellow travelers, and uh... others;

On the last SDI - 02-12-06 - I went on and \_on\_ about one "Major Keen," ...even spelled it out at one point...

Well, rest assured I've microwaved my head for two minutes on high for the effrontery, said my penance beads, thrashed myself with birch switches, and worn the bramble floor robe... in preliminary.

The man's name is of course Lt. Col. Larry Coyne.

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>  
U F O M a g a z i n e -- [www.ufomag.com](http://www.ufomag.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 5](#)

### Disk-Shaped Object 'Crashes' In Brazil?

From: **A. J. Gervaerd - Brazilian UFO Magazine** <[ufo2006.nul](mailto:ufo2006.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Dec 2006 12:31:43 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 05 Dec 2006 07:29:40 -0500  
Subject: Disk-Shaped Object 'Crashes' In Brazil?

Possible crash involving a disk-shaped object is reported in Brazil

Brazilian UFO Magazine's consultant witnesses the transportation of a strange disk-shaped object in the Brazilian state of Bahia

On Saturday, November 25th, Brazilian UFO Magazine's editor A. J. Gervaerd received a call from Bahia with an intriguing story. The caller was Francisco Baqueiro, a psychologist and therapist, magazine's consultant in abductions, who said to have photographed with his cell phone a strange and perfectly disk-shaped flying object being carried by a non-identified truck in a road in the Brazilian state of Bahia. The picture was taken on Tuesday, November 21st, at 07:16 AM.

Baqueiro said he heard of the transportation one day before when an informer from an intelligence service called him telling an unknown artifact crashed and was recovered in an area in Bahia known as Rec=F4ncavo Baiano, south of the capital Salvador. The informer also told the object was to be carried from that place to the city of Feira de Santana, nearly 110km from Salvador, through the BR-324, a two-lane road with heavy traffic linking Salvador to that municipality. Despite the fact that Baqueiro was severely ill with kidney disease, he decided to check what happened.

That Tuesday 21st, shortly after 06:30 AM, the consultant and his wife spotted the truck carrying the object and followed after them. The vehicle stopped at Posto Phoenix, a gas station along that road, 60km far from Salvador and near the entrance to municipalities of Maracangalha and S=E3o F=E9lix. Baqueiro pursued the truck for 15 minutes and reported that it was escorted by four Federal Police cars - two ahead and two behind - which delayed the traffic in the road.

"I tried to enter the gas station in order to better check what was going on, but was prevented by the federal agents", states the therapist. He also says the truck was very slow in the way and the object on it was so large that the vehicle had to go on at the center of the road. Even though, parts of that fuselage exceeded the truck and touched the trees at the side of the road. "That was certainly too heavy to make a truck to go so slow."

Despite the strangeness of that scene, the object was uncovered and totally exposed. "Even with so many cars coming on the opposite way (going to Salvador

Picture taken by Francisco Baqueiro:

[ ]

Similar images taken from the Internet which has nothing to do with the first one and whose authenticity could not be verified:

[ ]

[ ]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 5](#)

## Re: The Best Evidence So Far? - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 04 Dec 2006 18:20:48 +0000  
Fwd Date: Tue, 05 Dec 2006 07:34:25 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Best Evidence So Far? - Kasten

>From: Frank Warren <[frank-warren.nul](mailto:frank-warren.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 03 Dec 2006 08:12:04 -0800  
>Subject: Re: The Best Evidence So Far?

>Pardon my ignorance, but "definitive proof" of what? "Best evidence" in support of what? Please clarify.

List:

I have been listening to an MP3 disk from Faded Discs. Especially, cuts from a show called Party Line, hosted by Long John Nebel. It is amazing how the discussion pertaining to an unknown something's flying around in the skies could have turned out so off the mark. Long John had no problem putting together skeptical thinkers, experts in aviation and many other disciplines, together. Tossing out topics for discussion - such as unknown flying objects in the skies.

A guy named Ivan Sanderson - a trained biologist - put forth a theory on one of the shows which I have never heard discussed ever again. Was the theory quashed by the 'nuts and bolts' advocates? Too early in that game, I believe. Sanderson suggested a very different theory for unknown flying objects - several actually.

I am suggesting if you want to re-energize a positive interest in unknown flying objects to order Wendy's Volumn #01 from Faded Discs.

KK

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 5](#)

## Re: Image On Rock Still Lucky For Decatur Man -

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 04 Dec 2006 18:31:08 +0000  
Fwd Date: Tue, 05 Dec 2006 07:36:44 -0500  
Subject: Re: Image On Rock Still Lucky For Decatur Man -

>Source: The Kalamazoo Gazette - Michigan, USA  
><http://tinyurl.com/ufuwd>  
>Sunday, December 3, 2006  
>Image On Rock Still Lucky For Decatur Man

>By Chris Killian  
>Special to the Gazette

>Since news of his unique rock started to spread, Joe Stambeck  
>has spoken to his share of skeptics.

>But Stambeck is sticking to his guns. The image on his rock, he  
>says, is not some strange natural anomaly, but rather bears the  
>profile of what he believes to be an extraterrestrial being.

>"Some people told me they thought it was painted on there," he  
>said of the image. "But I can tell you it's not, it's not. It's  
>something very unique."

Obviously, Stambeck has never talked with anybody from Arizona. No, it is not an extraterrestrial. The Tohono 'Odom indians explained to the whites long ago that the Hoodoo/Rock People protect and watch over humans. If you acknowledge their presence, you get taken care of. Stupid whites have thought it was cool to paint egotistical symbols on the rocks. It just makes the Hoodoos disrespect humans. If white humans are not careful, the Hoodoos will take their protection away from humans. Calling them extraterrestrials - I would never speak for the Hoodoos, but referring to them as off-planet beings sounds disrespectful to me. They are after all made from the very earth we humans walk on.

Retribution will be visited upon Stambeck unless he gives back to the earth that which he took away.

KK

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 5](#)

## Re: STS-48 Article In Journal Of UFO Studies -

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 04 Dec 2006 18:43:38 +0000  
Fwd Date: Tue, 05 Dec 2006 07:39:41 -0500  
Subject: Re: STS-48 Article In Journal Of UFO Studies -

>From: Lan Fleming <[lfleming6.nul](mailto:lfleming6.nul)>  
>To: UFOUpdates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 03 Dec 2006 17:11:51 -0600  
>Subject: STS-48 Article In Journal Of UFO Studies

>JUFOS has published an article I wrote about a quantitative  
>examination of the trajectories of objects in the STS-48 video  
>from 9/15/91.

>Briefly, some of the objects in the video were being accelerated  
>by forces other than two "mundane" forces that might influence  
>the motions of small ice particles near the shuttle: atmospheric  
>drag and pressures generated by ice particle sublimation.

>The abstract for my article is the fourth one down from the top  
>at:

><http://cufos.org/jufos2006.html>

Lan:

I think you would really be interested in Ivan Sanderson's possible explanation for the phenomena you report on. Buy Wendy Connors' Faded Discs, Vol. #01, and listen to cut #11.

I think it is time to revitalize Sanderson's theory for some of the unknown flying objects - he refers to them as living entities. No, not in the way many ufologists have done so recently.

I really think you will be challenged - in a good way - by Sanderson's approach. His book, Uninvited Visitors, is still available on-line. I have ordered it and can't wait to read his scientific approach to the subject. He was trained as a biologist.

Most approaches to the subject do not include biology - and, we are not talking exopolitics here. We are talking exo-entities. Off planet, living things.

Yumm,

KK

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 5](#)

## Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Dec 2006 13:48:30 EST  
Fwd Date: Tue, 05 Dec 2006 07:42:43 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

>From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 2 Dec 2006 09:45:53 +1000  
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2006 14:41:59 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2006 10:41:49 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

<snip>

>>Bob & List,

>>>The issue of smell, or lack thereof, I think is an important  
>>>one. Rotting, smelly neoprene balloons might explain the  
>>>reluctance of sheep to cross the debris field. But no witness  
>>>has ever mentioned any smell associated with the Foster Ranch  
>>>debris. E.g., I once asked Jesse Marcel Jr. if he remembered  
>>>any smell with the debris in the Marcel kitchen, and he again  
>>>didn't remember any. Neither has any other witness at either  
>>>the Foster Ranch or back in Roswell.

>>Good Afternoon David, Bob, William, List, All -

>>Since it was I who introduced the idea that the sheep wouldn't  
>>cross the field back in one of the Roswell books, let me get rid  
>>of the idea that odor had anything to do with it. Tommy Tyree  
>>told me (and Don Schmitt) that the sheep refused to cross the  
>>Debris Field because of all the shiny metal and trash scattered  
>>around. He said nothing about the odor frightening them, only  
>>that the metal did.

>Kevin, David, Bob, List,

>I take your point that it could have been just the metal and  
>trash frightening the sheep, but with respect, how would Tommy  
>Tyree know a subtle odour, difficult to pick up outside, by  
>human noses wasn't frightening them as well?  
>A combination of the whole scenario perhaps. Sheep, like dogs  
>have a keen sense of smell and would be very wary either way. We  
>also have a few accounts mentioning odour... including Bond

William, List, All -

Let me point out quickly, that the only time an odor was  
associated with this was J. Bond Johnson talking of the smelly  
debris in Ramey's office. If there are other accounts of an odor  
associated with this, I've forgotten them... And if Johnson is  
but the single source on this, I'm not sure we want to  
extrapolate an odor keeping the sheep from crossing the field.

On the other hand, we do have Tyree's description and his  
conclusion that the debris stirring in the wind, the flashing of



the metal in the sun was what frightened the sheep. So, we don't really have Tyree reading any beasties' minds, but a conclusion drawn by a man who talked of what Brazel witnessed and a conclusion drawn by Brazel after his observations.

In other words, while an odor might have had something to do with this, there is no empirical evidence to support the conclusion.

>>>The only time smell was mentioned was by J. Bond Johnson, >>>photographer/reporter in Gen. Ramey's office in Fort Worth. He >>>recalled the smelly, acrid balloon pictured on the floor there. >>>Of course Marcel and Gen. Dubose both said it was a skill >>>balloon, the cover story to get the press off their backs.

>Bond did mention an odour. He also mentioned the wrapped parcels >shown in the background of the Ft Worth photos Neil Morris >isolated.

>Bond was under the impression the odour came from them, as did >some of the genuine debris.

>>I'm not sure just how much odor could be attributed to one of >>these neoprene balloons. Johnson suggested that it had a burned >>odor and called it smelly garbage.

I've had the opportunity to handle a number of these and even had the chance to launch one and I remember no odor associated with it. I have been around them outside and inside and one of them was a very old one that had been out of the original packaging rarely but was still slightly discolored. So, my observation was that there was no odor... but then I was neither in Ramey's office nor was I on the Debris Field.

>>>But back at Roswell and the Foster Ranch, nobody mentions >>>smells. If neoprene weather balloons were at the Foster Ranch >>>debris field and then taken back to Roswell, you would think at >>>least one witness would mention their smell. Smell is such a >>>primitive sense that it goes unfiltered direct to the brain. It >>>forms very vivid memories, especially bad smells, like decaying >>>bodies.

>>Of course, back at the ranch, it could be that there were (a) no >>neoprene balloons lying around, (b) it's open area so that any >>odor coming from them would be long dissipated, or c) no one >>thought to mention the odor on the Debris Field (which is the >>field the sheep refused to cross according to Tyree) because it >>just wasn't very strong.

>My vote is a combination of (b) and (c). The odour may have >dissipated to human noses out in the open air, but was stronger >inside an office and more noticeable. I doubt Ramey would have >an odour of rotting carcasses/balloons stinking up his office. >We just don't know if an unusual odour no matter how subtle >could have kept the sheep at bay.

But we can suggest, absence of evidence to the contrary, that odor played little role in this.

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 5](#)

Secrecy News -- 12/04/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Dec 2006 14:35:57 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 05 Dec 2006 07:45:41 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 12/04/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 123  
December 4, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

\*\* ODNI SURVEYS INTEL COMMUNITY EMPLOYEES  
\*\* DEMOCRATIC REPS TELL EPA TO SUSPEND CLOSURE OF LIBRARIES  
\*\* COURT ORDERS EXPEDITED HANDLING OF FOIA REQUEST ON LEAKS  
\*\* DOD UPDATES POLICY ON GAO INVESTIGATIONS  
\*\* FBIS VIEWS THE FUTURE OF SOVIET SPACE (1987)

ODNI SURVEYS INTEL COMMUNITY EMPLOYEES

The Office of the Director of National Intelligence is conducting an annual survey of intelligence community employees to lay a foundation for future reforms of personnel practices.

The survey asks IC employees to evaluate a range of issues from workplace environment and job satisfaction ("How satisfied are you with the policies and practices of your senior leaders?") to attitudes towards other intelligence agencies ("How easy or difficult is it for you to collaborate with members of the IC who are outside your own IC agency?")

"The purpose for collecting this information is to study and report attitudes and perceptions of the Intelligence Community workforce regarding their work environments, with a focus on various management policies and practices that affect them," according to the survey form.

"The results will help your organization develop strategies to improve the quality of that work environment -- one of the goals of your senior leadership and the Director of National Intelligence."

Specifically, an official source indicated, the survey will support alignment of the Intelligence Community with the DNI Strategic Human Capital Plan, which envisions increased integration of U.S. intelligence agencies (Secrecy News, 10/18/06). It is the second such annual survey to be performed by the ODNI.

A copy of the survey was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "Intelligence Community Annual Employee Climate Survey," Office of the Director of National Intelligence, November 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/news/2006/12/icsurvey.pdf>

DEMOCRATIC REPS TELL EPA TO SUSPEND CLOSURE OF LIBRARIES

In what may be a harbinger of new rigor in Congressional oversight, four Democratic members of Congress told the Environmental Protection Agency to cease and desist from closing public document libraries and dispersing or destroying their contents unless and until EPA obtains specific approval from Congress.

Public interest groups including the Union of Concerned Scientists and the American Library Association had expressed alarm over the closure of EPA libraries and the reported destruction of documents. EPA said that it was modernizing and digitizing its collections and that no information has been destroyed.

"We request that you maintain the status quo of the libraries and their materials while this issue is under investigation and review by Congress," wrote Ranking Members Reps. Bart Gordon (D-TN), John Dingell (D-MI), Henry A. Waxman (D-CA) and James Oberstar (D-MN) in a November 30 letter to EPA Administrator Stephen Johnson.

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/congress/2006/epa113006.pdf>

"It is imperative that the valuable government information maintained by EPA's libraries be preserved," the Congressmen wrote.

#### COURT ORDERS EXPEDITED HANDLING OF FOIA REQUEST ON LEAKS

A federal judge ordered the Central Intelligence Agency and the National Security Agency to respond within 30 days to a Freedom of Information Act request from reporter Joshua Gerstein for a copy of records regarding unauthorized disclosures of classified information ("leaks").

Gerstein, a reporter with the New York Sun, had requested all "criminal referrals" regarding classified leaks filed since 2001; all responses to such referrals from the Justice Department; damage assessments of the unauthorized disclosures; and various other related records.

The CIA and NSA had granted Gerstein's request for expedited processing but then failed to produce any records for eight months. Nor did they offer a justification for their dereliction.

Judge Maxine M. Chesney of the Northern District of California therefore ordered the agencies "to produce all non-exempt records and non-exempt portions of records that are responsive to Gerstein's FOIA requests" within 30 days. See:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/jud/gerstein112906.pdf>

In a separate ruling, Judge Chesney also ordered the Department of Defense, the Department of Justice and the FBI to respond within 30 days to similar requests from Gerstein regarding leaks.

Neither order precludes agencies from invoking lawful exemptions to the Freedom of Information Act and withholding documents accordingly.

See "Reporter Wins A Court Battle With Government," New York Sun, December 4:

<http://www.nysun.com/article/44507>

#### DOD UPDATES POLICY ON GAO INVESTIGATIONS

A newly updated Department of Defense Instruction sets forth Pentagon policy on interactions with the Government Accountability Office, the congressional investigative agency.

"It is DoD policy that the Department of Defense cooperate fully with the GAO and respond constructively to, and take appropriate corrective actions on the basis of, GAO reports," the new Instruction says.

But DoD will also "be alert to identify errors of fact or

erroneous interpretation in GAO reports, and to articulate the DoD position in such matters, as appropriate."

See "Government Accountability Office (GAO) Reviews and Reports," DoD Instruction 7650.02, November 20, 2006:

[http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/dod/i7650\\_02.pdf](http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/dod/i7650_02.pdf)

FBIS VIEWS THE FUTURE OF SOVIET SPACE (1987)

"The USSR is publicly discussing an ambitious array of manned and unmanned space missions ... planned over the next quarter century," the CIA's Foreign Broadcast Information Service reported in a 1987 internal assessment.

"Recent items in the Soviet press and scientific literature... have provided new details on Soviet space plans from the present through the end of this century," said the FBIS analysis, which was marked "For Official Use Only."

The Soviet Union ceased to exist in 1991. FBIS was absorbed into the DNI Open Source Center in 2004.

See "Soviet Space Missions Planned Through the Year 2000," Foreign Broadcast Information Service Science and Technology Perspectives, April 8, 1987 (4.5 MB PDF file, thanks to Allen Thomson):

<http://www.fas.org/irp/cia/product/fbis-sovspace1987.pdf>

Some other historical U.S. intelligence assessments of Soviet space programs can be found here:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/cia/product/index.html#sovsp>

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:  
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 5](#)

## NASA Briefing To Announce Significant Mars Find

From: NASA News <[hqnews.nsl](mailto:hqnews.nsl)>  
Date: Tue, 05 Dec 2006 07:48:24 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 05 Dec 2006 07:48:24 -0500  
Subject: NASA Briefing To Announce Significant Mars Find  
Subject: NASA Briefing To Announce Significant Mars Find

Dec. 4, 2006

Dwayne Brown/Erica Hupp  
Headquarters, Washington  
202-358-1726/1237

Guy Webster  
Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, Calif.  
818-354-6278

MEDIA ADVISORY: M06-186

NASA SCHEDULES BRIEFING TO ANNOUNCE SIGNIFICANT FIND ON MARS

WASHINGTON - NASA hosts a news briefing at 1 p.m. EST, Wednesday, Dec. 6, to present new science results from the Mars Global Surveyor. The briefing will take place in the NASA Headquarters auditorium located at 300 E Street, S.W. in Washington and carried live on NASA Television and [www.nasa.gov](http://www.nasa.gov).

The agency last week announced the spacecraft's mission may be at its end. Mars Global Surveyor has served the longest and been the most productive of any spacecraft ever sent to the red planet. Data gathered from the mission will continue to be analyzed by scientists.

Panelists include:

- Michael Meyer -- Lead Scientist, Mars Exploration Program, NASA Headquarters, Washington
- Michael Malin -- President and Chief Scientist, Malin Space Science Systems, San Diego, Calif.
- Kenneth Edgett -- Scientist, Malin Space Science Systems
- Philip Christensen -- Professor, Arizona State University, Tempe, Ariz.

Reporters at participating agency field centers will be able to ask questions. For more information about NASA TV streaming video, download and schedule information, visit the web at:

<http://www.nasa.gov/ntv>

For information about NASA and agency programs, visit:

<http://www.nasa.gov>

-end-

To subscribe to the list, send a message to:

[hgnews-subscribe](#).nml

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 5](#)

### Re: Alleged Roswell Crash - Connors

From: Wendy Connors <[fadeddiscs.nul](mailto:fadeddiscs.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 04 Dec 2006 21:41:54 +0000  
Fwd Date: Tue, 05 Dec 2006 07:51:50 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash - Connors

Greetings to Listarians, Lurkers and Government Spooks Looking In:

Now that I'm getting old and doddering around, I keep finding stuff that I had forgotten about; stuff from former investigations. Being that Ed Gehrman is getting hammered, but holding his own after doing more on-site field work that 99.99% of us, I thought I would throw the following into the mix.

On January 11, 1998, Dennis Balthaser and I went schlepping out to the Bureau of Land Management Field Office in Roswell. We were intrigued by their No. EA-NM-060-99-003 Environmental Assessment for Grazing Authorization of Allotment 63020, Section 3, dated October 1998. This included the Foster Ranch area.

We were amazed that the following statement was included in this report and I quote:

"B. Affected Resources

Supernatural. This area is known to have UFO crashes and other cosmic events. Caution is advised in the area to stay away from unidentifiable life forms or strange environmental behavior. Due to the strict confidentiality of this subject, no further analysis will be done."

Yep. Dennis and I found out the statement was part of the Assessment. The BLM guys and gals were very gracious and provided us with enough maps of the area to keep us out of trouble for several weeks.

I thought I'd tell the Listarians about this little known factoid that comes from on-site and on-ground researching methods dealing with the Roswell case.

Three years later we interviewed Walter Haut and you know where that leads. <g>

Moral of this story? If ya can't get out of the chair and go onsite, you miss much.

Happy Holidays,

Wendy Connors  
[www.fadeddiscs.com](http://www.fadeddiscs.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)



## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 5](#)

## Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Dec 2006 16:48:43 EST  
Fwd Date: Tue, 05 Dec 2006 07:53:54 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Randle

>From: Gildas Bourdais <[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 02 Dec 2006 15:11:13 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 17:24:19 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>>From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 03:57:20 +1000  
>>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

<snip>

>>>There you go again..."these other multiple sites scattered about  
>>>NM..." ...What does that mean?) That may be the problem..we may  
>>>need to have some consensus on which crash is which and keep  
>>>them separated? Any way we can do that?

>>Yes, I did that on purpose because we now have three sites on  
>>the Brazel (Foster) ranch (the Debris Field, the 'MP' site some  
>>2.5 miles from the Debris Field, and another site maybe five or  
>>six miles away); the site near Ramon; the Kaufmann site (or  
>>wait, there are now three of those); the site over by Bottomless  
>>Lakes; the site south of the base; the site out on the Corn  
>>Ranch (as originally identified by Kaufmann and confirmed by  
>>Jim Ragsdale until he decided the site was out by Boy Scout  
>>Mountain); the Socorro site of the MP (which, if I have this  
>>straight is really near the Debris Field); the Barney Barnett  
>>site, and the five different locations identified by Gerald  
>>Anderson until he decided on a location near Horse Springs...  
>>to me that is scattered around southern New Mexico.

>Kevin, and the List,

>Thank you for trying to maintain some basic facts, as usual. In  
>have a couple of question about these alleged sites.

>The site on the Corn ranch is now in doubt after the demise of  
>Kaufman and Ragsdale. But we still have two credible, converging  
>testimonies, those of Lewis Rickett and Chester Barton, about a  
>site at only 45 minutes by the road 285, north-west of Roswell.

Gildas, List, All -

I have seen nothing to suggest that either Bill Rickett or  
Chester Barton are less than credible. Both talk of this 45  
minute drive outside of Roswell which seems to eliminate the  
Debris Field, anything on the Plains of San Agustin, Boy Scout  
Mountain, and several other sites.

Since Kaufmann pretty much eliminated himself, and other sites  
on the Brazel (Foster) ranch would be take much longer to reach,  
we are not left with much. It suggests another site somewhere  
north of Roswell and not far from the highway.

At the risk of delighting the debunkers who don't seem to understand the nuances, I will note that Barton thought he had been dispatched to a B-29 crash, saw little debris because most had been recovered by then, and didn't add much to our general knowledge. I will further note that there were no B-29 crashes in the proper time frame (and no other aviation accidents) to account for the debris.

>They also gave a similar description of the location, with  
>small, sandy hills. It seems that this would be more to the west  
>of the Corn ranch site, but at about the same distance.

See Below.

>Do you agree with that and do you include it in your list? Now,  
>we have another alleged site at about 2 and a half miles,  
>south-east of the debris field, where Brazel would have found a  
>sort of escape capsule and two dead bodies. What do you think of  
>it, and could that be the site mentioned by this new "MP"  
>witness ??

I believe that this new site is the one described by Ed's MP, but I don't know for sure. I know that Don Schmitt and Tom Carey are talking about a site near a downed windmill that might be north of the Debris Field (which I counted in my list of three on the Brazel ranch), but again, I don't believe they have located it, precisely.

>A third and last question is, could you tell us what is this  
>site near Ramon? I don't remember it.

This site was given to me by another MP who is in the Yearbook and who communicated with Stan a number of years ago. I'm still working on corroboration for it and mention it because it is another site in southwestern New Mexico and given the location and terrain, could be the site described by Rickett and Barton, but I underscore the could in could be. It is a site that is about 45 minutes from Roswell and I should point out here that I contacted him first (meaning he did not contact me but that I sought him out...).

But here is a question that I never thought to ask, nor did anyone else. When Rickett said 45 minutes away, did this count travel time from the base, or travel time from Roswell? The base was about fifteen minutes south of the town at that time.

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 5](#)

## Re: Exopolitics "UFO RIP" - Kimball

From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 4 Dec 2006 17:19:57 EST  
Fwd Date: Tue, 05 Dec 2006 07:59:43 -0500  
Subject: Re: Exopolitics "UFO RIP" - Kimball

>From: Paradigm Research Group <[PRG.nul](mailto:PRG.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 04 Dec 2006 00:32:42 -0800  
>Subject: Exopolitics "UFO RIP"

<snip>

>Ultimately "UFO" has to go. Not simply because the 'UFO Era' is  
>over, but because it no longer serves the truth process.  
>However, this acronym is entrenched in the culture. It is in the  
>titles of magazines, books, papers and a legion of websites. It  
>will take time, and, of course, that is exactly what is desired  
>by the keepers of the ghetto. Nevertheless, the transition to a  
>new lexicon within the era of exopolitics must be advanced as  
>quickly as possible by those able and ready to do so.

I agree with Steven Bassett... sort of.

Of course, I would change that paragraph slightly:

"Ultimately 'UFO' has to go. Not simply because the 'UFO Era' is  
over, but because it no longer serves the truth process.

However, this acronym is entrenched in the culture. It is in the  
titles of magazines, books, papers and a legion of websites. It  
will take time, and, of course, that is exactly what is desired  
by the keepers of the intellectual ghetto that pretend they have  
all of the answers.

Nevertheless, the transition to a new lexicon within the era of  
Unidentified Aerial Phenomena must be advanced as quickly as  
possible by those able and ready to do so, so that a serious  
scientific and historical inquiry into the phenomenon can  
finally begin."

Like I said, a slight change.

Best regards,

Paul Kimball  
[www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com](http://www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 5](#)

## The Cosford Incident 1993

From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 5 Dec 2006 05:25:05 -0000  
Fwd Date: Tue, 05 Dec 2006 08:12:46 -0500  
Subject: The Cosford Incident 1993

On November 1 Channel Five broadcast a documentary, The British UFO Mystery, examining a wave of UFO sightings that occurred over the UK on March 30 and 31, 1993.

Following the interest generated by the documentary, the MOD has published my original casefile of the official investigation. This has been done as part of the Publication Scheme and the material can be viewed on the MOD's website:

<http://tinyurl.com/ynd3d3>

The casefile runs to over 100 pages of documentation and is split into two .pdf parts:

<http://tinyurl.com/ymrsjn>

<http://tinyurl.com/yks73t>

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 5](#)

## Snippy Lands On eBay

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 05 Dec 2006 08:43:14 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 05 Dec 2006 08:43:14 -0500  
Subject: Snippy Lands On eBay

Source: Rocky Mountain News - Denver, Colorado, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/yz65z8>

December 5, 2006

Horse That Launched Animal-Mutilation UFO Lore Lands On eBay

By Deborah Frazier, Rocky Mountain News

Snippy, a horse that died mysteriously in an Alamosa field in 1967, is back in the news thanks to eBay.

The Appaloosa mare's death drew international media attention when her owner, Nellie Lewis, said the 3-year-old was mutilated by space aliens.

There were no tracks or blood on the ground, but the skin and flesh from the withers to the head were removed, exposing the bone.

Lewis told the world media there was a strange, sweet odor that she likened to incense on the rural ranch in the area where Snippy's remains were found.

The stories grew - the heart and brain were missing, too - and Snippy became the first reported case of animal mutilation by visitors from space.

Snippy, or Snippy's skeleton, dropped out of sight for decades, only to surface last week on eBay with a minimum bid of \$50,000.

"Whether it was a UFO or aliens or not, it is history," said Frank Duran, a marketing specialist for Dell's Insurance in Alamosa.

Duran said he posted Snippy on eBay after an attorney for a local man's estate asked him to market the famed set of bones.

But Monday, Duran put the Snippy bidding on hold because the local chamber of commerce and a relative of Lewis' have claimed ownership.

"When I was a kid, I remember that Snippy was displayed at the chamber of commerce," said Duran, who created the [www.snippy.com](http://www.snippy.com) Web site to market the mare.

"At some point, the chamber was going to throw her away, and a guy, Herman Doty, took her with the dream of opening a museum," he said. "It didn't happen."

In the mid-1970s, the Lewis family donated Snippy to the Luther Bean Museum at Adams State College, where she was displayed until the 1980s, Duran said.

From there, Snippy moved to the home of Carl Helfin, a local collector. Helfin died in 2003, and the much heralded horse skeleton was part of the estate, Duran said.

With Snippy's legal ownership undetermined, Duran said he would like to see her displayed at the San Luis Valley Museum.

"We could try to raise some money," he said.

Dr. Wallace Leary, an Alamosa veterinarian, reconstructed Snippy's skeleton in 1968 and debunked the space aliens theory by finding two bullet holes in the horse.

"The shots came from a .22-caliber and were in the left pelvic bone and the right thigh bone," Duran said.

"That probably wouldn't have killed her."

Wildlife experts have said that coyotes frequently leave surgical-style cuts in carcasses, but Snippy's space-alien legend grew, fed by Lewis' stories.

Lewis said the marks on the ground around Snippy included six indentations that formed a circle 3 feet in diameter, the sort of imprint a UFO might leave, according to news accounts.

Lewis said she picked up a piece of the horse's mane and it burned her hands. Later, the boots she was wearing were found to be radioactive, she said.

Two years after Snippy's death, area residents said no grass would grow on the site where the carcass was found.

Over the years, the San Luis Valley has become a mecca for people who wanted to see flying saucers. Hundreds of sightings have been reported to the county sheriff's office.

The trend started with Snippy.

"People are starting to be a lot more open to UFOs and aliens," Duran said.

"People are starting to say maybe it did happen."

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 6](#)

## Re: Alleged Roswell Crash - Balthaser

From: **Dennis Balthaser** <[truthskr.nul](mailto:truthskr.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 5 Dec 2006 07:50:32 -0700  
Fwd Date: Wed, 06 Dec 2006 07:45:42 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash - Balthaser

>From: Wendy Connors <[fadeddiscs.nul](mailto:fadeddiscs.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 04 Dec 2006 21:41:54 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash

<snip>

>On January 11, 1998, Dennis Balthaser and I went schlepping out  
>to the Bureau of Land Management Field Office in Roswell. We  
>were intrigued by their No. EA-NM-060-99-003 Environmental  
>Assessment for Grazing Authorization of Allotment 63020, Section  
>3, dated October 1998. This included the Foster Ranch area.

>We were amazed that the following statement was included in this  
>report and I quote:

>"B. Affected Resources

>Supernatural. This area is known to have UFO crashes and other  
>cosmic events. Caution is advised in the area to stay away from  
>unidentifiable life forms or strange environmental behavior. Due  
>to the strict confidentiality of this subject, no further  
>analysis will be done."

>Yep. Dennis and I found out the statement was part of the  
>Assessment. The BLM guys and gals were very gracious and  
>provided us with enough maps of the area to keep us out of  
>trouble for several weeks.

>I thought I'd tell the Listarians about this little known  
>factoid that comes from on-site and on-ground researching  
>methods dealing with the Roswell case.

>Three years later we interviewed Walter Haut and you know where  
>that leads. <g>

>Moral of this story? If ya can't get out of the chair and go  
>onsite, you miss much.

The statement by the Roswell BLM office on January 11, 1998  
is a true statement. Permit me to elaborate on that.

After reading the paragraph B. Affected Resources, quoted by  
Wendy, I contacted the local BLM office again and asked where  
the information came from and who authorized it, since it was  
signed-off by several BLM employees. Their response was "it was  
an (in-house joke) and will not be in the final copy of the  
Environmental Assessment". I immediately requested that Wendy  
and I be given a copy of the final version when completed.

Since we had not heard anything for some time, on July 31, 1999  
I wrote to the BLM office asking if the Assessment had been  
finalised. I received a copy of the Environmental Assessment  
(EA) #NM-066-99-003 for allotment #63020 by certified mail from  
the Roswell BLM Office on August 11, 1999, with a cover letter  
signed by TRK, Assistant Field Manager, Resources, U.S.

Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Roswell  
Field Office.

In the final version, again in Section B, No 9, quoting from the  
document, the following comment is part of the official  
Assessment dated August 9, 1999, pertaining to the 1947 Foster  
ranch, where Mack Brazel found debris:

"One of the alleged UFO crash sites of 1947 is located on this  
allotment. The UFO crash site has been excluded from  
right-of-way and mineral leasing. The site will be withdrawn  
from mining claim location,, and designated a NSO for oil and  
gas leasing."

As a researcher, I felt this was an important statement by a  
government agency, by at least admitting in an official document  
that this was one of the alleged UFO crash sites of 1947.

I have to agree with Wendy that those of us such as Kevin  
Randle, Don Schmitt, Tom Carey, Stanton Friedman, Ed Gehrman,  
myself and others who have done research on the Roswell  
Incident have not done it from the comfort of our chairs.

Dennis Balthaser  
[www.truthseekeratroswell.com](http://www.truthseekeratroswell.com)  
[www.gizapyramid.com](http://www.gizapyramid.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 6](#)

## Re: NASA Briefing To Announce Significant Mars

From: Paul Anderson <[paulanderson.nul](mailto:paulanderson.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 5 Dec 2006 07:09:56 -0800  
Fwd Date: Wed, 06 Dec 2006 07:47:37 -0500  
Subject: Re: NASA Briefing To Announce Significant Mars

>From: NASA News <[hgnews.nul](mailto:hgnews.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>  
>Subject: NASA Briefing To Announce Significant Mars Find

I just posted this to the blog this evening, with information from NASA, NASA Watch and Aviation Week & Space Technology. There will be a NASA news briefing on Wednesday, December 6 regarding possible current water seepage...

<http://themeridianijournal.blogspot.com>

I also just posted some cropped images of some of the new MRO gullies, from the full-scale JP2 image. I'm using the ExpressView program on my Mac OS X with no problems.

-----  
The Meridiani Journal  
a chronicle of planetary exploration  
<http://www.themeridianijournal.blogspot.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 6](#)

## Re: The Best Evidence So Far? - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 05 Dec 2006 12:49:22 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 06 Dec 2006 07:50:09 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Best Evidence So Far? - Kaeser

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 04 Dec 2006 18:20:48 +0000  
>Subject: Re: The Best Evidence So Far?

<snip>

>List:

>I have been listening to an MP3 disk from Faded Discs.  
>Especially, cuts from a show called Party Line, hosted by Long  
>John Nebel. It is amazing how the discussion pertaining to an  
>unknown something's flying around in the skies could have turned  
>out so off the mark. Long John had no problem putting together  
>skeptical thinkers, experts in aviation and many other  
>disciplines, together. Tossing out topics for discussion - such  
>as unknown flying objects in the skies.

>A guy named Ivan Sanderson - a trained biologist - put forth a  
>theory on one of the shows which I have never heard discussed  
>ever again. Was the theory quashed by the 'nuts and bolts'  
>advocates? Too early in that game, I believe. Sanderson  
>suggested a very different theory for unknown flying objects -  
>several actually.

>I am suggesting if you want to re-energize a positive interest  
>in unknown flying objects to order Wendy's Volumn #01 from Faded  
>Discs.

Hi Kathy,

I would agree that everyone should obtain a copy of Wendy's  
compilation, and they'll be rewarded by hours of information and  
perhaps memories.

'Long John' Nebel was the Art Bell program of the 50's and 60's,  
with many hours of classic programs available through various  
archives. I don't see a lot of interest by most young people in  
the subject, but it's re-enforcing for the rest of us.

The Faded Disk Collections are valuable and I would highly  
recommend them. They'd make great stocking stuffers..... <g>

Steve

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 6](#)

## Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 5 Dec 2006 10:29:33 -0800  
Fwd Date: Wed, 06 Dec 2006 07:51:58 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site - Gehrman

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 4 Dec 2006 16:48:43 EST  
>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

>>From: Gildas Bourdais <[bourdais.gildas.nul](mailto:bourdais.gildas.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 02 Dec 2006 15:11:13 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Alleged Roswell Crash Site

<snip>

>>Do you agree with that and do you include it in your list? Now,  
>>we have another alleged site at about 2 and a half miles,  
>>south-east of the debris field, where Brazel would have found a  
>>sort of escape capsule and two dead bodies. What do you think of  
>>it, and could that be the site mentioned by this new "MP"  
>>witness ??

>I believe that this new site is the one described by Ed's MP,  
>but I don't know for sure. I know that Don Schmitt and Tom Carey  
>are talking about a site near a downed windmill that might be  
>north of the Debris Field (which I counted in my list of three  
>on the Brazel ranch), but again, I don't believe they have  
>located it, precisely.

Kevin, Gildas, EBK, List,

Neil Morris sent me an aerial photo that identified all the well known crash sites, including the site that is known as the Foster Ranch site, where Mack discovered the debris. I used this accepted location as the basis to begin my search and have no problem with it at all.

My focus was finding the same site Schmitt & Carey were looking for, the site where the remainder of the craft came down. As I mentioned before, it was their belief, via Paul Hardin, that the crash site was associated with twin windmills. I do believe that I've found the object of their search. This landing site is about three and a half miles East of the Foster ranch debris. I had mistakenly given William the wrong direction during an off list exchange, and I wanted to clear that up.

I'm being a little vague because I'd like to save the exact location until we can conduct a proper examination. I'm not trying to hoard the information, but I don't want folks wandering around, destroying a pristine site.

Wendy has verified that what I've described is true. We've taken photos of the area and have samples of the anomalies. If qualified researchers are interested in visiting, then they can request the exact location from Wendy or me.

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 6](#)

## Re: STS-48 Article In Journal Of UFO Studies -

From: **Lan Fleming** <[lflaming6.nul](mailto:lflaming6.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 05 Dec 2006 22:17:11 -0600  
Fwd Date: Wed, 06 Dec 2006 07:56:45 -0500  
Subject: Re: STS-48 Article In Journal Of UFO Studies -

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 04 Dec 2006 18:43:38 +0000  
>Subject: Re: STS-48 Article In Journal Of UFO Studies

>>From: Lan Fleming <[lflaming6.nul](mailto:lflaming6.nul)>  
>>To: UFOUpdates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 03 Dec 2006 17:11:51 -0600  
>>Subject: STS-48 Article In Journal Of UFO Studies

>>JUFOS has published an article I wrote about a quantitative  
>>examination of the trajectories of objects in the STS-48 video  
>>from 9/15/91.

>>Briefly, some of the objects in the video were being accelerated  
>>by forces other than two "mundane" forces that might influence  
>>the motions of small ice particles near the shuttle: atmospheric  
>>drag and pressures generated by ice particle sublimation.

>>The abstract for my article is the fourth one down from the top  
>>at:

>><http://cufos.org/jufos2006.html>

>Lan:

>I think you would really be interested in Ivan Sanderson's  
>possible explanation for the phenomena you report on.  
>Buy Wendy Connors' Faded Discs, Vol. #01, and listen to  
>cut #11.

>I think it is time to revitalize Sanderson's theory for some of  
>the unknown flying objects - he refers to them as living  
>entities. No, not in the way many ufologists have done so  
>recently.

>I really think you will be challenged - in a good way - by  
>Sanderson's approach. His book, Uninvited Visitors, is still  
>available on-line. I have ordered it and can't wait to read his  
>scientific approach to the subject. He was trained as a  
>biologist.

>Most approaches to the subject do not include biology - and, we  
>are not talking exopolitics here. We are talking exo-entities.  
>Off planet, living things.

Thanks for the information. The space shuttle video that  
suggests a biological entity the most strongly is probably this  
one, a .mov, found by Mark Carlotto:

<http://tinyurl.com/yafry2>

He gives some details about the video in this report:

<http://newfrontiersinscience.com/Papers/v04n04a/v04n04a.pdf>

Carlotto thinks it's some sort of atmospheric phenomenon,  
something like "sprites" perhaps. But the way this thing wiggles  
back and forth, it looks for all the world like a tadpole



swimming in a pond (speeded up quite a bit). Whatever it is, it is certainly not any sort of debris from the space shuttle. I'm sure that NASA people know that, too. But apparently, they have to feign ignorance about such things.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 6](#)

## Re: Best Evidence So Far - Cohen

From: Jerry Cohen <[rjcohen.nul](mailto:rjcohen.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 06 Dec 2006 00:32:07 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 06 Dec 2006 08:20:36 -0500  
Subject: Re: Best Evidence So Far - Cohen

>From: Michael Bourne <[thebookofthoth.nul](mailto:thebookofthoth.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 1 Dec 2006 16:13:00 +0000  
>Fwd Date: Sun, 03 Dec 2006 10:17:55 -0500  
>Subject: The Best Evidence So Far?

>Ok, here's the thought.

><snip>

>You folks obviously have your own ideas as to what you would put  
>forward as your best cases, or best evidence, so lets do that.

><snip>

>So are there any kind people out there, would offer their view as  
>to what in their minds constitutes the best evidence so far? This  
>isn't going to be a "100 best UFO clips" or "best evidence so far"  
>this documentary will mention those, but instead focuses on  
>angles to this phenomenon, that haven't been explored in great  
>depth.

><snip>

>A short while ago I announced I'm making a UFO documentary, the  
>only public response was that of derision, without that person  
>knowing the first thing about what it was going to be like, or how it  
>was going to be done. Saddens me that there is so much pettiness  
>in UFO research, peoples agendas seem to revolve around the ego  
>instead of the subject matter. So when I get an opportunity to try  
>make a difference, it is met with little or no interest?

Michael,

This is an extremely difficult area to get everyone to agree upon. We all have our views as to what constitutes the "best" evidence. However, you are certainly welcome to browse over to CohenUFO and make up your own mind. Many of my personal favorites are there including the reasons I selected them along with other people's thoughts concerning each. It took quite a long time to assemble what you'll find there and will take some time to read and absorb same. I can absolutely guarantee your reading will be fruitful.

Focus on the military and police cases included therein. Perhaps your "angles" can help solve them.

As far as your comments concerning vested interests, etc., please be aware that honest research takes a lot of time and effort. It has been experienced by some that at times, various producers have their own agendas, political or otherwise, which sometimes inadvertently or not suppress research extremely pertinent to a particular topic. A number of researchers have seen the most critical parts of their evidence wind up on the editing floor at the last minute; thus very possibly the lack of quick response to your proposal. Here's one example, at my site:

<http://tinyurl.com/ycdlav>

Additionally, for a truly honest documentary, producers need to do their own research to decide what is genuine or not. This can be difficult to accomplish properly when dealing with politics, working on a deadline, or when doing the final construct of a program that only has a certain amount of time to present the available evidence. However, failing to achieve this will make the final presentation less than meaningful.

When performing your research, if you can, please try to avoid the pitfalls listed at the following URL:

<http://www.cohenufo.org/#solvingcases>

Sincerely,

Jerry Cohen

<http://www.cohenufo.org/>

My web-site has nothing to do with abductions, or... just maybe... everything.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 6](#)

## Re: NASA Briefing To Announce Significant Mars

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 06 Dec 2006 18:07:09 +0000  
Fwd Date: Wed, 06 Dec 2006 17:16:36 -0500  
Subject: Re: NASA Briefing To Announce Significant Mars

>From: Paul Anderson <[paulanderson.nul](mailto:paulanderson.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 5 Dec 2006 07:09:56 -0800  
>Subject: Re: NASA Briefing To Announce Significant Mars Find

>>From: NASA News <[hcnews.nul](mailto:hcnews.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>

>>Subject: NASA Briefing To Announce Significant Mars Find

>I just posted this to the blog this evening, with information  
>from NASA, NASA Watch and Aviation Week & Space Technology.  
>There will be a NASA news briefing on Wednesday, December 6  
>regarding possible current water seepage...

><http://themeridianjournal.blogspot.com>

>I also just posted some cropped images of some of the new MRO  
>gullies, from the full-scale JP2 image. I'm using the  
>ExpressView program on my Mac OS X with no problems.

Listers:

An invitation to anyone living in the Tucson/Nogales area of  
Arizona.

February 13, 2007, Dr. Moses Milazzo, Hi-Rise Image Calibrator  
for the Mars Project, will be the lecturer at this meeting in  
Green Valley at the Sonoran Astronomical Society.

The social hour starts at 7:00 PM and the lecture at 7:30 PM.

Location: La Posada Retirement Community Center across from the  
Society's planetarium.

Dr. Milazzo will be sharing with the group the latest  
interpretation of the Hi-Rise images.

KK

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 6](#)

## Water Still Flows In Brief Spurts On Mars

From: NASA News <[hqnews.nsl](mailto:hqnews.nsl)>  
Date: Wed, 06 Dec 2006 13:54:07 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 06 Dec 2006 17:18:55 -0500  
Subject: Water Still Flows In Brief Spurts On Mars

Dec. 6, 2006

Dwayne Brown/Erica Hupp  
Headquarters, Washington  
202-358-1726/1237

Guy Webster  
Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, Calif.  
818-354-6278

RELEASE: 06-362

NASA IMAGES SUGGEST WATER STILL FLOWS IN BRIEF SPURTS ON MARS

WASHINGTON - NASA photographs have revealed bright new deposits seen in two gullies on Mars that suggest water carried sediment through them sometime during the past seven years.

"These observations give the strongest evidence to date that water still flows occasionally on the surface of Mars," said Michael Meyer, lead scientist for NASA's Mars Exploration Program, Washington.

Liquid water, as opposed to the water ice and water vapor known to exist at Mars, is considered necessary for life. The new findings heighten intrigue about the potential for microbial life on Mars. The Mars Orbiter Camera on NASA's Mars Global Surveyor provided the new evidence of the deposits in images taken in 2004 and 2005.

"The shapes of these deposits are what you would expect to see if the material were carried by flowing water," said Michael Malin of Malin Space Science Systems, San Diego. "They have finger-like branches at the downhill end and easily diverted around small obstacles." Malin is principal investigator for the camera and lead author of a report about the findings published in the journal Science.

The atmosphere of Mars is so thin and the temperature so cold that liquid water cannot persist at the surface. It would rapidly evaporate or freeze. Researchers propose that water could remain liquid long enough, after breaking out from an underground source, to carry debris downslope before totally freezing. The two fresh deposits are each several hundred meters or yards long.

The light tone of the deposits could be from surface frost continuously replenished by ice within the body of the deposit. Another possibility is a salty crust, which would be a sign of water's effects in concentrating the salts. If the deposits had resulted from dry dust slipping down the slope, they would likely be dark, based on the dark tones of dust freshly disturbed by rover tracks, dust devils and fresh craters on Mars.

Mars Global Surveyor has discovered tens of thousands of gullies on slopes inside craters and other depressions on Mars. Most gullies are at latitudes of 30 degrees or higher. Malin and his team first reported the discovery of the gullies in 2000. To

look for changes that might indicate present-day flow of water, his camera team repeatedly imaged hundreds of the sites. One pair of images showed a gully that appeared after mid-2002. That site was on a sand dune, and the gully-cutting process was interpreted as a dry flow of sand.

Today's announcement is the first to reveal newly deposited material apparently carried by fluids after earlier imaging of the same gullies. The two sites are inside craters in the Terra Sirenum and the Centauri Montes regions of southern Mars.

"These fresh deposits suggest that at some places and times on present-day Mars, liquid water is emerging from beneath the ground and briefly flowing down the slopes. This possibility raises questions about how the water would stay melted below ground, how widespread it might be, and whether there's a below-ground wet habitat conducive to life. Future missions may provide the answers," said Malin.

Besides looking for changes in gullies, the orbiter's camera team assessed the rate at which new impact craters appear. The camera photographed approximately 98 percent of Mars in 1999 and approximately 30 percent of the planet was photographed again in 2006. The newer images show 20 fresh impact craters, ranging in diameter from 7 feet (2 meters) to 486 feet (148 meters) that were not present approximately seven years earlier. These results have important implications for determining the ages of features on the surface of Mars. These results also approximately match predictions and imply that Martian terrain with few craters is truly young.

Mars Global Surveyor began orbiting Mars in 1997. The spacecraft is responsible for many important discoveries. NASA has not heard from the spacecraft since early November. Attempts to contact it continue. Its unprecedented longevity has allowed monitoring Mars for over several years past its projected lifetime.

NASA's Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, manages the Mars Global Surveyor mission for the NASA Science Mission Directorate, Washington.

For more information about NASA's Mars missions, visit:

<http://www.nasa.gov/mars>

-end-

To subscribe to the list, send a message to:  
[hqnews-subscribe.nul](mailto:hqnews-subscribe.nul)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 6](#)

Secrecy News -- 12/06/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 6 Dec 2006 14:37:20 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 06 Dec 2006 17:21:37 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 12/06/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 124  
December 6, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

Support Secrecy News:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

\*\* PATENT SECRECY ORDERS LIFTED ON ROCKET PROPELLANTS  
\*\* GAO WARNS OF POSSIBLE UNIVERSITY EXPORT CONTROL VIOLATIONS  
\*\* SPECTER, LEAHY INTRODUCE BILL TO "RESTORE" HABEAS CORPUS  
\*\* AIR FORCE SPACE OPERATIONS, AND ORBITAL DEBRIS  
\*\* SOME RANDOM CRS REPORTS

PATENT SECRECY ORDERS LIFTED ON ROCKET PROPELLANTS

A Florida company called Space Propulsion Systems, Inc. announced this week that it had successfully petitioned the U.S. Government to lift secrecy orders that had been imposed on two of its rocket propellant concepts.

Under the Invention Secrecy Act of 1951, the government may restrict the publication and dissemination of information about new inventions if their disclosure could be "detrimental to the national security."

At the end of fiscal year 2006, there were 4942 invention secrecy orders in effect, according to statistics obtained by Secrecy News from the Patent and Trademark Office. There were 108 new orders imposed in FY 2006, while 81 existing orders were rescinded.

It is usually difficult if not impossible to identify patents and patent applications that were subject to invention secrecy orders which have been rescinded, though doing so would make an interesting construct for a historical research project.

But in this case, the applicant identified itself.

"Space Propulsion Systems, Inc. is pleased to announce that the Patent and Trademark Office of the Department of Commerce has rescinded the Secrecy Orders that had been imposed with respect to both its WREEM homogeneous propellant and the Supercritical Fluids fabricated Micro Fuel Cell composite rocket propellant in the light of guidance provided by U.S. defense agencies," according to a company news release.

"Although SPS intends to work with the US Government in any way required to protect this technology, SPS believed that the Company needed to develop this technology for the sake of the US, the stockholders of SPS, and the Company. SPS therefore requested our patent attorneys to petition the US Government to rescind the Secrecy Orders on these products. It took over a year, but SPS was finally successful in this effort."



<http://www.fas.org/sgp/news/2006/12/sps120406.html>

Copies of Secrecy Order forms of various types issued by the Patent Office are available here (courtesy of Michael Ravnitzky):

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/othergov/invention/orders.pdf>

Some other background on invention secrecy may be found here:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/othergov/invention/index.html>

#### GAO WARNS OF POSSIBLE UNIVERSITY EXPORT CONTROL VIOLATIONS

A new Government Accountability Office study warns darkly that the Departments of State and Commerce are not doing enough to police university research to ensure that export control violations are not occurring on campus.

State and Commerce "have not fully assessed the potential for transfers of export-controlled information to foreign nationals in the course of U.S. university research," the GAO study said. The study urged closer attention to available data on foreign students at U.S. universities.

The negative tone of the report is somewhat surprising since the GAO investigation did not identify a single export control violation, nor did it discover any misinterpretation or misapplication of existing law committed by university researchers.

But the study may reopen a fierce debate that seemed to be nearly settled regarding "deemed exports," referring to the transfer of export-controlled information to foreign persons residing in the United States. A strict interpretation of "deemed export" controls could cause massive disruption of university research, many academic scientists argued in the last two years. In May 2006, the Department of Commerce withdrew a proposed rule on the subject in response to widespread academic criticism. (SN, 05/31/06).

Buried deep in the new report, the GAO did note the significant fact that "government and industry contracting officials are increasingly inserting restrictive language in contracts for research that universities consider to be fundamental [including] language that prohibits the contractor from releasing information, even unclassified information, outside of the contractor's organization." (Page 10).

Such pre-publication restrictions are obviously inconsistent with the university environment. Regrettably, the GAO report did not pursue this issue or recommend the more discriminating use of disclosure restrictions by contracting agencies.

See "Export Controls: Agencies Should Assess Vulnerabilities and Improve Guidance for Protecting Export-Controlled Information at Universities," Government Accountability Office report GAO-07-70, December 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/gao/gao-07-70.pdf>

See also a companion report on "Export Controls: Agencies Should Assess Vulnerabilities and Improve Guidance for Protecting Export-Controlled Information at Companies," Government Accountability Office report GAO-07-69, December 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/gao/gao-07-69.pdf>

#### SPECTER, LEAHY INTRODUCE BILL TO "RESTORE" HABEAS CORPUS

In another sign of shifting ground in the post-election Congress, Senators Arlen Specter and Patrick Leahy yesterday introduced the "Habeas Corpus Restoration Act of 2006," which would reinstate federal court jurisdiction over Guantanamo detainees and other suspected enemy combatants.

The bill would repeal two provisions of the Military Commissions Act of 2006 enacted in September that limit habeas corpus. "Habeas corpus" refers to the ability of a detainee to seek

judicial review of his case.

"The Constitution of the United States is explicit that habeas corpus may be suspended only in time of rebellion or invasion," observed Sen. Specter. "We are suffering neither of those alternatives at the present time. We have not been invaded, and there has not been a rebellion."

"This bill would restore the great writ of habeas corpus, a cornerstone of American liberty for hundreds of years that Congress and the President rolled back in an unprecedented and unnecessary way with September's Military Commissions Act," said Senator Leahy.

See the introduction of the Habeas Corpus Restoration Act here:

[http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006\\_cr/s4081.html](http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2006_cr/s4081.html)

#### AIR FORCE SPACE OPERATIONS, AND ORBITAL DEBRIS

U.S. Air Force doctrine on space operations is elaborated in a new publication. See "Space Operations," Air Force Doctrine Document AFDD 2-2, November 27, 2006:

[http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/usaf/afdd2\\_2.pdf](http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/usaf/afdd2_2.pdf)

The threat posed by debris in Earth orbit is the subject of a recent Master's Thesis, which provides a convenient introduction to the subject and a review of recent literature. See "Orbital Debris: Technical and Legal Issues and Solutions" by Michael W. Taylor, Institute of Air and Space Law, McGill University, Montreal, August 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/spp/eprint/taylor.pdf>

#### SOME RANDOM CRS REPORTS

Recent publications of the Congressional Research Service include the following.

"Taiwan: Major U.S. Arms Sales Since 1990," updated November 9, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/weapons/RL30957.pdf>

"Panama: Political and Economic Conditions and U.S. Relations," updated November 16, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/row/RL30981.pdf>

"Thailand: Background and U.S. Relations," updated October 2, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/row/RL32593.pdf>

"Iraqi Police and Security Forces Casualty Estimates," November 16, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/mideast/RS22532.pdf>

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:  
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [ufoupdateslist.com](http://ufoupdateslist.com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 7](#)

## Manatee UFO Enthusiasts Want To Believe

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 07 Dec 2006 09:58:56 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 07 Dec 2006 09:58:56 -0500  
Subject: Manatee UFO Enthusiasts Want To Believe

Source: The Sarasota Herald-Tribune - Florida, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/y4qt3p>

December 05, 2006

Manatee UFO Enthusiasts Want To Believe

Members of the newly formed group discuss their own experiences in a friendly setting.

By Christopher O'Donnell

The stories sound like plot lines for TV shows like "The X-Files" or "Star Trek": Two white egg-shaped objects fly silently at astonishing speeds; a flying ring descends from a cigar-shaped cloud; a strange light hovers over a car for miles.

Those descriptions of UFO sightings, however, came from respectable folks from Southwest Florida: a retired teacher, a decorator and a construction company manager.

All are members of a local UFO organization, the UFO Group of Manatee, that attracts believers from Tampa to Port Charlotte.

The group has about 20 members, mostly retirees. They meet once a month at the Rocky Bluff Library in Ellenton to share stories, discuss UFO theories and watch documentaries.

During refreshments of soda and cookies, members make small talk about crop circles, Area 51 and alien colonization the way others talk about the weather.

They joke about government cover-ups and who in the group might be a government plant. There is much nodding of heads at the idea that military pilots see UFOs frequently but don't report them for fear of being relieved of duty.

Ufology -- the study of UFOs -- has come a long way since 1950s images of saucer-shaped metallic objects. Enthusiasts fashion theories that draw from a number of different scientific fields and different corners of the world.

Theorists point to structures as diverse as Stonehenge, the Nazca lines and Mayan and Egyptian pyramids as proof that higher beings helped early human civilizations.

Ellenton resident Harold Nils Pelta has never seen a UFO. But the former university administrator scans books and Web sites devoted to UFOs and other unexplained mysteries.

"I really want to know what's going on," Pelta said. "I don't think any government group is going about this the right way. A group like this, at least they're looking for an answer."

Science fiction may be widely accepted in film and on TV, but

admitting to having seen a UFO is still a big step, said Jackie Miller, a retired teacher who said she saw a UFO near Bradenton Beach.

It's partly why she, along with her husband, Tom Miller, formed the group in October. At the meeting she encourages members to tell their stories, a moment she describes as coming out of the closet.

"It may be a little traumatic for someone to share their story for the first time," Miller said. "People want to share their weird experiences but they don't know who they can trust without being judged."

Finding a group of like-minded people -- especially on a topic that breeds skepticism and criticism like believing in UFOs -- is not easy.

Some learn of the group by word of mouth. A few come from Miller's dowsing group, a group that believe people can find underground water using a divining rod.

The group also advertises in magazines like Natural Awakenings magazine and on Web sites like Meetup.com that allow specialist groups to post meeting dates.

Many at the meeting say retirement has given them the opportunity to explore a long-held interest.

The Millers are optimistic that once word gets out, the group will grow.

"We knew there were a lot of people in this area that were interested," said Tom Miller. "There used to be a big group in Clearwater that met 10 or 12 years ago."

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 7](#)

## Wilbert Smith Saucer Detection Equipment

From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 7 Dec 2006 10:44:13 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 07 Dec 2006 12:26:33 -0500  
Subject: Wilbert Smith Saucer Detection Equipment

Hi Everyone!

Check out Bill's (a physicist living in Ottawa) ever expanding website for new and previously unknown information on Wilbert B. Smith and the Canadian Government's involvement into the study of flying saucers.

Nick

-----

Date: Wed, 6 Dec 2006 19:48:01 -0500  
From: William <[william.nul](mailto:william.nul)>  
To: [nikolaos.nul](mailto:nikolaos.nul)  
Subject: Wilbert Smith Saucer Detection Equipment

For those of you who are interested, I obtained several pictures from James Smith showing pictures of some lab equipment that Wilbert had taken. James had no idea what the devices were about, but he felt they were significant.

I have since determined, with the assistance of a few of Wilbert's contemporaries, that these devices were probably part of the saucer detection station that others have already talked about.

I placed a description of the equipment on my web site at:

<http://www.treurniet.ca/Smith/crcstation.htm>.

I have also created a site table of contents which is accessible from the home page at:

<http://www.treurniet.ca/Smith/index.html>

This makes it much easier to find particular pages.

Regards,

Bill

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 7](#)

## APB For Colonel Larry Coyne

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 7 Dec 2006 13:13:37 -0600  
Fwd Date: Thu, 07 Dec 2006 15:05:03 -0500  
Subject: APB For Colonel Larry Coyne

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2005 11:53:20 -0400  
>Subject: Re: How About A Ufologist-Produced Documentary?

>I should remind Listers that there are already some Videos out  
>by ufologists.

>My Group 1 "UFOs ARE Real" has brought many good reviews. It  
>even won an award back in about 1980.

>Included are Dr. Bruce Maccabee, Dr. James Harder, Dr. Richard  
>Haines, Major Jesse Marcel, Lt. Col. Larry Coyne,

Hey Mr. Friedman!

Do you have a line on Col. Coyne?

Anyone?

I met Coyne around 1976 or thereabouts at Ft. Campbell, Kentucky  
when he'd flown in to Saber Heliport see his buddy T.J.  
Ballantine, a platoon leader with the 2/17 Cavalry.

I wished I'd paid better attention then, and would like to talk  
to him now. I've another crew of active duty Blackhawk aviators  
who've recently had a sighting while on a training mission of a  
type similar to Coyne's (one of the crew actually wet  
themselves) and would like to compare notes... plus, get him  
down for a Connors Anthology...

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>  
U F O M a g a z i n e -- [www.ufomag.com](http://www.ufomag.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 8](#)

## UFO Group Explores Extraterrestrials

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 08 Dec 2006 09:16:38 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 08 Dec 2006 09:16:38 -0500  
Subject: UFO Group Explores Extraterrestrials

Source: The East County Observer - Bradenton, Florida, USA

<http://www.ecobserver.com/viewarticle.cfm?ID=2255>

12/07/06

UFO Group Explores Extraterrestrials

By Rob Brannon

ELLENTON -- Decades ago, Tom Miller saw his first UFO.

He was at his grandmother's house at Buckeye Lake, Ohio, about 30 miles east of Columbus. Miller, then a young man, relaxed on a chaise lounge out in the yard. Looking up into the sky in the middle of the day, he saw three points of light. He thought at first they were bombers. But the lights weren't moving. The three objects hung in formation high in the sky. Then, in the blink of an eye, they were gone.

"I remember it & like it happened yesterday", Miller said.

Years later, Miller would share another sighting with his wife, Jackie. That sighting would come on a beach and be highlighted by a passing airplane. Together, the Millers have an eclectic interest in several aspects of the metaphysical including UFOs. Recently, they created a new UFO group that meets at the Rocky Bluff Library in Ellenton. Members of group, which meets the first Saturday of every month, discuss UFO theories and shares personal experiences.

"We say, 'Why not?'" Jackie Miller said of UFOs. "That's our theme - Why not? What are you afraid of?"

Shared beliefs

Tom Miller, originally from Ohio, was an Eagle Scout and an amateur astronomer. In the 1940s and 1950s, just as UFOlogy was becoming more prevalent, Tom Miller took an interest in some of the early books on the subject. But his interest wasn't as intense as that of his future wife. Tom Miller joined the Army and later became heavily involved in mountaineering. He worked in retail and commercial paint sales before retirement.

Jackie Miller, meanwhile, had a long career as a teacher. Much of her career was spent at Palmetto Elementary. Originally from West Virginia, she has had a longtime interest in the metaphysical. In addition to the UFO group, Jackie Miller is involved in the Manasota Dowzers and meets with a study/discussion group called The Seat of the Soul. Jackie Miller is interested in the work of Nikola Tesla and said she has had psychic experiences. She's traveled to some of the world's metaphysical centers, places like Chichen Itza in Mexico.

The pair met 13 years ago when Jackie Miller was volunteering in



the hospice where Tom Miller's previous wife died. They later discovered they had a lot in common.

Today, the couple lives in their Ridgewood home surrounded by shelves stacked with books. Since meeting, they have attended a number of gatherings on UFOs, including ones held by MUFON. The Millers eventually moved to Tennessee and North Carolina. They visited areas there, and in other parts of the country, known for UFO sightings. They met with one group while living there that included a man who claimed to be an alien/human hybrid.

"He didn't have any physical proof other than the fact he was a little strange", Tom Miller said.

Another group in Hendersonville, N.C., included a pilot who claimed to see foo fighters. After 10 years, they returned to Florida only to discover that the Clearwater group had disbanded.

#### Shared experiences

Tom Miller checked and discovered that there were no UFO groups meeting in the region. The couple decided to start their own, advertising the first meeting through a few phone calls and word of mouth. Fourteen people attended the first meeting, which was held at the Millers' residence. For subsequent meetings, they secured the library.

The Millers said the meetings range in topics. On Saturday, the group discussed Eric von Daniken's Search for Ancient Astronauts. They also share stories. One man described being chased by a UFO. Another story came from a Myakka resident whose home was bathed in turquoise light before a being about seven feet tall appeared. Another attendee explained that the alien could have been one of a race from a nearby star that's known for its taller stature and whose color is turquoise.

Future meetings may include discussions about crop circles, animal mutilations, implanted chips, missing twin syndrome and other topics. The Millers are looking for military people with stories or anyone who wants to share. Tom Miller said it's often difficult for people who have had a UFO experience to come forward.

"It's a lot like coming out of the closet", he said.

UFOs, the Millers said, have been around since ancient times. They don't worry too much about people who may be skeptical of their beliefs. The Millers said they've had several calls about their group. They look forward to the expected increase in UFO sightings.

"I am anxious to see what is going to happen", Tom Miller said.

Contact Rob Brannon at [rbrannon.nul](mailto:rbrannon.nul)

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 8](#)

## JAL Pilot's UFO Story Surfaces After 20 Years

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 08 Dec 2006 09:33:29 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 08 Dec 2006 09:33:29 -0500  
Subject: JAL Pilot's UFO Story Surfaces After 20 Years

Source: Japan Today - Tokyo

<http://www.japantoday.com/jp/kuchikomi/443>

December 8, 2006

JAL Pilot's UFO Story Surfaces After 20 Years

One December evening in 1986, reports Shukan Shincho (Dec 7), two Kyodo News journalists presented themselves by appointment at the London hotel room of JAL pilot by the name of Terauchi. He had a story for them =96 but should he be telling it? Should they be listening? Are UFOs serious?

Journalism is a skeptical trade, and as for pilots, even if they do spot strange lights, objects and movements in the sky for which they can conceive no other explanation, they are expected to keep their suspicions to themselves. Their livelihood depends on passengers' confidence. Talk of UFOs does not encourage it.

So Terauchi, in granting the interview, was stepping out on a limb. He later paid the price.

On Nov 17, 1986, he told the Kyodo journalists, he was chief pilot on JAL flight 1628, Narita-bound from Paris. The first stop was Keflavik, Iceland; the second, Anchorage, Alaska. At 5:10 p.m. local time the plane, a Boeing-747 jumbo, was flying 10,600 meters over Alaska. It was dusk, not quite dark.

"Suddenly," Terauchi said, "600 meters below, I saw what looked like two belts of light. I checked with the Anchorage control tower. They said nothing was showing on their radar."

But something was emitting those lights, and whatever it was seemed interested in the jumbo, for it adjusted its speed to match to match the plane's =96 "like they were toying with us," said Terauchi.

That went on for seven minutes or so. "Then there was a kind of reverse thrust, and the lights became dazzlingly bright. Our cockpit lit up. The thing was flying as if there was no such thing as gravity. It sped up, then stopped, then flew at our speed, in our direction, so that to us it looked like it was standing still. The next instant it changed course. There's no way a jumbo could fly like that. If we tried, it'd break apart in mid-air. In other words, the flying object had overcome gravity."

Five minutes later, the object vanished in the gathering darkness, but soon another, much larger object, "several tens of times larger than a jumbo jet," which itself is some 70 meters long, appeared, bathed in blue light. Again the control tower radar registered nothing. Terauchi noticed unusual silhouettes over Fairbanks, Alaska. The object vanished. The jumbo landed at 6:24 p.m. and the passengers disembarked, not so much as

suspecting what a harrowing experience their pilot had been through.

What to make of this? It's tempting to say Terauchi's imagination got the better of him; but he's an ex-fighter pilot with more than 10,000 flying hours under his belt. He would know, if anyone would, how to keep his imagination in check. Another theory Shukan Shincho hears is that the lights the pilot saw were from Jupiter and Mars, which in fact would have been visible on the jumbo's flight path on the night in question. It's possible, but again =96 would a man with Terauchi's experience and training be so easily fooled?

There are other possibilities, among them a secret U.S. military operation or development, about which nothing is known precisely because it is secret. Or maybe it really was what Terauchi says it was =96 a UFO. In any case, Terauchi was shortly afterwards grounded by JAL for talking to the press. He was given a desk job, and only reinstated as a pilot years afterwards. Now 67 and retired, he lives quietly with his wife in a small town in north Kanto, and talks about the adventure as little as possible.

"I spoke to a doctor =96 he said it was an illusion," he tells Shukan Shincho. "You saw something you weren't meant to see," his wife says consolingly. That, if nothing else, seems certain.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 8](#)

## Re: Wilbert Smith Saucer Detection Equipment -

From: **Dimitris Hatzopoulos** <[dhatz-ufo.nul](mailto:dhatz-ufo.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 08 Dec 2006 02:12:47 +0200  
Fwd Date: Fri, 08 Dec 2006 09:41:01 -0500  
Subject: Re: Wilbert Smith Saucer Detection Equipment -

>From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
>UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 7 Dec 2006 10:44:13 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)  
>Subject: Wilbert Smith Saucer Detection Equipment

<snip>

>From: William <[william.nul](mailto:william.nul)>  
>To: [nikolaos.nul](mailto:nikolaos.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 6 Dec 2006 19:48:01 -0500  
>Subject: Wilbert Smith Saucer Detection Equipment

>For those of you who are interested, I obtained several pictures  
>from James Smith showing pictures of some lab equipment that  
>Wilbert had taken. James had no idea what the devices were  
>about, but he felt they were significant.

>I have since determined, with the assistance of a few of  
>Wilbert's contemporaries, that these devices were probably part  
>of the saucer detection station that others have already talked  
>about.

<snip>

It's interesting from a historical point of view. On the topic of UFO detection station equipment, one can look at more modern configurations. I list several initiatives for collecting UFO data in my "UFO Resources" document at:

<http://www.hyper.net/ufo.html>

One such initiative is Project Hessdalen in Norway, a scientific investigation of UAP by teams from Norway and Italy, using CCD cameras, magnetometers etc. See:

<http://www.hessdalen.org/station/>

There's relevant work by Massimo Teodorani, Ph.D. - Astronomer (involved in Project Hessdalen), e.g. his paper "Physics from UFO Data" at:

[http://www.ufodatanet.org/report/ufophys\\_e.htm](http://www.ufodatanet.org/report/ufophys_e.htm)

-----

### Abstract

A research project on the UFO phenomenon is proposed in which UFO targets are treated on a par with astronomical objects having no fixed coordinates. Specifically oriented monitoring techniques and strategies involving small telescopes which are connected to CCD detectors, spectrographs and photon-counting photometers are presented. Expected exposure-times for acquiring a good S/N ratio of the target using all the proposed instruments is also evaluated. Finally, physical informations which are expected to come out from data analysis are presented and discussed in detail."

Conclusive remarks

The search for time-correlations between the discussed measurable physical parameters could surely shed light on the physical mechanism which creates the UFO phenomenon. The knowledge of such a physics could allow one to establish definitively if UFOs are previously unknown natural phenomena or propelled machines. In particular, since now, it is necessary to pose three fundamental questions:

1. Are there correlations between the transfer velocity, the intrinsic luminosity, the color index, the magnetic field intensity and the period of pulsation of an UFO?
2. Is an UFO able to produce a local gravitational field and/or a local anti-gravitational field and to alternate these two forces?
3. Which relation exists between the magnetic field produced by a given UFO and its local gravitational field, if present?

Before venturing carefully prepared hypotheses, it is of fundamental importance to collect the largest as possible amount of data by securing the following two simultaneous observational strategies:

1. Target monitor using a wide range of wavelength windows.
2. Target monitor carried out by means of a wide range of detecting devices.

In particular, astronomers should try to infer what is acting inside an UFO, by studying the quality, the quantity and the variability of the continuum and discrete radiation which is emitted, in the same way in which these scientists are able to understand the physics of a star interior by studying the observed properties of a star atmosphere. This intriguing problem is still open and the technology for studying it is now fully available.

-----

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 8](#)

## Re: JAL Pilot's UFO Story Surfaces After 20 Years

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 8 Dec 2006 11:32:26 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 08 Dec 2006 13:11:34 -0500  
Subject: Re: JAL Pilot's UFO Story Surfaces After 20 Years

>Source: Japan Today - Tokyo

><http://www.japantoday.com/jp/kuchikomi/443>

>December 8, 2006

>JAL Pilot's UFO Story Surfaces After 20 Years

>One December evening in 1986, reports Shukan Shincho (Dec 7),  
>two Kyodo News journalists presented themselves by appointment  
>at the London hotel room of JAL pilot by the name of Terauchi.  
>He had a story for them -- but should he be telling it? Should  
>they be listening? Are UFOs serious?

>.Journalism is a skeptical trade, and as for pilots, even if they >  
>do spot strange lights, objects and movements in the sky for  
>which they can conceive no other explanation, they are expected  
>to keep their suspicions to themselves. Their livelihood depends  
>on passengers' confidence. Talk of UFOs does not encourage it.

>So Terauchi, in granting the interview, was stepping out on a  
>limb. He later paid the price.

>On Nov 17, 1986, he told the Kyodo journalists, he was chief  
>pilot on JAL flight 1628, Narita-bound from Paris. The first  
>stop was Keflavik, Iceland; the second, Anchorage, Alaska. At  
>5:10 p.m. local time the plane, a Boeing-747 jumbo, was flying  
>10,600 meters over Alaska. It was dusk, not quite dark.

>"Suddenly," Terauchi said, "600 meters below, I saw what looked  
>like two belts of light. I checked with the Anchorage control  
>tower. They said nothing was showing on their radar."

>But something was emitting those lights, and whatever it was  
>seemed interested in the jumbo, for it adjusted its speed to  
>match to match the plane's -- "like they were toying with us,"  
>said Terauchi.

>That went on for seven minutes or so. "Then there was a kind of  
>reverse thrust, and the lights became dazzlingly bright. Our  
>cockpit lit up. The thing was flying as if there was no such  
>thing as gravity. It sped up, then stopped, then flew at our  
>speed, in our direction, so that to us it looked like it was  
>standing still. The next instant it changed course. There's no  
>way a jumbo could fly like that. If we tried, it'd break apart  
>in mid-air. In other words, the flying object had overcome  
>gravity."

>Five minutes later, the object vanished in the gathering  
>darkness, but soon another, much larger object, "several tens of  
>times larger than a jumbo jet," which itself is some 70 meters  
>long, appeared, bathed in blue light. Again the control tower  
>radar registered nothing. Terauchi noticed unusual silhouettes  
>over Fairbanks, Alaska. The object vanished. The jumbo landed at  
>6:24 p.m. and the passengers disembarked, not so much as  
>suspecting what a harrowing experience their pilot had been  
>through.

This last sentence makes it seem that there were passengers on the plane. Actually there were only the pilot copilot and flight engineer. They all saw the objects.

Comparing the above newspaper story with the story as reported 20 years ago shows the deterioration in accuracy with time.

The most complete report ever published is at:

<http://brumac.8k.com/JAL1628/JL1628.html>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 8](#)

## Re: Wilbert Smith Saucer Detection Equipment -

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 08 Dec 2006 18:18:48 +0000  
Fwd Date: Fri, 08 Dec 2006 14:14:22 -0500  
Subject: Re: Wilbert Smith Saucer Detection Equipment -

>From: Dimitris Hatzopoulos <[dhatz-ufo.nul](mailto:dhatz-ufo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 08 Dec 2006 02:12:47 +0200  
>Subject: Re: Wilbert Smith Saucer Detection Equipment

>A research project on the UFO phenomenon is proposed in which  
>UFO targets are treated on a par with astronomical objects  
>having no fixed coordinates. Specifically oriented monitoring  
>techniques and strategies involving small telescopes which are  
>connected to CCD detectors, spectrographs and photon-counting  
>photometers are presented. Expected exposure-times for acquiring  
>a good S/N ratio of the target using all the proposed  
>instruments is also evaluated. Finally, physical informations  
>which are expected to come out from data analysis are presented  
>and discussed in detail."

>Conclusive remarks

>The search for time-correlations between the discussed  
>measurable physical parameters could surely shed light on the  
>physical mechanism which creates the UFO phenomenon. The  
>knowledge of such a physics could allow one to establish  
>definitively if UFOs are previously unknown natural phenomena or  
>propulsed machines. In particular, since now, it is necessary to  
>pose three fundamental questions:

>1. Are there correlations between the transfer velocity, the  
>intrinsic luminosity, the color index, the magnetic field  
>intensity and the period of pulsation of an UFO?

>2. Is an UFO able to produce a local gravitational field and/or  
>a local anti-gravitational field and to alternate these two  
>forces?

>3. Which relation exists between the magnetic field produced by  
>a given UFO and its local gravitational field, if present?

>Before venturing carefully prepared hypotheses, it is of  
>fundamental importance to collect the largest as possible amount  
>of data by securing the following two simultaneous observational  
>strategies:

>1. Target monitor using a wide range of wavelength windows.

>2. Target monitor carried out by means of a wide range of detecting  
>devices.

>In particular, astronomers should try to infer what is acting  
>inside an UFO, by studying the quality, the quantity and the  
>variability of the continuum and discrete radiation which is  
>emitted, in the same way in which these scientists are able to  
>understand the physics of a star interior by studying the  
>observed properties of a star atmosphere. This intriguing  
>problem is still open and the technology for studying it is now  
>fully available.

Finally, a truly scientific approach to the phenomena. Dr. Ivan Sanderson, I am sure, is smiling down on the researchers.



KK

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 8](#)

## Re: JAL Pilot's UFO Story Surfaces After 20 Years

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 08 Dec 2006 14:36:34 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 08 Dec 2006 14:16:51 -0500  
Subject: Re: JAL Pilot's UFO Story Surfaces After 20 Years

>Source: Japan Today - Tokyo

><http://www.japantoday.com/jp/kuchikomi/443>

>December 8, 2006

>JAL Pilot's UFO Story Surfaces After 20 Years

>One December evening in 1986, reports Shukan Shincho (Dec 7),  
>two Kyodo News journalists presented themselves by appointment  
>at the London hotel room of JAL pilot by the name of Terauchi.  
>He had a story for them -- but should he be telling it? Should  
>they be listening? Are UFOs serious?

>Journalism is a skeptical trade, and as for pilots, even if they  
>do spot strange lights, objects and movements in the sky for  
>which they can conceive no other explanation, they are expected  
>to keep their suspicions to themselves. Their livelihood depends  
>on passengers' confidence. Talk of UFOs does not encourage it.

