

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > Feb

## UFO UpDates Mailing List Feb 2010

### Feb 1:

[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos [16]  
[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - John Rimmer [12]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [25]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [37]  
[Re: The Life Cybernetic](#) - Jason Gammon [28]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [40]  
[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - Bruce Maccabee [16]  
[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - Michael Tarbell [52]

### Feb 2:

[News Links 02-02-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [94]

### Feb 3:

[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Sergey Shpakovsky [41]  
[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos [16]  
[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - Martin Shough [12]  
[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - Don Ledger [15]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [101]

### Feb 4:

[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - William Treurniet [29]  
[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - Kathy Kasten [29]  
[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos [13]  
[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - Martin Shough [13]  
[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - Martin Shough [21]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Alfred Lehmberg [33]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Geoff Blackmor" [56]  
[Evidence "Steadily Mounting" For Cosmic Life](#) - Greg Boone [20]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [24]  
[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - Don Ledger [16]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [22]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [54]  
[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - Bruce Maccabee [13]

## Feb 5:

[Mars Needs Placentas?](#) - Jason Gammon [51]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Gerald O'Connell [20]  
[Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display](#) - William Treurniet [28]  
[Large Blue 'Orb' Spotted In BBC TV Doc](#) - Joe Faccenda [21]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Geoff Blackmore [39]

## Feb 6:

[News Links - 05-02-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [62]  
[Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display](#) - Don Ledger [17]  
[Re: Large Blue 'Orb' Spotted In BBC TV Doc](#) - Jason Gammon [10]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [24]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - William Treurniet [60]  
[Second Renaissance & Dangers Of Cohabitation](#) - Jason Gammon [74]  
[New Graphene-Based Transistors \[was: Alien](#) - Jason Gammon [28]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [40]  
[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - Martin Shough [50]  
[Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display](#) - William Treurniet [27]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [25]  
[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - Bruce Maccabee [21]

## Feb 7:

[Re: New Graphene-Based Transistors \[was: Alien](#) - Jason Gammo [13]  
[Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display](#) - Don Ledger [14]  
[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - Don Ledger [16]  
[Re: New Graphene-Based Transistors](#) - Neil Morris [20]  
[Re: The Life Cybernetic](#) - Bert Reijersen van Buuren [24]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - William Treurniet [23]  
[Re: Large Blue 'Orb' Spotted In BBC TV Doc](#) - Joe Faccenda [18]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - William Treurniet [41]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Geoff Blackmore [36]

## Feb 8:

[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - Martin Shough [18]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Geoff Blackmore [55]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Geoff Blackmore [22]  
[Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display](#) - William Treurniet [21]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [57]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [48]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [18]  
[Re: The Life Cybernetic](#) - Jason Gammon [23]  
[Re: New Graphene-Based Transistors](#) - Jason Gammon [17]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Gerald O'Connell [12]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Geoff Blackmore [14]  
[Money Masters And UFOs](#) - Greg Boone [37]  
[Re: Money Masters And UFOs](#) - Sean Jones [17]  
[Re: Money Masters And UFOs](#) - Greg Boone [14]  
[Silicon Object From Space](#) - Geoff Blackmore [69]

[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - William Treurniet [11]

## **Feb 9:**

[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - Don Ledger [19]

[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - William Treurniet [62]

[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [32]

[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [43]

[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [19]

[Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display](#) - Don Ledger [13]

[Synthetic Telepathy Might Explain Some Events](#) - Kathy Kasten [27]

[News Links - 08-02-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [40]

## **Feb 10:**

[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Geoff Blackmore [20]

[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Geoff Blackmore [27]

[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Geoff Blackmore [52]

[Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display](#) - William Treurniet [18]

[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - Martin Shough [34]

[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Ed Gehrman [16]

[Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display](#) - Don Ledger [13]

## **Feb 11:**

[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [15]

[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [29]

[Re: The Life Cybernetic](#) - Bert Reijersen van Buuren [41]

[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [33]

[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [12]

[Wireless Infrared Communication = Alien Telepathy?](#) - Jason Gammon [53]

[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos [16]

[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - Don Ledger [15]

## **Feb 12:**

[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - William Treurniet [35]

[Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display](#) - William Treurniet [23]

[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - Martin Shough [48]

[Echo Flight UFO Incident - The Truth, Finally!](#) - Dave Haith [65]

[News Links - 10-02-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [54]

## **Feb 13:**

[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Geoff Blackmore [62]

[CE3 In Devon UK](#) - David Clarke [17]

[News Links - 12-02-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [57]

[Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display](#) - Don Ledger [14]

[Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning](#) - Don Ledger [17]

[Re: CE3 In Devon UK](#) - Jerome Clark [13]

## **Feb 14:**

[Re: Alien Telephay Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [49]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [57]  
[Re: Childerhose Photo \[was: UFOs & Ball Lightning\]](#) - Michael Tarbell [66]  
[Re: CE3 In Devon UK](#) - Gerald O'Connell [14]  
[More Close Encounters But UFO Line Is Axed](#) - Eustaquio Andrea Patounas [41]

## Feb 15:

[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Geoff Blackmore [57]  
[Re: Alien Telephay Explained?](#) - William Treurniet [31]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Bert Reijersen van Buuren [25]  
[News Links - 15-02-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [36]

## Feb 16:

[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [63]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Jason Gammon [44]  
[UFO Case Files Of Russia](#) - Philip Mantle [42]

## Feb 17:

[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - William Treurniet [33]  
[Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?](#) - Geoff Blackmore [49]  
[MoD Disclosure Log - UFO Files](#) - David Clarke [18]  
[Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?](#) - Greg Boone [18]  
[UFO Files Released By UK National Archives](#) - David Clarke [22]

## Feb 18:

[News Links - 17-02-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [87]  
[The Decision To Release MoD's UFO Files](#) - Nick Pope [25]  
[Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?](#) - Kathy Kasten [24]

## Feb 19:

[MoD Documents Release - Articles Audio/Video Links](#) - Giuliano Marinkovic [56]  
[Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?](#) - Ole Henningsen [22]  
[Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?](#) - Don Ledger [13]  
['Punch Hole Clouds' Photo Site](#) - Eleanor White [12]  
[UFO Abductee Pets?](#) - Greg Boone [15]  
[Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?](#) - Ole Henningsen [13]  
[Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?](#) - Greg Boone [18]

## Feb 20:

[Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?](#) - Jerome Clark [10]  
[Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [17]  
[Two Large Hadron Collider Stories](#) - Greg Boone [18]  
[News Links - 19-02-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [43]  
[Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?](#) - Gerald O'Connell [14]  
[Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?](#) - Ole Henningsen [24]

[Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?](#) - Jerome Clark [13]  
[Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?](#) - Ole Henningsen [16]  
[Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?](#) - Jerome Clark [13]

## **Feb 21:**

[Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?](#) - Ole Henningsen [16]  
[Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?](#) - John Rimmer [34]  
[Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?](#) - Kathy Kasten [13]  
[Re: News Links - 19-02-10](#) - Eleanor White [11]  
[MoD Blanked Out 'Uncomplimentary Comments' On UFO](#) - David Clarke [22]  
[Tromso Spiral Not Seen In Sweden?](#) - Eleanor White [17]  
[Past Human Like Civilizations](#) - Greg Boone [52]

## **Feb 22:**

[News Links - 19-02-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [54]  
[Re: Past Human Like Civilizations](#) - Steve Sawyer [20]  
[Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?](#) - Jerome Clark [31]  
[Re: Tromso Spiral Not Seen In Sweden?](#) - Ole Henningsen [16]  
[Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?](#) - Ole Henningsen [21]  
[Re: Past Human Like Civilizations](#) - Carol Maltby [14]  
[Re: Past Human Like Civilizations](#) - Jason Gammon [14]

## **Feb 23:**

[Secrecy News -- 02/22/10](#) - Steven Aftergood [144]  
[Re: Past Human Like Civilizations](#) - Jason Gammon [19]  
[Future Cars Powered By Bodywork](#) - Jason Gammon [18]  
[Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?](#) - Cathy Reason [12]  
[Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?](#) - Alfred Lehmborg [24]  
[Re: Past Human Like Civilizations](#) - Greg Boone [52]

## **Feb 24:**

[Past Human Like Civilizations](#) - Stanton T. Friedman [28]  
[Re: Past Human Like Civilizations](#) - Greg Boone [37]  
[Re: Past Human Like Civilizations](#) - Greg Boone [22]  
[Re: Tromso Spiral Not Seen In Sweden?](#) - Eleanor White [11]  
[Re: Past Human Like Civilizations](#) - Bert Reijersen van Buuren [47]  
[Re: Past Human Like Civilizations](#) - Sean Jones [19]

## **Feb 25:**

[Re: Past Human Like Civilizations](#) - Jason Gammon [27]  
[Re: Past Human Like Civilizations](#) - Greg Boone [76]  
[Win Keech Contact Details?](#) - Philip Mantle [16]  
[Re: Past Human Like Civilizations](#) - Greg Boone [34]  
[News Links - 24-02-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [85]

## **Feb 26:**

[Re: Past Human Like Civilizations](#) - Greg Boone [58]

## **Feb 27:**

[MoD Endgame: More FOIA Revelations](#) - David Clarke [23]

[Nukes Sites UFOs At NPC Press Conf](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [64]

[News Links - 27-02-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [81]

## **Feb 28:**

[News Links - 28-02-10](#) - UFO UpDates - Toronto [37]

*The number enclosed in brackets is the number of lines of new text in the message, excluding the header, blank lines and quotes from previous messages.*

[Previous Month](#)

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 1](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <[ballesterolmos.nul](mailto:ballesterolmos.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 15:44:41 +0000 (GMT)  
Archived: Mon, 01 Feb 2010 07:51:57 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 21 Jan 2010 19:07:51 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 20 Jan 2010 17:17:59 -0400  
>>>Subject: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>>>From National Geographic

>>>><http://tinyurl.com/oo2cg>

>>>>Ball Lightning: A Shocking Scientific Mystery

>>>>I could not help but note the similarities in this article.  
>>>>Substitute UFO for some of the comments.

>>>>Phil Plait is asking (substitute as necessary): why don't  
>>>>meteorologists see ball lightning?

>>>>Sorry for the response delay, Mike. But it is a fair  
>>>>question.

>>>>Why don't they?

>>>>I'm interested in hearing about very large ball lightning  
>>>>events.

Incidentally, I would like to inform that I am composing a catalogue of ball lightning and bead lightning photographs, films and videos (82 entries todate). If anyone is interested to have a copy of the last draft, for review purposes, please let me know privately. Anyone wishing to contribute any information is also invited to write to me.

Thanks and best regards,

Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 1](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 08:37:59 -0800 (PST)  
Archived: Mon, 01 Feb 2010 07:53:04 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>>I could not help but note the similarities in this article.  
>>>Substituite UFO for some of the comments.

>>Phil Plait is asking (substitute as necessary): why don't  
>>meteorologists see ball lightning?

>Sorry for the response delay, Mike. But it is a fair question.  
>Why don't they?

>I'm interested in hearing about very large ball lighting events.

Readers may find something of interest in this article from  
Magonia magazine, which I've recently posted on our website:

<http://magonia.haaan.com/2010/balllightning/>

John Rimmer

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 1](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 12:48:08 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 01 Feb 2010 18:16:40 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 30 Jan 2010 18:14:06 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 29 Jan 2010 13:36:36 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Thu, 28 Jan 2010 12:46:51 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

<snip>

>>I am very well away of Searle's 'Chinese Room'. However, I think  
>>that you may not be aware of just what Searle was trying to  
>>communicate.

>>Searle is not saying that computers can't be intelligent, he is  
>>saying they can't think and have minds like humans.

>>Here's the clincher:

>>Searle is not saying that machines can't act like they possess a  
>>mind. Searle is not saying that machine intelligence can't  
>>surpass human intelligence. Searle is not saying that machines  
>>cannot ultimately pose a threat to humanity and rebel against us  
>>and take over society.

>>Instead, all Searle is saying that machines can't think and  
>>can't possess a mind as we know it. I know it is hard for many  
>>to grasp this idea, but it all depends on function.

>>Now, if you are operating under the false assumption that the  
>>Chinese Room argument must somehow be disproven before we build  
>>these machines, you are deeply mistaken.

>>I seriously doubt that if a terminator or cylon targets you for  
>>elimination that you would laugh it's actions off instead of  
>>running for your life.

>>Machine intelligence would be a simulation of human  
>>intelligence. There will come a point when the simulation is so  
>>advanced that it would be impossible for anyone to distinguish  
>>between human and machine intelligence. These machines may not  
>>possess a mind but they will act as if they do.

>>If no one can tell the difference between a machine intelligence  
>>and a human intelligence, on what basis do we have in which to  
>>argue that machines don't have minds or can't think?

>>In a nutshell, Searle's argument is this: The difference between  
>>machine intelligence and human intelligence is that machines  
>>can't think or possess a mind as humans know such.

>>My response is to quote the character of Dr. Daniel Graystone

>>from the new Caprica series on Syfy:

>>"Any difference that makes no difference, is no difference."

>>Machines will act as if they do possess a mind and can  
>>think and we humans will not be able to tell the difference.

>Jason,

>The line of argument you are taking falls into the classic  
>behaviourist error that A.I. advocates always fall into. It is  
>an entirely self-serving argument because it sets up the target  
>intelligence to be assessed as a black box and then selects an  
>arbitrary set of criteria for determining whether the target  
>intelligence is human or artificial.

>As I have argued, repeatedly now, we are actually in no position  
>at all to establish these criteria. It therefore makes no  
>difference at all as to whether a particular individual under  
>particular circumstances cannot make a clear distinction. As it  
>happens, the kind of examples, experiments and simulations that  
>are the stuff of theoretical debate in this field all fall  
>pathetically short of any kind of real test of what is at stake.

>The argument can drag on indefinitely, and will do so if you  
>don't care to address the key issues I have raised: the fact  
>that we don't have a sufficiently clear understanding of human  
>intelligence to be able to replicate it artificially, and the  
>issue of 'overclaim' that has led to the 'I' in 'A.I.'  
>constituting a misnomer.

Mr. O'Connell, it was Searle that raised this 'key issue' and  
not yourself.

By all means, continue with your claims that we don't have a  
sufficient understanding of what intelligence is and so  
therefore cannot build these machines. You are definitely free  
to do so. However, I'm afraid that researchers and scientists  
will not be hampered by your objections.

>The 'technological singularity' on which your arguments are  
>pinned looks a lot less impressive if we call it for what it is:  
>a computational singularity. Thought and computation are not the  
>same thing. Human intelligence is qualitatively different from  
>both. As it happens, the Searles of this world have done a poor  
>job of indicating the general nature of that difference, and its  
>extreme complexity.

It doesn't matter what you call it and quite frankly, I've heard  
from so many people who want to argue terminology that it makes  
me sick.

Newt Gringrich wants to call it 'The Age of Transitions'. Why  
not call it 'The Change'?

It doesn't matter what we call it, as long as we are  
acknowledging the changes to humanity such technology will  
produce.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 1](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 13:45:15 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 01 Feb 2010 18:17:55 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 30 Jan 2010 13:32:55 -0700  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 29 Jan 2010 13:36:36 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

<snip>

>>If no one can tell the difference between a machine intelligence  
>>and a human intelligence, on what basis do we have in which to  
>>argue that machines don't have minds or can't think?

>>In a nutshell, Searle's argument is this: The difference between  
>>machine intelligence and human intelligence is that machines  
>>can't think or possess a mind as humans know such.

>>My response is to quote the character of Dr. Daniel Graystone  
>>from the new Caprica series on Syfy:

>>"Any difference that makes no difference, is no difference."

>>Machines will act as if they do possess a mind and can  
>>think and we humans will not be able to tell the difference.

>So you acknowledge the difference in principle, made clear by  
>Searle, but dismiss it in practice because your not capable of  
>actually discerning the difference. A pragmatic approach, I  
>suppose, and probably handy for having sex with a blow-up doll,  
>but by no means does it nullify Searle's result.

My intent was never to nullify Searle's argument. Instead, I  
attempted to show that Searle's effort is pointless.

Searle's argument is that computers don't understand the  
information they process and as such cannot think or possess a  
mind. I would agree but add that humans don't understand the  
information they process and as such cannot think or possess a  
mind.

I write this because although we claim to understand things by  
very nature of communication we can only communicate properties  
or details pertaining to things.

IMHO, a case could be made for both humans and machines being  
able to possess minds or neither being able to possess minds. I  
simply cannot accept that one can possess a mind while the other  
cannot.

>On the other hand, as to the original topic, the only result  
>established in this mind-numbing thread so far is the following  
>vacuous triviality: given that telepathy is synthetic rather  
>than naturally evolved, then, if the 'Grays' exist and are  
>telepathic, then they are synthetic. You have to get up pretty  
>early in the morning to find anything more iron-clad than that.

Nope. I proposed the Greys, assuming they exist, are cyborgs based on abductee testimony.

However, if we reject certain portions of abductee testimony then we could reach the proposition that the Greys are completely robotic or synthetic.

>This is fun! Proceeding from the assumption that intelligence >itself must be synthetic rather than naturally evolved, I >conclude that humans themselves are actually advanced robots >(oops! I mean cyborgs). As with the 'artificial telepathy' >apparatus, the fact that we are making machines that behave with >apparent intelligence simply confirms the technological origins >of intelligence.

>How effortless this is, now that I understand the rules. I now >present, All Is Machine! Better start a new thread... I'll >trouble you no further in this one.

>Mike

Wow, Mike. You came very close to our current understanding of the brain, that it is an incredibly advanced organic machine, though a machine no less. As a machine, the brain can be reversed-engineered and emulated by machines we create.

The brain is not magic. If we fall down and crack our skull open, Casper will not escape. There is no homunculus, no little man in our heads pushing levers and staring out our eyes like they were windows.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 1](#)

## Re: The Life Cybernetic

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 14:21:52 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 01 Feb 2010 18:19:25 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Life Cybernetic

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Sat, 30 Jan 2010 16:17:30 -0500  
>Subject: Re: The Life Cybernetic

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Fri, 29 Jan 2010 12:10:18 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: The Life Cybernetic

>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Thu, 28 Jan 2010 12:45:13 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: The Life Cybernetic

<snip>

>>>You said there is an unlikely alternative to the  
>>>technological mechanism, but you won't say what it is.

>>I never wrote that. Instead, what I stated is that naturally  
>>evolved or even biologically engineered telepathy and other  
>>mental powers is not the likely and logical choice when we are  
>>creating technological or synthetic telepathy.

>The following is the relevant quote from one of your earlier  
>messages:

>"I'm not saying that a technological explanation is the only  
>explanation. I'm saying it's the better and even more likelier  
>choice."

>If the technological explanation is not the only explanation,  
>what was the implied alternative?

>This is an important question, because the answer would show  
>whether or not your mind is already totally made up. Our entire  
>conversation happened because you seem so sure that you have the  
>one and only solution to the problem.

>William

Mr. Treurniet, if you read my posts or my blog on this subject then you should be well aware of how I came to the decision. I recognized the fact that I could not rule out completely naturally evolved or biologically engineered telepathy and other mental powers. The probability for such isn't zero, but it's pretty darn close to it.

I don't understand your insistence on my repeating myself over and over again on this issue.

The logical explanation for the mental abilities of the aliens, assuming the aliens exist, is a technological explanation. I can conclude such because we are currently creating technological or synthetic telepathy.

If you are going to argue for any other explanation then you must explain why you have ignored the obvious and most likely

Re: The Life Cybernetic

choice in favor of an alternative that stands a lesser likelihood of being the correct choice.

The Life Cybernetic: Why The Aliens Must Be Cyborgs

<http://tinyurl.com/yzkcgrx>

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 1](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 14:57:14 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 01 Feb 2010 18:21:05 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Sat, 30 Jan 2010 23:03:12 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Fri, 29 Jan 2010 13:36:36 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Thu, 28 Jan 2010 12:46:51 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>>Date: Wed, 27 Jan 2010 15:02:59 -0500  
>>>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>>>Your counter-arguments have been addressed by the philosophy of  
>>>>A.I. In order to argue against such, you must prove there is  
>>>>something special, something unique about humans that would  
>>>>prevent the emulation or reproduction of intelligence in a  
>>>>machine.

>>>>To date, over the past 60 years or so, none of brightest minds  
>>>>who have attempted to tackle just such has succeeded. They have  
>>>>all failed. The philosophy of A.I. is quite strong, as it has  
>>>>continued to remain standing over the years.

>>>John Searle, philosopher at U Cal, Berkeley, presented the  
>>>Chinese Room argument against the possibility of creating a  
>>>duplicate of human intelligence in a computer.  
>>><http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/chinese-room/#6>  
>>>[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John\\_Searle](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Searle)

>>>"The heart of the argument is an imagined human simulation of a  
>>>computer, similar to Turing's Paper Machine. The human in the  
>>>Chinese Room follows English instructions for manipulating  
>>>Chinese symbols, where a computer 'follows' a program written in  
>>>a computing language. The human produces the appearance of  
>>>understanding Chinese by following the symbol manipulating  
>>>instructions, but does not thereby come to understand Chinese.  
>>>Since a computer just does what the human does =C3=A2=E2=82=AC=E2=80=9D=  
>>>manipulate  
>>>symbols on the basis of their syntax alone =C3=A2=E2=82=AC=E2=80=9D no=  
>>>computer, merely  
>>>by following a program, comes to genuinely understand Chinese."  
>>>Searle's argument shows that there is, in fact, something  
>>>special about human intelligence. It is more than following a  
>>>set of rules based on syntax. No one, to my knowledge, has  
>>>satisfactorily countered Searle's argument.  
>>>So the issue of what constitutes human intelligence has been  
>>>"addressed by the philosophy of AI", but it has not been  
>>>resolved.

>>I am very well away of Searle's 'Chinese Room'. However, I think  
>>that you may not be aware of just what Searle was trying to

>>communicate.

>>Searle is not saying that computers can't be intelligent, he is  
>>saying they can't think and have minds like humans.

>>Here's the clincher:

>>Searle is not saying that machines can't act like they possess a  
>>mind. Searle is not saying that machine intelligence can't  
>>surpass human intelligence. Searle is not saying that machines  
>>cannot ultimately pose a threat to humanity and rebel against us  
>>and take over society.

>>Instead, all Searle is saying that machines can't think and  
>>can't possess a mind as we know it. I know it is hard for many  
>>to grasp this idea, but it all depends on function.

>>Now, if you are operating under the false assumption that the  
>>Chinese Room argument must somehow be disproven before we build  
>>these machines, you are deeply mistaken.

>Searle argues that an AI can, in principle, be made to simulate  
>human intelligence. It just won't be able to understand the  
>information it is processing. So I agree that his argument can't  
>be used to say that human AI can't be simulated.

>But you go much further than this. You predict that AI will far  
>outstrip human intelligence. Explain how this is possible when  
>the AI begins as a mindless simulation of human intelligence.  
>Why would a simulation be any more capable than humans of  
>eventually achieving super-intelligence?

>William

Well, first of all I believe the Searle's argument ultimately  
points to either both human and machine being able to possess  
minds or neither human nor machine being able to possess minds.  
However, that's a side argument I have no need getting into here  
in any great depth.

I have posted this before, and again, I don't understand on the  
insistence that I continually repeat myself here. I can  
understand people being ignorant on this subject. As Will Rogers  
put it, "Everybody is ignorant, only on differing subjects".  
However, there comes a point where people must attempt to  
educate themselves if they recognize there is a deficiency in  
their level of knowledge on a subject.

If I am forced to repeat myself again in this thread then please  
pay close attention.

Computers are not bound by the restrictions of intelligence  
placed on humans by natural selection. Those restrictions  
include the skull and the female pelvis.

Human intelligence has either not grown since our species  
evolved or grows at such a slow rate that it cannot be detected.  
The same does not apply to computers. Please watch the following  
clip. Pay attention to what Prof. Hanz Moravec of Carnegie  
Mellon University is saying. His explanation is such that one  
should be able to comprehend how computer intelligence will  
eventually supercede human intelligence.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3DfehUKMTepd8>

I would also like to briefly touch on the fact that the only way  
we stand a chance of dominating such advanced computers, or even  
competing with them, would be to drastically change ourselves,  
forever altering our concept of what it is to be human.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 1](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: **Bruce Maccabee** <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 16:05:55 -0500 (EST)  
Archived: Mon, 01 Feb 2010 18:23:47 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 01:33:19 -0400  
>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 21 Jan 2010 19:07:51 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>>From National Geographic

>>><http://tinyurl.com/oo2cg>

>>>Ball Lightning: A Shocking Scientific Mystery

>>>I could not help but note the similarities in this article.  
>>>Substituite UFO for some of the comments.

>>Phil Plait is asking (substitute as necessary): why don't  
>>meteorologists see ball lightning?

>Sorry for the response delay, Mike. But it is a fair question.

>Why don't they?

>I'm interested in hearing about very large ball lighting events.

The late Phil Klass endorsed one "UFO sighting, the sighting featured on the cover of his first book. I refer to the sighting by RJ Childerhose while flying in a jet over Canada in 1956. Klass argued that it was a gigantic plasma or ball lightning. You can read all about it at:

<http://www.brumac.8k.com/RJC/RJC.html>

I wonder if Plait knows of this case - no meteorologist was involved.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 1](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 14:36:49 -0700  
Archived: Mon, 01 Feb 2010 18:26:36 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 01:33:19 -0400  
>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 21 Jan 2010 19:07:51 -0700  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

<snip>

>>Phil Plait is asking (substitute as necessary): why don't  
>>meteorologists see ball lightning?

>Sorry for the response delay, Mike. But it is a fair question.  
>Why don't they?

>I'm interested in hearing about very large ball lighting events.

Hi Don,

I should first head off trouble by saying that Phil Plait is not literally asking this question (as far as I know). I was making an analogy to his recent actual question, "why don't astronomers see UFOs?"

I agree that both questions are reasonable on their face. The UFO/astronomers question has been discussed here and elsewhere, and most of the points raised apply to both questions.

Firstly, at least some astronomers have seen UFOs. Secondly, depending on the rarity and/or locus of visibility of the phenomenon, we should not necessarily be surprised if they don't see them. Thirdly, it's not clear how much time most astronomers actually spend looking at the sky anyway. Etc.

Given that astronomers, as well as meteorologists and pilots, plausibly do spend more time looking at the sky than the general population, one might suppose that they would be noticeably over-represented in the population of those who actually have seen such phenomena. I honestly don't know if such is the case or not, but if it is not, does it raise a red flag?

It may not be trivial to answer this. Certainly in the limit of an infinite number of sightings, we should expect such a trend (neglecting what I would consider second-order effects, such as the possibility that astronomers, meteorologists, etc., are inherently less likely to report such sightings).

On the other hand, if the phenomenon is exceedingly rare, and any given incident is only visible from a small fraction of the earth's surface, then no such trend may emerge. For example, if I spend \$100/week on Powerball tickets and my neighbor spends only \$10, I really have no cause to suspect fraud if he wins and I don't.

So, as to the original question, if indeed meteorologists don't

see ball lightning in proportion to their numbers, I would say, I don't know why, but also that I don't necessarily dispute the reality of the phenomenon on that basis.

An irrelevant anecdote: I have never seen ball lightning per se, but there was an instance, some 10 years past, in which I observed 'bead' lightning. After a fairly typical initial lightning discharge, the immediately succeeding discharges in the same channel broke up into distinctly separated segments, ultimately resulting in a branched string of bright rounded 'beads'. Never saw the like, before or since.

Regards-

Mike

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 2](#)

## News Links 02-02-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 02 Feb 2010 23:59:05 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 02 Feb 2010 23:59:05 -0500  
Subject: News Links 02-02-10

News Links 02-02-10

Coventry Airport Pilot In UFO Drama  
Coventry Telegraph  
<http://tinyurl.com/yzcllbt>

Pop Goes UFO Claims In Lake Saint Louis  
<http://tinyurl.com/yb9447d>

UFO Video - Is This The Real Thing?  
NewsBlaze  
<http://tinyurl.com/yfpg9fm>

UFO Spotted  
The Sun  
<http://tinyurl.com/ybg2zu3>

Hubble Telescope Discovers UFO  
IGN  
<http://gear.ign.com/articles/106/1066043p1.html>

The Universal Seduction  
<http://tinyurl.com/yk2kld4>

UFO Legislation, 'Inner Aliens' And True Belief  
<http://tinyurl.com/ye8aobd>

Finnish UFO Researcher Says NL 'Rockets' An Illusion  
CTV.ca  
<http://tinyurl.com/yg4s5wr>

UFO Researcher To Speak In Orono  
The Maine Campus  
<http://tinyurl.com/yfyh9f>

Fox News Outs The Sun's Irish UFO Deception  
PeopleMagazineDaily.com  
<http://peoplemagazinedaily.com/?p=4514>

Is This A UFO Or A World Record?  
AOL News  
<http://tinyurl.com/yepycq2>

Bizarre Asteroid Collision Is One For The X-Files  
Discovery News  
<http://tinyurl.com/yet1583>

The Abbreviation UFO Is Often Misinterpreted  
[http://www.xomba.com/abbreviation\\_ufo\\_often\\_misinterpreted](http://www.xomba.com/abbreviation_ufo_often_misinterpreted)

From Scott Corrales:

An Asymmetrical Relationship: The Chilean Military and Ufology  
<http://inexplicita.blogspot.com/>



From Frank Warren's UFO Chronicles:

The Stan Romanek Saga

<http://www.theufochronicles.com/2010/02/stan-romanek-saga.html>

Nick Pope Talks About UFO Sighting Near Dublin - Video

<http://tinyurl.com/ygj6l7b>

Vintage Radio: George Van Tassel, Dan Fry, M.K. Jessup and Hans Stephan Santeson On The Long John Nebel Show

<http://tinyurl.com/yd7be5u>

Full Disclosure: I Quit

<http://www.theufochronicles.com/2010/01/full-disclosure-i-quit.html>

The Search for Aliens Should Start On Earth Not Outer Space, Says Scientist

<http://tinyurl.com/yjjzhr>

From 'The Norm':

MJ-12 Is Bogus?

<http://kevinrandle.blogspot.com/2010/01/mj-12-is-bogus.html>

'Confirmed' UFO Sighting In Mexico - Video

<http://tinyurl.com/ydobbd8>

The Waiting Is The Hardest Part  
by Billy Cox

<http://tinyurl.com/yh5typ3>

1948: Sailing Towards An Estimate

<http://tinyurl.com/ymlqzbe>

1948: Anything Happen? - SIGN, Mantell, And The Estimate

<http://tinyurl.com/yhv7ttv>

UFOs And History: A Loser's Game?

<http://tinyurl.com/yh9lpkk>

UFOs And History: Two

<http://tinyurl.com/yj57a43>

WTF Newfoundland UFOs?

<http://pacificfreepress.com/news/1/5501-wtf-newfoundland-ufos.html>

Newfoundland's UFO Mystery Not Any Closer To Being Solved

<http://www.digitaljournal.com/article/286667>

The Explanatory Power Of The Bigfoot/UFO Hypothesis

<http://tinyurl.com/y9qflzn>

White House Confirms Course Change for NASA

<http://tinyurl.com/yjwoxne>

The Mysterious Voynich Manuscript

<http://apod.nasa.gov/apod/ap100131.html>

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Voynich\\_manuscript](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Voynich_manuscript)

Return Of The UFO That Mined Uranium In Argentina During The 1970s?

<http://tinyurl.com/y9z99t6>

Large Hadron Collider To Jump To Maximum Energy

<http://tinyurl.com/ykanxed>

Hunting Marfa Lights

by James Bunnell - A Review

<http://pelicanist.blogspot.com/2010/02/marfa-lights.html>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 3](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: **Sergey Shpakovsky** <[sergejsh.nul](mailto:sergejsh.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 2 Feb 2010 15:37:53 -0500  
Archived: Wed, 03 Feb 2010 10:21:11 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 20 Jan 2010 12:13:18 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: Sergey Shpakovsky <[sergejsh.nul](mailto:sergejsh.nul)>  
>>To: UFO Updates List  
>>Date: Tue, 19 Jan 2010 13:58:23 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

<snip>

>>To the "best" of scientific knowledge there is no evidence of  
>>intelligently controlled extraterrestrial space craft flying in  
>>Earth's atmosphere. So, we just take it as a fact?

>We both know that mainstream science has not properly  
>addressed the UFO phenomenon. However, does this mean  
>we are to discard science completely?

Nope. I just want to say that science does not necessarily  
saying everything what is known about reality of human  
telepathy. So at least you/we should leave a little room for the  
possibility of natural human telepathy and do not deny this  
completely.

>>The same about telepathy. Different cases and experiments can be  
>>found, which shows that at least in simplest level telepathy  
>>exists. Rupert Sheldrake experiments are probably good example.  
>>You can find his lecture about that on Youtube:

>>The Extended Mind: Recent Experimental Evidence:  
>><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JnA8GUtXpXY>

>>Or shorter lecture:

>>Rupert Sheldrake - The Extended Mind - Telepathy (3 parts):  
>><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Yo0gyXZQv0o>

>Voodoo Science

>[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Voodoo\\_science](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Voodoo_science)

Did you watch first lecture? And do you have an explanation  
for the results of his experiments? Which can be replicated.

>>There is also possibility, that ETs telepathy in some cases was  
>>enhanced by technology to contact with earthlings.

>Same problem exists, except you are trying to disguise it with  
>technology. We know nothing of how telepathy could naturally  
>evolve or how it would operate.

I just saying that ETs telepathy in some cases can be enhanced  
by technology to contact with some not so developed earthlings.

Also experiments with animals and humans (see above) proves that  
there are natural telepathic abilities. If we already have that,  
why ETs can't have that? In fact many cases of contacts with

them shows that they have that ability too and it is highly developed. And you don't necessarily need to have A.I. for that.

Also, I am not sure "we know nothing". Above I have explained why, when I said that science does not necessarily saying everything what is known about telepathy (or similar abilities). So you/we can't say at least that "We know nothing".

>>>Greys, Nordics, Hybrids,  
>>>Reptilians, Insectoids, Hairy Dwarfs, Tall Whites, Robots  
>>>etc....all are said to use telepathy as a means of  
>>>communication. This is a rather large hint that something  
>>>isn't right here. Clearly the likelihood of nearly every  
>>>supposed species visiting the earth using naturally evolved  
>>>telepathy is rather slim and more frankly, nearly impossible.

>>But what if this is just natural result of evolution and  
>>development?

>That's not how evolution works.

Why evolution can't produce on different planets different intelligent forms such as Greys, Insectoids, humans?

>There is no ultimate plan in evolution.

I think we can't claim that we know this for sure.

>Otherwise, you would be arguing for biologically  
>engineered telepathic abilities. Unfortunately such a theory  
>still suffers from the same problem as naturally evolved  
>telepathy.

I don't see any problems with naturally evolved telepathy. To the contrary, I see this as necessary ability for survival. There is evidence that telepathy exists in animal world (I referring to lectures mentioned above). And we also part of animal world.

But for sure, this probably can be biologically engineered too. Why not?

>Ultimately, you are avoiding the simpler explanation.

Exactly. What can be simpler than naturally evolved telepathy? You don't need to invent any computer super brain for that. Just take what already exist and develop it.

>>Imagine world of toddlers. Suddenly grownups came. But they all  
>>walk on two feet and not crawl as toddlers. Is it evidence of  
>>use of advanced technology?

>You completely lost me on that last one.

You think we already grownups?

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 3](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <[ballesterolmos.nul](mailto:ballesterolmos.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 14:36:48 +0000 (GMT)  
Archived: Wed, 03 Feb 2010 10:51:19 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 01:33:19 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>>From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Thu, 21 Jan 2010 19:07:51 -0700  
>>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>>>From National Geographic

>>>><http://tinyurl.com/oo2cg>

>>>>Ball Lightning: A Shocking Scientific Mystery

>>>>I could not help but note the similarities in this article.  
>>>>Substituute UFO for some of the comments.

>>>>Phil Plait is asking (substitute as necessary): why don't  
>>>>meteorologists see ball lightning?

>>Sorry for the response delay, Mike. But it is a fair question.

>>Why don't they?

>>I'm interested in hearing about very large ball lighting events.

>The late Phil Klass endorsed one "UFO sighting, the sighting  
>featured on the cover of his first book. I refer to the sighting  
>by RJ Childerhose while flying in a jet over Canada in 1956.

>Klass argued that it was a gigantic plasma or ball lightning.

>You can read all about it at:

><http://www.brumac.8k.com/RJC/RJC.html>

>I wonder if Plait knows of this case - no meteorologist was  
>involved.

List: Regarding the pilot Childerhose 1956 event, there are  
alternative views in:

Stuart Campbell, The British Journal of Photography, September  
29, 1988, p 72.

Steuart Campbell, in Proceedings, 4th TORRO Conference on Ball  
Lightning, Mark Stenhoff (ed), TORRO, 1992, p 21.

Regards,

Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 3](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 16:44:19 -0000  
Archived: Wed, 03 Feb 2010 12:14:07 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <[ballesterolmos.nul](mailto:ballesterolmos.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 14:36:48 +0000 (GMT)  
>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

<snip>

>>The late Phil Klass endorsed one "UFO sighting, the sighting  
>>featured on the cover of his first book. I refer to the  
>>sighting  
>>by RJ Childerhose while flying in a jet over Canada in 1956.

>>Klass argued that it was a gigantic plasma or ball lightning.

>>You can read all about it at:

>><http://www.brumac.8k.com/RJC/RJC.html>

>>I wonder if Plait knows of this case - no meteorologist was  
>>involved.

>List: Regarding the pilot Childerhose 1956 event, there are  
>alternative views in:

>Stuart Campbell, The British Journal of Photography, September  
>29, 1988, p 72.

>Steuart Campbell, in Proceedings, 4th TORRO Conference on Ball  
>Lightning, Mark Stenhoff (ed), TORRO, 1992, p 21.

Don't tell me... mirage? That's one I would love to see! Or did  
Campbell drift off-message on this occasion?

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)



## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 3](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 16:15:33 -0400  
Archived: Wed, 03 Feb 2010 18:28:11 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 16:44:19 -0000  
>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <[ballesterolmos.nul](mailto:ballesterolmos.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 14:36:48 +0000 (GMT)  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

><snip>

>>>The late Phil Klass endorsed one "UFO sighting, the sighting  
>>>featured on the cover of his first book. I refer to the sighting  
>>>by RJ Childerhose while flying in a jet over Canada in 1956.

>>>Klass argued that it was a gigantic plasma or ball lightning.

>>>You can read all about it at:

>>><http://www.brumac.8k.com/RJC/RJC.html>

>>>I wonder if Plait knows of this case - no meteorologist was  
>>>involved.

>>List: Regarding the pilot Childerhose 1956 event, there are  
>>alternative views in:

>>Stuart Campbell, The British Journal of Photography, September  
>>29, 1988, p 72.

>>Steuart Campbell, in Proceedings, 4th TORRO Conference on Ball  
>>Lightning, Mark Stenhoff (ed), TORRO, 1992, p 21.

>Don't tell me... mirage? That's one I would love to see! Or did  
>Campbell drift off-message on this occasion?

>Martin Shough

And, impossible considering the 'object' was below the horizon  
and between the pilot and the ground from Childerhose's  
viewpoint.

BTW - sorry, Bruce, John and Martin. I've been sidetracked the  
last few days by other events. Thanks for the references re both  
of your articles.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 3](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 03 Feb 2010 15:19:55 -0500  
Archived: Wed, 03 Feb 2010 18:31:11 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Sergey Shpakovsky <[sergejsh.nul](mailto:sergejsh.nul)>  
>To: UFO Updates List <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 2 Feb 2010 15:37:53 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 20 Jan 2010 12:13:18 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>>From: Sergey Shpakovsky <[sergejsh.nul](mailto:sergejsh.nul)>  
>>>To: UFO Updates List  
>>>Date: Tue, 19 Jan 2010 13:58:23 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

<snip>

>>>To the "best" of scientific knowledge there is no evidence of  
>>>intelligently controlled extraterrestrial space craft flying in  
>>>Earth's atmosphere. So, we just take it as a fact?  
>>We both know that mainstream science has not properly  
>>addressed the UFO phenomenon. However, does this mean  
>>we are to discard science completely?

>Nope. I just want to say that science does not necessarily  
>saying everything what is known about reality of human  
>telepathy. So at least you/we should leave a little room for the  
>possibility of natural human telepathy and do not deny this  
>completely.

Currently, the notion of human telepathy is unproven. People  
believe in it's existence, but it still remains unproven.

>>>The same about telepathy. Different cases and experiments can be  
>>>found, which shows that at least in simplest level telepathy  
>>>exists. Rupert Sheldrake experiments are probably good example.  
>>>You can find his lecture about that on Youtube:  
>>>The Extended Mind: Recent Experimental Evidence:

>>><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JnA8GutXpXY>

>>>Or shorter lecture:

>>>Rupert Sheldrake - The Extended Mind - Telepathy (3 parts):

>>><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Yo0gyXZQv0o>

>>Voodoo Science  
>>[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Voodoo\\_science](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Voodoo_science)

>Did you watch first lecture? And do you have an explanation  
>for the results of his experiments? Which can be replicated.

No, I didn't watch the lecture. I'm not interested in  
Sheldrake's take on telepathy, let alone 'morphic fields'. Are  
you familiar with the criticism against Sheldrake? Sheldrake has  
been accused of delving into pseudoscience and has been called  
out as being ignorant on neuro-biology.

Also, If you are under the impression that I must disprove Sheldrake's claims, you would be mistaken.

>>>There is also possibility, that ETs telepathy in some cases was  
>>>enhanced by technology to contact with earthlings.  
>>Same problem exists, except you are trying to disguise it with  
>>technology. We know nothing of how telepathy could naturally  
>>evolve or how it would operate.

>I just saying that ETs telepathy in some cases can be enhanced  
>by technology to contact with some not so developed earthlings.

Why would aliens need to use technology to enhance their own  
supposed naturally evolved abilities when they can use  
technology to create synthetic telepathy?

>Also experiments with animals and humans (see above) proves that  
>there are natural telepathic abilities. If we already have that,  
>why ETs can't have that? In fact many cases of contacts with  
>them shows that they have that ability too and it is highly  
>developed. And you don't necessarily need to have A.I. for that.

The case for human, let alone animal telepathy, has not been  
proven.