>So Terauchi, in granting the interview, was stepping out on a  
>limb. He later paid the price.

>On Nov 17, 1986, he told the Kyodo journalists, he was chief  
>pilot on JAL flight 1628, Narita-bound from Paris. The first  
>stop was Keflavik, Iceland; the second, Anchorage, Alaska. At  
>5:10 p.m. local time the plane, a Boeing-747 jumbo, was flying  
>10,600 meters over Alaska. It was dusk, not quite dark.

>"Suddenly," Terauchi said, "600 meters below, I saw what looked  
>like two belts of light. I checked with the Anchorage control  
>tower. They said nothing was showing on their radar."

>But something was emitting those lights, and whatever it was  
>seemed interested in the jumbo, for it adjusted its speed to  
>match to match the plane's -- "like they were toying with us,"  
>said Terauchi.

<snip>

It's interesting to note that though this piece seeks to find  
the truth about what happened that evening, the reporter didn't  
dig very deep. He mentions the passengers were unaware of what  
had happened when they deplaned. In fact there were no  
passengers on Flight 1628. It was a cargo carrier and the crew  
consisted of the pilot, first officer and the flight engineer.  
It was carrying a cargo of wine from France back to Japan.

The treatment of Captain Terauchi is unforgivable. His rights  
were trampled upon. The continual turning of blind eyes toward  
close encounters by transport pilots in particular by the  
governing aviation authorities is singular in its disregard for  
the safety of flight crews and their passengers. Every manner of  
absurdity and simpleminded explanation is trundled out to  
protect the airline's bottom line. But it doesn't take a UFO  
event for this to happen.

Bruce Maccabee has the best coverage of this incident at:

<http://brumac.8k.com/JAL1628/JL1628.html>

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 8](#)

Secrecy News -- 12/08/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 8 Dec 2006 13:21:26 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 08 Dec 2006 14:18:58 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 12/08/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 125  
December 8, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

"Special year-end opportunity to support Secrecy News!"  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

\*\* THE TRAVAILS OF SEA-BASED MISSILE DEFENSE  
\*\* IMPLEMENTING THE 9/11 COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS, OR NOT  
\*\* EVEN MORE FROM CRS  
\*\* CORRECTIONS  
\*\* PUBLIC INTEREST DECLASS BOARD FALTERS

THE TRAVAILS OF SEA-BASED MISSILE DEFENSE

The flight test of a sea-based missile defense system in the Pacific was aborted yesterday after an interceptor missile failed to launch from an Aegis cruiser, the Pentagon's Missile Defense Agency said.

It was the latest setback in an ambitious sea-based missile defense program that will cost more than one billion dollars in 2007.

"In developing a global ballistic missile defense (BMD) system, the Department of Defense (DOD) currently is modifying 18 Navy cruisers and destroyers for BMD operations, and has placed a large BMD radar -- the Sea-Based X-Band Radar (SBX) -- on a modified floating oil platform," according to a new report of the Congressional Research Service.

But sea-based systems are still far from providing a satisfactory resolution to the quest for a reliable missile defense.

The new CRS report (which does not fail to mention that Aegis "is named after the mythological shield carried by Zeus") is a superb presentation of the current state of sea-based missile defense. Full of hard-to-find details, the 37 page document asks and begins to answer a range of questions about the future of this program.

CRS does not release its reports to the public. A copy was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "Sea-Based Missile Defense -- Background and Issues for Congress," December 4, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/weapons/RL33745.pdf>

IMPLEMENTING THE 9/11 COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS, OR NOT

In a major new report that could serve as an appendix to the

Final Report of the 9/11 Commission, the Congressional Research Service performed a detailed assessment of the implementation of the Commission's recommendations.

"The discussions herein are organized on the basis of policy themes that are at the core of the 9/11 Commission's recommendations, rather than through a review of each numbered item set out in the Commission's final report," the 73 page CRS report says.

"Each section of the report summarizes the pertinent elements of the 9/11 Commission's recommendation relevant to the section's policy theme. Then a review is made of responses made by the Congress to implement, in whole or in part, the given recommendation. Where appropriate, notice is taken of Executive branch actions regarding the policy matter."

A copy of the report was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "9/11 Commission Recommendations: Implementation Status," December 4, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/homsec/RL33742.pdf>

#### EVEN MORE FROM CRS

Some other noteworthy new products of the Congressional Research Service that are not widely available to the public include the following:

"Nuclear Fuel Reprocessing: U.S. Policy Development," November 29, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/nuke/RS22542.pdf>

"Homeland Security: Evolving Roles and Missions for United States Northern Command," updated November 16, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/homsec/RS21322.pdf>

"U.S. Strategic Nuclear Forces: Background, Developments, and Issues," updated October 17, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/nuke/RL33640.pdf>

"National Emergency Powers," updated November 13, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/natsec/98-505.pdf>

"Navy Littoral Combat Ship (LCS) Program: Oversight Issues and Options for Congress," November 30, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/weapons/RL33741.pdf>

"The United States and Europe: Current Issues," updated November 21, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/row/RS22163.pdf>

#### CORRECTIONS

There were at least two errors in the December 4 issue of Secrecy News.

We mistakenly wrote that the CIA and NSA agreed to reporter Joshua Gerstein's request for expedited processing of his FOIA request on records concerning unauthorized disclosures. They did not. But a court granted his motion to compel a prompt response.

The DNI Open Source Center was established in November 2005, not in 2004.

#### PUBLIC INTEREST DECLASS BOARD FALTERS

The Public Interest Declassification Board was established by Congress in 2000 "to promote the fullest possible public access to a thorough, accurate, and reliable documentary record of significant United States national security decisions." (FY 2001

Intelligence Authorization Act, Section 703).

Six years later, it has still done no such thing.

In its first practical test, members of Congress asked the Board to review the classification of two recent reports on pre-war Iraq intelligence to determine if more of the text could be disclosed.

But the Board concluded that it could not proceed without White House approval, which was not forthcoming.

This week, reported Rebecca Carr of Cox News, the Board asked Congress to modify its charter to make clear that White House approval is not required for this purpose.

See "Anti-secrecy board unable to gain traction" by Rebecca Carr, Cox News Service, December 8:

<http://www.azstarnet.com/allheadlines/159482>

The Board will hold its next meeting on December 15 at the National Archives in Washington, DC.

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:  
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

## WME To Host World Premiere Of UFO Documentary

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 09 Dec 2006 08:37:07 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 09 Dec 2006 08:37:07 -0500  
Subject: WME To Host World Premiere Of UFO Documentary

Source: The White Mountain Independent - Show Low, Arizona, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/yfdhzo>

12/08/2006

WME To Host World Premiere Of UFO Documentary

Fastwalkers' producer Robert D. Miles presents the feature-length documentary on UFOs and extraterrestrials at a press conference Monday.

Photo by Kathryn Sky

Fastwalkers' producer Robert D. Miles presents the feature-length documentary on UFOs and extraterrestrials at a press conference Monday.

SHOW LOW -- The WME Show Low Theatre will host the world premiere of Fastwalkers, a feature-length documentary examining the 'hidden' world of unidentified flying objects (UFOs), on Wednesday, Dec. 13, at 7 p.m.

Show Low resident Robert D. Miles, a television and motion picture writer, produced the film. Robert's son, Anthony Miles, directed the film. Anthony grew up in the White Mountains and graduated from Snowflake High School. He is now a television and film producer in Phoenix.

Fastwalkers is the code word used by the North American Aerospace Defense Command (NORAD) to classify UFOs. According to producers, the film "reveals the truth about UFOs and extraterrestrials that has been suppressed and hidden for centuries." The documentary "discloses information "you were never meant to know."

Robert Miles said several questions are asked in the film: Are UFOs real? Do extraterrestrials exist? If so, how have they influenced humankind? Is there a cover-up?

Fastwalkers features UFO photos and footage gathered from around the world. It was shot in the style of an "intelligence briefing" and uses actual interviews provided by respected individuals in a variety of fields of expertise. Viewers will hear first-hand accounts of individuals who have been contacted or abducted.

Some of the subjects interviewed in the film will attend the premiere. Miles said some of the individuals might have been labeled "kooks" before, but their goal was "to give these people a vehicle to present their facts and information and let the people decide. It's disclosure."

Miles said Show Low was chosen for the big event because of its high definition theater. "It's not a horror movie. It was

designed for people who want an intelligent approach," Miles said. "It's a film of hope. It's not your typical Hollywood UFO movie."

It's not an "American" film, he added. "It's a world film." Participants span the globe, from Austria, Germany and England to Brazil, Mexico and Canada.

Miles is an author, screenwriter, producer, director and cameraman with 35 years experience. He has produced numerous films for television, full-length documentary features and hundreds of television commercials, many of which he wrote and directed. He has sold products to HBO, Showtime, On Television and the Z Channel.

Miles also owned an advertising agency in California and Arizona for 21 years. An avid adventurer, Miles designed and built four sailing vessels and sailed to Hawaii, Baja Mexico and Honduras.

Miles also has his own "UFO experience." After sailing down the Oregon-California coast in the spring of 1968, Miles and his partner headed to Hawaii.

Docked in Honolulu, "early one morning just a couple of minutes after 5 a.m., I looked out the open skylight from my bunk at the beautiful early morning sky," Miles said. "My mind was filled with the events of the coming day. My partner and I had just sold the boat, and the new owner would take possession within a few hours.

"Suddenly, I experienced a dazzling array of shimmering light followed by an intense tingling of energy which filled the entire stateroom and engulfed me."

He said a "woman" materialized next to his bed. "Myself and others like me are friends, and we want you to come to a very important briefing," she told him.

After agreeing to go with her, Miles said, he was teleported to New York City, then boarded a saucer-like spacecraft and transported to an extraterrestrial location. Miles and about 50 other guests were given a "dramatic and vivid view of humankind's potential destiny."

Miles recorded much of his experience in the science fiction novel "SafeSpace," published in 2003. "Some of that story is fact, and some of it is fiction," he said. The novel received the Editor's Choice award from Universe Press in 2003.

Admission to the premiere is \$7.50 for adults, \$5.50 for seniors and \$5 for children.

The DVD will be available for purchase after the premiere. For more information on the film visit [www.fastwalkers.com](http://www.fastwalkers.com) and [www.safespaceproject.com](http://www.safespaceproject.com)

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

## Yukoners To Put UFOs Under Scrutiny

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 09 Dec 2006 09:20:04 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 09 Dec 2006 09:20:04 -0500  
Subject: Yukoners To Put UFOs Under Scrutiny

Source: The Whitehorse Star - Yukon Territories, Canada

<http://www.whitehorsestar.com/auth.php?r=45294>

Friday, December 8th, 2006

Yukoners To Put UFOs Under Scrutiny

By Chuck Tobin

Six years ago, the Yukon's UFO organization held the first-ever conference in the territory to discuss and share stories about the unexplained, as part of the millennium celebrations.

Early on in the planning, organisers estimated the gathering would attract 50 or 60 people. Then it was up to 80 or so, maybe 90.

A month or two beforehand, it was as high as an encouraging 120.

In the end, it was standing room only as more than 300 people jammed the conference room at the Westmark Whitehorse, listening to world-renowned ufologists and locals who shared their experiences and research from across North America.

Among the guest speakers was Stan Friedmann, seen by many as a leading authority on the Roswell, N.M. incident that involved reports of flying saucers in 1947. The U.S. Army went to great lengths to explain away the incident, and Friedmann remains convinced it was a cover-up.

There were first-hand accounts of UFO sightings in the Yukon, including the Dec. 11, 1996 sighting of a spacecraft that was first seen on the Klondike Highway near Fox Lake, but later witnessed by several others, from Carmacks to Pelly Crossing, to Mayo.

Thirty-one in all have given eyewitness testimony from that night, describing essentially the same size and shape of craft.

There was a first-hand account from a woman who was followed by a spacecraft from the Takhini Hot Springs Road, then abducted as she travelled up Hamilton Boulevard toward home.

Martin Jasek, a nine-year resident of the Yukon and founder of the local organization, was among those who organised the event.

As a professional engineer continuing his career studying ice and river flows in B.C., Jasek returned to Whitehorse as the guest speaker for the 2002 conference. He is back again to host tomorrow's conference, beginning at 7 p.m. at the Alpine Bakery.

Whether the stigma that was attached to anyone who spoke of close encounters has lessened over the years is something hard to gauge, Jasek said in an interview Thursday.

"I just hope to bring about more witnesses," he said. "I would like to see in my lifetime these possibilities getting accepted."

If not accepted, he added, at least treated some probability.

For Kevin Brumm, a former Yukon resident of Ross River and Whitehorse who is now living in Calgary, it was many years after his experience on the North Canol Road that he dared speak of it.

It wasn't until four years ago when he attended a UFO conference in St. Paul, Alta., where he met Jasek by coincidence, that he went public with his story, which is laid out on the UFOBC website.

Brumm recalls then he was driving up the road on his motorbike during a moose hunting trip, a couple of days ahead of others in his hunting party, when he pulled over to have scope out the countryside.

He saw a UFO, was mesmerised by the moment but a few minutes later heard a something like a car door slam around the corner.

Thinking it may be the early arrival of his hunting partners, he went to have a look and saw two aliens standing side by side in the grassy shoulder of the road, he notes in his published recollection.

One of the aliens shone a flashlight object at him, and the next thing he knew he was standing on the road somewhat bewildered, with the keys to his motorcycle in his hands.

He always left the keys in his bike, for fear of losing them while crawling through the bush. His bike was parked on the opposite side of the road from where he'd left it.

Later that evening, he noticed small, scoop-like scars on the palm of each hand that were not there before the experience, but remain today.

Gradually, he began to piece together his memory of the encounter, and the recollection of how he was abducted. He remembers the friendly treatment by his alien abductors, who invited him to the window of the spacecraft to look far off into the distance at a planet - Earth.

"I think it was a really good experience, actually," Brumm said in a telephone interview Wednesday. "It was the most scariest thing at the time.

"But after you experience it, and work through it, you realise it really was a beautiful thing. It opened my eyes."

Recollection of the experience did not fully unfold until years later when he was working as a lathe operator at a shop in New Zealand, but unfold it did.

Brumm said as a naturally shy guy, it's not his mission to tell his story. He doesn't jump if he overhears a UFO discussion.

But when he does share his experience, the reaction among those listening is everything from acceptance to fright.

"It's amazing how many people tell me they have had something similar happen to them," said Brumm, who worked as a civilian for the RCMP while in the Yukon. "And I think the more it gets out, the more open it will become.

"I think a lot of people do see things that are unexplainable."

Jasek also believes gatherings like tomorrow's conference are important as a means of allowing those with similar experiences to hear other talk about their own sightings or encounters.

There are people who are drawn to UFO conferences because of pure curiosity, and then there are those like Brumm.

"People want to validate their own experience, and share their experience with others that will take them seriously, that won't laugh at them."

He is hoping, for instance, that one of those who've provided eyewitness accounts to the UFO over Mary Lake in February 2005 will agree to describe their experience.

It was a cold, clear night when one resident was outside looking to check out if conditions were right for his visiting inlaws to see the northern lights.

He witnessed two round objects in the sky, and, together with his wife and inlaws, watched the objects.

"We went outside of our house and we watched them for maybe five minutes, as they just seem to hang around slowly moving away," reads the account published on the UFOBC website.

"The lights then came really close to each other, then one went up, the other went down, and vice-versa. Then the right light slowly appeared to move farther away with the other light following the first light. The lights didn't go further away but rather straight up. Then one just disappeared completely and the last one just sat there way up in the sky and appeared to have some additional colours flashing. I went upstairs to grab my digital camera but when I ran back downstairs the other one had disappeared."

Days later, they learned of others who'd witnessed the same thing, including a couple of building contractors who had been out looking at their house project with children when they saw the two objects.

The builders and children took refuge under the house. As the objects appeared so close, they thought they were going to land on top of the house.

Jasek said he'll be speaking for a couple of hours, discussing recent UFO reports, and then will provide a couple of more hours for questions and an open microphone for anyone who might want to share his or her experience.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

## Cherniak SDI Interviews On-Line

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 09 Dec 2006 08:45:13 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 09 Dec 2006 08:45:13 -0500  
Subject: Cherniak SDI Interviews On-Line

There are half-a-dozen Strange Days... Indeed interviews  
with David Cherniak at:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/program/>

Based around his four-month RV-odyssey, shooting footage for his  
up-coming 2-hour History Channel, Canada, documentary UFOs And  
The Politics Of Reality, the most recent is at:

<http://tinyurl.com/ydcknj>

a direct D/L link or via iTunes podcasts...

ebk

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

## Struggle For UFO Mare's Remains

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 09 Dec 2006 10:26:25 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 09 Dec 2006 10:26:25 -0500  
Subject: Struggle For UFO Mare's Remains

Source: Rocky Mountain News - Denver, Colorado, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/yl79sm>

December 9, 2006

Snippy: Struggle For UFO Mare's Remains

'Snippy can't leave this valley', Alamosa chamber head says

Special to the News  
By Deborah Frazier,  
Rocky Mountain News

The battle for Snippy's bones is on.

Snippy, a horse that died in a field near Alamosa in 1967, drew international attention because her owner claimed that space aliens in a UFO mutilated the mare.

After a series of owners, Snippy's bones, wired together and mounted on a wheeled platform, appeared briefly on eBay last week for a minimum bid of \$50,000.

Attorneys for the heirs of Snippy's last owner, Carl Helfin, lawyers for the descendants of Snippy's original owner, Nellie Lewis, and legal counsel for the Alamosa Chamber of Commerce where Snippy once resided, all claim the mare's remains.

Snippy was the first reported case of animal mutilation by space aliens. All flesh between Snippy's nose and withers was removed, along with her brain.

At the time, Lewis said the boots she walked in to where Snippy was found were radioactive.

And, Lewis said her hands burned when she picked up Snippy's mane and a gizzard-like growth appeared on her hand afterward.

Snippy launched the San Luis Valley's reputation for spaceships, alien encounters and all things extraterrestrial.

Today, her bones are a hot commodity.

"We're temporarily stalled on eBay now," said Cassandra Martinez, the personal representative for Helfin's estate. Helfin died in 2003.

"The heirs think Snippy is more valuable than \$50,000 and they hope they can realize more than that," said Martinez, who declined to identify Heflin's heirs.

Helfin owned the Narrow Gauge Motel in Alamosa, collected real estate, artifacts and trains, Martinez said. The estate includes 26 boxcars of items, she said.

There is no paperwork that follows Snippy's postmortem trail from a veterinarian's home to the Alamosa Chamber of Commerce to Adams State College and private owners.

"Snippy can't leave this valley," said Debra Goodman, director of the chamber of commerce. "She's historic. There's a public outrage that she might leave."

The chamber of commerce, Goodman and author/UFO investigator Chris O'Brien plan an event Friday to raise money to buy Snippy's skeleton.

O'Brien, who has written several books on UFOs and false reports, said he saw Snippy's picture on a supermarket tabloid in 1967 when he was 10.

"The headline was 'Flying Saucers Killed My Horse.' I devoured the article," said O'Brien, who lived in the San Luis Valley for 13 years, researching incidents.

"There's no other place with as many sightings. Snippy was the grand lady of the mutilations," O'Brien said. "I would hate to see someone from Japan come up with the \$50,000 to take Snippy away."

Frank Duran, hired by Helfin's estate to market Snippy, said Lewis' descendants are upset about the sale and visited his office this week to have a family picture taken with Snippy.

"I'd like to see Snippy stay here, too," Duran said.

Goodman said Helfin donated the railroad depot to the town that could house a museum that included Snippy and other historical items.

"She's our icon," Goodman said. "The valley is not what you call normal. We have a prominent UFO history. Snippy represents that history better than anything."

Martinez said that Helfin's heirs are sympathetic.

"They want the most value for Snippy that they can get, but the fact that the valley has such an interest in Snippy will come into play," Martinez said.

Keeping up with Snippy

To stay on top of the goings' on with Snippy, perhaps the most famous horse who grazed in fields of the San Luis Valley, check out the following:

=95 On the Web: Snippy Web site: [www.snippy.com](http://www.snippy.com); Chris O'Brien's UFO Web site with Snippy information: <http://tmv.us>

=95 To donate: Save Snippy Fund, 1st Southwest Bank, 720 Main St., Alamosa, CO 81101

=95 On Friday: a Save Snippy event will be held Friday to raise money to buy Snippy's skeleton. The event is being planned by Debra Goodman, the Alamosa Chamber of Commerce and author/UFO investigator Chris O'Brien. Info: 719-589-3681

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

## The Battle Of Los Angeles - Part II

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 09 Dec 2006 11:11:12 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 09 Dec 2006 11:11:12 -0500  
Subject: The Battle Of Los Angeles - Part II

Frank Warren has posted Part II of The Battle Of Los Angeles at:

<http://frankwarren.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

## Mckinnon Extradition Appeal Due In Weeks

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 09 Dec 2006 11:16:08 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 09 Dec 2006 11:16:08 -0500  
Subject: Mckinnon Extradition Appeal Due In Weeks

Source: Out-Law.Com - Glasgow, Scotland

<http://www.out-law.com/page-7561>

07/12/2006

Extradition Appeal Due In Just Weeks, Says Mckinnon

OUT-LAW News

Gary McKinnon expects that his appeal against extradition to the US could be heard in a matter of weeks. McKinnon told weekly technology law podcast OUT-LAW Radio that he expected his appeal to be heard in December or January.

McKinnon is the hacker who broke into the US military and NASA computer systems in 2001 and 2002, where he claims he saw evidence of alien life. McKinnon broke into the systems using just a dial up connection and default passwords.

"I should get my appeal pretty soon, I should think it will be this month or next month," McKinnon said, noting that the person in front of him in the pipeline for extradition cases has just lost his appeal.

Should McKinnon lose his appeal the only thing that could stop him being deported would be the granting of permission to appeal to the House of Lords. He says that the case of the last people to request that, the NatWest Three, does not fill him with confidence.

"If I don't win the appeal then I can apply for leave to appeal to the House of Lords but that is not an automatic right," he said. "The NatWest Three applied for leave to appeal to the House of Lords and were refused and everyone was gobsmacked because they are hardly petty criminals, it was a big important case."

McKinnon has admitted the offences of which he is accused and says he would happily stand trial in the UK, the country in which he says the crimes were committed. He objects, though, to what he sees as the politically-motivated attempts to extradite him, and the UK Government's compliance with the US process.

While he was told he would face community service for the crimes in the UK because he did not appear to have caused damage, the US is claiming that he caused thousands of dollars' worth of damage. The prosecutor there has said that he could face 70 years in jail and McKinnon's lawyers have even said that he could end up at prison camp Guantanamo Bay.

McKinnon has always maintained that his breaking into the computer systems was not only benign, given that he was searching for alien life and not military secrets, but also that it was easy.



His opinion of US government security has not changed. "Every year they appraise federal and military installation security and every year it gets worse and worse," he said. "It's not the leading concern, profit is the motive and continuing operation is the motive. Safety and security always come last because they are the highest cost outlay."

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 9](#)

## Re: The Battle Of Los Angeles - Part II - Groff

From: Terry Groff <[terrygroff.nul](mailto:terrygroff.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 9 Dec 2006 11:10:52 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sat, 09 Dec 2006 12:56:00 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Battle Of Los Angeles - Part II - Groff

UFO UpDates posted:

>Frank Warren has posted Part II of The Battle Of Los Angeles at:

><http://frankwarren.blogspot.com/>

A more direct link is:

<http://tinyurl.com/v9s9b>

Part one can be found at:

<http://tinyurl.com/y6a3s8>

Terry

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 10](#)

## Re: JAL Pilot's UFO Story Surfaces After 20 Years

From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 09 Dec 2006 12:23:00 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sun, 10 Dec 2006 09:57:00 -0500  
Subject: Re: JAL Pilot's UFO Story Surfaces After 20 Years

>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 8 Dec 2006 11:32:26 -0500  
>Subject: Re: JAL Pilot's UFO Story Surfaces After 20 Years

<snip>

>Comparing the above newspaper story with the story as reported  
>20 years ago shows the deterioration in accuracy with time.

>The most complete report ever published is at:

><http://brumac.8k.com/JAL1628/JL1628.html>

Hi Bruce,

Thanks for the link to your well-written and thorough report.  
This is a remarkable case, hard to imagine it didn't cause more  
of a stir. Jupiter and Mars! Rough day for Mr. Klass.

I note that Ronald Mickle concludes the account of his interview  
with Captain Terauchi with the statement:

"Through a confidential source at JAL it was stated to me that  
this is not the first sighting of an unidentified aircraft by  
Captain Terauchi".

I wouldn't expect that it is particularly unusual for pilots with  
substantial flight time to have sighted unidentified aircraft, so  
the fact the Mickle even mentions it gives the impression that  
the earlier sighting was of a comparably sensational nature, with  
the implication (co-pilot and engineer testimony notwithstanding)  
that the Captain had, shall we say, an active imagination.

Are there any further details regarding the previous  
incident(s), if any, involving Captain Terauchi? Thanks for any  
info...

Regards

Mike

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

## Moon Anomalies Stuff

From: **Terry Colvin** <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 10 Dec 2006 23:38:47 -0700 (GMT-07:00)  
Fwd Date: Mon, 11 Dec 2006 08:45:40 -0500  
Subject: Moon Anomalies Stuff

FWD [fantasticreality]

<http://www.anomalies.net/ufo/moon/moonimages.html>

[http://www.unexplainable.net/artman/publish/article\\_762.shtml](http://www.unexplainable.net/artman/publish/article_762.shtml)

<http://www.vgl.org/>

<http://www.informantnews.com/starshipgamma/x/moon1.txt>

<http://www.informantnews.com/>

<http://tinyurl.com/yzjyc5>

<http://www.ufoinfo.com/filer/2006/ff0607.shtml>

<http://www.anomalies.net/ufo/moon/>

<http://www.v-j-enterprises.com/hoagconf.html>

<http://tinyurl.com/yagg3x>

Smokestack on the moon (take a look at the animated GIF at the bottom, made from frames shot from an Apollo mission):

<http://shadowboxent.brinkster.net/moon/esjmoon.html>

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

## Ufologists Video Clips Online

From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 11 Dec 2006 08:09:36 EST  
Fwd Date: Mon, 11 Dec 2006 08:51:42 -0500  
Subject: Ufologists Video Clips Online

Listers et al:

I'm in the process of posting some video clips from unused footage from past documentaries on Google video. You can find the following at my blog:

Stan Friedman on UFO frauds and Bob Lazar at:

<http://tinyurl.com/ygcda4>

Karl Pflock on the ETH at:

<http://redstarfilms.blogspot.com/2006/12/karl-pflock-on-eth.html>

Karl Pflock on Steven Greer, Disclosure Project at:

<http://tinyurl.com/ybe3k7>

Karl Pflock on what's wrong with ufology at:

<http://tinyurl.com/y5u6kq>

Dr. Steven Greer at:

<http://tinyurl.com/y5u6kq>

Dick Hall on the Trent photo case at:

<http://tinyurl.com/wrg94>

All of the above clips, except for Dick's, are outtakes from Stanton T. Friedman is Real, and were filmed back in 2001.

Dick's clip is from an interview I conducted with him in September, 2005, for Best Evidence: Top 10 UFO Cases, which is almost done.

More to come in the weeks and months to follow.

Best regards,

Paul Kimball  
[www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com](http://www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

## Man In Black

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 11 Dec 2006 08:59:24 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 11 Dec 2006 08:59:24 -0500  
Subject: Man In Black

Source: Ther Press Register - Mobile, Alabama, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/yym53o>

Sunday, December 10, 2006

Man In Black

The Press-Register's Craig Myers revisits alien territory

By Andy Netzel  
Staff Reporter

Craig Myers did what UFO-believers always say newspaper reporters never do when it comes to sightings: He investigated.

The deeper he dug, the more he found that called into question the legitimacy of purported spacecraft flying over Gulf Breeze, Fla.

In his first book, War Of The Words, Myers does more than try to prove the widely reported sightings were a hoax: He leads readers through the process of covering the story for the Pensacola News-Journal in the late 1980s and early 1990s.

"As a reporter, there are not many stories like this," he said. "These are the ones you remember. You don't talk to your neighbor across the fence about the utility board meeting."

Myers left the News-Journal in 1995 to join the Press-Register. He is now the Assistant Baldwin Editor.

This story, he said, stuck in his mind. He wanted to let enough time pass to let things cool down and sore feelings to be mended.

Apparently, 15 years still isn't long enough. One of the key characters in the book, Ed Walters, called Myers a "scam guy" when contacted by the Press-Register for this story.

Here's a synopsis of the Gulf Breeze UFO tale:

Sightings of some mysterious item in this Pensacola bedroom community began in 1987 with high-quality photos captured by resident Walters. Hundreds of people came to a field where they reported seeing the unexplainable.

Later, while investigating the claims, Myers discovered a model UFO that had been left in the attic of a home where Walters previously lived. The couple who owned the home handed it over, saying it was the only UFO they'd seen

Investigation showed part of the model was made with drafting paper bearing Walter's handwriting. According to Myers' book, Walters never denied this but when asked about it by Pensacola News-Journal editors, claimed some drafting paper was stolen



from his garbage.

Myers also spoke to a man who saw Walters constructing a UFO-like structure.

Walters said he stands by his story, and that he never faked the photos or lofted a structure in the air.

"I stand by what I saw," he said. "The test of time is on my side."

Walters said the paper was from a housing project that was dated 1989, well after the first sighting. Myers details his contention that the paper was actually from a 1987 project.

Myers said Walters is a smart man who likes practical jokes.

"He's a fascinating character," Myers said. "He had to have a genius IQ. My theory is that this started out as a joke, but he got carried away with it. At some point, he had to make a decision that he'd either be the crazy guy who took the photos of a UFO or be the crazy guy who faked the photos."

The author also touches on how a newspaper addresses a story like this, taking readers along on his own decisions on what to cover and what stories his editors decided not to run.

In "War of the Words," Myers tries to make it clear that he's written about the UFO story of a lifetime already.

Myers includes a plea to those who also have UFO sightings to share: "Don't call me, okay? It's not that I don't believe you. It's that my life is already filled with bizarre inexplicable phenomena, such as the way the right rear speaker in my car never works except when they play songs i hate."

Myers' book is available in hard cover for \$27.89 and in paperback for \$17.84 at:

[www2.xlibris.com/bookstore/bookdisplay.asp?bookid=33539](http://www2.xlibris.com/bookstore/bookdisplay.asp?bookid=33539).

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 11](#)

## UK's Top Secrets For Public Inspection

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 11 Dec 2006 09:07:41 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 11 Dec 2006 09:07:41 -0500  
Subject: UK's Top Secrets For Public Inspection

Source: The Independent - London, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/u45f2>

09 December 2006

Freedom of Information: Top Secrets For Public Inspection

Introduced two years ago, the Freedom of Information Act has forced the British Government to give up its darkest secrets and present many of its most sensitive documents for public inspection. Robert Verhaik opens the files

Britain is not the same country it was two years ago. Following the introduction of new rights of access, with the Freedom of Information Act on 1 January 2005 the Government has been forced to give up its secrets, while Whitehall has had to offer up its most sensitive files for public inspection. What we have witnessed is a seeping release of documents, memos and classified papers that has shone a light into the darkest workings of our democracy, as well as revealing fascinating facts about the rich and famous along the way.

I was one of many journalists who took part in the stampede for stories that followed the introduction of the new regime two years ago next month. It was a moment of great anticipation. We had all seen how the governments of other countries had been opened up to public scrutiny through the use of right-to-know legislation. In America there had been startling revelations about President Kennedy's assassination, Watergate and the political scandal of Nicaragua. Now it was our turn, finally, to get to the truth about war with Iraq, the death of Princess Diana... and Wham!'s historic tour of China.

STATUS: Catnapped?  
SUBJECT: Humphrey  
DATE: 13 Nov, 1997

The first conspiracy to be tackled using new powers was a political whodunnit: the mystifying case of Humphrey, the Downing Street cat. The one-year-old stray arrived at Number 10 in 1989, and by the time Labour came to power eight years later, it had already served under two Prime Ministers.

But not long after the Blairs crossed the threshold, rumours began circulating in the media about how Cherie didn't really like cats because she found them unhygienic.

Previous suggestions that Mrs Blair and Humphrey were not on the best of terms had triggered an "impromptu" photo-shoot to portray the two of them as very good friends. Then on 13 November 1997, the Number 10 press office quietly announced that Humphrey had been retired. But if Downing Street had hoped this would put an end to the stories, they must have been very disappointed. Soon the corridors and bars of Westminster were engulfed by dark conspiracy theories - about how Cherie had

ordered Humphrey's exile, or worse, had had Humphrey bumped off.

"Humphreygate", as it was known, took the gloss off Labour's landslide victory, and the cat's sudden and convenient disappearance even became a metaphor for the political expedience employed by Alastair Campbell and his Number 10 masters. Yet no matter how hard they chased the story, Fleet Street's finest were unable to find out what had really happened. Downing Street and the media appeared to have reached a stand-off; no journalist could find the killer fact to run a full story and Campbell & Co were not prepared to put them out of their misery for fear of opening the floodgates to a wave of intrusive questioning.

But Labour's ability to hold on to the "secret" of the story of Humphrey the cat - and later, much more sensitive information such as the Attorney General's advice on the legality of war with Iraq - was already under threat. In fact, Labour had sewn the seeds of its own PR downfall when in opposition it promised to end the culture of Whitehall secrecy. And in 2000 the Government finally honoured its promise by enacting a Freedom of Information law that would give the public the right to see documents, memos, cabinet minutes and a host of other material relating to information held by 100,000 public bodies. The first flood of requests under the act were rather predictable and allowed Labour to respond with blanket rejections. The Attorney General's advice on the war with Iraq, for instance, was covered by any number of exemptions. A demand to see Peter Mandelson's bank accounts was easily brushed aside by citing data-protection laws. Tony Blair's conversations with George Bush about how to catch Osama Bin Laden could not be released because of issues of national security. And so the stonewalling went on.

But a question about a cat? What harm could that do? Alastair Campbell may still have been reluctant to make any release but, under pressure from the Lord Chancellor, he bowed to the wishes of his political masters. So, in 2005, the Humphrey files were opened. The dossier, an inch and a half thick, was testimony to Whitehall's pre-occupation with paperwork and filing on even the most trivial of matters. On the day of disclosure the media held its breath as the country's leading political hacks waded through the material, letter by letter and memo by memo. And when the white smoke finally rose above Westminster, it looked as if Cherie Blair was off the hook.

There was nothing in the 121 pages to say that the PM's wife had strangled the cat in a fit of rage. Nor was there any evidence to suggest that Alastair Campbell had thrown him into the Thames - though this was the story one tabloid had come very close to running. Instead the papers revealed that Humphrey really was the victim of nothing more sinister than enforced retirement. At nine years old, Humphrey, like a minister past his sell-by date, had been found no longer to be up to the job. In short, he was simply a mouser who could no longer catch mice.

STATUS: Kitchen hell?  
SUBJECT: Gordon Ramsay  
DATE: Mar-Sept, 2005

The conditions inside the kitchens of one Britain's most popular chefs might have remained a secret had The Independent's consumer affairs correspondent not used the Freedom of Information Act to ask to see health inspectors' reports. These showed that a visit last March to Gordon Ramsay, the great man's three-star Michelin restaurant in Chelsea, uncovered some rather embarrassing contraventions of the food safety laws.

Ramsay was ordered to "thoroughly clean" the freezer, mend broken kitchen tiles and stop storing cleaning materials next to food. The restaurant was also found by Kensington and Chelsea council to be breaking rules on electrical safety and did not have an accident book - although at no stage was there any threat to public health.

Worse was to come in September 2005, during an inspection by Westminster council of another of the chef's most high-profile ventures, Gordon Ramsay at Claridge's. Although standards were generally found to be high, the inspector - whose name is blanked from the document - discovered a washing-up sink placed so close to food preparation that there was a risk of "contamination". The chefs were seen to be wiping their hands on

" dirty cloths". "More frequent hand-washing required," the inspector noted. Other problems included the storage of butane gas next to food, the stowing of wine in an electrical cupboard (the bottles were quickly removed) and the absence of soap from the ladies' staff lavatory. What it all added up to, of course, was Ramsay's very own kitchen nightmare.

STATUS: Mystery death?  
SUBJECT: Winston Churchill  
DATE: 4 July, 1943

One of the most bitterly contested conspiracy theories of the Second World War concerns the mysterious death of General Wladyslaw Sikorski, the Polish war-time leader. Some historians believed that Winston Churchill had a controlling hand in the plane crash that killed Sikorski off the coast of Gibraltar on 4 July, 1943. Churchill's motive, it was alleged, was that he wanted to protect the Anglo/Russian pact by killing the man who continued to call for Stalin to be charged with war crimes.

In 1968, the theory resurfaced as the plot for *Soldiers*, a play scripted by the German writer Rolf Hochhuth, directed by Kenneth Tynan and supported by Laurence Olivier. Three years after Churchill's state funeral the play was intended as a piece of incendiary theatre - and it didn't disappoint. By the following year, Peter Carter-Ruck, one of the country's leading libel lawyers, had been instructed to begin defamation proceedings against the writer and producers of the play. He was acting for members of Churchill's family and the pilot of the plane, who had been blamed for the crash.

Previously classified documents, released this year, reveal that the defence team had enlisted the help of the now-notorious historian David Irving. More importantly, the documents show the concern at the heart of government about what else might come out in the legal case - lending credence to those who believed there might be more to the crash than the government maintained. A second set of documents provides further tantalising evidence of the name of one of the possible Polish accomplices to the alleged plot.

STATUS: Target?  
SUBJECT: Bob Marley  
DATE: 3 Dec, 1976

Shortly after the reggae artist made his international breakthrough he was the target of an assassination attempt. In December 1976, two days before "Smile Jamaica", a free concert organised by Jamaican Prime Minister Michael Manley in an attempt to ease tension between two warring political groups, Marley, his wife Rita and manager Don Taylor were wounded in an assault by unknown gunmen at Marley's home. Though Marley suffered only minor injuries, Rita was wounded in the head and Taylor took four bullets to the groin.

Under the new powers, papers released by the Foreign and Commonwealth Office shine more light on to the alleged political motives behind the shooting, which had long been hotly debated. A memo sent to London from the British embassy in Kingston speculated that the "purpose of the raid was presumably to deter Marley from giving his performance". The memo added: "The staging of the show was a typical example of the way in which the government has recently been using and abusing its powers in order to further [its] electoral prospects." This seems to give support to those who'd always believed the opposition party, the JLP, to be involved.

At the time, however, despite growing evidence of a political dimension to the attack, the Foreign Office hedged its bets: "On the other hand it seems barely credible that the JLP leadership could have sanctioned such an attack which was bound to bring discredit upon the JLP." Whatever the truth, the concert proceeded on 5 December, and an injured Marley performed as scheduled.

STATUS: War criminal?  
SUBJECT: Robert Maxwell  
DATE: 5 Nov, 1991

The story of Robert Maxwell's rise to public prominence is the story of an extraordinary journey that took him from humble

origins in Czechoslovakia to the heart of the British Establishment, where he became the trusted confidant of governments.

Jan Ludvick Hoch - as his parents named him - arrived in London at the start of the Second World War, a refugee escaping the tyranny of the Nazi occupation of continental Europe. In 1942 he enlisted in the British Army and fought bravely - as he told it - in Germany, where he was decorated for gallantry in action.

After demobilisation he started a career in publishing. Through a series of aggressive takeovers he grew a media business that included Mirror Group Newspapers, which by the end of the 1980s was one of the biggest in Fleet Street. But Maxwell's business empire was built on debt and deception. He had "borrowed" millions of employees' money from company pension funds to prop up his increasingly precarious financial position. Then in 1991, when his finances were collapsing, he disappeared while sailing in the Atlantic.

The last words of Robert Maxwell were communicated at 4.45am on 5 November, 1991. He contacted the bridge of his luxury yacht to complain about the temperature of his cabin, demanding, in his customary gruff tone, that the crew turn up the air conditioning. Twelve hours later, a Spanish fisherman spotted his naked body floating in the ocean, 15 miles from his boat. And in the days that followed his death, it emerged that there was plenty to trouble the flamboyant media baron.

In January 2006, one year after the Freedom of Information Act had come into force, I decided to use the new powers to try to once again open up the case.

Maxwell was 68, in poor health, weighing 22 stone, with a weak heart and lungs, and facing a financial crisis. Even in 2006, these were the only salient facts about Maxwell's condition of which we could be certain. But with the arrival of the Freedom of Information Act, it was possible to dig deeper. My first suspicion was that there must be something more to Maxwell's financial troubles.

The scale of his debt and the whiff of corruption hanging over the missing millions from his pension schemes led me to surmise that perhaps the police were already on to him. My first inquiries with the Metropolitan Police, who had been in charge of the investigation after his death, simply turned up the official report which made it clear that the authorities were only properly alerted to the pension scandal after his death.

I decided to make a request under the FOI Act, hoping to uncover files that might throw some light on other police investigations into his financial dealings. Four weeks later, I was contacted again by an officer and on 8 March this year, an email simply entitled "Maxwell" dropped into my inbox.

Robert Maxwell had indeed had a good war. Shortly after his arrival in Britain in 1942, the Czech refugee had enlisted as a private in the British Army. Three years later he had risen through the ranks, and led his platoon from the Normandy beaches into the heart of Germany.

All this concurred with the conveniently abridged version of his service history that Cap'n Bob liked to tell people whenever his war record came up in conversation. But there was much more to be revealed about his activities in the final months of the Second World War.

In March 1945, he received the terrible news that his mother and sister had been executed as "hostages" by the Nazis in occupied Czechoslovakia. In a note to his future wife, Betty, he wrote : "As you can well imagine, I am not taking any prisoners, and, whatever home my men occupy, before I leave I order it to be destroyed." A month later, his platoon was involved in mopping up resistance from the German defenders. On 2 April, Maxwell ordered his men to fire mortars at a German village. He wrote to Betty: "A few minutes later, I saw them running out of the houses and we started firing at each other. I got two of them, and I ordered the mortars to shell the village for a few minutes."

It proved to be an effective tactic that led to the surrender of

the remaining Germans - and inspired Maxwell to try it again as he moved towards a nearby town. "So I sent one of the Germans to fetch the mayor of the town," he wrote. "I told him that he had to go to tell the Germans to surrender... otherwise the town will be destroyed. One hour later, he came back saying that the soldiers will surrender and the white flag was put up, so we marched off, but as soon as we marched off a German tank opened fire on us. Luckily he missed so I shot the mayor and withdrew."

It was this act of brutality, disclosed by Maxwell himself in his authorised biography, that I now learned had been the subject of a war crimes investigation. The Met file showed that two officers from the newly created war crimes unit had been investigating the case for several months. They had begun tracing members of Maxwell's platoon and had established the name of the German town where he had ordered the bombardment. This was shocking. But there was even more to the war crimes investigation than first met the eye.

The date of the investigation was April 1990, seven months before Maxwell's body was found floating in the Atlantic. The prospect of being put on trial for the cold-blooded murder of a civilian and the ignominy of being the first Briton to be prosecuted for war crimes under newly enacted legislation must have weighed heavily on his mind.

Maxwell may have thought about fighting the case and clearing his name. But there was little he could do to dispute the facts. Was this how he was to be remembered? Convicted of war crimes in front of an Old Bailey jury before being bundled off to prison? He knew that he was ill and would not last long in prison. Could it be that in a depression, Maxwell had left his sweltering cabin, walked slowly towards the aft of the yacht and eased himself into the cooling waters of the Atlantic?

STATUS: Fatal fact?  
SUBJECT: Princess Diana  
DATE: 31 Aug, 1997

What of the most sensational of all British conspiracy theories - the death of Diana, Princess of Wales, in a Paris road tunnel?

The facts are now well known. On 31 August 1997, Diana was killed in a car crash in the French capital, along with her lover Dodi Al-Fayed, and their driver Henri Paul. The vehicle was being pursued by paparazzi photographers. As it raced at high speed through Paris, with many of the motorbikes dropping behind, the Mercedes entered the Pont de l'Alma tunnel under the River Seine, a notorious accident black spot.

It was here that the driver is believed to have lost control of the vehicle, causing it to glance off the right wall of the tunnel and then smash into the reinforced-concrete 13th pillar of the underpass. Witnesses described the sound of the crash as like a small explosion.

Wide disparities in witness statements and some of the forensic evidence have helped to fuel the Diana conspiracy industry and ensured that every newly uncovered facet of Diana's life and death is viewed as a contributory part to a central order of events that supports one sinister theory or another.

The arrival of the Freedom of Information Act has triggered the disclosure of important documents that have revived speculation about the events leading up to the accident in the Paris tunnel. A Government minute compiled in the aftermath of the accident and anonymously addressed to Tony Blair states that Diana and Dodi got into the Mercedes car as part of a ploy to avoid the paparazzi. A memo to Mr Blair on the day of Diana's death told how when the couple arrived at the Ritz hotel in Paris they were "immediately subject to media attention". When they left on the night of the accident the photographers were waiting. "They tried to leave quickly but the first hire car failed to start," says the memo.

But then a second file, disclosed in compliance with another FOI request, gave a quite different explanation. The file sent by Sir Michael Jay, the British ambassador in Paris, on 23 September to the Foreign Office said the switch to another car had been "a last minute change of plan aimed at diverting waiting paparazzi". There was no mention of a first car failing

to start. Curiouser and curiouser?

The flurry of papers sent from Paris following Diana's death also reveal the hurried diplomatic discussions as arrangements for removing the body and beginning the investigation into her death got underway. Sir Michael noted how he was told that the French Prime Minister, Lionel Jospin, wanted to return to Paris to pay his last respects to the princess. "I explained that paying last respects was not a strong British or Anglican tradition," he wrote.

Back in Britain, so the papers reveal, the Government was at pains to avoid controversy as the country went into mourning. A letter from the cabinet secretary Robin Butler to the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister said: "The Prime Minister has asked that in the period immediately following the tragic death government ministers should avoid engaging in activities which could result in political controversy." What activities the PM had in mind, however, is not immediately clear.

STATUS: Alien visit?  
SUBJECT: U.F.O.s  
DATE: July, 1977

The National Archives Office in Kew, formerly known as the Public Records Office, holds the largest database of secrets in the world. Millions of documents in electronic and hard copy have been painstakingly filed under classified headings ranging from "restricted viewing" to "top secret".

For an investigative journalist there can be no more exciting a phrase than the words that flashed across the top of my documents: "Classified - not for release until 2010". The black ink stamp of secrecy meant that mine was the first unrestricted eye to see these documents for 30 years.

Since the 1950s, when the first reports of UFOs reached this country from America, the men from the ministry had maintained a contemptuous silence about the possibility of alien visitors. So it is still surprising to me, even today, that there exists at the heart of the Ministry of Defence, working in a committee room supported by secretarial staff, a special unit whose sole purpose is to investigate and collate reports of UFOs. These papers are Britain's very own X-Files.

Many of the documents contained fanciful reports from old ladies, children or UFO enthusiasts - and, on the whole, they do not make very convincing reading. But after a great deal of digging I finally came across a slightly thicker file, with much more MOD correspondence than any of the others. This time the observers were not children, confused old ladies or UFO nuts but an RAF pilot and two NCOs based at RAF Boulmer in Northumberland.

In July 1977, Flt Lt A M Wood reported "bright objects hanging over the sea", the closest of which was "luminous, round and four to five times larger than a Whirlwind helicopter".

The RAF personnel estimated that UFOs were three miles out to sea at a height of about 5,000ft. The officer's central report is carefully backed-up by the NCOs. With great attention to detail, he writes: "The objects separated. Then one went west of the other, as it manoeuvred it changed shape to become body-shaped with projections like arms and legs."

All three men who were positioned at the picket post at the RAF station were able to observe the strange objects for an hour and 40 minutes. At the same time a radar station detected the objects in exactly the same position as the men had observed them.

The accompanying MoD report describes Flt Lt Wood as "reliable and sober". It adds: "Two contacts were noted on radar, both T84 and T85, at RAF Boulmer. They were also seen on the Staxton Wold radar picture which is relayed to West Drayton... On seeing the objects on radar the duty controller checked with the SRO at RAF West Drayton as to whether he could see the objects on radar supplied from RAF Staxton Wold." This account was deemed so sensitive to the national interest that the MoD had delayed its release for an extra three years. It was the most credible evidence to emerge from Britain of extraterrestrial life

visiting our world. Could this really have been Britain's very own Roswell experience?

STATUS: China crisis?  
SUBJECT: Wham!  
DATE: April, 1985

Wham! made pop history in 1985 when they became the first Western group to tour China. It was an event that was closely watched by British diplomats stationed in Beijing. The embassy's description of the 15,000 sell-out concert characterised it as an extraordinary culture clash with the audience mystified by the dancing and singing performances of George Michael and Andrew Ridgeley.

The embassy's unnamed first secretary wrote: "Neither the Chinese nor Wham! knew quite how to behave faced with something completely beyond their experience."

After the concert, the diplomat wondered if the authorities would allow more. "There is no reason to suppose that the Chinese have been discouraged by their experience... Financially they must have done very well. There was considerable interest by the younger Chinese in the visit. There was a lively black market in tickets for the concert, although this was no doubt encouraged by Wham!'s generosity in giving a free copy of their latest tape away with each ticket."

STATUS:More murders?  
SUBJECT:The Yorkshire Ripper  
DATE: 1969-1975

A secret Home Office report from 1981, released in June of this year, revealed that Peter Sutcliffe, the serial killer known as the Yorkshire Ripper, probably committed "many" more attacks on women than the 13 murders and seven attempted murders for which he was finally jailed.

The report by Sir Lawrence Byford noted: "There is a curious and unexplained lull in Sutcliffe's criminal activities [between 1969, when he twice came to the attention of police over incidents involving prostitutes, and 1975, the date of the first murder for which he was convicted] and there is the possibility that he carried out other attacks on prostitutes and unaccompanied women during that period... We feel it is highly improbable that the crimes in respect of which Sutcliffe has been charged and convicted are the only ones attributable to him."

At the time police identified at least six more attacks that matched Sutcliffe's modus operandi or his description. They tried to question the killer, now in Broadmoor, but he refused to help. Other documents show that Margaret Thatcher, the Prime Minister at the time, was so angry at the failure to catch the killer that there was talk of sending Scotland Yard to take over from the West Yorkshire and Greater Manchester forces.

STATUS: Royal romance?  
SUBJECT: Princess Margaret  
DATE: The 1950s

The keepers of the secrets of Buckingham Palace and Clarence House have been unable to prevent the publication of legal advice concerning a proposed marriage between Princess Margaret and her life-long love, the dashing Battle of Britain hero Group Captain Peter Townsend.

Papers finally revealed under the Freedom of Information Act show that although the Princess would have been removed from the line of succession, she could have kept her royal title if she had married the divorc=E9 Townsend - a question that had provoked much argument over many years between constitutional experts. In a letter prepared for transmission to senior Commonwealth prime ministers, the British PM Anthony Eden (himself remarried after a divorce) said that even though the Queen would not give formal permission for the marriage because of Townsend's divorce, "Her Majesty would not want to stand in the way of her sister's happiness".

There was even an opinion from the Lord Chancellor that the Royal Marriages Act of 1772, under which the rights of the Royal



family to marry are restricted, did not apply to Margaret.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://uforeview.net> for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

## Re: Ufologists Video Clips Online - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 11 Dec 2006 09:02:06 -0600  
Fwd Date: Tue, 12 Dec 2006 20:17:22 -0500  
Subject: Re: Ufologists Video Clips Online - Lehmborg

>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 11 Dec 2006 08:09:36 EST  
>Subject: Ufologists Video Clips Online

>Listers et al:

>I'm in the process of posting some video clips from unused  
>footage from past documentaries on Google video. You can find  
>the following at my blog:

>Stan Friedman on UFO frauds and Bob Lazar at:

><http://tinyurl.com/ygcda4>

>Karl Pflock on the ETH at:

><http://redstarfilms.blogspot.com/2006/12/karl-pflock-on-eth.html>

>Karl Pflock on Steven Greer, Disclosure Project at:

><http://tinyurl.com/ybe3k7>

>Karl Pflock on what's wrong with ufology at:

><http://tinyurl.com/y5u6kq>

>Dr. Steven Greer at:

><http://tinyurl.com/y5u6kq>

>Dick Hall on the Trent photo case at:

><http://tinyurl.com/wrg94>

>All of the above clips, except for Dick's, are outtakes from  
>Stanton T. Friedman is Real, and were filmed back in 2001.

>Dick's clip is from an interview I conducted with him in  
>September, 2005, for Best Evidence: Top 10 UFO Cases, which is  
>almost done.

>More to come in the weeks and months to follow.

Congratulations on this, Sir.

Presuming for a moment a photo-journalists wide focus and on guard for axe grinding (so monitored in the aggregate for same?) this is a service aspiring the aural contributions of Wendy Connors, and could be extremely valuable. Trust but verify, eh Mr. Kimball? Still, I'm compelled to bow; however, slightly... without regard to issues remaining.

On that note... is that a random picture of Greer fronting the video? Odd that it is very non-descriptive of what was said in the segment in the first place, and was an expression made, at all and only once, because Greer was clearing a blocked nasal passage.

More trVth in advertising?

[alienview.nul](http://alienview.nul)

[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)

AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

U F O M a g a z i n e -- [www.ufomag.com](http://www.ufomag.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

## Re: Moon Anomalies Stuff - Scheldroup

From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 11 Dec 2006 12:14:58 -0600  
Fwd Date: Tue, 12 Dec 2006 20:19:11 -0500  
Subject: Re: Moon Anomalies Stuff - Scheldroup

>From: Terry Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 10 Dec 2006 23:38:47 -0700 (GMT-07:00)  
>Subject: Moon Anomalies Stuff

>FWD [fantasticreality]

><snip>

>Smokestack on the moon (take a look at the animated GIF at the  
>bottom, made from frames shot from an Apollo mission):

><http://shadowboxent.brinkster.net/moon/esjmoon.html>

"3. However, the most interesting new information was  
presented by Phil Plait of:

[www.BadAstronomy.com](http://www.BadAstronomy.com)

One of his board's participants said the footage isn't actually  
footage: he believes it is a still photo that NOVA zoomed into  
to create the illusion of motion. If so, that would mean the  
anomaly was created by NOVA's camera, and was not on the moon.  
Mr. Plait discovered an Apollo 8 photograph that seems to match  
the scene containing the anomaly. Even though the photograph he  
found is overlaid nicely with the anomaly scene on his site, the  
image is highly pixilated and very poor quality when enlarged to  
the same size as our original frames, hindering an exact  
comparison. If anyone has a higher quality copy of this  
photograph, please send it to us for analysis. "

Original still Photo ID: AS08-14-2392

<http://tinyurl.com/yeldta>

<http://tinyurl.com/ydunz3>

Second row, 5th column

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

## Re: Moon Anomalies Stuff - Lehmberg

From: **Alfred Lehmberg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 11 Dec 2006 17:54:00 -0600  
Fwd Date: Tue, 12 Dec 2006 20:45:33 -0500  
Subject: Re: Moon Anomalies Stuff - Lehmberg

>From: Terry Colvin <[fortean1.nul](mailto:fortean1.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 10 Dec 2006 23:38:47 -0700 (GMT-07:00)  
>Subject: Moon Anomalies Stuff

>FWD [fantasticreality]

><http://www.anomalies.net/ufo/moon/moonimages.html>

>[http://www.unexplainable.net/artman/publish/article\\_762.shtml](http://www.unexplainable.net/artman/publish/article_762.shtml)

><http://www.vgl.org/>

><http://www.informantnews.com/starshipgamma/x/moon1.txt>

><http://www.informantnews.com/>

><http://tinyurl.com/yzjyc5>

><http://www.ufoinfo.com/filer/2006/ff0607.shtml>

><http://www.anomalies.net/ufo/moon/>

><http://www.v-j-enterprises.com/hoagconf.html>

><http://tinyurl.com/yagq3x>

>Smokestack on the moon (take a look at the animated GIF at the  
>bottom, made from frames shot from an Apollo mission):

><http://shadowboxent.brinkster.net/moon/esjmoon.html>

If I may offer my own:

<http://www.alienview.net/tele9.html>

---

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)

[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)

AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

U F O M a g a z i n e -- [www.ufomag.com](http://www.ufomag.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

## The U.S. Air Force Logo

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 12 Dec 2006 01:13:24 EST  
Fwd Date: Tue, 12 Dec 2006 20:46:20 -0500  
Subject: The U.S. Air Force Logo

<http://members.cox.net/crimsonknight13/Air%20Force%20Logo%20Light%20Burst.png>

Is it me or does this thing not remind one of the face  
of the typical Grey Alien we've seen umpteen times?

It could just be that my being an artist I see things  
sometimes. :)

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena

'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

## 'Billions And Billions Of Stars'

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 12 Dec 2006 20:54:03 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 12 Dec 2006 20:54:03 -0500  
Subject: 'Billions And Billions Of Stars'

Source: Investor's Business Daily - Los Angeles, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/wbnca>

12/11/2006

'Billions And Billions Of Stars'

By Michael Mink  
For Investor's Business Daily

Carl Sagan's passion was simple to define: it included the entire universe.

But that passion would make an impression on one influential television viewer: Johnny Carson.

By the early 1970s, Sagan (1934-96) was an accomplished scientist and author. With his book "The Cosmic Connection" a few weeks from release, his publisher, Doubleday, had booked Sagan on a Dick Cavett TV special. Carson was watching and immediately told his staff he wanted Sagan as a guest on his "Tonight Show."

"(Sagan) was passionate about astronomy and science in general and was able to convey that passion to the general public without sounding condescending," Carson said, as quoted by William Poundstone in "Carl Sagan: A Life in the Cosmos."

The exposure from "The Tonight Show" and its 15 million viewers at that time helped make Sagan a household name. More importantly, it gave him the platform to help educate and excite the general public about the importance of understanding the universe.

After Sagan's first, short appearance on "The Tonight Show" in November 1973, Carson had him back three weeks later for what turned out to be a defining moment. Sagan explained the connection between the history of the universe and the rise of life.

"Sagan launched into a cosmological crash course for adults. When Sagan finished and settled back into the eye of the hush that he had generated, one was willing to bet that if a million teenagers had been watching, at least 100,000 vowed on the spot to become full-time astronomers," wrote Stuart Baur of New York Magazine.

At the time of his death, Sagan was the David Duncan Professor of Astronomy and Space Sciences and director of the Laboratory for Planetary Studies at Cornell University. An authority on planetary systems, he played a leading role at NASA from the 1950s until his death, and was a prime mover in the foundation of the Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence (SETI).

Sagan published more than 600 scientific papers and more than 20



books, including "Cosmos," which became the best-selling book about science in English and became an Emmy Award-winning series. Sagan's studies of Venus led to the discovery that the planet suffered from a massive greenhouse effect, and he was involved in the Mariner, Viking, Voyager and Galileo unmanned expeditions.

His many awards included the Public Welfare Medal, the National Academy of Sciences' highest award for "distinguished contributions in the application of science to the public welfare." Sagan also received the NASA medals for Exceptional Scientific Achievement and Distinguished Public Service, in addition to the NASA Apollo Achievement Award.

#### A Fascinating Story

Yet Sagan's big thrill lay in showing people the importance of the science of astronomy while making it interesting and understandable.

"My only secret in being able to talk to others about science is to remember what it was like when I didn't understand whatever it was we were talking about," Sagan said in "Carl Sagan," by Ellen R. Butts and Joyce R. Schwartz.

That was Sagan's primary aim with the 13-part series "Cosmos." He took what some might consider "dry" scientific facts and turned them into a fascinating story about the origins of the universe. Since its first airing in 1980, "Cosmos" has been seen by more than 500 million people in 60 countries, making it the most-watched series in the history of public television.

Young Carl was the child of parents who had little financial means but put a premium on education even though neither graduated from college. They filled their Brooklyn home with books and taught Carl and his sister to appreciate reading, music and art.

They also tried to fire his imagination. In 1939, when the World's Fair and its theme of the future came to Long Island, his parents took 5-year-old Carl. Sagan remembered it as "a day that powerfully influenced my thinking =97 a perfect future made possible by science," he said.

"Carl was extremely well-read, and as a result he was able to discuss almost any subject. He had this huge reservoir of memories of interesting events in the history of science, pithy quotations from writers and such that he could call on and make his talks and books interesting," said Dr. Frank Drake, director of the SETI Institute's Center for the Study of Life in the Universe and SETI's chairman emeritus.

"He didn't talk down to people. Carl used a vocabulary they could understand, and he always made it interesting," Drake said. "He always found some interesting twist in whatever it was that he was describing, and so people felt comfortable listening to him."

Sagan also took criticism of his work in stride: he believed good science included arguing for and against theories.

In fact, Sagan was extremely gracious to many of his harshest critics. When Nobel Prize winner Harold Urey wrote a letter apologizing to Sagan for past unkind comments directed at him, and feeling especially bad because Sagan had recently praised him in a speech, Sagan wrote back to him:

"I've thought as carefully as I can, and I can't recall a single instance of unkindness from you. If you are thinking of some anonymous activity =97 such as refereeing a paper or giving you opinion on promotion =97 it is no unkindness to express a candid view under such circumstances. But whatever the incident was, I cannot believe that your motive was anything other than the best interest of science."

#### 'Ask Courageous Questions'

Sagan stood up for what he believed in. A strong supporter of women's rights and civil rights, he refused to back down even when some of his superiors warned him that it could cost him professionally.

He often pursued unpopular opinions. Some fellow scientists scoffed at his research on nuclear winter, but Sagan kept at it, backing up his theories with hard data. It later became apparent that his presentation to both U.S. and Soviet officials on the subject and its catastrophic consequences was significant.

Mikhail Gorbachev told Sagan personally that he'd read all of his nuclear winter research, and that it had strengthened the Soviet resolve to ensure the nightmare scenario never played out.

"Sagan's greatest coup was his 1986 briefing of the Soviet Central Committee on nuclear winter," Poundstone wrote. "Several who were present have said that the effect of Sagan's talk on Soviet military thinking was profound."

As a scientist and person, intellectual curiosity ran through Sagan's veins. He even set up a system he called his "Baloney Detection Kit," which includes insisting on independent confirmation of facts, hearing all points of view, and being careful not to become attached to a theory simply because it's yours.

"Ask courageous questions. Do not be satisfied with superficial answers," Sagan said. "Be open to wonder and at the same time subject all claims to knowledge, without exception, to intense skeptical scrutiny. Be aware of human fallibility. Cherish your species and your planet."

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://uforeview.net/>]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

## CSICOP Becomes CSI After Thirty Years

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 12 Dec 2006 21:06:18 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 12 Dec 2006 21:06:18 -0500  
Subject: CSICOP Becomes CSI After Thirty Years

Source: CSICOP.Org - Amherst, New York, New York

<http://www.csicop.org/about/csi.html>

Nov. 30, 2006

CSICOP Becomes CSI After Thirty Years

Name change reflects growth, focus on science and reason

Amherst, N.Y. (Nov. 30, 2006)=97After three decades of baring questionable fringe- and pseudoscience claims to the objective eye of the scientific method, the Committee for the Scientific Investigation of Claims of the Paranormal has officially changed its name. The familiar moniker, CSICOP, has been halved to CSI=97pronounced C-S-I=97and now stands for the Committee for Skeptical Inquiry.

The change comes, in part, due to the prominence of the word "paranormal" in the well-known acronym. Executive Council member Kendrick Frazier, editor of Skeptical Inquirer magazine, said the reference sometimes led those unfamiliar with the group to narrowly limit their concept of the organization=92s goals. Also, misconceptions of motives had to be continually corrected.

"It always required an explanation that we weren't the promoters of the paranormal but the scientific investigators, the critical evaluators," Frazier writes in the January/February 2007 issue of Skeptical Inquirer. "Our goal has been to provide scientific examinations of these claims, so that reliable, fact-based, verified information can be used in making judgments about them."

CSI Chairman Paul Kurtz, founder of the Center for Inquiry, emphasized that, although CSI will remain true to its early CSICOP mission, the organization has never confined itself exclusively to the investigation of paranormal claims. CSI will continue to deal with a wider range of topics emerging in the contemporary world, such as unfounded ethical opposition to stem cell research.

"Today there are new challenges to science," Kurtz writes in Skeptical Inquirer. "Yet powerful moral, theological, and political forces have opposed scientific research on a whole number of issues."

The decision to shorten the 10-word name was rendered Sept. 23 at an Executive Council meeting in Oak Brook, Ill. The name becomes official once the appropriate legal papers have been filed and processed.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

## More Ufologist Video Clips Online

From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 12 Dec 2006 14:03:03 EST  
Fwd Date: Tue, 12 Dec 2006 21:27:31 -0500  
Subject: More Ufologist Video Clips Online

The latest video clips are available.

Kevin Randle discusses UFO frauds:

<http://tinyurl.com/v25t1>

Kevin discusses the difference between a skeptic and a debunker:

<http://tinyurl.com/yg6w2v>

Karl Pflock on the ETH (Part 2):

<http://tinyurl.com/ya8xlg>

Stan Friedman on Philip Corso:

<http://tinyurl.com/yn7zv8>

Best regards,

Paul Kimball  
[www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com](http://www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 12](#)

Secrecy News -- 12/12/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 12 Dec 2006 14:15:18 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 12 Dec 2006 21:29:35 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 12/12/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 126  
December 12, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

"Special year-end opportunity to support Secrecy News!"  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

\*\* ARMY DEFINES LEGITIMATE AND QUESTIONABLE INTEL ACTIVITIES  
\*\* NAVY GUIDE TO DETENTION FACILITIES  
\*\* ODDS AND ENDS FROM CRS  
\*\* INJECTING POLONIUM INTO HUMANS

## ARMY DEFINES LEGITIMATE AND QUESTIONABLE INTEL ACTIVITIES

A recently updated U.S. Army regulation defines the parameters of legitimate military intelligence activities and outlines procedures for identifying "questionable" intelligence operations.

Among the permissible activities, for example, military intelligence "may conduct nonconsensual physical surveillance of U.S. persons who are-- military personnel on active duty status; present or former intelligence component employees; present or former intelligence component contractors and their present or former employees; applicants for intelligence component employment or contracting" and "persons in contact with those who fall into [the above categories] to the extent necessary to identify the person in contact" (sect. 9-2).

"Nothing in this procedure will be interpreted as authorizing the collection of any information relating to a U.S. person solely because of that person's lawful advocacy of measures opposed to Government policy" (sect. 2-5).

However, "commonly reported questionable intelligence activities [include] improper collection, retention, or dissemination of U.S. person information [such as] gathering information about U.S. domestic groups not connected with a foreign power or international terrorism" (sect. 15-4).

Other "commonly reported questionable activities" include "searching or monitoring a U.S. person's private internet account, under the guise of determining if the individual was passing classified information, without an authorized counterintelligence or law enforcement investigation and proper search or electronic surveillance authority."

Also considered "misconduct" is "coaching a source or subject of an investigation prior to an intelligence polygraph examination in an effort to help the individual pass the polygraph."

In one new provision, the regulation notes that intelligence personnel must ordinarily use government computers for official government business. But, it says, "if operational security so

requires, such as to protect a Government computer from hacker retaliation, a ... commander may approve nonattributable internet access" (sect. 1-9).

The 2005 regulation was released in its entirety this week in response to a Freedom of Information Act request from Secrecy News.

See "U.S. Army Intelligence Activities," Army Regulation 381-10, 22 November 2005 (2.7 MB PDF):

<http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/army/ar381-10.pdf>

#### NAVY GUIDE TO DETENTION FACILITIES

A new U.S. Navy instruction offers a "guide to the operation and administration of detention facilities."

Detention means "the temporary holding of persons in custody in a detention facility pending a decision to officially charge them with a criminal offense. Detention is distinctly different from confinement that includes pretrial or post-trial confinement."

See "Guide for the Operation and Administration of Detention Facilities," OPNAV Instruction 1640.9A, December 11, 2006:

[http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/navy/opnavinst/1640\\_9a.pdf](http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/navy/opnavinst/1640_9a.pdf)

Another new Navy instruction concerns information assurance.

See "Navy Implementation of Department of Defense Intelligence Information System (DODIIS) Public Key Infrastructure (PKI)," OPNAV Instruction 5239.3, November 27, 2006:

[http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/navy/opnavinst/5239\\_3.pdf](http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/navy/opnavinst/5239_3.pdf)

#### ODDS AND ENDS FROM CRS

A recent Congressional Research Service report observed irregularities in government spending on military space.

"Tracking the DOD space budget is extremely difficult since space is not identified as a separate line item in the DOD budget. Additionally, DOD sometimes releases only partial information (omitting funding for classified programs) or will suddenly release without explanation new figures for prior years that are quite different from what was previously reported."

See "U.S. Military Space Programs: An Overview of Appropriations and Current Issues," updated August 7, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/space/RL33601.pdf>

Pending proposals to restructure Foreign Service personnel compensation policy are described in "The Foreign Service and a New Worldwide Compensation System," updated November 16, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/RL33721.pdf>

U.S. economic sanctions that are currently imposed against North Korea and the potential application of additional restrictions are addressed in another recent CRS report, provided courtesy of the National Committee on North Korea ([www.ncnk.org](http://www.ncnk.org)). See "North Korea: Economic Sanctions," updated October 17, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/row/RL31696.pdf>

#### INJECTING POLONIUM INTO HUMANS

The apparent murder of former Russian intelligence officer Alexander Litvinenko through polonium poisoning seemed like an outlandish innovation in crime. But it was not the first time that polonium had been deliberately administered to human subjects.

In 1944 at the University of Rochester in New York, "tracer amounts of radioactive polonium-210 were injected into four

hospitalized humans and ingested by a fifth," according to a 1995 retrospective account.

Four men and one woman who were already suffering from a variety of cancers reportedly volunteered for the dangerous experiment. One patient died from his cancer six days after the injection.

See "Polonium Human-Injection Experiments," Los Alamos Science, Number 23, 1995:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/othergov/doe/lanl/pubs/00326640-po.pdf>

That polonium article appeared as a sidebar in a larger paper called "The Human Plutonium Injection Experiments" by William Moss and Roger Eckhardt, which follows on the work of reporter Eileen Welsome, builds on the declassification activities of Energy Secretary Hazel O'Leary and complements the research of the Advisory Committee on Human Radiation Experiments. See the Moss and Eckhardt paper from Los Alamos Science here:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/othergov/doe/lanl/pubs/00326640.pdf>

Polonium was classified in July 1945, the authors note, and given the code name "postum."

The basic chemistry and physics of polonium were declassified in 1946. The fact that polonium-210 was used in nuclear weapon initiators was declassified in 1967, according to a Department of Energy historical account.

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:  
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

## Re: Ufologists Video Clips Online - Kimball

From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 09:05:02 EST  
Fwd Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 10:44:49 -0500  
Subject: Re: Ufologists Video Clips Online - Kimball

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 11 Dec 2006 09:02:06 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Ufologists Video Clips Online

>>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 11 Dec 2006 08:09:36 EST  
>>Subject: Ufologists Video Clips Online

<snip>

>On that note... is that a random picture of Greer fronting the  
>video? Odd that it is very non-descriptive of what was said in  
>the segment in the first place, and was an expression made, at  
>all and only once, because Greer was clearing a blocked nasal  
>passage.

Mr. Lehmborg:

It's well known (at least by anyone who knows me) that I'm no fan of Dr. Greer (to put it mildly), but that is indeed a random capture, which is what Google Video does apparently. I put a clip up of me acting in an old short film, and the captured clip is of the bald spot on the back of my head. No way to control it - I could repost the Greer clip, and it could end up with a capture that looked even worse.

Best regards,

Paul Kimball

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

## Student Spots UFO Over Swindon UK

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 10:52:39 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 10:52:39 -0500  
Subject: Student Spots UFO Over Swindon UK

Source: The Swindon Advertiser - Wiltshire, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/ym6tge>

Wed 13 Dec 06

Student Spots UFO Over Swindon  
By Anthony Osborne

He might be concentrating on his college work, but Chris Moran is also wondering if we really are alone in the universe.

On two occasions on Monday night the 17-year-old spotted strange lights in the skies over Swindon. It was at 8.55pm when he made his first sighting.

Chris said: "We were walking out the back of Cricklade Road near some open ground and out of the corner of my eye I saw it for just a few seconds.

"There was this oval-shaped object, quite bright, hanging in the sky. It was really odd.

"As I turned my head to look at it, it reacted like it had been seen, and it zoomed off."

Chris turned to his friends but they all insisted that they didn't see it.

But just over an hour later there could be no doubt in their minds.

"I was in town near Eastcott Hill and I saw it again, and this time so did the others," said Chris.

"It looked like it was just a few hundred feet up. All the guys saw it and so did one man who had come out of a pub.

"It was incredible, but I cannot begin to think what it was.

"It was oval again, a bit like a rugby ball, completely silent, and then travelled off so fast, it would have been impossible to estimate its speed. I cannot believe I have seen this object twice in one night. Other people must have seen it."

Chris is confident what he saw was not an aircraft, helicopter or an astronomical object such as a shooting star.

"It seemed far too fast for anything I have ever heard of and it was silent, there was no rumbling or anything," he said.

A spokeswoman for the Ministry of Defence said they had not received any reports about UFO sightings over Swindon on Monday evening.

She said: "The MoD examines any UFO sighting reports it receives

solely to establish whether there is any evidence to suggest that UK airspace has been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity.

"Unless there is such evidence the MoD does not attempt to positively identify what was seen."

The MoD has no role or expertise regarding the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial life-forms and does not study such alleged phenomena.

"The MoD remains open-minded but to date we know of no evidence that substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena."

Did you see this strange phenomena over Swindon? If so call the Adver newsdesk on 01793 501806.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

## UFO Or Ball Lightning?

From: Chris Rutkowski <[rutkows.nul](mailto:rutkows.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 10:10:23 -0600 (CST)  
Fwd Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 11:22:04 -0500  
Subject: UFO Or Ball Lightning?

An amazing video of an approaching storm with a bright ball of light hovering around it may be the first good video of ball lightning... or an invading alien spacecraft.

Posted on YouTube and carried everywhere.

<http://tinyurl.com/ybfexf>

Somebody in particular

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

## Re: The U.S. Air Force Logo - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 13:58:38 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 15:02:59 -0500  
Subject: Re: The U.S. Air Force Logo - Ledger

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 12 Dec 2006 01:13:24 EST  
>Subject: The U.S. Air Force Logo

><http://members.cox.net/crimsonknight13/Air%20Force%20Logo%20Light%20Burst.png>

>Is it me or does this thing not remind one of the face  
>of the typical Grey Alien we've seen umpteen times?

>It could just be that my being an artist I see things  
>sometimes. :)

Lots of faces and symbolism in that graphic. One wonders what 14  
year-old demographic the US Air Force is appealing to with this  
logo.

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

## Hall & Downing Video On-line

From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 13:35:33 EST  
Fwd Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 15:09:00 -0500  
Subject: Hall & Downing Video On-line

Listers:

Today's videos:

Dick Hall talks about the Colorado Project and the Condon report:

<http://tinyurl.com/u8z5r>

Rev. Barry Downing discusses UFOs and religion, from a 2001 interview:

<http://tinyurl.com/v4oys>

Interesting commentary from two of ufology's good guys. Due to the nature of a "Top 10" film, much of the talking-head stuff will be sound bites (which Dick is actually pretty good at), but I fully intend to include many extended clips on the DVD bonus materials, when our distributor releases the DVD. Ditto Stanton T. Friedman Is Real, should it ever find a proper DVD distributor.

For more info on Best Evidence, by the way, you can visit our British distributor's website at:

<http://www.b7media.com/evidence.html>

As the film nears completion, I'm excited at the prospect of bringing some cases that most people have never heard of to the public's attention (as well as some old favourites).

Best regards,

Paul Kimball  
[www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com](http://www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

## Re: More Ufologist Video Clips Online - Gehrman

From: **Ed Gehrman** <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 11:09:04 -0800  
Fwd Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 15:10:44 -0500  
Subject: Re: More Ufologist Video Clips Online - Gehrman

>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 12 Dec 2006 14:03:03 EST  
>Subject: More Ufologist Video Clips Online

<snip>

>Stan Friedman on Philip Corso:

><http://tinyurl.com/yn7zv8>

Paul, EBK, List,

Stan should at least read The Day After Roswell before he starts discussing and dismissing Col. Corso's accomplishments and post the list of ninety-two discrepancies he mentions.

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

## Guide to Extra-Terrestrials?

From: **Dimitris Hatzopoulos** <[dhatz-ufo.nul](mailto:dhatz-ufo.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 22:03:25 +0200  
Fwd Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 15:41:51 -0500  
Subject: Guide to Extra-Terrestrials?

I wonder if one could point me to web-pages/books/etc which attempt to catalogue extra-terrestrial beings and offer theories about what could possibly be their involvement/plan with us?

Wrt ET descriptions, sofar I've come across the MAJ12 SOM1-01 document, which describes 2 ET types.

I find the Deardorff "leaky embargo" theory quite interesting. I've also heard about a couple of cases of ET-initiated contact here in Greece (people are understandably very reluctant to talk about it publicly).

I thought that with all the reports of contact with ETs and/or humanoids, there must be someone who has attempted to catalog them, attempt to connect-the-dots between various ET-encounter stories and formulate theories. E.g. one could interpret the Travis Walton story that there are human-looking ETs with grey-alien 'androids' (engineered species) doing some sort of work on us for them - or could it be the other way around?

On the other hand, there are theories which I find hard believe, e.g. the ones about "aliens needing our genetic material to survive/reproduce etc". Considering that even today we humans can do genetic engineering (e.g. cut-n-paste DNA via specifically-made artificial proteins), so I think an advanced civilisation would have conquered biochemistry.

Dimitris Hatzopoulos  
<http://www.hyper.net/ufo.html>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

## Re: UFO Or Ball Lightning? - Friedman

From: **Stanton Friedman** <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 16:17:36 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 15:43:14 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFO Or Ball Lightning? - Friedman

>From: Chris Rutkowski <[rutkows.nul](mailto:rutkows.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 10:10:23 -0600 (CST)  
>Subject: UFO Or Ball Lightning?