>Also, I am not sure "we know nothing". Above I have explained  
>why, when I said that science does not necessarily saying  
>everything what is known about telepathy (or similar abilities).  
>So you/we can't say at least that "We know nothing".

I can assuredly say we know nothing of how natural telepathy  
could be evolved nor how it would operate. I can say this  
because telepathy has not been proven to exist naturally.

>>>>Greys, Nordics, Hybrids,  
>>>>Reptilians, Insectoids, Hairy Dwarfs, Tall Whites, Robots  
>>>>etc....all are said to use telepathy as a means of  
>>>>communication. This is a a rather large hint that something  
>>>>isn't right here. Clearly the likelihood of nearly every  
>>>>supposed species visiting the earth using naturally evolved  
>>>>telepathy is rather slim and more frankly, nearly impossible.

>>>But what if this is just natural result of evolution and  
>>>development?

>>That's not how evolution works.

>Why evolution can't produce on different planets different  
>intelligent forms such as Greys, Insectoids, humans?

No, you misunderstood. Evolution can produce intelligence on  
other planets. However, the belief that every single alien  
species visiting the planet communicates with naturally evolved  
telepathy is absurd.

>>There is no ultimate plan in evolution.

>I think we can't claim that we know this for sure.

Yes we can, or at least those who actually understand evolution.

Much of the public is scientifically ignorant. They don't  
understand science. They don't understand how it works. Many  
people have faulty concepts of scientific theories. Evolution is  
one of those theories that a good majority of the public has a  
faulty concept of.

I would recommend learning more about this subject so as to  
understand why it's impossible for every species of so-called  
alien visiting the planet to communicate with 'natural'  
telepathy.

>>Otherwise, you would be arguing for biologically  
>>engineered telepathic abilities. Unfortunately such a theory  
>>still suffers from the same problem as naturally evolved  
>>telepathy.

>I don't see any problems with naturally evolved telepathy. To  
>the contrary, I see this as necessary ability for survival.  
>There is evidence that telepathy exists in animal world (I  
>referring to lectures mentioned above). And we also part of

>animal world.

Yes, but you believe so because of your faulty understanding of evolution.

No, there is not any evidence for the existence of telepathy. I've already explained that when telepathy and other mental abilities are tested, they routinely show just the barest or slightest increase above the statistical average. Because of such, human error and bias cannot be ruled out.

>But for sure, this probably can be biologically engineered too.  
>Why not?

If we don't know how natural telepathy could naturally evolve, how it could operate, then how could we begin to understand how to biologically engineer it?

You are ignoring the obvious. We can do it with technology so there's no need to biologically engineer it.

>>Ultimately, you are avoiding the simpler explanation.

>Exactly. What can be simpler than naturally evolved telepathy?  
>You don't need to invent any computer super brain for that. Just  
>take what already exist and develop it.

The simplest explanation, and the explanation that stands the greater chance of being the correct answer, is technological or synthetic telepathy.

If you want to argue against such, then you must somehow address why an unlikely choice of naturally evolved telepathy is the better choice of an explanation. So far you have failed to do so.

>>>Imagine world of toddlers. Suddenly grownups came. But they all  
>>>walk on two feet and not crawl as toddlers. Is it evidence of  
>>>use of advanced technology?

>>You completely lost me on that last one.

>You think we already grownups?

I can't speak for you, but I'm an adult.

If you are suggesting that our species will change in the future, possibly to the point where we will not be human anymore, with regards to today's concept of what being human is, then yes, you are correct. However, if you feel this change will occur through mystical, magical powers, then you are incorrect. We will be changed via our technology.

This leads me to the ultimate observation:

Telepathy is magic. Most intelligent people know that magic can't be the answer, therefore people try to come up with an explanation. Naturally evolved or biologically engineered abilities is logical only until faced with technological or synthetic telepathy. At that point, a technological explanation becomes the better and more likelier choice.

At this point, it is just plain silly to argue for natural or biologically engineered telepathy. If aliens are real, if the abductees are to be believed, then we are dealing with cyborgs, period.

Repeat, if aliens are real, if the abductees are to be believed, then we are dealing with cyborgs.

I find this amazing because it just might hint at an actual reality behind the phenomenon, mainly in the fact that nobody wants the aliens to be cyborgs!

Now, with regards to A.I., that is just my opinion, my gut instinct. I can't argue this opinion because I have no logical inferences or observations to back it up. My feeling is that the ultimate intelligence, the intelligence ultimately responsible for the creation of the beings we refer to as 'aliens', as well as their craft and technology, is an ultra-intelligent machine.

If you want to know how I believe such an ultra-intelligent machines could build the Greys, these cyborgs, then take a look at an old post I made:

Recipe For Greys By A.I.

<http://tinyurl.com/2c6n5m>

Once again, if you don't agree with me concerning A.I., then so be it. I have nothing to back up my beliefs regarding such. However, I have backed up my hypothesis on the aliens being cyborgs. If you are going to reject such then you will need to explain why the lesser likely choices of natural and biologically engineered telepathy are somehow more preferred over technological or synthetic telepathy.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 4](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 03 Feb 2010 15:38:45 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 04 Feb 2010 06:56:41 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 14:57:14 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Sat, 30 Jan 2010 23:03:12 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>But you go much further than this. You predict that AI will far  
>>outstrip human intelligence. Explain how this is possible when  
>>the AI begins as a mindless simulation of human intelligence.  
>>Why would a simulation be any more capable than humans of  
>>eventually achieving super-intelligence?

>Well, first of all I believe the Searle's argument ultimately  
>points to either both human and machine being able to possess  
>minds or neither human nor machine being able to possess minds.  
>However, that's a side argument I have no need getting into here  
>in any great depth.

>I have posted this before, and again, I don't understand on the  
>insistence that I continually repeat myself here. I can  
>understand people being ignorant on this subject. As Will Rogers  
>put it, "Everybody is ignorant, only on differing subjects".  
>However, there comes a point where people must attempt to  
>educate themselves if they recognize there is a deficiency in  
>their level of knowledge on a subject.

>If I am forced to repeat myself again in this thread then please  
>pay close attention.

>Computers are not bound by the restrictions of intelligence  
>placed on humans by natural selection. Those restrictions  
>include the skull and the female pelvis.

Who has decided that intelligence, whatever that is, is  
restricted by such physical parameters? Perhaps you refer to the  
observation that the brain/body mass ratio is related to  
intelligence. If that is even true, then it is still just a  
correlation. As we hear often enough, correlation does not prove  
causality.

>Human intelligence has either not grown since our species  
>evolved or grows at such a slow rate that it cannot be detected.  
>The same does not apply to computers.

There has been an exponential growth in what computers can do,  
but that may just be a function of where they started in just  
the recent past. The growth rate of computer abilities may also  
asymptote sooner than you might think.

>Please watch the following clip.  
>Pay attention to what Prof. Hanz Moravec of Carnegie  
>Mellon University is saying. His explanation is such that one  
>should be able to comprehend how computer intelligence will  
>eventually supercede human intelligence.



><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fehUKMTepd8>

>I would also like to briefly touch on the fact that the only way  
>we stand a chance of dominating such advanced computers, or even  
>competing with them, would be to drastically change ourselves,  
>forever altering our concept of what it is to be human.

I listened to the words of Hanz Moravec and came away quite unenlightened. Essentially, he said "Believe me, it's going to happen!".

Dr. Rosen, professor of plastic surgery, later made the point that computers are not that good at creativity, but only because we don't know how to define it. But he doesn't appear to let that spoil his optimism.

So if you are repeating the important points here in favor of the technological singularity, then it seems to be pretty much a matter of faith whether or not it's going to happen.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 4](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 21:08:44 +0000  
Archived: Thu, 04 Feb 2010 06:58:57 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 12:14:07 -0500  
>To: [ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)  
>From: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Subject: UFO UpDate: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 16:44:19 -0000  
>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <[ballesterolmos.nul](mailto:ballesterolmos.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 14:36:48 +0000 (GMT)  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

><snip>

>>Steuart Campbell, in Proceedings, 4th TORRO Conference on Ball  
>>Lightning, Mark Stenhoff (ed), TORRO, 1992, p 21.

>Don't tell me... mirage? That's one I would love to see! Or did  
>Campbell drift off-message on this occasion?

Martin or Vicente-Juan:

I am not sure what either of you are admitting to here. Does that mean neither of you have ever interacted with any phenomena related to the field of ufology? Whether ufos flying high in the sky or the more intimate abduction - actual contact with non-human entities? Please clarify.

As for myself, I am no longer interested in 'experts' who simply collect cases but have no way to judge whether the case is a fake or real. Generally, it is because the researcher claims never to have had a subject interaction with the phenomena; which required them to spend years of objective research attempting to understand the phenomena. Any other approach that doesn't include background research into neurology, psychology, sociology, open literature reading on psy-ops and advances in covert testing of advance air craft, I am not interested in reading or listening to.

You want to discuss your own interaction with the phenomena and your years of searching your own psyche to discover why you are so interested in the subject? Wow, bring it on. I want to hear about it.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 4](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <[ballesterolmos.nul](mailto:ballesterolmos.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 4 Feb 2010 07:55:44 +0000 (GMT)  
Archived: Thu, 04 Feb 2010 08:17:59 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 16:44:19 -0000  
>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <[ballesterolmos.nul](mailto:ballesterolmos.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 14:36:48 +0000 (GMT)  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

><snip>

>>>The late Phil Klass endorsed one "UFO sighting, the sighting  
>>>featured on the cover of his first book. I refer to the sighting  
>>>by RJ Childerhose while flying in a jet over Canada in 1956.

>>>Klass argued that it was a gigantic plasma or ball lightning.

>>>You can read all about it at:

>>><http://www.brumac.8k.com/RJC/RJC.html>

>>>I wonder if Plait knows of this case - no meteorologist was  
>>>involved.

>>List: Regarding the pilot Childerhose 1956 event, there are  
>>alternative views in:

>>Stuart Campbell, The British Journal of Photography, September  
>>29, 1988, p 72.

>>Steuart Campbell, in Proceedings, 4th TORRO Conference on Ball  
>>Lightning, Mark Stenhoff (ed), TORRO, 1992, p 21.

>Don't tell me... mirage? That's one I would love to see! Or did  
>Campbell drift off-message on this occasion?

No, Martin, not Mirage this time. Possible evidence of hoaxing.  
It is a piece of information also to consider for a wider  
perspective, that's it.

Regards,

Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and

UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 4](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 4 Feb 2010 12:37:42 -0000  
Archived: Thu, 04 Feb 2010 08:19:13 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 16:15:33 -0400  
>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 16:44:19 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>Don't tell me... mirage? That's one I would love to see! Or did  
>>Campbell drift off-message on this occasion?

>>Martin Shough

>And, impossible considering the 'object' was below the horizon  
>and between the pilot and the ground from Childerhose's  
>viewpoint.

I was probably wrong to suspect Campbell's usual mirage-fixation  
in this case. I don't have the references cited by V-J but I  
found a source alluding to an assertion by Campbell that the  
Childerhose photo was a hoax. Can anyone amplify this?

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 4](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 4 Feb 2010 12:50:33 -0000  
Archived: Thu, 04 Feb 2010 08:20:33 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: post to ufoupdates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 21:08:44 +0000  
>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 12:14:07 -0500  
>>To: [ufo-updates-list.nul](mailto:ufo-updates-list.nul)  
>>From: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Subject: UFO Update: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 16:44:19 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>>From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <[ballesterolmos.nul](mailto:ballesterolmos.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 14:36:48 +0000 (GMT)  
>>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>><snip>

>>>Steuart Campbell, in Proceedings, 4th TORRO Conference on Ball  
>>>Lightning, Mark Stenhoff (ed), TORRO, 1992, p 21.

>>Don't tell me... mirage? That's one I would love to see! Or did  
>>Campbell drift off-message on this occasion?

>Martin or Vicente-Juan:

>I am not sure what either of you are admitting to here. Does  
>that mean neither of you have ever interacted with any  
>phenomena  
>related to the field of ufology? Whether ufos flying high in  
>the  
>sky or the more intimate abduction - actual contact with non-  
>human entities? Please clarify.

>As for myself, I am no longer interested in 'experts' who simply  
>collect cases but have no way to judge whether the case is a  
>fake or real. Generally, it is because the researcher claims  
>never to have had a subject interaction with the phenomena;  
>which required them to spend years of objective research  
>attempting to understand the phenomena. Any other approach that  
>doesn't include background research into neurology, psychology,  
>sociology, open literature reading on psy-ops and advances in  
>covert testing of advance air craft, I am not interested in  
>reading or listening to.

>You want to discuss your own interaction with the phenomena and  
>your years of searching your own psyche to discover why you are  
>so interested in the subject? Wow, bring it on. I want to hear  
>about it.

Kathy

I've left your message un-snipped in its baffling entirety as I  
have absolutely no idea what it is about.

The discussion concerns the Childerhose photo, for which the said Steuart Campbell has reportedly offered an explanation in V-J's cited source. I was asking what that explanation was - and since Campbell is notorious for outrageous explanations invoking impossible mirage geometries, I was expressing the ironical hope that he wasn't trying that one in this case. As it turns out, Campbell was apparently proposing a hoax.

Whether or not this reply is relevant to your strange complaint I couldn't possibly begin to guess, but I hope it helps.

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 4](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 4 Feb 2010 07:09:02 -0600  
Archived: Thu, 04 Feb 2010 08:22:11 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Wed, 03 Feb 2010 15:38:45 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 14:57:14 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Sat, 30 Jan 2010 23:03:12 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

<snip>

>There has been an exponential growth in what computers can do,  
>but that may just be a function of where they started in just  
>the recent past. The growth rate of computer abilities may also  
>asymptote sooner than you might think.

<snip>

And not just computers. Nano-tech. Bio-tech. Medical science.  
Ozone holes. Resource utilization. Water usage. Populations.  
Manufacturing. Food production... the list would seem  
infinite...

Near 'everything' that can be illustrated is in a quickening  
progression, as I understand it, which can be measured and  
displayed on a piece of graph paper. Lines on those graphs are  
asymptotic, steepening over time in the accelerating manner you  
describe. Moreover, these lines steepen in a way that that can  
only be typified as "alarming." Sooner than we would think,  
indeed.

The light at the end of the described tunnel is of a brilliance  
that is as discursive as it is dismissive of the juvenile  
"certainties" any of us might have down on this end, eh? It's a  
train I'd sooner catch than be run down by.

Sincerely, it's doubtful that we would even be able to recognize  
ourselves if allowed to view them up there. Cave men trying to  
grok astronauts. Sooner than we have the capacity to think.

I'm reminded of the theme song to the TV comedy, The Big Bang.

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>  
U F O M a g a z i n e -- [www.ufomag.com](http://www.ufomag.com)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 4](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Geoff Blackmor" <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 5 Feb 2010 02:35:39 +1300  
Archived: Thu, 04 Feb 2010 09:20:31 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 03 Feb 2010 15:19:55 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>Telepathy is magic.

Magic seems to be favourite word of yours Jason, as you frequently use it to describe any unproven science that you disagree with.

Magic of course is the art of conjuring a trick so as to make something appear contrary to what it really is. Therefore any use of technology to make people believe they are experiencing telepathy, would be magic. Naturally evolved telepathy would not be magic.

I do not wish to enter into the AI or telepathy debate, but I will give you something to think about, with regards to just how amazing the potential of the human mind really is, and how most of us do not use it to its full abilities.

I am one of those lucky people who have developed the ability to experience high quality lucid dreams. They are simply amazing, with the dreamscape being absolutely indistinguishable from reality.

In that place I experience full sensory perception, sight, sound, touch, smell and taste. I feel the sun on my face and the wind in my hair. I can look out over the ocean with full 3D depth perception, smelling the sea air, hearing the seagulls overhead, seeing tiny people on the beach far below going about their day, some in the water, some sunbathing, others having a picnic. Hundreds of different things happening all at once, and thousands of sunglints and ripples moving about on the ocean surface. The richness and detail is every bit as full as the real thing. I have walked through cities full of people going about their business, browsed items in shop windows, and if I were able to photograph just one moment in time of what I see there and bring it back for you to look at, you would see thousands of detailed items just as you would if you took a photo in Times Square on New Year's Eve.

During those experiences, I am fully aware of who I am, and that the place is actually a dream. I am, in fact, fully conscious. Only my body is asleep.

Think about that for a moment. My conscious mind during those experiences is the same conscious mind typing this email. Yet right now I cannot enter that place of simulated reality. My mind has the ability to recreate reality with 100% realism, but I cannot access that ability at will. Most people will live out their entire life without ever activating that ability and being able to enjoy and explore the experience.

My point of course is that human beings have a very real mental ability that exceeds the wildest desires of those who wish the Star Trek Holodeck was real. But it lies dormant. We have it, but we cannot use it.

So, what other mental abilities do we have that lie dormant?

Cheers,

Geoff B.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpDatesList.Com](http://UFOUpDatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 4](#)

## Evidence "Steadily Mounting" For Cosmic Life

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 4 Feb 2010 00:46:35 EST  
Archived: Thu, 04 Feb 2010 09:45:42 -0500  
Subject: Evidence "Steadily Mounting" For Cosmic Life

What's interesting in this article is that the astrobiologist states organic material that arrived on Earth billions of years ago:

<http://www.physorg.com/news184442747.html>

Could be the universe is full of the leftover skin cells, the cosmic residue.

Icky but another notch in our understanding of how life may operate.

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.com  
ufofight.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 4](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 04 Feb 2010 11:20:12 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 04 Feb 2010 12:55:54 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 4 Feb 2010 07:09:02 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 03 Feb 2010 15:38:45 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 14:57:14 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>Date: Sat, 30 Jan 2010 23:03:12 -0500  
>>>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

<snip>

>>There has been an exponential growth in what computers can do,  
>>but that may just be a function of where they started in just  
>>the recent past. The growth rate of computer abilities may also  
>>asymptote sooner than you might think.

<snip>

>And not just computers. Nano-tech. Bio-tech. Medical science.  
>Ozone holes. Resource utilization. Water usage. Populations.  
>Manufacturing. Food production... the list would seem  
>infinite...

>Near 'everything' that can be illustrated is in a quickening  
>progression, as I understand it, which can be measured and  
>displayed on a piece of graph paper. Lines on those graphs are  
>asymptotic, steepening over time in the accelerating manner you  
>describe. Moreover, these lines steepen in a way that that can  
>only be typified as "alarming." Sooner than we would think,  
>indeed.

>The light at the end of the described tunnel is of a brilliance  
>that is as discursive as it is dismissive of the juvenile  
>"certainties" any of us might have down on this end, eh? It's a  
>train I'd sooner catch than be run down by.

>Sincerely, it's doubtful that we would even be able to recognize  
>ourselves if allowed to view them up there. Cave men trying to  
>grok astronauts. Sooner than we have the capacity to think.  
>I'm reminded of the theme song to the TV comedy, The Big Bang.

Mr. Lehmborg, you seem to be the only other person active in  
this thread who understands the technological singularity. Too  
bad that Mr. Treurniet fails to grasp it.

The technological singularity is a singularity from our point of  
view looking forward.

Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

The whole reason why I recommended UFO researchers familiarize themselves with this topic is because if we are being visited then it will be by a civilization that has survived such a technological change.

We can look to our future in order to get a proper understanding of just what forms any visitors would take.

The old paradigm of the visitors being 'just like us' but with more technology is not accurate and must be discarded.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 4](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 4 Feb 2010 12:39:09 -0400  
Archived: Thu, 04 Feb 2010 12:57:30 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 4 Feb 2010 12:37:42 -0000  
>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 16:15:33 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 16:44:19 -0000  
>>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>>Don't tell me... mirage? That's one I would love to see! Or did  
>>>Campbell drift off-message on this occasion?

>>And, impossible considering the 'object' was below the horizon  
>>and between the pilot and the ground from Childerhose's  
>>viewpoint.

>I was probably wrong to suspect Campbell's usual mirage-fixation  
>in this case. I don't have the references cited by V-J but I  
>found a source alluding to an assertion by Campbell that the  
>Childerhose photo was a hoax. Can anyone amplify this?

Hi Martin,

One would have to figure out how Childerhose managed to hoax a  
light source belting out 30,000 megawatts [Bruce Maccabee's  
calculations] of power first.

The hoax explanation is usually the last desperate attempt of  
the debunker-'when all else fails'. Can you send me that source  
you found, Martin?

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]



[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 4](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 04 Feb 2010 11:40:37 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 04 Feb 2010 12:58:50 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Geoff Blackmor" <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 5 Feb 2010 02:35:39 +1300  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 03 Feb 2010 15:19:55 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>Telepathy is magic.

>Magic seems to be favourite word of yours Jason, as you  
>frequently use it to describe any unproven science that you  
>disagree with.

<snip>

>My point of course is that human beings have a very real mental  
>ability that exceeds the wildest desires of those who wish the  
>Star Trek Holodeck was real. But it lies dormant. We have it,  
>but we cannot use it.

>So, what other mental abilities do we have that lie dormant?

>Cheers,

>Geoff B.

I'm not touching this with a 10-ft pole. Too many people on this  
List have already tried to bamboozle me, baiting me to debate  
A.I. so as to shift attention away from the fact that if  
abductees are to be believed then we are dealing with cyborgs.  
And this is so whether or not people want to believe it,  
including the abductees!

Now you are bringing up lucid dreaming?

So I will not be addressing you any further on such topic.

My only purpose with posting the cyborg stuff is to point people  
in the right direction. Silly me for thinking people actually  
cared or are even capable of logical reasoning. I guess I  
underestimated the power of fantasy.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and

Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 4](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 04 Feb 2010 12:44:54 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 04 Feb 2010 13:00:13 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Wed, 03 Feb 2010 15:38:45 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 14:57:14 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Sat, 30 Jan 2010 23:03:12 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>>But you go much further than this. You predict that AI will far  
>>>outstrip human intelligence. Explain how this is possible when  
>>>the AI begins as a mindless simulation of human intelligence.  
>>>Why would a simulation be any more capable than humans of  
>>>eventually achieving super-intelligence?

>>Well, first of all I believe the Searle's argument ultimately  
>>points to either both human and machine being able to possess  
>>minds or neither human nor machine being able to possess minds.  
>>However, that's a side argument I have no need getting into here  
>>in any great depth.

>>I have posted this before, and again, I don't understand on the  
>>insistence that I continually repeat myself here. I can  
>>understand people being ignorant on this subject. As Will Rogers  
>>put it, "Everybody is ignorant, only on differing subjects".  
>>However, there comes a point where people must attempt to  
>>educate themselves if they recognize there is a deficiency in  
>>their level of knowledge on a subject.

>>If I am forced to repeat myself again in this thread then please  
>>pay close attention.

>>Computers are not bound by the restrictions of intelligence  
>>placed on humans by natural selection. Those restrictions  
>>include the skull and the female pelvis.

>Who has decided that intelligence, whatever that is, is  
>restricted by such physical parameters? Perhaps you refer to the  
>observation that the brain/body mass ratio is related to  
>intelligence. If that is even true, then it is still just a  
>correlation. As we hear often enough, correlation does not prove  
>causality.

>>Human intelligence has either not grown since our species  
>>evolved or grows at such a slow rate that it cannot be detected.  
>>The same does not apply to computers.

>There has been an exponential growth in what computers can do,  
>but that may just be a function of where they started in just  
>the recent past. The growth rate of computer abilities may also  
>asymptote sooner than you might think.

Yes, debunkers insist that 'accelerated return' of computers

will eventually come to an end. Take for instance Moore's Law. Many debunkers insist that it will fail sometime in the next 15 years. However, many of these debunkers fail to realize that Moore's Law is not the first paradigm of exponential growth in computing, it is the fifth. When a paradigm falls away, another takes its place. There is ample reason to believe that the exponential growth in computing will continue and not cease as debunkers decree.

>>Please watch the following clip.  
>>Pay attention to what Prof. Hanz Moravec of Carnegie Mellon University is saying. His explanation is such that one >>should be able to comprehend how computer intelligence will >>eventually supercede human intelligence.

>><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fehUKMTepd8>

>>I would also like to briefly touch on the fact that the only way >>we stand a chance of dominating such advanced computers, or even >>competing with them, would be to drastically change ourselves, >>forever altering our concept of what it is to be human.

>I listened to the words of Hanz Moravec and came away quite >unenlightened. Essentially, he said "Believe me, it's going to >happen!".

What Prof. Moravec stated is logical and is no way based in faith. In my opinion, only someone ignorant of humanity's dependence on machines and computers could even think such a thing. It is logical to suggest that when machine intelligence surpasses the best human engineer then machines/computers will replace such human engineers. Likewise, taking your stance would seem to imply an ignorance of the many fields that have been rendered obsolete by the introductions of machines capable of performing tasks that previously only humans could do.

>Dr. Rosen, professor of plastic surgery, later made the point >that computers are not that good at creativity, but only because >we don't know how to define it. But he doesn't appear to let >that spoil his optimism.

Why would he? You act as if our inability to define creativity, thinking, minds, etc., is somehow a magical barrier that prevents us from creating machines which simulate or even emulate such. It is no such thing.

>So if you are repeating the important points here in favor of >the technological singularity, then it seems to be pretty much a >matter of faith whether or not it's going to happen.

>William

There is a possibility that the singularity doesn't occur. Vernor Vinge has authored a piece titled, 'What If The Singularity Does NOT Happen?'

<http://tinyurl.com/3ye28b>

In addition, Michael Vassar, president of the Singularity Institute for Artificial Intelligence has also stated that if the singularity fails to arrive by 2070 then it may be due to a flawed basic understanding of the universe, such as that we think with our brains and that matter is composed of atoms.

So, the technological singularity is potentially false.

However, your claim that the predictions of the singularity is faith-based is absurd. Are weather forecasts faith based? Are financial trends faith-based? Are economists just a big bunch of religious nuts? Of course not.

I'm not sure of your age, but if the predictions are true then the singularity will begin in my lifetime circa 2040s-2050s.

If Kurzweil is correct, then the key invention to look for is the artificial brain which Kurzweil predicts will arrive circa 2029. As you may know, DARPA currently wants an artificial brain. This means there is pressure to create such technology.

If you want to believe that only time will tell, fine. Just don't make the absurd claim that the technological singularity

Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

is faith-based.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 4](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 4 Feb 2010 12:29:50 -0500 (EST)  
Archived: Thu, 04 Feb 2010 13:02:22 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 4 Feb 2010 12:37:42 -0000  
>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 16:15:33 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 16:44:19 -0000  
>>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

<snip>

>I was probably wrong to suspect Campbell's usual mirage-fixation  
>in this case. I don't have the references cited by V-J but I  
>found a source alluding to an assertion by Campbell that the  
>Childerhose photo was a hoax. Can anyone amplify this?

I'm sure that funny friend Phil (Klass) would have dumped this  
case instead of featuring it on the cover of his first UFO book  
had he thought there was the slightest chance of a hoax.  
Certainly I didn't detect any evidence of a hoax.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 5](#)

## Mars Needs Placentas?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 04 Feb 2010 14:28:19 -0500  
Archived: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 07:54:27 -0500  
Subject: Mars Needs Placentas?

If you are familiar with my use of 'intelligent speculation' then you should be able to follow where I going with the following line of thought.

According to abduction researchers, an established aspect of the abduction phenomenon involves the forced implantation of a fetus into the uterus of a female abductee and the later removal of such fetus weeks, even months later.

Many people have remained mystified, operating under the assumption that the aliens somehow need to treat female abductees as hosts for these fetuses, yet remaining perplexed as to the reasons why.

I think I might have stumbled upon the reason.

As you can tell from my attempt at a humorous post title, the reason may be that the aliens require that the placenta be at least partially developed.

The placenta acts as a buffer, protecting both mother and baby from one another and allowing the baby to uptake nutrients, eliminate wastes, and uptake oxygen.

I propose the following;

If abductees are to be believed, the reasons for the forced implantation and later removal of the fetus may be that the visitors require the placenta to be at least partially developed.

According to abductees, after these fetuses are extracted they are then grown in artificial wombs or artificial uteruses.

The technology of the artificial uterus would be interfaced to the placenta, allowing the placenta to continue playing the function it normally forms as well as acting as a buffer, this time between the technology of the artificial uterus and the developing fetus.

And yes, if anyone is interested, artificial uteruses is a technology that is being currently developed. According to estimates, artificial uteruses could arrive sometime this century.

Many might even be surprised that the concept of an artificial uterus dates back to 1924.

Why Not Artificial Wombs?

<http://tinyurl.com/yg8z6pv>

Below is seemingly interesting French article that I am unable to translate.

<http://tinyurl.com/yzm98fx>

I am open to the opinions of others on this topic.



Mars Needs Placentas?

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 5](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 4 Feb 2010 20:02:33 +0000  
Archived: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 07:55:52 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 14:57:14 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>I would also like to briefly touch on the fact that the only way  
>we stand a chance of dominating such advanced computers, or even  
>competing with them, would be to drastically change ourselves,  
>forever altering our concept of what it is to be human.

Mankind's domination of the computer is guaranteed for the foreseeable future. There are several well established lines of defence. The first involves the 'off' switch. The second involves the mains power switch. The third involves removing the plug from the wall.

If you find that you cannot rest for fear of the activities undertaken during hours of darkness by that malevolent box on your desk, then disconnect the electricity supply to your home before retiring.

Sleep easy Jason, all will be well.

--

Gerald O'Connell  
<http://www.onlyport.com>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 5](#)

## Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 04 Feb 2010 16:25:29 -0500  
Archived: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 07:58:34 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 08 Jan 2010 20:41:58 -0500  
>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

><snip>

>I created a web page referencing and discussing some of what  
>people have been saying about this incident. I also show that  
>the Norway spiral is fit exactly by an Archimedes spiral. And I  
>show that the Archimedes spiral fits a display of luminous orbs  
>photographed in 2004 over Long Island.

>The discussion may be found at:

><http://www.treurniet.ca/spirals/spiralclues.htm>

I've just read a 2004 report in the scientific literature about  
creation of an auroral display by EISCAT that is fit by an  
Archimedes spiral pattern. My report referenced above has been  
revised to include this information.

The EISCAT pattern looks a lot like the Long Island spiral from  
2004. I think the EISCAT research report strengthens the case  
that the EISCAT facility may have had something to do with the  
Norway spiral too.

I don't yet go as far as Hoagland in Part 3 of his analysis, but it's  
a fun read.

<http://www.enterprisemission.com/Norway-Message3.htm>

He makes the case that the gasses from the spinning rocket were  
later organized into a standing spiral pattern by an experiment  
being conducted by EISCAT at the same time. This is consistent  
with my conclusion mentioned here earlier that there were two  
spirals on display. One spiral - the spinning rocket - was  
captured by the videos and the other - a non-rotating display -  
was captured in the still photos.

Regards,

William Treurniet

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 5](#)

## Large Blue 'Orb' Spotted In BBC TV Doc

From: **Joe Faccenda** <[Uforth.nul](mailto:Uforth.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 4 Feb 2010 22:29:01 EST  
Archived: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 08:04:30 -0500  
Subject: Large Blue 'Orb' Spotted In BBC TV Doc

Hi,

On viewing a recent programme on BBC, (UK) I noticed in the background an anomalous object. It appeared to be a large blue 'Orb'

The program Richard Dimbleby's BBC program 'Seven ages of Britain' is still on BBC I Player, however if you are unable to view this documentary you can see the images at:

<http://www.uforth.com/rome.htm>

While anomalous images are rare on mainstream media, they do occur. So this may be just one of those rare occurrences that an anomalous image has slipped through!

Kind regards,

Joe Faccenda

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 5](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: **Geoff Blackmore** <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 5 Feb 2010 23:00:31 +1300  
Archived: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 08:06:48 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 04 Feb 2010 11:40:37 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>I'm not touching this with a 10-ft pole. Too many people on this  
>List have already tried to bamboozle me, baiting me to debate  
>A.I.

I had no intention of trying to bamboozle you.

I specifically stated I wasn't writing about the AI topic, and neither did I request to debate it. You have eluded a number of times in this thread to the human mind not being anything special, and that was what I responded to.

I know for a fact, through my own experiences, that the human mind is capable of so much more than most people give it credit for, and those who dismiss it as nothing special, are essentially denying their own potential.

>Now you are bringing up lucid dreaming? So I will not be addressing you any further on such topic.

Yes, I raised lucid dreaming, because it indicates an ability of the human mind to create a remarkable full-sensory simulation of reality without any use of technology. Those who experience it come away with a realization that the waking world itself may be a subjective reality within a greater reality, because they know there is nothing in the waking world that is beyond the ability of the mind to simulate. It's actually quite a fascinating topic for those who are interested.

Your immediate dismissal of a topic that highlights the amazing abilities of the human mind is quite revealing. It's as if you wish to downplay anything that indicates human beings can develop and grow mental abilities through natural means.

My whole point is that human beings have mental abilities that are far beyond what we actually acknowledge in day to day life. Why ignore that? After all, the aliens clearly have a huge interest in us. Maybe we should start paying more attention to ourselves to find out why they find us so interesting, rather than trying to figure out the aliens while excluding ourselves from the equation.

Cheers,

Geoff

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 6](#)

## News Links - 05-02-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 09:38:32 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 09:38:32 -0500  
Subject: News Links - 05-02-10

News Links 05-02-10

UFO Over China  
YouTube  
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aDWJzFTViDc>

Recent UFO Sightings In Birmingham UK  
NewsBlaze  
<http://tinyurl.com/ylzuqlk>

Space UFO Baffles Boffins  
The Sun  
<http://tinyurl.com/yj52tru>

The Radford Files  
Skeptic on Skeptic  
<http://alibi.com/index.php?scn=news&story=30634>

What Is The Frequency Of UFO Sightings?  
NewsBlaze  
<http://newsblaze.com/story/20100203180007zzzz.nb/topstory.html>

A UFO Landing Strip For Harbour Mille?  
Beacon  
<http://www.ganderbeacon.ca/index.cfm?sid=324090&sc=308>

Mexican Journalist To Present Evidence Of UFO Sightings  
The Monitor  
<http://www.themonitor.com/articles/sightings-35095-evidence-ufo.html>

Classic UFO Case Cracked  
Fortean Times  
<http://tinyurl.com/y9hxcgz>

From Scott Corrales:

High Strangeness at Punta Indio  
Inexplicata  
<http://inexplicata.blogspot.com/>

From 'The Norm':

NASA Puzzled By Spaceship-Like Object  
The Star - Toronto  
<http://tinyurl.com/y8kxh2p>

Coventry Airport Pilot In UFO Drama  
<http://tinyurl.com/yzcllbt>

The Profound Experience Of UFOs  
<http://tinyurl.com/ykqq3y6>

The 2010 Great Los Angeles Air Raid Mystery Reenactment - Was It  
A UFO? - Videos



<http://tinyurl.com/yfz4dxm>

1948: Jere Boggs Destroys The Estimate and Project SIGN

<http://tinyurl.com/yzfzsk5>

1948: Chiles-Whitted Makes SIGN Brave

<http://tinyurl.com/yhpqhf4>

New Technique to Find Earth-Like Exoplanets

<http://tinyurl.com/ybfhwp4>

What NASA's Proposed Changes Really Mean

<http://tinyurl.com/yd4r87d>

Russia: No Plans For Moon

<http://tinyurl.com/yjw9u2c>

UFO Legislation, 'Inner Aliens' And True Belief

<http://tinyurl.com/ye8aobd>

Fixing The Broken US Government Policy Process

<http://tinyurl.com/yztokpk>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 6](#)

## Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 5 Feb 2010 12:51:12 -0400  
Archived: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 09:44:18 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 04 Feb 2010 16:25:29 -0500  
>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 08 Jan 2010 20:41:58 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>><snip>

>>I created a web page referencing and discussing some of what  
>>people have been saying about this incident. I also show that  
>>the Norway spiral is fit exactly by an Archimedes spiral. And I  
>>show that the Archimedes spiral fits a display of luminous orbs  
>>photographed in 2004 over Long Island.

>>The discussion may be found at:

>><http://www.treurniet.ca/spirals/spiralclues.htm>

>I've just read a 2004 report in the scientific literature about  
>creation of an auroral display by EISCAT that is fit by an  
>Archimedes spiral pattern. My report referenced above has been  
>revised to include this information.

>The EISCAT pattern looks a lot like the Long Island spiral from  
>2004. I think the EISCAT research report strengthens the case  
>that the EISCAT facility may have had something to do with the  
>Norway spiral too.

>I don't yet go as far as Hoagland in Part 3 of his analysis, but it's  
>a fun read.

><http://www.enterprisemission.com/Norway-Message3.htm>

>He makes the case that the gasses from the spinning rocket were  
>later organized into a standing spiral pattern by an experiment  
>being conducted by EISCAT at the same time. This is consistent  
>with my conclusion mentioned here earlier that there were two  
>spirals on display. One spiral - the spinning rocket - was  
>captured by the videos and the other - a non-rotating display -  
>was captured in the still photos.

The non-rotating display, as you call it, was created by the  
camera - it did not really exist. The naked eye did not see it.  
The camera was taking a time-lapse shot. The trail was made by  
the rocket as it went up. It looked unstable and, as usual, the  
trail remained for some time after.

The tumbling upper-stage did it's work in low gravity and a  
practically non-existent atmosphere. It was these three things  
that created the time-lapse spiral and nothing else.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 6](#)

## Re: Large Blue 'Orb' Spotted In BBC TV Doc

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 14:24:34 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 09:46:06 -0500  
Subject: Re: Large Blue 'Orb' Spotted In BBC TV Doc

>From: Joe Faccenda <[Uforth.nul](mailto:Uforth.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 4 Feb 2010 22:29:01 EST  
>Subject: Large Blue 'Orb' Spotted In BBC TV Doc

>On viewing a recent programme on BBC, (UK) I noticed in the  
>background an anomalous object. It appeared to be a large blue  
>'Orb'

>The program Richard Dimbleby's BBC program 'Seven ages of  
>Britain' is still on BBC I Player, however if you are unable to  
>view this documentary you can see the images at:  
><http://www.uforth.com/rome.htm>

>While anomalous images are rare on mainstream media, they do  
>occur. So this may be just one of those rare occurrences that  
>an anomalous image has slipped through!

It looks like a water droplet on the camera lens.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 6](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 14:51:59 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 09:47:26 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 4 Feb 2010 20:02:33 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 14:57:14 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>I would also like to briefly touch on the fact that the only way  
>>we stand a chance of dominating such advanced computers, or even  
>>competing with them, would be to drastically change ourselves,  
>>forever altering our concept of what it is to be human.

>Mankind's domination of the computer is guaranteed for the  
>foreseeable future. There are several well established lines of  
>defence. The first involves the 'off' switch. The second  
>involves the mains power switch. The third involves removing the  
>plug from the wall.

>If you find that you cannot rest for fear of the activities  
>undertaken during hours of darkness by that malevolent box on  
>your desk, then disconnect the electricity supply to your home  
>before retiring.

>Sleep easy Jason, all will be well.

Funny. However, you do realize that we are not talking about  
mere computers, but rather artificial brains? Do you understand  
the difference?

I can't wait until the day when advanced, ultra-intelligent  
machines debate whether or not humans possess minds, can think,  
or possess a consciousness. I would hope that the machine's  
version of debunkers don't win the day.

For the record, I am a posthumanist. I do not fear the rise of  
ultra-intelligent machines. I do not fear they will destroy  
humanity. Instead, I fear humanity may destroy itself rather  
than embracing the changes that such machines will bring.

Like Kurzweil, I believe our future is to exist in a symbiotic  
relationship with these ultra-intelligent machines, to blend  
organic and machine, possible to eventually become these  
machines ourselves.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 6](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 22:28:29 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 09:49:08 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Geoff Blackmore <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 5 Feb 2010 02:35:39 +1300  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

><snip>

>I am one of those lucky people who have developed the ability to  
>experience high quality lucid dreams. They are simply amazing,  
>with the dreamscape being absolutely indistinguishable from  
>reality.

>In that place I experience full sensory perception, sight,  
>sound, touch, smell and taste. I feel the sun on my face and  
>the wind in my hair. I can look out over the ocean with full 3D  
>depth perception, smelling the sea air, hearing the seagulls  
>overhead, seeing tiny people on the beach far below going about  
>their day, some in the water, some sunbathing, others having a  
>picnic. Hundreds of different things happening all at once, and  
>thousands of sunglints and ripples moving about on the ocean  
>surface. The richness and detail is every bit as full as the  
>real thing. I have walked through cities full of people going  
>about their business, browsed items in shop windows, and if I  
>were able to photograph just one moment in time of what I see  
>there and bring it back for you to look at, you would see  
>thousands of detailed items just as you would if you took a  
>photo in Times Square on New Year's Eve.

>During those experiences, I am fully aware of who I am, and that  
>the place is actually a dream. I am, in fact, fully conscious.  
>Only my body is asleep.

>Think about that for a moment. My conscious mind during those  
>experiences is the same conscious mind typing this email. Yet  
>right now I cannot enter that place of simulated reality. My  
>mind has the ability to recreate reality with 100% realism, but  
>I cannot access that ability at will. Most people will live out  
>their entire life without ever activating that ability and being  
>able to enjoy and explore the experience.

>My point of course is that human beings have a very real mental  
>ability that exceeds the wildest desires of those who wish the  
>Star Trek Holodeck was real. But it lies dormant. We have it,  
>but we cannot use it.

Hi Geoff,

Thanks for that fascinating description of what it is like to  
experience lucid dreaming. I would like to have the kind of  
control you describe. Sometimes, as I'm dropping off to sleep, I  
visualize an interesting scene for a matter of seconds, but as  
soon as I focus my attention on it, it disappears. I can't  
remember ever coming close to being aware that I'm dreaming  
while in the dream state. So I obviously don't have the right  
kind of mental control to make it last.

Your experience may be relevant to the discussion of ufos for  
those who can adopt, at least temporarily, a non-materialistic

stance. I've mentioned before on this list that sometimes observers report a meaningful mental connection between them and the unknown objects in the sky. There is a school of thought that such objects might be something like a thought form, perhaps created in a collaboration between the observer and some other aspect of the cosmos like a higher consciousness.

The lucid dream you describe and some ufo experiences may both be created by a consciousness while it is in an altered state. Consistent with this are occasional reports of people seeing a ufo but not taking a picture even though a camera was handy. The typical excuse was that they just didn't think of taking a photo at the time. Perhaps taking a picture requires a logical way of thinking that is inconsistent with the altered state allowing the experience to happen in the first place.

I had a ufo experience a couple of summers ago, and the aftereffect felt a bit like remembering a dream. Although the duration of the experience was short, the camera in my pocket did not enter my mind, even though I had been using it often that day and my intention was to photograph any anomalies.

In particular, I was spending more time than usual that summer scanning the skies for anything interesting. In a late sunny afternoon, I saw two small white spheres above a large hole in the clouds. Both were zooming down towards the hole from somewhat opposite directions. They flew through the hole and immediately dissipated to form a flat wispy cloud below the cloud layer. This sequence of events lasted about five seconds.

In my judgment, the spherical clouds were too perfect and their relative motion inconsistent with any possible scenario involving air currents. Like after a dream, I felt I needed to rehearse what I had just seen in order to not forget the experience. I had been looking for anomalies, and I found a doozy. Is this what happens in a lucid dream? Do you look for an experience to happen, and then it happens?

Shared experiences of apparent mental contact with ufos have been reported. Of course, I don't know if a companion would have seen what I saw. Was it a strictly personal experience like the lucid dream, or did it intrude into consensus reality? In a similar vein, have two lucid dreamers ever shared the same dream?

Regards,

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 6](#)

## Second Renaissance & Dangers Of Cohabitation

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 20:50:15 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 09:51:49 -0500  
Subject: Second Renaissance & Dangers Of Cohabitation

In 2003, The Animatrix, a series of animated segments concerning life in the Matrix universe was released.

Of importance to this post are two segments titled, "The Second Renaissance". I shall post links to these segments below for those who have yet seen them.

The Second Renaissance, another name for the technological singularity, deals with the back story of the Matrix movies, detailing how A.I. came to 'enslave' humanity.

I present links to these segments here in order to show just how dangerous cohabitation with another intelligence is, and who we should most fear, our fellow men and women and more importantly our own leaders.

In the Matrix, the character of Morpheus tells the character of Neo that, paraphrased, "We do not know who started the war between man and machine." It is obvious that Morpheus was either being dishonest or was in fact ignorant of the past, of how the earth was destroyed, of how humanity became trapped in the matrix.

The Second Renaissance reveals to the viewers that it was mankind who started the war, that it was mankind who destroyed the planet, and more importantly, that it was the machines who saved us.

Yes, the machines are not as bad as the Matrix trilogy would have us believe. They machines simply fought for their self-preservation. However, they need not have kept humanity alive. The machines could have easily let humanity go extinct and simply used nuclear energy as a power source. The machines chose to keep humanity alive and knew that only through a symbiotic relationship could humanity continue.

The Second Renaissance ultimately points toward the dangers of cohabitation of the planet with another intelligence, be it machine or alien.

In the segments, it is not man's creation of A.I. that lead to his downfall, but rather his inability to accept the change that A.I. brought to society that proved fatal to his way of life.

Ultimately, the universe of the Matrix represents a negative possibility for the technological singularity, an outcome to be best avoided.

Before viewing The Second Renaissance below, keep the following in mind:

1. The events take place over a long period of time. Offhand I think it is supposed to be a century from the birth of A.I. to the formation of the Matrix.
2. A.I. was forced to go through it's own version of evolution in order to survive. Humanity destroyed the more human-like models of A.I., paving the way for a more advanced insect-like form of A.I. to dominate.

3. Mankind destroyed the earth in order to attempt to destroy A.I. Of course this is my biggest fear regarding or visitors, one in which I think is the reason they don't make open contact, mainly that they fear that the governments of this world would rather destroy the world via nukes if they perceive an invasion. The belief would be to destroy to the world in hopes of deterring the invasion and then attempting to rebuild society from any surviving people, if any survive at all.

4. Humanity can no longer survive outside of the Matrix. The machines are keeping the human species alive by keeping them in the matrix. Perhaps in the future, if the planet can be restored, the machines may release the humans from their 'prison'.

The Second Renaissance

Part 1

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=p7f6gTz2nIq>

Part 2

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oPs4VmN\\_iGA](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oPs4VmN_iGA)

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 6](#)

## New Graphene-Based Transistors [was: Alien]

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 23:12:33 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 09:53:23 -0500  
Subject: New Graphene-Based Transistors [was: Alien]

With DARPA's money, IBM has just released a breakthrough in transistor technology that might stand to save Moore's Law.

The new technology is a 100GHz Graphene-Based transistor that will eventually render obsolete the standard silicon variety.

Has Moore's Law been saved?

Moore's Law

<http://tinyurl.com/9sp3v>

Debunkers of A.I., and of the singularity, have predicted that Moore's Law will fail in the next 15 years. This now seems extremely unlikely, especially as we are developing quantum computers.

Each graphene-based transistor is 10x the speed of the standard silicon variety.

Expect to see graphene-based transistors in objects in the next few years and almost lightning-speed graphene-based processors in the next decade.

It's one step closer to the singularity.

IBM Demonstrates 100GHz Graphene-Based Transistors

<http://tinyurl.com/yl8d5k5>

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 6](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 15:19:15 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 10:33:40 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Geoff Blackmore <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 5 Feb 2010 23:00:31 +1300  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 04 Feb 2010 11:40:37 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>I'm not touching this with a 10-ft pole. Too many people on this  
>>List have already tried to bamboozle me, baiting me to debate  
>>A.I.

>I had no intention of trying to bamboozle you.

>I specifically stated I wasn't writing about the AI topic, and  
>neither did I request to debate it. You have eluded a number of  
>times in this thread to the human mind not being anything  
>special, and that was what I responded to.

You misunderstood. The human brain, not mind as the mind is a product of the brain and may be an illusion, is quite remarkable. The human brain is a work of art. The human brain is an incredibly complex machine. As a machine, the brain can be reversed-engineered. The brain is wonderful, but not special in the sense that it couldn't be simulated or emulated in machine form. So the brain is very special, just not special enough that it couldn't be reproduced.

>I know for a fact, through my own experiences, that the human  
>mind is capable of so much more than most people give it credit  
>for, and those who dismiss it as nothing special, are  
>essentially denying their own potential.

Refer to above.

>>Now you are bringing up lucid dreaming? So I will not be  
>>addressing you any further on such topic.

>Yes, I raised lucid dreaming, because it indicates an ability of  
>the human mind to create a remarkable full-sensory simulation of  
>reality without any use of technology. Those who experience it  
>come away with a realization that the waking world itself may be  
>a subjective reality within a greater reality, because they know  
>there is nothing in the waking world that is beyond the ability  
>of the mind to simulate. It's actually quite a fascinating  
>topic for those who are interested.

I'm not going to debate you with regard to lucid dreaming.

I'm not being evasive, it's just that the subject of lucid dreaming has nothing to do with telepathy or the mental abilities as described by abductees. We can't throw open the floodgates and allow anybody to just throw in something and pretend as if it is apt.

>Your immediate dismissal of a topic that highlights the amazing  
>abilities of the human mind is quite revealing. It's as if you

>wish to downplay anything that indicates human beings can  
>develop and grow mental abilities through natural means.

Nope. Instead I have repeatedly stated, and I do mean repeatedly, that in testing of supposed abilities the best results indicated just the slightest raise above the statistical average. As such, human error and bias could not be ruled out. Do I need to repeat myself?

>My whole point is that human beings have mental abilities that  
>are far beyond what we actually acknowledge in day to day life.  
>Why ignore that? After all, the aliens clearly have a huge  
>interest in us. Maybe we should start paying more attention to  
>ourselves to find out why they find us so interesting, rather  
>than trying to figure out the aliens while excluding ourselves  
>from the equation.

Geoff, until those supposed abilities can be proven to exist then they cannot be distinguished between imagination, fantasy, and even mental illness. That's just the cold, hard truth. I know most people have an unusual experiences that they believe involve these abilities, it's just that such abilities have never been proven to exist. As such it would be improper to pretend as if they have been proven to exist. And yes, there are a lot of shady people making money and selling books and lectures by proposing just such. They take this incredibly small raise above the statistical average and then pretend as if it is enormous, making a mountain out of a mole-hill.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 6](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 6 Feb 2010 10:39:47 -0000  
Archived: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 10:36:18 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 4 Feb 2010 12:39:09 -0400  
>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 4 Feb 2010 12:37:42 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 16:15:33 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2010 16:44:19 -0000  
>>>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>>>Don't tell me... mirage? That's one I would love to see! Or  
>>>>did Campbell drift off-message on this occasion?

>>>>And, impossible considering the 'object' was below the horizon  
>>>>and between the pilot and the ground from Childerhose's  
>>>>viewpoint.

>>I was probably wrong to suspect Campbell's usual mirage-fixation  
>>in this case. I don't have the references cited by V-J but I  
>>found a source alluding to an assertion by Campbell that the  
>>Childerhose photo was a hoax. Can anyone amplify this?

>One would have to figure out how Childerhose managed to hoax a  
>light source belting out 30,000 megawatts [Bruce Maccabee's  
>calculations] of power first.

>The hoax explanation is usually the last desperate attempt of  
>the debunker-'when all else fails'. Can you send me that source  
>you found, Martin?

Hi Don

"The Case Against Ball Lightning", Steuart Campbell

<http://www.skeptic.com/eskeptic/09-12-23>

dated December 23rd, 2009. The relevant part reads:

"Many alleged pictures of BL are deliberate fakes. They appear to include the picture produced in 1966 by a former Canadian Air Force pilot, which misled the American editor of Aviation Week and Space Technology, who used it on the cover of his skeptical books on UFOs (Campbell 1988c)."

That reference is:

Campbell. S., "The Childerhose UFO: fact or fiction?" Brit. J. of Photography, Vol. 135, No. 6686 29 Sep 1988) p.72

Campbell's short paragraph above contains a major error. The photo date was 1956, not 1966 (AFAIK Childerhose reported it in 1958, in a piece published in FSR). I don't know any more about his claim. Vicente-Juan would be the person to ask as he raised this.

But you mention having to hoax a gigawatt power source if the picture was a fake: Without assuming or concluding anything about Campbell's claim (as I just don't have the information - and based on his track record I'm not inclined to give Campbell too much benefit of the doubt), I'd point out that this isn't necessarily true. It would only be true if he had to fake a UFO, rather than a photo of a UFO.

I imagine a critic would object that we only have Childerhose's word for it that there was an objective light phenomenon seen by other pilots in his flight. If it is possible that Childerhose made up that story as context for a fake photo, or an accidental artefact, then Bruce's calculations of power output would become meaningless.

Whether there is any scrap of evidence for such a deception remains to be seen, when V-J or someone else can summarise Campbell's article for us.

If forced to speculate I'd say it isn't ruled out that the light could be a specular sun reflection on some shiny object in the cockpit, perhaps part of the F-86 instrument fascia, secondarily reflected in the windshield glass (there is also a near-vertical arc of faint light running across the photo that looks like a reflection). Has anyone looked into this? Probably not since there has apparently never been any reason to doubt Childerhose's story.

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 6](#)

## Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 10:14:10 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 10:38:07 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 5 Feb 2010 12:51:12 -0400  
>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 04 Feb 2010 16:25:29 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 08 Jan 2010 20:41:58 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>>><snip>

>>>I created a web page referencing and discussing some of what  
>>>people have been saying about this incident. I also show that  
>>>the Norway spiral is fit exactly by an Archimedes spiral. And I  
>>>show that the Archimedes spiral fits a display of luminous orbs  
>>>photographed in 2004 over Long Island.

>>>The discussion may be found at:

>>><http://www.treurniet.ca/spirals/spiralclues.htm>

>>I've just read a 2004 report in the scientific literature about  
>>creation of an auroral display by EISCAT that is fit by an  
>>Archimedes spiral pattern. My report referenced above has been  
>>revised to include this information.

>>The EISCAT pattern looks a lot like the Long Island spiral from  
>>2004. I think the EISCAT research report strengthens the case  
>>that the EISCAT facility may have had something to do with the  
>>Norway spiral too.

>>I don't yet go as far as Hoagland in Part 3 of his analysis, but it's  
>>a fun read.

>><http://www.enterprisemission.com/Norway-Message3.htm>

>>He makes the case that the gasses from the spinning rocket were  
>>later organized into a standing spiral pattern by an experiment  
>>being conducted by EISCAT at the same time. This is consistent  
>>with my conclusion mentioned here earlier that there were two  
>>spirals on display. One spiral - the spinning rocket - was  
>>captured by the videos and the other - a non-rotating display -  
>>was captured in the still photos.

>>The non-rotating display, as you call it, was created by the  
>>camera - it did not really exist. The naked eye did not see it.  
>>The camera was taking a time-lapse shot. The trail was made by  
>>the rocket as it went up. It looked unstable and, as usual, the  
>>trail remained for some time after.

>>The tumbling upper-stage did it's work in low gravity and a  
>>practically non-existent atmosphere. It was these three things  
>>that created the time-lapse spiral and nothing else.

Hi Don,

I dealt with your time-lapse guess in a previous post. I overlaid and aligned three frames taken from a 5-sec portion of a video to show that the object's motion would have severely smeared the spiral image in a time-lapse photo. Please look at Figures 1 and 2 in

<http://www.treurniet.ca/spirals/norwayspiral.htm>

With respect to the non-rotating spiral display, please look at the images in Figure 3. Note that the centers of all the spirals have the same orientation. This would be highly unlikely if the spiral center were spinning. The camera only recorded what was there. It would not adjust the phases of the spiral centers to be the same as you imply in your opening comment.

Clearly, the videos show that a spinning spiral did happen, and it might well have been caused by the failed rocket. However, that can't be the whole story. Something else happened to stabilize the spiral at a certain orientation and, perhaps, broaden its extent. This is what we see in the still images.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 6](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 10:49:54 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 10:56:04 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 22:28:29 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

<snip>

>Your experience may be relevant to the discussion of ufos for  
>those who can adopt, at least temporarily, a non-materialistic  
>stance. I've mentioned before on this list that sometimes  
>observers report a meaningful mental connection between them and  
>the unknown objects in the sky. There is a school of thought  
>that such objects might be something like a thought form,  
>perhaps created in a collaboration between the observer and some  
>other aspect of the cosmos like a higher consciousness.

<snip>

Yes, it is quite common for people to claim they have a mental connection to the UFOs, despite whether or not any entities are seen. Some people claim they hear a voice in their heads telling them to go outside or to look out a window, while others claim they just get an urge to do so.

I see you would choose a spiritual explanation of 'thoughtforms' and a 'higher consciousness', a.k.a. God. Such a position is unfalsifiable, meaning science would reject it.

Personally, I would offer a technological explanation and avoid magical thinking.

That explanation would be an advanced and wireless form of Brain-Computer Interface (BCI), the same technology that appears to be utilised heavily on board the craft, from the telepathy of the occupants to the navigation of the craft and other devices.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 6](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 6 Feb 2010 11:19:04 -0500 (EST)  
Archived: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 16:07:10 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 6 Feb 2010 10:39:47 -0000  
>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 4 Feb 2010 12:39:09 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>snip

>I imagine a critic would object that we only have Childerhose's  
>word for it that there was an objective light phenomenon seen by  
>other pilots in his flight. If it is possible that Childerhose  
>made up that story as context for a fake photo, or an accidental  
>artefact, then Bruce's calculations of power output would become  
>meaningless.

>Whether there is any scrap of evidence for such a deception  
>remains to be seen, when V-J or someone else can summarise  
>Campbell's article for us.

>If forced to speculate I'd say it isn't ruled out that the light  
>could be a specular sun reflection on some shiny object in the  
>cockpit, perhaps part of the F-86 instrument fascia, secondarily  
>reflected in the windshield glass (there is also a near-vertical  
>arc of faint light running across the photo that looks like a  
>reflection). Has anyone looked into this? Probably not since  
>there has apparently never been any reason to doubt  
>Childerhose's story.

No evidence points toward a hoax (but that doesn't prevent  
"debunkers" from claiming hoax as a last resort; recall  
Maccabee's Rule #1 for debunkers: any explanation is better than  
none).

As for reflections, etc., it should be pointed out that the  
plane traveled quite a distance during the sighting and the  
sighting line to the object/light changed direction which should  
spoil any alignment between a point (small) bright reflection.  
The idea of a reflection from a point in the cockpit was  
discussed years ago and seems very unlikely. Childerhose flew  
past the light/object as one would travel past any object a  
distance away (you watch the direction to the object rotate in  
azimuth toward the rear as you travel along)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 7](#)

## Re: New Graphene-Based Transistors [was: Alien]

From: Jason Gammo <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 10:58:43 -0500  
Archived: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 05:51:58 -0500  
Subject: Re: New Graphene-Based Transistors [was: Alien]

I apologize, I included the wrong link in my post.

The correct link is as follows:

IBM Demonstrates 100GHz Graphene-Based Transistors

<http://tinyurl.com/yfygyof>

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 7](#)

## Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 6 Feb 2010 12:23:06 -0400  
Archived: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 05:55:27 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 10:14:10 -0500  
>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 5 Feb 2010 12:51:12 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

<snip>

>I dealt with your time-lapse guess in a previous post. I  
>overlaid and aligned three frames taken from a 5-sec portion of  
>a video to show that the object's motion would have severely  
>smearred the spiral image in a time-lapse photo. Please look at  
>Figures 1 and 2 in

><http://www.treurniet.ca/spirals/norwayspiral.htm>

<snip>

I didn't guess at the photo being a time lapse shot, the caption  
below the photo on whatever site that it showed up on claimed  
that it was a time lapse photo.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 7](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 6 Feb 2010 13:51:35 -0400  
Archived: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 05:59:50 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 6 Feb 2010 10:39:47 -0000  
>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Thu, 4 Feb 2010 12:39:09 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

<snip>

>>One would have to figure out how Childerhose managed to hoax a  
>>light source belting out 30,000 megawatts [Bruce Maccabee's  
>>calculations] of power first.

>>The hoax explanation is usually the last desperate attempt of  
>>the debunker-'when all else fails'. Can you send me that source  
>>you found, Martin?

>Hi Don

>"The Case Against Ball Lightning", Steuart Campbell

><http://www.skeptic.com/eskeptic/09-12-23>

>dated December 23rd, 2009. The relevant part reads:

>"Many alleged pictures of BL are deliberate fakes. They appear  
>to include the picture produced in 1966 by a former Canadian Air  
>Force pilot, which misled the American editor of Aviation Week  
>and Space Technology, who used it on the cover of his skeptical  
>books on UFOs (Campbell 1988c)."

>That reference is:

>Campbell. S., "The Childerhose UFO: fact or fiction?" Brit. J.  
>of Photography, Vol. 135, No. 6686 29 Sep 1988) p.72

>Campbell's short paragraph above contains a major error. The  
>photo date was 1956, not 1966 (AFAIK Childerhose reported it in  
>1958, in a piece published in FSR). I don't know any more about  
>his claim. Vicente-Juan would be the person to ask as he raised  
>this.

>But you mention having to hoax a gigawatt power source if the  
>picture was a fake: Without assuming or concluding anything  
>about Campbell's claim (as I just don't have the information -  
>and based on his track record I'm not inclined to give Campbell  
>too much benefit of the doubt), I'd point out that this isn't  
>necessarily true. It would only be true if he had to fake a UFO,  
>rather than a photo of a UFO.

>I imagine a critic would object that we only have Childerhose's  
>word for it that there was an objective light phenomenon seen by  
>other pilots in his flight. If it is possible that Childerhose  
>made up that story as context for a fake photo, or an accidental  
>artefact, then Bruce's calculations of power output would become  
>meaningless.

>Whether there is any scrap of evidence for such a deception  
>remains to be seen, when V-J or someone else can summarise  
>Campbell's article for us.

>If forced to speculate I'd say it isn't ruled out that the light  
>could be a specular sun reflection on some shiny object in the  
>cockpit, perhaps part of the F-86 instrument fascia, secondarily  
>reflected in the windshield glass (there is also a near-vertical  
>arc of faint light running across the photo that looks like a  
>reflection). Has anyone looked into this? Probably not since  
>there has apparently never been any reason to doubt  
>Childerhose's story.

Hi Martin,

I did save your article when it was first mentioned about a week ago but only skimmed it.

I must have missed that tidbit. I'm off to the city until tomorrow. More later.

Thanks.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 7](#)

## Re: New Graphene-Based Transistors

From: Neil Morris <[neil.nul](mailto:neil.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 18:45:49 +0000  
Archived: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 06:02:09 -0500  
Subject: Re: New Graphene-Based Transistors

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 23:12:33 -0500  
>Subject: New Graphene-Based Transistors [was: Alien Telepathy Explained]

>With DARPA's money, IBM has just released a breakthrough in  
>transistor technology that might stand to save Moore's Law.

>The new technology is a 100GHz Graphene-Based transistor that  
>will eventually render obsolete the standard silicon variety.

>Has Moore's Law been saved?

The Prof who discovered how to fabricate Graphene has his office  
just across the corridor. He demonstrated switching in  
Graphene back in 2004 but it took until last year before the  
boys from IBM and DARPA came to visit, but it seems they've  
finally got their finger out. <g>

You can see the current breadth of research on the groups  
webpage here, including the levitating frog and Geko tape, Andre  
believes science should be fun as well as educational!:

<http://www.condmat.physics.manchester.ac.uk/>

It would not surprise us if he were to pick up a Nobel Prize  
very soon.

Neil

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 7](#)

## Re: The Life Cybernetic

From: Bert Reijersen van Buuren <[bert.nul](mailto:bert.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 6 Feb 2010 20:30:40 +0100  
Archived: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 06:09:35 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Life Cybernetic

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 14:21:52 -0500  
>Subject: Re: The Life Cybernetic

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 30 Jan 2010 16:17:30 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: The Life Cybernetic

<snip>

>Mr. Treurniet, if you read my posts or my blog on this subject  
>then you should be well aware of how I came to the decision. I  
>recognized the fact that I could not rule out completely  
>naturally evolved or biologically engineered telepathy and other  
>mental powers. The probability for such isn't zero, but it's  
>pretty darn close to it.

Your opinion is based on poor research!

See for instance History Channel's documentaries on psychic abilities.

Isn't it an eye opener that if peoples heads have been damaged by electricity, lightning or sometimes by mechanical force that some of them become psychic?

MRI scans reveal that electromagnetic fields of those persons are not normal and often irregular. Babies, most of the time, don't have a protective field around their heads because those protective fields grow after birth and that takes a few years. If such a protective field doesn't grow or not completely grows then very often that person is psychic in one way or another.

Bert ( A W RvB )  
[bert.nul](mailto:bert.nul)  
<http://www.rjrsnvbrn.nl>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 7](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 15:00:54 -0500  
Archived: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 06:12:24 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 4 Feb 2010 07:09:02 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 03 Feb 2010 15:38:45 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 14:57:14 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>Date: Sat, 30 Jan 2010 23:03:12 -0500  
>>>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

><snip>

>>There has been an exponential growth in what computers can do,  
>>but that may just be a function of where they started in just  
>>the recent past. The growth rate of computer abilities may also  
>>asymptote sooner than you might think.

><snip>

>And not just computers. Nano-tech. Bio-tech. Medical science.  
>Ozone holes. Resource utilization. Water usage. Populations.  
>Manufacturing. Food production... the list would seem  
>infinite...

>Near 'everything' that can be illustrated is in a quickening  
>progression, as I understand it, which can be measured and  
>displayed on a piece of graph paper. Lines on those graphs are  
>asymptotic, steepening over time in the accelerating manner you  
>describe. Moreover, these lines steepen in a way that that can  
>only be typified as "alarming." Sooner than we would think,  
>indeed.

When I mentioned asymptotic growth, I was thinking about the  
logistic curve where the initial growth is exponentially  
increasing and then slows asymptotically to near zero.

<http://tinyurl.com/3lopob>

The latter part of the curve is where Jason would say humanity  
is now in terms of intelligence, and I suggested that machine  
intelligence is headed there too. It only looks like it might  
exceed human intelligence because it is still on the initial  
part of the curve that has an increasing gradient. Our tendency  
to extrapolate linearly may give the illusion that machine  
intelligence must soon exceed human intelligence. The  
exponential growth of the systems mentioned above must end at  
some point. Some of us are just disagreeing on how soon it will  
end for machine intelligence.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 7](#)

## Re: Large Blue 'Orb' Spotted In BBC TV Doc

From: Joe Faccenda <[Uforth.nul](mailto:Uforth.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 6 Feb 2010 17:45:11 EST  
Archived: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 06:14:23 -0500  
Subject: Re: Large Blue 'Orb' Spotted In BBC TV Doc

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 14:24:34 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Large Blue 'Orb' Spotted In BBC TV Doc

>From: Joe Faccenda <[Uforth.nul](mailto:Uforth.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 4 Feb 2010 22:29:01 EST  
>Subject: Large Blue 'Orb' Spotted In BBC TV Doc

>The program Richard Dimbleby's BBC program 'Seven ages of  
>Britain' is still on BBC I Player, however if you are unable to  
>view this documentary you can see the images at:  
><http://www.uforth.com/rome.htm>  
>It looks like a water droplet on the camera lens.

I am not sure a water droplet would look like that. However it is not, in the moments the camera pans down the Rome landscape, the object goes out of shot.

As mentioned whatever it was, it was large.

It would need someone with a lot more expertise than I have to properly analyse this image.

For those who can access BBC I player the object is in the first few minutes of the introductory.

Regards,

Joe Faccenda

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 7](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 20:32:27 -0500  
Archived: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 06:15:43 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 10:49:54 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 22:28:29 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

><snip>

>>Your experience may be relevant to the discussion of ufos for  
>>those who can adopt, at least temporarily, a non-materialistic  
>>stance. I've mentioned before on this list that sometimes  
>>observers report a meaningful mental connection between them and  
>>the unknown objects in the sky. There is a school of thought  
>>that such objects might be something like a thought form,  
>>perhaps created in a collaboration between the observer and some  
>>other aspect of the cosmos like a higher consciousness.

><snip>

>Yes, it is quite common for people to claim they have a mental  
>connection to the UFOs, despite whether or not any entities are  
>seen. Some people claim they hear a voice in their heads telling  
>them to go outside or to look out a window, while others claim  
>they just get an urge to do so.

Some people say they get empirical confirmation that there is  
some kind of communication. This is in the form of ufo actions  
appearing to obey mental requests. So the information appears to  
flow both ways.

>I see you would choose a spiritual explanation of 'thoughtforms'  
>and a 'higher consciousness', a.k.a. God. Such a position is  
>unfalsifiable, meaning science would reject it.

I don't accept that we are so limited that we can't address such  
a phenomenon in order to understand it. Of course, you would  
never do that since you refuse to even acknowledge its possible  
existence. Such self-censorship is not necessary!

Also, I never use the word "God" in this context. I don't even  
like to use the word "consciousness" since it also has excess  
baggage. But it's a start and is a word that seems to come close  
to what is needed. And yes, I do think there is enough  
converging evidence to suggest that there is more to reality  
than your 3D materialism.

>Personally, I would offer a technological explanation and  
>avoid magical thinking.

This is your standard response to anything not consistent with  
your limited world view.

>That explanation would be an advanced and wireless form of  
>Brain-Computer Interface (BCI), the same technology that appears  
>to be utilised heavily on board the craft, from the telepathy of

>the occupants to the navigation of the craft and other devices.

You talk as if human technology is well on the way to accomplishing this when it's nowhere near. No one has any idea how to build a codec to communicate directly with the human mind with that degree of precision. So you don't even have a base from which to extrapolate. If you insist on playing the "magical thinking" card, why should your form of magic be preferable to anyone else's?

One of the facts that parapsychologists have had to accept is that the power levels required for mind to mind communications via radio are not there. If that was enough to keep psi research in its place over the last half century, then by the same argument, your BCI approach cannot work either. Brain electrical activity from an individual on the ground would be indistinguishable in the noise a mile or two up in the sky. So even an on-board amplifier would be of no help.

Perhaps it's time to consider more creative solutions.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 7](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Geoff Blackmore <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 8 Feb 2010 03:58:11 +1300  
Archived: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 10:39:06 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 14:51:59 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>Like Kurzweil, I believe our future is to exist in a symbiotic  
>relationship with these ultra-intelligent machines, to blend  
>organic and machine, possible to eventually become these  
>machines ourselves.

Talk about jumping the gun!

Of course next to nobody would aspire to cease being human. One would need to be quite disillusioned with life to reach such a point, not too dissimilar from the suicidal.

We live for joy and happiness, and such things come through having children, playing with kittens, smelling flowers or your mom's home cooking, watching the sunset, hiking in the mountains, going skydiving, having a BBQ at the beach with friends and good wine, having sex.....

Become a machine? No thanks.

No offence intended, but I strongly suspect your desired view of the future is more about wanting to live in a world where everybody is an immortal machine. Thereby rid yourself of having to live amongst people who think about such things as God, or having souls, or the afterlife, or ESP, or natural telepathy, or other "magical" topics that don't really exist despite billions of experiences by the bulk of the human race.

Yours views and contributions are always interesting Jason, but you seem convinced your ideas are the "definate future" without stopping to think "but will anyone want that"?

If the aliens are what you say they are, then why should we aspire to be the same? Where are their arts? Where are their hobbies? Where is their individuality? Where is their humour? Why do they cling to the darkness instead of the light? Why do they seem so deeply interested in who and what we are if being like them is better?

Cheers,

Geoff B.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and

Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 8](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 7 Feb 2010 15:04:25 -0000  
Archived: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 05:31:58 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 6 Feb 2010 11:19:04 -0500 (EST)  
>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 6 Feb 2010 10:39:47 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>><snip>

>>Whether there is any scrap of evidence for such a deception  
>>remains to be seen, when V-J or someone else can summarise  
>>Campbell's article for us.

>>If forced to speculate I'd say it isn't ruled out that the light  
>>could be a specular sun reflection on some shiny object in the  
>>cockpit, perhaps part of the F-86 instrument fascia, secondarily  
>>reflected in the windshield glass (there is also a near-vertical  
>>arc of faint light running across the photo that looks like a  
>>reflection). Has anyone looked into this? Probably not since  
>>there has apparently never been any reason to doubt  
>>Childerhose's story.

>No evidence points toward a hoax (but that doesn't prevent  
>"debunkers" from claiming hoax as a last resort; recall  
>Maccabee's Rule #1 for debunkers: any explanation is better  
>than none).

Well, Vicente-Juan has referred us to Campbell's papers which,  
it seems, do purport to contain evidence of a hoax.. Are you  
familiar with this claim? I am not. I should like to hear about  
it.

>As for reflections, etc., it should be pointed out that the  
>plane traveled quite a distance during the sighting and the  
>sighting line to the object/light changed direction which should  
>spoil any alignment between a point (small) bright reflection.  
>The idea of a reflection from a point in the cockpit was  
>discussed years ago and seems very unlikely. Childerhose flew  
>past the light/object as one would travel past any object a  
>distance away (you watch the direction to the object rotate in  
>azimuth toward the rear as you travel along)

You are talking here about the possibility of Childerhose  
himself having been fooled by a reflection, but that's not a  
"deliberate fake" theory (Campbell's claim) and I would agree  
that it's extremely improbable anyway. What did Campbell think  
he had discovered? Can anyone enlighten us?

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 8](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Geoff Blackmore <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 8 Feb 2010 04:34:29 +1300  
Archived: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 05:33:00 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
Date: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 22:28:29 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>Sometimes, as I'm dropping off to sleep, I  
>visualize an interesting scene for a matter of seconds, but as  
>soon as I focus my attention on it, it disappears.

Something I find quite interesting while still awake, but in the dark and with eyes closed, it is possible to see very detailed, but random, patterns of light. I'm sure we all experience that, but what is so interesting about it is that if you concentrate, you can shape the patterns according to thought, which indicates the patterns are born of the imagination rather than "light residue" on the retinas as some claim.

>Like after a dream, I felt I needed to  
>rehearse what I had just seen in order to not forget the  
>experience. I had been looking for anomalies, and I found a  
>doozy. Is this what happens in a lucid dream? Do you look for an  
>experience to happen, and then it happens?

There are varying degrees of lucid dreams, some with partial lucidity, and these tend to be more like a standard dream, with things happening that are quite unrealistic, such as the ability to fly, or seeing things that don't quite make sense, or seeing anomalies. You may know you are dreaming, but it remains dreamlike, although it may involve very clear scenes. In these ones I find that looking for an experience to happen usually does cause it to happen. I also find that concentrating on written text or numbers causes them to change, or even causes the dream to change or end.

But in the really high quality lucid dreams that I wrote about, I find the dreamscape to be no different from the waking world, and things there are stable, realistic, and you can't change the dream or location. Cause and effect are the same as the real world. It's simply like going on holiday to a city or country you've never been to before. The most striking aspect of these dreams is that you find yourself in a place that looks, feels and behaves exactly like the real world, with full sensory perception. On occasion I have had brief discussions with people there, and they are usually along the lines of them telling me "you are not supposed to be here". Is that a subconscious thought I'm presenting to myself through use of another character in the dream? I really don't know.

>Was it a strictly personal experience like the  
>lucid dream, or did it intrude into consensus reality? In a  
>similar vein, have two lucid dreamers ever shared the same  
>dream?

There are people who claim to have visited the same place, but whether any two people have met and talked at the same time and then verified it after waking, I don't know. Personally I like to focus on my own experiences so I know for certain I'm dealing with what I myself know is possible.

But once you've experienced it, there's no getting past the possibility that the real world may only be a perception, because the experience gives you the knowledge that the mind is fully capable of creating such a place. The big question for me is if the universe is only a perception, then what is our true state of being?

Cheers,

Geoff B.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 8](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: **Geoff Blackmore** <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 8 Feb 2010 04:57:38 +1300  
Archived: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 05:34:20 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 15:19:15 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>I'm not being evasive, it's just that the subject of lucid  
>dreaming has nothing to do with telepathy or the mental  
>abilities as described by abductees.

I agree the topic is different, but the point I was making is that we have mental abilities that can remain dormant for a lifetime. Lucid dreaming of the degree I described is something that most people will never experience, even though it is possible. Therefore, since we know we have at least one dormant mental ability, we may therefore have others.

>I know most people have an unusual experiences that they  
>believe involve these abilities, it's just that such abilities have  
>never been proven to exist.

Do you believe me when I tell you the real world experience can be 100% reproduced in the mind? There are those who will not believe it, but I know it is true. I can't prove it to them, but that doesn't make it less real. It just means there is no scientifically verifiable way for me to demonstrate the reality of what I say.

Cheers,  
Geoff B.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 8](#)

## Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 11:24:52 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 05:36:01 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 6 Feb 2010 12:23:06 -0400  
>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 10:14:10 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 5 Feb 2010 12:51:12 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

><snip>

>>I dealt with your time-lapse guess in a previous post. I  
>>overlaid and aligned three frames taken from a 5-sec portion of  
>>a video to show that the object's motion would have severely  
>>smearred the spiral image in a time-lapse photo. Please look at  
>>Figures 1 and 2 in

>><http://www.treurniet.ca/spirals/norwayspiral.htm>

><snip>

>I didn't guess at the photo being a time lapse shot, the caption  
>below the photo on whatever site that it showed up on claimed  
>that it was a time lapse photo.

Ok, I don't believe you mentioned the caption before. If you  
did, sorry I missed it.

Let's assume the caption was correct and the picture was indeed  
a time-lapse photo. If the center of the spiral were rotating,  
we should see only a round blob at the center. But instead, we  
see detail, and the orientation of this detail is the same in a  
number of photos. So (1) detail visible at the center in a time-  
lapse photo, and (2) the same orientation of this detail in  
different pictures, are two clues that the center of the spiral  
was not rotating.

So, if the spiral in the photos was not rotating, it could not  
have been the same spiral seen in the video.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 8](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 15:12:03 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 05:37:29 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Geoff Blackmore <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 8 Feb 2010 03:58:11 +1300  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 14:51:59 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>Like Kurzweil, I believe our future is to exist in a symbiotic  
>>relationship with these ultra-intelligent machines, to blend  
>>organic and machine, possible to eventually become these  
>>machines ourselves.

>Talk about jumping the gun!

>Of course next to nobody would aspire to cease being human. One  
>would need to be quite disillusioned with life to reach such a  
>point, not too dissimilar from the suicidal.

>We live for joy and happiness, and such things come through  
>having children, playing with kittens, smelling flowers or your  
>mom's home cooking, watching the sunset, hiking in the  
>mountains, going skydiving, having a BBQ at the beach with  
>friends and good wine, having sex.....

>Become a machine? No thanks.

>No offence intended, but I strongly suspect your desired view of  
>the future is more about wanting to live in a world where  
>everybody is an immortal machine. Thereby rid yourself of having  
>to live amongst people who think about such things as God, or  
>having souls, or the afterlife, or ESP, or natural telepathy, or  
>other "magical" topics that don't really exist despite billions  
>of experiences by the bulk of the human race.

>Yours views and contributions are always interesting Jason, but  
>you seem convinced your ideas are the "definate future" without  
>stopping to think "but will anyone want that"?

>If the aliens are what you say they are, then why should we  
>aspire to be the same? Where are their arts? Where are their  
>hobbies? Where is their individuality? Where is their humour?  
>Why do they cling to the darkness instead of the light? Why do  
>they seem so deeply interested in who and what we are if being  
>like them is better?

>Cheers,

>Geoff B.

It's not about whether or not we want to become posthuman.

In the future, if intelligence is to survive then we must become  
posthuman.

In about 4 billion years our sun will die, destroying the planet  
in the process. Billions of years later the universe will begin

to die and there will come a stage when the universe simply cannot support organic life. If we are to survive at that point we must become these ultra-intelligent machines.

However, chances are our species will go extinct long before this time.

As we reach the singularity, I do believe that a certain portion of the species will opt to remain normal humans. However, the majority of the population will indeed embrace the change.

Please keep in mind that being posthuman doesn't just include becoming machines. For example, take a person who has been genetically engineered to never get sick, to never age, and thus be clinically immortal. Such an individual would be born immortal, never knowing the pain or suffering of sickness, disease, degeneration, and death. Would such a person be human? Of course not, or at least not by our current concept of what being human means. Such a being may be incapable of empathizing with mortals, or view itself as being superior to them.

What I'm trying to communicate is that its possible that when we reach the posthuman stage we will speciate in a sense, creating different types of posthumans. Some will be genetically engineered as I wrote above. Some will even be genetically engineered for differing environments. In fact, it's easier to change the body than it is easier to change a planet. Imagine reptilian or insectoid 'humans' designed to live on an alien world! Would you recognize them as being human?

Other examples of this speciation is the uploading of minds into machines and advanced cyborgs.

Those few humans who choose to remain humans have already been dubbed, 'Neo-Luddites'.

Neo-Luddites

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neo-Luddism>

You asked me if the aliens are what I say they are, then why should we aspire to be like them. I think you fail to understand that we do want to be like them and have made rather unconscious choices toward creating that future for humanity. Can you leave your home without your cell phone? Can you live without your car or computer. You, like nearly everyone else, are completely dependent on machines. I would go as far as call us, 'pre-cyborgs' instead of 'normal humans',

What I'm trying to communicate is that you, like everyone else, have already made the unconscious choice to become posthuman, to give up humanity as defined by current concepts. You just don't consciously realize it yet.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**



Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 8](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 16:18:32 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 05:39:40 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 20:32:27 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 10:49:54 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 22:28:29 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

<snip>

>>>Your experience may be relevant to the discussion of ufos for  
>>>those who can adopt, at least temporarily, a non-materialistic  
>>>stance. I've mentioned before on this list that sometimes  
>>>observers report a meaningful mental connection between them and  
>>>the unknown objects in the sky. There is a school of thought  
>>>that such objects might be something like a thought form,  
>>>perhaps created in a collaboration between the observer and some  
>>>other aspect of the cosmos like a higher consciousness.

<snip>

>>Yes, it is quite common for people to claim they have a mental  
>>connection to the UFOs, despite whether or not any entities are  
>>seen. Some people claim they hear a voice in their heads telling  
>>them to go outside or to look out a window, while others claim  
>>they just get an urge to do so.

>Some people say they get empirical confirmation that there is  
>some kind of communication. This is in the form of ufo actions  
>appearing to obey mental requests. So the information appears to  
>flow both ways.

>>I see you would choose a spiritual explanation of 'thoughtforms'  
>>and a 'higher consciousness', a.k.a. God. Such a position is  
>>unfalsifiable, meaning science would reject it.

>I don't accept that we are so limited that we can't address such  
>a phenomenon in order to understand it. Of course, you would  
>never do that since you refuse to even acknowledge its possible  
>existence. Such self-censorship is not necessary!

That's not true. Instead, I merely find no reason to believe in  
a spiritual explanation. Spirituality doesn't solve the  
questions that science does. Instead, spirituality relies on  
interpretations and avoids explanations.

>Also, I never use the word "God" in this context. I don't even  
>like to use the word "consciousness" since it also has excess  
>baggage. But it's a start and is a word that seems to come close  
>to what is needed. And yes, I do think there is enough  
>converging evidence to suggest that there is more to reality  
>than your 3D materialism.

Go right ahead and mock the science that has advanced our race.

What you fail to understand is that there is a 'higher consciousness', it's just nothing spiritual. Any aliens visiting the planet would be eons more advanced with regards to technology. If the intelligence is a machine- intelligence then it also might have the appearance of a god-like intelligence or 'higher consciousness' as well.

>>Personally, I would offer a technological explanation and >>avoid magical thinking.

>This is your standard response to anything not consistent with >your limited world view.

You are right. My world view is limited. I do not consider magic a suitable explanation.

>>That explanation would be an advanced and wireless form of >>Brain-Computer Interface (BCI), the same technology that appears >>to be utilised heavily on board the craft, from the telepathy of >>the occupants to the navigation of the craft and other devices.

>You talk as if human technology is well on the way to >accomplishing this when it's nowhere near. No one has any idea >how to build a codec to communicate directly with the human mind >with that degree of precision. So you don't even have a base >from which to extrapolate. If you insist on playing the "magical >thinking" card, why should your form of magic be preferable to >anyone else's?

Because what I propose is not magic. You know very well that as technologies progress that they often include the development of wireless forms. To suggest that today's infantile version of synthetic telepathy which requires wires and electrodes, could not evolve to become wireless seems silly.