>An amazing video of an approaching storm with a bright ball of  
>light hovering around it may be the first good video of ball  
>lightning... or an invading alien spacecraft.

>Posted on YouTube and carried everywhere.

><http://tinyurl.com/ybfexf>

>Somebody in particular

There have been a number of studies done of ball lightning. The bright light in the movie seems much too large and lasts much too long. Typical is under 1 foot in diameter and less than 1 minute.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

## Greer & 'Flash Lights' [was: Ufologists Video]

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 10:13:36 -0600  
Fwd Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 20:11:43 -0500  
Subject: Greer & 'Flash Lights' [was: Ufologists Video]

>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 09:05:02 EST  
>Subject: Re: Ufologists Video Clips Online

>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 11 Dec 2006 09:02:06 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Ufologists Video Clips Online

>>>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Mon, 11 Dec 2006 08:09:36 EST  
>>>Subject: Ufologists Video Clips Online

><snip>

>>On that note... is that a random picture of Greer fronting the  
>>video? Odd that it is very non-descriptive of what was said in  
>>the segment in the first place, and was an expression made, at  
>>all and only once, because Greer was clearing a blocked nasal  
>>passage.

>Mr. Lehmborg:

>It's well known (at least by anyone who knows me) that I'm no  
>fan of Dr. Greer (to put it mildly), but that is indeed a random  
>capture, which is what Google Video does apparently. I put a  
>clip up of me acting in an old short film, and the captured clip  
>is of the bald spot on the back of my head. No way to control it  
>- I could repost the Greer clip, and it could end up with a  
>capture that looked even worse.

>Best regards,

>Paul Kimball

Kismet, I reckon... Still... you're no fan... and it's not  
descriptive. Moreover, I'll match your anti-fan-ness tooth and  
claw.

His self involved Machiavellianism and widescatter, so too  
diffuse approach I find particularly irritating and have so  
written... That said, are you aware of any reports from persons  
who have paid exorbitantly for one of his 'Flash-light' affairs  
who didn't get what they thought they paid for? I'd like to read  
one.

I know if it were me and \_I\_ put-up that kind of bread, I'd be  
very loudly non-plused. Is there any record of such, I wonder?

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)

[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)

AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

U F O M a g a z i n e -- [www.ufomag.com](http://www.ufomag.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

## Re: UFO Or Ball Lightning? - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 18:25:08 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 20:17:22 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFO Or Ball Lightning? - Ledger

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 16:17:36 -0400  
>Subject: Re: UFO Or Ball Lightning?

>>From: Chris Rutkowski <[rutkows.nul](mailto:rutkows.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 10:10:23 -0600 (CST)  
>>Subject: UFO Or Ball Lightning?

>>An amazing video of an approaching storm with a bright ball of  
>>light hovering around it may be the first good video of ball  
>>lightning... or an invading alien spacecraft.

>>Posted on YouTube and carried everywhere.

>><http://tinyurl.com/ybfexf>

>>Somebody in particular

>There have been a number of studies done of ball lightning. The  
>bright light in the movie seems much too large and lasts much  
>too long. Typical is under 1 foot in diameter and less than 1  
>minute.

That's my understanding of the size and duration too.

I have an RCAF pilot case from the mid sixties southwest of Cold Lake, Alberta where an object-a ball of brilliant light-emerged from a huge black cloud [broad daylight] several miles ahead and then came right at the nose of a Dakota [DC-3]. The light veered at the last possible moment before tearing down the right side of the Dak.

The pilot was doing radio work - which had suddenly failed, no kidding - and turned control over to the co-pilot. They both watched the ball expand in size and brilliance and cover the distance to their aircraft in seconds.

At the last second the pilot threw himself back through the door between them, his arms up in front of his face and ended up in the aisle. He stared up at several passengers who were looking at him in astonishment.

He quickly climbed back through the door and regained his seat. He said the co-pilot was rigid, his eyes wide, his lips moving and he was shaking and returned control back to the pilot.

The pilot told me he estimated that the size of this light at 11-12 feet in diameter. There was no turbulence as it passed. The radios came back on, the ADF returned to service and they re-established radio comms with the tower at Cold Lake who informed the pilot their Dakota had dropped off radar and their transponder image faded and Tower had lost their radio link.

The huge cloud that only moments before had been spread a couple of miles across their IFR regulated flight path just vanished.

I asked this pilot, whom I've known for years as do many pilots and organizations in Canada and the US, what he thought he and the co-pilot had encountered.

He figured it was ball lightning but said he didn't know much about it. I told him that to my knowledge ball lightning was more in the sizes ranging from baseball size to soccer ball sized and even that was soft science.

He asked me what I thought it was. I told him it beats the hell out of me but that there had been classic events occurring that had been reported by others, many times during his encounter.

He told me about this incident two years ago while we were standing on the flight line of an airfield. In January I have a date to meet him at home and record the event once again. He might even let me use his name.

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 13](#)

## Re: More Ufologist Video Clips Online - Randle

From: **Kevin Randle** <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 18:34:22 EST  
Fwd Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 20:18:55 -0500  
Subject: Re: More Ufologist Video Clips Online - Randle

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 11:09:04 -0800  
>Subject: Re: More Ufologist Video Clips Online

>>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 12 Dec 2006 14:03:03 EST  
>>Subject: More Ufologist Video Clips Online

<snip>

>>Stan Friedman on Philip Corso:

>><http://tinyurl.com/yn7zv8>

>Paul, EBK, List,

>Stan should at least read The Day After Roswell before he starts  
>discussing and dismissing Col. Corso's accomplishments and post  
>the list of ninety-two discrepancies he mentions.

Ed, List All -

You, of course, mean Lieutenant Colonel Corso, don't you?

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 14](#)

## Re: UFO Or Ball Lightning? - Balaskas

From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 19:34:15 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 08:21:04 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFO Or Ball Lightning? - Balaskas

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 16:17:36 -0400  
>Subject: Re: UFO Or Ball Lightning?

>>From: Chris Rutkowski <[rutkows.nul](mailto:rutkows.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 10:10:23 -0600 (CST)  
>>Subject: UFO Or Ball Lightning?

>>An amazing video of an approaching storm with a bright ball of  
>>light hovering around it may be the first good video of ball  
>>lightning... or an invading alien spacecraft.

>>Posted on YouTube and carried everywhere.

>><http://tinyurl.com/ybfexf>

>>Somebody in particular

>There have been a number of studies done of ball lightning. The  
>bright light in the movie seems much too large and lasts much  
>too long. Typical is under 1 foot in diameter and less than 1  
>minute.

<snip>

Thank you Chris for forwarding this video clip with us.

Once should never doubt or second guess a witness' first opinion  
made and recorded at the time of a UFO sighting.

I agree with the witness shown on this video clip who identified  
this object in the sky as an aircraft. Also, since the edited  
video clip centers on the lightning storm in the distance and  
not the UFOs or balls of lightning (the were two luminous lights  
in the sky in one portion of the video clip), confirms the  
aircraft conclusion.

Although commercial and passenger aircraft follow pre-determined  
flight paths, for safety they will fly around such lightning  
storms and clouds of vertical development that can produce  
turbulence. The back and forth motions of the lights in the sky  
is evidence of such aircraft flight path deviations around this  
lightning storm.

As Stan states above, most reported ball lightning sightings are  
short in during, but not all. Although ball lightning are self  
luminous balls of plasma much like our Sun, their surface  
brightness is much, much less. The two or more alleged "balls of  
lightning" or UFOs captured in this video clip have surface  
brightnesses comparable to the bright street lights in the  
distance as seen on the left side of some of the video clip  
frames.

This video clip is simply another example of how an otherwise  
interesting picture or video clip can be later become a widely  
circulated example of a real UFO captured on film or video.

With the growing popularity of digital cameras, including cell phones which have such cameras as standard features, this will certainly not be the last example of re-interpreted images that are later submitted as possibly showing something strange and out of the ordinary.

Nick Balaskas

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 14](#)

## Re: Hall & Downing Video On-line - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 01:43:48 +0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 08:23:42 -0500  
Subject: Re: Hall & Downing Video On-line - Kasten

>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 13:35:33 EST  
>Subject: Hall & Downing Video On-line

>Listers:

>Today's videos:

>Dick Hall talks about the Colorado Project and the Condon  
>report:

><http://tinyurl.com/u8z5r>

>Rev. Barry Downing discusses UFOs and religion, from a 2001  
>interview:

><http://tinyurl.com/v4oys>

>Interesting commentary from two of ufology's good guys. Due to  
>the nature of a "Top 10" film, much of the talking-head stuff  
>will be sound bites (which Dick is actually pretty good at), but  
>I fully intend to include many extended clips on the DVD bonus  
>materials, when our distributor releases the DVD. Ditto Stanton  
>T. Friedman Is Real, should it ever find a proper DVD  
>distributor.

>For more info on Best Evidence, by the way, you can visit our  
>British distributor's website at:

><http://www.b7media.com/evidence.html>

>As the film nears completion, I'm excited at the prospect of  
>bringing some cases that most people have never heard of to the  
>public's attention (as well as some old favourites).

Now, Paul, you speaking my language. DVDs. That I can do. But, I  
must wait until you find an outlet in the U.S.

KK

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 14](#)

## Re: UFO Or Ball Lightning? - Cameron

From: Cory Cameron <[corycameron.510.nul](mailto:corycameron.510.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 21:50:28 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 08:28:38 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFO Or Ball Lightning? - Cameron

>From: Chris Rutkowski <[rutkows.nul](mailto:rutkows.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 10:10:23 -0600 (CST)  
>Subject: UFO Or Ball Lightning?

>An amazing video of an approaching storm with a bright ball of  
>light hovering around it may be the first good video of ball  
>lightning... or an invading alien spacecraft.

>Posted on YouTube and carried everywhere.

><http://tinyurl.com/ybfexf>

>Somebody in particular

At exactly 1:27 into the video there's a quick-moving flash of  
white light - perhaps ball lightning - moving above the somewhat  
stationary white light. I'm not so sure the stationary white  
light is ball lightning though.

Kindest Regards,

Cory Cameron

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 14](#)

## UFO 'Secrets' Could Land UK Hacker In Gitmo

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 08:47:55 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 08:47:55 -0500  
Subject: UFO 'Secrets' Could Land UK Hacker In Gitmo

Source: M-Net.Net - Wellington, New Zealand

<http://tinyurl.com/y778yx>

Thursday, 14 December 2006

UFO 'Secrets' Could Land UK Hacker In Gitmo=09  
by  
Ken Lewis

A UK hacker who broke into dozens of US military computers to find secret evidence of alien life and technology faces up to 70 years in a US prison.

The 40-year-old unemployed systems administrator faces charges of attacking 97 US military and NASA computers between 2001 and 2002. If found guilty, he could face up to 70 years in prison and may even be sent to Guantanamo Bay as a terrorist suspect.

Gary McKinnon will lodge what is likely to be his final appeal against extradition on February 13 next year at London's Court of Appeal. He lost his first appeal against extradition in a High Court hearing last July, but was given leave to take his case to a higher court. If that fails to overturn the extradition order signed by UK Home Secretary John Reid in July, McKinnon's only remaining option to avoid a US trial will be to appeal to the House of Lords. McKinnon is not optimistic of his chances following the Lords' refusal to intervene in the extradition of the NatWest Three, who were extradited to the US in July as part of a \$311.5m fraud investigation connected with the collapsed energy giant Enron.

McKinnon has admitted accessing computer systems in the US, including those cited in a US indictment against him. He wanted to find evidence of UFOs, antigravity technology and government suppression of 'Free Energy', all of which he claims to have gained evidence of through his activities.

In an interview with the BBC, McKinnon said he got into the networks quite easily, simply by using a Perl script that searched for blank passwords. Embarrassingly for the US military, that means computers in the top-secret networks could be hacked using active default passwords.

Unsurprisingly, McKinnon wants to be tried in the UK because he says the crimes were committed on UK soil. He also says he did not damage the systems he trespassed on.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 14](#)

## UFO Sightings And Unexplained Animal Deaths

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 09:29:23 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 09:29:23 -0500  
Subject: UFO Sightings And Unexplained Animal Deaths

The Saskatchewan Provincial Paranormal Research Centre, in Canada, has a comparison of Moon phases corresponding to UFO sightings and unexplained animal deaths - UAD - at:

<http://www.spprc.org/UFO/2005.html>

using reports from around Saskatchewan.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://uforeview.net/>]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 14](#)

## Military Ranks [was: More Ufologist Video Clips]

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 13:44:02 -0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 09:37:23 -0500  
Subject: Military Ranks [was: More Ufologist Video Clips]

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 18:34:22 EST  
>Subject: Re: More Ufologist Video Clips Online

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 11:09:04 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: More Ufologist Video Clips Online

<snip>

>>Stan should at least read The Day After Roswell before he starts  
>>discussing and dismissing Col. Corso's accomplishments and post  
>>the list of ninety-two discrepancies he mentions.

>You, of course, mean Lieutenant Colonel Corso, don't you?

List,

It might help non-military readers to know that a Lieutenant Colonel is a Colonel and not a Lieutenant.

I.e - the Lieutenant Colonel, as commanding officer of a Battalion or even a Regiment, might be the only Colonel some soldiers ever meet.

Maybe think of it like 1st Lieutenant and 2nd Lieutenant - they're both Lieutenants to other folk, no matter how they might argue rank between themselves.

Cheers

Ray D

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 14](#)

## Re: UFO Or Ball Lightning? - Ballester Olmos

From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <[ballesterolmos.nul](mailto:ballesterolmos.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 14:47:13 +0100 (CET)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 09:40:56 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFO Or Ball Lightning? - Ballester Olmos

>From: Nick Balaskas <[Nikolaos.nul](mailto:Nikolaos.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 19:34:15 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)  
>Subject: Re: UFO Or Ball Lightning?

>Thank you Chris for forwarding this video clip with us.

>Once should never doubt or second guess a witness' first opinion  
>made and recorded at the time of a UFO sighting.

>I agree with the witness shown on this video clip who identified  
>this object in the sky as an aircraft. Also, since the edited  
>video clip centers on the lightning storm in the distance and  
>not the UFOs or balls of lightning (the were two luminous lights  
>in the sky in one portion of the video clip), confirms the  
>aircraft conclusion.

<snip>

Nick and List members:

Several Spanish-speaking researchers have also reviewed the  
video and concur in the airplanes solution as well.

I wrote to the author of the clip, Tom Dillon, who informed me  
he took the video on July 13, 2004 at South Elgin, Illinois. He  
said:

"We watched the storm for about 30 minutes, but we only filmed  
about 7 minutes of that time."

Of course, too much time for a short-lived phenomena like  
ball lightning.

Best wishes,

Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos  
FOTOCAT Blog <http://fotocat.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 14](#)

## Re: Military Ranks - Randle

From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 10:02:12 EST  
Fwd Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 10:22:55 -0500  
Subject: Re: Military Ranks - Randle

>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 13:44:02 -0000  
>Subject: Military Ranks [was: More Ufologist Video Clips Online]

>>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 18:34:22 EST  
>>Subject: Re: More Ufologist Video Clips Online

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 11:09:04 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: More Ufologist Video Clips Online

<snip>

>>>Stan should at least read The Day After Roswell before he starts  
>>>discussing and dismissing Col. Corso's accomplishments and post  
>>>the list of ninety-two discrepancies he mentions.

>>You, of course, mean Lieutenant Colonel Corso, don't you?

>List,

>It might help non-military readers to know that a Lieutenant  
>Colonel is a Colonel and not a Lieutenant.

>I.e - the Lieutenant Colonel, as commanding officer of a  
>Battalion or even a Regiment, might be the only Colonel some  
>soldiers ever meet.

>Maybe think of it like 1st Lieutenant and 2nd Lieutenant -  
>they're both Lieutenants to other folk, no matter how they  
>might argue rank between themselves.

Good Morning Ray, List All -

Well, if this hasn't confused the issue, I don't know what  
would. So, let's reduce it to the military way of distinguishing  
among the various ranks.

Colonel, as claimed by Corso, is an O6, and the grade directly  
below brigadier general, or O7. Corso, according to his records  
was a lieutenant colonel or O5, which is the grade directly  
below colonel. While addressed verbally as colonel, he is what  
we sometimes call a "telephone colonel" meaning that he would  
answer the telephone as "colonel" though in the modern Army, I  
hear most of them answer it by saying, "lieutenant colonel."

A 2nd lieutenant is an O1 and is the grade directly below 1st  
lieutenant which is an O2. A captain is an O3 and directly below  
a major, which is an O4 and then we make it to lieutenant  
colonel, O5.

So, for Ed, and those keeping score at home, Corso was an O5  
(lieutenant colonel) rather than an O6, as he had claimed on the  
cover of his book, which, Ed, would be one of those

discrepancies that Stan mentioned... only 91 to go.

---

BTW: If anyone cares, a major general would be an O8 and a lieutenant general is an O9 (and he is not a lieutenant but a general who outranks the major general)... and finally, a general (4 stars) is an O10, and if there were any left, there would be a general of the army (5 stars) or O11. This a wartime rank used during the Second World War so that the Americans would have a rank equal to Field Marshal, but the reason for it not being called a Field Marshal was that General Marshall, the Army chief of staff didn't want to be called Marshal Marshall. And that completes our lesson on military ranks and some of the history around them. I now return you to our regularly scheduled discussions.

KRandle

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## US Scientists Reject Interference

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 05:47:27 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 05:47:27 -0500  
Subject: US Scientists Reject Interference

Source: BBC News - London, UK

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/science/nature/6178213.stm>

Thursday, 14 December 2006

US Scientists Reject Interference

By Jonathan Amos  
Science reporter  
BBC News, San Francisco

Some 10,000 US researchers have signed a statement protesting about political interference in the scientific process.

The statement, which includes the backing of 52 Nobel Laureates, demands a restoration of scientific integrity in government policy.

According to the American Union of Concerned Scientists, data is being misrepresented for political reasons.

It claims scientists working for federal agencies have been asked to change data to fit policy initiatives.

The Union has released an "A to Z" guide that it says documents dozens of recent allegations involving censorship and political interference in federal science, covering issues ranging from global warming to sex education.

Campaigners say that in recent years the White House has been able to censor the work of agencies like the Environmental Protection Agency and the Food and Drug Administration because a Republican congress has been loath to stand up for scientific integrity.

"It's very difficult to make good public policy without good science, and it's even harder to make good public policy with bad science," said Dr Peter Gleick, president of the Pacific Institute for Studies in Development, Environment and Security.

"In the last several years, we've seen an increase in both the misuse of science and I would say an increase of bad science in a number of very important issues; for example, in global climate change, international peace and security, and water resources."

The statement was released at the American Geophysical Union's Fall Meeting. It is an annual gathering of Earth scientists.

Last year, it triggered a major row when a discussion here resulted in the renowned US space agency climate scientist Dr James Hansen later claiming he had come under pressure not to talk to the media on global warming issues.

Michael Halpern from the UCS said the statement of objection to political interference had been supported by researchers regardless of their political views.

"This science statement that has now been signed by the 10,000 scientists is signed by science advisers to both Republican and Democratic administrations dating back to President Eisenhower, stating that this is not business as usual and calling for this practice to stop," he told BBC News.

The Union said is was hopeful that the new Congress taking office in January would show a greater commitment to protecting the integrity of the scientific process.

[Jonathan.Amos-INTERNET](#).nul

[Thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## Re: UFO 'Secrets' Could Land UK Hacker In Gitmo -

From: Roy Hale <[roy.nul](mailto:roy.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 15:37:31 -0000  
Fwd Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 06:43:39 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFO 'Secrets' Could Land UK Hacker In Gitmo -

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>Date: Thursday, December 14, 2006 1:47 PM  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: UFO 'Secrets' Could Land UK Hacker In Gitmo

>Source: M-Net.Net - Wellington, New Zealand

><http://tinyurl.com/y778yx>

>Thursday, 14 December 2006

>UFO 'Secrets' Could Land UK Hacker In Gitmo  
>by  
>Ken Lewis

>A UK hacker who broke into dozens of US military computers to  
>find secret evidence of alien life and technology faces up to 70  
>years in a US prison.

>The 40-year-old unemployed systems administrator faces charges  
>of attacking 97 US military and NASA computers between 2001 and  
>2002. If found guilty, he could face up to 70 years in prison  
>and may even be sent to Guantanamo Bay as a terrorist suspect.

List,

Sounds like that Mr McKinnon sure did find some juicy UFO  
details whilst browsing those docs. It reminds me of the film  
Man In The Iron Mask... must keep this man locked away from  
everyone else for as long as possible, just in case he talks!

Does David Shayler ring any bells?

<http://www.thememoryhole.org/spy/shayler/welcome.htm>

If this sentence does go through, I will eagerly await the first  
intrepid journalist who will get his story way down the line! I  
am sure the UFO community are awaiting for some great UFO story  
leak related to this case, which may be there or not we shall  
have to wait and see as to just what Mr McKinnon did come  
across!

One question for my USA Colleagues:

Will this case be heard in a normal court house with full public  
scrutiny, or held in private behind closed doors?

Season Greetings to all,

Roy

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

Secrecy News -- 12/14/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 11:34:49 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 06:46:23 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 12/14/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 127  
December 14, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

"Special year-end opportunity to support Secrecy News!"  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

\*\* GOVT SUBPOENAS ACLU TO RECOVER CLASSIFIED DOCUMENT  
\*\* CRS ON RELIABLE REPLACEMENT WARHEAD, AND MORE  
\*\* POLONIUM AND THE HISTORY OF SPACE NUCLEAR POWER  
\*\* JASON ON ENGINEERING MICROORGANISMS FOR ENERGY PRODUCTION

GOVT SUBPOENAS ACLU TO RECOVER CLASSIFIED DOCUMENT

Government attorneys reached deep into their legal bag of tricks to devise a subpoena against the American Civil Liberties Union demanding "any and all copies" of a classified document that was leaked to the ACLU in October.

Questioned by an ACLU attorney as to the authority for this demand, a government attorney cited the espionage statutes in 18 USC 793 and 798.

Such an action is unprecedented, the ACLU said in a motion to quash the subpoena, and it is also an improper use of subpoena authority.

If successful, this tactic could be used to confiscate classified documents from news organizations, effectively imposing prior restraint on publication and curtailing freedom of the press.

"No official secrets act has yet been enacted into law, and the grand jury's subpoena power cannot be employed to create one," the ACLU said. See its motion to quash here:

[http://www.aclu.org/images/asset\\_upload\\_file251\\_27648.pdf](http://www.aclu.org/images/asset_upload_file251_27648.pdf)

The subpoena against the ACLU is the latest in a series of new government efforts to tighten controls on classified information and to punish those who disclose such information.

A recent issue of The News Media & The Law, published by the Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press, focuses on "Journalists and the Espionage Act" and provides updates on several ongoing cases and controversies. See:

[http://www.rcfp.org/news/mag/30-4/\\_contents.html](http://www.rcfp.org/news/mag/30-4/_contents.html)

CRS ON RELIABLE REPLACEMENT WARHEAD, AND MORE

In considering the future of the U.S. nuclear weapons stockpile, the government must decide between two basic courses of action,

explains a new report from the Congressional Research Service: either it must seek to extend the functional lifetime of existing nuclear weapons, or it must develop a new generation of warheads.

The CRS report compares and contrasts the pros and cons of these two options.

Another potential option, abolition of nuclear weapons, is not considered by the CRS, since "it has garnered no support in Congress or the Administration."

The CRS does not make its products directly available to the public. A copy of the new report was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "Nuclear Warheads: The Reliable Replacement Warhead Program and the Life Extension Program," December 13, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/nuke/RL33748.pdf>

Some other notable recent reports from the CRS include the following.

"The Military Commissions Act of 2006: Analysis of Procedural Rules and Comparison with Previous DOD Rules and the Uniform Code of Military Justice," October 12, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/natsec/RL33688.pdf>

"FY2007 Appropriations for State and Local Homeland Security," updated October 6, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/homsec/RS22383.pdf>

"Civil Reserve Air Fleet (CRAF)," October 18, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/weapons/RL33692.pdf>

"Uganda: Current Conditions and the Crisis in North Uganda," October 20, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/row/RL33701.pdf>

#### POLONIUM AND THE HISTORY OF SPACE NUCLEAR POWER

When the New York Times mentioned in passing recently that polonium-210 had once been used to power U.S. spacecraft, it caused a furrowing of the brow among the seven or so people who dwell on the history of space nuclear power, since it is almost certainly not correct.

"President Eisenhower, eager to promote 'atoms for peace,' had the high heats of polonium 210 turned into electricity for satellites," wrote the estimable William J. Broad in a recent Times Week in Review piece ("Polonium, \$22.50 Plus Tax," December 3). "But the batteries lost power relatively fast because of the material's short half-life, just 138 days. The United States made few such spacecraft."

Not so, according to Gary L. Bennett, who devoted much of his career at the Department of Energy and NASA to the development of space nuclear power sources.

"As far as I know, the U.S. never flew a spacecraft powered by polonium-210," Dr. Bennett told Secrecy News.

Dr. Bennett identified one documentary source that claimed otherwise, a history of isotope production at the Mound Laboratory in Ohio. It is consistent with the New York Times account, but he said it too was in error.

That Mound history described the use of polonium in an early radioisotope power supply called SNAP 3A:

"The first SNAP-3A, fueled with polonium-210, provided power to a satellite radio transmitter. The use of satellites powered by SNAP for global communication was first demonstrated under President Eisenhower in 1961, at which time the President's peace message was broadcast via a satellite containing a radio transmitter powered by the SNAP-3A RTG." See (at page 4):



<http://www.fas.org/nuke/space/mound.pdf>

But all other historical accounts agree that the first SNAP-3A was launched on June 29, 1961 (on the Transit 4A spacecraft), after President Eisenhower had left office, and it was fueled with plutonium-238, not polonium-210.

It is true that the SNAP-3A was originally designed with polonium fuel, because of Atomic Energy Commission restrictions on plutonium, according to a deeply researched official history of space nuclear power prepared for the Department of Energy.

A photograph of President Eisenhower in the Oval Office enthusiastically examining a polonium-fueled SNAP battery appeared on the front page of the Washington Evening Star on January 16, 1959. ("Nuclear critic Ralph Lapp complained that a highly lethal item had been placed on the President's desk.")

But "the AEC eventually relaxed its policy and agreed to provide the plutonium fuel and SNAP-3A, as a result, was converted from polonium-210 to plutonium-238," the official history stated (at page 23).

"Despite the president's enthusiasm [in January 1959], the first RTG [radioisotope thermoelectric generator] flight came two and a half years after the White House demonstration," the official DOE history states (page 18).

It was the plutonium-fueled version that was launched into space in June 1961, not the original polonium-fueled design.

See "Atomic Power in Space: A History," prepared for U.S. Department of Energy, March 1987 (188 pages, 8.5 MB):

<http://www.fas.org/nuke/space/history.pdf>

Polonium-fueled radioisotope power or heater units were used on spacecraft launched by the former Soviet Union on a number of occasions, Dr. Bennett noted.

#### JASON ON ENGINEERING MICROORGANISMS FOR ENERGY PRODUCTION

A recent report from the secretive JASON scientific advisory group considers the feasibility of using microorganisms to produce fuels as a metabolic product, such as hydrogen or ethanol.

"Microorganisms present a great opportunity for energy science," the JASON report to the Department of Energy said.

"Microorganisms are simpler than plants; they have smaller genomes and proteomes, and are easier to manipulate and culture. The enormous biodiversity of microorganisms presents a broad palette of starting points for engineering. Microorganisms already make many metabolic products, some of which are useful fuels."

"Boosting the efficiency of fuel formation from microorganisms is an important research challenge for the twenty first century."

The JASONS do not publish even their unclassified reports in an orderly or consistent fashion. A copy of the new report was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "Engineering Microorganisms for Energy Production," JSR-05-300, June 23, 2006 (92 pages, 1.1 MB):

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/dod/jason/micro.pdf>

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul)

with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sgp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:  
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sgp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sgp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## Re: Military Ranks - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 18:52:26 -0000  
Fwd Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 06:53:02 -0500  
Subject: Re: Military Ranks - Dickenson

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 10:02:12 EST  
>Subject: Re: Military Ranks

<snip>

>Well, if this hasn't confused the issue, I don't know what  
>would.

<snip>

Hi Kevin,

Way to go there - some military tend to use names of rank rather than 'exact' rank, and a bit differently than civilians.

Say you're in an independent Company (infantry) or Squadron (cavalry), you'd probably refer to your own Platoon or Troop Officer, who might be a 1st or 2nd Lieutenant, or a Captain (or even, rarely, a Major), as the OC - your Officer Commanding; yet the Major in charge of the whole shebang - of the entire independent Company/Squadron - might also be called the OC, or the CO. So most folk in that unit would call their top-boss "The Major", even if there were a couple more Majors floating around.

So it is in a Battalion or a (some/special) Regiments), where the boss could be called the CO by formalists. S/he most often gets referred to as "The Colonel" - whether Lt. Colonel or full. Doesn't anyone recall those Bilko episodes?

Actually this reminds me of a NATO pal who used to receive official mail marked "Commanding Officer, Danish Forces, Italy" - when he was a Sergeant. I think he was the only Danish serviceman (officially in post) in Italy. Those Danes do have a sense of humour.

Cheers

Ray D

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## Re: Military Ranks - Hall

From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 19:49:27 +0000  
Fwd Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 06:55:41 -0500  
Subject: Re: Military Ranks - Hall

>From: Kevin Randle <[KRandle993.nul](mailto:KRandle993.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 10:02:12 EST  
>Subject: Re: Military Ranks

>>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 13:44:02 -0000  
>>Subject: Military Ranks [was: More Ufologist Video Clips Online]

<snip>

>>It might help non-military readers to know that a Lieutenant  
>>Colonel is a Colonel and not a Lieutenant.

>>I.e - the Lieutenant Colonel, as commanding officer of a  
>>Battalion or even a Regiment, might be the only Colonel some  
>>soldiers ever meet.

>>Maybe think of it like 1st Lieutenant and 2nd Lieutenant -  
>>they're both Lieutenants to other folk, no matter how they  
>>might argue rank between themselves.

>Good Morning Ray, List All -

>Well, if this hasn't confused the issue, I don't know what  
>would. So, let's reduce it to the military way of distinguishing  
>among the various ranks.

>Colonel, as claimed by Corso, is an O6, and the grade directly  
>below brigadier general, or O7. Corso, according to his records  
>was a lieutenant colonel or O5, which is the grade directly  
>below colonel. While addressed verbally as colonel, he is what  
>we sometimes call a "telephone colonel" meaning that he would  
>answer the telephone as "colonel" though in the modern Army, I  
>hear most of them answer it by saying, "lieutenant colonel."

<snip>

Kevin,

You might add the further distinction that a Lieutenant Colonel  
wears a silver maple leaf on his shoulders, while a Colonel  
wears a silver eagle (hence "bird colonel"). When I was in the  
Air Force we referred to Lieutenant Colonels as "light  
colonels."

Pfc/A3C Richard Hall  
AF 57192043

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## 'Rod' Struck By Soccer Ball In U.S

From: Chris Parr <[ChrsP875.nul?](mailto:ChrsP875.nul?)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 17:18:57 EST  
Fwd Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 07:00:17 -0500  
Subject: 'Rod' Struck By Soccer Ball In U.S

UpDates Listers,

I have discovered this piece of footage on Youtube that may be the first recorded incident of a 'Rod' making physical contact with a terrestrial flying object, which happens to be a soccer ball or football.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3DhWfA-AsveiA>

This incident is captured on video, during a soccer game in inside a football stadium in the United States. As the left side midfielder (or offensive wide wing distributor ball supply operator - U.S terminology) attempts to float a cross into the penalty box, (delivers a tactical aerial bombardment play into the goal zone U.S) the ball makes contact with the alleged Rod and makes a significant change in direction.

Soccer, or Association Football in its true terminology, had experienced a similar event during the 1986 World Cup which was held in Mexico.

Diego Maradona, arguably the greatest ever footballer, scored a controversial goal as the ball changed direction in mid-air and gave Argentina a tempestuous victory over England, which occurred shortly after the events of the Falklands war.

Maradona had claimed that the controversial goal was the work of the "Hand of God".

However, could this legendary Footballing genius have misinterpreted this infamous paranormal intervention in the Mexican skies as the "Hand of the Rod"? The third Fatima prophecy also could be interpreted to this famous sporting incident.

The west London based Chelsea Football club, owned by the Russian multi-billionaire Roman Abramovich, are currently five points behind Manchester United FC in the English Premier Football League and Mr Abramovich is desperate to achieve this honour for a third year in a row. Despite wasting =A331 million on the services of a fellow Russian footballer whose best playing days are well behind him, Abramovich is still willing to incur any financial burden to ensure that his Chelsea FC remain the champions of the English Football league.

The Ufological community must approach multi-billionaire Roman Abramovich in an attempt to obtain the much needed funding to carry out scientific research into the UFO and Rods phenomena. This potential funding would benefit the Ufological quest and Ufology could supply Abramovich and his Chelsea Soccer team with the scientific information on these UFO Rods in order to manipulate the direction of the football and this exchange would deprive Manchester United FC of the English Premier League

Hopefully, Jose Escamillia may act as a go-between ufological agent with Chelsea FC and also provide Abramovich with 'UFO Rod' science. Arsenal and Liverpool Football clubs, in fact most of the multi-billion pound Football industry, would delight in the fact that Manchester United Football club would fail in their

attempt to win this coveted title.

UFO and Rod research sponsorship may well be achievable and these funds may create a proper scientific foundation for British UFO research. Potential funding could be distributed on an International Ufological scale and we may venture again into the dizzy heights of worldwide ufological awareness that was created by the X-Files

Mr Abramovich could be the saviour of Global UFO research and his intervention would be valued throughout UFO studies. Support us... and we will support Chelsea FC

Chris Parr

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## Re: Greer & 'Flash Lights' - White

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 17:25:24 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 07:02:54 -0500  
Subject: Re: Greer & 'Flash Lights' - White

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 10:13:36 -0600  
>Subject: Greer & 'Flash Lights' [was: Ufologists Video Clips Online]

<snip>

>That said, are you aware of any reports from persons  
>who have paid exorbitantly for one of his 'Flash-light' affairs  
>who didn't get what they thought they paid for? I'd like to read  
>one.

>I know if it were me and I put-up that kind of bread, I'd be  
>very loudly non-plused. Is there any record of such, I wonder?

It absolutely floors me that if someone actually causes a UFO to signal back in response to a blinking flashlight, why anyone with a serious interest in UFOs isn't very interested, and interested in seeing if they or others can bring about the same result.

Would not a UFO response to a blinking flashlight be seen as a major breakthrough in ufology?

Even if people paid Dr. Greer an exorbitant price to try to replicate this phenomenon, and didn't get it to happen, must that mean that it never happened or never could happen?

I'm aware that Dr. Greer stands accused of such things as "stealing" witnesses discovered by other researchers for his presentation and book, failing to do adequate background checks on his witnesses, and offering advanced technology as a major benefit of disclosure.

Seems like a shame, if in fact even one UFO has really responded to a flashlight signal, that those other disputes are being seen as a reason to ignore UFO interactions by way of light signals.

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)





# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## For The Record: NICAP Site Funded

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 07:58:55 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 07:58:55 -0500  
Subject: For The Record: NICAP Site Funded

From: Francis Ridge <[nicap.nul](mailto:nicap.nul)>  
To: [current-encounters.nul](mailto:current-encounters.nul)  
Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 05:31:27 -0600  
Subject: Happy 9th Anniversary - NICAP Site Funded

On November 3, 2006, Steven Kaeser contacted me about the NICAP site. In an attempt to bring the site under the care of CUFOS and the Fund, and in order to begin to combine resources and archives as a scientific oriented site, FUFOR has volunteered to pay the site and domain fees each year.

This is great news. And as I mentioned to Steven at the time, this will insure that the site is operational. I also want to stress, however, that site fees paid is support, but the site work itself still needs to be funded by your donations. So you can be a part of the effort.

Happy 9th Anniversary, NICAP Site! And much more to come in 2007.

Our thanks to FUFOR for this great gesture, which is more than just financial in nature, but also in spirit.

Francis Ridge  
NICAP Site Coordinator

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 08:08:36 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 08:08:36 -0500  
Subject: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70

Source: Sam Willey's AlienSam.Com - Sunderland, UK

[http://www.alienationsam.com/historic\\_ufo/binbrook.html](http://www.alienationsam.com/historic_ufo/binbrook.html)

December 14, 2006

Incident At RAF Binbrook September 8th 1970

On September 8th 1970 at around 10pm a single Lightning Jet Fighter departed from RAF Binbrook this particular RAF base is located in North Lincolnshire near Grimsby. The ground staff were used to Lightning Fighters being scrambled in a hurry at any time day or night. RAF Binbrook was a front-line fighter station and its aircraft shared QRA (Quick Reaction Alert) duty with other east coast airfields to provide cover should an unknown aircraft appear on radar. The pilot of the Jet was Captain William Schaffner of the US Air Force who was on his second tour of duty as an exchange pilot with the Royal Air Force. Schaffner was a well experienced fighter pilot with combat experience in Vietnam he has been stationed at RAF Binbrook for some time and his wife was living on the base with him. The aircraft which was an XS894 Lightning F6 of 5 squadron, whose call-sign on the night in question was Foxtrot 94. The jet tumbled into the North Sea and disappeared leaving many in mystery.

Very early the next morning a recovery effort was made but no trace of Captain Schaffners plane could be seen. Over one month later the wreckage if the aircraft was found on the sea-bed by Royal Navy divers however there was no sign of Captain Schaffner.

The events which ultimately led right to the crash of the fighter jet starts at a radar station called Saxa Vord whose task was to spot unknown aircraft approaching the north sea, or the Iceland Gap. The cold war was at its height in 1970 and Russian aircraft made regular trips into the North Atlantic and along the British Coast to test the reaction of fighters. On the night of the crash a radar operator at Saxa Vord picked up the blip of an unknown aircraft over the North sea halfway between the Shetlands and the Alesund in Norway. The craft was monitored for several minutes at a speed of 630MPH at 37,000ft in altitude and on a south-westerly heading. Saxa Vord noted that the unknown was turning through 30 degrees to head south at this point it increased its speed to 900MPH and claimed to an altitude of 44,000ft.

Radar operators at Saxa Vord sent a scramble message to the ORA flight at the nearest NATO airfield which was RAF Leuchers located on the east coast of Scotland not to far from Dundee. At Leuchers two Lightning intercept aircraft who were prepared for such a message scrambled and within minutes were in the air and heading out over the North sea after checking the position of their tanker, a Victor K1A, the two fighters were guided north by Saxa Vord but it was then that radar operators on the Shetland Islands saw something on their radar screens which they

thought to be impossible. The unknown they had been tracking at speeds and altitudes consistent with modern Russian warplanes, turned through 180 degrees on a north heading and within a couple of seconds vanished off their screens. Later they predicted that for this to be possible the unknowns speed must have been at an astonishing speed of 17,400MPH. Within the hour, the mystery aircraft reappeared several times, approaching from the north and on each occasion the interceptors were sent north to check out the unknown aircraft showing up on radar and again the unknown turned around and vanished from radar screens.

At this point two F4 Phantoms from the US Air Force had been scrambled from an American base at Keflavik, Iceland. They had much more advanced radar than the British Lightning's however when they tried to get close enough to identify the mystery they found they were just as useless as the Lightning's. The alert has reached such an alarming level that the contact was being monitored at the Ballistic Missile Earling Warning System at Fylingdales. The information they were collection was then passed on to the North American Air Defence Command at Cheyenne Mountain and the US Detection and Tracking Centre in Colorado Springs. RAF staff at Fylingdales heard that the Strategic Air Command Headquarters at Omaha, Nebraska was ordering its B-52 bombers into the air. This order could have only come from the very highest level of command and what had started as a ordinary sighting of what was thought to be a Russian aircraft had now been passed on to the White House and President Nixon himself. At around 9:45pm a request was made from a high level within the North American Air Defence thought strike Command's at UK headquarters at High Wycombe, for RAF Binbrook to send Captain Schaffner to join the Lightning's to look for the mysterious craft.

The NATO forces were at full alert because of the mysterious object picked up by radar over the North sea. The object had at first been a normal Russian aircraft out to test the reaction of Allied air forces but the strange craft had began to behave in a way that left Radar operators lost for answers. At approximately 10:06pm Captain Schaffner took off from Binbrook's main runway and shot off into the night sky. At this point the mystery now involved five lightning aircraft, two phantoms, three tankers, the president of the United States being informed and a Shakleton being scrambled over the North sea. The mysterious craft was now flying parallel to the East Coast 90 miles each of Whitby at 530MPH and at an altitude of 6,100ft which was a most ideal course for an interception by a Binbrook Lightning. The following is an official transcript of the conversation between Captain Schaffner and the Radar station at Staxton Wold:

Schaffner: I have visual contact, repeat visual contact. Over.

Staxton: Can you identify aircraft type?

Schaffner: Negative, nothing recognisable, no clear outlines. There is ... bluish light. Hell that's bright ... very bright.

Staxton: Are your instruments functioning, 94? Check compass. Over.

Schaffner: Affirmative, GCI (ground control). I'm alongside it now, maybe 600ft off my ... Jeeze, that's bright, it hurts my eyes to look at it for more than a few seconds.

Staxton: How close are you now?

Schaffner: About 400ft, he's still in my three o' clock. Hey wait ... there's something else. It's like a large soccer ball. It's like it's made of glass.

Staxton: Is it part of the object or independant? Over.

Schaffner: It ... no, it's separate from the main body ... the conical shape ... it's at the back end, the sharp end of the shape. It's like bobbing up and down and going from side to side slowly. It maybe the power source. There's no sign of ballistics.

Staxton: Is there any sign of occupation? Over.

Schaffner: Negative, nothing.

Staxton: Can you assess the rate?

Schaffner: Contact in gentle descent. Am going with it...50...no about 70ft ... it's levelled out again.

Staxton: Is the ball object still with it? Over.

Schaffner: Affirmative. It's not actually connected ... maybe a magnetic attraction to the conical shape. There's a haze of light. Ye'ow ... it's within heat haze. Wait a second, it's turning... coming straight for me... am taking evasive action...a few...I can hardly...

Staxton: 94? Come in 94. Foxtrot 94, are you receiving? Over. Come in 94. Over.

At this point radio controllers at Staxton Wold had guided the Lightning Jet from Binbrook to the mysterious craft that had now been causing havoc for radar trackers and RAF Stations for nearly four hours. Just as contacted was lost with Captain Schaffner a radar operator who had been tracking the Jet and the object watched in utter astonishment as the two blips on the radar screen which represented the fighter and the unknown slowly merged together, decelerating rapidly from over 500MPH until they became completely stationary at an altitude of 6,000ft above the North sea around 140 miles away from Alnwick. Two and a half minutes later the single blip came to a halt and it started to move again, accelerating to 600MPH and climbed to 9,000ft heading south back toward Staxton. Shortly after these events the single blip separated back into two, one maintained its southerly heading at between 600 and 630MPG and descending slowly the other turned through 180 degrees to head north-westerly and vanished at a speed that was later calculated at around 20,400MPH. During all these events a Shackleton MR3, which had been on patrol duty off the Firth of Fourth, was ordered to hold station around Flamborough head. At this point Staxton Wold re-established contact with Captain Schaffner -

Schaffner: GCI ... are you receiving? Over.

Staxton: Affirmative 94. What is your condition? Over.

Schaffner: Not too good. I can't think what has happened... I feel kinda dizzy... I can see shooting stars.

Staxton: Can you see your instruments? Over.

Schaffner: Affirmative, but er...the compass is useless .

.. Staxton: Foxtrot 94, turn 043 degrees. Over.

Schaffner: Er ... all directional instruments are out, repeat useless. Over.

Staxton: Roger 94, execute turn right, estimate quarter turn. Over.

Schaffner: Turning now.

Staxton: Come further 94. That's good. Is your altimeter functioning? Over.

Schaffner: Affirmative GCI.

Staxton: Descend to 3,500ft. Over.

Schaffner: Roger GCI.

Staxton: What's your fuel state 94? Over.

Schaffner: About 30 per cent GCI.

Staxton: That's what we calculated. Can you tell us what happened 94? Over.

Schaffner: I don't know. It came in close ... I shut my eyes ... I figure I must've blacked out for a few seconds.

Staxton: OK 94. Standby.

At this stage the Shackleton arrived over Flamborough Head and

began circling before XS894 was vectored into the area by the Staxton controllers.

Schaffner: Can you bring me in GCI? Over.

Staxton: Er... Hold Station, 94. Over.

Several minutes past as Schaffner was left to circle the Flamborough area along with the Shackleton. In the meantime, Strike Command at Hight Wycombe had instructed Staxton Wold to request Schaffner to ditch his Lightning off Flamborough. Although the Captain had plenty of fuel to reach either Leconfield or his home base of Binbrook, it appears the reason for the decision to "ditch" was fear that the Lightning had somehow become contaminated during the mysterious events above the North Sea however a few weeks after the crash when the wreckage was recovered and examined there was no trace of any kind of contamination.

Staxton: Foxtrot 94. Can you ditch aircraft? Over.

Schaffner: She's handling fine. I can bring her in. Over.

Staxton: Negative 94. I repeat, can you ditch aircraft? Over.

Schaffner: Yeah ... I guess.

Staxton: Standby 94. Over. Oscar 77. Over.

Shackleton: 77. Over.

Staxton: 94 is ditching. Can you maintain wide circuit. Over.

Shackleton: Affirmative GCI. Over.

Staxton: Thanks 77. Standby 94, execute ditching procedure at your discretion. Over.

Schaffner: Descending now, GCI. Over.

Between six and seven minutes then elapsed.

Shackleton: He's down, GCI. Hell of a splash ... he's down in one piece though. Over.

Staxton: Can you see the pilot yet? Over.

Shackleton: Negative. We're going round again, pulling a tight one.

Two minutes later.

Shackleton: The canopy's up ... she's floating OK ... can't see the pilot. We need a chopper out here, GCI. No, no sign of the pilot. Where the hell...

Staxton: You sure he's not in the water, 77. Check your SARBE receptions. Over. (NOTE: SARBE was the Search and Rescue Beacon Equipment carried by all RAF aircrew.)

Shackleton: No SARBE yet. No flares either. Hang on. We're going round again.

Another two minutes elapsed.

Shackleton: GCI. Over.

Staxton: Receiving you 77. Over.

Shackleton: This is odd, GCI. She's sinking fast but ... the canopy's closed up again. Over.

Staxton: Can you confirm pilot clear of aircraft? Over.

Shackleton: He's not in it, we can confirm that. He must be in the water somewhere.

Staxton: Any distress signals or flares yet? Over.

Shackleton: Negative GCI. Going round again. Over.

Ninety seconds later the crew of the Shackleton were back in contact with Staxton Wold.

Shackleton: She's sunk GCI. There's a slight wake where she was. Still no sign of the pilot. I say again GCI, we need a chopper here fast. Over.

Staxton: A Whirlwind's on its way from Leconfield. Are you positive you saw no sign of the pilot?. Over.

Shackleton: Nothing GCI. The first pass we assumed he was unstrapping. He must have got out as we went round for a second pass ... but why shut the canopy? Over.

Staxton: That's what we were thinking. Maintain patrol 77, he must be there somewhere. Over.

Shackleton: Roger GCI. Over.

Shortly after the search and rescue Whirlwind from nearby Loconfield arrived on the scene and began a systematic search of the crash site the aircraft was then joined by the lifeboats from Bridlington, Flamborough and Filey as the weather began to deteriorate. The search continued well into the next day but there was no transmissions from the beacons carried by the pilot on board the aircraft the official reports of this incident say no distress flares were seen. On October 7th divers from HMS Keddleston had examined the wreckage and said that Captain Schaffners body was still in the cockpit but the biggest mystery is that when the aircraft was brought to the surface and returned to Binbrook there was no trace of the Captain just an empty cockpit. When the wreck was finally lifted from the sea five miles away from Flamborough Head it was taken secretly directly to RAF Binbrook.

Strangely enough many of the cockpits instruments were missing these included B2B compass, voltmeter, stand-by direction indicator, stand-by invertors indicator and the complete auxiliary warning panel from the starboard side of the cockpit below the voltmeter. This was a massive breach of regulations and thought the Ministry of Defence crash investigation team was promised the instruments would be returned they never were. The ejector seat also seemed to be wrong and there was suspicion later among the investigators that it was not the one fitted to the aircraft when it took off from Binbrook on its final flight. They were even given assurance by the commanding officer of the 5 squadron that the seat had not been tempered with but some of the investigators were not at all convinced.

After the investigators job was over they were told not to discuss anything of the event with there families because of national security.

Something did indeed happen that night in September of 1970 and still remains a mystery to this very day.

Written and Researched by Sam Willey  
[sam.nul](mailto:sam.nul)

Source and References - UFOcasebook

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://uforeview.net/>]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**





# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## Gorbachev And Reagan

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 09:08:04 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 09:08:04 -0500  
Subject: Gorbachev And Reagan

Source: Luca Scantamburlo's Angeli Smarriti site  
Mogliano Veneto, Italy

<http://tinyurl.com/y3usqx>

21 November 2006

My question, put to Gorbachev during the press coference that took place June 23, 2006 on a Venetian island was deepened

Gorbachev And Reagan: A Military Alliance Against An Hypothetical Alien Attack

The former Soviet Premier, interviewedby an italian television host, provides further details at the Geneva Summit

by  
Luca Scantamburlo

Last June 23, during the press conference on the first day of the international seminar entitled Media between Citizens and Power on San Servolo Island in a Venetian Lagoon, in the Congress Centre of the Venice Province, the ex Soviet premier Michail Sergeevich Gorbachev answered to some tens of national and local press correspondents. The international seminar (from 23 until 24 June 2006) was supported by Venice Province and by the World Political Forum founded by Gorbachev.

San Servolo Island (Venice, Italy, June 2006)

On that occasion I was the correspondent for the Gruppo Editoriale Olimpia, Italian publisher of the magazines Tecnologia & Difesa and UFO Notiziario, and I was able to ask leave to speak of the press conference.

My question put to the great Russian politician was unusual for a statesman: it concerned some public declarations by Ronald Reagan about the possibility of "an alien threat from outside this world" to the Earth coming from "another planet", eventuality that would help all the men to recognize the common bond which "unites all the members of the humanity" (speeches in front of a high school in Maryland on December 4, 1985, and in front of the General Assembly of the United Nations during the Forty-second Session on September 21, 1987).

During my interview ex-Premier Gorbachev interrupted me and, referring to Reagan, said: "Among other things he once talked about it with me as well"; so straight away I replied: "In Geneva, in 1985", without denial from Gorbachev to whom I finally asked a comment about the baffling declarations released last year (September 2005) at the University of Toronto by Paul Hellyer, ex-Canadian Minister of Defense (see the article by Maurizio Molinari for the Italian newspaper La Stampa, November 26, 2005, page 10). Hellyer talked about the possibility of an

imminent "intergalactic war" because of that the United States of America would get ready by secret.

Although Gorbachev's answer was: "We are in a range of hypotheses" and he concentrated on "the more serious" cometary and asteroidal threat of the so-called NEOs (against which we could use a "reduced armament of nuclear defense", kept only for that), his recent confirmation on a particular moment of the discussion with Reagan is remarkable.

But why did Reagan talk to Gorbachev in private about an alien threat from other species? We were still waiting for a precise answer and, some weeks ago, we unexpectedly had some further details on the Geneva Summit of November 1985.

On 29 October Fabio Fazio, an Italian presenter of RAI TRE (one of the State channels in Italy), hosted Gorbachev during his evening show.

The interview of the famous statesman lasted half an hour: at the end, with a bit of embarrassment, Fabio Fazio asked him about the discussions had with Reagan during the 1980s, with reference to UFOs. The Italian presenter explained to Gorbachev that someone (perhaps some spectator at home, I suppose) had written to him asking about it.

[Regarding my question already put to the former Soviet premier on the same subject, Gorbachev had, once again, the opportunity to answer with more quiet; and moreover the question was less specific than mine. Therefore more manageable.]

So the ex-Soviet Premier recalled that moment with seriousness:

Channel RAI TRE, show Che tempo che fa, 10-29-2006 ; simultaneous translation from Russian into Italian by a female voice over; the following transcription is my translation into English:

Gorbachev: "It was the first meeting and our conversations were very difficult. Particularly that conversation began from the fact he named me 'a very stubborn Bolshevik' and I defined him with 'dinosaur'.

Fazio [smiling] : "Kind. Nice.."

[Laughter and applause from the audience].

Gorbachev: "But in a couple of days we went a long way. So much so we got a conclusive document where it was written that we, the Presidents, believed nuclear warfare was not acceptable because they would have not been winners. "

Fazio: "The end of Cold War, practically."

Gorbachev: "Almost. No! It still needs a little time. As regards the dialogue, at that time it was a dialogue very difficult because absolutely we could not meet us halfway. And I remember - during a walk in the villa garden where we met - President Reagan stopped and said, 'But, listen President Gorbachev. If now, from the Space, we were attacked, would we put ourselves together? Would we join?' I answered 'I do not know what you think about but I propose to put ourselves together, to join forces...'"

[Applause from the audience]

The recent Gorbachev recollection is really meaningful and confirms what Zecharia Sitchin, the well-known Russian historian who lives in New York, wrote on his essay Genesis Revisited (1991), chapter 13: "It is likely that the new era of understanding, trust and co-operation was born also because there was an alien threat to all the nations of the Earth."

And maybe it does still exist.

Luca Scantamburlo,  
21 November 2006

[Thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## Re: Greer & 'Flash Lights' - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 12:24:10 -0000  
Fwd Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 09:11:24 -0500  
Subject: Re: Greer & 'Flash Lights' - Dickenson

>From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 14 Dec 2006 17:25:24 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Greer & 'Flash Lights'

<snip>

>Seems like a shame, if in fact even one UFO has really responded  
>to a flashlight signal, that those other disputes are being seen  
>as a reason to ignore UFO interactions by way of light signals.

Hi Eleanor,

Apocryphally, from general reading of reports, there have been very quick responses to flashlight signalling - with an important difference in South America.

Most reports - from Europe, etc. - indicate 'curiosity' while the South American ones seem to show 'aggression' or at least a dangerous response - like the return of a light beam that's sometimes reported as 'a physical blow' and later producing radiation sickness.

As in many other respects, the Latin American phenomena seem to be of a different order.

Cheers

Ray D

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## Re: Greer & 'Flash Lights' - Kimball

From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 09:03:32 EST  
Fwd Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 09:14:48 -0500  
Subject: Re: Greer & 'Flash Lights' - Kimball

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2006 10:13:36 -0600  
>Subject: Greer & 'Flash Lights' [was: Ufologists Video Clips Online]

><snip>

>That said, are you aware of any reports from persons  
>who have paid exorbitantly for one of his 'Flash-light' affairs  
>who didn't get what they thought they paid for? I'd like to read  
>one.

Mr. Lehmborg:

Not that I'm aware of, but that doesn't surprise me. First of all, I would expect that the people who sign up for the course are pre-disposed to buy what Dr. Greer is selling. These types are unlikely to be disappointed, and can be easily fooled (launch a few ballons in the air, a la Yahweh, and bingo - alien craft!).

As Dr. Greer and his group carefully screen the people who apply, I'm guessing they're pretty good at weeding out those who may be less inclined to partake of the kool-aid.

Finally, they make sure that everyone signs a confidentiality agreement, which reads, in part, "By my signature affixed below, I agree to hold all proceedings of this CSET Ambassadors to the Universe Training Retreat confidential and agree that it shall not be shared publicly without the prior express written consent of CSETI." (Note: considering the use Dr. Greer has made of things without express prior written consent, this last bit is quite amusing).

You can read it all at:

<http://www.cseti.org/programs/Trainings2007.htm>

All for the low, low price of USD \$800.

As I said, given all of the above, I wouldn't be the least bit surprised if someone hasn't complained, especially when you throw in one final factor - people hate to admit that they've been bilked or hornswaggled, especially when they would have to tell people that they paid \$800 to beam some flashlights into the night sky. Never underestimate the influence pure embarrassment can have on a person who has been duped.

Best regards,

Paul Kimball  
[www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com](http://www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## Professor Probes Ball Lightning Phenomenon

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 09:36:35 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 09:36:35 -0500  
Subject: Professor Probes Ball Lightning Phenomenon

Source: Currents - Texas State University, San Marcos, USA

<http://talbot.mrp.txstate.edu/currents/fullstory.jsp?sid=935>

Date: 2006-12-08

Texas State Professor Probes Ball Lightning Phenomenon

By Maria R. Gonzalez  
Currents Online Staff Writer  
Friday, November 10, 2006

Texas State University-San Marcos engineering professor Karl Stephan conducted an experiment designed to help decipher the enigmatic nature of ball lightning, research that yielded a publication in the journal Physical Review E.

Stephan's interest in crafting this experiment sparked when he read about researchers in Tel Aviv University who were able to create objects with the same characteristics as ball lightning. He worked in conjunction with University of Texas at Austin professor John A. Pearce, who also directs the Process Energetics Laboratory at UT's Pickle Research Campus.

Ball lightning differs from ordinary lightning in that it takes the form of a glowing ball that ranges in size from a softball to a beach ball and it lasts several seconds or more. This phenomenon of nature is often sighted with thunderstorms and has the ability to hover, float down chimneys, pass through closed windows and either disappear in silence or in a sudden explosion.

Stephan found that he could produce the "fireballs" by touching two tungsten welding rods together and drawing them apart with microwave power applied to the system. When the rods are moved apart, the effect produces a fireball that persists as long as the microwave power is applied and disappears when the energy source is shut off. The importance of this experiment is that it can explore the conditions needed for a ball-lightning object to exist in the air, thus contributing to the understanding of this mysterious phenomenon.

The paper describing Stephan's research was published in the November 2006 issue of Physical Review E and is posted on the American Physical Society's website at <http://link.aps.org/abstract/PRE/v74/e055401>. Two movies depicting the fireballs can be accessed by clicking on the note in the paper's Reference 11 and following instructions. For additional information, contact Karl D. Stephan of the Department of Engineering and Technology at Texas State University-San Marcos at (512) 245-3060.

[Thanks to 'The Norm' for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Pope

From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 13:26:45 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 13:26:45 -0500  
Subject: Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Pope

>[http://www.alienationsam.com/historic\\_ufo/binbrook.html](http://www.alienationsam.com/historic_ufo/binbrook.html)

>December 14, 2006

>Incident At RAF Binbrook September 8th 1970

>On September 8th 1970 at around 10pm a single Lightning Jet  
>Fighter departed from RAF Binbrook this particular RAF base is  
>located in North Lincolnshire near Grimsby. The ground staff  
>were used to Lightning Fighters being scrambled in a hurry at  
>any time day or night. RAF Binbrook was a front-line fighter  
>station and its aircraft shared QRA (Quick Reaction Alert) duty  
>with other east coast airfields to provide cover should an  
>unknown aircraft appear on radar. The pilot of the Jet was  
>Captain William Schaffner of the US Air Force who was on his  
>second tour of duty as an exchange pilot with the Royal Air  
>Force. Schaffner was a well experienced fighter pilot with  
>combat experience in Vietnam he has been stationed at RAF  
>Binbrook for some time and his wife was living on the base with  
>him. The aircraft which was an XS894 Lightning F6 of 5 squadron,  
>whose call-sign on the night in question was Foxtrot 94. The jet  
>tumbled into the North Sea and disappeared leaving many in  
>mystery.

<snip>

>Something did indeed happen that night in September of 1970 and  
>still remains a mystery to this very day.

No it doesn't.

I was first asked about this in 1992, when a ufologist contacted me at the MOD and alleged that Captain Schaffner's aircraft had crashed following an encounter with a UFO. I pulled the file on the crash. The aircraft had crashed during a tactical evaluation exercise. Schaffner's aircraft was vectored onto a Shackleton, with a view to practising low speed shadowing and shepherding. During the course of this difficult task, Schaffner flew his aircraft into the sea. There was no hint of any UFO connection.

In 2002 I was approached by the BBC, who were making a documentary about the accident, with Schaffner's sons. I liaised with staff in the MOD Press Office and we obtained the Accident Card and the original file on the crash, which were passed to the BBC.

I subsequently met Schaffner's sons and talked them through the circumstances of the accident. They had been distressed by the rumours surrounding the death of their father and were grateful to the MOD for their openness in helping answer their questions concerning his tragic death.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## Re: Professor Probes Ball Lightning Phenomenon -

From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 10:04:15 -0800  
Fwd Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 13:51:40 -0500  
Subject: Re: Professor Probes Ball Lightning Phenomenon -

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 09:36:35 -0500  
>Subject: Professor Probes Ball Lightning Phenomenon

>Source: Currents - Texas State University, San Marcos, USA  
><http://talbot.mrp.txstate.edu/currents/fullstory.jsp?sid=935>  
>Date: 2006-12-08

>Texas State Professor Probes Ball Lightning Phenomenon  
>By Maria R. Gonzalez  
>Currents Online Staff Writer  
>Friday, November 10, 2006

>Texas State University-San Marcos engineering professor Karl  
>Stephan conducted an experiment designed to help decipher the  
>enigmatic nature of ball lightning, research that yielded a  
>publication in the journal Physical Review E.

>Ball lightning differs from ordinary lightning in that it takes  
>the form of a glowing ball that ranges in size from a softball  
>to a beach ball and it lasts several seconds or more. This  
>phenomenon of nature is often sighted with thunderstorms and has  
>the ability to hover, float down chimneys, pass through closed  
>windows and either disappear in silence or in a sudden  
>explosion.

>Stephan found that he could produce the "fireballs" by touching  
>two tungsten welding rods together and drawing them apart with  
>microwave power applied to the system. When the rods are moved  
>apart, the effect produces a fireball that persists as long as  
>the microwave power is applied and disappears when the energy  
>source is shut off. The importance of this experiment is that  
>it can explore the conditions needed for a ball-lightning object  
>to exist in the air, thus contributing to the understanding of  
>this mysterious phenomenon.

OK, so maybe the atmospheric equivalent of "tungsten welding  
rods" is an ionized current path, such as from ordinary  
lightning. But where does the microwave power source come from?  
Further ball lightning is reported on the ground by witnesses,  
far removed from thunderclouds. Where's the "tungsten welding  
rod" equivalents and microwave power source here?

Like many theories of ball lightning, this one strikes me as a  
few watts short of a kilowatt.

(As I recall, the Air Force trotted out one of their scientists  
in 1952 with a similar "ball lightning" demonstration as part of  
their debunkery of the flying saucers following the famous  
Washington D.C radar/visual sightings.)

David Rudiak

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 15](#)

## Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Shough

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 18:32:54 -0000  
Fwd Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 13:58:05 -0500  
Subject: Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Shough

>[http://www.alienationsam.com/historic\\_ufo/binbrook.html](http://www.alienationsam.com/historic_ufo/binbrook.html)

>December 14, 2006

>Incident At RAF Binbrook September 8th 1970

>On September 8th 1970 at around 10pm a single Lightning Jet  
>Fighter departed from RAF Binbrook this particular RAF base is  
>located in North Lincolnshire near Grimsby. The ground staff  
>were used to Lightning Fighters being scrambled in a hurry at  
>any time day or night. RAF Binbrook was a front-line fighter  
>station and its aircraft shared QRA (Quick Reaction Alert) duty  
>with other east coast airfields to provide cover should an  
>unknown aircraft appear on radar. The pilot of the Jet was  
>Captain William Schaffner of the US Air Force who was on his  
>second tour of duty as an exchange pilot with the Royal Air  
>Force. Schaffner was a well experienced fighter pilot with  
>combat experience in Vietnam he has been stationed at RAF  
>Binbrook for some time and his wife was living on the base with  
>him. The aircraft which was an XS894 Lightning F6 of 5 squadron,  
>whose call-sign on the night in question was Foxtrot 94. The  
>jet tumbled into the North Sea and disappeared leaving many in  
>mystery.

>Very early the next morning a recovery effort was made but no  
>trace of Captain Schaffners plane could be seen. Over one month  
>later the wreckage if the aircraft was found on the sea-bed by  
>Royal Navy divers however there was no sign of Captain  
>Schaffner.

>The events which ultimately led right to the crash of the  
>fighter jet starts at a radar station called Saxa Vord whose  
>task was to spot unknown aircraft approaching the north sea, or  
>the Iceland Gap. The cold war was at its height in 1970 and  
>Russian aircraft made regular trips into the North Atlantic and  
>along the British Coast to test the reaction of fighters. On the  
>night of the crash a radar operator at Saxa Vord picked up the  
>blip of an unknown aircraft over the North sea halfway between  
>the Shetlands and the Alesund in Norway. The craft was monitored  
>for several minutes at a speed of 630MPH at 37,000ft in altitude  
>and on a south-westerly heading. Saxa Vord noted that the  
>unknown was turning through 30 degrees to head south at this  
>point it increased its speed to 900MPH and claimed to an  
>altitude of 44,000ft.

<snip>

List

This all sounds very exciting, but bear in mind that no UFO aspect to Schaffner's crash appears to have existed until a newspaper article was written based on the memory of an anonymous informant 22 years later in 1992. The journalist said he didn't believe this source himself, but in the absence of official information it made a good story. Ufologist Tony Dodds added more colour to the tale with rumours from another anonymous source.

But in 2002 the MoD released information to the BBC including

the official inquiry report and the R/T transcript of Schaffner's final minutes, which shows that Schaffner was not intercepting a UFO but was preparing, along with his wingman, to escort a low-flying RAF Shackleton with radio problems and flew into the sea in bad conditions. The 17,000 mph radar UFOs exist entirely in the alleged memories of anonymous sources decades later, and whether these stories have any genuine foundation or not there is no real evidence that links them with the Schaffner incident. The alleged Schaffner radio talk appears to be entirely made up. The official transcript is on the BBC website at:

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/insideout/yorkslincs/series1/alien-abduction.shtml>

along with some rather bitter comments from the late CaptSchaffner's son on the overactive imaginations of certain sensationalising ufologists.

Dave Clark's article at:

<http://www.uk-ufo.org/condign/secfilcapshaff.htm>

is essential reading. Hopefully this one will not come back to haunt us yet again!

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Schmitt Says Doleman Out

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 18:57:01 +0000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 08:15:26 -0500  
Subject: Schmitt Says Doleman Out

Hey, Ed, and List:

According to Don Schmitt there will be another dig at the Foster Ranch, but Dr. Doleman will not be part of the effort. Doleman ruined his chances by claiming the "v" gorge in the soil was a "coyote hole." Schmitt claims "it was a ridiculous solution."

Schmitt further states that "dig is on when we're ready."

Also, he just sent off a new manuscript to his publisher.

So, if you want to be part of the up coming dig, be sure to e-mail him and tell him you want to be part of it. Hurry, last chance to have your say in the interpretive archaeology of this site.

Be there, or be square!

KK

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Habitable Planet System In Taurus?

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 18:49:39 +0000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 08:18:17 -0500  
Subject: Habitable Planet System In Taurus?

[http://www.lsst.org/News/site\\_selection.shtml](http://www.lsst.org/News/site_selection.shtml)

Website in both English and Spanish.  
Describes new LSST in Chile.

Our speaker this past Tuesday at the Sonoran Astronomical Society was Peter Wehinger, U of Arizona - Optical Lab - and Kitt Peak. He had made a visit to Chile to check out the Las Campanas site for the new LSST.

As part of the test, one of the dual-mirrors was used for a first image test.

They sighted on Beta Pictorus because astronomers think that this may be a twin solar system - same size sun with planets spaced at various distances from that sun. A very close replica to our system. So, when meeting with the "space brothers", please make sure they claim to be from Beta Pictorus (Taurus).

KK

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 13:55:00 EST  
Fwd Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 08:20:35 -0500  
Subject: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

Listers:

The latest video clip, wherein Mac Tonnies - The Posthuman Blues, After The Martian Apocalypse - discusses the cryptoterrestrial hypothesis as an explanation for the UFO phenomenon:

<http://tinyurl.com/y8wgwb>

Mac is currently working on a book, due for release in 2007, on the CTH.

Best regards,

Paul Kimball  
[www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com](http://www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 14:57:56 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 08:25:00 -0500  
Subject: Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Ledger

>From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 15:38:09 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70

>>[http://www.aliensam.com/historic\\_ufo/binbrook.html](http://www.aliensam.com/historic_ufo/binbrook.html)

>>December 14, 2006

>>Incident At RAF Binbrook September 8th 1970

>>On September 8th 1970 at around 10pm a single Lightning Jet  
>>Fighter departed from RAF Binbrook this particular RAF base is  
>>located in North Lincolnshire near Grimsby. The ground staff  
>>were used to Lightning Fighters being scrambled in a hurry at  
>>any time day or night. RAF Binbrook was a front-line fighter  
>>station and its aircraft shared QRA (Quick Reaction Alert) duty  
>>with other east coast airfields to provide cover should an  
>>unknown aircraft appear on radar. The pilot of the Jet was  
>>Captain William Schaffner of the US Air Force who was on his  
>>second tour of duty as an exchange pilot with the Royal Air  
>>Force. Schaffner was a well experienced fighter pilot with  
>>combat experience in Vietnam he has been stationed at RAF  
>>Binbrook for some time and his wife was living on the base with  
>>him. The aircraft which was an XS894 Lightning F6 of 5 squadron,  
>>whose call-sign on the night in question was Foxtrot 94. The jet  
>>tumbled into the North Sea and disappeared leaving many in  
>>mystery.

><snip>

>>Something did indeed happen that night in September of 1970 and  
>>still remains a mystery to this very day.

>No it doesn't.

>I was first asked about this in 1992, when a ufologist contacted  
>me at the MOD and alleged that Captain Schaffner's aircraft had  
>crashed following an encounter with a UFO. I pulled the file on  
>the crash. The aircraft had crashed during a tactical evaluation  
>exercise. Schaffner's aircraft was vectored onto a Shackleton,  
>with a view to practising low speed shadowing and shepherding.  
>During the course of this difficult task, Schaffner flew his  
>aircraft into the sea. There was no hint of any UFO connection.

>In 2002 I was approached by the BBC, who were making a  
>documentary about the accident, with Schaffner's sons. I liaised  
>with staff in the MOD Press Office and we obtained the Accident  
>Card and the original file on the crash, which were passed to  
>the BBC.

>I subsequently met Schaffner's sons and talked them through the  
>circumstances of the accident. They had been distressed by the  
>rumours surrounding the death of their father and were grateful  
>to the MOD for their openness in helping answer their questions  
>concerning his tragic death.

Where did the transcript of the pilot/GCI exchange come from

that accompanied the above mentioned incident At RAF Binbrook?

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Secrecy News -- 12/15/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 13:48:12 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 08:34:46 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 12/15/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 128  
December 15, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

"Special year-end opportunity to support Secrecy News!"  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

\*\* ARMY COUNTERINSURGENCY DOCTRINE CHARTS A NEW COURSE  
\*\* DSB REPORT WARNS OF UNCERTAINTY IN U.S. NUCLEAR CAPABILITIES

### ARMY COUNTERINSURGENCY DOCTRINE CHARTS A NEW COURSE

The U.S. Army has completed a long-awaited new manual presenting military doctrine on counterinsurgency. It is the first revision of counterinsurgency doctrine in twenty years.

In several respects, the new doctrine implicitly repudiates the Bush Administration's approach to the war in Iraq.

"Conducting a successful counterinsurgency campaign requires a flexible, adaptive force led by agile, well-informed, culturally astute leaders," the foreword states.

The new manual emphasizes the importance of planning for post-conflict stabilization, and it stresses the limited utility of conventional military operations.

"The military forces that successfully defeat insurgencies are usually those able to overcome their institutional inclination to wage conventional war against insurgents."

A copy of the new 282 page unclassified manual was obtained by Secrecy News.

See "Counterinsurgency," U.S. Army Field Manual 3-24, December 15, 2006 (12.9 MB PDF):

<http://www.fas.org/irp/doddir/army/fm3-24.pdf>

### DSB REPORT WARNS OF UNCERTAINTY IN U.S. NUCLEAR CAPABILITIES

There is an urgent need to reach consensus on how to configure the future U.S. nuclear weapons stockpile, says a new report of the Defense Science Board (DSB).

"We are already late in addressing [stockpile] needs and the current pace of progress in defining, approving, and implementing the needed capabilities is not encouraging."

The sources of the present urgency, the DSB report says, are several:

"We are behind on weapons surveillance, which is essential to continuing confidence in the reliability, safety, and security of weapons."

"We are behind on dismantling unneeded weapons which adds to the security and safety concerns and burdens."

"We have an inadequately defined and funded capability for replacement, over time, of aging weapons in the stockpile."

In short, according to the DSB, "The current nuclear organization, management and programs do not provide for a nuclear weapons enterprise capable of meeting the nation's minimum needs."

The DSB proposes a series of recommendations that it says would help sustain the nuclear stockpile, transform the weapons production complex, and instigate needed organizational changes.

See Report of the Defense Science Board Task Force on "Nuclear Capabilities," unclassified Report Summary, December 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/dod/dsb/nuclear.pdf>

An analysis of the new report by Hans Kristensen of FAS may be found on the Strategic Security blog here:

[http://www.fas.org/blog/ssp/2006/12/us\\_nuclear\\_posture\\_at\\_a\\_crossr.php](http://www.fas.org/blog/ssp/2006/12/us_nuclear_posture_at_a_crossr.php)

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sgp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:  
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sgp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sgp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 15:35:27 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 08:37:27 -0500  
Subject: Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Ledger

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 18:32:54 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70

>>[http://www.alienationsam.com/historic\\_ufo/binbrook.html](http://www.alienationsam.com/historic_ufo/binbrook.html)

>>December 14, 2006

>>Incident At RAF Binbrook September 8th 1970

>>On September 8th 1970 at around 10pm a single Lightning Jet  
>>Fighter departed from RAF Binbrook this particular RAF base  
>>is located in North Lincolnshire near Grimsby.

<snip>

>List

>This all sounds very exciting, but bear in mind that no UFO  
>aspect to Schaffner's crash appears to have existed until a  
>newspaper article was written based on the memory of an  
>anonymous informant 22 years later in 1992. The journalist  
>said he didn't believe this source himself, but in the absence  
>of official information it made a good story. Ufologist Tony  
>Dodds added more colour to the tale with rumours from another  
>anonymous source.

>But in 2002 the MoD released information to the BBC including  
>the official inquiry report and the R/T transcript of  
>Schaffner's final minutes, which shows that Schaffner was not  
>intercepting a UFO but was preparing, along with his wingman,  
>to escort a low-flying RAF Shackleton with radio problems and  
>flew into the sea in bad conditions. The 17,000 mph radar UFOs  
>exist entirely in the alleged memories of anonymous sources  
>decades later, and whether these stories have any genuine  
>foundation or not there is no real evidence that links them  
>with the Schaffner incident. The alleged Schaffner radio talk  
>appears to be entirely made up. The official transcript is on  
>the BBC website at:

><http://tinyurl.com/hou67>

>along with some rather bitter comments from the late  
>CaptSchaffner's son on the overactive imaginations of  
>certain sensationalising ufologists.

>Dave Clark's article at:

><http://www.uk-ufo.org/condign/secfilcapshaff.htm>

>is essential reading. Hopefully this one will not come back to  
>haunt us yet again!

>Martin Shough

Had this come through first, I would not have emailed Nick [thru  
UpDates] about the source of the transcript. Whoever hoaxed this  
did a good job with the jargon.

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 20:14:11 -0000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 08:39:39 -0500  
Subject: Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Dickenson

>From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 15:38:09 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70  
  
>>[http://www.aliensam.com/historic\\_ufo/binbrook.html](http://www.aliensam.com/historic_ufo/binbrook.html)

>>Incident At RAF Binbrook September 8th 1970

<snip>

>>Something did indeed happen that night in September of 1970 and  
>>still remains a mystery to this very day.

>No it doesn't.

So we're to take your statement as a fact?

A bit like the recent Diana enquiry `statement'.

From a previous post

[www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/sep/m06-017.shtml](http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/sep/m06-017.shtml)

you might note a distrust of 'records' of any kind, except those  
kept without any thought of publication - maybe like Samuel  
Pepys' Diary.

Cheers

Ray D

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: Professor Probes Ball Lightning Phenomenon -

From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 15:33:16 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 08:49:50 -0500  
Subject: Re: Professor Probes Ball Lightning Phenomenon -

>From: David Rudiak <[drudiak.nul](mailto:drudiak.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 10:04:15 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Professor Probes Ball Lightning Phenomenon

>>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>To: - UFO UpDates Subscribers - <[UFO-UpDates.nul](mailto:UFO-UpDates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 09:36:35 -0500  
>>Subject: Professor Probes Ball Lightning Phenomenon

>>Source: Currents - Texas State University, San Marcos, USA

>><http://talbot.mrp.txstate.edu/currents/fullstory.jsp?sid=935>

>>Date: 2006-12-08

>>Texas State Professor Probes Ball Lightning Phenomenon

>>By Maria R. Gonzalez  
>>Currents Online Staff Writer  
>>Friday, November 10, 2006

>>Texas State University-San Marcos engineering professor Karl  
>>Stephan conducted an experiment designed to help decipher the  
>>enigmatic nature of ball lightning, research that yielded a  
>>publication in the journal Physical Review E.

>>Ball lightning differs from ordinary lightning in that it takes  
>>the form of a glowing ball that ranges in size from a softball  
>>to a beach ball and it lasts several seconds or more. This  
>>phenomenon of nature is often sighted with thunderstorms and has  
>>the ability to hover, float down chimneys, pass through closed  
>>windows and either disappear in silence or in a sudden  
>>explosion.

>>Stephan found that he could produce the "fireballs" by touching  
>>two tungsten welding rods together and drawing them apart with  
>>microwave power applied to the system. When the rods are moved  
>>apart, the effect produces a fireball that persists as long as  
>>the microwave power is applied and disappears when the energy  
>>source is shut off. The importance of this experiment is that  
>>it can explore the conditions needed for a ball-lightning object  
>>to exist in the air, thus contributing to the understanding of  
>>this mysterious phenomenon.

>>OK, so maybe the atmospheric equivalent of "tungsten welding  
>>rods" is an ionized current path, such as from ordinary  
>>lightning. But where does the microwave power source come from?  
>>Further ball lightning is reported on the ground by witnesses,  
>>far removed from thunderclouds. Where's the "tungsten welding  
>>rod" equivalents and microwave power source here?

>>Like many theories of ball lightning, this one strikes me as a  
>>few watts short of a kilowatt.

>>(As I recall, the Air Force trotted out one of their scientists  
>>in 1952 with a similar "ball lightning" demonstration as part of  
>>their debunkery of the flying saucers following the famous  
>>Washington D.C radar/visual sightings.)

Hi David,

Whether it explains or relates to ball lightning or not, the videos are fascinating and well worth watching:

<http://netserver.aip.org/cgi-bin/epaps?ID=E-PLLEE8-74-R02612>

This phenomenon apparently does not require the presence of vaporized material (other than air) to form the plasma, which was not the case for another alleged ball lightning analogue reported recently.

I haven't downloaded the full article (\$23), so I don't know exactly what role the microwaves play (power source, confinement mechanism, etc.). The chamber is open on one side, so it apparently isn't a resonant cavity, but I will defer to the EE's on that. The plasma can be sustained indefinitely with 400 to 500 watts of power.

Mike

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## 'Ridicule Factor' Fading

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 08:54:58 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 08:54:58 -0500  
Subject: 'Ridicule Factor' Fading

Source: The Whitehorse Star - The Yukon, Canada

<http://www.whitehorsestar.com/auth.php?r=45385>

December 15, 2006

'Ridicule Factor' Fading, UFO Buff Says

By Chuck Tobin

Among the 26 people who attended a local UFO conference last Saturday night was a Wolf Creek resident who also saw two large orange balls floating over the area in February 2005.

A report of the sighting over the Mary Lake subdivision next door to Wolf Creek was filed with UFOBC by a couple who watched the unidentified flying objects together with the husband's parents.

It was later learned that others also witnessed the UFO event. They included a couple of building contractors who were in the subdivision looking at a house project with some children in their company.

It's reported the group actually took refuge under the house because the objects appeared so close there was a fear they would actually land on the roof.

The conference host was Martin Jasek, a former Yukon resident who helped found the Yukon UFO chapter in the 1990s. He said the Wolf Creek resident shared his story last weekend about seeing the orange objects the same night.

The numbers attending the conference were down from the 60 who showed up at the 2002 gathering and just a fraction of the 300-plus for the inaugural millennium event in 2000.

Jasek, however, remains insistent that openly discussing and questioning the unexplained remains as important as ever.

"I would like to see it accepted by the mainstream," Jasek reiterated in an interview Monday. "I think it is all of our responsibility... to ask officials questions.

"So the next time there is an election, and somebody is knocking on your door asking for your support, ask them what they think about the UFO issue," he said, matter-of-factly.

Jasek, a professional engineer who studies river flows in B.C., came to Whitehorse specifically to host the conference, which was to mark the 10th anniversary of what Jasek refers to as the giant UFO sighting. The event was witnessed by at least 31 Yukoners, from motorists travelling the highway near Fox Lake, to residents of Carmacks, Pelly Crossing and Mayo.

He said it was unfortunate the giant UFO anniversary of Dec. 11,

1996 doesn't fit well with the approaching holiday season and the ongoing office Christmas parties, which he suspects are part of the reason for the lower numbers.

Jasek and conference co-ordinator Cher Davidson of Whitehorse travelled to Pelly Crossing last Sunday for a meeting sponsored by the Selkirk First Nation and organized by Jean Van Bibber.

Van Bibber, a candidate in October's territorial election, was witness to the 1996 sighting, and was one of three attending the meeting.

Still, Jasek and Davidson are not deterred, and plan to host another conference in 2008 at a different time.

"I have always been interested in weird stuff but I really did not get involved until the millennium conference," said Davidson, who has witnessed more than one inexplicable event.

One of the events involved a golden, V-shaped object in the sky over the area at the south end of Lake Laberge, she said.

Like Jasek, Davidson believes there is a need to maintain open discussions about UFOs, to advance the subject as something that needs to be talked about openly.

"I think the ridicule factor is slowly diminishing, but there is still a lot of it out there," she said. "But we will take your calls seriously, and we will listen to them and offer such support that we are able to."

Jasek: "The more people talk about it, the more people will take it seriously."

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Exempt From Legal Recourse Behind The Scenes

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 08:59:51 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 08:59:51 -0500  
Subject: Exempt From Legal Recourse Behind The Scenes

Source: American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/yechbd>

December 15, 2006

Exempt From Legal Recourse: Behind The Scenes  
by  
Gary S. Bekkum

Recent communications between Starstream Research and Caryn Anscomb, our contributor in London, continue to paint a bizarre picture of behind-the-scenes jockeying for position by various players in the phenomenology game. At stake, a possible breach of national security and perhaps a few careers. Violations of trust involving confidential information have set the stage for a renewed effort towards disclosure. When high-ranking intelligence officials are involved, we pay close attention.

Based upon information provided by several sources, there may be a Federal investigation in play surrounding the loosely knit insider group known as the AVIARY. For those unfamiliar with AVIAN politics, it should be enough to know that certain individuals, presently or previously employed by the U.S. Government, have been passing-off UFO and ET-related material as real 'leaks' of super-secret activities. The latest leak has moved beyond the mere entertainment value of a real-life X-Files, raising concerns that genuine classified material had been covertly transmitted using bogus UFO material. If true, then real espionage has taken cover under the umbrella of paranormal 'giggle-factor' fiction.

The names of several very highly placed and respected government officials have been mentioned in passing, including Ruth David and John Gannon, both members of the DIA USAF TIGER committee. John Gannon has served as Deputy Director for Intelligence at CIA, Chairman of the National Intelligence Council, and Assistant Director of Central Intelligence for Analysis and Production. Other names passed to Starstream Research include John Barry Hennessey and Colonel Weaver of USAF. A meeting involving Hennessey and Weaver, and at least one CIA analyst now working under the Director of National Intelligence, and his associate, a former CIA senior analyst, appears to be close to the center of the controversy.

Previously Starstream Research was erroneously informed that details concerning the results of a polygraph examination discussed at this meeting were in error. Eventually we killed the original story when concerns were raised by a government officer.

In an email addressed to Starstream Research founder Gary S. Bekkum, the officer had requested removal of his name and position, as "These are irrelevant to your story and compromise personal and national security." Following redaction of the sensitive information, an associate of the officer raised

additional concerns about the content of the story, resulting in an editorial decision to remove the article.

New information has forced a re-evaluation of our original story. It appears that the eye-witness source that previously had denied Mr. Smith's allegations of what was discussed at the meeting had been unaware of the veracity of certain details, primarily regarding a contentious discussion about the results of a polygraph test administered to an 'AVIAN' source.

Our present assumption about the current situation is that we are observing counterintelligence activities on the periphery of the so-called UFO community.

According to a recent report on U.S. Government Policy, "U.S. Counterintelligence will shift from a reactive posture to a positive strategy of seizing advantage."

We have taken special note of the fact that the 'man behind the curtain' operating in plain sight has taken up a position with the DIA, closely tied to the Office of the Director of National Intelligence. The Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 created the Office of the DNI, and a National Counterintelligence Executive as part of an over-all attempt to coordinate the various intelligence agencies.

Is there any indication that the DNI would have an interest in UFO groups and their representatives in the private sector? The counterintelligence policy states, "Many (adversaries) employ collection techniques that extend beyond simple clandestine operations and include tasking visiting businessmen, scientists, foreign students, trade shows, and debriefing visitors upon their return home." The UFO community has no shortage of high-tech enthusiasts, including and not limited to scientists, businessmen, government officers and their foreign counterparts. It would appear that the UFO business is fertile ground for developing and cultivating potential sources.

How pervasive is the counterintelligence business in the UFO community?

"Since 1985, nearly 80 Americans have been arrested for crimes related to passing classified information to foreign governments... more than 90 countries target sensitive U.S. technologies ..."

The restructured U.S. counterintelligence policy includes preemptive actions:

"We will reach out to the private sector, especially those in the science and technology community, to increase awareness by providing threat information, and educating these audiences to the variety of ways our adversaries acquire and steal information."

The fundamental approach to counterintelligence is to first identify the foreign elements, then assess the risks to the national security. Once evaluated, the goal is to neutralize the intelligence effort of an adversary, and exploit the foreign intelligence elements to our advantage.

As a result of the new information, Starstream Research has made an editorial decision to re-release the original story, as originally written, with only the name and position of the officer redacted.

The original release is available at the Starstream Research website:

[www.starstreamresearch.com](http://www.starstreamresearch.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Pope

From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 13:45:05 -0000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 09:01:31 -0500  
Subject: Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Pope

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 14:57:56 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70

>>From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 15:38:09 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70

>>>[http://www.alienationsam.com/historic\\_ufo/binbrook.html](http://www.alienationsam.com/historic_ufo/binbrook.html)

>>>December 14, 2006

>>>Incident At RAF Binbrook September 8th 1970

<snip>

>><snip>

>>>Something did indeed happen that night in September of 1970 and  
>>>still remains a mystery to this very day.

>>No it doesn't.

>>I was first asked about this in 1992, when a ufologist contacted  
>>me at the MOD and alleged that Captain Schaffner's aircraft had  
>>crashed following an encounter with a UFO. I pulled the file on  
>>the crash. The aircraft had crashed during a tactical evaluation  
>>exercise. Schaffner's aircraft was vectored onto a Shackleton,  
>>with a view to practising low speed shadowing and shepherding.  
>>During the course of this difficult task, Schaffner flew his  
>>aircraft into the sea. There was no hint of any UFO connection.

>>In 2002 I was approached by the BBC, who were making a  
>>documentary about the accident, with Schaffner's sons. I liaised  
>>with staff in the MOD Press Office and we obtained the Accident  
>>Card and the original file on the crash, which were passed to  
>>the BBC.

<snip>

>Where did the transcript of the pilot/GCI exchange come from  
>that accompanied the above mentioned incident At RAF Binbrook?

There are two versions.

The one quoted in the e-mail that started this thread is a  
complete fabrication.

Here's the real one, which staff at the MOD Press Office and I  
located, and passed to the BBC and to Captain Schaffner's sons:

<http://tinyurl.com/hou67>

Best wishes,

Nick Pope



<http://www.nickpope.net>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Pope

From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 14:09:43 -0000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 10:04:48 -0500  
Subject: Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Pope

>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 20:14:11 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70

>>From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 15:38:09 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70

>>>[http://www.alienationsam.com/historic\\_ufo/binbrook.html](http://www.alienationsam.com/historic_ufo/binbrook.html)

>>>Incident At RAF Binbrook September 8th 1970

><snip>

>>>Something did indeed happen that night in September of 1970 and  
>>>still remains a mystery to this very day.

>>No it doesn't.

>So we're to take your statement as a fact?

>A bit like the recent Diana enquiry `statement'.

>From a previous post

>[www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/sep/m06-017.shtml](http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/sep/m06-017.shtml)

>you might note a distrust of 'records' of any kind, except those  
>kept without any thought of publication - maybe like Samuel  
>Pepys' Diary.

You can take my statement as fact, or regard it as government lies and disinformation. The choice is yours. But I can't win here, can I? Because if anyone truly believes I'm part of an official cover-up, my denial is hardly going to make such conspiracy theorists say "Oh, alright. Thanks for clearing that up. I accept now that this was a tragic accident, and nothing more".

If you request the file on the crash, using the Freedom of Information Act, the record will bear me out. But if you believe the MOD is covering up, you're wasting your time and the MOD's by asking, as presumably you'll say the MOD have omitted or altered key documents.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope

<http://www.nickpope.net>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - McGonagle

From: Joe McGonagle <[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 16:22:08 +0000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 18:06:03 -0500  
Subject: Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - McGonagle

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 15:35:27 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70

Hi Don, List,

<snip>

>Had this come through first, I would not have emailed Nick [thru  
>UpDates] about the source of the transcript. Whoever hoaxed this  
>did a good job with the jargon.

Just for a change, I support Nick's comments on this.

I am also curious about the origin of the false transcript - either the author had access to the MoD transcript, or more likely, obtained a copy of the transcript through civilian air band monitors and adapted the text.

I have it on good authority that the perpetrator of the hoax is named in an article in the Hull Daily Mail dated 27th October 1992 (not as an explicit hoaxer, but as a contributor to the story). They are embarrassed and/or ashamed about their participation and will not admit to the hoax publicly, though they have done so privately under pressure.

For the record, it was not Andy Roberts.

Regards,

Joe

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 08:48:26 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 18:07:45 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 13:55:00 EST  
>Subject: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>The latest video clip, wherein Mac Tonnies - The Posthuman  
>Blues, After The Martian Apocalypse - discusses the  
>cryptoterrestrial hypothesis as an explanation for the UFO  
>phenomenon:

><http://tinyurl.com/y8wgwb>

>Mac is currently working on a book, due for release in 2007, on  
>the CTH.

Hi Mac, Paul, List,

Yes this is the discussion we should be having. Mac's hypothesis that we share the planet with the creatures who pilot the craft that we occasionally observe, could cause some researchers to open their minds to this possibility and not be so fixated on ETH.

One point I'd like to add. I agree with Mac that these creatures seem technically superior to us, but in a different way. I don't think they understand our nuclear technology or use internal combustion engines.

I remember one criticism of the Roswell artifact technology transfer was that the alien artifacts were thought to be too primitive to be from an advanced star civilization, even if they were more advanced than our own technology.

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: Schmitt Says Doleman Out - Gehrman

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 08:50:25 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 18:09:06 -0500  
Subject: Re: Schmitt Says Doleman Out - Gehrman

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 18:57:01 +0000  
>Subject: Schmitt Says Doleman Out

>Hey, Ed, and List:

>According to Don Schmitt there will be another dig at the Foster  
>Ranch, but Dr. Doleman will not be part of the effort. Doleman  
>ruined his chances by claiming the "v" gorge in the soil was a  
>"coyote hole." Schmitt claims "it was a ridiculour solution."

>Schmitt further states that "dig is on when we're ready."

>Also, he just sent off a new manuscript to his publisher.

>So, if you want to be part of the up coming dig, be sure to  
>e-mail him and tell him you want to be part of it. Hurry, last  
>chance to have your say in the interperitive archaeology of this  
>site.

>Be there, or be square!

Hi Kathy, List,

I'd love to be a part of this dig. How do I get in touch with  
Don?

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Dickenson

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 17:31:04 -0000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 18:10:20 -0500  
Subject: Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Dickenson

>From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 14:09:43 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70

>>From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 20:14:11 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70

<snip>

>>From a previous post

>>[www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/sep/m06-017.shtml](http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2005/sep/m06-017.shtml)

>>you might note a distrust of 'records' of any kind, except  
>>those  
>>kept without any thought of publication - maybe like Samuel  
>>Pepys' Diary.

>You can take my statement as fact, or regard it as government  
>lies and disinformation. The choice is yours. But I can't win  
>here, can I? Because if anyone truly believes I'm part of an  
>official cover-up, my denial is hardly going to make such  
>conspiracy theorists say "Oh, alright. Thanks for clearing that  
>up. I accept now that this was a tragic accident, and nothing  
>more".

<snip>

Hello Nick,

That's not where I'm coming from...

Say someone was researching 'business', to be truthful he would  
have to include illegal trading, like drugs etc - criminal  
business, and fraud - fake business.

From that angle, and my own point of view, part of UFO phenomena  
is humans' interests and motivations - many of which we could  
call dishonest, deceptive and even downright oppressive,  
especially in many USA and South American cases.

Some might say "the English do things differently", and so they  
do, but not necessarily more honestly.

Cheers

Ray D

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## Re: Habitable Planet System In Taurus? - Scheldroup

From: **John Scheldroup** <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 11:56:08 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 18:11:53 -0500  
Subject: Re: Habitable Planet System In Taurus? - Scheldroup

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 18:49:39 +0000  
>Subject: Habitable Planet System In Taurus?

>[http://www.lsst.org/News/site\\_selection.shtml](http://www.lsst.org/News/site_selection.shtml)

>Website in both English and Spanish.  
>Describes new LSST in Chile.

[http://www.lsst.org/About/lsst\\_baseline\\_040723.shtml](http://www.lsst.org/About/lsst_baseline_040723.shtml)

LSST Basic Configuration

[http://www.lsst.org/Project/docs/L-OPP\\_dec03.pdf](http://www.lsst.org/Project/docs/L-OPP_dec03.pdf)

LSST CORPORATION - Announcement of Opportunity.

<http://www.lsst.org/Project/docs/FocalPlaneTechnologies.pdf>

Focal Plane Technologies for LSST

<http://www.lsst.org/Project/docs/LSSTkonaSPIEpaper4836-37.pdf>

The LSST Instrument Concept

[http://www.lsst.org/Project/docs/SPIE\\_4836-19\\_10-01-02.pdf](http://www.lsst.org/Project/docs/SPIE_4836-19_10-01-02.pdf)

Improved optical design for the Large Synoptic Survey Telescope

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 16](#)

## The Science Of Cymatics

From: Robert Morningstar <[robert\\_morningstar.nul](mailto:robert_morningstar.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 12:50:14 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 18:14:46 -0500  
Subject: The Science Of Cymatics

The Science of Cymatics - The Wave Structure of Matter -  
Sound Forms - The Basis Life and Creation

The Wave Structure of Matter

<http://www.cyclesresearchinstitute.org/wsm.html>

Ufologists should study the scientific research and work of  
Dr. Hans Jenny.

[http://www.world-mysteries.com/sci\\_cymatics.htm](http://www.world-mysteries.com/sci_cymatics.htm)

The videos in this link are of exceptionally good quality:

<http://www.harmonyera.com/1.2.research.htm>

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8ik6RgdoIMw>

I met in 1999 Dr. Sir Peter Guy Manners in New York in 1998 when  
he presented his research at lecture attended by medical  
researchers, psychologists, musicians and scientists from  
diverse fields. since that time, his work has been a  
cornerstone of my studies in UFOlogy regarding biological and  
psychological effects of UFOs on witnesses and abductees (see  
Cash-Landrum Case, Texas).

Quote below is from:

<http://eclecticviewpoint.com/evmanners.html>

"Dr. Sir Peter Guy Manners is a world renowned lecturer and  
British medical doctor who has developed an unusual healing  
modality referred to as "Cymatic Therapy" which utilizes sound.

Cymatics comes from the Greek work "kyma" (meaning a great wave)  
and was originally used by a Swiss scientist, Hans Jenny, who  
was at the forefront of research into the concept of using sound  
to influence the form and shape of substances.

For the last thirty years Dr. Sir Peter Guy Manners has  
continued research into Cymatics by applying sound to the body  
through a therapeutic instrument capable of emitting over 800  
controlled audible frequencies.

Cymatic therapy is based on the principle that every cell in the  
body is controlled by an electromagnetic field which resonates  
at its own particular sound frequency. In the same way, each  
molecule or group of cells of which an organ or tissue is  
composed has its own individual sound pattern and emits a  
vibration peculiar to this pattern. Taking this one step  
further, the entire body has a composite harmonic frequency with  
its own personal vibratory rate as unique as a fingerprint.

When we are healthy this frequency pattern is steady and  
constant, but when dysfunction or disease upsets the harmony of  
the body, the area affected will generate an aberrant resonant  
frequency. If the diseased organ or tissue, such as a kidney or

liver, is exposed over a period of time to the correct frequency of a healthy kidney or liver, it will cause the vibrational pattern of the diseased organ to correct itself and return to a state of health and harmony.

It is stressed that Cymatics is not a curative modality solely within itself, but is rather a system which is capable of stimulating natural regulatory and immunological systems while producing a near optimum metabolic state for a particular tissue or organ of the human body."

M\* Note:

The hypothesis of "Nazi UFOs" has many connections with Tibet and its "metaphysics," especially, in regard to sound frequencies of Tibetan chants and music. This may be one connection to what we call today, Cymatics.

<http://www.frankperry.co.uk/Sound%20Healing%201.htm>

This science of Cymatics is very coherent and in concert with the ideas of Victor Schauberg and his thesis of "The Monochord."

Both the ultrasonic (and microwave) effects of UFOs on living tissue (and consciousness) should be considered by modern science and researchers with "Cymatics" in mind when we study the psychological, emotional and even morphological changes experienced by those who come into close proximity to UFOs or their occupants.

<http://homepages.ihug.co.nz/~ray.tomes/cymatics.htm>

To all on the "tree huggers" like me on the UU List, I say "Merry Christmas"

To all the skeptics, debunkers, buggers and debuggers on the list, I say:

"Ba! Humbug!"

Enjoy the holidays, all...

Robert M\*

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 17](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: **Stanton Friedman** <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 22:34:09 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 10:00:12 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 08:48:26 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 13:55:00 EST  
>>Subject: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>The latest video clip, wherein Mac Tonnies - The Posthuman  
>>Blues, After The Martian Apocalypse - discusses the  
>>cryptoterrestrial hypothesis as an explanation for the UFO  
>>phenomenon:

>><http://tinyurl.com/y8wgwb>

>>Mac is currently working on a book, due for release in 2007, on  
>>the CTH.

>Hi Mac, Paul, List,

>Yes this is the discussion we should be having. Mac's hypothesis  
>that we share the planet with the creatures who pilot the craft  
>that we occasionally observe, could cause some researchers to  
>open their minds to this possibility and not be so fixated on  
>ETH.

>One point I'd like to add. I agree with Mac that these creatures  
>seem technically superior to us, but in a different way. I don't  
>think they understand our nuclear technology or use internal  
>combustion engines.

>I remember one criticism of the Roswell artifact technology  
>transfer was that the alien artifacts were thought to be too  
>primitive to be from an advanced star civilization, even if they  
>were more advanced than our own technology.

I have real difficulty with the idea that a nomadic civilization  
is able to mine, refine, fabricate very sophisticated and large  
mother ships and numerous small Earth Excursion modules all in  
secret.

Underground or underwater bases able to be kept hidden and yet  
produce high performance half-mile long craft observed to go  
almost straight up and away?

There have to be some permanent facilities for construction.....  
Anybody seen any evidence of this? 'Manned' by little guys or  
gals?

Sounds very much like science fiction.....

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 17](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: **Mac Tonnies** <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 18:50:04 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 10:02:48 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 08:48:26 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>One point I'd like to add. I agree with Mac that these creatures  
>seem technically superior to us, but in a different way. I don't  
>think they understand our nuclear technology or use internal  
>combustion engines.

Neither do I. I think that at some point their technological  
revolution took a fork in the road, departing from our own in  
some critical respects.

>I remember one criticism of the Roswell artifact technology  
>transfer was that the alien artifacts were thought to be too  
>primitive to be from an advanced star civilization, even if they  
>were more advanced than our own technology.

I think perhaps the Roswell crash was an observation balloon.  
But who's to say it was one of our own?

It seems reasonable to assume that if we're sharing the planet  
with a secretive humanoid species, they'd be interested in  
monitoring our military/technological development.

Mac

Mac Tonnies <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
Mac's website: <http://www.mactonnies.com>  
Mac blogs at: <http://posthumanblues.blogspot.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 17](#)

## Strange Days... Indeed December 16, 2006

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 23:25:20 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 10:10:16 -0500  
Subject: Strange Days... Indeed December 16, 2006

Just like to comment on Alfred Lehmborg's observations on the replay of the Dr. David Grinspoon (NASA contract scientist) SDI interview. Alfred and Errol remarked on Dr. Grinspoon's reporting that "everybody" ("and their dog") had information they wanted Dr. Grinspoon to have, once they found out he is studying the evolution of life-bearing planets.

Alfred and Errol agreed, "everybody" does have a story relevant to extraterrestrial life, which of course includes UFO sightings and encounters.

Well my question is, if "everybody" has all this information, then why the heck is it so difficult for government officials and the media to talk about it, except for ridicule, or hints those who do talk about extraterrestrial life are mentally ill? Are government officials and media people the only ones on this planet who have no information on the subject? Given they come from the general population, how did that happen?

And at the end of Alfred's commentary, I say 'Bravo' to Alfred for making the point that it is extremely short sighted to dismiss 'spiritual' things as 'unscientific'. Alfred added that a time will come when (if I remember the phrasing) "technological spirituality or spiritual technology" considerations will be mandatory for science to progress further.

God, angels, demons, ghosts... just because people have 'faith' about such things does not mean they aren't absolutely real, just resident in different dimensions than we are. And having 'faith' about such things doesn't mean those items aren't governed by a fuller set of laws of physics which don't happen to be taught in today's schools.

I agree that those 'faith' items should be kept out of UFO studies for the moment, for the practical reason that little is known about them, and there is a ridicule problem, but as Alfred said, eventually, we will know more, and knowledge about what we call "things spiritual" must and will eventually be part of what we call "science".

Well said, Alfred!

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 17](#)

## Re: The Science Of Cymatics - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 20:55:25 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 10:14:43 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Science Of Cymatics - Nielsen

>From: Robert Morningstar <[robert\\_morningstar.nul](mailto:robert_morningstar.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 12:50:14 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: The Science Of Cymatics

>The Science of Cymatics - The Wave Structure of Matter -  
>Sound Forms - The Basis Life and Creation

<snip>

>For the last thirty years Dr. Sir Peter Guy Manners has  
>continued research into Cymatics by applying sound to the body  
>through a therapeutic instrument capable of emitting over 800  
>controlled audible frequencies.

<snip>

Thanks Robert!

This sounds a lot like something "weirding" in a dry, 'Dune'  
filled landscape, or some "final frontier", which 'Bones' would  
know in a galaxy far, far away!

What I'd really like, is a listing of healthful frequencies to  
go with my frequency-generating software. Is one available?

No pun, but fun intended!

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 17](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: **Bob Shell** <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 10:39:16 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 10:59:15 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>Neither do I. I think that at some point their technological  
>revolution took a fork in the road, departing from our own in  
>some critical respects.

I'm with you, Mac. Only I haven't made up my mind if they took a different fork in the road, or if they've just traveled a few more miles down that road than we have.

One thing about new technology is that it always looks obvious in retrospect. I call this the "Why didn't I think of that sooner?" reaction. If you showed a modern desktop computer to a scientist in the 1940s, it would completely amaze him. But if you explained the technology used he would say, "why, of course!"

Similarly, technology used by ultraterrestrials need not be thousands of years in advance of ours. Fifty or sixty years would be plenty.

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 17](#)

## Re: Strange Days... Indeed December 16, 2006 -

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 09:52:48 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 11:29:48 -0500  
Subject: Re: Strange Days... Indeed December 16, 2006 -

>From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 23:25:20 -0500  
>Subject: Strange Days... Indeed December 16, 2006

>I agree that those 'faith' items should be kept out of UFO  
>studies for the moment, for the practical reason that little is  
>known about them, and there is a ridicule problem, but as Alfred  
>said, eventually, we will know more, and knowledge about what we  
>call "things spiritual" must and will eventually be part of  
>what we call "science".

>Well said, Alfred!

>Eleanor White

Thank you ma'am... but I was paraphrasing Dr. Grinspoon.  
More "Grinspoonerisms" we didn't have time to paraphrase include:

1. Do we arrogantly 'invent' or do we humbly 'discover'?
2. Given time as it is measured on a cosmic scale, are we not proof that intelligence in its vast starry reaches is inevitable?
3. Given these intimations of inevitability, might we consider a science of studying that which might be studying us?
4. Are Doctor John Mack's 'abductees' too complacently dismissed?
5. Did Dr. Greenspoon admit (albeit the plausible deniability) to an abduction experience in 2005 and then win the Sagan Medal subsequently... regardless?

With regard to 'everybody having a story' and why it doesn't bust the log-jam... you know, I suspect it will. Many like myself are beginning to become interested in the actuality of UFOs and their ancillaries because anything else does seem unintelligent, unprogressive, and unbrave.

Thanks for the note and for listening.

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>  
U F O M a g a z i n e -- [www.ufomag.com](http://www.ufomag.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 17](#)

### New UK Freedom Of Information Act Proposals -

From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 18:16:10 -0000  
Fwd Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 13:26:59 -0500  
Subject: New UK Freedom Of Information Act Proposals -

The Department for Constitutional Affairs recently published a consultation paper relating to the Freedom of Information Act, with particular reference to the regulations surrounding the charging of fees:

<http://www.dca.gov.uk/consult/dpr2007/cp2806.htm>

Following an independent review which found that a small number of requests and requestors (often journalists) were placing a disproportionate resource burden on public authorities, the new proposals would allow public authorities to take more account of the time taken to process applications.

The government has said that the new proposals would allow a more equitable balance to be struck between FOI and the delivery of other public services. Critics argue that the proposed changes will increase the number of requests declined on cost grounds, curtail requests by big news organisations, and thus be bad news for democracy.

The consultation exercise began on December 14 and will end on March 8. Ufologists who use the UK's FOIA to obtain documents relating to UFOs (you don't have to be a UK national or a UK resident to make an application) may wish to check this out and submit views as part of the consultation process.

Best wishes,

Nick Pope  
<http://www.nickpope.net>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 18](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 10:59:04 -0800  
Fwd Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 07:15:02 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 22:34:09 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 08:48:26 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>><http://tinyurl.com/y8wgwb>

>>>Mac is currently working on a book, due for release in 2007, on  
>>>the CTH.

>>Hi Mac, Paul, List,

>>Yes this is the discussion we should be having. Mac's hypothesis  
>>that we share the planet with the creatures who pilot the craft  
>>that we occasionally observe, could cause some researchers to  
>>open their minds to this possibility and not be so fixated on  
>>ETH.

>>One point I'd like to add. I agree with Mac that these creatures  
>>seem technically superior to us, but in a different way. I don't  
>>think they understand our nuclear technology or use internal  
>>combustion engines.

>>I remember one criticism of the Roswell artifact technology  
>>transfer was that the alien artifacts were thought to be too  
>>primitive to be from an advanced star civilization, even if they  
>>were more advanced than our own technology.

>I have real difficulty with the idea that a nomadic civilization  
>is able to mine, refine, fabricate very sophisticated and large  
>mother ships and numerous small Earth Excursion modules all in  
>secret.

>Underground or underwater bases able to be kept hidden and yet  
>produce high performance half-mile long craft observed to go  
>almost straight up and away?

>There have to be some permanent facilities for construction.....  
>Anybody seen any evidence of this? 'Manned' by little guys or  
>gals?

>Sounds very much like science fiction.....

Stan, Mac, List,

If my speculations are correct, and our elusive residents are  
convergent monotreme hominids, they would have evolved while  
there was only one super continent, Pangaea, that was also  
evolving.

<http://www.enchantedlearning.com/subjects/dinosaurs/glossary/Pangaea.shtml>

The formidable climatic, atmospheric and geologic conditions  
they would have faced could have forced them to live

underground. I think that's the best estimate of where they still live, but Mar's or the Moon should still be a consideration.

Personally, the concept of faster than light travel is in the realm of science fiction along with the use black holes, worm holes and multi-dimensions for that purpose. I suspect that the universe is teeming with life, but star travel is impossible, so we're stuck with life that can evolve in our own solar system as an answer to our collective UFO delemma.

And it wouldn't take long for all traces of a previous civilization to disappear once it decided to abandon the earth's topside:

\*200,000 Years For All Trace of Man to Vanish  
From the Earth\*  
By Lewis Smith

<http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,3-2399972,00.html>

"IF MAN were to vanish from the face of the Earth today, his footprint on the planet would linger for the mere blink of an eye in geological terms. Within hours, nature would begin to eradicate its impact. In 50,000 years all that would remain would be archaeological traces. Only radioactive materials and a few man-made chemical contaminants would last longer - an invisible legacy..."

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 18](#)

## Re: Habitable Planet System In Taurus? - Scheldroup

From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 13:41:10 -0600  
Fwd Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 07:17:33 -0500  
Subject: Re: Habitable Planet System In Taurus? - Scheldroup

>From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 11:56:08 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Habitable Planet System In Taurus?

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 18:49:39 +0000  
>>Subject: Habitable Planet System In Taurus?

>>[http://www.lsst.org/News/site\\_selection.shtml](http://www.lsst.org/News/site_selection.shtml)

>>Website in both English and Spanish.  
>>Describes new LSST in Chile.

>[http://www.lsst.org/About/lsst\\_baseline\\_040723.shtml](http://www.lsst.org/About/lsst_baseline_040723.shtml)

>LSST Basic Configuration

><snip>

For those interested,

I have setup some Google-logic in order to locate all lost and found LSST PDF docs, that is if they were ever networked someplace, at:

<http://tinyurl.com/vcbc2>

John

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 18](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: **Jerome Clark** <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 15:33:14 -0600  
Fwd Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 07:18:53 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 22:34:09 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 08:48:26 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 13:55:00 EST  
>>>Subject: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>I have real difficulty with the idea that a nomadic civilization  
>is able to mine, refine, fabricate very sophisticated and large  
>mother ships and numerous small Earth Excursion modules all in  
>secret.

>Underground or underwater bases able to be kept hidden and yet  
>produce high performance half-mile long craft observed to go  
>almost straight up and away?

>There have to be some permanent facilities for construction.....  
>Anybody seen any evidence of this? 'Manned' by little guys or  
>gals?

>Sounds very much like science fiction.....

Exactly, Stan. This is about as pointless and fruitless a line  
of speculation as I have seen in a while. What next? The hollow  
earth?

Jerry Clark

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 18](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: **Mac Tonnies** <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 22:36:31 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 07:20:41 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 22:34:09 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

<snip>

>I have real difficulty with the idea that a nomadic civilization  
>is able to mine, refine, fabricate very sophisticated and large  
>mother ships and numerous small Earth Excursion modules all in  
>secret.

I don't think that all cryptoterrestrials are nomadic,  
although there's evidence that at least some are. I think there  
are installations underground in under bodies of water that  
serve as manufacturing bases.

>Underground or underwater bases able to be kept hidden and yet  
>produce high performance half-mile long craft observed to go  
>almost straight up and away?

Keep in mind that the CTs might have been around long before we  
got around to developing civilization. (This is actually one of  
the central ideas of my hypothesis.) So a lot of their  
technology could have been essentially in place thousands of  
years ago. Indeed, if you read Vedic texts (among others),  
that's one of the first impressions you get.

>Sounds very much like science fiction.....

No argument there. But a lot of science fiction turns out to be  
factual.

Mac

Mac Tonnies <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
Mac's website: <http://www.mactonnies.com>  
Mac blogs at: <http://posthumanblues.blogspot.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 18](#)

### Re: Alien Abduction The 'Shhh Disease'? - Boone

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 05:39:32 EST  
Fwd Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 07:22:40 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Abduction The 'Shhh Disease'? - Boone

I was going to say the 'hush' disease.

Either is appropriate I'd wager.

I'm into my 4th decade of interviewing people who claim to have been abducted by aliens. I figure by this time it's not some passing fancy!

Sometimes one has to walk away for a while to realize how deep one has stepped into something.

I've come to realize the burden those of you who treat these people and prayers to those of you suffering from this disease.

Ja, I'm calling it a disease. It's so common place now and the ridicule factor waning so fast I'm expecting pretty soon some pharmaceutical company to come up with a pill for the problem. Y'know, one of those medications that appears in a tv commercial spot that is a minute long with the last 15 seconds full of the dangerous possible side effects being announced at rapid-fire pace.

It's gotten to the point now, that if I mention abduction to a group of people, they hush up, eyes dart back and forth and several take a deep breath and start fessin' up.

It's like if you mention bad knees or back problems some other soul will join in and offer advice, treatment alternatives or just gesture of concern.

It even has an 'oops' factor. I see folks who formerly would come forward now wishing they hadn't as though it was part of a bargain not to talk about it.

I've yet to be told a happy-camper abduction story but I think that's turning around as abductees are now becoming so much more aware of their experiences they're interpreting them differently.

Whatever the case, this is one ailment, disease, problem that can't be compartmentalized into one thing. It's broad, long duration, multi-cultural.

So now I'm getting, 'Shhhh, I'll tell you, I'm an abductee but I don't want too many people to know.' thingie.

For anyone having to have had to undergo this abduction thing you have my utmost support.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 18](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 08:12:58 -0500  
Fwd Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 21:04:18 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 15:33:14 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>Exactly, Stan. This is about as pointless and fruitless a line  
>of speculation as I have seen in a while. What next? The hollow  
>earth?

What do you shave with, Jerry? My brand is Occam's.

Assuming an earlier evolutionary line that produced intelligence equivalent to ours is a very simple assumption that violates no natural laws. As a zoologist, it makes perfect sense to me. It is an elegantly simple theory.

The ET hypothesis is much more complicated and requires many assumptions that cannot be verified.

Entia non sunt multiplicanda praeter necessitatem.

(Don't multiply things beyond necessity.)

Bob

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 18](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 09:43:02 -0400  
Fwd Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 21:06:55 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 10:59:04 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 22:34:09 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 08:48:26 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>>><http://tinyurl.com/y8wgwb>

>>>>Mac is currently working on a book, due for release in 2007, on  
>>>>the CTH.

>>>>Hi Mac, Paul, List,

>>>>Yes this is the discussion we should be having. Mac's hypothesis  
>>>>that we share the planet with the creatures who pilot the craft  
>>>>that we occasionally observe, could cause some researchers to  
>>>>open their minds to this possibility and not be so fixated on  
>>>>ETH.

>>>>One point I'd like to add. I agree with Mac that these creatures  
>>>>seem technically superior to us, but in a different way. I don't  
>>>>think they understand our nuclear technology or use internal  
>>>>combustion engines.

>>>>I remember one criticism of the Roswell artifact technology  
>>>>transfer was that the alien artifacts were thought to be too  
>>>>primitive to be from an advanced star civilization, even if they  
>>>>were more advanced than our own technology.

>>>>I have real difficulty with the idea that a nomadic civilization  
>>>>is able to mine, refine, fabricate very sophisticated and large  
>>>>mother ships and numerous small Earth Excursion modules all in  
>>>>secret.

>>>>Underground or underwater bases able to be kept hidden and yet  
>>>>produce high performance half-mile long craft observed to go  
>>>>almost straight up and away?

>>>>There have to be some permanent facilities for construction.....  
>>>>Anybody seen any evidence of this? 'Manned' by little guys or  
>>>>gals?

>>>>Sounds very much like science fiction.....

>>Stan, Mac, List,

>If my speculations are correct, and our elusive residents are  
>convergent monotreme hominids, they would have evolved while  
>there was only one super continent, Pangaea, that was also  
>evolving.

><http://www.enchantedlearning.com/subjects/dinosaurs/glossary/Pangaea.shtml>

>The formidable climatic, atmospheric and geologic conditions  
>they would have faced could have forced them to live  
>underground. I think that's the best estimate of where they  
>still live, but Mars or the Moon should still be a  
>consideration.

>Personally, the concept of faster than light travel is in the  
>realm of science fiction along with the use black holes, worm  
>holes and multi-dimensions for that purpose. I suspect that the  
>universe is teeming with life, but star travel is impossible, so  
>we're stuck with life that can evolve in our own solar system as  
>an answer to our collective UFO dilemma.

>And it wouldn't take long for all traces of a previous  
>civilization to disappear once it decided to abandon the earth's  
>topside:

>\*200,000 Years For All Trace of Man to Vanish  
>>From the Earth\*  
>By Lewis Smith

><http://www.timesonline.co.uk/article/0,,3-2399972,00.html>

>"IF MAN were to vanish from the face of the Earth today, his  
>footprint on the planet would linger for the mere blink of an  
>eye in geological terms. Within hours, nature would begin to  
>eradicate its impact. In 50,000 years all that would remain  
>would be archaeological traces. Only radioactive materials and a  
>few man-made chemical contaminants would last longer - an  
>invisible legacy..."

>  
>  
>Ed

While I agree that, for all we know, there may have been many  
past civilizations here over the past billion years about which  
we know nothing. FTL is not required. At 99.99% of c one can  
go 37 light years in 6months pilot time. It only takes 1 year at  
1G to get close to the speed of light. They could long ago have  
established a network of bases in the neighborhood... back side  
of the moon,asteroid belt. etc.....

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 19](#)

## Re: Schmitt Says Doleman Out - Zukowski

From: **Chuck Zukowski** <[zukowski.nul](mailto:zukowski.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 09:24:27 -0700  
Fwd Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 08:36:01 -0500  
Subject: Re: Schmitt Says Doleman Out - Zukowski

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egerman.nul](mailto:egerman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 08:50:25 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Schmitt Says Doleman Out

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 18:57:01 +0000  
>>Subject: Schmitt Says Doleman Out

>>Hey, Ed, and List:

>>According to Don Schmitt there will be another dig at the Foster  
>>Ranch, but Dr. Doleman will not be part of the effort. Doleman  
>>ruined his chances by claiming the "v" gorge in the soil was a  
>>"coyote hole." Schmitt claims "it was a ridiculour solution."

>>Schmitt further states that "dig is on when we're ready."

>>Also, he just sent off a new manuscript to his publisher.

>>So, if you want to be part of the up coming dig, be sure to  
>>e-mail him and tell him you want to be part of it. Hurry, last  
>>chance to have your say in the interperitive archaeology of this  
>>site.

>>Be there, or be square!

>Hi Kathy, List,

>I'd love to be a part of this dig. How do I get in touch with  
>Don?

You can contact me also and I'll get the dig volunteer names to  
Don and Tom.

Chuck Zukowski  
[www.ufonut.com](http://www.ufonut.com) (official dig site)  
[zukowski.nul](mailto:zukowski.nul)

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**





# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 19](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 09:44:37 -0800  
Fwd Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 08:37:49 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 15:33:14 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 22:34:09 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>There have to be some permanent facilities for construction.....  
>>Anybody seen any evidence of this? 'Manned' by little guys or  
>>gals?

>>Sounds very much like science fiction.....

>Exactly, Stan. This is about as pointless and fruitless a line  
>of speculation as I have seen in a while. What next? The hollow  
>earth?

Jerry,

Why are you attempting to stifle discussion? Your sneering dismissal without a convincing counter argument of Mac's and my hypothesis says more about you than it does about our proposals. I really would like to know why you displayed such knee jerk reactions to a very under-discussed possibility: We share the planet with another technologically advanced, hominoid civilization.

Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 19](#)

## Lie Detector Software

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 13:06:12 EST  
Fwd Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 08:40:12 -0500  
Subject: Lie Detector Software

<https://extras.skype.com/categories/all/good?offset=21>

This little doohickey is an add on feature to the popular Skype program that allows users worldwide to chat with one another over the internet as one would use a phone.

Revolutionizing the way we communicate, the Skype program allows other goodies like interactive video and file sharing etc.

Now they've added on the Kishkish program that allows you to read the data collected in association with the voice stress levels of the person you're chatting with.

It's accuracy is of debate, but the potential is astounding.

One can apply any of several methods to feed an audio file through such a program to make an estimate of the veracity of subject.

Only the Shadow knows.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 19](#)

## INEXPLICATA Contributor Injured During Research

From: **Scott Corrales** <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 09:22:54 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 08:42:44 -0500  
Subject: INEXPLICATA Contributor Injured During Research

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
December 18, 2006

INEXPLICATA CONTRIBUTOR INJURED DURING GHOST RESEARCH  
by Scott Corrales

It came to our attention this weekend thanks to Angel Rodriguez of Universo Prohibido that our good friend and long-time Inexplicata correspondent Jose Manuel Garcia Bautista had been injured during the course his ghost-hunting activities. This injury may have cost him the sight in one of his eyes.

According to the information that has reached us over the past 48 hours, Jose Manuel had been called out to investigate a haunting and was faced with a "large ball of light" in the house. When he approached this strange phenomenon, it apparently exploded, producing "ulcers in his left eye." An e-mail circulated this morning by his wife Leonor suggests that Jose Manuel will not know for another ten days if the damage is permanent.

Leonor's e-mail message reads thus: "He paid a visit that morning [Friday, 12/16/06] to a house where he was told strange things were going on and blue orbs of light [were being reported]. He was there for a while until he saw one of these orbs, and upon approaching it, it began to swell and that thing supposedly exploded. You know what he's like - if something attracts his attention, rather than keeping safe, he's the first to get closer and closer in order to get to the bottom of things. He has paid a very high price for his curiosity this time around."

INEXPLICATA wishes Jose Manuel Garcia - a bold and knowledgeable researcher of the paranormal, generous to a fault - a speedy recovery and a positive outcome to this injury sustained in the line of duty.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 19](#)

## Investigation Of Great Lakes Dive Company

From: Frank Warren <[frank-warren.nul](mailto:frank-warren.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 12:50:23 -0800  
Fwd Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 08:46:17 -0500  
Subject: Investigation Of Great Lakes Dive Company

Investigation of The Great Lakes Dive Company

<http://tinyurl.com/yh34wb>

<http://frankwarren.blogspot.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 19](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: **Mac Tonnies** <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 13:13:26 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 08:48:09 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 15:33:14 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

<snip>

>Exactly, Stan. This is about as pointless and fruitless a line  
>of speculation as I have seen in a while. What next? The hollow  
>earth?

"Pointless and fruitless"? I'm not sure we've come to the point  
where we can confidently make that assertion - although I'm  
perfectly willing to accept that I could be totally (or  
partially) wrong.

The ETH has infatuated us for so long that we've never really  
entertained any other hypotheses. The cryptoterrestrial idea has  
been largely ignored precisely because of demonstrably bogus  
claims re. the "Hollow Earth," etc.

But once you weed out the Richard Shavers and consider some of  
Vallee and Keel's ideas - and accept, for sake of argument, that  
the beings they describe hail from Earth as we know it and not  
necessarily from some other aspect of reality - then some  
encounter reports make more sense in light of a  
"cryptoterrestrial" origin than they due when interpreted along  
familiar ETH lines.

I think the cryptoterrestrial hypothesis is a worthy thought  
experiment. I'm not interested in making extravagant claims; I'm  
simply suggesting we give it a serious look instead of  
automatically dismissing it as implausible and paranoid.

That said, why exactly is it impossible that we share the planet  
with a humanoid offshoot that's a bit smarter than we are? Given  
a head-start of even a few hundred years, we might expect them  
to have successfully camouflaged themselves.

Mac

Mac Tonnies <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>

Mac's website: <http://www.mactonnies.com>

Mac blogs at: <http://posthumanblues.blogspot.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 19](#)

## 50 Most Influential UFO People Of All Time

From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 17:08:26 EST  
Fwd Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 08:54:28 -0500  
Subject: 50 Most Influential UFO People Of All Time

Listers:

I love lists, and think they have a certain utility, i.e. they stimulate discussion. Accordingly, from my blog, here is one that may provoke a debate.

Original at:

<http://tinyurl.com/ykkcgv>

<http://redstarfilms.blogspot.com>

Nick Redfern and Greg Bishop have already noted a few people who certainly could have, and perhaps should have, been on the list, including Bob Lazar, Gray Barker, Ray Palmer, Budd Hopkins and Long John Nebel.

Best regards,

Paul Kimball  
[www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com](http://www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com)

-----

The UFO Phenomenon - The 50 Most Influential People of All Time

1. Dr. Edward Condon - Head of the USAF-funded University of Colorado Project, and author of the Condon Report; his conclusions still shape the way mainstream science views the UFO phenomenon.
2. Major Donald E. Keyhoe - Founder of the National Investigations Committee on Aerial Phenomena (NICAP), and original proponent of the Extra-terrestrial hypothesis.
3. Dr. J. Allen Hynek - Project Blue Book scientific advisor, and later founder of the Center for UFO Studies (CUFOS).
4. Dr. Carl Sagan - The great popularizer of science, and the concept of ET life in particular, in the second half of the 20th century, and a leading UFO opponent.
5. Captain Edward Ruppelt - The first head of the United States Air Force's Project Blue Book, and the author of The Report on Unidentified Flying Objects.
6. George Adamski - The founder of the Contactee Movement.
7. Dr. H. Marshall Chadwell - CIA Assistant Director of Scientific Intelligence in 1952, he was a proponent of the need to take the UFO phenomenon seriously.
8. Betty Hill - The first abductee story to gain widespread public attention.
9. Dr. James McDonald - The best case investigator ever, and a passionate advocate for both the serious scientific study of UFOs, and the ETH.

10. Stanton T. Friedman - Researcher/author/lecturer, a leading advocate for the ETH, and the man who, with William Moore, made UFO virtually synonymous with Roswell.
11. Major Jesse Marcel - Army intelligence officer who was a key player in the Roswell case, and whose story breathed new life into the crashed flying saucer mythos.
12. Art Bell - Maverick late-night radio talk-show host, and founder of Coast to Coast AM, he gave ufologists a regular public platform in the 1990s that continues today under George Noory.
13. Kenneth Arnold - The original flying saucer witness - even if he didn't exactly coin the term, it is forever linked with his name.
14. Whitley Streiber - Author/alleged abductee, he popularized the concept of the gray alien and secret government agencies dealing with UFOs.
15. Chris Carter - Creator of The X-Files television series, which made conspiracy theories about aliens popular.
16. Dr. Jacques Vallee - Leading UFO theoretician and investigator, and proponent of the Extra-dimensional hypothesis.
17. Dr. Donald Menzel - Harvard astronomer, author, and the original UFO debunker.
18. Dr. H. P. Robertson - Head of the CIA's Robertson Panel in 1952, which called for the official debunking of UFO reports.
19. Colonel William Blanchard - His decision to issue a press release about the recovery of debris near Roswell would change ufology thirty years later.
20. George Van Tassel - Contactee, founder of the Interplanetary Spacecraft Convention at Giant Rock, California, which ran from 1954 to 1974.
21. Coral Lorenzen - Co-founder and driving force behind the Aerial Phenomena Research Organization (APRO).
22. Maj. Gen. John Samford - Director of Intelligence for the USAF in the early 1950s (and later head of the NSA), most famous for his 1952 press conference to explain the Washington sightings.
23. Nick Pope - British researcher/author, and former head of the Ministry of Defence's UFO desk.
24. Ken Purdy - Editor of True Magazine in the early 1950s, his decision to publish articles about UFOs brought widespread attention to the subject.
25. Erich von Daniken - Author of Chariots of the Gods, and leading proponent of the "ancient astronauts" theory.
26. Dr. John Mack - Harvard professor who became a leading, and controversial, researcher into the abduction phenomenon.
27. Richard Hall - Longtime NICAP staffer/investigator, and author of The UFO Evidence, Volumes 1 and 2
28. Walt Andrus - Founder of the Mutual UFO Network (MUFON).
29. Lieut. Colonel Philip Corso - Former army officer who claimed in his memoirs The Day After Roswell to have been responsible for seeding alien technology into industry.
30. Dr. Steven Greer - Controversial CSETI founder, exopolitics guru, and head of the Disclosure Project.
31. Frank Edwards - Author/journalist, his book Flying Saucers - Serious Business was a best-seller.
32. Major Hector Quintanilla - The last head of Project Blue Book.
33. Frank Scully - Author of Behind the Flying Saucers, which

first popularized the idea of crashed flying saucers (the Aztec hoax).

34. Jerome Clark - Researcher, author, historian and CUFOS member, as well as Editor of International UFO Reporter.

35. Philip J. Klass - CSICOP's UFO "expert", and longtime debunker/bete noir of ufologists.

36. William Moore - Co-author of The Roswell Incident, and perhaps the MJ-12 papers. One of ufology's most controversial figures.

37. Dr. Seth Shostak - SETI spokesperson/scientist, and leading UFO skeptic.

38. Kevin Randle - Leading Roswell investigator, author of numerous books on a variety of UFO subjects, from abductions to 1952 Washington case.

39. Leonard Stringfield - Collector of crash retrieval stories.

40. Brad Sparks - Co-founder of Citizens Against UFO Secrecy, and one of the best researchers of all time.

41. Steven Bassett - Political activist, ran for Congress on UFO platform, speaker, and conference organizer.

42. Linda Moulton Howe - Media entrepreneur, popularizer of stories of crashed UFOs and government cover-ups; best known for her cattle mutilation investigations and theories.

43. Cmdr. Robert McLaughlin - His 1950 article for True Magazine had a tremendous impact on the public, and lent credibility to the ETH.

44. Errol Bruce-Knapp - Radio host and founder of UFO UpDates, the premiere on-line discussion forum for ufologists and others interested in the phenomenon.

45. John Greenewald, Jr. - Founder of [www.blackvault.com](http://www.blackvault.com), and master of FOIA applications.

46. J. P. Cahn - Journalist who exposed the Aztec crash hoax in True Magazine.

47. Wilbert Smith - Canadian civil servant who was considered by some to have been involved in super secret UFO research.

48. Wendelle Stevens - UFO researcher/author, and a leading proponent of the Billy Meier story.

49. Paul Hellyer - Former Canadian Minister of Defence who has become a leading advocate of exopolitics.

50. Ray Santilli - Perpetrator of the alien autopsy hoax.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 19](#)

## Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Ledger

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 18:26:48 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 09:21:11 -0500  
Subject: Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70 - Ledger

>From: Joe McGonagle <[joe.mcgonagle.nul](mailto:joe.mcgonagle.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 16:22:08 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 15 Dec 2006 15:35:27 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Incident At RAF Binbrook 09-08-70

>Hi Don, List,

><snip>

>>Had this come through first, I would not have emailed Nick [thru  
>>UpDates] about the source of the transcript. Whoever hoaxed this  
>>did a good job with the jargon.

>Just for a change, I support Nick's comments on this.

>I am also curious about the origin of the false transcript -  
>either the author had access to the MoD transcript, or more  
>likely, obtained a copy of the transcript through civilian air  
>band monitors and adapted the text.

>I have it on good authority that the perpetrator of the hoax is  
>named in an article in the Hull Daily Mail dated 27th October  
>1992 (not as an explicit hoaxer, but as a contributor to the  
>story). They are embarrassed and/or ashamed about their  
>participation and will not admit to the hoax publicly, though  
>they have done so privately under pressure.

Hi Joe,

There's something wrong with these people anyway. Crying for  
attention or something, can't quite figure it out.

Another one put to bed.

The other stake through the heart of this "story" is the radar  
doesn't work out as originally pointed out to me by Brad Sparks.

Thanks,

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 19](#)

## NASA & Google Bring Space Exploration Down To Earth

From: **NASA News** <[hqnews.nsl](mailto:hqnews.nsl)>  
Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 10:43:45 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 10:23:46 -0500  
Subject: NASA & Google Bring Space Exploration Down To Earth

Dec. 18, 2006

Michael Mewhinney  
Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, Calif.  
650-604-3937

Jon Murchinson  
Google Inc., Mountain View, Calif.  
650-253-4437

RELEASE: 06-371

NASA AND GOOGLE TO BRING SPACE EXPLORATION DOWN TO EARTH

MOFFETT FIELD, Calif. - NASA Ames Research Center and Google have signed a Space Act Agreement that formally establishes a relationship to work together on a variety of challenging technical problems ranging from large-scale data management and massively distributed computing, to human-computer interfaces.

As the first in a series of joint collaborations, Google and Ames will focus on making the most useful of NASA's information available on the Internet. Real-time weather visualization and forecasting, high-resolution 3-D maps of the moon and Mars, real-time tracking of the International Space Station and the space shuttle will be explored in the future.

"This agreement between NASA and Google will soon allow every American to experience a virtual flight over the surface of the moon or through the canyons of Mars," said NASA Administrator Michael Griffin at Headquarters in Washington. "This innovative combination of information technology and space science will make NASA's space exploration work accessible to everyone," added Griffin.

"Partnering with NASA made perfect sense for Google, as it has a wealth of technical expertise and data that will be of great use to Google as we look to tackle many computing issues on behalf of our users," said Eric Schmidt, chief executive officer of Google. "We're pleased to move forward to collaborate on a variety of technical challenges through the signing of the Space Act Agreement."

Recently, teams from NASA and Google met to discuss the many challenging computer science problems facing both organizations and possible joint collaborations that could help address them.

NASA and Google intend to collaborate in a variety of areas, including incorporating agency data sets in Google Earth, focusing on user studies and cognitive modeling for human computer interaction, and science data search utilizing a variety of Google features and products.

"Our collaboration with Google will demonstrate that the private and public sectors can accomplish great things together," said S. Pete Worden, Ames center director. "I want NASA Ames to establish partnerships with the private sector that will encourage innovation, while advancing the Vision for Space Exploration and commercial interests," Worden added.

"NASA has collected and processed more information about our planet and universe than any other entity in the history of humanity," said Chris C. Kemp, director of strategic business development at Ames. "Even though this information was collected for the benefit of everyone, and much is in the public domain, the vast majority of this information is scattered and difficult for non-experts to access and to understand.

"We've worked hard over the past year to implement an agreement that enables NASA and Google to work closely together on a wide range of innovative collaborations," said Kemp. "We are bringing together some of the best research scientists and engineers to form teams to make more of NASA's vast information accessible."

NASA and Google also are finalizing details for additional collaborations that include joint research, products, facilities, education and missions.

Google's innovative search technologies connect millions of people around the world with information every day. Google is headquartered close to Ames in Silicon Valley with offices through the Americas, Europe and Asia.

For more information about Google, please visit:

<http://www.google.com>

For information about NASA and agency programs, please visit:

<http://www.nasa.gov>

-end-

To subscribe to the list, send a message to:

[hqnews-subscribe.nul](mailto:hqnews-subscribe.nul)

To remove your address from the list, send a message to:

[hqnews-unsubscribe.nul](mailto:hqnews-unsubscribe.nul)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 19](#)

### Re: Alien Abduction The 'Shhh Disease'? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 16:17:08 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 10:30:40 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Abduction The 'Shhh Disease'? - Nielsen

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 05:39:32 EST  
>Subject: Alien Abduction The 'Shhh Disease'?

>I was going to say the 'hush' disease.

<snip>

>I've come to realize the burden those of you who treat these  
>people and prayers to those of you suffering from this disease.

>Ja, I'm calling it a disease. It's so common place now and the  
>ridicule factor waning so fast I'm expecting pretty soon some  
>pharmaceutical company to come up with a pill for the problem.  
>Y'know, one of those medications that appears in a tv commercial  
>spot that is a minute long with the last 15 seconds full of the  
>dangerous possible side effects being announced at rapid-fire  
>pace.

<snip>

>Whatever the case, this is one ailment, disease, problem that  
>can't be compartmentalized into one thing. It's broad, long  
>duration, multi-cultural.

>So now I'm getting, 'Shhhh, I'll tell you, I'm an abductee but I  
>don't want too many people to know.' thingie.

>For anyone having to have had to undergo this abduction  
>thing you have my utmost support.

Thanks for your efforts Greg! But I feel the need to respectfully disagree with your diagnosis of disease.

The victims and the victimizers in these cases need justice, just as much as those involved in the human abduction of humans. Let's view it as the crime that it is. And if we can't yet prosecute the perpetrators, human or otherwise, each of us can help the victims.

If you're classifying this as a disease in an attempt to gain funding for the treatment of the victims, I can support that. But we all know that not everyone who needs help gets it, even in more traditional medical and mental health concerns. In deciding who gets funding, the vested interests always win, and whatever's left goes to those who complain the loudest.

I believe our efforts here to enlighten the masses and those in charge, can be the best use of our collective resources. But at most, that only goes halfway to a remedy. I suggest we also do what we can to befriend and help the victims. And that's still not enough. Perhaps the exopoliticians can work on legislation which includes enforceable penalties for the perps in these cases.

As I've said in previous posts, if there is a way to stop this from continuing or prevent it altogether, let's hear it and do it. If



there isn't, let's find one together.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 19](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 20:48:37 -0600  
Fwd Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 10:33:03 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 08:12:58 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 15:33:14 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

Sigh, Bob,

>>Exactly, Stan. This is about as pointless and fruitless a line  
>>of speculation as I have seen in a while. What next? The hollow  
>>earth?

>What do you shave with, Jerry? My brand is Occam's.

To use Occam's razor to defend a "theory" so beset with - to employ the polite noun - difficulties that one doesn't know where to begin (or would wish to bother) is to engage in what amounts to a spectacular case of intellect abuse. Except that it is not fair to talk about the "cryptoterrestrial hypothesis" (sic) in the same sentence as "intellect."

>Assuming an earlier evolutionary line that produced intelligence >equivalent to ours is a very simple assumption that violates no >natural laws. As a zoologist, it makes perfect sense to me. It >is an elegantly simple theory.

>The ET hypothesis is much more complicated and requires many >assumptions that cannot be verified.

Your argument ultimately is with exobiologists, then. Take it up with them. The discussion here is about crypto-, not extra-terrestrials, which incidentally have generated a more interesting controversy than will ever emerge from the half-baked notions you - apparently without tongue poking cheek - are advancing. Or, more likely (so anyway I'd like to think), you're just bored and filling empty time.

Still, if there is one complaint you ought not to be leveling against anybody else, it's about "many assumptions that cannot be verified." Jeez. The mind staggers and reels, much as it does when a frog calls somebody unprepossessing.

Where are you off to next? Atlantis? Lemuria? The Land Beyond the Poles? Shaver's caves? On a Nazi flying saucer?

C'mon, Bob. Don't waste our time, or yours either, with this silliness. Unless, of course, you have nothing better to do, in which case count me out. I actually have better things to do.

Jerry Clark

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 19](#)

## Re: New UK Freedom Of Information Act Proposals -

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 23:57:05 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 10:35:30 -0500  
Subject: Re: New UK Freedom Of Information Act Proposals -

>From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 18:16:10 -0000  
>Subject: New UK Freedom Of Information Act Proposals

>The Department for Constitutional Affairs recently published a  
>consultation paper relating to the Freedom of Information Act,  
>with particular reference to the regulations surrounding the  
>charging of fees:

><http://www.dca.gov.uk/consult/dpr2007/cp2806.htm>

>Following an independent review which found that a small number  
>of requests and requestors (often journalists) were placing a  
>disproportionate resource burden on public authorities, the new  
>proposals would allow public authorities to take more account of  
>the time taken to process applications.

>The government has said that the new proposals would allow a  
>more equitable balance to be struck between FOI and the delivery  
>of other public services. Critics argue that the proposed  
>changes will increase the number of requests declined on cost  
>grounds, curtail requests by big news organisations, and thus be  
>bad news for democracy.

>  
>The consultation exercise began on December 14 and will end on  
>March 8. Ufologists who use the UK's FOIA to obtain documents  
>relating to UFOs (you don't have to be a UK national or a UK  
>resident to make an application) may wish to check this out and  
>submit views as part of the consultation process.

Hah! That didn't take long. Welcome to the club. Somewhere in  
the UFO UpDates Archive I said this would happen.

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 19](#)

## I.E. Sees Saucer Sightings

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 10:41:40 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 10:41:40 -0500  
Subject: I.E. Sees Saucer Sightings

Source: The San Bernardino Sun - California, USA

[http://www.sbsun.com/news/ci\\_4865010](http://www.sbsun.com/news/ci_4865010)

12/19/2006

I.E. Sees Saucer Sightings  
Joe Blackstock

Think the Inland Empire is the last place a flying saucer would visit?

Then consider the July 6, 1947, experience of the R.V. Allen family of Riverside Drive in Ontario:

"The rancher said that while he and Mrs. Allen and their daughter Dolores were seated in their motor car about 9:30 p.m., they saw a whole `school' of the strange discs overhead from south to north and insisted that they `played about in the air just as perch do in the water,'" wrote the Ontario Daily Report the next day.

Not convinced?

How about B.A. Runner who saw, and heard, some strange things that same night on West California Street?

"Runner reported that several of the discs sailed over his house about 8 p.m., circled about and returned, one of them flying so low that the sound of an attached motor could be distinctly heard," wrote the newspaper.

And this was the day before the startling announcement in Roswell, N.M., of the recovery of a "flying disc" by the Army. That disclosure (which was quickly refuted by military officials) has helped spawn decades of UFO sightings, invaders-from-space movies and conspiracy theorists.

Whether you believe in them or not, it was obvious people locally - fueled by fear or wonder or too many stimulants - were seeing something up there.

On July 8, a "spinning platter" was said to have crashed into an almond grove near Lancaster. Redlands truck driver H.J. Stell reported "silvery eggs in a straight line" flew over March Field near Riverside.

Jerry McAdams saw a disc "big as a house" in Beverly Hills: "It seemed to give off a low whistle as it disappeared."

On the morning of July 10, Pomona residents on West 10th Street told the Pomona Progress-Bulletin they saw three tumbling objects in the air, each sparkling as the sun reflected off them.

Now, not everyone was impressed by all the flying saucer talk -

the Progress-Bulletin reported on July 8 that an irreverent skywriter drew two giant circles in the sky and spelled out the word "Saucers" to mock the frenzy.

All this uproar wasn't easy for newspapers to keep straight.

A front-page story in the July 7 Daily Report said a plane shot down a flying saucer over Montana and included quotes from the pilot and his cameraman. But on the next page of the same edition was a last-minute bulletin saying it was a hoax - the story grew from several of the pilot's friends sitting around telling tales.

On July 8, a reward of \$1,000 was offered for anyone who could capture one of these flying things - an offer that only made things more crazy:

San Francisco designer Frank Borel produced a new women's hat inspired, he said, from a flying saucer he claimed he saw in a nightmare.

Newspapers and radio stations were swamped by callers, though Kansas officials bragged that none of its residents saw UFOs because as a "dry" state, it barred alcohol consumption.

A North Hollywood man planned to ask for the \$1,000 prize after a 30-inch disc conveniently landed in his garden. It contained a radio tube and two exhaust pipes and spewed out a lot of smoke.

In the interest of serious science, though, I must report that a flying saucer was captured in the Inland Empire that week.

Pomona police about 10 p.m. on July 8 caught two young men atop a building under construction at Second Avenue and Gibbs Street. Two others were nabbed in the street below.

They had made a 20-pound saucer fabricated from two plow blades on which they had attached some batteries and wires to add to its look. They planned to set it afire and hurl it into the intersection below, hoping to frighten the good folks of Pomona.

The four - in their early 20s from Pomona, San Dimas and Covina - even stenciled "SBAAB" and "XP85" on the saucer to imply it was some kind of strange experimental craft that had gotten loose from the San Bernardino Army Air Base (Nevada's Area 51 was still something far in the future for that sort of thing).

They were questioned and then released, perhaps because that kind of out-of-this-world crime was something for which no law had yet been created.

Joe Blackstock writes on Inland Empire history. He can be reached by calling (909) 483-9382.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://uforeview.net/>]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 19](#)

## Bristolian Wants To Identify Flying Objects

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 10:45:54 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 10:45:54 -0500  
Subject: Bristolian Wants To Identify Flying Objects

Source: TriCities.Com - Richmond, Virginia, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/yxwqy8>

Monday, Dec 18, 2006

Bristolian Wants To Identify Flying Objects

Bristolian wants to identify flying objects

Monday, Dec 18, 2006 - 12:00 AM

Khristopher Brooks  
Bristol Herald Courier

One August evening in 2003, Kim Shaffer saw something that piqued his curiosity.

"Through the tree branches, it was a copper-colored round disk," he said. "It had no features. It was perfect."

Shaffer and his family spent 15 minutes videotaping the craft. It amazed them, he said. Ever since that day, Shaffer, 50, has devoted most of his free time to researching Unidentified Flying Objects.

He doesn't necessarily believe the UFOs are alien space crafts, but doesn't know what they are, Shaffer says.

"I don't believe in little gray men or little green men, but I have seen things I can't explain," he said. "And I got videos of it."

Shaffer started his research by joining the Mutual UFO Network, a national nonprofit research organization based in Bellvue, Colo.

In May 2004, Shaffer became the East Tennessee state director. In that role, he has collected hundreds, maybe even thousands, of possible UFO pictures and videos from Tennessee residents.

"Wouldn't we all like to know what those things are?" the Bristol native said. "It just does something to you when you've seen one."

One of Shaffer's strangest stories is of Sidney Daugherty.

The 43-year-old Kingsport resident said a silverish, triangle-shaped object flew over him in October 2004. It roared loudly and made him retreat. He tried to videotape it, but his body started radiating.

"And I felt that all through me," Daugherty said. "I felt like I

was hot and cold at the same time, and my hair stood up on me."

Shaffer begged Daugherty to go to the hospital because the Kingsport man had severe burns on his shoulders, and his hair started falling out, Shaffer said.

"My hair was falling out," Daugherty confirmed. "When I got up that Sunday, I remember seeing a handful of hair on my pillow."

Since that sighting, Daugherty said he sees UFOs all the time. His last sighting was little more than a week ago, he said. Not only is he seeing more UFOs, he says they're affecting his health.

"My health is going downhill bad," he said.

Every time Daugherty sees something, he notifies Shaffer, who said he realizes people think he's crazy for talking about UFOs. People have even asked if he's been abducted or probed.

He has not.

"Most people say, 'Well, why haven't I seen a UFO?' Shaffer said. "And I say, 'If you look up long enough, you're gonna see something you can't explain.'"

Shaffer left MUFON in January and decided to start his own group - the Appalachian UFO Research Center.

AUFORC consists of 20 members who, like Shaffer, solicit footage and reports from Tennessee residents about UFOs. The organization posts most of its reports on its Web site, [www.auforc.com](http://www.auforc.com)

Shaffer has bought three video cameras and three digital cameras to capture UFOs.

He doesn't want to be caught off guard like in 2003.

"I'm doing this as a hobby because, frankly, I'd like to know what they are," he said.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://uforeview.net/>]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 19](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 11:45:48 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 16:47:41 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 10:39:16 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>Neither do I. I think that at some point their technological  
>>revolution took a fork in the road, departing from our own in  
>>some critical respects.

>I'm with you, Mac. Only I haven't made up my mind if they took a  
>different fork in the road, or if they've just traveled a few  
>more miles down that road than we have.

>One thing about new technology is that it always looks obvious  
>in retrospect. I call this the "Why didn't I think of that  
>sooner?" reaction. If you showed a modern desktop computer to a  
>scientist in the 1940s, it would completely amaze him. But if  
>you explained the technology used he would say, "why, of  
>course!"

>Similarly, technology used by ultraterrestrials need not be  
>thousands of years in advance of ours. Fifty or sixty years  
>would be plenty.

Where did you get that figure from, Bob, and for what period? I don't think you can make a blanket statement as to what the technological gap is but certainly fifty or sixty years is unrealistic. How would you apply this to Mac's egocentric theory of some symbiotic species occupying the same planets as us when you apply the technology back two hundred years ago?

We've come 60 years since real attention was drawn to this phenomenon in the early 40s. Show me where we've caught up technologically to those objects with present day technology. We are still flying airbreathers, still hamstrung by the Mach number, still scrambling around spending hundreds of millions to get Lighter Than Air technology to work effectively for us, still battling stability issues and maneuverability.

Frankly suggesting 50 to 60 years as a sensible gap even in the present timeline indicates a lack of any real knowledge of aviation advancement.

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 19](#)

## Chupacabras Reappear In Tulancingo Mexico

From: **Scott Corrales** <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 10:54:47 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 18:42:29 -0500  
Subject: Chupacabras Reappear In Tulancingo Mexico

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
December 19, 2006  
Source: El Sol de Mexico

Date: December 10, 2006

Chupacabras Reappears in Tulancingo, Mexico

TULANCINGO, HIDALGO =96 In the community of Lagunilla, belonging to Tulancingo, an unusual case took place yesterday that has made neighbors feel concerned and afraid.

Some said: "The Chupacabras has returned."

Seven sheep were found this morning with brutal injuries. One of them displayed a hole in its side, which caused its death. The rest showed signs of injury, but lived to see another day.

Several years ago, an allegedly strange animal given the name "Chupacabras" entered into several pens and killed dozens of sheep and goats. Last Wednesday morning, Jose Isabel Islas headed for a sheep pen on his property and received a terrible shock upon witnessing the scene. Concerned, he contacted public safety officials in Tulancingo.

The director of this agency, Luis Alvarado Mayorga, stated that the dead sheep had a hole measuring six centimeters in diameter on its side. In this case, the circle was surprisingly well outlined. Jose Isabel added that he had heard his dogs barking that morning, but did not consider the fact to be unusual. About a month ago, he said, he also found a dead sheep =96 eyeless and without a drop of blood. The owner of the flock of sheep said that he was baffled and could not find an answer to this riddle.

Family Sheep Died Under Similar Circumstances

At the site of the animal deaths, Isabel Islas added that 30 sheep belonging to relatives had also been found dead and presenting severe injuries.

At different addresses and times, geese or ducks were the first to succumb, followed by sheep.

Eladio Islas Duarte lost nine animals; Eufrasia, with the same surnames, lost seven, and Porfirio Marquez lost five.

No other neighbor reported a similar situation with his or her flock.

"I=92m certain that dog bites are not to blame," said the complainant. He added that his sheep pen is protected with a fence measuring 1 meter 50 centimeters and a large board. A dog cannot jump such a height.

Veterinarian Asked to Perform Animal Tests

Jose Isabel asked a veterinarian to come and inspect both the dead sheep and the survivors. He fears that the animals may be infected with some disease following the attack, considering

that the attack came from another animal. Furthermore [he would like] the nature of the species that caused such injuries to be determined.

Alvarado Mayorga spoke to the veterinarian of the Municipal Abattoir, who promised to get in touch with the owner of the sheep.

In the meantime, Jose Isabel was doing his best to heal the injuries inflicted upon his animals.

-----

Translation (c) 2006, S. Corrales, IHU. Special thanks to Ana Luisa Cid

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 19](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 11:59:31 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 20:15:31 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Mac Tonnies <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 13:13:26 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 15:33:14 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

><snip>

>>Exactly, Stan. This is about as pointless and fruitless a line  
>>of speculation as I have seen in a while. What next? The hollow  
>>earth?

>"Pointless and fruitless"? I'm not sure we've come to the point  
>where we can confidently make that assertion - although I'm  
>perfectly willing to accept that I could be totally (or  
>partially) wrong.

>The ETH has infatuated us for so long that we've never really  
>entertained any other hypotheses. The cryptoterrestrial idea has  
>been largely ignored precisely because of demonstrably bogus  
>claims re. the "Hollow Earth," etc.

>But once you weed out the Richard Shavers and consider some of  
>Vallee and Keel's ideas - and accept, for sake of argument, that  
>the beings they describe hail from Earth as we know it and not  
>necessarily from some other aspect of reality - then some  
>encounter reports make more sense in light of a  
>"cryptoterrestrial" origin than they due when interpreted along  
>familiar ETH lines.

>I think the cryptoterrestrial hypothesis is a worthy thought  
>experiment. I'm not interested in making extravagant claims; I'm  
>simply suggesting we give it a serious look instead of  
>automatically dismissing it as implausible and paranoid.

>That said, why exactly is it impossible that we share the planet  
>with a humanoid offshoot that's a bit smarter than we are? Given  
>a head-start of even a few hundred years, we might expect them  
>to have successfully camouflaged themselves.

I don't see it as a question of being impossible. But you haven't provided a bit of evidence that some hidden earth based civilization has been able to develop and build highly advanced large and small airborne craft crewed by humanoids and observed all over the Earth. Where is the camouflage? That would mean to blend in so as to be unobservable. Here we have the reverse highly visible, quite extraordinary by our standards, vehicles and behavior. These things aren't built by 3 guys in a garage.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 19](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 12:07:30 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 20:18:26 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 09:44:37 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 15:33:14 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 22:34:09 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>>There have to be some permanent facilities for construction.....  
>>>Anybody seen any evidence of this? 'Manned' by little guys or  
>>>gals?

>>>Sounds very much like science fiction.....

>>Exactly, Stan. This is about as pointless and fruitless a line  
>>of speculation as I have seen in a while. What next? The hollow  
>>earth?

>Jerry,

>Why are you attempting to stifle discussion? Your sneering  
>dismissal without a convincing counter argument of Mac's and my  
>hypothesis says more about you than it does about our proposals.  
>I really would like to know why you displayed such knee jerk  
>reactions to a very under-discussed possibility: We share the  
>planet with another technologically advanced, hominoid  
>civilization.

Ed, no evidence has been put forth to support the hypothesis. We know about Chinese, Russian, Indonesian, English, French etc., etc., etc..... Where is the evidence for a crypto-civilization that has supposedly remained hidden but builds very sophisticated vehicles that are observed all over and has been around for a long time?

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 19](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 12:02:52 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 20:21:36 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 20:48:37 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>To use Occam's razor to defend a "theory" so beset with - to  
>employ the polite noun - difficulties that one doesn't know  
>where to begin (or would wish to bother) is to engage in what  
>amounts to a spectacular case of intellect abuse. Except that it  
>is not fair to talk about the "cryptoterrestrial hypothesis"  
>(sic) in the same sentence as "intellect."

I don't know why this idea scares the hell out of you, Jerry.

Fear is the only thing I can think of that would motivate such a response.

Rather than kneejerk face covering, why not enumerate some of those "difficulties" for those of us too intellectually challenged to see them on our own. I see no difficulties at all from a zoological point of view.

>Your argument ultimately is with exobiologists, then. Take it up  
>with them. The discussion here is about crypto-, not extra-  
>terrestrials, which incidentally have generated a more  
>interesting controversy than will ever emerge from the half-  
>baked notions you - apparently without tongue poking cheek - are  
>advancing. Or, more likely (so anyway I'd like to think), you're  
>just bored and filling empty time.

Actually, I prefer "ultraterrestrial". Those "invisible residents" that Ivan suggested so many years ago.

Actually I am quite busy right now writing two books and not bored at all, but did not want to stand by the roadside and allow truck loads of tripe to pass unchallenged.

This, by definition, has absolutely nothing to do with exobiology. Nor is there any argument with exobiologists. Until we can actually study some extraterrestrial creatures, exobiology is a science in search of subject matter.

>Still, if there is one complaint you ought not to be leveling  
>against anybody else, it's about "many assumptions that cannot  
>be verified." Jeez. The mind staggers and reels, much as it does  
>when a frog calls somebody unprepossessing.

So, go kiss a frog, then.

>Where are you off to next? Atlantis? Lemuria? The Land Beyond  
>the Poles? Shaver's caves? On a Nazi flying saucer?

>C'mon, Bob. Don't waste our time, or yours either, with this  
>silliness. Unless, of course, you have nothing better to do, in  
>which case count me out. I actually have better things to do.

My suggestion: if you think this is silly and a waste of time, just don't read or respond to posts about it. I have better things to do than to argue with someone whose mind is closed.

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 19](#)

## Re: UFO DATA Magazine Jan-Feb-2007 - Mantle

From: Philip Mantle <[philip.nul](mailto:philip.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 18:23:36 -0000  
Fwd Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 20:24:46 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFO DATA Magazine Jan-Feb-2007 - Mantle

UFO DATA Magazine are pleased to announce that the new format magazine has arrived safely to our offices and will be shipping out to subscribers in the next few days.