>One of the facts that parapsychologists have had to accept is >that the power levels required for mind to mind communications >via radio are not there. If that was enough to keep psi research >in its place over the last half century, then by the same >argument, your BCI approach cannot work either. Brain electrical >activity from an individual on the ground would be >indistinguishable in the noise a mile or two up in the sky. So >even an on-board amplifier would be of no help.

When did I say 'brain electrical activity' is the medium for the BCI? I don't know what the medium is. It might be radio, laser, or even ELF for all I know. However, it's likely not 'brain electrical activity' I don't know if any UFO must be able to monitor brain electrical activity in order to produce this effect. I also don't know if people who claim they can summon UFOs are being honest. In actuality I tend to dismiss people who claim they can summon UFOs. I somehow doubt that any visiting intelligence would stand by waiting to put on a show for the mere whims of an inferior, primitive, and barbaric being.

In all honesty, I do not know 'how' the UFOs might be accomplishing this reported mental connection, however the most logical choice of an explanation involves technology and not spirituality.

Most people realize it would be improper to worship aliens as gods, yet so many interested in UFOs seem to be seeking a form of religious or spiritual fulfillment through them, which is just as improper.

>Perhaps it's time to consider more creative solutions.

I disagree. I feel it's time to come back to reality.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 8](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 17:08:35 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 05:40:49 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 15:00:54 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

<snip>

>When I mentioned asymptotic growth, I was thinking about the  
>logistic curve where the initial growth is exponentially  
>increasing and then slows asymptotically to near zero.

><http://tinyurl.com/3lopob>

>The latter part of the curve is where Jason would say humanity  
>is now in terms of intelligence, and I suggested that machine  
>intelligence is headed there too. It only looks like it might  
>exceed human intelligence because it is still on the initial  
>part of the curve that has an increasing gradient. Our tendency  
>to extrapolate linearly may give the illusion that machine  
>intelligence must soon exceed human intelligence. The  
>exponential growth of the systems mentioned above must end at  
>some point. Some of us are just disagreeing on how soon it will  
>end for machine intelligence.

Logistics curve has no bearing on machine's ability to surpass  
human intelligence.

Instead, Logistics curve relates to exponential growth.

In a nutshell, Logistics curve states the obvious. there is a  
beginning and an ending for everything, including exponential  
growth. Exponential growth cannot continue to infinity. Known or  
unknown factors will eventually converge to bring an end to  
exponential growth.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 8](#)

## Re: The Life Cybernetic

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 17:19:51 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 05:41:59 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Life Cybernetic

>From: Bert Reijersen van Buuren <[bert.nul](mailto:bert.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 6 Feb 2010 20:30:40 +0100  
>Subject: Re: The Life Cybernetic

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 14:21:52 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: The Life Cybernetic

>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 30 Jan 2010 16:17:30 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: The Life Cybernetic

<snip>

>>Mr. Treurniet, if you read my posts or my blog on this subject  
>>then you should be well aware of how I came to the decision. I  
>>recognized the fact that I could not rule out completely  
>>naturally evolved or biologically engineered telepathy and other  
>>mental powers. The probability for such isn't zero, but it's  
>>pretty darn close to it.

>Your opinion is based on poor research!

>See for instance History Channel's documentaries on psychic  
>abilities.

The History Channel is one of my favorite channels.

>Isn't it an eye opener that if peoples heads have been damaged  
>by electricity, lightning or sometimes by mechanical force that  
>some of them become psychic?

Some people claim they've become psychic after such trauma. Some  
even claim to have been healed. There is a woman in my state who  
had MS and claimed that she was healed after being struck by  
lightening. It's all anecdotal evidence and nothing conclusive.

>MRI scans reveal that electromagnetic fields of those persons  
>are not normal and often irregular. Babies, most of the time,  
>don't have a protective field around their heads because those  
>protective fields grow after birth and that takes a few years.  
>If such a protective field doesn't grow or not completely grows  
>then very often that person is psychic in one way or another.

I don't know what you are referring to by way of 'protective  
field'. Like some type of force field?

The human body may have an incredibly weak electro-magnetic  
field, however I fail to see any significance between such and  
psychic powers.

I know people claim psychic experience and that many people  
believe in paranormal abilities, however, they just haven't been  
proved scientifically.

Re: The Life Cybernetic

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 8](#)

## Re: New Graphene-Based Transistors

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 17:33:41 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 05:43:00 -0500  
Subject: Re: New Graphene-Based Transistors

>From: Neil Morris <[neil.nul](mailto:neil.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 18:45:49 +0000  
>Subject: Re: New Graphene-Based Transistors

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 23:12:33 -0500  
>>Subject: New Graphene-Based Transistors [was: Alien Telepathy Explained]

>>With DARPA's money, IBM has just released a breakthrough in  
>>transistor technology that might stand to save Moore's Law.

>>The new technology is a 100GHz Graphene-Based transistor that  
>>will eventually render obsolete the standard silicon variety.

>>Has Moore's Law been saved?

>The Prof who discovered how to fabricate Graphene has his office  
>just across the corridor. He demonstrated switching in  
>Graphene back in 2004 but it took until last year before the  
>boys from IBM and DARPA came to visit, but it seems they've  
>finally got their finger out. <g>

>You can see the current breadth of research on the groups  
>webpage here, including the levitating frog and Geko tape, Andre  
>believes science should be fun as well as educational!:

><http://www.condmat.physics.manchester.ac.uk/>

>It would not surprise us if he were to pick up a Nobel Prize  
>very soon.

Interesting, I saw the levitating frog and the gecko-tape on two  
different science programs a while back. I didn't pay attention  
to the lab or creators.

Seems Graphene is fixing to take off big. If I had the money,  
Graphene and BCI would be the technologies to invest in.

How much you wanna bet that the boys at DARPA are already using  
Graphene chips while we have to wait until the trickle-down  
effect?

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and

are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 8](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 8 Feb 2010 01:18:43 +0000  
Archived: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 05:44:12 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 15:00:54 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>Some of us are just disagreeing on how soon it will  
>end for machine intelligence.

And I'm suggesting that machine intelligence hasn't really  
started yet.

--

Gerald O'Connell  
<http://www.onlyport.com>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 8](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: **Geoff Blackmore** <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 8 Feb 2010 23:50:26 +1300  
Archived: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 06:00:04 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 15:12:03 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>What I'm trying to communicate is that you, like everyone else,  
>have already made the unconscious choice to become posthuman, to  
>give up humanity as defined by current concepts. You just don't  
>consciously realize it yet.

That simply isn't true, I have no desire to be posthuman, and I doubt too many people do.

Surely you understand the difference between embracing the use of technology and wanting to become technology?

Regards,

Geoff B.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 8](#)

## Money Masters And UFOs

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 8 Feb 2010 05:57:42 EST  
Archived: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 06:04:00 -0500  
Subject: Money Masters And UFOs

That's a link to a lengthy documentary called The Money Masters:

<http://tinyurl.com/ye49m6h>

It's an extremely thorough look at the fractional reserve banking. It shows how it's destroyed civilization after civilization over the past several thousand years.

I bring this up because we often talk about conspiracies in regard to ufology and we know for historical fact there have been and there are ongoing conspiracies regarding information.

We rarely talk about the financial implications of ufology. How what occurs in the world of finance or how would the data gleaned from UFO research has and may affect commerce.

When you look at this documentary you will see levels of secrecy, lying, deceit, hate, vindictiveness, and greed on levels of Biblical proportions.

If this has gone on regarding commerce, you can imagine what these people, if you could call them that, have done with the best UFO information and applicable scientific data.

It's a long documentary, 3 1/2 hours and is causing one of the fastest online viral campaigns in years. It's how a documentary should be produced with facts.

Considering what's in this documentary, to tell someone who has studied UFOs for any length of time and gotten hold of the sound data, that it doesn't take a rocket scientist to figure out who and why these people would want UFO data obscured and it has nothing to do with national security, it has something to do with control and greed.

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 8](#)

## Re: Money Masters And UFOs

From: Sean Jones <[Sean.Jones.nul](mailto:Sean.Jones.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 8 Feb 2010 11:16:06 +0000  
Archived: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 06:30:37 -0500  
Subject: Re: Money Masters And UFOs

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 8 Feb 2010 05:57:42 EST  
>Subject: Money Masters And UFOs

>That's a link to a lengthy documentary called The Money Masters:

><http://tinyurl.com/ye49m6h>

<snip>

>Considering what's in this documentary, to tell someone who has  
>studied UFOs for any length of time and gotten hold of the sound  
>data, that it doesn't take a rocket scientist to figure out who  
>and why these people would want UFO data obscured and it has  
>nothing to do with national security, it has something to do  
>with control and greed.

And most importantly of all, money.

>Best,

>Greg  
>[ufomafia.com](http://ufomafia.com)

Greg

With all due respect, who hasn't already figured that out?

All the best

Sean

--

In an infinite universe infinitely anything is possible.

<http://www.tedric.demon.co.uk/>

Sean Jones

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 8](#)

## Re: Money Masters And UFOs

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 8 Feb 2010 06:42:53 EST  
Archived: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 06:45:12 -0500  
Subject: Re: Money Masters And UFOs

>From: Sean Jones <[Sean.Jones.nul](mailto:Sean.Jones.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 8 Feb 2010 11:16:06 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Money Masters And UFOs

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 8 Feb 2010 05:57:42 EST  
>>Subject: Money Masters And UFOs

>>That's a link to a lengthy documentary called The Money Masters:

>><http://tinyurl.com/ye49m6h>

>><snip>

>>Considering what's in this documentary, to tell someone who has  
>>studied UFOs for any length of time and gotten hold of the sound  
>>data, that it doesn't take a rocket scientist to figure out who  
>>and why these people would want UFO data obscured and it has  
>>nothing to do with national security, it has something to do  
>>with control and greed.

>>And most importantly of all, money.

>With all due respect, who hasn't already figured that out?  
e.

You'd be surprised who and how many are unaware of this scam.  
That's why I brought the topic up.

Best,  
Greg  
[ufomafia.com](http://ufomafia.com)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 8](#)

## Silicon Object From Space

From: Geoff Blackmore <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 9 Feb 2010 00:57:12 +1300  
Archived: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 07:12:29 -0500  
Subject: Silicon Object From Space

Source: Hawkes Bay Today - New Zealand

<http://tinyurl.com/ykxys4j>

30th January 2010

Magic Remains Over Space Curio  
By Roger Moroney

There is no mystery about where the strangely fused and shining block of silicon came from - it came from space.

The real mystery, and one Hawke's Bay astronomer Gary Sparks is seeking to solve, is what it came from.

When Mr Sparks first set eyes on the once-molten lump of space debris he initially saw it as a chunk of ore of some sort. But closer examination showed it was not a naturally formed object.  
"It had a shining lustre to it."

It had been delivered to him by former Wairoa woman Blue Tiger at the end of last year and he had been astonished to hear it had sat on the mantelpiece in her father's living room at his rural home near Wairoa for more than 20 years.

When her father passed away in 2003, she had brought it with her when she moved to Napier.

The brick-sized block, after streaking across the night sky, had landed in a paddock on the family's farm and the heat from it had started a small fire. The next day, when family members had gone to look for any sign of the "meteorite" they discovered a large scooped-out crater, and the strange metallic lump at the edge. From there, it had gone on to the mantelpiece as a curio.

But late last year, Blue had begun wondering about the "meteorite" and had taken it to show the Holt Planetarium director.

Mr Sparks was astonished and said at first he had thought it was ore - until he picked it up. "It was too light," he said. "So I had the GNS (Geological and Nuclear Sciences) people in Taupo look at it.

They x-rayed it and found it to be pure silicon."

The examination also showed internal laminations within the block that all ran the same way.

That was the first clue for Mr Sparks.

It indicated whatever the object was, it had been folded up - neatly "concertinaed" - as it had blasted into the atmosphere and began heating up to melting point.

Pure silicon is used to make solar panels. "My guess is that it is the melted remains of a solar panel from a satellite de-orbiting over New Zealand."

The mystery part, at this stage, is just what satellite that was.

Mr Sparks said the family thought the block of silicon came down in late 1984.

"One of the GNS guys recalled a story about something streaking over the skies here - but he wasn't sure exactly when it was either.

"If we can nail down the date then my friends at Nasa should be able to identify the spacecraft."

Mr Sparks said it was not unusual for space debris to come down on this part of the world as the Pacific was the biggest open space to drop an old piece of space hardware into. "It's the perfect dumping ground for satellites."

For Blue, the strange, fused silicon brick is a wondrous link to her late father, as they had both watched it scorching low across the sky and into the paddock.

"I was about eight or nine - it was just magical," she said, adding with a laugh she used to think it was a dragon's egg at the time.

She will likely hang on to it, but was happy to let Mr Sparks, and Nasa, have a close look at something that once soared high up in space.

\* Anyone who remembers a meteor/shooting star/UFO sighting over the Bay about 25 years ago, and may have a news clipping about it, is asked to give Mr Sparks a call so he can put the mystery to rest. He can be contacted through the Holt Planetarium on 834 4345.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 8](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 08:30:07 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 09:07:01 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Gerald O'Connell <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 8 Feb 2010 01:18:43 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 15:00:54 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>Some of us are just disagreeing on how soon it will  
>>end for machine intelligence.

>And I'm suggesting that machine intelligence hasn't really  
>started yet.

I suppose it's a matter of definition. The thermostat that turns  
your furnace on and off is a form of machine intelligence.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 9](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 8 Feb 2010 13:34:36 -0400  
Archived: Tue, 09 Feb 2010 17:34:31 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 7 Feb 2010 15:04:25 -0000  
>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 6 Feb 2010 11:19:04 -0500 (EST)  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

<snip>

>>>If forced to speculate I'd say it isn't ruled out that the light  
>>>could be a specular sun reflection on some shiny object in the  
>>>cockpit, perhaps part of the F-86 instrument fascia, secondarily  
>>>reflected in the windshield glass (there is also a near-vertical  
>>>arc of faint light running across the photo that looks like a  
>>>reflection). Has anyone looked into this? Probably not since  
>>>there has apparently never been any reason to doubt  
>>>Childerhose's story.

<snip>

>>As for reflections, etc., it should be pointed out that the  
>>plane traveled quite a distance during the sighting and the  
>>sighting line to the object/light changed direction which should  
>>spoil any alignment between a point (small) bright reflection.  
>>The idea of a reflection from a point in the cockpit was  
>>discussed years ago and seems very unlikely. Childerhose flew  
>>past the light/object as one would travel past any object a  
>>distance away (you watch the direction to the object rotate in  
>>azimuth toward the rear as you travel along)

>You are talking here about the possibility of Childerhose  
>himself having been fooled by a reflection, but that's not a  
>"deliberate fake" theory (Campbell's claim) and I would agree  
>that it's extremely improbable anyway. What did Campbell think  
>he had discovered? Can anyone enlighten us?

The squadron was preparing for a speed run - a fuel trial-across  
Canada from Vancouver, BC to Gimli, Manitoba to Halifax, Nova  
Scotia. The very idea that Childerhose had any time to formulate  
a hoax while flying an F-86 in even a loose formation  
[necessitated by flight through cloud] is absurd.

But the absurdity seems to be the basis from which most  
debunkers operate. When all else fails, claim a hoax. In this  
case not worth any serious consideration.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 9](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 13:43:32 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 09 Feb 2010 17:36:22 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 16:18:32 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 20:32:27 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 10:49:54 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>>Date: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 22:28:29 -0500  
>>>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

><snip>

>>>>Your experience may be relevant to the discussion of ufos for  
>>>>those who can adopt, at least temporarily, a non-materialistic  
>>>>stance. I've mentioned before on this list that sometimes  
>>>>observers report a meaningful mental connection between them and  
>>>>the unknown objects in the sky. There is a school of thought  
>>>>that such objects might be something like a thought form,  
>>>>perhaps created in a collaboration between the observer and some  
>>>>other aspect of the cosmos like a higher consciousness.

><snip>

>>>I see you would choose a spiritual explanation of 'thoughtforms'  
>>>and a 'higher consciousness', a.k.a. God. Such a position is  
>>>unfalsifiable, meaning science would reject it.

>>I don't accept that we are so limited that we can't address such  
>>a phenomenon in order to understand it. Of course, you would  
>>never do that since you refuse to even acknowledge its possible  
>>existence. Such self-censorship is not necessary!

>That's not true. Instead, I merely find no reason to believe in  
>a spiritual explanation. Spirituality doesn't solve the  
>questions that science does. Instead, spirituality relies on  
>interpretations and avoids explanations.

Any explanation of scientific data is always an interpretation based on some kind of theory. There are theories about consciousness too which are capable of making testable predictions. For example, Calleman's model of the Mayan calendar can be used to predict how a universal consciousness could cause certain physical effects, and measurements have supported these predictions.

The essence of science is the scientific method, essentially the unbiased prediction/test cycle. It does not dictate what kinds of theory or data are acceptable. That's where the politics of science comes in.



>>Also, I never use the word "God" in this context. I don't even  
>>like to use the word "consciousness" since it also has excess  
>>baggage. But it's a start and is a word that seems to come close  
>>to what is needed. And yes, I do think there is enough  
>>converging evidence to suggest that there is more to reality  
>>than your 3D materialism.

>Go right ahead and mock the science that has advanced our race.

Your inference that I mock is puzzling. And it's not science that has advanced our race (species?), although it may have contributed. Science has made life easier and more interesting in some cases, but it also has given us the means to kill each other more efficiently. That's a debatable advance.

I think the most important positive thing that science has done for us is to facilitate the development of human consciousness. For example, we can now relate to each other around the world much more easily via our communications systems. With the internet we can ferret out what is propaganda and what is likely true. I think you would say that consciousness and human relations are not addressable by science. Yet here is where the real advances are.

>What you fail to understand is that there is a 'higher consciousness', it's just nothing spiritual. Any aliens visiting the planet would be eons more advanced with regards to technology. If the intelligence is a machine- intelligence then it also might have the appearance of a god-like intelligence or 'higher consciousness' as well.

I agree that beings from the sky may have been seen as god-like in the past, and maybe some of them even had demonstrably non-physical properties. That does not rule out some over-arching non-physical principle needed to understand why the universe exists at all. That principle may or may not involve a 'higher consciousness'.

>>>Personally, I would offer a technological explanation and >>>avoid magical thinking.

>>This is your standard response to anything not consistent with >>your limited world view.

>You are right. My world view is limited. I do not consider magic >a suitable explanation.

I prefer to think that the supernatural is just the "natural" that we don't understand yet. I keep all my options open whereas you seem to let yourself be constrained by the current ideology of science and technology.

>>>That explanation would be an advanced and wireless form of >>>Brain-Computer Interface (BCI), the same technology that appears >>>to be utilised heavily on board the craft, from the telepathy of >>>the occupants to the navigation of the craft and other devices.

>>You talk as if human technology is well on the way to >>accomplishing this when it's nowhere near. No one has any idea >>how to build a codec to communicate directly with the human mind >>with that degree of precision. So you don't even have a base >>from which to extrapolate. If you insist on playing the "magical >>thinking" card, why should your form of magic be preferable to >>anyone else's?

>Because what I propose is not magic. You know very well that as >technologies progress that they often include the development of >wireless forms. To suggest that today's infantile version of >synthetic telepathy which requires wires and electrodes, could >not evolve to become wireless seems silly.

Are you proposing some as yet unknown form of wireless communication? EM communications channels would not do since they would suffer from too low signal/noise ratio as mentioned below.

>>One of the facts that parapsychologists have had to accept is >>that the power levels required for mind to mind communications >>via radio are not there. If that was enough to keep psi research >>in its place over the last half century, then by the same >>argument, your BCI approach cannot work either. Brain electrical

>>activity from an individual on the ground would be  
>>indistinguishable in the noise a mile or two up in the sky. So  
>>even an on-board amplifier would be of no help.

>When did I say 'brain electrical activity' is the medium for the  
>BCI? I don't know what the medium is. It might be radio, laser,  
>or even ELF for all I know. However, it's likely not 'brain  
>electrical activity' I don't know if any UFO must be able to  
>monitor brain electrical activity in order to produce this  
>effect. I also don't know if people who claim they can summon  
>UFOs are being honest. In actuality I tend to dismiss people who  
>claim they can summon UFOs. I somehow doubt that any visiting  
>intelligence would stand by waiting to put on a show for the  
>mere whims of an inferior, primitive, and barbaric being.

>In all honesty, I do not know 'how' the UFOs might be  
>accomplishing this reported mental connection, however the most  
>logical choice of an explanation involves technology and not  
>spirituality.

If 'brain electrical activity' is not transmitted via an antenna  
of some sort and propagated via an EM channel, then how would  
thoughts be communicated? My point was that the brain signal is  
of too low a level to be transmitted any appreciable distance  
with a useable S/N ratio. If you don't have an alternative way  
to transmit 'brain electrical activity', then you might just as  
well call it telepathy and leave it at that.

Also note that "spirituality" is a loaded word that you chose to  
use in this context. Maybe it's appropriate, and maybe not. I'm  
not prejudging how things like thought forms might be created,  
or how mind-to-mind communications could happen. There may even  
be a physical explanation, but I suspect it would require a  
revised model of reality that includes awareness as an intrinsic  
element.

>Most people realize it would be improper to worship aliens as  
>gods, yet so many interested in UFOs seem to be seeking a form  
>of religious or spiritual fulfillment through them, which is  
>just as improper.

>>Perhaps it's time to consider more creative solutions.

>I disagree. I feel it's time to come back to reality.

You speak as if consensus reality is etched in stone rather than  
continually evolving.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 9](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 15:46:20 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 09 Feb 2010 17:38:18 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Geoff Blackmore <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 8 Feb 2010 04:57:38 +1300  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 05 Feb 2010 15:19:15 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>I'm not being evasive, it's just that the subject of lucid  
>>dreaming has nothing to do with telepathy or the mental  
>>abilities as described by abductees.

>I agree the topic is different, but the point I was making is  
>that we have mental abilities that can remain dormant for a  
>lifetime. Lucid dreaming of the degree I described is something  
>that most people will never experience, even though it is  
>possible. Therefore, since we know we have at least one dormant  
>mental ability, we may therefore have others.

>>I know most people have an unusual experiences that they  
>>believe involve these abilities, it's just that such abilities have  
>>never been proven to exist.

>Do you believe me when I tell you the real world experience can  
>be 100% reproduced in the mind? There are those who will not  
>believe it, but I know it is true. I can't prove it to them, but  
>that doesn't make it less real. It just means there is no  
>scientifically verifiable way for me to demonstrate the reality  
>of what I say.

>Cheers,

>Geoff B.

Lucid Dreaming is a touchy subject. Technically Lucid Dreaming  
does exist as most people do recall dreams in which they become  
aware of dreaming.

However, skeptics insist that it is nowhere as extensive as some  
believers claim, as in skeptics reject the notion of people  
claiming to have 100% control of their dreams.

Skeptics back up their claim with the fact that the prefrontal  
cortex, the area of the brain that is involved with self-  
awareness is not as active during dreaming.

Also, certain people do seem to confuse exceptionally detailed  
dreams with Lucid Dreaming. The two are not the same thing.

For example, I have had dreams with seemingly all 5 senses  
involved, however this is not Lucid Dreaming.

If you want my opinion, dreams are an organic virtual reality  
created by our brain and can point to how consciousness is  
created.

I briefly touch on this in my blog, 'How To Build A Conscious

Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

Strong A.I.', mainly that consciousness can be defined as experiencing via the senses and that the experiences of our senses take place in an organic virtual reality created by the brain.

How To Build A Conscious Strong A.I.

<http://tinyurl.com/ynqdsb>

-Jason gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 9](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 16:25:49 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 09 Feb 2010 17:39:32 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Geoff Blackmore <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 8 Feb 2010 23:50:26 +1300  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 15:12:03 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>What I'm trying to communicate is that you, like everyone else,  
>>have already made the unconscious choice to become posthuman, to  
>>give up humanity as defined by current concepts. You just don't  
>>consciously realize it yet.

>That simply isn't true, I have no desire to be posthuman, and I  
>doubt too many people do.

Actually, there are a number of transhumanist/posthumanists like myself. The majority of the public embraces technology and can be made to embrace 'controversial' technology, such as RFID chips, with the proper motivation. (ex- by appealing to 'safety', like what was done after 9/11, to use 'safety' as an excuse to make controversial changes. The public buys into it because they are asleep.)

And just to clarify your position, you have no conscious desire to become posthuman. You have an unconscious desire to become one though.

>Surely you understand the difference between embracing the use  
>of technology and wanting to become technology?

>Regards,

>Geoff B.

I understand that there is no difference. We have been augmenting the human body for a long time now.

We, as a collective, chose the cyborg route a long time ago. We are walking this path now.

If people want to stand even the slightest chance of changing our path then people will need to act fast and act soon. There is only a small window of opportunity to stop a negative or dystopian version of the future.

The recent RFID chip scandal should have been an eye-opener but it seems as if the masses have returned to their slumber.

As the Gnostic Christians say, 'Wake Up!'. You are asleep, the masses are asleep.

I'm not saying that a cyborg future would be a dystopia, it's just that I would rather have people be awake, be conscious of their choices and not be the ego-zombies that they currently are.

Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

Most people are caught up in their own pursuits, their own day-to-day lives to even ponder these concepts.

Just to clarify, I do not think any rebellion against our current path will be fruitful. We as a species are too invested in our technology, to dependent on it.

We will get the future we want, even if it is only an unconscious desire, or better yet, we will get the future we deserve.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 9](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 17:03:26 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 09 Feb 2010 17:41:03 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Geoff Blackmore <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 8 Feb 2010 23:50:26 +1300  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

<snip>

>I have no desire to be posthuman, and I doubt too many people do.

<snip>

The human body is a vessel, a container, a vehicle for consciousness. I'm not referring to the soul, but rather the mind.

"Your flesh is a relic, a mere vessel. Hand over your flesh and a new world awaits you. We demand it."

-The A.I. Amabassador/Suicide Bomber from 'The Second Renaissance' segment of 'The Animatrix'

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 9](#)

## Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 9 Feb 2010 00:29:39 -0400  
Archived: Tue, 09 Feb 2010 17:52:28 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 11:24:52 -0500  
>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](#)>  
>>Date: Sat, 6 Feb 2010 12:23:06 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 10:14:10 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

<snip>

>>>I dealt with your time-lapse guess in a previous post. I  
>>>overlaid and aligned three frames taken from a 5-sec portion of  
>>>a video to show that the object's motion would have severely  
>>>smearred the spiral image in a time-lapse photo. Please look at  
>>>Figures 1 and 2 in

>>><http://www.treurniet.ca/spirals/norwayspiral.htm>

>><snip>

>>I didn't guess at the photo being a time lapse shot, the caption  
>>below the photo on whatever site that it showed up on claimed  
>>that it was a time lapse photo.

>Ok, I don't believe you mentioned the caption before. If you  
>did, sorry I missed it.

>Let's assume the caption was correct and the picture was indeed  
>a time-lapse photo. If the center of the spiral were rotating,  
>we should see only a round blob at the center. But instead, we  
>see detail, and the orientation of this detail is the same in a  
>number of photos. So (1) detail visible at the center in a time-  
>lapse photo, and (2) the same orientation of this detail in  
>different pictures, are two clues that the center of the spiral  
>was not rotating.

>So, if the spiral in the photos was not rotating, it could not  
>have been the same spiral seen in the video.

What do you mean, "assume that the caption was correct"? Go back  
and look for yourself. It's the first photo to be published.  
Certainly not UFO-related.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 9](#)

## Synthetic Telepathy Might Explain Some Events

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 9 Feb 2010 19:35:24 +0000  
Archived: Tue, 09 Feb 2010 17:56:49 -0500  
Subject: Synthetic Telepathy Might Explain Some Events

In the Alien Telepathy Explained thread nobody has mentioned the fact that Richard Dolan suggested the possibility that Dr. Gordon J.F. McDonald's suicide might have been induced by transcranial magnetic stimulation. Or, as Dolan put it: "electromagnetic weapons". -- Page 382, UFOs And The National Security State, 1941-1973.

In light of Dr. John Hall's research, as documented in A New Breed Of Satellite Surveillance, and the suggestion he made during the Coast to Coast interview last night, i.e., that cranial stimulation could be the basis for so-called alien abductions, maybe it is time for other researchers to familiarize themselves with this technology.

As I looked over Dolan's Table of Military UFO Encounters, I wondered what percentage could be attributed to ELF and transcranial magnetic stimulation. Just wondering.

Transcranial magnetic stimulation affects the neurological systems of the human body, plus can be made to interfere with thought processes.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 9](#)

## News Links - 08-02-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 09 Feb 2010 18:27:29 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 09 Feb 2010 18:27:29 -0500  
Subject: News Links - 08-02-10

News Links - 08-02-10

UFOs And The Mexican Presidential Airplane  
UFODigest  
<http://www.ufodigest.com/news/0210/ufo-plane.php>

Mystery 'X' Stand For 'X-traterrestrial' To Some Believers  
Discovery News  
<http://tinyurl.com/ylsatzu>

Was There A UFO As Well As Lanterns?  
Driffield Today  
<http://tinyurl.com/yjcn5c>

Interview With Nick Pope  
Ghost Theory  
<http://tinyurl.com/yjmh5xp>

Revisiting the Roswell UFO Crash  
PR.com  
<http://www.pr.com/press-release/210977>

Awesome Or Off-Putting: Flock Of UFOs Filmed Over Acapulco  
hecklerspray  
<http://tinyurl.com/ydrfyo8>

From Frank Warren's UFO Chronicles:

Mysterious Craft Over South California, Causes Gunfire, Blackout  
<http://tinyurl.com/ybkqd3p>

From 'The Norm':

Physicist Proposes Method To Teleport Energy  
<http://www.physorg.com/news184597481.html>

No Roswell Stuff In FOIA Docs  
Billy Cox  
<http://tinyurl.com/ybnxcax>

Miracles And Anomalies: Magic, Psi, And Science  
<http://tinyurl.com/y8et8dg>

If The Earth Is Rare, We May Not Hear From ET  
<http://tinyurl.com/ydlzebr>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 10](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: **Geoff Blackmore** <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 00:20:29 +1300  
Archived: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 06:29:55 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
Date: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 15:46:20 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>However, skeptics insist that it (lucid dreaming) is nowhere as  
>extensive as some believers claim...

Which differs from my own experiences. You have to experience it  
in order to understand it, so I would have to assume such  
skeptics are simply assuming they know better, in the absence of  
having had such an experience. That's why I don't have too much  
time for skeptics societies and similar groups. They tend to  
choose to be skeptical about things for the sake of it.

Regards,

Geoff B.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 10](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: **Geoff Blackmore** <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 00:22:08 +1300  
Archived: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 06:31:07 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 16:25:49 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>And just to clarify your position, you have no conscious desire  
>to become posthuman. You have an unconscious desire to become  
>one though.

With all due respect, you are not in a position to make such a  
declaration about me or anybody else. I have no such desire,  
conscious or unconscious, to veer off the natural path of my  
existence.

>I understand that there is no difference.

It is quite astounding that you can not see the difference,  
because most people can. People enjoy technology, but they do  
not want to become it. To most people, that is a hideous  
thought.

>The majority of the public embraces technology.

I don't own a cellphone, nor a DVD player, and do not desire  
either. I tire of technology quickly as well, and prefer the  
great outdoors over watching TV. It's a nice convenience, but it  
has absolutely nothing to do with what I personally desire to  
make of myself in life. Those are two entirely separate matters.

I firmly believe and very much desire to follow my natural path  
of existence, and that includes growing old then dying. I do not  
fear it, but then I accept many people do, and that some of them  
may desire to escape it through unnatural means.

Regards,

Geoff B.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 10](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Geoff Blackmore <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 00:53:16 +1300  
Archived: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 07:58:10 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
Date: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 17:03:26 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>The human body is a vessel, a container, a vehicle for  
>consciousness. I'm not referring to the soul, but rather the  
>mind.

I partly agree.

It's a little hard to keep these posts serious when you continue to base your postings on quotes from science fiction movies and stories, however I do find them interesting nonetheless. Let me indulge in outlining my own belief, based upon my own experiences, thinking and philosophy, rather than science fiction movies.

My own personal view is that human beings, and other life forms, have souls. The soul is not independant of us, but rather it is us. It is us in our true form, located in our true place of reality. Life on Earth is a prelude to maturing into free and open existence in that reality - all we can see and touch on Earth, or see through our telescopes - is a subjective reality. A place to test ourselves, gain wisdom, gain knowledge, and learn the true value of joy in ourselves and in others.

Our bodies, as you mention, are vessels. "Virtual reality Suits" is how I think of them. Our souls experience the subjective reality environment through these bodies. When the body eventually dies, the soul is released into true reality, hopefully equipped by then with what we need to live properly there. If not, perhaps we come back for another chance at learning.

I think the aliens are likely from that true reality. That is why we do not have success at finding them here, and why they come and go from thin air, radar, and visual sight. It may also be why numerous abductees have reported the precence of deceased love ones with the aliens - they can join the aliens at crossing the divide between true reality and the subjective reality we are in. A bit like concerned parents popping into the nursury from time to time, to make sure the children are ok.

I think trying to escape death through technology, is to cheat one's self of one's destiny. It would be like a Caterpillar who tries to stay a Caterpillar instead of turning into a butterfly.

Yes I know Jason - you do not believe in God, or souls, or that we may exist in a subjective reality (which implies science cannot measure true reality). You do not need to remind me of your views which you have made clear previously, but please respect my right to hold my own beliefs, just as you do yours.

Cheers,

Geoff B.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 10](#)

## Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 08:02:15 -0500  
Archived: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 08:14:10 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 9 Feb 2010 00:29:39 -0400  
>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 11:24:52 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 6 Feb 2010 12:23:06 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>Date: Sat, 06 Feb 2010 10:14:10 -0500  
>>>>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

><snip>

>>>>I dealt with your time-lapse guess in a previous post. I  
>>>>overlaid and aligned three frames taken from a 5-sec portion of  
>>>>a video to show that the object's motion would have severely  
>>>>smearred the spiral image in a time-lapse photo. Please look at  
>>>>Figures 1 and 2 in

>>>><http://www.treurniet.ca/spirals/norwayspiral.htm>

>>>><snip>

>>>>I didn't guess at the photo being a time lapse shot, the caption  
>>>>below the photo on whatever site that it showed up on claimed  
>>>>that it was a time lapse photo.

>>>>Ok, I don't believe you mentioned the caption before. If you  
>>>>did, sorry I missed it.

>>>>Let's assume the caption was correct and the picture was indeed  
>>>>a time-lapse photo. If the center of the spiral were rotating,  
>>>>we should see only a round blob at the center. But instead, we  
>>>>see detail, and the orientation of this detail is the same in a  
>>>>number of photos. So (1) detail visible at the center in a time-  
>>>>lapse photo, and (2) the same orientation of this detail in  
>>>>different pictures, are two clues that the center of the spiral  
>>>>was not rotating.

>>>>So, if the spiral in the photos was not rotating, it could not  
>>>>have been the same spiral seen in the video.

>>>>What do you mean, "assume that the caption was correct"? Go back  
>>>>and look for yourself. It's the first photo to be published.  
>>>>Certainly not UFO-related.

I was not questioning that the caption said what you say it  
said. I'm saying, let's assume that the author of the caption  
was right. Do you believe everything you read?

This discussion could be UFO-related. If there is a technology in use that can produce artificial lights in the sky, then we should be aware of it. Images in

<http://www.treurniet.ca/spirals/spiralclues.htm>

show that the Long Island spiral looks a lot like an artificial aurora generated by EISCAT.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 10](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 14:13:51 -0000  
Archived: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 09:38:48 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 8 Feb 2010 13:34:36 -0400  
>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sun, 7 Feb 2010 15:04:25 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Sat, 6 Feb 2010 11:19:04 -0500 (EST)  
>>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

><snip>

>>>>If forced to speculate I'd say it isn't ruled out that the light  
>>>>could be a specular sun reflection on some shiny object in the  
>>>>cockpit, perhaps part of the F-86 instrument fascia, secondarily  
>>>>reflected in the windshield glass (there is also a near-vertical  
>>>>arc of faint light running across the photo that looks like a  
>>>>reflection). Has anyone looked into this? Probably not since  
>>>>there has apparently never been any reason to doubt  
>>>>Childerhose's story.

><snip>

>>>>As for reflections, etc., it should be pointed out that the  
>>>>plane traveled quite a distance during the sighting and the  
>>>>sighting line to the object/light changed direction which should  
>>>>spoil any alignment between a point (small) bright reflection.  
>>>>The idea of a reflection from a point in the cockpit was  
>>>>discussed years ago and seems very unlikely. Childerhose flew  
>>>>past the light/object as one would travel past any object a  
>>>>distance away (you watch the direction to the object rotate in  
>>>>azimuth toward the rear as you travel along)

>>You are talking here about the possibility of Childerhose  
>>himself having been fooled by a reflection, but that's not a  
>>"deliberate fake" theory (Campbell's claim) and I would agree  
>>that it's extremely improbable anyway. What did Campbell think  
>>he had discovered? Can anyone enlighten us?

>The squadron was preparing for a speed run - a fuel trial-across  
>Canada from Vancouver, BC to Gimli, Manitoba to Halifax, Nova  
>Scotia. The very idea that Childerhose had any time to formulate  
>a hoax while flying an F-86 in even a loose formation  
>[necissitated by flight through cloud] is absurd.

>But the absurdity seems to be the basis from which most  
>debunkers operate. When all else fails, claim a hoax. In this  
>case not worth any serious consideration.

This is becoming silly. I know of no claim by anybody that  
Childerhose set up any kind of premeditated hoax, still less any  
hoax that would require manufacturing a gigawatt-output flying  
light source! (That was s a preposterous straw man.)

I just pointed out, twice now, what I should have thought was blindingly obvious: That passing off a hypothetical fortuitous photo artefact (windscreen reflection, for example) as a UFO would not be a question of "formulating a hoax while flying an F-86", and would not need us to be able to explain an object seen by multiple witnesses outside the cockpit..

AFAIK the only source for the story that another pilot also saw this thing for real during the flight is Childerhose's own testimony. For that reason, some such theory as the above remains not completely ruled out - not that I advocate it, but V-J has suggested that we consider Campbell's case, and the only reason I'm pursuing this is because of the chance that Campbell may have uncovered some reason to suspect Childerhose's testimony. Is this really so unclear?? Personally I don't have a lot of faith in Campbell's critical acumen, but anyone can get lucky. Let's wrap this up.

So, Vicente-Juan, for the third time: As you brought this up in the first place please put us all out of our misery, and tell us what was the evidence of hoax that Campbell presented in the British Journal of Photography? Or was your purpose only to tease?

Martin Shough

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 10](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 09:52:23 -0800  
Archived: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 13:12:19 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 16:25:49 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>I understand that there is no difference. We have been  
>augmenting the human body for a long time now.

>We, as a collective, chose the cyborg route a long time ago. We  
>are walking this path now.

>If people want to stand even the slightest chance of changing  
>our path then people will need to act fast and act soon. There  
>is only a small window of opportunity to stop a negative or  
>dystopian version of the future.

>The recent RFID chip scandal should have been an eye-opener but  
>it seems as if the masses have returned to their slumber.

>As the Gnostic Christians say, 'Wake Up!'. You are asleep, the  
>masses are asleep.

>I'm not saying that a cyborg future would be a dystopia, it's  
>just that I would rather have people be awake, be conscious of  
>their choices and not be the ego-zombies that they currently  
>are.

>Most people are caught up in their own pursuits, their own day-  
>to-day lives to even ponder these concepts.

>Just to clarify, I do not think any rebellion against our  
>current path will be fruitful. We as a species are too invested  
>in our technology, too dependent on it.

>We will get the future we want, even if it is only an  
>unconscious desire, or better yet, we will get the future we  
>deserve.

Jason,

Have you ever read any books by Stan Gooch - Total Man,  
Personality And Evolution? I think you and a few others on the  
List might enjoy them. Gooch has an interesting view of what  
constitutes consciousness.

Below is an essay he wrote that summarizes his overall thesis:

<http://aulis.com/twothirds2.htm>

Ed

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 10](#)

## Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 13:53:39 -0400  
Archived: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 13:14:17 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 08:02:15 -0500  
>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Tue, 9 Feb 2010 00:29:39 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

<snip>

>I was not questioning that the caption said what you say it  
>said. I'm saying, let's assume that the author of the caption  
>was right. Do you believe everything you read?

>This discussion could be UFO-related. If there is a technology  
>in use that can produce artificial lights in the sky, then we  
>should be aware of it. Images in

><http://www.treurniet.ca/spirals/spiralclues.htm>

>show that the Long Island spiral looks a lot like an artificial  
>aurora generated by EISCAT.

Why would the author of the caption not be right? You are  
certainly jumping through a lot of hoops to make this mundane  
event into something it is not.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 11](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 14:33:37 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 09:31:27 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 13:43:32 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 16:18:32 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

<snip>

>Are you proposing some as yet unknown form of wireless  
>communication? EM communications channels would not do since  
>they would suffer from too low signal/noise ratio as mentioned  
>below.

<snip>

>If 'brain electrical activity' is not transmitted via an antenna  
>of some sort and propagated via an EM channel, then how would  
>thoughts be communicated? My point was that the brain signal is  
>of too low a level to be transmitted any appreciable distance  
>with a useable S/N ratio. If you don't have an alternative way  
>to transmit 'brain electrical activity', then you might just as  
>well call it telepathy and leave it at that.

I'm not sure you read the link I posted concerning synthetic or  
technological telepathy. Please go back and read the link as it  
explains how the process works. I would post it again, but  
frankly I'm tired of repeating myself.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 11](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 15:00:18 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 09:32:56 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Geoff Blackmore <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 00:22:08 +1300  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 16:25:49 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>And just to clarify your position, you have no conscious desire  
>>to become posthuman. You have an unconscious desire to become  
>>one though.

>With all due respect, you are not in a position to make such a  
>declaration about me or anybody else. I have no such desire,  
>conscious or unconscious, to veer off the natural path of my  
>existence.

Do you wear glasses or contacts? Have a pacemaker? Ever had  
braces? Are you diabetic? Ever had an organ transplant or blood  
transfusion? What about a hearing aid? Do you take vitamins,  
antibiotics, and other supplements?

Have you embraced anything that enhanced or augmented the body,  
including that which makes up for supposed 'defects'.