We would like to thank our printers The Magazine Printing Company on a magnificent job in producing a long awaited glossy UFO magazine for UFO enthusiasts and researchers in the UK and around the world.

If you have not yet subscribed visit our website [www.ufodata.co.uk](http://www.ufodata.co.uk) or send a cheque or postal order to UFO DATA PO Box 280 Leeds LS26 1AN for =A322.00 inc p&p for a one year subscription.

We think you=92ll love it. We do.

Russel Callaghan  
Editor UFODATA Magazine

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 19](#)

## Secrecy News -- 12/19/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 14:00:58 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 20:26:41 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 12/19/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 129  
December 19, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

"Special year-end opportunity to support secrecy news!"  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

\*\* FAS ASKS COURT TO COMPEL NRO COMPLIANCE WITH FOIA  
\*\* GOVT WITHDRAWS SUBPOENA AGAINST ACLU  
\*\* COUNTERINSURGENCY MANUAL FLIES OFF THE SHELF  
\*\* STRAY BITS FROM THE CONGRESSIONAL RESEARCH SERVICE  
\*\* THE GRANDEUR OF ERROR CORRECTION

### FAS ASKS COURT TO COMPEL NRO COMPLIANCE WITH FOIA

The Federation of American Scientists yesterday asked a federal court to enforce a court order directing the National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) to process a Freedom of Information Act request for unclassified budget information after the NRO said it had "decided" not to do so.

In a July 24, 2006 order, D.C. District Judge Reggie B. Walton rejected an NRO claim that the requested budget information was an "operational" file that is exempt from the FOIA. He ordered the agency to process the request.

On September 20, the NRO filed notice that it would appeal that ruling.

Last month, the agency said that in light of the pending appeal it had "decided not to produce the document(s) in question."

By law, however, the NRO is not entitled to make such a decision. Rather, it must request and receive a stay of the court order, which it failed to do.

In a December 18 motion in the case, Aftergood v. National Reconnaissance Office, we asked Judge Walton to enforce his July 24 order. See:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/foia/nro-cbjb/sa121806.pdf>

### GOVT WITHDRAWS SUBPOENA AGAINST ACLU

Last week it emerged that the Department of Justice had adopted the unprecedented tactic of employing a subpoena in order to recover copies of a classified document that had been provided without authorization to the American Civil Liberties Union.

Yesterday, in a swift and somewhat farcical conclusion to the controversy, the government withdrew the subpoena and announced that the document had been declassified.

The use of a subpoena was not intended as a threat, a government attorney wrote to the court, but was issued in response to a "request" from the ACLU, so that the organization would not have to voluntarily surrender the document without "due process."

"The Government issued the subpoena based on [...] what it believed to be the ACLU's request for a subpoena in lieu of voluntarily returning the then-classified document."

See a copy of the withdrawal letter here:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/jud/doj-aclu121806.pdf>

Further background is available in "Government Backs Down in its Attempt to Seize 'Secret' Document," ACLU, December 18:

<http://www.aclu.org/safefree/general/27727prs20061218.html>

and "Prosecutors Drop A.C.L.U. Subpoena in Document Fight" by Adam Liptak, New York Times, December 19:

<http://www.nytimes.com/2006/12/19/us/19leak.html>

#### COUNTERINSURGENCY MANUAL FLIES OFF THE SHELF

The new Army Field Manual on Counterinsurgency doctrine has been downloaded from the Federation of American Scientists web site at an extraordinary rate -- more than 250,000 times since it was posted on Friday morning.

But unlike previous drafts of the document obtained by Secrecy News, the new manual is no secret. It has been published and actively disseminated by the Army.

"Why don't you also put up our press release announcing the manual which can also be found on our web site?" inquired Col. Steven A. Boylan of the Combined Arms Center at Fort Leavenworth. That December 15 news release and the accompanying manual can be found on the Fort Leavenworth web site here:

<http://www.leavenworth.army.mil/>

Col. Boylan also objected to Secrecy News' statement that the new counterinsurgency doctrine was at odds with current U.S. policy in Iraq.

"This manual was in production for about two years and is not and was not intended to counter any current or future policy as you indicate in your article. This document is also not specific to Iraq or Afghanistan. If you understand the basis of doctrine, then you know that our doctrine is geared to be used anywhere our Army might deploy."

#### STRAY BITS FROM THE CONGRESSIONAL RESEARCH SERVICE

"Bosnia and the European Union Military Force (EUFOR): Post-NATO Peacekeeping," updated December 5, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/row/RS21774.pdf>

"Mad Cow Disease and U.S. Beef Trade," updated December 6, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/RS21709.pdf>

#### THE GRANDEUR OF ERROR CORRECTION

On December 17 the New York Times published a correction of a December 3 Times story which said that polonium-210 had been used to power U.S. spacecraft after a December 14 Secrecy News story showed that the claim was almost certainly incorrect:

"An article on Dec. 3 about the many uses of polonium 210 referred incorrectly to the radioactive material utilized in early American satellites. While plans were drawn up to use polonium 210 as a power source, and one federal document said it was used, nuclear experts say that the government decided instead to rely on plutonium 238; no American satellites ever

flew with polonium 210," the Times wrote.

The error was trivial but the correction was grand.

Some institutions and some government officials have an aversion to admitting error, viewing it as a sign of weakness. But admitting and correcting errors paradoxically enhances credibility, not diminishes it. It makes it possible to approximate the truth ever more closely.

An openness to admitting error is also essential to a vital functioning democracy.

The president of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, Gilbert S. Omenn, touched on this point recently in a wide-ranging address published in Science Magazine:

"Science works best in a culture that welcomes challenges to prevailing ideas and nurtures the potential of all of its people. Scientific ways of thinking and of re-evaluating one's views in light of new evidence help strengthen a democracy."

<http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/314/5806/1696>

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:  
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 20](#)

## Re: 50 Most Influential UFO People Of All Time -

From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 16:56:23 EST  
Fwd Date: Wed, 20 Dec 2006 12:26:55 -0500  
Subject: Re: 50 Most Influential UFO People Of All Time -

>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 17:08:26 EST  
>Subject: 50 Most Influential UFO People Of All Time

<snip>

>Listers:

>I love lists, and think they have a certain utility, i.e. they  
>stimulate discussion. Accordingly, from my blog, here is one  
>that may provoke a debate.

>Original at:

><http://tinyurl.com/ykkgcv>

A couple of corrections that I noticed only after I sent the e-mail along.

First, the Robertson Panel was in 1953, not 1952 (hit the wrong number on the keyboard). Second, it's Strieber, not Streiber (again, nasty typos).

Third, Keyhoe wasn't the founder of NICAP, but rather its driving force (thanks to Brad Sparks for the reminder). Finally, Chadwell was the Asst Dir for (not "of") SI from 1950 to 1955 (thanks again to Brad). In the original post, I just focused in on 1952, which might leave the impression that it was the only year that he held the post.

Best regards,

Paul Kimball  
[www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com](http://www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 20](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 17:27:17 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 20 Dec 2006 12:29:37 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 11:45:48 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>Similarly, technology used by ultraterrestrials need not be  
>>thousands of years in advance of ours. Fifty or sixty years  
>>would be plenty.

>Where did you get that figure from, Bob, and for what period? I  
>don't think you can make a blanket statement as to what the  
>technological gap is but certainly fifty or sixty years is  
>unrealistic. How would you apply this to Mac's egocentric theory  
>of some symbiotic species occupying the same planets as us when  
>you apply the technology back two hundred years ago?

>We've come 60 years since real attention was drawn to this  
>phenomenon in the early 40s. Show me where we've caught up  
>technologically to those objects with present day technology. We  
>are still flying airbreathers, still hamstrung by the Mach  
>number, still scrambling around spending hundreds of millions to  
>get Lighter Than Air technology to work effectively for us,  
>still battling stability issues and maneuverability.

>Frankly suggesting 50 to 60 years as a sensible gap even in the  
>present timeline indicates a lack of any real knowledge of  
>aviation advancement.

I don't mean that figure should be taken as some carved-in-stone  
figure. Substitute 100 years or 200 if it makes you more  
comfortable. By haggling over the number I picked, Don,  
you've completely missed the point.

It would seem from our own experience that technology progresses  
slowly up to a certain point and then begins to grow  
geometrically. When I was in college not so terribly long ago,  
the idea that I could have a computer sitting here in front of  
me with multi gigabytes of memory and storage, and a very fast  
processor, would have been laughed at. We have progressed very  
fast in the field of miniaturization.

How many years will it be before we can do what has been  
observed in UFOs? Damned if I know. I suspect if we had a truly  
free exchange of ideas and information rather than all of this  
BS government secrecy, we might have gotten there years ago.

But who is to say that another intelligent species would have  
their technology progress in the same way as ours? A single  
serendipitous discovery can leapfrog over many years of slow  
technological evolution.

The point I was trying to make, anyway, is that the "others"  
(whoever they are, wherever they come from) may not be all that  
far ahead of us. Someone working in a lab somewhere may find a  
way to control inertia tomorrow. Or they may have discovered it  
ten years ago and the government clamped a lid on it. That's  
what we're talking about in UFO movements, an ability to control  
and negate inertia as desired. (Assuming, of course, that UFOs  
are solid objects with mass, an assertion resting on no solid



evidence) .

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 20](#)

## UFO/ET Websites Web-Ring?

From: **Dimitris Hatzopoulos** <[dhatz-ufo.nul](mailto:dhatz-ufo.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 20 Dec 2006 00:36:18 +0200  
Fwd Date: Wed, 20 Dec 2006 12:32:42 -0500  
Subject: UFO/ET Websites Web-Ring?

How to find good UFO information when it's scattered all over the Web? How to make a UFO Web-page known so others who might be interested can find it?

Judging from my own experience, although I had a moderate interest in UFOs in the past. But until a few months ago, I never managed to even scratch the surface of the subject, simply because of the S/N ratio and the need to devote hundreds of hours to "separate the wheat from the chaff"...

Even today in the age of the Internet, it's still quite difficult to locate good information about UFOs via the search engines alone (Google, Yahoo etc), as a large percentage of Google's search results return topics such as occult, "New Age", "paranormal" phenomena, ghosts, demons etc.

Is there is a "web-ring" of more "technical" UFO/ET sites, and if not would others be interested in creating one? (instead of each website maintaining a "Links"-page to others). My UFO-page (URL at the end) is an attempt to create such a catalogue, but I'm probably missing a lot of good sites I haven't found about yet.

Same question about RSS feeds of UFO information.

Dimitris Hatzopoulos  
<http://www.hyper.net/ufo.html>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 20](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 20:54:59 -0600  
Fwd Date: Wed, 20 Dec 2006 12:44:13 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 12:02:52 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 20:48:37 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>To use Occam's razor to defend a "theory" so beset with - to  
>>employ the polite noun - difficulties that one doesn't know  
>>where to begin (or would wish to bother) is to engage in what  
>>amounts to a spectacular case of intellect abuse. Except that it  
>>is not fair to talk about the "cryptoterrestrial hypothesis"  
>>(sic) in the same sentence as "intellect."

>I don't know why this idea scares the hell out of you, Jerry.

I'll keep your stunning insight into my personality in mind next time I read something of yours that is not about me, the one subject on which I am the world's leading authority and about which, I am forced to infer, you know so little that you feel a curiously self-destructive urge to prove it.

To the rest of you:

Over the course of my long career I have consistently defended ufologists as a whole, arguing that the project on which, for all their faults and limitations, they and other anomalists have embarked is a worthwhile one which requires both personal courage and creative intelligence to pursue.

I probably know more about the history of UFO theory and speculation than anybody, not (God knows) because I'm smarter than other people but because back in the 1990s I was paid to research that subject as thoroughly as possible. (It helped, of course, that my involvement in anomalistics stretches back to the 1950s.) The results of my inquiry and analysis, published between book covers, are available to anyone who's not too frightened or too lazy to be interested.

What we have here with the pretentiously titled "cryptoterrestrial hypothesis" is just yet another dreary iteration of a wearily familiar pseudoscientific theme traceable to Atlantis/Lemuria humbug, hollow-earth fancies, 19th-Century occultism, and Richard S. Shaver's disordered imagination. Not, of course, to mention a whole library of science-fiction stories which did all of us the favor of not pretending to be true. I would have liked to think that long-discredited "theories" which shrug off hosts of overwhelming problems, beg questions by the bagful, and ignore history's lessons and science's most minimal requirements would long have faded from discourse of persons who believe they can reason their ways out of paper bags.

Instead, we learn that cryptoterrestrial thinking flows naturally from parsimonious thinking (William of Ockham's razor) and that those who deem it unworthy of consideration by rational

humans suffer not from basic good sense but instead merely cower in fear of some conveniently undefined sort - a fear conjured up, one infers, wholly out of polemical necessity.

If in 2006 this is the sort of thing we still need to contend with, maybe ufology is everything its critics say it is: essentially a confederacy of dunces. I don't believe that, because I know that for all the silliness good people and critical thinkers still work in our little corner of the fringes, even if the extremists want to hear nothing from them or, if they persist anyway, declare them CIA agents.

Look, either we make a conscientious effort to understand phenomena that, as far as we can tell, defy current understanding and that may lead us to some surprising and even paradigm-shaking discoveries, or we fall back into fantasy worlds of our own creation in which we spin "explanations" that come out of nothing and lead us nowhere.

The latter approach is easier, surely more entertaining, but in the end far less rewarding, at least for me. One path is for grown-ups, the other for perpetual adolescents.

Yours in unreasoning terror of cryptoterrestrials,

Jerry Clark

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 20](#)

## Strange Indiana Lights?

From: **Chaz Stuart** <[daydisk2.nul](mailto:daydisk2.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 20 Dec 2006 04:20:26 GMT  
Fwd Date: Wed, 20 Dec 2006 12:45:44 -0500  
Subject: Strange Indiana Lights?

I was wondering if anyone has heard of any reports of strange lights/aircraft in the sky over the Taylorsville-Columbus Indiana area near I-65 in the past month? If so, please let me know.

Thanks ,

Chaz

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 20](#)

## Re: Lie Detector Software - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 21:09:35 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Wed, 20 Dec 2006 12:50:09 -0500  
Subject: Re: Lie Detector Software - Nielsen

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 13:06:12 EST  
>Subject: Lie Detector Software

><https://extras.skype.com/categories/all/good?offset=21>

>This little doohickey is an add on feature to the popular Skype  
>program that allows users worldwide to chat with one another  
>over the internet as one would use a phone.

<snip>

>Now they've added on the Kishkish program that allows you to  
>read the data collected in association with the voice stress  
>levels of the person you're chatting with.

>It's accuracy is of debate, but the potential is astounding.

<snip>

Sorry Greg. This one's only interesting, but still invalid and somewhat time-wasting. But I suppose if one wanted a hobby.....

From:

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Voice\\_stress](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Voice_stress)

"Voice stress analysis is often applied as a type of lie detector. The technique's effectiveness remains debated."

Polygraphs have had a similar history.

From:

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/MMPI>

"The famous, (or infamous, depending on one's experience), MMPI, or Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory, also has an 'L' scale which used to be referred to as the "lie" scale. But at best this scale only indicated possible responses which tended toward "naive or unsophisticated attempts by people to present themselves in an overly favorable light."

These "lie detectors" only work with the less practiced liars; and only those whom one can "make" feel guilty. Actors, and lawyers, prove this everyday.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 20](#)

## NASA Inspector General Investigation Of Censorship

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 20 Dec 2006 13:10:56 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 20 Dec 2006 13:10:56 -0500  
Subject: NASA Inspector General Investigation Of Censorship

One has to wonder what the following NASA internal 'memo' refers to...

Richard Hoagland was on Coast To Coast AM - Dec 14th, observing that NASA is now encoding images for public release in a ".jpg 2000" format which renders them useless for detailed analysis by US taxpayers who fund NASA...

ebk

-----

Source: SpaceRef.Com - Victoria, British Columbia, Canada

<http://www.spaceref.com/news/viewsr.html?pid=22771>

Thursday, December 14, 2006

NASA JPL Internal Memo: Hold Order: NASA Inspector General Investigation of Censorship

Censorship  
STATUS REPORT  
Date Released: Thursday, December 14, 2006  
Source: Jet Propulsion Laboratory

December 5, 2006

Subject: HOLD ORDER: NASA Inspector General Investigation of Censorship

Caltech recently was notified by NASA that the NASA Office of Inspector General, at congressional request, is conducting an investigation into allegations of censorship of scientific information at NASA. As a result, Caltech/JPL must retain any government documents related to the matters identified below. This email constitutes a "Hold Order" regarding these documents and is being sent to those individuals who we believe may have had dealings with NASA with respect to NASA practices or policies, past or current, affecting dissemination of scientific information to the public.

Any otherwise applicable procedures with regard to document destruction (and all related procedures) are suspended in connection with any of the following records, data, and/or information identified below. Documents covered by this email shall be removed immediately from any pending or future destruction process until further notice (including the removal from and retention of any relevant emails in "Deleted Items" or similar folders). This email is inclusive of all hard copy, electronic, and alternative media on which records, data, and/or information may be stored and also refers to all versions,



drafts and copies in existence and in your possession.

All records referring or relating to NASA practices or policies, past or current, affecting dissemination of scientific information to the public and the media must be preserved. These records include:

- \* All documents received from or sent to NASA referring or relating to the application of NASA practices or policies affecting dissemination of scientific information to the public in connection with any JPL activities;
- \* All documents received from or sent to NASA reflecting or related to any complaints or allegations of wrongdoing regarding NASA practices or policies affecting dissemination of scientific information to the public in connection with any JPL activities; and
- \* All documents received from or sent to NASA reflecting or related to the establishment or implementation of any NASA policy or practice with respect to the dissemination of scientific information to the public.

You are specifically instructed to:

1. Retain all records and information identified above, including draft versions of records and information (including materials containing notes or handwritten comments.) While not all of these records may be government records subject to disclosure, all described records should be preserved to avoid destruction of evidence.
2. Create a new email folder in your Personal Folders titled "Censorship Hold Order 2006," and move to that folder all sent or received emails (including deleted emails still residing in your system) and attachments relevant to the subject matters identified above to prevent against their inadvertent deletion.
3. All electronic documents pertaining to the subject identified above shall be copied to and retained in a separate folder labeled with Censorship Hold Order 2006.

In addition to preserving any responsive documents received or sent by the Caltech/JPL Chief Information Officer ("CIO"), the CIO shall take such steps as are deemed necessary to assist other individuals in preserving potentially relevant electronic records, including but not limited to preserving copies of individual emails, home directories, local drives, shared file servers or other locations where responsive documents are found to be located.

Electronic data preservation efforts do not relieve you of your duty to diligently comply with all elements of the hold order. Failure to do so may constitute destruction of evidence and/or destruction of Federal records. No employee will be subjected to retaliation for reporting a compliance concern in good faith or for good faith participation in any investigation or other proceeding related to such a report, even if Caltech/JPL ultimately concludes that there was no violation of any law or regulation.

If you need assistance in determining what is covered by this order, if you know of other Caltech/JPL employees who should receive this order, if you have compliance issues or if you have further questions or need assistance, please contact Victoria Stratman, Office of the General Counsel at 818-354-5712.

#### Distribution List

Charles Elachi  
Dan McCleese  
Tom Prince  
Moustafa Chahine  
Paul Dimotakis  
Eric Antonsson  
Pete Theisinger  
Bill Langer  
Blaine Baggett  
Steve Kulczycki  
Jim Rinaldi  
Tom Renfro

Lani Debenedictis

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 20](#)

## Alien Hunters Get Green Light To Stay On Station

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 20 Dec 2006 15:45:39 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 20 Dec 2006 15:45:39 -0500  
Subject: Alien Hunters Get Green Light To Stay On Station

Source: The Times - London, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/yemg96>

December 20, 2006

Alien Hunters Given Green Light To Stay On Station  
Dan Sabbagh

Alien-hunting radio astronomers in the Netherlands and Britain emerged, bizarrely, as the unlikely winners from Ofcom's radio spectrum review yesterday.

The communications regulator ordered a wholesale clearout of the lucrative spectrum between 470 and 862 megahertz, elbowing out free-to-air broadcasters and defence group BAE Systems.

Only one group - radio astronomers - will be allowed to stay at the heart of the most lucrative chunk of the radio spectrum. Jodrell Bank and a group of other sites use the so-called Channel 38, a special quiet zone sandwiched between 606 and 614 MHz.

That part of the spectrum has historically been kept free from interference because it is used to try to detect faint signals from outside the solar system, as part of the UK - and Dutch - hunt for extraterrestrial life.

Most of the rest of the freed-up spectrum was used by the BBC, ITV, Channel 4 and Five, but BAE Systems monopolises Channel 36 between 590 and 598MHz for radar. The frequencies are thought to be attractive for mobile television and there are other radar frequencies available that the company can adopt at what was described as a cost of no more than a few million.

Microphones used to amplify plays and outdoor events from Mary Poppins to Live 8 also use the broadcast frequencies but these too will have to move within the overall band. Their spectrum use, though, is minimal and the expectation is that wireless mics will be able to operate in the gaps between future spectrum users.

[Thanks to Stuart Miller of <http://uforeview.net/>]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 21](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 20 Dec 2006 11:15:17 EST  
Fwd Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 06:53:13 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 11:59:31 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Mac Tonnies <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 13:13:26 -0800 (PST)  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

<snip>

>>That said, why exactly is it impossible that we share the planet  
>>with a humanoid offshoot that's a bit smarter than we are? Given  
>>a head-start of even a few hundred years, we might expect them  
>>to have successfully camouflaged themselves.

>I don't see it as a question of being impossible.

In a field where it's all theory, exactly so. Some possibilities  
may be more likely than others, but they're all possible.

>But you haven't provided a bit of evidence that some hidden  
>earth based civilization has been able to develop and build highly  
>advanced large and small airborne craft crewed by humanoids  
>and observed all over the Earth.

Stan:

I haven't seen Feschino's evidence about massive aerial battles  
either, but that hasn't stopped you or Frank from chatting it  
up. :-) Remember, Mac's book isn't even out yet - I think it  
says something about people who start attacking without having  
seen the evidence he may or may not have been able to pull  
together.

I'm sure Nick Redfern can relate!

As for the need for craft, why? If this indigenous group (and  
Mac doesn't call them a civilization, which implies an  
infrastructure - in fact, he goes out of his way to note that  
they are probably nomadic, and may seek to blend in) is well  
advanced of us, these things being seen in the sky may in fact  
be holographic projections of some sort, which could explain the  
fact that we don't actually have any wreckage from one, or  
something like that.

Unless of course one believes that we do have wreckage, or some  
such, which I haven't seen any conclusive evidence of this, and  
I don't think the majority of people would accept it as a proven  
fact (the ironies of this growing debate about CT's are rather  
amusing).

>Where is the camouflage? That would mean to blend in so as to be  
>unobservable. Here we have the reverse highly visible, quite  
extraordinary >by our standards, vehicles and behavior. These  
things aren't built by 3 >guys in a garage.

Again, here you offer a conclusion for something that most

people still only accept as a hypothesis (that we have seen structured, physical vehicles, as opposed to something else), in order to shoot down someone else's hypothesis.

As I watch this "debate" develop, I have a feeling that Mac is about to become our generation's "heretic amongst heretics", in which case he'll be in good company.

I say this as someone who still regards the ETH as the most likely of the paranormal theories, but who is also willing to keep an open mind, and wait to see what someone like Mac might have to say, and what evidence he may offer, before I rush to judgment, or casually dismiss him and his ideas out of hand.

After all, isn't that exactly what ETH-proponents often accuse mainstream science of doing?

Best regards,

Paul Kimball  
[www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com](http://www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 21](#)

## What's Missing In UFO/Entity Situation?

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 20 Dec 2006 19:51:26 -0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 06:59:48 -0500  
Subject: What's Missing In UFO/Entity Situation?

Hello List,

The problem with analyses of 'the UFO/Entity situation' is that folk take positions, with others fancying a slightly different but exclusive view. That means each side spends time denying others' evidence, instead of trying to improve all evidence collection.

So let's step back as far as we can, to the question 'are causes needed anyway'? The two possible answers show a big gulf in society - maybe moving 'our way' slightly now. Well, you can't cross a gulf in small logical steps so let's leap across with a tentative hypothesis - that causes are needed because evidence and testimony is so abundant.

And from here on let's adopt a fairly obvious axiom - that proven GovMil cover-ups are evidence of 'something', just as a radioactive footprint would be.

To one side we see straight physical corporeality - but there's a disturbing factor in all extensive cases where enough detail is visible. That is, it's never possible to resolve the facts into a totally understandable path or scenario: there are gaps of logical motivation or of physical 'impossibility' in testimony.

The other way, it's a fog of non-corporeality: shape-shifting, disappearances, disembodied voices, altered time - which could plausibly be called 'subjective' or 'impossible' phenomena. Again there is no reasonable path or scenario that fits the entirety, and partial matches are apparently absurd.

Let's consider that as a possible common factor of both the corporeal and non-corporeal evidence. Where in the human experience do we find areas abounding with 'facts', and self-promoting opinions masquerading as theory, but without any sure ground underfoot?

Right - 'quantum physics' and 'psychology' - which are mirror images of each other: the former can make sure and accurate predictions without any 'sensible' explanation, the latter overflows with confident explanation but has not one case, so far as I know, of accurate prediction. Officially, in both of these 'sciences', there has been no real advance, beyond the accumulation of data and case-histories, for half a century or more.

Yet we know that GovMil has been playing with 'advanced propulsion', and more, for some decades, and, more interestingly, with 'telepathy' - 'mind control' for approximately same time - and seems to be able to justify spending millions, maybe billions on these areas. Areas where publicly we are told there is nothing happening - no advances.

Is this coincidence? Is it further coincidence that all of these abnormal disjunctures seem to have their origin around a half century ago?

Ask yourself these questions:

#1 - would GovMil want real advances in physics, or more powerful weapons?

#2 - would GovMil want real advances in psychology, or mind-control?

#3 - did GovMil see a potential source of any of these, starting about half a century ago?

To find out - and knowing the first rule of GovMil is "Never let people know the truth" - we have to ask 'what has GovMil spent a lot of time, trouble and money on - without acknowledgement or admission'? Link to Project Grudge ('47) and Robertson Panel ('53), their wording stresses and all later consequences.

Yup - 'Executive' agencies of GovMil have been heavily and unaccountably into UFO/Entity cover-ups, flaps, complex fake disclosure hoaxes, often followed by equally complex (and fake) debunkings. So much so that it still seems dangerous to inquire too closely into the intrigue, corruption and possible assassinations caused by the activities - even past activities dating back say fifty or so years.

Remembering our axiom, it seems fairly clear that question #3 can and maybe should be answered "yes".

Not only that, the two oddities - the 'unexplainables' in UFO/Entity evidence and the equally strange 'gaps' in our human sciences could also fit together like a belt buckle. So is one the cause of the other? I.e. - can (some of) the UFO/Entities control physicality beyond our science and prevent our detecting them consistently? - or - can (some of) the UFO/Entities control our mentality, and prevent our perceiving them consistently?

Which brings us back around to GovMil suppression of UFO/Entity evidence, and probable suppression of physics & psychology evidence. Link to criminalization of UFO reporting by military personnel - criminalization of civilian contact with UFOs or Entities - (both illogically accompanied by constant denial of existence of UFO/Entities) - known 'experiments' with mind-control (ongoing?).

Looks like a vicious circle, one with walls constructed by GovMil. Why say that?

Well, significantly, all reported contacts with UFO/Entities have been with ordinary folk. Indeed this has been a source of criticism of the evidence - "Why should they always want to talk to Joe Soap - why not land on the White House lawn" ad-nauseum.

But what could it really indicate? Most likely - that some idea of ordinary folk is what they are so far missing.

Cheers

Ray D

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 21](#)

## Walter Cronkite & UFOs An Update

From: **Richard W. Heiden** <[rwheidenu.nul](mailto:rwheidenu.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 20 Dec 2006 17:30:43 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 07:49:12 -0500  
Subject: Walter Cronkite & UFOs An Update

This is an update to my previous contribution on this subject. That article at:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2004/dec/m30-025.shtml>

I am repeating the text below, followed by the update.

-----

This is slightly revised from the article I wrote for The Star Beacon Vol. XVIII, No. 10, October 2004, pp. 12 and 16.

Address: [www.earthstarpublications.com](http://www.earthstarpublications.com)

Earth Star  
Publications,  
P.O. Box 117;  
Paonia, Colo. 81428-0117.

[The address may change in January 2007, but the Web site should remain as [starbeacon.nul](mailto:starbeacon.nul) ]

The original April 2004 article was reprinted from Dr. Robert Paul Jones's "MainStreet Outreach," which mistakenly bylined the article as William Krill.

---

Walter Cronkite and UFOs

by Richard W. Heiden

The April 2004 "Star Beacon" published a reprint of "Walter Cronkite=92s UFO encounter." Some Internet research turned the article up on numerous sites, but the original seems to be:

<http://www.paranormalnews.com/article.asp?articleID=3D698>

which Bill Knell posted Aug. 4, 2003. [One of the 35 Web sites I found Dec. 8, 2006

<http://www.jumpx.com/articles/Entertainment/Walter-Cronkites-UFO-Encounter.html>

dates the article even before that, on July 8, 2003. I was searching for "UFO Knell Cronkite Pacific," trying - without success - to find another expos=E9 of Knell's article. But it seems mine was the only one.]

I have reason to be suspicious of this article.

Knell claimed that Walter Cronkite had confided in him his 1950s UFO sighting while observing a USAF missile test in the Pacific. This brings to mind Robin Leach=92s infamous interview of Cronkite in the "National Enquirer" of Dec. 14, 1976 (p. 29), titled "Top TV Newscaster Walter Cronkite: I=92m Convinced UFOs Exist."

Unfortunately, Leach=92s interview never happened. In Cronkite=92s keynote speech at the Radio Television News Directors Association convention in Bal Harbour, Fla., on Dec. 13, 1976

(the day before the "Enquirer"=92s cover date, but a few days after its appearance on the newsstands), he roundly denounced it. The Dec. 14 article in the Milwaukee "Journal" (citing "Press Dispatches") reported, "His sharpest attacks were for a recent full page National Enquirer story claiming that Cronkite believed in flying saucers. Cronkite called it 'a total lie from beginning to end.'"

The following June, the National News Council upheld a complaint by MUFON=92s Elmer Kral about the "Enquirer"=92s UFO coverage, specifically the Cronkite piece and another one that misquoted Sen. Harrison Schmitt of New Mexico. (AP from New York in Milwaukee "Journal", June 24, 1977.) At that time the "Enquirer" made a pathetic attempt to defend its articles. But the next month a lengthy article about the "National Enquirer" by John Holusha in the Washington "Star" included this passage: "Since the paper was deeply embarrassed by a mistake over Walter Cronkite=92s attitude toward UFOs and the disclosure on national television that it ran a faked picture, the "Enquirer" has gone on an accuracy binge." (The picture is unrelated to the Cronkite story.) Holusha=92s article was reprinted in the Appleton (Wis.) "Post-Crescent" of July 24, 1977.

I can also provide some enlightenment about the 1950s UFO sighting by Cronkite that he allegedly described to Knell. It sounds an awful lot like the 1966 incident that took place in the Caribbean, specifically on Andros Island in the Bahamas, which Leach included in his article, allegedly quoting Cronkite. However, Cronkite was reporting it second hand. Later in the article, Leach said that "Cronkite himself has never spotted a UFO."

It is because of the Andros Island case that I have taken an interest in the "Enquirer"=92s supposed Cronkite interview, after meeting noted Spanish UFO researcher, the late Antonio Ribera, at the Acapulco UFO congress of April 1977. The Andros Island witness had reported it to Ralph Blum after seeing him on a Florida television show, because the San Jos=E9 de Valderas photo (now considered a hoax =97 R.W.H.) shown on the program had the UMMO symbol that was also seen on Andros Island.

Antonio heard about it from Willy Smith, and included it briefly in his book "Los Doce Tri=Elngulos de la Muerte" (A.T.E., Barcelona, Spain, 1976, p. 44), but asked me to try to get more details. After some correspondence with Blum, he eventually referred me to veteran ufologist Ted Bloecher. Ted sent me his transcript of Blum=92s interview with the witness. The exact date of the incident was May 21, 1966. Ted told me the name of the man he is convinced heard about the Andros Island case from Blum and passed it on to Leach; however, the individual denied it.

Antonio included more details on Andros Island and the Enquirer/Cronkite affair in his subsequent books "El Misterio de Ummo" (Plaza y Jan=E9s, Esplugas de Llobregat [Barcelona], Spain, 1979, pp. 111-116) and (especially) "Ummo: La Incre=EDble Verdad" (Plaza & Jan=E9s, Esplugues de Llobregat [Barcelona], Spain, 1985, pp. 368-413).

For more expos=E9s of the "Enquirer"=92s Cronkite interview, see: Green Bay (Wis.) "Press-Gazette", March 5, 1978; "GSW Bulletin", Dec. 1977; "Contactos Extraterrestres" (Mexico City UFO magazine), no. 21 (my own letter to the editor); and Allan Hendry, "The UFO Handbook", p. 222.

This author attempted to reach Walter Cronkite numerous times (23 times from May 9 through Dec. 18, 2004) for his comments on this matter, using two e-mail addresses found on the Web ([mail.nul](mailto:mail.nul) and [info.nul](mailto:info.nul)). So far there has been no reply.

---

Here ends my previous post. Eventually I did hear from Walter Cronkite. What follows is a compilation of my correspondence with him (almost all of it one-way):

---

E-mails addressed to:

[mail.nul](mailto:mail.nul), [info.nul](mailto:info.nul)

May 9, 15 (adding 2nd address), 24; June 2, 11, 17;  
July 7, 14, 26; Aug. 18, 2; Sep. 3, 17, 21, 28; Oct.  
6, 16, 27; Nov. 6, 18, 24; Dec 10, 18, 2004; Jan. 15;  
Feb. 5; March 5, 21; April 6&21; May 27, June 3, 2005

E-Mails addressed to Cronkite via "The Connection":

<http://www.theconnection.org/contactus/>

on 11/6/04, the Web site said that an interview with  
Cronkite was broadcast "this week"; 11/6/04, 1/15/05;  
2/5/05, 3/5, 3/21, 4/6, 5/27

---

Date: Fri, 3 Jun 2005 10:40:05 -0700 (PDT)  
From: "Rich Heiden" [rwheidenn.nul](mailto:rwheidenn.nul)  
Subject: for Walter Cronkite  
To: [mail.nul](mailto:mail.nul), [info.nul](mailto:info.nul)  
CC: "Ann Ulrich Miller" [ulrichac.nul](mailto:ulrichac.nul)

[her more permanent e-mail address is [UFOeditor.nul](mailto:UFOeditor.nul) -  
R.W.H., Dec. 2006]

Website about you and UFOs

May 9, 15 (adding 2nd addressee), 24; June 2, 11, 17;  
July 7, 14, 26; Aug. 18, 2; Sep. 3, 17, 21, 28; Oct.  
6, 16, 27; Nov. 6, 18, 24; Dec 10, 18, 2004; Jan. 15  
(2005); Feb. 5; March 5, 21; April 6; May 27; June 3

Dear Mr. Cronkite,

I know that back in 1976, you repudiated a fictional National  
Enquirer interview by Robin Leach (Dec. 14, 1976) in which you  
supposedly expressed an acceptance of UFO reports, and included  
a 1966 Andros Island sighting you had heard about.

I recently read a published article that seems to be based on  
the Enquirer story, but moving the Andros Island incident to the  
Pacific in the 1950's, and claiming that you were a witness to  
it yourself. Some Internet research turned this up on numerous  
sites, but the original seems to be:

<http://www.paranormalnews.com/article.asp?articleID=3D698>

It was posted by Bill Knell on Aug. 4, 2003. His article was  
allegedly based on what you told him when he was on your 1973 TV  
special about UFOs. (I don't remember such a program; maybe it  
was the 1966 show.)

I only looked at the first 13 of 31 pages of "hits,"  
but didn't find any response by you to the article.  
Maybe you are just learning about it now. I have  
already composed an expos=E9 of Knell's article, but any  
comments by you that I could add would be most  
appreciated. (I'm sorry, but I can't e-mail my article  
now - I submitted a paper copy to the newsletter where  
I first saw Knell's article [it gave his name  
incorrectly as William Krill], and asked the editor to  
e-mail it back when she types it for publication, but  
she hasn't done so yet. The publication's web site is

<http://earthstar.tripod.com/>

but the April issue is not there anymore.)

Please address Bill Knell's article point-by-point - including  
specifically your belief in UFOs, the personal sighting, the  
1973 TV show, and your having lunch with him. Even apart from  
the accuracy of that particular article by Bill Knell, I am  
interested in your present opinions on UFOs. Though in 1976 you  
apparently didn't "believe in" them, your opinion might have  
changed since then. I know of a number of UFO scoffers who came  
to change their mind after reading some well-documented books on  
the subject, or hearing about a sighting by a friend or  
relative. [This paragraph expanded Nov. 6, 2004.]

Thank you very much for your reply. Please reply directly to me, as my local newspaper does not carry your column (and in fact I didn't know about it until looking for your e-mail address now), though I read July 14

<http://www.jsonline.com/enter/tvradio/jul04/243320.asp>

that you are dropping the column anyway.

Sincerely yours,

Richard W. Heiden  
4076 N. 70 St.  
Milwaukee WI 53216-1129

P.S. If you want to see my article, I will remind the newsletter editor to e-mail it to me. (July 14 [2004], I added her address for Cc.)

---

From: "Sukman, Julie P" [jpsukman.nul](mailto:jpsukman.nul)  
To: "'Rich Heiden'" [rwheidenn.nul](mailto:rwheidenn.nul)  
Subject: RE: for Walter Cronkite: Website about you and UFOs  
Date: Tue, 7 Jun 2005 12:26:41 -0400

[Despite the June 7 date of his e-mail, it was specifically my e-mail of Jan. 15 that she was replying to]

Dear Mr. Heiden:

Please forgive the unforgivable delay in answering your email. It has been going to the site listed for Mr. Cronkite's column which has undergone some construction, moving around, etc.

I will send Mr. Cronkite a copy of your email, along with Mr. Knell's article, as soon as possible and will keep you abreast of anything Mr. Cronkite feels he may need to address.

Thank you for your enduring patience!

Best,

Julie  
Assistant to Mr. Cronkite

---

From: "Sukman, Julie P" [jpsukman.nul](mailto:jpsukman.nul)  
To: "'[rwheidenn.nul](mailto:rwheidenn.nul)'" [rwheidenn.nul](mailto:rwheidenn.nul)  
Subject: Response from Walter Cronkite  
Date: Fri, 10 Jun 2005 15:42:11 -0400

Dear Mr. Heiden:

The Enquirer article to which you refer was entirely fictitious. No such interview ever took place.

I did not then and do not now give any credence whatever to the existence of UFOs.

I cannot in any instance recall such a story as is claimed I told to Bill Knell regarding a missile test on a remote island. I am sure that if I had witnessed such an incident I would have at some point reported it -- most certainly in my book A Reporter=92s Life.

Sincerely,

Walter Cronkite

---

Date: Tue, 26 Jul 2005 06:31:14 -0700 (PDT)  
From: "Rich Heiden" [rwheidenn.nul](mailto:rwheidenn.nul)  
Subject: Mr. Cronkite, thank you for your reply  
To: "Sukman, Julie P" [jpsukman.nul](mailto:jpsukman.nul)

Dear Mr. Cronkite:

Thank you so much for your reply to my letter about your

supposed "belief in" UFOs, and personal sighting.

I have to say that I am disappointed that you are still anti-UFO. You added that if you had heard from a first-hand witness to an incident like the one at Andros Island, you would have at least included it in your memoirs. But I do appreciate the fact that you have not really been outspoken on the subject, other than in response to the Enquirer piece, so it isn't as though you have gone out of your way to criticize UFOs. And maybe the 1966 CBS "documentary" you narrated, entitled "UFOs: Friend, Foe, or Fantasy," was just another job to you.

Just recently I read a passage that I think speaks to the attitude of you and others. Although Budd Hopkins was talking specifically about UFO abductions, I think it is equally applicable to UFOs in general:

"I have found that journalists, scientists and academics fall into two basic groups with respect to the UFO abduction phenomenon. The first, as exemplified by those I have mentioned here, along with scores of others, are initially skeptical but open-minded, even curious about the abduction accounts. They recognize that if we are actually being visited by another, non-human intelligence, then nothing could be more important, and they have therefore taken steps to educate themselves. The second, much larger group is made up of those (usually skeptical) individuals who are woefully uninformed about the phenomenon. Many, exercising a kind of intellectual snobbery, hold the subject beneath contempt because of its admittedly bizarre nature. Others are more innocent in their ignorance, having never bothered to pay these consistent reports any attention - though they often feel qualified to discount them, nevertheless." (From his chapter in David M. Jacobs, ed., "UFOs and Abductions," University Press of Kansas, Lawrence, Kans., 2000, pp. 239-240.)

Do you find yourself in the last group? (I mean, specifically, the second subset of the second group.) Or have you undertaken to learn a bit more about UFOs since the 1966 program? Even if you didn't, maybe somebody has at least called these facts to your attention in the intervening years:

1. When a USAF spokesman claimed on that program that no UFOs have been seen on radar, it was a blatant lie. There have been numerous radar (and radar/visual) incidents. One series of famous incidents took place over and near Washington, D.C., in July of 1952.

See

<http://www.nicap.dabsol.co.uk/wns.htm>,  
[http://dewoody.net/ufo/Wash\\_DC\\_UFO.html](http://dewoody.net/ufo/Wash_DC_UFO.html)  
and  
<http://www.project1947.com/fig/1952d.htm>

By Dec. 8, 2006, the second URL address didn't work anymore.

2. Thornton Page, a participant in the 1953 CIA-sponsored "Robertson Panel" on UFOs (which led to years of "debunking" by the Air Force), wrote shortly after your 1966 program that he had "helped organize the CBS TV show around the Robertson Panel conclusions."

See paragraph 5 of

<http://www.ufoevidence.org/documents/doc947.htm>

[By Dec. 8, 2006, this no longer worked; see instead

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Robertson\\_Panel](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Robertson_Panel) and

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2002/aug/m16-030.shtml>

among others].

In case you do feel motivated to learn more about the subject of UFOs, I highly recommend the above-cited book edited by David Jacobs. If your interest is more than superficial, I could suggest additional titles; just ask me. On the other hand, if you have no curiosity whatsoever in the subject, you need not reply, and I will understand from that what your attitude is.

Thank you again for your letter, and for your further consideration.

Richard Heiden  
4076 N 70 St.  
Milwaukee, WI 53216-1129

-----

Despite a second mailing of the above letter on Dec. 11, 2006, Walter Cronkite did not reply.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 21](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: **Brad Sparks** <[RB47x.nul](mailto:RB47x.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 03:45:35 EST  
Fwd Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 08:40:13 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

This idea has been around for a long time under various names such as Ultraterrestrials and Intraterrestrials, Inter-Dimensional Hypothesis, etc. I'm sure someone can make hairsplitting distinctions between them if they really tried but they really are basically the same idea.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 21](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 09:12:59 EST  
Fwd Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 10:54:45 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Mac Tonnies <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 18:50:04 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 08:48:26 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>One point I'd like to add. I agree with Mac that these creatures  
>>seem technically superior to us, but in a different way. I don't  
>>think they understand our nuclear technology or use internal  
>>combustion engines.

<snip>

>It seems reasonable to assume that if we're sharing the planet  
>with a secretive humanoid species, they'd be interested in  
>monitoring our military/technological development.

>Mac

>Mac Tonnies <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
>Mac's website: <http://www.mactonnies.com>  
>Mac blogs at: <http://posthumanblues.blogspot.com>

Leapin' lizards Mac! ( pun intended )

Be careful not to shake the ETH crowd with an alternate hypothesis you may get condescending remarks, sneers, and furrowed brows!

The word cryptoterrestrial is too much for me to chew on but I understand why it's being used here. The theory that we humans are not the shining glory of intelligent evolution produced by mother Earth is quite unsettling for some. Mind you it wasn't that long ago that the idea we and the apes had common ancestors could have gotten a guy strung up. Oh wait, a guy can still find himself in a jam in some parts of the world if he mentions evolution. My bad.

People, especially intellectuals, have a tendency to get comfortable with a viewpoint and balk at anything that shakes that tree. I remember as a kid how the concept of aliens was considered absurd and the stuff that mental wards were made of.

Now it's so accepted that to contradict it can raise the ire of one's fellows.

I felt long ago and said so many times that we don't know what the heck has happened on this planet and we shouldn't finalize everything based on some digs here and there. The subject of archaeology, or forbidden archaeology is still there. I have in my midst one professor of a major college who sits here and tells me of the vast storages of artifacts (ie bones tools, mechanical devices ) that have gone untouched for over a century in his archives. Some defy the very pattern of human development



we've grown to accept. I recall as a youth the violent responses of the American populace when artifacts from Africa or S. America showing the peoples of those regions having used advanced technologies predating European societies were presented. I remember first hand seeing scientists spat on or threatened by people who could not comprehend that black or brown or red or yellow people could have developed technologies unfathomable to modern science.

Bigotry does science no good.

So now we have the 'Bigotry of the Weird' wherein one weird idea goes on for so long it becomes accepted and anything else weird is rejected.

We all know that all the UFOs are alien craft piloted by the superior beings from other planets who are eons ahead of us technologically and therefore must be morally our superior too because we're just puny violent humans and the space brothers are here to usher us into a new age of enlightenment.

Yeah, if any of you believe that I have a bridge in Brooklyn for sale.

If history tells us one thing, it's that advanced technology has nothing to do with one's moral objectives.

So Mac, no matter how much has been dug up regarding artifacts that may support your theory, the ETH stands and I'm sure you'll get flack from rattling the cage there.

Evidence of past advanced civilizations? We can't find any? I wonder what those big pyramid shaped things in Egypt are? Oh, that's right, they can't be from an advanced civilization in Africa because the people there are black.

See what I mean?

We've had a handful of archaeologists over the past 100 years digging in areas probably accumulative to the size of a small town and we're basing everything on that. Sounds limited to me.

Bottom line is, we don't know what's gone on here on Earth. We can only speculate based on our own viewpoints and historical experiences. We don't know everything about the mind, spirit, or physics yet so we don't know what can or cannot be done. There's just as much proof for Mac's theory as there is for the ETH if not more.

Camouflage, deception, are tools of both predator and prey.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 21](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 10:16:21 -0400  
Fwd Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 10:58:27 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 20 Dec 2006 11:15:17 EST  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 11:59:31 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>>From: Mac Tonnies <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 13:13:26 -0800 (PST)  
>>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

><snip>

>>>That said, why exactly is it impossible that we share the planet  
>>>with a humanoid offshoot that's a bit smarter than we are? Given  
>>>a head-start of even a few hundred years, we might expect them  
>>>to have successfully camouflaged themselves.

>>I don't see it as a question of being impossible.

>In a field where it's all theory, exactly so. Some possibilities  
>may be more likely than others, but they're all possible.

>>But you haven't provided a bit of evidence that some hidden  
>>earth based civilization has been able to develop and build highly  
>>advanced large and small airborne craft crewed by humanoids  
>>and observed all over the Earth.

>Stan:

>I haven't seen Feschino's evidence about massive aerial battles  
>either, but that hasn't stopped you or Frank from chatting it  
>up. :-) Remember, Mac's book isn't even out yet - I think it  
>says something about people who start attacking without having  
>seen the evidence he may or may not have been able to pull  
>together.

>I'm sure Nick Redfern can relate!

>As for the need for craft, why? If this indigenous group (and  
>Mac doesn't call them a civilization, which implies an  
>infrastructure - in fact, he goes out of his way to note that  
>they are probably nomadic, and may seek to blend in) is well  
>advanced of us, these things being seen in the sky may in fact  
>be holographic projections of some sort, which could explain the  
>fact that we don't actually have any wreckage from one, or  
>something like that.

>Unless of course one believes that we do have wreckage, or some  
>such, which I haven't seen any conclusive evidence of this, and  
>I don't think the majority of people would accept it as a proven  
>fact (the ironies of this growing debate about CT's are rather  
>amusing).

>>Where is the camouflage? That would mean to blend in so as to be

>>unobservable. Here we have the reverse highly visible, quite  
>extraordinary >by our standards, vehicles and behavior. These  
>things aren't built by 3 >guys in a garage.

>Again, here you offer a conclusion for something that most  
>people still only accept as a hypothesis (that we have seen  
>structured, physical vehicles, as opposed to something else), in  
>order to shoot down someone else's hypothesis.

>As I watch this "debate" develop, I have a feeling that Mac is  
>about to become our generation's "heretic amongst heretics", in  
>which case he'll be in good company.

>I say this as someone who still regards the ETH as the most  
>likely of the paranormal theories, but who is also willing to  
>keep an open mind, and wait to see what someone like Mac might  
>have to say, and what evidence he may offer, before I rush to  
>judgment, or casually dismiss him and his ideas out of hand.

>After all, isn't that exactly what ETH proponents often accuse  
>mainstream science of doing?

I heard Mac's paper in Halifax and have read what he has said  
here. I repeat, no evidence has been presented.

It is a concept much like fictional notions put out by sci-fi  
writers. This is in contrast with the physical trace cases, the  
multiple witness multiple site radar visual sightings etc., etc.

Projections require projectors and an intelligence to operate  
and manufacture them. The cases in your forthcoming Best Evidence  
Documentary can hardly be explained by cryptos.

Stan Friedman  
[www.stantonfriedman.com](http://www.stantonfriedman.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 21](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 11:12:12 -0500 (GMT-05:00)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 11:14:52 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 20 Dec 2006 11:15:17 EST  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 11:59:31 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>>From: Mac Tonnies <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Mon, 18 Dec 2006 13:13:26 -0800 (PST)  
>>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

><snip>

>>>That said, why exactly is it impossible that we share the planet  
>>>with a humanoid offshoot that's a bit smarter than we are? Given  
>>>a head-start of even a few hundred years, we might expect them  
>>>to have successfully camouflaged themselves.

>>I don't see it as a question of being impossible.

>In a field where it's all theory, exactly so. Some possibilities  
>may be more likely than others, but they're all possible.

Whether it is possible or not, a theory is only useful if it can be testable. Can Mac suggest any ways to test the validity of his theory?

An ET theory may be proven if 1) one or more UFOs track via radar or optical triangulation into space, 2) artifacts from ET landings/implants be composed of off-world isotope blends, 3) DNA/RNA from ET creatures exhibit non-terrestrial origin.

However, it is not so clear how to test or prove Mac's theory.

Merely tracking the crypto-creature's "UFO" to a terrestrial base would not conclusively prove his theory because it could just as well be an ET base. Testing artifacts/implants and having it show terrestrial blends of isotopes would not be conclusive since it could mean ETs manufacture their hardware from terrestrial material sources. Even the DNA/RNA test showing terrestrial types could mean ETs are using terrestrial biological sources.

It would require capture of one of these crypto-creatures. I think it would be easier to search for ghosts since at least we have a lot of reports of these and they have distinctive characteristics. The crypto-creatures seem more like elves or angels in their ability to appear and disappear and shapeshift thus making the building of instruments to detect them hard.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 22](#)

## Secrecy News -- 12/21/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 12:00:48 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 06:25:54 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 12/21/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 130  
December 21, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

"Special year-end opportunity to support Secrecy News!"  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

\*\* NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE YIELDS TO FAS LAWSUIT  
\*\* AUTOMATIC DECLASSIFICATION DEADLINE LOOMS  
\*\* SECURITY CLEARANCES FOR "MERITORIOUS" FORMER CRIMINALS  
\*\* KEY FOREIGN AFFAIRS ISSUES FOR THE 110TH CONGRESS (CRS)  
\*\* COVERT ACTION AGAINST THE SOVIET UNION, 1969-70 (FRUS)

### NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE YIELDS TO FAS LAWSUIT

A government attorney indicated yesterday that the National Reconnaissance Office will cease to oppose a Freedom of Information Act request from the Federation of American Scientists for unclassified NRO budget justification documents, and that it will provide the requested records as early as next week.

Last July, a federal court ruled in favor of FAS and told the NRO that the budget documents are not "operational files" that would be exempt from processing under the FOIA. In September, the NRO filed a notice of appeal seeking to overturn the court order.

But this week, after FAS filed a motion to compel the NRO to comply with the order, the agency said it would withdraw its appeal and provide the document.

The case will have a favorable ripple effect throughout the intelligence community, since other intelligence organizations such as the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency also claim that their budget records are "operational files" that are exempt from FOIA processing. Now that the court's order on this issue stands unchallenged, such claims will be nullified.

One Secrecy News reader wrote to express his concern that we were using the law to force NRO to disclose records that should not be disclosed in the interests of national security. But that is not the case. FOIA exemptions for properly classified national security information and for intelligence sources and methods remain in place and in effect.

But NRO has now been forced to disclose all non-exempt budget information, as the law requires.

Selected case files from Aftergood v. National Reconnaissance Office may be found here:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/foia/nro-cbjb/index.html>

The anticipated receipt of NRO budget material will be noted in Secrecy News when it occurs.

#### AUTOMATIC DECLASSIFICATION DEADLINE LOOMS

In his March 2003 executive order 13292, President Bush affirmed that on December 31, 2006, with certain limitations, "all classified records that (1) are more than 25 years old and (2) have been determined to have permanent historical value under title 44, United States Code, shall be automatically declassified whether or not the records have been reviewed."

That December 31 deadline is now almost here, the New York Times noted in a front page story today.

See "U.S. to Declassify Secrets at Age 25" by Scott Shane, New York Times, December 21:

<http://www.nytimes.com/2006/12/21/washington/21declassify.html>

The automatic declassification of 25 year old records, which will continue to apply to new records each year as they become 25 years old, is a genuine innovation in classification policy. It is a credit both to the Clinton Administration, which first adopted the proposal, and the Bush Administration, which did not abandon it.

In practice, however, the impact of the policy may not be as dramatic as one might imagine, for several reasons.

First, many agencies have sought and received exemptions for one of nine categories of information (war plans, intelligence sources, WMD information, etc.) that need not be declassified. Selected agency declassification plans may be found here:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/isoo/declass/index.html>

Second, records that involve the interests ("equities") of more than one agency are not subject to this month's deadline. Rather, they are to be declassified by December 31, 2009.

Third, declassification does not imply immediate disclosure. Some declassified records may still need to be reviewed for privacy data and other exempt information.

Finally, the processing of hundreds of millions or billions of declassified pages to make them publicly accessible is a logistical challenge that may exceed the capability of the National Archives, which has faced increasing budgetary pressures.

Unless Congress chooses to provide supplemental resources for the Archives, many declassified records will remain inaccessible.

#### SECURITY CLEARANCES FOR "MERITORIOUS" FORMER CRIMINALS

In a well-intentioned but clumsy legislative maneuver known as the Smith Amendment, Congress in 2000 generally prohibited the Department of Defense from granting security clearances to individuals who had been convicted of a crime and sentenced to prison for more than a year.

"Because of the severity of the Amendment, many long-time, faithful employees of the government lost their clearances and their jobs for minor offenses occurring long ago," notes attorney Sheldon Cohen in a new analysis of the Smith Amendment.

The measure was modified somewhat in 2004 and both the original and the modified version allowed exceptions for "meritorious" cases. But both failed to articulate what constitutes a "meritorious" case that would justify an exception to the rule.

"There is nothing in the legislative history to indicate what standard Congress intended to be applied for granting waivers," observed Cohen, a specialist in security clearance policy.

Cohen describes the evolution of the Smith Amendment, its ambiguities and the problems it has created. He concludes with

a proposed set of principles for evaluating whether a particular individual qualifies for a meritorious exception to the Smith Amendment rule.

See "Smith Amendment Update" by Sheldon I. Cohen, December 2006:

[http://www.sheldoncohen.com/publications/SmithAmend\\_2006\\_nas-33s.pdf](http://www.sheldoncohen.com/publications/SmithAmend_2006_nas-33s.pdf)

Former national security advisor Sandy Berger is prohibited from handling classified material for at least three years as a result of his illicit removal of records from the National Archives in 2003, to which he pleaded guilty last year.

Some startling new details of that case were presented in a declassified National Archives Inspector General report, obtained under the Freedom of Information Act by the Associated Press.

See "How an Ex-Aide to President Clinton Stashed Classified Documents" by Josh Gerstein, New York Sun, December 21:

<http://www.nysun.com/article/45551>

The National Security Council (NSC) Policy Coordinating Committee (PCC) on Records Access and Information Security Policy this week approved a "program of instruction" to help promote reciprocity among agencies in granting security clearances. See:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/isoo/poi.pdf>

#### KEY FOREIGN AFFAIRS ISSUES FOR THE 110TH CONGRESS (CRS)

A new report from the Congressional Research Service presents a comprehensive 80-page survey of foreign policy and national security issues that will face the next Congress.

See "Foreign Affairs, Defense and Trade: Key Issues for the 110th Congress," December 20, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/natsec/RL33760.pdf>

Also newish from CRS is "Bioterrorism Countermeasure Development: Issues in Patents and Homeland Security," updated November 27, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/terror/RL32917.pdf>

#### COVERT ACTION AGAINST THE SOVIET UNION, 1969-1970 (FRUS)

The Nixon Administration gave high priority to covert action against the Soviet Union and its interests around the world, according to newly published declassified records.

"With respect to black operations, the President enjoined me to hit the Soviets, and hit them hard, any place we can in the world," wrote CIA director Richard Helms in a March 25, 1970 memorandum for the record.

"He said to 'just go ahead,' to keep Henry Kissinger informed, and to be as imaginative as we could. He was as emphatic on this as I have ever heard him on anything," Mr. Helms wrote.

The Helms memorandum and other records on U.S. covert action against the Soviet Union were published this week in a new volume of Foreign Relations of the United States (FRUS).

"The total cost of this program is \$766,000," one document noted, in a departure from previous CIA practice of redacting almost all intelligence budget expenditures.

The newly published documents on covert action against the Soviet Union are collected and posted here:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/cia/product/frus1969.pdf>

The full text of the source volume of Foreign Relations of the United States, 1969-1976, volume XII (Soviet Union, January



1969-October 1970), may be found here:

<http://www.state.gov/r/pa/ho/frus/nixon/xii/index.htm>

A companion volume FRUS volume, volume XIV (Soviet Union, October 1971-May 1972), also newly published, is here:

<http://www.state.gov/r/pa/ho/frus/nixon/xiv/index.htm>

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:  
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 22](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: **Dimitris Hatzopoulos** <[dhatz-ufo.nul](mailto:dhatz-ufo.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 23:34:27 +0200  
Fwd Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 07:29:54 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 20 Dec 2006 11:15:17 EST  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>Again, here you offer a conclusion for something that most  
>people still only accept as a hypothesis (that we have seen  
>structured, physical vehicles, as opposed to something else), in  
>order to shoot down someone else's hypothesis.

As for "structured, physical vehicles", in a few days it'll be

the 26th anniversary since the UFO incident at Rendlesham/Bentwaters UK, which involved a triangular/pyramid-like craft 3m per side and about 2.5m high, as Jim Penniston described it and made a drawing of it (see Nick Pope's Website:

<http://www.nickpope.net/photo.htm>

or SciFi video), left triangular landing marks etc.

A did a quick search in greek-speaking Internet and already found 2 cases of people encountering a similar triangular UFO. I'm copying a discussion in forum AstroVox, for amateur astronomers (who btw aren't supposed to see UFOs) Dec-2005. It is in Greek, so I'm translating a summary here:

The first guy described his sighting, when he, his sister and mom observed a perfectly triangular uniformly-bright-yellow UFO the size of the moon, very clearly outlined, over their "vacation" house in a village in 1992-1993. Totally still and stayed there for a while. His mother told him she had seen a similar thing several years before (1993) closer, it moved oddly zig-zagging and finally left at high speed. He said "in searching he never found something similar, but only discs and green men instead" and asks if anyone ever saw something similar.

Another guy responds, from a village on the island of Cyprus, who as he said he never confessed his encounter before, but one night on 15-August early 1990s - he remembered the date because it's a big holiday for Orthodox Christians, but not the exact year -) observed a few meters (!) up and away from him just outside his front door, a triangular yellow/orange UFO, about 3m per side "with hundreds very very small yellow lights" hovering. He was stunned for a few seconds, then climbed up the stairs to his house's top and saw it from above, and described it as a "low pyramid". He didn't get closer, but observed as its lights changed colour from yellow to red and in a few seconds it began to rise vertically and then shot away at incredible speed. He went on to say that 2-3 days after his sighting, a family in a nearby village on Cyprus was terrified because "something" landed on their property. The father was just coming back and saw a "blinding light" and ran quickly inside the house. He wrote that the next day, the late Andreas Achillides from the University of Cyprus examined the site and found landing marks of about the same size. The event was reported in the Cyprus press (he would do a search and come back).

To me, the latter sounds similar in shape and size to the craft that Jim Penniston described and drew.

BTW, as you can imagine, their other amateur astronomer fellows in that forum suggested some sort of atmospheric phenomenon, ball lightning and remained unconvinced, because "everyone knows that UFOs don't exist". End of discussion.

If people report close range observations and physical landing marks are left, am I supposed to think they're all crazy and making it up and that it's not a "structured, physical object" that interacts with the environment? Such sightings also disprove the idea that it's an 'American phenomenon' or that these are black-ops craft - unless of course the pilot took the blackops-craft for a few hours ride over rural areas in Greece and Cyprus to do aerial acrobatics to impress local teenagers.

This also demonstrates another problem of UFO research, of collecting data internationally. Searching the Greek forums with Google I've even come across stories of people encountering not just Greys but "people" (!) coming out of UFOs - describing facial characteristics, similar with us but bigger eyes, clothing etc - but don't know what to make of such reports.

Dimitris Hatzopoulos  
<http://www.hyper.net/ufo.html>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 22](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: **Mac Tonnies** <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 14:13:05 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 08:00:56 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Brad Sparks <[RB47x.nul](mailto:RB47x.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 03:45:35 EST  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>This idea has been around for a long time under various names  
>such as Ultraterrestrials and Intraterrestrials,  
>Inter-Dimensional Hypothesis, etc. I'm sure someone can make  
>hairsplitting distinctions between them if they really tried but  
>they really are basically the same idea.

Hi Brad,

I'm glad you raised this point because I think there's a substantial difference.

I'm kind of reluctant to bat the term "cryptoterrestrial" around as it's pretty clunky - but I felt it was the most accurate of the several possibilities I considered.

"Ultraterrestrials" and "infraterrestrials" are described as somehow paranormal in nature. Keel's ultras, for example, hail from what he calls the "electromagnetic superspectrum," which is a variation on the parallel world theme.

Cryptoterrestrials, on the other hand, don't require a new physics. I'm suggesting they're as palpable as any living thing on this planet.

Mac

Mac Tonnies <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
Mac's website: <http://www.mactonnies.com>  
Mac blogs at: <http://posthumanblues.blogspot.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 22](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: **Mac Tonnies** <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 14:26:46 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 08:02:58 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 20 Dec 2006 11:15:17 EST  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

<snip>

>Unless of course one believes that we do have wreckage, or some  
>such, which I haven't seen any conclusive evidence of this, and  
>I don't think the majority of people would accept it as a proven  
>fact (the ironies of this growing debate about CT's are rather  
>amusing).

We don't have conclusive evidence in the form of a sample that  
scientists can examine at their leisure; nonetheless, I think we  
may indeed have some "alien" wreckage. So then it becomes an  
issue of discerning extraterrestrial debris from the work of an  
earthly intelligence about which we know next to nothing.  
Surprisingly, this may prove pretty difficult.

Mac

Mac Tonnies <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
Mac's website: <http://www.mactonnies.com>  
Mac blogs at: <http://posthumanblues.blogspot.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 22](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 17:52:46 EST  
Fwd Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 08:10:57 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 10:16:21 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 20 Dec 2006 11:15:17 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

<snip>

>I heard Mac's paper in Halifax and have read what he has said  
>here. I repeat, no evidence has been presented.

Stan:

Actually, you must not have heard Mac's presentation in Halifax, because it had nothing to do with cryptoterrestrials whatsoever, but rather was about transhumanism.

>It is a concept much like fictional notions put out by sci-fi  
>writers. This is in contrast with the physical trace cases, the  
>multiple witness multiple site radar visual sightings etc., etc.

None of which - I repeat, none - would rule out Mac's hypothesis, at least not as I understand it (and, as I said before, unlike some around here I'm reserving judgment, despite my pro-ETH leanings, until I read exactly what Mac has to say, and what he backs it up with).

The "evidence" cuts both ways, too - it certainly doesn't prove the ETH, but not anything else.

>Projections require projectors and an intelligence to operate  
>and manufacture them.

Not everyone still uses slide projectors.

Most of us have moved to Powerpoint. Maybe, if the cryptoterrestrials exist, they've advanced to something even more exotic.

You know, like all that alien technology you claim is a proven fact, but which we don't have a single piece of hard evidence for.

Best regards,

Paul Kimball  
[www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com](http://www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 22](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 15:01:07 -0800  
Fwd Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 08:13:04 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 10:16:21 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 20 Dec 2006 11:15:17 EST

>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis  
>>I say this as someone who still regards the ETH as the most  
>>likely of the paranormal theories, but who is also willing to  
>>keep an open mind, and wait to see what someone like Mac might  
>>have to say, and what evidence he may offer, before I rush to  
>>judgment, or casually dismiss him and his ideas out of hand.

Paul, Stan,

There is not a single scrap of evidence that UFO are from other star systems. The scientific establishment says that it's not doable and all its rules and regulations reinforce this impossibility. Therefore, to believe that space ships are visiting us from other solar systems is a mystical delusion without any scientific basis.

>>After all, isn't that exactly what ETH proponents often accuse >>mainstream science of doing?

Mainstream science rejects ETH because they realize the impossibility of star travel. Of course they also reject the crypto-hominoid possibility, but I don't think it has ever been presented in a way that could be discussed within scientific parameters.

>I heard Mac's paper in Halifax and have read what he has said >here. I repeat, no evidence has been presented.  
>It is a concept much like fictional notions put out by sci-fi >writers. This is in contrast with the physical trace cases, the >multiple witness multiple site radar visual sightings etc., etc.

The crypto-hominoid theory doesn't violate any of the above. Everything that makes up our present knowledge of UFO still applies. Where it differs is that the CHC (crypto-hominoid critters) live somewhere in our solar system, and probably evolved on earth. They have a variety of crafts in their fleet. They live here, have always lived here and are likely very ancient.

>Projections require projectors and an intelligence to operate >and manufacture them. The cases in your forthcoming Best Evidence >Documentary can hardly be explained by cryptos.

Crypto-hominoid's are intelligent, flesh and blood creatures who are probably capable of making projections and creating illusions. Crypto means hidden. These "Invisible Residents" as Ivan Sanderson called them, seem to want to remain hidden, even thought the veil sometimes slips away. Are they farming us as Fort implied? Would Herefords want to know their destiny?



Ed

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 22](#)

## Re: The Science Of Cymatics - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 17:05:56 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 08:19:04 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Science Of Cymatics - Nielsen

>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 20:55:25 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Re: The Science Of Cymatics

>>From: Robert Morningstar <[robert\\_morningstar.nul](mailto:robert_morningstar.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 16 Dec 2006 12:50:14 -0800 (PST)  
>>Subject: The Science Of Cymatics

>>The Science of Cymatics - The Wave Structure of Matter -  
>>Sound Forms - The Basis Life and Creation

<snip>

>>For the last thirty years Dr. Sir Peter Guy Manners has  
>>continued research into Cymatics by applying sound to the body  
>>through a therapeutic instrument capable of emitting over 800  
>>controlled audible frequencies.

<snip>

>Thanks Robert!

<snip>

>What I'd really like, is a listing of healthful frequencies to  
>go with my frequency-generating software. Is one available?

<snip>

Thanks to an off-List response from Wendy Christensen, we have a  
set of healing frequencies we can play with. They are the  
frequencies of cat's purrs. No fooling!

Wendy says she's happy to help anyone with their felid  
frustrations, for free! She can be reached at:

[christensen.nul](mailto:christensen.nul)

Wendy's article, Harmonics and Healing: The Puzzle Of The Purr,  
is available at:

<http://tinyurl.com/y5skug>

Wendy describes the curious nature of the purring sound of cats.  
She says that all kinds of cats purr, and they all purr in the  
same frequency range. She asks whether this purring has anything  
to do with the increased healing rates of cats, compared to  
other mammals. I imagine that their "nine lives" may have  
something to do with this as well!

Wendy lists the purring frequency range as between 23 Hertz (Hz)  
and 31 Hz. Hertz is the number of cycles or sound beats per  
second, in this case not the car renter.

The advantage to all this is that we're all familiar with the  
calming effect of a cat's purr, and this is something real that  
we can check.

There are several software sound frequency generators available. I found some excellent free versions at CNET's Download.com. I highly recommend Roberto Forcen's MultiFreq 1.0, available at

<http://tinyurl.com/yx3omz>

So, let's get started.

Since the frequencies we'll use are so low with so little energy, you'll need amplified speakers for this. We'll also need to force the harmonics to create a pulsed wave, or 'beat', to hear the purr.

By the way, this forced harmonic method is one way surround sound is obtained from two speakers. It's also how train horns can be so loud and their sound travel so far. A similar property helps alternating current electricity go the distance. But I stray into superciliousness.

Set one output for 25 Hz, and the next for 25.5 Hz. Set the volume for 100 percent on each. The pulse-wave, or 'beat', generated by these two tones gives the result we want.

Voila! Your first synthetic purring cat, without allergies even! And don't you feel better already!

The next step is finding a list of healing frequencies and using them. This could be as easy as downloading a list off the internet. Though I haven't found one yet, maybe someone on this list can point us to one.

Rick Nielsen

<http://alienabductionaccounts.blogspot.com/>

<http://nilthchi.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 22](#)

## The Politics Of Marginalization

From: **Mac Tonnies** <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 21:34:32 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 08:22:59 -0500  
Subject: The Politics Of Marginalization

Listers,

I've recently seen my name used in conjunction with the word 'ufology'. Loosely defined, ufology is the study of the UFO phenomenon. This includes disciplines ranging from metallurgy to psychology, from neuroanatomy to String Theory. The best UFO literature benefits from the reasoned inclusion of as many perspectives as possible, even those that would seem to refute the very phenomenon under investigation. (The pronounced lack of such books is predominantly why it's fashionable for intellectuals to adopt a scoffing, can't-be-bothered approach when addressing UFOs - a most intriguing reaction, given that 'UFO' simply denotes an aerial object of unknown origin.)

Am I a ufologist? I don't know. Maybe. If I am, I should probably qualify the 'U' word with 'theoretical'. There are theoretical physicists and literary theorists; why not theoretical ufologists?

The ufological 'community' suffers from creative anemia. It has a disheartening tendency to refute dissenting voices - even those within its own ranks - with tired screeds that unnecessarily polarize the debate (such as it is) between cautious advocates of the Extraterrestrial Hypothesis and know-nothing science popularizers who seem genuinely incapable of considering the UFO inquiry outside the cognitive barriers posed by decades of cheesy sci-fi cinema and the legacy of myriad True Believers.

So it's no real surprise why ufology is marginal. While its luminaries might noisily claim otherwise, ufology collectively wants to be marginal. With the lamentable exception of a few spokesmen who feel the need to 'explain' the phenomenon's intricacies to a wary public (often in the guise of would-be political discourse), the ostensible UFO community remains afraid of stepping into the rude glow of widespread public attention.

And it has a right to be afraid. Having dotingly constructed a theoretical house of straw, many ufological proponents secretly prefer the tenuous camaraderie of their peers to the much more exciting prospect of being taken seriously by science. (This isn't to condemn UFO research as anti-scientific; perhaps the only reason the field remains afloat at all is the pioneering effort of scientists such as James McDonald, J. Allen Hynek and Jacques Vallee.)

But the era of genuine hypotheses seems to be nearing an end. The 'old guard', inexplicably enamored of the Extraterrestrial Hypothesis, is now engaged in little more than ideological turf-wars. The boons of speculation have been quietly set aside in favor of models that make just enough sense to allow their defenders to issue brittle proclamations with semi-straight faces.

Meanwhile, the enigma persists - as always, seemingly just beyond our comprehension. And we have the nerve to wonder why.

Mac

Mac Tonnies <[machot.nul](mailto:machot.nul)>

Mac's website: <http://www.mactonnies.com>

Mac blogs at: <http://posthumanblues.blogspot.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 23](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: **Stanton Friedman** <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 09:31:59 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 10:29:07 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Mac Tonnies <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 14:13:05 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Brad Sparks <[RB47x.nul](mailto:RB47x.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 03:45:35 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>This idea has been around for a long time under various names  
>>such as Ultraterrestrials and Intraterrestrials,  
>>Inter-Dimensional Hypothesis, etc. I'm sure someone can make  
>>hairsplitting distinctions between them if they really tried but  
>>they really are basically the same idea.

>Hi Brad,

>I'm glad you raised this point because I think there's a  
>substantial difference.

>I'm kind of reluctant to bat the term "cryptoterrestrial" around  
>as it's pretty clunky - but I felt it was the most accurate of  
>the several possibilities I considered.

>"Ultraterrestrials" and "infraterrestrials" are described as  
>somehow paranormal in nature. Keel's ultras, for example, hail  
>from what he calls the "electromagnetic superspectrum," which is  
>a variation on the parallel world theme.

>Cryptoterrestrials, on the other hand, don't require a new  
>physics. I'm suggesting they're as palpable as any living thing  
>on this planet.

What a strange word to use: Palpable?? Capable of being felt;  
perceptible. By whom, where, when? Again what evidence is there?  
And what connection with UFOs in general or flying saucers in  
particular? Sure sounds like a fictional creation.

Stan Friedman  
<http://stantonfriedman.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 23](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 08:38:59 EST  
Fwd Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 10:42:09 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egerman.nul](mailto:egerman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 15:01:07 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

<snip>

>Crypto-hominoid's are intelligent, flesh and blood creatures who  
>are probably capable of making projections and creating  
>illusions. Crypto means hidden. These "Invisible Residents" as  
>Ivan Sanderson called them, seem to want to remain hidden, even  
>thought the veil sometimes slips away. Are they farming us as  
>Fort implied? Would Herefords want to know their destiny?

Holy cow! Ed, until I read your above post I had almost forgotten my own first big moment in ufology with none other than the late Dr. J. Allen Hynek!

It was back in '78 or early '79 when he lectured at Dutchess Community College in Poughkeepsie, NY. I had been at it with the local historians about 'aliens' and had the feeling we were dealing with something more terrestrial.

As you or any of the folks savvy in ufology know, the Hudson Valley has had quite it's share of UFO reports.

So Dr. Hynek was lecturing and after the lecture he was kind enough to engage in up close discussions with those brave enough to remain after the lecture. Several of my friends, family, and neighbors gave out startling accounts of their UFO experiences much to my surprise as I was the one often teased for delving into the issue.

So being the polite young man I was, I waited til my elders gave their data and then , literally standing at the feet of Dr. Hynek who loomed over me on stage, I proposed to him that when one says 'we are not alone' did it mean in the universe or here on earth. That we may be dealing with long term life forms already at the top of the food chain.

Well, Dr. Hynek leered at me, silence hovered, and he said sternly, " Not alone here. " and then made a quick ( should I say stormed off ) exit stage left.

I was quite rattled at his demeanor and response as my friends, family, and neighbors all looked at me and wondered what I had said that ticked him off so. Some had heard it and some didn't. I then told my mom who was quite the noted historian my theory and she sent me right off to some astronomer professors we knew. She even wanted me to call up the legendary Dr. Thornton Page and tell him my theory. He was a very nice man and as a child he took time to give me some pointers about the space program.

Funny for me years later I find out that Dr. Page was allegedly one of the replacement members for the MJ 12!

Weird ain't it?



This cryptoterrestrial thing sure brings back memories. Memories of how ticked off some scientists and the admiration of others.

Problem is mutli-fold I guess. People have their own fantasies of their origins and when science points to the fact that we're all africans at heart some people can't take it. Then point to primates and then to small furry squirrel creatures and people really go off the deep end.

We think Earth is 4 billion years old. Who knows how many times the soil has been overturned?

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 23](#)

## Re: The Politics Of Marginalization - Kaeser

From: Steven Kaeser <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 09:24:01 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 11:08:09 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Politics Of Marginalization - Kaeser

>From: Mac Tonnies <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 21:34:32 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: The Politics Of Marginalization

>Listers,

<snip>

>But the era of genuine hypotheses seems to be nearing an end.  
>The 'old guard', inexplicably enamored of the Extraterrestrial  
>Hypothesis, is now engaged in little more than ideological turf-  
>wars. The boons of speculation have been quietly set aside in  
>favor of models that make just enough sense to allow their  
>defenders to issue brittle proclamations with semi-straight  
>faces.

>Meanwhile, the enigma persists - as always, seemingly just  
>beyond our comprehension. And we have the nerve to wonder why.

Hi Mac,

I find it interesting that you've defined ufology in such broad terms, when the actual definition is limited to

-noun, plural UFO's, UFOs.

any unexplained moving object observed in the sky, esp. one assumed by some observers to be of extraterrestrial origin. [UFO. (n.d.). Dictionary.com Unabridged (v 1.1). Retrieved December 22, 2006, from Dictionary.com website:

<http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/UFO>]

I raise this because the (really) "Old Guard" ufologists are far more "nut and bolt" oriented and tend to limit inclusion of paranormal phenomena unless there is a direct (specific) correlation. String theory and psychological aspects are interesting, but have little to do with unknown objects (or Craft) in the sky, and aren't viewed as ufological in nature.

For my money, the ufological tent is far too big to have any real meaning at this point. It stands for a broad spectrum of beliefs and theories that cannot be supported in total and each faction within the "tent" stands firm in their "beliefs". This, IMO, is not a science, as the "ology" at the end of "ufo" would have us believe.

Without some massive "event", what are the chances of getting any scientific attention into the issues we've raised over the past decades? I have become very pessimistic in a world where economics drives the research and discovery track, and self-proclaimed experts on the Internet that promote theories using their popularity to support them. There's good information on the Internet, but how do you tell the good from the bad?

As we've said the past, skeptics don't have to do a lot to make ufology look bad, since we seem to do that well enough by ourselves.

Steve

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 23](#)

## Re: he Politics Of Marginalization - Miller

From: **Stuart Miller** <[stuart.miller4.nul](mailto:stuart.miller4.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 14:42:19 +0000 (GMT)  
Fwd Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 11:10:40 -0500  
Subject: Re: he Politics Of Marginalization - Miller

>From: Mac Tonnies <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 21:34:32 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: The Politics Of Marginalization

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/updates/2006/dec/m22-008.shtml>

Mac

Superb. The true state of affairs. Bravo.

Stuart Miller

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 23](#)

## Re: The Politics Of Marginalization - Smith

From: James Smith <[lunartravel.nul](mailto:lunartravel.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 11:25:35 -0500 (GMT-05:00)  
Fwd Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 11:12:18 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Politics Of Marginalization - Smith

>From: Mac Tonnies <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 21:34:32 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: The Politics Of Marginalization

<snip>

>Am I a ufologist? I don't know. Maybe. If I am, I should  
>probably qualify the 'U' word with 'theoretical'. There are  
>theoretical physicists and literary theorists; why not  
>theoretical ufologists?

In order to be "theoretical", one must, as a basic tenet, be able to propose tests/experiments to confirm or deny the theory, otherwise it is mere speculation. If you want to be a speculative ufologist, fine, creative ideas are all that are needed. But just as Einstein provided a series to tests that one could perform to confirm or deny his theories, you will need to provide this for any theory you come up with, if you want Science to take you seriously. Otherwise it is creative speculation. Hopefully you will offer us the set of tests for your cryptoterrestrial theory when your book comes out.

>The ufological 'community' suffers from creative anemia.  
>...

I agree that it has anemia, but more along the lines of instrumented field research. Sure there is some creative anemia, but since the field is old enough to have seen it all in one form or another, its hard to come up with something truly new.

>So it's no real surprise why ufology is marginal. While its  
>luminaries might noisily claim otherwise, ufology collectively  
>wants to be marginal. With the lamentable exception of a few  
>spokesmen who feel the need to 'explain' the phenomenon's  
>intricacies to a wary public (often in the guise of would-be  
>political discourse), the ostensible UFO community remains  
>afraid of stepping into the rude glow of widespread public  
>attention.

And the need of widespread public attention is what? Does science need the public's attention to work? Sadly, the public would only care if they can be entertained by it.

Ufology is marginal likely because people have not the energy, knowledge or resources to gather proactive data rather than continue to gather the old fashioned reactive report collection which obviously hasn't gotten us anywhere over the decades.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 23](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 12:53:16 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 11:16:04 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Mac Tonnies <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 14:13:05 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Brad Sparks <[RB47x.nul](mailto:RB47x.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 03:45:35 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>This idea has been around for a long time under various names  
>>such as Ultraterrestrials and Intraterrestrials,  
>>Inter-Dimensional Hypothesis, etc. I'm sure someone can make  
>>hairsplitting distinctions between them if they really tried but  
>>they really are basically the same idea.

>Hi Brad,

>I'm glad you raised this point because I think there's a  
>substantial difference.

>I'm kind of reluctant to bat the term "cryptoterrestrial" around  
>as it's pretty clunky - but I felt it was the most accurate of  
>the several possibilities I considered.

>"Ultraterrestrials" and "infraterrestrials" are described as  
>somehow paranormal in nature. Keel's ultras, for example, hail  
>from what he calls the "electromagnetic superspectrum," which is  
>a variation on the parallel world theme.

>Cryptoterrestrials, on the other hand, don't require a new  
>physics. I'm suggesting they're as palpable as any living thing  
>on this planet.

Hello Mac,

I've been following this interesting exchange regarding CTs. I visited your website but didn't find many details regarding this hypothesis (I did listen to the interview with Paul Kimball). Is there a succinct online account that describes the basic idea and any supporting arguments?

This topic has elicited some pretty animated reactions, some of them a little out of line. I find the idea intriguing, but less compelling than the ETH (not that the latter is well established, by any means). Despite what some posters here would seem to be arguing, the fact (or contention) that there is no physical evidence for either ETs or CTs does not make these hypotheses logically equivalent or equally plausible.

However, I am too vague on the specifics of the proposal to feel comfortable discussing it further. If you have an opportunity perhaps you could comment on the following:

(1) Is it proposed that these beings share a common ancestor with Homo Sapiens? If so, in what approximate geological/historical era did we diverge? Shouldn't there be fossil traces of their transitional forms?

(2) Given the apparently complete concealment of their history, habitat, infrastructure, etc., as well as their markedly distinct level of intellectual and/or technological development, what evidence or logical argument leads you to suspect that they originated here?

(3) If these beings prefer to remain hidden, why persist in these aerial manifestations? Why not just stay out of sight?

I concede that the ETH should address #3 as well. Thanks for any info or comments.

Regards,

Mike

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 23](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 19:59:52 -0000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 11:18:23 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 17:27:17 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 11:45:48 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>>Similarly, technology used by ultraterrestrials need not be  
>>>thousands of years in advance of ours. Fifty or sixty years  
>>>would be plenty.

>>Where did you get that figure from, Bob, and for what period? I  
>>don't think you can make a blanket statement as to what the  
>>technological gap is but certainly fifty or sixty years is  
>>unrealistic. How would you apply this to Mac's egocentric  
>>theory  
>>of some symbiotic species occupying the same planets as us when  
>>you apply the technology back two hundred years ago?

<snip>

>>Frankly suggesting 50 to 60 years as a sensible gap even in the  
>>present timeline indicates a lack of any real knowledge of  
>>aviation advancement.

>I don't mean that figure should be taken as some carved-in-stone  
>figure. Substitute 100 years or 200 if it makes you more  
>comfortable. By haggling over the number I picked, Don,  
>you've completely missed the point.  
<snip>

>But who is to say that another intelligent species would have  
>their technology progress in the same way as ours? A single  
>serendipitous discovery can leapfrog over many years of slow  
>technological evolution.

<snip>

Hi,

Have to agree with Bob for two reasons -

a) clever and selective use of today's technology could easily  
convince someone from Victorian England (100 yrs ago) that it  
was 'magic' or 'alien';

b) if we'd turned to biologically manufactured 'tools' &  
'agents' a hundred or so years ago (instead of  
mechanics/electronics) maybe we'd be in the position that (some)  
UFO/Entity cases seem to indicate.

BTW here's snipped quote from a previous message:

There's a scenario - which I think is too complex for \_all\_  
isolated &/or naive witnesses to anticipate and contrive - that  
these are biologically engineered ('uplifted') entities used as

agents, pilots, etc., and that they had been lost (or abandoned) after getting damaged or sick, or after their craft has gone down.

Examples are Varghina-types (and chupacabras) in South America and Puerto Rico, and the Italian [ Filiberto Caponi ] case described by Tim Good (which was apparently followed or preceded by a brief sighting of similar but flying (undamaged) entity in another, nearby, region of Italy).

Recent reminder was Corso's weary but dogged repetition that he thought what he saw was not a humanoid but a 'thing' - a living part of the craft.

That scenario has implications:

1) we're being visited, observed by one or more races capable of quite skilled biological construction - which could be much cheaper than hi-tech;

2) they're not necessarily all that far ahead of us technology-wise, we could forecast similar capabilities in one or two hundred years or so - if we chose that path. end quoted extract

Cheers

Ray D

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 23](#)

## Re: The Politics Of Marginalization - White

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 17:42:24 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 12:15:48 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Politics Of Marginalization - White

>From: Mac Tonnies <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 21:34:32 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: The Politics Of Marginalization

<snip>

>... the much more exciting prospect of being taken seriously by  
>science.

I'd say the overwhelming denial on the part of mainstream science, in the face of a huge amount of evidence (which is simply denied as being evidence, not discredited item by item with specific reasons given,) shows they do take it seriously, very seriously! Along with their jobs and pensions.

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 23](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 20:35:34 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 12:23:09 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 15:01:07 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 10:16:21 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Wed, 20 Dec 2006 11:15:17 EST

>>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis  
>>>I say this as someone who still regards the ETH as the most  
>>>likely of the paranormal theories, but who is also willing to  
>>>keep an open mind, and wait to see what someone like Mac might  
>>>have to say, and what evidence he may offer, before I rush to  
>>>judgment, or casually dismiss him and his ideas out of hand.

>Paul, Stan,

>There is not a single scrap of evidence that UFO are from other  
>star systems. The scientific establishment says that it's not  
>doable and all its rules and regulations reinforce this  
>impossibility. Therefore, to believe that space ships are  
>visiting us from other solar systems is a mystical delusion  
>without any scientific basis.

Ed

I wish you and Paul would get straight what I say. I do not use the word 'proof' nor do I insist upon other star systems. I say the evidence is overwhelming that Earth is being visited by intelligently controlled ET spacecraft. In other words SOME UFOs are of ET origin. Please look up 'Extraterrestrial'. It means from outside the Earth. It does not mean only from other solar systems or other galaxies. It includes the moon and other planets in our solar system.

Who are the specific members of the scientific establishment who make these claims? Why should we believe them? Of course some fossilized physicists and ancient academics have insisted on all sorts of nonsense such as the earth is flat, continents can't possibly move, meteorites can't possibly fall from the sky.

Dr. Simon Newcomb in October 1903 showed that the only way man would ever fly was with the help of a balloon.... 2 months before the Wright Brothers first Flight.

Dr. Alexander Bickerton in 1926 told the British Assoc. for the Advancement of Science that it was impossible to provide sufficient energy to anything to put it in orbit around the earth.

Dr. Campbell in 1941 scientifically showed that the required

initial launch weight of rocket able to get a man to the moon and back would have to be a million million tons. Saturn 5 weighed 3 thousand tons.

These guys had no relevant knowledge about the problems at hand.

Tell me who is saying star travel is impossible and why they are saying that, besides not wanting to admit their ignorance. I speak of nuclear fusion on which I worked; do they? Perhaps you ought to read my 1999 MUFON paper Star Travel? YES. It has references.

>>>After all, isn't that exactly what ETH proponents often accuse >>>mainstream science of doing?

>Mainstream science rejects ETH because they realize the >impossibility of star travel. Of course they also reject the >crypto-hominoid possibility, but I don't think it has ever been >presented in a way that could be discussed within scientific >parameters.

Please cite these mainstream scientists and their publications and their basis. I have looked at many such foolish claims and find they have no factual basis. Making assumptions (single staged chemical rockets limited to 1 G acceleration, not taking advantage of cosmic freeloaders, using retrorockets inappropriately, assuming too low an exhaust velocity etc) is not permitted. Please don't just proclaim.

>>I heard Mac's paper in Halifax and have read what he has said >>here. I repeat, no evidence has been presented. >>It is a concept much like fictional notions put out by sci-fi >>writers. This is in contrast with the physical trace cases, the >>multiple witness multiple site radar visual sightings etc., etc.

>The crypto-hominoid theory doesn't violate any of the above. >Everything that makes up our present knowledge of UFO still >applies. Where it differs is that the CHC (crypto-hominoid >critters) live somewhere in our solar system, and probably >evolved on earth. They have a variety of craft in their fleet. >They live here, have always lived here and are likely very >ancient.

That means they are of ET origin. What is your basis for these claims? That you can't imagine interstellar travel? Do your homework please.

>>Projections require projectors and an intelligence to operate >>and manufacture them. The cases in your forthcoming Best Evidence

>>Documentary can hardly be explained by cryptos.

>Crypto-hominoid's are intelligent, flesh and blood creatures who >are probably capable of making projections and creating >illusions. Crypto means hidden. These "Invisible Residents" as >Ivan Sanderson called them, seem to want to remain hidden, even >thought the veil sometimes slips away. Are they farming us as >Fort implied? Would Herefords want to know their destiny?

You say they are hidden. You provide no evidence they even exist... but seem to like the idea. Apparently your argument is that something is going on but one can't get here from there so there must be hidden beings.... and the Moon is made of green cheese, but the astronauts just refuse to tell us....

Evidence please?

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 23](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 09:52:40 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 12:30:01 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 09:31:59 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Mac Tonnies <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 14:13:05 -0800 (PST)  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

<snip>

>>Cryptoterrestrials, on the other hand, don't require a new  
>>physics. I'm suggesting they're as palpable as any living thing  
>>on this planet.

>What a strange word to use: Palpable?? Capable of being felt;  
>perceptible. By whom, where, when? Again what evidence is there?  
>And what connection with UFOs in general or flying saucers in  
>particular? Sure sounds like a fictional creation.

It does have an unsettling salaciousness, nes't ce pas? Makes  
me want to get out the cocoa butter and catcher's mitt! Does a  
"Palp" trump a "probe"... <LOL>

That said... Dr. Grinspoon, on SDI last week, is featured,  
laudably, in the latest Wired magazine.

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>  
U F O M a g a z i n e -- [www.ufomag.com](http://www.ufomag.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 23](#)

## J. Allen Hynek [was: Mac Tonnie's] The

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 11:12:33 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 12:34:21 -0500  
Subject: J. Allen Hynek [was: Mac Tonnie's] The

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 08:38:59 EST  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnie's] The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 15:01:07 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnie's] The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>So Dr. Hynek was lecturing and after the lecture he was kind  
>enough to engage in up close discussions with those brave enough  
>to remain after the lecture. Several of my friends, family, and  
>neighbors gave out startling accounts of their UFO experiences  
>much to my surprise as I was the one often teased for delving  
>into the issue.

>So being the polite young man I was, I waited til my elders gave  
>their data and then , literally standing at the feet of Dr.  
>Hynek who loomed over me on stage, I proposed to him that when  
>one says 'we are not alone' did it mean in the universe or here  
>on earth. That we may be dealing with long term life forms  
>already at the top of the food chain.

>Well, Dr. Hynek leered at me, silence hovered, and he said  
>sternly, " Not alone here. " and then made a quick ( should I  
>say stormed off ) exit stage left.

>I was quite rattled at his demeanor and response as my friends,  
>family, and neighbors all looked at me and wondered what I had  
>said that ticked him off so.

Greg,

Not having been there, I am unable to judge whether your question did in fact offend Allen Hynek. I will say, however, that I would be awfully surprised if it did. My suspicion - I can't prove it, of course - is that something other than your question set him off, if indeed he was set off at all.

Allen, whom I know fairly well in the last two decades of his life, was an easy-going, good-humored guy, great company, slow to anger. Moreover, he had been asked just about every UFO-related question in the world - sane or insane, smart or stupid or indifferent - in the course of his public career as Blue Book consultant and, later, as UFO proponent.

In common with numerous ufologists, Allen was fervently anti-ETH, for reasons that (as Stan Friedman and others have noted) seem surprisingly naive. Though Allen was indisputably a good scientist and did most of his UFO writing and research from his scientific background, privately (and sometimes not so privately) he harbored a mystical side. Through Jacques Vallee's influence, Allen came to hold an occult-tinged view of the UFO phenomenon which led him to some strange ideas, one of which was that UFOs are elementals, or earthbound spirits. His more scientifically inclined associates would cringe when this kind of woolly thinking came up in his discourse.



I remember how one evening he and I enjoyed a pleasant dinner, in the course of which we wandered into a debate over the utility of such beliefs. I pointed out to him how scientifically useless they are and how unlikely to lead anywhere in terms of concrete research and results. Later, I reflected, both bemusedly and ruefully, that I - a layman - had been lecturing Allen - an experienced, well-credential scientist - on how science works. On the other hand, he didn't very strenuously dispute my points, which were fairly obvious ones. Perhaps at that point in his life, he just didn't care. Unfortunately, he ought to have cared.

Once he committed the huge strategic error of speaking openly about those notions at a New Age conference in Arkansas, organized by the late psychic Harold Sherman. For this indiscretion he was subjected to a withering attack by hard-core anomalyphobe Leon Jaroff in the mass-circulation popular-science magazine Discover. I think that assault, however over the top (Jaroff's preferred writing style on hated heresies such as UFOs), did in what was left of Allen's scientific reputation in the eyes of his colleagues, many of whom (as reviews in scientific journals in 1972 document) were willing to consider open-mindedly the arguments he laid out so cogently in The UFO Experience.

Jerry Clark

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 23](#)

## Re: J. Allen Hynek - Lehmberg

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 11:58:24 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 17:13:09 -0500  
Subject: Re: J. Allen Hynek - Lehmberg

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 11:12:33 -0600  
>Subject: J. Allen Hynek [was: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis]

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 08:38:59 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 15:01:07 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

Apologies to Listfolk and a small correction:

>Allen, whom I know fairly well in the last two decades of his  
>life, was an easy-going, good-humored guy, great company, slow  
>to anger.

After writing and posting the above, I realized that my brain,  
an ever less reliable instrument in my dotage, had led me to a  
stupid arithmetic error. I first met Allen Hynek in 1976, when  
I was investigating an early abduction case from North Dakota,  
and he died in 1986.

Thus, I knew him for one decade, not two.

Jerry Clark

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 23](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 13:00:02 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 17:15:00 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 19:59:52 -0000  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>Have to agree with Bob for two reasons -

Wow, someone agrees with me! I'm stunned ;-) )

>a) clever and selective use of today's technology could easily  
>convince someone from Victorian England (100 yrs ago) that it  
>was 'magic' or 'alien';

My point, precisely.

>b) if we'd turned to biologically manufactured 'tools' &  
>'agents' a hundred or so years ago (instead of  
>mechanics/electronics) maybe we'd be in the position that (some)  
>UFO/Entity cases seem to indicate.

Agreed. The technological path we have taken is highly unlikely to be the only one possible. So much of scientific advancement is based on serendipitous accidents, that it is unlikely the exact path would be repeated by another intelligent species.

>BTW here's snipped quote from a previous message:

>There's a scenario - which I think is too complex for all  
>isolated &/or naive witnesses to anticipate and contrive - that  
>these are biologically engineered ('uplifted') entities used as  
>agents, pilots, etc., and that they had been lost (or abandoned)  
>after getting damaged or sick, or after their craft has gone  
>down.

>Examples are Varghina-types (and chupacabras) in South America  
>and Puerto Rico, and the Italian [ Filiberto Caponi ] case  
>described by Tim Good (which was apparently followed or preceded  
>by a brief sighting of similar but flying (undamaged) entity in  
>another, nearby, region of Italy).

One argument against the ETH is exactly this, that people in different parts of the world typically see different entities. How likely is it that spacefarers would limit themselves to specific regions of the earth?

>Recent reminder was Corso's weary but dogged repetition that he  
>thought what he saw was not a humanoid but a 'thing' - a living  
>part of the craft.

I feel that the jury is still out on Corso. I wish his family would fulfill their promises to publish more. But biological craft has been a thought in the back of my mind for some years.

>That scenario has implications:

>1) we're being visited, observed by one or more races capable of  
>quite skilled biological construction - which could be much  
>cheaper than hi-tech;

Yep.

>2) they're not necessarily all that far ahead of us technology-  
>wise, we could forecast similar capabilities in one or two  
>hundred years or so - if we chose that path. end quoted extract

That was my earlier contention. Depending on the speed of their  
technological evolution, they might only be a little bit ahead  
of us.

Bob Shell

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 23](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 14:13:43 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 17:18:11 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 17:27:17 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 19 Dec 2006 11:45:48 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>>Similarly, technology used by ultraterrestrials need not  
>>>be thousands of years in advance of ours. Fifty or sixty  
>>>years would be plenty.

>>Where did you get that figure from, Bob, and for what  
>>period? I don't think you can make a blanket statement as to  
>>what the technological gap is but certainly fifty or sixty  
>>years is unrealistic. How would you apply this to Mac's  
>>egocentric theory of some symbiotic species occupying the  
>>same planets as us when you apply the technology back two  
>>hundred years ago?

>>We've come 60 years since real attention was drawn to this  
>>phenomenon in the early 40s. Show me where we've caught up  
>>technologically to those objects with present day  
>>technology. We are still flying airbreathers, still  
>>hamstrung by the Mach number, still scrambling around  
>>spending hundreds of millions to get Lighter Than Air  
>>technology to work effectively for us, still battling  
>>stability issues and maneuverability.

>>Frankly suggesting 50 to 60 years as a sensible gap even in  
>>the present timeline indicates a lack of any real knowledge  
>>of aviation advancement.

>I don't mean that figure should be taken as some  
>carved-in-stone figure. Substitute 100 years or 200 if it  
>makes you more comfortable. By haggling over the number I  
>picked, Don, you've completely missed the point.

>It would seem from our own experience that technology  
>progresses slowly up to a certain point and then begins to  
>grow geometrically. When I was in college not so terribly long  
>ago, the idea that I could have a computer sitting here in  
>front of me with multi gigabytes of memory and storage, and a  
>very fast processor, would have been laughed at. We have  
>progressed very fast in the field of miniaturization.

>How many years will it be before we can do what has been  
>observed in UFOs? Damned if I know. I suspect if we had a  
>truly free exchange of ideas and information rather than all  
>of this BS government secrecy, we might have gotten there  
>years ago.

>But who is to say that another intelligent species would have  
>their technology progress in the same way as ours? A single  
>serendipitous discovery can leapfrog over many years of slow  
>technological evolution.

>The point I was trying to make, anyway, is that the "others"  
>(whoever they are, wherever they come from) may not be all  
>that far ahead of us. Someone working in a lab somewhere may  
>find a way to control inertia tomorrow. Or they may have  
>discovered it ten years ago and the government clamped a lid  
>on it. That's what we're talking about in UFO movements, an  
>ability to control and negate inertia as desired. (Assuming,  
>of course, that UFOs are solid objects with mass, an assertion  
>resting on no solid evidence).

I didn't miss the point, Bob. But I think you are. The fact of the matter is you used a time frame of 50-60 years which doesn't equate with 100-200 years. In this case even 100 years is too short a time in my estimation. We've come 100 years since the first flight of the Wright brothers I have no doubt that citizens of the mid 19th century would have been wowed by the technology of the early 20th century let alone the latter part of it. But where it really counts is that period from 1947 to present day.

What I see being posited here is that the "others" have been slightly ahead of our own sciences or flight. This is due to some confusion about the airship sightings of the late 1890s and some buying into the premise that these ships were indeed airships rather than just that day's interpretation of the usual, dare I say prosaic UFO, as reported in the next century. In that case you are supporting Mac's cryptotechnology in order for your premise to work.

And what of the gap between the technology of the mid to late 1800s back to before Christ. This wasn't any 50-60 year gap or 100-200 year gap or 1,000-3,000 year gap. It was basically magic to the witnesses of those days and usually interpreted as being of a religious nature judging by some of the artwork.

From the 1940s to now the technology of flight has come nowhere near the sophistication of the reported objects of the late 40s. In fact aviation is still fighting the same old physical problems, gravity and drag. Engineers have improved on thrust and lift it but have not conquered it. Basically the sophisticated fighters of today still operate pretty much the same as they did when the Germans launched the Me 262 in 1944 albeit they are tougher, stronger, faster, more maneuverable with greater lifting capabilities. But you are only really comparing the highly maneuverable Condors to the slow and graceful albatross.

The central point of my argument is that in the last 50-60-100 years our technology has not shifted from angle of attack and lift flight technology to some other motivating technology that matches what is reported by the witnesses of UFOs. We don't even come close, not even in a flat out drag race with these things. Perhaps we will stumble on some newer, less demanding propulsion system in a lab sometime soon or much later but for now we plod along with prop and pure jet technology that require what they are attached to, have a controllable lifting surface to work.

So not only has a gap of 50-60 years not proved startling, 103 years has not changed the basic technology of flight either. If I were guessing I'd say that the next 50 years won't show much change in the technology either. The science of engineering doesn't indicate it and frankly as one who follows the field of aviation on a daily basis I see no real indication that there is a will to do so. Two examples are the current lighter than air attempts to create snoop technologies and radar platforms at high altitudes which is a return to old technology revamped for current military uses; and in the field of rocketry the thirty year step-back to Apollo to advance a return to the moon 12-14 years from now. Neither encourages one to think that air and space technology is pulling itself out of the mire of the 20th century.

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 23](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 13:17:36 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 17:20:02 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 12:53:16 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>However, I am too vague on the specifics of the proposal to feel  
>comfortable discussing it further. If you have an opportunity  
>perhaps you could comment on the following:

I don't presume to speak for Mac, but here are my comments.

>(1) Is it proposed that these beings share a common ancestor  
>with Homo Sapiens? If so, in what approximate  
>geological/historical era did we diverge? Shouldn't there be  
>fossil traces of their transitional forms?

Common ancestor? How far back. I think they are vertebrates, unless those "mantis" types have exoskeletons and evolved from an insectoid or crustacean ancestor. Assuming that what most people report seeing are terrestrial vertebrates, we might have a common ancestor in some Devonian amphibian.

Ed thinks they are monotreme mammals. I disagree, and think they may not be mammalian at all. Monotremes have nipples and nurse their young. I don't recall anyone mentioning that the "aliens" they saw/encountered had nipples. Monotremes do not have navels, but neither do reptiles, amphibians and birds. They could be dinosauroids, amphibiods, or something we haven't thought of.

The farther back in time we go the rarer fossils are. Any good paleontologist learns early to recite the mantra "absence of evidence is not evidence of absence."

Having worked in a museum, I also know what happens to anomalous fossils. They get shoved in drawers somewhere and forgotten. The necessary evidence may be in a fossil drawer in a museum somewhere. Although it has its flaws, the book Forbidden Archeology (unabridged edition) has a lot of useful information, including some anomalous fossils.

>(2) Given the apparently complete concealment of their history,  
>habitat, infrastructure, etc., as well as their markedly  
>distinct level of intellectual and/or technological development,  
>what evidence or logical argument leads you to suspect that they  
>originated here?

I believe it is the simpler explanation, as I have stated in my posts here.

According to a recent study, if we stopped existing right now, it would take about 200,000 years for all traces of our existence to be completely eradicated by natural processes. And we aren't trying to hide. There is plenty of time in earth's long history for many intelligent species to have come and gone.

>(3) If these beings prefer to remain hidden, why persist in  
>these aerial manifestations? Why not just stay out of sight?



Maybe they have ceased to care if we see them.

>I concede that the ETH should address #3 as well. Thanks for any  
>info or comments.

Of course it should be noted that the ETH and Cryptoterrestrial hypotheses are not mutually exclusive. We could be dealing with both. If ETs did come here they would make contact with the most advanced species, perhaps form an alliance, and that species may not be us.

Bob

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 23](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 15:16:42 EST  
Fwd Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 17:21:58 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 20:35:34 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 15:01:07 -0800  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

<snip>

>Ed

>I wish you and Paul would get straight what I say.

Stan:

And I wish you wouldn't put words in my mouth, or lump me in with Ed (no offense to Ed, but I can't think of anything he and I really agree upon).

>I do not use the word 'proof' nor do I insist upon other star systems.  
>I say the evidence is overwhelming that Earth is being visited by  
>intelligently controlled ET spacecraft. In other words SOME UFOs  
>are of ET origin. Please look up 'Extraterrestrial'. It means  
>from outside the Earth. It does not mean only from other solar  
>systems or other galaxies. It includes the moon and other  
>planets in our solar system.

Well, d'uh. Poor little plebes, unable to figure out what "extraterrestrial" means. Sheesh...

Perhaps you should look up the term "evidence" in a dictionary, and then the term "proof" - follow it up with "fact", as in "proven fact", which is what you have asserted the ETH is for decades now. While you're at it, you might also want to look up the term "overwhelming".

Aw, heck, I'll save you the trouble, as you were so kind as to provide me with a definition of "extraterrestrial".

"Evidence" From Black's Law Dictionary, 6th Edition (which is the proper source, as you're also use the legal standards of proof when you're trying to make a point about this):

"Any species of proof, or probative matter, legally presented at the trial of an issue, by the act of the parties and through the medium of witnesses, records, documents, exhibits, concrete objects, etc., for the purpose of inducing belief in the minds of the court or jury, as to their contention."

So, that's what evidence is. You use it to prove your point (i.e. induce belief in whatever your target audience is). In this case, your point, that you seek to prove is that some UFOs are alien spacecraft. In other words, that the "Extraterrestrial hypothesis" is really the "Extraterrestrial fact".

As I said, here's a brush-up on "prove" (again, from Black's):

"The effect of evidence; the establishment of a fact by evidence".

In other words, while you might not use the word "proof", you should be, because that's what the evidence you talk about is designed to do.

Now, let's take a look at the term "fact", again, as understood in the context of using evidence to prove something, using, again, the legal standard you to which you often refer (more on the exact standard in a second):

"A circumstance, event or occurrence as it actually takes or took place; a physical object or appearance, as it usually exists or existed. An actual an absolute reality, as distinguished from mere supposition. A truth, as distinguished from fiction or error."

Now we're getting to it - you say the evidence proves that some UFOs are intelligently controlled extraterrestrial spacecraft. Don't duck from the word prove - its what you're really saying. You assert this proposition as a proven fact.

And therein lieth the problem. Because, by any measure of how one weighs evidence, and establishes whether it proves something, the ETH is not a proven fact.

Neither is the CTH, or the EDH, or any of the "H's" that are out there, because they all rely on the same evidence, which can be interpreted in different ways (including the "null hypothesis"). One may seem more likely than another, as the ETH does to me when compared to the CTH or the EDH, but I would never assert that as a proven reality, an "absolute reality, as distinguished from supposition", which is what you and some of the ETH proponents do.

Ah, but you might say, we can safely make that claim on a civil standard of the burden of proof, i.e. it is more likely than not that the ETH is the ETF (again, something I've heard you use many times - I have it on tape, in fact).

Well, let's look at those standards which, as the party making the assertion, you are called upon to meet.

First, there's the "preponderance of the evidence" standard, i.e. the civil standard, as it is commonly known.

From Black's:

"As standard of proof in civil cases, is evidence which is of greater weight or more convincing than the evidence which is offered in opposition to it; that is, evidence which as a whole shows that the fact sought to be proved is more probable than not"

This standard, I agree, has been satisfied if the proposition you wish to prove is that UFOs are an objective reality, i.e. the phenomenon, whatever it may be, including the "null hypothesis", exists. No-one, not even the most ardent debunker, could or does argue this.

However, this standard is wholly inadequate, in my opinion, to prove the much more contentious proposition that the ETH, or any theory, is a proven fact, i.e. that some UFOs are intelligently controlled extraterrestrial spacecraft.

That's not being less than brave, that's just common sense. Sagan was right - extraordinary claims, whatever they may be, require extraordinary evidence. In the terms of the burden of proof, that means something more than just a preponderance of the evidence when one asserts that a theory for the UFO phenomenon is instead a fact.

Further, people like Mac can, using this standard of proof, make the same claim as you do if they wanted to, using the exact same evidence (that Mac doesn't is a point in his favour, and to his credit).

For example, take the Malmstrom case, or the Rendlesham case. What, exactly, about those cases (or any other case, for that

matter - Tehran, RB47, Shag Harbour, on and on and on) makes them extraterrestrial, as opposed to interdimensional, or cryptoterrestrial, or explainable as a mundane event misinterpreted? Nothing. Mac could use Rendlesham or Malmstrom to bolster his CTH by saying, as he did in one of the clips I posted, that these beings may be using advanced holographic technology to project these images to us. He could also say that it would be relatively easy for this advanced group, working covertly, to create a device, like the one that was allegedly touched by one of the airmen at Rendlesham (and how hard would that be - after all, you claim the US government has managed to keep these secret all these years, by keeping the information compartmentalized, and limited - what could be more limited than a small group of cryptos?).

Do I buy that as a proven fact? Of course not. But I don't buy the ETH explanation as a proven fact either. No-one should based on the available evidence.

So, which standard does that leave? The one that should be used, indeed, that must be used, if one wants to assert one of these theories as a fact, proven by the available evidence. Beyond a reasonable doubt.

Indeed, you indicate that this standard is the one to use when you use the word "overwhelmingly".

Again, from Black's:

"In evidence means fully satisfied, entirely convinced, satisfied to a moral certainty; and phrase is the equivalent of the words clear, precise and indubitable. In criminal case, the accused's guilt must be established 'beyond reasonable doubt,' which means that the facts proven must, by virtue of their probative force, establish guilt."

Now I know you, and some others, think that you've met that standard Stan, but you haven't. No jury in the world, no group of objective citizens, honest and true, when presented with the best available evidence and then asked, "does this prove that some UFOs are intelligently controlled extraterrestrial spacecraft" will answer in the affirmative. They won't, because they can't (and don't quote me the results of some Oxford debate, or some C2C "debate" with Seth Shostak - those are hardly full, frank discussions of all positions, and the evidence, which if done properly would take days, or weeks, and would involve many different players). You're a man of science, and you've spent enough time with lawyers (thereby covering both bases) - you above all people should know that.

Does this mean that the ETH is not a good theory? No, and Mac never said it wasn't. He's just saying, as others before him have, that it isn't proven, and there are other theories as well.

In a subject where the best that one can say is "something is happening, and we don't know for sure what it is," one cannot assert that any one theory is a proven fact.

Of course, you disagree, but then we're back to the original question - where is the evidence that proves your assertion? Don't just cite me the 5 scientific studies, etc., etc., - unlike most of your audiences, I am familiar with them. Cite me the actual evidence. Name the one case that proves the ETH is the ETF beyond a reasonable doubt, and not something else.

Best regards,

Paul Kimball  
[www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com](http://www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 24](#)

## The Twelve Alien Days Of Christmas

From: Daniel Brenton <[daniel\\_brenton.nul](mailto:daniel_brenton.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 17:43:12 -0800  
Fwd Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 09:19:29 -0500  
Subject: The Twelve Alien Days Of Christmas

To All,

Some of you may remember this one.

About six years ago, under my pen name "L. George Daniels" I put together this little parody of the traditional Christmas carol and cast it across the internet.

Much to my delight, Whitley Strieber ran across it and asked if he could post it on his site. At my request he instead graciously placed a link to it, which he put at the top of his website, which was then The Communion Homepage.

=09

With the link he had the following message: "Merry last Christmas of the Millenium from Whitley, Anne and Andrew Strieber. Click on this link for a neat Christmas message (and take a look at the rest of the site, too. It=92s fun.)"

This was of course the finest Christmas gift the UFO community has ever given me.

Thank you, Whitley...

\* \* \*

I offer once again for your holiday enjoyment:

The Twelve Alien Days Of Christmas

On the first day of Christmas, an alien gave to me =96  
A crashed flying saucer and crew.

On the second day of Christmas, an alien gave to me =96  
Two men in black,  
and a crashed flying saucer and crew.

On the third day of Christmas, an alien gave to me =96  
Three implants,  
Two men in black,  
and a crashed flying saucer and crew.

On the fourth day of Christmas, an alien gave to me =96  
Four motherships,  
Three implants,  
Two men in black,  
and a crashed flying saucer and crew.

On the fifth day of Christmas, an alien gave to me =96  
FIVE MISSING HOURS.  
Four motherships,  
Three implants,  
Two men in black,  
and a crashed flying saucer and crew.

On the sixth day of Christmas, an alien gave to me =96  
Six cattle corpses,  
FIVE MISSING HOURS.  
Four motherships,  
Three implants,

The Twelve Alien Days Of Christmas

Two men in black,  
and a crashed flying saucer and crew.

On the seventh day of Christmas, an alien gave to me =96  
Seven books from Whitley,  
Six cattle corpses,  
FIVE MISSING HOURS.  
Four motherships,  
Three implants,  
Two men in black,  
and a crashed flying saucer and crew.

On the eighth day of Christmas, an alien gave to me =96  
Eight funny rashes,  
Seven books from Whitley,  
Six cattle corpses,  
FIVE MISSING HOURS.  
Four motherships,  
Three implants,  
Two men in black,  
and a crashed flying saucer and crew.

On the ninth day of Christmas, an alien gave to me =96  
Nine hoaxers hoaxing,  
Eight funny rashes,  
Seven books from Whitley,  
Six cattle corpses,  
FIVE MISSING HOURS.  
Four motherships,  
Three implants,  
Two men in black,  
and a crashed flying saucer and crew.

On the tenth day of Christmas, an alien gave to me =96  
Ten men debunking,  
Nine hoaxers hoaxing,  
Eight funny rashes,  
Seven books from Whitley,  
Six cattle corpses,  
FIVE MISSING HOURS.  
Four motherships,  
Three implants,  
Two men in black,  
and a crashed flying saucer and crew.

On the eleventh day of Christmas, an alien gave to me =96  
Eleven grays intruding,  
Ten men debunking,  
Nine hoaxers hoaxing,  
Eight funny rashes,  
Seven books from Whitley,  
Six cattle corpses,  
FIVE MISSING HOURS.  
Four motherships,  
Three implants,  
Two men in black,  
and a crashed flying saucer and crew.

On the twelfth day of Christmas, an alien gave to me =96  
Twelve hybrids mating,  
Eleven grays intruding,  
Ten men debunking,  
Nine hoaxers hoaxing,  
Eight funny rashes,  
Seven books from Whitley,  
Six cattle corpses,  
FIVE MISSING HOURS.  
Four motherships,  
Three implants,  
Two men in black,  
and a crashed flying saucer and crew.

\* \* \*

Yes, Tiny Tim =85 God bless us, every one.

-- Daniel

c. 2006, by Daniel Brenton. All Rights Reserved.

You may enjoy my alien Santa photo, at:

<http://tinyurl.com/ymjooa>

The Meaning of Existence (and all that):  
The Odd Little Universe of Daniel Brenton

<http://www.danielbrenton.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 24](#)

## Re: J. Allen Hynek - Boone

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 14:25:15 EST  
Fwd Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 09:22:52 -0500  
Subject: Re: J. Allen Hynek - Boone

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 11:12:33 -0600  
>Subject: J. Allen Hynek [was: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis]

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 08:38:59 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 15:01:07 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>So Dr. Hynek was lecturing and after the lecture he was kind  
>>enough to engage in up close discussions with those brave enough  
>>to remain after the lecture. Several of my friends, family, and  
>>neighbors gave out startling accounts of their UFO experiences  
>>much to my surprise as I was the one often teased for delving  
>>into the issue.

>>So being the polite young man I was, I waited til my elders gave  
>>their data and then , literally standing at the feet of Dr.  
>>Hynek who loomed over me on stage, I proposed to him that when  
>>one says 'we are not alone' did it mean in the universe or here  
>>on earth. That we may be dealing with long term life forms  
>>already at the top of the food chain.

>>Well, Dr. Hynek leered at me, silence hovered, and he said  
>>sternly, " Not alone here. " and then made a quick ( should I  
>>say stormed off ) exit stage left.

>>I was quite rattled at his demeanor and response as my friends,  
>>family, and neighbors all looked at me and wondered what I had  
>>said that ticked him off so.

>Greg,

>Not having been there, I am unable to judge whether your  
>question did in fact offend Allen Hynek. I will say, however,  
>that I would be awfully surprised if it did. My suspicion - I  
>can't prove it, of course - is that something other than your  
>question set him off, if indeed he was set off at all.

>Allen, whom I know fairly well in the last two decades of his  
>life, was an easy-going, good-humored guy, great company, slow  
>to anger. Moreover, he had been asked just about every UFO-  
>related question in the world - sane or insane, smart or stupid  
>or indifferent - in the course of his public career as Blue Book  
>consultant and, later, as UFO proponent.

>In common with numerous ufologists, Allen was fervently anti-  
>ETH, for reasons that (as Stan Friedman and others have noted)  
>seem surprisingly naive. Though Allen was indisputably a good  
>scientist and did most of his UFO writing and research from his  
>scientific background, privately (and sometimes not so  
>privately) he harbored a mystical side. Through Jacques

>Vallee's influence, Allen came to hold an occult-tinged view of  
>the UFO phenomenon which led him to some strange ideas, one of  
>which was that UFOs are elementals, or earthbound spirits. His  
>more scientifically inclined associates would cringe when this  
>kind of woolly thinking came up in his discourse.

>I remember how one evening he and I enjoyed a pleasant dinner,  
>in the course of which we wandered into a debate over the  
>utility of such beliefs. I pointed out to him how scientifically  
>useless they are and how unlikely to lead anywhere in terms of  
>concrete research and results. Later, I reflected, both  
>bemusedly and ruefully, that I - a layman - had been lecturing  
>Allen - an experienced, well-credential scientist - on how  
>science works. On the other hand, he didn't very strenuously  
>dispute my points, which were fairly obvious ones. Perhaps at  
>that point in his life, he just didn't care. Unfortunately, he  
>ought to have cared.

>Once he committed the huge strategic error of speaking openly  
>about those notions at a New Age conference in Arkansas,  
>organized by the late psychic Harold Sherman. For this  
>indiscretion he was subjected to a withering attack by hard-core  
>anomalyphobe Leon Jaroff in the mass-circulation popular-science  
>magazine Discover. I think that assault, however over the top  
>(Jaroff's preferred writing style on hated heresies such as  
>UFOs), did in what was left of Allen's scientific reputation in  
>the eyes of his colleagues, many of whom (as reviews in  
>scientific journals in 1972 document) were willing to consider  
>open-mindedly the arguments he laid out so cogently in The UFO  
>Experience.

>Jerry Clark

Wow! This is about the most important and astounding post I've  
read on UFO UpDates!

Reason being is that Dr. Hynek spent much of his last years in  
my hometown area as you've seen in his book about the Hudson  
Valley UFO sightings with Phil Imbrogno.

I was there up front and public like no tomorrow. I could go on  
and on about what happened during those years. I've told Errol  
on his radio program as well.

I was aware that Hynek was into theories other than the ETH but  
being from the region of upstate NY I can understand why if  
that's where he felt validation for his theories. That's  
something I think I should send to you off-List as it would be  
best to have what I have to say examined by an expert in the  
field.

From what you've just posted, I think I've finally gotten my  
answer to lot of questions that have lingered over the years.

My final theory on all this UFO stuff is making a lot more sense  
than it should.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 24](#)

## Re: J. Allen Hynek - Boone

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 18:39:44 EST  
Fwd Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 09:31:09 -0500  
Subject: Re: J. Allen Hynek - Boone

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 11:12:33 -0600  
>Subject: J. Allen Hynek [was: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis]

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 08:38:59 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 15:01:07 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

<snip>

>In common with numerous ufologists, Allen was fervently anti-ETH, for reasons that (as Stan Friedman and others have noted) >seem surprisingly naive. Though Allen was indisputably a good >scientist and did most of his UFO writing and research from his >scientific background, privately (and sometimes not so >privately) he harbored a mystical side. Through Jacques >the UFO phenomenon which led him to some strange ideas, one of >which was that UFOs are elementals, or earthbound spirits. His >more scientifically inclined associates would cringe when this >kind of woolly thinking came up in his discourse.

>I remember how one evening he and I enjoyed a pleasant dinner, >in the course of which we wandered into a debate over the >utility of such beliefs. I pointed out to him how scientifically >useless they are and how unlikely to lead anywhere in terms of >concrete research and results. Later, I reflected, both >bemusedly and ruefully, that I - a layman - had been lecturing >science works. On the other hand, he didn't very strenuously >dispute my points, which were fairly obvious ones. Perhaps at >that point in his life, he just didn't care. Unfortunately, he >ought to have cared.

>Once he committed the huge strategic error of speaking openly >about those notions at a New Age conference in Arkansas, >organized by the late psychic Harold Sherman. For this >indiscretion he was subjected to a withering attack by hard-core >anomalyphobe Leon Jaroff in the mass-circulation popular-science >magazine Discover. I think that assault, however over the top >(Jaroff's preferred writing style on hated heresies such as >UFOs), did in what was left of Allen's scientific reputation in >the eyes of his colleagues, many of whom (as reviews in >scientific journals in 1972 document) were willing to consider >open-mindedly the arguments he laid out so cogently in The UFO >Experience.

I must chime in again as this post by Jerome Clark is far more important than it may appear to be. For me it's a turning point of great significance as I shall attempt to illustrate.

If Hynek was theorizing about other explanations outside of the ETH, then they should be considered and examined as would any

other theory from a scientist. Vallee, Dr. John E. Mack, Hynek, all of whom are admired, respected, professionals have all tapped on the possibility that the ETH isn't the be all and end all to this field of study.

Most scientists I've ever met were religious folks especially when the funding proposals went out. No atheists in a foxhole and none in a lab when the funding is in question.

I'm betting that what is about to converge here is that mankind is about to come to grips with a reality that most on the planet accept already. We call it spiritualism but in science we'd call it noncorporeal life. Are we actually living beings far more than just a flesh and blood shell?

Sound weird? There are far more on Earth who believe that than believe in any ETH. So we may be talking semantics. Technically everything could probably be broken down to something extraterrestrial. Technically everything can be broken down to something spiritual. Let's toss out the superstitions and cultural viewpoints of spirituality and just say for all purposes that we humans and other intelligent lifeforms aren't native to this physical universe. There are tens of millions if not hundreds of millions of people who've had those out of body experiences who'll testify to that. Not near death experiences, just out of body experiences.

Since there's such a volume of irrefutable proof that this occurs and the persons did indeed witness real events at a distance then it's a reality that we can or do exist independent of a human body. If we do, it's a good bet other living creatures do as well. Difference is we can come back and write down our experiences for others to share.

Last night ABC's 20/20 with Barbara Walters had a 2 hour special about Heaven. She interviewed various peoples of various faiths and one of her statistics was that 18 million Americans have had near death experiences. That's a lot of witnesses and sure there are theories it has something to do with decreased levels of oxygen in the brain but it doesn't explain how so many of these people can bear witness to events at distances apart from their bodies that are proven occurrences, i.e. being able to perceive events rooms away or even at their homes as far as half a world away.

That special with Barbara Walters also featured one of the great men of today, the Dalai Lama. If you can, catch that special.

These are documented globally for decades.

There's something there. There's always been something there.

If Hynek's colleagues dismissed themselves because he decided to delve into this area, then it's their loss not his. Seeking the approval or validation one needs from cowards, fools, or worse is about as smart as swimming with crocodiles while wearing pork chop swimming trunks.

Let's look at life and it's functions and realize that maybe Hynek was on to something he hadn't the time to pursue. Dr. Mack we lost far too early but was on that trail.

Our minds, our spirits are the final frontiers.

I see in a few short years, maybe months we'll see something different in ufology as well as world events. There are so many wonders awaiting us in the years to come we'll one day as a species sit back and wonder why we spent so much time fighting.

Golly, I'll bet Errol Bruce-Knapp is going to have a hot show tonight.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 24](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 21:18:59 -0400  
Fwd Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 09:38:52 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 15:16:42 EST  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 20:35:34 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 15:01:07 -0800  
>>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

><snip>

>>Ed

>>I wish you and Paul would get straight what I say.

>Stan:

>And I wish you wouldn't put words in my mouth, or lump me in  
>with Ed (no offense to Ed, but I can't think of anything he and  
>I really agree upon).

>>I do not use the word 'proof' nor do I insist upon other star  
>>systems. I say the evidence is overwhelming that Earth is being  
>>visited by intelligently controlled ET spacecraft. In other  
>>words \_some\_ UFOs are of ET origin. Please look up  
>>'Extraterrestrial'. It means from outside the Earth. It does  
>>\_not\_ mean only from other solar systems or other galaxies. It  
>>includes the moon and other planets in our solar system.

>Well, d'uh. Poor little plebes, unable to figure out what  
>"extraterrestrial" means. Sheesh...

<snip>

Paul:

My comment was addressed to Ed. You both misrepresented what I  
have said, though differently. I am not lumping your opinions  
with his. Many important decisions and evaluations in our world  
are based upon other than the legal systems, which after all are  
not all the same. Don't the French say Guilty until proven  
innocent?

Proof also has scientific and technical meanings as well as  
legal. As does evidence. Where is an adversary? Who is on trial?  
I like the recent decision of the Washington State Supreme Court  
(Ongom vs State of Washington Department of Health) which  
reversed a lower court and the appeals court in a manner  
involving professional competence, licensing, etc., by claiming  
that the lower decisions were based on a "preponderance of  
evidence" standard whereas they should have used a tougher  
standard "clear and convincing evidence". They did not require

"beyond a reasonable doubt". Many things in science are deduced based upon "clear and convincing evidence"... We know that people can build apparently metallic structured maneuverable vehicles capable of flight. We have not come up with any evidence that Earth is unique. We know that the age of our current vehicle building civilization is very very much less than the age of the planet or of the solar system or of the galaxy or the universe.

We have, so far as I can judge from this discussion, not seen any evidence of the existence of ultraterrestrial or cryptoterrestrial beings. This doesn't mean we have established that they don't exist. We do know of many observations of the flight, landing, take off of highly maneuverable manufactured craft that move up up and away and sometimes are seen in the company of very very large airborne craft.

We further know that the development of our advanced flying craft has been done for military purposes and at enormous costs. We Earthlings have a long history of developing craft and spying on other countries to see what their capabilities are along lines that we would either have to defend against or copy.

Nobody has presented "clear and convincing evidence" that the items seen in the best cases originated from any group on earth. They were, therefore, produced by intelligent beings from somewhere else. That, of course, doesn't answer with "clear and convincing evidence" where they originate, why they are here, or why they don't seem to do a host of things. I would say that the Star Map work associated with the Hill case makes a very strong case that the base planets (not necessarily the homes of any of the crew) are near Zeta 1 or Zeta 2 Reticulum for those particular craft crew-members.

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 24](#)

## Re: The Politics of Marginization - Parr

From: Chris Parr <[ChrsP875.nul](mailto:ChrsP875.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 20:24:18 EST  
Fwd Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 10:12:58 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Politics of Marginization - Parr

>From: Mac Tonnies <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 21:34:32 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: The Politics Of Marginalization

Mac Tonnies raises the valid subject of marginalization in Ufology, however this topic must be considered as a re-evaluation of the categorisation of a Ufologist.

Ufologist

A person who attempts to find UFO evidence via their own means

Monitoring the sky with Camcorder /photographic equipment in order to find evidence of unusual aerial phenomena. A Ufologist may be part of a like minded UFO group and may be inspired by previous events of UFO reports and footage.

Skywatcher: A person who monitors the sky and is fascinated by the possibility of ET life.

UFO Information Seeker and Distributor: A person who requests information from the public about UFO sightings and shares the gathered information to provide reported data and evidence.

UFO Researcher: A person who studies and shares accumulated Ufological evidence.

UFO Document Chaser: A person who pursues and shares official UFO related documentation evidence.

UFO Historian: A person who studies the case history of UFO sightings, reports & encounters.

UFO Theorist: A person who studies the UFO phenomenon and attempts to make a conclusion.

Despite our varied categories, we are reliant on the UFO witness and we must acknowledge that without the humble witness, we wouldn't have our Ufology.

Chris Parr

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)



**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 24](#)

## Jacques Patenet On UFORadio International

From: **Giuliano 'Jimmy' Marinkovic** <[giuliano.marinkovic.nul](mailto:giuliano.marinkovic.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 02:51:30 +0100  
Fwd Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 10:18:00 -0500  
Subject: Jacques Patenet On UFORadio International

UFORadio - International

Hosted by Giuliano Marinkovic

Radio Podcast Program presents:

03 - Jacques Patenet - GEIPAN

In this exclusive interview our guest is mr. Jacques Patenet from French Space Agency, CNES. He is is the head of GEIPAN (Groupe d Etudes et d Information des Ph=E9nom=E8nes A=E9rospatiaux Non identifi=E9s), official group in France that has mandate to research UFO phenomena. Mr. Patenet will present us the history of official UFO research in France, current status and future plans.

You can download this Podcast episode directly at:

<http://tinyurl.com/y8flqt>

Or from our Website:

<http://uforadio.podomatic.com>

Our RSS Feed is at:

<http://uforadio.podomatic.com/rss2.xml>

You can also send this info to your colleagues via this link:

<http://www.podomatic.com/podcast/tell/uforadio?p=3Dl>

Best regards:

UFORADIO - INTERNATIONAL

Host: Giuliano Marinkovic  
e-mail: [uforadio.nul](mailto:uforadio.nul)  
Skype ID: UFORADIO

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 24](#)

## Official Spanish UFO Document Online

From: **Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos** <[ballesterolmos.nul](mailto:ballesterolmos.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 10:17:46 +0100 (CET)  
Fwd Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 10:23:20 -0500  
Subject: Official Spanish UFO Document Online

Dear Colleagues:

In September 1981, Lieutenant Colonel Antonio Munaiz Ferro-Sastre presented a 106-page monograph to the regular course for promotion to Colonel in the Official Air School, Air Force Headquarters, Madrid, Spain.

During the seventies, this Air Force officer had been named informing-judge for the official inquiry of several outstanding UFO cases in the Canary Islands, and he was the author of few of the best field-investigation reports in the history of the Spanish Air Force's UFO involvement.

The title of his monograph says it all: A Method For The Investigation Of The Airspace Phenomena.

This document has been kept secret for 15 years, and now I have the pleasure to announce that I have placed it on-line, in its entirety, for access to any interested UFO student. It is preceded with an introduction by me.

Both the preamble and the full monograph are in Spanish.

It can be found in the following link:

<http://www.anomalia.org/g035.htm>

Sincerely,

Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos  
FOTOCAT Blog <http://fotocat.blogspot.com/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 24](#)

## Re: J. Allen Hynek - Clark

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 10:20:51 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 17:16:55 -0500  
Subject: Re: J. Allen Hynek - Clark

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 18:39:44 EST  
>Subject: Re: J. Allen Hynek

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 11:12:33 -0600  
>>Subject: J. Allen Hynek [was: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial  
>>Hypothesis]

>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 08:38:59 EST  
>>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 15:01:07 -0800  
>>>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>In common with numerous ufologists, Allen was fervently anti-  
>>ETH, for reasons that (as Stan Friedman and others have noted)  
>>seem surprisingly naive. Though Allen was indisputably a good  
>>scientist and did most of his UFO writing and research from his  
>>scientific background, privately (and sometimes not so  
>>privately) he harbored a mystical side. Through Jacques  
>>the UFO phenomenon which led him to some strange ideas, one of  
>>which was that UFOs are elementals, or earthbound spirits. His  
>>more scientifically inclined associates would cringe when this  
>>kind of woolly thinking came up in his discourse.

>>I remember how one evening he and I enjoyed a pleasant dinner,  
>>in the course of which we wandered into a debate over the  
>>utility of such beliefs. I pointed out to him how scientifically  
>>useless they are and how unlikely to lead anywhere in terms of  
>>concrete research and results. Later, I reflected, both  
>>bemusedly and ruefully, that I - a layman - had been lecturing  
>>science works. On the other hand, he didn't very strenuously  
>>dispute my points, which were fairly obvious ones. Perhaps at  
>>that point in his life, he just didn't care. Unfortunately, he  
>>ought to have cared.

>I must chime in again as this post by Jerome Clark is far more  
>important than it may appear to be. For me it's a turning point  
>of great significance as I shall attempt to illustrate.

>If Hynek was theorizing about other explanations outside of the  
>ETH, then they should be considered and examined as would any  
>other theory from a scientist. Vallee, Dr. John E. Mack, Hynek,  
>all of whom are admired, respected, professionals have all  
>tapped on the possibility that the ETH isn't the be all and end  
>all to this field of study.

You've missed the point here, Greg, by a good country mile.

Perhaps, in common with so many ufologists, your obsession with  
the ETH - which I was not discussing except to note in passing  
that Allen Hynek had rejected it for reasons that may struck

informed observers as naive (as opposed, say for one example, to more insightful anti-ETH critiques) - distorts your understanding of almost any discussion about how to approach the problems raised by UFO reports.

My post was not about the ETH vs. alternative hypotheses. That is a whole other discussion, which deserves better than the pabulum some obsessive ETHphobes on this List habitually feed it, by the way. If I had been addressing theories about the ultimate nature of the UFO phenomenon, I would have written a different kind of post. (For my own views, not the subject of this post, I have a short essay, "The Core Phenomenon and the Secondary Phenomenon," in the current issue of IUR. It examines what I judge to be the futility of either/or approaches, thus pointing [I hope anyway] to a way past the usual sterile, stalemated debates. You are a member of CUFOS, aren't you?)

What I was discussing in my List post was how Allen had decided to opt out of science when he embraced magical thinking as his favored personal view of the phenomenon's ultimate meaning. Absolutely nothing in science trains one to believe in elementals or encourages embrace of same, and the scientist in Allen made no contrary assertion.

Vallee and Mack, whom you mention as scientists, were intellectually honest and sophisticated enough to state forthrightly that in turning to occultish speculations they were delving into something other than science. Thus - as you fail to grasp - they no longer claimed their scientific education as authority.

In the introduction to Passport to Magonia, Vallee even declares that he "forgot" that he was a scientist when he wrote the pages to follow. John Mack openly acknowledged that his research was more spiritual quest than scientific investigation; he even contended that the angelic otherworldly entities he believed to be responsible for abduction experiences had effectively invalidated Western science. (A whole other discussion could follow here, wherein a more skeptical observer would hold that Mack's thinking was driven more by certain, then-fashionable postmodernist ideologies in academia than by visiting aliens.) So - please - don't make a claim for these men's scientific authority that they themselves didn't make or ever would have made.

If you are uninterested in science and scientific approaches to resolution of the UFO problem, that is your right, of course. If you think that ufology - precisely as debunkers have always argued - is a mystical, occult New Age religion at its core, well, it's a free country. My hope is only that no more persons than have already fallen over that cliff will follow you there.

Jerry Clark

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 24](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 11:38:03 -0700  
Fwd Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 17:18:51 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Bob Shell <[bob.nul](mailto:bob.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 13:17:36 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 12:53:16 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>However, I am too vague on the specifics of the proposal to feel  
>>comfortable discussing it further. If you have an opportunity  
>>perhaps you could comment on the following:

>I don't presume to speak for Mac, but here are my comments.

><snip>

Thanks for your comments Bob. I will withhold reply until Mac  
has had ample opportunity to respond.

Mike

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 24](#)

## Thanks To John Velez

From: **Eleanor White** <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 15:30:18 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 17:22:08 -0500  
Subject: Thanks To John Velez

I'd like to say many thanks to John Velez for 'opening up' on the subject of specific abduction experiences on the December 23, 2006 Strange Days... Indeed, hosted by Errol.

John expressed a reluctance to talk about the specifics of his abduction experiences, because, if I remember correctly, he feels "they are only speculation".

I'd like to comment that just because abductee experiences haven't been approval-stamped by some guy in a lab coat, it's OK to talk about the specifics. People out here in listener/reader-land want to hear those specifics anyway, and I hope John will share more on future shows.

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 24](#)

## Christmas

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 17:25:31 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 17:25:31 -0500  
Subject: Christmas

This arrived at an appropriate time...

-----

- 1) Schizophrenia: Do You Hear What I Hear, the Voices, the Voices?
- 2) Amnesia: I Don't Remember If I'll be Home for Christmas
- 3) Narcissistic: Hark the Herald Angels Sing About Me
- 4) Manic: Deck The Halls And Walls And House And Lawn And Streets And >Stores And Office And Town And Cars And Buses And Trucks And Trees And Fire Hydrants And..... ..
- 5) Multiple Personality Disorder We Three Queens Disoriented Are
- 6) ParanoidSanta Claus Is Coming To Get Us
- 7) Borderline Personality Disorder: You Better Watch Out, You Better not Shout, I'm Gonna Cry, and I'll not Tell You Why
- 8) Full Personality Disorder: Thoughts of Roasting You On an Open Fire
- 9) Obsessive Compulsive Disorder: Jingle Bells, Jingle Bells  
Jingle Bells, Jingle Bells, Jingle Bells, Jingle Bells,  
Jingle Bells, Jingle Bells, Jingle Bells, Jingle Bells
- 10) Agoraphobia: I Heard the Bells on Christmas Day But Wouldn't Leave My House
- 11) Senile Dementia: Walking In a Winter Wonderland Miles from My House in My Slippers and Robe
- 12) Oppositional Defiant DisorderI Saw Mommy Kissing Santa Claus So I Burned Down the House
- 13) Social Anxiety Disorder: Have Yourself a Merry Little Christmas While I Sit Here and Hyperventilate
- 14) Attention Deficit: Disorder: We Wish You..... Hey Look!! It's Snowing!!!

-----

Peace on Earth

Soon,

ebk



[ [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 26](#)

## Re: Thanks To John Velez - Boone

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 18:00:39 EST  
Fwd Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 09:38:40 -0500  
Subject: Re: Thanks To John Velez - Boone

>From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 15:30:18 -0500  
>Subject: Thanks To John Velez

>I'd like to say many thanks to John Velez for 'opening up' on  
>the subject of specific abduction experiences on the December  
>23, 2006 Strange Days... Indeed, hosted by Errol.

>John expressed a reluctance to talk about the specifics of his  
>abduction experiences, because, if I remember correctly, he  
>feels "they are only speculation".

>I'd like to comment that just because abductee experiences  
>haven't been approval-stamped by some guy in a lab coat, it's OK  
>to talk about the specifics. People out here in  
>listener/reader-land want to hear those specifics anyway, and  
>I hope John will share more on future shows.

Amen to that Eleanor White!

I knew we were in store for a hot show from Errol last night and  
I was right. When John Velez got on the air and started telling  
it like it is I was glued to my seat.

If anyone missed it I hope Errol will have archives.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 26](#)

## Re: J. Allen Hynek - Clark

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 19:46:16 -0600  
Fwd Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 09:40:21 -0500  
Subject: Re: J. Allen Hynek - Clark

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 10:20:51 -0600  
>Subject: Re: J. Allen Hynek

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 18:39:44 EST  
>>Subject: Re: J. Allen Hynek

>>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 11:12:33 -0600  
>>>Subject: J. Allen Hynek [was: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial  
>>>Hypothesis]

>>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>>Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 08:38:59 EST  
>>>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>>>>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>>>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>>>Date: Thu, 21 Dec 2006 15:01:07 -0800  
>>>>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>If Hynek was theorizing about other explanations outside of the  
>>ETH, then they should be considered and examined as would any  
>>other theory from a scientist. Vallee, Dr. John E. Mack, Hynek,  
>>all of whom are admired, respected, professionals have all  
>>tapped on the possibility that the ETH isn't the be all and end  
>>all to this field of study.

>You've missed the point here, Greg, by a good country mile.

<snip>

Greg and Listfolk,

Looking this over just now, I am chagrined to see that the tone is sharper than I intended it to be, reflecting no more than exasperation. I didn't mean this to be an attack on anyone, much less Greg, who's not a bad guy.

Sometimes I get a little impatient because it seems as if in ufology we keep going over the same ground endlessly. I should have had another cup of coffee before writing and posting this. My apologies, not for the ideas I expressed but for the way I expressed them.

Season's best to all Listfolk!

Jerry Clark

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 26](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 22:22:06 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 09:44:23 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 21:18:59 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 15:16:42 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

<snip>

Stan:

Virtually all of this response is, well, non-responsive, but I'll go through it anyway, and then get back to the central question, which you completely evaded.

>Proof also has scientific and technical meanings as well as legal. As does evidence. Where is an adversary? Who is on trial?

If using the Anglo-Saxon legal standard of proof bothers you or confuses you so much, then I would suggest that you stop referencing it at your lectures, and in your media interviews.

>I like the recent decision of the Washington State Supreme Court >(Ongom vs State of Washington Department of Health)which >reversed a lower court and the appeals court in a manner >involving professional competence, licensing, etc., by claiming >that the lower decisions were based on a "preponderance of >evidence" standard whereas they should have used a tougher >standard "clear and convincing evidence". They did not require >"beyond a reasonable doubt".

Stan, with all due respect, you should stick to nuclear physics, and leave the law to we lawyers. Of course they would be talking about a standard of proof lesser than "beyond a reasonable doubt", because it was a non-criminal case.

Did you even read my post all the way through?

>Many things in science are deduced based upon "clear and >convincing evidence"... We know that people can build apparently >metallic structured maneuverable vehicles capable of flight. We >have not come up with any evidence that Earth is unique. We >know that the age of our current vehicle building civilization is >very very much less than the age of the planet or of the solar >system or of the galaxy or the universe.

None of which is even remotely relevant, but it makes for good eyewash for some I suppose.

>We have, so far as I can judge from this discussion, not seen >any evidence of the existence of ultraterrestrial or >cryptoterrestrial beings. This doesn't mean we have established >that they don't exist. We do know of many observations of the >flight, landing, take off of highly maneuverable manufactured >craft that move up up and away and sometimes are seen in the

>company of very very large airborne craft.

None of which proves that any of these sightings are of an intelligently controlled, extraterrestrial spacecraft, which is what you assert as a fact.

>We further know that the development of our advanced flying  
>craft has been done for military purposes and at enormous  
>costs. We Earthlings have a long history of developing craft and  
>spying on other countries to see what their capabilities are  
>along lines that we would either have to defend against or  
>copy.

Not relevant to the discussion in the least.

>Nobody has presented "clear and convincing evidence" that the  
>items seen in the best cases originated from any group on earth.

They don't have to Stan. The burden of proof lies on the party making the assertion of fact, which in this case is you, as you assert that some UFOs are intelligently controlled alien spacecraft. I don't assert that they are not - I merely state that we can't say for sure what any UFOs are.

Your stance reminds me a lot of the Billy Meier defenders - they tell everyone who calls the photos/films into question to prove that they are frauds. That's not required - the Meier-ites have to prove that they are real, not the other way around. Ditto you and the ETH as the ETF.

Anything less is intellectually dishonest.

>They were, therefore, produced by intelligent beings from  
>somewhere else. That, of course, doesn't answer with "clear and  
>convincing evidence" where they originate, why they are here, or  
>why they don't seem to do a host of things. I would say that the  
>Star Map work associated with the Hill case makes a very strong  
>case that the base planets (not necessarily the homes of any of  
>the crew) are near Zeta 1 or Zeta 2 Reticulum for those  
>particular craft crew-members.

I notice throughout that you've latched onto that "clear and convincing evidence" line. Again you miss the point - your old buddy Carl Sagan was 100% correct when he said that extraordinary claims, which is certainly what the ETH as ETF is, require extraordinary proof. In other words, beyond any reasonable doubt.

Now, I understand why you don't like that, because you know that you can't do it. But that's what thinking people require in order to assert that something like alien visitation to Earth is a fact, and not just a good working theory.

But I'll play along with the lower standard, just for fun. Clear and convincing evidence it is.

Accordingly, I'll ask my original question, which you didn't answer (you didn't answer it when you were asked it by Seth Shostak a couple of years ago during your "debate" on C2C).

Here it is again, with the lower standard of proof substituted for "beyond a reasonable doubt":

Name the one case that proves clear and convincing evidence that the ETH is the ETFact, and not something else.

One case, Stan. That shouldn't be so hard.

Don't cite stats like "hundreds of physical trace cases", or "thousands of witnesses". That's ducking the question. It's non-responsive, and it proves nothing, other than that we have a mystery, which is what I and others are saying here.

Just one case. That's all I need - the one case that shows clear and convincing evidence that aliens have visited Earth, which is the standard that you have adopted, and which sits higher than the preponderance of evidence standard (i.e. the balance of probabilities).

Then we can talk about that one case.

Best regards,

Paul Kimball

[www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com](http://www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 26](#)

## Re: Thanks To John Velez - Velez

From: John Velez <[johnvelez.aic.nul](mailto:johnvelez.aic.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 22:23:17 -0500  
Fwd Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 09:46:09 -0500  
Subject: Re: Thanks To John Velez - Velez

>From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 15:30:18 -0500  
>Subject: Thanks To John Velez

>I'd like to say many thanks to John Velez for 'opening up' on  
>the subject of specific abduction experiences on the December  
>23, 2006 Strange Days... Indeed, hosted by Errol.

Hi Eleanor,

You wrote:

>John expressed a reluctance to talk about the specifics of his  
>abduction experiences, because, if I remember correctly, he  
>feels "they are only speculation".

That's not quite accurate...

Eleanor, from day 1 I have been completely candid about my abduction experiences. The only ones I ever share in public are the ones I recall consciously and clearly. They have left an indelible mark on my life and my world view. The ones I am (rightfully) hesitant to discuss in public are the ones that were derived from hypnosis. I'll tell you why...

David Jacobs made the point in a recent interview, I'm paraphrasing; 'Data that is based on human memory, which is derived from hypnosis performed by amateurs, is the very weakest form of evidence there is.' David is absolutely correct and I am in complete agreement with him. I'll leave it up to abductees like Whitley Streiber to further muddy the waters by mixing fact with fiction. I'll stick to the facts, thank you very much.

The only thing the records of abductee hypnosis sessions have going for them is the remarkable corroboration of specific details from account to account. That fact alone makes the hypnotically derived material worthy of further study/research. It is the corroborative aspect of the hypnotically derived material that I am sure David is basing his (informed) speculations on.

Eleanor, the reason I always hesitate to introduce any material I derived from hypnosis is simply because there is -no way- to verify any of it. It may very well be that all of material gleaned through hypnosis is all true and accurate information. But how on earth does anyone go about verifying any of it? It can't be done. And I simply refuse to become just another source of unsubstantiated gobbledygook. That's what everybody else seems to be preoccupied with. It is the reason I am still active and trying to increase knowledge and awareness about the abduction issue. We need more, not less, reasonable voices to be raised. Lord knows we hear more than we need to from the likes of Rael and Olivera et al.

I have always based my testimony only on what I can recall clearly and consciously without the aid of hypnosis or any other such technique. I can vouch for the material I recall consciously. I was there and fully awake at the time. The



experiences left indelible imprints on my memory. The hypnotically retrieved material does not enjoy the same level of confidence simply because I did not recall it consciously. Regardless of how well it may fit in with the parts of the experience I did recall clearly, it is material that was hidden to me until I went through the process of hypnosis.

Ergo, all material obtained via hypnosis automatically goes into my 'B' folder. The B-folder is the material I keep on the back-burner until something comes along to either confirm it, or eliminate it from consideration. It's important to me to always stay straight with myself. This is deadly important business. It requires the very best I can give it. Intellectual honesty is a valued and important thing to me. I respect it in others and always seek to nurture it in myself. I cannot in good conscience spread what is essentially 'rumor' nor can I add to the already dizzying confusion that surrounds the topic. Too many peoples lives have been affected, and not always in a good way, including my own and the lives of my family members. I take great care to handle myself responsibly in public because I know others are being judged by my actions and words.

The way I handle it is; I always precede any revelations of detail that has obtained via hypnosis with a disclaimer and a warning. I always caution the listener to take the material with a healthy grain of salt because none of it can be verified.

Remember also that we are dealing with what appears to be a telepathic group of beings. Creatures capable of entering and influencing our minds. How the hell is anyone supposed to know if the material that is being regurgitated during hypnosis was not intentionally planted there... in order to mask the real purpose or perpetrators of the phenom. If it can't be trusted, it is important to let people know and to label it as such.

>I'd like to comment that just because abductee experiences  
>haven't been approval-stamped by some guy in a lab coat, it's OK  
>to talk about the specifics. People out here in  
>listener/reader-land want to hear those specifics anyway, and  
>I hope John will share more on future shows.

I will share more of the hypnotically derived material. However, it will always be preceded by my disclaimer. There is a world of difference between a memory that is conscious, and which is always available, and one which only made an appearance during a session where consciousness is in an altered state. It is always important to make the distinction. Way too many people already labor under the delusion that it is all a product of imagination.

Glad you got something out of the discussion that you found interesting or of value.

Best,

John Velez

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 26](#)

## Re: Christmas - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 25 Dec 2006 19:20:22 +0000  
Fwd Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 09:52:34 -0500  
Subject: Re: Christmas - Kasten

>>From: hondo  
>>Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 11:47:50 0700

>This arrived at an appropriate time...

>-----

>1) Schizophrenia: Do You Hear What I Hear, the Voices, the  
> Voices?

<snip>

>-----

>Peace on Earth

>Soon,

>ebk

Thanks, I laughed so hard tears were running down my  
cheeks.

KK

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 26](#)

## Paul Villa NM 1963 Photos

From: **Dimitris Hatzopoulos** <[dhatz-ufo.nul](mailto:dhatz-ufo.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 25 Dec 2006 21:50:13 +0200  
Fwd Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 09:55:14 -0500  
Subject: Paul Villa NM 1963 Photos

Searching the Internet and the archives of this List, I understand that many consider the Paul Villa 1963 photos fakes (if you don't have them handy, they can be found at:

<http://groups.msn.com/CosmicView/realufospacecraft.msnw>

But on the other hand, isn't there a striking similarity between the flying saucer in Paul Villa's photos and e.g. the witness testimony and sketches analyzed by MUFON CT at:

<http://www.temporaldoorway.com/mufonct/report/950715/index.htm>

and searching around I have found other cases too where the eye-witnesses describe such a large disk with "windows" -even a local case, where witness observed "human" inside-)?

Dimitris Hatzopoulos  
<http://www.hyper.net/ufo.html>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 26](#)

## Re: J. Allen Hynek - Friedman

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 25 Dec 2006 18:33:26 -0400  
Fwd Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 09:58:44 -0500  
Subject: Re: J. Allen Hynek - Friedman

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 10:20:51 -0600  
>Subject: Re: J. Allen Hynek

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 18:39:44 EST  
>>Subject: Re: J. Allen Hynek

>>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 11:12:33 -0600  
>>>Subject: J. Allen Hynek [was: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial  
>>>Hypothesis]

<snip>

>Vallee and Mack, whom you mention as scientists, were  
>intellectually honest and sophisticated enough to state  
>forthrightly that in turning to occultish speculations they were  
>delving into something other than science. Thus - as you fail  
>to grasp - they no longer claimed their scientific education as  
>authority.

>In the introduction to Passport to Magonia, Vallee even declares  
>that he "forgot" that he was a scientist when he wrote the pages  
>to follow. John Mack openly acknowledged that his research was  
>more spiritual quest than scientific investigation; he even  
>contended that the angelic otherworldly entities he believed to  
>be responsible for abduction experiences had effectively  
>invalidated Western science. (A whole other discussion could  
>follow here, wherein a more skeptical observer would hold that  
>Mack's thinking was driven more by certain, then-fashionable  
>postmodernist ideologies in academia than by visiting aliens.)  
>So - please - don't make a claim for these men's scientific  
>authority that they themselves didn't make or ever would have  
>made.

<snip>

I had friendly conversations with Hynek, Mack, and Vallee. It was pretty clear that none were familiar with the advanced technology that could get us to the stars. Probably the main reason is that the work was done in industry and not in academia and was done under security rather than out in the open.

Each was obviously convinced that something very unusual was going on, but that since star travel is "impossible" there must be a non-high tech solution. As well educated people in other areas, it is not too surprising that they were interested in far out "paranormal" stuff.

I once asked Hynek what he thought was the highest power level at which a nuclear fission rocket had been tested. His response was 4 megawatts. The right answer was 4000 megawatts

Stan Friedman

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 26](#)

## HDTV & Old UFO Films

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 10:08:04 EST  
Fwd Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 10:24:12 -0500  
Subject: HDTV & Old UFO Films

I love my new HDTV equipment.

I've had the chance over the past few years to watch old movies with my high definition equipment and I can tell you, the crystal clear resolution is not kind to bad makeup and cheesy special effects.

Movies that I adored and admired for their special effects are getting a hammering when you can now see every string and fingerprint the effects wizards used.

From George Pal's version of War of the Worlds to the Wizard of Oz, HDTV or HD spares no one. Mind you both films still hold up even when you can see the strings. Tribute that to great direction, acting etc.

Yet when looking at old UFO videos and films you can easily see if they're bogus or not. What gets me is that the classic Billy Meier films show no signs of strings yet some do.

If you don't have HD try contacting a nearby store that does and bring a vid or DVD in and ask them to play it. I'm sure the stores will get a kick out of a ufologist showing up with something to showcase on their new appliances. I do that with the local stores in my area and we all have a ball watching and commenting. People are amazed at how some films and vids hold up 30 or more years old before CGI.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 27](#)

## Re: HDTV & Old UFO Films - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 11:44:09 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 07:51:27 -0500  
Subject: Re: HDTV & Old UFO Films - Kaeser

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [UFOupdates.nul](mailto:UFOupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 10:08:04 EST  
>Subject: HDTV & Old UFO Films

>I love my new HDTV equipment.

>I've had the chance over the past few years to watch old movies  
>with my high definition equipment and I can tell you, the  
>crystal clear resolution is not kind to bad makeup and cheesy  
>special effects.

>Movies that I adored and admired for their special effects are  
>getting a hammering when you can now see every string and  
>fingerprint the effects wizards used.

>>From George Pal's version of War of the Worlds to the Wizard of  
>Oz, HDTV or HD spares no one. Mind you both films still hold up  
>even when you can see the strings. Tribute that to great  
>direction, acting etc.

This is better than some of the performers we're used to seeing  
as beautiful people. Several actresses have expressed concern  
about the impact of HD and won't allow close ups.

Have we seen any of Adamski's string work yet? <g>

Steve

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 27](#)

## Re: Paul Villa NM 1963 Photos - Hall

From: Richard Hall <[hallrichard99.nul](mailto:hallrichard99.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 17:46:14 +0000  
Fwd Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 07:54:58 -0500  
Subject: Re: Paul Villa NM 1963 Photos - Hall

>From: Dimitris Hatzopoulos <[dhatz-ufo.nul](mailto:dhatz-ufo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 25 Dec 2006 21:50:13 +0200  
>Subject: Paul Villa NM 1963 Photos

>Searching the Internet and the archives of this List, I  
>understand that many consider the Paul Villa 1963 photos fakes  
>(if you don't have them handy, they can be found at:

><http://groups.msn.com/CosmicView/realufospacecraft.msnw>

>But on the other hand, isn't there a striking similarity between  
>the flying saucer in Paul Villa's photos and e.g. the witness  
>testimony and sketches analyzed by MUFON CT at:

><http://www.temporaldoorway.com/mufonct/report/950715/index.htm>

>and searching around I have found other cases too where the  
>eye-witnesses describe such a large disk with "windows" -even a  
>local case, where witness observed "human" inside-)?

>Dimitris Hatzopoulos  
><http://www.hyper.net/ufo.html>

Dimitris,

Where do you think the hoaxers (like Villa, clearly and obviously) get the 'blueprints' for their fake flying saucers?

From eye-witness descriptions and (possibly) valid other photos.

Disc-shaped UFOs with windows or portholes were common as dirt in eye-witness descriptions during thje 1950s and 1960s.

- Dick

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 27](#)

## Re: Thanks To John Velez - White

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 17:23:07 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 07:56:36 -0500  
Subject: Re: Thanks To John Velez - White

>From: John Velez <[johnvelez.aic.nul](mailto:johnvelez.aic.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 22:23:17 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Thanks To John Velez

<snip>

>The only thing the records of abductee hypnosis sessions have  
>going for them is the remarkable corroboration of specific  
>details from account to account.