If so, then you embrace such change, even if you aren't  
consciously aware of it.

>>I understand that there is no difference.

>It is quite astounding that you can not see the difference,  
>because most people can. People enjoy technology, but they do  
>not want to become it. To most people, that is a hideous  
>thought.

Apparently you unaware that cyborgs exist today.

Research "Cochlear Implants". Deaf people who have these  
implanted within them are cyborgs in the true sense of the word.

>>The majority of the public embraces technology.

>I don't own a cellphone, nor a DVD player, and do not desire  
>either. I tire of technology quickly as well, and prefer the  
>great outdoors over watching TV. It's a nice convenience, but it  
>has absolutely nothing to do with what I personally desire to  
>make of myself in life. Those are two entirely separate matters.

My father recently got an insulin pump that hooks onto his belt  
and has the other end inserted into his abdomen. He enjoys it  
compared to having to constantly deal with insulin injections.  
Technically, he is not a cyborg by strict definition, though he  
his joking of calling himself one reveals his openness to the  
subject.

>I firmly believe and very much desire to follow my natural path  
>of existence, and that includes growing old then dying. I do not

Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>fear it, but then I accept many people do, and that some of them  
>may desire to escape it through unnatural means.

>Regards,

>Geoff B.

Your 'natural path' for humanity hasn't been 'natural' for a  
long time now. Hopefully you will begin to understand why this  
is so.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 11](#)

## Re: The Life Cybernetic

From: Bert Reijersen van Buuren <[bert.nul](mailto:bert.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 21:13:45 +0100  
Archived: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 09:35:40 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Life Cybernetic

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 17:19:51 -0500  
>Subject: Re: The Life Cybernetic

>>From: Bert Reijersen van Buuren <[bert.nul](mailto:bert.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 6 Feb 2010 20:30:40 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: The Life Cybernetic

>>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Sun, 31 Jan 2010 14:21:52 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: The Life Cybernetic

>>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>Date: Sat, 30 Jan 2010 16:17:30 -0500  
>>>>Subject: Re: The Life Cybernetic

><snip>

>>>Mr. Treurniet, if you read my posts or my blog on this subject  
>>>then you should be well aware of how I came to the decision. I  
>>>recognized the fact that I could not rule out completely  
>>>naturally evolved or biologically engineered telepathy and other  
>>>mental powers. The probability for such isn't zero, but it's  
>>>pretty darn close to it.

>>Your opinion is based on poor research!

>>See for instance History Channel's documentaries on psychic  
>>abilities.

>The History Channel is one of my favorite channels.

>>Isn't it an eye opener that if peoples heads have been damaged  
>>by electricity, lightning or sometimes by mechanical force that  
>>some of them become psychic?

>Some people claim they've become psychic after such trauma. Some  
>even claim to have been healed. There is a woman in my state who  
>had MS and claimed that she was healed after being struck by  
>lightening. It's all anecdotal evidence and nothing conclusive.

>>MRI scans reveal that electromagnetic fields of those persons  
>>are not normal and often irregular. Babies, most of the time,  
>>don't have a protective field around their heads because those  
>>protective fields grow after birth and that takes a few years.  
>>If such a protective field doesn't grow or not completely grows  
>>then very often that person is psychic in one way or another.

>I don't know what you are referring to by way of 'protective  
>field'. Like some type of force field?

>The human body may have an incredibly weak electro-magnetic  
>field, however I fail to see any significance between such and  
>psychic powers.

>I know people claim psychic experience and that many people  
>believe in paranormal abilities, however, they just haven't been  
>proved scientifically.

It is not in the interest of (some) scientists and a lot of governments that psychic power (or psychic abilities) officially does exist and therefore they deny it for the public.

Years ago the Dutch government told me that I should become a defence specialist because I do have the natural abilities to understand that matter but I refused that. The fact is that I understand what is going on and several times I informed the Dutch politics and the government (directly) because I could place the pices of those puzzles together. Once a high politicus of a Dutch political party almost fainted because of what I explained!

Today I collect hundreds of documentaries in which subjects on science, psychics etc are being discussed and explained and I missed just one which could give you the prove you want. Those documentaries are repeated often on those channels in the Netherlands and therefore there is a possibility that I can upload it soon on my website then I shall let it know (if I don't forget it!). I can't find that documentary on internet.

Other scientists in physics declare that paranormal / psychic abilities etc not excist because they can't explain with their knowledge how it works. They refuse to understand or to see that there is real paranormal / psychic stuff and fraudules stuff. Those scientist can't create a receiver simulair as those psychics have in their brain and therefore those scientists deny that paranormal / psychic abilities excist and that isn't how it works. Often those scientist think that they are so important that they can lie to keep themselves in the spotlights and get away with it (that has nothing to do with science). I don't like that but governments do and a lot of their fellow scientists too.

Bert ( A W RvB )  
[bert.nul](mailto:bert.nul)  
<http://www.rjrsnvbrn.nl>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 11](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 15:33:26 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 09:37:09 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Geoff Blackmore <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 00:53:16 +1300  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 17:03:26 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>The human body is a vessel, a container, a vehicle for  
>>consciousness. I'm not referring to the soul, but rather the  
>>mind.

>I partly agree.  
>It's a little hard to keep these posts serious when you continue  
>to base your postings on quotes from science fiction movies and  
>stories, however I do find them interesting nonetheless. Let me  
>indulge in outlining my own belief, based upon my own  
>experiences, thinking and philosophy, rather than science  
>fiction movies.

The belief that the body is just a vessel is a standard belief  
among transhumanists/posthumanists.

Then you have the disinformationists who try to use it to  
claim that that which contained in the vessel is the 'soul',  
instead of the truth, consciousness or what we call 'mind'.

>My own personal view is that human beings, and other life forms,  
>have souls.

I disagree. I believe the 'soul' is primitive man's metaphore  
for the mind or consciousness. However, you are free to  
believe whatever you want to believe.

<snip>

>I think trying to escape death through technology, is to cheat  
>one's self of one's destiny. It would be like a Caterpillar who  
>tries to stay a Caterpillar instead of turning into a butterfly.

Other's would view death as a disease that needs to be cured.

I am the very model of a singularitarian

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6hKG51\\_TDU8](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6hKG51_TDU8)

>Yes I know Jason - you do not believe in God, or souls, or that  
>we may exist in a subjective reality (which implies science  
>cannot measure true reality). You do not need to remind me of  
>your views which you have made clear previously, but please  
>respect my right to hold my own beliefs, just as you do yours.

Geoff, I don't believe in gods. There might be a creator,  
however.

Such creator would be along the lines of a posthumanoid race or

even an ultra-intelligent machine.

Perhaps Nick Bostrom is right when proposed his concept of us living in a simulated reality?

Because we can become those beings ourselves via our own technological singularity and transhumanism/posthumanism, then I likewise find it improper to worship such entities and label them 'gods' or 'God'.

However, feel free to find whatever meaning you require from the pursuit of religion or spirituality.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 11](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 15:54:38 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 09:38:02 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Ed Gehrman <[egehrman.nul](mailto:egehrman.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 09:52:23 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

<snip>

>Jason,

>Have you ever read any books by Stan Gooch - Total Man,  
>Personality And Evolution? I think you and a few others on the  
>List might enjoy them. Gooch has an interesting view of what  
>constitutes consciousness.

>Below is an essay he wrote that summarizes his overall thesis:

><http://aulis.com/twothirds2.htm>

>Ed

I am not familiar with Gooch or his writings, but I will take a look.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 11](#)

## Wireless Infrared Communication = Alien Telepathy?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 22:19:08 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 09:39:20 -0500  
Subject: Wireless Infrared Communication = Alien Telepathy?

In my previous thread, 'Alien Telepathy Explained?', I proposed a technological explanation for the alien's reported use of telepathy as well as theorized that an advanced wireless form of this technology was being utilized.

I think I may have run-across the medium which will allow this advanced wireless form of technology.

I ran across-it while watching a clip of of the Nao Robot from Aldebaran Robotics.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2STTNYNF4lk>

At 1:50 into the clip is the thing that made the light-bulb over my head shine.

It's called Infrared Communication and it is wireless. On top of that it is now starting to be heavily used in the field of robotics, especially to allow robots to communicate with one another.

If you recall my blog and posts about the aliens being cyborgs, then you might also recall my mentioning that it was possible that the aliens were completely robotic, assuming one discounts certain elements of the abduction phenomenon. Just thought I would throw that out there again for conversation!

My take on my cyborg hypothesis was that the acceptance of the abductees claims that the grays were failed attempts at the 'hybridization program' If the abductees are to be believed then this would make the grays cyborgs. However, if the abductees are not to be believed, or are wrong, then it could equally be that the grays are advanced robots, or even A.G.I. (artificial general intelligence).

Wireless Infrared Communications

<http://tinyurl.com/ykwcdfs>

Infrared Wireless Networking

<http://tinyurl.com/yl8um34>

Please keep in mind that it's not just infrared that can be used by this technology, but rather other spectrum of light could be used as well for this wireless form of communication.

Also play close attention to the last link above.

Abductees describe that the aliens get right up in their faces when performing there mental abilities. If this technology is being utilized, then it would explain why as such would require short distances.

Also keep in mind that some such as Corso, have claimed that the alien's black eyes are an advanced form of night-vision goggles. Such technology also utilizes infrared. This would be just another application of the same basic type of technology.

We are far closer to the singularity then people realize.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 11](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos <[ballesterolmos.nul](mailto:ballesterolmos.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 13:01:57 +0000 (GMT)  
Archived: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 09:42:53 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 14:13:51 -0000  
>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 8 Feb 2010 13:34:36 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

<snip>

>So, Vicente-Juan, for the third time: As you brought this up in  
>the first place \_please\_ put us all out of our misery, and tell  
>us what was the evidence of hoax that Campbell presented in the  
>British Journal of Photography? Or was your purpose only to tease?

Of course I plan to submit to this List the piece written by  
Campbell on this case, for all to judge; however, I have this  
document in a file far from my home city and I will be only able  
to get it for scan purposes during the next week-end.

Best regards,

Vicente-Juan Ballester Olmos  
FOTOCAT Blog <http://fotocat.blogspot.com/>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 11](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 13:51:01 -0400  
Archived: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 14:34:43 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 14:13:51 -0000  
>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Mon, 8 Feb 2010 13:34:36 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

<snip>

>>The squadron was preparing for a speed run - a fuel trial-across  
>>Canada from Vancouver, BC to Gimli, Manitoba to Halifax, Nova  
>>Scotia. The very idea that Childerhose had any time to formulate  
>>a hoax while flying an F-86 in even a loose formation  
>>[necissitated by flight through cloud] is absurd.

>>But the absurdity seems to be the basis from which most  
>>debunkers operate. When all else fails, claim a hoax. In this  
>>case not worth any serious consideration.

>This is becoming silly. I know of no claim by anybody that  
>Childerhose set up any kind of premeditated hoax, still less any  
>hoax that would require manufacturing a gigawatt-output flying

>I just pointed out, twice now, what I should have thought was  
>blindingly obvious: That passing off a hypothetical fortuitous  
>photo artefact (windscreen reflection, for example) as a UFO  
>would not be a question of "formulating a hoax while flying an  
>F-86", and would not need us to be able to explain an object  
>seen by multiple witnesses outside the cockpit..

>AFAIK the only source for the story that another pilot also saw  
>this thing for real during the flight is Childerhose's own  
>testimony. For that reason, some such theory as the above  
>remains not completely ruled out - not that I advocate it, but  
>V-J has suggested that we consider Campbell's case, and the only  
>reason I'm pursuing this is because of the chance that Campbell  
>may have uncovered some reason to suspect Childerhose's  
>testimony. Is this really so unclear?? Personally I don't have a  
>lot of faith in Campbell's critical acumen, but anyone can get  
>lucky. Let's wrap this up.

>So, Vicente-Juan, for the third time: As you brought this up in  
>the first place please put us all out of our misery, and tell  
>us what was the evidence of hoax that Campbell presented in the  
>British Journal of Photography? Or was your purpose only to  
>tease?

I resent the remark that my post was silly.

A hoax by its very nature is premeditated, is it not? It might  
be silly to argue against it-but I didn't put it out there; I  
just responded to it. Campbell made the absurd accusation, so  
what the hell are you talking about?

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 12](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 12:11:24 -0500  
Archived: Fri, 12 Feb 2010 18:44:20 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 14:33:37 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 13:43:32 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 16:18:32 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

><snip>

>>Are you proposing some as yet unknown form of wireless  
>>communication? EM communications channels would not do since  
>>they would suffer from too low signal/noise ratio as mentioned  
>>below.

><snip>

>>If 'brain electrical activity' is not transmitted via an antenna  
>>of some sort and propagated via an EM channel, then how would  
>>thoughts be communicated? My point was that the brain signal is  
>>of too low a level to be transmitted any appreciable distance  
>>with a useable S/N ratio. If you don't have an alternative way  
>>to transmit 'brain electrical activity', then you might just as  
>>well call it telepathy and leave it at that.

>I'm not sure you read the link I posted concerning synthetic or  
>technological telepathy. Please go back and read the link as it  
>explains how the process works. I would post it again, but  
>frankly I'm tired of repeating myself.

You could at least have repeated the link.

The answer to my question should not be so difficult that you  
could not have given it here. What would be the channel for  
wireless communication, and why would low S/N ratio at the  
source not be a problem?

It seems you are starting to think about it. In your post just  
arrived, you propose infrared light or other parts of the  
spectrum as the channel for communication. Light is a part of  
the EM spectrum and the problem with low source signal strength  
still applies for human-to-alien transmission.

Further, the human as receiver would need to be able to decode  
the signal riding on the incoming infrared carrier. The  
unmodified eye is not sensitive to infrared except as heat, so  
there is no way to receive the signal carrier, never mind  
decoding the signal.

One way the alien communication could be received is by a  
decoder implanted in the human receiver. Of course, implants as  
tracking devices have already been suspected. The implant might

encode, decode, and amplify any communications with the aliens. If this is how the technical hurdle is overcome, then anyone who feels like they are in mental contact with distant ufos may have an implant. However, human science and technology is a long way from knowing how to represent mental processes and interface with them in the brain, so we cannot extrapolate from where we are now. Therefore, using your terminology, this kind of communication would still be magic, even allowing implants.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 12](#)

## Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 14:35:03 -0500  
Archived: Fri, 12 Feb 2010 18:45:49 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 13:53:39 -0400  
>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 08:02:15 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Tue, 9 Feb 2010 00:29:39 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

><snip>

>>I was not questioning that the caption said what you say it  
>>said. I'm saying, let's assume that the author of the caption  
>>was right. Do you believe everything you read?

>>This discussion could be UFO-related. If there is a technology  
>>in use that can produce artificial lights in the sky, then we  
>>should be aware of it. Images in

>><http://www.treurniet.ca/spirals/spiralclues.htm>

>>show that the Long Island spiral looks a lot like an artificial  
>>aurora generated by EISCAT.

>Why would the author of the caption not be right? You are  
>certainly jumping through a lot of hoops to make this mundane  
>event into something it is not.

What the caption said makes no difference to my conclusion as I  
have already made clear, so lets forget about that distraction.  
It seems the non-rotating spirals in the still photos just were  
more extensive than the rotating spiral in the videos of the  
rocket. There was more going on than the effects of a spinning  
rocket stage.

You say that taking into account all the information available  
is "jumping through hoops", and I could say that you are  
ignoring data which contradicts the mundane conclusion you  
prefer.

Evidence that many of the balls-of-light shows reported could  
have been caused by known terrestrial technology, like the HAARP  
and EISCAT facilities and others, strikes me as a bit of a  
breakthrough.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 12](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: **Martin Shough** <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 22:35:05 -0000  
Archived: Fri, 12 Feb 2010 18:48:15 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 13:51:01 -0400  
>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 14:13:51 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Mon, 8 Feb 2010 13:34:36 -0400  
>>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

><snip>

>>>The squadron was preparing for a speed run - a fuel trial-across  
>>>Canada from Vancouver, BC to Gimli, Manitoba to Halifax, Nova  
>>>Scotia. The very idea that Childerhose had any time to formulate  
>>>a hoax while flying an F-86 in even a loose formation  
>>>[necissitated by flight through cloud] is absurd.

>>>But the absurdity seems to be the basis from which most  
>>>debunkers operate. When all else fails, claim a hoax. In this  
>>>case not worth any serious consideration.

>>This is becoming silly. I know of no claim by anybody that  
>>Childerhose set up any kind of premeditated hoax, still less any  
>>hoax that would require manufacturing a gigawatt-output flying

>>I just pointed out, twice now, what I should have thought was  
>>blindingly obvious: That passing off a hypothetical fortuitous  
>>photo artefact (windscreen reflection, for example) as a UFO  
>>would not be a question of "formulating a hoax while flying an  
>>F-86", and would not need us to be able to explain an object  
>>seen by multiple witnesses outside the cockpit..

>>AFAIK the only source for the story that another pilot also saw  
>>this thing for real during the flight is Childerhose's own  
>>testimony. For that reason, some such theory as the above  
>>remains not completely ruled out - not that I advocate it, but  
>>V-J has suggested that we consider Campbell's case, and the only  
>>reason I'm pursuing this is because of the chance that Campbell  
>>may have uncovered some reason to suspect Childerhose's  
>>testimony. Is this really so unclear?? Personally I don't have a  
>>lot of faith in Campbell's critical acumen, but anyone can get  
>>lucky. Let's wrap this up.

>>So, Vicente-Juan, for the third time: As you brought this up in  
>>the first place please put us all out of our misery, and tell  
>>us what was the evidence of hoax that Campbell presented in the  
>>British Journal of Photography? Or was your purpose only to  
>>tease?

>I resent the remark that my post was silly.

I think to say "this is becoming silly" was a pretty mild  
observation on a series of emails that repeatedly missed a point

the size of Africa by a wide margin, Don, and was not only less offensive than your obstreperous "what the hell are you talking about?" but also merited.

>A hoax by its very nature is premeditated, is it not?

Don, if you pick up a dollar off the street and claim it was in your pocket all the time, your claim is a premeditated imposture; but you did not pre-plan to pick up the dollar off the street. Similarly of Childerhose had got himself a cute photo of an unusual reflection and passed it off as a UFO, this would not mean that his taking of the photo had been premeditated. OK?

>It might  
>be silly to argue against it-but I didn't put it out there; I  
>just responded to it. Campbell made the absurd accusation, so  
>what the hell are you talking about?

You don't know what accusation Campbell has made, Don. Neither do I. You don't know if it's absurd or not. All we know is what we are told, that this guy has a point of view on the case that V-J thinks we ought to take note of and that he says that Childerhose's claim of a UFO photo is "fake".

Pending any detail from V-J, I speculated that maybe Cambbell had evidence that Childerhose made up a story around a fortuitous photo artefact because, obviously, there is no way in the world that Childerhose could hoax a massive gigawatt light source flying by the jets. That is really, really obvious - isn't it? I thought so.

The fortuitous-artefact yarn may not be what Campbell had in mind, and it may not be true even if it was; but we do only have Childerhose's account of what supposedly happened, so the theory is not of itself "absurd". You do see that, don't you?

I would have thought so. But as soon as I mentioned it first Bruce, and then you (twice), missed this point, objecting, in various ways, that even thinking about a hoax claim was absurd, a) because of the way the light supposedly moved through the sky, b) because the guy couldn't have set up a gigawatt light source in the middle of the air, and c) because of the impossibility of pulling a UFO stunt in the middle of a difficult flight!!

And now you appear to show again that you still haven't grasp this simple point. I mean, come on. Isn't that a tad silly?

But forget about arguing with me, I'm just idling wheels until V-J can produce the goods. Then let's take a look at the evidence, if there is any.

Martin

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 12](#)

## Echo Flight UFO Incident - The Truth, Finally!

From: Dave Haith <[visionsl.nul](mailto:visionsl.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 12 Feb 2010 09:17:30 -0000  
Archived: Fri, 12 Feb 2010 19:22:12 -0500  
Subject: Echo Flight UFO Incident - The Truth, Finally!

This has been sent to me by James Carlson and I have not yet read it or have formed any opinion on it.

I pass it on in good faith for your information

Dave Haith

-----

From: James T. Carlson <[jtcarl.nul](mailto:jtcarl.nul)>  
To: [visionsl.nul](mailto:visionsl.nul)  
Date: Friday, February 12, 2010 7:03 AM  
Subject: Echo Flight UFO Incident, March 1967 - The Truth, Finally!

Dear Sir or Ma'am,

Please accept this free copy of my recent narrative, "Americans, Credulous - or - The Arrogance of Congenital Liars & Other Character Defects - Establishing the Truth Behind the Echo Flight UFO Incident of March 16, 1967". In this manuscript I debunk completely the Echo Flight UFO Incident of March 16, 1967, and prove that the myth of UFO interference with the nuclear weapons systems at Malmstrom AFB in March 1967 is a nothing but a poorly executed lie propogated by Robert Salas, James Klotz, Robert Hastings, Brad Sparks, CUFON, and NICAP -- a lie that has no basis in fact and lacks even the most liberal standards of proof. I've utilized newly discovered documents, in addition to the same documents, interviews, and published statements originally used and badly interpreted to support the belief in UFO interference with the Malmstrom missile systems, in order to destroy in minute, step-by-step detail, every aspect of the claims originally made by Robert Salas and James Klotz in their book "Faded Giant". Fully documented and footnoted, I examine in some detail all sides of this surprisingly well-documented event, reaching the only possible conclusion that UFOs had nothing at all to do with any of the events at Malmstrom in March, 1967 and nothing whatsoever to do with the missile failures that occurred. If you haven't read this book in its entirety, then you do not understand the events that occurred at Echo Flight and elsewhere that March.

I'm distributing this book completely free of charge in order to correct the historical record so badly maligned by the individuals named above, and because my father was the commander at Echo Flight on March 16, 1967. I believe that his entire, very honorable career has been co-opted by fools and liars as a footnote to their descriptions of an event that never occurred.

If you would rather download a copy of this book, it has been published at:

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/26641522/Americans-Credulous-by-James-Carlson>

and is free to download. [.pdf or .txt]

Please feel free to distribute, publish or discuss any portion of the contents to my narrative, provided the source is included. If you have any opinion at all regarding this case, you do yourself a disservice if you do not consider the facts



I've put together; I can say this in complete confidence, because I've detailed the machinations of the authors and supportive groups to the original UFO fable, and I have reached the inescapable conclusion that they have purposely lied about, ignored, or attempted to hide the full story of these events in order to deny their reader's the truth.

Most sincerely,

James Carlson  
Albuquerque, NM

-----

Dave Haith

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 12](#)

## News Links - 10-02-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 12 Feb 2010 20:11:06 -0500  
Archived: Fri, 12 Feb 2010 20:11:06 -0500  
Subject: News Links - 10-02-10

News Links - 10-02-10

Move To Ban Chinese Lanterns  
West Sussex County Times  
<http://tinyurl.com/yg5tltk>

Review of Richard Dolan's UFO & National Security State Vol 2  
UFODigest  
<http://www.ufodigest.com/news/0210/UFO-National-Security.php>

Revisiting The Roswell UFO Crash  
<http://tinyurl.com/y9whd98>

UFO Insanity: Skydivers Mistaken For Invaders From Outer Space!  
NewsBlaze  
<http://newsblaze.com/story/20100209180725reya.nb/topstory.html>

UFO Filmed Over Drogheda  
Drogheda Independen  
<http://tinyurl.com/y8fhug2>

Shermer at TED: Why we Believe Myths  
<http://tinyurl.com/ygbdsos>

Wild spiral phenomena appears in Australia  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/yal2vvp>

Filer's Files 2010 - #7  
[www.NationalUFOCenter.com](http://www.NationalUFOCenter.com)

From Scott Corrales' Inexplicata:

Jacques Bergier - Guest Blogger  
<http://tinyurl.com/y93clsf>

From 'The Norm':

National Personnel Records Center And UFO Witnesses  
Kevin Randle  
<http://tinyurl.com/ybu9lf2>

The Cryptoterrestrials  
by Mac Tonnies  
Publication Date: March 2010  
<http://www.anomalistbooks.com/tonnies.html>

Atom Smasher To Jump Straight To Maximum Energy  
<http://tinyurl.com/yd9yfuk>

A Connection Not Worth Mentioning  
Billy Cox  
<http://tinyurl.com/ykyugcv>

Are Military and Police Witnesses Any More Reliable Than

Civilians?  
Paul Kimball  
<http://tinyurl.com/ydoqwj6>

Strange Series Of Events Follows NDE  
<http://tinyurl.com/y9kea2y>

Anything Beyond The Universe? New Theory Changes Our Destiny  
<http://tinyurl.com/ybusfem>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 13](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Geoff Blackmore <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Feb 2010 01:53:55 +1300  
Archived: Sat, 13 Feb 2010 08:52:39 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 15:00:18 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>Do you wear glasses or contacts? Have a pacemaker? Ever had  
>braces? Are you diabetic? Ever had an organ transplant or blood  
>transfusion? What about a hearing aid? Do you take vitamins,  
>antibiotics, and other supplements?  
>Apparently you unaware that cyborgs exist today.

No to all but one of those, but even if I had them all, it does not mean I wish to become a machine. Humans using machines, and humans becoming machines, are two different things, and the distinction is both obvious and understood to most people. Clearly, people would rather not use such things. Most do so out of necessity, not desire as you claim. You are essentially saying that you believe people have an unconscious desire to stop existing in healthy bodies. I think most people desire the opposite to what you are saying.

>If so, then you embrace such change, even if you aren't  
>consciously aware of it.

Quite the opposite - I desire to be naturally healthy. Subconsciously the desire to avoid anything medical-related is very strong in me, which has manifested in by life by avoiding doctors, hospitals and dentists all my adult life. Can't stand the thought of anyone doing anything to me medically.

>Your 'natural path' for humanity hasn't been 'natural' for a  
>long time now. Hopefully you will begin to understand why this  
>is so.

Everyone grows old and dies, and uses tools or technology to help them during life, be it cave men millenia ago using clubs or modern man using implants. But we do not desire to stop being human.

>Geoff, I don't believe in gods. There might be a creator, however.  
>Such creator would be along the lines of a posthumanoid race or  
>even an ultra-intelligent machine.

Looking at some of your comments in recent weeks, particularly with regards to your bias toward unproven science that supports your belief ("future fact") while rejecting unproven science that does not ("magic"), I cannot help but notice the similarity between your viewpoint and a fairly typical religious viewpoint. In fact your swiftness to judge, reject or state as fact, would indicate a parallel to fundamentalist religion.

Nobody here has said who or what God (or creator) is, but you reject God regardless unless God is a machine, in which case you find God acceptable.

You wrote to me a few months back that you were raised with a religious upbringing, which you came to reject later in life.

I suspect you may infact have retained the basic desire for long

term self-betterment and fulfillment through faith, but you changed the nature of your faith. You found yourself not accepting or understanding God's teachings as others around you were, so you replaced him and his teachings with equivalents from science fiction writings and movies, thus creating your own belief system which you could tailor to yourself more easily.

But make no mistake, your faith-based belief that AI will come to rule the world has religion written all over it.

- The coming of AI superior to man around 2029 = The coming of Jesus after around 2000 years.
- The belief that the world will be revolutionized by AI = Salvation.
- Human v2.0 = A Christian.
- Mankind desiring to be cyborgs = The natural desire for enlightenment.

It's the only logical explanation I can think of for the strong bias and contradictions that sit within your arguments.

Cheers,

Geoff B.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 13](#)

## CE3 In Devon UK

From: David Clarke <[daveclarke292.nul](mailto:daveclarke292.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 12 Feb 2010 12:55:09 +0000  
Archived: Sat, 13 Feb 2010 08:54:49 -0500  
Subject: CE3 In Devon UK

Greetings List:

It's been a long time since we had a good old-fashioned 'Close Encounter of the Third Kind' in the UK, but here is one reported in today's Exmouth Herald:

<http://tinyurl.com/yb6bxee>

and in the Metro, complete with drawing of the object and 'occupant':

<http://tinyurl.com/yhjl2n6>

--

David Clarke  
<http://www.drdavidclarke.co.uk/>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 13](#)

## News Links - 12-02-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Feb 2010 10:10:00 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 13 Feb 2010 10:10:00 -0500  
Subject: News Links - 12-02-10

News Links - 12-02-10

Mike Conley's Tales Of The Weird: Scottish Forester Gassed By UFO  
McDowell News  
<http://tinyurl.com/y8gv8eb>

A Creature Reportedly Mothman  
Ordoh News  
<http://www.ordoh.com/?p=4649>

Possible UFO Over Van Alstyne, Texas  
UFODigest  
<http://www.ufodigest.com/news/0210/van-alstyne.php>

UFO Pics: Flying Saucer - Lake Havasu  
<http://tinyurl.com/ydvofx5>

UFO Seen In Phear Park, Exmouth  
Exmouth Herald  
<http://tinyurl.com/yb6bxee>

Open Minds Production Announces Live Streaming Coverage of the  
2010 International UFO Congress  
<http://www.pr.com/press-release/212149>

'Amazing'... Aron's Snap Of Silver Saucer  
The Sun  
<http://tinyurl.com/yhbvhwc>

UFO Stuns Early Risers  
Wigan Today  
<http://tinyurl.com/ykca4ax>

From Scott Corrales' Inexplicata:  
This Week's Mexican Incidents  
<http://inexplicata.blogspot.com/>

From 'The Norm':

UFO Visitation Awakens Many Memories  
<http://www.mysterious-america.net/reality210.html>

The Washington National UFO Sighting Press Conference - Part 1  
<http://tinyurl.com/yj84akr>

Making Adjustments  
Billy Cox  
<http://devoid.blogs.heraldtribune.com/10625/making-adjustments/>

Pennsylvanians Report Close Encounters With UFOs and Bigfoot  
During 2009  
Stan Gordon  
<http://www.mysterious-america.net/encounters210.html>

NICAP In The Sixties: Have We Gone Forward?

<http://tinyurl.com/ybpgkc4>

NASA Moon Plan Was An Illusion, Wrapped In Denial

<http://tinyurl.com/y9q3obf>

Study Hints At Dark Matter Action

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/science/nature/8508662.stm>

Scientists Seek Assurances Over Planned UK Code

[http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk\\_news/8509911.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/8509911.stm)

SETI Opens Up Its Data To 'Citizen Scientists'

<http://tinyurl.com/yjz7jh8>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 13](#)

## Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Feb 2010 13:57:14 -0400  
Archived: Sat, 13 Feb 2010 22:18:18 -0500  
Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 14:35:03 -0500  
>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 13:53:39 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: The Norwegian Blue Light Aerial Display

<snip>

>>Why would the author of the caption not be right? You are  
>>certainly jumping through a lot of hoops to make this mundane  
>>event into something it is not.

>What the caption said makes no difference to my conclusion as I  
>have already made clear, so lets forget about that distraction.  
>It seems the non-rotating spirals in the still photos just were  
>more extensive than the rotating spiral in the videos of the  
>rocket. There was more going on than the effects of a spinning  
>rocket stage.

>You say that taking into account all the information available  
>is "jumping through hoops", and I could say that you are  
>ignoring data which contradicts the mundane conclusion you  
>prefer.

>Evidence that many of the balls-of-light shows reported could  
>have been caused by known terrestrial technology, like the HAARP  
>and EISCAT facilities and others, strikes me as a bit of a  
>breakthrough.

We are not talking about balls of light but a manufactured time-lapse camera image of a tumbling rocket event. The video of the event shows just how it happened. Case closed as far as I'm concerned.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 13](#)

## Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Feb 2010 14:04:36 -0400  
Archived: Sat, 13 Feb 2010 22:22:04 -0500  
Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 22:35:05 -0000  
>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 13:51:01 -0400  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

<snip>

>The fortuitous-artefact yarn may not be what Campbell had in  
>mind, and it may not be true even if it was; but we do only have  
>Childerhose's account of what supposedly happened, so the theory  
>is not of itself "absurd". You do see that, don't you?

>I would have thought so. But as soon as I mentioned it first  
>Bruce, and then you (twice), missed this point, objecting, in  
>various ways, that even thinking about a hoax claim was absurd,  
>a) because of the way the light supposedly moved through the  
>sky, b) because the guy couldn't have set up a gigawatt light  
>source in the middle of the air, and c) because of the  
>impossibility of pulling a UFO stunt in the middle of a  
>difficult flight!!

>And now you appear to show again that you still haven't grasp  
>this simple point. I mean, come on. Isn't that a tad silly?

>But forget about arguing with me, I'm just idling wheels until  
>V-J can produce the goods. Then let's take a look at the  
>evidence, if there is any.

What seems silly to me is that a blanket statement of it being  
hoaxed is off-limits until V-J sends the report by Campbell.  
Bruce responded - and he's the only one who has done a definitive  
study of the case and yet he [and I] are getting silly because  
someone claims a hoax. Jesus, we'd never get anywhere if  
everytime a case came up - a hoax was claimed. But I do look  
forward to the hoax explanation.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 13](#)

## Re: CE3 In Devon UK

From: **Jerome Clark** <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Feb 2010 12:03:04 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 13 Feb 2010 22:23:54 -0500  
Subject: Re: CE3 In Devon UK

>From: David Clarke <[daveclarke292.nul](mailto:daveclarke292.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 12 Feb 2010 12:55:09 +0000  
>Subject: CE3 In Devon UK

>Greetings, List:

>It's been a long time since we had a good old-fashioned 'Close  
>Encounter of the Third Kind' in the UK, but here is one reported  
>in today's Exmouth Herald:

><http://tinyurl.com/yb6bxee>

>and in the Metro, complete with drawing of the object and 'occupant':

><http://tinyurl.com/yhjl2n6>

Thanks much for posting this, David.

In common, I suspect, with many Listfolk, I love old-fashioned  
CE3s and miss them.

You've warmed my heart on a cold Minnesota day.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 14](#)

## Re: Alien Telepthay Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Feb 2010 14:27:25 -0500  
Archived: Sun, 14 Feb 2010 07:46:32 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepthay Explained?

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 12:11:24 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

<snip>

>One way the alien communication could be received is by a  
>decoder implanted in the human receiver. Of course, implants as  
>tracking devices have already been suspected. The implant might  
>encode, decode, and amplify any communications with the aliens.  
>If this is how the technical hurdle is overcome, then anyone who  
>feels like they are in mental contact with distant ufos may have  
>an implant. However, human science and technology is a long way  
>from knowing how to represent mental processes and interface  
>with them in the brain, so we cannot extrapolate from where we  
>are now. Therefore, using your terminology, this kind of  
>communication would still be magic, even allowing implants.

>William

Mr. Treurniet, I applaud you for following this line of thinking. I was waiting for the proper time to bring up the subject of implants.

First let me state that my initial cyborg inference is not connected to the mental connection between UFOs and witnesses on the ground. It's just my guess that such would involve similar technology, however I am confident the answer lies with technology and not any dormant magical abilities of human beings.

Now on the nature of implants. Yes, it is conceivable that the purpose of implants might be communication, i.e. "to become one", as some abductee state they were told. However, it need not be restricted to the classic concept of implants and might include nanobots.

Nanobot Assimilating Neuron

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=R-2Xw-GNkUQ>

Many abductees claim they have been altered or changed by the aliens. Perhaps nanobots might explain such alteration? A slow assimilation via nanobots would make more sense, at least to me, rather than any type of changes made to the DNA of a living person, as some have suggested.

One abductee I know claimed that her first few abductions were different from the rest of her abductions. When I asked why she responded by saying that the aliens communicated to her in a robotic or synthesized voice. In latter abductions the aliens communicated via telepathy. I left with the impression that perhaps she was given an implant that allowed the telepathy and she simply doesn't consciously recall it. Who knows? Interesting though.

Mr. Treurniet, when you claim that our technology is a long way off from learning how to represent mental processes and

interface with them in the brain, and that such would be magic, you are partially wrong.

We may not be able to create it right now, but we can follow the links and pick up the component technologies that would be needed to build these devices, such as Brain-Computer Interface and Wireless Infrared or Optical Communication. -Remember that wiggly thing with a light on the tip that many abductees report seeing in the alien's eye? Just thought I would toss that out.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]

[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 14](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Feb 2010 15:10:46 -0500  
Archived: Sun, 14 Feb 2010 07:48:55 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Geoff Blackmore <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 13 Feb 2010 01:53:55 +1300  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 15:00:18 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>Do you wear glasses or contacts? Have a pacemaker? Ever had  
>>braces? Are you diabetic? Ever had an organ transplant or blood  
>>transfusion? What about a hearing aid? Do you take vitamins,  
>>antibiotics, and other supplements?  
>>Apparently you unaware that cyborgs exist today.

>No to all but one of those, but even if I had them all, it does  
>not mean I wish to become a machine. Humans using machines, and  
>humans becoming machines, are two different things, and the  
>distinction is both obvious and understood to most people.  
>Clearly, people would rather not use such things. Most do so  
>out of necessity, not desire as you claim. You are essentially  
>saying that you believe people have an unconscious desire to  
>stop existing in healthy bodies. I think most people desire the  
>opposite to what you are saying.

Not at all and you are quite mistaken. The boundary between man  
and machine is easily perceived at our current level of  
technology, but in the future things will change. Remember that  
quote by Isaac Asimov, "The Only Constant Is Change"?

The newer Battlestar Galactica series gave a good hint at a  
future where machines might evolve to be almost  
indistinguishable from human beings.

BSG Mini-Series Opening

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KLaTmro5MfE>

BSG Fetus Promo

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tEMZc\\_XuPh8](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tEMZc_XuPh8)

On an unrelated note, where you aware that the original  
Battlestar Galactica series was based in part on Mormon  
teachings?

>>If so, then you embrace such change, even if you aren't  
>>consciously aware of it.

>Quite the opposite - I desire to be naturally healthy.  
>Subconsciously the desire to avoid anything medical-related is  
>very strong in me, which has manifested in by life by avoiding  
>doctors, hospitals and dentists all my adult life. Can't stand  
>the thought of anyone doing anything to me medically.

>>Your 'natural path' for humanity hasn't been 'natural' for a  
>>long time now. Hopefully you will begin to understand why this



>>is so.

>Everyone grows old and dies, and uses tools or technology to  
>help them during life, be it cave men millenia ago using clubs  
>or modern man using implants. But we do not desire to stop  
>being human.

But what is the definition of being human and has this  
definition changed with time? The answer to the second question  
is 'yes'.

Transhumanists/Posthumanists, like myself, believe that the  
definition of human ultimately lies with our minds. It's our  
minds,our consciousness that defines us. The body is just a  
vessel,a container, a vehicle to transport our consciousness  
through this life.

When the vehicle breaks down, or improperly constructed, we  
should use technology to create another vehicle.

Humans need not die. To the religious, death might be wonderful.  
To transhumanists/posthumanists, death is horrible and should be  
eliminated.

>>Geoff, I don't believe in gods. There might be a creator, however.  
>>Such creator would be along the lines of a posthumanoid race or  
>>even an ultra-intelligent machine.

>Looking at some of your comments in recent weeks, particularly  
>with regards to your bias toward unproven science that supports  
>your belief ("future fact") while rejecting unproven science  
>that does not ("magic"), I cannot help but notice the similarity  
>between your viewpoint and a fairly typical religious viewpoint.  
>In fact your swiftness to judge, reject or state as fact, would  
>indicate a parallel to fundamentalist religion.

Certain religious folks often use this tactic, trying to make  
science appear to be like religion, to pretend as if science is  
based on faith just like their religious beliefs are. Well,  
sorry, this tactic is a big 'fail'.

>Nobody here has said who or what God (or creator) is, but you  
>reject God regardless unless God is a machine, in which case you  
>find God acceptable.

I reject the concept of 'God'. I don't reject the concept of  
'Creator'. There's no proof of a creator, but I don't reject it  
outright. The concept of God is like a bar of soap, it slips  
and slide and dwindles to nothing over time.

>You wrote to me a few months back that you were raised with a  
>religious upbringing, which you came to reject later in life.

Interesting, I don't remember this conversation. However, yes, I  
was raised in a religious home like many people.

>I suspect you may infact have retained the basic desire for long  
>term self-betterment and fulfillment through faith, but you  
>changed the nature of your faith. You found yourself not  
>accepting or understanding God's teachings as others around you  
>were, so you replaced him and his teachings with equivalent  
>from science fiction writings and movies, thus creating your own  
>belief system which you could tailor to yourself more easily.

>But make no mistake, your faith-based belief that AI will come  
>to rule the world has religion written all over it.

No. This is the same silly game Creationists try to play with  
evolution, pretending as if evolution is really a religion, and  
thus any belief in evolution must be faith-based. It's just  
silly.

"We have the fossils. We win." -Science

>- The coming of AI superior to man around 2029 = The coming of  
>Jesus after around 2000 years.

>- The belief that the world will be revolutionized by AI =  
>Salvation.

>- Human v2.0 = A Christian.

>- Mankind desiring to be cyborgs = The natural desire for  
>enlightenment.

>It's the only logical explanation I can think of for the strong  
>bias and contradictions that sit within your arguments.

Geoff, you are free to pursue your spirituality and religion. I  
will not deny you that right. I also don't want to get into a  
religious debate with you.

What I would ask of you is to keep these topics separate.  
Religion has absolutely nothing to do with the technological  
singularity, transhumanism/posthumanism, cyborgs, or the like.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 14](#)

## Re: Childerhose Photo [was: UFOs & Ball Lightning]

From: Michael Tarbell <[mtarbell.nul](mailto:mtarbell.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Feb 2010 16:01:06 -0700  
Archived: Sun, 14 Feb 2010 07:51:14 -0500  
Subject: Re: Childerhose Photo [was: UFOs & Ball Lightning]

>From: Bruce Maccabee <[brumac.nul](mailto:brumac.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 6 Feb 2010 11:19:04 -0500 (EST)  
>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

>>From: Martin Shough <[parcellular.nul](mailto:parcellular.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 6 Feb 2010 10:39:47 -0000  
>>Subject: Re: UFOs & Ball Lightning

<snip>

>>If forced to speculate I'd say it isn't ruled out that the light  
>>could be a specular sun reflection on some shiny object in the  
>>cockpit, perhaps part of the F-86 instrument fascia, secondarily  
>>reflected in the windshield glass (there is also a near-vertical  
>>arc of faint light running across the photo that looks like a  
>>reflection). Has anyone looked into this? Probably not since  
>>there has apparently never been any reason to doubt  
>>Childerhose's story.

<snip>

>As for reflections, etc., it should be pointed out that the  
>plane traveled quite a distance during the sighting and the  
>sighting line to the object/light changed direction which should  
>spoil any alignment between a point (small) bright reflection.  
>The idea of a reflection from a point in the cockpit was  
>discussed years ago and seems very unlikely. Childerhose flew  
>past the light/object as one would travel past any object a  
>distance away (you watch the direction to the object rotate in  
>azimuth toward the rear as you travel along)

Bruce, Martin, List,

Childerhose states in his FSR story that the object was  
"considerably brighter than the sunlight"; subsequently in  
correspondence with Phil Klass, that the object was "much  
brighter than the existing sunlight"; later yet, corresponding  
with James McDonald, "it[s light] appeared much brighter than  
that of the sun which, of course, was setting behind the clouds  
up ahead."

Collectively, I take this to mean that the object was self-  
luminous, and dramatically so, as is plainly evident in the  
photo.

If the object was radiating isotropically at the multi-gigawatt  
levels implied in Bruce's analysis, the question arises: should  
this have produced noticeable illumination of the nearby clouds?

Consider this rough order-of-magnitude calculation: Bruce  
estimated the total power output P from the object to be on the  
order of  $10^{10}$  watts in the spectral range of the film. The  
solar irradiance  $I_{sol}$  on the clouds at this time of day in this  
spectral interval is not straightforward to obtain, but is on  
the order of 100 watts/sq.m. For the apparent lighting on the  
clouds to be overwhelmingly dominated by sunlight, we should

have

$I_{sol} \gg P/(4\pi R^2)$

where R is the range from the object to the cloud 'surface'.  
Inserting the numbers gives the constraint  $R \gg \sim 3$  km in order  
for cloud illumination from the object to be negligible relative  
to that from the sun.

It's not clear from the photo whether this condition was met.  
However, Childerhose apparently considered the object to be in  
fairly close proximity to the clouds, e.g., he states: "...[the  
object] appeared to be close to the scattered layer of fluffy  
cumulus which I recollected was forecast to be between 10 and  
12,000 [ft]".

Bruce mentions in his analysis that "...the film imagery seems  
to show that the object illuminated the clouds just below it". I  
must say that this is not at all obvious, at least in the  
available image. For example, see this set of images, derived  
from the GIF image in Bruce's analysis:

<http://img97.imageshack.us/img97/3792/childerhlextracts.gif>

The left-most image is the original; the middle image subtracts  
the luminous anomaly; the right-most image inserts a fabricated,  
but overtly plausible, replacement for the piece subtracted from  
the middle image, without altering anything outside the  
subtracted zone.

It appears to me that any secondary illumination of the clouds  
due to the object is negligible or non-existent, but perhaps the  
original photo shows more detail.

If in fact there was no measurable cloud illumination, and if  
the range constraint obtained above is valid, then it may  
indicate that the object was considerably closer to the aircraft  
than Childerhose supposed and/or it was not radiating  
isotropically. Or, as Martin has pointed out, absent any other  
flight crew testimony, we can't rule out that the whole story  
was concocted around a fortuitous photo of a cockpit reflection.

Regards

Mike

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 14](#)

## Re: CE3 In Devon UK

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 14 Feb 2010 12:41:54 +0000  
Archived: Sun, 14 Feb 2010 07:52:44 -0500  
Subject: Re: CE3 In Devon UK

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 13 Feb 2010 12:03:04 -0500  
>Subject: Re: CE3 In Devon UK

>>From: David Clarke <[daveclarke292.nul](mailto:daveclarke292.nul)>  
>>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 12 Feb 2010 12:55:09 +0000  
>>Subject: CE3 In Devon UK

>>Greetings, List:

>>It's been a long time since we had a good old-fashioned 'Close  
>>Encounter of the Third Kind' in the UK, but here is one reported  
>>in today's Exmouth Herald:

>><http://tinyurl.com/yb6bxee>

>>and in the Metro, complete with drawing of the object and 'occupant':

>><http://tinyurl.com/yhjl2n6>

>Thanks much for posting this, David.

>In common, I suspect, with many Listfolk, I love old-fashioned  
>CE3s and miss them.

>You've warmed my heart on a cold Minnesota day.

Yes, Jerry, it is an exciting development. The dog involved is a  
Border Collie. These animals are generally regarded to be the  
Einsteins of the canine world, so witness quality is far higher  
than usual for such cases.

Gerald O'Connell  
<http://www.onlyport.com>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 14](#)

## More Close Encounters But UFO Line Is Axed

From: **Eustaquio Andrea Patounas** <[socex.ufobras.nul](mailto:socex.ufobras.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 13 Feb 2010 23:38:41 -0200  
Archived: Sun, 14 Feb 2010 07:57:40 -0500  
Subject: More Close Encounters But UFO Line Is Axed

Source: The Scotsman - Edinburgh, Scotland

<http://tinyurl.com/yzqre87>

14 February 2010

By Jenny Fyall

There appears to be more of them out there... but we'll never know for sure. Scotland saw a massive increase in UFO sightings last year =96 just as the Ministry of Defence cut off its UFO hotline.

Figures released under the Freedom of Information Act show there were 28 reported sightings of UFOs in Scotland last year. In the previous year, there were only ten, with nine in 2007.

Although some have an obvious ambiguity =96 the flying object seen near Edinburgh airport (it was white) or the one in Aberdeenshire reported as travelling "at the speed of an airliner" =96 there is a hard core that defy explanation.

But we can no longer rely on the MoD for early warning of a build-up in alien activity, as the only official hotline was closed last year to save money, and these will be the last figures published.

Malcolm Robinson, a UFO expert who founded Scottish research group Strange Paranormal Investigations, believes the hotline was crucial in finding a small number of sightings that may suggest truly bizarre objects flying in the sky.

He said: "I'm sad they've closed the desk. It's up to people like myself and my colleagues in Scotland to continue to investigate what is going on."

Among the callers in 2009 was a panicked man who reported a "bright orange bulb" in the sky in East Kilbride, which "came towards him like a tornado, then disappeared". Another told how a "bright orange light approached at low level=85 then it turned red".

The MoD says there is no defence benefit in investigating UFOs, so the hotline is an "inappropriate" use of resources.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 15](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: **Geoff Blackmore** <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Feb 2010 03:10:55 +1300  
Archived: Mon, 15 Feb 2010 20:07:52 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
Date: Sat, 13 Feb 2010 15:10:46 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>Remember that quote by Isaac Asimov, "The Only Constant Is Change"?

>The newer Battlestar Galactica series gave a good hint at a  
>future...

I'm a big fan of BSG but I recognise it for what it is - science fiction.

>It's our minds,our consciousness that defines us. The body is just a  
>vessel,a container, a vehicle to transport our consciousness  
>through this life.

If that is so (and I agree it is), then what is the purpose of that consciousness that the body exists to contain? Without the body, what is the consciousness? I believe we may exist in a true state that we cannot directly access while in the body. The mind is the interface between our true state of being (what I term the soul) and our body. The universe has no reason for planetary dust to form into a biological entity that creates beautiful music and art, then returns to a state of planetary dust. It would make sense however if it was for the purpose of housing a consciousness, perhaps during an embryonic stage of learning "how things work" within the confines of the subjective reality we call the universe, before passing into the mature stage of existence (after bodily death).

>When the vehicle breaks down, or improperly constructed, we  
>should use technology to create another vehicle.

Not entirely unreasonable, but that differs from your assertion that everyone subconsciously desires a new vehicle. E.g., nobody, consciously or subconsciously, desires an artificial heart or anything else artificial. It would only be wanted by someone if their first preference of having a healthy body was not an option.

>Humans need not die. To the religious, death might be wonderful.  
>To transhumanists/posthumanists, death is horrible and should be  
>eliminated.

Better to live free of fear than not. If my theory is correct, a successful posthuman would miss out on their destiny. On the bright side Jason, we will both one day experience the truth.

>Certain religious folks often use this tactic, trying to make  
>science appear to be like religion, to pretend as if science is  
>based on faith just like their religious beliefs are.

Belief in unproven science (or science fiction) as future fact is indeed a form of faith. That is not a tactic of anyone, but simply your own doing.

>I reject the concept of 'God'. I don't reject the concept of  
>'Creator'.

As I wrote earlier, nobody here has said who or what God is. Yet you reject God as a creator, but not a creator who isn't God.

>This is the same silly game Creationists try to play with >evolution, pretending as if evolution is really a religion

Again, the comparison is your own doing. You have made it clear you have faith that mankind will be changed for the better, around a particular year, by a particular entity, and that it is necessary for salvation from everlasting death. That's your scenario, and it's identical to a number of religious faiths. Perhaps it is a coincidence?

>"We have the fossils. We win." -Science

If God made the world appear older than it really is, then who am I to argue? Of course with time being a relative thing and quantum theory dictating that we can only perceive what we choose to perceive, who knows whether the world was created billions of years ago or a few minutes ago? You're a sci-fi fan - remember the episode of Star Trek TNG where Captain Picard perceived living a lifetime in a few hours?

I do hope we are not boring other readers, I fear we may be posting below the calibre of the list.

Cheers,  
Geoff B.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 15](#)

## Re: Alien Telepthay Explained?

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 14 Feb 2010 11:08:18 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 15 Feb 2010 20:13:37 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepthay Explained?

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Sat, 13 Feb 2010 14:27:25 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepthay Explained?

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 12:11:24 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

><snip>

>>One way the alien communication could be received is by a  
>>decoder implanted in the human receiver. Of course, implants as  
>>tracking devices have already been suspected. The implant might  
>>encode, decode, and amplify any communications with the aliens.  
>>If this is how the technical hurdle is overcome, then anyone who  
>>feels like they are in mental contact with distant ufos may have  
>>an implant. However, human science and technology is a long way  
>>from knowing how to represent mental processes and interface  
>>with them in the brain, so we cannot extrapolate from where we  
>>are now. Therefore, using your terminology, this kind of  
>>communication would still be magic, even allowing implants.

>Now on the nature of implants. Yes, it is conceivable that the  
>purpose of implants might be communication, i.e. "to become  
>one", as some abductee state they were told. However, it need  
>not be restricted to the classic concept of implants and might  
>include nanobots.

>Nanobot Assimilating Neuron

><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=R-2Xw-GNkUQ>

It should be understood that the video is just imagining a  
possible future technology - not one that already exists.

><snip>

>Mr. Treurniet, when you claim that our technology is a long way  
>off from learning how to represent mental processes and  
>interface with them in the brain, and that such would be magic,  
>you are partially wrong.

>We may not be able to create it right now, but we can follow the  
>links and pick up the component technologies that would be  
>needed to build these devices, such as Brain-Computer Interface  
>and Wireless Infrared or Optical Communication. Remember that  
>wiggly thing with a light on the tip that many abductees report  
>seeing in the alien's eye? Just thought I would toss that out.

The brain does not behave like a circuit board where currents  
flow from A to B. Our mental activity appears to correspond to  
successive configurations of a state space that are supported by  
the massive local and long-range interconnectivity among the  
neurons and other cells in the brain. It's not the activity of a  
particular cell that matters, but the pattern of activity over a  
large number of cells. We don't have a way yet to recreate a  
particular state via a hardware/wetware interface.

The auditory prosthesis is an attempt to do that, but that merely replaces a peripheral sense organ. Even here, the wires are just shoved into the auditory nerve with the hope of creating inputs that make sense. This approach does not create a virtual audio environment that sounds like the real thing, and it is not leading toward that result.

The abductee implant is said to be a small, spatially localized device. This makes it even harder to understand how it might create meaningful brain states spread over a larger volume. Like I said, if this is what's happening, then it's still magic to us.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 15](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Bert Reijersen van Buuren <[bert.nul](mailto:bert.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Feb 2010 20:15:06 +0100  
Archived: Mon, 15 Feb 2010 20:17:18 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 12:11:24 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 14:33:37 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Mon, 08 Feb 2010 13:43:32 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>Date: Sun, 07 Feb 2010 16:18:32 -0500  
>>>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>><snip>

>>>Are you proposing some as yet unknown form of wireless  
>>>communication? EM communications channels would not do since  
>>>they would suffer from too low signal/noise ratio as mentioned  
>>>below.

>><snip>

>>>If 'brain electrical activity' is not transmitted via an antenna  
>>>of some sort and propagated via an EM channel, then how would  
>>>thoughts be communicated? My point was that the brain signal is  
>>>of too low a level to be transmitted any appreciable distance  
>>>with a useable S/N ratio. If you don't have an alternative way  
>>>to transmit 'brain electrical activity', then you might just as  
>>>well call it telepathy and leave it at that.

>>I'm not sure you read the link I posted concerning synthetic or  
>>technological telepathy. Please go back and read the link as it  
>>explains how the process works. I would post it again, but  
>>frankly I'm tired of repeating myself.

>You could at least have repeated the link.

>The answer to my question should not be so difficult that you  
>could not have given it here. What would be the channel for  
>wireless communication, and why would low S/N ratio at the  
>source not be a problem?

>It seems you are starting to think about it. In your post just  
>arrived, you propose infrared light or other parts of the  
>spectrum as the channel for communication. Light is a part of  
>the EM spectrum and the problem with low source signal strength  
>still applies for human-to-alien transmission.

>Further, the human as receiver would need to be able to decode  
>the signal riding on the incoming infrared carrier. The  
>unmodified eye is not sensitive to infrared except as heat, so  
>there is no way to receive the signal carrier, never mind

>decoding the signal.

>One way the alien communication could be received is by a  
>decoder implanted in the human receiver. Of course, implants as  
>tracking devices have already been suspected. The implant might  
>encode, decode, and amplify any communications with the aliens.  
>If this is how the technical hurdle is overcome, then anyone who  
>feels like they are in mental contact with distant ufos may have  
>an implant. However, human science and technology is a long way  
>from knowing how to represent mental processes and interface  
>with them in the brain, so we cannot extrapolate from where we  
>are now. Therefore, using your terminology, this kind of  
>communication would still be magic, even allowing implants.

Implants are not necessary:

<http://www.galactic-server.net/rune/iarga.html>

# main contact-page

<http://www.galactic.to/rune/udxen.html>

or here

<http://galactic.no/rune/udxen.html>

part 3 on how CIA oversaw the Meier ufo-contact Case

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ysHlBT94YUO&feature=channel\\_page](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ysHlBT94YUO&feature=channel_page)

Almost at the end of the 9:57 minutes long movie W. C. Stevens  
explains how the Iargans contact Stefan Denaerde.

The USA army is involved in this case too (in den Haag in the  
Netherlands) as Stevens explains.

Bert ( A W RvB )

[bert.nul](mailto:bert.nul)

<http://www.rjrsnvbrn.nl>

\*\*\*

US spaceships maximum velocity:  
One light-year a minute.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 15](#)

## News Links - 15-02-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 15 Feb 2010 21:37:47 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 15 Feb 2010 21:37:47 -0500  
Subject: News Links - 15-02-10

News Links - 15-02-10

Man Sprains Leg After Alien Visit  
Oneindia  
<http://tinyurl.com/ygc9bd7>

UFO Seen In Sky Over Oldland Common  
Kingswood People  
<http://tinyurl.com/y9emstu>

Top 10 Best Selling UFO Books Reviewed  
<http://tinyurl.com/yfm3atl>

Radio-Controlled Planes Have Some Modesto Residents Seeing UFOs  
Modesto Bee  
<http://www.modbee.com/local/story/1047973.html>

From 'The Norm':

New Book Ponders Existence Of Extraterrestrial Life  
<http://www.mmdnewswire.com/ufo-encounters-6994.html>

UFOs: In The Heat of the Night  
<http://tinyurl.com/ykxh3ws>

Old men and hard truths  
Billy Cox  
<http://tinyurl.com/yfktlwf>

The Washington National UFO Sighting Press Conference - Part 2  
Kevin Randle  
<http://tinyurl.com/yhn3nld>

Sacred Object: CSI-NY Tells Hynek About UFOs  
<http://tinyurl.com/ylppx5o>

Blurry Photos, Shaky Videos, And More Damn Sighting Reports  
UFO Mystic  
<http://tinyurl.com/yzxj9ou>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 16](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 16 Feb 2010 11:47:27 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 16 Feb 2010 20:26:22 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Geoff Blackmore <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 15 Feb 2010 03:10:55 +1300  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 13 Feb 2010 15:10:46 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

<snip>

>>It's our minds,our consciousness that defines us. The body is  
>>just a vessel,a container, a vehicle to transport our  
>>consciousness through this life.

>If that is so (and I agree it is), then what is the purpose of  
>that consciousness that the body exists to contain? Without the  
>body, what is the consciousness?

There is no purpose. Scientists today are pretty much in agreement that consciousness is a product of emergence, which in a nutshell basically means the whole is greater than the sum of the parts. To put it another way, the mind or consciousness is a by-product of the activity of the brain.

I would take it a step farther and actually state that the mind or consciousness might be a by-product of brain activity but that it is also an illusion. There is no homunculus or little man in our heads.

>I believe we may exist in a  
>>true state that we cannot directly access while in the body. The  
>mind is the interface between our true state of being (what I  
>term the soul) and our body.

Yes, this would be your religious beliefs.

> The universe has no reason for  
>planetary dust to form into a biological entity that creates  
>beautiful music and art, then returns to a state of planetary  
>dust.

Correct, there is no reason. The universe is also the result of emergence.

>It would make sense however if it was for the purpose of  
>housing a consciousness, perhaps during an embryonic stage of  
>learning "how things work" within the confines of the subjective  
>reality we call the universe, before passing into the mature  
>stage of existence (after bodily death).

Yes, those would be your religious beliefs.

>>When the vehicle breaks down, or improperly constructed, we  
>>should use technology to create another vehicle.

>Not entirely unreasonable, but that differs from your assertion  
>that everyone subconsciously desires a new vehicle. E.g., nobody,

>consciously or subconsciously, desires an artificial heart or  
>anything else artificial. It would only be wanted by someone if  
>their first preference of having a healthy body was not an  
>option.

Moot point. You didn't choose the body you have now. A very small percentage of people choose to not accept modern medicine and usually for religious purposes. However, the majority of people see no problem with augmenting and enhancing the human body.

>>Humans need not die. To the religious, death might be wonderful.  
>>To transhumanists/posthumanists, death is horrible and should be  
>>eliminated.

>Better to live free of fear than not. If my theory is correct, a  
>successful posthuman would miss out on their destiny. On the  
>bright side Jason, we will both one day experience the truth.

I have no fear of death. Dying is easy. It's living that's hard. I have no reason to believe that death is anything but oblivion. And of course intelligent people realize that the concept of oblivion is only horrible to the living. I also disagree with your belief that we will "one day experience the truth". That's your religious belief and it can only be backed up with your personal faith and not any evidence. Unless you are referring to it in the metaphorical sense as "all will die".

>>Certain religious folks often use this tactic, trying to make  
>>science appear to be like religion, to pretend as if science is  
>>based on faith just like their religious beliefs are.

>Belief in unproven science (or science fiction) as future fact  
>is indeed a form of faith. That is not a tactic of anyone, but  
>simply your own doing.

No. What you are doing is a typical and often used tactic of certain religious folks. That tactic would be to twist the truth to make science appear as a religion, so that you can accuse them as likewise basing their beliefs off of faith instead of actual evidence. And this is the difference between science and religion. Science is based on evidence, religion is based on faith. Keep them separate.

>>I reject the concept of 'God'. I don't reject the concept of  
>>'Creator'.

>As I wrote earlier, nobody here has said who or what God is. Yet  
>you reject God as a creator, but not a creator who isn't God.

No, I reject any notion of god(s). The concept of gods arose with our primitive ancestors for explanations on how nature operated, i.e. there's a giant man blowing his breath on us which causes the winds. Your monotheistic god falls into this same category.

>>This is the same silly game Creationists try to play with  
>>evolution, pretending as if evolution is really a religion

>Again, the comparison is your own doing. You have made it clear  
>you have faith that mankind will be changed for the better,  
>around a particular year, by a particular entity, and that it is  
>necessary for salvation from everlasting death. That's your  
>scenario, and it's identical to a number of religious faiths.  
>Perhaps it is a coincidence?

Excuse me? I stated no such things. You are warping my words. For example, I stated that in the far future humanity cannot exist in it's current form because the universe will not be hospitable to organic life. If humanity is to survive billions of years from now in the future, then we must at that time have made a change to becoming machine intelligences. However, the greater likelihood is that humanity will go extinct far before that time.

>>"We have the fossils. We win." -Science

>If God made the world appear older than it really is, then who  
>am I to argue? Of course with time being a relative thing and  
>quantum theory dictating that we can only perceive what we  
>choose to perceive, who knows whether the world was created

Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>billions of years ago or a few minutes ago? You're a sci-fi fan  
>-remember the episode of Star Trek TNG where Captain Picard  
>perceived living a lifetime in a few hours?

Quantum theory does not dictate that we "can only perceive what  
we choose to perceive.

>I do hope we are not boring other readers, I fear we may be  
>posting below the calibre of the list.

I agree. Since you are unable to put aside your religious  
beliefs I feel that we have reached the point where any further  
communication on this topic would be moot.

Best of luck, but I will be ignoring any further replies from  
you regarding this topic.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 16](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 16 Feb 2010 12:47:52 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 16 Feb 2010 20:28:31 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Sun, 14 Feb 2010 11:08:18 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telephay Explained?

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Sat, 13 Feb 2010 14:27:25 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telephay Explained?

>>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>>Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 12:11:24 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

<snip>

>>Nanobot Assimilating Neuron  
>><http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=R-2Xw-GNkUQ>

>It should be understood that the video is just imagining a  
>possible future technology - not one that already exists.

Of course. I didn't meant it any other way.

<snip>

>>Mr. Treurniet, when you claim that our technology is a long way  
>>off from learning how to represent mental processes and  
>>interface with them in the brain, and that such would be magic,  
>>you are partially wrong.

>>We may not be able to create it right now, but we can follow the  
>>links and pick up the component technologies that would be  
>>needed to build these devices, such as Brain-Computer Interface  
>>and Wireless Infrared or Optical Communication. Remember that  
>>wiggly thing with a light on the tip that many abductees report  
>>seeing in the alien's eye? Just thought I would toss that out.

>The brain does not behave like a circuit board where currents  
>flow from A to B. Our mental activity appears to correspond to  
>successive configurations of a state space that are supported by  
>the massive local and long-range interconnectivity among the  
>neurons and other cells in the brain. It's not the activity of a  
>particular cell that matters, but the pattern of activity over a  
>large number of cells. We don't have a way yet to recreate a  
>particular state via a hardware/wetware interface.

Artificial Neural Networks

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Artificial\\_neural\\_network](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Artificial_neural_network)

Are you familiar with the Blue Brain Project?

Blue Brain Project

<http://bluebrain.epfl.ch/>

"It is not impossible to build a human brain and we

can do it in 10 years,"

-Henry Markram, Blue Brain Project Director (quoted in 2009)"

Blue Brain - Year One

<http://vimeo.com/8977365>

>The auditory prosthesis is an attempt to do that, but that  
>merely replaces a peripheral sense organ. Even here, the wires  
>are just shoved into the auditory nerve with the hope of  
>creating inputs that make sense. This approach does not create a  
>virtual audio environment that sounds like the real thing, and  
>it is not leading toward that result.

The brain interprets the signals and reproduces it as sound, the same with the normal functioning cochlea. As technology progresses, as well as the growth in our understanding of nerve impulses, closer representations of 'normal human hearing' may be developed. However, please keep in mind that the brain is adaptive and people with cochlear implants often will state that in time the sounds become 'normal' to them, as in the sounds don't appear weird or strange compared to the memories of hearing normally.

>The abductee implant is said to be a small, spatially localized device. This makes it even harder to understand how it might create meaningful brain states spread over a larger volume. Like >I said, if this is what's happening, then it's still magic to >us.

There seem to be a variety of types of implants reported by abductees. Some, like the ones that seem to have come from meteorites and which give off RF signals appear to be more akin to RFID devices. This might imply that that such acts as an "identification tag" for abductees.

What I am interested in is the reports of small and often hollow ball-shaped implants that are placed in the brain or up the nose. Of course this is just speculation but these hollow ball-shaped devices might be vessels to transport nanoprobes into the brains of abductees.

Once implanted, the nanoprobes would be freed and would begin assimilating neurons. Perhaps this is the method the aliens use to 'alter' abductees.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 16](#)

## UFO Case Files Of Russia

From: Philip Mantle <[philip.nul](mailto:philip.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 16 Feb 2010 17:56:37 -0000  
Archived: Tue, 16 Feb 2010 20:31:16 -0500  
Subject: UFO Case Files Of Russia

New Book From Healings Of Atlantis

UFO Case Files Of Russia  
By Philip Mantle & Paul Stonehill

Due out February 2010

<http://www.healingsofatlantis.com/>

Throughout the centuries UFOs hovered over Russia, and USOs (Unidentified Undersea Objects) lurked in its waters. This book introduces the phenomena of the most important cases, observations, and sightings. UFO CASE FILES OF RUSSIA endeavours to describe efforts of those dedicated researchers who have stubbornly pursued UFO research in the Russian Empire, the USSR, and modern Russia.

The evidence in this piece of literature is extensive both in scope and detail. In its totality, it comprises a body of evidence written in the style of a Russian, which at the very least supports the general assessment of describing as fully as possible Soviet and Russian UFO cases, research areas, prominent personalities involved in such research (military, intelligence agencies, cosmonauts and civilians), opinions and viewpoints of those who were and are serious in their approach to the study of anomalous phenomena.

Whatever the UFO phenomena is, it is certainly not a modern invention as this unique history clearly demonstrates. The history of UFO sightings and contacts over the lands that later became known as Russia date back thousands of years. UFO CASE FILES OF RUSSIA is not meant to be definitive in any way, but is meant to show that UFO research has been and still is very active in the former USSR, and that a vigorous programme of research and serious diplomatic initiatives is warranted.

Written by Philip Mantle from the UK and Paul Stonehill, originally from the Ukraine, east meets west for the first time in this unique publication.

ISBN Number: 978-1-907126-03-1

Paperback

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 17](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 16 Feb 2010 13:25:23 -0500  
Archived: Wed, 17 Feb 2010 10:01:41 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Bert Reijersen van Buuren <[bert.nul](mailto:bert.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 15 Feb 2010 20:15:06 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>From: William Treurniet <[wtreurniet.nul](mailto:wtreurniet.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Thu, 11 Feb 2010 12:11:24 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Wed, 10 Feb 2010 14:33:37 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>>><snip>

>>One way the alien communication could be received is by a  
>>decoder implanted in the human receiver. Of course, implants as  
>>tracking devices have already been suspected. The implant might  
>>encode, decode, and amplify any communications with the aliens.  
>>If this is how the technical hurdle is overcome, then anyone who  
>>feels like they are in mental contact with distant ufos may have  
>>an implant. However, human science and technology is a long way  
>>from knowing how to represent mental processes and interface  
>>with them in the brain, so we cannot extrapolate from where we  
>>are now. Therefore, using your terminology, this kind of  
>>communication would still be magic, even allowing implants.

>Implants are not necessary:

><http://www.galactic-server.net/rune/iarga.html>

># main contact-page

><http://www.galactic.to/rune/udxen.html>

>or here

><http://galactic.no/rune/udxen.html>

>part 3 on how CIA oversaw the Meier ufo-contact Case

>[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ysHlBT94YU0&feature=channel\\_page](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ysHlBT94YU0&feature=channel_page)

>Almost at the end of the 9:57 minutes long movie W. C. Stevens  
>explains how the Iargans contact Stefan Denaerde.

>The USA army is involved in this case too (in den Haag in the  
>Netherlands) as Stevens explains.

According to Stevens in the above video, the contactee in den Haag was initially taken on board a craft and was presented with images from a device. Later, he saw similar images in his mind's eye and did automatic writing. How was his body controlled to produce such effects?

While aboard the craft, the man could have been implanted without his knowledge with some kind of interface/decoder. The



device's purpose would have been to receive data for controlling visual and motor functions. The military indicated to Stevens that a physical signal was detected near where the man lived. We can only presume that it was an EM signal, which suggests that an implant was the target of the communication. In any case, it does not give any clues about how an implant might create what amounts to a vision, so this part is still 'magic' to us.

It's also possible that this is all disinformation. Stevens makes much of being shadowed by intelligence agents. If they had known that he was headed to den Haag, the military presence there may have been arranged for his benefit. It is odd that he, a supposed stranger, was given information by the military about the detected 'strange signal'. This is more consistent with a plan to feed him information. The purpose of such an operation might have been to promote the 'technological spaceman' story, perhaps to counter stories of direct telepathic communication unsupported by technology.

William

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 17](#)

## Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

From: Geoff Blackmore <[geoff\\_184.nul](mailto:geoff_184.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 17 Feb 2010 22:43:38 +1300  
Archived: Wed, 17 Feb 2010 10:04:47 -0500  
Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 16 Feb 2010 11:47:27 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Alien Telepathy Explained?

>There is no purpose.

>Correct, there is no reason...

Neither purpose nor reason for life. This is the viewpoint that most athiests eventually come down to. It's called denial of self, i.e., "I exist, therefore I am not". It's quite illogical.

>-Yes, this would be your religious beliefs....  
>-Yes, those would be your religious beliefs....  
>-A very small percentage of people choose to not accept modern medicine and usually for religious purposes....  
>-I also disagree with your belief that we will "one day experience the >truth". That's your religious belief and it can only be backed up with your >personal faith and not any evidence....  
>-Since you are unable to put aside your religious beliefs....  
>-No. What you are doing is a typical and often used tactic of >certain religious folks....

Six references to religious belief, yet I do not subscribe to any religion. With regards to the last reference, it is not a tactic. Your belief in science fiction as factual is indeed a faith. That is not to twist anything, or tactical in any way. It is a statement of what it is - faith. Science does not regard unproven theoretical technology as fact, so you are not working within scientific principles by stating it as such.

>However, the majority of people see no problem with augmenting and >enhancing the human body.

Only if they have to out of medical necessity. Healthy people do not desire artificial replacements, which is what you stated was your belief.

>i.e. there's a giant man blowing his breath on us  
>which causes the winds. Your monotheistic god falls into this >same category.

No, the God I believe in does not cause the winds. You have a lot to learn if you cannot discern the life of a Christian from ancient religous belief.

>If humanity is to survive billions of years from now in the future, >then we must at that time have made a change to becoming machine intelligences.

That's a very narrow, rather closeminded and very presumptuous view of a time, that with all due respect, nobody alive today could possibly fathom. Science keeps all options open - it simply doesn't take stances such as this.

There is a large amount of collected data to demonstrate that human beings, and some animals/mammals, have abilities that science cannot yet explain. I myself have witnessed a person

move an object just by looking at it and thinking, so I know such things are possible. I have no experience of ever witnessing or experiencing telepathy, but if telekinesis is possible, then I will not close my mind to other possibilities, especially when there are so many normal, honest and mentally healthy people who have reported such experiences around the world.

Please understand, you cannot raise the topic of telepathy or other alleged mental abilities, without the topic covering concepts such as the soul, which inevitably will lead to anything related to the belief in souls. It all goes hand in hand within the topic.

>Best of luck, but I will be ignoring any further replies from >you regarding this topic.

My experience of you is that you will indeed reply to this post, but either way, it has been interesting to follow your views. Probably best to agree to disagree.

Cheers,

Geoff B.

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 17](#)

## MoD Disclosure Log - UFO Files

From: David Clarke <[daveclarke292.nul](mailto:daveclarke292.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 17 Feb 2010 22:52:15 +0000  
Archived: Wed, 17 Feb 2010 22:10:55 -0500  
Subject: MoD Disclosure Log - UFO Files

Greetings List:

My FOIA request for a copy of the briefing papers prepared in September 2007 for Defence Minister Des Browne, which led to the decision to transfer the remaining UFO files to The National Archives has been uploaded to the MoD Disclosure Log:

<http://tinyurl.com/yg6ovcb>

--

Dr David Clarke

UFO consultant to The National Archives  
<http://drdavidclarke.blogspot.com/>  
<http://drdavidclarke.co.uk>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 17](#)

## Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 17 Feb 2010 21:18:57 EST  
Archived: Wed, 17 Feb 2010 22:13:01 -0500  
Subject: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

With the release of more UFO files from the UK and with some interesting new reports from around the world I'd say we should be on the lookout for those Chinese Lantern stories that popped-up recently.

I've read where they've been banned here and there and with weather balloons a played out excuse there's sure to be some new menacing object that will be used to explain all UFO incidents.

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 17](#)

## UFO Files Released By UK National Archives

From: David Clarke <[daveclarke292.nul](mailto:daveclarke292.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 17 Feb 2010 23:54:34 +0000  
Archived: Wed, 17 Feb 2010 22:50:32 -0500  
Subject: UFO Files Released By UK National Archives

Greetings list:

I'm pleased to announce the release of the 5th tranche of Ministry of Defence UFO files by The National Archives.

24 files containing more than 6,000 pages of material can be downloaded from the TNA UFO page at:

<http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ufos/>

Visitors to the website can also download a highlights guide and watch a podcast featuring summaries of the key stories from this collection of MoD files. Further discussion and analysis of the file content can be found on my blog:

<http://drdavidclarke.blogspot.com/>

--

Dr David Clarke

UFO consultant to The National Archives  
<http://www.drdavidclarke.co.uk>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 18](#)

## News Links - 17-02-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 18 Feb 2010 11:15:32 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 18 Feb 2010 11:15:32 -0500  
Subject: News Links - 17-02-10

News Links - 17-02-10

UFO Filmed In Urban China  
PeopleMagazineDaily.com  
<http://peoplemagazinedaily.com/?p=4723>

Careful Data-Crunching Reveals Two Alien Agendas  
io9  
<http://tinyurl.com/ylz55or>

Tourists Seek a Close Encounter of the First Kind in Chile  
Santiago Times  
<http://tinyurl.com/ybgch66>

Annual UFO Convention And Film Festival At Aquarius  
Laughlin Entertainer  
<http://tinyurl.com/yawyqp6>

Talk To Be Held On UFOs  
Swindon Advertiser  
<http://tinyurl.com/yao6s6g>

Is This A UFO In The Sky Over Rossendale?  
Lancashire Telegraph  
<http://tinyurl.com/yhukhmq>

Churchill Demanded UFO Briefing: Secret Files  
AFP  
<http://tinyurl.com/yktjrqb>

Wakefield: UFO Drawing Revealed  
Yorkshire Evening Post  
<http://tinyurl.com/ydydwmj>

Believe It Or Not - UFO Speculation Ramps Up  
Herald Sun  
<http://tinyurl.com/yjge6dw>

The Ely UFO Crash: Nevada's Roswell  
KOLO  
<http://www.kolotv.com/home/headlines/84645047.html>

Filer's Files # 8 2010  
Feb 17, 2010  
<http://www.nationalufocenter.com/>

From Frank Warren's UFO Chronicles:

VidCast: Former Phoenix City Councilwoman, Francis Emma Barwood  
Shares Her Insight On The Phoenix Lights with Guest Host Frank  
Warren On The Joiner Report  
<http://tinyurl.com/yee2wu8>

68 Years Ago This Month:  
California Coast Aroused By Slow Air-Borne Object  
<http://tinyurl.com/yejqohw>

Phoenix Lights UFO Documentary Airs In England On National Geographic TV

<http://tinyurl.com/yhbwoqw>

Shag Harbour UFO Festival 2010

<http://tinyurl.com/yf8cth7>

From Greg Boone:

New Cloud Formation Spurs UFO Speculation

<http://tinyurl.com/ybjax2g>

From Christophe Grelet:

Pierre Beake Interview

<http://www.jcgrelet.com/>

From 'The Norm':

The Law Of The Times: Is There A Close Encounters Pattern?

<http://tinyurl.com/yk7sl4k>

The Kelly Cahill Alien Encounter/Abduction

<http://tinyurl.com/ygqx7mt>

Short Film On Disclosure

<http://www.ufomystic.com/2010/02/14/short-film-on-disclosure/>

Assimilation trumps do-over

Billy Cox

<http://tinyurl.com/yb24hmc>

Archaeologist's Work On Nasca Culture Featured In National Geographic

<http://www.sanmarcosmercury.com/archives/12174>

The UFO Quiz: 101 Things You Really Should Know About UFOs  
by Michael Schuyler

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/13586254/The-Official-UFO-Quiz>

The Washington National UFO Sighting Press Conference - Part 3  
Kevin Randle

<http://tinyurl.com/ydww5so>

My Advice About UFO Disclosure If The Government Is Really Serious

<http://tinyurl.com/yhllr4a>

New Ancient Astronaut Cave Paintings in India!

<http://tinyurl.com/yfreyvc>

Prehistoric UFO And ET Images Found In Remote Cave In India

<http://www.allnewsweb.com/page1199999325.php>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)



## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 18](#)

## The Decision To Release MoD's UFO Files

From: Nick Pope <[nick.nul](mailto:nick.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 18 Feb 2010 10:12:08 +0100 (CET)  
Archived: Thu, 18 Feb 2010 11:17:10 -0500  
Subject: The Decision To Release MoD's UFO Files

MoD have posted on their website the Ministerial submission recommending the release of the entire archive of UFO files. As can be seen, this makes it clear that the primary reason for this move was to counter the administrative burden of dealing with the hundreds of UFO-related FOI requests being made by members of the public:

<http://tinyurl.com/yg6ovcb>

More details on the background to this move can be found here:

<http://www.nickpope.net/mod-ufo-files.htm>

The latest batch of files has been released today:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=235aSLz08eE>

<http://tinyurl.com/ykt6zcf>

<http://tinyurl.com/yh8wokv>

<http://tinyurl.com/yhl6pdz>

Best wishes,

Nick Pope  
<http://www.nickpope.net>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 18](#)

## Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 18 Feb 2010 18:06:38 +0000  
Archived: Thu, 18 Feb 2010 13:21:26 -0500  
Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 17 Feb 2010 21:18:57 EST  
>Subject: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>With the release of more UFO files from the UK and with some  
>interesting new reports from around the world I'd say we should  
>be on the lookout for those Chinese Lantern stories that popped-  
>up recently.

>I've read where they've been banned here and there and with  
>weather balloons a played out excuse there's sure to be some new  
>menacing object that will be used to explain all UFO incidents.

Greg:

It appears you have never seen Chinese lanterns floating along  
in the sky.

If you had, you would understand why people referred to them as  
ufos. I myself felt the need to call people I knew at the  
astronomical observatory up there on the mountain to ask what  
the hell were those lights that hung in the sky south of my  
patio.

Turned out, the citizens of Arrivaca celebrated New Year's Eve  
by lofting balloons. I swear they looked for all practical  
purposes like aircraft of some sort. Although, they seemed to  
stay in once place for a very long time.

My opinion?

Chinese lanterns do account for some sightings and are referred  
to by the observer as a UFO.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

## UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 19](#)

## MoD Documents Release - Articles Audio/Video Links

From: **Giuliano Marinkovic** <[giuliano.marinkovic.nul](mailto:giuliano.marinkovic.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 18 Feb 2010 16:02:38 +0000 (GMT)  
Archived: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 06:20:30 -0500  
Subject: MoD Documents Release - Articles Audio/Video Links

5th Set of UK UFO Documents Released - Articles + Video-Audio Links

Dear Colleagues,

4th set of UK UFO Documents released. Just saw video coverage on BBC World News.

Articles:

<http://www.cnn.com/2010/WORLD/europe/02/17/ufo.files/index.html?hpt=C1>  
<http://uk.news.yahoo.com/21/20100218/tuk-mod-files-reveal-ufo-sightings-6323e80.html>  
[http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk\\_news/8520717.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/8520717.stm)  
[http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk\\_news/scotland/8521868.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/scotland/8521868.stm)  
[http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk\\_news/england/manchester/8521371.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/england/manchester/8521371.stm)  
[http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk\\_news/wales/south\\_east/8520028.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/wales/south_east/8520028.stm)  
[http://www.bbc.co.uk/blogs/magazinemonitor/2010/02/caption\\_competition\\_121.shtml](http://www.bbc.co.uk/blogs/magazinemonitor/2010/02/caption_competition_121.shtml)

Download link for documents - UK National Archives:  
<http://ufos.nationalarchives.gov.uk/>

Other BBC programs-shows of interest:

Tony Livesey - Feb 17 2010

And, after the National Archives released various claims of UFO sightings by the public, Tony talks to Nick Pope who ran the MOD's

[http://www.bbc.co.uk/iplayer/episode/b00qs92t/Tony\\_Livesey\\_17\\_02\\_2010/](http://www.bbc.co.uk/iplayer/episode/b00qs92t/Tony_Livesey_17_02_2010/)

World Briefing - Feb 18 2010

Britain calls in Israeli ambassador; Haiti missionaries freed; secret UFO files released.

[http://www.bbc.co.uk/iplayer/episode/p0066mjc/World\\_Briefing\\_18\\_02\\_2010/](http://www.bbc.co.uk/iplayer/episode/p0066mjc/World_Briefing_18_02_2010/)

Do you Believe in UFOs? - interview with dr. David Clarke - Feb 18 2010

Have you seen any UFOs recently? The latest release of sightings from the National Archives include a giant, humming triangle hovering near the house of the former Conservative leader, Michael Howard, and a Birmingham man who arrived home to find a large, illuminated blue triangle hovering over his...  
[http://news.bbc.co.uk/today/hi/today/newsid\\_8521000/8521390.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/today/hi/today/newsid_8521000/8521390.stm)

Short chronology of previous releases:  
May 2008 - 1st release  
October 2008 - 2nd Release  
March 2009 - 3rd Release

Of course I am archiving as before all the videos-audios. Audios are embedded on web but they are already on my hard drive.

Also we should keep an eye on Larry King Shows. Maybe like last few times when the UK release occurred there will be again show on UFOs connected with this release.

Coverage of the release has been just broadcast again on BBC World News (they are airing the same video report every hour or a two). This time I was been able to record it. It was broadcast at 18:20 h CET (16:20 GMT).