That is why I want to hear as much of that material as possible.

This topic may well be the most important in all human history,  
and that makes the effort to relate your experiences, even with  
frequent disclaimers, very important.

Thanks for sharing in spite of your misgivings.

\* On a more general note, I wonder if abductee stories have been  
related under hypnosis to different hypnotists? If yes, are  
they still essentially the same story? That should increase the  
confidence level, I would think.

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 27](#)

## Re: Paul Villa NM 1963 Photos - Henningsen

From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 23:57:20 +0100  
Fwd Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 07:58:26 -0500  
Subject: Re: Paul Villa NM 1963 Photos - Henningsen

>From: Dimitris Hatzopoulos <[dhartz-ufo.nul](mailto:dhartz-ufo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 25 Dec 2006 21:50:13 +0200  
>Subject: Paul Villa NM 1963 Photos

>Searching the Internet and the archives of this List, I  
>understand that many consider the Paul Villa 1963 photos fakes  
>(if you don't have them handy, they can be found at:

><http://groups.msn.com/CosmicView/realufospacecraft.msnw>

>But on the other hand, isn't there a striking similarity between  
>the flying saucer in Paul Villa's photos and e.g. the witness  
>testimony and sketches analyzed by MUFON CT at:

><http://www.temporaldoorway.com/mufonct/report/950715/index.htm>

>and searching around I have found other cases too where the  
>eye-witnesses describe such a large disk with "windows" -even a  
>local case, where witness observed "human" inside-)?

Hello Dimitris,

And do you consider George Adamskis photos genuine, because some  
people - somewhere - report they have sighted such flying  
objects?

Best regards,

Ole

Ole Henningsen  
Denmark

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 27](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 19:05:46 -0400  
Fwd Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 08:06:44 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 22:22:06 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 21:18:59 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 15:16:42 EST  
>>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

><snip>

>Stan:

>Virtually all of this response is, well, non-responsive, but I'll go though it anyway, and then get back to the central question, which you completely evaded.

>>Proof also has scientific and technical meanings as well as legal. As does evidence. Where is an adversary? Who is on trial?

>If using the Anglo-Saxon legal standard of proof bothers you or confuses you so much, then I would suggest that you stop referencing it at your lectures, and in your media interviews.

>>I like the recent decision of the Washington State Supreme Court >>(Ongom vs State of Washington Department of Health)which >>reversed a lower court and the appeals court in a manner >>involving professional competence, licensing, etc., by claiming >>that the lower decisions were based on a "preponderance of >>evidence" standard whereas they should have used a tougher >>standard "clear and convincing evidence". They did not require >>"beyond a reasonable doubt".

>Stan, with all due respect, you should stick to nuclear physics, and leave the law to we lawyers. Of course they would be talking about a standard of proof lesser than "beyond a reasonable doubt", because it was a non-criminal case.

>Did you even read my post all the way through?

>>Many things in science are deduced based upon "clear and >>convincing evidence"... We know that people can build apparently >>metallic structured maneuverable vehicles capable of flight. We >>have not come up with any evidence that Earth is unique. We >>know that the age of our current vehicle building civilization is >>very very much less than the age of the planet or of the solar >>system or of the galaxy or the universe.

>None of which is even remotely relevant, but it makes for good >eyewash for some I suppose.

Of course they are relevant. What are the arguments against some UFOs as alien space craft? Basically that there are no

other civilizations - ot a loud argument - that one can't get here from out there, that "they don't behave they way they might be expected to behave".

We know as fact that technological progress has moved very rapidly in a short period of time to provide all kinds of activities not feasible or really dreamed of 200 years ago... aircraft, radio, TV, computers, flight, space flight atom bombs, DNA etc etc.

We know as fact that our civilization has been around for a very tiny portion of the history o the neighborhood. Therefore it is not unreasonable that others developed their technology before we did in which case they would be way ahead of us.

If it were true that aliens were coming here and manifesting such technology, than they would be observed by people and detected by instruments all over the planet. Sure enough. There would be aspects of their behavior and machinery that would be very desirable for many big budget groups on the planet to develop. It is a fact that nobdy has provided any evidence that such is the case.

Therefore what has been observed in those investigated cases that survive careful examination are manifestations of alien civilization or civilizations.

>>We have, so far as I can judge from this discussion, not seen >>any evidence of the existence of ultraterrestrial or >>cryptoterrestrial beings. This doesn't mean we have established >>that they don't exist. We do know of many observations of the >>flight, landing, take off of highly maneuverable manufactured >>craft that move up up and away and sometimes are seen in the >>company of very very large airborne craft.

>None of which proves that any of these sightings are of an >intelligently controlled, extraterrestrial spacecraft, which is >what you assert as a fact.

We deduce that if they are not from here, they are from somewhere else. Therefore intelligently controlled ET spacecraft

>>We further know that the development of our advanced flying >>craft has been done for military purposes and at enormous >>costs. We Earthlings have a long history of developing craft >>and spying >on other countries to see what their capabilities are >>along lines that we would either have to defend against or >>copy.

>Not relevant to the discussion in the least.

>>Nobody has presented "clear and convincing evidence" that the >>items seen in the best cases originated from any group on >>earth.

>They don't have to Stan. The burden of proof lies on the party >making the assertion of fact, which in this case is you, as you >assert that some UFOs are intelligently controlled alien >spacecraft. I don't assert that they are not - I merely state >that we can't say for sure what any UFOs are.

Of course we can say for sure what many UFOs are: some are Venus, some are meteors, some are searchlights on clouds, some are balloons, are high performance military craft, some are a whole host of other relatively conventional phenomena perhaps seen under unconventional circumstances.

You are indeed asserting that no UFOs are alien spacecraft. Something you not only have not established but which assertion is clearly contradicted by the evidence that some are.

>Your stance reminds me a lot of the Billy Meier defenders - they >tell everyone who calls the photos/films into question to prove >that they are frauds. That's not required - the Meier-ites have >to prove that they are real, not the other way around. Ditto you >and the ETH as the ETF.

>Anything less is intellectually dishonest.

>>They were, therefore, produced by intelligent beings from >>somewhere else. That, of course, doesn't answer with "clear

>>and convincing evidence" where they originate, why they are  
>>here, or why they don't seem to do a host of things. I would say  
>>that the Star Map work associated with the Hill case makes a  
>>very strong case that the base planets (not necessarily the  
>>homes of any of the crew) are near Zeta 1 or Zeta 2 Reticulum  
>>for those particular craft crew-members.

>I notice throughout that you've latched onto that "clear and  
>convincing evidence" line. Again you miss the point - your old  
>buddy Carl Sagan was 100% correct when he said that  
>extraordinary claims, which is certainly what the ETH as ETF  
>is, require extraordinary proof. In other words, beyond any  
>reasonable doubt.

There you go again back to the murder conviction. I wasn't aware  
that Carl was ordained the judge of all claims. Since he was  
often wrong, as I have noted in TOP SECRET/MAJIC, in response to  
his "Demon Haunted World", I have no reason to accept this  
dogmatic claim from him and many reasons not to as I noted in a  
fairly recent MUFON Journal Column. As noted above I see no  
reason to say that worldwide claims of observations of  
manufactured craft behaving in ways beyond the scope of  
Earthling craft are extraordinary. Happens all the time all over  
the planet. So these are ordinary... not extraordinary. It his  
claim that is extraordinary.

Clever words oft repeated, but signifying nothing. Often they  
are used by well educated people to avoid dealing with  
surprising claims... The doubters think that, if the claim were  
true, they would have known about it. They didn't, so it must  
not be true... the evidence be damned. The world of science is  
loaded with such resistance in a host of different areas. It may  
take years to create acceptance of new ideas because of the  
demanding of extraordinary evidence... not obtainable without  
huge funding, more sophisticated equipment, etc. Many ideas  
currently accepted are accepted on the basis of less than  
extraordinary evidence... continental drift, destruction of  
dinosaurs by an asteroid, causation of ulcers by bacteria, etc.

>Now, I understand why you don't like that, because you know  
>that you can't do it. But that's what thinking people require in  
>order to assert that something like alien visitation to Earth is  
>a fact, and not just a good working theory.

I should say some thinking people.. certainly not all.

>But I'll play along with the lower standard, just for fun. Clear  
>and convincing evidence it is.

>Accordingly, I'll ask my original question, which you didn't  
>answer (you didn't answer it when you were asked it by Seth  
>Shostak a couple of years ago during your "debate" on C2C).

>Here it is again, with the lower standard of proof substituted  
>for "beyond a reasonable doubt":

>Name the one case that proves clear and convincing evidence  
>that the ETH is the ETFact, and not something else.  
>One case, Stan. That shouldn't be so hard.

>Don't cite stats like "hundreds of physical trace cases", or  
>"thousands of witnesses". That's ducking the question. It's non-  
>responsive, and it proves nothing, other than that we have a  
>mystery, which is what I and others are saying here.

No, it means we have evidence of ordinary events involving  
observations of alien spacecraft.

>Just one case. That's all I need - the one case that shows clear  
>and convincing evidence that aliens have visited Earth, which is  
>the standard that you have adopted, and which sits higher than  
>the preponderance of evidence standard (i.e. the balance of  
>probabilities).

I can think of several, some of which you have covered in your  
soon to be broadcast documentary. One, that isn't, is my  
favorite: The Betty and Barney Hill case.

But what if you aren't satisfied? Will that prove no UFO is of  
ET origin? Obviously not.

Listers may be interested in knowing that a new book by Kathleen F. Marden (Betty Hill's niece) and myself entitled Captured! An Insider's Look At The Betty And Barney Hill UFO Experience, has been accepted for publication and should be out by August.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 27](#)

## Conspiracy Of Silence?

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 17:56:24 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 08:08:10 -0500  
Subject: Conspiracy Of Silence?

Are we so suspicious of government's conspiracy of silence that,  
if they ever did "come clean" on this topic, we'd never believe  
them?

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 27](#)

## Former President Gerald Ford Passes Away

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 04:29:03 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 08:10:44 -0500  
Subject: Former President Gerald Ford Passes Away

Gerald R. Ford, who picked up the pieces of Richard Nixon's scandal-shattered White House as the 38th and only unelected president in America's history, has died, his wife, Betty, said Tuesday. He was 93.

<http://tinyurl.com/ulwzv>

His involvement in the quest for UFO information has been compiled at:

[http://www.presidentialufo.com/gerald\\_ford\\_ufos.htm](http://www.presidentialufo.com/gerald_ford_ufos.htm)

Steve

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 27](#)

## UFO Over Sierra de la Ventana Argentina

From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 08:32:00 -0500  
Fwd Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 08:54:33 -0500  
Subject: UFO Over Sierra de la Ventana Argentina

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
December 27, 2006

Source: Planeta UFO  
Date: 12.26.06

Argentina: A UFO over Sierra de la Ventana  
Buenos Aires, November 2006

By Guillermo Gimenez

This new case involving a photo of a chance UFO was recorded on November 2006 at the locality of Sierra de la Ventana, Province of Buenos Aires, located some 320 km from the city of Necochea, Argentina.

Alicia Darvrioux of the city of Trelew, Province of Chubut, phoned this researcher to say that during her return trip from the city of Buenos Aires to the Argentinean south, photos were taken at the community of Sierra de la Ventana and one of them, taken by her father of her mother and an aunt next to a vehicle in which they traveled, show a disk-shaped object that went unseen by any of the tourists.

The event took place on November 21, 2006 between 15:00 and 16:00 hours and the instrument employed was a Canon A530, 5Mpx camera (the photo taken was at 3 mpx) in Auto mode.

An object can be clearly seen flying at low altitude, flying at high speed over the site. It has the shape of two superimposed plates and one or two types of extremities, or something similar, can be observed its lower section.

The studies conducted dismissed the possibility of fraud and we can say that it is no commercial or private airliner or a bird. It is without a doubt a strange object of the type known as UFO, flying over Sierra de la Ventana and accidentally photographed by a family from southern Argentina.

-----

Translation (c) 2006. Scott Corrales, IHU

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 27](#)

## Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Boone

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 09:02:22 EST  
Fwd Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 14:17:06 -0500  
Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Boone

>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 17:56:24 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>Are we so suspicious of government's conspiracy of silence that,  
>if they ever did "come clean" on this topic, we'd never believe  
>them?

Good point Rick.

Basically for me I could care less what our or any other government has to say about this field.

I don't look to governmental bodies to validate reality to me. I don't get up each morning awaiting a federal announcement that the day has begun.

A mild glance at historical fact dissuades me from ever trusting an official government response. If they do, fine, but I'm not going to sit around waiting until the heat of the sun bakes biscuits in my butt.

I feel we spend too much time and effort trying to get a cow to lay an egg. It ain't gonna work but if it did we'd sure have us one barn dance the neighbors would talk about for years.

I'd rather hear the truth from my fellow citizen, neighbor, kinfolk because I know they've no bureaucratic agenda afoot.

I've got my own eyes and ears and half a century of collected data from brave souls who've done the footwork. They did all that without tax dollars!

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 28](#)

## Host Of Unexplained Sightings Captured

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 05:50:59 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 05:50:59 -0500  
Subject: Host Of Unexplained Sightings Captured

Source: Portsmouth.co.uk, Hampshire, UK

<http://tinyurl.com/y4bfdo>

27 December 2006

A Host Of Unexplained Sightings Captured  
[alex.forsyth.nul](mailto:alex.forsyth.nul)

Could this picture prove we really are not alone? Dedicated UFO spotter Ernie Sears believes so.

[image]

This and other pictures were taken by Mr Sears and a colleague, who wants to be known only as 'George', over Portsmouth harbour and the naval base.

And, according to UFO spotters, there has been an unprecedented number of UFO sightings over the city over the past two years.

Mr Sears and George estimate as many as 200 of these have been captured on film by themselves alone.

Mr Sears, from Netley Abbey, said: "It is unusual to see so many. I believe that what we are seeing are the aliens, or whatever they may be, watching the earth and biding their time before they make contact. I think they select certain people to make contact, and I think Portsmouth could be attracting them.

It could be the fact that we are surrounded by water, but I also think it may be something to do with the military activity in the area."

George, who is 83, has severe glaucoma and can only see these flying objects once they have been printed out on film after the event.

But Mr Sears, 82, has been sighting UFOs for more than 50 years. The pair teamed up when George made contact with Southampton UFO Group, of which Mr Sears is a long-serving member.

Mr Sears said: "When George first came to me he couldn't understand what he was seeing in his photos. People may get scared if they don't understand it properly."

Mr Sears has been interested in UFOs for more than 40 years. His first sighting was over Portsdown Hill in March 1960.

He said: "I saw this bright object in the sky and it just hovered there. It didn't move. I started believing after that."

However, although UFO enthusiasts are convinced that aliens are watching over us, their views are still treated with scepticism by many people.

Professor Bob Nichol, of the Institute of Cosmology and Gravitation at Portsmouth University, agrees there may be such a thing as alien life.

But he doubts whether these sightings are that.

He said: "I think we need to keep an open mind and, as a scientist, I do try to do that.

"But I have to say I think there is probably a more mundane explanation for these things.

If they are advanced beings that travel thousands of miles to us, why don't they make contact?

There's a lot of space junk up there =96 we hear about meteorite showers at certain times, but in fact they happen all the time.

In Mexico there was a case where hundreds of people saw bright lights in the sky. In fact it was a rocket launch in Russia that ionised part of the atmosphere and caused it to glow =96 it was trapped in the Earth's orbit.

'So things happening on the other side of the world could provide reasonable explanations."

Have you seen a UFO? If so contact Alex Forsyth on

(023) 9262 2132

or e-mail [alex.forsyth.nul](mailto:alex.forsyth.nul)

[Thanks to Greg Boone for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 28](#)

## He's Just Building His Own

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 05:54:55 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 05:54:55 -0500  
Subject: He's Just Building His Own

Source: The Detroit Free Press - Michigan, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/ykakgy>

December 27, 2006

Macomb County

He Doesn't Believe In UFOs; He's Just Building His Own

Clinton Twp. man lives for sci-fi

By Steve Neavling  
Free Press Staff Writer

Some people may call Alfie Carrington crazy or foolish.

How else do you describe a man who has spent more than half his life building a flying saucer?

By day a construction worker, Carrington spends his free time inside a rented storage garage in Clinton Township where he broods over a 14-foot-wide, carbon fiber, fiberglass vessel.

"Something genius is hiding away in Alfie's eccentricities," friend D.L. Bradley, a pastor in Clinton Township, said last week.

Thirty years ago, when Carrington of Clinton Township was 27 and obsessed with science fiction, he set out to build a UFO look-alike. But something inside him cried out for more.

Inspired by ordinary Americans like Orville and Wilbur Wright, who piloted the first heavier-than-air aircraft 103 years ago this month, Carrington pored over books, magazines and studies about aviation. Never mind his lack of engineering experience.

He has spent nearly \$60,000 for some of the materials he believes are needed to launch his creation - a lot for a man who drives a rusted 1986 Mercury Cougar.

Carrington does it because he believes he has discovered a simple design for an aircraft that aeronautical engineers have spent countless millions trying to build.

"People are going to say I'm nuts," Carrington shrugged.

Unlike aeronautical engineers who have tried to build vessels for commercial flight - most notably those who entered the X-Prize contest for a reusable privately built suborbital spacecraft - Carrington's aim is more terrestrial. He wants to replace the automobile with a Jetsons-style vehicle.

"Why drive when you can fly 500 m.p.h.?" he asked.

Carrington has two patents on the design and a company called Vertex Aerospace. His work caught the attention of NASA, which

invited him to a conference in the mid-1990s where engineers scratched their heads when he confessed he knew nothing about computers.

His idea is to fire up the vessel with a rotary engine to stimulate a magnetic levitation system to rotate the ship's two discs. The discs would draw air into propeller blades.

"It's a simple concept," Carrington said. "There is no way this thing can't get off the ground because 40% of it is rotating."

Aeronautical engineers aren't so confident, especially considering the rotation speeds needed to lift the aircraft.

"Things spinning at those speeds are worrisome because of the stress from centrifugal force," explained Cornelis van Dam, professor of mechanical and aeronautical engineering at the University of California-Davis, a leading aviation school. "If it's not properly designed and built, it will rip itself apart. I wouldn't want to stand next to it when it gets up to speed."

Even aerospace experts rely on other professionals to build such complex vessels, van Dam pointed out.

But Carrington doesn't have time for naysayers. In eight months, he hopes to launch his dream, assuming he can raise at least another \$40,000 to complete the project.

"When he starts it up, we'll know either it was the biggest folly of all time, or one of the most ingenious inventions of all time," said Bradley, Carrington's friend.

Contact STEVE NEAVLING at 586-469-4935

or

[sneavling.nul](mailto:sneavling.nul)

[Thanks to Greg Boone for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 28](#)

## Gerald Ford And The Condon Study

From: Robert Barrow <[rbarr.nul](mailto:rbarr.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 10:28:30 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 05:58:54 -0500  
Subject: Gerald Ford And The Condon Study

Dear List Readers,

At Grant Cameron's site is my old article about Presidents and UFOs, and if one scrolls way down, one of his 1968 letters to me is there:

<http://www.presidentialufo.com/1970s.htm>

Ford, then House minority leader, long before he became President, clearly indicates his concern for the integrity of the University of Colorado (Condon) UFO study, based upon warnings expressed in Look Magazine.

As it turned out, Ford's worries were justified.

Regards,

Robert Barrow

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 28](#)

## Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Scheldroup

From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 09:57:12 -0600  
Fwd Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 06:00:38 -0500  
Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Scheldroup

>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 17:56:24 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>Are we so suspicious of government's conspiracy of silence that,  
>if they ever did "come clean" on this topic, we'd never believe  
>them?

Why do irrational people need to know about unknown rational  
efforts of extraterrestrial intelligence?

John

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [ufoupdateslist.com](http://ufoupdateslist.com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 28](#)

## Space Telescope To Hunt Planets

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 06:09:04 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 06:09:04 -0500  
Subject: Space Telescope To Hunt Planets

Source: The BBC - London, UK

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/sci/tech/6203161.stm>

Wednesday, 27 December 2006

Space Telescope To Hunt Planets

The French-led Corot mission has taken off from Kazakhstan on a quest to find planets outside our Solar System.

The space telescope will monitor about 120,000 stars for tiny dips in brightness that result from planets passing across their faces.

The multinational mission will also study the stars directly to uncover more about their interior behaviour.

Corot blasted off from the Baikonur Cosmodrome at 1423 GMT, carried into a polar orbit on a Soyuz-2-1b vehicle.

A European Space Agency (Esa) spokeswoman said the take-off had gone smoothly.

However, officials would not know until later whether the satellite had separated from its launcher correctly, she said.

From its vantage point 827km (514 miles) above the Earth, Corot will survey star fields for approximately 2.5 years.

The French space agency, Cnes, is working with six international partners: Esa, Austria, Spain, Germany, Belgium and Brazil.

'Chance' observation

Ian Roxburgh, professor of astronomy at Queen Mary, University of London, UK, is the Esa scientist on the mission.

"The exciting part of this mission is to look, or to try to find, planets that are similar to the Earth," he told the BBC.

Finding a transit will involve a bit luck

"That is, they'll be somewhat bigger than the Earth, but they'll be made of rocky material able to sustain an atmosphere, and probably provide the sort of environment in which life could form.

"And of course subsequently, many years downstream, we will have more sophisticated measurements, instruments that will look for signatures of life. But at this stage, we need to understand how often there are planets like the Earth around other stars."

Corot will monitor the brightness of stars, looking for the slight drop in light caused by the transit of a planet.

This is a rare event - it relies on the chance alignment of the star and the planet with Earth. As a consequence, Corot must keep an eye on more than 100,000 stars.

Star tremors

With Corot, astronomers expect to find between 10 and 40 rocky objects slightly larger than Earth, together with tens of new gas giants similar to our Jupiter, in each star field they observe.

Every 150 days, Corot will move to a new field and begin observing again.

Its first target field is towards the centre of our galaxy, the Milky Way. Its next will be in the direction of the constellation Orion.

Corot's instrumentation is also designed to detect the subtle variation in a star's light caused by sound waves rippling across the surface. These waves are the equivalent of seismic waves on the Earth.

By studying these "starquakes", astronomers can gain a detailed insight into the internal conditions of the star.

Corot stands for "Convection Rotation and planetary Transits".

The satellite is the first of a number of spacecraft that will hunt and study distant planets over the next few years.

[Thanks to Nick Pope for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 28](#)

## Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Gammon

From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 11:08:41 EST  
Fwd Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 06:11:23 -0500  
Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Gammon

>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 17:56:24 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>Are we so suspicious of government's conspiracy of silence that,  
>if they ever did "come clean" on this topic, we'd never believe  
>them?

Why are you assuming it's a conspiracy\_of\_silence? I would think most researchers believe that a good amount of information, talk if you will, is taking place behind the scenes. A blanket policy of ignore would better describe governmental response as projected toward the public.

Despite any of our best efforts, it's doubtful any official declaration or acknowledgment would be made on this topic. That is, of course, unless it is an announcement of, "Meet your new overlords...", and even then I would have my doubts.

- Jason Gammon

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 28](#)

Secrecy News -- 12/27/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 11:46:18 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 06:13:15 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 12/27/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 131  
December 27, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

"Special year-end opportunity to support secrecy news!"  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

\*\* 1970 INTELLIGENCE BUDGET FIGURE NOT A SECRET  
\*\* SPECIAL OPERATIONS ON THE ASCENDANT  
\*\* SELECTED CRS REPORTS

1970 INTELLIGENCE BUDGET FIGURE NOT A SECRET

The State Department said today that it will modify the latest Nixon-era volume of the official Foreign Relations of the United States (FRUS) series to include the amount of the 1970 U.S. intelligence budget after Secrecy News pointed out that this number had previously been disclosed in an earlier volume of FRUS.

According to an editorial note in the latest FRUS volume published last week, "The President [Nixon] stated that the United States is spending a total of about [dollar amount not declassified] per year on intelligence and it deserves to receive a lot more for its money than it has been getting." (Foreign Relations, 1969-1976, volume II, at document 210).

<http://www.state.gov/r/pa/ho/frus/nixon/ii/>

But in another FRUS volume published last April, that ancient secret was already revealed (Secrecy News, 04/27/06):

"The President stated that the US is spending \$6 billion per year on intelligence and deserves to receive a lot more for its money than it has been getting. (Foreign Relations, 1969-1976, volume VI, at document 344).

<http://www.state.gov/r/pa/ho/frus/nixon/vi/index.htm>

"Thank you for pointing out the inconsistency between the editorial note in FRUS volume II and the document in volume VI," wrote Edward C. Keefer, General Editor of the Foreign Relations of the United States series in an email message to Secrecy News today.

"We have brought it to the attention of the declassifying agency [i.e., CIA] and they have agreed to release the actual figure in the editorial note of volume II, making it consistent with the document in volume VI. We will make the change on the internet version of volume II and we should be able to make the change in printed volume II as well."

"We are pleased that we have people like you who read our volumes with such care and help us make the series the best

documentary record of U.S. foreign policy," Dr. Keefer wrote.

CIA classification policy generally lacks rhyme or reason, so although the 1970 intelligence budget total has been declassified, the 1969 and the 1971 budget figures, for example, remain classified.

#### SPECIAL OPERATIONS ON THE ASCENDANT

"Not since World War II has this nation relied so heavily on its Special Operations Forces," according to Gen. Bryan D. Brown, Commander of U.S. Special Operations Command (SOCOM).

Special operations are military actions "conducted in hostile, denied, or politically sensitive environments to achieve military, diplomatic, informational, and/or economic objectives employing military capabilities for which there is no broad conventional force requirement," as defined in the Department of Defense Dictionary of Military Terms (updated 11/09/06).

"These operations often require covert, clandestine, or low visibility capabilities."

"Special operations differ from conventional operations in degree of physical and political risk, operational techniques, mode of employment, independence from friendly support, and dependence on detailed operational intelligence and indigenous assets."

The continued development of special operations capabilities is sketched out in a new SOCOM strategic planning document.

See "Capstone Concept for Special Operations 2006":

<http://www.fas.org/irp/agency/dod/socom/capstone2006.pdf>

The growing use of special operations personnel on intelligence collection missions has reportedly caused friction with the Central Intelligence Agency and "has also led to several embarrassing incidents for the United States, including a shootout in Paraguay and the exposure of a sensitive intelligence operation in East Africa," according to the Los Angeles Times.

See "U.S. seeks to rein in its military spy teams" by Greg Miller, Los Angeles Times, December 18:

<http://www.latimes.com/news/la-na-intell18dec18,1,5355358.story>

Conversely, the role of the CIA in paramilitary activities has also led to turf battles and some potential blurring of the chain of command.

For general background, see "Special Operations Forces (SOF) and CIA Paramilitary Operations: Issues for Congress," Congressional Research Service, updated December 6, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/intel/RS22017.pdf>

#### SELECTED CRS REPORTS

Some notable, newly updated reports from the Congressional Research Service that are not readily available in the public domain include these.

"Coast Guard Deepwater Program: Background, Oversight Issues, and Options for Congress," December 18, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/weapons/RL33753.pdf>

"Radioactive Waste Streams: Waste Classification for Disposal," updated December 13, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/RL32163.pdf>

"Border Security: Barriers Along the U.S. International Border," updated December 12, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/homsec/RL33659.pdf>

"China and Proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction and Missiles: Policy Issues," updated December 11, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/nuke/RL31555.pdf>

"Defense Procurement: Full Funding Policy -- Background, Issues, and Options for Congress," updated December 11, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/weapons/RL31404.pdf>

"Foreign Students in the United States: Policies and Legislation," updated December 8, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/homesec/RL31146.pdf>

"Nuclear Arms Control: The Strategic Offensive Reductions Treaty," updated October 12, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/nuke/RL31448.pdf>

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:  
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 28](#)

## Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Brenton

From: Daniel Brenton <[daniel\\_brenton.nul](mailto:daniel_brenton.nul)>  
Date: December 27, 2006 5:31:11 AM PST  
Fwd Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 06:19:03 -0500  
Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Brenton

>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 17:56:24 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>Are we so suspicious of government's conspiracy of silence that,  
>if they ever did "come clean" on this topic, we'd never believe  
>them?

Mr. Nielson --

Excellent point.

No, we shouldn't. Forgive the mundane comparison, but this is  
just like a cheating spouse - how can you ever trust them again?

Daniel

The Meaning of Existence (and all that):  
The Odd Little Universe of Daniel Brenton  
<http://www.danielbrenton.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 28](#)

## Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 12:57:35 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 07:27:31 -0500  
Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 09:02:22 EST  
>Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 17:56:24 -0800 (PST)  
>>Subject: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>Are we so suspicious of government's conspiracy of silence that,  
>>if they ever did "come clean" on this topic, we'd never believe  
>>them?

>Good point Rick.

<snip>

>I'd rather hear the truth from my fellow citizen, neighbor,  
>kinfolk because I know they've no bureaucratic agenda afoot.

>I've got my own eyes and ears and half a century of collected  
>data from brave souls who've done the footwork. They did all  
>that without tax dollars!

<snip>

Thanks Greg! You're my first on-List response! A few have responded off-List, and I kind of wonder why. They're all great responses.

I agree with you about the altruism of our fellow citizens, including many on this List.

Fact is, we voted in, or allowed, the governments we live under, to be the way they are, and continue to do so.

But we can change this. We've proven it with the various forms of "freedom of information" enacted. We can continue that. Selfless folks like Steven Aftergood prove this too.

Getting back to "conspiracy of secrecy", if enough of the people wanted to know, we would know. If enough of us wanted our governments to be more transparent, not including real threats to our way of life, our governments would be more transparent.

As a public servant, I see many programs by politicians that do lip service to the idea of transparency over bureaucracy and "common language" over jargon. But honestly, until there are real penalties to state workers who won't do that, it will remain only lip service.

But that doesn't have to stop me from doing what I can toward transparency and "common language", eh?

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 28](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 16:25:50 EST  
Fwd Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 07:31:09 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 19:05:46 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 24 Dec 2006 22:22:06 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 23 Dec 2006 21:18:59 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

><snip>

Stan:

<snip>

>>>Many things in science are deduced based upon "clear and  
>>>convincing evidence"... We know that people can build apparently  
>>>metallic structured maneuverable vehicles capable of flight. We  
>>>have not come up with any evidence that Earth is unique. We  
>>>know that the age of our current vehicle building civilization is  
>>>very very much less than the age of the planet or of the solar  
>>>system or of the galaxy or the universe.

>>None of which is even remotely relevant, but it makes for good  
>>eyewash for some I suppose.

>Of course they are relevant. What are the arguments against  
>some UFOs as alien space craft? Basically that there are no  
>other civilizations - ot a loud argument - that one can't get  
>here from out there, that "they don't behave they way they might  
>be expected to behave".

Again, absolutely irrelevant, although I understand why you talk  
about all this stuff, because it gives you a perceived air of  
authority, and it distracts from the central question.

Again, I am not saying space travel is impossible. Mac is not  
saying. No-one, to the best of my knowledge, is saying that it  
is impossible, although some (er... most scientists) seem to  
think it would be harder than you are implying.

So, no more talk about whether space travel is possible or not.  
It isn't relevant, because no-one disagrees that it is. At the  
very least, I don't, and as it's you and I chatting here...

<snip>

>Therefore what has been observed in those investigated cases  
>that survive careful examination are manifestations of alien  
>civilization or civilizations.

Now that is the question. Your conclusion that aliens have and  
continue to visit us. Everything you wrote before that, however,

doesn't make it so.

>>>We have, so far as I can judge from this discussion, not seen  
>>>any evidence of the existence of ultraterrestrial or  
>>>cryptoterrestrial beings. This doesn't mean we have established  
>>>that they don't exist. We do know of many observations of the  
>>>flight, landing, take off of highly maneuverable manufactured  
>>>craft that move up up and away and sometimes are seen in the  
>>>company of very very large airborne craft.

>>None of which proves that any of these sightings are of an  
>>intelligently controlled, extraterrestrial spacecraft, which is  
>>what you assert as a fact.

>We deduce that if they are not from here, they are from  
>somewhere else. Therefore intelligently controlled ET spacecraft

Stan, this is false reasoning. You're the one doing the deducing, but you can't show definitively that they're not from here. You say that we can't do the things that UFOs have been observed doing? How do you know? As you yourself say, the black budget is huge. Unless you're working for the government, a la Bill Moore, only much further up the food chain, I assume you don't know what they're working on - what they might have, and might not have. After all, technological progress comes from doing things differently - maybe there are other people working for the government in industry who were doing different things than you were "back in the day", and maybe those things were even more successful and more advanced than the nuclear rocket program?

Since you like stories, here is one for you - particularly as you and others cite USAF pilots as some of the best types of witnesses. It comes from "Flying the RB47", by Lieut. Col. Bruce Bailey. The section is subtitled "UFO" - at pp. 38-39:

"We were returning from one of our RB-47 'spy' flights, which had been a joint mission involving our aircraft and a U-2. We were entering controlled air space near Alaska when U.S. radar sites began picking us up. All our missions were strictly radio silent, so we didn't identify ourselves and were treated as an enemy aircraft each time, until fighters could be scrambled to visually ID us. We had great fun our running and fooling the older F-89 fighters during those times.

However, the newer and more advanced F-102 had recently been deployed to that area. We couldn't out run it and couldn't deceive their radar without using some of the equipment that would get us into real trouble (those units were to be used only as a last resort to save the airplane when under attack).

When radar picked us up, we were at 38,000 feet and the U-2 was directly above us at 72,000 feet. The radar operator couldn't believe that, figuring it had to be a malfunction or reflection or spurious response, etc. So he kinda averaged the two returns and reported a bogie at 55,000 feet.

Two F-102s were vectored to the spot between the U-2 and us. They were about to fall out of the sky near 50,000 feet, but could see nothing. We could see their contrails well above us and listened to their radio talk. They reported 'No Joy', meaning they could not see a target, but the radar site assured them the bogie was still there.

The U-2 was also listening to their radar chatter, but had reached the point to begin his descent, which he could not delay. It took much longer for the U-2 to come down than go up. He was coming down through about 55,000 feet when the F-102 pilots spotted him. They had never seen a U-2 and probably didn't even know of its existence at that time.

One pilot radioed the other, 'What in the world is that?'

'I don't know. I've never seen anything like it.'

'Well, what do we do? Should we shoot it?'

'That's what we were sent up here for.'

The U-2 pilot heard all that and stood the U-2 on its tail and began climbing at an unbelievable rate. The two F-102 pilots

were flying our latest and greatest interceptor and were barely hanging in the air at that altitude. Then that unknown plane, which was still well above them, climbed away nearly straight up.

One said, 'Cheez, did you see that?'

The other one answered, 'No, and I'll never tell if you don't.'

The two fighters went away, reporting no visual contact on the target."

Who knows how many cases like this were reported, or how many things we have up there, like the U-2, of which even our top fighter pilots have been and remain unaware?

Or, maybe it really is extradimensionals, or cryptoterrestrials, or ultraterrestrials, time travellers, or monkeys with super-large brains (okay, I'm just kidding about that last one)...

The point is - what proof is there that it has to be aliens from another world?

<snip>

>>>We further know that the development of our advanced flying >>>craft has been done for military purposes and at enormous >>>costs. We Earthlings have a long history of developing craft >>>and spying >on other countries to see what their capabilities are >>>along lines that we would either have to defend against or >>>copy.

Er... thanks. See above.

<snip>

>>They don't have to Stan. The burden of proof lies on the party >>making the assertion of fact, which in this case is you, as you >>assert that some UFOs are intelligently controlled alien >>spacecraft. I don't assert that they are not - I merely state >>that we can't say for sure what any UFOs are.

>Of course we can say for sure what many UFOs are: some are >Venus, some are meteors, some are searchlights on clouds, some >are balloons,are high performance military craft, some are a >whole host of other relatively conventional phenomena perhaps >seen under unconventional circumstances.

Indeed.

>>You are indeed asserting that no UFOs are alien spacecraft.

Nope. Again, that's an old debater's trick, designed to distract people's attention from the real issue. It's also false, and unworthy of you - I never said any such thing. Ever.

For the final time, I simply said that we can't prove they are ET spacecraft. Big, gigantic, huge, Yukon-UFO sized difference.

>>Something you not only have not established but which assertion >>is clearly contradicted by the evidence that some are.

A. I have never said it, so I don't have to establish it. The burden of proof is one you, because you're the one trying to establish a positive proposition, i.e. that some UFOs are indeed intelligently controlled alien spacecraft.

B. You have not presented any evidence to support that proposed fact.

>>>Your stance reminds me a lot of the Billy Meier defenders - they >>>tell everyone who calls the photos/films into question to prove >>>that they are frauds. That's not required - the Meier-ites have >>>to prove that they are real, not the other way around. Ditto you >>>and the ETH as the ETF.

>>>Anything less is intellectually dishonest.

>>>They were, therefore, produced by intelligent beings from >>>somewhere else. That, of course, doesn't answer with "clear >>>and convincing evidence" where they originate, why they are

>>>here, or why they don't seem to do a host of things. I would say  
>>>that the Star Map work associated with the Hill case makes a  
>>>very strong case that the base planets (not necessarily the  
>>>homes of any of the crew) are near Zeta 1 or Zeta 2 Reticulum  
>>>for those particular craft crew-members.

>>I notice throughout that you've latched onto that "clear and  
>>convincing evidence" line. Again you miss the point - your old  
>>buddy Carl Sagan was 100% correct when he said that  
>>extraordinary claims, which is certainly what the ETH as ETF  
>>is, require extraordinary proof. In other words, beyond any  
>>reasonable doubt.

>There you go again back to the murder conviction.

No, Stan, I never mentioned murder. I have news for you - the standard of proof in criminal cases is the same regardless of the crime. Murder, armed robbery, assault, theft under \$5,000 - it's all beyond a reasonable doubt. Why? Because it is the ultimate type of case, one where, if the accused is found guilty, he may be deprived of his liberty, which is the harshest sentence that can be imposed (short of the death penalty, which, thankfully, my country doesn't have anymore). Therefore, it requires a higher standard of proof. That's the rationale for it.

Ditto UFOs as ET spacecraft - it is the ultimate scientific proposition, life elsewhere in the universe that is coming here. Such a proposition requires the ultimate standard of proof before it can be asserted as a fact.

>I wasn't aware that Carl was ordained the judge of all claims.

Another debating trick - no-one said he had, Stan. I was merely pointing out the standard of proof which he called for, and which is the correct one, not because Sagan said it, but because he was right.

>Since he was often wrong, as I have noted in TOP SECRET/MAJIC,  
>in response to his "Demon Haunted World", I have no reason to accept  
>this dogmatic claim from him and many reasons not to as I noted in a  
>fairly recent MUFON Journal Column.

Okay, Stan - you've been wrong. Glenn Dennis, Gerald Anderson, MJ-12 (I think the majority would agree with me on that last one). Similarly, I have no reason to accept your dogmatic claim about aliens being here.

But that's not the point, and you know it. The point is about what level of proof is required. That's what Sagan was talking about. It has nothing to do with whether he was right or wrong about other things, just as it has nothing to do with whether or not you were right or wrong about other things.

>As noted above I see no reason to say that worldwide claims of  
>observations of manufactured craft behaving in ways beyond the  
>scope of Earthling craft are extraordinary.

As noted above, how do we know they behaved in ways that are beyond our capabilities, as, with that giant black budget, we can't really be sure what our capabilities are? Further, there is the question of the quality of witness testimony, i.e. the ability of people to observe what they saw - not form, but performance - accurately. I'll leave that for another day (although I would suggest you re-read Lieut. Col. Bailey's story above).

>Happens all the time all over the planet. So these are ordinary  
>... not extraordinary. It his claim that is extraordinary.

Nice try, but false reasoning. Sagan never said these things didn't happen - he said there was no definitive evidence that they were ET spacecraft. Again, big difference.

And again, his "claim" was simply to establish the burden of proof required of your claim that some UFOs are alien spacecraft. And he was absolutely right when he said it.

>Clever words oft repeated, but signifying nothing.

The flip response for me here would be to say, "you should know".

; -)

>Often they are used by well educated people to avoid dealing  
>with surprising claims... The doubters think that, if the claim were  
>>true, they would have known about it. They didn't, so it must  
>not be true... the evidence be damned. The world of science is  
>loaded with such resistance in a host of different areas. It may  
>take years to create acceptance of new ideas because of the  
>demanding of extraordinary evidence... not obtainable without  
>huge funding, more sophisticated equipment, etc. Many ideas  
>currently accepted are accepted on the basis of less than  
>extraordinary evidence... continental drift, destruction of  
>dinosaurs by an asteroid, causation of ulcers by bacteria, etc.

None of which is relevant, except in this ironic way - you are guilty of exactly what you are decrying with science. You look at something like parallel universes (and other earths), or time travel, or cryptoterrestrials, or... well, fill in the blank. Then you say, "nope, it's gotta be the ETH." Even when you grudgingly admit that these other things can't be ruled out, it's always with the caveat that "but they're just theories, with no evidence, but the ETFact has all sorts of evidence."

>>Now, I understand why you don't like that, because you know  
>>that you can't do it. But that's what thinking people require in  
>>order to assert that something like alien visitation to Earth is  
>>a fact, and not just a good working theory.

>I should say some thinking people.. certainly not all.

I think it would be pretty safe to say that the vast majority think that way, but this isn't about opinion polls. It's about objective standards of proof, whether legal, or scientific. And with the ETH as ETFact claim, I have no doubt that the correct standard of proof, which you haven't met, and can't meet, is beyond a reasonable doubt.

Still, as noted below, for the purpose of argument, I'm willing to work with a slightly lower standard.

>>But I'll play along with the lower standard, just for fun. Clear  
>>and convincing evidence it is.

>>Accordingly, I'll ask my original question, which you didn't  
>>answer (you didn't answer it when you were asked it by Seth  
>>Shostak a couple of years ago during your "debate" on C2C).

>>Here it is again, with the lower standard of proof substituted  
>>for "beyond a reasonable doubt":

>>Name the one case that proves clear and convincing evidence  
>>that the ETH is the ETFact, and not something else.  
>>One case, Stan. That shouldn't be so hard.

>>Don't cite stats like "hundreds of physical trace cases", or  
>>"thousands of witnesses". That's ducking the question. It's non-  
>>responsive, and it proves nothing, other than that we have a  
>>mystery, which is what I and others are saying here.

>No, it means we have evidence of ordinary events involving  
>observations of alien spacecraft.

No, it doesn't. No matter how many times you repeat it, it doesn't make it so, although I understand that you're not going to change your mind.

>>Just one case. That's all I need - the one case that shows clear  
>>and convincing evidence that aliens have visited Earth, which is  
>>the standard that you have adopted, and which sits higher than  
>>the preponderance of evidence standard (i.e. the balance of  
>>probabilities).

>I can think of several, some of which you have covered in your  
>soon to be broadcast documentary. One, that isn't, is my  
>favorite: The Betty and Barney Hill case.

>But what if you aren't satisfied? Will that prove no UFO is of  
>ET origin? Obviously not.

But, as noted above, I don't have to prove that they aren't -

it's up to you to prove that they are ET spacecraft. The Hill case, which even amongst ufologists remains controversial, hardly constitutes clear and convincing evidence to that effect. It certainly doesn't prove it beyond a reasonable doubt.

Even if one accepts that something genuinely weird did indeed happen to the Hills (and remember, not everyone does), that doesn't prove it was aliens. It doesn't rule out the other paranormal hypotheses, even as, under those circumstances I would agree that the ET explanation would be the most likely one. But that's a long way from proof.

At the end of the day, Stan, you and I are looking for different things, in different ways. You're looking to convince people of a conclusion you came to decades ago, whereas I am looking to explore what I still consider a mystery. I think your conclusion outran the available evidence, then and now, and is more of a belief than a proven fact, whereas you no doubt look at me as some kind of Hynek-esque wishy-washy apologist ufologist.

Fair enough. I can live with that. People can judge for themselves which is the right approach.

Happy New Year.

Best regards,

Paul

[www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com](http://www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 28](#)

## What Should We Expect Now?

From: Ray Dickenson <[r.dickenson.nul](mailto:r.dickenson.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 02:27:27 -0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 07:38:30 -0500  
Subject: What Should We Expect Now?

After doing the probability check for intelligent life's numbers in the universe - which turns out to be uncountable billions:

<http://tinyurl.com/ygd39g>

think it's time to look at a similar probability check for the types of aliens we should expect.

In last two or three years, scientists have been shocked to find that stars & galaxies existed very early after the (putative) big bang. Not only that, the GRB evidence acquired in this last year shows those stars (and maybe even galactic centers) were going through explosive cycles much like today's. Which means that organic materials (including 'dust & rocks' from which new stars and their planets are made) were available from maybe 14 billion years ago - which almost certainly means life was possible from then on.

See:

[http://whyfiles.org/197dark\\_energy\\_old\\_gal/](http://whyfiles.org/197dark_energy_old_gal/)

astonishingly distant galaxies

<http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2005/09/050920081931.htm>

Star Death Beacon At The Edge Of The Universe

<http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2005/09/050913074837.htm>

Most Distant Explosion Detected, Smashes Previous Record

So there's not only probably billions of intelligent life-forms around but, importantly, some are maybe dating from near the beginning of the universe. How can they all be differentiated?

Let's try:

i) beings which haven't discovered interstellar travel - dominated by biology, by their 'feed & breed' imperatives - which are destructive in the long-term while planet-bound; so we can expect the majority to self-annihilate before graduating to next stage;

ii) beings which can travel between stars - maybe still partly dominated by biology; in which case they'll still be 50% to 99% dangerous to themselves and others until they graduate to next stage;

iii) beings which don't need to travel - they can control not only their own bodies (and consciousness) but also all stellar/galactic engineering necessary for their purposes - see John D Barrow writing in 'Impossibility - the limits of science and the science of limits' - "Ultimately, we could imagine a type 'omega' civilization, which could manipulate the entire Universe (and even other universes)".

Note: the 'types' above are approximate and will actually shade into (overlap) each other; Barrow and other folk have made

What Should We Expect Now?

smaller graduations/more stages but, as this is for strategic purposes, we'll keep it minimal.

So, just now we're a type (i) and facing likely self-annihilation - what types should we expect to be visiting us? Right - only early or medium type (ii)s, still wanting biology, DNA, artifacts, perhaps even raw materials. (That's not likely for the more advanced ones as raw materials are available more cheaply in deep space).

But none of the above would stop type (iii)s - who might have a massive umpteen billion year history (also see below) and therefore imponderable motives and curiosities - from using their technological or mental abilities to observe us, or even to intercede by communicating directly with human groups or individuals. For what purpose, we really can't imagine - except in simpler human terms of curiosity, or even pity.

BTW - There's a more mind-boggling addition to be made to those calculations: Our yard-stick for measuring the Universe and its age might be slightly wrong, or even a lot wrong: see

"The universe could be 15 percent bigger than scientists figure.":

[http://www.whyfiles.org/shorties/212size\\_universe/](http://www.whyfiles.org/shorties/212size_universe/)

"Halton Arp in 'Seeing Red: Redshifts, Cosmology and Academic Science'":

<http://www.quackgrass.com/roots/arp.html>

In fact, if Arp's and others' work prevails, the real age of the universe might be (effectively) infinite.

Which would enormously amplify all those differences above.

Cheers

Ray D

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 28](#)

## Gerald Ford's UFO Legacy

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 07:48:03 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 07:48:03 -0500  
Subject: Gerald Ford's UFO Legacy

Source: American Chronicle - Beverly Hills, California, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/yx7ajv>

December 27, 2006

Gerald Ford's UFO Legacy  
Frank Warren

Unapproving of The Air Force's Explanation He Requests  
Congressional Investigation

The year 1966 was very active for UFOs in this country; in particular sightings were heavy in the state of Michigan, and understandably people wanted answers. The Air Force back then was still "officially" investigating the phenomenon and tasked J. Allen Hynek to calm the waters and offer an explanation; the answer he gave would haunt him the rest of his life. He attributed the bulk of the sightings to "swamp gas"!

Then Congressman Gerald 'Jerry' Ford being a diligent politician and in response to his constituents wrote:

"In the firm belief that the American public deserves a better explanation than that thus far given by the Air Force, I strongly recommend that there be a committee investigation of the UFO phenomena. I think we owe it to the people to establish credibility regarding UFOs and to produce the greatest possible enlightenment of the subject.

I have taken special interest in these (UFO) accounts because many of the latest reported sightings have been made in my home state of Michigan.. Because I think there may be substance to some of these reports and because I believe The American people are entitled to a more thorough explanation than has been given them by the Air force to date, I am proposing either the Science and Astronautics Committee or the Armed services Committee of the House, schedule hearings on the subject of UFOs and invite testimony from both the executive branch of the Government and some of the persons who claim to have seen UFOs... In the firm belief that the American public deserves a better explanation than that thus far given by the Air Force, I strongly recommend that there be a committee investigation of the UFO phenomena. I think we owe it to the people to establish credibility regarding UFOs and to produce the greatest possible enlightenment on this subject."

That specific letter was received by the "House Armed Services committee" and the House Science and Astronautics Committee in order to instigate hearings on the matter; Ford's efforts weren't met with great enthusiasm; however, he did in fact get the ball rolling, and a Congressional Symposium did later take place, ironically chaired by then Congressman Donald Rumsfeld.

In a radio broadcast to his constituents on March 30, 1966 Ford stated:

"My friends of the fifth congressional district, this is your congressman, Jerry Ford, speaking to you from the nation's capitol.

As you know, I have requested a congressional investigation of unidentified flying objects, UFO's, as they are called.

I am most serious about this; this is the kind of subject that lends itself to some flak, a little criticism, and a shower of compliments.

One day this week, I felt an unidentified flying object whiz past my ear - my right ear naturally. Upon close inspection, I had no more trouble identifying this particular UFO than the Air Force did in telling the people of Michigan they have been seeing swamp gas.

The UFO I encountered was a brickbat tossed by an irate gentleman who believes Congress could use its time to much better advantage than in investigating what he calls "UFO hysteria".

But this is one of the few criticisms I encountered in the more than 50 letters that I received since first proposing that UFOs be investigated by either the House Armed Services Committee or the House Science and Astronautics Committee.

... And I do think that the American people want a better explanation of UFO's than they have been getting. If my mail is any indication, there are many, many people who find it extremely difficult to believe some of the stories put out by the government on this and other subjects.

This is your congressman, Jerry Ford, saying - so - long for now, and I'll see you next week at this same time, same station."

The Air Force, and in particular, Lt. Col. Hector Quintanilla, the Director of Project Project Blue Book, (The Air Force's official investigation of the UFO Phenomenon) wasn't happy with Ford's statements, and obvious jab at the Air Force's lack of results with their investigation of phenomenon.

He wrote:

"Congressman Gerald Ford got on the UFO bandwagon. It just so happens that Dexter was in Congressman Ford's district. It was pure politics and he made the national news by demanding that either the Science and Astronautics Committee or the Armed Services Committee schedule hearings on the subject of UFOs... Congressman Ford did get his wish, a congressional hearing was imminent. Someone should ask Congressman Ford what it cost the American taxpayer to hold that hearing and ask him if he would like to reimburse for the expense; because that hearing was totally unnecessary."

On April 21st, 1966 Ford wrote:

"As I had expected, some persons have been ridiculed by the call for a congressional investigation of unidentified flying objects (UFO's). These people are a fraction of those who have given their reaction to my proposal. The overwhelming majority of those expressing a view in letters to me believe a congressional investigation would be useful and is needed.

Those who scoff at the idea of a congressional investigation of UFO's apparently are unaware that the House Armed Services Committee has scheduled a closed-door hearing on the matter Tuesday with the Air Force and that rep. Joseph E. Karth, D-Minn., headed a three man sub-committee which held two days of hush-hush hearings five years ago on behalf of the Science and Astronautics Committee. Karth has confirmed in conversation with a member of my staff that he conducted these secret hearings.

The present Science and Astronautics Committee chairman, Rep. George P. Millar, D. Calif., has shied away from the UFO problem at this time, saying his committee does not have the

jurisdiction over the Air Force. But the late Rep. Overton Brooks, D.La., obviously had different ideas because he tapped Karth to summon Air Force witnesses and question them after a flurry of sightings in 1961.

Karth has informed me that his subcommittee made an oral report to the full committee but never released anything to the public. According to Charles F. Ducander, the committee staff director, no record was made of the conversation between Karth subcommittee and the Air Force witnesses. The hearings, he said, took place in Karth's congressional office.

I have never said that I believe any of the reported UFO sightings indicate visits to earth from another planet. Apart from the pranks and natural phenomena, some of these objects may well be products of experimentation by our own military. If this is so, why doesn't the Air Force concede it and in this way reassure the American people/ there would be no need to go into detail on the nature of the experiments."

He further stated:

"The Air Force has informed me it is arranging for a study by high-caliber scientists of some of the UFO sightings which have never been explained.

This study will be placed under contract soon after July 1, start of the new fiscal year. It will be carried out by a university which has no close ties with the Air Force so that the findings will be completely objective, Air Force officials tell me.

Those people engaged in the study will be high-caliber scientists who have never taken a position on UFO's, the Air Force said. It will be made clear to them that they are not being hired to come up with findings in support of previous Air Force statements regarding UFO's, I am informed.

The Air Force said there is too much effort involved to ask these scientists to make this study without pay.

The report will definitely be made public, The Air Force assured me. The whole purpose of the study is to make clear the air as far as the public is concerned.

This, of course, was my purpose in recently requesting that public hearings on the subject of UFO's be conducted by either the Armed Services Committee or the House Science and Astronautics Committee.

It was as a result of my call for a congressional investigation that the Air Force now is arranging for a study of UFO's by topflight scientists not connected in any way with the Air Force.

I would have preferred a congressional investigation with witnesses to include reliable persons from among those who say they have seen UFO's. I still think this would be beneficial. But the UFO study by a panel of scientists, with the report to be made public, is a step in the right direction.

This of course did come to fruition and was the culmination of the Air Force's 20+ years of investigating the UFO phenomenon, i.e., the Condon Report."

Perhaps to no one's surprise, after Ford became president it seemed his interest in UFOs waned; years later he would comment:

"During my public career in Congress, as Vice President and President, I made various requests for information on UFOs. The official authorities always denied the UFO allegations."

As the nation mourns former President Gerald Ford, and remembers his many contributions, undoubtedly much will be attributed to him in regards to the healing of our country post Nixon & Watergate etc.; however, for those of us who pay attention to

such matters, we will also herald his "lesser known"  
contributions made in the effort to unravel the UFO enigma.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 28](#)

## Filmmakers Examine UFO Summoner's Claims

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 07:54:52 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 07:54:52 -0500  
Subject: Filmmakers Examine UFO Summoner's Claims

Source: The Reporter-Times - Martinsville, Indiana, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/ynaaea>

Thursday December 28, 2006

'False Prophet Phenomenon'  
Filmmakers Examine UFO Summoner's Claims

By Brian Culp  
[bculp.nul](mailto:bculp.nul)

Mooreville

Raising his hands and facing east, the man from Las Vegas, who has legally changed his name to Prophet Yahweh began praying - trying to attract UFOs.

A group of about 20 skeptical observers stood in a circle watching the wind whip through the trees at the edge of the town parking lot as the large man, who is a self-proclaimed prophet, scanned the sky searching in vain for a pinprick of light. He said it would start small, but get bigger, eventually hovering over the group.

But no UFO would appear the afternoon of Dec. 14 for the cameras of the 24-year-old documentary makers Abdullah Hashem and Joseph McGowen. Yahweh said after most of the 20 people who attended the summoning had left, that someone in the group was there to make fun of him. Because of that, the UFOs did not show, he said.

A reporter for KNTV-TV, a Las Vegas ABC affiliate, was persuaded to film a summoning in May 2005. The tape of the reported UFO summoned by Yahweh has since been pulled from the station's Web site, but can still be seen at [www.youtube.com](http://www.youtube.com) by searching for Yahweh and UFO. A message left for the general manager of the station was not returned in time for this story.

During Yahweh's weeklong visit to Indiana, no UFOs ever appeared for filming, Hashem said, but that wasn't the point of Hashem and McGowen's invitation to Yahweh to come to Indianapolis.

The pair, which prepared a documentary in 2005 discrediting the Raelian movement, was planning to create a film to shed light on fraud and violent tendencies displayed by Yahweh, Hashem said. The first film, which was presented in academic form at IUPUI in November, was created as a class project. This second project is independent of the school and intended to expand the expos=E9 on what they call a "false prophet UFO phenomenon."

"This is for us to continue slaying these dragons," Hashem said. "This is leading into a career.

"We're really building up our reputation for debunking the false prophet, UFO phenomenon. I do believe there has to be something

beyond our life out there - I believe in God, but when there's someone out there defrauding people, all we're doing is shedding light on it."

Exposing false prophets/frauds

Hashem will graduate from IUPUI in May and intends to go to film school. He said he hopes to make it into the New York University School of Art, but has applied to numerous film schools. McGowen is not currently attending school, but also has aspirations of one day attending art school.

But that won't stop him in his quest to expose false prophets and frauds, he said. He plans to build on his already expanding film resume with another project on the horizon.

"We're working on the next group," McGowen said. "Every time we think we're going to move on another one of these groups falls into our lap."

They know they won't be able to infiltrate groups the same way forever. While they didn't do this to make money, their hope is to gain recognition for the work. They are entering the film on Rael into several film festivals. Eventually they will be recognized when they go to these groups attempting to gain entry, McGowen said.

Their work could be limited by another factor: they say Yahweh threatened them.

"He has made it perfectly clear to us that if this cat is let out of the bag we won't be able to show our faces anywhere," said Hashem, who has the threat on film. He has posted that threat on the Web site [www.bibleufo.com](http://www.bibleufo.com), which is hosted by UFO expert Patrick Cooke.

Prophet denies he threatened filmmakers

In a phone interview Yahweh said, that while he is unhappy with the deception he feels was perpetrated by Hashem and McGowen, they are in no danger from him.

"They have no reason to worry," Yahweh said. "What you hear at youtube is slicing and dicing parts of a conversation. You can hear where the cuts in the audio occur. My family and I listened and we just laughed."

But Cooke, who has collaborated on film projects for The History Channel, said the pair might be in danger.

"I believe they are in danger," Cooke said. "He has been in prison for being a violent man."

Yahweh insists that is in his past and the filmmakers are not in danger.

McGowen and Hashem said they will likely move from the house they currently live in and they plan to change their phone numbers, despite Yahweh's assurances.

They are also in the process of turning over information to authorities. They say among other things, Yahweh admitted on film to committing Social Security fraud and made murder threats against Rael, a cult leader who lives in Canada.

Yahweh had this to say in a press release about the documentary makers' efforts to discredit him:

"These charges are a gross distortion and misrepresentation of the truth, and I'm asking the Department Of Justice, the FBI, and the Royal Canadian Mounted Police to launch a full-scale investigation into them. And, if they are true, put me in prison!"

Interview with Patrick Cooke of Bibleufo.com

I haven't seen any of the finished product, but what I can tell from clips I've gotten, they're dealing with a pretty strange character.

I've been dealing with him for about 20 months. He came into



view in 2005. I do laud them for being in his presence for any length of time.

What these guys are doing at that age is phenomenal. I laud them from that standpoint.

They seem to be very intelligent and dedicated to what they're doing.

The whole thing is, what they're doing is as much a public service as a documentary. He's getting a lot of support and money. He's a definite cult leader.

Some will say I'm a cult leader too. But I'm a researcher. Nowhere on my site do I ask for money.

I'm really honored to be associated with these two guys. (Prophet Yahweh) is probably about as far to the radical fringe as you can get in religion.

He's been on the scene in the UFO movement for years. My editor has known him for eight or nine years. Basically he's a 55-year-old man, who started out in the AME church. First public record is of him joining the Marine Corps and being dishonorably discharged after deserting.

All this is information I've gotten directly from him, out of his mouth.

He's been in prison multiple times for assault.

According to him, he decided to scam the IRS. He won't admit what his disability is, but he does take drugs that are normally for schizophrenia or bipolar disorder. He's a polygamist and a number of things that are shady.

He took a challenge from an ABC station to summon a UFO. He was referring people to my Web site as proof of what he was saying, though I'm obviously debunking his movement. He's a very smooth talker.

His agenda is real simple. He wants to gather black Israelites, take them to the desert and wait for the return of Yahweh, whom he says is a superhuman black man.

They will take Yahweh and his followers to the Middle East and take leadership.

In a nutshell that is his agenda.

I believe (Abdullah Hashem and Joseph McGowen) are in danger. He has been in prison for being a violent man. He's been after me for years, he thinks I'm a dangerous individual and have people out to get him.

What Abdullah has done is put him in danger of being put back in prison. He has said he has no intention of going back to prison. I've followed him around to radio shows and send people back to Web sites telling them this is what he plans to do. I've just been a thorn in his side.

They're actually a danger to him.

He says he will move to Indianapolis, but he never follows through on what he says.

If he starts losing financial base and follower base and they start questioning him, they (Hashem and McGowen) could be in danger.

He has very charismatic power and wows people into accepting him as a prophet and uses that to demand money.

I don't think he believes in what he is saying.

He is clearly threatening Abdullah on a clip I have on youtube.com.

Basically, if they reveal his threat to Rael, authorities may go after him. He could try to retaliate.

Abdullah has very bravely accepted the danger and put the information out there to buffer himself if Prophet Yahweh did do anything.

Interview with Prophet Yahweh

I would say that I knew everything from the beginning (about Abdullah Hashem and Joseph McGowen's documentary), but it was important for me to go through it to know it for a fact. I was seriously signing a 50-state tour deal with Abdullah and a province tour in Canada. I needed to know if he was truly trying to document me or mock me. I gave it the benefit of the doubt until I got here. Then I began to see signs that let me know my suspicions were true. The claims they are making are distortions and misrepresentations of the truth.

They are taking 30 hours worth of video and combining them together to make a complete misrepresentation. It's sad to see people fall to such levels of deception.

They have no reason to worry.

What you hear at youtube.com is slicing and dicing parts of a conversation. You can hear where the cuts in the audio occur. My family and I listened and we just laughed. I can show.

=46rom what I understand, I am under investigation now.

I am moving to Indianapolis permanently because I have had my vision that in Indianapolis I am going to be able to call spaceships and UFOs in a big way.

Abdullah and Joseph know I am a 55-year-old man and I would never ever attempt to do harm to them.

If I ever see any of them I will stop and turn the other way.

It's amazing that they would do that. It's the way they patched that thing together.

Yes, the information about assault and deserting the military are correct. I posted my criminal record to my Web site because I wanted to let everyone know my dirty laundry.

I went to prison because I got into a fight with drug dealers. I was trying to put together a home for terminally ill HIV patients.

As far as violence is concerned I'm not a violent person. These things happened years ago. I'm a 55-year-old man now.

I do take medication and that's how I got on Social Security.

Doctors put me on the medication. They declared I was mentally ill to such degree I had to be on SSI.

Thing about it is this, as far as the sightings are concerned the medication has nothing to do with calling down UFOs that are photographed. How can mental disorder have anything to do with something that shows up on television cameras.

As far as those accusations about me trying to kill Rael and Abdullah and Joseph, those are lies and as time goes on it will be proven as lies. I'm not a gangster.

[Thanks to Greg Boone for the lead]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 28](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: **Mac Tonnies** <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 22:16:51 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 07:58:07 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 12:53:16 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>(1) Is it proposed that these beings share a common ancestor  
>with Homo Sapiens?

I think the 'hybridization' evidence, taken at face value, suggests that we're genetically compatible. That would tend to indicate a common ancestor.

>If so, in what approximate geological/historical era did we  
>diverge?

That's a good question. I wish I knew.

>Shouldn't there be fossil traces of their transitional forms?

Yes, if my hypothesis is valid. But given the relative scarcity of prehistoric human remains, finding "smoking gun" fossils of CTs is bound to be very difficult, unfortunately.

>(2) Given the apparently complete concealment of their history,  
>habitat, infrastructure, etc., as well as their markedly  
>distinct level of intellectual and/or technological development,  
>what evidence or logical argument leads you to suspect that they  
>originated here?

Their essential physiological similarity to us - including but by no means limited to their apparent ability to make use of our genes. (The woman in the Villas-Boas incident, for example, is hardly "alien" once you get past the novelty of finding her on board an apparent spacecraft.)

>(3) If these beings prefer to remain hidden, why persist in  
>these aerial manifestations? Why not just stay out of sight?

Misdirection. To keep us enamored of ETs in spaceships. The playful, theatric nature of many UFOs suggests to me that, in some circumstances at least, they're designed to be seen.

And who's to say the CTs are omnipotent and infallible? Maybe some UFOs are legitimate CT vehicles that we're fortunate enough to spot.

Mac

Mac Tonnies <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
Mac's website: <http://www.mactonnies.com>  
Mac blogs at: <http://posthumanblues.blogspot.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 28](#)

## Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Sawers

From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 18:52:43 +1000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 07:59:54 -0500  
Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Sawers

>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 17:56:24 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>Are we so suspicious of government's conspiracy of silence that,  
>if they ever did "come clean" on this topic, we'd never believe  
>them?

Yep, I wouldn't believe them if I heard they'd landed on The  
White House Lawn' I'm that positive they will never come clean.

William

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 28](#)

## Re: Paul Villa NM 1963 Photos - Henningsen

From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 13:12:59 +0100  
Fwd Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 08:03:13 -0500  
Subject: Re: Paul Villa NM 1963 Photos - Henningsen

>From: Dimitris Hatzopoulos <[dhatz-ufo.nul](mailto:dhatz-ufo.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 25 Dec 2006 21:50:13 +0200  
>Subject: Paul Villa NM 1963 Photos

I met George Adamski more than 40 years ago here in Denmark.

I was impressed by his personality but not by his proof or his pictures.

Maybe I should ask the same question as Dimitris did about the Paul Villa-photos:

"Apparently Bill Spaulding/Ground Saucer Watch digitally enhanced them (a few decades ago) and considered some of them fakes. But is there a detailed analysis?"

As far as I remember Bill Spaulding, GSW, said, he did the same kind of analysis with the Adamski-pictures. But I have never seen this analysis.

So has ever seen any kind of serious analysis of the Adamski-photos? And of the Villa-photos too, of course.

Any references will be appreciated.

Best regards,

Ole Henningsen  
Denmark

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 28](#)

## Montana A UFO Hotspot Dating Back To '50s

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 12:45:22 -0500  
Fwd Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 12:45:22 -0500  
Subject: Montana A UFO Hotspot Dating Back To '50s

Source: The Great Falls Tribune - Montana, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/w6kmk>

December 28, 2006

Montana A UFO Hotspot Dating Back To 1950S  
By Stacy Haslem  
Tribune Staff Writer

The story goes something like this:

The date was March 16, 1967. Missile maintenance crews from Malmstrom Air Force Base were camped out at a missile site about 30 miles north of Lewistown.

Suddenly an alarm horn sounded.

A Minuteman missile had gone off alert and become inoperable. Upset and believing that the maintenance personnel had failed to tell him they were doing work that would create this "off-alert" warning, a first lieutenant called the missile site.

He didn't get the response he was expecting.

An on-site security guard told him that no maintenance had been done on the missile that morning. A UFO hovering over the site was a more likely culprit, he said.

Soon other missiles started going off-line as well. Within seconds, the other nine missiles were down.

About 20 miles southeast at the Oscar flight, a topside airman reported to his below-ground crew commander that strange, nonaircraft lights were zigzagging around the sky.

The commander didn't take the report seriously and told the airman to call back if anything more significant happened.

After a few minutes, the airman called again, clearly frightened and shouting: "Sir, there's one hovering outside the front gate!"

"One what?"

"A UFO. It's just sitting there. We're all looking at it. What do you want us to do?"

Moments later, several other Oscar missiles were down as well.

#

This report and others like it have made northcentral Montana a UFO hot spot dating back to the 1950s.

"It's been a pretty active area, and there are a lot of world-



documented sightings going way back," said Jeff Goodrich, state director of the Montana chapter of the Mutual UFO Network (MUFON).

A video recording of two bright, silvery objects zipping around the sky on Aug. 15, 1950, brought national attention to the Electric City.

Nick Mariana, general manager of the Great Falls Electrics baseball team, noticed the objects and quickly scrambled to record the incident on the 16-mm camera he kept in his glove box. The "Montana Movie," as it came to be known, is considered one of the best UFO films of all time.

More recently, a series of mysterious cow mutilations has locals baffled and questioning whether space aliens have perhaps paid us another visit.

UFOs are for real

It's a fact: UFOs are out there. Astronomers, scientists, government officials and ufologists all agree on that. The dispute is whether little green or gray or purple men are piloting these aircraft, if that's even what the objects are.

Ray Kelly, owner of Kelly Signs, always has been a believer and even has a few sightings to boot.

"I've always felt this universe is just too vast for us to be the only people or living creatures," he said.

Kelly's first sighting came in the 1990s. As he pulled into his alley after work one night, a bright star caught his attention. Then, suddenly, it shot straight up.

Some years later, Kelly took direction from the popular 1990s television series "Sightings" and propped his Zenith camcorder on a tripod in his backyard and focused it on the sun's corona, or surrounding halo of light. If you block out the main part of the sun you'll catch bustling UFO activity in the outer rim, the theory suggests.

"These objects are kind of invisible to the naked eye until they fly into the corona," Kelly said.

Sure enough, Kelly captured glowing objects of all shapes and sizes moving at different speeds and directions in and out of the corona. He also recorded what he believes to be two UFOs traveling across the sky. One moves on a straight west-to-east plane. The other flies the same direction but instantly changes directions at two different intervals.

UFO mania

The UFO era began in June 1947 when a Boise businessman and pilot named Kenneth Arnold reported nine mysterious objects flying around Mount Rainier in Washington. He described them as flying close together, saying their movement reminded him of a rock or saucer skipping across the top of water. He is credited for coining the term "flying saucers."

Following Arnold's sighting and the alleged crash at Roswell, N.M., where ufologists believe an extraterrestrial spacecraft and its alien occupants were recovered in July 1947, flying saucer mania began. The interest and curiosity in UFOs paired with the looming threat of nuclear attack by the Soviet Union had people nationwide and across Montana looking toward the heavens.

While the government opened an official investigation of UFOs, more reports trickled in from all over Big Sky Country. A couple weeks after Mariana's sighting, two Great Falls men reportedly saw a silvery mass over the eastern horizon near Geyser. They said the large, oblong object had a long tail and flew extremely high. It vanished over the western horizon in about eight seconds. The following week two Air Force veterans reported six amber-colored objects flying over Great Falls, passing each other at alternate intervals.

In November 1957, a Great Falls woman reported seeing an oblong, illuminated object that was twice as large as the moon and had a

flashing light on one end. Later that month a Sidney resident reported a white ball of fire with towering red columns rising up and green lights projecting from the base moving slowly across the sky.

Several UFO reports have come from MAFB and its missile sites.

The investigation that followed the 1967 missile incidents turned up no reasonable cause for the missile shutdowns, though a number of airmen as well as the deputy launch controller from that day have come forward to credit UFOs. However, the Air Force reportedly maintains that no UFO incident ever has affected national security.

Public affairs officials at Malmstrom say the base doesn't have any records related to UFOs.

The hodgepodge of reports over the years indicate that UFOs come in all shapes, sizes and colors and travel at various speeds. Some are described as balls of light that explode in the sky. Others resemble flying cars.

Of course, there also are curious reports of what "visitors" leave behind.

Alien forensics?

The first Montana report of a mutilated cow came from the Sand Coulee area in August 1974. In the few years that followed, sheriff's deputies investigated more than 65 mutilation reports from Cascade, Judith Basin, Chouteau, Teton and Pondera counties.

The most recent reported mutilation occurred on Oct. 9 of this year on a ranch in Valier. There are similarities to the mutilations of three decades ago.

What's left behind has raised doubt that the killings were caused by humans or predators.

Often the tongue, an eye and all or part of the ear are removed along with a portion of the udder, the genitals and the anus. Facial skin is scraped off with great precision, and the exposed bones are squeaky-clean. Many of the animals are drained of blood. There is no mess, no footprints and no one hears a sound.

What's even more bizarre, hungry predators steer clear of the carcasses for weeks.

The early cases from around the nation prompted a federally funded investigation that resulted in a 300-page report concluding the cows were killed by natural predators. Even still, ideas swarm about the mysteries, some pointing fingers at satanic cults, government or military conspiracies and, of course, space aliens.

Local UFO group

Various groups have formed over the years to investigate UFO sightings, of which a majority are re-classified as IFOs, or identified flying objects.

"The vast majority of them are misidentified known objects, some probably classified aircraft," said Goodrich, who's retired from the military and works for a civilian contractor at MAFB. "I think a small number of them would very well be alien spacecraft."

Goodrich has been involved with MUFON, a national organization dedicated to finding scientific explanations for UFOs, for 25 years. As state director he assigns field investigators to Montana sightings. But with a dwindling statewide membership (currently eight members), Goodrich said it's impossible to investigate every report.

"The ones that are more worthy of investigation are daylight sightings of metallic objects," he said. "They are much fewer in number."

Goodrich said that when folks see a UFO they either should fill out the sighting report form online at [www.mufon.org](http://www.mufon.org) or call the

National UFO Reporting Center (NUFORC) telephone hotline, 206-722-3000, in Davenport, Wash.

Goodrich said local law enforcement officers and public relations officers at Malmstrom usually direct UFO-related calls to MUFON or NUFORC. The NUFORC hotline is staffed 24/7, so it may be the better route, he said.

And while Great Falls has a reputation for some of the more credible UFO reports, Goodrich said folks aren't going to get much attention without a photograph or other physical evidence.

"Anecdotal evidence doesn't really go very far as far as reaching a firm scientific conclusion," he said.

Hogwash!

Arthur Alt, the author of the Tribune's daily Skywatch column who has a doctorate in science education and nine other degrees, doesn't believe aliens from outer space are visiting planet Earth, abducting its inhabitants and flying away with cow teat souvenirs.

"Everybody on this planet sees UFOs =97 unidentified flying objects," said Alt, a science professor at the University of Great Falls. "That does not translate into little green men flying spaceships. There is not one single solid thread of evidence that they exist."

Alt doesn't think the people who report UFOs are gullible or are telling tall tales. Rather they just have a hard time identifying what they see. Some of the explanations he offered for the misidentified objects in the sky include weather balloons, planets, meteors, iridescent goose wings, and lenticular clouds, which actually resemble flying saucers.

He added that hallucinations and optical illusions also play tricks on the brain.

"Our brain works in a linear fashion," he said, adding he teaches his UGF students to think in a nonlinear fashion and manages to change most of their minds regarding flying saucers by the end of the semester.

Though Alt doesn't believe space aliens are visiting the Earth, he believes they exist.

"I'm absolutely convinced there is intelligent life in the universe outside the Earth," he said.

However, Alt theorizes that the amount of energy, time and food it would take to visit the Earth would not be worth it to any species. He said the nearest star system is about 4 1/2 light years away, which would mean a 10-years roundtrip for a vehicle traveling at the speed of light.

And for what purpose? Ten years is a lot of time just to satisfy curiosity, he said.

Alt added there's no good reason why aliens would collect samples of unintelligent animals like cows, noting the missing cow pieces wouldn't provide much information about reproducing the animal. He believes people, perhaps cult members, are responsible.

Just another Montana Movie

Kelly doesn't have a theory on the cattle mutilations, but he does believe his video shows something of an extraterrestrial nature.

Relaxing in his lawn chair while barbecuing one afternoon, Kelly captured footage of an illuminated object moving across the sky. His video shows a UFO passing behind limbs of a tree and then eventually getting lost in the garble.

"I got pretty excited about it," Kelly said. "I showed my wife right away."

He reported the incident to MUFON and the "Coast to Coast" radio program, but it didn't amount to anything. He didn't pursue the

effort further because he was satisfied enough.

"I finally saw one," he said. "I'm happy."

Kelly believes humans are being observed by other life forms from other planets or even dimensions.

"A lot of these could be government secret propulsion programs, too," he said.

And he doesn't believe UFOs pose any threat to himself, his family or mankind in general.

"I'm not afraid ... as long as I'm not a cow," he said.

Reach Tribune Staff Writer Stacy Haslem at 791-1490, 800-438-6600 or at [shaslem.nul](mailto:shaslem.nul)

[Readers sighting reports at site...]

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 28](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 15:46:24 -0000  
Fwd Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 13:04:10 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 16:25:50 EST  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>"We were returning from one of our RB-47 'spy' flights, which  
>had been a joint mission involving our aircraft and a U-2. We  
>were entering controlled air space near Alaska when U.S. radar  
>sites began picking us up. All our missions were strictly radio  
>silent, so we didn't identify ourselves and were treated as an  
>enemy aircraft each time, until fighters could be scrambled to  
>visually ID us. We had great fun our running and fooling the  
>older F-89 fighters during those times.

>However, the newer and more advanced F-102 had recently been  
>deployed to that area. We couldn't out run it and couldn't  
>deceive their radar without using some of the equipment that  
>would get us into real trouble (those units were to be used  
>only  
>as a last resort to save the airplane when under attack).

>When radar picked us up, we were at 38,000 feet and the U-2 was  
>directly above us at 72,000 feet. The radar operator couldn't  
>believe that, figuring it had to be a malfunction or reflection  
>or spurious response, etc. So he kinda averaged the two returns  
>and reported a bogie at 55,000 feet.

>Two F-102s were vectored to the spot between the U-2 and us.  
>They were about to fall out of the sky near 50,000 feet, but  
>could see nothing. We could see their contrails well above us  
>and listened to their radio talk. They reported 'No Joy',  
>meaning they could not see a target, but the radar site assured  
>them the bogie was still there.

>The U-2 was also listening to their radar chatter, but had  
>reached the point to begin his descent, which he could not  
>delay. It took much longer for the U-2 to come down than go up.  
>He was coming down through about 55,000 feet when the F-102  
>pilots spotted him. They had never seen a U-2 and probably  
>didn't even know of its existence at that time.

>One pilot radioed the other, 'What in the world is that?'

>'I don't know. I've never seen anything like it.'

>'Well, what do we do? Should we shoot it?'

>'That's what we were sent up here for.'

>The U-2 pilot heard all that and stood the U-2 on its tail and  
>began climbing at an unbelievable rate. The two F-102 pilots  
>were flying our latest and greatest interceptor and were barely  
>hanging in the air at that altitude. Then that unknown plane,  
>which was still well above them, climbed away nearly straight  
>up.