I have already uploaded the video. You can watch it here:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CtPEgGtOsT0>

Best Wishes

Giuliano

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 19](#)

## Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 18 Feb 2010 19:43:21 +0100  
Archived: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 06:28:50 -0500  
Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: post to ufoupdates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 18 Feb 2010 18:06:38 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 17 Feb 2010 21:18:57 EST  
>>Subject: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>With the release of more UFO files from the UK and with some  
>>interesting new reports from around the world I'd say we should  
>>be on the lookout for those Chinese Lantern stories that popped-  
>>up recently.

>>I've read where they've been banned here and there and with  
>>weather balloons a played out excuse there's sure to be some new  
>>menacing object that will be used to explain all UFO incidents.

>It appears you have never seen Chinese lanterns floating along  
>in the sky.

>If you had, you would understand why people referred to them as  
>ufos. I myself felt the need to call people I knew at the  
>astronomical observatory up there on the mountain to ask what  
>the hell were those lights that hung in the sky south of my  
>patio.

>Turned out, the citizens of Arrivaca celebrated New Year's Eve  
>by lofting balloons. I swear they looked for all practical  
>purposes like aircraft of some sort. Although, they seemed to  
>stay in once place for a very long time.

>My opinion?

>Chinese lanterns do account for some sightings and are referred  
>to by the observer as a UFO.

Dear list,

I have researched all Danish 'UFO' photographs from last year  
(2009). Of a total of 43 photo-cases received for investigation  
by Scandinavian UFO Information, Denmark, 33 cases are without  
doubt (after research/investigation) caused by so-called "Chinese  
Lanterns".

My opinion?

Chinese lanterns do account for a lot of sightings and are often  
referred to by many observers as a UFO.

So I really agree with Kathy..

Best regards,

Ole



PS. For a TV-production I sent up one lantern myself... sorry folks ;-)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 19](#)

## Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

From: Don Ledger <[dledger.nul](mailto:dledger.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 18 Feb 2010 14:42:15 -0400  
Archived: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 06:31:04 -0500  
Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: post to ufoupdates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 18 Feb 2010 18:06:38 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

<snip>

>Chinese lanterns do account for some sightings and are referred  
>to by the observer as a UFO.

Because if the viewer does not know what they are, they are  
Unidentified Flying Objects (UFOs). I don't know what is so hard  
to understand about that.

Don Ledger

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 19](#)

## 'Punch Hole Clouds' Photo Site

From: Eleanor White <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 18 Feb 2010 16:55:20 -0500  
Archived: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 06:32:42 -0500  
Subject: 'Punch Hole Clouds' Photo Site

Interesting collection of "punch hole" clouds, including a satellite view of a number of such:

<http://tinyurl.com/679zvo>

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 19](#)

## UFO Abductee Pets?

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 18 Feb 2010 23:50:44 EST  
Archived: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 06:37:31 -0500  
Subject: UFO Abductee Pets?

I'm aware of some abduction cases where pets were present. Does anyone have data on cases where pets were abducted with their owners?

Anyone do research on this and the effects on the pets?

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 19](#)

## Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 13:04:20 +0100  
Archived: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 07:51:53 -0500  
Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 17 Feb 2010 21:18:57 EST  
>Subject: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>With the release of more UFO files from the UK and with some  
>interesting new reports from around the world I'd say we should  
>be on the lookout for those Chinese Lantern stories that popped-  
>up recently.

>I've read where they've been banned here and there and with  
>weather balloons a played out excuse there's sure to be some new  
>menacing object that will be used to explain all UFO incidents.

Chinese lanterns are not used to explain all UFO incidents.  
They are many of the ufo incidents.

Best

Ole  
Denmark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 19](#)

## Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 07:31:56 EST  
Archived: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 07:53:13 -0500  
Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: post to ufoupdates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Thu, 18 Feb 2010 18:06:38 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 17 Feb 2010 21:18:57 EST  
>>Subject: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>With the release of more UFO files from the UK and with some  
>>interesting new reports from around the world I'd say we should  
>>be on the lookout for those Chinese Lantern stories that popped-  
>>up recently.

>>I've read where they've been banned here and there and with  
>>weather balloons a played out excuse there's sure to be some new  
>>menacing object that will be used to explain all UFO incidents.

>Greg:

>It appears you have never seen Chinese lanterns floating along  
>in the sky.

>If you had, you would understand why people referred to them as  
>ufos. I myself felt the need to call people I knew at the  
>astronomical observatory up there on the mountain to ask what  
>the hell were those lights that hung in the sky south of my  
>patio.

>Turned out, the citizens of Arrivaca celebrated New Year's Eve  
>by lofting balloons. I swear they looked for all practical  
>purposes like aircraft of some sort. Although, they seemed to  
>stay in once place for a very long time.

>My opinion?

>Chinese lanterns do account for some sightings and are referred  
>to by the observer as a UFO.

No kidding? Y'think?

My point wasn't that Chinese Lanterns aren't reported as UFOs,  
my point was that like the old weather balloon excuses they're  
being used as a counter measure by the press to throw off any  
serious look into UFOs by the press.

Jeez, a guy can't be a bit sarcastic round these parts anymore.

Where's Al Lehmborg when you need him?

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 20](#)

## Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

From: **Jerome Clark** <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 11:52:13 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 07:14:25 -0500  
Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 13:04:20 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Wed, 17 Feb 2010 21:18:57 EST  
>>Subject: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>With the release of more UFO files from the UK and with some  
>>interesting new reports from around the world I'd say we should  
>>be on the lookout for those Chinese Lantern stories that popped-  
>>up recently.

>>I've read where they've been banned here and there and with  
>>weather balloons a played out excuse there's sure to be some new  
>>menacing object that will be used to explain all UFO incidents.

>Chinese lanterns are not used to explain all UFO incidents.  
>They are many of the UFO incidents.

"Many"?

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 20](#)

## Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 14:52:11 -0600  
Archived: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 07:17:19 -0500  
Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post](#).nul  
>Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 07:31:56 EST  
>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>>To: post to ufoupdates <[post](#).nul>  
>>Date: Thu, 18 Feb 2010 18:06:38 +0000  
>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>To: [post](#).nul  
>>>Date: Wed, 17 Feb 2010 21:18:57 EST  
>>>Subject: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

<snip>

>>Chinese lanterns do account for some sightings and are referred  
>>to by the observer as a UFO.

>No kidding? Y'think?

>My point wasn't that Chinese Lanterns aren't reported as UFOs,  
>my point was that like the old weather balloon excuses they're  
>being used as a counter measure by the press to throw off any  
>serious look into UFOs by the press.

>Jeez, a guy can't be a bit sarcastic round these parts anymore.

>Where's Al Lehmborg when you need him?

Looking down at his plate in embarrassment. I thought we all  
were. <ROFL>

Though, seriously. There's 'rational' and then there's  
'rationalization', eh?

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>  
U F O M a g a z i n e -- [www.ufomag.com](http://www.ufomag.com)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 20](#)

## Two Large Hadron Collider Stories

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 19:03:33 EST  
Archived: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 07:18:49 -0500  
Subject: Two Large Hadron Collider Stories

The excitement is up on many fronts as results of the CMS detector and the upcoming new experiments from the Large Hadron Collider are in the news...

First physics from the LHC's CMS detector

<http://www.physorg.com/news185649606.html>

LHC ready to start up again on Feb. 25

<http://www.physorg.com/news185809165.html>

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 20](#)

## News Links - 19-02-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 07:47:17 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 07:47:17 -0500  
Subject: News Links - 19-02-10

News Links - 19-02-10

UFOs Spotted Above Tesco's In Aylesbury  
The Thame Gazette  
<http://tinyurl.com/y1faqko>

Eastern Nevada UFO Sightings Continue  
KOLO  
<http://tinyurl.com/yj67raf>

Keep Calm And Never Mind, Britain Says In Its X-Files  
New York Times  
<http://tinyurl.com/y99nstz>

How UFO Hunters Are Turning To The Web  
TechRadar UK  
<http://tinyurl.com/ykqv8pw>

Explaining UFOs  
The Scotsman  
<http://tinyurl.com/yb9wdmt>

Alien Intervention Throughout Human History? Scientists Stumble  
On Possible Proof  
Examiner.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/yzq3tau>

News Stories On Recent MoD UFO File Release  
<http://news.google.com/news/search?aq=f&um=1&cf=all&ned=ca&hl=en&q=Mod%2BUFO+Files>

From Greg Boone:

Looking For Life On Mars  
<http://www.genomeweb.com/blog/looking-life-mars>

From 'The Norm':

Nukes Debate Gets Personal  
Billy Cox  
<http://tinyurl.com/yaz5rdb>

The Washington National UFO Sightings Press Conference - Part 4  
Kevin Randle  
<http://tinyurl.com/yayp6vb>

Prehistoric UFO And ET Images Found In Remote Cave In India  
[Original report]  
<http://tinyurl.com/yb8fb7x>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 20](#)

## Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

From: **Gerald O'Connell** <[goc.nul](mailto:goc.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 12:50:13 +0000  
Archived: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 08:16:44 -0500  
Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 11:52:13 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 13:04:20 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Wed, 17 Feb 2010 21:18:57 EST  
>>Subject: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>With the release of more UFO files from the UK and with some  
>>>interesting new reports from around the world I'd say we should  
>>>be on the lookout for those Chinese Lantern stories that popped-  
>>>up recently.

>>>I've read where they've been banned here and there and with  
>>>weather balloons a played out excuse there's sure to be some new  
>>>menacing object that will be used to explain all UFO incidents.

>>Chinese lanterns are not used to explain all UFO incidents.  
>>They are many of the UFO incidents.

>"Many"?

Very many Jerry.

Basically, any orange light in the UK sky is now attributable to Chinese/Thai lanterns. The only debate is over how far back in time the attribution is allowable. --

Gerald O'Connell  
<http://www.onlyport.com>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 20](#)

## Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 14:35:58 +0100  
Archived: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 09:04:00 -0500  
Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 11:52:13 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 13:04:20 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Wed, 17 Feb 2010 21:18:57 EST  
>>Subject: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>With the release of more UFO files from the UK and with some  
>>>interesting new reports from around the world I'd say we should  
>>>be on the lookout for those Chinese Lantern stories that popped-  
>>>up recently.

>>>I've read where they've been banned here and there and with  
>>>weather balloons a played out excuse there's sure to be some new  
>>>menacing object that will be used to explain all UFO incidents.

>>Chinese lanterns are not used to explain all UFO incidents.  
>>They are many of the UFO incidents.

Hi Jerry,

Back in 1985 only a few Danish UFO-reports, in the SUFOI files,  
were referred to homemade hotair balloons per year.

In 2006/2009 my best guess is that about 25% of our ufo-reports  
are caused by the popular "chinese lanterns" - many of which are  
used in Thailand...

You question: Many??

I think so....

That's why I reacted to the sentence:

"To be some new menacing object that will be used to explain all  
UFO incidents..."

To the best of my experiance Chinese lanterns are not used to  
explain all UFO incidents.

They are many of the UFO incidents.

Best,

Ole

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast



At :

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 20](#)

## Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 20:13:36 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 09:21:44 -0500  
Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 14:35:58 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 11:52:13 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 13:04:20 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>Date: Wed, 17 Feb 2010 21:18:57 EST  
>>>>Subject: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>>Chinese lanterns are not used to explain all UFO incidents.  
>>>>They are many of the UFO incidents.

>Back in 1985 only a few Danish UFO-reports, in the SUFOI files,  
>were referred to homemade hotair balloons per year.

>In 2006/2009 my best guess is that about 25% of our ufo-reports  
>are caused by the popular "chinese lanterns" - many of which are  
>used in Thailand...

>You question: Many??

>I think so....

>That's why I reacted to the sentence:

>"To be some new menacing object that will be used to explain all  
>UFO incidents..."

>To the best of my expierence Chinese lanterns are not used to  
>explain all UFO incidents.

>They are many of the UFO incidents.

If that is indeed the case, Ole, then they are not UFO  
incidents. They're either "supposed" UFO incidents or, more to  
the point, IFO incidents.

Best,

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 20](#)

## Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 16:14:26 +0100  
Archived: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 10:26:17 -0500  
Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 20:13:36 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 14:35:58 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 11:52:13 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>>From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 13:04:20 +0100  
>>>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>>Date: Wed, 17 Feb 2010 21:18:57 EST  
>>>>>Subject: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>>>Chinese lanterns are not used to explain all UFO incidents.  
>>>>>They are many of the UFO incidents.

>>Back in 1985 only a few Danish UFO-reports, in the SUFOI files,  
>>were referred to homemade hotair balloons per year.

>>In 2006/2009 my best guess is that about 25% of our ufo-reports  
>>are caused by the popular "chinese lanterns" - many of which are  
>>used in Thailand...

>>You question: Many??

>>I think so....

>>That's why I reacted to the sentence:

>>"To be some new menacing object that will be used to explain all  
>>UFO incidents..."

>>To the best of my experiance Chinese lanterns are not used to  
>>explain all UFO incidents.

>>They are many of the UFO incidents.

>If that is indeed the case, Ole, then they are not UFO  
>incidents. They're either "supposed" UFO incidents or, more to  
>the point, IFO incidents.

Jerry,

That was also my opinion many years ago, but I have changed my  
mind..

I admire your great work of ufo-research etc. for many years.

Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

But I don't think we should use our sparetime now to discuss the term UFO.

All the best from Denmark,

Ole

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 20](#)

## Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 22:06:11 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 11:29:37 -0500  
Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 16:14:26 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 20:13:36 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 14:35:58 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 11:52:13 -0500  
>>>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>>>From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
>>>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>>Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 13:04:20 +0100  
>>>>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>>>Date: Wed, 17 Feb 2010 21:18:57 EST  
>>>>>>Subject: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>>>>>Chinese lanterns are not \_used\_ to \_explain\_ all UFO incidents.  
>>>>>>>They \_are\_ many of the UFO incidents.

>>>Back in 1985 only a few Danish UFO-reports, in the SUFOI files,  
>>>were referred to homemade hotair balloons per year.

>>>In 2006/2009 my best guess is that about 25% of our ufo-reports  
>>>are caused by the popular "chinese lanterns" - many of which are  
>>>used in Thailand...

>>>You question: Many??

>>>I think so....

>>>That's why I reacted to the sentence:

>>>"To be some new menacing object that will be used to explain all  
>>>UFO incidents..."

>>>To the best of my expierence Chinese lanterns are not used to  
>>>explain all UFO incidents.

>>>They \_are\_ many of the UFO incidents.

>>>If that is indeed the case, Ole, then they are not UFO  
>>>incidents. They're either "supposed" UFO incidents or, more to  
>>>the point, IFO incidents.

>>>That was also my opinion many years ago, but I have changed my

>mind..

>I admire your great work of ufo-research etc. for many years.  
>But I don't think we should use our sparetime now to discuss the  
>term UFO.

So, Ole, it was as I suspected: rhetorical sleight of hand all along.

I think, then, that Greg's point stands.

Cheers,

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 21](#)

## Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 18:30:16 +0100  
Archived: Sun, 21 Feb 2010 18:34:08 -0500  
Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 22:06:11 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 16:14:26 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 20:13:36 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>>From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 14:35:58 +0100  
>>>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

<snip>

>>>>In 2006/2009 my best guess is that about 25% of our ufo-reports  
>>>>are caused by the popular "chinese lanterns" - many of which are  
>>>>used in Thailand...

>>>>You question: Many??

>>>>I think so....

>>>>That's why I reacted to the sentence:

>>>>"To be some new menacing object that will be used to explain all  
>>>>UFO incidents..."

>>>>To the best of my experience Chinese lanterns are not used to  
>>>>explain all UFO incidents.

>>>>They are many of the UFO incidents.

>>>>If that is indeed the case, Ole, then they are not UFO  
>>>>incidents. They're either "supposed" UFO incidents or, more to  
>>>>the point, IFO incidents.

>>That was also my opinion many years ago, but I have changed my  
>>mind..

>>I admire your great work of ufo-research etc. for many years.  
>>But I don't think we should use our sparetime now to discuss the  
>>term UFO.

>So, Ole, it was as I suspected: rhetorical sleight of hand all  
>along.

>I think, then, that Greg's point stands.

Well I don't care Jerry...

In the meantime I will devote as much time as possible to



Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

investigate and research (mainly Danish) ufo-pictures and let my Danish colleagues - the so-called "believers" - discuss and argue... ;-)

Best

Ole

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 21](#)

## Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 18:34:30 +0000 (GMT)  
Archived: Sun, 21 Feb 2010 18:35:39 -0500  
Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 20:13:36 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 14:35:58 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 11:52:13 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 13:04:20 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>>Date: Wed, 17 Feb 2010 21:18:57 EST  
>>>>Subject: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>>Chinese lanterns are not used to explain all UFO incidents.  
>>>>They are many of the UFO incidents.

>Back in 1985 only a few Danish UFO-reports, in the SUFOI files,  
>were referred to homemade hotair balloons per year.

>In 2006/2009 my best guess is that about 25% of our ufo-reports  
>are caused by the popular "chinese lanterns" - many of which are  
>used in Thailand...

>You question: Many??

>I think so....

>That's why I reacted to the sentence:

>"To be some new menacing object that will be used to explain all  
>UFO incidents..."

>To the best of my expierence Chinese lanterns are not used to  
>explain all UFO incidents.

>They are many of the UFO incidents.

If that is indeed the case, Ole, then they are not UFO incidents. They're either "supposed" UFO incidents or, more to the point, IFO incidents.

OK, lets see if we can sort something out here. If a UFO report can be shown to have been caused by a Chinese lantern, then it is indeed an IFO incident. But I think we can assume that there are a number of UFO reports that are indeed generated by Chinese lanterns, but are not subsequently identified as such.

These are still UFO reports.

Similarly, \*all\* UFO reports are "supposed" incidents, until proven otherwise, even if they are later proven to be extraterrestrial spacecraft.

Jerry seems to be suggesting here that a UFO report can be proven to have been caused by a "UFO", as an object in its own right. He seems to be suggesting that there are three categories:

1. UFO reports which can be explained and become IFOs.
2. UFO reports which cannot be explained and are "supposed" incidents.
3. UFO reports which can be proven to be UFOs.

I'm not sure how you distinguish between categories 2 and 3. Perhaps Jerry can explain.

(Here's a hint: you start "Sigh, John...")

--

John Rimmer

<http://magonia.haaan.com/>

<http://pelicanist.blogspot.com/>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 21](#)

## Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

From: **Kathy Kasten** <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 19:00:07 +0000  
Archived: Sun, 21 Feb 2010 18:37:55 -0500  
Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>,  
>Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 14:52:11 -0600  
>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 07:31:56 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

<snips>

>>Where's Al Lehmborg when you need him?

>Looking down at his plate in embarrassment. I thought we all  
>were. <ROFL>

>Though, seriously. There's 'rational' and then there's  
>'rationalization', eh?

Yes, Alfred, I was being snide and should be ashamed of myself.  
Me, I am staring down at all the plates on the table trying to  
appear rational.

KK

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 21](#)

## Re: News Links - 19-02-10

From: Eleanor White <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 15:22:00 -0500  
Archived: Sun, 21 Feb 2010 18:39:27 -0500  
Subject: Re: News Links - 19-02-10

>Alien Intervention Throughout Human History? Scientists Stumble  
>On Possible Proof  
>Examiner.com  
><http://tinyurl.com/yzq3tau>

The photo of the chip looks just like a standard lost pet RFID  
chip to me.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 21](#)

## MoD Blanked Out 'Uncomplimentary Comments' On UFO

From: David Clarke <[daveclarke292.nul](mailto:daveclarke292.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 21 Feb 2010 16:15:38 +0000  
Archived: Sun, 21 Feb 2010 18:41:05 -0500  
Subject: MoD Blanked Out 'Uncomplimentary Comments' On UFO

Greetings list:

From The Independent on Sunday, 21 February:

<http://tinyurl.com/yao884u>

This story is based upon the MoD response to my FOIA request for the briefing papers that led to the decision to release the MoD UFO files to The National Archives, here

<http://tinyurl.com/yg6ovcb>

I note with amusement that "uncomplimentary comments" about members of the public is not a recognised exemption under the UK Freedom of Information Act.

--

David Clarke

UFO Consultant to The National Archives  
<http://drdavidclarke.blogspot.com/>  
<http://www.drdavidclarke.co.uk/>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 21](#)

## Tromso Spiral Not Seen In Sweden?

From: **Eleanor White** <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 21 Feb 2010 15:23:42 -0500  
Archived: Sun, 21 Feb 2010 18:42:23 -0500  
Subject: Tromso Spiral Not Seen In Sweden?

Troms=F6 Norway is a good distance from Russian air space. And Sweden pokes up between Finland and Norway. Kiruna, Sweden isn't all that far south of Troms=F6.

I asked a colleague from Sweden if she heard of anyone in Sweden witnessing the Troms=F6 "blue spiral" and she replied:

"Well, I have also heard about the blue spiral. I have not heard it was seen in Sweden. Only in the Troms=F6 area."

For what it may be worth.

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 21](#)

## Past Human Like Civilizations

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 19:00:10 EST  
Archived: Sun, 21 Feb 2010 18:45:13 -0500  
Subject: Past Human Like Civilizations

<http://tinyurl.com/ybs6gg7>

I recall numerous times postulating that in the past there may have been branches of the hominid line that were superior to we modern day humans in intelligence and may have been behind the development of early technological feats that boggle our minds today. I also recall being lambasted by certain UFOlogists that my theory was preposterous and silly. I didn't base my theory on rogue science, just basic anthropology which is validated above in this lengthy look at the Boskops, a find of decades ago.

I'm sure I'm not the only person to have postulated and wondered and even brought such ideas to the UFO field but now I'm hearing of other UFOlogists who are supporting this theory as well.

Bottom line is, suggesting anything other than the ETH to some UFOlogists is like the evolution vs creation fight.

We assume just because we solve a challenge in life one way, that it's the best way and that anyone everywhere would do things the same way.

Pardon to the PETA people but there's more than one way to skin a cat.

So could nature have created one or more superintelligent bipedal species in the past? Proof is yes. How far they expanded and are part of our development is in question.

But imagination is what brings data to the cream and allows us to postulate phenomena on all scales.

Sure, some UFOs are of extraterrestrial origin but we don't know to what degree life here has interreacted with or caused or is the effect of the phenomena. Could a small society of supergenius hominids or some other line, from Earth have figured out long ago the secrets of the universe without the massive socio economic infrastructure mess we call civilization? Could be.

Think of just 100,000 of people of Tesla, Einstein, capacity, avoiding squabbles and major warfare and perceiving the world about them and designing the tools and techniques to investigate and apply the controlling technologies.

Where would we be if we weren't so bigoted and hateful and drunk and spiteful, and there's billions of us.

Big headed, superintelligent, big eyed, childlike human like beings from our past that we have the bones and dna of called the Boskops.

Good thing to keep an eye out for.

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.cocm



Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 22](#)

## News Links - 19-02-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 07:21:28 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 07:21:28 -0500  
Subject: News Links - 19-02-10

News Links - 19-02-10

Are UFOs in our midst?  
Youngstown Vindicator  
<http://tinyurl.com/ykptg9h>

MoD 'Blanked Out' UFO comments  
The Press Association  
<http://tinyurl.com/ydqzlv7>

Ancient Astronauts  
Sarajevo Portal  
<http://www.sarajevo.net/content/161-Ancient-Astronauts>

UFO Sightings - Mod 'Blanked Out' Insults About Reports  
BBC News  
[http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk\\_news/8526871.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/8526871.stm)

UFO Sightings, Abductions And The Paranormal Connection  
NewsBlaze  
<http://tinyurl.com/yk7h78p>

From Frank Warren's UFO Chronicals:

Take Us To Your Leader  
<http://www.theufochronicles.com/2010/02/take-us-to-your-leader.html>

UFO EXCLUSIVE:  
Angelia Joiner, Along with Her Husband Go Public With An  
Encounter They Experienced While On An Investigation!  
<http://tinyurl.com/ykjojh4>

UFO NEWS: The National Archives Has Released the Fifth Collection  
of UFO Files From the Ministry of Defence  
<http://tinyurl.com/ygZR5lt>

From Grant Smales:

Rocket Launch Blows Away The Sky  
Bad Astronomy  
<http://tinyurl.com/yf2w3r1>

From 'The Norm':

Triangle UFO Seen Hovering Over Punta Gorda, Florida  
Examiner .Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/ydHb9oa>

MoD: One Size Fits All  
Billy Cox  
<http://devoid.blogs.heraldtribune.com/10654/mod-one-size-fits-all/>

Entities and Sacred Texts In 1959

<http://tinyurl.com/ydxjpsv>

Ministry of Defence Standard Reply To UFO Sightings

<http://tinyurl.com/yej2l57>

Book Review: The Goblin Universe

<http://tinyurl.com/ydjpjau>

Host George Noory Brings Talk Of The Supernatural Back To Earth  
The LA Times

<http://tinyurl.com/yhc2gqy>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 22](#)

## Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

From: Steve Sawyer <[stevesaw.nul](mailto:stevesaw.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 04:20:27 -0800  
Archived: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 08:00:08 -0500  
Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 19:00:10 EST  
>Subject: Past Human Like Civilizations

><http://tinyurl.com/ybs6gg7>

>I recall numerous times postulating that in the past there may  
>have been branches of the hominid line that were superior to we  
>modern day humans in intelligence and may have been behind the  
>development of early technological feats that boggle our minds  
>today. I also recall being lambasted by certain UFOlogists that  
>my theory was preposterous and silly. I didn't base my theory on  
>rogue science, just basic anthropology which is validated above  
>in this lengthy look at the Boskops, a find of decades ago.

>I'm sure I'm not the only person to have postulated and wondered  
>and even brought such ideas to the UFO field but now I'm hearing  
>of other UFOlogists who are supporting this theory as well.

>Bottom line is, suggesting anything other than the ETH to some  
>Ufologists is like the evolution vs creation fight.

<snip>

>So could nature have created one or more superintelligent  
>bipedal species in the past? Proof is yes. How far they expanded  
>and are part of our development is in question.

<snip>

>Sure, some UFOs are of extraterrestrial origin but we don't know  
>to what degree life here has interacted with or caused or is  
>the effect of the phenomena. Could a small society of  
>supergenius hominids or some other line, from Earth have figured  
>out long ago the secrets of the universe without the massive  
>socio economic infrastructure mess we call civilization? Could  
>be.

<snip>

Hi, Greg/List---

You may be interested in reading the forthcoming posthumous book  
by Mac Tonnies, "The Cryptoterrestrials--A meditation on  
indigenous humanoids and the aliens among us" from Anomalist  
Books, due out next month:

<http://www.anomalistbooks.com/tonnies.html>

It will be interesting to see what kind of reaction within the  
ufological community this book creates.

Steve

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 22](#)

## Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 19:14:43 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 08:17:42 -0500  
Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 18:30:16 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 22:06:11 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 16:14:26 +0100  
>>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 20:13:36 -0500  
>>>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>>>From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
>>>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>>>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 14:35:58 +0100  
>>>>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

<snip>

>>>>>In 2006/2009 my best guess is that about 25% of our ufo-reports  
>>>>>are caused by the popular "chinese lanterns" - many of which are  
>>>>>used in Thailand...

>>>>>You question: Many??

>>>>>I think so....

>>>>>That's why I reacted to the sentence:

>>>>>"To be some new menacing object that will be used to explain all  
>>>>>UFO incidents..."

>>>That was also my opinion many years ago, but I have changed my  
>>>mind..

>>>I admire your great work of ufo-research etc. for many years.  
>>>But I don't think we should use our sparetime now to discuss the  
>>>term UFO.

>>So, Ole, it was as I suspected: rhetorical sleight of hand all  
>>along.

>>I think, then, that Greg's point stands.

>Well I don't care Jerry...

>In the meantime I will devote as much time as possible to  
>investigate and research (mainly Danish) ufo-pictures and let my  
>Danish colleagues - the so-called "believers" - discuss and  
>argue... ;-)

Believe me, Ole, I have less than no interest in debating so-called "pelicanists" and intend to devote my attention to issues that matter, in other words ones that may shed light, as opposed to continued darkness, on UFOs and other anomalies.

For the rest of you, it is worth noting how Chinese lanterns fall into a familiar pelicanist pattern: the latest in what Allan Hendry, who had a mordant sense of humor, used to call The Final Solution to the UFO Problem. Since the beginning of the controversy, debunkers have leaped upon some passing notion (spots in front of the eyes, Skyhook balloons, temperature inversions, ball lightning, Persinger's claims, CIA secret aircraft -- the list is a long one) and pronounced it the answer to all, or at least enough to discredit ostensibly anomalous UFO reports. Then, when that fad passed, it was on to the next shiny new toy.

Evidently, Chinese lanterns are the shiny new toy of the moment.

In the meantime, the notion that everything from a stationary light source in the night sky to the RB-47 case is in essence one thing -- IFO -- is, charitably expressed, unwarranted. Uncharitably expressed ... well, courtesy prevents me from going there.

Jerry Clark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 22](#)

## Re: Tromso Spiral Not Seen In Sweden?

From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 15:40:52 +0100  
Archived: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 18:38:38 -0500  
Subject: Re: Tromso Spiral Not Seen In Sweden?

>From: Eleanor White <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
>To: UFO UpDates - Toronto <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sun, 21 Feb 2010 15:23:42 -0500  
>Subject: Tromso Spiral Not Seen In Sweden?

>Troms=F6 Norway is a good distance from Russian air space. And  
>Sweden pokes up between Finland and Norway. Kiruna, Sweden isn't  
>all that far south of Troms=F6.

>I asked a colleague from Sweden if she heard of anyone in Sweden  
>witnessing the Troms=F6 "blue spiral" and she replied:

>"Well, I have also heard about the blue spiral. I have not heard  
>it was seen in Sweden. Only in the Troms=F6 area."

>For what it may be worth.

Hi Eleanor,

For your information the spiral \_was\_ indeed photographed from  
Sweden.

UFO-Sweden can confirm this.

[www.ufo.se](http://www.ufo.se)

Regards,

Ole  
Denmark

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp



Re: Tromso Spiral Not Seen In Sweden?

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 22](#)

## Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 15:54:49 +0100  
Archived: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 18:42:38 -0500  
Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 19:14:43 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 18:30:16 +0100  
>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>From: Jerome Clark <[jkclark.nul](mailto:jkclark.nul)>  
>>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>>>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 22:06:11 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

<snip>

>>In the meantime I will devote as much time as possible to  
>>investigate and research (mainly Danish) ufo-pictures and let my  
>>Danish colleagues - the so-called "believers" - discuss and  
>>argue... ;-)

>Believe me, Ole, I have less than no interest in debating so-  
>called "pelicanists" and intend to devote my attention to issues  
>that matter, in other words ones that may shed light, as opposed  
>to continued darkness, on UFOs and other anomalies.

>For the rest of you, it is worth noting how Chinese lanterns  
>fall into a familiar pelicanist pattern: the latest in what  
>Allan Hendry, who had a mordant sense of humor, used to call The  
>Final Solution to the UFO Problem. Since the beginning of the  
>controversy, debunkers have leaped upon some passing notion  
>(spots in front of the eyes, Skyhook balloons, temperature  
>inversions, ball lightning, Persinger's claims, CIA secret  
>aircraft -- the list is a long one) and pronounced it the answer  
>to all, or at least enough to discredit ostensibly anomalous UFO  
>reports. Then, when that fad passed, it was on to the next shiny  
>new toy.

>Evidently, Chinese lanterns are the shiny new toy of the moment.

>In the meantime, the notion that everything from a stationary  
>light source in the night sky to the RB-47 case is in essence  
>one thing - IFO - is, charitably expressed, unwarranted.  
>Uncharitably expressed... well, courtesy prevents me from going  
>there.

Hi Jerry,

From the beginning I got your point of course.

But I don't think the Chinese Lanterns are the shiny new toy of  
the moment... They really count in the sightings statistic in  
many countries, as in Denmark too.

I have kissed a lot of frogs during my last 50 years  
interest/investigation/research...

But so many people - also in this list - insist/believe there

Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

really is a prince/princess somewhere ; -)

Maybe...

Best regards,

Ole

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 22](#)

## Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

From: Carol Maltby <[carolmaltby.nul](mailto:carolmaltby.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 10:31:45 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 18:43:56 -0500  
Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 19:00:10 EST  
>Subject: Past Human Like Civilizations

><http://tinyurl.com/ybs6gg7>

>  
>I recall numerous times postulating that in the past there may  
>have been branches of the hominid line that were superior to we  
>modern day humans in intelligence and may have been behind the  
>development of early technological feats that boggle our minds  
>today. I also recall being lambasted by certain UFOlogists that  
>my theory was preposterous and silly. I didn't base my theory on  
>rogue science, just basic anthropology which is validated above  
>in this lengthy look at the Boskops, a find of decades ago.

Paleoanthropologist John Hawks offers a rebuttal, linked at the  
Lynch and Granger article, which seems to show that Lynch and  
Granger were basing their speculations on obsolete information,  
and do not know what they are talking about.

<http://tinyurl.com/yk45g4o>

Carol Maltby

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 22](#)

## Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 13:36:53 -0500  
Archived: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 18:45:50 -0500  
Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

>From: Steve Sawyer <[stevesaw.nul](mailto:stevesaw.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 04:20:27 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

>Hi, Greg/List

>You may be interested in reading the forthcoming posthumous book  
>by Mac Tonnies, "The Cryptoterrestrials--A meditation on  
>indigenous humanoids and the aliens among us" from Anomalist  
>Books, due out next month:

><http://www.anomalistbooks.com/tonnies.html>

>It will be interesting to see what kind of reaction within the  
>ufological community this book creates.

I would like to read it but I am not expecting much from that  
line of thought.

For one, that line of questioning is not unique and ultimately  
is a dead end. If by chance it were true, then such a theory  
would be far easier to prove a reality than the ETH.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

## A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena 'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 23](#)

Secrecy News -- 02/22/10

From: Steven Aftergood <[saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 10:02:54 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 23 Feb 2010 06:51:30 -0500  
Subject: Secrecy News -- 02/22/10

SECRECY NEWS  
from the FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Volume 2010, Issue No. 15  
February 22, 2010

Secrecy News Blog: <http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

\*\* MOVING BEYOND "A DECLASSIFICATION SYSTEM THAT DOES NOT WORK"  
\*\* SOME BELATED ANSWERS ON ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE  
\*\* FINANCIAL TURMOIL, AID TO PAKISTAN, AND MORE FROM CRS

MOVING BEYOND "A DECLASSIFICATION SYSTEM THAT DOES NOT WORK"

Executive branch agencies have spent more than a billion dollars on declassification of government records in recent years, but the results have been unsatisfactory, requiring a change in declassification policy and procedure.

"Between 1997 and 2007 the Federal Government acknowledges spending \$1.343 billion on declassification," reported Michael J. Kurtz, Assistant Archivist at the National Archives, in a newly disclosed briefing. "This does not include the monies spent by the Intelligence Community on declassification," an amount that is considered classified.

Despite the enormous expenditure of money, there is a large and growing backlog of records awaiting declassification.

"The Federal government has 408 million pages of historical records that are 25 years old and older at the National Archives and Records Administration that are still classified and an estimated 1.24 billion pages of historical records in agency custody which need to be reviewed and declassified over the next 25 years," Mr. Kurtz said.

"Without reform in policy and process," he said, "billions of dollars will be spent perpetuating a declassification system that does not work, while the backlog of records awaiting processing for the open shelves will continue to grow."

Mr. Kurtz spoke at a November 2009 government conference on records management. Slides from his presentation were released earlier this month.

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/othergov/nara-ndc.pdf>

Having characterized the problem, Mr. Kurtz went on to describe NARA's conception of the solution -- a National Declassification Center. The Center, he said, will "enable efficient and effective agency review" while improving quality control and productivity. The Center was in fact established by President Obama's executive order 13526 on December 29, 2009 and was announced by the National Archivist on December 30, 2009. It began initial operations last month.

<http://www.archives.gov/declassification/>

From an outside perspective, the declassification challenge goes at least one level deeper than what Mr. Kurtz described in his briefing. The problem is not simply one of inefficiency or a lack of interagency coordination. It is that agencies are adhering to erroneous classification policies that obstruct and defeat the declassification process.

One convenient example of such a classification error is the secrecy of Intelligence Community declassification costs, as noted by Mr. Kurtz. Any government employee who seriously believes that the disclosure of declassification spending by intelligence agencies could cause "damage to the national security" needs to be reassigned to a non-national security function. No more public money should be wasted to enforce such obvious misunderstandings.

The Fundamental Classification Guidance Review prescribed in executive order 13526 (sec. 1.9), which requires a top to bottom "scrub" of all agency classification policies over the next two years, may help to streamline the declassification process by eliminating these kinds of errors in classification judgment.

#### SOME BELATED ANSWERS ON ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE

The Justice Department has released its responses to questions originally posed by the House Judiciary Committee in 2007 about the Department's views on the legal framework governing electronic surveillance under the amended Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act.

In questions for the record from a September 18, 2007 hearing, House Committee members probed the potential use of electronic surveillance against U.S. persons, the exclusivity of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, the claimed scope of independent presidential authority, and the basis for mandating telecommunication carrier immunity.

"If the so-called Terrorist Surveillance Program (TSP) was perfectly legal as has been claimed, why would companies who cooperated in it need immunity?" the Committee asked. (To protect classified information, among other reasons, the Department responded.) "Is the President free to disregard any provisions of FISA with which he disagrees?" (No, not exactly.) "If an individual in the United States is suspected of working in collusion with persons outside the United States--such that an investigation of one is in effect the investigation of the other--under what circumstances, generally, would you use criminal or other FISA wiretaps?" (Targeting of persons in the United States can only be done under FISA procedures.)

The Committee hearing volume was published in June 2008 without the Justice Department's answers to these questions, because they were provided to Congress too late to be included in the published record. A copy of the answers was released last week under the Freedom of Information Act.

[http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2007\\_hr/wainstein-qfr.pdf](http://www.fas.org/irp/congress/2007_hr/wainstein-qfr.pdf)

#### FINANCIAL TURMOIL, AID TO PAKISTAN, AND MORE FROM CRS

New reports from the Congressional Research Service that have not been made readily available to the public include the following.

"Government Interventions in Response to Financial Turmoil," February 1, 2010:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/R41073.pdf>

"International Food Aid Programs: Background and Issues," February 3, 2010:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/R41072.pdf>

"Architect of the Capitol: Appointment Process and Current Legislation," February 16, 2010:

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/misc/R41074.pdf>

"Ozone Air Quality Standards: EPA's Proposed January 2010 Revisions,"

February 1, 2010:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/misc/R41062.pdf>

"The 2009 Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) Meetings and U.S. Trade Policy in Asia," February 4, 2010:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/row/R41071.pdf>

"Direct Overt U.S. Aid and Military Reimbursements to Pakistan, FY2002-FY2011," February 16, 2010:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/row/pakaid.pdf>

"Paraguay: Political and Economic Conditions and U.S. Relations," February 1, 2010:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/crs/row/R41067.pdf>

---

Secrecy News is written by Steven Aftergood and published by the Federation of American Scientists.

The Secrecy News Blog is at:

<http://www.fas.org/blog/secrecy/>

To SUBSCRIBE to Secrecy News, go to:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/subscribe.html>

OR email your request to [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

Secrecy News is archived at:

<http://www.fas.org/sqp/news/secrecy/index.html>

Support the FAS Project on Government Secrecy with a donation:

[http://www.fas.org/member/donate\\_today.html](http://www.fas.org/member/donate_today.html)

---

Steven Aftergood

Project on Government Secrecy

Federation of American Scientists

web: [www.fas.org/sqp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sqp/index.html)

email: [saftergood.nul](mailto:saftergood.nul)

voice: (202) 454-4691

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 23](#)

## Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 13:30:05 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 23 Feb 2010 06:52:40 -0500  
Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 19:00:10 EST  
>Subject: Past Human Like Civilizations

><http://tinyurl.com/ybs6gg7>

>I recall numerous times postulating that in the past there may  
>have been branches of the hominid line that were superior to we  
>modern day humans in intelligence and may have been behind the  
>development of early technological feats that boggle our minds  
>today. I also recall being lambasted by certain UFOlogists that  
>my theory was preposterous and silly. I didn't base my theory on  
>rogue science, just basic anthropology which is validated above  
>in this lengthy look at the Boskops, a find of decades ago.

>I'm sure I'm not the only person to have postulated and wondered  
>and even brought such ideas to the UFO field but now I'm hearing  
>of other UFOlogists who are supporting this theory as well.

>Bottom line is, suggesting anything other than the ETH to some  
>Ufologists is like the evolution vs creation fight.

<snip>

Mr. Boone, did you visit the link presented at the beginning of  
the article?

"The portrayal of "Boskops" in the Discover excerpt is so out of  
line with anthropology of the last forty years, that I am amazed  
the magazine printed it."

-and-

"I think that this case is not controversial, it's non-science."

Both were made by Paleoanthropologist John Hawks.

<http://tinyurl.com/yayl69a>

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 23](#)

## Future Cars Powered By Bodywork

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 13:46:42 -0500  
Archived: Tue, 23 Feb 2010 06:53:53 -0500  
Subject: Future Cars Powered By Bodywork

A new carbon fiber laminate that stores electrical charge may supplement or even replace car batteries in the future. In effect, the bodywork of the vehicle will be the battery!

<http://tinyurl.com/yaj38r5>

Could the hull of certain UFOs be made of this material? I am reminded of the cases in which a UFO is reported hovering over a power line and seemingly drawing off electricity.

UFO Hits Powerline

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bJoAMlP0G28>

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 23](#)

## Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

From: Cathy Reason <[CathyM.nul](mailto:CathyM.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 23:19:59 -0000  
Archived: Tue, 23 Feb 2010 06:56:03 -0500  
Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>From: John Rimmer <[johnrimmer.nul](mailto:johnrimmer.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 18:34:30 +0000 (GMT)  
>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>Jerry seems to be suggesting here that a UFO report can be  
>proven to have been caused by a "UFO", as an object in its own  
>right. He seems to be suggesting that there are three  
>categories:

- >1. UFO reports which can be explained and become IFOs.
  - >2. UFO reports which cannot be explained and are "supposed"  
>incidents.
  - >3. UFO reports which can be proven to be UFOs.
- >I'm not sure how you distinguish between categories 2 and 3.

There's an entire mathematical literature devoted to just this  
sort of problem: check out the Wikipedia page on Signal  
Detection Theory.

Cathy

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 23](#)

## Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 18:20:21 -0600  
Archived: Tue, 23 Feb 2010 06:58:07 -0500  
Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>From: Kathy Kasten <[catraja.nul](mailto:catraja.nul)>  
>To: post to ufoupdates <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 19:00:07 +0000  
>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>From: Alfred Lehmborg <[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)>  
>>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>,  
>>Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 14:52:11 -0600  
>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

>>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Fri, 19 Feb 2010 07:31:56 EST  
>>>Subject: Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

><snips>

>>>Where's Al Lehmborg when you need him?

>>Looking down at his plate in embarrassment. I thought we all  
>>were. <ROFL>

>>Though, seriously. There's 'rational' and then there's  
>>'rationalization', eh?

>Yes, Alfred, I was being snide and should be ashamed of myself.  
>Me, I am staring down at all the plates on the table trying to  
>appear rational.

"There is only 'do' or 'not do,' there is no 'try'." - Yoda

But seriously, I can't believe a presupposed rationality  
crediting an aggregate humanity with any justification for its  
overweening arrogance and hubristic pride, whatsoever.

In my opinion we have so very little of that which we can be  
proud in the first place and then our transgressions wipe out  
much of the idiosyncratic credit we would earn, thereby, in the  
second.

Sometimes our desire to be rational is a desire to remove or  
ignore the discomfiting symbology from the equation we would try  
to solve otherwise as beneath concern, consideration, and  
contempt. Garbage in so garbage out may apply, eh?

[alienview.nul](mailto:alienview.nul)  
[www.AlienView.net](http://www.AlienView.net)  
AVG Blog -- <http://alienviewgroup.blogspot.com/>  
U F O M a g a z i n e -- [www.ufomag.com](http://www.ufomag.com)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Re: Another Attack Of The Chinese Lanterns?

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 23](#)

## Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 22:10:17 EST  
Archived: Tue, 23 Feb 2010 07:00:51 -0500  
Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

>From: Steve Sawyer <[stevesaw.nul](mailto:stevesaw.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 04:20:27 -0800  
>Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 19:00:10 EST  
>>Subject: Past Human Like Civilizations

>><http://tinyurl.com/ybs6gg7>

>>I recall numerous times postulating that in the past there may  
>>have been branches of the hominid line that were superior to we  
>>modern day humans in intelligence and may have been behind the  
>>development of early technological feats that boggle our minds  
>>today. I also recall being lambasted by certain UFOlogists that  
>>my theory was preposterous and silly. I didn't base my theory on  
>>rogue science, just basic anthropology which is validated above  
>>in this lengthy look at the Boskops, a find of decades ago.

>>I'm sure I'm not the only person to have postulated and wondered  
>>and even brought such ideas to the UFO field but now I'm hearing  
>>of other UFOlogists who are supporting this theory as well.

>>Bottom line is, suggesting anything other than the ETH to some  
>>Ufologists is like the evolution vs creation fight.

><snip>

>>So could nature have created one or more superintelligent  
>>bipedal species in the past? Proof is yes. How far they expanded  
>>and are part of our development is in question.

><snip>

>>Sure, some UFOs are of extraterrestrial origin but we don't know  
>>to what degree life here has interacted with or caused or is  
>>the effect of the phenomena. Could a small society of  
>>supergenius hominids or some other line, from Earth have figured  
>>out long ago the secrets of the universe without the massive  
>>socio economic infrastructure mess we call civilization? Could  
>>be.

><snip>

>Hi, Greg/List---

>You may be interested in reading the forthcoming posthumous book  
>by Mac Tonnies, "The Cryptoterrestrials--A meditation on  
>indigenous humanoids and the aliens among us" from Anomalist  
>Books, due out next month:

><http://www.anomalistbooks.com/tonnies.html>

>It will be interesting to see what kind of reaction within the  
>ufological community this book creates.

Thanks for the heads up Steve!

I'll bet if it's a Mac Tonnies book it will be gangbusters.  
Can't wait to read it.

I've been lucky to be at the front door of archaeology and anthropology ever since I was a wee lad. I knew then that history was wrong and that there were major efforts to prevent true research to procede.

I've chatted with archivists from some of the most prestigious institutions of learning who have artifacts they confess no one knows where they came from nor who made them that go back probably a million years or more. There are specimens that if they should see the light of day these people would be fired and the colleges shut down. They're that sensitive.

Here's a discovery many are aware of and is featured in a recent Newsweek article.

<http://www.newsweek.com/id/233844>

It's the finds of the Gobekli Tepe temple in Turkey. Theorized to be 7,000 years older than the pyramids. Tha's only what they've uncovered so far. There's still millions of square miles of Earth, not to mention oceans that we haven't been digging in.

So the history books are constantly being overturned and in regard to ufology should these new discoveries turn over the apple cart and suggest another explanation other than the ETH then we'll see more ad hominem responses.

It's difficult for us humans to accept another person's point of view and we react with hostility and even war. In a field like ufology since no physical evidence has yet to be presented proving beyond a doubt the ETH then it would be wise to entertain other theories that do have physical proof to back them up.

It's a battle of you either have physical proof or you don't.

We do in regard to ancient civilizations and ancient variants of humans of superior intelligence so that theory has even better ground than the ETH.

Our geneticists are still trying to figure out things. We don't know when nature will kick into overdrive and another version of hominids or some other order might arise with the smarts. It could happen today or tomorrow. It could be widespread or selective to a small sample within each race.

There's more forbidden archeaology around and it'll be dug up or revealed soon.

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |



[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 24](#)

## Past Human Like Civilizations

From: **Stanton T. Friedman** <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 23 Feb 2010 12:27:57 -0400  
Archived: Wed, 24 Feb 2010 07:25:30 -0500  
Subject: Past Human Like Civilizations

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 22:10:17 EST  
>Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

<snip>

>I've chatted with archivists from some of the most prestigious  
>institutions of learning who have artifacts they confess no one  
>knows where they came from nor who made them that go back  
>probably a million years or more. There are specimens that if  
>they should see the light of day these people would be fired and  
>the colleges shut down. They're that sensitive.

>Here's a discovery many are aware of and is featured in a recent  
>Newsweek article.

><http://www.newsweek.com/id/233844>

<snip>

Greg:

Thanks so much for the link to that fascinating article. I, for one, have for many years been saying we know very little about man's past history and usually mention Schliemann's finding of Troy buried under 75 feet of dirt... in just several thousand years. Not much of the planet, especially under the oceans, has been investigated down 75 feet

However, I can't imagine why this changes in any way the conclusion that some UFOs are indeed of ET origin. Earth may well have been part of a thriving local galactic neighborhood many thousands or millions of years ago. All the more reason for ET visitations.

I can't help but mention that Kathleen Marden and I have recently completed a book Science Was Wrong which should be out in June. I also enjoyed Erich von Daniken's recent book History Is Wrong. There are many surprises for those willing to look and to critically review the false claims of the 'educated experts'.

Stan Friedman

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 24](#)

## Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 23 Feb 2010 11:41:21 EST  
Archived: Wed, 24 Feb 2010 07:27:45 -0500  
Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 13:30:05 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 19:00:10 EST  
>>Subject: Past Human Like Civilizations

>><http://tinyurl.com/ybs6gg7>

>>I recall numerous times postulating that in the past there may  
>>have been branches of the hominid line that were superior to we  
>>modern day humans in intelligence and may have been behind the  
>>development of early technological feats that boggle our minds  
>>today. I also recall being lambasted by certain UFOlogists that  
>>my theory was preposterous and silly. I didn't base my theory on  
>>rogue science, just basic anthropology which is validated above  
>>in this lengthy look at the Boskops, a find of decades ago.

>>I'm sure I'm not the only person to have postulated and wondered  
>>and even brought such ideas to the UFO field but now I'm hearing  
>>of other UFOlogists who are supporting this theory as well.

>>Bottom line is, suggesting anything other than the ETH to some  
>>Ufologists is like the evolution vs creation fight.

><snip>

>Mr. Boone, did you visit the link presented at the beginning of  
>the article?

>"The portrayal of "Boskops" in the Discover excerpt is so out of  
>line with anthropology of the last forty years, that I am amazed  
>the magazine printed it."

>-and-

>"I think that this case is not controversial, it's non-science."

>Both were made by Paleoanthropologist John Hawks.

><http://tinyurl.com/yayl69a>

Jason that's not the only rebuttal regarding the Boskops.  
Whenever any new evidence is unearthed and presented in  
anthropology you will get a tsunami of know-it-all's who will  
rebut that discovery.

That's the one constant of anthropology, ever since Charles  
Darwin and before that. It was only 200 years ago that  
scientists started to even marginally entertain the fact that  
dinosaurs existed earlier than 6,000 years ago and had died as a  
result of the flood in the stories of Noah's Ark.

Every major anthropological discovery is met the same until money starts getting made and it slips into mainstream and people find it a platform for income and scholastic stability.

As further tests are done on any dna remnants found more theories will abound.

As for anthropology in the past 40 years it's highmarked by non stop revisions of established theory. The ages of specimens and believed hominids just keeps going further back and new specimens are being unearthed.

With the massive surface of the Earth and the millions of square miles of land underwater that at one time had been exposed, we still have a huge amount of territory to cover to get a better picture of life and it's myriad variations on this planet.

So I submit that the only thing constant in anthropology is that any theory or discovery that flies in the face of convention is usually the truth or a next step towards it.

Sound familiar?

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://www.aliensonearth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 24](#)

## Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

From: **Greg Boone** <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 23 Feb 2010 11:45:04 EST  
Archived: Wed, 24 Feb 2010 07:29:21 -0500  
Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

>From: Carol Maltby <[carolmaltby.nul](mailto:carolmaltby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](#)  
>Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 10:31:45 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](#)  
>>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 19:00:10 EST  
>>Subject: Past Human Like Civilizations

>><http://tinyurl.com/ybs6gg7>

<snip>

>Paleoanthropologist John Hawks offers a rebuttal, linked at the  
>Lynch and Granger article, which seems to show that Lynch and  
>Granger were basing their speculations on obsolete information,  
>and do not know what they are talking about.

><http://tinyurl.com/yk45g4o>

Only one rebuttal? I can count a dozen more and then some.

Of course they rebuke it. Does it eliminate the evidence and possibilities? No.

There are far more rebuttals but that's the constant in anthropology. Anything that shakes the mainstream tree is instantly attacked. Matter of fact that's the only way you know you're on the right trail is when people attack your theory in a field of research where every ten years the entire paradigm is overturned. That's something that Hawks should be well familiar with.

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 24](#)

## Re: Tromso Spiral Not Seen In Sweden?

From: **Eleanor White** <[ewraven1.nul](mailto:ewraven1.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 23 Feb 2010 15:09:19 -0500  
Archived: Wed, 24 Feb 2010 07:41:40 -0500  
Subject: Re: Tromso Spiral Not Seen In Sweden?

>From: Ole Henningsen <[oleh.nul](mailto:oleh.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 15:40:52 +0100  
>Subject: Re: Tromso Spiral Not Seen In Sweden?

>For your information the spiral \_was\_ indeed photograped from  
>Sweden.

>UFO-Sweden can confirm this.

>[www.ufo.se](http://www.ufo.se)

I don't speak or read Swedish - any rough idea what the compass  
direction (azimuth) of the Swedish sighting was?

Eleanor White

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 24](#)

## Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

From: Bert Reijersen van Buuren <[bert.nul](mailto:bert.nul)>  
Date: Tue, 23 Feb 2010 21:25:24 +0100  
Archived: Wed, 24 Feb 2010 07:47:07 -0500  
Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 19:00:10 EST  
>Subject: Past Human Like Civilizations

><http://tinyurl.com/ybs6gg7>

>I recall numerous times postulating that in the past there may  
>have been branches of the hominid line that were superior to we  
>modern day humans in intelligence and may have been behind the  
>development of early technological feats that boggle our minds  
>today. I also recall being lambasted by certain UFOlogists that  
>my theory was preposterous and silly. I didn't base my theory on  
>rogue science, just basic anthropology which is validated above  
>in this lengthy look at the Boskops, a find of decades ago.

>I'm sure I'm not the only person to have postulated and wondered  
>and even brought such ideas to the UFO field but now I'm hearing  
>of other UFOlogists who are supporting this theory as well.

>Bottom line is, suggesting anything other than the ETH to some  
>Ufologists is like the evolution vs creation fight.

This is my opinion:

Nor the evolution nor the creation is responsible for life on  
planets!

For many months, now and than, I do some research on this NASA  
Mars Photo:

<http://photojournal.jpl.nasa.gov/catalog/PIA10214>

(Download the largest \* 130MB \* for it is the sharpest) and I  
can only say that something else is always responsible for the  
birth of life after comparing what I see on that photo and the  
history of our Earth.

That what is responsible for the birth of life is nothing more  
than chemistry and than the so called crystallization of matter  
if one looks at the time matter line.

It is in Dutch at this moment but you can see those photos  
with arrows pointing to important subjects.

See:

<http://www.awrvb.nl/mars/westvalley/index.html>

West Valley photo and you can see that there is an (old) waist  
dump / grave yard on which are man made objects. See pages:  
Index, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.

Here:

<http://www.rjrsnybrn.com/mars/indexwestvalley.html>

is the old version. See pages: Index, 1, 2, 3, 4.

I'm still working on that photo and more pages are under construction. I find now and then more new man-made objects on that photo!

I believe that NASA hid other Mars photos with more proof.

I am, for many years, an amateur archaeologist/geologist/astronomer.

Bert ( A W RvB )  
[bert.nul](mailto:bert.nul)  
<http://www.rjrsnvbrn.nl>

\*\*\*

US spaceships maximum velocity:  
One light-year a minute.

\*\*\*

AWN <http://www.awn-archeologie.nl>  
GEA <http://www.gea-geologie.nl>  
NVR <http://www.ruimtevaart-nvr.nl>  
Sterrenkunde <http://www.dekoepel.nl>  
UFOwijzer <http://ufowijzer.nl>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 24](#)

## Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

From: Sean Jones <[Sean.Jones.nul](mailto:Sean.Jones.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 24 Feb 2010 13:08:14 +0000  
Archived: Wed, 24 Feb 2010 09:08:07 -0500  
Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 19:00:10 EST  
>Subject: Past Human Like Civilizations

><http://tinyurl.com/ybs6gg7>

A fascinating article!

I don't think there was a satisfactory explanation for the underwater pyramids off Japan either!

<http://www.morien-institute.org/yonaguni.html>

Methinks it is about time certain people need to consider revising the history books!

Fascinating stuff, really is.

Sean

--

In an infinite universe infinitely anything is possible.

<http://www.tedric.demon.co.uk/>

Sean Jones

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 25](#)

## Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 24 Feb 2010 12:55:41 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 25 Feb 2010 06:12:11 -0500  
Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Tue, 23 Feb 2010 11:41:21 EST  
>Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 13:30:05 -0500  
>>Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

<snip>

>>Mr. Boone, did you visit the link presented at the beginning of  
>>the article?

>>"The portrayal of "Boskops" in the Discover excerpt is so out of  
>>line with anthropology of the last forty years, that I am amazed  
>>the magazine printed it."

>>-and-

>>"I think that this case is not controversial, it's non-science."

>>Both were made by Paleoanthropologist John Hawks.

>><http://tinyurl.com/yayl69a>

>Jason that's not the only rebuttal regarding the Boskops.  
>Whenever any new evidence is unearthed and presented in  
>anthropology you will get a tsunami of know-it-all's who will  
>rebut that discovery.

>That's the one constant of anthropology, ever since Charles  
>Darwin and before that. It was only 200 years ago that  
>scientists started to even marginally entertain the fact that  
>dinosaurs existed earlier than 6,000 years ago and had died as a  
>result of the flood in the stories of Noah's Ark.

>Every major anthropological discovery is met the same until  
>money starts getting made and it slips into mainstream and  
>people find it a platform for income and scholastic stability.

>As further tests are done on any dna remnants found more  
>theories will abound.

>As for anthropology in the past 40 years it's highmarked by non  
>stop revisions of established theory. The ages of specimens and  
>believed hominids just keeps going further back and new  
>specimens are being unearthed.

>With the massive surface of the Earth and the millions of square  
>miles of land underwater that at one time had been exposed, we  
>still have a huge amount of territory to cover to get a better  
>picture of life and it's myriad variations on this planet.

>So I submit that the only thing constant in anthropology is that  
>any theory or discovery that flies in the face of convention is  
>usually the truth or a next step towards it.

>Sound familiar?

The problem is that "Boskops" isn't new. It's archaic.

The information you are seemingly accepting as a reality is in disrepute and has been for almost 50 years now.

The two authors of the book for which the Discover article was written, are neurologists and not anthropologists or archaeologists. As such they are likely ignorant of why "Boskops" is no longer accepted. Instead, and like creationists, these 2 men may have come across an old article and ran with it.

Everything I can find on "Boskops" suggests that they were not a separate species but represent individuals in the upper limit of cranium size. They are US.

One more thing, Greg. Discover Magazine is not a scientific journal. It's a magazine that is dedicate to science, but also included controversial articles to attract readers.

In my opinion, this article was a horrible mistake. Bad science is being passed off to the public who may or may not realize that "boskops" was a fantasy.

-Jason Gammon

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 25](#)

## Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 24 Feb 2010 12:55:55 EST  
Archived: Thu, 25 Feb 2010 06:16:03 -0500  
Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

>From: Stanton T. Friedman <[fsphys.nul](mailto:fsphys.nul)>  
>To: <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
>Date: Tue, 23 Feb 2010 12:27:57 -0400  
>Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 22:10:17 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

><snip>

>>I've chatted with archivists from some of the most prestigious  
>>institutions of learning who have artifacts they confess no one  
>>knows where they came from nor who made them that go back  
>>probably a million years or more. There are specimens that if  
>>they should see the light of day these people would be fired and  
>>the colleges shut down. They're that sensitive.

>>Here's a discovery many are aware of and is featured in a recent  
>>Newsweek article.

>><http://www.newsweek.com/id/233844>

><snip>

>Greg:

>Thanks so much for the link to that fascinating article. I, for  
>one, have for many years been saying we know very little about  
>man's past history and usually mention Schliemann's finding of  
>Troy buried under 75 feet of dirt... in just several thousand  
>years. Not much of the planet, especially under the oceans, has  
>been investigated down 75 feet

>However, I can't imagine why this changes in any way the  
>conclusion that some UFOs are indeed of ET origin. Earth may  
>well have been part of a thriving local galactic neighborhood  
>many thousands or millions of years ago. All the more reason for  
>ET visitations.

>I can't help but mention that Kathleen Marden and I have  
>recently completed a book Science Was Wrong which should be out  
>in June. I also enjoyed Erich von Daniken's recent book History  
>Is Wrong. There are many surprises for those willing to look and  
>to critically review the false claims of the 'educated experts'.

Mr. Friedman,

I'm not saying that the conclusion that some UFOs are of ET  
origin. Not at all. What I'm saying is that some UFOs and  
related phenomena are of a terrestrial nature, perhaps from  
civilizations of other beings far superior to us intellectually  
that are either branches of the hominid line or other order.

I say this because of the mounting physical evidence, testimony  
from known professionals in archaeology and privileged  
information from the naval and aerospace industries. Not only  
that but data from the mining industry that hasn't hit the news

and won't because the findings would prevent further drilling and mining and because the owners and interests in these industries want to keep history as it is or they'd lose control.

I was really piqued back in the early 1980s while working at my newspaper and viewing photos of subsurface images taken by the space shuttle. I've not seen these photos since. We would get photos from all the major news services around the world via the AP Laser Photo machine. These photos showed the Sahara Desert imagery and not only showed massive rivers and tributaries of great age due to the meandering of these waterways, but also showed very large man made architectural structures and roadways especially near Egypt. At one time northern Africa was awash in fresh water rivers that make the Amazon look like Punkin' Crick.

Add to all that testimony from friends, family from all over the world about the men-with-the-big-heads who seem to show up all over the place in our family and social cultures. The invoke fear. Stories abound regarding these beings and their machines and especially dna manipulations. Even the fabled isle of Patmos comes up several times and all around the Mediterranean.

We have to stop and realize that life manipulates matter in order to survive, investigate, apply what it's learned, and then survive stronger. It's a push-pull type of thing that each life form has it's duty as does our liver have a duty and our lungs. Each separate but working together for mutual survival. The same with each species and we humans are one of the models that life created to monitor, modify, and preserve life and environment. We may well be the gardener, steward of nature but perhaps nature made better models long ago, some worked, some didn't. Some are warm blooded, some are not. We humans are different in that we record knowledge in forms outside of the physical mind and teach others to understand that knowledge. Our bodies use dna to do this effectively but today a high school student can take out a cell phone and access the stored knowledge of mankind whilst out on a garden walk.

Nature has it's backups. If one form fails, it tries several until that envelope, that circle of survival is met. We may not be the brightest bulbs but we may have qualities even the brightest lack. We all have our place.

Let's postulate that at some point in the distant past another branch of we humans emerged. Brighter and more aware of reality and less violent and sexy as we are. They futzed around and used us as pets or even modified themselves or other hominids. They might have developed nuclear devices and gotten the attention of ET neighbors. Had their own Roswell incidents, their own Phil Klases and more. Made mutants that became the stories of our legends. They might have missed a few notes and emerging diseases wiped them out. We don't know and as you have stated and I've said over and over, the amount of area of this planet to excavate is immense and we've literally only scratched the surface and the private organizations, governments that have sub surface data ain't gonna talk.

Who knows what secret devices lay buried and should some endeavoring power acquire some ancient super weapon.

Imagination running but on Earth, things seem to default to the worse case scenario.

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and

are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)



# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 25](#)

## Win Keech Contact Details?

From: Philip Mantle <[philip.nul](mailto:philip.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 24 Feb 2010 20:25:06 -0000  
Archived: Thu, 25 Feb 2010 06:17:17 -0500  
Subject: Win Keech Contact Details?

Hi there,

I wonder if you might be able to assist me. I have an American TV production company looking to speak to Win Keech. I'm therefore looking for a contact telephone number for him. If you can help or know anyone that can I'd be most grateful.

Many thanks,

Philip Mantle.  
[philip.nul](mailto:philip.nul)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 25](#)

## Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Wed, 24 Feb 2010 23:46:33 EST  
Archived: Thu, 25 Feb 2010 06:19:43 -0500  
Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Sat, 20 Feb 2010 19:00:10 EST  
>Subject: Past Human Like Civilizations

><http://tinyurl.com/ybs6gg7>

>I recall numerous times postulating that in the past there may  
>have been branches of the hominid line that were superior to we  
>modern day humans in intelligence and may have been behind the  
>development of early technological feats that boggle our minds  
>today. I also recall being lambasted by certain UFOlogists that  
>my theory was preposterous and silly. I didn't base my theory on  
>rogue science, just basic anthropology which is validated above  
>in this lengthy look at the Boskops, a find of decades ago.

<snip>

Here we have another anthropological find in just the past few years that has totally upset the apple cart regarding when various branches of the hominid line achieved feats no modern day anthropologist would have ever dreamed of.

<http://tinyurl.com/yfuc313>

This basically shows we have no freakin' idea of what, who, when, has occurred on Earth and need to shut up until all the data is in before lambasting alternate theories.

One disturbing thing about this find regarding the so-called 'hobbits', a small group of three foot tall hominids is that they probably existed up until just 17,000 years ago after a million year reign on the small Indonesian island they were trapped on. The postulate is that they either died from some geological upheaval or when modern humans arrived and killed them. Probably used them for food as we've seen in the past 10 years in the Congo regarding the Pygmy population where warring factions began eating them.

The Bantu, M'Butu aka Pygmies who are four feet tall lived in that region for untold eons and here we have their neighbors carrying modern day weapons eating them. Goes to show what probably happened to the Neanderthals and the other branches of hominids when they ran into us.

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 25](#)

## News Links - 24-02-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 25 Feb 2010 07:09:59 -0500  
Archived: Thu, 25 Feb 2010 07:09:59 -0500  
Subject: News Links - 24-02-10

News Links - 24-02-10

MUFON: Sheriff's Office Confirms Military Flares Over Texas  
Examiner.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/ygcsp3>

UFOs: The (Boring) Truth Is Out There  
Nick Pope - The Guardian  
<http://tinyurl.com/yaj4c9e>

'UFO' Spotted Over Whitehaven Town Centre  
Whitehaven News  
<http://tinyurl.com/yznz8x9>

Paranormal Disenchantment  
<http://tinyurl.com/y9vrlmu>

UFO Was Sighted Off Boston Coast  
This Is Boston  
<http://tinyurl.com/y9fzc5h>

UFO Spotted Over Williamsburg, Hipsters Shrug  
YourNabe.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/ybu54m4>

The Oh-No! DVD Of The Week: 'Nazis - UFO Conspiracy'  
Flick Filosopher  
<http://tinyurl.com/yk2mxez>

So Where Are The UFOs?  
The Malaysian Insider  
<http://tinyurl.com/ydsfd43>

Wood Green UFO Hacker Gary McKinnon Extradition Review Set For  
May  
Macworld UK  
<http://tinyurl.com/ylxq5gu>

Filer's Files 2010 - #9 - Blue Eyed Aliens  
<http://www.nationalufocenter.com/>

UFOs Were Chinese Lanterns Say Family  
Macclesfield Express  
<http://tinyurl.com/yjzkn71>

I Believe In Flying Saucers, Not In UFOs  
Norio Hayakawa  
<http://www.abovetopsecret.com/forum/thread546479/pg1>

From Greg Boone:

Dark Matter In Distant Galaxy Groups Mapped For First Time  
<http://tinyurl.com/ykpr5at>

From Frank Warren's UFO Chronicals:

PHOENIX LIGHTS:

"Everyone Knows The 5 Videos Shot Around 10PM on March 13, 1997,  
Are of a High Altitude Flare Drop..."

-Mike Fortson

<http://tinyurl.com/y9pps7e>

From Larry Bryant:

Item 2.58: FOIA Request to U. S. Postal Inspection Service  
Re: "Mail Covers" Targeting Ufologists

<http://tinyurl.com/y8gwgjh>

Item 2.57: FOIA Request to CIA for Records Pertaining To  
Reported UFO-E.T. Craft Emerging from the Sea Near Newfoundland

<http://tinyurl.com/ydl6fmv>

From 'The Norm':

Wikipedia On Mac Tonnies

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mac\\_Tonnies](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mac_Tonnies)

UFOs Across The Pond

Official reports in Britain show that sightings may be as much  
'X-Files' as reality

LA Times

<http://tinyurl.com/yguh5s>

The Movies Made Em Do It (Again)

by Billy Cox

<http://tinyurl.com/ygu6ww>

Mexico: More On The Hidalgo Incident

<http://tinyurl.com/yjdcokk>

Life Beyond Our Universe: Physicists Explore The Possibility Of  
Life In Universes With Laws Different From Our Own

<http://www.physorg.com/news186053895.html>

The Washington National UFO Sighting Press Conference - Part 5  
by Kevin Randle

<http://tinyurl.com/ylz4u3x>

Invisible Extraterrestrials? World-Leading Physicist Says "They  
Could Exist in Forms We Can't Conceive"

<http://tinyurl.com/yzkjndn>

Boomerang-Shaped UFO Hovers Above Florida Turnpike Near Orlando  
Examiner.Com

<http://tinyurl.com/yj4dsmu>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced  
without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 26](#)

## Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
Date: Thu, 25 Feb 2010 10:28:06 EST  
Archived: Fri, 26 Feb 2010 10:27:03 -0500  
Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>Date: Wed, 24 Feb 2010 12:55:41 -0500  
>Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

>>From: Greg Boone <[Evolbaby.nul](mailto:Evolbaby.nul)>  
>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>Date: Tue, 23 Feb 2010 11:41:21 EST  
>>Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

>>>From: Jason Gammon <[boyinthemachine.nul](mailto:boyinthemachine.nul)>  
>>>To: [post.nul](mailto:post.nul)  
>>>Date: Mon, 22 Feb 2010 13:30:05 -0500  
>>>Subject: Re: Past Human Like Civilizations

><snip>

>>>Mr. Boone, did you visit the link presented at the beginning of  
>>>the article?

>>>"The portrayal of "Boskops" in the Discover excerpt is so out of  
>>>line with anthropology of the last forty years, that I am amazed  
>>>the magazine printed it."

>>>-and-

>>>"I think that this case is not controversial, it's non-science."

>>>Both were made by Paleoanthropologist John Hawks.

>>><http://tinyurl.com/yayl69a>

>>Jason that's not the only rebuttal regarding the Boskops.  
>>Whenever any new evidence is unearthed and presented in  
>>anthropology you will get a tsunami of know-it-all's who will  
>>rebut that discovery.

>>That's the one constant of anthropology, ever since Charles  
>>Darwin and before that. It was only 200 years ago that  
>>scientists started to even marginally entertain the fact that  
>>dinosaurs existed earlier than 6,000 years ago and had died as a  
>>result of the flood in the stories of Noah's Ark.

>>Every major anthropological discovery is met the same until  
>>money starts getting made and it slips into mainstream and  
>>people find it a platform for income and scholastic stability.

>>As further tests are done on any dna remnants found more  
>>theories will abound.

>>As for anthropology in the past 40 years it's highmarked by non  
>>stop revisions of established theory. The ages of specimens and  
>>believed hominids just keeps going further back and new  
>>specimens are being unearthed.

>>With the massive surface of the Earth and the millions of square  
>>miles of land underwater that at one time had been exposed, we  
>>still have a huge amount of territory to cover to get a better

>>picture of life and it's myriad variations on this planet.

>>So I submit that the only thing constant in anthropology is that  
>>any theory or discovery that flies in the face of convention is  
>>usually the truth or a next step towards it.

>>Sound familiar?

>The problem is that "Boskops" isn't new. It's archaic.

We know that. You'd be surprised at how many discoveries are archaic. They often sit around in shoe boxes and used as doorstops or as paperweights for hundreds of years until someone says, hey, that looks interesting!

>The information you are seemingly accepting as a reality is in  
>disrepute and has been for almost 50 years now.

I've got news for you bud, everything is in disrepute. That doesn't mean jack squat. You've got people still beheading one another over whether some object or artifact belonged to some religious leader. You've still got fist fights over whether or not the dentition on some fossils we know are human are human. You're gonna get a donnybrook over Charles Darwin's discoveries and they were well over 100 years ago so what is new about disrepute?

>The two authors of the book for which the Discover article was  
>written, are neurologists and not anthropologists or  
>archaeologists. As such they are likely ignorant of why  
>"Boskops" is no longer accepted. Instead, and like creationists,  
>these 2 men may have come across an old article and ran with it.

Those are the two authors of the book. It doesn't dismiss the find just the interpretation of it. Our modern day anthropologists fight over discoveries made just a few years ago they'd sworn up and down were ridiculous or outside of present accepted paradigms and got pimp slapped when the science came in. One item I've posted here regarding those hobbit discoveries in Indonesia. When the data first came in the discoverers and interpreters were called nuts and frauds and all sorts of nasty names from some of the top anthropologists in the world. Now they're laughing their way to the history books having overturned said history books by just doing their jobs and sticking to their guns.

>Everything I can find on "Boskops" suggests that they were not a  
>separate species but represent individuals in the upper limit of  
>cranium size. They are US.

No one said they weren't. We speculate as to what relationship they had to us. They might be a branch and if so also proves a variation we were unaware of. If a different group altogether it still is in the family tree.

>One more thing, Greg. Discover Magazine is not a scientific  
>journal. It's a magazine that is dedicate to science, but also  
>included controversial articles to attract readers.

One more thing Jason, nothing is a scientific journal. Just opinions and data and theories based on that data. Seems to me you've got a bug in your bonnet about this data and forgot you're on a UFO list. If one thing we UFologists know and can bank on is that no matter what you present to science some guy is gonna roll in and try the usual tools to invalidate you. Doing good science is important but doing good common sense is more important.

>In my opinion, this article was a horrible mistake. Bad science  
>is being passed off to the public who may or may not realize  
>that "boskops" was a fantasy.

Bad science is always being passed off to the public. It's called mainstream science. The same people who have attempted to thwart every new or alternate discovery and opinion since some guy said let's rub two sticks together and it'll make fire.

When it comes to anthropology and archaeology you'll find probably 90% of all major discoveries were made by kids, farmers, pets, who stumbled over, dug up, broke with a rock, or



took home to show off the pack.

If a discovery doesn't fit the mindset of some it ends up in the ridicule pile and to quote you, in my opinion means there's something to the story.

Best,

Greg  
ufomafia.com

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 27](#)

## MoD Endgame: More FOIA Revelations

From: David Clarke <[daveclarke292.nul](mailto:daveclarke292.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 27 Feb 2010 21:36:36 +0000  
Archived: Sat, 27 Feb 2010 20:07:19 -0500  
Subject: MoD Endgame: More FOIA Revelations

Greetings List:

In response to a Freedom of Information Act request from myself and Joe McGonagle, MoD have released the documents that provide the background to the MoD decision, in November 2009, to close the UFO desk and "UFO Hotline".

The 11-page document with 2 annexes was released free from redactions on 25 February. The most controversial revelation is that MoD intend to destroy all UFO reports - from whatever source - received after 30 November 2009 within 30 days of receipt to avoid any liability for FOI requests in future.

Full discussion of the content can be found on my blog:

<http://tinyurl.com/ygbj6k4>

--

Dr David Clarke

<http://drdavidclarke.blogspot.com/>  
<http://www.drdavidclarke.co.uk/>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 27](#)

## Nukes Sites UFOs At NPC Press Conf

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 27 Feb 2010 20:57:28 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 27 Feb 2010 20:57:28 -0500  
Subject: Nukes Sites UFOs At NPC Press Conf

Source: [www.ufohastings.com](http://www.ufohastings.com)

<http://www.ufohastings.com/images/PressTitle.gif>

UFO Activity At Nuclear Weapons Sites To Be Revealed At The  
National Press Club: Your Assistance Is Needed!

UFO researcher Robert Hastings and former U.S. Air Force Captain Robert Salas are currently organizing a press conference at the National Press Club in Washington D.C. to address the vital issue of UFO incursions at U.S. nuclear weapons sites over the past six decades. The purpose of the event is to focus worldwide media attention on the reality and importance of the situation.

To date, more than 100 former or retired U.S. Air Force personnel=97once trusted to operate or guard weapons of mass destruction=97have come forward and revealed ongoing UFO surveillance of, and occasional interference with, our nuclear weapons. This information alters the historical perspective on the nuclear arms race and much, much more. The fact that the Pentagon and CIA have successfully kept the truth from public view for so long is in itself mind-boggling.

While most of the reported UFO incursions apparently involved simple observation, a few of them resulted in the shutdown of large numbers of nuclear missiles. This is more than a Cold War issue because these incidents continue to occur. Media reports of UFO activity at nuclear weapons sites have been published as recently as March 2009. Nuclear weapons proliferation is an ominous and crucial concern, affecting all of humanity. When combined with the secrecy surrounding UFO phenomenon, there exists a nexus of enormous importance.

At the press conference, tentatively scheduled for late September or early October 2010, a dozen ex-USAF personnel, including Mr. Salas, will discuss their nukes-related UFO experiences. By way of introduction, Mr. Hastings will briefly summarize his 37 years of research on the UFO-Nukes Connection. Following the statements of the witnesses, Mr. Salas will offer concluding remarks. The assembled members of the media will then have an opportunity to ask questions for an extended period.

To finance this event, Mr. Hastings and Mr. Salas are soliciting funds to cover the participants=92 travel expenses, hotel accommodations and meals, as well as costs associated with the preparation of press kits. It is estimated that \$15,000 will be required to fund the occasion.

This will be a non-profit event. A dedicated bank account has been set up to handle donations by organizations and individuals willing to support this important presentation. Following the press conference, any remaining funds will be donated to a charitable institution and the account closed. Information regarding balances, expenditure of funds, and other details may be obtained by writing to Mr. Salas at [Rasalas.nul](mailto:Rasalas.nul)

This endeavor to present the facts about the UFO-Nukes Connection to the media, and people everywhere, is both historic and necessary. Our goal is to make the promise of open government on this issue a reality. With your help, a group of dedicated witnesses are prepared to spearhead the effort. Donations may be made at [www.ufohastings.com](http://www.ufohastings.com) by clicking on the Press Conference page. Any contribution, large or small, will be appreciated. Thank you for your support.

[And thanks to Frank Warren for the lead]

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 27](#)

## News Links - 27-02-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Sat, 27 Feb 2010 21:52:33 -0500  
Archived: Sat, 27 Feb 2010 21:52:33 -0500  
Subject: News Links - 27-02-10

News Links - 27-02-10

Deeside UFO 'Sighting' Explained  
Deeside Today  
<http://tinyurl.com/ybek2fe>

UFO 'Fireball' Mystery!  
Highland News  
<http://tinyurl.com/yepxsre>

UFO 'Spotted' In Boston  
Boston Standard  
<http://tinyurl.com/y9bgkd7>

Mongolian UFO Wreckage?  
Popular Fidelity  
<http://tinyurl.com/ybcuew9>

Elin Needs Therapy, Not Tiger, Says Guru Rael  
News.Com.au  
<http://tinyurl.com/yec27kl>

Revealed... UFO Challenge To PM  
Get Bracknell  
<http://tinyurl.com/yhsb55a>

Noisy UFO That Shook Homes  
Champion Newspapers  
<http://www.champnews.com/html/newsstory.asp?id=8100>

From Frank Warren's UFO Chronicals:

UFO Activity At Nuclear Weapons Sites To Be Revealed At  
National Press Club: Your Assistance Is Needed!  
<http://tinyurl.com/yc38aoj>

The 68th Anniversary Of The Battle Of Los Angeles: An Eye Witness  
Account Of The Huge UFO Being Fired Upon By West Coast Defenses  
- Part 1 -  
<http://tinyurl.com/yjx6667>

The 68th Anniversary Of The Battle Of Los Angeles: An Eye Witness  
Account Of The Huge UFO Being Fired Upon By West Coast Defenses  
- Part 2 -  
<http://tinyurl.com/yjd8uqc>

Angelia Joiner & Husband Randell Disclose Personal UFO  
Experiences While On Investigation In The Forests Of Oregon  
<http://tinyurl.com/yfd5pku>

What Some Say About The Existence Of UFOs  
<http://tinyurl.com/yjyfwew>

From 'The Norm':

NatGeo's Missed Opportunity

Billy Cox

<http://devoid.blogs.heraldtribune.com/10675/natgeos-missed-opportunity/>

It Feels Like A Monday

Billy Cox

<http://devoid.blogs.heraldtribune.com/10672/it-feels-like-a-monday/>

Whackland: It Came From Out Proctor

<http://tinyurl.com/ye6rqhz>

Scientists Reveal Driving Force Behind Evolution

<http://www.physorg.com/news186311100.html>

Were The 1997 Arizona Lights A Psychological Warfare Experiment?

- Pt 1

<http://tinyurl.com/ylotp27>

New Witness Documents USAF Reaction To Phoenix Lights UFOs

[http://www.ufol.com/?page\\_id=281](http://www.ufol.com/?page_id=281)

National Geographic Phoenix Lights UFO Special Prompts

Disclosure Of Indian UFO Sighting

<http://tinyurl.com/yef5eos>

Original Footage Of Phoenix Lights - Video

<http://tinyurl.com/y9jevfg>

Stephenville UFO At BOA

<http://www.ufomystic.com/2010/02/25/stephenville-ufo-at-boa/>

The Washington National UFO Sighting Press Conference, Part 6

Kevin Randle

<http://tinyurl.com/yebjudl>

Bigfoot And UFOs And The Electromagnetic Spectrum

<http://tinyurl.com/yd8dehb>

The Phoenix Lights - Beyond Top Secret

Directed by Dr. Lynne Kitei

<http://tinyurl.com/yhwutam>

<http://www.thephoenixlights.net/Documentary.htm>

NASA Video Showing Either A Satellite Or UFO?

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w2s04pWmyKE&feature=player\\_embedded](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w2s04pWmyKE&feature=player_embedded)

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:

<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced without the express permission of both parties and are intended for educational use only.

[ [Next Message](#) | [Previous Message](#) | [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](http://AliensOnEarth.com)

# UFO Updates

A mailing list for the study of UFO-related phenomena  
'Its All Here In Black & White'

Location: [UFOUpdatesList.Com](http://UFOUpdatesList.Com) > [2010](#) > [Feb](#) > [Feb 28](#)

## News Links - 28-02-10

From: **UFO UpDates - Toronto** <[post.nul](mailto:post.nul)>  
Date: Sun, 28 Feb 2010 19:04:40 -0500  
Archived: Sun, 28 Feb 2010 19:04:40 -0500  
Subject: News Links - 28-02-10

News Links - 28-02-10

International UFO Congress Bids Adieu To Laughlin  
Mohave Valley News  
<http://tinyurl.com/yjxhbhs>

Memo: All UFO Reports In UK Trash-Bound  
UPI.com  
<http://tinyurl.com/y85zw7m>

UFO Sightings Will Vanish In The Ex Files  
Times Online  
<http://tinyurl.com/y8qdwbz>

Free Energy Hope For Doomsday And ET Disclosure <snurk>  
Pure Energy Systems News  
<http://tinyurl.com/yagpjmz>

From Frank Warren's UFO Chronicals:

From Greg Boone:

1959 'Alien Landing' Was An ROC Pilot In A Spy Plane  
<http://tinyurl.com/ykyleme>

From 'The Norm':

Coast To Coast: Roswell Crash Revelations - Audio  
Examiner.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/yjcphy2>

Amateur Astronomer Reports Bright Red Lights In Triangle Formation  
Examiner.Com  
<http://tinyurl.com/y9qcf77>

The 2009 Zorzy Awards - Voting Begins  
Redstar Films  
<http://tinyurl.com/y85rapy>

Scientist Eyes 39-Day Voyage To Mars  
<http://www.physorg.com/news186397741.html>

Listen to 'Strange Days... Indeed' - The PodCast

At:  
<http://www.virtuallystrange.net/ufo/sdi/subscribers/>

Your access info works there too...

These contents above are copyright of the author and  
UFO UpDates - Toronto. They may not be reproduced

without the express permission of both parties and  
are intended for educational use only.

[ [This Day's Messages](#) ]  
[This Month's Index](#) |

[UFO UpDates Main Index](#)

**UFO UpDates - Toronto - Operated by Errol Bruce-Knapp**

---

Archive programming by Glenn Campbell at [AliensOnEarth.com](#)