>One said, 'Cheez, did you see that?'

>The other one answered, 'No, and I'll never tell if you don't.'

>The two fighters went away, reporting no visual contact on the  
>target."

Hi Paul

A comment on this story tangential to your debate with Stan: I seriously doubt the accuracy of this description of a U2 being "stood on its tail" and accelerating "nearly straight up at unbelievable speed".

That plane was never an aerobat and was highly unstable, it was also subsonic, stripped to essentials for high altitude and endurance, and couldn't afford the weight penalty of the engine power and extra fuel required for doing handbrake evasive turns. It was far inferior in speed and agility even to the problematic F-102 interceptor which was capable of mach 1.2 at altitude.

I'm not sure but I also think it's also doubtful that they could have effectively fired on the U-2. The F-102 carried AIM-4 semi-active radar guided missiles and infrahead homers both with a max range of about 5 miles. The vertical distance between the interceptors and the U-2 here would be about 4 miles, and the slant range at any realistic angle of attack would be more than the max range. Also it was necessary for the F-102 radar to illuminate the target for missile guidance purposes throughout the missile flight and it seems unlikely that an F-102 could maintain an intercept heading on a U-2 high above for long enough when it was at the top of its height range.

This must have been in the late '50s when the F-102 could still be described as "new" and "latest and greatest" (it was operational from 1956) and no one had heard of the U-2. At that date perhaps just seeing any aircraft so far above their ceiling led the pilots to infer some amazing performance that wasn't there, or perhaps there has been some elaboration in the retelling - or both.

Martin Shough

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 28](#)

## Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 09:55:18 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 13:05:20 -0500  
Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen

>From: William Sawers <[ufsyntax.nul](mailto:ufsyntax.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 18:52:43 +1000  
>Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 17:56:24 -0800 (PST)  
>>Subject: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>Are we so suspicious of government's conspiracy of silence that,  
>>if they ever did "come clean" on this topic, we'd never believe  
>>them?

>Yep, I wouldn't believe them if I heard they'd landed on The  
>White House Lawn' I'm that positive they will never come clean.

>William

Thanks William!

I have just one question: where do the best documents come from  
that most of this UFO work is based upon?

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 28](#)

## Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 10:01:14 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 13:06:30 -0500  
Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen

>From: Daniel Brenton <[daniel\\_brenton.nul](mailto:daniel_brenton.nul)>  
>Date: December 27, 2006 5:31:11 AM PST  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 17:56:24 -0800 (PST)  
>>Subject: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>Are we so suspicious of government's conspiracy of silence that,  
>>if they ever did "come clean" on this topic, we'd never believe  
>>them?

>Mr. Nielsen --

>Excellent point.

>No, we shouldn't. Forgive the mundane comparison, but this is  
>just like a cheating spouse - how can you ever trust them again?

>Daniel

<snip>

Excellent analogy Daniel!

This relationship, between the real UFO researchers and their governments, is a forced, "shotgun marriage". The government can't be trusted to be faithfully forthcoming, and the researchers can't afford to let "her" go, and take all her records with her!

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 10:11:10 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 07:27:07 -0500  
Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen

>From: Jason Gammon <[BoyintheMachine.nul](mailto:BoyintheMachine.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 11:08:41 EST  
>Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 17:56:24 -0800 (PST)  
>>Subject: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>Are we so suspicious of government's conspiracy of silence that,  
>>if they ever did "come clean" on this topic, we'd never believe  
>>them?

>Why are you assuming it's a conspiracy\_of\_silence\_? I would  
>think most researchers believe that a good amount of  
>information, talk if you will, is taking place behind the  
>scenes. A blanket policy of ignore would better describe  
>governmental response as projected toward the public.

>Despite any of our best efforts, it's doubtful any official  
>declaration or acknowledgment would be made on this topic. That  
>is, of course, unless it is an announcement of, "Meet your new  
>overlords...", and even then I would have my doubts.

>- Jason Gammon

Thanks Jason!

In agreement with you, the "silence", beyond the trite "no  
threat to national security" line, refers to the apparent policy  
of secrecy. I doubt a faithful forthcoming from 'followers' of  
our founding forefathers as well.

But that doesn't have to stop the efforts of those real UFO  
researchers who, as Stan has said, want to get the truth out  
there.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 10:14:53 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 07:28:35 -0500  
Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen

>From: John Scheldroup <[jschel.nul](mailto:jschel.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 09:57:12 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 17:56:24 -0800 (PST)  
>>Subject: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>Are we so suspicious of government's conspiracy of silence that,  
>>if they ever did "come clean" on this topic, we'd never believe  
>>them?

>Why do irrational people need to know about unknown rational  
>efforts of extraterrestrial intelligence?

Thanks John! Humour dosed: an excellent host!

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 15:33:33 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 07:34:41 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 16:25:50 EST  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 19:05:46 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

<snip>

>>We deduce that if they are not from here, they are from  
>>somewhere else. Therefore intelligently controlled ET spacecraft

>Stan, this is false reasoning. You're the one doing the  
>deducing, but you can't show definitively that they're not from  
>here. You say that we can't do the things that UFOs have been  
>observed doing? How do you know? As you yourself say, the black  
>budget is huge. Unless you're working for the government, a la  
>Bill Moore, only much further up the food chain, I assume you  
>don't know what they're working on - what they might have, and  
>might not have. After all, technological progress comes from  
>doing things differently - maybe there are other people working  
>for the government in industry who were doing different things  
>than you were "back in the day", and maybe those things were  
>even more successful and more advanced than the nuclear rocket  
>program?

>Since you like stories, here is one for you - particularly as  
>you and others cite USAF pilots as some of the best types of  
>witnesses. It comes from "Flying the RB47", by Lieut. Col. Bruce  
>Bailey. The section is subtitled "UFO" - at pp. 38-39:

>"We were returning from one of our RB-47 'spy' flights, which  
>had been a joint mission involving our aircraft and a U-2. We  
>were entering controlled air space near Alaska when U.S. radar  
>sites began picking us up. All our missions were strictly radio  
>silent, so we didn't identify ourselves and were treated as an  
>enemy aircraft each time, until fighters could be scrambled to  
>visually ID us. We had great fun our running and fooling the  
>older F-89 fighters during those times.

>However, the newer and more advanced F-102 had recently been  
>deployed to that area. We couldn't out run it and couldn't  
>deceive their radar without using some of the equipment that  
>would get us into real trouble (those units were to be used only  
>as a last resort to save the airplane when under attack).

>When radar picked us up, we were at 38,000 feet and the U-2 was  
>directly above us at 72,000 feet. The radar operator couldn't  
>believe that, figuring it had to be a malfunction or reflection  
>or spurious response, etc. So he kinda averaged the two returns  
>and reported a bogie at 55,000 feet.

>Two F-102s were vectored to the spot between the U-2 and us.  
>They were about to fall out of the sky near 50,000 feet, but  
>could see nothing. We could see their contrails well above us  
>and listened to their radio talk. They reported 'No Joy',

>meaning they could not see a target, but the radar site assured  
>them the bogie was still there.

>The U-2 was also listening to their radar chatter, but had  
>reached the point to begin his descent, which he could not  
>delay. It took much longer for the U-2 to come down than go up.  
>He was coming down through about 55,000 feet when the F-102  
>pilots spotted him. They had never seen a U-2 and probably  
>didn't even know of its existence at that time.

>One pilot radioed the other, 'What in the world is that?'

>'I don't know. I've never seen anything like it.'

>'Well, what do we do? Should we shoot it?'

>'That's what we were sent up here for.'

>The U-2 pilot heard all that and stood the U-2 on its tail and  
>began climbing at an unbelievable rate.

Baloney. If it had stood on it's tail it would have zoomed  
briefly before overcoming it's forward inertia, and then would  
have gone into highspeed stall tailslid, spun and dove. The U-2  
had a top speed of about 460 mph. It had a rate of climb of  
about 250 miles per hour. It had a 17,000 pound thrust engine  
pushing a 22,542 pound airframe with engine. It could not stand  
on its tail and accelerate away, period.

>The two F-102 pilots  
>were flying our latest and greatest interceptor

That doesn't say much for the state of USAF fighter technology  
and not true. The Mig-21 which the F-102 was supposed to defend  
against could reach Mach 2.1 nearly twice the speed of the 102.

>and were barely hanging in the air at that altitude. Then that  
>unknown plane, which was still well above them, climbed away  
>nearly straight >up.

Obviously, as I've stated, not a U-2. (Maybe a Mig-21:)

>One said, 'Cheez, did you see that?'

>The other one answered, 'No, and I'll never tell if you don't.'

>The two fighters went away, reporting no visual contact on the  
>target."

>Who knows how many cases like this were reported, or how many  
>things we have up there, like the U-2, of which even our top  
>fighter pilots have been and remain unaware?

These two weren't awestruck about a U-2, lets get that straight.  
No pilot looking at a U-2 was going to be impressed with it's  
odds. It just had a high aspect ratio [long and skinny] wing,  
but then so do gliders [sailplanes] which were also capable of  
reaching the 40,000 foot altitudes in the mid 1950s and the AF  
pilots would have been well aware of these. Glider's have been  
around for +/- 200 years with the high aspect ratio wing. They  
might have wondered who's airplane it was, what it was doing at  
altitudes well above them, but not what the hell that could be.  
The U-2 was obviously a conventional looking aircraft.

>>>Don't cite stats like "hundreds of physical trace cases", or  
>>>"thousands of witnesses". That's ducking the question. It's non-  
>>>responsive, and it proves nothing, other than that we have a  
>>>mystery, which is what I and others are saying here.

Actually it's not ducking the question Paul if you think about  
that. Where's the evidence of some co-existing Earth based  
inhabitants which would have to be overwhelmingly evidential  
with its own set of clues to make this hypothesis stick? I think  
Mac should have waited until his book came out before he went  
public with his claims so we'd have his evidence to examine. So  
far we've just got his recycled hypothesis to deal with. Right  
now the "evidence" supporting the Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis  
seems to be riding on the back of the ETH. But I will give Mac  
the anomalies that keep popping up re some earlier pockets of  
advanced hominids or even homo sapiens themselves who seemed to  
have crafted artifacts at the 250-300,000 year level [and even

before] that are in keeping with known artifacts of the 3000 BC period we are familiar with. There are as well odd trinkets showing up in coal, for example, and what look like human footprints along side dino-tracks that are puzzling. But to my mind some of these anomalies from perhaps 70 million plus years ago might go toward indicating the possibility of early landings by some ET closely resembling us which-just to confuse matters-might be, as some think, the origins of our own species.

>>No, it means we have evidence of ordinary events involving  
>>observations of alien spacecraft.

>No, it doesn't. No matter how many times you repeat it, it  
>doesn't make it so, although I understand that you're not going  
>to change your mind.

But you seem to be less inclined to dispute Mac's hypothesis. So far I haven't seen you weigh in against it despite your admitted ETH leanings. Are you taking a wait and see attitude?

Don Ledger

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 15:07:40 EST  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 07:36:48 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 15:46:24 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 16:25:50 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

<snip>

>>"We were returning from one of our RB-47 'spy' flights, which  
>>had been a joint mission involving our aircraft and a U-2.

<snip>

>This must have been in the late '50s when the F-102 could still  
>be described as "new" and "latest and greatest" (it was  
>operational from 1956) and no one had heard of the U-2. At that  
>date perhaps just seeing any aircraft so far above their ceiling  
>led the pilots to infer some amazing performance that wasn't  
>there, or perhaps there has been some elaboration in the  
>retelling - or both.

Hi Martin:

I agree completely - but that's what happens with stories that are told years later, and it's one of the key reasons why the reliability of witness testimony degenerates over time. Get the story relatively close to the incident, and it's going to be much more reliable than if you get it thirty or forty years later. The best witnesses are the ones who made notes at the time of the incident, or shortly thereafter, so that they could refresh their memory later. It was one of the first things they taught us in our brief training before we went out to serve with the RCMP in the spring and summer of 1990 - always take notes. I can speak from experience that they came in handy - when called to testify months later, I would often have to refer to my notes on the stand to refresh my memory as to details, as would other officers, no matter how important the particular incident might have been.

I believe, although there was no date in the book for the story, that it came from the late 1950s, at least as I recall a conversation I had with Bruce back in May.

On the flip side, Bruce was nice enough to talk at some length with me about his own RB-47 sighting, distinct from the classic 1957 case investigated by James McDonald, but with almost all of the same characteristics, except for the length (The crew's sighting in Bailey's case was about ten minutes long).

And you're right - the story was tangential to the debate, which I noted. But I think it also serves as a reminder that - regardless of how exactly the U-2 performed - there are things in the sky that even our best pilots can't identify, but which are ours (or, as I'm a Canuck, "theirs" in this case).

Best regards,

Paul

[www.redstafilms.blogspot.com](http://www.redstafilms.blogspot.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOupdatesList.Com](http://UFOupdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Re: HDTV & Old UFO Films - Maccabee

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 14:58:28 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 07:38:10 -0500  
Subject: Re: HDTV & Old UFO Films - Maccabee

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [UFOupdates.nul](mailto:UFOupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 10:08:04 EST  
>Subject: HDTV & Old UFO Films

>I love my new HDTV equipment.

>I've had the chance over the past few years to watch old movies  
>with my high definition equipment and I can tell you, the  
>crystal clear resolution is not kind to bad makeup and cheesy  
>special effects.

>Movies that I adored and admired for their special effects are  
>getting a hammering when you can now see every string and  
>fingerprint the effects wizards used.

>>From George Pal's version of War of the Worlds to the Wizard of  
>Oz, HDTV or HD spares no one. Mind you both films still hold up  
>even when you can see the strings. Tribute that to great  
>direction, acting etc.

>Yet when looking at old UFO videos and films you can easily see  
>if they're bogus or not.

High definition reproductions of noisy, grainy, (slightly)  
defocused originals can produce no more resolution and no less  
noise than the original. It may make apparent to the untrained  
eye that which the experienced observer can see without the aide  
of high definition.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 15:20:17 EST  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 07:40:03 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Mac Tonnies <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 22:16:51 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 12:53:16 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>(1) Is it proposed that these beings share a common ancestor  
>>with Homo Sapiens?

>I think the 'hybridization' evidence, taken at face value,  
>suggests that we're genetically compatible. That would tend to  
>indicate a common ancestor.

Mac, Michael:

Unfortunately, it's a big, big leap in logic and reasoning to take the  
hybridization "evidence" at face value.

>>If so, in what approximate geological/historical era did we  
>>diverge?

>That's a good question. I wish I knew.

I'm afraid that while you might not be able to say definitively,  
if you want your hypothesis to be taken seriously when it is  
finally revealed in full, you had better be able to provide an  
answer to this question, at least in broad strokes, and be able  
to back it up.

>>Shouldn't there be fossil traces of their transitional forms?

>Yes, if my hypothesis is valid. But given the relative scarcity  
>of prehistoric human remains, finding "smoking gun" fossils of  
>CTs is bound to be very difficult, unfortunately.

Perhaps. But there should be some indication, I would think,  
from material already available to us - unless, of course, they  
are so similar to us as to be totally indistinguishable, at  
least physically.

<snip>

>>(3) If these beings prefer to remain hidden, why persist in  
>>these aerial manifestations? Why not just stay out of sight?

>Misdirection. To keep us enamored of ETs in spaceships. The  
>playful, theatric nature of many UFOs suggests to me that, in  
>some circumstances at least, they're designed to be seen.

The same question that Michael has asked here can be asked of  
any of the theories, particularly the ETH. We assume that the  
aliens want to remain hidden, as they have not revealed  
themselves publicly to the people of the world (i.e. landed on  
the White House lawn, or at the UN, or the Kremlin) - and yet  
there they are, buzzing about in our skies, day and night

apparently. I suppose one could say, "well, they're aliens - how can we know what they think, or what their purpose is", but that's an awful lot like saying "we can't know what God's purpose is" when you're trying to explain the death of Rover the family dog to little Timmy.

>And who's to say the CTs are omnipotent and infallible? Maybe  
>some UFOs are legitimate CT vehicles that we're fortunate enough  
>to spot.

In other words, Murphy's Law applies?

I'll buy that. Heck, it probably even applies to God (which would explain the platypus perhaps, and maybe those three morons at the cinema the other night who chatted all the way through the film).

Of course, the same could be said of the ETs, or the EDs, or super-secret black budget military aircraft.

Best regards,

Paul

[www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com](http://www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 16:48:59 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 07:42:52 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 15:46:24 -0000  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 16:25:50 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

<snip>

>A comment on this story tangential to your debate with Stan: I  
>seriously doubt the accuracy of this description of a U2 being  
>"stood on its tail" and accelerating "nearly straight up at  
>unbelievable speed".

>That plane was never an aerobat and was highly unstable, it was  
>also subsonic, stripped to essentials for high altitude and  
>endurance, and couldn't afford the weight penalty of the engine  
>power and extra fuel required for doing handbrake evasive turns.  
>It was far inferior in speed and agility even to the problematic  
>F-102 interceptor which was capable of mach 1.2 at altitude.

<snip>

Hi Martin,

Had your thread response downloaded earlier, I wouldn't have  
bothered with my "baloney" response to the U-2 flight specs,  
standing on tail, accelerating quickly, thrust to weight ratio,  
etc. I didn't mention missile limitations, however. Well put.

Don

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Maccabee

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 16:16:08 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 07:45:21 -0500  
Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Maccabee

>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 17:56:24 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>Are we so suspicious of government's conspiracy of silence that,  
>if they ever did "come clean" on this topic, we'd never believe  
>them?

We'd probably... and certainly the conventional scientific community and the press would... ask the government for proof of whatever it said. Part of this proof would be the (supposedly) true history of government activities re. UFOs, and any hard evidence that might have been obtained.

Similarly, if the aliens ever "came clean" about what they are doing.... we probably wouldn't believe them, at last not initially... or at the very least be ery skeptical of the 'truth' according to the alien.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Mexican Airliner Crew Sees UFO

From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 17:15:55 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 07:48:02 -0500  
Subject: Mexican Airliner Crew Sees UFO

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
December 28, 2006

Source: [www.analuisacid.com](http://www.analuisacid.com)  
Date: 12.28.06

Mexico: Airliner Crew Sees UFO

According to a report received from researcher Alfonso Salazar, on December 23, 2006 the crew of a Magnicharter flight between Los Cabos and Mexico City witnessed the maneuvers of an unidentified flying object (UFO) at 8:30 p.m., as reported by flight engineer Angel Torres Arenas.

The witness described a luminous UFO giving off orange, green and blue lights, flying at an altitude greater than the aircraft's cruising altitude, placing it at 22,000 feet. He added that the probable UFO accompanied the aircraft through its entire route and that the crew was fully aware that it was an identified craft. As an additional item of information, he noted that the airliner was a Boeing 737-200, registration XA-MAE.

-----

Translation (c) 2006. Scott Corrales, IHU  
Special thanks to Ana Luisa Cid and Alfonso Salazar

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Boone

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 20:08:00 EST  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 07:52:50 -0500  
Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Boone

>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 12:57:35 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 09:02:22 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 17:56:24 -0800 (PST)  
>>>Subject: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>>Are we so suspicious of government's conspiracy of silence that,  
>>>if they ever did "come clean" on this topic, we'd never believe  
>>>them?

>>Good point Rick.

><snip>

>>I'd rather hear the truth from my fellow citizen, neighbor,  
>>kinfolk because I know they've no bureaucratic agenda afoot.

>>I've got my own eyes and ears and half a century of collected  
>>data from brave souls who've done the footwork. They did all  
>>that without tax dollars!

><snip>

>Thanks Greg! You're my first on-List response! A few have  
>responded off-List, and I kind of wonder why. They're all great  
>responses.

>I agree with you about the altruism of our fellow citizens,  
>including many on this List.

<snip>

Rick, glad you see the big picture!

It's obvious what's going on by now. With all the experts on  
this List who have volumes and decades of documented data it's a  
no-brainer anymore.

The big question is why the big silence. We can deduce that to  
disclose what is there is somehow detrimental to every  
government on Earth since none of them are forking over any  
reliable information.

What on Earth, or off of it, could elicit such a level of  
agreement from groups that rarely agree?

Why haven't Iran or Venezuela or other enemies of the U.S. or  
the U.K. upped the goods?

We could go around the maypole all day on this so we can at

least say in the U.S. no disclosure because it would gum up the works of the daily machine.

This area of research, UFOs, is going to get real hot, real soon.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Calling All Hudson Valley UFO Folk

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 23:59:48 EST  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 07:58:42 -0500  
Subject: Calling All Hudson Valley UFO Folk

Well bust my buttons!

I've got myself an eyeball witness account of a spectacular UFO event that occurred on the shores of the Hudson River back in the 1980s. It was during the time of the Hudson Valley UFO sightings aka the Westchester Wing.

I've been getting several off list emails regarding the Hudson Valley UFO sightings which still go on to this day. So the call is going out to all interested parties who read this list to please email me as we should share some notes.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Kaeser

From: **Steven Kaeser** <[steve.nul](mailto:steve.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 08:42:34 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 08:58:59 -0500  
Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Kaeser

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 20:08:00 EST  
>Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence?

<snip>

>>>I'd rather hear the truth from my fellow citizen, neighbor,  
>>>kinfolk because I know they've no bureaucratic agenda afoot.

>>>I've got my own eyes and ears and half a century of collected  
>>>data from brave souls who've done the footwork. They did all  
>>>that without tax dollars!

>><snip>

>>Thanks Greg! You're my first on-List response! A few have  
>>responded off-List, and I kind of wonder why. They're all great  
>>responses.

>>I agree with you about the altruism of our fellow citizens,  
>>including many on this List.

><snip>

>Rick, glad you see the big picture!

>It's obvious what's going on by now. With all the experts on  
>this List who have volumes and decades of documented data it's a  
>no-brainer anymore.

Which "experts" and what "data" are you referring to. The long  
time experts I know aren't of the opinion that a lot has been  
proven, or that there's enough evidence to make the world take  
notice. I think you could find an agreement that there are  
phenomena in the sky that we cannot explain and that the  
Government has more information related to that than they are  
releasing, but beyond that it's pretty much a mystery.

I work at the US House and you can imagine how much attention  
this subject would get right now, with everyone going for the  
jugular and everything. As a former news reporter I can say that  
I've not yet seen the evidence that would make a good break out  
story, and I've been looking for it. There's a lot of  
interesting stories to be told, but they're old and don't lead  
anywhere without speculation.

>The big question is why the big silence. We can deduce that to  
>disclose what is there is somehow detrimental to every  
>government on Earth since none of them are forking over any  
>reliable information.

>What on Earth, or off of it, could elicit such a level of  
>agreement from groups that rarely agree?

The problem is that I can see natural decisions following the  
same path and I think it's a mistake to believe that there's a  
natural curiosity among the general public to solve this type of  
mystery. I guess it depends on how conspiratorial you think of

Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Kaeser

your Government is, and from my perspective I have doubts about that as a unified effort.

Have a safe New Year,

Steve

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Great Lakes Dive Company Investigation - Pt II

From: Frank Warren <[frank-warren.nul](mailto:frank-warren.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 13:40:40 -0800  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 09:05:28 -0500  
Subject: Great Lakes Dive Company Investigation - Pt II

Investigation of The Great Lakes Dive Company Part II - By  
James C. Carrion, International Director, The Mutual UFO Network

<http://tinyurl.com/yjdsof>

Investigation of The Great Lakes Dive Company Part I

<http://tinyurl.com/yh34wb>

Knowledge Is Power

<http://frankwarren.blogspot.com/>

Knowledge Is Power - Feed

<http://frankwarren.blogspot.com/atom.xml>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Re: Calling All Hudson Valley UFO Folk - Lehmborg

From: **Alfred Lehmborg** <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 07:52:55 -0600  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 09:09:07 -0500  
Subject: Re: Calling All Hudson Valley UFO Folk - Lehmborg

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 23:59:48 EST  
>Subject: Calling All Hudson Valley UFO Folk

>Well bust my buttons!

>I've got myself an eyeball witness account of a spectacular UFO  
>event that occurred on the shores of the Hudson River back in  
>the 1980s. It was during the time of the Hudson Valley UFO  
>sightings aka the Westchester Wing.

>I've been getting several off list emails regarding the Hudson  
>Valley UFO sightings which still go on to this day. So the call  
>is going out to all interested parties who read this list to  
>please email me as we should share some notes.

Ford, ford ford ford, ford ford ford-ford, ford!

Ford, ford ford ford, ford ... ford. Ford ford?

Ford.

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>  
U F O M a g a z i n e -- [www.ufomag.com](http://www.ufomag.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

Secrecy News -- 12/29/06

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 11:44:38 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 15:15:47 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 12/29/06

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2006, Issue No. 132  
December 29, 2006

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

"Special year-end opportunity to support Secrecy News!"  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

\*\* SOME 2006 LEFTOVERS

SOME 2006 LEFTOVERS

The Department of Energy's search for inadvertent disclosures of classified nuclear weapons information in declassified government files seems to have reached the point of diminishing returns. In its latest quarterly report to Congress, DOE noted that it had examined 719,040 pages of declassified public records at the National Archives and found 38 pages containing nuclear information that it said should not have been disclosed. See the Twenty-Third Report on Inadvertent Disclosures of Restricted Data and Formerly Restricted Data, November 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/othergov/doe/inadvertent23.pdf>

An investigation by the Inspector General of the National Archives "substantiated that [former National Security Adviser Samuel R.] Berger unlawfully removed and retained classified documents" from the Archives in 2003. The 121 page report on the Berger investigation, redacted to remove classified and other exempt information, is now posted here (6.3 MB):

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/othergov/berger.pdf>

Most government records are ineffably boring to anyone who doesn't already have a vested interest in the topics they address. It is to the credit of the Congressional Research Service that it makes important policy issues slightly less boring and more digestible. Some previously unnoted CRS reports are these:

"Veterans' Health Care Issues in the 109th Congress," updated October 26, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/RL32961.pdf>

"Natural Gas Markets in 2006," updated December 12, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/RL33714.pdf>

"The WTO, Intellectual Property Rights, and the Access to Medicines Controversy," updated December 12, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/RL33750.pdf>

"The Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of

Discrimination against Women (CEDAW): Congressional Issues,"  
updated December 14, 2006:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/RL33652.pdf>

Finally, an unusual aircraft exhaust contrail photographed in Ohio last month suggests that experimental research into "pulsed detonation engines" or other forms of exotic propulsion continues. The distinctive "donuts on a rope" contrail was photographed east of Dayton, Ohio on November 10, 2006 by William D. Telzerow. See:

<http://www.fas.org/irp/mystery/donuts.html>

Thanks to all of those who have helped sustain and encourage Secrecy News this year by providing essential financial support, access to documents, useful criticism and kind words.

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, send email to [secrecy\\_news-request.nul](mailto:secrecy_news-request.nul) with "subscribe" in the body of the message.

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:  
<http://www.fas.org/sgp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Secrecy News is available in blog format at:  
<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

SUPPORT Secrecy News with a donation here:  
[http://www.fas.org/static/contrib\\_sec.jsp](http://www.fas.org/static/contrib_sec.jsp)

---

Steven Aftergood  
Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sgp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sgp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)  
voice: (202) 454-4691

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## UFO DATA Magazine

From: Philip Mantle <[philip.nul](mailto:philip.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 15:14:59 -0000  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 15:15:32 -0500  
Subject: UFO DATA Magazine

Dear Colleagues,

The January 2007 issue of our magazine UFO DATA will see the magazine published professionally since its launch in January 2006. Throughout this year we have been printing it and publishing it ourselves. The magazine remains self-financed but it will now look like the professional publication we wanted it to be. The full details of this are located on our web site:

[www.ufodata.co.uk](http://www.ufodata.co.uk)

On behalf of everyone involved with UFO DATA I would like to take this opportunity to wish you all the very best for the New Year and hope that 2007 will be as interesting as 2006 has been. 2007 of course will see the 60th anniversary of flying saucers and the Roswell event. We aim to commemorate the latter at our annual conference in October 2007.

The one thing that the magazine requires as always of course is articles. I would therefore like to ask if you would be able to contribute to the magazine in 2007. As the magazine is still self financed we simply do not have the money to pay you for your contributions, however, in return we can publicise anything of yours. This could be a book or dvd, conference or web site. The choice is yours.

Once again I'd like to wish you a very Happy New Year and hope to hear from you again in the not too distant future.

All the very best,

Philip Mantle  
Features Editor  
UFO DATA

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 14:14:54 EST  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 15:20:02 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 15:33:33 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 16:25:50 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>>From: Stanton Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 19:05:46 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

<snip>

>These two weren't awestruck about a U-2, lets get that straight.

Don:

No offense, but I'll take Lieut. Col. Bailey's account over your statement that no USAF pilot would be awestruck by the U-2. As I noted to Martin, there is probably a bit of exaggeration in the re-telling, but Bailey flew with these people, and these planes, and you didn't. Indeed, Bailey is considered the historian of the RB-47, so his expertise goes beyond just having been someone who flew in them, and with U-2s, and F-102s, and their pilots and crews. No offense, but for me, 'nuff said.

Further, I have no reason to doubt Bailey's honesty - indeed, I have every reason to believe him. Thus, while a detail or two may have been embellished (don't all stories do that, after all?), I see no reason to doubt the essential accuracy of his account.

>>Don't cite stats like "hundreds of physical trace cases", or >>"thousands of witnesses". That's ducking the question. It's non-responsive, and it proves nothing, other than that we have a >>mystery, which is what I and others are saying here.

>Actually it's not ducking the question Paul if you think about >that. Where's the evidence of some co-existing Earth based >inhabitants which would have to be overwhelmingly evidential >with its own set of clues to make this hypothesis stick?

And where is the evidence of the ETs?

>I think Mac should have waited until his book came out before >he went public with his claims so we'd have his evidence to >examine.

I disagree - I like the fact that Mac is blogging away about it, as opposed to squirreling it away in secrecy until he's ready to release his book. Indeed, it's a very academic approach (in a populist way) - more than a few of my old professors would use reading seminars to bounce their ideas off students, and these would later wind up in one of their books, which they were working on in the time. It's called getting feedback, which I



think is all to the good, albeit perhaps a bit unconventional. On the other hand, we live in an unconventional, rapidly changing world, where the ways in which ideas are formed and exchanged are changing. Mac is riding the crest of that wave.

>So far we've just got his recycled hypothesis to deal with. Right  
>now the "evidence" supporting the Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis  
>seems to be riding on the back of the ETH.

Or vice versa, if you think about it. It's all the same evidence, Don. They're the same cases. The question is - is there any one case that is conclusively one thing as opposed to all of the others? I don't see it.

>>>No, it means we have evidence of ordinary events involving  
>>>observations of alien spacecraft.

>>No, it doesn't. No matter how many times you repeat it, it  
>>doesn't make it so, although I understand that you're not going  
>>to change your mind.

>But you seem to be less inclined to dispute Mac's hypothesis. So  
>far I haven't seen you weigh in against it despite your admitted  
>ETH leanings. Are you taking a wait and see attitude?

Of course. What other thing is there to do?

Note as well that at my blog, in comments at Mac's blog, and in a recent post here, I have asked questions about Mac's theory. I'm sure I will have many more once his book comes out.

Just as I did when Nick's Roswell book came out. The difference between me and some people (names withheld to protect the guilty) is that I will wait until I've read something in its entirety before I pan it, or praise it.

For the moment, I'm content to say that it's an interesting idea, not quite as derivative of Keel et al as you and others make it out to be. In a field where there are no proven facts, only theories, it deserves a fair hearing.

Best regards,

Paul  
[www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com](http://www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 11:27:53 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 15:21:49 -0500  
Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 20:08:00 EST  
>Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 12:57:35 -0800 (PST)  
>>Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 09:02:22 EST  
>>>Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence?

<snip>

>>>I'd rather hear the truth from my fellow citizen, neighbor,  
>>>kinfolk because I know they've no bureaucratic agenda afoot.

>>>I've got my own eyes and ears and half a century of collected  
>>>data from brave souls who've done the footwork. They did all  
>>>that without tax dollars!

>><snip>

>>I agree with you about the altruism of our fellow citizens,  
>>including many on this List.

<snip>

>The big question is why the big silence. We can deduce that to  
>disclose what is there is somehow detrimental to every  
>government on Earth since none of them are forking over any  
>reliable information.

>What on Earth, or off of it, could elicit such a level of  
>agreement from groups that rarely agree?

>Why haven't Iran or Venezuela or other enemies of the U.S. or  
>the U.K. upped the goods?

>We could go around the maypole all day on this so we can at  
>least say in the U.S. no disclosure because it would gum up the  
>works of the daily machine.

>This area of research, UFOs, is going to get real hot, real  
>soon.

Thanks Greg. I hope you're right. But please pardon my cynicism  
here, as I ask a few questions.

How much satisfaction do we get right now from efforts to make  
our governments fully disclose their spending practices?

Where's the truth about Iran or Venezuela, or Iraq or  
Afghanistan or China or North Korea or Russia, or anywhere else?  
And how can we expect truth about other countries when we don't  
know our own?

Presuming UFO's are landing in, and aliens are abducting folks from, countries antagonistic to others who's to say those countries are cooperating with the secrecy policies of other nations on this or any other topic?

I agree with you that there seems to be a "business as usual" tack to this. I don't see an imminent upset of any current power structures anytime soon. And I still wonder if this topic will ever get more.

I'll agree with you again and keep my faith in the efforts of my fellows.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 11:58:01 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 15:23:16 -0500  
Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen

>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 16:16:08 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 26 Dec 2006 17:56:24 -0800 (PST)  
>>Subject: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>Are we so suspicious of government's conspiracy of silence that,  
>>if they ever did "come clean" on this topic, we'd never believe  
>>them?

>We'd probably... and certainly the conventional scientific  
>community and the press would... ask the government for proof of  
>whatever it said. Part of this proof would be the (supposedly)  
>>true history of government activities re. UFOs, and any hard  
>evidence that might have been obtained.

>Similarly, if the aliens ever "came clean" about what they are  
>doing.... we probably wouldn't believe them, at last not  
>initially... or at the very least be ery skeptical of the  
>'truth' according to the alien.

Thanks Dr. Maccabee!

I agree we'd ask for the proof from our governments and the  
aliens.

But what would constitute proof? As demonstrated in many  
articles and even postings on this List, we can't control  
consensus. Do we trust measuring machines, or the memories of  
mere mortals, or someone else's combination? Most folks can't  
fully trust their own senses, and rightfully so.

Lie-detectors are also out. So we have to trust our 'guts' and  
past personal performance to predict promise-keeping.

As for aliens, I'd expect a degree of condescension on their part  
contributing to consternation on ours. I doubt we'd ever be  
believing of them or their motives, if they were truly alien. But  
their existence we could trust, if they manifested to the masses  
"in the flesh." And with that trust, I believe, would add great  
dread. I do not look forward to that day.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## France's Space Agency To Post UFO Database

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 16:29:06 -0500  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 16:29:06 -0500  
Subject: France's Space Agency To Post UFO Database

Source: InformationWeek - Manhasset, Ney York, USA

<http://tinyurl.com/y9sp9s>

Dec 29, 2006

France's Space Agency To Post UFO Database

Archive of 1,600 reported sightings will be available online by February.

By Gregg Keizer  
InformationWeek

The French space agency will publish its UFO sightings archive online in early 2007, the Reuters wire service reported Friday.

According to Reuters, the Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES) will post a database of some 1,600 UFO sightings to the Web in late January or early February. Names, however, will be redacted from the database for privacy reasons.

The 6,000 documents - primarily reports made to the police or filed by airline pilots - stretch back 30 years, and will be available from the CNES's Web site:

<http://www.cnes.fr/>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Boone

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 15:30:53 EST  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 16:32:30 -0500  
Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Boone

>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 11:27:53 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 20:08:00 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence?

<snip>

>Thanks Greg. I hope you're right. But please pardon my cynicism  
>here, as I ask a few questions.

>How much satisfaction do we get right now from efforts to make  
>our governments fully disclose their spending practices?

>Where's the truth about Iran or Venezuela, or Iraq or  
>Afghanistan or China or North Korea or Russia, or anywhere else?  
>And how can we expect truth about other countries when we don't  
>know our own?

>Presuming UFO's are landing in, and aliens are abducting folks  
>from, countries antagonistic to others who's to say those  
>countries are cooperating with the secrecy policies of other  
>nations on this or any other topic?

>I agree with you that there seems to be a "business as usual"  
>tack to this. I don't see an imminent upset of any current power  
>structures anytime soon. And I still wonder if this topic will  
>ever get more.

>I'll agree with you again and keep my faith in the efforts of my  
>fellows.

Well Rick, I've said it a thousand times already. You won't get  
any form of a response to UFOs from the powers that be until  
UFOs interrupt our daily schedules.

Let some UFO event knock out the electrical power running our  
cell phones or television programs like "Desperate Housewives"  
or even worse, the "Super Bowl" and you'd have WWIII on your  
hands.

Money is being made and traded. Crops are being grown and  
shipped. Illegal drugs and prostitution have never been a better  
money maker. War is still making good money.

Let any of those activities get interrupted by some UFO stuff  
and there'd be heck to pay.

So as long as the boat ain't rocked the only people concerned  
will be we who give a darn about our fellow man and the poor  
souls who have to suffer from interaction in forms with UFOs.

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Re: UFO DATA Magazine - Boone

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 15:33:24 EST  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 16:34:08 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFO DATA Magazine - Boone

>From: Philip Mantle <[philip.nul](mailto:philip.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 15:14:59 -0000  
>Subject: UFO DATA Magazine

>Dear Colleagues,

>The January 2007 issue of our magazine UFO DATA will see the  
>magazine published professionally since its launch in January  
>2006. Throughout this year we have been printing it and  
>publishing it ourselves. The magazine remains self-financed but  
>it will now look like the professional publication we wanted it  
>to be. The full details of this are located on our web site:

>[www.ufodata.co.uk](http://www.ufodata.co.uk)

>On behalf of everyone involved with UFO DATA I would like to  
>take this opportunity to wish you all the very best for the New  
>Year and hope that 2007 will be as interesting as 2006 has been.  
>2007 of course will see the 60th anniversary of flying saucers  
>and the Roswell event. We aim to commemorate the latter at our  
>annual conference in October 2007.

>The one thing that the magazine requires as always of course is  
>articles. I would therefore like to ask if you would be able to  
>contribute to the magazine in 2007. As the magazine is still  
>self financed we simply do not have the money to pay you for  
>your contributions, however, in return we can publicise anything  
>of yours. This could be a book or dvd, conference or web site.  
>The choice is yours.

>Once again I'd like to wish you a very Happy New Year and hope  
>to hear from you again in the not too distant future.

Rock on Phil Mantle!

I'll be making sure I subscribe! I've got a new art studio  
starting up and perhaps you'll let me contribute too!

Best,

Greg

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 14:13:04 -0700  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 16:36:12 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Mac Tonnies <[macbot.nul](mailto:macbot.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 27 Dec 2006 22:16:51 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 22 Dec 2006 12:53:16 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

Hi Mac,

Thanks for your reply. It was probably tempting to just say "hey, buy the book". I commend you for engaging in open discussion.

>>(1) Is it proposed that these beings share a common ancestor  
>>with Homo Sapiens?

>I think the 'hybridization' evidence, taken at face value,  
>suggests that we're genetically compatible. That would tend to  
>indicate a common ancestor.

>>If so, in what approximate geological/historical era did we  
>>diverge?

>That's a good question. I wish I knew.

>>Shouldn't there be fossil traces of their transitional forms?

>Yes, if my hypothesis is valid. But given the relative scarcity  
>of prehistoric human remains, finding "smoking gun" fossils of  
>CTs is bound to be very difficult, unfortunately.

I think the time-of-divergence issue is important to the testability of the CTH. I also think it is problematic for the hypothesis regardless of when divergence is proposed to have occurred.

For instance, if it is supposed to have occurred relatively recently (say, in the historical era), then there is the "witnesses" problem, i.e., one expects that the "normal" human population would have left some written account of both the emergence and ultimate disappearance of such a remarkable race of beings.

Pushing the divergence further back to prehistoric times, but after the appearance of anatomically modern ancestors (say, 50-100K years ago), we still have the "artifacts" problem. It would seem that at least some artifacts and infrastructure from their developmental stages would survive, unless they actively undertook to destroy them. Imagine our civilization trying to do that.

An even more ancient divergence (millions+ years ago) must address the "morphology" problem. The available evidence indicates that our ancestors at that time bore little resemblance to ourselves. It would be remarkable if the CTs and ourselves were to independently evolve into very similar modern

forms, unless we further posit some ongoing and surreptitious program of genetic manipulation.

>>(2) Given the apparently complete concealment of their history, >>habitat, infrastructure, etc., as well as their markedly >>distinct level of intellectual and/or technological development, >>what evidence or logical argument leads you to suspect that they >>originated here?

>Their essential physiological similarity to us - including but >by no means limited to their apparent ability to make use of our >genes. (The woman in the Villas-Boas incident, for example, is >hardly "alien" once you get past the novelty of finding her on >board an apparent spacecraft.)

If you are proceeding from the premise that at least some UFOs are associated with (if not occupied by) beings that are essentially human in appearance and are genetically compatible with us, then I must concede that the CTH is more plausible than the ETH, at least for that (sub)set of cases. However, I would submit that such a premise is not widely accepted, even among those that believe UFOs are intelligently controlled. Such acceptance is unlikely without clear evidence beyond the accounts of alleged abductees.

>>(3) If these beings prefer to remain hidden, why persist in >>these aerial manifestations? Why not just stay out of sight?

>Misdirection. To keep us enamored of ETs in spaceships. The >playful, theatric nature of many UFOs suggests to me that, in >some circumstances at least, they're designed to be seen.

But this would seem to be misdirection for its own sake, as opposed to somehow enhancing their concealment. Their camouflage is apparently successful already, why jeopardize it with such theatrics? They could equally well dress up in gorilla suits and pretend to be Bigfoot. I suppose it might throw us "off the track", but we can't see their tracks in the first place, so why bother?

>And who's to say the CTs are omnipotent and infallible? Maybe >some UFOs are legitimate CT vehicles that we're fortunate enough >to spot.

If we presume the CTs strive to conceal themselves (to the point of actively erasing their developmental traces), then their acceptance of potential exposure in such "accidental" encounters is puzzling. We are forced to speculate that this activity is somehow important to their survival. How so? If they are facing a genetic bottleneck, there would seem to be less dramatic ways of gathering what they need, given their propensity for stealth.

To sum up this tirade, I believe that CTH vs. ETH comes down to this: in a "no evidence" scenario (i.e., both hypotheses being entirely speculative and evaluated only by plausibility), CTH is the less compelling, primarily by application of the mediocrity principle. Consider:

(a) There is no clear reason why technologically advanced civilizations (many much older than ourselves) should not be common throughout the universe, nor is there any obvious physical impediment to interstellar travel (hence the Fermi Paradox).

(b) There is similarly no clear reason why technologically advanced civilizations should not be common throughout Earth's history. Nevertheless, we do have reason to doubt that they are, based on our hands-on examination of our planet.

The UFOs-as-ETs hypothesis "fills a need" in that it at least superficially addresses the Fermi Paradox (but not very convincingly... I leave that for a separate thread). The CTH would not seem to fill a comparable need. However, as noted above, if clear evidence should arise that some UFOs are associated with structurally and genetically humanlike creatures (other than ourselves), then I would immediately bring CTH to the front burner.

I encourage your investigation of alternatives to the ETH (which, I say again, is by no means well-established). Some of the online comments this has generated have been a little silly

(courageous new thinkers once again bullied by the tyrannical ETH "old guard", etc.). To your credit, you can rationally discuss the idea without appealing to martyrdom. Good luck with the book!

Regards,

Mike

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Re: Conspiracies Of Silence - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 21:38:33 +0000  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 16:46:04 -0500  
Subject: Re: Conspiracies Of Silence - Kasten

>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 11:58:01 -0800 (PST)  
>Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 16:16:08 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>>Are we so suspicious of government's conspiracy of silence that,  
>>>if they ever did "come clean" on this topic, we'd never believe  
>>>them?

>>We'd probably... and certainly the conventional scientific  
>>community and the press would... ask the government for proof of  
>>whatever it said. Part of this proof would be the (supposedly)  
>>>true history of government activities re. UFOs, and any hard  
>>evidence that might have been obtained.

>>Similarly, if the aliens ever "came clean" about what they are  
>>doing... we probably wouldn't believe them, at last not  
>>initially... or at the very least be ery skeptical of the  
>>'truth' according to the alien.

>Thanks Dr. Maccabee!

Someone suggested that trusting the government was like trusting a cheating wife - as regards providing access to the 'real' UFO files; if and when that would happen. Now, fellas, we all know how men love a mysterious, glamorous, sleek lady, winking dangerously in your direction. You wouldn't trust such a lady, but you would allow yourself to be seduced by her. So, it is with UFOs and any government conspiracy.

KK

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 18:09:08 -0400  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 17:24:51 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 14:14:54 EST  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 15:33:33 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

><snip>

>>These two weren't awestruck about a U-2, lets get that straight.

>Don:

>No offense, but I'll take Lieut. Col. Bailey's account over your  
>statement that no USAF pilot would be awestruck by the U-2.

That's your choice but there was nothing to be awestruck about.  
They were more likely awestruck about some other aircraft.

>As I  
>noted to Martin, there is probably a bit of exaggeration in the  
>re-telling, but Bailey flew with these people, and these planes,  
>and you didn't.

Didn't have to. To claim for instance that a U-2 stood on its  
tail and accelerated away at a high rate of speed is an outright  
lie not exaggeration.

>Indeed, Bailey is considered the historian of  
>the RB-47, so his expertise goes beyond just having been someone  
>who flew in them, and with U-2s, and F-102s, and their pilots  
>and crews. No offense, but for me, 'nuff said.

You obviously haven't considered the statement below.  
"When radar picked us up, we were at 38,000 feet and the U-2 was  
directly above us at 72,000 feet."  
Who said it was a U-2? Where did this information come from?

"The radar operator couldn't believe that, figuring it had to be  
a malfunction or reflection or spurious response, etc. So he  
kinda averaged the two returns and reported a bogie at 55,000  
feet." So they didn't see the object. Bailey came to this  
conclusion later on without evidence to support it. The F-102  
pilots didn't see it either until later on and since they'd  
never seen a U-2 before either; so who came up with the U-2  
story? Bailey did.

You seem to want to settle for minimal accuracy. If he is the  
historian then he's gilding the lily to make it all air force  
and none of the UFO nonsense. And it doesn't make any difference  
what they were flying in. They could have been in an airliner  
for that matter. The U-2 is unimpressive looking, it can't and  
couldn't do some of the things claimed of it by Bailey.

>Further, I have no reason to doubt Bailey's honesty -indeed, I  
>have every reason to believe him. Thus, while a detail or two

>may have been embellished (don't all stories do that, after  
>all?), I see no reason to doubt the essential accuracy of his  
>account.

Again it was a lie. It supports the probability of some UFO sighting in the same area. But the awe-struck pilots and the U-2's performance are nonsense. And another thing that doesn't jell is the lack of regard for the U-2 by its own controllers. There appears to be no attempt by that control to warn off the F-102s. The CIA didn't operate in a vacuum, it was hooked through NORAD. There would have been a disregard code employed so as not to tip off the Soviets. They would know where it was though maybe not what it was, but that it was worth protecting. But believe what you will.

>>>Don't cite stats like "hundreds of physical trace cases", or  
>>>"thousands of witnesses". That's ducking the question. It's non-  
>>>responsive, and it proves nothing, other than that we have a  
>>>mystery, which is what I and others are saying here.

>>Actually it's not ducking the question Paul if you think about  
>>that. Where's the evidence of some co-existing Earth based  
>>inhabitants which would have to be overwhelmingly evidential  
>>with its own set of clues to make this hypothesis stick?

>And where is the evidence of the ETs?

Where's the evidence of the Cryptoterrestrial? It goes around and round.

>>I think Mac should have waited until his book came out before  
>>he went public with his claims so we'd have his evidence to  
>>examine.

>I disagree - I like the fact that Mac is blogging away about it,  
>as opposed to squirreling it away in secrecy until he's ready to  
>release his book. Indeed, it's a very academic approach (in a  
>populist way) - more than a few of my old professors would use  
>reading seminars to bounce their ideas off students, and these  
>would later wind up in one of their books, which they were  
>working on in the time. It's called getting feedback, which I  
>think is all to the good, albeit perhaps a bit unconventional.  
>On the other hand, we live in an unconventional, rapidly  
>changing world, where the ways in which ideas are formed and  
>exchanged are changing. Mac is riding the crest of that wave.

Fair enough.

>>So far we've just got his recycled hypothesis to deal with. Right  
>>now the "evidence" supporting the Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis  
>>seems to be riding on the back of the ETH.

>Or vice versa, if you think about it. It's all the same  
>evidence, Don. They're the same cases. The question is - is  
>there any one case that is conclusively one thing as opposed to  
>all of the others? I don't see

The probability is more heavily weighted for the ETH in my opinion and I see little to support the Cryptoterrestrial. Being terrestrial there should be more evidence than there is of anomalies in our ancient history. The Cryptoterrestrial technology is going to leave more "tracks" than our hominid ancestors, the latter which made little impact on their environment. The Cryptoterrestrial would have had sophisticated technology requiring what we have today. They would have greatly impacted their environment. This is too much to get into in this answer, however.

>>>>No, it means we have evidence of ordinary events involving  
>>>>observations of alien spacecraft.

>>>No, it doesn't. No matter how many times you repeat it, it  
>>>doesn't make it so, although I understand that you're not going  
>>>to change your mind.

>>But you seem to be less inclined to dispute Mac's hypothesis. So  
>>far I haven't seen you weigh in against it despite your admitted  
>>ETH leanings. Are you taking a wait and see attitude?

>Of course. What other thing is there to do?



>Note as well that at my blog, in comments at Mac's blog, and in  
>a recent post here, I have asked questions about Mac's theory.  
>I'm sure I will have many more once his book comes out.

>Just as I did when Nick's Roswell book came out. The difference  
>between me and some people (names withheld to protect the  
>guilty) is that I will wait until I've read something in its  
>entirety before I pan it, or praise it.

I'm not panning his book. I'm panning the theory that is now in  
this thread and apparently to be offered in Mac's book.

>For the moment, I'm content to say that it's an interesting  
>idea, not quite as derivative of Keel et al as you

Eeh, not me.

>and others  
>make it out to be. In a field where there are no proven facts,  
>only theories, it deserves a fair hearing.  
Well it's getting that. Mac put it out there. It's my privilege  
to respond to it.

Best,

Don Ledger

PS No screwing around with my pics in post :)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Re: Conspiracies Of Silence - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 14:15:53 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 17:26:16 -0500  
Subject: Re: Conspiracies Of Silence - Nielsen

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 21:38:33 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Conspiracies Of Silence

>>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 11:58:01 -0800 (PST)  
>>Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>Are we so suspicious of government's conspiracy of silence that,  
>>if they ever did "come clean" on this topic, we'd never believe  
>>them?

<snip>

>Someone suggested that trusting the government was like trusting  
>a cheating wife - as regards providing access to the 'real' UFO  
>files; if and when that would happen. Now, fellas, we all know  
>how men love a mysterious, glamorous, sleek lady, winking  
>dangerously in your direction. You wouldn't trust such a lady,  
>but you would allow yourself to be seduced by her. So, it is  
>with UFOs and any government conspiracy.

Thanks KK!

I, just two weeks ago, celebrated our 28th anniversary with my  
beautiful bride - wife of my youth! Thank you very much!

Like some in government, not all waheenees are wicked!

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 29](#)

## Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 14:19:04 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 17:27:30 -0500  
Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence? - Nielsen

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 15:30:53 EST  
>Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence?

<snip>

>Well Rick, I've said it a thousand times already. You won't get  
>any form of a response to UFOs from the powers that be until  
>UFOs interrupt our daily schedules.

>Let some UFO event knock out the electrical power running our  
>cell phones or television programs like "Desperate Housewives"  
>or even worse, the "Super Bowl" and you'd have WWII on your  
>hands.

>Money is being made and traded. Crops are being grown and  
>shipped. Illegal drugs and prostitution have never been a better  
>money maker. War is still making good money.

>Let any of those activities get interrupted by some UFO stuff  
>and there'd be heck to pay.

>So as long as the boat ain't rocked the only people concerned  
>will be we who give a darn about our fellow man and the poor  
>souls who have to suffer from interaction in forms with UFOs.

Well said Greg! What can I say more?

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 30](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Eleanor White <[eleanor.nul](mailto:eleanor.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 17:33:13 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 30 Dec 2006 08:59:17 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 15:07:40 EST  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

<snip>

>But I think it also serves as a reminder that - regardless of  
>how exactly the U-2 performed - there are things in the sky that  
>even our best pilots can't identify, but which are ours (or, as  
>I'm a Canuck, "theirs" in this case).

I can't imagine how a pilot could see a U-2 as anything but a conventional aircraft. They have wings and tail surfaces, and obviously, a large jet engine. All a bit extended for flight in very thin air, but overall conventional in appearance.

It would be scary if those pilots couldn't recognize it as a conventional aircraft. Unusual performance, maybe, or an enemy, perhaps, but total non-recognition, I doubt.

Eleanor White

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 30](#)

## Re: France's Space Agency To Post UFO Database -

From: **Giuliano 'Jimmy' Marinkovic** <[giuliano.marinkovic.nul](mailto:giuliano.marinkovic.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 30 Dec 2006 00:27:06 +0100 (CET)  
Fwd Date: Sat, 30 Dec 2006 09:07:07 -0500  
Subject: Re: France's Space Agency To Post UFO Database -

>From: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 16:29:06 -0500  
>Subject: France's Space Agency To Post UFO Database

>Source: InformationWeek - Manhasset, Ney York, USA  
>Dec 29, 2006

>France's Space Agency To Post UFO Database

<snip>

Dear Colleagues,

For more detail about this issue you can download my latest Podcast show where Jacques Patenet, the head of GEIPAN, has shared with me some of those actions.

GEIPAN is the official body at the French Space Agency, CNES, that investigates UFOs.

A quote of M. Patenet from the interview:

"The future is in fact to continue to collect and analyse all the evidence. In fact we have new mission. It is very imporant to communicate with the Republic (France) so we will in the beginning of 2007 (start a) new Internet site and we will open all our archives online."

You can download this Podcast at:

<http://uforadio.podomatic.com>

Best regards:

UFORADIO - INTERNATIONAL  
Host: Giuliano Marinkovic  
e-mail: [uforadio.nul](mailto:uforadio.nul)  
Skype ID: UFORADIO

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 30](#)

## AUFORN Disclosure Australia Project Anno. #32

From: Diane Harrison <[auforn06.nul](mailto:auforn06.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 30 Dec 2006 08:44:44 +1000  
Fwd Date: Sat, 30 Dec 2006 09:10:27 -0500  
Subject: AUFORN Disclosure Australia Project Anno. #32

-----

Hi All

Project Newsletter 31 has just been posted to the website at:

<http://disclosureaustralia.freewebspages.org>

This 22 page Newsletter features a single article titled "UFO files located in the Australian Government record system." It provides an excellent overview of the findings of the three year project.

You are welcome to distribute this Newsletter to your networks. Please credit AURA and AUFORN.

We have now come to the end of the process of using the Freedom of Information Act and the Archives Act to extract UFO files from the Australian Government. Therefore, this will probably be the final Project Newsletter unless startling new information comes to hand.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank former AURA members Deb, Helen, Alex and Colin; and current AURA members Jeff, Mishelle, Patrick, Kathy, Bev, and Roger for all their work on this Project todate. In addition a big thanks to Di and Rob Frola for all their efforts.

Keith Basterfield  
[uforesearchersa.nul](mailto:uforesearchersa.nul)  
"The answers are sometimes in the journey  
and not the destination."

-----

Warmest Regards

Diane Harrison/Frola

National Director  
The Australian UFO Research Network  
<http://www.auforn.com>  
[Diane.nul](mailto:Diane.nul)

Coming Conference 2007

The 2nd International Scientific  
& Metaphysical Symposium  
<http://www.auforn.com/sydneyconference2007.html>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 30](#)

## Interview With MUFON's James Carrion

From: **Eustaquio Andrea Patounas** <[socex.ufobras.nul](mailto:socex.ufobras.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 30 Dec 2006 09:58:35 - 0200  
Fwd Date: Sat, 30 Dec 2006 09:23:57 -0500  
Subject: Interview With MUFON's James Carrion

Interview by Milton Frank, President of the Brazilian Ufology Center, a community with 23000 subscribers:

<http://www.orkut.com/Community.aspx?cmm=3D15717106>

with James Carrion, the new Director of the Mutual UFO Network.

-----

1 - Why did you start to study and research ufology? Have you ever seen a UFO? If so, how was your experience?

My interest in UFOs started when I was 11 years old. My mother used to subscribe to some of the major tabloid publications like the National Enquirer which frequently reported on UFOs. Nowadays, most people dismiss any UFO stories in the tabloids as fiction, but back then the National Enquirer reporters like Bob Pratt did serious UFO investigations. I used to clip out these articles and collect them. My family moved to Puerto Rico around this time and it was there that I had my first exposure to others interested in the subject. There was a local UFO group that was actively involved in going to El Yunque, Puerto Rico's national park and rain forest where they attempted to communicate and meet with alien species. Unfortunately, my father did not allow me to accompany the group as he believed that UFOs were evil and demonic in nature. According to other group members, their communication attempts were successful. I was also interested in the Chupacabra activity and all of the Laguna Cartagena UFO sightings. Even to this day, Puerto Rico is a hotbed for UFO activity. I left Puerto Rico to join the U.S. Army where I worked as a Russian Linguist and Signals Intelligence Analyst and I was stationed for two years in Augsburg, Germany.

In 1987 I left the military and moved to Tallahassee, Florida to attend college. While living there, I became fascinated with the story of the Gulf Breeze six, a group of young soldiers that went AWOL from their base in Augsburg, Germany to search for UFOs in Gulf Breeze. All six of these soldiers were also military intelligence analysts (from a sister unit) and I was intrigued as to what would cause a group of young, intelligent and psychologically competent individuals (having achieved top secret clearances with special background investigations) to pursue their quest while knowing they could face possible prison time and charges of treason. One of the Gulf Breeze six even attended the MUFON symposium that was being held in Pensacola at the time. That was the first time that I heard of MUFON.

In 1996 I moved to Greeley, Colorado with my wife Lisa so she could attend graduate school at the University of Colorado. I quickly found myself attending the MUFON Denver meetings and joined MUFON soon after. I traveled with MUFON Colorado members to the celebration of the 50th anniversary of the Roswell incident and that same year I passed my MUFON investigator exam and was assigned as state section directory for North Eastern Colorado. When I moved to Bellvue, Colorado, I vacated that position and took over as the North Western Colorado section director.



In 2003 I proposed to the board the Pandora Project, and after board approval, I completed the project in 2005. The project focused on digitizing all of MUFON's paper investigative files (some 13,000 of them) into keyword searchable Adobe PDF files. I believe, as Dr. Hynek did, that if we collect enough sighting data and put in the proper electronic format, we will start to see patterns emerge that we did not previously notice. My goal is to put together the largest UFO database in the world that can be easily accessed by our own research teams for data - mining purposes. To that end, I recently met with Dr. Mark Rodeghier to discuss adding CUFOS' files to the Pandora Project.

After reviewing most of the files MUFON has amassed over the years, I am still in awe at the dedication and professionalism shown by many MUFON investigators who took their investigation work seriously and through obviously many hours of hard work, selfishly contributed quality data to this body of knowledge. My goal is to make their efforts count.

In 2003 I was invited to participate in the MUFON reorganization meetings that resulted in the revised MUFON mission statement and goals, as well as the closing of the MUFON store front. I was asked to join the board soon after and have proudly served on it since.

I consider myself a skeptical believer in UFOs =96 skeptical from the point of view that I don't take a UFO sighting at face value, but believe that each case should be rigorously investigated with an open but scientifically discerning mind. At the same time, I believe that the UFO phenomenon is real, and although I have never had a UFO sighting myself, I can't discount the thousands upon thousands of sightings experienced by others.

I also sincerely hope one day within my lifetime that UFOLOGY will be fully embraced by most mainstream scientists instead of being treated as a pseudo science and an object of ridicule, and that MUFON will be the major catalyst in effectuating that change.

2 - What are the top 10 cases of World Ufology in your opinion?

Wow, this is tough, there are so many.

1. Roswell
2. Cash Landrum
3. Betty and Barney Hill Abduction
4. Delphos, Kansas landing
5. McMinnville Oregon photos
6. JAL Flight 1628
7. 1952 UFOs over Washington DC
8. 1976 Iran Incident
9. America West Airlines Flight 564
10. Shag Harbour

3 - Do you really believe that Ufology starts after 1947, or do you believe that before that there were any UFO cases on Earth?

I think UFOs have been with us since ancient times. If we posit that we are being visited by an extraterrestrial civilization, their interest in humanity and or the Earth could have started millennia ago. Although there is no conclusive proof, we can see UFO like craft depicted in Renaissance paintings, cave drawings, etc as well as described in ancient written texts.

4 - What is the most important UFO case in the USA in your opinion? Why do you believe so?

Roswell, not because I necessarily agree with all of the conclusions drawn by other Ufologists, but because it singularly has probably generated the most public awareness of the UFO phenomenon.

5 - What are the most important points that a UFO researcher has to learn to do a good job?

You must have a skeptical but open mind. It is important that you don't let your personal beliefs cloud your objectivity. You must have a high tolerance for ambiguity and be willing to change your views if the evidence is overwhelmingly in conflict with those views.

6 - What would you say to an young man that intends to be a UFO researcher

Second to the mystery of life after death, which we will all be privy to once we pass on, researching the UFO mystery is the most exciting and rewarding journey of exploration one can embark on while here on earth. Think of the implications for humanity of discovering the truth of "higher intelligences", especially if this causes us to stop seeing ourselves as Brazilians or Americans and instead as homo sapiens. I look at the constant violence and suffering experienced today by a large proportion of the human populace =96 the famine, political and religious wars, rampant disregard for human rights, and wonder that if this higher intelligence and extraordinary technologies were accessible to all of humanity, how the world's problems could be lessened or eliminated. At the same time, I worry about the personal agendas these higher intelligences and lower intelligences (our governments) may have which may have little regard for the plight of humanity. MUFON's mission really sums it up: "The Scientific Study of UFOs for the benefit of humanity". If you are an altruistic individual that wants to better the plight of the world, studying UFOs is as important a contribution to our future as is any endeavor of science.

7 - What do you think about Ashtar Sheran? Do you believe in this story? Do you really believe that everything people say about Ashtar Sheran is true?

I think that the story of Ashtar Sheran is more a question of spiritual belief and is no different than Christian belief in the return of Christ. If you choose to pursue this path, by all means do so as I respect all spiritual beliefs that promote improving the plight of humanity.

8 - Have you read about Brazilian ufology cases? What Brazilian cases do you know? What do you think about them?

I must confess that I have little knowledge of Brazilian UFO cases. The MUFON library has over 1000 books and I can't claim to have read a tenth of these. The UFO phenomenon is so vast, one quickly gets lost and overwhelmed by its vastness. I would like to learn more about Brazilian UFO cases and will probably start with Bob Pratt's work in this area.

9 - What is your opinion about ufology's future?

The first 60 years of Ufology has been one of trying to grasp what the spectrum of UFO activity really encompasses. What started out as a nuts and bolts belief in extraterrestrial craft has expanded to craft occupants, then to the alien abduction phenomenon, cattle and human mutilations, crop circles, etc. We have no idea what strange twist we may experience next.

I envy the "Ghost Busters" who go about their business without having to worry about the meddling of world governments cloaking their own activities through disinformation campaigns and denials. As Ufologists we find ourselves fighting battles on two fronts; the phenomenon itself refusing to show itself on demand or through prediction (hence modern science's refusal to deal with its ambiguity) and the world's governments who have their own selfish reasons for concealing the truth of what they know.

We have gathered data for 60 years and I think the raw data has a truth to reveal if we analyze the data in ways we have not attempted before. I believe that those in "the know" are afraid of what we may discover ourselves from the raw data and will stop at nothing to keep introducing red herrings to distract us from this analysis.

10 - How was your experience in research with Bob Pratt? Have you ever been in Brazil to research a Brazilian case with

him?

I have never had the privilege to work with Bob Pratt, but I respect immensely the contributions he has made to Ufology,

11 - I really would like you to give a message to The Brazilian Ufology Center. Right now we have 22.280 members, and they really would like to hear what you have to say to them.

I admire your open mindedness and dedication in this "journey of fools" as others would have you believe. You are on the right path =96 and should you stray from time to time =96 don't worry as the mystery will beckon you back. Be true to your calling and disregard the ignorance and cynicism of others who are threatened by the implications of discovering the real truths behind the UFO phenomenon. Your efforts are worthwhile and noble and like many of the mavericks of society, may not be appreciated in your lifetime, but one day will be honored by future generations. Keep the faith.

I would love to go to Brazil in person one day and tread in the footsteps of Bob Pratt and others who have gone before. Best wishes to you all.

-----

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 30](#)

## Re: Conspiracies Of Silence - Maccabee

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 30 Dec 2006 12:35:04 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sat, 30 Dec 2006 16:54:05 -0500  
Subject: Re: Conspiracies Of Silence - Maccabee

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 21:38:33 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Conspiracies Of Silence

>>From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 11:58:01 -0800 (PST)  
>>Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>>Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 16:16:08 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Conspiracy Of Silence?

>>>>Are we so suspicious of government's conspiracy of silence that,  
>>>>if they ever did "come clean" on this topic, we'd never believe  
>>>>them?

>>>>We'd probably... and certainly the conventional scientific  
>>>>community and the press would... ask the government for proof of  
>>>>whatever it said. Part of this proof would be the (supposedly)  
>>>>>true history of government activities re. UFOs, and any hard  
>>>>evidence that might have been obtained.

>>>>Similarly, if the aliens ever "came clean" about what they are  
>>>>doing... we probably wouldn't believe them, at last not  
>>>>initially... or at the very least be ery skeptical of the  
>>>>'truth' according to the alien.

>>Thanks Dr. Maccabee!

>Someone suggested that trusting the government was like trusting  
>a cheating wife - as regards providing access to the 'real' UFO  
>files; if and when that would happen. Now, fellas, we all know  
>how men love a mysterious, glamorous, sleek lady, winking  
>dangerously in your direction. You wouldn't trust such a lady,  
>but you would allow yourself to be seduced by her. So, it is  
>with UFOs and any government conspiracy.

I'm already in love with the idea of a sleek lady winking  
dangerously.....

But there wouldn't be a lasting affair if there weren't some  
meat and potatoes close behind.....

If the government comes clean it better be prepared to back up  
anything it says with independently provable information  
(facts).

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 31](#)

## Re: Calling All Hudson Valley UFO Folk - Kasten

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 30 Dec 2006 18:59:27 +0000  
Fwd Date: Sun, 31 Dec 2006 09:07:18 -0500  
Subject: Re: Calling All Hudson Valley UFO Folk - Kasten

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 07:52:55 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Calling All Hudson Valley UFO Folk

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 23:59:48 EST  
>>Subject: Calling All Hudson Valley UFO Folk

>>Well bust my buttons!

>>I've got myself an eyeball witness account of a spectacular UFO  
>>event that occurred on the shores of the Hudson River back in  
>>the 1980s. It was during the time of the Hudson Valley UFO  
>>sightings aka the Westchester Wing.

>>I've been getting several off list emails regarding the Hudson  
>>Valley UFO sightings which still go on to this day. So the call  
>>is going out to all interested parties who read this list to  
>>please email me as we should share some notes.

>Ford, ford ford ford, ford ford ford-ford, ford!

>Ford, ford ford ford, ford ... ford. Ford ford?

>Ford.

Okay, Al, sweetheart, that is just plain strange.

KK

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 31](#)

## Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 30 Dec 2006 16:07:27 EST  
Fwd Date: Sun, 31 Dec 2006 09:10:19 -0500  
Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 18:09:08 -0400  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>From: Paul Kimball <[TheRobieShark.nul](mailto:TheRobieShark.nul)>  
>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 14:14:54 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

>>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 15:33:33 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: Mac Tonnies' The Cryptoterrestrial Hypothesis

><snip>

>You obviously haven't considered the statement below.  
>"When radar picked us up, we were at 38,000 feet and the U-2 was  
>directly above us at 72,000 feet."  
>Who said it was a U-2? Where did this information come from?

And you obviously didn't read Lieut. Col. Bailey's account very closely. On the first line he makes it clear that his RB-47 was flying a joint mission with the U-2, i.e. his crew knew exactly where the U-2 was, and what it was - as opposed to the F-102s, which did not.

Before you criticize, you should make sure you've read these things closely.

>"The radar operator couldn't believe that, figuring it had to be  
>a malfunction or reflection or spurious response, etc. So he  
>kinda averaged the two returns and reported a bogie at 55,000  
>feet." So they didn't see the object. Bailey came to this  
>conclusion later on without evidence to support it.

<snip>

>You seem to want to settle for minimal accuracy.

When in a glass house, Don...

See my comments above again, and my comments about reading things closely (i.e. accuracy). It was the F-102s who did the averaging, not the RB-47 crew. Once again, Bailey's crew knew exactly where the U-2 was, and what it was.

However, I'm no expert on the U-2, or the F-102, or any of this stuff, nor do I pretend to be. Accordingly, I'll refer your note to Lieut. Col. Bailey and see what he has to say about it, if anything.

<snip>

>PS No screwing around with my pics in post :)

Of course not. ;-)

Best regards,

Paul

[www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com](http://www.redstarfilms.blogspot.com)

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 31](#)

## Re: Interview With MUFON's James Carrion - Nielsen

From: Rick Nielsen <[nilthchi.nul](mailto:nilthchi.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 30 Dec 2006 18:58:59 -0800 (PST)  
Fwd Date: Sun, 31 Dec 2006 09:12:56 -0500  
Subject: Re: Interview With MUFON's James Carrion - Nielsen

>From: Eustaquio Andrea Patounas <[socex.ufobras.nul](mailto:socex.ufobras.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 30 Dec 2006 09:58:35 - 0200  
>Subject: Interview With MUFON's James Carrion

>Interview by Milton Frank, President of the Brazilian Ufology  
>Center, a community with 23000 subscribers:

><http://www.orkut.com/Community.aspx?cmm=3D15717106>

>with James Carrion, the new Director of the Mutual UFO Network.

<snip>

>5 - What are the most important points that a UFO researcher  
>has to learn to do a good job?

>You must have a skeptical but open mind. It is important that  
>you don't let your personal beliefs cloud your objectivity. You  
>must have a high tolerance for ambiguity and be willing to  
>change your views if the evidence is overwhelmingly in conflict  
>with those views.

>6 - What would you say to an young man that intends to be a  
>UFO researcher

>Second to the mystery of life after death, which we will all be  
>prive to once we pass on, researching the UFO mystery is the  
>most exciting and rewarding journey of exploration one can  
>embark on while here on earth. Think of the implications for  
>humanity of discovering the truth of "higher intelligences",  
>especially if this causes us to stop seeing ourselves as  
>Brazilians or Americans and instead as homo sapiens. I look at  
>the constant violence and suffering experienced today by a large  
>proportion of the human populace =96 the famine, political and  
>religious wars, rampant disregard for human rights, and wonder  
>that if this higher intelligence and extraordinary technologies  
>were accessible to all of humanity, how the world's problems  
>could be lessened or eliminated. At the same time, I worry about  
>the personal agendas these higher intelligences and lower  
>intelligences (our governments) may have which may have little  
>regard for the plight of humanity. MUFON's mission really sums  
>it up: "The Scientific Study of UFOs for the benefit of  
>humanity". If you are an altruistic individual that wants to  
>better the plight of the world, studying UFOs is as important a  
>contribution to our future as is any endeavor of science.

<snip>

An excellent reference Eustaquio Andrea Patounas! Thank you!

I especially liked Mr. Carrion's advice to aspiring UFologists,  
in items numbered 5 and 6 above.

If I may, I'd also add an extensive experience with many people  
from diverse backgrounds and all walks of life. People make the  
reports that form the bulk of the research we're dealing with  
here. All the experience a person can gain, in dealing with  
other people, will help in this field, and really any other

field.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 31](#)

### A Forgotten Chupacabras Case From 2005

From: Scott Corrales <[lornis1.nul](mailto:lornis1.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 31 Dec 2006 09:20:08 -0500  
Fwd Date: Sun, 31 Dec 2006 09:46:41 -0500  
Subject: A Forgotten Chupacabras Case From 2005

The Journal of Hispanic Ufology  
December 31, 2006

A Forgotten Chupacabras Case from 2005

As the year 2006 draws to a close, our colleague Raul Nunez of the IIEE =96 Chile organization has forwarded the following report of a strange animal-mutilating entity from the 9th Region of Chile. The story somehow eluded the media and has only been made known thanks to the diligent efforts of researcher Raul Gajardo Lepold. The following is INEXPLICATA=92s translation of Mr. Gajardo=92s work:

-----

"On November 18, 2005, Mrs. S.T.C., age 59, married, illiterate, but able to make her mark, was alone in her rural property near Angol, since her husband and son had gone into this city. At around 2:00 p.m., she was returning home after fetching the family=92s ten cows, which had been taken to drink water from a stream 30 meters downhill from the house. One of the cows, accustomed to this routine, got ahead of the others. When Mrs. S.T.C. came within 3 meters of the stream of water, she allowed the animals to continue alone and walked back to her house. It was at that moment that one of the cows began to bellow, and the woman ran back to see what was amiss. At that moment, she saw a very strange animal attempting to bite both the front and hind legs of the cow, which was already bleeding from one of its front legs. The strange creature surrounded the cow, attacking it on all sides, making small jumping motions and running with its two very large legs, which grew outward from the body at an angle and ended in four long toes with hard, black claws. Mrs. S.T.C. came within 4 meters of creature; in her despair, she ran back to her house to fetch a stick with which to beat the intruder. At no time did the entity try to attack her.

She found a stick but never managed to strike the creature with it, as it ran toward the body of water, jumped in, and skittered under a large bush at the site, which was later removed and the site remains clear to this day. The animal ran away clumsily, in an erect position, on its two legs, but with considerable swiftness.

The first time that she was close to the creature, at a distance of some four meters, the animal issued powerful whistling noises=97some three or four continuous blasts=97only to quiet down and resume again, all the while attacking the cow. Mrs. S.T.C. had never seen as strange a creature as this one and has never seen it again, nor have there been similar reports from other residents of her rural community. She only shared her story with her children and relatives."

-----

The following is a description of the creature observed by Mrs. S.T.C.:

-----

"The entity measured approximately half a meter tall, some 25 cm. around, covered all over with green and pale red spots. There was something strange resembling wings growing out of its sides, starting at its shoulders, but these were kept folded. It was covered by some kind of short brown fur; the head was dog-shaped with large, slanted and protruding eyes, almost surely black in color, as Mrs. S.T.C. was unable to get a closer look. Its muzzle was elongated and flat, measuring some 20 centimeters, which it could open very wide to display long teeth. The witness estimates that it had two rows of them, judging by their number and whiteness. She did not notice if the entity had nasal passages or a tongue, but its front teeth were longer than the rest.

The creature's head was elongated and a sort of wavy crest grew out of its forehead, reaching down to the bottom of its back. Short, thick and firm neck. Cream-colored spots appeared on its abdomen.

When it attacked the cow, it did so with a series of very small leaps, raining bites on the animal while it emitted whistling sounds. On its back, where the protruding crest came to an end, there were brown bristles and the body ended with a short, 10-cm. tail with a blunt tip. It lacked upper claws or legs. But it moved its shoulders upward as it attacked, without ever losing its upright position. At one point the witness saw it on the ground, felled by a kick from the cow, which fought off its assailant gamely. The claws were covered with dark brown hair as well as scales (sic). No ears were reported."

-----

INEXPLICATA wishes to express its gratitude to Mr. Raul Nunez and the members of IIEE Delegacion Chile for bringing this case to our attention.

Scott Corrales  
Institute of Hispanic Ufology  
Editor, INEXPLICATA  
<http://inexplicata.blogspot.com>

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2006](#) > [Dec](#) > [Dec 31](#)

## Re: Calling All Hudson Valley UFO Folk - Lehmborg

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 31 Dec 2006 08:30:08 -0600  
Fwd Date: Sun, 31 Dec 2006 09:49:08 -0500  
Subject: Re: Calling All Hudson Valley UFO Folk - Lehmborg

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 30 Dec 2006 18:59:27 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Calling All Hudson Valley UFO Folk

>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>>To: <[ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 29 Dec 2006 07:52:55 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Calling All Hudson Valley UFO Folk

>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>To: [ufoupdates.nul](mailto:ufoupdates.nul)  
>>>Date: Thu, 28 Dec 2006 23:59:48 EST  
>>>Subject: Calling All Hudson Valley UFO Folk

>>>Well bust my buttons!

>>>I've got myself an eyeball witness account of a spectacular UFO  
>>>event that occurred on the shores of the Hudson River back in  
>>>the 1980s. It was during the time of the Hudson Valley UFO  
>>>sightings aka the Westchester Wing.

>>>I've been getting several off list emails regarding the Hudson  
>>>Valley UFO sightings which still go on to this day. So the call  
>>>is going out to all interested parties who read this list to  
>>>please email me as we should share some notes.

>>Ford, ford ford ford, ford ford ford-ford, ford!

>>Ford, ford ford ford, ford ... ford. Ford ford?

>>Ford.

>Okay, Al, sweetheart, that is just plain strange.

>KK

I unabashedly aspire to the artsy-fartsy and its conceptual  
polis so I guess that can be expected, huh? That said, it's sad  
how lost on people this word-art was.

\_John\_Ford, right? John Ford, late of LIUFON, Suffolk County,  
New York... one of the reasons we remember Hudson Valley at all,  
jailed by a corrupt political machine's boss, convicted criminal  
John Powell, for investigating same. Why we \*remember\*, largely,  
and the first one forgotten. Over a decade now, Ms. Kasten.

<http://alienview.net/fordnew.htm>

Additionally, is it ironic how it can be acceptable for a female  
acquaintance to address a male acquaintance as "sweetheart",  
where, given our \_small\_ history, the inverse is nigh  
unthinkable? Eh... baby-cakes? <g>

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>

[ [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)